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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The game of baseball was first invented in the early nineteenth century. This is the widely accepted date for the beginning of baseball, but the first mention of the name of baseball was in the late seventeen hundreds in Pittsfield, Massachusetts. The game was mentioned in a town law that banned the game being played within eighty yards of city hall. The first written rules for the game were created in 1845 by Alexander Cartwright for the Knickerbocker Club of New York. The first professional baseball team came along in the mid-nineteenth century and was called the Cincinnati Red Stockings. The Red Stockings were started and stopped many times from 1869-1890 (Light 157-159). They did not officially become the Reds franchise that competes today until they switched to the National league in 1890 (Light 159). The game began with multiple leagues that competed financially with one another. The very first of these leagues was the National League which is still in existence today. The American League, the other league that survives today, started in 1894. Baseball as we know it, where two different leagues compete for the "world" crown, did not come to pass until the early twentieth century. Beginning in 1903, the two major leagues decided that a champion from each league would play for the World Series title every year. This establishes baseball as the oldest professional sport in America, hence the name "America's Pastime".

Baseball is known as a game of numbers or statistics, depending on your point of view. Almost every action that occurs in each game is written down and analyzed. The field of statistics has evolved as much as the game of baseball since its conception in the early 1800's. There are pitching statistics, batting statistics, fielding statistics, and base
running statistics. The two main defining statistics for pitchers are Earned Run Average (ERA) and Walks and Hits per Inning Pitched (WHIP). ERA is considered the basis for assessing the talent of a starting pitcher, while WHIP is used more to determine the talent level of a relief pitcher. ERA was first used as a National League Statistic in 1912 and was created earlier in the century. The formulas used for these statistics are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { ERA } & =9 \times \frac{E R}{I P} \\
\mathbf{W H I P} & =\frac{B B+\text { Hits }}{I P}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ER = Earned Runs

A run that is scored without the aid of an error, passed ball, obstruction, or catcher's interference and that is charged to the pitcher. (Dickson 173)

## IP = Innings Pitched

A pitcher's statistic referring to the opposition's times at bat, expressed in fractions, with each out counting as one-third of an inning. (Dickson 271-272)

## BB = Base on Balls

To advance a batter to first base by pitching four balls; to give a base on balls to a batter. (Dickson 528)

A batter's talent has always been calculated with what is known as a batting average, which is total number of hits divided by total number of at-bats. Hitting an average of .300 , which is achieving three hits for every ten at bats, has been widely accepted as the sign of a good hitter. Batting averages have been commonly used to discuss a player's ability; but as time has passed, batting average has not been shown to be the best descriptor of a player's ability. In today's game, extra base hits can compensate for a lower batting average. It is considered acceptable for a professional player to bat .260 if he hits 45 homeruns and drives in 130 runs, otherwise know as runs batted in (RBI). There are many different statistics that try to determine a hitter's talent
level, but there is currently no absolute formula to determine a player's skill. Some of these statistics are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{B A}=\frac{H}{A B} \\
\text { Slugging } \%=\frac{1 B+2 \times 2 B+3 \times 3 B+4 \times H R}{A B} \\
\text { On-Base } \%=\frac{H+B B+H B P}{A B+B B+H B P+S F} \\
\text { OPS }=\text { On-Base } \%+\text { Slugging } \%
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{H}=$ hits
A batted ball that moves in fair territory and allows the batter to reach a base safely before the ball and without the help of an error and without the ball being caught on the fly. Although most are obvious and automatic, the official scorer may have to decide whether a given batted ball is to be credited as a hit or an error. (Dickson 246)

## $\mathbf{A B}=$ At Bats

An official statistic for the batter coming to the batter's box, excluding those appearances when the batter walks, sacrifices, is hit by a pitched ball, or is interfered with by the catcher. (Dickson, p.18)
1B = Single
A base hit on which the batter reaches first base safely (Dickson 451)

## 2B = Double

A base hit on which the batter reaches second base safely (Dickson162)
3B = Triple
A base hit on which the batter reaches third base safely (Dickson 511)

## HR = Home Runs

A four-base hit on which the batter scores. It is usually accomplished by driving the ball out of the playing area but into fair territory. The batter and his team are awarded a run when he has touched all four bases (Dickson 256)

## HBP = Number of times a batter has been hit by a pitch

A batter hit by a pitched ball. If the batter makes a reasonable effort to get out of the way of the pitch and does not swing at the ball, he is awarded first base. (Dickson 248)
$\mathbf{S F}=$ Total number of sacrifices - There are two different types of sacrifices:
sacrifice bunt - A sacrifice hit in which a bunted ball with less than two outs advances one or more baserunners and the batter is put out at first base, or would have been put out except for a fielder's error. The batter is not credited with an official at-bat and may be credited with a run batted in if a baserunner scores. (Dickson 425)
sacrifice fly - a sacrifice hit in which a fly ball or line drive, either fair or foul, with less than two outs, is caught but hit deep enough for an outfielder (or an infielder
running in the outfield) to handle and to allow one or more baserunners to tag up and score. (Dickson 425)

Even though these four statistics are generally used to describe a player's offensive ability, each one has its flaws. Batting average does not reveal how well a hitter can hit for power. A power hitter is defined as a hitter who tends to achieve more extra base hits than singles. While it tells a statistician how successful a hitter can be at getting a hit, there are no additional details about the type of hit included. Slugging percentage is one of the newer statistics. The problem with this statistic is the fact that someone can have a batting average of .360 and above, which would probably lead their league in hitting, but could potentially produce a very low slugging percentage. Slugging percentage tends to make singles hitters undesirable. On-Base Percentage loses its luster for the same reason as batting average.

There are some other very prevalent statistics that are widely used today that have not always been around. The save is the credit given to one relief pitcher for ensuring his team's victory by protecting the lead in a given game (Dickson 430). This became an official MLB statistic in 1969 (Dickson). On-base percentage did not become an official MLB statistic until 1984 (Light 525). This statistic is generally used for lead off hitters, which is the person who bats first in the lineup. Major League teams want their leadoff hitters to get on base forty percent of the time. A base on balls was originally considered a hit but this was a short-lived idea. On-base percentage plus slugging percentage (OPS) is a fairly new statistic (first popularized in 1984), and a problem with it is the fact that the formula weighs on-base percentage equally with slugging percentage.

Professional baseball has existed for more than one hundred years. During this lengthy time span it has gone through many "eras". The first of these "eras" was from

1900-1919 and was called the "Dead Ball Era". This era was so labeled because of the low scoring for games. Although this was a dead era it still produced some lasting marks in baseball's record book, like the career batting average record held by Ty Cobb, which is a mark of .367 . The equipment is most likely to blame for the lack of scoring over the era. Most games would use the same ball for an entire game if possible (compared to today's baseball games averaging between 60-70 baseballs a game). This era also produced lasting pitching records, which will probably never be broken due to today's style of the game. Pitchers by the name of Cy Young, Walter "Big Train" Johnson, and Christy Mathewson dominated the hitters of this era. It is hard to say whether these pitchers or the quality of the equipment is the reason why runs weren't scored in this time period. All three pitchers are in the top 60 players in history in terms of career ERA and career wins. Cy Young has the most career wins in MLB history at 511 (BaseballReference).

The "Dead Ball Era" ended in 1920 with the start of the "Live Ball Era" which lasted from 1920-1941. The changing of several rules was one of the reasons why a new era of baseball was ushered in. One rule change stipulated that umpires were to remove balls from the game that had been scuffed or damaged. This rule took a large advantage from the pitcher, while giving a great deal of power to batters. Another major reason for the death of the "Dead Ball Era" presented itself with the arrival of the "King of Baseball" George Herman Ruth, otherwise known as Babe Ruth. Ruth's season statistics blew away entire teams' offensive output. The Babe hit 60 homeruns in 1927, amassing more than every other team in Major League Baseball. Ruth retired with career records in homeruns and RBIs to name a few. He also retired with the record for slugging
percentage in a season at .847 which lasted until 2001 when Barry Bonds of the San Francisco Giants broke it with .863. (Baseball-Reference)

World War II sparked the introduction of another era of baseball. Many major league players served for their country's armed forces during the time of need from 19401945. This diluted talent throughout the major leagues; with most of the full time players gone, the development of the Negro leagues began to increase. In 1947, the first nonwhite players joined major league baseball: Jackie Robinson and Larry Doby. During this time period one of baseballs' most treasured records was set: Joe DiMaggio's 56 game hitting streak. It is a record that still stands today, and no player has yet to come within 10 games of breaking this record. If we think of this record as a Bernoulli trial, with a hit being a success and no hits in a game not being a success, the probability of obtaining 56 consecutive successes is extremely low, especially when one considers each player typically has multiple at bats in a game. This era also has the last recorded season of an individual batting average over .400 set by Ted Williams. Ted Williams could have possibly broken many baseball records during his tenure with the Boston Red Sox, but instead spent three of his prime years in the armed services during World War II and flying planes in Korea.

The "Expansion Era" brought many new teams to Major League Baseball, and lasted from 1961-1976. During this time period eight teams were added to Major League Baseball. This growth reduced the talent level per club and affected strategies of the game. The method with which a batter is pitched has a big outcome on the player's success rating. The American League adopted the designated hitter during this era with hope of boosting team offensive output. The designated hitter rule change allowed
another position player take the at bats for the pitcher. In doing so, the American League became a predominately better hitting league without the pitcher at bat because pitchers are generally known as notoriously bad hitters. There are some pitchers who are exceptions to this rule; but because they focus on their pitching, there is little time to focus on batting skills. This is why adding another position player boosts a team's offensive performance.

The "Free Agency Era" lasted from 1977-1993. During this era players bargained that after six years of professional baseball they earned the right to look for higher contracts from other teams. This was a wild era, and MLB saw fourteen different "world" champions in seventeen years. The game began to be displayed on TV increasingly more often. Pete Rose had a 44 game hit streak during this era, which is the closest anyone has ever come to breaking Joe DiMaggio's record. Pete "Charlie Hustle" Rose also broke Ty Cobb's career record for hits. Pete Rose later became a black mark on professional baseball, when in 1989 he was banned from the sport for gambling while managing and playing for the Cincinnati Reds.

Today's modern era is called the "Long Ball Era". It is a time where the number of homeruns has soared along with the number of strikeouts. It was once considered a taboo for a player to strikeout over a hundred times in a season, but today's player can strike out over one hundred times without anyone blinking an eye if he is also hitting homeruns and driving in runs. Many new ballparks were built during this part of baseball's history that favor the hitter. This happens during today's game because fans come to the park to see runs scored. Balls are flying out of parks at tremendous rates. Players now attend off season conditioning programs that help increase stamina, speed,
and power. This time period's reputation is also stained by the reported use of performance enhancing drugs, although there is no conclusive evidence that all or even many players are using them. Many distinguished records have been broken. The seasonal homerun record, which was originally set at 61 in 1961, was broken six separate times during this era ( 3 times by Sammy Sosa, twice by Mark McGwire, and once by Barry Bonds) (Baseball-Reference). One interesting fact is that this was done over a four year span from 1998-2001.

Because of its long history and heavy reliance on statistics to summarize the action that took place in a game, as well as general player abilities, the author (a longtime fan) thought a statistical investigation of some questions of interest related to the game would be appropriate as a research topic. Statistics have been a part of baseball since the start of the game. Teams are built on player performance. Most players make money based on past seasons offensive or pitching superiority, and new players are paid on potential. Statistics are the driving force behind every decision that a franchise makes. Hopefully, during the upcoming simulations, some answers will be obtained abut the differences between seasons and career statistics.

## CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

As stated earlier, baseball is seen by most as a game of numbers or statistics. These are used by managers of teams in making decisions about lineups and strategy; they are also used by mathematicians and statisticians to study various elements of the game. The American Statistical Association has had a Section on Statistics in Sports since 1992. Their two sponsored sessions of invited papers at the 2007 Joint Statistical Meetings were well attended and included four presentations devoted to baseball. "Devlin's Angle," a monthly column on the Mathematical Association of America's website, often features sports and baseball. To further understand some of the questions that have been asked in scientific curiosity about the game, a search of recent scholarly papers and books was undertaken.

Baseball is a game designed to be played in nine innings with nine players on each team. Statistics is at the forefront of the game now. Professional baseball has been played for over one hundred years and during this time period vast amounts of data have been recorded. Every organization tries to put together a team that showcases their superior skill. This skill is measured with statistical output in ways different from other professional sports. Players are not measured in height, weight, and muscle mass; instead they are measured by statistics such as: velocity of throwing, running speed, bat speed, previous offensive output, and previous pitching statistics. An organization doesn't sign players just because of their potential. It signs them for the numbers they have produced over a pre-determined amount of seasons in high school, college, etc. As shown in the book Moneyball, the general manager of the Oakland Athletics was able to put together a highly successful team despite having one of the lowest budgets in the league (Lewis).

Billy Beane was that general manager and his first major hire was Paul DePosdesta, who was an economics major from Harvard (Lewis). The two created an inexpensive winning team in 1997 by signing free agents that on paper did not look that eye-catching, but when assembled in the correct order created a winning machine.

By using this technique interesting questions came about: for instance, how did they know that if a certain player had a high on-base percentage that his performance would be similar for their team? What other significant offensive, defensive, or pitching statistics did they use to measure a player's talent level? There are four main offensive categories that are still used today: Batting Average, Slugging Percentage or Average, On-Base Percentage, and OPS. Batting averages have been used since the start of professional baseball and have always been on the forefront of a player's worth. Until recently it was a decent measure of a player's value. In today's game batting average is not as important as long as a player is driving in runs and hitting the ball out of the ballpark. Batting averages do not tell how well a batter drives in runs. It is nothing but successes and failures. On-base percentages also have the same problem. Even though this is a good way to possibly determine the worth of a lead-off-hitter, it doesn't help a general manager determine who is worth what amount of money. Slugging Percentage is a great statistic for calculating how well a player can hit for extra bases. It is a weighted average of the number of homeruns, triples, doubles, and singles. The problem with this statistic is the fact that a singles hitter is not statistically important when it comes to slugging percentage (Berry). For example, Ichiro of the Seattle Mariners in 2004 hit .372 , but only had a slugging percentage of .455 which is not considered very high (Baseball-Reference).

There are certain events that happen every so often in baseball that are wonderful. Some of these events that we reminisce about are no-hitters, triple plays and hitting for the cycle. A no-hitter occurs when one particular team plays an entire game and does not give up a base hit to the other team. A triple play happens when there are two or three runners on the bases and a ball gets put into play by the hitter and three outs are recorded off of that one single at bat. Hitting for the cycle occurs when a batter gets a single, double, triple, and homerun in the same game; order does not matter. There have been 529 triple plays turned since 1900, which breaks down to 4.9 a year. There has been 235 cycles hit for since 1900, which is an average of 2.2 per year (Players Who Have Hit for the Cycle). There have been 213 no-hitters since 1900, which has an average of 1.99 per year (No Hitters Chronologically). Of course there are deviations from the mean; there are not exactly 5 triple plays, 2 cycles, and 2 no-hitters a year. This does show that these baseball events do not deter the event from happening again (Are They Memoryless). One can use a Poisson process model to show that one occurrence of these events is independent of another (Huber).

Statistics are also used to represent situational events in Major League Baseball. Do certain players attain more success during these types of events? Take "clutch hitting" for example. Players who are considered "clutch" are the ones who seem to be more successful when the game is at a meaningful point. A "clutch" hitter is hitter who is more successful when there are runners on base. Instead of determining the batting average for a player with runners in scoring position, maybe a value of runs produced should be determined. This value can be calculated in a percentage of how often a hitter
is successful in driving in a run. Hitters can also be more productive given the situation they are put in, like runners on second and third with nobody out (Albert).

Some of baseball's most hallowed marks are Joe DiMaggio's 56 game hitting streak and hitting . 400 or better for a season. The closest anyone has come to DiMaggio's record was Pete Rose in 1978 with a 44 game hit streak. The last man to bat over .400 in a season was Ted Williams hitting .406 in 1941. There have been a few hitters who have come very close to the .400 mark like George Brett hitting .390 in 1980 and Tony Gwynn hitting . 394 in 1994 before the season was cancelled in September due to the player strike. Both of these marks can be represented as a binomial distribution with successes and failures. Hitting over .400 can be viewed as a hitter achieving a hit (success) forty percent of the time. A hitting streak is looked at in the same way with a player obtaining a hit in successive games (success). Every player will have a different probability of success which could depend on: player ability, batting order, pitcher faced, ballpark, and weather. According to Lackritz, the probability of seeing another 56 game hitting streak in our lifetime is almost zero, but a player hitting over .400 has a much higher chance of happening in the next quarter century.

Does a catcher's "game calling" ability affect the statistics of a pitcher? Certain catchers are considered better defensive players. In baseball a catcher is the one to study hitters' tendencies and set up a game plan with the pitcher on how to go about retiring a certain lineup. People have tried to formulate a catcher's ability to call a game. One of these formulas is catcher's ERA (CERA). This is the study of how well certain catchers perform with certain pitchers. This is not what most major league teams use to determine which catchers catch which pitchers. "Currently, the most common way to evaluate
game calling in the majors right now is expert evaluation - in other words managers' and coaches' opinions and assessments" (Woolner 2). In statistical terms, the null hypothesis in this case is that there is no game calling skill. Data was collected and evaluated by setting up a statistic known as the average run value of each plate appearance. The data were then transformed into a statistical Z-score and a test of normality was done. The results rejected the null hypothesis. The next test was done to see if one year could predict the outcome of future years. There was no evidence to support a relationship from one year to another. Finally, a test was done to see if the skill level of the catcher could determine how well a pitcher did the next season. Once again, there was no evidence to support a relationship from one year to another depending on the skill level of the catcher. "Though we would colloquially say that game-calling doesn't exist, it's more accurate to say that if there is a true game calling ability it lies below the threshold of detection" (Woolner 13).

There have been questions about the 1919 World Series for many years after the series concluded. These questions continue even today. Did the "Black Sox" actually throw the World Series? No players were found guilty of trying to throw the Series in 1919, but eight players were banned. Jay Bennett created a statistical method that would model a players expected output for a certain game or Series. It was determined that the most famous of these "Black Sox", "Shoeless" Joe Jackson, actually performed as well as expected. Still, no one knows whether or not he intentionally did not play as hard as he could (Albert).

This same method was also used to see if one could show the differences in how players who possibly used steroids career paths differ. Career models were used on some
of the great homerun hitters from baseball: Mike Schmidt, Mickey Mantle, Babe Ruth, and Willie Mays. All four of these models showed the same statistical pattern. The number of homeruns, per particular player, increased until that player reached their early to mid-thirties and then homerun production decreased every year after that. Similar models were done for Mark McGwire, Sammy Sosa, and Barry Bonds, all three of whom are suspected steroid users. The results showed that Sammy Sosa's career path followed the other four players, while Bond's and McGwire's did not. Bond's and McGwire's paths show an increase in homeruns after their mid thirties, which never really happened before. Bond's career path showed a slight drop in his early thirties then immediately started increasing again until he was forty. This does not prove that these players took steroids. It just shows that these players' deterioration is not typical of even of great players (Albert).

There are many baseball games that deal with statistical data from actual players. One of the most famous games is Strat-O-Matic Baseball. This game is played with player's data on individual performance cards. Each game player will field a team and play against one another. Teams must be conforming to the rules of baseball. A pair of dice is used to determine the outcome of each at-bat. This game does have a few problems. This game can not simulate a pitcher tiring throughout a game. If a team has a dominant pitcher, that pitcher can pitch every inning of every game. Another problem is the fact that whether or not you use the hitter or pitchers card is fifty-fifty. There have been many attempts to make baseball simulated games and there are some good ones. However, there are not any simulation games that are completely realistic (Hastings).

Throughout the literature review, different ways of evaluating player potential were discussed. Originally, there was an idea of building a baseball simulator to test different players. However, building a simulator proved too difficult, for lack of programming languages and difficulty simulating a real game. A very reasonable simulator was found online, that was deemed worthy of use. A paper containing the probabilities of a player hitting over .400 or a 56 game hitting streak brought to mind the idea of differences of seasonal statistics and career statistics. How different could some of the best careers and seasons be? Could a baseball team from history compete with the teams of leaders? Given that these teams are statistically superior; will there be a significant difference in offensive and pitching statistics?

## CHAPTER 3: COMPARISON OF CAREER LEADERS AND SEASON LEADERS

Were some of the "greatest" players in major league baseball history really that good or were they good compared with the other players of their time? Some of the questions we chose to investigate are:
1.) When comparing teams composed of career leaders and season leaders, who would be more likely to win and how much more likely are they to win?
2.) When the "best" face off against one another, how much of a decrease will there be in batting average?
3.) When the "best" face off against one another, how much of an increase will there be in at-bats per homerun?
4.) When the "best" pitchers face off against the "best" hitters, how much will their ERA increase?
5.) How often do no-hitters, cycles, and other rare events occur?

Career leaders are players that are able to keep their offensive or pitching skills and statistics in the upper $10 \%$ for a sustained period of time. Season leaders are players who are able to achieve production in the top $10 \%$ for a single season. It is much more difficult to sustain high production for an entire career than for a single season. A baseball team is considered good when it is able to win above $62 \%$ of its games. If the season leaders win over $70 \%$ of their games, they would then be a great baseball team. Player's batting averages tend to be less impressive when they play against superior pitching. Since these teams have some of the greatest pitching statistics, expectations are for the batting average of players to decrease. If a pitching staff is able to decrease an opponent's batting average by over .100 points, the staff would be considered very successful. When a player's at-bat per homerun ratio is increased, a pitching staff has done well. The increase in the ratio means that there are fewer homeruns being hit which in turn means fewer runs are being scored. A $10 \%$ increase in this ratio would be highly
successful. A run per game can make a difference in the outcome. A pitcher's ERA raised by one or even 0.5 would be considered a large increase from a hitter's prospective.

Rare events such as no-hitters and hitting for the cycle happen every so often in the game of baseball. The occurrence of these events can not be measured by a formula with respect to time. When one event happens it does not divulge when the next will happen. An expectation for the simulations would be that rare events occur less often because of the increased talent levels.

## Section 3.1: Player Selection

There are nine different positions per baseball team. Each position requires different talents. The catcher is a position that requires no speed. When a catcher hits for a high average or for good power numbers, it is generally considered a luxury not a necessity. A catcher is responsible for working with the pitching staff on strategy and the wear and tear on their bodies because of sustained crouching, foul balls, etc. over a season tends to decrease their offensive ability. Corner infielders, first and third basemen, are considered power positions. Those two positions generally produce higher batting numbers because these are the least two strenuous positions on the diamond. There are many offensively successful players at these two positions, which made it more difficult to choose the best players. Corner outfielders, right and left fielders, are very similar to corner infielders with respect to offensive numbers and mobility, but the outfielders have to cover more ground than their infield counterparts. Second basemen, shortstops, and centerfielders are generally smaller, quicker players due to all the ground they must cover on defense. The batting numbers for these positions are not as good
when compared to corner positions; however, some of the players with the highest baseball averages for careers and seasons played one of these three positions. These players are prototypical lead-off men whose job is to get on base and score runs.

Players were chosen to fill the real aspects of a major league team, which means there are eight position players with suitable backups for each position. There is also a pitching staff with a bullpen for relief roles. The players from both teams were chosen from among those with the best season and career records. No players were chosen that played before the year 1900 because major league baseball was not the same game it is today. The first World Series was held in 1903. For players who are still competing, statistics are compiled until September 14, 2007, the date when the simulations for this project began. Specific seasons and careers were decided upon by judging a player's overall statistics. Career and season statistics were obtained from BaseballReference.com, www.baseball-reference.com/. Homeruns and batting averages were used as starting points in selecting non-pitchers. Members of the career team own certain major league records like the top three homerun hitters and the career batting average record. Fielding percentage was not taken into account because all considered player percentages were greater than or equal to $95 \%$. The simulation program used also does not distinguish certain aspects of fielding; for example, how successfully a catcher throws out potential base stealers. This part of the criteria eliminates many catchers as a possibility. The basis used for an acceptable batting average was .300 . The career batting average record, which is .367 by Ty Cobb, was used as the peak mark when selecting players. There are exceptions to this rule; the only time sub .300 batting averages were allowed on the teams were when the player produced many homeruns or
had a high on base percentage, or if there was a lack of other significant players at that position. The lowest career average that was accepted was .281 , which was Robin Yount. The lowest season average that was accepted was .298 , which was Mark McGwire. Both of these averages were accepted due to abundance of homeruns or for a lack of overall batting talent at a certain position. The team batting averages for both teams are well over the .300 requirement. The career leaders have a team batting average of .321 and the season leaders have an average of .343 . Historic batting averages will be compared with those obtained in the simulations. Average homeruns per season is skewed in favor of the season leaders. The career leaders averaged 28 homeruns per player per season while the season team averaged 41 homeruns per player. Tables 3.2and 3.3 describe the players selected.

Starting pitchers were chosen almost solely based on ERA. Their number of strikeouts had nothing to do with the selection process. Relief pitchers had more criteria that they had to surpass. Originally, we wanted to select relief pitchers based on walks and hits per inning pitched (WHIP). Since the program did not support this statistic, qualifications were changed. Relievers were then chosen on a combination of ERA and saves. The career leaders relief pitchers contain four of the top five all-time saves leaders. The season leaders' relief pitchers may not have the number of saves the career leaders have, but their ERAs are astonishingly low. One cannot judge a pitcher on wins because wins have more to do with a combination of the team that is around him and a quality start. In other words, a pitcher does not have pitch successfully to get a win. The starting rotation for each team is as follows:

Table 3.1: Starting Rotations.

Career Rotation Season Rotation<br>Christey Mathewson Walter Johnson<br>Walter Johnson Greg Maddux 94<br>Whitey Ford Greg Maddux 95<br>Pedro Martinez Tom Seaver<br>Tom Seaver Sandy Koufax

ERA was also used to determine who started and in what order. These are the lowest ERAs for starting pitchers on their respective teams. Choosing the rotation in this manner helped eliminate possible bias.

Certain players appear multiple times. Some players participate on both teams: Ivan Rodriguez, Mike Piazza, Albert Pujols, Lou Gehrig, Craig Biggio, George Brett, Alex Rodriguez, Barry Bonds, Babe Ruth, and Ichiro Suzuki. These players show up multiple times because they have had exceptional careers as well as exceptional seasons. Assume the career leaders statistics are the mean for that player across all years. There will be deviations from this mean, both high and low. When the career leaders had a higher mean statistic, they also generally had a higher variability. In one case a pitcher shows up twice on the same team: Greg Maddux from 1994 and 1995. In both of these seasons, he was significantly lower than the league's ERA which in both seasons was over four.

Table 3.2: Career Leaders. This chart details the Career leaders' team. It has the position players, names, batting averages, and homeruns. We also display the pitchers' names, ERA, and strikeouts. All numbers are based per season.

| Player |  | Position | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | Catcher | 0.303 | 22 |
| Piazza | Mike | Catcher | 0.308 | 36 |
| Pujols | Albert | First Base | 0.331 | 42 |
| Gehrig | Lou | First Base | 0.341 | 37 |
| Biggio | Craig | Second Base | 0.281 | 17 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | Second Base | 0.358 | 22 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | Third Base | 0.307 | 44 |
| Brett | George | Third Base | 0.305 | 19 |
| Jeter | Derek | Shortstop | 0.318 | 17 |
| Yount | Robin | Shortstop | 0.285 | 14 |
| Cobb | Ty | Outfield | 0.367 | 6 |
| Williams | Ted | Outfield | 0.345 | 37 |
| Bonds | Barry | Outfield | 0.298 | 41 |
| Ruth | Babe | Outfield | 0.342 | 46 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | Outfield | 0.334 | 10 |
| Aaron | Hank | Outfield | 0.305 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Player |  | Position | ERA | SO |
| Ford | Whitey | Starting Pitcher | 2.75 | 142 |
| Johnson | Randy | Starting Pitcher | 3.22 | 279 |
| Clemons | Roger | Starting Pitcher | 3.13 | 224 |
| Maddux | Greg | Starting Pitcher | 3.09 | 157 |
| Johnson | Walter | Starting Pitcher | 2.17 | 162 |
| Mathewson | Christey | Starting Pitcher | 2.13 | 143 |
| Santana | Johan | Starting Pitcher | 3.18 | 220 |
| Seaver | Tom | Starting Pitcher | 2.86 | 189 |
| Martinez | Pedro | Starting Pitcher | 2.80 | 248 |
| Eckersley | Dennis | Relief Pitcher | 3.50 | 114 |
| Hoffman | Trevor | Relief Pitcher | 2.71 | 77 |
| Rivera | Mariano | Relief Pitcher | 2.33 | 73 |
| Smith | Lee | Relief Pitcher | 3.03 | 82 |

Table 3.3: Season Leaders. This chart details the Season leaders' team. It has the position players' names, batting averages, and homeruns. The chart also displays the pitchers' names, ERA, and strikeouts. All numbers are based per season.

| Season | Player |  | Position | Average | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | Rodriguez | Ivan | Catcher | 0.332 | 35 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | Piazza | Mike | Catcher | 0.362 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | Pujols | Albert | First Base | 0.331 | 49 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | McGwire | Mark | First Base | 0.299 | 70 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | Gehrig | Lou | First Base | 0.373 | 47 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | Biggio | Craig | Second Base | 0.325 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | Alomar | Roberto | Second Base | 0.323 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | Jones | Chipper | Third Base | 0.319 | 45 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | Brett | George | Third Base | 0.390 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | Garciaparra | Nomar | Shortstop | 0.372 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Rodriguez | Alex | Shortstop | 0.298 | 47 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | Gwynn | Tony | Outfield | 0.394 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 6}$ | Mantle | Mickey | Outfield | 0.353 | 52 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | Bonds | Barry | Outfield | 0.328 | 73 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | Ruth | Babe | Outfield | 0.356 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Suzuki | Ichiro | Outfield | 0.372 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 3 0}$ | Wilson | Hack | Outfield | 0.356 | 56 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | Griffey Jr. | Ken | Outfield | 0.304 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season |  | Player |  | Position | ERA |

Simulations were done with the "Strategic Baseball Simulator" by D.B. Schmidt
found at http://sbs-baseball.com/. This program was found on the internet and after testing the simulator it proved to work better and be more efficient, than any simulator
programmed by the author. This simulator was tested for its ability to reasonably replicate actual results and worked well. Thus it was used for the simulations that later occurred. Data files were constructed to describe the two teams: Seasonal Leaders and Career Leaders. In these files, different statistics were required: At Bats, doubles, triples, homeruns, walks, strikeouts, runs batted in, fielding percentage, stolen bases, number of times caught stealing, total games, and whether the player bats right handed, left handed or is a switch hitter. Once the data files were created, they were tested to make sure that the program was interpreting them as it should. These data files can be seen in Appendix B. Originally, the career data file did not work as intended. The career numbers were inserted into the file, but the program did not support values over three significant digits. The career statistics were then recomputed, thanks to Baseball-Reference.com, so that the player's career averages were used as a replacement for career totals. Simulations began with a comparison between the two teams. One hundred-sixty two games (a season) over twenty years (a "career") were used as the basis for this study. The teams competed in twenty different simulations. There were a total of 64800 games simulated between the two teams.

## Section 3.2: Win/Loss Percentage

When comparing teams composed of career leaders and season leaders, who would be more likely to win and how much are likely are they to win? The career leaders managed a winning percentage of around $31.4 \%$ while the season leaders won $68.6 \%$ of the time. The season leaders averaged 2222.5 wins per "career" while the career leaders won 1017.5 games during the same time span. The average seasonal wins for the season leaders was 111.1 wins and the career leaders averaged 50.9 wins per season.

Table 3.4: Win/Loss Records for the entire simulation.

| Season Totals |  |  |  | Career Totals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Records | Wins | Loses | Win \% | Records | Wins | Loses | Win \% |
| Career 1 | 2205 | 1035 | 0.6806 | Career 1 | 1035 | 2205 | 0.3194 |
| Career2 | 2210 | 1030 | 0.6821 | Career2 | 1030 | 2210 | 0.3179 |
| Career3 | 2251 | 989 | 0.6948 | Career3 | 989 | 2251 | 0.3052 |
| Career 4 | 2259 | 981 | 0.6972 | Career 4 | 981 | 2259 | 0.3028 |
| Career5 | 2247 | 993 | 0.6935 | Career5 | 993 | 2247 | 0.3065 |
| Career6 | 2233 | 1007 | 0.6892 | Career6 | 1007 | 2233 | 0.3108 |
| Career7 | 2238 | 1002 | 0.6907 | Career7 | 1002 | 2238 | 0.3093 |
| Career8 | 2254 | 986 | 0.6957 | Career8 | 986 | 2254 | 0.3043 |
| Career9 | 2212 | 1028 | 0.6827 | Career9 | 1028 | 2212 | 0.3173 |
| Career10 | 2231 | 1009 | 0.6886 | Career10 | 1009 | 2231 | 0.3114 |
| Career 11 | 2227 | 1013 | 0.6873 | Career 11 | 1013 | 2227 | 0.3127 |
| Career 12 | 2222 | 1018 | 0.6858 | Career12 | 1018 | 2222 | 0.3142 |
| Career 13 | 2221 | 1019 | 0.6855 | Career13 | 1019 | 2221 | 0.3145 |
| Career14 | 2203 | 1037 | 0.6799 | Career14 | 1037 | 2203 | 0.3201 |
| Career 15 | 2173 | 1067 | 0.6707 | Career15 | 1067 | 2173 | 0.3293 |
| Career16 | 2183 | 1057 | 0.6738 | Career16 | 1057 | 2183 | 0.3262 |
| Career17 | 2206 | 1034 | 0.6809 | Career17 | 1034 | 2206 | 0.3191 |
| Career18 | 2202 | 1038 | 0.6796 | Career18 | 1038 | 2202 | 0.3204 |
| Career19 | 2256 | 984 | 0.6963 | Career19 | 984 | 2256 | 0.3037 |
| Career 20 | 2217 | 1023 | 0.6843 | Career 20 | 1023 | 2217 | 0.3157 |
| Total | 44450 | 20350 | 0.6860 | Total | 20350 | 44450 | 0.3140 |

Each simulation of 3240 games yielded similar results in winning percentage. During a single simulation, the season team won a high of 112.8 games and a low of 108.7 games during an individual simulation. The career team won a high of 53.4 games and a low of 49.1 games. The overall winning percentages are basically two-thirds to one-third. This shows that the season leaders are on the border of greatness, but they never reach a winning percentage over seventy.

## Section 3.3: Batting Average

When comparing the "best", how much of a decrease will there be in batting average? Once the better pitchers and hitters face each other, batting averages should
drop. Averages only remain high when hitters get to face pitchers of lesser talent periodically. Good pitching generally stops good hitting. The odds are always in favor of the pitcher, if not there would be some extreme offensive output.

Table 3.5: Season leader's offensive production. This chart contains the number of atbats, hits, and batting average for the Season leaders before and after simulation. There is also a column containing the difference in the two batting averages.

| Player |  | AB | Hits | BA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sim. } \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sim. } \\ \text { AB } \end{gathered}$ | Sim. <br> BA | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 600 | 199 | 0.332 | 130063 | 36223 | 0.279 | -0.053 |
| Piazza | Mike | 556 | 201 | 0.362 | 115023 | 33736 | 0.293 | -0.069 |
| Pujols | Albert | 535 | 177 | 0.331 | 81758 | 20714 | 0.253 | -0.078 |
| McGwire | Mark | 509 | 152 | 0.299 | 71163 | 13857 | 0.195 | -0.104 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 584 | 218 | 0.373 | 90234 | 25962 | 0.288 | -0.085 |
| Biggio | Craig | 646 | 210 | 0.325 | 138326 | 38436 | 0.278 | -0.047 |
| Alomar | Roberto | 563 | 182 | 0.323 | 109771 | 29359 | 0.267 | -0.056 |
| Jones | Chipper | 567 | 181 | 0.319 | 129558 | 31242 | 0.241 | -0.078 |
| Brett | George | 449 | 175 | 0.390 | 109326 | 35696 | 0.327 | -0.063 |
| Garciaparra | Nomar | 529 | 197 | 0.372 | 145073 | 45298 | 0.312 | -0.060 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 607 | 181 | 0.298 | 170147 | 39562 | 0.233 | -0.065 |
| Gwynn | Tony | 419 | 165 | 0.394 | 55369 | 18943 | 0.342 | -0.052 |
| Mantle | Mickey | 533 | 188 | 0.353 | 149897 | 39890 | 0.266 | -0.087 |
| Bonds | Barry | 476 | 156 | 0.328 | 197574 | 41235 | 0.209 | -0.119 |
| Ruth | Babe | 540 | 192 | 0.356 | 69644 | 18063 | 0.259 | -0.097 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 704 | 262 | 0.372 | 141413 | 47658 | 0.337 | -0.035 |
| Wilson | Hack | 585 | 208 | 0.356 | 132960 | 35907 | 0.270 | -0.086 |
| Griffey Jr. | Ken | 608 | 185 | 0.304 | 213837 | 48598 | 0.227 | -0.077 |

Table 3.6: Career leader's offensive production. This chart contains the number of atbats, hits, and batting average for the Career leaders before and after simulation. There is also a column containing the difference in the two batting averages.

| Player |  | AB | Hits | BA | Sim. <br> AB | Sim. <br> Hits | Sim. <br> BA | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aaron | Hank | 607 | 185 | 0.305 | 120622 | 25413 | 0.211 | -0.094 |
| Biggio | Craig | 619 | 174 | 0.281 | 112913 | 23607 | 0.209 | -0.072 |
| Bonds | Barry | 534 | 159 | 0.298 | 102686 | 19183 | 0.187 | -0.111 |
| Brett | George | 619 | 189 | 0.305 | 183965 | 41485 | 0.226 | -0.079 |
| Cobb | Ty | 610 | 224 | 0.367 | 208273 | 59345 | 0.285 | -0.082 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 599 | 204 | 0.341 | 122561 | 28567 | 0.233 | -0.108 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | 586 | 210 | 0.358 | 109431 | 28709 | 0.262 | -0.096 |
| Jeter | Derek | 655 | 208 | 0.318 | 99831 | 23899 | 0.239 | -0.079 |
| Piazza | Mike | 587 | 181 | 0.308 | 114589 | 24495 | 0.214 | -0.094 |
| Pujols | Albert | 604 | 200 | 0.331 | 126499 | 28528 | 0.226 | -0.105 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 621 | 188 | 0.303 | 128275 | 29320 | 0.229 | -0.074 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 626 | 192 | 0.307 | 116004 | 25291 | 0.218 | -0.089 |
| Ruth | Babe | 544 | 186 | 0.342 | 152724 | 33219 | 0.218 | -0.124 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 692 | 231 | 0.334 | 199656 | 52781 | 0.264 | -0.070 |
| Williams | Ted | 545 | 188 | 0.345 | 219082 | 49349 | 0.225 | -0.120 |
| Yount | Robin | 624 | 178 | 0.285 | 93832 | 20114 | 0.214 | -0.071 |

The differences in the batting averages are fairly large. The season leaders had an average drop off of 0.072 , while the career leader's averages dropped off by 0.092 . The batting averages and homerun per at-bat differentials are consistent with what the win/loss records have already shown. Every player, on both teams, had statistics fall considerably from their historic averages. The career team's batting averages fell by .092 on the average. Using a one sample t-test, with hypotheses $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu<0$. The following results from Minitab are: $\mathrm{t}=-20.70$ with a p -value of 0.000 . This shows that the career leaders' drop in average was highly significant. The Season team's batting averages fell by .073 . Using a similar one sample $t$-test, the following results from

Minitab are: $t=-14.40$ with a $p$-value of 0.000 . The larger $t$-value shows that the career
leaders' drop in average was even more highly significant. Using a two sample t-test on whether or not the drop offs were similar with hypotheses: $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{0}-\mu_{1}=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu_{0} \neq \mu_{1}$, the following results from Minitab are: $t=2.78$ with a $p$-value of 0.009 . We reject the null hypothesis, so there is a difference between the two teams. The season leaders did not have as bad a drop off as the career leaders. This confirms that when players at the highest talent level compete against players with an equal talent, they are not as offensively productive as they originally were.

## Section 3.4: At-Bats per Homerun

When comparing the "best", how much of an increase will there be in at-bats per homerun? An at-bat per homerun ratio tells how often a player hits a homerun. It is not an exact science but it gives an estimate. This ratio also excludes walks and sacrifices because they are not official at-bats. In any case, an increase in the ratio means the pitchers facing any set player have been successful.

Table 3.7: Season leader's homerun production. This table displays the Season leader's number of homeruns, at-bats, and the ratio of at-bats to homeruns historically and after simulation on a per season basis.

| Player |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR | $\mathbf{A B}$ | $\mathbf{A B / H R}$ | Sim | Sim | Sim | $\mathbf{A B}$ | AB/HR | Diff |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 35 | 600 | 17.14 | 3303 | 130063 | 39.38 | 22.240 |
| Piazza | Mike | 40 | 556 | 13.90 | 3502 | 115023 | 32.84 | 18.940 |
| Pujols | Albert | 49 | 535 | 10.92 | 3194 | 81758 | 25.60 | 14.680 |
| McGwire | Mark | 70 | 509 | 7.27 | 4027 | 71163 | 17.67 | 10.400 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 47 | 584 | 12.43 | 3106 | 90234 | 29.05 | 16.620 |
| Biggio | Craig | 20 | 646 | 32.30 | 1887 | 138326 | 73.30 | 41.000 |
| Alomar | Roberto | 24 | 563 | 23.46 | 2011 | 109771 | 54.59 | 31.130 |
| Jones | Chipper | 45 | 567 | 12.60 | 4321 | 129558 | 29.98 | 17.380 |
| Brett | George | 24 | 449 | 18.71 | 2591 | 109326 | 42.19 | 23.480 |
| Garciaparra | Nomar | 21 | 529 | 25.19 | 2490 | 145073 | 58.26 | 33.070 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 47 | 607 | 12.91 | 5663 | 170147 | 30.05 | 17.140 |
| Gwynn | Tony | 12 | 419 | 34.92 | 712 | 55369 | 77.77 | 42.850 |
| Mantle | Mickey | 52 | 533 | 10.25 | 6264 | 149897 | 23.93 | 13.680 |
| Bonds | Barry | 73 | 476 | 6.52 | 12476 | 197574 | 15.84 | 9.320 |


| Ruth | Babe | 60 | 540 | 9.00 | 3240 | 69644 | 21.50 | 12.500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 8 | 704 | 88.00 | 665 | 141413 | 212.65 | 124.650 |
| Wilson | Hack | 56 | 585 | 10.45 | 5392 | 132960 | 24.66 | 14.210 |
| Griffey Jr. | Ken | 56 | 600 | 10.86 | 8405 | 213837 | 25.44 | 14.580 |

Table 3.8: Career leader's homerun production. This table displays the Career leader's number of homeruns, at-bats, and the ratio of at-bats to homeruns historically and after simulation on a per season basis. There is also a column containing the difference in the simulated and the original ratio.

| Player |  |  |  |  | Sim. | Sim. | Sim. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aaron | Hank | 37 | AB | HR/AB | HR | AB | HR/AB | Diff. |
| Biggio | Craig | 17 | 619 | 16.41 | 2583 | 120622 | 46.70 | 30.29 |
| Bonds | Barry | 41 | 534 | 13.02 | 1068 | 112913 | 105.72 | 69.31 |
| Brett | George | 19 | 619 | 32.58 | 1946 | 102686 | 39.59 | 26.57 |
| Cobb | Ty | 6 | 610 | 101.67 | 697 | 208273 | 94.53 | 61.95 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 37 | 599 | 16.19 | 2616 | 122561 | 46.81 | 197.14 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | 22 | 586 | 26.64 | 1398 | 109431 | 78.28 | 30.66 |
| Jeter | Derek | 17 | 655 | 38.53 | 973 | 99831 | 102.60 | 64.07 |
| Piazza | Mike | 36 | 587 | 16.31 | 2581 | 114589 | 44.40 | 28.09 |
| Pujols | Albert | 42 | 604 | 14.38 | 2979 | 126499 | 42.46 | 28.08 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 22 | 621 | 28.23 | 1610 | 128275 | 79.67 | 51.44 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 44 | 626 | 14.23 | 3030 | 116004 | 38.29 | 24.06 |
| Ruth | Babe | 46 | 544 | 11.83 | 4317 | 152724 | 35.38 | 23.55 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 10 | 692 | 69.20 | 1018 | 199656 | 196.13 | 126.93 |
| Williams | Ted | 37 | 545 | 14.73 | 4932 | 219082 | 44.42 | 29.69 |
| Yount | Robin | 14 | 624 | 44.57 | 812 | 93832 | 115.56 | 70.99 |

The changes in at-bat per homerun reflected the changes in batting average in terms of lower performance. The career team's average homerun to at-bat ratio increased an extra 57 at-bats per home run while the season team's homerun ratio grew by only 28 at-bats. A test of normality was run on the differences in at-bats per homerun and it showed that the data for both teams was not normal. Once non-normality was determined, a bootstrap distribution was computed to estimate the difference, see Appendix C. Since the bootstrap distribution was approximately normal the bootstrap $95 \%$ confidence interval is $(-57.5764,-6.37243)$. Since zero is not in the confidence
interval, this shows that there is a significant difference in the two team's at-bat per homerun ratio. This agrees with the batting average differences and with the win loss percentages. The career leaders are at-bats per home run increased about twice as much compared to the increase for the season team (on average).

## Section 3.5: Difference in Pitching

When the "best" pitchers face off against the "best" hitters, how much will those pitchers' ERA increase? ERA can be affected by the number of strikeouts a pitcher has; a decrease in strikeouts means more hitters are putting the ball into play. With more balls are being put into play, the probability of hits and errors increases. If there are more hits then more runs will score, thus raising the ERA. Offensive numbers have already been shown as decreasing so theoretically, pitching numbers should improve. However, when ERA numbers begin low, it is very difficult to decrease or even keep them at the same level when playing against a great as compared to a typical team.

Table 3.9: Career leader's pitching production. This chart displays the Career leaders and their original statistics of innings pitched, strikeouts, and ERA. It also contains their simulated innings pitched, ERA, and strike outs. All data are for seasonal use.

| Player |  | IP | SO | ERA | Sim | Sim SO | Sim ERA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clemons | Roger | 236 | 224 | 3.13 | 17 | 16 | 5.62 |
| Eckersley | Dennis | 156 | 114 | 3.50 | 4 | 3 | 6.93 |
| Ford | Whitey | 230 | 142 | 2.75 | 223 | 137 | 6.77 |
| Hoffman | Trevor | 72.7 | 77 | 2.71 | 8 | 7 | 5.54 |
| Johnson | Randy | 233.3 | 279 | 3.22 | 16 | 17 | 6.44 |
| Johnson | Walter | 273.7 | 162 | 2.17 | 276 | 154 | 3.46 |
| Maddux | Greg | 231.3 | 157 | 3.09 | 17 | 11 | 5.34 |
| Martinez | Pedro | 219.7 | 248 | 2.80 | 262 | 225 | 4.76 |
| Mathews | Christey | 274 | 143 | 2.13 | 273 | 143 | 3.59 |
| Rivera | Mariano | 81.3 | 73 | 2.33 | 7 | 9 | 4.21 |
| Santana | Johan | 208.7 | 220 | 3.18 | 75 | 72 | 6.06 |


| Seaver | Tom | 249.3 | 189 | 2.86 | 242 | 171 | 5.88 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith | Lee | 85 | 82 | 3.03 | 5 | 4 | 5.68 |

Table 3.10: Career leader's pitching differences. This table displays the differences in ERA, strike outs, and innings pitched for the Career leaders.

| Player |  | ERA | SO | IP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clemons | Roger | +2.49 | -208 | -219 |
| Eckersley | Dennis | +3.43 | -111 | -152 |
| Ford | Whitey | +4.02 | -5 | -7 |
| Hoffman | Trevor | +2.83 | -70 | -65 |
| Johnson | Randy | +3.22 | -262 | -217 |
| Johnson | Walter | +1.29 | -8 | 2 |
| Maddux | Greg | +2.25 | -146 | -214 |
| Martinez | Pedro | +1.96 | -23 | 42 |
| Mathews | Christey | +1.46 | 0 | -1 |
| Rivera | Mariano | +1.88 | -64 | -74 |
| Santana | Johan | +2.88 | -148 | -134 |
| Seaver | Tom | +3.02 | -18 | -7 |
| Smith | Lee | +2.49 | -78 | -80 |

Table 3.11: Season leader's pitching production. This chart displays the Career leaders and their original statistics of innings pitched, strikeouts, and ERA. It also contains their simulated innings pitched, ERA, and strike outs. All data are for seasonal use.

| Season <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | Player |  | Eckersley | Dennis | ERA | IP | SO | Sim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERA | Sim | Sim | SO |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Gagne | Eric | 1.20 | 73 | 73 | 2.46 | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | Hershiser | Orel | 2.26 | 267 | 137 | 1.49 | 8 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 3}$ | Johnson | Walter | 1.14 | 346 | 243 | 3.85 | 44 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 3}$ | Koufax | Sandy | 1.88 | 311 | 306 | 3.08 | 287 | 144 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | Maddux | Greg | 1.56 | 210 | 181 | 2.62 | 276 | 199 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | Maddux | Greg | 1.63 | 202 | 156 | 2.82 | 279 | 163 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Rivera | Mariano | 1.94 | 79 | 66 | 3.30 | 7 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Santana | Johan | 2.61 | 228 | 265 | 3.70 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | Seaver | Tom | 1.76 | 286 | 289 | 3.64 | 273 | 201 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Smoltz | John | 1.12 | 64 | 73 | 2.61 | 5 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | Smoltz | John | 2.94 | 254 | 276 | 2.25 | 0.01 | 0.008 |

Table 3.12: Season leader's pitching differences. This table displays the differences in ERA, strike outs, and innings pitched for the Season leaders.

| Season | Player |  | ERA | SO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Eckersley | Dennis | +1.85 | -68 |
|  |  | IP |  |  |
|  |  | -66 |  |  |


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Gagne | Eric | +0.29 | -126 | -74 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | Hershiser | Orel | +1.59 | -157 | -223 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 3}$ | Johnson | Walter | +1.23 | -99 | -59 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 3}$ | Koufax | Sandy | +1.20 | -107 | -31 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | Maddux | Greg | +1.06 | -18 | 66 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | Maddux | Greg | +1.19 | -2 | 77 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Rivera | Mariano | +1.36 | -62 | -72 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Santana | Johan | +1.09 | -264 | -227 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | Seaver | Tom | +1.88 | -88 | -13 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Smoltz | John | +1.49 | -69 | -59 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | Smoltz | John | -0.69 | -276 | -254 |

In both teams, there were differences in ERA, strike outs, and innings pitched. The career leader's starting pitchers pitched over $87 \%$ of their team's total innings, while the season leader's starting pitchers pitched over $95 \%$ of their team's total innings. Both of these percentages are considerably higher than major league baseball's percentage today which is roughly between $60-70 \%$. The difference in strikeouts can also be partially explained by the differences in innings pitched. These pitchers are also facing hitters who historically do not strike out very often; this also decreases their number of strikeouts. The Career leader's ERA increased by an average of 2.56. Using a one sample t-test, with hypotheses $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu>0$. The following results from Minitab are: $\mathrm{t}=11.68$ with a p -value of 0.000 . This shows that the Career leader's increase in ERA was highly significant. The Season leader's ERA increased by an average of 1.13. Using a similar one sample t -test, the results from Minitab are: $\mathrm{t}=9.81$ with a p-value of 0.000 . The season leaders' average ERA increase is almost a run and a half lower than the career leaders. The difference in $t$-values shows that the increase of the career leaders' ERA was more significant than the season leaders. Using a two sample t-test on whether or not the increases in ERA were similar with the hypothesis:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{0}-\mu_{1}=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu_{0} \neq \mu_{1}$, the results from Minitab are: $\mathrm{t}=-4.72$ with a p-value of 0.000. This shows that there are considerable differences in the increases of both team's pitching staffs. Because starting pitchers are pitching large amounts of innings, there could be a small flaw in the simulator. This program does not calculate a decline in accuracy due to exhaustion. It would be extremely difficult to calculate how quickly a pitcher fatigues. The only pitcher, whose ERA dropped, was John Smoltz from 1996. Over the combined 64800 games, he only pitched four innings so his performance in the simulations was not included in the comparison. There are differences in strikeout production by pitchers; this is due to the fact that they are facing "great" hitters who generally do not strike out very often.

## Section 3.6: Rare Events

How often do no-hitters, cycles, and other rare events occur? Since 1900 there have been 213 no-hitters, which average to about 1.99 a year. Throughout the simulations there were 72 no-hitters, which average to almost a no-hitter every five years; this is a significant drop off. During the same time period there were 235 cycles hit, which is an average of 2.2 per year. The simulations resulted in 78 cycles, which is an average of 0.2 per year. Both cycles and no-hitters went from happening almost twice a year to both happening about once every five years. Since 1900 there have only been four hitting streaks over forty games. During simulations two different hitting streaks went over forty games with the peak steak being a forty-four game streak. Curiously enough, both streaks were done by the same player, Nomar Garciaparra from 2000. These events occur so rarely, percentages are really not worth calculating. A few other
rare events happened: there were 18 four homerun games, twenty eight 6-hit games by a single player, twenty 9 RBI games, and two 5 stolen base games.

## Section 3.7: Conclusion

Throughout the simulations it appeared obvious that the season leaders were a significantly better team than the career leaders. The batting statistics for both teams contained decreases in batting average and homeruns. Both of these statistics are independent variables for the dependent variable of runs scored. If a team does not score runs, they cannot win a baseball game. The season leaders did not decrease as much as the career leaders did in the offensive categories. The reduction of offensive ability brings more questions to mind: How significant was the decline of runs scored, did fielding percentage allow a significant number of extra runs, and did run production decrease due to a decrease of batting average or a decrease of homeruns?.

Pitching statistics increased significantly for both teams throughout the simulations. The increase in ERA was due to pitchers never getting an easy batter throughout the entire lineup. A designated hitter was used in our simulation; which forced the pitcher to face one more hitter in the lineup, as opposed to another pitcher. An increase in ERA also occurred coincidently with the decrease of the number of strikeouts. The increase in ERA also brings about questions relating to the allowance of runs: how does a decrease in the number of strikeouts affect ERA, does the pitcher's homerun per at-bat ratio increase significantly, how significant is the pitcher's tendency to walk batters?

## CHAPTER 4: COMPARISON OF "ERA" LEADERS

How good were some of the greatest teams in major league baseball history?
How well are they going to match up with the career and season leaders?
1.) Since the season leaders beat the career leaders, how much more lopsided will their record be against the "Era" Leaders?
2.) For whom is there a more significant change in batting average: For the season and career leaders or for the "Era" leaders?
3.) For whom is there a more significant decrease in at-bats per homerun: For the season and career leaders or for the "Era" leaders?
4.) How much change will there be in pitching ERA?
5.) Do any specific career accomplishments stand out for any particular series?
6.) Is there an increase in specific highlights throughout the simulations?

Season leaders are composed of players with the best seasonal statistics; career leaders are composed of players with some of the greatest careers in major league baseball history. The season leaders won $66 \%$ of their games against the career leaders. This has already been shown in Chapter 3. Since both teams are stellar performers, they are expected to dominate actual teams. Any winning percentage over 70\% shows that there is a significant difference in the talent levels of the teams. Normally, a winning percentage of $62 \%$ would be considered a very good baseball team. In this aspect, winning percentages that significantly favor the season and career leaders will be expected. Some of their batting averages are already extremely high, which makes it very difficult to increase productivity with respect to average. Any increases in batting average for these two teams would be very good. When regular baseball teams play,
there is usually a significant drop off in pitching from the number one starter to the fifth starter. When batters get to face lesser pitching talent, their at-bats per homerun are going to decrease. A decrease of a full at-bat per homerun would be highly significant for any baseball team. The ERAs of the career and season leaders are expected to decrease. We expect neither of our teams to have any specific weak points except when playing each other. There should be no drop off in ERA unless certain pitchers are not needed to pitch. The career leaders and season leaders are expected to break many major league baseball records over the course of these simulations which are: HR, BA, ERA, etc... Some offensive records might not fall because of lack of at-bats. There should be significant highlight increases over the previous simulation. Statistically superior players playing against regular teams should result in an increase of rare events.

## Section 4.1-Opposition Selection

The Season leaders and Career leaders teams were used from Chapter 3 for this part of the simulation process. There were six different teams chosen from six different "eras" to take part in this simulation. All six of these teams were World Series Champions. All teams were chosen from the team files that came with the simulation program. The six teams are as follows: 1918 Boston Red Sox, 1927 New York Yankees, 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers, 1961 New York Yankees, 1985 Kansas City Royals, and the 2005 Chicago White Sox. All teams are some of the best of the defined "eras." The White Sox were chosen due to lack of options. They were the only World Series champion from the "long ball era" whose statistics were included. After all teams were chosen, files of data containing each team's statistics were checked to make sure that the player's statistics were correct.

Table 4.1: The 1918 Boston Red Sox

| Player | Position | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sam Agnew | Catcher | 0.166 | 0 |
| Joe Bush | Outfield | 0.276 | 0 |
| George Cochran | Third Base | 0.127 | 0 |
| Jack Coffey | Third Base | 0.159 | 1 |
| Dick Hoblitzell | First Base | 0.175 | 0 |
| Harry Hooper | Outfield | 0.289 | 1 |
| Wally Mayer | Catcher | 0.224 | 0 |
| Carl Mays | Outfield | 0.288 | 0 |
| Stuffy McInnis | First Base | 0.272 | 0 |
| Babe Ruth | Outfield | 0.300 | 11 |
| Wally Schang | Catcher | 0.244 | 0 |
| Everett Scott | Short Stop | 0.221 | 0 |
| Dave Shean | Second Base | 0.264 | 0 |
| John Stansbury | Third Base | 0.128 | 0 |
| Amos Strunk | Outfield | 0.257 | 0 |
| Fred Tomas | Third Base | 0.257 | 1 |
| Frank Truesdale | Second Base | 0.278 | 0 |
| George Whiteman | Outfield | 0.266 | 1 |
| Player | Position | ERA | SO |
| Lore Bader | Pitcher | 3.33 | 10 |
| Joe Bush | Starting Pitcher | 2.11 | 125 |
| Jean Dubuc | Pitcher | 4.09 | 1 |
| Sam Jones | Starting Pitcher | 2.25 | 44 |
| Walt Kinney | Pitcher | 1.80 | 4 |
| Dutch Leonard | Starting Pitcher | 2.71 | 47 |
| Carl Mays | Starting Pitcher | 2.21 | 114 |
| Dick McCabe | Pitcher | 2.70 | 3 |
| Vince Molyneaux | Pitcher | 3.27 | 1 |
| Babe Ruth | Starting Pitcher | 2.22 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2: The 1927 New York Yankees

| Player | Position | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benny Bengough | Catcher | 0.247 | 0 |
| Pat Collins | Catcher | 0.275 | 7 |
| Early Combs | Outfield | 0.356 | 6 |
| Joe Dugan | Third Base | 0.269 | 2 |
| Cedric Durst | Outfield | 0.248 | 0 |
| Mike Gazella | Third Base | 0.278 | 0 |
| Lou Gehrig | First Base | 0.373 | 47 |
| Johnny Grabowski | Catcher | 0.277 | 0 |
| Mark Koenig | Shortstop | 0.285 | 3 |
| Tony Lazzeri | Second Base | 0.309 | 18 |
| Bob Meusal | Outfield | 0.337 | 8 |
| Ray Morehart | Second Base | 0.256 | 1 |
| Ben Paschal | Outfield | 0.317 | 2 |
| Babe Ruth | Outfield | 0.356 | 60 |
| Julie Wera | Third Base | 0.238 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Player | Position | ERA | SO |
| Walter Beall | Pitcher | 9.00 | 0 |
| Joe Giard | Pitcher | 8.00 | 10 |
| Waite Hoyt | Starting Pitcher | 2.63 | 86 |
| Wiley Moore | Starting Pitcher | 2.28 | 75 |
| Herb Pennock | Starting Pitcher | 3.00 | 51 |
| George Pipgras | Pitcher | 4.12 | 81 |
| Dutch Reuther | Starting Pitcher | 3.38 | 45 |
| Bob Shawkey | Pitcher | 2.86 | 23 |
| Urban Shocker | Starting Pitcher | 2.84 | 35 |
| Myles Thomas | Pitcher | 4.87 | 25 |

Table 4.3: The 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers

| Player | Posititon | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandy Amoros | Outfield | 0.247 | 10 |
| Bob Borkowski | Outfield | 0.135 | 0 |
| Roy Campanella | Catcher | 0.286 | 32 |
| Carl Furillo | Outfield | 0.314 | 26 |
| Jim Gilliam | Second Base | 0.249 | 7 |
| Don Hoak | Third Base | 0.240 | 5 |
| Gil Hodges | First Base | 0.289 | 27 |
| Dixie Howell | Catcher | 0.262 | 0 |
| Frank Kellert | First Base | 0.325 | 4 |
| Don Newcombe | Outfield | 0.359 | 7 |
| Pee Wee Reese | Shortstop | 0.282 | 10 |
| Jackie Robinson | Third Base | 0.256 | 8 |
| George Shuba | Outfield | 0.275 | 1 |
| Duke Snider | Outfield | 0.309 | 42 |
| Rube Walker | Catcher | 0.252 | 2 |
| Don Zimmer | Second Base | 0.239 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Player | Posititon | ERA | SO |
| Don Bessent | Starting | 2.71 | 29 |
| Roger Craig | Pitcher | 3.29 | 78 |
| Carl Erskine | Starting Pitcher | 3.79 | 84 |
| Jim Hughes | Pitcher | 4.19 | 20 |
| Sandy Koufax | Starting Pitcher | 3.00 | 30 |
| Clem Labine | Starting Pitcher | 3.29 | 67 |
| Billy Loes | Starting Pitcher | 3.59 | 85 |
| Russ Meyer | Pitcher | 5.42 | 26 |
| Don Newcombe | Starting Pitcher | 3.20 | 143 |
| Johnny Podres | Pitcher | 3.95 | 114 |
| Ed Roebuck | Pitcher | 4.71 | 33 |
| Karl Spooner | Pitcher | 3.65 | 78 |

Table 4.4: The 1961 New York Yankees

| Player | Posititon | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yogi Berra | Outfielder | 0.271 | 22 |
| Johnny Blanchard | Outfield | 0.305 | 21 |
| Clete Boyer | Third Base | 0.224 | 11 |
| Bob Cerv | Outfield | 0.271 | 6 |
| Joe DeMaestri | Shortstop | 0.140 | 0 |
| Billy Gardner | Third Base | 0.212 | 1 |
| Bob Hale | First Base | 0.154 | 1 |
| Elston Howard | Catcher | 0.348 | 21 |
| Deron Johnson | Third Base | 0.105 | 0 |
| Tony Kubek | Shortstop | 0.276 | 8 |
| Hector Lopez | Outfield | 0.222 | 6 |
| Mickey Mantle | Outfielder | 0.317 | 54 |
| Roger Maris | Outfield | 0.269 | 61 |
| Jack Reed | Outfielder | 0.154 | 0 |
| Bobby Richardson | Second Base | 0.261 | 3 |
| Moose Skowron | First Base | 0.267 | 28 |
| Earl Torgenson | First Base | 0.111 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Player | Position | ERA | SO |
| Luis Arroyo | Pitcher | 2.19 | 87 |
| Tex Clevenger | Pitcher | 4.78 | 14 |
| Jim Coates | Starting Pitcher | 3.45 | 80 |
| Bud Daley | Pitcher | 3.96 | 83 |
| Art Ditmar | Pitcher | 4.67 | 24 |
| Whitey Ford | Starting Pitcher | 3.21 | 209 |
| Danny McDevitt | Pitcher | 7.62 | 8 |
| Hal Reniff | Pitcher | 2.60 | 21 |
| Rollie Sheldon | Starting Pitcher | 3.59 | 84 |
| Bill Stafford | Starting Pitcher | 2.68 | 101 |
| Ralph Terry | Starting Pitcher | 3.16 | 86 |
| Bob Turley | Pitcher | 5.75 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5: The 1985 Kansas City Royals

| Player | Position | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steve Balboni | First Base | 0.243 | 36 |
| Buddy Biancalana | Shortstop | 0.188 | 1 |
| George Brett | Third Base | 0.335 | 30 |
| Onix Concepcion | Shortstop | 0.204 | 2 |
| Dane Iorg | Outfield | 0.223 | 1 |
| Lynn Jones | Outfield | 0.211 | 0 |
| Dave Leeper | Outfield | 0.088 | 0 |
| Hal McRae | DH | 0.259 | 14 |
| Omar Moreno | Outfield | 0.243 | 2 |
| Darryl Motley | Outfield | 0.222 | 17 |
| Jorge Orta | DH | 0.267 | 4 |
| Greg Pryor | Third Base | 0.219 | 1 |
| Jamie Quirk | Catcher | 0.281 | 0 |
| Pat Sheridan | Outfield | 0.228 | 3 |
| Lonnie Smith | Outfield | 0.257 | 6 |
| Jim Sundberg | Catcher | 0.245 | 10 |
| John Wathan | Catcher | 0.234 | 1 |
| Frank White | Second Base | 0.249 | 22 |
| Willie Wilson | Outfield | 0.278 | 4 |
| Player | Position | ERA | SO |
| Joe Beckwith | Pitcher | 4.07 | 80 |
| Steve Farr | Starting Pitcher | 3.11 | 36 |
| Mark Gubicza | Starting Pitcher | 4.06 | 99 |
| Mark Huismann | Pitcher | 1.93 | 9 |
| Danny Jackson | Starting Pitcher | 3.42 | 114 |
| Mike Jones | Pitcher | 4.76 | 32 |
| Mike LaCoss | Pitcher | 5.09 | 26 |
| Charlie Leibrandt | Starting Pitcher | 2.69 | 108 |
| Dan Quisenberry | Pitcher | 2.37 | 54 |
| Brett Saberhagen | Starting Pitcher | 2.87 | 158 |

Table 4.6: The 2005 Chicago White Sox

| Player | Position | BA | HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geoff Blum | Third Base | 0.200 | 1 |
| Joe Crede | Third Base | 0.252 | 22 |
| Jermaine Dye | Outfield | 0.274 | 31 |
| Carl Everett | DH | 0.251 | 23 |
| Willie Harris | Second Base | 0.256 | 1 |
| Tadahito Iguchi | Second Base | 0.278 | 15 |
| Paul Konerko | First Base | 0.283 | 40 |
| Pablo Ozuna | Third Base | 0.276 | 0 |
| Timo Perez | Outfield | 0.218 | 2 |
| A.J. Pierzynski | Catcher | 0.257 | 18 |
| Scott Podsednik | Outfield | 0.290 | 0 |
| Aaron Rowand | Outfield | 0.270 | 13 |
| Frank Thomas | DH | 0.219 | 12 |
| Juan Uribe | Shortstop | 0.252 | 16 |
| Chris Widger | Catcher | 0.241 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Player | Position | ERA | SO |
| Mark Buehrle | Starting Pitcher | 3.12 | 149 |
| Jose Contreras | Starting Pitcher | 3.61 | 154 |
| Neal Cotts | Pitcher | 1.94 | 58 |
| Freddy Garcia | Starting Pitcher | 3.87 | 146 |
| Jon Garland | Starting Pitcher | 3.50 | 115 |
| Dustin Hermanson | Pitcher | 2.01 | 33 |
| Orlando Hernandez | Pitcher | 5.12 | 91 |
| Bobby Jenks | Pitcher | 2.75 | 50 |
| Damaso Marte | Pitcher | 3.77 | 54 |
| Brandon McCarthy | Starting Pitcher | 4.03 | 48 |
| Cliff Politte | Pitcher | 2.00 | 57 |
| Shingo Takatsu | Pitcher | 5.97 | 32 |
| Luis Vizcaino | Pitcher | 3.73 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Section 4.2 - Win/Loss Percentage

Since the season leaders beat the career leaders, how much more lopsided will their record be against the World Series champions from different "eras?" The career leaders did very well against the opposing "era" leaders. They had an average winning percentage of 0.792 . Their following win/loss records are in the following chart:

Table 4.7: Win/Loss chart for the Career leaders

| Career | Wins | Loses | Win\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1918 Boston Red Sox | 2763 | 477 | 0.853 |
| 1927 New York Yankees | 2168 | 1072 | 0.669 |
| 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers | 2606 | 634 | 0.804 |
| 1961 New York Yankees | 2560 | 680 | 0.790 |
| 1985 Kansas City Royals | 2687 | 553 | 0.829 |
| 2005 Chicago White Sox | 2678 | 562 | 0.827 |

Table 4.8: Win/Loss chart for the Season leaders

| Season | Wins | Loses | Win\% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1918 Boston Red Sox | 3089 | 151 | 0.953 |
| 1927 New York Yankees | 2730 | 510 | 0.843 |
| 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers | 3048 | 192 | 0.941 |
| 1961 New York Yankees | 2968 | 272 | 0.916 |
| 1985 Kansas City Royals | 2984 | 256 | 0.921 |
| 2005 Chicago White Sox | 3041 | 199 | 0.939 |

The career leaders had a peak winning percentage of 0.853 against the 1918 Boston Red Sox. Their lowest winning percentage was 0.669 against the 1927 New York Yankees. The season leaders have an average winning percentage of 0.922 , which can be viewed as complete dominance over the respected best teams in Major League Baseball history. The season leader's peak winning percentage was against the 1918 Boston Red Sox and their lowest winning percentage was against the 1927 New York Yankees. After viewing the win/loss records, one could claim that the 1918 Red Sox are the worst team in the simulations and the 1927 Yankees are the best team in the simulations. The season leaders won $13 \%$ more of their games than the career leaders, which is a significant difference between the two teams.

## Section 4.3: Batting Average

The career and season leaders have a significant advantage going into the simulations. The historic batting averages for the career leaders and season leaders are 0.321 and 0.343 . The historic batting averages, in seasonal numerical order, for the "era" leaders are: $0.253,0.316,0.277,0.272,0.252$, and 0.264 .

## Section 4.3.1: Career and season leaders

Is there a significant change in batting average for the season leaders and career leaders? Is there a significant decrease in at-bats per homerun for the season and career leaders? With the initial statistics clearly in favor of the career leaders and season leaders, offensive production is expected to increase.

Table 4.9: Season leader's batting averages. This chart displays the batting averages for the Season leaders against each team they played and their historic averages.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Historic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alomar | Roberto | 0.296 | 0.299 | 0.305 | 0.294 | 0.311 | 0.303 | 0.323 |
| Biggio | Craig | 0.294 | 0.312 | 0.301 | 0.314 | 0.319 | 0.304 | 0.325 |
| Bonds | Barry | 0.295 | 0.270 | 0.314 | 0.291 | 0.266 | 0.297 | 0.328 |
| Brett | George | 0.354 | 0.363 | 0.353 | 0.368 | 0.376 | 0.368 | 0.390 |
| Garciapara | Nomar | 0.335 | 0.351 | 0.340 | 0.354 | 0.355 | 0.349 | 0.372 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 0.330 | 0.345 | 0.349 | 0.342 | 0.356 | 0.344 | 0.373 |
| Griffey Jr. | Ken | 0.277 | 0.272 | 0.286 | 0.274 | 0.265 | 0.278 | 0.304 |
| Gwynn | Tony | 0.340 | 0.368 | 0.390 | 0.366 | 0.384 | 0.361 | 0.394 |
| Jones | Chipper | 0.291 | 0.295 | 0.297 | 0.296 | 0.291 | 0.290 | 0.319 |
| Mantle | Mickey | 0.332 | 0.313 | 0.328 | 0.324 | 0.309 | 0.321 | 0.353 |
| McGwire | Mark | 0.261 | 0.248 | 0.273 | 0.265 | 0.254 | 0.283 | 0.299 |
| Piazza | Mike | 0.324 | 0.331 | 0.339 | 0.343 | 0.334 | 0.335 | 0.362 |
| Pujols | Albert | 0.306 | 0.307 | 0.312 | 0.295 | 0.301 | 0.298 | 0.331 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 0.282 | 0.275 | 0.271 | 0.276 | 0.276 | 0.265 | 0.298 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 0.310 | 0.311 | 0.322 | 0.312 | 0.326 | 0.301 | 0.332 |
| Ruth | Babe | 0.318 | 0.311 | 0.337 | 0.321 | 0.299 | 0.330 | 0.356 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 0.330 | 0.350 | 0.347 | 0.358 | 0.371 | 0.348 | 0.372 |
| Wilson | Hack | 0.320 | 0.316 | 0.328 | 0.330 | 0.326 | 0.335 | 0.356 |

Table 4.10: Career leader's batting averages. This chart displays the batting averages for the Career leaders against each team they played and their historic averages.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Historic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aaron | Hank | 0.274 | 0.282 | 0.282 | 0.286 | 0.281 | 0.286 | 0.305 |
| Biggio | Craig | 0.254 | 0.261 | 0.273 | 0.273 | 0.267 | 0.273 | 0.281 |
| Bonds | Barry | 0.269 | 0.267 | 0.268 | 0.273 | 0.273 | 0.273 | 0.298 |
| Brett | George | 0.281 | 0.285 | 0.277 | 0.282 | 0.290 | 0.282 | 0.305 |
| Cobb | Ty | 0.322 | 0.339 | 0.337 | 0.342 | 0.354 | 0.342 | 0.366 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 0.303 | 0.304 | 0.327 | 0.313 | 0.320 | 0.313 | 0.341 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | 0.329 | 0.344 | 0.342 | 0.335 | 0.357 | 0.335 | 0.358 |
| Jeter | Derek | 0.292 | 0.312 | 0.302 | 0.299 | 0.312 | 0.299 | 0.318 |
| Piazza | Mike | 0.295 | 0.278 | 0.283 | 0.292 | 0.300 | 0.292 | 0.308 |
| Pujols | Albert | 0.298 | 0.298 | 0.314 | 0.314 | 0.317 | 0.314 | 0.331 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 0.274 | 0.292 | 0.283 | 0.288 | 0.287 | 0.288 | 0.303 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 0.272 | 0.288 | 0.289 | 0.280 | 0.285 | 0.280 | 0.307 |
| Ruth | Babe | 0.308 | 0.299 | 0.328 | 0.315 | 0.305 | 0.315 | 0.342 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 0.303 | 0.304 | 0.316 | 0.309 | 0.322 | 0.309 | 0.334 |
| Williams | Ted | 0.313 | 0.302 | 0.323 | 0.318 | 0.322 | 0.318 | 0.345 |
| Yount | Robin | 0.253 | 0.270 | 0.281 | 0.265 | 0.289 | 0.265 | 0.285 |

Table 4.11: Season leader's differences in batting average. This chart displays the batting average differences for the Season leaders against each team they played and their historic averages.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alomar | Roberto | -0.027 | -0.024 | -0.018 | -0.029 | -0.012 | -0.020 |
| Biggio | Craig | -0.031 | -0.013 | -0.024 | -0.011 | -0.006 | -0.021 |
| Bonds | Barry | -0.033 | -0.058 | -0.014 | -0.037 | -0.062 | -0.031 |
| Brett | George | -0.036 | -0.027 | -0.037 | -0.022 | -0.014 | -0.022 |
| Garciapara | Nomar | -0.037 | -0.021 | -0.032 | -0.018 | -0.017 | -0.023 |
| Gehrig | Lou | -0.043 | -0.028 | -0.024 | -0.031 | -0.017 | -0.029 |
| Griffey Jr. | Ken | -0.027 | -0.032 | -0.018 | -0.030 | -0.039 | -0.026 |
| Gwynn | Tony | -0.054 | -0.026 | -0.004 | -0.028 | -0.010 | -0.033 |
| Jones | Chipper | -0.028 | -0.024 | -0.022 | -0.023 | -0.028 | -0.029 |
| Mantle | Mickey | -0.021 | -0.040 | -0.025 | -0.029 | -0.044 | -0.032 |
| McGwire | Mark | -0.038 | -0.051 | -0.026 | -0.034 | -0.045 | -0.016 |
| Piazza | Mike | -0.038 | -0.031 | -0.023 | -0.019 | -0.028 | -0.027 |
| Pujols | Albert | -0.025 | -0.024 | -0.019 | -0.036 | -0.030 | -0.033 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | -0.016 | -0.023 | -0.027 | -0.022 | -0.022 | -0.033 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | -0.022 | -0.021 | -0.010 | -0.020 | -0.006 | -0.031 |
| Ruth | Babe | -0.038 | -0.045 | -0.019 | -0.035 | -0.057 | -0.026 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | -0.042 | -0.022 | -0.025 | -0.014 | -0.001 | -0.024 |
| Wilson | Hack | -0.036 | -0.040 | -0.028 | -0.026 | -0.030 | -0.021 |

Table 4.12: Career leader's differences in batting average. This chart displays the batting average differences for the Career leaders against each team they played and their historic averages.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aaron | Hank | -0.031 | -0.023 | -0.023 | -0.019 | -0.024 | -0.019 |
| Biggio | Craig | -0.027 | -0.020 | -0.008 | -0.008 | -0.014 | -0.008 |
| Bonds | Barry | -0.029 | -0.031 | -0.030 | -0.025 | -0.025 | -0.025 |
| Brett | George | -0.024 | -0.020 | -0.028 | -0.023 | -0.015 | -0.023 |
| Cobb | Ty | -0.044 | -0.027 | -0.029 | -0.024 | -0.012 | -0.024 |
| Gehrig | Lou | -0.038 | -0.037 | -0.014 | -0.028 | -0.021 | -0.028 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | -0.029 | -0.014 | -0.016 | -0.023 | -0.001 | -0.023 |
| Jeter | Derek | -0.026 | -0.006 | -0.016 | -0.019 | -0.006 | -0.019 |
| Piazza | Mike | -0.013 | -0.030 | -0.025 | -0.016 | -0.008 | -0.016 |
| Pujols | Albert | -0.033 | -0.033 | -0.017 | -0.017 | -0.014 | -0.017 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | -0.029 | -0.011 | -0.020 | -0.015 | -0.016 | -0.015 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | -0.035 | -0.019 | -0.018 | -0.027 | -0.022 | -0.027 |
| Ruth | Babe | -0.034 | -0.043 | -0.014 | -0.027 | -0.037 | -0.027 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | -0.031 | -0.030 | -0.018 | -0.025 | -0.012 | -0.025 |
| Williams | Ted | -0.032 | -0.043 | -0.022 | -0.027 | -0.023 | -0.027 |
| Yount | Robin | -0.032 | -0.015 | -0.004 | -0.020 | 0.004 | -0.020 |

It is an obvious fact that both the Season leaders and Career leaders had a decrease in average no matter which team they were playing. Only once did a player's average increase. That player was Robin Yount during the simulation against the 1985 Kansas City Royals. This can possibly be explained by the fact that Robin Yount has the lowest historic batting average that was chosen for either the Career leaders or the Season leaders. The Season leader's batting average decreased by 0.028 on average while that of the Career leaders decreased by 0.022 . Since these decreases were small, we were interested in whether they were real or due to randomness. We used a one-way analysis of variance with hypotheses $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : all means are equal vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : at least one is different from the rest. For the career leaders, we found $\mathrm{F}=2.55$ with p -value $=0.024$. This indicates the batting average against at least one of the "era" leaders teams is different from historic. Follow-up analysis with a $95 \%$ Tukey simultaneous confidence intervals indicated their performance against the 1918 Red Sox was lower than their historic values. Looking back at the starting rotation for the 1918 Red Sox, they had considerable lower ERAs that the other "era" teams. Performing the same analysis of variance test for the season leaders team gives $\mathrm{F}=2.10$ with p -value 0.058 . Their drops in batting average were not statistically different from their historic average. The Season and Career leaders' batting averages started out so high; the probability of them maintaining their averages is next to nothing. When a specific player is hitting, let's say over 0.350 , the discussion is never about him increasing his average over the remaining amount of games. The discussion is whether or not the player will be able to maintain that average over the remaining games in the season.

## Section 4.3.2: "Era" leaders

The batting averages for the "Era" leaders are expected to decrease dramatically.
Each team will have different levels of success because they are all separated by many years. Some of these teams come from highly offensive eras and some come from a time period with slim amounts of offensive production.

Table 4.13: Differences in batting average for the 1918 Boston Red Sox. This chart displays the 1918 Boston Red Sox historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against the Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sam Agnew | 0.166 | 0.155 | 0.135 | -0.011 | -0.031 |
| Joe Bush | 0.276 | 0.245 | 0.210 | -0.031 | -0.066 |
| George Cochran | 0.127 | 0.113 | 0.092 | -0.014 | -0.035 |
| Jack Coffey | 0.159 | 0.142 | 0.115 | -0.017 | -0.044 |
| Dick Hoblitzell | 0.175 | 0.151 | 0.124 | -0.024 | -0.051 |
| Harry Hooper | 0.289 | 0.253 | 0.221 | -0.036 | -0.068 |
| Wally Mayer | 0.224 | 0.184 | 0.165 | -0.040 | -0.059 |
| Carl Mays | 0.288 | 0.269 | 0.216 | -0.019 | -0.072 |
| Stuffy McInnis | 0.272 | 0.251 | 0.217 | -0.021 | -0.055 |
| Babe Ruth | 0.300 | 0.239 | 0.216 | -0.061 | -0.084 |
| Wally Schang | 0.244 | 0.207 | 0.180 | -0.037 | -0.064 |
| Everett Scott | 0.221 | 0.195 | 0.185 | -0.026 | -0.036 |
| Dave Shean | 0.264 | 0.242 | 0.207 | -0.022 | -0.057 |
| John Stansbury | 0.128 | 0.111 | 0.090 | -0.017 | -0.038 |
| Amos Strunk | 0.257 | 0.236 | 0.199 | -0.021 | -0.058 |
| Fred Tomas | 0.257 | 0.230 | 0.210 | -0.027 | -0.047 |
| Frank Truesdale | 0.278 | 0.263 | 0.221 | -0.015 | -0.057 |
| George Whiteman | 0.266 | 0.230 | 0.208 | -0.036 | -0.058 |

Table 4.14: Differences in batting average for the 1927 New York Yankees. This chart displays the 1927 New York Yankees historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against the Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benny Bengough | 0.247 | 0.245 | 0.202 | -0.002 | -0.045 |
| Pat Collins | 0.275 | 0.227 | 0.205 | -0.048 | -0.070 |
| Early Combs | 0.356 | 0.313 | 0.279 | -0.043 | -0.077 |
| Joe Dugan | 0.269 | 0.238 | 0.213 | -0.031 | -0.056 |
| Cedric Durst | 0.248 | 0.242 | 0.195 | -0.006 | -0.053 |
| Mike Gazella | 0.278 | 0.244 | 0.206 | -0.034 | -0.072 |
| Lou Gehrig | 0.373 | 0.280 | 0.250 | -0.093 | -0.123 |
| Johnny Grabowski | 0.277 | 0.247 | 0.228 | -0.030 | -0.049 |
| Mark Koenig | 0.285 | 0.258 | 0.237 | -0.027 | -0.048 |
| Tony Lazzeri | 0.309 | 0.261 | 0.213 | -0.048 | -0.096 |
| Bob Meusal | 0.337 | 0.297 | 0.255 | -0.040 | -0.082 |
| Ray Morehart | 0.256 | 0.228 | 0.194 | -0.028 | -0.062 |
| Ben Paschal | 0.317 | 0.262 | 0.244 | -0.055 | -0.073 |
| Babe Ruth | 0.356 | 0.263 | 0.212 | -0.093 | -0.144 |
| Julie Wera | 0.238 | 0.226 | 0.184 | -0.012 | -0.054 |

Table 4.15: Differences in batting average for the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers. This chart displays the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against the Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandy Amoros | 0.247 | 0.206 | 0.171 | -0.041 | -0.076 |
| Bob Borkowski | 0.135 | 0.125 | 0.094 | -0.010 | -0.041 |
| Roy Campanella | 0.286 | 0.229 | 0.194 | -0.057 | -0.092 |
| Carl Furillo | 0.314 | 0.257 | 0.219 | -0.057 | -0.095 |
| Jim Gilliam | 0.249 | 0.214 | 0.188 | -0.035 | -0.061 |
| Don Hoak | 0.240 | 0.206 | 0.173 | -0.034 | -0.067 |
| Gil Hodges | 0.289 | 0.235 | 0.202 | -0.054 | -0.087 |
| Dixie Howell | 0.262 | 0.228 | 0.235 | -0.034 | -0.027 |
| Frank Kellert | 0.325 | 0.253 | 0.245 | -0.072 | -0.080 |
| Don Newcombe | 0.359 | 0.295 | 0.274 | -0.064 | -0.085 |
| Pee Wee Reese | 0.282 | 0.241 | 0.202 | -0.041 | -0.080 |
| Jackie Robinson | 0.256 | 0.213 | 0.181 | -0.043 | -0.075 |
| George Shuba | 0.275 | 0.251 | 0.176 | -0.024 | -0.099 |
| Duke Snider | 0.309 | 0.237 | 0.195 | -0.072 | -0.114 |
| Rube Walker | 0.252 | 0.218 | 0.196 | -0.034 | -0.056 |
| Don Zimmer | 0.239 | 0.191 | 0.160 | -0.048 | -0.079 |

Table 4.16: Differences in batting average for the 1961 New York Yankees. This chart displays the 1961 New York Yankees historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against the Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yogi Berra | 0.271 | 0.217 | 0.189 | -0.054 | -0.082 |
| Johnny Blanchard | 0.305 | 0.226 | 0.201 | -0.079 | -0.104 |
| Clete Boyer | 0.224 | 0.192 | 0.163 | -0.032 | -0.061 |
| Bob Cerv | 0.271 | 0.214 | 0.197 | -0.057 | -0.074 |
| Joe DeMaestri | 0.140 | 0.144 | 0.117 | 0.004 | -0.023 |
| Billy Gardner | 0.212 | 0.179 | 0.165 | -0.033 | -0.047 |
| Bob Hale | 0.154 | 0.120 | 0.106 | -0.034 | -0.048 |
| Elston Howard | 0.348 | 0.298 | 0.258 | -0.050 | -0.090 |
| Deron Johnson | 0.105 | 0.089 | 0.090 | -0.016 | -0.015 |
| Tony Kubek | 0.276 | 0.246 | 0.216 | -0.030 | -0.060 |
| Hector Lopez | 0.222 | 0.189 | 0.161 | -0.033 | -0.061 |
| Mickey Mantle | 0.317 | 0.229 | 0.196 | -0.088 | -0.121 |
| Roger Maris | 0.269 | 0.192 | 0.158 | -0.077 | -0.111 |
| Jack Reed | 0.154 | 0.122 | 0.116 | -0.032 | -0.038 |
| Bobby Richardson | 0.261 | 0.236 | 0.209 | -0.025 | -0.052 |
| Moose Skowron | 0.267 | 0.218 | 0.196 | -0.049 | -0.071 |
| Earl Torgenson | 0.111 | 0.080 | 0.067 | -0.031 | -0.044 |

Table 4.17: Differences in batting average for the 1985 Kansas City Royals. This chart displays the 1985 Kansas City Royals historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steve Balboni | 0.243 | 0.184 | 0.164 | -0.059 | -0.079 |
| Buddy Biancalana | 0.188 | 0.169 | 0.146 | -0.019 | -0.042 |
| George Brett | 0.335 | 0.266 | 0.230 | -0.069 | -0.105 |
| Onix Concepcion | 0.204 | 0.183 | 0.158 | -0.021 | -0.046 |
| Dane Iorg | 0.223 | 0.196 | 0.162 | -0.027 | -0.061 |
| Lynn Jones | 0.211 | 0.198 | 0.151 | -0.013 | -0.060 |
| Dave Leeper | 0.088 | 0.078 | 0.074 | -0.010 | -0.014 |
| Hal McRae | 0.259 | 0.205 | 0.176 | -0.054 | -0.083 |
| Omar Moreno | 0.243 | 0.212 | 0.187 | -0.031 | -0.056 |
| Darryl Motley | 0.222 | 0.185 | 0.158 | -0.037 | -0.064 |
| Jorge Orta | 0.267 | 0.232 | 0.205 | -0.035 | -0.062 |
| Greg Pryor | 0.219 | 0.190 | 0.174 | -0.029 | -0.045 |
| Jamie Quirk | 0.281 | 0.268 | 0.241 | -0.013 | -0.040 |
| Pat Sheridan | 0.228 | 0.186 | 0.171 | -0.042 | -0.057 |
| Lonnie Smith | 0.257 | 0.221 | 0.196 | -0.036 | -0.061 |
| Jim Sundberg | 0.245 | 0.210 | 0.179 | -0.035 | -0.066 |


| John Wathan | 0.234 | 0.201 | 0.181 | -0.033 | -0.053 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frank White | 0.249 | 0.213 | 0.181 | -0.036 | -0.068 |
| Willie Wilson | 0.278 | 0.248 | 0.218 | -0.030 | -0.060 |

Table 4.18: Differences in batting average for the 2005 Chicago White Sox. This chart displays the 2005 Chicago White Sox historic, simulated against the Season leaders, simulated against the Career leaders, and the differences of both batting averages compared with their historic average.

| Player | Historic | Career | Season | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geoff Blum | 0.200 | 0.176 | 0.160 | -0.024 | -0.040 |
| Joe Crede | 0.252 | 0.212 | 0.176 | -0.040 | -0.076 |
| Jermaine Dye | 0.274 | 0.221 | 0.188 | -0.053 | -0.086 |
| Carl Everett | 0.251 | 0.205 | 0.180 | -0.046 | -0.071 |
| Willie Harris | 0.256 | 0.236 | 0.205 | -0.020 | -0.051 |
| Tadahito Iguchi | 0.278 | 0.237 | 0.206 | -0.041 | -0.072 |
| Paul Konerko | 0.283 | 0.221 | 0.190 | -0.062 | -0.093 |
| Pablo Ozuna | 0.276 | 0.259 | 0.226 | -0.017 | -0.050 |
| Timo Perez | 0.218 | 0.201 | 0.166 | -0.017 | -0.052 |
| A.J. Pierzynski | 0.257 | 0.219 | 0.192 | -0.038 | -0.065 |
| Scott Podsednik | 0.290 | 0.265 | 0.234 | -0.025 | -0.056 |
| Aaron Rowand | 0.270 | 0.232 | 0.208 | -0.038 | -0.062 |
| Frank Thomas | 0.219 | 0.137 | 0.115 | -0.082 | -0.104 |
| Juan Uribe | 0.252 | 0.211 | 0.186 | -0.041 | -0.066 |
| Chris Widger | 0.241 | 0.206 | 0.188 | -0.035 | -0.053 |

The "Era" leader's batting averages against the both career leaders and season leaders decreased. There was not a single player that was able to increase or maintain their batting average. Then using a paired t-test on whether or not the drop offs were similar with hypotheses: $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{\mathrm{D}}=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu_{\mathrm{D}} \neq 0$, where differences were computed as careerseason. This confirmed that there was a significant difference between each "era" leader and who they were playing.

Table 4.19: P -values. This chart displays the p -values and t -values for the difference of means of the "era" leaders when competing against the season and career leaders.

| Season | P-value | T-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | 0.000 | 11.74 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 8}$ | 0.000 | 11.81 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | 0.000 | 7.22 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 0.000 | 8.72 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 0.000 | 12.46 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.000 | 17.82 |

Even considering the fact that several tests were run, we can comfortably say that these teams batting averages were worse against the season leaders. All p-values are zero to three significant digits.

## Section 4.4: At-Bat to Homerun Ratio

For whom is there a more significant change in at-bats per homerun: the season and career leaders or the "Era" leaders? The average historic at-bat to homerun ratio for the career leaders and season leaders are 21.74 and 13.55. The historic at-bat to homerun ratio, in seasonal numerical order, for the "era" leaders are: $252.07,31.4,24.9,20.98$, 35.69, and 27.31.

## Section 4.4.1: Career and season leaders

The season leaders and career leaders expected at-bat to homerun ratio should decrease from their historic ratio. Playing against normal teams is the cause for this increase in productivity.

Table 4.20: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ ratio for the Season leaders. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the Season leaders against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alomar | Roberto | 2.75 | 4.94 | 1.62 | 6.22 | 12.06 | 1.45 |
| Biggio | Craig | 7.34 | 9.65 | 1.78 | 4.79 | 24.90 | -1.02 |
| Bonds | Barry | 0.67 | 2.31 | 0.37 | 1.08 | 4.04 | 0.65 |
| Brett | George | 1.13 | 5.55 | 1.59 | 5.22 | 9.68 | 1.18 |
| Garciapara | Nomar | 2.29 | 3.14 | 1.07 | 2.40 | 14.49 | 0.71 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 0.86 | 3.37 | -0.20 | 0.70 | 8.19 | 0.53 |
| Griffey Jr. | Ken | 0.46 | 2.22 | 0.07 | 2.30 | 5.27 | 0.18 |
| Gwynn | Tony | 15.66 | 3.33 | 4.57 | 5.47 | 26.33 | 2.12 |
| Jones | Chipper | 1.25 | 2.74 | 0.28 | 2.83 | 7.09 | 0.70 |
| Mantle | Mickey | 0.20 | 2.95 | 0.25 | 1.86 | 5.46 | 0.58 |
| McGwire | Mark | 0.73 | 2.01 | 0.14 | 1.42 | 4.39 | 0.43 |
| Piazza | Mike | 2.14 | 2.97 | 1.04 | 2.55 | 8.05 | 1.72 |
| Pujols | Albert | 0.24 | 2.97 | 0.85 | 1.77 | 5.32 | 0.96 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 0.99 | 4.02 | 0.75 | 1.73 | 4.97 | 1.80 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 0.01 | 13.59 | 1.40 | 2.61 | 9.91 | 2.16 |
| Ruth | Babe | 0.10 | 2.64 | 0.76 | 2.28 | 4.80 | 0.50 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 10.78 | 9.97 | -4.08 | -0.60 | 57.62 | -11.67 |
| Wilson | Hack | 0.35 | 3.25 | 0.44 | 1.54 | 6.34 | 0.71 |

Table 4.21: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ ratio for the Career leaders. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the Career leaders against each team they played from their historic ratios.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aaron | Hank | 1.36 | 3.84 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 10.79 | 0.48 |
| Biggio | Craig | 7.20 | 10.12 | 3.11 | 1.52 | 31.48 | 1.52 |
| Bonds | Barry | 0.65 | 3.07 | 1.01 | 1.40 | 6.63 | 1.40 |
| Brett | George | -2.38 | 8.28 | -0.53 | -0.86 | 20.38 | -0.86 |
| Cobb | Ty | 4.54 | -5.76 | -4.34 | 10.20 | 55.23 | 10.20 |
| Gehrig | Lou | 2.42 | 3.78 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 10.53 | 0.21 |
| Hornsby | Rogers | 3.55 | 4.30 | 1.50 | 3.42 | 13.77 | 3.42 |
| Jeter | Derek | -2.65 | 9.82 | 3.33 | 3.59 | 28.46 | -4.51 |
| Piazza | Mike | 0.35 | 3.65 | 3.01 | 0.90 | 7.59 | 0.90 |
| Pujols | Albert | 1.81 | 4.97 | -0.21 | -1.04 | 7.79 | -1.04 |
| Rodriguez | Ivan | 3.91 | 3.23 | -0.82 | 2.45 | 15.17 | 2.45 |
| Rodriguez | Alex | 0.96 | 3.25 | 0.39 | 1.32 | 7.07 | 1.31 |
| Ruth | Babe | 0.81 | 3.34 | 0.26 | 1.77 | 6.12 | 1.77 |
| Suzuki | Ichiro | 6.14 | 11.85 | 4.79 | 5.35 | 39.29 | 5.35 |
| Williams | Ted | 1.36 | 4.35 | 0.12 | -0.66 | 8.34 | -0.66 |
| Yount | Robin | 10.68 | 16.07 | 3.52 | -2.84 | 21.07 | -2.84 |

The changes in at-bat per homerun reflected the changes in batting average in terms of lower performance. The majority of the players' at-bat to homerun ratio elevated from their historic averages, but some players against certain teams had individual decreases. The data for the career leaders and season leaders was not normal, so an overall F test comparing the simulated at-bat to home run ratios to historic values could not be done. A bootstrap distribution was used estimate the difference in average performance against the "era" teams. In other words, we were trying to see if the increase in at-bat to homerun ratio was similar for the career leaders and season leaders against the same opponents. The following $95 \%$ confidence intervals were obtained from the bootstrap distribution.

Table 4.22: $95 \%$ Bootstrap confidence intervals. This chart contains the $95 \%$ bootstrap confidence intervals for the difference of means of the Career and Season leaders.

| Season | 95\% C.I. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $(-2.78291,2.258541)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $(-1.71347,3.70181)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $(-0.90590,1.49333)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $(-2.32403,0.85771)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $(-3.7124,14.1850)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $(-0.84903,3.35625)$ |

All of the confidence intervals contain zero, so there is basically no difference between the career leaders and season leaders. Even allowing for the fact that we have computed several confidence intervals, family-wise $95 \%$ intervals would only be wider. The changes of the at-bat to homerun ratio are the similar for the season leaders and career leaders when they are playing each team.

## Section 4.4.2: "Era" leaders

The at-bat per homerun ratio should increase dramatically for the "era" leaders.
We anticipate some problems with this data; many of the teams had players who did not hit homeruns during the time span from which the data was collected. Since one cannot divide by zero, their historic numbers have been set to zero.

Table 4.23: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ for the 1918 Boston Red Sox. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 1918 Boston Red Sox against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sam Agnew | 2919 | 1053.25 | 0.00 | 2919.00 | 1053.25 |
| Joe Bush | 509.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 509.67 | 0.00 |
| George Cochran | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jack Coffey | 142.36 | 113.21 | 44.00 | 98.36 | 69.21 |
| Dick Hoblitzell | 1449 | 2719 | 0.00 | 1449.00 | 2719.00 |
| Harry Hooper | 1074.89 | 1906 | 474.00 | 600.89 | 1432.00 |
| Wally Mayer | 2152 | 2016 | 0.00 | 2152.00 | 2016.00 |
| Carl Mays | 1861 | 1720 | 0.00 | 1861.00 | 1720.00 |
| Stuffy McInnis | 1793 | 2605.5 | 0.00 | 1793.00 | 2605.50 |
| Babe Ruth | 81.79 | 88.54 | 28.82 | 52.97 | 59.72 |
| Wally Schang | 1597.57 | 3712 | 0.00 | 1597.57 | 3712.00 |
| Everett Scott | 2295.8 | 2723.5 | 0.00 | 2295.80 | 2723.50 |
| Dave Shean | 1512.86 | 10157 | 0.00 | 1512.86 | 10157.00 |
| John Stansbury | 1687 | 0 | 0.00 | 1687.00 | 0.00 |
| Amos Strunk | 3049.75 | 1488.63 | 0.00 | 3049.75 | 1488.63 |
| Fred Tomas | 417.33 | 622.5 | 144.00 | 273.33 | 478.50 |
| Frank Truesdale | 0 | 872 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 872.00 |
| George Whiteman | 453.44 | 542.23 | 214.00 | 239.44 | 328.23 |

Table 4.24: Differences in AB/HR for the 1927 New York Yankees. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 1927 New York Yankees against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Benny Bengough | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pat Collins | 82.11 | 81.49 | 35.86 | 46.25 | 45.63 |
| Early Combs | 300.44 | 267.27 | 108.00 | 192.44 | 159.27 |
| Joe Dugan | 336.15 | 517.56 | 193.50 | 142.65 | 324.06 |
| Cedric Durst | 2558.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2558.00 | 0.00 |
| Mike Gazella | 0.00 | 1213.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1213.50 |
| Lou Gehrig | 32.40 | 36.51 | 12.43 | 19.97 | 24.08 |
| Johnny Grabowski | 0.00 | 2031.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2031.00 |
| Mark Koenig | 395.94 | 509.65 | 175.33 | 22.61 | 334.32 |
| Tony Lazzeri | 68.17 | 89.99 | 31.67 | 36.50 | 58.32 |
| Bob Meusal | 157.98 | 193.64 | 64.50 | 93.48 | 129.14 |
| Ray Morehart | 402.40 | 689.47 | 195.00 | 207.40 | 494.47 |
| Ben Paschal | 181.20 | 150.55 | 41.00 | 140.20 | 109.55 |
| Babe Ruth | 21.30 | 30.49 | 9.00 | 12.30 | 21.49 |
| Julie Wera | 110.78 | 68.64 | 42.00 | 68.78 | 26.64 |

Table 4.25: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ for the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sandy Amoros | 91.71 | 140.13 | 38.80 | 52.91 | 101.33 |
| Bob Borkowski | 702.50 | 1397.00 | 0.00 | 702.50 | 1397.00 |
| Roy Campanella | 34.72 | 45.36 | 15.50 | 19.22 | 29.86 |
| Carl Furillo | 50.82 | 55.67 | 20.12 | 30.70 | 35.55 |
| Jim Gilliam | 168.98 | 204.80 | 76.86 | 92.12 | 127.94 |
| Don Hoak | 126.82 | 170.93 | 55.80 | 71.02 | 115.13 |
| Gil Hodges | 50.72 | 55.68 | 20.22 | 30.50 | 35.46 |
| Dixie Howell | 952.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 952.00 | 0.00 |
| Frank Kellert | 37.11 | 48.70 | 20.00 | 17.11 | 28.70 |
| Don Newcombe | 38.78 | 43.32 | 16.71 | 22.07 | 26.61 |
| Pee Wee Reese | 129.03 | 174.91 | 55.30 | 73.73 | 119.61 |
| Jackie Robinson | 112.56 | 116.07 | 39.63 | 72.93 | 76.44 |
| George Shuba | 250.00 | 159.86 | 51.00 | 199.00 | 108.86 |
| Duke Snider | 30.28 | 38.67 | 12.81 | 17.47 | 25.86 |
| Rube Walker | 221.25 | 174.06 | 51.50 | 169.75 | 122.56 |
| Don Zimmer | 41.82 | 49.42 | 18.67 | 23.15 | 30.75 |

Table 4.26: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ for the 1961 New York Yankees. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 1961 New York Yankees against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yogi Berra | 45.66 | 51.85 | 17.95 | 27.71 | 33.89 |
| Johnny Blanchard | 28.76 | 31.75 | 11.57 | 17.19 | 20.18 |
| Clete Boyer | 118.04 | 129.69 | 45.82 | 72.22 | 83.87 |
| Bob Cerv | 53.92 | 58.77 | 19.67 | 34.25 | 39.10 |
| Joe DeMaestri | 0.00 | 1324.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1324.00 |
| Billy Gardner | 419.00 | 265.25 | 99.00 | 320.00 | 166.25 |
| Bob Hale | 27.08 | 27.55 | 13.00 | 14.08 | 14.55 |
| Elston Howard | 46.81 | 57.24 | 21.24 | 25.57 | 36.00 |
| Deron Johnson | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tony Kubek | 204.21 | 227.81 | 77.13 | 127.09 | 150.69 |
| Hector Lopez | 77.62 | 103.32 | 40.50 | 37.12 | 62.82 |
| Mickey Mantle | 23.97 | 25.17 | 9.52 | 14.45 | 15.65 |
| Roger Maris | 22.93 | 25.65 | 9.67 | 13.26 | 15.98 |
| Jack Reed | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bobby Richardson | 638.38 | 520.12 | 220.67 | 417.71 | 299.45 |
| Moose Skowron | 47.20 | 53.69 | 20.04 | 27.17 | 33.66 |
| Earl Torgenson | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 4.27: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ for the 1985 Kansas City Royals. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 1985 Kansas City Royals against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Steve Balboni | 40.13 | 45.47 | 16.67 | 23.47 | 28.80 |
| Buddy Biancalana | 438.86 | 325.56 | 138.00 | 300.86 | 187.56 |
| George Brett | 43.60 | 53.32 | 18.33 | 25.27 | 34.99 |
| Onix Concepcion | 478.67 | 365.58 | 157.00 | 321.67 | 208.58 |
| Dane Iorg | 238.90 | 251.67 | 130.00 | 108.90 | 121.67 |
| Lynn Jones | 2804.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2804.00 | 0.00 |
| Dave Leeper | 657.00 | 647.00 | 0.00 | 657.00 | 647.00 |
| Hal McRae | 67.91 | 66.15 | 22.86 | 45.05 | 43.29 |
| Omar Moreno | 124.91 | 83.63 | 35.00 | 89.91 | 48.63 |
| Darryl Motley | 52.29 | 59.59 | 22.53 | 29.76 | 37.06 |
| Jorge Orta | 225.04 | 221.92 | 75.00 | 150.04 | 146.92 |
| Greg Pryor | 372.83 | 0.00 | 114.00 | 258.83 | -114.00 |
| Pat Sheridan | 188.11 | 193.29 | 68.67 | 119.44 | 124.63 |
| Lonnie Smith | 154.56 | 191.95 | 74.67 | 79.89 | 117.29 |
| Jim Sundberg | 105.81 | 107.78 | 36.70 | 69.11 | 71.08 |
| John Wathan | 690.75 | 448.33 | 145.00 | 545.75 | 303.33 |
| Frank White | 66.78 | 74.37 | 25.59 | 41.19 | 48.77 |
| Willie Wilson | 450.79 | 361.15 | 151.25 | 299.54 | 209.90 |

Table 4.28: Differences in $\mathrm{AB} / \mathrm{HR}$ for the 2005 Chicago White Sox. This chart displays the differences in the at-bat to homerun ratio for the 2005 Chicago White Sox against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Geoff Blum | 359.00 | 168.83 | 95.00 | 264.00 | 73.83 |
| Joe Crede | 47.13 | 56.37 | 19.64 | 27.49 | 36.73 |
| Jermaine Dye | 44.42 | 52.94 | 17.06 | 27.35 | 35.88 |
| Carl Everett | 53.55 | 54.58 | 21.30 | 32.24 | 33.28 |
| Willie Harris | 243.90 | 297.67 | 121.00 | 122.90 | 176.67 |
| Tadahito Iguchi | 74.81 | 101.17 | 34.07 | 40.74 | 67.11 |
| Paul Konerko | 38.00 | 41.07 | 14.38 | 23.62 | 26.69 |
| Pablo Ozuna | 1402.33 | 3815.00 | 0.00 | 1402.33 | 3815.00 |
| Timo Perez | 357.80 | 279.31 | 89.50 | 268.30 | 189.81 |
| A.J. Pierzynski | 57.34 | 67.20 | 25.56 | 31.79 | 41.65 |
| Scott Podsednik | 2709.50 | 10393.00 | 0.00 | 2709.50 | 10393.00 |
| Aaron Rowand | 120.45 | 133.74 | 44.46 | 75.99 | 89.27 |
| Frank Thomas | 22.76 | 24.52 | 8.75 | 14.01 | 15.77 |
| Juan Uribe | 72.70 | 104.98 | 30.06 | 42.64 | 74.91 |
| Chris Widger | 105.12 | 109.32 | 35.25 | 69.87 | 74.07 |

The at-bat to homerun ratio for the "era" leaders is elevated in random cases. When a player has not hit a homerun in the data that was put into the simulator, there is a very low probability that he will hit a homerun during a simulation. There are only five players on the 1918 Boston Red Sox who hit homeruns which makes it very difficult to do comparisons. For comparison purposes, the players who did not hit homeruns in the original data will be ignored.

Table 4.29: $95 \%$ Bootstrap confidence intervals for $A B / H R$. This chart contains the $95 \%$ bootstrap confidence intervals for the difference of means of at-bats per homerun for each "era" leader against the Career and Season leaders.

| Season | 95\% C.I. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $(-713.85,189.89)$ |
| 1927 | $(-142.085,47.827)$ |
| 1955 | $(-40.2264,30.6007)$ |
| 1961 | $(-63.503,94.515)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
1985 & (-30.228,139.238) \\
2005 & (-42.4154,59.7962)
\end{array}
$$

Again, all of the confidence intervals contain zero; there is virtually no difference between the at-bat to homerun ratio for each "era" leader regardless of which team they are playing, even allowing for the fact that these are individual confidence intervals and not family-wise which would make the interval wider. Every player for the "era" leaders had an increase in at-bats per homerun.

## Section 4.5: Differences in ERA

How much change will there be in pitching ERA? The career leaders and season leaders put up some good offensive numbers. This in turn should make the "era" leaders ERA increase. The Career and Season leaders ERA should decrease since they are playing teams with lesser all- around talent.

## Section 4.5.1: Season and career leaders

The Career and Season leader's ERA is expected to decrease during the simulations against the "era" leaders. After seeing the dismal offensive numbers that some of the "era" leaders displayed, there should be total domination by the season leaders and career leaders.

Table 4.30: Differences in ERA for the Season leaders. This chart displays the differences in ERA for the Season leaders against each team they played and their historic ratios. Omitted values are from seasons which pitchers did not meet the minimum required innings.

| Season | Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | Eckersley | Dennis | 0.88 | 0.52 |  | 0.66 | 0.21 | -0.13 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Gagne | Eric | 0.08 | 0.69 | -0.39 | -0.33 | 0.36 | -0.63 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | Hershiser | Orel | -0.34 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.53 | -0.35 | 0.12 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 3}$ | Johnson | Walter | 0.30 | 1.11 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.27 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 3}$ | Koufax | Sandy | -0.27 | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.31 | -0.07 | 0.02 |


| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | Maddux | Greg | -0.13 | 0.98 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | Maddux | Greg | 0.20 | 1.20 | 0.40 | -0.05 | -0.18 | -0.09 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | Rivera | Mariano | 0.68 | 1.53 | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.36 | -0.41 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | Seaver | Tom | -0.02 | 1.37 | 0.98 | 0.59 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | Smoltz | John | 0.50 | 0.89 | 1.01 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 1.49 |

Table 4.31: Differences in ERA for the Career leaders. This chart displays the differences in ERA for the Career leaders against each team they played and their historic ratios.

| Player |  | $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Clemons | Roger | -1.68 | 1.64 | -1.02 | -0.12 | -1.71 | -0.12 |
| Eckersley | Dennis | -1.54 | 0.70 | -0.78 | -1.53 | -0.23 | -1.53 |
| Ford | Whitey | -0.19 | 1.69 | 1.15 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.50 |
| Hoffman | Trevor | -1.14 | 0.08 | -0.26 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.20 |
| Johnson | Randy | -1.13 | 1.87 | -0.14 | -0.04 | -1.78 | -0.04 |
| Johnson | Walter | 0.12 | 1.29 | 0.18 | -0.27 | -0.22 | -0.27 |
| Maddux | Greg | -0.98 | 0.51 | 0.16 | -0.28 | -0.82 | -0.28 |
| Martinez | Pedro | -0.97 | 0.64 | 0.14 | -0.40 | -0.64 | -0.40 |
| Mathews | Christey | 0.14 | 1.39 | 0.21 | 0.02 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| Rivera | Mariano | -0.65 | 1.08 | -0.27 | -0.34 | -0.43 | -0.34 |
| Santana | Johan | -1.41 | 0.99 | -0.12 | -0.33 | -0.19 | -0.33 |
| Seaver | Tom | -0.67 | 1.56 | 0.61 | -0.10 | -0.33 | -0.10 |
| Smith | Lee | -1.39 | 0.24 | 0.69 | -1.27 | -0.26 | -1.27 |

When running a test of significance on the data for ERA, a problem was noticed. When a pitcher does not throw very many innings, his ERA will fluctuate greatly. An innings pitched minimum was set at 30 for further consideration. We used a one-way analysis of variance with hypotheses $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : all means are equal vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : at least one is different from the rest. For the Career leaders, we found $\mathrm{F}=3.73$ with p -value $=0.003$. This indicates the ERA against at least one of the "era" leaders teams is different from historic. Follow-up analysis with a $95 \%$ Tukey simultaneous confidence intervals indicated their performance against the 1927 New York Yankees was higher than their historic values. Performing the same analysis of variance test for the Season leaders team gives $\mathrm{F}=16.88$ with p -
value 0.000 . In this case, there were two significantly different teams from the historic values. ERAs were lower against the 1918 Boston Red Sox and ERAs were higher that historic 1927 New York Yankees.

## Section 4.5.2: "Era" leaders

Will the ERA for the "era" leaders increase substantially? Two player's statistics will be eliminated during data processing for failing to reach the minimum innings pitched. Shingo Takatsu, who played for the 2005 Chicago White Sox, and Walter Beall, who played for the 1927 New York Yankees, were the two players. After seeing the above offensive statistics, ERA is expected to increase significantly.

Table 4.32: Differences in ERA for the 1918 Boston Red Sox. This chart displays the ERA for the 1918 Boston Red Sox against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lore Bader | 7.92 | 11.21 | 3.33 | 4.59 | 7.89 |
| Joe Bush | 7.04 | 8.87 | 2.11 | 4.93 | 6.77 |
| Jean Dubuc | 10.76 | 12.08 | 4.09 | 6.67 | 7.99 |
| Sam Jones | 6.59 | 8.95 | 2.25 | 4.34 | 6.70 |
| Walt Kinney | 3.30 | 4.59 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 2.79 |
| Dutch Leonard | 8.17 | 10.87 | 2.71 | 5.46 | 8.16 |
| Carl Mays | 5.65 | 7.08 | 2.21 | 3.44 | 4.87 |
| Dick McCabe | 9.25 | 13.31 | 2.70 | 6.56 | 10.61 |
| Vince Molyneaux | 2.64 | 5.68 | 3.27 | -0.63 | 2.41 |
| Babe Ruth | 5.17 | 6.85 | 2.22 | 2.95 | 4.63 |

Table 4.33: Differences in ERA for the 1927 New York Yankees. This chart displays the ERA for the 1927 New York Yankees against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walter Beall | 2.23 | 2.12 | 9.00 | -6.77 | -6.88 |
| Joe Giard | 11.29 | 15.50 | 8.00 | 3.29 | 7.50 |
| Waite Hoyt | 5.50 | 7.27 | 2.63 | 2.87 | 4.64 |
| Wiley Moore | 3.69 | 4.04 | 2.28 | 1.41 | 1.76 |


| Herb Pennock | 5.09 | 6.42 | 3.00 | 2.09 | 3.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| George Pipgras | 4.39 | 5.36 | 4.12 | 0.27 | 1.24 |
| Dutch Reuther | 7.47 | 9.67 | 3.38 | 4.09 | 6.29 |
| Bob Shawkey | 5.66 | 6.08 | 2.86 | 2.80 | 3.22 |
| Urban Shocker | 6.20 | 7.82 | 2.84 | 3.36 | 4.98 |
| Myles Thomas | 9.51 | 11.48 | 4.87 | 4.64 | 6.61 |

Table 4.34: Differences in ERA for the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers. This chart displays the ERA for the 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Don Bessent | 5.63 | 7.73 | 2.71 | 2.92 | 5.02 |
| Roger Craig | 6.54 | 8.34 | 3.29 | 3.25 | 5.05 |
| Carl Erskine | 7.63 | 9.81 | 3.79 | 3.84 | 6.02 |
| Jim Hughes | 6.54 | 11.00 | 4.19 | 2.35 | 6.81 |
| Sandy Koufax | 6.71 | 7.90 | 3.00 | 3.71 | 4.90 |
| Clem Labine | 6.18 | 7.48 | 3.29 | 2.89 | 4.19 |
| Billy Loes | 6.88 | 9.33 | 3.59 | 3.29 | 5.74 |
| Russ Meyer | 9.61 | 11.67 | 5.42 | 4.19 | 6.25 |
| Don Newcombe | 6.43 | 8.32 | 3.20 | 3.23 | 5.12 |
| Johnny Podres | 6.67 | 7.99 | 3.95 | 2.72 | 4.04 |
| Ed Roebuck | 7.58 | 10.43 | 4.71 | 2.87 | 5.72 |
| Karl Spooner | 6.18 | 7.24 | 3.65 | 2.53 | 3.59 |

Table 4.35: Differences in ERA for the 1961 New York Yankees. This chart displays the ERA for the 1961 New York Yankees against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luis Arroyo | 3.51 | 4.15 | 2.19 | 1.33 | 1.96 |
| Tex Clevenger | 9.90 | 12.65 | 4.78 | 5.12 | 7.87 |
| Jim Coates | 6.63 | 8.85 | 3.45 | 3.18 | 5.40 |
| Bud Daley | 7.83 | 10.20 | 3.96 | 3.87 | 6.24 |
| Art Ditmar | 8.16 | 11.71 | 4.67 | 3.49 | 7.04 |
| Whitey Ford | 5.50 | 6.82 | 3.21 | 2.29 | 3.61 |
| Danny McDevitt | 13.05 | 18.76 | 7.62 | 5.43 | 11.14 |
| Hal Reniff | 5.71 | 6.37 | 2.60 | 3.11 | 3.77 |
| Rollie Sheldon | 6.70 | 8.17 | 3.59 | 3.11 | 4.58 |
| Bill Stafford | 5.46 | 6.26 | 2.68 | 2.78 | 3.58 |
| Ralph Terry | 5.56 | 6.81 | 3.16 | 2.40 | 3.65 |
| Bob Turley | 10.68 | 14.51 | 5.75 | 4.93 | 8.76 |

Table 4.36: Differences in ERA for the 1985 Kansas City Royals. This chart displays the ERA for the 1985 Kansas City Royals against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | Career | Season | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joe Beckwith | 7.33 | 9.05 | 4.07 | 3.26 | 4.98 |
| Steve Farr | 6.66 | 8.01 | 3.11 | 3.55 | 4.90 |
| Mark Gubicza | 6.56 | 8.52 | 4.06 | 2.50 | 4.46 |
| Mark Huismann | 3.35 | 4.04 | 1.93 | 1.42 | 2.11 |
| Danny Jackson | 5.88 | 6.48 | 3.42 | 2.46 | 3.06 |
| Mike Jones | 8.83 | 11.34 | 4.76 | 4.07 | 6.58 |
| Mike LaCoss | 11.08 | 11.49 | 5.09 | 5.99 | 6.40 |
| Charlie Leibrandt | 5.83 | 6.78 | 2.69 | 3.14 | 4.09 |
| Dan Quisenberry | 5.03 | 6.09 | 2.37 | 2.66 | 3.72 |
| Brett Saberhagen | 5.01 | 6.18 | 2.87 | 2.14 | 3.31 |

Table 4.37: Differences in ERA for the 2005 Chicago White Sox. This chart displays the ERA for the 2005 Chicago White Sox against each team they played, their historic values, and the differences between the two.

| Player | ERA | ERA | Historic | Career Diff | Season Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mark Buehrle | 5.39 | 6.42 | 3.12 | 2.27 | 3.30 |
| Jose Contreras | 6.63 | 8.44 | 3.61 | 3.02 | 4.83 |
| Neal Cotts | 4.08 | 4.63 | 1.94 | 2.14 | 2.69 |
| Freddy Garcia | 6.95 | 8.26 | 3.87 | 3.08 | 4.39 |
| Jon Garland | 6.32 | 7.96 | 3.50 | 2.82 | 4.46 |
| Dustin Hermanson | 4.24 | 5.86 | 2.01 | 2.23 | 3.85 |
| Orlando Hernandez | 9.48 | 11.57 | 5.12 | 4.36 | 6.45 |
| Bobby Jenks | 6.49 | 7.80 | 2.75 | 3.74 | 5.05 |
| Damaso Marte | 10.07 | 14.12 | 3.77 | 6.30 | 10.35 |
| Brandon McCarthy | 7.45 | 9.76 | 4.03 | 3.42 | 5.73 |
| Cliff Politte | 4.49 | 6.24 | 2.00 | 2.49 | 4.24 |
| Shingo Takatsu | 8.58 | 18.54 | 5.97 | 2.61 | 12.57 |
| Luis Vizcaino | 8.71 | 10.70 | 3.73 | 4.98 | 6.97 |

The data appeared approximately normal so a paired t-test on whether or not the increases in ERA were similar against both the career and season leaders with the hypotheses: $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : $\mu_{\mathrm{D}}=0$ vs. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mu_{\mathrm{D}} \neq 0$ was used.

Table 4.38: P -values and T -values. This chart displays the p -values and t -values for the paired t -test of the season and career leaders when playing against each "era" team.

| Season | P-value | T-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 8}$ | 0.000 | -7.67 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 7}$ | 0.003 | -4.27 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 5}$ | 0.000 | -7.65 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 0.000 | -4.97 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 0.000 | -5.97 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.000 | -7.20 |

Even considering the fact that several tests were run, we can comfortably say that these teams ERAs were worse against the season leaders. All but one of the p-values is zero to three significant digits. The above values show that almost all of the "era" leader's ERA depends on which team they are playing. All of the teams had increases in ERA with the exception of the players who did not meet the minimum innings pitched.

## Section 4.6: Career Records

Do any specific career accomplishments stand out for any particular series? Since simulations went on for twenty year's worth of Major League Baseball seasons, we can see whether or not any of these players might have set new Major League records. During the "Era" simulations, the season leaders broke the current homerun record (762) a combined eleven times, Barry Bonds six times and Ken Griffey Jr. five times. Barry Bonds hit over one thousand homeruns against every team except the 1985 Kansas City Royals. There were three occasions of the 700 homerun club, nine occasions of the 600 homerun club, and seven occasions of the 500 homerun club; all of these are considered Major League milestones. The career leaders broke the homerun record twice, both by Ted Williams. Ted Williams hit over 600 homeruns on two different occasions and once
over 700, but it did not break the current record. Babe Ruth joined the 600 homerun club once and the 500 homerun club four times.

The Major League career batting average is 0.367 by Ty Cobb. There were a few occasions where this record was broken or equaled. No players from the career leaders were able to break this record. The season leaders had a few people break this record: Tony Gwynn broke this record four times, George Brett broke this record three times, and Ichiro Suzuki broke it once. The batting averages that these players broke the record with were lower than their season batting average for which they were selected for the team.

The Major League record for strikeouts, in a career, is 5714 held by Nolan Ryan. The career leaders did not break this record, but they had some pitchers reach career milestones for strikeouts. Pedro Martinez recorded strikeouts of great numbers: over 5000 batters twice, over 4000 batters once, and over 3000 batters twice. Tom Seaver struck out over 4000 batters twice and over 3000 batters once. Whitey Ford and Walter Johnson both recorded over 3000 strikeouts twice. The season leaders managed to break the strikeout record once. Tom Seaver struck out 5878 batters against the 2005 Chicago White Sox. Members of the 5000 strikeout club are Sandy Koufax and Tom Seaver. Members of the 4000 strikeout club are Sandy Koufax (3), Tom Seaver (3), Walter Johnson, and Greg Maddux (1994 (2), 1995). Members of the 3000 strikeout club are Greg Maddux (1994 (2), 1995 (3)), Walter Johnson, Sandy Koufax, and Tom Seaver. Since every pitcher's ERA has risen during simulation, there isn't anyone who could have broken the career record for ERA. Overall some of Major League Baseball's most important records fell during these simulations. This is due to the fact that
statistically superior teams are competing against real teams from throughout baseball history.

## Section 4.7: Rare Events

There are many rare events that happened during baseball, for instance: no-hitters, hitting for the cycle, hitting streaks over 30 games, achieving 6 hits in a game, and 4 homeruns in a game. During the simulations the "era" leaders did not produce many rare events. The "era" leaders achieved 3 cycles and one no-hitter against the season leaders. The "era" leaders did a little better against the career leaders achieving 5 cycles, 3 nohitters, and a player stole 5 bases in a game. This is not surprising knowing how the "era" leaders were dominated in offensive and pitching categories.

The season leaders achieved many rare events. There were a grand total of 52 cycles, 72 no-hitters, 25 players had 4 homeruns in a game, and 54 players had at least six hits in a game. There were 17 hitting streaks that went over thirty games; two of these, both by Nomar Garciapara, went over forty games. Three different players set a Major League Record with five homeruns in a game. Those players were Barry Bonds, Mickey Mantle, and Mark McGwire. On twenty occasions a player managed to get more than 10 RBI. In the simulations, the rates at which players are throwing no-hitters and hitting for the cycle are well below the Major League average per season. The season leaders averaged 0.6 no-hitters a year, well below the MLB average of 1.99 . They also averaged 0.43 cycles a year well below the average of 2.12 a season. While the season leaders did some impressive things, they did not manage to increase the rate of some rare events.

The career leaders did not accomplish the number of rare events the season leaders did. They managed 33 no-hitters, 31 cycles, 2 players who hit 4 homeruns in a
game, and 27 players who had at least six hits in a game. Babe Ruth and Barry Bonds both accomplished hitting four homeruns in a game. There were also only seven hitting streaks that were at least 30 games long. Only three players managed to record ten RBI in a game, and they were Rogers Hornsby, Babe Ruth, and Ted Williams. The career leaders averaged a cycle and a no-hitter about every four years, which is a large decrease from the Major League average.

## Section 4.8: Conclusion

The simulations resulted in the "era" leaders being completely dominated. Both the career leaders and season leaders managed considerably better offensive production, pitching, and rare events. This shows that these teams are statistically superior to any regular Major League team. This study did reveal some unexpected results. None of the "era" leaders were expected to perform well. Surprisingly enough, certain teams performed considerably better than the others. With a continuation of this, it could be possible to identify some of the best teams of Major League Baseball history.

## CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION

Throughout this research many questions were asked and answered. When simulations began the season leaders were expected to out perform the career leaders. The level of performance was still to be determined. The season leaders and career leaders were also expected to out perform the "era" leaders as well, with the offensive output to be increased. A few statistical packages and programs were used to maintain, manipulate, and graph the data. Microsoft Excel was used to store the data and calculate batting averages, at-bat per homerun ratios, and ERA. Minitab and SPSS were used to obtain significance levels and graphs of the changes in the data. The One-Way ANOVA F-test, student t-test, the difference of means t-test, and the paired t-test were used in Minitab.

The individual simulations of the season leaders versus the career leaders divulged a few surprising results. The overall winning percentage of the two teams was slightly surprising to say the least. The season leaders won about $68 \%$ of the games. It was obvious before the simulations that the season leaders had better offensive and pitching statistics. The players on the season leaders only had to keep their statistics elevated over a 162 game season. This made it much easier to keep their statistics so much higher than their career averages. The season leaders were expected to beat the career leaders but they were not expected to win as often as they did.

The win/loss records for the "era" simulations were also surprising. The "era" leaders were expected to win a higher percentage of games. The career leaders dominated the "era" leaders winning over $80 \%$ of their games. This is not as good as the season leaders who managed to win just over $90 \%$ of their games. With winning
percentages this high, one must determine if the luck factor has been taken out of the game. When two teams are completely equal, there will be a luck factor to help determine who wins. Maybe one team gets a good call from an umpire or catches a lucky bounce. No one can determine or plan for luck in a game. When a team wins over $90 \%$ of their games, one can not say they were lucky $90 \%$ of the time.

Other interesting feats occurred when doing simulations. Nomar Garciaparra of the season leaders was the only player during simulation to hit in over forty consecutive games. One could attribute this fact due to luck but it happened on multiple occasions. Was there something in his player data that made him more probable to have a long hitting streak? Other players had hitting streaks between 30-39 games. However, none of these players ever reached the 40 game mark. Certain players had problems reaching this for lack of playing time. Since this is simulated baseball the computer does not control for the fact that a player that does not play very often, needs time to adjust to playing. Theoretically, it should be easier for a simulated player to hit in over 40 straight games.

Pitching statistics for all teams rose during each individual simulation. This was a surprising result until the idea that all the pitchers that were used for simulation had extremely low ERAs was considered. When a pitcher's ERA is exceedingly low, a pitcher gives up very few runs a game, preferably one or less. This is a hard feat for any pitcher to achieve, no matter how historically good they are. Strikeout numbers for pitchers were not far away from their historic averages. Innings pitched was a problem in simulation. Today's professional baseball teams, the starting pitcher's pitch between 60$70 \%$ of their teams innings. During simulation, the starting pitchers were pitching closer
to $90 \%$ of their team's innings, which is unheard of.
Other questions arose during the evaluation of the data. How did the number of strikeouts per pitcher affect their individual ERA? What would happen if pitchers were able to get tired during simulations? Did player's strikeout numbers increase from their historic averages? How did a player's walk total affect his total offensive performance? Did a pitcher's walk total affect how he pitched? If a player has a high homerun total, is his strikeout total also elevated?
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## APPENDICIES

## APPENDIX A: RARE EVENTS

These events occurred during simulations of the two teams, career leaders and season leaders. Each "career" consists of a simulation of twenty seasons of 162 games. There are equal numbers of home and away games for both teams. The careers were labeled in the order in which the simulations were conducted.

## Career 1

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 389 | Career | Ty Cobb | 30 Game Hit Streak |
| 918 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 950 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1146 | Season | Alex Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 512 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 756 | Season | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 906 | Season | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1057 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 1277 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 1409 | Season | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 1511 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |

## Career 2

Game \# Team Player
311 Season Ivan Rodriguez
316 Season Barry Bonds
829 Career Ichiro Suzuki
838 Career Ty Cobb
891 Season George Brett
1277 Season Nomar Garciaparra
1538 Season Ichiro Suzuki
393 Season Tony Gwynn
426 Season Mickey Mantle
771 Season Chipper Jones
805 Season George Brett
810 Season Greg Maddux 95

## Rare Event

Cycle
Cycle
32 Game Hit Streak
Cycle
6 Hits
6 Hits
32 Game Hit Streak
39 Game Hit Streak
4 HR
9 RBI
Cycle
No-Hitter

| 818 | Career | Ty Cobb | 6 Hits |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1514 | Career | Ty Cobb | 6 Hits |

## Career 3

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Career | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 301 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 711 | Career | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 928 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 931 | Season | Chipper Jones | 4 HR |
| 982 | Season | Chipper Jones | 4 HR |
| 1546 | Season | Alex Rodriguez | 9 RBI |
| 389 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 931 | Career | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 953 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1243 | Career | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 1302 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |

## Career 4

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 517 | Career | George Brett | Cycle |
| 914 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 969 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1352 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 226 | Season | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 419 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 776 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |

## Career 5

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 466 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 703 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | 6 Hits |
| 704 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 748 | Career | Albert Pujols | 6 Hits |
| 1209 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1258 | Career | Ichiro Suzuki | 30 Game Hit Streak |
| 1283 | Season | Albert Pujols | 9 RBI |
| 1425 | Career | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 79 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 254 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | Cycle |
| 376 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |


| 947 | Season | Albert Pujols | 9 RBI |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1212 | Career | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1243 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |

## Career 6

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 214 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 231 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 241 | Season | George Brett | 9 RBI |
| 438 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 710 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 861 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1018 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1171 | Season | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |
| 1254 | Career | Pedro Martinez | No-Hitter |
| 1268 | Career | Ichiro Suzuki | Cycle |
| 1316 | Career | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 85 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |
| 849 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1026 | Season | Chipper Jones | 9 RBI |
| 1213 | Career | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |

## Career 7

Game \# Team Player
372 Season Albert Pujols
530 Season Lou Gehrig
632 Season George Brett
686 Season Sandy Koufax
1167 Season Hack Wilson
1245 Career Ty Cobb
1356 Season Nomar Garciaparra
57 Season Barry Bonds
956 Season Hack Wilson
1251 Season Babe Ruth
1278 Season Lou Gehrig

## Rare Event

Cycle
Cycle
Cycle
No-Hitter
9 RBI
6 Hits
44 Game Hit Steak
4 HR
Cycle
10 RBI
Cycle

Career 8

Game \# Team Player
593 Season Tom Seaver
1012 Career Hank Aaron
1013 Season Tom Seaver
1387 Season Lou Gehrig

Rare Event<br>No-Hitter<br>Cycle<br>No-Hitter<br>Cycle

| 1520 | Career | Ted Williams | 9 RBI |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 657 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | Cycle |
| 785 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |

## Career 9

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 599 | Season | Ivan Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 681 | Season | Barry Bonds | 6 Hits |
| 762 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 770 | Career | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 846 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 946 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1376 | Season | Babe Ruth | 9 RBI |
| 496 | Season | Chipper Jones | 6 Hits |
| 603 | Season | Babe Ruth | Cycle |

## Career 10

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 232 | Season | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |
| 775 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 969 | Season | Roberto Alomar | 9 RBI |
| 1126 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1211 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 1432 | Season | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 1 | Career | Alex Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 159 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 164 | Season | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 370 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 612 | Season | Hack Wilson | 4 HR |
| 625 | Career | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 768 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 782 | Season | Mark McGwire | 9 RBI |
| 782 | Season | Mark McGwire | 4 HR |
| 1027 | Career | Ty Cobb | Cycle |

## Career 11

Game \# Team Player
274 Season Greg Maddux 94
290 Season Greg Maddux 95
1284 Career Pedro Martinez
62 Season Walter Johnson
262 Season Walter Johnson No-Hitter

| 940 | Season | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 947 | Season | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1061 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1524 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |

## Career 12

Game \# Team Player
443 Career Christey Mathews
502 Season Craig Biggio
1086 Season Sandy Koufax
1182 Season Chipper Jones
1207 Season Barry Bonds
64 Season Nomar Garciaparra
505 Career Walter Johnson
815 Career Walter Johnson

## Rare Event

No-Hitter
Cycle
No-Hitter
Cycle
Cycle
39 Game Hit Streak
No-Hitter
No-Hitter

## Career 13

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 161 | Season | Babe Ruth | 9 RBI |
| 213 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 242 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 426 | Career | Hank Aaron | 6 Hits |
| 426 | Career | Hank Aaron | 4 HR |
| 517 | Season | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 90 | Career | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 122 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 816 | Career | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1146 | Season | Mickey Mantle | 9 RBI |
| 1437 | Season | Lou Gehrig | 10 RBI |

## Career 14

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 273 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 355 | Season | Albert Pujols | 9 RBI |
| 511 | Season | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 880 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |
| 1064 | Season | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 1247 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | 40 Game Hit Streak |
| 1406 | Season | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1596 | Career | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 21 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 841 | Career | Derek Jeter | Cycle |
| 903 | Career | Ty Cobb | 6 Hits |

1403 Season Babe Ruth Cycle

## Career 15

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 79 | Career | Ty Cobb | 5 SB |
| 263 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 482 | Season | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 585 | Season | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 1262 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |
| 1441 | Season | Nomar Garciaparra | 34 Game Hit Streak |
| 1449 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | Cycle |
| 151 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | 9 RBI |
| 318 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 821 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 987 | Season | George Brett | 9 RBI |
| 1325 | Career | Ty Cobb | 32 Game Hit Streak |

## Career 16

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | Season | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 284 | Season | Craig Biggio | Cycle |
| 691 | Season | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 838 | Career | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 876 | Career | Rogers Hornsby | Cycle |
| 1445 | Career | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 105 | Season | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 118 | Career | Ty Cobb | Cycle |
| 309 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |
| 382 | Season | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 814 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 816 | Season | Mickey Mantle | 10 RBI |
| 828 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 1079 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1349 | Season | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |
| 1461 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1498 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |

Career 17

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | Season | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 282 | Season | Hack Wilson | 6 Hits |
| 346 | Season | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 397 | Season | Hack Wilson | Cycle |


| 726 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1090 | Season | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |
| 1115 | Season | Ichiro Suzuki | 32 Game Hit Streak |
| 1131 | Career | Albert Pujols | Cycle |
| 14 | Season | Alex Rodriguez | 4 HR |
| 221 | Season | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 942 | Season | Craig Biggio | 6 Hits |
| 1428 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1438 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |

Career 18
Game \# Team Player Rare Event
84 Season Greg Maddux 94 No-Hitter
170 Season Ken Griffey Jr. 6 Hits
174 Career George Brett Cycle
614 Career Ichiro Suzuki 6 Hits
726 Season Alex Rodriguez Cycle
1365 Season Greg Maddux 95 No-Hitter
1614 Season Ken Griffey Jr. 4 HR
26 Season Sandy Koufax No-Hitter
446 Season Nomar Garciaparra Cycle
614 Season Barry Bonds 10 RBI
614 Season Barry Bonds 4 HR
985 Season Greg Maddux 95 No-Hitter
1245 Season Greg Maddux 95 No-Hitter

## Career 19

| Game \# | Team | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 661 | Season | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |
| 783 | Season | Craig Biggio | 5 SB |
| 881 | Career | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 938 | Career | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 1422 | Season | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1508 | Season | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1521 | Season | Babe Ruth | 6 Hits |
| 813 | Season | Albert Pujols | Cycle |
| 1013 | Season | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 1502 | Season | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |

## Career 20

## Game \# Team Player

52 Season Barry Bonds
57 Season Nomar Garciaparra
417 Career Ted Williams
809 Career Ty Cobb
896 Season Babe Ruth
121 Season Lou Gehrig
191 Season Sandy Koufax
270 Season Ichiro Suzuki
514 Season Nomar Garciaparra
604 Career Pedro Martinez
866 Career Ted Williams
887 Season Walter Johnson
1066 Season Alex Rodriguez
1223 Season Lou Gehrig

## Rare Event

4 HR
Cycle
Cycle
32 Game Hit Streak
Cycle
Cycle
No-Hitter
30 Game Hit Streak
30 Game Hit Streak
No-Hitter
Cycle
No-Hitter
4 HR
10 RBI

These events occurred during simulations of the career leaders versus the "era"
leaders. Each series consists of a simulation of twenty seasons of 162 games. There are equal numbers of home and away games for both teams. They are labeled as the team the career leaders obtained the rare events against. The (V), next to the game number, denotes when a player on the "era" leaders obtained a rare event.

1918 Boston Red Sox

| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 334 | Pedro Martinez | No-Hitter |
| 447 | Ty Cobb | 7 Hits |
| 620 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 732 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 790 | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 818 | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 958 | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 1000 | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 1161 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 650 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1054 | Pedro Martinez | No-Hitter |

1927 New York Yankees

| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 9 | Lou Gehrig | 6 Hits |
| 219 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 364 | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 402 | Rogers Hornsby | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 564 | George Brett | Cycle |
| (V) 1003 | Joe Dugan | Cycle |
| 1359 | Craig Biggio | Cycle |
| 1408 | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 273 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| (V) 445 | Wiley Moore | No-Hitter |
| 596 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 737 | Ty Cobb | 6 Hits |
| 92 | Ivan Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 1057 | Lou Gehrig | 6 Hits |
| 1057 | Craig Biggio | 6 Hits |
| 1091 | Ty Cobb | 32 Game Hit Streak |
| 1093 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1348 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 1611 | George Brett | 6 Hits |

1955 Brooklyn Dodgers

Game \# Player
103 Christey Mathews
329 Pedro Martinez
(V) 343 Clem Labine

459 Robin Yount
604 Ty Cobb
1061 Tom Seaver
1343 Alex Rodriguez
1379 Craig Biggio
15 Ty Cobb
547 Ichiro Suzuki
700 Ty Cobb
755 Hank Aaron
(V) 909 Frank Keller

964 Pedro Martinez
1044 Barry Bonds
1126 Lou Gehrig
1569 Albert Pujols

## Rare Event

No-Hitter
No-Hitter
No-Hitter
Cycle
Cycle
No-Hitter
Cycle
Cycle
33 Game Hit Streak
6 Hits
6 Hits
7 Hits
Cycle
No-Hitter
6 Hits
Cycle
Cycle

1961 New York Yankees

| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (V) 86 | Elston Howard | Cycle |
| 178 | Albert Pujols | Cycle |
| 410 | George Brett | 7 Hits |
| 467 | Rogers Hornsby | Cycle |
| 495 | Ty Cobb | 6 Hits |
| 525 | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |
| 1000 | Ichiro Suzuki | Cycle |
| 1002 | Babe Ruth | 10 RBI |
| 1051 | George Brett | 9 RBI |
| (V) 1481 | Tony Kubek | Cycle |
| 1554 | Pedro Martinez | No-Hitter |
| 37 | Mike Piazza | 9 RBI |
| (V) 244 | Rollie Sheldon | No-Hitter |
| 786 | Ted Williams | 6 Hits |
| 957 | Ty Cobb | Cycle |
| 960 | Ted Williams | Cycle |
| 116 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1145 | George Brett | 7 Hits |
| 1180 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1403 | Christey Mathews | No-Hitter |
| 1510 | Walter Johnson | No-Hitter |

1985 Kansas City Royals

Game \# Player
64 Ty Cobb
75 Ty Cobb
140 Derek Jeter
460 Robin Yount
476 Ty Cobb
493 Ichiro Suzuki
635 Mike Piazza
657 Walter Johnson
(V) 729 Lonnie Smith

1043 Whitey Ford
1322 Rogers Hornsby
1525 Tom Seaver
6 Rogers Hornsby
82 Alex Rodriguez
90 Walter Johnson
174 George Brett
180 Walter Johnson
276 Ivan Rodriguez

Rare Event
Cycle
6 Hits
Cycle
6 Hits
35 Game Hit Streak
Cycle
6 Hits
No-Hitter
5 SB
No-Hitter
6 Hits
No-Hitter
10 RBI
Cycle
No-Hitter
6 Hits
No-Hitter
9 RBI
276 Ivan Rodriguez Cycle
425 Ichiro Suzuki ..... 6 Hits
914 Babe Ruth Cycle
1261 Tom Seaver No-Hitter
1450 Walter Johnson No-Hitter
1453 Christey Mathews No-Hitter
1509 Ty Cobb 6 Hits
2005 Chicago White Sox

## Game \# Player

111 Babe Ruth
241 Tom Seaver
333 Albert Pujols
463 Ted Williams
482 Whitey Ford
528 Barry Bonds
872 Ty Cobb
878 Christey Mathew
1073 Ted Williams
1452 Ichiro Suzuki
(V) 1454 Frank Thomas

1501 Albert Pujols
1583 Ted Williams
227 George Brett
396 Ty Cobb
577 Whitey Ford
723 Christey Mathews
818 Christey Mathews
1077 Whitey Ford
1105 Ty Cobb
1105 Babe Ruth
1224 Rogers Hornsby Cycle

These events occurred during simulations of the Season leaders versus the "era"
leaders. Each series consists of a simulation of twenty seasons of 162 games. There are equal numbers of home and away games for both teams. They are labeled as the team the Season leaders obtained the rare events against. The (V), next to the game number, denotes when a player on the "era" leaders obtained a rare event.

1918 Boston Red Sox

| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 80 | Chipper Jones | 6 Hits |
| 140 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 217 | Barry Bonds | 11 RBI |
| 241 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 257 | Nomar Garciaparra | 9 RBI |
| 327 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 480 | Babe Ruth | 6 Hits |
| 602 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 641 | George Brett | 36 Game Hit Streak |
| 757 | Hack Wilson | 4 HR |
| 849 | Ichiro Suzuki | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 944 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 1024 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1221 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1241 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 1386 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1433 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1436 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1522 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1619 | Barry Bonds | 10 RBI |
| 1619 | Barry Bonds | 5 HR |
| 30 | Mickey Mantle | 6 Hits |
| 30 | Mickey Mantle | 14 RBI |
| 30 | Mickey Mantle | 5 HR |
| 372 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 436 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 500 | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 516 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 636 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 751 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 857 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 879 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 892 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1323 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1467 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
|  |  |  |

1927 New York Yankees

Game \# Player
284 Ken Griffey Jr.
313 Roberto Alomar
375 Nomar Garciaparra
421 Sandy Koufax
729 Ken Griffey Jr.

## Rare Event

6 Hits
6 Hits
42 Game Hit Streak
No-Hitter
10 RBI

| 913 | Tony Gwynn | 6 Hits |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1013 | Ichiro Suzuki | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 1042 | Albert Pujols | 10 RBI |
| 1107 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1299 | Ichiro Suzuki | 35 Game Hit Streak |
| 1448 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 13 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 21 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 47 | Lou Gehrig | 6 Hits |
| 47 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 110 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 128 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 329 | Albert Pujols | 6 Hits |
| 344 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 346 | Tony Gwynn | 9 RBI |
| 462 | Babe Ruth | 6 Hits |
| 462 | Babe Ruth | 10 RBI |
| 499 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 546 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 582 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 609 | Roberto Alomar | 6 Hits |
| 609 | Nomar Garciaparra | 9 RBI |
| 614 | Hack Wilson | 9 RBI |
| 628 | Herb Pennock | No-Hitter |
| 663 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 671 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 698 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 726 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 1042 | Mark McGwire | 10 RBI |
| 1077 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1086 | Ivan Rodriguez | 10 RBI |
| 1132 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |
| 1134 | Hack Wilson | 7 Hits |
| 1204 | Albert Pujols | 10 RBI |
| 1238 | Early Combs | Cycle |
| 1284 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 1291 | Alex Rodriguez | 9 RBI |
| 1291 | Hack Wilson | 6 Hits |
| 1291 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 1461 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1501 | Tony Gwynn | 6 Hits |
| 1519 | George Brett | 39 Game Hit Streak |
| 1559 | Mark McGwire | Barry Bonds |
| 1574 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 9 RBI |
| 1592 | Barry Bonds | 42 RBI |
| (V) HR |  |  |
| 159 |  |  |


| 1955 Brooklyn Dodgers |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| 54 | Mark McGwire | 4 HR |
| 87 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 426 | Mike Piazza | 9 RBI |
| 427 | Hack Wilson | 6 Hits |
| 481 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 551 | Hack Wilson | 6 Hits |
| 551 | Albert Pujols | 6 Hits |
| 551 | Albert Pujols | 14 RBI |
| 551 | Albert Pujols | 4 HR |
| 661 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 768 | Mickey Mantle | 10 RBI |
| 854 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 876 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 938 | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 958 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 1012 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1114 | Mike Piazza | 9 RBI |
| 1136 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 1219 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 1321 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1352 | Mike Piazza | 4 HR |
| 1597 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 82 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 146 | Tony Gwynn | 6 Hits |
| 274 | Albert Pujols | 9 RBI |
| 287 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 341 | Hack Wilson | 10 RBI |
| 545 | Babe Ruth | 11 RBI |
| 545 | Babe Ruth | 4 HR |
| 598 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 605 | Ichiro Suzuki | 7 Hits |
| 617 | Chipper Jones | 9 RBI |
| 668 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 676 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 749 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 767 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 775 | Tony Gwynn | Cycle |
| 918 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 1017 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1128 | Tony Gwynn | Cycle |
| 1259 | Nomar Garciaparra | 40 Game Hit Streak |
| 1296 | Barry Bonds | 10 RBI |
|  |  |  |


| 1300 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1381 | George Brett | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 1481 | Alex Rodriguez | 4 HR |
| 1547 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1555 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 1601 | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |

1961 New York Yankees

| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | Mickey Mantle | 32 Game Hit Streak |
| 96 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 153 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 201 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 203 | Lou Gehrig | 9 RBI |
| 221 | Hack Wilson | 10 RBI |
| 264 | Mike Piazza | 9 RBI |
| 375 | Barry Bonds | 10 RBI |
| 375 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 375 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 392 | Nomar Garciaparra | 37 Game Hit Streak |
| 465 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 610 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 630 | Lou Gehrig | 9 RBI |
| 780 | Mike Piazza | 6 Hits |
| 791 | Mickey Mantle | Cycle |
| 838 | Chipper Jones | 4 HR |
| 917 | Mickey Mantle | 9 RBI |
| 917 | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 969 | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 978 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 978 | Mike Piazza | 6 Hits |
| 1003 | Babe Ruth | 6 Hits |
| 1080 | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |
| 1100 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 1135 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 1166 | Mickey Mantle | 6 Hits |
| 1173 | Mickey Mantle | 9 RBI |
| 1178 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 1190 | Mark McGwire | 4 HR |
| 1309 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 9 RBI |
| 1309 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |
| 1310 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 1316 | Albert Pujols | 6 Hits |
| 1369 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1587 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |


| 1612 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | Ichiro Suzuki | 30 Game Hit Streak |
| 73 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 79 | Chipper Jones | 9 RBI |
| 124 | Lou Gehrig | 9 RBI |
| (V) 131 | Johnny Blanchard | Cycle |
| 169 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 9 RBI |
| 182 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 190 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 227 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 236 | Babe Ruth | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 301 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 320 | Barry Bonds | 6 Hits |
| 326 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 430 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 519 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 896 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 910 | Nomar Garciaparra | 39 Game Hit Streak |
| 915 | Mark McGwire | 4 HR |
| 945 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1041 | Ichiro Suzuki | 30 Game Hit Streak |
| 1180 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 1219 | Nomar Garciaparra | 31 Game Hit Streak |
| 1362 | Ichiro Suzuki | 30 Game Hit Streak |
| 1379 | Mark McGwire | 9 RBI |
| 1384 | Greg Maddux | No-Hitter |
| 1406 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1567 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1613 | Hack Wilson | 9 RBI |
| 1614 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
|  |  |  |

1985 Kansas City Royals
Game \# Player
134 George Brett

## Rare Event

179 Lou Gehrig 10 RBI
256 Sandy Koufax No-Hitter
264 Greg Maddux 94 No-Hitter
82 Lou Gehrig Cycle
383 Mark McGwire 5 HR
491 Ivan Rodriguez 6 Hits
665 Greg Maddux 95 No-Hitter
780 Greg Maddux 96 No-Hitter
821 Nomar Garciaparra Cycle
832 Walter Jonson No-Hitter
836 Sandy Koufax No-Hitter

| 971 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 6 Hits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 1006 | Nomar Garciaparra | 34 Game Hit Streak |
| 1061 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1067 | Tony Gwynn | 6 Hits |
| 1132 | Alex Rodriguez | 10 RBI |
| 1132 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 1146 | Ivan Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 1485 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 9 RBI |
| 1521 | Ichiro Suzuki | 6 Hits |
| 1521 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |
| 62 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 135 | Mickey Mantle | 6 Hits |
| 135 | Mickey Mantle | 9 RBI |
| 215 | Ichiro Suzuki | 7 Hits |
| 295 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 399 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 430 | Chipper Jones | 9 RBI |
| 448 | Barry Bonds | 9 RBI |
| 448 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 517 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 552 | Ivan Rodriguez | 6 Hits |
| 624 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 673 | George Brett | 9 RBI |
| 847 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 972 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1016 | Hack Wilson | 11 RBI |
| 1016 | Hack Wilson | 4 HR |
| 1025 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| (V) 1043 | Willie Wilson | Cycle |
| 1169 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1322 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1416 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1475 | Mickey Mantle | 9 RBI |
| 1543 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 1561 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1581 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 2005 Chicago White Sox |  |  |
| Game \# | Player | Rare Event |
| 18 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 69 | Hack Wilson | Cycle |
| 69 | Chipper Jones | 9 RBI |
| 98 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 794 | Alex Rodriguez | Cycle |


| 860 | Roberto Alomar | Cycle |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 913 | George Brett | 6 Hits |
| 939 | Nomar Garciaparra | 6 Hits |
| 1021 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1098 | Ken Griffey Jr. | 4 HR |
| 1150 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1200 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 1242 | Lou Gehrig | Cycle |
| 1298 | Ivan Rodriguez | 9 RBI |
| 1401 | Barry Bonds | 4 HR |
| 1470 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 1547 | Mickey Mantle | 7 Hits |
| 1547 | Mickey Mantle | 4 HR |
| 1555 | Barry Bonds | Cycle |
| 128 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 172 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 245 | George Brett | Cycle |
| 289 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 300 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |
| 473 | Tom Seaver | No-Hitter |
| 612 | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 788 | Babe Ruth | Cycle |
| 818 | Ken Griffey Jr. | Cycle |
| 834 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1117 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1234 | Greg Maddux 94 | No-Hitter |
| 1296 | Sandy Koufax | No-Hitter |
| 1397 | Walter Jonson | No-Hitter |
| 1400 | Greg Maddux 95 | No-Hitter |



\(\left.\begin{array}{l}Gam－P Gam－P Gam－P <br>
3－D <br>
3-D <br>
3-D <br>

4-D\end{array}\right]\)| 3－6 |
| :--- |
| ＊AB Hit HR BB SO |

| م1800 |  | ค |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| P1 |  | $\sigma$ |
| 1 |  | 1 |
| E |  | $\sigma$ |
| ช |  | $\downarrow$ |
| け－ |  |  |
| ค | ค | N |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| E | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| \％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |

ص の ம ィ の の














|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





## APPENDIX C: SAMPLE BOOTSTRAP CODE

## Sample Bootstrap for difference of means

sample 18 c4 c6;
replace.
sample 16 c5 c7;
replace.
let $\mathrm{c} 8(\mathrm{k} 1)=\operatorname{mean}(\mathrm{c} 6)-\operatorname{mean}(\mathrm{c} 7)$
let $\mathrm{k} 1=\mathrm{k} 1+1$

## Sample Bootstrap

sample 18 c1 c7;
replace.
let $\mathrm{c} 8(\mathrm{k} 1)=$ mean $(\mathrm{c} 7)$
let $\mathrm{k} 1=\mathrm{k} 1+1$

