



Gradual supermagic graphs

Jaroslav Ivančo

To cite this article: Jaroslav Ivančo (2020) Gradual supermagic graphs, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, 17:1, 220-228, DOI: [10.1016/j.akcej.2019.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.05.003)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.05.003>



© 2018 Kalasalingam University. Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



Published online: 04 Jun 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 94



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Gradual supermagic graphs

Jaroslav Ivančo

Institute of Mathematics, P. J. Šafárik University, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

Received 18 June 2018; received in revised form 15 May 2019; accepted 16 May 2019

Abstract

A graph is called supermagic if it admits a labeling of the edges by pairwise different consecutive positive integers such that the sum of the labels of the edges incident with a vertex is independent of the particular vertex. In the paper we deal with special supermagic labelings of regular graphs and their using to construction of supermagic labelings of disconnected graphs.

Keywords: Gradual labeling; Supermagic graphs; Degree-magic graphs

1. Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops, multiple edges and isolated vertices. If G is a graph, then $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ stand for the vertex set and edge set of G , respectively. Cardinalities of these sets are called the *order* and *size* of G . The union of two disjoint graphs G and H is denoted by $G \cup H$ and the union of m disjoint copies of a graph G is denoted by mG . For integers p, q we denote by $[p, q]$ the set of all integers z satisfying $p \leq z \leq q$.

Let a graph G and a mapping f from $E(G)$ into positive integers be given. The *index-mapping* of f is the mapping f^* from $V(G)$ into positive integers defined by

$$f^*(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) \quad \text{for every } v \in V(G).$$

An injective mapping f from $E(G)$ into positive integers is called a *magic labeling* of G for an *index* λ if its index-mapping f^* satisfies

$$f^*(v) = \lambda \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G).$$

A magic labeling f of G is called a *supermagic labeling* if the set $\{f(e) : e \in E(G)\}$ consists of consecutive positive integers. We say that a graph G is *supermagic (magic)* whenever there exists a supermagic (magic) labeling of G .

A bijective mapping f from $E(G)$ to $[1, |E(G)|]$ is called a *degree-magic labeling* (or only *d-magic labeling*) of a graph G if its index-mapping f^* satisfies

$$f^*(v) = \frac{1 + |E(G)|}{2} \deg(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G).$$

Peer review under responsibility of Kalasalingam University.
E-mail address: jaroslav.ivanco@upjs.sk.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.05.003>

© 2018 Kalasalingam University. Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A d -magic labeling f of G is called *balanced* if for all $v \in V(G)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{uv \in E(G) : f(uv) \leq \lfloor |E(G)|/2 \rfloor\}| \\ & = |\{uv \in E(G) : f(uv) > \lfloor |E(G)|/2 \rfloor\}|. \end{aligned}$$

We say that a graph G is *degree-magic (balanced degree-magic)* (or only *d -magic*) when there exists a d -magic (balanced d -magic) labeling of G .

The concept of magic graphs was introduced by Sedláček [1]. Supermagic graphs were introduced by M. B. Stewart [2]. There is by now a considerable number of papers published on magic and supermagic graphs; we single out [3–8] as being more particularly relevant to the present paper, and refer the reader to [9] for comprehensive references. The concept of degree-magic graphs was introduced in [10]. Some properties of degree-magic graphs and characterizations of some classes of degree-magic and balanced degree-magic graphs were described in [10–15]. Clearly, any degree-magic labeling of a regular graph is supermagic. Nay, degree-magic graphs extend supermagic regular graphs because the following result holds.

Proposition 1 ([10]). *Let G be a regular graph. Then G is supermagic if and only if it is degree-magic.*

In the paper we deal with special degree-magic labelings of graphs. Inter alia we describe a construction of supermagic labeling of the disjoint union of graphs admitting such special labelings.

2. Gradual labelings

A spanning subgraph H of a graph G is called a *proportional factor* of G whenever $|E(G)| \deg_H(v) = |E(H)| \deg_G(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. For a positive integer q , $q \geq 2$, a proportional factor H of a graph G is called a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G when $|E(H)| = |E(G)|/q$ (i.e., $\deg_H(v) = \deg_G(v)/q$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$). For conciseness, we will denote by $\mathcal{F}(q)$ the family of all graphs G whose edge set can be decomposed into q pairwise disjoint subsets each of which induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G . A bijection f from $E(G)$ onto $[1, |E(G)|]$ is called *q -gradual* if the set

$$F_q(f; i) := \{e \in E(G) : (i - 1)|E(G)|/q < f(e) \leq i|E(G)|/q\}$$

induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G for each $i \in [1, q]$. Evidently, G admits a q -gradual bijection if and only if $G \in \mathcal{F}(q)$.

We say that a graph G is *q -gradual d -magic (q -gradual supermagic)* when there exists a q -gradual d -magic (a q -gradual supermagic) labeling of G . The concept of gradual labelings was introduced in [6], where supermagic labelings of generalized double graphs were constructed using some gradual labelings.

The family of all q -gradual d -magic graphs we will denote by $\mathcal{G}(q)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}(2)$ is the family of all balanced d -magic graphs and $\mathcal{G}(q) \subset \mathcal{F}(q)$ for every $q \geq 2$. Moreover, we have

Theorem 1. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let q be a divisor of a positive integer k . Then $\mathcal{G}(k) \subset \mathcal{G}(q)$.*
- (ii) *Let G be a graph obtained from a graph H by an identification of two vertices whose distance is at least three. If $H \in \mathcal{G}(q)$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}(q)$.*
- (iii) *Let H_1, \dots, H_k be pairwise edge-disjoint proportional factors of a graph G which form its decomposition. Let $H_j \in \mathcal{G}(q_j)$ for $j \in [1, k]$. If there is an integer m such that $|E(H_j)|/q_j = m$ for each $j \in [1, k]$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}(q)$, where $q = \sum_{j=1}^k q_j$.*

Proof. (i) As q is a divisor of k , there is a positive integer s such that $k = sq$. Let G be a graph belonging to $\mathcal{G}(k)$. Then there is a k -gradual d -magic labeling f of G . Therefore, $F_k(f; i)$ induces a $\frac{1}{k}$ -factor of G for each $i \in [1, k]$. Moreover, $F_q(f; j) = \cup_{i=(j-1)s+1}^{js} F_k(f; i)$ for every $j \in [1, q]$. So $F_q(f; j)$ induces a subgraph of G in which every vertex v has degree $s \frac{1}{k} \deg_G(v) = \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(v)$. This means that f is q -gradual. Thus, $G \in \mathcal{G}(q)$.

(ii) Let f be a q -gradual d -magic labeling of H . Let u and v be two vertices of H such that the distance between them is at least three. Let G be a graph obtained from H by an identification of vertices u and v , and let w denote the vertex of G obtained by the identification. Thus, we can assume that H and G have the same edges.

However, if an edge e is incident with u or v in H then e is incident with w in G . Now it is easy to see that the mapping g from $E(G)$ into integers given by $g(e) := f(e)$ is a desired q -gradual d -magic labeling of G .

(iii) As $|E(H_j)| = q_j m$ for each $j \in [1, k]$,

$$|E(G)| = \sum_{j=1}^k |E(H_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^k q_j m = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k q_j \right) m = qm.$$

Thus, $\deg_{H_j}(v) = |E(H_j)| \deg_G(v) / |E(G)| = q_j \deg_G(v) / q$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. As H_j belongs to $\mathcal{G}(q_j)$, there is a q_j -gradual d -magic labeling f_j of H_j . For any vertex $v \in V(G)$ we have

$$f_j^*(v) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + |E(H_j)|) \deg_{H_j}(v) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + q_j m) \frac{q_j}{q} \deg_G(v).$$

Moreover, the set $F_{q_j}(f_j; i)$ induces a $\frac{1}{q_j}$ -factor of H_j for each $i \in [1, q_j]$. This means that any vertex $v \in V(G)$ has degree $\deg_{H_j}(v) / q_j$ in the induced subgraph. However, $\deg_{H_j}(v) / q_j = \deg_G(v) / q$ and so the set $F_{q_j}(f_j; i)$ induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G for each $i \in [1, q_j]$.

Now consider the mapping g from $E(G)$ into the set of positive integers given by

$$g(e) = f_j(e) + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |E(H_i)| \quad \text{when } e \in E(H_j).$$

Clearly, g is a bijection from $E(G)$ onto $[1, |E(G)|]$. Moreover, for every vertex $w \in V(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(w) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(f_j^*(w) + \deg_{H_j}(w) \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |E(H_i)| \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + q_j m) \frac{q_j}{q} \deg_G(w) + \frac{q_j}{q} \deg_G(w) \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i m \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(q_j + q_j^2 m + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i q_j m \right) \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k q_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(q_j^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i q_j \right) m \right) \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k q_j + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k q_i q_j \right) m \right) \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k q_j + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k q_j \right)^2 m \right) \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (q + q^2 m) \frac{1}{q} \deg_G(w) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + qm) \deg_G(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + |E(G)|) \deg_G(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, g is a degree-magic labeling of G .

For any $t \in [1, q]$ there is $j \in [1, k]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i < t \leq \sum_{i=1}^j q_i$. Then $r := t - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i$ belongs to $[1, q_j]$ and $F_q(g; t) = F_{q_j}(f_j; r)$. Thus, $F_q(g; t)$ induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G for each $t \in [1, q]$, i.e., g is a q -gradual d -magic labeling of G . \square

Theorem 2. A graph G is q -gradual d -magic if and only if there exist a mapping φ from $E(G)$ onto $[1, |E(G)|/q]$ and a decomposition of $E(G)$ into pairwise disjoint subsets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q with the following properties

- each X_i induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G ,
- $\varphi(X_i) = [1, |E(G)|/q]$ for each i ,
- $\varphi^*(v) = \frac{1+|E(G)|/q}{2} \deg(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Proof. First suppose that G is a q -gradual d -magic graph. Then there is a q -gradual d -magic labeling f of G . For any $j \in [1, q]$, put $X_j = F_q(f; j)$ and define a mapping φ from $E(G)$ into the set of integers by

$$\varphi(e) = f(e) - (j - 1)|E(G)|/q \quad \text{when } e \in X_j.$$

As f is a q -gradual labeling, each X_j induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G and consequently $\varphi(X_j) = [1, |E(G)|/q]$. Moreover, for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(v) &= f^*(v) - \sum_{j=1}^q (j - 1)(|E(G)|/q)(\deg(v)/q) \\ &= f^*(v) - (0 + 1 + \dots + q - 1)|E(G)| \deg(v)/q^2 \\ &= \frac{1 + |E(G)|}{2} \deg(v) - \frac{(q - 1)|E(G)|}{2} \deg(v)/q \\ &= \frac{1 + |E(G)|/q}{2} \deg_G(v). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, assume that φ is a mapping from $E(G)$ onto the set $[1, |E(G)|/q]$ and that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q is a decomposition of $E(G)$ into pairwise disjoint subsets with the considered properties. Define a mapping f from $E(G)$ into the set of integers by

$$f(e) = \varphi(e) + (j - 1)|E(G)|/q \quad \text{when } e \in X_j.$$

It is easy to see that f is a bijection onto $[1, |E(G)|]$. Similarly, for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(v) &= \varphi^*(v) + \sum_{j=1}^q (j - 1)(|E(G)|/q)(\deg(v)/q) \\ &= \varphi^*(v) + (0 + 1 + \dots + q - 1)|E(G)| \deg(v)/q^2 \\ &= \frac{1 + |E(G)|/q}{2} \deg(v) + \frac{(q - 1)|E(G)|}{2} \deg(v)/q \\ &= \frac{1 + |E(G)|}{2} \deg_G(v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is a d -magic labeling of G . Moreover, the set $F_q(f; j) = X_j$ induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G , i.e., f is q -gradual. \square

3. Complete graphs

A complete k -partite graph is a graph whose vertices can be partitioned into k ($k \geq 2$) disjoint classes V_1, \dots, V_k such that two vertices are adjacent whenever they belong to distinct classes. If $|V_i| = n$, for each $i \in [1, k]$, then the complete k -partite graph is denoted by $K_{k[n]}$. The complete graph $K_{k[1]}$ is usually denoted by K_k and the complete bipartite graph $K_{2[n]}$ is mostly denoted by $K_{n,n}$.

For any graph G we define a graph G^{\bowtie} by $V(G^{\bowtie}) = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} \{v^0, v^1\}$ and $E(G^{\bowtie}) = \bigcup_{vu \in E(G)} \{v^0u^1, v^1u^0\} \cup \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} \{v^0v^1\}$. It is easy to see that G^{\bowtie} is a generalized double graph denoted by $D(G; \emptyset, V(G))$ in [6]. Therein there was also proved the following result.

Proposition 2 ([6]). *Let G be a $2r$ -regular Hamiltonian graph of odd order. Then G^{\bowtie} is a $(2r + 1)$ -gradual supermagic graph.*

As K_n^{\bowtie} is isomorphic to $K_{n,n}$, we immediately have

Corollary 1. *The complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ is n -gradual supermagic for every odd integer $n \geq 3$.*

The complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ is balanced d -magic (i.e., 2-gradual supermagic) for every even integer $n \geq 4$ (see [10]). Similarly, the complete graph K_{4n+1} is 2-gradual supermagic for every integer $n \geq 2$ (see [11]) and K_{4n} is not supermagic for every integer $n \geq 1$ (see [16]). Using Theorem 2, we obtain similar results for other complete graphs.

Theorem 3. *The complete graph K_{2n} is $(2n - 1)$ -gradual supermagic for every odd integer $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Denote the vertices of K_{2n} by $w, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-2}$ and for every $k \in [0, 2n - 2]$ define the set of edges $X_k = \{wv_k\} \cup \{v_{k-i}v_{k+i} : i \in [1, n - 1]\}$, the indices being taken modulo $2n - 1$. It is easy to see that $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2n-2}$ form a decomposition of $E(K_{2n})$ and each X_k is a perfect matching (i.e., induces a $\frac{1}{2n-1}$ -factor) of K_{2n} . Now consider a mapping φ from $E(K_{2n})$ into the set of positive integers given by

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } e = v_{k-i}v_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n - 2], 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } e = wv_k, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n - 2], \\ 1 + i & \text{if } e = v_{k-i}v_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n - 2], \frac{n+1}{2} \leq i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Evidently, $\varphi(X_k) = [1, n]$. Each vertex $v_j, j \in [0, 2n - 2]$, is incident with two edges of type $v_{k-i}v_{k+i}$ ($v_{j-2i}v_j, v_jv_{j+2i}$) for each $i \in [1, n - 1]$ and with one edge of type wv_k (wv_j). Thus, we have

$$\varphi^*(v_j) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n i - \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(1+n)(2n-1)$$

Any edge incident with w is of type wv_k , so $\varphi^*(w) = \frac{1}{2}(1+n)(2n-1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2, K_{2n} is a $(2n - 1)$ -gradual supermagic graph. \square

Theorem 4. *The complete graph K_{4n+3} is $(2n + 1)$ -gradual supermagic for every positive integer n .*

Proof. Denote the vertices of K_{4n+3} by $w, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n}, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2n}$ and for every $k \in [0, 2n]$ define the set of edges

$$X_k = \{v_k u_k, wu_k, wv_k\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{v_{k-i}v_{k+i}, u_{k-i}v_{k+i}, u_{k-i}u_{k+i}, v_{k-i}u_{k+i}\},$$

the indices being taken modulo $2n + 1$. It is not difficult to see that the sets X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2n} form a decomposition of $E(K_{4n+3})$ and each X_k induces a 2-regular spanning subgraph (i.e., a $\frac{1}{2n+1}$ -factor) of K_{4n+3} isomorphic to $K_3 \cup nK_{2,2}$. Now consider a mapping φ from $E(K_{4n+3})$ into positive integers given by

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = v_k u_k, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], \\ 2 & \text{if } e = wu_k, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], \\ 4n + 2 & \text{if } e = wv_k, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], \\ 3i & \text{if } e = v_{k-i}v_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], i \in [1, n], \\ 3i + 1 & \text{if } e = u_{k-i}v_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], i \in [1, n], \\ 3i + 2 & \text{if } e = u_{k-i}u_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], i \in [1, n], \\ 3n + 2 + i & \text{if } e = v_{k-i}u_{k+i}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n], i \in [1, n - 1], \\ 4n + 3 & \text{if } e = v_{k-n}u_{k+n}, \text{ for } k \in [0, 2n]. \end{cases}$$

Evidently, $\varphi(X_k) = [1, 4n + 3]$. Each vertex $v_j, j \in [0, 2n]$, is incident with two edges of type $v_{k-i}v_{k+i}$ ($v_{j-2i}v_j, v_jv_{j+2i}$) for each $i \in [1, n]$, with one edge of type $u_{k-i}v_{k+i}$ ($u_{j-2i}v_j$) for each $i \in [1, n]$, with one edge of type $v_{k-i}u_{k+i}$ (v_ju_{j+2i}) for each $i \in [1, n]$, with one edge of type $v_k u_k$ ($v_j u_j$), and with one edge of type wv_k (wv_j). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(v_j) &= 1 + (4n + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (2 \cdot 3i + (3i + 1)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (3n + 2 + i) + (4n + 3) \\ &= 8n^2 + 12n + 4 = (1 + (4n + 3))(4n + 2)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for every vertex u_j , $j \in [0, 2n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(u_j) &= 1 + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n ((3i + 1) + 2 \cdot (3i + 2)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (3n + 2 + i) + (4n + 3) \\ &= 8n^2 + 12n + 4 = (1 + (4n + 3))(4n + 2)/2 \end{aligned}$$

The vertex w is incident with $(2n + 1)$ edges of type wu_k and with $(2n + 1)$ edges of type wv_k . Thus

$$\varphi^*(w) = (2 + (4n + 2))(2n + 1) = (1 + (4n + 3))(4n + 2)/2.$$

Therefore, by [Theorem 2](#), K_{4n+3} is a $(2n + 1)$ -gradual supermagic graph. \square

4. Union of graphs

M. Doob [3] proved that a regular graph of degree $d \geq 3$ with connected components G_1, \dots, G_n is magic if and only if G_i is magic for each i . A similar characterization of all disconnected magic graphs was given by R.H. Jeurissen [4]. For supermagic graphs the following holds:

Proposition 3 ([5]). *Let G be a kr -regular supermagic graph which can be decomposed into k pairwise edge-disjoint r -regular spanning subgraphs. Then the following statements hold:*

- if k is even, then mG is supermagic for every positive integer m ,
- if k is odd, then mG is supermagic for every odd positive integer m .

A similar result for k -regular supermagic k -edge-colorable graphs (they admit a decomposition into k 1-regular spanning subgraphs) was presented in [7]. For degree-magic graphs we have

Proposition 4 ([10]). *Let H_1 and H_2 be edge-disjoint subgraphs of a graph G which form its decomposition. If H_1 is d -magic and H_2 is balanced d -magic then G is a d -magic graph. Moreover, if H_1 and H_2 are both balanced d -magic then G is a balanced d -magic graph.*

Evidently, if G is an r -regular balanced d -magic (and so supermagic) graph and H is an r -regular supermagic graph then $G \cup H$ is a supermagic graph. Therefore, we have a technique for constructing supermagic labeling of the disjoint union of some supermagic regular graphs. However, all balanced d -magic graphs are of even size, thus this method cannot be used for graphs of odd size.

In this section we introduce a construction of d -magic (supermagic, for regular graphs) labeling of the disjoint union of q -gradual d -magic graphs which is usable for graphs of odd size. For the family $\mathcal{G}(q)$ we have

Theorem 5. *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}(q)$, $q \geq 2$, be a d -magic graph. Then the graph qG belongs to $\mathcal{G}(q)$.*

Proof. As G is d -magic, there is a d -magic labeling f of G . As $G \in \mathcal{F}(q)$, there is a decomposition of the edge set of G into pairwise disjoint subsets Y^1, Y^2, \dots, Y^q such that Y^i induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G for each $i \in [1, q]$. For $j \in [1, q]$, let G_j be a copy of G and let e_j (v_j) be its edge (vertex) corresponding to $e \in E(G)$ ($v \in V(G)$). Suppose that $qG = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_q$.

For $i, j \in [1, q]$, denote by Y_j^i the set $\{e_j \in E(G_j) : e \in Y^i\}$. Clearly, Y_j^i induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G_j for each $i \in [1, q]$. For any $k \in [1, q]$ set

$$X_k = \{e_j \in E(qG) : e \in Y^i \text{ when } i + j \equiv k \pmod{q}\}.$$

Evidently, for any $j \in [1, q]$ there exists unique $i \in [1, q]$ such that $X_k \cap E(G_j) = Y_j^i$. Similarly, for any $e \in E(G)$ there exists unique $j \in [1, q]$ such that $e_j \in X_k$. Therefore, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q form a decomposition of $E(qG)$ and each X_k induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of qG . Now consider a mapping φ from $E(qG)$ into positive integers given by

$$\varphi(e_j) = f(e)$$

Clearly, $\varphi(X_k) = [1, |E(G)|]$ and for every vertex v_j , we have

$$\varphi^*(v_j) = f^*(v) = \frac{1 + |E(G)|}{2} \deg_G(v) = \frac{1 + |E(qG)|/q}{2} \deg_{qG}(v_j).$$

Thus, by [Theorem 2](#), qG is a q -gradual d -magic graph. \square

Theorem 6. *Let m and q be odd integers, $m \geq 3$, $q \geq 3$. If G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m are q -gradual d -magic graphs of the same size, then $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_m \in \mathcal{G}(q)$.*

Proof. First consider a mapping r from $[1, m] \times [1, q]$ to integers defined by

$$r(i, j) = \begin{cases} i - 1 & \text{for } j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } j < q, \\ m - i & \text{for } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } j < q - 1, \\ i + (m - 3)/2 & \text{for } j = q - 1 \text{ and } i \leq (m + 1)/2, \\ i - (m + 3)/2 & \text{for } j = q - 1 \text{ and } i > (m + 1)/2, \\ m - 2i + 1 & \text{for } j = q \text{ and } i \leq (m + 1)/2, \\ 2m - 2i + 1 & \text{for } j = q \text{ and } i > (m + 1)/2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\{r(1, j), r(2, j), \dots, r(m, j)\} = [0, m - 1]$, for each $j \in [1, q]$, and $r(i, 1) + r(i, 2) + \dots + r(i, q) = q(m - 1)/2$, for each $i \in [1, m]$.

Let ε denote the size of G_i for each $i \in [1, m]$. Since $G_i \in \mathcal{G}(q)$, there is a q -gradual d -magic labeling f_i of G_i . Set $H = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_m$ and define a mapping h from $E(H)$ into integers by

$$h(e) = f_i(e) + (r(i, j) + (j - 1)(m - 1))\varepsilon/q \quad \text{when } e \in F_q(f_i; j).$$

Since $f_i(F_q(f_i; j)) = [1 + (j - 1)\varepsilon/q, \varepsilon/q + (j - 1)\varepsilon/q]$,

$$h(F_q(f_i; j)) = [1 + ((j - 1)m + r(i, j))\varepsilon/q, \varepsilon/q + ((j - 1)m + r(i, j))\varepsilon/q].$$

As $\cup_{i=1}^m r(i, j) = [0, m - 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\cup_{i=1}^m F_q(f_i; j)) &= [1 + ((j - 1)m + 0)\varepsilon/q, \varepsilon/q + ((j - 1)m + 0)\varepsilon/q] \\ &\cup [1 + ((j - 1)m + 1)\varepsilon/q, \varepsilon/q + ((j - 1)m + 1)\varepsilon/q] \\ &\dots \\ &\cup [1 + ((j - 1)m + m - 1)\varepsilon/q, \varepsilon/q + ((j - 1)m + m - 1)\varepsilon/q] \\ &= [1 + (j - 1)m\varepsilon/q, m\varepsilon/q + (j - 1)m\varepsilon/q]. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that h is a bijection onto $[1, |E(H)|]$ and that

$$\cup_{i=1}^m F_q(f_i; j) = F_q(h; j).$$

As $F_q(f_i; j)$ induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G_i , the set $F_q(h; j)$ induces a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of H for each $j \in [1, q]$. Moreover, for any vertex $v \in V(G_i)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(v) &= \sum_{uv \in E(H)} h(uv) = \sum_{uv \in E(G_i)} h(uv) = \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{uv \in F_q(f_i; j)} h(uv) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{uv \in F_q(f_i; j)} (f_i(uv) + (r(i, j) + (j - 1)(m - 1))\varepsilon/q) \\ &= f_i^*(v) + \sum_{j=1}^q ((r(i, j) + (j - 1)(m - 1))\varepsilon/q) \deg_{G_i}(v)/q \\ &= f_i^*(v) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^q r(i, j) + \sum_{j=1}^q (j - 1)(m - 1) \right) \varepsilon \deg_{G_i}(v)/q^2 \\ &= f_i^*(v) + (q(m - 1)/2 + q(q - 1)(m - 1)/2)\varepsilon \deg_{G_i}(v)/q^2 \\ &= f_i^*(v) + (m - 1)\varepsilon \deg_{G_i}(v)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_i^*(v) = (1 + \varepsilon) \deg_{G_i}(v)/2$, $\deg_{G_i}(v) = \deg_H(v)$, $|E(H)| = m\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$h^*(v) = (1 + m\varepsilon) \deg_{G_i}(v)/2 = \frac{1 + |E(H)|}{2} \deg_H(v).$$

Therefore, h is a q -gradual d -magic labeling of H . \square

Corollary 2. *Let m and q be odd integers, $m \geq 3$, $q \geq 3$. For $i \in [1, m]$, let $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(q)$ be a supermagic r -regular graph. Let ε be a common multiple of integers $|E(G_1)|, |E(G_2)|, \dots, |E(G_m)|$. If $\varepsilon_i := \varepsilon/|E(G_i)|$ is an odd integer for each $i \in [1, m]$, then the graph $\varepsilon_1 q G_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 q G_2 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_m q G_m$ is q -gradual supermagic.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, G_i is a d -magic graph for each $i \in [1, m]$. According to Theorem 5, qG_i belongs to $\mathcal{G}(q)$. As ε_i is odd, $\varepsilon_i q G_i$ is a q -gradual d -magic graph of size εq and consequently $G = \varepsilon_1 q G_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 q G_2 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_m q G_m \in \mathcal{G}(q)$. Since G is a d -magic r -regular graph, it is supermagic. \square

As any regular graph of even degree contains a 2-regular spanning subgraph, any $2r$ -regular graph belongs to $\mathcal{F}(r)$. Thus, we immediately have

Corollary 3. *Let m and q be odd integers, $m \geq 3$, $q \geq 3$. For $i \in [1, m]$, let G_i be a supermagic $2r$ -regular graph. Let ε be a common multiple of integers $|E(G_1)|, |E(G_2)|, \dots, |E(G_m)|$. If $\varepsilon_i := \varepsilon/|E(G_i)|$ is an odd integer for each $i \in [1, m]$, then the graph $\varepsilon_1 q G_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 q G_2 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_m q G_m$ is q -gradual supermagic.*

Let G be a graph and let n be a positive integer. Denote the lexicographic product of G and a totally disconnected graph of order n by $G^{(n)}$. Thus, the vertices of $G^{(n)}$ are all ordered pairs (v, i) , where v is a vertex of G , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and two vertices $(u, i), (v, j)$ are joined by an edge in $G^{(n)}$ if and only if u, v are adjacent in G .

Theorem 7. *Let G be a graph of odd size. Then the graph $G^{(n)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}(n)$ for every odd integer n , $n \geq 3$. Moreover, if $G \in \mathcal{F}(q)$, then $G^{(n)} \in \mathcal{G}(qn)$.*

Proof. For any edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, let $G_e^{(n)}$ be a subgraph of $G^{(n)}$ induced by $\{(u, i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{(v, j) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Evidently, $G_e^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to $K_{n,n}$. According to Corollary 1, it is n -gradual d -magic. Then the disjoint union $\cup_{e \in E(G)} G_e^{(n)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}(n)$ because of Theorem 6. The graph $G^{(n)}$ is decomposed into edge-disjoint subgraphs $G_e^{(n)}$ for all $e \in E(G)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1 (multiple using (ii)), $G^{(n)} \in \mathcal{G}(n)$.

Now suppose that $G \in \mathcal{F}(q)$. Then there is a decomposition of $E(G)$ into pairwise disjoint subsets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q such that the subgraph S_i induced by X_i is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -factor of G for each $i \in [1, q]$. As G is a graph of odd size, q and $|X_i| = |E(G)|/q$ are odd integers. For each $i \in [1, q]$, let H_i be the subgraph of $G^{(n)}$ induced by $\cup_{e \in X_i} E(G_e^{(n)})$. Clearly, H_i is isomorphic to $S_i^{(n)}$. Since $S_i^{(n)} \in \mathcal{G}(n)$, H_i is an n -gradual d -magic spanning subgraph of $G^{(n)}$. Moreover, $\deg_{H_i}(v) = \frac{1}{q} \deg_{G^{(n)}}(v) = \frac{n}{nq} \deg_{G^{(n)}}(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V(G^{(n)})$. Thus, according to Theorem 1 (statement (iii)), $G^{(n)}$ is a qn -gradual d -magic graph. \square

For regular graphs we immediately obtain

Corollary 4. *Let G be a regular graph of odd size. Then the graph $G^{(n)}$ is n -gradual supermagic for any odd integer n , $n \geq 3$.*

Corollary 5. *Let r, v , and m be odd positive integers. For each $i \in [1, m]$, let r_i and n_i be divisors of r such that $r_i \cdot n_i = r$ and $n_i > 1$. Suppose that G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m are graphs satisfying one of the following conditions*

- $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(r_i)$ is an r_i -regular graph of order $2vr_i$ for all i ,
- G_i is a $2r_i$ -regular graph of order vr_i for all i .

Then the graph $G_1^{(n_1)} \cup G_2^{(n_2)} \cup \dots \cup G_m^{(n_m)}$ is r -gradual supermagic.

Proof. For each $i \in [1, m]$, the graph $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(r_i)$ has vr_i^2 edges in both cases. Since r is odd, r_i and also n_i are odd integers. As $n_i > 1$, $n_i \geq 3$. According to Theorem 7, $G_i^{(n_i)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}(r)$ and it has vr_i^2 edges. Therefore, the graph $H = \cup_{i=1}^m G_i^{(n_i)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}(r)$ by Theorem 6. Since H is a d -magic regular graph, it is supermagic. \square

Corollary 6. Let $K_{k[n]}$ be a regular complete multipartite graph of odd size. If $kn \geq 6$, then the following statements hold:

- if k is even, then $K_{k[n]} \in \mathcal{G}((k-1)n)$,
- if k is odd, then $K_{k[n]} \in \mathcal{G}((k-1)n/2)$.

Proof. Evidently, the size of $K_{k[n]}$ is odd if and only if n is odd and either $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Suppose that $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If $n = 1$, then K_k belongs to $\mathcal{G}(k-1)$ by Theorem 3. Since $K_k \in \mathcal{G}(k-1) \subset \mathcal{F}(k-1)$, the graph $K_{k[n]}$ (isomorphic to $K_k^{(n)}$) belongs to $\mathcal{G}((k-1)n)$, for every odd integer $n \geq 3$, because of Theorem 7.

Suppose that $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $n = 1$, then K_k belongs to $\mathcal{G}((k-1)/2)$ by Theorem 4. As $K_k \in \mathcal{G}((k-1)/2) \subset \mathcal{F}((k-1)/2)$, the graph $K_{k[n]}$ (isomorphic to $K_k^{(n)}$) belongs to $\mathcal{G}((k-1)n/2)$, for every odd integer $n \geq 3$, according to Theorem 7. \square

Corollary 7. Let m be an odd positive integer. For $i \in [1, m]$, let G_i be an r -regular complete multipartite graph of odd size, where $r \geq 3$. Let ε be an odd common multiple of integers $|E(G_1)|, |E(G_2)|, \dots, |E(G_m)|$, and let $\varepsilon_i := \varepsilon/|E(G_i)|$ for each $i \in [1, m]$. Then $\varepsilon_1 G_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 G_2 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_m G_m$ is a q -gradual supermagic graph, where q is equal to r when r is odd, and $r/2$ otherwise.

Proof. As ε is odd, its divisors ε_i are odd for all $i \in [1, m]$. The complete multipartite graph G_i belongs to $\mathcal{G}(q)$ by Corollary 6. According to Theorem 6, the graph $\varepsilon_i G_i$ is q -gradual d -magic. As $\varepsilon_i G_i$ has ε edges for each $i \in [1, m]$, $G = \varepsilon_1 G_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 G_2 \cup \dots \cup \varepsilon_m G_m \in \mathcal{G}(q)$. Since G is a d -magic r -regular graph, it is supermagic. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Slovak VEGA Grant 1/0368/16 and by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-15-0116.

References

- [1] J. Sedláček, Problem 27, in theory of graphs and its applications, Proc. Symp. Smolenice, Praha (1963) 163–164.
- [2] B.M. Stewart, Magic graphs, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966) 1031–1059.
- [3] M. Doob, Characterizations of regular magic graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 25 (1978) 94–104.
- [4] R.H. Jeurissen, Disconnected graphs with magic labelings, Discrete Math. 43 (1983) 47–53.
- [5] J. Ivančo, On supermagic regular graphs, Math. Bohem. 125 (2000) 99–114.
- [6] J. Ivančo, Supermagic generalized double graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 36 (2016) 211–225.
- [7] P. Kovář, Unified approach to magic labelings of copies of regular graphs, Congr. Numer. 168 (2004) 197–205.
- [8] W.C. Shiu, P.C.B. Lam, H.L. Cheng, Supermagic labeling of an s -duplicate of $K_{n,n}$, Congr. Numer. 146 (2000) 119–124.
- [9] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, Electron. J. Combin. (2017) #DS6.
- [10] Ľ. Bezegová, J. Ivančo, An extension of regular supermagic graphs, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 3571–3578.
- [11] Ľ. Bezegová, J. Ivančo, On conservative and supermagic graphs, Discrete Math. 311 (2011) 2428–2436.
- [12] Ľ. Bezegová, J. Ivančo, A characterization of complete tripartite degree-magic graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 32 (2012) 243–253.
- [13] Ľ. Bezegová, J. Ivančo, Number of edges in degree-magic graphs, Discrete Math. 313 (2013) 1349–1357.
- [14] Ľ. Bezegová, Balanced degree-magic complements of bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 313 (2013) 1918–1923.
- [15] T. Wang, D. Li, A class of degree-magic join graphs, Ars Combin. 134 (2017) 43–50.
- [16] B.M. Stewart, Supermagic complete graphs, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967) 427–438.