

## Corrigendum

To cite this article: (2018) Corrigendum, Plant Production Science, 21:1, 51-52, DOI: [10.1080/1343943X.2018.1429530](https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2018.1429530)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2018.1429530>



© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 02 Mar 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 202



View related articles [↗](#)

## Corrigendum

Dinh, T. H., Watanabe, K., Takaragawa, H., T., Nakabaru, M., & Kawamitsu, Y. (2017). Photosynthetic response and nitrogen use efficiency of sugarcane under drought stress conditions with different nitrogen application levels. *Plant Production Science*, 20 (4), 412–422.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1371570>

When the article was published online, there were errors in the abstract, experimental design and Figure 8.

The incorrect sentence in page 412, column 1, line 9–11 in the abstract as:

Two water regimes (well-watered and drought stress from 60 to 120 day after transplanting) and four nitrogen levels (0, 4.4, 8.8 and 13.2 g pot<sup>-1</sup> equivalent to 0, 90, 180 and 270 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were assigned in a Split-plot design with three replications.

It should be read as,

Two water regimes (well-watered and drought stress from 60 to 120 day after transplanting) and four nitrogen levels (**0, 0.9, 1.8 and 2.7 gN pot<sup>-1</sup>** equivalent to 0, 90, 180 and 270 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were assigned in a Split-plot design with three replications.


The incorrect sentence in page 413, column 2, line 11–13 in the experimental design as:

In subplots, four nitrogen levels including 0, 4.4, 8.8 and 13.2 gN pot<sup>-1</sup> (equivalent to 0, 90, 180 and 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in field conditions, respectively) were designed.

It should be read as,


In subplots, four nitrogen levels including **0, 0.9, 1.8 and 2.7 gN pot<sup>-1</sup>** (equivalent to 0, 90, 180 and 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in field conditions, respectively) were designed.

The incorrect Figure 8 is:



**Figure 8.** The correlation between drought tolerant index (DTI) and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (a), and biomass nitrogen use efficiency (b).

It should be as,



**Figure 8.** The correlation between drought tolerant index (DTI) and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (a), and biomass nitrogen use efficiency (b).

The authors apologize for these errors.