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#### Abstract

Mazurek, Shanna Andrea, M.S., Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources, North Dakota State University, October 2011. Prevalence of Pathogenicity Groups of the Blackleg Species Complex on Canola in North Dakota. Major Professors: Drs. Luis del Rio and Sam Markell.

North Dakota is the leading producer of canola (Brassica napus) in the United States. Blackleg, caused by two species of Leptosphaeria, L. maculans (Desm.) Ces \& De Not and L. biglobosa, is an economically important disease wherever canola is grown. The virulence profile of these species has been classified in six pathogenicity groups (PG) based on inoculations on three differential cultivars, 'Westar', 'Glacier', and 'Quinta'. A PG-1 reaction is caused only by $L$. biglobosa isolates. By 2001, PG-2 was the predominant profile in North Dakota, although PG-1 isolates were also present. Between 2007 and 2008 canola leaves with characteristic blackleg lesions were collected from 54 fields located in the major canola growing regions of North Dakota. The virulence profile of 280 isolates retrieved from these leaves was characterized on the above mentioned set of differentials. The predominant species was $L$. maculans with approximately $74 \%$ of all isolates retrieved. Virulence profiles typical of PG-2, PG-3, PG-T, PG-4, and PG-5, which were previously described, were observed among the isolates evaluated. The virulence profile of almost $32 \%$ of all isolates did not fit within the previously described PGs and were assigned to new groups. The most prevalent PG among $L$. maculans isolates were PG-6 and PG-T. PG6 causes a resistant reaction on differential 'Quinta'. PG-2, previously regarded as the most prevalent, was identified in only $13 \%$ of isolates. These results suggest a shift in the population of the blackleg species complex in North Dakota.
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## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

Phoma stem canker or blackleg is an economically important disease worldwide on oilseed rape (rapeseed, canola, Brassica rapa L., B. juncea L.) especially in Australia, Europe, and North America (mainly in Canada and North Dakota) (West et al., 2001). In the early 1970's in Australia, a severe epidemic stalled the oilseed rape industry when it was just emerging (Bokor et al., 1975). Epidemics have also impacted production in Europe and Canada. Oddly, the disease is rare in Scotland and China where a large amount of oilseed rape is grown (Gugel and Petrie, 1992). Severity of epidemics varies greatly between different regions, growing season, crop rotation, and type of oilseed rape cultivated. When the disease does occur, yield losses are usually between five and fifteen percent but on some occasions results in total crop loss (Bokor et al., 1975; Barbetti and Khangura, 1999).

Phoma stem canker was first found and described on dead red cabbage, Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. rubra, in 1791 by Tode as Sphaeria lingam. Desmazieres found the same fungus in 1849 in wild cabbage, B. oleracea, and reclassified it as Phoma lingam, which became known as an important pathogen of crucifer crops all over the world. $P$.
lingam Tode ex. Fr. is most commonly known for being the causal agent of blackleg (Punithalingam and Holliday, 1972). In 1957, the telomorph (sexual stage) was found in New Zealand (Anonymous, 1957) and confirmed as Leptosphaeria maculans (Desm.) Ces. \& de Not. in 1972 by Punithalingam and Holliday.

Canola is an important oilseed crop grown in North Dakota. Annually, North Dakota produces between 88 - 92 percent of the canola grown in the United States (NASS, 2010). Canola production is spread across the state but the majority of the acres are grown
in north eastern part of the state, especially Cavalier, Towner, and Rolette counties (Figure 1). Cavalier county normally accounts for between $22-25$ percent of North Dakota's production (NASS, 2010).


Figure 1. Harvested canola acres in North Dakota in 2009. 1 dot $\cong 405$ hectares. Dots are randomly placed within each county. Counties with no dots represent none harvested or undisclosed data. Stars represent the three counties with highest annual production. Figure adapted from NASS, 2010.

## CHAPTER 2. SCHOLARLY PAPER

## Biology of the Pathogen

## Classification of the Leptosphaeria sp. complex

Nomenclature and taxonomy of this fungus are confusing since it is associated with several distinct, but morphologically similar species. L. maculans is a heterothallic, haploid, dothideomycete fungus with a single mating type locus (MAT), with two forms that must be different for isolates to sexually recombine. These forms cannot be classified as idiomorphs, consistent with other dothideomycetes, because they are not morphologically dissimilar (Venn, 1979).

The blackleg fungus responsible for major damage in cruciferous crops was initially classified into two groups based on virulence or ability to cause stem cankers on B. napus. Isolates with the ability to cause cankers were placed in "A", aggressive, or virulent group and those that did not were classified as " B ", nonaggressive, or avirulent group (Delwiche, 1980). Other isolates taken from cruciferous weeds have also been identified as $L$. maculans and are genetically dissimilar to the other two groups (Purwantara et al., 2000). Although isolates from groups A and B have the ability to infect the same host and produce spores with similar morphology, colonies produced look different in culture (Cunningham, 1927), have genetic differences (Taylor et al., 1991), and produce different stem and leaf symptoms (Johnson and Lewis, 1994; Brun et al., 1997). Further, Somda et al. (1997) demonstrated that isolates from these groups were sexually incompatible with each other (1997).

The above information lead to the realization that blackleg is actually caused by a complex made of two distinct species; L. maculans and $L$. biglobosa. Group A or the
virulent group is $L$. maculans and the avirulent group (group B) is L. biglobosa sp. nov (Shoemaker and Brun, 2001). Isolates from both species have been further classified into pathogenicity groups (PG) based on differential infection severity on cotyledons of three canola cultivars: Westar, Glacier, and Quinta (Mengistu et al., 1991). L. maculans isolates are classified as either PG-2, PG-3, PG-4 or PG-T (Chen and Fernando, 2006). L. biglobosa is confined to PG-1 and further divided into three distinct sub-groups; NA1, NA2, and NA3. Only one isolate of NA3 has been reported (Koch et al., 1991). Epidemic severity is affected by the population structure of Leptosphaeria sp. complex. Survey results from Australia show severity increasing as the proportion of isolates found belonging to PG-3, PG-4, and PG-T increases (Ballinger and Salisbury, 1996). All 5 PGs are currently found in North Dakota (Chen and Fernando, 2006). Distinctions between the species and different pathogenicity groups are also supported by genetic work that will be discussed later.

## Epidemiology and disease spread

Blackleg is generally considered to be monocyclic in North America, Europe, and Canada (Mahuku et al., 1997). Epidemics are usually initiated by the spread of airborne ascospores that overwintered as pseudothecia on crop residues (Hall, 1992). These spores serve as primary inoculum and reach maximum discharge rates two years after the infected crop was harvest (Markell, S., et al., 2008). Infections may also begin by infected seed, contact with infected stubble, or from rain-splashed conidia (Kharbanda and Stevens, 1993). Ascospore release occurs after wetting from rain or dew. Timing varies between regions (spring or winter cultivars), but always coincides with presence of young, susceptible plants (Pérès et al., 1999). Infection generally results from penetration through
stomata but may also enter via wounds (Chen and Howlett, 1996). Both L. maculans and L. biglobosa require a minimum of four hours of leaf wetness for infection to occur (Wood and Barbetti, 1977; Biddulph et al., 1999).

## Disease cycle

Each species initially colonize plant tissue biotrophically and grow symptom-less
through the lamella into the petiole, hypocotyl, and stem (Figure 2). Once established in the plant, cell death is induced and followed by production of asexual fruiting structures, pycnidia (Hammond and Lewis, 1987).


Figure 2. Blackleg disease cycle courtesy of American Phytopathological Society, 2000 (www.apsnet.org).

Phoma leaf spots or leaf lesions have different appearance based on species present, host resistance, and lesion stage. Lesions of both species develop similarly in all geographic regions where the disease is found. Lesions caused by L. maculans appear as small ( $<1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), pale green spots that grow in diameter (up to 3 cm ), change color to pale brown, and contain many dark pyenidia, the fruiting structures, that produce pycnidiospores or conidia (Figure 3A). Lesions of $L$. biglobosa tend to be darker in color with few to no pycnidia (Brun et al., 1997). Secondary infections caused by conidia are more common in Western Australia than anywhere else. Here conidia can spread up to one meter from original foci (Wood and Barbetti, 1977). In any case, secondary infections generally do not lead to yield losses (Hall, 1992).

Hypocotyl infections generally occur above ground but below the cotyledons. Lesions usually have a distinct, dark margin and pyenidia. Only infection from L. maculans will result in hypocotyl lesions or cankers. These lesions can lead to complete stem sever if the infection is established before the stem becomes woody. This is more common in Australia and Canada (Barbetti and Khangura, 1999).


Figure 3. Signs and symptoms of blackleg disease on canola. A, Leaf lesion on adult plant. B, Stem canker on adult plant. (Photos courtesy of Sam Markell and Shanna Mazurek, NDSU).

Lesions at the stem base are typically associated with leaf scars where the lesion originated earlier in the season and can occur anywhere along the length of the plant. During pod development, stem lesions may spread and grow together, eventually cracking open to form a dry rot or canker (Figure 3B). This leads to girdling of the stem, which restricts water and nutrient movement and will cause premature ripening and lodging in severe cases. Both result in yield loss (Paul and Rawlinson, 1992).

After harvest, crop residue is colonized by L. maculans and L. biglobosa and pycnidia are produced. Conidia released during this saprophytic stage colonize stubble and raise inoculum levels. When pseudothecia mature they begin releasing ascospores that can infest crops and residue up to 3 km away (Petrie, 1978). The greatest risk of infection occurs within 500 m of the source of ascospore release. While ascospores can remain viable for about six weeks (Paul and Rawlinson, 1992), infected crop residue can remain an inoculum source for up to four years in Western Australia and north central North America (Barbettie and Khangura, 1997; Petrie, 1986). In Europe, residue degrades more rapidly due to climate, and is viable for no longer than two years (West et al., 1999).

Seed-borne contamination is the least common method of infection by either Leptosphaeria species. Infected seed is the result of pod lesions that develop late in the season while seed is ripening. Seed-borne infection is inost important in spreading disease to new areas over greater distances where the disease may have been nonexistent or less severe. Both species can be present as dormant mycelia under the seed coat or in the embryo (Jacobsen and Williamson, 1971).

## Blackleg Disease Management

## Cultural practices

In all canola/oilseed rape (OSR) producing regions, proper stubble management and crop rotation help reduce the risk of infections from ascospores released from residues. Canola/OSR should be sown once in a four year rotation with non-host crops, such as cereal grains (Markell et al., 2008). Ascospore survival rate is best in drier areas using minimum tillage, such as Western Australia and North America. Wetter climates and conventional tillage practices in Europe help residue break down. Residue left on the surface does not break down as rapidly (West et al., 2000).

The risk of blackleg epidemics increases when producers use rotations tighter than canola once every four years in combination with no-till practices (Barbetti and Khangura, 1999). Cultural practices such as whole plant removal for harvest and field flooding for rice production allows countries like China and India to plant OSR in tighter rotations without high risk of epidemics (West et al., 2000).

## Chemical control

Control using chemicals varies depending on region, epidemiology, and crop economics. Most canola/oilseed rape producing areas have options for chemical control in the form of fungicide seed, soil, or foliage treatments. Using any of these options can be recommended when a number of factors may influence the crop at one time; high yield potential, residue with high inoculum level, or low cultivar resistance. Foliar fungicides provide a small amount of control and act for a short period of time as a protectant (Gugel and Petrie, 1992). Timing is critical for successful foliar application. North Dakota currently has two products registered for use as foliar protectants against blackleg
(McMullen and Markell, 2011). In North Dakota, foliar fungicide applications are not economical, especially if a resistant cultivar is grown (Markell, S. G., personal communication). A study by Gladders et al. (1998) indicated foliar fungicides to be effective in Europe on winter canola when sprayed at the seedling stage prior to winter kill, up to 6 months before any symptoms on the stems appear. A forecasting model would useful to improve timing and application efficacy. Forecasting models are not available because leaf lesions presence is not a good indicator for timing. Once lesions are present, the fungus may already be growing systemically in the plant (West et al., 2001).

## Genetic resistance

Breeding for resistant cultivars to $L$. maculans is a critical requirement for sustainable management of blackleg (West et al., 2001). The genetic basis of blackleg resistance is most likely different between seedling and adult plants. Field resistance for blackleg has three components. First is genetic resistance, usually assessed in seedlings and young plants. Second is disease escape, in which plants are able to outgrow the pathogen as it is spreading. Lastly is disease tolerance, the ability of the plant to yield well even though it has been infected in some degree by the pathogen (Rimmer and van den Berg, 1992;

West et al., 2001).
There are two types of genetic resistance in Brassica napus, single-gene/specific resistance, and multi-gene/polygenic resistance. Ten avirulence genes ( AvrLm ) have been identified in L. maculans (Balesdent et al., 2001), and ten corresponding resistance genes (Rlm) in B. napus (Delourme et al., 2004). Rlm genes only give effective disease control if L. maculans population present is dominated by corresponding AvrLm. If the gene does not match, control will fail. Large-scale production of a cultivar with single gene resistance in
an area of high disease pressure will force the pathogen population to "shift" and breakdown the resistance, resulting in no disease control (Li et al., 2003). Shifts can occur very quickly under optimal conditions and have happened in Australia. A resistance gene moved from B. rapa subsp. sylvestris into B. napus was overcome within three years of its introduction into a commercial cultivar (Sprague et al., 2006). Consequently, research for resistant cultivars is now focused on polygenic resistance.

Polygenic/quantitative resistance is more effective in the long-term even though the resistance level is lower than provided by a single gene. Multiple genes are responsible for plants being more resilient to resisting infection; therefore, it takes longer for the pathogen to break down the resistance. Resistance is normally overcome gene by gene, as the pathogen changes (Sprague et al., 2006). Plants with polygenic resistance can still produce acceptable yields even if one resistance gene is overcome. Polygenic resistance for blackleg is thought to be race non-specific which helps maintain higher levels of resistance for longer periods of time (Delourme et al. 2006).

Genetic Structure of the Leptosphaeria sp. Complex

## Genetic analysis

Information about genetic variation of $L$. maculans worldwide and the role evolutionary processes play in rapid population shifts is still limited. Up until 2000, not much was known about the genetics of the Leptosphaeria sp. complex. The size of its genome and chromosomes make it an ideal candidate for many molecular and genetic studies. Realization of the potential for $L$. maculans genetic maps to be used as a model system for future genetic and host-pathogen interactions of other Ascomycetes fungi led to
a flurry of projects resulting in large amounts of new information regarding phylogenetic relationships and taxonomic classification.

Since most of this information is recent, additional studies were conducted to test the validity. Mutations can occur in ribosomal DNA (rDNA) without having an immediate effect on the functional level of the gene, which would directly influence selection (Voigt et al., 2005). Phylogenetic associations established using rDNA are often limited so additional characteristics can be used to improve validity. Voigt et al. (2005) re-examined the phylogenetic relationships between 28 L . maculans species complex isolates and twenty other dothideomycetes, previously inferred by Mendes-Pereira et al. (2003), using sequences from the mating type (MAT) 1-2 locus as well as from actin and $\beta$-tubulin. Using $M A T$ genes as additional determinants of phylogenetic relationships for closely related species often increases accuracy (Turgeon, 1998). The phylogenetic relationships previously determined between members of the $L$. maculans sub-groups and the sub-clades of $L$. biglobosa using rDNA were consistent with the findings using sequences from MAT12 , actin and $\beta$-tubulin (Voigt et al., 2005).

## Mating type

Sexual reproduction is very important in the $L$. maculans disease cycle. Ascospores, source of primary inoculum, result from sexual reproduction. Sexual recombination produces genetic variation within the species, helping it overcome resistance and adapt to changing conditions in order to proliferate (Sprague et al., 2006). An example of the high degree of sexual out-crossing exhibited by this pathogen was presented by Barrins et al.(2002) who found 70 different genotypes in 84 isolates collected from one canola field in Australia.

In 2006, Gout et al. conducted a study in France that determined genetic diversity and distribution of mating type alleles in different field populations of $L$. maculans. Isolates were collected using three different spatial scales within fields; leaf, two square meter field plots, and whole field. They hoped to determine the actual distribution of isolates within a field. Of the 401 analyzed isolates, 309 or $78 \%$ had unique genotypes. Even at the smallest spatial scale, separate isolates from single leaf, the number of different genotypes was very close to the number of isolates. Only two of the fifty isolates collected from the same leaf had the same genotype. Both mating type alleles were found in all spatial scales in all fields. This showed sexual recombination occurred regularly in field populations and is an important part of $L$. maculans life and disease cycle (Gout et al., 2006).

Studies similar to this one need to be conducted on a world-wide scale to determine the genetic structure of $L$. maculans population and see the process of species evolution. Such studies will also help explain how the rapid breakdown of the Rlm resistance genes occurs and show the level of gene migration among different $L$. maculans field populations (Gout et al., 2006). Using mating type alleles will also help gain a better understanding of speciation events (Voigt et al., 2005).

Like other dothideomycetes, $L$. maculans has a single mating type locus with two forms that must be different in order to mate (Venn, 1979). The mating type locus was found on an AFLP marker amplified from a $L$. maculans 'brassicae' isolate and was designated mat - and its corresponding part mat + (Mengistu et al., 1994). Further sequence analysis of this fragment revealed similarity to the MAT1-2 locus of other loculoascomycetes and it was suggested to re-designate mat- to MAT1-2 and mat+ to MAT1-1, to conform to nomenclature suggested earlier from Turgeon and Yoder (2000).

In 2003, Cozijnsen and Howlett unsuccessfully attempted to clone the MAT locus using heterologous clones from other dothideomycetes. When building a genetic map for $L$. maculans, the mating type locus was found amplified on an isolate. This isolate was deemed mat-. The amino acid sequence from this AFLP primer had four conserved amino acids of the high mobility group (HMG) DNA-binding group for the mating type MAT1-2 locus in other Ascomycetes as previously suggested by Turgeon and Yoder in 2000.

This AFLP marker was used to isolate the MAT1-2 locus in L. maculans 'brassicae' and clone the MAT1-1 locus. It was also used to characterize the mating type allele and help develop a PCR assay to distinguish between different mating type alleles (Cozijnsen and Howlett, 2003).

## Discussion

Further differentiation of the $L$. maculans species complex will help in many ways. The L. maculans and B. rapa interaction is proving to be a valuable model for studying host-pathogen interactions and will be of more value once the complete genome sequences of these two organisms are available. Aside from this, all information about $L$. maculans will continue to direct disease management practices to maximize canola/oilseed rape yields.

## Objective

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of pathogenicity groups of the causal agents of blackleg of canola in North Dakota. This goal was set based on reports of new pathogenicity groups being reported with increasing frequency. Changes in the population structure of these pathogens could increase the probability that more severe blackleg epidemics develop in the future.

## CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Leaf Sampling Procedure

Leaves showing symptoms of $L$. maculans infection were collected from 54 naturally infected commercial canola fields in seven North Dakota counties during 2007 and 2008 (Figure 4A). Counties selected consistently had high annual canola acreage ( $>$ 16,150 hectares). A minimum of two fields were sampled in each county. These fields belonged to canola growers who agreed to collaborate in this and other canola projects. Along with sample collection, each grower provided us with the history of each field observed. Information from the growers included crop rotation, canola cultivar and seeding rate, current and past chemical applications, planting and harvest dates, and yield data.

A large scale hierarchical sampling strategy modified from McDonald et al. (1999) was used in the study. Hierarchical sampling is very useful for seed-borne or splash dispersed pathogens like L. maculans (Markell and Milus, 2008). The sampling procedure had a 10 -site ordered hierarchy (Figures 4B, 4C). When possible, ten infected leaves from different plants were obtained at each site following a circular pattern with an average diameter of 3 m . Distances between sampling sites within a field were based on field size. Sites were never less than 350 m apart. This ensured locations selected were a representative sample of the entire field. Fields were sainpled along the perimeter, a minimum of 33 m from field edge. GPS coordinates were recorded at each sample site using a Lowrance iFinder® H20 handheld mapping GPS receiver (Lowrance, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). Symptomatic leaf samples were taken in June when plants were at the 46 leaf stage. Blackleg lesion appearance ranged from white to gray in color with small, black pyenidia in concentric rings.


Figure 4. Diagrams for sample collection of L. maculans from canola fields in North Dakota. A, GPS locations of fields sampled (Map courtesy of Google Earth). B, GPS coordinates of each sample site were recorded in fields (Map courtesy of Google Earth). C, Ten-site ordered hierarchy (not to scale). Ten infected leaves were obtained at regular intervals in a circular pattern at each site. Ten sites were spaced according to field size along the perimeter of the field. Diagram drawn not to scale.

Leaves collected were kept flat and allowed to air dry at $20-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a standard plant press, then stored in paper coin envelopes until used for isolation. Isolations were attempted from every lesion on each leaf but not all resulted in cultures identifiable by morphology as L. maculans or L. biglobosa. A total of 915 isolates were retrieved from infected lesions and added to the Blackleg library at North Dakota State University. However, due to time and greenhouse space limitations, only a subset of the isolates were used to determine the current prevalence of pathogenicity groups. A minimum of 4 isolates from 2 different fields in each county were used in pathogenicity grouping except for McHenry County where no fields were scouted in 2007 and only one field was visited in 2008. Only two isolates were retrieved from McHenry County. More fields were sampled and more isolates were retrieved from counties with higher annual canola acreage, i.e. Cavalier, Towner, and Rolette. A total of 280 isolates were used in this study.

Isolation and Storage of $L$. maculans Inoculum
Individual pycnidia from lesions present on sampled canola leaves were picked using a sterile needle and mixed into a one mL drop of sterile distilled water. This suspension of pycnidia on leaf tissue was plated using a standard streak plate technique onto V8-agar plates supplemented with streptomycin $(200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ and penicillin $\mathrm{G}(125$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). After a 4-5 d incubation period under continuous cool-white fluorescent light at room temperature $\left(20-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, L. maculans was identified using pycnidia and colony morphology. Three to five day old colonies of $L$. maculans isolated via streak technique were picked as single-spore colonies and re-plated onto fresh V8-agar plates to maintain purity. The small colony was incubated under continuous cool-white fluorescent light for 10-14 d, until pycnidia were present and profusely sporulating. Pycnidiospores were
harvested and stored prior to inoculation following a protocol previously described by Chen and Fernando (2006). All inoculum was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a minimum of 48 h before being used for pathogenicity group evaluation.
L. maculans Inoculation

Interaction phenotype (IP) and pathogenicity group (PG) of all isolates were determined using a standard set of canola differentials: 'Westar' (spring rape), 'Glacier' (winter rape), and 'Quinta' (winter rape). In addition, isolates were evaluated on two commercial winter rape cultivars, 'Bristol' and 'Columbus'. The former had a different combination of avirulence genes than the standard three-differential set, whereas the latter has resistance genes similar to those in 'Quinta' (Balesdent, et. al., 2006). Although IP on Bristol and Columbus have no impact on named PGs, supplementation of the standard differential set with these cultivars provides data for interpretation of pathogen genetics in the future (Appendix A).

Differential and supplemental cultivars were planted and grown in 48 -welled flats on greenhouse benches in MetroMix® (SunGro Horticulture, Bellevue, Washington, USA), a soil-less potting medium, with a photoperiod of 16 h light and eight h dark. All plants were watered daily. A shade curtain was hung in the greenhouse to help maintain a more stable temperature.

Plants were inoculated 10 d after sowing when the cotyledons were fully expanded. Inoculum was prepared from concentrated stock of frozen pycnidiospores. Three to seven drops of pycnidiospore stock were mixed into 10 mL of sterile distilled water. The concentration of the spore suspension was adjusted to $1.0 \times 10^{7}$ using a hemacytometer. Prior to inoculation, each lobule of the cotyledon was wounded using a sterile needle. A 10
$\mu \mathrm{L}$ drop of inoculum was applied to each wounding site on each cotyledon half. Six seedlings of each differential were inoculated for every isolate. After inoculation, spore suspension droplets were allowed to evaporate completely before plants were placed into a mist chamber set at saturation for 24 h , and then returned to the greenhouse. Primary leaves were pinched off as they developed in order to maintain integrity of cotyledons during evaluation. A set of purified and classified isolates previously obtained in North Dakota were used as positive controls (obtained from Dr. Dilantha Fernando, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada). Sterile distilled water blanks were used as negative controls. Both were run alongside unknown isolates. Isolates were run from April through July, 2009. A validation experiment was conducted each month using known isolates, a water control, and a subset of unknown isolates to check repeatability of the observed IPs.

## Pathogenicity Group Evaluation of $L$. maculans Isolates

Ten days after inoculation, IPs were assessed by measuring lesion size, amount of tissue collapse and blackening, and sporulation using a 0-9 scale (Figure 5) (Delwiche, 1980): $0=$ no darkening around wound, as in water controls; $1=$ limited blackening around wound, lesion diameter $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, faint chlorotic halo may be present, sporulation absent; 3 = dark necrotic lesions, $1.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, chlorotic halo may be present, sporulation absent; 5 = non-sporulating 3-6 mm lesions, sharply delimited by dark necrotic margin, may show gray-green tissue collapse as in IP 7 and 9 or dark necrosis throughout; $7=$ graygreen tissue collapse 3-5 mm diameter, sharply delimited, non-darkened margin; $9=$ rapid tissue collapse at about 10 d , accompanied by profuse sporulation in large, more than 5 mm , lesions with diffuse margins.

An IP on this scale of 0-2 was deemed resistant, 3-6 was intermediate, and 7-9 was susceptible. Isolates were assigned to individual PGs according to the mode of the IPs observed on each differential; Westar, Glacier, and Quinta (Table 1) (Mengistu et al. 1991). Bristol and Columbus were evaluated using the same rating scale; however, the IPs observed did not affect pathogenicity grouping. Data was processed by determining mean, median, mode, and range.


Figure 5. Rating scale used to determine IPs on infected cotyledons of differentials. (Figure taken from Delwiche, 1980).

Table 1. Known Leptosphaeria maculans and L. biglobosa* pathogenicity groups (PGs) based on interaction phenotypes on three differential canola cultivars.

|  | Westar | Glacier | Quinta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PG-1 | R (0) | R (0) | R (0) |
| PG-2 | S (7-9) | R (0-2) | I (3-6) |
| PG-3 | S (7-9) | S (7-9) | I (3-6) |
| PG-T | S (7-9) | I (3-6) | S (7-9) |
| PG-4 | S (7-9) | S (7-9) | S (7-9) |
| PG-5 | I (3-6) | I (3-6) | I (3-6) |

Note: A 0-9 scale (Delwiche, 1980) was used to assess the interaction phenotypes. Description of scale and rating protocol can be found in Materials and Methods section. $\mathrm{R}=$ resistant; $\mathrm{S}=$ susceptible; $\mathrm{I}=$ intermediate. Table adapted from Mengistu et al. (1991) and Chen and Fernando (2006).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ PG-1 is recognized at $L$. biglobosa by Shoemaker and Brun (2001).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ PG-5 was identified as intermediate on all differentials by Mahuku (1997).

## CHAPTER 4. RESULTS

Prevalence of $L$. maculans and L. biglobosa Pathogenicity Groups
A total of 134 isolates were obtained from 22 canola fields in North Dakota in 2007
(Table 3). Of these, $23.1 \%$ were PG-1, $12.7 \%$ were PG-2, $8.2 \%$ were PG-3, $13.4 \%$ were PG-T and 6\% were PG-4 (Figure 6A). One isolate was classified as PG-5, which accounted for $0.7 \%$ prevalence. The interaction phenotypes of the remaining 48 isolates did not fit into the current classification system used and are represented in Figure 6A as "undefined".

In 2008,146 isolates from 32 canola fields were evaluated for pathogenicity. Of the isolates tested, $28.8 \%$ were confirmed PG-1. All PGs were represented with at least one isolate each. PG-2 and PG-3 were found at frequencies closely similar to those of 2007, $13 \%$ and $9.6 \%$ respectively. Of the remaining isolates, $15.1 \%$ were $\mathrm{PG}-\mathrm{T}, 4.1 \%$ were $\mathrm{PG}-4$ (Figure 6B). And one isolate was identified as PG-5. Forty one isolates from 2008 did not fit the current classification system and are represented in Figure 6B as "undefined".


Figure 6. Distribution of pathogenicity groups of L. maculans and L. biglobosa in A. 2007 and in B. 2008.

The virulence profile of approximately 48 and 41 isolates collected in 2007 and 2008, respectively, did not fit the classification proposed by Mengistu et al. (1991). Thus, a set of six additional pathogenicity groups were developed to accommodate the reactions these isolates produced on the same differentials (Table 2).

Table 2. Proposed new Leptosphaeria maculans pathogenicity groups (PGs) based on interaction phenotypes on three differential canola cultivars.

| Pathogenicity <br> groups | Westar | Glacier | Quinta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PG-6 | S (7-9) | R (0-2) | R (0-2) |
| PG-7 | S (7-9) | I (3-6) | R (0-2) |
| PG-8 | I (3-6) | R (0-2) | R (0-2) |
| PG-9 | S (7-9) | I (3-6) | I (3-6) |
| PG-10 | S (7-9) | R (0-2) | S (7-9) |
| PG-11 | I 3-6) | R(0-2) | I (3-6) |

Note: A 0-9 scale (Delwiche, 1980) was used to assess the interaction phenotypes.
Description of scale and rating protocol can be found in Materials and Methods section. $\mathrm{R}=$ resistant; $\mathrm{S}=$ susceptible; $\mathrm{I}=$ intermediate. Table adapted from Mengistu et al. (1991) and Chen and Fernando (2006).

In 2007, the 48 isolates that did not fit in Mengistu's classification (Mengistu et al., 1991) were identified in four new categories, PG-6, PG-7, PG-9, and PG-10. Of these, the most predominant was PG-6 with approximately $73 \%$ of isolates, followed by PG- 9 with almost $23 \%$ (Figure 7A). Approximately $2 \%$ of isolates were classified as either PG-7 or PG-10.

The group of isolates that did not fit Mengistu's classification in 2008 was slightly smaller than that of 2007, but more diverse (Figure 7B). As with the 2007 sample, the most predominant groups were PG-6 and PG-9 although in 2008 the prevalence of PG-6 was
reduced while that of PG-9 was increased compared to 2007. Prevalence of PG-7 isolates increased from 2.1 in 2007 to $7.2 \%$ in 2008 but PG-10 remained almost unchanged. PG-8 had two confirmed isolates and PG-7 had three isolates. One isolate each was found in PG10 and PG-11 (Table 3). At least one isolate of every PG was found in Cavalier County in 2008.


Figure 7. Distribution of proposed new pathogenicity groups of $L$. maculans and $L$. biglobosa in A. 2007 and in B. 2008.

Distribution of Observed $L$. maculans and L. biglobosa Pathogenicity Groups
Considering results of both years, the most predominant PG was PG-1 with an average of $26 \%$ of all isolates. The second, third, and fourth most prevalent pathogenicity groups were PG-6, PG-T, and PG-2 with an average 18.9, 14.3, and $12.9 \%$ of isolates, respectively. However, their distribution was not always uniform across the area with exception of PG-1, which was the only group retrieved from every field scouted. The counties with the highest prevalence of PG-1 isolates were Cavalier and Bottineau, and McLean where an average of approximately 40,21 , and $15 \%$ of all isolates, respectively, belong to this group. The counties with the highest prevalence of PG-2 were Cavalier,

Rolette, and Bottineau, where approximately 49, 17, and $12 \%$ of all isolates, respectively, belong to this group. In the remaining counties PG-2 representation ranged between approximately 0 and $9 \%$. The counties with the highest prevalence of PG-T were Cavalier, Rolette, and Towner, where approximately 29,21 , and $14 \%$ of all isolates belong to this group (Table 4). In the remaining counties PG-T representation ranged between approximately 0 and $14 \%$. The counties with the highest prevalence of PG-6 were Cavalier and Ward, where approximately 38 and $19 \%$ of all isolates belong to this group. In Rolette and Towner counties PG-6 prevalence was at approximately $10 \%$ whereas in the remaining counties PG-6 representation was below $7 \%$.

Almost two-thirds of the fields scouted in this study were located in three counties, Cavalier, Rolette, and Towner. These counties account for the highest acres annually in North Dakota (Figure 1). Cavalier County, which accounted for almost $31 \%$ of all fields scouted, was the only county where all PGs were represented. The remaining counties had isolates representing between five and eight pathogenicity groups each. The relative frequency of all PGs except PG-4 and PG-6 increased from 2007 to 2008.

Table 3. Proposed new pathogenicity groups (PGs) of $L$. maculans isolates collected in North Dakota canola fields during 2007 and 2008.

|  |  | Isolates in each pathogenicity group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | No. <br> Fields | PG-6 | PG-7 | PG-8 | PG-9 | PG-10 | PG-11 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottineau | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cavalier | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| McHenry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| McLean | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renville | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Rolette | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Towner | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ward | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 22 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| $\quad$ 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottineau | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cavalier | 11 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| McHenry | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| McLean | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Renville | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rolette | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Towner | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Ward | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 32 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 1 |

Table 4. Known pathogenicity groups (PGs) of Leptosphaeria maculans or $L$. biglobosa* isolates collected in North Dakota canola fields during 2007 and 2008.

|  |  | Isolates in each pathogenicity group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | No. | Fields | PG-1* | PG-2 | PG-3 | PG-T | PG-4 | PG-5

## CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION

Results of this study show isolates of both L. maculans and L. biglobosa are present in North Dakota in McLean, Ward, Renville, Bottineau, Rolette, Towner, and Cavalier counties. Frequencies at which PG-1 was observed were more comparable to those of PG-2 in past studies conducted in North Dakota and Southern Canada (Chen and Fernando, 2006). It is possible that this difference is due to the fact that most isolates used in this study were retrieved from foliar lesions rather than stem cankers as was the case in Chen and Fernando's study.

Of the two species associated with blackleg, L. maculans was the most prevalent with approximately $74 \%$ of all isolates belonging to this species. Among $L$. maculans isolates, however, the most predominant groups were PG-6 and PG-T. PG-2 which was considered the most prevalent group in previous studies (Bradley et al., 2005; Fernando and Chen, 2006; Kutcher et al., 2007) came in third position. Most commercial cultivars planted in North Dakota are either resistant or moderately resistant to PG-2.Isolates from PG-2 are more virulent on differential Quinta than isolates from PG-6.

A total of 89 isolates evaluated in this study did not fit properly in the current classification system (Mengistu, 1991). This is an indication that the use of only three differentials is not enough to represent the range of virulcnce that is being created in canola fields. This observation is further supported by the fact that multiple PGs were found in all fields and on all sampling levels. Similar diversity within a field has been described in France (Gout et al., 2006). Establishment of a larger, new set of differentials is required.

Data collected in 2007 and 2008 when compared to data from similar studies conducted in North Dakota or Canada (Bradley et al., 2005; Chen and Fernando, 2006) indicates that the virulence of $L$. maculans is not only capable of change but has changed resulting in the observation of new PGs. A few factors most likely play a role in this phenomenon. $L$. maculans has the ability to easily complete sexual reproduction in nature which results in genetic recombination. This gives the pathogen a high potential to develop virulence to resistance genes (Sprague et al., 2006). Many canola growers in North Dakota have been pushing rotation recommendations in order to maximize profits. Canola should be grown once every four years in rotation with non-host crops such as wheat (Triticum sp.) and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) (Lamey, 1995). Of the fields sampled in Cavalier County, North Dakota's highest producing county (NASS, 2010), the most common rotation was a two year rotation of canola and wheat (Mazurek, data not presented). This along with favorable weather, reduced tillage, and use of cultivars with similar resistance genes creates selection pressure towards development of new virulence profiles capable of overcoming the resistance in use. Evolutionary potential coupled with poor crop management is ideal for a change in PGs.

While it is clear from the results of this study that the blackleg population in North Dakota is changing, the extent of the change may not be established accurately. More surveys that cover larger areas and include samples from stems of adult plants are necessary. Further, changes in virulence can sometimes affect the fitness of the pathogen (Williams, 1992). If that has occurred, the probability of detecting less fit isolates would be relatively small compared to that of a fit isolate. Comparing the relative fitness of the isolates used in this study, however, would give us a good idea of what is happening in the
fields. Production of cultural mutants, mutants produced by repeated culturing in artificial medium, has been used to explain the detection of PG-5 isolates (Mahuku et al, 1997); however, this is hardly the case of our PG-5 isolates. Although L. maculans has been documented to lose virulence in culture (Williams, 1992), isolates used in the studies reported here were retrieved from plant materials and were not sub-cultured long enough as to expect that changes in virulence could have occurred. Isolates used in this study were transferred no more than twice with little opportunity for loss of virulence. Hence the observation of intermediate IPs.

Emergence of pathogens with new virulence profiles will affect canola production in the state of North Dakota and possibly Canada. Populations with more virulent PGs are now well established in the state and with continued poor management and intense cropping will only become more prevalent. Growers need to follow rotation recommendations, growing canola once every four years and also rotating resistant cultivars (Lamey, 1995). The identification of new resistance genes will play a major role in the continued success of North Dakota's canola industry.
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## APPENDIX A

Table A. Interaction phenotype mode (IPM) and range (IPR) for differential cultivars of $B$. napus to isolates of Leptosphaeria maculans and $L$. biglobosa* ten days post inoculation. ${ }^{1}$

|  | Westar |  | Glacier |  | Quinta |  | Columbus |  | Bristol |  | PG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R$ Gene <br> Isolate | none |  | rlm 2, 3 |  | rlm 1, 4 |  | rlm 1,3 |  | rlm 2,9 |  |  |
|  | IPM | IPR | IPM | IPR | IPM | IPR | IPM | IPR | IPM | IPR |  |
| 731 | 7,9 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 1 to 5 | 3 |
| 731 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 |
| 735 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 2 |
| 736 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1,3 | 1 to 3 | 4 |
| 736 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 5 to 9 | 4 |
| 737 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 3 to 7 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 3 to 5 | T |
| 737 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 1,3 | 1 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | T |
| 922 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 1* |
| 923 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 924 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 925 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| 926 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| 927 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 2 |
| 929 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 930 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 933 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| 935 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 |
| 937 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 938 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 1 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 3 to 9 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 7,12 |
| 939 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 6 |
| 940 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 1 | 1 to 7 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 6 |
| 940 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 9,5 |
| 941 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 7 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 9 |
| 942 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 942 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 1,3 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 2 |
| 943 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 1 |
| 945 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 6 |
| 946 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 7 | T |
| 949 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 950 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 6 |
| 951 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 2 |
| 952 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 1 to 7 | T |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}7 & 7 & \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0\end{array} 0$ to 3 $967 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 2$ 969000 to $0 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}988 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 6 \\ 991 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 2\end{array}$ $992 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 4$ $994 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 7,9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 5$ $995 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 3,5 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad$ T $999 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 6$ $1001 \quad 7,9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 6$ $1008 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 4$ $1008 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 4$ $1010 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad 3$ $1015 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7,9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 4$ $1015 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 4$ $1017 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 5 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 2$ $1018 \quad 7 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 0,1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 9$ $1021 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 6$ $1024 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 6$ $1025 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7,9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 0$ to $9 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 4$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}1027 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 0 \text { to } 9 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 4 \\ 1030 & 9 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 1,3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 5,7 & 1 \text { to } 7 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 9, T, 10\end{array}$

| 1031 | 5 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1,3 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 0 to 7 | 8,11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1031 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 1 |

$1032 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $7 \quad 1,3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 2$
$1033 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 6$ $1034 \quad 7 \quad 3$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 5 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 3$ 1035000 to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1$ $1036 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 6$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}1036 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 1,3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 6,2 \\ 1038 & 9 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7,9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 2\end{array}$ $1040 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 7 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad$ T 1041000 to 3 0 0 to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1$ $10410 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1043 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 6$ $1044 \quad 9 \quad 9$ to $9 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 9 \quad 1$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad$ T $1045 \quad 7 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3,5 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1045 & 7 & 3 & \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 3 \text { to } 5\end{array}$ T 1046 7,9 7 to 910 to $3 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 2$

1047
30 to 5 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1056 1057 1059 1060 1062 1062 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1070 1071 1072 1074 1074 1074 1075 1075 1075 1076 1077 1079 1080 1081 1081 $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3\end{array}$

| 1082 | 3 | 0 to 3 |  | 0 to 1 | 1 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1083 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1084 | 9 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 5,7 | 3 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 2,10 |
| 1085 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 3,5 | 0 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 5 |
| 1085 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 3,5 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 5,9 |
| 1086 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 2 |
| 1087 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 1,3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 7,9 |
| 1088 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 6 |
| 1088 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 1089 | 9 | 9 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 0 to 9 | 7 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 9 |
| 1090 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 6 |
| 1090 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 6 |
| 1091 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1092 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| 1093 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 1 to 7 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1094 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | T |
| 1095 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1,3 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 6,7 |
| 1096 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 9 |
| 1097 | 9 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 9 |
| 1097 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 0 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 |
| 1097 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 3,5,7 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 9,3 |
| 1098 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 9 |
| 1098 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3,19,1 |
| 1099 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3,5 | 3 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 3 to 7 | 1 | 1 to 5 | T |
| 1100 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 2 |
| 1101 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 6 |
| 1102 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 9 |
| 1103 | 7 | 0 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 7 | 5 | 5 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 7 | 0 to 7 | 9 |
| 1104 | 7 | 3 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 1 to 5 | 9 |
| 1104 | 7 | 7 to 7 | 7 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3 |
| 1105 | 7 | 0 to 9 | 3,5 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 9 |
| 1106 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 |
| 1108 | 7,9 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 0 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 3 to 7 | 9 |
| 1109 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 4 |
| 1110 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 7 | 0 to 7 | 3 |
| 1111 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| 1111 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 |
| 1112 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 1 |
| 1113 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 5 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 |


| 1115 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1116 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1117 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1118 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 0 to 5 |
| 1119 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1120 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1122 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1123 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1124 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1125 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1126 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 5 | 3 to 5 |
| 1127 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 7 | 0 to 7 |
| 1128 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1131 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1132 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1133 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1136 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1137 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1137 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1138 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1139 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1140 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1141 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1143 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1143 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1144 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1145 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 |
| 1146 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1148 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 5 |
| 1149 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1153 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 1 to 7 |
| 1154 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 3 |
| 1158 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 0 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 |
| 1159 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 |
| 1167 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1168 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 5 | 0 to 5 | 1 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 1 to 5 |
| 1169 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 1 | 0 to 3 |
| 1170 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |
| 1171 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 |

$11720 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0,1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 1$ $11730 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $11740 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $11760 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1$ $1176 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $11770 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1178 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $11790 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1180 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $11810 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ 1184000 to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0,1 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 1$ $118500 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1186 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 6$ $11870 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1190 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1191 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 1 \\ 1193 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1194 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 0 \text { to } 7 & 9\end{array}$ $1195 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 1,3 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 9$ $1197 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad 5 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1198 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1199 & 7 & 0 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 7 \\ 1204 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1204 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 1 \\ 1205 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 3 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}1206 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3,5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 9 \\ 1207 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 1,3,5 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1209 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 1,3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 9\end{array}$ 1213000 to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1217 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1219 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0,1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1223 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 9\end{array}$ 1225000 to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1231 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1232 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 0 \text { to } 7 & 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1233 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1233 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1235 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0,1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1236 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7,9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 9 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 4 \\ 1237 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 1\end{array}$
$1239 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 6$ $12410 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1245 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 2$ $12460 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $1247 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 6$ $1254 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1256 & 7 & 5 & \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3\end{array}$ $12590 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1260 & 7 & 7 & \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 & \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 5\end{array} \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1268 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 9\end{array}$ $1269 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 6$ $1270 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1272 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 6\end{array}$ $1273 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1274 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1275 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3,5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1276 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 9\end{array}$ $1277 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to 3 1281000 to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1288 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3,5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T } \\ 1291 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1291 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T } \\ 1291 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1298 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1304 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 6 \\ 1313 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 0 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1319 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 0 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 6\end{array}$ $1323 \quad 7 \quad 0$ to $9 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $5 \quad 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1324 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 2 \\ 1326 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 9 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1327 & 7 & 7 & \text { to } 9 & 3 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1328 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 5 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 6 \\ 1331 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $1332 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 3,5 \quad 3$ to $5 \quad 9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad$ T $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1332 & 7 & 5 & \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 & \text { to } 3 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 1\end{array} 0$ to $3 \quad$ T $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1333 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 6 \\ 1334 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1335 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & \text { T } \\ 1338 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 3 & 6\end{array}$ $1340 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $0 \quad 6$
$9 \quad 7$ to 9 5 to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to 9

| 1362 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 7 | 7 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 5 | 1 to 5 | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 1363000 to $5 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to 3 0 0 to 3 0 0 to $1 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1$ $1366 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 0 \quad 0$ to $1 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to 3 0 0 to $1 \quad 1,3 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 6$ $1372 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 1 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 5 \quad 1$ to $7 \quad 3$ $1373 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 7,9 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1374 & 9 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 5 \text { to } 7 & \text { T } \\ 1375 & 9 & 9 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 & \text { T }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1375 & 9 & 9 \text { to } 9 & 5 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 9 & 7 & 5 \text { to } 7 & 5 & 3 \text { to } 5 \\ 1376 & 7 & 7 \text { to } 9 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 5 & 1 \text { to } 5 & 3 & 1 \text { to } 5\end{array}$


| 1377 | 9 | 5 to 9 | 1,3 | 1 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 2,9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1379 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 9 |

$1380 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $9 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 3 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad$ T
$1381 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $9 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 5 \quad 1$ to $5 \quad 3 \quad 0$ to $3 \quad 1 \quad 1$ to $3 \quad 9$
$1384 \quad 7 \quad 7$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 5$ to $7 \quad 7 \quad 0$ to $7 \quad 5 \quad 3$ to $7 \quad$ T

| 1388 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1389 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 9 |


| 1389 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 1 | 1 to 3 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1398 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 |


| 1407 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1408 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 1,3 | 1 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 3 | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1409 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 3 |


| 1410 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1420 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 3 | 6 | $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1424 & 7 & 5 & \text { to } 7 & 0 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 1 & 0 \text { to } 3 & 0,1 & 0 \text { to } 1 & 1 & 1 \text { to } 3\end{array}$


| 1427 | 9 | 0 to 7 | 5,7 | 5 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 7 | ,, 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1436 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 5 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 5 | T |
| 1437 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 3,5 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 5 | 1 to 5 | 3 |
| 1439 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 1 to 5 | 1,3 | 1 to 3 | T |
| 1442 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 1 to 7 | 1 | 0 to 3 | T |
| 1450 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 0 to 7 | 7 | 3 to 9 | 3 | 1 to 7 | 3 | 0 to 5 | T |
| 1459 | 7 | 7 to 7 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 3 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0,1 | 0 to 1 | 2 |
| 1460 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 6 |
| 1462 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 1 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1463 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 3 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 1 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1471 | 9 | 7 to 9 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 0 to 1 | 3 | 0 to 3 | 2 |
| 1474 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 0 to 9 | 7 | 1 to 9 | 3 | 0 to 5 | 5 | 1 to 7 | 4 |
| 1475 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 7 to 9 | 7 | 5 to 9 | 5 | 3 to 7 | 7 | 5 to 7 | 4 |
| WC | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | NA |
| WC | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | 0 | 0 to 0 | NA |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ IPMs are based on Delwiche's (1980) zero to nine scale. Interactions highlighted in gray represent bi or tri-modal data in which the PG actually changes depending on the mode used. Interactions highlighted in yellow were positive controls obtained from University of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. R gene is the recognized resistance gene present in each differential cultivar. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ WC (water control) were inoculated with sterile distilled water blanks and used as a negative control. *PG-1 has been classified as L. biglobosa by Shoemaker and Brun (2001).

