

Fall 2018

Survey of Results on the Schrodinger Operator with Inverse Square Potential

Richardson Saint Bonheur

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/etd>



Part of the [Analysis Commons](#), and the [Harmonic Analysis and Representation Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Saint Bonheur, Richardson, "Survey of Results on the Schrodinger Operator with Inverse Square Potential" (2018). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 1847.
<https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/etd/1847>

This thesis (open access) is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies, Jack N. Averitt College of at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu.

SURVEY OF RESULTS ON THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH INVERSE
SQUARE POTENTIAL

by

RICHARDSON SAINT BONHEUR

(Under the Direction of Yi Hu)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we present a survey of results on the Schrödinger operator with Inverse Square potential, $\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}$, $a \geq -(\frac{d-2}{2})$. We briefly discuss the long-time behavior of solutions to the inter-critical focusing NLS with an inverse square potential (proof not provided). Later we present spectral multiplier theorems for the operator. For the case when $a \geq$, we use Hebisch [12] as a template for our attempt at a proof using estimates and results from [1], Sikora [3], [18] and [19]. The case when $0 > a \geq -(\frac{d-2}{2})$ was explored in [1], and their proof will be presented for completeness. No improvements on the sharpness of their proof as been obtained.

INDEX WORDS: Harmonic Analysis, Partial differential equations

2009 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B37, 42B15

SURVEY OF RESULTS ON THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH INVERSE
SQUARE POTENTIAL

by

RICHARDSON SAINT BONHEUR

B.S., Rutgers University 2014

B.A., Rutgers University 2014

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Georgia Southern University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

STATESBORO, GEORGIA

©2018

RICHARDSON SAINT BONHEUR

All Rights Reserved

SURVEY OF RESULTS ON THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH INVERSE
SQUARE POTENTIAL

by

RICHARDSON SAINT BONHEUR

Major Professor: Yi Hu
Committee: Shijun Zheng
Xianghong Chen

Electronic Version Approved:
December 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| CHAPTER | |
| 1 Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 The operator | 3 |
| 1.1.1 \mathcal{L}_a° is a positive semi-definite Symmetric Operator | 3 |
| 1.1.2 General Theory of self-adjoint extensions | 4 |
| 1.1.3 Heat and Riesz Kernels | 5 |
| 1.1.4 Hardy Inequality | 5 |
| 2 Type-setting in LaTeX | 6 |
| 2.1 Long-Time Behavior of Solutions to the Intercritical Focusing NLS with Inverse Square Potential | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Scattering / Blow-up Dichotomy | 6 |
| 2.1.2 Linear and Local Theory | 7 |
| 2.1.3 Harmonic Analysis adapted to \mathcal{L}_a | 8 |
| 2.2 Multiplier Theorem for the Case when $a \geq 0$ | 9 |
| 2.2.1 proof adapted to \mathcal{L}_a | 10 |
| 2.3 Mihlin Multiplier Theorem for the case $-(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 \leq a < 0$ | 15 |
| 2.3.1 First Lemma | 22 |
| 2.3.2 Second Lemma (Leibniz Rule) | 23 |
| 2.3.3 Third Lemma | 24 |
| REFERENCES | 30 |
| APPENDIX Notable Theorems | 32 |

CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 THE OPERATOR

The operator

$$\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2} \quad \text{with,} \quad a \geq -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 \quad (1.1)$$

in dimensions $d \geq 3$. This operator was first introduced to us in [1] as defined below. The following related results were proved in [1]. \mathcal{L}_a is the Friedrichs extension of the operator \mathcal{L}_a° , where \mathcal{L}_a° denotes the natural action of $-\Delta + \frac{a}{|x|^2}$ on $\mathbb{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$.

1.1.1 \mathcal{L}_a° IS A POSITIVE SEMI-DEFINITE SYMMETRIC OPERATOR

If we let

$$\sigma := \frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(d-2)^2 + 4a}$$

[1] shows that \mathcal{L}_a° can be seen to be positive via the factorization

$$\mathcal{L}_a^\circ = \left(-\nabla + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \left(\nabla + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) = -\Delta + \sigma^2 \frac{1}{|x|^2} = -\Delta + \sigma(d-2-\sigma) \frac{1}{|x|^2}.$$

If we pick $\theta \in \mathbb{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, then by functional calculus and the previous factorization of \mathcal{L}_a°

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta, \mathcal{L}_a^\circ \theta \rangle &= \langle \theta, \left(-\nabla + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \left(\nabla + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \theta \rangle \\ &= \|\theta(x) \left(\nabla + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla \theta(x) + \sigma \frac{x}{|x|^2} \theta(x) \right|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{L}_a° is positive semi-definite as needed.

1.1.2 GENERAL THEORY OF SELF-ADJOINT EXTENSIONS

Below we present a version of Friedrich's Extension Theorem and Kato's Theorem from [8] (without proof). The Authors in in [1] used similar theorems to find a self-adjoint extension to the operator \mathcal{L}_a° (See [9, §X.3]).

Theorem 1.1. *Friedrich's Extension Theorem* Let T_0 be a symmetric, semi-bounded Operator with domain $D(T_0)$ then, the quadratic form

$$QT_0(\Phi, \Theta) := \langle \Phi, T_0\Theta \rangle, \Phi, \Theta \in D(T_0)$$

is closable.

Theorem 1.2. *Kato's Representation Theorem* Let Q be a closed, semi-bounded quadratic form with domain D . Then it exists a unique, self-adjoint, semi-bounded operator T with domain $D(T) \subset D$ such that

$$Q(\Phi, \Theta) = \langle \Phi, \Theta \rangle \quad \forall \Phi \in D, \forall \Theta \in D(T).$$

The Theorems mentioned above guarantee the existence of a unique self-adjoint extension \mathcal{L}_a of \mathcal{L}_a° , whose form domain $Q(\mathcal{L}_a) = D(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{R}^d)$ is given by the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\Theta\|_{Q(\mathcal{L}_a)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\Theta|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{a}{|x^2|}\right) |\Theta|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla\Theta + \frac{\sigma x}{|x^2|} \Theta \right|^2 + |\Theta|^2 dx.$$

Theorem 1.3. *(Equivalence of Sobolev norms)* Suppose $d \geq 3, a \geq -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2$, and $0 < s < 2$. If $1 < p < \infty$ satisfies $\frac{s+\sigma}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{\sigma}\right\}$, then

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim_{d,p,s} \|\mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|, \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1.2)$$

If $\max\left\{\frac{s}{d}, \frac{\sigma}{d}\right\} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\left\{1, \frac{d-\sigma}{\sigma}\right\}$, then

$$\|\mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L^p}, \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (1.3)$$

1.1.3 HEAT AND RIESZ KERNELS

Theorem 1.4. (*The Heat Kernel Bounds*) Assume $d \geq 3$ and $a \geq \frac{-(d-2)}{2}$. Then there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and c_1, c_2 such that for all $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_1 t}} &\leq e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y) \\ &\leq C_2 \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_2 t}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.5. (*Riesz Kernels*) Let $d \geq 3$ and suppose $0 < s < d$ and $d - s - 2\sigma$. Then the Riesz potentials

$$\mathcal{L}_a^{-\frac{s}{2}}(x, y) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y) t^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{dt}{t}$$

satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_a^{-\frac{s}{2}}(x, y) \sim |x - y|^{s-d} \left(\frac{|x|}{|x - y|} \wedge \frac{|y|}{|x - y|} \wedge 1 \right)^{-\sigma}. \quad (1.5)$$

1.1.4 HARDY INEQUALITY

Theorem 1.6. (*IV Hardy inequality for \mathcal{L}_a*) Suppose $d \geq 3, a < s < d, d - s - 2\sigma > 0$, and $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\| |x|^{-s} f(x) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \| \mathcal{L}_a^{\frac{s}{2}} f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (1.6)$$

holds, if and only if

$$s + \sigma < \frac{d}{p} < d - \sigma. \quad (1.7)$$

CHAPTER 2

TYPE-SETTING IN LATEX

2.1 LONG-TIME BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO THE INTERCRITICAL FOCUSING

NLS WITH INVERSE SQUARE POTENTIAL

The results from this section originally appeared in [15], [16] and [17], which explored the long-time behavior of solutions to the intercritical NLS with inverse square potential:

$$i\partial_t u = \mathcal{L}_a u - |u|^p u, \quad (2.1)$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}_t^d x \mathbb{R}_x^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\frac{4}{d} < p < \frac{4}{d-2}$ and $d \geq 3$.

For $a \in \left(-\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2, 0 \right]$, equation (1) admits a global but non-scattering solution of the form $u(t) = e^{it} P_a$, where P_a (the *ground state*) solves the elliptic problem

$$-\mathcal{L}_a P_a - P_a + |P_a|^p P_a = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

2.1.1 SCATTERING / BLOW-UP DICHOTOMY

Theorem 2.1 (V). (*Scattering/Blow-up Dichotomy*) Suppose that $d \geq 3$, $\frac{4}{d} < p < \frac{4}{d-2}$, and $a > -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2$, and let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exists a unique maximal-lifespan solution u to (1) with $u|_{t=0} = u_0$. If u_0 is below the ground state threshold, in the sense that

$$M(u_0)^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} E_a(u_0) < M(P_{a\wedge 0})^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} E_{a\wedge 0}(P_{a\wedge 0}), \quad (2.3)$$

Then the following dichotomy holds: If

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} \|u_0\|_{H_a^1} < \|P_{a\wedge 0}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} \|P_{a\wedge 0}\|_{H_a^1}, \quad (2.4)$$

Then u is global in time and scatters in both time directions; that is, there exist solutions v_{\pm} to the equation $i\partial_t v_{\pm} = \mathcal{L}_{a\wedge 0} v_{\pm}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t) - v_{\pm}(t)\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

Theorem 2.2 (VI cont'). *If*

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} \|u_0\|_{H_a^1} > \|P_{a\wedge 0}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4-p(d-2)}{dp-4}} \|P_{a\wedge 0}\|_{H_{a\wedge 0}^1},$$

and u_0 is radial or $xu_0 \in L^2$, then u blows up in finite time in both time directions.

2.1.2 LINEAR AND LOCAL THEORY

Theorem 2.3 (VII Strichartz Estimates). *Let $a > -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2$ and $d \geq 3$. Let (q, r) and (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) be such that*

$$2 \leq q, \tilde{q} \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{2}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{d}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{d}{2},$$

with $(q, \tilde{q}) \neq (2, 2)$. *suppose $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ solves*

$$(i\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_a)u = F.$$

Then for any $t_0 \in I$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(Ix\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{r}'}(Ix\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Theorem 2.4 (VIII Local Well-posedness). *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \in H^1$,*

-There exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^1}) > 0$ and a unique solution u to (1) on $(t_0 - T; t_0 + T)$ with $u(t_0) = u_0$. In particular, if u remains uniformly bounded in H^1 throughout its lifespan, then u extends to a global solution.

-Furthermore, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ so that if

$$\|e^{-i(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}}u_0\|_{L_{t,x}^{q_0}((t_0, \infty)x\mathbb{R}^d)} < \eta.$$

The analogous statement holds backward in time and on all of \mathbb{R} .

-Finally, for any $\psi \in H^1$ there exists a solution to (1) that scatters to ψ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the analogous statement holds backwards in time.

Theorem 2.5 (IX Stability). *Let \tilde{u} solve*

$$i\partial_t \tilde{u} = \mathcal{L}_a \tilde{u} - |\tilde{u}|^p \tilde{u} + e$$

on an interval I for some function e . Suppose

$$\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{u}(t_0)\|_{H^1} \leq E, \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{t,x}^{q_0}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq L.$$

There exists $\varepsilon_0(E, L > 0)$ so that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and

$$\|u_0 - \tilde{u}(t_0)\|_{H^1} + \| |\nabla|^{s_c} e \|_{N(I)} < \varepsilon,$$

where $s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$ and N is a sum of dual Strichartz spaces, then there exists a solution u to (1) with $u(t_0) = u_0$ satisfying

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{s_c}{2}} [u - \tilde{u}]\|_{S(I)} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad \|(1 + \mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{S(I)} \lesssim_{E,L} 1$$

for any Strichartz space S .

2.1.3 HARMONIC ANALYSIS ADAPTED TO \mathcal{L}_a

The following set of tool-kits were developed in [1] and summarized in [15].

We present the Little-Paley projections defined via the heat kernel:

$$P_N^a := e^{-\mathcal{L}_a/N^2} - e^{-4\mathcal{L}_a/N^2} \quad \text{for } N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{q} := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\ \frac{d}{\sigma} & \text{if } -\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 < a < 0. \end{cases}$$

We write \tilde{q}' as the dual exponent to \tilde{q} . Using the previous definitions, we summarize the needed tools in the following:

Lemma 2.1.1 (Harmonic Analysis tools). *For $\tilde{q}' < q \leq r < \tilde{q}$,*

$$f = \sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} P_N^a f, \text{ as elements of } L_x^r.$$

Furthermore, we have the following Bernstein estimates:

1. The operators P_N^a are bounded on L_x^r .
2. The operators P_N^a map L_x^q to L_x^r , with the norm $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{d}{q}-\frac{d}{r}}\right)$.
3. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N^s \|P_N^a f\|_{L_x^r} \sim \|(\mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{s}{2}} P_N^a f\|_{L_x^r}.$$

Finally, for $0 \leq s < 2$, we have the square function estimate

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{2s} |P_N^a f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^r} \sim \|(\mathcal{L}_a)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_{L_x^r}$$

2.2 MULTIPLIER THEOREM FOR THE CASE WHEN $a \geq 0$

We present two multiplier theorems for the operator. We start with the case when $a \geq 0$. The theorem in part one was obtained from Hebisch [12], we try to adapt the proof presented in the same paper to our operator. Some of the estimates used in the proof were obtained from [18] and [19]. For the purpose of completeness, we present a Mihklin-type multiplier theorem as presented in [1] for the case when $-(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 \leq a < 0$. We offer a brief restatement of the proof offered by [1].

Let E be the spectral measure of \mathcal{L}_a . If F is a bounded Borel measurable function we write

$$F(\mathcal{L}_a)f = \int F(\lambda) dE(\lambda)f.$$

Let

$$F_t(a) = F(tx).$$

By the spectral theorem $F(\mathcal{L}_a)$ is bounded on L^2 .

Theorem 2.6. (Hebisch[12]) *If for some $\epsilon > 0$, a non-zero $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and constant C , we have*

$$\|\phi F_t\|_{H((d+1)/2+\epsilon)} \leq C, \quad (2.5)$$

then T is of weak type $(1,1)$ and bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$.

2.2.1 PROOF ADAPTED TO \mathcal{L}_a

From (2.5), we get that $\|F\|_{L^\infty} \leq C'C$, then

$$\|F(\mathcal{L}_a)\|_{L^2, L^2} \leq C'C. \quad (2.6)$$

By interpolation and duality argument, it is enough to prove that $F(\mathcal{L}_a)$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$. Using the Trotter formula in [13] we obtain

$$0 \leq e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y) \lesssim p_t(x, y), \quad (2.7)$$

where $p_t(x, y) = C \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma \left(1 \vee \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\sigma t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}}$. (2.7) implied the following

$$\int e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a} e^{s|x-y|} dx \lesssim C e^{Cs^2t} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\int |e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y)|^2 dx \lesssim C t^{-\frac{d}{2}-\alpha} e^{2\lambda^2 t} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\sup_{x,y} |e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a}(x, y)| \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}-\alpha} e^{2\lambda^2 t} \quad (2.10)$$

for some constant C and all $s, t > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have

$$\|K\|_a = \max \left\{ \sup_x \int |K(x, y)|(1 + |x - y|)^a dy, \sup_y \int |K(x, y)|(1 + |x - y|)^a dx \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2.1. (see Hebisch [12] for proof) If $\text{supp}F \subset [1, 4], \epsilon > 0, a \geq 0$, then

$$\|F(\mathcal{L}_a)\|_a \leq C \|F\|_{H((d+1)/2+\epsilon+a)}$$

where C is independent of F and \mathcal{L}_a .

Proof. Set

$$K(\lambda) = F(-\log(\lambda))\lambda^{-1}.$$

We have that

$$\|K\|_{H((d+1)/2+\epsilon+a)} \leq C_1 \|F\|_{h((d+1)/2+\epsilon+a)}, \text{supp}K \subset [e^{-4}, e].$$

Let $K(\lambda) = \sum \widehat{K}(n)e^{in\lambda}$, $e_n = e^{ine^{-\mathcal{L}_a}}e^{-\mathcal{L}_a}$, then

$$F(\mathcal{L}_a) = K(e^{-\mathcal{L}_a})e^{-\mathcal{L}_a} = \sum \widehat{K}(n)e_n.$$

2.8 and 2.9 allows us to use (3.1) from [14] to obtain

$$\|e_n\|_a \leq C_2(1 + |n|)^{d/2+a}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_a &\leq C_2 \sum |\widehat{K}(n)|(1 + |n|)^{d/2+a} \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\sum |\widehat{K}(n)|^2(1 + |n|)^{d+2a+1+\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum (1 + |n|)^{-1-\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_3 \|K\|_{H((d+1+\epsilon)/2+a)} \leq C_4 \|F\|_{H((d+1)/2+\epsilon+a)}, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.2.2. (see Hebisch [12] for proof) For every $m \geq 0$ there exist $N, C > 0$ such that if $F \in H(N)$, $\text{supp}F \subset [-1, 4]$, then

$$|F(\mathcal{L}_a)(x, y)| \leq C \|F\|_{H(N)}(1 + |x - y|)^{-m}$$

for all x, y and \mathcal{L}_a

Proof. Let $G(\lambda) = F(\lambda)e^\lambda$, $N = d/2 + m + 1$. Of course $\|G\|_{H(N)} \leq C_1 \|F\|_{H(N)}$. By lemma 2.2.1, $\|G(\mathcal{L}_a)\|_m \leq C_2 \|G\|_{H(N)}$ and by 2.7 and 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 + |x - y|)^m F(\mathcal{L}_a)(x, y)| &= \left| \int G(\mathcal{L}_a)(x, s)e^{-\mathcal{L}_a}(s, y)(1 + |x - y|)^m ds \right| \\ &\leq \int |G(\mathcal{L}_a)(x, s)|(1 + |x - s|)^m e^{-\mathcal{L}_a}(s, y)(1 + |s - y|)^m ds \\ &\leq \|G(\mathcal{L}_a)\|_m \sup p_1(x)(1 + |x|)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Then since, $G(\lambda) = F(\lambda)e^\lambda$

$$|F(\mathcal{L}_a)(x, y)| \leq C\|F\|_{H(N)}(1 + |x - y|)^{-m}$$

□

Let ϕ and ψ be in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, where $\text{supp}\phi \subset [1/4, 2]$, $\sum = 1$ for every $x > 0$, and $\text{supp}\psi \subset [-1, 1]$, with $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$. Let

$$F_k(\lambda) = \phi(2^{2k}\lambda)F(\lambda), \quad \psi_k(\lambda) = \psi(2^{2k}\lambda).$$

Choose $a < \epsilon$. There exists C such that

$$\|\psi_k F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)\|_{L^1, L^1} \leq C, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\int |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)|(x, y)(1 + 2^{-k}|x - y|)^a dx \leq C, \quad (2.12)$$

$$|\psi_k(\mathcal{L}_a)|(x, y) \leq C2^{-kd}(1 + 2^{-k}|x - y|)^{-d-1}. \quad (2.13)$$

The proof for (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) can be found in Hebisch [12], and has not been reproduced here.

Let f be an integrable function. We use Calderón-Zygmund decomposition on f at height λ with functions f_i and g and cubes Q_i such that

$$f = g + \sum f_i, \quad \text{supp}f_i \subset Q_i, \quad \int |f_i| \leq C\lambda|Q_i|,$$

$$|g| \leq C\lambda, \quad Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \sum |Q_i| \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}/\lambda.$$

Let Q_i^* be the ball with the same center as Q_i and radius $2\text{diam}Q_i$. We put $k_i = [\log_2(\text{diam}Q_i)]$.

Let h be an integrable function such that $\text{supp}h \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1\} = B$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>2} |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)h|(x)dx &\leq \|h\|_{L^1} \sup_{y \in B} \int_{|x|>2} |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)|(x, y)dx \\
&\leq \|h\|_{L^1} \sup_y \int_{|x-y|>1} |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)|(x, y)dx \\
&\leq 2^{ka} \|h\|_{L^1} \sup_y \int |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)|(x, y)(1 + 2^{-k}|x - y|)^a dx \\
&\leq C2^{ka} \|h\|_{L^1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{k \leq 0} \int_{|x|>2} |F_k(\mathcal{L}_a)h|(x)dx \leq C \sum_{k \leq 0} 2^{ka} \|h\|_{L^1} \leq C_1 \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

With the use of dilation we get

$$\sum_{j \leq k_i} \int_{(Q_i^*)^c} |F_j(\mathcal{L}_a)f_i|(x)dx \leq C \|f_i\|_{L^1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Lemma 2.2.3. *There exists C such that*

$$\left\| \sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a)f_i \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\lambda \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

Proof. First observe that there exists C_0 such that if $Q = \{x : \max|x_i| \leq 1\}$ then for all x

$$\sup_{y \in Q} (1 + |x - y|)^{-d-1} \leq C_0 \inf_{y \in Q} (1 + |x - y|)^{-d-1}.$$

As a result of this and using dilations we obtain for all i

$$\sup_{y \in Q_i} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|x - y|)^{-d-1} \leq C_0 \inf_{y \in Q_i} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|x - y|)^{-d-1}. \quad (2.15)$$

Keeping i constant, let y_0 be the center of Q_i . By (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a)f_i|(x) &\leq \int 2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|x - y|)^{-d-1} |f_i|(y)dy \\
&\leq \lambda C_1 |Q_i| 2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|x - y_0|)^{-d-1} \\
&\leq \lambda C_2 \int 2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|x - y|)^{-d-1} \mathcal{X}_{Q_i}(y)dy \\
&\leq \lambda C_3 (2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i}|\cdot|)^{-d-1} * \mathcal{X}_{Q_i})(x).
\end{aligned}$$

If $h \in L^2$, then

$$\left| \left(h, 2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i} |\cdot|) \right)^{-d-1} * \mathcal{X}_{Q_i} \right| = \left| \left(2^{-k_i d} (1 + 2^{-k_i} |\cdot|) \right)^{-d-1}, h * \mathcal{X}_{Q_i} \right| \leq C_4 (Mh, \mathcal{X}_{Q_i})$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Following is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (Stein[11]). Since M is bounded on L^2 ,

$$\left| \left(h, \sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right) \right| \leq C_5 \left(Mh, \sum \lambda \mathcal{X}_{Q_i} \right) \leq C_6 \|h\|_{L^2} \left\| \sum \lambda \mathcal{X}_{Q_i} \right\|_{L^2}.$$

But $\left\| \sum \lambda \mathcal{X}_{Q_i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum \lambda^2 |Q_i| \leq C \lambda \|f\|_{L^1}$, which ends the proof.

Clearly, if $j < k$, then $\psi_k F_j = 0$ so $\psi_k(\mathcal{L}_a) F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) = 0$. Similarly, if $j > k$ then $\psi_k(\mathcal{L}_a) F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) = F_j(\mathcal{L}_a)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathcal{L}_a) &= \sum_{i,j} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + F(\mathcal{L}_a) g \\ &= \sum_i \left(\sum_{j \leq k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + \sum_{j > k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right) + F(\mathcal{L}_a) g \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{j \leq k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + \sum_{i,j} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i - \sum_i F_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + F(\mathcal{L}_a) g \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{j \leq k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + F(\mathcal{L}_a) \left(\sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + g \right) - \sum_i F_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $S = \cup Q_i^*$, by (2.14) and the properties of the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x : \left| \sum_i \sum_{j \leq k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right| > \lambda/3 \right\} \right| &\leq |S| + (3/\lambda) \int_{S^c} \left| \sum_i \sum_{j \leq k_i} F_j(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^1} / \lambda + (C/\lambda) \sum \|f_i\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^1} / \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.23,

$$\left\| \sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + g \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \lambda \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

and by (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x : \left| F(\mathcal{L}_a) \left(\sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + g \right) \right| > \lambda/3 \right\} \right| \\ \leq (C/\lambda^2) \left\| \sum \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i + g \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq C' \lambda \|f\|_{L^1} / \lambda^2 = C \|f\|_{L^1} / \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x : \left| \left(\sum F_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right) \right| > \lambda/3 \right\} \right| &\leq 3 \left\| \sum F_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) \psi_{k_i}(\mathcal{L}_a) f_i \right\|_{L^1} / \lambda \\ &\leq (C/\lambda) \sum \|f_i\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1} / \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of theorem 2.6. □

2.3 MIKHLIN MULTIPLIER THEOREM FOR THE CASE $-(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 \leq a < 0$

Below, we present a multiplier theorem, and summary of its proof for the case when $-(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 \leq a < 0$. Both the theorem and the major results of the proof were obtained from [1].

Theorem 2.7. (Mikhlin Multipliers) Fix $-(\frac{d-2}{2})^2 \leq a < 0$ and suppose that $m : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$|\partial m(\lambda)| \lesssim \lambda^{-j} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq 3 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor + 3. \quad (2.16)$$

Then $m(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})$ which we define via the L^2 functional calculus, extends uniquely from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $r_0 < p < r'_0 := \frac{d}{\sigma}$.

Proof. We present the major results of the proof provided by [1], a more complete proof can be found in said paper. By the Spectral theorem, the operator $T := m(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})$ is bounded

on L^2 .) Thus using the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem and a duality argument, it suffices to show that T is of the weak-type (q, q)

$$|\{x : |Tf(x)| > h\}| \lesssim h^{-q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q \text{ for all } h > 0.$$

The authors used Calderon-Zygmund decomposition to $|f|^q$ at height h^q to obtain a family of dyadic cubes $\{Q_k\}_k$, $Q_j \cap Q_k = \emptyset$, $\bigcup Q_j = \Omega$ if $j \neq k$ which allowed the original function f to be decomposed such that $f = g + b_k$, where $b = \sum_k b_k$ and $b_k = X_{Q_k} f$ and $|g| \leq h$ almost everywhere. By construction,

$$\begin{aligned} h^q &< \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx \leq 2^n h^q \\ h^q |Q_k| &\leq \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx \leq 2^n |Q_k| h^q \\ |Q_k| &\leq \frac{1}{h^q} \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx \leq 2^n |Q_k| \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Multiplying (1.2) by h , we get

$$h|Q_k| \leq h^{1-q} \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx$$

By Holder's inequality and (2.17),

$$\int_{Q_k} |f(x)| dx \lesssim \|f\|_{L^q(Q_k)} |Q_k|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim h|Q_k| \lesssim h^{1-q} \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx \quad (2.18)$$

We further decompose $b_k = g_k + \tilde{b}_k$ according to the definition below

$$\tilde{b}_k := (1 - e^{-r_k^2})^\mu b_k \quad \text{and} \quad g_k := [1 - (1 - e^{-r_k^2})^\mu] b_k$$

Using the Binomial Theorem we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + (-e^{-r_k^2}))^\mu &= \binom{\mu}{0}(-e^{-r_k^2})^0 + \binom{\mu}{1}(-e^{-r_k^2})^1 + \binom{\mu}{2}(-e^{-r_k^2})^2 + \dots \\
&\quad + \binom{\mu}{\mu-1}(-e^{-r_k^2})^{\mu-1} + \binom{\mu}{\mu}(-e^{-r_k^2})^\mu \\
&= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{\nu} (-e^{-r_k^2})^\nu \\
&= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \frac{\mu!}{\nu!(\mu-\nu)!} (-e^{-r_k^2})^\nu \\
&= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} c_\nu e^{-\nu r_k^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$g_k = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} c_\nu e^{-\nu r_k^2} b_k$$

Where r_k denotes the radius of Q_k and $\mu := \lfloor \frac{d}{4} \rfloor + 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= g + b \\
&= g + \sum_k b_k \\
&= g + \sum_k g_k + \sum_k \tilde{b}_k
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator T to the above quantity, we get

$$Tf = Tg + \sum_k Tg_k + \sum_k T\tilde{b}_k.$$

By the Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem

$$|Tf| \leq |Tg| + \left| \sum_k Tg_k \right| + \left| \sum_k T\tilde{b}_k \right|.$$

Then

$$\{|Tf| > h\} \subset \left\{ |Tg| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| T \sum_k g_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| T \sum_k \tilde{b}_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, and the boundedness of T in L^2 , and (2.17)

$$\left| \left\{ |Tg| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \right| \lesssim h^{-2} \|Tg\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim h^{-2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim h^{-q} \|g\|_{L^q}^q \lesssim h^{-q} \|f\|_{L^q}^q$$

Using an argument similar to what was used above we obtain that

$$\left| \left\{ \left| T \sum_k g_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \right| \lesssim h^{-2} \left\| T \sum_k g_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim h^{-2} \left\| \sum_k g_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (2.19)$$

To control g_k

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_k g_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int \left| \sum_k g_k \right|^2 \quad (2.20) \\ &= \int \sum_k g_k \sum_l g_l \\ &= \int \sum_k \sum_\nu c_\nu e^{-\nu r_k^2 \mathcal{L}_a} b_k \sum_l \sum_{\nu'} c'_{\nu'} e^{-\nu' r_l^2 \mathcal{L}_a} b_l \\ &= \int \sum_{\nu, \nu'} c_\nu c_{\nu'} \sum_k e^{-\nu r_k^2 \mathcal{L}_a} b_k \sum_l e^{-\nu' r_l^2 \mathcal{L}_a} b_l \\ &= \sum_{\nu, \nu'} c_\nu c_{\nu'} \sum_{k, l} \int b_k e^{-(\nu r_k^2 + \nu' r_l^2) \mathcal{L}_a} b_l \\ &= \sum_{\nu, \nu'} c_\nu c_{\nu'} \sum_{k, l} \langle b_k, e^{-(\nu r_k^2 + \nu' r_l^2) \mathcal{L}_a} b_l \rangle \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k, l} \langle b_k, e^{-(\nu r_k^2 + \nu' r_l^2) \mathcal{L}_a} b_l \rangle \quad (2.21) \end{aligned}$$

Using the heat kernel in theorem 1.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_k g_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{\nu, \nu'} c_\nu c_{\nu'} \sum_{k, l} \langle b_k, e^{-(\nu r_k^2 + \nu' r_l^2)} \rangle \quad (2.22) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r_k \geq r_l} r_k^{-d} \int_{Q_l} \int_{Q_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|x|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_k(x)| e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cr_k^2}} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_l(y)| dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Now, all that is needed is to show that the quantity on the far right is bounded. Integrating over Q_k and Q_l , we get

$$\sum_{l:r_k \geq r_l} \int_{Q_l} \int_{Q_k} r_k^{-d} \left(\frac{r_k}{|x|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_k(x)| e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cr_k^2}} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_l(y)| dx dy \quad (2.23)$$

From here, we freeze k , and $xc \in Q_k$ so we can focus on

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cr_k^2}} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_l(y)| dy &\lesssim \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cr_k^2}} |b_l(y)| dy \quad (2.24) \\ &+ \sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} \int_{Q_l} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \right)^\sigma |b_l(y)| dy \quad (2.25) \end{aligned}$$

We are assuming that $Q_l \cap B(0, 2r_k) \neq \emptyset$ implies $Q_l \subseteq B(0, 2r_k)$ because $r_l \leq r_k$.

r_l is the radius of Q_l , and $r_l \leq r_k$, then $\text{dima}(Q_l) \leq 2r_k$. x has been fixed in Q_k . Pick a point y in Q_l , then $|x - y| \leq 2r_k$

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| - 2r_k &\leq 0 \\ (|x - y| - 2r_k)^2 &= |x - y|^2 - 2r_k|x - y| + 4r_k^2 \geq 0 \\ |x - y|^2 &\geq 2r_k|x - y| - 4r_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

We find some $y' \in Q_l$ such that $|x - y'|^2 \leq 2r_k|x - y|$. This is from the fact that $|x - y| \leq 2r_k$ for any $y \in Q_l$, then

$$|x - y|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|x - y'|^2 - 4r_k^2$$

for all $y, y' \in Q_l$. Then

$$|b_l(y)| = \|b_l(y)\|_{L^1} \lesssim h|Q_l|$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{cr_k^2}} |b_l(y)| dy &\lesssim \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y'|^2}{2cr_k^2}} |b_l(y)| dy \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} |b_l(y)| \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y'|^2}{2cr_k^2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \|b_l(y)\|_{L^1} \frac{1}{|Q_l|} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y'|^2}{2cr_k^2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} h \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y'|^2}{2cr_k^2}} dy \\
&\lesssim h \sum_{l:r_l \leq r_k} \int_{Q_l} e^{-\frac{|x-y'|^2}{2cr_k^2}} dy \\
&\lesssim hr_k^d
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \left[\sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} \int_{Q_l} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \right)^{\sigma q'} \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left[\sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} \int_{Q_l} |b_l(y)|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim \left[\sum_{B(0,2r_k)} \int_{Q_l} \left(\frac{r_k}{|y|} \right)^{\sigma q'} \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left[\sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} h^q |Q_l| \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim \left[\sum_{B(0,2r_k)} r^{\sigma q'} \frac{y}{(1-\sigma q')|y|^{\sigma q'}} \Big|_{B(0,2r_k)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left[\sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} h^q |Q_l| \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim \left[\sum_{B(0,2r_k)} r^{\sigma q'} \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left[\sum_{l:Q_l \subset B(0,2r_k)} h^q r_k^d \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim hr_k^{\frac{d}{q'}} r_k^{\frac{d}{q}} = hr_k^{d(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'})} = hr_k^d
\end{aligned}$$

Using this new information, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_k g_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim h \sum_k \int_{Q_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|x|} \vee 1 \right)^\sigma |b_k(x)| dx \\
&\lesssim h \left[\sum_k \int_{Q_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|x|} \vee 1 \right)^{\sigma q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} h \left[\sum_k \int_{Q_k} |b_k(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim h \left[\sum_k \int_{Q_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|x|} \vee 1 \right)^{\sigma q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} h \left[\int_{Q_k} \sum_k |b_k(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim h \left[\sum_k \int_{Q_k} (1)^{\sigma q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} h \left[\int_{Q_k} |f|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\lesssim h \left[\sum_k |Q_k| \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|f\|_{L^q} dx \\
&\lesssim h |Q_k|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|f\|_{L^q} dx \\
&\lesssim h^{2-q} \int_{Q_k} |f(x)|^q dx \\
&\lesssim h^{2-q} \|f\|_{L^q}^q
\end{aligned}$$

At this point all that is required is to estimate $\{|T \sum_k \tilde{b}_k| > \frac{1}{3}h\}$. Define Q_k^* as the $2\sqrt{d}$ dilate of Q_k . As

$$\left| \left\{ \left| T \sum_k \tilde{b}_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \right| \subset \cup_j Q_j^* \cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_j Q_j^* : \left| T \sum_k \tilde{b}_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\}.$$

Using Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\{ \left| T \sum_k \tilde{b}_k \right| > \frac{1}{3}h \right\} \right| &\lesssim \sum_j |Q_j^*| + h^{-1} \sum_k \|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_k^*)} \\
&\lesssim h^{-q} \|f\|_{L^q}^q + h^{-1} \sum_k \|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_k^*)}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof, we need to show

$$\|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_k^*)} \lesssim h^{1-q} \|b_k\|_{L^q}^q \tag{2.26}$$

To do this, we divide the region $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_k^*$ into dyadic annuli of the form $R < \text{dist}\{x, Q_k\} \leq 2R$ for $r_k \leq R \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The following will be proved:

$$\|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^2(\text{dist}\{x, Q_k\} > R)} \lesssim \left(\frac{r_k}{R} \right)^{2\mu} R^{-d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'})} \|b_k\|_{L^q}, \tag{2.27}$$

Claim (2.26) follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_k^*)} &= \sum_{R \geq r_k} \|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^1(\{R < \text{dist}\{x, Q_k\} \leq 2R\})} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{R \geq r_k} R^{\frac{d}{2}} \|T\tilde{b}_k\|_{L^2(\{\text{dist}\{x, Q_k\} > R\})} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{R \geq r_k} R^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu} R^{-d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'})} \|b_k\|_{L^q}^q \\
&\lesssim r_k^{\frac{d}{q'}} \|b_k\|_{L^q} \lesssim h^{1-q} \|b_k\|_{L^q}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order for the sum above to converge, we need $\frac{d}{q'} < 2\mu$, which is guaranteed under the hypothesis presented

To prove (2.27), we write

$$(T\tilde{b}_k)(x) = \int_{Q_k} \left[m(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \mathcal{L}_a})^\mu \right](x, y) b_k(y) dy \quad (2.28)$$

The function defined below is extended to all of \mathbb{R} as an even function.

$$a(\lambda) := m(\lambda)(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2})^\mu \quad (2.29)$$

We need to show that

$$|\partial^j a(\lambda)| \lesssim |\lambda|^{-j} \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|\right)^{2\mu}. \quad (2.30)$$

To start the proof, we need to state the following lemmas.

2.3.1 FIRST LEMMA

Lemma 2.3.1. For $s = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$\partial_\lambda^s (e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}) = \lambda^{-s} P_{2,s}(r\lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}$$

Where $P_{2,s}$ is a polynomial of degree s .

$$P_k(\alpha) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0.$$

Proof. Induction If $b = 0$,

$$\partial_\lambda^0(e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}) = e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} = a_0 e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}, \quad a_0 = 1 \quad LHS = RHS$$

Now suppose

$$\partial^{s-1}(e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}) = \lambda^{-(s-1)} P_{2(s-1)}(r\lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^s(e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}) &= \partial^1 \partial^{s-1}(e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}) \\ &= \partial^1 \left[\lambda^{-(s-1)} * P_{2(s-1)}(r\lambda) * e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right] \\ &= -(s-1)\lambda^s * P_{2(s-1)}(r\lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} + \lambda^{-(s-1)} * r * P_{2(s-1)-1}(r\lambda) * e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-(s-1)} * P_{2(s-1)-1}(r\lambda) * e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} (-r^2 2\lambda) \\ &= \lambda^{-s} P_{2s}(r\lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3.2 SECOND LEMMA (LEIBNIZ RULE)

Lemma 2.3.2. (*Leibniz rule*)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^s(U * V) &= \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} \partial^k U * \partial^{s-k} V \\ &= U * \partial^s V + s * \partial^1 U * \partial^{s-1} V + \dots + \partial^s U * V \end{aligned}$$

2.3.3 THIRD LEMMA

Lemma 2.3.3.

$$\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}$$

Recall

$$a(\lambda) = m(\lambda) \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^j &= \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} \partial^l m(\lambda) \partial^{j-l} \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} |\lambda|^{-l} |\lambda|^{-(j-l)} \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu} \\ &\lesssim |\lambda|^{-j} \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Case 1:

$$r_k |\lambda| < 1$$

We need to show

$$\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}$$

When $s=0$,

$$\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{2\mu} \lesssim \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}$$

Suppose

$$\partial^{s-1} \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{2\mu} \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-(s-1)} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^s &= \partial^{s-1} \partial^1 \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \\
&= \partial^{s-1} \left[\mu \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \left(+ e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} r_k^2 2\lambda \right) \right] \\
&= 2\mu r_k^2 \partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \\
&= 2\mu r_k^2 \partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} - \lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \\
&= 2\mu r_k^2 \left(\partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] - \partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The first quantity in the RHS is then bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] &= \lambda \partial^{s-1} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} + (s-1) \partial^{s-2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \\
&\lesssim \lambda |\lambda|^{-(s-1)} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2(\mu-1)} + |\lambda|^{-(s-2)} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2(\mu-1)} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s+2} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2(\mu-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The second quantity is bounded by

$$\partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-s+2} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] &\lesssim 2\mu r_k^2 |\lambda|^{-s+2} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2(\mu-1)} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^2 \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2(\mu-1)} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} \left(r_k |\lambda| \right)^{2\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $r_k |\lambda| \geq 1$.

We need to show

$$\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-s}.$$

When $s = 0$,

$$\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] \lesssim 1^\mu \lesssim |\lambda|^0 = 1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] &= \partial^{s-1} \left[\mu \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \left(+ e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} r_k^2 2\lambda \right) \right] \\
&= 2\mu r_k^2 \partial^{s-1} \left[\lambda e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \\
&= 2\mu r_k^2 \left\{ \lambda \partial^{s-1} \left[e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] + (s-1) \partial^{s-2} \left[e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

To bound the first half of the quantity on the RHS we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{s-1} \left[e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] &= \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \binom{s-1}{l} \partial^l \left(e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right) \partial^{s-1-l} \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \binom{s-1}{l} |\lambda|^{-l} P_{2l}(r_k \lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} |\lambda|^{-(s-1-l)} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-(s-1)} P_{2(s-2)}(r_k \lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second quantity on the RHS can be bounded by

$$\partial^{s-2} \left[e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \lesssim |\lambda|^{-(s-2)} P_{2(s-2)}(r_k \lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2}$$

Then the whole thing can be bounded. And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^s \left[\left(1 - e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \right)^\mu \right] &\lesssim r_k^2 |\lambda|^{-s+2} P_{2(s-1)}(r_k \lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} (r_k |\lambda|)^2 P_{2(s-1)}(r_k \lambda) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s} P_{2s}(r_k |\lambda|) e^{-r_k^2 \lambda^2} \\
&\lesssim |\lambda|^{-s}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Define φ to be a smooth, positive, even function supported on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, and such that $\varphi(\tau) = 1$ for $|\tau| < \frac{1}{4}$. Then the Fourier transform of φ is

$$\hat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \int e^{-i\lambda\tau} \varphi(\tau) d\tau$$

and,

$$\check{\varphi}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\lambda\tau} \varphi(\tau) d\tau$$

Now, let R be a number such that $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \subseteq \left[-\frac{R}{2}, \frac{R}{2}\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda) &= R\check{\varphi}(R\lambda) \\ &= \frac{R}{2\pi} \int e^{i\lambda R\tau} \varphi\left(\frac{R\tau}{R}\right) \frac{d\tau}{R} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\tau = R\tau$

$$\check{\varphi}_R(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\lambda\tau} \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right) d\tau,$$

Both a and φ are even by definition, then convolution

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\lambda) &:= (a * \check{\varphi}_R)(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda - \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda - \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda - \tau) d\tau e^{-i\lambda\tilde{\tau}} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \check{\varphi}_R(\tilde{\tau}) d\tau e^{-i(\lambda+\tilde{\lambda})\tilde{\tau}} d\tilde{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) e^{-i\lambda\tilde{\tau}} d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \check{\varphi}_R(\tilde{\tau}) d\tau e^{-i\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\tau}} d\tilde{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\tau) e^{-i\lambda\tilde{\tau}} d\tau \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now applying an inverse Fourier transform we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{a}(\tau) e^{i\lambda\tau} d\tau \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right) \quad (2.31)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \cos(\lambda\tau) \hat{a}(\tau) \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right) d\tau \quad (2.32)$$

$$(2.33)$$

since the function is even, and letting $\tau = \tilde{\tau}$.

[3] shows that the wave equation with inverse-square potential $u_{tt} + \mathcal{L}_a u = 0$ obeys finite speed of propagation. Noting that $\phi\left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right)$ is supported on the set $\{\tau : |\tau| \leq \frac{R}{2}\}$, the following is obtained

$$\text{supp}\left(a_1(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})\delta_y\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{\tau \leq \frac{R}{2}} \text{supp}\left(\cos(\tau\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})\delta_y\right) \subseteq B\left(y, \frac{1}{2}R\right).$$

Thus, this part of the multiplier a does not contribute to (2.27).

The remaining part of a is shown to be bounded. Define

$$a_2(\lambda) := a_1(\lambda) - a(\lambda) = \int [a(\theta) - a(\lambda)] \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda - \theta) d\theta$$

When $|\lambda| \leq R^{-1}$

$$|a_2(\lambda)| \lesssim \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|\right)^{2\mu} \left(|\lambda|R\right)^{-2\mu} \quad (2.34)$$

and when $|\lambda| \geq R^{-1}$

$$|a_2(\lambda)| \lesssim \int |\varepsilon(\theta)| \left| \check{\varphi}_R(\lambda - \theta) \right| d\theta \lesssim \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|\right)^{2\mu} \left(|\lambda|R\right)^{-j}. \quad (2.35)$$

Combining (2.34) and (2.35) with the assumption that $R \geq r_k$

$$|a_2(\lambda)| \lesssim \left(1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|\right)^{2\mu} \left(\left(|\lambda|R\right)^{-2\mu} + \left(|\lambda|R\right)^{-j}\right) = \left(\frac{1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|}{|\lambda|R}\right)^{2\mu} \left(1 + R^2 \lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2\mu-j}{2}} \quad (2.36)$$

The first part of the quantity on the far right can be controlled by $\left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu}$, and the remaining can be decomposed into the following

$$\left(1 + R^2 \lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2\mu-j}{2}} \approx \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{j-2\mu}{2}} e^{-\frac{t(1+R^2\lambda^2)}{R^2}} \frac{dt}{t}$$

Combining the two gives equation (2.37)

$$|a_2(\lambda)| \lesssim \left(\frac{1 \wedge r_k |\lambda|}{|\lambda| R}\right)^{2\mu} \left(1 + R^2 \lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2\mu-j}{2}} \lesssim \left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{j-2\mu}{2}} e^{-\frac{t(1+R^2\lambda^2)}{R^2}} \frac{dt}{t} \quad (2.37)$$

By the spectral theorem (Appendix A.2) and the triangle inequality, we obtain the next result.

$$\|a_2(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{j-2\mu}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{R^2}} \left\|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a} b_k\right\|_{L^2} \frac{dt}{t} \quad (2.38)$$

We state the following quantity without proof. The proof can be found in [1]:

$$\left\|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_a} b_k\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{d}{4}} (t + r_k^2)^{\frac{d}{2q'}} \|b_k\|_{L^q} \quad (2.39)$$

Which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_2(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_a}) b_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim \left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu} R^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q'})} \|b_k\|_{L^q} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{j-2\mu}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2q'}} e^{-\frac{t}{R^2}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{r_k}{R}\right)^{2\mu} R^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q'})} \|b_k\|_{L^q}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $R \geq r_k$. This completes the proof of theorem 2.7.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Killip, R., Miao, C., Visan, M. et al., *Sobolev Spaces Adapted to The Schrödinger operator with inverse-square potential*, Math. Z. (2018) 288: 1273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-017-1934-8>
- [2] T. Coulhon and A. Sikora, *Gaussian Heat Kernel Upper Bounds via Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 96 (2008), 507-544.
- [3] Adam Sikora, *Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of the wave equation*, Math. Z. 247 (2004), no. 3, 643-662.
- [4] E. Brian Davies, *Heat Kernel Bounds for Higher Order Elliptic Operators*, Journées Équations aux Dérivées Partielles 247 (2004), no. 3, 643-662.
- [5] A. Pankov, *introduction to Spectral Theory of Schrödinger Operators*, Dep. of Math. Vinnitsa State Pedagogical University (Ukraine) (2000)
- [6] K. Ishige, Y. Kabeya, E. M. Ouhabaz, *The Heat Kernel of a Schrödinger Operator with inverse square potential*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 115 (2017), 381-410.
- [7] S. Zheng, *Spectral Multiplier for the Schrödinger Operator with Pöschl-Teller Potential*, Illinois J. Math. 54-2 (2010), 621-647.
- [8] A. Ibert, J. Pérez-Pardo, *On the theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators and its applications to Quantum Physics*, Int.Journal Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. (2015) 12.1560005. 10.1142/S0219887815600051.
- [9] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, I-IV*, Acad. Press, New York, 1980, 1975, 1979, 1978.
- [10] W. Hebisch, *A multiplier Theorem for Schrödinger Operators*, Coll. Math, 60/61 (1990), no.2, 659-664.
- [11] Elias M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press. (Princeton) (1970).

- [12] T. Kato, *Trotter's formula for an arbitrary pair of selfadjoint contraction semi-groups*, in: *Topics in Functional Analysis*, Gohberg and M. Kac (eds) Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. 3, Acad. Press, New York 1978, 185-195
- [13] W. Hebisch, *Almost everywhere summability of eigenfunction expansions associated to elliptic operators*, *Studia. Math.* 96 (1990)
- [14] J. Murphy, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with an inverse-square potential*, Top appear in AMS contemporary Mathematics
- [15] J. Lu, C. Miao, and J. Murphy, *Scattering in H^1 for the intercritical NLS with an inverse square potential*, *J. of Differential Equations* 264 (2018), no.5, 3174-3211
- [16] R. Killip, J. Murphy, M. Visan and J Zheng, *The focusing cubic NLS with inverse-square potential in three space dimensions*, *J. of Differential Equations* 30 (2017), no. 3-4, 161-206
- [17] K. Ishige, Y. Kabeya, E. M. Ouhabaz, *The heat kernel of a Schrödinger operator with inverse square potential*, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, London Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [18] G. Barbatis, S. Filippas and A. Tertikas, *Critical heat kernel estimates for Schrödinger operators via Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 208 (2004), 130.

APPENDIX A

NOTABLE THEOREMS

Here we present a short selection of harmonic analysis theorems that were useful in our work, either explicitly or implicitly.

Theorem A.1. (Calderon-Zygmund). Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $h > 0$. There exists a countable collection of cubes with sides parallel to the axes, Q_j with disjoint interiors, such that, for each j ,

$$h < \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} |f| dx \leq 2^n h.$$

Consider $\Omega = \bigcup Q_j$ and $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega$. Then,

$$|\Omega| \leq h^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover,

$$|f(x)| \leq h$$

holds almost everywhere for $x \in F$. There exist a decomposition

$$f(x) = g(x) + b(x)$$

such that $|g(x)| \leq 2^n h$ almost everywhere, moreover, for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (1 + 2^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Theorem A.2. (Chebyshev Theorem) Let (X, Σ, μ) be measurable space, and let f be an extended real-valued measurable function defined on X . Then for any real number $h > 0$ and $0 < q < \infty$,

$$\mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq h\} \leq \frac{1}{h^q} \int_{|f| \geq h} |f|^q d\mu.$$

Theorem A.3. (Spectral Theorem) Suppose that \mathcal{L}_a is a self-adjoint positive definite operator acting on $L^2(TX, \mu)$. Such an operator admits a spectral decomposition $E_L(\lambda)$ and for

any bounded Borel function $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we define the operator $F(\mathcal{L}_a) : L^2(TX) \rightarrow L^2(TX)$ by the formula

$$F(\mathcal{L}_a) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) dE_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\lambda.)$$

Suppose that S is a bounded operator from $L^p(TX)$ to $L^q(TX)$. We write $\|S\|_{L^p(TX) \rightarrow L^q(TX)}$ for the usual operator norm of S . If S is of the weak type $(1, 1)$, i.e., if

$$\mu(x \in X : |Sf(x)| > \lambda) \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(TX)}}{\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+ \quad \forall f \in L^1(TX),$$

where the least possible of C is $\|S\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}}$.

Theorem A.4. (Marcinkiewicz interpolation Theorem, Stein 21) Suppose that $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. If T is a sub-additive mapping from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the space of measurable functions on (\mathbb{R}^n) which is simultaneously of weak type $(1, 1)$ and weak type (r, r) , then T is also of type (p, p) , for all p such that $1 < p < r$. More explicitly: Suppose that for all $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$

- (i) $|T(f + g)(x)| \leq |Tf(x)| + |Tg(x)|$
- (ii) $m\{|Tf(x)| > h\} \leq \frac{A_1}{h} \|f\|_1, f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- (iii) $m\{x : |Tf(x)| > h\} \leq \left(\frac{A_r}{h}\|f\|_r\right)^r, f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Then

$$\|Tf(x)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $1 < p < r$, where A_p depends only on A_1, A_2, p and r .

Theorem A.5. (Holder's Inequality) Let (S, σ, μ) be a measure space and let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then, for all measurable real, or complex-valued functions f and g on S

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

If in addition $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and $g \in L^q(\mu)$, then Holder's inequality becomes an equality *iff* $|f|^p$ and $|g|^q$ are linearly dependent in $L^1(\mu)$, meaning that there exist real numbers, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, not both of them zero, such that $\alpha|f|^p = \beta|g|^q$ on μ almost everywhere.