



# **Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations**

An International Journal

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcov20

# Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic *p*-Laplace equation with singular nonlinearities

Prashanta Garain

To cite this article: Prashanta Garain (2020): Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic p-Laplace equation with singular nonlinearities, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, DOI: 10.1080/17476933.2020.1801655

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2020.1801655

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 09 Aug 2020.

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 84



View related articles 🗹

View Crossmark data 🗹



👌 OPEN ACCESS 🕓

Check for updates

# Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic *p*-Laplace equation with singular nonlinearities

## Prashanta Garain

Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

ABSTRACT

Let  $p_i \geq 2$  and consider the following anisotropic *p*-Laplace equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = g(x)f(u), \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Under suitable hypothesis on the weight function g we present an existence result for  $f(u) = e^{\frac{1}{u}}$  in a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega$  and nonexistence results for  $f(u) = -e^{\frac{1}{u}}$  or  $-(u^{-\delta} + u^{-\gamma})$ ,  $\delta, \gamma > 0$  with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  respectively.

**ARTICLE HISTORY** 

Received 6 September 2019 Accepted 23 July 2020

**COMMUNICATED BY** T. Bartsch

#### **KEYWORDS**

Anisotropic *p*-Laplacian; existence; nonexistence; stable solution

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS 35A01; 35B53; 35J75

# 1. Introduction

In this article we are interested in the question of existence of a weak solution to the following anisotropic *p*-Laplace equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i - 2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = g(x) e^{\frac{1}{u}}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$
(1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \ge 3$  and  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$  is nonnegative which is not identically zero.

Alongside we present nonexistence results concerning stable solutions to the following equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right) = g(x)f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}$$
(2)

where f(u) is either  $-(u^{-\delta} + u^{-\gamma})$  with  $\delta, \gamma > 0$  or  $-e^{\frac{1}{u}}$ . The weight function  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is such that  $g \ge c > 0$  for some constant *c*.

CONTACT Prashanta Garain 🖾 pgarain92@gmail.com

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

Throughout the article, we assume that  $p_i \ge 2$ . If  $p_i = 2$  for all *i* and  $g \equiv 1$  Equation (2) becomes the Laplace equation

$$-\Delta u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{3}$$

Observe that the nonlinearities in our consideration is singular in the sense that it blows up near the origin. Starting from the pioneering work of Crandall et al. [1] where the existence of a unique positive classical solution for  $f(u) = u^{-\delta}$  with any  $\delta > 0$  has been proved for the problem (3) with zero Dirichlet boundary value. Lazer-McKenna [2] observed that the above classical solution is a weak solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  iff  $0 < \delta < 3$ . Boccardo-Orsina [3] investigated the case of any  $\delta > 0$  concerning the existence of a weak solution in  $H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, Canino-Degiovanni [4] and Canino-Sciunzi [5] investigated the question of existence and uniqueness of solution for singular Laplace equations. Canino et al. [6] generalized the problem (3) to the following singular *p*-Laplace equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \frac{f(x)}{u^{\delta}} \text{in}\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in }\Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
(4)

to obtain existence and uniqueness of weak solution for any  $\delta > 0$  under suitable hypothesis on *f*. For more details concerning singular problems reader can look at [7–9] and the references therein.

Farina [10] settled the question of nonexistence of stable solution for the Equation (3) with  $f(u) = e^u$ . There is a huge literature in this direction for various type of nonlinearity f(u), reader can look at the nice surveys [11, 12]. For  $f(u) = -u^{-\delta}$  with  $\delta > 0$  Ma-Wei [13] proved that the Equation (3) does not admit any  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  stable solution provided

$$2 \leq N < 2 + \frac{4}{1+\delta} \left( \delta + \sqrt{\delta^2 + \delta} \right).$$

Moreover many other qualitative properties of solutions has been obtained there. Consider the weighted *p*-Laplace equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = g(x)f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$
(5)

For w = g = 1, Guo-Mei [14] showed nonexistence results in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for (5), provided  $2 \le p < N < \frac{p(p+3)}{p-1}$  and  $\delta > q_c$  where

$$q_c = \frac{(p-1)[(1-p)N^2 + (p^2 + 2p)N - p^2] - 2p^2\sqrt{(p-1)(N-1)}}{(N-p)[(p-1)N - p(p+3)]}.$$

By considering a more general weight  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $|g| \ge C|x|^a$  for large |x|, Chen et al. [15] proved nonexistence results for the Equation (5), provided w = 1 and  $2 \le p < N < \frac{p(p+3)+4a}{p-1}$  and  $\delta > q_c$  where

$$q_c = \frac{2(N+a)(p+a) - (N-p)[(p-1)(N+a) - p - a] - \beta}{(N-p)[(p-1)N - p(p+3)]},$$

for

$$\beta = 2(p+a)\sqrt{(p+a)\left(N+a+\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)}$$

Recently this has been extended for a general weight function w in [16, 17].

Our main motive in this article is to investigate such results in the framework of the anisotropic *p*-Laplace operator, which is non-homogeneous. Such operators appear in many physical phenomena, for example, it reflects anisotropic physical properties of some reinforced materials [18], appears in image processing [19], to study the dynamics of fluids in anisotropic media when the conductivities of the media are different in each direction [20]. The first part of this article is devoted to the existence of a weak solution for the anisotropic problem (1). Some recent works on singular anisotropic problems can be found in [21, 22]. The singularity  $e^{\frac{1}{u}}$  is more singular in nature compared to  $u^{-\delta}$  which protects one to obtain the uniform boundedness of  $u_n$  as in [3]. We overcome this difficulty using the domain approximation method following [23]. In the second part we provide nonexistence results of stable solutions for the anisotropic *p*-Laplace equation (2) with the mixed singularities  $-(u^{-\delta} + u^{-\gamma})$  and  $-e^{\frac{1}{u}}$ . We employ the idea introduced in [10] to establish our main results stated in Section 2 for which Caccioppoli type estimates (see Section 5) will be the main ingredient. The main difficulty to obtain such estimates arises due to the nonhomogenity of the anisotropic *p*-Laplace operator which we overcome by choosing suitable test functions in the stability condition.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic results in the anisotropic Sobolev space.

Anisotropic Sobolev Space: Let  $p_i \ge 2$  for all *i*, then for any domain *D* define the anisotropic Sobolev space by

$$W^{1,p_i}(D) = \left\{ v \in W^{1,1}(D) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p_i}(D) \right\}$$

and

$$W_0^{1,p_i}(D) = W^{1,p_i}(D) \cap W_0^{1,1}(D)$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\nu\|_{W_0^{1,p_i}(D)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\|\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial x_i}\right\|_{L^{p_i}(D)}$$

The space  $W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(D)$  is defined analogously.

We denote by  $\bar{p}$  to be the harmonic mean of  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N$  defined by

$$\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_i}$$

and

$$\bar{p}^* = \frac{Np}{N - \bar{p}}.$$

The following Sobolev embedding theorem can be found in [24–26].

**Theorem 2.1:** For any bounded domain  $\Omega$ , the inclusion map

$$W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega) \to L^r(\Omega)$$

is continuous for every  $r \in [1, \bar{p}^*]$  if  $\bar{p} < N$  and for every  $r \ge 1$  if  $\bar{p} \ge N$ . Moreover, there exists a positive constant C depending only on  $\Omega$  such that for every  $v \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ 

$$\|v\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall r \in [1, \bar{p}^{*}].$$

*Weak Solution:* We say that  $u \in W^{1,p_i}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of the problem (1) if u > 0 a.e. in  $\Omega$  and for every  $\phi \in C^1_c(\Omega)$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} g(x) \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{6}$$

Stable Solution: We say that  $u \in W^{1,p_i}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a stable solution of the problem (2), if u > 0 a.e. in  $\Omega$  such that both  $g(x)f(u), g(x)f'(u) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and for all  $\varphi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) f(u) \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{7}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x) f'(u) \varphi^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i - 2} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{8}$$

For a general theory of anisotropic Sobolev space, we refer the reader to [24, 25, 27, 28].

Assumption and notation for the nonexistence results: We denote by  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  for  $N \ge 1$ and assume  $2 < p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_N$ .

We will make use of the following truncated functions later. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha > p_N - 1$  and  $t \ge 0$ , define

$$a_{k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} k^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \left( t + \frac{1+\alpha}{k(1-\alpha)} \right), & \text{if } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{k}, \\ t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}, & \text{if } t \ge \frac{1}{k}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_k(t) = \begin{cases} -\alpha k^{\alpha+1} \left( t - \frac{1+\alpha}{k\alpha} \right), & \text{if } 0 \le t < \frac{1}{k} \\ t^{-\alpha}, & \text{if } t \ge \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$$

Then it can be easily verified that both  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are positive  $C^1[0, \infty)$  decreasing functions. Moreover,  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  satisfies the following properties: (a)

$$a_k(t)^2 \ge t b_k(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

(b)

$$a_k(t)^{p_i}|a'_k(t)|^{2-p_i}+b_k(t)^{p_i}|b'_k(t)|^{1-p_i}\leq C|t|^{p_i-\alpha-1},$$

for some positive constant  $C(p_1, p_2, ..., p_N, \alpha)$ . (c)

$$a'_k(t)^2 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{4\alpha} |b'_k(t)|, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

The following notations will be used for the nonexistence results.

**Notation:** The Equation (2) will be denoted by  $(2)_s$  and  $(2)_e$  for  $f(u) = -u^{-\delta} - u^{-\gamma}$  and  $f(u) = -e^{\frac{1}{u}}$  respectively. Without loss of generality we assume  $0 < \delta \le \gamma$ .

We denote by  $B_r(0)$  to be the ball centred at 0 with radius r > 0. We denote by  $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., N and  $q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i}{N}$ . Denote by

$$l_1 = \frac{p_N - q}{2}, \quad l_2 = \frac{2\delta}{N(q - 1)} - \frac{q - 1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad l_3 = \frac{2}{MN(q - 1)} - \frac{q - 1}{2}$$

We denote by

$$A = \left(\frac{N(q-1)(p_N-1)}{4}, \infty\right),$$
  
$$B = \left(0, \frac{4}{N(q-1)(p_N-1)}\right), \quad C = \left(0, \frac{4}{N(N-1)(q-1)}\right).$$

Define

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} I_i$$

where

$$I_i = \left(\frac{N^2(q-1)(p_i-1)}{p_i(N(q-1)+4) - N^2(q-1)}, \infty\right)$$

provided  $p_i(N(q-1)+4) - N^2(q-1) > 0$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., N and  $J = B \cap C$ .

We assume  $\delta \in A$  and  $M \in J$ . Observe that  $\delta \in A$  implies  $l_2 > l_1$  and  $l_2 > 0$ . Also  $M \in J$  implies  $l_3 > l_1$  and  $l_3 > 0$ .

If C depends on  $\epsilon$  we denote by  $C_{\epsilon}$  and if C depends on  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$  we denote it by  $C(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$ .

Throughout this article  $\psi_R \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a test function such that

$$0 \le \psi_R \le 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \psi_R = 1 \text{ in } B_R(0),$$
  
$$\psi_R = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}(0)$$

with

$$|\nabla \psi_R| \le \frac{C}{R}$$

for some constant C > 0 (independent of *R*).

# 3. Main results

The main results of this article reads as follows:

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain,  $N \ge 3$  and  $p_N \ge \cdots p_2 \ge p_1 \ge 2$ . 2. Then the problem (1) admits a weak solution u in  $W^{1,p_i}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $(u - \epsilon)^+ \in W^{1,p_i}_0(\Omega)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , provided

(a)  $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$  for some  $m > \frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}^{*}-\bar{p}}$  if  $\bar{p} < N$  where  $\bar{p}^{*} \ge p_{N}$ . (b)  $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$  for some  $m > \frac{r}{r-p_{N}}$  if  $\bar{p} \ge N$  where  $r > p_{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be such that  $0 < u \le 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $1 \le \delta < \gamma$  be such that  $\delta \in A \cap I$ . Then u is not a stable solution to the problem  $(2)_s$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be such that  $u \ge 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $0 < \delta < \gamma$  be such that  $\delta \in A$  and  $\gamma \in I \cap [1,\infty)$ . Then u is not a stable solution to the problem  $(2)_s$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be such that u > 0 a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $1 \le \delta = \gamma \in A \cap I$ . Then u is not a stable solution to the problem  $(2)_s$ .

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $u \in W^{1,p_i}_{loc}(\Omega)$  be such that  $0 < u \le M$  a.e in  $\Omega$ , provided  $M \in J$ . Then u is not a stable solution to the Equation  $(2)_e$ .

We present the proof of the above theorems in the following two sections.

# 4. Proof of existence results

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $g_n(x) = \min\{g(x), n\}$  and consider the following approximated problem

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(|u_{i}|^{p_{i}-2}u_{i})=g_{n}(x)e^{\frac{1}{(u+\frac{1}{n})}}\quad\text{in }\Omega.$$
(9)

Lemma 4.1: Let

(1) 
$$g \in L^m(\Omega)$$
 for some  $m > \frac{\bar{p}^*}{\bar{p}^* - \bar{p}}$  if  $\bar{p} < N$  or

(2)  $g \in L^m(\Omega)$  for some  $m > \frac{r}{r-p_N}$  if  $\bar{p} \ge N$  where  $r > p_N$ .

Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the problem (9) has a positive solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, one has

- (i)  $||u_n||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for some constant C independent of n.
- (ii)  $u_{n+1} \ge u_n$  and each  $u_n$  is unique.
- (iii) there exists a positive constant  $c_{\omega} > 0$  such that for every  $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$  we have  $u_n \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ .

**Proof:** *Existence:* Let  $v \in L^r(\Omega)$  for some  $r \ge 1$ . Then the problem

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(|u_{i}|^{p_{i}-2}u_{i})=g_{n}(x)e^{\frac{1}{\left(|\nu|+\frac{1}{n}\right)}}$$

has a unique solution  $u = A(v) \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  since the r.h.s belongs to  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , see [25]. Choosing u = A(v) as a test function and using Theorem 2.1 together with Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \le C_N$$

for some constant  $C_N$  independent of u. Now arguing as in Lemma 2.1 of [21] gives the existence of  $u_n$ .

(i) (1) Let  $\bar{p} < N$  and  $g \in L^m(\Omega)$  for some  $m > \frac{\bar{p}^*}{\bar{p}^* - \bar{p}}$ . Choosing  $G_k(u_n) = (u_n - k)^+$  for k > 1 as a test function in (9) we get

$$\|G_k(u_n)\|_{W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)} \le e\left(\int_{\Omega} g|G_k(u_n)|\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 with  $r = \bar{p}^*$  and Hölder's inequality we get

$$\|G_k(u_n)\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\int_{A(k)} |g|^{\bar{p}^{*'}} dx\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}^*-1}{\bar{p}^*(\bar{p}-1)}}.$$

Now for 1 < k < h denote by  $A(h) = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > h\}$ , we get

$$(h-k)^{p_i} |A(h)|^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \left( \int_{A(k)} |G_k(u_n)|^{\bar{p}^*} \right)^{\frac{p_i}{\bar{p}^*}} \leq c \left( \int_{A(k)} |g|^{\bar{p}^{*'}} dx \right)^{\frac{p_i(\bar{p}^*-1)}{\bar{p}^*(\bar{p}-1)}}$$

Now using Hölder's inequality with exponents  $q = \frac{m}{\bar{p}^{*'}}$  and  $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$  we get

$$(h-k)^{p_i}|A(h)|^{\frac{p_i}{\bar{p}^*}} \le c \|g\|_{L^m(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_i}{\bar{p}-1}} |A(k)|^{\frac{p_i(\bar{p}^*-1)(m-\bar{p}^{*'})}{\bar{p}^*m(\bar{p}-1)}}.$$

Therefore we have

$$|A(h)| \le \frac{c \|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p^{*}}{\bar{p}-1}}}{(h-k)^{\bar{p}^{*}}} |A(k)|^{\beta},$$

where  $\beta = \frac{(\bar{p}^*-1)(m-\bar{p}^{*'})}{m(\bar{p}-1)} > 1$  since  $m > \frac{\bar{p}^*}{\bar{p}^*-\bar{p}}$ . By Stampacchia's result [29] we get  $||u_n||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  where *C* is independent of *n*.

(2) Choosing  $G_k(u_n) = (u_n - k)^+$  as a test function in (9) and using Hölder's inequality we get

$$\|G_k(u_n)\|_{W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)} \le e \|g\|_{L^{r'}(A(k))}^{\frac{1}{p_i-1}}$$

Using Hölder's inequality with exponents  $\frac{m}{r'}$  and  $\frac{m}{m-r'}$  we get

$$\|G_k(u_n)\|_{W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)} \le c \|g\|_{L^m(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p_i-1}} |A(k)|^{\frac{(m-r')}{mr'(p_i-1)}}.$$

Now for 1 < k < h we have

$$(h-k)^{p_i} |A(h)|^{\frac{p_i}{r}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{A(h)} (u-k)^r \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p_i}{r}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{A(k)} (u-k)^r \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p_i}{r}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i G_k(u_n)|^{p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq c ||g||_{L^m(\Omega)}^{p'_i} |A(k)|^{\frac{p_i(m-r')}{mr'(p_i-1)}}$$

Therefore we have

$$|A(h)| \le c \frac{\|g\|^{\frac{r}{p_i-1}} |A(k)|^{\gamma}}{(h-k)^r},$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{r(m-r')}{mr'(p_i-1)} > 1$  since  $m > \frac{r}{r-p_N}$ . By Stampacchia's result [29] we get  $||u_n||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C$  where *C* is independent of *n*.

(ii) Let  $u_n$  and  $u_{n+1}$  satisfies the Equations (9). Then for every  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |(u_n)_i|^{p_i - 2} (u_n)_i \phi_i \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} g_n \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{10}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |(u_{n+1})_i|^{p_i - 2} (u_{n+1})_i \phi_i \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} g_{n+1} \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(11)

Choosing  $\phi = (u_n - u_{n+1})^+$  as a test function and subtracting (10) and (11) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |(u_{n})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n})_{i} - |(u_{n+1})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n+1})_{i} \right) (u_{n} - u_{n+1})_{i}^{+} dx$$
  
$$\leq \int_{\Omega} g_{n+1}(x) \left\{ e^{\frac{1}{(u_{n}+\frac{1}{n})}} - e^{\frac{1}{(u_{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1})}} \right\} (u_{n} - u_{n+1})_{i}^{+} dx \leq 0.$$

Using the algebraic inequality (Lemma A.0.5 of [30]) we get for any  $p_i \ge 2$ 

$$||(u_n - u_{n+1})^+||_{W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Therefore (i) holds. The uniqueness follows similarly as in the monotonicity. (iii) Observe that  $u_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  by using (i). Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |(u_1)_i|^{p_i - 2} (u_1)_i \phi_i \, \mathrm{d}x = g_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{(u_1 + 1)}} \ge g_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\|u_1\|_{\infty} + 1}}.$$

Since *g* is nonnegative and not identically zero, by the strong maximum principle (Theorem 3.18 of [24]) we get the property (iii).

**Proof of Theorem 3.1:** Let  $\bar{p} < N$  such that  $\bar{p}^* \ge p_N$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_k \Omega_k$  where  $\Omega_k \subset \subset \Omega_{k+1}$  for each *k*. Let  $\gamma_k = \inf_{\Omega_k} u_n > 0$ . Choosing  $\phi = (u_n - \gamma_1)^+$  as a test function in (9), using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{u_n > \gamma_1\}} |(u_n)_i|^{p_i} dx$$
  
=  $\int_{\{u_n > \gamma_1\}} g_n e^{\frac{1}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})}} (u_n - \gamma_1)^+ dx$   
 $\leq c \|g\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \|(u_n - \gamma_1)^+\|_{W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)}$ 

where *c* is a constant independent of *n*. Using Lemma 4.1 and the fact

$$||u_n||_{W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_1)} \le ||u_n||_{W^{1,p_i}(\{u_n > \gamma_1\})}$$

we get the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_1)$  and as a consequence of Theorem 2.1 it has a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}^1\}$  converges weakly in  $W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_1)$  and strongly in  $L^{p_i}(\Omega_1)$  and almost everywhere in  $\Omega_1$  to  $u_{\Omega_1} \in W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_1)$ , say.

Proceeding in the same way for any k, we obtain a subsequence  $\{u_{n_l}^k\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that  $u_{n_l}^k$  converges weakly in  $W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_k)$ , strongly in  $L^{p_i}(\Omega_k)$  and almost everywhere to  $u_{\Omega_k} \in W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_k)$ . We may assume  $u_{n_l}^{k+1}$  is a subsequence of  $u_{n_l}^k$  for every k, and that  $n_k^k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Therefore  $u_{\Omega_{k+1}} = u_{\Omega_k}$  on  $\Omega_k$ . Define  $u = u_{\Omega_1}$  and  $u = u_{\Omega_{k+1}}$  on  $\Omega_{k+1} \setminus \Omega_k$  for

each k. Therefore by our construction the diagonal subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\} := \{u_{n_k}^k\}$  converges weakly to u in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega_k)$ , strongly in  $L^{p_i}(\Omega_k)$  and almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Now we claim that  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converges strongly to u in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega_k)$ . Let  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ . Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \le \phi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\phi = 1$  on  $\Omega'$  and let  $k_1 \ge 1$  such that supp  $\phi \subset \Omega_{k_1}$ . For every  $k, m \ge 1$ we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega'} \left( |(u_{n_{k}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{k}})_{i} - |(u_{n_{m}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{m}})_{i} \right) (u_{n_{k}} - u_{n_{m}})_{i} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |(u_{n_{k}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{k}})_{i} - |(u_{n_{m}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{m}})_{i} \right) \left( \phi (u_{n_{k}} - u_{n_{m}}) \right)_{i} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_{k_{1}}} \left\{ \left( |(u_{n_{k}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{k}})_{i} - |(u_{n_{m}})_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} (u_{n_{m}})_{i} \right) .\phi_{i} \right\} (u_{n_{k}} - u_{n_{m}}) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &:= A - B. \end{split}$$

Now the fact that  $u_{n_k}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p_i}(\Omega_{k_1})$  and converges strongly in  $L^{p_i}(\Omega_{k_1})$  implies  $B \to 0$  as  $k, m \to \infty$ . Choosing  $\psi = \phi(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})$  and either  $n = n_k$  or  $n = n_m$  we get for l = k, m

$$\left| (u_{n_l})_i \right|^{p_i - 2} (u_{n_l})_i \left( \phi(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m}) \right)_i \, \mathrm{d}x \right|$$
  
 
$$\leq \int_{\Omega_{k_1}} g_n(x) \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{(u_{n_l} + \frac{1}{n_l})}} |u_{n_k} - u_{n_m}| \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now Lemma 4.1,  $g \in L^m(\Omega)$  and the strong convergence of  $u_{n_k}$  gives  $A \to 0$  as  $k, m \to \infty$ . Now the algebraic inequality (Lemma A.0.5 of [30]) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega'} |(u_{n_k})_i - (u_{n_m})_i|^{p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x \to 0$$

as  $k, m \to \infty$ . Therefore for any  $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |(u_{n_k})_i|^{p_i - 2} (u_{n_k})_i \phi_i \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{p_i - 2} u_i \phi_i \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Lemma 4.1 and the fact  $u_{n_k} \ge c_{\text{supp }\phi} > 0$  gives

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} g_{n_k}(x) e^{\frac{1}{(u_{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_k})}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \leq e^{\frac{1}{c_{\mathrm{supp}}\phi}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

By Lebesgue dominated theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g_{n_k}(x) e^{\frac{1}{(u_{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_k})}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} g(x) e^{\frac{1}{u}} \phi \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Hence  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of the problem (7). Now observe that  $(u_{n_k} - \epsilon)^+$ in bounded in  $W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  and it has a subsequence converges to *v* weakly in  $W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ . Since  $u_{n_k}$  converges almost everywhere to u, we have  $v = (u - \epsilon)^+ \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ . The case  $\bar{p} \ge N$  follows similarly using Theorem 2.1.

#### 5. Proof of nonexistence results

To prove our main results we establish the following a priori estimate on the stable solution to the problem (2).

#### 5.1. A priori estimate

**Lemma 5.1:** Let  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution to either of the Equation (2)<sub>s</sub> or (2)<sub>e</sub> and  $\alpha > p_N - 1$  be fixed. Then for every  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N, q, \alpha)$  such that for any nonnegative  $\psi \in C_{\epsilon}^1(\Omega)$ , one has

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x)uf'(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} dx$$

$$\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} dx$$

$$-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4\alpha(1-\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega} g(x)f(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} dx.$$
(12)

As a corollary of Lemma 5.1 we obtain the following Caccioppoli type estimates.

**Corollary 5.2:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution to the problem  $(2)_s$ . Then the following holds:

(1) Assume that  $0 < u \le 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $1 \le \delta < \gamma$  be such that  $\delta \in A \cap I$ . Then for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(p_1, p_2, ..., p_N, q, N, \beta)$  such that for every  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \le \psi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} dx \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \theta_i'} dx$$
(13)

where

$$\theta_i = \frac{2\beta + \delta + q - 1}{2\beta + q - p_i}, \quad \theta'_i = \frac{2\beta + \delta + q - 1}{\delta + p_i - 1}$$

(2) Assume that  $u \ge 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $0 < \delta < \gamma$  be such that  $\delta \in A$  and  $\gamma \in I \cap [1, \infty)$ . Then for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N, q, N, \beta)$  such that for every  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \le \psi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1} dx \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \zeta'_i} dx \tag{14}$$

where

$$\zeta_i = \frac{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1}{2\beta + q - p_i}, \quad \zeta'_i = \frac{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1}{\gamma + p_i - 1}.$$

(3) Assume that u > 0 a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $1 \le \delta = \gamma \in A \cap I$ . Then for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N, q, N, \beta)$  such that for every  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \le \psi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \theta_i'} \mathrm{d}x \tag{15}$$

where

$$\theta_i = \frac{2\beta + \delta + q - 1}{2\beta + q - p_i}, \quad \theta'_i = \frac{2\beta + \delta + q - 1}{\delta + p_i - 1}.$$

**Corollary 5.3:** Let  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution to the problem  $(2)_e$  such that  $0 < u \leq M$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  for some positive constant M. Then for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_3)$  there exists a constant  $C = C(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \beta)$  such that for every  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x.$$
(16)

**Proof of Lemma 5.1:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution to the Equation (2) and  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  be nonnegative in  $\Omega$ . Then *u* satisfies both the equations (7) and (8). We prove the lemma into the following two steps.

Step 1. Choosing  $\phi = b_k(u)\psi^q$  as a test function in (7), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |b'_{k}(u)| |u_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} dx$$

$$\leq q \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-1} b_{k}(u) |u_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \psi_{i} dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} dx.$$
(17)

Using Young's inequality with  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$q\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-1} b_{k}(u) |u_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \psi_{i} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |b_{k}'(u)| |u_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}} |b_{k}'(u)|^{1-p_{i}} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

for some positive constant depending  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N, q)$ .

Therefore for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$(1-\epsilon)\sum_{i=1}^{N}|b_{k}'(u)||u_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q} dx$$
  
$$\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{\Omega}b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|b_{k}'(u)|^{1-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} dx - \int_{\Omega}g(x)f(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} dx.$$
(18)

*Step 2.* Choosing  $\phi = a_k(u)\psi^{\frac{q}{2}}$  in the inequality (8), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) f'(u) a_k(u)^2 \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i - 1) \left( X_i + \frac{q^2}{4} Y_i + q Z_i \right), \tag{19}$$

where

$$X_i = \int_{\Omega} |a'_k(u)|^2 |u_i|^{p_i} \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad Y_i = \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-2} a_k(u)^2 |u_i|^{p_i-2} |\psi_i|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

and

$$Z_i = \int_{\Omega} |a'_k(u)| a_k(u) \psi^{q-1} |u_i|^{p_i-1} |\psi_i| \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Using (c) noting that

$$X_i = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{4\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |b'_k(u)| |u_i|^{p_i} \psi^q \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

from the estimate (18), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} &= \frac{(\alpha - 1)^{2}}{4\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |b_{k}'(u)| |u_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)^{2}}{4\alpha(1 - \epsilon)} \left\{ C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}} |b_{k}'(u)|^{1 - p_{i}} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q - p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \right\}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, using Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{split} (p_i - 1) \frac{q^2}{4} Y_i \\ &= (p_i - 1) \frac{q^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-2} a_k(u)^2 |u_i|^{p_i - 2} |\psi_i|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= (p_i - 1) \frac{q^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left( |u_i|^{p_i - 2} |a'_k(u)|^{\frac{2(p_i - 2)}{p_i}} \psi^{\frac{q(p_i - 2)}{p_i}} \right) \\ &\times \left( a_k(u)^2 |a'_k(u)|^{\frac{2(2-p_i)}{p_i}} |\psi_i|^2 \psi^{\frac{2(q-p_i)}{p_i}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2N} X_i + \frac{C}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_k(u)^{p_i} |a'_k(u)|^{2-p_i} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} (p_i - 1)qZ_i \\ &= (p_i - 1)q \int_{\Omega} |a'_k(u)| a_k(u) \psi^{q-1} |u_i|^{p_i - 1} |\psi_i| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= (p_i - 1)q \int_{\Omega} \left( |u_i|^{p_i - 1} |a'_k(u)|^{\frac{2}{p_i'}} \psi^{\frac{q}{p_i'}} \right) \left( a_k(u) |a'_k(u)|^{\frac{2 - p_i}{p_i}} |\psi|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2N} X_i + \frac{C}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_k(u)^{p_i} |a'_k(u)|^{2 - p_i} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x \end{split}$$

for some positive constant  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N, q, N)$ . Using the above estimates in (19) together with (a) and (b) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} g(x)uf'(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} g(x)f'(u)a_{k}(u)^{2}\psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(p_{i}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)X_{i}+C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left(p_{1}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} + \left(p_{2}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} + \cdots + \left(p_{N}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \\ &+ C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \left(N(q-1)+\epsilon\right)\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} + C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}\left(N(q-1)+\epsilon\right)}{4\alpha(1-\epsilon)} \left\{C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega} g(x)f(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x\right\} + C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left\{b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|b_{k}'(u)|^{1-p_{i}} + a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}|a_{k}'(u)|^{2-p_{i}}\right\}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4\alpha(1-\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega} g(x)f(u)b_{k}(u)\psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}|\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\psi^{q-p_$$

for some positive constant  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \alpha)$ .

**Proof of Corollary 5.2:** Let  $u \in W^{1,p_i}_{loc}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution to the problem  $(2)_s$ . Observe that the fact  $\beta > l_1$  implies  $\alpha = 2\beta + q - 1 > p_N - 1$ . Then by Lemma 5.1, using the fact  $0 < \delta \le \gamma$  and  $f(u) = -u^{-\delta} - u^{-\gamma}$  in the inequality (12), for some  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \alpha)$  we obtain

$$\alpha_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x)(u^{-\delta} + u^{-\gamma}) b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u^{p_i - \alpha - 1} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where  $\alpha_{\epsilon} = \delta - \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 (N(q-1) + \epsilon)}{4\alpha(1-\epsilon)}$ . Observe that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \alpha_{\epsilon} = \delta - \frac{N(q-1)(\alpha-1)^2}{4\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall \ \beta \in (l_1, l_2)$$

Hence we can fix  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$  and choose  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\alpha_{\epsilon} > 0$ . As a consequence we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x)(u^{-\delta} + u^{-\gamma})b_k(u)\psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u^{p_i - 2\beta - q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{20}$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(p_1, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \alpha)$ .

(1) Since  $\delta < \gamma$  and  $0 < u \le 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$  the inequality (20) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\delta} b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i - 2\beta - q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2\beta-\delta-q+1} \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-2\beta-q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Replacing  $\psi$  by  $\psi^{\frac{2\beta+\delta+q-1}{q}}$  and using the Young's inequality for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  with the exponents  $\theta_i = \frac{2\beta+\delta+q-1}{2\beta+q-p_i}$ ,  $\theta_i' = \frac{2\beta+\delta+q-1}{\delta+p_i-1}$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_i-2\beta-q} \psi^{2\beta+\delta+q-p_i-1} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q-p_i} \right) \left(\psi^{\delta-1} |\psi_i|^{p_i}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{d}x + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\theta'_i}{\theta_i}} \psi^{(\delta-1)\theta_i'} |\psi_i|^{p_i\theta'_i} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Using  $\delta \ge 1$  and choosing  $0 \le \psi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$  together with the fact  $g \ge c$  we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \theta_i'} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(p_1, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \beta)$ .

(2) Since  $\delta < \gamma$  and  $u \ge 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$  the inequality (20) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\gamma} b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i - 2\beta - q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2\beta-\gamma-q+1} \psi^q \, \mathrm{d} x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i-2\beta-q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \, \mathrm{d} x.$$

Replacing  $\psi$  by  $\psi^{\frac{2\beta+\gamma+q-1}{q}}$  and using the Young's inequality for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  with the exponents  $\zeta_i = \frac{2\beta+\gamma+q-1}{2\beta+q-p_i}$ ,  $\zeta'_i = \frac{2\beta+\gamma+q-1}{\gamma+p_i-1}$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2\beta-q} \psi^{2\beta+\gamma+q-p_{i}-1} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q-p_{i}}\right) \left(\psi^{\gamma-1} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{d}x + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\zeta_{i}'}{\zeta_{i}}} \psi^{(\gamma-1)\zeta_{i}'} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}\zeta_{i}'} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Using  $\gamma \ge 1$  and choosing  $0 \le \psi \le 1$  in  $\Omega$  together with the fact  $g \ge c$  we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \zeta_i'} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(p_1, \ldots, p_N, q, N, \beta)$ .

(3) Since  $\delta = \gamma \ge 1$  and u > 0 a.e. in  $\Omega$ , for any  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$  the inequality (20) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\delta} b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i - 2\beta - q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now proceeding similarly as in Case (1) we obtain the required estimate.

**Proof of Corollary 5.3:** Assume  $M \in J$  and let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be such that  $0 < u \le M$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  is a positive stable solution of the Equation  $(2)_e$ . Let  $\beta \in (l_1, l_3)$  and define  $\alpha = 2\beta + q - 1$ . Observe that the fact  $\beta > l_1$  implies  $\alpha > p_N - 1$ . Therefore we can apply Lemma 5.1 to choose  $f(u) = -e^{\frac{1}{u}}$  and use the assumption  $0 < u \le M$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  in the estimate (12) and obtain

$$\alpha_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x) \operatorname{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for some positive constant  $C = C_{\epsilon}(p_1, \dots, p_N, q, N, \alpha)$  where  $\alpha_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{M} - \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2(N(q-1) + \epsilon)}{4\alpha(1-\epsilon)}$ . Observe that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \alpha_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{M} - \frac{N(q-1)(\alpha-1)^2}{4\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall \ \beta \in (l_1, l_3).$$

Hence we can fix  $\beta \in (l_1, l_3)$  and choose  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\alpha_{\epsilon} > 0$ . Using  $e^x > x$  for x > 0, in the above estimate we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \frac{1}{u} b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le \int_{\Omega} g(x) \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} b_k(u) \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u^{p_i - 2\beta - q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q - p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(\beta, p_1, ..., p_N, q, N)$ . By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2\beta-q} \psi^q \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} u^{p_i - 2\beta-q} |\psi_i|^{p_i} \psi^{q-p_i} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Replacing  $\psi$  by  $\psi^{\frac{2\beta+q}{q}}$  and using the Young's inequality for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  with exponents  $\gamma_i = \frac{2\beta+q}{2\beta+q-p_i}$ ,  $\gamma_i' = \frac{2\beta+q}{p_i}$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q-p_{i}} |\psi_{i}|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\gamma i'}{\gamma_{i}}} |\psi_{i}|^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Therefore, using the fact that  $g \ge c$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{2\beta+q} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(\beta, p_1, \dots, p_N, q, N)$ .

# 5.2. Proof of the main results

**Proof of Theorem 3.2:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a stable solution of the Equation (2)<sub>s</sub> such that  $0 < u \le 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \theta_i'} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Choosing  $\psi = \psi_R$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\int_{B_R(0)} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \delta + q - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^N R^{N - p_i \theta_i'},\tag{21}$$

for some positive constant C independent of R. Observe that,

$$\lim_{\beta \to l_2} (N - p_i \theta'_i) = N - \frac{p_i (2l_2 + \delta + q - 1)}{\delta + p_i - 1} < 0$$

which follows from the assumption  $\delta \in I$ , since

$$\delta > \frac{N^2(q-1)(p_i-1)}{p_i(N(q-1)+4) - N^2(q-1)} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

As a consequence, we can choose  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$ , such that  $N - p_i \theta'_i < 0$  for all *i*. Therefore, letting  $R \to \infty$  in (21), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3:** Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a stable solution of the Equation (2)<sub>s</sub> such that  $u \ge 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \zeta_i'} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Choosing  $\psi = \psi_R$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\int_{B_{R}(0)} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1} dx \le C \sum_{i=1}^{N} R^{N - p_{i}\zeta_{i}'},$$
(22)

for some positive constant C independent of R. Observe that,

$$\lim_{\beta \to l_2} (N - p_i \zeta'_i) = N - \frac{p_i (2l_2 + \gamma + q - 1)}{\delta + p_i - 1} < 0$$

which follows from the assumption  $\gamma \in I$ , since  $\gamma > \frac{N^2(q-1)(p_i-1)}{p_i(N(q-1)+4)-N^2(q-1)}$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. As a consequence, we can choose  $\beta \in (l_1, l_2)$ , such that  $N - p_i \zeta'_i < 0$  for all i.

Therefore, letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in (22), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta + \gamma + q - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 3.4:** Let  $u \in W^{1,p_i}_{loc}(\Omega)$  be a positive stable solution of the Equation (2)<sub>s</sub>. Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{p_i \theta_i'} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.2 we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta+\delta+q-1} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 3.5:** Let  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$  be a stable solution to the problem  $(2)_e$  such that  $0 < u \le M$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then by Corollary 5.3 we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_i|^{2\beta+q} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Choosing  $\psi = \psi_R$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\int_{B_R(0)} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} dx \le CR^{N-2\beta-q},$$
(23)

where *C* is a positive constant independent of *R*. Observe that, since  $M \in J$  we have  $0 < M < \frac{4}{N(N-1)(q-1)}$  which implies  $N < 2l_3 + q$  and hence

$$\lim_{\beta \to l_3} (N - 2\beta - q) = N - 2l_3 - q < 0.$$

As a consequence, we can choose  $\beta \in (l_1, l_3)$  such that  $N - 2\beta - q < 0$ .

Therefore, letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in (23), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2\beta+q} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the Theorem follows.

# **Acknowledgments**

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions. The author thanks Professor Adi Adimurthi for some fruitful discussion on the topic. The author thanks TIFR CAM, Bangalore for the financial support.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

# Funding

The author thanks Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR), Centre for Applicable Mathematics (CAM), Bangalore for the financial support.

# References

- Crandall MG, Rabinowitz PH, Tartar L. On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. Comm Partial Differential Equations. 1977;2(2):193–222.
- [2] Lazer AC, McKenna PJ. On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problem. Proc Amer Math Soc. 1991;111(3):721–730.
- [3] Boccardo L, Orsina L. Semilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities. Calc Var Partial Differential Equations. 2010;37(3–4):363–380.
- [4] Canino A, Degiovanni M. A variational approach to a class of singular semilinear elliptic equations. J Convex Anal. 2004;11(1):147-162.
- [5] Canino A, Sciunzi B. A uniqueness result for some singular semilinear elliptic equations. Commun Contemp Math. 2016;18(6):1550084, 9.
- [6] Canino A, Sciunzi B, Trombetta A. Existence and uniqueness for *p*-Laplace equations involving singular nonlinearities. NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 2016;23(2):Art. 8, 18.
- [7] Arcoya D, Moreno-Mérida L. Multiplicity of solutions for a Dirichlet problem with a strongly singular nonlinearity. Nonlinear Anal. 2014;95:281–291.
- [8] Badra M, Bal K, Giacomoni J. A singular parabolic equation: existence, stabilization. J Differential Equations. 2012;252(9):5042–5075.
- [9] Ghergu M, Rădulescu VD. Singular elliptic problems: bifurcation and asymptotic analysis. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press; 2008. (Oxford lecture series in mathematics and its applications: vol. 37).
- [10] Farina A. Stable solutions of  $-\Delta u = e^u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . C R Math Acad Sci Paris. 2007;345(2):63–66.
- [11] Dupaigne L, Farina A. Stable solutions of  $-\Delta u = f(u)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . J Eur Math Soc (JEMS). 2010;12(4):855–882.
- [12] Dupaigne L. Stable solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. Boca Raton (FL): Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2011. (Chapman & Hall/CRC monographs and surveys in pure and applied mathematics: vol. 143).
- [13] Ma L, Wei JC. Properties of positive solutions to an elliptic equation with negative exponent. J Funct Anal. 2008;254(4):1058–1087.
- [14] Guo ZM, Mei LF. Liouville type results for a *p*-Laplace equation with negative exponent. Acta Math Sin (Engl Ser). 2016;32(12):1515–1540.
- [15] Chen C, Song H, Yang H. Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of *p*-Laplace equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Nonlinear Anal. 2017;160:44–52.
- [16] Bal K, Garain P. Nonexistence results for weighted *p*-Laplace equations with singular nonlinearities. Electron J Differential Equations. 2019;2019:pages Paper No. 95, 12.
- [17] Le P. Nonexistence of stable solutions to p-Laplace equations with exponential nonlinearities. Electron J Differential Equations. 2016;2016:pages Paper No. 326, 5.
- [18] Lions J-L. Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. Paris: Dunod; Gauthier-Villars; 1969.
- [19] Weickert J. Anisotropic diffusion in image processing. Stuttgart: B. G. Teubner; 1998. (European consortium for mathematics in industry).
- [20] Antontsev SN, Díaz JI, Shmarev S. Applications to nonlinear PDEs and fluid mechanics. Energy methods for free boundary problems. Boston (MA): Birkhäuser Boston, Inc.; 2002. (Progress in nonlinear differential equations and their applications: vol. 48).

- [21] Leggat AR, El-Hadi Miri S. Anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity. Complex Var Elliptic Equ. 2016;61(4):496–509.
- [22] El-Hadi Miri S. On an anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity having variable exponent. Ric Mat. 2017;66(2):415-424.
- [23] Perera K, Silva EAB. On singular *p*-Laplacian problems. Differential Integral Equations. 2007;20(1):105–120.
- [24] Di Castro A. Elliptic problems for some anisotropic operators [PhD thesis]. Rome: University of Rome "Sapienza", a. y.; 2008/2009.
- [25] Di Castro A. Existence and regularity results for anisotropic elliptic problems. Adv Nonlinear Stud. 2009;9(2):367–393.
- [26] Kruzhkov SN, Kolodiĭ ĪM. On the theory of anisotropic Sobolev spaces. Uspekhi Mat Nauk. 1983;38(2(230)):207–208.
- [27] El Manouni S. Note on an anisotropic *p*-Laplacian equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Electron J Qual Theory Differ Equ. 2010;2010:pages No. 73, 9.
- [28] Trudinger NS. An imbedding theorem for  $H_0(G, \Omega)$  spaces. Studia Math. 1974;50:17–30.
- [29] Kinderlehrer D, Stampacchia G. An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications. New York-London: Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers]; 1980. (Pure and applied mathematics: vol. 88).
- [30] Peral. I. Multiplicity of solutions for the *p*-Laplacian, Lecture Notes at the Second School on Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations at ICTP, Trieste, 1997.