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#### Abstract

Under weak turbulence theory, we will use the random thin phase screen model and the Kolmogorov power-law spectrum to derive approximate models for the scintillation index, covariance function of irradiance fluctuations, and temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations for collimated beams. In addition, we will provide an expression for the quasi-frequency of a collimated beam and investigate the relationship between the quasi-frequency and the maximum width of the normalized temporal spectrum of irradiance for a collimated beam.
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## INTRODUCTION

Movement of light through a dynamic medium can create a variety of effects that most people have observed throughout history. For example, stars in the night sky may appear to twinkle or while driving down a long straight road during the middle of the day, a hazy layer of movement may appear above the road's surface. The earth's atmosphere is a continuously changing medium that affects the way we perceive light and images. If we study a laser beam propagating through the earth's atmosphere, we find that the beam collected at a receiver may vary in intensity and/or may continuously be changing its position.

While studying laser propagation through a random medium, statistical models are used to describe propagation environments and beam characteristics. To describe the turbulent nature of the earth's atmosphere, where each point in space and time can be represented by a random variable, we commonly use a stochastic field. By using a stochastic field to model atmospheric turbulence, we can use statistical tools to investigate beam characteristics. One of the most important beam characteristics is the intensity, or irradiance, of the laser at a receiver. Propagating a beam through a continuously changing medium can cause fluctuations in a laser's irradiance; these fluctuations are often referred to as "scintillation." The scintillation index is a phrase used to describe the normalized irradiance fluctuations at a single point on a receiver. Another useful beam characteristic, closely related to the scintillation index, is called the covariance function of irradiance fluctuations. A covariance function is used to describe the correlation of irradiance fluctuations between two separate points on a receiver. The scintillation index and covariance function are considered spatial functions because of their dependence on
points within the beam. Since a stochastic field also treats time as a variable, we can use the frozen turbulence hypothesis to express the covariance function in terms of the temporal domain and then we can calculate the temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations. The frozen turbulence hypothesis assumes that turbulent eddies are frozen in shape and move by the receiver with average transverse wind speed. Hence, spatial separations can be converted to the temporal domain by including the wind speed. Moreover, through the use of a phase screen model, we will be able to derive approximate expressions for the scintillation index, the covariance function and temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations. Once we have an expression for the temporal spectrum, we can normalize it to create a function that has characteristics like a probability density function. By treating the normalized spectrum as a probability density function, we can further describe beam characteristics and look for any relations through standard statistical tools.

## BACKGROUND

Optical Wave Models

Lasers are devices that produce coherent radiation in wavelengths typically ranging from the infrared to the ultraviolet regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. From Figure 1, we see that some lasers produce radiation with wavelengths in the range of visible light. A laser beam is commonly referred to as an optical beam.


Figure 1: A portion of the electromagnetic spectrum.

There are three optical wave models that are commonly used to study laser propagation, assuming propagation is along the positive z -axis. The first model is the plane wave, which is described by an unbounded wave with constant amplitude $A_{0}$, constant phase $\varphi_{0}$, and in the plane of the transmitter $(z=0)$ it is represented by
$U_{0}(x, y, 0)=A_{0} e^{i \varphi_{0}}$,

The next is the spherical wave model, which is described by an unbounded wave associated with a point source and in the plane of the transmitter $(z=0)$ it is represented by
$U_{0}(x, y, 0)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{i k R}}{4 \pi R}$,
where $R=|\vec{R}|=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$. Finally, the beam or Gaussian-beam model describes a wave of finite size with focusing capabilities. It has amplitude and phase profile described in the plane of the transmitter $(z=0)$ by
$U_{0}(x, y, 0)=a_{0} \exp \left[-\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{W_{0}^{2}}-\frac{i k}{2 F_{0}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right]$,
where $a_{0}$ is the on-axis amplitude, $W_{0}$ is the beam spot radius, and $F_{0}$ is its phase front radius of curvature at the transmitter. Of the three models, the Gaussian-beam model will be used throughout this paper.

## Propagation Of Gaussian-Beam Waves Through Free-Space

Studying a beam propagating through free-space, an environment devoid of turbulence, allows us to describe characteristics and define important parameters of the beam at both the transmitter and receiver, see Figure 2. At the transmitter or input plane $(z=0)$, we have the following beam characteristics;

Wave number: $\quad k=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength
Spot size radius: $W_{0}$

Phase front radius of curvature: $\quad F_{0}$.


Figure 2: Laser propagating through free space.

By using the above characteristics, we can define a set of input plane beam parameters with $z$ representing the propagation length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}=1-\frac{z}{F_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda_{0}=\frac{2 z}{k W_{0}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Lambda_{0}$ are dimensionless quantities. As for the receiver or output plane $(z=L)$, we can define a set of output plane beam parameters in terms of the input plane parameters as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\frac{\Theta_{0}}{\Theta_{0}^{2}+\Lambda_{0}^{2}}=1+\frac{z}{F} \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda=\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{\Theta_{0}^{2}+\Lambda_{0}^{2}}=\frac{2 z}{k W^{2}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is the phase front radius of curvature at the receiver and $W$ is the spot size at the receiver. A third output plane parameter is commonly used to simplify many expressions in propagation analysis; it is called the complementary parameter and is defined as $\bar{\Theta}=1-\Theta$.

## Medium Models

As the earth rotates, its surface continuously goes through a cycle of heating and cooling, which creates a combination of temperature and wind speed variations. L. F. Richardson ${ }^{(1)}$ developed the energy cascade theory, which illustrates how transformation of energy, originating from the sun, creates unstable air masses (or eddies) throughout the earth's atmosphere. As energy is transferred, large eddies are broken into smaller ones until the energy is dissipated as heat, see Figure 3. The size of the large eddies will be denoted as $L_{0}$ and are referred to as the outer scale of turbulence. As for the size of the smaller eddies, they will be denoted as $l_{0}$ and are referred to as the inner scale of turbulence.


Figure 3: Visualization of the energy cascade theory.

Figure 4 illustrates an optical wave propagating from a transmitter to a receiver through a medium consisting of various sized eddies. Studies have shown that eddies act like lenses that change the beam's direction and/or focal point. The propagation environment illustrated in Figure 4 is called an extended medium model, where the random medium exists everywhere
between the transmitter and receiver. Most propagation environments, when propagating over a horizontal path, are of the extended medium model.


Figure 4: Extended medium model.

Figure 5 shows a propagation environment where the random medium does not exist everywhere between the transmitter and receiver, but is restricted to a thin layer. The environment illustrated in Figure 5 is called a thin random phase screen model and we will find later that using this model will allow us to simplify certain conditions which will lead to the easier evaluation of some integrals. Note that many of the calculations in this paper are done using the random phase screen model as an approximation to the extended turbulence model.


Figure 5: Phase screen model.

## Refractive Index Fluctuations

Suppose a beam of light intercepts, but not perpendicular to, a plane of glass. Experiments have shown that part of the incident light is reflected by the surface of the glass, while the rest is refracted, meaning that some of the incident light passes through the surface and into the glass, see Figure 6. ${ }^{(2)}$


Figure 6: Refractive and reflected light from light intersecting with a plane of glass.

The term index of refraction is used to describe the amount of refractive effect on light propagating through a particular medium. When studying beam propagation, index of refraction is an important dimensionless parameter of the atmosphere. Studies have shown the refractive index to be very sensitive to small fluctuations in temperature while fluctuations in pressure and humidity are usually neglected. In addition, the index of refraction encountered by light in any medium besides vacuum space depends on the wave number (wavelength) of the light.

Due to its random nature, the atmosphere is treated as a stochastic field, where each point in space and time can be represented as a random variable. When a random field is statistically homogeneous and isotropic, it means that statistical moments are invariant under a spatial translation and rotation, respectively. By assuming the atmosphere is statistically homogeneous and isotropic, we are led to simpler power spectrum models for refractive index fluctuations. A well-known and commonly used model is called the Kolmogorov power-law spectrum and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=0.033 C_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3} \text {, for } 1 / L_{0} \ll \kappa \ll 1 / l_{0} \text {. } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Kolmogorov spectrum, $\kappa$ is the scalar spatial frequency (with units of rad $/ \mathrm{m}$ ) and $C_{n}^{2}$ is called the index of refraction structure constant (with units of $\mathrm{m}^{-2 / 3}$ ). Although other spectrum models have been developed for dealing with the effects of the outer and inner scales of turbulence, denoted by $L_{0}$ and $l_{0}$, respectively. All calculations throughout this paper have been completed using the Kolmogorov spectrum.

Fluctuations in the refractive index lead to a number of detrimental effects on an optical wave. One such effect is the fluctuation of intensity or irradiance of the optical wave at a
receiver. The term scintillation or scintillation index is used to describe fluctuations in the received irradiance resulting from propagating through atmospheric turbulence. Studies in optical wave propagation use scintillation to classify atmospheric conditions in terms of either weak or strong fluctuations. It is customary to use the Rytov variance, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{R}^{2}=1.23 C_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to distinguish between weak and strong fluctuation conditions. In the case of a Gaussian-beam, weak fluctuations are characterized by the set of conditions where

$$
\sigma_{R}^{2}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{R}^{2} \Lambda^{5 / 6}<1
$$

## RANDOM PHASE SCREEN MODEL

As stated earlier, propagating environments over horizontal paths are primary described by the extended medium model, see Figure 2, where the random medium extends from the transmitter $(z=0)$ to the receiver $(z=L)$. Random phase screens are turbulent layers that lay in the propagation path; some examples could be scattering from a rough sea surface or smoke and heat caused from a fire. Both cases describe an extended medium environment with a random phase screen somewhere in the path of propagation. Booker el at ${ }^{(3)}$ made a comparative study between the extended medium and phase screen models. Later, Andrews el al ${ }^{(4)}$ extended the phase screen analysis to the Gaussian-beam wave, where the model is described as a turbulent layer arbitrarily located between the transmitter and the receiver in a free space environment, see Figure 7. Using Andrews' model, let's assume the path of propagation is along the $z$-axis with the transmitter positioned at $z=0$ and the receiver positioned at $z=L$. In addition, let's assume the random layer exists only between the planes $z=L_{1}$ and $z=L_{1}+L_{2}$. Observe that the path of propagation is $L=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}$. Andrews has shown that using the random phase screen model can simplify the mathematics associated with statistical models of optical wave propagation by taking the turbulent layer to be very "thin." ${ }^{(5)}$ By "thin" layer, we mean that the length of $L_{2}$ is much smaller than the length $L_{3}$ or it is common to say $\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}} \ll 1$. Many of the statistical models require integrating expressions over the path of propagation; integrating with respect to $z$ from 0 to $L$.


Figure 7: Phase screen model.

Let $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)$ represent the power spectrum for refractive index fluctuations of the turbulent layer.
Since $L_{1}$ and $L_{3}$ are the regions of free space and the power spectrum applies only to the interval $L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2}$, then

$$
\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 ; & 0 \leq z \leq L_{1} \\
0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3} ; & L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2} . \\
0 ; & L_{1}+L_{2} \leq z \leq L
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, using a thin random phase screen model allows for integration over a smaller interval.

Normalizing the propagation path, $1-\frac{z}{L}$, often simplifies the integration process. Under the thin phase screen model, normalizing the interval of contribution, $L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2}$ allows for further simplifications. Let's define $d_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}}$, and $d_{3}=\frac{L_{3}}{L}$, so that

$$
1-\frac{z}{L}=d_{3}\left(1+d_{2} \eta\right)
$$

where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Observe that when $\eta=0$, then $z=L_{1}+L_{2}$ and when $\eta=1$, then $z=L_{1}$, see
Figure 8. In addition, note that $L d_{2} d_{3}=L\left(\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}}\right)\left(\frac{L_{3}}{L}\right)=L_{2}$.


Figure 8: Phase screen model with a change of variable of integration from $L$ to $\eta$.

Rather than integrate with respect to $z$, the variable of integration would become $\eta$. Making a change of variable of integration from $z$ to $\eta$, we have
$\int_{0}^{L} d z=\int_{L_{1}}^{L_{1}+L_{2}} d z \Rightarrow \int_{1}^{0}-L_{2} d \eta=L_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta$.

Making the assumption of a thin phase screen implies that $d_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}} \ll 1$ and since $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, then (9) simplifies to $d_{3}\left(1+d_{2} \eta\right) \cong d_{3}$. Hence, using the thin phase screen model, described by

Andrews, ${ }^{(5)}$ allows for approximations to be made which eliminate the requirement to integrate over the propagation path. In addition, Andrews has shown the Rytov variance for a thin random phase screen model to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{C}_{n}^{2}$ is the refractive structure constant of the phase screen. Enforcing equivalence between (8) and (10) leads to the relation between the refractive structure constants of the extended medium and phase screen models to be $C_{n}^{2}=1.83 d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6} \hat{C}_{n}^{2}=1.83 d_{3}^{11 / 6} \frac{L_{2}}{L} \hat{C}_{n}^{2}$.

## SCINTILLATION INDEX

The analysis that follows is completed under the following assumptions; weak optical turbulence theory and turbulence is statistically homogeneous and isotropic. By studying fourthorder statistical moments of the optical field, we can derive expressions for irradiance fluctuations. Using the extended medium model, it has been shown that the scintillation index, which describes irradiance fluctuations at a single point in the receiver plane, can be approximated by ${ }^{(5)}$
$\sigma_{I}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} \xi^{2}}{k}\right)\left\{I_{0}(2 \Lambda r \kappa \xi)-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right\} d \kappa d \xi$,
where $I_{0}(x)$ is a modified Bessel function of the first kind, see A17 in appendix $\mathrm{A}, \kappa$ is the scalar spatial frequency, $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)$ is the power spectrum model, $\vec{r}$ describes a vector in the transverse plane at $z=L, r=|\vec{r}|, \Lambda$ and $\bar{\Theta}$ are beam parameters, and $\xi$ represents the normalized distance variable defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=1-\frac{z}{L} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is often convenient to express the scintillation index as a sum of two integrals that are interpreted as the radial and longitudinal components of the scintillation index and are identified respectively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} \xi^{2}}{k}\right)\left\{I_{0}(2 \Lambda r \xi \kappa)-1\right\} d \kappa d \xi, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} \xi^{2}}{k}\right)\left\{1-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right\} d \kappa d \xi . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown that after completing the integrals, the radial and longitudinal components become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=2.64 \sigma_{R}^{2} \Lambda^{5 / 6}\left[1-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ; \frac{2 r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)\right], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=3.86 \sigma_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[i^{5 / 6}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{6} ; \frac{17}{6} ; \bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda\right)-\frac{11}{16} \Lambda^{5 / 6}\right], \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or as the total scintillation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=3.86 \sigma_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[i^{5 / 6}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{6} ; \frac{17}{6} ; \bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda\right)\right]-2.64 \sigma_{R}^{2} \Lambda^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ; \frac{2 r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{p} F_{q}(z)$ denotes a generalized hypergeometric function, see A16 in appendix A. Typically, it is useful to have simple and accurate approximations for the scintillation index.

Using the small argument asymptotic form (A13) of the radial component and forming an
approximation of the longitudinal component in the case of a collimated beam, the total scintillation index becomes

$$
\sigma_{I}^{2}(r, L) \cong 4.42 \sigma_{R}^{2} \Lambda^{5 / 6} \frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}+3.86 \sigma_{R}^{2}\left\{0.40\left[(1+2 \Theta)^{2} 4 \Lambda^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1+2 \Theta}{2 \Lambda}\right)\right]-\frac{11}{16} \Lambda^{5 / 6}\right\}
$$

where $r<W$.

Let's use Andrews' thin phase screen model, in which the turbulent medium is confined within a thin layer and use the Kolmogorov power-law spectrum, $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3}$, to evaluate (11). Additionally, let's assume the random medium exits along the propagation path only over the interval $L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2}$, see Figures 7 or 8 . Thus the Kolmogorov power-law spectrum applies only to the interval $L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2}$, giving

$$
\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 ; ; & 0 \leq z \leq L_{1}  \tag{19}\\
0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3} ; & L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2} . \\
0 ; & L_{1}+L_{2} \leq z \leq L
\end{array}\right.
$$

Just as $\xi$, defined by (12), was used to represent the normalized distance in (11), let's introduce a new normalized distance variable for the interval $L_{1} \leq z \leq L_{1}+L_{2}$. Let's take $1-\frac{z}{L}=d_{3}\left(1+d_{2} \eta\right)$,
where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1, d_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}}$, and $d_{3}=\frac{L_{3}}{L}$. Assuming a thin phase screen implies that $d_{2}=\frac{L_{2}}{L_{3}} \ll 1$ and since $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, then (20) simplifies to $d_{3}\left(1+d_{2} \eta\right) \cong d_{3}$. (Refer back to the section on random phase screen model.) As a result, the scintillation index (11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right)\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again separating the scintillation index into two integrals, the radial and longitudinal components are identified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-1\right\} d \kappa, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{1-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the integrals, see appendix B, radial and longitudinal components can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left\{\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\}$,
where $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$ is the Rytov variance for the thin phase screen model. By combining the radial and longitudinal components, the total scintillation index under the thin phase screen model is approximately

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}(\vec{r}, L) & \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}+3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left\{\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12}\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

It has been shown by Andrews ${ }^{(5)}$ that (26) closely approximates (18) when the phase screen position satisfies
$d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta$.

Plane wave models have beam parameters of $\Theta=1$ and $\Lambda=0$, which implies that the screen would be placed so that $d_{3}=0.5$. Spherical wave models have beam parameters of $\Theta=0$ and $\Lambda=0$, thus screen placement requires that $d_{3}=0.67$. For collimated beams, we see that $0.5<d_{3}<0.67$. So, for a collimated beam the screen must be closer to the transmitter than for a plane wave. Figure 9 shows a comparison of a collimated beam passing through an extended medium model and a thin random phase screen model. ${ }^{(5)}$


Figure 9: The curves are the scaled scintillation index for a collimated beam and are plotted as a function

$$
\Lambda_{0}=\frac{2 L}{k W_{0}^{2}}
$$

## COVARIANCE FUNCTION OF IRRADIANCE FLUCTUATIONS

The covariance function of irradiance fluctuations describes how irradiance fluctuations at one point in the beam are correlated with those at another point. Let $\vec{r}_{1}$ and $\vec{r}_{2}$ denote the two points in the receiver plane. Using the extended medium model I has been shown that the covariance function is expressed as ${ }^{(5)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I}(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}[\kappa \mid(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi) \vec{p}-2 i \Lambda \xi \vec{r})\right] \\
& \left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right] J_{0}[(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi-i \Lambda \xi) \rho \kappa]\right\} d \kappa d \xi \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{0}(z)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind, see A16 in appendix A, $\vec{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{r}_{1}+\vec{r}_{2}\right)$,
$\vec{p}=\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}, r=|\vec{r}|, \rho=|\vec{p}|$, and the normalized distance for $0 \leq z \leq L$ is given as $\xi=1-\frac{z}{L}$, so that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. In addition, the absolute value in the Bessel function refers to the magnitude of the vector expression, not the complex expression. Equation (28) can be described as a spatial statistic because of the spatial points in the beam, $\vec{r}_{1}$ and $\vec{r}_{2}$. However, temporal statistics are measured in most applications. The Taylor frozen turbulence hypothesis suggests that turbulent eddies are treated as being frozen in space and are being moved across the path of observation by wind speed, denoted as $V_{\perp}$. Knowledge of the average wind speed transverse to the direction of the propagation will allow us to convert spatial statistics into temporal statistics. Under the frozen turbulence hypothesis, the covariance function is approximated as, see appendix C ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi]\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

By separating (29) into two integrals, we can identify the radial and longitudinal components as, (C1) and (C2) respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]-1\right) d \kappa d \xi, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that (31) does not depend on $\vec{r}$, so setting $\vec{r}=0$ leaves the longitudinal component of irradiance fluctuations as a temporal statistic

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

For the extended medium model, an exact result for the covariance function using the
Kolmogorov power-law spectrum has not yet been derived. However, applying the thin phase
screen model to (29) simplifies the covariance function from evaluating two integrals to evaluating one integral

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{I}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
\left.\quad \times\left(I_{0}\left[2 \Lambda \kappa d_{3} r\right]-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

The radial and longitudinal components of the covariance function under the thin phase screen model are

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\left(I_{0}\left[2 \Lambda \kappa d_{3} r\right]-1\right) d \kappa, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the radial component contains both spatial and temporal arguments, while the longitudinal component contains a temporal argument. Upon evaluating the integrals, see appendix D , the radial and longitudinal components are simplified and approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r}{W}\right)^{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I, l}(\tau, L) & =3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i / 6\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 i d_{3}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where ${ }_{p} F_{q}(z)$ denotes a generalized hypergeometric function, see A16 in appendix A. In addition, $d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta, \omega_{t}=\frac{V_{\perp}}{\sqrt{L / k}}$ is the Fresnel frequency, and $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$ is the Rytov variance for the thin phase screen model. It is common to define additional parameters to simplify the arguments of the ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ hypergeometric functions; let $a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 d_{3} i\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}$ and $a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}$. Using these additional parameters, then (36) and (37) are simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r}{W}\right)^{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{I, l}(\tau, L) & =3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right. \\
- & \left.\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (38) and (39), we have the total covariance function of irradiance fluctuations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right) 1 F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 /{ }_{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\} \cdot 40
\end{aligned}
$$

## TEMPORAL SPECTRUM OF IRRADIANCE

The temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations is an important characteristic of atmospheric noise associated with optical communication systems and laser radar systems. It is defined by the Fourier transform of the temporal covariance function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I}(\omega)=2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{I}(\tau, L) e^{-i \omega \tau} d \tau=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} B_{I}(\tau, L) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{I}(\tau, L)$ is the temporal covariance function of irradiance fluctuations. The extra factor of 2 in the transform integral is due to considering only positive frequencies and the using the real component of the complex exponential is due to the even property of the covariance function with respect to $\tau$. In addition, the temporal spectrum is a function of $\omega$, which represents angular frequency.

We have the radial and longitudinal components of the covariance functions for the irradiance fluctuations, (38) and (39). Substituting (38) and (39) into (41) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I, r}(\omega)=4(6.45) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)_{0}^{\infty}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =4^{1 / 6}(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{a_{1}^{-5 / 6} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\} \\
& -4(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\}, \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{p} F_{q}(z)$ denotes a generalized hypergeometric function, see A16 in appendix A, $a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 d_{3} i\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}, a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}, \omega_{t}=\frac{V_{\perp}}{\sqrt{L / k}}$ is the Fresnel frequency, and $d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta$. Evaluating (42) and (43), see appendix E, the radial and longitudinal components of the temporal spectrum of irradiance are approximated by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =19.4924 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{7 / 6}}{\omega_{t}}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-0.965722\left(\Lambda d_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{2 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\}, \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}\left[{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+0.29\left[\frac{1}{a_{2}^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{1}^{4 / 3}{ }_{1}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the total temporal spectrum model is the sum of (44) and (45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I}(\omega)=S_{I, r}(\omega, r)+S_{I, l}(\omega) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that we have an expression for the temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations, we want to investigate the maximum width of spectrum. Observe in equations (44) and (45), the expression $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}$. The Fresnel frequency defined by $\omega_{t}=\frac{V_{\perp}}{\sqrt{L / k}}$ plays an important role in determining the
width of the spectrum. In weak turbulence, we often identify spectral width with $\omega_{t}$ and from the definition of the Fresnel frequency we see that the spectral width varies with the wave number. Andrews ${ }^{(5)}$ has shown the temporal spectrum can be plotted as a function of the expression $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}$, see Figure 10.


Figure 10: Power spectrum of irradiance fluctuations of a collimated beam scaled by the on-axis scintillation index and multiplied by $\omega_{t}$. Results are for $r=0$ and the Kolmogorov power-law spectrum.

Figure 10 shows the spectrum to drop off when $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}} \cong 1$. It is common to describe the width of the spectrum when the frequency, $\omega$, is approximately equal to the Fresnel frequency, $\omega_{t}$. However, using the Fresnel frequency to describe the width of the spectrum does not capture the contribution of the spectrum when $\omega>\omega_{t}$. One way to increase the amount of contribution when investigating the spectrum's width is the intersection of the constant function $\frac{1}{e^{2}}$ with the spectrum. Thus, we will consider the spectrum's width in two ways, using the Fresnel frequency
and the constant function $\frac{1}{e^{2}}$. In the next section, we will define the quasi-frequency and investigate how the quasi-frequency is related to the width of the spectrum.

## QUASI-FREQUENCY

Laser communication systems are one of many applications of transmitting optical waves through the atmosphere. A laser communication system is composed of three subsystems; a transmitter, a channel, and a receiver. The purpose of the transmitter is to prepare information to be sent on an optical wave, while the channel is simply the transmission medium between the transmitter and receiver. The receiver is designed to collect the optical wave, process the wave, and convert it into an electrical current in order to recover the transmitted information. There are two basic types of receivers, the first is a direct (or power) detecting receiver, which detects only the intensity of the wave, and the second is a coherent receiver, which detects the wave itself. One of the most important concerns with laser communication systems is signal detection. Fluctuations in the beam's intensity could result in the receiver processing or missing the transmitted signal. However, a receiver may collect light from another source besides the transmitter. Signals produced from the unwanted light are referred to as noise, and produce false alarms in the receiver. A threshold is a value that can be set in order to maximize the probability of detection while minimizing the probability of missed detection. However, maximizing the probability of detection results in an increase of the amount of false alarms. Thus, the concept of a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is very important when studying laser communication systems. By graphing the output current of the detector, we see that current randomly fluctuates with respect to time, see Figure 11. A fade occurs when the desired current drops below the threshold level, while a surge occurs when the current rises above the threshold level. The frequency of fades and surges are of particular interest when studying the detection process. Rice ${ }^{(6 ; 7 ; 8)}$ has shown
that for a stationary process the frequency in which the output current makes a positive or negative crossing across the


Figure 11: Example of output current from detector over time.
threshold value $\left(i_{T}\right)$ is given by the mean expected number of crossings per second defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n\left(i_{T}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|i^{\prime}\right| p_{s+n}\left(i_{T}, i^{\prime}\right) d i^{\prime}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{s+n}\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)$ is the joint probability density function of the output current $i$ and its time derivative $i^{\prime}$. In addition, Rice showed that the time derivative of a random process and the process itself are uncorrelated, while not necessarily being independent. If we assume that $i(\tau)$ is a Gaussian random process, then by the definition of a derivative we know that $i^{\prime}(\tau)$ is a Gaussian process as well. It has been shown that fading statistics can be based on statistics
associated with the irradiance of the received wave and (47) can be expressed in terms of irradiance, $I$, as
$\left\langle n\left(I_{T}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|I^{\prime}\right| p_{I}\left(I_{T}, I^{\prime}\right) d I^{\prime}$
where $p_{I}\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ is the joint probability density function of irradiance $I$ and its derivative $I^{\prime}$, and $I_{T}$ represents a prescribed threshold value. Evaluating (48) leads to the mean expected number of fades per unit time to be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n\left(I_{T}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{b_{2}}{b_{0}}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left((1 / 2) b_{0}-0.23 F_{T}\right)^{2}}{2 b_{0}}\right]=v_{0} \exp \left[-\frac{\left((1 / 2) b_{0}-0.23 F_{T}\right)^{2}}{2 b_{0}}\right], \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{T}$ is the fade threshold parameter, $v_{0}$ is called the quasi-frequency, $b_{2}=-B_{I}^{\prime \prime}(0)$, and $b_{0}=B_{I}(0) .{ }^{(5)}$ The fade parameter $F_{T}$, measured in decibels $(\mathrm{dB})$, represents the decibel level below the on-axis mean irradiance that the threshold $I_{T}$ is set. From (49), we see that the quasifrequency is given as
$v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{b_{2}}{b_{0}}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{-\frac{B_{I}^{\prime \prime}(0)}{B_{I}(0)}}$,
and it is measured in hertz. Considering the on-axis characteristics of the beam, it follows that $b_{0}=B_{I, l}(0, L)$, which the longitudinal component of the covariance function of irradiance (37) when $\tau=0$. By twice differentiating (37) with respect to time and setting $\tau=0$, we have
$b_{2}=-B_{I . l}^{\prime \prime}(0, L)$. Applying the inverse Fourier transform to the longitudinal component of the covariance function (45) gives

$$
B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \omega \tau} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega
$$

and when $\tau=0$ it follows that

$$
b_{0}=B_{I, l}(0, L)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega .
$$

Similarly, for second derivative we have

$$
B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(\tau, L)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{2} e^{i \omega \tau} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega,
$$

and when $\tau=0$ then

$$
b_{2}=-B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(0, L)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega .
$$

For on-axis beam characteristics, we can use (51) and (53) to calculate the quasi-frequency $v_{0}$ using the covariance function when $\tau=0$
$v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{-\frac{B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(0, L)}{B_{I, l}(0, L)}}$
or using the temporal spectrum of irradiance
$v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega}{\int_{0}^{\infty} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
Assuming that $\int_{0}^{\infty} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega$ is a finite value, it is the area under the curve for the longitudinal component of the spectrum. Consequently, the expression

$$
\frac{S_{I, l}(\omega)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} S_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega}
$$

represents the normalized longitudinal component of temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations, which we denote as $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$. Using the notation for the normalized spectrum, (54) can be written as

$$
v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} \hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega) d \omega\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

Investigating the normalized spectrum defined by (55) reveals that it has properties similar to a probability density function. By treating (55) as a probability density function we can use statistical analysis to describe characteristics about the normalized spectrum. While a mean value is a first-order statistical moment that a value around which most other values tend to cluster, second-order moments are used to describe the distribution pattern around the mean value. The variance is an important second-order moment and for the normalized spectrum the variance is defined as

$$
\sigma^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} \hat{S}_{l, l}(\omega) d \omega .
$$

Taking the square root of both sides of (57) we have the standard deviation, given as

$$
\sigma=\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} \hat{S}_{l, l}(\omega) d \omega\right]^{1 / 2},
$$

this roughly measures the width of a probability density function (PDF). By comparing (56) and (58), we see that $2 \pi v_{0}$ is the width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum of irradiance, if it were treated as a PDF.

Treating (55) as a PDF, let's compare the maximum width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum, calculated used the constant $e^{-2}$, to the quasi-frequency for collimated beams. Figure 12 shows the normalized spectrums for two collimated beams with spot sizes of 1.5 cm and 3 cm . The intersection of the spectrums with the constant function $e^{-2}$ is one way to describe the width of the spectrum.


Figure 12: Normalized longitudinal component of the power spectrum of irradiance fluctuations for collimated beams with spot sizes 1.5 cm and 3 cm , propagating 1000 m , and constant function $e^{-2}$.

The graphs of the normalized spectrums indicate possible convergence of the spectrum, however as $S_{I, l}(\omega)$ approaches infinity there are small fluctuations above the $\omega$ axis. Consequently, integrating (45),

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}\left[{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+0.29\left[\frac{1}{a_{2}^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{1}^{4 / 3} 1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

over the interval zero to infinity leads to a divergent expression. Considering the physical characteristics of the spectrum suggests that contributing frequencies are over a finite interval. By using manual techniques, we can approximate the width of the spectrum by integrating (45) with respect to angular frequency $\omega$ over a finite interval, let's consider the interval $[0.01$, 3,000]. Earlier, we discussed spectral width using both the Fresnel frequency and the constant $e^{-2}$. First, let's compare the width of the spectrum using the Fresnel frequency to the quasifrequency. Our investigation will use collimated beams to wavelengths, $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$ Additionally, the transverse velocity will be treated as a constant, which is given as $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Figures 13 and 14 , show the ratio of the width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum of irradiance using the Fresnel frequency, $\omega_{t}$, compared to the quasi-frequency. The ratio is given as a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams of various spot sizes with wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$.


Figure 13: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the Fresnel frequency scaled by the quasi-frequency.
The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes $2 \mathbf{c m}$ to $\mathbf{6 ~ c m ~ o f ~}$ wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 14: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the Fresnel frequency scaled by the quasi-frequency.
The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes 0.2 cm to $1 \mathbf{c m}$ of wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Figures 15 and 16, show the ratio of the width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum of irradiance using the Fresnel frequency, $\omega_{t}$, compared to the quasifrequency. Again, the ratio is given as a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams of various spot sizes with wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$.


Figure 15: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the Fresnel frequency scaled by the quasi-frequency.
The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ c m ~ t o ~} \mathbf{6} \mathbf{~ c m}$ of wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 16: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the Fresnel frequency scaled by the quasi-frequency.
The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes 0.2 cm to $1 \mathbf{c m}$ of wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Based on the data provided in Figures 13, 14, 15, and 16, comparing the spectrum width using the Fresnel frequency to the quasi-frequency, the spectral width is roughly $\frac{1}{2} v_{0}$ to $\frac{3}{4} v_{0}$. Observe that for larger beams and propagation lengths of 1 km , we have a reasonable approximation for the spectral width measured by the Fresnel frequency which is given as $\omega_{t} \cong \frac{3}{4} v_{0}$. Recall that quasi-frequency is related to fade statistic calculations. Therefore, we are able to approximate the quasi-frequency by

$$
v_{0} \cong \frac{4}{3} \omega_{t}=\frac{4 V_{\perp}}{3 \sqrt{L / k}}
$$

When calculating the fade statistic given by (49), rather than evaluate (53) or (54) to find the quasi-frequency, one can use (59) to quickly find a reasonable approximation for the quasifrequency under weak optical turbulence theory.

Now let's compare the width of the spectrum using the constant $e^{-2}$ to the quasifrequency. Again, we will use collimated beams to wavelengths, $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and $0.532 \times$ $10^{-6}$. Figures 17 and 18 show the ratio of the width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum of irradiance using the constant $e^{-2}$ compared to the quasi-frequency. The ratio is given as a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams of various spot sizes with wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$.


Figure 17: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the constant $e^{-2}$ scaled by the quasi-frequency. The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ c m ~ t o ~} 6 \mathbf{c m}$ of wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 18: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using the constant $e^{-2}$ scaled by the quasi-frequency. The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes 0.2 cm to 1 cm of wavelengths of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Figures 19 and 20 show the ratio of the width of the normalized longitudinal component of the temporal spectrum of irradiance using the constant $e^{-2}$ compared to the quasi-frequency. Again, the ratio is given as a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams of various spot sizes with wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$. Additionally for Figures 19 and 20, observe the propagation axis begins with 200 m rather than 100 m as in the previous figures. Using the phase screen model adds some additional restraints to the model, as a result the propagation length of 100 m violates some assumptions of the phase screen model. Since we are typically
interested in large propagation lengths, generally greater than 100 m , we will not investigate the cause of the erratic values produced at 100 m .


Figure 19: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using constant $e^{-2}$ scaled by the quasi-frequency. The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ c m ~ t o ~} 6 \mathbf{c m}$ of wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 20: Ratio defined by the width of the $\hat{S}_{I, l}(\omega)$ using constant $e^{-2}$ scaled by the quasi-frequency. The ratio is a function of propagation length, $L$, for collimated beams with spot sizes 0.2 cm to $1 \mathbf{c m}$ of wavelengths of $0.532 \times 10^{-6}$ and transverse velocity is $V_{\perp}=5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Based on the data provided in Figures 17, 18, 19, and 20, comparing the spectrum width using the constant $e^{-2}$ to the quasi-frequency, the spectral width is roughly $1 \frac{3}{4} v_{0}$ to $2 v_{0}$.

Note that calculating the quasi-frequency using (56) required the use of numerical methods over a finite interval. Instead of integrating the temporal spectrum (45) to calculate the quasifrequency given by (56), recall that the quasi-frequency was originally defined by (53).Using (53), we can express the quasi-frequency for on-axis beam irradiance for collimated beams as, see appendix H,
$v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[-\frac{5 V_{\perp}^{2} k\left\{\Lambda^{1 / 6} d_{3}^{1 / 6}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{1}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-1\right\}}{12 L d_{3}^{7 / 6} \Lambda^{1 / 6}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\Lambda d_{3}^{5 / 6}}\right]^{1 / 2}$,
where $d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta$. Recall that for plane and spherical waves, both have the parameter $\Lambda=0$, which implies that (60) is not valid for plane and spherical wave cases. The interesting quality about the quasi-frequency defined by (60) is that the quasi-frequency can be expressed in terms of beam parameters for collimated beams. The values for the quasi-frequency calculated using (56) are equivalent to the values generated by (60)

## CONCLUSIONS

The extended random medium model describes most optical wave propagation environments over a horizontal path. However, the integration associated with the extended model has not yet led to analytic expressions for some beam characteristics, like the covariance function of irradiance fluctuations. Using Andrews' thin random phase screen model allows for mathematical simplifications that do lead to approximate analytic expressions for beam characteristics. We have derived expressions for the scintillation index, covariance function of irradiance fluctuations, and the temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations for a thin phase screen model. Treating the normalized temporal spectrum of irradiance fluctuations as a probability density function allows the use of statistical tools to describe characteristics about the spectrum. We found that the quasi-frequency for on-axis points of a beam gives an approximation for the spectrum width. It has been shown that the width, using the Fresnel frequency, of the on-axis temporal spectrum can be approximated by three quarters of the quasifrequency. From another perspective, when computing fade statistics we now have a reasonable approximation for the quasi-frequency expressed in terms of the Fresnel frequency. Finally, we have shown that the width, using the constant $e^{-2}$, of the on-axis temporal spectrum can be approximated by twice the quasi-frequency

## APPENDIX A: INTEGRALS, PROPERTIES, AND ASYMPTOTIC FORMS

Most of the following integrals, properties, and asymptotic forms were used throughout this paper. ${ }^{(8)}$

A $1 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} t^{x-1} d t=\frac{\Gamma(x)}{s^{x}}$, for $x>0$ and $s>0$.

A $2 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mu} \exp \left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) J_{p}(b x) d x=\frac{b^{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+\mu+1}{2}\right)}{2^{p+1} a^{p+\mu+1} \Gamma(p+1)^{1}} F_{1}\left(\frac{p+\mu+1}{2} ; p+1 ;-\frac{b^{2}}{4 a^{2}}\right)$,
for $\operatorname{Re}(\mu+p)>-1$ and $a, b>0$.

A $3 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 \mu-1} \exp \left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) J_{0}(b x) d x=\frac{1}{2 a^{2 \mu}} \Gamma(\mu)_{1} F_{1}\left(\mu ; 1 ;-\frac{b^{2}}{4 a^{2}}\right)$,
for $a \geq 0, \quad \mathrm{~b}>0$, and $\mu \neq 0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots$

A $4 \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{K}^{2 \mu} \frac{\exp \left(-\mathrm{K}^{2} / \mathrm{K}_{m}^{2}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{K}^{2}\right)^{1 / / 6}} d \mathrm{~K}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{0}^{2 \mu-8 / 3} \Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right) U\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2} ; \mu-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{\mathrm{K}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~K}_{m}^{2}}\right)$, for $\mu>-\frac{1}{2}$.

The generalized hypergeometric function has the series representation

A $5{ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q} ; z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(a_{p}\right)_{n}}{\left(c_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(c_{q}\right)_{n}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}$, where $p$ and $q$ are nonnegative integers and no $c_{k}(\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{q})$ is zero or a negative integer.

The Meijer G function has the series representation

A 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{p, q}^{m, n}\left(x \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
a_{1}, & \ldots, & a_{p} \\
c_{1}, & \ldots, & c_{q}
\end{array}\right.\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma \Gamma\left(c_{j}-c_{k}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(1+c_{k}-a_{j}\right) x^{c_{k}}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \Gamma\left(1+c_{k}-c_{j}\right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-c_{k}\right)} \\
& \times{ }_{p} F_{q-1}\left[1+c_{k}-a_{1}, \ldots, 1+c_{k}-a_{p} ; 1+c_{k}-c_{1}, \ldots,,^{*}, \ldots, 1+c_{k}-c_{q} ;(-1)^{p-m-n} x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \leq m \leq q, 0 \leq n \leq p \leq q-1$, no two of the $c_{k}$ 's $(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ differ by zero or an integer, and $a_{j}-c_{k} \neq 1,2,3, \ldots$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, m$. If $p=q$, we restrict $|x|<1$.

A 7 ${ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q} ; x\right)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma\left(c_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{j}\right)} G_{p, q+1}^{1, p}\left(-x \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}1-a_{1}, & \ldots, & 1-a_{p} \\ 0,1-c_{1}, & \ldots, & 1-c_{q}\end{array}\right.\right)$.

A 8 ${ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q} ; x\right)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma\left(c_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{j}\right)} G_{q+1, p}^{p, 1}\left(-\frac{1}{x} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}1, c_{1}, & \ldots, & c_{q} \\ a_{1}, & \ldots, & a_{p}\end{array}\right.\right)$.

A $9 \quad(a)_{n}=a(a+1) \ldots(a+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$, for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots$

A $10 \quad(1)_{n}=n!$.

A $11 \Gamma(x+1)=x \Gamma(x)$.

A $12 \Gamma(2 n+1)=(2 n)!=2^{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} n!$.

A $13{ }_{1} F_{1}(a ; c ; z) \approx 1-\frac{a z}{c}$, for $|z| \ll 1$.

A $14{ }_{1} F_{1}(a ; c ; z) \approx \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} z^{-a}$, for $\operatorname{Re}|z| \gg 1$.

A $15{ }_{1} F_{0}(a ;-; z)=(1-a)^{-a}$.

The Bessel function of the first kind has the series representation

A $16 J_{p}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!\Gamma(k+p+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 k+p}$, for $|z|<\infty$,
where $p$ denotes the order of the function.

The modified Bessel functions of the first kind are defined by

A $17 I_{p}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!\Gamma(k+p+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 k+p}$, for $|z|<\infty$,
where $p$ denotes the order of the function.

A $18 \operatorname{Re}\left[J_{0}(|\vec{Q}|)\right]=J_{0}(x) I_{0}(y)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} J_{2 n}(x) I_{2 n}(y) \cos (2 n \varphi)$,
where $\vec{Q}=\vec{x}-i \vec{y}$ and $\varphi$ is the angle between $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$.

## APPENDIX B: SCINTILLATION INDEX

Assuming statistical homogeneity and isotropy and weak turbulence theory the scintillation is given as

$$
\sigma_{I}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} \xi^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}(2 \Lambda r \kappa \xi)-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right\} d \kappa d \xi
$$

Using the random thin phase screen model, take $d_{2} \ll 1$ so that $1+d_{2} \eta \approx 1$, where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\sigma}_{I}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa, \\
& =8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-1+1-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa, \\
& =8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-1\right\} d \kappa \\
& +8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(K) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{1-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa .
\end{aligned}
$$

The radial component of the scintillation index is identified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda r \kappa d_{3}\right)-1\right\} d \kappa, \tag{B 1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the longitudinal component of the scintillation index is identified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right]\left\{1-\cos \left[\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa \tag{B 2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the radial component of the scintillation index, let's use the Kolmogorov spectrum $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3}$, where $\hat{C}_{n}^{2}$ is the refractive-index structure constant for the phase screen, and the Maclaurin series representation $I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda \kappa r d_{3}\right)-1=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} \kappa^{2 n} d_{3}^{2 n}$, thus (B1) becomes

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} \kappa^{2 n} d_{3}^{2 n} d \kappa
$$

Assuming term-wise integration is permitted, then

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} d_{3}^{2 n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{2 n-8 / 3} \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right] d \kappa
$$

By making a change of variable of integration $t=K^{2}$ and using integral A 1 , in appendix A , we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} d_{3}^{2 n} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(n-\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda L}{k}\right)^{5 / 6-n} d_{3}^{5 / 3-2 n}
$$

Using properties A9, A10, and A11, in appendix A, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=4(0.033)\left(-\frac{6}{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{1 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{8 / 3} \Lambda^{5 / 6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)_{n}}{(1)_{n} n!}\left(\frac{\Lambda k}{L} r^{2}\right)^{n} \\
&=4(0.033)\left(-\frac{6}{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{8 / 3} \Lambda^{5 / 6}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)_{n}}{(1)_{n} n!}\left(\frac{\Lambda k}{L} r^{2}\right)^{n}+1-1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of the generalized hypergeometric function, A5, we obtain

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left[1-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ; \frac{\Lambda k}{L} r^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

We can approximate the radial component of the scintillation index by recalling (5), $\Lambda=\frac{2 L}{k W^{2}}$, then

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left[1-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ; \frac{2 r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)\right] .
$$

By taking $r<W$, which implies that $\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}} \ll 1$ and applying asymptotic form, A13, in appendix
A, we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{5 r^{2}}{3 W^{2}}\right)\right] .
$$

Recall that $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$, then the radial component of the scintillation index simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, r}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}} . \tag{B 3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the longitudinal component of the scintillation index, let's use the Kolmogorov spectrum $\Phi_{n}(K)=0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} K^{-11 / 3}$ (where $\hat{C}_{n}^{2}$ is the refractive-index structure constant for the phase screen), and recall that $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \vartheta}\right)=\cos \vartheta$,

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right] \operatorname{Re}\left\{1-\exp \left[i \frac{L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa .
$$

Let's expand the complex exponent in its series from, which leads to

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left[-\frac{\Lambda L \kappa^{2} d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right] \operatorname{Re}\left\{1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{i L d_{3}}{k}\right)^{m}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{m} \kappa^{2 m}\right\} d \kappa
$$

Assuming term-wise integration is permitted, then

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=-8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{i L d_{3}}{k}\right)^{m}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{2 m-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) d \kappa\right\} .
$$

Using integral A1, in appendix A, we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=-8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{i L d_{3}}{k}\right)^{m}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{m} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(m-\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k}\right)^{5 / 6-m}\right\} .
$$

Using property A11, in appendix A, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L) & =-4(0.033)\left(-\frac{6}{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{8 / 3} \Lambda^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)_{m} \frac{1}{m!}\left[\frac{i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right]^{m}\right\} \\
& =-4(0.033)\left(-\frac{6}{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{8 / 3} \Lambda^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)_{m} \frac{1}{m!}\left[\frac{i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right]^{m}+1-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of the hypergeometric function, we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-; \frac{i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)-1\right\}
$$

Using property A12, in appendix A, we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(1-\frac{i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)^{5 / 6}-1\right\}
$$

Using (G1) from appendix G, we have $\alpha=\frac{5}{6}$ and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L) & =8.70207 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{7 / 6} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left\{\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-5 / 6}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12}\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$, then the longitudinal component of the scintillation index simplifies to

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{I, l}^{2}(\vec{r}, L)=3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left\{\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\} .
$$

By combining the radial and longitudinal components, (B3) and (B4), we have the total scintillation index using the random thin phase screen model, where $r<W$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}(\vec{r}, L) & \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}+3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left\{\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12}\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## APPENDIX C: COVARIANCE FUNCTION UNDER THE FROZEN TURBULENCE HYPOTHESIS

Assuming weak turbulence theory and statistical homogeneity and isotropy, for two points in the beam $\vec{r}_{1}$ and $\vec{r}_{2}$ the covariance is defined as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{I}(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}[\kappa((1-\bar{\Theta} \xi) \vec{p}-2 i \Lambda \xi r \mid]\right. \\
\left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right] J_{0}[(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi-i \Lambda \xi) \rho \kappa]\right\} d \kappa d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\vec{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{r}_{1}+\vec{r}_{2}\right), \vec{p}=\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}, \rho=|\vec{p}|$, and the normalized distance for $0 \leq z \leq L$ is given as $\xi=1-\frac{z}{L}$, so that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. In addition, the absolute value in the Bessel function refers to the magnitude of the vector expression, not the complex expression. The path amplitude ratio is defined as:

$$
\gamma=1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) \xi=(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)-i \Lambda \xi \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{*}=(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)+i \Lambda \xi
$$

Using the path amplitude ratio, the covariance function becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa\left|\frac{\vec{p}}{2}+\frac{\gamma^{*} \vec{p}}{2}+\vec{\gamma}-\gamma^{*} \vec{r}\right|\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right] J_{0}[\gamma \rho \kappa]\right\} d \kappa d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

The frozen turbulence hypothesis dictates that we replace $\gamma \vec{p}=\vec{V}_{\perp} \vec{\tau}$ and $\gamma^{*} \vec{p}=\vec{V}_{\perp} \vec{\tau}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\left|\kappa \vec{V}_{\perp} \vec{\tau}-i 2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r\right|\right]\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right] J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right\} d \kappa d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{\perp} \tau=\left|\vec{V}_{\perp} \vec{\tau}\right|$ and $r=|\vec{r}|$. We can approximate the covariance function by taking the first term of the series representation of $\left.J_{0}\left|{ }_{\mid} \vec{V}_{\perp} \vec{\tau}-i 2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r\right|\right]$, using property A18, in appendix A, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J _ { 0 } [ \kappa V _ { \perp } \tau ] \left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's separate the covariance function into the sum of two integrals,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J _ { 0 } [ \kappa V _ { \perp } \tau ] \left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]+1-1\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi \\
& =8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]-1\right) d \kappa d \xi \\
& \quad+8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right\} d \kappa d \xi\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can identify the radial and longitudinal components of the covariance function, the radial component is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.B_{l, r}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1 \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi]\right]-1\right) d \kappa d \xi, \tag{C 1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the longitudinal component is

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi . \tag{C 2}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX D: TEMPORAL COVARIANCE FUNCTION

Assuming weak turbulence theory and statistical homogeneity and isotropy, the covariance function under the frozen turbulence hypothesis, from appendix C , is given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I}(\tau, r, L) & =8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J _ { 0 } ( \kappa V _ { \perp } \tau ) \left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Separating the covariance function into its radial and longitudinal components, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\left(I_{0}[2 \Lambda \kappa \xi r]-1\right) d \kappa d \xi \tag{D 1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, r, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi . \tag{D 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let's assume weak turbulence theory, and use the Kolmogorov spectrum $\Phi_{n}(K)=0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3}$ to calculate the covariance function. In addition, let's use the random thin phase screen model and take $d_{2} \ll 1$, so that $1+d_{2} \eta \approx 1$ where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Then radial component (D1) under the above conditions becomes

$$
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\left(I_{0}\left[2 \Lambda \kappa d_{3} r\right]-1\right) d \kappa
$$

Using the Maclaurin series representation $I_{0}\left(2 \Lambda \kappa r d_{3}\right)-1=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} \kappa^{2 n} d_{3}^{2 n}$ and assuming termwise integration is permitted, we have

$$
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)} d_{3}^{2 n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{2 n-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) d \kappa
$$

Using integral A2, in appendix A, then

$$
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Lambda r)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}} d_{3}^{2 n} \frac{k^{n-5 / 6} \Gamma\left(n-\frac{5}{6}\right)}{2(\Lambda L)^{n-5 / 6} d_{3}^{2 n-5 / 3}} F_{1}\left(n-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{V_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2} k}{4 \Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

Note that weak turbulence theory suggests that $(\Lambda r) \ll 1$, thus we can approximate the series by using its first term, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L) \cong 8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \bullet \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) r^{2}\left(\frac{L}{k}\right)^{-1 / 6} \Lambda^{11 / 6} d_{3}^{5 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{V_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2} k}{4 \Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}\right) \tag{D 3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from (5) that $\Lambda=\frac{2 z}{k W^{2}}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{W^{2}}=\frac{k \Lambda}{2 z} \tag{D 4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's define the Fresnel frequency as $\omega_{t}=\frac{V_{\perp}}{\sqrt{L / k}}$ and it follows that $\omega_{t}^{2}=\frac{V_{\perp}^{2} k}{L}$.

By substituting (D4) and (D5) into (D3) and simplifying the expression, the radial component becomes

$$
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r}{W}\right)^{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

D 6
where $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$, which is the Rytov variance for the thin phase screen model. As for the longitudinal component, notice that taking $\vec{r}=0$ does not change the expression on the right side of (D2). Thus the longitudinal component using the thin phase screen model can be written as

$$
B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa .
$$

Using the Kolmogorov spectrum $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=0.033 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3}$ and separating the expression on the right into two integrals, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) d \kappa\right\} \\
& \quad-8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left[-\kappa^{2} \frac{L d_{3}}{k}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]\right)\right] J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) d \kappa\right\} \\
& \quad=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) d \kappa \\
& \quad-8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) \exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's define

$$
X=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) d \kappa,
$$

and
$Y=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-8 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right) \exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} d_{3}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa$.
so that $B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=X-Y$. To evaluate the integral $X$, let's use integral A3 in appendix A , then

$$
X=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)\left(\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}\right)^{5 / 6}}{2 k^{5 / 6}}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{V_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2} k}{4 \Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

Simplifying and substituting (D5) into the right side, and recalling that $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{11 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$, gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=-3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) \tag{D 7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the integral represented by Y , we once again apply property A 3 in appendix A , thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=8(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right) k^{-5 / 6}}{2\left(L d_{3}\right)^{-5 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]\right)^{-5 / 6}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{V_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2} k}{4 L d_{3}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]\right)}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left[1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right]\right)^{5 / 6}=i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}$. Simplifying and substituting (D5) into the right side and recalling that $\hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}=2.25 \hat{C}_{n}^{2} L^{1 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{11 / 6}$, we have

$$
\left.Y=-3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 i d_{3}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right.}\right]\right)\right\} .
$$

By combining the results (D7) and (D8), the longitudinal component of the covariance function becomes $B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=X-Y$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 i d_{3}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's define $a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 d_{3} i\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}$ and $a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}$, then the longitudinal component of the covariance function can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I, l}(\tau, L) & =3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
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$$

where $d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta$. Combining the radial and longitudinal components, we have the total covariance function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right), F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { D } 10
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \\
&+3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[4 a_{1} d_{3}\right]^{-5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{D 11}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX E: POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY FUNCTION

The power spectral density (PSD), $S_{I}(\omega)$, is defined by the Fourier transform of the temporal covariance function by
$S_{I}(\omega)=2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{I}(\tau, L) \exp (-i \omega \tau) d \tau=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} B_{I}(\tau, L) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau$
where $B_{I}(\tau, L)$ is the temporal covariance function. The extra factor of 2 in the transform integral is a result of considering only positive frequencies. Due to the even property of the temporal covariance function with respect to $\tau$ and since the imaginary component of an even function is zero, we will use the real component of the complex exponential. Assuming weak turbulence theory and statistical homogeneity and isotropy, from appendix D , we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I}(\tau, r, L) & \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right), F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) \\
& +3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[4 a_{1} d_{3}\right]^{-5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which consists of the radial and longitudinal components

$$
B_{I, r}(\tau, r, L) \cong 6.45 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[4 a_{1} d_{3}\right]^{-5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\},
$$

where $a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 d_{3} i\left[1-(\Theta+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}, a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}$, and $\omega_{t}=\frac{V_{\perp}}{\sqrt{L / k}}$. Let's use the longitudinal component of the covariance function to calculate $S_{I, l}(\omega)$, then

$$
S_{I, l}(\omega)=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} 3.87 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[4 a_{1} d_{3}\right]^{-5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\} \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau
$$

Integrating the difference of the two confluent hypergeometric functions converges, however the integration is a difficult task. Separating the single integral into a difference of two integrals gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =4^{1 / 6}(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{a_{1}^{-5 / 6} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\} \\
& -4(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is important to note that each of the two integrals do not converge, yet their difference does converge. In order to integrate each integral, we need to use a mathematical tool to force convergence. Recall $\cos \vartheta=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \vartheta}+e^{-i \vartheta}\right)$, then we can write $\cos (\omega \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \omega \tau}+e^{-i \omega \tau}\right)$. Rather than replace the complex expression for cosine in the integrals, let's substitute the limiting case of $\cos (\omega \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \omega \tau}+e^{-i \omega \tau}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(e^{-(\alpha+i \omega) \tau}+e^{-(\alpha-i \omega) \tau}\right)$ in the integrals. We have introduced the decaying exponent $e^{-\alpha}$ which will allow the integrals to converge. After the integration is complete, we will perform the limit as $\alpha$ approaches zero and obtain the result we desire. Let's split $S_{I, l}(\omega)$, into two integrals and take

$$
X=4^{1 / 6}(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{a_{1}^{-5 / 6} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\}
$$

and

$$
Y=4(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right\},
$$

so that $S_{I}(\omega)=X-Y$. Let's first integrate X . By substitute the limiting component into X , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \lim \\
& \left(\frac { 1 } { 2 } 4 ^ { 1 / 6 } ( 3 . 8 7 ) \hat { \sigma } _ { R } ^ { 2 } d _ { 3 } ^ { - 5 / 6 } \operatorname { R e } \left\{a _ { 1 } ^ { - 5 / 6 } \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) e^{-(\alpha+i \omega) \tau} d \tau\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\int_{0}^{\infty}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) e^{-(\alpha-i \omega) \tau}\right] d \tau\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By writing the hypergeometric functions in their summation form, assuming term-wise integration is permitted, using integral A 1 and property A 12 , in appendix A , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} & \left(\frac { 1 } { 2 } 4 ^ { 1 / 6 } ( 3 . 8 7 ) \hat { \sigma } _ { R } ^ { 2 } d _ { 3 } ^ { - 5 / 6 } \operatorname { R e } \left\{a _ { 1 } ^ { - 5 / 6 } \left[\frac{1}{\alpha+i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[\alpha+i \omega]^{2}}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{1}{\alpha-i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[\alpha-i \omega]^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After performing the limit operation, we have

$$
X=\frac{1}{2} 4^{1 / 6}(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{a_{1}^{-5 / 6}\left[\frac{1}{(i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[i \omega]^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{(-i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[-i \omega]^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} .
$$

Let's transform the hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{0}$ function into a linear combination of confluent hypergeometric functions, ${ }_{1} F_{1}$, using (F2), in appendix F, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \frac{1}{2} 4^{1 / 6}(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{a _ { 1 } ^ { - 5 / 6 } \frac { 1 } { ( i \omega ) } [ \frac { ( i \omega ) ^ { 2 } } { 4 a _ { 1 } \omega _ { t } ^ { 2 } } ] ^ { - 5 / 6 } \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{4_{1}^{3 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]+a_{1}^{-5 / 6} \frac{1}{(-i \omega)}\left[\frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{-5 / 6}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{4 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplifying the expression, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \omega_{t}^{-1} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{[ \frac { \omega } { \omega _ { t } } ] ^ { - 8 / 3 } \frac { 1 } { ( i ) ^ { 8 / 3 } } \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{8 / 3} \frac{(i)^{8 / 3}}{\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]+\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} \frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{8 / 3} \frac{(-i)^{8 / 3}}{\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note, the arguments of the ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ functions can be simplified by observing that $(i)^{2}=(-i)^{2}=-1$, and by distributing $\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}, \frac{1}{(i)^{8 / 3}}$, and $\frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}$, respectively, and collecting like expressions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \omega_{t}^{-1} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} \Gamma \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{(i)^{8 / 3}}+\frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}\right]\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)} \frac{2}{\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (G2), in appendix G, and factoring $\frac{(-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ we have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=-2(3.87) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \omega_{t}^{-1} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
- \\
\left.-\frac{2 \Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{4 / 3}}{ }^{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

or equivalently

$$
X=-\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+0.29 \frac{1}{a_{1}^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

As for evaluating the integral Y , the procedures will be identical to those taken for evaluating integral X. So, let's start by substituting the limit into integral Y, which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(2 ( 3 . 8 7 ) \hat { \sigma } _ { R } ^ { 2 } ( \Lambda d _ { 3 } ) ^ { 5 / 6 } \operatorname { R e } \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) e^{-(\alpha+i \omega)} d \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right) e^{-(\alpha-i \omega)} d \tau\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By writing the hypergeometric functions in their summation form, assuming term-wise integration is permitted, using integral A1 and property A12, in appendix A, we have

$$
Y=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[\alpha+i \omega]^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha-i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[\alpha-i \omega]^{2}}\right)\right\}\right\} .
$$

After performing the limit operation, we have

$$
Y=2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{(i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[i \omega]^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{(-i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-\frac{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}{[-i \omega]^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Again, let's transform the hypergeometric function, ${ }_{2} F_{0}$, into a linear combination of confluent hypergeometric ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ functions, using (F2), in appendix $F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac { 1 } { ( i \omega ) } [ \frac { ( i \omega ) ^ { 2 } } { 4 a _ { 2 } \omega _ { t } ^ { 2 } } ] ^ { - 5 / 6 } \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{4 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{(-i \omega)}\left[\frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{-5 / 6}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{4 / 3} F_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}$, which implies that $\left(a_{2}\right)^{5 / 6}=\frac{1}{\left(4 d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}}$ and simplifying the
expression, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=2(3.87) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \omega_{t}^{-1} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{[ \frac { \omega } { \omega _ { t } } ] ^ { - 8 / 3 } \frac { 1 } { ( i ) ^ { 8 / 3 } } \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{8 / 3} \frac{(i)^{8 / 3}}{\left(4 a_{2}\right)^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]+\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} \frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1}} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{\left.\left.\frac{8 / 3}{\left(4 a_{2}\right)^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{(-i}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{(-i \omega)^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, the arguments of the ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ functions can be simplified by observing that $(i)^{2}=(-i)^{2}=-1$, and by distributing $\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}, \frac{1}{(i)^{8 / 3}}$, and $\frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}$, respectively, using (G2), in appendix G, and factoring $\frac{(-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=-2(3.87) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \omega_{t}^{-1} d_{3}^{-5 / 6} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{2 \Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(4 a_{2}\right)^{4 / 3}}{ }^{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=-\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+0.29 \frac{1}{a_{2}{ }^{4 / 3}} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} . \tag{E 2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S_{I}(\omega)=X-Y$, then by combining (E1) and (E2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =-\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+0.29 \frac{1}{a_{1}^{4 / 3} 1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} \\
& +\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+0.29 \frac{1}{a_{2}^{4 / 3} 1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, l}(\omega) & =\frac{3.90 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}}{\omega_{t} d_{3}^{5 / 6}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right]^{-8 / 3}\left[{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+0.29\left[\frac{1}{a_{2}^{4 / 3}} 1_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{1}^{4 / 3} 1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ;-\frac{\omega^{2}}{4 a_{1} \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

E 3

Let's evaluate the radial component of the covariance function, $S_{I, r}(\omega)$, so we have

$$
S_{I, r}(\omega)=4(6.45) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) \cos (\omega \tau) d \tau\right.
$$

Again, substitute the limiting case of $\cos (\omega \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \omega \tau}+e^{-i \omega \tau}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(e^{-(\alpha+i \omega) \tau}+e^{-(\alpha-i \omega) \tau}\right)$ in the integral gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(2 ( 6 . 4 5 ) \hat { \sigma } _ { R } ^ { 2 } ( \Lambda d _ { 3 } ) ^ { 5 / 6 } ( \frac { r ^ { 2 } } { W ^ { 2 } } ) \operatorname { R e } \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) e^{-(\alpha+i \omega)} d \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; 1 ;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}\right) e^{-(\alpha-i \omega)} d \tau\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By writing the hypergeometric functions in their summation form, assuming term-wise integration is permitted, and using integral A1 and property A12, in appendix A, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(2 ( 6 . 4 5 ) \hat { \sigma } _ { R } ^ { 2 } ( \Lambda d _ { 3 } ) ^ { 5 / 6 } ( \frac { r ^ { 2 } } { W ^ { 2 } } ) \operatorname { R e } \left\{\frac{1}{\alpha-i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(\alpha-i \omega)^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{\alpha+i \omega^{2}} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(\alpha+i \omega)^{2}}\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After performing the limit operation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =2(6.45) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{(-i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(-i \omega)^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{(i \omega)^{2}} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(i \omega)^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's transform the hypergeometric function, ${ }_{2} F_{0}$, into a linear combination of confluent hypergeometric ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ functions by using (F3), in appendix F , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =2(6.45) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{(-i \omega)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(-i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 6}\right. \\
& \times\left[{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ; \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(-i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left[\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(-i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{1 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ; \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(-i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{(i \omega)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 6}\left[{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ; \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left[\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{1 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ; \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2}(i \omega)^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplifying the expression, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =2(6.45) \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)^{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & \frac{\left(\Lambda d_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}}{\omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\frac{1}{(-i)^{2 / 3}}-\frac{1}{i^{2 / 3}}\right]+2 \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left(\Lambda d_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{2 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using (G3), in appendix G, and further simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =2(6.45) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{7 / 6}}{\omega_{t}}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left(\Lambda d_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{2 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{I, r}(\omega) & =19.4924 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{7 / 6}}{\omega_{t}}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{W^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-0.965722\left(\Lambda d_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}}\right)^{2 / 3}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ;-\frac{\Lambda d_{3}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## APPENDIX F: APPLICATION OF THE MEIJER G-FUNCTION

Lets transform the hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{0}(a, b ;-; z)$ function into a Meijer G-function, using property A8, in appendix A, we see that $p=2, q=0, m=2, n=1, a_{1}=a, a_{2}=b$. So we have,

$$
{ }_{2} F_{0}(a, b ;-; z)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{0} \Gamma\left(c_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(a_{j}\right)} G_{1,2}^{2,1}\left[-\frac{1}{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
a, & b
\end{array}\right.\right]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} G_{1,2}^{2,1}\left[-\frac{1}{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
a, & b
\end{array}\right.\right] .
$$

Let's transform $G_{1,2}^{2,1}\left\lfloor-\frac{1}{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ a, & b\end{array}\right.\right\rfloor$ into the form ${ }_{p} F_{q}$ by using property A6, in appendix A. For $\left.G_{1,2}^{2,2}\left|-\frac{1}{z}\right| \begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ a, & b\end{array}\right]$, we see that $m=2, n=1, p=1$, and $q=2$, which leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1,2}^{2,1}\left[-\frac{1}{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
a, & b
\end{array}\right.\right]=\frac{\Gamma(a-b) \Gamma(1+a-1)}{(1)(1)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]_{1}^{a} F_{1}\left(1+a-1 ; 1+a-b ;(-1)^{1-2-1}\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) \\
&+\frac{\Gamma(a-b) \Gamma(1+b-1)}{(1)(1)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]_{1}^{b} F_{1}\left(1+b-1 ; 1+b-a ;(-1)^{1-2-1}\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} G_{1,2}^{2,1}\left[-\frac{1}{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
a, & b
\end{array}\right.\right]=\frac{\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]_{1}^{a} F_{1}\left(a ; 1+a-b ;-\frac{1}{z}\right)+\frac{\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]_{1}^{b} F_{1}\left(b ; 1+b-a ;-\frac{1}{z}\right) .
$$

It follows that
${ }_{2} F_{0}(a, b ;-; z)=\frac{\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]^{a}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(a ; 1+a-b ;-\frac{1}{z}\right)+\frac{\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a)}\left[-\frac{1}{z}\right]_{1}^{b} F_{1}\left(b ; 1+b-a ;-\frac{1}{z}\right) . \quad$ F 1

Let's transform the hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)}\right)$ using (F1). We see that $a=-\frac{5}{6}$, $b=\frac{1}{2}$, and $z=-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}$ which implies that $-\frac{1}{z}=\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{2} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}\right)= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{-5 / 6} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By factoring $\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{-5 / 6}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{2} F_{0}\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}\right)= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{-5 / 6}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ;-\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{4 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{7}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let's transform the hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)}\right)$ using (F1), we see that $a=\frac{1}{6}$,
$b=\frac{1}{2}$, and $z=-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}$ which implies that $-\frac{1}{z}=\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{2} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}\right)= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 6} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By factoring $\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 6}$, then
${ }_{2} F_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} ;-;-\frac{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]^{1 / 6}\left\{{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{2}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.$

$$
\left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left[\frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right]_{1}^{1 / 3} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{4}{3} ; \frac{( \pm i \omega)^{2}}{4 b \omega_{t}^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$
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## APPENDIX G: ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES

From complex variables, we know the following properties for complex expressions:
A. $\quad x \pm i y=r e^{ \pm i \vartheta}$, where $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ and $\vartheta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$
B. $e^{i \vartheta}=\cos \vartheta+i \sin \vartheta$
C. $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \vartheta}\right\}=\cos \vartheta$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{i \vartheta}\right\}=\sin \vartheta$
D. $\quad\left(e^{i \vartheta}\right)^{n}=\cos (n \vartheta)+i \sin (n \vartheta)$

Let's consider the following complex expressions. First, consider the complex expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[1-\frac{i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right]^{\alpha} \text {, where } \alpha \text { is a rational. Let's begin by rewriting the complex expression as }} \\
& {\left[1-\frac{i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right]^{\alpha}=\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-\alpha}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)-i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right]^{\alpha}=\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-\alpha}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \exp \left[i(-\alpha) \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the real component of the complex expression is given as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[1-\frac{i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right]^{\alpha}\right\}=\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-\alpha}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \cos \left[(-\alpha) \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right] \\
=\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-\alpha}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \cos \left[\alpha \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, let's simplify the complex expression $\frac{1}{(i)^{8 / 3}}+\frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}$. Since $i=e^{i \pi / 2}$, then it follows that $(i)^{8 / 3}=e^{i 4 \pi / 3}$ and $(i)^{-8 / 3}=e^{-i 4 \pi / 3}$. Similarly, since $-i=e^{-i \pi / 2}$, it follows that $(-i)^{8 / 3}=e^{-i 4 \pi / 3}$ and $(-i)^{-8 / 3}=e^{i 4 \pi / 3}$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(i)^{8 / 3}}+\frac{1}{(-i)^{8 / 3}}=e^{-i 4 \pi / 3}+e^{i 4 \pi / 3}=2 \cos \left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)=2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-1 \tag{G 2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, let's simplify the complex expression $\frac{1}{(-i)^{2 / 3}}+\frac{1}{(i)^{2 / 3}}$. Since $i=e^{i \pi / 2}$, then it follows that $(i)^{2 / 3}=e^{i \pi / 3}$ and $(i)^{-2 / 3}=e^{-i \pi / 3}$. Similarly, since $-i=e^{-i \pi / 2}$, it follows that $(-i)^{2 / 3}=e^{-i \pi / 3}$ and $(-i)^{-2 / 3}=e^{i \pi / 3}$. So we have
$\frac{1}{(-i)^{2 / 3}}+\frac{1}{(i)^{2 / 3}}=e^{i \pi / 3}+e^{-i \pi / 3}=2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)=2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1$. G 3

## APPENDIX H: QUASI-FREQUENCY

The quasi-frequency for the longitudinal component of the covariance function of irradiance fluctuations is given as

$$
v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{B_{l, l}{ }^{\prime \prime}(0, L)}{B_{l, l}(0, L)}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

From appendix D, we have the longitudinal component of the covariance function under the weak turbulence theory and frozen turbulence hypothesis given as (D2)

$$
B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{J_{0}\left[\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right]\left(1-\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\right)\right\} d \kappa d \xi
$$

Using the thin phase screen model to evaluate (D2) leads to (D9)

$$
B_{I, l}(\tau, L)=3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{1} \omega_{\perp}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(-\frac{5}{6} ; 1 ;-a_{2} \omega_{t}^{2} \tau^{2}\right)\right\},
$$

where $d_{3}=0.67-0.17 \Theta, a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 d_{3} i\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]}$, and $a_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \Lambda d_{3}^{2}}$. Taking $\tau=0$, then (D9) simplifies to

$$
B_{I, l}(0, L)=3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i^{5 / 6}\left[1-(\bar{\Theta}+i \Lambda) d_{3}\right]^{5 / 6}-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\}
$$

and finding the real component leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I, l}(0, L)=3.8637 \hat{\sigma}_{R}^{2}\left\{\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{5 / 6}\right\} . \tag{H 2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming uniform convergence and differentiating (D2) twice with respect to $\tau$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{I, l}^{\prime}(\tau, L)=8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\left(\kappa V_{\perp}\right) J_{1}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\left(\kappa V_{\perp}\right) J_{1}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\right\} d \kappa d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

H3
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(\tau, L) & =-8 \pi^{2} k^{2} L \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L \xi^{2} \kappa^{2}}{k}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{( \kappa V _ { \perp } ) ^ { 2 } \left[J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{1}{\kappa V_{\perp} \tau} J_{1}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\right]+\exp \left[-\frac{i L \kappa^{2}}{k} \xi(1-\bar{\Theta} \xi)\right]\left(\kappa V_{\perp}\right)^{2}\left[J_{0}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)-\frac{1}{\kappa V_{\perp} \tau} J_{1}\left(\kappa V_{\perp} \tau\right)\right]\right\} d \kappa d \tau . \quad \mathrm{H} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's use the thin phase screen model and take $d_{2} \ll 1$ which implies that $1+d_{2} \eta \cong 1$ where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. In addition, let's set $\tau=0$, then (H4) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(0, L) & =-4 \pi^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{3} \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) d \kappa \\
& +4 \pi^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{3} \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{i L d_{3}}{k}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right) \kappa^{2}\right] d \kappa\right\} . \text { H } 5
\end{aligned}
$$

Separating (H5) into two integrals, let
$A=-4 \pi^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{3} \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) d \kappa$
and
$B=4 \pi^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{3} \Phi_{n}(\kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{i L d_{3}}{k}\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right) \kappa^{2}\right] d \kappa\right\}$.

Let's use the Kolmogorov spectrum $\Phi_{n}(\kappa)=(0.033) \hat{C}_{n}^{2} \kappa^{-11 / 3}$, where $\hat{C}_{n}^{2}$ is the refractive-index structure constant of the phase screen, to evaluate the integrals A and B. Starting the integral A, we have

$$
A=-4(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-2 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} \kappa^{2}\right) d \kappa
$$

Let's make a change of variable of integration and set $x=\kappa^{2}$, which will lead to

$$
A=-2(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-5 / 6} \exp \left(-\frac{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}{k} x\right) d x
$$

Using integral A1 from appendix A, we have

$$
A=-2(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{k}{\Lambda L d_{3}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

Then for integral B, we have

$$
B=4(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa^{-2 / 3} \exp \left[-\kappa^{2} \frac{L d_{3}}{k}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right)\right] d \kappa\right\}
$$

Again, making a change of variable of integration and setting $x=\kappa^{2}$ leads to

$$
B=2(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-5 / 6} \exp \left[-x \frac{L d_{3}}{k}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right)\right] d x\right\}
$$

Using integral A1 from appendix A, we have

$$
B=2(0.033) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} k^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} L d_{2} d_{3} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{k}{L d_{3}}\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\Lambda d_{3}+i\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)\right)^{-1 / 6}\right\}
$$

Finding the real component leads to

$$
B=2(0.033) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} k^{13 / 6} L^{5 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}\left\{\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2} \cos \left[\frac{1}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]\right\} . \quad \text { н } 7
$$

Combining the results of (H6) and (H7), then simplifying leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{I, l}^{\prime \prime}(0, L) & =2(0.033) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \pi^{2} \hat{C}_{n}^{2} V_{\perp}^{2} k^{13 / 6} L^{5 / 6} d_{2} d_{3}^{5 / 6}\left\{\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2} \cos \left[\frac{1}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{-1 / 6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (H2) and (H8), the quasi-frequency defined by (H1) becomes
$v_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[-\frac{5 V_{\perp}^{2} k\left\{\Lambda^{1 / 6} d_{3}^{1 / 6}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{1}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-1\right\}}{12 L d_{3}^{7 / 6} \Lambda^{1 / 6}\left[\left(\Lambda d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 12} \cos \left[\frac{5}{6} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{\Theta} d_{3}}{\Lambda d_{3}}\right)\right]-\Lambda d_{3}^{5 / 6}}\right]^{1 / 2}$.

The quasi-frequency expressed by (H9) is not valid for plane and spherical waves, where $\Lambda=0$, thus (H9) is valid for collimated beams.
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