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# Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic $p$-Laplace equation with singular nonlinearities 
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## ABSTRACT

Let $p_{i} \geq 2$ and consider the following anisotropic $p$-Laplace equation

$$
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=g(x) f(u), \quad u>0 \text { in } \Omega
$$

Under suitable hypothesis on the weight function $g$ we present an existence result for $f(u)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$ in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega$ and nonexistence results for $f(u)=-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$ or $-\left(u^{-\delta}+u^{-\gamma}\right), \delta, \gamma>0$ with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

In this article we are interested in the question of existence of a weak solution to the following anisotropic $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=g(x)^{\frac{1}{u}}, \quad u>0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 3$ and $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative which is not identically zero.

Alongside we present nonexistence results concerning stable solutions to the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=g(x) f(u) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(u)$ is either $-\left(u^{-\delta}+u^{-\gamma}\right)$ with $\delta, \gamma>0$ or $-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$. The weight function $g \in$ $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is such that $g \geq c>0$ for some constant $c$.

[^0]Throughout the article, we assume that $p_{i} \geq 2$. If $p_{i}=2$ for all $i$ and $g \equiv 1$ Equation (2) becomes the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the nonlinearities in our consideration is singular in the sense that it blows up near the origin. Starting from the pioneering work of Crandall et al. [1] where the existence of a unique positive classical solution for $f(u)=u^{-\delta}$ with any $\delta>0$ has been proved for the problem (3) with zero Dirichlet boundary value. Lazer-McKenna [2] observed that the above classical solution is a weak solution in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ iff $0<\delta<3$. BoccardoOrsina [3] investigated the case of any $\delta>0$ concerning the existence of a weak solution in $H_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, Canino-Degiovanni [4] and Canino-Sciunzi [5] investigated the question of existence and uniqueness of solution for singular Laplace equations. Canino et al. [6] generalized the problem (3) to the following singular $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\frac{f(x)}{u^{\delta}} \text { in } \Omega, \quad u>0 \text { in } \Omega, u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain existence and uniqueness of weak solution for any $\delta>0$ under suitable hypothesis on $f$. For more details concerning singular problems reader can look at [7-9] and the references therein.

Farina [10] settled the question of nonexistence of stable solution for the Equation (3) with $f(u)=\mathrm{e}^{u}$. There is a huge literature in this direction for various type of nonlinearity $f(u)$, reader can look at the nice surveys $[11,12]$. For $f(u)=-u^{-\delta}$ with $\delta>0 \mathrm{Ma}$-Wei [13] proved that the Equation (3) does not admit any $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ stable solution provided

$$
2 \leq N<2+\frac{4}{1+\delta}\left(\delta+\sqrt{\delta^{2}+\delta}\right)
$$

Moreover many other qualitative properties of solutions has been obtained there. Consider the weighted $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=g(x) f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w=g=1$, Guo-Mei [14] showed nonexistence results in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for (5), provided $2 \leq p<N<\frac{p(p+3)}{p-1}$ and $\delta>q_{c}$ where

$$
q_{c}=\frac{(p-1)\left[(1-p) N^{2}+\left(p^{2}+2 p\right) N-p^{2}\right]-2 p^{2} \sqrt{(p-1)(N-1)}}{(N-p)[(p-1) N-p(p+3)]}
$$

By considering a more general weight $g \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $|g| \geq C|x|^{a}$ for large $|x|$, Chen et al. [15] proved nonexistence results for the Equation (5), provided $w=1$ and $2 \leq p<$ $N<\frac{p(p+3)+4 a}{p-1}$ and $\delta>q_{c}$ where

$$
q_{c}=\frac{2(N+a)(p+a)-(N-p)[(p-1)(N+a)-p-a]-\beta}{(N-p)[(p-1) N-p(p+3)]}
$$

for

$$
\beta=2(p+a) \sqrt{(p+a)\left(N+a+\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)}
$$

Recently this has been extended for a general weight function $w$ in [16, 17].

Our main motive in this article is to investigate such results in the framework of the anisotropic $p$-Laplace operator, which is non-homogeneous. Such operators appear in many physical phenomena, for example, it reflects anisotropic physical properties of some reinforced materials [18], appears in image processing [19], to study the dynamics of fluids in anisotropic media when the conductivities of the media are different in each direction [20]. The first part of this article is devoted to the existence of a weak solution for the anisotropic problem (1). Some recent works on singular anisotropic problems can be found in [21,22]. The singularity $\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$ is more singular in nature compared to $u^{-\delta}$ which protects one to obtain the uniform boundedness of $u_{n}$ as in [3]. We overcome this difficulty using the domain approximation method following [23]. In the second part we provide nonexistence results of stable solutions for the anisotropic $p$-Laplace equation (2) with the mixed singularities $-\left(u^{-\delta}+u^{-\gamma}\right)$ and $-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$. We employ the idea introduced in [10] to establish our main results stated in Section 2 for which Caccioppoli type estimates (see Section 5) will be the main ingredient. The main difficulty to obtain such estimates arises due to the nonhomogenity of the anisotropic $p$-Laplace operator which we overcome by choosing suitable test functions in the stability condition.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic results in the anisotropic Sobolev space.
Anisotropic Sobolev Space: Let $p_{i} \geq 2$ for all $i$, then for any domain $D$ define the anisotropic Sobolev space by

$$
W^{1, p_{i}}(D)=\left\{v \in W^{1,1}(D): \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{p_{i}}(D)\right\}
$$

and

$$
W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(D)=W^{1, p_{i}}(D) \cap W_{0}^{1,1}(D)
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(D)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}(D)}} .
$$

The space $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(D)$ is defined analogously.
We denote by $\bar{p}$ to be the harmonic mean of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}$ defined by

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{p}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}
$$

and

$$
\bar{p}^{*}=\frac{N \bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}
$$

The following Sobolev embedding theorem can be found in [24-26].

Theorem 2.1: For any bounded domain $\Omega$, the inclusion map

$$
W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r}(\Omega)
$$

is continuous for every $r \in\left[1, \bar{p}^{*}\right]$ if $\bar{p}<N$ and for every $r \geq 1$ if $\bar{p} \geq N$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C$ depending only on $\Omega$ such that for every $v \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$

$$
\|v\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p_{i}(\Omega)}}, \quad \forall r \in\left[1, \bar{p}^{*}\right]
$$

Weak Solution: We say that $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1) if $u>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and for every $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} \phi \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stable Solution: We say that $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a stable solution of the problem (2), if $u>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ such that both $g(x) f(u), g(x) f^{\prime}(u) \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) f(u) \varphi \mathrm{d} x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) f^{\prime}(u) \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(p_{i}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a general theory of anisotropic Sobolev space, we refer the reader to [24, 25, 27, 28].
Assumption and notation for the nonexistence results: We denote by $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for $N \geq 1$ and assume $2<p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \cdots \leq p_{N}$.

We will make use of the following truncated functions later. For $k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha>p_{N}-1$ and $t \geq 0$, define

$$
a_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} k^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\left(t+\frac{1+\alpha}{k(1-\alpha)}\right), & \text { if } 0 \leq t<\frac{1}{k} \\ t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}, & \text { if } t \geq \frac{1}{k}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}-\alpha k^{\alpha+1}\left(t-\frac{1+\alpha}{k \alpha}\right), & \text { if } 0 \leq t<\frac{1}{k} \\ t^{-\alpha}, & \text { if } t \geq \frac{1}{k}\end{cases}
$$

Then it can be easily verified that both $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ are positive $C^{1}[0, \infty)$ decreasing functions. Moreover, $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ satisfies the following properties:
(a)

$$
a_{k}(t)^{2} \geq t b_{k}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

(b)

$$
a_{k}(t)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2-p_{i}}+b_{k}(t)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{1-p_{i}} \leq C|t|^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}
$$

for some positive constant $C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, \alpha\right)$.
(c)

$$
a_{k}^{\prime}(t)^{2}=\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

The following notations will be used for the nonexistence results.
Notation: The Equation (2) will be denoted by (2) ${ }_{s}$ and (2) ${ }_{e}$ for $f(u)=-u^{-\delta}-u^{-\gamma}$ and $f(u)=-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$ respectively. Without loss of generality we assume $0<\delta \leq \gamma$.

We denote by $B_{r}(0)$ to be the ball centred at 0 with radius $r>0$.
We denote by $u_{i}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $q=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}}{N}$.
Denote by

$$
l_{1}=\frac{p_{N}-q}{2}, \quad l_{2}=\frac{2 \delta}{N(q-1)}-\frac{q-1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad l_{3}=\frac{2}{M N(q-1)}-\frac{q-1}{2} .
$$

We denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\frac{N(q-1)\left(p_{N}-1\right)}{4}, \infty\right), \\
& B=\left(0, \frac{4}{N(q-1)\left(p_{N}-1\right)}\right), \quad C=\left(0, \frac{4}{N(N-1)(q-1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
I=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} I_{i}
$$

where

$$
I_{i}=\left(\frac{N^{2}(q-1)\left(p_{i}-1\right)}{p_{i}(N(q-1)+4)-N^{2}(q-1)}, \infty\right)
$$

provided $p_{i}(N(q-1)+4)-N^{2}(q-1)>0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $J=B \cap C$.
We assume $\delta \in A$ and $M \in J$. Observe that $\delta \in A$ implies $l_{2}>l_{1}$ and $l_{2}>0$. Also $M \in J$ implies $l_{3}>l_{1}$ and $l_{3}>0$.

If $C$ depends on $\epsilon$ we denote by $C_{\epsilon}$ and if $C$ depends on $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}$ we denote it by $C\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)$.

Throughout this article $\psi_{R} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a test function such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \psi_{R} \leq 1 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad \psi_{R}=1 \text { in } B_{R}(0), \\
& \psi_{R}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{2 R}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\left|\nabla \psi_{R}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ (independent of $R$ ).

## 3. Main results

The main results of this article reads as follows:
Theorem 3.1: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded smooth domain, $N \geq 3$ and $p_{N} \geq \cdots p_{2} \geq p_{1} \geq$ 2. Then the problem (1) admits a weak solution $u$ in $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that ( $u-$ $\epsilon)^{+} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ for every $\epsilon>0$, provided
(a) $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ for some $m>\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}^{*}-\bar{p}}$ if $\bar{p}<N$ where $\bar{p}^{*} \geq p_{N}$.
(b) $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ for some $m>\frac{r}{r-p_{N}}$ if $\bar{p} \geq N$ where $r>p_{N}$.

Theorem 3.2: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be such that $0<u \leq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Assume that $1 \leq \delta<\gamma$ be such that $\delta \in A \cap I$. Then $u$ is not a stable solution to the problem (2) ${ }_{s}$.

Theorem 3.3: Let $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be such that $u \geq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Assume that $0<\delta<\gamma$ be such that $\delta \in A$ and $\gamma \in I \cap[1, \infty)$. Then $u$ is not a stable solution to the problem (2)s.

Theorem 3.4: Let $u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be such that $u>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Assume that $1 \leq \delta=\gamma \in$ $A \cap I$. Then $u$ is not a stable solution to the problem (2)s.

Theorem 3.5: Let $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be such that $0<u \leq M$ a.e in $\Omega$, provided $M \in J$. Then $u$ is not a stable solution to the Equation (2) ${ }_{e}$.

We present the proof of the above theorems in the following two sections.

## 4. Proof of existence results

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g_{n}(x)=\min \{g(x), n\}$ and consider the following approximated problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right)=g_{n}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u+\frac{1}{n}\right)}} \quad \text { in } \Omega . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1: Let
(1) $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ for some $m>\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}^{*}-\bar{p}}$ if $\bar{p}<N$ or
(2) $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ for some $m>\frac{r}{r-p_{N}}$ if $\bar{p} \geq N$ where $r>p_{N}$.

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the problem (9) has a positive solution $u_{n} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$. Moreover, one has
(i) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$ for some constant $C$ independent of $n$.
(ii) $u_{n+1} \geq u_{n}$ and each $u_{n}$ is unique.
(iii) there exists a positive constant $c_{\omega}>0$ such that for every $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$ we have $u_{n} \geq$ $c_{\omega}>0$.

Proof: Existence: Let $v \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ for some $r \geq 1$. Then the problem

$$
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right)=g_{n}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(|v|+\frac{1}{n}\right)}}
$$

has a unique solution $u=A(v) \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ since the r.h.s belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, see [25]. Choosing $u=A(v)$ as a test function and using Theorem 2.1 together with Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq C_{N}
$$

for some constant $C_{N}$ independent of $u$. Now arguing as in Lemma 2.1 of [21] gives the existence of $u_{n}$.
(i) (1) Let $\bar{p}<N$ and $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ for some $m>\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}^{*}-\bar{p}}$. Choosing $G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left(u_{n}-k\right)^{+}$ for $k>1$ as a test function in (9) we get

$$
\left\|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)} \leq e\left(\int_{\Omega} g\left|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 with $r=\bar{p}^{*}$ and Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\left\|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{p}^{*}}(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\int_{A(k)}|g|^{\bar{p}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}^{*}-1}{\bar{p}^{*}(\bar{p}-1)}}
$$

Now for $1<k<h$ denote by $A(h)=\{x \in \Omega: u(x)>h\}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h & -k)^{p_{i}}|A(h)|^{\frac{p_{i}}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{A(k)}\left|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{\bar{p}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}}{\bar{p}^{*}}} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{A(k)}|g|^{\bar{p}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{p_{i}\left(\bar{p}^{*}-1\right)}{\bar{p}^{*}\left(\overline{\left.p^{2}-1\right)}\right.}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using Hölder's inequality with exponents $q=\frac{m}{\bar{p}^{*^{\prime}}}$ and $q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$ we get

$$
(h-k)^{p_{i}}|A(h)|^{\frac{p_{i}}{p^{*}}} \leq c\|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_{i}}{\overline{p-1}}}|A(k)|^{\frac{p_{i}\left(\bar{p}^{*}-1\right)\left(m-\bar{p}^{*}\right)}{\bar{p}^{*} m(\bar{p}-1)}} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
|A(h)| \leq \frac{c\|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\overline{p-1}}}}{(h-k)^{\bar{p}^{*}}}|A(k)|^{\beta},
$$

where $\beta=\frac{\left(\bar{p}^{*}-1\right)\left(m-\bar{p}^{*}\right)}{m(\bar{p}-1)}>1$ since $m>\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{\bar{p}^{*}-\bar{p}}$. By Stampacchia's result [29] we get $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$ where $C$ is independent of $n$.
(2) Choosing $G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left(u_{n}-k\right)^{+}$as a test function in (9) and using Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\left\|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)} \leq e\|g\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}(A(k))}^{\frac{1}{p_{i}-1}}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{m}{r^{\prime}}$ and $\frac{m}{m-r^{\prime}}$ we get

$$
\left\|G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)} \leq c\|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p_{i}-1}}|A(k)|^{\frac{\left(m-r^{\prime}\right)}{m r^{\prime}\left(p_{i}-1\right)}}
$$

Now for $1<k<h$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h & -k)^{p_{i}}|A(h)|^{\frac{p_{i}}{r}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{A(h)}(u-k)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{p_{i}}{r}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{A(k)}(u-k)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{p_{i}}{r}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{i} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c\|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}^{\prime}}|A(k)|^{\frac{p_{i}\left(m-r^{\prime}\right)}{m r^{\prime}\left(p_{i}-1\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
|A(h)| \leq c \frac{\|g\|^{\frac{r}{p_{i}-1}}|A(k)|^{\gamma}}{(h-k)^{r}}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{r\left(m-r^{\prime}\right)}{m r^{\prime}\left(p_{i}-1\right)}>1$ since $m>\frac{r}{r-p_{N}}$. By Stampacchia's result [29] we get $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$ where $C$ is independent of $n$.
(ii) Let $u_{n}$ and $u_{n+1}$ satisfies the Equations (9). Then for every $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n}\right)_{i} \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} g_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left.u_{n}+\frac{1}{n}\right)}} \phi \mathrm{d} x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{i} \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} g_{n+1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left.\mathrm{e}_{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)}} \phi \mathrm{d} x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\phi=\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right)^{+}$as a test function and subtracting (10) and (11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n}\right)_{i}-\left|\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n+1}\right)_{i}\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right)_{i}^{+} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} g_{n+1}(x)\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n}+\frac{1}{n}\right)}}-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)}}\right\}\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right)_{i}^{+} \mathrm{d} x \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the algebraic inequality (Lemma A. 0.5 of [30]) we get for any $p_{i} \geq 2$

$$
\left\|\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right)^{+}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}=0 .
$$

Therefore (i) holds. The uniqueness follows similarly as in the monotonicity.
(iii) Observe that $u_{1} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by using (i). Hence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{1}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{1}\right)_{i} \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=g_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{1}+1\right)}} \geq g_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left\|u_{1}\right\| \infty+1}}
$$

Since $g$ is nonnegative and not identically zero, by the strong maximum principle (Theorem 3.18 of [24]) we get the property (iii).

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Let $\bar{p}<N$ such that $\bar{p}^{*} \geq p_{N}$ and $\Omega=\bigcup_{k} \Omega_{k}$ where $\Omega_{k} \subset \subset \Omega_{k+1}$ for each $k$. Let $\gamma_{k}=\inf _{\Omega_{k}} u_{n}>0$. Choosing $\phi=\left(u_{n}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{+}$as a test function in (9), using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>\gamma_{1}\right\}}\left|\left(u_{n}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=\int_{\left\{u_{n}>\gamma_{1}\right\}} g_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n}+\frac{1}{n}\right)}}\left(u_{n}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq c\|g\|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}\left\|\left(u_{n}-\gamma_{1}\right)^{+}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $n$. Using Lemma 4.1 and the fact

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\left\{u_{n}>\gamma_{1}\right\}\right)}
$$

we get the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and as a consequence of Theorem 2.1 it has a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}^{1}\right\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and almost everywhere in $\Omega_{1}$ to $u_{\Omega_{1}} \in W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, say.

Proceeding in the same way for any $k$, we obtain a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{l}}^{k}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n_{l}}^{k}$ converges weakly in $W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$, strongly in $L^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$ and almost everywhere to $u_{\Omega_{k}} \in$ $W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$. We may assume $u_{n_{l}}^{k+1}$ is a subsequence of $u_{n_{l}}^{k}$ for every $k$, and that $n_{k}^{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $u_{\Omega_{k+1}}=u_{\Omega_{k}}$ on $\Omega_{k}$. Define $u=u_{\Omega_{1}}$ and $u=u_{\Omega_{k+1}}$ on $\Omega_{k+1} \backslash \Omega_{k}$ for
each $k$. Therefore by our construction the diagonal subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}:=\left\{u_{n_{k}}^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$, strongly in $L^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$ and almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Now we claim that $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$. Let $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$. Let $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ in $\Omega, \phi=1$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ and let $k_{1} \geq 1$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset \Omega_{k_{1}}$. For every $k, m \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\left|\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}-\left|\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right)\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}-\left|\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right)\left(\phi\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right)\right)_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_{k_{1}}}\left\{\left(\left|\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}-\left|\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right) . \phi_{i}\right\}\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad:=A-B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the fact that $u_{n_{k}}$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1, p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k_{1}}\right)$ and converges strongly in $L^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{k_{1}}\right)$ implies $B \rightarrow 0$ as $k, m \rightarrow \infty$. Choosing $\psi=\phi\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right)$ and either $n=n_{k}$ or $n=n_{m}$ we get for $l=k, m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(u_{n_{l}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{l}}\right)_{i}\left(\phi\left(u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right)\right)_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \mid \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega_{k_{1}}} g_{n}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n_{l}}+\frac{1}{\left.n_{l}\right)}\right.}}\left|u_{n_{k}}-u_{n_{m}}\right| \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now Lemma 4.1, $g \in L^{m}(\Omega)$ and the strong convergence of $u_{n_{k}}$ gives $A \rightarrow 0$ as $k, m \rightarrow \infty$. Now the algebraic inequality (Lemma A.0.5 of [30]) gives

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}-\left(u_{n_{m}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore for any $\phi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{i} \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Lemma 4.1 and the fact $u_{n_{k}} \geq c_{\text {supp } \phi}>0$ gives

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} g_{n_{k}}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n_{k}}+\frac{1}{\left.n_{k}\right)}\right.}} \phi \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\overline{s u p p} \phi}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|g\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . . . . . .}
$$

By Lebesgue dominated theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g_{n_{k}}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\left(u_{n_{k}}+\frac{1}{n_{k}}\right)}} \phi \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} \phi \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Hence $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (7). Now observe that $\left(u_{n_{k}}-\epsilon\right)^{+}$ in bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ and it has a subsequence converges to $v$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$. Since
$u_{n_{k}}$ converges almost everywhere to $u$, we have $v=(u-\epsilon)^{+} \in W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$. The case $\bar{p} \geq N$ follows similarly using Theorem 2.1.

## 5. Proof of nonexistence results

To prove our main results we establish the following a priori estimate on the stable solution to the problem (2).

### 5.1. A priori estimate

Lemma 5.1: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution to either of the Equation (2)s or $(2)_{e}$ and $\alpha>p_{N}-1$ be fixed. Then for every $\epsilon \in(0, \alpha)$, there exists a positive constant $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, \alpha\right)$ such that for any nonnegative $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} g(x) u f^{\prime}(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

As a corollary of Lemma 5.1 we obtain the following Caccioppoli type estimates.
Corollary 5.2: Let $u \in W_{l o c}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution to the problem (2)s. Then the following holds:
(1) Assume that $0<u \leq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and $1 \leq \delta<\gamma$ be such that $\delta \in A \cap I$. Then for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$ such that for every $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{i}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \quad \theta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{\delta+p_{i}-1} .
$$

(2) Assume that $u \geq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and $0<\delta<\gamma$ be such that $\delta \in A$ and $\gamma \in I \cap[1, \infty)$. Then for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$ such that for every $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{i}=\frac{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \quad \zeta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1}{\gamma+p_{i}-1} .
$$

(3) Assume that $u>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and $1 \leq \delta=\gamma \in A \cap I$. Then for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$ such that for every $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{i}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \quad \theta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{\delta+p_{i}-1}
$$

Corollary 5.3: Let $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution to the problem (2) $)_{e}$ such that $0<u \leq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$ for some positive constant $M$. Then for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{3}\right)$ there exists a constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$ such that for every $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution to the Equation (2) and $\psi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ be nonnegative in $\Omega$. Then $u$ satisfies both the equations (7) and (8). We prove the lemma into the following two steps.

Step 1. Choosing $\phi=b_{k}(u) \psi^{q}$ as a test function in (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq q \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-1} b_{k}(u)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \psi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young's inequality with $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-1} b_{k}(u)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \psi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{1-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant depending $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q\right)$.
Therefore for $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{1-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. Choosing $\phi=a_{k}(u) \psi^{\frac{q}{2}}$ in the inequality (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x) f^{\prime}(u) a_{k}(u)^{2} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(X_{i}+\frac{q^{2}}{4} Y_{i}+q Z_{i}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{i}=\int_{\Omega}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad Y_{i}=\int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-2} a_{k}(u)^{2}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

and

$$
Z_{i}=\int_{\Omega}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right| a_{k}(u) \psi^{q-1}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right| \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Using (c) noting that

$$
X_{i}=\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u) \| u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

from the estimate (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}= & \frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)}\left\{C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{1-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using Young's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(p_{i}-1\right) \frac{q^{2}}{4} Y_{i} \\
&=\left(p_{i}-1\right) \frac{q^{2}}{4} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{q-2} a_{k}(u)^{2}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=\left(p_{i}-1\right) \frac{q^{2}}{4} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{\frac{2\left(p_{i}-2\right)}{p_{i}}} \psi^{\frac{q\left(p_{i}-2\right)}{p_{i}}}\right) \\
& \times\left(a_{k}(u)^{2}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{\frac{2\left(2-p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2} \psi^{\frac{2\left(q-p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2 N} X_{i}+\frac{C}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{i}\right. & -1) q Z_{i} \\
& =\left(p_{i}-1\right) q \int_{\Omega}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right| a_{k}(u) \psi^{q-1}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\left(p_{i}-1\right) q \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{\frac{2}{p_{i}}} \psi^{\frac{q}{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\right)\left(a_{k}(u)\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{\frac{2-p_{i}}{p_{i}}}|\psi|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2 N} X_{i}+\frac{C}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N\right)$.
Using the above estimates in (19) together with (a) and (b) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} g(x) u f^{\prime}(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} g(x) f^{\prime}(u) a_{k}(u)^{2} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(p_{i}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right) X_{i}+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\left(p_{1}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}+\left(p_{2}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}+\cdots+\left(p_{N}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \\
&+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=(N(q-1)+\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)}\left\{C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{1-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
&\left.-\int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left\{b_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|b_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{1-p_{i}}+a_{k}(u)^{p_{i}}\left|a_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2-p_{i}}\right\}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega} g(x) f(u) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \alpha\right)$.
Proof of Corollary 5.2: Let $u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution to the problem (2) ${ }_{s}$. Observe that the fact $\beta>l_{1}$ implies $\alpha=2 \beta+q-1>p_{N}-1$. Then by Lemma 5.1, using the fact $0<\delta \leq \gamma$ and $f(u)=-u^{-\delta}-u^{-\gamma}$ in the inequality (12), for some $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \alpha\right)$ we obtain

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(u^{-\delta}+u^{-\gamma}\right) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

where $\alpha_{\epsilon}=\delta-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)}$. Observe that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{\epsilon}=\delta-\frac{N(q-1)(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha}>0, \quad \forall \beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence we can fix $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ and choose $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{\epsilon}>0$. As a consequence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(u^{-\delta}+u^{-\gamma}\right) b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \alpha\right)$.
(1) Since $\delta<\gamma$ and $0<u \leq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$, for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ the inequality (20) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\delta} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2 \beta-\delta-q+1} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Replacing $\psi$ by $\psi \frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{q}$ and using the Young's inequality for $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ with the exponents $\theta_{i}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \theta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+\delta+q-1}{\delta+p_{i}-1}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q} \psi^{2 \beta+\delta+q-p_{i}-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}\right)\left(\psi^{\delta-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\theta_{i}^{\prime}}{\theta_{i}}} \psi^{(\delta-1) \theta_{i}^{\prime}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\delta \geq 1$ and choosing $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$ together with the fact $g \geq c$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x
$$

for some positive constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$.
(2) Since $\delta<\gamma$ and $u \geq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$, for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ the inequality (20) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\gamma} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2 \beta-\gamma-q+1} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Replacing $\psi$ by $\psi \frac{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1}{q}$ and using the Young's inequality for $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ with the exponents $\zeta_{i}=\frac{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \zeta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1}{\gamma+p_{i}-1}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q} \psi^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-p_{i}-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}\right)\left(\psi^{\gamma-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\zeta_{i}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{i}}} \psi^{(\gamma-1) \zeta_{i}^{\prime}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\gamma \geq 1$ and choosing $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$ together with the fact $g \geq c$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x
$$

for some positive constant $C=C\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \beta\right)$.
(3) Since $\delta=\gamma \geq 1$ and $u>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$, for any $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ the inequality (20) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-\delta} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Now proceeding similarly as in Case (1) we obtain the required estimate.

Proof of Corollary 5.3: Assume $M \in J$ and let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be such that $0<u \leq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$ is a positive stable solution of the Equation (2) $)_{e}$. Let $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{3}\right)$ and define $\alpha=2 \beta+$ $q-1$. Observe that the fact $\beta>l_{1}$ implies $\alpha>p_{N}-1$. Therefore we can apply Lemma 5.1 to choose $f(u)=-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}}$ and use the assumption $0<u \leq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$ in the estimate (12) and obtain

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-\alpha-1}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

for some positive constant $C=C_{\epsilon}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N, \alpha\right)$ where $\alpha_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{M}-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}(N(q-1)+\epsilon)}{4 \alpha(1-\epsilon)}$. Observe that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{M}-\frac{N(q-1)(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4 \alpha}>0, \quad \forall \beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{3}\right)
$$

Hence we can fix $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{3}\right)$ and choose $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{\epsilon}>0$. Using $\mathrm{e}^{x}>x$ for $x>0$, in the above estimate we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) \frac{1}{u} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \int_{\Omega} g(x) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{u}} b_{k}(u) \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

for some positive constant $C=C\left(\beta, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N\right)$. By the monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{-2 \beta-q} \psi^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} u^{p_{i}-2 \beta-q}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \psi^{q-p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Replacing $\psi$ by $\psi^{\frac{2 \beta+q}{q}}$ and using the Young's inequality for $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ with exponents $\gamma_{i}=$ $\frac{2 \beta+q}{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \beta+q}{p_{i}}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q-p_{i}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g^{-\frac{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}}{\gamma_{i}}}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using the fact that $g \geq c$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

for some positive constant $C=C\left(\beta, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}, q, N\right)$.

### 5.2. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 3.2: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a stable solution of the Equation (2) $)_{s}$ such that $0<u \leq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Choosing $\psi=\psi_{R}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}(0)} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} R^{N-p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C$ independent of $R$. Observe that,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow l_{2}}\left(N-p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}\right)=N-\frac{p_{i}\left(2 l_{2}+\delta+q-1\right)}{\delta+p_{i}-1}<0
$$

which follows from the assumption $\delta \in I$, since

$$
\delta>\frac{N^{2}(q-1)\left(p_{i}-1\right)}{p_{i}(N(q-1)+4)-N^{2}(q-1)} \quad \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

As a consequence, we can choose $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, such that $N-p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}<0$ for all $i$. Therefore, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (21), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 3.3: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a stable solution of the Equation (2) ${ }_{s}$ such that $u \geq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Choosing $\psi=\psi_{R}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}(0)} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} R^{N-p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C$ independent of $R$. Observe that,

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow l_{2}}\left(N-p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}\right)=N-\frac{p_{i}\left(2 l_{2}+\gamma+q-1\right)}{\delta+p_{i}-1}<0
$$

which follows from the assumption $\gamma \in I$, since $\gamma>\frac{N^{2}(q-1)\left(p_{i}-1\right)}{p_{i}(N(q-1)+4)-N^{2}(q-1)}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. As a consequence, we can choose $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, such that $N-p_{i} \zeta_{i}^{\prime}<0$ for all $i$.

Therefore, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (22), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\gamma+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 3.4: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a positive stable solution of the Equation (2) . Then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{p_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Now proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.2 we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+\delta+q-1} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 3.5: Let $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ be a stable solution to the problem (2) $)_{e}$ such that $0<u \leq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then by Corollary 5.3 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{\psi}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{i}\right|^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Choosing $\psi=\psi_{R}$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}(0)} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C R^{N-2 \beta-q}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $R$. Observe that, since $M \in J$ we have $0<$ $M<\frac{4}{N(N-1)(q-1)}$ which implies $N<2 l_{3}+q$ and hence

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow l_{3}}(N-2 \beta-q)=N-2 l_{3}-q<0 .
$$

As a consequence, we can choose $\beta \in\left(l_{1}, l_{3}\right)$ such that $N-2 \beta-q<0$.
Therefore, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (23), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(x)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{2 \beta+q} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the Theorem follows.
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