#### University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Dissertations, Theses, and Student Research Papers in Mathematics

Mathematics, Department of

Summer 7-2016

# Adian inverse semigroups

Muhammad Inam University of Nebraska-Lincoln, minam@huskers.unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathstudent Part of the <u>Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons</u>

Inam, Muhammad, "Adian inverse semigroups" (2016). *Dissertations, Theses, and Student Research Papers in Mathematics*. 75. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathstudent/75

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dissertations, Theses, and Student Research Papers in Mathematics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

#### ADIAN INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

by

Muhammad Inam

#### A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of

The Graduate College at the University of Nebraska

In Partial Fulfilment of Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Mathematics

Under the Supervision of Professor Mark Brittenham and Professor John Meakin

Lincoln, Nebraska

July, 2016

#### ADIAN INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

Muhammad Inam, Ph.D. University of Nebraska, 2016

Advisers: Mark Brittenham and John Meakin

The class of Adian semigroups and Adian groups was first introduced and studied by S. I. Adian in 1966. In this thesis, we introduce the notion of **Adian inverse semigroups** with the hope that the study of these objects may help in resolving some of the remaining open questions about Adian semigroups and Adian groups. We prove that Adian inverse semigroups are *E*-unitary. By using this property of Adian inverse semigroups we prove that if  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  is a finitely presented Adian inverse semigroup which satisfies the property that the Schützenberger complex of a word *w* for all  $w \in X^+$ , over the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , is finite, then the Schützenberger complex of every word  $w' \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , over the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is finite. As a consequence of this result we are able to solve the word problem for some classes of Adian inverse semigroups, Adian semigroups and Adian groups.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all I am thankful to Allah (God almighty) for helping me at every step of my journey towards my PhD.

I would like to thank my supervisor John Meakin for his guidance, support and encouragement throughout my PhD studies. In particular, I am grateful to him for suggesting the research question of the word problem for Adian semigroups, Adian inverse semigroups and Adian groups and for then helping me through his knowledge and experience to achieve the results that are presented in this dissertation. I am grateful to Judy Walker, chair of the department of mathematics of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for providing me with financial support in the form of a teaching assistantship. I am extremely thankful to Robert Ruyle for his valuable suggestions throughout my PhD research. In particular, I am thankful to him for reading my dissertation and making several useful comments. I greatly appreciate the cooperation and support, throughout my entire program of study, of the other members of my supervisory committee: Mark Brittenham, Susan Hermiller, Allan Donsig and Vinod Variyam . Alexandra Seceleanu was a great help to me with issues of typesetting my dissertation.

Last but not least, I am thankful to my parents, my brothers and other family members for their moral support. I feel that this achievement would have been impossible for me without my mother's prayers.

# **Table of Contents**

#### List of Figures

1	Intro	oduction	1
		1.0.1 Green's relations	2
	1.1	Inverse semigroups	2
	1.2	Congruences and inverse monoid presentations	4
	1.3	Graphs, inverse word graphs and geodesics	5
	1.4	Automata	6
	1.5	Schützenberger graphs	7
		1.5.1 Iterative construction of Schützenberger graphs	8
	1.6	Munn trees and free inverse monoids	11
	1.7	The minimum group congruence	11
	1.8	E-unitary inverse monoids	12
	1.9	CW-complexes and Schützenberer complexes	12
		1.9.1 CW-complexes	12
		1.9.2 Schützenberger complexes	13
	1.10	The word problem for groups and Van Kampen diagrams	14
	1.11	The word problem for semigroups and <i>S</i> -diagrams	15
	1.12	Adian presentations	16

vi

		1.12.1 Left and right graph of a positive presentation	16
2	Adi	an inverse semigroups are <i>E</i> -unitary	20
3	Adi	an presentations with finite Schützenberger complexes	33
4	The	word problem for some classes of Adian inverse semigroups	59
	4.1	The word problem for a sub-family of Adian inverse semigroups that satisfy	
		condition $(\star)$	59
	4.2	The word problem for Inverse semigroups given by the presentation	
		$\langle a, b   ab^m = b^n a \rangle$	67
D11.11			

#### Bibliography

v

# List of Figures

1.1	The Munn tree, $MT(u)$ , for $u = a^2 a^{-3} abb^{-1} ab^{-1} bcaa^{-1} cc^{-1}$	11
1.2	The left graph and the right graph of the presentation $\langle a, b   ab = a \rangle$	17
1.3	The left and right graph of the presentation $\langle a, b   ab^2 = ba \rangle$ are the same graph.	17
1.4	The left and right graph of the presentation $\langle a, b, c   ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca \rangle$	
	are the same graph	18
2.1	Example of a VanKampen diagram with six simple components	23
2.2	A diagram $\Delta$ with two transversal subdiagrams $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$	24
2.3	Case 1 of the proof of Lemma 4	25
2.4	Case 2 of proof of Lemma 4	26
2.5	Case 3 of proof of Lemma 4	27
2.6	Case 4 of Lemma 4	27
2.7	Special 2-cell $\Pi$ in the proof of Lemma 5 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	29
2.8	Diagram $\Delta$ separated into subdiagrams by a cut vertex $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	31
3.1	$S_1 = S \lor \{e\}$	46
3.2	$S_1$ with maximal positively labeled paths from $\alpha$ to $\beta_i$	46
3.3	$S'_1$ is obtained from $S_1$ by sewing on $SC(w_i)$ to each maximal positive path,	
	with label $w_i$ , that begins at $\alpha$ in $S_1$	47
3.4	Case 1 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim $\ldots$ .	51

3.5	Case 2 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim	52
3.6	Case 3 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim $\ldots \ldots$	53
3.7	Case 2 for the <i>n</i> -th generation transversal of the proof of the claim $\ldots$	55
3.8	Case 3 for the <i>n</i> -th generation transversal of the proof of the claim $\ldots$	55
3.9	An example showing the construction of new maximal positively labeled paths	
	starting from $\alpha$ as a consequence of folding of edges in $S'_1$	56
3.10	An example showing the construction of new maximal positively labeled paths	
	starting from $\alpha$ as a consequence of folding of edges in $S'_1$	57
4.1	The bi-sided graph of $\langle a, b   aba = b \rangle$ contains a cycle, (the loop at vertex <i>b</i> )	61
4.2	The bi-sided graph of $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k   a = fbg, a = jck, b = hci, c = de \rangle$ .	61
4.3	The bi-sided graph of $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m   a = fcg, b = hci, c = de, l =$	
	$jm^2k\rangle$	62
4.4	Construction of $SC(a^k b^t)$	68
4.5	Construction of $SC(b^t a^k)$	69
4.6	$(\alpha_0,\Gamma_0(w),\beta_0)$	71

### Chapter 1

## Introduction

A semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$ , consists of a non empty set *S* and a binary operation  $\cdot$  such that  $a \cdot b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$ .

If there is no danger of confusion, then we suppress the binary operation and write ab instead of  $a \cdot b$ .

An element *e* of *S* is called an **idempotent**, if  $e^2 = e$ . The set of all idempotent elements of *S* is denoted by *E*(*S*).

An element  $1 \in S$  is called an **identity** element of *S* if 1a = a1 = a for all  $a \in S$ .

A semigroup with an identity element is called a **monoid**.

An element  $0 \in S$  is called a **zero** element of *S* if 0a = a0 = 0 for all  $a \in S$ .

It follows immediately from the above definitions that a monoid *S* contains a unique identity element and at most one zero element.

**Free semigroups** and **free monoids** are defined as follows. A set *X* is called an **alphabet**, whose elements are called **letters**, (unless stated otherwise we always consider a finite alphabet). A **word** over *X* of **length** *n* is a sequence  $a_1a_2...a_n$  of letters  $a_i \in X$ . The word of length zero is called the **empty word** and is denoted by  $\epsilon$ . The multiplication of words is defined by concatenation  $(a_1a_2...a_n)(b_1b_2...b_m) = a_1...a_nb_1...b_m$ . This multiplica-

tion is associative. The set of all words of positive length (called positive words) forms a semigroup, the free semigroup  $X^+$ . The empty word serves as an identity element under concatenation of words. So the set of all words is a monoid, the free monoid  $X^*$ . A subset of  $X^*$  or  $X^+$  is called a **language**.

#### 1.0.1 Green's relations

If *S* is a semigroup without identity then  $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$  (where 1 is some element not in *S*) with 1s = s1 = s for all  $s \in S$ . If *S* is a monoid then  $S^1 = S$ . Given  $t \in S$ , the **principal left ideal** generated by *t* is the set  $S^1t = \{st | s \in S^1\}$ . The principal right ideals and principal two sided ideals  $tS^1$  and  $S^1tS^1$  are defined similarly.

The elements of a semigroup are partitioned by five equivalence relations,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  called **Green's relations**, defined as follows:

- $a \mathscr{L} b$  if and only if  $S^1 a = S^1 b$ .
- $a \mathscr{R} b$  if and only if  $a S^1 = b S^1$ .
- $a\mathscr{H}b$  if and only if  $a\mathscr{L}b$  and  $a\mathscr{R}b$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{L} \cap \mathscr{R}$ .

•  $a \mathscr{D} b$  if and only if there exists  $c \in S$  such that  $a \mathscr{L} c$  and  $c \mathscr{R} b$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{L} \circ \mathscr{R}$ . Equivalently,  $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{R} \circ \mathscr{L}$  [4] and  $\mathscr{D}$  is the smallest equivalence relation that contains  $\mathscr{L}$  and  $\mathscr{R}$  [4].

•  $a \not J b$  if and only if  $S^1 a S^1 = S^1 b S^1$ .

The  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ -(equivalence) classes of *a* are denoted  $L_a$ ,  $R_a$ ,  $H_a$ ,  $D_a$  and  $J_a$  respectively.

#### 1.1 Inverse semigroups

A semigroup *S* is called an **inverse semigroup**, if for all  $a \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $b \in S$  such that aba = a and bab = b. The unique element *b* is called the **inverse** 

of *a* and is denoted by  $a^{-1}$ .

It is easy to see that  $aa^{-1}$  and  $a^{-1}a$  are idempotent elements of *S*, for all  $a \in S$ . In general, if *a* is an element of an inverse semigroup *S*, then  $aa^{-1} \neq a^{-1}a$ .

If *S* is an inverse semigroup then  $a\mathscr{L}b \Leftrightarrow a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b$  and  $a\mathscr{R}b \Leftrightarrow aa^{-1} = bb^{-1}$ . Further details about Green's relations for inverse semigroups can be seen in [5].

Let *X* be a non empty set. A **partial bijection** on *X* is a bijective (one-to-one and onto) function  $f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , where  $T_1, T_2 \subseteq X$ . The set of all partial bijections on *X* forms an inverse monoid with respect to composition of partial maps, called the **symmetric inverse monoid** and denoted by  $I_X$ . Recall that Cayley's theorem states that, every group is isomorphic to a group of permutations. The following theorem carries the same importance in the theory of inverse semigroups.

**Theorem 1.1.1.** (Wagner-Preston) Every inverse semigroup embeds in a symmetric inverse monoid on a suitable set X.

The **natural partial order**  $\leq$  on an inverse semigroup is defined by  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a = aa^{-1}b$ . This definition of the natural partial order is equivalent to the definition  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a = ba^{-1}a$ . The proof of this fact is given in [Lemma 6, Chapter 1] of [5]. It follows immediately from the definition of the natural partial order on an inverse semigroup *S* that the natural partial order is the identity relation if *S* is a group.

The following proposition concerning idempotents and the natural partial order is standard in the literature on inverse semigroups; we will use these facts often in this dissertation.

**Proposition 1.** Let S be an inverse semigroup.

- (*i*) If *e* is an idempotent and  $a \leq e$  in *S*, then *a* is an idempotent.
- (ii) If  $x \in S$  and e is an idempotent of S, then  $xex^{-1}$  is an idempotent.

(iii) Suppose  $x_0, \ldots, x_n \in S$  and suppose  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  are idempotents. Then  $x_0e_1x_1 \cdots e_nx_n \leq x_0x_1 \cdots x_n$ . In particular, if  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_n$  is an idempotent, then  $x_0e_1x_1 \cdots e_nx_n$  is an idempotent.

#### **1.2** Congruences and inverse monoid presentations

A **congruence** on a semigroup *S* is an equivalence relation  $\rho$  which is compatible with the semigroup operation: i.e., if  $a\rho b$  then  $(ac)\rho(bc)$  and  $(ca)\rho(cb)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$ . The congruence class of *a* is denoted by  $a\rho$ . If  $a\rho b$  and  $a'\rho b'$  then  $(aa')\rho(bb')$ . It follows that the multiplication of congruence classes  $(a\rho)(b\rho) := (ab)\rho$  is well-defined and so the set of congruence classes forms a semigroup, the **quotient semigroup**  $S/\rho$ .

The function  $f : (X \cup X^{-1})^* \to (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  defined by  $f(u) = u^{-1}$  for all  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  is an involution. Note that f(f(u)) = u and  $f(u \cdot v) = v^{-1} \cdot u^{-1}$  for all  $u, v \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ .

If *X* is an alphabet, let  $X^{-1}$  denote a disjoint set of inverses. The **Vagner congruence**  $\rho$  is the congruence on the free monoid with involution  $(X \cup X^{-1})^*$  generated by

$$\{(uu^{-1}u, u), (uu^{-1}vv^{-1}, vv^{-1}uu^{-1}) | u, v \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*\}.$$

It follows that  $FIM(X) := (X \cup X^{-1})^* / \rho$  is an inverse monoid, the **free inverse monoid** on *X*. (The relation  $(uu^{-1}vv^{-1}, vv^{-1}uu^{-1})$ ) captures the notion of commuting idempotents.)

If  $R \subset (X \cup X^{-1})^* \times (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  and  $\tau$  is the congruence generated by  $\rho \cup R$  (where  $\rho$  is the Vagner congruence), then  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle := (X \cup X^{-1})^*/\tau$  is the **inverse monoid presented by the set** X **of generators and the set** R **of relations**. For  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , we abuse the notation for our convenience and denote the inverse monoid element  $w\tau$  by w. If X is finite, then M is said to be **finitely generated**. If X and R are finite, then M

is **finitely presented**. Elements (u, v) of *R* are relations and often written u = v. If the relation is of the form w = 1, then *w* is a **relator**.

The **word problem** for  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  is the question of whether there is an algorithm which, given any two words  $w_1, w_2 \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , will determine whether  $w_1 = w_2$  in the inverse semigroup  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$ . The word problem even for finitely presented inverse semigroups is in general unsolvable, that is, there is no such algorithm in general. This follows from the fact that finitely presented groups can be presented as finitely presented inverse monoids, and Novikov [10] and Boone [2] proved that the word problem for finitely presented groups is unsolvable.

#### **1.3** Graphs, inverse word graphs and geodesics

A **labeled directed graph** over a set *X* is a directed graph in which the edges are labeled by elements of *X*. We write (u, x, v) to denote the edge labeled by *x* with initial vertex *u* and terminal vertex *v*.

A path (of length *n*) is a sequence of edges

$$((v_0, x_1, v_1), (v_1, x_2, v_2), ..., (v_{n-1}, x_n, v_n))$$

such that the initial vertex of an edge (except the first) equals the terminal vertex of the previous edge. If  $v_0 = v_n$  the path is a **circuit** or a **cycle**. We say that the path is labeled by the word  $w = x_1x_2...x_n$  and that w can be read in the graph starting at  $v_0$ . A labeled directed graph is **strongly connected** if there is a path from u to v for every pair of vertices u, v.

An **inverse word graph** over *X* is a labeled directed graph over  $X \cup X^{-1}$  such that the labeling is consistent with an involution; that is, (u, x, v) is an edge if and only if  $(v, x^{-1}, u)$  is an edge. A **birooted inverse word graph** is an inverse word graph  $\Gamma$  with vertices  $\alpha, \beta \in V(\Gamma)$  identified as the **start** and **end** vertices, respectively. The language L[A] of a birooted inverse word graph  $A = (\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  is the set of words that can be read as the label of some path from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Given a labeled directed graph  $\Gamma$  with  $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ , a **geodesic path** from u to v is a path from u to v of minimum length. A word which labels a geodesic path is called a **geodesic word**. The **path metric** on an inverse word graph  $\Gamma$  maps vertices u, v to the length d(u, v) of a geodesic path from u to v.

#### 1.4 Automata

We relax the definition of finite state automaton from [3] to allow infinitely many states:

**Definiton 1.** An **automaton** is a five tuple  $A = (Q, \sum, \delta, q_0, F)$ , where

- *Q* is a set whose elements are called **states**,
- $\sum$  is an alphabet, called the **input alphabet**,
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to Q$  is a partial function (i. e., not necessarily defined on all of  $Q \times \Sigma$ ),

called the **transition function**,

- $q_0 \in Q$  is the **initial state**, and
- $F \subset Q$  is the set of **final states**, (or **accept** or **terminal** states).

If *Q* and  $\sum$  are finite then the automaton is a **finite state automaton**.

We may view *A* as a labeled directed graph with

- V(A) = Q and
- $E(A) = \{(q, x, r) | \delta(q, x) = r\}.$

We say *A* **accepts** or **recognizes** a word  $u \in \sum^*$  if *u* labels a path in the labeled directed graph from  $q_0$  to *f* for some  $f \in F$ . The **language** L(A) of *A* is the set of

all words accepted by *A*. A language is **regular** if it is the language of a finite state automaton.

Given a regular language L, there may be many different finite state automata which recognize L. However, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) finite state automaton with a minimum number of states which recognizes L [3]. In addition, given any automaton recognizing a language, the minimum state automaton may be computed by applying the minimization algorithm of [3].

#### 1.5 Schützenberger graphs

Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be the inverse monoid presented by *X* and *R*. The **Cayley graph** of *M* with respect to the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is the labeled directed graph  $\Gamma$  over  $X \cup X^{-1}$  such that

- $V(\Gamma) = M$  and
- $E(\Gamma) = \{(m_1, x, m_2) | m_1, m_2 \in M \text{ and } m_1 x = m_2\}.$

Note that if *M* is a group,  $\Gamma$  is the Cayley graph in the usual group theoretic sense. Since  $maa^{-1} = m$  does not necessarily hold in an inverse monoid, the Cayley graph of an inverse monoid is not necessarily an inverse word graph and is not necessarily strongly connected. If  $m = maa^{-1}$  then  $mm^{-1} = maa^{-1}m^{-1} = (ma)(ma)^{-1}$ . So  $m\mathscr{R}(ma)$ . Conversely,

$$m\mathscr{R}(ma) \Rightarrow mm^{-1} = (ma)(ma)^{-1} = maa^{-1}m^{-1}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow m = mm^{-1}m = maa^{-1}m^{-1}m = mm^{-1}maa^{-1} = maa^{-1},$ 

since idempotents  $(aa^{-1} \text{ and } m^{-1}m)$  commute in an inverse monoid. If  $m = maa^{-1}$ , then there exist edges (m, a, ma) and  $(ma, a^{-1}, m)$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus each  $\mathscr{R}$ -class is a strongly connected component of the Cayley graph. The restriction of the Cayley graph to the

strongly connected component with vertices  $R_m$  is called the **Schützenberger graph** of *m* with respect to the presentation. The Schützenberger graph of *m* is denoted by  $S\Gamma(m)$ .

That is,

- $V(S\Gamma(m)) = R_m$  and
- $E(S\Gamma(m)) = \{(m_1, x, m_2) | m_1, m_2 \in R_m, x \in (X \cup X^{-1}) \text{ and } m_1 x = m_2\}.$

If  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  labels a path from *m* to *m'* in  $R_m$ , then m' = mw and  $mww^{-1} = m$ , so  $S\Gamma(m)$  is an inverse word graph.

Note that for  $m \in M$  and  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ ,  $m \leq w$  if and only if  $mm^{-1}w = m$ , so a word w labels a path from  $mm^{-1}$  to m in  $S\Gamma(m)$  if and only if  $w \geq m$  in the natural partial order of M. In this way we can view the birooted inverse word graph  $(mm^{-1}, S\Gamma(m), m)$  as an automaton called the **Schützenberger automaton**  $S\Gamma(w)$ , with initial vertex  $mm^{-1}$  and terminal vertex m. This Schützenberger automaton recognizes the words which map to the **order filter** of m,  $m \uparrow = \{w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^* | m \leq M\}$ .

#### **1.5.1** Iterative construction of Schützenberger graphs

In this section we summarize the iterative procedure described by Stephen [14] for building a Schützenberger graph. Let  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  be a presentation of an inverse monoid.

Given a word  $u = a_1 a_2 ... a_n \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , the **linear graph** of *u* is the birooted inverse word graph  $(\alpha_u, \Gamma_u, \beta_u)$  consisting of the set of vertices

$$V((\alpha_u, \Gamma_u, \beta_u)) = \{\alpha_u, \beta_u, \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{n-1}\}$$

and edges

$$(\alpha_u, a_1, \gamma_1), (\gamma_1, a_2, \gamma_2), ..., (\gamma_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, \gamma_{n-1}), (\gamma_{n-1}, a_n, \beta_u),$$

together with the corresponding inverse edges.

Let  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  be a birooted inverse word graph over  $X \cup X^{-1}$ . The following operations may be used to obtain a new birooted inverse word graph  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$ :

• **Determination** or **folding:** Let  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  be a birooted inverse word graph with vertices  $v, v_1, v_2$ , with  $v_1 \neq v_2$ , and edges  $(v, x, v_1)$  and  $(v, x, v_2)$  for some  $x \in X \cup X^{-1}$ .

Then we obtain a new birooted inverse word graph  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$  by taking the quotient of  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  by the equivalence relation which identifies the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  and the two edges. In other words, edges with the same label coming out of a vertex are folded together to become one edge.

• Elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion: Let r = s be a relation in R and suppose that r can be read from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $\Gamma$ , but s cannot be read from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then we define  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$ to be the quotient of  $\Gamma \cup (\alpha_s, \Gamma_s, \beta_s)$  by the equivalence relation which identifies vertices  $v_1$  and  $\alpha_s$  and vertices  $v_2$  and  $\beta_s$ . In other words. we "sew" on a linear graph for s from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  to complete the other half of the relation r = s.

An inverse word graph is **deterministic** if no folding can be performed and **closed** if it is deterministic and no elementary expansion can be performed over a presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . Note that given a finite inverse word graph it is always possible to produce a determinized form of the graph, because determination reduces the number of vertices. So, the process of determination must stop after finitely many steps, We note also that the process of folding is confluent [14].

If  $(\alpha_1, \Gamma_1, \beta_1)$  is obtained from  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  by an elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion, and  $(\alpha_2, \Gamma_2, \beta_2)$ is the determinized form of  $(\alpha_1, \Gamma_1, \beta_1)$ , then we write  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha_2, \Gamma_2, \beta_2)$  and say that  $(\alpha_2, \Gamma_2, \beta_2)$  is obtained from  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  by a  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. The reflexive and transitive closure of  $\Rightarrow$  is denoted by  $\Rightarrow^*$ .

For  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , an **approximate graph** of  $(uu^{-1}, S\Gamma(u), u)$  is a birooted inverse word graph  $A = (\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  such that  $u \in L[A]$  and  $y \ge u$  for all  $y \in L[A]$ . Stephen showed in [14] that the linear graph of u is an approximate graph of  $(uu^{-1}, S\Gamma(u), u)$ . He also proved the following:

**Theorem 1.5.1.** Let  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})$  and let  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  be an approximate graph of  $(uu^{-1}, S\Gamma(u), u)$ . If  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta) \Rightarrow^* (\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$  and  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$  is closed, then  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$  is the Schützenberger graph of u,  $(uu^{-1}, S\Gamma(u), u)$ .

In [14], Stephen showed that the class of all birooted inverse words graphs over  $X \cup X^{-1}$  is a co-complete category and that the directed system of all finite  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions of a linear graph of u has a direct limit. Since the directed system includes all possible  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions, this limit must be closed. Therefore, by 1.5.1, the Schützenberger graph is the direct limit.

**Full**  $\mathscr{P}$ - expansion (a generalization of the concept of  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion): A full  $\mathscr{P}$ expansion of a birooted inverse word graph ( $\alpha$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\beta$ ) is obtained in the following way:

• Form the graph  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$ , which is obtained from  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  by performing all possible elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions of  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$ , relative to  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$ . We emphasize that an elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion may introduce a path labeled by one side of relation in *R*, but we do not perform an elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion that could not be done to  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  when we do a full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion.

• Find the determinized form  $(\alpha_1, \Gamma_1, \beta_1)$ , of  $(\alpha', \Gamma', \beta')$ .

The birooted inverse word graph  $(\alpha_1, \Gamma_1, \beta_1)$  is called the full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion of  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$ . We denote this relationship by  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta) \Rightarrow_f (\alpha_1, \Gamma_1, \beta_1)$ . If  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n, \beta_n)$  is obtained from  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta)$  by a sequence of full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions then we denote this by  $(\alpha, \Gamma, \beta) \Rightarrow_f^* (\alpha_n, \Gamma_n, \beta_n)$ .

The following theorem is often used as a key fact to solve the word problem for a class of inverse semigroups. In the following theorem the language accepted by Schütznberger automaton of *u* over a presentation  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  is denoted by L[u].

**Theorem 1.5.2.** (*Stephen*,[14]) Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an inverse semigroup. Then:

(i) 
$$L(u) = \{ v \in (X \cup X^{-1})^* : v \ge u \}.$$

(*ii*)  $u = v \Leftrightarrow L(u) = L(v) \Leftrightarrow u \in L(v)$  and  $v \in L(u) \Leftrightarrow (uu^{-1}, S\Gamma(u), u)$  and  $(vv^{-1}, S\Gamma(v), v)$  are isomorphic as birooted edge labeled graphs.

#### **1.6** Munn trees and free inverse monoids

If  $M = FIM(X) = Inv\langle X | \emptyset \rangle$  (the free inverse monoid), then  $(mm^{-1}, S\Gamma(m), m)$  is a bi-rooted tree, the **Munn tree** of  $m \in FIM(X)$ . The Munn tree for u, given  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , may be constructed by building the linear graph of u and folding, since there are no relations with which to expand. For example, the Munn tree of  $u = a^2a^{-3}abb^{-1}ab^{-1}bcaa^{-1}cc^{-1}$  with the initial vertex labeled by  $\alpha$  and the terminal vertex labeled by  $\beta$  is shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: The Munn tree, MT(u), for  $u = a^2 a^{-3} abb^{-1} ab^{-1} bcaa^{-1} cc^{-1}$ .

The word problem for FIM(X) is solvable: two words are equal if and only if they have the same Munn tree and same initial and terminal vertices. This solution is due to Munn [9].

#### 1.7 The minimum group congruence

A relation  $\sigma$  is defined on any inverse semigroup *M* by

 $s\sigma t \Leftrightarrow$  there exists an element  $u \in M$ , such that  $u \leq s, t$ .

It is proved in Theorem 2.4.1 of [5] that the relation  $\sigma$  is a congruence on M. So, the quotient  $M/\sigma$  is an inverse semigroup. In fact, if  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$ , then  $M/\sigma \cong Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ , where  $Gp\langle X|R \rangle$  denotes the group presented by a set X of generators and a set R of relations. Furthermore, if  $\rho$  is any congruence on M such that  $M/\rho$  is a group, then  $\sigma \subseteq \rho$ . That is,  $\sigma$  is the smallest group congruence on M. There exists a natural homomorphism (that we again denote by  $\sigma$ )  $\sigma : M \to M/\sigma$ .  $M/\sigma$  is the **maximum group homomorphic image** of M since  $\sigma$  is the **minimum group congruence** on M.

#### 1.8 E-unitary inverse monoids

An inverse monoid  $M = \langle X | R \rangle$  is **E-unitary** if the natural homomorphism  $\sigma : M \to M/\sigma$  is **idempotent pure**. i.e.,  $\sigma^{-1}(1) = E(M)$ . The following definitions are equivalent.

- *M* is E-unitary.
- For all  $e, m \in M$ ,  $e \leq m$  and  $e \in E(M)$  implies  $m \in E(M)$ . (Proposition 2.4.3 of [5].)

• Each Schützenberger graph naturally embeds into the Cayley graph of  $Gp\langle X|R\rangle$ .(This is due to Meakin.)

#### **1.9** CW-complexes and Schützenberer complexes

#### 1.9.1 CW-complexes

Now we give the definition of some topological concepts. Let  $D^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| \le 1\}$ ,  $(D^n)^\circ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| < 1\}$  and  $\partial D^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| = 1\}$  be the closed and open unit Euclidian disks and their boundary, respectively. A space is called an **open cell** of dimension *n*, or an *n*-cell if it is homeomorphic to  $(D^n)^\circ$ . A topological space *S* is **Hausdorff** if for every pair of points  $p, q \in S$ ,  $p \neq q$ , there are open sets *P* and *Q* such that  $p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$ , and  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ . The following definition is due to J. H. C. Whitehead:

**Definiton 2.** A **CW-complex** is a Hausdorff space *S* and a collection of open cells  $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  such that the following hold:

(1) 
$$S = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in I} e_{\alpha}$$

(2) For each *n*-cell  $e_{\alpha}$  there is a map  $\theta_{\alpha} : D^n \to S$  such that  $\theta_{\alpha}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\alpha}|_{(D^n)^{\circ}} : (D^n)^{\circ} \to e_{\alpha}$  and  $\theta_{\alpha}(\partial D^n)$  is contained in a finite union of open cells  $e_{\beta}$  of dimension less than *n*.

(3) A set  $A \subseteq S$  is open in *S* if and only if  $A \cap e_{\alpha}$  is open in  $\overline{e_{\alpha}}$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ , where  $\overline{e_{\alpha}}$  is the closure of  $e_{\alpha}$  in *S*.

We denote the 0-cells or **vertices** of a CW-complex by V(S), the set of 1-cells or **edges** by E(S) and the set of 2-cells or **faces** by F(S). We do not need to discuss cells of higher dimensions in this dissertation. Given a vertex  $v \in V(S)$ , the **star set** Star(v) denotes the set of all the edges or 1-cells that are incident with v. The **out star set**  $Star^o(v)$  denotes the set of edges with initial vertex v. Similarly, the **in star set**  $Star^i(v)$  denotes the set of edges with terminal vertex v.

#### 1.9.2 Schützenberger complexes

We now expand our notion of Schützenberger graph from section 1.5.1. Schützenberger complexes were first defined by Steinberg in [12]. Later in [6], Steven Linblad made a small modification in Steinberg's definition of Schützenberger complexes. In this dissertation, we are using Linblad's definition of Schützenberger complexes. Let  $M = \langle X | R \rangle$  be an inverse monoid and  $m \in M$ . The **Schüutzenberger complex** SC(m) for  $m \in M$  is defined as follows:

(1) The 1-skeleton of SC(m) is the Schützenberger graph  $S\Gamma(m)$ .

(2) For each relation  $(r, s) \in R$  and vertex v, if r and s can be read at v, then there is a face with boundary given by the pair of paths labeled by r and s starting from v.

In similar manner, Stephen's approximate graphs can be viewed as approximate complexes by sewing on a face each time an elementary expansion is performed, and identifying faces if a determination results in their entire boundaries being identified.

# 1.10 The word problem for groups and Van Kampen diagrams

The **word problem** for  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$  is the question of whether there is an algorithm which given any two words  $u, v \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , will determine whether u = v in G. Since,  $u \stackrel{=}{_G} v \Leftrightarrow uv^{-1} \stackrel{=}{_G} 1_G$ , where  $1_G$  is the identity element of G, the word problem for G can equivalently be defined as does there exist an algorithm to check on input a given word  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , whether  $w \stackrel{=}{_G} 1_G$ .

**Definiton 3.** A **Van Kampen diagram** over a presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  for a word  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  is a finite, planar cell complex  $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , that satisfies the following properties:

- The complex  $\Delta$  is connected and simply connected.
- Each edge (1 cell) is directed and labeled by a letter of the alphabet X.

• The boundary of each region (2-cell) of  $\Delta$  is labeled by a cyclically reduced conjugate (in the free group generated by *X*), of the word  $sr^{-1}$  for some defining relation  $(r, s) \in R$ .

• The boundary of  $\Delta$  is labeled by a cyclically reduced conjugate of the word w.

In [15], Egbert Van Kampen described a method of considering which words represent the identity element in the group given by a finite presentation. He established the following result. **Theorem 1.10.1.** (Van Kampen's lemma)Let  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$  be a finitely presented group and w be a cyclically reduced word in  $(X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Then

- (i) If  $w = 1_G$ , then there is a Van Kampen diagram for w over the presentation  $\langle X|R\rangle$ .
- (ii) If  $\Delta$  is a Van Kampen diagram for a word w over  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , then  $w = 1_G$ .

#### **1.11** The word problem for semigroups and *S*-diagrams

The word problem for  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  is the question of whether there is an algorithm which given any two words  $u, v \in X^+$ , will determine whether u = v in *S*.

For any two words  $u, v \in X^+$ , u = v if and only if there exists a transition sequence from u to v.

$$u \equiv w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow w_n \equiv v$$
; for some  $n \ge 0$ ,

where  $w_{i-1} \rightarrow w_i$  represents that  $w_i$  is obtained from  $w_{i-1}$  by replacing one side of a relation r (that happens to be a subword of  $w_{i-1}$ ) with the other side s of the same relation, for some  $(r, s) \in R$ . The above transition sequence is called a **regular derivation sequence of length** n for the pair (u, v) over the presentation  $Sg\langle X|R\rangle$ .

**Definiton 4.** A **semigroup diagram** or *S*-**diagram** over a semigroup presentation  $Sg\langle X|R\rangle$  for a pair of positive words (u, v) is a finite, planar cell complex  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , that satisfies the following properties:

- The complex *D* is connected and simply connected.
- Each edge (1-cell) is directed and labeled by a letter of the alphabet *X*.

• Each region (2-cell) of *D* is labeled by the word  $rs^{-1}$  for some defining relation  $(r,s) \in R$ .

• There is a distinguished vertex  $\alpha$  on the boundary of *D* such that the boundary of *D* starting at  $\alpha$  is labeled by the word  $uv^{-1}$ .  $\alpha$  is a source in *D* (i.e. there is no edge in *D* with terminal vertex  $\alpha$ ).

• There are no interior sources or sinks in *D*.

In [11], Remmers proved an analogue of Theorem 1.10.1 for semigroups to address the word problem for semigroups.

**Theorem 1.11.1.** Let  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  be a semigroup and  $u, v \in X^+$ . Then there exists a regular derivation sequence of length n for the pair (u, v) over the presentation  $Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  if and only if there is an S-diagram over the presentation  $Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  for the pair (u, v) having exactly n regions.

#### 1.12 Adian presentations

Often groups, semigroups and inverse semigroups are presented by a pair  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , where X denotes a set of generators and R denotes a set of relations. We slightly abuse the notation for our purpose and call  $\langle X|R \rangle$  a **presentation**. The words r and s, for all  $(r,s) \in R$ , are called R-words. If every R-word is a positive word (that is, every R-word is an element of  $X^+$ ), then the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is said to be a **positive presentation**. Given such a presentation, we may form the corresponding semigroup  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$ , group  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$  and inverse semigroup  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$ .

#### 1.12.1 Left and right graph of a positive presentation

The **left graph** of a positive presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , denoted by  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$ , is an undirected graph, whose vertices are the elements of *X*. Corresponding to every relation  $(r, s) \in R$ , there is an undirected edge joining the first letter of *r* with the first letter of *s*.

Similarly, the **right graph** of a positive presentation is denoted by  $RG\langle X|R\rangle$  and is obtained by joining the last letter of *r* with the last letter of *s* for every  $(r, s) \in R$ , by an undirected edge.

**Example 1.** The left and right graphs of the presentation  $\langle a, b | ab = a \rangle$  are shown in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2: The left graph and the right graph of the presentation  $\langle a, b | ab = a \rangle$ .

**Example 2.** The left graph and the right graph of the presentation  $\langle a, b | ab^2 = ba \rangle$  are the same graph (shown in Figure 1.3).



Figure 1.3: The left and right graph of the presentation  $\langle a, b | ab^2 = ba \rangle$  are the same graph.

**Example 3.** The left graph and the right graph of the presentation  $\langle a, b, c | ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca \rangle$  are the same graph (shown in Figure 1.4).

A closed path in a left(right) graph is called a **left (right) cycle**. We observe in the above examples that the left graph in Example 1 contains a cycle. The left and right graphs of the presentation given in Example 2, contain no cycle. In Example 3, both the left and right graphs contain a cycle.



Figure 1.4: The left and right graph of the presentation  $\langle a, b, c | ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca \rangle$  are the same graph.

A positive presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is called a **cycle free presentation** if both the graphs  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$  and  $RG\langle X|R \rangle$  are cycle free (i.e. contain no cycles). Cycle free presentations are also called **Adian presentations**, because these presentations were first studied by Adian in [1].

The following theorem was first proved by Adian in [1] for finite presentations. Later, it was generalized by Remmers to any Adian presentation, in [11], by using a geometric approach.

**Theorem 1.12.1.** An Adian semigroup  $Sg\langle X|R\rangle$  embeds in the corresponding Adian group  $Gp\langle X|R\rangle$ .

From the embedding in Theorem 1.12.1, we can derive the fact that every Adian semigroup embeds in an Adian inverse semigroup, as proved in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** An Adian semigroup  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  embeds in the Adian inverse semigroup  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  :  $S \to M$  be the natural homomorphism and  $\phi$  :  $S = \langle X | R \rangle \to G = Gp \langle X | R \rangle$ be the natural homomorphism.  $\phi$  is an injective homomorphism by 1.12.1. Note that  $\phi = \sigma \circ \theta$ . Since  $\phi = \sigma \circ \theta$  and  $\phi$  is injective, then  $\theta$  must be injective.

**Conjecture 1.** (*Adian, 1976*) *The word problem for Adian semigroups is decidable.* 

**Remark 1.** The word problem for one relation Adian semigroups is decidable. This is because Magnus [8] proved that the word problem for one relator groups is decidable and by Theorem 1.12.1, a one relation Adian semigroup embeds in the corresponding one relator Adian group.

**Proposition 3.** The word problem for an Adian semigroup  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$  and an Adian group  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$  is decidable, if:

1. the Adian inverse semigroup  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  is E-unitary and

2. the word problem for the Adian inverse semigroup M is decidable.

*Proof.* It immediately follows from (1) that, for any word  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , u = 1 if and only if u is an idempotent in M. So, if (2) holds, then we can check whether u is an idempotent or not by checking the equality of words  $u = u^2$ .

If (2) holds, then by Proposition 2, *S* embeds in *M* and so the word problem for *S* is also decidable.

## Chapter 2

# Adian inverse semigroups are *E*-unitary

In order to set the foundations of our main theorem of this chapter (Theorem 2.0.1), we first give a slightly revised definition of a *V*an Kampen diagram over a presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  and then describe some properties of van Kampen diagrams over an Adian presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ .

**Definiton 5.** A van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  is a planar, finite, connected, simply connected, oriented 2-complex (often called a "map"). There is an involution  $e \rightarrow e^{-1}$  on the edges of the diagram such that  $(e^{-1})^{-1} = e$  and  $e^{-1} \neq e$ . The pair  $\{e, e^{-1}\}$  may be considered as an undirected edge with one of these edges viewed as positively oriented and the other as negatively oriented. A van Kampen diagram over the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  satisfies:

- (i) Each directed positively oriented edge of the 1-skeleton is labeled by a letter in *X* and its inverse is labeled by the inverse of that letter.
- (ii) The 2-cells (sometimes called "regions" or "faces") are homeomorphic to open disks. The boundary of each 2-cell is labeled by a cyclically reduced conjugate of *uv*<sup>-1</sup> for some relation (*u*, *v*) ∈ *R*.
- (iii) There is a distinguished base vertex *O* that lies on the topological boundary of  $\Delta$ .

The boundary cycle of  $\Delta$  that begins and ends at the base vertex *O*, and travels in the counterclockwise direction around the boundary, is labeled by some (not necessarily freely reduced) word  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . In this case, we say that  $\Delta$  is a van Kampen diagram for w over  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . It is easy to see that Van Kampen's Lemma (Theorem 1.10.1) also holds for a Van Kampen diagram for w over  $\langle X|R \rangle$ .

A van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  is said to be **reduced** if it satisfies the additional condition:

(iv) There do not exist two 2-cells with an edge in common to their boundaries that are mirror images of each other.

In this chapter we will be working mainly with reduced van Kampen diagrams.

We remark that some authors require a van Kampen diagram to have a reduced word as its boundary label, and in fact some require the boundary label to be a *cyclically* reduced word. It is convenient for our purposes to make no such restriction however. We refer to the book by Lyndon and Schupp [7] for more detail and for basic information about van Kampen diagrams. Such diagrams are referred to as *g*-diagrams in the paper of Remmers [11]. A *g*-diagram in Remmers' sense is required to have a cyclically reduced word as boundary label, but this restriction is in fact not essential.

**Remark 2.** It follows from van Kampen's Lemma 1.10.1 that the inverse semigroup  $S = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  is *E*-unitary if and only if, for every van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  over  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , the word *w* labeling the boundary cycle of  $\Delta$  that starts and ends at the distinguished vertex *O* is an idempotent of *S*.

As for semigroup diagrams, each 2-cell of a van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation  $P = \langle X | R \rangle$  is two-sided. The boundary of a such a 2-cell can be viewed as a birooted graph, where one side of a relation  $(u, v) \in R$  labels a path p from the initial vertex to the terminal vertex of the graph, while the other side of the relation labels a

different path *q* from the initial vertex to the terminal vertex of the graph. We refer to these paths as the **sides** of the 2-cell. The path  $pq^{-1}$  is a boundary cycle of the 2-cell.

The following fact about van Kampen diagrams over Adian presentations was proved by Remmers [11] (Theorem 4.3) for diagrams whose boundary label is a cyclically reduced word: however, Remmers' proof carries over verbatim for the more general notion of van Kampen diagrams that we are considering in this chapter.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Delta$  be a reduced van Kampen diagram for a word w over an Adian presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . Then  $\Delta$  has no interior sources and no interior sinks.

The following lemma follows from the above discussion and from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.3 of [11].

**Lemma 2.** If  $\Delta$  is a reduced van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation, then  $\Delta$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (*i*) Every 2-cell of  $\Delta$  is two-sided.
- (ii)  $\Delta$  contains no directed (i.e., positively labeled) cycles.
- (iii) Every positively labeled interior edge of  $\Delta$  can be extended to a directed transversal of  $\Delta$ .

Here, by a **directed transversal** of  $\Delta$  we mean a positively labeled path between two distinct boundary vertices of  $\Delta$ , all of whose vertices are distinct, all of whose edges are interior to  $\Delta$ , and all of whose vertices except the initial and terminal vertex are interior vertices in  $\Delta$ .

The following result is the main theorem of the chapter.

**Theorem 2.0.1.** An inverse semigroup  $S = Inv\langle X | R \rangle$  over an Adian presentation is E-unitary.

Before proving this theorem, we introduce some definitions and prove some lemmas.

**Definiton 6.** A subdiagram  $\Delta'$  of a van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  is called a **simple component** of  $\Delta$  if it is a maximal subdiagram whose boundary is a simple closed curve.

We remark that our definition does not require that the boundary of a simple component of reduced diagram  $\Delta$  needs to be labeled by a reduced word. However, since no 2-cell of a van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation has a boundary that contains an extremal vertex (i.e. a vertex of degree 1), it follows that a simple component of such a diagram does not contain any attached trees as part of its boundary. A simple component of  $\Delta$  is itself a van Kampen diagram. The diagram  $\Delta$  has a tree-like (or "cactoid") structure of simple components connected by (possibly trivial) arcs and possibly with finitely many finite trees attached to the boundary of the diagram (see Figure 2.1). A van Kampen diagram with no simple components is just a finite (edge-labeled) tree.



Figure 2.1: Example of a VanKampen diagram with six simple components

**Definiton 7.** For a van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  over an Adian presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , a **transversal subdiagram**  $\Delta'$  is a subdiagram of a simple component of  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta'$  has a boundary cycle of the form pq, where p is a directed transversal and q is a subpath of a boundary cycle of the simple component in which  $\Delta'$  is contained.

**Lemma 3.** If  $\Delta$  is a van Kampen diagram with exactly one simple component and no extremal vertex, then  $\Delta$  has a directed transversal if and only if it has more than one 2-cell. Furthermore, any directed transversal of  $\Delta$  divides  $\Delta$  into two transversal subdiagrams, each of which may be viewed as a van Kampen diagram with exactly one simple component and no extremal vertex.

*Proof.* If  $\Delta$  has a directed transversal, then it must have an interior edge and hence it must have more than one 2-cell. Conversely if  $\Delta$  has more than one 2-cell and has just one simple component, it must have an interior edge *e*. We extend this edge to a directed transversal *T* of  $\Delta$  by using Lemma 2 above. Denote the initial vertex of *T* by  $\alpha$  and the terminal vertex of *T* by  $\beta$ . Thus  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are on the boundary of  $\Delta$ . This transversal *T* divides  $\Delta$  into two proper subdiagrams  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  (see Figure 2.2). Both  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are clearly transversal subdiagrams of  $\Delta$ . Also, the boundary of  $\Delta$  is a simple closed curve, and *T* is a path between distinct boundary vertices of  $\Delta$  with all of its vertices distinct and all of its vertices except  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  interior to  $\Delta$ . It follows that the boundary of each subdiagram  $\Delta_i$  is topologically a simple closed curve. Hence each subdiagram  $\Delta_i$  may be viewed as a van Kampen diagram with exactly one simple component and no extremal vertex.



Figure 2.2: A diagram  $\Delta$  with two transversal subdiagrams  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ 

**Definiton 8.** For a van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  over an Adian presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , a **special 2-cell** is a 2-cell, one of whose two sides lies entirely on the boundary of  $\Delta$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation  $P = \langle X|R \rangle$  that has more than one 2-cell and that has just one simple component and no extremal vertex. Then  $\Delta$ contains at least two special 2-cells.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 3 we may choose a directed transversal *T* from a vertex  $\alpha$  on  $\partial \Delta$  to a vertex  $\beta$  on  $\partial \Delta$  that divides the diagram  $\Delta$  into two subdiagrams  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ .

If both of the subdiagrams  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  consist of only one 2-cell, then we are done. Otherwise, we repeatedly subdivide each of these subdiagrams to find special 2-cells of  $\Delta$ . Without loss of generality, we pick  $\Delta_1$  and find an interior edge  $e_1$  in  $\Delta_1$ . We extend  $e_1$  to a directed transversal  $T_1$  of  $\Delta_1$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  be the initial vertex of  $T_1$  and  $\beta_1$  the terminal vertex of  $T_1$ . This transversal  $T_1$  divides  $\Delta_1$  into two proper subdiagrams.

We claim that at least one of them is a transversal subdiagram of  $\Delta$ . To see this we consider the following four cases.

*Case 1:* Suppose  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  lie on the boundary  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$ . Since  $T_1$  is a positively labeled path, the collection of 2-cells and their boundaries bounded by the path  $T_1$  and the path from  $\beta_1$  to  $\alpha_1$  along  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$  forms a transversal subdiagram of  $\Delta$ , which contains fewer 2-cells than  $\Delta_1$  (see Figure 2.3).



Figure 2.3: Case 1 of the proof of Lemma 4

*Case 2:* Suppose both  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  lie on the transversal *T*. Note that if the transversals *T* and *T*<sub>1</sub> are oppositely oriented, then our van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  contains a directed (positively labeled) cycle, which contradicts Lemma 2 above. Hence both transversals will have the same orientation. Let *T*<sub>2</sub> be the composition of the path along *T* from  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha_1$  followed by the path *T*<sub>1</sub>, followed by the path along *T* from  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta$  (see Figure 2.4). Clearly *T*<sub>2</sub> is a positively labeled path that is a transversal of  $\Delta$ .



Figure 2.4: Case 2 of proof of Lemma 4

The collection of 2-cells and their boundaries bounded by  $T_2$  and the path that goes from  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$  along  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$  forms a transversal subdiagram of  $\Delta$  that contains fewer 2-cells than  $\Delta_1$ .

*Case 3:* Suppose  $\alpha_1$  lies on the boundary  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$  and  $\beta_1$  lies on the path *T*. Let  $T_2$  be the composition of the path  $T_1$  and the path along *T* from  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta$  (see Figure 2.5). Again,  $T_2$  is a positively labeled path that is a transversal of  $\Delta$ . The collection of 2-cells bounded by  $T_2$  and the path from  $\beta$  to  $\alpha_1$  along  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$  forms a transversal subdiagram of  $\Delta$  that contains fewer regions than  $\Delta_1$ .

*Case 4:* Suppose that  $\alpha_1$  lies on the path T and  $\beta_1$  lies on the boundary  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$ . In this case let  $T_2$  be the composition of the path along T from  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha_1$  and the path  $T_1$  (see Figure 2.6). Then the collection of 2-cells bounded by  $T_2$  and the path from  $\beta_1$  to  $\alpha$  along  $\partial \Delta_1 \cap \partial \Delta$  forms a transversal subdiagram of  $\Delta$  that contains fewer 2-cells than  $\Delta_1$ .

Since our original diagram has only finitely many 2-cells, this process eventually



Figure 2.5: Case 3 of proof of Lemma 4



Figure 2.6: Case 4 of Lemma 4

terminates and we get a minimal transversal subdiagram *D* of  $\Delta$  inside  $\Delta_1$  that consists of only one 2-cell. This 2-cell *D* is bounded by a cycle *pq* where *p* is a directed transversal of  $\Delta_1$  and *q* is a path along the boundary of  $\Delta$ . Since *D* is a 2-cell, it has a boundary label of the form  $uv^{-1}$  for some relation  $(u, v) \in R$ . Since *p* is positively labeled, neither the initial vertex nor the terminal vertex of the 2-cell *D* can lie on *p* (or else the orientation of *p* would change at that vertex). Hence both the initial and terminal vertices of *D* lie on the boundary of  $\Delta$ , and so *D* is a special 2-cell of  $\Delta$ . A similar argument yields a special 2-cell of  $\Delta$  inside  $\Delta_2$ .

**Remark 3.** We remark for future use that by Lemma 3, the directed transversal p constructed in the proof of Lemma 4 above divides  $\Delta$  into two subdiagrams, one of which is the special 2-cell D and the other of which has just one simple component.

**Lemma 5.** If  $\Delta$  is a van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation  $P = \langle X | R \rangle$  and  $\Delta$  has just one simple component and no extremal vertex, then any word labeling a boundary cycle of  $\Delta$ , starting and ending at any vertex o on  $\partial \Delta$ , is an idempotent in the inverse monoid  $S = Inv \langle X | R \rangle$ .

*Proof.* We apply induction on the number of 2-cells of  $\Delta$ . If  $\Delta$  contains only one 2-cell, then a boundary label of this 2-cell is a cyclic conjugate of  $uv^{-1}$ , for some  $(u, v) \in R$ . We know that  $uv^{-1}$  is an idempotent in *S*, because in the inverse semigroup *S*,  $uv^{-1} = vv^{-1}$ . We show that any cyclic conjugate of  $uv^{-1}$  is also an idempotent in *S*. Suppose that *u* factors as a product of two subwords  $u \equiv xy$  in  $X^+$ . We consider the word  $yv^{-1}x$  and show that it is an idempotent in *S*. Note that  $yv^{-1}x = yu^{-1}x = y(xy)^{-1}x = yy^{-1}x^{-1}x$ , a product of two idempotents in *S*, hence it is an idempotent in *S*. We remark that it follows that the inverse of this word, namely  $x^{-1}vy^{-1}$ , is also an idempotent of *S* (in fact it equals  $yv^{-1}x$  in *S*), so all boundary labels of the 2-cell  $\Delta$  are idempotents in *S*, no matter which orientation of the boundary of  $\Delta$  is chosen.

Suppose the conclusion of the lemma is true for all van Kampen diagrams consisting of one simple component and k or fewer 2-cells. Let  $\Delta$  be a van Kampen diagram consisting of one simple component and (k + 1) 2-cells. Then by Lemma 4, there are at least two special 2-cells in  $\Delta$ . These special 2-cells do not share an edge that lies on  $\partial \Delta$ . So at least one of them (say the 2-cell  $\Pi$ ), has the property that the distinguished vertex o is an initial or terminal vertex of at least one edge in  $\partial \Delta - \partial \Pi$  (i.e. it does not lie in the "interior" of  $\partial \Pi \cap \partial \Delta$ ).

The cell  $\Pi$  has a boundary label  $uv^{-1}$  for some  $(u, v) \in R$ , such that either u or v labels a path on  $\partial \Delta$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that u labels a path on  $\partial \Delta$ . Denote the initial vertex of this path by  $\alpha$  and the terminal vertex by  $\beta$ . By Remark 3, we may suppose that v = srt where s labels a path on the boundary of  $\Delta$  from  $\alpha$  to some vertex  $\alpha_1$ , r labels a directed transversal from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\beta_1$ , and t labels a path on
the boundary of  $\Delta$  from  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta$  (see Figure 2.7). The distinguished vertex o of the van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  does not lie in the interior of the part of the boundary of  $\Delta$  that is labeled by  $tu^{-1}s$ . Hence the label on the boundary cycle of  $\partial\Delta$  that starts and ends at o is of the form  $\ell(\partial\Delta) \equiv gs^{-1}ut^{-1}h$  for some words  $g, h \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Then in the inverse semigroup  $S, gs^{-1}ut^{-1}h = gs^{-1}vt^{-1}h = gs^{-1}srtt^{-1}h \leq grh$ , by Proposition 1. But by Lemma 3 and Remark 3, grh labels a boundary cycle of a van Kampen diagram with only one simple component and fewer 2-cells than  $\Delta$ . Hence this word is an idempotent in S by the induction hypothesis. Hence  $\ell(\partial\Delta) \equiv gs^{-1}ut^{-1}h$  is an idempotent of S, again by Proposition 1. As we remarked before, if we choose the opposite orientation on the boundary of  $\Delta$ , the corresponding boundary label is also an idempotent of S.



Figure 2.7: Special 2-cell  $\Pi$  in the proof of Lemma 5

**Corollary 1.** If  $\Delta$  is a van Kampen diagram over an Adian presentation  $P = \langle X | R \rangle$  that has just one simple component, then any word labeling a boundary cycle of D, starting and ending at any vertex o on  $\partial D$ , is an idempotent in the inverse monoid  $S = Inv \langle X | R \rangle$ .

*Proof.* The van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  either has no extremal vertex, in which case the result follows from Lemma 5, or else is obtained from a van Kampen diagram  $\Delta'$  with no

extremal vertex and just one simple component by adjoining finitely many finite trees to the boundary of  $\Delta'$ . The result follows from Proposition 1 and the fact that the label on a boundary cycle of  $\Delta$  is obtained from the label w on a boundary cycle of  $\Delta'$  either by inserting Dyck words (words with reduced form 1, which are idempotents in *S*), or if necessary conjugating the resulting word by some word u if the distinguished vertex o is on an attached tree.

Proof of Theorem 2.0.1. Let  $\langle X|R \rangle$  be an Adian presentation and let w be a word such that w = 1 in  $G = Gp \langle X|R \rangle$ . Then w labels a boundary cycle of a van Kampen diagram  $\Delta$  starting and ending at some designated vertex 0 on  $\partial \Delta$ . We show that w is an idempotent of  $S = Inv \langle X|R \rangle$  by induction on the number of simple components of  $\Delta$ . If  $\Delta$  has no simple components, then it is a finite tree, whose boundary label is a Dyck word, which is an idempotent of *S*. If  $\Delta$  has just one simple component, this follows from Corollary 1.

Suppose that  $\Delta$  has k > 1 simple components. Assume inductively that the word labeling a boundary cycle of any van Kampen diagram with fewer than k simple components, starting and ending at any vertex on its boundary, is an idempotent in *S*.

It follows from the fact that  $\Delta$  is simply connected that  $\Delta$  has a cut vertex  $\gamma$ , i.e., a vertex on the boundary of  $\Delta$  whose deletion separates  $\Delta$  into two or more connected components  $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$ . For each *i*, let  $D_i$  be the 2-complex  $D_i = K_i \cup {\gamma}$ . Then each  $D_i$  is a van Kampen diagram over  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . The cut vertex can be chosen so that each subdiagram  $D_i$  has fewer simple components than  $\Delta$  (see Figure 2.8).

Then  $\Delta = D_1 \vee D_2 \vee \ldots \vee D_n$  is obtained by joining the diagrams  $D_i$  at the vertex  $\gamma$ .

Let  $s_i = \ell(q_i)$  be the label on the boundary cycle  $q_i$  around  $D_i$  that starts and ends at  $\gamma$  and respects the orientation of the boundary cycle p of  $\Delta$  labeled by w. Then  $s_i$  is an idempotent of S by the induction hypothesis.



Figure 2.8: Diagram  $\Delta$  separated into subdiagrams by a cut vertex

If  $o = \gamma$ , then the boundary cycle *p* is labeled by some permutation of the word  $s_1s_2...s_n$ , a product of (commuting) idempotents in *S*. Therefore in *S*,  $w = s_1s_2...s_n$ , and hence *w* is an idempotent in *S*.

If o is not equal to  $\gamma$ , then it must be a vertex on the boundary of exactly one of the van Kampen diagrams  $D_i$ . Let  $s_i \equiv x_i y_i$  where  $x_i$  labels the portion of the boundary cycle  $q_i$  of  $D_i$  starting at  $\gamma$  and ending at o, and  $y_i$  labels the portion of  $q_i$  starting at o and ending at  $\gamma$  in the orientation of  $q_i$ . Then the boundary cycle p of  $\Delta$  labeled by w is equal in S to  $y_i(\prod_{j\neq i}s_j)x_i$ , where the product  $\prod_{j\neq i}s_j$  is an idempotent of S. Hence  $w = y_i(\prod_{j\neq i}s_j)x_j \leq y_ix_i$  in S, by Proposition 1. But by the induction assumption,  $y_ix_i$  is an idempotent of S since it is the label of a boundary cycle of  $D_i$  starting at o. It follows that the word w is an idempotent in S, again by Proposition 1.

Hence *S* is *E*-unitary since the minimum group congruence  $\sigma$  on *S* is idempotent-pure.

We close the section by showing that inverse monoids naturally associated with Adian inverse semigroups of the type considered above are also *E*-unitary. We first record a general fact about positive presentations of inverse semigroups.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $S = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be a positive presentation of an inverse semigroup, where  $R = \{(u_i, v_i) | i \in I\}$  and  $u_i, v_i \in X^+$ . Then if S is E-unitary, so is the inverse monoid  $M = Inv\langle X|u_iv_i^{-1} = 1, i \in I \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Clearly both inverse semigroups *S* and *M* have the same maximal group homomorphic image  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ .

If  $u_i v_i^{-1} = 1$  in M, then  $v_i = u_i (v_i^{-1} v_i) \le u_i$  in M. Also  $v_i u_i^{-1} = 1$ , which implies by the same argument that that  $u_i \le v_i$ . Hence  $u_i = v_i$  in M. It follows from a standard argument that the natural map from S onto G factors through M. Hence if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_1$  denote the natural maps from S and M onto G respectively, the fact that  $\sigma$  is idempotent-pure implies that  $\sigma_1$  is idempotent-pure, and so M is E-unitary.

**Corollary 2.** If  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation where  $R = \{(u_i, v_i) | i \in I\}$ , then  $M = Inv \langle X|u_i v_i^{-1} = 1, i \in I \rangle$  is E-unitary.

*Proof.* This is immediate from Theorem 2.0.1 and Proposition 4.  $\Box$ 

### Chapter 3

## Adian presentations with finite Schützenberger complexes

Let  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  be an inverse semigroup. Then for any word  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , the sequence of approximate graphs  $\{(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  obtained by full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion over the presentation  $\langle X|R\rangle$ , converges to the Schützenberger graph of w over the presentation  $\langle X|R\rangle$ . There exist graph homomorphisms,  $\psi_n : \Gamma_n(w) \to \Gamma_{n+1}(w)$ , such that  $\psi_n(\alpha_n) =$  $\alpha_{n+1}$  and  $\psi_n(\beta_n) = \beta_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we attach to  $\Gamma_n(w)$  2-cells corresponding to the relations in the obvious way, we obtain an approximate complex of SC(w). We use the same notation, so that  $\{(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  becomes a sequence of approximate complexes that converges to SC(w). We call a 2-cell to be an *n*-th generation 2-cell if it occurs in  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n) \setminus (\psi_{n-1}(\alpha_{n-1}), \psi_{n-1}(\Gamma_{n-1}(w)), \psi_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}))$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following lemma is due to Steinberg, who gives two slightly deferent proofs, in [12] and [13]. The proof we present here is very similar to the proof in [13].

**Lemma 6.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an inverse semigroup and  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Then the Schützenberger complex of w, SC(w), is simply connected.

*Proof.* We use induction on the sequence of finite approximate complexes obtained by full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion of w. Since  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  is just a tree, it is simply connected.

We assume that the finite approximate complex  $(\alpha_{k-1}, \Gamma_{k-1}(w), \beta_{k-1})$  is simply connected and show that  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$  is simply connected.

Each time we perform an elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion on  $(\alpha_{k-1}, \Gamma_{k-1}(w), \beta_{k-1})$  we sew on a relation  $(u, v) \in R$  along with a 2-cell bounded by the path labeled by  $uv^{-1}$ . We are exactly attaching a simply connected space along a continuous path, the result of which is again simply connected. Thus by induction, all complexes in the sequence of approximate complexes  $\{(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are simply connected. It follows that the limit of SC(w) of this sequence is simply connected.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup and  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Then the Schützenberger complex of w contains no directed cycles of 1-cells.

*Proof.* Meakin showed that, if  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$ , is an *E*-unitary inverse semigroup, then for all words  $u \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , the Schützenberger graph of the word *u* embeds into the Cayley graph of the maximal group homomorphic image of *M*,  $Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ . Likewise, the Schützenberger complex of *u* embeds in the Cayley complex of the group  $Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ . It has been proved in Chapter 2 of this dissertation that Adian inverse semigroups are *E*-unitary. So SC(w) embeds into the Cayley complex of the group  $Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ .

If SC(w) contains a directed cycle then the Cayley complex of  $Gp\langle X|R\rangle$  contains a directed cycle as well. We assume that this directed cycle is labeled by a word x for some  $x \in X^+$ . Then x = 1 so there exists a Van Kampen diagram with boundary labeled by x. But this contradicts Lemma 2 (ii).

**Lemma 8.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X, R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup,  $w \in X^+$ , v a vertex (ocell) of an approximate complex  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n)$  and let  $a, b \in X$  label two distinct edges of  $Star^o_{\Gamma_{n+1}(w)}(\psi_n(v))$ . Then there exists a path in  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$  connecting a and b.

A dual statement to the above Lemma also holds for  $Star^{i}_{\Gamma_{n+1}(w)}(\psi_{n}(v))$ .

*Proof.* We use induction on *n* to prove the above statement. If n = 0, then there are the following two cases to consider.

*Case 1.* Let  $a \in Star_{\Gamma_0(w)}^o(v)$  and  $b \in Star_{\Gamma_1(w)}^o(\psi_0(v)) \setminus Star_{\psi_0(\Gamma_0(w))}^o(\psi_0(v))$ . Since  $w \in X^+$ ,  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  is just a linear automaton with all of its edges directed towards the vertex labeled by  $\beta_0$ . If there exists an *R*-word *ar* (where  $a \in X$  and  $r \in X^*$ ) that labels a path of  $\Gamma_0(w)$  from the vertex *v* to a vertex *v'*, we can sew on a new path labeled by the other side of the relation from the vertex *v* to the vertex *v'*. Note that this is the only way we can add new edges in  $Star_{\Gamma_0(w)}^o(v)$ , because if we attach a new path to the linear automaton  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  from a vertex *u* to a vertex *u'* and some vertex of this new path gets identified with the vertex *v* of the linear automaton, then there will be a new edge in  $Star_{\Gamma_0(w)}^o(v)$ . But this is impossible unless u = v because  $\langle X | R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation, so the first letters of both the *R*-words in a relation are different from each other.

As a consequence of attaching a new path starting from the vertex v,  $Star^{o}_{\Gamma_{1}(w)}(v)$  contains more than one element. So, we sew on a path labeled by the other side of the relation bs (where  $b \in X$  and  $s \in X^{*}$ ) from the vertex v to the vertex v', for some  $(ar, bs) \in R$ . So, there exists an edge between a and b in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose  $a, b \in Star^{o}_{\Gamma_{1}(w)}(\psi_{0}(v)) \setminus Star^{o}_{\psi_{0}(\Gamma_{0}(w))}(\psi_{0}(v))$ . Then, since  $(\alpha_{0}, \Gamma_{0}(w), \beta_{0})$  is a linear automaton, there exist *R*-words  $cs_{1}$  and  $cs_{2}$  (where  $c \in X$  and  $s_{1}, s_{2} \in X^{*}$ ) labeling two overlapping segments of  $\Gamma_{0}(w)$  starting from the vertex labeled by v. This allows us to sew on new paths labeled by the other sides of the relations  $ar_{1}$  and  $br_{2}$ 

(where  $b \in X$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in X^*$ ), respectively, both starting from the vertex labeled by v. Since,  $(ar_1, cs_1), (br_2, cs_2) \in R$ , thus there exists a path between a and b in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ .

Now we assume that the above statement is true for n = k and we prove the above statement for n = k + 1.

If both the edges labeled by *a* and *b* belong to the set  $Star^{o}_{\Gamma_{k}(w)}(v)$ , then by the induction hypothesis, there exists a path in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$  connecting *a* and *b*. So, there is nothing to prove in this case.

Note that  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation and therefore SC(w) contains no positively or negatively labeled directed cycles by Lemma 2. So, if  $a \in Star_{\Gamma_k(w)}^o(v)$  and  $b \in$  $Star_{\Gamma_{k+1}(w)}^o(\psi_k(v)) \setminus Star_{\psi_k(\Gamma_k(w))}^o(\psi_k(v))$ , then either there exists a relation  $(br, as) \in R$ (where  $a, b \in X$  and  $r, s \in X^*$ ), where as labels a path beginning at vertex v in the birooted inverse word graph  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ , or it occurs as consequence of sewing on a path labeled by an R-word starting from a vertex v' (where the vertex labeled by v' lies before the vertex labeled by v on a positively labeled path from  $\alpha_k$  to  $\beta_k$ ), of  $\Gamma_k(w)$  and then folding edges with the same label and same initial vertices.

The latter case is impossible, because if we read an *R*-word *ct* (where  $c \in X$  and  $t \in X^*$ ) starting from the vertex labeled by v' in the finite approximate complex  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ and we sew on a path labeled by the other side of the same relation, du (where  $d \in X$ and  $u \in X^*$ ) to obtain  $(\alpha'_k, \Gamma'_k(w), \beta'_k)$ , then all the vertices of  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$  that are labeled by those letters which also label the edges of the set  $Star^o_{\Gamma_k(w)}(v')$ , are connected by a path in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ , by our induction hypothesis. Since the edge labeled d gets identified with one of the pre-existing edges in the set  $Star^o_{\Gamma_k(w)}(v')$ , therefore there exists a path between cand d in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ . But, we also have  $(ct, du) \in R$ , i.e., there exists an edge between c and d. So, there exists a closed path in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ . This is a contradiction.

Let  $Star^{o}_{\Gamma_{k}(w)}(v) = \{a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{m} | a_{i} \in X \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ , where each  $a_{i}$  labels an edge. Then there are the following two cases to consider: *Case 1.* If  $a \in Star_{\Gamma_k(w)}^o(v)$  and  $b \in Star_{\Gamma_{k+1}(w)}^o(\psi_k(v)) \setminus Star_{\psi_k(\Gamma_k(w))}^o(\psi_k(v))$ . Then  $a = a_i$  for some i and there exists an R-word as (where  $a \in X$  and  $s \in X^*$ ), that labels a path starting from the vertex labeled by v, which allowed us to sew on a path labeled by the other side of the same relation, for some relation of the form  $(br, as) \in R$  (where  $b \in X$  and  $r \in X^*$ ). So, there exists an edge between a and b in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$  and a is connected to  $a_j$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$  by induction hypothesis. Hence, b is connected with each  $a_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  by a path in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ .

*Case 2.* If  $a, b \in Star_{\Gamma_{k+1}(w)}^{o}(\psi_{k}(v)) \setminus Star_{\psi_{k}(\Gamma_{k}(w))}^{o}(\psi_{k}(v))$ . Then there exist *R*-words of the form  $a_{i}s_{1}$  and  $a_{j}s_{2}$  (where  $a_{i}, a_{j} \in X$  and  $s_{1}, s_{2} \in X^{*}$ ) for some  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , that can be read in the finite approximate complex  $(\alpha_{k}, \Gamma_{k}(w), \beta_{k})$ , starting from the vertex labeled by v, that allowed us to sew on positively labeled paths labeled by the other sides of relations of the form  $(ar_{1}, a_{i}s_{1}), (br_{2}, a_{j}s_{2}) \in R$  (where  $a, b \in X$  and  $r_{1}, r_{2} \in X^{*}$ ). Hence there exist an edge between a and  $a_{i}$  and an edge between b and  $a_{j}$  in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ . So, if i = j, then there exists a path between a and b in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$  by the induction hypothesis. Hence, a and b are connected by a path in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ .

#### **Corollary 3.** Let $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$ be an Adian semigroup. Then S has no idempotent element.

*Proof.* We assume that *S* contains an idempotent element *w* for some  $w \in X^+$ . It follows from the Proposition 2 that *S* embeds in the corresponding inverse semigroup  $M = Inv\langle X|R\rangle$ . So  $w = w^2$ . It follows from the Theorem 1.5.2 that  $w^2 \in L(w)$ . Then there exists a least positive integer *n*, such that  $w^2$  labels a path from the initial vertex  $\alpha_n$  to the terminal vertex  $\beta_n$  of the approximate complex  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n)$ . We assume that  $a \in X$  is the prefix letter of *w*. Then by Lemma 8 there exists a path from *a* to *a* in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ . So  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$  contains a closed a path. This is a contradiction. The following lemma shows that if  $Inv\langle X|R\rangle$  is an Adian inverse semigroup, then the construction of the Schützenberger complex of a positive word only involves the elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion process and no folding at all.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X, R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup and  $w \in X^+$ . Then no two edges fold together in the construction of the Schützenberger complex of w.

*Proof.* We use induction on *n* to show that no two edges fold together in the construction of each finite approximate complex of the sequence  $\{(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

The above statement is true for n = 0, because  $w \in X^+$ , so  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  is just a linear automaton with no two consecutive edges oppositely oriented. Hence, no two edges fold together in the construction of  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$ .

We assume that the above statement is true for n = k, i.e., no two edges fold together in the construction of  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ . We show that the above statement is also true for n = k + 1.

We apply an elementary expansion on  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$  to obtain  $(\alpha'_k, \Gamma'_k(w), \beta'_k)$  and then perform folding in  $(\alpha'_k, \Gamma'_k(w), \beta'_k)$  to obtain  $(\alpha_{k+1}, \Gamma_{k+1}(w), \beta_{k+1})$  in the full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. So, for any vertex v of  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ , if we read an R-word labeling a path starting from v to a vertex v', then we sew on a new path labeled by the other side of the same relation from v to v' and then we perform folding if possible. In order to prove the above statement we just need to show that no two edges fold together in  $Star^o_{\Gamma'_k(w)}(v)$ . The case that no two edges fold together in  $Star^i_{\Gamma'_k(w)}(v)$  is dual to the previous case.

Let  $\{a_i \in X | 0 \le i \le l\}$  be the set of labels of edges in  $Star^o_{\Gamma_k(w)}(v)$  and let  $b_0, b_1, ..., b_m$ be the labels of those edges which are in  $Star^o_{\Gamma'_k(w)}(v) \setminus Star^o_{\Gamma_k(w)}(v)$ . We claim that

- 1.  $a_i \neq b_j$  for  $0 \leq i \leq l$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , and
- **2.**  $b_i \neq b_j$  for  $0 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ .

To establish our first claim, we assume that  $a_i = b_j$  for some  $0 \le i \le l$  and  $0 \le j \le m$ . It has already been shown in the proof Lemma 8 that if  $b_j \in Star^o_{\Gamma'_k(w)}(v) \setminus Star^o_{\Gamma_k(w)}(v)$  then there exists a relation of the form  $(b_j r, cs) \in R$  (where  $b_j, c \in X$  and  $r, s \in X^*$ ) and cs labels a path from the vertex v to vertex v'. It follows that  $c \in \{a_t \in X | 0 \le t \le l\}$ . If  $c = a_i$ , then  $(b_j r, cs) = (a_i r, a_i s) \in R$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\langle X | R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation.

If  $c = a_h$  for some h such that  $0 \le h \ne i \le l$ , then by Lemma 8,  $a_i$  and  $a_h$  are connected by a path p in  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$ . We also have  $(b_jr, cs) = (a_hr, a_is) \in R$ . So, there exists an edge e between  $a_i$  and  $a_h$  in  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$ . If p and e represent the same path in  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$ , then the path labeled by  $b_jr$  from the vertex v to the vertex v' already exists in  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ , but this contradicts our earlier assumption that the path labeled by  $b_jr$  from v to v' did not exist in  $(\alpha_k, \Gamma_k(w), \beta_k)$ . So, p and e represents two different co-terminal paths. Hence there exists a cycle in  $LG\langle X|R \rangle$ . This is a contradiction.

To establish our second claim, we assume that  $b_i = b_j$  for some  $0 \le i, j \le m$  with  $i \ne j$ . Note that the paths starting from the vertex v with initial edges labeled by  $b_i$  and  $b_j$  are consequences of relations of the form  $(b_ir_i, a_is_i), (b_jr_j, a_js_j) \in R$  respectively. If  $a_i = a_j$ , then either both of these relations are same or they are different relations. If both of these relations are same then this case reduces to the previous case, which has already been discussed above in the proof of claim 1. If these relations are different from each other, then there exists a cycle in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ , which is a contradiction. So,  $a_i \ne a_j$ . But then by lemma 8, there exists a path connecting  $a_i$  with  $a_j$  in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ . Also, there exist edges from  $a_i$  to  $b_i$  and  $a_j$  to  $b_j$  in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ , corresponding to the relators  $(b_ir_i, a_is_i)$  and  $(b_jr_j, a_js_j)$ . This implies that there is a cycle in  $LG\langle X|R\rangle$ , which is a contradiction.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup and  $w_1 \in X^+$ . Then:

- (*i*)  $\psi_n : (\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w_1), \beta_n) \to (\alpha_{n+1}, \Gamma_{n+1}(w_1), \beta_{n+1})$  is an embedding for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (*ii*)  $SC(w_1)$  has exactly one source vertex  $\alpha$  and exactly one sink vertex  $\beta$ , where  $(\alpha, \Gamma(w_1), \beta)$  is the underlying birooted graph of  $SC(w_1)$ .
- (iii) Every directed edge of  $SC(w_1)$  can be extended to a positively labeled directed transversal from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .
- *Proof.* (i). (i) follows immediately from Lemma 9. Since no foldings occur in the construction of  $\Gamma_{n+1}(w)$  from  $\Gamma_n(w)$ , the images of two distinct vertices of the approximate complex  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n)$  remain distinct under the map  $\psi_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii). (ii) follows from the fact that  $\psi_n(\alpha_n) = \alpha_{n+1} = \alpha$  and  $\psi_n(\beta_n) = \beta_{n+1} = \beta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We sew on a new positively labeled path (labeled by one side of a relation) to an approximate complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_n(w), \beta)$  only when we read a positively labeled segment of a path from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , labeled by the other side of the same relation. Each 2-cell is two sided and no folding occurs in the construction of  $(\alpha, \Gamma_{n+1}(w), \beta)$  from  $(\alpha_n, \Gamma_n(w), \beta_n)$ . So  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  remain the source and sink vertices of the approximate complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_n(w), \beta)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  were distinct vertices of  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(w_1), \beta)$  and no two vertices get identified with each other in the construction of  $SC(w_1)$ , so  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  remain distinct vertices of  $SC(w_1)$ .
- (iii). Let  $e_0$  be a directed edge of  $SC(w_1)$ . The complex  $SC(w_1)$  contains only one source vertex  $\alpha$  and one sink vertex  $\beta$ . So, the initial vertex of  $e_0$  is either  $\alpha$  or it is a terminal vertex of another edge  $e_{-1}$ . If the initial vertex of  $e_0$  is the terminal vertex of an edge  $e_{-1}$  then the initial vertex vertex of  $e_{-1}$  is either  $\alpha$  or the terminal vertex of an edge  $e_{-2}$ . Since there are no directed cycles in  $SC(w_1)$  (by Lemma 7), we can

find a sequence of edges  $e_{-n}$ ,  $e_{-(n-1)}$ , ...,  $e_{-1}$ ,  $e_0$  that constitutes a positively labeled directed path from  $\alpha$  to the terminal vertex of edge  $e_0$ .

Similarly, if the terminal vertex of  $e_0$  is not  $\beta$  then we can find an edge  $e_1$  whose initial vertex is the terminal vertex of  $e_0$ . Continuing in this way, we can find a sequence of edges  $e_0, e_1, ..., e_m$  that constitutes a positively labeled directed path from the edge  $e_0$  to  $\beta$ . Hence, the sequence of edges  $e_{-n}, e_{-(n-1)}, ..., e_0, ..., e_m$  constitutes a positively labeled directed transversal from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup and  $w_1, w_2 \in X^+$  such that  $w_1 \leq w_2$ . Then:

- (i) There exists a (planar) S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words (w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>) that embeds in SC(w<sub>1</sub>).
- (*ii*)  $w_1 = w_2$ .
- *Proof.* (ii). If  $w_1 \leq w_2$ , then  $w_2 \in L(\alpha, \Gamma(w_1), \beta)$  (Stephen, [14]). So,  $w_2$  labels a directed transversal from the vertex  $\alpha$  to the vertex  $\beta$ . Since the transversals labeled by  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are co-terminal and  $SC(w_1)$  is simply connected by Lemma 6, then the closed path labeled by the word  $w_1w_2^{-1}$  is filled with finitely many 2-cells. Every 2-cell is two sided because  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation. So, we can obtain a regular derivation sequence from the word  $w_1$  to the word  $w_2$  from the complex  $SC(w_1)$ , over the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  in the following way.

Geometrically, we push the transversal labeled by  $w_1$  across all first generation 2-cells that were contained in the closed path labeled  $w_1w_2^{-1}$  to obtain a new transversal labeled by  $u_1 \in X^+$ . Combinatorially, we have replaced some of the non overlapping *R*-words that were subwords of the word  $w_1$  by the other side of the same relations.

Then we push the transversal labeled by  $u_1$  across all generation 2-cells that were contained in the closed path labeled by  $w_1w_2^{-1}$  to obtain a new transversal labeled by  $u_2 \in X^+$ . Again, we have just replaced some of the non overlapping *R*-words that were subwords of the word  $u_1$ . This process eventually terminates because the closed path labeled by  $w_1w_2^{-1}$  contains only finitely many 2-cells. So, we obtain a regular derivation  $w_1 \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow u_2 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow w_2$  over the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . Hence there exists an *S*-diagram  $\mathscr{S}$  corresponding to this derivation sequence. Since no two edges fold together in  $SC(w_1)$ , therefore  $\mathscr{S}$  embeds in  $SC(w_1)$ .

(ii). This follows immediately from (ii) and the results of Chapter 1.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup, let  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  and let  $w_1, w_2 \in X^+$  label two co-terminal paths in SC(w). Then there exists an S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words  $(w_1, w_2)$  that embeds in SC(w).

*Proof.* Since *M* is *E*-unitary, SC(w) embeds into the Cayley complex of the group  $G = Gp\langle X|R \rangle$ . So, the word  $w_1w_2^{-1}$  labels a closed path in the Cayley complex. Hence  $w_1w_2^{-1} \stackrel{=}{_G} 1$ . So,  $w_1 \stackrel{=}{_G} w_2$ . It follows from Theorem 1.12.1 that  $w_1 = w_2$  in  $Sg\langle X|R \rangle$ . So, there exists a regular derivation sequence *D* from  $w_1$  to  $w_2$  over the presentation  $S = Sg\langle X|R \rangle$ . The semigroup *S* embeds into the inverse semigroup *M*, by Proposition 2. So the regular derivation sequence *D* also holds in *M*. The complex SC(w) is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion and folding and therefore all the 2-cells corresponding to this regular derivation sequence *D* already exist in SC(w) between the paths labeled by  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Hence the *S*-diagram corresponding to the regular derivation sequence *D* embeds in *SC*(*w*).

In general for any finite inverse semigroup presentation (X, R), and for any word  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , there are natural birooted graph morphisms for the sequence of approximate graphs given by Stephen's procedure for approximating the birooted Schützenberger graph  $(\alpha, \Gamma(w), \beta)$ .

$$(\alpha_1,\Gamma_1(w),\beta_1) \to \cdots \to (\alpha_n,\Gamma_n(w),\beta_n) \to (\alpha_{n+1},\Gamma_{n+1}(w),\beta_{n+1}) \to \cdots \to (\alpha,\Gamma(w),\beta)$$

It was established in Proposition 6 that if  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  is an *Adian inverse semigroup* and w is a *positive* word, that is  $w \in X^+$ , then all of the maps in the above sequence are actually embeddings. We may abuse the notation slightly in this case and for each approximate graph  $\Gamma_n(w)$  we denote the initial and terminal vertices simply as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

$$(\alpha, \Gamma_1(w), \beta) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow (\alpha, \Gamma_n(w), \beta) \hookrightarrow (\alpha, \Gamma_{n+1}(w), \beta) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow (\alpha, \Gamma(w), \beta)$$

Recall that for a positive word  $w \in X^+$ , a **transversal** of an approximate Schützenberger complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_k(w), \beta)$  is defined to be any positively labeled path in  $\Gamma_k(w)$  from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . Before proving the main theorem of this chapter we introduce the following definition of *n*-th generation transversal of a Schützenberger complex.

**Definiton 9.** An *n*-th generation transversal of the Schützenberger complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma(w), \beta)$  is a positively labeled path from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  that can be read in the approximate complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_n(w), \beta)$  but cannot be read in  $(\alpha, \Gamma_{n-1}(w), \beta)$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

When studying certain problems involving an inverse monoid given by a finite presentation (*X*, *R*), for example when considering the word problem for  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$ , it is natural to first ask whether it might happen to be the case that all of the Schützenberger graphs of *M* are finite. The following theorem, our main theorem for this chapter, shows that this question can be reduced to the question of whether or not all Schützenberger graphs of *positive* words are finite.

**Theorem 3.0.1.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be a finitely presented Adian inverse semigroup. Then the Schützenberger complex of w is finite for all words  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  if and only if the Schützenberger complex of w' is finite for all positive words  $w' \in X^+$ .

Idea of the proof: We assume that the Schützenberger graph of every positive word is finite and we let w be an arbitrary word,  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . We will use induction on the number of edges in the Munn tree MT(w) to prove that, the Schützenberger complex of w is finite. The essential part of the proof involves realizing SC(w) as the limit of a sequence of finite complexes, via the procedure of Stephen's  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. We begin with a finite inverse graph (complex) S that is closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions relative to  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . To the complex S we attach a single edge e that is labeled by some letter, say  $a \in X$ . The resulting complex  $S_1 = S \lor \{e\}$  will in general not be closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions. In a process similar to Stephen's full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion construction, we define a sequence of finite complexes  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  that converges in the limit to SC(w). Our theorem will be proved if we can show that this limit is in fact a finite complex. Equivalently, we must prove that the sequence  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  stabilizes after finitely many steps at some  $S_k$ .

*Proof.* We assume that SC(w') is finite for all positive words  $w' \in X^+$ . Let w be an arbitrary word,  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . We will show that SC(w) is finite, by applying induction on the number of edges in MT(w).

For the base of our induction, we suppose that MT(w) consists of only one edge, labeled say by  $a \in X$ . Then by our assumption about positive words, SC(w) = SC(a) is finite.

For our induction hypothesis, we assume that  $SC(w_0)$  is finite for all words  $w_0 \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ , whose Munn tree consist of *k* edges. Let  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$  be a word such

that MT(w) consists of k + 1 edges. We will show that SC(w) is finite.

Let  $\alpha$  be an extremal vertex of MT(w) (i.e., a *leaf* of the tree MT(w)), and let *e* be the edge of MT(w) that connects  $\alpha$  to the remainder of the MT(w). Assume that *e* is a positively labeled edge with initial vertex  $\alpha$  and terminal vertex  $\beta$ . The case when *e* is negatively labeled is dual. The sub-tree obtained by removing the edge *e* consists of *k* edges; we denote this sub-tree by T for our future reference. Note that the tree T is in fact the Munn tree of some word  $z \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . That is, T = MT(z) and there are k edges in MT(z). By the induction hypothesis, the Schützenberger complex S generated by *T*, i.e., S = SC(z), is a finite complex. There exists a graph morphism  $\phi : T \to S$ , and so we may regard the vertex  $\beta$  of *T* as a vertex in the complex S = SC(z). We reattach the edge *e* to the vertex  $\beta$  of *S* and denote the resulting finite complex by *S*<sub>1</sub>, (see Figure 3.1.) The finite complex S = SC(z) was obtained from the subtree *T* by sewing on relations from *R*, and since we reattached the edge *e* in  $S_1 = S \vee \{e\}$ , then naturally  $S_1$  may be regarded as an approximation to SC(w). While the Schützenberger complex S = SC(z) is closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion, the complex  $S_1$  is not necessarily closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. In particular, it is possible that there may be one or more relations  $(r, s) \in R$  such that *r* labels a path in  $S_1$  that begins at vertex  $\alpha$  and the other side of the relation, *s*, is not read in  $S_1$  at  $\alpha$ . It is clear that the closure of  $S_1$  under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion over  $\langle X|R\rangle$  is the Schützenberger complex SC(w).

If the edge *e* (labeled say, by *a*) gets immediately identified by folding to an *a*-labeled edge of the finite complex *S*, then we are done, because in that case we would have SC(w) = S, which was assumed to be a finite complex. So, we assume that the edge *e* does not get immediately identified by folding with any of the edges in the finite complex *S*. We extend the edge *e* to all possible maximal positively labeled paths in *S*<sub>1</sub>. There are only finitely many maximal positively labeled paths in *S*<sub>1</sub> with initial edge *e* because *S*<sub>1</sub> is a finite complex that has no positively labeled cycles (by Lemma 7). We assume that these



paths are labeled by  $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ , where  $w_i \in X^+$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Each such  $w_i$  labels a path from  $\alpha$  to some vertex  $\beta_i$  of *S*. (See Figure 3.2.)



Figure 3.2:  $S_1$  with maximal positively labeled paths from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta_i$ .

In order to complete  $S_1$  under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion and folding, we first attach  $SC(w_i)$  to the path labeled by  $w_i$  in  $S_1$ , for all i, and denote the resulting finite complex by  $S'_1$ . (See Figure 3.3.) Each complex  $SC(w_i)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ , is finite since each  $w_i \in X^+$ . Thus we obtain  $S'_1$  by attaching to  $S_1$  finitely many complexes, each of which is finite, and so  $S'_1$  is finite.



Figure 3.3:  $S'_1$  is obtained from  $S_1$  by sewing on  $SC(w_i)$  to each maximal positive path, with label  $w_i$ , that begins at  $\alpha$  in  $S_1$ .

The complex  $S'_1$  is not necessarily determinized. That is, as a consequence of attaching the complexes  $SC(w_i)$ , there may now be vertices along the paths in  $S'_1$  labeled by  $w_i$  at which there exist two or more edges labeled by the same letter and so we must perform foldings to obtain a determinized graph (complex). We denote the determinized form of  $S'_1$  by  $S_2$ . Since  $S'_1$  is finite, then so is its determinized quotient  $S_2$ . Note however, as consequence of folding  $S'_1$  to  $S_2$ , that the complex  $S_2$  may not be closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ expansions.

And so, we iterate the procedure. In general, the complex  $S_k$  may not be closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. That is, there may be a relation  $(r,s) \in R$  and a path p labeled by r between some two vertices v and v' in  $S_k$  such that the other side of the relation, s, does not label a path in  $S_k$  between v and v'. (We refer to such a path p in  $S_k$  as an *unsaturated* path.) Note that in Stephen's procedure we would at this stage simply attach a path labeled by s between v and v' as one step in the  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. In our setting it turns out, however, that we can "speed up" Stephen's procedure. We will show that every such unsaturated path p can be extended to a positively labeled path that begins at the vertex  $\alpha$ . Such a

path can itself be extended to a maximal positively labeled path that begins at  $\alpha$ . So, instead of merely attaching a single cell along the unsaturated path p that is labeled by r, we instead read the label, say  $w_j$ , of the maximal positively labeled path beginning at  $\alpha$  that contains p as a subpath and we attach the (finite) complex  $SC(w_j)$  along this path. Thus in this one step we are attaching not only the one cell along the unsaturated path p, but also are attaching all cells that would arise from  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions on the maximal path labeled by  $w_j$ .

Our iterative procedure for constructing a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of complexes can be summarized as follows. Suppose that  $S_k$  has been constructed. We first look for all positive words  $w_i$  that label a maximal positive path in  $S_k$  that starts at the vertex  $\alpha$  and that does not label a path starting at  $\alpha$  in  $S'_{k-1}$ . We obtain  $S'_k$  by attaching  $SC(w_i)$  to each such maximal positive path in  $S_k$ . Then we obtain  $S_{k+1}$  by determinizing  $S'_k$ . Thus we obtain a sequence of finite complexes  $\{S_n\}$  that has SC(w) as its limit.

A question is whether we ever reach a graph  $S_k$  in the procedure such that all maximal positive paths that can be read at  $\alpha$  in  $S_k$  can already be read in  $S'_{k-1}$  at  $\alpha$ . If this happens, then the sequence of graphs will stabilize at  $S_k$ . Equivalently, we can ask whether we ever reach a graph  $S_k$  such that every maximal positive path in  $S_k$  is closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. (We say that a maximal positive path p is closed under  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion if for every relation  $(r,s) \in R$ , and for every subpath of p labeled by r between vertices v and v', then the other side of the relation, s, already labels a path between v and v' in  $S_k$ ).

To answer this question, we analyze how the process of folding  $S'_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$  affects

positively labeled paths that already exist in  $S'_k$  and how new positively labeled paths may be created in  $S_{k+1}$  as a consequence of the folding process. We first note, for example, that when we fold  $S'_1$  to  $S_2$  that each of the attached complexes  $SC(w_i)$  that we attached to  $S_1$  will be embedded in  $S_2$  after the folding process. This follows from a fact (see Stephen, [14]) about *E*-unitary semigroups: If *M* is *E*-unitary and *w* is any word, and a word  $w_i$  can be read along some path of the Schützenberger graph  $\Gamma(w)$ , then the entire Schützenberger graph  $\Gamma(w_i)$  will occur as an embedded subgraph of  $\Gamma(w)$  along that path. Since the theorem we are proving assumes that the semigroup M has an Adian presentation, we know from the results of Chapter 2 that that *M* is *E*-unitary. Thus, each  $SC(w_i)$  embeds in  $S_2$ . Likewise, the original graph S is actually the Schützenberger graph  $\Gamma(z)$ , where the word z labels a path in  $S_1$ , and so we know that the original graph S also remains embedded as a subgraph of  $S_2$ . In other words, no two vertices of any one graph  $SC(w_i)$  will become identified with each other and no two vertices of the original graph *S* will become identified with each other in the folding process that takes  $S'_1$  to  $S_2$ . Two vertices of  $S'_1$  will become identified in the folding process only if one of the vertices belongs to the original S and the other vertex belongs to one of the attached  $SC(w_i)$ , or if the two vertices belong to  $SC(w_i)$  and  $SC(w_i)$ , with  $i \neq j$ . Everything that we just said about the process of folding  $S'_1$  to  $S_2$  holds as well for the process of folding  $S'_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$ . The original complex *S* and each complex  $SC(w_i)$ , attached at any step of the iteration, will be embedded as subcomplexes of  $S_{k+1}$ . The interest is in what new paths may be formed as a result of folding  $S'_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$ .

**Claim:** Suppose that  $SC(w_i)$  is one of the complexes that was attached to  $S_k$  to form  $S'_k$ . Suppose, in the process of folding  $S'_k \to S_{k+1}$ , that a vertex  $\gamma$  of  $SC(w_i)$  gets identified, as a consequence of folding, with a vertex  $\gamma'$  of the original complex S. Then we claim that every positively labeled path in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  will get identified by the folding process with a path in S from the vertex  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ . Thus, every maximal positive path p that begins at the vertex  $\alpha$  in  $S_{k+1}$  and cannot be read beginning at the vertex  $\alpha$  in  $S'_k$  will factor uniquely as  $p = p_1 p_2$ , where  $p_1$  is a path in some  $SC(w_i)s$  and  $p_2$  is a path in the original complex S.

*Proof of Claim:* Since  $\gamma$  gets identified with  $\gamma'$  through folding, we know (Stephen, [14]) that in  $S'_k$  there is a path from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$  labeled by a Dyck word d that we may assume is of the form  $d = ss^{-1}$ , where  $s \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Further, we may assume that s is a reduced word. There must be a vertex  $\delta$  that lies on the path labeled by  $w_i$  (the intersection of  $SC(w_i)$  and S in  $S'_k$ ) so that s labels a path in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  and s also labels a path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\delta$ . (See Figures 3.5 & 3.6.) To prove the above claim we assume that  $r_2$  labels an arbitrary positive path in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$ . We need to prove that this path gets identified by folding with a path in S that is labeled by  $r_2$  from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ . The path in  $SC(w_i)$  that is labeled by  $r_2$  can be extended to an nth generation transversal t of  $SC(w_i)$ , for some n. To complete our proof, we apply induction on the generation number, n, of the transversal of t of  $SC(w_i)$ .

Suppose that the path labeled by  $r_2$  lies on a 1st generation transversal t of  $SC(w_i)$ . We assume that  $t \equiv r_1r_2$  where  $r_1$  labels the sub-path of t from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$  and  $r_2$  labels the sub-path of t from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$ . Since  $\gamma$  lies on a 1st generation transversal of  $SC(w_i)$ , we conclude that in the Dyck word path  $ss^{-1}$  that goes from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$ , it must be that either s is a positive word or  $s^{-1}$  is a positive word. We examine the following three cases.

*Case 1.* (See Figure 3.4.) Suppose that the vertex  $\delta$  is actually  $\beta_i$ , the terminal vertex of the path labeled by  $w_i$ , and suppose the Dyck word labeling the path from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$  is the word  $r_2r_2^{-1}$ . In this case, the word  $r_2$  labels the subpath of the transversal t of  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  and the word  $r_2$  also labels a path from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ . These two paths, both labeled by  $r_2$ , which meet at the vertex  $\beta_i$ , will fold together so that the path labeled by  $r_2$  in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  gets identified with the path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ . So in this case the statement of the claim obviously holds.



Figure 3.4: Case 1 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim

*Case 2.* (See Figure 3.5.) We assume that the Dyck word path from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$  is labeled by  $r_3r_3^{-1}$ , where  $r_3$  is a positive word,  $r_3 \in X^+$ . So, the path in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  is labeled by  $r_3$  and the path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\delta$  is labeled by  $r_3$ . The oppositely oriented paths labeled by  $r_3$ , which meet at  $\delta$ , become identified with each other through folding. We assume that the sub-path from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$ , of the maximal path labeled by  $w_i$ , is labeled by  $r_4 \in X^+$ . Obviously, the path labeled by  $r_4$  from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$  is in  $SC(w_i)$  and the path labeled by  $r_3$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  is also in  $SC(w_i)$ . Hence the path labeled by  $r_3r_4$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  is in  $SC(w_i)$ . The positive words  $r_2$  and  $r_3r_4$  label two co-terminal paths in  $SC(w_i)$ . So, by Lemma 10 an S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words  $(r_2, r_3r_4)$  embeds in  $SC(w_i)$ . This S-diagram also embeds in S, because S contains a path labeled by  $r_3r_4$ , and S is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. So, the two S-diagrams corresponding to the pair of words  $(r_2, r_3r_4)$  get identified with each other and our claim holds in this case. That is, the path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $r_2$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  gets identified with a path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ .



Figure 3.5: Case 2 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim

*Case* 3. (See Figure 3.6.) We assume that the Dyck word path from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$  is labeled by  $r_3^{-1}r_3$ , where  $r_3$  is a positive word. So, the path in  $SC(w_i)$  from  $\delta$  to  $\gamma$  is labeled by  $r_3$  and the path in S from  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$  is labeled by  $r_3$ . The oppositely oriented paths labeled by  $r_3$ , which meet at  $\delta$ , become identified with each other through folding. Now we have the path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $r_3r_2$  from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$  in  $SC(w_i)$ . Again, we let the positive word  $r_4$  be the label of the sub-path of the maximal path labeled by  $w_i$  from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$ . The words  $r_3r_2$  and  $r_4$  label two co-terminal paths in  $SC(w_i)$ . So, by Lemma 10 an S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words  $(r_3r_2, r_4)$  embeds in  $SC(w_i)$ . This S-diagram also embeds in S, because S contains a path labeled by one side of this S-diagram (namely, the path labeled by  $r_4$ ) and S is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. Hence these two S-diagrams get identified with each other through folding. In particular, the path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $r_2$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  gets identified with a path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ , and so our claim follows in this case as well. This concludes the base case of the inductive proof of the claim.

We assume that our claim is true for all paths from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  that lie along a (n-1)-st



Figure 3.6: Case 3 for the first generation transversals of the proof of the Claim

generation transversal of  $SC(w_i)$ . We prove that our claim is true for all *n*-th generation transversals of  $SC(w_i)$  as well.

Suppose that an arbitrary path from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  is labeled by the positive word  $s_2$  and suppose that this path extends to an *n*-th generation transversal *t* of  $SC(w_i)$ . Let  $t \equiv s_1s_2$ where  $s_1$  labels the sub-path of *t* from  $\alpha$  to  $\gamma$  and  $s_2$  labels the sub-path of *t* from  $\gamma$ to  $\beta_i$ . Again, since the vertex  $\gamma$  in  $SC(w_i)$  gets identified with with the vertex  $\gamma'$  in *S* through folding, we know that there is a Dyck word  $ss^{-1}$  that labels a path from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$ . Since  $\gamma$  lies on an *n*-th generation transversal of  $SC(w_i)$ , the Dyck word path  $ss^{-1}$  must pass through some vertex  $\delta$  of  $SC(w_i)$  that lies on an (n-1)-st generation transversal of  $SC(w_i)$ . We examine the following three cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose the vertex  $\delta$  is the vertex  $\beta_i$  and we read the Dyck word  $s_2s_2^{-1}$  in  $S'_k$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$ . Then we fold the oppositely oriented paths labeled by  $s_2$ . So in this case it is obvious that that path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $s_2$  gets identified with the path in S from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_i$ .

*Case 2.* (See Figure 3.7.) Suppose *q* denotes an (n - 1)-st generation transversal of

 $SC(w_i)$  and the vertex  $\delta$  lies on the transversal q. Assume also that  $\delta$  has already been identified with a vertex of S as a consequence of folding along the Dyck word  $ss^{-1}$ . In this case (Case 2), we assume that the portion of the Dyck word path from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  is labeled by a positive word  $s_3 \in X^+$ . Since  $\delta$  has already been folded and identified with a vertex of *S*, we have the Dyck word  $s_3 s_3^{-1}$  labeling a path from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$  in the partially folded  $S'_k$ . The path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $s_3$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  can be extended along the (n-1)-st generation transversal *q* to the vertex  $\beta_i$ . We assume that this path is labeled by  $s_3s_4 \in X^+$ , where  $s_4 \in X^+$  labels the sub-path of q from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$ . By the induction hypothesis the sub-path of *q* from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$  gets identified with a path in *S*. Thus we have a path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $s_3s_4$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_i$  and we also have a path in S labeled by  $s_{3}s_{4}$  from  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_{i}$ . The positive words  $s_{2}$  and  $s_{3}s_{4}$  label two co-terminal paths in  $SC(w_{i})$ . So by Lemma 10 an S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words  $(s_2, s_3s_4)$  embeds in  $SC(w_i)$ . This S-diagram also embeds in S, because S contains the path labeled by one side of the S-diagram (namely,  $s_3s_4$ ) and S is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. So the two S-diagrams corresponding to the pair of words  $(s_2, s_3s_4)$  get identified with each other. Hence, the path in  $SC(w_i)$  labeled by  $s_2$  gets identified with a path in S, and the claim holds in this case.

*Case 3.* (See Figure 3.8.) Suppose q denotes an (n - 1)-st generation transversal of  $SC(w_i)$  and  $\delta$  is a vertex on the transversal q that has already been identified with a vertex of S. We also assume that there exists a path, labeled by  $s_3 \in X^+$ , from  $\delta$  to  $\gamma$  such that we can read the Dyck word  $s_3^{-1}s_3$  from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  to  $\gamma'$  in  $S'_k$ . We extend the path labeled by  $s_3$  from  $\delta$  to  $\gamma$  along the n-th generation transversal t to a positively labeled path (labeled by  $s_3s_2 \in X^+$ ) from  $\delta$  to  $\beta_i$ . By the induction hypothesis the sub-path of q from the vertex  $\delta$  to the vertex  $\beta_i$  (say, labeled by  $s_4 \in X^+$ ) is in S. Hence  $s_3s_2$  and  $s_4$  label two co-terminal paths in  $SC(w_i)$  and the path labeled by  $s_4$  is also in S. By Lemma 10 an S-diagram corresponding to the pair of words ( $s_3s_2, s_4$ ) embeds in  $SC(w_i)$ . This



Figure 3.7: Case 2 for the *n*-th generation transversal of the proof of the claim

*S*-diagram also embeds in *S*, because *S* contains one side of this *S*-diagram and *S* is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion. Thus the two *S*-diagrams corresponding to the pair of words ( $s_3s_2, s_4$ ) get identified with each other and the claim holds in this case as well. This completes the inductive step in the proof of the claim.



Figure 3.8: Case 3 for the *n*-th generation transversal of the proof of the claim

We perform all possible foldings mentioned in the proof of above claim in  $S'_1$  and denote the resulting complex by  $S_2$ . In this folding process edges of the complex S are folded with the edges of  $SC(w_i)$  for some i. This folding process may create new maximal positively labeled paths starting from  $\alpha$  that did not exist in  $S'_1$ .

For example,  $S'_1$  may contain a path labeled by  $r_3^{-1}r_5$  for some  $r_5 \in X^+$  starting from the vertex  $\beta_i$  as shown in the Figure 3.9. After folding the path labeled by  $r_3r_3^{-1}$ , we can read a new positively labeled path starting from  $\alpha$  and labeled by  $r_1r_5$  which could not be read in  $S'_1$ .



Figure 3.9: An example showing the construction of new maximal positively labeled paths starting from  $\alpha$  as a consequence of folding of edges in  $S'_1$ 

It is also possible that  $S'_1$  may contain a path labeled by  $r_4r_5$  for some  $r_5 \in X^+$  starting from the vertex  $\delta$  as shown in the following diagram. After folding the path labeled by  $r_4^{-1}r_4$ , we can read a new positively labeled path starting from  $\alpha$  and labeled by  $r_1r_5$ which could not be read in  $S'_1$ .

Now we consider all those maximal positively labeled paths in  $S_2$  that start from  $\alpha$  and did not exist in  $S'_1$ . Since there are only finitely many such paths, we assume that these paths are labeled by  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m$  and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  the path labeled by  $u_i$  terminates at the vertex  $\gamma_i$ . Note that each maximal path labeled by  $u_i$  must terminate at



Figure 3.10: An example showing the construction of new maximal positively labeled paths starting from  $\alpha$  as a consequence of folding of edges in  $S'_1$ 

a vertex  $\gamma_i$  that is in the original complex *S*. Then  $SC(u_i)$  for each *i* is finite by hypothesis. So, we attach  $SC(u_i)$  at the path labeled by  $u_i$  for all *i* and denote the resulting complex by  $S'_2$ .

If the paths labeled by  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  for some  $i \neq j$  are co-terminal, then by the same argument as above  $SC(u_i)$  and  $SC(u_j)$  are isomorphic to each other as edge labeled graphs. So, they get identified with each other.

If for some *i*,  $SC(u_i)$  contains a transversal labeled by  $t \in X^+$  such that  $\theta(\neq \gamma_i)$  is the first vertex of *u* that gets identified with a vertex in  $S_2$ , then the entire positively labeled sub-path of *t* from  $\theta$  to  $\gamma_i$  gets identified with a positively labeled path in  $S_2$ . This can be verified by using the same argument as above.

We perform all possible foldings in  $S'_2$  and denote the resulting complex by  $S_3$ . In this folding process edges of the complex *S* are folded with the edges of  $SC(u_i)$  for some *i*. This folding process may create new maximal positively labeled paths starting from  $\alpha$  that did not exist in  $S'_2$ . So, we repeat this entire process again.

We keep repeating this process of sewing finite Schützenber complexes of positive words and folding unless we reach to the point where we can not create new maximal positively labeled paths starting from  $\alpha$  and terminating at a vertex in the complex *S*. This process of expansion and folding eventually terminates, because  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is a finite presentation, therefore an edge labeled by a letter can only be incident with finitely many 2-cells and there are only finitely many edges in *S* as *S* is a finite complex. By construction, the resulting complex is deterministic and closed with respect to elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansions, so it is the Schützenberger complex of *w*. So, the Schützenberger complex of *w* is finite.

### Chapter 4

## The word problem for some classes of Adian inverse semigroups

# 4.1 The word problem for a sub-family of Adian inverse semigroups that satisfy condition (\*)

**Definiton 10.** We say that a positive presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  satisfies **condition** (\*), if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- 1. No proper prefix of an *R*-word is a suffix of itself or any other *R*-word.
- 2. No proper suffix of an *R*-word is a prefix of itself or any other *R*-word.

If  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is a finite Adian presentation that satisfies condition (\*), then the set of relations *R* consists of two types of relations. First, those relations which are of the form (u, xvy), where *u* and *v* are *R*-words and  $x, y \in X^+$ . Second, those relations  $(u, v) \in R$  where neither *u* nor *v* contains an *R*-word as a proper sub-word. We construct a directed graph corresponding to an Adian presentation that satisfies condition (\*) as follows. We

call this graph the **bi-sided graph of the presentation**  $\langle X|R \rangle$ . The bi-sided graph of a positive presentation is defined as follows.

**Definiton 11.** The **bi-sided graph** of the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is a finite, directed, edgelabeled graph, denoted by BS(X, R) satisfying

- The vertex set of *BS*(*X*, *R*) is the set of all *R*-words.
- To define the edge set of *BS*(*X*, *R*), let *u*, *v* be two *R*-words (where it may happen that *u* and *v* are the same *R*-word). There is a directed edge from the vertex *u* to the vertex *v* if any of the following three conditions holds:
  - (*u*, *xvy*) ∈ *R*, for some *x*, *y* ∈ *X*<sup>+</sup>. In this case, the directed edge from *u* to *v* is labeled by the ordered pair (*x*, *y*).
  - 2.  $u \equiv xvy$ , for some  $x, y \in X^+$  and u and v are distinct *R*-words. In this case, the edge from u to v is labeled by the ordered pair (x, y).
  - If (u, v) ∈ R is such that neither u nor v contains any R-word as a proper subword, then there is an edge in the bi-sided graph between u and v, pointing in both directions. This edge is labeled by (ε, ε), where ε denotes the empty word.

In general, the bi-sided graph BS(X, R) of an Adian presentation may contain closed paths.

**Example 4.** The bi-sided graph of the Adian presentation  $\langle a, b | aba = b \rangle$  contains a directed closed path (cycle), namely the loop consisting of the single edge labeled by (a, a) from the vertex *b* to itself. (See Figure 4.1.) The presentation  $\langle a, b | aba = b \rangle$  does not satisfy condition ( $\star$ ) either, because the *R*-word *aba* has the letter *a* as a prefix and as a suffix.



Figure 4.1: The bi-sided graph of  $\langle a, b | aba = b \rangle$  contains a cycle, (the loop at vertex *b*).

**Example 5.** The bi-sided graph of the Adian presentation  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k | a = fbg, a = jck, b = hci, c = de \rangle$  contains an undirected closed path. (See figure 4.2.) The presentation  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k | a = fbg, a = jck, b = hci, c = de \rangle$  satisfies condition (\*).



Figure 4.2: The bi-sided graph of  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k | a = fbg, a = jck, b = hci, c = de \rangle$ 

**Example 6.** The bi-sided graph of the Adian presentation  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m | a = fcg, b = hci, c = de, l = jm^2k \rangle$  is a forest. (See figure 4.3). The presentation  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m | a = fcg, b = hci, c = de, l = jm^2k \rangle$  satisfies condition (\*).

**Remark 4.** For the remainder of this section we consider presentations  $\langle X|R \rangle$  such that



Figure 4.3: The bi-sided graph of  $\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m | a = fcg, b = hci, c = de, l = jm^2k \rangle$ 

- 1.  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation.
- 2.  $\langle X|R \rangle$  satisfies condition (\*).
- 3. The bi-sided graph *BS*(*X*, *R*) is cycle-free. That is, there are no closed paths, directed or un-directed, in *BS*(*X*, *R*). In other words, *BS*(*X*, *R*) is a forest.

Note that if the bi-sided graph of a presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is a forest, then every *R*-word labels a vertex of a connected component in BS(X, R) that is a tree. For an *R*-word *u*, we let  $T_u$  denote the unrooted tree that contains the vertex *u* and we refer to  $T_u$  as the **bi-sided tree of** *u*. If *u* and *v* label two different vertices of the same bi-sided tree, then  $T_u$  and  $T_v$  denote the same unrooted, bi-sided tree.

**Definiton 12.** Let *u* be an *R*-word. We say that a vertex labeled by *v* of  $T_u$  is **accessible** from *u* if there exists a path labeled by *v* in SC(u).

Note that if there exists a directed edge from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in the bi-sided tree of u and  $v_1$  is accessible from u then  $v_2$  is also accessible from u. Because if  $v_1$  is accessible from u, then there exists a path labeled by  $v_1$  in SC(u). Since there exists a directed edge from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ 

in the bi-sided tree of *u* therefore either  $(v_1, xv_2y) \in R$  or  $v_1 \equiv xv_2y$  for some  $x, y \in X^+$ . In either case there exists a path labeled by  $v_2$  in SC(u).

However, if  $v_2$  is accessible from u, and there is an edge in BS(X, R) from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ , then  $v_1$  is not necessarily accessible from u. Also, if a vertex v of  $T_u$  is *not* accessible from u then all of the vertices of  $T_u$  that lie after the vertex v going along any geodesic path from u to an extremal vertex of  $T_u$  are also not accessible.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be a finitely presented Adian inverse semigroup that satisfies condition ( $\star$ ) and (such that) BS(X, R) contains no closed paths. Then SC(u) is finite, for every *R*-word u.

*Proof.* We start from the linear automaton  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  and obtain the approximate complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_1(u), \beta)$  by applying full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion on  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$ .

In the construction of  $(\alpha, \Gamma_1(u), \beta)$ , we attach a path labeled by one side of a relation whose other side can be read in  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$ . In the linear automaton  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  we can precisely read u and all those R-words that are proper subwords of u. Each relation of R with one side u is either of the form (u, xvy) for some  $x, y \in X^+$  and an R-word vor of the form (u, v) where v contains no R-word as its proper subword. The first case corresponds to an edge of "type 1" in  $T_u$  and the second case corresponds to an edge of "type 3" in  $T_u$ . Any R-word  $u_1$  that is a proper subword of u corresponds to an edge of "type 2" in  $T_u$ , where  $u \equiv xu_1y$  for some  $x, y \in X^+$ . Thus, in performing Stephen's  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion to obtain  $\Gamma_1(u)$  from  $\Gamma_0(u)$ , there is a precise correspondence between first generation transversals of SC(u) and the edges of  $T_u$  whose initial vertex is either labeled by u or labeled by an R-word that is a proper subword of u.

If there exists an edge of type 1 or type 2 labeled by (x, y) for some  $x, y \in X^+$  from a vertex labeled by an *R*-word *v* to the vertex *u* in  $T_u$ , then either  $(v, xuy) \in R$  or  $v \equiv xuy$ . We show that no generation 1 transversal contains a subpath labeled by *v*. In other words, we show that *v* is inaccessible from *u*.

Note that we cannot read the word *xuy* in the linear automaton  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  because  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  contains only one path from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  that is labeled by the word *u*. So, if  $(v, xuy) \in R$  then we cannot attach a path labeled by *v* to the linear automaton  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  and if  $v \equiv xuy$  then we cannot read a path labeled by *v* in  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(u), \beta)$  because it is longer than the path labeled by *u*.

We obtain the approximate complex  $(\alpha, \Gamma_2(u), \beta)$  by applying the full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion on  $(\alpha, \Gamma_1(u), \beta)$ . We observe that the second generation transversals of SC(u) are obtained as a consequence of attaching paths labeled by those *R*-words which label the terminal vertices of those edges of  $T_u$  whose initial vertex is either a first generation transversal or a proper subword of an *R*-word that labels a first generation transversal of SC(u). If there exists an edge in BS(X, R) labeled by  $(x_1, y_1)$  for some  $x_1, y_1 \in X^*$  with initial vertex labeled by  $v_1$  and terminal vertex labeled by  $v_2$  such that  $x_1v_2y_1$  is a subword of an *R*-word that labels a first generation transversal, then we obtain a second generation transversal by sewing on a path labeled by  $v_1$  from the initial vertex to the terminal vertex of the of the path  $x_1v_2y_1$ .

If there exists an edge of type 1 or type 2 labeled by  $(x_1, y_1)$  with initial vertex  $v_1$  and terminal vertex  $v_2$  (i.e. either  $(v_1, x_1v_2y_1) \in R$  or  $v_1 \equiv x_1v_2y_1$ ) such that  $v_2$  is a subword of an *R*-word that labels a first generation transversal but  $x_1v_2y_1$  is not a subword of that transversal, then we cannot attach a path labeled by  $v_1$  to  $(\alpha, \Gamma_1(u), \beta)$ . So, none of the vertices of  $T_u$  that occur after the vertex  $v_1$  going along a path from the vertex u to an extremal vertex of  $T_u$  will be accessible from u in SC(u).

We observe that when we apply full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion on  $(\alpha, \Gamma_n(u), \beta)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we cover some more vertices of  $T_u$  that were not covered before in the sense that we add some new transversals that contains an *R*-word that labels a vertex of  $T_u$  and that *R*-word does not label a path in  $(\alpha, \Gamma_n(u), \beta)$ . Since  $T_u$  is a finite tree and none of the *R*-word
label two distinct vertices of  $T_u$  therefore the process of applying full  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion must terminate after a finite number of steps. Hence SC(u) is finite.

**Lemma 12.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be an Adian inverse semigroup. Let u be an R-word and z labels a proper suffix of a transversal p of SC(u). Then either

- (i) z contains an R word that also labels a subpath of p, or
- (ii) A prefix of z is a suffix of some R-word that also labels a subpath of p.

*Proof.* If z does not contain an R-word that also labels a subpath of the transversal p then the initial vertex of the path labeled by z is not the initial vertex of any R-word that labels a subpath of p. Hence the initial vertex of z lies between a pair of vertices of p that are the initial and the terminal vertex of a subpath of p that is labeled by an R-word. Hence a prefix of z is a suffix of an R-word.

We also remark that a dual statement also holds for a prefix of a transversal of the Schützenberger complex of an *R*-word over an Adian presentation.

**Remark 5.** In Lemma 12, if the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  satisfies condition ( $\star$ ) and *z* happens to be a prefix of an *R*-word, then only (*i*) holds, because (*ii*) violates the condition ( $\star$ ).

Similarly, in the dual statement to Lemma 12, if the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$  satisfies condition (\*) and *z* happens to be a suffix of an *R*-word, then only (*i*) holds, because (*ii*) violates the condition (\*).

**Theorem 4.1.1.** Let  $M = Inv\langle X|R \rangle$  be a finitely presented Adian inverse semigroup that satisfies condition (\*) and BS(X,R) contains no closed path. Then SC(w) is finite, for all  $w \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ . Hence the word problem is decidable for M.

*Proof.* We just need to show that SC(w) is finite for all  $w \in X^+$  and then the above theorem follows from Theorem 3.0.1. So, we assume that  $w \in X^+$  and we construct the linear automaton of w,  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(w), \beta)$ .

It follows from condition ( $\star$ ), that no two distinct *R*-words will overlap with each other. However, an *R*-word can be a proper subword of another *R*-word. So, we can uniquely factorize w as  $x_0u_1x_2u_2...u_nx_n$ , where  $x_i \in X^*$  and  $u_i$ 's are maximal *R*-words in the sense that none of the  $u_i$ 's are properly contained in another *R*-word that is also a subword of w.

It follows from Lemma 11, that  $SC(u_i)$  is finite for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . So, we attach  $SC(u_i)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  to the corresponding paths labeled by  $u_i$ 's in  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(w), \beta)$  to construct SC(w) and denote the resulting complex by  $S_1$ . It follows from Lemma 9, that no two edges get identified with each other as a consequence of attaching  $SC(u_i)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  to the linear automaton  $(\alpha, \Gamma_0(w), \beta)$ . If  $S_1$  is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion, then we are done. Otherwise, we will be able to read a finite number of *R*-words labeling the paths of  $S_1$  where we can attach new 2-cells by sewing on paths labeled by the other sides of the corresponding relations.

We assume that  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$  are the *R*-words that label the paths of  $S_1$  where we can attach new 2-cells. Note that each of  $v_i$  labels a vertex of  $T_{u_j}$  for some *i* and *j*, that was inaccessible from  $u_j$  earlier. Because if  $v_i$  labels a path in  $S_1$  then by Lemma 12 and Remark 5 the path labeled by  $v_i$  contains an *R*-word  $r_j$  as a proper subword such that the *R*-word  $r_j$  labels a path in  $SC(u_j)$ . In other words,  $r_j$  labels an accessible vertex of  $T_{u_j}$  from the vertex  $u_j$ . Since  $r_j$  is a proper subword of  $v_i$ , therefore there exists an edge of type 2 in  $T_{u_j}$  with initial vertex labeled by  $v_i$  and the terminal vertex labeled by  $r_j$ . So,  $T_{v_i}$  and  $T_{u_j}$  represent the same tree for some *i* and *j*. By Lemma 11,  $SC(v_i)$  is finite for all  $1 \le i \le m$  and cover some more vertices of  $T_{u_j}$  in the sense that  $SC(v_i)$  contain paths labeled by those *R*-word which also label some of the vertices of  $T_{u_j}$ , for some  $1 \le j \le n$ ,

that were not covered by  $SC(u_i)$ .

We attach  $SC(v_i)$  to the paths labeled by  $v_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le m$  in  $S_1$  and denote the resulting complex by  $S_2$ . No two edges get identified with each other in  $S_2$  as a consequence of attaching  $SC(v_i)$ 's to  $S_1$  by Lemma 9. If  $S_2$  is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ expansion then we are done. Otherwise we repeat this process of attaching Schützenberger complexes of *R*-words and capturing more vertices of the trees  $T_{u_i}$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$ . This process eventually terminates, because, each  $T_{u_i}$  is a finite tree with all the vertices labeled by distinct *R*-words and every *R*-word labels a vertex of exactly one tree. Hence, SC(w) is a finite complex.

## 4.2 The word problem for Inverse semigroups given by the presentation $\langle a, b | ab^m = b^n a \rangle$

In this section we show that the word problem is decidable for the inverse semigroup given by the presentation  $M = Inv\langle a, b | ab^m = b^n a \rangle$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The word problem for the case case m = n follows from Corollary 6.6 of [14]. So, throughout this section we assume that m > n. The case m < n follows from a dual argument. We can get an alternate proof for the case n = m by following along same lines as in the case of m < n.

**Lemma 13.** The Schützenberger complex of a word  $a^k b^t$  for  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , over the presentation  $\langle a, b | a b^m = b^n a \rangle$ , is finite.

*Proof.* We adopt a slightly different approach to construct  $SC(a^k b^t)$ . We draw edges labeled by *a* horizontally and edges labeled by *b* vertically. Then the linear automaton of  $a^k b^t$ ,  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(a^k b^t), \beta_0)$ , is shown in Figure 4.4. If t < m, then we cannot attach any 2-cell to  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(a^k b^t), \beta_0)$ . So, the above lemma is true for this case.

If  $t \ge m$ , then  $t = q_1m + r_1$ , where  $q_1$  is the quotient and  $r_1$  is a remainder and  $0 \le r_1 < m$ . We can attach  $q_1$  2-cells in the first column along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^t$  of  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(a^k b^t), \beta_0)$ . After attaching all the 2-cells in the first column along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^t$  we have created a new vertical segment labeled by  $b^{nq_1}$ , because there are total  $q_1$  2-cells and each 2-cells contains exactly n edges on the newly attached side of the 2-cell.



Figure 4.4: Construction of  $SC(a^k b^t)$ .

If  $nq_1 < m$  or k = 1 then the process of attaching new 2-cells terminates at this stage. If neither  $nq_1 < m$  nor k = 1, then  $nq_1 = q_2m + r_2$ , where  $q_2$  is a quotient,  $r_2$  is a remainder and  $0 \le r_2 < m$ . So, We can attach a column of  $q_2$  2-cells along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^{nq_1}$ . This process of attaching columns of new 2-cells terminates after at most k steps. So,  $SC(a^k b^t)$  is a finite complex.

**Remark 6.** In the above construction of  $SC(a^kb^t)$  in Lemma 13, every new maximal vertical segment contains fewer edges than the other vertical side of the same column of 2-cells.

**Lemma 14.** The Schützenberger complex of a word  $b^t a^k$  for  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , over the presentation  $\langle a, b | a b^m = b^n a \rangle$ , is finite.

*Proof.* We draw edges labeled by *a* horizontally and edges labeled by *b* vertically. Then the linear automaton of  $b^t a^k$ ,  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(b^t a^k), \beta_0)$ , is shown in Figure 4.5. If t < n, then we cannot attach any 2-cell to  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(b^t a^k), \beta_0)$ . So, the above lemma is true for this case.

If  $t \ge n$ , then  $t = q_1n + r_1$ , where  $q_1$  is the quotient and  $r_1$  is a remainder and  $0 \le r_1 < n$ . We can attach  $q_1$  2-cells in the first column along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^t$  of  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(a^k b^t), \beta_0)$ . After attaching all the 2-cells in the first column along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^t$  we have created a new vertical segment labeled by  $b^{mq_1}$ , because there are total  $q_1$  2-cells and each 2-cells contains exactly *m* edges on the newly attached side of the 2-cell.



Figure 4.5: Construction of  $SC(b^t a^k)$ .

If k = 1 then the process of attaching new 2-cells terminates at this stage. Otherwise  $mq_1 = q_2n + r_2$ , where  $q_2$  is a quotient,  $r_2$  is a remainder and  $0 \le r_2 < n$ . So, We can attach a column of  $q_2$  2-cells along the vertical segment labeled by  $b^{mq_1}$ . Clearly, this process of attaching columns of new 2-cells terminates after k steps. So,  $SC(b^ta^k)$  is a finite complex.

**Remark 7.** In the above construction of  $SC(b^t a^k)$  in Lemma 14 every new maximal vertical segment contains more edges than the other vertical side of the same column of 2-cells.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** For all  $w \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}^*$  the Schützenberger complex of a word w, SC(w), over the presentation  $Inv\langle a, b | ab^m = b^n a \rangle$  is finite. Hence the word problem is decidable for M.

*Proof.* Since  $\langle X|R \rangle$  is an Adian presentation, we just need to show that SC(w) for all  $w \in \{a, b\}^+$  is finite, then Theorem 4.2.1 follows from Theorem 3.0.1.

If *w* is of the form  $a^k$  or  $b^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then SC(w) is finite.

We assume that  $w \equiv a^{k_0}b^{t_0}a^{k_1}b^{t_1}...a^{k_l}b^{t_l}$ , where  $k_0, t_l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $k_i, t_j \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$  and  $0 \leq j \leq l-1$ .

We construct SC(w) by drawing the edges labeled by *a* horizontally and the edges labeled by *b* vertically. So,  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  looks like the diagram shown in Figure 4.6. We attach  $SC(a^{k_i}b^{t_i})$  on the path labeled by  $a^{k_i}b^{t_i}$  of  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  wherever it is possible to attach and we denote the resulting complex by  $S_1$ . No two edges get identified with each other as a consequence of attaching these finite complexes to  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$  by Lemma 9. As a consequence of attaching these finite complexes to the  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$ , we have created at most l - 1 new maximal directed paths labeled by  $a^k b^t$  for some  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We attach finite complexes of the form  $SC(a^k b^t)$  to every new maximal path labeled by a word of the form  $a^k b^t$  for some  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$  in  $S_1$ . We denote the resulting complex by  $S_2$ . Again by Lemma 9, no two edges get identified with each other in  $S_2$ . We can read at most l - 2 new maximal directed paths in  $S_2$  which are labeled by the words of the form  $a^k b^t$  for some  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, we repeat the process attaching finite Schützenberger complexes of the words of the form  $a^k b^t$  where ever it is possible to attach and denote the resulting complex by  $S_3$ . Note that this process of attaching finite Schützenberger complexes of the words of the form  $a^k b^t$  eventually terminates after at most l steps. We denote the resulting complex by S'.



Figure 4.6:  $(\alpha_0, \Gamma_0(w), \beta_0)$ 

Now in S', on the other side of the path labeled by w, we attach finite Schützenberger complexes of the words  $b^{t_i}a^{k_{i+1}}$  at the paths labeled by  $b^{t_i}a^{k_{i+1}}$  for  $0 \le i \le l-1$ , where ever it is possible to attach and denote the resulting complex by  $S'_1$ . By Lemma 9 no two edges in  $S'_1$  get identified with each other as a consequence of attaching these finite complexes. As a consequence of attaching these finite complexes we have created at most l-1 new maximal paths which are labeled by the words of the form  $b^t a^k$  for some  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, we repeat the process of attaching finite Schützenberger complexes of the words of the form  $b^t a^k$  at the corresponding new paths in  $S'_1$ . We denote the resulting complex by  $S'_2$ . This process of attaching finite complexes of the words of the form  $b^t a^k$ terminates after at most l steps and we obtain a finite complex which is closed under elementary  $\mathscr{P}$ -expansion and folding. Hence, SC(w) is a finite complex.

## Bibliography

- Adian, S. I., *Defining relations and algorithmic problems for groups and semigroups*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., No 85 (1966). 1.12.1
- Boone, W.W., Certain simple unsolvable problems in group theory, I, II, III, IV, V, VI, Nederl. Akad.Wetensch Proc. Ser. A57, 231-237,492-497 (1954), 58, 252-256,571-577 (1955), 60, 22-27,227-232 (1957). 1.2
- [3] Hopcroft, J. E. and Ullman. J. D., Introduction to automata theory, languages and computation, Addison-Wesley Publication Co., Reading, Mass, 1979, Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science. 1.4, 1.4
- [4] Howie, J. M., An introduction to semigroup theory, London ; New York : Academic Press, 1976. 1.0.1
- [5] Lawson, M. V., Inverse semigroups, World Scientific Co. Pte. Ltd., 1998. 1.1, 1.1, 1.7, 1.8
- [6] Linblad, S. P.,*Inverse monoids presented by a single relator*, PhD thesis, Dept. of Math., University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Dec. 2003. 1.9.2
- [7] Lyndon, R. C. and Schupp, P., Combinatorial Group Theory, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1977. 2
- [8] Magnus, W., Das Identitätsproblem für Gruppen mit einer definierenden Relation, Math Ann. 106 (1932), 295-307. 1

- [9] Munn, W.D., *Free inverse semigroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 29 (1974), 385-404.
  1.6
- [10] Novikov, P. S., On the algorithmic unsolvability of the word problem in group theory, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., 44, (1955), 3?143. 1.2
- [11] Remmers, J. H., On the Geometry of Semigroup Presentations, Advances in Mathematics, 36 (1980), 283-296. 1.11, 1.12.1, 2, 2, 2
- [12] Steinberg, B., A topological approach to inverse and regular semigroups, Pacific J. Math. 208 (2003), no. 2, 367-396. 1.9.2, 3
- [13] Steinberg, B., A sampler of a topological approach to inverse semigroups, Semigroups,
  Algorithms, Automata and Languages, Word Scientific, (2002), 437 461. 3
- [14] Stephen, J. B., *Presentations of inverse monoids*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra (1990), 81-112. 1.5.1, 1.5.1, 1.5.2, 3, 3, 4.2
- [15] Van Kampen, E., On some lemmas in the theory of groups, Amer. J. Math. 55, (1933), 261-267. 1.10