The Islamic University of Gaza Deanery of Graduate Studies Faculty of Engineering Computer Engineering Department



Enhanced k-means Clustering Algorithm

by

Abdessalam H. Elhabbash

Supervisor: Prof. Hatem Hamad

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in Computer Engineering 2010 - م 1431

Abstract

Data clustering is an unsupervised classification method aims at creating groups of objects, or clusters, in such a way that objects in the same cluster are very similar and objects in different clusters are quite distinct. Though k-means is very popular for general clustering, it suffers from some disadvantages such as (1) Its performance depends highly on initial cluster centers, (2) The number of clusters must be previously known and fixed, and (3) The algorithm contains the dead-unit problem which results in empty clusters. Random k-means initialization generally leads k-means to converge to local minima i.e. inacceptable clustering results are produced. In this thesis a method based on some rough set theory concepts and reverse nearest neighbor search is proposed to find the appropriate initial centers for the k-means clustering problem. The complexity of the proposed method is analyzed as well. Also, a method is described to determine the number of clusters in a dataset. Experimental results show the accuracy and effectiveness of the proposed methods.

Keywords: data clustering, k-means, initialization, cohesion degree, RNN

الذكاء الاصطناعي، هو سلوك وخاصيات معينة تتسم بها البرامج الحاسوبية تجعلها تحاكى القدرات الذهنية البشرية وأنماط عملها. من أهم هذه الخاصيات القدرة على التعلم والاستنتاج ورد الفعل على أوضاع لم تبرمج في الآلة. و يندرج تحت هذا المجال موضوع تعلم الالة الذي يدخل في مجالات حيوية مهمة جدا للانسان في العصر الحديث كالطب و الهندسة والفلك وغيرها. و يعتبر موضوع تجميع البيانات من مواضيع تعلم الالة التي تهدف الي تكوين مجموعات حيث ان كل مجموعة تحتوي عناصر تتشابه في خواصها وصفاتها بينما تختلف خواص وصفات عناصر مجموعة معينة مع عناصر مجموعة اخرى. و من امثلة ذلك في مجال الطب تطبيق برنامج معين على الخلايا لتتمييز الخلايا المصابة عن الخلايا السليمة حيث ان الخلايا المصابة تتفق في صفاتها فيمكن تكوين مجموعة تضمها بينما تتفق الخلايا السليمة في خواصبها فيمكن تجميعها في مجموعة اخرى. من اشهر الخوارزميات المطبقة في هذا المجال خوارزمية k-means. لكن هذه الخوارزمية تعانى من بعض المشكلات التي تؤثر سلبا على دقة نتائجها حيث ان دقة نتائجها تعتمد على تهيئة المراكز المبدئية عند بدء عمل الخوارزمية. كما ان من مشكلاتها هو مطلب تحديد عدد المجموعات مسبقا بينما هناك العديد من الحالات التي لا يمكننا معرفة عدد المجموعات قبل بدء عملية التجميع. في هذا البحث نتناول مشكلات خوارزمية k-means و نقوم بحلها بناء على مفاهيم نظرية rough set theory و نظرية الجار الاقرب العكسي. حيث تيم توظيف cohesion degree و RNN degree لإيجاد المراكز المبدئية لنظرية k-means و لايجاد عدد المجموعات قبل بدء عملية التجميع. وبمقارنة نتائج هذا البحث مع حلول مشابهة وجدنا ان اداء الحل المقترح يفوق اداء تلك الحلول المشابهة. Dedication

To whom I love

Acknowledgment

My thanks to all those who generously contributed their favorite recipes. Without their help, this work would have never been possible.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1	
	1.1 Clustering Vocabularies		4
	1.2.1 Datasets		5
	1.2.2 Objects and Attributes		5
	1.2.3 Data Types		5
	1.2.4 Similarity and Dissimilarity		6
	1.2.5 Clusters and Cluster Center		7
	1.2.6 Hard Clustering and Fuzzy Clustering		7
	1.2 Background		9
	1.3 Problem Statement		12
2	Related Work 16	1	
3	Enhanced k-means	27	
6	.1 Some Basic Definitions		27
	3.1.1 Cohesion degree		27
	3.1.2 Coupling degree		29
	3.1.3 Reverse Nearest Neighbor		29
	3.1.4 Example		30
6	.2 An initialization method for the K-Means algorithm using		
	neighborhood model		34
6	.3 Proposed enhancements		35
A	A. Fast k-means Initialization method using Neighborhood and		
	Reverse Nearest Neighborhood models		36

Re	eferences		49
5	Conclusion and Future Research	48	
	4.2 Results of Auto-determining k		45
	4.1 Results of Initialization algorithms		42
4	Experimental Results	42	
	Reverse Nearest Neighborhood models		38
E	3. Auto-determining the number of clusters using Neighborh	od and	

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Example Dataset	31
Table 3.2	Distances between the Objects in Figure 3.2	33
Table 4.1	Results of experiments on Iris dataset	44
Table 4.2	Results of experiments on Wine dataset	44
Table 4.3	Results of experiments on Glass dataset	44
Table 4.4	Results of experiments on Test dataset	44
Table 4.5	k Determination Results	47

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Three well-separated clusters	8
Figure 1.2	k-means clustering example	10
Figure 1.3	Clustering problem example in k-means	12
Figure 1.4	Dead point Problem	15
Figure 2.1	Neighborhood Model Algorithm	20
Figure 2.2	CCIA Algorithm	23
Figure 2.3	IRNN Algorithm	24
Figure 2.4	kd-tree Initialization Algorithm	27
Figure 2.5	k'-means Algorithm	28
Figure 3.1	RNN definition	32
Figure 3.2	Example dataset	33
Figure 3.3	High RC objects	41
Figure 4.1	Test dataset	45

List of Abbreviations

AC	Accuracy
AGNES	AGglomerative NESting
BIRCH	Balanced Iterative Reducing and Clustering using Hierarchies
CCIA	Cluster Center Initialization Algorithm
CLIQUE	CLustering InQUEst
CS	Candidate Set
DBSCAN	Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise
DIANA	DIvisiveANAlysis
IRNN	Initialization by Reverse Nearest Neighbor
NN	Nearest Neighbor
OPTICS	Ordering Points to Identify the Clustering Structure
pCluster	Clustering by pattern similarity in large data sets
PROCLUS	PROjected CLUSting
RFN	Reverse Farthest Neighbor
RNN	Reverse Nearest Neighbor
STING	STatistical INformation Grid-based clustering

Chapter 1:Introduction

Machine learning is a subfield of artificial intelligence that is concerned with the design, analysis, implementation, and applications of programs that learn from experience. Machine learning is classified as *supervised learning* or *unsupervised learning*. In the former the set of training data is available, and a classification algorithm is designed by exploiting this a priori known information to classify the data points into pre-defined classes. In the latter, there is no a priori knowledge about the classes of the data points [1].

Data clustering (or just clustering), is an unsupervised classification method aims at creating groups of objects, or clusters, in such a way that objects in the same cluster are very similar and objects in different clusters are quite distinct [2].

Cluster analysis has been widely used in numerous applications, including market research, pattern recognition, data analysis, and image processing. In business, clustering can help marketers discover interests of their customers based on purchasing patterns and characterize groups of the customers. In biology, it can be used to derive plant and animal taxonomies, categorize genes with similar functionality, and gain insight into structures inherent in populations. In geology, specialist can employ clustering to identify areas of similar lands, similar houses in a city and etc. data clustering can also be helpful in classifying documents on the Web for information discovery [3].

Data clustering, also called cluster analysis, is a challenging field of research in which applications pose their own special requirements. Data mining applications place the following special requirements on clustering techniques [3]:

- Scalability: Clustering applications may have a large database that contains millions of objects. So, highly scalable clustering algorithms are needed to successfully form the clusters.

- Ability to deal with different types of attributes: data points may have different types such as numerical, ordinal, categorical, and binary [2]. Different applications may require clustering data of a one type of mixture of data types.

- Arbitrary shape discovery: Some clustering algorithms determine clusters based on distance measurement such as Euclidean. These algorithms form spherical clusters. Other clustering algorithms are needed to find clusters of arbitrary shapes such as those based on density.

- Insensitivity to noise: Clustering algorithms are needed to be insensitive to noise and outlier data to avoid the result of poor clustering.

- High dimensionality: Many clustering algorithms can efficiently find clusters of low dimensional data. However, clustering in high dimensional space is a challenging task since distances between objects become very large and average density of points is likely to be quite low.

In the literature, many clustering algorithms have been proposed. These algorithms differ from each other by the criteria considered which lead to different categories of clustering algorithms. Although it is difficult to find strict categorization of the clustering algorithms because the categories may overlap, the following categorization is helpful to discriminate the clustering algorithms [3]:

2

Partitioning methods: A partitioning method creates k partitions (or clusters) such that $k \le n$ where n is the total number of objects. It creates an initial portioning and then iteratively moves the objects from one cluster to another to improve the partitioning. Good clustering is that the similarity between objects in the same cluster is high whereas the dissimilarity between objects in the different clusters is high. The k-means algorithm is a commonly used partitioning method [4].

Hierarchical methods: A hierarchical method creates a hierarchal structure of the data objects. Then a given number k of clusters determines how to cut the hierarchy. It can be either agglomerative or divisive. The agglomerative approach starts by considering each object as a separate cluster. Then it iteratively merges the most similar clusters until grouping all the objects in one cluster. The divisive approach starts by considering the entire objects as one cluster. Then it iteratively splits each cluster into smaller clusters until each objects forms its own cluster. AGNES and DIANA [5] are examples of hierarchical clustering. BIRCH [6] integrates hierarchical clustering with iterative (distance-based) relocation.

Density-based methods: The idea behind these methods is to group dense objects into clusters. An object is dense if its neighborhood if a given clusters contains at least minimum number of objects. These methods have the advantage to find clusters with arbitrary shapes and they are insensitive to noise and outliers. DBSCAN [7] and OPTICS [8] are typical examples of density-based clustering.

Grid-based methods: These methods divide the object space into a finite number of cells that form a grid structure. Therewith connected cells are grouped in a cluster. STING

[9] is an example of grid-based clustering. Some techniques such as CLIQUE [10] combine both density-based and grid-based approaches. The main advantages of these methods are fast processing and arbitrary-shape clusters foundation.

Model-based methods: This approach creates a mathematical model for each of the clusters and finds the best fit of the data to the given model. A main advantage is that these methods automatically determine the number of clusters based on standard statistics. COBWEB [11] and self-organizing feature maps [12] are examples of model-based clustering.

Methods for high-dimensional data: Distance- and Density-based methods are inefficient for clustering high-dimensional data since objects are increasingly sparse. Alternative approaches, such as subspace clustering methods and frequent pattern-based clustering, have been proposed. Subspace clustering methods search for clusters in subspaces of the data, rather than over the entire data space. CLIQUE [10] and PROCLUS [13] are examples of subspace clustering methods. Frequent pattern-based clustering methods extract distinct frequent patterns among subsets of dimensions that occur frequently. pCluster [25] is an example of frequent pattern-based clustering that groups objects based on their pattern similarity.

1.1 Clustering Vocabularies

In this section, we introduce some basic concepts that are frequently encountered in the field of cluster analysis, i.e. objects and attributes, similarity and dissimilarity, dataset, and cluster centers.

1.1.1 Dataset

A dataset is a collection of data items that have different characteristics. In clustering, these data items are grouped into clusters.

1.1.2 Objects and Attributes

An object is a single data item, i.e. a member in a dataset. It can also be referred to as *data point, pattern case, observation, individual, item, tuple, record, or object*. An attribute is value that specifies of a property of the object such as length, weight, etc. It can also be referred to as *variable*, or *feature*.

Mathematically, a data set *D* with *n* objects, each of which is described by *d* attributes, is denoted by $D = {\mathbf{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n}}$, where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{id})^T$ is a vector denoting the *i*th object and x_{ij} is a scalar denoting the *j*th component or attribute of x_i . The number of attributes *d* is also called the dimensionality of the data set [2].

1.1.3 Data Types

Data clustering algorithms are associated with types of data the attributes have [2]. An attribute can be *Binary*, *Categorical*, *Ordinal*, *Interval-scaled*, or *Ratio-Scaled* [3].

- **Binary attributes** has only two states: 0 or 1, where 0 means that the variable is absent, and 1 means that it is present.
- **Categorical attributes,** also referred to as nominal, are simply used as names, such as the brands of cars and names of bank branches. That is, a categorical attribute is a generalization of the binary variable; it can take on more than two states.

- Ordinal attributes resembles a categorical variable, except that the M states of the ordinal value are ordered in a meaningful sequence. For example, professional ranks are often enumerated in a sequential order, such as *assistant*, *associate*, and *full* for professors.
- **Interval-scaled attributes** are continuous measurements of a linear scale such as weight, height and weather temperature.
- **Ratio-Scaled attributes** make a positive measurement on a nonlinear scale. For example an exponential scale, Ae^{Bt} , and the volume of sales over time are ration-scaled attributes.

1.1.4 Similarity and Dissimilarity

In data clustering, similarity and dissimilarity measures are used to describe quantitatively the similarity or dissimilarity of two data points or two clusters. Similarity coefficients are used to describe quantitatively how similar two data points are or how similar two clusters are: the greater the similarity coefficient, the more similar are the two data points. Dissimilarity measure, such as distance, is the other way around: the greater the dissimilarity measure or distance, the more dissimilar are the two data points or the two clusters. For example, the Euclidean distance between two objects \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is considered a dissimilarity measure.

The lower the distance between x and y, the more probability that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} fall in the same cluster.

1.1.5 Cluster and Cluster Center

In data clustering, a cluster is a group of objects that have common properties, show small dissimilarities, have relations with at least one object in the cluster, and are clearly distinguishable from the rest of objects in the dataset. A cluster center is a reference point in the cluster. That is, the center is the representative of the cluster. Figure 1.1 shows three well-separated clusters, each of them is represented by its center.



Figure 1.1: Three well-separated clusters

1.1.6 Hard Clustering and Fuzzy Clustering

In hard clustering, algorithms assign a class label $l_i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ to each object x_i to identify its cluster class, where k is the number of clusters. In other words, in hard clustering, each object is assumed to belong to one and only one cluster. Mathematically, the result of hard clustering algorithms can be represented by a k×n matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1n} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & \dots & u_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{n1} & u_{n2} & \dots & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where *n* denotes the number of records in the data set, k denotes the number of clusters, and u_{ii} satisfies

$$u_{ji} \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \le j \le k, 1 \le i \le n,$$
 (a)
 $\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{ji} = 1, 1 \le i \le n$ (b)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ji} = 0 , 1 \le j \le k$$
 (c)

Constraint (a) implies that each object either belongs to a cluster or not. Constraint (b) implies that each object belongs to only one cluster. Constraint (c) implies that each cluster contains at least one object, i.e., no empty clusters are allowed.

In fuzzy clustering, the assumption is relaxed so that an object can belong to one or more clusters with probabilities. The result of fuzzy clustering algorithms can also be represented by a $k \times n$ matrix U defined above with the following relaxed constraints:

$$uji \in [0,1], 1 \le j \le k, 1 \le i \le n,$$
 (a)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{ji} = 1, 1 \le i \le n$$
 (b)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ji} = 0, 1 \le j \le k$$
 (c)

1.2 Background

The k-means algorithm is the choice for many clustering tasks, especially with low dimension datasets. This algorithm is a partitional one. It takes the input parameter k, the number of clusters, and partitions a set of n objects into k clusters so that the resulting intracluster similarity is high but the inter-cluster similarity is low. The algorithm attempts to find the cluster centers, $(C_1 \dots C_k)$, such that the sum of the squared distances of each data point, x_i , $1 \le i \le n$, to its nearest cluster center C_j , $1 \le j \le k$, is minimized. First, the algorithm randomly selects the k objects, each of which initially represents a cluster mean or center. Then, each object x_i in the data set is assigned to the nearest cluster center .i.e to the most similar center. The algorithm then computes the new mean for each cluster and reassigns each object to the nearest new center. This process iterates until no changes occur to the assignment of objects. The convergence results in minimizing the sum-of-squares error that is defined as the summation of the squared distances from each object to its cluster center as in formula (2) [4, 30].

$$SSE = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{x_i \in C_j} |x_i - m_j|^2$$
(2)

where SSE is the sum-of-squares error for all objects in the data set; x_i is an object; and m_j is the mean of cluster C_i . The following procedure summarizes the k-means algorithms [3]:

Input:

k: the number of clusters, D: a data set containing n objects.

Algorithm: *k*-means. The *k*-means algorithm for partitioning, where each cluster's center is represented by the mean value of the objects in the cluster.

Output:
A set of k clusters.
Method:
(1) randomly choose k objects from D as the initial cluster centers;
(2) repeat
(3) (re)assign each object to the cluster to which the object is
the most similar, based on the mean value of the
objects in the cluster;
(4) update the cluster means, i.e., calculate the mean value of
the objects for each cluster;
(5) until no change;
The k-means partitioning algorithm

Figure 1.2 illustrates the procedure. The figure shows a data set consists of three clusters blue, brown, and green. For more explanation the figure is divided into three parts. In part (a), the first iteration 1 is shown in which the three centers are selected randomly (represented as plus signs in the figure).



Figure 1.2 part (a): k-means clustering example

In part (b) iterations 2 to 5 are shown. In this phase the distances from each object to the selected centers are calculated and the each object is assigned to the nearest cluster center. This calculation and assignment is repeated in each iteration in this phase.



Figure 1.2 part (b): k-means clustering example

In part (c) iteration 6 is shown. In this iteration the k-means clustering procedure converges. The convergence occurs when no change occur on the objects assignment compared to the previous iteration (iteration 5).



Figure 1.2 part (c): k-means clustering example

1.3 Problem Statement

Despite being used in a wide array of applications, the k-means algorithm is not exempt of drawbacks, mainly [19, 28]:

- As many clustering methods, the k-means algorithm assumes that the number of clusters k in the database is known beforehand which, obviously, is not necessarily true in real-world applications.
- As an iterative technique, the k-means algorithm is especially sensitive to initial centers selection.
- The k-means algorithm may converge to local minima.

The problem of initial starting conditions is not exclusive to the k-means algorithm but shared with many clustering algorithms. Figure 1.3 illustrates the problem. For more explanation the figure is divided into three parts. The figure shows a data set consist of three clusters blue, brown, and green. In part (a) the first iteration 1 is shown in which the three centers are selected randomly.



Figure 1.3 part (a): Clustering problem example in k-means

In part (b) iterations 2 to 4 are shown. In this phase the distances from each object to the selected centers are calculated and the each object is assigned to the nearest cluster center. In each iteration, the assignments of objects to the nearest of center is recalculated. Centers are updated in each iteration. The new centers are computed by averaging the feature vectors of all objects assigned to each of them. This calculation and assignment is repeated in each iteration in this phase.



Figure 1.3 part (b): Clustering problem example in k-means

In part (c) iteration 5 is shown. In this iteration the procedure converges since no changes occur compared to iteration 4. Good clustering should results in forming the clusters as in Figure 1.2 part (c). However, this is a bad chance. The randomly initialized centered converged in iteration 5 to local minimum which results in dividing the brown cluster into two clusters and merging the blue and green clusters.

This problem occurs frequently since the chance of electing one center for each cluster is very small. Assume there are k clusters having the same number of objects n, the probability (P) of choosing one center for each cluster is [26]:

 $P = \frac{number \ of \ ways \ to \ select \ one \ centroid \ from \ each \ cluster}{number \ of \ ways \ to \ select \ k \ centroids} = \frac{k! \ n^k}{(kn)^k} = \frac{k!}{k^k}$



Figure 1.3 part (c): Clustering problem example in k-means

Random initialization may result in dead point problem. This problem may occur when an outlier is randomly selected as initial center. In this case the outlier center never wins in the process of assigning a data point and an empty cluster will result in. Figure 1.4(a) shows a dataset that contains two clusters. An outlier appears in the dataset. Figure 1.4(b) shows that an empty cluster results in when the outlier is selected as an initial center.

In this thesis a novel initialization algorithm is proposed based on the rough set theory concepts and the reverse nearest neighbor (RNN) search. The algorithm defines and computes the cohesion degree and the reverse nearest neighbor degree for the objects in the dataset and employs them to determine the initial centers. This thesis also introduces a novel algorithm to determine the number of clusters based on the cohesion degree and the reverse nearest neighbor degree as follows. In chapter 2, the recent related work is overviewed. In chapter 3, the cohesion degree of the neighborhood of an object and the coupling degree between neighborhoods of objects are defined. Also, the proposed initialization algorithm and k-determination algorithm are introduced and the corresponding time complexity is analyzed. In chapter 4, the experimental results are viewed and analyzed. Then the conclusion is given in chapter 5.



Figure 4: Dead point problem

Chapter 2: Related Work

Fuyuan et.al. [20] have presented a method for initializing k-means. Based on Rough set Concepts [21] and neighborhood of objects, the method defines the cohesion degree of the neighborhood of an object and the coupling degree between neighborhoods of objects. Then the highest cohesion degree object is selected as the first center. After that, the coupling degree between the first center and the next highest degree object is computed and compared; if the coupling degree is less than some ε, the next highest degree object is selected as the new center. Then the steps are repeated till selecting k centers where k is the predefined number of clusters. The experiments are conducted on a PC with an Intel Pentium 4 processor (2.4 GHz) and 1G byte memory running the Windows XP SP3 operating system. The K-Means algorithms with the three different initialization methods are coded in MATLAB 7.0 programming language. To evaluate the efficiency of clustering algorithms, three evaluation index accuracy (AC), precision (PR), and recall (RE) are employed in the following experiments. In order to define the three kinds of evaluation indexes, the following quantities were defined:

- k the number of classes of the data, which is known,
- a_i the number of objects that are correctly assigned to the class C_i , $(1 \le i \le k)$
- b_i the number of objects that are incorrectly assigned to the class C_i,
- c_i the number of objects that should be in, but are not correctly assigned to the class C_i

The accuracy, precision and recall are defined as:

$$AC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i}{|U|},$$

$$PR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_i + b_i}\right)}{k}$$

$$RE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{a_i}{a_i + c_i}}{k}$$

The algorithm shows acceptable clustering accuracy. Figure 2.1 shows the neighborhood model algorithm.

Input: S = (U, A, V, f) and K. Output: Centers. Step 1: Initialize Centers = \emptyset and Tempcohesion = \emptyset . Step 2: Compute ε . Step 3: For any $x \in U$, compute $Cohesion(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x))$. Centers = Centers \bigcup {x} and Tempcohesion = Tempcohesion \bigcup {x}, where x satisfies $Cohesion(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x)) = \max_{i=1}^{|U|} \{Cohesion(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x_i))\},\$ the first initial cluster center is selected. Step 4: Find the next most coherent object x, where x satisfies $Cohesion(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x)) = \max\{Cohesion(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x_i))|x_i\}$ \in U-Tempcohesion}. Step 5: For any $x' \in Centers$, if $Coupling(\delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x'), \delta_A^{\varepsilon}(x)) < \varepsilon$, then Centers = Centers $\bigcup \{x\}$. Step 6: Tempcohesion = Tempcohesion $\bigcup \{x\}$. Step 7: If |Centers| < K, then goto step 4, otherwise goto step 8. Step 8: End.

Figure 2.1: Neighborhood Model Algorithm

Khan and Ahmad [15] have presented an algorithm (CCIA) for computing initial cluster centers for iterative clustering algorithm. CCIA procedure is based on the experimental fact that very similar data points form the core of clusters and their cluster membership remain the same. Hence these similar data points aid in finding initial cluster centers. Also, CCIA depends on the observation that individual attribute provides information in computing initial cluster centers. CCIA assumes that each of the attributes of the data points is normally distributed. For k clusters the normal curve is divided into k partitions such that the area under these partitions is equal. Then the midpoint of each interval is computed. The attribute values corresponding to these midpoints are computed using mean and standard deviation of the attribute. This will serve as seed point for the k-means clustering for this attribute. This process generates a sequence of m cluster labels. The process is repeated for all the attributes which generates k' sequences that correspond to k' clusters. If k' is equal to k, then centers of these k' clusters should be treated as the initial cluster centers for the kmeans algorithm. If k' is greater than the number of desired clusters k, similar clusters are merged to get k-clusters and centers of these k-clusters will become initial cluster centers for the k-means algorithm. Figure 2.2 shows the CCIA algorithm.

Input:

D—the set of *n* data elements described with attributes A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m where m = no. of attributes and all attributes *K*—predefined number of clusters are numeric. *Output*: Initial cluster centers for K-means algorithm 1. For each attribute A_i repeat steps 2–9 2. Compute mean (μ_i) and standard deviation (σ_i) 3. Compute percentile z_s , corresponding to area under the standard normal curve from $-\infty$ to z_s equals to $\frac{2s-1}{2K}$, where $s = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ 4. Compute attribute value corresponding to these percentiles using means and standard deviation of the attribute as $x_s = z_s * \sigma_i + \mu_i$ 5. Create initial partitions using Euclidean distance between x_s and A_i^{th} attribute of all patterns (The assigned class label is treated as the class of the pattern) 6. Execute *K*-means on this attribute 7. Allocate cluster labels obtained from step 6 to every pattern and compute new dense centers 8. Execute K-means on complete data set 9. Store the class labels as S_{ti} where t = 1, 2, ..., n10. Generate pattern string, P_t corresponding to every pattern by storing the class labels. Every pattern string will have *m* class labels 11. Find unique strings, K', which is the number of distinguishable clusters and $K' \ge K$. Find the center of each of these clusters 12. If K' > K, apply Algorithm *Merge***DBMSD**C to these K' centers to get K- set of points 13. Merge those clusters whose centers are occurring in the same set. Since we have K-set of points we get *K*-clusters 14. Find the mean of these K-clusters to get final K-centers that will be used as initial cluster centers

Part(a)

Algorithm *Merge*DBMSDC

Input:

K' (> K) cluster centers

Output:

K cluster centers

- 1. Let K' is the number of clusters generated by CCIA and K' > K
- 2. Compute cluster center for every K' cluster
- 3. Let $B = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{K'}\}$ be the set of K' cluster centers
- 4. Choose a positive integer q and initialize l = 1 and repeat steps 5–10 till $B = \phi$
- 5. For each cluster center $x_i \in B$, calculate the distance of the *q*th nearest neighbor of x_i in *B*. Denote it by r_{q,x_i}
- 6. Select the point $x_j \in B$, having the lowest value of r_{q,x_j} . Ties in the lowest value of r_{q,x_i} may be resolved by following some convention like the index of the samples etc.
- 7. Create a set $S_l = \phi$
- 8. Add x_j to S_l
- 9. Remove all points from *B* that lie with in a disc of radius $1.5r_{q,x_j}$ centered at x_j and add them to S_l . The set *B* consisting of the remaining centers is to be renamed as *B*.
- 10. Increment l by 1 i.e. l = l + 1;

Part (b)

Figure 2.2: CCIA Algorithm

```
Algorithm IRNN
1. Initialize the candidate set CS with all data points;
2. While (|CS| \le U)
{
     3. Compute the RNNs of each point in CS;
     4. Rank points according to the number of
       their RNNs in descendent order;
     5. Select the first point of the ranked list as
       a centroid candidate:
     6. Delete cascadingly the selected point and its
       RNNs from the list;
     7. If the list is not empty, go to 3;
     8. Set CS with all centroid candidates:
}
    9. For (j=0; i < K; j++)
          10. Compute the RFNs of each point in CS;
         11. Rank points according to the number of
             their RFNs in a descendent order.
         12. Select the first point of the ranked list as a
             centroid:
          13. Delete the cascadingly selected point and its
             RNNs from CS.
```



XU Junling et. al. have presented an initialization method for k-means clustering based on the reverse nearest neighbor (RNN) and reverse farthest neighbor (RFN) [17]. RNN search retrieves all points in a given data set whose nearest neighbor is a given query point. RFN search retrieves all points in a given data set whose farthest neighbor is a given query point. XU Junling et. al. initialization method (IRNN) first initializes a candidate set (CS) with all data points, computes the RNNs of each point in CS, and ranks points according to the number of their RNNs in a descending order; then it selects the first point of the ranked list as a center candidate, and cascadingly deletes the selected point and its RNNs from the list. If the list is not empty, the process of selection and deletion is repeated. After each iteration, the method lets CS be the set of selected points and repeats the above process until |CS| is less than some given number U. Finally, k centers are selected according to the RFN criteria. Figure 2.3 shows the algorithm. The time complexity for the IRNN algorithm is exponential since the process of selection and deletion is repeated many times and in each iteration the RNN degrees, sorting, and deletion are repeated.

J.F. Lu et. al. proposed a hierarchical initialization approach for the k-means initialization [14]. The proposed algorithm consists of four main procedures; they are preprocessing, bottom–up procedure, top–down procedure and post processing. The purpose of preprocessing is to transform the data into the form that is required by the algorithm. The bottom–up and top–down procedure is the core of the algorithm, carrying out both sampling and clustering. The post processing reverses the preprocessing operation by inverse coordinate transformation to obtain the cluster centers in the original data. In the sampling procedure the preprocessed data is sampled level by level by repetitively applying a sampling method till the resampled data amount is the minimal number that is greater than or equal to 20 * the number of clusters k. At the level that the sampling ends, iterative clustering is executed so as to get the cluster centers. For the choice of initial centers, data is sorted by weight value, and then the first k biggest instances are selected as the initial cluster centers for the iteration.

Stephen J. Redmond and Conor Heneghan motivated an initialization algorithm using kd-trees [27]. The kd-tree is a top-down hierarchical scheme for partitioning data. Consider a set of n points, $(x_1 \ldots x_n)$ occupying an m dimensional space [29]. Each point xi has associated with it m co-ordinates $(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{im})$. There exists a bounding box, or bucket, which contains all data points and whose extrema are defined by the maximum and minimum co-ordinate values of the data points in each dimension. The data is then partitioned into two sub-buckets by splitting the data along the longest dimension of the parent bucket, denoted by m_{max} . The kd-Density initialization starts by creating a kd-tree, stipulating that a leaf bucket is that which, arbitrarily, contains 20 points or less. The number of leaf buckets created as q. The volume, V_j , of each leaf bucket, L_j , is calculated and the number of points it bounds, N_j is counted. Then the density of each leaf bucket, L_j , is calculated to be q_j , for $j = 1, \ldots, q$: $\rho_j = N_j / V_j$.

To choose the initial seeds for the K-means algorithm, the kd-tree initialization uses this density information. The algorithm aims to choose K leaf bucket locations, m_j , from q possibilities, that are separated by a reasonable distance and have a large density. The first seed, c_1 , is chosen to be the leaf bucket with highest density: $c_1 = m_{arg maxj(\rho j)}$. To choose the second seed, the algorithm calculates for every remaining leaf bucket centroid, m_j , the value g_j : g_j is the distance of m_j from the first seed location, c_1 multiplied by the density of the leaf bucket, q_j , as in equation: $g_j = d(c_1, m_j).\rho_j$. The second seed is then chosen as the point, m_j , with the maximum value of g. The idea is that the further away a leaf bucket is from an existing seed, and the larger its density, the more likely a candidate it is to be a seed location. The algorithm is shown in figure 2.4.

Algorithm 2. Initialisation algorithm

- (1) Create a kd-tree for the given data x_i , i = 1, ..., n.
- (2) For j = 1, ..., q calculate the *rank density*, $\hat{\rho}_j$, of each leaf bucket L_j , where q is the number of leaf buckets in the *kd*-tree. Calculate the mean, m_j , of the data points bounded by each leaf bucket.
- (3) Choose $c_1 = m_z$, where $z = \arg \max_i(\hat{\rho}_i)$.
- (4) For t = 2, ..., K,
 - For j = 1, ..., q evaluate $g_j = \{\min_{k=1...t} [d(\mathbf{c}_k, \mathbf{m}_j)]\} \cdot \hat{\rho}_j.$ - $\mathbf{c}_t = \mathbf{m}_z$, where $z = \arg\max_i(g_i)$.
- (5) Discard the 20% of leaf buckets that have the lowest density. Go to step 3 and calculate a second possible list of *K* initial centres, $(\hat{c}_1, \ldots, \hat{c}_K)$.
- (6) Return $(c_1, ..., c_K)$ and $(\hat{c}_1, ..., \hat{c}_K)$.

Figure 2.4: kd-tree Initialization Algorithm

K. Zalik introduces k'-means algorithm that performs clustering without predetermining the correct cluster number. This was achieved by minimizing a suggested cost-function. The cost-function extends the mean-square-error cost-function of k-means. The algorithm consists of two separate steps. The first is a pre-processing procedure that performs initial clustering and assigns at least one seed point to each cluster. During the second step, the seed-points are adjusted to minimize the cost-function. The problem of the k'-means algorithm's correct convergence is investigated via a cost-function approach. A special cost-function is suggested since the k-means cost-function cannot be used for determining the number of clusters, because it decreases monotonically with any increase in cluster number. K' means shows that, when the cost-function reduces into a global minimum, the correct number of cluster centers converges into an actual cluster center, while driving all other initial centers far away from the input dataset, and corresponding clusters can be neglected, because they are empty. Figure 2.5 shows the algorithm. Phase 1

Step 1: Randomly initialize the k cluster centres in the input dataset.

Step 2: Randomly pick up a data point x_t from the input dataset and for j = 1, 2, ..., k calculate the class membership function

$$I(x_t, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \arg\min(\|x_t - c_j\|^2) \quad j = 1, \dots, k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $c_1, c_2, c_j, \ldots, c_k$ are cluster centres

Every point is assigned to the cluster whose centroid is the closest to that point.

Step 3: For all k cluster centres, set c_i to be the centre of mass of all points in cluster C_i .

$$c_i = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_{x_t \in C_i} x_t$$

Phase 2

Step 1: For each input data point x_t and all k clusters randomly pick a data point x_t from the input dataset and for j = 1, 2, ..., k calculate the cluster membership function

$$I(x_t, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \arg\min(dm(x_t, j)) & j = 1, \dots, N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $dm(x_t, C_i) = ||x_t - c_i||^2 - E\log_2(p(C_i)) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k p(C_i) = 1 \quad 0 \le p(C_i) \le 1,$ $i = 1, \dots, k$

 $p(C_i)$ is the probability that the input data is in the C_i cluster Every point is assigned to the cluster whose centroid is closest to that point, as defined by the cluster membership function $I(x_t, j)$.

Step 2: For all *k* cluster centres set c_i to be the centre of mass of all points in cluster C_i

$$c_i = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_{x_t \in C_i} x_t$$

Figure 2.5: k'-means Algorithm

To our best knowledge, the above algorithms are the most recent in the k-means initialization problem. Although the algorithms show acceptable clustering accuracy, they suffer from some drawbacks:

1. It takes high execution time to select initial centers, the time complexity for the IRNN algorithm [17] is exponential since the process of selection and deletion is repeated many times and in each iteration the RNN degrees, sorting, and deletion are repeated. The hierarchical initialization approach [14] inherits the same performance disadvantages of hierarchical clustering [2, 3]. The neighborhood method [20] requires the computation of cohesion degree for all objects which consumes high execution time.

2. The number of clusters must be previously known and fixed. In some cases the number of clusters is not known and a method is needed to determine k before clustering. k'-means algorithm determines k automatically but it suffers from random initialization.

Chapter 3: Enhanced k-means

In this chapter, we first introduce some basic definitions used in clustering and then explain the basic idea of our initialization approach and enhancements of k-means clustering.

3.1 Some basic definitions

3.1.1 Cohesion degree

Cohesion degree gives critical information about the position of an object in the cluster [20]; the greater the cohesion degree of an object x the less the boundary region of neighborhood of object x. Therefore, x is likely taken as an initial cluster center. In order to compute the cohesion degree for an object x the neighborhood of x and the lower and upper approximation of a neighborhood set of x should be computed.

- Neighborhood of object

Let D be a dataset of n objects $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. The neighborhood of an object x_i is the set of objects N(x_i) where:

- $N(x_i) = \{ any x_j : d(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon, x_j \in D, i \neq j \}$
- $d(x_i, x_j)$ is the distance between objects x_i and x_j

$$d(x_i, x_j) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^m (x_{ik} - x_{jk})^2}$$

where m is the number of attributes

ε is the average distance between all objects.

,

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} d(x_i, x_j)$$

- Lower approximation of a set

Let D be a dataset of n objects $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ and S is a subset of D. The lower approximation of S (L(S)) is a set of objects whose neighborhood belongs to S with certainty. In other words, L(S) is the set of objects such that the set of neighbors for each object belongs to S. Mathematically:

$$L(S) = \{ x_i : N(x_i) \subseteq S, x_i \in D \}$$

- Upper approximation of a set

Let D be a dataset of n objects x_1 , x_2 ... x_n and S is a subset of D. The upper approximation of S, (U(S)), is a set of objects whose neighborhood possibly belongs to S. In other words, U(S) is the set of objects such that the set of neighbors for each object contains at least one object of S. Mathematically:

$$U(S) = \{ x_i : N(x_i) \cap S \neq \Phi, x_i \in D \}$$

- Cohesion degree

Let D be a dataset of n objects x_1 , x_2 ... x_n . Cohesion degree of an object x_i is the number of objects in the lower approximation of the neighborhood of x_i divided by the number of objects in the upper approximation of the neighborhood of x_i . Mathematically:

$$Cohesion(x_i) = \frac{|L(N(x_i))|}{|U(N(x_i))|}, \quad 0 < Cohesion(x_i) < 1$$

3.1.2 Coupling degree

The coupling degree measures the similarity between two objects. The greater the coupling degree between two objects the more similar are. So, if two objects are candidate to be a cluster center, we can reject one of them if the coupling degree between them is high because they are likely fall in the same cluster. Let D be a dataset of n objects x_1 , x_2 ... x_n . The coupling degree between neighborhoods of objects x_i and x_j is the number of objects in the intersection of the two neighborhoods divided by the number of objects in the union of the two neighborhoods. Mathematically:

Coupling
$$\left(N(x_i), N(x_j)\right) = \frac{|N(x_i) \cap N(x_j)|}{|N(x_i) \cup N(x_j)|}$$

 $0 < \text{Coupling}\left(N(x_i), N(x_j)\right) < 1$

3.1.3 Reverse Nearest Neighbor (RNN)

A reverse nearest neighbor (RNN) search retrieves all objects in a given dataset whose nearest neighbor is a given object. Figure 3.1 shows an example of 5 points $x_1 \dots x_5$. It is clear that the x_1 is the nearest point to x_2 and x_3 . So RNN(x_1) = { x_2, x_3 }. It is worth noting that the nearest neighbor (NN) to x_1 is x_4 , i.e. RNN(x_i) is not necessarily the same as NN(x_i).



Figure 3.1: RNN definition

The RNN degree of an object is the number of objects retrieved by RNN search. Some recent studies show that cluster center have high RNN degrees [17]. Let's clarify this through figure 3.1. We can easily expect that either x_1 or x_2 is the cluster center. x_1 is the nearest object to x_2 , x_3 , and x_4 , so RNN degree of x_1 is 3. Similarly, the RNN degrees of x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , and x_5 are 0, 0, 2, and 1 respectively. Note that the candidate centers x_1 and x_4 have high RNN degrees.



Figure 3.2: Example dataset

3.1.4 Example

An example dataset is shown in figure 3.2 and table 3.1. After calculating the Euclidean distance between the objects, shown in table 3.2, and ε using formulas in section 3.1, we can calculate the cohesion and coupling degrees as follows:

ε=0.4404

• Computing Cohesion(*x*₁):

 $N(x_1) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5\}$

 $x_2: N(x_2) = \{x_1, x_2, x_4\} \rightarrow x_2 \notin L(N(x_1)), x_2 \in U(N(x_1))$

Table 3	3.1: E	Exampl	le Da	ataset
---------	--------	--------	-------	--------

Object	X	У	Object	X	У
x ₁	50	99	X ₈	210	94
x ₂	69	147	X9	202	130
X ₃	78	79	X ₁₀	255	77
X 4	102	133	x ₁₁	296	126
X 5	110	106	x ₁₂	259	153
X ₆	162	65	x ₁₃	197	41
X ₇	151	100	x ₁₄	161	139

$$x_3: N(x_3) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \rightarrow x_3 \in L(N(x_1)), x_3 \in U(N(x_1))$$

$$x_5: N(x_5) = \{x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_7\} \rightarrow x_5 \notin L(N(x_1)), x_5 \in U(N(x_1))$$

Cohesion $(x_1) = \frac{1}{3} = 0.3333$

• Computing Cohesion(*x*₇):

$$N(x_{7}) = \{x_{7}, x_{6}, x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}, x_{14}\}$$

$$x_{6}: N(x_{6}) = \{x_{7}, x_{13}, x_{10}\} \rightarrow x_{6} \notin L(N(x_{7})), x_{6} \in U(N(x_{7}))$$

$$x_{8}: N(x_{8}) = \{x_{7}, x_{9}, x_{10}\} \rightarrow x_{8} \in L(N(x_{7})), x_{8} \in U(N(x_{7}))$$

$$x_{9}: N(x_{9}) = \{x_{7}, x_{8}, x_{14}\} \rightarrow x_{9} \in L(N(x_{7})), x_{9} \in U(N(x_{7}))$$

$$x_{10}: N(x_{10}) = \{x_{7}, x_{8}\} \rightarrow x_{10} \in L(N(x_{7})), x_{10} \in U(N(x_{7}))$$

$$x_{14}: N(x_{14}) = \{x_{4}, x_{7}, x_{9}\} \rightarrow x_{14} \notin L(N(x_{7})), x_{14} \in U(N(x_{7}))$$
Cohesion $(x_{7}) = \frac{3}{5} = 0.6$

Since x_7 is nearly in the center of the group, its cohesion degree is higher than x_1 which is a border object.

• Computing Coupling($N(x_7), N(x_8)$)

Coupling
$$(N(x_7), N(x_8)) = \frac{|N(x_7) \cap N(x_8)|}{|N(x_7) \cup N(x_8)|} = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$$

	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀	X ₁₁	X ₁₂	X ₁₃	X ₁₄
X ₁	0	51.624	34.409	62.129	60.407	117.05	101	160.08	155.13	206.18	247.48	215.86	158.03	117.99
X ₂	51.624	0	68.593	35.847	57.983	123.99	94.515	150.63	134.08	198.74	227.97	190.09	166.19	92.347
X ₃	34.409	68.593	0	59.093	41.869	85.159	75.961	132.85	134.08	177.01	223.01	195.54	124.92	102.42
X ₄	62.129	35.847	59.093	0	28.16	90.686	59.076	114.83	100.04	162.93	194.13	158.27	132.25	59.304
X ₅	60.407	57.983	41.869	28.16	0	66.219	41.437	100.72	95.079	147.87	187.07	156.24	108.6	60.745
X ₆	117.05	123.99	85.159	90.686	66.219	0	36.688	56.08	76.322	93.771	147.23	130.97	42.438	74.007
X ₇	101	94.515	75.961	59.076	41.437	36.688	0	59.304	59.169	106.51	147.31	120.3	74.813	40.262
X ₈	160.08	150.63	132.85	114.83	100.72	56.08	59.304	0	36.878	48.104	91.761	76.694	54.571	66.528
X ₉	155.13	134.08	134.08	100.04	95.079	76.322	59.169	36.878	0	74.953	94.085	61.465	89.14	41.976
X ₁₀	206.18	198.74	177.01	162.93	147.87	93.771	106.51	48.104	74.953	0	63.891	76.105	68.264	112.61
X ₁₁	247.48	227.97	223.01	194.13	187.07	147.23	147.31	91.761	94.085	63.891	0	45.804	130.48	135.62
X ₁₂	215.86	190.09	195.54	158.27	156.24	130.97	120.3	76.694	61.465	76.105	45.804	0	128.02	98.995
X ₁₃	158.03	166.19	124.92	132.25	108.6	42.438	74.813	54.571	89.14	68.264	130.48	128.02	0	104.4
X ₁₄	117.99	92.347	102.42	59.304	60.745	74.007	40.262	66.528	41.976	112.61	135.62	98.995	104.4	0

Table 3.2: Distances between the Objects in Figure 3.2

3.2 An initialization method for the k-Means algorithm using neighborhood model

In [20], Fuyuan et.al. have presented a method for initializing k-means based on a neighborhood model. The method defines the cohesion degree of the neighborhood of an object and the coupling degree between neighborhoods of objects. Then the highest cohesion degree object is selected as the first center. After that, the coupling degree between the first center and the next highest degree object is computed and compared; if the coupling degree is less than some ε , the next highest degree object is selected as the new center. Then the steps are repeated till selecting k centers where k is the predefined number of cluster. The following algorithm finds cluster centers that are passed to k-means algorithm to group the datasets into a predefined number of clusters, k.

```
Input: Dataset D, k

Output: k centers

Step1: Compute the distances between objects in D.

Step2: Compute the average distance between all objects \varepsilon.

Step3: Find neighborhood of objects in D.

Step4: Compute Cohesion(x_i) for any x_i \in D.

Step5: Find x_i: Cohesion(x_i) is maximum \rightarrow the first center is found.

Step6: Find the next highest cohesion object x_j.

Step7: If Coupling(N(x_i),N(x_j)):<\varepsilon,next center is found.

Step8: If |centers| < k, go to step 6, otherwise go to step 9.

Step9: End
```

The time complexity of this algorithm is analyzed as follows. In Step 2, the time complexity for computing the size of neighborhood is $O(n^2)$ where n is the number of objects in D. Computation of the neighborhood of objects will take $O(n^2)$ in Step 3. The operation on obtaining the most cohering object have a time complexity of O(n) in Step 4.

Computational cost of the rest of the steps is O(1) Therefore, the entire time complexity of the proposed algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

The algorithm starts by calculating the distances between objects in the dataset D the threshold value ε . These values, distances and ε , are used to find the neighborhoods for the objects. In step 4, the algorithm finds the lower approximation and upper approximation for every object in dataset D and then calculates the cohesion degree for each object by dividing the length of the lower approximation by the length of the upper approximation for each object. In step 5, the algorithm finds the highest degree object and assigns it as the first initial cluster center. After that the algorithm finds the next center which should satisfy the two conditions: (1) it has the next highest cohesion degree and (2) it has low coupling with the selected initial center. Then the algorithm repeats from step 6till finding k initial center.

The algorithm shows acceptable clustering accuracy but it suffers from the following disadvantages:

- 1- The algorithm computes the cohesion degree for all the objects in the dataset which consumes high execution time especially with large datasets.
- 2- The number of clusters, k, must be input to the algorithm.

3.3 Proposed Enhancements

In this section, we motivate novel algorithms for k-means initialization, k-means clustering, and auto determination of the number of cluster k. The algorithms are based on

the rough set definitions and the RNN search described above in section 3.1. Section 3.3.1 describes the initialization method. Section 3.3.2 shows how to enhance clustering by giving high weights to the important attributes. Section 3.3.3 shows the algorithm of determining k.

3.3.1. Fast k-means Initialization method using Neighborhood and Reverse Nearest Neighborhood models

In this section a novel initialization algorithm is introduced. The algorithm is built on the following criteria:

- Clusters centers have high cohesion degrees compared to other objects.
- Clusters centers have low coupling degrees with each other.
- Clusters centers have high RNN degrees.

Based on the above criteria the algorithm below finds appropriate cluster centers that are passed to k-means algorithm to group the datasets into a predefined number of clusters, k. The main idea in this algorithm is to combine the criteria of the centers to reduce the number of objects that the cohesion degree is computed for. We could achieve this by selecting the m*k objects that have the highest RNN degrees and put them in a set C, where m is a constant. Then the cohesion degree is computed only for these m*k objects which reduces the execution time of the algorithm and hence improves performance. This improvement is noticed clearly when the size of the dataset is large as the results show in the next chapter. After calculating the cohesion degrees for the objects in C, the highest cohesion degree object is selected as the first center. After that, the coupling degree between the first center and the next highest degree object is computed and compared; if the coupling degree is less than some ε , the next highest degree object is selected as the new center. Then the steps are repeated till selecting k centers where k is the predefined number of cluster. We performed experiments to indicate the value of the constant m. We found that m=3 is a value to give the highest RNN degree objects. However, future work will concentrate on indicating m through optimizing some function.

Since outlier objects have both low cohesion degree and RNN degree, an outlier will never be selected as an initial cluster center and the deed point problem is eliminated.

```
Input: Dataset D, k, m

Output: k centers

Step1: Compute the distances between objects in D.

Step2: Compute the average distance between all objects \varepsilon.

Step3: Find neighborhood of objects in D.

Step4: Find Reverse nearest neighborhood degree of objects in D.

Step5: Find the set C: C contains the m*k highest RNN degree objects.

Step6: Compute Cohesion(x_i) for any x_i \in C.

Step7: Find x_i: Cohesion(x_i) is maximum \rightarrow the first center is found.

Step8: Find the next highest cohesion object x_j.

Step9: If Coupling(N(x_i),N(x_j)):<\varepsilon,next center is found.

Step10: If |centers| < k, go to step 8, otherwise go to step 11.

Step 11: End
```

The algorithm starts by calculating the distances between objects in the dataset D the threshold value ε . These values, distances and ε , are used to find the neighborhoods for the objects and the RNN degrees. In step 5, the algorithm finds the set C which contains the highest RNN degrees objects. Experimentally, we find that three times the number of cluster k is a sufficient size for C. In step 6, the algorithm finds the lower approximation and upper approximation for every object in set C and then calculates the cohesion degrees

for these objects by dividing the length of the lower approximation by the length of the upper approximation for each object in C. In step 7, the algorithm finds the highest degree object and assigns it as the first initial cluster center. After that the algorithm finds the next center which should satisfy the two conditions: (1) it has the next highest cohesion degree and (2) it has low coupling with the selected initial center. Then the algorithm repeats from step 8 till finding k initial center.

The complexity of the algorithm is analyzed as follows. Steps from 1 to 5 have complexity of $O(n^2)$ each, where n is the size of dataset D. The complexity of steps 6 is $O(k^2)$. Steps 7 and 8 have complexity of O(k). Computational cost of the rest of the steps is O(1). So the overall computational complexity is $O(n^2)$.

3.3.2. Auto-determining the number of clusters k using Neighborhood and Reverse Nearest Neighborhood model

In this section, an algorithm is proposed to determine the number of clusters in a given dataset based on the following criteria:

- Clusters centers have high cohesion degree compared to other objects.
- Clusters centers have low coupling degree with each other.
- Cluster centers have high RNN degree.

The idea is to find a small subset of objects that fall nearly in the centers of the supposed clusters. Then this subset is divided into groups based on the coupling degree between these objects. Objects that have high coupling degree are likely to fall in the same group while those have low coupling degree fall in different groups. Since objects that fall

nearly in the cluster center have both high RNN degree *and* high cohesion degree, we need a new criteria that combines these two properties such that the new value of the new criteria is high when both RNN degree *and* cohesion degree are high, this criteria is simply the multiplication of RNN degree *and* cohesion degree. The values of RNN and cohesion degrees are multiplied form a new criterion RC. Since the RNN degree and cohesion degrees are absolute values for each object, objects that have high values of RC have both high RNN degree and high cohesion degree. Such objects are circles by dashed line in figure 3.3. Now, after choosing the highest RC objects, the coupling degrees between these objects are computed. Based on the coupling degrees, these objects are grouped into some number of groups k such that objects that have low coupling degree fall in different groups whereas those have high coupling degree fall in the same group. The result is k which is the number of clusters in the dataset.



Figure 3.3: High RC objects

Based on the above concepts the algorithm finds the number of clusters k in a given dataset.

```
Input:
         Dataset D, \alpha
Output:
         k
Step1: Compute the distances between objects in D.
Step2: Compute the average distance between all objects \varepsilon.
Step3: Find neighborhood of objects in D.
Step4: Find Reverse nearest neighborhood degree deg(x<sub>i</sub>) for any x_i \in D.
objects in D.
Step5: Compute Cohesion(x_i) for any x_i \in D.
Step6: Compute RC(x_i) = deg(x_i) * Cohesion(x_i)
Step7: Find the set A such that members of A are the \alpha * |D| objects
that have highest values of RC.
Step8: Find Coupling degree between objects in A.
Step9: Divide A into groups such that, For each x_{i},x_{j}\in A, If
Coupling (x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon, x_i, x_j are in the same group else x_i, x_j are in two
different groups.
Step 10: Find the number of groups k in step 9.
Step 11: End
```

The algorithm starts by calculating the distances between objects in the dataset D the threshold value ε . These values, distances and ε , are used to find the neighborhoods for the objects and the RNN degrees. In step 5, the algorithm finds the lower approximation and upper approximation for every object in dataset D and then calculates the cohesion degree for each object by dividing the length of the lower approximation by the length of the upper approximation for each object. In step 6, for each object, the algorithm multiplies the cohesion degree by the RNN degree to calculate the RC value for each object. As clarified above, objects that have high RC objects are candidate to be initial cluster centers; they most probably fall near the real cluster center. So, in step 7 the algorithm finds the set A that contains the objects that have the highest RC values. Experimentally, we find that the 20% of the dataset D that have highest RC values are sufficient to apply some grouping

method on them to find k. After that, the algorithm finds the coupling degrees between objects in set A. In step 9, the objects in set A are grouped into groups such that objects have low coupling degrees fall in different groups while objects have high coupling degrees fall in the same group while objects. The algorithms outputs the number of groups k which is the number of clusters in dataset D.

We performed experiments to indicate the value of the constant α . We found that α =0.2 is a sufficient value to give the highest RNN degree objects. However, future work will concentrate on indicating α through optimizing some function. The complexity of the algorithm is analyzed as follows. Steps from 1 to 5 have complexity of O(n²) each, where n is the size of D. The complexity of steps 6 is O(n). Steps 7 has complexity of O(n) [23]. Steps 8 and 9 have complexity of O((α |D|)²). Computational cost of the rest of the steps is O(1). So the overall computational complexity is O(n²).

Chapter 4: Experimental Results

Now, let's introduce the experiment environments and the results obtained from the proposed algorithms and the neighborhood model method [20]. The experiments are performed on a laptop with 1.83 GHz Intel core 2 processor and 1G byte memory running Windows XP operating systems. MATLAB 7.0 programming language is used to code the algorithms.

4.1 Results of Initialization algorithms

To compare the two initialization methods four datasets are used; three standard datasets and one test dataset. The three standard datasets are Iris, Wine, and Glass [22]. We performed experiments to indicate the value of the constant m. We found that m=3 is a sufficient value to give the highest RNN degree objects.

To evaluate the performance of the two initialization methods three evaluation criteria are employed, accuracy (AC), execution time (exeTime), and the number of iterations that k-means needs to converge. AC is the ratio between the number of objects that are assigned correctly to their clusters and the size of the dataset. Execution time measures the performance of the algorithms. Low execution time means that the algorithm performs faster. The convergence iterations indicate the accuracy of the initialization method. Low number of convergence iterations indicates that the initial centers are selected accurately i.e. near the real centers.

• **Iris dataset:** Iris dataset is a standard dataset. It contains three classes that represent three types of Iris flowers namely, Iris setosa, Iris versicolor and Iris virginica. Iris dataset contains 150 objects divided equally between the three classes. Each object is represented

by four attributes namely, viz sepal length, sepal width, petal length and petal width. Table 4.1 shows the experimental results on the Iris dataset.

- Wine dataset: Wine dataset is a standard dataset. It contains three classes that represent chemical results on wines derived from three different cultivars. Wine dataset contains 178 objects. There are 59, 71, 48 objects in class 1, class 2 and class 3 respectively. Each object is represented by 13 attributes. Table 4.2 shows the experimental results on the Wine dataset.
- Glass dataset: Glass dataset is a standard dataset that contains seven classes namely, building windows, vehicle windows, building windows, vehicle windows, containers, tableware and headlamps. Glass dataset contains 214 objects. There are 70, 17, 76, 0, 13, 9, 29 objects in classes 1 to 7 respectively. Each object is represented by 10 attributes. Table 4.3 shows the experimental results on the Glass dataset.
- Test dataset: Test dataset is shown in figure 13. It contains three classes. This dataset contains 1500 objects divided equally between the three classes. Each object is represented by two attributes. Table 4.4 shows the experimental results on the Test dataset.



Figure 4.1: Test dataset

	Neighborhood initialization model	RNN initialization method	Proposed method.
AC	0.9	0.9	0.89333
exeTime	6.3438 sec.	26.8180 sec.	1.82802 sec.
Convergence iterations	7	151	1

Table 4.1: Results of experiments on Iris dataset

Table 4.2: Results of experiments on Wine dataset

	Neighborhood model	RNN initialization method	Proposed method.
AC	0.7653	0.7653	0.7597
exeTime	10.8912 sec.	141.8130 sec.	3.62502 sec.
Convergence iterations	5	215	4

Table 4.3: Results of experiments on Glass dataset

	Neighborhood model	RNN initialization	Proposed method
	-	method	-
AC	0.8037	0.8037	0.7990
exeTime	17.0928 sec.	216.7460 sec.	5.29698 sec.
Convergence iterations	4	179	2

Table 4.4: Results of experiments on Test dataset

	Neighborhood model	RNN initialization method	Proposed method
AC	1	1	1
exeTime	23.9664 min.	Inf.	4.2971 min.
Convergence iterations	6	Inf.	4

Results listed in tables 4.1-4.4 show that accuracy of the proposed method, RNN method and the Neighborhood model method are very close. Also, the number of convergence iterations for the proposed method is the least which means high initialization accuracy. These results mean that the initial cluster centers computed using the proposed algorithm are found to be very close to the desired cluster centers.

The proposed method outperforms the Neighborhood model method and RNN. The reason behind this improvement is that the proposed algorithm employs both the cohesion degree and RNN degree to compute the initial center. The computation of the cohesion degree is time consuming since it requires computing many values such as neighborhood, lower approximation and upper approximation for each object. The RNN degree limits the number of objects that we need to compute the cohesion degree for.

4.2 Results of Auto-determining k

In this section we introduce the results of applying the algorithm of auto-determination of k. The constant α in the algorithm was indicated experimentally α =0.2. The algorithm is applied on the following datasets:

- **Iris dataset:** Iris dataset is a standard dataset. It contains three classes that represent three types of Iris flowers namely, Iris setosa, Iris versicolor and Iris virginica. Iris dataset contains 150 objects divided equally between the three classes. Each object is represented by four attributes namely, viz sepal length, sepal width, petal length and petal width [20].
- s2: Synthetic 2-d data with 5000 vectors and 15 Gaussian clusters [24]
- **Teaching Assistant Evaluation dataset:** The data consist of evaluations of teaching performance over three regular semesters and two summer semesters of 151 teaching assistant (TA) assignments at the Statistics Department of the University of Wisconsin-Madison. The scores were divided into 3 roughly equal-sized categories. [22]

- Blood Transfusion Service Center dataset: Data taken from the Blood Transfusion Service Center in Hsin-Chu City in Taiwan. It contains two clusters, 748 objects, and 4 attributes [22].
- Haberman's Survival dataset: The dataset contains cases from a study that was conducted between 1958 and 1970 at the University of Chicago's Billings Hospital on the survival of patients who had undergone surgery for breast cancer. It contains three clusters, 30 objects, and 4 attributes [22].
- Wine dataset: Wine dataset is a standard dataset. It contains three classes that represent chemical results on wines derived from three different cultivars. Wine dataset contains 178 objects. There are 59, 71, 48 objects in class 1, class 2 and class 3 respectively. Each object is represented by 13 attributes [20].
- Glass dataset: Glass dataset is a standard dataset that contains seven classes namely, building windows, vehicle windows, building windows, vehicle windows, containers, tableware and headlamps. Glass dataset contains 214 objects. There are 70, 17, 76, 0, 13, 9, 29 objects in classes 1 to 7 respectively. Each object is represented by 10 attributes [20].

Table 4.5 lists the results of executing the k determination algorithm on the above datasets. The results show that the algorithm fails in case of high dimension datasets such as wine and glass datasets. Since k-means algorithm works well with low dimension datasets and not high dimension ones, the k determination algorithm can be helpful to be used with k- means.

Table 4.5: K Determination Result

Dataset	Actual number of clusters	Determined number of clusters	Success /Failure
Iris	3	3	Success
s2	15	15	Success
Teaching Assistant Evaluation	3	3	Success
Blood Transfusion Service Center dataset	2	2	Success
Haberman's Survival dataset	3	3	Success
Wine dataset	3	6	Failure
Glass dataset	7	3	Failure

Chapter 5: Conclusion and Future work

The simplicity of k-means algorithm makes it the choice for many clustering tasks. However k-means suffers from the problems of random initialization, dead point problem, and the predetermined of number of clusters k. Based on some basic concepts of the rough set theory and the reverse nearest neighbor search method we introduced a novel initialization algorithm that performs well to find appropriate initial centers for the k-means clustering. The experimental results illustrate the advantages of the proposed initialization method which are (1) high clustering accuracy, (2) fast convergence and (3) fast execution. The proposed algorithm also eliminates dead point problem. Results show also that rough set theory concepts can be employed to determine the number of clusters k.

Currently, two constants were indicated experimentally, m in the initialization enhancement and α in the k auto-determination algorithm. An extension of the work would be to come up with a formula for choosing the appropriate threshold values, a formula that would work for all dimensions, number of points and centers. Here we have only been able to experimentally see that an optimum threshold value exists, but not been able to come to the exact value. It is also likely that the thresholds depend on the type of data. More research is needed for deriving the formula.

References

[1] S. Theodoridis and k. Koutroumbaspattern, Pattern Recognition, 2nd edition, Elsevier, 2003.

[2] G. Gan et. al, Data Clustering Theory, Algorithms, and Applications, Siam, 2007.

[3] J. Han and M. Kamber, Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques, 2nd edition, Elsevier, 2006.

[4] J. MacQueen, "Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observation". *In: Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*. University of California Press, pp. 281–297, 1967.

[5] L. Kaufman and P. Rousseeuw. Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis. Wiley, New York, 1990.

[6] T. Zhang et. al. "BIRCH: An efficient data clustering method for very large databases". In *Proceedings of the 1996 ACM SIGMOD international conference on management of data*, pp. 103–114. New York: ACM Press, 1996.

[7] M. Ester et. al., "A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise," *In Second international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*", pp. 226–231. Portland, OR: AAAI Press, 1996.

[8] M. Ankerst et. al, "OPTICS: Ordering points to identify the clustering structure," In *Proc. 1999 ACM-SIGMOD Int. Conf.Management of Data(SIGMOD'99)*, pp. 49–60, Philadelphia, 1999.

[9] W. Wang et. al, "STING: A statistical information grid approach to spatial data mining," In *Twenty-third international conference on very large data bases*, pp. 186–195, 1997.

[10] R. Agrawal et. al, "Automatic subspace clustering of high dimensional data for data mining applications," In *SIGMOD Record ACM Special Interest Group on Management of Data*, pp. 94–105. New York: ACM Press. 1998.

[11] D. Fisher. "Improving inference through conceptual clustering," In *Proc. 1987 Nat. Conf. Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'87)*, pp. 461–465, Seattle, WA, 1987.

[12] T. Kohonen, "The self-organizing map," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 78(9):1464–1480, 1990.

[13] S. Redmond and C. Heneghan, "A method for initializing the k-means clustering algorithm using kd-trees," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 28, issue 8, pp. 965–973, 2007.

[14] J. Lu. et. al, "Hierarchical initialization approach for k-Means clustering," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 29, pp. 787–795, 2008.

[15] S. Khan and A. Ahmad, "Cluster center initialization algorithm for k-means clustering," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 25, pp. 1293–1302, 2004.

[16] A. Likas et. al, "The global k-means clustering algorithm," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 36, pp. 451 – 461, 2003.

[17] X. Junling et. al, "Stable Initialization Scheme for k-Means Clustering," *Wuhan University Journal Of Natural Sciences*, vol.14, no.1, 2009.

[18] T. Su and J. Dy, "A Deterministic Method for Initializing k-means Clustering", Proceedings of the 16th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI 2004), 15-17 Nov, pp. 784 – 786, 2004.

[19] J. Pena et. al, "An empirical comparison of four initialization methods for the k-Means algorithm," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 20, pp. 1027-1040, 1999.

[20] F. Caoa et. al., "An initialization method for the k-Means algorithm using neighborhood model", *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, pp. 474 – 483, 2009.

[21] Z. Pawlak, "Rough Sets-Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data", *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1991.

[22] A. Asuncion and D.J. Newman, University of Califirnia, Dept. of Information and Computer Science. The UCI Machine Learning Repository

http://mlearn.ics.uci.edu/MLRepository.html. Last visit Oct. 11, 2010.

[23] Mathwoorks, Min/Max selection,

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/23576-minmax-selection. Last visit Oct. 11, 2010.

[24] Clustering datasets, University of Eastern Finland, <u>http://cs.joensuu.fi/sipu/datasets/</u>.Last Visit Oct. 11, 2010

[25] H.Wang et. al., "Clustering by pattern similarity in large data sets," *Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. Management of Data*, pp. 394–405, 2002.

[26] P. Tan, M. Steinbach and V. Kumar, Introduction to Data Mining, 1st edition, Addison-Wesley, 2006.

[27] S. J. Redmond and C. Heneghan, "A method for initialising the K-means clustering algorithm using kd-trees ", Pattern Recognition Letters, no. 28, pp. 965–973, 2007.

[28] P.S. Bradley and U. M. Fayyad, "Refining initial points for k-means clustering." In *Proceedings Fifteenth International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 91-99, San

Francisco, CA, 1998, Morgan Kaufmann.

[29] A. W. Moore, "An introductory tutorial on kd-trees", PhD Thesis: Efficient Memorybased learning for Robot Control, PhD Thesis; Technical Report No. 209, Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 1991.

[30] D. Pelleg and A. Moore, "X-means: Extending k-means with efficient estimation of the number of clusters". In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Machine Learning*, Palo Alto, CA, July 2000.