

Acta Oncologica



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ionc20

Delta NT-proBNP predicts cardiotoxicity in HER2positive breast cancer patients treated with trastuzumab

Anton E. Andersson, Barbro Linderholm & Daniel Giglio

To cite this article: Anton E. Andersson, Barbro Linderholm & Daniel Giglio (2020): Delta NTproBNP predicts cardiotoxicity in HER2-positive breast cancer patients treated with trastuzumab, Acta Oncologica, DOI: 10.1080/0284186X.2020.1842904

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2020.1842904

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



View supplementary material

Published online: 16 Nov 2020.



Submit your article to this journal



\mathbf{O}	
<u> </u>	

View related articles 🖸



🌔 🛛 View Crossmark data 🗹

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Delta NT-proBNP predicts cardiotoxicity in HER2-positive breast cancer patients treated with trastuzumab

Anton E. Andersson^a, Barbro Linderholm^{a,b} and Daniel Giglio^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Oncology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; ^bDepartment of Oncology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden; ^cDepartment of Oncology, Halland Hospital Varberg, Region Halland, Sweden

ABSTRACT

Background: Overall survival has improved significantly in patients with human epidermal growth receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer due to the use of the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab blocking HER2. However, patients may develop trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity (TIC) leading to congestive heart failure. Here we assessed whether analysing NT-proBNP and assessment of electrocardiography (ECG) could detect TIC during trastuzumab therapy.

Methods: One hundred thirty-six patients undergoing adjuvant, neoadjuvant or palliative chemotherapy and HER2 blockade for HER2-positive breast cancer were prospectively assessed with echocardiography, ECG and N-terminal – pro hormone B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) testing at baseline and at 6 and 12 months of trastuzumab therapy. TIC was defined as a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of less than 50% and a decline from baseline of \geq 10 units.

Results: Six patients developed TIC under 12 months of trastuzumab therapy (incidence 4.4%). NTproBNP increased from 198.8 ± 64.0 pg/ml to 678.7 ± 132.4 pg/ml (p < .05) in TIC patients. With a cut-off point of 276.5 pg/ml for NTproBNP and increase in NT-proBNP by 75.8 pg/ml from baseline the sensitivity was 100% and the specificity 95% to detect TIC. Compared with controls, TIC patients were older (68.3 ± 1.1 years and 56.2 ± 1.4 years, respectively; p < .01), had more often diabetes mellitus (OR = 63.5, 95% CI: 5.63–915, p < .01) and atrial fibrillation (OR = 12.3; 95% CI: 1.89–74.62; p < .05) and had lower baseline LVEF (57.1 ± 1.4% and 61.4 ± 0.3%, respectively; p < .001). Abnormal ECGs were common in patients developing TIC.

Conclusions: Measuring changes in NTproBNP may be used to monitor patients for TIC under trastuzumab therapy. Patients with a cardiovascular risk profile are more at risk of developing TIC.

Introduction

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) overexpressing breast cancer account for around 15% of all breast cancer [1,2]. While historically HER2 positivity was a prognostically negative factor for survival, it was shown that combining trastuzumab, a recombinant monoclonal antibody against HER2, with chemotherapy led to a significant decrease in mortality in women with metastatic breast cancer [3,4]. This was followed by studies showing that combining chemotherapy with trastuzumab in the adjuvant setting also led to a significant better overall survival in HER2-positive breast cancer [5]. The most important side effect of trastuzumab treatment is cardiotoxicity resulting in congestive heart failure (CHF) [6]. The underlying mechanisms behind trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity (TIC) are not fully understood but it is suggested that trastuzumab may interfere with DNA repair, cardiac and mitochondrial functions and autophagy leading to oxidative stress in myocytes [7,8]. In the early days, trastuzumab was administered in

conjunction with anthracyclines, which resulted in 27% of patients developing TIC [3]. The risk was substantially lower when trastuzumab was given alone or together with paclitaxel and not given concomitantly with anthracyclines [3]. Today, trastuzumab is not combined with anthracyclines, however, 3–7% of patients still develop TIC [6]. While trastuzumab infusion does not seem to induce acute atrial and ventricular depolarisation and repolarisation disturbances [9,10], previous studies have suggested increased risk of arrhytmogenic side effects from trastuzumab including sick sinus syndrome, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and ventricular bigeminal rhythm [11,12]. Also, studies suggest that trastuzumab may induce prolongation of the time from electrical activation to myocardial contraction [13].

Studies show that the amino-terminal fragment of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) may be used to screen people for CHF [14]. A cut-off value for NT-proBNP at 150 pg/ml has a sensitivity of 100%, a specificity of 79.5%, a positive

CONTACT Daniel Giglio 🖾 daniel.giglio@pharm.gu.se 🗈 Department of Oncology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Blå Stråket 2, Göteborg, SE-41345, Sweden

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 21 May 2020 Accepted 23 October 2020

KEYWORDS

HER2; breast cancer; trastuzumab; congestive heart failure; NT-proBNP



predictive value (PPV) of 10.1% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 100% to detect CHF in the general population [14]. In patients with signs of CHF, the cut-off value of 150 pg/ml has a sensitivity of 94%, a specificity of 40%, a PPV of 48% and an NPV of 92% [15]. In Sweden, echocardiography is performed regularly before and during trastuzumab therapy in order to detect TIC. TIC is often reversible after trastuzumab treatment has been discontinued and after CHF medication has been initiated, however, studies suggest that the long-term risk of CHF may be enhanced [16]. Monitoring TIC with echocardiography is time consuming for patients and a burden for the health care system in view of the low risk to develop TIC. Previous studies have assessed whether biomarkers, including troponin I (TNI), troponin T (TNT) and NT-proBNP, instead of echocardiography, may be used to monitor heart function during trastuzumab therapy [17,18]. Ponde et. al. showed that TNT and NT-proBNP could not be used to predict the development of TIC in anthracycline-naïve patients with HER2-positive breast cancer treated with trastuzumab and paclitaxel in the neoadjuvant setting [17]. In the adjuvant setting, patients experiencing TIC developed a non-significant increase in NT-proBNP [19]. To note, NT-proBNP may increase in patients exposed to radiotherapy for left-sided breast cancer and NT-proBNP may increase in patients exposed to other cardiotoxic drugs than trastuzumab such as anthracyclines [20,21].

The present study was undertaken to assess whether changes in NT-proBNP levels and in cardiac repolarisation and depolarisation assessed by electrocardiography (ECG) may detect TIC in breast cancer patients undergoing trastuzumab treatment.

Methods

Recruitment, cohort and therapy

All patients with HER2-positive breast cancer where the physician judged the patient to be eligible to trastuzumab treatment were offered to participate in the study. After informed consent, 137 patients diagnosed with HER2-positive breast cancer were recruited at the Department of Oncology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden, between 2013 to 2018. One patient accepted to participate in the study but did not undergo trastuzumab therapy due to personal reasons. For the statistical analyses we therefore have included 136 patients. The majority of patients were treated with trastuzumab (Herceptin[®]) subcutaneously (600 mg) or intravenously (6 mg/kg, start dose 8 mg/kg) in the adjuvant setting (85%), while a small number of patients were treated in the neoadjuvant or the palliative settings. The majority (113 patients) were treated with the subcutaneous form of Herceptin[®], five patients with the intravenous form of Herceptin[®] and 17 patients shifted from the intravenous to the subcutaneous form of Herceptin[®] during the 12 months of adjuvant HER2 blockade due to changes in treatment recommendations at the clinic.

Assessment of the cohort at baseline, 6 months and 12 months

Patients underwent echocardiography at baseline (before trastuzumab), at 6 months and at 12 months (end of trastuzumab therapy) according to clinical practice. Within a two-week period after echocardiography, the patients underwent a 12-lead ECG and underwent blood sample testing for NT-proBNP. NT-proBNP immunoassay was performed on the Cobas e602 (measuring range 5–35,000 ng/L; coefficient of variance 9–10%; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, the Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Baseline characteristics including age, weight, height, cardiovascular risk factors (hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, atrial fibrillation) were retrieved from medical records. Tumour characteristics were retrieved from the pathology report after surgery. In the neoadjuvant setting, tumour characteristics were defined from the core biopsy and tumour size was according to the size defined by ultrasound and alternatively mammography when ultrasound measurements were not available. During 2013-2015 visual estimates of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were only given and from 2016 LVEF values were given from both visual estimates and by Simpsons biplanar method. To be able to compare declines in LVEF and to be consistent we therefore chose to use visual estimates in the present study. TIC was defined as an LVEF visually assessed by echocardiography of less than 50% and a decline from baseline of ≥ 10 units. ECG parameters were predefined and included: P duration, PQ duration, QRS duration, QTc duration and heart rate. The TIC group was defined as patients developing TIC at either 6 or 12 months and NT-proBNP values and ECG parameters given in the TIC group were values registered at the point in time for TIC. The control group was defined as patients not developing TIC at neither 6 nor 12 months. For the TIC group, δ NTproBNP was defined as the NTproBNP value at the point in time for TIC minus the NTproBNP value at baseline. For the control group, δNT proBNP was defined as the average change in NTproBNP from baseline to 6 months and from baseline to 12 months, i.e. [(NTpro BNP6months-NTproBNPbaseline) + (NTproBNP12months-NT proBNPbaseline)]/2.

Statistics

Patients were dichotomously categorised as either developing TIC or not developing TIC (control group). The Wilcoxon test was used to compare groups for continuous variables and the Fisher's exact test was used to compare groups for categorical data. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI (Baptista-Pike) for potential risk factors associated with the development of TIC were calculated. To assess the diagnostic accuracy to detect TIC with NT-proBNP and δ NTproBNP, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed and the area under the curve (AUC) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Data is presented in the form mean- \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) if not stated otherwise. *p* Table 1. Demographics of cohort (TIC and control groups), tumour characteristics and treatments.

Number of patients%GenderFemale13599.3Male10.7Lateralization ^a 7Left7051.5Right6648.5Treatment intentionCurative130Durpectomy5943.4Mastectomy7655.9No operation10.7Lympectomy5943.4Mastectomy7655.9No operation10.7Lymph node dissectionYesYes5036.8No adiotherapy8663.2Radiotherapy8663.2Breast5238.2Breast locoregional4734.6No radiotherapy3727.2Type71.5Carcinoma of no special type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size10.7Grade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestroeg receptor7454.8Negative (<10%)7454.8Positive (10-100%)139.5Intermediate (11-20%)1914.0High (21-10%)139.5Low P+ P+T11/0/00.25/0/0P+D+T7/2/169/167/16.7P+T10/00.8/00EC+P + P+T10/00.8/00FEC+P+T10/00.8/00FEC+P+T10/00.8/00 <td< th=""><th>Patient cohort, HER2+ tumour ch</th><th>aracteristics and treatment</th><th>t regimes</th></td<>	Patient cohort, HER2+ tumour ch	aracteristics and treatment	t regimes
Gender Female 135 99.3 Male 1 0.7 Lateralization ^a 1 Left 70 51.5 Right 66 48.5 Curative 130 95.6 Palliative 6 4.4 Breast surgery Umpectomy 59 43.4 Mastectomy 76 55.9 No operation 1 0.7 Lymph node dissection 78 78 Yes 50 36.8 63.2 Radiotherapy 72 38.2 Breast locoregional 47 34.6 73 44.6 74 44.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type 72.2 Type 74 74 74 Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size 1 1 71 1 2 1.5 Tumour size 1 6 74 3 3 1.9 93 0 2 68.1 1		Number of patients	%
Female 135 99.3 Male 1 0.7 Lateralization ^a	Gender		
Male 1 0.7 Lateralization ^a	Female	135	99.3
Lateralization ^a Left 70 51.5 Right 70 51.5 Right 648.5 Treatment intention Curative 130 95.6 Palliative 6 4.4 Breast surgery Lumpectomy 59 43.4 Mastectomy 76 55.9 No operation 1 0.7 Lymph node dissection Yes 50 36.8 No a 86 63.2 Radiotherapy Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size Grade 1 1 0.7 Grade 2 54 40.0 Grade 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor Negative (<10%) 43 31.9 Prositive (10-100%) 61 45.2 Ki67 Nogative (<10%) 74 54.8 Progesteron receptor Negative (<10%) 74 54.8 Projesteron 100% 104 76.5 Lymph node macrometastases 0 90 66.2 1-3 35 25.7 >3 11 8.1 Treatments 118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d 100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T 7/2/1 5.9/16.7/16.7 EC + D + T 7/2/1 0.8/16.7/16.7 EC + D + T 7/2/1 0.8/3/16.7 EC + D + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 EC + P + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 EC + D + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 EC + D + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 EC + D + CMF + T 1/0	Male	1	0.7
Left 70 51.5 Right 766 48.5 Treatment intention Curative 1330 95.6 Palliative 6 4.4 Breast surgery Lumpectomy 59 43.4 Mastectomy 76 55.9 No operation 1 0.7 Lymph node dissection Yes 50 36.8 No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy 87 Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size T1 (≤20 mm) 64 47.4 T2 (21–50 mm) 60 44.4 Breast 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor Negative (<10%) 43 31.9 Positive (10–100%) 61 45.2 Kl67 LowVery low (1–10%) 13 9.5 Intermediate (11=20%) 74 54.8 Positive (10–100%) 74 70.5 Positive (10–100%) 74 70.0 Rece + P + T 77 79/2/1 80.7/16.7 FEC + D + T 79/2/1 80.7/16.7 FEC + D + T 70/2/1 80.7/16.7 FEC + D + T 70/2/1 80.7/16.7 FEC + D + Pz + T 0/1/0 83.70 PH + Pz + T 0/1/0 83.70 PH + Pz + T 10/1/0 83.70 PH + Pz + T 10	Lateralization ^a		
Right 66 48.5 Treatment intention 130 95.6 Palliative 6 4.4 Breast surgery Lumpectomy 59 43.4 Mastectomy 76 55.9 No operation 1 0.7 Lymph node dissection 76 36.8 No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy 52 38.2 Breast 52 38.2 Breast locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type 2 1.5 Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size 11 8.1 BRE 6 64 Grade 1 1 0.7 Grade 2 54 40.0 Grade 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor Negative (<10%)	Left	70	51.5
Treatment intention Curative 130 95.6 Palliative 6 4.4 Breast surgery 1 0.7 Lumpectomy 76 55.9 No operation 1 0.7 Yes 50 36.8 No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy 87 27.2 Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type 2 1.5 Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size 1 0.7 T1 (<20 mm)	Right	66	48.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ireatment intention	120	05.6
Tanave001.4Breast surgeryLumpectomy5943.4Mastectomy7655.9No operation10.7Lymph node dissection7656.3Yes5036.8No8663.2Radiotherapy7727.2Breast5238.2Breast-locoregional4734.6No radiotherapy3727.2Type727.2Carcinoma of no special type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size118.1BRE110.7Grade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptor7454.8Nogative (<10%)	Curative	130	95.6
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Lumpectony} & 59 & 43.4 \\ \mbox{Mastectomy} & 76 & 55.9 \\ \mbox{No operation} & 1 & 0.7 \\ \mbox{Lymph node dissection} & & & & \\ \mbox{Yes} & 50 & 36.8 \\ \mbox{No} & 86 & 63.2 \\ \mbox{Radiotherapy} & & & & \\ \mbox{Breast} & 52 & 38.2 \\ \mbox{Dotalicherapy} & 37 & 27.2 \\ \mbox{Type} & & & & \\ \mbox{Carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Dummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Dummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & & & & 2 & 1.5 \\ \mbox{Lummouslike} & & & & & \\ \mbox{Lobular carcinoma} & & & & & \\ \mbox{Grade 1} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Grade 2} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Grade 3} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Begative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & (<10\%) & & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & & & & \\ \mbox{Regative} & & & & $	Breast surgery	0	4.4
Table 201Display 100Display 100Nastectomy7655.9No operation10.7Lymph node dissection78Yes5036.8No one dissection8663.2Radiotherapy3727.2Breast5238.2Breast + locoregional4734.6No radiotherapy3727.2TypeCarcinoma of no special type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size118.1BRE110.7Grade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptor92Negative (<10%)	Lumpectomy	59	43.4
No operation 1 0.7 Lymph node dissection 7 Yes 50 36.8 No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy 8 52 38.2 Breast 52 38.2 38.2 Breast 52 38.2 38.2 Dreat 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size T 11 6.4 47.4 13 (>50 mm) 60 44.4 T3 (>50 mm) 11 8.1 8 6 63.2 Grade 1 1 0.7 Grade 3 80 59.3 0 Oestrogen receptor Wegative (<10%)	Mastectomy	76	55.9
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	No operation	1	0.7
Yes 50 36.8 No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size T1 ($\leq 20 \text{ mm}$) 64 47.4 T2 ($\geq 20 \text{ mm}$) 64 47.4 T3 ($\geq 50 \text{ mm}$) 11 8.1 BRE Grade 1 1 0.7 Grade 2 54 40.0 Grade 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor Negative (<10%)	Lymph node dissection		
No 86 63.2 Radiotherapy Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type 2 1.5 Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size	Yes	50	36.8
RadiotherapyBreast5238.2Breast locoregional4734.6No radiotherapy3727.2TypeTCarcinoma of no special type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour sizeTT1 (≤ 20 mm)6447.4T2 (21-50 mm)6044.4T3 (> 50 mm)118.1BREGrade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptorNegative (<10%)	No	86	63.2
Breast 52 38.2 Breast + locoregional 47 34.6 No radiotherapy 37 27.2 Type 34.6 98.5 Carcinoma of no special type 134 98.5 Lobular carcinoma 2 1.5 Tumour size 1 87.5 T1 ($\leq 20 \text{mm}$) 64 47.4 T2 ($21-50 \text{mm}$) 60 44.4 T3 ($>50 \text{mm}$) 11 8.1 BRE 600 49.3 99.3 Grade 1 1 0.7 $6rade 3$ 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor 92 68.1 $Progestron receptor$ $Progestron receptor$ Negative (<10%)	Radiotherapy	52	20.2
Dester Hocolegional4734.3No radiotherapy3727.2Type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size118.1T1 ($\leq 20 \text{ mm}$)6447.4T2 (21-50 mm)6044.4T3 (> 50 mm)118.1BREGrade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptorNegative (<10%)	Breast Breast - locorogional	52	38.2
Notice of the product of the produ	No radiotherapy	47	54.0 27.2
Carcinoma of no special type13498.5Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size11T1 (\leq 20 mm)6447.4T2 (21-50 mm)6044.4T3 (>50 mm)118.1BRE67ade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptor7454.8Negative (<10%)		57	27.2
Lobular carcinoma21.5Tumour size115T1 ($\leq 20 \text{ mm}$)6447.4T2 (21-50 mm)6044.4T3 (>50 mm)118.1BRE67ade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptor7454.8Negative (<10%)	Carcinoma of no special type	134	98.5
Tumour sizeT1 ($\leq 20 \text{ mm}$)6447.4T2 ($\geq 1-50 \text{ mm}$)6044.4T3 ($> 50 \text{ mm}$)118.1BRE80Grade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptorNegative (<10%)	Lobular carcinoma	2	1.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumour size		
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 12 (21-50 \mm) & 60 & 44.4 \\ 13 (>50 \mm) & 11 & 8.1 \\ BRE & & & & & \\ Grade 1 & 1 & 0.7 \\ Grade 2 & 54 & 40.0 \\ Grade 3 & 80 & 59.3 \\ Oestrogen receptor & & & \\ Negative (<10\%) & 43 & 31.9 \\ Positive (10-100\%) & 92 & 68.1 \\ Progesteron receptor & & & \\ Negative (<10\%) & 74 & 54.8 \\ Positive (10-100\%) & 61 & 45.2 \\ Ki67 & & & \\ Low/Very low (1-10\%) & 13 & 9.5 \\ Intermediate (11-20\%) & 19 & 14.0 \\ High (21-100\%) & 104 & 76.5 \\ Lymph node macrometastases & & & \\ 0 & 90 & 66.2 \\ 1-3 & 35 & 25.7 \\ >3 & 11 & 8.1 \\ Treatments & 118^{b}/12^{c}/6^{d} & 100^{b}/100^{c}/100^{d} \\ EC + P + T & 7/2/1 & 66.9/16.7/16.7 \\ FEC + P + T & 7/2/1 & 5.1/0/0 \\ FEC + P + T & 7/2/1 & 0.8/16.7/16.7 \\ FEC + D + T & 3/0/0 & 2.5/0/0 \\ P + Pz + T & 1/2/1 & 0.8/3.7/16.7 \\ EC + D + T & 3/0/0 & 2.5/0/0 \\ P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0.8/3.70 \\ CE + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0.83.70 \\ CF + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0.83.70 \\ CF + P + Pz + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0.83/0 \\ CMF + P + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + D +$	T1 (≤20 mm)	64	47.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T2 (21–50 mm)	60	44.4
BRE Grade 1 1 0.7 Grade 2 54 40.0 Grade 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor Negative (<10%) 43 31.9 Positive (10–100%) 92 68.1 Progesteron receptor Negative (<10%) 74 54.8 Positive (10–100%) 61 45.2 Ki67 Low/Very low (1–10%) 13 9.5 Intermediate (11–20%) 19 14.0 High (21–100%) 104 76.5 Lymph node macrometastases 0 90 66.2 1–3 35 25.7 >3 11 8.1 Treatments 118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d 100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T 79/2/1 66.9/16.7/16.7 P + T 15/0/0 12.7/0/0 EC + P + T 77/2/1 5.9/16.7/16.7 FEC + D + T 8/0/0 5.1/0/0 FEC + P + T 70/2/1 0.8/16.7/16.7 FEC + D + T 1/1/1 0.8/8.3/16.7 EC + D + T 1/1/1 0.8/8.3/16.7 EC + D + Pz + T 0/2/1 0/16.7/16.7 FEC + D + Pz + T 0/1/0 0.8/0/0 FEC + P + Pz + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 FEC + P + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0	T3 (>50 mm)	11	8.1
Grade 110.7Grade 25440.0Grade 38059.3Oestrogen receptor80Negative (<10%)	BRE	1	0.7
Grade 2 3^{4} 40.0 Grade 3 80 59.3 Oestrogen receptor 80 59.3 Negative (<10%)	Grade 2	54	0.7
ConstructConstructSolutionNegative (<10%)	Grade 3	54 80	40.0
Negative (<10%)4331.9Positive (<10%)	Oestrogen receptor	00	57.5
$\begin{array}{cccccc} \mbox{Positive (10-100%)} & 92 & 68.1 \\ \mbox{Progesteron receptor} & & & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Negative (<10%)} & 74 & 54.8 \\ \mbox{Positive (10-100%)} & 61 & 45.2 \\ \mbox{Ki67} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Low/Very low (1-10%)} & 13 & 9.5 \\ \mbox{Intermediate (11-20%)} & 19 & 14.0 \\ \mbox{High (21-100%)} & 104 & 76.5 \\ \mbox{Lymph node macrometastases} & & & & & \\ \mbox{O} & 90 & 66.2 \\ \mbox{1-3} & 35 & 25.7 \\ \mbox{>}3 & 11 & 8.1 \\ \mbox{Treatments} & 118^{b/12^{c}/6^{d}} & 100^{b/100^{c}/100^{d}} \\ \mbox{EC + P + T} & 79/2/1 & 66.9/16.7/16.7 \\ \mbox{P+T} & 15/0/0 & 12.7/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + T} & 7/2/1 & 5.9/16.7/16.7 \\ \mbox{FEC + D + T} & 8/0/0 & 5.1/0/0 \\ \mbox{P+Pz + T} & 1/2/1 & 0.8/16.7/16.7 \\ \mbox{FEC + D + Pz + T} & 1/2/1 & 0.8/3.0 \\ \mbox{FEC + D + Pz + T} & 0/1/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 0/1/0 & 0.8/3/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 0/1/0 & 0.8/3/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + Pz + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ \mbox{FEC + P + CMF + T} & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 $	Negative (<10%)	43	31.9
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Positive (10–100%)	92	68.1
Negative (<10%)7454.8Positive (10–100%)6145.2Ki67	Progesteron receptor		
Positive (10–100%)6145.2Ki67139.5Low/Very low (1–10%)139.5Intermediate (11–20%)1914.0High (21–100%)10476.5Lymph node macrometastases090066.21–31–33525.7>3118.1Treatments118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d 100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/012.7/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T8/0/05.1/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T1/2/10.8/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0 <td>Negative (<10%)</td> <td>74</td> <td>54.8</td>	Negative (<10%)	74	54.8
Ki6/ Low/Very low (1–10%)139.5Intermediate (11–20%)1914.0High (21–100%)10476.5Lymph node macrometastases090066.21–31–33525.7>3118.1Treatments118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d }100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/012.7/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T8/0/05.1/0/0FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T1/1/10.8/8.3/16.7EC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0	Positive (10–100%)	61	45.2
Low/Very 10W (1-10%)139.5Intermediate (11-20%)1914.0High (21-100%)10476.5Lymph node macrometastases9066.21-33525.7>3118.1Treatments118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d 100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/012.7/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T8/0/05.1/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T1/2/10.8/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T1/1/10.8/8.3/16.7EC + D + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0T single0/0/10/0/16.7	Ki6/	12	0.5
Interinediate (11-20%)1914.0High (21-100%)10476.5Lymph node macrometastases9066.21-33525.7>3118.1Treatments118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d 100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/012.7/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T8/0/05.1/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T1/2/10.8/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T1/1/10.8/8.3/16.7EC + D + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + Pz + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0T single0/0/10/0/16.7	LOW/VERY IOW (1-10%)	13	9.5
Ingri (2) - 100 / 0)10470.5Lymph node macrometastases9066.21-33525.7>3118.1Treatments118 ^b /12 ^c /6 ^d }100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/012.7/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T8/0/05.1/0/0FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T1/1/10.8/8.3/16.7EC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + D + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/3/0EC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + PT1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0T single0/0/10/0/16.7	High $(21-100\%)$	19	76.5
9066.21-335>311Treatments $118^{b}/12^{c}/6^{d}$ 100^{b}/100^{c}/100^{d}EC + P + T79/2/166.9/16.7/16.7P + T15/0/0FEC + P + T7/2/15.9/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T7/2/15.1/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T1/2/10.8/16.7/16.7FEC + D + T3/0/02.5/0/0P + Pz + T1/1/10.8/8.3/16.7EC + D + Pz + T0/2/10/16.7/16.7FEC + T10/00.8/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T0/1/00/8.3/0EC + D + Pz + T0/1/00/8.3/0EC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + P + Pz + T0/1/00.8/0/0FEC + D + PT1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0FEC + D + CMF + T1/0/00.8/0/0T single0/0/10/0/16.7	Lymph node macrometastases	10-1	70.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	90	66.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1–3	35	25.7
$\begin{array}{cccccc} Treatments & 118^{9}/12^{c}/6^{a} & 100^{9}/100^{c}/100^{a}\\ EC+P+T & 79/2/1 & 66.9/16.7/16.7\\ P+T & 15/0/0 & 12.7/0/0\\ FEC+P+T & 7/2/1 & 5.9/16.7/16.7\\ FEC+D+T & 8/0/0 & 5.1/0/0\\ FEC+P+Pz+T & 1/2/1 & 0.8/16.7/16.7\\ EC+D+T & 3/0/0 & 2.5/0/0\\ P+Pz+T & 1/1/1 & 0.8/8.3/16.7\\ EC+D+Pz+T & 0/2/1 & 0/16.7/16.7\\ FEC+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0\\ FEC+D+Pz+T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0\\ EC+P+Pz+T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0\\ CMF+P+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0\\ FEC+P+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0\\ FEC+D+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0\\ FEC+D+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0\\ Tsingle & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7\\ \end{array}$	>3	<u>,</u> 11	8.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Treatments	118 [°] /12 ^c /6 [°]	100 ^b /100 ^c /100 ^d
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P+1 & 15/0/0 & 12.7/0/0 \\ FEC+P+T & 7/2/1 & 5.9/16.7/16.7 \\ FEC+D+T & 8/0/0 & 5.1/0/0 \\ FEC+P+Pz+T & 1/2/1 & 0.8/16.7/16.7 \\ EC+D+T & 3/0/0 & 2.5/0/0 \\ P+Pz+T & 1/1/1 & 0.8/8.3/16.7 \\ EC+D+Pz+T & 0/2/1 & 0/16.7/16.7 \\ FEC+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC+D+Pz+T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ EC+P+Pz+T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ P+D+Pz+T & 0/1/0 & 0.8.3/0 \\ CMF+P+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8.0/0 \\ EC+P+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8.0/0 \\ FEC+D+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8.0/0 \\ FEC+D+CMF+T & 1/0/0 & 0.8.0/0 \\ Tsingle & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7 \\ \end{array}$	EC + P + T	79/2/1	66.9/16.7/16.7
FEC + P + T $7/2/1$ $5.9/16.7/16.7$ FEC + D + T $8/0/0$ $5.1/0/0$ FEC + D + T $1/2/1$ $0.8/16.7/16.7$ EC + D + T $3/0/0$ $2.5/0/0$ P + Pz + T $1/1/1$ $0.8/8.3/16.7$ EC + D + Pz + T $0/2/1$ $0/16.7/16.7$ FEC + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ EC + D + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ EC + P + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ EC + P + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0.8/0/0$ EC + P + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ T single $0/0/1$ $0/0/16.7$		15/0/0	12.//0/0
FEC + D + T $3/0/0$ $3.1/0/0$ FEC + D + T $1/2/1$ $0.8/16/716.7$ EC + D + T $3/0/0$ $2.5/0/0$ P + Pz + T $1/1/1$ $0.8/8.3/16.7$ EC + D + Pz + T $0/2/1$ $0/16.7/16.7$ FEC + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ EC + P + Pz + T $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ CMF + P + T $0/1/0$ $0.8/0/0$ EC + P + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ T single $0/0/1$ $0/0/16.7$	FEC + P + I	7/2/1 8/0/0	5.9/16.//16./
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$FEC + P + P_7 + T$	1/2/1	08/167/167
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EC + D + T	3/0/0	2.5/0/0
$\begin{array}{ccccc} EC + D + Pz + T & 0/2/1 & 0/16.7/16.7 \\ FEC + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ P + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ CMF + P + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ T \mbox{ single} & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7 \\ \end{array}$	P + Pz + T	1/1/1	0.8/8.3/16.7
$\begin{array}{cccc} FEC + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ EC + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ P + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ CMF + P + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ T \mbox{ single} & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7 \end{array}$	EC + D + Pz + T	0/2/1	0/16.7/16.7
$\begin{array}{cccc} FEC + D &+ Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ EC + P &+ Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ P + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ CMF + P + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FEC + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ T \ single & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7 \end{array}$	FEC + T	1/0/0	0.8/0/0
$\begin{array}{cccc} EC + P + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ P + D + Pz + T & 0/1/0 & 0/8.3/0 \\ CMF + P + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ EC + P + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ FE + D + CMF + T & 1/0/0 & 0.8/0/0 \\ T \ single & 0/0/1 & 0/0/16.7 \end{array}$	FEC + D + Pz + T	0/1/0	0/8.3/0
P + D + PZ + I $0/1/0$ $0/8.3/0$ CMF + P + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ EC + P + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ T single $0/0/1$ $0/0/16.7$	EC + P + Pz + T	0/1/0	0/8.3/0
CMF + P + 1 $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ EC + P + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ FEC + D + CMF + T $1/0/0$ $0.8/0/0$ T single $0/0/1$ $0/0/16.7$	P + D + Pz + I	0/1/0	0/8.3/0
EC + F + CWF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 FEC + D + CMF + T 1/0/0 0.8/0/0 T single 0/0/1 0/0/16.7	CWF + P + I	1/0/0	0.8/0/0
T single 0/0/1 0/0/16.7	EC + P + CWP + I FFC + D + CMF + T	1/0/0	0.8/0/0
-, -,	T single	0/0/1	0/0/16.7

^aThree patients with HER2+ right-sided and one patient with HER2+ left-sided breast cancer had bilateral breast cancer. Number of patients given different treatments are given in absolute numbers and percentage values for adjuvant^b, neoadjuvant^c and palliative^d patients. BRE: Bloom–Richardson–Elston system; E: epirubicin (90 mg/m²); C: cyclophosphamide (600 mg/m²); P: paclitaxel (70–90 mg/m²); *F*: 5-fluorouracil (500–600 mg/m²); D: docetaxel (70–100 mg/m²); M: methotrexate (M: 30 mg/m²); Pz: pertuzumab (840 mg loading dose/420 mg maintenance dose; T: trastuzumab (600 mg subcutaneously or 8 mg/kg loading dose/6 mg/kg maintenance dose intravenously).

Values of less than .05 were considered statistically significant. IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism program 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, USA) were used for statistical analyses. GraphPad Prism program 7.0 was used to create graphs.

Results

Demographics

The mean age of patients was 56.8 ± 1 years (range: 26-82; n = 136; Table 1) and 95.6% of the patients were given therapy with curative intention. Patients were operated with mastectomy and lumpectomy in 55.9% and 43.4% of cases, respectively. Lymph node dissection was performed in 36.8% of the patients and the majority of patients underwent radio-therapy for the breast and/or loco-regionally (72.8% of the cohort; Table 1).

Tumour characteristics

The characteristics of the tumours are displayed in Table 1. There was a slight overweight of left-sided tumours (51.5%) and the majority of tumours were invasive carcinoma of no special type (invasive ductal carcinoma; 98.5%), grade 3 (59.3%) and with an average size of 26.2 ± 1.3 mm (n = 135). The majority of tumours were oestrogen receptor positive (68.1%), progesterone receptor negative (54.5%) and with high Ki67 index (>20%; 76.5% of the cohort) and with node-negativity (66.2%).

Treatment protocols

In the cohort, 118 patients were treated with trastuzumab in the adjuvant setting, 12 patients in the neoadjuvant setting and 6 patients in the palliative setting. The majority of patients (85%) were administered fluorouracil (5FU)-epirubicin-cyclophosphamide (FEC; $5-FU = 500-600 \text{ mg/m}^2$; epirubicin = $60-90 \text{ mg/m}^2$; cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2) or epirubicin-cyclophosphamide (epirubicin = 90 mg/m^2 ; cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2) prior to trastuzumab therapy (Table 1). At the start of trastuzumab therapy, trastuzumab was combined with nine weekly doses of paclitaxel $(70-90 \text{ mg/m}^2)$ in 117 patients (86%) or with three cycles of docetaxel (every third week; 70-100 mg/m²) in 17 patients (12.5%; Table 1). Trastuzumab was in 12 patients combined with pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting (8 patients), in the adjuvant setting (2 patients) and in the palliative setting (2 patients). The majority of patients (92%) were administered all 17 trastuzumab treatments during 12 months, while 11 patients (8%) discontinued treatment prematurely (obtaining only 5-13 doses). Premature termination of treatments was either due to side effects including TIC or the own decision of the patient to terminate.

-

1.500

Table 2. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in the trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity (TIC) group and the control group.

	Group	Median	Mean + SEM	RANGE	
LVEF baseline (%)	TIC $(n=6)$	57.5	57.08 ± 1.36	7.5	
LVEF point in time for TIC (%)	TIC $(n=6)$	38.75	39.33 ± 1.90	13.5	p < .05
LVEF baseline (%)	Controls ($n = 125$)	60	61.42 ± 0.26	15	
LVEF 6 months (%)	Controls ($n = 125$)	60	59.81 ± 0.28	15	p < .001
LVEF 12 months (%)	Controls ($n = 125$)	60	59.34 ± 0.29	15	p < .001

Controls were defined as patients not developing TIC during the 12-month follow-up. Point in time for TIC was either at 6 months (n = 5) or 12 months (n = 1). For the control group, the Friedman test was used to compare parameters at 6 months and 12 months with values at baseline. In the statistical analysis, missing values were excluded. For the TIC group, the Wilcoxon test was used to compare parameters at the point in time for TIC with values at baseline. LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; TIC: trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity; SEM: standard error of the mean; n.s.: not significant.

Table 3. NT-proBN	P and ECG pa	rameters in the	trastuzumab-induced	cardiotoxicity	(TIC) group	and the cor	ntrol group.
-------------------	--------------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

NI-probing and ECG parameters					
	Group	Median	Mean + SEM	Range	
NT-proBNP baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	166.5	198.8 ± 64.0	390	
NT-proBNP point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	602.5	678.7 ± 132.4	902	p < .05
NTproBNP baseline	Controls ($n = 119$)	75.0	131.2 ± 20.9	2205	
NTproBNP 6 months	Controls ($n = 119$)	60.0	86.7 ± 8.8	691	p < .05
NTproBNP 12 months	Controls ($n = 119$)	68.0	98.8±12.4	1205	n.s.
P-wave baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	106.0	105.3 ± 5.1	34.0	
P-wave point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	109.0	108.7 ± 7.8	56.0	n.s.
P-wave baseline	Controls ($n = 120$)	107.0	106.3 ± 1.1	70.0	
P-wave 6 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	110.0	108.1 ± 1.4	100.0	<i>p</i> < .01
P-wave 12 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	111.0	109.2 ± 1.2	68.0	p < .001
PQ baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	150.0	152.7 ± 7.8	52.0	
PQ point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	151.0	162.7 ± 13.9	86.0	n.s.
PQ baseline	Controls ($n = 120$)	156.0	156.5 ± 2.1	154.0	
PQ 6 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	164.0	163.4 ± 1.9	106.0	p < .001
PQ 12 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	166.0	164.5 ± 2.3	152.0	p < .001
QRS baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	90.0	99.7 ± 9.7	58.0	
QRS point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	99.0	106.0 ± 8.1	56.0	p = .09
					n.s.
QRS baseline	Controls ($n = 120$)	84.0	86.5 ± 0.8	46.0	
QRS 6 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	88.0	90.2 ± 0.9	46.0	p < .001
QRS 12 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	89.0	91.1 ± 0.9	54.0	p < .001
QTc baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	417.0	423.5 ± 10.4	69.0	
QTc point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	459.5	444.2 ± 15.7	108.0	n.s.
QTc baseline	Controls ($n = 120$)	415.0	416.7 ± 1.5	96.0	
QTc 6 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	417.0	418.2 ± 1.9	161.0	n.s.
QTc 12 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	417.0	417.5 ± 1.7	107.0	n.s.
HF baseline	TIC $(n=6)$	85.0	87.7 ± 6.6	44.0	
HF point in time for TIC	TIC $(n=6)$	76.5	77.7 ± 3.5	22.0	n.s.
HF baseline	Controls ($n = 120$)	72.0	73.2 ± 1.0	53.0	
HF 6 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	68.5	69.8 ± 0.9	66.0	p < .05
HF 12 months	Controls ($n = 120$)	68.0	69.1 ± 1.0	73.0	<i>p</i> < .001

Controls were defined as patients not developing TIC during the 12-month follow-up. Point in time for TIC was either at 6 months (n = 5) or 12 months (n = 1). For the control group, the Friedman test was used to compare parameters at 6 months and 12 months with values at baseline. Patients with missing values at baseline, 6 months or 12 months were excluded in the statistical analysis. For the TIC group, the Wilcoxon test was used to compare parameters at the point in time for TIC with values at baseline. TIC: trastuzu-mab-induced cardiotoxicity; HF: heart frequency; SEM: standard error of the mean; n.s.: not significant.

Cardiotoxicity

At baseline, all 136 patients had normal LVEF (range LVEF: 50–71%). Five patients developed TIC after six months and one patient after twelve months of trastuzumab treatment giving an incidence of 4.4% of TIC in the cohort (n = 136; Supplemental Table 1). Of patients developing TIC, three patients were exposed to epirubicin (90 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (600 mg/m²) before start of trastuzumab therapy. One patient was not exposed to anthracyclines directly prior to trastuzumab therapy but had previously been exposed to doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide in the 90's due to breast cancer. The remaining two patients had not been exposed to chemotherapy. All patients with TIC developed symptoms including dyspnoea and/or clinical signs of CHF such

as inspiratory crackles. Patients developing TIC immediately terminated trastuzumab therapy and were administered CHF drug therapy (beta-blockers and ACE-inhibitors). All TIC patients ameliorated their LVEF three months after termination of trastuzumab treatment (3 completely and 3 partially). Baseline LVEF was lower for TIC patients than control patients, *i.e.* $57.08 \pm 1.36\%$ vs. $61.42 \pm 0.26\%$, respectively (n = 6 and n = 125, respectively; p < .05; Table 2). Also control patients had a significant but small decrease in LVEF at 6 months and 12 months compared with baseline (Table 2).

Patients developing TIC, had an increase in NT-proBNP from $198.8 \pm 64.0 \text{ pg/ml}$ to $678.7 \pm 132.4 \text{ pg/ml}$ (p < .05; n = 6; Table 3). In contrast, control patients developed a decrease in NT-proBNP at 6 months, i.e. from $131.2 \pm 20.9 \text{ pg/ml}$ to $86.7 \pm 8.8 \text{ pg/ml}$ (p < .05; n = 119; Table 3). In control patients,



Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for NT-proBNP (left) and δNTproBNP (right) for distinguishing trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity (TIC) from controls.

during 12-month trastuzumab therapy, the P-wave duration, the PQ interval, the QRS duration increased and the heart frequency decreased (Table 3).

ECG abnormalities including left bundle branch blocks and T wave changes were common at baseline and at the time point of TIC in TIC patients (Supplemental Table 2).

The area under the ROC curve for NT-proBNP was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.97–1.00) and for δ NTproBNP was 1.00 (95% CI: 1.00–1.00). For a value equal or above of the cut-off point of 276.5 pg/ml for NT-proBNP, the sensitivity was 100% and the specificity 95% to detect TIC. For a value equal or above of the cut-off point of 75.8 pg/ml for δ NTproBNP the sensitivity was 100% and the specificity 95% to detect TIC (Figure 1).

Risk factors

TIC patients were older than control patients (68.3 ± 1.4 years and 56.3 ± 1.0 years, respectively; p < .01). TIC was associated with present diabetes mellitus (OR = 64.5, 95% Cl: 5.72-929.3; p < .01) and atrial fibrillation (OR = 12.5; 95% Cl: 1.92-75.81; p < .05; Table 4).

Discussion

The incidence of TIC in our cohort was 4.4%, which corresponds well to previous incidence figures from larger cohort studies [6,22]. Women developing TIC were older and had more commonly diabetes and arrhythmia in their medical history. Since TIC patients often had more than one risk factor for cardiovascular disease and the number of TIC patients were only six we cannot define which of the identified risk factors that were correlated with development of TIC, since the power to do a multinominal logistic regression analysis was too low. However, our findings are in line with previous studies showing that TIC more often develops in older women with cardiovascular risk factors [6,23]. Furthermore, women in the TIC group had a lower LVEF than women in the control group at baseline indicating that women with lower LVEF may be at risk for developing TIC. The association of lower baseline LVEF and development of TIC was also shown in the NSABP-31 trial [24]. The association between

cardiovascular disease and TIC is further supported by our finding that women developing TIC often had an abnormal ECG both at baseline and at the time point for TIC. Trastuzumab treatment also led to a significant but small decrease in LVEF in the control group.

Our study showed that in the control group, an increase in P, PQ and QRS durations occurred at 6 and 12 months. For the TIC group, QRS tended to be increased from baseline, however, significance was not attained. Previous studies have suggested that a prolongation of the time from QRS onset on ECG to the beginning and peak of the transaortic flow on echocardiography (i.e. electromechanical delay) may be an early predictor for TIC [13]. Increase in PQ and QRS durations may also reflect the decrease in heart rate that we observed in control patients [25]. Hence, trastuzumab therapy seems to induce subtle changes in atrial and ventricular depolarisations, however, our study could not detect any change that discriminated TIC patients from control patients.

Women eligible for trastuzumab therapy are normally healthy in terms of cardiovascular risk and few women undergo trastuzumab therapy if CHF is present. In our cohort, all recruited women presented with a normal LVEF at baseline and the predominant part of women had normal baseline NT-proBNP values. All women who developed TIC also developed increases in NT-proBNP. To screen asymptomatic individuals with risk factors for the development of CHF with NT-proBNP has been assessed in many studies. For example, screening with NT-proBNP to detect asymptomatic CHF has been shown to be effective in patients with high cardiovascular risk in the primary care and in asymptomatic individuals with risk profile for CHF [26–28]. Our data suggest that analysing changes in NT-proBNP during 12-month trastuzumab therapy could potentially replace monitoring TIC with echocardiography. This would spare time for the patient and spare both time and money for the health care system considering that the costs for NT-proBNP in Sweden is less than one tenth of the costs for echocardiography. Our data suggest that a cut-off value of 75.8 pg/ml for δ NTproBNP could be used to decide on which patients to refer to echocardiography. Since baseline values vary a lot between healthy individuals, we suggest that $\delta NT proBNP$ is better to use than absolute NTproBNP values to detect TIC. While NT-

Table 4. Univariate ana	lyses of risk factors	associated with t	he development of	trastuzumab-induced	cardiotoxicity	(TIC)
-------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------------	-------

Risk factors for TIC				
Risk factor	TIC	Controls	OR (95% CI)	
Age (mean \pm SEM)	68.3 ± 1.4	56.3 ± 1.0		<i>p</i> < .01
BMI (mean \pm SEM)	27.5 ± 2.5	26.0 ± 0.4		n.s.
Hypertonia				n.s.
No	3 (50%)	97 (74.6%)	1	
Yes	3 (50%)	33 (25.4%)	2.94 (0.65–12.95)	
Diabetes mellitus				<i>p</i> < .01
No	4 (66.7%)	129 (99.2%)	1	
Yes	2 (33.3%)	1 (0.8%)	64.50 (5.72–929.3)	
Hyperlipidemia				n.s.
No	4 (66.7%)	117 (91.4%)	1	
Yes	2 (33.3%)	11 (8.6%)	5.32 (0.91–24.93)	
Smoking				n.s.
No	6 (100%)	109 (83.8%)	1	
Yes	0 (0%)	20 (15.4%)	0 (0-4.361)	
Atrial fibrillation				p < .05
No	4 (66.7%)	125 (96.2%)	1	
Yes	2 (33.3%)	5 (3.8%)	12.50 (1.92–75.81)	
Exposition to radiotherapy				n.s.
Right-sided BC	4 (7.8%)	47 (92.2%)	1	
Left-sided BC	2 (4.2%)	46 (95.8%)	0.49 (0.09–2.20)	

The Mann–Whitney test was used when comparing continuous data. Fisher's exact test was performed when comparing categorical data. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) are given. Smoking status of one control patient was not registered. TIC: trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity; BMI: body mass index; BC: breast cancer; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; n.s.: not significant.

proBNP increased in TIC patients, NT-proBNP decreased during the 12-month trastuzumab therapy in patients not developing TIC in accordance with previous studies [19]. The majority of patients in our study were exposed to anthracyclines prior to analysing NT-proBNP at baseline, which could have increased baseline NT-proBNP values [21].

Conclusions

Our study indicates that NT-proBNP may be used to monitor women for TIC under trastuzumab therapy. Future studies validating if NT-proBNP can be safely used to detect TIC are warranted. Women with cardiovascular risk profiles and lower LVEF are more at risk of developing TIC.

Acknowledgments

We would like to give our sincere appreciation to the Clinical Trial Unit at the Department of Oncology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, for help with the study and to physicians at the clinic recruiting patients to the study.

Ethical approval

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (version October 2008) and approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Gothenburg (reference number 683-13). Written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients in the study.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the King Gustav V Jubilee Clinical Cancer Research Foundation.

ORCID

Daniel Giglio (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1349-9336

References

- [1] Varga Z, Noske A, Ramach C, et al. Assessment of HER2 status in breast cancer: overall positivity rate and accuracy by fluorescence in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry in a single institution over 12 years: a quality control study. BMC Cancer. 2013; 13:615.
- [2] Stenehjem DD, Yoo M, Unni SK, et al. Assessment of HER2 testing patterns, HER2+ disease, and the utilization of HER2-directed therapy in early breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)). 2014;6:169–177.
- [3] Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al. Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med. 2001;344(11): 783–792.
- [4] Cobleigh MA, Vogel CL, Tripathy D, et al. Multinational study of the efficacy and safety of humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody in women who have HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer that has progressed after chemotherapy for metastatic disease. J Clin Oncol. 1999;17(9):2639–2648.
- [5] Moja L, Tagliabue L, Balduzzi S, et al. Trastuzumab containing regimens for early breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;18(4):CD006243.
- [6] Lidbrink E, Chmielowska E, Otremba B, et al. A real-world study of cardiac events in > 3700 patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer treated with trastuzumab: final analysis of the OHERA study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019;174(1):187–196.
- [7] Mohan N, Shen Y, Endo Y, et al. Trastuzumab, but not pertuzumab, dysregulates her2 signaling to mediate inhibition of

autophagy and increase in reactive oxygen species production in human cardiomyocytes. Mol Cancer Ther. 2016;15(6):1321–1331.

- [8] ElZarrad MK, Mukhopadhyay P, Mohan N, et al. Trastuzumab alters the expression of genes essential for cardiac function and induces ultrastructural changes of cardiomyocytes in mice. PLoS One. 2013;8(11):e79543.
- [9] Yavas O, Yazici M, Eren O, et al. The acute effect of trastuzumab infusion on ECG parameters in metastatic breast cancer patients. Swiss Med Wkly. 2007;137(39-40):556–558.
- [10] Garg A, Li J, Clark E, et al. Exposure-response analysis of pertuzumab in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: absence of effect on QTc prolongation and other ECG parameters. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2013;72(5):1133–1141.
- [11] Karaca M, Kocoglu H, Bilgetekin I, et al. Ventricular bigeminal rhythm associated with trastuzumab: a potential cardiac side effect. J Cancer Res Ther. 2018;14(Supplement):S536–S537.
- [12] Piotrowski G, Gawor R, Stasiak A, et al. Cardiac complications associated with trastuzumab in the setting of adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer overexpressing human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 – a prospective study. Arch Med Sci. 2012;2(2):227–235.
- [13] Choe JC, Choi JH, Choi JH, et al. Prolonged electromechanical delay as an early predictor of trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in patients undergoing treatment for breast cancer. Clin Cardiol. 2018;41(10):1308–1314.
- [14] Taylor CJ, Roalfe AK, Iles R, et al. The potential role of NT-proBNP in screening for and predicting prognosis in heart failure: a survival analysis. BMJ Open. 2014;4(4):e004675.
- [15] Fuat A, Murphy JJ, Hungin AP, et al. The diagnostic accuracy and utility of a B-type natriuretic peptide test in a community population of patients with suspected heart failure. Br J Gen Pract. 2006;56(526):327–333.
- [16] Banke A, Fosbol EL, Ewertz M, et al. Long-term risk of heart failure in breast cancer patients after adjuvant chemotherapy with or without trastuzumab. JACC Heart Fail. 2019;7(3):217–224.
- [17] Ponde N, Bradbury I, Lambertini M, et al. Cardiac biomarkers for early detection and prediction of trastuzumab and/or lapatinibinduced cardiotoxicity in patients with HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer: a NeoALTTO sub-study (BIG 1-06). Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2018;168(3):631–638.
- [18] Cardinale D, Colombo A, Torrisi R, et al. Trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity: clinical and prognostic implications of troponin I evaluation. J Cin Oncol. 2010;28(25):3910–3916.

- [19] Demissei BG, Finkelman BS, Hubbard RA, et al. Detailed phenotyping reveals distinct trajectories of cardiovascular function and symptoms with exposure to modern breast cancer therapy. Cancer. 2019;125(16):2762–2771.
- [20] D'Errico MP, Grimaldi L, Petruzzelli MF, et al. N-terminal pro-Btype natriuretic peptide plasma levels as a potential biomarker for cardiac damage after radiotherapy in patients with left-sided breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;82(2):e239–e246.
- [21] Bisoc A, Ciurescu D, Radoi M, et al. Elevations in high-sensitive cardiac troponin t and n-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide levels in the serum can predict the development of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. Am J Ther. 2020;27(2): e142–e150.
- [22] Cameron D, Piccart-Gebhart MJ, Gelber RD, Herceptin Adjuvant (HERA) Trial Study Team, et al. 11 years' follow-up of trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive early breast cancer: final analysis of the HERceptin Adjuvant (HERA) trial. Lancet. 2017;389(10075):1195–1205.
- [23] Kosalka P, Johnson C, Turek M, et al. Effect of obesity, dyslipidemia, and diabetes on trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity in breast cancer. Curr Oncol. 2019;26(3):e314–e321.
- [24] Romond EH, Jeong JH, Rastogi P, et al. Seven-year follow-up assessment of cardiac function in NSABP B-31, a randomized trial comparing doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel (ACP) with ACP plus trastuzumab as adjuvant therapy for patients with node-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(31): 3792–3799.
- [25] Mason JW, Badilini F, Vaglio M, et al. A fundamental relationship between intraventricular conduction and heart rate. J Electrocardiol. 2016;49(3):362–370.
- [26] Gavazzi A, De Maria R, Grosu A, et al. Screening of asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction in a population sample at high cardiovascular risk in Lombardy (Italy): the DAVID-Berg study. G Ital Cardiol (Rome). 2014;15(5):313–322.
- [27] Boffa U, McGrady M, Reid CM, et al. SCReening Evaluation of the Evolution of New Heart Failure Study (SCREEN-HF): early detection of chronic heart failure in the workplace. Aust Health Rev. 2017;41(2):121–126.
- [28] McGrady M, Reid CM, Shiel L, et al. NT-proB natriuretic peptide, risk factors and asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction: results of the SCReening Evaluation of the Evolution of New Heart Failure study (SCREEN-HF). Int J Cardiol. 2013;169(2):133–138.