



Efficacy and safety of intraoperative dexmedetomidine in pediatric posttonsillectomy pain: Peritonsillar versus intravenous administration

Hala S. Abdel-ghaffar & Alaa Kamel Abdel-Haleem

To cite this article: Hala S. Abdel-ghaffar & Alaa Kamel Abdel-Haleem (2011) Efficacy and safety of intraoperative dexmedetomidine in pediatric posttonsillectomy pain: Peritonsillar versus intravenous administration, Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia, 27:4, 219-225, DOI: [10.1016/j.egja.2011.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egja.2011.08.001)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egja.2011.08.001>



© 2011 Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.



Published online: 17 May 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 253



View related articles [↗](#)



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles [↗](#)



Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists
Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia

www.elsevier.com/locate/egja
www.sciencedirect.com



Research Article

Efficacy and safety of intraoperative dexmedetomidine in pediatric posttonsillectomy pain: Peritonsillar versus intravenous administration

Hala S. Abdel-ghaffar ^{a,*}, Alaa Kamel Abdel-Haleem ^b

^a Anesthesia Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt

^b ENT Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt

Received 26 May 2011; revised 27 July 2011; accepted 4 August 2011

Available online 30 August 2011

KEYWORDS

Tonsillectomy;
Pediatric;
Analgesia;
Dexmedetomidine

Abstract *Background:* Although being used off-label, the utility of dexmedetomidine in pediatric settings is increasing. Alpha-2 agonists have peripheral analgesic effects. This prospective, randomized, double-blind, Placebo-controlled study was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of dexmedetomidine single intraoperative preincisional dose in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy.

Patients and methods: Eighty-four children (5–12 years) were randomized into three groups: DEX.IV ($n = 28$) received dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ iv. infusion in 10 min, DEX.PT ($n = 28$) received dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ peritonsillar infiltration, and the Placebo controls ($n = 28$). Assessment parameters included pain, sedation, hemodynamics, and adverse effects.

Results: Intraoperative dexmedetomidine administration resulted in a significant reduction in pain scores postoperatively in the DEX.IV and DEX.PT groups, with no significant difference between them. The time to first postoperative analgesic request was significantly prolonged in DEX.IV (583.45 ± 157.94 min, $P < 0.000$) and DEX.PT (537.61 ± 106.17 min, $P < 0.000$) groups compared with the Placebo group (119.75 ± 43.44 min). Similarly, a significantly lower paracetamol

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +20 88 2010381x2011; fax: +20 88 2333327.

E-mail addresses: hallasaad@yahoo.com (H.S. Abdel-ghaffar), alaakamelent@yahoo.com (A.K. Abdel-Haleem).



consumption during the first postoperative day was recorded in the DEX.IV (459.37 ± 114.82 mg, $P < 0.000$) and DEX.PT (475.38 ± 143.11 mg, $P < 0.000$) groups, than in the Placebo group (705.00 ± 249.27 mg), with no significant difference between DEX.IV and DEX.PT groups. Patients in the DEX.IV group exhibited significantly prolonged extubation times (13.83 ± 3.38 min, $P < 0.000$) and significantly higher mean Ramsay sedation scores at 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min postoperative ($P < 0.000$), compared with DEX.PT and Placebo groups. The mean intraoperative heart rates were significantly slower in DEX.IV group during and after the intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine and at 15th min intraoperative ($p < 0.05$), compared with DEX.PT and Placebo groups, with no significant differences in mean heart rates among the groups in other time points measured. Patients in DEX.PT group had a significantly higher total oral intake in first day postoperative ($P < 0.000$) and a significantly higher family satisfaction ($p < 0.000$), compared with DEX.IV and Placebo groups.

Conclusion: Peritonsillar infiltration or iv. dexmedetomidine similarly enhanced the postoperative analgesia after tonsillectomy in pediatric patients. However, locally applied dexmedetomidine was associated with no systemic effects, higher total oral intake in first day postoperative, and higher family satisfaction.

© 2011 Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.

Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](#).

1. Introduction

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) (Precedex; Hospira, Inc. Lake Forest, IL) is a highly selective α_2 -adrenoceptor agonist recently introduced to anesthesia practice producing dose-dependent sedation, anxiolysis, and analgesia (involving spinal and supraspinal sites), without respiratory depression [1,2]. Compared with Clonidine, DEX is a more specific and selective α_2 -adrenergic agonist with a shorter elimination half-life [3]. Its only approved indication by US FDA (1999) is the provision of short term sedation (< 24 h) in adult patients in ICU settings who are initially intubated and mechanically ventilated [4].

DEX is being used off-label as an adjunctive agent in pediatric patients for sedation and analgesia; in critical care unit, during non-invasive (e.g., Magnetic resonance imaging) and invasive procedures (e.g., cardiac catheterization and endoscopy) [5]. It may also decrease opioid usage and anesthesia requirements as seen from adult data [6], prevent emergence delirium [7] and postanesthesia shivering [8].

Pediatric experiences in the literature are in the form of small studies and case reports [1], with limited data regarding the use of dexmedetomidine as a premedication for anxiolysis and postoperative pain. The appropriate dose and route of administration of such application are still under investigation.

Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy are among the most common surgical procedures in pediatric population, and post-tonsillectomy pain is still a debate [9,10], affecting the analgesic consumption, hospital stay, oral intake, and return to regular activity [10,11].

Alpha-2 adrenergic agonists have peripheral analgesic effects [12]. Therefore, this study was designed to compare the effects of dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ single intraoperative dose given by two different routes of administration, intravenous and peritonsillar infiltration, on postoperative recovery including pain, sedation, and hemodynamics in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, and the recording of any adverse effects that might develop during the 24-h study period.

2. Patients and methods

This prospective, randomized, double-blind, Placebo-controlled study was approved from the local research Ethics Committee in the faculty of medicine, Assiut University, Egypt. The study included 84 patients (aged 5–12 years), ASA I-II scheduled for elective tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy (using the surgical retraction and bipolar diathermy if indicated). An informed written consent was obtained from all the patient's legal guardians.

The indications for tonsillectomy were recurrent or chronic tonsillitis. Excluded from the study are patients with the following conditions: obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (whether confirmed by a polysomnography test or not), previous peritonsillar abscess formation, cardiovascular, liver or renal disease, unsatisfactory preoperative peripheral arterial oxygen saturation, neurological or psychiatric disease, coagulation disturbances, relevant drug allergies, difficulties in pain perception and assessment, and lastly, children with a BMI > 95 th percentile for age.

Based on a computer-generated randomization method, patients were enrolled into three groups: *I-DEX.IV*: patients ($n = 28$) received dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ diluted in 50 ml saline 0.9% and given by iv. infusion in 10 min after induction of anesthesia and peritonsillar saline infiltration (2 ml per tonsil). *II-DEX.PT*: patients ($n = 28$) received dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ diluted in 4 ml saline 0.9% and given by peritonsillar infiltration (2 ml per tonsil), after intubation 3–5 min before start of surgery. Using a 25-gauge spinal needle connected to a syringe, the tonsillar bed and peritonsillar tissues on both sides were infiltrated in a fan-wise injections from the superior and inferior poles of the fossa. Patients also received 50 ml saline 0.9% infusion after anesthetic induction in 10 min. *III-Placebo*: patients ($n = 28$) received 50 ml saline 0.9% iv. infusion and 4 ml saline 0.9% peritonsillar infiltration (2 ml per tonsil), at the same time points mentioned above. The dose of dexmedetomidine selected ($1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) was based on previous studies that confirmed the analgesic efficacy of dexmedetomidine $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ rather than $0.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and $0.75 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ [13].

Preoperatively, patients (7–12 years) and their guardians were instructed in how to use the pain assessment tool of verbal numeric rating pain scale [14] ranging from 0 to 10 (with zero = no pain and 10 = the worst pain imaginable).

The anesthetic regimen was standardized; it included induction with propofol 2–3 mg/kg and atracurium besylate 0.5 mg/kg to facilitate endotracheal intubation and maintenance with isoflurane and oxygen/air mixture. Intraoperative monitoring included ECG, pulse oximeter, non-invasive blood pressure, and end-tidal CO₂. An intravenous antibiotic and dexmethazone (0.2 mg/kg, max. dose 8 mg) were administered. No opioids, NSAIDs, paracetamol, or additional propofol were used during the procedure. At the end of the operation, neuromuscular blockade was antagonized with neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg and atropine 0.02 mg/kg, and patients were turned aside in the posttonsillectomy recovery position. Patients extubated awake after confirming the return of airway protective reflexes and transported to (PACU), with supplemental oxygen that discontinued if the child could sustain a SaO₂% >95% for 5 min on room air. After attaining an Aldrete score [15] ≥9, patients were moved from PACU to the ward. Patient care or data collection personnel and the surgeon were blinded to the patient assignment.

Operative room data were included; heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure were continuously monitored and recorded preoperatively, before, during, and after the administration of the study solutions, and at 15th, 20th, 25th, and 30th min intraoperative; anesthesia time (from induction of anesthesia till extubation); operative time (from start of surgery till end of bleeding control); and extubation time (from discontinuation of anesthesia till extubation).

PACU and ward data were included; heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure were measured and recorded on arrival in the PACU (0 min) and at 15th, 30th, 45th, and 60th min postoperative; Ramsay sedation scale [16] scores measured at 0 (on arrival in the PACU), 15, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min postoperative; time to first and subsequent request of supplemental analgesics and total dose of rescue analgesics consumed postoperative; total oral intake in first 24 h postoperative including fluids and semisolids. The Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEOPS) [17] pain scale (children < 7 years) or the verbal numeric rating scale [14] (children 7–12 years) were measured at 0 (on arrival at PACU), 30, 60, and 90 min postoperative. They were also recorded at 2, 6, and 12 h postoperative, and the mean value of the three values was calculated and recorded as the mean CHEOPS or VNRS

scores in the first day postoperative. Paracetamol (Perfalgan®, Bristol Meyers Squibb, New York) 15 mg/kg iv. was given if requested and if VNRS scores were ≥3 or CHEOPES scores were > 8.

Perioperative side effects were treated and recorded (e.g., hypertension, hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia, arrhythmia, hypoxia, nausea, vomiting, excess secretions, bleeding and respiratory depression). The children's families graded their satisfaction regarding analgesia (very satisfied, mildly satisfied, or not satisfied) at the end of the 24-h study period.

3. Statistical analysis

The primary outcome measure was the total dose of analgesics consumed in the first day postoperative. Secondary outcome measures were time to first request of rescue analgesics, number of patients who needed more than 1 analgesic dose, time to extubation, Ramsay sedation score, heart rate, mean arterial pressure, total oral intake in first 24 h postoperative, and the incidence of postoperative side effects.

Sample size: in our institution, we annually anesthetize 480–768 (10–16/week) tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy case suffering from chronic or recurrent tonsillitis. Previous studies on adults reported 33% decrease in morphine use postoperatively when using dexmedetomidine iv. 0.4 µg/kg [18] and 66% decrease when using dexmedetomidine 1 µg/kg iv. [19], with no available published data about dexmedetomidine peritonsillar administration. Our power analysis was based on estimating a 20% reduction in analgesic requirements in a sample population of 600. A calculated sample size of 28 would have an 80% power of detecting a difference at 0.05 level of significance, using a confidence interval of 95%.

Analysis was performed using SPSS version 17 (Chicago-USA). Data were presented as mean ± SD, numbers, frequencies, and percentages. ANOVA followed by post hoc test were used for comparison of parametric data. Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare non-parametric data while Mann–Whitney used to compare between two groups. Chi-square test was used for comparison between percentages and frequencies. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

4. Results

One hundred fifty-six patients who qualified for the study were approached: 84 consented and 72 refused. The consented 84

Table 1 Demographic and recovery characteristics.

	Placebo (<i>n</i> = 28)	DEX.IV (<i>n</i> = 28)	DEX.PT (<i>n</i> = 28)	P1	P2	P3
Age (year)	8.92 ± 2.53	8.26 ± 2.35	8.60 ± 2.31	0.317	0.622	0.589
Weight (kg)	30.60 ± 6.61	28.85 ± 8.35	30.28 ± 8.70	0.286	0.304	0.292
Sex (M/F)	17/11	15/13	13/15	0.431	0.541	0.429
Tonsill./adenotonsill.	21/7	20/8	21/7	0.502	0.374	–
Anesth. time (min)	46.67 ± 6.11	53.67 ± 7.29	46.75 ± 6.88	0.000	0.967	0.001
Operat. time (min)	34.25 ± 5.86	34.92 ± 5.36	33.50 ± 6.77	0.653	0.659	0.385
Extubat. time (min)	6.57 ± 1.77	13.83 ± 3.38	6.19 ± 1.80	0.000	0.436	0.000
Total oral fluid intake/24 h (/ml)	592.85 ± 132.10	648.57 ± 88.89	687.14 ± 123.10	0.07	0.008	0.185
Total oral semisolid intake/24 h (ml)	332.14 ± 108.84	473.21 ± 146.51	607.85 ± 143.04	0.000	0.000	0.001

Data expressed as mean ± SD, and number.

P1: Significance between Placebo and DEX.IV. P2: Significance between Placebo and DEX.PT. P3: Significance between DEX.IV and DEX.PT.

Table 2 Pain profile.

	Placebo (n = 28)	DEX.IV (n = 28)	DEX.PT (n = 28)	P1	P2	P3
Request time (min)	119.75 ± 43.44	583.45 ± 157.94	537.6 ± 106.17	0.000	0.000	0.231
<i>Request no.</i>						
No request	–	4	2	0.03	0.295	0.204
One dose	17	22	24	0.01	0.04	0.524
Two doses	11	2	2	–	–	–
> Two doses	–	–	–	–	–	–
Paracetamol iv. total dose/mg	705.00 ± 249.27	459.38 ± 114.82	475.38 ± 143.11	0.000	0.000	0.666
<i>CHEOPS score</i>						
0 min	7.62 ± 1.14	6.00 ± 0.80	6.24 ± 0.91	0.01	0.05	0.591
30th min	8.21 ± 1.91	6.15 ± 0.95	6.46 ± 1.16	0.03	0.05	0.667
60th min	8.92 ± 2.11	6.17 ± 0.92	6.81 ± 2.15	0.01	0.05	0.675
90th min	9.65 ± 2.64	6.16 ± 0.89	6.86 ± 1.94	0.001	0.01	0.654
Mean CHEOPS for first day postoperat.	9.34 ± 0.91 (n = 11)	6.98 ± 0.86 (n = 13)	7.12 ± 0.81 (n = 12)	0.001	0.003	0.673
<i>VNRS score</i>						
0 min	3.21 ± 1.62	0.91 ± 0.86	0.92 ± 0.95	0.000	0.000	0.193
30th min	3.64 ± 1.91	1.2 ± 0.94	1.4 ± 0.93	0.000	0.000	0.186
60th min	4.15 ± 2.16	1.6 ± 0.83	1.9 ± 0.86	0.000	0.000	0.180
90th min	4.36 ± 1.85	1.8 ± 1.12	2.4 ± 0.95	0.001	0.01	0.185
Mean VNRS for first day postoperat.	3.88 ± 0.34 (n = 17)	2.45 ± 0.31 (n = 15)	2.59 ± 0.27 (n = 16)	0.001	0.001	0.184

Data expressed as mean ± SD and number.

CHEOPS: Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario pain scale (children < 7 years).

VNRS: Verbal numeric rating pain scale (children 7–12 years).

patients were equally distributed into the three groups, with no patient dropouts. All procedures were performed by 1 of 4 otolaryngologic surgeons with an even distribution of cases among the 4. There were no differences among the groups of patients with regard to age, weight, gender, and duration of surgery (Table 1). The time to extubation was significantly prolonged in the DEX.IV group (13.83 ± 3.38 min, $P < 0.000$), compared with the DEX.PT (6.19 ± 1.80 min) and Placebo (6.57 ± 1.77 min) groups, leading to a significantly prolonged anesthesia times in DEX.IV. (53.67 ± 7.29 min, $P < 0.000$), but not the DEX.PT (46.75 ± 6.88 min) or the Placebo (46.67 ± 6.11 min) groups, respectively (Table 1). The highest mean values of 24-h total oral intake for fluids and semisolids were achieved in the DEX.PT group (687.14 ± 123.10 ml and 607.85 ± 143.04 ml, $P < 0.000$), compared to the DEX.IV (648.57 ± 88.89 ml and 473.21 ± 146.51 ml) and Placebo (592.85 ± 132.10 ml and 332.14 ± 108.84 ml) groups, respectively (Table 1).

The mean time to first request of rescue analgesia was significantly prolonged in DEX.IV (583.45 ± 157.94 min, $P < 0.000$) and DEX.PT (537.61 ± 106.17 min, $P < 0.000$) groups compared to the Placebo group (119.75 ± 43.44 min). The number of patients required > 1 rescue analgesic dose was higher in the Placebo group ($n = 11/30.8\%$), compared to DEX.IV ($n = 2/5.6\%$) and DEX.PT ($n = 2/5.6\%$) groups. The mean total dose of iv. paracetamol rescue analgesia consumed in first 24 h postoperative was significantly lower in DEX.IV (459.37 ± 114.82 mg, $P < 0.000$), and DEX.PT (475.38 ± 143.11 mg, $P < 0.000$) groups, but not the Placebo

group (705.00 ± 249.27 mg) (Table 2). Pain scores recorded at 0, 30, 60, and 90 min postoperative were significantly lower in DEX.IV and DEX.PT groups compared to Placebo (Table 2). Moreover, the mean CHEOPS and VNRS pain scores in the first day postoperative were significantly reduced in DEX.IV (6.98 ± 0.86, $p < 0.001$ and 2.45 ± 0.31, $p < 0.000$) and DEX.PT (7.12 ± 0.81, $p < 0.003$ and 2.59 ± 0.27, $p < 0.001$) groups, compared to the Placebo group (9.34 ± 0.91 and 3.88 ± 0.34), respectively.

The Ramsay sedation score in the first 240 min postoperative decreased over time in all three groups. However, mean sedation scores were significantly higher in DEX.IV group ($P < 0.000$) compared to DEX.PT and Placebo groups at 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min postoperative (Table 3, Fig. 1).

The mean intraoperative heart rates were significantly slower in DEX.IV group during and after the intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine and at 15th min intraoperative ($p < 0.05$), compared with DEX.PT and Placebo groups (Table 4). Moreover, two patients in the DEX.IV group manifested significant intraoperative bradycardia (> 20% of baseline). The first patient showed bradycardia and desaturation (SaO₂% = 91%) lasted < 60 s during the infusion of dexmedetomidine and resolved without treatment. The second patient had two attacks of bradycardia, after the end of dexmedetomidine iv. infusion and at the end of operation that necessitated iv. atropine administration (20 µg/kg). Otherwise, there were no significant differences in mean heart rates among the groups in other time points measured (Table 4).

Table 3 Ramsay sedation score.

	0 min	15 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	180 min	240 min
Placebo (n = 28)	4.57 ± 0.63	3.82 ± 0.54	3.32 ± 0.47	2.89 ± 0.62	2.25 ± 0.44	2.03 ± 0.188	2.00 ± 0.00
DEX.IV (n = 28)	4.96 ± 0.63	4.71 ± 0.71	4.07 ± 0.46	3.60 ± 0.62	2.89 ± 0.41	2.53 ± 0.50	2.035 ± 0.188
DEX.PT (n = 28)	4.64 ± 0.67	3.71 ± 0.53	3.39 ± 0.49	2.92 ± 0.66	2.28 ± 0.46	2.07 ± 0.26	2.00 ± 0.00
P1	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.352
P2	0.686	0.462	0.585	0.837	0.768	0.561	0.741
P3	0.073	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.322

Data expressed as mean ± SD.




Figure 1 Ramsay mean sedation scores.

Table 4 The heart rate (beat/min).

Heart rate beat/min	Placebo	DEX.IV	DEX.PT	P1	P2	P3
Preoperat.	91.4 ± 8.9	92.3 ± 9.2	91.8 ± 9.6	0.592	0.597	0.425
<u>Intraoperat.</u>						
Before	108.5 ± 10.1	105.6 ± 9.9	103.8 ± 10.3	0.478	0.533	0.534
During	116.3 ± 9.7	92.6 ± 10.3*	108.7 ± 9.6	0.03	0.284	0.374
After	113.8 ± 10.6	91.3 ± 7.9*	106.6 ± 10.1	0.01	0.354	0.428
15th min	105.3 ± 8.9	93.6 ± 8.4*	103.4 ± 9.8	0.02	0.278	0.278
20th min	101.8 ± 9.3	92.4 ± 8.1	100.5 ± 8.7	0.364	0.406	0.325
25th min	98.4 ± 9.7	92.6 ± 8.9	95.2 ± 8.1	0.375	0.374	0.427
30th min	97.6 ± 9.3	90.4 ± 9.1	94.1 ± 7.9	0.423	0.502	0.342
<u>Postoperat.</u>						
0 min	89.8 ± 7.6	84.6 ± 7.3	88.9 ± 8.1	0.472	0.564	0.508
15th min	90.6 ± 6.8	83.4 ± 6.9	89.1 ± 7.7	0.243	0.427	0.425
30th min	90.4 ± 7.1	84.1 ± 7.7	90.3 ± 6.8	0.352	0.374	0.342
45th min	93.6 ± 8.2	84.5 ± 6.7	90.6 ± 7.4	0.472	0.475	0.298
60th min	95.8 ± 7.5	85.2 ± 6.4	91.1 ± 6.9	0.354	0.378	0.352

Data expressed as mean ± SD.

Before, during, and after: before, during, and after the intravenous infusion of the study solutions.

P1: Significance between Placebo and DEX.IV. P2: Significance between Placebo and DEX.PT. P3: Significance between DEX.IV and DEX.PT.

Of the 84 patients, 8 had emesis, 4 of them vomited once, and 6 complained from excessive secretions with no intergroup statistical differences. No patient reported prolonged supplemental oxygen requirements, hypo or hypertension, tachycar-

dia, arrhythmia, respiratory depression, or tonsillar bed bleeding. Finally, a significantly higher family satisfaction (Table 5) was recorded in DEX.PT group ($p < 0.000$), compared to DEX.IV and Placebo groups.

Table 5 Family satisfaction index.

	Very satisfied	Mildly satisfied	Not satisfied
Placebo	–	13 (46.42%)	15 (53.57%)
DEX.IV	6 (21.42%)	14 (50%)	8 (28.57%)
DEX.PT	18 (64.28%)*	10 (35.70%)	–
<i>P</i> value	< 0.000		

Data are expressed as number and percentage.

5. Discussion

The main finding in this study was that both intravenous and peritonsillar dexmedetomidine in a dose of 1 µg/kg administered intraoperatively before the start of adenotonsillectomy surgery, enhanced postoperative pain relief, prolonged time to first request and reduced the need for postoperative analgesia. Peritonsillar dexmedetomidine produced comparable analgesia, earlier recovery, less sedation, less bradycardia, higher total oral intake in first day postoperative, and a higher family satisfaction compared to intravenous dexmedetomidine.

The analgesic effects of α_2 -adrenergic agonists could be mediated through supraspinal, spinal and peripheral actions [20]. The reduction in analgesic requirements in this study was in accordance with previous adult [19,21] and pediatric [22–24] studies which concluded that intraoperative administration of dexmedetomidine significantly reduces postoperative opioid analgesic requirements. The difference in our study is the use of paracetamol iv. rescue analgesia, as our institution protocols prefer non-opioid analgesia for posttonsillectomy pain.

In this study, the lack of systemic effects in the peritonsillar dexmedetomidine group suggests the possibility of a direct local action. But we cannot exclude a central analgesic effect resulting from systemic absorption; because of the similar analgesic profile observed between intravenous and peritonsillar groups, and the rich vascularity of the peritonsillar area. Unfortunately, we did not measure the plasma concentration of dexmedetomidine to correlate it with the clinical findings that might have confirmed the local effects. Further studies are needed to define the optimum analgesic dose of peritonsillar dexmedetomidine and to clarify its local adverse effects in pediatric population. A future research question arises: can we select between combined effects of dexmedetomidine by changing the route of administration?

The sedative effect of dexmedetomidine is characterized by being short term and easily arousable “Arousable sedation” [25]. Other clinically available sedatives failed to produce such sedation. This feature was shown by Hall and colleagues who used the Bispectral index system and psychometric tests such as the Visual Analogue Scale for Sedation, Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale, Digit Symbol Substitution Scale, and specific memory tests. In accordance with our results, these parameters showed reduced values by dexmedetomidine administration that returned to baseline 4 h after treatment [26]. Moreover, the Bispectral index system returned from 60 to 65 before stimulus back to normal values when encouraged [26]. A larger European phase III trial underlined these findings, stating that even complex tasks, such as communication by pen and paper, are possible under dexmedetomidine primary therapy [25].

In our study, the analgesic effect of iv. dexmedetomidine 1 µg/kg was appreciated; however, its prolonged recovery times

and arousable short term sedation annoyed the children’s next of kin and delayed oral intake. This study was investigated in healthy subjects undergoing a relatively moderate operation under ambulatory conditions. And so, even mild sedation for 2–3 h postoperative delays the time to discharge readiness and time of first oral intake. Such sedation would be preferable in patients undergoing major operations such as cardiothoracic surgery and in critical care settings. For dexmedetomidine, appropriate patient selection is crucial [5], and also the type of surgical procedure is important. Procedure-specific acute pain management guidelines may be helpful, taking into consideration that the risk–benefit ratio of different analgesics may vary according to the surgical procedure [27–29].

In the current study, slower intraoperative mean heart rates were observed during and after the intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine 1 µg/kg (including two cases with significant bradycardia). One of the side effects with the use of dexmedetomidine is severe bradycardia [30–32]. The administration of a systemic bolus of 1 µg/kg dexmedetomidine initially results in a transient increase in the blood pressure and reflex decrease in heart rate, especially in younger, healthy patients [33]. It can be explained, firstly, by peripheral α_2 B-adrenoceptor stimulation of vascular smooth muscle and can be attenuated by a slow infusion over 10 min or more [26]. And secondly, due to the stimulation of presynaptic α_2 -adrenoceptors and decreased norepinephrine release [34]. In accordance with our results, these effects were temporary and could be managed successfully with atropine or ephedrine and volume infusions [35]. Appropriate patient selection is crucial; patients who are hypovolaemic, severely vasoconstricted, with fixed stroke volume, reduced myocardial function, depend on a high level of sympathetic tone should not receive dexmedetomidine.

Dexmedetomidine seems to have a few respiratory side effects [36], and receptor binding studies suggest that its effect on respiration should be minor. Belleville et al. reported episodes of obstructive apnea in a group of patients who received high doses of the drug [36]. These effects were seen more commonly with doses of 1 or 2 µg/kg given over 2 min, doses that provide deep sedation. The obstructive respiration pattern and irregular breathing seen with such doses are probably related more to deep sedation and anatomical features of the patients, implying a great caution when using dexmedetomidine in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Moreover, the coadministration of dexmedetomidine with anesthetic agents, sedatives, hypnotics, or opioids is likely to cause additive effects [34]. In our study, no patient exhibited signs of airway obstruction or prolonged oxygen requirement in PACU. To avoid conflicting results, we excluded from our study patients with obstructive sleep apnea whether confirmed by polysomnography or not. Future studies are needed to investigate the incidence of airway obstruction in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome receiving dexmedetomidine.

In conclusion, peritonsillar infiltration or iv. dexmedetomidine similarly enhanced postoperative analgesia after adenotonsillectomy in pediatric patients. However, the locally applied dexmedetomidine was associated with no systemic effects, higher total oral intake in first day postoperative, and higher family satisfaction. For pediatric administration of dexmedetomidine, appropriate patient and appropriate surgical procedure selection are crucial. Future studies are needed to define the optimum dosage requirements for different pediatric subpopulations.

Conflict of interest

There was no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Khan ZP, Ferguson CN, Jones RM. α_2 and imidazoline receptor agonists: their pharmacology and therapeutic role. *Anaesthesia* 1999;54:146–65.
- [2] Maze M, Scarfini C, Cavaliere F. New agents for sedation in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Clin* 2001;17:881–97.
- [3] Bekker A, Sturaitis MK. Dexmedetomidine for neurological surgery. *Neurosurgery* 2005;57(1):ONS-1–8.
- [4] Tobias JD. Sedation and analgesia in paediatric intensive care units: a guide to drug selection and use. *Paediatr Drugs* 1999;1:109–26.
- [5] Phan H, Nahata MC. Clinical uses of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients. *Pediatr Drugs* 2008;10(1):49–69.
- [6] Bhana NL, Goa KL, McClellan KJ. Dexmedetomidine. *Drugs* 2000;59:263–8.
- [7] Guler G, Akin A, Tosum Z, et al. Single-dose dexmedetomidine reduces agitation and provides smooth extubation after pediatric adenotonsillectomy. *Pediatr Anesth* 2005;15:762–6.
- [8] Easley RB, Brady KM, Tobias JD. Dexmedetomidine for the treatment of postanesthesia shivering in children. *Pediatr Anesth* 2007;17:341–6.
- [9] Catlin FI, Grimes WJ. The effect of steroid therapy on recovery from tonsillectomy in children. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1991;117(6):649–52.
- [10] Cortney MJ, Cabraal D. Tramadol vs diclofenac for posttonsillectomy analgesia. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2001;127(4):385–8.
- [11] Toma AG, Blanshard J, Eynon-Lewis N, Bridger MW. Posttonsillectomy pain: the first ten days. *J Laryngol Otol* 1995;109(10):963–4.
- [12] Al-Metwalli RR, Mowafi HA, Ismail SA, Siddiqui AK, Al-Ghamdi AM, Shafi MA, et al. Effect of intra-articular dexmedetomidine on postoperative analgesia after arthroscopic knee surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2008;101(3):395–9.
- [13] Olutoye OA, Glover CD, Diefenderfer JW, McGilberry M, Wyatt MM, Larrier DR, et al. The effect of intraoperative dexmedetomidine on postoperative analgesia and sedation in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. *Anesth Analg* 2010;111:490–5.
- [14] Henneberg SW, Nilson LB. Acute pediatric pain. *Anaesth Crital Care* 2007;003–9.
- [15] Aldrete JA, Kroulik D. A postanesthetic recovery score. *Anesth Analg* 1970;49:924–34.
- [16] Ramsay MA, Savage TM, Simpson BR. Controlled sedation with alphaxalone–alphadolone. *BMJ* 1974;2:656–9.
- [17] McGrath PJ, Johnson G, Schillinger J. CHEOPS: a behavioural scale for rating postoperative pain in children. *Adv Pain Res Ther* 1985;9:395–402.
- [18] Aho MS, Erkola OA, Scheinin H, Lehtinen AM, Korttila KT. Effect of intravenously administered dexmedetomidine on pain after laparoscopic tubal ligation. *Anesth Analg* 1991;73: 112–8.
- [19] Arian SR, Ruehlow RM, Uhrich TD, Ebert TJ. The efficacy of dexmedetomidine versus morphine for postoperative analgesia after major inpatient surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2004;98:153–8.
- [20] Ebert TJ, Hall JE, Barney JA, Ulrich TD, Colincio MD. The effects of increasing plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine in humans. *Anesthesiology* 2000;93:382–94.
- [21] Bulow NM, Barbosa NV, Rocha JB. Opioid consumption in total intravenous anesthesia is reduced with dexmedetomidine: a comparative study with remifentanyl in gynecologic videolaparoscopic surgery. *J Clin Anesth* 2007;19:280–5.
- [22] Al-Zaben KR, Qudaisat IY, Al-Ghanem SM, Massad IM, Al-Mustafa MM, Al-Owedidi AS, et al. Intraoperative administration of dexmedetomidine reduces the analgesic requirements for children undergoing hypospadias surgery. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010;27:247–52.
- [23] El-Hennawy AM, Abd-Elwahab AM, Abd-Elmaksoud AM, El-Ozairy HS, Boulis SR. Addition of clonidine or dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine prolongs caudal analgesia in children. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;103:268–74.
- [24] Saadawy I, Boker A, Elshahawy MA, Almazrooa A, Melibray S, Abdellatif AA, et al. Effect of dexmedetomidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine in a caudal block in pediatrics. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2009;53:251–6.
- [25] Venn RM, Bradshaw CJ, Spencer R, Brealey D, Caudwell E, Naughton C, et al. Preliminary UK experience of dexmedetomidine, a novel agent for postoperative sedation in intensive care unit. *Anaesthesia* 1999;54:1136–42.
- [26] Hall JE, Uhrich TD, Barney JA, Arain SR, Ebert TJ. Sedative, amnestic, and analgesic properties of small-dose dexmedetomidine infusions. *Anesth Analg* 2000;90:699–705.
- [27] Rosenquist R, Rosenberg J. Postoperative pain guidelines. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2003;28(4):279–88.
- [28] Gray A, Kehlet H, Bonnet F, Rawal N. Predicting postoperative analgesia outcomes NNT League tables or procedure-specific evidence? *Br J Anaesth* 2005;94(6):710–4.
- [29] Neugebauer E, Wilkinson R, Kehlet H, Schug S. PROSPECT: a practical method for formulating evidence-based expert recommendations for the management of postoperative pain. *Surg Endosc* 2007;21(7):1047–53.
- [30] Mason KP, Zgleszewski S, Forman RE, Stark C, DiNardo JA. An exaggerated hypertensive response to glycopyrrolate therapy for bradycardia associated with high dose dexmedetomidine. *Anesth Analg* 2009;108:906–8.
- [31] Candiotti KA, Bergese SD, Bokesch PM, Feldman MA, Wisemandle W, Bekker AY, for the MAC Study Group. Monitored anesthesia care with dexmedetomidine: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial. *Anesth Analg* 2010;110:47–56.
- [32] Gerlach AT, Murphy CV. Dexmedetomidine-associated bradycardia progressing to pulseless electrical activity: case report and review of the literature. *Pharmacotherapy* 2009;29:1492.
- [33] Bloor BC, Ward DS, Belleville JP, Maze M. Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesiology* 1992;77:1134–42.
- [34] Aantaa R, Kanto J, Scheinin M, Kallio A, Scheinin H. Dexmedetomidine, an alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonist, reduces anesthetic requirements for patients undergoing minor gynecologic surgery. *Anesthesiology* 1990;73:230–5.
- [35] Jalonen J, Hynynen M, Kuitunen A, Heikkila H, Perttila, Salmenpera M, et al. Dexmedetomidine as an anesthetic adjuvant in coronary artery bypass grafting. *Anesthesiology* 1997;86:331–45.
- [36] Belleville JP, Ward DS, Bloor BC, Maze M. Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. I. Sedation, ventilation, and metabolic rate. *Anesthesiology* 1992;77:1125–33.