



### **Plant Production Science**

ISSN: 1343-943X (Print) 1349-1008 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tpps20

## Effects of Coronatine on Growth, Gas Exchange Traits, Chlorophyll Content, Antioxidant Enzymes and Lipid Peroxidation in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Seedlings under Simulated Drought Stress

# Baoqing Wang, Zhaohu Li, Egrinya Eneji, Xiaoli Tian, Zhixi Zhai, Jianmin Li & Liusheng Duan

**To cite this article:** Baoqing Wang, Zhaohu Li, Egrinya Eneji, Xiaoli Tian, Zhixi Zhai, Jianmin Li & Liusheng Duan (2008) Effects of Coronatine on Growth, Gas Exchange Traits, Chlorophyll Content, Antioxidant Enzymes and Lipid Peroxidation in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Seedlings under Simulated Drought Stress, Plant Production Science, 11:3, 283-290, DOI: <u>10.1626/pps.11.283</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1626/pps.11.283

9	© 2008 Crop Science Society of Japan	Published online: 03 Dec 2015.
	Submit your article to this journal 🛛	Article views: 335
à	View related articles 🖓	Citing articles: 6 View citing articles

### Effects of Coronatine on Growth, Gas Exchange Traits, Chlorophyll Content, Antioxidant Enzymes and Lipid Peroxidation in Maize (Zea mays L.) Seedlings under Simulated Drought Stress

Baoqing Wang, Zhaohu Li, A. Egrinya Eneji, Xiaoli Tian, Zhixi Zhai, Jianmin Li and Liusheng Duan\*

(State key Laboratory of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry and College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100094, P.R. China)

Abstract : Coronatine is a phytotoxin that affects the accumulation of defence-related metabolites in plants but information on how its effects may be mediated by environmental stress is scanty. An experiment was carried out to determine the changes in growth, gas exchange, relative water content, chlorophyll (Chl) content, antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in maize (Zea mays L., var. 'Nongda 3138') seedlings treated with coronatine under simulated drought stress. Seedlings raised hydroponically in a growth chamber with simulated drought for 8d (longperiod drought) or 3d (short-period drought) were treated with or without coronatine at the three-leaf stage. Under the drought condition, treated with coronatine significantly increased the fresh weight and relative water content in leaves of seedling leaves. The increase was accompanied by increased rates of photosynthesis and transpiration, and the maintenance of Chl pigments. Coronatine had no effects on catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (POD) and glutathione reductase (GR) under normal condition, but it significantly enhanced activities of CAT, POD and GR in stressed seedlings under the long-period drought treatment. Under the short-period drought treatment, the POD and GR activity in the seedlings treated with coronatine were much higher than in those not treated. Malondialdehyde (MDA) increased sharply under drought condition, but treatment with coronatine significantly reduced it by 15%. The total Chl content of leaves under the drought condition was markedly increased by the treatment with coronatine. Seedlings subjected to a short-period drought had reduced water content, but recovered fairly well by the treatment with coronatine with negligible effects on most physiological and biochemical processes. The application of coronatine alleviated the drought stress in maize seedlings and enhanced their tolerance of water stress through changes in physiological and anti-oxidant enzyme activities.

Key words : Antioxidant enzymes, Coronatine, Drought, Gas exchange, Maize seedlings.

Coronatine is a phytotoxin produced by several pathovars of *Pseudomonas syringae* including pv. *atropurpurea*, *glycinea*, *maculicola*, *morsprunorum* and *tomato* (Bender et al., 1999). The most prominent effect of coronatine is an intense spreading of chlorosis in leaf tissues of plants.

Previous research results indicated that coronatine mimics a precursor of jasmonic acid (JA) which is involved in the biological processes of plant growth and development (Sakai, 1980, 1981; Sakai et al., 1984; Ferguson and Mitchell, 1985; Mino et al., 1987; Kenyon and Turner, 1990; Weiler et al., 1993, 1994). Coronatine affects the accumulation of defenserelated secondary metabolites such as pterocarpantype glyceollin, sakuranetin, momilactone A, alkaloid, volatile materials and taxol (Ichihara and Toshima, 1998; Tamogami and Kodama, 2000; Fliegmann et al., 2003; Lauchli and Boland, 2003). It can also induce

the accumulation of proteinase inhibitors associated with herbivory (Ryan, 1992) and the expression of a special gene *JIP* (JA-induced protein gene) under osmotic stress in barley (Hause et al., 1996). Investigations have revealed that coronatine showed different physiological effects on different tissues (Kenyon and Turner, 1990), and it is plausible that it acts differently in monocotyledon and dicotyledon plants (Uppalàpati et al., 2005). Many reports have shown that coronatine mediates the stress response associated with pathogens and herbivory (Lauchli and Boland, 2003; Schüler et al., 2004), and it was suggested to mediate plant responses to environment stresses. Our previous study showed that coronatine alleviated salinity stress in cotton by improving the antioxidative defense system and DPPH-radical scavenging activity (Xie et al., 2008), but its effects on drought and osmotic stress is still unknown.

Received 5 July 2007. Accepted 25 January 2008. Corresponding author: L.S. Duan (duanlsh@cau.edu.cn, fax+86-10-62731301). This research was supported by grants from the National Science & Technology Development Program of China(No.2006BAD02A15), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (No.2006AA10A213), and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Educational Institutions of MOE, P.R.China.

**Abbreviations**: ABA, abscisic acid; AOS, active oxygen species; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; CAT, catalase; Chl, chlorophyll; DW: dry weight; FW, fresh weight; GR, glutathione reductase; JA, jasmonic acid; MDA, malondialdehyde; POD, guaiacol peroxidase; RWC, relative water content; SOD, superoxide dismutase; TW, turgid weight.

Plant Production Science Vol.11, 2008

Table 1. Description of treatments used in the study

Treatment and their acronyms		Description	
Normal	Control	No drought stress, no application of coronatine (control)	
	COR	CK+application of coronatine (20 nmol $L^{-1}$ ) at the 3-leaf stage.	
Long-period drought PEG 8		Drought (8 days) condition simulated by adding $15\%$ PEG-6000 to culture solution	
	COR+PEG 8	Application of coronatine + PEG8	
Short-period drought	PEG 3	Growing under drought condition as above for 3 days; seedlings were transferred to continue growth in normal culture solution for the remaining days of observation.	
	COR+PEG 3	Application of coronatine + (PEG 3+RW)	

CK, control; COR, coronatine; PEG, polyethylene glycol 6000; PEG 3, PEG treatment for 3 days; PEG 8, PEG treatment for 8 days.

Drought is one of the most limiting factors of crop production, and one of the most important droughtrelated injuries is from osmotic stress, which leads to dehydration of plant cells and stunted growth. Furthermore, it disturbs the process of photosynthesis and respiration through the accumulation of activated oxygen species (AOS). Osmotic stress impairs the photosynthetic electron transport systems (Sharma and Dubey, 2005). Plants have both enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidant systems to prevent or alleviate the damage caused by AOS. Several enzymes can efficiently detoxify AOS, whereas superoxide radicals which are the first AOS produced in plant cells are detoxified by superoxide dismutase (SOD). Hydrogen peroxide is reduced to H<sub>2</sub>O and destroyed by catalase (CAT) and different peroxidase such as guaiacol peroxidase (POD) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX). The decreased formation of glutathione reduces the ascorbate oxidized by APX and the glutathione is again reduced by the glutathione reductase (GR) (Alscher et al., 1997). Therefore, exogenous application of some free radical scavengers could decrease the membrane damage and increase the enzymatic defence systems against AOS.

There is evidence that plant responses to drought can be modulated by various plant growth regulators such as abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (Agarwal et al., 2005), JA, betain (Gao et al., 2004) and spermidine (Blamowski et al., 2001). Yan et al. (1999) reported that coronatine could enhance the resistance of millet seedlings to water stress. Low concentrations of coronatine may increase the relative water content (RWC) in leaves of maize seedlings, but the physiological and biochemical effects of coronatine on maize under drought are not well known.

Therefore, our objective was to determine the effects of coronatine on the drought tolerance of maize seedlings, and explore its potential application to field crop production. We compared the effects of coronatine on the growth, leaf RWC, gas exchange traits, chlorophyll pigments, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme defence system of maize seedlings under normal, long-period and short-period drought conditions.

#### Materials and Methods

#### 1. Plant materials and treatments

Sterilized maize (Zea mays L., var. 'Nongda 3138') seeds were germinated for 4 days at room temperature (25°C). The resulting seedlings were grown in Hoagland's nutrient solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950) in a phytotron at 25/20°C day/night temperature with a 16-light and 8- h dark period. The relative humidity inside the phytotron was 65% and an irradiance of 400  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at the leaf level was provided from metal halide lamps. Coronatine was applied to the nutrient solution at a concentration of  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>, which was considered adequate for improving salinity resistance when applied to the seedlings developed three unfolded leaves. Our preliminary evaluation also showed this concentration to have the most measurable effects on maize seedlings. Drought (8d or 3d) stress was simulated by adding 15% polyethylene glycol (PEG-6000) to the nutrient solution 24 hours after coronatine application. The water potential in PEG-6000 treated solutions was -0.5 MPa. Three days after drought, half of the stressed plants were transferred to nutrient solution for the next 5 days (short-period drought treatment), and half remained in drought stress (long-period drought treatment). Thus we had coronatine-treated and water-treated plants, grown at normal, long-period and short-period drought conditions. The six treatments described in Table 1 were studied in a completely randomised design with four replications.

## 2. Measurement of relative water content and gas exchange

The RWC was measured on the youngest fully expanded leaves following the method of Turner (1981). Five plants were examined in each replication. Fresh weight (FW) of leaves was determined immediately after harvest, and then leaf discs were allowed to float on distilled water until fully rehydrated. Leaf discs were weighed for turgid weight (TW). The turgid leaves were dried in a hot air oven at 80°C to a constant weight and dry weight (DW) was recorded. The RWC of the leaves was calculated as:

#### RWC = $(FW-DW) / (TW-DW) \times 100$ .

The gas exchange parameters including photosynthesis and transpiration rates were measured using a LI-6400 infrared gas analyzer (LICOR, Lincoln, NE, USA), operated with a 6400-02 LED light source (LICOR) providing 400  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> PPFD. The primary CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chamber was 390  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>. Ten plants were examined per replication. The measurements were carried out on the third healthy unfolded leaf from the tip at 25°C from 1100 to 1300. The RWC and gas exchange were measured on the 4th, 6th and 8th day after coronatine treatment.

#### 3. Enzyme extraction and assay

On the 8th day after coronatine treatment, the youngest fully expanded leaves from five plants in each replication were sampled for enzyme extraction and determination. Frozen leaves (0.5 g) were homogenised in liquid N<sub>2</sub> using a mortar and pestle and then re-suspended in 5 mL of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (Xu and Huang, 2004). The extraction buffer used for the APX assay contained 0.2 mM ascorbate. The homogenate was centrifuged (15,000 g, for 20 min), and the supernatant was used for the assays.

The SOD activity was determined by measuring its ability to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) using the method of Dhindsa et al. (1981). A 3 mL reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 13 mM methionine, 75 mM NBT, 2 mM riboflavin, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0 or 50  $\mu$ L enzyme extract was prepared for the assay. Riboflavin was then added and tubes containing the mixture were shaken and incubated under two 15 W fluorescent lamps (detected irradiance was 75  $\mu$ mol m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>1</sup>) for 10 min. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was read at 560 nm.

The CAT activity was determined by measuring the rate of disappearance of hydrogen peroxide using the method of Kang and Saltveit (Kang and Saltveit, 2002). The reaction mixture for the determination contained 2.5 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.1 mL of 1% hydrogen peroxide, and 50 mL enzyme extract diluted to keep measurements within the linear range of the analysis. The decrease in hydrogen peroxide was inferred from the decline in absorbance at 240 nm.

The POD activity was determined according to Upadhyaya et al. (1985) in a reaction mixture containing 2.5 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 1 mL of 1% hydrogen peroxide, 1 mL of 1% guaiacol and 50  $\mu$ L enzyme extract. The increase in absorbance at 420 nm was followed for 1 min.

The APX activity was determined according to the method of Chen and Asada (1989) in a 1 mL reaction mixture of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM ascorbate, 1.54 mM hydrogen peroxide, and 50  $\mu$ L enzyme extract. The oxidation of ascorbate was followed by the decrease in the absorbance at 240 nm.

The GR activity was assayed by measuring the decrease in absorbance at 334 nm due to the oxidation of NADPH (Klapheck et al., 1990) in a 1 mL reaction mixture of 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM NADPH, 1 mM GSSG and 50  $\mu$ L enzyme extract at 30°C.

Protein content was determined using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard, according to the method of Bradford (1976). Five plants were examined in each replication. Each of the reaction system was at 25-30°C in enzyme and protein assay. All enzymes activities were calculated per milligram of protein per minute and expressed as a percentage of the control.

# 4. Determination of contents of malondialdehyde and chlorophyll

Lipid peroxidation was estimated from the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) production following the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) method as described in Buege and Aust (1978). Maize leaves (0.5 g) were harvested and homogenized with a mortar and pestle in 5 mL of 0.5% (v/v) TBA solution in 20% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid. The homogenate was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant was heated in a boiling water bath for 25 min and allowed to cool in an ice bath. The supernatant was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min, and used for spectrophotometric determination of MDA. Absorbance at 532 nm was recorded and corrected for non-specific absorbance at 600 nm. The MDA concentrations were calculated using an extinction coefficient of 156 mM<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> from the formula: MDA (mol g<sup>-1</sup> fresh wt.)=  $[(A_{532}-A_{600})/156] \times 10^3 \times \text{dilution factor (Du and})$ Bramlage, 1992).

Chl was extracted and assayed according to Hiscox and Israelstam (1979). Leaves of five seedlings (0.5 g) from each replication were soaked in 10 mL dimethyl sulfoxide for 48 h in darkness at room temperature. Total Chl content of extracts was determined spectrophotometrically. Chl content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) was calculated according to the formula: (20.2  $\times A_{645}+8.02 \times A_{663}) \times$  dilution factor.

#### 5. Statistical analyses

The data were analyzed by ANOVA, using SAS (version 8.0) software. Treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test (P<0.05). Values presented are means of three independent experiments.

#### **Results**

#### 1. Changes in biomass of seedlings

Both the fresh and dry weights of seedlings were significantly reduced by simulated drought treatments

Table 2. Influence of coronatine on fresh and dry weight (g/shoot) of maize seedlings under normal, long- and short-period drought.

	Fresh weight (g shoot <sup>-1</sup> )		Dry weight (g shoot <sup>-1</sup> )			
Treatments	Days after application of coronatine		Days after	Days after application of coronatine		
	4d	6d	8d	4d	6d	8d
Control	15.0 a	21.0 a	27.0 a	1.40 a	1.90 a	2.10 a
COR	15.0 a	21.0 a	27.0 a	1.40 a	1.80 a	2.00 a
PEG8	6.0 c	7.0 d	8.0 d	0.80 b	0.90 d	0.90 b
COR+PEG8	8.0 b	9.0 с	10.0 с	0.90 b	1.00 cd	1.00 b
PEG3+RW	8.0 b	13.0 bc	22.0 b	0.70 b	1.30 bcd	1.80 a
COR+PEG3+RW	9.0 b	15.0 bc	26.0 ab	0.70 b	1.40 bc	1.80 a

Values are means of three independent experiments. Means followed by different letters within a column are statistically different (P<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test. The abbreviations for treatments are as shown in Table 1.

Table 3. Influence of coronatine on the relative water content (%) of maize seedlings under normal, long- and short-period drought.

	Relative water content (%)			
Treatments	Days after application of coronatine			
	4d	6d	8d	
Control	98.0 a	98.0 a	95.0 a	
COR	97.0 a	97.0 a	95.0 a	
PEG 8	79.0 с	77.0 с	72.0 с	
COR+PEG 8	85.0 b	84.0 b	80.0 b	
PEG 3+RW	90.0 ab	94.0 a	94.0 a	
COR+PEG 3+RW	95.0 a	97.0 a	97.0 a	

Values are means of three independent experiments. Means followed by different letters within a column are statistically different (P<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test. The abbreviations for treatments are as shown in Table 1.

(Table 2). At the 8th day of observation, the FW under short-period drought, especially for COR+PEG3, recovered to about the same level in the nonstressed (Control or COR) treatments. Coronatine significantly increased FW under long-period drought (COR+PEG8) by 22% on the 4th day, 29% on the 6th and 26% on the 8th day after application. Whether under drought or not, coronatine had no significant effects on the DW of seedlings.

#### 2. Relative water content

The RWC of leaves in non-stressed seedlings (Control) was significantly higher than that in longperiod drought treatment (PEG8) and was not affected by coronatine in normal treatments (Table 3). However, seedlings treated with coronatine (COR+PEG8) under long-period drought maintained a much higher RWC than those untreated (PEG8). Seedlings under short-period drought recovered quickly as evidenced from the high RWC values in PEG3 and COR+PEG3 which were similar to those in non-stressed seedlings.

#### 3. Gas exchange parameters

The rate of photosynthesis (Pn) decreased significantly in the seedlings under long-period (8d) drought compared with other treatments (Fig. 1A). Although coronatine had little effect on Pn in nonstressed seedlings, it either increased Pn significantly or maintained its levels during long- and short-period drought.

In non-stressed seedlings under normal condition, the rate of transpiration (T) did not vary significantly. The transpiration rate decreased substantially under long- and short-period drought, but coronatine significantly increased it under long-period drought on the 8th day of observation (Fig. 1B).

#### 4. Antioxidant enzymes assay

The soluble protein content of leaves and activities of antioxidant enzymes were analyzed on the 8th day after coronatine application (Fig. 2A). Coronatine treatment caused a marked increase in soluble protein content in both drought conditions, but seedlings treated with coronatine under short-period drought (COR+PEG3) contained it (soluble protein) at the highest levels.

Under normal conditions, coronatine had negligible effects on the activities of SOD, CAT, POD, APX and GR (Fig. 2B-F) in maize seedlings but under drought, it significantly increased the activities of POD and CAT under long-period drought and that of POD under short-period drought. The activities of POD and CAT were highest under long-period drought, following coronatine application. The GR activity in coronatine applied seedlings increased in both drought treatments (COR+PEG8 and COR+PEG3+RW).

#### 5. Lipid peroxidation and chlorophyll content

In the absence of drought stress, there were no effects of coronatine on the MDA content, which is an indicator of leaf membrane damage (Fig. 3).



Days after coronatine application (d)

Fig. 1. Influence of coronatine and drought stress on: (A) rate of photosynthesis (Pn); (B) transpiration rate (T) in maize leaves at the 4th, 6th and 8th day after treatment with coronatine. All values are means  $\pm$ S.E. of three independent experiments. Bars with different letters are statistically different (*P*<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range tests. COR, coronatine; PEG, polyethylene glycol 6000; PEG 3, PEG treatment for 3 days; PEG 8, PEG treatment for 8 days; RW, recovered to normal water condition.

In contrast, the MDA content increased sharply under long-period drought, which is an evidence of membrane damage, but this was significantly reduced (15%) by the treatment with coronatine(COR+PEG8). The MDA content under short-period drought (PEG3) was not affected by coronatine (COR+PEG3) and was much lower than that under long-period drought.

Contents of Chl *a*, Chl *b*, and Chl a+b were affected by drought and coronatine treatment (Table 4). Under non-stress, coronatine had little effect on Chl *a*, but increased Chl *b*. However, seedlings treated with coronatine under short-period drought stress (COR+PEG3) showed higher values of Chl *a* than those not treated. Chl *b* was significantly greater in coronatine-treated seedlings under the drought treatments (COR+PEG8 and COR+PEG3) than those not treated. Although drought reduced significantly the total Chl content (Chl a+b) in PEG8 treatment, the Chl a+b in coronatine applied seedlings (COR+PEG8) remained similar to that under normal conditions. The Chl a+b in coronatine-applied seedlings under both drought conditions (COR+PEG 8 and COR+PEG3) were much greater than the seedlings not treated with coronatine (PEG8 and PEG3). The coronatine treatment decreased the Chl a/Chl b ratio, although it was not significant in the normal condition (Table 4).

#### Discussion

Coronatine increased the fresh biomass (FW) of the seedlings under long-period drought possibly through its favourable effect on the maintenance of high leaf water content. Such an increase in FW was similar to that induced by ABA (Young et al., 1992). It is therefore conceivable that coronatine influences FW and RWC of leaves through a mechanism which is similar to ABA. Conversely, coronatine failed to



Fig. 2. Effect of coronatine and drought stress on protein content and antioxidative enzymes system of maize seedlings at the end of all treatment programs, A: soluble protein; B: superoxide dismutase (SOD); C: catalase (CAT); D: guaiacol peroxidase (POD); E: ascorbate peroxidase (APX); F: glutathione reductase (GR). Relative activity of antioxidative enzymes was expressed as a percentage of the control. All values are means  $\pm$  S.E. of three independent experiments. Bars with different letters are statistically different (*P*<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range tests. The abbreviations for treatments are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1.

improve the biomass under normal and short-period drought as reported previously by Yan et al. (1999).

Application of coronatine also enhanced the levels of Chl and photosynthesis under long-period drought. Drought-induced reduction in photosynthesis was previously ascribed to the reduction in the conductivity of the stomata (Németh et al., 2002). In our study, the transpiration rate did not reduce in leaves of coronatine treated plants during stress period, probably because of the improved water balance. The rate of transpiration depends not only on the influence of the stomata, but also on leaf water content and other factors (Ghannoum et al., 2003). Todorov et al. (1998) also found a higher rate of transpiration in maize plants treated with ABA under drought condition. Coronatine might have regulated the stomatal conductivity to reduce leaf water consumption under drought stress as reported for ABA (Schroeder et al., 2001; Luan, 2002) and JA or methyl jasmonate (MeJA) (Lan et al., 2004), but this remains to be examined further.

Although coronatine significantly increased the content of Chl b under drought conditions, it retarded the Chl a content of seedlings. Our observation on the effect of coronatine on Chl contents was similar to that in ABA-treated wheat (Agarwal et al., 2005). However,



Fig. 3. Influence of coronatine and drought on the MDA content ( $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW) in maize leaves at the end of all treatment programs. All values are means±S.E. of three independent experiments. Bars with different letters are statistically different (*P*<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range tests. The abbreviations for treatments are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1.

the wavelength of Chl b harvesting light energy is not efficiently absorbed by Chl a. In addition, Chl b plays an important role in regulation of photosynthetic antenna size (Yamasato et al., 2005). That is to say,

Table 4. Influence of coronatine on the chlorophyll (Chl) content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) in the youngest fully developed leaves of maize seedlings at the end of all treatment programs.

Treatments	Chl a	Chl b	Chl $a+b$	Chl $a/{\rm Chl}\;b$
Control	1.240 ab	0.300 c	1.540 ab	4.130 b
COR	1.210 ab	0.350 ab	1.560 ab	3.460 b
PEG 8	1.100 b	0.200 d	1.300 с	5.500 a
COR+PEG 8	1.140 b	0.350 ab	1.490 ab	3.260 с
PEG 3+RW	1.130 b	0.300 c	1.430 b	3.770 b
COR+PEG 3+RW	1.270 a	0.400 a	1.670 a	3.180 с

Values are means of three independent experiments. Means followed by different letters within a column are statistically different (P<0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test. The abbreviations for treatments are as shown in Table 1.

seedlings treated with coronatine may capture more light energy under stress. A close relationship exists between lipid peroxidation and content of Chl (Dhindsa et al., 1981). Malondialdehyde is a product of lipid peroxidation and is used to scale the degree of damage in cell membranes under drought stress. Thus, the observed decrease in the level of MDA in coronatine-treated treatments under drought could explain the increased level of Chl content in our experiment. The action of coronatine under drought played a key role in protecting the structure and function of cell membranes and Chl pigments.

Coronatine significantly enhanced the activity of antioxidant enzymes (CAT, POD, GR) under long-period drought stress, while the POD and GR remained at higher levels after short-period drought. Drought not only damages the Chl pigment but also destroys normal photosynthetic electron transport system, which leads to production of AOS (Zgallai et al., 2005). In general, plants are protected from oxidative stress by a complex of antioxidant enzyme systems. Our data suggest that coronatine might have induced the decrease in oxidative stress, which was responsible for increasing membrane stability and Chl content. This effect was manifested by an increase in RWC and seedling growth. Our results are in conformity with those of Jiang and Zhang (2001; 2002) who examined the effect of low concentration (0.5)mM) of ABA on maize seedlings.

In this study, application of coronatine supported a considerably higher water content of leaves especially when seedlings were subjected to drought stress and it improved the gas exchange traits in leaves of maize seedlings (rates of photosynthesis and transpiration). It also promoted the activities of antioxidant enzymes, especially CAT, POD as well as GR and reduced cell membrane damage due to drought. Thus, we conclude that the application of 20 nmol L<sup>-1</sup> coronatine may alleviate drought in maize seedlings. We also suggest that crop chemical regulation is one of the ways to induce tolerance and mitigate the effects of drought stress on maize seedlings.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Bender of Oklahoma State University for kindly providing pure coronatine.

#### References

- Agarwal, S., Sairam, R.K., Srivastava, G.C. and Meena, R.C. 2005. Changes in antioxidant enzymes activity and oxidative stress by abscisic and salicylic acid in wheat genotypes. Biol. Plant. 49 : 541-550.
- Alscher, R.G., Donahue, J.L. and Cramer, C.L. 1997. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidants : relationships in green cells. Physiol. Plant. 106 : 429-436.
- Bender, C.L., Alarcón-Chaidez, F. and Gross, D.C. 1999. *Pseudomonas syringae* phytotoxins : mode of action, regulation and biosynthesis by peptide and polyketide synthetases. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63 : 266-292.
- Blamowski, Z.K., Borowski, E. and Paczos, K. 2001. The effect of exogenous spermidine on cucumber plant (*Cucumis Sativus* L.) growth in drought stress. Acta Agribot. 54 : 5-16.
- Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72 : 248-254.
- Buege, J.A. and Aust, S.D. 1978. Microsomal lipid peroxidation. Methods Enzymol. 52 : 302-310.
- Chen, G.X. and Asada, K. 1989. Ascorbate peroxidase in tea leaves : occurrence of two isozymes and the differences in their enzymatic and molecular properties. Plant Cell Physiol. 30 : 987-998.
- Dhindsa, R.S., Plump-Dhindsa, P. and Thorpe, T.A. 1981. Leaf senescence correlated with increased levels of membrane permeability a lipid peroxidation, and decreased levels of superoxide dismutas and catalase. J. Exp. Bot. 32 : 93-101.
- Du, Z.Y. and Bramlage, W.J. 1992. Modified thiobarbituric acid assay for measuring lipid oxidation in sugar-rich plant tissue extracts. J. Agric. Food Chem. 40 : 1566-1570.
- Ferguson, I.B. and Mitchell, R.E. 1985. Stimulation of ethylene production in bean leaf discs by the pseudomonad phytotoxin coronatine. Plant Physiol. 77 : 969-973.
- Fliegmann, J., Schüler, G., Boland, W., Ebel, J. and Mithofer, A. 2003. The role of octadecanoids and functional mimics in soybean defense responses. Biol. Chem. 384 : 437-446.
- Gao, X.P., Wang, X.F., Lu, Y.F., Zhang, L.Y., Shen, Y.Y., Liang, Z. and Zhang, D.P. 2004. Jasmonic acid is involved in the waterstress-induced betain accumulation in pear leaves. Plant Cell

Environ. 47: 497-507.

- Ghannoum, O., Conroy, J.P., Driscod, S.P., Paul, M.J., Foyer, C.H. and Lawlor, D.W. 2003. Nonstomatal limitations are responsible for drought induced photosynthetic inhibition in four C<sub>4</sub> grasses. New Phytol. 159 : 599-608.
- Hause, B., Demus, U., Teichmann, C., Parthier, B. and Wasternack, C. 1996. Developmental and tissue-specific expression of JIP-23, a jasmonate-inducible protein of barley. Plant Cell Physiol. 37: 641-649.
- Hiscox, J.D. and Israelstam, G.F. 1979. A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissues without maceration. Can. J. Bot. 57: 1332-1334.
- Hoagland, D.R. and Arnon, D.I. 1950. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Agric. Exp. Stn. Circ. 347:1036-1043.
- Ichihara, A. and Toshima, H. 1998. Recent studies on coronatine. Chem. Regul. Plants 33 : 31-43.
- Jiang, M.Y. and Zhang, J.H. 2001. Effect of abscisic on active oxygen species, antioxidant defence system and oxidative damage in leaves of maize seedling. Plant Cell Physiol. 4 : 1265-1273.
- Jiang, M.Y. and Zhang, J.H. 2002. Role of abscisic acid in water stress induced antioxidant defense in leaves of maize seedlings. Free Radical Res. 36 : 1001-1015.
- Kang, H.M. and Saltveit, M.E. 2002. Reduced chilling tolerance in elongating cucumber seedling radicals is related to their reduced antioxidant enzyme and DPPH-radical scavenging activity. Physiol. Plant. 115 : 244-250.
- Kenyon, J. and Turner, J.G. 1990. Physiological changes in *Nicotiana tabacum* leaves during development of chlorosis caused by coronatine. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 37: 463-477.
- Klapheck, S., Zimmer, I. and Cosse, H. 1990. Scavenging of hydrogen peroxide in the endosperm of *Ricinus communis* by ascorbate peroxidase. Plant Cell Physiol. 31 : 1005-1013.
- Lan, Y.P., Han, Z.H. and Xu, X.F. 2004. Accumulation of jasmonic acid in apple seedlings under water stress. Acta Hort. Sin. 31 : 16-20.
- Lauchli, R. and Boland, W. 2003. Indanoyl amino acid conjugates : tunable elicitors of plant secondary metabolism. Chem. Rec. 3 : 12-21.
- Luan, S. 2002. Signalling drought in guard cells. Plant Cell Environ. 25 : 229-237.
- Mino, Y., Matsushita, Y. and Sakai, R. 1987. Effect of coronatine on stomatal opening in leaves of broadbean and Italian ryegrass. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 53 : 53-55.
- Németh, M., Janda, T., Horváth, E., Páldi, E. and Szalai, G. 2002. Exogenous salicylic acid increases polyamine content but may decrease drought tolerance in maize. Plant Sci. 162 : 569-574.
- Ryan, C.A. 1992. The search for the proteinase inhibitorinducing factor, PIIF. Plant Mol. Biol. 19 : 123-133.
- Sakai, R. 1980. Comparison of physiological activities between coronatine and indole-3-acetic acid to some plant tissues. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 46 : 499-503.
- Sakai, R. 1981. Effects of coronatine on some physiological properties of plant cells. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 47: 35-41.
- Sakai, R., Akima, M., Mino Y. and Emami-Saravi,Y.R. 1984. Effect of coronatine on membrane-bound adenosine triphosphatase. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 50 : 653-655.
- Schroeder, J.I., Kwak, J.M. and Allen, G.J. 2001. Guard cell abscisic acid signalling and engineering drought hardiness in plants. Nature 410 : 327-330.

- Schüler, G., Mithöfer, A., Baldwin, I.T., Berger, S., Ebel, J., Santos, J.G., Herrmann, G., Holscher, D., Kramell, R., Kutchan, T.M., Maucher, H., Schneider, B., Stenzel, I., Wasternack, C. and Boland, W. 2004. Coronalon : a powerful tool in plant stress physiology. FEBS Lett. 563 : 17-22.
- Sharma, P. and Dubey, R.S. 2005. Drought induces oxidative stress and enhances the activity of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice seedling. Plant Growth Regul. 46 : 209-221.
- Tamogami, S. and Kodama, O. 2000. Coronatine elicits phytoalexin production in rice leaves (*Oryza sativa* L.) in the same manner as jasmonic acid. Phytochem. 54 : 689-694.
- Todorov, D., Alexieva, V. and Karanov, E. 1998. Effect of putrescine, 4-PU-30, and abscisic acid on maize plants grown under normal, drought, and rewatering conditions. J. Plant Growth Regul. 17 : 197-203.
- Turner, N.C. 1981. Techniques and experimental approaches for the measurement of plant water status. Plant Soil 58 : 339-366.
- Upadhyaya, A., Sankhla, D., Davis, T.D., Sankhla, N. and Smith, B.N. 1985. Effect of paclobutrazol on the activities of some enzymes of activated oxygen metabolism and lipid peroxidation in senescing soybean leaves. J. Plant Physiol. 121: 453-461.
- Uppalàpati, S.R., Ayoubi, P., Weng, H., Palmer, D.A., Mitchell, R.E., Jones, W. and Bender, C.L. 2005. The phytotoxin coronatine and methyl jasmonate impact multiple phytohormone pathways in tomato. Plant J. 42 : 201-217.
- Weiler, E.W., Albrecht, T., Groth, B. Z.Q. Xia, Luxem, M., Liss, H. and Andert, L.P. 1993. Spengler, Evidence for the involvement of jasmonates and their octadecanoid precursors in the tendril coiling response of *Bryonia dioica*. Phytochem. 32 : 591-600.
- Weiler, E.W., Kutchan, T.M., Gorba, T., Brodschelm, W., Niesel, U. and Bublitz, F. 1994. The *Pseudomonas* phytotoxin coronatine mimics octadecanoid signalling molecules of higher plants. FEBS Lett. 345 : 9-13.
- Xie, Z.X., Duan, L.S., Tian, X.T., Wang,B.M., Eneji, A. E. and Li, Z.H. 2008. Coronatine alleviates salinity stress in cotton by improving the antioxidative defense system and DPPH-radical scavenging activity. J. Plant Physiol. 165 : 375-384.
- Xu, Q.Z. and Huang, B. 2004. Antioxidant metabolism associated with summer leaf senescence and turf quality decline for creeping bentgrass. Crop Sci. 44 : 553-560.
- Yamasato, A., Nagata, N., Tanaka, R. and Tanaka, A. 2005. The N-terminal domain of chlorophyllide a oxygenase confers protein instability in response to chlorophyll b accumulation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 17 : 1585-1597.
- Yan, Z.F., Wei, J.K. and Zhou, X. 1999. Effects on the resistance water stress for India millet seedling by coronatine treatment. Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull. 15 : 11-14\*.
- Young, S.A., Park, S.K., Rodgers, C., Mitchell, R.E. and Bender, C.L. 1992. Physical and functional characterization of the gene cluster encoding the polyketide phytotoxin coronatine in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. Glycinea. J. Bacteriol. 174 : 1837-1843.
- Zgallai, H., Steppe, K. and Lemeur, R. 2005. Photosynthetic, physiological and biochemical responses of tomato plants to polyethylene glycol- induced water deficit. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 47 : 1470-1478.

\* In Chinese with English abstract.