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## ABSTRACT

The $g$-girth-thickness $\theta(g, G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of planar subgraphs of girth at least $g$ whose union is $G$. In this paper, we determine the 6 -girth-thickness $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ of the complete graph $K_{n}$ in almost all cases. And also, we calculate by computer the missing value of $\theta\left(4, K_{n}\right)$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. A graph in which any two vertices are adjacent is called a complete graph and it is denoted by $K_{n}$ if it has $n$ vertices. If a graph can be drawn in the Euclidean plane such that no inner point of its edges is a vertex or lies on another edge, then the graph $G$ is called planar. The girth of a graph is the size of its shortest cycle or $\infty$ if it is acyclic. It is known that an acyclic graph of order $n$ has size at most $n-1$ and a planar graph of order $n$ and finite girth $g$ has size at most $\frac{g}{g-2}(n-$ 2), see [8].

The thickness $\theta(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of planar subgraphs whose union is $G$. Equivalently, it is the minimum number of colors used in any edge coloring of $G$ such that each set of edges in the same chromatic class induces a planar subgraph.

The concept of the thickness was introduced by Tutte [19]. The problem to determine the thickness of a graph $G$ is NP-hard [15], and only a few of exact results are known, for instance, when $G$ is a complete graph $[2,5,6]$, a complete multipartite graph $[7,11,18,21,22]$ or a hypercube [14].

Generalizations of the thickness for the complete graphs also have been studied such that the outerthickness $\theta_{o}$, defined similarly but with outerplanar instead of planar [12], and the $S$-thickness $\theta_{S}$, considering the thickness on a surface $S$ instead of the plane [4]. The thickness has many applications, for example, in the design of circuits [1], in the

Ringel's earth-moon problem [13], or to bound the achromatic numbers of planar graphs [3]. See also [16].

In [17], the $g$-girth-thickness $\theta(g, G)$ of a graph $G$ was defined as the minimum number of planar subgraphs of girth at least $g$ whose union is $G$. Indeed, the $g$-girth thickness generalizes the thickness when $g=3$ and the arboricity number when $g=\infty$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the 6 -girth-thickness $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ of the complete graph $K_{n}$ getting that $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ equals $\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{3}\right\rceil$, except for $n=3 t+1, t \geq 4$ and $n \neq 2$, for which $\theta\left(6, K_{2}\right)=1$. In Section 3, we show that there exists a set of 3 planar tri-angle-free subgraphs of $K_{10}$ whose union is $K_{10}$. The decomposition was found by computer and, as a consequence, we disproved the conjecture that appears in [17] about the missing case of the 4 -girth-thickness of the complete graph.

## 2. Determining $\theta\left(6, K_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$

A planar graph of $n$ vertices with girth at least 6 has size at most $3(n-2) / 2$ for $n \geq 6$ and size at most $n-1$ for $1 \leq$ $n \leq 5$, therefore, the 6-girth-thickness $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ of the complete graph $K_{n}$ is at least

$$
\left\lceil\frac{n(n-1)}{3(n-2)}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{3}+\frac{2}{3 n-6}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{3}\right\rceil
$$

for $n \geq 6$, as well as, $\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{3}\right\rceil$ for $n \in\{1,3,4,5\}$. We have the following theorem.
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Figure 1. A decomposition of $K_{n}$ into $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ planar subgraphs of girth at least 6: (a) for $n=2$, (b) for $n=4$, (c) for $n=7$ and (d) for $n=10$.

Theorem 2.1. The 6-girth-thickness $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)$ of $K_{n}$ is equal to $\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{3}\right\rceil$ except possibly when $n=3 t+1$, for $t \geq 4$, and $n \neq 2$ for which $\theta\left(6, K_{2}\right)=1$.
Proof. To begin with, Figure 1 displays equality for $n=$ $2,4,7,10$ with $\theta\left(6, K_{n}\right)=1,2,3,4$, respectively. The rest of the cases for $1 \leq n \leq 10$ are obtained by the hereditary property of the induced subgraphs. We remark that the decomposition of $K_{10}$ was found by computer using the database of the connected planar graphs of order 10 that appears in [9].

Now, we need to distinguish two main cases, namely, when $t$ is even or $t$ is odd for $n=3 t$, that is, when $n=6 k$ and $n=6 k+3$ for $k \geq 2$. The cases $n=6 k-1$ and $n=$ $6 k+2$, i.e., for $n=3 t+1$, are obtained by the hereditary property of the induced subgraphs, that is, since $K_{6 k-1} \subset$ $K_{6 k}$ and $K_{6 k+2} \subset K_{6 k+3}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 k+1 \leq \theta\left(6, K_{6 k-1}\right) \leq \theta\left(6, K_{6 k}\right) \text { and } \\
2 k+2 \leq \theta\left(6, K_{6 k+2}\right) \leq \theta\left(6, K_{6 k+3}\right), \text { respectively. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, the case of $n=6 k$ shows a decomposition of $K_{6 k}$ into $2 k+1$ planar subgraphs of girth at least 6 , while the case of $n=6 k+3$ shows a decomposition of $K_{6 k+3}$ into $2 k+2$ planar subgraphs of girth at least 6 . Both constructions are based on the planar decomposition of $K_{6 k}$ of Beineke and Harary [5] (see also [2, 6, 20]) but we use the combinatorial approach given in [3]. Then, for the sake of completeness, we give a decomposition of $K_{6 k}$ in order to obtain its usual thickness. In the remainder of this proof, all sums are taken modulo $2 k$.

We recall that complete graphs of even order $2 k$ are decomposable into a cyclic factorization of Hamiltonian paths, see [10]. Let $G^{x}$ be a complete graph of order $2 k$, label its vertex set $V\left(G^{x}\right)$ as $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 k}\right\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{i}^{x}$ be the Hamiltonian path with edges

$$
x_{i} x_{i+1}, x_{i+1} x_{i-1}, x_{i-1} x_{i+2}, x_{i+2} x_{i-2}, \ldots, x_{i+k+1} x_{i+k}
$$

for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. The partition $\left\{E\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}^{x}\right), E\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}^{x}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.E\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{x}\right)\right\}$ is such factorization of $G^{x}$. We remark that the center of $\mathcal{F}_{i}^{x}$ has the edge $e_{i}^{x}=x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right]} x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right]}$, see Figure 2.

Let $G^{u}, G^{v}$ and $G^{w}$ be the complete subgraphs of $K_{6 k}$ having $2 k$ vertices each of them and such that $G^{w}$ is $K_{6 k} \backslash$ $\left(V\left(G^{u}\right) \cup V\left(G^{v}\right)\right)$. The vertices of $V\left(G^{u}\right), V\left(G^{v}\right)$ and $V\left(G^{w}\right)$ are labeled as $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 k}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 k}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{2 k}\right\}$, respectively.

Let $x$ be an element of $\{u, v, w\}$. Take the cyclic factorization $\left\{E\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}^{x}\right), E\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}^{x}\right), \ldots, E\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{x}\right)\right\}$ of $G^{x}$ into Hamiltonian paths and denote as $P_{x_{i}}$ and $P_{x_{i+k}}$ the subpaths of $\mathcal{F}_{i}^{x}$ containing $k$ vertices and the leaves $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+k}$, respectively. We define the other leaves of $P_{x_{i}}$ and $P_{x_{i+k}}$ as $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{i+k}\right)$, respectively and according to the parity of $k$, that is (see Figure 2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{i}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right\rceil} & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\
x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil} & \text { if } k \text { is even. }
\end{array}\right. \text { and } \\
f\left(x_{i+k}\right) & = \begin{cases}x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil} & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\
x_{i+\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right\rceil} & \text { if } k \text { is even. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that the set of edges $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+k}: 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$ is the same set of edges that $\left\{f\left(x_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i+k}\right): 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$.


Figure 2. The Hamiltonian path $\mathcal{F}_{i}^{x}$ : Left (a) The edge $e_{i}^{x}$ in bold for $k$ odd. Right (b) The edge $e_{i}^{x}$ in bold for $k$ even.


Figure 3. (Left) The octahedron subgraph of the graph $G_{i}$. (Right) The graph $G_{i}$.


Figure 4. Partial modification of the subgraph $G_{i}$.

Now, we construct the maximal planar subgraphs $G_{1}$, $G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k}$ and a matching $G_{k+1}$ with $6 k$ vertices each in the following way. Let $G_{k+1}$ be the perfect matching with the edges $u_{j} u_{j+k}, v_{j} v_{j+k}$ and $w_{j} w_{j+k}$ for $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$.

For each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, let $G_{i}$ be the spanning planar graph of $K_{6 k}$ whose adjacencies are given as follows: we take the 6 paths, $P_{u_{i}}, P_{u_{i+k}}, P_{v_{i}}, P_{v_{i+k}}, P_{w_{i}}$ and $P_{w_{i+k}}$ and insert them in the octahedron with the vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+k}, v_{i}, v_{i+k}, w_{i}$ and $w_{i+k}$ as is shown in Figure 2 (Left). The vertex $x_{j}$ of each path $P_{x_{j}}$ is identified with the vertex $x_{j}$ in the corresponding triangle face and join all the other vertices of the path with both of the other vertices of the triangle face, see Figure 3 (Right).


By construction of $G_{i}, K_{6 k}=\cup_{i=1}^{k+1} G_{i}$, see $[2,5]$ to check a full proof. In consequence, the $k+1$ planar subgraphs $G_{i}$ show that $\theta\left(3, K_{6 k}\right) \leq k+1$ and then, $\theta\left(3, K_{6 k}\right)=$ $k+1$ owing to the fact that $\theta\left(3, K_{6 k}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{\binom{6 k}{2}}{3(6 k-2)}\right\rceil=k+1$.

Now, we proceed to prove that $\theta\left(6, K_{6 k}\right) \leq 2 k+1$ in Case 1 and $\theta\left(6, K_{6 k+3}\right) \leq 2 k+2$ in Case 2 . The main idea of both cases is divide each $G_{i}$ into two subgraphs of girth 6 for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

1. Case $n=6 k$.

Consider the set of planar subgraphs $\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k+1}\right\}$ of $K_{6 k}$ which is described above.
Step 1. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, remove the six edges of the triangles $u_{i} v_{i} w_{i}$ and $u_{i+k} v_{i+k} w_{i+k}$.
Step 2. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, divide the obtained subgraph into two subgraphs $H_{i}^{1}$ and $H_{i}^{2}$ as follows: The maximum matching of $P_{x_{i}}$ incident to the vertex $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ belongs to $H_{i}^{1}$ (see dotted subgraph in Figure 4) while the maximum matching of $P_{x_{i+k}}$ incident to the vertex $f\left(x_{i+k}\right)$ belongs to $H_{i}^{2}$.
Next, the rest of the edges joined to the vertices of the paths $P_{x_{i}}$ and $P_{x_{i+k}}$, in an alternative way from the exterior region to the region with the vertices $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$, belong to $H_{i}^{1}$ and $H_{i}^{2}$ respectively, such that the edges $f\left(w_{i}\right) u_{i+k}, f\left(v_{i}\right) w_{i+k}$ and $f\left(u_{i}\right) v_{i+k}$ belong to $H_{i}^{1}$ and the edges $f\left(w_{i}\right) v_{i+k}, f\left(v_{i}\right) u_{i+k}$ and $f\left(u_{i}\right) w_{i+k}$ belong to $H_{i}^{2}$, see Figure 4.


Figure 5. Subgraphs $H_{i}^{1}$ and $H_{i}^{2}$ for the Case 1.


Figure 6. Subgraphs $H_{i}^{1}$ and $H_{i}^{2}$ for Case 2.


Figure 7. Partial subgraphs $H_{k+1}^{1}$ and $H_{k+1}^{2}$.

Step 3. Consider the removed edges in Step 1, add the edges $f\left(v_{i+k}\right) f\left(u_{i+k}\right)$ and $f\left(u_{i+k}\right) f\left(w_{i+k}\right)$ to $H_{i}^{1}$ and the edges $f\left(w_{i}\right) f\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(v_{i}\right) f\left(u_{i}\right)$ to $H_{i}^{2}$, see Figure 5. The rest of the edges removed in Step 1 are added to $G_{k+1}$ getting the subgraph $H_{k+1}$ which is the union of the paths $\left\{f\left(v_{i}\right), f\left(v_{i+k}\right), f\left(w_{i+k}\right), f\left(w_{i}\right), f\left(u_{i}\right), f\left(u_{i+k}\right)\right\}$.
2. Case $n=6 k+3$.

Consider the set of planar subgraphs $\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k+1}\right\}$ of $K_{6 k}$ which is described above as well as Step 1 and 2 of the previous case.


Step 3. Add three vertices $u, v$ and $w$ in the subgraphs $H_{i}^{1}$ and $H_{i}^{2}$, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and the edges $u w_{i}$, $u f\left(v_{i+k}\right), v u_{i}, v f\left(w_{i+k}\right), w v_{i}, w f\left(u_{i+k}\right)$ into $H_{i}^{1}$ as well as the edges $u w_{i+k}, u f\left(v_{i}\right), v u_{i+k}, v f\left(w_{i}\right), w v_{i+k}, w f\left(u_{i}\right)$ into $H_{i}^{2}$, see Figure 6.
Step 4. On one hand, remains to define the adjacencies between $u, v, w$ and all the adjacencies between $u$ and $u_{i}, v$ and $v_{i}, w$ and $w_{i}$, for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. On the other hand, the edges of the graph $G_{k+1}$ together with the removed edges of the Step 1 form a set of triangle


Figure 8. Two planar decompositions of $K_{10}$ into three subgraphs of girth 4.
prisms which we split into two subgraphs called $H_{k+1}^{1}$ and $H_{k+1}^{2}$ in the following way:
(a) The adjacency $v w$ is in $H_{k+1}^{1}$ while the adjacencies $u v$ and $u w$ are in $H_{k+1}^{2}$, see Figure 7.
(b) The set of adjacencies $v v_{j+k}, w w_{j}, w w_{j+k}$ and $u u_{j+k}$ are in $H_{k+1}^{1}$ while the set of adjacencies $v v_{j}$, and $u u_{j}$ are in $H_{k+1}^{2}$, for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, see Figure 7.
(c) The subgraph $H_{k+1}^{1}$ contains the adjacencies $v_{j+k} v_{j}, v_{j} u_{j}, u_{j} w_{j}$ and $w_{j+k} u_{j+k}$ (a set of subgraphs $P_{4} \cup K_{2}$ ) and the subgraph $H_{k+1}^{2}$ contains the adjacencies $u_{j} u_{j+k}, u_{j+k} v_{j+k}, v_{j+k} w_{j+k}, w_{j+k} w_{j} \quad$ and $w_{j} v_{j}$ (a set of subgraphs $P_{6}$ ) for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, see Figure 7.
By the small cases and the two main cases, the theorem follows.

## 3. The 4-girth thickness of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$

In [17], Rubio-Montiel gave a decomposition of $K_{n}$ into $\theta\left(4, K_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rceil$ triangle-free planar subgraphs, except for $n=10$. In that case, it was bounded by $3 \leq \theta\left(4, K_{10}\right) \leq 4$ and conjectured that the correct value was the upper bound. Using the database of the connected planar graphs of order 10 that appears in [9] and the SageMath program, we found two decompositions of $K_{10}$ into 3 planar subgraphs of girth at least 4 illustrated in Figure 8. In summary, the correct value of $\theta\left(4, K_{n}\right)$ was the lower bound and then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. The 4 -girth-thickness $\theta\left(4, K_{n}\right)$ of $K_{n}$ equals $\left\lceil\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rceil$ for $n \neq 6$ and $\theta\left(4, K_{6}\right)=3$.
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