

# Georgia Southern University Digital Commons@Georgia Southern

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

Graduate Studies, Jack N. Averitt College of

Summer 2017

## Pattern Containment in Circular Permutations

**Charles Lanning** 

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/etd Part of the Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons

#### **Recommended** Citation

Lanning, Charles, "Pattern Containment in Circular Permutations" (2017). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 1649. https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/etd/1649

This thesis (open access) is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies, Jack N. Averitt College of at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu.

#### PATTERN CONTAINMENT IN CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

by

#### CHARLES LANNING

#### (Under the Direction of Hua Wang)

#### ABSTRACT

Pattern containment in permutations, as opposed to pattern avoidance, involves two aspects. The first is to contain every pattern at least once from a given set, known as finding superpatterns; while the second is to contain some given pattern as many times as possible, known as pattern packing. In this thesis, we explore these two questions in circular permutations and present some interesting observations. We also raise some questions and propose some directions for future study.

INDEX WORDS: Permutations, Circular, Patterns, Packing density, Superpattern 2009 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A05, 05A16

#### PATTERN CONTAINMENT IN CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

by

## CHARLES LANNING

B.S., Georgia Southern University, 2015

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Georgia Southern University in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

## MASTER OF SCIENCE

### STATESBORO, GEORGIA

©2017

## CHARLES LANNING

All Rights Reserved

## PATTERN CONTAINMENT IN CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

by

## CHARLES LANNING

Major Professor: Hua Wang Daniel Gray Committee: Andrew Sills

Electronic Version Approved: July 2017

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge my family, friends, and advisors for helping me in my journey.

Appendices Pag	ge
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	2
CHAPTER	
1 Introduction	4
1.1 Patterns in Permutations	4
1.2 Superpatterns	5
1.3 Pattern Packing	6
1.4 Previous Work	6
2 Circular Permutations	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Circular permutations and number of revolutions	8
2.3 Circular superpatterns	0
2.4 Packing density in circular permutations	13
2.5 Concluding remarks	17
3 Colored Permutations	22
3.1 Superpatterns	22
3.2 Packing Densities	27
REFERENCES	32

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

We begin our discussion with an overview of important terminologies in the field of pattern containment.

#### **1.1 PATTERNS IN PERMUTATIONS**

Note that when we say pattern, we are referring to a permutation that is to be contained in another permutation. For example, in the permutation 21534, if we delete 2 and 3, we obtain the sequence 154. However, this is not a permutation, so we call it a subsequence of 21534.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$  be a permutation. A sequence formed by  $\pi_{i_1} \pi_{i_2} \dots \pi_{i_k}$ , with  $i_j < i_{j+1}$  for each  $1 \le j \le k-1$ , is called a *subsequence* of  $\pi$ .

This definition allows us to consider an idea of containment. However, since these subsequences are not necessarily permutations, we need to find some way to relate them to permutations. In the previous example, where 154 is contained in 21534, notice that 1 < 5, 1 < 4, and 5 > 4. So, in words, the order goes low, high, middle. There is only one permutation that has the same order, and that is 132. We will say that 154 is order-isomorphic to 132.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $p = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$  and  $q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$  be sequences of natural numbers. If  $p_i < q_k \iff q_i < q_k$ , then we say that p and q are *order-isomorphic*.

We can easily see that for any sequence of non-repetitive natural numbers, there is only one permutation that is order-isomorphic to it. This allows us to define pattern containment in a convenient way.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  be permutations of length *n* and *k*, respectively. Let  $n \ge k$ . If there is a subsequence of  $\pi$  that is order-isomorphic to  $\tau$ , then we say that  $\pi$  contains  $\tau$ .

So, in our example of 21534, since 132 is order-isomorphic to 154, which is a subsequence of 21534, we can say that 21534 contains 132. Alternatively, we can say that 132 occurs in 21534.

#### 1.2 SUPERPATTERNS

In the study of pattern containment, a natural question might be to find permutations that contain all patterns of a certain length, say k. Note that there are k! patterns of length k.Now, we can clearly construct a length  $k \cdot (k!)$  permutation by having the first k entries representing a certain pattern, and so on. This is called a k-superpattern, and it is by no means the shortest k-superpattern.

**Definition 1.4.** Let *P* be a subset of permutations. We say that a permutation  $\pi$  is a *P*-superpattern if it contains at least one occurrence of every  $\tau \in P$ . Further, define sp(P) to be the length of the shortest *P*-superpattern, i.e.

 $sp(P) = \min\{n : \text{there is a } P \text{-superpattern of length } n\}.$ 

In the case that *P* consists of all permutations of length *k*, we employ the traditional notations *k*-superpattern and sp(k).

As an illustration, suppose we wish to contain all permutations of length 3, i.e. we want a 3-superpattern. Then,  $\pi = 25314$  accomplishes this; further, there is no permutation of length 4 that could possibly contain all permutations of length 3 since it would be impossible for such a permutation to contain both 123 and 321. Hence, sp(3) = 5.

Much of the research in superpatterns regards finding bounds for sp(k). For cases  $k \le 3$ , sp(k) is known. However, for larger values of k, the problem becomes much harder.

#### **1.3 PATTERN PACKING**

Pattern packing refers to containing the most number of copies of a given pattern within a permutation. For a given pattern, there exists an (not necessarily unique) optimal permutation of a certain length.

**Definition 1.5.** For permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  (of length k), we let  $f(\pi, \tau)$  be the number of occurences of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$ , and we define

 $g(n, \tau) = \max\{f(\sigma, \tau) : \sigma \text{ is a permutation of length } n\}.$ 

If  $\pi$  is of length *n* and  $f(\pi, \tau) = g(n, \tau)$ , then we say that  $\pi$  is  $\tau$ -optimal. The packing density of  $\tau$  is defined by

$$\delta( au) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{g(n, au)}{{n \choose k}}.$$

We can think of packing density as a pattern's 'packability.' As a simple example, for the pattern  $\tau = 123$  and the permutation  $\pi = 123456$ , it is not hard to see that  $\pi$  is  $\tau$ -optimal and

$$g(6,\tau) = f(\pi,\tau) = \binom{6}{3}.$$

In general, if  $\pi$  is the monotone increasing permutation of length *n*, then  $\pi$  is  $\tau$ -optimal. Hence, the packing density of  $\tau$  is

$$\delta(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n, \tau)}{\binom{n}{3}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\binom{n}{3}}{\binom{n}{3}} = 1.$$

#### **1.4 PREVIOUS WORK**

The systematic study of pattern containment was first proposed by H. Wilf in his 1992 address to the SIAM meeting on Discrete Mathematics [11]. Until then, most research regarding patterns concerned pattern avoidance. For an excellent introduction into these results, consult [4].

Our research considers superpatterns and pattern packing, which can be seen as the dual problems to pattern avoidance.

In 1999, R. Arratia was the first to publish bounds for sp(k). He found that  $\frac{k^2}{e^2} \le sp(k) \le k^2$  [2]. This lower bound is still the best known. In 2007, H. Eriksson et al. improved Arratia's upper bound with  $sp(k) \le \frac{2k^2}{3} + O(k^{3/2}(\log(k))^{1/2})$  [7]. Alison Miller [12] then showed, in 2010, that  $sp(k) \le \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ , which strongly supports the conjecture by Eriksson et al that  $sp(k) \sim \frac{k^2}{2}$ .

Many results on sp(P) for various sets of permutations P have also been found. As a brief list, bounds have been found for sp(P) when P has been the set of layered permutations [8], the set of 321-avoiding permutations [3], the set of *m*-colored permutations [9], and the set of words [5]. For these last two items, the concept of pattern containment extends naturally to *m*-colored permutations and to the set of words. We will cover *m*-colored permutations, as well as the circular analogue, in Chapter 3.

In 1993, W. Stromquist found the packing density of 132 to be  $2\sqrt{3} - 3$  [14]. Along with the packing density of 123 being trivially 1, this closed the length-3 case by symmetries (reversals, inverses, and complements of permutations preserve pattern containment). In 1997, A. Price found the packing densities of 1432, 2143, and 1324 [13]. Since there are 7 equivalence classes of length-4 patterns, this left 4 unsolved packing densities. In 2002, M. H. Albert et al. found the packing density of 1243 [1]. The remaining three cases are still unsolved.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we generalize the study of pattern containment to circular permutations. We first introduce the related concepts in Section 2.2. In Section 2.3, we discuss circular superpatterns and R-rev superpatterns in general. We then study packing density in circular permutations and its R-rev analogue in Section 2.4. We briefly comment on our findings and raise some questions in Section 2.5.

#### 2.2 CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS AND NUMBER OF REVOLUTIONS

In order to define the circular analogue of a permutation, we first introduce the circular shift of a permutation.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \cdots \pi_n$  be a permutation of length *n*. The *circular shift of*  $\pi$ , denoted  $S(\pi)$ , is given by

$$S(\pi)=\pi_n\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_{n-1}.$$

For instance, with  $\pi = 31524$ , we have  $S(\pi) = 43152$ ,  $S^2(\pi) = 24315$ ,  $S^3(\pi) = 52431$ ,  $S^4(\pi) = 15243$ , and  $S^5(\pi) = 31524 = \pi$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of length *n*. Then, the *circular permutation of*  $\pi$ , denoted  $\pi_c$ , is the permutation obtained by wrapping  $\pi$  clockwise around a circle in one revolution; so for  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , we have  $\pi_{i-1}$ ,  $\pi_i$ , and  $\pi_{i+1}$  appear in clockwise ordering, with  $\pi_n$  being the counterclockwise neighbor of  $\pi_1$ . We say that two permutations are *equivalent* if one is the circular shift of the other.

Note that when a circular permutation is considered, circular shift does not change the permutation. As an example, Figure 2.1 shows the circular versions of a permutation and its circular shift, which are identical.



Figure 2.1: A circular permutation  $\pi_c = (123546)_c$  (left) and  $(S(\pi))_c = \pi_c$  (right).

**Remark 2.3.** When considering pattern containment in circular permutations, it is important and convenient to note that an occurrence of  $\tau$  in  $\pi_c$  is the same as a linear occurrence of  $S^i(\tau)$  in  $\pi$  for some *i*.

**Definition 2.4.** Let *P* be a set of permutations. We say that  $\pi$  is a *circular P-superpattern* if there is an occurrence of  $\tau$  in  $\pi_c$  for every  $\tau \in P$ . Further, let  $sp_c(P)$  be the length of the shortest circular *P*-superpattern.

In the case that *P* consists of all (regular) permutations of length *k*, similar to the traditional notations, we use terms circular *k*-superpattern and  $sp_c(k)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** For permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  (of length k), we define  $f_c(\pi, \tau)$  to be the number of occurrences of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$  wrapped around a circle, i.e.

$$f_c(\pi,\tau) = f(\pi,\tau) + f(\pi,S(\tau)) + f(\pi,S^2(\tau)) + \dots + f(\pi,S^{k-1}(\tau)).$$

Likewise,

 $g_c(n, \tau) = \max\{f_c(\sigma, \tau) : \sigma \text{ is a permutation of length } n\}.$ 

If  $\pi$  is of length *n* and  $f_c(\pi, \tau) = g_c(n, \tau)$ , then we say that  $\pi$  is *circular*  $\tau$ -optimal.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\tau$  be a permutation of length *k*. The *circular packing density* of  $\tau$ , denoted  $\delta_c(\tau)$ , is defined by

$$\delta_c( au) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{g_c(n, au)}{\binom{n}{k}}.$$

A circular permutation allows one to travel clockwise along the permutation with any choice of starting point, stopping our travel before we reach our starting point, i.e. we travel within one revolution of the circle. It is also interesting to explore the scenario when we can 'wrap' around the circle more than once. For instance, the pattern 321 occurs as 654 in the circular permutation in Figure 2.1, but not the pattern 4321. If we are allowed two revolutions, then we have 6541 as an occurrence of the pattern 4321.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of length *n*, and create a word  $\pi_{(R)}$  by laying out *R* copies of  $\pi$  in a line, i.e.

$$\pi_{(R)} = \underbrace{\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n}_{R \text{ times}}.$$

Select a subsequence of distinct entries of  $(\pi_{(R)})_c$ , i.e. wrap  $\pi_{(R)}$  clockwise around a circle and select a subsequence so that no two entries have the same value. Such a subsequence will be called an *R-revolution subsequence* (or just *R-rev* subsequence) of  $\pi_c$ .

Take  $\pi = 1234$  for example,  $(\pi_{(2)})_c$  is simply 12341234 wrapped around a circle. Then 421 is a 2-rev subsequence of  $\pi_c$ , but is clearly not a 1-rev subsequence of  $\pi_c$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  be permutations of length n and k, respectively, with  $n \ge k$ , and let R be a positive integer. We say that there is an R-rev occurrence of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$  if there is an R-rev subsequence of entries of  $\pi_c$  which is order isomorphic to  $\tau$ . We may then analogously define R-rev P-superpattern, R-rev  $\tau$ -optimal, and R-rev packing density. Further, let  $sp_R(P)$  be the length of the shortest R-rev P superpattern. It is easy to see that  $sp_c = sp_1, \delta_c = \delta_1$ , etc.

#### 2.3 CIRCULAR SUPERPATTERNS

We start with some simple observations which bound  $sp_c(k)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Given a positive integer k, we have* 

$$sp_c(k) \ge g(k)\frac{k^2}{e^2},$$

where  $g(k) \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = sp_c(k)$ . Note that the circular shift partitions the set of permutations of length k into (k-1)! equivalence classes, each of size k. Any circular k-superpattern must contain at least one representative of each of these equivalence classes. Hence, the number of subsequences of length k in a circular k-superpattern must be at least (k-1)!. Then,

$$\binom{n}{k} \ge (k-1)!.$$

Notice that  $\frac{n^k}{k!} \ge {\binom{n}{k}}$  and, by Stirling's Approximation,  $k! \ge \sqrt{2\pi k} \frac{k^k}{e^k}$ . So,

$$n^{k} \geq k!(k-1)!$$

$$n \geq \sqrt[k]{\frac{(k!)^{2}}{k}}$$

$$\geq \sqrt[k]{2\pi \cdot \frac{k^{2k}}{e^{2k}}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{1/k} \frac{k^{2}}{e^{2}}.$$

Letting  $g(k) = (2\pi)^{1/k}$ , we clearly see that  $g(k) \to 1$  as  $k \to \infty$ , which proves our result.  $\Box$ 

To state our next observation we first recall that the *direct sum*  $\pi \oplus \pi'$  of two permutations  $\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_\ell$  and  $\pi' = \pi'_1 \dots \pi'_m$  is defined as

$$\pi_1 \ldots \pi_\ell (\pi'_1 + \ell) \ldots (\pi'_m + \ell).$$

**Theorem 2.10.** For any k-superpattern  $\pi$ ,  $(\pi \oplus 1)_c$  is a circular (k+1)-superpattern. Consequently

$$sp_c(k+1) \le sp(k) + 1$$

for any  $k \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_n$ , then  $\pi \oplus 1 = \pi_1 \dots \pi_n (n+1)$ . Given any permutation/pattern  $\tau$  of length k + 1,  $S^i(\tau)$  is of the form  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k (k+1)$  for some *i*. If  $= \pi$  is a *k*-superpattern,

some subsequence  $\pi_{i_1} \dots \pi_{i_k}$  of  $\pi$  is order isomorphic to  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k$ , then,

$$\pi \oplus 1 = \pi_1 \dots \pi_n (n+1)$$

contains the subsequence

$$\pi_{i_1}\ldots\pi_{i_k}(n+1)$$

that is order isomorphic to

$$\tau_1\ldots\tau_k(k+1)=S^{\iota}(\tau).$$

Thus,  $(\pi \oplus 1)_c$  contains  $\tau$  as a pattern, implying that  $(\pi \oplus 1)_c$  is a circular (k+1)-superpattern.

When allowing extra revolutions, the following result states that every sufficiently long circular permutation, with enough revolutions allowed, contains every pattern.

**Theorem 2.11.** Given any  $k \ge 2$  and  $R \ge k - 1$ , any permutation of length at least k is an *R*-rev k-superpattern.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show the statement for R = k - 1. We proceed by induction on k. The initial case is trivial.

Assume now, that any permutation of length at least k is a (k-1)-rev k-superpattern and let  $\pi$  be a permutation of length at least k+1.

Given any pattern  $\tau$  of length k + 1, we show that there is a k-rev occurrence of some circular shift of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$ . Note that some circular shift  $S^i(\tau)$  is of the form  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k(k+1)$ . Let  $\pi'$  be the permutation of length at least k obtained by removing the largest entry n of  $\pi$ . Then  $\pi'$  is a (k-1)-rev k-superpattern by the induction hypothesis. That is, some subsequence of distinct entries of

$$\underbrace{\pi'\ldots\pi'}_{k-1 \text{ times}}$$

12

is order isomorphic to  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k$ . This subsequence, with *n* appended at the end, is order isomorphic to  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k(k+1) = S^i(\tau)$ . Such a sequence is a subsequence of distinct entries of

$$\underbrace{\pi \dots \pi}_{k \text{ times}},$$

which is to say that there is a k-rev occurrence of  $S^i(\tau)$  in  $\pi$ .

Hence,  $\pi$  is a k-rev (k+1)-superpattern.

**Remark 2.12.** In some sense Theorem 2.11 is the best possible. For instance, let  $\pi = 12...k$  and  $\tau = k...21$ , indeed it requires k - 1 revolutions for  $\tau$  to occur as a subsequence of  $\pi_c$ .

Since a *k*-superpattern (regardless of the number of revolutions allowed) has to contain at least *k* entries, Theorem 2.11 immediately implies the following.

**Corollary 2.13.** *For any*  $k \ge 2$  *and*  $R \ge k - 1$ *,*  $sp_R(k) = k$ *.* 

#### 2.4 PACKING DENSITY IN CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

For regular permutations, it is easy to see that the monotonic patterns are the only ones with packing density 1, with corresponding optimal permutations being monotonic as well. It is interesting to see that this is also the case for circular permutations. For brevity, we will say that a circular pattern  $\tau_c$  is monotonic if  $S^i(\tau)$  is monotonic for some *i*.

**Theorem 2.14.** The circular packing density of a pattern  $\tau$  is at most 1, with equality if and only if  $\tau_c$  is monotonic. In this case, the circular  $\tau$ -optimal permutation must also be monotonic. Further, if  $\tau_c$  is not monotonic, then  $\delta_c(\tau) \leq \frac{2}{3}$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\delta_c(\tau) \leq 1$  for any pattern  $\tau$ . In the case that  $\tau$  is a monotonic pattern (say 12...k) and  $\pi$  is a monotonic permutation 12...n of length n, it is easy to see

that

$$f_c(\pi, \tau) = \binom{n}{k} = g_c(n, \tau)$$

and hence  $\delta_c(\tau) = 1$ . If  $\pi_c$  is not monotonic, then some subsequence of length k is not order isomorphic to  $\tau$ , hence  $\pi_c$  would not be  $\tau$ -optimal. The case of  $\tau$  and  $\pi$  being decreasing is similar.

Suppose, then, that  $\tau_c$  is not monotonic. We will show that the number of subsequences of length k which are not occurrences of  $\tau$  in any  $\tau$ -optimal circular permutation is relatively large with respect to n, i.e. the probability that a randomly selected subsequence of length k is not an occurrence of  $\tau$  is non-zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

In  $\tau_c$ , there exists a smallest *i* such that *i* and *i* – 1 are not neighbors (where 0 is equivalent to *k*). Without loss of generality, suppose that *i* is clockwise of *i* – 1. Let *n* >> *k* and let  $\pi$  be a circular  $\tau$ -optimal permutation of length *n*.

Suppose that *T* is an occurrence of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$  with  $T_r$  and  $T_s$  playing the roles of i - 1 and *i*, respectively. Notice that if  $T_r < \gamma < T_s$ , then  $\gamma$  cannot play any role in *T*.

Since either  $T_r = T_s - 1$  or  $T_r < T_s - 1$ , consider two cases:

• Let *P* be the set of  $\tau$ -occurrences, *T*, where  $T_r = T_s - 1$ .

Clearly,  $|P| \leq {n \choose k-1}$ . Indeed, once we select x to play the role of i-1 in such a  $\tau$ -occurrence, the role of *i* will automatically be assigned to x+1.

• Let *Q* be the set of  $\tau$ -occurrences, *T*, where  $T_r < T_s - 1$ .

Let  $\gamma = \lfloor \frac{T_r + T_s}{2} \rfloor$ , and recall that  $\gamma$  plays no role in *T*. Let *T'* be obtained from *T* by inserting  $\gamma$  into *T* and removing *T\_s* and let *T''* be obtained by inserting  $\gamma$  into *T* and removing *T\_r*. We will show that at most one of *T'* and *T''* is a  $\tau$ -occurrence.

To see this, let T' be an occurrence of  $\tau$ . recall that  $T_r < \gamma < T_s$  with  $T_r$  playing the role of i - 1 and  $T_s$  playing the role of i. Hence, the only possible role that  $\gamma$  can play

in T' is the role of *i*. If T' is an occurrence of  $\tau$ , we may further say that  $\gamma$  occupies the same position in T' as  $T_s$  did in T.

Hence, the number of entries of T between  $T_r$  and  $T_s$  (clockwise) is exactly the same as the number of entries between  $T_r$  and  $\gamma$  in T', and the number of entries of Tbetween  $T_s$  and  $T_r$  in T is exactly the same as the number of entries between  $\gamma$  and  $T_r$ in T'. Thus, if  $\gamma$  is clockwise (resp. counter-clockwise) of  $T_s$ , then there are no entries of T between  $T_s$  and  $\gamma$  (resp.  $\gamma$  and  $T_s$ ). This means in T'',  $\gamma$  and  $T_s$  are neighbors. Since  $\gamma$  is now playing the role of i - 1 (because  $T_r$  was removed) and  $T_s$  is playing the role of i, and i and i - 1 are not neighbors in  $\tau_c$  by assumption, T'' cannot be an occurrence of  $\tau$ .

Thus, for every occurrence, T, of  $\tau$  in Q, at least one of T' and T'' is not an occurrence of  $\tau$ . Hence, the number of subsequences of  $\pi$  which are not occurrences of  $\tau$  is at least  $\frac{1}{2}|Q|$ . This is because it is immediately obvious whether the non-occurrence is a T' or a T'' since T' and T'' are identical to T except for the placement of one entry. If the non-occurrence is a T' then  $T_r$  and  $\gamma$  are known, and there are only two possible values of  $T_s$  which will give us the same  $\gamma$ ; similarly, if it was a T'' then  $T_s$  and  $\gamma$ are known, and there are only two possible values of  $T_r$  which will give the same  $\gamma$ ). Therefore, each non-occurrence which resulted as a T' or a T'' is counted at most twice by members of Q.

Summarizing the above, we have

$$egin{array}{rcl} |P|+|Q|+rac{1}{2}|Q|&\leq&inom{n}{k}\ rac{32|Q|}{inom{n}{k}}&\leq&1\ rac{|Q|}{inom{k}{k}}&\leq&rac{2}{3}. \end{array}$$

Also notice that  $\frac{|P|}{\binom{n}{k}} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\delta_c( au) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{g(n, au)}{{n \choose k}} \leq \lim_{n o \infty} rac{|P| + |Q|}{{n \choose k}} \leq rac{2}{3},$$

which proves the result.

**Remark 2.15.** As a consequence of Proposition 2.14, noticing that all length 3 patterns are equivalent to a monotonic pattern through circular shift, we see that all length 3 patterns have circular packing density 1. This is certainly not the case for the traditional packing densities.

On the other hand, it is easy to see the following relationship between the packing density of a pattern  $\tau$  and the circular packing density of  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** Let  $\tau$  be a pattern of any length. Then,  $\delta_c(\tau) \geq \delta(S^i(\tau))$  for all *i*.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\tau$  is of length *k*, and recall that

$$g_c(n, \tau) = \max_{\pi \in S_n} \{ f(\pi, \tau) + f(\pi, S(\tau)) + \dots + S^{k-1}(\tau) \}$$

Let *i* be such that  $g(n, S^i(\tau)) \ge g(n, S^j(\tau))$  for any  $0 \le j \le k-1$ . Then  $g_c(n, \tau) \ge g(n, S^i(\tau))$ . Thus,  $\delta_c(\tau) \ge \delta(S^i(\tau))$ .

When multiple revolutions are allowed, the situation changes dramatically. For the sake of our argument, we first introduce another notation.

**Definition 2.17.** For permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tau$ , we define  $f_R(\pi, \tau)$  to be the number of *R*-rev occurrences of  $\tau$  in  $\pi$ . Likewise,

 $g_R(n,\tau) = \max\{f_R(\sigma,\tau) : \sigma \text{ is a permutation of length } n\}.$ 

The following is an analogue of Theorem 2.11 in terms of packing density.

**Proposition 2.18.** Given  $k \ge 2$  and  $R \ge k - 1$ , every permutation  $\pi$  of length at least k is *R*-rev  $\tau$ -optimal for any pattern  $\tau$  of length k.

*Proof.* We only consider the case R = k - 1. Take any *k*-subsequence of  $\pi$  (of length  $n \ge k$ ), say  $\phi$ . Theorem 2.11 claims that  $\phi$  is a (k - 1)-rev *k*-superpattern, implying that  $\phi$  contains  $\tau$  as a pattern when k - 1 revolutions are allowed. Hence,  $\phi$  is an occurrence of  $\tau$ .

Thus,  $f_R(\pi, \tau) = \binom{n}{k} = g_R(n, \tau)$ , showing that  $\pi$  is (k-1)-rev  $\tau$ -optimal.

#### 2.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this chapter, we generalized the study of pattern containment to circular permutations where a permutation or pattern is allowed to wrap around a circle one or more times. We provided some basic observations on the minimum length of a circular superpattern. Furthermore, we showed that any long enough permutation, when enough revolutions are allowed, is a superpattern. This also implies that the classic pattern avoidance problem should not be considered for circular permutations when too many revolutions are allowed. While there has been some study on pattern avoidance in circular permutations [6], it is not clear how it plays out when multiple (but not too many) revolutions are allowed. In terms of packing patterns in a circular permutation, all patterns equivalent to monotonic patterns still have the highest packing density of 1. By Proposition 2.16, we have that  $\delta_c(\tau) \ge \delta(S^i(\tau))$ for any pattern  $\tau$  and for any *i*. It is still unknown whether there exists a pattern  $\tau$  for which this inequality is strict for all *i*, however, we make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 2.19.** If  $\tau$  is not a layered pattern, then  $\delta_c(\tau) > \delta(\tau)$ .

Using brute force, we have found for the pattern  $\tau = 3142$ , that  $g_c(n, \tau) > g(n, \tau)$  for  $6 \le n \le 10$ . We have outlined our results in the Table 2.1. However, for all layered patterns of length 3 or 4, we have found no difference between the circular and linear packing densities. While this is not proof, it does lend some support to Conjecture 2.19.

Length		n=10	n=9	n=8
Circular 3142-optimal permutations		67283945110	456172839	56273418
			567283419	
			672834519	
	$g_c(n, 3142)$	73	45	27
Linear permutations	3142-optimal	67182931045	561728934	64172853
		67182931054	561728943	
		75182931064	641728953	
		7 6 1 8 2 9 3 10 4 5	651728934	
		7 6 1 8 2 9 3 10 5 4	651728943	
		86412910753	674128953	
		86421910753	674218953	
		86419210753	764128953	
		86412109753	764218953	
		86421109753	671283945	
			672183945	
			671283954	
			672183954	
			674182953	
			751283964	
			752183964	
			761283945	
			762183945	
			761283954	
			762183954	
			764182953	
			561729834	
			561729843	
			641729853	

Table 2.1: Optimal permutations for 3142 and its circular shifts

## CHAPTER 2. CIRCULAR PERMUTATIONS

			651729834	
			651729843	
			674129853	
			674219853	
			764129853	
			764219853	
			751289634	
			752189634	
			751289643	
			752189643	
			751829634	
			751829643	
			751298634	
			752198634	
			751298643	
			752198643	
	g(n,3142)	41	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26	17
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 9 8	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7 3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8	17 $3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7$
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8	17 $3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7$
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 9 8	17 $3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7$
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 10 9	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 9 8	17 $3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7$
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 9 8	17 $3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8$ $3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7$ $3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7$
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10 4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10 3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9 4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9 4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 10 9	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7 3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 $3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 10 9$	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7 3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal	41 $3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10$ $3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 10 9$	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 9 8	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7 3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7
Linear permutations	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,3142) 2314-optimal <i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,2314)	41 $3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 3 8 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 9 10$ $4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 9 10$ $3 4 5 6 7 2 8 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 2 8 3 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 1 2 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 3 8 2 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 10 9$ $4 5 6 7 8 2 3 1 10 9$	7 5 2 1 9 8 6 4 3 26 3 4 5 6 2 7 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 8 9 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 7 2 1 9 8	17 3 4 5 6 1 2 7 8 3 4 5 6 2 1 7 8 3 4 5 6 1 2 8 7 3 4 5 6 2 1 8 7

			978345612	78345621
			978345621	85672341
			984567231	87345612
				87345621
	<i>g</i> ( <i>n</i> ,4231)	68	42	24
Linear permutations	1423-optimal	1 2 9 10 3 8 4 5 6 7	128934567	12783456
		2 1 9 10 3 8 4 5 6 7	218934567	21783456
		1 2 10 3 8 9 4 5 6 7	129384567	12873456
		2 1 10 3 8 9 4 5 6 7	219384567	21873456
		1 2 10 8 3 9 4 5 6 7	129834567	
		2 1 10 8 3 9 4 5 6 7	219834567	
		1 2 10 3 9 4 5 6 7 8		
		2 1 10 3 9 4 5 6 7 8		
		1 2 10 8 9 3 4 5 6 7		
		2 1 10 8 9 3 4 5 6 7		
		1 2 10 9 3 8 4 5 6 7		
		2 1 10 9 3 8 4 5 6 7		
	g(n, 1423)	62	40	24

Analogous to the study of superpatterns, we also showed that enough number of revolutions will automatically achieve the maximum packing density. It would also be interesting to continue this study for limited number of revolutions.

In Proposition 2.18, we showed that if  $R \ge k - 1$  then every permutation  $\pi$  of length at least *k* is *R*-rev  $\tau$ -optimal for any pattern  $\tau$  of length *k*, without specifically stating the packing density. Let a(k,R) be the number of *R*-rev subsequences of length *k* in a permutation of length *k*. Clearly the number of these arrangements does not depend on the permutation itself. Then, the total number of *R*-rev subsequences of  $\pi$  of length *k* is just  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot a(k,R)$ , and as a consequence

$$\delta_R(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_R(n, \tau)}{\binom{n}{k} \cdot a(k, R)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n}{k} \cdot a(k, R)} = \frac{1}{a(k, R)}$$

for any  $\tau$  of length  $k \le R + 1$ . It seems to be worthwhile to further study the combinatorics related to a(k,R), which appears to be interesting in its own right.

#### **CHAPTER 3**

#### COLORED PERMUTATIONS

#### 3.1 SUPERPATTERNS

We will now turn our attention to the topic of colored permutations. By this, we mean that each entry will be assigned a color. When considering pattern containment, both the numerical ordering and the colors will need to match.

For example, let  $p = 1_r 3_b 2_r$ . Here, 1 and 2 are red, and 3 is blue. So, in  $1_r 2_b 6_b 5_r 4_r 3_b$ , p only occurs twice, as  $1_r 6_b 5_r$  and  $1_r 6_b 4_r$ .

Some results have been obtained in the linear case, and we will attempt to extend those results to the circular case. We will also note some differences between them. We begin with some definitions.

- S(k,m) will be used to denote the set of all permutations of length k in m colors.
- *NMS*(*k*,*m*) is the set of nonmonochromatic *m*-colored patterns of length *k*, and *MS*(*k*,*m*) is the set of all monochromatic *m*-colored patterns of length *k*.
- We define sp(k,m) to be the length of the shortest S(k,m)-superpattern.
- Similarly, we define *nmsp*(*k*,*m*) to be the length of the shortest *NMS*(*k*,*m*)-superpattern and *msp*(*k*,*m*) to be the length of the shortest *MS*(*k*,*m*)-superpattern.

We now review some results in the linear case. The first of which provides a link between colored superpatterns and non-colored superpatterns.

**Theorem 3.1** ([9]). For any positive integers k and m, we have

$$sp(k,m) = m \cdot sp(k).$$

Because of this result, we can bound sp(k,m) using the bounds for sp(k). Another interesting relationship between these values is the following.

**Theorem 3.2** ([9]).

$$msp(k,m) = m \cdot sp(k) = sp(k,m) \ge nmsp(k,m)$$

This statement is quite interesting considering that NMS(k,m) may be much larger than MS(k,m), as we will see later when we find their cardinalities. We have another result which allows us to bound nmsp(k,m) from below.

**Theorem 3.3** ([9]). *For any positive integers*  $k \ge 2$  *and m, we have* 

$$msp(k-1,m) \le nmsp(k,m) \le msp(k,m).$$

Now we consider the analogous questions in the circular case. We first define the analogues of msp(k,m) and nmsp(k,m).

- $sp_c(k,m)$  is defined to be the length of the shortest circular S(k,m)-superpattern.
- $msp_c(k,m)$  is defined to be the length of the shortest circular MS(k,m)-superpattern.
- $nmsp_c(k,m)$  is defined to be the length of the shortest circular NMS(k,m)-superpattern.

**Theorem 3.4.** For any positive integers k and m, we have that

$$sp_c(k,m) = m \cdot sp_c(k).$$

*Proof.* Let p' be a circular S(k,m)-superpattern. Let  $p'_i$  be the longest monochromatic subsequence in p' in color i. We can see that p' is then a circular k-superpattern. Thus,  $|p'_i| \ge sp_c(k)$  for any i. Also,

$$|p'| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |p'_i| \ge m \cdot sp_c(k).$$

Now, let *p* be a circular permutation of length  $sp_c(k)$  that contains all noncolored patterns of length *k*. Construct circular *m*-colored permutation *p*" by replacing each  $1 \le j \le sp(k)$ in *p* by the sequence

$$s_j = [m(j-1)+1]_1[m(j-1)+2]_2\dots[m(j-1)+m]_m.$$

Note that  $|p''| = m \cdot |p| = m \cdot sp_c(k)$ . For any pattern in S(k,m), the noncolored version is contained in p, and the colored pattern can be found in p'' by choosing corresponding digits in  $s_i$  with the required color. Thus,

$$sp_c(k,m) \leq |p''| = m \cdot sp_c(k).$$

This is a very nice result, as we can now use our results from Chapter 2 for  $sp_c(k)$  to bound  $sp_c(k,m)$ . We have

$$sp_c(k,m) = m \cdot sp_c(k) \ge m \cdot g(k) \frac{k^2}{e^2}$$

where  $g(k) \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$sp_c(k,m) = m \cdot sp_c(k) \le m(sp(k-1)+1) \le m\left(\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+1\right).$$

Putting these together, we have

$$m \cdot g(k) \frac{k^2}{e^2} \le sp_c(k,m) \le m\left(\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1\right)$$

where  $g(k) \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

It is a very interesting fact that when considering the color of entries, the bounds for the size of the smallest circular superpattern grows at the same rate as those of the noncolored case. Next, we will consider restricting the superpattern to only monochromatic and nonmonochromatic patterns. First, we note the sizes of MS(k,m) and NMS(k,m), as in [9].

For any S(k,m)-permutation, each entry can be any one of *m* colors. Since there are *k*! permutations of length *k*, we have that

$$|S(k,m)| = m^k k!.$$

Also, since any MS(k,m)-permutation has all entries simultaneously one of *m* entries, it is easy to see that

$$|MS(k,m)| = m \cdot k!.$$

Since each colored permutation is either monochromatic or nonmonochromatic, then S(k,m) is the disjoint union of MS(k,m) and NMS(k,m). So,

$$|NMS(k,m)| = |S(k,m)| - |MS(k,m)|$$
  
=  $m^k k! - m \cdot k!$   
=  $(m^k - m)k!$   
=  $(m^{k-1} - 1)m \cdot k!$   
=  $(m^{k-1} - 1)|MS(k,m)|.$ 

We will use the cardinalities of these sets to provide a bound on  $nmsp_c(k,m)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** For positive integers k and m,

$$nmsp_c(k,m) \ge m \cdot g(k,m) \frac{k^2}{e^2}$$

where  $g(k,m) \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = nmsp_c(k,m)$ . Each equivalence class of permutations in NMS(k,m) is of size k, since we may take k - 1 circular shifts of any element and obtain an equivalent permutation. So, since an *NMS*-superpattern must include each at least one element from each equivalence class of NMS(k,m), then

$$\binom{n}{k} \ge \frac{|NMS(k,m)|}{k} = \frac{(m^k - m)k!}{k} = (m^k - m)(k - 1)!.$$

So, by the fact that  $\frac{n^k}{k!} \ge {\binom{n}{k}}$  and by Stirling's approximation,  $k! \ge \sqrt{2\pi k} \frac{k^k}{e^k}$ , we have that

$$n^{k} \ge (m^{k} - m)k!(k - 1)!.$$

$$n \ge \sqrt[k]{(m^{k} - m)\frac{(k!)^{2}}{k}}$$

$$\ge \sqrt[k]{(m^{k} - m)2\pi\frac{k^{2k}}{e^{2k}}}$$

$$= \sqrt[k]{m^{k}(1 - m^{-1})2\pi\frac{k^{2}}{e^{2}}}$$

$$= m\sqrt[k]{(1 - m^{-1})2\pi\frac{k^{2}}{e^{2}}}$$

$$= m \cdot g(k, m)\frac{k^{2}}{e^{2}},$$

with  $g(k,m) \to 1$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

This gives us a similar lower bound as that for  $sp_c(k,m)$ . In order to bound  $nmsp_c(k,m)$ from above, we first note that  $nmsp_c(k,m) \le sp_c(k,m)$ . From earlier, we know that  $sp_c(k,m) = m \cdot sp_c(k)$ . Now, consider a circular MS(k,m)-superpattern. It must have m copies of a circular k-superpattern, one for each color. Then,  $m \cdot sp_c(k) = msp(k,m)$ . Putting this all together, we have

$$nmsp_c(k,m) \le sp_c(k,m) = m \cdot sp_c(k) = msp_c(k,m).$$

This gives us an upper bound for  $nmsp_c(k,m)$ . It is very interesting that  $nmsp_c(k,m)$  and  $msp_c(k,m)$  are related in this way. Another interesting relation between them is the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any positive integers  $k \ge 2$  and m, we have

$$msp_c(k-1,m) \leq nmsp_c(k,m) \leq msp_c(k,m).$$

*Proof.* We already have the second inequality from above. So, it remains to show the first one. Let q be an *m*-colored pattern of length k with the last entry being color j, and the

others being color *i*. So, *q* must be contained in all circular NMS(k,m)-superpatterns. Now, note that the first k - 1 entries form a monochromatic pattern in color *i*. Since we may choose any color for these k - 1 entries and we still must have *q* contained in a circular *NMS*-superpattern, then it follows that all length k - 1 monochromatic patterns are also contained in any circular *NMS*-superpattern. Thus,  $msp_c(k-1,m) \leq nmsp_c(k,m)$ .

#### 3.2 PACKING DENSITIES

Now we will discuss optimal permutations for packing colored patterns. We start with a definition.

**Definition 3.7.** In a colored permutation  $\pi$ , a colored block is a maximal monochromatic segment  $\pi_i^{(a)}$  in which every entry in this segment has color *a* and every entry not in this segment is either larger or smaller that each entry in  $\pi_i^{(a)}$ .

For example, the permutation  $\pi = 1_r 2_r 6_b 5_b 3_b 4_r$  has four colored blocks. From left to right, they are  $\pi_1^{(r)} = 1_r 2_r$ ,  $\pi_2^{(b)} = 6_b 5_b$ ,  $\pi_3^{(b)} = 3_b$ ,  $\pi_4^{(r)} = 4_r$ .

When comparing the numerical values between different blocks, we say that  $\pi_i^{(r)} < \pi_j^{(b)}$  when all entries of  $\pi_i^{(r)}$  are less than all entries of  $\pi_j^{(b)}$ .

The linear case when there are 2 or 3 colored blocks has been studied. We will give a brief review over these results.

In the case of having only 2 colored blocks, we can see that a pattern must be of the form  $\pi = \pi_1^{(r)} \pi_2^{(b)}$ . Note also that for the purpose of packing patterns, we may assume that  $\pi_1^{(r)} < \pi_2^{(b)}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** For a pattern p with two blocks of the form rb with r < b, there is an optimal length n permutation  $\pi$  of the form RB with R < B.

We will omit the proof, but when we extend this result to the circular case, the proof is similar. Essentially, taking a permutation and sliding all of its red blocks to the left preserves each instance of *p*.

Now, when we consider patterns with 3 colored blocks, we have two cases to consider. One is when we have 3 colors, so our pattern will be of the form *rbg*. The other is when we still have only 2 colors, so our pattern will be of the form *rbb*, *brb*, or *bbr*.

**Theorem 3.9.** Given a pattern p of the form rbg, there is an optimal permutation  $\pi$  of the form RBG with the same numerical ordering as rbg.

The proof goes similarly to that of the previous theorem. Essentially, we may move all the red blocks to the front, then blue to the middle, then green to the end.

Now, for 3 colored blocks in 2 colors, we note that the  $rb_1b_2$  case is the same as the  $b_1b_2r$  case since reversing does not affect optimality. So, there are two cases:  $rb_1b_2$  and  $b_1rb_2$ . As expected, both cases yield results similar to the previous two theorems.

For 3 colored blocks in 2 colors, there is an optimal permutation that has the same arrangement of blocks with the same numerical ordering.

Unfortunately, the proofs require some more work as we consider more blocks. The cases with four or more blocks are still open.

We will now look at the analogues of these results in the circular case. If there are only 2 colored blocks, then we note that there is only one case, where *p* is of the form *rb*, r < b.

**Theorem 3.10.** For a pattern p with two colored blocks of the form rb with r < b, there is an optimal circular permutation  $\pi$  of the form RB with R < B.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a *p*-optimal permutation of length *n* with colored block  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_k$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\pi_1$  is red. Otherwise, we could take some number of circular shifts of  $\pi$  until it is.

Now, if we take all the red blocks  $\pi_{r_1}\pi_{r_2}\dots\pi_{r_s}$  and blue blocks  $\pi_{b_1}\pi_{b_2}\dots\pi_{b_t}$ , and we form a new circular permutation  $\pi' = \pi_{r_1}\dots\pi_{r_s}\pi_{b_1}\dots\pi_{b_t}$ , then we can see that any occurrence of p in  $\pi$  is also in  $\pi'$ . So,  $f_c(\pi', p) \ge f_c(\pi, p)$ .

Now, since *p* is of the form *rb* with r < b, then our optimal permutation  $\pi'$  must have that each red entry is less than each blue entry. Then, each red block together form one block, and each blue block together form one blue block. So,  $\pi'$  is of the form *RB* with R < B.

Our result in the case with 2 colored blocks is the same as that of the linear case. However, when considering patterns with 3 blocks in 2 colors, we note a key difference. That is, for *p* of the form  $rb_1b_2$ , we may take a circular shift and obtain  $b_2rb_1$ . We also note that *r* must be numerically between  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , otherwise,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  forms one blue block. So, the only case to consider is  $rb_1b_2$ , and we may assume  $b_1 < r < b_2$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** For a pattern p with three colored blocks of the form  $rb_1b_2$ , there is an optimal circular permutation  $\pi$  that is also of the form  $RB_1B_2$  (or some circular shift thereof). Also, the numerical ordering of the colored blocks in  $\pi$  is the same as that of the colored blocks in p.

*Proof.* If *r* is not numerically between  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , then  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  form one colored block. Then, we would be in the same case as the previous theorem. So, we must have that *r* is between  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $b_1 < r < b_2$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a *p*-optimal circular permutation of length *n* with colored blocks  $\pi_1\pi_2...\pi_k$ . First, we will show that we can put all the blue blocks in increasing order. Let  $\pi_r$  be the set of red blocks of  $\pi$ . Fix a red pattern *R* in  $\pi$ . Let  $\pi_{b_{< R}}$  be the set of all blue blocks less than *R*, and let  $\pi_{b_{> R}}$  be the set of all blue blocks greater than *R*. So, any occurrence of an  $rb_1b_2$  pattern in these blocks must have the  $b_1$  pattern contained in  $\pi_{b_{< R}}$ , and the  $b_2$  pattern must be contained in  $\pi_{b_{> R}}$ . Then, the maximum contribution of this pattern *R* to  $f_c(\pi, p)$  is

$$f(\pi_{b_{\leq R}}, b_1) \cdot f(\pi_{b_{\geq R}}, b_2).$$

This can be achieved by arranging the blue blocks into increasing order. So, let  $\pi' = \pi_r \pi_{b_1} \dots \pi_{b_t}$ , with  $\pi_{b_i} < \pi_{b_{i+1}}$ .

Next, we will show that  $\pi_r$  must be one block. Consider a 'cut' in the blue blocks. Let  $\pi_{b\geq j}$  be all the blue blocks greater than and including some  $\pi_{b_j}$ , and let  $\pi_{b< j}$  be all those less than  $\pi_{b_j}$ . Then, there must exist some  $j_0$  that maximizes the occurrences of  $b_1$  in  $\pi_{b< j}$  and  $b_2$  in  $\pi_{b>j}$ . In other words,

$$f(\pi_{b_{< j_0}}, b_1) \cdot f(\pi_{b_{\ge j_0}}, b_2) \ge f(\pi_{b_{< j}}, b_1) \cdot f(\pi_{b_{\ge j}}, b_2),$$

for any  $1 < j \le t$ . So,

$$f_c(\pi', p) \le f(\pi_r, r) \cdot f(\pi_{b_{\le j_0}}, b_1) \cdot f(\pi_{b_{\ge j_0}}, b_2).$$

We obtain equality when  $\pi_{b_{\leq j_0}} < \pi_r < \pi_{b_{\geq j_0}}$ , which means they are each colored blocks. Then, we have that  $\pi' = \pi_r \pi_{b_{\leq j_0}} \pi_{b_{\geq j_0}}$ , which is of the form  $RB_1B_2$  with  $B_1 < B_2$ .

For the case when we have 3 colored blocks in 3 colors, we note that we only have one numerical ordering to consider. To see this, assume that r < b < g. Then, we may take circular shifts of *rbg* to obtain *grb* and *bgr*. We can reverse each of those to obtain *gbr*, *brg*, and *rgb*. These give us every numerical ordering possible.

**Theorem 3.12.** For a pattern p of the form rbg, there is an optimal circular permutation  $\pi$  of the form RBG. Also, the numerical ordering of the blocks of  $\pi$  is the same as that of the blocks of p.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a *p*-optimal circular permutation of length *n* with colored blocks  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_k$ . For the reasons noted above, we first assume, without loss of generality, that r < b < g. Let  $\pi_R$ ,  $\pi_B$ , and  $\pi_G$  be the maximum length monochromatic subsequence of color red, blue, and green in  $\pi$ , respectively. Let  $\pi' = \pi_r \pi_b \pi_g$ . Then,

$$f(\boldsymbol{\pi}', p) \leq f(\boldsymbol{\pi}_r, r) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{\pi}_b, b) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{\pi}_g, g),$$

with equality when  $\pi_r < \pi_b < \pi_g$ .

The preceding results raise a good question. As asked in [10], is it true that the optimal colored permutation with respect to a given colored pattern always shares the same number and arrangement of colored blocks as those of the pattern?

While the answer is unknown in the linear case, we can show an explicit example where we can find an optimal circular permutation that is not of the same form as the pattern.

Let  $p = 1_r 2_b$ . Then,  $\pi = (1_r 3_b 2_r 4_b)_c$  is an optimal length-4 circular permutation for p. Of course, this is not a counterexample for our theorems in this section. There still exists a p-optimal length-4 permutations of the form RB, R < B, which is  $\pi' = (1_r 2_r 3_b 4_b)$ . The key fact about our proofs in this section is that, while we were not losing optimality, we were not necessarily increasing optimality either, as this example showed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. Albert, M. D. Atkinson, C. C. Handley, D. A. Holton, and W. Stromquist. On packing densities of permutations. *Electron. J. Combin*, 9(1), (2002).
- [2] R. Arratia. On the stanley-wilf conjecture for the number of permutations avoiding a given pattern (1999).
- [3] M. Bannister, W. Devanney, D. Eppstein. Small Superpatteners for Dominance Drawings, *Proceedings of the Meeting on Analytic Algorithmics and Combinatorics*, (2014). 92–103.
- [4] M. Bóna. *Combinatorics of Permutations, 2nd edition*. Boca Raton, Florida: Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2012). 147–192. Print.
- [5] A. Burstein, P. H ast o, T. Mansour. Packing Patterns into Words, *Electronic Journal* of Combinatorics, 9(2), (2002-3).
- [6] D. Callan, Pattern avoidance in circular permutations, arXiv:math/0210014v1.
- [7] H. Eriksson, K. Eriksson, S. Linusson, and J. Wästlund. Dense packing of patterns in a permutation. *Annals of Combinatorics* 11(3-4): 459-470, (2007).
- [8] D. Gray. Bounds on Superpatterns Containing all Layered Permutations, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31(4), (2014). 941–952
- [9] D. Gray, H. Wang. Note on Superpatterns, *Involve*, 9(5), (2016). 797–804.
- [10] M. Just, H. Wang. Note on Packing Patterns in Colored Permutations, *Online Journal* of Analytic Combinatorics, 11 (2016), Art. 1.
- [11] M. Liendo. Preferential Arrangement Containment in Strict Superpatterns, Ph.D. thesis. East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, (2012).
- [12] A. Miller. Asymptotic bounds for permutations containing many different patterns. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Series A, 116(1): 92-108, (2009).

- [13] A. Price. *Packing densities of layered patterns*, Ph.D. Thesis. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, (1997).
- [14] W. Stromquist. Packing layered posets into posets. Unpublished typescript, (1993).