



Plant Production Science

ISSN: 1343-943X (Print) 1349-1008 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tpps20

High mesophyll conductance in the highyielding rice cultivar Takanari quantified with the combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements under free-air CO₂ enrichment

Hiroki Ikawa, Hidemitsu Sakai, Charles P. Chen, Tik Hang Soong, Seiichiro Yonemura, Yojiro Taniguchi, Mayumi Yoshimoto, Takeshi Tokida, Guoyou Zhang, Tsuneo Kuwagata, Hirofumi Nakamura, Tom Avenson & Toshihiro Hasegawa

To cite this article: Hiroki Ikawa, Hidemitsu Sakai, Charles P. Chen, Tik Hang Soong, Seiichiro Yonemura, Yojiro Taniguchi, Mayumi Yoshimoto, Takeshi Tokida, Guoyou Zhang, Tsuneo Kuwagata, Hirofumi Nakamura, Tom Avenson & Toshihiro Hasegawa (2019) High mesophyll conductance in the high-yielding rice cultivar Takanari quantified with the combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements under free-air CO₂ enrichment, Plant Production Science, 22:3, 395-406, DOI: <u>10.1080/1343943X.2019.1626253</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2019.1626253

9	© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.	+	View supplementary material 🖸
	Published online: 10 Jul 2019.		Submit your article to this journal 🛽 🖉
lılı	Article views: 1737	Q	View related articles 🖸
ආ	Citing articles: 5 View citing articles 🖸		

REGULAR PAPER



OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

High mesophyll conductance in the high-yielding rice cultivar Takanari quantified with the combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements under free-air CO₂ enrichment

Hiroki Ikawa D^a, Hidemitsu Sakai^a, Charles P. Chen^b, Tik Hang Soong^b, Seiichiro Yonemura^a, Yojiro Taniguchi^c, Mayumi Yoshimoto^a, Takeshi Tokida D^d, Guoyou Zhang^{a,f}, Tsuneo Kuwagata^a, Hirofumi Nakamura^e, Tom Avenson^e and Toshihiro Hasegawa D^{a,f}

^aDivision of Climate Change, Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan; ^bDepartment of Biology and Chemistry, Azusa Pacific University, Azusa, CA, USA; ^cDivision of Applied Genetics, Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan; ^dDivision of Biogeochemical Cycles, Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan; ^eTaiyo Keiki Co. Ltd., Toda, Saitama, Japan; ^fDivision of Agro-Environmental Research, Tohoku Agricultural Research Center, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Morioka, Japan

ABSTRACT

An effective strategy for increasing crop production is increasing the rate of photosynthesis. In this study, we conducted gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements for a high-yielding rice cultivar, Takanari, to identify the leaf physiological properties that contribute to high capacity for photosynthesis of the uppermost leaves before (panicle initiation stage) and after heading (grain-filling stage) in the Tsukuba free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) facility. The higher photosynthesis rate of Takanari compared with that of the commonly cultivated cultivar, Koshihikari, was mainly attributed to the greater stomatal conductance for CO₂ (g_{sc}) at the panicle initiation stage and to the greater mesophyll conductance (g_m) at the grain-filling stage in both current and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations [CO₂]. Takanari had a higher level of leaf nitrogen content (N_l) compared with Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage, which led to greater g_m and maximum carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$), but N_l alone did not explain the variations of g_m within the variety. A clear correlation was found between $V_{c,max}$ and N_l . Calculating $V_{c,max}$ and g_m to accurately understand the ecophysiological processes that control leaf photosynthesis in Takanari.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 12 September 2018 Revised 15 March 2019 Accepted 22 May 2019

KEYWORDS

Takanari; free-air CO₂ enrichment; photosynthesis; maximum carboxylation rate; mesophyll conductance; stomatal conductance; leaf nitrogen content



Introduction

In the face of the world's growing population, global crop production needs to be increased. Increasing the

rate of photosynthesis is one strategy to increase crop production (Hubbart, Peng, Horton, Chen & Murchie, 2007; Long, Zhu, Naidu & Ort, 2006; Mann, 1999;

CONTACT Hiroki Ikawa 🖾 hirokiikawa@affrc.go.jp

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

b Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

^{© 2019} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

Murchie, Pinto & Horton, 2009; Ort et al., 2015). Investigations have been conducted to understand the ecophysiological traits of available crop cultivars that support high rates of photosynthesis in order to maximize the use of available genetic resources.

High mesophyll conductance (q_m) supports a high rate of leaf photosynthesis. However, due to the difficulty of accurately quantifying q_m , the effect of mesophyll conductance is often neglected in terrestrial ecosystem models that investigate crop photosynthesis at a canopy scale (Ikawa et al., 2018). Researchers often use maximum carboxylation rate $(V_{c,max})$ based on intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i) instead of on actual CO_2 concentration at the carboxylation site (C_c) , assuming that g_m is infinite and, therefore, C_c is equal to C_i . Such an assumption leads to an underestimation of $V_{c,max}$ (apparent $V_{c,max}$) and overestimation of C_c . However, a growing number of studies have suggested that the impact of g_m on photosynthesis is not trivial, highlighting the need to disentangle the roles of $V_{c,\max}$ and g_m in photosynthesis (Adachi et al., 2013; Knauer et al., 2019; Lauteri, Haworth, Serraj, Monteverdi & Centritto, 2014). Furthermore, Sun et al. (2014) demonstrated that photosynthesis models based on the apparent V_{cmax} assuming infinite g_m are accurate only within the limited conditions in which the $A-C_i$ curve was observed.

Takanari (*Oryza sativa L*. cv. Takanari) is known for its high grain yield (Imbe et al., 2004). The Tsukuba rice freeair CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiment revealed that both high sink (i.e. large panicles) and source (i.e. high carbon supply) capacities of Takanari (Chen et al., 2014; Ikawa et al., 2018; Nakano et al., 2017) contribute to the greater grain yield with less loss in the quality in elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration [CO₂] compared to a commonly grown cultivar, Koshihikari (Hasegawa et al., 2013, 2019; Zhang et al., 2013, 2015). Based on a canopy scale model, Ikawa et al. (2018) attributed Takanari's high canopy photosynthesis to greater stomatal conductance $(g_{sc} \text{ for } CO_2)$ and a better nitrogen allocation compared to Koshihikari. However, their model did not explicitly consider the effect of g_m . Chen et al. (2014) reported that the high leaf photosynthesis of Takanari was supported mainly by high g_m in later growth stage. However, the method to quantify g_m used in Chen et al. (2014) depends on the curve-fitting method, which is not necessarily recommendable if any alternative method is available (Pons et al., 2009).

Recent advancements in gas exchange measurement systems enable a wide range of users to quantify q_m more reliably and easily. Using a newly-released photosynthesis system (LI-6800, LICOR, USA), this study aims to redefine the physiological traits of Takanari and determine why Takanari has a high rate of leaf photosynthesis. We set three hypotheses: (1) Takanari has greater $V_{c,max'} g_{m'}$ and g_{sc} than Koshihikari both in current and elevated [CO₂]; (2) the difference in g_m between [CO₂] treatment and variety is greater than that of either $V_{c,\max}$ or g_{sc} with respect to their effects on leaf photosynthesis; and (3) Takanari's high $V_{c,max}$ and g_m are explained by high leaf nitrogen contents. The rationale for the first two hypotheses is based on the results of the study by Chen et al. (2014). The third hypothesis is based on the fact that the uppermost leaves of Takanari have a high nitrogen content (Taylaran, Adachi, Ookawa, Usuda & Hirasawa, 2011), and also on recent studies reporting that the $V_{c,max}$ and g_m of rice leaves are correlated to leaf nitrogen content (Cai et al., 2018).

To test these hypotheses, the objectives of this study are: (1) to calculate the $V_{c,max}$, g_{m} , and g_{sc} using combined gas exchange and chlorophyll

Table 1. Measurement date, time, block, measurement order, and meteorological conditions during the combined gas exchange and fluorescence measurements in 2017. The order of the measurements was randomized for each variety (KH: Koshihikari and TN: Takanari) and for CO₂ treatment [A-CO₂: a control plot with current (CO₂; ~390 µmol mol⁻¹) and E-CO₂: elevated (CO₂; ~590 µmol mol⁻¹)]. Air temperature (T_a) and relative humidity (*Rh*) were measured at 2 m above the ground and wind speed (*U*) was measured at 2.5 m above the ground. PPFD (photosynthetic photon flux density) is the value on the horizontal plane.

at 2.5 in above the glound. The photosynthetic photon has density is the value on the horizontal plane.								
Date	Time	Block	Measurement order	<i>T_a</i> (°C)	Rh (%)	<i>U</i> (m/s)	PPFD (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	
Panicle i	nitiation stage							
7/11	9:31-12:07	1	$KH (A-CO_2) \rightarrow TN (A-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (E-CO_2) \rightarrow TN (E-CO_2)$	30.0	64	3.1	1638	
7/13	9:20-11:50	2	$TN (A-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (A-CO_2) \rightarrow TN (E-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (E-CO_2)$	30.8	69	3.3	1610	
7/14	9:04-11:20	3	KH (E-CO ₂) → TN (E-CO ₂) → KH (A-CO ₂) → TN (A-CO ₂)	30.4	66	2.3	1562	
7/15	9:33-13:25	4	TN (E-CO ₂) \rightarrow KH (E-CO ₂) \rightarrow TN (A-CO ₂) \rightarrow KH (A-CO ₂)	30.9	64	1.0	1551	
Grain-fill	ling stage							
8/13	8:06-10:20	1	$\text{KH} (\text{A-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{TN} (\text{A-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{KH} (\text{E-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{TN} (\text{E-CO}_2)$	27.0	80	0.8	896	
8/18	8:12-10:43	2	$TN (A-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (A-CO_2) \rightarrow TN (E-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (E-CO_2)$	27.1	82	0.6	1051	
8/20	7:56-10:30	3	KH (E-CO ₂) → TN (E-CO ₂) → KH (A-CO ₂) → TN (A-CO ₂)	25.6	83	0.3	700	
8/22	8:22-10:28	4	TN (E-CO ₂) \rightarrow KH (E-CO ₂) \rightarrow TN (A-CO ₂) \rightarrow KH (A-CO ₂)	28.6	77	0.8	956	
8/24	7:55-10:27	1	$\text{KH} (\text{A-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{TN} (\text{A-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{KH} (\text{E-CO}_2) \rightarrow \text{TN} (\text{E-CO}_2)$	29.0	71	0.6	938	
8/25	7:46-10:07	2	$TN (A-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (A-CO_2) \rightarrow TN (E-CO_2) \rightarrow KH (E-CO_2)$	30.1	72	0.5	744	

fluorescence measurements for Koshihikari and Takanari both in current and elevated $[CO_2]$; (2) to conduct a sensitivity analysis of each parameter using the Farquhar, von Caemmerer, and Berry leaf photosynthesis model (Farquhar, von Caemmerer & Berry, 1980); and (3) to measure leaf nitrogen content and compare it with $V_{c,max}$ and g_m .

Materials and methods

Site descriptions

Leaf-level gas exchange measurements were conducted in the Tsukuba FACE experimental facility in Tsukubamirai, Ibaraki prefecture, Japan (35° 58' N, 139° 60' E, 10 m.a.s.l.). The experimental facility includes four FACE rings (hereafter referred to as E-CO₂ plots), with a diameter of 17 m and four control blocks (A-CO₂ plots) (Nakamura et al., 2012). Rice seedlings of both Koshihikari and Takanari were transplanted in experimental plots on May 24–25 in 2017. Heading occured about 70 and 75 days after the transplanting for Koshihikari and Takanari, respectively (Hasegawa et al., 2019). Measurements were made at panicle initiation (July 11–15) stage and at grain-filling stage (August 13– 25) in 2017 (Table 1).

Combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

The uppermost expanded leaves were targeted for gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements with the LI-6800. The heading date varied among plants, particularly in Takanari, and flag leaves with a fullyemerged panicle were selected at the grain-filling stage. Leaf samples were exposed to photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) at 1,500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 15-20 min until the steady-state condition was achieved under [CO₂] of 390 μ mol mol⁻¹ in A-CO₂ and 590 μ mol mol^{-1} in E-CO₂. When it was overcast, samples were first exposed to PPFD at 1,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for several minutes and PPFD was increased to 1,500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The fractions of red and blue lights were set at 0.9 and 0.1, respectively. Gas exchange data including net leaf photosynthesis rate (A_n) and g_{sc} were then logged at the steady-state condition. Once the steady-state condition was achieved, [CO₂] in the reference cell was decreased and was kept at 200 μ mol mol⁻¹ for several minutes. Subsequently, [CO₂] was further decreased to 150, 100, 55, and 20 μ mol mol⁻¹, and data were logged within 150 s at each CO₂ level. The chamber temperature and humidity were set at 30°C-35°C and 60%, respectively. Other chamber conditions were: fan speed, 10,000 rpm; flow rate to the sample cell, 500 μmol air $s^{-1};$ and over-pressure, 0.2 kPa.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were conducted at the same time as the gas exchange measurements with a multiphase flash fluorometer. Genty, Briantais and Baker (1989) first reported that the electron transport rate (*J*) based on the fluorometry (J_F) was linearly related to the quantum yield of photosystem II. On the basis of their theory, J_F was estimated as follows:

$$J_F = \alpha_I \beta Q (F_m \prime - F_s) / F_m \prime \tag{1}$$

where *Q* is PPFD and $F_{m'}$ and F_{s} are maximum and steady-state chlorophyll fluorescence under illumination, respectively. We assumed that leaf light absorptance ($\alpha_{l} = 0.843$) and the fraction of electron distributed to photosystem II ($\beta = 0.5$) are constant.

The multiphase flash (MPF) method was used to estimate $F_{m'}$ at an infinitely high saturation light (Loriaux et al., 2013). A saturation light of 8,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ with 25% attenuation for 0.3 s was used at each of the three phases. The reasonability of the saturation light was examined in the fluorescence data during the MPF measurements (0.9 s). For measurements with low CO₂, the fluorescence of Koshihikari seemed to decline continuously during the third phase of MPF, but we considered that the impact on J_F was minimal (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). The MPF method increased J_F by 20% (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material), and both J and J_F were nearly identical when measured in the laboratory under saturated light levels (data not shown). We therefore assumed actual J equals J_F based on our protocol at least under high PPFD conditions.

Calculation of V_{c,max} and g_m

The variable J method was used for calculating g_m from the gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements (Harley, Loreto, Di Marco & Sharkey, 1992) using the following equation:

$$g_m = A_n/(C_i - \{\Gamma^*[J + 8(A_n + R_d)]/[J - 4(A_n + R_d)]\})$$
(2)

where Γ^* is CO₂ compensation point at C_c and R_d is daytime mitochondrial respiration, which was determined to be half of the dark respiration rate for Takanari and Koshihikari measured in both A-CO₂ and E-CO₂ in the study by Noguchi et al. (2018). The calculation of g_m based on the variable J method is sensitive to Γ^* and R_d (Centritto, Lauteri, Monteverdi & Serraj, 2009; Pons et al., 2009). We independently performed the so-called Laisk's method for plant samples within the experimental plot between the two stages (August 4–7) for Koshihikari and Takanari and found that CO₂ compensation point based on C_i (C_i^*) did not statistically differ between varieties ($\mathbf{n} = 7$). Adachi et al. (2013) also reported that Γ^* did not differ between rice varieties. Instead of estimating Γ^* from measured C_i^* , we decided to estimate Γ^* following Bernacchi, Portis, Nakano, von Caemmerer and Long (2002) because of the following reasons: The temperature function of g_m used in this study (Scafaro, von Caemmerer, Evans & Atwell, 2011) is also based on Bernacchi et al. (2002) and the amount of our data was too limited to identify a temperature response of Γ^* .

Our pilot measurements in the laboratory for rice plants under saturated light levels showed that the values of g_m estimated by our protocol generally agreed with the online isotope method described in Evans and von Caemmerer (2013) using the isotope measurement system employed in Nishida, Kodama, Yonemura and Hanba (2015) ([g_m by variable J] = 0.99 [g_m by online isotope] +0.02, R² = 0.66, \mathbf{n} = 34). The good comparison was achieved when Γ^* for ambient O₂ concentration ([O₂] = 21%) was tuned at 30 µmol mol⁻¹, which is relatively lower than the values estimated from the temperature function. Appropriate parameterization for Γ^* awaits further investigation.

Maximum carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) was calculated by fitting the FvCB model (Farquhar et al., 1980) to A_n and C_c for [CO₂] ranging from 20–200 µmol mol⁻¹ using the *lsqcurvefit* function of MATLAB (MATLAB R2015b, MathWorks, USA). CO₂ concentration at the carboxylation site (C_c) was estimated as follows:

$$C_c = C_i - A_n/g_m \tag{3}$$

Michaelis constant for CO₂ and O₂ (K_c and K_o , respectively) required for the calculation of $V_{c,max}$ were based on Bernacchi et al. (2002). The values of $V_{c,max}$ and g_m at 25°C ($V_{c,max25}$ and g_{m25}) were estimated based on the temperature function, as described in Bernacchi, Pimentel and Long (2003) and Scafaro et al. (2011), respectively.

We used the same unit for both g_{sc} and g_m to compare their magnitude, although the physically more appropriate unit of g_m is molar flux per pressure because g_m in liquid phase is defined as the ratio of a net photosynthesis rate and the gradient of partial pressure of CO₂ (Sharkey, Bernacchi, Farquhar & Singsaas, 2007). The LI-6800 generally outputs stomatal conductance for H₂O (g_{sw}), and g_{sw} was assumed to be 1.6 times greater than g_{sc} .

Leaf nitrogen content measurements

Leaf samples used for gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were sampled and dried at 80°C. Specific leaf nitrogen concentration (N_i) was calculated from nitrogen concentrations measured using an NC analyzer (Sumigraph NC-22, SCAS Ltd., Japan) and the weight of the dry samples.

Effects of leaf parameters on RuBP-saturated photosynthesis rate

To quantitatively compare the effects of each leaf parameter ($V_{c,max}$, g_m , and g_{sc}) on leaf photosynthesis, the rate of RuBP-saturated photosynthesis (A_c) (Farquhar et al., 1980) as a proxy of leaf photosynthesis was calculated by changing one of the parameters under the same [CO₂] of 390 µmol mol⁻¹ and in similar environmental conditions as when the measurements were taken (air and leaf temperature: 30°C; relative humidity: 60%; PPFD: 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; and leaf boundary conductance for H₂O (g_{bw}): 3 mol m⁻² s⁻¹). The detailed calculation procedure is explained in Appendix A.

2016 field season data

To supplement our results with information from another field season, $A-C_i$ curves obtained at the grain-filling stage in 2016 and that were used in the study by Ikawa et al. (2018) were analyzed to obtain $V_{c,max}$ and g_m based on the curve-fitting method (Sharkey et al., 2007). Note that Ikawa et al. (2018) in their study calculated apparent $V_{c,max}$ neglecting g_m . The Excel tool provided in the study by Sharkey et al. (2007) was modified so that respiration rate was fixed at the same rate as that of the grain-filling stage data in 2017, and the temperature function for g_m of *Oryza sativa* reported in Scafaro et al. (2011) was introduced. Further details about the measurements can be found in the study by Ikawa et al. (2018).

Statistical analysis

A split-plot approach was used with variety effect nested within $[CO_2]$ and replicate blocks (n = 4). An R script used in the study by Mauritz et al. (2017) and lkawa et al. (2018) was adapted for the analysis. Measurements were taken twice at two of the blocks on different days at the grain-filling stage, and the data were treated as subsets of each block. The overall variation of the simulated A_c was smaller than the variation



Figure 1. Net leaf photosynthesis rate (A_n) under PPFD (photosynthetic photon flux density) at 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (a, b), maximum carboxylation rate at 25°C ($V_{c,max25}$) (c, d), mesophyll conductance at 25°C (g_{m25}) (e, f), and stomatal conductance for CO₂ (g_{sc}) (g, h) measured for Koshihikari (KH) and Takanari (TN) in current [CO₂] (A-CO₂) and elevated [CO₂] (E-CO₂) at the panicle initiation stage and grain-filling stage in 2017. Data from 2016 based on the curve-fitting method are included for $V_{c,max25}$ and g_{m25} . Measurements in 2017 for $A_{nv} g_{m25}$, and g_{sc} were conducted by setting the chamber [CO₂] at 390 µmol mol⁻¹ for plants grown in A-CO₂ and 590 µmol mol⁻¹ for those grown in E-CO₂. Four measurement blocks were treated as replicates (n = 4), and any subset samples were averaged within the block.

of other parameters, and the variety effect and CO_2 effect were tested separately.

Results

Takanari had a higher A_n than Koshihikari under both CO_2 treatments and in both panicle initiating and grain-filling stages (Figure 1(a,b) and Table 2). E-CO₂ increased A_n for

both varieties at both growth stages, but the increase for Koshihikari was not statistically significant at the grainfilling stage. At the grain-filling stage, the A_n of Takanari responded to CO₂ more strongly (30%) than did that of Koshihikari (2%), and the effect of CO₂ treatment and variety difference in A_n was significant.

There was not a clear varietal difference in $V_{c,\max 25}$ at the panicle initiation stage, but Takanari had a

Table 2. Statistical results (p values) of the mixed-effects model for variety (Koshihikari and Takanari) and CO₂ effects with respect to net leaf photosynthesis rate (A_n), maximum carboxylation at 25°C ($V_{c,max25}$), mesophyll conductance at 25°C (g_{m25}), stomatal conductance for CO₂ (g_{sc}), and the sensitivity of the three parameters to RuBP-saturated photosynthesis rate (A_c). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

	An	V _{c,max 25}	g _{m25}	g _{sc}	$A_c (V_{c,\max})$	$A_c(g_m)$	$A_c (g_{sc})$
Panicle initiation stage	(2017)						
CO ₂	**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Variety	*	n.s.	0.08	***	n.s.	0.05	***
CO ₂ x variety	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	*	-	-	-
Grain-filling stage (201	7)						
CO ₂	n.s.	*	*	*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Variety	**	0.06	***	**	n.s.	***	n.s.
CO ₂ x variety	*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	-	-	-
Grain-filling stage (201	6)						
CO ₂	-	n.s.	0.09	-	-	-	-
Variety	-	***	*	-	-	-	-
CO ₂ x variety	_	n.s.	n.s.	_	-	-	-



Figure 2. Simulated RuBP-saturated photosynthesis rate (A_c) of Koshihikari (KH) grown in current CO₂ (A-CO₂), and A_c with only one of the physiological parameters changed to that of KH grown in elevated CO₂ (E-CO₂) and that of Takanari (TN) grown in A-CO₂ and E-CO₂. Physiological parameters included maximum carboxylation rate at 25°C ($V_{c,max25}$) (a, b), mesophyll conductance at 25°C (g_{m25}) (c, d), and stomatal conductance for CO₂ (g_{sc}) (e, f). The simulation was conducted with air and leaf temperature at 30°C, relative humidity at 60%, PPFD at 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, leaf boundary conductance for H₂O at 3 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and [CO₂] at 390 µmol mol^{-1.}

greater $V_{c,max25}$ than did Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage, both in 2016 and 2017 (Figure 1(c,d) and Table 2). The downregulation of $V_{c,max25}$ under E-CO₂ was also evident at the grain-filling stage, although in 2016 it was not statistically significant. The varietal differences in g_{sc} and g_{m25} were more apparent than in the case of $V_{c,max25}$ at both panicle initiation stage and grain-filling stage (Figure 1(e,h) and Table 2). E-



Figure 3. Maximum carboxylation rate at 25°C ($V_{c,max25}$) in relation to leaf nitrogen content (N_l) (a); $V_{c,max25}$ with mesophyll conductance (g_m) assumed to be infinite (apparent $V_{c,max25}$) in relation to N_l (b); g_m at 25°C (g_{m25}) in relation to N_l (c). ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) indicates that the relationship between $V_{c,max25}$ (or g_{m25}) and N_l was different for Koshihikari and Takanari in (b) and (c). No difference was found between CO₂ treatments (i.e. A-CO₂ and E-CO₂).

CO₂ also decreased both g_{m25} and g_{sc} at the grainfilling stage. The magnitude of g_{sc} was always greater than that of g_{m} , and their ratio was greater in A-CO₂ (~1.5) than it was in E-CO₂ (~1.3) at the panicle initiation stage, and greater in Koshihikari (~1.7) than in Takanari (~1.2) at the grain-filling stage.

The varietal difference in g_{sc} had a significant impact on the calculated A_c at the panicle initiation stage, and the varietal difference in g_m had a significant effect on A_c at the grain-filling stage (Figure 2 and Table 2). Although varietal differences in $V_{c,\max 25}$ and g_{sc} were found at the grain-filling stage, they did not lead to a statistically significant difference in A_c between the varieties (Table 2).

A clear correlation ($R^2 = 0.60$) was found between $V_{c,max25}$ and N_{μ} and the correlation was much clearer when $V_{c,\max 25}$ was calculated taking g_m into consideration rather than assuming q_m to be infinite (i.e. apparent $V_{c,max25}$ (Figure 3(a,b)). Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) indicated that the relationship between $V_{c,\max 25}$ and N_l was not different between Koshihikari and Takanari, but that the relationship between apparent $V_{c,\max 25}$ and N_l significantly differed between varieties (p < 0.0005). Apparent $V_{c,max25}$ of each variety was also smaller than that estimated from N_l based on the data from 2016 and on the relationship reported in Ikawa et al. (2018) (Figure 3(b)). Interestingly, the relationship between $V_{c,max25}$ and N_l was comparable between 2016 and 2017 (Figure 3(a)), despite using different methods and instruments to estimate

 $V_{c,max25}$. For the data in 2016, Ikawa et al. (2018) used a LI-6400 photosynthesis system, but we found no significant difference in the measured apparent $V_{c,max25}$ between LI-6400 and LI-6800 (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material).

A correlation was also found between N_l and g_{m25} ($R^2 = 0.37$), but the relationship within the variety was obscure ($R^2 = 0.20$ for Koshihikari and $R^2 = 0.24$ for Takanari). Takanari had greater N_l than did Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage. At the panicle initiation stage, E-CO₂ also decreased N_l for both varieties, but the decrease was not statistically significant (p = 0.1).

Discussion

Our results partly support the hypothesis that Takanari has greater $V_{c,max}$, g_m , and g_{sc} than does Koshihikari both in current and elevated [CO₂]. Both g_{m25} and g_{sc} were greater in Takanari than in Koshihikari, but $V_{c,max25}$ did not differ between varieties at the panicle initiation stage. There was no clear varietal difference in $V_{c,max25}$ at the panicle initiation stage and only a possible difference (p = 0.06) was detected at the grain-filling stage. This result might be related to N_l . Takanari had a greater N_l than did Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage, but N_l did not differ significantly at the panicle initiation stage (data not shown). In the same FACE experiment, Muryono et al. (2017) reported that N_l in the top canopy leaves was even lower in Takanari than it was in Koshihikari at the panicle initiation stage. Generally, Takanari begins to show a high level of N_l in the top canopy leaves after heading (e.g. Taylaran et al., 2011), and it is likely that the $V_{c,max}$ of Takanari also follows the seasonal trend of N_l .

Chen et al. (2014) reported in their study that the smaller mesophyll conductance limitation (MCL) of Takanari resulted in a higher rate of photosynthesis than that of Koshihikari, and that the varietal difference in MCL was greater than that of stomatal conductance limitation (SCL), particularly at later growth stages. Our results at the grain-filling stage agree with the results of the study by Chen et al. (2014) and support our second hypothesis: the difference in q_m between [CO₂] treatment and variety is greater than that of either $V_{c,max}$ or g_{sc} with respect to their effects on leaf photosynthesis. At the panicle initiation stage, however, our results suggested that the varietal difference in SCL was greater than it was in MCL. The small varietal difference in q_m at the panicle initiation stage might be due to the small varietal difference in N_l as compared with the grain-filling stage.

The third hypothesis: Takanari's high $V_{c,\max}$ and g_m are explained by high leaf nitrogen contents, was also partly supported by our results. The greater N_l of Takanari correlated with the greater $V_{c,\max 25}$ and g_{m25} as compared with Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage. A high N_l is considered to indicate an anatomical advantage for g_m by increasing the effective surface of chloroplast (Cai et al., 2018). However, the correlation between N_l and g_{m25} was not as strong as the correlation between N_l and $V_{c,\max 25l}$ and the relation was not clear within varieties, suggesting that other factors influence g_{m25} . Responses to environmental changes (Flexas et al., 2007; Mizokami, Noguchi, Kojima, Sakakibara & Terashima, 2015), anatomical structure (Adachi et al., 2013; Terashima, Miyazawa & Hanba, 2001), and aquaporins that possibly control not only H₂O transport but also the transport of other materials including CO2 (Ferrio et al., 2012; Miyazawa, Yoshimura, Shinzaki, Maeshima & Miyake, 2008) could all influence q_m .

Our results indicate that g_m is significant for determining leaf photosynthesis; that its importance changes with the growth stage; and that its variation is not simply explained by N_l . Furthermore, apparent $V_{c,\max 25}$ given the same N_l was estimated to be higher in Takanari than Koshihikari, and was generally higher in 2016 measurements than in 2017 measurements (Figure 3(b)); however, such bias in $V_{c,\max 25}$ was no longer observed when g_m was considered in the calculation. These results emphasize the importance of separately considering the roles of $V_{c,\max}$ and g_m for accurately understanding the ecophysiological processes that control leaf photosynthesis.

The magnitudes of $V_{c,\max 25}$ and g_{m25} and their relation to N_l were comparable between 2016 and 2017, even though different instruments (LI-6400 in 2016 and LI-6800 in 2017) and different methods (curve-fitting method in 2016 and variable J method in 2017) were used (Figures 1 and 3). When the values of q_m reported in the study by Chen et al. (2014) were converted to 25° C according to the temperature function of Scafaro et al. (2011), they ranged roughly from 0.1 to 0.15 mol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ for Koshihikari and 0.15 to 0.2 mol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ for Takanari in the mid grain-filling stage in 2012 and the heading stage in 2013. These values are comparable to those of our present study (Figure 1). Our results suggest that the curve-fitting method would be useful to recompute $V_{c,\max}$ and g_m based on $A-C_i$ curves obtained in the past as long as careful comparisons between the measurement techniques are made.

Elevated CO₂ decreased $V_{c,max}$, g_m , and g_{sc} at the grain-filling stage. Early studies have reported that higher CO₂ decreases g_m (e.g. Flexas et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2009), but the validity of the short-term response of g_m to CO₂ is debatable, partly because the calculation of g_m requires C_i as an input (Gu & Sun, 2014; Tazoe, von Caemmerer, Badger & Evans, 2009). To examine whether the CO₂ response was the artefact, Mizokami, Noguchi, Kojima, Sakakibara and Terashima (2019) demonstrated that Arabidopsis thaliana with impaired stomatal regulation decreased g_m with increasing CO2, which supports a hypothesis that the short-term response of q_m to CO₂ does occur. It is also known that g_m decreases during long-term exposure to high CO₂ (Cai et al., 2018; Mizokami et al., 2019). To investigate whether the decrease of g_m in E-CO₂ in the grain-filling stage was due to the direct response to CO₂ or to acclimation effects, we calculated g_{m25} when [CO₂] of the reference cell was set at 150 μ mol mol⁻¹. The paired t-test found no statistically significant difference in g_{m25} between the growth CO₂ condition and [CO₂] at 150 μ mol mol⁻¹. However, g_{m25} was still lower in the plants grown in E-CO₂ than in those grown in A-CO₂ under the same [CO₂] of 150 μ mol mol⁻¹ (**p** < 0.05). We therefore consider that the decrease of q_m in E-CO₂ was due to acclimation rather than to a short-term response to CO_2 .

The effects of decreased $V_{c,\max}$, g_m , and g_{sc} in E-CO₂ on A_c were small and not statistically significant. Cai et al. (2018) also reported that the CO₂ acclimation effect on photosynthesis was small in rice leaves. It should be noted, however, that E-CO₂ increased A_n of Takanari much more than that of Koshihikari at the grain-filling stage (Figure 1(b) and Table 2), even though a variety×CO₂ interaction effect was not found in any of the single parameter (Table 2). This implies the combined effects of CO₂ acclimation of physiological parameters were greater in Koshihikari than in Takanari at the grain-filling stage. If CO₂ acclimation did not occur and $V_{c,max}$, g_m , and g_{sc} were assumed to be invariant between CO₂ treatments, then further sensitivity analysis with the FvCB model showed that E-CO₂ benefits A_n of Koshihikari slightly more than that of Takanari, which agrees with the theory that high CO₂ benefits individuals with lower conductance (Centritto, Tognetti, Leitgeb, Střelcová & Cohen, 2011).

We consider that the relevance of this study to crop production is limited by the fact that we conducted gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements under a similar environment with a high level of PPFD. The performance of the MPF method under different light conditions has yet to be investigated. While rice physiology rapidly changes through the growth (Tatsumi, Kuwabara & Motobayashi, 2019), it was not possible to completely eliminate potential effects of different phenology between Koshihikari and Takanari. Another limitation of our study is that we did not consider the interaction between physiological effects and plants' energy balance (Ikawa et al., 2018; Yoshimoto, Oue & Kobayashi, 2005) that impact the thermal environment and thus photosynthesis and rice production (Usui et al., 2014, 2016).

Conclusions

 $V_{c,max}$, g_{m} , and g_{sc} of the uppermost leaves of the highyielding rice cultivar Takanari were guantified and compared with those in Koshihikari under current and elevated [CO₂] before and after heading. The effects of the differences in these physiological parameters between varieties and CO_2 treatments on A_c were then quantified, using A_c as a proxy of leaf photosynthesis rate. The greater A_n of Takanari than of Koshihikari was mainly supported by high g_{sc} at the panicle initiation stage and by high g_m at the grain-filling stage. Calculating $V_{c,max25}$ taking g_m into consideration eliminated the artifact of $V_{c,max25}$ in relation to N_l that was observed when q_m was assumed to be infinite. Although N_l may provide a good estimate of $V_{c,max25}$, other factors besides N_l play a role in the variations of g_m . Our results highlight the importance of considering g_m to accurately understand photosynthetic processes and the need to further explore the mechanisms regulating q_m . E-CO₂ decreased all three parameters ($V_{c,max}$, g_m , and g_{sc}) at the grain-filling stage, and the decrease in g_m is likely to be due to acclimation to high CO₂.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 17H03896, 19H03077, and 16H06279 (PAGS). The authors thank Ms. Mariko Marushima and Ms. Yoshiko Higashikozono for the NC analysis and Mr. Yuta Inoue (Meiwafosis) for a technical support for the LI-6400 and LI-6800.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI [grant number 17H03896]; JSPS KAKENHI [grant number 16H06279]; and JSPS KAKENHI [grant number 19H03077].

ORCID

Hiroki Ikawa b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4984-8067 Takeshi Tokida b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7245-2952 Toshihiro Hasegawa b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8501-5612

References

- Adachi, S., Nakae, T., Uchida, M., Soda, K., Takai, T., Oi, T., ... Hirasawa, T. (2013). The mesophyll anatomy enhancing CO₂ diffusion is a key trait for improving rice photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64(4), 1061–1072.
- Bernacchi, C. J., Pimentel, C., & Long, S. P. (2003). In vivo temperature response functions of parameters required to model RuBP-limited photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 26*(9), 1419–1430.
- Bernacchi, C. J., Portis, A. R., Nakano, H., von Caemmerer, S., & Long, S. P. (2002). Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. Implications for the determination of rubisco enzyme kinetics and for limitations to photosynthesis in vivo. *Plant Physiology*, 130(4), 1992–1998.
- Cai, C., Li, G., Yang, H., Yang, J., Liu, H., Struik, P. C., ... Zhu, J. (2018). Do all leaf photosynthesis parameters of rice acclimate to elevated CO₂, elevated temperature, and their combination, in FACE environments? *Global Change Biology*, 24(4), 1685–1707.
- Centritto, M., Lauteri, M., Monteverdi, M. C., & Serraj, R. (2009). Leaf gas exchange, carbon isotope discrimination, and grain yield in contrasting rice genotypes subjected to water deficits during the reproductive stage. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *60*(8), 2325–2339.
- Centritto, M., Tognetti, R., Leitgeb, E., Střelcová, K., & Cohen, S. (2011). Above ground processes: Anticipating climate change influences. In M. Bredemeier, S. Cohen, D. L. Godbold, E. Lode, V. Pichler, & P. Schleppi (Eds.), Forest management and the water cycle: An ecosystem-based approach (pp. 31–64). doi:10.1007/978-90-481-9834-4_3
- Chen, C. P., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Usui, Y., Nakamura, H., & Hasegawa, T. (2014). Do the rich always become richer? Characterizing the leaf physiological response of the high-

yielding rice cultivar takanari to free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *55*(2), 381–391.

- Ethier, G. J., & Livingston, N. J. (2004). On the need to incorporate sensitivity to CO₂ transfer conductance into the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry leaf photosynthesis model. *Plant, Cell and Environment, 27*(2), 137–153.
- Evans, J. R., & von Caemmerer, S. (2013). Temperature response of carbon isotope discrimination and mesophyll conductance in tobacco: Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 36*(4), 745–756.
- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., & Berry, J. A. (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta*, *149*(1), 78–90.
- Ferrio, J. P., Pou, A., Florez-Sarasa, I., Gessler, A., Kodama, N., Flexas, J., & Ribas-Carbó, M. (2012). The Péclet effect on leaf water enrichment correlates with leaf hydraulic conductance and mesophyll conductance for CO₂. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 35(3), 611–625.
- Flexas, J., Diaz-Espejo, A., GalméS, J., Kaldenhoff, R., Medrano, H., & Ribas-Carbo, M. (2007). Rapid variations of mesophyll conductance in response to changes in CO₂ concentration around leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 30(10), 1284–1298.
- Genty, B., Briantais, J.-M., & Baker, N. R. (1989). The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (Bba)-General Subjects, 990* (1), 87–92.
- Gu, L., & Sun, Y. (2014). Artefactual responses of mesophyll conductance to CO_2 and irradiance estimated with the variable J and online isotope discrimination methods. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 37*(5), 1231–1249.
- Harley, P. C., Loreto, F., Di Marco, G., & Sharkey, T. D. (1992). Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO₂ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO₂. *Plant Physiology*, *98*(4), 1429–1436.
- Hasegawa, T., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Nakamura, H., Zhu, C., Usui, Y., ... Makino, A. (2013). Rice cultivar responses to elevated CO₂ at two free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) sites in Japan. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40(2), 148–159.
- Hasegawa, T., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Usui, Y., Nakamura, H., Wakatsuki, H., ... Hayashi, K. (2019). A high-yielding rice cultivar "Takanari" shows no N constraints on CO₂ fertilization. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 361.
- Hubbart, S., Peng, S., Horton, P., Chen, Y., & Murchie, E. H. (2007). Trends in leaf photosynthesis in historical rice varieties developed in the Philippines since 1966. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(12), 3429–3438.
- Ikawa, H., Chen, C. P., Sikma, M., Yoshimoto, M., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., ... Hasegawa, T. (2018). Increasing canopy photosynthesis in rice can be achieved without a large increase in water use-A model based on free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Global Change Biology*, 24(3), 1321–1341.
- Imbe, T., Akama, Y., Nakane, A., Hata, T., Ise, K., Ando, I., ... Koga, Y. (2004). Development of a multipurpose high-yielding rice variety "Takanari". *Bulletin of the National Institute of Crop Science*, 5, 35–51. (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Knauer, J., Zaehle, S., Kauwe, M. G. D., Bahar, N. H. A., Evans, J. R., Medlyn, B. E., ... Werner, C. (2019). Effects of mesophyll conductance on vegetation responses to elevated CO₂ concentrations in a land surface model. *Global Change Biology*, 25(5), 1820–1838.

- Lauteri, M., Haworth, M., Serraj, R., Monteverdi, M. C., & Centritto, M. (2014). Photosynthetic diffusional constraints affect yield in drought stressed rice cultivars during flowering. *Plos One*, 9(10), e109054.
- Long, S. P., Zhu, X.-G., Naidu, S. L., & Ort, D. R. (2006). Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields? *Plant*, *Cell & Environment*, 29(3), 315–330.
- Loriaux, S. D., Avenson, T. J., Welles, J. M., Mcdermitt, D. K., Eckles, R. D., Riensche, B., & Genty, B. (2013). Closing in on maximum yield of chlorophyll fluorescence using a single multiphase flash of sub-saturating intensity: Fm using a single multiphase flash. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 36*(10), 1755–1770.
- Mann, C. C. (1999). FUTURE FOOD: Crop scientists seek a new revolution. *Science*, 283(5400), 310–314.
- Mauritz, M., Bracho, R., Celis, G., Hutchings, J., Natali, S. M., Pegoraro, E., ... Schuur, E. A. G. (2017). Non-linear CO₂ flux response to seven years of experimentally induced permafrost thaw. *Global Change Biology*, *23*(9), 3646–3666.
- Miyazawa, S.-I., Yoshimura, S., Shinzaki, Y., Maeshima, M., & Miyake, C. (2008). Deactivation of aquaporins decreases internal conductance to CO₂ diffusion in tobacco leaves grown under long-term drought. *Functional Plant Biology*, *35*(7), 553–564.
- Mizokami, Y., Noguchi, K., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., & Terashima, I. (2015). Mesophyll conductance decreases in the wild type but not in an ABA-deficient mutant (*aba1*) of *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* under drought conditions: Stomatal and mesophyll CO₂ conductances under drought conditions. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 38*(3), 388–398.
- Mizokami, Y., Noguchi, K., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., & Terashima, I. (2019). Effects of instantaneous and growth CO₂ levels and abscisic acid on stomatal and mesophyll conductances. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 42*(4), 1257–1269.
- Murchie, E. H., Pinto, M., & Horton, P. (2009). Agriculture and the new challenges for photosynthesis research. *New Phytologist*, 181(3), 532–552.
- Muryono, M., Chen, C. P., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Hasegawa, T., Usui, Y., ... Hikosaka, K. (2017). Nitrogen distribution in leaf canopies of a high-yielding rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivar Takanari. *Crop Science*, 57(4), 2080–2088.
- Nakamura, H., Tokida, T., Yoshimoto, M., Sakai, H., Fukuoka, M., & Hasegawa, T. (2012). Performance of the enlarged Rice-FACE system using pure CO₂ installed in Tsukuba, Japan. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology*, *68*(1), 15–23.
- Nakano, H., Yoshinaga, S., Takai, T., Arai-Sanoh, Y., Kondo, K., Yamamoto, T., ... Kondo, M. (2017). Quantitative trait loci for large sink capacity enhance rice grain yield under freeair CO₂ enrichment conditions. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1827.
- Nishida, K., Kodama, N., Yonemura, S., & Hanba, Y. T. (2015). Rapid response of leaf photosynthesis in two fern species Pteridium aquilinum and Thelypteris dentata to changes in CO₂ measured by tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy. *Journal of Plant Research*, 128(5), 777–789.
- Noguchi, K., Tsunoda, T., Miyagi, A., Kawai-Yamada, M., Sugiura, D., Miyazawa, S.-I., ... Hasegawa, T. (2018). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on respiratory rates in mature leaves of two rice cultivars grown at a free-air CO₂ enrichment site and analyses of the underlying mechanisms. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *59*(3), 637–649.
- Ort, D. R., Merchant, S. S., Alric, J., Barkan, A., Blankenship, R. E., Bock, R., ... Zhu, X. G. (2015). Redesigning photosynthesis

to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(28), 8529–8536.

- Pons, T. L., Flexas, J., von Caemmerer, S., Evans, J. R., Genty, B., Ribas-Carbo, M., & Brugnoli, E. (2009). Estimating mesophyll conductance to CO₂: Methodology, potential errors, and recommendations. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *60*(8), 2217–2234.
- Scafaro, A. P., von Caemmerer, S., Evans, J. R., & Atwell, B. J. (2011). Temperature response of mesophyll conductance in cultivated and wild Oryza species with contrasting mesophyll cell wall thickness: Temperature response of mesophyll conductance in rice. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 34(11), 1999–2008.
- Sharkey, T. D., Bernacchi, C. J., Farquhar, G. D., & Singsaas, E. L. (2007). Fitting photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curves for C₃ leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 30(9), 1035–1040.
- Sun, Y., Gu, L., Dickinson, R. E., Norby, R. J., Pallardy, S. G., & Hoffman, F. M. (2014). Impact of mesophyll diffusion on estimated global land CO₂ fertilization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(44), 15774–15779.
- Tatsumi, K., Kuwabara, Y., & Motobayashi, T. (2019). Monthly variability in the photosynthetic capacities, leaf mass areas and leaf nitrogen contents of rice (Oryza sativa L.) plants and their correlations. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology*, 75(2), 111–119.
- Taylaran, R. D., Adachi, S., Ookawa, T., Usuda, H., & Hirasawa, T. (2011). Hydraulic conductance as well as nitrogen accumulation plays a role in the higher rate of leaf photosynthesis of the most productive variety of rice in Japan. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 62(11), 4067–4077.
- Tazoe, Y., von Caemmerer, S., Badger, M. R., & Evans, J. R. (2009). Light and CO_2 do not affect the mesophyll conductance to CO_2 diffusion in wheat leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60(8), 2291–2301.
- Terashima, I., Miyazawa, S.-I., & Hanba, Y. T. (2001). Why are sun leaves thicker than shade leaves? Consideration

based on analyses of CO_2 diffusion in the leaf. Journal of Plant Research, 114(1), 93–105.

- Usui, Y., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Nakamura, H., Nakagawa, H., & Hasegawa, T. (2014). Heat-tolerant rice cultivars retain grain appearance quality under free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Rice*, 7(1), 6.
- Usui, Y., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Nakamura, H., Nakagawa, H., & Hasegawa, T. (2016). Rice grain yield and quality responses to free-air CO₂ enrichment combined with soil and water warming. *Global Change Biology*, *22*(3), 1256–1270.
- von Caemmerer, S., & Evans, J. R. (1991). Determination of the average partial pressure of CO₂ in chloroplasts from leaves of several C₃ plants. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, *18*(3), 287.
- von Caemmerer, S., & Farquhar, G. D. (1981). Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta*, *153*(4), 376–387.
- Yin, X., Struik, P. C., Romero, P., Harbinson, J., Evers, J. B., Van Der Putten, P. E. L., & Vos, J. (2009). Using combined measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence to estimate parameters of a biochemical C 3 photosynthesis model: A critical appraisal and a new integrated approach applied to leaves in a wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) canopy. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 32(5), 448–464.
- Yoshimoto, M., Oue, H., & Kobayashi, K. (2005). Energy balance and water use efficiency of rice canopies under free-air CO₂ enrichment. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 133(1–4), 226–246.
- Zhang, G., Sakai, H., Tokida, T., Usui, Y., Zhu, C., Nakamura, H., ... Hasegawa, T. (2013). The effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on carbon and nitrogen accumulation in grains of rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64(11), 3179–3188.
- Zhang, G., Sakai, H., Usui, Y., Tokida, T., Nakamura, H., Zhu, C., ... Hasegawa, T. (2015). Grain growth of different rice cultivars under elevated CO₂ concentrations affects yield and quality. *Field Crops Research*, 179, 72–80.

Appendix A. Calculation of RuBP-saturated photosynthesis rate (A_c)

RuBP-saturated photosynthesis rate (A_c) in the FvCB model (Farquhar et al., 1980) was calculated by iteratively solving Equations (A-1) and (A-2). The quadratic equation (Equation A-1) was proposed by von Caemmerer and Evans (1991) and its solution is explicitly described in Ethier and Livingston (2004)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{c}^{2} - A_{c} \left\{ g_{m} \left(C_{i} + K_{c} \left(1 + \frac{C_{o}}{K_{o}} \right) \right) + V_{c,max} - R_{d} \right\} \\ + g_{m} \left\{ V_{c,max} (C_{i} - \Gamma^{*}) - R_{d} \left(C_{i} + K_{c} \left(1 + \frac{C_{o}}{K_{o}} \right) \right) \right\} \\ = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(A - 1)$$

$$C_i = \{(g_{tc} - E/2)C_a - A_c\}/(g_{tc} + E/2)$$
 (A - 2)

where C_a is atmospheric CO₂ concentration (µmol mol⁻¹), C_o is O₂ concentration (µmol mol⁻¹). The total conductance for CO₂ (g_{tc} , mol m⁻² s⁻¹) and transpiration rate (E, mol m⁻² s⁻¹) are calculated as follows (von Caemmerer & Farquhar, 1981):

$$g_{tc} = \{1/g_{sc} + 1/(1.37g_{bw})\}^{-1}$$
 (A - 3)

$$E = g_{tw}(w_i - w_a) / \{1 - (w_i + w_a)/2\}$$
 (A - 4)

where w_i and w_a are H₂O molar fraction at the intercellular space and atmosphere, respectively (mol mol⁻¹). The total conductance for H₂O (g_{twi} mol m⁻² s⁻¹) was defined as follows:

$$g_{tw} = \{1/g_{sw} + 1/g_{bw}\}^{-1}$$
 (A - 5)

Equations (A-1)–(A-5) were coded with MATLAB (MATLAB R2015b, MathWorks, USA).