

University of Iowa Iowa Research Online

Theses and Dissertations

Spring 2014

# Rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric amination of trichloroacetimidates with application to nitrogen heterocycle synthesis

Jeffrey Scott Arnold University of Iowa

Copyright 2014 Jeffrey Scott Arnold

This dissertation is available at Iowa Research Online: http://ir.uiowa.edu/etd/4565

### **Recommended** Citation

Arnold, Jeffrey Scott. "Rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric amination of trichloroacetimidates with application to nitrogen heterocycle synthesis." PhD (Doctor of Philosophy) thesis, University of Iowa, 2014. http://ir.uiowa.edu/etd/4565.

Follow this and additional works at: http://ir.uiowa.edu/etd

Part of the <u>Chemistry Commons</u>

# RHODIUM-CATALYZED ASYMMETRIC AMINATION OF TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES WITH APPLICATION TO NITROGEN HETEROCYCLE SYNTHESIS

by

Jeffrey Scott Arnold

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in Chemistry in the Graduate College of The University of Iowa

May 2014

Thesis Supervisor: Associate Professor Hien M. Nguyen

Graduate College The University of Iowa Iowa City, Iowa

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

# PH.D. THESIS

This is to certify that the Ph.D. thesis of

Jeffrey Scott Arnold

has been approved by the Examining Committee for the thesis requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in Chemistry at the May 2014 graduation.

Thesis Committee:

Hien M. Nguyen, Thesis Supervisor

Mark A. Arnold

Gregory K. Friestad

F. Christopher Pigge

Horacio F. Olivo

To my wife Barbara, and my children Maggie and Cal

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the numerous contributions from others. First, I would like to thank my research advisor, Professor Hien Nguyen for his guidance, helpful discussions and support throughout the last six years. In addition, the success of this project was very dependent on the exchange of ideas and support of many, including group members, graduate students and faculty at Montana State University and the University of Iowa. I would especially like to thank Dr. Joe Topczewski and Professor Tom Livinghouse in this regard. I need to recognize Dr. Dale Swenson for Xray crystallographic analysis and Dr. Lynn Teesch and Vic Parcell for mass spectrometry results. Their friendly demeanor, helpful suggestions and prompt response were invaluable to moving this project forward.

This work was not possible without financial support. The move to the University of Iowa and startup funding was critical to accelerated screening and development of this work. Continued assistance was acquired through National Science Foundation (NSF) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant funding. Thank you to the University of Iowa for a summer graduate research fellowship.

Lastly and most importantly, I thank my loving wife Barb and my two wonderful children who have supported my dream to earn my doctorate. Barb selflessly put her career as a school administrator on hold and works very hard to support the family financially and in day-to-day activities. Maggie and Cal have had to endure the stress of leaving friends and family during two moves and have not had the time with their father that they deserve. I thank them for their sacrifices and look forward to spending more time with them.

### ABSTRACT

Chiral quaternary centers possessing a bond to nitrogen are an important class of amine compounds, however, methods for their enantioselective preparation remain sparse. The focus of my graduate research described herein has been the development of a novel rhodium-catalyzed regio- and enantioselective allylic aryl amination of tertiary trichloroacetimidates for the synthesis of amine-bearing quaternary centers (also termed  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted amines). Prior to our work, allylic carbonates and acetates had been successfully utilized in transition-metal catalyzed substitution reactions with anilines for the asymmetric synthesis of tertiary centers. In contrast, these electrophiles have not proven useful in dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformations (DYKAT) that yield enantioenriched amine products, and no reports describing the asymmetric preparation of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic aryl amines via allylic substitution are noted.

Many of the ideas for development of our rhodium-catalyzed amination method were based upon the findings of Overman where linear allylic trichloroacetimidates are utilized in [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements and substitution reactions by oxygen nucleophiles under palladium (II) catalysis. Our method diverges from this previous work by application of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates where the olefin component is mono-substituted, and the use of a transition-metal complex capable of facile oxidative addition to an intermediate organometallic complex. We hypothesized that bidentate chelation of these substrates at the imidate nitrogen and the relatively unimpeded olefin by a rhodium (I) complex would lead to rapid ionization to an activated complex and competent electrophile for substitution by neutral aniline nucleophiles. This premise was supported by many control studies and resulted in the development of a highly regioselective amination of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates for the operationally simple synthesis of  $\alpha$ -substituted and  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted allylic aryl amines. Work followed utilizing chiral diene ligands that rendered the reaction enantioselective for preparation of enantioenriched tertiary and quaternary amine-containing centers. A highlight of these studies is the first example of DYKAT using a tertiary electrophile and an aryl amine nucleophile. The reaction is of broad substrate scope, is tolerant of varied functionality and substitution patterns on the nucleophilic partner, and solves regioselectivity issues often encountered with some substrate and aniline classes. I end by showing the synthetic utility of our rhodium-catalyzed reaction by applying this method to the synthesis of enantioenriched amino acids and construction of 7-membered nitrogen-containing heterocycles by a 2-step DYKAT amination and olefin hydroacylation sequence.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF SCHEMES	xxvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxix
CHAPTER	
I. THE SYNTHETIC UTILITY OF ALLYLIC	
TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2 Synthesis and Properties of Trichloroacetimidates	ייייי ר
1.2 Synthesis and Properties of Themoloacetimidates	2
1 3 1 The Overman Rearrangement	8
1.3.2 [3.3]-Rearrangements of Propargyl Trichloroacetimidates	18
1.3.3 [1,3]-Rearrangement of Allylic Trichloroacetimidates	19
1.3.4 Oxazolines Via Intramolecular Addition	20
1.3.5 Allylic Substitution Reactions with Oxygen Nucleophiles	21
1.4 Conclusion	36
II. RHODIUM (I)-CATALYZED REGIOSELECTIVE AMINATION OF	27
INCHEOROACE IIMIDATES	
2 1 Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates: Rhodium-Catalyzed	
Preparation of $\alpha$ -substituted Amines.	37
2.1.1 Background	37
2.1.2 Results and Discussion	46
2.1.3 Conclusion	56
2.2 Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates: Rhodium-Catalyzed	
Preparation of $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted Amines	57
2.2.1 Background	57
2.2.2 Results and Discussion	59
2.2.3 Conclusion	6/
III. DYNAMIC KINETIC ASYMMETRIC TRANSFORMATIONS OF	
RACEMIC TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES	69
3.1 The Regio- and Enantioselective Preparation of $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted	
Allylic Aryl Amines	69
3.1.1 Background	69
3.1.2 Results and Discussion	76
3.1.3 Conclusion	85
3.2 The Regio- and Enantioselective Preparation of $\alpha$ -substituted	
Allylic Aryl Amines	07
3.2.1 Dackground 3.2.2 Results and Discussion	00
3.2.2 Results and Discussion	07
	)/

IV. SEQUENTIAL ASYMMETRIC AMINATION AND OLEFIN	100
ΠIDROACTLATION	100
4.1 Background.	100
4.2 Results and Discussion	108
4.5 Conclusion	110
V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	118
VI. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION	124
5.1 Methods, Reagents and Instrumentation	124
5.2 General Procedure for Preparation of Secondary	125
5.3 General Procedure for Amination of Secondary	130
Trichloroacetimidates with 1 mol% [RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> / $P(OPh)_2$	150
5.4 General Procedure for Amination of Secondary	148
Trichloroacetimidates with 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)2]2/ P(OPh)3	140
5.5 General Procedure for Preparation of Tertiary Allylic 159 and	195
Trichloroacetimidates	170
5.6 General Procedure for the Regioselective Amination of Tertiary	162
Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with [RhCl(norboradiene)] <sub>2</sub>	-
5.7 General Procedure for Preparation of Reverse Prenylated Indoles	187
5.8 Procedure for the Preparation of	189
(1S,4S)-2,5-Diarylbicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-dienes	
5.9 General Procedure for the Preparation of Mono-protected	193
Allylic Diols	
5.10 General Procedure for Regio- and Enantioselective Amination	199
of Racemic Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with	
[RhCl(ethylene)] <sub>2</sub> / L7	
5.11 General Procedure for Regio- and Enantioselective Amination	216
of Racemic Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with	
[RhCl(ethylene)] <sub>2</sub> /Ll	•••
5.12 General Procedure for Preparation of <i>N</i> -methyl	230
Homophenylalanine Derivatives	226
5.13 Additional DYKAT Amination Products of Secondary	236
Kacemic Inchloroacetimidates	245
5.14 Preparation of Anylic Include during a provide S77	243
5.15 Ocheral Flocedure for Asymmetric Ammation of Dianched	240
5 16 General Procedure for Intramolecular Hydroacylation of Allylic	258
Aryamines Containing ortho-Aldehyde Functionality	238
5 17 Hydroacylations for 6-membered rings performed with	260
5 mol% [Rh(COD) <sub>2</sub> ]OTf	207
APPENDIX A NMR SPECTRAL DATA	271
	- / 1
APPENDIX B: X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC DATA FOR TFA-SALT 152	673
BIBLIOGRAPHY	789

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Imidate Resonance Forms, Bond Orders and Lengths	4
Table 1.2 Asymmetric Esterification of (Z)-Acetimidates	24
Table 1.3 Enantioselective Aryl Etherification of (Z)-Allylic Trichloroacetimidates	31
Table 1.4 Enantioselective Aryl Etherification of ( <i>E</i> )-Allylic Trichloroacetimidates	33
Table 1.5 Intramolecular Enantioselective Etherification of (E)-Allylic Imidates	34
Table 2.1 Hartwig's Regio- and Enantioselective Amination of Allylic Carbonates	43
Table 2.2 Evan's Regio- and Stereospecific Amination of Branched Carbonates	44
Table 2.3 Optimization for Regioselective Amination	47
Table 2.4 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Trichloroacetimidates with $183a - f$	49
Table 2.5 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of $\beta$ -substituted Imidates <b>228</b> – <b>230</b>	50
Table 2.6 Amination Reaction Optimization of α-Branching Imidate 231	51
Table 2.7 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of $\alpha$ -substituted Imidates <b>231</b> – <b>233</b>	52
Table 2.8 Lewis Acid and Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Imidates	54
Table 2.9 Optimization Studies of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 253	60
Table 2.10 Survey of Various Anilines with Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 253	61
Table 2.11 Survey of Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates	63
Table 3.1 DYKAT Optimization of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 255	77
Table 3.2 <sup>13</sup> C Data of Rhodium-Ligated Diene L4, L5 and L7	80
Table 3.3 Survey of Aniline Nucleophiles in DYKAT	81
Table 3.4 Substrate Scope of DYKAT Amination	83
Table 3.5 Optimization of DYKAT of 229 with N-methylamine 183f	89
Table 3.6 Survey of N-methylamine Nucleophiles in DYKAT Amination	90
Table 3.7 Survey of Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with N-methylamines	92
Table 3.8 Survey of Anilines and Secondary Trichloroacetimidates in DYKAT	95
Table 4.1 Optimization of DYKAT with Aniline 183y	109

Table 4.2 Hydroacylation Optimization of Amine 227y	111
Table 4.3 Scope of sequential DYKAT Amination and Hydroacylation	113
Table B1 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 152	674
Table B2 Atomic coordinates ( $x \ 10^4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A <sup>2</sup> x 10 <sup>3</sup> ) for <b>152</b>	675
Table B3 Selected bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for 152	678
Table B4 Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for 152	683
Table B5 Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $A^2 \times 10^3$ ) for <b>152</b>	694
Table B6 Hydrogen coordinates ( $x \ 10^4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters (A <sup>2</sup> x 10 <sup>3</sup> ) for <b>152</b>	697
Table B7 Torsion angles [deg] for 152	698
Table B8 Hydrogen bonds for <b>152</b> [A and deg.]	701
Table B9 Observed and calculated structure factors for <b>152</b>	706

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 pKa of Common Leaving Groups in Allylic Substitution Reactions	5
Figure 1.2 pKas of Protonated Carbonyls, Trichloroacetamides and Imidates	7
Figure 1.3 Palladium (II) Complexes for Asymmetric Overman Rearrangement	11
Figure 1.4 Cyclic Intermediates in COP-catalyzed Rearrangement	14
Figure 2.1 Potential Use of Branched Imidates in TM-catalyzed Substitution	40
Figure 3.1 Natural and Synthetic Compounds Containing $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted Amines	69
Figure 3.2 Modification of Ligand Substituents	78
Figure 3.3 ORTEP Diagram of TFA salt <b>152</b> Dimer	85
Figure 4.1 Minimizing Decarbonylation in Hydroacylation Reactions	103
Figure A1 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223	272
Figure A2 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223	273
Figure A3 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 226	274
Figure A4 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226</b>	275
Figure A5 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227	276
Figure A6 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227	277
Figure A7 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 228	278
Figure A8 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228</b>	279
Figure A9 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229	280
Figure A10 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229</b>	281
Figure A11 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230	282
Figure A12 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230	283
Figure A13 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231	284
Figure A14 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231</b>	285
Figure A15 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232	286
Figure A16 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232	287

Figure A17 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233	
Figure A18 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233	
Figure A19 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 224	
Figure A20 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>224</b>	
Figure A21 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 225	
Figure A22 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>225</b>	
Figure A23 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223b	
Figure A24 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223b</b>	
Figure A25 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223c</b>	
Figure A26 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223c</b>	
Figure A27 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223d	
Figure A28 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223d</b>	
Figure A29 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223e	
Figure A30 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223e</b>	
Figure A31 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223f</b>	
Figure A32 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223f</b>	
Figure A33 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 226a	
Figure A34 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226a</b>	
Figure A35 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226b</b>	
Figure A36 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226b</b>	
Figure A37 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226c</b>	
Figure A38 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226c</b>	
Figure A39 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 226d	
Figure A40 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226d</b>	
Figure A41 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 226e	
Figure A42 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226e</b>	
Figure A43 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226f</b>	

Figure A44 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>226f</b>	
Figure A45 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227a	
Figure A46 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227a	
Figure A47 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227b	
Figure A48 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>227b</b>	
Figure A49 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227c	
Figure A50 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>227c</b>	
Figure A51 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227d	
Figure A52 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>227d</b>	
Figure A53 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227e	
Figure A54 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227e	
Figure A55 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>227f</b>	
Figure A56 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>227f</b>	
Figure A57 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 228a	
Figure A58 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228a</b>	
Figure A59 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228b</b>	
Figure A60 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228b</b>	
Figure A61 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228c</b>	
Figure A62 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228c</b>	
Figure A63 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 228d	
Figure A64 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228d</b>	
Figure A65 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228e</b>	
Figure A66 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228e</b>	
Figure A67 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228f</b>	
Figure A68 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>228f</b>	
Figure A69 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229a</b>	
Figure A70 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229a</b>	

Figure A71 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229b	
Figure A72 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229b</b>	
Figure A73 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229c	
Figure A74 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229c</b>	
Figure A75 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229d	
Figure A76 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229d</b>	
Figure A77 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229e	
Figure A78 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229e	
Figure A79 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229f	
Figure A80 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229f</b>	
Figure A81 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230a	
Figure A82 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230a	
Figure A83 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230b	
Figure A84 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>230b</b>	
Figure A85 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230c	
Figure A86 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230c	
Figure A87 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230d	
Figure A88 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230d	
Figure A89 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230e	
Figure A90 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230e	
Figure A91 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230f	
Figure A92 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230f	
Figure A93 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231a	
Figure A94 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231a	
Figure A95 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231b	
Figure A96 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231b</b>	
Figure A97 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231c	

Figure A98 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231c</b>	
Figure A99 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231d	
Figure A100 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231d</b>	
Figure A101 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231e	
Figure A102 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231e</b>	
Figure A103 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232a	
Figure A104 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232a	
Figure A105 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232b	
Figure A106 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>232b</b>	
Figure A107 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232c	
Figure A108 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232c	
Figure A109 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232d	
Figure A110 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232d	
Figure A111 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233a	
Figure A112 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233a	
Figure A113 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233b	
Figure A114 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233b	
Figure A115 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233c	
Figure A116 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233c	
Figure A117 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 242	
Figure A118 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 242	
Figure A119 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 253	
Figure A120 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 253	
Figure A121 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 254	
Figure A122 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>254</b>	
Figure A123 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255	
Figure A124 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255	

Figure A125 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256</b>	
Figure A126 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256</b>	
Figure A127 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257	
Figure A128 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257	
Figure A129 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 175	
Figure A130 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 175	
Figure A131 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253b</b>	
Figure A132 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253b</b>	
Figure A133 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253c</b>	
Figure A134 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253c</b>	
Figure A135 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253d</b>	
Figure A136 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253d</b>	
Figure A137 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253f</b>	
Figure A138 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253f</b>	
Figure A139 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253g</b>	
Figure A140 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253g</b>	
Figure A141 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253h</b>	
Figure A142 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253h</b>	
Figure A143 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253i</b>	
Figure A144 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253i</b>	
Figure A145 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253j</b>	
Figure A146 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 253j	
Figure A147 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 253k	
Figure A148 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253k</b>	
Figure A149 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>2531</b>	
Figure A150 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>2531</b>	
Figure A151 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>253m</b>	

Figure A153 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253n** ......424 Figure A154 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 253n......425 Figure A155 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 2530......426 Figure A156 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 2530......427 Figure A157 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254a......428 Figure A159 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254b ......430 Figure A160 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254b......431 Figure A163 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254d ......434 Figure A165 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254f ......436 Figure A166 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254f ......437 Figure A167 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254h ......438 Figure A168 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254h......439 Figure A173 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254m ......444 Figure A174 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 254m ......445 Figure A175 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 2540......446 Figure A176 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 2540......447 Figure A177 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 255a......448 

Figure A179 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255b</b>	
Figure A180 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255b	
Figure A181 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255c</b>	
Figure A182 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255c	
Figure A183 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255d	
Figure A184 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255d</b>	
Figure A185 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255h	
Figure A186 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255h</b>	
Figure A187 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255j	
Figure A188 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255j	
Figure A189 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255k	
Figure A190 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255k	
Figure A191 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255m</b>	
Figure A192 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255m</b>	
Figure A193 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>2550</b>	
Figure A194 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>2550</b>	
Figure A195 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256a</b>	
Figure A196 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 256a	
Figure A197 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256b</b>	
Figure A198 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256b</b>	
Figure A199 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>256c</b>	
Figure A200 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 256c	
Figure A201 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257a	
Figure A202 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257a	
Figure A203 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257b	
Figure A204 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>257b</b>	
Figure A205 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>257c</b>	

Figure A206 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>257c</b>	477
Figure A207 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257h	478
Figure A208 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>257h</b>	479
Figure A209 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257j	
Figure A210 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257j	481
Figure A211 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 257k	
Figure A212 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>257k</b>	
Figure A213 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>258</b>	484
Figure A214 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>258</b>	
Figure A215 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>259</b>	
Figure A216 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>259</b>	487
Figure A217 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>260</b>	
Figure A218 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>260</b>	
Figure A219 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L7	
Figure A220 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L7	491
Figure A221 376 MHz 19F (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L7	
Figure A222 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L5	
Figure A223 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L5	494
Figure A224 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L6	
Figure A225 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L6	
Figure A226 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L8	497
Figure A227 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L8	498
Figure A228 376 MHz 19F (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L8	499
Figure A229 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L9	
Figure A230 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L9	
Figure A231 376 MHz 19F (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound L9	
Figure A232 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>299</b>	

Figure A233 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>299</b>	
Figure A234 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>300</b>	
Figure A235 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>300</b>	506
Figure A236 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>301</b>	507
Figure A237 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>301</b>	
Figure A238 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>302</b>	509
Figure A239 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>302</b>	510
Figure A240 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>303</b>	511
Figure A241 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>303</b>	512
Figure A242 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>304</b>	513
Figure A243 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>304</b>	514
Figure A244 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>305</b>	515
Figure A245 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>305</b>	516
Figure A246 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255i	517
Figure A247 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255i	518
Figure A248 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 2551	519
Figure A249 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 2551	
Figure A250 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255p	
Figure A251 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>255p</b>	
Figure A252 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255q	
Figure A253 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 255q	
Figure A254 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>299b</b>	
Figure A255 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>299b</b>	
Figure A256 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>300b</b>	
Figure A257 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>300b</b>	
Figure A258 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>301b</b>	
Figure A259 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>301b</b>	530

Figure A260 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>302b</b>	531
Figure A261 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>302b</b>	532
Figure A262 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>303b</b>	533
Figure A263 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>303b</b>	534
Figure A264 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>304b</b>	535
Figure A265 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>304b</b>	536
Figure A266 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>305d</b>	537
Figure A267 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>305d</b>	538
Figure A268 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>308</b>	539
Figure A269 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>308</b>	540
Figure A270 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>309</b>	541
Figure A271 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310</b>	542
Figure A272 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310</b>	543
Figure A273 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311</b>	544
Figure A274 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311</b>	545
Figure A275 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>312</b>	546
Figure A276 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>312</b>	547
Figure A277 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>313</b>	548
Figure A278 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>313</b>	549
Figure A279 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229r	550
Figure A280 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229r	551
Figure A281 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229s	552
Figure A282 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229s	553
Figure A283 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229t	554
Figure A284 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229t	555
Figure A285 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 229u	556
Figure A286 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229u</b>	557

Figure A287 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229v</b>	558
Figure A288 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229v</b>	559
Figure A289 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229w</b>	
Figure A290 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229w</b>	561
Figure A291 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229x</b>	
Figure A292 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229x</b>	
Figure A293 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>308r</b>	564
Figure A294 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>308r</b>	
Figure A295 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>309r</b>	566
Figure A296 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>309r</b>	
Figure A297 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310t</b>	
Figure A298 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310t</b>	
Figure A299 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 223t	
Figure A300 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223t</b>	571
Figure A301 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311q</b>	
Figure A302 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311q</b>	
Figure A303 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>312q</b>	574
Figure A304 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>312q</b>	
Figure A305 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 231q	576
Figure A306 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231q</b>	577
Figure A307 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 232q	578
Figure A308 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>232q</b>	579
Figure A309 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 233q	
Figure A310 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>233q</b>	
Figure A311 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>313q</b>	
Figure A312 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>313q</b>	
Figure A313 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 227q	

Figure A314 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227q......585 Figure A316 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314**......587 Figure A317 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 314-OAc ......588 Figure A321 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **315**......592 Figure A322 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **315**......593 Figure A324 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **316**......595 Figure A325 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **317**......596 Figure A326 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **317**......597 Figure A327 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227r.....598 Figure A328 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227r ......599 Figure A329 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 229q .....600 Figure A330 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229q**.....601 Figure A331 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 229y.....602 Figure A332 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 229y.....603 Figure A333 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227y.....604 Figure A334 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227y.....605 Figure A335 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 231y.....606 Figure A336 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 231y.....607 Figure A337 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 377.....608 Figure A338 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 377.....609 Figure A339 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227z .....610 Figure A340 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 227z ......611

Figure A341 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310z</b>	612
Figure A342 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310z</b>	613
Figure A343 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223z</b>	614
Figure A344 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>223z</b>	615
Figure A345 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229z</b>	616
Figure A346 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229z</b>	617
Figure A347 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>375z</b>	618
Figure A348 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>375z</b>	619
Figure A349 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230z	
Figure A350 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound 230z	
Figure A351 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311z</b>	
Figure A352 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>311z</b>	
Figure A353 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231z</b>	
Figure A354 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>231z</b>	
Figure A355 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>230za</b>	626
Figure A356 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>230za</b>	
Figure A357 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>376za</b>	
Figure A358 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>376za</b>	
Figure A359 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310zb</b>	
Figure A360 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>310zb</b>	
Figure A361 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229zc</b>	
Figure A362 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>229zc</b>	
Figure A363 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>377za</b>	634
Figure A364 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>377za</b>	
Figure A365 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>390</b>	
Figure A366 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>390</b>	
Figure A367 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>371</b>	638

Figure A368 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **371**.....639 Figure A369 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **372**......640 Figure A370 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **372**......641 Figure A371 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 378......642 Figure A372 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 378......643 Figure A373 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **379**......644 Figure A374 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **379**......645 Figure A375 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **380**......646 Figure A376 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **380**......647 Figure A377 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 381.....648 Figure A378 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 381.....649 Figure A379 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **382**......650 Figure A380 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **382**......651 Figure A381 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **383**......652 Figure A382 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 383.....653 Figure A383 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 384......654 Figure A384 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **384**......655 Figure A386 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 385......657 Figure A387 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **386**......658 Figure A389 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **387**......660 Figure A390 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **387**......661 Figure A393 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 389......664 

Figure A395 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>391</b>	666
Figure A396 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>391</b>	667
Figure A397 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>373</b>	668
Figure A398 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>373</b>	669
Figure A399 1H COSY NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>373</b>	670
Figure A400 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>374</b>	671
Figure A401 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Spectra of Compound <b>374</b>	672
Figure B1 Monomer A 152 Structure	702
Figure B2 Monomer B 152 Structure	703
Figure B3 TFA-Salt Structures	704
Figure B4 TFA-Salt Bridged Structural Dimer of 152	705

# LIST OF SCHEMES

Scheme 1.1 Utilization of Trichloroacetimidates in Chemical Synthesis	1
Scheme 1.2 Pinner Imidate Salt Preparation and Competing Side Reactions	2
Scheme 1.3 Cramer Base-catalyzed Preparation Trichloroacetimidates	3
Scheme 1.4 Imidic Acid-Amide Tautomerization	6
Scheme 1.5 Heats of Methylation and Enthalpy Determination	6
Scheme 1.6 [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement Through Chair-like Transition States	8
Scheme 1.7 Transfer of Chirality in Thermal [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangements	10
Scheme 1.8 Mercury (II) Catalyzed [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement	11
Scheme 1.9 COP-Cl Asymmetric Rearrangement of Trichloroacetimidates	13
Scheme 1.10 β-amino Acid Synthesis Utilizing Overman Rearrangement	15
Scheme 1.11 Chida Synthesis of Anti-influenza agent A-315675	16
Scheme 1.12 Synthesis of broussonetine F	16
Scheme 1.13 Sutherland One-pot Three-step Synthesis of Bicyclic γ-lactams	17
Scheme 1.14 Ether-directed Diastereoselective [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement	17
Scheme 1.15 <i>N</i> -Acylamido 1,3-Diene Preparation by [3,3]-Rearrangement	18
Scheme 1.16 Propargylic Rearrangement Products in Diels-Alder Cycloadditions	19
Scheme 1.17 Cinchona-catalyzed [1,3]-Rearrangement	19
Scheme 1.18 1,2-cis oxazoline Formation by Conjugate Addition	20
Scheme 1.19 Palladium-catalyzed Synthesis of ( <i>E</i> )-4-vinyloxazolines <b>49</b>	21
Scheme 1.20 Galactoside Synthesis by Ferrier Rearrangement	22
Scheme 1.21 Discovery of Asymmetric Allylic Esterification	22
Scheme 1.22 Catalytic Cycle for Pd (II)-Mediated Allylic Esterification	26
Scheme 1.23 Stereochemical Course of Antarafacial S <sub>N</sub> 2' Displacement	27
Scheme 1.24 Kirsch Synthesis of (+)-chloriolide Via Asymmetric Esterification	29
Scheme 1.25 Iterative Approach to Stereoselective Synthesis of 1,3-Polyols	29

Scheme 1.26 Synthesis of Polyketide-class of Natural Products	30
Scheme 1.27 [COP-NHCOCCl <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> Catalyst for Etherfication	32
Scheme 1.28 Synthesis of 2-Vinyl-1,4-benzodioxanes and 2-Vinyl-1,4-Benzoxazine	s35
Scheme 2.1 Pd(II) Reaction By-products of Branched and Hindered Imidates	39
Scheme 2.2 Pd°-catalyzed Aryl Aminations of Allylic Electrophiles	41
Scheme 2.3 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination Via Enyl Intermediates	45
Scheme 2.4 Proposed Strategy for Regioselective Amination	46
Scheme 2.5 Studies with Allylic Carbonates and Acetates	55
Scheme 2.6 Enantiospecific Study with Enantioenriched (S)-239	56
Scheme 2.7 Proposed Mechanism for Racemization of (S)-239	56
Scheme 2.8 TM-Catalyzed Synthesis of α,α-disubstituted Arylamines	58
Scheme 2.9 Proposed Regioselective Amination of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidates	59
Scheme 2.10 Preparation of Isoprenylated Indoles	65
Scheme 2.11 Control Studies with Tertiary Electrophiles	66
Scheme 3.1 Enantioselective Synthesis of α,α-Disubstituted Amines	70
Scheme 3.2 Proposed Mechanism for Racemization of 273	72
Scheme 3.3 Strategy for DYKAT of Tertiary Allylic Imidates	73
Scheme 3.4 Equilibration of $\pi$ -Allyl Rhodium Complexes	74
Scheme 3.5 Resolution of Racemic Electrophiles in Allylic Aminations	75
Scheme 3.6 Preparation of TFA salt <b>306</b> for X-ray Analysis	84
Scheme 3.7 DYKAT Amination of <i>N</i> -Methyl Anilines	87
Scheme 3.8 <i>N</i> -methyl Homophenylalanine Derivatives via DYKAT	93
Scheme 3.9 Steric and Electronic Control of Regioselectivity	97
Scheme 4.1 DYKAT Amination for <i>N</i> -Methyl Manzacidin C Synthesis	101
Scheme 4.2 Mechanism of Hydroacylation Reaction of Olefins	102
Scheme 4.3 Intramolecular Hydroacylation for Medium-sized Ring Enones	104
Scheme 4.4 Chelating Strategies in Intramolecular Hydroacylation	105

Scheme 4.5 Nitrogen-directed Rhodium-Catalyzed Hydroacylation	.107
Scheme 4.6 Strategy for Sequential DYKAT Amination and Hydroacylation	.108
Scheme 4.7 Six-Membered Ring Formation with [Rh(COD) <sub>2</sub> ]OTf Catalyst	.112
Scheme 4.8 Sequential DYKAT and Hydroacylation with Tertiary Imidate 255	.116
Scheme 5.1 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Vinyl Acetates and Applications	.122

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

acac	acetylacetone
BF <sub>3</sub>	boron trifluoride
Bn	benzyl
Boc	<i>tert</i> -butyloxycarbonyl
calcd	calculated
CDCl <sub>3</sub>	deuterated chloroform
$CH_2Cl_2$	dichloromethane
СНО	aldehyde
CIR	cyclization-induced rearrangment
COD	cyclooctadiene
СОР	cobalt oxazoline palladacycle
COSY	2-D <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H NMR coupling experiment
CPME	cyclopropylmethyl ether
DABCO	1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane
DBU	1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene
DDQ	2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
DFT	density functional theory
DIBAL	diisobutylaluminum hydride
DMF	dimethylformamide
dppb	1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane
dppe	1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane
dppp	1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane
dr	diastereomeric ratio
DYKAT	dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation
ee	enantiomeric excess

equiv	equivalents
ESI	electrospray ionization
Et <sub>2</sub> O	ethyl ether
EtOAc	ethyl acetate
EtOH	ethanol
GC	gas chromatography
Hex	hexanes
Hg	mercury
HMDS	hexamethyldisilazide
HPLC	high pressure liquid chromatography
Ir	iridium
<i>i</i> pr	isopropyl
Mbs	methoxybenzylsulfonyl
Me	methyl
MeCN	acetonitrile
MOM	methoxymethyl
MTBE	methyl - <i>tert</i> -butyl ether
NBD	norbornadiene
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
Pd	palladium
Ph	phenyl
PMB	para-methoxybenzyl
PMP	para-methoxyphenyl
RCM	ring-closing Metathesis
Rh	rhodium
TBS	tert-butyldimethylsilyl
TBDPS	tert-butyldiphenylsilyl

TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
THF	tetrahydrofuran
TM	transition-metal
TMS	trimethylsilyl
Tf	trifluoromethyl sulfonyl

### CHAPTER I THE SYNTHETIC UTILITY OF ALLYLIC TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES

### 1.1 Introduction

The efficient and selective incorporation of heteroatoms into the carbon framework of synthetic targets continues to be of paramount importance in chemical research. The persistent efforts by synthetic chemists towards difficult problems often provides new mechanistic understanding that expands our capabilities and inspires new approaches to bond construction. Reliance on the findings of the scientific community helps drive our endeavors, with intense areas of investigation often stemming from a few novel and pioneering works. One example and the central premise of this thesis is the introduction and utility of trichloroacetimidates in synthesis. Many laboratories have utilized this functional group in carbon-heteroatom bond-forming methods, the majority of which are built upon Overman's highly selective [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of trichloroacetimidates for the preparation of allylic amines (Scheme 1.1a).<sup>1,2</sup> Schmidt



Schmidt Glycosylation of Trichloroacetimidate Donors

Scheme 1.1 Utilization of Trichloroacetimidates in Chemical Synthesis

followed by popularizing this functionality as an effective and highly-utilized anomeric leaving group in glycosylation methodologies (Scheme 1.1b).<sup>3</sup> Although the contributions

of Schmidt are of great importance and have had a major impact in the field of carbohydrate synthesis, this chapter will focus on transformations of trichloroacetimidates in unsaturated environments. It is these developments, and lessons learned therein that inspired our development of an amination reaction that uses branched allylic trichloroacetimidates as competent electrophiles in a transition-metal catalyzed substitution reaction.

### 1.2 Synthesis and Properties of Trichloroacetimidates

A hallmark of reactions that are commonly utilized to effect a given transformation is the ease with which the starting materials can be prepared. Imidates, referred to as imino ethers or imidic acid esters, were first synthesized by the acid catalyzed condensation of a nitrile with a primary or secondary alcohol or phenol (Scheme 1.2).<sup>4, 5</sup> This method, known as the Pinner reaction or synthesis was reported by Adolf Pinner in 1877.<sup>6</sup> In the reaction, alkyl or aryl nitriles and alcohols are combined in dichloromethane, chloroform or benzene in the presence of HCl. The resulting imidate products are called Pinner salts.



Scheme 1.2 Pinner Imidate Salt Preparation and Competing Side Reactions

The yields of imidate by the Pinner method is often affected by competing side reactions (Scheme 1.2).<sup>4, 5</sup> Anhydrous reaction conditions are important as the resulting hydrochloride salts are prone to hydrolysis to resultant esters. Care must be taken to ensure moisture-free storage conditions. The salts can be converted to the free base in an additional step to increase stability and enhance shelf-life. The yields of the desired

product may be lowered due to efforts employed to increase reaction progress. For example, in the presence of excess alcohol reagent and extended reaction times, competing *ortho*-ester formation can occur, and at elevated temperatures, the imidate salts decompose to the more stable amides due to attack on the alkyl ether by choride ion (Scheme 1.2). These by-products are kept to a minimum by using stoichiometric amounts of the alcohol and running the reaction at 0 - 5°C. A major limitation of this method is that it fails to produce acceptable yields of the Pinner salt when strong electron-withdrawing nitriles are used, including trichloroacetonitrile for preparation of trichloroacetimidates.<sup>4, 5</sup> In these reactions, amide products result as described previously (Scheme 1.2) or by Ritter reaction.<sup>2</sup>

The aforementioned limitations and the search for milder procedures for preparation of imidates led to the development of base-catalyzed methods. The first general synthesis of this type was described by Cramer using trichloroacetonitrile and alkoxide nucleophiles (Scheme 1.3).<sup>7</sup> The reaction was later expanded to a range of



Scheme 1.3 Cramer Base-catalyzed Preparation Trichloroacetimidates

electron-deficient nitriles and alcohols by Schaefer and Peters in the presence of catalytic sodium methoxide.<sup>8</sup> Primary, secondary and some tertiary alcohols are now commonly derivatized to trichloroacetimidates in dichloromethane at 0°C in the presence of excess trichloroacetonitrile and catalytic DBU.<sup>9</sup> Hindered secondary and tertiary substrates often require more forcing conditions using substoichiometric sodium or potassium hydride in ethereal solvents.<sup>10, 11</sup> Many of the product trichloroacetimidates are liquids that can be
distilled, but care must be taken to minimize [3,3]-rearrangement at elevated temperatures in unsaturated systems.<sup>1, 10</sup> Alternatively, base-catalyzed products that are sufficiently stable have been purified by normal-phase chromatography. Primary and secondary allylic trichloroacetimidates are generally stable to silica gel while tertiary and some secondary imidates may require addition of an amine base to the eluent to prevent acid-catalyzed ionization.<sup>12, 13</sup> Overall, basic reaction conditions provide excellent yields of free trichloroacetimidates that that are less-prone to hydrolysis compared with the salt-forms. The acidic and basic methods for preparation of imidates complement each other as electron-poor nitriles are good substrates for synthesis under basic conditions and electronrich nitriles are more amenable to the Pinner reaction.<sup>4</sup>

Trichloroacetimidates possess chemical and structural properties that have been utilized to provide varied and novel chemistries. The 1,3-arrangement of nitrogen and oxygen is a unique feature of imidates that imparts spatial and electronic requirements to function as a leaving group and to rearrange in transposition methods. In these transformations, a planar arrangement along the O-C-N backbone would allow effective

			_	$\pi$ -Bond Order	Bond Length, Å
R R ∑, ⊂ C ∵, R ←			Imidates A/B	C-N 0.828 C-O 0.437	C-N 1.282 C-O 1.304
N 0. A	- <u>N</u> <u>U</u> ⊕ B	Amides	C-N 0.463 C-O 0.827	C-N 1.369 C-O 1.219	

Table 1.1 Imidate Resonance Forms, Bond Orders and Lengths

Source: G. Häfelinger, 1975, The Chemistry of Imidates, New York, John Wiley & Sons, Table 9, pg. 27.

overlap of p-orbitals for product formation. Indeed, two resonance forms of imidates are possible (Table 1.1, A and B) that delocalizes double bond character over the triad. HMO calculations for imidates place  $\pi$ -bonds primarily between carbon and nitrogen with partial  $sp^2$  character between carbon and oxygen (Table 1.1).<sup>4</sup> In addition, calculated bond lengths

between carbon and nitrogen (1.282Å) and carbon and oxygen (1.304Å) are shorter than typical *sp*<sup>3</sup> bonding arrangements (C-N, 1.465Å and C-O, 1.416Å),<sup>14</sup> supporting p-orbital overlap over three centers. The calculated data for typical amides have been included (Table 1.1) and show reversed bonding orders and lengths in comparison to imidates.<sup>4</sup> Imidates display IR frequencies in the range of 1670-1646 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is very similar to unconjugated imines.<sup>4</sup> This supports HMO calculation findings that structure A (Table 1.1) is the predominant resonance contributor, and the more accurately reflects the chemistry of imidates.

Trichloroacetimidates have been utilized as leaving groups in glycosylation<sup>3</sup> and alkylation reactions, particularly in the benzylation and allylation of alcohols.<sup>15</sup> Inspection of pKa values for the conjugate acids of common leaving groups used in allylic substitution methodologies (Figure 1.1) shows that trichloroacetimidate should be a relatively poor leaving group. The inductive effect of the trichloromethyl substituent lowers the pKa of trichloroacetamide to 12.42<sup>16</sup> versus common amides (pKa 15-16), but clearly other factors contribute to the leaving group ability of trichloroacetimidates.



Figure 1.1 pKa of Common Leaving Groups in Allylic Substitution Reactions

Thermodynamic parameters provide the driving force making carbonates and trichloroacetimidates effective leaving groups. The degradation of methyl carbonate anion into one part methoxide ion and one part CO<sub>2</sub> gas results in large increases in entropy (Figure 1.1), and the conversion of an imidate to an amide is exothermic and driven by the enthalpic term. Imidic acids (imidols) and amides have been described as tautomeric pairs

in equilibrium (Scheme 1.4).<sup>4,17</sup> This equilibrium lies almost exclusively on the amide side of the equation although isolated examples including imidol forms in extended conjugation have been observed. Although these protomeric pairs can potentially tautomerize, alkylmeric (imidates) cannot. However, imidates can accept charge as a leaving group with heterolytic C-O bond cleavage and in a related manner, rearrange forming amide products. The Chapman rearrangement involving aryl or alkyl migration from an imidate



Scheme 1.4 Imidic Acid-Amide Tautomerization

oxygen to nitrogen most closely resembles the tautomerization of imidols and amides.<sup>18</sup> The energetics of these amide-imidate transforming reactions have been investigated by Beak (Scheme 1.5).<sup>19,20</sup> By measuring the separate heats of methylation of the amide and



Scheme 1.5 Heats of Methylation and Enthalpy Determination

imidate forms, the difference in enthalpy in the liquid-phase for these transformations was determined to be -17 kcal/mol. Gas phase  $\Delta H_g$  is in agreement at -16 kcal/mol using the the heats of vaporization of these isomeric compounds. Overall, the highly exothermic nature of the transformation from imidate to amide makes trichloroacetimidates good

leaving groups in substitution methods and provides ample driving force when utilized in rearrangement reactions.

Since a variety of alcohols derivatized as trichloroacetimidates are generally stable compounds under common purification and storage conditions, an energetic barrier precludes conversion to amides at ambient temperature. However, facile conversion to amides is accomplished by activation of trichloroacetimidates with a variety of Brønsted and Lewis Acids including TfOH, acidic resins, TMSOTf, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, and LiClO<sub>4</sub>, and by strong chelation to transition-metals as we shall see shortly.<sup>21</sup> These mildly acidic or neutral conditions are advantageous because a given reaction can proceed in the presence of acid-labile functionality. Activation occurs at the imidate nitrogen, the primary site responsible for the unique and varied chemisty of trichloroacetimidates. In comparison to the pKa of -6 to -7 for protonated carbonyls (Figure 1.2), protonated trichloroacetimidates are much less acidic and are readily activated with a pKa around 1.22, 23 In the transformation of trichloroacetimidate substrates, the resultant amide formed by rearrangement or as a free by-product is less basic than the starting imidate with its conjugate acid having a pKa between -4 and -5 (Figure 1.2).<sup>24</sup> This is an important aspect of reactions catalyzed by substoichiometric quantities of metal-catalysts, enabling product release and catalytic turnover.



Figure 1.2 pKas of Protonated Carbonyls, Trichloroacetamides and Imidates

### 1.3 Allylic Trichloroacetimidate Applications in Synthesis

## 1.3.1 The Overman Rearrangement

In order to provide backgound that led to the development of our rhodium-catalyzed amination reaction, I will review a number methods that apply allylic trichloroacetimidates to the construction of carbon-heteroatom bonds, highlighting the basic and nucleophilic nature of the imidate nitrogen. The use of this substrate class for all practical purposes is due to the major contributions of Larry Overman. In 1974, Overman reported the first practical thermal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement involving the 1,3 interchange of the nitrogen and oxygen functionality in allylic trichloroacetimidates (Scheme 1.6).<sup>1</sup> This aza-Claisen, now named the Overman rearrangement, was significant because it provided access to allylic amines from more easily prepared allylic alcohols derivatized as trichloroacetimidates. The first thermal rearrangement of an allylic imidate was described



Scheme 1.6 [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement Through Chair-like Transition-States

by Mumm and Möller in1937.<sup>25</sup> However, early rearrangement methods were of low synthetic utility due to poor yields in the preparation of the starting imidates, and the requirement of temperatures above 200°C for the rearrangements of *N*-phenylimidates.<sup>1, 2, 10</sup> Overman addressed these issues by first showing the utility of the base-catalyzed method

developed by Cramer for efficient preparation of primary, secondary and tertiary trichloroacetimidates in nearly quantitative yields,<sup>7, 26</sup> and subsequently subjecting these substrates to facile rearrangement at lower temperatures.

The thermal rearrangement of allylic trichloroacetimidates 1 is a powerful and operationally simple C-N bond-forming transformation that typically is conducted in refluxing xylenes at ~140°C (Scheme 1.6). The reaction is applicable to primary, secondary and tertiary imidates, with predictable stereochemical outcomes arising from a concerted pericyclic mechanism with chair-like transition states (Scheme 1.6). There is a substantial amount of evidence supporting this suprafacial [3,3]-concerted process.<sup>1, 2, 10, 27</sup> First, intermediates are not detected and the reaction proceeds without generating [1,3]rearrangement products. A stepwise mechanism would generate an anionic imidate and allyl cation pair of intermediates making [1,3]-product formation more favorable due to the prevalence of the more stable secondary cation. Large negative entropies are observed in Overman, Cope, and Claisen rearrangements which is indicative of a highly ordered transition state and consistent with a concerted mechanism. There is however, evidence for charge-separation in the transition state which is observed in an increased rate of reaction by changing the solvent from xylene to more polar nitrobenzene, and by appending electron donating groups (R-group imidate 1, Scheme 1.6) on the imidate-bearing carbon, presumably stabilizing developing positive charge. Additional evidence of a [3,3]concerted process is provided by the observed rigid transfer of chirality from starting imidate to the rearrangement products, and high ratios of (E)-olefin configuration in the amide products. High selectivity for E-olefin products can be attributed to chair-like transition states that place bulky substituents equatorial (Scheme 1.6, 2a versus 2b). This selectivity decreases with increasing numbers of substituents. Scheme 1.7 demonstrates transfer of chirality, and a strategy to prepare both (E)-configured amide enantiomers 6 and 8 from enantiopure (*E*)- and (*Z*)-trichloroacetimidates 5 and 7.



Scheme 1.7 Transfer of Chirality in Thermal [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangements

In addition to the thermal rearrangement, Overman's initial report described the first metal catalyzed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of allylic trichloroacetimidates.<sup>1</sup> Catalytic loadings of mercury (II) salts including Hg(O<sub>2</sub>CCF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Hg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> dramatically increase the rate of the rearrangement in comparison to the thermally induced reaction, allowing efficient transformation to amide products at ambient temperatures. Reports followed showing that soluble palladium (II) complexes are superior catalysts and have become the metal of choice in these rearrangements.<sup>28</sup> The metal-catalyzed reaction is very clean and selective providing high ratios of *trans*-olefins with excellent transfer of chirality. However, the scope of the reaction is limited mainly to primary *trans*-disubstituted olefin substrates, because metal chelation to the basic imidate nitrogen leads to competitive ionization and elimination with less-reactive substrates.

Pd(II) and Hg(II) catalyzed aza-Claisen rearrangements are proposed to operate by a cyclization-induced rearrangement mechanism  $(CIR)^{2, 29}$ . In the mercury-catalyzed reaction (Scheme 1.8), initial mercurinium ion formation activates the olefin towards intramolecular 6-*endo* cyclization between the nucleophilic imidate nitrogen and C-3. The organomercury adduct **10b** can revert back to the starting allylic trichloroacetimidate **9**, or undergo an irreversible deoxymercuration to the allylic amide product **11** which is favored



Scheme 1.8 Mercury (II) Catalyzed [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement

thermodynamically. Likewise in the Pd(II) catalyzed reaction, coordination by palladium activates the olefin to attack by the basic imidate nitrogen forming a comparable cyclic sigma-bound palladium intermediate, which undergoes deoxypalladation to rearrangement product **11**. The CIR mechanism is supported by several experimental results. Again exclusive regioselectivity for [3,3] products is observed in the metal-catalyzed reaction. By-products of allylic cation and imidate formation via ionization and isolable  $\pi$ -allyl complexes are not observed in the Pd(II)-catalyzed reaction, thereby preventing [1,3]-rearrangement products.<sup>27</sup> In addition, the resulting selectivities in metal-catalyzed rearrangements mirror thermal rearrangements. A CIR pathway is also supported based on the effects that substituents on the olefin have on reaction the outcome. Terminal olefins



Figure 1.3 Palladium (II) Complexes for Asymmetric Overman Rearrangement

12

where R = H (Scheme 1.8) favor 5-*exo* attack at C-2 forming the kinetic metalation product at primary position C-3, and the rate of rearrangement decreases when C-2 is substituted, presumably due to difficulty forming a tertiary metal-bound carbon center.

The development an efficient metal-catalyzed transposition of imidate functionality prompted investigations toward an enantioselective variant of the reaction.<sup>30</sup> Work began with the development of cationic Pd(II) complexes containing chiral oxazolines<sup>31</sup> and diamines<sup>32</sup> (Figure 1.3, complex **12**) ligands. These first generation complexes provided the [3,3]rearrangement products of primary *N*-aryl benzimidates in moderate yields and enantioselectivities (Figure 1.3). A major limitation of cationic palladium-catalyzed reactions was competing ionization of the starting imidate, resulting in elimination and [1,3] rearrangement products. As a result, studies targeting softer neutral Pd(II) complexes ensued.<sup>30</sup> Neutral cyclopalladated amine dimers greatly improved reaction efficiency, but the products were obtained with low enantiopurity. Enantioselectivities returned to levels obtained with cationic complexes when neutral ferrocenyl palladacycles (i.e. **13**) possessing increased steric bulk above and below the coordination-plane were employed.<sup>33,34</sup> Oxazoline ferrocenyl palladacycle **14** (Figure 1.3) was most impressive in the rearrangement reaction providing high yields and stereoinduction from starting (*E*)- and (*Z*)- primary *N*-aryl benzimidates.<sup>35,36</sup>

Although an optimized asymmetric aza-Claisen transformation using ferrocenyl palladacycles had been developed, this method was not applicable to trichloroacetimidates which are more useful building-blocks for synthesis of complex targets. For example, acidic treatment of trichloroacetamides provides the amine HCl salt and reduction converts the amide to an acetyl group. In 2003, Overman reported that chiral cobalt oxazoline palladacycles **15** (Scheme 1.9) cleanly and efficiently catalyze the rearrangement of primary (*E*)-trichloroacetimidates in high yield and with excellent selectivities.<sup>37, 38</sup> In contrast to early chiral palladium-catalysts where *N*-aryl benzimidate (*E*)- and (*Z*)-configured olefins were efficiently rearranged in high yields to *R* and *S*-configured

enantiomers, cobalt complexes catalyze the rearrangement of (Z)-trichloroacetimidates at diminished rates in comparison to the *trans*-configured olefin substrates (Scheme 1.9). As we shall see, this is a feature that has been advantageous to the development of new chemistries utilizing allylic trichloroacetimidates.



Scheme 1.9 COP-Cl Asymmetric Rearrangement of Trichloroacetimidates

Overman and coworkers conducted kinetic and computational model studies of the COP-CI-catalyzed rearrangement that supports the cyclization-induced rearrangement (CIR) mechanism that was proposed for Hg(II) and Pd(II) catalysts.<sup>39</sup> Kinetic studies provide evidence for an initial and reversible binding event between the Pd(II) catalyst and the substrate, most likely at the imidate nitrogen rather than the olefin. Under high concentrations of imidate, the relative binding constants for trichloroacetimidates, (*E*)-olefins and (*Z*)-olefins were determined to be 2.2 x  $10^{-4}$  mM<sup>-1</sup>, 5.4 x  $10^{-8}$  mM<sup>-1</sup> and 2.5 x  $10^{-5}$  mM<sup>-1</sup> respectively, more than  $10^4$  greater binding affinity of the imidate nitrogen to palladium than an (*E*)-configured olefin.<sup>39</sup> Since  $\eta^2$ -coordination of the olefin by palladium was presumed critical for activation towards attack by the imidate nitrogen, it was unclear if this nitrogen-bound palladium species is a "non-productive" complex or a "productive" and necessary step for intramolecular transfer of palladium to the olefin. Kinetic simulations were unable to rule out either of these possibilities.

Regardless of the mode of reversible binding between the allvlic trichloroacetimidate substrate and the palladium catalyst, activation of the olefin does not appear to be rate-limiting. DFT calculations show that the CIR mechanism is energetically viable and the formation of a discrete Pd-bound cyclic intermediate is the rate and enantiodetermining step in the catalytic cycle.<sup>39</sup> The difference in the reactivity of *N*-aryl benzimidates versus trichloroacetimidates with cis and trans olefin substrates can be attributed to the rates of nucleophilic attack forming the cyclic intermediates in each case. The more electron-rich N-aryl benzimidates react at a faster rate with ferrocenyl palladacycles on both double-bond isomers forming cyclic intermediates comparable to 16 and 17 (Figure 1.4), perhaps making palladium-olefin coordination rate-determining in these reactions. The COP-catalyzed reaction of electron-deficient trichloroacetimidates however, react a slower rate and realize a significant barrier to formation of cyclic structure 17 that by necessity places a substituent axial when Z-olefin substrates are utilized. Calculations for a model of enantioinduction in the COP-catalyzed reactions support favorable coordination of the allylic imidate *trans* to the oxazoline component of the complex, making the planar chirality of the COP ligand, rather than chirality present in the oxazoline ring a more important factor for enantioselectivity. The cyclopentadienyl and tetraphenylcyclobutadiene components of the COP ligand destabilize unfavorable steric interactions with the bound allylic imidate leading to elevated enantioselectivity that is set with formation of the cyclic palladium-bound intermediate.

**16** Cyclic intermediate from an (*E*)-olefin

**17** Cyclic intermediate from an (*Z*)-olefin

Figure 1.4 Cyclic Intermediates in COP-catalyzed Rearrangement

The Overman rearrangement is a powerful transformation that has been incorporated into the synthesis of many bio-active natural products and medicinal agents containing chiral amine functionality.<sup>40, 41</sup> Due to the ready availability of chiral alcohol starting materials and methods for generation of enantiopure allylic alcohols, the thermally induced rearrangement is most often employed in synthetic strategies. Chiral amino acid synthesis has benefited from the use of Overman rearrangements in synthetic sequences.<sup>42,43</sup> As an example, Walsh developed a strategy to unsaturated  $\beta$ -amino acids (Scheme 1.10), important intermediates for  $\beta$ -lactam and  $\beta$ -peptide synthesis.<sup>44</sup> The method relies on an enantioselective vinylzinc addition to benzaldehydes that was previously described in their laboratory to provide allylic alcohol **19**, which undergoes facile thermal rearrangement to amine **20** with high selectivity. A one-pot deprotection and oxidation furnished  $\beta$ -amino acid **21**.



Scheme 1.10 β-amino Acid Synthesis Utilizing Overman Rearrangement

Chida reported the asymmetric synthesis of anti-influenza agent A-315675 developed by Abbott (Scheme 1.11).<sup>45</sup> The route is interesting in that it incorporates a sequential Overman rearrangement of bis-trichloroacetimidate **22** that was prepared from



Scheme 1.11 Chida Synthesis of Anti-influenza agent A-315675

*D*-tartrate in ten steps. The transformation required (*Z*)-configured olefin **22** for the initial rearrangement and the resulting transfer of stereochemistry. The second rearrangement onto the intermediate (*E*)-configured allylic imidate provided 1,2-*trans* diamine **23** as a single isomer in 63% overall yield. The synthesis of A-315675 was completed in nine additional steps from compound **23**. Chida utilized the similar strategy for the synthesis of (-)-Agelastatin A.<sup>46</sup>

In another report, Chida uses a *syn*-diol prepared from *L*-tartrate and develops conditions for selective preparation of *ortho*-amide **24** (Scheme 1.12).<sup>47</sup> Imidate rearrangement provided amino alcohol **25**, obviating the need for additional protection. The 1,4-arrangement of the nitrogen and alcohol functionality in **25** are utilized towards formation of the pyrrolidine core of broussonetine F.



Scheme 1.12 Synthesis of broussonetine F

Sutherland has reported a number natural product and building block syntheses that utilize aza-Claisen rearrangements in enantiodetermining steps. An asymmetric example

is utilized in the preparation of bicyclic  $\gamma$ -lactams (Scheme 1.13). A one-pot process was developed that relied on a COP-Cl enantioselective rearrangement to set the stereochemistry. Grubbs I catalyzed RCM provided cyclohexene intermediate **28** that underwent subsequent Ru(II)-mediated Kharasch cyclization resulting in lactam **29** in 70% overall yield and with 89% ee.<sup>48</sup> Sutherland prepared hydroxylated 3-aminoazepanes with a similar strategy.<sup>49</sup>



Scheme 1.13 Sutherland One-pot Three-step Synthesis of Bicyclic γ-lactams

A more recent development in palladium (II) catalyzed Overman rearrangements has been the integration of chiral centers bearing heteroatoms capable of directing diastereoselectivity in these transformations (Scheme 1.14).<sup>50</sup> The use of MOM ethers provides the highest diastereoselectivity presumably due to an additional point of chelation.



Scheme 1.14 Ether Directed Diastereoselective [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement

Chelation of the tethered oxygen to the metal center results in directed coordination to the back face of the olefin and chair conformation **31a** possessing the least amount of 1,3-allylic strain. This MOM-ether directed rearrangement has been utilized by Sutherland to prepare *anti*-1,2 amino alcohols for the synthesis of guanidine alkaloid (+)-monanchorin,<sup>51</sup> clavainol A, C and H,<sup>52</sup> and a variety of sphingosines.<sup>53</sup>

# 1.3.2 [3,3]-Rearrangements of Propargyl Trichloroacetimidates

In addition to the vast body of work encompassing the rearrangement of allylic trichloroacetimidates, Overman found that propargylic trichloroacetimidates also undergo thermal [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement.<sup>54, 55</sup> The reaction is run in refluxing xylene for 4 – 12 hours (Scheme 1.15) providing (1*Z*, 3*E*) configured *N*-acylamido dienes in 14- 92% yield.<sup>55</sup> The transformation proceeds with initial formation of allene **34a**, followed by a [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen migration from structure **34b**.<sup>17, 55</sup> (1*Z*, 3*E*)-diene selectivity in **35** appears to arise from a kinetic preference for tautomer **34b** and subsequent geometry for facile hydrogen migration.



Scheme 1.15 N-Acylamido 1,3-Diene Preparation by [3,3]-Rearrangement

The product *N*-Acylamino 1,3-dienes from the thermal rearrangement of propargylic trichloroacetimidates have proven to be useful substrates in Diels-Alder reactions.<sup>17, 54</sup> The required (1*E*, 3*E*)-configured olefins **36**, easily accessed by isomerization of product **35** with TEA in refluxing xylene, and are competent dienophiles



Scheme 1.16 Propargylic Rearrangement Products in Diels-Alder Cycloaddition

in these cycloadditions. Overman and his colleagues applied this strategy to the racemic synthesis of the neurotoxin alkaloids *dl*-pumiliotoxin-C<sup>56</sup> and *dl*-perhydrogephyrotoxin,<sup>57</sup> possessing *cis*-decahydroquinoline structures (Scheme 1.16).

# 1.3.3 [1,3]-Rearrangement of Allylic Trichloroacetimidates

Although thermal and metal-catalyzed [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements are designed to produce minimal [1,3]-products, alternative methodologies have been reported for their exclusive formation. In 2008, Jørgensen and coworkers reported the first organocatalyzed enantioselective [1,3]-rearrangement of allylic trichloroacetimidates utilizing dimeric cinchona alkaloid catalysts (Scheme 1.17).<sup>58</sup> 20 mol% of the organocatalyst [DHQD]<sub>2</sub>PHAL in dioxane provided [1,3]-rearranged allylic trichloroacetimidates in good yields and enantioselectivity. DFT studies of the reaction



Scheme 1.17 Cinchona-catalyzed [1,3]-Rearrangement

support a mechanism involving two  $S_N 2'$  steps, each involving lower energy *syn* addition of the nucleophile.<sup>59</sup> In the first of these steps, addition of cinchona alkaloid is favored by 5.4 kcal/mol versus Overman rearrangement, thus providing a favorable pathway towards chiral ion pair **40**. The lowest energy alignment of ion-pair **40** orients it for a second  $S_N 2'$ displacement by the anionic trichloroacetimidate fragment. The overall transformation was found to be exothermic by 17.4 kcal/mol,<sup>59</sup> in agreement with previously reported values.<sup>20</sup>

## 1.3.4 Oxazolines Via Intramolecular Addition

The prevalence of 1,2-amino alcohols moieties in natural products and drug candidates have prompted studies for selective attack by the imidate nitrogen at the  $\alpha$ -position for preparation of oxazolines. Early examples provided 1,2-*cis* amino alcohol functionality by nucleophilic epoxide opening<sup>60</sup> and activation of terminal olefins with electrophilic iodine<sup>61</sup> and mercury (II) salts,<sup>62</sup> with 1,3-oxazines forming as expected from internal olefin substrates.<sup>1, 63</sup> Conjugate addition has recently been employed to effect this transformation.<sup>64</sup> Nishimura utilized this strategy in the synthesis of *L*-iduronic acid-type inhibitors of tumor metastasis (Scheme 1.18).<sup>65</sup> In this method, Michael addition of *in situ* generated allylic trichloroacetimide **43** onto the  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester provided *cis*-oxazoline **44** in 76% yield.



Scheme 1.18 1,2-cis-oxazoline Formation by Conjugate Addition

In 2009 Jirgensons reported a palladium(II)-catalyzed method for preparation of 4vinyloxazolines from (*Z*)-configured allylic trichloroacetimidates **45** (Scheme 1.19) possessing a secondary or tertiary  $\delta$ -oxy acetyl, TBS or Boc protected leaving group.<sup>66</sup> The reaction is high yielding and selective producing exclusive formation of (*E*)-4vinyloxazolines **49** with low competitive Overman rearrangement due to required axial placement of a substituent in the 6-*endo* aminopalladation transition state (see Figure 1.4, structure **17**). Again, the configuration of the olefin is critical for efficient reaction as (*E*)trichloroacetimidate substrates in the reaction suffer decreased yields due to competitive Overman rearrangement. High transfer of chirality is also observed in this oxazoline forming reaction (Scheme 1.19). The authors postulate a mechanism where 5-*exo* aminopalladation occurs reversibly and stereospecifically forming oxazolinium intermediates **46** and **48** followed by rate-determining deoxypalladation (Scheme 1.19). Both the aminopalladation and deoxypalladation are predicted to occur with *anti*orientation leading predominately to (*E*)-*R* product **49**.



Scheme 1.19 Palladium-catalyzed Synthesis of (E)-4-vinyloxazolines 49

### 1.3.5 Allylic Substitution Reactions with Oxygen Nucleophiles

Given the fact that trichloroacetimidates are competent leaving groups under mildly acidic alkylation and glycosylation conditions, it is not surprising that this functionality has been utilized for carbon-heteroatom bond formation in allylic systems. An example was first reported by Schmidt for diastereoselective pseudogalactal glycoside synthesis of **52** (Scheme 1.20) where TMSOTf activates trichloroacetimidate galactal donor **50** for Ferrier rearrangement with acceptor glucopyranose **51**.<sup>67</sup>



Scheme 1.20 Galactoside Synthesis by Ferrier Rearrangement

In 2005, the Overman group was expanding the scope of their COP-catalyzed intramolecular aminopalladation reaction for preparation of 4-vinyloxazolines by utilizing a trichloroacetimidate substrate as the tethered nucleophilic amine source.<sup>68</sup> When (*Z*)-



Scheme 1.21 Discovery of Asymmetric Allylic Esterification

olefin **53** was subjected to aminopalladation conditions a 1:1 mixture of the desired 4-vinyl oxazoline **55** and diacetate **54** was observed in the reaction (Scheme 1.21).<sup>69, 70</sup> This experiment suggested that  $S_N2'$  displacement of (*Z*)-allylic imidate **53** with acetic acid to form diacetate **54** was competing with intramolecular attack of the imidate nitrogen to afford the desired oxazoline product **55**. To suppress the amino-cyclization pathway, 3 equivalents of acetic acid was employed in the reaction, and complete conversion to the diacetate product **54** was observed (Scheme 1.21). This finding initiated development of the first asymmetric allylic esterification reaction of (*Z*)-allylic trichloroacetimidates with carboxylic acid nucleophiles.<sup>71</sup>

Early optimization studies determined that COP-OAc dimer **56** (Scheme 1.21) provided the best balance of yield and enantioselectivity in the esterification reaction with acetic acid nucleophile.<sup>70</sup> Optimal yields of allylic ester product were obtained in dichloromethane while running the reaction at room temperature provided the highest enantioinduction. For slow reactions, the reaction temperature could be increased leading to elevated yields but diminished enantioselectivity. In reactions where acetate salts were substituted for acetic acid, no reaction was observed indicating that a proton source is required to neutralize the anionic imidate leaving group. The importance of the trichloroacetimidate group in the [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub> catalyzed esterification reaction is evidenced by the failure of (*Z*)-allylic *N*-arylimidates and trifluoroacetimidates to react with acetic acid. Again, olefin geometry in the starting acetimidate is critical, with (*E*)-olefins providing 82% of the enantiomeric ester product with 66% *ee* and 10% of the [3,3]-rearrangement product.<sup>70</sup>

Table 1.2 summarizes Overman's investigations of the allylic esterification of a number of (*Z*)-trichloroacetimidate substrates with acetic acid (entries 1 - 6), aryl acids (entries 7 – 13) and aliphatic carboxylic acids (entries 14 – 19). The reaction is very general for formation of branched allylic esters **53** – **75** in moderate to high yields and with excellent enantiomeric purity.<sup>70, 71</sup> The reactions forming enantioenriched allylic acetates

R		1 m [COP(OA CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 2 R <sup>1</sup> CO <sub>2</sub> H	ol% Ac)] <sub>2</sub> <b>56</b> 23 - 38°( (3 equi <sup>-</sup>	C, v)	0 R <b>53</b> -	0 ↓ R <sup>1</sup> √ 75	
entry	imidates (R)	R <sup>1</sup>	time (h)	temp (h)	allylic ester	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	<b>57a</b> <i>i-</i> pr	Me	14	23	58	96	93
2	57b Cyclohexyl	Me	48	23	59	45	90
3	<b>57c</b> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	Ме	17	23	60	92	97
4	53 CH <sub>2</sub> OAc	Me	8	23	54	90	99
5	57d CH <sub>2</sub> OPMB	Me	16	23	61	93	99
6	57e (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OTBS	Ме	17	23	62	98	93
7	<b>57f</b> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	17	23	63	85	93
8	57f	4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	17	38	64	85	93
9	57f	4-MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	22	23	65	79	86
10	57f	$4-Ph-C_6H_4$	19	38	66	95	97
11	57f	4-CI-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	16	38	67	86	97
12	57f	4-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	22	38	68	65	87
13	57f	2-CI-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	18	38	69	24	53
14	<b>57g</b> <i>n</i> -pr	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	20	23	70	90	91
15	57g	<i>i</i> -Pr	21	23	71	89	92
16	57f	<i>t</i> -Bu	48	38	72	95	94
17	57f	1-adamantyl	48	38	73	68	86
18	57f	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	17	38	74	65	96
19	57f		<b>~~~~~</b> 48	38	75	91	91
	IBSO - H						

Table 1.2 Asymmetric Esterification of (Z)-Acetimidates

\_\_\_\_\_

54-62 shows a high degree of functional group tolerance present in the starting substrates (Table 1.2, entries 1 – 7). A free hydroxyl group was compatible under the reaction conditions, providing ester 60 in 92% yield with 97% *ee*. Ester 53, ether 57d, and silyl ether 57e (entries 4 – 6) also were compatible imidate substrates in the esterification

reaction. Bulky imidate **57b** bearing a vinylic cyclohexyl group provided **59** with 90% *ee* but only in 45% yield (entry 2).

Esterification with a number of aromatic carboxylic acids were evaluated with allylic imidate **57f** (Table 1.2, entries 8 - 13). In the reactions, electron-rich, neutral and electron-poor benzoic acids provide the corresponding allylic esters **63** - **69** in 65–95% yield and with excellent enantioselectivity (86– 97% *ee*). Only the *ortho*-substituted 2-chlorobenzoic acid (entry 13) resulted in a diminished yield and enantioselectivity (24%, 53% *ee*) presumably due to additional sterics present in the nucleophile.

Aliphatic carboxylic acids were also successfully utilized as nucleophiles in the [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reaction with *n*-propyl (*Z*)-allylic imidate **57g** and phenethyl **57h**, resulting in good yields (65 - 95%) and enantioselectivities (86 - 96% ee) in the product esters **70** – **75** (Table 1.2, entries 14 – 19).<sup>70</sup> Sterically encumbered *t*-butyl and adamantyl carboxylic acids (entries 16 and 17) and less soluble carboxylic acids (entries 18 and 19) effectively reacted at 38 °C, giving the corresponding allylic esters in good yields and with excellent levels of asymmetric induction.

With the successful development of the [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed method for preparation of a variety of allylic esters in high yields and with excellent enantiopurity, the Overman group focused on gaining mechanistic understanding involved in this transformation.<sup>22</sup> The proposed catalytic cycle based on a combination of experimental and computational data sets is shown in Scheme 1.22. NMR studies confirmed the presence of a mixture of acetate, carboxylic acid and amidate homo- and heterodimers in the reaction mixtures (Scheme 1.22, **76** – **78**), with no correlation found between equilibrium values of these complexes, the rate of the reaction and the pKas of the carboxylic acid nucleophiles. The fact there was no correlation between the rate of the reaction progresses and more trichloroacetamide is produced, suggested that a monomeric imidate substrate complex **80** is the entry point into the catalytic cycle.<sup>22</sup>



Scheme 1.22 Catalytic Cycle for Pd (II)-Mediated Allylic Esterification

Bidentate chelation of the imidate nitrogen to palladium forming complex **81** (Scheme 1.22) is a critical step for the selective C-O forming transformation. This hypothesis was initially based on a few experimental observations and later gained support through DFT calculations. As mentioned earlier, *N*-aryl imidates and allylic esters are not effective substrates in the reaction, suggesting that participation of a basic imidate nitrogen is required for efficient reactivity. The regioselectivities observed in these reactions are very high, with branched to linear ratios approaching 800:1.<sup>71</sup> It is highly unlikely that this level of selectivity could result from monodentate chelation of the substrate or through a palladium  $\pi$ -allyl intermediate, but could arise from a rigid cyclic metal-bound

intermediate. When enantioenriched deuterated imidates **85** were subjected to the esterification reaction, it was observed that nucleophilic addition and loss of the trichloroacetimidate leaving group occurred in an antarafacial manner forming ester product **90** (Scheme 1.23). This result would require *syn*-addition of the nucleophile and palladium followed by *anti*-elimination of imidate and palladium or the reverse process, *anti*-oxypalladation and *syn*-deoxypalladation. The relative energies of *syn*- and *anti*-palladium-bound complexes **86** – **89** primed for oxypalladation (Scheme 1.23) were calculated, and a reaction coordinate diagram was calculated for each of these starting complexes in the  $S_N2'$  displacement mechanism. Cationic palladium-complex **86**, bound to the substrate imidate nitrogen and the olefin, although intermediate in energy to starting complexes **87** -**89**, resulted in lower transition-states in each of the 2 steps by 6.2 and 7.4 kcal/mol, supporting *anti*-oxypalladation of **86** followed by *syn*-deoxypalladation. Because



Scheme 1.23 Stereochemical Course of Antarafacial S<sub>N</sub>2' Displacement

complex **86** has intermediate stability, the mechanism would require that palladium-bound imidate is a reversible process. Favorable chelation at the imidate nitrogen<sup>39</sup> provides complex **80** (Scheme 1.21) that directs the metal-center to the olefin. With binding of palladium to the olefin and loss of acetate, cationic bidentate complex **81** results, that is activated towards nucleophilic attack by external carboxylic acid. The path from **80** to activated complex **81** is reversible and enantiodetermining, setting the stage for formation of *anti*-oxypalladated intermediate **82** and *syn*-deoxypalladated complex **83**. Displacement of branched ester product **84** by imidate **79** completes the catalytic cycle.

The asymmetric  $[COP-OAc]_2$ -catalyzed esterification of (Z)-configured olefin trichlorochloroacetimidates is a powerful tool that has been utilized towards the synthesis of natural products and chiral oxygenated scaffolds, primarily 1,3-polyol structural motifs. For example, in 2010 Kirsch and Haug reported the synthesis of the polyketide derived fungal macrolide (+)-chloriolide.<sup>72, 73</sup> Their strategy utilized Overman's method to prepare two enantioenriched allylic alcohol fragments 93 and 97 (Scheme 1.24) that when joined end to end provided the required stereochemistry at C4 and C7 of (+)-chloriolide 101. In the synthesis of fragment 93, asymmetric esterification of acetimidate 91 (Scheme 1.24) provided benzoate 92 in 95% yield and with 96% ee. Protecting group interchanges and Wittig olefination provided 93 in six steps. Enantioselective [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reaction of trichloroacetimidate 95 and aliphatic PMBO(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>COOH nucleophile delivered ester 96 in 83% yield and with dr > 99:1. The use of this unusual carboxylic acid allowed selective removal in the presence of the terminal acetate group.<sup>72</sup> Oxidative removal of the PMB group resulted in intramolecular lactonization and free allylic alcohol 97. From allylic alcohol fragments 93 and 97, (+)-chloriolide 101 was assembled in eight linear steps.

Kirsch has been instrumental in developing routes to 1,3-polyol structures which are the main structural feature of many polyketide-derived natural products.<sup>74-76</sup> The central premise of this strategy is to set the stereochemistry with Overman's esterification



Scheme 1.24 Kirsch Synthesis of (+)-chloriolide Via Asymmetric Esterification

reaction and functionalize the resulting allylic alcohol for the next iteration of asymmetric esterification, thus building a 1,3-polyol chain. Scheme 1.25 shows three enantioselective esterifications forming triol **108** in 13% overall yield and >95:5 dr. 1 mol% of (+)-[COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub> catalyst **56** in the esterification reaction of **102** with benzoic acid resulted in 93% yield of benzoate **103** with 92% *ee*.<sup>74</sup> DIBAL reduction, carbodiimide esterification with vinyl acetic acid, Grubbs II ring-closing metathesis and DBU-catalyzed isomerization of the cyclic olefin provided  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated lactone **104** in 72% yield. Luche reduction, bis-



Scheme 1.25 Iterative Approach to Stereoselective Synthesis of 1,3-Polyols

silylation, selective removal of the primary TES group, and treatment with trichloroacetonitrile and catalytic DBU provided (*Z*)-allylic trichloroacetimidate **105** in 77% yield. **105** was then subjected to the second esterification reaction in the sequence using the same (+)-[COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub> catalyst **56** forming **106** in 95% yield and dr = 94:6. It is important to note that the catalyst controls the stereochemistry in the reaction, not existing chirality in the substrate. Using the same sequence, **107** was prepared and subjected to a third esterification with (-)-[COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub> resulting in **108** with dr >95:5 and of opposite configuration at the newly formed center. Kirsch and coworkers highlighted the utility of this strategy by preparing natural products (+)-solistatin,<sup>74</sup> polyrhacitides A and B,<sup>75</sup> and rugulactone<sup>76</sup> (Scheme 1.26).



Scheme 1.26 Synthesis of Polyketide-class of Natural Products

In 2007, Overman showed that phenols make viable nucleophiles in the palladium catalyzed substitution reaction of (*Z*)-configured allylic trichloroacetimidates forming branched aryl ethers.<sup>77</sup> Although a highly regio- and enantioselective iridium-catalyzed method had been developed using phenoxide nucleophiles<sup>78, 79</sup>, Overman's method was

advantageous because it allowed preparation of enantioenriched aryl ethers using neutral phenols. Optimal conditions utilized 1 mol% of  $[COP-OAc]_2$  **56** catalyst, 3 equivalents of phenol nucleophile in dichloromethane at 38°C (Table 1.3). As expected, the reaction of phenols with (*Z*)-imidates was slower than carboxylic acid nucleophiles, requiring extended reaction times at 38°C. However, excellent yields and enantioselectivites are achieved in 24 – 96 hours with unprecedented branched to linear ratios. Table 1.3 shows

<i>n</i> -Pr		+ HO	-R(C	1 mol% OP(OAc)] <sub>2</sub> <b>56</b> CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 38°C,	→ 0 n-Pr	R
(Z)-	56a-j				121-138	
entry	imidates (R)	R	time (h)	allylic ether	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	<b>56a</b> <i>i-</i> pr	Н	36	121	86	92
2	56a	4-Me	96	122	79	90
3	56a	4-OMe	96	123	63	90
4	56a	4-CI	36	124	96	91
5	56a	2-Br	24	125	87	90
6	56a	4-OAc	36	126	93	94
7	56a	2-OAc	36	127	90	90
8	56a	3-OAc	36	128	91	92
9	56a	3-CHO	72	129	90	94
10	56a	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	72	130	90	65
11	56h CH <sub>2</sub> OTBS	4-Me	96	131	70	97
12	56h	4-OAc	36	132	97	96
13	56h	2,6-F	36	133	97	90
14	56h	3-OMe	96	134	80	97
15	<b>56i</b> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OTBS	4-OAc	24	135	97	92
16	<b>56f</b> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph	4-OAc	36	136	97	92
17	<b>56j</b> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OAc	4-OAc	48	137	93	96
18	56b Cyclohexyl	4-OAc	96	138	30	90

# **Table 1.3** Enantioselective Aryl Etherification of (Z)-Allylic Trichloroacetimidates

the results of asymmetric ether forming reactions with various phenol nucleophiles and (Z)-imidate substrates. Electron-rich, poor and neutral phenols (entries 1 - 10) with

differing substitution patterns resulted overall in moderate to high yields (63 - 96%) and asymmetric induction (65 - 94% ee) with alkyl imidate **56a**. It is notable that many types of functionality are tolerated, including 3-formyl phenol that provided aryl ether **129** in 94% yield and with 94% *ee* (Table 1.3, entry 9). Silyl ether acetimidates **56h** and **56i** and acetate **56j** (entries 11 – 15 and 17) were viable substrates in the etherification reaction providing high yields and levels of enantioselectivity in the products. The bulky vinyl cyclohexyl imidate **56b** resulted in low yield (30%) of ether **138** in 96 hours however with 90% *ee*.

The [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reactions of (*Z*)-2-alkene-1-trichloroacetimidates result in high yields and selectivities for branched allylic aryl ethers, however comparable (*E*)-stereoisomer substrates result in high levels of competing [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products. Overman and coworkers prepared new amidate catalyst **142** that



Scheme 1.27 [COP-NHCOCCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> Catalyst for Etherfication

provided low levels of amide products under rearrangement reaction conditions (Scheme 1.27).<sup>80</sup> With these results, the [COP-NHCOCCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> complex **142** was tested in the ether forming reaction utilizing (*E*)-configured imidate **139** which resulted in a 92:8 ratio of

branched ether **140** to rearranged amide product **141**. In addition, ether product **140** was obtained with 90% *ee*. Excited by these results, the scope of phenol nucleophiles and (E)-olefin substrates were investigated.

The asymmetric synthesis of aryl ethers with (*E*)-olefin trichloroacetimidates in combination with 1 mol% of [COP-NHCOCCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> complex **142** or *ent*-**142** and phenol nucleophiles is shown in Table 1.4.<sup>80</sup> Allylic aryl ethers are prepared in acceptable yield and enantioselectivity in an average of 60 h at 38°C. The reaction of (*E*)-**139** with an electronically and sterically diverse set of phenol nucleophiles provided allylic ethers **146** – **155** in 45 – 78% yield and with 25 – 93% *ee*. The lowest enantioselectivity resulted from

F	$R_1 +$		-R <sub>2</sub>	1 mol% <b>142</b> / <i>ent-</i> <b>142</b> ª	• 0	
	"`∕ но			CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 38°C,		//
	(E)- <b>139-145</b>				146-161	
entry	imidates (R <sub>1</sub> )	R <sub>2</sub>	time (h)	allylic ether	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	<b>139</b> <i>i-</i> pr	Н	60	146	75	91
2	139	4-Me	96	147	62	93
3	139	4-OMe	96	148	45	92
4	139	4-CI	16	149	78	86
5	139	2-Br	96	150	72	85
6	139	4-OAc	48	151	53 <sup>a</sup>	92 <sup>a</sup>
7	139	2-OAc	48	152	57 <sup>a</sup>	93 <sup>a</sup>
8	139	3-OAc	48	153	71 <sup>a</sup>	93 <sup>a</sup>
9	139	3-CHO	96	154	51 <sup>a</sup>	90 <sup>a</sup>
10	139	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	96	155	48 <sup>a</sup>	25 <sup>a</sup>
11	<b>143 (</b> CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph	Н	48	156	77 <sup>a</sup>	95 <sup>a</sup>
12	143	4-CI	16	157	83 <sup>a</sup>	90 <sup>a</sup>
13	144 (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> NBn(Boc)	н	48	158	61 <sup>a</sup>	98 <sup>a</sup>
14	144	4-CI	16	159	88 <sup>a</sup>	96 <sup>a</sup>
15	145 (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (CO)CH <sub>3</sub>	н	96	160	59	78
16	145	4-Cl	18	161	86	80

**Table 1.4** Enantioselective Aryl Etherification of(E)-Allylic Trichloroacetimidates**139 - 145** 

electron-deficient 3-nitrophenol (48% yield and 25% *ee*, entry 10) while the lowest yielding reaction resulted from electron-rich 4-methoxyphenol (45% yield and 92% *ee*, entry 3). In addition, the scope of the reaction was investigated with (*E*)-imidates bearing different functional groups (Table 1.4, entries 11 - 16). Protected amine substrate **144** and ketone **145** were well tolerated in the reaction delivering ether products **158** – **161** in 61 – 88% yield and with 78 – 98% *ee*. Importantly, both enantiomers of ether products can be prepared by selecting the appropriate [COP-NHCOCCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> catalyst **142** or *ent*-**142**.

Overman provided one more version of the asymmetric etherfication of allylic trichloroacetimidates by investigating an intramolecular variant of the reaction.<sup>81</sup> In these studies, *ortho*-tethered (*E*)- and (*Z*)-allylic imidates 162a - c were prepared and subjected to asymmetric ring-closure using [COP-OAc]<sub>2</sub> 56 and [COP-NHCOCCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> 142 catalysts (Table 1.5). (*Z*)-configured starting imidates resulted in 92% of 2-vinyl chromane 163a, however enantioselectivity was very low at only 9% *ee*.<sup>81</sup> In contrast, reactions of (*E*)-configured substrates catalyzed by 56 and 142 were high yielding and enantioselective.

R + OH + N CCl <sub>3</sub> Catalyst <b>56</b> or <b>142</b> CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 8 - 18 h R + O								
	162a-c				163a-	С		
entry	imidates	catalyst	loading (mol%)	temp (h)	yield <b>163</b> (%)	ee <b>163</b> (%)		
1	<b>162a</b> R = H	56	2	38	86	89		
2	<b>162a</b> R = H	142	2	38	81	87		
3	<b>162a</b> R = H	56	0.5	23	91	94		
4	<b>162b</b> R = 4-Br	56	0.5	23	94	90		
5	<b>162c</b> R = 4-OMe	56	0.5	23	92	91		

**Table 1.5** Intramolecular Enantioselective Etherification of *(E)*-Allylic Trichloroacetimidates **162a -c** 

Using 2 mol% catalyst loadings at 38°C, 81 - 86% yields and 87 - 89% ees of product **163a** resulted (entries 1-2), with amidate catalyst **142** performing less efficiently in each category and in addition yielded 13% of the undesired rearrangement product (entry 2). Entries 4-5 using COP-OAc **56** show that electron deficient and electron-rich phenols work equally well in the reaction providing **163b** – **d** in high yields and with excellent asymmetric induction.

The intramolecular method was also amenable to imidate substrates bearing *ortho* oxygen or nitrogen substituents on the phenol ring (substrates 162d - e, Scheme 1.28) for enantioselective formation of 2-vinyl-1,4-benzodioxane 163d and 2,3-dihydro-2-vinyl-2*H*-1,4-benzodioxazine 163e. The reactions forming these products were high yielding (90 – 98%) with impressive asymmetric induction (94 – 98% *ee*). Unfortunately sulfurcontaining imidate 162f did not provide product 163f, presumably due to catalyst poisoning by the substrate.



Scheme 1.28 Synthesis of 2-Vinyl-1,4-benzodioxanes and 2-Vinyl-1,4-Benzoxazines

Deuterium-labeling studies of the intramolecular transformation were conducted that confirmed that the overall  $S_N 2'$  reaction occurs with antarafacial geometry like earlier studies of the intermolecular reactions of (*Z*)-acetimidates and carboxylic acid nucleophiles.<sup>22, 81</sup> DFT calculations also supported the same *anti*-oxypalladation/ *syn*deoxypalladation sequence that was found for esterification reactions. In addition, DFT experiments calculated the difference in transition state energies leading to the *anti*- oxypalladation step which is rate and enantiodetermining, for the possible bidentate binding arrangements of the COP-catalyst, the imidate nitrogen and olefin *Re* and *Si* faces for both (*E*)- and (*Z*)-imidates. The difference in transition state energies for (*E*)-substrates was calculated to be  $\Delta\Delta E^{\dagger}$  3.7 kcal/mol, while (*Z*)-substrates was 0.8 kcal/mol, consistent with low enantioselectivities resulting from (*Z*)-trichloroacetimidates in the reaction.

# 1.4 Conclusion

Allylic trichloroacetimidates are versatile substrates of broad utility for the synthesis of carbon-heteroatom bonds. Overman's pioneering work and pursuit of mechanistic understanding in rearrangements of primary allylic acetimidates has inspired the development of new methodologies for regio- and enantioselective constructions of C-N, C-O, and C- $F^{82}$  connectivity in good yields and with excellent selectivity. In many cases, the introduction of trichloroacetimidate functionality to allylic systems has improved or provided access to bonding arrangements that were previously unmet. Enantioenriched amines can be prepared by [3,3]- or [1,3]-rearrangements of trichloroacetimidates, and the use of this motif has had a major impact on stereo-controlled amino acid, 1,2-amino alcohol and 1,3-polyol synthesis prevalent in many natural products. Although allylic trichloracetimidates can be sensitive to acidic conditions, the ease of preparation and relative stability have found application in an increasing number of synthetic strategies. Based on the general availability of these constructs and their use towards difficult chemistries, methods utilizing trichloroacetimidates in unsaturated environments will continue to expand in novel ways into the future and will impact strategies for preparation of biologically relevant natural products and pharmaceuticals. The pages that follow describe the next application of allylic trichloroacetimidates to synthetic methodology for the regioselective and enantioselective preparation of  $\alpha$ -substituted and  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted allylic aryl amines.

### CHAPTER II RHODIUM (I)-CATALYZED REGIOSELECTIVE AMINATION OF TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES

## 2.1 Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates: Rhodium-Catalyzed Preparation of α-substituted Amines

### 2.1.1 Background

Our interest in regio- and enantioselective aminations of allylic electrophiles had roots in methods that were developed in our laboratory towards difficult problems encountered in carbohydrate chemistry. The Nguyen group had been utilizing transitionmetals to effect rearrangements of trichloroacetimidate glycals<sup>41,83</sup> and to direct anomeric selectivity in glycosylation reactions of trichloroacetimidate donors.<sup>84</sup> An important attribute that that was exploited in these methods was that transition-metals will chelate available Lewis basic sites, and in doing so activate the starting acetimidates and template the stereochemical outcome in these reactions. These ideas were based largely on Overman's studies where primary (E)-configured olefin trichloroacetimidates were effective substrates in [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements,  $^{1,38}$  (Z)- trichloroacetimidates for asymmetric esterification<sup>71</sup> with carboxylic acids and (Z)- and (E)-olefin electrophiles in ether forming reactions with phenol nucleophiles.<sup>77, 80</sup> These investigations showed that the basic imidate nitrogen does bind to palladium complexes and is intimately involved in directing and enantiodetermining steps of these reaction mechanisms. Ultimately, the course of these Pd(II)-catalyzed reactions are dependant on the configuration of the olefin and the nature of the catalyst. For example, (Z)-configured olefins undergo rearrangement very slowly in the presence of COP-OAc dimers allowing substitution by carboxylic acids and phenols to predominate. The COP-trichloroamidate dimer only slowly catalyzes the [3,3]-rearrangement of (E)-configured trichloroacetimidates but cleanly and efficiently catalyzes the substitution by phenols.<sup>80</sup> Given these findings and our experience using

transition-metals with carbohydrates, we wanted to expand our research interests by applying branched trichloroacetimidate electrophiles to allylic substitution methodologies.

We became interested in developing an allylic substitution method that utilized terminal branched trichloroacetimidates 164 (Scheme 2.1) for a number of reasons. First, this area of organic synthesis is dominated by methods that utilize primary and secondary halide, acetate and carbonate leaving groups, so there was opportunity to explore alternate electrophiles in these reactions. The efficient and successful synthesis of complex molecules depends on the methods and options available for construction. Investigating transition-metal catalyzed allylic substitutions of branched trichloroacetimidates could lead to new and useful chemistry. A benefit of these substrates is that they are easily introduced during a synthetic sequence. The allylic alcohol precursors are prepared by addition of a vinyl Grignard to aldehydes and ketones, which can be protected as required and efficiently derivatized as trichloroacetimidates.<sup>1,2</sup> Another advantage of terminal olefins is they are more reactive than linear counterparts in transition-metal catalyzed reactions,<sup>85, 86</sup> due to less steric bulk around the terminal double bond. A more reactive electrophile avoids elevated reaction temperatures and extended reaction times for effective transformation which would decrease competing elimination and rearrangement side-reactions, increasing overall efficiency. In addition, utilization of racemic imidates have potential in kinetic or dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT) type resolutions,<sup>87</sup> providing new avenues to prepare enantioenriched products from racemic starting materials.

Overman's Pd(II)-catalyzed methods for the enantioselective synthesis of branched amines, esters and phenols does have limitations. These reactions cannot utilize branched allylic trichloroacetimidates **164** as effective substrates (Scheme 2.1a). Previous rearrangement experiments in his laboratory showed that this olefin-type resulted in *5-exo* attack by the imidate nitrogen on the double-bond and terminally palladated intermediates **165**.<sup>1, 10</sup> Basic hydrolysis of the reaction product provides evidence of this mode of reactivity by releasing 2-amino alcohols **166** (Scheme 2.1a).<sup>2</sup> The reaction of branched

terminal olefins lacking (Z)-geometry that slowly undergoes the competing CIR would presumably follow the same 5-*exo* pathway in COP-mediated substitution reactions with carboxylic acid and phenol nucleophiles. Another limiting aspect of Pd(II)-catalyzed asymmetric reactions is that the substrate scope is confined primarily to unhindered electrophiles for synthesis of tertiary carbon centers bearing a heteroatom. The reactions



Scheme 2.1 Pd(II)-catalyzed Reaction By-products of Terminal Branched and Hindered Trichloroacetimidates

of sterically encumbered substrates result in low yields of the desired products and increased levels of byproducts due to slow rates of addition and elevated ionization of the trichloroacetimidate starting material (Scheme 2.1b). Early on in our studies we were interested in targeting these difficult structures, so this fact combined with a lack of allylic substitution methods that provide quaternary centers was additional motivation to explore the use of branched allylic trichloroacetimidate electrophiles in these reactions.

We proposed a new mode of reactivity for trichloroacetimidates in transition-metal catalyzed allylic substitution methodologies. What if ionization of the starting substrates
is not a pathway that is suppressed and avoided but rather a facile and necessary step in the reaction? We wondered if organometallic complex **170** (Figure 2.1) could result from oxidative addtion of an appropriate transition-metal complex with an allylic trichloroacetimidate electrophile. Would complex **170** make an effective activated complex providing high yields and selectivity for the branched substitution products **171a** (Figure 2.1)? Or would this strategy result in the competing linear isomer **171b**, elimination **172** or rearrangement products **173**?



Figure 2.1 Proposed Use of Branched Imidates in TM-catalyzed Substitution

We began with the hypothesis that ionization of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates **164** to organometallic intermediate **170** would be faster than routes to elimination and rearrangement in the presence of a transition-metal capable of oxidative addition. Prior studies with linear imidates provided evidence for initial coordination of Pd(II) to the imidate nitrogen (**169b**) followed by intramolecular rearrangement to olefin-bound Pd (**169c**) and entry into the [3,3]-rearrangement pathway,<sup>39</sup> or formation of bidentate chelation complex **169a** and substitution by an external nucleophile.<sup>22</sup> We knew

that terminal branched electrophiles are more reactive than the corresponding linear structures in transition-metal catalyzed reactions,<sup>85, 86</sup> and therefore would enable rapid bidentate chelation to a metal center forming complex **169a** followed by facile ionization to organometallic intermediate **170**. An easily accessed and ionized electrophile may play an important role in efficient substitutions of tertiary trichloroacetimidates.

In order to draw some comparison to reported transition-metal catalyzed substitution reactions, <sup>77, 78, 80, 88</sup> we began our investigations using phenol nucleophiles. Although we had some success, implementation of aniline nucleophiles had more potential in allylic substitution reactions of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates. In addition, we decided to use aniline nucleophiles because of the high importance of this structural motif. *N*-arylamines are present in a number of bioactive natural products, are important synthons for the preparation of catalysts and materials, and have become increasingly common in pharmacophores present in drugs and agrochemicals.<sup>89, 90</sup>

Several approaches to the preparation of *N*-arylamines have been investigated with allylic carbonates and acetates employing transition-metal catalysts.<sup>91</sup> The use of palladium catalysts in allylic amination of unsymmetrical allylic acetates with amines tends to favor the thermodynamic linear product. The kinetic branched isomer, however, can be isolated



Scheme 2.2 Pd°-catalyzed Aryl Aminations of Allylic Electrophiles

as the major product when DBU is employed to suppress product isomerization (Scheme 2.2a).<sup>92-94</sup> In reactions of allylic acetates, this isomerization is the result of acetic acid byproduct generation which protonates and activates the branched amine product **175** for another ionization and aniline substitution cycle. The amination of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates would avoid this issue because the pKa of the trichloroacetamidate byproduct is similar to DBU at ~12.<sup>16</sup> However, a different issue could arise. Ionization by oxidative addition forms Pd<sup>II</sup>  $\pi$ -allyl trichloroacetamidate salt **177** (Scheme2.2b). If attack by a neutral unactivated aniline nucleophile (pKa 17 – 24) on the palladium  $\pi$ -allyl complex is slower than attack by the anionic component of the salt, competing [1,3]- and [3,3]-trichloroacetamide rearrangement products could arise (Scheme 2.2b).<sup>27</sup> This could make the d<sup>9</sup> metals iridium and rhodium a better option with trichloroacetimidate electrophiles because they may possibly react via neutral oxidative addition complexes.

Hartwig reported a powerful strategy for preparing branched *N*-arylamines via iridium-catalyzed amination of allylic carbonates **180 - 181** with unactivated anilines (Table 2.1).<sup>95</sup> At the start, this method was the benchmark for our studies as it is applicable to a number of different substrates and aniline nucleophiles providing excellent regio- and enantioselectivity. The method utilizes linear allylic carbonates, neutral anilines and 1 mol% of chiral cyclometalated phosphoramidite catalyst in THF at ambient temperature. 10 mol% of DABCO is required in the reaction for in situ formation of the active iridium catalyst. Electron-deficient, rich and sterically encumbered aniline nucleophiles efficiently react with high yields and selectivities in 2 – 10 h. For example, 4-fluoroaniline **183b** (entry 1) and 4-chloroaniline **183g** (entry 4) resulted in 89 – 90% yield of branched amines **186** and **189** with high regioselectivity (49:1 b/l) and enantioselectivity (94 – 96% *ee*). The reaction of electron-rich aniline **183c** provided similar results (entry 2) with the exception that the reaction was complete in 4 h rather compared with 10 h for electron-deficient anilines **183b** and **183c**. The bulky 2,4,6-trimethylaniline **183e** (entry 5) very efficiently

provided allylic amine **190** in 82% yield, 32:1 b/l ratio and 96% *ee* within 2 h, while *ortho*bromoaniline (entry 6) only resulted in 66% yield of the desired product **191** in 16 h. The reaction is also amenable to 1-naphthylamine **184** and the secondary cyclic tetrahydroquinoline **185** (entrys 8-9) providing branched amine products in 83 – 89% yield,

$R^{1}$ $R^{1} =$ $R^{1} =$	CO <sub>2</sub> Me Ph <b>180</b> <i>n</i> -Pr <b>181</b>	+ H <sub>2</sub> N 183b-185	0.5 m 1 10 r	ol% [lr(COD)( mol% <b>182</b> nol% DABCO THF, 25°C,	Cl₂]₂	HN R <sup>1</sup> 186-194	<u>ो</u> R <sup>2</sup>
entry	imidates (R <sup>1</sup> )	Aniline R <sup>2</sup>	time (h)	allylic amine	yield (%)	b/l	ee (%)
1	180	<b>183b</b> 4 <b>-</b> F	10	186	90	49:1	94
2	180	<b>183c</b> 4-OMe	4	187	91	49:1	95
3	181	183c	2	188	95	19:1	95
4	180	<b>183g</b> 4-Cl	10	189	89	49:1	96
5	180	<b>183e</b> 2,4,6-Me	2	190	82	32:1	96
6	180	<b>183m</b> 2-Br	16	191	66	13:1	94
7	180	183f N-Me,R <sup>2</sup> = H		192	_	1:1-4:1	
8	180	184	10	193	83	32:1	95
9	180	185	2	194	89	32:1	96
L =		Ph 0 P-N Ph 182		NH <sub>2</sub>		N H 185	

**Table 2.1** Hartwig's Regio- and Enantioselective

 Amination of Allylic Carbonates with Anilines

32:1 b/l ratios and 95 – 96% *ee*. On the other hand, Hartwig reports that secondary acyclic *N*-methylaniline **183f** in the iridium-catalyzed reaction provides low ratios (1:1 - 4:1 b/l) of the branched product **192** (entry 7), and therefore does not report yields or enantioselectivity in these reactions.

P. Andrew Evans developed a rhodium-catalyzed amination of branched allylic carbonates that is regioselective and enantiospecific (Table 2.2).<sup>96-98</sup> The method utilizes

Wilkinson's catalyst in combination with trimethyl phosphite as the active complex that delivers good yields of branched allylic aryl amines. The method however, is limited to activated and stabilized anionic nucleophiles, requiring a stabilizing group and a lithiated nitrogen in order to balance nucleophilicity and basicity. The use of neutral aniline in Evan's method provided 10:1 regioselectivity for branched products but in poor to moderate yields.<sup>96</sup> Base treatment of aniline provided a more reactive and basic nucleophile that was poor yielding due attack on rhodium and elimination of organorhodium intermediates. Attenuation of basicity was accomplished by addition of sulfonylphenyl group to the deprotonated aniline nucleophile.

OCO₂Me	10 mol% RhCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> 40 mol% P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>			TolNSO₂Ar ∎	
R <sup>1</sup> 196-205	2 equiv TolN THF, 3	R <sup>^</sup> 2	R <sup>1</sup> 206-215		
entry	Carbonates (R <sup>1</sup> )	allylic amine	yield (%)	b/l	
1	<b>196</b> Me	206	96	>99:1	
2	197 CH <sub>2</sub> =CH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	207	91	46:1	
3	198 (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub>	208	94	12:1	
4	199 (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	209	89	27:1	
5	200 PhCH <sub>2</sub>	210	86	20:1	
6	201 PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	211	93	90:1	
7	202 TBSOCH <sub>2</sub>	212	83	23:1	
8	<b>203</b> BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	213	85	8:1	
9	<b>204</b> Ph	214	94	47:1	
10	<b>205</b> (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH	215		1:2	
	MeO		a 195		
			5 100		

 Table 2.2 Evan's Regio- and Stereospecific Allylic

 Amination of Branched Carbonates

In the reaction, Wilkinson's catalyst and trimethyl phosphite are stirred for 20 min in THF at 30°C. In a separate flask the lithiated **195** is prepared by addition of LiHMDS to *N*-4-methoxybenzenesulfonyltoluidine in a THF/DMF mixture at 30°C for 15 min. The two flasks are then combined via canula and the methyl carbonate electrophile is added via syringe and stirred at 30°C for 1 h. The reaction efficiently provides high yields and regioselectivities of a number of branched allylic amines **206** – **214** (Table 2.2, entries 1 – 9). Aliphatic carbonates **196** – **201** differing in appended substituents and chain lengths were high yielding 86 93% and regioselective (12:1 - >99:1). Even terminal olefin **197** resulted in 91% yield of allylic amine **207** with 46:1 b/l ratio.  $\beta$ -oxy substrates **202** – **203** were effective in the method (entries 7 – 8) as was  $\alpha$ -phenyl **204** providing amine **214** in 94% yield and with excellent regioselectivity (Table 2.2, entry 9). The reaction is not compatible with substrates bearing  $\alpha$ -branching such as substrate **105** (entry 10), which result predominately in the linear isomer (1:2 b/l).

An important property of Evan's rhodium-catalyzed aryl amination reaction is that it is enantiospecific and not enantioselective. Overall retention of configuration is observed in the products and is thought to proceed via a double inversion process with *enyl* organorhodium intermediates (or a distorted  $\pi$ -allyl) **217** (Scheme 2.3).<sup>99</sup> For example,



Scheme 2.3 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination Via Enyl Intermediates

branched branched carbonate **216** would be displaced with inversion to its respective *enyl* intermediate **217** by rhodium. If isomerization of *enyl* intermediates **217** and *ent*-**217** via linear isomer **218** is sufficiently slow compared to the rate of nucleophilic addition,  $S_N2'$  displacement of rhodium by backside attack of the nucleophile would again occur with inversion and exclusive **219** formation. The proposed mechanism is based on studies that compare the reactivity of linear and branched allylic carbonates.<sup>99</sup> If the reaction proceeds through a  $\pi$ -allyl intermediate, the same regioselectivity should be observed regardless of utilization of the branched or linear isomer. However, opposite regioselectivities result suggesting the involvement of different intermediates that template regio- and enantiospecificities in these reactions. This occurs even in the presence of unsymmetrical substitution on the starting carbonates that should favor one isomer over another.

Based on the possible challenges that could be encountered with palladium catalysts and branched allylic trichloroacetimidates and an existing regio- and enantioselective Ircatalyzed amination using neutral anilines, we selected rhodium metal as catalyst in our investigation. We initiated our studies with branched allylic trichloroacetimidates, Rh(I) catalysts, phosphite ligands and neutral anilines (Scheme 2.4) for the branched selective synthesis of allylic aryl amines.<sup>12</sup>



Scheme 2.4 Proposed Strategy for Regioselective Amination

2.1.2 Results and Discussion

The Rh-catalyzed regioselective amination reaction was optimized by changing the rhodium source, the phosphite ligands employed and catalyst loadings (Table 2.3). Utilizing 10 mol % of Wilkinson's catalyst modified with trimethyl phosphite ligand (20 mol %) in the presence of aniline nucleophile **183a** (3 equiv.) at 40  $^{\circ}$ C provided the corresponding *N*-arylamines **224** - **225** in a combined 13% yield, with 5:1 regioselectivity

TBSC	CCI <sub>3</sub> 0 NH 223	Rh( Tŀ	I), P(OR) <sub>3</sub> , IF, 40 °C	T TBSO	BSO	HN 224 + 225	
Entry	/ Rh Source	Rh (mol %	P(OR) <sub>3</sub> )	P(OR) <sub>3</sub> (mol %)	Time (h)	Yield <sup>a</sup>	<b>224/225</b> Ratio <sup>b</sup>
1	RhCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	10	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	20	24	13 %	5:1
2	[RhCl(cyclooct) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	5	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	20	24	37 %	4:1
3	[RhCl(COD) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	5	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	20	24	39 %	41:1
4	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	5	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	20	7	84 %	65:1
5	[RhCl(ethylene)2]2	5	P(OiPr) <sub>3</sub>	20	24	23 %	10:1
6	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	5	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	20	0.5	95 %	>99:1
7	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	2	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	8	0.5	95 %	>99:1
8	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	1	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	4	0.5	96 %	>99:1
9	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	1	None	-	12	11 %	10:1

 Table 2.3 Optimization for Regioselective Amination

<sup>a</sup> Reported based on GC purity of isolated products.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

favoring the branched product **224** (entry 1). The branched product **224** was obtained in higher yield and regioselectivity (65:1) in the presence of 5 mol% of and 20 mol % of  $P(OMe)_3$  (entry 4). The use of a more sterically hindered ligand,  $P(OiPr)_3$ , was detrimental to the reaction (entry 5), while use of a more electron withdrawing ligand,  $P(OPh)_3$ , significantly shortened the reaction time and improved both the yield (84%  $\rightarrow$  95%) and regioselectivity (65:1  $\rightarrow$  99:1) (entry 6). Lowering the metal and ligand loadings further did not diminish the yield or the regioselectivity (entries 7 - 8). Omission of phosphite ligand resulted in low regioselectivity and yield over 12 hours (entry 9). Compared to other systems, this chemistry provides the branched product such as **224** with higher regioselectivity. For instance, reaction of allylic carbonate with **183a** in the presence of the Wilkinson's catalyst provided the branched product in poor yield and with 10:1 regioselectivity.<sup>96</sup> Under iridium conditions, reaction of allylic carbonate with **183a** provided the products with 13:1 regioselectivity.<sup>100</sup>

With the initial optimal conditions in hand, a variety of aniline derivatives **183a - f** were investigated with allylic trichloroacetimidates **223**, **226**, and **227** (Table 2.4). Branched *N*-arylamines were obtained in good yield and with excellent regioselectivity. Importantly, the Overman rearrangement products were not observed in these reactions. The observed reaction time for electron-rich aniline **183c** was longer than more electron-deficient nucleophiles in the allylic amination reaction (entry 2). This is likely due to the binding of rhodium metal to both the nitrogen and oxygen groups of the products **223c** and **227c**, resulting in slow turnover rates of the rhodium catalyst. The larger *t*-butyldiphenylsilyl group in product **226c** blocks chelation by rhodium at oxygen resulting in shorter time to completion. The current method is also feasible with sterically hindered anilines **183d - f** (entries 4 - 6). Reaction of **223** with secondary aniline **183f** provided the products with poor regioselectivities ( $1:1 \rightarrow 4:1$ ).<sup>95</sup>

The Rh-catalyzed regioselective allylic amination also works well with  $\beta$ -substituted allylic trichloroacetimidates **228-230** (Table 2.5). In the presence of anilines **183a - f**, the desired products **228 – 230 a - f** were formed with excellent regioselectivity (entries 1 - 6). As expected, reaction of **228** and **229**, containing the OTBS and OBn at the  $\beta$ - position provided the products **228c** and **229c** in much lower yields (entry 3). These



## Table 2.4 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with Anilines 183a - f

<sup>a</sup> Reported based on GC purity of isolated products.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

 $^{\rm c}$  The reaction with 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)\_2]\_2 and 20 mol% P(OPh)\_3 at 25 °C.

-						
R 228 229 230	$CCI_3$ ONH R = OTBS R = OBn R = Ph	+ HN <sup>-R</sup> " [Rh(l)) + R' 4 m T 5 183a-f	1 mol% Cl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> ol % P(OPh) <sub>3</sub> , HF, 40°C R	H R Brand	ched (b) + N H Linea	
Entry	Anilines	Products		Time (h)	Yield <sup>a</sup>	b/l Ratio <sup>b</sup>
1	183a	HN R	228a R = OTBS 229a R = OBn 230a R = Ph	1 0.5 2	95% 99% 94%	>99:1 >99:1 >99:1
2	183b	HN R	228b R = OTBS 229b R = OBn 230b R = Ph	1 0.5 2	91% 96% 92%	>99:1 >99:1 >99:1
3	183c	HN R	228c R = OTBS 229c R = OBn 230c R = Ph	8 6 2	20% 47% 80%	>99:1 >99:1 >99:1
4	183d	HN R	228d R = OTBS 229d R = OBn 230d R = Ph	2 3 3	94% 97% 87% <sup>c</sup>	>99:1 >99:1 > 99:1 <sup>c</sup>
5	183e	Me HN R Me Me	228e R = OTBS 229e R = OBn 230e R = Ph	2 3 1 <sup>c</sup>	94% 87% 93% <sup>c</sup>	>99:1 >99:1 80:1 <sup>c</sup>
6	183f	Me N R	228f R = OTBS 229f R = OBn 230f R = Ph	2 3 1 <sup>c</sup>	94% 99% 98% <sup>c</sup>	>99:1 32:1 30:1 <sup>c</sup>

Table 2.5 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of  $\beta\mbox{-substituted}$  Imidates 228 - 230

<sup>a</sup>Reported based on GC purity of isolated products.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

 $^{\circ}$  The reaction with 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and 20 mol% P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C.

results are consistent with what had been observed with substrate **223** (Table 2.4). Reaction of  $\beta$ -phenyl allylic trichloroacetimidate **230** with sterically demanding anilines **183e - f** required higher catalyst loading (entries 5-6). Overall, our reaction is more regioselective than other systems. For instance the reaction of the allylic carbonate derivative of **228** (**202**, Table 2.2) with TolN( Li)Mbs provided the amination product with 23:1 regioselectivity. Similarly, reaction **200** and **203** (Table 2.2), carbonate derivatives of **230** and **229** provided the amination products with regioselectivity of 20:1 and 8:1, respectively.<sup>97</sup>

Allylic carbonates containing substitutents at the  $\beta$ -position with respect to the carbonate leaving group are known to be challenging substrates, and they often provide amination products with poor regioselectivity in favor of linear products. <sup>96, 97</sup> Subjecting  $\beta$ -branched cyclohexyl imidate **231** to optimized reaction conditions with aniline **183a** 

$\bigcirc$	CCI <sub>3</sub> 0 NH - 231		ene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> : P(OPh) <sub>3</sub> (1:4) -NH <sub>2</sub> , THF <b>83a</b>		
Entry	Mol% [Rh]	Time (h)	Temperature	Conversion <sup>a</sup>	231a/b Ratio <sup>b</sup>
1	1	4	40 °C	33%	1:1
2	2	3	40 °C	70%	5:1
3	5	2	40 °C	>99%	18:1
4	5	4	25 °C	>99%	53:1
5	5	21	0°C	>99%	73:1

**Table 2.6** Amination Reaction Optimization of<br/> $\beta$ -Branching Imidate 231

<sup>a</sup> Reported based on GC conversion.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

resulted in 33% conversion and a 1:1 mixture of branched aniline product **231a** and linear aniline product **231b** (entry 1, Table 2.6). Increasing the catalyst loadings at 40°C (entries 2 and 3) resulted in complete conversion and b/l ratios of 18:1. Lowering the reaction temperatures to 25°C (entry 4) and 0°C (entry 5) increased the time for complete conversion and resulted in higher regioselectivities (b/l = 18:1 and 73:1 respectively). Nucleophilic attack on hindered substrate **231** may be rate-limiting. At extended reaction

Table 2.7 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of  $\alpha$ -substituted Imidates 231-233

231 F 233 F	CCl <sub>3</sub> NH R = cycld R = isopr R = Ph	+ NH <sub>2</sub> + R'	5 mol% [Rh(I)Cl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> 20 mol % P(OPh) <sub>3</sub> , THF, 25°C	R	HN Branched + NH Hinear (I)	(b)
Entry	Aniline	es Products		Time (h)	Yield <sup>a</sup>	b/l Ratio <sup>b</sup>
1	183a	HN R	<ul> <li>231a R = Cyclohexyl</li> <li>232a R = Isopropyl</li> <li>233a R = Ph</li> </ul>	4 4 6	96% 94% 75%	53:1 31:1 90:1
2	183b	HN R	<ul> <li>231b R = Cyclohexyl</li> <li>232b R = Isopropyl</li> <li>233a R =Ph</li> </ul>	4 3 6	91% 94% 85%	42:1 36:1 19:1
3	183c	HN R	<b>231c</b> R = Cyclohexyl <b>232c</b> R = Isopropyl <b>233a</b> R = Ph	4 4 1	93% 88% 84%	42:1 45:1 39:1
4	183d	HN R	231d R = Cyclohexyl 232d R = Isopropyl	4 7	70% 73%	12:1 14:1

<sup>a</sup> Reported based on GC purity of isolated products.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

times and with lower catalyst loadings, functional catalyst may be consumed resulting in low yields and decreased regioselectivity for branched product due to increases in the uncatalyzed background reaction. At lower temperatures, either the rate of the background reaction or the rate of attack at the terminus of an equilibrating organorhodium intermediate decreases.

With optimized conditions in hand,  $\alpha$ -substituted allylic trichloroacetimidates **231** - **233** were aminated with anilines **183a** - **d** in the presence of 5 mol % [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and 20 mol % P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C, providing the branched products as the major isomers (Table 2.7). The regioselectivity decreased as the aniline became more sterically hindered (entry 4). Under the Wilkinson's catalyst modified with trimethyl phosphite conditions, reaction of isopropyl allylic carbonate **205** (Table 2.2) derivative of **232** with TolN(Li)Mbs provided the linear product as the major isomer.<sup>96, 97</sup>

Trichloroacetimidate donors are widely used in carbohydrate chemistry and trichoroacetimidate electrophiles have become popular alkylating agents. They are generally activated with Lewis acids such as BF3-OEt2, TMSOTf, TBSOTf, and Tf2O. To determine if rhodium acts as a Lewis acid to activate the trichloroacetimidate group, we investigated reaction of secondary trichloroacetimidate 223 with aniline 183a in the presence of 2 mol % of BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C (Table 2.8). At 15 h, GC analysis showed that the reaction reached 99% conversion with a 1:1 mixture of the branched product 224 and the linear product 225, a stark difference when compared to the rhodium-catalyzed reaction. In addition, linear (E)-imidate 235 and linear (Z)-imidate 236 were subjected to rhodium and lewis acid catalyzed amination reactions. Under lewis acid mediation, mainly the (Z)-linear isomer 234 was observed after complete conversion (b/l = 1:5 - 1:9). After 21 hours at 40°C in the presence of rhodium, linear imidates 235 and 236 reached 65 – 79% conversion and again resulted in the (Z)-linear 234 as the major product. Some comments should be made here. First, the vast difference in reactivity of the Lewis acid and metal-catalyzed reactions of branched imidate 223 suggests that rhodium is not acting



Table 2.8 Lewis Acid and Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Imidates

primarily as a Lewis acid. Second, the reaction of linear trichloroacetimidates react very differently in the rhodium-catalyzed reaction. The fact that the reactions of linear imidates are slower and primarily result in linear products supports our initial hypothesis that branched imidates are more accessible for rapid ionization. Similar product ratios under BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> and rhodium-catalyzed control suggest that rhodium may act as a Lewis acid when linear imidates are utilized.

To compare branched allylic trichloroacetimidates versus commonly employed electrophiles under our rhodium conditions, reactions of allylic methyl carbonate **237** and

acetate **238** were attempted with aniline **183a** (Scheme 2.5). The amination products were not observed in these control experiments even if the reactions had been stirring at 40 °C for 12 h, suggesting that both allylic carbonate **237** and acetate **238** are less reactive than secondary allylic trichloroacetimidate **223**.



Scheme 2.5 Studies with Allylic Carbonates and Acetates

In a pivotal control study, an enantiomerically enriched trichloroacetimidate (*S*)-**239** was subjected to reaction conditions to gain understanding into the stereochemical outcome and perhaps some mechanistic insight regarding our newly developed rhodiumcatalyzed amination (Scheme 2.6). The reaction of (*S*)-**239** did not progress enantiospecifically, instead resulting in nearly racemic product **242** (Scheme 2.6).<sup>12</sup> This result is opposite to other transition-metal catalyzed allylic substitution methodologies, which provide products with net retention of stereochemistry.<sup>96-98, 101, 102</sup>

The outcome of the experiment illustrated in Scheme 2.6 can be rationalized by comparing the relative rates of equilibration of organorhodium complexes **241** and *ent*-**241** and nucleophilic attack by aniline nucleophile (Scheme 2.7). The rhodium catalyst coordinates to both the imidate nitrogen and the alkene of (*S*)-**239** forming rhodium-olefin complex **240**, which subsequently undergoes ionization to generate  $\pi$ -allylrhodium **241**.



Scheme 2.6 Enantiospecific Study with Enantioenriched (S)-239

Nucleophilic attack of the aniline nucleophile onto the organorhodium intermediate **241** at the internal more substituted carbon, provides the desired amination product (*R*)-**242**. We propose that the rate of aniline substitution ( $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) is much slower than that of  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$  interconversion, resulting in racemization in the amine product **242**.



Scheme 2.7 Proposed Mechanism for Racemization of (S)-239

The results of this study set the stage for development of a regioselective and enantioselective amination reaction.<sup>103, 104</sup> The hypotheses that led to this discovery and the outcomes of this work are described in detail in chapters 3 and 4.

### 2.1.3 Conclusion

In summary, a rhodium-phosphite complex was found to be an efficient catalyst for the regioselective amination of secondary allylic trichloroacetimidates with unactivated, neutral primary and secondary anilines providing *N*-arylamines in high yield and with excellent regioselectivity for branched products.<sup>12</sup> This amination reaction is applicable to acyclic secondary aniline and  $\beta$ -branching allylic trichloroacetimidates, reactions that resulted in low regioselectivity with previously reported methods.<sup>96, 97</sup> Control studies show that our rhodium-catalyzed amination method is unique. Allylic acetates and carbonates are unreactive, and linear imidates are less reactive and provide primarily linear amination products. These studies support the requirement of the chelating imidate nitrogen and an accessible olefin for rapid ionization. Distinct from other amination methods where the reaction proceeds with overall retention, this reaction proceeds with a significant amount of racemization.

### 2.2 Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates: Rhodium-Catalyzed Preparation of $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted Amines

### 2.2.1 Background

With the development of a highly regioselective amination method for secondary trichloroacetimidate substrates with a variety of aniline nucleophiles, we set out to apply this reaction to tertiary trichloroacetimidates for the regioselective preparation of  $\alpha_{,\alpha}$ disubstituted allylic aryl amines.<sup>13</sup> Approaches for synthesis of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted amines are limited, usually involving the addition of organometallic reagents to ketamines<sup>105-108</sup> or Pd<sup>II</sup>-catalyzed the Overman rearrangement of more nucleophilic *N*-PMP trihaloacetimidates.<sup>109</sup> Transition-metal catalyzed amination of allylic carbonates or acetates has been utilized to prepare  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic amines. Palladium catalysts in combination with 1,1-dimethyl-1-propenyl acetate and alkyl amines selectively favor the thermodynamically formed linear products.<sup>92, 93, 110</sup> As discussed earlier, branched products,  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted amines, can be formed as the major isomer when DBU (1 equiv) is used to suppress product isomerization (Scheme 2.8a).<sup>93</sup> Iridium catalysis of allylic acetates with alkyl amines also provides  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted amines in high yield and regioselectivity.<sup>100</sup> In these palladium and iridium amination methods (Scheme 2.8a - b), the only aryl amine nucleophile reported is aniline. A more general method for use of aryl amine nucleophiles is the iron-catalyzed allylic amination reaction reported by Plietker (Scheme 2.8c).<sup>111</sup> The method works with *para-* and *meta-*substituted anilines, providing  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted allylic aryl amines in good yield and with excellent levels of regioselectivity. *Ortho-*substituted anilines are not tolerated in the iron-catalyzed method and do not result in the desired allylic amine products.<sup>111</sup>



**Scheme 2.8** TM-Catalyzed Synthesis of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted Arylamines

Due to limited reports detailing transition-metal catalyzed preparation of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ disubstituted allylic aryl amines, we were excited to apply our method to this application. However, we anticipated some potential challenges because tertiary trichloroacetimidates are more prone to undergo Overman rearrangement to generate trichloroacetamide **252** and ionize more readily leading to increased elimination forming diene **251** compared to secondary allylic trichloroacetimidates (Scheme 2.9).



Scheme 2.9 Proposed Regioselective Amination of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidates

### 2.2.2 Results and Discussion

We commenced by investigating the amination reaction of tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidate **253** with aniline **183a** (Table 2.9).<sup>13</sup> Under previous rhodium optimal conditions,  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted aryl amine **175** was isolated in moderate yield and with 14:1 regioselectivity (entry 1). Increasing the catalyst loading improved both yield and regioselectivity (entries 2 and 3). Switching to a more electron withdrawing phosphite ligand (entry 4), (4-F-PhO)<sub>3</sub>P, improved both yield (69%  $\rightarrow$  86%) and regioselectivity (18:1  $\rightarrow$  31:1). Although diene ligands were inefficient in the rhodium catalyzed amination reactions with the secondary allylic trichloroacetimidates, cyclooctadiene and norbornadiene rhodium dimers efficiently catalyze the allylic amination of tertiary trichloracetimidate **253** (entries 5-9) within 30 min to provide  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted aryl amine **175** in high yield (84% - 90%) and regioselectivity (50:1 - 62:1). Under these conditions, both rearrangement and elimination products (e.g. **252** and **251**, Scheme 2.9) were not observed.

Compared to other methods, our approach provides allylic aryl amine **175** with higher regioselectivity. For instance, reaction of allylic acetate with **174** (Scheme 2.8) in the presence of [(allyl)PdCl]<sub>2</sub>-P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> complex provided **175** with 4:1 regioselectivity.<sup>93</sup> In

another case, reactions of both branched and linear allylic alcohols with **183a** and  $Pd(acac)_2$ -PPh<sub>3</sub> complex provided linear aryl amine **176** as the major product.<sup>112</sup>

	CCl <sub>3</sub> NH Rh(l), 7 253 1	∏HF -NH <sub>2</sub> 83a	HN Me Me 1	+ M 75	Me e	N H H 176
Entry	Rh Catalyst	Loading (mol %)	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	<b>175/176</b> ° Ratio
1	RhCl(P(PhO) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	2	40	6	45	14:1
2	RhCl(P(PhO) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	4	40	4	60	13:1
3	RhCl(P(PhO) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	10	40	2	69	18:1
4	RhCl(P(F-4-PhO) <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	<sub>3</sub> 10	40	2	86	31:1
5	[RhCl(COD) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	5	40	0.5	84	54:1
6	[RhCl(NBD)]2 <sup>d</sup>	5	40	0.5	89	50:1
7	[RhCl(NBD)]2 <sup>d</sup>	5	25	0.5	87	62:1
8	[RhCl(NBD)]2 <sup>d</sup>	2.5	25	0.5	90	62:1
9	[RhCl(NBD)]2 <sup>d</sup>	1	25	0.5	87	56:1

**Table 2.9** Rhodium-catalyzed Amination Optimization

 Studies of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 253<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were conducted at 0.2 M in THF with 1 equiv of **253** and 3 equiv of **183a**.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> The ratio was determined by GC in the crude reaction mixture.

<sup>d</sup> NBD = Norbornadiene

With optimized reaction conditions in place, the scope was examined with a wide range of aromatic amines **183b** - **n** (Table 2.10). Good to excellent yields and regioselectivities (77 – 88%, 55:1 - >99:1 b/l) were obtained with both the electron-rich *para*-methoxyaniline **183c** (entry 2) and the electron-withdrawing 4-substituted anilines including 4-fluoro **183b**, 4-chloro **183g**, 4-pinacol boronate ester **183h** and 4-acetyl **183i** (entries 1-4). Aryl amines **183j** - **k** bearing *meta*-carbonyl functionality also provided  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic amines **253j** - **k** (entries 5 and 6) in good yield (87% and 84%) and

		1-5 mol % [RhCl(NBD)] <sub>2</sub>	I		Me	R
Me	Me	Anilines 183b -n,	Me	Me	Me N	
	253	THF, 0.5 h, 25 °C	br	anched (b) <b>175</b>	linear (I)	176
Entry	Anilines		Produc	sts	Yield(%) <sup>b</sup>	b/l <sup>c</sup>
1	X 183b 183g	H <sub>2</sub> I Me		X 253b X = F 253g X = Cl	81 85	86:1 >99:1
2	MeO 183c	IH <sub>2</sub> H Me <sup>2</sup>	HN Me	OMe 253c	84	66:1
3	0-B 0 183h	NH <sub>2</sub> H		253h	77	90:1
4	OMe 183i	H <sub>2</sub> H		OMe 253i	88	55:1
5	O OEt 183j	IH <sub>2</sub> He		0 253j OEt	87	98:1
6	O Me 183k	H <sub>2</sub> He	HN HN Me	0 253k Me	84	>99:1
7	X NH 183d X 183I X	² =Me Me′ = isopropyl		253d X = Me 253l X = <i>i</i> pr	95 86	>99:1 34:1
8	X NH 183m X 183n X	² < = Br Me = CO₂Me		<b>253m</b> X = Br <b>253n</b> X = CO <sub>2</sub> Me	67 63	24:1 33:1
9	183f H	Me Me		253f	85	15:1

# **Table 2.10** Survey of Various Anilines withTertiary Trichloroacetimidate 253

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were conducted with 1-5 mol% [RhCl(NBD)]<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 M in THF.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> b/l ratio determined by GC.

regioselectivity (b/l = 98:1 and >99:1). The reaction catalyzed by [RhCl(NBD)]<sub>2</sub> is very tolerant of *ortho*-substitution on the aniline nucleophiles (entries 7 and 8), with bromo, methyl ester, methyl and even isopropyl functionality providing 63 - 95% yields of 2-substituted aniline amines with high regioselectivities (24:1 - >99:1). This class of aniline nucleophile does not result in allylic aniline products under iron-catalyzed conditions.<sup>111</sup> Notably, this method is also feasible with challenging secondary aniline **183f** (Table 2.10, entry 9), and the corresponding allylic amine **253f** was isolated in 85% yield and with 15:1 regioselectivity. Here we are used a tertiary electrophile with a sterically encumbered secondary acyclic aniline nucleophile, and recall that this resulted in low regioselectivity with secondary carbonates (1:1 – 4:1 b/l) in a previous report.<sup>95</sup>

Next, we broadened the scope and showed that tertiary allylic imidates 254 - 257 (Table 2.11) are suitable substrates under rhodium reaction conditions, generating  $\alpha,\alpha$ disubstituted allylic aryl amines in good yields and with excellent levels of regioselectivity. These tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidates are of three types. 254 and 255 contain a  $\beta$ substituent with respect to the newly formed quaternary oxygen center. Trichloroacetimidates 256 and 257 do not possess an ethereal oxygen but monoterpenoid substrate 257 does contain an additional olefin that potentially could bind to the rhodium catalyst. Reactions of aniline 183a, 4-fluoroaniline 183b and anisidine 183c provided 72-96% yields and moderate to high regioselectivities (11:1 - >99:1) for the branched amine products with all substrates (Table 2.11, entries 1 - 3). Importantly, the presence of an additional olefin in 257 did not slow or alter the course of the reaction. Aniline nucleophile **183h** with *para*-boronic acid ester substitution also resulted in good yields (63 - 81%) and moderate to high b/l ratios (entry 4) for all substrate types, although the product could not be isolated cleanly in the reaction of phenyl imidate 256. Tertiary substrates 255 and 256 possessing  $\beta$ -oxygen functionality reacted efficiently with electron-rich anisidine 183c (72) - 92%, >99:1 b/l) whereas the comparable secondary trichloroacetimidates 228 and 229 (Table 2.5) provided low yields of the branched amines (20 -47%, >99:1). In the amination

	CCI <sub>3</sub>			
	ó∕∕∾NH	1- 5 mol %		
	R		branched (b)	
	Me	Anilines 183, THF, 25 °C Me	Me	
254	R = OTBS; <b>255</b>	R = OBn	RO	N
256	R = PhCH <sub>2;</sub> 257	$R = Me_2C = CH(CH_2)_2$		H linear (I)
Ent	ry Anilines Proc	ducts	Yield(%) <sup>b</sup>	b/I <sup>c</sup>
	ſ	<b>254a</b> R = OTBS	95	>99:1
	HN	<b>255a</b> R = OBn	90	>99:1
1	183a R	<b>256a</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub>	84	11:1
	∽   ∽ Me	<b>257a</b> R = Me <sub>2</sub> C=CHCH	l <sub>2</sub> 95	14:1
		F 254b R = OTBS	90	>99:1
_		<b>255b</b> R = OBn	87	>99:1
2	950 HN	<b>256b</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub>	96	12:1
	R	257b R = Me <sub>2</sub> C=CHCH	l <sub>2</sub> 85	18:1
	Me	OMe 254c R = OTRS	92	>99.1
		255c R = OBn	72	>99:1
3	183c HN	<b>256c</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub>	95	12:1
	R	↓ 257c R = Me₂C=CHCH	12 73	25:1
	Me	0	2	
			81	>99.1
4		255h R = OBn	63	>99:1
4		257h R = Me <sub>2</sub> C=CHCH	H <sub>2</sub> 81	23:1
	Me	-		
	(			
		<b>254j</b> R = OTBS	90	>99:1
5	183j HŅ	<b>255j</b> R = OBn	71	62:1
	R	<b>257j</b> R = Me <sub>2</sub> C=CHCH <sub>2</sub>	93	72:1
	Me (	COMe		
		254k R = OTBS	94	>99:1
6	183k	255k R = OBn	64	61:1
		257k R = Me <sub>2</sub> C=CHCH	H <sub>2</sub> 95	52:1
	Me			
	Mes	~		
	,			
7	183d HN	<b>254d</b> R = OTBS	90	>99:1
	R	<b>255d</b> R = OBn	88	>99:1
	Me			
	Br			
0	402	<b>254m</b> R = OTBS	69	>99:1
8	$R \downarrow$	<b>255m</b> R = OBn	95	64:1
	Me	~		
	ſ			
0				
9		<b>254f</b> R = OTBS	78	62:1
		*		

### Table 2.11 Survey of Tertiary Allylic Acetimidates

 $^{\rm a}$  All reactions were conducted at 25 °C at 0.2 M in THF, 1 equiv of allylic imidate and 3 equiv of aniline.

<sup>b</sup> Yields are isolated values.

<sup>c</sup> The b/l ratio was determined by GC

reactions of tertiary imidates, bidentate chelation of the product by rhodium near a bulky quaternary center is presumably less feasible, allowing ample catalyst turnover. It is also notable that allylic amination reactions of tertiary imidates **254** and **255** bearing an oxygen substituent at the  $\beta$ -position results in higher branched to linear ratios compared to tertiary imidates **256** and **257**, suggesting that the proximal ether oxygen provides additional chelation control for increased regioselectivity. For example, the benzyl and TBS protected alcohol imidates in entries 1 - 4 (Table 2.11) provide products in >99:1 regioselectivity, but the products of **256** and **257** are isolated with 12:1 - 25:1 b/l ratios. It is interesting that the regioselectivities in reactions using *meta*-substituted ethyl ester **183j** and acetyl **183k** anilines (Table 2.11, entries 4 and 5) are not as dependant on the substrate, where benzyloxy products **255j** and **k** were isolated in 64 - 71% yield and 61:1 - 62:1 b/l ratio and monoterpenoid **257j** and **k** were prepared in 93 - 95% yield and with 52:1 - 72:1 regioselectivity.

The generality of the rhodium-catalyzed amination method was demonstrated with *ortho*-substituted and secondary acyclic *N*-methylanilines. Again these are challenging nucleophiles that have failed to provide satisfactory results in previous reports.<sup>95, 111</sup> In our reaction, *ortho*-toluidine **183d** and 2-bromoaniline **183m** are effective nucleophiles with  $\beta$ -TBSO and BnO substrates providing aniline products in 69 – 95% yield and with 64: - >99:1 b/l ratios (Table 2.11, entries 7 and 8). The reaction of sterically hindered *N*-methylaniline **183f** with tertiary allylic imidate **254** (Table 2.11, entry 9), containing OTBS at the  $\beta$ -position resulted in 78% yield of a b/l ratio of 62:1. Conversely, the reaction of 1,1-dimethyl-2-propenyl imidate **253** (Table 2.10, entry 9) with the same nucleophile was isolated with 15:1 regioselectivity, highlighting the importance of  $\beta$ -oxygen functionality in the reaction of sterically encumbered anilines and substrates. Importantly, in all of these studies with tertiary trichloroacetimidates rearrangement products are not observed.

The *N*-1,1-dimethyl-2-propenyl indoles and derivatives are structural motifs found in biologically active natural products.<sup>113</sup> These compounds are commonly prepared via a

multi-step sequence involving *N*-propargylation of indoline, oxidation to indole and partial hydrogenation of alkyne to the alkene.<sup>113</sup> A direct reverse isoprenylation of indole using large excess of reagents has been described.<sup>114</sup> Transition-metal catalyzed ring closure of



Scheme 2.10 Preparation of Isoprenylated Indoles

2-alkynyl anilines could potentially provide access to 1,1-disubstituted indoles;<sup>115</sup> thus we reasoned that allylic amination of tertiary trichloroacetimidates (Scheme 2.10) in the presence of 2-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl) aniline and subsequent ring closure would result in an efficient 2-step synthesis of varied reverse prenylated indoles. Aniline **1830** possessing an *ortho*-alkynyl group could prove to be problematic in the amination step due to hinderance and/or introduction of another coordination site for the rhodium metal catalyst. Although there were these potential challenges, tertiary trichloroacetimidates **253**, **254** and **255** (Scheme 2.10) were subjected to optimized amination conditions with aniline **1830** resulting in the allylic aryl amine products **2530**, **2540** and **2550**, respectively, in good yield (69% - 85%) and with excellent levels of regioselectivity (54:1 - 90:1). These branched aryl amine products subsequently cyclized to the corresponding reverse prenylated indoles **258**, **259**, and **260** (Scheme 2.10) in high yield after treatment with CuI at 80°C for 15 h.<sup>13</sup>

Having successfully applied our rhodium-catalyzed amination method to tertiary trichloroacetimidate electrophiles for the synthesis of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic aryl amines, I conducted a few more studies to gain understanding of the mechanism. Tertiary electrophiles are more easily ionized so we wanted to determine if reactivity would parallel previous control studies with secondary trichloroacetimidates (Table 2.8 and Scheme 2.5).<sup>12</sup> A Lewis acid study in the presence of aniline nucleophile was conducted with imidate **253** (Scheme 2.11a). As expected, the reaction was much faster (1 h) than the comparable reaction with secondary trichloroacetimidates (15 – 21 h, Table 2.8) and products **175** and **176** were prepared with low regioselectivity (1:3 b/l), again providing



Scheme 2.11 Control Studies with Tertiary Electrophiles

evidence that the rhodium catalyst is not simply acting as a Lewis acid. Allylic carbonate **261** and acetate **262** (Scheme 2.11a) derivatives of trichloroacetimidate **253** were prepared and subjected to our reaction conditions (Scheme 2.11b). Although the starting materials were completely consumed in this case, less than 2% yield of the desired allylic amination

product **175** was isolated after 18 h. In a new experiment, the less basic *N*-phenyl trifluoroacetimidate derivative **263** (Scheme 2.11c) was prepared and subjected to rhodium conditions. This reaction resulted in only 22% yield of the desired branched allylic amine product **175** and for the first time in our studies, 32% of the rearrangement product **264**. In accordance with our previous studies,<sup>12</sup> these three studies suggest that the strongly coordinating nitrogen atom of the trichloroacetimidate leaving group is a required component for bidentate chelation by the rhodium catalyst for efficient allylic amination.

### 2.2.3 Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully applied our rhodium-catalyzed reaction to tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidate electrophiles. Optimized conditions consisting of 1-5 mol% of NBD dimer catalyst at ambient temperature, provides the desired  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic amines in good yields and with excellent levels of regioselectivity. As observed with secondary trichloroacetimidates,<sup>12</sup> the reaction is amenable to a variety of aromatic amines and tertiary imidates, with the exception that substrates lacking a  $\beta$ -oxygen substituent result in lower regioselectivities. This work now provides a more general transition-metal catalyzed allylic amination method with a wide palette of tolerable neutral aniline nucleophiles and substrates for preparation of these quaternary centers. The method is amenable to synthetic applications as demonstrated in the facile two-step preparation of isoprenylated indoles that are present in a number of natural products.

During development of our methodology, we continued to conduct studies to try to probe the important aspects related to reaction mechanism. Experiments using secondary and tertiary electrophiles continue to support our initial hypothesis that a more reactive branched allylic trichloroacetimidate should ionize rapidly forming an oxidized transitionmetal complex that is activated for attack by an external nucleophile. Our studies confirm the requirement of the basic imidate nitrogen, and a reaction pathway more involved than Lewis acid activation of the imidate. Our critical findings that diene-ligated rhodium is an efficient catalyst for regioselective amination and the fact that the amination is not enantiospecific sets the stage for development of an enantioselective reaction in chapter

### CHAPTER III DYNAMIC KINETIC ASYMMETRIC TRANSFORMATIONS OF RACEMIC TRICHLOROACETIMIDATES

3.1 The Regio- and Enantioselective Preparation of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted Allylic Aryl Amines

### 3.1.1 Background

The enantioselective synthesis of target compounds from racemic sources continues to be an area of intense focus in organic synthesis. Increases and advancement of efficient methodologies for asymmetric synthesis have become commonplace, appearing daily in top peer reviewed journals. Although seemingly trivial, there are new applications and difficult challenges that require development or improvement of existing methods for enantioselective synthesis. One such example is the asymmetric synthesis of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted amines.<sup>89, 116, 117</sup> These chiral amines are structural moieties present in bioactive natural and non-natural products (Figure 3.1), and methods to prepare these amine-bearing quaternary centers are relatively sparse. One approach to the synthesis of chiral  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted amines is the palladium-catalyzed aza-Claisen rearrangements of



**Figure 3.1** Natural and Synthetic Compounds Containing  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstitued Amines

linear allylic *N*-aryl trihaloacetimidates (Scheme 3.1a).<sup>109</sup> Although allylic amines are formed in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivity in the rearrangement, full conversion necessitates 50°C over 2.5 - 10 days. In addition, this method requires in situ preparation of the cationic ferrocenyl imidazoline palladacycle (FIP-X) **264** with close to 4 equivalents (based on the catalyst) of AgTFA. A problem that is encountered is large amounts of elimination product is formed due to the introduction of trifluoroacetic acid



Scheme 3.1 Enantioselective Synthesis of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -Disubstituted Amines

during preparation of the active catalyst. Inclusion of a proton sponge can suppress formation of this byproduct, however, catalyst **264** also activates acetimidates for elimination. A more common approach to  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted amines involves addition of organometallic reagents to ketimines (Scheme 3.1b).<sup>105, 106, 117, 118</sup> Several challenges can emerge using this approach. First, the addition to ketimines containing an  $\alpha$ -hydrogen is problematic because imines have the propensity to enolize.<sup>106</sup> Second, stereoselectivity is often moderate because the ketimine component (i. e. **267**, Figure 3.1b) can be difficult to prepare with high selectivity for the desired *E*- or *Z*-isomer. High stereoselectivity in the addition step can also be difficult because differentiation of enantiotopic faces of the ketimine is often limited.<sup>106</sup> Third, ketimines are significantly less reactive than aldimines and require activation by the addition of a Lewis acid (Scheme 3.1b). While aromatic ketimines react with high yield and stereoselectivity, aliphatic ketimines remain problematic. In response to these challenges, Hayashi reported that rhodium-chiral diene complexes effectively catalyze the addition of sodium tetraarylborates to *N*-tosyl ketimines (Scheme 3.1c).<sup>107</sup> Although this reaction has greatly improved the addition reaction to ketimines, it still requires extended reaction times and elevated temperatures.

As discussed in chapter 2, the transition-metal catalyzed substitution of primary and secondary allylic carbonates and acetates has been utilized for the enantiospecific<sup>96,97</sup> and enantioselective preparation of  $\alpha$ -substituted *N*-aryl amines.<sup>91,95,119</sup> These useful and powerful methods deliver high yields of amine building blocks with excellent enantiopurity. I also described transition-metal mediated substitutions of tertiary electrophiles for the regioselective synthesis of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted *N*-aryl amines.<sup>93,100,111</sup> These methods now include our newly developed rhodium-catalyzed substitution reaction of tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidates with neutral aniline nucleophiles.<sup>13</sup> Our method is tolerant of a much wider range of anilines and substrates providing some of the highest regioselectivities in these reactions to date. At this point however, there were no examples of a regioselective and enantioselective allylic substitution reaction catalyzed by a transition-metal that furnished  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted *N*-aryl amines with high enantiopurity.

Our early studies led to some important findings that ultimately provided a path towards the first DYKAT of a tertiary electrophile and an aniline nucleophile.<sup>103</sup> First, we had developed an allylic amination from branched imidates that results in high regioselectivity for the desired branched products, and additional studies showed that the branched amine products do not isomerize to linear products under our reaction conditions. Second, although rhodium dimers containing diene ligands such as [RhCl(cyclooctadiene)]<sub>2</sub> and [RhCl(cyclooctene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> do not promote high yielding and regioselective reactions with secondary imidates under our conditions (Table 2.3, entries 2 and 3),<sup>12</sup> [RhCl(norbornadiene)]<sub>2</sub> is an efficient catalyst for the amination of secondary and tertiary trichloroacetimidates.<sup>13</sup> This should make it feasible to develop a chiral diene-ligated rhodium catalyst for an asymmetric version of the reaction based on a norbornadiene or bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene scaffold. The most significant finding was the control study using enantiopure trichloroacetimidate (*S*)-**239** (Scheme 2.6) where the resulting amine product **242** was isolated in racemic form, indicating that our rhodium-catalyzed amination of branched allylic trichloroacetimidates is not enantiospecific. This unusual outcome can be rationalized by the proposed mechanism outlined in Scheme 3.2



Scheme 3.2 Proposed Mechanism for Racemization of 273

(and Scheme 2.7). To review, ionization of **273** would result in  $\pi$ -allyl organorhodium complex **274**. This  $\eta$ 3-complex **274** is in equilibrium with its sigma-bonded  $\eta^1$ -derivative **275** and could interconvert to  $\pi$ -allyl complex *ent*-**274** by a process described shortly. If the rate of substitution by the aniline nucleophile (*k* and *k*) is sufficiently slow versus the equilibration of **274** and *ent*-**274**, a racemic mixture of amination product **276** will result.

Our strategy for an enantioselective version of our rhodium-catalyzed allylic amination reaction with aniline nucleophiles hinged on the results of the enantiospecific study (Scheme 2.6) and the proposed mechanism for racemization (Scheme 3.2). We hypothesized that a dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT)<sup>87</sup> of a racemic mixture of trichloroacetimidates (**273** and *ent*-**273**) could be possible if chiral ligands were ligated to the rhodium metal center. Ionization of **273** and *ent*-**273** would provide two diastereomeric  $\pi$ -allyl rhodium complexes **274** and *ent*-**274**, respectively (Scheme 3.3). We reasoned that the asymmetric environment provided by the chiral ligands would slow down the rate of nucleophilic attack by anilines and increase the time allowed for rapid  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$  interconversion of complexes **274** and *ent*-**274**. The lower energy transition-state (matched pathway, *k*) to amination products would lead to preferential formation of enantiomer **277**.



Scheme 3.3 Strategy for DYKAT of Tertiary Allylic Imidates

The  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$  interconversion of  $\pi$ -syn 274 and  $\pi$ -syn ent-274 can occur from each complex by two different pathways, all in equilibrium (Scheme 3.4).<sup>91, 120</sup> The interconversions proceed through the terminally bound  $\sigma$ -rhodium complexes 278 and 278', and internally bound complexes 279 and 279'. Each of these  $\eta^1$ -rhodium complexes can rotate 180° about the rhodium-carbon  $\sigma$ -bond and slip into  $\eta^3$ -complexes, thereby providing pathways for  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$  interconversion. In palladium  $\pi$ -allyl complexes, the energy barrier to complexes 279 and 279' is much larger than terminally bound  $\sigma$ -complexes 278 and 278' because there is substantial steric interaction between substituents on the substrate and the ligands on palladium.<sup>91, 120, 121</sup> In addition,  $\pi$ -anti complexes (**280** and **280'**) are generally less stable than  $\pi$ -syn complexes (**278** and **278'**) due to A<sup>1,3</sup> strain in the former complexes (Scheme 3.4). In the studies of iridium (I) allylic substitution reactions, terminal branched and linear (*E*)-allylic acetates do not form (*Z*)-products, which are the major products from (*Z*)-configured acetates. (*E*)-configured products derive from *syn*  $\pi$ allyl complexes and (*Z*)-products from *anti*- $\pi$ -allyl complexes suggesting high barriers and slow interconversion of these complexes.<sup>85</sup> Considering these facts, we proposed that isomerization of the complexes  $\pi$ -*syn* **274** and  $\pi$ -*syn ent*-**274** will predominate leading to enantioenriched amine products.



Scheme 3.4 Equilibration of  $\pi$ -Allyl Rhodium Complexes

At the onset of our studies, few resolutions of unsymmetrical acyclic racemic substrates in amination reactions had been described, and no reports using an aryl amine as the nucleophile (Scheme 3.5).<sup>102, 122-124</sup> Kinetic resolutions of secondary racemic carbonates<sup>122</sup> and benzoates<sup>102</sup> catalyzed by chiral rhodium and iridium complexes were reported that prepare branched amines **284** and **287** in  $\leq$  50% yield and with high enantioselectivity (Scheme 3.5a and b). Dai and coworkers developed a palladium-catalyzed DYKAT method that utilizes secondary allylic acetates, benzylamine as the nucleophile and a novel ferrocene-based *P*,*N* ligand **288** that provides amine prducts in 76

– 94% yield and with 94 – 98% ee (Scheme 3.5c).<sup>123</sup> A very powerful DYKAT method that delivers primary allylic amines **294** in 44 – 89% yield and with 66 – 99% *ee* from racemic allylic alcohols was recently reported by Carreira (Scheme 3.5d).<sup>124</sup> The only amination involving resolution of a tertiary substrate was reported by Trost using phthalimide in DYKAT ring opening of a vinyl epoxide (Scheme 3.5e).<sup>125</sup>



Scheme 3.5 Resolution of Racemic Electrophiles in Allylic Aminations

We decided to begin our DYKAT investigations with tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidates for a few reasons. First, we felt that there was a greater need and opportunity to persue an amination reaction of this type. The single example for preparation of non-racemic  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted amines (Scheme 3.5e) involves vinyl epoxide
ring-opening rather than an allylic leaving group. An allylic trichloroacetimidate would allow a wider range of substrate types that could be utilized. In general, enantioselective preparation of quaternary centers is a difficult problem with few available methods. To date, tertiary allylic carbonates and acetates have not been suitable electrophiles in enantioselective allylic aminations. The prospect that development of an asymmetric method to construct this motif via the first dynamic kinetic resolution of an allylic tertiary electrophile and an aniline nucleophile was certainly alluring. Second, we postulated that the use of tertiary imidates may have a greater chance of success in a rhodium-catalyzed DYKAT of racemic trichloroacetimidates. Our proposed mechanism requires that nucleophilic attack by the aniline nucleophile is slow versus the interconversion of intermediate organorhodium  $\pi$ -allyl complexes (**274** and *ent*-**274**, Scheme 3.3). Tertiary trichloroacetimidates should be more readily ionized and attack by aniline at a more sterically congested center should be slow in comparison to secondary imidates.

### 3.1.2 Results and Discussion

To test our hypothesis, we set out to explore the effects of a number of commercially available chiral diene ligands,<sup>126</sup> **L1-L4** (Table 3.1) on enantioselectivity in the amination reaction of racemic tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidate **255** with aniline **183a**. Lin ligand **L1**<sup>127</sup> (entry 1) and Hayashi ligand **L2**<sup>128</sup> (entry 2) resulted in a near-racemic product **255a**. Carreira ligand **L3**<sup>129</sup> (entry 3) gave measurable enantioselectivity (26% *ee*) for the process. Hayashi ligand **L4**<sup>130</sup> (entry 4) was the most active and enantioselective at 40°C, providing  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -disubstituted amine **255a** as a single regioisomer in 72% yield and with good enantioselectivity (73% *ee*). Lowering the reaction temperature to 25°C (entry 5) resulted in significant enhancement in enantioselectively (84% *ee*). With tertiary substrates, this most likely results from a lowered rate of nucleophilic attack by aniline versus the rate of interconversion of the organorhodium complexes (**274** and *ent-274*, Scheme 3.3).

BnO 255	CCI <sub>3</sub> ONH Me (racemic)	+	—NH <sub>2</sub> _	5 mol % [RhCl(ethylene); 10 mol % Ligano	2]2 d	Me, HN BnO	
entry	ligand	aniline (equiv)	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	% ee <sup>c</sup>
1	L1	3	THF	40	4	14	-2
2	L2	3	THF	40	1	59	-1
3	L3	3	THF	40	1	71	-26
4	L4	3	THF	40	1	72	73
5	L4	3	THF	25	1	77	84
6	L4	3	THF	0	1	77	83
7	L4	3	Et <sub>2</sub> O	25	1	72	76
8	L4	3	$CH_2CI_2$	25	1	43	68
9	L4	3	Acetone	25	1	66	61
10	L4	3	Dioxane	25	1	73	78
11	L4	3	Toluene	25	1	71	82
12	L4	3	MTBE	25	1	78	86
13	L4	1.5	MTBE	25	1	69	89
Ph H H H	Ph Me	Me Ne	0	Me Me		Me Ph ∠Bn ∡	Ph
L1		L2		·	L3		L4

### Table 3.1 DYKAT Optimization of Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 255<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were conducted at 0.2 M with 1 equiv. of tertiary allylic imidate.

<sup>b</sup> Yields are isolated values

<sup>c</sup> ee's were determined by chiral HPLC.

Conversely, lowering the reaction temperature may make destabilizing interactions in the transition state leading to the minor enantiomer more prohibitive. Reduction of the catalyst loading to 1 mol% at 25°C for 4 hours lowered the yield to 41% and enantioselectivity to 77% (not shown in Table 3.1) Reducing the reaction temperature further to 0°C (entry 6) did not impact the results. A number of solvents (entries 7– 12) were screened, with *t*-butyl methyl ether (MTBE) inducing the highest enantioselectivity providing **255a** with 86% *ee* (entry 12). Decreasing the equivalents of aniline **183a** resulted in conditions that afforded 89% *ee* with good yield (69%) and complete regioselectivity (entry 13). Again, lower yields and increases in enantioselectivity may reflect a lower rate of addition by aniline.

Having established bicyclo[2,2,2]-octadiene L4 as the optimal chiral ligand, I examined the steric and electronic nature of substituents on the phenyl rings by preparing dienes L5-L9 (Figure 3.2) and utilizing these ligands in the enantioselective amination of 255 with aniline 183a. The electron-rich 4-methoxyphenyl substituted diene L5 resulted in a substantial drop in enantioselectivity and yield (60%, 71% *ee*, Figure 3.2). Amination using *t*-butyl derivative L6 provided yields and *ees* that are intermediate between methoxy derivative L5 and the commercially available Hayashi L4. Because the results follow the donating ability of the substitutents on the phenyl rings suggests that the electronic nature



Figure 3.2 Modification of Ligand Substitutents

of the ligand is a more important factor determining reaction outcome. I continued to modify the electronics of the ligands by making electron-poor fluorinated Hayashi ligand derivatives **L7** to **L9** (Figure 3.2). The electron-deficient 4-fluorophenyl group **L7** significantly improved both yield and enantioselectivity (85% yield, 94% *ee*). The more electron-deficient ligands 3,4-difluoro **L8** and 3,4,5-trifluoro **L9** did not show further improvement, rather yields and enantioselectivity decreased as fluorine substitution increased.

The trend that is observed with respect to ligand electronics and the resulting yields and enantioselectivities is quite interesting. As the ligands progress from the most electrondonating L5 to the withdrawing 4-fluoro derivative L7, the yields and enantioselectivities increase, then taper off with more electron-withdrawing groups L8 and L9. To gain some insight regarding these results, I conducted a few <sup>13</sup>C NMR studies where free ligand and the rhodium-bound ligand were individually monitored and the difference in chemical shift was observed (Table 3.2). It is generally accepted that electron-deficient olefins provide more stable transition-metal complexes in comparison to electron-rich olefins due to increased backbonding from the metal center into the  $\pi^*$  antibonding molecular orbital of the olefin.<sup>126, 131, 132</sup> This weakens the  $\pi$ -bond, shifting hybridization of the olefin from sp<sup>2</sup> to more sp<sup>3</sup> character, and is evidenced by large upfield shifts of the olefinic carbons to the sp<sup>3</sup> region of the <sup>13</sup>C spectrum.<sup>131</sup> Stability is conferred by increasing pyramidalization of the olefin to the metal.<sup>126, 133</sup> For example, electron-withdrawing ligand L7 has a larger coordination shift than electron-donating diene ligand L5, possibly suggesting a more stable rhodium- L7 complex. The magnitude of the <sup>103</sup>Rh-<sup>13</sup>C coupling constant of 10.6-12 Hz (Table 3.2) suggests that there is considerable s-character in these rhodium-chiral diene complexes.<sup>131</sup> As we prepare new ligands for asymmetric transformations we will continue to conduct these ligation NMR studies to determine if it can be used as a predictive tool for ligand design and selection.



Table 3.2 <sup>13</sup>C Data of Rhodium-Ligated DienesL4, L5 and L7

Having optimized the reaction with respect to equivalents of aniline, temperature, solvent and chiral ligand for efficient enantioselective amination of tertiary benzyloxy trichloroacetimidate **255** with aniline **183a**, the scope of the allylic amination reaction was investigated with a number of anilines **183b** – **q** (Table 3.3) that differ in electronics, sterics and substitution patterns on the aromatic ring. Electron-rich and electron-deficient *para*-substituted anilines (entries 1 – 5) were found to be suitable nucleophiles, giving rise to  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted allylic amines in moderate to good yields (51 – 82%) and good to high enantioselectivity (85 – 96% *ee*). In each of these examples it is worth noting that all reactions proceeded with excellent regioselectivity (33:1 - >99:1). The reaction product **255c** (entry 3) prepared using the electron-rich 4-methoxyaniline **183c** was isolated in somewhat lower yield (77%) and selectivity (33:1 b/l, 90% *ee*) compared to electron-poor products **255b** and **255p** (entries 1 and 2) that were isolated in 82 – 86% yields, >99:1 b/l ratio and 91- 96% *ee*. The lower yield may be due in part to some product inhibition of the catalyst with an accessible  $\beta$ -oxygen. The same results were observed in the regioselective

BnO	CCl <sub>3</sub> O NH Me racemic) CCl <sub>3</sub> 5 mol % [RhCl(ethyle 1 0 mol % L7, Aniline 183b-c	<sup>6</sup> ne) <sub>2]2</sub> MTBE, I, 25 °C, 1 h branched (b)	-R <sup>2</sup> + BnO、	Me N R <sup>1</sup> linear (I)	R <sup>2</sup>
entry	anilines	products	% yield	b/l ratio	% ee
1	F 183b	Me, HN BnO 255b	82	>99:1	96
2	Br 183p	Me, HN BnO 255p	86 <sup>b</sup>	>99:1 <sup>b</sup>	91 <sup>b</sup>
3	MeO 183c	Me, HN BnO 255c	77	33:1	90
4	О- <sub>В</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> У 183h	Me, HN Bno 255h	54	>99:1	91
5	MeO <sub>2</sub> C 183i	Me, HN BnO 255i	51	>99:1	85
6	EtO <sub>2</sub> C NH <sub>2</sub> 183j	Me, HN BnO 255j	79	>99:1	94
7	Me NH <sub>2</sub> 183d	Me, HN BnO 255d	69	51:1	89
8	Me Me NH <sub>2</sub> 1831	Me Me, HN BnO 2551	74	48:1	94
9	MeO N H 183q	Me BnO 255q	70	30:1	93

Table 3.3 Survey of Aniline Nucleophiles in DYKAT<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were conducted with 1 equiv of allylic imidate and 1.5 equiv of aniline. Yields are isolated values; *ee*'s were determined by chiral HPLC and b/l ratio by GC.

<sup>b</sup> 0.5g scale.

amination reaction product (Table 2.11, entries 1 and 2) where 255c was isolated in 72% yield versus 87% yield for 255b. The lower selectivities may result from increased background reaction or a more nucleophilic aniline. The moderate yield (51%) of 255i (entry 5) in the reaction of trichloroacetimidate 255 with 4-ester substituted aniline 183i may simply reflect a less nucleophilic aniline because 4-nitroaniline (unpublished results) fails to provide the desired product under our reaction conditions. In contrast, the more basic aniline 183j, containing ester functionality at the *meta*-position, provided product 255j (entry 6) as a single regioisomer in good yield (79%) and with high levels of enantioselectivity (94% ee). We were very excited to see that this method also works with ortho-substituted anilines **183d-l** (entry 7 and 8), and allylic amines were isolated with high enantioselectivity (89 - 94% ee) and regioselectivity (b/l = 48:1 - 51:1). Our previous report<sup>13</sup> had delivered the first highly regioselective allylic amination of tertiary electrophiles that is compatible with ortho-substituted aniline nucleophiles and now we had rendered the reaction enantioselective as well. As a result, we sought to extend this catalytic method to a challenging acyclic secondary aniline 95f (entry 9), which is known to provide the products with poor regioselectivity in the aminination reaction of primary allylic carbonates.<sup>95</sup> The reaction of tertiary imidate 255 and *N*-methyl anisidine 183q proceeded smoothly under our optimized conditions, and amine **255q** (entry 9) was isolated in 70% yield and with excellent selectivity (b/l = 30:1, 93% ee).

Next I proceeded to examine the substrate scope of the reaction using the reactive 4-fluoroaniline nucleophile**183b** (Table 3.4). Based on previous experience using tertiary trichloroacetimidates in regioselective reactions, the functional-group tolerance of the rhodium-L7 catalyst was first evaluated with a number of branched imidates containing different  $\beta$ -protected alcohols (entries 1 – 7). Both electron-rich and electron-deficient benzyl ether derivatives **299** and **300** (entries 1 and 2) provided the amination products **299b** and **300b** in 61 – 76% yield, >99:1 b/l ratios and with comparable enantioselectivity (~93% *ee*) to that of benzyl ether **255** (96% *ee*, Table 3.3). Phenyl, methyl and MOM

RO	ONH [RhCl(ethy	Me, HN	Me RO		F
254-30	Me Aniline <b>183b</b> , <b>4</b> (racemic)	25 °C, 1 h branched (b)		H linear (I)	
entry	Imidates	Products	% yield	b/l ratio	% ee
1	CCl <sub>3</sub> O NH PMBO	PMBO F	76	>99:1	93
2	299 CCI <sub>3</sub> O NH 4-F-BnO Me 300	2996 -F-BnO 300b	61	>99:1	92
3		Me, HN PhO	84	>99:1	80
4	301 CCI <sub>3</sub> O NH MeO Me 302	Me, HN Meo 302b	57	>99:1	86
5	CCI <sub>3</sub> O NH MOMO 303 Me	MOMO 303b	62	>99:1	89
6		Me, HN BzO 304b	53	>99:1	59
7	CCI <sub>3</sub> O NH TBSO 254 Me	TBSO 254b	92	90:1	76
8	CCI <sub>3</sub> O NH BnO Me 305	Me, HN BnO 305d	70	5:1	56
9	CCl <sub>3</sub> 0 NH PhMe 256	Me, HN Ph 256c	68	7:1	52

Table 3.4 Substrate Scope of DYKAT Amination<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were conducted with 1 equiv. of allylic imidate and 1.5 equiv of aniline. Yields are isolated values; *ee*'s were determined by chiral HPLC and b/l ratio by GC.

protected ethers **301** – **303** (entries 3 – 5) also resulted in good yields, excellent regioselectivities and 80 – 89% *ee* in the reaction. The ester group was not suitable for this system, and benzoyl allylic amine **304 b** (entry 6) was isolated in 53% yield and with 59% *ee*. As the functional group becomes more bulky (i.e. TBS **254**, entry 7), a significant drop in enantioselectivity was observed (76% *ee*). In addition, substrates **305** and **256** that lack a β-oxygen substituent were subjected to DYKAT amination with aniline **183b** (entries 8 and 9). Although 68 – 70% yield of the amine products **305d** and **256c** were prepared, the regioselectivities (b/l = 5:1 – 7:1) and enantioselectivities (52 – 56% *ee*) were lower than entries 1 – 5 (Table 3.4) and entries 3 and 7 (Table 3.3) using comparable nucleophiles. This is in agreement with our previous studies, where yields and selectivities drop with substrates lacking a β-oxygen substituent.<sup>13</sup> This is also evident, but to a lesser degree with imidates possessing inaccessible β-oxygen functionality (entries 6 and 7, Table 3.4). Again this suggests that additional chelation control is provided by available β-oxygen lone-pairs and is necessary for high selectivity in the amination reaction of tertiary substrates.



Scheme 3.6 Preparation of TFA salt 306 for X-ray Analysis

To establish the absolute stereochemistry of the product, allylic imidate **255** was subjected to our rhodium conditions in the presence of *para*-bromoaniline **183p** (Scheme 3.6). The reaction was performed on a half-gram scale to give amine **255p** in 86% yield and with 91% *ee*. Surprisingly, very few of the allylic aryl amine products that we have prepared are solids. Most of these products are isolated as clear to light brown oils. After

a number of trials and various conditions, I obtained crystalline product **255p** as a TFA salt. The absolute stereochemistry of amine-TFA salt **306** was determined to be (*S*) by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 3.3). The solid-state structure of **306** shows that it exists as two independent cation-anion pairs through hydrogen bonding. The amine cations have the same configuration but different conformations (Figure 3.3).



Figure 3.3 ORTEP Diagram of TFA salt 306 Dimer

### 3.1.3 Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully applied chiral Hayashi-type bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene ligands to our rhodium-catalyzed amination reaction which has resulted in the first reported dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT) of racemic tertiary electrophiles with unactivated anilines. The method is operationally simple and efficient, providing a new method for synthesis of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted allylic *N*-aryl amines in moderate to good yields and with good to excellent regio- and enantioselectivity. The method is successful because it deviates from conventional

methods that utilize allylic carbonates and acetates and instead uses a branched trichloroacetimidate leaving group possessing two points of accessible chelation. In the next section I describe the expansion of our DYKAT reaction to secondary trichloroacetimidates for the enantioselective preparation of  $\alpha$ -substituted allylic aryl amines.

### 3.2 The Regio- and Enantioselective Preparation of α-substituted Allylic Aryl Amines

### 3.2.1 Background

Our catalytic amination reaction is a powerful tool that allows for the similtaneous regio- and enantioselective synthesis of nitrogen-containing quaternary centers. In large part, this achievement is an adaptation of Overman's novel work with primary allylic trichloroacetimidates. By applying branched trichloroacetimidates to transition-metal catalyzed allylic substitution reactions, we now have options to bond constructions that were problematic with allylic acetates and carbonates. Issues of low regioselectivity with  $\alpha$ -dibranched substrates, *ortho*-substituted and secondary acylic anilines, and no reports of DYKAT amination using aniline nucleophiles are a few examples. The development of new methodologies can provide increased efficiency or selectivity, or complement existing procedures by providing alternative routes for synthetic construction.

Hartwig's Ir(I)-catalyzed amination of prochiral linear carbonates with aniline nucleophiles is an excellent choice for enantioselective synthesis of  $\alpha$ -substituted allylic aryl amines and is the benchmark for such amination methodologies (Table 2.1).<sup>95</sup> We noted one limitation of Hartwig's method. Acyclic secondary anilines react with poor regioselectivity (branched/linear = 1:1 – 4:1) in the enantioselective method and we had utilized *N*-methyl anilines with good regio- and enantioselectivity in previous studies.<sup>12, 13, 103</sup> Thus, we decided first to extend our amination method to secondary

allylic trichloroacetimidates **220** with acyclic secondary anilines to generate allylic *N*-methyl aryl amines **307** (Scheme 3.5).<sup>134</sup>

We initiated our DYKAT studies with tertiary trichloroacetimidates because we proposed that these substrates may have a better chance of success where nucleophilic addition occurs on a more substituted carbon center. It was critical to our proposal that nucleophilic attack is slow relative to the rate of interconversion of  $\pi$ -allyl organorhodium intermediates **274** and *ent*-**274** (Scheme 3.3). We wondered if the DYKAT reaction could be applied to secondary trichloroacetimidates since the rate of addition on a less-sterically encumbered carbon may approach the rate of interconversion. This concern, combined with the fact that poor regioselectivity had been reported in the asymmetric method with *N*-methyl aniline could make this strategy problematic.



Scheme 3.7 DYKAT Amination with N-Methyl Anilines

### 3.2.2 Results and Discussion

We began our investigation using benzyloxy allylic trichloroacetimidate **229** and *N*-methyl aniline **183f** as the standard substrate and nucleophile in a series of optimization reactions where commercially available Hayashi ligand **L4** and derivatives **L5–L7** (Table 3.5) were investigated after ligation to rhodium dimer.<sup>134</sup> Initial amination conditions were performed with 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, 10 mol% of ligand **L4**, 3 equivalents of aniline **183f** and 1 equivalent of imidate **229** in THF. In 1 h at room temperature, the reaction providing branched *N*-methyl amine product **229f** was complete and resulted in 83% yield

and 55% *ee* (Table 3.5, entry 1). To our excitement, the undesired linear product was not detected in the reaction. Increasing the reaction temperature to 40°C (entry 2) and lowering the equivalents of *N*-methyl aniline **183f** (entry 3) both resulted in higher enantioselectivity (72 and 80% *ee*). Continuing to increase the temperature to 60 °C (entry 4) had an adverse effect on the enantioselectivity (69% *ee*). We reason that increasing the reaction temperature leads to faster interconversion of rhodium  $\pi$ -allyl complexes and accelerates nucleophilic attack which lowers enantioselectivity (Scheme 3.3). Running the reaction at 40°C with secondary trichloroacetimidates may be optimal for interconversion without detrimental increases in nucleophilicity. As we had observed earlier, temperature presumably affects aniline nucleophilicity more than interconversion in the reaction of tertiary imidates. Optimization continued by reducing the Rh catalyst loading to 2 mol% (entry 5), which did not affect the reaction efficiency. A number of solvents were subsequently screened including methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE), cyclopropyl methyl ether (CPME), toluene and dioxane (entries 6 – 9). Dioxane (entry 9) was established as the optimal solvent, providing **229f** in 99% yield and with 85% *ee*.

Next, we studied the steric and electronic bias of the substituents on the phenyl ring of the diene ligands (L5 - L7) in the DYKAT reaction. These chiral ligands (Table 3.5, entries 10 – 12) are less enantioselective than the parent diene L4 (entry 9). When the amination reaction was scaled utilizing 0.5 g of imidate 229 using diene L4 (entry 13) and required concentration with catalyst prior to purification, significant isomerization from the branched amine 229f to the linear isomer was observed. To avoid isomerization, the crude product was filtered through a pad of silica prior to concentration to remove the rhodium catalyst. Product 229f from the larger scale reaction was isolated in 99% yield, 87% *ee* and 23:1 b/l ratio (entry 13). Configuration of 229f is assigned based on our previous report with tertiary allylic imidates.<sup>103</sup>

With optimized amination conditions in hand, we proceeded to explore the reaction scope with a variety of *N*-methyl anilines and secondary trichloroacetimidate

<b>D=0</b>		+	Me	2 - 5 n [RhCl(ethy	nol % /lene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	Me、	N
BUO	229	18	N H 3f	4 - 10 mol % Solvent,	₀ Ligand, 1 h	впо	229f
entry	ligand	Rh loading (mol%)	aniline (equiv)	solvent	temp (°C)	yield (%) <sup>a</sup>	% ee <sup>b</sup>
1	L4	5	3	THF	25	83	55
2	L4	5	3	THF	40	80	72
3	L4	5	1.5	THF	40	89	80
4	L4	5	1.5	THF	60	83	69
5	L4	2	1.5	THF	40	87	80
6	L4	2	1.5	MTBE	40	80	75
7	L4	2	1.5	CPME	40	83	82
8	L4	2	1.5	Toluene	40	80	79
9	L4	2	1.5	Dioxane	40	99	85
10	L5	5	1.5	Dioxane	40	88	72
11	L6	2	1.5	Dioxane	40	55	49
12	L7	2	1.5	Dioxane	40	88	68
13	L4 <sup>c</sup>	2	1.5	Dioxane	40	99	87
	F	Ph L4 Ph		Ar Ar	L5: Ar = 4 L6: Ar = 4 L7: Ar = 4	-MeO-Ph - <i>t</i> -Bu-Ph -F-Ph	

### **Table 3.5** Optimization of DYKAT of **229** with*N*-methylamine **183f**

<sup>a</sup> Yields are isolated values

<sup>b</sup> The *ee*'s were determined by chiral HPLC and b/l ratios of the isolated products by GC (b/l = 84:1 - >99:1).

<sup>c</sup> 0.5 g scale amination of **229** (b/l > 23:1).

**229** (Table 3.6).<sup>134</sup> For most substrates, optimal yields, regioselectivity and enantiomeric excesses were obtained using 2 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and 4 mol% L4 in dioxane at 40 °C for 1 h. As shown in Table 3.6, a range of *ortho-*, *meta-*, and *para-*substituted *N*-methyl anilines **229r** - **x** undergo allylic amination with a branched to linear (b/l) ratio in the range of 22:1 – 88:1 to provide allylic *N*-methyl arylamines 229**r** - **x** in good yields (72 – 92%) and with good to excellent levels of enantioselectivity (83 – 93% *ee*). It is quite noteworthy that the mild reaction conditions are tolerant of such a varied set of substituted *N*-methyl aniline nucleophiles,

R II 183r - x	2 mol % [RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> 4 mol % <b>L4</b> , Dioxane, Imidate <b>229</b> , 40°C, 1 h	Me N BnO 229r - x branched (b)	R BnO	Me N	
entry	anilines	products	% yield <sup>a</sup>	b/l ratio <sup>b</sup>	% ee <sup>c</sup>
1	<b>183r:</b> R = 4-CF <sub>3</sub>	229r	72	30:1	93
2	<b>183s:</b> R = 4-Br	229s	78	74:1	83
3	<b>183t:</b> R = 2,4-F	229t	86	26:1	91
4	<b>183u:</b> R = 3-Br	229u	92	88:1	89
5	<b>183v:</b> R = 2-Me	229v	87	42:1	89
6	<b>183w:</b> R = 2-OMe	229w	86	22:1	85
7	<b>183x:</b> R = 2-F	229x	78	22:1	91

**Table 3.6** Survey of *N*-methylamine Nucleophiles in DYKAT Amination

<sup>a</sup> Yields are isolated values.

<sup>b</sup> The b/l ratios were determined by GC.

<sup>c</sup> The *ee*'s were determined by chiral HPLC.

and highlights the uniqueness of our rhodium-catalyzed method. For example, electron-deficient 4-trifluoromethyl aniline **183r** could be utilized as a nucleophile in the asymmetric reaction resulting in amine **229r** in 72% yield, 30:1 regioselectivity and with 93% *ee* (entry 1). Under the same reaction conditions the sterically encumbered and electron-rich 2-methoxyaniline **183w** (entry 6) provided **229w** in 86% yield, 22:1 b/l ratio and 85% ee. Anilines **183s** and **183u** possessing *para-* and *meta-*bromo functional groups were also effective nucleophiles. These anilines reacted to provide high yielding and selective products **229s** and **229u**, which could be very useful chiral amine intermediates for further functionalization. The more sterically hindered *N*-methyl-2-toluidine (Table 3.6, entry 5) possessing an ortho methyl group also reacted efficiently in the amination reaction. Fluorinated *N*-methyl arylamines **183t** and **183x** could be desirable building blocks in medicinal chemistry applications where addition

of fluorine to bioactive agents introduces desirable properties (entries 3 and 7). In these reactions, enantioenriched **229t** and **229x** were prepared in good yields (78 - 86%) and with excellent regioselectivity (b/l = 22:1 - 26:1) and enantioselectivity (91% ee).

We continued application of this transformation to a wide variety of racemic secondary allylic trichloroacetimidates (Table 3.7).<sup>134</sup> The results show a high degree of functional group tolerance that are present in substrates. With this collection of substrates, the highest combination of regio- and enantioselectivity were obtained employing 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and 10 mol% L4 at room temperature. We often employ higher catalyst loadings and lower temperatures with sterically encumbered nucleophiles and/or substrates in order to increase regioselectivity, sometimes at the expense of some enantioselectivity. Like our previous report with tertiary allylic imidates,<sup>103</sup> substrates **308** and **309** (entries 1 and 2) bearing the  $\beta$ -oxygen substituent afforded the amination products 308r and 309r, respectively, in 57 - 80% yields and with high regioselectivity (b/l = 27:1 - 46:1) and enantioselectivity (89-94% ee). The lower yielding reaction with trichloroacetimidate 309 may be due to competitive binding of the alkynyl group present in the substrate to rhodium. However, 57% yield and the resulting selectivities make the reaction synthetically useful. In contrast to tertiary allylic imidates, substrates 310, 223, 311 and 312 (entries 3-6) lacking the  $\beta$ ether substituents still provided aminination products with good regioselectivity (b/l ratio = 9:1 - 69:1) and enantioselectivity (87 - 91% ee). It has been established that secondary allylic carbonates containing  $\beta$ -branching substituents react with poor regioselectivity in favor of linear products.<sup>96, 97</sup> Under our reaction conditions βbranching cyclohexyl allylic imidates 231 and isopropyl imidate 232 (entries 7 and 8) with N-methyl p-anisidine (183q) provided allylic N-methyl amines 231q and 232q, respectively, in good yield (88%) and with excellent regioselectivity (b/l=11:1-14:1) and enantioselectivity (95 - 96% ee). The scope of allylic substitution is also suitable with respect to the  $\alpha$ -substituted aromatic allylic imidates 233 and 313 (entries 9 and

# Table 3.7 Survey of Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with N-methylamines

R 96 - 10	CCl <sub>3</sub> O NH 10 mol Dioxane, 2 60 (racemic) 5 mol [RhCl(ethyle Dioxane, 2 Anilines 18	% ene) <sub>2]2</sub> Me <sub>N</sub> % L4, 5 °C, 1 h, R 33q - t brar	nched (b)	R	N Me near (I)	R <sup>1</sup>
entry	imidates	anilines	products	% yield <sup>a</sup>	b/I ratio <sup>b</sup>	% ee <sup>c</sup>
1	CCl <sub>3</sub> 0 NH PMB0 308	F <sub>3</sub> C HN Me	308r	80	46:1	94
2		183r	309r	57	27:1	89
		F F				
3	<b>310:</b> R = Bn	183t	310t	82	9:1	88
4	<b>223</b> : R = TBS	183t	223t	80	19:1	87
		MeO H Me				
5	<b>311</b> : R = <i>i</i> -Pr	183q	311q	78	35:1	91
6	<b>312:</b> R = 4-MeO-Ph	183q	312q	92	69:1	87
7	231: R = Cyclohexyl	183q	231q	88	11:1	96
8	<b>232:</b> R = <i>i</i> -Pr	183q	232q	88	14:1	95
9	<b>233:</b> R = Ph	183q	233q	87	7:1	93
10	<b>313:</b> R = 4-Br-Ph	183q	313q	95	12:1	86

<sup>a</sup> Yields are isolated values.

<sup>b</sup> The b/l ratios were determined by GC.

<sup>c</sup> The *ee*'s were determined by chiral HPLC

10). Because the leaving group is both allylic and benzylic, these substrates have a high propensity to undergo Overman's rearrangement.<sup>2</sup> However, under room temperature conditions **233q** and **313q** were formed in excellent yield (87 - 95%), high regioselectivity (b/l = 7:1 - 12:1) and high enantiomeric excesses (86 - 93% ee), with no rearrangement products observed in the reaction.

To demonstrate the utility of rhodium-catalyzed DYKAT of *N*-methyl anilines with racemic secondary allylic imidates, I prepared *N*-methyl homophenylalanine derivatives **315** - **317** (Scheme 3.8).<sup>134</sup> This motif is present in the structure of antillatoxin B, a secondary-metabolite of the marine algae antillatoxin and a potent activator of voltage-sensitive sodium-ion channels.<sup>135</sup> Amination of **227** with *N*-methyl 4-methoxyaniline **183q** provided allylic *N*-methyl arylamine **227q** in 92% yield and with b/l = 19:1 and 85% *ee*. After various failed attempts to oxidatively cleave the



**Reagents and conditions**: (a) N-methyl *p*-anisidine **183q**, dioxane, 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and 10 mol% **L1**, 40°C, 1h; (b) CAN, CH<sub>3</sub>CN and H<sub>2</sub>O, 15 min; (c) Boc<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, THF/H2O (1:1); (d) RuCl<sub>3</sub>, NaIO<sub>4</sub>; (e) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine; (f) O<sub>3</sub>, NaOH, MeOH

Scheme 3.8 N-methyl Homophenylalanine Derivatives via DYKAT

olefin in 227q, I determined that the PMP group needed to be replaced by a more inductive protecting group. Removal of the PMP group with ceric ammonium nitrate

afforded secondary amine **314** in 85% yield. Subsequent Boc-protection followed by oxidative cleavage of the olefin with ruthenium chloride and sodium periodate provided *N*-methyl- $\alpha$ -amino acid **315** (Scheme 3.8) in 79% yield over 2 steps. Alternatively, acetylation of **314**, followed by oxidative cleavage of the olefin, afforded amino acid **316** in 93% yield over 2 steps. On the other hand, subjecting the acetylated product to ozonolysis in basic methanol provided the methyl ester **317**. HPLC enantiomeric excess analysis revealed that the enantiopurity had not eroded during transformation steps from amination product **227q** to *N*-methyl homophenylalanine derivative **317**, and should prove useful for the asymmetric synthesis of a variety of chiral amino acids.

To further expand the substrate scope of the DYKAT process with secondary trichloroacetimidates, I investigated a broad spectrum of aniline and benzylamine nucleophiles with three substrates of differing class (Table 3.8).<sup>104</sup> Substrate 229 possesses a  $\beta$ -oxygen substituent that may provide additional chelation control along the reaction coordinate. Allylic imidates 227 and 231 lack an ether oxygen. In addition, substrate 231, which contains the  $\beta$ -branching cyclohexyl group, has been reported to result in low regioselectivity in amination reactions.<sup>96, 97</sup> Overall, elevated temperatures (40°C) improve the yield and enantioselectivity in this study, but regioselectivity tends to be higher at room temperature. Therefore reactions using bulky cyclohexyl imidate 103 were run at ambient temperature for better regioselectivity. As shown in Table 3.8, electron-poor and rich primary anilines **183b** and **183c** (entries 1 and 2) provided allylic amination products in good yield (82 - 92%) and with excellent regioselectivity (b/l = 20:1 - >99:1) as well as enantioselectivity (80 – 95% ee). Even ortho-methyl substituted aniline 183d (entry 3) delivered N-arylamines products with high regioselectivity and enantiomeric excess, highlighting the efficacy of our rhodium-catalyzed methodology with sterically challenging nucleophiles. Entries 4 and 5 clearly illustrate the electronic effects of the para-substituents on the aryl rings of N-methylanilines 183r and 183q. For instance, benzyloxy imidate 229 reacts efficiently with electron-poor aniline 183r, providing allylic

				$\sim$		
CCI <sub>3</sub>	5 mol %		D3	F	R <sup>2</sup>	
0 NH	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>		R <sup>e</sup> N	∕.		
	Anilines <b>183b-y</b> , THF, 25-4	40 °C		bra	anched (b)	
K' *	Ph /				~	
<b>229</b> R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	10 mol%			ĺ		
<b>227</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> <b>231</b> R = Cycloboxyl		F	R <sup>1</sup>	$\sim_{N}$		
231 R - Cyclonexyl	L4 Ph			ΗΙ	inear (I)	
		Temp	Time	Viold <sup>a</sup>	ь/I <sup>b</sup>	oo <sup>c</sup>
Entry Anilines F	Products	(°C)	(h)	(%)	Ratio	(%)
	,			. ,		
	<b>229b</b> R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	90	>99:1	87
1 1836 HN	<b>227b</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	92	>99:1	93
	231b R = Cyclohexyl	25	1	82	20:1	90
R						
~ 0I	Me					
	229c R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	86	28:1	80
2 183c <sub>HN</sub>	<b>227c</b> $R = PhCH_2CH_2$	40	1	83	>99:1	89
	231c R = Cyclohexyl	25	2	82	>99:1	95
R' ~						
Me	229d R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	75	>99:1	90
3 183d	<b>227d</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	81	90:1	96
HN Ý	231d R = Cyclohexyl	25	22	44	79:1	82
$R^1$						
	CF <sub>3</sub>					
A AO2 Me	<b>229r</b> R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	40	1	72	30:1	93
4 183r N 🗸	<b>227r</b> R = PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	40	20	42	2:1	57
R <sup>1</sup>	231r R = Cyclohexyl	25	22	0		
C		40	4	00	50.4	50
5 192 Me	<b>229q</b> $R = BnOCH_2$	40 40	1 1	88	52:1 10:1	56
	<b>227q</b> $R = PnCH_2CH_2$	40 25	ו ר	92	19:1	00
R <sup>1</sup>	2319 R = Cyclonexyl	20	2	ΟÖ	11.1	90
Mo Dr						
	<b>229y</b> R = BnOCH <sub>2</sub>	40	22	51	8:1	34
	<b>227y</b> $R = PhCH_2CH_2$	25	24	59	5:1	53
	<b>2319</b> $R = Cyclohexyl$	20	22	67	18:1	00

## **Table 3.8** Survey of Anilines and Secondary Trichloroacetimidates in DYKAT

<sup>a</sup>Isolated Yield.

<sup>b</sup>Determined by GC.

<sup>c</sup>Determined by HPLC Analysis.

amine **229r** in 72% yield, good regioselectivity (b/l = 30:1) and 93% *ee* (entry 4). However, reaction of imidate **227** with this nucleophile was low yielding (42%) and low in selectivity (b/l 2:1, 57% *ee*). No amination product was observed with  $\beta$ -branching cyclohexyl substrate **231** (entry 4). A drastic difference in reactivity is observed in the reaction of electron-rich *N*-methyl 4-methoxyaniline **183q** (entry 5) with imidate **229**. Although the product **229q** was isolated in high yield, enantioselectivity is much lower (56% *ee*, entry 5) than that with electron-poor aniline **183r** (93% *ee*, entry 4). This outcome can be rationalized by the competitive rates between nucleophilic substitution and  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$ interconversion (Scheme 3.3). In contrast, when imidate **227** was reacted with aniline **183q**, the amination product **227q** was isolated in higher yield (92%) and selectivity (b/l = 19:1, 85% *ee*) than when paired with electron-withdrawing aniline **183r** (42%, 57% *ee*,

and b/l=2:1). Cyclohexyl imidate **231** and electron-rich aniline **183q** provided amination product **231q** in 88% yield, 11:1 b/l ratio and impressive 96% *ee*, presumably a good balance of nucleophilicity and rate of interconversion. To our excitement, the DYKAT process is also applicable to alkyl amines (entry 6). For instance, using *N*-methyl benzylamine **183y** in the amination provided N-methyl allylic benylamines in moderate yields, regioselectivities, and enantiomeric excess.

One aspect that has not been discussed is the origin of branched selectivity in our amination reaction. In the stereospecific rhodium-catalyzed amination of branched allylic carbonates with anionic aniline nucleophiles, Evan's ascribes the observed regioselectivity to formation of *enyl*-type organorhodium intermediates that have both  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -bond components (Scheme 2.3).<sup>99</sup> This positions  $\sigma$ -bound rhodium at the less-substituted terminus of the olefin, thereby obstructing nucleophilic attack and limiting the formation of the linear product. At the other extreme, organorhodium intermediates in our method could be true  $\eta^3$ -bound  $\pi$ -allyl complexes **318**, which are commonly observed Pd<sup>II</sup> species (Scheme 3.9). In palladium  $\pi$ -allyl complexes, factors that influence regioselectivity are thought to arise from both steric and electronic characteristics of the palladium-ligand

complex.<sup>120, 136</sup> In general, palladium catalyzed allylic substitution reactions favour linear product formation. The use of bulky ligands (Scheme 3.9a) increases the steric interaction between the substrate R-group and the bound palladium complex resulting in increased selectivity for the branched substitution products. Utilization of  $\pi$ -acids including the diene-class of ligand increases the cationic character of the  $\pi$ -allyl fragment (Scheme 3.9b). Development of positive charge is favored at the more stable substituted carbon **319** leading to nucleophilic addition at this position. In contrast, small ligands and poor  $\pi$ -acids do not favor branched selectivity, therefore elevated levels of linear products result. Future mechanistic studies and attempts to isolate and analyze a crystalline organorhodium intermediate will hopefully clarify the controlling factors for high regioselectivity observed using our amination method.



Scheme 3.9 Steric and Electronic Control of Regioselectivity

### 3.2.3 Conclusion

In summary, we have applied our method to the development of a highly versatile rhodium-catalyzed DYKAT of racemic secondary trichloroacetimidates with a wide variety of anilines, *N*-methylanilines, and benzylamines. At the outset, we were concerned that DYKAT may be problematic with less-hindered secondary electrophiles because nucleophilic addition may be competitive with the rate of organorhodium complex interconversion. This was not the case, however, and reaction parameters could be modulated by choice of ligand, catalyst loadings and temperature.<sup>104, 134</sup> The reaction is operationally simple and displays broad functional group tolerance, providing a number of nitrogen containing tertiary centers in high yield, regio- and enantioselectivity. The utility of the method to prepare enantioenriched amino acids was demonstrated with the expedient synthesis of *N*-methyl homophenylalanine derivatives.

Application of our asymmetric method to the synthesis of tertiary allylic aryl amines now allows comparison with previous reports. Evans method using Wilkinson's catalyst and branched allylic carbonates generally provides high yields (83 - 96%) and moderate to high regioselectivities (8:1 - >99:1 b/l).<sup>96-98</sup> Our method delivers enantioenriched products in slightly lower average yield but with higher regioselectivity. Our DYKAT method is superior because it is enantioselective, is not limited to a few lithiated and stabilized aniline nucleophiles, and is applicable to  $\beta$ -dibranched substrates. Hartwig's iridium-catalyzed amination of primary allylic carbonates provides good to high yields of aryl amine products (72 - 95%) with good regioselectivities (11:1 - 99:1) and excellent enantiopurities (90 - 97% ee).95 The iridium method achieves higher enantioselectivity, however our method provides higher regioselectivity and comparable yields. Side by side, our reaction accels in terms of substrate scope. Hartwig reports only aryl and alkyl substrates with the exception of methoxy groups on the aryl rings, while we have described the amination of ether, ester, and alkyne containing trichloroacetimidates. On the other hand, the two methods are equally tolerant of varied functionality on the neutral aniline nucleophiles with few exceptions. The iridium method efficiently catalyzes the reaction of secondary cyclic anilines such as tetrahydroquinoline and rhodium does not, and rhodium-catalyzes the amination of trichloroacetimidates with a number of Nmethylanilines in high yield, regio- and stereoselectivity while iridium delivers these products with low regioselectivity. In terms of an asymmetric reaction for preparation of tertiary allylic aryl amines, these methods should be considered complementary, giving the organic chemist options for introduction of this moiety into synthetic targets.

### CHAPTER IV SEQUENTIAL ASYMMETRIC AMINATION AND INTRAMOLECULAR OLEFIN HYDROACYLATION

### 4.1 Background

Efficient methods for preparation of enantioenriched amine-containing materials continues to be of paramount importance for amino acid, natural product and pharmaceutical synthesis. Our development of a highly regio- and enantioselective amination of racemic trichloroacetimidates for preparation of  $\alpha$ -substituted<sup>104, 134</sup> and  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted<sup>103</sup> allylic aryl amines is one example. This catalytic method does not require additives<sup>93, 95</sup> or preparation of the aniline nucleophile<sup>96, 97</sup>, only solvent, substrate, aniline and catalyst delivering products at 25-40°C under 3 hours. We had utilized our method towards facile preparation of *N*-methyl amino acids,<sup>134</sup> and were looking for further applications including the synthesis of nitrogen-containing heterocycles. Our strategy was to utilize our DYKAT method to set the required stereochemistry at the chiral amine-bearing centers and use these intermediates for subsequent transformation into enantioenriched aza-heterocycles.

Initially, we searched the literature for a synthetic target that would be applicable to our DYKAT amination and attainable prior to completion of my graduate career. We found the bioactive Manzacidin family of compounds isolated from marine sponges and decided that they would make excellent targets showcasing our method.<sup>137,138</sup> In particular, we pursued the enantioselective synthesis of *N*-methyl Manzacidin C (Scheme 4.1)<sup>138</sup> because of the functionality present in the structure. This included an oxygen substituent beta to a chiral methylated nitrogen-containing quaternary center, a tertiary nitrogenbearing center, and a *N*-methyl amine. The strategy was to utilize our DYKAT method to provide the quaternary center in high enantiopurity, functionalize the alkene and use the introduced chirality to direct diastereoselectivity during formation of the tertiary center. Synthesis of the quaternary center was accomplished using *N*-methylanisidine **183q** and

*N*-methyl benzylamine **183y** in good yield and with high selectivities (Scheme 4.1). Unfortunately, the basic nitrogen in both of the allylic aryl compounds **255q** and **322y** was problematic, just as we had observed in the amino acid synthetic strategy (Scheme 3.6). This would require additional deprotection and protective manipulations in order complete the synthesis Although these changes would allow me to finish the project, it would be accomplished at the expense of overall yield and efficiency making route less desirable. At this point we decided to set the synthesis aside and focus on another project. This was



Scheme 4.1 DYKAT Amination for N-Methyl Manzacidin C Synthesis

a good experience though, because this work inspired new ideas and possible applications of the amination method that could be implemented in future synthetic efforts. These ideas will be presented in chapter 5.

With the synthesis of *N*-methyl Manzacidin C on hold, we directed our attention towards utilization of our DYKAT method to prepare enantioenriched alkenal substrates for intramolecular hydroacylation reactions. These transformations are highly efficient and atom-economical C-H activation reactions for preparation of five to eight-membered cycloketones.<sup>139, 140</sup> In these reactions, phosphine complexes of Rh, Co, Ru oxidatively add into the C-H bond of an aldehyde forming an acyl-metal hydride intermediate **325** that

subsequently inserts across the tethered olefin (Scheme 4.2). Reductive elimination furnishes the cycloketone product and regenerates the catalyst. The first intramolecular



Scheme 4.2 Mechanism of Hydroacylation Reaction of Olefins

hydroacylation was reported by Sakai for preparation of 2,3-disubstituted cyclopentanones in prostaglandin synthesis.<sup>141</sup> In this report, stoichiometric Wilkinson's catalyst was used to prepare 30% of the desired cyclopentanone from 4-pentenal along with  $\sim$ 30% of the decarbonylation by-product methylcyclopropane **330** (Scheme 4.2).

Decarbonylation is the major competitive pathway due to the instability of acylmetallic intermediates **325**.<sup>142</sup> Most improvements in transition-metal hydroacylation methodologies have involved strategies to stabilize these intermediates, thereby minimizing decarbonylation. Many improvements have been made by adding points of chelation.<sup>139, 143, 144</sup> For example, this competing side-reaction was reduced in Sakai's cyclopentanone forming method by saturating the reaction medium with ethylene (Figure 4.1). This provides a more stable acyl intermediate **334** although several hydroacylation products were isolated in low yield due the addition of ethylene.<sup>145</sup> Bosnich made a major improvement in intramolecular hydroacylation reactions by applying cationic rhodium catalysts bearing bidentate diphoshine ligands.<sup>146</sup> These complexes exist as bridged arene dimers in non-coordinating solvents and catalyze these reaction at  $10^3$  the rate of Wilkinson's catalyst. This was a breakthrough because reaction could now be run with catalytic loadings of rhodium, whereas [RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] was only slightly catalytic. In the presence of 4-pentenal, the cationic dimer dissociates forming a monomeric rhodium-substrate complex that with oxidative addition forms complex **335** (Figure 4.1). Elevated reaction rates and lower levels of decarbonylation is thought to derive from additional coordination sites on the acyl-rhodium hydride intermediate that allow immediate chelation to the olefin. In contrast, acyl-rhodium hydride complex **333** using RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> catalyst that must first undergo ligand exchange to arrive at this complex which is required for migratory insertion. Another strategy to promote insertion versus decarbonylation is the incorporation of appropriately positioned heteroatoms including oxygen, sulfur, nitrogen and phosphorous. Aldehydes **336** – **339** shown in Figure 4.1 are examples of effective modulators of reactivity in intermolecular hydroacylations. (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1 Minimizing Decarbonylation in Hydroacylation Reactions

Ring systems larger than cyclopentanone have been more difficult to prepare by hydroacylation because these reactions progress at slower rates making decarbonylation more prominent and the kinetic five-membered ketones will form over larger rings if a suitable path is available.<sup>139</sup> For example, when 5-hexenal is subjected to rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular hydroacylation, no cyclohexanone results, only exclusive formation of methyl-cyclopentanone.<sup>148</sup> In response, medium-sized rings prepared by intramolecular hydroacylation were developed that include functionality in the starting material favoring larger ring formation. Mori reported the formation of seven-membered



Scheme 4.3 Intramolecular Hydroacylation for Medium-sized Ring Enones

ring enones **344** from linear dienals **340** via intramolecular hydroacylation (Scheme 4.3a).<sup>149</sup> Oxidative addition and insertion resulted in 6-membered rhodacycle **341** which could undergo reductive elimination to cyclopentanone **345** or, stabilized by the exocyclic olefin, reversibly slip to  $\pi$ -allyl complex **342** and rhodacycle **343** leading to **344**. Mori

reported 62% yield of cyclic enone **344** along with 19% yield of cyclopentanones **345** and **346**. In a similar way, Shair treated vinyl cyclopropane substrates **347** to hydroacylation conditions (Scheme 4.3b).<sup>150</sup> Intermediate **348** could undergo reductive elimination or insertion into the appended cyclopropane ring forming cyclic **349** and cyclooctenone **350**. In the reaction, 63% yield of the desired product and none of five-membered ring product was observed.

Heteroatoms including oxygen,<sup>151-153</sup> sulfur,<sup>152, 154</sup> and recently nitrogen<sup>155, 156</sup> have been introduced to the framework of the starting substrate for synthesis of medium-sized heterocycles. The first report of this type was developed by Bendorf using Wilkinson's catalyst and sulfur as a tethered chelating group in alkenal **352** (Scheme 4.4a).<sup>154</sup> The



Scheme 4.4 Chelating Strategies in Intramolecular Hydroacylation

presence and position of sulfur was critical to formation of hydroacylation product 355 in 92% yield. The sulfur atom presumably chelates to rhodium, which helps facilitate oxidative addition, provides stabilization of acyl-rhodium hydride intermediate 353, and helps position the olefin for coordination and migratory insertion. Oxygen and carbon tethers were ineffective in these reactions. In 2012, Dong and coworkers reported an asymmetric intramolecular hydroacylation of sulfur and oxygen-containing alkenals (Scheme 4.4b) using cationic rhodium chiral bisphosphine complexes.<sup>152</sup> The reaction is high yielding and enantioselective at room temperature in 12 to 24 h. In contrast to Bendorf's work, the tether lengths of sulfur substrates could be modified for seven- and In an interesting discovery, changing the ligand eight-membered ring formation. modulates seven-membered 355 formation versus eight-membered 358 in the reaction of substrate 352 (Scheme 4.4b). In an recent example (Scheme 4.4c), Douglas applied the work of Suggs<sup>157</sup> and Jun<sup>144</sup> where addition of 2-amino-3-picoline **358** forms transient aldimines that possesses a chelating pyridyl nitrogen for efficient hydroacylation.<sup>158</sup> Cyclic ketones 359 could be prepared in high yield, however, use of chiral ligands achieved only low to moderate enantioselectivities.

Dong and coworkers reported the first example of rhodium-catalyzed aminedirected hydroacylation of ketones for the enantioselective synthesis of seven- and eightmembered aza-lactones (Scheme 4.5a).<sup>156</sup> Electron-withdrawing groups on the nitrogen were unreactive, but a methyl group **360** provided optimal Lewis basicity in the hydroacylation reaction resulting in high yielding and enantioselective heterocyclic products **362**, with no decarbonylation products observed. Under optimized conditions using model substrate **360** where n = 1 and R = phenyl (Scheme 4.5a), reaction of the nitrogen substrate was very efficient and complete in 5 min versus 2 h for sulfur and 36% conversion in 48 h for the oxygen derivative. Bendorf subsequently showed the feasibility of amine-directed intramolecular hydroacylation of olefins, providing racemic seven- and eight-membered ring ketones (Scheme4.5b).<sup>155</sup> In contrast to Dong's findings,<sup>152</sup> the use of Wilkinson's catalyst is significantly slower with nitrogen versus sulfur tethers, requiring reflux in acetonitrile for efficient hydroacylation reaction. Yields and reaction times are optimal when an allyl group is present on nitrogen **363**, with methyl and homoallyl groups providing only 11 and 35% yields respectively of the aza-ketone seven-membered ring. This suggests that the *N*-allyl group provides additional chelation to the rhodium-center in the intramolecular hydroacylation reaction.



Scheme 4.5 Nitrogen-directed Rhodium-Catalyzed Hydroacylation

After reviewing these reports, we began to think about the potential use of our DYKAT reaction to prepare enantioenriched alkenal substrates for intramolecular hydroacylation (Scheme 4.6). At this point we had conducted a number of studies with a variety of aniline nucleophiles possessing varied electronics, sterics and substitution patterns. However, our reaction had not been evaluated with anilines bearing an *ortho*-aldehyde group. This could be a challenging since the rhodium(I) catalyst utilized in the amination reaction that could potentially undergo oxidative addition with benzaldehyde **365** or DYKAT product **366** forming rhodium(III) acyl-intermediates that could proceed

to decarbonylation products **367** and **370** (Scheme 4.6). This process would likely be more facile due to chelation by the aniline nitrogen.<sup>152, 155</sup> Could a benzaldehyde nucleophile be utilized in the DYKAT of racemic trichloroacetimidates and result in high selectivities of branched enantioenriched alkenals, or would decarbonylation predominate? If the amination reaction was successful, would these products make suitable substrates for intramolecular hydroacylation and retain enantiopurity? If successful, this 2-step strategy would yield enantioenriched nitrogen-containing heterocycles **368** or **369**. This approach differs from Dong's work because asymmetric induction would be controlled during the amination step, not during hydroacylation.<sup>156</sup>



Scheme 4.6 Strategy for Sequential DYKAT Amination and Hydroacylation

### 4.2 Results and Discussion

We began our studies of the sequential 2-step process by investigating the racemic amination of trichloroacetimidate **227** with benzaldehyde nucleophile **183z** (Table 4.1). The neutral rhodium catalyst [RhCl(COD]<sub>2</sub> was much more effective (entry 3) than cationic rhodium catalysts (entries 2 –3) resulting in 77% yield of the amination product **227z** with >99:1 b/l selectivity. In these reactions we did not observe decarbonylation or

Ph	O H 183z NH <sub>2</sub> CCl <sub>3</sub> Rr O NH 227 (racemic)	5 mol % -Ligand Con	nplex	Ph	`H H 2272 ≪ 371 (linear Ph	2 (branched ) H N H	i)
entry	/ Rh-Ligand complex	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>	b:l <sup>c</sup>	<b>227z</b> % ee <sup>d</sup>
1	[Rh(COD) <sub>2</sub> ]OTf	THF	25	3	66	10:1	-
2	[Rh(COD) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	THF	25	3	59	6:1	-
3	[RhCl(COD)] <sub>2</sub>	THF	25	3	77	>99:1	-
4	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L4	Dioxane	40	22	14	2:1	29
5	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L4	Dioxane	25	22	11	29:1	67
6	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L4	THF	25	22	44	82:1	71
7	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> / <b>L4</b>	Toluene	25	22	22	30:1	53
8	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L4	MTBE	25	22	61	29:1	67
9	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L10	MTBE	25	22	34	26:1	59
10	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L7	MTBE	25	22	35	20:1	70
11	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L8	MTBE	25	22	46	53:1	65
12	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L9	MTBE	25	22	64	>99:1	84
13	[RhCl(ethylene) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> /L11	MTBE	25	22	59	6:1	62
	Ph Ar L4: Ph Ph	Ar	L7: A L8: A	r = 4-F-Ph r = 3,4-F-Ph	L9: Ar L10: A L11: A	= 3,4,5-F-I r = 2-Napti r = 3,5-CF	<sup>⊃</sup> h ℩ <sub>3</sub> -Ph

 Table 4.1 Optimization of DYKAT with Aniline 183z

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were conducted at 0.2 M with 1 equiv. of imidate **227**.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> The branched to linear ratio (b:l) was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

<sup>d</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC.

hydroacylation products. Next, the DYKAT amination was investigated utilizing previously optimized conditions<sup>134</sup> (entry 4) which provided the amination product in low yield and selectivities (14%, b/l = 2:1, 29% ee). Reducing the temperature from 40°C to room temperature had little effect on the yield but the regioselectivity and enantioselectivity increased substantially (entry 5, b/l = 29:1, 67% ee). When various

solvents were screened (entries 5 – 8), MTBE provided the best result with 61% yield, b/l = 29:1, and enantioselectivity at 67% *ee* (entry 8). As we had done in previous optimization studies, a number of Hayashi<sup>130</sup> chiral diene derivatives of varying electronic properties were tested in the DYKAT amination of **227** with **183z**. The 3,4,5-trifluoro derivative **L9** (entry 12) provided the highest combination of yield (64%), regio- and enantioselectivity (b/l = >99:1, 84% *ee*) and was utilized for hydroacylation going forward.

Next we focused on the rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular hydroacylation of allylic amine **227z**. Based on Dong's previous reports,<sup>153,156</sup> we knew that ligand bite angle plays an important role in the rates of intramolecular hydroacylation. Thus we investigated cationic rhodium bis-phenylphosphine complexes prepared by hydrogenation of [Rh(NBD)<sub>2</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> in the presence of dppe, dppp, and dppb.<sup>153</sup> The efficiency of cyclization to prepare **372** decreased (96% vs. 72%, entries 1 and 2) as the bite angle of the bis-phosphine ligands becomes smaller, which is consistent Dong's report for rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular ketone hydroacylation. The dppp ligand seems optimal in these reactions and the yield drops slightly with dppb ligand (82% versus 96% yield, entries 2 and 3). The solvent choice did not affect the hydroacylation yields or reaction times at 105°C. Although these rhodium-bisphosphine complexes performed well

in the cyclization, preparation prior to use detracts from their desirability as hydroacylation catalysts (entries 1–5). We found that commercially available [Rh(COD)(dppb)]BF<sub>4</sub> was equally effective, affording seven-membered ring aza-ketone **372** in 99% yield (Table 4.2, entry 6). Cyclization to form seven-membered ring product **372** progressed slowly at 70 °C (entry 9) with 32% conversion after 1 h, and full conversion was observed after 20 h. To our excitement, HPLC enantiopurity analysis of nitrogen-containing heterocycle **372** revealed that the cyclization reaction results in complete transfer of stereochemistry in all cases, and no decarbonylation products have been observed. Hydroacylation of the olefin can proceed via 2 different pathways forming 6- or 7-membered ring products. With these catalysts however, exclusive formation of the larger

7-membered product suggests that insertion into the terminal position of the olefin is favorable. Importantly, our results illustrate that cyclization can effectively take place in the absence of additional substituents on the nitrogen atom of allylic amine **227z**, which were unsuitable substrates under Bendorf's conditions.<sup>155</sup>

		5 mol % l Solvent, te	L <sub>n</sub> Rh (I) mp, time	Ph	HN	) ≻⊃o
	227z (branche	d)			372	
entr	y Rh(I) cat.	solvent	temp ( <sup>o</sup> C)	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>	ee (%) <sup>c</sup>
1	[Rh(dppe) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	PhCF <sub>3</sub>	105	1	72	84
2	[Rh(dppp) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	$PhCF_3$	105	1	96	84
3	[Rh(dppb) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	$PhCF_3$	105	1	82	84
4	[Rh(dppp) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	Toluene	105	1	99	84
5	[Rh(dppp) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub>	Dioxane	105	1	99	84
6	[RhCOD(dppb)]BF <sub>4</sub>	Dioxane	105	1	99	84
7	[RhCOD(dppb)]BF <sub>4</sub>	Dioxane	70	20	99	84

 
 Table 4.2 Hydroacylation Optimization of Amine 227z

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were conducted at 0.2 M.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

<sup>c</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC

We were interested to know if minor linear amination product **371** would undergo hydroacylation in the presence of cationic rhodium catalysts (Scheme 4.7). Under [Rh(COD)(dppb)]BF<sub>4</sub> conditions utilized for cyclization of **227z** (Table 4.2), the corresponding linear isomer **371** was unreactive. However, use of the rhodium triflate complex, [Rh(COD)<sub>2</sub>]OTf, provided the corresponding six-membered aza-heterocycle **373** in 58% yield (Scheme 4.7). Interested by this result, we then subjected branched **227z** to [Rh(COD)<sub>2</sub>]OTf. Again we obtained a 6-membered aza-ketone, and **374** was obtained in
high yield but with low diastereoselectivity (Scheme 4.7, 84%, dr = 1.8:1). This is not new observation, as Dong and Bendorf report different outcomes depending on the metal complex used in the reaction.<sup>152, 155</sup>



Scheme 4.7 Six-Membered Ring Formation with [Rh(COD)<sub>2</sub>]OTf Catalyst

With optimized DYKAT amination and hydroacylation reactions in hand, a number of one-pot reaction reactions without isolating the intermediate branched alkenyl aldehyde **227z** were explored. Unfortunately, the desired intramolecular hydroacylation product **372** resulted in poor yield in all cases. These experiments showed that it is imperative to isolate the allylic amine product prior to cyclization.

To illustrate the scope of the sequential process, we turned our attention to assessing the rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric amination with a diverse set of racemic secondary allylic imidates (Table 4.3). The starting trichloroacetimidates used in entries 1 - 7containing several functional groups were suitable reaction partners with 2-amino benzaldehyde **183z**. The corresponding allylic amines were isolated in 40–95% yield, 80– 92% *ee* and with high selectivity for the branched isomer. Notably,  $\alpha$ -substituted cyclohexyl imidate **231** (entry 7) reacted to afford allylic amine **231z** with low 41% yield, but with 80% *ee* and with high regioselectivity, which has been reported to give amination



# **Table 4.3**. Scope of Sequential DYKAT Aminationand Hydroacylation<sup>a</sup>

# Table 4.3 Continued



<sup>a</sup> Reactions conducted with 1 equiv. of allylic imidate and 1.5 equiv.of 2-amino arylaldehyde.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC.

<sup>d</sup> 70 °C, 18 h.

<sup>e</sup> 7.5 mol% Rh-L9 complex at 40 °C.

<sup>f</sup>10 mol% [RhCOD(dppb)]BF<sub>4</sub>, 24 h.

<sup>g</sup> 5 mol% [RhCOD(dppb)]BF<sub>4</sub>, 24 h.

products with low regioselectively.<sup>96, 97</sup> Furthermore, the amination reaction is compatible with electron-donating **183za** and electron-deficient **183zb** 2-amino arylaldehydes (entries 8–10), giving access to a range of aryl alkenals in 61% - 91% with excellent enantioselectivity (84 – 94% *ee*). Cyclic aniline nucleophile **183zc** (entry 11) is also amendable to provide the allylic amine product **229zc** in 83% yield with 88% *ee*. To establish the generality of the process, we examined the amination with disubstituted olefin **377** (entry 12), a substrate type which has never been explored under our our DYKAT conditions. This imidate presents an additional challenge in that both *syn* and *anti* αallylrhodium intermediates need to be considered.<sup>121</sup> While the 2-methyl group on the αallyl destabilizes the *syn*-isomer through 1,2-steric interaction, the *anti*-isomer suffers A(1,3)-interaction. As we expected, allylic arylamine **377za** was isolated in 10% yield with 13% *ee* (entry 12). This low selectivity suggests that Rh-L9 complex was not effective at controlling the relative population of these two *syn-* and *anti-*isomers.<sup>121</sup> Overall, Table 4.3 illustrates the generality of the DYKAT method with 2-amino arylaldehydes.

With alkenyl aldehydes in hand, we subsequently subjected these amination products to the intramolecular olefin hydroacylation conditions consisting of 5 mol%  $[RhCOD(dppb)]BF_4$  at 105 °C for 1 h (Table 4.3). Cyclization of alkenals (entries 1 – 12) proceeded smoothly to afford seven-membered aza-heteroccyles 378 - 389 in good yields (50 - 99%) without any observable racemization. A range of functional groups are tolerated in the  $\beta$ -substituted alkenals. Notably, the  $\alpha$ -substituted cyclohexyl alkenal 231z (entry 7) efficiently underwent cyclization to provide seven-membered ring aza-ketone **384** in nearly quantitative yield. For substrate 376za (entry 9), we observed that hydroacylation preferentially occurred at the allyl position to provide nitrogen-containing heterocycle, with simultaneous isomerization of the  $\gamma$ -vinyl substituent as a 2:1 mixture of E:Z isomers at 105 °C. On the other hand, cyclization of amine 376za at 70 °C provided the desired aza-ketone 386 (entry 9) and the exclusive Z-isomerization product as a 1:1 inseparable mixture of isomers. Electron-deficient alkenal **310zb** (entry 10) significantly slowed down the cyclization process. Even after 24 h, only 62% yield of aza-heterocycle 387 (entry 10) was obtained in the reaction. Cyclization of alkenyl aldehyde **229zc** (entry 11), bearing secondary aniline functionality, also reacted sluggishly and took 24 h to reach completion. Seven-membered ring product 388 was obtained in only 66% yield. Interestingly. hydroacylation of challenging allylic arylamine **377za** (entry 12) proceeded smoothly to afford aza-heterocyle **389** in 99% yield with dr = 4:1 and without racemization. While the major diastereomer of 389 was isolated with 15% ee, the minor isomer was 11% ee.

As a further demonstration of the robust nature and operational simplicity of the sequential catalytic events, the enantioselective amination of tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidate **255** (Scheme 4.8) was attempted. Under standard conditions, the

reaction proceeded slowly to provide nitrogen-containing quaternary center **390** with poor yield. Increasing catalyst loading (5  $\rightarrow$  7.5 mol%) and reaction temperature (25  $\rightarrow$  40 °C)



Scheme 4.8 Sequential DYKAT and Hydroacylation with Tertiary Trichloroacetimidate 255

provided amine **390** in 54% yield with 96% *ee*. Subsequent cyclization of **390** with 10 mol % [RhCOD(dppb)]BF<sub>4</sub> at 105 °C for 12 h afforded seven-membered aza-heterocycle **391** in 94% yield without observable racemization (95% *ee*).

## 4.3 Conclusion

In summary, we were able to develop a successful application of our rhodium-diene ligated DYKAT methodolgy to the enantioselective synthesis of nitrogen-containing heterocycles. In this sequential method, asymmetric amination using a variety of allylic trichloroacetimidate electrophiles with aniline nucleophiles possessing an *ortho*-formyl group provide a number of enantioenriched alkenal substrates that readily undergo intramolecular olefin hydroacylation cyclization using cationic rhodium-catalysis. This is a departure for previous reports that prepare medium-sized ring heterocycles where enantioselectivity is achieved during the hydroacylation step. Overall, the 2-step process readily provides enantioenriched 2-alkyl-benzoazepin-5-ones in high yield with retention

of enantioselectivity from the DYKAT amination step, with no decarbonylation observed throughout the sequence. Electron-rich and deficient anilines, as well as tertiary trichloroacetimidates are tolerated providing an efficient route to the constructs We anticipate that the method will have potential impact on the strategies used for the preparation of bioactive natural products and potential pharmaceutical agents containing these aza-ketone ring systems.

## CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As I look back at the start of my graduate research, it is quite amazing how far this project has come and could not be happier with the way it all unfolded. We began with an idea, based on observations in Overman's research and developments that followed, that less-hindered terminal olefin of branched the basic nitrogen and allylic trichloroacetimidates should rapidly ionize forming activated complexes for substitution by a nucleophile using an appropriate transition-metal catalyst. We set out to develop a reaction using these imidate substrates and phenol nucleophiles, trying a number of transition-metal catalysts, ligand types, and reaction conditions. There was some early success, and persistence paid off when one day in October of 2009 I tried a few aniline nucleophiles under my best reaction conditions. These were exciting days in my graduate career, preparing and utilizing as many secondary trichloroacetimidate types as I could with any number of anilines that could be found in the Sigma-Aldrich catalog. Thus began the development of this highly regioselective rhodium-catalyzed aryl amination method.

Along the way, we found that our method could address shortcomings described in earlier reports including low regioselectivity using  $\alpha$ -dibranched substrates and *N*-methyl anilines in the aminations of allylic acetates and carbonates.<sup>95-97</sup> We were always looking for these problematic areas to apply our method. Prior to any of our studies, the literature provided few examples of substitution reactions catalyzed by transition-metals using tertiary electrophiles and anilines. While working on the regioselective amination of secondary trichloroacetimidates, our sights were already on tertiary electrophiles. We worked feverishly on the tertiary version, and submitted the manuscript to *The Journal of the American Chemical Society* only to be rejected. This turned out to be a very positive and perhaps lucky happening. Prior to submission, we had discovered that the commercially available chloronorbornadiene rhodium(I) dimer very efficiently and reproducibly catalyzes these reactions at room temperature and with higher

regioselectivities compared to phosphite complexes of rhodium. We completely overhauled this paper and resubmitted to *Organic Letters* in 2012, and I am very proud of this work. It is a robust method that undergraduate and graduate students can set up for the first time and prepare allylic aryl amine products with yields and regioselectivities as reported. We haven't used phosphite ligands since, which were a component that added some variability to our reaction results. In addition, revision of this paper solidified chiral dienes as the best ligand choice for DYKAT reactions because of the efficiency of these reactions and the fact that numerous chiral phosphite ligands that I was preparing were not delivering any level of asymmetric induction. In all, this amination is the most general allylic substitution using aniline nucleophiles for the regioselective preparation of quaternary amine-bearing centers. This is demonstrated by the wide range of imidate and aniline functionality that is compatible in the reaction, and now provides a means to aryl amine products bearing *ortho*-substituents.

The control experiments that were conducted support our original hypothesis that the trichloroacetimidate nitrogen and branched allylic substrates are of critical importance to our amination method. Electrophiles such as acetates and carbonates using our rhodium conditions do not provide amination products (Scheme 2.5), and primary linear imidates which are effective in transformations mediated by Pd(II), react sluggishly and mainly provide linear products (Table 2.8). The amination reactions of all classes of allylic imidates with boron trifluoride dietherate (Table 2.8) require extended reaction times (6 – 21 h) for completion and result in low levels of regioselectivity (1:1 – 1:9 b/l ratios). In comparison, the reaction of same trichloroacetimidate under rhodium-catalyzed conditions is complete in 30 min and provides >99:1 regioselectivity for the branched aryl amine product, a markedly different result.

We had early aspirations of an asymmetric amination method for the synthesis of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -disubstituted allylic amines and we were aware that this might be acheivable when experimental data showed that our reaction was not enantiospecific. This was reported in

my first graduate school publication, and although one reviewer failed to see the point of a new method that was not enantiospecific, we felt as though the clock was running thinking a few lights might turn on in the synthetic community. I worked very diligently on this project which resulted in the first DYKAT of tertiary electrophiles with an aniline nucleophile, a real triumph. Our work continued by developing a niche application of the DYKAT reaction where *N*-methylanilines are efficient nucleophiles with secondary trichloroacetimidates for the regio- and enantioselective preparation  $\alpha$ -substituted allylic amines. And finally, I highlighted the utility of our method by preparing enantioenriched *N*-methyl homophenylalanine amino acids and by developing a DYKAT amination and hydroacylation sequence for the asymmetric synthesis of 7-membered aza-heterocycles, completing my graduate work at the University of Iowa.

My work is done but of course many studies and applications of the DYKAT reaction remain. There is a lot of room to improve the scope of our enantioselective substitution reaction. There were some nucleophiles that performed well in the regioselective amination that did not work well in the DYKAT reaction and should be revisited. 2-((Trimethylsilyl)ethynyl) aniline 1830 utilized for racemic preparation of isoprenylated indoles (Scheme 2.10) does not react efficiently in the enantioselective reaction and likewise 2-bromoaniline **183m** is a good nucleophile with tertiary substrates under [RhCl(NBD]<sub>2</sub> catalysis but not using chiral diene ligands. Both of these amine products would be quite useful building blocks and would be a great addition to the large number of anilines that can be utilized. Another aniline nucleophile that has not provided acceptable results in the enantioselective reaction is tetrahydroquinoline **185** (Table 2.1). This cyclic secondary aniline is an excellent nucleophile under Hartwig's reaction conditions.<sup>95</sup> Perhaps this nucleophile would have to be used under iridium conditions just as secondary acylic N-methyl anilines are only efficient under rhodium conditions. I have conducted a few experiments using amine sources other than anilines and N-methyl benzylamines including sulfonamides and indoles, and some attempts with phenols in the

DYKAT reaction. Although these reactions were not successful, perhaps a more intensive investigation and optimization is needed in each case. In addition to scope of the nucleophilic partner, much could be expanded regarding the scope of tertiary substrates that could be subjected to enantioselective rhodium conditions. Tertiary electrophiles utilized to this point have a methyl substituent at the resulting quaternary center. Many natural products possess this feature, and we selected these substrates because they are easy to prepare by Grignard addition to methyl ketones. What if functionality other than methyl was required at this center? Expanding the diversity of accessible groups at this position would make this method more versatile, and it would be interesting to see how these electrophiles perform under rhodium-catalysis. So far we have been limited by the ability to prepare new substrates. Again, much more attention is required because the time I dedicated to this endeavor was in response to reviewer comments under a timeframe. I attempted to prepare the ethyl derivative but could not functionalize the tertiary alcohol as the trichloroacetimidate, which was presumably too hindered. All attempts to prepare imidates from a number of alcohols bearing aromatic groups were too unstable and could not be isolated. Perhaps addition of electron-withdrawing groups at the center possessing the leaving group that is both allylic and benzylic could boost stability of these substrates.

Another area of substrate expansion that only recently has been explored is substitution of the olefin component. Although we had originally proposed that a less-hindered terminal olefin was required for efficient ionization to organorhodium intermediates, we wanted to test this hypothesis. We revisited this idea during my *N*-methyl Manzacidin C synthesis project. While encountering many failures to functionalize the olefin of the allylic amination product, we thought it would be advantageous if the DYKAT reaction could be run with a terminal vinyl acetate or comparable group already installed on imidate **392** (Scheme 5.1). If this were possible, the enantioselective synthesis of members of the Manzacidin family could be rapidly be accessed. Another thought and application that stemmed from this idea involves DYKAT amination followed by a second

palladium or iridium substitution of the remaining allylic acetate, possibly forming another stereogenic center **394b** (Scheme 5.1). We had already demonstrated that allylic acetates and carbonates are stable to our rhodium reaction conditions. Perhaps this could be a onepot or sequential-type reaction in the future. Unfortunately, early attempts utilizing vinyl acetates provides products with low enantioselectivity, suggesting slower rates of interconversion of organorhodium intermediates due to the congested terminus. Recent work in our laboratory however, has shown that the amination of enantioenriched 392 is enantiospecific using the racemic rhodium NBD catalyst. This would allow access to the Manzacidins and a 2-step substitution sequence which I look forward to seeing in the future. I discussed another olefin modification in chapter 4 where DYKAT amination of trichloroacetimidate **377** (Table 4.3) possessing a 2-methyl group on the allyl fragment led to low yields and enantioselectivity. Again, the chiral ligands were inadequate to differentiate populations of syn- and anti- conformers and the unfavorable interactions that result. Efforts should continue to investigate various classes of substrates and nucleophilic partners in the reaction which will provide mechanistic understanding and elucidate the boundaries of this methodology



Scheme 5.1 Rhodium-catalyzed Amination of Vinyl Acetates for Synthetic Application

Investigations that have commenced in our laboratory to solve the issues of low yield, regioselectivity and or enantioselectivity associated with tertiary trichloroacetimidates lacking an accessible  $\beta$ -oxygen group. This has largely involved the the synthesis of a new ligand design. Preliminary results show major improvements in enantioselectivity but much lower yielding reactions. I am confident that continued efforts will result in a catalyst complex that provides a good balance of yield and enantioselectivity which would greatly improve the value and usefulness of our DYKAT reaction.

And lastly, it is time to conduct in-depth mechanistic studies. Studies that probe the inner-workings our our amination method would futher our ability to design ligands for increased efficiency, selectivity and scope. Initially these studies should start by trying to isolate substrate bound intermediates or designing and testing presumed catalytic intermediates. There have been minimal attempts to isolate intermediates in our laboratory and again more effort is needed. Additionally, expanded NMR studies, kinetic and computational work would greatly improve our mechanistic understanding of this reaction. What is the rate-limiting in our amination? What is the catalytic-turnover? What makes anilines favorable in our reaction while other substitution reactions catalyzed by iridium and rhodium are amenable to a wider range of nucleophiles. Clearly, there are substantial mechanistic differences between rhodium(I) catalyzed allylic substitution reactions of branched trichloroacetimidates using  $\pi$ -acidic diene and phosphite ligands versus Evan's work with branched carbonates and a mixture of donor phosphine and  $\pi$ -acidic phosphite ligands.<sup>96</sup> When trichloroacetimidate 223 was subjected to amination with Wilkinson's catalyst and trimethyl phosphite only 13% of the desired product was isolated with 5:1 regioselectivity (Table 2.1). Conversly, there is no amination reaction of carbonate electrophiles using our catalyst system.<sup>12, 13</sup> We need to unravel the reasons that this combination of ligands and branched allylic trichloroacetimidate electrophiles result in an efficient DYKAT reaction while other methods fail. I hope that these investigation are undertaken and would look forward to seeing the results in the future.

### CHAPTER VI EXPERIMENTAL

#### 5.1 Methods, Reagents and Instrumentation

All reactions were performed in oven-dried Schlenk flasks fitted with glass stoppers under positive nitrogen pressure. Organic solutions were concentrated by rotary evaporation below 40 °C at 25 torr. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and gas chromatography (GC) were routinely used to monitor the progress of the reactions. TLC was performed using pre-coated glass plates with 230-400 mesh silica gel impregnated with a fluorescent indicator (250 nm). Visualization was accomplished using UV light, potassium permanganate, or phosphomolybdic acid. Dry solvents were obtained from a SG Waters solvent system utilizing activated alumina columns under an argon pressure or purchased from Sigma-Aldrich in sure-seal bottles. The rhodium catalysts and chiral diene ligands were handled and transferred to Schlenk flasks within a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere. All chemicals and reagents were obtained from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

Monitoring by GC was performed on an Agilent 6850 with autosampler using an HP-1 (30m x 0.320mm) column and temperature gradient of  $100-250^{\circ}$ C over 10 min. Flash chromatography was performed on a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash R<sub>f</sub> system utilizing normal phase pre-column cartridges and gold high performance columns. The *ee*'s were determined on an Agilent 1200 series HPLC using a Diacel Chiralcel 4.6 x 250 mm OD-H or Diacel Chiralcel OJ-3 4.6 x 150 mm column fitted with guard columns with flow rates and mobile phases as indicated. All proton (<sup>1</sup>H) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer. All carbon (<sup>13</sup>C) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 100 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( $\delta$  scale) and are referenced to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$  7.24 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  77.23 ppm) in the NMR solvent. Data are presented as follows: chemical shift,

multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, and bs = broad singlet), integration, and coupling constant (*J*) in hertz (Hz). Infrared (IR) spectra were reported in cm<sup>-1</sup> on a Jasco 4100 FT/IR. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter at RT. High resolution TOF mass spectrometry utilizing electrospray ionization in positive mode was performed on a Water QTOF Premier instrument to confirm the identity of the compounds.

5.2 General Procedure for the Preparation of Secondary Trichloroacetimidates



A 100 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with the allylic alcohol (1.94 g, 8.96 mmol, 1 equiv) and dry dichoromethane (50 mL). Trichloroacetonitrile (2.70 mL, 26.9 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. The resulting solution was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C, and DBU was added (0.33 mL, 2.24 mmol, 0.25 equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir overnight. The mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (30/1 hexane/ethyl acetate + 1% triethylamine) to provide **223** (2.84 g, 88%) as a clear oil.

# Compound 223:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.29$  (s, NH), 5.91-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.54-5.49 (m, 1H), 5.34 (dt, J = 17.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.70 (m, 2H), 2.03-1.87 (m, 2H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 161.9, 135.8, 116.7, 76.5, 59.1, 37.7, 26.1, 18.5, -5.2.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3349, 2955, 2929, 2857, 1664, 1471, 1286, 1255,

1100, 980, 832, 795, 776, 647.

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>SiNa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 382.0543; found: 382.0542.



## Compound 226:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.30$  (s, NH), 7.65-7.62 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.32 (m, 6H), 5.92-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.66-5.61 (m, 1H), 5.34 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83-3.72 (m, 2H), 2.07-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.02 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 161.9$ , 135.8, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 129.8, 127.8, 116.7, 76.5, 59.9, 37.5, 27.0, 19.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3344, 3071, 2958, 2930, 2857, 1663, 1472, 1427, 1298, 1286, 1111, 1091, 979, 823, 795, 737, 702, 647.

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>SiNa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 506.0853; found: 506.0859.



Compound 227:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.30$  (s, NH), 7.29-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3H), 5.92-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.40-5.35 (m, 1H), 5.37 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.82-2.67 (m, 2H), 2.17-1.97 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.0, 141.5, 135.6, 128.7, 128.6, 126.2, 117.2, 78.8, 36.2, 31.5.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3343, 3085, 3026, 2928, 2861, 1661, 1496, 1455, 1285, 1074, 974, 928, 884, 824, 793, 748, 697.

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NONa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 306.0219 ; found: 306.0225.

Compound 228:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.31$  (s, NH), 5.93-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.43-5.40 (m, 1H), 5.41 (dt, J = 17.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83-3.74 (m, 2H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.2, 133.0, 118.1, 80.0, 64.6, 26.0, 18.5, -5.1, -5.2.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3349, 2954, 2929, 2858, 1664, 1471, 1462, 1287, 1255, 1130, 1078, 993, 933, 835, 795, 777.



### Compound 229:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.35$  (s, NH), 7.34-7.30 (m, 4H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 1H), 5.95-5.85 (m, 1H), 5.66-5.58 (m, 1H), 5.44 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 3.72-3.69 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.1, 138.2, 132.7, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 118.3, 78.3, 73.5, 71.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3342, 3088, 3064, 3030, 2897, 2861, 1664, 1496, 1454, 1362, 1286, 1205, 1075, 987, 930, 873, 793, 735, 697.

HRMS (TOF ESI+): calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>SiNa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 343.9988; found: 343.9984

Compound **230**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.26$  (s, NH), 7.30-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 1H), 5.91-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.60-5.55 (m, 1H), 5.32 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (dd, 13.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 161.9, 136.9, 135.1, 129.9, 128.4, 126.8, 117.3, 80.0, 40.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3342, 3087, 3064, 3029, 2948, 2925, 1661, 1496, 1455, 1343, 1301, 1285, 1073, 984, 930, 859, 837, 793, 751, 698.

Compound 231:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.21 (s, NH), 5.84-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.30 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.88-1.62 (m, 6H), 1.27-1.02 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.2, 134.4, 117.7, 92.2, 83.7, 42.0, 28.8, 28.3, 26.6, 26.2, 26.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3346, 2926, 2853, 1661, 1450, 1340, 1302, 1286, 1073, 980, 930, 933, 889, 824, 792.

HRMS (TOF ESI+): calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 284.0376; found 284.0383



### Compound 232:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.23 (s, NH), 5.86-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.33 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.07-1.95 (m, 1H), 0.99 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 162.3, 134.1, 117.8, 84.2, 32.3, 18.4, 17.9.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3347, 2965, 2934, 2876, 1662, 1469, 1303, 1287, 1076, 984, 926, 826, 794.



Compound 233:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.36$  (s, NH), 7.43-7.28 (m, 5H), 6.35 (d, J = 5.7 Hz,

1H), 6.10-6.02 (m, 1H), 5.40 (dt, *J* = 15.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dt, *J* = 10.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 161.4, 138.6, 135.9, 128.7, 128.4, 127.0, 117.3, 91.7, 80.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3346, 2926, 2853, 1661, 1450, 1340, 1302, 1286, 1073, 980, 930, 933, 889, 824, 792.

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 277.9925; found: 277.9906

5.3 General Procedure for Amination of Secondary Trichloroacetimidates with 1 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>/ P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>



A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (0.54 mg, 1.4  $\mu$ mol, 1 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed and removed from the glove box, and THF (0.35 mL) was added to the Schlenk under argon followed by triphenylphosphite (1.5  $\mu$ L, 5.5  $\mu$ mol, 4 mol%). The rhodium-phosphite complex solution was allowed to stir at 25 °C for 15 min. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with **223** (50 mg, 0.14mmol, 1 equiv), THF (0.35 mL) and aniline **183a** (38  $\mu$ L, 0.42 mmol, 3 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was then added to the flask containing the **223** and **183a** solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at 40°C under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by GC. After 30 min, the crude reaction was concentrated *in vacuo*, loaded in dichloromethane onto an ISCO load cartridge, and dried under vacuum. Elution onto an

ISCO 12g silica column (0  $\rightarrow$  2.5% ethyl acetate/hexane) provided **224** and **225** (40 mg, 96%, **224/225** >99:1) as pale yellow oil.

Compound 224:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ = 7.13 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 0.96 Hz, 1H), 5.80-5.72 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (s, NH), 4.03-3.99 (m, 1H), 3.82-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.68 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.73 (m, 2H), 0.90 (s, 9H), -0.04 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 148.0, 139.7, 129.2, 117.0, 115.5, 113.4, 60.6, 54.3, 38.0, 26.1, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3340, 3085, 3061, 3024, 2941, 2860, 2812, 1663, 1597, 1503, 1453, 1378, 1348, 1306, 1211, 1193, 1076, 1031, 990, 922, 796, 746, 692.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H): 292.2103; found: 292.2097

Compound 225:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.18 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 0.96 Hz, 1H), 5.67-5.54 (m, 2H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 0.89 (s, 9H), -0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 148.4, 129.6, 129.4, 128.8, 117.7, 113.2, 62.8, 41.4, 31.5, 26.2, 18.6, -5.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3401, 3052, 3020, 2954, 2928, 2885, 2856, 1727, 1604, 1505, 1314, 1255, 1096, 929, 836, 777, 748, 691.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H): 292.2103; found: 292.2097



Compound 223b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.82 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 5.77-5.69 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (s, NH), 3.95-3.91 (m, 1H), 3.82-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.68 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.72 (m, 2H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 156.8, 144.2, 139.7, 115.7, 115.5, 114.2, 60.6, 55.1, 38.0, 26.1, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3405, 2953, 2929, 2857, 1509, 1471, 1255, 1220, 1096, 918, 835, 816, 775.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NOSiF (M+H): 310.2002; found: 310.2000.



Compound 223c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.73 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.78-5.70 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dt, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, NH), 3.94-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.81-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.73-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.72 (m, 2H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 151.9, 142.2, 140.3, 115.3, 114.9, 114.7, 60.6, 56.0, 55.2, 38.2, 26.1, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 2952, 2929, 2856, 1510, 1470, 1236, 1094, 1041, 916, 835, 816, 775.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si (M+H): 322.2202; found: 322.2198.



Compound **223d**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.07-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.57 (m, 2H), 5.81-5.73 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07-4.05 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, NH), 3.83-3.79 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.70 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.80 (m, 2H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 147.9, 139.7, 129.2, 117.0, 115.4, 113.3, 60.5, 54.3, 38.0, 26.1, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3423, 2953, 2928, 2856, 1606, 1587, 1512, 1471, 1449, 1315, 1255, 991, 916, 834, 776, 744.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NOSi (M+H): 306.2253; found: 306.2249.



#### Compound 223e:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.76$  (s, 2H), 5.70-5.61 (m, 1H), 4.95-4.94 (m, 1H), 4.92-4.90 (m, 1H), 3.79-3.64 (m, 3H), 2.97 (s, NH), 2.19 (s, 6H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.67 (m, 1H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.01 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 142.4, 140.5, 130.8, 129.5, 115.4, 60.1, 57.5, 39.2, 26.2, 20.8, 19.2, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3386, 3077, 2952, 2928, 2897, 2856, 1482, 1472, 1253, 1231, 1095, 989, 916, 835, 774.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NOSi (M+H): 334.2566; found: 334.2562.



### Compound 223f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.20-7.14 (m, 2H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2H), 6.66 (tt, *J* = 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.86-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.14-5.07 (m, 2H), 4.56-4.51 (m, 1H), 3.64-3.56 (m, 2H), 2.72 (s, 3H), 1.94-1.79 (m, 2H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.02 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.8, 137.5, 129.2, 116.6, 115.8, 113.4, 60.1, 56.6, 34.7, 31.8, 26.1, 18.4, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2953, 2928, 2884, 2857, 1598, 1504, 1471, 1253, 1095, 990, 835, 775, 747.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NOSi (M+H): 306.2253; found: 306.2250.



Compound 226a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.67-7.62 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.29 (m, 6H), 7.13 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.79-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.22 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (s, NH),

4.15-4.07 (m, 1H), 3.87-3.81 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.71 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 147.9$ , 139.8, 135.8, 133.6, 129.8, 129.2, 127.9, 117.1, 115.3, 113.4, 61.4, 53.9, 38.1, 27.1, 19.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3409, 2952, 2930, 2857, 1601, 1505, 1427, 1316, 1105, 1092, 822, 743, 700.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NOSi (M+H): 416.2410; found: 416.2404.



Compound 226b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.66-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.29 (m, 6H), 6.83 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 5.77-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, NH), 4.04-4.02 (m, 1H), 3.87-3.81 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.71 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.85 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.05 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 144.1, 139.7, 135.7, 133.6, 133.5, 129.8, 127.9, 115.7, 115.5, 114.2, 61.3, 54.6, 38.1, 27.1, 19.3.$ 

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3409, 2930, 2889, 2857, 1509, 1427, 1220, 818, 775, 736, 700, 688. HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NOFSi (M+H): 434.2315; found: 434.2317.



<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.66-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.29 (m, 6H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.77-5.69 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.04-4.00 (m, 1H), 3.91 (s, NH), 3.86-3.80 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.05 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 151.9$ , 142.1, 140.2, 135.7, 133.7, 133.5, 129.8, 127.8, 115.4, 115.0, 114.8, 61.5, 55.9, 54.7, 38.2, 26.9, 19.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3399, 2930, 2896, 2857, 1509, 1471, 1463, 1427, 1236, 1108, 1040, 819, 737, 701, 688.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si (M+H): 446.2511; found: 446.2515.



Compound 226d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.67-7.62$  (m, 4H), 7.45-7.29 (m, 6H), 7.15-7.0 (m, 2H), 6.70-6.60 (m, 2H), 5.84-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.22 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.25-4.21 (m, 1H), 3.89-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.6 (s, NH), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.95-1.86 (m, 2H), 1.07 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.6, 140.0, 135.7, 133.9, 133.7, 130.2, 129.8, 127.8, 127.1, 121.9, 116.8, 115.2, 111.0, 61.0, 52.9, 38.7, 27.1, 19.4, 17.8.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3427, 2956, 2930, 2892, 2856, 1605, 1587, 1510, 1427, 1105, 821, 739, 700, 687.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NOSi (M+H): 430.2566; found: 430.2565.



Compound 227a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.29-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.10 (m, 5H), 6.66 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.80-5.72 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (bs, 1H), 3.61 (s, NH), 2.74 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.93-1.87 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 147.7$ , 141.8, 139.8, 129.3, 128.7, 128.6, 126.1, 117.4, 115.6, 113.5, 55.5, 37.4, 32.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3403, 3083, 3052, 3024, 2977, 2921, 2856, 1599, 1503, 1454, 1428, 1315, 1254, 992, 918, 746, 691.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 238.1596; found: 238.1599.



Compound 227b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.29-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.83 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.45 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 5.76-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.18 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (q, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, NH), 2.73 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.91-1.85 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 141.7$ , 139.8, 128.6, 126.2, 115.9, 115.5, 114.4, 103.7, 56.1, 37.4, 32.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3412, 3083, 3061, 3026, 3003, 2977, 2922, 2857, 1507, 1454, 1313, 1218, 992, 920, 817, 778, 749, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NF (M+H): 256.1502; found: 256. 500.



Compound 227c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.29-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.78-5.69 (m, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, NH), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.91-1.85 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.1$ , 141.9, 141.7, 140.2, 128.7, 128.6, 126.1, 115.7, 115.0, 114.9, 56.4, 55.9, 37.5, 32.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3395, 3081, 3061, 3025, 2998, 2932, 2856, 2830, 1602, 1508, 1463, 1453, 1441, 1231, 1178, 1036, 992, 817, 816, 747, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO (M+H): 268.1701; found: 268.1694.



# Compound 227d:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.30-7.26$  (m, 2H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 3H), 7.08-7.03 (m, 2H), 6.62 (td, J = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.84-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (s, NH), 2.73 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2,11 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.94 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.4$ , 141.8, 140.2, 130.2, 128.6, 127.1, 126.2, 121.8, 116.9, 115.5, 110.8, 55.3, 37.6, 32.4, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3434, 3025, 2920, 2854, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1497, 1478, 1447, 1314, 1257, 990, 916, 744, 698, 682.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N (M+H): 252.1752; found: 252.1746.



Compound 228a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.15 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (tt, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 5.86-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.31 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (s, NH), 3.87 (bs, 1H), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 148.0, 137.8, 129.2, 117.0, 116.7, 114.0, 65.9, 57.9, 26.1, 18.5, -5.1, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 2953, 2928, 2884, 2857, 1602, 1503, 1471, 1316, 1254, 1101, 992, 835, 812, 776, 747, 690.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NOSi (M+H): 278.1940; found: 278.1944.



Compound 228b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.84$  (t, J = 8.9, 2H), 6.57 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 5.82-5.74 (m, 1H), 5.29 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (s, NH), 3.81-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.3$ , 154.9, 144.4, 137.8, 116.9, 115.7, 115.5, 115.1, 115.0, 65.8, 58.7, 26.0, 18.5, -5.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3394, 2953, 2929, 2884, 2857, 1509, 1471, 1463, 1255, 1220, 1097, 835, 818, 776.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NOFSi (M+H): 296.1846; found: 296.1854.



# Compound 228c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.73$  (d, J = 9.0, 2H), 6.61 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.83-5.74 (m, 1H), 5.28 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.79-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.59 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (s, NH), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.4, 142.2, 138.2, 116.7, 115.6, 114.8, 65.9, 59.1, 55.9, 26.1, 18.5, -5.1, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 2952, 2929, 2898, 2857, 2831, 1510, 1470, 1463, 1243, 1232, 1100, 1041, 835, 819, 777.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si (M+H): 308.2046; found: 308.2053.



Compound 228d:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.09-7.04$  (m, 2H), 6.67-6.62 (m, 2H), 5.87-5.78 (m, 1H), 5.31 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.95-3.90 (m, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 9.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.0, 138.1, 130.2, 127.0, 122.7, 117.2, 116.7, 111.5, 66.0, 57.7, 26.0, 18.4, 17.7, -5.2.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3402, 2953, 2928, 2884, 2857, 1606, 1586, 1509, 1471, 1462, 1313, 1254, 1102, 918, 834, 812, 776, 744.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H): 292.2097; found: 292.2098.



<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.77 (s, 2H), 5.91-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.12 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.63-3.59 (m, 1H), 2.22 (s, 6H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 142.2, 138.5, 130.8, 129.7, 129.5, 115.8, 66.0, 61.3, 26.0, 20.7, 18.9, 18.5, -5.1, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2953, 2928, 2857, 1483, 1471, 1462, 1443, 1251, 1098, 992, 952, 833, 812, 775.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NOSi (M+H): 320.2410; found: 320.2413.



#### Compound **228f**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 6.80-6.77 (m, 2H), 6.69 (tt, *J* = 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93-5.85 (m, 1H), 5.23 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, *J* = 7.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.43-4.38 (m, 1H), 3.84-3.76 (m, 2H), 2.82 (s, 3H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.02 (s, 3H), 0.01 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.7, 135.1, 129.2, 116.8, 116.6, 113.2, 63.6, 62.4, 32.8, 25.9, 18.3, -5.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2952, 2928, 2884, 2856, 1597, 1503, 1471, 1462, 1108, 835, 814, 774, 746, 690.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H): 292.2097; found: 292.2099.



Compound 229a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.39-7.29$  (m, 5H), 7.17 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 5.91-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.34 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (s, NH), 4.09-4.05 (m, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.2 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 147.8$ , 138.2, 137.6, 129.2, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 117.8, 116.7, 114.0, 73.4, 72.7, 56.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3396, 3085, 3051, 3026, 2897, 2857, 1600, 1503, 1453, 1431, 1360, 1100, 1076, 1027, 992, 922, 870, 747, 692.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 254.1545; found: 254.1545.



#### Compound **229b**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.39-7.29 (m, 5H), 6.86 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 6.59-6.54 (m, 2H), 5.87-5.78 (m,

1H), 5.32 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, NH), 3.99-3.95 (m, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.5 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 157.3, 155.0, 144.1, 138.1, 137.5, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 116.9, 115.7, 115.5, 115.0, 114.9, 73.4, 72.7, 56.8.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3398, 2898, 2858, 1602, 1508, 1453, 1360, 1313, 1215, 1095, 1076, 1027, 992, 922, 819736, 696.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub> FNO (M+H): 272.1458; found: 272.1451.



Compound 229c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.36-7.27$  (m, 5H), 6.74 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.87-5.79 (m, 1H), 5.31 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.98-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.5, 6.4 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.7$ , 141.8, 138.2, 137.9, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 116.9, 115.7, 115.0, 114.9, 73.4, 72.8, 57.2, 56.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3387, 2931, 2902, 2857, 2832, 1601, 1509, 1463, 1453, 1233, 1178, 1097, 1036, 992, 818, 736, 697.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 284.1651; found: 284.1657.



Compound 229d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.38-7.29$  (m, 5H), 7.10-7.05 (m, 2H), 6.69-6.62 (m, 2H), 5.93-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.35 (d, J = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12-4.09 (m, 1H), 3.68-3.60 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.8$ , 138.2, 137.8, 130.2, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 127.0, 122.6, 118.8, 117.4, 116.7, 111.4, 73.3, 72.7, 55.9, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3405, 2895, 2857, 1605, 1586, 1508, 1477, 1448, 1359, 1313, 1262, 1102, 1050, 1026, 989, 920, 744, 715, 697.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO (M+H): 268.1701; found: 268.1706.



#### Compound 229e:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.37-7.27$  (m, 5H), 6.80 (s, 2H), 6.01-5.92 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.77-3.74 (m, 1H), 3.63-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 2.23 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 142.2, 138.4, 131.0, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 127.7, 115.8, 73.6, 73.2, 60.0, 20.7, 18.8.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3380, 3004, 2939, 2913, 2856, 1508, 1482, 1452, 1357, 1233, 1099, 1038, 1027, 1012, 991, 852, 733, 696.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO (M+H): 296.2014; found: 296.2024.



Compound 229f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.35-7.21$  (m, 7H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.94-5.86 (m, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.61-4.57 (m, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.66 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 2.83 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 150.5$ , 138.4, 135.1, 129.2, 128.5, 127.8, 127.8, 117.1, 113.5, 73.3, 70.5, 60.3, 32.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2858, 2815, 1596, 1503, 1453, 1359, 1312, 1289, 1209, 1094, 1028, 990, 921, 745, 712, 691.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO (M+H): 268.1701; found: 268.1699.



Compound 230a:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.32-7.28$  (m, 2H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 3H), 7.16-7.12 (m, 2H), 6.68 (tt, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.85-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.19 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.15-4.12 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, NH), 2.92 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 147.5$ , 139.4, 137.8, 129.6, 129.3, 128.6, 126.7, 117.6, 115.6, 113.7, 56.7, 42.1.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N (M+H): 224.1439; found: 224.1445.



#### Compound **230b**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.32-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.19 (m, 3H), 6.84 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 5.83-5.74 (m, 1H), 5.16 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06-4.04 (m, 1H), 3.57 (s, NH), 2.92 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.2$ , 154.8, 143.8, 139.4, 137.7, 129.6, 128.6, 126.8, 115.8, 115.7, 115.6, 114.7, 114.6, 57.5, 42.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3409, 1506, 1454, 1217, 991, 921, 817, 766, 751, 699.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NF (M+H): 242.1345; found: 242.1347.



Compound **230c**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 3H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.84-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.17 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.11
(dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, NH), 2.90 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.3$ , 141.6, 139.8, 138.0, 129.6, 128.6, 126.7, 115.6, 115.3, 114.9, 57.8, 55.9, 42.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3396, 1508, 1463, 1453, 1233, 1178, 1036, 992, 919, 817, 749, 700. **HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 254.1545; found: 254.1550.



Compound 230d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.32-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 1H), 6.64-6.60 (m, 2H), 5.90-5.82 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (s, 1H), 3.57 (s, NH), 3.01 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, *J* = 13.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.5$ , 139.8, 137.8, 130.2, 129.6, 128.6, 127.1, 126.8, 122.3, 117.1, 115.4, 111.2, 56.8, 42.3, 17.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3423, 1604, 1586, 1509, 1478, 1447, 1313, 1258, 1051, 989, 918, 744, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N (M+H): 238.1596; found: 238.1607.

5.4 General Procedure for Amination of Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with 5 mol% [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>/ P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>



A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.7 mg, 7.0  $\mu$ mol, 5 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed and removed from the glove box, and THF (0.35 mL) was added to the Schlenk under argon followed by triphenylphosphite (7.4  $\mu$ L, 28.0  $\mu$ mol, 20 mol%). The rhodium-phosphite complex solution was allowed to stir at 25 °C for 15 min. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with **231** (40 mg, 0.14mmol, 1 equiv), THF (0.35 mL) and aniline **183a** (38  $\mu$ L, 0.42 mmol, 3 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was then added to the flask containing **231** and **183a** solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by GC. After 30 min, the crude reaction was concentrated *in vacuo*, loaded in dichloromethane onto an ISCO load cartridge, and dried under vacuum. Elution onto an ISCO 24g silica column (0  $\rightarrow$  40% ethyl acetate/hexane) provided **231a** (30 mg, 96%, branched/linear >53:1) as pale yellow oil.

Compound 231a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.13 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H), 5.74-5.66 (m, 1H), 5.15 (dt, *J* = 14.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, *J* = 9.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.67-3.61 (m, 1H, NH), 1.85-1.65 (m, 5H), 1.53-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.29-1.01 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 148.1, 138.5, 129.3, 117.1, 116.0, 113.4, 61.2, 42.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.7, 26.6, 26.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3314, 3081, 3051, 3018, 2922, 2850, 1725, 1600, 1502, 1503, 1448, 1429, 1317, 1251, 1065, 992, 916, 745, 690.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for  $C_{15}H_{22}N(M+H)^+$ : 216.1752; found: 216.1751.



Compound 226e:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ = 7.69-7.65 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.35 (m, 6H), 6.80 (s, 2H),
5.70-5.61 (m, 1H), 4.97-4.95 (m, 1H), 4.93 (bs, 1H), 3.89-3.81 (m, 2H), 3.77-3.71 (m,
1H), 2.89 (s, NH), 2.22 (s, 9H), 2.01-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.06 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 142.2, 140.3, 135.8, 134.0, 130.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 127.8, 115.4, 61.1, 57.3, 39.1, 27.0, 20.7, 19.3, 19.2.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2930, 2895, 2857, 1482, 1472, 1427, 1107, 1090, 917, 822, 737, 700, 687.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NOSi (M+H): 458.2879; found: 458.2877.



## Compound 226f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.77-7.67 (m, 2H), 7.59-7.57 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.30 (m, 6H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 2H), 6.89-6.86 (m, 2H), 6.76-6.70 (m, 1H), 5.90-5.82 (m, 1H), 5.18-5.10 (m, 2H), 4.75-4.70 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.65 (m, 2H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.07 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 150.7, 137.5, 135.7, 133.9, 133.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 127.9, 127.8, 116.7, 115.7, 113.5, 60.8, 56.6, 34.5, 31.8, 27.0, 19.3.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2953, 2930, 2887, 2856, 1597, 1574, 1503, 1471, 1427, 1106, 1087, 822, 742, 700, 688.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NOSi (M+H): 430.2566; found: 430.2561.



Compound 227e:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.30-7.26$  (m, 2H), 7.20-7.17 (m, 3H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 5.75-5.67 (m, 1H), 5.03-4.96 (m, 2H), 3.55 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (s, NH), 2.75-2.71 (m, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 2.03-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.83 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 142.2, 142.1, 140.4, 130.9, 129.6, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 115.7, 60.0, 37.9, 32.6, 20.7, 19.1.$ 

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3062, 3025, 2939, 2916, 2856, 1482, 1453, 1230, 1011, 989, 916, 853, 743, 698.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N (M+H): 280.2065; found: 280.2063.



#### Compound **227f**:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.29-7.14$  (m, 7H), 6.78-6.70 (m, 3H), 5.89-5.80 (m, 1H), 5.19-5.11 (m, 2H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.70-2.59 (m, 2H), 2.08-1.96 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 150.7$ , 142.0, 137.3, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 116.7, 115.9, 113.3, 59.6, 33.9, 33.0, 31.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3083, 3060, 3024, 3003, 2941, 2863, 2811, 1596, 1502, 1453, 9990, 919, 745, 691.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N (M+H): 252.1752; found: 252.1759.



Compound 230e:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 7.30-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 3H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 5.74-5.65 (m, 1H), 4.93-4.87 (m, 2H), 3.83 (q, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (s, NH), 2.79 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 141.9, 139.7, 138.6, 131.2, 129.8, 129.6, 128.4,

126.4, 115.5, 61.5, 42.7, 20.8, 19.1.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3371, 3026, 2939, 2915, 1482, 1453, 1373, 1300, 1230, 1156, 990, 917, 853, 737, 698.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N (M+H): 266.1909; found: 266.1924.



Compound 230f:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.28-7.15$  (m, 7H), 6.76-6.67 (m, 3H), 5.92-5.84 (m, 1H), 5.17-5.09 (m, 2H), 4.61-4.56 (m, 1H), 3.05-2.91 (m, 2H), 2.82 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 150.4$ , 139.1, 136.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.5, 126.3,

116.9, 116.3, 113.5, 62.3, 38.3, 32.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3084, 3061, 3024, 3002, 2979, 2938, 1595, 1573, 1502, 1453, 1118, 1097, 1030, 990, 920, 745, 691.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N (M+H): 238.1596; found: 239.1608.



Compound 231b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.82$  (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.75-5.62 (m, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 3.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (bs, 2H), 1.84-1.62 (m, 5H), 1.48-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.25-1.03 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 156.9$ , 154.5, 144.4, 116.1, 115.7, 115.5, 114.3, 114.2, 62.0, 42.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.7, 26.5, 26.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3422, 2923, 2851, 1507, 1449, 1315, 1289, 993, 917, 816.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NF (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 234.1658; found: 234.1655.



## Compound 231c:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.73$  (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.72-5.64 (m, 1H), 5.15-5.10 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.53 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, NH), 1.85-1.64 (m, 5H), 1.51-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.28-1.00 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 151.9, 142.4, 138.9, 115.9, 115.0, 114.8, 62.3, 55.9, 42.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.7, 26.6, 26.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3405, 2922, 2850, 2831, 1509, 1481, 1463, 1448, 1232, 1178, 1038, 994, 915, 815.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 246.1858; found: 246.1855.



## Compound 231d:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ = 7.08-7.01 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.54 (m, 2H), 5.77-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 9.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.13-5.11 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 1H), 3.56 (bs, NH) 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.88-1.74 (m, 5H), 1.69-1.55 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.05 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.8$ , 138.6, 130.2, 127.1, 121.7, 116.5, 115.8, 110.7, 60.8, 42.9, 29.6, 26.7, 26.6, 26.5, 17.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3442, 2922, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1477, 1447, 1315, 1302, 1288, 1254, 1051, 984, 916, 794, 743, 713.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 230.1909; found: 230.1910



### Compound 231e:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.75 (s, 2H), 5.63-5.54 (m, 1H), 4.87 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 9H) 1.95-1.65 (m, 5H), 1.49-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.31-1.01 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 142.5, 138.7, 130.5, 129.6, 129.2, 115.8, 65.7, 43.2, 30.4, 29.3, 26.9, 26.6, 20.7, 19.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3349, 2921, 2851, 1483, 1301, 1246, 1231, 1152, 989, 976, 914, 852. HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 258.2222; found: 258.2226.



#### Compound 232a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.16-7.11$  (m, 2H), 6.67-6.63 (m, 1H), 6.60-6.57 (m, 2H), 5.76-5.67 (m, 1H), 5.15 (td, J = 15.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.69-3.62 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.82 (m, 1H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H),

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 148.1, 138.1, 129.3, 117.2, 116.2, 113.5, 61.6, 32.6, 19.0, 18.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3416, 2959, 2940, 2929, 2902, 2872, 1600, 1503, 1428, 1317, 1272, 1178, 1154, 916, 866, 746, 690.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{18}N(M+H)^+$ : 176.1439; found: 176.1438.



Compound 232b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.86-6.80$  (m, 2H), 6.53-6.48 (m, 1H), 5.72-5.64 (m, 1H), 5.17 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, J = 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55-3.53 (m, 2H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 1H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H),

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 156.8$ , 154.6, 144.4, 138.0, 116.4, 115.8, 115.6, 114.3, 114.2, 62.4, 32.7, 19.0, 18.7.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3424, 2960, 2873, 1507, 1466, 1315, 1218, 994, 918, 816, 773, 749. HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NF (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 194.1345; found: 194.1341.



## Compound 232c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.76-6.72$  (m, 2H), 6.57-6.53 (m, 1H), 5.73-5.65 (m, 1H), 5.17 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15-5.12 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.54 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (s, NH), 1.88-1.79 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), <sup>13</sup>C **NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):**  $\delta = 152.0, 142.3, 138.4, 116.2, 115.0, 114.8, 62.6, 56.0, 32.6, 19.1, 18.7.$ 

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3404, 2932, 1509, 1463, 1229, 1178, 1038, 994, 916, 815, 756. HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 206.1545; found: 206.1547.



## Compound 232d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.09-7.03$  (m, 2H), 6.62-6.55 (m, 2H), 5.79-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.20 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.17-5.14 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.55 (s, NH), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.95-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H),

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.8$ , 138.2, 130.2, 127.2, 121.8, 116.7, 116.1, 110.8, 61.2, 32.7, 19.0, 18.8, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3442, 2958, 1605, 1586, 1510, 1477, 1464, 1446, 1315, 1303, 1252, 1051, 992, 917, 744, 714.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{20}N$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 190.1596; found: 190.1589.



#### Compound 233a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.35-7.21 (m, 5H), 7.11-7.06 (m, 2H), 6.64 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.07-5.98 (m, 1H), 5.28 (bs, 1H), 5.24-5.19 (m, 1H), 4.91 (t, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (bs, NH),

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 147.4$ , 142.0, 139.2, 129.3, 128.9, 127.6, 127.3, 117.8, 116.2, 113.7, 61.0.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3409, 3082, 3051, 3024, 1599, 1500, 1451, 1428, 1313, 1266, 1243, 1179, 1028, 991, 923, 746, 690.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 210.1288; found: 210.1283



### Compound 233b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.35-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.82 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 6.01-5.96 (m, 1H), 5.27 (dt, *J* = 18.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (bs, NH),

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.2$ , 154.9, 143.7, 141.9, 139.2, 128.9, 127.7, 127.3, 116.3, 115.8, 115.6, 114.6, 114.5, 61.6.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3413, 1508, 1452, 1402, 1312, 1218, 927, 818, 780, 749, 700.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for  $C_{15}H_{15}NF (M+H)^+$ : 228.1193; found: 228.1189.



## Compound 233c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.39-7.24 (m, 5H), 6.73 (D, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.06-5.98 (m, 1H), 5.26 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (bs, NH), 3.71 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.2$ , 142.3, 141.6, 139.6, 128.9, 127.6, 127.3, 116.1, 115.0, 114.8, 61.9, 55.9.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3396, 2830, 1509, 1463, 1452, 1405, 1240, 1230, 1178, 1036, 992, 924, 817, 764, 746, 700.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 240.1389; found: 240.1388.



Compound 242:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.35$  (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 6.61 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.81-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.27 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, J = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, NH), 3.92-3.86 (m, 1H), 3.77 (dd, J = 10.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.5, 136.8, 126.7, 119.2, 118.9, 117.2, 113.0, 65.7, 57.5, 26.0, 18.5, -5.1, -5.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3421, 2954, 2931, 2884, 2858, 1617, 1531, 1483, 1472, 1325, 1257, 1186, 1159, 1107, 1066, 835, 825, 778.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NOF<sub>3</sub>Si (M+H): 346.1819; found: 346.1814.

5.5 General Procedure for the Preparation of Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates

A 25 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with NaH (60% dispersion in oil, washed 5x with hexanes and dried under vacuum, 0.23 g, 5.8 mmol, 0.25 equiv) and dry THF (6 mL) and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (2.4 mL, 23 mmol) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture allowed to stir for 30 minutes. Trichloroacetonitrile (7.0 mL, 70 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and THF (6 mL) were added to a separate oven-dried Schlenk flask and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. The alcohol/alkoxide solution was transferred slowly to the trichloroacetonitrile solution via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 1 hour, quenched with MeOH (0.7 mL) and loaded directly onto a RediSep load cartridge containing pre-equilibrated and dried silica. Purification by silica gel flash column

chromatography (pre-equilibrated 80g RediSep column, 20% ethyl acetate/Hexane + 1% triethylamine) resulted in **253** (3.6 g, 67%) as a brown oil.

Compound 253:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.24$  (s, NH), 6.13 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.9, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 17.5, 0.8, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 10.9, 0.8, 1H), 1.62 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 160.4, 142.2, 116.2, 113.4, 84.4, 26.2.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3337, 2983,1698, 1662, 1512, 1319, 1084, 865, 820, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_7H_{10}Cl_3NONa (M + Na)^+$ : 251.9726; found: 251.9740.



# Compound 254:

<sup>1</sup>H **NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.25$  (s, NH), 6.00 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.3, 138.9, 115.4, 86.4, 69.4, 68.3, 26.0, 19.8, 18.4, -5.2, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3341, 2929, 1664, 1471, 1319, 1252, 1082, 834, 774, 667.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{25}Cl_3NO_2Si (M + H)^+$ : 360.0720; found: 360.0735.



<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.30 (s, NH), 7.34-7.27 (m, 5H), 6.10 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 138.8, 138.6, 128.5, 127.7, 1276, 115.5, 85.9, 75.2, 73.7, 20.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3334, 2860, 1663, 1453, 1317, 1078, 793, 734, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 358.0144; found: 358.0143.



## Compound 256:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.34 (s, NH), 7.30-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3H), 6.09 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (m, 2H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.16 (m, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 142.2, 141.2, 128.60, 128.56, 128.52, 126.0, 114.2, 86.3, 42.0, 30.1, 23.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3334, 3027, 2976, 1704, 1662, 1496, 1454, 1319, 1083, 819, 794, 745, 698.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 320.0376; found: 320.0384.



Compound 257:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.23$  (s, NH), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 17.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 11.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (m, 1H), 2.06 (m, 2H), 1.98 (m, 1H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.64 (s, 6H), 1.56 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 141.3, 132.1, 123.9, 113.8, 86.5, 40.1, 25.8, 22.9, 22.4, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3337, 2969, 2915, 1701, 1663, 1516, 1448, 1319, 1247, 963, 821, 793, 739, 682.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NONa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 320.0352; found: 320.0353.

5.6 General Procedure for Regioselective Amination of Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with [RhCl(norbornadiene)]<sub>2</sub>



A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with [RhCl(norbornadiene)]<sub>2</sub> (0.64 mg, 1.4  $\mu$ mol, 1 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glove box, and THF (0.35 mL) was added to the Schlenk and stirred to homogeneity under argon for 5 minutes. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with **253** (32 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1 equiv), THF (0.35 mL) and aniline **183a** (38  $\mu$ L, 0.42 mmol, 3 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was then added to the flask containing the **253** and **183a** solution. The mixture was stirred at RT under argon and the reaction progress was monitored by GC at 30 minutes. The crude reaction was purified by adsorbing directly onto a dry 5g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g silica flash

column (0  $\rightarrow$  10% diethyl ether/Hexane + 1 % triethylamine) providing 175 and 176 (21 mg, 87%, 175/176 56:1) as pale yellow oil.

## Compound 175:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.11-7.07$  (m, 2H), 6.69-6.67 (m, 3H), 6.00 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, J = 10.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (bs, NH), 1.37 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 146.4, 128.9, 117.6, 115.9, 112.9, 54.8, 28.5. IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3413, 2978, 2926, 1602, 1503, 1317, 1260, 1191, 996, 914, 747, 694. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 162.1275; found: 162.1283.



# Compound 253b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 7.11-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.69-6.65 (m, 2H), 6.00 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (bs, NH), 1.37 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 157.6, 146.4, 117.8, 115.4, 115.2, 113.0, 55.2, 28.4. IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3413, 2978, 1508, 1216, 916, 822.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FN (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 180.1190; found: 180.1189.



Compound 253c:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.70$  (s, 4H), 5.99 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 153.2$ , 146.8, 140.4, 119.3, 114.4, 112.6, 55.8, 55.4, 28.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3387, 2976, 2831, 1507, 1299, 1234, 1176, 1038, 967, 916, 822, 763. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 192.1381; found: 192.1388.



#### Compound **253d**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.04-6.98$  (m, 2H), 6.82 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.2, 1H), 6.60 (td, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (bs, NH), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.6, 144.7, 130.3, 126.5, 122.7, 116.9, 113.7, 112.9, 54.6, 28.7, 18.1.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3435, 2979, 1605, 1587, 1510, 1444, 1315, 1262, 1180, 1053, 991, 916, 745, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{18}N(M+H)^+$ : 176.1461; found: 176.1439.



Compound 253f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.24-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.04 (tt, *J* = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.5, 145.5, 128.0, 127.2, 123.8, 112.4, 59.2, 37.4, 25.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2976, 1596, 1491, 1131, 914, 772, 700.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{18}N(M+H)^+$ : 176.1434; found: 176.1439.



### Compound **253g**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 7.04-7.02 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.58 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 145.9, 145.4, 128.7, 122.3, 116.9, 113.2, 54.9, 28.4. IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3565, 2973, 2860, 1065, 1029.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NCl (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 196.0898; found: 196.0893.



Compound 253h:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.56-7.53$  (m, 2H), 6.64-6.60 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, J = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 6H), 1.28 (s, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 149.5$ , 145.6, 136.0, 114.5, 113.2, 83.3, 54.7, 28.5, 25.0.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3423, 3372, 2977, 1602, 1355, 1324, 1313, 1255, 1183, 1139. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>27</sub>BNO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 288.2155; found: 288.2135.



#### Compound **253i**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.78-7.75$  (m, 2H), 6.62-6.58 (m, 2H), 5.94 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (bs, NH), 3.01 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 167.6, 150.8, 145.1, 131.2, 118.2, 113.9, 113.6, 54.9, 51.7, 28.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3379, 2980, 2948, 1693, 1602, 1520, 1434, 1339, 1271, 1173, 1108, 994, 840, 821.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{18}NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 202.1338 ; found: 202.1348.



Compound 253j:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.36-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.38 (s, 6H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.2$ , 146.8, 145.8, 131.1, 128.8, 119.8, 118.6, 116.7, 113.3, 60.9, 54.9, 28.4, 14.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3392, 2978, 2931, 2971, 1708, 1604, 1598, 1587, 1509, 1487, 1365, 1277, 1236, 1190, 1106, 1026, 999, 917, 823, 753, 686.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{14}H_{20}NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 234.1494; found: 234.1495.



#### Compound **253k**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.26-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 7.9, 1H), 6.86 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 2.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 198.8$ , 147.0, 145.8, 138.0, 129.0, 120.1, 117.7, 115.0, 113.3, 54.9, 28.4, 26.9.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 2977, 1676, 1601, 1485, 1426, 1357, 1330, 1274, 1232, 1189, 1090, 999, 960, 919, 779, 689.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{18}NO (M+H)^+$ : 204.1384; found: 204.1388.



Compound 2531:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.11$  (dd, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.01-6.97 (m, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (td, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (bs, NH), 2.85 (sept., J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 6H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 143.4, 133.1, 126.0, 125.1, 117.4, 114.8, 112.8, 54.7, 28.8, 27.6, 22.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3446, 2961, 2869, 1747, 1603, 1585, 1508, 1465, 1449, 1410, 1360, 1311, 1258, 1186, 1039, 993, 915.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{14}H_{22}N(M+H)^+$ : 204.1752; found: 204.1744.



Compound 253m:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.39 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (m, 1H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (m, 1H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 143.7, 132.6, 127.8, 117.8, 115.0, 113.3, 111.1, 55.0, 28.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3407, 2980, 2143, 1744, 1593, 1508, 1461, 1321, 1187, 1018, 918, 864, 841, 740, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{11}H_{15}NBr (M+H)^+$ : 240.0388; found: 240.0372.



Compound **253n**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.06$  (bs, NH), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.54-6.50 (m, 1H), 5.98 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 169.6$ , 150.4, 146.0, 133.7, 131.9, 114.8, 114.4, 113.1, 110.5, 54.4, 51.7, 28.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3342, 2979, 2951, 1683, 1605, 1586, 1519, 1456, 1437, 1330, 1248, 1221, 1187, 1165, 1085, 964, 918, 839.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 202.1338; found: 202.1339.



Compound 2530:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.24$  (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (m, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (td, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (s, 6H), -0.24 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 148.4$ , 145.9, 132.1, 129.4, 116.1, 113.2, 113.0, 108.4, 100.5, 54.7, 28.3, 0.3. **IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3394, 2960, 2143, 1600, 1576, 1510, 1457, 1324, 1249, 916, 865, 746, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{16}H_{24}NSi (M+H)^+$ : 258.1678; found: 258.1678.



# Compound 254a:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.13-7.09$  (m, 2H), 6.73-6.70 (m, 3H), 5.98 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (bs, NH), 3.52 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 147.1, 143.0, 128.9, 117.9, 116.4, 115.7, 70.6, 58.7, 26.0, 19.9, 18.5, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 2954, 2929, 2857, 1602, 1504, 1499, 1255, 1084, 836, 777, 748.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 292.2087; found: 292.2097.



Compound 254b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.82-6.78$  (m, 2H), 6.68-6.65 (m, 2H), 5.94 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 11.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (bs, NH), 3.48 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.7$ , 155.4, 143.3, 143.2, 143.0, 118.1, 118.0, 115.8, 115.4, 115.2, 70.2, 59.1, 26.0, 19.9, 18.5, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3384, 2954, 2929, 2857, 1508, 1254, 1216, 1086, 923, 835, 776, 668. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FNOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 310.1985; found: 310.2002.



#### Compound 254c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.71 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 4H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (bs, NH), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 153.1, 143.4, 140.7, 119.3, 115.4, 114.4, 70.2, 59.2, 55.8, 26.1, 20.1, 18.5, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3387, 2953, 2930, 2857, 1509, 1463, 1253, 1088, 921, 837, 764, 750, 670.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{32}NO_2Si (M+H)^+$ : 322.2218; found: 322.2202.



Compound 254d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.05-6.99$  (m, 2H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (td, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1Hz, 1H), 4.46 (bs, NH), 3.56 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.1$ , 143.3, 130.4, 126.5, 123.5, 117.1, 115.7, 114.0, 71.3, 58.5, 26.0, 19.4, 18.4, 18.0, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3404, 2954, 2928, 2857, 1607, 1587, 1510, 1258, 1082, 920, 837, 816, 776, 746, 674.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 306.2252; found: 306.2253.



Compound 254f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.22-7.14$  (m, 4H), 6.99 (tt, J = 8.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, J = 11.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 17.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.01 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.5, 142.4, 128.1, 126.1, 122.9, 114.4, 69.0, 63.2, 37.6, 26.1, 19.1, 18.5, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 2954, 2928, 2856, 1598, 1492, 1255, 1006, 917, 836, 773, 701. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 306.2253; found: 306.2246.



Compound 254h:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.55 (dd, *J* = 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 5.92 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (bs, 1H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 12H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 149.8, 142.3, 136.0, 116.0, 115.0, 83.3, 70.7, 58.6, 26.1, 25.1, 25.0, 19.9, 18.4, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 2929, 2857, 1605, 1471, 1396, 1357, 1315, 1253, 1143, 1089, 923, 835, 776, 737, 671.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>41</sub>BNO<sub>3</sub>Si (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 418.2959; found: 418.2949.



Compound 254j:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.38$  (m, 1H), 7.34 (dt, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.9, 1H), 6.87 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (bs, NH), 4.32 (q, J = 7.1

Hz, 2H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.2$ , 147.1, 142.4, 131.1, 128.8, 120.4, 118.9, 117.1, 116.0, 70.5, 60.9, 58.7, 26.1, 19.9, 18.5, 14.5, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3393, 2953, 2929, 2857, 1718, 1605, 1485, 1277, 1238, 1104, 1081, 1028, 1001, 836, 776, 752, 684.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{34}NO_3Si (M+H)^+$ : 364.2308; found: 364.2295.



#### Compound **254k**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.29-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.24 (dt, *J* = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 2.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, *J* = 18.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (bs, NH), 3.50 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 198.8$ , 147.3, 142.4, 138.0, 129.0, 120.7, 118.0, 116.1, 115.4, 70.5, 58.7, 26.9, 26.0, 19.8, 18.5, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 2953, 2928, 2857, 1682, 1601, 1471, 1356, 1256, 1175, 1085, 835, 775, 687.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N0<sub>2</sub>Si (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 334.2202; found: 334.2196.



Compound 254m:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ = 7.39 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (td, *J* = 7.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (td, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (bs, NH), 3.55 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 144.1$ , 142.5, 132.7, 127.7, 117.8, 116.2, 115.1, 111.6, 71.0, 58.9, 26.1, 19.4, 18.4, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3368, 2954, 2928, 2857, 1594, 1507, 1463, 1252, 1085, 923, 836, 776, 740, 670.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NOSiBr (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 370.1202; found: 370.1202.



Compound 2540:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.26$  (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.04- 7.00 (m, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.7, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (s, NH), 1.39 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 9H), 0.01 (s, 3H), -0.03 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 148.1$ , 142.3, 132.9, 129.2, 116.1, 115.5, 113.4, 108.8, 102.8, 100.1, 69.2, 58.8, 26.2, 22.1, 18.6, 0.4, -5.2, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3379, 2955, 2929, 2857, 2144, 1599, 1575, 1508, 1248, 1089, 866, 775, 757.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NOSi<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 388.2492; found: 388.2487.



Compound **255a**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.38-7.30$  (m, 5H), 7.13-7.09 (m, 2H), 6.74-6.70 (m, 3H), 6.01 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (bs, NH), 3.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 142.9, 138.3, 128.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 118.0, 116.5, 115.6, 77.3, 73.5, 58.1, 21.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3393, 2977, 2860, 1600, 1497, 1315, 1296, 1090, 1077, 1028, 996, 921, 746, 693.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{22}NO (M+H)^+$ : 268.1698; found: 268.1701.



Compound 255b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.37-7.30$  (m, 5H), 6.83-6.78 (m, 2H), 6.70-6.66 (m, 2H), 5.97 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (bs, NH), 3.40 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.8$ , 155.5, 142.9, 138.2, 128.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 118.4, 118.3, 115.6, 115.4, 115.2, 100.2, 77.0, 73.6, 58.5, 21.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3387, 2977, 2858, 1506, 1454, 1303, 1212, 1092, 1077, 1027, 923, 791, 735, 695.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 286.1626; found: 286.1607.



Compound 255c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.35-7.29$  (m, 5H), 6.76-6.70 (m, 4H), 6.00 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.40 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 153.5$ , 143.2, 138.3, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 120.0, 115.3, 114.3, 76.9, 73.5, 58.8, 55.8, 21.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3382, 2974, 2831, 2832, 1508, 1462, 1366, 1235, 1177, 1093, 1038, 922, 823, 737, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{24}NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1814; found: 298.1807.



Compound 255d:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.38-7.30$  (m, 5H), 7.05-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (td, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.39 (bs, NH), 3.48 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 144.8$ , 143.2, 138.3, 130.4, 128.6, 127.9, 127.6, 126.4, 123.6, 117.2, 115.6, 114.1, 77.9, 73.5, 58.0, 28.8, 18.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3408, 2976, 2857, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1442, 1316, 1089, 1076, 921, 837, 745, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{24}NO (M+H)^+$ : 282.1848; found: 282.1858.



Compound 255h:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.56-7.53$  (m, 2H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 5H), 6.67-6.64 (m, 2H), 5.94 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz,1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 149.5, 142.2, 138.2, 136.0, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 115.9, 115.0, 83.3, 77.4, 73.6, 58.0, 25.1, 25.0, 21.0, 27.1.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3392, 2977, 1708, 1499, 1396, 1358, 1315, 1176, 1143, 1089, 963, 861, 837, 828, 796.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>33</sub>BNO<sub>3</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 394.2560; found: 394.2553.



Compound **255***j*:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ = 7.39-7.30 (m, 7H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (bs, NH), 4.31 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.35 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.2$ , 146.8, 142.4, 138.1, 131.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.8, 120.4, 119.0, 117.2, 116.0, 77.2, 73.6, 60.9, 58.2, 20.9, 14.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3394, 2979, 2953, 2861, 1714, 1604, 1486, 1366, 1279, 1236, 1104, 1080, 1026, 998, 923, 752, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{21}H_{26}NO_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 340.1913; found: 340.1925.



Compound 255k:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.35-7.29$  (m, 7H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (ddd, J = 8.0, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 198.8$ , 147.0, 142.3, 138.1, 137.9, 129.0, 128.6, 128.0, 127.8, 120.7, 118.0, 116.0, 115.6, 77.1, 73.6, 58.2, 26.8, 20.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3485, 3029, 2977, 2859, 1679, 1600, 1484, 1425, 1356, 1275, 1233, 1092, 999, 924, 782, 737, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{24}NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 310.1807; found: 310.1824.



Compound 255m:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.40$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 5H), 7.15-7.00 (m, 1H), 6.86 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53-6.51 (m, 1H), 5.97 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 10.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (bs, NH), 4.61 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 143.8$ , 142.3, 138.2, 132.7, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 127.7, 118.0, 116.1, 115.2, 111.6, 73.5, 58.3, 20.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3372, 2978, 2857, 1593, 1505, 1462, 1322, 1209, 1172, 1093, 1018, 925, 738, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{21}NOBr (M+H)^+$ : 346.0807; found: 346.0823.



Compound 2550:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.32-7.27 (m, 6H), 7.05-7.01 (m, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (bs, NH), 5.25 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz 1H), 3.48 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 0.19 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 148.2$ , 142.4, 138.3, 132.6, 129.3, 128.5, 127.7, 127.5, 116.3, 115.8, 113.4, 109.0, 102.5, 100.3, 77.2, 73.6, 58.1, 21.3, 0.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3374, 2958, 2897, 2856, 2144, 1600, 1574, 1508, 1456, 1324, 1284, 1249, 1097, 914, 867, 842, 745, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 364.2097; found: 364.2088.



Compound 256a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.29-7.20$  (m, 2H), 7.18-7.11 (m, 5H), 6.73-6.70 (m, 3H), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (bs, NH), 2.69-2.63 (m, 2H), 2.10-2.05 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.6$ , 145.2, 142.4, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 117.7, 115.9, 114.0, 57.4, 43.0, 30.3, 25.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3412, 3053, 2977, 2931, 2861, 1711, 1600, 1496, 1454, 1317, 1256, 1180, 996, 919, 747, 695.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{22}N(M+H)^+$ : 252.1752; found: 252.1740.

Compound 256b:



<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.29-7.24$  (m, 2H), 7.20-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.13-7.11 (m, 2H), 6.86-6.82 (m, 2H), 6.68-6.65 (m, 2H), 5.99 (dd, J = 17.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (bs, NH), 2.67-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.04-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.88 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.5$ , 155.2, 145.3, 142.8, 142.4, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 117.5, 117.4, 115.5, 115.3, 114.1, 57.7, 42.8, 30.3, 25.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3413, 3026, 2977, 2930, 1710, 1637, 1507, 1315, 1214, 920, 822, 747, 700.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NF (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 270.1658; found: 270.1649.

.OMe HN Ph Мe 256c

Compound 256c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.28-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.11 (m, 3H), 6.72 (m, 4H), 6.00 (dd, *J* = 17.8, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.67-2.61 (m, 2H), 2.00-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 153.0, 145.7, 142.6, 140.2, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 118.9, 114.5, 113.8, 57.9, 55.8, 43.0, 30.4, 25.1.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 3025, 2930, 2830, 1711, 1603, 1507, 1454, 1234, 1178, 1038, 917, 820, 746, 699.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 282.1858; found: 282.1843.



Compound 257a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.11-7.07$  (m, 2H), 6.69-6.66 (m, 3H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13-5.06 (m, 1H), 3.72 (bs, NH), 2.03-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.77-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.60-1.53 (m, 1H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 145.4, 132.1, 128.9, 124.3, 117.5, 115.8, 113.7, 57.4, 41.3, 25.9, 24.8, 22.5, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3405, 2967, 2826, 2855, 1712, 1600, 1498, 1450, 1373, 1256, 1181, 995, 916, 821, 746, 693.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{16}H_{24}N(M+H)^+$ : 230.1909; found: 230.1901.


Compound 257b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.82-6.78$  (m, 2H), 6.64-6.61 (m, 2H), 5.92 (dd, J = 18.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 10.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.12-5.05 (m, 1H), 3.56 (bs, NH), 2.02-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.67 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.60-1.55 (m, 1H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.4$ , 155.1, 145.5, 143.0, 143.0, 132.1, 124.2, 117.3, 117.3, 115.4, 115.2, 113.8, 57.7, 41.0, 25.9, 24.7, 22.5, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3389, 2967, 2928, 2852, 2831, 1713, 1440, 1373, 1234, 1178, 1114, 1039, 916, 819, 764, 751, 680.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NF (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 248.1815; found: 248.1800.



Compound 257c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.68$  (m, 4H), 5.93 (dd, J = 17.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 10.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 17.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.10-5.07 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.01-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.65 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.56-1.52 (m, 1H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.8$ , 145.9, 140.5, 132.0, 124.4, 118.7, 114.4, 113.5, 57.9, 55.8, 41.2, 25.9, 24.7, 22.7, 17.8. IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3400, 2967, 2911, 2852, 1713, 1508, 1440, 1234, 1178, 1114, 1039,

819, 763, 751, 680.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 260.2014; found: 260.1998.



Compound 257h:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.56-7.53 (m, 2H), 6.63-6.61 (m, 2H), 5.90 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09-5.06 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.61-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 149.4, 144.6, 136.0, 132.2, 124.2, 114.4, 114.0, 83.3, 57.4, 41.3, 25.9, 25.0, 24.9, 22.5, 17.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3393, 2975, 2927, 2872, 1713, 1603, 1451, 1396, 1357, 1315, 1281, 1186, 1141, 1089, 962, 918, 861, 822, 736, 762.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>35</sub>BNO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 356.2761; found: 356.2760.



Compound 257j:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.34-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 0.84 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dd, *J* = 18.0, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 17.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.10-5.05 (m, 1H), 4.30 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (bs, NH), 2.05-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.61-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.2$ , 146.8, 144.9, 132.3, 131.1, 128.8, 124.1, 119.6, 118.4, 116.6, 114.1, 60.9, 57.5, 41.1, 25.9, 24.8, 22.5, 17.8, 14.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3396, 2976, 2928, 2856, 1704, 1604, 1587, 1513, 1486, 1328, 1277, 1234, 1105, 1026, 918, 822, 752, 686.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 324.1939; found: 324.1930.



## Compound 257k:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.25-7.24 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.19 (m, 1H), (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09-5.05 (m, 1H), 3.93 (bs, NH), 2.50 (s, 3H), 2.04-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.62-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 198.8$ , 147.0, 144.8, 137.9, 132.3, 129.0, 124.0, 119.9, 117.5, 114.9, 114.1, 57.5, 41.1, 26.8, 25.8, 24.7, 22.4, 17.7.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3382, 2969, 2926, 2854, 1675, 1599, 1585, 1523, 1484, 1426, 1355, 1331, 1275, 1231, 1138, 996, 917, 778, 688.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{25}NO (M+Na)^+$ : 294.1834 ; found: 294.1824.

# 5.7 General Procedure for Preparation of Reverse Prenylated Indoles



A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with allylic aryl amine **2530** (20 mg, 0.08 mmol) and dissolved in 0.5 mL of DMF under argon. Triethylamine (16 uL, 0.12 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added to the flask followed by CuI (1.5 mg, 8 umol, 10 mol%). The flask was sealed and heated at 80°C on an oil bath. The reaction progress was monitored by GC. After15 hours, the reaction was allowed to cool and was purified by adsorbing directly onto a Teledyne Isco 5g silica load cartridge followed by elution onto a 4g Teledyne Isco column (0  $\rightarrow$  20% EA/Hex) yielding compound **258** as a yellow oil (13 mg, 90% yield).

Compound 258:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.63-7.61$  (m, 1H), 7.54-7.51 (m, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.05 (m, 2H), 6.48 (dd, J = 3.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.6, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, J = 17.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 144.4$ , 135.5, 130.2, 125.2, 121.1, 120.8, 119.3, 114.0, 113.6, 100.8, 59.2, 28.1.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3047, 2980, 2937, 1512, 1455, 1315, 1291, 1228, 1209, 1017, 991, 920, 764, 738, 711.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{16}N(M+H)^+$ : 186.1283; found: 186.1292.



Compound 259:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.60-7.58$  (m, 1H), 7.45-7.44 (m, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.02 (m, 2H), 6.45 (dd, *J* = 3.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 9H), -0.05 (s, 3H), -0.14 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 140.7$ , 135.4, 130.5, 126.9, 121.0, 120.5, 119.2, 115.8, 114.0, 100.7, 68.4, 63.4, 25.9, 23.5, 18.3, -5.4, -5.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 2953, 2928, 2856, 1513, 1456, 1410, 1316, 1253, 1230, 1105, 1007, 923, 835, 777, 738, 710, 670.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 316.2097; found: 316.2105.



Compound **260**:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.63-7.60$  (m, 1H), 7.45-7.43 (m, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.09-7.04 (m, 2H), 6.49 (dd, J = 3.3, 0.84 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dd, J = 10.9, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 17.6, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.90 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H) 1.80 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 140.8$ , 138.0, 135.4, 130.2, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 126.7, 122.9, 121.1, 120.7, 119.3, 115.8, 114.0, 101.0, 75.0, 73.5, 62.5, 24.0. **IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3086, 3027, 2959, 1513, 1455, 1361, 1314, 1294, 1230, 1207, 1098, 1018, 925, 738, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{22}NO (M+H)^+$ : 292.1701; found: 292.1712.

5.8 Procedure for the Preparation of (1S,4S)-2,5-Diarylbicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-dienes



The following is a modification of the literature procedure).<sup>130</sup> A 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with LiHMDS (3.3 mL, 1.0M in THF, 3.3 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and THF (15 mL), and the resulting solution was cooled at 0°C. A solution of (1*S*,4*S*)-bicyclo[2.2.2]octane-2,5-dione **LLL7** (150 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (3 mL) was then added dropwise via cannula to the reaction flask and allowed to stir for 1 h at 0°C. A solution of 2-[*N*,*N*-bis(trifluoromethyl(sulfonyl)amino]pyridine (1.2 g, 3.3 mmol, 3 equiv) in THF (3 mL) was added slowly to the Schlenk flask and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0°C for 2 h. After monitoring progress by TLC, the reaction was quenched by addition of ice water (30 mL) and concentrated to remove the organics. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 30 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated to a yellow oil. The oil was purified by loading onto a 5g RediSep load cartridge followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g RediSep column, 10% diethyl ether/hexane resulting in bis-vinyl triflate **LL7** (311 mg, 71%) a colorless oil.

(1S,4S)-2,5-Bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy)bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400MHz): 6.14 (dd, J = 7.1, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 3.71-3.68 (m, 2H), 1.88-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.51 (m, 2H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR matches with the literature report.<sup>130</sup>

То а 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with (1S, 4S) - 2, 5 bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy)bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene LL7 (513 mg, 1.28 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL), [1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-ferrocene]palladium(II)dichloride (9.3 mg, 13 µmol, 1 mol%), and 4-fluorophenyl magnesium bromide (7.7 mL, 1 M in THF, 7.7 mmol, 6.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at 40°C. After monitoring progress by TLC, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water, and extracted with diethyl ether. The combined ether layers dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was loaded in dichloromethane onto a 25g RediSep load cartridge and eluted onto a pre-equilibrated 40g RediSep column (0 -10% ethyl acetate/hexane) resulting in a light yellow solid which was recystallized in three from hexanes yielding corresponding (1S,4S)-2,5-bis(4crops the fluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene L7 as white, powdery crystals (213 mg, 57%). (1S,4S)-2,5-Bis(4-fluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L7):

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 7.39-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.02-6.96 (m, 4H), 6.54 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.52 (s, 4H).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz):  $\delta = -116.0$ .

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 163.4$ , 160.9, 146.1, 134.4, 128.8, 126.5, 126.4, 115.6, 115.3, 40.3, 25.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3058, 2991, 2959, 2946, 2936, 2908, 2871, 1891, 1645, 1631, 1600, 1505, 1410, 1326, 1303, 1222, 1163, 1149, 1098, 1010, 839, 812, 796.

**HRMS (TOF EI+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{16}F_2$  (M)<sup>+</sup> : 294.1220; found: 294.1226.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +12.6, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>



(1S,4S)-2,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L5):

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 6.50 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 6.1Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 158.9, 146.6, 131.1, 127.3, 126.0, 113.9, 55.6, 40.2, 26.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3062, 3032, 2994, 2954, 2942, 2905, 2867, 2833, 2059, 1885, 1857, 1735, 1644, 1601, 1508, 1464, 1453, 1313, 1293, 1252, 1235, 1186, 1148, 1112, 1036, 913, 835, 794.

**HRMS (TOF EI+)**: calc. for  $C_{22}H_{22}O_2$  (M)<sup>+</sup>: 318.1620; found: 318.1634.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +0.63, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>



(1S,4S)-2,5-Bis(4-tert-butylphenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L6):

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.38-7.32 (m, 8H), 5.57 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (d, *J* = 6.2, 2H), 1.52 (bs, 4H), 1.30 (s, 18H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 150.0, 146.7, 135.6, 128.8, 125.6, 124.6, 40.2, 34.7, 31.5, 26.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3048, 2959, 2902, 2865, 1903, 1604, 1513, 1475, 1461, 1409, 1362, 1268, 1242, 1202, 1150, 1112, 1024, 1008, 839, 829, 814, 802.

**HRMS (TOF EI+)**: calc. for  $C_{28}H_{34}$  (M)<sup>+</sup>: 370.2661; found: 370.2679.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +21.5, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>



(1*S*,4*S*)-2,5-Bis(3,4-difluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L8):

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.21-7.16$  (m, 2H), 7.13-7.05 (m, 4H), 6.56 (dd, J = 6.4, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 4.10 (dd, J = 6.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.50 (m, 4H).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz):  $\delta = -138.0$  (d, J = 21 Hz), -140.4 (d, J = 21 Hz).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.4$ , 142.6, 135.2, 129.9, 127.6, 120.9, 120.9, 120.8, 120.8, 117.5, 117.3, 113.9, 113.7, 40.2, 25.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3058, 2947, 2909, 2870, 1875, 1729, 1684, 1643, 1608, 1597, 1429, 1300, 1284, 1268, 1233, 1168, 1116, 893, 875, 845, 822, 803.

**HRMS (TOF EI+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{14}F_4$  (M)<sup>+</sup>: 330.1032; found: 330.1047.

 $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ : +2.6, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>



(1S,4S)-2,5-Bis(3,4,5-trifluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L9):

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.02-6.94$  (m, 4H), 6.58 (dd, J = 6.4, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 4.08 (dd, J = 6.4, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 1.57-1.46 (m, 4H).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz): -134.6 (d, *J* = 21 Hz), -162.6 (t, *J* = 21Hz).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.8, 152.8, 152.7, 150.3, 150.3, 150.2, 144.6, 144.6, 140.3, 140.2, 140.0, 137.8, 137.7, 137.5, 134.2, 134.2, 134.1, 134.1, 134.1, 134.0, 134.0, 130.9, 109.0, 109.0, 108.8, 108.8, 40.1, 25.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3058, 2965, 2941, 2911, 2872, 1722, 1694, 1615, 1586, 1527, 1433, 1359, 1317, 1289, 1246, 1150, 1040, 873, 862, 845, 834, 810.

HRMS (TOF EI+): calc. for  $C_{20}H_{12}F_6$  (M)<sup>+.</sup>: 366.0843; found: 366.0854. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : +11.6, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

5.9 General Procedure for the Preparation of Mono-protected Allylic Diols



A 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with vinylmagnesium chloride (60 mL, 96 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and cooled to 0°C. Acetol A (1.6 mL, 23 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was then added dropwise to the reaction and allowed to equilibrate to ambient temperature with overnight stirring. After monitoring progress by TLC, the reaction was cooled to 0°C, quenched with slow addition of methanol, and concentrated. The crude material was filtered through a short silica pad with 10% methanol in dichloromethane and concentrated. The brown dry solid was suspended in ethyl acetate and 1N HCl was added while magnetically stirring until complete dissolution of the solids resulting in a yellow organic layer and cloudy aqueous layer. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was

further extracted with ethyl acetate (2X). The combined organic extracts were concentrated *in vacuo* yielding 2-methyl-but-3-ene-1,2-diol **B** (2 g, 84%) as a yellow oil . 2-Methyl-but-3-ene-1,2-diol (**B**):

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 5.87 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.8Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 142.1, 114.6, 73.8, 69.8, 24.1.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 3090, 2985, 2976, 2931, 2880, 1724, 1642, 1512, 1461, 1414, 1377, 1253, 1155, 1101, 1040, 1027, 924, 892, 817.

A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 2-methyl-but-3-ene-1,2-diol **B** (0.17 g, 1.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and THF (2 mL), and the solution was cooled to  $-15^{\circ}$ C. NaH (0.17g, 60% dispersion, 4.2 mmol, 2.5 equiv) that had been washed with hexanes (5X) was then added dropwise. The suspension was stirred 30 min, and 4-fluorobenzylbromide (0.23 mL, 1.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in THF (2 mL) was then added slowly via cannula. The mixture was allowed to warm slowly to ambient temperature overnight. After monitoring progress by TLC, the reaction was quenched by addition of methanol, brine, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3X). The combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was loaded in dichloromethane onto a 5g RediSep load cartridge and eluted onto a pre-equilibrated 40g RediSep column (0-20% ethyl acetate/hexane) yielding 1-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)-2-methyl-but-3-ene-2-ol **C** (190 mg, 54%) as a viscous oil.

1-(4-Fluorobenzyloxy)-2-methyl-but-3-ene-2-ol (C):

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.28-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.01 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.90 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (bs, OH), 1.25 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 163.8$ , 142.5, 134.0, 133.9, 129.6, 129.5, 115.6, 115.4, 113.6, 77.4, 73.0, 24.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3448, 3083, 2978, 2931, 2861, 1603, 1509, 1415, 1366, 1221, 1156, 1089, 924, 824.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{15}O_2FNa (M + Na)^+$ : 233.0954; found 233.0964.

Procedure for the Preparation of Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates



A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with NaH (60% dispersion, washed 5x with hexanes, 83 mg, 2.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv) and THF (0.9 mL). The suspension was cooled to 0°C, and 1-(benzyloxy)2-methyl-but-3-en-2-ol C(1.0 g, 5.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min. Trichloroacetonitrile (1.6 mL, 15.6 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and THF (0.9 mL) were added to a separate oven-dried Schlenk flask and cooled to 0 °C. The alcohol/sodium alkoxide solution was then transferred slowly to the trichloroacetonitrile solution via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h, quenched with methanol, and loaded directly onto a RediSep load cartridge containing pre-equilibrated and dried silica. The crude product was then purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate/hexane + 1% triethylamine) to provide tertiary allylic trichloroacetimidate 255 (1.7 g, 92%) as a brown oil.

## Compound 255:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.30 (bs, NH), 7.34-7.27 (m, 5H), 6.10 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 138.8, 138.6, 128.5, 127.7, 1276, 115.5, 85.9, 75.2, 73.7, 20.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3334, 2860, 1663, 1453, 1317, 1078, 793, 734, 696.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 358.0144; found: 358.0143.



## Compound 299:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.32 (bs, NH), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.7, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.08 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 2H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 159.3, 138.8, 130.6, 129.3, 115.5, 113.9, 86.0, 74.8, 73.4, 55.5, 20.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3333, 3089, 2997, 2936, 2908, 2860, 2837, 1663, 1612, 1513, 1464, 1317, 1302, 1246, 1173, 1082, 1036, 972, 926, 871, 822, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 388.0250; found: 388.0249.



<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.30$  (s, NH), 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.04-6.97 (m, 2H), 6.09 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, J = 17.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 11.0, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 163.7, 161.2, 160.1, 158.9, 138.7, 137.2, 134.3, 134.2, 133.5, 129.4, 129.4, 125.0, 118.4, 115.6, 115.5, 115.3, 92.5, 85.9, 75.1, 73.0, 20.3.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3335, 3091, 2987, 2937, 2863, 1664, 1604, 1509, 1414, 1370, 1318, 1221, 1082, 972, 927, 871, 823, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>FNa (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 376.0050; found: 376.0060.



Compound 301:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.33 (bs, NH), 7.28-7.23 (m, 2H), 6.92-6.89 (m, 3H), 6.20 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 159.0, 138.4, 129.6, 121.3, 116.0, 115.1, 84.7, 72.0, 21.0.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3335, 3091, 3066, 3041, 2991, 2939, 2878, 1744, 1664, 1599, 1588, 1496, 1462, 1413, 1369, 1318, 1302, 1246, 1171, 1080, 1052, 976, 929, 875, 839, 795.
HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 343.9988; found: 343.9987.



Compound 302:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.30 (bs, NH), 6.07 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 160.2, 138.8, 115.5, 92.6, 85.8, 77.7, 60.1, 20.3.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3337, 3093, 2988, 2929, 2892, 2829, 1784, 1735, 1664, 1545, 1452, 1413, 1367, 1318, 1197, 1113, 1083, 972, 926, 871, 831, 795.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 281.9831; found: 281.9845.



Compound 303:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.31$  (bs, NH), 6.08 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 160.1, 138.7, 115.7, 97.0, 92.5, 85.4, 72.6, 55.5, 20.2. IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3333, 3091, 2940, 2889, 2824, 1666, 1464, 1449, 1413, 1382, 1319, 1150, 1113, 1086, 1047, 976, 921, 872, 832, 797.



Compound 304:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.39$  (bs, NH), 8.05-8.00 (m, 2H), 7.56-7.53 (m, 1H), 7.52-7.37 (m, 2H), 6.13 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 166.3, 159.9, 137.8, 133.3, 129.8, 128.6, 116.5, 92.3, 84.1, 68.0, 20.6.$ 

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3336, 3090, 3069, 2990, 2943, 2899, 1720, 1666, 1545, 1451, 1383, 1314, 1268, 1176, 1110, 1082, 1069, 1026, 931, 829, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 371.9937; found: 371.9944.

5.10 General Procedure for Regio- and Enantioselective Amination of Racemic Tertiary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with [RhCl(ethylene)]<sub>2</sub>/ L7



A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.7 mg, 7.0  $\mu$ mol, 5 mol%) and (1*S*,4*S*)-2,5-bis(4-fluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L7) (4.1 mg, 14  $\mu$ mol, 10 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glove box, and MTBE (0.35 mL) was added to the Schlenk and stirred for 15 min resulting in a bright-red solution. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with allylic imidate **255** (47 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1.0 equiv), MTBE (0.35 mL) and aniline **183a** (18  $\mu$ L, 0.21 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was then added to the flask containing the solution of **255** and **183a**. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by GC at 1 h. The crude reaction was purified by adsorbing directly onto a dry 5g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g silica flash column (0  $\rightarrow$  10% ethyl

acetate/hexane + 1 % triethylamine) providing allylic aminde 255a (32 mg, 85%, branched/linear > 99:1) as pale yellow oil.

Compound 255a:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.37-7.27$  (m, 5H), 7.12-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.70-6.67 (m, 3H), 5.99 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (bs, NH), 3.40 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 146.8$ , 142.9, 138.3, 128.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 118.0, 116.5, 115.6, 73.5, 58.1, 21.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3394, 2904, 2857, 1601, 1498, 1316, 1092, 1078, 1028, 997, 922, 747, 695.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 268.1698; found: 268.1701.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -11.4, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.65 min., minor 8.97 min., 94% *ee* 



Compound 255b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.37-7.27$  (m, 5H), 7.12-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.70-6.67 (m, 3H), 5.99 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (bs, NH), 3.40 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.8$ , 155.5, 142.9, 138.2, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 118.4, 118.3, 115.7, 115.4, 115.2, 100.2, 76.9, 73.6, 58.5, 21.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3387, 3086, 3063, 3031, 3005, 2858, 1740, 1638, 1611, 1506, 1454, 1367, 1212, 1092, 1077, 1028, 1001, 924, 822, 791, 735, 697.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 286.1626; found: 286.1607.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -10.0, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.64 min., minor 8.26 min., 96% *ee* 



Compound 255c:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.35-7.28$  (m, 5H), 6.76-6.67 (m, 4H), 6.00 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.40, (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H) (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 153.5$ , 143.2, 138.3, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 120.0, 115.3, 114.3, 76.9, 73.5, 58.8, 55.8, 21.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3379, 2833, 1508, 1454, 1236, 1095, 1077, 1038, 923, 823, 737, 698. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1814; found: 298.1807.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -8.4, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 21.45 min., minor 20.47 min., 90% *ee* 



Compound 255d:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.38-7.30$  (m, 5H), 7.05-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (td, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.39 (bs, NH), 3.48 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.48 (3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 144.8$ , 143.2, 138.3, 130.4, 128.6, 127.9, 127.6, 126.4, 123.6, 117.2, 115.6, 114.1, 76.9, 73.5, 58.0, 20.8, 18.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3409, 2857, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1481, 1453, 1090, 1076, 921, 744, 697.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{24}NO (M+H)^+$ : 282.1848; found: 282.1858.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  **D:** -15.2, **c** = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in ethanol, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.5 mL/min.,0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 23.56 min., minor 13.25 min., 89% ee



Compound 255h:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.55-7.53$  (m, 2H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 5H), 6.67-6.64 (m, 2H), 5.94 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 1H), 4.53 (s, 1H), 3.39 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 149.5$ , 142.2, 138.2, 136.0, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 116.9, 116.7, 115.9, 115.0, 83.3, 76.9, 73.6, 58.0, 25.0, 21.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3392, 2977, 1708, 1499, 1396, 1358, 1315, 1176, 1143, 1089, 963, 861, 837, 828, 796, 736.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{24}H_{33}BNO_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 394.2560; found: 394.2553.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -12.1, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 6.80 min., minor 7.57 min., 91% *ee* 



#### Compound **255i**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.75$  (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37-7.29 (m, 5H), 6.62 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 5.93 (dd, J = 17.7, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (bs, NH), 4.56 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.41 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.5$ , 150.9, 141.6, 138.0, 131.1, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 118.5, 116.3, 114.4, 76.9, 73.6, 58.1, 51.7, 20.9.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 3086, 3063, 3028, 2982, 2946, 2902, 2860, 1704, 1601, 1519, 1495, 1454, 1433, 1338, 1312, 1272, 1173, 1104, 1077, 1028, 999, 925, 841, 818.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for calc. for  $C_{20}H_{24}NO_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 326.1756; found: 326.1767. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>: -19.5, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes for 10 minutes, gradient to 5% IPA in hexanes over 50 minutes, 254 nm, major 31.70 min., minor 35.56 min., 85% *ee* 



## Compound 255j:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.39-7.30 (m, 7H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (bs, NH), 4.32 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.42 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.35 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 167.2$ , 146.8, 142.4, 138.1, 131.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 120.4, 119.0, 117.2, 116.0, 76.9, 73.6, 60.9, 58.1, 20.9, 14.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3394, 3086, 3063, 3029, 2979, 2933, 2903, 2860, 1714, 1604, 1586, 1509, 1486, 1392, 1279, 1236, 1172, 1104, 1080, 1027, 999, 924, 752, 698.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 340.1913; found: 340.1925.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -13.7, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 23.10 min., minor 21.64 min., 94% *ee* 



Compound 2551:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.35-7.29$  (m, 5H), 7.11 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (td, J = 8.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 17.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H),

4.62 (bs, NH), 4.58 (s, 2H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), (h, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 143.6, 143.5, 138.3, 133.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 125.9, 125.1, 117.7, 115.5, 115.1, 78.1, 73.6, 27.5, 22.8, 22.4, 20.5.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3418, 3086, 3063, 3031, 2961, 2933, 2901, 2864, 1710, 1603, 1584, 1509, 1452, 1412, 1365, 1297, 1277, 1208, 1175, 1089, 1076, 1039, 1028, 1000, 922, 844.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{21}H_{28}NO (M+H)^+$ : 310.2171; found: 310.2183.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -13.5, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in ethanol, 1 µL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.3 mL/min.,

0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.55 min., minor 9.92 min., 94% ee



Compound 255p:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.36-7.26$  (m, 5H), 7.17-7.14 (m, 2H), 6.59-6.56 (m, 2H), 5.92 (dd, J = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 17.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 11.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.39 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 145.8$ , 142.3, 138.0, 131.6, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 117.9, 116.0, 109.7, 76.9, 73.5, 58.1, 20.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3059, 2992, 2959, 2871, 2042, 1970, 1909, 1766, 1598, 1504, 1410, 1326, 1302, 1238, 1219, 1208, 1161, 1148, 1098, 1010, 837, 810, 795.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{21}NOBr (M+H)^+$ : 346.0807; found: 346.0818.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -12.9, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 14.70 min., minor 12.18 min., 91% *ee* 



# Compound 255q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.32-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.27 (s, 2H), 2.69 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 156.6, 143.1, 141.3, 138.6, 128.9, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 114.8, 113.3, 76.1, 73.5, 62.2, 55.5, 38.0, 18.6.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3084, 3062, 3032, 2979, 2946, 2902, 2796, 1744, 1606, 1506, 1454, 1412, 1365, 1285, 1241, 1179, 1100, 1077, 1035, 1007, 917, 884, 837, 810.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{26}NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 312.1964; found: 312.1964.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +2.7, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in ethanol, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.5 mL/min.,0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 26.29 min., minor 18.97 min., 93% ee



Compound 299b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.23$  (dd, J = 6.7, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (dd, J = 6.6, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (m, 2H), 5.96 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, J = 17.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 11.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (bs, NH), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.35 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 159.4$ , 157.7, 155.4, 143.0, 130.2, 129.4, 118.3, 188.2, 115.6, 115.4, 115.1, 114.0, 76.6, 73.2, 58.4, 55.4, 20.9.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3389, 3084, 2977, 2934, 2904, 2858, 1612, 1507, 1463, 1366, 1302, 1247, 1213, 1172, 1085, 1034, 1004, 925, 822, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{23}NO_2F$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 316.1713; found: 316.1716.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -9.6, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 39.24 min., minor 32.98 min., 93% *ee* 



Compound 300b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.27-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.68-6.65 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (bs, NH), 3.37 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (*J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 163.7$ , 161.3, 157.8, 155.4, 142.8, 133.9, 129.6, 129.5, 118.3, 188.3, 115.7, 115.6, 115.4, 115.2, 76.8, 72.8, 58.4, 21.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 3086, 2981, 2932, 2861, 1604, 1507, 1401, 1367, 1220, 1156, 1088, 1014, 1004, 925, 822, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NOF<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 304.1513; found: 304.1521.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -10.7, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 17.42 min., minor 14.77 min., 92% *ee* 



Compound 301b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.30$  (m, 2H), 6.92-6.80 (m, 3H), 6.80-6.76 (m, 2H), 6.75-6.68 (m, 2H), 6.09 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (bs, NH), 3.88 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 158.7$ , 142.5, 142.3, 129.7, 121.4, 118.8, 118.7, 116.3, 115.5, 115.2, 114.8, 103.7, 74.1, 58.1, 21.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3392, 3085, 3062, 3039, 2980, 2932, 2872, 1855, 1599, 1588, 1506, 1495, 1457, 1302, 1239, 1211, 1170, 1039, 926, 821, 787.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NOF (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 272.1451; found: 272.1455.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: -0.99, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.3 mL/min., 0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 56.87 min., minor 55.59 min., 80% *ee* 



Compound 302b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.83-6.78$  (m, 2H), 6.70-6.66 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.8, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (bs, NH), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.27 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.7$ , 155.4, 142.9, 118.4, 118.3, 115.7, 115.4, 115.2, 79.8, 59.4, 58.3, 20.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3391, 2982, 2931, 2890, 2827, 1857, 1741, 1684, 1612, 1506, 1457, 1314, 1214, 1102, 1041, 1002, 974, 923, 822, 793.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{17}NOF (M+H)^+$ : 210.1294; found: 210.1300.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -10.2, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.5 mL/min., 0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.14 min., minor 7.52 min., 86% *ee* 



Compound 303b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.82-6.77$  (m, 2H), 6.70-6.65 (m, 2H), 5.95 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J = 17.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (bs, NH), 3.47 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.7$ , 155.4, 142.8, 142.7, 142.6, 118.2, 118.1, 115.8, 115.6, 115.4, 115.3, 115.2, 96.9, 74.7, 58.0, 55.5, 21.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3385, 3085, 3034, 2977, 2932, 2887, 2824, 1735, 1666, 1612, 1508, 1454, 1402, 1380, 1315, 1214, 1148, 1107, 1040, 921, 824, 794.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{13}H_{19}NO_2F$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 240.1400; found: 240.1397.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  **D:** -13.8, **c** = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.5 mL/min., 0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.73 min., minor 10.41 min., 89% *ee* 



Compound 304b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.04-8.01 (m, 2H), 7.57 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85-6.79 (m, 2H), 6.72-6.69 (m, 2H), 6.02 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, 17.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dd, J = 10.7, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 166.5$ , 158.1, 155.8, 142.1, 142.0, 141.5, 133.5, 130.1, 129.8, 128.7, 118.8, 118.7, 116.5, 115.6, 115.4, 70.1, 58.1, 22.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3402, 3087, 3063, 2981, 2938, 2890, 1718, 1602, 1507, 1451, 1381, 1364, 1314, 1268, 1215, 1176, 1110, 1070, 1027, 990, 928, 824, 786.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{19}NO_2F(M+H)^+$ : 300.1400; found: 30.1408.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: +5.1, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.5 mL/min., 0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 33.23 min., minor 34.81 min., 59% *ee* 



Compound 254b:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.82-6.77$  (m, 2H), 6.68-6.64 (m, 2H), 5.93 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 4.20 (bs, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.7$ , 155.4, 143.2, 143.0, 118.1, 118.0, 115.8, 115.4, 115.2, 70.2, 59.1, 26.1, 19.9, 18.5, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3384, 2953, 2929, 2857, 1508, 1252, 1221, 1215, 1172, 835, 795, 776.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FNOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 310.1985; found: 310.2002.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -4.2, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in ethanol, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.3 mL/min., 0.5 ethanol: 100 hexanes, 254 nm, major 6.89 min., minor 6.76 min., 76% *ee* 



Compound 305d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.38-7.30 (m, 5H), 7.00-6.97 (m, 2H), 6.77-6.75 (m, 1H), 6.57 (t, *J*= 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (s, NH), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.67-3.64 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.95-1.90 (m, 1H), 1.48 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ =145.2, 144.8, 138.1, 130.2, 128.6, 128.1, 127.9, 126.2, 122.9, 116.2, 114.0, 113.4, 73.6, 67.1, 57.0, 42.4, 24.2, 18.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v =3408, 3083, 3060, 3029, 2971, 2915, 2858, 1708, 1604, 1587, 1522, 1482, 1453, 1363, 1308, 1262, 1157, 1094, 1052, 1028, 1000, 917, 846.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: Calc. 296.2014; found: 296.1996.
HPLC: 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hexanes, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H,
0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.97 min., minor 10.34 min., 56% ee



## Compound 256c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.28-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.11 (m, 3H), 6.72 (m, 4H), 6.00 (dd, *J* = 17.8, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.67-2.61 (m, 2H), 2.00-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 153.0, 145.7, 142.6, 140.2, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 118.9, 114.5, 113.8, 57.9, 55.8, 43.0, 30.4, 25.1.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3397, 3025, 2930, 2830, 1711, 1603, 1507, 1454, 1234, 1178, 1038, 917, 820, 746, 699.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 282.1858; found: 282.1843.

HPLC: 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hexanes, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3,
1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 13.13 min., minor 26.00 min., 52% ee

See Procedure for Preparation of Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates Pg. 125



Compound 308:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.35$  (bs, NH), 7.24 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.93-5.85 (m, 1H), 5.62-5.58 (m, 1H), 5.43 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.62 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.1, 159.4, 132.8, 130.3, 129.4, 118.2, 114.0, 91.9, 78.4, 73.2, 71.0, 55.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3342, 3001, 2933, 2907, 2862, 2837, 1733, 1665, 1613, 1586, 1514, 1464, 1362, 1301, 1248, 1173, 1081, 1036, 989, 931, 849, 822.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 374.0093; found: 374.0095.



Compound **309**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.30$  (s, NH), 5.89-5.82 (m, 1H), 5.54-5.51 (m, 1H), 5.40 (dt, J = 13.8, 1.0 HZ, 1H), 5.23 (dt, J = 8.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64-3.57 (m, 2H), 3.56-3.49 (m, 2H), 2.17-2.12 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.66 (m, 5H).

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3343, 2950, 2920, 2862, 1664, 1647, 1434, 1345, 1286, 1123, 1074, 987, 973, 930, 872, 813, 793, 743.



Compound 310:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.30 (bs, NH), 7.32-7.25 (m, 5H), 5.91-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.59-5.55 (m, 1H), 5.35 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 3.60-3.56 (m, 2H), 2.08-2.01 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 161.9, 138.4, 135.6, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 117.0, 91.9, 76.7, 73.3, 66.0, 34.8.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3343, 3087, 3064, 3030, 2953, 2924, 2860, 2796, 1952, 1872, 1735, 1662, 1495, 1454, 1422, 1413, 1360, 1299, 1285, 1204, 1074, 1028, 977, 925, 805. HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 358.0144; found: 358.0149.



## Compound 311:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.26$  (bs, NH), 5.89-5.80 (m, 1H), 5.46-5.41 (m, 1H), 5.33 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3, 1H), 5.18 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.82-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.42 (m, 1H), 0.93 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 162.2, 136.2, 116.7, 92.1, 78.2, 43.6, 24.7, 23.2, 22.4.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3348, 3087, 2958, 2934, 2871, 1766, 1722, 1661, 1469, 1424, 1387, 1368, 1336, 1299, 1285, 1172, 1129, 1074, 978, 924, 853, 827.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 258.0219; found: 258.0221.



Compound 312:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 8.26$  (bs, NH), 7.16 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.89-5.81 (m, 1H), 5.55-5.50 (m, 1H), 5.31 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.04 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, J = 14.0, 5.9 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 161.9, 158.5, 135.2, 130.9, 128.9, 117.3, 113.8, 91.9, 80.2, 55.4, 40.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3341, 2998, 2851, 2836, 1743, 1662, 1646, 1613, 1585, 1514, 1465, 1442, 1344, 1302, 1248, 1178, 1080, 1037, 988, 928, 823.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> calc.: 343.9988; found: 343.9990.



Compound 313:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 8.37 (s, NH), 7.50-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 2H), 6.29 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.05-5.97 (m, 1H), 5.39 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dt, *J* = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 161.4, 137.6, 135.4, 131.9, 128.9, 122.4, 117.9, 91.6, 80.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3341, 3088, 3021, 2989, 2932, 1741, 1664, 1594, 1488, 1410, 1338, 1317, 1281, 1232, 1197, 1070, 1011, 984, 931, 833.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrCl<sub>3</sub>NO (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> calc.: 377.8831; found: 377.8834

5.11 General Procedure for Regio- and Enantioselective Amination of Racemic Secondary Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with [RhCl(ethylene)]<sub>2</sub>/ L1



Procedure A for Small Scale: A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with [RhCl(ethylene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> mol%) (1.1)2.8 µmol, 2 and (1S, 4S) - 2, 5 mg, diphenylbicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L4) (1.5 mg, 5.6 µmol, 4 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glove box, and dioxane (0.35 mL) was added to the Schlenk and stirred for 15 min resulting in a bright-red solution. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with allylic imidate 229 (45 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dioxane (0.35 mL) and aniline 183f (23 µL, 0.21 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was then added to the flask containing the solution of 229 and 183f. The mixture was immediately placed in an oil bath at 40°C and stirred under argon. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC at 1 h. The crude reaction was purified by adsorbing directly onto a dry 5g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g silica flash column ( $0 \rightarrow 10\%$  ethyl acetate/hexane) providing allylic amine **229f** (37 mg, 99%, branched/linear > 84:1) as pale yellow oil.

# Compound 229f:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.33-7.22$  (m, 7H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.94-5.86 (m, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.62-4.57 (m, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.66 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 2.83 (s, 9H).

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v =2858, 2815, 1596, 1503, 1453, 1359, 1312, 1289, 1209, 1094, 1028, 990, 921, 745, 712, 691.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: +17.43, c =, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 21.03 min., minor 13.15 min., 85% *ee*.

Note: When reactions were scaled and required concentration with catalyst prior to purification, significant isomerization from the branched amine **229f** to the linear amine product was observed during isolation. To avoid isomerization, the following procedure was used on a 0.5 g scale to prepare **229f**:

**Procedure B for Larger Scale**: The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC at 1 h. The crude reaction was allowed to equilibrate to RT, filtered through a silica pad with 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes and concentrated. The crude oil was purified by adsorbing in dichloromethane onto a dry 25g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 40g silica flash column (0  $\rightarrow$  20% ethyl acetate/hexane) providing allylic amine **6a** (410 mg, 99%, branched/linear > 23:1) as pale yellow oil.

 $[\alpha]^{20}D + 15.91; c = 1, CHCl_3$ 

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 20.05 min., minor 12.93 min., 87% *ee*.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.43 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31-7.22 (m, 5H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.87-5.79 (m, 1H), 5.25 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dt, J = 17.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64-4.60 (m, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.69-3.67 (m, 2H), 2.84 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.7$ , 138.1, 134.1, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 126.6, 126.6, 126.5, 126.5, 117.5, 112.1, 73.4, 70.1, 60.0, 32.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3088, 3066, 3029, 2946, 2890, 2860, 2838, 1615, 1529, 1455, 1385, 1329, 1201, 1164, 1108, 1071, 987, 928, 818.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{21}F_3NO(M+H)^+$ : 336.1575; found: 336.1581.

 $[\alpha]^{20}D = +14.24; c = 1, CHCl_3$ 

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.28 min., minor 8.21 min., 93% *ee*.



#### Compound 229s:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.33-7.22 (m, 7H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 5.84-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dt, *J* = 17.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67-3.60 (m, 2H), 2.75 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 156.6, 138.1, 134.4, 131.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 117.4, 115.0, 73.3, 70.1, 60.4, 32.6.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3085, 3064, 3030, 2861, 1865, 1736, 1673, 1639, 1591, 1495, 1454, 1380, 1361, 1309, 1272, 1251, 1208, 1110, 1028, 993, 924, 807.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 346.0807; found: 346.0814.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +9.70, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 12.5 min., minor 11.4 min., 83% *ee*.



#### Compound **229t**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.33-7.23$  (m, 5H), 6.95-6.89 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.71 (m, 2H), 5.90-5.81 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 4.12-4.07 (m, 1H), 3.69 (ddd, J = 10.0, 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):**  $\delta = 157.5 \text{ (dd, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 241, 11.4 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 155.8 \text{ (dd, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 246, 11.7 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 138.4, 136.9 \text{ (dd, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 9.0, 3.5 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 135.0, 128.5, 127.8, 122.0 \text{ (dd, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 9.1, 4.4 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 117.8, 110.6 \text{ (dd, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 21.3, 3.5 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 104.6 \text{ (t, } J_{\text{C-F}} = 26 \text{ Hz}\text{)}, 73.2, 70.5, 63.7, 63.6, 34.6.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3083, 3031, 2947, 2859, 2805, 1592, 1505, 1454, 1361, 1268, 1141, 1114, 1095, 994, 964, 927, 848.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 304.1513; found: 304.1514.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +19.57, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.60 min., minor 9.08 min., 91% *ee*.


Compound 229u:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.32-7.22$  (m, 9H), 6.64 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.84-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dt, J = 17.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.50-4.46 (m, 1H), 3.67-3.60 (m, 2H), 2.75 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 151.8$ , 134.3, 130.6, 130.4, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 119.6, 117.4, 116.0, 114.9, 111.8, 73.3, 70.2, 60.2, 32.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3086, 3065, 3029, 2882, 2861, 2816, 1639, 1591, 1554, 1489, 1453, 1430, 1361, 1325, 1265, 1210, 1095, 1077, 1028, 983, 927, 914, 833.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 346.0807; found: 346.0833.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +14.90, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 31.3 min., minor 13.2 min., 89% *ee*.

Compound 229v:



<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  = 7.30-7.24 (m, 5H), 7.16-7.07 (m, 3H), 6.94 (td, *J* = 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.95-5.87 (m, 1H), 5.26-5.21 (m, 2H), 4.46 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44

(d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.80-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.70-3.66 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.55 (m, 1H), 2.66 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 151.7$ , 138.5, 136.0, 133.3, 131.3, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 126.2, 123.0, 122.0, 117.5, 73.3, 70.7, 64.0, 36.0, 18.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3064, 3027, 2945, 2855, 2798, 1598, 1491, 1453, 1361, 1254, 1204, 1101, 1051, 1028, 993, 923, 848.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 282.1858; found: 282.1864.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D:+5.70, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.44 min., minor 6.30 min., 89% *ee*.



Compound 229w:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.31-7.24$  (m, 5H), 6.96-6.82 (m, 4H), 5.99-5.90 (m, 1H), 5.20 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 4.29-4.24 (m, 1H), 3.82, (s, 3H), 3.74 (dd, J = 9.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.7$ , 141.6, 138.6, 136.0, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 122.4, 120.9, 120.7, 117.5, 111.5, 73.1, 70.6, 62.2, 55.6, 34.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3063, 3030, 2943, 2855, 2800, 1638, 1593, 1499, 1454, 1360, 1234, 1182, 1098, 1054, 1028, 996, 918.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1807; found: 298.1803.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +19.18, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 6.94 min., minor 8.59 min., 85% *ee.* 



## Compound 229x:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.32-7.23 (m, 5H), 7.01- 6.93 (m, 3H), 6.86-6.83 (m, 1H), 5.94-5.85 (m, 1H), 5.24-5.20 (m, 2H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 4.30-4.28 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.64-3.60 (m, 1H), 2.76 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 154.3$ , 138.4, 135.1, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 124.3, 121.2, 120.7, 117.6, 116.4, 116.2, 73.2, 70.5, 63.0, 62.9, 33.9.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3084, 3065, 3030, 2948, 2858, 2806, 1610, 1501, 1454, 1360, 1311, 1256, 1210, 1098, 1039, 1028, 993, 924.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 286.1607; found: 286.1606.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  b: +28.79, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.45 min., minor 11.18 min., 91% *ee*.

Compound 308r:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.42$  (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.85-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.22 (dt, J = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dt, 17.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.61-4.57 (m, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.67-3.60 (m, 2H), 2.82 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 159.5$ , 152.7, 134.1, 130.1, 129.5, 126.6, 126.5, 117.4, 114.0, 112.1, 73.0, 69.7, 59.9, 55.5, 32.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3093, 3063, 3034, 3005, 2953, 2906, 2856, 2837, 1889, 1764, 1715, 1664, 1614, 1528, 1514, 1466, 1385, 1361, 1327, 1248, 1200, 1163, 1104, 1069, 1035, 985, 927, 818.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{20}H_{23}F_3NO_2$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 366.1681; found: 366.1672.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +14.07, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 19.78 min., minor 15.14 min., 94% *ee*.



# Compound 309r:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.41$  (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.86-5.78 (m, 1H), 5.23 (dt, J = 10.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57-4.52 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.50-3.45 (m, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.14-2.10 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.72 (m, 3H), 1.69-1.62 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.7, 134.2, 126.6, 126.5, 117.3, 112.0, 78.6, 77.4, 76.0, 69.9, 59.9, 32.6, 29.2, 16.0, 3.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3091, 2922, 2865, 1769, 1723, 1615, 1572, 1529, 1478, 1434, 1386, 1329, 1247, 1200, 1164, 1113, 1071, 989, 930, 820.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{18}H_{23}NOF_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 326.1732; found: 326.1726.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +17.05, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.02 min., minor 6.61 min., 89% *ee*.



Compound 310t:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.29-7.24 (m, 5H), 6.90-6.88 (m, 1H), 6.78-6.71 (m, 2H), 5.84-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.13 (dt, *J* = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.98-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.51 (t, = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.04-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.79 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 157.8$  (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 252$ , 11.4 Hz), 155.8 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 245$ , 11.5 Hz), 138.6, 137.0, 136.7 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 8.9$ , 3.4 Hz), 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 121.7 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 9.1$ , 4.5 Hz), 117.0, 110.6 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 21.1$ , 3.7 Hz), 104.6 (t,  $J_{C-F} = 25.1$  Hz), 73.2, 67.7, 61.4, 61.4, 33.9, 31.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3079, 3066, 3031, 2948, 2861, 2803, 1592, 1506, 1454, 1421, 1364, 1269, 1245, 1215, 1141, 1113, 1097, 1028, 996, 968, 925, 848, 804.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{22}F_2NO (M+H)^+$ : 318.1669; found: 318.1668.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +26.96, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.6 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.14 min., minor 8.22 min., 88% *ee*.



#### Compound 223t:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 4:1 mixture of rotamers δ = 6.90-6.71 (m, 3H), 5.86-5.74 (m, 1H), 5.37-5.32 (m, 0.2H), 5.26-5.04 (m, 2H), 3.96-3.92 (m, 0.8H), 3.64-3.60 (m, 2H), 2.64 (s, 2.4H), 2.04 (s, 0.6H), 1.93-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.67 (m, 1H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 6H), 0.02 (s, 2H), -0.02 (s, 2H), -0.05 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 170.4$ , 157.4 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 241$ , 12.5 Hz), 153.4 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 240$ , 11.7 Hz), 137.1, 136.8, 136.7, 136.6, 121.7 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 9.1$ , 4.5 Hz), 116.9, 116.7, 110.5 (dd,  $J_{C-F} = 21.1$ , 3.6 Hz), 104.7 (t,  $J_{C-F} = 26$  Hz), 103.8, 77.4, 72.2, 61.0, 60.3, 59.2, 37.4, 34.4, 34.1, 26.1, 21.4, 18.4, -5.3.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3080, 2953, 2930, 2856, 2801, 1741, 1592, 1508, 1471, 1370, 1269, 1245, 1180, 1141, 1095, 1040, 1010, 993, 969, 926, 836, 814.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>30</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NOSi (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 342.2065; found: 342.2062.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  **D**: +22.27, **c** = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.3 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 6.28 min., minor 6.53 min., 87% *ee*.



# Compound 311q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 6.80 (dt, *J* = 9.3, 2.6 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (dt, *J* = 9.3, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 5.80-5.72 (m, 1H), 5.08 (dt, *J* = 10.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.18-4.13 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 1.63-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.34 (m, 1H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 151.9, 145.5, 137.9, 115.7, 115.6, 114.8, 59.8, 55.9, 41.2, 32.4, 25.0, 23.2, 22.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3072, 2954, 2934, 2909, 2869, 2832, 2800, 1638, 1510, 1467, 1384, 1366, 1286, 1244, 1181, 1132, 1101, 1040, 991, 951, 920, 815.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{15}H_{24}NO(M+H)^+$ : 234.1858; found: 234.1858.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +56.97, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.3 mL/min., 100% hexanes, 254 nm, major 61.6 min., minor 70.6 min., 91% *ee*.



## Compound 312q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.87-5.79 (m, 1H), 5.11 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.32-4.29 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.94-2.87 (m, 1H), 2.83-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.74 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 158.1$ , 152.2, 145.1, 136.8, 131.4, 130.2, 116.5, 116.3, 114.6, 113.9, 111.2, 64.6, 55.9, 55.4, 37.2, 33.1.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3064, 3033, 2997, 2933, 2908, 2834, 1735, 1661, 1611, 1583, 1510, 1464, 1441, 1420, 1300, 1245, 1178, 1107, 1037, 990, 975, 922, 817.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1807; found: 298.1830.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +18.50, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.75 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 25.3 min., minor 28.4 min., 87% *ee*.



#### Compound 231q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.78$  (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.80-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.07 (ddd, J = 10.3, 1.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (ddd, J = 17.2, 1.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.67-3.63 (m, 1H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 1.84-1.53 (m, 7H), 1.26-1.10 (m, 3H), 0.93-0.84 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 151.6, 145.8, 135.5, 117.0, 115.4, 114.7, 68.7, 55.9, 39.5, 32.6, 31.2, 30.8, 26.8, 26.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3069, 3038, 2924, 2851, 1745, 1662, 1636, 1578, 1510, 1464, 1450, 1365, 1313, 1278, 1244, 1180, 1090, 1040, 992, 975, 920, 813.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 260.2014; found: 260.2007.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: +24.94, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in ethanol, 12 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min.,2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 4.5 min., minor 3.8 min., 96% ee.



Compound 232q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 6.80-6.77$  (m, 2H), 6.74-6.71 (m, 2H), 5.81-5.72 (m, 1H), 5.10-5.07 (m, 1H), 5.02-4.97 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.57-3.52 (m, 1H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.53 (m, 1H), 0.93 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 151.7$ , 145.8, 135.7, 128.7, 124.9, 116.9, 115.6, 114.7, 70.0, 55.9, 32.7, 30.2, 20.6, 20.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3077, 2956, 2905, 2870, 2832, 2805, 1636, 1607, 1577, 1511, 1466, 1385, 1366, 1277, 1244, 1181, 1086, 1040, 975, 918, 814.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{14}H_{22}NO (M+H)^+$ : 220.1701; found: 220.1703.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D: +40.40, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 5.43 min., minor 4.98 min., 95% *ee*.



Compound 233q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 7.34-7.29 (m, 5H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.14-6.06 (m, 1H), 5.28 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.66 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 151.7$ , 145.8, 135.7, 128.7, 124.9, 116.9, 115.6, 114.7, 70.0, 55.9, 32.7, 30.2, 20.6, 20.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3065, 2955, 2906, 2870, 2832, 2805, 1510, 1466, 1385, 1366, 1278, 1244, 1181, 1085, 1040, 994, 974, 920, 814.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{17}H_{20}NO (M+H)^+$ : 254.1545; found: 254.1552.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +45.50, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.58 min., minor 11.6 min., 93% *ee*.



## Compound 313q:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.45$  (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H), 6.12-6.03 (m, 1H), 5.32 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 17.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.68 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.6$ , 144.8, 140.0, 135.8, 131.6, 129.8, 121.2, 118.4, 116.7, 114.7, 67.0, 55.9, 35.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3043, 2990, 2949, 2903, 2831, 2805, 1741, 1588, 1508, 1485, 1402, 1368, 1276, 1241, 1179, 1119, 1071, 1037, 1009, 927, 862, 814.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 332.0650; found: 332.0643.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  **D:** -41.59, **c** = 1 CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.80 min., minor 8.13 min., 86% *ee*.



#### Compound 227q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.27-7.23$  (m, 2H), 7.19-7.12 (m, 3H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2H), 6.73-6.71 (m, 2H), 5.48-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.15-5.06 (m, 2H), 4.10-4.05 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.68-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.05-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.85 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 152.1$ , 145.4, 142.2, 137.4, 128.7, 128.5, 126.0, 116.1, 116.0, 114.8, 61.4, 56.0, 33.8, 33.0, 32.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3062, 3026, 2999, 2944, 2860, 2831, 2803, 1638, 1603, 1509, 1454, 1379, 1243, 1180, 1117, 1038, 921, 815.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{24}NO(M+H)^+$ : 282.1858; found: 282.1854.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  +33.95:, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC:** 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 12.69 min., minor 14.31 min., 84% *ee*.

5.12 General Procedure for Preparation of N-methyl Homophenylalanine Derivatives

Secondary amine **314** was prepared using a modified literature procedure.<sup>159</sup> A round bottom flask was charged with 387 mg (1.37 mmol) of **227q** and 16 mL of acetonitrile. The flask was cooled to 0°C and ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate (3 equiv., 2.26 g, 4.12 mmol) in 8 mL of distilled water was added slowly to the flask which immediately became a deep purple solution and returned to a yellow solution at the end of the addition. At 1 hr, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution was added to attain a pH of 5-6, followed by addition of anhydrous sodium sulfite until a brown solution with insoluble light brown sludge was visible. The brown solution was collected and the brown solids were washed 4x with 25 mL of ethyl acetate and the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. Purification by loading onto a 5 g Isco load cartridge and elution (5-20% MeOH/dichloromethane/1% TEA) onto a 24 g column yielded **314** as a light yellow oil (206 mg, 85%).



#### Compound 314:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 7.26-7.22 (m. 2H), 7.17-7.12 (m, 3H), 5.74-5.65 (m, 1H), 5.32 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 0.72 Hz, 1H), 3.17-3.12 (m, 1H), 2.69-2.61 (m, 1H), 2.59-2.51 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.05-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.78 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 141.3, 136.6, 128.7, 128.6, 126.2, 120.5, 63.5, 35.4, 32.8, 32.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3316, 3062, 3026, 2935, 2852, 2789, 1945, 1865, 1804, 1742, 1639, 1603, 1495, 1475, 1453, 1414, 1314, 1136, 1114, 1030, 994, 917.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{12}H_{18}N(M+H)^+$ : 176.1439; found: 176.1446.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D:+3.32, c = 1, MeOH

A flask was charged with 57 mg (0.33 mmol) of **314** and 1.5 mL of anhydrous pyridine. Acetic anhydride (2 equiv., 0.061 mL, 0.65 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (5 mol%, 2 mg, 0.016 mmol) was added to the flask. After stirring for 15 hours, the reaction was diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted with dichloromethane. The organics were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated. The crude material was adsorbed onto an Isco 5g load cartridge and purified on a 24 g column (0-100% ethyl acetate/hexanes) yielding acetylated **314** as a clear oil (67 mg, 95%).

Acetylated 314

## Compound Acetylated 314:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 1:1 mixture of rotamers δ = 7.29-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.13 (m, 3H), 5.76-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.30-5.23 (m, 0.5H), 5.19-5.05 (m, 2H), 4.15-4.08 (m, 0.5H), 2.78 (s, 1.5H), 2.73 (s, 1.5H), 2.65-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 1.5H), 2.03-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 1.5H), 1.89-1.80 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 171.3, 171.1, 141.9, 140.7, 136.7, 136.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 126.5, 126.1, 116.8, 116.5, 58.7, 54.2, 33.3, 32.8, 32.4, 32.3, 30.4, 27.3, 22.4, 21.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3084, 3062, 3026, 2978, 2941, 2862, 1951, 1874, 1739, 1636, 1495, 1454, 1428, 1398, 1360, 1327, 1252, 1125, 1076, 1006, 924.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for  $C_{14}H_{20}NO (M+H)^+$ : 218.1545; found: 218.1553.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$  D:+16.60, c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

A flask was charged with 172 mg (0.98 mmol) of **314**, 2.5 mL of THF and 2.5 mL of distilled water. Potassium carbonate (2 equiv., 271 mg, 1.96 mmol) was added to the flask followed by 236 mg (1.1 equiv., 1.08 mmol) of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate. After stirring for 15 hours, the reaction was diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted with dichloromethane. The organics were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude material was adsorbed onto an Isco 5g load cartridge and purified on a 12 g column (0-50% ethyl acetate/hexanes) yielding Bocprotected **314** as a clear oil (232 mg, 86%).

Me\_<sub>N</sub>\_Boc Boc-protected 314

## Compound Boc-protected 314:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ = 7.28-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 3H), 5.79-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.14-5.06 (m, 2H), 2.69 (bs, 3H), 2.57 (bs, 1H), 1.88-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.44 (bs, 9H).
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 156.3, 137.3, 128.6, 126.1, 116.2, 85.4, 79.7, 33.2, 32.7, 28.7, 27.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v =3085, 3026, 3004, 2976, 2931, 2865, 1810, 1758, 1687, 1584, 1496, 1478, 1454, 1389, 1365, 1328, 1255, 1166, 1134, 1072, 976, 921, 875.

**HRMS (TOF ES+)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1783; found: 298.1779.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +11.77, c = 1, MeOH

**315** was prepared following a literature procedure.<sup>44</sup> A flask was charged with 50 mg (0.18 mmol) of Boc-protected **314**, 0.6 mL of carbon tetrachloride, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile and 0.8 mL of distilled water. The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously and 155 mg (4 equiv., 0.73 mmol) of sodium periodate was added and allowed to dissolve. RuCl<sub>3</sub> hydrate (1.5mg, 4 mol%, 7 $\mu$ mol) was added and stirring was continued for 15 hour. The reaction was diluted with distilled water and extracted with dichloromethane. The organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated yielding **315** as brown oil (49 mg, 92%).



# Compound 315:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 1:1 mixture of rotamers 9.57 (s, 0.65H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.16 (m, 3H), 4.38-4.31 (m, 0.5H), 3.92-3.87 (m, 0.5H), 2.90 (s, 1.5H), 2.81 (s, 1.5H), 2.68-2.64 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.20 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.86 (m, 1H), 1.51-1.40 (m, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 200.3, 200.0, 141.1, 128.5, 126.4, 66.2, 65.2, 53.6, 32.7, 32.4, 32.2, 31.3, 30.8, 28.9, 28.6, 28.5, 28.1, 8.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3596-2716 br, 3085, 3061, 2975, 2929, 2868, 2716, 1948, 1736, 1688, 1603, 1495, 1479, 1454, 1391, 1366, 1325, 1252, 1153, 1085, 1030, 983, 866.

**HRMS (TOF ES-)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (M-H)<sup>-</sup>: 292.1549; found: 292.1553.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +9.79, c = 1 MeOH

**316** was prepared following a literature procedure.<sup>44</sup> A flask was charged with 32 mg (0.15 mmol) of acetylated **314**, 0.5 mL of carbon tetrachloride, 0.5 mL of acetonitrile and 0.7 mL of distilled water. The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously and 126 mg (4 equiv., 0.59 mmol) of sodium periodate was added and allowed to dissolve. RuCl<sub>3</sub> hydrate (1.2mg, 4 mol%, 6µmol) was added and stirring was continued for 1 hour. The reaction was diluted with distilled water and extracted with dichloromethane. The organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated yielding **316** as brown oil (34 mg, 98%).

# Compound 316:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta$  = 1:1 mixture of rotamers  $\delta$  = 9.45 (s, 0.5H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.14 (m, 3H), 5.15-5.11 (m, 0.5H), 4.67-4.63 (m, 0.5H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 2.74-2.61 (m, 1H), 2.59-2.51 (m, 1H), 2.38-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 1.5H), 2.10 (s, 1.5H), 2.04-1.90 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 174.4, 172.8, 172.4, 140.9, 140.8, 140.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 126.7, 126.5, 126.3, 64.8, 59.5, 56.8, 34.5, 33.0, 32.8, 32.6, 32.0, 31.6, 30.4, 30.2, 28.9, 27.7, 22.0, 21.7, 21.5.

δ = **IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3677-2259 br, 3084, 3060, 3027, 3001, 2930, 2861, 1728, 1644, 1601, 1495, 1454, 1404, 1322, 1241, 1212, 1173, 1127, 1086, 1029, 1018, 912.

**HRMS (TOF ES-)**: calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M-H)<sup>-</sup>: 234.1130; found: 234.1131.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +8.14, c = 1 MeOH

Compound **317** was prepared following a modified literature procedure.<sup>125</sup> A round bottom flask was charged with 40 mg (0.18 mmol) of acetylated **314**, 1.5 mL of dichloromethane, and 0.37 mL of 2.5 M methanolic NaOH (5 equiv., 0.92 mmol). The flask was cooled to -78°C and subjected to ozonolysis for 30 minutes. The crude reaction was adsorbed onto a 5g Isco load cartridge followed by elution onto a 12g silica column (0-100% EA/Hexanes) resulting in 31 mg (68%) of **317** as a clear oil.



#### Compound 317:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 3:1 mixture of rotamers δ = 7.30-7.15 (m, 5H), 5.26-5.22 (m, 0.75H), 4.22-4.19 (m, 0.25H), 3.71 (s, 0.75H), 3.67 (s, 2.25H), 2.87 (s, 2.25H), 2.84 (s, 0.75H), 2.66-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.51 (m, 1H), 2.33-2.26 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 2.25H), 2.01-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.84 (s, 0.75H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 172.0, 171.9, 171.7, 171.4, 141.0, 139.9, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 126.8, 126.3, 59.3, 56.0, 52.7, 52.4, 32.8, 32.5, 31.8, 30.4, 28.6, 22.1, 21.6.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3027, 2952, 2932, 1737, 1650, 1495, 1454, 1434, 1399, 1364, 1327, 1247, 1211, 1169, 1126, 1089, 1013, 912.

HRMS (TOF ES+): calc. for  $C_{14}H_{19}NO_3$  (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>: 272.1263; found: 272.1261. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>: +11.19, c = 1 MeOH **HPLC:** 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.3 mL/min., 8% IPA in hexanes, 210 nm, minor rotamer: major 20.17 min., minor 21.21 min., 83% ee, major rotamer: major 43.69 min., minor 45.07 min., 84% *ee*.

5.13 Additional DYKAT Amination Products of Secondary Racemic Trichloroacetimidates

Compound 229b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**:  $\delta = 7.37-7.27$  (m, 5H), 6.84 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 6.57-6.52 (m, 2H), 5.84-5.76 (m, 1H), 5.30 (dt, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, NH), 3.97-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.5 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):. δ = 156.1, (d, J<sub>CF</sub> = 234 Hz), 144.1, 138.0, 137.4, 128.7, 128.1, 128.0, 117.1, 115.8, 115.6, 115.0, 114.9, 73.4, 72.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3398, 2898, 2858, 1602, 1508, 1453, 1360, 1313, 1215, 1095, 1076, 1027, 992, 922, 819736, 696.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ : -7.0, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 16.2 min., minor 14.4 min., 87% *ee*.



Compound 227b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.29-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.16 (m, 3H), 6.83 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 5.78-5.69 (m, 1H), 5.18 (dt, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (q, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (s, NH), 2.73 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.92-1.87 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 155.9$ , (d,  $J_{CF} = 233$ Hz), 143.9, 141.8, 139.9, 128.7, 126.2, 115.8, 115.6, 114.5, 114.4, 56.2, 37.4, 32.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3412, 3083, 3061, 3026, 3003, 2977, 2922, 2857, 1507, 1454, 1313, 1218, 992, 920, 817, 778, 749, 699.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}} + 0.33, c = 2, CHCl_3$ 

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 16.1 min., minor 11.2 min., 93% *ee*.



#### Compound 231b:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**:  $\delta = 6.82$  (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.75-5.62 (m, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dd, J = 3.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (bs, 2H), 1.84-1.62 (m, 5H), 1.48-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.25-1.03 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  = 155.7, (d, *J*<sub>CF</sub> = 234 Hz), 144.5, 138.5, 116.1, 115.8, 115.5, 114.3, 114.2, 62.0, 42.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.7, 26.5, 26.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3422, 2923, 2851, 1507, 1449, 1315, 1289, 993, 917, 816.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -3.3, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 4.2 min., minor 4.5 min., 90% *ee*.



## Compound 229c:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.36-7.27 (m, 5H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.87-5.79 (m, 1H), 5.31 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dt, *J* = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.98-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.60 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 6.4 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.7, 141.8, 138.2, 137.9, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 116.9, 115.7, 115.0, 73.4, 72.8, 57.2, 56.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3387, 2931, 2902, 2857, 2832, 1601, 1509, 1463, 1453, 1233, 1178, 1097, 1036, 992, 818, 736, 697.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -11.2, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.3 min., minor 9.8 min., 80% *ee*.

OMe HN Ph 227c

Compound 227c:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.29-7.25$  (m, 2H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.78-5.69 (m, 1H), 5.20 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.36 (bs, NH), 2.73 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.91-1.85 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.2, 142.0, 141.7, 140.3, 128.7, 128.6, 126.1, 115.7, 115.0, 114.9, 56.5, 55.9, 37.5, 32.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3395, 3081, 3061, 3025, 2998, 2932, 2856, 2830, 1602, 1508, 1463, 1453, 1441, 1231, 1178, 1036, 992, 817, 816, 747, 699.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 1.5, c = 2, CHCl_3$ 

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 28.0 min., minor 27.2 min., 89% *ee*.



Compound 231c:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 6.73$  (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.72-5.63, (m, 1H), 5.13 (dt, J = 9.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt, J = 18.3, 0.96 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.53 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, NH), 1.85-1.64 (m, 5H), 1.51-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.28-1.00 (m, 5H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 151.9, 142.4, 138.9, 115.9, 115.0, 114.8, 62.3, 56.0, 42.9, 29.7, 29.5, 26.8, 26.6, 26.5.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3405, 2922, 2850, 2831, 1509, 1481, 1463, 1448, 1232, 1178, 1038, 994, 915, 815.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -10.7, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.3 min., minor 8.7 min., 95% *ee*.



# Compound 229d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**:  $\delta = 7.34-7.30$  (m, 5H), 7.05-7.03 (m, 2H), 6.66-6.59 (m, 2H), 5.89-5.81 (m, 1H), 5.32 (d, J = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.11-4.08 (m, 1H + NH), 3.66-3.57 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 145.7, 138.2, 137.7, 130.2, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 127.1, 122.6, 117.4, 116.8, 111.3, 73.2, 72.7, 55.9, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3405, 2895, 2857, 1605, 1586, 1508, 1477, 1448, 1359, 1313, 1262, 1102, 1050, 1026, 989, 920, 744, 715, 697.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$  -11.3, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 22.5 min., minor 24.4 min., 90% *ee*.



Compound 227d:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**:  $\delta = 7.30-7.26$  (m, 2H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 3H), 7.08-7.03 (m, 2H), 6.62 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.83-5.75 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (s, NH), 2.73 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.1 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.94 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 145.4, 141.9, 140.0, 130.3, 128.7, 127.1, 126.1, 121.8, 116.9, 115.5, 110.9, 55.4, 37.6, 32.5, 17.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3434, 3025, 2920, 2854, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1497, 1478, 1447, 1314, 1257, 990, 916, 744, 698, 682.

 $[\alpha]$   $D^{25}$  -8.1, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.9 min., minor 6.4 min., 96% *ee*.



Compound 231d:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ = 7.08-7.01 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.54 (m, 2H), 5.77-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.16 (dt, J = 9.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dt, J = 18.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.56 (bs, NH) 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.88-1.74 (m, 5H), 1.69-1.55 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.05 (m, 5H).
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): Matches literature compound.<sup>7a</sup>

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3442, 2922, 1605, 1586, 1509, 1477, 1447, 1315, 1302, 1288, 1254,

1051, 984, 916, 794, 743, 713.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$  +1.2, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.1 min., minor 7.8 min., 82% *ee*.



#### Compound 227r:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**: δ = (2:1 mixture of b/l) Branched : 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.11-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.82-5.74 (m, 1H), 5.16 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.33-4.28 (m, 1H), 2.82 (s, 3H), 2.58-2.51 (m, 1H), 2.33 (q, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.04-1.97 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.7, 141.4, 136.6, 128.7, 128.6, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 116.3, 111.9, 111.4, 59.0, 33.9, 32.9, 31.7.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3085, 3063, 3027, 2943, 2858, 1616, 1529, 1496, 1478, 1454, 1385, 1329, 1200, 1164, 1111, 1071, 989, 925, 818, 748, 700.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 9.0, c = 2, CHCl_3$ 

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for  $C_{19}H_{21}NF_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 320.1626; found: 320.1609.

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.2 min., minor 10.7 min., 57% *ee*.



# Compound 229q:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**:  $\delta$  = 7.33-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.88-5.80 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dt, *J* = 9.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dt, *J* = 18.7, 1.6

Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.39-4.35 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.74, (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 152.3, 145.1, 138.4, 135.2, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 117.2, 116.2, 114.7, 73.3, 70.4, 62.0, 56.0, 33.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3083, 3062, 3030, 2989, 2896, 2861, 2833, 1716, 1640, 1510, 1464, 1454, 1244, 1181, 1105, 1038, 993, 925, 816, 737, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 298.1807; found: 298.1791.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 2.4, c = 2, CHCl_3$ 

**HPLC**: 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 19.9 min., minor 18.7 min., 56% *ee* 

Compound 229y:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.32-7.21 (m, 10H), 5.90-5.80 (m, 1H), 5.26 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.71-3.65 (m, 2H), 3.57-3.48 (m, 2H), 3.34-3.29 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 135.3, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.0, 118.5, 73.3, 71.4, 65.2, 58.7, 38.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3085, 3063, 3028, 2975, 2944, 2850, 2791, 1954, 1870, 1811, 1663, 1639, 1605, 1586, 1510, 1494, 1453, 1419, 1363, 1314, 1259, 1207, 1103, 1076, 1038, 1027, 994, 923.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 282.1858; found: 282.1846.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -4.4, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 0.15 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 41.9 min., minor 40.5 min., 34% *ee*.

# Compound 227y:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.33-7.13 (m, 10H), 5.86-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.03-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.81-1.72 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 142.8, 140.2, 136.7, 129.0, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 126.9, 125.9, 117.9, 65.8, 58.2, 37.6, 34.4, 32.9.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3083, 3062, 3026, 2939, 2851, 2790, 1945, 1869, 1803, 1718, 1636, 1603, 1495, 1454, 1418, 1367, 1316, 1259, 1214, 1155, 1125, 1076, 1028, 998, 921, 740, 698.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 266.1909; found: 266.1885.

 $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -3.2, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.6 min., minor 7.5 min., 53% *ee*.

Compound 231y:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ = 7.32-7.18 (m, 5H), 5.64 (dt, *J* = 17.1, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.75-1.64 (m, 4H), 1.52-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.23-1.12 (m, 3H), 0.94-0.87 (m, 1H), 0.85-0.74 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 140.7, 135.5, 128.9, 128.3, 126.7, 118.4, 72.1, 58.4, 38.8, 37.5, 30.9, 30.7, 27.1, 26.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**: v = 3083, 3064, 3027, 2973, 2922, 2849, 2791, 1723, 1494, 1450, 1417, 1366, 1261, 1230, 1125, 1021, 977, 919, 833, 740, 699.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 244.2065; found: 244.2048.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$  -35.8, c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>

**HPLC**: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 1 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 0.6 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.6 min., minor 7.5 min., 53% *ee*.

5.14 Preparation of Allylic Trichloroacetimidate 377



A 100 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with alcohol (0.850 g, 4.42 mmol, 1 equiv) and dry dichoromethane (25 mL). Trichloroacetonitrile (1.30 mL, 13.3 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. The resulting solution was cooled to 0 <sup>o</sup>C, and DBU was added (0.17 mL, 1.11 mmol, 0.25 equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir overnight. The mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the resulting

residue was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (4/1 hexane/ethyl acetate + 1% triethylamine) to provide **377** (1.36 g, 91%) as a brown oil.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):**  $\delta = 8.34$  (s, NH), 7.33 – 7.25 (m, 5H), 5.49 (dd, J = 6.8,

3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 5.03 – 4.97 (m, 1H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 3.83 – 3.59 (m, 2H), 1.80 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz): δ = 162.0, 140.6, 138.3, 128.6, 127.8, 127.8, 113.9, 81.0, 73.5, 70.8, 19.4

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3344, 3078, 3064, 3031, 2920, 1702, 1665, 1496, 1453, 1319, 1285, 1076, 907, 794

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na): 358.0144; found: 358.0148.

5.15 General Procedure for Asymmetric Amination of Branched Allylic Trichloroacetimidates with 2-Aminobenzaldehyde **183z** 



A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with  $[RhCl(ethylene)_2]_2$  (3.9 mg, 10 µmol, 5 mol%) and (1S,4S)-2,5-bis(3,4,5-trifluorophenyl))bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (L9) (7.3 mg, 20 µmol, 10 mol%) in a glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glove box, MTBE (0.5 mL) added and stirred under nitrogen for 15 min resulting in a dark red solution. A separate 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with allylic imidate 227 (61 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), MTBE (0.5 mL) and 2-aminobenzaldehyde 183z (36 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The rhodium catalyst solution was transferred to the flask

containing the solution of **227** and **183z** using a glass pipette. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen. Reaction progress was monitored by TLC at 22 h. The crude reaction was purified by adsorbing directly onto a dry 5g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g silica flash column (0  $\rightarrow$  20% diethyl ether/hexane) providing allylic amine **227z** (34 mg, 64%, 84% *ee*, branched/linear > 99:1) as bright yellow oil.

Compound 227z:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 9.91 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, NH), 7.53 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 – 7.28 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 3H), 6.74 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (ddd, *J* = 16.5, 10.3, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (p, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.97 – 2.69 (m, 2H), 2.06 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 7.4 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.3$ , 150.5, 141.5, 138.8, 136.9, 135.9, 128.7, 128.7, 126.2, 118.7, 115.8, 115.2, 112.1, 54.4, 37.3, 32.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3316, 3083, 3061, 3026, 2944, 2921, 2861, 2830, 2746, 1704, 1652, 1608, 1579, 1518, 1461, 1429, 1399, 1333, 1201, 1158, 1041, 992, 921, 879, 799, 751, 701.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 266.1545; found: 266.1516.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 5.55 min., minor 5.96 min., 84% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : +1.8, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 310z:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.80$  (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, NH), 7.50 – 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.36 – 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.29 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 6.72 – 6.64 (m, 1H), 5.77 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30 – 4.15 (m, 1H), 3.69 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 2.12 – 1.74 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.3$ , 150.6, 138.7, 138.5, 136.9, 135.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 118.7, 115.6, 115.2, 112.1, 73.3, 66.6, 52.1, 35.9.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3314, 3084, 3063, 3030, 3007, 2943,2918, 2860, 2745, 1651, 1608, 1579, 1518, 1461, 1432, 1399, 1332, 1200, 1160, 1103, 1041, 1028, 992, 923, 880, 806. HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na): 318.1470; found: 318.1460.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.64 min., minor 11.53 min., 87% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : -2.1, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 223z:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**):  $\delta = 9.81 - 9.80$  (m, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, NH), 7.43 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.68 - 6.62 (m, 1H), 5.79 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, J = 10.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.28 - 4.17 (m, 1H), 3.78 - 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.72 - 3.67 (m, 1H), 1.87 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (dd, J = 5.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 0.85 (s, 9H), -0.02 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.3$ , 150.6, 138.9, 136.8, 135.9, 118.6, 115.5, 115.1, 112.2, 59.5, 51.6, 38.7, 26.1, 18.5, -5.2, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3314, 3082, 2953, 2929, 2857, 2823, 2741, 1741, 1654, 1610, 1580, 1519, 1462, 1434, 1397, 1332, 1255, 1199, 1160, 1102, 1007, 990, 938, 923, 880, 836, 796.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si (M+H): 320.2046; found: 320.2027.

**HPLC:** Could not separate enantiomers (*ee* was based on the hydroacylation step).  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}: -17.9, c = 2.0, CHCl_3.$ 



Compound 229z:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ 9.87 – 9.81 (m, 1H), 8.65 (s, NH), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.25 (m, 6H), 6.71 – 6.63 (m, 2H), 5.87 (ddd, *J* = 17.3, 10.4, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dt, *J* = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J* = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.27 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 3.66 – 3.57 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 194.2, 150.3, 138.1, 136.9, 136.2, 135.8, 128.7, 127.9, 119.0, 117.0, 115.4, 112.1, 73.7, 72.6, 55.2.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3316, 3055, 3064, 3030, 2982, 2859, 2744, 1730, 1654, 1608, 1576, 1518, 1461, 1432, 1399, 1361, 1330, 1264, 1200, 1160, 1106, 1042, 1028, 992, 925, 877, 856, 797.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 282.1494; found: 282.1489.

HPLC: 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 µL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1

mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.68 min., minor 8.71 min., 86% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D:}$  -54.9, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound **375z**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 9.84$  (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, NH), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 – 7.32 (m, 1H), 6.99 – 6.92 (m, 2H), 6.90 – 6.84 (m, 2H), 6.75 – 6.69 (m, 2H), 5.96 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dt, J = 17.5, 1.2Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dt, J = 4.08 (s, 1H), 4.06 (s, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.4$ , 157.8 (d,  $J_{CF} = 235$  Hz), 154.9, 150.2, 136.9, 135.9, 135.5, 119.1, 117.6, 116.3, 116.2, 116.0, 115.7, 112.0, 71.2, 54.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3311, 3082, 2927, 2867, 2828, 2747, 1652, 1608, 1578, 1519, 1504, 1461, 1433, 1399, 1330, 1293, 1246, 1201, 1160, 1097, 1042, 990, 928, 871, 827, 798.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>F (M+H): 286.1243; found: 286.1238.

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 10.65 min., minor 8.60 min., 80% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : -40.7, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 230z:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.79$  (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, NH), 7.42 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.24 (m, 5H), 7.23 – 7.18 (m, 1H), 6.68 – 6.63 (m, 1H), 6.62 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15(dt, J = 17.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dt, J = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 2.99 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.2$ , 150.2, 138.2, 137.7, 136.8, 135.8, 129.8, 128.6, 126.8, 118.7, 115.9, 115.2, 112.1, 56.6, 42.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3316, 3084, 3062, 3028, 2924, 2855, 2827, 2744, 1654, 1608, 1578, 1518, 1460, 1429, 1398, 1331, 1250, 1199, 1183, 1158, 1110, 1042, 992, 923, 884, 839, 784.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO (M+H): 252.1388; found: 252.1394.

HPLC: 2 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.71 min., minor 6.56 min., 92% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : +73.0, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 311z:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta = 9.81$  (s, 1H), 8.34 (s, NH), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.71 – 6.58 (m, 2H), 5.17 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.04 – 3.93 (m, 1H), 1.80 (td, J = 13.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.64

- 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.48 (dt, *J* = 13.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ = 194.3, 150.6, 139.4, 136.9, 135.9, 118.6, 115.2, 115.0, 112.0, 53.5, 45.1, 24.9, 23.0, 22.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** 3319, 3083, 2957, 2926, 2869, 2822, 2743, 1734, 1655, 1580, 1519, 1462, 1428, 1333, 1265, 1200, 1159, 1114, 1042, 991, 921, 845, 789, 751, 711, 663. **HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 218.1545; found: 218.1557.

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 5.90 min., minor 4.81 min., 80% *ee*.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -62.6, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 231z:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 9.81$  (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, NH), 7.43 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 – 7.26 (m, 1H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (ddd, J = 10.9, 9.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 – 5.09 (m, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 12.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.92 – 1.62 (m, 3H), 1.62 – 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.32 – 1.03 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 194.3, 137.4, 136.9, 135.8, 116.2, 114.8, 112.0, 60.4, 42.7, 29.7, 29.2, 26.6, 26.5, 26.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3316, 3080, 2927, 2853, 2740, 1655, 1609, 1579, 1519, 1461, 1431, 1398, 1334, 1201, 1158, 1041, 994, 921, 876, 812, 750, 707, 663.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO (M+H): 244.1701; found 244.1705.

HPLC: 2.5 mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 2.74 min., minor 3.02 min., 80% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : -32.9, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 230za:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.51$  (s, 1H), 8.99 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, NH), 7.31 – 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.22 – 7.16 (m, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 5.87 (s, 2H), 5.83 – 5.73 (m, 1H), 5.17 – 5.09 (m, 2H), 4.10 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.00 – 2.88 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz):  $\delta = 190.7$ , 154.7, 150.0, 138.4, 138.2, 137.7, 129.8, 128.6, 126.9, 116.0, 112.7, 111.7, 101.6, 92.6, 57.3, 42.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3282, 3087, 3064, 3027, 2919, 2850, 2741, 1650, 1599, 1516, 1474, 1451, 1396, 1372, 1279, 1231, 1175, 1084, 1040, 992, 937, 860, 816, 794.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 296.1287; found: 296.1275.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 30.69 min., minor 27.93 min., 94 % *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: +28.1, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 376za:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** δ = 9.52 (s, 1H), 8.88 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, NH), 6.79 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 5.89 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 5.84 – 5.66 (m, 2H), 5.16(dt, J = 17.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, J = 11.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (ddd, *J* = 17.1, 3.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (ddt, *J* = 10.2, 2.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (p, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.22 – 1.93 (m, 2H), 1.73 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.57 – 1.45 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 190.7$ , 154.8, 150.4, 139.0, 138.5, 138.3, 115.7, 115.1, 112.7, 111.5, 101.6, 92.5, 55.8, 35.1, 33.7, 25.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3277, 3077, 3002, 2977, 2934, 2859, 2739, 1642, 1598, 1515, 1473, 1450, 1397, 1371, 1277, 1228, 1170, 1081, 1040, 993, 938, 920, 861, 817, 795.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 274.1443; found: 274.1438.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 8.90 min., minor 8.40 min., 84% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -65.2, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

Compound 310zb:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.74$  (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, NH), 7.33 – 7.24 (m, 3H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.84 – 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 18.9 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.56 – 4.42 (m, 1H), 4.29 – 4.12 (m, 1H), 3.56 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.10 – 1.79 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 193.4, 138.4, 138.0, 131.7, 128.6, 128.0, 127.8, 126.9, 118.6, 117.5, 116.0, 115.1, 73.3, 66.4, 52.3, 35.8.$ 

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3313, 3063, 2936, 2866, 1726, 1657, 1599, 1570, 1505, 1451, 1434, 1314, 1262, 1177, 1104, 1095, 1069, 1027, 905, 815, 804, 788, 734, 709.
HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 374.0756; found: 374.0768.
HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1

mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.68 min., minor 6.86 min., 93% *ee*. [α]<sup>20</sup>D: -2.0, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 229zc:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.89$  (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.25 (dt, J = 6.2, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 7.14 (td, J = 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.69 – 6.62 (m, 1H), 5.77 (ddd, J = 17.4, 10.6, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.22 – 5.13 (m, 3H), 4.59 – 4.52 (m, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.79 – 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.70 – 3.59 (m, 3H), 3.01 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz):  $\delta = 190.6$ , 153.4, 138.2, 133.7, 133.3, 132.1, 129.3, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 118.9, 118.2, 117.2, 73.1, 70.5, 60.4, 47.6, 28.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3064, 3029, 2857, 1675, 1612, 1561, 1487, 1454, 1417, 1361, 1278, 1200, 1101, 994, 946, 925.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 308.1651; found: 308.1633.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 13.34 min., minor 14.278 min., 88 % *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +79.8, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.


Compound 377za:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):** 9.57 (s, 1H), 9.19 (s, NH), 7.35 (m, 4H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.12 (s, 1H), 5.89 (dd, *J* = 4.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.01 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.68 – 3.55 (m, 2H), 1.71 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz):  $\delta = 190.7$ , 154.7, 150.2, 142.9, 138.5, 138.1, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 113.9, 112.8, 111.9, 101.6, 92.7, 73.7, 72.0, 59.3, 19.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3295, 2978, 2908, 2867, 2366, 2357, 2338, 1650, 1598, 1516, 1475, 1373, 1278, 1232, 1110, 1040, 938, 911, 808, 776, 743.

HRMS (TOF ESI+): calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>Na (M+Na): 362.1368; found: 362.1370.

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 11.03 min., minor 11.97 min., 13 % *ee*.



Compound 390:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 9.82$  (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 8.98 (s, NH), 7.44 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.30 – 7.19 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (ddd, J = 7.9, 7.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.03 – 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 3.7, J = 3.7

0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, *J* = 3.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.2$ , 149.7, 141.7, 138.3, 137.3, 134.8, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 119.6, 116.1, 114.9, 114.6, 77.4, 73.8, 58.0, 21.4.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3300, 3086, 3061, 3029, 3005, 2982, 2937, 2858, 2743, 1655, 1608, 1579, 1520, 1460, 1433, 1401, 1333, 1272, 1248, 1199, 1162, 1114, 1097, 1044, 999, 926, 874, 810, 750, 698, 659.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na): 318.1470; found: 318.1462.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.35 min., minor 12.08 min., 96% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -45.7, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 371:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 9.82 - 9.80$  (m, 1H), 8.36 (s, NH), 7.45 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (ddd, J = 7.2, 4.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29 - 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20 - 7.12 (m,3H), 6.74 - 6.58 (m, 2H), 5.80 - 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.55 (dtt, J = 15.4, 5.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (tt, J = 21.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.75 - 2.59 (m, 1H), 2.36 (td, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.2$ , 150.8, 141.9, 136.9, 135.9, 132.5, 128.7, 128.5, 126.4, 126.04, 118.7, 115.1, 111.4, 44.5, 35.8, 34.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3334, 3083, 3061, 3026, 2918, 2847, 2743, 1655, 1609, 1577, 1519, 1457, 1429, 1398, 1332, 1199, 1160, 1042, 970, 870.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NONa (M+Na): 288.1364; found: 288.1368.

## 5.16 General Procedure for Intramolecular Hydroacylation of Allylic Arylamines Containing *ortho*-Aldehyde Functionality



A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with 227z (9.1 mg, 0.034 mmol) and transferred into a glove box where [Rh(COD)dppb]BF<sub>4</sub> (1.2 mg, .0017 mmol, 5 mol%) was added. The flask was removed from the glove box, charged with 0.5 mL of dioxane, sealed under nitrogen and heated to 105°C on an oil bath. The mixture was stirred at elevated temperature for 1 hour. Reaction progress was monitored by TLC. The crude reaction was purified by adsorbing directly onto a dry 5g Teledyne Isco silica cartridge using vacuum followed by elution onto a pre-equilibrated 24g silica flash column (0  $\rightarrow$  20% ethyl acetate /hexane) providing dihydro-benzazapinone **372** (9.0 mg, 99%), as a semi-solid.

Compound **372**:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.69$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31 – 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.22 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.14 – 7.08 (m, 2H), 6.79 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s,NH), 3.08 (dt, J = 11.8, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.02 – 2.93 (m, 1H), 2.80 – 2.66 (m, 1H), 2.66 – 2.55 (m, 1H), 2.25 – 2.12 (m, 1H), 2.03 – 1.88 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 194.3$ , 150.8, 137.1, 136.9, 135.8, 118.6, 116.4, 114.9, 112.0, 60.7, 32.6, 19.1, 18.6.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3360, 3084, 3060, 3026, 2925, 2855, 1749, 1658, 1604, 1508, 1496, 1477, 1453, 1433, 1367, 1330, 1290, 1232, 1177, 1158, 1110, 1075, 1033, 912, 857, 838, 755, 701.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 266.1545; found: 266.1542.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 35.27 min., minor 32.64 min., 84% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -30.9, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 378:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**):  $\delta = 7.69$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.25 (m, 5H), 7.15 (ddd, J = 8.2, 7.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (dd, J = 8.2, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (bs, NH), 4.57 – 4.42 (m, 2H), 3.69 (ddd, J = 9.0, 5.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (td, J = 9.5, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (ddt, J = 13.0, 8.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (td, J = 11.5, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (ddd, J = 11.3, 6.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.22 – 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.96 (dddd, J = 14.8, 12.7, 6.3, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 1.86 – 1.73 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 203.0, 153.4, 138.0, 132.6, 129.3, 128.7, 128.1, 128.0, 125.4, 118.72, 118.3, 73.7, 69.6, 60.2, 41.0, 38.2, 35.3.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3354, 2919, 2860, 1667, 1603, 1508, 1477, 1452, 1434, 1363, 1332, 1290, 1245, 1159, 1114, 1075, 1050, 1027, 781, 755, 740, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na (M+Na): 318.1470; found: 318.1465.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 40.60 min., minor 47.15 min., 89% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -68.6, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 379:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ = 7.68 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 7.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (s, NH), 3.85 (ddd, *J* = 10.6, 5.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (ddd, *J* = 10.7, 8.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (qd, *J* = 8.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (td, *J* = 11.5, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (ddd, *J* = 11.3, 6.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddd, *J* = 18.0, 11.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (tdd, *J* = 12.6, 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.89 – 1.71 (m, 2H), 0.98 – 0.88 (m, 10H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz): δ = 203.2, 153.4, 132.6, 129.4, 125.4, 118.7, 118.2, 62.5, 60.3, 41.0, 38.4, 37.8, 26.2, 18.5, -5.1, -5.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3356, 2952, 2928, 2883, 2856, 1670, 1603, 1506, 1476, 1450, 1432, 1388, 1361, 1331,1321, 1288, 1253, 1195, 1158, 1101, 1075, 1045, 1029, 996, 950, 910, 836, 810, 778, 755, 729, 683.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NOSi (M+H): 320.2046; found: 320.2056

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 150mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 3.47 min., minor 3.25 min., 83% *ee.*  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -49.9, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 380:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.73$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.28 (m, 5H), 7.28 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 6.83 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, NH), 4.53 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.51 – 3.42 (m, 1H), 3.42 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.99 (td, J = 11.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (ddd, J = 11.7, 5.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (ddd, J = 17.5, 11.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.88 – 1.76 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 202.4$ , 152.4, 137.8, 133.0, 129.6, 128.8, 128.2, 128.0, 125.8, 119.5, 119.0, 73.2, 72.1, 58.1, 40.6, 32.4.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3359, 3086, 3061, 3028, 2920, 2858, 1663, 1602, 1496, 1473, 1452, 1432, 1362, 1320, 1287, 1248, 1227, 1201, 1174, 1159, 1107, 1092, 1075, 1028, 989, 938, 902, 855, 806, 753, 738, 697.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 282.1494; found: 282.1488.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 12.27 min., minor 13.45 min., 86% *ee*  $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: -35.6, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 381:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.76$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (ddd, J = 8.6, 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01 – 6.92 (m, 1H), 6.90 – 6.77 (m, 1H), 4.66 (s, NH), 4.01 (dd, J = 9.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.95 – 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.59 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.05 (td, J = 11.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.70

(ddd, *J* = 11.9, 5.7, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (dtd, *J* = 17.1, 11.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (tdd, *J* = 12.8, 7.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 202.1, 157.9 (d, J = 239.5 Hz), 154.4 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 151.8, 133.2, 129.7, 126.1, 120.0, 119.2, 116.4, 116.2, 116.0, 115.9, 70.7, 58.0, 40.5, 32.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3346, 3059, 2926, 2857, 1661, 1604, 1506, 1477, 1462, 1393, 1365, 1321, 1294, 1244, 1201, 1160, 1114, 1097, 1054, 994, 946, 918, 828, 759, 730, 687, 669.**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>F (M+H): 286.1243; found: 286.1254.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 14.18 min., minor 15.42 min., 80% *ee*.
[α]<sup>20</sup>D: -19.8, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 382:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz:**)  $\delta = 7.91 - 7.87$  (m, 1H), 7.59 - 7.39 (m, 3H), 7.38 - 7.23 (m, 3H), 6.98 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (s, NH), 3.60 - 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.31 - 3.08 (m, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 14.1, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (ddd, J = 11.5, 6.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.46 - 2.25 (m, 1H), 2.26 - 2.07 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 202.8, 152.3, 137.7, 132.9, 129.5, 129.0, 129.0, 127.2, 125.8, 119.4, 118.4, 60.0, 42.4, 41.0, 37.0.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3353, 3060, 3027, 2921, 2854, 1731, 1661, 1602, 1495, 1474, 1452, 1431, 1364, 1331, 1284, 1243, 1179, 1159, 1109, 1073, 1030, 992, 755, 727, 701.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO (M+H): 252.1388; found: 252.1391.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 11.96., minor 11.41 min., 92% *ee*.
[α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>: -71.1, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 383:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.70$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 – 7.17 (m, 1H), 6.79 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, NH), 3.12 – 2.91 (m, 2H), 2.58 (ddd, J = 11.2, 6.5, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.20 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.88 (tdd, J = 12.6, 8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (ddd, J = 20.3, 13.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.56 – 1.34 (m, 2H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 203.2, 152.9, 132.7, 129.4, 125.6, 119.0, 117.9, 57.9, 45.6, 41.2, 38.1, 25.5, 22.8.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** 3355, 2956, 2926, 2868, 1655, 1604, 1578, 1503, 1475, 1449, 1431, 1369, 1334, 1322, 1290, 1249, 1225, 1196, 1157, 1030, 988, 917, 784, 754, 732, 684.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 218.1545; found: 218.1558.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 7.90., minor 9.32 min., 78% *ee*.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub>: -9.10, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound **384**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.75 - 7.64$  (m, 1H), 7.28 - 7.17 (m, 1H), 7.28 - 7.16 (m, 1H), 6.85 - 6.68 (m, 1H), 4.18 (s, NH), 2.97 (qd, J = 11.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.85 - 2.71 (m, 1H), 2.64 - 2.50 (m, 1H), 2.18 - 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.89 - 1.61 (m, 3H), 1.45 (dt, J = 33.7, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.33 - 0.91 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 203.2, 153.3, 132.7, 129.4, 125.6, 118.9, 117.9, 64.8, 43.1, 41.1, 34.7, 30.3, 29.4, 26.5, 26.3.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3373, 2925, 2852, 1733, 1658, 1603, 1476, 1450, 1324, 1269, 1170, 1158, 1111, 1095, 1070, 1027, 756, 733, 711.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO (M+H): 244.1701; found: 244.1720.

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 9.92 min., minor 11.6 min., 80% *ee* [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>: -10.2, c=2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 385:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.30$  (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 8.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (s, 1H), 5.88 (s, 1H), 5.84 (s, 1H), 3.98 (s, N H), 3.40 – 3.20 (m, 1H), 2.97 (dt, J = 10.3, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 14.1, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (ddd, J = 11.6, 5.4, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (ddd, J = 17.6, 11.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.01 – 1.86 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>**C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):**  $\delta = 200.6$ , 152.1, 150.6, 142.1, 137.8, 129.1, 129.0, 127.2, 119.4, 107.43, 101.7, 99.0, 60.8, 42.3, 41.0, 36.6. **IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3351, 3061, 3027, 2922, 2854, 1652, 1627, 1616, 1500, 1474, 1453, 1363, 1238, 1201, 1129, 1040, 938, 879, 831, 756, 729, 702.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 296.1287; found: 296.1299.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2  $\mu$ L injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 30.69 min., minor 27.93 min., 95% *ee*. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup> $_{D}$ : +21.6, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 386:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):** 1:1 Mixture of (*E*) Isomerized and Desired Hydroacylation products δ = 7.20 (s, 1H), 6.22 – 6.19 (m, 1H), 5.90 (s, 1H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 5.78 – 5.70 (m, 0.4H), 5.47-5.32 (m, 1H), 5.13 – 4.58 (m, 0.8H), 4.03 (bs, NH), 2.98 – 2.90 (m, 2H), 2.59-2.55 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.01 (m, 3H), 1.86 – 1.78 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.53(m, 4H), 1.46-1.41 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 200.9, 167.8, 152.0, 152.0,151.10, 141.9, 138.2, 130.0, 129.1, 126.6, 125.6, 119.1, 119.1,115.4, 111.9, 107.4, 101.6, 98.6, 98.6, 60.5, 60.4, 60.3, 41.1, 37.4, 37.3, 35.9, 35.8, 33.6, 29.9, 29.9, 25.9, 24.2, 23.0, 18.1, 13.0

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** 3355, 3316, 2968, 2926, 2856, 1730, 1653, 1626, 1616, 1504, 1475, 1239, 1203, 1040, 886, 820, 802, 773.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 274.1443; found: 274.1441.

**HPLC:** The two olefin products (i.e. desired terminal and isomerized internal olefins) were not resolved. 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel

OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 1% IPA in hexanes, 230 nm, major 50.29 min., minor 74.71 min., 86% ee.



Compound **387**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (**CDCl**<sub>3</sub>, **400 MHz**): δ 7.52 = (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 7.33 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 3H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (s, NH), 4.50 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.78 – 3.64 (m, 1H), 3.56 (td, *J* = 9.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (ddd, *J* = 13.2, 8.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (td, *J* = 11.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 6.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (ddd, *J* = 11.6, 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (m, 2H), 1.79 (dtd, *J* = 8.5, 5.8, 2.7 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 202.1,154.1, 137.7, 130.9, 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 127.3, 124.2, 122.0, 120.8, 74.0, 69.8, 60.4, 40.9, 38.1, 35.2

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3355, 3061, 3029, 2923, 2858, 1729, 1670, 1591, 1503, 1468, 1450, 1398, 1362, 1312, 1278, 1240, 1217, 1196, 1118, 1093, 1067, 1043, 1027, 993, 887, 858, 811, 767, 748, 699.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 374.0756; found: 374.0765.

HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 2.5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 21.84 min., minor 23.67 min., 94% ee.
[α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>: -37.2 , c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound 388:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta = 7.63$  (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.37 - 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.27 (q, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H), 7.14 - 7.08 (m, 1H), 6.63 - 6.54 (m, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (td, J = 10.0, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dt, J = 18.3, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.61 - 3.47 (m, 3H), 3.05 (ddd, J = 16.3, 10.2, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.00 - 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.83 - 2.68 (m, 2H), 2.28 - 2.10 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): 200.2, 151.7, 138.0, 133.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 118.7, 117.3, 73.7, 70.0, 59.6, 54.2, 39.3, 28.1, 25.1.

IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν = 3064, 3029, 2923, 2855, 1723, 1658, 1611, 1572, 1494, 1454, 1437, 1395, 1359, 1336, 1302, 1261, 1236, 1103, 1090, 1043, 1029, 917, 800, 736, 699.
HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 308.1651; found: 308.1650.
HPLC: 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1

mL/min., 5% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 22.02 min., minor 19.35 min., 84% ee.  $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +34.8, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



Compound **389**:

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.37 - 7.25$  (m, 5H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H), 5.91 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, NH), 3.55 - 3.48 (m, 2H), 3.26 - 3.20 (m, 1H), 2.59 - 2.55 (m, 2H), 2.39 (m, J = 14.5, 7.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz): δ = 199.8, 152.0, 141.8, 137.8, 128.8, 128.2, 127.9, 119.2, 107.2, 101.6, 98.4, 73.2, 70.3, 62.9, 50.4, 39.2, 29.9, 18.3, 14.7.
IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 3383, 3353, 3317, 2960, 2924, 2872, 1651, 1630, 1502, 1475, 1381, 1361, 1240, 1205, 1039, 937, 740, 700.

**HRMS (TOF ESI+)**: calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>Na (M+Na): 362.1368; found: 362.1364.

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OJ-3, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 33.30 min., minor 28.79 min., 11% *ee*, major 35.41 min., minor 42.46 min., 15% *ee*.



Compound 391:

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.87$  (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.25 (m, 6H), 6.99 – 6.89 (m, 1H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J =12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (s, NH), 3.31 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.88 – 2.65 (m, 2H), 1.85 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.25 (s, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 201.8, 148.5, 138.3, 134.1, 130.3, 128.7, 128.0, 127.8, 122.9, 121.3, 76.8, 73.6, 58.4, 39.0, 33.0, 25.2.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3085, 3086, 3061, 3030, 2968, 2925, 2863, 1730, 1666, 1601, 1472, 1455, 1371, 1354, 1329, 1302, 1245, 1208, 1179, 1158, 1104, 1026, 966, 912, 860, 771, 753, 699.

**HRMS (ESI)**: calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 296.1651; found: 296.1654.

**HPLC:** 2.5mg/mL in 50/50 IPA/Hex, 2 μL injection, 4.6 x 250mm Chiralcel OD-H, 1 mL/min., 10% IPA in hexanes, 254 nm, major 11.56 min., minor 13.20 min., 94% *ee*.

 $[\alpha]^{20}$ D: +66.4, c = 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

5.17 Hydroacylations for 6-membered rings performed with 5 mol% [Rh(COD)2]OTf



Compound 373:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 7.83$  (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 – 7.23 (m, 3H), 7.18 – 7.14 (m, 3H), 6.76 – 6.68 (m, 1H), 6.62 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, NH), 3.57 (ddd, J = 11.9, 4.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.34 – 3.26 (m, 1H), 2.75 – 2.57 (m, 2H), 2.53 (ddd, J = 13.9, 9.1, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.99 – 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.80 – 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.58 – 1.43 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta = 195.1, 151.5, 142.4, 135.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 126.0, 118.2, 115.7, 46.6, 46.4, 36.2, 29.2, 27.0.$ 

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3356, 3059, 3026, 2927, 2855, 1660, 1611, 1509, 1454, 1438, 1362, 1342, 1238, 1154, 1127, 1067, 941.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 266.1545; found: 266.1544.



Compound 374 (2:1 mixture of diastereomers):

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):**  $\delta = 7.80$  (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 - 7.24 (m, 3H), 7.23 - 7.13 (m, 3H), 6.70 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.59 - 6.43 (m, 1H), 4.27 (s, 0.65 NH), 4.11 (s,

0.35 NH), 3.59 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 0.39H), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 10.9, 7.8 Hz, 0.72H), 2.84 – 2.56 (m, 2H), 2.49 (dq, *J* = 14.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.06 – 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ = 196.5, 170.8, 150.6, 150.1, 141.0, 138.4, 135.3, 128.9, 128.5, 128.0, 126.5, 118.1, 118.0, 115.8, 115.7, 58.3, 56.2, 45.7, 45.0, 34.7, 32.6, 31.9, 31.8, 12.8, 9.5.

**IR (film, cm<sup>-1</sup>):** v = 3345, 3027, 2973, 2927, 2852, 1655, 1612, 1580, 1508, 1497, 1483, 1454, 1440, 1374, 1348, 1308, 1257, 1208, 1155, 970, 953, 912, 754, 717.

HRMS (ESI): calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO (M+H): 266.1545; found: 266.1544.

## APPENDIX A: NMR SPECTRAL DATA



Figure A1 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223** 



Figure A2 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223** 



Figure A3 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226** 



Figure A4 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226** 



Figure A5 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227** 



Figure A6 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227** 



Figure A7 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228** 



Figure A8 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228** 



Figure A9 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229** 



Figure A10 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229** 



Figure A11 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230** 



Figure A12 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230** 



Figure A13 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231** 



Figure A14 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231** 



Figure A15 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232** 



Figure A16 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232** 



Figure A17 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233** 



Figure A18 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233** 



Figure A19 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **224** 



Figure A20 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **224**


Figure A21 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **225** 



Figure A22 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **225** 



Figure A23 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223b** 



Figure A24 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223b** 



Figure A25 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223c** 



Figure A26 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223c** 



Figure A27 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223d** 



Figure A28 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223d** 



Figure A29 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223e** 



Figure A30 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223e** 



Figure A31 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223f** 



Figure A32 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223f** 



Figure A33 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226a** 



Figure A34 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226a** 



Figure A35 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226b** 



Figure A36 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226b** 



Figure A37 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226c** 



Figure A38 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226c** 



Figure A39 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226d** 



Figure A40 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226d** 



Figure A41 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226e** 



Figure A42 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226e** 



Figure A43 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226f** 



Figure A44 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **226f** 



Figure A45 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227a** 



Figure A45 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227a** 



Figure A47 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227b** 



Figure A48 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227b** 



Figure A49 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227c** 



Figure A50 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227c** 

321



Figure A51 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227d** 



Figure A52 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227d** 



Figure A53 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227e** 



Figure A54 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227e** 

325



Figure A55 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227f** 



Figure A56 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227f** 

327


Figure A57 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228a** 



Figure A58 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228a** 



Figure A59 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228b** 



Figure A60 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228b** 



Figure A61 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228c** 



Figure A62 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228c** 



Figure A63 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228d** 



Figure A64 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228d** 



Figure A65 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228e** 



Figure A66 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228e** 



Figure A67 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228f** 



Figure A68 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **228f** 



Figure A69 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229a** 



Figure A70 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229a** 



Figure A71 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229b** 



Figure A72 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229b** 



Figure A73 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229c** 



Figure A74 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229c** 



Figure A75 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229d** 



Figure A76 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229d** 

347



Figure A77 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229e** 





Figure A79 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229f** 



Figure A80 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229f** 



Figure A81 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230a** 



Figure A82 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230a** 



Figure A83 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230b** 



Figure A84 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230b** 



Figure A85 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230c** 



Figure A86 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230c** 



Figure A87 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230d** 



Figure A88 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230d** 



Figure A89 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230e** 



Figure A90 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230e** 







Figure A92 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230f**


Figure A93 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231a** 



Figure A94 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231a** 



Figure A95 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231b** 



Figure A96 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231b** 



Figure A97 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231c** 



Figure A98 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231c** 



Figure A99 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231d** 



Figure A100 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231d** 



Figure A101 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231e** 



Figure A102 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231e** 



Figure A103 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232a** 



Figure A104 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232a** 



Figure A105 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232b** 



Figure A106 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232b** 



Figure A107 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232c** 



Figure A108 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232c** 



Figure A109 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232d** 



Figure A110 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 232d

381



Figure A111 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233a** 



Figure A112 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233a** 



Figure A113 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233b** 



Figure A114 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233b** 



Figure A115 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233c** 



Figure A116 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233c** 



Figure A117 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **242** 



Figure A118 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **242** 



Figure A119 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253** 



Figure A120 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253** 



Figure A121 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254** 



Figure A122 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254** 



Figure A123 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255** 



Figure A124 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255** 



Figure A125 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256** 



Figure A126 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256** 



Figure A127 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257** 



Figure A128 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257**


Figure A129 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **175** 



Figure A130 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **175** 



Figure A131 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253b** 



Figure A132 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253b** 



Figure A133 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253c** 



Figure A134 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253c** 



Figure A135 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253d** 



Figure A136 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253d** 



Figure A137 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253f** 



Figure A138 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253f** 



Figure A139 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253g** 



Figure A140 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253g** 



Figure A141 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253h** 



Figure A142 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253h** 



Figure A143 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253i** 



Figure A144 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253i** 



Figure A145 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253**j



Figure A146 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253**j



Figure A147 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253k** 



Figure A148 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253k** 



Figure A149 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253I** 



Figure A150 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253I** 



Figure A151 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253m** 



Figure A152 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253m** 



Figure A153 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253n** 



Figure A154 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **253n** 



Figure A155 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2530** 



Figure A156 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2530** 



Figure A157 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254a** 



Figure A158 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254a** 



Figure A159 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254b** 



Figure A160 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254b** 



Figure A161 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254c** 



Figure A162 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254c** 



Figure A163 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254d** 



Figure A164 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254d**


Figure A165 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254f** 



Figure A166 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254f** 



Figure A167 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254h** 



Figure A168 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254h** 



Figure A169 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254j** 



Figure A170 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254j** 



Figure A171 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254k** 



Figure A172 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254k** 



Figure A173 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254m** 



Figure A174 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **254m** 



Figure A175 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2540** 



Figure A176 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2540** 



Figure A177 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255a** 



Figure A178 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255a** 



Figure A179 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255b** 



Figure A180 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255b** 



Figure A181 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255c** 



Figure A182 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255c** 



Figure A183 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255d** 



Figure A184 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255d** 



Figure A185 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255h** 



Figure A186 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255h** 



Figure A187 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255**j



Figure A188 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255**j



Figure A189 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255k** 



Figure A190 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255k** 



Figure A191 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255m** 



Figure A192 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255m** 



Figure A193 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2550** 



Figure A194 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **2550** 



Figure A195 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256a** 



Figure A196 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256a** 



Figure A197 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256b** 



Figure A198 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256b** 



Figure A199 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256c** 



Figure A200 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **256c**


Figure A201 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257a** 



Figure A202 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257a** 



Figure A203 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257b** 



Figure A204 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257b** 



Figure A205 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257c** 



Figure A206 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257c** 



Figure A207 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257h** 



Figure A208 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257h** 



Figure A209 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257**j



Figure A210 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257**j



Figure A211 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257k** 



Figure A212 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **257k** 



Figure A213 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **258** 



Figure A214 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **258** 



Figure A215 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **259** 



Figure A216 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **259** 



Figure A217 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **260** 



Figure A218 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **260** 



Figure A219 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L7



Figure A220 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L7



Figure A221 376 MHz 19F (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L7



Figure A222 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L5



Figure A223 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L5



Figure A224 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L6



Figure A225 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L6



Figure A226 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L8



Figure A227 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L8



Figure A228 376 MHz 19F (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L8



Figure A229 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L9



Figure A230 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L9



Figure A231 376 MHz 19F (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound L9



Figure A232 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **299** 



Figure A233 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **299** 



Figure A234 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **300** 



Figure A235 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **300** 



Figure A236 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **301**


Figure A237 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **301** 



Figure A238 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **302** 



Figure A239 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **302** 



Figure A240 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **303** 



Figure A241 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **303** 



Figure A242 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **304** 



Figure A243 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **304** 



Figure A244 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **305** 



Figure A245 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **305** 



Figure A246 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255i** 



Figure A247 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255i** 



Figure A248 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255I** 



Figure A249 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255** 



Figure A250 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255p** 



Figure A251 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255p** 



Figure A252 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255q** 



Figure A253 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **255q** 



Figure A254 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **299b** 



Figure A255 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **299b** 



Figure A256 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **300b** 



Figure A257 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **300b** 



Figure A258 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **301b** 



Figure A259 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **301b** 



Figure A260 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **302b** 



Figure A261 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **302b** 



Figure A262 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **303b** 



Figure A263 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **303b** 



Figure A264 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **304b** 



Figure A265 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **304b** 



Figure A266 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **305d** 



Figure A267 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **305d** 



Figure A268 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **308** 



Figure A269 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **308** 



Figure A270 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **309** 



Figure A271 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310** 



Figure A272 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310**


Figure A273 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311** 



Figure A274 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311** 



Figure A275 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **312** 



Figure A276 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **312** 



Figure A277 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **313** 



Figure A278 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **313** 



Figure A279 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229r** 



Figure A280 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229r** 



Figure A281 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229s** 



Figure A282 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229s** 



Figure A283 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229t** 



Figure A284 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229t** 



Figure A285 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229u** 



Figure A286 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229u** 



Figure A287 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229v** 



Figure A288 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229v** 



Figure A289 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229w** 



Figure A290 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229w** 



Figure A291 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229x** 



Figure A292 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229x** 



Figure A293 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **308r** 



Figure A294 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **308r** 



Figure A295 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **309r** 



Figure A296 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **309r** 



Figure A297 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310t** 



Figure A298 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310t** 



Figure A299 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223t** 



Figure A300 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223t** 



Figure A301 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311q** 



Figure A302 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311q** 



Figure A303 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **312q** 



Figure A304 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **312q** 



Figure A305 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231q** 



Figure A306 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231q** 



Figure A307 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **232q** 



Figure A308 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 232q


Figure A309 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **233q** 



Figure A310 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 233q



Figure A311 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **313q** 



Figure A312 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **313q** 



Figure A313 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227q** 



Figure A314 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227q** 



Figure A315 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314** 



Figure A316 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314** 



Figure A317 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314-OAc** 



Figure A318 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314-OAc** 



Figure A319 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314-Boc** 



Figure A320 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **314-Boc** 



Figure A321 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **315** 



Figure A322 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **315** 



Figure A323 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **316** 



Figure A324 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **316** 



Figure A325 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **317** 



Figure A326 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **317** 



Figure A327 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227r** 



Figure A328 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227r** 



Figure A329 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229q** 



Figure A330 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229q** 



Figure A331 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229y** 



Figure A332 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229y** 



Figure A333 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227y** 



Figure A334 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227y** 



Figure A335 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231y** 



Figure A336 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231y** 



Figure A337 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **377** 



Figure A338 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **377** 



Figure A339 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227z** 



Figure A340 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **227z** 



Figure A341 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310z** 



Figure A342 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310z** 



Figure A343 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223z** 



Figure A344 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **223z**


Figure A345 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229z** 



Figure A346 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229z** 



Figure A347 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **375z** 



Figure A348 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **375z** 



Figure A349 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230z** 



Figure A350 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230z** 



Figure A351 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311z** 



Figure A352 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **311z** 



Figure A353 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **231z** 





Figure A355 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **230za** 

626



Figure A356 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound 230za



Figure A357 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **376za** 



Figure A358 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **376za** 



Figure A359 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310zb** 



Figure A360 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **310zb** 



Figure A361 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229zc** 



Figure A362 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **229zc** 



Figure A363 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **377za** 



Figure A364 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **377za** 



Figure A365 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **390** 



Figure A366 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **390** 



Figure A367 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **371** 



Figure A368 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **371** 



Figure A369 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **372** 



Figure A370 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **372** 



Figure A371 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **378** 



Figure A372 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **378** 



Figure A373 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **379** 



Figure A374 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **379** 



Figure A375 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **380** 



Figure A376 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **380** 



Figure A377 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **381** 



Figure A378 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **381** 



Figure A379 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **382** 



Figure A380 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **382**


Figure A381 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **383** 



Figure A382 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **383** 



Figure A383 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **384** 



Figure A384 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **384** 



Figure A385 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **385** 



Figure A386 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **385** 



Figure A387 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **386** 



Figure A388 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **386** 



Figure A389 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **387** 



Figure A390 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **387** 



Figure A391 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **388** 



Figure A392 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **388** 



Figure A393 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **389** 



Figure A394 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **389** 



Figure A395 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **391** 



Figure A396 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **391** 



Figure A397 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **373** 



Figure A398 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **373** 







Figure A400 400 MHz 1H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **374** 



Figure A401 100 MHz 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Spectra of Compound **374** 

#### APPENDIX B X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC DATA FOR TFA-SALT **152**

A colorless plate  $(0.38 \times 0.28 \times 0.06 \text{ mm})$  was isolated from the sample and mounted with grease on the tip of a nitrile polymer mount wrapped around a stainless steel pin epoxied to a brass pin and placed on the diffractometer with the long crystal dimension (unit cell b-axis) approximately parallel to the diffractometer phi axis.

Data for **152** were collected with a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer (Mo K-alpha radiation, graphite monochromator) at 190(2) K (cold N<sub>2</sub> gas stream) using standard CCD techniques yielding 57173 data. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied. A correction for absorption using the multi-scan technique was applied (T-max = 0.508, T-min = 0.868). Equivalent data were averaged yielding 9061 unique data (R-int = 0.022, 7601\* F > 4\*Sig(F), Friedel pairs not averaged). Based on a preliminary examination of the crystal, the space group P2(1) was assigned, no significant exceptions to the systematic absences (0k0, k=odd) were noted. The computer programs from the HKL package were used for data reduction.

The preliminary model of the structure was obtained using XS, a direct methods program. Least-squares refining of the model vs. the data was performed with XL computer program. Illustrations were made with the XP program and tables were made with the XCIF program. All are in the SHELXTL v6.1 package. Thermal ellipsoids shown in the illustrations are at the 50% level unless otherwise noted.

All non-hydrogen atoms (except minor component F atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters.

The structure has two cation-anion pairs per asymmetric unit, the second pair is has primed atom labels.

The CF<sub>3</sub> groups of both anions were disordered by rotation about the C-CF<sub>3</sub> bond by approximately 180 degrees. The second orientation of the F atoms have 'A' appended. The occupancies refined to 0.906(5):0.094(5) for F(1-3):F(1A-3A) and 0.862(5):0.138(5) for F(1'-3'):F(1A'-3A'). The C-F bonds were restrained to be the same and the F(1-3)-F(1-2) and F(1-3)A-F(1-3)A distances were restrained to be the same. The F1A, F2A and F3A atoms were constrained to have the same isotropic displacement parameter and the F1A', F2A' and F3A' atoms were constrained to have the same isotropic displacement parameter.

All H atoms were included with the riding model using the XL program default values.

No further restraints or constraints were imposed on the refinement model. Hydrogen bonding geometries are in Table B8.

#### Table B1 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 152

Identification code	
Empirical formula	
Formula weight	
Temperature	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P 21
Unit cell dimension	a = 11.7144(12)  Å alpha = 90  deg. b = 8.8267(10)  Å beta = 101.940(5)  deg. c = 20.144(3)  Å gamma = 90  deg.
Volume	
Z, Calculated density	
Absorption coefficient	
Crystal size	

Theta range for data collection	
Limiting indices	15<=h<=15, -11<=k<=11, -26<=l<=26
Reflections collected / unique	
Completeness to theta = 27.50	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.8862 and 0.5077
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>
Data / restraints / parameters	
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	$\dots R1 = 0.0344, wR2 = 0.0744$
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0472, wR2 = 0.0795
Absolute structure parameter	
Extinction coefficient	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.324 and -0.360 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>

**Table B2** Atomic coordinates (  $x \ 10^4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement<br/>parameters ( $A^2 \ x \ 10^3$ ) for **152** 

	Х	у	Z	U(eq)
Br(1)	-488(1)	9675(1)	6863(1)	73(1)
O(1)	6189(2)	6411(2)	6339(1)	45(1)
N(1)	4041(2)	6462(3)	6660(1)	34(1)
C(1)	5424(2)	5209(3)	6099(2)	44(1)

C(2)	4178(3)	5812(3)	5971(2)	40(1)
C(3)	4017(3)	7087(4)	5474(2)	49(1)
C(4)	3241(4)	7058(5)	4903(2)	76(1)
C(5)	3342(3)	4464(4)	5778(2)	56(1)
C(6)	7344(2)	5927(4)	6635(2)	46(1)
C(7)	8033(2)	5416(3)	6122(2)	39(1)
C(8)	8769(3)	4191(4)	6252(2)	47(1)
C(9)	9442(3)	3757(5)	5795(2)	66(1)
C(10)	9374(4)	4522(6)	5205(2)	80(1)
C(11)	8628(4)	5739(6)	5060(2)	80(1)
C(12)	7967(3)	6195(4)	5519(2)	57(1)
C(13)	2937(2)	7229(3)	6684(1)	33(1)
C(14)	1968(2)	6387(3)	6727(2)	40(1)
C(15)	936(3)	7116(3)	6771(2)	44(1)
C(16)	918(2)	8684(4)	6782(2)	44(1)
C(17)	1883(2)	9530(3)	6751(2)	42(1)
C(18)	2908(2)	8795(3)	6698(1)	39(1)
Br(1')	10772(1)	3472(1)	8062(1)	47(1)
O(1')	4071(2)	6611(2)	8751(1)	40(1)
N(1')	6239(2)	6359(2)	8484(1)	28(1)
C(1')	4921(2)	7672(3)	9071(2)	37(1)
C(2')	6108(2)	6885(3)	9194(1)	32(1)
C(3')	7025(2)	8056(3)	9455(2)	38(1)
C(4')	7773(3)	7979(4)	10038(2)	49(1)
C(5')	6137(3)	5493(3)	9644(2)	43(1)
C(6')	2976(2)	7262(4)	8456(2)	42(1)

C(7')	2266(2)	7739(3)	8972(2)	38(1)
C(8')	1487(3)	8942(3)	8830(2)	49(1)
C(9')	773(3)	9291(5)	9289(2)	67(1)
C(10')	875(3)	8479(6)	9882(2)	71(1)
C(11')	1650(3)	7322(5)	10012(2)	64(1)
C(12')	2345(3)	6951(4)	9571(2)	49(1)
C(13')	7352(2)	5654(3)	8426(1)	28(1)
C(14')	7399(2)	4107(3)	8343(1)	31(1)
C(15')	8426(2)	3439(4)	8243(1)	33(1)
C(16')	9377(2)	4343(3)	8232(1)	33(1)
C(17')	9337(2)	5900(3)	8321(1)	37(1)
C(18')	8307(2)	6567(3)	8410(1)	32(1)
C(21)	6401(2)	9600(3)	7531(1)	36(1)
O(2)	5707(2)	8505(2)	7501(1)	39(1)
O(3)	7206(2)	9970(2)	7990(1)	54(1)
C(22)	6197(2)	10585(3)	6891(2)	41(1)
F(1)	7000(2)	11612(3)	6884(1)	74(1)
F(2)	5201(2)	11331(3)	6804(2)	94(1)
F(3)	6139(4)	9789(3)	6332(1)	106(1)
F(1A)	6049(15)	12024(10)	7038(7)	53(5)
F(2A)	5262(10)	10246(18)	6433(7)	53(5)
F(3A)	7064(10)	10562(19)	6577(7)	53(5)
C(21')	3831(2)	3309(3)	7666(2)	37(1)
O(2')	4562(2)	4357(2)	7666(1)	37(1)
O(3')	2950(2)	3005(2)	7244(1)	54(1)
C(22')	4077(2)	2293(3)	8294(2)	44(1)

F(1')	3859(4)	3053(3)	8834(2)	99(1)
F(2')	5152(2)	1851(4)	8479(2)	98(1)
F(3')	3400(3)	1106(3)	8259(2)	92(1)
F(1A')	3234(8)	2154(16)	8612(6)	56(4)
F(2A')	5012(8)	2608(15)	8740(6)	56(4)
F(3A')	4258(12)	908(10)	8068(6)	56(4)

Note: U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

Br(1)-C(16)	1.901(3)
O(1)-C(1)	1.408(3)
O(1)-C(6)	1.426(3)
N(1)-C(13)	1.469(3)
N(1)-C(2)	1.541(4)
C(1)-C(2)	1.524(4)
C(2)-C(3)	1.492(5)
C(2)-C(5)	1.539(4)
C(3)-C(4)	1.310(5)
C(6)-C(7)	1.507(4)
C(7)-C(8)	1.374(4)
C(7)-C(12)	1.384(4)
C(8)-C(9)	1.383(5)
C(9)-C(10)	1.355(6)
C(10)-C(11)	1.377(7)
C(11)-C(12)	1.383(5)

 Table B3
 Selected bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for 152

C(13)-C(14)	1.374(4)
C(13)-C(18)	1.384(4)
C(14)-C(15)	1.390(4)
C(15)-C(16)	1.385(5)
C(16)-C(17)	1.367(4)
C(17)-C(18)	1.387(4)
Br(1')-C(16')	1.900(2)
O(1')-C(6')	1.419(3)
O(1')-C(1')	1.421(3)
N(1')-C(13')	1.471(3)
N(1')-C(2')	1.542(3)
C(1')-C(2')	1.528(4)
C(2')-C(3')	1.504(4)
C(2')-C(5')	1.523(4)
C(3')-C(4')	1.314(4)
C(6')-C(7')	1.519(4)
C(7')-C(12')	1.379(5)
C(7')-C(8')	1.391(4)
C(8')-C(9')	1.403(5)
C(9')-C(10')	1.377(6)
C(10')-C(11')	1.356(6)
C(11')-C(12')	1.363(5)
C(13')-C(14')	1.378(4)
C(13')-C(18')	1.385(4)
C(14')-C(15')	1.393(4)
C(15')-C(16')	1.374(4)

C(16')-C(17')	1.388(4)
C(17')-C(18')	1.387(4)

- C(21)-O(3) 1.221(3) C(21)-O(2) 1.257(3)
- C(21)-C(22) 1.532(4)
- C(22)-F(1) 1.309(3)
- C(22)-F(3) 1.317(3)
- C(22)-F(2) 1.319(3)
- C(21')-O(3') 1.223(3)
- C(21')-O(2') 1.261(3)
- C(21')-C(22') 1.528(4)
- C(22')-F(2') 1.296(3)
- C(22')-F(3') 1.308(3)
- C(22')-F(1') 1.348(4)
- C(1)-O(1)-C(6) 113.5(2)
- C(13)-N(1)-C(2) 117.2(2)
- O(1)-C(1)-C(2) 108.3(2)
- C(3)-C(2)-C(1) 111.1(3)
- C(3)-C(2)-C(5) 115.3(3)
- C(1)-C(2)-C(5) 108.1(3)
- C(3)-C(2)-N(1) 107.6(2)
- C(1)-C(2)-N(1) 104.6(2)
- C(5)-C(2)-N(1) 109.6(2)
- C(4)-C(3)-C(2) 122.9(3)
- O(1)-C(6)-C(7) 113.6(2)

C(8)-C(7)-C(12)	118.6(3)
C(8)-C(7)-C(6)	120.6(3)
C(12)-C(7)-C(6)	120.8(3)
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	120.8(3)
C(10)-C(9)-C(8)	120.5(4)
C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	119.6(4)
C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	120.3(4)
C(11)-C(12)-C(7)	120.2(4)
C(14)-C(13)-C(18)	121.0(3)
C(14)-C(13)-N(1)	119.8(3)
C(18)-C(13)-N(1)	119.1(2)
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	119.7(3)
C(16)-C(15)-C(14)	118.7(3)
C(17)-C(16)-C(15)	122.0(3)
C(17)-C(16)-Br(1)	119.5(2)
C(15)-C(16)-Br(1)	118.5(2)
C(16)-C(17)-C(18)	119.0(3)
C(13)-C(18)-C(17)	119.6(3)
C(6')-O(1')-C(1')	114.2(2)
C(13')-N(1')-C(2')	117.45(19)
O(1')-C(1')-C(2')	107.6(2)
C(3')-C(2')-C(5')	114.8(2)
C(3')-C(2')-C(1')	107.7(2)
C(5')-C(2')-C(1')	111.8(2)
C(3')-C(2')-N(1')	109.3(2)
C(5')-C(2')-N(1')	108.3(2)

C(1')-C(2')-N(1')	104.4(2)
C(4')-C(3')-C(2')	124.9(3)
O(1')-C(6')-C(7')	113.7(2)
C(12')-C(7')-C(8')	119.1(3)
C(12')-C(7')-C(6')	120.8(3)
C(8')-C(7')-C(6')	120.0(3)
C(7')-C(8')-C(9')	119.2(3)
C(10')-C(9')-C(8')	120.1(3)
C(11')-C(10')-C(9')	119.6(3)
C(10')-C(11')-C(12')	121.4(4)
C(11')-C(12')-C(7')	120.6(4)
C(14')-C(13')-C(18')	121.4(2)
C(14')-C(13')-N(1')	119.1(2)
C(18')-C(13')-N(1')	119.3(2)
C(13')-C(14')-C(15')	119.6(3)
C(16')-C(15')-C(14')	119.0(3)
C(15')-C(16')-C(17')	121.5(3)
C(15')-C(16')-Br(1')	119.9(2)
C(17')-C(16')-Br(1')	118.5(2)
C(18')-C(17')-C(16')	119.4(2)
C(13')-C(18')-C(17')	118.9(2)
O(3)-C(21)-O(2)	129.3(3)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)	116.6(2)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)	114.1(2)
F(1)-C(22)-F(3)	105.7(3)
F(1)-C(22)-F(2)	105.7(2)

Table B3   Continued	
F(3)-C(22)-F(2)	105.4(3)
F(1)-C(22)-C(21)	114.5(2)
F(3)-C(22)-C(21)	112.9(2)
F(2)-C(22)-C(21)	112.0(2)
O(3')-C(21')-O(2')	129.5(3)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')	115.7(2)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')	114.8(2)
F(2')-C(22')-F(3')	108.5(3)
F(2')-C(22')-F(1')	104.6(3)
F(3')-C(22')-F(1')	103.2(3)
F(1')-C(22')-C(21')	109.9(2)
F(2')-C(22')-C(21')	114.9(2)
F(3')-C(22')-C(21')	114.6(3)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms.

Br(1)-C(16)	1.901(3)
O(1)-C(1)	1.408(3)
O(1)-C(6)	1.426(3)
N(1)-C(13)	1.469(3)
N(1)-C(2)	1.541(4)
N(1)-H(1C)	0.9200
N(1)-H(1D)	0.9200
C(1)-C(2)	1.524(4)

Table B4 Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for 152

C(1)-H(1A)	0.9900
C(1)-H(1B)	0.9900

- C(2)-C(3) 1.492(5)
- C(2)-C(5) 1.539(4)
- C(3)-C(4) 1.310(5)
- C(3)-H(3) 0.9500 C(4)-H(4A) 0.9500
- C(4)-H(4B) 0.9500
- C(5)-H(5A) 0.9800
- C(5)-H(5B) 0.9800
- C(5)-H(5C) 0.9800
- C(6)-C(7) 1.507(4)
- C(6)-H(6A) 0.9900
- C(6)-H(6B) 0.9900
- C(7)-C(8) 1.374(4)
- C(7)-C(12) 1.384(4)
- C(8)-C(9) 1.383(5)
- C(8)-H(8) 0.9500
- C(9)-C(10) 1.355(6)
- C(9)-H(9) 0.9500
- C(10)-C(11) 1.377(7) C(10)-H(10) 0.9500
- C(11)-C(12) 1.383(5)
- С(11)-Н(11) 0.9500
- C(12)-H(12) 0.9500
- C(13)-C(14) 1.374(4)

C(13)-C(18)	1.384(4)
C(14)-C(15)	1.390(4)
C(14)-H(14)	0.9500
C(15)-C(16)	1.385(5)
C(15)-H(15)	0.9500
C(16)-C(17)	1.367(4)
C(17)-C(18)	1.387(4)
C(17)-H(17)	0.9500
C(18)-H(18)	0.9500
Br(1')-C(16')	1.900(2)
O(1')-C(6')	1.419(3)
O(1')-C(1')	1.421(3)
N(1')-C(13')	1.471(3)
N(1')-C(2')	1.542(3)
N(1')-H(1C')	0.9200
N(1')-H(1D')	0.9200
C(1')-C(2')	1.528(4)
C(1')-H(1A')	0.9900
C(1')-H(1B')	0.9900
C(2')-C(3')	1.504(4)
C(2')-C(5')	1.523(4)
C(3')-C(4')	1.314(4)
C(3')-H(3')	0.9500
C(4')-H(4A')	0.9500
C(4')-H(4B')	0.9500
C(5')-H(5A')	0.9800

C(5')-H(5B')	0.9800
C(5')-H(5C')	0.9800
C(6')-C(7')	1.519(4)
C(6')-H(6A')	0.9900
C(6')-H(6B')	0.9900
C(7')-C(12')	1.379(5)
C(7')-C(8')	1.391(4)
C(8')-C(9')	1.403(5)
C(8')-H(8')	0.9500
C(9')-C(10')	1.377(6)
C(9')-H(9')	0.9500
C(10')-C(11')	1.356(6)
С(10')-Н(10')	0.9500
C(11')-C(12')	1.363(5)
C(11')-H(11')	0.9500
C(12')-H(12')	0.9500
C(13')-C(14')	1.378(4)
C(13')-C(18')	1.385(4)
C(14')-C(15')	1.393(4)
C(14')-H(14')	0.9500
C(15')-C(16')	1.374(4)
С(15')-Н(15')	0.9500
C(16')-C(17')	1.388(4)
C(17')-C(18')	1.387(4)
C(17')-H(17')	0.9500
C(18')-H(18')	0.9500

### Table B4 continued

C(21)-O(3)	1.221(3)
C(21)-O(2)	1.257(3)
C(21)-C(22)	1.532(4)
C(22)-F(3A)	1.303(7)
C(22)-F(1)	1.309(3)
C(22)-F(2A)	1.312(7)
C(22)-F(3)	1.317(3)
C(22)-F(2)	1.319(3)
C(22)-F(1A)	1.324(7)
C(21')-O(3')	1.223(3)
C(21')-O(2')	1.261(3)
C(21')-C(22')	1.528(4)
C(22')-F(1A')	1.290(6)
C(22')-F(2A')	1.295(6)
C(22')-F(2')	1.296(3)
C(22')-F(3')	1.308(3)
C(22')-F(3A')	1.336(6)
C(22')-F(1')	1.348(4)
C(1) O(1) C(6)	112.5(2)

C(1)-O(1)-C(0)	115.3(2)
C(13)-N(1)-C(2)	117.2(2)
C(13)-N(1)-H(1C)	108.0
C(2)-N(1)-H(1C)	108.0
C(13)-N(1)-H(1D)	108.0
C(2)-N(1)-H(1D)	108.0
H(1C)-N(1)-H(1D)	107.2
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	108.3(2)
------------------	----------
O(1)-C(1)-H(1A)	110.0
C(2)-C(1)-H(1A)	110.0
O(1)-C(1)-H(1B)	110.0
C(2)-C(1)-H(1B)	110.0
H(1A)-C(1)-H(1B)	108.4
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	111.1(3)
C(3)-C(2)-C(5)	115.3(3)
C(1)-C(2)-C(5)	108.1(3)
C(3)-C(2)-N(1)	107.6(2)
C(1)-C(2)-N(1)	104.6(2)
C(5)-C(2)-N(1)	109.6(2)
C(4)-C(3)-C(2)	122.9(3)
C(4)-C(3)-H(3)	118.6
C(2)-C(3)-H(3)	118.6
C(3)-C(4)-H(4A)	120.0
C(3)-C(4)-H(4B)	120.0
H(4A)-C(4)-H(4B)	120.0
C(2)-C(5)-H(5A)	109.5
C(2)-C(5)-H(5B)	109.5
H(5A)-C(5)-H(5B)	109.5
C(2)-C(5)-H(5C)	109.5
H(5A)-C(5)-H(5C)	109.5
H(5B)-C(5)-H(5C)	109.5
O(1)-C(6)-C(7)	113.6(2)
O(1)-C(6)-H(6A)	108.9

C(7)-C(6)-H(6A)	108.9
O(1)-C(6)-H(6B)	108.9
C(7)-C(6)-H(6B)	108.9
H(6A)-C(6)-H(6B)	107.7
C(8)-C(7)-C(12)	118.6(3)
C(8)-C(7)-C(6)	120.6(3)
C(12)-C(7)-C(6)	120.8(3)
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	120.8(3)
C(7)-C(8)-H(8)	119.6
C(9)-C(8)-H(8)	119.6
C(10)-C(9)-C(8)	120.5(4)
C(10)-C(9)-H(9)	119.8
C(8)-C(9)-H(9)	119.8
C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	119.6(4)
C(9)-C(10)-H(10)	120.2
C(11)-C(10)-H(10)	120.2
C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	120.3(4)
C(10)-C(11)-H(11)	119.8
C(12)-C(11)-H(11)	119.8
C(11)-C(12)-C(7)	120.2(4)
C(11)-C(12)-H(12)	119.9
C(7)-C(12)-H(12)	119.9
C(14)-C(13)-C(18)	121.0(3)
C(14)-C(13)-N(1)	119.8(3)
C(18)-C(13)-N(1)	119.1(2)
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	119.7(3)

C(13)-C(14)-H(14)	120.2
C(15)-C(14)-H(14)	120.2
C(16)-C(15)-C(14)	118.7(3)
C(16)-C(15)-H(15)	120.7
C(14)-C(15)-H(15)	120.7
C(17)-C(16)-C(15)	122.0(3)
C(17)-C(16)-Br(1)	119.5(2)
C(15)-C(16)-Br(1)	118.5(2)
C(16)-C(17)-C(18)	119.0(3)
C(16)-C(17)-H(17)	120.5
C(18)-C(17)-H(17)	120.5
C(13)-C(18)-C(17)	119.6(3)
C(13)-C(18)-H(18)	120.2
C(17)-C(18)-H(18)	120.2
C(6')-O(1')-C(1')	114.2(2)
C(13')-N(1')-C(2')	117.45(19)
C(13')-N(1')-H(1C')	107.9
C(2')-N(1')-H(1C')	107.9
C(13')-N(1')-H(1D')	107.9
C(2')-N(1')-H(1D')	107.9
H(1C')-N(1')-H(1D')	107.2
O(1')-C(1')-C(2')	107.6(2)
O(1')-C(1')-H(1A')	110.2
C(2')-C(1')-H(1A')	110.2
O(1')-C(1')-H(1B')	110.2
C(2')-C(1')-H(1B')	110.2

H(1A')-C(1')-H(1B')	108.5
C(3')-C(2')-C(5')	114.8(2)
C(3')-C(2')-C(1')	107.7(2)
C(5')-C(2')-C(1')	111.8(2)
C(3')-C(2')-N(1')	109.3(2)
C(5')-C(2')-N(1')	108.3(2)
C(1')-C(2')-N(1')	104.4(2)
C(4')-C(3')-C(2')	124.9(3)
C(4')-C(3')-H(3')	117.5
C(2')-C(3')-H(3')	117.5
C(3')-C(4')-H(4A')	120.0
C(3')-C(4')-H(4B')	120.0
H(4A')-C(4')-H(4B')	120.0
C(2')-C(5')-H(5A')	109.5
C(2')-C(5')-H(5B')	109.5
H(5A')-C(5')-H(5B')	109.5
C(2')-C(5')-H(5C')	109.5
H(5A')-C(5')-H(5C')	109.5
H(5B')-C(5')-H(5C')	109.5
O(1')-C(6')-C(7')	113.7(2)
O(1')-C(6')-H(6A')	108.8
C(7')-C(6')-H(6A')	108.8
O(1')-C(6')-H(6B')	108.8
C(7')-C(6')-H(6B')	108.8
H(6A')-C(6')-H(6B')	107.7
C(12')-C(7')-C(8')	119.1(3)

C(12')-C(7')-C(6')	120.8(3)
C(8')-C(7')-C(6')	120.0(3)
C(7')-C(8')-C(9')	119.2(3)
C(7')-C(8')-H(8')	120.4
C(9')-C(8')-H(8')	120.4
C(10')-C(9')-C(8')	120.1(3)
C(10')-C(9')-H(9')	120.0
C(8')-C(9')-H(9')	120.0
C(11')-C(10')-C(9')	119.6(3)
C(11')-C(10')-H(10')	120.2
C(9')-C(10')-H(10')	120.2
C(10')-C(11')-C(12')	121.4(4)
C(10')-C(11')-H(11')	119.3
C(12')-C(11')-H(11')	119.3
C(11')-C(12')-C(7')	120.6(4)
C(11')-C(12')-H(12')	119.7
C(7')-C(12')-H(12')	119.7
C(14')-C(13')-C(18')	121.4(2)
C(14')-C(13')-N(1')	119.1(2)
C(18')-C(13')-N(1')	119.3(2)
C(13')-C(14')-C(15')	119.6(3)
C(13')-C(14')-H(14')	120.2
C(15')-C(14')-H(14')	120.2
C(16')-C(15')-C(14')	119.0(3)
C(16')-C(15')-H(15')	120.5
C(14')-C(15')-H(15')	120.5

C(15')-C(16')-C(17')	121.5(3)
C(15')-C(16')-Br(1')	119.9(2)
C(17')-C(16')-Br(1')	118.5(2)
C(18')-C(17')-C(16')	119.4(2)
C(18')-C(17')-H(17')	120.3
C(16')-C(17')-H(17')	120.3
C(13')-C(18')-C(17')	118.9(2)
C(13')-C(18')-H(18')	120.5
C(17')-C(18')-H(18')	120.5
O(3)-C(21)-O(2)	129.3(3)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)	116.6(2)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)	114.1(2)
F(1)-C(22)-F(3)	105.7(3)
F(1)-C(22)-F(2)	105.7(2)
F(3)-C(22)-F(2)	105.4(3)
F(3A)-C(22)-F(2A)	106.1(6)
F(3A)-C(22)-F(1A)	106.0(6)
F(2A)-C(22)-F(1A)	104.3(6)
F(1)-C(22)-C(21)	114.5(2)
F(3)-C(22)-C(21)	112.9(2)
F(2)-C(22)-C(21)	112.0(2)
F(2A)-C(22)-C(21)	115.1(7)
F(3A)-C(22)-C(21)	113.5(7)
F(1A)-C(22)-C(21)	111.1(7)
O(3')-C(21')-O(2')	129.5(3)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')	115.7(2)

Table B4 Continued	
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')	114.8(2)
F(2')-C(22')-F(3')	108.5(3)
F(2')-C(22')-F(1')	104.6(3)
F(3')-C(22')-F(1')	103.2(3)
F(1A')-C(22')-F(3A')	105.9(6)
F(2A')-C(22')-F(3A')	105.2(6)
F(1A')-C(22')-F(2A')	107.4(6)
F(1')-C(22')-C(21')	109.9(2)
F(2')-C(22')-C(21')	114.9(2)
F(3')-C(22')-C(21')	114.6(3)
F(3A')-C(22')-C(21')	105.8(6)
F(1A')-C(22')-C(21')	115.7(6)
F(2A')-C(22')-C(21')	115.9(6)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms.

	U11	U22	U33	U23	U13	U12
Br(1)	39(1)	55(1)	123(1)	-18(1)	12(1)	8(1)
O(1)	34(1)	41(1)	60(1)	-11(1)	11(1)	-1(1)
N(1)	31(1)	33(1)	37(1)	-3(1)	6(1)	-5(1)
C(1)	40(2)	40(2)	54(2)	-10(1)	15(1)	-1(1)
C(2)	41(2)	44(2)	37(2)	-8(1)	10(1)	-5(1)
C(3)	45(2)	61(2)	43(2)	-3(2)	10(1)	1(2)

**Table B5** Anisotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2 \times 10^3)$  for 152(The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \operatorname{pi}^2 [h^2 a^{**} U11 + ... + 2 h k a^* b^* U12])$ 

C(4)	79(3)	79(3)	65(2)	21(2)	1(2)	1(2)
C(5)	54(2)	62(2)	53(2)	-19(2)	11(2)	-15(2)
C(6)	34(2)	57(2)	45(2)	-4(1)	7(1)	3(1)
C(7)	31(1)	47(2)	37(2)	-3(1)	5(1)	-4(1)
C(8)	38(2)	48(2)	55(2)	-2(1)	6(1)	1(1)
C(9)	48(2)	67(3)	87(3)	-21(2)	22(2)	3(2)
C(10)	69(3)	95(3)	91(3)	-27(3)	49(2)	-11(3)
C(11)	96(3)	100(4)	54(2)	3(2)	37(2)	-20(3)
C(12)	65(2)	57(2)	53(2)	11(2)	19(2)	5(2)
C(13)	30(1)	35(2)	34(2)	-1(1)	2(1)	-1(1)
C(14)	34(1)	33(2)	51(2)	-6(1)	5(1)	-4(1)
C(15)	34(2)	39(2)	58(2)	-7(1)	7(1)	-7(1)
C(16)	32(1)	43(2)	53(2)	-7(2)	2(1)	3(1)
C(17)	42(2)	29(2)	52(2)	-2(1)	1(1)	-2(1)
C(18)	33(1)	40(2)	43(2)	-1(1)	5(1)	-7(1)
Br(1')	30(1)	52(1)	59(1)	-7(1)	9(1)	8(1)
O(1')	30(1)	35(1)	57(1)	-10(1)	13(1)	-4(1)
N(1')	26(1)	26(1)	34(1)	-1(1)	7(1)	-1(1)
C(1')	33(1)	31(1)	47(2)	-7(1)	11(1)	-1(1)
C(2')	34(1)	30(1)	33(1)	-3(1)	10(1)	3(1)
C(3')	34(1)	36(2)	44(2)	-8(1)	12(1)	0(1)
C(4')	42(2)	51(2)	51(2)	-14(1)	4(1)	3(1)
C(5')	45(2)	43(2)	42(2)	2(1)	15(1)	6(1)
C(6')	34(1)	48(2)	44(2)	-2(1)	8(1)	1(1)
C(7')	27(1)	37(2)	51(2)	-15(1)	10(1)	-7(1)
C(8')	32(2)	44(2)	67(2)	-11(1)	4(1)	-2(1)

C(9')	28(2)	64(2)	105(3)	-36(2)	6(2)	5(2)
C(10')	44(2)	97(3)	82(3)	-36(3)	35(2)	-17(2)
C(11')	62(2)	74(3)	64(2)	-17(2)	32(2)	-26(2)
C(12')	48(2)	46(2)	56(2)	-8(2)	19(2)	-12(1)
C(13')	28(1)	29(1)	26(1)	-2(1)	6(1)	0(1)
C(14')	29(1)	29(1)	7(1)	-1(1)	8(1)	-6(1)
C(15')	35(1)	28(1)	36(1)	0(1)	7(1)	2(1)
C(16')	28(1)	37(2)	34(1)	-2(1)	7(1)	7(1)
C(17')	28(1)	39(2)	43(2)	-4(1)	8(1)	-7(1)
C(18')	32(1)	28(1)	36(1)	-3(1)	7(1)	-4(1)
C(21)	31(1)	28(1)	49(2)	0(1)	1(1)	1(1)
O(2)	40(1)	31(1)	47(1)	3(1)	10(1)	-7(1)
O(3)	50(1)	39(1)	66(2)	7(1)	-7(1)	-11(1)
C(22)	36(2)	32(2)	54(2)	3(1)	11(1)	-2(1)
F(1)	67(2)	70(2)	81(2)	26(1)	5(1)	-35(1)
F(2)	56(2)	92(2)	140(3)	75(2)	33(2)	32(1)
F(3)	226(4)	47(1)	47(1)	-2(1)	33(2)	-20(2)
C(21')	30(1)	26(1)	56(2)	-5(1)	14(1)	2(1)
O(2')	36(1)	29(1)	48(1)	-4(1)	11(1)	-7(1)
O(3')	36(1)	44(1)	77(2)	2(1)	-1(1)	-9(1)
C(22')	34(2)	36(2)	66(2)	4(1)	17(1)	-6(1)
F(1')	168(4)	71(2)	71(2)	4(1)	52(2)	-3(2)
F(2')	44(1)	111(3)	141(3)	83(2)	24(2)	18(2)
F(3')	95(2)	56(2)	115(2)	27(2)	-2(2)	-45(2)

	X	У	Z	U(eq)
H(1C)	4138	5680	6969	41
H(1D)	4639	7139	6803	41
H(1A)	5521	4384	6439	53
H(1B)	5598	4799	5674	53
H(3)	4498	7957	5576	59
H(4A)	2751	6199	4790	92
H(4B)	3169	7896	4601	92
H(5A)	3580	3875	5417	85
H(5B)	2546	4842	5618	85
H(5C)	3367	3818	6176	85
H(6A)	7299	5081	6951	55
H(6B)	7763	6773	6903	55
H(8)	8816	3634	6660	57
H(9)	9955	2917	5896	80
H(10)	9838	4221	4893	96
H(11)	8567	6266	4643	96
H(12)	7465	7047	5419	69
H(14)	2005	5312	6726	48
H(15)	255	6550	6794	53
H(17)	1853	10605	6766	51
H(18)	3585	9364	6672	47
H(1C')	5651	5678	8326	34
H(1D')	6120	7185	8200	34
H(1A')	4743	8011	9507	44
H(1B')	4924	8570	8777	44
H(3')	7067	8915	9177	45
H(4A')	7759	7137	10330	59
H(4B')	8328	8765	10168	59
H(5A')	6908	5016	9709	64
H(5B')	5539	4772	9427	64
H(5C')	5983	5797	10085	64
H(6A')	2519	6519	8141	50
H(6B')	3109	8160	8187	50
H(8')	1438	9520	8427	58
H(9')	220	10089	9191	80
H(10')	404	8728	10198	85
H(11')	1710	6757	10419	77
H(12')	2887	6143	9677	59
H(14')	6733	3500	8354	37
H(15')	8470	2374	8183	39

**Table B6** Hydrogen coordinates (  $x \ 10^4$ ) and isotropicdisplacement parameters ( $A^2 x \ 10^3$ ) for **152** 

Table B6 Cont	tinued			
H(17')	10008	6503	8320	44
H(18')	8258	7634	8460	38

C(6)-O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	165.3(2)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	58.1(3)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(5)	-174.4(2)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-N(1)	-57.7(3)
C(13)-N(1)-C(2)-C(3)	57.7(3)
C(13)-N(1)-C(2)-C(1)	175.9(2)
C(13)-N(1)-C(2)-C(5)	-68.4(3)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	121.7(4)
C(5)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	-1.7(5)
N(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	-124.3(4)
C(1)-O(1)-C(6)-C(7)	74.3(3)
O(1)-C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	-141.2(3)
O(1)-C(6)-C(7)-C(12)	40.7(4)
C(12)-C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	0.9(5)
C(6)-C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	-177.2(3)
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	-1.0(5)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	-0.1(6)
C(9)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	1.1(7)
C(10)-C(11)-C(12)-C(7)	-1.2(6)
C(8)-C(7)-C(12)-C(11)	0.1(5)
C(6)-C(7)-C(12)-C(11)	178.3(3)

 Table B7 Torsion angles [deg] for 152

Table B7 Continued	
C(2)-N(1)-C(13)-C(14)	80.2(3)
C(2)-N(1)-C(13)-C(18)	-103.0(3)
C(18)-C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	1.3(4)
N(1)-C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	178.0(3)
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	-1.0(5)
C(14)-C(15)-C(16)-C(17)	-0.1(5)
C(14)-C(15)-C(16)-Br(1)	-178.7(2)
C(15)-C(16)-C(17)-C(18)	0.9(5)
Br(1)-C(16)-C(17)-C(18)	179.5(2)
C(14)-C(13)-C(18)-C(17)	-0.5(4)
N(1)-C(13)-C(18)-C(17)	-177.2(2)
C(16)-C(17)-C(18)-C(13)	-0.6(4)
C(6')-O(1')-C(1')-C(2')	-165.5(2)
O(1')-C(1')-C(2')-C(3')	173.3(2)
O(1')-C(1')-C(2')-C(5')	-59.7(3)
O(1')-C(1')-C(2')-N(1')	57.2(3)
C(13')-N(1')-C(2')-C(3')	60.3(3)
C(13')-N(1')-C(2')-C(5')	-65.4(3)
C(13')-N(1')-C(2')-C(1')	175.4(2)
C(5')-C(2')-C(3')-C(4')	-3.5(4)
C(1')-C(2')-C(3')-C(4')	121.7(3)
N(1')-C(2')-C(3')-C(4')	-125.5(3)
C(1')-O(1')-C(6')-C(7')	-74.2(3)
O(1')-C(6')-C(7')-C(12')	-32.0(4)
O(1')-C(6')-C(7')-C(8')	150.9(3)
C(12')-C(7')-C(8')-C(9')	-2.0(4)

Table B7 Continued	
C(6')-C(7')-C(8')-C(9')	175.1(3)
C(7')-C(8')-C(9')-C(10')	2.0(5)
C(8')-C(9')-C(10')-C(11')	-1.4(5)
C(9')-C(10')-C(11')-C(12')	0.7(6)
C(10')-C(11')-C(12')-C(7')	-0.8(5)
C(8')-C(7')-C(12')-C(11')	1.4(4)
C(6')-C(7')-C(12')-C(11')	-175.7(3)
C(2')-N(1')-C(13')-C(14')	105.0(3)
C(2')-N(1')-C(13')-C(18')	-79.3(3)
C(18')-C(13')-C(14')-C(15')	0.2(4)
N(1')-C(13')-C(14')-C(15')	175.8(2)
C(13')-C(14')-C(15')-C(16')	0.3(4)
C(14')-C(15')-C(16')-C(17')	0.3(4)
C(14')-C(15')-C(16')-Br(1')	-177.61(19)
C(15')-C(16')-C(17')-C(18')	-1.2(4)
Br(1')-C(16')-C(17')-C(18')	176.7(2)
C(14')-C(13')-C(18')-C(17')	-1.1(4)
N(1')-C(13')-C(18')-C(17')	-176.7(2)
C(16')-C(17')-C(18')-C(13')	1.6(4)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(3A)	63.6(9)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(3A)	-115.8(9)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(1)	7.4(4)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(1)	-172.0(3)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(2A)	-173.9(9)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(2A)	6.7(9)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(3)	128.4(3)

Table B7	Continued
----------	-----------

O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(3)	-51.0(4)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(2)	-112.9(3)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(2)	67.7(3)
O(3)-C(21)-C(22)-F(1A)	-55.6(9)
O(2)-C(21)-C(22)-F(1A)	125.0(8)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(1A')	-53.2(7)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(1A')	125.8(7)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(2A')	179.7(7)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(2A')	-1.3(7)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(2')	135.2(3)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(2')	-45.8(4)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(3')	8.6(4)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(3')	-172.4(3)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(3A')	63.6(7)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(3A')	-117.4(6)
O(3')-C(21')-C(22')-F(1')	-107.1(3)
O(2')-C(21')-C(22')-F(1')	71.9(3)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms.

D-H	А	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)
N(1)-H(1C)	O(2')	0.92	1.81	2.723(3)	169
N(1)-H(1D)	O(2)	0.92	2.07	2.926(3)	155
N(1')-H(1C')	O(2')	0.92	2.01	2.891(3)	159
N(1')-H(1D')	O(2)	0.92	1.81	2.718(3)	167

Table B8 Hydrogen bonds for 152 [A and deg.]

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:



Figure B1 Monomer A 152 Structure



Figure B2 Monomer B 152 Structure





Figure B3 TFA-Salt Structures



Figure B4 TFA-Salt Bridged Structural Dimer of 152

Table B9 Observed and calculated structure factors for 152

$11 - 5 \ 0 \ 45 \ 74 \ 14 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 330 \ 316 \ 2 \ 7 \ 8 \ 0 \ 167 \ 166 \ 5 \ 9 - 8 \ 1 \ 122 \ 98 \ 9 \ -$
2 - 4 1 172 179 1
12 - 5 0 57 63 20 1 2 0 1674 1670 11 8 8 0 219 204 2 10 - 8 1 81 106 16
-1 -4 1 84 84 1
13 - 5 0 71 84 18 2 2 0 835 853 5 9 8 0 106 97 4 -10 - 7 1 0 45 1 0
-4 1 388 393 2
0 - 4 0 594 584 4 3 2 0 311 315 1 10 8 0 97 98 4 - 9 - 7 1 137 132 10
1 -4 1 488 497 3
1 -4 0 119 116 1 4 2 0 161 158 1 1 9 0 84 85 6 -8 -7 1 18 37 17 2
-4 1 563 581 2
2 -4 0 237 229 1 5 2 0 790 779 4 2 9 0 123 117 9 -7 -7 1 340 336 4
3 - 4 1 985 961 4
3 -4 0 205 200 1 6 2 0 457 460 2 3 9 0 309 296 2 -6 -7 1 65 67 3 4
-4 1 605 606 3
4 -4 0 443 434 2 7 2 0 353 352 3 4 9 0 142 140 3 -5 -7 1 330 335 2
5 -4 1 213 209 1
5 -4 0 175 171 1 8 2 0 482 478 3 5 9 0 137 130 10 -4 -7 1 171 170 1
6-4 1 291 295 6
$6 \ -4 \ 0 \ 313 \ 318 \ 2  9 \ 2 \ 0 \ 137 \ 139 \ 2  6 \ 9 \ 0 \ 137 \ 140 \ 3  -3 \ -7 \ 1 \ 157 \ 155 \ 1$
7 - 4 1 71 69 2
7 - 4 0 124 127 2 10 2 0 478 475 3 7 9 0 27 34 9 - 2 - 7 1 117 110 6 8
-4 1 224 224 3
8 - 4 0 5 4 5 1 5 1 1 2 0 1 2 3 1 1 7 4 8 9 0 5 6 7 1 6 - 1 - 7 1 1 9 5 2 0 4 5 9
-4 1 142 139 2
9-4 0 93 92 2 12 2 0 214 220 6 9 9 0 0 6 1 0-7 1 88 91 1 10-
4 1 228 227 3
10 - 4 0 194 179 4 13 2 0 254 253 6 0 10 0 183 196 8 1 - 7 1 148 141 1
11 - 4 1 148 140 7
11 -4 0 238 249 4 14 2 0 190 186 5 1 10 0 99 96 9 2 -7 1 175 178 1
12 - 4 1 152 164 5
12 - 4 0 227 229 4 1 3 0 486 504 2 2 10 0 141 137 6 3 - 7 1 204 207 2
13 - 4 1 92 73 7
13 -4 0 166 174 5 2 3 0 134 136 1 3 10 0 20 46 19 4 -7 1 358 353 2
-14 -3 1 46 47 25
1 -3 0 494 506 2 3 3 0 228 232 1 4 10 0 126 120 7 5 -7 1 114 115 2 -
13 - 3 1 127 132 5
2 - 3 0 129 128 1 4 3 0 270 275 2 5 10 0 28 38 17 6 - 7 1 85 79 9 -
12 - 3 1 78 89 7
3 - 3 0 248 246 2 5 3 0 296 289 2 6 10 0 57 64 8 7 - 7 1 176 171 6 -
11 -3 1 141 124 4
4 -3 0 228 231 2 6 3 0 349 365 2 7 10 0 113 108 11 8 -7 1 24 19 24 -
10 -3 1 107 102 6
5 -3 0 350 339 2 7 3 0 253 264 1 1 11 0 78 20 19 9 -7 1 51 36 17 -
9-3 1 510 514 4

6 -3 0 334 348 2 8 3 0 319 332 2 2 11 0 75 64 14 10 -7 1 152 1	58 13
-8 -3 1 212 209 2	
-7 -3 1 443 446 2 -4 0 1 20 20 4 0 3 1 1332 1339 7 5 6 1 254 2	255 1
-3 11 1 46 49 46	
-6 -3 1 237 236 1 -3 0 1 422 445 2 1 3 1 635 648 3 6 6 1 117 1	14 2
-2 11 1 118 104 10	
-5 -3 1 524 508 2 -2 0 1 273 288 1 2 3 1 159 153 1 7 6 1 231 2	230 2
0 11 1 155 132 10	
-4 -3 1 223 226 1 2 0 1 92 106 1 3 3 1 409 400 2 8 6 1 122 1	21 2
1 11 1 51 87 47	
-3 -3 1 783 787 4 3 0 1 115 133 3 4 3 1 731 703 6 9 6 1 184 1	78 4
2 11 1 42 64 26	
-2 -3 1 267 286 4 4 0 1 0 16 1 5 3 1 407 405 2 10 6 1 183 185	9 3
11 1 0 22 1	
-1 -3 1 1029 1009 5 5 0 1 334 344 2 6 3 1 659 670 3 11 6 1 100	96 5
4 11 1 26 19 25	
0 -3 1 1347 1346 6 6 0 1 424 448 2 7 3 1 371 371 2 12 6 1 26	35 25
-4-11 2 78 53 26	
1 -3 1 628 635 2 7 0 1 466 465 5 8 3 1 231 235 2 -11 7 1 70 64	9 -
3-11 2 44 69 23	
2 - 3 1 139 138 1 8 0 1 276 279 3 9 3 1 439 435 3 -10 7 1 45 43	15 -
2-11 2 54 16 25	
3 - 3 1 393 383 2 9 0 1 678 686 4 10 3 1 116 109 3 - 9 7 1 134 1	126 3
-1-11 2 42 13 41	
4 -3 1 751 725 4 10 0 1 31 19 15 11 3 1 97 88 5 -8 7 1 43 52	8 0-
11 2 53 34 19	
6 -3 1 676 682 4 11 0 1 14 13 13 12 3 1 101 81 11 -7 7 1 347 3	343 2
1-11 2 100 94 20	
7 -3 1 353 357 2 12 0 1 136 150 5 13 3 1 161 159 5 -6 7 1 57	45 5
2-11 2 60 43 21	
8 - 3 1 248 257 4 13 0 1 335 317 5 14 3 1 31 40 31 - 5 7 1 299 2	298 2
3-11 2 74 59 9	
9 -3 1 425 419 3 14 0 1 260 263 6 -14 4 1 54 48 16 -4 7 1 193 1	88 2
-7-10 2 110 105 12	
10 -3 1 129 117 10 -14 1 1 122 107 7 -13 4 1 113 114 13 -3 7 1 15	6 153
1 -6-10 2 105 108 12	0 100
11 -3 1 110 109 5 -13 1 1 208 212 5 -12 4 1 119 121 5 -2 7 1 136	127 1
-5-10 2 127 139 9	12/ 1
12 -3 1 86 85 7 -12 1 1 274 276 3 -11 4 1 147 153 4 -1 7 1 175	186 3
-4-10 2 80 85 8	100 5
13 -3 1 158 156 6 -11 1 1 303 306 3 -10 4 1 108 111 3 0 7 1 110	121 3
-3-10 2 75 80 8	121 3
14-3 1 56 47 19 -10 1 1 168 177 3 -9 4 1 96 97 2 1 7 1 155 146	1 -
2-10 2 84 80 8	1 -

4 -1 1 324 330 2 7 2 1 229 222 2 9 5 1 151 151 6 4 9 1 46	34 8 9
-8 2 25 49 25	
5 -1 1 581 590 3 8 2 1 393 395 3 10 5 1 156 159 3 5 9 1 1	20 119 3
10 - 8 2 78 64 23	
6 -1 1 150 142 2 9 2 1 157 159 2 11 5 1 114 122 10 6 9 1 62	61 6 -
9-7 2 145 161 9	
7 -1 1 194 181 2 10 2 1 393 389 3 12 5 1 78 92 6 7 9 1 0	26 1 -8
-7 2 138 141 10	
8 -1 1 216 219 2 11 2 1 122 134 3 13 5 1 124 120 9 8 9 1 59	44 9 -
7 -7 2 239 232 4	
9-1 1 280 281 5 12 2 1 137 133 4 -12 6 1 114 108 5 9 9 1	62 76 10
-6-7 2 166 167 3	
10 -1 1 157 163 3 13 2 1 151 158 9 -11 6 1 134 131 4 -7 10 1	76 75 6
-5 -7 2 370 370 2	
11 -1 1 170 169 7 14 2 1 237 229 9 -10 6 1 223 212 3 -6 10 1	80 79 5
-4 -7 2 224 223 2	
12 -1 1 192 189 5 -14 3 1 33 50 33 -9 6 1 153 157 2 -5 10 1 1	28 138 7
-3 -7 2 232 236 1	
13 -1 1 226 214 4 -13 3 1 141 131 7 -8 6 1 127 123 8 -4 10 1 1	21 119 6
-2 -7 2 132 138 1	
14 -1 1 50 53 21 -12 3 1 102 108 10 -7 6 1 250 256 5 -3 10 1	0 45 1
-1 -7 2 185 189 1	
-15 0 1 248 247 6 -11 3 1 127 111 4 -6 6 1 263 252 2 -2 10 1	46 50 14
0 -7 2 301 297 2	
-14 0 1 82 92 8 -10 3 1 107 100 3 -5 6 1 183 183 4 -1 10 1	18 15 18
1 -7 2 415 407 2	
-13 0 1 54 43 11 -9 3 1 501 505 3 -4 6 1 584 578 3 0 10 1	0 15 1
2 -7 2 442 438 3	
-12 0 1 232 234 4 -8 3 1 185 188 1 -3 6 1 344 347 2 1 10 1	81 100 8
3 -7 2 103 101 2	
-11 0 1 123 128 3 -7 3 1 445 449 2 -2 6 1 363 361 2 2 10 1 12	25 121 11
4 -7 2 230 228 1	
-10 0 1 129 128 3 -6 3 1 218 217 1 -1 6 1 85 77 1 3 10 1 1	15 128 6
5 -7 2 161 168 5	
-9 0 1 552 577 5 -5 3 1 549 535 2 0 6 1 134 140 2 4 10 1	59 60 16
6-7 2 95 100 9	
-8 0 1 592 595 3 -4 3 1 193 183 1 1 6 1 228 235 2 5 10 1	79 76 29
7 -7 2 155 149 4	
-7 0 1 221 241 1 -3 3 1 786 796 6 2 6 1 434 426 3 6 10 1	62 60 11
8 -7 2 118 113 5	
-6 0 1 148 139 1 -2 3 1 295 313 7 3 6 1 351 357 2 7 10 1	70 76 7
9-7 2 113 118 5	
-5 0 1 108 122 3 -1 3 1 998 996 5 4 6 1 453 451 2 -4 11 1	0 28 1
10 -7 2 146 148 10	
11 2 5 143 141 5 -10 6 5 97 101 4 -3 10 5 40 32 20 3 -6 6 66 69 2	
--	
12 - 3 6 181 175 4	
12 2 5 115 106 6 -9 6 5 222 216 2 -2 10 5 100 102 7 4 -6 6 184 179 1	
13 - 3 6 86 90 8	
13 2 5 152 144 8 -8 6 5 174 170 2 -1 10 5 64 80 13 5 -6 6 261 268 1	
-14 -2 6 47 32 16	
-14 3 5 67 64 12 -7 6 5 324 322 3 0 10 5 138 141 3 6 -6 6 99 98 2 -	
13 - 2 6 105 98 7	
-13 3 5 92 103 6 -6 6 5 267 275 2 1 10 5 53 61 6 7 -6 6 134 130 6 -	
-12 3 5 125 132 4 -5 6 5 68 67 2 210 5 33 32 13 8-6 6 182 176 4 -	
11 - 2 0 242 240 4	
-11 3 5 149 150 5 -4 6 5 28/ 283 2 3 10 5 56 60 / 9-6 6 0 40 1 -	
10 - 2 0 500 512 8	
-10 5 5 2/7 207 5 -5 0 5 217 217 1 4 10 5 04 01 27 10 -0 0 89 80 27 0 2 6 260 266 4	
$-9 - 2 \ 0 \ 309 \ 500 \ 4$ 0 3 5 230 251 7 2 6 5 206 205 1 5 10 5 104 111 22 11 6 6 07 102 0	
-9 5 5 259 251 7 -2 6 5 266 265 1 5 16 5 164 111 22 11 -6 6 97 162 9	
-8 -2 -6 -100 -102 -4 -8 3 5 152 146 5 -1 6 5 426 426 3 6 10 5 0 38 1 -13 -5 6 116 107 10	
-7 -2 6 213 215 2	
-7 3 5 60 70 3 0 6 5 355 364 2 -3 11 5 58 68 15 -12 -5 6 209 202 5 -	
6-2 6 699 680 4	
-6 3 5 610 615 3 1 6 5 263 266 2 -2 11 5 60 57 12 -11 -5 6 105 109 6	
-5 -2 6 507 509 5	
-5 3 5 267 268 7 2 6 5 265 265 2 -1 11 5 0 53 1 -10 -5 6 132 149 4 -	
4-2 6 361 359 5	
-4 3 5 701 693 6 3 6 5 264 269 1 0 11 5 52 30 42 -9 -5 6 88 79 5 -	
3 - 2 6 783 782 7	
-3 3 5 349 359 1 4 6 5 66 65 4 1 11 5 98 102 16 -8 -5 6 247 247 3 -	
2 - 2 6 289 296 5	
$-2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 522 \ 520 \ 2 \ 5 \ 6 \ 5 \ 335 \ 321 \ 2 \ 2 \ 11 \ 5 \ 52 \ 64 \ 21 \ -6 \ -5 \ 6 \ 205 \ 209 \ 2$	
-1 -2 6 1047 1038 14	
-1 3 5 190 198 1 6 6 5 103 105 4 -3-11 6 45 36 44 -5 -5 6 349 345 3	
0-2 6 562 575 3	
0 3 5 633 631 3 7 6 5 184 181 4 -2-11 6 85 75 14 -4 -5 6 389 383 4	
1 -2 6 738 755 5	
1 3 5 307 300 2 8 6 5 221 228 3 -1-11 6 58 55 19 -3 -5 6 119 124 1	
2 - 2 6 401 418 2	
2 3 5 956 947 6 9 6 5 114 104 7 0-11 6 109 100 19 -2 -5 6 146 146 1	
3 - 2 6 643 635 3	
3 3 5 578 576 3 10 6 5 47 43 10 1-11 6 0 4 1 -1 -5 6 482 462 2 4	
-2 6 448 441 2	
4 3 5 147 142 2 11 6 5 139 144 4 -4-10 6 167 181 9 0 -5 6 168 163 5	
5 -2 6 310 301 2	

-1 -1 6 293 303 1 1	2 6 719 739 5	6 5 6 130	134 2	4 9 6 132	142 6
0-671631672					
0-1 6 699 729 4 2 2	2 6 400 419 2 7	5 6 277 26	7 2 5	9 6 108 103	4 1
-6 7 46 32 15					
1 -1 6 1231 1247 6 3	3 2 6 657 652 3	8 5 6 105	107 3	6 9 6 127	134 4
2 -6 7 213 212 1					
2 -1 6 125 123 1 4	2 6 474 468 2 9	5 6 34 34	15 7	9 6 59 59	25 3
-6 7 150 153 2					
3 -1 6 437 440 2 5	2 6 305 298 2 1	0 5 6 200	197 3	-7 10 6 15	35 15
4-6 / 259 259 2				<pre></pre>	
4 -1 6 391 389 6 6	2 6 28 31 23 1	1 5 6 149	145 5	-6 10 6 51	16 19
5-6 / 440 426 3		5 6 01 05	- ( - 1	0 ( (0 (5	20 (
5-1 6 38/ 388 2 / 1	2 6 221 223 6 12	5 6 91 83	o 6 -5 l	0 6 68 65	30 6
-6 / 1/1 1/9 4		0 ( ( 105	101 6	4 10 6 100	101 5
6-1 6 /42 /25 4 8	2 6 296 297 7 -1.	2 6 6 125	121 5 -	-4 10 6 189	181 5
7-6 7 143 134 4	0 ( 045 055 0 1	1 ( ( 150	146 0	2 10 6 102	00 7
/-1 6 392 390 3 9	2 6 245 255 3 -1	1 6 6 159	146 3	-3 10 6 102	92 /
8-6 7 89 88 5	• • • • • • • • •	0 6 6 100	150 5	<b>a</b> 10 c <b>c a</b>	10
8 - 1 6 145 147 5 10	2 6 189 191 4 -1	0 6 6 182	179 5	-2 10 6 50	57 12
9-6 7 71 91 6			• • • •		
9-1 6 134 122 6 11	2 6 123 124 5 -	966209	200 6	-1 10 6 39	47 10
10-6 7 72 82 12					
	2 2 6 113 112 10	-8 6 6 186	185 2	0 10 6 52	70 7
11 -6 / 13/ 130 8	2 - 2 - 6 - 107 - 117 - 6	7 6 6 215	216 2	1 10 6 126	142 4
11 -1 0 195 208 4 1.	5 2 6 10/ 11/ 6	-/ 0 0 313	310 2	1 10 0 130	142 4
-13 - 3 / 08 85 1/ 12 1 6 168 171 5 1/	1 2 6 127 128 7	66662	66 2 2	10 6 102 0	)6 1
12 - 1 0 108 171 5 - 12	+ 5 0 15/ 120 / -	000003	00 2 2	10 0 102 5	- + 0
13 -1 6 93 102 11 -1	3 3 6 207 217 4	-5 6 6 254	248 1	3 10 6 75	66 6
-11 -5 7 74 84 22	5 5 6 207 217 1	0 0 201	210 1	5 10 0 75	00 0
-15 0 6 0 34 1 -12	3 6 157 150 4 -4	6 6 228 2	25 1 4	10 6 69 7	758-
10-57 62 69 9			-		
-14 0 6 46 6 23 -11	3 6 155 165 7	-3 6 6 172	168 2	5 10 6 78	79 21
-9 -5 7 122 131 4					
-13 0 6 78 77 10 -1	0 3 6 169 165 2	-2 6 6 137	130 1	-3 11 6 29	47 28
-8 -5 7 165 169 5					
-12 0 6 159 166 8 -	9 3 6 136 142 2	-1 6 6 227	231 2	-2 11 6 76	73 14
-7 -5 7 51 50 7					
-11 0 6 276 282 4 -	8 3 6 237 233 2	0 6 6 150	149 5	-1 11 6 32	62 32
-6 -5 7 263 273 2					
-10 0 6 188 185 5 -	7 3 6 298 302 4	1 6 6 182	188 2	0 11 6 101	95 16
-5 -5 7 541 525 7					
-9 0 6 384 411 4 -6	3 6 255 256 1	2 6 6 503	497 3	1 11 6 30	16 29
-4 -5 7 366 368 6					

-4 -2 8 376 372 2	-3 1 8 304 319 2 2 4 8 27 14 7 -3 8 8 36 31 36 -
9-6 9 180 176 4	
-3 -2 8 416 416 2	-2 1 8 1026 998 5 3 4 8 13 6 13 -2 8 8 55 60 10 -
8-6 9 148 149 /	
-2 -2 8 268 262 2	-1 1 8 209 216 2 4 4 8 158 150 2 -1 8 8 328 325 10
$-7 - 0 \ 9 \ 147 \ 146 \ 3$	
-1 -2 8 397 396 3	0 1 8 4/2 45/ 2 5 4 8 245 240 2 0 8 8 2/1 200 2
0 0 9 161 176 9	1 1 9 212 222 2 6 4 9 126 120 2 1 9 9 172 171 2
5_6 9 178 178 2	1 1 8 512 525 2 0 4 8 120 150 2 1 8 8 1/2 1/1 5 -
3 - 0 - 9 - 1 - 0 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 2 - 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	
1-2 8 82/ 83/ 4	2 1 8 422 429 4 7 4 8 222 218 3 2 8 8 192 189 3 -
4-0 9 00 30 3	
	5 1 8 391 398 5 8 4 8 24 20 25 3 8 8 // 62 5 -3
-6 9 466 463 10	
3 - 2 8 239 247 2	4 1 8 687 675 3 9 4 8 0 23 1 4 8 8 54 72 9 -2 -
6 9 299 296 4	
4 - 2 8 154 153 2	5 1 8 258 268 2 10 4 8 0 7 1 5 8 8 91 97 5 -1-
6 9 63 62 6	
5 -2 8 178 180 2	6 1 8 194 198 2 11 4 8 120 112 4 6 8 8 110 117 3
0-6 9 153 149 1	
6-2 8 280 282 2	7 1 8 166 164 3 12 4 8 100 94 6 7 8 8 99 103 5 1
-6 9 113 114 1	
7 - 2 8 273 273 4	$8\ 1\ 8\ 4\ 23\ 3\ -13\ 5\ 8\ 100\ 99\ 6\ 8\ 8\ 8\ 106\ 106\ 3\ 2$
-6 9 245 243 2	
8 - 2 8 261 260 2	9 1 8 153 156 7 -12 5 8 99 92 8 -8 9 8 46 44 12 3
-6 9 57 59 3	
9 - 2 8 198 206 3	$10 \ 1 \ 8 \ 97 \ 110 \ 7 \ -11 \ 5 \ 8 \ 68 \ 64 \ 7 \ -7 \ 9 \ 8 \ 0 \ 22 \ 1 \ 4$
-6 9 291 291 2	
10 - 2 8 104 106 7	11 1 8 178 179 7 -10 5 8 150 150 3 -6 9 8 63 65 5
5-693863783	
11 - 2 8 102 99 5	12 1 8 96 110 7 -9 5 8 231 233 2 -5 9 8 109 105 5
6-691801846	
12 - 2 8 77 79 11	13 1 8 65 72 13 -8 5 8 235 229 2 -4 9 8 215 214 4
7-690271	
-15 -1 8 48 72 27	-14 2 8 70 87 13 -7 5 8 348 341 2 -3 9 8 121 104 13
8-6984847	
-14 -1 8 54 36 14	-13 2 8 155 164 15 -6 5 8 65 56 3 -2 9 8 190 190 7
9-6 9 57 77 23	
-13 -1 8 103 88 7	-12 2 8 213 216 15 -5 5 8 335 333 2 -1 9 8 0 22 1
10-6 9 109 102 9	
-12 -1 8 150 132 5	-11 2 8 208 224 4 -4 5 8 209 211 1 0 9 8 28 37 28
-13 -5 9 83 65 14	
-11 -1 8 88 95 7	-10 2 8 169 164 3 -3 5 8 135 133 1 1 9 8 46 36 9 -
12 - 5 9 79 65 30	
4 6 15 145 148 3 0 -5 16 134 134 3 -1 -1 16 237 230 4 -6 3 16 159 149 3	
---	
5 7 16 54 60 10	
5 6 15 136 149 5 1 -5 16 99 101 5 0 -1 16 106 121 4 -5 3 16 31 23 16	
-7 8 16 122 130 7	
$6\ 6\ 15\ 119\ 136\ 6\ 2\ -5\ 16\ 37\ 25\ 11\ \ 1\ -1\ 16\ 202\ 195\ \ 3\ \ -4\ \ 3\ 16\ 126\ 119\ \ 5$	
-6 8 16 47 30 12	
7 6 15 46 52 20 3 -5 16 92 95 11 2 -1 16 44 32 8 -3 3 16 240 231 3 -	
5 8 16 33 28 33	
-9 7 15 79 72 5 4 -5 16 0 12 1 3 -1 16 157 158 3 -2 3 16 161 170 3 -	
4 8 16 83 82 4	
-8 7 15 57 33 8 5 -5 16 134 113 12 4 -1 16 158 163 3 -1 3 16 142 136 3	
-3 8 16 70 69 5	
-/ / 15 4/ 40 9 6-5 16 /8 82 9 5-1 16 213 212 4 0 3 16 162 163 2	
-2 8 10 U 14 1 1 8 16 25 22 11 2 2 17 62 64 7 5 1 17 261 256 2 2 5 17 25 25 24	
-1 8 10 55 55 11 -5 -5 1/ 02 04 / -5 1 1/ 201 250 5 -5 5 1/ 25 25 24 2 5 18 60 40 12	
2-5 18 00 40 15 0 8 16 08 108 4 - 5 2 17 58 66 8 - 4 1 17 561 550 2 - 5 17 74 78 4 - 2	
0 8 10 98 108 4 -2 -5 17 38 00 8 -4 1 17 201 259 5 -2 5 17 74 78 4 5	
-5 16 122 124 14 1 8 16 70 75 12 1 2 17 122 128 2 2 1 17 84 82 4 1 5 17 152 158 2	
1       8       10       70       75       15       -1       -1       5       17       152       158       2         4       5       18       01       102       10	
2 8 16 111 106 8 0 3 17 136 141 3 3 11 17 171 169 3 0 5 17 54 62 7	
5 -5 18 93 83 11	
3 8 16 18 52 17 1 -3 17 138 137 5 -1 1 17 230 223 6 1 5 17 168 174 3	
6-518 29 39 28	
-3 9 16 124 118 6 2 -3 17 189 194 4 0 1 17 303 290 4 2 5 17 63 68 8	
-11 -4 18 83 73 17	
-2 9 16 66 65 9 3 -3 17 53 52 9 1 1 17 124 112 2 3 5 17 73 68 7 -	
10 - 4 18 0 8 1	
-1 9 16 69 60 10 4 -3 17 58 61 8 2 1 17 161 157 2 4 5 17 50 64 13	
-9 -4 18 53 61 11	
-2 -8 17 67 78 29 5 -3 17 70 83 7 3 1 17 18 45 17 5 5 17 79 88 9 -	
8 - 4 18 115 118 6	
$-1 \ -8 \ 17 \ \ 64 \ \ 40 \ \ 21 \ \ \ 6 \ -3 \ \ 17 \ \ 136 \ \ 129 \ \ 5 \ \ \ 4 \ \ 1 \ \ 17 \ \ 59 \ \ 69 \ \ 7 \ \ \ 6 \ \ 5 \ \ 17 \ \ 96 \ \ 91 \ \ 8 \ \ -$	
7 -4 18 107 116 7	
$0\ -8\ 17\ 74\ 82\ 18\ 7\ -3\ 17\ 83\ 78\ 7\ 5\ 1\ 17\ 108\ 106\ 5\ 7\ 5\ 17\ 61\ 58\ 19\ -$	
6 -4 18 135 130 5	
1 - 8 17 53 49 29 8 - 3 17 57 60 47 6 1 17 39 22 18 - 10 6 17 88 92 7 -	
5 - 4 18 103 97 9	
2 - 8 17 52 58 17 - 13 - 2 17 15 31 15 7 1 17 76 79 6 - 9 6 17 72 85 7 -	
4 - 4 18 72 73 7	
-4 -7 17 47 38 17 -12 -2 17 69 29 13 8 1 17 20 27 20 -8 6 17 141 140 6	
-3 -4 18 66 68 7	
-3 -7 17 84 84 11 -11 -2 17 74 74 9 9 1 17 39 31 29 -7 6 17 53 38 6	
-2 -4 18 39 57 39	

-2 3 22 68 71 11 3 -2 23 0 12 1 -1 4 23 80 76 10 -5 2 24 50 24 14 -4 -1 26 72 83 15 -1 3 22 9 47 9 -9 -1 23 91 93 8 0 4 23 76 76 9 -4 2 24 79 80 11 -3 -1 26 60 65 25 0 3 22 104 95 5 -8 -1 23 24 16 24 1 4 23 0 20 1 -3 2 24 75 68 22 -4 0 26 25 24 25 1 3 22 53 58 52 -7 -1 23 10 34 9 2 4 23 63 25 13 -2 2 24 32 15 31 -3 0 26 14 27 14 2 3 22 62 37 28 -6 -1 23 57 41 29 -5 5 23 44 18 43 -1 2 24 20 39 20 -2 0 26 48 34 20 3 3 22 85 67 8 -5 -1 23 32 46 32 -4 5 23 85 39 9 0 2 24 49 46 20 -4 1 26 104 90 9 4 3 22 29 36 29 -4 -1 23 78 59 10 -3 5 23 83 69 10 1 2 24 102 101 12 -3 1 26 75 59 22 -8 4 22 46 51 16 -3 -1 23 120 115 11 -2 5 23 57 28 15 2 2 24 92 78 14 -7 4 22 67 53 14 -2 -1 23 68 64 8 -1 5 23 25 47 24 -7 3 24 34 44 33 -6 4 22 19 19 19 -1 -1 23 69 38 12 0 5 23 0 34 1 -6 3 24 59 54 11 0 -1 23 66 68 9 -5 -4 24 46 58 37 -5 3 24 34 5 33 -5 4 22 35 40 35

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Overman, L. E. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1974, 96, 597-599.
- 2. Overman, L. E.; Carpenter, N. E., The Allylic Trihaloacetimidate Rearrangement. In *Organic Reactions*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 2004.
- (a) Schmidt, R. R.; Michel, J. Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English 1980, 19, 731-732; (b) Schmidt, R. R.; Michel, J. Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry 1985, 4, 141-169; (c) Schmidt, R. R. Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English 1986, 25, 212-235; (d) Schmidt, R. R.; Kinzy, W., Anomeric-Oxygen Activation for Glycoside Synthesis: The Trichloroacetimidate Method. In Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry, Derek, H., Ed. Academic Press: 1994; Vol. Volume 50, pp 21-123; (e) Schmidt, R. R.; Jung, K.-H.; Ernst, B.; Hart, G. W.; Sinaý, P., Trichloroacetimidates. In Carbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH: 2008; pp 5-59.
- 4. *The Chemistry of Amidines and Imidates*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: New York, **1975**.
- 5. Roger, R.; Neilson, D. G. Chemical Reviews 1961, 61, 179-211.
- 6. Pinner, A., *Die Imidoather und ihre Derivative*. Oppenheim: Berlin, **1892**.
- 7. Cramer, F.; Pawelzik, K.; Baldauf, H. *Chemische Berichte* **1958**, *91*, 1049-54.
- 8. Schaefer, F. C.; Peters, G. A. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1961, 26, 412-418.
- (a) Ho Kang, S.; Bae Lee, S. Chemical Communications 1998, 761-762; (b) Takahashi, T.; Tsukamoto, H.; Kurosaki, M.; Yamada, H. Synlett 1997, 1997, 1065-1066; (c) Ideguchi, T.; Yamada, T.; Shirahata, T.; Hirose, T.; Sugawara, A.; Kobayashi, Y.; Omura, S.; Sunazuka, T. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2013, 135, 12568-12571.
- 10. Overman, L. E. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1976, 98, 2901-10.
- (a) Beier, B.; Schurrle, K.; Werbitzky, O.; Piepersberg, W. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1 1990, 2255-2262; (b) Kakinuma, K.; Koudate, T.; Li, H.-Y.; Eguchi, T. Tetrahedron Letters 1991, 32, 5801-5804; (c) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Rudolph, M.; Schymura, S.; Visus, J.; Frey, W. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2006, 4905-4909.
- 12. Arnold, J. S.; Stone, R. F.; Nguyen, H. M. Organic Letters 2010, 12, 4580-4583.
- 13. Arnold, J. S.; Cizio, G. T.; Nguyen, H. M. Organic Letters 2011, 13, 5576-5579.
- 14. Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Watson, D. G.; Brammer, L.; Orpen, A. G.; Taylor, R. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* **1987**, S1-S19.
- (a) Iversen, T.; Bundle, D. R. Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications 1981, 1240-1241; (b) Wessel, H.-P.; Iversen, T.; Bundle, D. R. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1 1985, 2247-2250; (c)

Eckenberg, P.; Groth, U.; Huhn, T.; Richter, N.; Schmeck, C. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 1619-1624.

- 16. Choi, Y. W.; Reckhow, D. A. Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society 2004, 25, 900-906.
- 17. Overman, L. E. Accounts of Chemical Research 1980, 13, 218-224.
- 18. Schulenberg, J. W.; Archer, S., The Chapman Rearrangement. In *Organic Reactions*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 2004.
- 19. Beak, P. Accounts of Chemical Research 1977, 10, 186-192.
- 20. Beak, P.; Lee, J.-K.; Zeigler, J. M. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1978**, *43*, 1536-1538.
- 21. McDougal, P. G.; Iadonisi, A., Trichloroacetonitrile. In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2001.
- 22. Cannon, J. S.; Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E.; Sneddon, H. F. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 15192-15203.
- 23. Granik, V. G.; Pyatin, B. M.; Persianova, J. V.; Peresleni, E. M.; Kostyuchenko, N. P.; Glushkov, R. G.; Sheinker, Y. N. *Tetrahedron* **1970**, *26*, 4367-4373.
- 24. Liler, M. Journal of the Chemical Society B: Physical Organic 1969, 385-389.
- 25. Mumm, O.; Moller, F. Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft B 1937, 70B, 2214-27.
- 26. Cramer, F.; Baldauf, H. Chemische Berichte 1959, 92, 370-8.
- 27. Schenck, T. G.; Bosnich, B. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1985, 107, 2058-2066.
- 28. Metz, P.; Mues, C.; Schoop, A. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 1071-1080.
- 29. Overman, L. E. Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English 1984, 23, 579-586.
- 30. Hollis, T. K.; Overman, L. E. Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 1999, 576, 290-299.
- 31. Uozumi, Y.; Kato, K.; Hayashi, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1065-1072.
- 32. Calter, M.; Hollis, T. K.; Overman, L. E.; Ziller, J.; Zipp, G. G. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1997**, *62*, 1449-1456.
- 33. Hollis, T. K.; Overman, L. E. Tetrahedron Letters 1997, 38, 8837-8840.
- 34. Cohen, F.; Overman, L. E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 3213-3222.
- 35. Donde, Y.; Overman, L. E. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1999, 121, 2933-2934.

- 36. Anderson, C. E.; Donde, Y.; Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2004**, *70*, 648-657.
- 37. Anderson, C. E.; Overman, L. E. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2003, 125, 12412-12413.
- 38. Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E.; Watson, M. P. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2004**, *69*, 8101-8104.
- 39. Watson, M. P.; Overman, L. E.; Bergman, R. G. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 5031-5044.
- (a) Haakansson, A. E.; Palmelund, A.; Holm, H.; Madsen, R. Chemistry A European Journal 2006, 12, 3243-3253; (b) Bojstrup, M.; Fanefjord, M.; Lundt, I. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2007, 5, 3164-3171; (c) Xu, C.; Wang, L.; Hao, X.; Wang, D. Z. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2012, 77, 6307-6313; (d) Collett, N. D.; Carter, R. G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4144-4147; (e) Singh, O. V.; Han, H. Organic Letters 2004, 6, 3067-3070; (f) Chida, N.; Takeoka, J.; Tsutsumi, N.; Ogawa, S. Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications 1995, 793-794; (g) Danishefsky, S.; Lee, J. Y. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1989, 111, 4829-4837; (h) Kim, S.; Lee, T.; Lee, E.; Lee, J.; Fan, G.-j.; Lee, S. K.; Kim, D. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2004, 69, 3144-3149; (i) Jiang, X.-Y.; Chu, L.; Wang, R.-W.; Qing, F.-L. Tetrahedron Letters 2012, 53, 6853-6857.
- 41. Mercer, G. J.; Yang, J.; McKay, M. J.; Nguyen, H. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2008, 130, 11210-11218.
- 42. Takano, S.; Akiyama, M.; Ogasawara, K. Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications 1984, 770-771.
- 43. Mehmandoust, M.; Petit, Y.; Larchevêque, M. Tetrahedron Letters 1992, 33, 4313-4316.
- 44. Chen, Y. K.; Lurain, A. E.; Walsh, P. J. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2002, 124, 12225-12231.
- 45. Momose, T.; Hama, N.; Higashino, C.; Sato, H.; Chida, N. *Tetrahedron Letters* **2008**, *49*, 1376-1379.
- 46. Hama, N.; Matsuda, T.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Organic Letters 2009, 11, 2687-2690.
- 47. Hama, N.; Aoki, T.; Miwa, S.; Yamazaki, M.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. *Organic Letters* **2011**, *13*, 616-619.
- 48. McGonagle, F. I.; Brown, L.; Cooke, A.; Sutherland, A. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2010, 8, 3418-3425.
- 49. Ahmad, S.; Sutherland, A. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2012, 10, 8251-8259.
- 50. Jamieson, A. G.; Sutherland, A. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2005, 3, 735-736; Jaunzeme, I.; Jirgensons, A. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 5794-5799.
- 51. Zaed, A. M.; Sutherland, A. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2010, 8, 4394-4399.

- 52. Zaed, A. M.; Sutherland, A. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2011, 9, 8030-8037.
- 53. Calder, E. D. D.; Zaed, A. M.; Sutherland, A. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2013**, *78*, 7223-7233.
- 54. Overman, L. E.; Clizbe, L. A. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1976, 98, 2352-2354.
- 55. Overman, L. E.; Clizbe, L. A.; Freerks, R. L.; Marlowe, C. K. Journal of the American Chemical Society **1981**, *103*, 2807-2815.
- 56. Overman, L. E.; Jessup, P. J. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1978, 100, 5179-5185.
- 57. Overman, L. E.; Fukaya, C. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1980, 102, 1454-1456.
- 58. Kobbelgaard, S.; Brandes, S.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Chemistry A European Journal* **2008**, *14*, 1464-1471.
- 59. Çelebi-Ol um, N.; Aviyente, V.; Houk, K. N. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2009**, 74, 6944-6952.
- (a) Schmidt, U.; Respondek, M.; Lieberknecht, A.; Werner, J.; Fisher, P., Synthesis, 1989; pp 256-261; (b) Bernet, B.; Vasella, A. Tetrahedron Letters 1983, 24, 5491-5494; (c) Hatakeyama, S.; Matsumoto, H.; Fukuyama, H.; Mukugi, Y.; Irie, H. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1997, 62, 2275-2279; (d) Liu, D.-G.; Wang, B.; Lin, G.-Q. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2000, 65, 9114-9119; (e) Hart, T. W.; Vacher, B. Tetrahedron Letters 1992, 33, 3009-3012.
- 61. (a) Pauls, H. W.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, 1532-1538; (b) Alonso, R. A.; Burgey, C. S.; Rao, B. V.; Vite, G. D.; Vollerthun, R.; Zottola, M. A.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1993**, *115*, 6666-6672.
- 62. Kang, S. H.; Jun, H.-S. Chemical Communications (Cambridge) 1998, 1929-1930.
- 63. Kang, S. H.; Kim, C. M.; Youn, J.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3581-3582.
- 64. (a) Brown, J. R.; Nishimura, Y.; Esko, J. D. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 2006, 16, 532-536; (b) Matsushima, Y.; Kino, J. Tetrahedron Letters 2006, 47, 8777-8780; (c) Matsushima, Y.; Kino, J. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2009, 1619-1624; (d) Matsushima, Y.; Kino, J. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2010, 2206-2211.
- (a) Nishimura, Y.; Satoh, T.; Adachi, H.; Kondo, S.; Takeuchi, T.; Azetaka, M.; Fukuyasu, H.; Iizuka, Y. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1996, *118*, 3051-3052; (b) Nishimura, Y.; Satoh, T.; Adachi, H.; Kondo, S.; Takeuchi, T.; Azetaka, M.; Fukuyasu, H.; Iizuka, Y. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 1997, *40*, 2626-2633.
- 66. Maleckis, A.; Jaunzeme, I.; Jirgensons, A. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2009**, 6407-6412.

- 67. Abdel-Rahman, Adel A. H.; Winterfeld, Gottfried A.; Takhi, M.; Schmidt, Richard R. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2002**, *2002*, 713-717.
- (a) Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2005, 70, 2859-2861; (b) Overman, L. E.; Remarchuk, T. P. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2001, *124*, 12-13.
- 69. Cannon, J. S.; Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Chiral Allylic Esters *NCBI NIH Public Access* [Online], **2005**.
- 70. Cannon, J. S.; Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2010**, *132*, 15185-15191.
- 71. Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2005, 127, 2866-2867.
- 72. Haug, T. T.; Kirsch, S. F. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2010, 8, 991-993.
- 73. Jiao, P.; Swenson, D. C.; Gloer, J. B.; Wicklow, D. T. *Journal of Natural Products* **2006**, *69*, 636-639.
- 74. Binder, J. T.; Kirsch, S. F. Chemical Communications (Cambridge, U. K.) 2007, 4164-4166.
- 75. Menz, H.; Kirsch, S. F. Organic Letters 2009, 11, 5634-5637.
- 76. Kirsch, S. F.; Klahn, P.; Menz, H. Synthesis **2011**, 2011, 3592-3603.
- 77. Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E.; White, N. S. Organic Letters 2007, 9, 911-913.
- 78. Lopez, F.; Ohmura, T.; Hartwig, J. F. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2003**, *125*, 3426-3427.
- 79. Shu, C.; Hartwig, J. F. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2004, 43, 4794-4797.
- 80. Olson, A. C.; Overman, L. E.; Sneddon, H. F.; Ziller, J. W. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2009, 351, 3186-3192.
- Cannon, J. S.; Olson, A. C.; Overman, L. E.; Solomon, N. S. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2012, 77, 1961-1973.
- 82. Topczewski, J. J.; Tewson, T. J.; Nguyen, H. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society **2011**, 133, 19318-19321.
- 83. Park, N. H.; Nguyen, H. M. Organic Letters 2009, 11, 2433-2436.
- 84. (a) Schuff, B. P.; Mercer, G. J.; Nguyen, H. M. Organic Letters 2007, 9, 3173-3176;
  (b) Yang, J.; Cooper-Vanosdell, C.; Mensah, E. A.; Nguyen, H. M. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2008, 73, 794-800; (c) Mensah, E. A.; Azzarelli, J. M.; Nguyen, H. M. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 2009, 74, 1650-1657; (d) Mensah, E. A.; Yu, F.; Nguyen, H. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 14288-14302.

- 85. Bartels, B.; García-Yebra, C.; Rominger, F.; Helmchen, G. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry 2002, 2002, 2569-2586.
- 86. Bartels, B.; García-Yebra, C.; Helmchen, G. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2003**, *2003*, 1097-1103.
- 87. Trost, B. M.; Fandrick, D. R., Aldrichimica Acta, 2007; Vol. 40, pp 59-72.
- 88. Evans, P. A.; Leahy, D. K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2000**, *122*, 5012-5013.
- 89. Chiral Amine Synthesis. Wiley-VCH: New York, NY, 2008.
- Johannsen, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chemical Reviews 1998, 98, 1689-1708; Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Chemical Reviews 2003, 103, 2921-2944.
- 91. Trost, B. M.; Zhang, T.; Sieber, J. D. Chemical Science 2010, 1, 427-440.
- 92. Watson, I. D. G.; Yudin, A. K. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2005, 127, 17516-17529.
- 93. Dubovyk, I.; Watson, I. D. G.; Yudin, A. K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2007**, *129*, 14172-14173.
- 94. Dubovyk, I.; Watson, I. D. G.; Yudin, A. K. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2013**, 78, 1559-1575.
- 95. Shu, C.; Leitner, A.; Hartwig, J. F. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2004, 43, 4797-4800.
- 96. Evans, P. A.; Robinson, J. E.; Nelson, J. D. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1999**, *121*, 6761-6762.
- 97. Evans, P. A.; Robinson, J. E.; Moffett, K. K. Organic Letters 2001, 3, 3269-3271.
- 98. Evans, P. A.; Robinson, J. E. Organic Letters 1999, 1, 1929-1931.
- 99. Evans, P. A.; Nelson, J. D. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1998**, *120*, 5581-5582.
- 100. Takeuchi, R.; Ue, N.; Tanabe, K.; Yamashita, K.; Shiga, N. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2001, 123, 9525-9534.
- 101. (a) Trost, B. M.; Verhoeven, T. R. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1980, 102, 4730-4743; (b) Trost, B. M.; Lautens, M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1987, 109, 1469-1478; (c) Takeuchi, R.; Kashio, M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1998, 120, 8647-8655; (d) Trost, B. M.; Fraisse, P. L.; Ball, Z. T. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2002, 41, 1059-1061.
- 102. Stanley, L. M.; Bai, C.; Ueda, M.; Hartwig, J. F. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 8918-8920.
- 103. Arnold, J. S.; Nguyen, H. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2012, 134, 8380-8383.

104. Arnold, J. S.; Nguyen, H. M. Synthesis-Stuttgart 2013, 45, 2101-2108.

- 105. (a) Cogan, D. A.; Ellman, J. A. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1999, 121, 268-269; (b) Riant, O.; Hannedouche, J. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2007, 5, 873-888.
- 106. (a) Robak, M. T.; Herbage, M. A.; Ellman, J. A. Chemical Reviews 2010, 110, 3600-3740; (b) Spero, D. M.; Kapadia, S. R. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1997, 62, 5537-5541.
- 107. Shintani, R.; Takeda, M.; Tsuji, T.; Hayashi, T. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 13168-13169.
- 108. Bloch, R. Chemical Reviews 1998, 98, 1407-1438.
- 109. (a) Fischer, D. F.; Barakat, A.; Xin, Z.-q.; Weiss, M. E.; Peters, R. Chemistry A European Journal 2009, 15, 8722-8741; (b) Fischer, D. F.; Xin, Z.-q.; Peters, R. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2007, 46, 7704-7707.
- 110. Iuliis, M. Z. D.; Watson, I. D. G.; Yudin, A. K.; Morris, R. H. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* **2009**, *87*, 54-62.
- 111. Plietker, B. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2006, 45, 6053-6056.
- 112. Yang, S.-C.; Hsu, Y.-C.; Gan, K.-H. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 3949-3958.
- 113. (a) Baran, P. S.; Guerrero, C. A.; Corey, E. J. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2003, 125, 5628-5629; (b) Sugiyama, H.; Shioiri, T.; Yokokawa, F. Tetrahedron Letters 2002, 43, 3489-3492; (c) Sugiyama, H.; Yokokawa, F.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Letters 2001, 42, 7277-7280; (d) Della Sala, G.; Capozzo, D.; Izzo, I.; Giordano, A.; Iommazzo, A.; Spinella, A. Tetrahedron Letters 2002, 43, 8839-8841; (e) Roe, J. M.; Webster, R. A. B.; Ganesan, A. Organic Letters 2003, 5, 2825-2827.
- 114. Luzung, M. R.; Lewis, C. A.; Baran, P. S. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2009, 48, 7025-7029.
- 115. (a) Castro, C. E.; Gaughan, E. J.; Owsley, D. C. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* 1966, 31, 4071-4078; (b) Kamijo, S.; Jin, T.; Yamamoto, Y. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2002, 41, 1780-1782; (c) Kamijo, S.; Yamamoto, Y. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2002, 124, 11940-11945; (d) Nair, R. N.; Lee, P. J.; Rheingold, A. L.; Grotjahn, D. B. *Chemistry A European Journal* 2010, 16, 7992-7995.
- 116. (a) Enders, D.; Gottfried, K.; Raabe, G. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2010, 352, 3147-3152; (b) Nugent, T. C.; El-Shazly, M. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2010, 352, 753-819.
- 117. Vachal, P.; Jacobsen, E. N. Organic Letters 2000, 2, 867-870.
- 118. (a) Shibasaki, M.; Kanai, M. Chemical Reviews 2008, 108, 2853-2873; (b) Wada, R.; Shibuguchi, T.; Makino, S.; Oisaki, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2006, 128, 7687-7691; (c) Lauzon, C.; Charette, A. B.
Organic Letters 2006, 8, 2743-2745; (d) Suto, Y.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 500-501.

- 119. (a) Dong, Y.; Teesdale-Spittle, P.; Hoberg, J. O. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2005, 46, 353-355; (b) Stanley, L. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2009, 131, 8971-8983.
- 120. Trost, B. M.; Machacek, M. R.; Aponick, A. Accounts of Chemical Research 2006, 39, 747-760.
- 121. Trost, B. M.; Machacek, M. R.; Tsui, H. C. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2005**, *127*, 7014-7024.
- 122. Vrieze, D. C.; Hoge, G. S.; Hoerter, P. Z.; Van Haitsma, J. T.; Samas, B. M. Organic Letters 2009, 11, 3140-3142.
- 123. You, S.-L.; Zhu, X.-Z.; Luo, Y.-M.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2001, 123, 7471-7472.
- 124. Lafrance, M.; Roggen, M.; Carreira, E. M. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* **2012**, *51*, 3470-3473.
- 125. Trost, B. M.; Bunt, R. C.; Lemoine, R. C.; Calkins, T. L. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2000, 122, 5968-5976.
- 126. Defieber, C.; Grützmacher, H.; Carreira, E. M. Angewandte Chemie International *Edition* **2008**, *47*, 4482-4502.
- 127. Wang, Z.-Q.; Feng, C.-G.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 5336-5337.
- 128. Okamoto, K.; Hayashi, T.; Rawal, V. H. Organic Letters 2008, 10, 4387-4389.
- 129. Fischer, C.; Defieber, C.; Suzuki, T.; Carreira, E. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2004, 126, 1628-1629.
- 130. Tokunaga, N.; Otomaru, Y.; Okamoto, K.; Ueyama, K.; Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2004**, *126*, 13584-13585.
- 131. Bodner, G. M.; Storhoff, B. N.; Doddrell, D.; Todd, L. J. Journal of the Chemical Society D: Chemical Communications 1970, 1530-1531.
- 132. Cramer, R. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1967, 89, 4621-4626.
- 133. Aakermark, B.; Blomberg, M. R. A.; Glaser, J.; Oehrstroem, L.; Wahlberg, S.; Waernmark, K.; Zetterberg, K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1994, *116*, 3405-3413.
- 134. Arnold, J. S.; Cizio, G. T.; Heitz, D. R.; Nguyen, H. M. Chemical Communications (Cambridge, U. K.) 2012, 48, 11531-11533.
- Nogle, L. M.; Okino, T.; Gerwick, W. H. Journal of Natural Products 2001, 64, 983-985.

- 136. (a) Aakermark, B.; Vitagliano, A. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1275-1283; (b) Helmchen, G.; Pfaltz, A. Accounts of Chemical Research 2000, 33, 336-345; (c) van Haaren, R. J.; Keeven, P. H.; van der Veen, L. A.; Goubitz, K.; van Strijdonck, G. P. F.; Oevering, H.; Reek, J. N. H.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Inorganica Chimica Acta 2002, 327, 108-115; (d) Hayashi, T.; Kawatsura, M.; Uozumi, Y. Chemical Communications 1997, 561-562.
- 137. (a) Drouin, C.; Woo, J. C. S.; MacKay, B.; Lavigne, R. M. A. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2004, 45, 7197-7199; (b) Woo, J. C. S.; MacKay, D. B. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2003, 44, 2881-2883; (c) Kang, S. H.; Kang, S. Y.; Lee, H. S.; Buglass, A. J. *Chemical Reviews* 2005, 105, 4537-4558; (d) Shinada, T.; Oe, K.; Ohfune, Y. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2012, 53, 3250-3253; (e) Oe, K.; Shinada, T.; Ohfune, Y. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2008, 49, 7426-7429; (f) Ohfune, Y.; Shinada, T. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan* 2003, 76, 1115-1129; (g) Namba, K.; Shinada, T.; Teramoto, T.; Ohfune, Y. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2000, 122, 10708-10709; (h) Tran, K.; Lombardi, P. J.; Leighton, J. L. *Organic Letters* 2008, 10, 3165-3167.
- 138. Hashimoto, T.; Maruoka, K. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 2008, 6, 829-835.
- 139. Willis, M. C. Chemical Reviews 2009, 110, 725-748.
- 140. Fu, G. C. Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions 2005, 79-91.
- 141. Sakai, K.; Ide, J.; Oda, O.; Nakamura, N. Tetrahedron Letters 1972, 13, 1287-1290.
- 142. (a) Leung, J. C.; Krische, M. J. Chemical Science 2012, 3, 2202-2209; (b) Stemmler, R. T.; Bolm, C. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis 2007, 349, 1185-1198; (c) Tsuji, J.; Ohno, K. Tetrahedron Letters 1965, 6, 3969-3971.
- 143. Jun, C. H.; Hong, J. B.; Lee, D. Y. Synlett 1999, 1-12.
- 144. Jun, C. H.; Jo, E. A.; Park, J. W. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2007, 1869-1881.
- 145. Lochow, C. F.; Miller, R. G. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1976, 98, 1281-1283.
- 146. Fairlie, D. P.; Bosnich, B. Organometallics 1988, 7, 936-945.
- 147. Osborne, J. D.; Randell-Sly, H. E.; Currie, G. S.; Cowley, A. R.; Willis, M. C. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2008, 130, 17232-17233.
- 148. Larock, R. C.; Oertle, K.; Potter, G. F. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1980, 102, 190-197.
- 149. Sato, Y.; Oonishi, Y.; Mori, M. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2002, 41, 1218-1221.
- 150. Aloise, A. D.; Layton, M. E.; Shair, M. D. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2000**, *122*, 12610-12611.
- 151. (a) Tanaka, K.; Fu, G. C. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2002, 124, 10296-10297; (b) Phan, D. H. T.; Kim, B.; Dong, V. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2009, 131, 15608; (c) Shen, Z.; Khan, H. A.; Dong, V. M. Journal of the

American Chemical Society **2008**, *130*, 2916-2917; (d) Shen, Z.; Khan, H. A.; Dong, V. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society **2008**, *130*, 2916-2917.

- 152. Coulter, M. M.; Dornan, P. K.; Dong, V. M. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, *131*, 6932.
- 153. Shen, Z.; Dornan, P. K.; Khan, H. A.; Woo, T. K.; Dong, V. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2009, 131, 1077-1091.
- 154. Bendorf, H. D.; Colella, C. M.; Dixon, E. C.; Marchetti, M.; Matukonis, A. N.; Musselman, J. D.; Tiley, T. A. *Tetrahedron Letters* **2002**, *43*, 7031-7034.
- 155. Bendorf, H. D.; Ruhl, K. E.; Shurer, A. J.; Shaffer, J. B.; Duffin, T. O.; LaBarte, T. L.; Maddock, M. L.; Wheeler, O. W. *Tetrahedron Letters* **2012**, *53*, 1275-1277.
- 156. Khan, H. A.; Kou, K. G. M.; Dong, V. M. Chemical Science 2011, 2.
- 157. Suggs, J. W. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1979, 101, 489-489.
- 158. Beletskiy, E. V.; Sudheer, C.; Douglas, C. J. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2012**, *77*, 5884-5893.
- 159. Fustero, S.; Bartolome, A.; Sanz-Cervera, J.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; Soler, J. C.; Arellano, C. R.; Fuentes, A. S. *Organic Letters* **2003**, *5*, 2523.