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#### Abstract

A series of phenanthrene and oxidized phenanthrene derivatives with typical substitution patterns, 1.31, 1.32, 2.30a-f, 3.1a,b and (-)-4.1 have been synthesized as liquid crystal cores by a combined Directed ortho Metalation (DoM), cross coupling and Directed Remote Metalation (DreM) strategy. The synthetic methodology employed allowed variation of the tail and core structures, for the preparation of a new smectic liquid crystal compound (1.32), a homologous series of 9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10diones (2.30a-f), a diastereomeric trans-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-diol (1.31), two enantiomeric 9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-diones ( $R$ )-3.1a-b) and an enantiomeric 9,10-dihydro-9,10-dimethylphenanthrene-9,10-diol ((-)-4.1).

Polarized microscopic and differential scanning calorimetric measurements suggest that $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ forms a large range of SmC phase, ca. $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a small range of nematic phase, $c a .10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the 2.30a-f series show similar mesogenic properties, but with the extension of the length of a side chain from six carbons to eleven carbons, the nematic phase has disappeared (in the cases of 2.30a-c).

Although (R)-3.1a-b and (-)-4.1 are not liquid crystals, they show ferroelectric induction by doping, in the amount of $c a .5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, into unchiral liquid crystal hosts $\mathbf{P h B}$, DFT, PhP1, NCB76 and 2.30f. However, due to the detection limit of the instrument, i.e., $c a .0 .5 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, the spontaneous polarizations (Ps) induced could not be measured.
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## CHAPTER 1-INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction: Liquid Crystals

Liquid crystals (LC) were first discovered by an Austrian botanical physiologist, Friedrich Reinitzer ${ }^{1}$ in 1888, when he was examining the physico-chemical properties of cholesterol benzoate. Reinitzer found that, unlike normal compounds, cholesterol benzoate had two melting points on heating: at $145.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the compound melted to a cloudy fluid, and at $178.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it turned clear. He could not explain the phenomena and wrote letters to a physicist, Otto Lehmann ${ }^{2}$ for help. After a systematic study by microscopy, Lehmann concluded that the compounds showing double-melting phenomena formed a phase intermediate between crystalline phase and isotropic liquid phase as the result of a combination of anisotropic intermolecular interactions with a fluidity. After this discovery, LCs remained dormant in the scientific literature until they found applications in liquid crystal displays (LCD) 80 years later.

### 1.1.1 Liquid Crystal Phases and Their Properties

Although LCs maintain the properties of both crystalline and liquid, they are more liquid than crystalline in nature because the $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ of transition from $\mathrm{Cr} \rightarrow \mathrm{LC}$ is significantly higher than that of $\mathrm{LC} \rightarrow$ liquid.

Compounds forming LCs are called mesogens. The LC phases formed by mesogens are called mesophases. LCs are broadly classified as thermotropic and lyotropic phases according to the presence or absence of solvent. Lyotropic phases are formed in polar or nonpolar solvents. They are usually composed of a hydrophobic head
and a hydrophilic tail. These amphiphilic molecules form mesophases of various kinds depending on the volume balances between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts in certain solvents as a result of the microsegregation of the two incompatible components. Soap is an everyday example of lyotropic LCs. Thermotropic phases appear as a function of temperature, either on heating and cooling (enantiotropic) or on cooling only (monotropic). The structure and stability of thermotropic phases do not depend on solvation, but are an intrinsic property of the material as a result of anisotropic van der Waal forces and packing interactions.

There are three kinds of thermotropic mesogens: calamitic mesogens, formed by rod-shaped molecules of which one molecular axis is much longer than other two; discotic mesogens, formed by disc-like molecules, namely molecules with one molecular axis much shorter than the other two; and polycatenar mesogens, formed by board-like molecules of which the shapes are something between rod and disc molecules (Figure 1.1)

calamitic



Figure 1.1 Structures of some calamitic, discotic, and polycatenar mesogens.

Discotic LCs are composed of a rigid core which is normally based on benzene, triphenylene, or truxene with six or eight flexible aliphatic side chains. The discotic molecules have a tendency to pack to form columns which may be organized into rectangular or hexagonal arrays. The most common type of LCs is calamitic. The molecules that form calamitic phases usually have a rigid core with one or two hydrocarbon chains at each end. The rigid core is important for the formation of calamitic LCs, since it maintains an elongated shape producing interactions that favour alignment. This thesis focuses on this type of LC.

The most common mesophases formed by calamitic mesogens are nematic ( N ) phases, smectic $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{SmA})$ phases and smectic $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SmC})$ phases, which differ in the degrees of their orientational and translational/positional orders. The phase sequence is illustrated in Figure 1.2. In the isotropic liquid phase, the mesogens are randomly distributed throughout the sample. On cooling, the van der Waal forces and packing interactions between the mesogens align the mesogens such that the long axes of
mesogens are oriented, on the time average, in one direction while the molecules diffuse randomly, as in a liquid (Figure 1.2a). There is no translational/positional order in this phase. The word nematic comes from the Greek for thread, a term originated from "schlieren" texture of nematic phases observed in a polarized microscope (Figure 1.3).


Figure 1.2 Schematic representation of three LC phases.


Figure 1.3 Schlieren texture of the nematic phase observed by polarized microscopy.

Upon further cooling, short-range positional order forming layers may be achieved. If the mesogens are oriented along the layer normal $\mathbf{z}$, it is called a SmA phase (Figure
1.2 b ), in which there is no correlation between the layers, and the mesogens freely rotate along their long axes. The SmA phase typically exhibits a focal conic (fan) texture, as well as homeotropic regions (dark areas) in a polarized microscope (Figure 1.4a). The latter texture results from the mesogens being oriented perpendicular to the glass slides, i.e., along the optic axis direction of plane-polarized light, and therefore no birefringence is exhibited.

The SmC phase is formed either on cooling from the SmA phase or directly from the nematic phase. The SmC phase differs from SmA in that the mesogens are uniformly tilted away from the layer normal $\mathbf{z}$ at an angle ( $\theta$ ) (Figure 1.2c), which is temperature dependent and increases with decreasing temperature. In a polarized microscope, the fan texture of the SmA phase turns to a broken fan texture and the homeotropic regions turn to schlieren textures upon transition from the SmA to SmC phase (Figure 1.4).


Figure 1.4 Photomicrographs of the typical textures of (a) SmA and (b) SmC phases.

The molecular origins of the tilt in the SmC phase have been explained by two models. McMillan proposed that the tilt is the result of a torque produced by the
coupling of outboard dipoles. With the decrease in temperature, the mesogen rotation around the long axis slows down, which leads to the coupling of outboard dipoles to give a macroscopic torque (Figure 1.5). ${ }^{3}$ On the other hand, Wulff postulated that packing forces lead to the tilt. The formation of the SmA or SmC phases depends on the balance between the rotational entropy and packing forces (Figure 1.6). Rotational entropy favours a perpendicular structure while the packing forces favour a tilted zig-zag conformation. As the mesogen rotation slows down, the packing forces become predominant at a lower temperature, which results in an overall molecular tilt. ${ }^{4}$


Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the McMillan model for the origin of the tilt.


Figure 1.6 Schematic representation of the Wulff model for the origin of the tilt.

Wulff thought that the tails were more tilted than the core. But actually, as shown by Durand, the core is more tilted with respect to the layer normal than the tails based on a comparison of the optical tilt angle $\theta$ with the steric tilt angle $\alpha$. The optical tilt angle $\theta$ is derived from polarizable anisotropy of mesogens. The aromatic core has the highest polarizability anisotropy, so the optical tilt angle $\theta$ measured by polarized microscopy is actually the tilt angle of the rigid core with respect to the layer normal. The steric angle $\alpha$ is determinated from the actual length of layer spacing d measured by powder X-ray diffraction and the calculated molecular length 1 as $\cos \alpha=\mathrm{d} / 1$. It was found that the optical tilt angle is normally larger than the steric tilt angle, which indicates that the rigid core is more tilted than the flexible tails (Figure 1.7). ${ }^{5}$


Figure 1.7. Representation of the Durand model showing the core is more tilted.

### 1.1.2 Odd-Even Effect

The odd-even effect was discovered by Gray ${ }^{6}$ in 1955 who measured the thermal stability of a series of mesogens based on benzylideneaminofluorenone $\mathbf{A}$, and found that the clearing points (the transition temperature from a mesophase to liquid) of the series $\mathbf{A}$ lay on two well separated curves as a function of chain length. One was for the chains with odd numbers and the other was for the chain with even numbers (Figure 1.8). This effect on the thermal stability of mesophases was called odd-even effect.


Figure 1.8 Graph of transition temperature vs the number of carbon atoms in the chain.

It was suggested that the odd-even effect is related to the molecular aspect ratio (the length over the width of the molecule). When adding one more carbon to the chain to make it even, the increase of the aspect ratio is more than that going from odd to even (Figure 1.9). With the increase of the chain length, the difference becomes less significant, so the odd-even effect tapers off. ${ }^{7}$




Figure 1.9 Schematic odd-even effect.

### 1.1.3 The Chiral Smectic C Phase (SmC*)

The applications of LCs in industry are based on their optical property of birefringence, which is the ability to rotate plane-polarized light. For a LC film between two crossed polarizers, the birefringence is a function of angle formed between the plane of polarization and the average molecular orientation (director $\mathbf{n}$ ), which can be switched between two directions by applying an electrical field. Therefore, an aligned LC film can act as a light shutter by electrically switching the director orientation with respect to the polarized light. Currently, most of the liquid crystal display (LCD) devices on the market use nematic LCs as an electro-optical material. The chiral SmC* phase has received
increasing consideration as a light shutter for the next generation of LCD devices because its switching time is $10^{3}$ faster than that of the nematic phase.

In the SmC* phase, molecular chirality induces a finite twist of the director about the layer normal from one layer to the next to form a supramolecular helical structure (Figure 1.10). Another characteristic of the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase is that each smectic layer exhibits a spontaneous polarization (Ps) along its $C_{2}$ symmetry axis. But the helical form of the SmC* phase does not exhibit a net macroscopic polarization because the Ps vector of each layer rotates about the layer normal from one layer to the next and the overall Ps of the helical SmC* phase is cancelled out. However, it was discovered by Clark and Lagerwall $^{8}$ in 1980 that the helical structure of the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ unwinds between two polyimide-coated glass slides to form a uniformly tilted chiral smectic film (Figure 1.10). The slides must be rubbed in one direction and have a spacing on the order of the helical pitch (typically 1-5 um). The resulting surface-stabilized ferroelectric liquid crystal (SSFLC) film exhibits a net Ps perpendicular to the glass slides.


Figure 1.10 Schematic representation of the helical SmC* phase and a SSFLC.
By coupling Ps with an electric field $E$, a SSFLC can be switched back and forth between two degenerate states with opposite tilt and Ps orientations to give an ON/OFF
light shutter between crossed polarizers (Figure 1.11). The switching time of a SSFLC shutter depends on the rotational viscosity $(\eta)$, the applied voltage (E) as well as the Ps of the sample, as shown in eqn (1).


Figure 1.11 Schematic representation of an electro-optical SSFLC switch.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \approx \frac{1.8 \eta}{P_{s} E} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normally, a neat SmC* material has a high viscosity value which results in a slow switch time. Liquid crystal formulations for commercially available $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ shutters are obtained by mixing a small mount of chiral dopant in an achiral SmC liquid crystal mixture with low viscosity and a broad temperature range. The chiral dopant may not be mesogenic, but should have a high polarization power ( $\delta$ ), i.e., the propensity of a chiral dopant to induce a Ps in an achiral host. The polarization power $(\delta)$ is expressed by eqn (2), where $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the dopant mole fraction, $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ is the spontaneous polarization normalized for the tilt angle according to eqn (3).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta=\left(\frac{d \mathrm{P}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}{d \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow 0}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}} / \sin \theta \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Several models have been developed to explain the molecular origins of spontaneous polarization. Meyer's symmetry model provides a simple and efficient argument. A SmC liquid crystal, on the time average, has $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry with a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis element perpendicular to the tilt plane which is defined by the layer normal $\mathbf{z}$ and the molecule director $\mathbf{n}$, and an $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}$ plane element which is congruent with the tilt plane (Figure 1.12). Any dipole moment within the tilt plane and perpendicular to the plane cancels out upon application of the $\sigma_{h}$ operation. If the chirality is introduced into the smectic liquid crystal, the $C_{2}$ operation is invariant, but the $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}$ plane symmetry disappears, which results in a net dipole moment (a microscopic polarization) along the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis. ${ }^{9}$


Figure 1.12 Meyer's symmetry argument for a SmC* phase.

The molecular origin of spontaneous polarization is also described by the Boulder model. ${ }^{10}$ According to this model, the SmC phase is considered to be a supramolecular
host and the ordering imposed by the host is modeled by a mean-field potential which qualitatively behaves like a receptor or binding site (Figure 1.13). The binding site is a bent cylinder with a $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry and a zig-zag conformation with the core more tilted than the tails. When a chiral compound is confined to the binding side, the coupling of the chiral center with the polar functional groups (stereo-polar unit) produces an orientational bias along the polar $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis to give a Ps. ${ }^{11}$


Figure 1.13 The binding site according to the Boulder model.

Conformational analysis of mesogens bearing a (S)-4-(oct-2-yloxy)phenyl 4(octyloxy)benzoate reveals the origin of spontaneous polarization (Figure 1.14). There are three staggered conformations about the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ bond axis. For conformation $\mathbf{A}$, the polar alkoxy group lies within the tilt plane and no dipole moment contributes to the Ps. The alkoxy group lies perpendicular to the tilt plane in conformations $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, in which the alkoxy dipoles are opposite and oriented along the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis to contribute to Ps. The
conformations $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are non-equivalent and conformation $\mathbf{B}$ is energically favourable over conformation $\mathbf{C}$ by the anti relationship between methyl group and the C 4 alkyl group. The magnitude of Ps is dependant on the energy difference between the two conformations.

The sign of the Ps is defined by the absolute configuration of the chiral constituent. According to the physics convention, a positive sign of Ps is defined by the cross-product of the Ps vector, which points from the negative to the positive end of a dipole (opposite to that used in chemistry) and the director. In this thesis, the sign of Ps is based on the chemistry convention (Figure 1.14).



A


C

Figure 1.14 Conformational analysis of the ( $S$ )-2-octyloxy side chain and the resulting sign of Ps. A side-on view along the polar axis is shown on the top. An end-on view is shown as a Newman projection along the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bond axis of the $(S)$-2-octyloxy sidechain on the bottom. The sign of Ps is negative according to the chemistry convention.

A substituent ortho to a chiral side chain may couple with the chiral center and lead to a dramatic change in Ps. For example, in compound biphenylcarboxylate 1.1, a high Ps (negative) was observed due to the steric coupling between the nitro substituent and the $(S)$-2-octyloxy side chain causing their dipoles to align in the same direction to
contribute to Ps. ${ }^{12}$ As shown in the Figure 1.15 (a), the conformation in which the nitro group is oriented anti to C 2 is favoured because the nitro group is sterically more demanding than the ortho hydrogen. The resulting conformation combines the dipole moment of the nitro and alkoxy group to contribute to a negative Ps. However, in compound 1.2 (Figure 1.15 (b)), a high positive Ps was observed. ${ }^{11}$ The pyridine nitrogen is oriented syn to C2 because the nitrogen lone pair is sterically less demanding than the ortho hydrogen. The pyridine nitrogen has an opposite and larger dipole moment to that of alkoxy group along the polar axis, which results in a positive Ps.





Figure 1.15 Schematic conformations for $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ and the sign of Ps for a $(S)$-2octyloxy side chain ortho to (a) a nitro group and (b) to a pyridine nitrogen. Side-on views along the polar axis are shown on the left. End-on views are shown as Newman projections along the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ bond axis of the ( $S$ )-2-octyloxy side-chains on the right.

There are two types of dopants which induce a ferroelectric SmC* phase. One type has the stereo-polar unit located in the side chain and is classified as Type I dopant. ${ }^{13,14}$ The polarization power of a Type I dopant is, in general, invariant with the structure of
the SmC host. This is likely due to the fact that the side chains are conformationally more disordered in the diffuse lamellar structure of the SmC phase compared with their rigid aromatic core. Hence, the stereo-polar unit in the side chain does not, on the time average, interact with the host environment via effective molecular recognition. The dopant must simply adopt a particular conformation and orientation to fit the binding site of the SmC host. That is the reason a Type I dopant is also called a "passive guest".

The other type of dopant is called Type II dopant, which is characterized by a stereo-polar unit located in the rigid core of the molecule. The polarization power of Type II dopants normally varies with the structure of the SmC host. This may be explained by assuming that the central part of the binding site changes shape from one host to the next, since the rigid aromatic core has a higher degree of conformational order. A small change in the topography of the binding site can have a large influence on the polarization power of Type II dopants due to the conformational asymmetry of the stereo-polar unit in the core. However, for atropisomeric dopants such as 1.3, the Type II behaviour may also be due to chirality transfer via the core-core interactions between the dopant and host. ${ }^{15}$

Assuming a rigid zig-zag conformation as shown in Figure 1.16, one can identify four conformational minima in $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ corresponding to rotation of the core around the two ester C-O bonds according to AM1 calculations (Figure 1.16). ${ }^{16,17}$ Two of the minima B and $\mathbf{D}$ have no Ps since their transverse dipole moments lie in the tilt plane. Only $\mathbf{A}$ and C have transverse dipole along the polar axis to contribute to Ps but in opposite directions. The rotamers $\mathbf{C}$ have a favoured conformation with the carbonyl groups quasi
anti- periplanar with respect to the nitro groups relative to that of $\mathbf{A}$, which has a quasi syn-periplanar relationship between the carbonyl and nitro groups.


Figure 1.16 The four AM1-minimized conformations by rotation of the atropisomeric biphenyl core about the two ester C-O bonds. The tilt plane in the plane of the page.

Variations in polarization powers were observed when $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ was used as dopant in four different SmC hosts (Figure 1.17), among which the value of $1738 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (the highest reported thus far) was measured for the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (up to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in PhP1 while a value of $30 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ was given for the mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (up to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in PhB. This pronounced Type II behaviour was explained by a chirality transfer feedback mechanism. In the ground state, the core structure of PhP1 has a planar conformation, which may be distorted into an axially chiral form when 1.3 interacts with PhP1 (Figure 1.18). Such interaction may result in a chiral perturbation of the host (chirality transfer)
and amplify the polarization power of the dopant as a feedback effect. Effective chirality transfer requires a good core-core structural match between the dopant and host. PhP1 has a good structural match with $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ where $\mathbf{P h B}$ does not.


PhB: Cr 35 SmC 70.5 SmA 72 N 75 I


DFT: Cr 49 SmC 77 SmA 93 N 108 I


NCB76: Cr 66 SmG 55) SmC 73 SmA 117 N 125 I


PhP1: Cr 58 SmC 85 SmA 95 N 97 I

Figure 1.17 Liquid crystal host structures and phase transition temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 1.18 Model for chirality transfer via core-core interactions.

### 1.1.4 Hydrogen Bonding in Non-amphiphilic Mesogens

Liquid crystals can be viewed, to some degree, as a supramolecular assembly in which the mesogens are held together by intermolecular forces. In supramolecular chemistry, hydrogen bonding plays a crucial rule in the formation and stabilization of a supramolecule, e.g. the double helical structure of nucleic acids held via hydrogen bonding between complementary nucleobases. Also in liquid crystals, whose formation
is attributed to intermolecular interactions, hydrogen bonding can play an important role in the stabilization of certain mesophases. Head-to-head hydrogen bonding promotes the microsegregation of molecules into a rod-like supramolecular structure that can exhibit mesogenicity. Side-to-side hydrogen bonding enhances positional ordering to promote and stabilize smectic phases (Figure 1.19) ${ }^{18}$


Figure 1.19 Two modes of hydrogen bonding in liquid crystals: (a) head-to-head; (b) side-to-side.

### 1.1.4.1 Hydrogen Bonding in Mesogens

Carboxylic acids were the first compounds discovered to exhibit liquid crystal behaviours due to hydrogen bonding. 4-Alkoxybenzoic and cinnamic acids are among those that form liquid crystal phases, while aliphatic carboxylic acids are usually not mesogenic. The mesogenicity of those compounds may be attributed to the hydrogenbonded dimerization leading to a lengthening of the rigid core moiety which promotes liquid crystallinity (Figure 1.20).


Figure 1.20 The mesogenic dimer formed by hydrogen bonding.

Pyridine and aromatic carboxylic acids are one of the most common pairs utilized to design new liquid crystals or to stabilize already existing liquid crystals. For example, 4-butoxybenzoic acid and trans-4-[(4-ethoxybenzoyl)oxy-4'-stilbazole only exhibit nematic phases between $147-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $165-213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. But, when mixed together in a 1:1 ratio, gives an unspecified smectic phase. The newly formed mesogens exhibit a focal conic texture between $136-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by an increased nematic range between $160-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This was attributed to the formation of an elongated molecular structure via hydrogen bonding and supported by FTIR measurements which show evidence that the dimer of 4-butoxybenzoic acid was replaced by the complex
1.4. ${ }^{19}$


Cr 147 N 160 I

1.4 Cr 136 SmX 160 N 238 ।

Mesophase stabilization can be achieved via intramolecular hydrogen bonding. A marked broadening of the nematic phase was observed when an OH group was inserted at the ortho position of $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ to give 1.6. The N-to-I transition temperature of $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ is $\mathrm{ca} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than that of $\mathbf{1 . 5}$. The broader mesophase is ascribed to intramolecular hydrogen bonding to increase the core rigidity. The evidence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding was provided by X-ray and IR spectral data. ${ }^{20}$


1.6

Multiple-hydrogen bonding donors or acceptors may be used to form folded mesogens. Thus, an aliphatic dicarboxylic acid may form a twin-type liquid crystalline complex 1.7 with trans-4-[(4-ethoxybenzoyl)oxy-4'-stilbazole and exhibit both smectic and nematic phases with an odd-even effect. ${ }^{21}$ 4,4’-Bipyridine was used as a linking group to form an elongated complex 1.8 with 4-butoxybenzoic acid, which displays a sharp phase transition and smectic and nematic phases. ${ }^{22}$ In both cases, the end group monomers exhibit a nematic phase only.


Phthalic or isophthalic acid can also be used as a linking group to form mesogenic complexes with trans-4-[(4-ethoxybenzoyl)oxy-4'-stilbazole via hydrogen bonding. The proposed structures for the complexes thus formed are banana-like bent shapes. Complex
$\mathbf{1 . 9}$ displays a nematic phase only, while complex $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ exhibits a smectic phase between $156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and decomposes above $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{23}$


Diacylhydrazine B forms supramolecules via lateral hydrogen bonding (Figure
1.21). A smectic phase is exhibited by $\mathbf{B}$ and the intermolecular hydrogen bonding is arranged in two possible forms: the overlap folded structure (a) and the staggered extended structure (b). ${ }^{24}$



Figure 1.21 The suggested lamellar structure: (a) folded; (b) extended.

In order to test the stabilizing effect of lateral hydrogen bonding on the mesogenic behaviours of LCs, Tschierske designed a series of LCs with the general structure 1.11. A comparison of compounds 1.11 a and 1.11 b reveals that the SmA phase formed by 1.11a is more stable even though its lateral group X is bulkier, which may be ascribed to the formation of intermolecular lateral hydrogen bonding. In a comparison with the nonhydrogen bonding analogues 1.11 d and 1.11 e , compound 1.11 c , bearing a carboxylic acid group, was the only mesogen forming a SmA phase. From compound $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 f}$ to $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 h}$, with an increasing number of OH groups, the SmA phase becomes more stable and the smectic temperature range become broader despite the increased lateral bulk. ${ }^{25}$



Cr 151 (<25 SmA 125) I
Cr 58 (<25 N 40) ।


Cr 68 N 73 I


Cr 115 SmA 129 ।


Cr 115 SmA 142 ।

Cr 112 SmA 147 ।

Ferroelectric LCs were reported based on the benzoic acid-pyridine hydrogen bonding pair. Complex $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ exhibits chiral nematic and blue phases with $\mathrm{n}=1,2$ and chiral SmC* phases with $\mathrm{n} \geq 5,{ }^{26}$ while complex $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ shows a narrow $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase with a maximum spontaneous polarization of $16 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ at $91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{27}$


LCs with a larger aspect ratio usually show more stable mesogenic behaviour. Interestingly, compound 1.14 with a shorter methyl carbonate tail forms a broader enantiotropic nematic phase than compound 1.15, even though the latter has a longer tail and larger aspect ratio. It is proposed that hydrogen bonding between the ester methyl hydrogen and the ester carbonyl oxygen stabilizes the liquid crystalline phase by changing the overall L-shape topography to a more rod-like shape. Such dimerization cannot occur with 1.15 because the bulkier pentyl group prevents hydrogen bonding interactions. The evidence for hydrogen bonding in $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ is from X-Ray crystallographic analysis which reveals that the distance between the methyl carbonate hydrogen and the ester carbonyl oxygen in a neighbouring molecule is within the range of effective hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{28}$

1.14

Cr 166 N 194 ।

1.15

Cr (170 N) 187 ।

### 1.1.4.2 Hydrogen Bonding in Amphiphilic Mesogens

The liquid crystalline behaviour exhibited by amphiphilic carbohydrates was first reported by Fischer and Helferich in $1911^{29}$ and characterized by Noller and Rockwell ${ }^{30}$ in 1938. Considering the variety of available structures, it is not surprising that numerous amphiphilic LCs have been synthesized. Hydrogen bonding is crucial for their mesogenicity. For example, $\alpha$-D-glucopyranoside $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ is mesogenic while the fully acetylated carbohydrate is not. ${ }^{31}$ Normally, carbohydrate amphiphiles with one lipophilic chain form smectic phases, while carbohydrates with more than one lipophilic chain form columnar mesophases. ${ }^{18}$

1.16 Cr 72 SmA 116 I

Van Doren and coworkers investigated the liquid crystalline behaviors of six homologous series of D-glucose and 2-deoxy-D-glucose derivatives with an aminolinked alkyl chain (Figure 1.22). It was found that all the compounds exhibit only a SmA phase with layer spacing in a range of $l<d<2 l$, where 1 is the molecular length of the fully extended molecule and d is the calculated layer thickness. ${ }^{32}$







$$
\mathrm{n}=7-16
$$

Figure 1.22 The carbohydrates forming only a SmA mesophase.

Two models were proposed for the arrangement of molecules within the layer (Figure 1.23). In model I, bilayers were formed with the carbohydrate moieties partially overlapped in a dynamic hydrogen bonding network and the alkyl chains pointing outwards. However, this model was questioned by Jeffery because the melting points of such amphiphilic carbohydrates change very little with lengthening of the chain while clearing points increase a lot. An alternate model II was proposed with an opposite layer structure. The extended alkyl chains form the core of the smectic layers with the carbohydrate moieties on the exterior as in lamellar lyotropic phases. ${ }^{33}$ The rigid interdigitated packing model may explain why only a SmA phase formed.


Figure 1.23 The lamellar structures for two models: I and II.

Dithioacetal aldoses (Figure 1.24) have been shown to form a columnar hexagonal mesophase. These compounds, although different in stereochemistry, form only one type of disc-shaped multimer by hydrogen bonding which was proved by X-ray diffraction. A mesophase structure was proposed with the sugar parts of the molecules forming the core of the column surrounded by thioalkyl groups in the periphery. However, an analogous 6deoxy sugar dithioacetal did not show mesogenicity. This indicated that the terminal hydroxyl function is essential for this molecular arrangement. ${ }^{34}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ unit




Figure 1.24 The dithioacetal aldoses and the representation of the hexagonal columnar mesophase.

Some polyols with one polar head may form thermotropic liquid crystals depending on the tendency of the hydroxyl groups to form a dynamic network via cooperative hydrogen bonding and on their location at the ends of lipophilic chains. For example, the four polyols $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}-\mathbf{1 . 2 0}$ have been shown to form SmA phases. ${ }^{35}$

1.17: Cr 107.8 SmA 155.8 I


1.19: Cr 88.7 SmA 137.7 I

### 1.1.5 Liquid Crystals Containing Phenanthrene Core Structures

Liquid crystalline phenanthrene compounds are analogues of the more common biphenyl LCs. However, studies on such LC systems are comparatively few due, in part, to the difficulty in the synthesis of such systems with appropriate substitution patterns (almost exclusively 2 - or 2,7-substituents) by classical routes.

The phenanthrene core is flat and fully conjugated. Therefore, it is more polarizable than the conventional biphenyl core, which is non-planar in the ground state. This favours $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions and promotes the formation of smectic phases via microsegregation. Furthermore, the permanent transverse dipole moment of a phenanthrenedione core is likely to stabilize the SmC phase. ${ }^{36}$ However, the lateral bulk of the bridging groups is considered to destabilize potential SmC phases. ${ }^{37}$

The first LCs with phenanthrene cores were reported by Gray in 1958. To investigate the effects of increasing the breadth and polarizability of molecules on their mesogenic behaviour, he prepared the mono-substituted phenanthrene aniline 1.21 to compare with the analogous biphenyl aniline $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$. Most compounds in these two series were shown to form a nematic phase and an unspecified smectic phase. Changing the core from biphenyl to phenanthrene destabilized the smectic phase by lowering the transition temperature by $c a 16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (average value when $\mathrm{n}=6-10$ ), but stabilized the nematic phase by increasing the transition temperature by ca $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (average value when $\mathrm{n}=1-10) .{ }^{38}$

1.21

1.22

Further studies were carried out by Byron and coworkers in 1983 who compared the thermal behaviours of the analogous 9,10-dihydrophenanthrene LCs $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$ with $\mathbf{1 . 2 1}$ and 1.22. It was shown that the mesophases formed by $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$ are less stable than those of $\mathbf{1 . 2 1}$ and 1.22. This can be explained by that fact that $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$ is laterally more bulky than $\mathbf{1 . 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ and less polarizable than $\mathbf{1 . 2 1} .^{39}$


Recently, phenanthrene and its derivative cores have been investigated as discotic mesogens rather than as calamitic mesogens. In 2007, Kaszynski and coworkers synthesized and reported the mesogenic behaviours of 1,3,6,8-tetrasubstituted phenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$ and its diaza-derivative $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$ is not mesogenic and a columnar hexagonal phase is formed by $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ due to the presence of nitrogens whose sterically less hindered lone pairs are thought to lower the planarization barriers. ${ }^{40}$

1.24

1.25: $\mathrm{Cr}_{1} 19 \mathrm{Cr}_{2} 92 \mathrm{Col}_{\mathrm{h}} 168 \mathrm{I}$


The first report by Scherowsky and coworkers of ferroelectric liquid crystals with phenanthrene cores (type I) appeared in 1994. The disc-like 1,2,3,6,8,-pentasubstituted and 1,2,3,6,7,8-hexasubstituted phenanthrenes bearing ( $R$ )-2-(oct-2-yloxy) side chains 1.26a and 1.27a form a broad columnar phase. ${ }^{41}$ The disc plane is tilted with respect to the columnar axis (Figure 1.25) ${ }^{42}$ and is switchable under an electric field. The $1: 1$ mixture of chiral $1.27 b$ and nonchiral 1.27 c shows a linear electrical switching property.

The switching angle for this mixture is $+/-5^{\circ}$ under $+/-5 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{\mu m}$ and rises to $+/-20^{\circ}$ under $+/-20 \mathrm{~V} \mu \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. The change of temperature has a weak influence on the switching angle. ${ }^{42}$

1.26a: < -100 Col 75 I

1.27a: Cr -12.5 Col 145 I



Figure 1.25 Phenanthrene core liquid crystal compounds and the representation of a tilted chiral column.

The modified version of phenanthrene derivatives $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ appeared one year later with a discussion of spontaneous polarization. A very broad enantiotropic columnar phase is formed by the chiral derivatives $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$. The columnar phase is stabilized by
the insertion of oxygen into the side-chain (compare $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 d}$ ) and destabilized when the chiral center approaches the mesogenic core (compare 1.28a and 1.28b). No phase transition from the discotic to crystalline phase was observed by DSC measurements. Compound 1.29a is not mesogenic, but a mixture of 1.28 a (15\%) and 1.29a ( $85 \%$ ) exhibit a spontaneous polarization up to ca $54 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ at $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{42}$

1.28

1.29a

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}
$$



1.28a: $\mathrm{Cr}<\mathrm{rt} \mathrm{Col} 131$ I
1.28b: $\mathrm{Cr}<\mathrm{rt} \mathrm{Col} 138$ ।

1.28c: $\mathrm{Cr}<\mathrm{rt} \mathrm{Col} 125 \mathrm{I}$


1.28d: $\mathrm{Cr}<\mathrm{rt} \mathrm{Col} 107$ I

### 1.1.6 Mesogen Design Considerations

As discussed in the above review, intermolecular hydrogen bonding may be harnessed to promote the formation of liquid crystal phases and/or to modify their bulk properties. Extensive studies have focused on the effect of hydrogen bonding on the promotion of mesogenicity and the stabilization of mesophases. However, to date, knowledge about the relationship between hydrogen bonding and polar order of SmC * mesophase is limited. In the work of a previous group member, Adam McCubbin, a chiral compound with a fluorenol core $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ was synthesized and shown to form a $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase with a negative spontaneous polarization of $-10.7 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ at 10 K below the
$\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ transition point $(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{Tc}=-10 \mathrm{~K})$. The measurable Ps is explained by the fact that fluorenol cores are slightly bent ( $24^{\circ}$ in the crystal structure), which limits their free rotation with respect to the side chains while maintain the zig-zag shape imposed by the smectic C* phase (Figure 1.26). Because of this rotational bias, the transverse dipole moment of the chiral fluorenol may have a preferred orientation along the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ polar axis dependent on its absolute configuration, and may therefore contribute to the spontaneous polarization.

1.30: Cr 87 SmC* $^{181}$ I


Figure 1.26 Molecular models (MM2) of $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ in relation to the mean-field potential according to the Boulder model. From left to right the fluorenol core is rotated $180^{\circ}$ with respect to the two side chains.

However a conformational analysis of $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ based on AM1 calculations suggest that there are only very subtle energy differences between conformations with different polar orientation. Thus, it is not clear whether the observed Ps is due to the conformational bias arising from the bent shape of the fluorenol core, or to intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions via a cooperative effect. ${ }^{43}$

As a continuation of previous work and to answer the above question, a dihydrophenanthrenediol $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ was designed. One feature of this compound is that it has a linear core structure which should minimize any rotational bias in the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase that would contribute to polar ordering. If a Ps is observable in such a system, it may be attributed entirely to intermolecular hydrogen bonding. Furthermore, more intermolecular hydrogen bonds are expected because of the presence of the additional hydroxyl group in the core. As in compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$, the stereo-polar unit is located in the more ordered core region instead of the side chains to maximize the propagation and/or amplification of chirality transfer. It was envisaged that the chirality of compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ might be amplified by cooperative hydrogen bonding interactions, thus resulting in an increased polar order and increased Ps. Prior to undertaking the synthesis of 1.31, compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ is to serve as model to determine the mesogenic properties of such a system, including whether it forms a SmC phase.

1.31

### 1.2 Synthetic Methodology

### 1.2.1 The Directed ortho Metalation (DoM) Reaction

The traditional method for the preparation of polysubstituted aromatic compounds involves the use of electrophilic aromatic substitution (EAS), ${ }^{44}$ a methodology which is handicapped by poor and/or unpredictable regioselectivity. Over 60 years ago, Gilman ${ }^{45}$ and Wittig ${ }^{46}$ independently discovered the first example of the reaction which is currently known as the directed ortho metalation (DoM) reaction: the treatment of anisole with alkyllithium, followed by quench with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ resulted in the formation of ortho-anisic acid 1.35 (Scheme 1.1). Their work initiated the development of the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ reaction which changed profoundly the face of aromatic chemistry. The DoM strategy has evolved as a reliable method for the synthesis of contiguously substituted aromatic molecules in both industrial ${ }^{47}$ and academic fields. ${ }^{48,49,50}$


Scheme 1.1
The DoM reaction, simplistically described in Scheme 1.1, involves deprotonation ortho to a directed metalation group (DMG), e.g. OMe, by an alkyllithium or lithium amide base to give anionic intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 3 4}$. Subsequent quench with an electrophile, e.g. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, affords a 1,2-disubstituted aromatic $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$.

Due to the strongly basic environment of DoM reactions, DMGs must not be electrophilic. Steric hindrance and charge deactivation factors should be taken into the consideration for designing a good DMG. Over 60 years since the discovery of the DoM
reaction, ${ }^{45,46}$ variety of DMGs have been developed, which are classified as carbon- and heteroatom-based DMGs referring to the atom directly connected to the aromatic ring. ${ }^{51}$ In the selected DMGs listed in Table 1.1, carbon-based $N, N$-diethyl carboxamide, discovered by Beak in $1977,{ }^{52}$ is one of the most popular DMGs in DoM chemistry by virtue of its strong directing effect and tolerance to nucleophilic attack at low temperatures. The oxazoline group, another carbon based DMG, invented by Meyers, ${ }^{53}$ stands as a good DMG because it may be hydrolyzed to a carboxylic acid derivative after serving its purpose. The vigorous conditions for the hydrolysis (reflux in 4.5 M HCl ) handicap the application of this methodology though. Among the heteroatom based DMGs, $N, N$-diethyl- $O$-carbamate, discovered and developed in the Snieckus laboratories in $1983,{ }^{54}$ occurs with a stronger directing effect compared to the corresponding $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ diethyl carboxamide. Furthermore, it participates in several other anion-mediated transformations by connection with anionic ortho-Fries rearrangement and the directed remote metalation (DreM) (vide infra). ${ }^{52 \mathrm{a}}$ Some other heteroatom DMGs such as the $O$ sulfamate and $O$-phosphonamidate not only act as DMGs, but also exhibit facile Kumada-like cross coupling characteristics. ${ }^{55}$ The relative efficiencies of DMGs in DoM reactions have been determined by intramolecular and intermolecular competition studies (Figure 1.26). ${ }^{56}$

Table 1.1 Typical DMGs.

| Carbon Based |  | Year | Heteroatom Based |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CON ${ }^{-}$R | Hauser ${ }^{57}$ | 1964 | OMe W | ${ }^{46 \mathrm{~b}}$ Gilman ${ }^{45}$ | 1938,1939 |
| Me |  |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NR}_{2}$ | Hauser ${ }^{58}$ | 1969 |
|  | Meyers ${ }^{53}$ | 1975 | NHBoc | Gschwend ${ }^{59}$ | 1979 |
| CONR 2 | Beak ${ }^{52}$ | 1977 | $\mathrm{OCONEt}_{2}$ | Snieckus ${ }^{54}$ | 1983 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Gschwend ${ }^{60}$ | 1979 | $\mathrm{NHCO}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}$ | Muchowski ${ }^{61}$ | 1989 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | Mortier ${ }^{62}$ | 1994 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ | Snieckus ${ }^{63}$ | 1998 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{NR}_{2}$ | Snieckus ${ }^{52}$ | 2003 |
|  | Snieckus ${ }^{64}$ | 1999 | $\mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ | Snieckus ${ }^{65}$ | 2003 |



Figure 1.26 Hierarchy of DMGs.

### 1.2.2 The Mechanism of the DoM Reaction

Although the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ reaction has enjoyed broad utility for the synthesis of polysubstituted aromatic and heteroaromatic molecules, its mechanism remains a controversial subject. ${ }^{66}$ In 1946, Roberts and Curtain, ${ }^{67}$ suggested that the DoM reaction might involve an initial coordination of the BuLi with the lone pair of DMGs on the basis of the fact that the activation effect of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ for benzene towards metalation is less than that of MeO. Based on this hypothesis, Beak and Meyers ${ }^{68}$ proposed a two-step mechanism for the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ reaction, involving an initial process referred to as the complex induced proximity effect (CIPE), a concept suggesting that the coordination of a DMG with the base brings reactive groups into the proximity of a reaction center. The mechanism is presented in Scheme 1.2. It proceeds by precoordination of the DMG with a lithium base to afford complex 1.37. Subsequent lithiation via $\mathbf{1 . 3 8}$ gives $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$, which, upon electrophile quench, affords product $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$.


Scheme 1.2

Indirect evidence for this mechanism came from a stopped-flow IR spectroscopic study on the $\alpha$ '-metalation of $N, N$-dialkylbenzamides 1.41 with $s$-BuLi/TMEDA, which suggested the presence of amide-Li complex intermediates $1.42,1.43,1.44$ before the formation of the lithiated product 1.45. ${ }^{69}$ Saa and coworkers found theoretical (MNDO) evidence for the formation of weak, chelated complexes 1.47 in the lithiation of 1,3disubstituted aromatics $1.46,{ }^{70}$ which suggests that a coordination event leads to a deprotonation process.


Scheme 1.2

The strongest, although indirect, evidence to support the CIPE mechanism comes from inter- and intramolecular kinetic isotope effect (KIEs) studies (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{71}$ For the metalation of urea $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ (Scheme 1.3), if it proceeds by a one-step mechanism without prior complexation, approximately the same value of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is expected in both intermolecular and intramolecular cases, because the base has no choice of selection between protium and deuterium. Since this is not consistent with the experiment data which established $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}(\text { inter })}=5-6$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}(\text { intra })}>20$, a one-step mechanism is safely excluded. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ However, the application of this approach to $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ on compound $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ resulted in comparable inter- and intramolecular KIEs: $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}(\text { inter })}>20$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}(\text { intra })}>30 .{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Although this does not allow to differentiate definitely one- or two-step mechanism for DoM, the high intermolecular KIE excludes a pathway of a slow irreversible complexation following by a quick protium/deuterium transfer and indicates that deprotonation is the rating-determining step. If the lithiation of $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ is assumed to follow a similar pathway, the mechanism for D oM should be a quick reversible precoordination followed by a slow deprotonation.


Scheme 1.3
The CIPE mechanism is further supported by the kinetic studies of the $\alpha$-lithiation of N -Boc-pyrrolidine 1.51. ${ }^{72}$ It was observed that the reaction is independent of the concentration of the sparteine- $i$-PrLi base complex 1.52. The observed pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics is in agreement with the fast formation of complex $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$.


Scheme 1.4

The existence of prelithiation complexes is supported by considerable evidence. ${ }^{73}$ However, it was questioned by Schleyer based on his studies on the lithiation of anisole. ${ }^{74}$ Treatment of anisole with $n$-butyllithium in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at $-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $1: 1$ ratio resulted in the formation of a coordination complex as identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and HOESY NMR spectroscopy which, however did not undergo lithiation even at rt. On the other hand, by the same spectroscopic tools, anisole was observed in a "free" state in a 1:1:1 ratio with $n$-butyllithium and TMEDA. In this form, anisole proved to be readily metalated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ despite its "free" character. This indicates that the precoordination may not be involved in the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ process. Schleyer proposed a one-step mechanism, named kinetically enhanced metalation (KEM), based on ab initio calculations, in which complexation and deprotonation occur at the same time. ${ }^{75}$ According to this mechanism, the directing and accelerating effect of the DMGs is not due to the prior complexation, but the stabilization of the transition state 1.55. The KIE studies by Stratakis support the one-step mechanism by the fact that the KIEs of inter- and intramolecular lithiation of anisole by $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are identical $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}}=2.5 \pm 0.2\right) .{ }^{76}$

1.55

In 1994, Meyers discovered two different selectivities in the metalation of $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ and 1.57. ${ }^{77}$ With $\alpha$-ethoxyvinyllithium (EVL)/HMPA, metalation occurred at the position ortho to the weaker DMG group (MeO) instead of the amide (Scheme 1.5). However, treatment of $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ with $s-\mathrm{BuLi} / \mathrm{TMEDA}$ resulted in metalation ortho to the amide. A similar result was observed by the treatment of $\mathbf{1 . 5 8}$ with BuLi and
$\mathrm{BuLi} /$ pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDTA). ${ }^{78}$ This indicates the directing effect of DMGs may be operating as a result of coordination and acidity factors. Thus, if there is a strong DMG-base coordination, a CIPE mechanism is operative. On the other hand, if the coordination is undermined by a sterically bulky base such as EVL/HMPA, an inductive effect dominates. The importance of inductive effects in DoM chemistry is also supported by kinetic studies of Collum and coworkers. ${ }^{79}$ By investigation of the $n$ $\mathrm{BuLi} /$ TMEDA mediated lithiation of arenes $\mathbf{1 . 6 5 - 1 . 6 9}$, it was found that, while the lithiation rates for these five arenes are different, the rate laws are similar, which indicates strongly a common mechanism for all five cases. The different lithiation rates were ascribed to the different acidities based on inductive effects.


Scheme 1.5

In general, the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ reaction is highly dependent on the nature of the DMGs, bases and solvents. ${ }^{80}$ No single mechanism can be applied to all DMGs. The evidence up to date supports that strongly coordinating DMGs such as amides and $O$-carbamates tend to follow a CIPE mechanism, which was strongly supported by Collum's kinetic and structural studies on the anionic Snieckus-Fries rearrangement of aryl carbamate 1.70. ${ }^{81}$ Through a careful choice of meta-substituents and solvents, Collum was able to spectroscopically (React-IR, and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR) observe the precomplex 1.72 in $n$ -

BuOMe before lithiated species 1.73. On the other hand, as proposed by Beak, ${ }^{71 \mathrm{~b}}$ weakly coordinating DMGs such as F and OMe may exert their directing effects by an inductive effect.


Scheme 1.6

### 1.2.3 Other Base Mediated DoMs

As mentioned above, alkyllithiums ( RLi ) and lithium amides $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NLi}\right)$ are the traditional bases used in DoM chemistry. However, naturally, their strong nucleophilicity (Table 1.2) is not compatible with sensitive groups such as aldehydes, ketones, and esters. Furthermore, the extremely reactive and unstable features (Table 1.3) of these bases require in situ generation of the base (for lithium amides) or very low temp ( $<-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for alkyllithiums), which sometimes negatively affect small-scale reactions and definitely complicates scale-up of these reactions. These drawbacks of using lithium bases have stimulated the development of alternative, less-nucleophilic metal bases for the DoM reaction. Eaton ${ }^{82}$ pioneered magnesium-based bases $1.74,1.75,1.76$ as adjuncts or potential replacements of alkyl lithium and lithium amide bases in DoM. Existing as dimers in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature, ${ }^{83}$ the lower nucleophilicity of these bases allows the use of esters as DMGs (Scheme 1.7). However, few applications have been found for the use of these bases due to their moderate solubility and low kinetic
basicity. Furthermore, the normal necessity of using a large excess of the bases (2-12 equiv) complicates the reactions with electrophiles (up to 10 equiv).

1.74

1.75

1.76


TMP $=$ 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-ide
Scheme 1.7

Table 1.2 The pKa values of selected conjugate acids of bases used in DoM.

|  | LDA $^{84}$ | LTMP $^{82}$ | $n$-BuLi | $s$-BuLi | $t$-BuLi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pKa | $35.7(\mathrm{THF})$ | $37.3(\mathrm{THF})$ | 50 | 51 | 53 |

Table 1.3 The half-lives of butyllithium reagents in typical solvents. ${ }^{85}$

| Solvent/Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $n$-BuLi | $s$-BuLi | $t$-BuLi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| THF/-20 |  | 80 min | 40 min |
| THF/35 | 10 min |  |  |
| THF/TMEDA/-20 | 3300 min |  |  |
| THF/TMEDA/0 | 340 min |  |  |
| THF/TMEDA/20 | 40 min |  |  |
| Ether/-20 |  | 20 hr | 480 min |
| Ether/0 | 153 hr |  | 61 min |
| Ether/20 | 600 min |  |  |
| Ether/TMEDA/ 20 |  | 120 min | 11 min |
| DME/-70 | 110 min | 2 min | $<2 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| DME/-20 | 6 min |  |  |
| DME/0 |  |  |  |

In 1999, Kondo and coworkers invented lithium di- $t$-butyl(2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidino)zincate $\left(t-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{TMP}) \mathrm{Li}\right)$ and used it in the DoM reaction. ${ }^{86}$ Arenes $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ bearing esters, nitriles and amides were regioselectively deprotonated at room temperature and the resulting zincates $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ were quenched with iodine to give products $\mathbf{1 . 8 2}$ in excellent yields (Scheme 1.8). Furthermore, the zincate $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ was used for Pd-catalyzed Negishi-like cross-coupling reactions to give biaryls $\mathbf{1 . 8 5} .{ }^{86 a}$




1.85a Ar $=$ Ph 58\%
1.85b Ar = 3-pyridyl 43\%

## Scheme 1.8

In 2004, Uchiyama and coworkers reported lithium triisobutyl(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino)aluminate-based DoM reaction. ${ }^{87}$ Unlike dimerized magnesiumbased bases, $i$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{TMP}) \mathrm{Li}$ exists as a monomer in THF. ${ }^{88}$ The ortho directed deprotonative alumination of $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ to give $\mathbf{1 . 8 6}$ was found to be applicable to a variety of functional groups such as $\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{CN}$ and Cl as DMGs. In the process of alumination of 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene and 4-iodobenzonitrile, benzyne formation was not observed (Scheme 1.9).

selected products and yields:


Scheme 1.9

Recently, Knochel developed mixed metal bases such as (TMP) $\mathrm{MgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ and $(\mathrm{TMP})_{2} \mathrm{Zn} \cdot 2 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ for use in DoM chemistry. The reactions using these bases are compatible not only with esters, nitriles and aryl ketones (for $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Li}$ bases), ${ }^{89}$ but also with extremely sensitive groups such as aldehydes and nitro groups (for $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Li}$ bases). ${ }^{90}$

Compared with the traditional lithium-based DoM reaction, the newly developed, softer metal base mediated metalation allows a broader range of functional groups to tolerate the reaction conditions. However, in comparison to lithium mediated metalation, the scope of these reactions has not yet been established.

### 1.2.4 Directed C-H Activation

One of most the common applications of $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ chemistry is in the selective construction of activated species $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ for further cross-coupling. However, the obligation to stoichiometrically install and then subsequently dispose of the activating group E may be wasteful. Furthermore, preparation of such preactivated agents $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ often requires several steps. Therefore, the selective activation of a C-H bond assisted by a directing group to form a new C-C bond appears as an attractive alternative in the past decade, and may, in the near future, become a complementary/competitive methodology to DoM chemistry. ${ }^{91}$


Scheme 1.10

Typically, directing group-assisted C-H activation involves nitrogen and oxygen coordinating functional groups to direct the activation of select $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The process is demonstrated in Scheme 1.11. ${ }^{92}$ An ab initio calculation study supported the pathway $b$ mechanism. ${ }^{93}$


Scheme 1.11

In 1993, Murai and Chatani first reported a ketone directed aromatic C-H activation/olefin coupling reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{RuH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\right.$ Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{94}$ Since then, a number of directing groups ${ }^{95}$ such as hydroxyl, ${ }^{96}$ esters, ${ }^{97}$ aldehydes, ${ }^{98}$ aldimines, ${ }^{99}$ keteimines, ${ }^{100}$ amidinates, ${ }^{101}$ hydrazones, ${ }^{102}$ nitriles ${ }^{103}$ and carbonic acid ${ }^{104}$ have been developed for various types of transformation such as C-H/olefin, C$\mathrm{H} /$ acetylene, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CO} /$ olefin, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /$ aryl and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ couplings in the presence of $\mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Rh}$, Ir, Pd and Pt. ${ }^{105}$ However, the methodology of the directed C-H activation has not been well established yet.


Scheme 1.12

### 1.2.5 The Combined DoM-Cross Coupling Reaction

Considering the presence of biaryl and heterobiaryl moieties in diverse classes of molecules, including natural products, ${ }^{106}$ pharmaceuticals, ${ }^{107}$ polymers, ${ }^{108}$ and especially liquid crystals, ${ }^{109}$ it is not surprising that the exploration of new methodologies ${ }^{110}$ to construct the key building blocks with typical substituent patterns has become a hot topic in the synthetic community since the time of the original reports of the first aryl-aryl bond forming reaction in 1941 by Kharasch. ${ }^{111}$ The combination of $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$, which ensures regioselective construction of ortho-DMG boron, magnesium, zinc, and tin substrates allows efficient access to strategically functionalized biaryls and heterobiaryls (Scheme.
1.13). ${ }^{112}$


## Scheme 1.13

The first transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction for biaryl formation, involving the reaction of ArMgX with ArHalide, was discovered independently by Kumada ${ }^{113}$ and Corriu ${ }^{114}$ in 1972. Four years later, an analogous nickel-catalyzed
coupling of ArZnX with ArHalide was discovered by Negishi. ${ }^{115}$ Negishi cross coupling reactions are compatible with a variety of substrate functional groups due to the lower nucleophilicity of organozincs compared with organomagnesiums. However, the applications of Kumada and Negishi cross coupling reactions are sometimes plagued by the unstable character of organozincs and organomagnesiums. The Stille ${ }^{116}$ cross coupling offers comparatively air- and water-stable organostannanes as the coupling partner; however, the toxicity of organostannanes, to some degree, limits the application of this method. The most widely used cross coupling method was discovered by Suzuki in 1979 using boronic acids as the organometallic species. ${ }^{117}$ Because of several advantages to this method, such as mild reaction conditions, commercial availability of a number of boronic acids, and environmental friendliness, the Suzuki cross coupling reaction has been developed into a general process for a wide range of selective carboncarbon bond forming reactions.

The combination of DoM with the Suzuki cross-coupling protocol has been extensively studied in the Snieckus laboratories as a method which provides a variety of biphenyl-2-carboxamides with $2,2^{\prime}$-disubstituted and $2,2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-trisubstituted systems. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The ortho-DMG arylboronic acids are readily available by metalation-boronation and metalation-silylation-ipso-borodesilylation sequences (Scheme 1.14). ${ }^{118}$ Due to their stability (and therefore commercial availability), low toxicity ${ }^{119}$ and structural diversity, boronic acids remarkably promote application of the combined DoM-cross-coupling strategy, e.g, in the fine chemical industry for the synthesis of comprehensive and diverse libraries of biaryls and heterobiaryls. ${ }^{120}$ Although boronic acids normally undergo direct coupling as crude materials with aryl halides, they can be converted to stable derivatives
such as pinacolates and diethanol amine adducts, ${ }^{121}$ which are chromatographable and crystalline. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ is widely used as the catalyst. For sterically hindered substrates, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ is often used. Base variation and solvent effects play a significant role in yield and efficacy of the process. ${ }^{112}$


Scheme 1.14

### 1.2.6 The Directed Remote Metalation (DreM) Reaction

In 1969, Narasimhan reported a synthetic route from biaryl $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 3}$ to phenanthridin-6(5H)-one $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 7}$ (Scheme 1.15). ${ }^{122}$ This report may constitute the first case of a DreM reaction in the context of biaryls, although Narasimhan did not call it this way himself. Inspired by CIPE model of Beak and Meyers, ${ }^{68}$ Snieckus ${ }^{123}$ discovered and systematically developed a methodology of a DMG directed metalation of a C-H bond several bonds removed from the DMG, but with through-space proximity to it, which is referred to as the directed remote metalation (DreM). Today, DreM has been evolved as a general method to synthesize tricyclic systems such as fluorenones and phenanthrols (the aim of this thesis work, vide infra) by intramolecular cyclization of 2-DMG-bearing biaryls 1.104. The mechanism of DreM may involve CIPE at a remote position to lead to
the deprotonation of a non-thermodynamically acidic hydrogen of $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 4}$ to give $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 9}$ (Scheme 1.15).


Scheme 1.15

A study by Snieckus and Mortier explored the mechanism of DreM (Scheme 1.16). ${ }^{124}$ An in situ quench experiment using an excess of LDA/TMSCl premixed in THF ( $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) prior to the addition of DMG-bearing biaryl 1.199 led to biarylsilane $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 1}$ exclusively. In contrast, LDA metalation in the absence of TMSCl led to the formation of fluorenone 1.204. This suggests that the LDA-mediated DreM of $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 9}$ may involve initial complexation of $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 9}$ with LDA (CIPE), followed by formation of lithiated biaryl $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 0}$ in equilibrium. In the absence of electrophiles, the complex may lead to rate-determining deprotonation to $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 2}$, which succumbs to faster cyclization to the tetrahedral carbinolamine alkoxide $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 3}$ by thermodynamic driving force.


Scheme 1.16

The utility of DreM is illustrated by the efficient synthesis of fluorenone $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 0}{ }^{125}$ and phenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 1}{ }^{126}$ (Scheme 1.17). The starting material $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 5}$ is readily available by a combined DoM plus cross coupling protocol. Under thermodynamic conditions (e.g. LDA, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), C2' or tolyl positions of $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 5}$ will be metalated. Thus, the intramolecular nucleophilic attacks on the amide group leads to the formation of the fluorenone and phenanthrol products. This synthetic sequence has been used to generate several natural products ${ }^{127,128}$ and liquid crystals (vide infra). ${ }^{129}$


## Scheme 1.17

As for the biaryl $O$-carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 2}$, initial kinetic deprotonation by $s$ $\mathrm{BuLi} /$ TMEDA results in ortho-deprotonation, but with an additional complication: a rapid anionic ortho-Fries rearrangement to give $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$ (Scheme 1.18). ${ }^{54}$ Similar results were observed when LDA was used as the base. ${ }^{130}$ However, if the ortho position is blocked by a protecting group, remote metalation and migration occurs under LDA conditions, to generate biaryl 1.114, which, upon acidic treatment leads to the construction of dibenzopyranone $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 5} .{ }^{130}$ Several natural products have been synthesized by this method. ${ }^{131}$


Scheme 1.18

Extension of the DreM strategy to heteroarom linked biaryl Ar-X-Ar systems resulted in the development of a method for the synthesis of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$ and P containing tricyclics $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 8}$ (Scheme 1.19). Thus, treatment of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 6}$ with LDA afforded acridones $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 9},{ }^{132}$ xanthones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 0},{ }^{133}$ thioxanthenones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 1}{ }^{134}$ and dibenzo- $[b$, $e$ ]phosphininones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 2}{ }^{135}$ Even the seven membered rings $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ were constructed in a similar way to give dibenzazepinones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3},{ }^{136}$ dibenzothiepinones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 4},{ }^{137}$ dibenzphosphepinones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}{ }^{135}$ and dibenzoxepinones $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 6}$. ${ }^{133}$


1.119
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{OMe}, 6,7-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{OMe}, 1,3-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, 4-\mathrm{OMe}$
$1.116^{\mathrm{R}}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{OMe}, 2-\mathrm{OMe}, 1,2-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$ $1-\mathrm{OMe}-2-\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr}, 1-\mathrm{Cl}, 4-\mathrm{Me}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{OMe}, 4-\mathrm{OMe}, 1-\mathrm{TMS}, 3-\mathrm{TMS}-4-\mathrm{OH}$ 1-OMe-3-TMS-4-OH, 2,3-(CH) $4^{-}$

1.122
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 2$-(diethylcarbamoyl)phenyl

1.123

1.124



Scheme 1.19

### 1.3 The Synthesis of Phenanthrenes

The phenanthrenes are ubiquitous organic pollutants which cause great environmental concern because of their persistence, toxicity, mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. ${ }^{138}$ Phenanthrenes and their oxidized/reduced derivatives also constitute a class of natural products, e.g. atherosperminine $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 7}$, ${ }^{139}$ juncusol $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 8}{ }^{140}$ and tetrangulol $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}{ }^{141}$ (Scheme 1.20), and exhibit various biological activities such as antimicrobial, ${ }^{142}$ antimalarial, ${ }^{143}$ anticancer ${ }^{144}$ and emetic activities. ${ }^{145}$ Since the discovery of phenanthrene and elucidation of its structure in $1872,{ }^{146}$ a number of methods ${ }^{147}$ have been developed for the synthesis of phenanthrenes which are generally divided as intramolecular and intermolecular routes.

1.127

1.128

1.129

Scheme 1.20

### 1.3.1 Intermolecular Routes to Phenanthrenes

### 1.3.1.1 Diels-Alder Approach

The Diels-Alder reaction is one of the most useful synthetic reactions for the construction of phenanthrenes. By varying the structural nature of dienes and dienophiles, many phenanthrenes with different substitution patterns may be constructed. ${ }^{147 a}$ Classically, 1-vinylnaphthalenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 0}$ are used as dienes for Diels-Alder reactions ${ }^{148}$ because of their electron rich constitution. The products $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$ are readily dehydrogenated to the fully aromatic phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 2}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $R^{1}$ | $R^{2}$ | dienophile | yields \% for 1.132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H$ | $H$ | maleic anhydride | 57 |
| OMe | $H$ | maleic anhydride | 30 |
| $H$ | $H$ | diethyl maleate | 89 |
| OMe | $H$ | fumaric acid | 72 |
| OMe | Me | citraconic anhydride | 60 |

Scheme 1.21

Recently Mancini and coworkers successfully used activated naphthalenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 3}$ as dienophiles in reaction with Danishefsky's diene $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 4}$ to afford 2,9-disubstituted phenanthrenes 1.135. ${ }^{149}$ The yield was improved with more electron poor naphthalenes. Other readily available dienes such as isoprene, trans-1-( $N$-acetyl- $N$-propylamino)-1,3butadiene only gave $N$-(naphthyl)-pyrroles as products.


Scheme 1.22

Another recent example of the Diels-Alder approach to phenanthrenes involved reaction of allenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 6}$ with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) to give products 1.137 (Scheme 1.23). In this reaction, the aromatic ring and the adjacent carbon-carbon double bond of the allene unit acted as the 1,3-diene. ${ }^{150}$


## Scheme 1.23

### 1.3.1.2 Pd-Catalyzed Cyclization Approach

In this approach, benzynes are frequently used as fleeting intermediate species because of their high reactivity and precursor availability. Either alkenes ${ }^{151}$ and alkynes ${ }^{152}$ may be used as the cyclization partners (Scheme 1.24). In the case of cyclization of in situ generated benzynes with allylic chlorides $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 8},{ }^{151}$ a mixture of constitutional isomeric products $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 1}$ were produced.

$R=H, M e, P h$

| allylic chloride | product | yield \% (1.140:1.141) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ${ }^{\text {cl }}$ | $1.140 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ | 70 |
| $\mathrm{Me} \sim$ Cl | $1.140 \mathrm{~b}+1.141 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ | 70 (7:3) |
|  | $1.140 b+1.141 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ | ) 70 (6.5:3.5) |
| $\mathrm{Ph} \sim$ Cl | $1.140 \mathrm{c}+1.141 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ | 71(7.3:2.7) |

Scheme 1.24

Yamamoto reported a synthesis of phenanthrenes from the reaction of alkynes 1.142 ${ }^{152 b}$ with benzynes using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(o \text {-tol })_{3} \mathrm{P}$ as a catalyst (Scheme 1.25). Phenanthrenes 1.143 were obtained exclusively in modest yields regardless of the electronic nature of the alkynes (entry 1-6). In a competitive work, Perez and coworkers ${ }^{152 a}$ reported the reaction of benzyne with electron poor alkynes under $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ catalysis (entry 7 and 8 ) to afford phenanthrenes in comparable yields. On the other hand, when electron rich alkynes were involved (entry 9 and 10), the yields of phenanthrene products were low.


| entry | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | catalyst | yield \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 63 |
| 2 | $n$-pentyl | $n$-pentyl | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 67 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 59 |
| 4 | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 67 |
| 5 | Ph | Et | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 63 |
| 6 | Ph | COMe | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 76 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 65 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | Me | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 63 |
| 9 | Et | Et | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | 28 |
| 10 | Ph | Ph | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | 34 |

## Scheme 1.25

In a mechanistically different, yet also Pd-catalyzed approach (Catellani reaction) to phenanthrenes, iodobenzenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 4}$ are subjected to reaction with diphenyl or alkylphenylacetylenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5}$ using norbornene as a co-catalyst (Scheme 1.26). ${ }^{152 \mathrm{c}}$ The products 1.146 and/or 1.147 would be made by ring closure. In the case involving diisopropylacetylene as reaction partner, no desired product was obtained.

|  <br> 1.144 | $\mathrm{R}^{2} \underset{\overline{\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5}}}{ } \mathrm{R}$ $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ norbornene, $(n-1$ $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, |  | $R^{1}$ $+$ |  <br> 1.147 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | 1.146\% | 1.147\% |
| Me | Ph | Ph | 82 |  |
| Et | Ph | Ph | 85 |  |
| $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | Ph | Ph | 83 |  |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | Ph | Ph | 93 |  |
| $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | Ph | Ph | 84 |  |
| OMe | Ph | Ph | 64 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | Ph | Ph | 51 |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Ph | Ph | 33 |  |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeOC} 6 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 4-MeOC $6_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 80 |  |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | Ph | $4-\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 19 | 55 |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | Ph | Me | 32 | 11 |

Scheme 1.26

### 1.3.2 Intramolecular Cyclization Approaches to Phenanthrenes

The intramolecular route is the most popular general approach for the synthesis of phenanthrenes. This approach may be classified into six main categories: ring expansion, electrophilic cyclization, radical cyclization, photocyclization, ring-closing olefin metathesis, and the directed remote metalation (DreM) processes.

### 1.3.2.1 Ring Expansion Reactions

The construction of substituted phenanthrenes by the Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement of fluorenyl carbinol derivatives, first reported by Werner and Grob in 1904, ${ }^{153}$ has been developed as one of the classical ways to access substituted phenanthrenes. Many of the starting materials $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 8}$ may be prepared from fluorenyl-9carbocyclic acids by alkylation and reduction, so this method is useful for phenanthrene
synthesis. Carbocation-generating reactions include treatment of fluorenones with diazoalkanes, ${ }^{154}$ treatment of carbinols with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{155}$ and PPA, ${ }^{156}$ diazotization of 9aminomethylfluorenes, ${ }^{157}$ and treatment of 9-LG-methylfluorenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 8}\left(\mathrm{LG}=\mathrm{Cl},{ }^{158}\right.$ $\mathrm{Br},{ }^{159} \mathrm{OTs},{ }^{160} \mathrm{OCOCF}_{3}{ }^{161}$ ) with acids or bases (Scheme 1.27). A recent example is for the construction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon $1.154{ }^{162}$


Selected examples of the synthesis:

| LG | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | X | Y | conditions | Yields |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTs | Me | H | H | H | $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 99\% |
| $\mathrm{OCOCF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 62\% |
| OH | H | H | 2-Me | 7-Me | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 77\% |
| OAc | H | H | $2-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | PPA | 89\% |
| Br | Br | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{KOAc} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ | 90\% |
| Cl <br> 1.152 | Et $\xrightarrow{6 \text { steps }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Scheme 1.27

### 1.3.2.2 Intramolecular Electrophilic Cyclization

Synthesis of phenanthrenes by acid catalyzed intramolecular electrophilic cyclization normally uses $\alpha$-(2-biphenylyl)acetones and $\alpha$-(2-biphenylyl)aldehydes $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 5}$
$(\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl, H$)$ as starting materials (Scheme 1.28). ${ }^{163}$ Other functional groups such as ketoesters may lead to decarboxylation. This type of reaction, also called "aromatic cyclodehydration", ${ }^{164}$ can be catalyzed by many acids such as PPA, $85 \%$ sulfuric acid and hydrobromic acid and acetic acid mixture, and give rise to phenanthrenes under conditions much milder than those used for dehydrogenation. The starting materials 1.155 may be readily prepared from $\alpha$-(2-biphenyl)-acetonitriles.


## Scheme 1.28

Recently, Larock and coworkers reported an intramolecular cyclization of alkynyl biphenyl $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 7}$ to phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 8}$ induced by various electrophiles (Scheme 1.29). ${ }^{165}$ Functional groups as diverse as $\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{CHO}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, at various positions are tolerated in this reaction. The starting materials $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 7}$ are readily prepared by a Sonogashira reaction. ${ }^{166}$ The reaction is carried out under mild conditions and gives rise
to various phenanthrenes in moderate to excellent yields. The resulting iodine-containing product 1.159 was elaborated to more complex product $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 0}$.


Scheme 1.29

### 1.3.2.3 Intramolecular Radical Cyclization

Pfeffer and coworkers reported a combined Ullmann and McMurry route to various phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 4}$ (Scheme 1.30), ${ }^{167}$ Thus, Ullmann coupling of commercially or readily available imine $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 2}$ with iodo imine $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 1}$ afforded, after hydrolysis, biphenyls $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 3}$. McMurry coupling of $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 3}$ led to phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 4}$.


Scheme 1.30

Another report by Harrowven and coworkers ${ }^{168}$ provided a 6-exo/endo-trig radical cyclization route to phenanthrenes (Scheme 1.31). Thus, using cis-stilbenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 5}$ as the radical acceptor led to phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 6}$ in useful yields. However, unsymmetrical substrates e.g. $\mathrm{R}=3-\mathrm{CN}$ led to a mixtures of regioisomers in a ratio of $1: 1$. This method was applied to the total synthesis of toddaquinoline $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 8}$, an alkaloid from the root bark of Formosan Toddalia asiatica.

$R^{1} \quad$ yield $\% \quad$ regioselectivity


Scheme 1.31

Interestingly, trans-stilbenes 1.169, halogen-bearing stilbenes 1.169a and 1.169b are not good substrates for the synthesis of phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 0}$. This may be ascribed to the fact that the homolysis of halogen-carbon bond outpaces addition of the tributyltin radical to the alkene. ${ }^{169}$


## Scheme 1.32

### 1.3.2.4 Intramolecular Photocyclization (Mallory Reaction)

The photochemical synthesis of phenanthrenes was discovered in the early 1950s ${ }^{170}$ and provides one of most widely used synthetic routes to substituted phenanthrenes. This reaction, now known as the Mallory reaction, uses stilbene precursors which are usually readily available by Wittig chemistry. Trans-stilbenes may be used since, during the photoreaction, they are photoisomerized to cis-stilbenes which undergo cyclization. The reaction proceeds, under the conditions of ultraviolet irradiation, by reversible molecular orbital controlled $6 \pi$-electrocyclization of cis-stilbene 1.171 to give trans-4a,4b-dihydrophenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 2}$ which, upon the treatment with hydrogen acceptors such as iodine and oxygen, affords phenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 3}$ in high yield. Some examples are shown in Scheme 1.33. ${ }^{171}$


| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Phenanthrene (yield \%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| $2-\mathrm{Me}$ | H | $1-\mathrm{Me}(57)$ |
| $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | H | $2-\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{(31),4-Me} \mathrm{(29)}$ |
| $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | H | $2-\mathrm{OMe}(58), 4-\mathrm{OMe} \mathrm{(15)}$ |
| $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $3 '-\mathrm{Me}$ | $2,5-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(54), 2,7-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(28), 4,5-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(18)$ |
| $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $4 '-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $3,6-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}(79)$ |
| $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | H | $1-\mathrm{Br}(50)$ |
| $3-\mathrm{CN}$ | H | $2-\mathrm{CN}(71), 4-\mathrm{CN}(19)$ |
| $4-\mathrm{F}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{F} \mathrm{(76)}$ |
| $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{Cl}(76)$ |
| $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{Br}(76)$ |

Scheme 1.33

Although the Mallory reaction has drawbacks such as a limited success on multigram scale and lack of selectivity, it offers a general method for the construction of phenanthrenes. An exemplary application of the Mallory method is in the synthesis of phenanthrene alkaloids $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 6}$ (Scheme 1.34). ${ }^{172}$


Scheme 1.34

A recent Mallory photocyclization synthesis of phenanthrenes was reported by Tojo and coworkers. ${ }^{173}$ Starting with tosyl-stilbenes 1.177 , easily obtained from condensation of arylmethyl sulfones with aromatic aldehydes, irradiation under basic conditions afforded phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 9}$ in high yields (Scheme 1.35). Thus the dihydro intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 8}$ from the $6 \pi$-electrocyclic process undergoes, after isomerization, expected elimination of sulfinate to afford the final products. The method can be applied for synthesis of other polyhetero-aromatic compounds 1.180-1.184 as well.
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Scheme 1.35

### 1.3.2.5 Ring-Closing Olefin Metathesis (RCM)

In 2004, Luliano and coworkers reported a general RCM method for the construction of substituted phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 7}$ (Scheme 1.36). ${ }^{174}$ Under the catalysis of second generation ruthenium-carbene complex 1.185, divinyl biaryls $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 6}$ afforded five phenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 7}$ in quantitative yields. The reaction seems to proceed in high yields no matter the nature and position of the substituents in the starting material 1.186.



| H | H | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3 '-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 100 |
| $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $2--\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 100 |
| H | $3 '-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 100 |
| $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $4--\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 100 |

Scheme 1.36

### 1.3.2.6 Directed Remote Metalation (DreM) Approach

In 1988, Snieckus and coworkers reported a synthesis of phenanthrenes by application of the DreM concept (Scheme 1.37). ${ }^{123}$ Treatment of biaryl amides 1.188, readily available by Suzuki cross coupling of $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 9}$ with $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 0}$, with LDA at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt gave 9-phenanthrols 1.191 in excellent yields. Both EWGs (e.g. Cl) and EDGs (e.g. OMe ) are tolerated in this reaction. The method is an example of the general DreM methodology which was modeled on the basis of the CIPE concept ${ }^{68}$ and has become one of the general methods for the synthesis of phenanthrenes.


Scheme 1.37

Extension of this DreM strategy for the synthesis of phenanthrenes was later reported by the same group, by changing the cyclising functional groups from amides to nitrile and imines which led to a variety of 9-aminophenanthrenes $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 3}$ in yields ranging from $12 \%$ to $89 \%$. ${ }^{175}$ Furthermore, the application of this methodology was demonstrated by the first synthesis of the alkaloid piperolactam C (Scheme 1.38).


| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | DMG | yield \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CN | 88 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 50 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 58 |
|  | 4'-Me |  | CN | 42 |
|  | 4'-Me |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 67 |
|  | 4'-Me |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 58 |
|  | $6^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}$ |  | CN | 81 |
|  | $6{ }^{\prime}$-Me |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 72 |
|  | 6'-Me |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 64 |
|  |  | OMe | CN | 66 |
|  |  | OMe | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 12 |
|  |  | OMe | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 73 |
| 2,3,4-(OMe) ${ }_{3}$ |  |  | CN | 40 |
| 2,3,4-(OMe) ${ }_{3}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 61 |
|  | $5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}-(\mathrm{CH})_{4}{ }^{-}$ |  | CN | 89 |
|  | $5^{\prime}, 66^{-}(\mathrm{CH})_{4}{ }^{-}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 43 |
|  | $5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-(CH) 4 $^{-}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 63 |
|  | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{CH})_{4}{ }^{-}$ |  | CN | 52 |
|  | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{CH})_{4}{ }^{-}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 41 |
|  | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{CH})_{4}{ }^{-}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 74 |
| 2,3-(CH) ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ | 46 |
| 2,3-(CH)4- |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NOMe}$ | 45 |

Scheme 1.38

### 1.4 Outline of Synthesis

The purpose of the research described in this thesis is to synthesize new SmC* LC substances with a phenanthrene core. For this aim, DoM and DreM methodologies have been applied for the construction of the target compounds (1.31) for which the retrosynthesis is outlined in Scheme 1.39. The key steps of this synthesis are: 1) the synthesis of the organometallic coupling partner $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 5}$ using $\mathrm{DoM} ; 2$ ) the synthesis of the biaryl 1.196 by Suzuki cross coupling reaction; 3) the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 7}$ under DreM
conditions to afford phenanthren-9-ol 1.197; and 4) Pd-catalyzed reductive detriflation to the phenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 8}$, which, by dihydroxylation gives the final 9,10-dihydroxy-9,10dihydrophenanthrene (1.31) for LC properties study.
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Scheme 1.39

## CHAPTER 2-PHENANTHRENE AND 9,10-DIHYDROPHENANTERENE-9,10DIOL LIQUID CRYSTALS

### 2.1 Synthesis of 7-(octyloxy)phenanthren-2-yl 4-(undecyloxy)benzoate (1.32).

The development of an efficient synthetic route to compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ started with the preparation of the benzamide coupling partner $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ from the commercially available $m$ methoxybenzoic acid $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ by the pathway established by McCubbin (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{129}$



Scheme 2.1

Benzoic acid 2.1 was transformed into the benzamide 2.2 in $94 \%$ yield. The more reactive $C 2$ metalation site was protected by the latent silylation technique ${ }^{176}$ to afford 2.3 which, upon metalation and boronation, furnished the boronic acid 2.4. For this reaction, a modified procedure to that used by McCubbin was used in which compound 2.3 was added into a solution of $s$-BuLi/TMEDA at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF , followed by quench and workup. Without purification, the crude 2.4 was converted into the boropinacolate 2.5 in an overall yield of $83 \%$ from the silyl derivative 2.3 . When the original procedure of McCubbin, ${ }^{129}$ addition of $s$-BuLi to a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ at higher temperature $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was used, a reduced overall yield of $\mathbf{7 3} \%$ from $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ was observed.

An attempt to shorten the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ by the pathway shown in Scheme 2.2 was undertaken. It was thought that the steric effect of the TIPS group might hinder the C2 position of $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ from metalation and force the metalation to occur at C6. However and interestingly, the model 2.7, prepared from phenol 2.6, upon metalation and silylation afforded product $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ rather than 2.9 . A possible rationalization of this result is the involvement of an O -inductive effect to promote deprotonation at C 2 . When C 2 and C 6 O-inductive effects are present, as in the case of $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$, but there is an additional steric effect at C 2 , metalation-silylation is observed to give the C 6 substituted $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ as a single product. ${ }^{177}$


## Scheme 2.2

The choice of an appropriate Suzuki cross coupling partner $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ for reaction with 2.5 should take two factors into consideration: 1) it should be able to survive DreM
conditions and 2) the functional group X in $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ should be easily transformed into a phenolic group. Compound 2.10a was chosen since it is inexpensive, commercially available, and easily transformed by metal halogen exchange followed by an " $\mathrm{OH}^{+}$" quench into a phenol. ${ }^{178}$ As an alternative coupling partner, 2.10b was chosen because it is readily available and stable to DreM conditions (Scheme 2.3).
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Scheme 2.3

The crude 2.4 was at first used directly as a cross coupling partner without further purification but gave product 2.11 in low yield ( $<35 \%$ ). Conversion into the boropinacolate 2.5 and purification gave better results in the Suzuki cross coupling reaction (Scheme 2.4).

Cross coupling of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ with 2.10a and 2.10b under standard Suzuki conditions gave the biaryls 2.11a and 2.11b respectively. As expected, regioselective iodo cross coupling of 2.10a was observed to afford 2.11a. For a two-gram scale synthesis of 2.11b, up to $2 \%$ of byproduct $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ was isolated resulting from phenyl group transferred from the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand. The treatment of the biaryls $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 a , b}$ with TBAF gave 2.12a,b respectively in excellent yields.


Scheme 2.4

Interestingly, the initial DreM cyclization attempts of 2.11b under standard LDA conditions' did not give the desired phenanthrene-9-ol 2.14 but the 9 -aminophenanthrene 2.15 in excellent yield. This reaction was postulated to occur via an aromatic Brook rearrangement, ${ }^{179}$ to afford intermediate 2.37 , which, upon elimination of trimethylsilyloxy and rearomatization, gave the 9-aminophenanthrene 2.15. Further work on the generalization of this reaction is in progress. ${ }^{180}$


Scheme 2.5

On the other hand, the DreM reaction of the desilylated biaryl 2.12b afforded phenanthrene-9-ol 2.16 in excellent yield. However, when the bromo biaryl 2.12a was treated with LDA at low temperature $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, a dark black solution resulted and, upon quenching with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, led to a mixture of starting material and intractable products. Changing the base from LDA to LTMP gave a similar result. It is possible that the formation of a benzyne intermediate is the reason for this result. ${ }^{181}$ However, two attempts to trap the benzyne as a cycloaddition product with furan were unsuccessful.


Scheme 2.6

Although compound 2.16 was obtained efficiently, it could not serve the purpose to obtain the final compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ because of the inability to achieve selective demethylation (Scheme 2.7).


Scheme 2.7

Therefore, an alternative strategy was adopted (Scheme 2.8). Initial demethylation of 2.12a with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ afforded the phenol 2.17, ${ }^{182}$ which upon alkylation with n-octyl bromide under $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ conditions gave the octyl ether $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ in excellent yield. Metal halogen exchange of 2.18, followed by $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{O} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}$ quench and peroxide oxidation, ${ }^{178}$ afforded 2.19 in moderate yield. Protection of the phenol 2.19 as the MOM ether gave the DreM precursor 2.20, ${ }^{183}$ which under standard DreM conditions was converted into the phenanthrene-9-ol 2.21 in excellent yield. Triflation of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$, followed by Pdcatalyzed hydrogenolytic cleavage ${ }^{184}$ gave the phenanthrene 2.23 which, under acidic conditions afforded the phenanthren-2-ol 2.24. ${ }^{185}$






Scheme 2.8

Attempted esterification of 2.24 using the DCC/DMAP protocol ${ }^{186}$ resulted in recovery of starting material. The electron-rich character of the phenanthrene $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ may be responsible for this failure. On the other hand, using 1-(4-undecyloxybenzoyl)triazole (2.26) under basic conditions ${ }^{187}$ led to the formation of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ in reasonable yields (Scheme
2.9). Thus, the synthesis of the target molecule $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ was achieved in $\mathbf{1 5}$ steps with an overall yield of $9 \%$ from commercially available starting material 2.1.


Scheme 2.9

### 2.2. Synthesis of 9,10-Dihydroxy-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(undecyloxy)benzoate 1.31

In order to achieve the synthesis of the 9,10-dihydrophenanthren-9,10-diol 1.31, a standard dihydroxylation of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ was envisaged. ${ }^{188}$ In view of the small amounts of available $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$, compound $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ was selected as a test substrate for the dihydroxylation attempts. However, using a number of conditions which have been successful for this reaction on phenanthrene itself did not lead to the desired product 2.27 (Scheme 2.10) but led only to starting materials (entry 1), or cleavage of the MOM ether from $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ (entry 2), or a mixture of starting material and deprotected product (entry 3). The electron rich substituents, octyloxy and MOM, may make the bridge of the phenanthrene more aromatic-like rather than double bond like and not reactive towards oxidants.


| Entry | Conditions | Ref. | Result |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{rt}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 188 a | $100 \%$ recovery of SM |
| 2 | $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 188 b | $100 \%$ deMOM product |
| 3 | $\mathrm{AgOAc}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{HOAc}$ | 188 c | $60 \% \mathrm{SM}$ |
|  |  |  | $30 \%$ deMOM product |

## Scheme 2.10

The difficulty to affect the dihydroxylation of phenanthrene $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ prompted a change in strategy. A known classical synthesis of phenanthrene-9,10-diols involves the reduction of phenanthrene-9,10-diones, ${ }^{189}$ which are readily available by oxidation of phenanthrene-9-ols. ${ }^{190}$ In fact, the latter reaction is commonly observed by the gradual change of color of 9-phenanthrols, e.g. $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ from colorless to red, even upon standing in the air, indicative of the 9,10 -quinone structure.

By adopting this tactic, the phenanthrene-9-ol 2.21 was oxidized to the red phenanthrene-9,10-dione 2.28 by the in situ generated dimethyldioxirane procedure. ${ }^{190}$ MOM deprotection gave the purple dione 2.29, which, upon DCC/DMAP mediated esterification, afforded the orange 2.30a in excellent yield. Finally, reduction with $\mathrm{KBH}_{4}$ gave the target trans-9,10-dihydrodiol $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ as a single diasteromer. ${ }^{189}$ The relative
stereochemistry has been established by single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis (Scheme 2.11). ${ }^{191}$





Scheme 2.11

### 2.3. Mesophase Characterization of Phenanthrene Liquid Crystal (1.32)

The mesophases formed by compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ were characterized by polarized microscopy and differential scanning calorimetry. The phenanthrene $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ forms nematic and SmC phases on heating and cooling. On cooling from its isotropic phase, $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ shows a schlieren texture (Figure 2.1(a)) at $208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by polarized microscopy, which is a typical texture of the nematic phase. ${ }^{192}$ At $184{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the schlieren texture turns into a fan texture (Figure 2.1(b)) with few schlieren domains coexisting with the broken fan texture, which is characteristic of a SmC phase. Crystallization occurs at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

(a)

(c)

(b)
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Table 2.1. The phase transition temperatures of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ on cooling by polarized microscopy.

| sample | SmC to Cr | N to SmC | I to N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ | $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

These observations are consistent with differential scanning calorimetry measurements. On cooling from isotropic to crystalline phases, three peaks are observed. The first small exotherm at $197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the transition from isotropic to N phases; the second small exotherm at $176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the transition from N to SmC phases; and the large exotherm at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the transition from SmC to crystalline phases. On heating from crystalline to isotropic, the two endotherms at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are consistent with a crystal-crystal transition, and a crystal-SmC transition, respectively. The two endotherms at higher temperatures correspond to the phase transitions SmC-N and N-I, respectively. The broad SmC range is consistent with our expectation that a planar, polarizable phenanthrene core should result in strong van der Waal interactions between aromatic cores, thereby enhancing the amphiphilic character of the mesogens and the corresponding nanosegregation that is thought to promote lamellar smectic phases.


Figure 2.2 DSC measurement of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$.

Table 2.2. The enthalpy changes of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ at the transition temperature.

| Heating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cr 1 to Cr 2 |  | Cr 2 to SmC |  | SmC to N |  | N to I |  |
| $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 109.9 | 19.8 | 111.9 | 3.3 | 177.6 | 1.8 | 199.2 | 1.4 |
| Cooling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SmC to Cr |  |  |  | N to SmC |  | I to N |  |
| $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ |
| 93.6 |  |  | 5.8 | 175.7 | -1.6 | 197.3 | -1.7 |

Performing the same measurements on the dihydrodiol $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ as carried out on $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ failed because the dihydrodiol is not stable. Thus, $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ was gradually reoxidized to $9,10-$ dihydrophenanthren-9,10-dione 2.30a upon standing in air at room temperature. A quantitative experiment was carried out by measuring how much $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ was oxidized upon DSC measurement on a 3.75 mg sample after heating from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Preparative TLC separation afforded 1.2 mg of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ and 2.3 mg of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 a}$.

### 2.4 Synthesis of the Stable 9,10-Dihydroxy-9,10-dimethyl-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(undecyloxy)benzoate 2.31

The instability of the dihydrodiol $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ forced a modification of the target compound from $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$. Compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ is expected to retain all of the structural characteristics of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ except that the C 9 and C 10 hydrogens are replaced by two methyl groups. It was hoped that the steric bulk of the methyls would not destroy the LC properties.


The synthesis of 9,10-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroxyphenanthrenediol 2.31 was initiated from the available 9,10-dione $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ (Scheme 2.12). Treatment with MeMgBr in a sealed tube at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{193}$ gave the trans-diol $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ as a single diastereomer ${ }^{194}$ in high yield. In order to avoid any pinacol rearrangement, ${ }^{195}$ the diol $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ was deprotected by in situ-produced TMSBr instead of HCl to give 2.33. ${ }^{196}$ Esterification of $\mathbf{2 . 3 3}$ under two conditions gave 2.31 in moderate to high yields.



| Conditions | 2.31 Yield $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.25a DCC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, | 88 |
| 2.25a $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$, THF, rt | 61 |

Scheme 2.12
In order to predict the thermal stability of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$, a model compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}{ }^{197}$ was synthesized as shown in Scheme 2.13. A DSC cell was prepared with 5.78 mg of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ and was subjected to a DSC measurement in the same temperature range as used for $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of the material in the DSC cell suggests no decomposition of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ occurred under these conditions.


Scheme 2.13

Unfortunately, analysis of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ by polarized microscopy and differential scanning calorimetry revealed that it is not liquid crystalline, showing a single Cr-I melting point at $63-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This may be ascribed to the added lateral bulk of the methyl groups, which apparently weaken van der Waal's forces between aromatic cores, thereby reducing the amphiphilicity of the molecules that is thought to be at the origin of the formation of smectic phases. ${ }^{7}$

### 2.5. Summary

The synthesis of 9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-diol 1.31, 9,10-dimethylphenanthrene-9,10-diols 2.31 and phenanthrene LC compound 1.32 were achieved by a combined directed ortho and remote metalation and cross coupling strategy. The LC characterization reveals that the model compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ is mesogenic with a narrow N phase and a broad SmC phase. Compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ was found to be too unstable due to oxidation to the phenanthrene dione to determine if it is mesogenic. Modification of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ to compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ solved the oxidative degradation problem, but the new compound proved to be non-mesogenic because of the added lateral bulk of its core.

## CHAPTER 3-PHENANTHRENEDIONE AND CHIRAL PHENANTHRENEDIONE LIQUID CRYSTALS

As discussed in the Introduction (Chapter 1), a chiral LC compound with a substituent ortho to a chiral side chain, e.g. compound 1.1, may exhibit a relatively high spontaneous polarization $P_{\mathrm{S}}$ because of stereo-polar coupling. ${ }^{12}$ A chiral 9,10-dihydrophenanthren-9,10-dione 3.1 was designed to explore the nature of this effect. In this case, the intention is to couple the same chiral 2-octyloxy side-chain to a highly polar core, which should impart an asymmetric conformational bias to the resulting stereopolar unit, and therefore result in a high spontaneous polarization, provided that the transverse dipole of the core has a component along the polar axis of the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase. The effect of the coupling may be varied by changing the size of X.

1.1

3.1

Before undertaking the synthesis of 3.1, the structurally similar achiral compounds 2.30a-f were selected as model compounds for synthesis and LC characterization. As discussed in the Introduction (Chapter 1), the mesogenic properties of a LC should vary
by changing the length of its side-chain(s), and we sought to select a side-chain length that provides the desired LC properties, which in this case is a SmC phase with the broadest possible temperature range, and a higher temperature nematic phase that would facilitate the alignment of a SmC* phase in a surface-stabilized configuration in a rubbed polyimide-coated glass cell. ${ }^{7}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a: } n=11 \\
& \text { b: } n=10 \\
& \mathbf{c}: n=9 \\
& \text { d: } n=8 \\
& \text { e: } n=7 \\
& \text { f: } n=6
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1 Synthesis of Phenanthrenedione Liquid Crystals 2.30a-f by a Modified Route

The synthesis of the phenanthrenedione 2.30a was described in Chapter 2. Compounds 2.30b-f as well as compound 2.30a were considered to be available by the same route (Scheme 2.9). Considering the lengthy, 15-step synthesis of 2.30a from starting material 2.1, two modified synthetic routes were explored to improve the efficiency for the synthesis of all phenanthrenediones 2.30a-f.

The original synthetic route for $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 a}$ (Scheme 2.6) required the transformation of the bromo derivative 2.18 into the phenol 2.19 and then a protection-deprotection sequence to obtain the final product 2.30a. Through the use of the cross coupling partner 2.10c, which has one side chain already installed, the synthesis may be abbreviated by three steps.


The proposed modified synthetic route began from the benzamide 2.2 by changing the protective group of the phenol 2.6 from Me (2.2) to MOM (3.3) which was achieved in high yields. DoM-silylation of compound $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ proceeded uneventfully to give compound 3.4. The second $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$, boronation and pinacolation resulted in the formation of two regioisomers, the C6-Bpin (3.6) and the C4-Bpin derivative (3.28) in a ratio of 8:1 (by GC-MS). Two recrystallizations of the crude product from hexanes gave pure C6Bpin isomer $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ in $65 \%$ yield. The overall yield from 3-methoxybenzoic acid $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ to cross coupling partner $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ is $40 \%$.


## Scheme 3.1

Under the standard Suzuki coupling conditions, $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ underwent cross coupling with 2.10c to give biaryl 3.7 in moderate yield. Desilylation of $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ with TBAF afforded DreM precursor 3.8 in excellent yield. LDA treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ under standard conditions afforded 9-phenanthrol 3.9, which upon oxidation gave 9,10-dione 2.28. MOM deprotection and esterification according to the method described in Chapter 2 (Scheme 2.11) afforded the target molecules 2.30b-f in 12 steps from 3-anisic acid and in $19 \%$ overall yield.


3.8



a: $n=11$
b: $\mathrm{n}=10$
c: $\mathrm{n}=9$
d: $\mathrm{n}=8$
e: $\mathrm{n}=7$
f: $\mathrm{n}=6$

Scheme 3.2

In a second modified synthetic route to LC candidates 2.30a-f, the modification of the protective group from Me to MOM after the Suzuki cross coupling was envisaged. In view of the poorer DMG quality of OMe compared to OMOM, ${ }^{56}$ this route was proved to avoid the $\mathrm{D} o \mathrm{M}$ regioselectivity problem of ortho-amide and ortho-MOM observed above (Scheme 3.1). The Suzuki cross coupling of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ with bromobenzene 2.10c proceeded well to afford biaryl 3.10. The following desilylation step was unexpectedly difficult
using the normal desilylation reagent TBAF. Thus, the reaction under TBAF conditions at rt for 12 hours resulted in a mixture of starting material and product $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ in a ratio of 3:1. However, modification of the reaction conditions to CsF in DMF at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{198}$ gave 3.11 in excellent yield. The hindered position of TMS and the electron-rich character of the aryl methoxybenzene may explain the failure of TBAF desilylation.


Scheme 3.3

In view of the potential cleavage of the $n$-octyl side chain under strong Lewis acid $\mathbf{B B r}_{3}$ conditions, an anionic nucleophilic procedure using EtSNa in DMF at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{199}$ was adopted and led selectively to the phenol $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ in excellent yield (Scheme 3.4). MOM protection of phenol $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ gave compound 3.8. Then following the synthetic route shown in Scheme 3.2 gave 2.30a-f in 12 steps with an overall yield of $34-36 \%$.
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Scheme 3.4

### 3.2 Mesophase Characterization

The mesophases formed by compounds 2.30a-f were characterized by polarized microscopy and differential scanning calorimetry. The data from polarized microscopy measurements, listed in Table 3.1, suggest that the phenanthrenediones 2.30a-c form only SmC phases and that the phenanthrenediones 2.30d-f form SmC and nematic phases. This is consistent with well established empirical structure-property relationships showing that longer aliphatic side-chains favor the formation of smectic phases at the expense of the nematic phase, which tends to be predominant in mesogens with relatively short side-chains. ${ }^{7}$ The typical textures of the N phase and SmC phases of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ as seen in the microscope are shown in Figure 3.1. ${ }^{200}$

(a)

(b)


Figure 3.1 Photomicrographs of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ (a) in the N phase at $197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) in the SmC phase at $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 3.1 The phase transition temperatures of 2.30a-f during cooling in polarized microscopy

| mesogen | SmC to Cr | N to SmC | I to $\mathbf{N}$ | I to SmC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 a}$ | $87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 b}$ | $83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 c}$ | $79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 d}$ | $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $202^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 e}$ | $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $201{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ | $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |

The DSC measurements are consistent with the polarized microscopy data. As shown in Figure 3.2a-c, there are only two peaks in both the heating and cooling profiles, which indicate one LC phase. In Figure 3.2d-f, there are three peaks in the heating and cooling profiles, which indicate that there are two LC phases.







Figure 3.2 DSC measurement of 2.30: (a) for 2.30a; (b) for 2.30b; (c) for 2.30c; (d) for 2.30d; (e) for 2.30e; (f) for 2.30f.

Table 3.2 The phase transition temperatures and enthalpy changes of 2.30a-f by DSC.

| mesogen | Heating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cr to SmC |  | SmC to N |  | N To I |  | SmC to I |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2.30a | 113.7 | 35.2 |  |  |  |  | 196.7 | 8.4 |
| 2.30b | 115.5 | 30.3 |  |  |  |  | 200.9 | 8.1 |
| 2.30c | 111.8 | 29.2 |  |  |  |  | 200.0 | 7.7 |
| 2.30d | 111.7 | 28.3 | 198.6 | 2.7 | 200.4 | 1.1 |  |  |
| 2.30e | 101.0 | 25.0 | 194.5 | 3.0 | 200.3 | 2.0 |  |  |
| 2.30 f | 113.3 | 36.4 | 190.4 | 2.3 | 202.6 | 1.8 |  |  |
| mesogen | Cooling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SmC to Cr |  | N toSmC |  | I to N |  | I to SmC |  |
|  | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2.30a | 85.7 | -29.3 |  |  |  |  | 193.9 | -7.8 |
| 2.30b | 89.8 | -26.3 |  |  |  |  | 197.7 | -7.8 |
| 2.30c | 88.7 | -24.5 |  |  |  |  | 197.2 | -7.6 |
| 2.30d | 81.7 | -23.4 | 196.6 | -2.8 | 198.4 | -1.8 |  |  |
| 2.30e | 78.8 | -22.5 | 192.6 | -2.9 | 198.3 | -1.8 |  |  |
| 2.30 f | 88.7 | -31.0 | 187.7 | -2.3 | 200.5 | -1.8 |  |  |

Based on these data, the most promising derivative is 2.30f, which forms a broad SmC phase and a nematic phase with the broadest temperature range. This compound was therefore chosen for the introduction of a chiral center.

### 3.3 Synthesis of Chiral Phenanthrene-9,10-diones 3.1a-b

The synthesis of 3.1a followed the same route as that carried out for $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ (Scheme 3.2) except for a change in the Suzuki cross coupling partner from $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ c to $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ e, which was prepared by a Mitsunobu reaction.


Scheme 3.5

As shown in Scheme 3.6, the total synthesis of 3.1a was fulfilled in 12 steps from 3-methoxybenzoic acid 2.1 with an overall yield of $23 \%$.

3.6

overall yield from 3.14




Scheme 3.6

The synthesis of the chlorophenanthrene-9,10-dione 3.1b presented an opportunity to showcase the value of DoM for the construction of polysubstituted aromatics. Thus (Scheme 3.7) the chloro group was installed at the beginning by standard DoM conditions on benzamide 2.2 followed by quench with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, to give compound 3.18. DoM of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ followed by $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{O} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}$ quench and pinacolation gave the Bpin derivative 3.20. As perhaps not unexpectedly, under $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ conditions both demethylation and depinacolation occurred to give phenol boronic acid 3.21. Interestingly, borodesilylation of DMGbearing arylsilanes has been observed in our laboratories under $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ conditions. ${ }^{201}$ In view of the poor solubility of compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ in organic solvents, the
crude product was reconverted into the Bpin phenol $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ for purification. Mitsunobu reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ with $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ gave the ether $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ in a moderate yield which, under standard cross coupling conditions with 2.10b gave the biaryl 3.24. LDA treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ proceeded uneventfully to give phenanthrol $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ which, upon oxidation, afforded the red phenanthrene-9,10-dione 3.26. Deprotection under acidic conditions gave the phenol 3.27 which, upon esterification, furnished the final product 3.1b. The synthesis was achieved in 12 steps in an overall 13\% yield from 3-methoxybenzoic acid 2.1.


Unfortunately, the mesophase characterization of chiral phenanthrene-9,10-diones 3.1a and 3.1b show that these compounds are not mesogenic, with single $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{I}$ melting points of $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ a and $199-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{3 . 1 b}$. It is likely that the lateral bulk of the chiral centers in these compounds are not compatible with the planar phenanthrene-9,10-dione core and destroy the mesogenic properties.

### 3.4 Summary

The synthesis of achiral phenanthrene-9,10-diones 2.30a-f and chiral phenanthrene-9,10-dione 3.1a,b was achieved in a modified synthetic route by changing the cross coupling partner 2.10 from bromo (2.10a) to $n$-octyloxy (2.10c) or methoxymethoxy (2.10b) groups (see Scheme 3.2 and Scheme 3.7). The new route was found to increase the Suzuki cross coupling efficiency and to save synthetic steps. Initial LC characterization reveals that compounds 2.30d-f are mesogenic with a large range of SmC phases and an N phase. With the increase of chain length, the nematic phase become narrower and eventually disappears after $\mathrm{n}=9$ (2.30a-c), which is consistent with theory that aspect ratio favours the SmC phase. Unfortunately, the steric bulk of the chiral side chains in 3.1a and 3.1b destroy their LC characteristics.

## CHAPTER 4-CHIRAL PHENANTHRENEDIONE 3.1a,b AND CHIRAL PHENANTHRENE-9,10-DIOL (-)-4.1 AS DOPANTS

As discussed in Chapter 1, a non-mesogenic chiral dopant may induce a Ps in an achiral SmC host. The propensity of a dopant to induce a polarization is expressed by the polarization power according to the following equation:

$$
\delta=\left(\frac{d \mathrm{P}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}{d \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow 0} \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}} / \sin \theta
$$

In studies described in Chapter 2, it was found that compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ is not a liquid crystalline material. However, it may induce a Ps as a single enantiomer in an achiral liquid crystal host, which may be enhanced by intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the dopant and the host. Considering that the phenanthrenedione $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ may be used as a host, we selected compound (-)-4.1 instead of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ as the dopant because the undecyloxy side chain of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ does not match the hexyloxy side chain of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$. Thus, compound (-)4.1 was synthesized as the dopant to maximize its compatibility with the host $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$.

2.31


(-)-4.1

As shown in Scheme 4.1, the precursor 2.32 was resolved by semipreparative chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak-AS column ( $10 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ in hexanes, $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to give pure enantiomers (+)-2.32a and (-)-2.32. ${ }^{202}$ Enantiomer (-)2.32 was deprotected under acidic conditions to afford the phenol (-)-2.33 which, upon esterification gave the benzoate (-)-4.1.





Scheme 4.1

### 4.1 Polarization Measurements

The resolved compound (-)-4.1 was used as a chiral dopant in the liquid crystal hosts of PhB, DFT, PhP1 and 2.30f. The compound PhB, DFT and PhP1 were chosen as hosts because they have low viscosity and a broad range of SmC phase. The host $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ was chosen because it has the best LC properties in the 2.30a-f series.


PhB: Cr 35 SmC 70.5 SmA 72 N 75 I


DFT: Cr 49 SmC 77 SmA 93 N 108 I


PhP1: Cr 58 SmC 85 SmA 95 N 97 I

$(-)-4.1$

$2.30 f$

The dopant (-)-4.1 was purified by recrystallization (three times) from HPLC grade hexanes. Three homogeneous mixtures of optically pure dopant (-)-4.1 (5 mol\%) in $\mathbf{P h B}$, DFT and PhP1 were aligned as surface-stabilized ferroelectric liquid crystal (SSFLC) films using commercial ITO glass cells with rubbed polyimide surfaces and a cell gap of $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A homogeneous mixture of $(-)-\mathbf{4 . 1}$ in $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ could not be formed even by reducing the molar fraction of (-)-4.1 to $2.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ as evidenced by observation of coexisting liquid and LC domains over a broad range of temperatures by polarized microscopy. This suggests that the 9,10-dimethylphenanthrene-9,10-diol core of (-)-4.1 is not compatible with the phenanthrene-9,10-dione core of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$. Attempts were made to measure the
spontaneous polarizations of these samples (Ps) in the SmC phase at T-Tc $=-5 \mathrm{~K}$ and -10 K by the triangular wave method. ${ }^{203}$

Although ferroelectric induction was achieved in each sample ((-)-4.1/PhB, ((-)4.1/DFT, ((-)-4.1/PhP1, ((-)-4.1/2.30f) as evidenced by observation of electro-optical switching upon applying an electric field, the value of Ps was below the detection limit of the instrument, i.e., ca. $0.5 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. This means that the polarization power cannot be any higher than ca. $35 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ assuming a tilt angle on the order of 20 degrees. This result may be rationalized by the fact that the chiral core is likely to have relatively little conformational asymmetry in the binding site of the SmC host due to a lack of steric coupling with the two side-chains and the rotational axis of the phenanthrene core. In other words, in the absence of other effects favoring polar ordering, such as chirality transfer feedback, ${ }^{204}$ the chiral polar core in (-)-4.1 should be able to freely rotate with respect to the side-chains within the confines of the binding site of the host, thus resulting in negligible spontaneous polarization. Furthermore, this result suggests that any hydrogen bonding between the dopant and surrounding host molecules does not enhance the polar order of the dopant to any significant extent.

Compounds 3.1a and 3.1b were also used as dopants in hosts PhB, DFT, PhP1, NCB76 and 2.30f. Because the chlorine is larger than hydrogen, the chiral side-chain should be more strongly coupled to the polar core in $\mathbf{3 . 1} \mathbf{b}$, and result in a higher polarization power than with 3.1a. NCB76 was chosen because it has an axial CN group on a cyclohexane ring which may promote a perpendicular orientation of the flat-polardopants, e.g. 3.1a and 3.1b, with respect to the host core via strong dipole-dipole interactions. ${ }^{205}$


The dopants 3.1a and 3.1b were purified by recrystallization (three times) from HPLC grade ethyl acetate/hexanes (30/70). Homogeneous mixtures of optically pure dopant 3.1a or 3.1b (5 mol\%) in PhB, DFT, PhP1 and NCB76 were aligned as surfacestabilized ferroelectric liquid crystal (SSFLC) films using commercial ITO glass cells with rubbed polyimide surfaces and a cell gap of $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Homogeneous mixtures of 3.1a or 3.1b ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in 2.30 f were achieved but could not be aligned properly because the viscosity of the host is high and the cell resistivity proved to be too low at temperatures below the clearing point.

All the mixtures showed ferroelectric induction but no measurable polarization. This may be explained by the literature precedent ${ }^{206}$ suggesting that, in the case of biaxial smectic phases like the SmC phase, the plane of strongly biaxial (i.e., flat) mesogenic cores is normally oriented in the tilt plane, which would result in negligible polarizations from dopants with biaxial cores like 3.1a and 3.1b in which the transverse dipole is oriented along the plane of the core.

### 4.2 Summary

Spontaneous polarization measurements were carried out for samples consisting of about $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of dopants ((-)4.1 or 3.1a or 3.1b) and $95 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of hosts (PhB, DFT,

PhP1, NBC76 and 2.30f). All the samples have an observable polarization that is too small to be measured by the triangular wave method.

For Type II dopant (-)-4.1, intermolecular hydrogen bonding does not enhance the polar order to any significant extent. For Type I dopants 3.1a and 3.1b, considering that the polarization induced by a chiral 2-octyloxy side-chain unit on its own is normally on the order of $100 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{cm}^{2},{ }^{15}$ the alkoxy dipole in 3.1a and 3.1b should lie in the plane of the phenanthrenedione core. These results suggest that the core/alkoxy dipole of the dopant may lie near the tilt plane of the SmC* phase in each host, and therefore cannot contribute to the polarization. This is consistent with the assumption that biaxial cores tend to lie in the tilt plane in the SmC phase.

### 4.3 Conclusion

The question whether intermolecular hydrogen bonding promotes polar order in the SmC* phase is left unsolved because of the unstable character of compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$. Structural modification of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ successfully stabilized the diol core, but destroyed its LC properties because of the increase of lateral bulk in the phenanthrene bridge, which indicates that the LC properties of phenanthrene derivatives are very sensitive to lateral bulk. This is demonstrated by the fact that $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ has a SmC phase with a range of 77 K . However, compound 3.1a has no LC properties at all despite the fact that the chirality is introduced in the alkoxy side chain, which is further evidence that lateral bulk (in this case, branching of the side-chain) strongly disfavours the formation of mesophases.


On the other hand, in comparison with the fluorenone $2.37,{ }^{43}$ comma before ref which forms an enantiotropic SmC phase with a range of 38 K , as well as an enantiotropic N phase with a range of 23 K , the phenanthrenedione $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ forms a much more stable SmC phase with a range of 83 K but does not form a N phase. This is consistent with the assumption that a more polar core with stronger van der Waals interactions favours the formation of a SmC phase. Therefore, the phenanthrenedione core may be useful in the design of materials forming SmC mesogens with broad, stable SmC phases.
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## CHAPTER 5-EXPERIMENTAL

### 5.1. General Methods.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300, 400, 500 and 600 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75, 100, 125 and 150 MHz ) spectra were recorded on Bruker AC-300, AC-400, AC-500 and AV-600 instruments in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (unless otherwise indicated). The chemical shifts are reported in (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as internal standard. Low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass VG Quattro triple quadropole mass spectrometer; peaks are reported as $m / z$ (percent intensity relative to the base peak). High resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass 70-250S double focusing mass spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bomem MB-100 FT-IR spectrometer as neat on NaCl plates. The X-ray crystallographic analysis was performed on a Bruker SMART CCD 1000 X-ray diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) operating at 50 kV and 40 mA at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Melting points were obtained using a Fisher Scientific hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Canadian Microanalytical Service Ltd. (Delta, BC). Specific rotation was performed using a Rudolph Research Analytical AUTOPOL_V automatic polarimeter. Texture analyses were performed using a Nikon Eclipse E600 POL polarized microscope fitted with a Linkam LTS 350 hot stage and TMS 93 temperature controller. Spontaneous polarizations $\left(P_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ were measured as a function of temperature by the triangular wave method ( $10-15 \mathrm{~V} / \mu \mathrm{m}, 80-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) using a Displaytech APT-III polarization testbed in conjunction with the Linkam hot stage. Polyimide-coated ITO glass cells with a $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spacing (Displaytech Inc.) were used for all measurements.

Alignment of the $\mathrm{SmC}^{*}$ phase was achieved by slow cooling ( $0.1 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$ ) from the isotropic phase while applying an AC field $(4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{~V} / \mu \mathrm{m})$ across the film. The sign of $P_{\mathrm{S}}$ along the polar axis was assigned from the relative configuration of the electric field and the switching position of the sample according to the established convention.

### 5.2 Materials.

All dry solvents used were purified under an argon atmosphere according to Perrin ${ }^{207}$ or purchased from commercial sources. THF and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were either freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl or from a solvent purification system made by Innovative Technology Inc. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and DMF (reduced pressure) were freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ or purchased from commercial sources, and toluene and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ were freshly distilled from sodium metal. Diisopropylamine (DIPA) and $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2} . n$-Butyllithium (hexane solution) and $s$-butyllithium (hexane solution) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and periodically titrated against $s$-butanol with $N$-benzylbenzamide or 1,10 -phenanthroline as an indicator. ${ }^{208} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was prepared according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{209}$ All commodity chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. 4-Alkoxybenzoic acids (hexyl, heptyl, octyl, nonyl, decyl and undecyl) were synthesized by literature procedures ${ }^{210}$ and shown to have the expected physical and spectral properties. LDA was freshly prepared before use by stirring a $1: 1$ mixture of DIPA and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in THF for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.3 Specific Experimental Procedures

## $N, N$-diethyl-3-methoxybenzamide (2.2) ${ }^{211}$

A solution of 3-methoxybenzoic acid $2.1(20 \mathrm{~g}, 130 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$
( $48 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0$ equiv) and DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05$ equiv) was stirred for 2 h at rt then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then concentrated under reduced pressure. This procedure was repeated two more times. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{HNEt}_{2}$ ( $41 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0$ equiv) was added dropwise and the solution allowed to warm to rt over 4 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to a yellow oil. Vacuum distillation (b.p. $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) [lit. b.p. $177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ] gave $26 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ of 2.2 as a colourless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.8,159.4,138.5,129.4,118.3,114.9,111.6,55.2,43.1$, $39.0,14.1,12.8$. The physical and spectroscopic data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{43}$

## $N, N$-Diethyl-3-methoxy-2-(trimethylsilyl)benzamide (2.3)

To a solution of $2.2(10 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TMEDA ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 58$
 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF ( 120 mL ) cooled to a range between 75 to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added dropwise $s$-BuLi (1.4 M solution in hexanes, $41 \mathrm{~mL}, 58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). After the solution was stirred for 1 h , $\mathrm{TMSCl}\left(7.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2\right.$ equiv) was added dropwise at -75 to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting
solution was allowed to warm to rt over 4 h , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. After concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes gave 2.3 ( $11 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ) as a colorless solid: mp $54-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[1 \mathrm{lit}{ }^{129} \mathrm{mp} 54-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 171.7, $164.8,144.5,130.4,124.3,118.8,109.7,55.1,43.3,38.9,13.6,12.8,0.4$. The physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{176 a}$

## $N, N$-Diethyl-3-methoxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2-

## (trimethylsilyl)benzamide (2.5)

 To a solution of TMEDA ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in $4.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). After stirring for 10 min , to this mixture was added 2.3 ( 0.5 M solution in THF, $7.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ by dropwise addition. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(0.80 \mathrm{~mL}$, $7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h, neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\times 2$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) and the
resulting solution was treated with pinacol $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ and recrystallization (hexanes) gave $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ as a colorless crystalline solid: mp 89 $91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexanes); IR (thin film) $1639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.15-3.06 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.19(m, 15H), $1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,167.0,150.5,139.5,123.8,108.6,83.4,54.9,43.2,39.2$, 24.9, 24.6, 13.2, 12.5, 0.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BNO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: 404.2428$, found 404.2442.

## 1-Bromo-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2-methylbenzene (2.10b).

A solution of 4-bromo-3-methylphenol $(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF

( 2 mL ) was added dropwise to a mixture of freshly washed (hexanes) $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv $)$ by hexanes in THF ( 8 mL ). After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MOMCl}(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 3 h , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (15-20\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.58 g of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 b}(76 \%)$ as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.4,138.9,132.8,118.7,116.8$,
115.3, 94.4, 56.0, 23.1. The physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{197 b}$

## 1-Bromo-2-methyl-4-(octyloxy)benzene (2.10c)



To a solution of 4-bromo-3-methylphenol ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $i$ $\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}\left(8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1-bromooctane ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The mixture was stirred for 12 h , diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10 EtOAc/hexanes) gave $5.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{2.10c}(76 \%)$ as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $158.4,138.7,132.7,117.1,115.1,113.5,68.2,31.8,29.3,29.2,26.0,23.1,22.7,14.1$; HRSM (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{OBr}$ : 298.0932, found 298.0930.

## (R)-1-Bromo-2-methyl-4-(oct-2-yloxy)benzene (2.10e).



To a solution of 4-bromo-3-methylphenol $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $(S)$-octan-2-ol $(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$, DIAD ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{~mL} 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1$ mmol, 1.2 equiv). After stirring for 12 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with satd
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $0.53 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 e}$ as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1779 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.4$, $138.8,132.8,118.6,115.0,114.7,74.2,36.4,31.8,29.2,25.5,23.1,22.6,19.7,14.1$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{OBr} 298.0932$, found 298.0941.

## 4’-Bromo-N,N-diethyl-4-methoxy-2'-methyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)biphenyl-2-

 carboxamide (2.11a).

To a solution of $N, N$-diethyl-3-methoxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2( 90 mL , degassed) in a glove box were added sequentially 4-bromo-1-iodo-2methylbenzene ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1 M aq solution, $30 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 20 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) and
recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $3.0 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%)$ of 2.11a as a mixture of colorless solid which was established to be a mixture of diastereomenrs. ${ }^{212} \mathrm{mp} 147-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.53-0.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.1,168.1$, $163.8,163.7,143.0,142.4,140.5,139.0,138.1,137.5,132.8,132.7,132.3,131.6,131.4$, $131.0,129.3,128.6,127.5,127.2,123.5,122.6,120.3,120.0,110.0,109.6,55.3,41.7$, $41.8,36.8,36.2,19.9,19.6,12.6,12.5,11.2,10.6,0.6,0.5$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{BrSi}: 447.1229$, found 447.1239.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-4-methoxy-4'-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)biphenyl-

## 2-carboxamide (2.11b).



To a solution of $N, N$-diethyl-3-methoxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2(trimethylsilyl)benzamide $2.5(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 2 mL , degassed) in a glove box were added sequentially 1-bromo-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2-methylbenzene $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 b}\left(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}(26$ $\mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.0 M aq solution, $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 20 h . After cooling to rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated under reduced pressure. the resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on
silica gel $(20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave 0.19 g (90\%) of 2.11b as colorless solid diastereomers: mp 97-99 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-0.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.0,163.7,163.6,156.2,155.9,143.5,143.1,139.7$, $136.5,132.9,132.2,131.8,129.7,117.3,116.8,112.6,111.8,108.9,108.7,94.0,55.3$, $54.8,54.7,42.0,41.9,36.9,36.37,20.1,20.0,12.4,11.0,10.4,0.0$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : 429.2335 , found 429.2331.

## 4'-Bromo-N,N-diethyl-4-methoxy-2'-methylbiphenyl-2-carboxamide (2.12a).



To a solution of 2.11a ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 150 mL ) was added TBAF ( 1.0 M solution in THF, 45 mL , 45 mmol 3.0 equiv) at rt . After stirring for 12 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $5.1 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of 2.11a as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.5,159.0,138.2,132.8,131.2,128.2$,
$121.3,114.3,111.5,55.4,42.4,38.0,20.1,13.8,11.9$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}: 374.0576$, found 374.0765 .

## $N, N$-Diethyl-4-methoxy-4'-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methylbiphenyl-2-carboxamide

 (2.12b).To a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 b}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (10
 mL ) was added TBAF ( 1.0 M solution in THF, 7.0 mL , $7.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at rt . After stirring for 12 h the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $0.76 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 b}$ as a yellow oil: IR (thin film) $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta$ (no quaternary carbons) 132.1, 118.0, 114.3, 113.3, 111.6, 94.8, 56.1, 55.8, 42.7, 38.1, 20.7, 13.9, 12.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : 357.1940, found357.1936.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-7-methoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy) phenanthren-9-amine (2.15)



To a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 b}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6 mL ) under Ar at -5 to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly prepared LDA (1.0 M solution in THF, $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.15 g of $2.15(95 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 158.4,155.9,146.6,133.2$, $132.1,126.4,124.6,123.9,123.7,118.1,117.2,116.9,112.0,105.9,95.2,56.5,55.9$, 47.7, 12.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ 339.1834, found 339.1846.

## 7-Methoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)phenanthrene-9-ol (2.16).



To a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 b}(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) was added under Ar freshly prepared LDA (1.0 M solution in THF, $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) by dropwise addition at $-5-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was
washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.66 g (97\%) of $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ as a colorless solid: mp $112-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta$ $158.4,156.1,150.3,133.4,126.4,126.4,124.5,124.1,122.4,118.3,116.0,111.2,106.8$, 103.3, 95.2, 56.5, 56.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 284.1049$, found 284.1048 .

## 4'-Bromo-N,N-diethyl-4-hydroxy-2'-methylbiphenyl-2-carboxamide (2.17).



To a solution of 2.12a ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (anhydrous, 120 mL ) at -65 to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere was added dropwise freshly prepared $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 24 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred over 30 min , quenched with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (45-50\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $4.10 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ as a colorless crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 217-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3208,1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta$ $8.82(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.0,156.4$,
136.5, 132.9, 131.4, 128.4, 121.2, 116.7, 100.0, 42.7, 38.5, 20.1, 13.5, 11.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ : 360.0599 , found 360.0604 .

## 4'-Bromo-N,N-diethyl-2'-methyl-4-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (2.18).



A solution of $2.17(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}), n-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1$ $\mathrm{mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under Ar at rt for 12 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $4.9 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ as a yellow oil: (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.19-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-2.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.6,158.5,138.0$, 132.7, 131.2, 128.1, 121.2, 114.8, 112.0, 68.2, 42.3, 38.0, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 26.0, 22.6, 20.1, 14.1, 13.8, 11.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ : 472.1851 , found 472.1845 .

To a solution of $2.18(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL )
 cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added under $\mathrm{Ar} t$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(2.1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexanes, $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv)
dropwise. After the solution was stirred for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{PrO})_{3}(0.40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min . The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(35 \% \mathrm{aq}$ solution, $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.0 equiv) was added dropwise. After the solution was warmed to rt over 15 min , it was diluted with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(69 \%)$ of 2.19 as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 100-101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3252,1616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-$ $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 170.5,157.9,156.1,137.7,132.0,130.2,116.9,114.8,112.5,111.7,68.2,42.6,38.2$, $31.8,29.3,29.2,29.2,26.0,22.6,20.3,14.1,13.7,12.0$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: 411.2773$, found 411.2766.
$N, N$-Diethyl-4'-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (2.20).

A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL )
 was added to freshly washed (hexanes) $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) under Ar. After stirring for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MOMCl}(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$,
2.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 3 h , and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 1.8 g of $2.20(83 \%)$ as a yellow oil: IR (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.24-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.28-2.59 (m, 3H), $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.9,158.2,156.4,138.3$, $131.5,129.6,117.5,114.7,112.9,111.8,94.3,68.2,55.8,42.2,37.8,31.8,29.3,29.2$, 29.2, 26.0, 22.6, 20.5, 14.1, 13.7, 11.8.; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : 455.3036, found 455.3026 .

## 2-(Methoxymethoxy)-7-(octyloxy)phenanthren-9-ol (2.21).

A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL )
 was added under Ar freshly prepared LDA (1.0 M solution in THF, $16 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) by dropwise addition at $-5-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\%
$\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 1.2 g (97\%) of 2.21 as a colorless solid: mp 123-124 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3513 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta$ $10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 157.2,155.6,151.8,133.8$, $126.9,125.8,124.7,124.2,121.2,118.1,115.0,110.9,105.8,104.1,94.3,68.2,56.2$, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 25.6, 15.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 382.2144$, found 382.2152.

## 2-(Methoxymethoxy)-7-(octyloxy)phenanthren-9-yl

## trifluoromethanesulfonate

 (2.22).

To a solution of $2.21(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(25$ $\mathrm{mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added under $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{Tf}}^{2} \mathrm{O}(4.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv) dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 2 h and the whole was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (10 $\mathrm{mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown residue. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /hexanes) gave 1.2 g of $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ (86\%) as a colorless solid: mp 51$52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1216 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.50-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ (s, 2H), $4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
1.42-1.24(m, 8H), $0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.2,155.7$, $144.5,130.6,126.1,125.8,124.9,118.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=320 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.3,123.8,119.6,119.4$, $118.1,112.5,102.4,94.6,68.3,56.2,31.8,29.4,29.2,29.1,26.1,22.7,14.1$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 514.1637$, found 514.1641.

## 2-(Methoxymethoxy)-7-(octyloxy)phenanthrene (2.23).



To a solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF (5 mL ) were added sequentially $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0$ equiv) under Ar at rt . The mixture was stirred for 12 h and the whole was diluted with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 2). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOA/hexanes) gave 0.15 g of 2.23 ( $88 \%$ ) as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 72-73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.53-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.58-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.23(m, 8H), $0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.3,155.0,132.5,132.2,127.1,127.0,125.7,124.6,123.7,123.7,117.9$, 117.6, 112.4, 109.4, 94.7, 68.2, 56.1, 31.8, 29.4, 29.3, 29.3, 26.1, 22.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} 366.2195$, found 366.2188 .

## 7-(Octyloxy)phenanthren-2-ol (2.24).



A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $i-\mathrm{PrOH}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv). The whole was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (30-40\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization
 film) $3309 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.51-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.30-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.2,153.3,132.4,132.3,127.3,126.5,125.0,124.7,124.0$, 123.6, 117.6, 116.7, 111.7, 109.5, 68.2, 31.8, 29.4, 29.3, 29.3, 26.1, 22.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} 322.1933$, found 322.1923 .

## 7-(Octyloxy)phenanthren-2-yl 4-(undecyloxy)benzoate (1.32)

To a solution of $2.24(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$
 mmol ) and ( 1 H -benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-$1-\mathrm{yl})(4-$
(undecyloxy)phenyl)methanone 2.26 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in THF ( 1.5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.50 \mathrm{M}$ aq solution, $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) at rt . The mixture was stirred for 10 h and diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated
under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) and recrystallization (EtOAc), 54 mg of $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ (60\%) was given as a colorless solid: mp $100-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ $8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-$ $1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 165.6,164.3,158.6$, $149.4,133.9,132.7,132.3,128.8,128.0,127.5,124.8,124.7,124.1,122.1,121.9,120.4$, $118.3,114.9,110.0,69.0,68.8,32.5,32.4,30.2,30.2,30.1,30.0,29.9$ (4C), 29.7 (2C), 26.5, 23.3, 23.3, 14.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{4} 596.3866$, found 596.3843.

## 2-(Methoxymethoxy)-7-(octyloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (2.28).

To a solution of $2.21(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
 $(7.9 \mathrm{~g})$ in acetone $(33 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(33 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(66$ mL ) was added slowly oxone ( 6.3 g ) at rt. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (40\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.51 g (93\%) of 2.28 as a red solid: mp $72-73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 180.2,180.2,159.4,157.2$, $131.2,131.1,130.3,129.1,125.1,125.0,124.6,124.2,116.3,113.2,94.2,68.6,56.3$, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 25.9, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}: 396.1937$, found 396.1949.

## 2-Hydroxy-7-(octyloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (2.29).



A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2-propanol (1.3 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv). The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , and diluted with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was extracted $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (40-50\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ gave $0.22 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of 2.29 as a red solid: mp $116-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1670,1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 180.4,180.4$, $159.5,156.2,131.3,131.2,129.7,129.3,125.4,125.0,124.3,123.8,116.0,113.5,68.6$, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 26.0, 22.6, 14.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 352.1675$, found 352.1688.

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(undecyloxy)benzoate

 (2.30a).

A solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ (30 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.085$ mmol), 4-(undecyloxy)benzoic acid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DMAP ( 2 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar. After concentration by reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give 48 mg ( $90 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 a}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 112-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) $1722,1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-$ $4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.9,179.7,164.4,163.9,160.1,151.2,133.9$, $132.4,131.8,131.1,129.8,128.4,125.7,124.8,124.2,123.2,120.7,114.4,113.5,68.7$, $68.4,31.9,31.7,29.6$ (2C), 29.4, 29.3 (2C), 29.2, 29.1(2C), 26.0 (2C), 22.7 (2C), 14.1 (2C); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~K}: 665.3244$, found 665.3270 .

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(decyloxy)benzoate

 (2.30b).

The solution of 2.29 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol), 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid (47 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC (35
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DMAP ( 5 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar. After concentration by reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give 76 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 b}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) $1722,1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-$ $4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.9,179.7,164.4,163.9,160.1,151.2,133.9$, $132.4,131.8,131.1,129.8,128.4,125.7,124.8,124.2,123.2,120.7,114.4,113.5,68.7$, 68.4, 31.9, 31.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 26.0, 22.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6}: 612.3451$, found 612.3453.

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(nonyloxy)benzoate

 (2.30c).The solution of $2.29(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$
 mmol), 4-(nonyloxy)benzoic acid (45 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and DMAP
$(5 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar . After concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give $74 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of 2.30c as a red solid: mp $111-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) $1722,1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$
$8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.9$, 179.7, 164.4, 163.9, 160.1, 151.2, 133.9, 132.4, 131.8, 131.1, 129.8, 128.4, 125.7, 124.8, $124.2,123.2,120.7,114.4,113.5,68.7,68.4,31.9,31.8,29.5,29.4,29.3,29.2$ (2C), 29.1 (2C), 26.0 (2C), 22.7, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 598.3294, found 598.3273.

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(octyloxy)benzoate (2.30d).



The solution of 2.29 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol), 4-(octyloxy)benzoic acid (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC ( 28 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( 4 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar. After concentration by reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give 56 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 d}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 111-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\operatorname{IR}$ (thin film) $1722,1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.09-4.00 (m, 4H), 1.88-1.76 (m, 4H), 1.51-1.43 (m, 4H), 1.41-1.22 (m, 16H), $0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.9,179.7,164.4,163.9,160.1,151.2$,
133.9, 132.4, 131.8, 131.1, 129.8, 128.4, 125.7, 124.8, 124.2, 123.1, 120.7, 114.4, 113.5, 68.7, 68.4, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1 (2C), 26.0, 25.9, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 584.3138, found 584.3165.

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(heptyloxy)benzoate

 (2.30e).

The solution of 2.29 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol), 4-(heptyloxy)benzoic acid (48 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC (42 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv), DMAP ( 6 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar. After concentration by reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give $88 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of 2.30e as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 101-102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) 1723 , $1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (dd, $J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-$ $4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 180.0,179.8,164.4,163.9,160.1,151.2,133.9$, $132.4,131.9,131.1,129.8,128.4,125.7,124.8,124.2,123.2,120.7,114.5,113.5,68.7$, 68.4, 31.8 (2C), 29.3, 29.2, 29.1 (2C), 29.0, 26.0, 25.9, 22.7, 22.6, 14.0 (2C); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 570.2981, found 570.2971.

## 7-(Octyloxyl)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-(hexyloxy)benzoate

 (2.30f).

The solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 ( 6 0 \mathrm { mg } , ~} 0.17$ mmol), 4-(hexyloxy)benzoic acid (45 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC (42 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) and DMAP $(6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 10 h at rt under Ar. After concentration by reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc) to give $85 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 f}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 113-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR (thin film) 1723 , $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, $J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-$ $4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.9,179.7,164.4,163.9,160.1,151.2,133.9$, $132.4,131.8,131.1,129.8,128.4,125.7,124.8,124.2,123.2,120.7,114.4,113.5,68.7$, $68.4,31.8,31.5,29.3,29.2,29.1,29.0,26.0,25.6,22.6,22.6,14.1,14.0$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 556.2825 , found 556.2849 .
trans-9,10-Dihydroxy-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4(undecyloxy)benzoate (1.31)


To a solution of 2.30a $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32$ mmol) in 2-propanol ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{KBH}_{4}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$
equiv) slowly. After stirring for 12 h under Ar at rt , the mixture was neutralized dropwise with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10-20\% EtOAc/hexanes) and Recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /hexane) gave $0.16 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 97-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3417,1729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-$ $1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 164.2,163.1$, $158.6,149.6,139.8,139.1,131.8,129.9,124.6,124.1,123.6,120.8,120.8,119.0,114.6$, 113.5, 111.7, 72.2, 72.1, 67.9, 67.4, 31.2, 31.1, 28.8 (3C), 28.6 (3C), 28.5, 28.4, 25.4, 25.3, 21.9, 13.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{6}: 630.3920$, found 630.3902 .

9,10-Dimethyl-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-diol (2.36)


A solution of phenanthrene-9,10-dione $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed tube cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\operatorname{MeMgBr}(3.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0$ equiv) dropwise under Ar. The tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred for 10 h at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture dropwise and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica
gel ( $30 \%$ hexanes/toluene) and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes gave $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit $^{\mathrm{b}} 134-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ ); IR (thin film) $3442 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 142.3, 131.7, 128.4, 127.7, 123.6 (2C), 77.5, 24.4. The physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{197 b}$

## 2-(Methoxymethoxy)-9,10-dimethyl-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-

 diol (2.32).

A solution of $2.28(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5$ mL ) in a sealed tube cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added MeMgBr (3.0 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0$ equiv) dropwise under Ar. The tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred for 10 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture dropwise and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (30\% hexanes/toluene) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $0.097 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ as a colorless solid: mp 69-70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3442 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (t, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.1,156.9,143.3$ (2C), 125.8,
$124.5,124.3,124.2,115.0,113.5,111.6,109.7,94.5,77.6,77.5,68.1,56.1,31.8,29.4$ (2C), 29.2, 26.1, 24.3, 24.3, 22.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} 428.2563$, found 428.2543.

9,10-Dimethyl-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene-2,9,10-triol (2.33).


To a solution of 2.32 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $n-$ $\mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NBr}\left(0.12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1 mL ) was added TMSCl ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \mathrm{uL}, 5.0$ equiv) at rt under Ar. The mixture was stirred for 1 h , diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (40-50\% hexanes/toluene) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 25 mg ( $86 \%$ ) of 2.33 as a colorless solid: mp $127-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 160.1,158.1,146.0,145.6,126.4,125.3,125.2$, $125.0,115.1,114.4,112.2,111.3,78.6,78.4,69.1,33.2,30.7,30.6,27.4,24.8,23.9$, 14.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} 384.2301$, found 384.2298.


To a solution of $2.33(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 4-(undecyloxy)benzoic acid ( 27 mg , $0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), DCC ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DMAP ( 2 mg ). The mixture was stirred for 10 h under Ar at rt , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave $47 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ as a colorless solid: mp 63$64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane);IR (thin film) $3459,1729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.12(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.53-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ $\delta 165.3,164.1,160.1,150.9,144.5,143.7,132.5,129.9,125.5,124.4,124.1,122.0$, $121.2,117.7,114.7,113.7,110.3,77.7,77.6,68.8,68.5,32.3$ (2C), 30.0 (2C), 29.8 (2C), 29.7, 29.5, 26.5, 26.4, 24.7, 24.5, 23.1, 14.3; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 658.4233, found 658.4218.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-3-hydroxybenzamide (2.6).

To a solution of $N, N$-diethyl-3-methoxybenzamide 2.2 (4.0 g, 19
 mmol) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (anhydrous, 20 mL ) at -65 to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere was added dropwise freshly prepared $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$
solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 39 \mathrm{~mL}, 39 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred over 30 min , quenched with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (45-50\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $3.5 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of 2.6 as a colorless crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 99-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[\mathrm{lit}^{213} \mathrm{mp} 82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc})\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.12$ (br, 1H), $7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-$ $3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.0,156.9,137.2,129.4,117.1,117.0,114.2,43.5$, 39.5, 14.1, 12.8. Spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{214}$

## $N, N$-Diethyl-3-(methoxymethoxy)benzamide (3.3).

A solution of $2.6(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MOMCl}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mL}$,

$15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \operatorname{EtN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt under Ar for 10 h . The solution was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 3.0 g $(90 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-$ $6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{br}$, 2H), $1.20(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.07(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.7,157.1,138.5$,
$129.5,119.5,116.8,114.1,94.3,55.9,43.1,39.1,14.0,12.8$. The physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{129}$

## N,N-Diethyl-3-(methoxymethoxy)-2-(trimethylsilyl)benzamide (3.4).



To a solution of TMEDA ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in THF ( 100 mL ) at -78 to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added dropwise $s$ BuLi (1.0 M solution in hexanes, $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). After 10 min , 3.3 ( 0.50 M in THF, $23 \mathrm{~mL}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added by dropwise addition. After stirring for 1 h , to the mixture was added $\mathrm{TMSCl}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) dropwise. The resulting solution was allowed to warm up to rt over 4 h , and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Concentrated under reduced pressure, the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $20-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) and recrystallization (hexane) gave $2.8 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 63-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad\left[\right.$ lit $\mathrm{mp}=62-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexanes)]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-$ $3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03$ (s, 9 H ) $;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.7,162.7,144.6,130.5,124.6,119.7,112.6$, 94.1, 56.1, 43.3, 39.0, 13.7, 12.8, 0.6. Physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{129}$

## $N, N$-Diethyl-3-(methoxymethoxy)-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2-

 (trimethylsilyl)benzamide (3.6).

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 4}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added $s$ - BuLi (1.4 M in hexane, 4.6 mL , $6.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) dropwise. After the mixture was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{O} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was neutralized with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. Without further purification, the mixture was treated with pinacol $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv $)$ and the whole was stirred for 1 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) and recrystallization (hexanes) gave $0.85 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 89-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[1 \mathrm{lit}^{215} \mathrm{mp} 89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (hexanes)]; IR (thin film) $1639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-$ $3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.27$ (s, 9 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,164.9,150.2,139.5,124.0,111.4,94.0$, $83.5,56.2,43.3,39.4,24.9,24.6,13.1,12.5,0.8$. Physical and spectral data are consistent with those reported. ${ }^{215}$

## N,N-Diethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)-3-

## (trimethylsilyl)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (3.7)



To a solution of 3.7 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME (23 mL , degassed) in a glove box was sequentially added,

1-bromo-2-methyl-4-(octyloxy)benzene 2.10c (0.69, $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$ $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ aq solution, $6.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 20 h . After cooling to rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) gave $0.76 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of 3.7 as a colorless oil which was shown to be a mixture of diastereomers ${ }^{212}$ : IR (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.55-3.46 (m, 3H), 3.24-2.49 (m, 3H), 2.21-2.06 (m, 3H), 1.80-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.22 $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.66-0.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.33-0.24(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.6,169.3,161.8,161.7,158.5,158.2,143.6,143.4,140.0,136.5,133.4$, 132.7, 132.4, 132.2, 131.0, 130.4, 129.9, 125.1, 124.2, 116.4, 115.4, 112.0, 111.9, 110.8, $110.6,94.3,68.0,56.2,56.2,42.4,42.3,37.6,37.1,31.8,29.3,29.2,26.0,22.6,20.8$, 20.5, 14.1, 12.9, 11.6, 11.1, 0.9, 0.8; NMR HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : 527.3431, found 527.3438.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide

## (3.8).



To a solution of $3.7(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (8 mL ) was added TBAF ( 1 M solution in THF, 3.4 mL , 3.4 mmol 3 equiv) at rt . After stirring for 12 h the
reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.47 g ( $90 \%$ ) of 3.8 as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-$ $3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.7,158.4,156.2,138.4,131.7,115.9,114.1,111.0,94.5,67.9,56.0,42.3,37.9,31.8$, 29.3, 29.2 (2C), 26.0, 22.6, 20.5, 14.0, 13.6, 11.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : 455.3036, found 455.3020.

## 7-(Methoxymethoxy)-2-(octyloxy)phenanthren-9-ol (3.9).

A solution of $3.8(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$
 was added under Ar freshly prepared LDA (1 M solution in THF, $7.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) by dropwise addition at $-5-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $0.53 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of 3.9 as a
colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 124-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3513 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.74(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.56-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.43-1.27 (m, 8H), $0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7, \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.6,154.9,149.7,133.2,126.9,125.6,123.9,123.6,120.9,118.5,114.9$, 107.9, 106.4, 106.4, 94.5, 68.1, 56.2, 31.8, 29.4, 29.3, 29.3, 26.1, 22.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 382.2144 , found 382.2152 .

## N,N-Diethyl-4-methoxy-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)-3-(trimethylsilyl)biphenyl-2-

 carboxamide (3.10).

To a solution of N,N-diethyl-3-methoxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2-
(trimethylsilyl)benzamide $2.5(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 50 mL , degassed) in a glove box were added sequentially 1-bromo-2-methyl-4(octyloxy)benzene 2.10c ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}(0.16 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.0 M aq solution, $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 20 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $2.0 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ as a colorless solid which
was shown to be a mixture of diastereomers: mp 66-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1633 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 7.23-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-$ $2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 0.64-0.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ 169.9, 169.8, $164.6,164.4,159.1,158.8,144.4,144.1,140.3,137.3,133.9,133.1,133.0,132.9,131.7$, $130.6,129.9,125.0,124.1,116.8,115.7,111.4,111.1,109.8,109.6,68.5,55.7,55.7$, $42.9,42.8,38.0,37.3,32.4,29.9,29.8,26.6,23.2,21.1,20.9,14.4,13.3,12.0,11.5,0.9$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 497.3325, found 497.3337.
$N, N$-Diethyl-4-methoxy-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (3.11).


To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF (15 mL ) was added $\operatorname{CsF}(2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The whole was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $1.6 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of product 3.11 as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.22-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-$ $1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$,
$\left.37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 169.9,158.6,158.5,138.5,131.7,116.2,114.2,111.5,111.1,68.0,55.3,42.2$, 37.9, 31.8, 29.3 (2C), 29.2, 26.0, 22.6, 20.4, 14.0, 13.7, 11.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: 425.2930$, found 425.2914.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-4-hydroxy-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (3.12).



A solution of EtSH ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 35 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) in DMF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to freshly washed (hexanes) NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) by hexanes dropwise. The whole was stirred for 10 min and $3.11(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was neutralized dropwise with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $1.6 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ as a colorless crystalline solid: mp 107-109 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3224,1603 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.62(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.05 (m, 1H), $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-2.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\left.37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 171.4,158.4,156.0,136.9,131.8,116.5,116.3,111.3,68.1,42.6,38.4,31.8$, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 26.1, 22.6, 20.4, 14.0, 13.5, 11.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : 411.2773, found 411.2776.

## $N, N$-Diethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4'-(octyloxy)biphenyl-2-carboxamide

 A solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added to freshly washed (hexanes) $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) by hexanes. After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MOMCl}(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , neutralized dropwise with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20$ $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 1.5 g of 3.8 ( $99 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.20-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.72-6.61 (m, 1H), 5.30-5.09 (m, 2H), 3.93 (t, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta$ $169.8,158.4,156.2,138.5,131.8,116.2,115.9,114.0,111.1,94.5,67.9,56.0,42.3,37.9$, 31.8, 29.3, 29.3, 29.2, 26.0, 22.6, 20.5, 14.1, 13.6, 11.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: 455.3036$, found 455.3020 .

## (R)-N,N-Diethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4'-(oct-2-yloxy)-3-

(trimethylsilyl)biphenyl-2-carboxamide (3.13).


To solution of $N, N$-Diethyl-3-(methoxymethoxy)-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2-
(trimethylsilyl)benzamide 3.6 ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME ( 10 mL , degassed) in a glove box were added sequentially $(R)$-1-bromo-2-methyl-4-(oct-2-yloxy)benzene 2.10e (0.46 $\mathrm{g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(59 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ aq solution, 3.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 14 h. After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $0.51 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ as a colorless solid which was shonw to be mixture of diastereomers: $\mathrm{mp} 82-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1636 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.36-4.28 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.48 (m, 3H), 3.24-2.47 (m, .3H), 2.22-2.06 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.65-0.43(m, 3H), 0.32-0.28(m, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $)$ 169.5, 169.3, 161.8, 161.7, 157.6, 157.3, 157.2, 143.6, 143.4, 140.1, 136.6, 136.6, 133.4, 132.7, 132.3, 132.2, $130.9,130.4,130.0,125.0,124.2,117.9,117.8,116.8,116.7,112.0,111.9,111.8,94.3$, $73.8,73.7,73.7,56.3,56.2,42.4,42.3,37.5,37.0,36.6,36.5,36.3,31.8,29.3,25.5,22.6$, $20.8,20.5,19.9,19.8,19.7,19.6,14.1,12.9,11.6,11.0,0.8 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO, $\left.67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.20-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-$ $1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 168.2,160.8,156.7,143.1,116.7,111.6,111.5,93.9$,
$72.9,55.5,41.4,36.3,35.5,35.4,30.7,28.0,24.3,21.4,19.7,19.2,19.1,13.2,12.1,10.7$, 0.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 527.3431$, found 527.3442.

## (R)-N,N-Diethyl-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4'-(oct-2-yloxy)biphenyl-2-

 carboxamide (3.14).

To solution of $3.13(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (7 mL ) was added TBAF (1.0 M solution in THF, 2.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol} 3.0$ equiv) at rt . After stirring for 12 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /hexanes) gave $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ as a colorless solid: mp $190-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.8,157.5,156.2,138.5,131.7,117.6,115.9,114.0,112.2,94.5,73.7,56.0$, $42.2,37.8,36.4,31.8,29.2,25.5,22.5,20.5,19.7,14.0,13.6,11.8 ;$ HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 455.3036$, found 455.3014 .

## (R)-2-(Methoxymethoxy)-7-(oct-2-yloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (3.16).



To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar at -5 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly prepared LDA (1.0 M solution in THF, 2.7 mL , $2.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Without further purification, the resulting mixture was dissolved in acetone $(30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the solution were added sequentially $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(6.2 \mathrm{~g})$ and Oxone (4.8 g), and the whole was stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20$30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave $0.21 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/hexanes); IR (thin film) $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 6.8 Hz, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 180.4,180.3,158.6,157.2,131.3,131.1$, $130.5,128.9,125.3,125.2,125.0,124.6,116.3,114.5,94.3,74.4,56.3,36.3,31.8,29.2$, 25.4, 22.6, 19.6, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5} 396.1937$, found 396.1931.

## (R)-2-Hydroxy-7-(oct-2-yloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (3.17).

To a solution of $3.16(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2-
 propanol ( 3 mL ) was added $\mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (30-40\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.14 g (96\%) of 3.17 as a red solid: mp 124-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3374,1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ $\delta 181.1$ (2C), 159.4, 156.9, 132.1, 132.0, 130.4, 129.7, 126.3, 126.0, 125.6, 124.4, 116.2, $115.3,75.2,37.1,32.5,30.0,26.1,23.4,20.1,14.6$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 352.1675, found 352.1675 .
(R)-7-(Oct-2-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-hexyloxybenzoate (3.1a).


To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 4-hexyloxybenzoic acid (91 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( 6 mg ). The
mixture was stirred for 10 h under Ar at rt , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \%$ hexanes/toluene) and recrystallization (hexanes/toluene) gave $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of 3.1a as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 125-127$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 180.0, $179.8,164.4,163.9,159.2,151.1,134.0,132.4,131.9,131.0,129.8,128.1,125.8,125.1$, $124.8,123.1,120.7,114.6,114.4,74.5,68.4,36.3,31.8,31.5,29.2,29.0,25.6,25.4,22.6$, 19.6, 14.1, 14.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6} 556.2825$, found 556.2802.

## 2-Chloro- $N$, $N$-diethyl-3-methoxybenzamide (3.18).

 $\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{O} \quad$ To a solution of $N, N$-diethyl-3-methoxybenzamide $2.2(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19$ mmol) and TMEDA ( $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in THF (190 mL ) at -75 to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added $s$-BuLi (1.0 M solution in hexanes, $29 \mathrm{~mL}, 29$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) dropwise. After stirring for $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCCl}_{3}$ (1.0 M solution in THF, 29 $\mathrm{mL}, 29 \mathrm{mmol} 1.5$ equiv) was added by dropwise addition. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over for 4 h and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentratedunder reduced pressure to give a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexane) gave 3.7 g ( $80 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ as a light yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.9,167.4,155.1,138.1,127.8,118.9,111.8,56.2,42.5,38.8,13.8$, 12.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 241.0870$, found 241.0867 .

## 2-Chloro- $N$, $N$-diethyl-3-methoxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)benzamide (3.20)



To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$, TMEDA $(0.14 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -75 to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Me an Ar atmosphere was added dropwise $s$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(0.90 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexanes, $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). After stirring for $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(0.13$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 4 h , neutralized with a solution of satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Without further purification THF ( 10 mL ) was added and the resulting solution was treated with pinacol ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) and recrystallization (hexanes) gave $0.23 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ as a colorless crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR
(thin film) $1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.4,157.2$, $143.9,135.8,119.0,110.5,83.8,56.1,42.8,38.7,24.7,13.3,12.4$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{ClB} 366.1643$, found 366.1631 .

## 2-Chloro- $N$, $N$-diethyl-3-hydroxy-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-

 yl)benzamide (3.22).

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (anhydrous, 10 mL ) at -65 to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere was added dropwise freshly prepared $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred over 30 min . Pinacol ( $0.80 \mathrm{mg}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.7$ equiv) was added to the solution and the whole was stirred for 3 h , concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by washing with $1: 1$ solution of EtOAc/hexanes and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ gave $0.41 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 234-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3447,1624 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.5,154.1$, 143.1, 136.1, 116.8, 115.4, 83.9, 43.0, 39.0, 24.8, 13.4, 12.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BNO}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} 352.1487$, found 352.1500.
(R)-2-Chloro-N,N-diethyl-3-(oct-2-yloxy)-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzamide (3.23).

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(16$
 $\mathrm{mL})$ were added sequentially $(S)$-octan- 2 -ol $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9$ mmol, 1.5 equiv), DIAD ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv). After stirring for 12 h under Ar at rt , the reaction mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ $3)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 0.45 g (59\%) of 3.23 as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.85-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.7,156.3,144.2,135.5,120.3$, $113.3,83.8,75.7,42.9,38.8,36.3,31.7,29.2,25.3,24.8,22.6,19.7,14.0,13.4,12.5$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{BCl} 465.2817$, found 465.2803.

## (R)-3-Chloro-N,N-diethyl-4'-(methoxymethoxy)-2'-methyl-4-(oct-2-yloxy)biphenyl-

 2-carboxamide (3.24).

To a solution of $3.23(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 10 mL , degassed) in a glove box were added sequentially 1-bromo-4-(methoxymethoxy)-2-
methylbenzene 2.10b ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.0 M aq solution, $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred under Ar for 14 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) gave $0.23 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ as a colorless oil which was shown to be a mixture of diastereomers: IR (thin film) $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta$ 7.28-6.73 (m, 5H), 5.18-5.11 (m, 2H), 4.49-4.40(m, 1H), 3.68-3.53 (m, 1H), $3.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 3.28-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-$ $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.72-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 5$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 166.5,156.9,153.4,132.6,130.5,130.1,129.6,117.8,117.6,114.5,114.0,113.4$, $112.7,94.6,76.3,56.1,54.0,42.6,37.7,36.8,32.3,29.7,25.8,23.1,20.7,20.0,14.4$, 13.8, 11.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} 489.2646$, found 489.2632.

## (R)-8-Chloro-2-(methoxymethoxy)-7-(oct-2-yloxy)phenanthren-9-ol (3.25)

To a solution of $3.24(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF
 ( 3 mL ) under Ar at -5 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly prepared LDA (1.0 M solution in THF, 2.5 mL , $2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 30 min and neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$.

The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (40\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ gave 0.21 g of $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}(96 \%)$ as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp}: 96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3502,1672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-$ $4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, $0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.9,151.8,150.5,133.4$, $127.8,123.8,123.2,121.7,121.1,116.6,115.8,115.7,110.8,110.2,94.5,76.9,56.1$, 36.5, 31.7, 29.2, 25.4, 22.6, 19.9, 14.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} 416.1754$, found 416.1744.

## (R)-1-Chloro-7-(methoxymethoxy)-2-(oct-2-yloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (3.26).

To a solution of $3.25(0.16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$,
 $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(9.2 \mathrm{~g})$, acetone ( 26 mL ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (26
$\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(52 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added Oxone (7.0 g). After stirring for 1 h , the whole was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 2). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ gave $168 \mathrm{mg}(99 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ as a red solid: mp $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 7.89-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-$ $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 180.4,180.3,158.6,157.2,131.3,131.1,130.5,128.9,125.3$, $125.2,125.0,124.6,116.3,114.5,94.3,74.4,56.3,36.3,31.8,29.2,25.4,22.6,19.6$, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cl} 430.1547$, found 430.1535 .
(R)-1-Chloro-7-hydroxy-2-(oct-2-yloxy)phenanthrene-9,10-dione (3.27).

A solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2-propanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.0 equiv. The mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 4 h , diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure to give a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (40-50\% EtOAc/hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave $52 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ as a red solid: mp 121-122 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3350,1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.4,181.3,156.7,154.9,130.6$, $130.4,129.1,128.0,127.9,125.9,124.4,123.1,120.8,115.3,36.3,31.7,29.2,25.3,22.6$, 19.7, 14.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} 386.1285$, found 386.1276.

## (R)-8-Chloro-7-(oct-2-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4-

 (hexyloxyl)benzoate (3.1b).

To a solution of $3.27(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sequentially 4hexyloxybenzoic acid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), DCC ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( 6 mg ). The mixture was stirred for 10 h under Ar at rt and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \%$ hexanes/toluene) and recrystallization (hexanes/toluene) gave $0.10 \mathrm{~g}\left(99 \%\right.$ ) of $\mathbf{3 . 1 b}$ as a red solid: $\mathrm{mp} 199-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $1727,1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=8.7,2.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $14 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.3,180.9,164.4,163.9$, 155.7, 151.4, 133.7, 132.4, 130.8, 129.9, 129.4, 129.0, 128.1, 125.3, 123.7, 122.399, $120.618,120.132,114.447,68.399,36.256,31.730,31.537,29.191,29.041,25.7,25.3$, 22.6, 22.6, 19.7, 14.1, 14.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Cl} 590.2435$, found 590.2414.

## 2-Chloro- $N$, $N$-diethyl-3-hydroxybenzamide (3.28).



To a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (anhydrous, 15 mL ) at -65 to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere was added dropwise freshly prepared $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}\left(1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$,
3.0 equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred over 30 min , quenched with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (50-60\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and recrystallization (EtOAc/hexanes) gave 1.2 g ( $83 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ as a colorless crystals: mp $146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/hexanes); IR (thin film) $3229,1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.7,152.2,137.1,128.2,118.8,116.6,116.6,42.8,39.2,13.9,12.6 ;$ HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ 227.0713, found 227.0708.

## (R)-2-Chloro-N,N-diethyl-3-(octan-2-yloxy)benzamide (3.29).



A solution of $3.28(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(37 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added ( $S$ )-octan-2-ol $(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), DIAD ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring under Ar for 12 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (20-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $0.94 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.29(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.05$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.7,154.1$, $138.3,127.6,120.4,120.2,118.8,115.2,115.0,76.2,76.1,42.6,38.8,36.4,36.3,31.8$, 31.7, 29.2, 29.2, 25.4, 25.3, 22.6, 19.8, 19.6, 14.0, 13.9, 12.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 339.1965$, found 339.1953.
(-)-9,10-Dihydroxy-9,10-dimethyl-7-(octyloxy)-9,10-dihydrophenanthren-2-yl 4(hexyloxy)benzoate ((-)-4.1).


To a solution of (-)-2.33 (17 mg, 0.044 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $4-$ (hexyloxy)benzoic acid ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044$ mmol, 1 equiv), DCC ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( 2 mg ). The mixture was stirred for 10 h under Ar at rt , neutralized with satd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) and recrystallization (hexanes) gave 25 mg (94\%) of (-)-4.1 as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 42-43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) $3477,1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.09-3.99 (m, 4H), $2.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $\delta 165.0,163.5,159.6,150.4,143.8,143.1,132.3,129.5,125.0,124.0,123.7,121.5$,
$120.8,117.3,114.3,113.5,109.7,77.5,77.5,68.3,68.1,31.8,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,29.1$, 26.1, 25.7, 24.4, 24.1, 22.7, 22.6, 14.1, 14.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 588.3451, found 588.3450.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix 1. Single Crystal X-ray Data for 1.31

A crystal of the compound (colorless, plate-shaped, size $0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was mounted on a glass fiber with grease and cooled to $-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a stream of nitrogen gas controlled with Cryostream Controller 700. Data collection was performed on a Bruker SMART APEX II X-ray diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, operating at 50 kV and 30 mA over 2 ranges of $5.38 \sim 50.00^{\circ}$. No significant decay was observed during the data collection.

Data were processed on a PC using the Bruker AXS Crystal Structure Analysis Package: ${ }^{[1]}$ Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); structure solution: XPREP (Bruker, 2005) and SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); structure refinement: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; publication materials: SHELXTL. Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber. ${ }^{[2]}$ The crystal is monoclinic space group $P 2{ }_{1} /$ c, based on the systematic absences, $E$ statistics and successful refinement of the structure. The structure was solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-square refinements minimizing the function $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}$ were applied to the compound. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically except the oxygen atoms of the lattice water. All of the other H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95$ (aromatic), $0.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $0.98 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and refined as riding atoms, with $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=1.5$ UeqC(methyl), or 1.2 Ueq(other C). The two isomers, S, S- and R, R- co-crystallized,
which results in the partially disorder of the molecule. The SHELX commands, EADP and EXYZ were used to resolve the disorder. Also, the presence of the two long chains in the molecule makes the single crystal growth a tough job. As a result, only thin and very soft plate-shaped crystals were obtainable, and the quality of the crystal is not great. Therefore, some "Alerts" from CheckCIF are expected.

Convergence to final $R_{1}=0.1174$ and $w R_{2}=0.2758$ for $2533(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$ independent reflections, and $R_{1}=0.2629$ and $w R_{2}=0.3713$ for all $6767(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.2468)$ independent reflections, with 375 parameters and 0 restraints, were achieved. ${ }^{[3]}$ The largest residual peak and hole to be 0.371 and $-0.431 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$, respectively. Crystallographic data, atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, bond lengths and angles, anisotropic displacement parameters, hydrogen coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters, and torsion angles are given in Table 1 to 6 . The molecular structure and the cell packing are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
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[3] $\quad R_{1}=\sum| | F o|-|F C|| / \sum|F o|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w R_{2}=\left\{\sum\left[w\left(F o^{2}-F c^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \sum\left[w\left(F o^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \left(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F o^{2}\right)+(0.1338 P)^{2}+8.06 P\right], \text { where } P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F o^{2}, 0\right)+2 F c^{2}\right] / 3\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1. Molecular Structure (Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are shown at the 50\% probability level and H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size.)
a) Isomer $\mathrm{A}(50 \%)$ :
i)

ii)

b) Isomer $\mathrm{B}(50 \%)$ :
i)

ii)


Figure 2. Unit cell packing
a)

b)


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for vs21

Identification code vs21

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

180(2) K
C40 H57.69 O7.84
664.03
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2(1)/c
$\mathrm{a}=30.28(5) \AA$
$=90^{\circ}$.


Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$
for vs $21 . \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


| C(6A) | -1021(5) | 652(5) | 6760(30) | 41(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1B) | -1212(7) | 163(9) | 6400(40) | 41(1) |
| C(2B) | -1559(7) | 6(10) | 7900(50) | 41(1) |
| C(3B) | -1760(7) | 396(8) | 9420(40) | 41(1) |
| C(4B) | -1613(5) | 943(6) | 9440(30) | 41(1) |
| C(5B) | -1266(5) | 1100(6) | 7940(30) | 41(1) |
| C(6B) | -1066(6) | 710(7) | 6420(30) | 41(1) |
| C(7A) | -898(5) | 1532(5) | 9050(30) | 44(2) |
| C(8A) | -747(7) | 1764(7) | 6630(30) | 44(2) |
| C(7B) | -1121(6) | 1684(6) | 7730(40) | 44(2) |
| C(8B) | -635(9) | 1721(10) | 7480(40) | 44(2) |
| C(9A) | -474(5) | 1351(6) | 5340(30) | 41(1) |
| C(10A) | -99(5) | 1503(6) | 4070(30) | 41(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 137(4) | 1107(5) | 2780(20) | 41(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | -2(3) | 559(4) | 2758(19) | 41(1) |
| C(13A) | -376(3) | 406(4) | 4024(19) | 41(1) |
| C(14A) | -612(4) | 802(5) | 5310(20) | 41(1) |
| C(9B) | -456(6) | 1342(8) | 5480(40) | 41(1) |
| C(10B) | -73(7) | 1471(8) | 4250(40) | 41(1) |
| C(11B) | 80(5) | 1119(7) | 2400(30) | 41(1) |
| C(12B) | -149(4) | 640(5) | 1790(20) | 41(1) |
| C(13B) | -532(4) | 510(5) | 3020(20) | 41(1) |
| C(14B) | -685(5) | 861(6) | 4870(30) | 41(1) |
|  |  | 190 |  |  |


| C(15) | -2275(3) | 562(3) | 12801(14) | 50(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(16) | -2613(2) | 234(3) | 14179(13) | 47(2) |
| C(17) | -2867(3) | 574(3) | 16055(15) | 54(2) |
| C(18) | -3202(3) | 233(3) | 17423(14) | 54(2) |
| C(19) | -3486(3) | 565(3) | 19178(15) | 58(2) |
| C(20) | -3827(3) | 230(3) | 20487(15) | 60(2) |
| C(21) | -4102(3) | 545(4) | 22316(17) | 73(3) |
| C(22) | -4458(3) | 207(4) | 23500(19) | 92(3) |
| C(23) | 553(3) | 1620(3) | -221(13) | 44(2) |
| C(24) | 955(2) | 1543(3) | -1676(13) | 39(2) |
| C(25) | 1211(2) | 1074(3) | -1436(13) | 41(2) |
| C(26) | 1570(2) | 1004(3) | -2896(14) | 44(2) |
| C(27) | 1680(2) | 1400(3) | -4677(13) | 39(2) |
| C(28) | 1430(2) | 1869(3) | -4920(13) | 42(2) |
| C(29) | 1064(2) | 1938(3) | -3446(13) | 43(2) |
| C(30) | 2188(2) | 1654(3) | -7951(13) | 47(2) |
| C(31) | 2545(2) | 1360(3) | -9301(14) | 46(2) |
| C(32) | 2781(2) | 1711(3) | -11184(13) | 44(2) |
| C(33) | 3122(2) | 1386(3) | -12581(13) | 45(2) |
| C(34) | 3405(2) | 1724(3) | -14326(13) | 46(2) |
| C(35) | 3735(2) | 1386(3) | -15749(13) | 47(2) |
| C(36) | 4025(3) | 1724(3) | -17444(14) | 53(2) |
| C(37) | 4351(3) | 1377(3) | -18884(15) | 58(2) |


| C(38) | $4649(3)$ | $1726(4)$ | $-20509(16)$ | $65(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $4978(3)$ | $1390(4)$ | $-21953(17)$ | $75(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $5287(3)$ | $1735(4)$ | $-23520(20)$ | $95(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{WA})$ | $-2218(8)$ | $1962(10)$ | $5040(60)$ | $77(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{WB})$ | $-2313(8)$ | $1904(9)$ | $6390(60)$ | $73(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{WC})$ | $-2298(13)$ | $2074(16)$ | $3500(80)$ | $102(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{WD})$ | $-2404(11)$ | $1958(14)$ | $8140(80)$ | $89(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{WA})$ | $6424(10)$ | $2892(15)$ | $-22520(70)$ | $196(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{WB})$ | $6486(17)$ | $2340(30)$ | $-20920(130)$ | $196(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{WC})$ | $6475(19)$ | $2280(30)$ | $-24300(150)$ | $196(14)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for vs21.

| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.411(16)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})$ | 0.8400 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.436(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{AA})$ | 0.8400 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BA})$ | 0.8400 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.374(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.39(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.412(8)$ |


| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.350(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.363(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.413(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.188(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.362(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.430(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.376(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.377(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.377(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{AB})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.376(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.376(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{AA})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.311)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.377(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.374(16)-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.517(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.386(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BB})$ | C |


| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.386(15) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{BA})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.386(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.47(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.48(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.48(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{AA})$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.47(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{AA})$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.48(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{BA})$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.51(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{BA})$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9500 |
| C(9B)-C(10B) | 1.380(12) |


| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.380(11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.380(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.380(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.380(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.380(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.497(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.511(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.504(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.510(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.494(10) |
| C(19)-H(19A) | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |


| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.499(11)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.500(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.469(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.371(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.380(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.360(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9500 |
| C(26)-C(27) | 1.385(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.362(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$-C(29) | 1.381(9) |
| C(28)-H(28A) | 0.9500 |
| C(29)-H(29A) | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.487(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |


| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.503(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.504(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.514(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 1.503(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.510(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 1.512(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.515(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$-C(39) | 1.507(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |


| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.513(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9800 |


| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})$ | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{AA})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BA})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $6.0(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $118.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $121.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | $124.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $116.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $10.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $120.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{AB})$ | 120.0 |


| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{AB})$ | 120.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 126.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 113.1(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{AA})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{AA})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 122.4(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 117.5(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{BB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{BB})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 122.6(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 117.4(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |


| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{BA})$ | 120.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{BA})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 117.5(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 122.3(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 121.1(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 118.8(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 114.3(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 111.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 112.0(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.9(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{AA})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 112.1(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 112.2(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 111.3(15) |

```
O(1B)-C(7B)-H(7BA) 106.9
C(5B)-C(7B)-H(7BA) 106.9
C(8B)-C(7B)-H(7BA) 106.9
C(7B)-C(8B)-C(9B) 113.6(18)
C(7B)-C(8B)-H(8BA) 107.8
C(9B)-C(8B)-H(8BA) 107.8
C(14A)-C(9A)-C(10A) 120.0
C(14A)-C(9A)-C(8A) 118.3(11)
C(10A)-C(9A)-C(8A) 121.7(11)
C(11A)-C(10A)-C(9A) 120.0
C(11A)-C(10A)-H(10A) 120.0
C(9A)-C(10A)-H(10A) 120.0
O(4)-C(11A)-C(12A) 113.0(8)
O(4)-C(11A)-C(10A) 127.0(8)
C(12A)-C(11A)-C(10A) 120.0
C(11A)-C(12A)-C(13A) 120.0
C(11A)-C(12A)-H(12A) 120.0
C(13A)-C(12A)-H(12A) 120.0
C(14A)-C(13A)-C(12A) 120.0
C(14A)-C(13A)-H(13A) 120.0
C(12A)-C(13A)-H(13A) 120.0
C(13A)-C(14A)-C(9A) 120.0
C(13A)-C(14A)-C(6A) 121.8(9)
```

```
C(9A)-C(14A)-C(6A) 118.2(9)
C(10B)-C(9B)-C(14B) 120.0
C(10B)-C(9B)-C(8B) 121.2(15)
C(14B)-C(9B)-C(8B) 118.8(15)
C(9B)-C(10B)-C(11B) 120.0
C(9B)-C(10B)-H(10B) 120.0
C(11B)-C(10B)-H(10B) 120.0
C(12B)-C(11B)-C(10B) 120.0
C(12B)-C(11B)-O(4) 118.2(10)
C(10B)-C(11B)-O(4) 121.1(10)
C(11B)-C(12B)-C(13B) 120.0
C(11B)-C(12B)-H(12B) 120.0
C(13B)-C(12B)-H(12B) 120.0
C(14B)-C(13B)-C(12B) 120.0
C(14B)-C(13B)-H(13B) 120.0
C(12B)-C(13B)-H(13B) 120.0
C(13B)-C(14B)-C(9B) 120.0
C(13B)-C(14B)-C(6B) 121.7(12)
C(9B)-C(14B)-C(6B) 117.9(12)
O(3)-C(15)-C(16) 107.8(6)
O(3)-C(15)-H(15A) 110.1
C(16)-C(15)-H(15A) 110.1
O(3)-C(15)-H(15B) 110.1
```

```
C(16)-C(15)-H(15B) 110.1
H(15A)-C(15)-H(15B) 108.5
C(15)-C(16)-C(17) 113.8(6)
C(15)-C(16)-H(16A) 108.8
C(17)-C(16)-H(16A) 108.8
C(15)-C(16)-H(16B) 108.8
C(17)-C(16)-H(16B) 108.8
H(16A)-C(16)-H(16B) 107.7
C(18)-C(17)-C(16) 112.6(6)
C(18)-C(17)-H(17A) 109.1
C(16)-C(17)-H(17A) 109.1
C(18)-C(17)-H(17B) 109.1
C(16)-C(17)-H(17B) 109.1
H(17A)-C(17)-H(17B) 107.8
C(17)-C(18)-C(19) 114.3(7)
C(17)-C(18)-H(18A) 108.7
C(19)-C(18)-H(18A) 108.7
C(17)-C(18)-H(18B) 108.7
C(19)-C(18)-H(18B) 108.7
H(18A)-C(18)-H(18B) 107.6
C(20)-C(19)-C(18) 114.5(7)
C(20)-C(19)-H(19A) }108.
C(18)-C(19)-H(19A) }108.
```

```
C(20)-C(19)-H(19B) }108.
C(18)-C(19)-H(19B) }108.
H(19A)-C(19)-H(19B) 107.6
C(19)-C(20)-C(21) 115.5(7)
C(19)-C(20)-H(20A) 108.4
C(21)-C(20)-H(20A) 108.4
C(19)-C(20)-H(20B) 108.4
C(21)-C(20)-H(20B) }108.
H(20A)-C(20)-H(20B) 107.5
C(20)-C(21)-C(22) 114.5(8)
C(20)-C(21)-H(21A) 108.6
C(22)-C(21)-H(21A) 108.6
C(20)-C(21)-H(21B) }108.
C(22)-C(21)-H(21B) }108.
H(21A)-C(21)-H(21B) 107.6
C(21)-C(22)-H(22A) 109.5
C(21)-C(22)-H(22B) 109.5
H(22A)-C(22)-H(22B) 109.5
C(21)-C(22)-H(22C) 109.5
H(22A)-C(22)-H(22C) 109.5
H(22B)-C(22)-H(22C) 109.5
O(5)-C(23)-O(4) 122.6(7)
O(5)-C(23)-C(24) 127.2(7)
```

| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 110.1(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 118.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 122.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 119.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 120.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 120.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 125.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 115.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 119.3(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 119.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 120.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29 \mathrm{~A})$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 106.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.4 |


| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 114.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 112.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 115.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 114.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |


| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 114.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 113.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 112.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 113.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |


| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $114.4(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for vs21. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: - $2 \quad 2\left[h^{2} a^{*} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$
U11
U22
U33
U23
U13
U12
$\qquad$

| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $76(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $54(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $76(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $54(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $76(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $54(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | $76(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $54(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $68(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $48(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $62(3)$ | $36(3)$ | $56(3)$ | $11(2)$ | $10(3)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $78(4)$ | $31(3)$ | $55(3)$ | $6(2)$ | $8(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $61(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $56(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $5(3)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $59(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |


| C(4B) | 59(2) | 23(2) | 40(2) | 2(1) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 59(2) | 23(2) | 40(2) | 2(1) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(6B) | 59(2) | 23(2) | 40(2) | 2(1) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(7A) | 62(8) | 17(4) | 53(8) | -3(4) | 5(5) | -6(4) |
| C(8A) | 62(8) | 17(4) | 53(8) | -3(4) | 5(5) | -6(4) |
| C(7B) | 62(8) | 17(4) | 53(8) | -3(4) | 5(5) | -6(4) |
| C(8B) | 62(8) | 17(4) | 53(8) | -3(4) | 5(5) | -6(4) |
| C(9A) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(10A) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(11A) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(13A) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C (14A) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(9B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(10B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(11B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(12B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(13B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(14B) | 56(2) | 24(2) | 42(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | 0 (2) |
| C(15) | 66(5) | 43(4) | 41(5) | 0 (4) | 5(4) | 2(4) |
| C(16) | 57(5) | 41(4) | 43(5) | 2(4) | $0(4)$ | -1(4) |
| C(17) | 63(5) | 46(5) | 54(5) | 3(4) | 6(4) | 1(4) |
| C(18) | 69(6) | 51(5) | 42(5) | 4(4) | 1(4) | 1(4) |


| C(19) | 61(5) | 64(5) | 50(5) | 0(4) | 3(4) | 3(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(20) | 64(6) | 65(5) | 50(5) | 5(4) | -1(4) | -1(4) |
| C(21) | 67(6) | 78(6) | 75(7) | 6(5) | 5(5) | 11(5) |
| C(22) | 87(7) | 98(8) | 93(8) | -5(6) | 29(6) | -1(6) |
| C(23) | 64(5) | 25(4) | 41(5) | -1(3) | -11(4) | -5(4) |
| C(24) | 50(4) | 23(4) | 42(4) | -12(3) | -4(4) | -6(3) |
| C(25) | 58(5) | 31(4) | 33(4) | 3(3) | -4(4) | -4(3) |
| C(26) | 55(5) | 25(4) | 53(5) | -3(3) | -4(4) | -3(3) |
| C(27) | 50(5) | 27(4) | 39(4) | -7(3) | -2(4) | -10(3) |
| C(28) | 62(5) | 24(4) | 40(4) | 0(3) | -4(4) | -2(3) |
| C(29) | 59(5) | 22(4) | 47(5) | -4(3) | 0(4) | -2(3) |
| C(30) | 60(5) | 32(4) | 47(5) | -3(3) | -6(4) | -5(4) |
| C(31) | 50(5) | 34(4) | 54(5) | -5(4) | -1(4) | -6(3) |
| C(32) | 58(5) | 37(4) | 37(4) | -1(3) | -5(4) | -6(3) |
| C(33) | 58(5) | 32(4) | 44(4) | -1(3) | -3(4) | -5(3) |
| C(34) | 61(5) | 40(4) | 37(4) | -3(3) | -6(4) | -3(4) |
| C(35) | 57(5) | 48(4) | 36(4) | 4(4) | -7(4) | 1(4) |
| C(36) | 65(5) | 51(5) | 43(5) | 3(4) | -4(4) | -5(4) |
| C(37) | 58(5) | 58(5) | 56(5) | 4(4) | -2(4) | 3(4) |
| C(38) | 68(6) | 69(6) | 57(5) | -7(5) | 5(5) | -4(5) |
| C(39) | 66(6) | 81(7) | 77(7) | 2(5) | 9(5) | 13(5) |
| C(40) | 85(7) | 95(8) | 106(9) | -15(7) | 30(7) | -6(6) |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $10^{3}$ )
for vs21.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U(e q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| H(1AA) | -991 | 2165 | 11008 | 74 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(2AA) | -679 | 2547 | 6580 | 74 |
| H(1BA) | -1063 | 2206 | 10306 | 74 |
| H(2BA) | -739 | 2464 | 6561 | 74 |
| H(1AB) | -1157 | -89 | 5096 | 49 |
| H(2AB) | -1761 | -304 | 7542 | 49 |
| H(4AA) | -1599 | 1140 | 11328 | 49 |
| H(1BB) | -1075 | -104 | 5361 | 49 |
| H(2BB) | -1660 | -369 | 7887 | 49 |
| H(4BA) | -1751 | 1210 | 10486 | 49 |
| H(7AA) | -629 | 1434 | 10087 | 53 |
| H(8AA) | -1014 | 1840 | 5540 | 53 |


| H(7BA) | -1257 | 1835 | 6139 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(8BA) | -494 | 1611 | 9134 | 53 |
| H(10A) | -4 | 1880 | 4089 | 49 |
| H(12A) | 161 | 286 | 1871 | 49 |
| H(13A) | -471 | 29 | 4008 | 49 |
| H(10B) | 85 | 1801 | 4667 | 49 |
| H(12B) | -44 | 398 | 522 | 49 |
| H(13B) | -690 | 180 | 2601 | 49 |
| H(15A) | -2414 | 890 | 11974 | 60 |
| H(15B) | -2041 | 695 | 13995 | 60 |
| H(16A) | -2464 | -77 | 15081 | 57 |
| H(16B) | -2825 | 71 | 12933 | 57 |
| H(17A) | -2656 | 739 | 17302 | 65 |
| H(17B) | -3019 | 883 | 15157 | 65 |
| H(18A) | -3045 | -59 | 18411 | 65 |
| H(18B) | -3396 | 44 | 16161 | 65 |
| H(19A) | -3292 | 745 | 20467 | 70 |
| H(19B) | -3636 | 864 | 18198 | 70 |
| H(20A) | -3676 | -78 | 21404 | 72 |
| H(20B) | -4026 | 61 | 19193 | 72 |
| H(21A) | -4240 | 866 | 21426 | 88 |
| H(21B) | -3905 | 696 | 23670 | 88 |
| H(22A) | -4620 | 441 | 24676 | 138 |


| H(22B) | -4325 | -108 | 24414 | 138 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{C})$ | -4662 | 68 | 22182 | 138 |
| H(25A) | 1138 | 797 | -242 | 49 |
| H(26A) | 1748 | 680 | -2692 | 53 |
| H(28A) | 1506 | 2149 | -6100 | 50 |
| H(29A) | 885 | 2261 | -3653 | 51 |
| H(30A) | 2304 | 2000 | -7163 | 56 |
| H(30B) | 1943 | 1751 | -9136 | 56 |
| H(31A) | 2414 | 1034 | -10188 | 55 |
| H(31B) | 2764 | 1219 | -8039 | 55 |
| H(32A) | 2928 | 2027 | -10296 | 53 |
| H(32B) | 2563 | 1867 | -12412 | 53 |
| H(33A) | 2969 | 1094 | -13587 | 54 |
| H(33B) | 3318 | 1197 | -11331 | 54 |
| H(34A) | 3210 | 1921 | -15556 | 55 |
| H(34B) | 3566 | 2010 | -13319 | 55 |
| H(35A) | 3573 | 1107 | -16788 | 56 |
| H(35B) | 3925 | 1182 | -14519 | 56 |
| H(36A) | 4191 | 2000 | -16405 | 63 |
| H(36B) | 3836 | 1932 | -18665 | 63 |
| H(37A) | 4186 | 1110 | -19971 | 69 |
| H(37B) | 4536 | 1161 | -17670 | 69 |
| H(38A) | 4463 | 1942 | -21722 | 78 |
|  |  | 21 |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~B})$ | 4811 | 1996 | -19418 | 78 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4815 | 1129 | -23082 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~B})$ | 5157 | 1165 | -20741 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5487 | 1488 | -24416 | 142 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~B})$ | 5460 | 1984 | -22412 | 142 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 5114 | 1957 | -24742 | 142 |

Table 6. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for vs21.

| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | $123(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | $5.7(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-59(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-175.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $-178.7(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | $178.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-178.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | $177.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $178.5(11)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | -177.2(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.2(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | -176.8(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | -71(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | -4.5(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 106(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 172.2(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 176.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 174.9(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | -175.1(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | -178.0(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 6.9(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 178.1(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | -17.5(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 164.0(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | -144.1(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 37.5(15) |


| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 54.1(19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 177.7(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 178.2(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | -58.2(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | -167.0(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 18(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | -40(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 144.6(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 176.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 50(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 166.9(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 42.4(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | -16.0(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | -140.5(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | -177.0(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 134.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 87(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | -43.5(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | -92(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 178.3(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | -178.6(8) |


| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | -179.0(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 177.1(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 179.0(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | -3.8(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | -17.5(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 159.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 163.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | -19.4(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 152.6(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | -27(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | -179.3(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -169.8(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 115.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | -108(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | -74.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 62(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |


| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 170.1(10) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | -173.2(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 179.3(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 173.5(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | -7.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | -168.4(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 13.6(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 18.3(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | -159.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -172.8(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -179.0(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -175.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -179.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | -175.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 178.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 177.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 176.8(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 10.9(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 19.8(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -171.3(7) |


| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -162.5(8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | -178.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 3.9 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | -2.4(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | -180.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 0.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 177.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | -1.0(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 0.4(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -179.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | -178.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.5(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 178.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | -1.9(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | -1.2(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | -177.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | -172.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | -173.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | -177.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | -174.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | -178.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | -178.6(6) |


| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $-179.3(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $-178.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $179.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $-178.1(8)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

## Appendix 2. Resolution analysis data for 2.36



## Method: AD-H20\%MeOH

## Appendix 3 The Texture of Compounds 3.30a-e in Polarized Microscopy

2.30a SmC phase


### 2.30b SmC phase



### 2.30c SmC phase



### 2.30d N phase



### 2.30d SmC phase



### 2.30e N phase



### 2.30e SmC phase



