



## Construction of L-equienergetic graphs using some graph operations

S. K. Vaidya & Kalpesh M. Popat

To cite this article: S. K. Vaidya & Kalpesh M. Popat (2020): Construction of L-equienergetic graphs using some graph operations, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, DOI: [10.1016/j.akcej.2019.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.06.012)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.06.012>



© 2020 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



Published online: 22 Apr 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 214



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Construction of L-equienergetic graphs using some graph operations

S. K. Vaidya<sup>a</sup> and Kalpesh M. Popat<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India; <sup>b</sup>Department of MCA, Atmiya University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

### ABSTRACT

For a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  are known as eigenvalues of  $G$ . The sum of absolute values of eigenvalues of  $G$  is called the energy of  $G$ . The Laplacian matrix of  $G$  is defined as  $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$  where  $D(G)$  is the diagonal matrix with  $(i, i)^{th}$  entry is the degree of vertex  $v_i$ . The collection of eigenvalues of  $L(G)$  with their multiplicities is called spectra of  $L(G)$ . If  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $L(G)$  then the Laplacian energy  $LE(G)$  of  $G$  is defined as  $LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|$ . It is always interesting and challenging as well to investigate the graphs which are  $L$ -equienergetic but  $L$ -noncopectral as  $L$ -cospectral graphs are obviously  $L$ -equienergetic. We have devised a method to construct  $L$ -equienergetic graphs which are  $L$ -noncospectral.

### KEYWORDS

Eigenvalue; graph energy; spectrum; equienergetic

### 2010 MSC

05C50; 05C76

## 1. Introduction

All the graphs considered here are simple, finite, connected and with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges denoted as  $G(n, m)$ . We denote the complement of graph  $G$  by  $\bar{G}$ , the complete graph on  $p$  vertices by  $K_p$ , the null graph by  $\bar{K}_p$ . The average vertex degree denoted by  $\bar{d}$ , defined as  $\bar{d} = \frac{2m}{n}$ . For any undefined term in graph theory we rely upon Balakrishnan and Ranganathan [2] while for terminology related to matrix theory we refer to Horn and Johnson [11].

The adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  of a graph  $G$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $[a_{ij}]$  such that,

$$a_{ij} = 1, \text{ if } v_i \text{ is adjacent with } v_j \\ = 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

The spectra of adjacency matrix of graph  $G$  is called spectra of  $G$ . If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be eigenvalues of graph  $G$  then the energy of graph  $G$  is  $E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$ . The concept of graph energy was introduced by Gutman [9] in 1978. A brief account of graph energy can be found in Cvetković [6] and Li [12].

Let  $D(G)$  be the diagonal matrix of whose  $(i, i)^{th}$  entry is the degree of a vertex  $v_i$ . The matrix  $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$  and  $L^+(G) = D(G) + A(G)$  are called the Laplacian and Signless Laplacian matrices of  $G$  and their spectra are called Laplacian spectra ( $L$ -spectra) and signless Laplacian spectra ( $Q$ -spectra) of  $G$ . Let  $0 = \mu_n \leq \mu_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \mu_1$  be  $L$ -spectra of  $G$ . Fiedler [7] have prove that  $\mu_n = 0$  with multiplicity equal to the number of connected components of  $G$ . It is easy to see that

$$tr(L(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 2m \quad tr(L^+(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^+ = 2m$$

with  $tr$  is the trace of the matrix.

All Laplacian eigenvalues are nonnegative, and therefore their sum is non-zero. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right) = 0$$

Gutman and Zhou [10] have pointed out that the equality  $LE(G) = E(G)$  holds, if  $G$  is regular.

The multiplicity of  $\mu_i$  is denoted by  $m(\mu_i)$ . The collection of all eigenvalues  $\mu_i$  together their multiplicity is known as Laplacian spectra of  $G$  denoted by  $spec_L(G)$ . Hence,

$$spec_L(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_n \\ m(\mu_1) & m(\mu_2) & \dots & m(\mu_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

The Laplacian energy of a graph  $G$  is defined by

$$LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|$$

Basic properties and other results on Laplacian energy can be found in Andriantiana [1]. Two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of same order are said to be  $L$ -equienergetic if  $LE(G_1) = LE(G_2)$ . Two graphs are said to be  $L$ -cospectral if they have same Laplacian eigenvalues. Since  $L$ -cospectral graphs are always  $L$ -equienergetic, the problem of constructing  $L$ -equienergetic graphs is challenging for  $L$ -noncospectral graphs.

The join of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is the graph  $G = G_1 \vee G_2$  with vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and an edge set consisting of all the edges of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  together with the edges joining each vertex of  $G_1$  with every vertex of  $G_2$ . The  $L$ -spectra of join of graphs is given by the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** [5] *If  $G_1(n_1, m_1)$  and  $G_2(n_2, m_2)$  are two graphs having  $L$ -spectra  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n_1-1}, \mu_{n_1} = 0$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n_2-1}, \sigma_{n_2} = 0$  respectively then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{spec}_L(G_1 \vee G_2) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} n_1 + n_2 & n_1 + \sigma_1 & \cdots & n_1 + \sigma_{n_2-1} & n_2 + \mu_1 & \cdots & n_2 + \mu_{n_1-1} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The Kronecker product of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is the graph  $G = G_1 \otimes G_2$  with vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  and two vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent if  $u_1$  is adjacent to  $u_2$  and  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. The following result gives the  $L$ -spectra of the Kronecker product of graphs of  $G \otimes K_2$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** [3] *Let  $G(n, m)$  be a graph having  $L$ -spectra and  $Q$ -spectra respectively as  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\mu_1^+, \mu_2^+, \dots, \mu_n^+$  then,*

$$\text{spec}_L(G \otimes K_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_n & \mu_1^+ & \mu_2^+ & \cdots & \mu_n^+ \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The  $m$ -shadow graph  $D_m(G)$  of a connected graph  $G$  is graph obtained by taking  $m$  copies of  $G$ , say  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , then join each vertex  $u$  in  $G_i$  to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex  $v$  in  $G_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . For  $m=2$ , the graph is known as shadow (double) graph.

**Proposition 1.3.** [13] *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices having degrees  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  be its Laplacian spectra. Then the Laplacian spectra of  $D_m(G)$  is  $m\mu_i, md_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

**Proposition 1.4.** [8] *Let  $D_2(G)$  be the shadow graph of the graph  $G(n, m)$ . Then, for  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2 + 2nk}{8}$ ,  $k \geq 4$  we have*

$$LE(D_2(G) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 4n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'}{n'} + 8m$$

$$\text{with } \frac{2m'}{n'} = \frac{4m + 2np}{n + 2p}$$

The extended double cover [4] of the graph  $G(n, m)$  with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a bipartite graph  $G^*$  with bipartition  $(X, Y)$ ,  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  where two vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  are adjacent if and only if  $i=j$  or  $v_i$  adjacent  $v_j$  in  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $G^*$  is connected if and only if  $G$  is connected and a vertex  $v_i$  is of degree  $d_i$  in  $G$  if and only if it is of degree  $d_i + 1$  in  $G^*$ . Following are some results associated with  $G^*$

**Proposition 1.5.** [8] *Let  $G(n, m)$  be a graph with  $L$ -spectra and  $Q$ -spectra as  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\mu_1^+, \mu_2^+, \dots, \mu_n^+$  respectively, then*

$$\text{spec}_L(G^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_n & \mu_1^+ + 2 & \mu_2^+ + 2 & \cdots & \mu_n^+ + 2 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proposition 1.6.** [8] *Let  $G(n, m)$  be the graph then for  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{(k-1)n}{2} + \frac{k^2}{4}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , we have*

$$LE(G^* \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'}{n'} + 4m$$

$$\text{with } \frac{2m'}{n'} = \frac{4m + 2np + 2n}{p + 2n}$$

**Proposition 1.7.** [11] *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_1 \\ A_1 & A_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a symmetric block matrix. Then the spectra of  $A$  is the union of  $A_0 + A_1$  and  $A_0 - A_1$ .

## 2. Laplacian energy of extended shadow graph

**Definition 2.1.** The extended shadow graph  $D_2^*(G)$  of a connected graph  $G$  is constructed by taking two copies of  $G$  say  $G'$  and  $G''$ . Join each vertex  $u'$  in  $G'$  to the neighbours of the corresponding vertex  $u''$  and with  $u''$  in  $G''$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with Laplacian eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and degrees  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  then the Laplacian spectra of  $D_2^*(G)$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{spec}_L(D_2^*(G)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\mu_1 & 2\mu_2 & \cdots & 2\mu_n & 2(d_1 + 1) & 2(d_2 + 1) & \cdots & 2(d_n + 1) \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be the vertices of the graph  $G$  and  $A(G), D(G)$  be the adjacency matrix and degree matrix of the graph  $G$  respectively. Then,

$$L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$$

Consider second a copy graph  $G$  with vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n$  to obtain  $D_2^*(G)$ , such that,  $N(u_i) = N(v_i) \cup \{u_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $G_1 = D_2^*(G)$ .

The adjacency matrix and degree matrix of  $G_1$  are respectively given as

$$\begin{aligned} A(G_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} A(G) & A(G) + I \\ A(G) + I & A(G) \end{bmatrix} \\ D(G_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2D(G) + I & 0 \\ 0 & 2D(G) + I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L(G_1) &= D(G_1) - A(G_1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2D(G) - A(G) + I & -A(G) - I \\ -A(G) - I & 2D(G) - A(G) + I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} L(G) + D(G) + I & L(G) - D(G) - I \\ L(G) - D(G) - I & L(G) + D(G) + I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 1.7, spectra of  $L(G_1)$  is union of spectra of  $2L(G)$  and  $2(D(G) + I)$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{spec}_L(D_2^*(G)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\mu_1 & 2\mu_2 & \cdots & 2\mu_n & 2(d_1 + 1) & 2(d_2 + 1) & \cdots & 2(d_n + 1) \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G(n, m)$  be a graph then for  $p \geq (2n + k)$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ , we have

$$LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 4n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'}{n'} + 4m$$

*Proof.* Let  $G(n, m)$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph having  $L$ -spectra and  $Q$ -spectra, as  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\mu_1^+, \mu_2^+, \dots, \mu_n^+$  respectively, then by Proposition 1.2

$$spec_L(G \otimes K_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_n & \mu_1^+ & \mu_2^+ & \dots & \mu_n^+ \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so by Proposition 1.1

$$spec_L((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = \begin{pmatrix} p+2n & p+\mu_1 & \dots & p+\mu_{n-1} & p+\mu_1^+ & \dots & p+\mu_n^+ & 2n & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & p-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Average vertex degree of  $(G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}$  is

$$\frac{2m'}{n'} = \frac{4m + 4np}{p + 2n}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= \left| p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + \mu_i^+ - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &\quad + (p - 1) \left| 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \left| \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Now if,  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ , we have for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} &= p + \mu_i - \frac{4m + 4np}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 2n) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{k(2n + k) - k(2n + k)}{p + 2n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$p + \mu_i^+ - \frac{2m'}{n'} > 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= \left| p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + \mu_i^+ - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ (p - 1) \left| 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \left| \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &= \left( p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i + 0 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^+ + (n - 1) \left( p - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) \\ &\quad + n \left( p - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) + (p - 1) \left( \frac{2m'}{n'} - 2n \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2m'}{n'} \\ &= 4n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'}{n'} + 4m \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.4.** We have considered the only case when  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ . We discard the remaining possibilities for  $p$  and  $m$  due to following reasons.

Case(I) If,  $p < 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} &= p + \mu_i - \frac{4m + 4np}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 2n) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{p(p - 2n) - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{p(p - 2n) - k^2 - 2nk}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{(p - k)(p + k) - 2n(p + k)}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{(p + k)(p - k - 2n)}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{(p - k - 2n)}{p + 2n} \end{aligned}$$

As,  $p < 2n + k$  and  $p + 2n > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{(p - k - 2n)}{p + 2n} < 0$$

Hence, in this case we are not able to determine the sign of  $p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'}$ . In this situation the term on L.H.S. might be either positive or negative.

Case(II) If,  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m > \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} &= p + \mu_i - \frac{4m + 4np}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 2n) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{p(p - 2n) - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{k(2n + k) - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{k^2 + 2nk - 4m}{p + 2n} \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $m > \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$  and  $p + 2n > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{k^2 + 2nk - 4m}{p + 2n} < 0$$

Again, in this case we are not able to determine the sign of  $p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'}$ .

Case(III) If,  $p < 2n + k$  and  $m > \frac{k^2+2nk}{4}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} &= p + \mu_i - \frac{4m + 4np}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 2n) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &< \frac{k(2n + k) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - 4m}{p + 2n} \\ &< \frac{k(2n + k) + (p + 2n)\mu_i - k^2 - 2nk}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{(p + 2n)\mu_i}{p + 2n} \\ &= \mu_i \end{aligned}$$

In this case also we are not able to decide the sign of  $p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'}$  as  $\mu_i \geq 0$ .

Thus, in all the cases discussed above, it is not possible to determine the sign of the term  $p + \mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'}$  definitely.

### 3. Construction of L-equinergetic graphs

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $G_1(n, m)$  and  $G_2(n, m)$  be two graphs having L-spectra as  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  respectively, then for  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2n(k-1)}{8}$ ,  $k \geq 4$  we have

$$LE(D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}) = LE(D_2^*(G_2) \vee \overline{K_p})$$

*Proof.* Let  $D_2^*(G_1)$  be the extended shadow graph of  $G_1$ . Then by Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}_L(D_2^*(G_1)) \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 2\mu_1 & 2\mu_2 & \cdots & 2\mu_n & 2(d_1+1) & 2(d_2+1) & \cdots & 2(d_n+1) \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so by Proposition 1.1,

$$\text{spec}_L(D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}) = \begin{pmatrix} p+2n & p+2\mu_1 & \cdots & p+2\mu_{n-1} & p+2(d_1+1) & \cdots & p+2(d_n+1) & 2n & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & p-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Average vertex degree of  $D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}$  is

$$\frac{2m'_1}{n'} = \frac{8m + 2n + 4np}{p + 2n}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} LE(D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= \left| p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| p + 2\mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + 2(d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &+ (p-1) \left| 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \left| \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Now if,  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m \leq \frac{k^2+2n(k-1)}{8}$ ,  $k \geq 4$ , we have for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} p + 2\mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} &= p + 2\mu_i - \frac{8m + 2n + 4np}{p + 2n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 2n) + 2\mu_i(p + 2n) - 8m - 2n}{p + 2n} \\ &\geq \frac{k(2n + k) - k(2n + k) + 2n - 2n}{p + 2n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we see that,

$$p + 2(d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'}{n'} \geq 2 > 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} LE(D_2^*(G) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= \left| p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| p + 2\mu_i - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + 2(d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &+ (p-1) \left| 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| + \left| \frac{2m'}{n'} \right| \\ &= \left( p + 2n - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) + 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i + 0 \right) \\ &+ (n-1) \left( p - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i + n \left( p + 2 - \frac{2m'}{n'} \right) \\ &+ (p-1) \left( \frac{2m'}{n'} - 2n \right) + \frac{2m'}{n'} \\ &= 6n + (p-2n) \frac{2m'}{n'} + 8m \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.2.** We can prove the remaining cases by similar arguments as discussed in Remark 2.4.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $G_1(n, m_1)$ ,  $G_2(n, m_2)$ ,  $G_3(n, m_3)$  and  $G_4(n, m_4)$  be four graphs of order  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  with  $m_2 =$

$m_1 + \frac{n}{4}$ ,  $m_3 = 2m_1$  and  $m_4 = 2m_1 + \frac{n}{2}$ . Then for  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m_1 \leq \frac{k^2+2n(k-1)}{8}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} LE(D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= LE(D_2(G_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = LE(G_3^* \vee \overline{K_p}) \\ &= LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $D_2^*(G_1)$ ,  $D_2(G_2)$  and  $G_3^*$  be the extended shadow graph of  $G_1(n, m_1)$ , shadow graph of  $G_2(n, m_2)$  and extended double cover of  $G_3(n, m_3)$ , respectively. Average degrees of  $D_2^*(G_1)$ ,  $D_2(G_2)$ ,  $G_3^*$  and  $(G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}$  are respectively as,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2m'_1}{n'} &= \frac{8m_1 + 4np + 2n}{p + 2n}, & \frac{2m'_2}{n'} &= \frac{8m_2 + 4np}{p + 2n}, \\ \frac{2m'_3}{n'} &= \frac{4m_3 + 4np + 2n}{p + 2n}, & \frac{2m'_4}{n'} &= \frac{4m_4 + 4np}{p + 2n} \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m_1 \leq \frac{k^2+2n(k-1)}{8}$ , we have by [Theorem 3.1](#)

$$LE(D_2^*(G) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_1}{n'} + 8m_1 \quad (1)$$

For  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m_2 \leq \frac{k^2+2nk}{8}$  we have by [Proposition 1.4](#)

$$LE(D_2(G) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 4n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_2}{n'} + 8m_2$$

If  $m_2 = m_1 + \frac{n}{4}$  then

---


$$spec_L(D_2(D_2^*(G_1))) = \begin{pmatrix} 4\mu_1 & \cdots & 4\mu_n & 4(d_1 + 1) & \cdots & 4(d_n + 1) & 2(2d_1 + 1) & \cdots & 2(2d_n + 1) \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$


---

$$LE(D_2(G) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_1}{n'} + 8m_1 \quad (2)$$

For  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m_3 \leq \frac{n(k-1)}{2} + \frac{k^2}{8}$ , we have by [Proposition 1.6](#)

$$LE(G_3^* \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_3}{n'} + 4m_3$$

and if we suppose that  $m_3 = 2m_1$  then

$$LE(G_3^* \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_1}{n'} + 8m_1 \quad (3)$$

Also for, For  $p \geq 2n + k$  and  $m_4 \leq \frac{n(k-1)}{2} + \frac{k^2}{8}$ , we have by [Lemma 2.3](#)

$$LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 4n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_4}{n'} + 4m_4$$

and if we suppose that  $m_4 = 2m_1 + \frac{n}{2}$  then

$$LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 6n + (p - 2n) \frac{2m'_1}{n'} + 8m_1 \quad (4)$$

Therefore, from (1)-(4) it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} LE(D_2^*(G_1) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= LE(D_2(G_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) = LE(G_3^* \vee \overline{K_p}) \\ &= LE((G \otimes K_2) \vee \overline{K_p}) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $G_1(n, m_1)$  and  $G_2(n, m_2)$  be two graphs having  $L$ -spectra respectively as  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ . Then with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $m_2 = m_1 + \frac{n}{4}$  for  $p \geq 4n + k$  and  $m_2 \leq \frac{k^2+4nk-4n}{32}$  we have

$$LE(D_2(D_2^*(G_1)) \vee \overline{K_p}) = LE(D_2^*(D_2(G_2)) \vee \overline{K_p})$$

*Proof.* Let  $D_2^*(G)$  and  $D_2(G)$  be the shadow and extended shadow graphs of  $G$ , respectively.  $D_2(D_2^*(G_1)) \vee \overline{K_p}$  and  $D_2^*(D_2(G_2)) \vee \overline{K_p}$  are graphs with  $p + 4n$  vertices and average degrees respectively as

$$\frac{2m'_1}{n'} = \frac{32m + 8n + 8np}{p + 4n}, \quad \frac{2m'_2}{n'} = \frac{32m + 4n + 8np}{p + 4n}.$$

By [Theorem 2.2](#),

$$\begin{aligned} spec_L(D_2^*(G_1)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\mu_1 & 2\mu_2 & \cdots & 2\mu_n & 2(d_1 + 1) & 2(d_2 + 1) & \cdots & 2(d_n + 1) \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

by [Lemma 1.3](#),

and so by [Proposition 1.1](#),  $L$ -spectra of  $D_2(D_2^*(G)) \vee \overline{K_p}$  is  $p + 4n$ ,  $p + 4\mu_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ),  $p + 4(d_i + 1)$ ,  $p + 2(2d_i + 1)$  ( $2$  times) ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $4n$  ( $(p - 1)$  times),  $0$

So if  $p \geq 4n + k$  and  $m_1 \leq \frac{k(4n+k)-8n}{32}$ ,  $k \leq 4$ , we have for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} p + 4\mu_i - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} &= p + 4\mu_i - \frac{32m + 8n + 8np}{p + 4n} \\ &= \frac{p(p - 4n) + 4(p + 4n)\mu_i - 32m - 8n}{p + 4n} \\ &\geq \frac{k(4n + k) - k(4n + k) + 8n - 8n}{p + 4n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show

$$p + 4(d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'_2}{n'} \geq 0, \quad p + 2(2d_i + 1) \geq 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
LE(D_2(D_2^*(G)) \vee \overline{K_p}) &= \left| p + 4n - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| p + 4\mu_i - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + 4(d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left| p + 2(2d_i + 1) - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| \\
&+ (p-1) \left| 4n - \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| + \left| \frac{2m'_1}{n'} \right| \\
&= 8n + (p-4n) \frac{2m'_1}{n'} + 16m_1
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$L$ -spectra of  $D_2^*(D_2(G)) \vee \overline{K_p}$  is  $p + 4n$ ,  $p + 4\gamma_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ),  $p + 4d'_i$ ,  $p + 2(2d'_i + 1)$  (2 times) ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $4n$  ( $(p-1)$  times), 0 and

$$LE(D_2(D_2^*(G)) \vee \overline{K_p}) = 4n + (p-4n) \frac{2m'_2}{n'} + 16m_2$$

Using  $m_2 = m_1 + \frac{n}{4}$

$$LE(D_2^*(D_2(G_1)) \vee \overline{K_p}) = LE(D_2(D_2^*(G_2)) \vee \overline{K_p})$$

#### 4. Concluding remarks

In most of the existing results only a pair of graphs are shown to be  $L$ -equienergetic while we have investigated four

graphs which are simultaneously  $L$ -equienergetic. Moreover, we have used the concept of extended shadow graph to construct  $L$ -equienergetic graphs from the given graphs.

#### References

- [1] Andriantiana, E. O. D. (2016). Laplacian energy. In: I. Gutman, X. Li, eds. *Graph Energies - Theory and Applications*. Kragujevac: University of Kragujevac, pp. 49–80.
- [2] Balakrishnan, R., Ranganathan, K. (2000). *A Textbook of Graph Theory*. New York: Springer.
- [3] Barik, S., Bapat, R. B., Pati, S. (2015). On the Laplacian spectra of product graphs. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 9(1): 39–58.
- [4] Chen, Z. (2004). Spectra of extended double cover graphs. *Czech. Math. J.* 54(4): 1077–1082.
- [5] Cvetkovic, D., Doob, M., Sachs, H. (1980). *Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application*. New York: Academic Press.
- [6] Cvetković, D., Rowlinson, P., Simić, S. (2010). *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [7] Fiedler, M. (1973). Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 23(2): 298–305.
- [8] Ganie, H. A., Pirzada, S., Ivanyi, A. (2014). Energy, Laplacian energy of double graphs and new families of equienergetic graphs. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Informatica* 6(1): 89–116.
- [9] Gutman, I. (1978). The energy of a graph. *Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forsch-Ungszentrum Graz* 103: 1–22.
- [10] Gutman, I., Zhou, B. (2006). Laplacian energy of a graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 414(1): 29–37.
- [11] Horn, R. A., Johnson, C. R. (1991). *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Li, X., Shi, Y., Gutman, I. (2012). *Graph Energy*. New York: Springer.
- [13] Marino, M. S., Salvi, N. Z. (2007). Generalizing double graphs. *Atti Dell' Accademia Peloritana Dei Pericolanti Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali* 85: 1–9.