

## **AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics**



ISSN: 0972-8600 (Print) 2543-3474 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uakc20

# On the structure of spikes

### Vahid Ghorbani, Ghodratollah Azadi & Habib Azanchiler

**To cite this article:** Vahid Ghorbani, Ghodratollah Azadi & Habib Azanchiler (2020) On the structure of spikes, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, 17:3, 883-886, DOI: 10.1016/j.akcej.2019.08.002

To link to this article: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.08.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2019.08.002</a>

9	© 2020 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC
	Published online: 01 Jul 2020.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷
ılıl	Article views: 370
Q <sup>L</sup>	View related articles 🗹
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹







## On the structure of spikes

Vahid Ghorbani (b), Ghodratollah Azadi (b), and Habib Azanchiler (b)

Department of Mathematics, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

#### **ABSTRACT**

Spikes are an important class of 3-connected matroids. For an integer  $r \geq 3$ , there is a unique binary r-spike denoted by  $Z_r$ . When a circuit-hyperplane of  $Z_r$  is relaxed, we obtain another spike and repeating this procedure will produce other non-binary spikes. The es-splitting operation on a binary spike of rank r, may not yield a spike. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the es-splitting operation to construct  $Z_{r+1}$  directly from  $Z_r$ . Indeed, all binary spikes and many of non-binary spikes of each rank can be derived from the spike  $Z_3$  by a sequence of the es-splitting operations and circuit-hyperplane relaxations.

#### KEYWORDS

Binary matroid; es-splitting operation; relaxation; spike

#### 1. Introduction

Azanchiler [1, 2] extended the notion of *n*-line splitting operation from graphs to binary matroids. He characterized the n-line splitting operation of graphs in terms of cycles of the respective graph and then extended this operation to binary matroids as follows. Let M be a binary matroid on a set E and let X be a subset of E with  $e \in X$ . Suppose A is a matrix that represents M over GF(2). Let  $A_X^e$  be a matrix obtained from A by adjoining an extra row to A with this row being zero everywhere except in the columns corresponding to the elements of X where it takes the value 1, and then adjoining two columns labeled  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  to the resulting matrix such that the column labeled  $\alpha$  is zero everywhere except in the last row where it takes the value 1, and  $\gamma$  is the sum of the two column vectors corresponding to the elements  $\alpha$  and e. The vector matroid of the matrix  $A_X^e$  is denoted by  $M_X^e$ . The transition from M to  $M_X^e$  is called an es-splitting operation. We call the matroid  $M_X^e$  as essplitting matroid.

Let M be a matroid and  $X \subseteq E(M)$ , a circuit C of M is called an OX-circuit if C contains an odd number of elements of X, and C is an EX-circuit if C contains an even number of elements of X. The following proposition characterizes the circuits of the matroid  $M_X^e$  in terms of the circuits of the matroid M.

**Proposition 1.** [1] Let M = (E, C) be a binary matroid together with the collection of circuits C. Suppose  $X \subseteq E$ ,  $e \in X$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \notin E$ . Then  $M_X^e = (E \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\}, C')$  where  $C' = (\bigcup_{i=0}^5 C_i) \cup \Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = \{e, \alpha, \gamma\}$  and  $C_0 = \{C \in C : C \text{ is an EX-circuit}\};$   $C_1 = \{C \cup \{\alpha\} : C \in C \text{ and } C \text{ is an OX-circuit}\};$   $C_2 = \{C \cup \{e, \gamma\} : C \in C, e \notin C \text{ and } C \text{ is an OX-circuit}\};$ 

 $C_3 = \{(C \setminus e) \cup \{\gamma\} : C \in C, e \in C \text{ and } C \text{ is an } OX\text{-circuit}\};$ 

 $C_4 = \{(C \setminus e) \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\} : C \in C, e \in C \text{ and } C \text{ is an EX-circuit}\};$  $C_5 = \text{The set of minimal members of } \{C_1 \cup C_2 : C_1, C_2 \in C, C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset \text{ and each of } C_1 \text{ and } C_2 \text{ is an OX-circuit}\}.$ 

It is observed that the *es*-splitting operation on a 3-connected binary matroid may not yield a 3-connected binary matroid. The following result, provide a sufficient condition under which the *es*-splitting operation on a 3-connected binary matroid yields a 3-connected binary matroid.

**Proposition 2.** [3] Let M be a 3-connected binary matroid,  $X \subseteq E(M)$  and  $e \in X$ . Suppose that M has an OX-circuit not containing e. Then  $M_X^e$  is a 3-connected binary matroid.

To define rank-r spikes, let  $E = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_r, y_1, y_2..., y_r, t\}$  for some  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\{t, x_i, y_i\} : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{\{x_i, y_i, x_j, y_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq r\}$ . The set of circuits of every spike on E includes  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_3$  be a, possibly empty, subsets of  $\{\{z_1, z_2, ... z_r\} : z_i \text{ is in } \{x_i, y_i\} \text{ for all } i\}$  such that no two members of  $\mathcal{C}_3$  have more than r-2 common elements. Finally, let  $\mathcal{C}_4$  be the collection of all (r+1)-element subsets of E that contain no member of  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_3$ .

**Proposition 3.** [4] There is a rank-r matroid M on E whose collection C of circuits is  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup C_4$ .

The matroid M on E with collection C of circuits in the last proposition is called a rank-r spike with tip t and legs  $L_1, L_2, ...L_r$  where  $L_i = \{t, x_i, y_i\}$  for all i. In the construction of a spike, if  $C_3$  is empty, the corresponding spike is called the rank-r free spike with tip t. In an arbitrary spike M, each circuit in  $C_3$  is also a hyperplane of M. Evidently, when such a circuit-hyperplane is relaxed, we obtain another spike. Repeating this procedure until all of the circuit-hyperplanes in  $C_3$  have been relaxed will produce the free spike. Now let  $J_r$  and I be the  $r \times r$  and  $r \times 1$  matrices of all ones. For  $r \ge 1$ 

3, let  $A_r$  be the  $r \times (2r+1)$  matrix  $[I_r|J_r-I_r|\mathbf{1}]$  over GF(2) whose columns are labeled, in order,  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_r, y_1, y_2..., y_r$ , t. The vector matroid  $M[A_r]$  of this matrix is called the rank-r binary spike with tip t and denoted by  $Z_r$ . Oxley [4] showed that all rank-r, 3-connected binary matroids without a 4-wheel minor can be obtained from a binary r-spike by deleting at most two elements.

#### 2. Circuits of $Z_r$

In this section, we characterize the collection of circuits of  $Z_r$ . To do this, we use the next well-known theorem.

**Theorem 4.** [4] A matroid M is binary if and only if for every two distinct circuits  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of M, their symmetric difference,  $C_1\Delta C_2$ , contains a circuit of M.

Now let  $M=(E,\mathcal{C})$  be a binary matroid on the set E together with the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of circuits where  $E=\{x_1,x_2,...,x_r,y_1,y_2...,y_r,t\}$  for some  $r\geq 3$ . Suppose  $Y=\{y_1,y_2...,y_r\}$ . For k in  $\{1,2,3,4\}$ , we define  $\varphi_k$  as follows.

$$\varphi_1 = \{L_i = \{t, x_i, y_i\} : 1 \le i \le r\};$$

$$\varphi_2 = \{ \{x_i, y_i, x_i, y_i\} : 1 \le i < j \le r \};$$

 $\varphi_3=\{Z\subseteq E:|Z|=r,\ |Z\cap Y|\ \text{is odd and}\ |Z\cap \{y_i,x_i\}|=1\ \text{where}\ 1\le i\le r\};$  and

$$\varphi_4 = \begin{cases} \{E - C : C \in \varphi_3\}, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd}; \\ \{(E - C)\Delta\{x_{r-1}, y_{r-1}\} : C \in \varphi_3\}, & \text{if } r \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 5.** A matroid whose collection C of circuits is  $\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3 \cup \varphi_4$ , is the rank-r binary spike.

*Proof.* Let M be a matroid on the set  $E = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_r, y_1, y_2..., y_r, t\}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(M) = \varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3 \cup \varphi_4$ . Suppose  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_r\}$ . Then, for every two distinct circuits  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $\varphi_3$ , we have  $C_1 \cap Y \neq C_2 \cap Y$  and  $|C_j \cap \{x_i, y_i\}| = 1$  for all i and j with  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . We conclude that there is at least one  $y_i$  in  $C_1$  such that  $y_i \notin C_2$  and so  $x_i$  is in  $C_2$  but it is not in  $C_1$ . Thus, no two members of  $\varphi_3$  have more than r-2 common elements. It is clear that every member of  $\varphi_4$  has (r+1)-elements and contains no member of  $\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3$ . By Proposition 3, we conclude that M is a rank-r spike. It is straightforward to show that for every two distinct members of C, their symmetric difference contains a circuit of M. Thus, by Theorem 4, M is a binary spike.

It is not difficult to check that if r is odd, then the intersection of every two members of  $\varphi_3$  has odd cardinality and the intersection of every two members of  $\varphi_4$  has even cardinality and if r is even, then the intersection of every two members of  $\varphi_3$  has even cardinality and the intersection of every two members of  $\varphi_4$  has odd cardinality. Clearly,  $|\varphi_1| = r$ ,  $|\varphi_2| = \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$  and  $|\varphi_3| = |\varphi_4| = 2^{r-1}$ . Therefore, every rank-r binary spike has  $2^r + \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$  circuits. Moreover,  $\cap_{i=1}^r L_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $|C \cap \{x_i, y_i\}| = 1$  where  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and C is a member of  $\varphi_3 \cup \varphi_4$ .

### 3. The es-splitting operation on $Z_r$

By applying the *es*-splitting operation on a given binary matroid with k elements, we obtain a matroid with k+2 elements. In this section, our main goal is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $X \subseteq E(Z_r)$  with  $e \in X$ , to obtain  $Z_{r+1}$  by applying the *es*-splitting operation on X. Now suppose that  $M = Z_r$  be a binary rank-r spike with the matrix representation  $[I_r|J_r-I_r|\mathbf{1}]$  over GF(2) whose columns are labeled, in order  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_r, y_1, y_2, ..., y_r, t$ . Suppose  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_3 \cup \varphi_4$  be the collection of circuits of  $Z_r$  defined in section 2. Let  $X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_r\}$  and  $Y_1 = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_r\}$  and let X be a subset of  $E(Z_r)$ . By the following lemmas, we give six conditions for membership of X such that, for every element e of this set,  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

**Lemma 6.** If  $r \ge 4$  and  $t \notin X$ , then, for every element e of X, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $t \notin X$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist i in  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$  such that  $x_i \in X$  and  $e = x_i$ . By Proposition 1, the set  $\Lambda = \{x_i, \alpha, \gamma\}$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Now consider the leg  $L_i = \{t, x_i, y_i\}$ , we have the following two cases.

- (i) If  $y_i \in X$ , then  $|L_i \cap X|$  is even. By Proposition 1, the leg  $L_i$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Now if all other legs of  $Z_r$  have an odd number of elements of X, by Proposition 1, we observe that these legs transform to circuits of cardinality 4 and 5. So there are exactly two 3-circuits in  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . If not, there is a  $j \neq i$  such that  $L_j$  is a 3-circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$  and  $(\Lambda \cap L_i \cap L_j) = \emptyset$ . Since  $Z_{r+1}$  has r+1 legs and the intersection of the legs of  $Z_{r+1}$  is nonempty, we conclude that in each case, for every element e of X, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .
- (ii) If  $y_i \not\in X$ , then  $|L_i \cap X|$  is odd. By Proposition 1,  $(L_i \setminus x_i) \cup \gamma$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Now if there is the other leg  $L_j$  such that  $|L_j \cap X|$  is even, then  $L_j$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . But  $(L_j \cap \Lambda \cap ((L_i \setminus x_i) \cup \gamma)) = \emptyset$ , so  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ . We conclude that every leg  $L_j$  with  $j \neq i$  has an odd number of elements of X. Since  $x_i \notin L_j$ , by Proposition 1 again,  $L_j$  is not a 3-circuit in  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Therefore,  $(Z_r)_X^e$  has only two 3-circuits and so, for every element e of X, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

**Lemma 7.** If  $r \ge 4$  and  $e \ne t$ , then, for every element e of X - t, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $e \neq t$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist i in  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$  such that  $x_i \in X$  and  $e = x_i$ . By Proposition 1, the set  $\Lambda = \{x_i, \alpha, \gamma\}$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$  and by Lemma 6, to obtain  $Z_{r+1}$ , the element t is contained in X. Now consider the leg  $L_i = \{t, x_i, y_i\}$ . We have the following two cases.

(i) If  $y_i \in X$ , then  $|L_i \cap X|$  is odd. By Proposition 1,  $L_i \cup \alpha$  and  $(L_i \setminus x_i) \cup \gamma$  are circuits of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Now if there



is the other leg  $L_i$  such that  $|L_i \cap X|$  is even, then  $L_i$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . But  $(L_j \cap \Lambda \cap ((L_i \setminus x_i) \cup \gamma)) = \emptyset$ , so  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ . We conclude that every leg  $L_i$  with  $j \neq i$  has an odd number of elements of X. Since  $x_i \notin L_j$ , by Proposition 1 again,  $L_j$  is not a 3-circuit in  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . Therefore  $(Z_r)_X^e$  has only two 3-circuits and so  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

If  $y_i \notin X$ , then  $|L_i \cap X|$  is even. So  $L_i$  is a circuit of (ii)  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . By similar arguments as in Lemma 6 (i), one can show that for every element e of X - t, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^e$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

Next by Lemmas 6 and 7, to obtain the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ , we take t in X and e = t.

**Lemma 8.** If  $r \ge 4$  and there is a circuit C of  $\varphi_3$  such that  $|C \cap X|$  is even, then the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that C is a circuit of  $Z_r$  such that C is a member of  $\varphi_3$  and  $|C \cap X|$  is even. Then, by Proposition 1, the circuit *C* is preserved under the *es*-splitting operation. So C is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^t$ . But |C| = r. Now if r > 4, then C cannot be a circuit of  $Z_{r+1}$ , since it has no r-circuit, and if r = 4, then, to preserve the members of  $\varphi_2$  in  $Z_4$  under the es-splitting operation and to have at least one member of  $\varphi_3$ which has even number of elements of X, the set X must be  $E(Z_r) - t$  or t. But in each case  $(Z_4)_X^t$  has exactly fourteen 4-circuits, so it is not the spike  $Z_5$ , since this spike has exactly ten 4-circuit. We conclude that the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

**Lemma 9.** If  $r \ge 4$  and  $|X \cap \{x_i, y_i\}| = 2$ , for i in  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$ , then the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$  unless r is odd and for all i,  $\{x_i, y_i\} \subset X$ , in which case  $Z_{r+1}$  has  $\gamma$  as a tip.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \subset X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ . Since  $t \in X$  and e = t, after applying the es-splitting operation, the leg  $\{t, x_i, y_i\}$  turns into two circuits  $\{t, x_i, y_i, \alpha\}$  and  $\{x_i, y_i, \gamma\}$ . Now consider the leg  $L_j = \{t, x_j, y_j\}$  where  $j \neq i$ . If  $|L_i \cap X|$  is even (this means  $\{x_i, y_i\} \not\subseteq X$ ), then  $L_i$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^e$ . But  $\{x_i, y_i, \gamma\} \cap \{t, x_j, y_j\} = \emptyset$  and this contradicts the fact that the intersection of the legs of a spike is not the empty set. So  $\{x_i, y_i\}$  must be a subset of X. We conclude that  $\{x_k, y_k\} \subset X$  for all  $k \neq i$ . Thus  $X = E(Z_r)$ . But in this case, r cannot be even since every circuit in  $\varphi_3$ has even cardinality and by Lemma 8, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

Now we show that if  $X = E(Z_r)$ , and r is odd, then  $(Z_r)_X^t$ is the spike  $Z_{r+1}$  with tip  $\gamma$ . Clearly, every leg of  $Z_r$  has an odd number of elements of X. Using Proposition 1, after applying the es-splitting operation, we have the following changes.

For *i* in  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$ ,  $L_i$  transforms to two circuits  $(L_i \setminus t) \cup$  $\gamma$  and  $L_i \cup \alpha$ , every member of  $\varphi_2$  is preserved, and if  $C \in$  $\varphi_3$ , then  $C \cup \alpha$  and  $C \cup \{t, \gamma\}$  are circuits of  $(Z_r)_X^t$ . Finally, if  $C \in \varphi_4$ , then C and  $(C \setminus t) \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\}$  are circuits of  $(Z_r)_X^t$ . Note that, since  $X = E(Z_r)$  with e = t, there are no two disjoint OX-circuits in  $Z_r$  such that their union be minimal. Therefore the collection  $C_5$  in Proposition 1 is empty. Now suppose that  $\alpha$  and t play the roles of  $x_{r+1}$  and  $y_{r+1}$ , respectively, and  $\gamma$  plays the role of tip. Then we have the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ with tip  $\gamma$  whose collection  $\psi$  of circuits is  $\psi_1 \cup \psi_2 \cup \psi_3 \cup \psi_4$ 

$$\begin{split} \psi_1 &= \{ (L_i \setminus t) \cup \gamma : 1 \le i \le r \} \cup \Lambda; \\ \psi_2 &= \{ \{ x_i, y_i, x_j, y_j \} : 1 \le i < j \le r \} \cup \{ (L_i \cup \alpha : 1 \le i \le r \}; \\ \psi_3 &= \{ C \cup \alpha : C \in \varphi_3 \} \cup \{ C : C \in \varphi_4 \}; \\ \psi_4 &= \{ C \cup \{ t, \gamma \} : C \in \varphi_3 \} \cup \{ (C \setminus t) \cup \{ \alpha, \gamma \} : C \in \varphi_4 \}. \end{split}$$

In the following lemma, we shall use the well-known facts that if a matroid M is n-connected with  $E(M) \ge 2(n-1)$ , then all circuits and all cocircuits of M have at least n elements, and if A is a matrix that represents M over GF(2), then the cocircuit space of M equals the row space of A.

**Lemma 10.** If  $|X| \leq r$ , then the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X \subset E(Z_r)$  such that  $|X| \leq r$ . Then, by Lemmas 6, 7 and 8,  $t \in X$  with e = t and  $|X \cap \{x_i, y_i\}| = 1$ for all i in  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$ . Therefore, there are at least two elements  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  with  $i \neq j$  not contained in X and so the leg  $L_i = \{t, x_i, y_i\}$  has an odd number of elements of X. Thus, after applying the es-splitting operation  $L_i$  transforms to  $\{x_i, y_i, \gamma\}$ . Now let  $L_k = \{t, x_k, y_k\}$  be another leg of  $Z_r$ . If  $|L_k \cap X|$  is even, then  $L_k$  is a circuit of  $(Z_r)_X^t$ . But  $(L_k \cap \Lambda \cap$  $\{x_j, y_j, \gamma\}$  =  $\emptyset$ . Hence, in this case, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ . We may now assume that every other leg of  $Z_r$ has an odd number of elements of X. Then, for all  $j \neq i$ , the elements  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are not contained in X. We conclude that |X| = 1 and in the last row of the matrix that represents the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  there are two entries 1 in the corresponding columns of t and  $\alpha$ . Hence,  $(Z_r)_X^t$  has a 2-cocircuit and it is not the matroid  $Z_{r+1}$  since spikes are 3-connected matroids.  $\Box$ 

By Lemmas 9 and 10, we must check that if |X| = r + 1, then, by using the es-splitting operation, can we build the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ ?

**Lemma 11.** If  $r \ge 4$  and  $|X \cap X_1|$  be odd, then the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that r is even and  $|X \cap X_1|$  is odd. Since  $t \in$ X and |X| = r + 1, so  $|X \cap Y_1|$  must be odd. Therefore the set X must be  $C \cup t$  where  $C \in \varphi_3$ . But  $|C \cap X|$  is even and by Lemma 8, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ . Now Suppose that r is odd,  $r \ge 4$  and  $|X \cap X_1|$  is odd. Then  $|X \cap X_1|$  $Y_1$  must be even and so X = C where  $C \in \varphi_4$ . By definition of binary spikes, there is a circuit C' in  $\varphi_4$  such that C'= $C\Delta\{x_i, y_i, x_j, y_i\}$  for all i and j with  $1 \le i < j \le r$ . Clearly,  $|(E-C')\cap X|=2$ . Since (E-C') is a circuit of  $Z_r$  and is a member of  $\varphi_3$ , by Lemma 8, the matroid  $(Z_r)_X^t$  is not the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ .

Now suppose that M is a binary rank-r spike with tip t and  $r \ge 4$ . Let  $X \subseteq E(M)$  and  $e \in X$  and let  $E(M) - E(M_X^e) =$   $\{\alpha, \gamma\}$  such that  $\{e, \alpha, \gamma\}$  is a circuit of  $M_X^e$ . Suppose  $\varphi = \bigcup_{i=0}^4 \varphi_i$  be the collection of circuits of M where  $\varphi_i$  is defined in section 2. With these preliminaries, the next two theorems are the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 12.** Suppose that r is an even integer greater than three. Let M be a rank-r binary spike with tip t. Then  $M_X^e$  is a rank-(r+1) binary spike if and only if X=C where  $C \in \varphi_4$  and e=t.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M=Z_r$  and  $X\subseteq E(M)$  and r is even. Then, by combining the last six lemmas, |X|=r+1; and X contains an even number of elements of  $X_1$  with  $t\in X$ . The only subsets of  $E(Z_r)$  with these properties are members of  $\varphi_4$ . Therefore X=C where  $C\in \varphi_4$  and by Lemma 7, e=t. Conversely, let X=C where  $C\in \varphi_4$ . Then, by using Proposition 1, every leg of  $Z_r$  is preserved under the es-splitting operation since they have an even number of elements of X. Moreover, for  $i\in\{1,2,...,r\}$ , every leg  $L_i$  contains e where e=t. So  $L_i\setminus t$  contains an odd number of elements of X and by Proposition 1, the set  $(L_i\setminus t)\cup\{\alpha,\gamma\}$  is a circuit of  $M_X^t$ . Clearly, every member of  $\varphi_2$  is preserved. Now let  $C'\in \varphi_3$ . Then  $t\not\in C'$ . We have the following two cases.

- (i) Let  $C' = (E X)\Delta\{x_{r-1}, y_{r-1}\}$ . Then  $|C' \cap X| = 1$  and by Proposition 1,  $C' \cup \alpha$  and  $C' \cup \{t, \gamma\}$  are circuits of  $M_X^t$ .
- (ii) Let  $C' = (E C'')\Delta\{x_{r-1}, y_{r-1}\}$  where  $C'' \neq X$  and  $C'' \in \varphi_4$ . Since |X| = r + 1 and  $|C'' \cap X|$  is odd, the cardinality of the set  $X \cap (E C'')$  is even and so  $|C' \cap X|$  is odd. Therefore, by Proposition 1 again,  $C' \cup \alpha$  and  $C' \cup \{t, \gamma\}$  are circuits of  $M_X^t$ .

Evidently, if  $C \in \varphi_4$ , then  $|C \cap X|$  is odd and by Proposition 1,  $C \cup \alpha$  and  $(C \setminus t) \cup \gamma$  are circuits of  $M_X^t$ . Moreover, there are no two disjoint OX-circuits in  $\varphi$ . So the collection  $\mathcal{C}_5$  in Proposition 1 is empty. To complete the proof, suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  play the roles of  $x_{r+1}$  and  $y_{r+1}$ , respectively, then we have the spike  $Z_{r+1}$  with collection of circuits  $\psi = \psi_1 \cup \psi_2 \cup \psi_3 \cup \psi_4$  where

$$\psi_{1} = \{L_{i} = \{t, x_{i}, y_{i}\} : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \Lambda;$$

$$\psi_{2} = \{\{x_{i}, y_{i}, x_{j}, y_{j}\} : 1 \leq i < j \leq r\} \cup \{(L_{i} \setminus t) \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\} : 1 \leq i \leq r\};$$

$$\psi_{3} = \{C \cup \alpha : C \in \varphi_{3}\} \cup \{(C \setminus t) \cup \gamma : C \in \varphi_{4}\};$$

 $\psi_4 = \{C \cup \{t, \gamma\} : C \in \varphi_3\} \cup \{C \cup \alpha : C \in \varphi_4\}.$ 

**Theorem 13.** Suppose that r is an odd integer greater than three. Let M be a rank-r binary spike with tip t. Then  $M_X^e$  is a rank-(r+1) binary spike if and only if  $X = C \cup t$  where  $C \in \varphi_3$  or X = E(M), and e = t.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M = Z_r$  and  $X \subseteq E(M)$ . Let X = E(M). Then, by Lemma 9, the matroid  $M_X^t$  is the spike  $Z_{r+1}$  with tip  $\gamma$ . Now, by combining the last six lemmas., |X| = r + 1

and X contains an even number of elements of  $X_1$  with  $t \in$ X. The only subsets of  $E(Z_r)$  with these properties are in  $\{C \cup t : C \in \varphi_3\}$ . Conversely, let  $X = C \cup t$  where  $C \in \varphi_3$ . Clearly, every member of  $\varphi_3$  contains an odd number of elements of X. Now let C' be a member of  $\varphi_4$ . If C' = $E(Z_r) - C$ , then C' contains an odd number of elements of X. If  $C' \neq E(Z_r) - C$ , then there is a  $C'' \in \varphi_3$  such that  $C' = E(Z_r) - C''$ . Therefore  $|C \cap C'| = |C \cap (E(Z_r) - C'')| =$  $|C - (C \cap C'')|$  and so  $|C \cap C'|$  is even. So C' contains an odd number of elements of X and, by Proposition 1 again  $C' \cup \alpha$  and  $(C \setminus t) \cup \gamma$  are circuits of  $M_X^t$ . Evidently, if  $C_1$ and  $C_2$  are disjoint OX-circuits of  $Z_r$ , then one of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ is in  $\varphi_3$  and the other is in  $\varphi_4$  where  $C_2 = E(Z_r) - C_1$ . Moreover, as  $C_1 \cup C_2$  is not minimal, it follows by Proposition 1 that  $C_5$  is empty. Now if  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  play the roles of  $x_{r+1}$  and  $y_{r+1}$ , respectively, then  $M_X^t$  is the spike  $Z_{r+1}$ with collection of circuits  $\psi = \psi_1 \cup \psi_2 \cup \psi_3 \cup \psi_4$  where

$$\psi_{1} = \{L_{i} = \{t, x_{i}, y_{i}\} : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \Lambda;$$

$$\psi_{2} = \{\{x_{i}, y_{i}, x_{j}, y_{j}\} : 1 \leq i < j \leq r\} \cup \{(L_{i} \setminus t) \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\} : 1 \leq i \leq r\};$$

$$\psi_{3} = \{C \cup \alpha : C \in \varphi_{3}\} \cup \{(C \setminus t) \cup \gamma : C \in \varphi_{4}\};$$

$$\psi_{4} = \{C \cup \{t, \gamma\} : C \in \varphi_{3}\} \cup \{C \cup \alpha : C \in \varphi_{4}\}.$$

**Remark 14.** Note that the binary rank-3 spike is the Fano matroid denoted by  $F_7$ . It is straightforward to check that any one of the seven elements of  $F_7$  can be taken as the tip, and  $F_7$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 13 for any tip. So, there are exactly 35 subset X of  $E(F_7)$  such that  $(F_7)_X^e$  is the binary 4-spike where e is a tip of it. Therefore, by Theorem 13, these subsets are  $X = E(F_7)$  for every element e of  $E(F_7)$  and  $E(F_7)$  not contained in  $E(F_7)$  where  $E(F_7)$  is a 3-circuit of  $E(F_7)$ .

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### **ORCID**

Vahid Ghorbani (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7301-6973 Ghodratollah Azadi (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1807-4732 Habib Azanchiler (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2949-3836

#### References

- Azanchiler, H. (2005). Some new operations on matroids and related results. PhD dissertation. University of Pune, Pune.
- [2] Azanchiler, H. (2006). Extension of line-splitting operation from graphs to binary matroids. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* 24:3–12.
- [3] Dhotre, S. B., Malavadkar, P. P., Shikare, M. M. (2016). On 3-connected es-splitting binary matroids. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 09(01):1650017–1650026.
- [4] Oxley, J. G. (1992). Matroid Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.