

2014

# Synthesis and Reactivity of HY Zeolite-Supported Rhodium Complexes

Artem D. Vityuk

*University of South Carolina - Columbia*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarcommons.sc.edu/etd>

---

## Recommended Citation

Vityuk, A. D.(2014). *Synthesis and Reactivity of HY Zeolite-Supported Rhodium Complexes*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://scholarcommons.sc.edu/etd/2694>

This Open Access Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [SCHOLARC@mailbox.sc.edu](mailto:SCHOLARC@mailbox.sc.edu).

# SYNTHESIS AND REACTIVITY OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM COMPLEXES

by

Artem D. Vityuk

Bachelor of Science  
D.I. Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology, 2006

Master of Science  
D.I. Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology, 2008

---

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

Chemical Engineering

College of Engineering and Computing

University of South Carolina

2014

Accepted by:

Michael D. Amiridis, Major Professor

Oleg S. Alexeev, Committee Member

Richard D. Adams, Committee Member

Andreas Heyden, Committee Member

Lacy K. Ford, Vice Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies

© Copyright by Artem D. Vityuk, 2014  
All Rights Reserve

## **DEDICATION**

To my parents...

There are no words that could fully express amount of support, inspiration and encouragement that my parents gave me during these years. With all of my heart

– Thank you...

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I would like to acknowledge my advisor Dr. Michael Amiridis. He is the person who gave me an opportunity to work on one of the most exciting research projects I have ever been involved in. His wise advice, scientific guidance and research directions allowed me to grow professionally throughout years at USC and open new horizons in catalysis. I also would like to emphasize the fantastic amount of motivation and support I have been receiving from him and, most importantly, his strong belief in me as in a researcher. I can not overstate the importance of such attitude and I will definitely remember Dr. Amiridis not only as a great research advisor but as one of the most kind, friendly and supportive people I have ever met in my life.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Oleg Alexeev for all of his time and effort he invested in me. Today I can truly and confidently say that virtually all technical knowledge of heterogeneous catalysis and, especially, of its experimental part that I obtained during my stay at USC I learned from him. His firm principles of doing fundamental research “right”, day-to-day discussions and, quite often, debates shaped me as a researcher. Among the key rules I learned from him which I am taking with me into my career are: be always critical about the experimental results, think creatively and never give up. I also would like

to thank him and his family for tremendous amount of help I received in situations outside the school. I will always remember him being near by when I needed him.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Andreas Heyden for valuable discussions we had on topics of my research and on more general scientific matters. I enjoyed the “Advanced Mass-Transport” class which he taught and I loved being a Teaching Assistant in one of his classes later. Dr. Heyden is one of the most optimistic, positive and encouraging people I have ever met who could easily spark enthusiasm in occasions when things did not seem to go very well. Also, I would like to thank him for such dedication and passion for science that he has and which he conveys to students. I personally learned a lot from such attitude which basically tells me: “whatever you do – you should love doing it”.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Richard Adams for a greatest expertise and precious suggestions he provided reviewing my work during the comprehensive exam and my pre-defense. I enjoyed reading Dr. Adams’ papers which elegantly show that rigorous organometallic chemistry and surface science could be combined to yield catalysts with exceptional properties. I sincerely thankful Dr. Adams for joining my committee which gave me an opportunity to get an opinion on my work of one of the world’s first experts in the field of organometallic chemistry.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. John Monnier for being such a charismatic person always ready to help and consult on quite complex scientific questions. To be honest, he is among few people I was lucky to work with who has such immense knowledge of heterogeneous catalysis fundamentals, its industrial

applications and equipment design. I am very thankful Dr. Monnier for teaching me how to use VICI valves which I implemented in my very first catalyst testing station which I built. It is his approach to apply automatic control to testing units which enabled me to conduct research in a more efficient way.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Christopher Williams for frequent and fruitful conversations we had regarding my research and, more specifically, spectroscopy applications in my project. I would like to recognize Dr. Williams ability to motivate and inspire for better work. Also, I will always remember our road trip from Columbia to Detroit which he organized in order to help students to attend the North American Catalysis Society Meeting (NAM) meeting. He is easily one of friendliest professors I encountered.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Donna Chen and Dr. Michael Myrick for being great mentors. I would like to mention these professors as not only outstanding professionals and a few of the brightest people that I had chance to interact with but also as exceptional personalities. I will never forget Dr. Chen style of teaching which sparked my deep interest in Surface Science. Also, I was fascinated with Dr. Myrick's ability to explain the most complex concepts of Molecular Spectroscopy in simple and easily understandable terms when I took his class.

I would like to acknowledge research and administrative staff at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. I am very thankful to Cynthia Patty for the help and assistance

she provided during X-ray Absorption Measurements (XAS) that we performed at SSRL.

Additionally, I would like to thank all of the administrative and technical staff that helped me during my years at USC. More specifically, I am very thankful to: Marcia Rowen, Loretta Hardcastle, Vernon Dorrell, Brian Loggans, Chuck Holland and Pam Olszewski. Thank you all.

I also would like to express my gratitude to my close friends who supported me and were always ready to help in both research related issues or matters outside the school. I am very thankful to Elina, Chris, Behnam, Vasilis and Zahra for their help.

The funding from the University of South Carolina which made this research possible (ASPIRE grant 15510-12-29499) is gratefully acknowledged.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my parents. I realize that my dream to go to United States and pursue Ph.D. degree in the field I am most passionate about would never come true without their support and kind words. I am very thankful to them for their patience, love and care. I can't thank you enough.

Thank you,

Artem



## ABSTRACT

The growing interest towards design of heterogeneous analogs of highly active and selective homogeneous catalysts prompted researchers to invest significant efforts in understanding of synthetic pathways, structure, and activity of supported single-site metal complexes.

Synthesis of catalytically active organometallic species on solid supports with properties resembling those of their homogeneous counterparts could offer the opportunities to perform efficiently the solution organic reactions by utilizing flow instead of batch reactors and eliminate problems with separation of the expensive catalyst from reaction products. In this regard rhodium carbonyl complexes are viewed as very attractive candidates for heterogenization due to their extensive application as homogeneous catalysts for variety of industrially relevant liquid phase reactions.

Although several synthetic pathways have been offered in literature for the preparation of supported site-isolated mononuclear rhodium carbonyl species, there is clearly lack of knowledge on surface chemistry of such complexes. We were interested in molecular level understanding of transformations occurring in their coordination environment during the catalytic cycle and role and functions of the support during catalysis when using these materials.

We attempted to contribute to the field by investigating structural properties of well-defined Rh organometallic complexes attached to a zeolite framework and exploring their reactivity in several probe reactions. Our strategy was to prepare samples incorporating highly uniform and nearly molecular  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes anchored to a zeolite framework and to use the reactivity of the ligands in these complexes for the surface-mediated synthesis of important reaction intermediates. FTIR, EXAFS, and XPS spectroscopic measurements, as well as mass spectrometry and isotope labeling were used to monitor ligand exchange reactions and to understand the structure and composition of the species formed at the molecular level.

New results presented herein strongly suggest that dealuminated Y zeolites act as macroligands for grafted metal complexes and have at least two different types of binding sites capable of accommodating  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  moieties. The fraction of these sites is a function of the Si/Al ratio of the support and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes associated with these sites possess of remarkably different reactivity.

We developed a two-step pathway for the selective synthesis of well-defined and structurally uniform HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes under ambient conditions. The stability of these  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species at elevated temperatures was addressed, as well as their surface chemistry in reactions with CO,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{O}_2$ , and  $\text{N}_2$ .  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes were found to be catalytically active in both hydrogenation and dimerization of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at ambient conditions. While the role of the support was shown to be critical for the C-C bond formation

reaction, it was possible to modify Rh coordination environment and suppress the dimerization pathway.

Furthermore, it was revealed that zeolite-supported rhodium dicarbonyl complexes could be used as model catalysts to probe the structure sensitive character of the NO + CO reaction.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
ABSTRACT .....	viii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xvi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION, LITERATURE REVIEW .....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2 MOTIVATION AND SIGNIFICANCE .....	2
1.3 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
CHAPTER 2. SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM CARBONYL HYDRIDE COMPLEXES .....	25
2.1 PREFACE.....	25
2.2 INTRODUCTION .....	26
2.3 EXPERIMENTAL.....	31
2.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	37
2.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	74
2.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	76

CHAPTER 3. HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: THE EFFECT OF Si/AI RATIO .....	77
3.1 PREFACE .....	77
3.2 INTRODUCTION .....	78
3.3 EXPERIMENTAL.....	81
3.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	86
3.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	132
3.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	133
 CHAPTER 4. ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND ETHYLENE DIMERIZATION USING HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: ROLE OF THE SUPPORT AND COMPLEX COORDINATION ENVIRONMENT ON THE CATALYTIC ACTIVITY .....	135
4.1 PREFACE.....	135
4.2 INTRODUCTION .....	136
4.2 EXPERIMENTAL .....	138
4.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	144
4.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	191
4.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	192
 CHAPTER 5. NO REDUCTION WITH CO USING HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: GIVING AN INSIGHT INTO THE STRUCTURE SENSITIVITY .....	193
5.1 PREFACE.....	193
5.2 INTRODUCTION .....	194
5.3 EXPERIMENTAL.....	197

5.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	202
5.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	224
5.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	225
CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSIONS.....	227
REFERENCES.....	231

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed after the treatment of the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)/HY30 sample under different conditions.....	38
Table 2.2 Vibrational frequencies characterizing the surface species formed by adsorption of the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) precursor on the HY zeolite support and following subsequent treatments.....	45
Table 3.1 Parameters of $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ bands observed in FTIR spectra of various samples.....	93
Table 3.2. EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed from the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) precursor on different supports .....	102
Table 3.3 XPS data characterizing surface species formed by adsorption of the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) precursor on different HY zeolites and $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ .....	105
Table 3.4. FTIR bands in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region characterizing surface species formed by mixing of crystalline Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) with dry HY30 zeolite.....	115
Table 3.5 FTIR bands in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region characterizing surface species formed by adsorption of the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) precursor on HY30 and HY2.6 zeolites treated under vacuum at different temperatures .....	117
Table 3.6 Fractions of grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> species formed by adsorption of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) on the HY30 support loaded with extra Al species .....	126

Table 4.1 XPS data characterizing Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> species supported on HY30, HY15, and HY2.6 zeolites after reaction in 608 Torr H <sub>2</sub> , 76 Torr C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> for 20 h .....	171
Table 4.2 EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed from the Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) precursor on different supports .....	187
Table 5.1 EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 catalyst treated in He for 1 h at different temperatures .....	210
Table 5.2 EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 catalyst treated in He for 1 h at different temperatures .....	211
Table 5.3 EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (A) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 (B) catalyst treated in He at 270 °C for 1 h.....	216
Table 5.4 XPS data characterizing Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample before (fresh) and after (used) in CO/NO reaction (1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min).....	224



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Bis(cyclopentadienyl) dimethylzirconium anchored to sulfonic acid functionalized SBA-15 [11].....	5
Figure 1.2 Manganese Schiff-base complexes anchored to MCM-41 [13].....	6
Figure 1.3 Procedure for the preparation of silica functionalized with N-donor groups [14].....	7
Figure 1.4 Rhodium complexes anchored on silica functionalized with N-donor groups [14].....	7
Figure 1.5 Anchoring of $\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2$ complexes on MCM-41 treated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane [15].....	8
Figure 1.6 Anchoring of $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)$ complexes on diphosphino-functionalized MCM-41 [16] .....	8
Figure 1.7 Interaction of $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)_3$ complexes with silica [18].....	9
Figure 1.8 Surface chemistry of silica-supported $\text{Rh}(\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3)_2(\text{CO})$ complexes [22].....	10
Figure 1.9 Grafting reactions of tetrakisneopentyl titanium on silica [36] .....	11
Figure 1.10 Grafting of methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) on silica [42].....	11
Figure 1.11 Proposed structures of HY zeolite-supported $\text{Au}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ and $\text{Ru}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$ complexes [59,60].....	13
Figure 1.12 Anchoring of Ir pincer complexes on alumina [66] .....	14

Figure 1.13 Structure of zeolite Y encapsulated metal picolinato complexes [80].....	16
Figure 1.14 Proposed mechanism of 1,3-butadiene to cis-2-butene hydrogenation over Y zeolite-encapsulated Mo(CO) <sub>3</sub> complexes [92].....	18
Figure 1.15 The structure of the AlPO-5 catalyst with framework-incorporated Ti <sup>4+</sup> ions [109,110] .....	20
Figure 1.16 Bonding modes of CO chemisorbed on rhodium: (a) linearly bonded form, (b) gem-dicarbonyl (c) bridged form [111].....	21
Figure 1.17 Simplified structural model for Y zeolite-supported Rh <sup>+</sup> (CO) <sub>2</sub> complexes developed from EXAFS data analysis [63].....	24
Figure 2.1 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of (1) a freshly prepared Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 sample and (2) the same sample treated under the flow of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> at room temperature for 3 min. The insert shows difference spectra illustrating the disappearance of the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ band at 2117 cm <sup>-1</sup> with time on stream .....	42
Figure 2.2 Mass spectra of the reactor effluent recorded during exposure of the Rh( <sup>13</sup> CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 sample to C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> at room temperature .....	46
Figure 2.3 FTIR spectra recorded during exposure of the Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 sample to the flow of H <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 30 min. Arrows indicate the appearance and disappearance of $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ bands .....	50
Figure 2.4 Mass spectrum of the gaseous effluent stream recorded during exposure of the Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 sample to a 1% H <sub>2</sub> /He flow at room temperature .....	51
Figure 2.5 FTIR spectra of (1) Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 and (2) Rh( <sup>13</sup> CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 samples treated in H <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 30 min.....	53

Figure 2.6 FTIR spectrum in the $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$ region of the surface species formed after the treatment of the Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 sample with H <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 30 min (solid line); deconvolution results also shown (dashed line).....	54
Figure 2.7 Difference FTIR spectrum illustrating changes in the $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$ region after exposure of the Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> species to D <sub>2</sub> .....	56
Figure 2.8 FTIR spectrum in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of the surface species formed after the treatment of the Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )/HY30 sample with H <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 30 min (solid line); deconvolution results also shown (dashed line).....	58
Figure 2.9 Possible isomers of HY zeolite-supported Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> species.....	62
Figure 2.10 Schematic representation of the different steps involved in the formation of HY zeolite-supported Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> species .....	64
Figure 2.11 FTIR spectra of the surface species formed after (1) treatment of the Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> /HY30 sample with N <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 12 h and (2) subsequent treatment with H <sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 5 min.....	67
Figure 2.12 Difference FTIR spectra of the Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> /HY30 sample illustrating the appearance (positive bands) and disappearance (negative bands) of different vibrations during thermal treatment under the flow of He in the 25-130°C temperature range. Insert shows corresponding changes in the $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$ region .....	69
Figure 2.13 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region collected during the thermal treatment of the Rh(CO)(H) <sub>x</sub> /HY30 sample in H <sub>2</sub> at various temperatures: (1) 200°C, (2) 210°C, (3) 220°C, (4) 230°C, (5) 240°C, (6) 250°C, (7) 260°C, (8) 270°C, and (9) 280°C.....	70

Figure 3.1 FTIR spectrum of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) in pentane (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line).....	87
Figure 3.2 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of (A) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30, (B) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY15, (C) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6, and (D) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> samples (solid lines) and deconvolution results (dotted lines) .....	91
Figure 3.3 Percent of Rh dicarbonyls remaining on the surface of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 during thermal treatments with He at different temperatures: ( $\blacktriangle$ ) type I ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ at 2117/2053 cm <sup>-1</sup> ) and ( $\bullet$ ) type II ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ at 2110/2043 cm <sup>-1</sup> ) species .....	97
Figure 3.4 Percent of Rh dicarbonyls remaining on the surface of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> during thermal treatments with He at different temperatures.....	98
Figure 3.5 XPS spectra of the Rh 3d region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of (1) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6, (2) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY15, and (3) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 samples .....	106
Figure 36. FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of (1) Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 and (2) the same sample treated with P(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .....	110
Figure 3.7 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of the sample prepared by impregnation of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) on the HY30 support pretreated with acetylacetone .....	112
Figure 3.8 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 exposed to H <sub>2</sub> O/He feeds with different H <sub>2</sub> O partial pressures: (1) 2.5 Torr, (2) 3.1 Torr, (3) 5.2 Torr, and (4) 8.6 Torr .....	118

Figure 3.9 Percent of species with characteristic $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ bands at 2117/2053 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ (●), 2109/2039 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ (■), and 2090/2022 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ (▲) formed after exposure of $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ to $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{He}$ feeds with different $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ partial pressures.....	121
Figure 3.10 FTIR spectra in the $\delta(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ exposed to $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{He}$ feeds with different $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ partial pressures: (1) 2.5 Torr, (2) 3.1 Torr, (3) 5.2 Torr, and (4) 8.6 Torr.....	122
Figure. 3.11 Fractions of $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ bands remaining in spectra of (1) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (□-2090 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and (2, 3) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$ (▲- 2110 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; ●- 2117 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) samples after exposure to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ as a function of time on stream .....	129
Figure 3.12 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of (1) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ and (2) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ pretreated in a 1 % $\text{NH}_3/\text{He}$ mixture for 3 min .....	130
Figure 3.13 Fractions of the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ band at 2117 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ remaining in spectra of (1) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ and (2) $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ pretreated in a 1 % $\text{NH}_3/\text{He}$ mixture for 3 min samples after exposure to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ as a function of time on stream .....	131
Figure 4.1 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane (●), Trans-2-Butene (■), Cis-2-Butene (▲), and Butene-1 (◆) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ at 76 Torr of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ and 608 Torr of $\text{H}_2$ at ambient conditions....	145
Figure 4.2 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (A) in He (B) after exposure to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ for 10 h (C) after exposure to $\text{H}_2$ for 30 min.....	148
Figure 4.3 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ (▲), $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (■) at 76 Torr of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ and 608 Torr of $\text{H}_2$ at ambient conditions.....	152

Figure 4.4 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 154

Figure 4.5 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 156

Figure 4.6 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 156

Figure 4.7 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 157

Figure 4.8 Ratio of Ethane and Butenes formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 157

Figure 4.9 Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆)..... 158

Figure 4.10 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆)..... 159

Figure 4.11 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆)..... 160

Figure 4.12 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆) ..... 161

Figure 4.13 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆)..... 161

Figure 4.14 Ratio of Ethane and Butenes formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆)..... 162

Figure 4.15 Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different partial pressures of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆) ..... 162

Figure 4.16 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 (■), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (▲) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ..... 168

Figure 4.17 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes (Trans-2-butene, Cis-2-butene, Butene-1) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 (■), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (▲) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>..... 169

Figure 4.18 Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 (■), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (▲) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ..... 169

Figure 4.19 FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CH}}$  region of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (A), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15, and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (C) after reaction: 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> for 20 h..... 170

Figure 4.20 FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{OH}}$  region of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (A), 200 °C (B), 300 °C (C), and 400 °C (D) ..... 174

Figure 4.21 FTIR spectra in the  $\delta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  region of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (A), 200 °C (B), 300 °C (C), and 400 °C (D) ..... 175

Figure 4.22 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆)..... 176

Figure 4.23 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆)..... 176

Figure 4.24 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆)..... 177



Figure 4.25 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample obtained by reaction of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆).....	177
Figure 4.26 FTIR spectra in the ν <sub>CO</sub> region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 at different Rh loading: 1, 2,3, and 4 %wt.....	180
Figure 4.27 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 with 1 % wt Rh (●), 2 % wt Rh (■) at 608 Torr of H <sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> .....	180
Figure 4.28 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes (Trans-2-butene, Cis-2-butene, Butene-1) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 with 1 % wt Rh (●), 2 % wt Rh (■) at 608 Torr of H <sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> .....	181
Figure 4.29 FTIR spectra in the ν <sub>CO</sub> region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (A), Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> -Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) (B) samples .....	184
Figure 4.30 FTIR spectra in the ν <sub>CO</sub> region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> -Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/2 mol) sample .....	184
Figure 4.31 EDX mapping of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> -Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) sample .....	189
Figure 4.32 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (●) and Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> -Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) (■) at 608 Torr of H <sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> .....	190
Figure 4.33 Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (●) and Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> -Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) (■) at 608 Torr of H <sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> .....	190

Figure 5.1 FTIR spectrum in the $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 sample after exposure of 1 % NO/He for 1 minute at ambient conditions .....	204
Figure 5.2 FTIR spectrum in the $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample after exposure of 1 % NO/He .....	204
Figure 5.3 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 sample after treatment in He for 1 h at different temperatures .....	206
Figure 5.4 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ region of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample after treatment in He for 1 h at different temperatures .....	208
Figure 5.5 NO conversion with time for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (●) and Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 (■) samples during NO/CO reaction at 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO, 270 °C.....	213
Figure 5.6 CO conversion with time for Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 (●) and Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 (■) samples during NO/CO reaction at 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO, 270 °C.....	214
Figure 5.7 FTIR spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ and $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ regions of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample in He (before reaction) and when 1000 ppm NO 1000 ppm CO was introduced into the cell (reaction started) at 270 °C .....	218
Figure 5.8 FTIR difference spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ and $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ regions of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30 sample collected during the reaction 200 min in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C.....	219
Figure 5.9 FTIR difference spectra in the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ and $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ regions of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6 sample collected during the reaction 200 min in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C.....	220

Figure 5.10 HRTEM images of used Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (A) and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (B) samples (after reaction in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min) ..... 221

Figure 5.11 Particle size distribution histogram (175 particles counted) obtained from HRTEM measurements of used Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample (after reaction in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min) ..... 221

## **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION, LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

Catalysis plays a vital role in the production of chemicals, as evidenced by the fact that nearly 90% of world-wide chemical processes rely on at least one catalytic step [1]. A vast majority of industrial catalysts consist of supported metal nanoparticles which are capable of catalyzing many types of chemical reactions such as hydrogenation, isomerization, oxidation, and carbonylation, among others [1,2]. Unfortunately, many commercial catalysts are very complex and nonuniform in structure leading to low selectivity, reduced efficiency hindering detailed understanding of structure-catalytic properties relationships. In contrast, homogeneous catalysts are characterized by well-defined single active sites with unique coordination environment making them more selective and more specific compared to the conventional solid catalysts. Immobilization of organometallic complexes on high surface area porous supports is a strategy that allows to take the major advantages of homogeneous systems while avoiding technological difficulties associated with separation of the product from the catalyst in solution.

Although significant progress has been achieved recently in this field, the synthesis of exceptionally dispersed catalytic materials with well-defined active sites as well as molecular level characterization of their catalytic properties remain a major experimental challenge. More specifically, substantial gap in

knowledge exists in understanding of ligands reactivity in supported complexes, mechanisms of their involvement in catalysis and role of the support. Understanding of these properties is a key to the development of new efficient alternatives to homogeneous catalysts on solid surfaces as well as important step towards building practical guidelines for rational catalyst design.

The following literature review summarizes the recent progress in the area of synthesis and reactivity of supported complexes.

## **1.2 MOTIVATION AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Homogeneous catalysts offer a number of advantages over their heterogeneous counterparts. High concentration of active sites, accessibility of all active sites to reactants and tunable selectivity and and/or enantioselectivity are among key reasons making metal complexes so attractive for industrial applications. However, the use of homogeneous catalysts on commercial scale is limited due to the difficulties of their separation from reaction mixture, low recyclability and low thermal stability. These drawbacks can be eliminated by using supported catalysts. It is to meet the challenge of combining major advantages of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalytic systems in materials with enhanced performance in industrially relevant processes which remains strategic for researchers. As first steps in this direction it is critical to develop fundamental molecular-level understanding of structural properties of grafted complexes, reactivity of their coordination environment and establish functions of

the support which could play a role of the inert back bone for anchored species or contribute to catalysis.

We focused on rhodium complexes because of their wide use as commercial catalysts and relatively well understood solution chemistry. Rhodium catalyzed homogeneous processes have significant industrial importance. For instance, production of aldehydes via hydroformylation of olefins is estimated at approximately 6.4 million metric tons per year while total capacity of methanol carbonylation which is a major pathway for the manufacturing of acetic acid is approaching 5 millions tons per year [3,4]. The prospect of transferring these processes from solution to solid surfaces is very promising for both economical and technological reasons. Although there are multiple patents on synthesis of immobilized rhodium complexes and their catalytic activity in commercial processes, so far there is only one industrially implemented technology involving  $[\text{Rh}_2(\text{CO})_2]^-$  bound to ion exchange resin which is used for the carbonylation of methanol [5,6].

This research is expected to advance the current understanding structure-catalytic properties relationships of supported rhodium complexes and, in a broader prospective, to build a foundation for the rational design of supported single-site catalysts with properties resembling those of organometallic solution chemistry analogs. The ultimate long term goal of this work is to define heterogeneous catalytic system with tunable catalytic properties where active sites are uniform in composition and distribution.

## 1.3 LITERATURE REVIEW


### 1.3.1 SYNTHETIC PATHWAYS TOWARDS SUPPORTED METAL COMPLEXES

Immobilization of organometallic complexes on solid supports implies attachment of these species to the surface through covalent or ionic bonds. Several strategies were offered to perform this step with three major ones defined as: anchoring of on functionalized solids, direct reaction with functional groups of the support [7,8] and encapsulating or encaging in the voids or pores of the support [9].

Interaction of transition metal complexes with preliminary functionalized supports is one of the most widely used methods to prepare single-site catalysts. The method implies coupling of the surface modified with certain functional groups with the precursor complex. Modification of the surface is conducted with ligand containing two functional groups X-L where X is chosen so as to react with surface hydroxyls (Cl, SiCl<sub>3</sub>, Si(OEt)<sub>3</sub>, SiR'<sub>2</sub>(OR)) while L is a donor group such as alkylphosphines, arylphosphines or amines (PR<sub>3</sub>, PAR<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>2</sub>R) which interacts with the precursor complex [8]. The complex of interest normally possess reactivity towards L groups and react with them during the grafting procedure. It is important to note that supporting complex can loose ligands upon grafting or can remain structurally intact depending on its chemical properties (as well as on its electronic configuration and geometry). Among examples of successful application of this approach is immobilization of Ru(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> moiety on silica gel

functionalized with 3-(1-imidazolyl)propyl groups [10]. It is suggested that properties of these complexes are very similar to those of the complexes in solution, since the immobilized complexes are separated from the support by more than two carbon atoms.

Support species can also be attached to surface through electrostatic interactions. Silica-tethered olefin polymerization catalysts was synthesized by grafting bis(cyclopentadienyl) dimethylzirconium ( $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrMe}_2$ ) on sulfonic acid functionalized SBA-15 [11]. Surface anionic functionalities were created by interacting of trimethylaluminum with anchored sulfonic groups. The subsequent reaction with  $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrMe}_2$  complex resulted in the formation of ionic pair: surface coordinated anion and an active metallocenium cation (Fig. 1.1). It was suggested that catalyst prepared in this way exhibit high stability and resistant to leaching of active species.



**Figure 1.1** Bis(cyclopentadienyl) dimethylzirconium anchored on sulfonic acid functionalized SBA-15 [11].


Complexes electrostatically immobilized on different supports received substantial attention in literature. More specifically, complexes anchored to



inorganic oxides and clays [210-214], ion-exchange resins [215,220, 221,229,231-233], dendrimers [237,258], heteropolyacids [261,267,273, 276,277], and zeolites [279,289,290,291] were reported.


Additionally, this method is used to anchor isolated metal ions via complexation. For instance, it was shown that surface of MCM-41 mesoporous silica functionalized with ethylenediamine, diethylenetriamine ligands efficiently bind Co(II) ions [12]. These species exhibited significant activity in oxygen binding and may find broad application in catalysis and gas separations.

Another example of a catalyst with high oxidation activity prepared by anchoring of metal complexes on functionalized supports is silica-anchored manganese Schiff-base complexes [13]. The grafting process involves several steps: at the first stage MCM-41 surface is modified with 3-chloropropylsilane moieties which provide reactive Cl groups. At the next step pentadentate ligands 3-[N,W-Bis-3-(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidenamino) propylamine (t-salpr) are grafted by partial nucleophilic displacement of chlorine of previously anchored species. Finally, Mn species are introduced by reacting surface t-salpr ligands with  $Mn(acac)_2$  (Fig. 1.2).




**Figure 1.2** Manganese Schiff-base complexes anchored on MCM-41 [13].

Rhodium complexes supported on functionalized materials have also received significant attention in literature. For instance, homogeneously dispersed rhodium species were obtained by reaction of  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2]_2$  and  $\text{RhCl}_3$  with silica functionalized with chelating N-donor ligands [14]. The support was prepared by cohydrolysis of tetmethylorthoalicate and (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane following an acid-catalyzed sol-gel process (Figs. 1.3, 1.4).




**Figure 1.3** Procedure for the preparation of silica functionalized with N-donor groups [14].



**Figure 1.4** Rhodium complex anchored on silica functionalized with N-donor groups [14].


We note that using ligands with strong chelating properties such as amines or phosphines is a general and widely used strategy for immobilization of rhodium complexes. It is reported that reaction of  $[\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2]_2$  the surface of aminated and phosphinated MCM-41 material results in site-isolated  $\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2$

complexes with enhanced hydrogenation activity [15]. The support was modified by reaction of MCM-41 mesoporous silica with correspondent alkoxy-silyl agents (Fig. 1.5). It is remarkable that no leaching was observed during the catalytic reaction in a liquid phase.



**Figure 1.5** Anchoring of  $\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes on MCM-41 treated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane [15].


Coordination of rhodium complexes to the support through phosphine ligands was also successfully demonstrated. More specifically, diphosphino-functionalized MCM-41 material was shown to efficiently bind  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  complexes which showed remarkable activity in hydrothiolation reaction of alkynes with thiols reaction (Fig. 1.6) [16].



**Figure 1.6.** Anchoring of  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  complexes on diphosphino-functionalized MCM-41 [16].

Another approach which is widely used to immobilize metal complexes on solid surfaces is a direct reaction of a precursor with functional groups of the

support. It is recognized that acidic hydroxyl groups which cover surfaces of metal oxides are active enough to interact with different organometallic compounds. One of the methods of preparing well-defined supported-metal catalysts involves the protolysis of transition-metal allyl complexes with surface OH groups. Yermakov and coworkers synthesized and characterized a range of supported catalysts prepared in this way including Zr, Hf, Nb, Cr, Mo, W, Re, Ni, Pd, and Pt [17]. The anchoring mechanism is suggested to proceed through protonation of allyl ligands which leave as propane molecules followed by coordination of  $M(C_3H_5)_x$  fragments to oxygen atoms of the support (Fig. 1.7) [17,18].




**Figure 1.7** Interaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)_3$  complexes with silica [18].

It was shown that reactivity of surface hydroxyls depends on the nature of the support and degree of surface dehydroxylation. No reaction was observed for supports with highly nucleophilic hydroxyl groups (i.e., MgO) or with high hydroxyl coverage (i.e. not dehydroxylated  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ). Anchored species exhibited remarkable activity in hydrogenation of olefins and of arenes [19-21].

Although allyl ligands are considered among the most reactive towards surface hydroxyls, other alkyl functional groups can be used. For example,

$\text{CH}_3\text{Rh}(\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3)_2(\text{CO})$  complex was shown to react irreversibly with dehydroxylated silica surface via displacement of methyl group which converts into methane upon complex chemisorption [22]. Supported complexes exhibited very rich surface chemistry including ligand substitution, oxidative addition of  $\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{CH}_3$ ,  $\text{CO}$  insertion, and reductive elimination (Fig. 1.8) [22,160,164].



**Figure 1.8** Surface chemistry of silica-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3)_2(\text{CO})$  complexes [22].


Finally, it is worth noting that whole range of supported complexes were obtained by grafting perhydrocarbyl transition-metal complexes of  $\text{ML}_4$  structure where M is Mo [23-25,187,188], Re [26-28,40,41], W [29-31], Ta [32-34], Ti [35-37], Zr [38] and L is tBu, tMe, Np. These species were synthesized by method described above which implies substitution of one of the  $\text{M}-\text{C}$  bonds by  $\text{M}-\text{O}$  moieties (Fig. 1.9). Anchored surface organometallic fragments were shown to possess high reactivity allowing for selective synthesis of important intermediates such as hydrides, carbenes or carbines, oxo and alkoxo species and were

applied as catalysts in methathesis, polymerization and oxidation reactions [35,39].



**Figure 1.9** Grafting reactions of tetrakisneopentyl titanium on silica [36].

Alkyl ligands are not the only groups capable of reaction with surface hydroxyls. Methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) complexes can be heterogenized similarly by reaction of one of the “oxo” ligands with the support (Fig. 1.10). It is reported that loadings as high as 10 wt% can be reached on alumina [42]. Resulted catalyst exhibited high activity in cyclooctene metathesis already at room temperature.




**Figure 1.10** Grafting of methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) on silica [42].

Another group of ligands which are reactive towards surface hydroxyl groups and widely used in synthesis of supported single-site catalysts are  $\beta$ -diketones. These ligands include the following: acetylacetonates (acac), dibenzoylmethane (dbm), benzoylacetone (ba), dipivaloylmethane (dpm), diisobutylmethane (dibm), trifluoroacetylacetonate (tfac), hexafluoroacetylacetonate (hfac), and others [43]. Due to strong chelating properties these ligands easily

coordinate variety of transition metals. Among known complexes are Rh(I), Be(II), Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Zn(II), Al(III), V(III), Fe(III), Cu(II), Pd(II), Pt(II) [44-50]. The mechanism of anchoring of such complexes to a solid surface involves protonation of  $\beta$ -diketone ligand by acidic hydroxyl group which leads to substitution of this ligand which leaves as stable diketone with surface oxygen atoms. However, it should be noted that multiple factors influence the reactivity of metal  $\beta$ -diketonate complexes. For instance, on supports with strong basic properties (MgO, CeO<sub>2</sub> etc.) only physisorption of complexes occurs. Similarly, for supports with weak acidic properties such as silica ligand exchange reactions do not occur, instead, complexes adsorb via hydrogen bonding [51-54]. The mechanism for binding in this case was suggested to be interaction between the surface silanols and the  $\pi$ -electron system of the  $\beta$ -diketone ligands. Remarkably, these materials exhibited unusual tendency to maintain monolayer dispersions even at high loadings of the complexes [55-57].

It is important to note that this synthetic approach can also be used to prepare molecularly dispersed metal oxide catalysts (Mo, Cu, V) [58]. The procedure involves grafting of transition metal acetylacetonate complexes ( $Mn^+(acac)_n$ ) to the surface of a high-surface-area support followed by mild thermal treatment in oxygen [58]. In general, the preparation, characterization and catalytic properties of different types of supported metal acetylacetonate complexes such as  $M^{+1}(acac)$ ,  $M^{+2}(acac)_2$ ,  $M^{+3}(acac)_3$  have been reported in the literature [195-206,208].

Covalent bonding to solid supports of metals derived from  $\beta$ -diketonate complexes is of particular interest. Gates and coworkers synthesized and characterized a whole family of structurally well-defined supported metal complexes derived from correspondent acetylacetonates [59-64]. It was reported, for instance, that  $\text{Au}(\text{CH}_3)_2(\text{acac})$  complexes react with the surface of partially dehydroxylated  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  resulting in anchored single-site  $\text{Au}(\text{CH}_3)_2$  species (Fig. 1.11) [59].




**Figure 1.11** Proposed structures of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Au}(\text{CH}_3)_2$  and  $\text{Ru}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  complexes [59,60].

Similar approach was used to graft  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  [64] and  $\text{Ru}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})_2$  (Fig. 1.11) [60, 61] complexes on surfaces of Y and  $\beta$  zeolites. The precursor complexes  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  and  $\text{Ru}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  reacted with the surfaces of correspondent zeolites via displacement of one “acac” ligand. Grafted species exhibited high degree of structural uniformity and allowed a detailed characterization of structural properties of supported complexes and their reactivity. It was found that these species bind next to Al atoms of the zeolite and retained ethylene ligands possess high reactivity. More specifically, these ligands were shown to participate in ethylene dimerization reaction at ambient conditions. Synthesis of well-defined Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Ir}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  species was also reported



[65]. Structural characterization revealed that supported iridium complexes are mononuclear and isostructural to grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  complexes. Interestingly, catalytic activity of supported Ir species in ethylene hydrogenation was found to be 35 times higher than activity of Rh analogs.

Although anchoring of metal complexes via their reaction with hydroxyl groups which terminate most of oxide supports is probably the major pathway of heterogenizing of homogeneous catalysts, other functional groups of the support can also be utilized. For instance, Lewis sites were shown to interact with variety of organometallic complexes resulting in strong covalent bonds. Iridium pincer complexes were successfully immobilized on alumina through binding of the electron donor group on a pincer ligand to a coordinatively-unsaturated surface Al sites (Fig. 1.12) [66]. These supported complexes were found to be highly effective as transfer-dehydrogenation catalysts.



**Figure 1.12** Anchoring of Ir pincer complexes on alumina [66].

Similar anchoring mechanism was suggested for  $\text{ReO}_4^-$  species immobilized on alumina. More specifically, it was found that interaction of  $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$  with  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  results highly dispersed supported  $\text{ReO}_4^-$  species which do not react with hydroxyl groups but coordinate to Al Lewis sites [67]. This material exhibited high selectivity in olefin metathesis at temperatures of 0-100 °C. Enhanced activity in olefin metathesis reaction was also reported for catalyst prepared by grafting of  $\text{RhO}_4$  groups on  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  using  $\text{NH}_4\text{RhO}_4$  complexes as precursors [68]. It was suggested that interactions between perrhenate and Lewis acid sites on alumina may play a key role in the activation of these catalyst for olefin metathesis.

Encapsulation of metal complexes in a framework of highly-ordered crystalline materials is another widely used strategy to synthesize catalytically active site-isolated species. Among major advantages of internal confinement of metal complexes are high degree of spatial isolation, low mobility at elevated temperatures and steric restrictions enabling shape-selective catalysis [69]. It was suggested that constrains imposed by walls of the material complexes are confined in significantly modify magnetic, electronic, and redox properties of encapsulated species [70-72].

Several methods have been developed for encapsulation of metal complexes inside the pore structure of zeolite. Flexible ligand method implies reaction of a ligand with metal cations already exchanged in zeolite framework. These ligands are usually small enough to penetrate into zeolite supercages through pores but resulted complexes are larger than pore openings and become

essentially trapped inside the zeolite framework. This approach was initially offered by Herron and coworkers [73] to synthesize zeolite encapsulated bis(salicylaldehyde)ethylenediamine (salen) complexes of cobalt. The whole range of internally assembled salen complexes have been later reported including Fe(III) [74], Co(II) [78,298], Mn(II) [75,295,295-297], Rh(II) [76] and Pd(II) [77]. These complexes showed remarkable catalytic activity. For instance, cobalt Schiff base chelates incorporated in zeolite Y framework are capable of activating oxygen at ambient conditions [78]. Similar method was used to introduce vanadium oxide complexes VO(Saloph) where Saloph is N,N'-o-phenylenebis(salicylide naminato) in microporous zeolite NaY and mesoporous Al-MCM-41 materials. These species exhibited high activity and selectivity in the epoxidation of trans-stilbene and styrene [79]. Another example of the efficient oxidation single-site catalysts involves bis(picolinato) complexes of cobalt, nickel, and copper which were encapsulated in zeolite-Y and tested in the selective oxidation of phenol to catechol using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as mild oxidant (Fig. 1.13) [80].




**Figure 1.13** Structure of zeolite Y encapsulated metal picolinato complexes [80].

Encapsulated metal complexes with 2,2'-Bipyridine (bipy) [292,-294] and bis(oxazoline) [299,318,319] have also been reported.

“Ship-in-bottle” synthesis is another approach used to synthesize site-isolated metal complexes inside ordered materials. This method involves introduction of metal ions into the zeolite structure via ion exchange followed by treatment with appropriate ligands such as 1,2-dicyanobenzene [81-83] or carbon monoxide [69,84-86,88].

Single-site heterogeneous catalysts consisting of encapsulated complexes of Fe and Cu phthalocyanine prepared using “ship-in-bottle” method were shown active in methane to methanol and formaldehyde conversion at room temperature [89]. Interaction of carbon monoxide with zeolite-exchanged cations of transition metals results in mononuclear metal carbonyls or carbonyl clusters. If clusters are formed, their sizes are limited by the zeolite cage dimensions providing opportunity to control metal nuclearity under the reaction conditions [90, 91]. Similar approach can be used to modify coordination environment of already anchored complexes. For instance, reaction of encapsulated nickel carbonyl with phosphine ligands leads to the production of  $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPhCHMe}_2)$  complexes [87].

Encapsulation of small mononuclear carbonyl complexes by impregnation is possible. The encapsulation of carbonyl and subcarbonyl metal complexes has received a lot of attention in literature due to catalytic potential of these systems. For instance, it was demonstrated that  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3$  complexes confined in supercages of Y zeolite (promoted with alkali metals) exhibit high activity in selective hydrogenation of 1,3-butadiene to cis-2-butene (Fig. 1.14). Although the catalyst was prepared by vapor deposition of  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$  complexes, these species experienced partial decarbonylation under the reaction conditions and  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3$  complexes were found to be the active sites.



**Figure 1.14** Proposed mechanism of 1,3-butadiene to cis-2-butene hydrogenation over Y zeolite-encapsulated  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3$  complexes [92].


The sol-gel method is another approach for synthesis of encapsulated complexes and it implies crystallizing the zeolite or mesoporous silica around the

performed metal complex. The method is limited by range of complexes which are stable under the harsh conditions of support synthesis. This strategy was used to prepare NaX encaged  $[\text{Ru}(\text{F}_{16}\text{Pc})]$  species which exhibited high activity in oxidation of cyclohexane to cyclohexanone [321,324,337,338]. Sol-gel entrapment of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$  species into a silica modified with palladium nanoparticles was reported [340]. This system showed remarkable activity in arene hydrogenation. Active oxidation catalyst synthesized via sol-gel method was also reported. More specifically, copper complexes encapsulated in zeolites X and Y were shown to be more active in phenol oxidation (to p-benzoquinone, catechol and hydroquinone) than the homogeneous analog [339]

Finally, incorporation of metal cations in mesoporous sieve and zeolite matrices has received attention in literature as a novel pathway for synthesis of spatially isolated and uniformly distributed active sites. The idea of the method is to add a metal precursor to a synthesis gel during the templating process. Although these incorporated cations do not have stabilizing ligands as metal complexes have, sites which are accessible (not in the bulk) are capable to coordinate and activate reactants during the reaction.

In case of pure silica MCM-41 which has a neutral framework incorporation of  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Ga}^{3+}$  [93,94],  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  [95,96,320] ions results in a negatively charged framework often compensated by protons. Consequently, such materials obtain acidic properties and bifunctional catalysis is allowed. In contrast, when  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$  [97-100,342-344,346],  $\text{V}^{4+}$  [101-103],  $\text{Sn}^{4+}$  [106],  $\text{Zr}^{4+}$  [104,105],  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$  [135] ions are implanted, electroneutrality is maintained and more specific transformations are

enabled. It is remarkable that materials obtained by this method found application in industrially important reactions.  $Mn^{3+}$  ions substituted in the framework of AIPO-36 exhibited high activity in oxidation of p-xylene to terephthalic acid [107,108]. Additionally, site-isolated  $Ti^{4+}$  sites incorporated in AIPO-5 were shown efficient in conversion of cyclohexene to adipic acid (Fig. 1.15) [109,110].




**Figure 1.15** The structure of the AIPO-5 catalyst with framework-incorporated  $Ti^{4+}$  ions [109,110]

### 1.3.2 RHODIUM CARBONYLS SUPPORTED ON AMORPHOUS OXIDES

Highly dispersed supported rhodium catalysts have been the area of extensive research starting from the late 50<sup>th</sup>. Supported rhodium carbonyls are of particular interest mainly due to their enhanced activity in hydrogenation and carbonylation reactions. Besides, CO adsorption followed with infrared spectroscopy is widely used to characterize the surface of rhodium catalysts. It was established in pioneering work of Yang and Garland [111] that CO can be

chemisorbed on Rh in three different bonding modes: linearly bonded form, gem-dicarbonyl form and bridged form (Fig. 1.16):



**Figure 1.16** Bonding modes of CO chemisorbed on rhodium: (a) linearly bonded form, (b) gem-dicarbonyl (c) bridged form [111].

Furthermore, Yates and co-workers showed [112,113] that species (b) occur on isolated rhodium sites, while species (a) and (c) involve crystalline rhodium species. Several attempts were made to associate CO bonding mode on rhodium with rhodium oxidation state. Cavanagh proposed that form (a) may refer to oxidation state of rhodium higher than zero [114]. Primet studied CO adsorption on alumina-supported rhodium catalysts and zeolite using FTIR and XPS techniques and found that rhodium oxidation state in species (a) is +1 [115-117]. By date, it is generally accepted that rhodium gem-dicarbonyls are formed on single Rh atoms and these surfaces species are more pronounced for catalysts with high rhodium dispersion. Prins studied influence of CO chemisorption on the topology of rhodium supported on alumina using EXAFS and found that chemisorption of CO leads to significant decrease of Rh-Rh coordination number. They concluded that CO adsorption on metallic rhodium crystallites causes disruption of rhodium clusters yielding mononuclear species of type (a) [118]. The mechanism of rhodium crystallites break-up upon CO adsorption is not fully understood and several explanations were proposed



including enhanced metal-support electronic interaction [119] and participation of surface hydroxyl groups [118].

Nature of the support substantially affects CO chemisorption behavior. It was reported that formation of rhodium gem-dicarbonyl occurs on alumina, silica, titania, magnesia [120]. Titania and silica supports allow for less dispersed rhodium species and favor formation of rhodium carbonyls species of type (b) and (c) while alumina favors formation of type (a) surface species [121]. On alumina-supported rhodium catalysts CO adsorption yields the following bands:  $2101\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2035\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for type (a) species,  $2060\text{ cm}^{-1} - 2070\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for type (b) and  $1855-1870\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for bridged form (c) [111,115,122,123]. Although positions of these bands are slightly altered if alumina is replaced by other support, the general trend remains the same [124].


### 1.3.2 RHODIUM CARBONYLS SUPPORTED ON ZEOLITES

Since most of metal oxides are intrinsically nonuniform and characterized by high structural complexity, understanding of surface chemistry of rhodium species supported on such materials is hindered. In contrast, structurally ordered materials, such as zeolites, offer nearly uniform surface for the formation of well-defined active sites. Miessner investigated the surface chemistry and structural properties of dealuminated Y zeolite-supported rhodium carbonyl species [125,157]. It was reported that site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species can be formed by exposure of 5-10 Torr of CO to highly dispersed supported rhodium particles. The crucial role of the support in stabilization of well-defined  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes

can be understood by analyzing full width at half maximum (FWHM) values of  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands in FTIR spectra. More specifically, FWHM for CO adsorbed on rhodium supported on amorphous oxides is usually larger than  $15 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  but FWHM for rhodium carbonyls supported on highly dealuminated zeolite do not exceed  $5\text{-}6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  indicating high degree of structural uniformity of surface species. It was proposed by Miessner group that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are located at cationic positions in the supercages near framework Al atoms. Since Al atoms in dealuminated Y zeolite are well-isolated, supported complexes do not interact with each other [125, 126]. Thus, dealuminated Y zeolite framework acts not only as bidentate ligand but also as matrix leading to effective isolation of rhodium sites [126].

Gates and coworkers used EXAFS spectroscopy and gave more detailed insight into the structural properties of Y zeolite-supported rhodium carbonyl complexes [63]. In order to avoid complications associated with  $\text{Cl}^-$  contributions in EXAFS spectra, different synthetic pathway was offered. More specifically, instead of using aqueous solutions of rhodium salts, chloride-free  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor was utilized. The principal advantage of this synthetic approach is that no high temperature pretreatment is required in order to cleave the ligands in a precursor. Interaction of precursor complex  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with dealuminated HY zeolite in pentane leads to protonation of “acac” group by acidic hydroxyls (Brønsted acid sites) and anchoring of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  fragments to the zeolite framework. EXAFS results as well as DFT calculations revealed that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$

species are bonded to two oxygen atoms of zeolite and suggested to maintain 16 electron pseudo-square-planar geometry (Fig. 1.17).



**Figure 1.17** Simplified structural model for Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}^+(\text{CO})_2$  complexes developed from EXAFS data analysis [63].

## CHAPTER 2. SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM CARBONYL HYDRIDE COMPLEXES

### 2.1 PREFACE

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopic measurements, as well as results of mass spectrometry and isotope labeling experiments were used to characterize the species formed after grafting of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor on a highly dealuminated HY zeolite and during subsequent ligand exchange reactions. The results indicate that initially formed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on the surface of zeolite rapidly react with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  to form  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes. Exposure of the latter to  $\text{H}_2$  selectively yields  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes characterized by a set of well-defined  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands in their FTIR spectra. The hydride ligands in these  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes can be displaced by CO or  $\text{N}_2$  to form  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  complexes, respectively. In contrast,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  reacts with the hydrides, yielding  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  and an unstable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  intermediate. The latter rapidly reacts with additional  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  from the gas phase to reform the original  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complex on the surface. The  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species were found to be stable at room temperature under the flow of  $\text{H}_2$  or He for an extended period of time.

However, the hydride ligands can be removed from these complexes at elevated temperatures.

## 2.2 INTRODUCTION

Rhodium is one of the most important metals in homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis, with Rh complexes catalyzing a number of reactions of industrial importance primarily in the liquid phase, including hydroformylation, hydrogenation, hydrosilylation, dehydrogenation, and carbonylation [127-130]. The search for new heterogeneous Rh catalysts that will resemble the properties of their homogeneous analogs has constituted an active area of research for years. Such catalytic materials could offer not only easy separation of products from the catalyst but also the opportunity to perform more efficiently the same organic reactions in flow rather than in batch reactors.

For many of these reactions, it has been established that Rh complexes incorporating hydride ligands are key catalytically active species [127-130]. Such complexes have been identified in solution by FTIR and proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( $^1\text{H}$  NMR) measurements and have even been isolated as individual compounds stabilized with various organic ligands [131-134]. However, the selective synthesis of the heterogeneous analogs of these complexes is still a challenge. The formation of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})\text{H}_x$  complexes among other Rh surface species has been postulated in several literature reports based primarily on FTIR results [120,134,136-144]. In many of these reports, however, only  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations have been taken into consideration, with the characteristic  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands

for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})\text{H}_x$  species reported in a wide range of wavenumbers by different authors.

The formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})$  or  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  species with a characteristic  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band located in the 2020-2030  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region was postulated first by Solymosi et al. [120] after exposure of  $\text{MgO}$ -,  $\text{TiO}_2$ -,  $\text{SiO}_2$ -, and  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported Rh samples to a  $\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2$  mixture at 100°C. Since terminal  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of  $\square$   $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported monocarbonyl  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  complexes had been observed by these authors in the 2060-2070  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region, it was suggested that the electron-donating properties of the hydride ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species are responsible for the shift of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band to lower frequencies. Similarly, Worley et al. [136,137] have identified  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species as key surface intermediates formed during the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide over  $\text{TiO}_2$ -,  $\text{SiO}_2$ -, and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported Rh catalysts at 210°C by the presence of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band in the 2020-2050  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region. Furthermore, these authors have shown that supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes can also be formed in the absence of  $\text{H}_2$  in the feed, with their formation attributed to an inverse hydrogen spillover effect. Ab initio molecular orbital calculations performed by the same group predict  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations for gas phase  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})\text{H}$  species at approximately 2010  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and provide a qualitative support for the assignment of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band in the 2020-2050  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region to the surface  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species [138]. However, neither of these groups was able to detect any bands attributable to the expected characteristic Rh-H vibrations of the surface  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes.

It has been suggested that the lack of any  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  bands in infrared spectra of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species can be explained either by their low intensity or by their superposition with more intensive  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands. The ab initio calculations reported by Worley et al. [138] predict the appearance of in-plane and out-of-plane  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  vibrations in the infrared spectra of gas phase  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})\text{H}$  complexes at approximately 1433 and 1643  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, with intensities comparable to those of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands. However, this prediction is inconsistent with the majority of experimental FTIR results reported for various molecular Rh organometallic complexes incorporating hydride ligands which demonstrate the presence of the  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  bands in the 2000-2200  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region [133,134]. Furthermore, the  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  bands in FTIR spectra of  $\text{RhH}$  and  $\text{RhH}_2$  complexes formed by the reaction of laser-ablated Rh atoms with  $\text{H}_2$  and trapped in argon matrixes also appear in the same region [139]. While the last two examples indicate that the overlap of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  bands in spectra of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes is possible, the failure to detect the  $\nu_{\text{Rh-H}}$  vibrations in the FTIR spectra of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species motivated the search for alternative assignments of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands.

Iizuka et al. [140,141] for example, have reported the appearance of a new band at approximately 2040  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  during hydrogenation of CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  at 300°C over  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -,  $\text{ZrO}_2$ -, and  $\text{MgO}$ - supported Rh catalysts. Since this band was also present upon exposure to CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  without  $\text{H}_2$  under similar experimental conditions, these authors have assigned it to the monocarbonyl  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  complex formed at low CO coverages. In this context, Miessner [142] has reported that a partial decarbonylation of  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})_2$  species supported on

highly dealuminated Y zeolites in a flow of diluted H<sub>2</sub> at 200-250°C results in the formation of reactive Rh<sup>I</sup>(CO) monocarbonyl species with a characteristic ν<sub>CO</sub> band at 2096 cm<sup>-1</sup>. However, more recent DFT calculations do not support such assignments. For example, Rösch et al. [143] have reported that the ν<sub>CO</sub> band of monocarbonyl Rh<sup>I</sup>(CO) complexes formed on dealuminated Y zeolite and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> should appear at 2014 and 1984 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Furthermore, the same authors have suggested that the ν<sub>CO</sub> bands at 2093 and 2061 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are located between the symmetric and asymmetric ν<sub>CO</sub> bands of dealuminated Y zeolite- and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported Rh<sup>I</sup>(CO)<sub>2</sub> species, are most likely due to the formation of mixed Rh<sup>I</sup>H<sub>2</sub>(CO) or Rh<sup>I</sup>(H)<sub>2</sub>(CO) complexes incorporating either a dissociated or an undissociated H<sub>2</sub> molecule as an additional ligand. Along these lines, experimental results reported by Wovchko et al. [144] demonstrate that monocarbonyl Rh<sup>I</sup>(CO) complexes with a characteristic ν<sub>CO</sub> band at 2023 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be formed by UV photolysis of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species supported on dealuminated Y zeolite. Moreover, it has been shown that the Rh<sup>I</sup>(CO) complexes thus formed are coordinatively unsaturated and very reactive towards H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> even at room temperature, yielding a variety of Rh surface complexes with mixed ligands. In fact, Rh(CO)(H<sub>2</sub>) and Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes thus prepared exhibit characteristic ν<sub>CO</sub> vibrations at 2096 and 2102 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively [144]. However, these authors also failed to detect any ν<sub>Rh-H</sub> vibrations in their spectra.

From the analysis of the available literature reports, it is also evident that the selective synthesis of supported Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species has not been reported to date. Therefore, one can suggest that the difficulties with the selective



synthesis and identification of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species by FTIR are most likely related to the nature of the catalytic materials used. Since conventional catalytic materials have been used most often for this type of research, a variety of surface species have been typically observed on their surfaces, because the Rh sites present in such materials are nonuniform in structure and composition. As a result, it is difficult to unambiguously identify the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species in these cases due to their low abundance and the relatively low intensity of the Rh-H stretching vibrations.

It is further evident that most recent advances in the preparation of supported Rh catalysts with well-defined and uniform Rh sites can assist in the selective synthesis of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species. For example, Goellner et al. [63] have demonstrated a one-step synthesis of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes. More specifically, it has been shown that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes can react with the surface of dealuminated HY zeolite, resulting in the displacement of the (acac) ligand and the formation of site-isolated, well-defined  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species anchored inside the zeolite supercages. The uniform structure of these HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes makes them excellent candidates for spectroscopic characterizations and offers the opportunity for the selective synthesis of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species.

In our current work, we explore the chemical properties of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}$  materials in an effort to develop a pathway to the selective synthesis of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species. FTIR and EXAFS spectroscopic measurements, as well as results of mass spectrometry and isotope labeling

experiments were used to monitor ligand exchange reactions and to understand the molecular structure and composition of the species formed. The results presented herein demonstrate a relatively simple two-step pathway for the selective synthesis of well-defined and structurally uniform HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes under ambient conditions. Furthermore, the stability of these  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species is addressed under the flow of He or  $\text{H}_2$  at elevated temperatures, as well as their reactivity towards CO,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , and  $\text{N}_2$ . In addition, catalytic properties of zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes were tested in a simple probe reaction of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  hydrogenation and the results obtained confirm their importance for mechanisms describing hydrogenation of alkenes.

## 2.3 EXPERIMENTAL

### 2.3.1 REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

Dicarbonylacetylacetonato rhodium (I)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  ( $\text{acac} = \text{C}_5\text{H}_7\text{O}_2$ ) (Strem, 98% purity) was used as supplied. n-Pentane (Aldrich, 99% purity) was refluxed under  $\text{N}_2$  in the presence of Na/benzophenone ketyl to remove traces of moisture and deoxygenated by sparging of dry  $\text{N}_2$  prior to use. All glassware used in these steps was previously dried at  $120^\circ\text{C}$ .  $\text{H}_2$ , He, CO, and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  (Airgas, all UHP grade) were additionally purified prior to their use by passage through oxygen/moisture traps (Agilent) capable of removing traces of  $\text{O}_2$  and water to 15 and 25 ppb, respectively.  $^{13}\text{CO}$  (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) and deuterium (Cambridge Isotopes, 99.8%) were used as received. A highly dealuminated HY

zeolite (CBV760, Zeolyst International) with a Si/Al ratio of 30 (further denoted as HY30) was used as the support. The zeolite was calcined in O<sub>2</sub> at 300°C for 3 h, evacuated at 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr and 300°C for 16 h, and stored in a glovebox (MBraun) filled with N<sub>2</sub> prior to use. The residual water and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the glovebox were kept below 0.1 ppm.

### 2.3.2 PREPARATION OF SUPPORTED SAMPLES

All syntheses and sample transfer procedures were performed with exclusion of air and moisture on a double-manifold Schlenk line and in a N<sub>2</sub>-filled MBraun glovebox. Supported samples were prepared by slurring the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor with the powder support in n-pentane under N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h at room temperature, followed by overnight evacuation at 25°C to remove the solvent. In each case, the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor was added in the amount needed to yield samples containing 1 wt% Rh. The Rh weight loading was verified by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis (Galbraith Laboratories Inc.). The prepared samples were stored and handled in a glovebox filled with N<sub>2</sub> to prevent possible decomposition of supported species.

### 2.3.3 FTIR SPECTROSCOPY

A Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer equipped with a MCT-B detector cooled by liquid nitrogen was used to collect spectra with a resolution of 2 cm<sup>-1</sup>, averaging 64 scans per spectrum. Each powder sample was pressed into a self-supported wafer with a density of approximately 20 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and mounted in a

home-made cell connected to a gas distribution manifold. The cell design allowed for the treatment of samples at different temperatures, while various gases flowed through the cell.

#### 2.3.4 X-RAY ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY (XAS) MEASUREMENTS

XAS spectra were collected at X-ray beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA. The storage ring electron energy was 3 GeV and the ring current was in the range of 345-350 mA.

XAS measurements were used to characterize the surface species formed after the impregnation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  on the support and after exposure of samples to different treatments. Prior to these XAS measurements, each powder sample was pressed into a wafer inside a  $\text{N}_2$ -filled glovebox. The sample mass was calculated to give an absorbance of approximately 2.5 at the Rh K absorption edge. After the sample had been pressed, it was loaded into an EXAFS cell [145], sealed under  $\text{N}_2$ , and removed from the glovebox. The cell was connected to a gas distribution system and aligned in the X-ray beam. The cell design allows not only to handle samples without air exposure, but also to use the cell as a flow reactor. The XAS data were collected at room temperature in the transmission mode while different gases flowed through the cell, using a Si(220) double crystal monochromator which was detuned 30% to minimize the effects of higher harmonics in the X-ray beam. Samples were scanned at

energies near the Rh K absorption edge (23220 eV). All spectra were calibrated with respect to Rh foil, the spectrum of which was collected simultaneously.

### 2.3.5 EXTENDED X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE (EXAFS) DATA ANALYSIS

The EXAFS data were analyzed with experimentally determined reference files obtained from EXAFS data for materials of known structure. The Rh–Rh and Rh–O<sub>support</sub> interactions were analyzed with phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data for rhodium foil and Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions (where O\* represents carbonyl oxygen) were analyzed with phase shift and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data characterizing crystalline Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>, which has only terminal CO ligands. The transferability of the phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes for near neighbors in the periodic table has been justified experimentally [146]. The parameters used to extract these files from the EXAFS data are reported elsewhere [147]. The EXAFS data were extracted from the spectra with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148]. The EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from the X-ray absorption spectrum by a cubic spline background subtraction and normalized by dividing the absorption intensity by the height of the absorption edge. The final normalized EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from an average of six scans. The parameters characterizing both low-Z (O, C) and high-Z (Rh) contributions were determined by multiple-shell fitting with a maximum of 20 free parameters in r

space (where  $r$  is the distance from the absorbing atom,  $R_h$ ) and in  $k$  (wave vector) space over the ranges of  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$  with application of  $k^1$  and  $k^3$  weighting of the Fourier transform. The statistically justified number of free parameters ( $n$ ), estimated from the Nyquist theorem [149,150],  $n = (2\Delta k\Delta r/\pi) + 1$ , where  $\Delta k$  and  $\Delta r$  are the  $k$  and  $r$  ranges used to fit the data, was approximately 23. The fit was optimized by use of a difference file technique [151,152], with phase- and amplitude-corrected Fourier transforms. The best fit parameters determined for each sample examined are summarized in Table 2.1. Standard deviations reported in Table 2.1 for the various parameters were calculated with the XDAP software, as described elsewhere [153]. Systematic errors are not included in the calculation of the standard deviations. The values of the goodness of fit ( $\chi^2_v$ ) were calculated with the XDAP software as outlined in the Reports on Standards and Criteria in XAFS Spectroscopy [154]. The variances in both the imaginary and absolute parts were used to determine the fit quality [155].

### 2.3.6 MASS-SPECTROMETRY MEASUREMENTS

Mass spectrometry (MS) measurements were used to monitor ligand exchange reactions between surface species and different gases and to identify the products released during such reactions. In a typical experiment, approximately 100 mg of the sample was loaded into a plug-flow micro reactor in a glovebox and the reactor was sealed to avoid air exposure. The reactor was subsequently connected to a gas distribution system equipped with mass flow

controllers and an online Inficon Transceptor 2 residual gas analyzer operating in a multi-ion detection mode. Before each experiment, the reactor was purged with He (100 ml/min) at 25°C and atmospheric pressure for 1 h to stabilize the baseline mass spectrometer signal. When this procedure was completed, various feeds (as specified in the text) were introduced into the reactor at 25°C and a flow rate of 100 ml/min. The feed and effluent compositions were routinely monitored with time on stream to detect species such as H<sub>2</sub> (m/z= 2), CO (m/z= 28), <sup>13</sup>CO (m/z= 29), CO<sub>2</sub> (m/z= 44), C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (m/z= 26, 27, 28), and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (m/z= 30). The m/z values shown in brackets and listed in increasing order of their relative intensities and correspond to the most abundant lines in the fragmentation pattern of each species.

### 2.3.7 CATALYTIC MEASUREMENTS

Catalytic activity measurements for the hydrogenation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> were performed in a quartz single-pass fixed-bed reactor at atmospheric pressure and room temperature. The temperature inside the reactor was monitored by a thermocouple extended into the catalyst bed. Samples in powder form (0.1 g) were loaded in a glovebox and the reactor was sealed to avoid air exposure. The total volumetric flow rate of the reactant mixture (608 Torr H<sub>2</sub>/ 76 Torr C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/balance N<sub>2</sub>) was held at 100 ml/min (1atm, 25°C), yielding a corresponding Gas Hourly Space Velocity (GHSV) of 6,000 h<sup>-1</sup>. The feed and the reaction products were analyzed with an on-line gas chromatograph (HP 7890 A, Agilent) equipped with TCD and FID detectors and two capillary columns. A Rt-Alumina

column (50m, 0.53 mm ID, Restek) was used for the analysis of hydrocarbons, while a Carboxen 1010 Plot column (30m, 0.53 mm ID, Supelco) was used for the analysis of hydrogen. In the absence of a catalyst, there was no measurable conversion of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.

## 2.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When a Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample prepared from the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor was exposed to H<sub>2</sub> at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, no visible changes in the FTIR spectra were observed. Furthermore, no new features were observed in the spectra even when the same experiment was performed at elevated temperatures. In this case, however, the ν<sub>CO</sub> bands at 2117 and 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> characterizing supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes progressively declined in intensity as the temperature was increased and completely disappeared from the spectra at approximately 300°C, indicating a complete decarbonylation of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species under these conditions. This process was also accompanied by an aggregation of the original site-isolated Rh cations into small clusters, as indicated by the increase in the Rh–Rh coordination number to approximately 4.0 shown in the EXAFS data for the sample treated in H<sub>2</sub> at 300°C (Table 2.1). These experiments clearly show that Rh carbonyl hydride complexes cannot be formed via the direct treatment of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample with H<sub>2</sub>. This result is further reinforced by DFT calculations predicting that the oxidative addition of H<sub>2</sub> to rhodium carbonyl complexes is thermodynamically unfavorable due to the presence of the initial



substitution step on which the strong Rh–CO bond must be broken while only a weak Rh-( $\eta^2$ -H<sub>2</sub>) bond is formed instead [156].

**Table 2.1** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed after the treatment of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac)/HY30 sample under different conditions.

Treatment	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs.
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> at 25°C <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.0	1.2	0.8
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	1.0	1.83	0.00262	-5.2			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	1.1	2.97	0.00123	-4.8			
	Rh–C <sub>et</sub>	2.1	2.15	0.00491	-0.7			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.6	2.21	0.00130	3.0			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	1.3	2.71	0.00171	-1.3			
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> at 25°C followed by H <sub>2</sub> at 25°C <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.4	0.8	0.6
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	0.9	1.84	0.00235	-7.5			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	1.0	2.96	0.00067	-1.4			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.5	2.21	0.00184	-5.5			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	0.7	2.73	-0.00520	-6.1			
	H <sub>2</sub> at 300°C <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	4.0	2.67	0.00518			
Rh–O <sub>support</sub>								
Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	1.5	2.07	0.00182	-8.9				
Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	1.4	2.80	0.00500	4.2				

Standard deviations in fits: N  $\pm$  20%, R  $\pm$  1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$   $\pm$  10%,  $\Delta E_0$   $\pm$  10%; N, coordination number; R, distance between absorber and backscatterer atoms;  $\Delta\sigma^2$ , Debye-Waller factor relative to the Debye-Waller factor of the reference compound;  $\Delta E_0$ , inner potential correction accounting for the difference in the inner potential between the sample and the reference compound;  $\varepsilon_v^2$ , goodness of fit; the superscript <sup>\*</sup> refers to carbonyl ligands, while the subscript <sub>et</sub> refers to

C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands. <sup>a</sup> R-space fit ranges of 3.5 < k < 15.0 Å<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5 < r < 3.5 Å; 23 allowed fitting parameters.

It has been reported previously that Rh(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complexes grafted on SiO<sub>2</sub> can react with molecular hydrogen at room temperature to form SiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Rh(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)H species and gaseous propane [20]. In contrast, it has also been reported that the similar treatment of Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> species supported on HY30 zeolite results in the formation of gaseous ethane and the conversion of the original site-isolated Rh species into clusters incorporating approximately 3 Rh atoms [158]. While the former example suggests that the presence of alkene ligands in the precursor could be a prerequisite for the successful synthesis of supported Rh species incorporating hydride ligands, the latter clearly demonstrates the aggregation of Rh sites likely due to the unstable nature of Rh species formed under such conditions. One can further suggest that the aggregation of Rh could be minimized when more stable or less reactive ligands are present in supported Rh complexes in addition to alkene ligands. This points at the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complex as a promising precursor for the formation of site-isolated Rh(CO)H<sub>x</sub> species.


#### 2.4.1 SYNTHESIS OF SUPPORTED Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) COMPLEXES

It has been shown previously that supported Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes can be formed via a two-step sequence of ligand exchange reactions [159]. More specifically, dealuminated Y zeolite-supported Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complexes

prepared from a  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  precursor can react with CO at room temperature and atmospheric pressure to yield supported rhodium dicarbonyl  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. The carbonyl ligands of the latter were found to be reactive, with subsequent exposure to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  under ambient conditions leading to the formation of mixed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes [159]. The results described below show that the selective synthesis of dealuminated Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes can also be achieved in one step.

Well-defined and site-isolated zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes can be prepared directly from the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor as described elsewhere [63]. These species exhibit a very specific infrared signature consisting of two very narrow  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at approximately 2117 and 2052  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , assigned to the symmetric and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations of the carbonyl ligands, respectively. Moreover, the structure of these species has been established based on extensive EXAFS characterization measurements and confirmed by DFT calculations [63]. In particular, it has been shown that dealuminated Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species retain the square-planar geometry of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor upon anchoring, with two oxygen atoms located in the T4 ring of the Y zeolite and coordinated to  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  cations representing the most probable binding sites for these species [63]. While such complexes were used in the past as convenient probes to identify specific locations of binding sites in the zeolite framework [63], it was generally assumed that their carbonyl ligands are unreactive [64].

A Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample was prepared from the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor as described previously [63], and exposed to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> flow at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, while FTIR spectra were collected to monitor changes in the surface species. As expected, the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample is characterized by two sharp bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region located at approximately 2117 and 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2.1, spectrum 1), consistent with the presence of supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species. Upon exposure to the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> flow, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2117 cm<sup>-1</sup> sharply declined in intensity (Fig. 2.1, insert) and completely disappeared from the spectrum after approximately 3 min on stream. During this process, the intensity of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> remained unchanged but the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) value increased from 6 to 10 cm<sup>-1</sup> by the time the disappearance of the 2117 cm<sup>-1</sup> band was completed (Fig. 2.1, spectrum 2).



**Figure 2.1** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of (1) a freshly prepared  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample and (2) the same sample treated under the flow of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at room temperature for 3 min. The insert shows difference spectra illustrating the disappearance of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2117\text{ cm}^{-1}$  with time on stream.

When the sample was subsequently purged with He, new bands appeared in the spectra in the  $\nu(\text{C-H})$  region at  $3094$ ,  $3070$ ,  $3021$ , and  $2986\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the  $\nu(\text{C=C})$  region at  $1536\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and the  $\delta(\text{CH}_2)$  region at  $1438\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Table 2.2). All these new bands are consistent with those predicted by density functional theory (DFT) calculations and experimentally observed in various organometallic compounds of Rh incorporating  $\pi$ -bonded  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  ligands [161], indicating that  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  was coordinated to the Rh sites. The Rh complexes thus formed were

evidently stable, as no changes in the FTIR spectra were observed for an extended period of time under He flow.

The square-planar 16-electron  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complex attached to two oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework [63] can potentially coordinate one additional  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  molecule without release of a carbonyl ligand. Depending on any changes occurring at the Rh-support interface during this process, the resultant species can either maintain the 16-electron count and the square planar geometry or be transformed to an 18-electron  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complex. The formation of the latter would likely also change the geometry, since the Rh cation is expected to be penta-coordinated in this case. Moreover, it is possible that carbonyl ligands in surface species thus formed may not be located in a cis position in respect to each other and, therefore, the FTIR signature of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species can be lost. Alternatively, it is also possible that the Rh-support interface remains unaffected during the process and one of the CO ligands is simply replaced by  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  so that the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complex thus formed remains attached to two oxygen atoms of the support and is 16-electron and square-planar in nature. In order to differentiate between these possibilities, it is important to determine whether any CO ligands were released from the Rh complex.

While mass spectrometry measurements have been used in the past to monitor changes in the ligand environment of dealuminated Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes prepared from the  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  precursor upon exposure to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  [159], the results reported are not convincing because it is virtually impossible to distinguish between CO and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , both of which are

characterized by a  $m/z$  ratio of 28. To resolve this issue, the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample in our case was first exposed to  $^{13}\text{CO}$  to form supported  $\text{Rh}(^{13}\text{CO})_2$  species. The replacement of CO ligands by  $^{13}\text{CO}$  in supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes proceeds to completion quickly, as indicated by the disappearance of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and the appearance of two new bands at 2068 and 2005  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in the FTIR spectra (Table 2.2). The  $\text{Rh}(^{13}\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample thus formed was first purged with He to remove any traces of CO and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  from the system and subsequently exposed to a flow of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The results obtained in this case were identical to those collected with  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. For example, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2068  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  completely disappeared from the spectrum after approximately 3 min on stream; the band at 2005  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  remained unchanged in intensity but became broader (FWHM 14  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ); and new bands assigned to the  $\nu(\text{C-H})$ ,  $\nu(\text{C=C})$ , and  $\delta(\text{CH}_2)$  vibrations of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  attached to Rh appeared in the FTIR spectra (Table 2.2), indicating that the reactivity of carbonyl ligands to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(^{13}\text{CO})_2$  surface species is quite similar.


**Table 2.2** Vibrational frequencies characterizing the surface species formed by adsorption of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor on the HY zeolite support and following subsequent treatments.

Sample	Treatment	Bands, cm <sup>-1</sup>	Assignments	Suggested surface species
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)/HY30	none	2117 2053	$\nu_{\text{CO}}$ (sym) $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ (asym)	Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)/HY30	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> at 25°C for 3 min	3094 3070 3021 2986 2053 1536 1438	$\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ $\nu_{\text{C=C}}/\bar{\delta}(\text{CH}_2)$ $\bar{\delta}(\text{CH}_2)$	Rh(CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)/HY30	<sup>13</sup> CO pulse	2068 2005	$\nu_{\text{CO}}$ (sym) $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ (asym)	Rh( <sup>13</sup> CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)/HY30	<sup>13</sup> CO pulse followed by C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> for 3 min	3093 3074 3021 2987 2005 1530 1438	$\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CH}}$ $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ $\nu_{\text{C=C}}/\bar{\delta}(\text{CH}_2)$ $\bar{\delta}(\text{CH}_2)$	Rh( <sup>13</sup> CO)(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )

Fig. 2.2 shows mass spectra of the reactor effluent recorded during the exposure of the Rh(<sup>13</sup>CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. When C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> was added to the He flow, the signal from this component (m/z=28) immediately appeared in the mass spectrum. The intensity of this signal increased progressively during the first 2.5 min on stream and remained constant thereafter. It should be noted that approximately 1 min was required to remove C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> completely from the system at the end of this experiment. Simultaneously with the appearance of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, the signal from <sup>13</sup>CO (m/z=29) was also observed in the mass spectrum. This signal



displayed a sharp maximum and completely disappeared after approximately 2.5 min on stream, indicating that all  $^{13}\text{CO}$  species released into the gas phase were purged from the system by that time. The presence of  $^{13}\text{CO}$  in the reactor effluent provides unambiguous evidence that  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  indeed replaces  $^{13}\text{CO}$  ligands in the  $\text{Rh}(^{13}\text{CO})_2$  surface species. Moreover, the complete temporal overlap of the release of  $^{13}\text{CO}$  with the period required for  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  to reach its maximum concentration in the effluent further supports the previous conclusion. Finally, this conclusion is also reinforced by the FTIR results described above, providing clear evidence for the coordination of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  on Rh sites.



**Figure 2.2** Mass spectra of the reactor effluent recorded during exposure of the  $\text{Rh}(^{13}\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at room temperature.

Literature examples, describing the reaction of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complex with alkenes either in solution or imbedded into a polymer matrix

indicate that alkenes can replace only one CO ligand in the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complex [162]. Moreover, infrared data reported by the same authors show that the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{acac})$  complex is characterized by a single  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2010\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , while the parent  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complex exhibits two bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region at  $2081$  and  $2011\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , suggesting that the position of the remaining  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band (i.e., at  $2011\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) in this complex is unaffected by the presence of the  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  ligand. Taking into account our experimental results described above and this literature example, we can safely infer that only one carbonyl ligand in HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species can be replaced by  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , yielding  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes, with the group of infrared bands listed in Table 2.2 representing the characteristic FTIR fingerprint of these species.

The EXAFS data shown in Table 2.1 for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample treated in  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  provide complementary information about the structure of the surface species formed. Based on XRD data reported elsewhere [163] for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor, the  $\text{Rh}-\text{C}$  and  $\text{Rh}-\text{O}^*$  bond distances associated with two terminal CO ligands in this complex are  $1.831$  and  $2.986\text{ \AA}$ , respectively. EXAFS data reported previously [62] for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species prepared from this precursor on different zeolites also confirm the presence of two terminal CO ligands in the surface species with average  $\text{Rh}-\text{C}$  and  $\text{Rh}-\text{O}^*$  distances of  $1.85$  and  $2.98\text{ \AA}$ , respectively, suggesting that the carbonyl ligands remain intact following the impregnation step. The Rh K edge EXAFS data collected after exposure of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  show the presence of  $\text{Rh}-\text{C}$  contributions with average coordination numbers of  $1.0$  and  $2.1$  at distances of


1.83 and 2.15 Å, respectively (Table 2.1). The first Rh–C contribution can be assigned to terminal carbonyl ligands. The corresponding Rh–O\* contribution from the oxygen atoms of the CO ligands is observed with an average coordination number of 1.1 at a distance of 2.97 Å (Table 2.1). The distance of 2.15 Å characterizing the second Rh–C contribution is typical of Rh cationic complexes incorporating  $\pi$ -bonded alkenes as ligands [165]. The presence of such a contribution in the EXAFS spectrum of this sample provides strong evidence for the  $\pi$ -bonding of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> to the Rh sites.

Consistent with the FTIR results, the EXAFS parameters (i.e., coordination numbers and bond distances) characterizing the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample exposed to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> suggest that each Rh atom is coordinated to approximately one CO and one C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligand, indicating the formation of Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) surface complexes. Since no Rh–Rh contributions were observed in the EXAFS spectrum, these complexes remain site-isolated. Furthermore, the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species appear to strongly interact with the zeolite framework, as evidenced by the presence of Rh–O<sub>support</sub> (i.e., short (Rh–O<sub>s</sub>) and long (Rh–O<sub>l</sub>)) contributions characterized by average coordination numbers of 2.6 and 1.3 at average distances of 2.21 and 2.71 Å, respectively (Table 2.1). Among these Rh–O<sub>support</sub> contributions only the short one represents the Rh-support interface, indicating that the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species are bound to approximately two oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework. While the average distance of 2.21 Å determined by EXAFS for this Rh–O<sub>s</sub> contribution is somewhat longer than that typically observed for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes (i.e., in the 2.05-2.19 Å range) formed on different supports (including

zeolites) [62,166,167], it is fairly close to Rh-O<sub>support</sub> distances predicted by DFT calculations (i.e., 2.18-2.20 Å) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes bound to oxygen atoms connected to Al<sup>3+</sup> sites in the four-ring (T4) or five-ring (T5) zeolite clusters [19]. Based on these results, we can conclude that the metal-support interface remains the same during the substitution of one carbonyl ligand in the surface Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.


#### 2.4.2 SYNTHESIS OF SUPPORTED Rh(CO)(H<sub>x</sub>) SPECIES

When the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)/HY30 sample was further exposed to the flow of pure hydrogen at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, significant changes were observed in the FTIR spectra with time on stream (Fig. 2.3). More specifically, the ν<sub>CO</sub> band at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> declined in intensity, while a new band at 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a shoulder at 2096 cm<sup>-1</sup> appeared in the spectra. The intensity of this band increased as a function of time and reached its maximum value when the band at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> almost disappeared. This new band at 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to the ν<sub>CO</sub> vibration in a new surface species formed. Simultaneously with the changes observed in the ν<sub>CO</sub> region, the ν<sub>CH</sub> bands at 3093, 3074, 3021, and 2987 cm<sup>-1</sup> characterizing the Rh-bound C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands declined in intensity and eventually disappeared, while the appearance of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> with a characteristic ν<sub>CH</sub> vibration at 2957 cm<sup>-1</sup> was detected in the gas phase (spectra not shown for brevity). Finally, several new low intensity bands appeared in the 2170-2120 cm<sup>-1</sup> region (Fig. 2.3) and their development coincided perfectly with the development of the 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> band.



**Figure 2.3** FTIR spectra recorded during exposure of the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)/HY30 sample to the flow of H<sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 30 min. Arrows indicate the appearance and disappearance of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands.

The formation of gas phase C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> during such a treatment was further verified in separate experiments using mass spectrometry measurements. The results shown in Fig. 2.4 confirm that hydrogenation of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands in the zeolite-supported Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes by H<sub>2</sub> takes place at room temperature. This result is consistent with earlier reports [159,64] demonstrating the hydrogenation of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands in zeolite-supported Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complexes by H<sub>2</sub> under similar conditions.




**Figure 2.4** Mass spectrum of the gaseous effluent stream recorded during exposure of the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)/HY30 sample to a 1% H<sub>2</sub>/He flow at room temperature.

Experiments were also performed with <sup>13</sup>CO and D<sub>2</sub> in an effort to determine the nature and assign all new bands detected in the spectra (i.e., at 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> and in the 2170-2120 cm<sup>-1</sup> region). Substitution of the CO ligands with <sup>13</sup>CO cannot be performed directly because all the new bands observed in Fig. 20 disappear immediately following a pulse of CO or <sup>13</sup>CO and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> (ν<sub>CO</sub> at 2117 and 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and Rh(<sup>13</sup>CO)<sub>2</sub> (ν<sub>CO</sub> at 2068 and 2005 cm<sup>-1</sup>) species are formed on the surface, respectively. Interestingly enough, the evolution of gas

phase H<sub>2</sub> was observed in these experiments (confirmed by MS measurements), implying that the surface species formed after the treatment of Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)/HY30 with H<sub>2</sub> must incorporate some type of hydride ligands.

Alternatively, Rh(<sup>13</sup>CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) surface species (Table 2.2) were prepared first by CO-<sup>13</sup>CO ligand exchange of the original Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample followed by C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> treatment at room temperature, and were then exposed to the H<sub>2</sub> flow at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The changes observed in the FTIR spectra during the H<sub>2</sub> treatment were similar to those described above for the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species. More specifically, the characteristic vibrations of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands disappeared with time on stream, consistent with their hydrogenation. The ν<sub>CO</sub> band at 2005 cm<sup>-1</sup> characteristic of the Rh(<sup>13</sup>CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species substantially declined in intensity, while a new band with a maximum at 2042 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a shoulder at 2047 cm<sup>-1</sup> was formed (Fig. 2.5, spectrum 2). The shape of this new band is nearly identical to that of the 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> band (with a shoulder at 2096 cm<sup>-1</sup>) formed during the same experiment with Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species (Fig. 22, spectrum 1). Moreover, a further comparison of spectra shown in Fig. 2.5 for these two samples indicates that the main features of this new band (i.e., the maximum and the shoulder) are red-shifted by approximately 49 cm<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with the CO-<sup>13</sup>CO isotopic shift expected for surface species incorporating CO as a ligand. We can, therefore, conclude that the bands with maxima at 2091 and 2042 cm<sup>-1</sup> observed in experiments with <sup>12</sup>CO and <sup>13</sup>CO, respectively, represent a CO ligand in a new environment. Furthermore, since the ν<sub>CO</sub> vibration of a zeolite-supported monocarbonyl Rh(CO) species is known

to be at a much lower frequency [143], these results indicate that the Rh surface species characterized by the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at approximately 2091 and 2042  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  incorporate some additional ligands.




**Figure 2.5** FTIR spectra of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  and (2)  $\text{Rh}^{(13}\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  samples treated in  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature for 30 min.

The results shown in Fig. 2.5 further indicate that the appearance of the new strong band in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region during the  $\text{H}_2$  treatment of zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  and  $\text{Rh}^{(13}\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes is accompanied by the appearance of several weak bands in the 2170-2120  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region. The position of these bands is exactly the same regardless of whether  $^{12}\text{CO}$  or  $^{13}\text{CO}$  is used.




When this region is plotted on a more sensitive scale (Fig. 2.6), at least four bands can be identified in the spectra with maxima at 2164, 2156, 2143, and 2129  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .



**Figure 2.6** FTIR spectrum in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region of the surface species formed after the treatment of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  sample with  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature for 30 min (solid line); deconvolution results also shown (dashed line).

Since all these bands fall into the range of wavenumbers characteristic of metal hydrides [168], their presence suggests the formation of rhodium carbonyl hydride  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species. To further confirm such an assignment, the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  sample was exposed to  $\text{H}_2$  for 30 min, purged with He, and

subsequently exposed to a pulse of D<sub>2</sub>. The intensity and position of the strong band at 2091 cm<sup>-1</sup> remained unchanged after the pulse of D<sub>2</sub>, confirming the assignment of this band to a ν<sub>CO</sub> vibration. However, the bands located at 2164, 2156, 2143, and 2129 cm<sup>-1</sup> immediately disappeared from the spectrum, while four new bands appeared at 1564, 1553, 1541, and 1532 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2.7). The isotopic shift for each individual band was approximately 600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with theoretical calculations and experimental results reported previously for the replacement of H by D in rhodium hydrides [169]. Overall, these <sup>12</sup>CO-<sup>13</sup>CO and H<sub>2</sub>-D<sub>2</sub> substitution experiments provide strong evidence for the formation of Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species upon exposure of the HY zeolite-supported Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes to H<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. We can further infer that the chemical reaction between H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligands provides a driving force for their formation.



**Figure 2.7** Difference FTIR spectrum illustrating changes in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region after exposure of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species to  $\text{D}_2$ .


### 2.4.3 STRUCTURE OF SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$ SPECIES

The Rh K edge EXAFS data (Table 2.1) collected for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  sample treated in  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature provide a strong basis for elucidating the structure of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  surface species formed. The absence of any Rh–Rh contributions in the EXAFS spectra of this sample provides unambiguous evidence for the site-isolation and mononuclear nature of the supported rhodium complexes formed after the  $\text{H}_2$  treatment. Furthermore, the presence of Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions with average coordination numbers of 0.9 and 1.0 at average distances of 1.84 and 2.96 Å, respectively,

suggests that one carbonyl ligand is coordinated to each Rh atom in the surface species formed. In contrast, since no Rh–C contributions from  $\pi$ -bonded  $C_2H_4$  ligands (expected at a distance of 2.15 Å) were observed in the spectra, it can be concluded that these ligands were removed during the  $H_2$  treatment. This result provides a strong reinforcement for the FTIR and MS data, which also document the removal of the  $C_2H_4$  ligands from the surface species in the form of  $C_2H_6$ . Finally, the Rh complexes formed on the surface remain attached to the zeolite framework, as evidenced by the presence of short (Rh–O<sub>s</sub>) and long (Rh–O<sub>i</sub>) contributions characterized by average coordination numbers of 2.5 and 0.7 at average distances of 2.21 and 2.73 Å, respectively (Table 2.1). Once again, since among these Rh–O<sub>support</sub> contributions only the short one represents the Rh-support interface, it is evident that the Rh species formed remain bound to approximately two oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework.

Overall, the EXAFS data show that the treatment of Rh(CO)( $C_2H_4$ )/HY30 with  $H_2$  at room temperature removes the  $C_2H_4$  ligand, while the carbonyl ligand remains intact. At the same time, the metal-support interface remains unchanged after the loss of the  $C_2H_4$  ligand, and the new surface complex continues to be bound to approximately two oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework. While hydride ligands cannot be identified by EXAFS, the FTIR and MS data discussed previously clearly indicate the presence of such ligands in the new complex, although the exact structure and composition of these hydride ligands needs to be further examined.

The FTIR spectrum in the  $\nu_{\text{RH}}$  region, shown in Fig. 2.6 in a more sensitive scale, clearly has a complex band structure with four maxima. Deconvolution results shown in the same figure (dashed lines) indicate that in addition to the four bands present at 2164, 2156, 2143, and 2129  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , a fifth band can be also identified with a maximum at 2152  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . When the deconvolution procedure was also applied to the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of the same spectrum (Fig. 2.8), three bands were revealed at 2096, 2091, and 2086  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .



**Figure 2.8** FTIR spectrum in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of the surface species formed after the treatment of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  sample with  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature for 30 min (solid line); deconvolution results also shown (dashed line).

A first interpretation of these results may be that a mixture of surface species incorporating different forms of hydrogen ligands was formed and previous literature reports may at first appear to support such a conclusion. For example, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2091 and 2096  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were observed previously after UV activation of zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in the presence of  $\text{H}_2$  and were assigned to a mixture of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H}_2)$  species [144], with the latter incorporating molecular hydrogen as a ligand. While the synthesis of organometallic Rh complexes incorporating molecular hydrogen has been reported in previous publications [170-172], the number of such examples is fairly limited due to the lower stability of these species, as shown by DFT calculations [173]. Furthermore, organometallic complexes in which  $\eta^2\text{-H}_2$  ligands are bound to the metal site, typically show the presence of several fundamental vibrations (i.e.,  $\nu_{\text{HH}}$ , asymmetric and symmetric  $\nu_{\text{MH}_2}$ , and  $\delta_{\text{MH}_2}$ ) in their FTIR spectra at approximately 2690, 1570, 950, and 470  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively [174]. While the symmetric  $\nu_{\text{MH}_2}$  and  $\delta_{\text{MH}_2}$  bands cannot be detected in our case due to the strong absorption of the zeolite support in these regions, the anticipated  $\nu_{\text{HH}}$  and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{MH}_2}$  bands were not observed in our spectra. In addition, due to the analogy between the binding of molecular  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  to metal sites in organometallic compounds, these ligands are known to participate in facile substitution reactions [170,172], with the  $\text{Rh}(\eta^2\text{-H}_2)\text{L}$  complexes quantitatively transformed into  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)\text{L}$  ones and vice versa. Moreover, organometallic complexes with  $\eta^2\text{-H}_2$  ligands are not capable of catalyzing the hydrogenation of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at room temperature [174], since molecular  $\text{H}_2$  must dissociate before this

reaction can proceed. Mass spectrometry results discussed in section 3.4 show that when  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  surface species are treated with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  a facile substitution process does not take place, but instead  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  is formed in the gas phase. Finally, results from experiments involving H-D exchange (Fig. 2.7) indicate that all major bands detected in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region have counterparts in the  $\nu_{\text{RhD}}$  region with a isotopic  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}/\nu_{\text{RhD}}$  frequency ratio of 1.39 typical for metal hydrides [139] instead of a  $\text{Rh}(\eta^2\text{-H}_2)\text{L}$  type of species. Based on the above, we can conclude that the treatment of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)/\text{HY30}$  with  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature leads to the formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  surface hydride species.

DFT calculations for unsupported Rh atoms with only hydride ligands attached predict that the split between the symmetric and asymmetric Rh-H stretching vibrations in a  $\text{Rh}(\text{H})_2$  dihydride species is approximately  $50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , with the asymmetric mode being the most intense one [139]. However, experimental FTIR results collected by the same authors for  $\text{Rh}(\text{H})_2$  species embedded in solid argon and neon matrixes show that the actual split between the two vibration modes is approximately  $25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [139]. Consistent with this result, two  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands with a split in the  $15\text{-}30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  range have been also observed by others in FTIR spectra of different organometallic Rh complexes incorporating dihydride ligands [134,158]. Based on this information, two pairs of bands at  $2164/2143 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2152/2129 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be tentatively identified in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region of our spectra (Fig. 2.6) and assigned to two distinct pairs of dihydride ligands coordinated to Rh sites. We can further infer that each pair of  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands has a counterpart in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region (Fig. 2.8). For example, the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands at  $2164$  and  $2143 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be


combined with the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2091\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , while the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands at  $2152$  and  $2129\text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be combined with the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2086\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Such an assignment suggests that at least two types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  species were formed. The remaining  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  band at  $2156\text{ cm}^{-1}$  does not have a pair in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region, but it can be combined with the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2096\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Such an assignment would be consistent with the presence of a third  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})$  monohydride species on the support surface, which are expected to exhibit a single  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  vibration. Alternatively, the same two bands at  $2156$  and  $2096\text{ cm}^{-1}$  can also be assigned to a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  dihydride species in which the two hydride ligands are located trans to each other and, therefore, exhibit a single  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  stretching vibration. If this was the case however, resonance interactions between the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  vibrational modes would be expected, leading to a significant shift ( $\sim 18\text{-}32\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band upon H/D exchange [169]. Since our experimental data show no substantial changes in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region when hydride ligands were replaced for deuterium, we can assign the  $2156$  and  $2096\text{ cm}^{-1}$  bands to the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})$  monohydride surface species, respectively. Furthermore, we can conclude that the CO and hydride ligands in the other two  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  complexes are located only in cis positions.

The  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species formed on the zeolite surface appeared to be stable at room temperature under the flow of dry He for an extended period of time. Since these species incorporate hydride ligands and are bound to oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework (as indicated by EXAFS), Rh should be in the  $3+$  state in order to maintain the overall neutral charge. Since  $\text{Rh}^{3+}$  complexes do




not exhibit square-planar geometry, we can further suggest that the geometry of the most abundant  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  complexes on the surface is pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal or even pseudo-octahedral if the support fills the empty coordination site on Rh to stabilize the latter geometry. In either case, the formation of several structural isomers can be reasonably expected, as shown in Fig. 2.9. Therefore, such isomers can be accountable for the two groups of bands observed in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  vibration regions [175].

Pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal geometry



Pseudo-octahedral geometry




**Figure 2.9** Possible isomers of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species.

Finally, it has been suggested that oxygen atoms adjacent to  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  cations in the zeolite framework represent the most probable binding sites for Rh species [63]. While four such oxygen atoms are equally accessible and all of them are capable of coordinating Rh complexes, they are not all identical in terms of their electronic properties, providing a set of slightly different binding sites for the coordination of the Rh species. Moreover, since these oxygen atoms can be regarded as ligands for the Rh complexes, it is possible that small differences in their electronic properties could also influence the infrared vibrations in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  regions.

The formation of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes discussed above can be depicted as follows (Fig. 2.10). During the initial impregnation step, site isolated square-planar 16-electron  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are formed on the zeolite framework from the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor. Subsequent treatment of these complexes with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  leads to the substitution of one CO ligand with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  without any significant change at the metal support interface. The  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes thus formed, remain site isolated square-planar and 16-electron in nature. During the next  $\text{H}_2$  treatment step, oxidative addition of hydrogen takes place. This leads to the oxidation of  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}$  sites to  $\text{Rh}^{3+}$ , which is expected to assume octahedral geometry, yielding  $\text{Rh}^{3+}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{H})_2$  type complexes. Subsequent migration of one hydride ligand in this 18-electron complex leads to the formation of a 16-electron  $\text{Rh}^{3+}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)(\text{H})$  complex, which can further undergo reductive elimination of ethane (confirmed by MS measurements), yielding a highly reactive coordinatively unsaturated 14-electron  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})$

complex. With  $H_2$  present in the gas phase, this complex can be immediately converted into a 16-electron  $Rh^{3+}(CO)(H)_2$  complexes via an oxidative addition of hydrogen. During this step, the formation of a smaller fraction of  $Rh^{3+}(CO)(H)$  species may also take place. Such species could be bound to the zeolite framework slightly differently (Fig. 2.10) to maintain a 14-electron configuration. All steps leading to the formation of  $Rh^{3+}(CO)(H)_x$  from  $Rh^I(CO)(C_2H_4)$  are very fast with very short lifetimes for the corresponding intermediates, thus, making the detection of these intermediates impossible by our conventional FTIR measurements. As a result, while the  $Rh^I(CO)(C_2H_4)$  starting and  $Rh^{3+}(CO)(H)_x$  ending “points” of the scheme shown in Fig. 2.10 are strongly supported by experimental evidence, the intermediates shown are speculative in nature.



**Figure 2.10** Schematic representation of the different steps involved in the formation of HY zeolite-supported  $Rh(CO)(H)_x$  species.


#### 2.4.4 REACTIVITY OF SUPPORTED Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> SPECIES TOWARDS CO, N<sub>2</sub>, AND C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

While the FTIR spectrum of the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species remained unchanged under the flow of dry He, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands assigned to these species (Fig. 2.3) disappeared immediately after the introduction of a short pulse of CO at room temperature. At the same time, two strong bands appeared in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and the resulting spectrum was identical to that of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes shown in Fig. 2.1 (spectrum 1). This result indicates that Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes can be rapidly converted to Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species via room temperature displacement of the hydride ligands by CO. To further confirm this conclusion, the same experiment was repeated with larger amounts of the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub>/HY30 sample loaded into a reactor system, while the effluent was analyzed by mass spectrometry. A sharp MS signal from H<sub>2</sub> species ( $m/z=2$ ) appeared immediately following exposure of this sample to a 1% CO/He mixture. This result complements the FTIR data and further demonstrates the presence and high lability of hydride ligands in the surface Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes.

When the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub>/HY30 sample was exposed to the flow of dry N<sub>2</sub> at room temperature, changes were once again observed in the FTIR spectra, but in this case the rate of change was much slower than that during exposure to CO. The final steady state spectrum obtained after approximately 12 h on stream is shown in Fig. 2.11 (spectrum 1). The intensity of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands assigned to the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species declined substantially during this period, while new bands appeared at 2251, 2117, 2062, 2053, and 2014  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,

indicating the formation of several new surface species. Consistent with literature reports [142,159], the two bands at 2251 and 2062  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to the  $\nu_{\text{NN}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  stretching vibrations, respectively, of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  species. Furthermore, the relatively weak band at 2014  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to a rhodium monocarbonyl  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species [143], while the two relative strong bands at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are characteristic of rhodium dicarbonyl  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes. These results suggest that nitrogen can replace the hydride ligands in the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species to form a new  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  complex. However, this process is slow and not selective, since a small fraction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species remains on the surface even after 12 h of exposure, while additional surface species such as  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  are also formed on the surface, the latter at significant amounts. Since the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  complexes are coordinatively unsaturated, they can either coordinate  $\text{N}_2$  to form more stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  complexes or participate in a redistribution of the CO ligands, yielding stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and free Rh sites. In either case, the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  complex can be considered a reaction intermediate.

Following subsequent treatment in  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature for 5 min, both  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species disappeared from the surface, as indicated by the absence of the 2251, 2062, and 2014  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  bands in the corresponding spectrum (Fig. 2.11, spectrum 2). At the same time, the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands assigned to the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species reappeared in the spectrum, indicating the formation of these species. This result suggests that at least in part, transformations between  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species are reversible.



**Figure 2.11** FTIR spectra of the surface species formed after (1) treatment of the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub>/HY30 sample with N<sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 12 h and (2) subsequent treatment with H<sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 5 min.


Finally, when the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub>/HY30 sample was exposed to the flow of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> at room temperature, the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  (2170-2115 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  (2100-2080 cm<sup>-1</sup>) stretching vibrations characteristic of Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species disappeared immediately from the spectrum. Simultaneously, a relatively strong band appeared at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the resulting spectrum was identical to that shown in Fig. 2.1 (spectrum 2) for the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) species. This result indicates that the Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes were selectively converted into Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). To further

investigate this reaction, a larger amount of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x/\text{HY30}$  was loaded into a reactor system, the sample was exposed to a 1%  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{He}$  mixture, and the effluent was analyzed by mass spectrometry. A sharp  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  signal ( $m/z=30$ ) appeared immediately after exposure of the sample to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , while no  $\text{H}_2$  signal ( $m/z=2$ ) was observed. This result shows that  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  does not simply displace hydride ligands in the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes, but rather reacts with them to form  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  species, which are then released into the gas phase. We can further infer that this reaction is catalyzed by the Rh cations and rapidly proceeds to completion, leaving coordinatively unsaturated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  complexes on the surface when all hydride ligands are consumed. These unsaturated Rh complexes readily coordinate  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  from the gas phase to form more stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  species. Overall, these results demonstrate the high reactivity of the hydride ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes towards  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and show that the conversion of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  into  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  species is reversible.

#### 2.4.5 THERMAL STABILITY OF $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$ SPECIES

Difference spectra of the HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species collected during thermal treatment under the flow of He are shown in Fig. 2.12. As can be seen in these spectra, the characteristic vibrations of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  regions declined in intensity as the temperature was increased and disappeared from the spectra at approximately  $130^\circ\text{C}$ . Simultaneously, two strong bands, assigned to the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species, appeared in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region at  $2117$  and  $2053\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the intensity of which reached a maximum


when the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  bands were no longer evident in the spectra. In addition, a relatively weak band assigned to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species developed at  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , but its intensity declined substantially at temperatures above  $120^\circ\text{C}$ . These results suggest that the hydride ligands are removed from the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes with increasing temperature. Since no gas phase CO was detected during this process, we can postulate that a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species are also formed during dehydrogenation. Since such  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species are coordinatively unsaturated and reactive [142,144], redistribution of CO, leading to the formation of stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes, is expected in this case. Such redistribution appears to be faster at higher temperatures, as indicated by the gradual disappearance of the  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$  band.



**Figure 2.12** Difference FTIR spectra of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x/\text{HY30}$  sample illustrating the appearance (positive bands) and disappearance (negative bands) of different vibrations during thermal treatment under the flow of He in the  $25\text{-}130^\circ\text{C}$  temperature range. Insert shows corresponding changes in the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  region.



When the thermal treatment of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x/\text{HY30}$  sample was performed under the flow of  $\text{H}_2$ , similar changes in spectra were observed in the 25-130°C temperature range. More specifically, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  declined in intensity, while the intensities of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117 and 2052  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , assigned to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ , increased. Furthermore, the  $\nu_{\text{RhH}}$  bands disappeared completely from spectra at approximately 130°C. In this case, however, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2092  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  remained in the spectrum, although at a reduced intensity, and temperatures as high as 280°C were required to completely remove it (Fig. 2.13). In fact, at the same temperature (i.e., 280°C) the two  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$   $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands were also completely eliminated from the spectrum.



**Figure 2.13** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region collected during the thermal treatment of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x/\text{HY30}$  sample in  $\text{H}_2$  at various temperatures: (1) 200°C, (2) 210°C, (3) 220°C, (4) 230°C, (5) 240°C, (6) 250°C, (7) 260°C, (8) 270°C, and (9) 280°C.

These results suggest that the overall thermal stability of the hydride ligands in the HY30 zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes is very similar under He and  $\text{H}_2$  atmospheres. In both cases, the thermal decomposition of these complexes follows the same route, with the removal of the hydride ligands leading primarily to the formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  surface species. However, when the hydride ligands have been completely removed at elevated temperatures, the presence of gas phase hydrogen results in the preservation of the band at  $2092\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Since this band does not shift during deuterium experiments, it can be confidently assigned to  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations. Moreover, this band quickly disappears when the flow of  $\text{H}_2$  is replaced by He or when the sample is exposed to a pulse of CO.

An  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2093\text{ cm}^{-1}$  with similar properties has been observed by Miessner in spectra of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}$  sample treated with diluted  $\text{H}_2$  in the 200-250°C temperature range and attributed to the formation of a reactive  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})$  species [142]. It was suggested that these species are different from the  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})$  complexes characterized by the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in terms of their binding to the zeolite framework. More recent results of DFT calculations reported elsewhere [143] do not support such an assignment and strongly suggest that the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  frequency at  $2092\text{ cm}^{-1}$  most likely originates from surface Rh complexes incorporating a combination of H/CO ligands. Although with the experimental techniques used in our experiments it is difficult to prove conclusively the exact composition of these complexes, we can postulate that the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2092\text{ cm}^{-1}$  observed under the flow of  $\text{H}_2$  at elevated temperatures

most likely represents the same type of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes observed originally. First, the position of this band is the same as that for  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations in the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes. Upon removal of the hydride ligands, one can postulate the formation of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H}_2)$  complex from  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  when gas phase  $\text{H}_2$  is present. Such a complex is unstable-even at room temperature and could rapidly be converted to the original  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species [176]. Therefore, one can suggest that a dynamic equilibrium should exist between  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H}_2)$ ,  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H}_x)$ , and empty  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  sites in the presence of  $\text{H}_2$  in the gas phase. Since the  $\text{H}_2$  dissociation process is very fast at elevated temperatures, such an equilibrium is expected to be shifted to the direction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species and empty  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  sites. At elevated temperatures, however, the desorption of hydride ligands also becomes fast.  $\text{H}_2$  from the gas phase immediately fills vacant sites on Rh and rapidly dissociates to reform hydride ligands. We can further infer that when  $\text{H}_2$  is present in the gas phase, the circle of such transformations occurs continuously. Unfortunately, the dynamic of this process cannot be registered by the conventional FTIR equipment because the lifetime of hydride ligands at elevated temperatures (i.e., above  $130^\circ\text{C}$ ) is short. However, since the CO ligand remains on the Rh site during these transformations, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band position (i.e.,  $2092\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) could be regarded as an indicator reflecting the existence of hydride ligands with short lifetimes.

#### 2.4.6 CATALYTIC ACTIVITY OF Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> SPECIES

While rhodium carbonyl hydride complexes are believed to be key intermediates in hydroformylation and hydrogenation of alkenes in solutions [177-179], catalytic properties of their heterogeneous analogs remain largely unknown due to inability to selectively prepare such species on surfaces of solid supports in the past. Therefore, the selective synthesis of zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes described above opens up a unique opportunity to evaluate their catalytic performance and show their importance for alkenes hydrogenation reactions.

The Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub>/HY30 sample was found to be active for hydrogenation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> even at room temperature with an initial TOF measured under differential conditions (i.e., C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversions below 1%) of approximately 0.05 s<sup>-1</sup>. While no an induction period was observed in this case, the TOF of this sample slowly declined during 20 h on stream and leveled at 0.030 s<sup>-1</sup> when steady state was reached. In contrast, when the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample was tested under similar conditions, the induction period lasting for approximately 5 h was observed. During this period of time, the TOF increased from 0 to 0.053 s<sup>-1</sup> and then declined to approximately 0.036 s<sup>-1</sup> during next 15 h on stream. Based on these data, we can suggest that the induction period is associated with transformation of catalytically inactive Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species into catalytically active Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes, which are playing a key role in hydrogenation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. It is further evident that this transformation does not occur instantly under experimental

conditions used likely due to relatively low C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> partial pressures in the feed.

The TOF values characterizing Y zeolite-supported Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes in C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> hydrogenation are consistent with results reported in literature for similar catalytic materials. For example, Liang et al. have examined C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> hydrogenation over Y zeolite-supported Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complexes and reported TOF of 0.022 s<sup>-1</sup> for feeds containing 30 Torr H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> [64]. Angelini et al. have reported TOF of 0.027 s<sup>-1</sup> for liquid phase C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> hydrogenation over unsupported [RhCl(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)PiPr<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> complexes under 4 MPa of H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> pressures [173]. Slightly higher TOF values (i.e., in the 0.01-0.9 s<sup>-1</sup> range) were reported for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> hydrogenation over MgO-supported Rh<sub>6</sub> clusters and feeds containing 40 Torr C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and 80-700 Torr H<sub>2</sub> [180], suggesting that metal surfaces are more active in hydrogenation of alkenes than supported metal complexes. However, spectroscopic results provided herein clearly show that the ethylene hydrogenation catalytic cycle can be performed in steps over zeolite-grafted Rh complexes, with key reaction intermediates being selectively formed and characterized at each step. More data related to catalytic properties of these materials will be reported separately.

## 2.5 CONCLUSIONS

FTIR and EXAFS results have demonstrated that supported Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes can be selectively formed upon exposure of dealuminated Y zeolite-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. Subsequent

exposure of these  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes to  $\text{H}_2$  under ambient conditions selectively yields well-defined surface  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species. These  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species are site-isolated and mononuclear, bound to oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework, and characterized by a set of well-defined  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{RH}}(\text{H})$  bands in their FTIR spectra. They exhibit a pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal or pseudo-octahedral geometry, resulting in the presence of several structural isomers with cis and trans coordination of hydrides in respect to each other and to the CO ligand, and they are stable at room temperature under the flow of  $\text{H}_2$  or He for an extended period of time. However, the hydride ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  can be displaced by CO or  $\text{N}_2$  at room temperature to form  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  complexes, although the displacement of the hydrides with  $\text{N}_2$  is slow and non-selective. In contrast,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  does not displace the hydride ligands but reacts with them to form  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  and an unsaturated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  reactive intermediate. The latter readily reacts with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  from the gas phase to form a more stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complex. FTIR results further show that the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species start decomposing at approximately  $130^\circ\text{C}$  by losing the hydride ligands. Subsequent rapid redistribution of CO between the unstable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species formed in this process leads to the formation of more stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and Rh sites free of CO ligands. The two-step selective synthesis of well-defined molecular  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes grafted on the surface of dealuminated Y zeolite opens up new opportunities to examine the role of single Rh sites and the resulting structure-reactivity relationships for a wide spectrum of industrially relevant catalytic applications.

## **2.6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the University of South Carolina for partial financial support of this work (ASPIRE grant 15510-12-29499). XAS data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The assistance of the beam line staff at SSRL is gratefully acknowledged. The EXAFS data were analyzed with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148].

## CHAPTER 3. HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: THE EFFECT OF Si/Al RATIO

### 3.1 PREFACE

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were used to characterize the species formed after grafting of a  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor on dealuminated HY zeolites with different Si/Al ratios. The results show the formation of two types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species with characteristic  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117/2053 and 2110/2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Both of these species are evidently grafted to the zeolite framework and have similar structural properties. However, their thermal stabilities are different and the fraction of each species formed strongly depends on the Si/Al ratio, as zeolites with a higher Al content favor the formation of the latter species in larger amounts. Carbonyl ligands in both types of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are capable of reacting with gas phase  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  to form  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  species. Nevertheless, the conversion rate is substantially higher for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes with the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117/2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , suggesting that electronic properties of Rh sites are important for reactivity of carbonyl ligands. New results presented herein strongly suggest that the second type of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species with the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2110/2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  cannot be linked



to unreacted and partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes or to the formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  species. Most likely, binding sites of different nature in dealuminated faujasites are responsible for their formation.

### 3.2 INTRODUCTION

The importance of rhodium carbonyl complexes and their derivatives as catalysts for a variety of industrially relevant liquid phase reactions is well documented in literature [181-183]. Since solid catalytic materials could offer significant technological advantages for many of these practical applications, substantial research efforts have been focused on finding heterogeneous analogs for them. The use of conventional metal oxides as supports led to understanding that their surfaces are nonuniform and, therefore, have a variety of binding sites with different structural and electronic properties [184,185]. As a result, metal complexes grafted on such supports could also be nonuniform in structure and composition and have different electronic properties, impacting substantially their catalytic performance [186]. In this regard, the use of zeolites as supports is more promising because these crystalline materials offer highly ordered arrays of binding sites for metal complexes and, therefore, allow to prepare catalytic materials with nearly uniform and well-defined structures.

During the past decade, a significant progress has been made toward the synthesis and understanding structural and catalytic properties of zeolite-grafted Rh carbonyl complexes [63,142]. When highly dealuminated HY zeolites with a Si/Al ratio of 30 or higher were used as supports, the synthesis of well-defined

and site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes was reported [63,189]. For example, it has been shown that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes are capable of reacting with surfaces of dealuminated Y zeolites and this reaction leads to the displacement of the acac ligand and to the formation of site-isolated and well-defined  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species anchored inside the zeolite supercage [63]. These species are characterized by sharp  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at approximately 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  with a FWHM of approximately 6  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Such narrow  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands are believed to signify a highly uniform nature of the grafted species formed. Based on EXAFS data and DFT calculations, it has been also suggested that such  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species retain their square-planar geometry upon anchoring and, in fact, two oxygen atoms located in the T4 ring of zeolite Y and coordinated to  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  cations represent binding sites for these species [63].

However, several other examples in which faujasites with lower Si/Al ratios were used as supports for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes indicate the presence of broader  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands often with splits, consistent with the formation of several types of rhodium dicarbonyl species. For example, Rode et al. [190] have reported two types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in NaY (Si/Al = 2.4 ) with  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2111/2045 and 2097/2019  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and assigned them to species located inside zeolite pores and on the external surface, respectively. Likewise, Wolf et al. [189] have observed  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of NaY (Si/Al=2.6) and NaX (Si/Al=1.3) supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes at 2118/2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  but also identified the second type of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on these supports with characteristic  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2099/2020 and 2096/2015  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. In this case, however, the authors suggested that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species

anchored next to zeolite framework Al atoms that isolated by one or more than one Si atoms have different fingerprints in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region. Furthermore, Shannon et al [191]. have examined the reaction of CO with a Rh exchanged Y zeolite (Si/Al = 2.4) and observed two types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species which were bound differently to the support. More specifically, these authors have suggested that the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2101 and 2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represent  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species bound to two framework oxygen atoms, while the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2116 and 2048  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represent similar species bound to one framework oxygen and one water molecule. While several other reports seem to support such an assignment [192,193], the data reported by Ben Taarit et al. [194] strongly suggest that coordination of water molecules to zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes results in a redshift of corresponding  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands, as the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2216/2048, 2101/2022, and 2090/2030  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were assigned to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{Oz})_2$ ,  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{Oz})$ , and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$  (or  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{OH})$ ) complexes, respectively.

Unfortunately, neither of these reports was focused on the nature of different  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species or their reactivity. However, the origin of different  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes formed in zeolites with different Si/Al ratios is important for understanding catalytic properties of these materials. Since several factors (i.e., different binding sites, nonframework Al species, zeolite acidity, and residual water content) could have a significant impact on the reactivity of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species, we have attempted to approach these issues systematically.

In this work we report structural and electronic properties of two types  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species formed in cages of dealuminated Y zeolites with different Si/Al

ratios. FTIR, EXAFS, and XPS were used to reveal the nature of grafted complexes, their stability, and reactivity in a simple probe reaction with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. New results presented herein strongly suggest that while dealuminated Y zeolites act as macroligands for grafted metal complexes, they have at least two different types of binding sites capable of accommodating Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties. The fraction of these sites strongly depends on the Si/Al ratio and two types of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes formed in these materials exhibit remarkably different reactivities toward C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, suggesting that the selection of zeolites as supports for molecular metal complexes should be done thoughtfully.

### 3.3 EXPERIMENTAL

#### 3.3.1 REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

Dicarbonylacetylacetonato rhodium (I) Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) (acac = C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (Strem, 98% purity), triethylphosphine (Strem, 99% purity), tris(2,4-dimethylphenyl)phosphine (Strem, 98% purity), anhydrous ethanol (Aldrich, 99.5% purity), and aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Aldrich, 99.997% purity) were used as supplied. n-Pentane (Aldrich, 99% purity) was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Na/benzophenone ketyl to remove traces of moisture and deoxygenated by sparging of dry N<sub>2</sub> prior to use. All glassware used in preparation steps was previously dried at 120°C. He, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (Airgas, all UHP grade) were additionally purified prior to their use by passage through oxygen/moisture traps (Agilent) capable of removing traces of O<sub>2</sub> and water to 15 and 25 ppb, respectively. The γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was prepared by forming a paste

of aluminum oxide C (Degussa) and deionized water, followed by overnight drying at 120°C, calcination at 400°C in flowing O<sub>2</sub> (Airgas, UHP grade) for 2 h, and then evacuation (10<sup>-3</sup> Torr) at 400°C for 16 h. The BET surface area of the resultant material was approximately 100 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Commercial CBV760, CBV720, and CBV600 dealuminated HY zeolites (Zeolyst International) with Si/Al atomic ratios of 30, 15, and 2.6, respectively, were calcined in flowing O<sub>2</sub> at 300°C for 3 h and then evacuated at 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr and 300°C for 16 h. For simplicity, these zeolite supports are further denoted as HY30, HY15, and HY2.6, respectively. All treated supports were stored and handled in a glovebox (MBraun) filled with dry N<sub>2</sub>. The residual water and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the glovebox were kept below 0.1 ppm.

### 3.3.2 PREPARATION OF SUPPORTED SAMPLES

The syntheses and sample transfers were performed with exclusion of air and moisture on a double-manifold Schlenk line and in a N<sub>2</sub>-filled MBraun glove box. Supported samples were prepared by slurrying the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor with a corresponding powder support in n-pentane under N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h at room temperature, followed by overnight evacuation at 25°C to remove the solvent. In each case, the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor was added in the amount needed to yield samples containing 1 wt% Rh. The Rh weight loading was verified by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis (Galbraith Laboratories Inc.). The prepared samples were stored and handled in

a glovebox filled with dry N<sub>2</sub> to prevent possible contamination and decomposition of supported species.

### 3.3.3 FTIR SPECTROSCOPY

A Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer equipped with a MCT-B detector cooled by liquid nitrogen was used to collect spectra with a resolution of 2 cm<sup>-1</sup>, averaging 64 scans per spectrum. Each powder sample was pressed into a self-supported wafer with a density of approximately 20 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and mounted in a home-made cell connected to a gas distribution manifold. The cell design allowed for the treatment of samples at different temperatures, while various gases flowed through the cell.

### 3.3.4 X-RAY ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY (XAS) MEASUREMENTS

XAS spectra were collected at X-ray beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA. The storage ring electron energy was 3 GeV and the ring current was in the range of 495-500 mA.

XAS measurements were used to characterize the surface species formed after the impregnation of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) on the support. Prior to these measurements, each powder sample was pressed into a wafer inside a N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox. The sample mass was calculated to give an absorbance of approximately 2.5 at the Rh K absorption edge. After the sample had been pressed, it was loaded into an EXAFS cell [145], sealed under N<sub>2</sub>, and removed

from the glovebox. The cell was evacuated at  $10^{-5}$  Torr and aligned in the X-ray beam. The XAS data were collected at liquid nitrogen temperature in the transmission mode with a Si(220) double crystal monochromator that was detuned by 30% to minimize effects of higher harmonics in the X-ray beam. Samples were scanned at energies near the Rh K absorption edge (23220 eV). All spectra were calibrated with respect to Rh foil, the spectrum of which was collected simultaneously.

### 3.3.5 EXTENDED X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE (EXAFS) DATA ANALYSIS

The EXAFS data were analyzed with experimentally determined reference files obtained from EXAFS data characterizing materials of known structure. The Rh–Rh and Rh–Osupport contributions were analyzed with phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data for Rh foil and  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$ , respectively. The Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions (where O\* represents carbonyl oxygen) were analyzed with phase shift and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data characterizing crystalline  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ , which has only terminal CO ligands. The transferability of the phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes for near neighbors in the periodic table has been justified experimentally [146]. The parameters used to extract these files from the EXAFS data are reported elsewhere [147]. The EXAFS data were extracted from the spectra with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148]. The EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from the X-ray absorption spectrum by a

cubic spline background subtraction and normalized by dividing the absorption intensity by the height of the absorption edge. The final normalized EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from an average of six scans. The parameters characterizing both low-Z (O, C) and high-Z (Rh) contributions were determined by multiple-shell fitting with a maximum of 16 free parameters in  $r$  space (where  $r$  is the distance from the absorbing atom, Rh) and in  $k$  (wave vector) space over the ranges of  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$  with application of  $k^1$  and  $k^3$  weighting of the Fourier transform. The statistically justified number of free parameters ( $n$ ), estimated from the Nyquist theorem [149,150],  $n = (2\Delta k\Delta r/\pi) + 1$ , where  $\Delta k$  and  $\Delta r$  are the  $k$  and  $r$  ranges used to fit the data, was approximately 23. The fit was optimized by use of a difference file technique [151,152], with phase- and amplitude-corrected Fourier transforms. Standard deviations for the various parameters were calculated with the XDAP software, as described elsewhere [153]. Systematic errors are not included in the calculation of the standard deviations. The values of the goodness of fit ( $\chi^2_v$ ) were calculated with the XDAP software as outlined in the Reports on Standards and Criteria in XAFS Spectroscopy [154]. The variances in both the imaginary and absolute parts were used to determine the fit quality [155].

### 3.3.6 X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY MEASUREMENTS

XPS measurements were conducted using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD XPS system equipped with a monochromatic Al  $K\alpha$  source. The system energy scale was calibrated using an Ag foil with the Ag  $3d_{5/2}$  binding energy set at  $368.21 \pm$




0.025 eV for the monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  source operated at 15 keV and 120 W. The pass energy was fixed at 40 eV for the detailed scans. A charge neutralizer was used to compensate for the surface charging during the photoemission. The powder samples (approximately 5 mg) were loaded into the air-tight cell in the N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox and transferred without air exposure into the UHV chamber for the XPS analysis. The C 1s signal with a binding energy of 285.0 eV was used as an internal reference for calibration of the Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Rh 3d<sub>3/2</sub> binding energy values. All binding energies reported in this work were measured with a precision of  $\pm 0.1$  eV. XPS data were analyzed by nonlinear curve fitting using the XPSPEAK 4.1 software. In all cases, a linear-type background was subtracted from the spectra and a curve fit was performed using the minimum number of G/L-type peaks that provides a good fit. In each case the fitting routine was completed when the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value was 0.98 or higher.

### 3.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.4.1 DIFFERENT TYPES OF GRAFTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> SPECIES

The infrared spectrum of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor dissolved in n-pentane exhibits two strong bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region at 2083 and 2014 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3.1) due to symmetric and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations of carbonyl ligands, respectively. These bands are very narrow, with the FWHM values of approximately 3.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Due to symmetrical shapes, each of these bands can be

fitted with only one component to achieve the  $R^2$  coefficient of determination on the order of 0.998 or higher. Very weak satellite bands observed in the spectrum at 2065 and 1984  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3.1) are consistent with symmetric and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations, respectively, of carbonyl ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(^{13}\text{CO})(\text{acac})$  complexes, which are present in trace amounts. In contrast to the crystalline form of this precursor, in which the square-planar  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  molecules are stack to each other in such a way that the Rh atoms of neighboring molecules form pseudo-octahedral structures [163], the data of Fig. 3.1 exemplifying FTIR fingerprints of isolated and well-defined  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  molecules existing in solution.






**Figure 3.1** FTIR spectrum of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  in pentane (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line).


The interaction of acetylacetonate complexes of different metals, including Rh, with  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and zeolite surfaces has been examined extensively in the past [207,209,65,60,57-59]. From these reports, it is evident that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  readily reacts with acidic OH groups of these supports, leading to protonation and removal of the acac ligand from Rh and formation of grafted mononuclear  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on the support surface. Consistent with this type of surface chemistry, FTIR data shown in Figs. 3.2 A-C provide an evidence for the formation of such surface species from the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor on HY zeolites dealuminated to various degrees. In all these spectra, the supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species can be identified by strong bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , originating from symmetric and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrations of carbonyl ligands, respectively.

However, the analysis of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands shown in Figs. 3.2 A-C indicates that their shapes are not symmetrical due to the presence of tails at the low frequency side of each band. As the Si/Al ratio declines with increasing the number of Al atoms in the zeolite framework, the asymmetry of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands becomes more apparent. The spectrum of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  sample with the largest content of Al (Si/Al=2.6) exhibits very strong tails (Fig. 3.2 C) and credibly shows that several types of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are formed. Deconvolution results shown in Fig. 3.2 C as dotted lines confirm this point, as two different types of Rh dicarbonyl species can be clearly identified. The first type of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species is characterized by a pair of sharp and strong  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , while broader and less intense bands at 2110 and 2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$

<sup>1</sup> represent the second type of Rh dicarbonyl species. The appearance of the latter species in spectra of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 is less apparent (Figs. 3.2 A and B). However, acceptable fits with R<sup>2</sup> coefficients of determination above 0.95 can be obtained for these two samples only when two components per each ν<sub>CO</sub> band are included in the fit. Based on these deconvolution results (Figs. 3.2 A and B, dotted lines), it is evident that two different types of Rh dicarbonyl species are also present in these samples.







**Figure 3.2** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of (A)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ , (B)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY15}$ , (C)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$ , and (D)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  samples (solid lines) and deconvolution results (dotted lines).

Deconvolution results summarized in Table 3.1 for all zeolite-based samples examined lead to several conclusions. Regardless of the zeolite used as a support, both types of detected  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are nearly identical in terms of the split between symmetric and asymmetric  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibration modes and C-Rh-C angles, which were calculated from  $I_{\text{sym}}/I_{\text{asym}}$  ratios, as reported elsewhere [125]. Moreover, these split and angle parameters characteristic of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species closely resemble those of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  in solution (Table 3.1), indicating that the replacement of acac ligands by the zeolite support does not affect substantially the geometry of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  moieties. The comparison

of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species (Figs. 3.2 A-C) and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes in solution (Fig. 3.1) clearly shows that oxygen atoms in the zeolite framework are more electronegative than those in the acac ligand, as the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are substantially shifted to the region of high frequencies in the former case. This result is consistent with previous literature reports [166], implying that Rh atoms in zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are more electron deficient than those in free  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes. Such differences in electronic properties of Rh are expected to have an effect on the chemical properties of carbonyl ligands, especially when the zeolite support acts as a macro ligand for grafted species [216]. As far as the comparison between two types of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species is concerned, there is a measurable difference in the position of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of these complexes on all zeolites used as supports (Table 3.1), suggesting that Rh atoms in these species are not identical in terms of their electronic properties, which could also affect the reactivity of carbonyl ligands in such species.

**Table 3.1** Parameters of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands observed in FTIR spectra of various samples.

Sample	Band position, $\text{cm}^{-1}$	FWHM, $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Split ( $\nu_{\text{s}}-\nu_{\text{as}}$ ), $\text{cm}^{-1}$ <sup>a</sup>	C-Rh-C angle, deg	Relative fraction, %	Suggested species/remarks
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY 30	2117 2053	3.6 4.8	64	96	83	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
	2113 2048	4.8 4.8	65	94	17	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY 15	2117 2053	5.1 7.3	64	94	60	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
	2113 2048	7.7 9.9	65	95	40	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY 2.6	2117 2053	7.1 9.3	64	99	50	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
	2110 2043	20.2 28.5	67	94	50	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> / $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2090 2014	20.0 27.2	76	98	-	grafted Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub>
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac) in pentane	2083 2014	3.5 3.4	69	97	-	complex in solution



<sup>a</sup>The “s” and “as” refer to symmetric and asymmetric vibrations, respectively.

It is further evident that the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands assigned to type I  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species (i.e., 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) are identical for all three zeolites used. While the same conclusion can be extended to type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2113 and 2048  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) formed in the case of dealuminated Y zeolites with Si/Al ratios of 30 and 15, a small shift of these bands to the low frequency region was observed when a zeolite with the Si/Al ratio of 2.6 was used as a support (Table 3.1). Since this shift is only marginal, one can infer that the Si/Al ratio in the range of 2.6-30 does not influence substantially the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrational frequency of HY zeolite-grafted rhodium dicarbonyl species.

However, the data summarized in Table 3.1 for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  sample show that relative fractions of type I and type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species formed in this sample are 83 and 17%, respectively. In the case of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}15$  sample, type I and II species constitute 60 and 40% of Rh dicarbonyls, respectively, while they are formed in equal fractions in the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  sample. These data clearly show the more Al atoms in the zeolite framework the larger fraction of type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species is formed.

Finally, both types of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species exhibit the highest degree of uniformity in the case of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  sample, as their  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands are very narrow with FWHM values closely resembling those of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes in solution (Table 3.1). As the Si/Al ratio was decreased to 15, the width of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands was increased for both types of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$

species. However, all of them still remain to be relatively uniform with a FWHM below  $10\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In the case of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  sample, a FWHM of type I species is below  $10\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , while  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of type II species are substantially broader (average FWHM of approximately  $24\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). This comparison clearly shows that type I zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are not affected substantially by Si/Al ratios, but type II species are very sensitive to the presence of Al in the zeolite framework.


For comparison, when  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were grafted on the  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  surface, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of these species were observed at  $2090$  and  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3.2 D), resembling those of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes in n-pentane solution (Table 3.1). In contrast to the case of dealuminated HY zeolites, this result suggests that the  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  support does not affect substantially electronic properties of Rh in grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. While the C-Rh-C angle characterizing  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species is similar to that of zeolite-grafted complexes (Table 3.1), the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands are evidently wider with an average FWHM of approximately  $24\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , indicating that  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are non-uniform in nature.

### 3.4.2 THERMAL STABILITY OF GRAFTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ SPECIES

To examine how stable the supported Rh dicarbonyl species of both types are, FTIR spectra were collected during exposure of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  sample with the largest fraction (i.e., 50%) of type II Rh dicarbonyl species to different temperatures under the flow of He. During these measurements, the sample


was heated at a rate of 3°C/min to a desired temperature and held at this temperature until no changes in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region were observed. The deconvolution procedure was applied to each resulting spectrum to accurately quantify each type of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species and determine how much of these species remained in the sample at each temperature. Results summarized in Fig. 3.3 show that the fraction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species with  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117 and 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (type I) remains nearly unchanged, as the temperature was increased from 25 to 150°C. At higher temperatures, accelerated removal of CO ligands was observed, as the fraction of type I species dropped to approximately 20% at 300°C and temperatures higher than 350°C were required to complete the decarbonylation process.

In contrast, the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species with  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2110 and 2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (type II) loose CO ligands with increasing temperature in a much faster fashion, as approximately 47 and 90% of such species were removed at 100 and 250°C, respectively (Fig. 3.3). When the temperature was further increased to 300°C, the decarbonylation process was nearly completed for this type of species, since  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands assigned to them were no longer evident in the spectrum. These data provide a solid evidence that two types of HY zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes exhibit substantially different thermal properties, with the type I being more stable among them.



**Figure 3.3** Percent of Rh dicarbonyls remaining on the surface of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 during thermal treatments with He at different temperatures: (▲) type I ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  at 2117/2053 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and (●) type II ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  at 2110/2043 cm<sup>-1</sup>) species.

When similar experiments were performed with the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample, only 85% of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species remain intact in the 25-100°C range of temperatures (Fig. 3.4). At temperatures above 100°C, the removal of carbonyl ligands occurs in a linear fashion and no such species remain on the surface at 300°C.



**Figure 3.4** Percent of Rh dicarbonyls remaining on the surface of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  during thermal treatments with He at different temperatures.

### 3.4.3 STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF GRAFTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ SPECIES

EXAFS data collected for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes grafted on the surface of  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and HY zeolites with different Si/Al ratios are summarized in Table 3.2. Consistent with previous literature reports [63,217], these data provide a solid evidence for the formation of site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in all samples examined. For example, the absence of Rh–Rh contributions in EXAFS spectra points to the mononuclear character of Rh surface species formed and the presence of two CO ligands per each Rh atom (evidenced by Rh–C and

Rh–O\* contributions with average coordination numbers of approximately 2 at average bond distances of 1.83 and 2.97 Å, respectively) confirms that these mononuclear complexes are dicarbonyls. Furthermore, these structural parameters are consistent with the crystal structure of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor in which the Rh–C and Rh–O\* bond distances for two carbonyl ligands attached to Rh are 1.83 and 2.99 Å, respectively [163]. Based on these structural data and also FTIR results, indicating that the C–Rh–C angles in all grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are similar to that of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor in solution (Table 3.1), we can infer that the facile substitution of the acac ligand by the support takes place upon grafting, so that the structure of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties remains essentially unchanged.

The Rh-support interactions in all samples examined are evidenced by the presence of Rh–O<sub>s</sub> contributions at an average bond distance of approximately 2.14 Å (Table 3.2). While this distance is entirely consistent with those reported in literature for zeolite- or metal oxide-supported complexes of different transition metals [63,65,59], it is substantially longer than that (i.e., 2.04 Å) between Rh and two oxygen atoms of the acac ligand in the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor [163], confirming the replacement of the acac ligand by zeolite or γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supports upon grafting. An average Rh–O<sub>s</sub> coordination number was found to be approximately 2 for all zeolite-supported samples examined. Similar to the case of the acac ligand, this result indicates that zeolite supports with different Si/Al ratios are capable of chelating Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties and acting as bidentate ligands. In contrast, when γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was used as a support, an average Rh–O<sub>s</sub> coordination

number was found to be 3.3 (Table 3.2). The larger Rh–O<sub>s</sub> coordination number shows more complex binding of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties to the surface of this support, which is amorphous and structurally non-uniform. However, this result is completely consistent with other literature reports demonstrating that the {OAl}<sub>3</sub> units on the γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface are primarily involved in coordination of metal carbonyl complexes [218,219,146], implying that grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties could be located at the hollow sites between three oxygen anions of the support [218].

Furthermore, the Rh–O<sub>l</sub> contributions at distances in the 2.76-2.81 Å range were consistently detected in EXAFS spectra of all samples examined (Table 3.2). While such contributions were routinely reported for metal complexes grafted on metal oxide surfaces [59], assignments for Rh neighbors located at such long distances in zeolite structures are less straightforward. For the case of dealuminated Y zeolites, DFT calculations reported elsewhere [63] predict coordination of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties near Al cations of the zeolite framework with expected Rh–Al distances of 2.8 Å. Upon treatment of experimental EXAFS spectra for zeolite-supported Rh complexes, such contributions were often included in a fitting routine and average coordination numbers and distances reported for them were found to be in the 0.6-1.3 and 2.74-3.39 Å range, respectively [63,217,62]. However, it was also recognized that coordination numbers and distances reported for the Rh–Al contributions were determined with rather low confidence. In contrast, structural parameters reported elsewhere for Mo [222] and Pt [223] clusters and highly dispersed particles formed in various zeolites do not include metal-Al contributions but report metal-O<sub>support</sub>

contributions at distances larger than 2.60 Å, which represent oxygen atoms in the zeolite framework. Consistent with these latter reports, optimal structural parameters for all zeolite-supported samples reported herein were obtained when Rh–O<sub>l</sub> contributions were included in the fit. Regardless of such an assignment, it is evident that backscatterers located at such long distances are not bound to Rh by chemical bonds and, therefore, could have no direct influence on electronic and chemical properties of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species.

While structural data presented here confirm the formation of well-defined and site-isolated Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species in cages of zeolites, they also point to nearly identical structures formed in each case and do not allow to distinguish between two types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species, which are evidently present in these samples based on FTIR results. It is possible, that these two types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species could have only marginal differences in their structures, which cannot be resolved in average data provided by this technique. Alternatively, one can also suggest that both types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species could have structurally identical binding sites but oxygen atoms in such sites could exhibit different electronic properties. The presence of such binding sites would explain FTIR and EXAFS data discussed above.



**Table 3.2** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed from the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor on different supports.

Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\epsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs.
HY30 <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.4	0.4	0.2
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	2.0	1.84	0.00280	10.0			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	2.3	2.99	0.00218	-7.2			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.3	2.13	0.00051	0.3			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	1.9	2.75	0.00018	-6.2			
HY15 <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.8	0.6	0.2
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	1.9	1.84	0.00211	10.0			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	2.3	2.99	0.00258	-7.3			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.4	2.13	0.00074	-0.6			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.0	2.75	0.00105	-6.1			
HY3 <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.6	0.5	0.2
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	1.9	1.84	0.00203	10.0			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	2.2	2.99	0.00241	-7.4			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.4	2.13	0.00084	-0.6			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	1.9	2.76	0.00039	-6.4			
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	2.1	0.8	0.7
	Rh–C <sup>*</sup>	1.6	1.84	0.00519	10			
	Rh–O <sup>*</sup>	2.1	2.96	0.0039	6			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	3.3	2.13	0.00755	-2.7			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	3	2.81	0.00471	-9.1			

Standard deviations in fits: N  $\pm$  20%, R  $\pm$  1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$   $\pm$  10%,  $\Delta E_0$   $\pm$  10%. N, coordination number; R, distance between absorber and backscatterer atoms;  $\Delta\sigma^2$ , Debye-Waller factor relative to the Debye-Waller factor of the reference compound;  $\Delta E_0$ , inner potential correction accounting for the difference in the inner potential between the sample and the reference compound;  $\epsilon_v^2$ , goodness of fit; the superscript \* refers to carbonyl ligands. <sup>a</sup> R-space fit ranges 3.5 < k < 15.0 Å<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5 < r < 3.5 Å; 23 allowed fitting parameters.

### 3.4.4 ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES OF GRAFTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> SPECIES

XPS measurements were used to determine electronic properties of zeolite- and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes and results are summarized in Fig. 3.5 and Table 3.3. Spectra collected for the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample show relatively sharp Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and 3d<sub>3/2</sub> peaks (FWHM of 2.4 eV) at binding energies of 308.8 and 313.5 eV, respectively. An identical set of Rh 3d peaks was observed for the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 sample characterized by a Si/Al ratio of 15. However, when the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample with a Si/Al ratio of 2.6 was analyzed, Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and 3d<sub>3/2</sub> peaks were found to be wider (FWHM of 2.7 eV) and corresponding binding energies lower (i.e., 308.5 and 313.2 eV, respectively). Even more broader Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and 3d<sub>3/2</sub> peaks were observed in the case of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample at binding energies of 307.9 and 312.8 eV, respectively, matching those of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) crystalline precursor (Table 3.3). Consistent with several literature reports [218,224-226], Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and 3d<sub>3/2</sub> peaks observed in all these cases can be assigned to cationic Rh <sup>$\delta^+$</sup>  ( $\delta \sim 1$ ) species. However, a measurable decrease in Rh 3d core level binding energies ( $\sim 0.3$  eV) with an increase in the Al content shows that the effective overall charge of Rh cations is somewhat different from sample to sample.

To better understand these data, the O 1s region of XPS spectra was also examined. Results collected for zeolite-supported samples show that the O 1s core level binding energy shifts from 532.8 to 531.8 eV, as the Si/Al ratio decreases from 30 to 2.6 and peaks become broader (Table 3.3). In the case of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported sample, the O 1s peak was found to be relatively wide

(FWHM of 2.5 eV) with a binding energy of 530.7 eV, which is substantially lower than that in all dealuminated Y zeolite-based samples. This result shows that oxygen atoms on the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface are more electron rich as compared to those located in the zeolite framework.

As far as the comparison between zeolite-based samples is concerned, it is evident that the Al content in the zeolite framework affects significantly electronic properties of oxygen atoms associated with Al, as O 1s binding energies decline for approximately 1 eV when the Si/Al ratio decreases from 30 to 2.6 (Table 3.3). Moreover, since changes in Rh 3d core level binding energies characterizing zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are similar to those observed in the O 1s region, we can conclude that electron accepting properties of oxygen atoms associated with framework Al affect electronic properties of Rh species substantially [227,228]. This conclusion is consistent with previous literature reports indicating that such oxygen atoms represent binding sites for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species [63].


**Table 3.3** XPS data characterizing surface species formed by adsorption of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor on different HY zeolites and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Sample	Rh 3d <sub>5/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV	Rh 3d <sub>3/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV	O 1s, eV	FWHM, eV
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30	308.8	2.4	313.5	2.4	532.8	1.7
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY15	308.8	2.4	313.6	2.4	532.6	1.8
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6	308.5	2.7	313.2	2.7	531.8	2.4
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> / $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	307.9	2.9	312.8	2.9	530.7	2.5
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> (acac)	307.8	3.1	312.5	3.1	-	-

Overall, XPS results presented herein show that both the acac ligand and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support have quite similar electron withdrawing properties, as Rh 3d core level binding energies characterizing the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species were found to be nearly identical (Table 3.3). In contrast, HY zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species exhibit substantially higher Rh 3d binding energies, indicating that dealuminated zeolites are stronger electron acceptors. This conclusion is further reinforced by FTIR results (section 1) that show  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of zeolite-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species in the range of higher frequencies. Therefore, electronic properties of oxygen atoms associated with Al sites seem to explain the observed differences in electronic properties of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species grafted on different supports.

However, expected differences in electronic properties of two types of zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are not apparent from XPS results. Symmetrical

shapes of Rh 3d peaks (Fig. 3.5) do not substantiate their deconvolution with several components, especially when FWHM parameters of these peaks are in the range of values typically reported in the literature [225,230]. This further suggests that differences in electronic properties of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species could be relatively small and difficult to resolve from spectra collected on a conventional XPS equipment. At the same time, we infer that broadening of the Rh 3d peaks could be an indicator of such species, the presence of which in each sample examined is established with high confidence from more sensitive FTIR measurements.



**Figure 3.5** XPS spectra of the Rh 3d region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$ , (2)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}15$ , and (3)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  samples.

### 3.4.5 NATURE OF GRAFTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> SPECIES

While differences in structure and electronic properties of binding sites in the zeolite framework could be responsible for the appearance of two types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species, the analysis of literature reports suggests that some other factors may be involved. For example, it was suggested that  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species located on external zeolite surfaces are redshifted as compared to those of encaged complexes [62,190]. Since Al atoms in the zeolite framework could be isolated from each other by one or more Si atoms, it was also suggested that Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes anchored to such structurally different Al sites have different fingerprints in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region [189]. Several other explanations associated with physisorbed Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) complexes, incomplete removal of acac ligands upon anchoring, and coordination of water molecules directly to Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species can be also found in the literature [62,191,194]. To examine if any of these factors can potentially contribute to the appearance of two types of HY zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes, additional experiments were performed.

#### 3.4.5.1 INTERACTION OF ZEOLITE-GRAFTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> SPECIES WITH PHOSPHINES

It is well known that carbonyl ligands of the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor readily react with phosphines in solution to produce partially or fully substituted


derivatives, depending on the phosphine nature and reaction conditions used [234]. During such substitution reactions, the formal oxidation state of  $\text{Rh}^+$  species does not change and Rh-phosphine complexes formed retain the square planar geometry. Since carbonyl ligands in supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are also capable of reacting with phosphines, it had been suggested that such reactivity can be used to distinguish between surface and encaged  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species, as sufficiently large phosphines are not capable of penetrating inside zeolite cages and, therefore, can react only with  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes located on the external surface [190]. The same methodology was used in this work to determine if any zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes could be located on the zeolite exterior.

Experiments were performed with triethylphosphine  $\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3$  and tris(2,4-dimethylphenyl)phosphine  $\text{P}[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_3)_2]_3$  complexes having molecular dimensions of 6.97 and 11.7 Å, respectively [235,236]. Among these complexes, only  $\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3$  can fit into the 7.4 Å aperture of HY zeolites. As expected, when grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were treated with  $\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3$ ,  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands assigned to dicarbonyl species disappeared, while a new  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $1993\text{ cm}^{-1}$  appeared in spectra of all zeolite-based samples examined (Fig. 3.6 shows an example of such changes for the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  sample). This result confirms the reactivity of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species toward phosphines and the changes observed in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region are consistent with the formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3)$  complexes, which exhibit only one  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibration. Such a conversion of surface

species resembles closely the reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with different phosphines in solution to yield  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{PR}_3)(\text{acac})$  complexes [177].

In contrast, when the  $\text{P}[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_3)_2]_3$  complex with larger dimensions was used to treat zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species, no changes in intensity of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of Rh dicarbonyl species were observed and additional  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands did not appear in the spectra, regardless of the zeolite used. This result unambiguously shows that all grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are located inside zeolite pores and, therefore, are inaccessible for this bulky phosphine. Consequently, we can conclude with confidence that neither type of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species detectable by FTIR can be assigned to species formed on the external zeolite surfaces. This conclusion is further reinforced by DFT calculations [63], demonstrating that the majority of energetically preferable binding sites for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are located inside supercages of faujasites.






**Figure 3.6** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  and (2) the same sample treated with  $\text{P}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3$ .

#### 3.4.5.2 INTERACTION OF $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$ SPECIES WITH ZEOLITES

It is quite possible that incomplete removal of the acac ligand during grafting  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes on HY zeolites with different Si/Al ratios could account for the presence of two sets of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands from  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. For example, it was reported previously [62] that the reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with H-SSZ-42 and H-Mordenite zeolites does not proceed to completion, as  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands from both zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species and physisorbed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes were found to be present in FTIR spectra of these samples.

To identify  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes unreacted with HY zeolites, the empty HY30 support was initially treated with an excess of acetylacetone/pentane solution at room temperature to provide the molar acetylacetone/Al ratio of approximately 1.8. Since acetylacetone forms strong chelate complexes with  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  cations [59], the intention was to block the majority of  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  sites by acetylacetone and to make them unavailable for Rh complexes. After this treatment was completed, the support was washed with a pure solvent to remove unreacted acetylacetone and the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complex was impregnated on the support according to the procedure described in the preparation section. Three pairs of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands can be identified in the FTIR spectrum of this sample (Fig. 3.7).



**Figure 3.7** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of the sample prepared by impregnation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  on the HY30 support pretreated with acetylacetone.

Relatively strong  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2087 and 2018  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to physisorbed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes, since the position of these bands is similar to that of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  in pentane solution (Table 3.1). The  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2106 and 2040  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be attributed to surface complexes in which acac ligands are only partially displaced from Rh, while the pair of bands at 2115 and 2051  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represents  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species grafted to the zeolite framework. As expected, deconvolution results further show that physisorbed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$

complexes constitute the majority (approximately 88%) of all surface species formed, while partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  and grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are present only in small amounts, as their fractions in the sample do not exceed 9 and 3%, respectively.

The same set of bands was observed when crystalline  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  was carefully mixed with the empty HY30 support without solvents being present to produce a physical mixture containing approximately 5 wt.% Rh (Table 3.4). In this case, however, the fraction of physisorbed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes was less significant (approximately 46%), while greater amounts of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  (approximately 25%) and grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species (approximately 29%) were formed. From this result, it is evident that a significant portion of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes was capable of penetrating into zeolite pores upon mixing and grinding of these solid materials and reacting with the zeolite framework since none of Al sites were blocked in this case. It is further notable that the reaction between  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes and the support accelerates at higher temperatures. For example, the fraction of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species increased from 29 to 97%, as the temperature was increased from 25 to 200°C (Table 3.4). The fraction of unreacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes decreased from 46 to 25%, as the temperature was increased 100°C, and no such species were evident at higher temperatures. In contrast, the fraction of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes slightly increased at 50°C, remained nearly unchanged at 100°C, and declined significantly at higher temperatures when unreacted complexes completely disappeared (Table 3.4). As expected, this

pattern clearly demonstrates an intermediate character of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  species.

Overall, the data presented herein allow to identify precisely the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of unreacted and partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes and show nearly quantitative transformations between them and grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. Furthermore, since the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes (i.e., 2106 and 2040  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , Table 3.4) closely resemble those of type II species (i.e., 2113 and 2048  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , Fig. 3.2A), incomplete displacement of acac ligands from Rh could reasonably explain the appearance of the latter species in spectra of all samples examined. However, a further comparison of thermal properties of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes and type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species suggests that this is not the case, as the latter species undergo decarbonylation in the 25-200°C temperature range (Fig. 3.3) but the former ones are evidently converted into  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species grafted to the zeolite framework (Table 3.4).

**Table 3.4** FTIR bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region characterizing surface species formed by mixing of crystalline  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with dry HY30 zeolite.

$\nu_{\text{CO}}$ bands positions, $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Treatment temperature/relative fraction of species (%)					Suggested surface species
	25°C	50°C	100°C	150°C	200°C	
2115 2051	29	30	45	82	97	grafted $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$
2106 2040	25	31	30	18	3	partially reacted $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$
2089 2023	46	39	25	-	-	physisorbed $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$

### 3.4.5.3 INTERACTION OF ZEOLITE-GRAFTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES WITH $\text{H}_2\text{O}$

While aqua complexes of Rh are well known in solution chemistry and some of these complexes with carbonyl ligands are even capable of catalyzing a water gas shift reaction [238-242], a limited information related to interactions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules with supported Rh carbonyl complexes is available. It has been reported that water facilitates reductive carbonylation of zeolite- and alumina-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species at elevated temperatures to yield  $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  or  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  clusters [243-245]. At room temperature, however, this reaction does not proceed with measurable rates and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  complexes are formed instead of Rh clusters [246,247].

To determine the location of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands characterizing  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  complexes and understand if these complexes could represent type II species,

several additional experiments were performed. In the first set, HY2.6 and HY30 supports were pretreated under vacuum in the 100-400°C range of temperatures to remove zeolite-trapped water molecules to a different extent. As expected, the presence substantial amounts of water in the samples treated at 100°C is evidenced by infrared bands at approximately 3500 and 1630  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assigned to stretching and bending vibrations, respectively, of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules hydrogen-bonded to zeolite surfaces (spectra are not shown for brevity). As the treatment temperature was increased to 200°C, bands associated with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules declined in intensity, while relatively weak  $\nu_{\text{OH}}$  bands of acidic hydroxyls appeared in the spectra at 3630 and 3565  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . These bands became somewhat stronger after thermal treatments in the 300-400°C temperature range, but bending vibrations of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  were no longer evident in the spectra. These data are consistent with progressive desorption of water from zeolite surfaces at elevated temperatures, suggesting that the residual water content in such treated supports is significantly different. When these supports were further impregnated with  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$ , type I and type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species were detected in all samples examined (Table 3.5). In all these cases, however, neither the position of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands nor the fraction of species formed change substantially as a function of the pretreatment temperature. This result strongly suggests that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules residing in zeolite pores do not promote the formation of type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species.


**Table 3.5** FTIR bands in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region characterizing surface species formed by adsorption of the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor on HY30 and HY2.6 zeolites treated under vacuum at different temperatures.

Sample	Support treatment conditions	$\nu_{\text{CO}}$ band positions, $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Relative fraction, %	
$\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$	vacuum at 100°C	2117/2052	85	
		2112/2048	15	
	vacuum at 200°C	2117/2051	84	
		2112/2048	16	
vacuum at 300°C	2117/2053	83		
	2113/2048	17		
vacuum at 400°C	2117/2051	79		
		2112/2048	21	
	$\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$	vacuum at 100°C	2117/2052	54
			2109/2045	46
vacuum at 200°C	2117/2053	46		
	2109/2043	54		
vacuum at 300°C	2117/2053	50		
	2110/2043	50		
vacuum at 400°C	2117/2052	42		
	2109/2042	58		

In the second set of experiments, the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample with the smallest fraction of type II species originally formed (Fig. 3.2 A) was exposed to wet He feeds and significant changes in FTIR spectra were observed (Fig. 3.8). With 2.5 Torr  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in the He feed, two sets of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands can be clearly identified



in the spectrum at 2117-2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2112-2046  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . When the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressure was increased to 3.1 Torr, the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117-2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  declined in intensity, while those at 2112-2046  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  shifted to 2110-2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and grew in intensity. Simultaneously, a new set of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands appeared at 2094-2025  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The latter two sets of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands continue to shift toward low frequencies and grow in intensity with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressures (Fig. 3.8).




**Figure 3.8** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  exposed to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{He}$  feeds with different  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressures: (1) 2.5 Torr, (2) 3.1 Torr, (3) 5.2 Torr, and (4) 8.6 Torr.


Deconvolution results summarized in Fig. 3.9 for all experimental conditions examined further suggest that the bands at 2109-2039  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2090-2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are formed at the expense of those at 2117-2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . This transformation is completed when 8.6 Torr  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is present in the feed, as the latter bands are no longer evident in the spectra. Therefore, these two sets of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands (i.e., at 2109-2039  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2090-2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) can be assigned to different  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  complexes, which are formed from grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in the presence of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in a gas phase. An overall surface concentration of such species strongly depends on the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressure and their formation is further evident from specific deformation vibrations of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules. For example, the  $\delta(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  region shown in Fig. 3.10 for the same set of spectra exhibits a relatively complex band structure, consistent with the presence of two components at 1630 and 1619  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  with intensities highly dependent on the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressure. The former band originates from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules adsorbed in zeolite pores and not interacting directly with Rh sites, while the latter one represents  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules specifically bound to Rh [248]. It is important to emphasize that the band at 1619  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  does not appear in spectra of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  under dry conditions, but it emerges immediately when  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is present in the He feed. An average number of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules coordinated to each Rh site can be roughly estimated from the integral intensity of this band, as reported elsewhere [248]. The estimate performed for the spectrum collected with 8.6 Torr  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in the feed shows an average  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Rh}$  ratio of 1.4, consistent with the formation of approximately 60%  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  and 40%  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$

complexes under these conditions. Furthermore, these percentages closely resemble those of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2109-2039  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2090-2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (i.e., 60 and 40%, respectively) in the same spectrum. Based on all above and assuming that molar absorption coefficients of carbonyl ligands in various  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  complexes are not substantially different, we can assign with confidence  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2109-2039  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  and those at 2090-2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$  complexes.

When a wet feed was replaced with dry He,  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands assigned to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  species gradually disappeared and those assigned to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes reappeared in the spectrum. Consistent with previous literature reports [247], this result demonstrates that transformations between  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  species are completely reversible. Even though the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands characterizing  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  complexes overlap with those of type II species, it is evident that these complexes cannot be linked to each other since significant partial pressures of water are required to form  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  species.



**Figure 3.9** Percent of species with characteristic  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117/2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (●), 2109/2039  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (■), and 2090/2022  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (▲) formed after exposure of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}30$  to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{He}$  feeds with different  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressures.



**Figure 3.10** FTIR spectra in the  $\delta(\text{H}_2\text{O})$  region (solid line) and deconvolution results (dashed line) of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  exposed to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{He}$  feeds with different  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  partial pressures: (1) 2.5 Torr, (2) 3.1 Torr, (3) 5.2 Torr, and (4) 8.6 Torr.

#### 3.4.5.4 ROLE OF ALUMINUM SPECIES

Results described so far show the absence of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on external zeolite surfaces and demonstrate that properties of partially reacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$  complexes are quite different from those of type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. All these allow us to suggest that binding sites of

different nature in the zeolite framework are most likely responsible for the appearance of different  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species and several literature examples seem to support this suggestion.

For example, it has been reported that the adsorption of CO at low temperature over NaY and NaX zeolites leads to multiple  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands, which were explained by different coordination of  $\text{Na}^+$  cations to the zeolite framework [249,250]. DFT calculations reported elsewhere [251] for the same supports further suggest that Na cations preferentially interact with the oxygen atoms connected to aluminum in six-rings of the zeolite framework. Since the negative charge density of oxygen atoms in a ring increases with Al content, the strength of the electric field induced on  $\text{Na}^+$  cations by neighboring oxygen atoms increases as well. This affects the electronic state of  $\text{Na}^+$  cations and their positions in respect to the six-ring plane, leading to a split of the CO frequency upon adsorption on  $\text{Na}^+$  [251]. It is quite possible that the same phenomenon takes place with HY zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes, as the FTIR results clearly show the existence of two types of grafted species with fractions highly dependent on the Al content.

Furthermore, it is also possible that nonframework aluminum species formed during dealumination of zeolites could participate in anchoring at least some  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes, leading to a split of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands. Nonframework aluminum species are often classified as condensed and noncondensed in nature [252,253]. The former type of species is usually associated with small  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  clusters located on external zeolite surfaces [254]. The nature of

noncondensed extraframework aluminum is not fully understood but  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_2^+$ ,  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ , and  $\text{AlOOH}$  species are among possible suggested structures [252]. Such species are assumed to be highly dispersed and bound to oxygen atoms of the framework aluminum by strong electrostatic interactions [255-257]. In fact, the presence of nonframework octahedral Al in dealuminated HY30, HY15, and HY2.6 zeolites has been confirmed by  $^{27}\text{Al}$  MAS NMR and results suggest that the fraction of these species increases with the Al content [254]. The same NMR results also show that besides well-established signals from framework tetrahedral and nonframework octahedral Al species at 60 and 0 ppm, respectively, additional Al species contribute to  $^{27}\text{Al}$  MAS NMR spectra of these supports at 30 ppm. While the nature of these additional Al species is under extensive discussion [259-261], it is evident that their fraction also increases with Al content [254]. Since our data show a similar pattern for zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes associated with type II species, we can further infer that both nonframework octahedral Al species and Al species of unknown nature could account for their appearance.

To verify this suggestion, a HY30 zeolite was impregnated with appropriate amounts of  $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in ethanol to yield supports containing 2 and 5 wt.% Al. The intention was to create different concentrations of nonframework Al species in this highly dealuminated HY zeolite and determine if the fraction of type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species correlates with their content. The resulting supports were calcined at  $300^\circ\text{C}$  to decompose the Al precursor and treated under vacuum at the same temperature for 16 h. XRD spectra collected for

these modified supports were found to be identical to that of original HY30 (data are not shown for brevity), suggesting that Al species added to this support are in a highly dispersed state rather than in a form of a bulk  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  phase. When the  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  precursor was further impregnated from n-pentane on these modified supports to attain 1 wt.% Rh loadings and FTIR spectra were collected, a deconvolution procedure was used in each case to accurately quantify different types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species formed. Results summarized in Table 3.6 clearly show that the fraction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species with  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at  $2113/2048\text{ cm}^{-1}$  increases, while that of species with  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at  $2117/2053\text{ cm}^{-1}$  decreases in a linear fashion with the loading of extra Al species. Realizing that the observed change ratio is not 1:1 and the presence at least some Al species on external zeolite surfaces of these materials is highly possible due to the preparation procedure used, the result obtained still strongly suggests that nonframework Al species in dealuminated HY zeolites could also bind  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and be responsible for the observed split of  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  frequencies. However, more efforts are required to determine precisely the specific nature of nonframework Al species involved.



**Table 3.6** Fractions of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species formed by adsorption of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) on the HY30 support loaded with extra Al species.

Loading of extra Al species, wt. %	Si/Al ratio	Fraction of Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> species, %	
		2117/2053 cm <sup>-1</sup>	2113/2048 cm <sup>-1</sup>
none	30.0	83.0	17.0
2.0	12.6	77.5	22.5
5.0	6.8	69.8	30.2

#### 3.4.5.5 REACTIVITY OF GRAFTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> COMPLEXES

It has been shown previously that Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) complexes in solution readily react with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> at room temperature to yield Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(acac) species [161]. The same facile C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/CO substitution reaction is also well documented for zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species [217]. We have used this reaction as a convenient probe to determine differences in reactivity of various zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes. While the Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes finally formed exhibit only one ν<sub>CO</sub> vibration at 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> that overlaps with asymmetric ν<sub>CO</sub> vibrations of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species [217], changes in intensity of corresponding symmetric ν<sub>CO</sub> bands of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species allow us to determine precisely the extent of their conversion into Rh(CO)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) complexes. Moreover, since this substitution reaction is very fast over all Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY samples, the most accurate data can be


obtained only for the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 material with the largest fraction of type II Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species initially formed.

Results shown in Fig. 3.11 (curves 2 and 3) for this sample indicate that after 50 seconds of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> exposure approximately 13 and 50 % of type I (the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2117 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and type II (the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at 2110 cm<sup>-1</sup>) Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species remained unconverted, respectively. Type I Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes completely disappeared after 300 seconds of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> exposure, while approximately 16 % of type II species still remained unconverted at this point. These data clearly show that two types of zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are not identical in terms of their chemical properties, as carbonyl ligands in type I Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species exhibit higher reactivities toward C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. Since the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands characterizing type II Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are redshifted relative to those of type I species in spectra of all samples examined (Fig. 3.2), it is evident that Rh atoms associated with the former type of species are more electron rich. This further implies that oxygen atoms in the zeolite framework associated with each type of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are not identical in terms of their electronegativity and, therefore, can alter differently electronic properties of Rh atoms. It is expected that the gain in Rh electron density will enhance the transfer of Rh 3d electrons onto  $\pi^*$  molecular orbitals of CO ligands, leading to weaker C=O and stronger Rh-CO bonds [262,263]. Since electron rich metal sites typically promote CO dissociation but not CO substitution reactions [262], we can further infer that variations in electron density of Rh sites and, therefore, in strength of Rh-CO bonds in two types of zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species could be accountable for differences observed in their


C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/CO substitution activities. Similar experiments performed with the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample seem to support this suggestion, as the ν<sub>CO</sub> bands of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species were observed at very low frequencies (i.e., 2090 and 2014 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and their C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/CO substitution activity was very low as well (Fig. 3.11, curve 1).

To explore this point further, additional experiments with the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample pretreated in NH<sub>3</sub> were performed. NH<sub>3</sub> is a strong Lewis base that readily forms complexes with Rh in solution [264,265]. Therefore, our intention was to modify the coordination environment of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species with NH<sub>3</sub> and examine the reactivity of species thus formed with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. Since the fraction of type II Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species initially formed in this sample is relatively low (Table 3.1), no distinctions between different types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species were made in this set of measurements for the sake of simplicity.

When the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample was exposed to a 1 % NH<sub>3</sub>/He mixture for 3 min, significant changes in the ν<sub>CO</sub> region were observed. The ν<sub>CO</sub> bands at 2117 and 2053 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species disappeared from a spectrum, while a new pair of ν<sub>CO</sub> bands appeared at 2110 and 2048 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3.12).




**Figure 3.11** Fractions of  $\nu_{CO}$  bands remaining in spectra of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  ( $\square$ - $2090\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and (2, 3)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  ( $\blacktriangle$ -  $2110\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $\bullet$ -  $2117\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) samples after exposure to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  as a function of time on stream.



**Figure 3.12** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  and (2)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  pretreated in a 1 %  $\text{NH}_3/\text{He}$  mixture for 3 min.

While the reduced intensity of these new bands could be related to partial decarbonylation of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species or changes in absorption coefficients of carbonyl ligands during such a treatment, the changes observed in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region alone are short of any hints clarifying whereabouts of  $\text{NH}_3$  species. It is quite possible that  $\text{NH}_3$  coordinates directly to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species by replacing the support oxygen atoms at the metal-support interface or alternatively coordinates to Al atoms in the zeolite framework and influences the electronic properties of Rh indirectly. In any case, the position of these new  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands and the split

between them (i.e.,  $62\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) are consistent with the presence of Rh dicarbonyl species with different electronic properties (i.e., electron rich) in the  $\text{NH}_3$  modified sample. Such modified Rh dicarbonyls were found to be substantially less reactive toward  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  than original  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes (Fig. 3.13). Consistent with other data reported herein, this result confirms one more time that the electronic properties of Rh atoms in surface complexes affect substantially the reactivity of carbonyl ligands. Furthermore, results presented here for zeolite- and  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes provide unambiguous evidence that each of these supports acts as a macro ligand to Rh species, inducing significant changes in reactivity of supported complexes.



**Figure 3.13** Fractions of the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  band at  $2117\text{ cm}^{-1}$  remaining in spectra of (1)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  and (2)  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  pretreated in a 1 %  $\text{NH}_3/\text{He}$  mixture for 3 min samples after exposure to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  as a function of time on stream.

Finally, the suggested inference of stronger Rh-CO bonds in type II Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes clearly does not correlate with the thermal properties of these species (see section 2 for details). While our data do not allow to resolve this issue, we can speculate that properties of the specific binding sites in the zeolite framework accommodating these species could provide more clues related to such a discrepancy. However, much more efforts are required to determine the nature and properties of such binding sites.

### 3.5 CONCLUSIONS

Experimental results presented here demonstrate the formation of two different types of grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes when dealuminated HY zeolites with various Si/Al ratios are used as supports. Type I species are characterized by the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands at 2117/2053 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while those at 2110/2043 cm<sup>-1</sup> represent type II species. The Si/Al ratio in the range of 2.6-30 does not influence substantially the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  vibrational frequencies of these species. However, the fraction of each species formed strongly depends on this ratio, as supports with a higher Al content favor the formation of type II species in larger amounts. Our results further show that both types of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are located within zeolite pores and Rh atoms in such complexes have a similar coordination environment but slightly different electronic properties, as the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  bands of type II species appear at lower frequencies. As a result, these two types of zeolite-grafted Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are not identical in terms of their chemical properties,

as carbonyl ligands in type I species exhibit higher reactivities toward  $C_2H_4$ . Experiments with the  $Rh(CO)_2/HY30$  sample pretreated in  $NH_3$  reinforce this point and further show that the electronic properties of Rh atoms in surface complexes affect substantially the reactivity of carbonyl ligands.

Furthermore, our results strongly suggest that the second type of  $Rh(CO)_2$  species formed in all samples examined cannot be linked to unreacted and partially reacted  $Rh(CO)_2(acac)$  complexes or to the formation of  $Rh(CO)_2(H_2O)_x$  species, since both of these latter species have different properties or require quite special conditions for their formation. Most likely, the existence of two types of zeolite-grafted  $Rh(CO)_2$  complexes could be related to the different nature of binding sites existing in dealuminated faujasites, as both nonframework Al species and a different distribution of Al in the zeolite framework could account for their appearance to some extent. While stronger experimental tools are obviously required to prove this point, the existence of zeolite-grafted  $Rh(CO)_2$  complexes with remarkably different reactivities of carbonyl ligands strongly suggests that properties of supports that are used for grafting molecular metal complexes should not be overlooked.

### 3.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Shuguo Ma for the assistance with X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. XAS data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of



Basic Energy Sciences. The assistance of the beam line staff at SSRL is gratefully acknowledged. The EXAFS data were analyzed with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148].

**CHAPTER 4. ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND ETHYLENE  
DIMERIZATION USING HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM  
DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: ROLE OF THE SUPPORT AND COMPLEX  
COORDINATION ENVIRONMENT ON CATALYTIC ACTIVITY**

**4.1 PREFACE**

HY Zeolite-supported mononuclear  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were shown to be active in ethylene hydrogenation and ethylene dimerization at ambient conditions. The role of the support as a macroligand was found to be crucial for catalytic properties of supported carbonyl complexes. More specifically,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported catalyst does not favor formation of rhodium carbonyl hydride species and it is virtually inactive in hydrogenation and dimerization reactions. Activity measurements performed on HY zeolite-supported catalyst at 76-608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 38 -152 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  revealed strong dependence of hydrogenation and dimerization kinetics on partial pressure of hydrogen while obtained activity trends suggested that both reactions involve same intermediate. Catalytic functions of HY zeolite surface in ethylene dimerization are discussed in a light of new data demonstrating cooperation between mononuclear rhodium complexes and acid hydroxyl sites of the zeolite support in C-C bond formation process. Finally, it is shown that dimerization pathway can be completely suppressed by

modifying rhodium coordination environment in supported complexes with “bulky” ligands.

## 4.2 INTRODUCTION

Supported metal complexes received significant attention in literature due to their growing importance as potential analogues of their homogeneous counterparts known for remarkable activity and selectivity [266,167,268]. Such species are considered molecular in nature often allowing for same reactivity patterns as exhibited by their precursor complexes in solution. Recent developments in understanding of structure and catalytic properties of grafted organometallic fragments made it possible to approach one of the “Holy Grails” of heterogeneous catalysis – precise control of catalyst selectivity on a molecular/atomic level [269-271]. Such principle is widely implemented for homogeneous catalysts (i.e. hydroformylation Rh catalysts etc.) where steric and electronic effects induced by spectator ligands on active complex have profound influence on products distribution [272,177,274,275].

It was demonstrated that some anchored complexes not only retain their molecular nature but their ligands gain significant reactivity [217,22,278], therefore, it should be possible to modify coordination environment of supported species and, thus, tune catalyst selectivity in a reaction of interest. In case of heterogeneous catalyst, however, support could significantly impact the surface chemistry of grafted species (or supported particles) influencing their properties [270,216] and, in some cases, contribute to catalysis by offering active sites (i.e. Bronsted, Lewis acid sites) for the reaction of interest as well as for side

reactions [280,281]. Thus, one of the major challenges when using molecular engineering to improve performance of heterogeneous catalyst is to resolve roles of support and a supported complex. To approach this problem we decided to choose of one the most studied reaction – olefins hydrogenation and relatively well understood catalytic system – HY zeolite supported rhodium dicarbonyl complexes.

Olefins hydrogenation by supported complexes is one of the most thoroughly investigated reactions and, simultaneously, one of the simplest to approach. Starting from early reports by Wilkinson demonstrating activity of  $\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3$  in olefin hydrogenation in liquid phase [282], there have been numerous attempts to synthesize single-site hydrogenation catalysts by immobilizing Rh complexes on silica [283,284], alumina, zeolites [284], and polymer films [285].

In most latest reports Gates and coworkers demonstrated that Y zeolite supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  complexes exhibit activity not only in ethylene hydrogenation but also in ethylene dimerization into butenes [286,287]. The authors emphasized that selectivity for ethane hydrogenation could be boosted by converting Rh complexes into small Rh clusters prior to reaction or, alternatively, by supporting  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  species on a surface with enhanced electron donating properties such as MgO [287]. It was proposed that the mechanism of butenes formation involves ethylene interaction with both the rhodium centre and the acidic Si-OH-Al sites. However, the role and location of Al sites and –OH groups associated with them were not clarified [286,287].

In our previous communication [288] we showed that Y zeolite-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are also active in ethylene hydrogenation primary due to the formation of key intermediates - Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> complexes under the reaction conditions. In this work we will further explore activity of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species in both ethylene hydrogenation and ethylene dimerization reactions. Also, herein, for the first time, we will show that selectivity of ethylene hydrogenation can be tuned by modifying coordination environment of supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species exemplifying the validity of truly molecular engineering approach to heterogeneous catalysis.

## 4.3 EXPERIMENTAL

### 4.3.1 REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

Dicarbonylacetylacetonato rhodium (I) Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) (acac = C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (Strem, 98% purity) was used as supplied. n-Pentane (Aldrich, 99% purity) and Tetrahydrofuran (Aldrich, >99.9 %) were refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Na/benzophenone ketyl to remove traces of moisture and deoxygenated by sparging of dry N<sub>2</sub> prior to use. All glassware used in preparation steps was previously dried at 120°C. He, H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (Airgas, all UHP grade) were additionally purified to their use by passage through oxygen/moisture traps (Agilent) capable of removing traces of O<sub>2</sub> and water to 15 and 25 ppb, respectively. CBV760, CBV720, and CBV600 dealuminated HY zeolites (Zeolyst International) with Si/Al atomic ratios of 30, 15, and 2.6, respectively, were calcined in flowing O<sub>2</sub> at 300°C for 3 h and then evacuated at 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr and

300°C for 16 h. For simplicity, these zeolite supports are further denoted as HY30, HY15, and HY2.6, respectively. All treated supports were stored and handled in a glovebox (MBraun) filled with dry N<sub>2</sub>. The residual water and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the glovebox were kept below 0.1 ppm. Potassium dicyanoaurate (Strem, 99 % purity), Chlorotrimethylsilane (Aldrich, > 99.9 % purity) were used as supplied (Strem). Ethanol anhydrous (Aldrich, > 99.5 % purity) was also used as received.

#### 4.3.2 PREPARATION OF SUPPORTED SAMPLES

The syntheses and sample transfers were performed with exclusion of air and moisture on a double-manifold Schlenk line and in a N<sub>2</sub>-filled MBraun glove box. Supported samples were prepared by slurring the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor with a corresponding powder support in n-pentane under N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h at room temperature, followed by overnight evacuation at 25°C to remove the solvent. In each case, the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor was added in the amount needed to yield samples containing 1 wt% Rh. The Rh weight loading was verified by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis (Galbraith Laboratories Inc.).

Reaction between [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> species and HY30-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes was performed in Schlenk flask with exclusion of air and moisture. Initially, the powder sample ~ 1 g (Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> on HY30) was loaded in a glovebox in a sealed flask. Subsequently, anhydrous ethanol was added with a syringe to cover the solid material followed by dropwise addition of K[Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>] solution in

ethanol while the mixture was stirring. After reaction was complete (the mixture turned color to bright reddish) ethanol was removed by decantation and the resulted slurry was washed 3 times with 50 ml of fresh ethanol to remove unreacted  $\text{K}[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]$  species. Finally, the solid was dried under the vacuum and transferred into a glovebox. All prepared samples were stored and handled in a glovebox filled with  $\text{N}_2$  to prevent possible contamination and decomposition of supported species.

#### 4.3.3 FTIR SPECTROSCOPY

A Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer equipped with a MCT-B detector cooled by liquid nitrogen was used to collect spectra with a resolution of  $2\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , averaging 64 scans per spectrum. Each powder sample was pressed into a self-supported wafer with a density of approximately  $20\text{ mg/cm}^2$  and mounted in a home-made cell connected to a gas distribution manifold. The cell design allowed for the treatment of samples at different temperatures, while various gases flowed through the cell.

#### 4.3.4 X-RAY ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY (XAS) MEASUREMENTS

XAS spectra were collected at X-ray beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA. The storage ring electron energy was 3 GeV and the ring current was in the range of 345-350 mA.

XAS measurements were used to characterize the surface species formed after the reaction of HY30 zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  species. Prior to these measurements, each powder sample was pressed into a wafer inside a  $\text{N}_2$ -filled glovebox. The sample mass was calculated to give an absorbance of approximately 2.5 at the Rh K and Au LIII absorption edges. After the sample had been pressed, it was loaded into an EXAFS cell [145], sealed under  $\text{N}_2$ , and removed from the glovebox. The cell was evacuated at  $10^{-5}$  Torr and aligned in the X-ray beam. The XAS data were collected at liquid nitrogen temperature in the transmission mode with a Si(220) double crystal monochromator that was detuned by 30% to minimize effects of higher harmonics in the X-ray beam. Samples were scanned at energies near the Rh K (23220 eV) and Au LIII (11919 eV) absorption edges. All spectra were calibrated with respect to Rh foil or Au foil, the spectrum of which was collected simultaneously.

#### 4.3.5 EXTENDED X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE (EXAFS) DATA ANALYSIS

The EXAFS data were analyzed with experimentally determined reference files obtained from EXAFS data characterizing materials of known structure. The Rh–Osupport and Rh–C contributions were analyzed with phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data for  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$  (which has only terminal CO ligands), respectively. EXAFS data characterizing crystalline  $\text{K}[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]$  were used to obtain phase shift and backscattering



amplitudes of Au–C and Au–N contributions (where N represents cyanide nitrogen). The phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes representing Rh–Rh, Au–Au shells were calculated from the structural parameters characterizing rhodium and gold foils, respectively. The transferability of the phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes for near neighbors in the periodic table has been justified experimentally [146]. The parameters used to extract these files from the EXAFS data are reported elsewhere [147]. The EXAFS data were extracted from the spectra with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148]. The EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from the X-ray absorption spectrum by a cubic spline background subtraction and normalized by dividing the absorption intensity by the height of the absorption edge. The final normalized EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from an average of six scans. The parameters characterizing both low-Z (O, C, N) and high-Z (Rh, Au) contributions were determined by multiple-shell fitting with a maximum of 16 free parameters in  $r$  space (where  $r$  is the distance from the absorbing atom, Rh or Au) and in  $k$  (wave vector) space over the ranges of  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$  with application of  $k^1$  and  $k^3$  weighting of the Fourier transform. The statistically justified number of free parameters ( $n$ ), estimated from the Nyquist theorem [149,150],  $n = (2\Delta k\Delta r/\pi) + 1$ , where  $\Delta k$  and  $\Delta r$  are the  $k$  and  $r$  ranges used to fit the data, was approximately 23. The fit was optimized by use of a difference file technique [151,152], with phase- and amplitude-corrected Fourier transforms. Standard deviations for the various parameters were calculated with the XDAP software, as described elsewhere [153]. Systematic errors are not

included in the calculation of the standard deviations. The values of the goodness of fit ( $\chi^2$ ) were calculated with the XDAP software as outlined in the Reports on Standards and Criteria in XAFS Spectroscopy [154]. The variances in both the imaginary and absolute parts were used to determine the fit quality [155].

#### 4.3.6 X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY MEASUREMENTS

XPS measurements were conducted using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD XPS system equipped with a monochromatic Al Ka source. The binding energy is calibrated using an Ag foil with Ag3d<sub>5/2</sub> set at 368.21 ± 0.025 eV for the monochromatic Al X-ray source. The monochromatic Al Ka source was operated at 15 keV and 120 W. The pass energy was fixed at 40 eV for the detailed scans. A charge neutralizer (CN) was used to compensate for the surface charge. The powder samples (approximately 5 mg) were loaded into the air-tight cell in the N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox. The sample was then transferred without air exposure into the UHV chamber for the XPS analysis. The C1s signal with a binding energy of 285.0 eV was used as an internal reference for calibration of the Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Rh 3d<sub>3/2</sub> binding energy values. All binding energies reported in this work were measured with a precision of ±0.1 eV. XPS data were analyzed by nonlinear curve fitting using the XPSPEAK software version 4.1. In all cases, a linear-type background was subtracted from the spectra and a curve fit was performed using the minimum number of G/L-type peaks that provides a good fit.


In each case the fitting routine was completed when the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value was 0.98 or higher.

## 4.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.4.1 ACTIVITY OF HY30-SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES IN ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND DIMERIZATION

Site-isolated rhodium dicarbonyl complexes supported on HY zeolites possess rich surface chemistry allowing for selective synthesis of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species [288]. Formation of stable rhodium carbonyl hydride complexes which are viewed as important intermediates in olefin hydrogenation in liquid phase motivated us to investigate catalytic activity of supported analogs. Initial results presented by our group [288] indicate that regardless of the complex structure i.e. whether  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  or  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  are used, the rate of ethane formation at the maximum activity is virtually identical suggesting that Rh hydrides could be formed in-situ during the reaction (the transient period was observed in case of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species). It is remarkable that ethane is not the only product formed. Butenes were also detected in a gas phase revealing significant capacity of rhodium carbonyl complexes to promote ethylene dimerization reaction. Results of the typical experiment performed at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at ambient conditions show rates of ethane, butene-1, cis-2-butene and trans-2-butene formation with time on stream (TOS) (Fig 4.1). These data indicate remarkable activity of the catalyst towards C-C bond formation and,

moreover, the overall rate of butenes formation exceeds ethane formation rate after approximately 3 h. Although ability of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  complexes to promote ethylene hydrogenation and ethylene dimerization reactions was thoroughly described in literature [286,287], the fact that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are capable of facilitating similar transformation is somewhat unexpected. More specifically, it was reported that poisoning of Rh sites with CO results in almost complete catalyst deactivation towards formation of butenes [287].



**Figure 4.1** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane (●), Trans-2-Butene (■), Cis-2-Butene (▲), and Butene-1 (◆) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  at ambient conditions.

Mechanism of ethylene hydrogenation over  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species was already discussed by our group [288]. In brief, it is suggested that at the first stage one of the CO ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complex is substituted with ethylene yielding  $\text{Rh}^I(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  species. The following transformation implies oxidative

addition of hydrogen and formation of formally 18-electron  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{H})_2$  complexes which rapidly undergo hydride ligand migration giving  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)(\text{H})$  structures. The subsequent reductive elimination of ethane from such surface species yields coordinatively unsaturated and highly reactive 14-electron  $\text{Rh}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})$  complexes which immediately coordinate ethylene from a gas phase closing up the catalytic cycle. In contrast to hydrogenation, the mechanistic aspects of ethylene dimerization involving rhodium dicarbonyls are not obvious and have not been discussed. The closest analog to such species reported in literature with substantial activity in butenes formation are HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  complexes which are proposed to operate via bifunctional mechanism which involve Rh sites and Brønsted acid sites of the zeolite support [286]. In the following sections we will give an insight into catalytic performance of rhodium dicarbonyls in ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization reactions, attempt to clarify the role and functions of the support during the catalysis and, most importantly, suggest ways to tune selectivity of ethylene transformations.

#### 4.4.2 COMPARISON OF HY AND $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES ACTIVITY IN ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION


The importance of the support as a macroligand affecting properties and reactivity of supported complexes was widely discussed in literature [300-302,112-114]. We note that support effect is magnified when atomically dispersed metals or small metal clusters are used as active sites [112,345]. It is the understanding of this property of supported catalysts which evolved

throughout the years of research and led to the development of “support as a ligand” concept [114,345].

It was shown, for instance, that temperature of CO ligand hydrogenation when using immobilized  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species as catalysts depends on the support and it decreases in the following order  $\text{NaX} < \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 < \text{NaY} < \text{TiO}_2$  which is consistent with decreasing of electron accepting properties of supports [113]. It is remarkable, that when highly dispersed Rh clusters were used, CO hydrogenation activity varied over 200-fold dependent upon the support ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{MgO}$ ,  $\text{CeO}_2$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$  were used) [112]. In another work it was demonstrated that activity of low nuclearity supported Rh clusters (2-4 atoms in size) in 1,3-butadiene hydrogenation is biased to electronic properties of the support and exceptional selectivity to 1-butene was obtained on  $\text{MgO}$  which possesses of significantly higher electron-donating properties than zeolite Y [302].

In order to investigate the effect of the support on activity of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in ethylene hydrogenation, we compared catalytic performance of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and HY30 supported complexes. It is recognized that the key intermediates responsible for catalytic activity of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in ethylene hydrogenation are  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes which can be synthesized selectively on zeolite surface via sequence of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{-H}_2$  reactions [288]. Interestingly, similar transformations performed with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes does not result in the formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species.

FTIR spectra in CO stretching region of the initial  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  sample exhibits two bands at  $2090\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$  characterizing symmetric and asymmetric vibrations of CO ligands, respectively, with an average FWHM of approximately  $24\text{ cm}^{-1}$  Fig. 4.2, A. The infrared peaks of HY30-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are extremely narrow with FWHM of about  $6\text{ cm}^{-1}$  suggesting their high structural uniformity with  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands located at  $2117\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2051\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We note that EXAFS measurements confirmed the presence of site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on both supports [303]. Such a significant difference in positions of  $\nu(\text{CO})$  peaks is a first indication of quite different properties of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and HY zeolite as ligands and, more specifically, it reveals stronger electron-accepting properties of zeolite oxygen atoms.



**Figure 4.2** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (A) in He (B) after exposure to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  for 10 h (C) after exposure to  $\text{H}_2$  for 30 min.

When  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  sample was exposed to stream of ethylene for 10 hours (followed by helium purge), the band at  $2090\text{ cm}^{-1}$  lost about 90 % of its initial intensity and shifted to  $2083\text{ cm}^{-1}$  while the band at  $2014\text{ cm}^{-1}$  broadened and slightly blueshifted to  $2018\text{ cm}^{-1}$  Fig. 4.2,B. Simultaneously, weak features appeared at  $3075$ ,  $3057$ ,  $3010$ , and  $2978\text{ cm}^{-1}$  characterizing  $\nu(\text{CH})$  vibrations of ethylene  $\pi$  bonded to Rh center. In contrast, in case if  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample ethylene pulse of only 3 minutes was sufficient to remove 97 % of  $2117\text{ cm}^{-1}$  band intensity and no shift of the  $\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CO})$  peak at  $2052\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was detected. Consistent with the presence of ethylene ligand on Rh, the bands in  $\nu(\text{C-H})$  region were also identified although at slightly different positions:  $3094$ ,  $3070$ ,  $3021$ , and  $2986\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . These data suggest that ethylene exposure to  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species results in its coordination on Rh site yielding  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes. We note that for both supports  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{CO}$  substitution was reversible, i.e. pulse of CO led to immediate reappearance of bands characterizing initial  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes indicating that CO affinity to Rh is very high regardless of the support.

It was discussed in our previous communication that HY30 supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes can be converted into stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species in hydrogen flow [288]. More specifically, this transformation is accompanied by evolution of a strong band at  $2091\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and weak features at  $2170\text{-}2120\text{ cm}^{-1}$  region in FTIR spectrum characterizing  $\nu(\text{CO})$  and  $\nu(\text{Rh-H})$  vibrations in the rhodium carbonyl hydride complexes, respectively. In contrast, exposure of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  species to hydrogen for 60 minutes led to the appearance of bands at  $2056\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1815\text{ cm}^{-1}$  with no peaks evolving in




2100-2200  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region Fig. 4.2,C. Simultaneously, weak features in  $\nu(\text{CH})$  region disappeared from the spectrum and ethane was detected in a gas phase with mass-spectrometry suggesting that observed changes are associated with hydrogenation of ethylene ligands into ethane. This result clearly indicates that unlike in case of zeolite-supported sample, rhodium hydrides species are not formed. The bands at 2050-2070  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1800-1900  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region were previously reported in literature and are normally assigned to carbonyl groups linearly and bridged bound to metallic rhodium species, respectively [112-114]. We also note that similar bands evolved in the spectrum when  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were heated in  $\text{H}_2$  to 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  (2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1830  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). We propose that at this temperature rhodium dicarbonyls become mobile and aggregate into rhodium particles (but temperature is not high enough for carbonyls to fully react).

We exclude formation of  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  and  $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  clusters under given conditions (after  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{-H}_2$  sequence) for several reasons. First, FTIR signatures of these species supported on alumina have four bands with at least one band located in 2080  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region: 2083s, 2060ms, 2004s, and 1802w ( $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ) and 2383s, 2058ms, 2032s, and 2002w  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ) [345]. Second, Rh/CO ratios in  $\text{Rh}_6(\text{CO})_{16}$  and  $\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$  complexes are 0.375 and 0.333, respectively, which is lower than in  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  sample indicating that there are not enough CO molecules on the surface to form Rh4 or Rh6 carbonyl clusters. Finally, formation of small metallic rhodium aggregates (not Rh4 or Rh6 clusters) from alumina supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$

species was reported by Wovchko et al when they investigated transformations of these complexes in hydrogen atmosphere under the UV light [304]. Therefore, we infer that, unlike in case of HY zeolite, alumina facilitates aggregation of Rh species upon consecutive exposure of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2$ . However, it can be suggested that transient formation of alumina-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species occur since ethylene hydrogenation into ethane could only proceed via intermediate formation of hydrides but the former complexes are not stabilized by the support and immediately recombine into Rh aggregates.

We propose that among key factors contributing to different surface chemistry of rhodium species on alumina and HY zeolite are metal oxidation state in supported complexes and structure of the support surface. FTIR data and XPS measurements [303] show that Rh formally more electron positive when supported on HY zeolite than on alumina. As a result, we expect less backbonding (donation of electron density from filled d orbitals of Rh to empty antibonding orbitals of CO) occurring in case of zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species and, consequently, weaker Rh-C bond (more liable) if compared to  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -anchored rhodium carbonyl complexes. Besides, we propose that remarkable stability of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes could be related to Si/Al ratio in the framework. More specifically, it is known that excess negative charge around isolated Al sites of the zeolite framework is the primary reason for the coordination of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  fragment to such sites [63]. These sites do not exist on alumina where surface is relatively energetically homogeneous favoring Rh migration under the reducing conditions.

Such different surface chemistry of rhodium complexes on alumina and dealuminated zeolite has drastic impact on catalytic performance of these materials. Fig. 4.3 demonstrates activity of HY30 and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  supported samples in ethylene hydrogenation with time on stream (TOS). HY30-supported sample has an induction period of a bout 6 hours associated with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{CO}$  exchange with TOF reaching about  $0.04 \text{ s}^{-1}$  after that period. In contrast,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -supported sample exhibits virtually no activity with TOF being nearly  $0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}$  after 20 h on stream. This result confirms the key role of Y zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{H})_x$  complexes in ethylene hydrogenation suggesting that these species are intermediates in this reaction.




Fi

**Figure 4.3** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  (▲),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (■) at 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  at ambient conditions.

#### 4.4.3 KINETICS OF ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND DIMERIZATION BY HY30 ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES

It was reported in literature that HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  complexes active not only in ethylene hydrogenation but also show remarkable activity in ethylene dimerization into n-butenes [286,287]. Same authors noted that poisoning of Rh sites with CO results in almost complete catalyst deactivation towards formation of butenes [287]. However, since we demonstrated that HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species are active in ethylene hydrogenation (via formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes), it is of particular interest to understand whether same complexes could promote ethylene dimerization. In order to explore activity of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  in these reactions we performed set of experiments with varying partial pressures of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ . In the first series of experiments  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  partial pressure was kept at 76 Torr while  $\text{H}_2$  partial pressure was varied in the 76-608 Torr range. Results presented herein Fig. 4.4 clearly demonstrate significant increase in a rate of ethane formation (TOF) with  $\text{H}_2$  partial pressure. Linearization of these data with respect to maximum TOF yields first order dependence towards partial pressure of  $\text{H}_2$  which is consistent with most kinetic data published on ethylene hydrogenation catalyzed by homogeneous complexes of rhodium [305,306].




**Figure 4.4** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{H}_2$ : 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).


We note that rates of butenes formation (trans-2-butene, cis-2-butene, 1-butene) were also consistently increasing with  $\text{H}_2$  partial pressure suggesting participation of hydrogen (i.e. hydrides) in ethylene dimerization mechanism (Figs. 4.5-4.7). Reaction order of ethylene dimerization with respect to partial pressure of hydrogen was estimated to be approximately 1.2. (using TOF of total C4 formation: butene-1, cis-2-butene, and trans-2-butene). Since hydrogen is not consumed in dimerization, this is remarkable result clearly pointing out to the mechanism involving hydride transfer and not to metallocycle type mechanism for ethylene oligomerization [307]. The mechanistic aspects of ethylene dimerization will be discussed later in a text. Interestingly, except small induction period in the experiment at 76 Torr  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , the rates of ethylene dimerization were always higher than rates of ethylene hydrogenation regardless of hydrogen partial pressure. In experiments at 228, 380, 608 Torr of

H<sub>2</sub> the ratio between those rates reached 2 and was gradually declining to value of about 1.5 with TOS (Fig. 4.8). We also note very similar trends in formation of ethane, trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene but quite different in case of butene-1 (Figs. 4.5-4.7). This observation suggests that Rh site could be involved in isomerization of the butene-1 or, in other words that ethylene hydrogenation and n-butenes isomerization reactions are parallel. However, this conclusion is rather tentative since Bronsted acid sites of zeolite are known to promote the later transformation as well.


Finally, we note a slight decline from the equilibrium in butenes composition and, in particular, in trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene concentrations (Fig. 4.9). Cis/trans isomers ratio at 76 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> goes from about 1.4 to 0.43 during the experiment which is slightly higher than in case of 228, 380, 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub>. Since the equilibrium ratio between these isomers at 25 °C is 0.29 [341], we infer that at H<sub>2</sub> - C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> partial pressures higher than stoichiometric isomerization into cis- and trans- isomers is close to equilibrium. The observed deviation from equilibrium composition of isomers with TOS is most likely due to catalyst deactivation or, more specifically, it is a result of active sites blockage with long chain oligomers. It was reported in literature that transition metal cations exchanged zeolites are active enough in 1-butene isomerization to yield equilibrium composition of n-butenes [308].




**Figure 4.5** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).



**Figure 4.6** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).




**Figure 4.7** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{H}_2$ : 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).



**Figure 4.8** Ratio of Ethane and Butenes formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{H}_2$ : 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).






**Figure 4.9** Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and different partial H<sub>2</sub>: 76 Torr (●) 228 Torr (■) 380 Torr (▲) 608 Torr (◆).

In the second set of experiments H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure was kept at 608 Torr and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> partial pressure was varied in 38 – 152 Torr range. Results presented in Fig. 4.10 indicate that C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> concentration influences ethylene hydrogenation but also catalyst deactivation rates. The ethane formation TOF curves have maximums which become more distinct and appear earlier in time as ethylene concentration increases. We suggest that these peaks arise due to several simultaneously occurring processes. The first one is CO/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> substitution which explains the transient period when activity increases during the first 3-4 hours of the reaction. This statement was confirmed in a separate experiment when Rh(CO)(H)<sub>x</sub> species were formed on a surface before reaction was started and no transient period was observed. The rate of CO/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> substitution depends on ethylene partial pressure and it is reflected in slightly shifted in time TOF peaks

maxima. The second process is catalyst deactivation which is proposed to be due to formation of long chain oligomers blocking the active sites. Considering the ethane concentrations at top of these peaks, the observed reaction order with respect to ethylene partial pressure was found approximately 0.7. This value is less than 1 which is normally reported for ethylene kinetics in homogeneous catalysis [305,306] indicating that kinetics over supported catalyst is more complex.




**Figure 4.10** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).


We note that rates of ethylene dimerization are more sensitive to ethylene partial pressure than rates of ethylene hydrogenation. The ratio between rates of formation of C4 olefins and ethane increases with ethylene

partial pressure approaching the value of 3 at 152 Torr of ethylene (Fig. 4.14). The reaction order of ethylene dimerization with respect to partial pressure of ethylene was found to be approximately 1.2.


We also observed very similar activity patterns for the formation of ethane, cis-2-butene and trans-2-butene, which in this case were even more pronounced than in case of experiments with varying hydrogen partial pressures (Figs. 4.10-4.12). Butenes composition was close to equilibrium regardless of ethylene partial pressure for the first 4 hours of TOS when cis-2-butene/trans-2-butene ratio was approximately 0.33 (equilibrium is 0.29) (Fig. 4.15). After this time period, the ratio began to deviate and increase with increase of ethylene partial pressure clearly pointing out to positive influence of ethylene pressure on catalyst deactivation rates.




**Figure 4.11** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).




**Figure 4.12** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-Butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).



**Figure 4.13** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).



**Figure 4.14** Ratio of Ethane and Butenes formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).



**Figure 4.15** Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and different partial pressures of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ : 38 Torr (●) 76 Torr (■) 114 Torr (▲) 152 Torr (◆).

#### 4.4.4 MECHANISM OF ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND DIMERIZATION BY HY30 ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> COMPLEXES

Ethylene dimerization over zeolites has been well-investigated [309-311]. The mechanism is believed to involve Bronsted or Lewis acid sites and generally considered as sequence of the following elementary steps: coordination of olefin to the active site, protonation of olefin and formation of alkylcarbenium ion, addition of second olefin (chain propagation) to alkylcarbenium ion, and deprotonation [312]. Two types of mechanisms were proposed which consider formation of either carbenium ion or alkoxy structure [312]. However, our experiments as well as literature reports suggest that at ambient conditions HY zeolites have negligible activity in this reaction [286,287,313]. Thus, observed activity should be ascribed either to rhodium complexes alone or a joint action of rhodium complexes and acidic active sites on zeolite surface. The oligomerization of olefins using metal complexes in solution also received significant attention [307,314]. The commercial process of ethylene dimerization into butene-1 currently utilizes a combination of triethylaluminum and titanium or zirconium alkoxides Ti(OAr)<sub>4</sub>/AlEt<sub>3</sub> catalyst functioning through the metallacyclic mechanism which does not require external source of protons [315,316]. Rhodium compounds are also known to promote this reaction with most focus of the research in this direction being on rhodium halide complexes [317]. It is suggested that bis(ethylene) complex of monovalent rhodium (i.e. Rh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(acac)) is converted by reaction with HCl into an ethylrhodium(III)

which further coordinates second ethylene molecule yielding a butyl fragment [317].

It was proposed by Serna et al, while investigating mechanism of ethylene dimerization over HY-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$  species, that only one of ethylene ligands on Rh centre is engaged in reaction while another ligand is converted into ethyl group and present as spectator [286,287]. The second ethylene that participates in a reaction is proposed to coordinate to -OH group associated with Al atom (bridging -OH groups) in the vicinity of Rh site. It is important to note that this mechanism does not imply formation of carbenium ions (i.e. protonation of either of two participating ethylenes) and hydrogen is thought to spill on a surface to replenish protons in -OH groups of Al-OH-Si moieties removed upon complex immobilization which involved in a catalytic cycle as a binding sites for second ethylene molecule (one is activated on Rh center) [287]. The role and type of these Al sites that give rise to acidic -OH groups involved in a reaction are not clear. Author suggested that Al-OH-Si moiety could be the same as one responsible for complex binding or originate from neighboring Al sites.

We note that our catalytic system is structurally very similar to one described by Serna et al with the only difference that CO ligand instead of ethyl group is present as a spectator. This inference is evidenced by very similar reaction rates found in this work and reported by Serna et al. However, such a strong dependence of dimerization kinetics on hydrogen partial pressure raises the question regarding the role of hydrogen in this process. More specifically, it is

not clear whether its role is limited to interaction with surface hydroxyls (spillover) [287] or it is needed to produce rhodium ethyl species which could further be converted into ethane (hydrogenation pathway) or interact with second ethylene molecule to yield C4 chain (dimerization pathway). As we pointed out above, the closest analog to our supported catalyst are rhodium ethylene halide complexes which require proton source to operate [317]. In fact, the catalytically active species  $[\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{Cl})_2]^-$  are isoelectronic (i.e. also 16 electron species) to  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{acac})$  and to supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes (since zeolite surface is considered as 3 electron donor in this case) indicating electronic and structural similarity between supported and unsupported complexes. Considering significant dependence of C4 olefins formation rate on partial pressure of hydrogen and the fact that dimerization according to beta-hydrogen transfer route (with participation of hydride ligand) is known for rhodium complexes in solution [317], we could assume similar mechanism for supported complexes.

This alternative mechanism which we have to consider does not involve participation of ethylene molecule adsorbed on acid –OH group in dimerization catalysis but implies coordination of additional ethylene ligand to Rh center. In this case the complex has to retain 16 electrons configuration in order for dissociative addition of hydrogen to occur. We note that zeolite surface is regarded as 3 electron donor implying that metal interacts with one frame oxygen atom via covalent bond (contributing 1 electron to total electron count) and with –OH group via dative bond (contributing 2 electrons to total electron count). The dative bond is originating from the donation of lone electrons pair on oxygen of




the –OH group to Rh and considered to be labile. According to the proposed pathway, additional ethylene molecule enters Rh coordination environment and essentially occupies coordination space which previously has been filled by the –OH group. At the next step dissociative addition of hydrogen occurs yielding  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2(\text{H})_2$  species and raising the total electron count up to 18 electrons. The following migration of hydride leads to the formation of ethyl group giving 16-electron  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)(\text{H})$  complex. Formation of C4 chain occurs via insertion of ethylene into Rh-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub> bond resulting in formation of  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_4\text{H}_7)(\text{H})$  species. Since ethylene ligand is now converted vacating a coordination space on Rh and the formal electron count dropped to 14 electrons (which is nonstable electron configuration), we propose that at this stage –OH group recoordinates to metal raising the total electron count to 16 electrons. Finally, beta-elimination of hydrogen from butyl ligand yields butene-1 coordinated to Rh center in  $\pi$  mode which subsequently evolves in a gas phase leaving  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_2$  complexes.

We note that this is a tentative mechanism which is alternative to one proposed by Serna et al and it does not involve surface –OH groups as active sites for dimerization catalysis. In order to clarify the reaction mechanism and, in particular, role of -OH groups we performed experiments when amount of acidic hydroxyls exposed to gas phase ethylene was varied. This can be done in two ways: either by using HY zeolites with different Si/Al ratios (which automatically implies different hydroxyls coverage) at constant Rh loading (1 wt %) or use same HY zeolite (HY30) and immobilize different amount of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes

(anchoring mechanism implies consumption of one acidic –OH group per one Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) molecule reacted).


#### 4.4.5 ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND DIMERIZATION WITH HY15 AND HY2.6 ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> COMPLEXES

Characterization of samples obtained after interaction of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) with zeolites having Si/Al ratio of 2.6 (HY2.6) and 15 (HY15) was already reported by our group [303]. We showed that although supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are structurally identical, two types of binding sites for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species are present which were suggested to be due to different Al types/Al distribution in these zeolites. Catalytic tests were performed at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> at ambient conditions and revealed striking differences in activity of these materials in ethylene hydrogenation (Fig. 4.16). HY2.6 supported sample exhibited a sharp spike in ethane and butenes formation rates with a maximum at approximately 30 minutes TOS followed by a rapid decline in activity. In case of HY15 supported sample the TOF maximum appeared at about 2.2 hours with a following moderate decline. We note that at maximum activity HY2.6 and HY15 supported rhodium species showed reaction rates 7.2 and 3.2 times higher than HY30 supported, respectively.




**Figure 4.16** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY15 (■), Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (▲) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.


It is remarkable that catalysts activity towards formation of Butenes follow the same trends as formation of ethane suggesting that both reactions probably involve same active site and both are affected by same factors (Fig. 4.17). Consistent with our previous observations, butenes composition close to equilibrium achieved only at early stages of the reaction, namely, before maximum activity is reached and deactivation started to prevail (Fig. 4.18). FTIR spectra in  $\nu(\text{CH})$  region collected on used samples show substantial difference in amount of accumulated hydrocarbons (Fig. 4.19). More specifically, the integrated intensities of  $\nu(\text{CH})$  bands in case of HY2.6-based samples is significantly higher than on HY15, HY30-supported materials suggesting that Al rich zeolite promotes formation of oligomers which is consistent with observed deactivation patterns.



**Figure 4.17** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes (Trans-2-butene, Cis-2-butene, Butene-1) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  ( $\bullet$ ),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY15}$  ( $\blacksquare$ ),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  ( $\blacktriangle$ ) at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ .



**Figure 4.18** Ratio of Cis-2-Butene and Trans-2-Butene formation rates with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  ( $\bullet$ ),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY15}$  ( $\blacksquare$ ),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  ( $\blacktriangle$ ) at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ .



**Figure 4.19** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CH}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  (A),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY15}$ , and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  (C) after reaction: 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  for 20 h.

Such a significant difference in activities of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes exhibited in the initial period of the reaction is of particular interest. Since experimental conditions and metal loading were kept the same in these experiments, the observed difference in activity should be associated with different amount of  $-\text{OH}$  groups. The alternative explanation of this effect could be a change of active site structure (i.e. rhodium clustering).

In order to investigate whether rhodium aggregation is responsible for a boost in HY2.6 and HY15 based catalysts activity we performed XPS characterization of samples before and after reaction. XPS results for fresh  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes supported on HY30, HY15, and HY2.6 indicated Rh 3d

binding energy of 308.8 eV, 308.8 eV, and 308.5 eV (Table 4.1), respectively, corresponding to rhodium in +1 oxidation state which is consistent with most literature reports [218,224,225]. XPS data on samples after reaction showed no evidence of Rh species with binding energy below 308.3 eV suggesting that rhodium clustering is unlikely. Although we admit that formation of cationic Rh<sup>6</sup> and/or Rh<sup>4</sup> clusters could be a possibility (especially in case of Al reach supports such HY2.6), literature data suggest that the drop in the Rh 3d binding energy of approximately 0.7 eV should be expected for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> to Rh<sup>4</sup>/Rh<sup>6</sup> transformation [322]. Besides, exposure of a used samples to a pulse of CO results in immediate reappearance of ν(CO) bands with same integrated intensity as initial Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes regardless of a support indicating that structurally Rh sites remained unaltered. Although we do recognize that oxidative disruption of small Rh clusters with CO could occur, experiments with CO adsorption on Y zeolite-supported Rh clusters characterized by N<sub>Rh-Rh</sub> = 4.6 revealed no evidence of this process taking place to a measurable extend [288]. (also consistent with literature data)

**Table 4.1** XPS data characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species supported on HY30, HY15, and HY2.6 zeolites after reaction in 608 Torr H<sub>2</sub>, 76 Torr C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> for 20 h.

Sample	Rh 3d <sub>5/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV	Rh 3d <sub>3/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY30	308.4	2.1	313.1	2.1
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY15	308.5	1.8	313.2	1.8
Rh(CO) <sub>2</sub> /HY2.6	308.7	2.2	313.4	2.2


Thus, increased activity of HY15 and HY2.6 based materials should be attributed to the influence of the support. We note the striking similarities between plots showing rates of ethane formation (as well as trans- and cis-2-butene) in experiments with HY30 supported rhodium complexes performed at 607 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and different C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> partial pressures (38 -152 Torr) and experiments carried out at 607 Torr of H<sub>2</sub>/76 C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with HY15 and HY2.6 supported samples. One of the possible explanations for these data could be a purely kinetic factor of surface concentration of ethylene. Interaction of ethylene with Bronsted acid sites of zeolites is well-known and often reported as first step in ethylene dimerization mechanism over zeolites [312,323]. Thus, one can assume that concentration of ethylene on the surface could be varied by either changing partial pressure of ethylene in a gas phase or by changing number of surface binding sites – hydroxyl groups. If ethylene coverage at giving experimental conditions is not full (and we assume that at low partial pressure of ethylene this holds true) then the reaction rate (dimerization) should be defined by proximity of acid sites to Rh centers (-OH groups density) and partial pressure of ethylene in a gas phase. In case of HY30 zeolite, there is only about 1 Al atom and, thus, only 1 –OH group per supercage [254]. The Rh loading of 1 wt% allows only for 1 Rh per 8 supercages making the probability of close location of Rh to a –OH group in case of HY30 small. In case of HY15 and HY2.6 materials, there are approximately 2 and 6 Al atoms per supercage [254], respectively, making the likelihood of close proximity of Rh and Brønsted acid site higher.

Finally, we have to acknowledge the possible effect of extraframework Al species (EFAL). It is proposed that up to 50 % of Al atoms in HY2.6 zeolite are nonframework and exist as EFAL species [254] which could serve as binding sites for supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes [303]. Although the exact role of EFAL species in catalytic performance of supported Rh complexes in hydrogenation and/or dimerization reaction is not clear, it is reported that Y zeolites with high EFAL content greatly enhance hydrogen transfer reactions (H/D exchange etc) at elevated temperatures [254,325,326]. One of the proposed explanations attributes this effect to so called “superacidity” of bridging Al-OH-Si hydroxyls due to close proximity of these sites to the the extraframework AlOH species (Lewis acid sites) [327]. However, since all our experiments were conducted at ambient conditions, we consider such effects negligible.


One of the ways to understand whether Brønsted –OH groups are responsible for enhanced activity of HY2.6 supported sample is to make them inaccessible for ethylene molecules, i.e. to block them with another more strongly binding adsorbate. This can be done by using water as it was reported that water and ethylene compete for Brønsted acid sites and physisorbed water prevents the adsorption of the olefin [328]. The ultimate condition that has to be met in this case is that water must not interact with Rh sites and we showed previously that, unless water is present in a gas phase, it does not coordinate to Rh species [303]. In order to prepare samples with different water content, the HY2.6 zeolite was subject to pretreatments at different calcination temperatures before  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were immobilized on its surface (100, 200, 300 and 400 °C).



FTIR spectrum characterizing sample treated at 100 °C revealed the presence substantial amounts of water as evidenced by infrared bands at approximately 3500 and 1630  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assigned to stretching and bending vibrations, respectively, of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules hydrogen-bonded to zeolite  $-\text{OH}$  groups (Figs. 4.20,4.21). The sample treated at 200 °C showed significant decline in intensity of bands characterizing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  while new features emerged at 3630 and 3565  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  designating acidic hydroxyls. Finally, samples after thermal treatments in the 300-400 °C temperature range revealed no evidence of surface-bound  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .




**Figure 4.20** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{OH}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (A), 200 °C (B), 300 °C (C), and 400 °C (D).




**Figure 4.21** FTIR spectra in the  $\delta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY}2.6$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (A), 200 °C (B), 300 °C (C), and 400 °C (D).


Catalytic performance of those materials measured at 607 Torr of  $\text{H}_2/76 \text{ C}_2\text{H}_4$  is presented in Figs. 4.22-4.25. These data indicate that there is about 30 % drop in ethane formation rate at maximum activity for sample treated at 100 °C if compared to samples calcined 200 °C, 300 °C, and 400 °C and deactivation patterns for all four samples are very similar. There is also relatively small decrease in activity towards trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene formation (Figs. 4.23,4.24) and virtually no change in TOF of butene-1 formation for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  rich sample (100 °C calcined) (Fig. 4.25). These results are certainly not conclusive but suggest that blocking of Brønsted acid sites with water does influence the catalyst activity in both ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization reactions although the effect is relatively small.




**Figure 4.22** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆).



**Figure 4.23** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Trans-2-butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆).



**Figure 4.24** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Cis-2-butene formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆).



**Figure 4.25** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butene-1 formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample obtained by reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY2.6 zeolite calcined at 100 °C (●), 200 °C (■), 300 °C (▲), and 400 °C (◆).


#### 4.4.6 ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION AND DIMERIZATION WITH HY30 ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES WITH DIFFERENT Rh LOADINGS

The alternative way to vary amount of acidic hydroxyls which could serve as binding sites for ethylene molecules and participate in dimerization catalysis is to immobilize different amount of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes. We note that protonation of “acac” ligand by the bridging –OH group is a primary pathway for complex immobilization implying that each zeolite has a certain chemisorption capacity. In order to reveal the maximum Rh uptake when complexes are still covalently bound to the surface, IR measurements of samples prepared by interaction of HY zeolite with different amount of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  were conducted. We used zeolite with the lowest Al content in a framework (HY30) where limiting chemisorption capacity is expected at reasonably low Rh loadings.


FTIR spectra in  $\nu(\text{CO})$  region for samples with 1, 2, 3 and 4 % wt of Rh are presented in Fig. 4.26. For samples with 1 and 2 % wt of Rh only bands at 2117 and  $2053\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are observed suggesting the presence of anchored  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. As Rh loading was increased to 3 and then to 4 % wt, two new band pairs appeared in spectra. More specifically, for the sample with 3 % wt of Rh the bands at 2107,  $2039\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and 2093,  $2025\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were detected indicating partially reacted and unreacted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes. Similar features were observed for the sample with 4 % wt loading ( $2105, 2038\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and 2090,  $2024\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), in this case however intensities of bands at 2090 and  $2024\text{ cm}^{-1}$  characterizing physisorbed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes are increased. These

results suggest that the maximum capacity of HY30 zeolite towards chemisorption of precursor complexes is somewhere between 2 and 3 % wt and, therefore, the following catalytic testing was performed for the sample with 2 % wt Rh loading. Catalyst activity in both ethylene hydrogenation and ethylene dimerization was evaluated at 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> at ambient conditions. Fig. 4.27 compares activities of HY30 supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species at 1 % and 2 % wt Rh loadings and indicates that the rate of ethane formation experienced about 50 % drop as Rh loading increased from 1 to 2 % wt. Similar picture was observed for the rate of butenes formation (butene-1, trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene) (Fig. 4.28) suggesting that both processes are essentially coupled and confirming our inference that they most likely proceed through the same intermediate. We note that the observed behavior is consistent with activities detected for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes supported on HY zeolites with different Si/Al ratios but, in fact, demonstrates the reverse trend - decrease in the rate of dimerization with decrease of acidic hydroxyls coverage. Such a strong dependence of the dimerization rate on the amount of surface –OH groups points out to the key role of these sites in dimerization catalysis.


In summary, we can conclude that ethylene dimerization involves both Rh complexes and –OH groups of the zeolite as active sites. This mechanism is broadly consistent with the reaction pathway proposed in literature, however, unlike literature reports our data suggest participation of rhodium hydride species in dimerization reaction. Moreover, both ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization seem to be coupled and involve same intermediate.



**Figure 4.26** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  at different Rh loading: 1, 2, 3, and 4 %wt.



**Figure 4.27** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  with 1 % wt Rh (●), 2 % wt Rh (■) at 608 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ .



**Figure 4.28** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes (Trans-2-butene, Cis-2-butene, Butene-1) formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 with 1 % wt Rh (●), 2 % wt Rh (■) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.

#### 4.4.7 TUNING SELECTIVITY OF ETHYLENE HYDROGENATION/DIMERIZATION USING HY30 ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> COMPLEXES


Tuning the selectivity of chemical reaction promoted by homogeneous catalyst is normally achieved by modifying electronic and/or steric properties of a metal complex [272,177,274,275]. This implies detailed understanding of a reaction mechanism and reactivity of modified catalyst. The mechanism of ethylene dimerization discussed above suggests cooperative action of Rh complex and neighboring –OH group implying that both sites have to be located in close proximity to each other.




One of the approaches which could be used to “shut down” the dimerization is to create a sterical hindrance which would prevent interaction of ethylene adsorbed on –OH group with the Rh center. This can be done by introducing new ligands in supported rhodium complexes, preferably, bulk. Such ligand has to be chemically inert and have a chemical bond with Rh strong enough so not to dissociate (detach) during the reaction. However, complex has to retain reactivity and remain active towards hydrogen activation. One of the good candidates on this role is the dicyanoaurate anion,  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  which has been extensively used in synthesis of cyano-bridged heterometallic coordination polymers [329-332]. In synthesis of such materials  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  building block acts as a bridging ligand between transition metal cations and allows for the construction of bimetallic materials with no direct metal-metal interaction [329]. Those materials are stable due to remarkable properties of nitrogen atom of –CN group which acts as strong Lewis base allowing for relatively strong M-N= bonds. Since HY zeolite supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes were shown to react with weak Lewis bases such as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NH}_3$ , [303] it is reasonable to assume that it should react with stronger bases such as  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$ . Finally, it is remarkable that CN group has very distinct signature in FTIR spectrum which is very sensitive to its chemical environment allowing for easy discrimination between different structures involving this functional group [330,334].

It is important to note that no reaction occurred between the precursor complex  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  and  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  ions in a liquid phase (evidenced by FTIR) suggesting that “acac” ligand has to be displaced from Rh in order to

enable coordination of  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  groups. In contrast, when same reaction was performed with HY30 supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes ( $\text{Rh}/\text{Au} = 1/1$  mol), the support immediately turned color from pale white to bright reddish. FTIR spectrum of a sample after reaction revealed that  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands became broader ( $\text{FWHM} = 15$ ) and redshifted to  $2102 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2043 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (relatively to  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands in a sample before the reaction) (Fig. 4.29). Besides, the new strong feature appeared at  $2193 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  designating  $\nu(\text{CN})$  vibration of CN groups in  $-\text{Au}-\text{CN}-\text{Rh}-$  fragments. We note that this band is clear indication of bridging CN groups and it is normally used in literature as a major evidence of a formation of cyano-bridged bimetallic structures [329,330]. In a separate experiment when we took excess of  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  with respect to Rh ( $\text{Rh}/\text{Au} = 1/2$  mol), the bands at  $2145 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2139 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  appeared in FTIR spectrum which we attributed to  $\nu(\text{CN})$  vibrations in unreacted  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  complexes adsorbed on zeolite surface (confirmed in a separate experiment when  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  was adsorbed on a bare zeolite) (Fig. 4.30). FTIR spectrum of  $\text{K}[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]$  in KBr exhibits one strong feature at  $2142 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  characterizing  $\nu(\text{CN})$  vibration which is consistent with literature reports [333-335]. The observed split of this band into two features when  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  species adsorbed on zeolite could be indicative of slightly different adsorption sites of  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  in a framework. This result confirms that the  $\text{Rh}/\text{Au}$  mole ratio in a supported species is likely to be 1/1.



**Figure 4.29** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  (A),  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{-Au}(\text{CN})_2/\text{HY30}$  ( $\text{Rh}/\text{Au} = 1/1$  mol) (B) samples.



**Figure 4.30** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{-Au}(\text{CN})_2/\text{HY30}$  ( $\text{Rh}/\text{Au} = 1/2$  mol) sample.

The EXAFS data collected at the Rh K edge and Au LIII edge (Table 4.2) for sample after reaction provide a basis for estimating the structure of surface species formed. Rh K edge EXAFS data revealed Rh-C contribution with an average coordination number of 1.6 at a bonding distance of 1.83 Å suggesting the presence of two carbonyl groups on Rh site after the reaction. We observe slight shortening of Rh-C bonds if compared to the initial HY supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complex where Rh-C was found to be 1.84 Å. The change in a bond length could be a result of increased backbonding from Rh center to π\* antibonding orbitals of CO ligands. In fact, excessive electronic density on Rh site (compared to initial Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species) is evidenced by a redshift of carbonyl bands in FTIR spectrum. Nevertheless, we do recognize that the accuracy in bond length measurement with EXAFS (0.02 Å) does not allow to be certain regarding the influence of electronic effects. We also detected a new contribution originally absent in spectra of the sample before the reaction. The backscatter was identified as nitrogen atom with an average coordination number of 2 at 1.94 Å. Metal-support interface was characterized by Rh-O contribution with coordination number of about 1.3 at a distance of 2.02 Å. This result indicates changes in Rh-zeolite binding mode after reaction suggesting detachment of one of zeolite oxygens upon coordination of [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> groups. The EXAFS data collected at Au LIII edge showed the presence of Au-C and Au-N contributions with average coordination numbers of 2.4 and 2.3 at average distance of 2.12 Å and 3.28 Å, respectively. This result suggests the presence of two -CN groups on Au atom and fully consistent with crystallographic parameters reported for [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (Au-

C at 2.11 Å and Au-N at 3.27 Å) [336]. Interestingly, we did not detect Au-O contributions (neither short nor long oxygens) indicating that Au does not directly interact with oxygens of zeolite framework. We note that no oxygen neighbors in close proximity to Au sites were found in EXAFS spectra of the sample obtained after  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  adsorption on HY30 zeolite without Rh complexes. We infer that this could be due to anionic nature of gold cyanide species which unlike cations (i.e.  $\text{Rh}^+$ ) tend to repel from atoms with high electronegativity i.e. oxygen atoms. Instead, the possible locations of such complexes could be associated with Lewis sites of zeolite such as framework or extraframework Al atoms. Nevertheless, further research is needed to clarify the binding site of such species on a zeolite. We emphasize that no Rh-Au clusters were formed during the reaction as evidenced by the complete absence of the Rh-Au contributions in EXAFS spectra. Thus, our EXAFS data is consistent with FTIR results and strongly suggest that -Au-CN-Rh-NC-Au- type structures are formed.


**Table 4.2** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing surface species formed after the reaction of HY30-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes with [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> species (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol).

Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs.
Rh K	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	1.8	3.6	3.3
	Rh–C	1.6	1.83	0.00121	10.0			
	Rh–N <sup>*</sup>	2	1.94	0.00085	-8.7			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	1.3	2.02	0.00740	3.1			
	Rh–Al	2.25	2.81	0.00587	-4.5			
Au LIII	Au–Au	--	--	--	--	2.5	1.5	1.9
	Au–C <sup>*</sup>	2.4	2.12	0.00128	0.1			
	Au–N <sup>*</sup>	2.3	3.28	0.00051	-0.7			
	Au–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Au–O <sub>s</sub>	--	--	--	--			
	Au–Al	--	--	--	--			
[Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>[336]</sub>	Au–Au	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	Au–C <sup>*</sup>	2	2.11	--	--			
	Au–N <sup>*</sup>	2	3.27	--	--			

Standard deviations in fits: N ± 20%, R ± 1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$  ± 10%,  $\Delta E_0$  ± 10%. N, coordination number; R, distance between absorber and backscatterer atoms;  $\Delta\sigma^2$ , Debye-Waller factor relative to the Debye-Waller factor of the reference compound;  $\Delta E_0$ , inner potential correction accounting for the difference in the inner potential between the sample and the reference compound;  $\varepsilon_v^2$ , goodness of fit; the superscript \* refers to carbonyl ligands. <sup>a</sup> R-space fit ranges 3.5 < k < 15.0 Å<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5 < r < 3.5 Å; 23 allowed fitting parameters.

The question that has to be clarified is whether these structures are formed inside the zeolite cages or they reside on the outer surface of zeolite. In order to reveal the location of -Rh-NC-Au- species, XPS measurements were conducted. Surface concentration of rhodium in Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 samples before and after interaction with [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> species could be estimated by relating the integrated area of Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> band to the area of Si 2p peak (Rh/Si ratio) in correspondent samples. It was found that Rh/Si ratio increased almost five-fold (from 0.031 to 0.157) after HY30 supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes reacted with [Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> species indicating that significant fraction of -Rh-NC-Au- structures are primarily located on the outer surface of zeolite. Although this finding could partly explain changes in Rh-zeolite interface which were observed with EXAFS for gold-modified sample, further investigation is needed to clarify possible binding mode of Rh to the support in -Rh-NC-Au- species. We also would like to point out that since bridging -OH groups are located inside zeolite supercages, their contribution to catalysis in case of zeolite-supported -Rh-NC-Au- species which mostly reside on the outer surface could be small.

Finally, Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was used to probe spatial distribution of Rh and Au atoms in a sample after the reaction (Fig. 4.31). demonstrates results obtained from EDX spectra collected on zeolite slab of about 300 nm long. It is evident that Rh and Au distributions in the slab are almost identical and, in fact, completely overlap suggesting that these elements are chemically bound and located next to each other on the surface.




**Figure 4.31** EDX mapping of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{-Au}(\text{CN})_2/30$  (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) sample.


Catalytic performance of both modified and unmodified samples was evaluated at 607 Torr of  $\text{H}_2$  and 76 Torr of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at ambient conditions. We note that  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  adsorbed on HY30 zeolite surface exhibits no activity in neither ethylene hydrogenation nor ethylene dimerization reactions. The data presented in Fig. 4.32 demonstrate that modified sample maintained activity in ethylene hydrogenation although the induction period is significantly longer than for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$ . However, most importantly, we observed absolutely no activity in ethylene dimerization reaction (Fig. 4.33) indicating that the proposed concept of “selective blocking” of coordination sites on Rh with bulky ligand could be valid. We emphasize that at this point it is difficult to discriminate between possible effects of acidic hydroxyl groups which can not contribute to the dimerization activity if major fraction of  $-\text{Rh-NC-Au-}$  species are on the outer surface and the



effect of -NC-Au- ligands present on Rh sites preventing interaction of ethylene molecules with rhodium ethyl species.



**Figure 4.32** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Ethane formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●) and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>-Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) (■) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.



**Figure 4.33** Rates (Turn Over Frequencies) of Butenes formation with Time on Stream (TOS) for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●) and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>-Au(CN)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (Rh/Au = 1/1 mol) (■) at 608 Torr of H<sub>2</sub> and 76 Torr of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.

## 4.5 CONCLUSIONS

HY zeolite-grafted rhodium carbonyl complexes are active in both ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization at ambient conditions with the latter process being approximately 2.5 times faster at partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub> (76-608 Torr) and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (38 -152 Torr) used. The results presented herein show that support is of crucial importance in defining catalytic functions of supported rhodium carbonyl complexes. More specifically, HY zeolite and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported rhodium species exhibit very different surface chemistry and, as a result, different catalytic properties which are proposed to be due substantially different electron withdrawing properties of these supports. Activity measurements revealed that Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-anchored Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are inactive in ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization. Kinetic data acquired on HY zeolite-supported catalyst indicated that hydrogenation and dimerization processes are essentially coupled and revealed strong dependence of ethane and butenes formation rates on partial pressure of hydrogen (1 and 1.2 order, respectively) suggesting that both reactions involve rhodium hydrides species as intermediates. The role of the support in dimerization mechanism was investigated in experiments where amount of acidic -OH groups available for ethylene adsorption was varied. These results conclusively demonstrated that zeolite surface is involved in C-C bond formation reaction and confirmed the concept reported earlier in literature regarding the bifunctional performance of HY zeolite-supported rhodium species in ethylene dimerization. Finally, we demonstrated that catalytic activity of HY

zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes can be tuned by modifying their coordination environment. It was shown that reaction between supported rhodium dicarbonyls and  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  species leads to the formation of chain type  $\text{Au-CN-Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{-NC-Au-}$  structures which retain activity in ethylene hydrogenation but fully inactive in dimerization reaction.

#### **4.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

XAS data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The assistance of the beam line staff at SSRL is gratefully acknowledged. The EXAFS data were analyzed with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148].

## CHAPTER 5. NO REDUCTION WITH CO USING HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED RHODIUM DICARBONYL COMPLEXES: GIVING AN INSIGHT INTO THE STRUCTURE SENSITIVITY

### 5.1 PREFACE

Activity of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes supported on HY zeolites with Si/Al ratio of 30 and 2.6 in NO reduction with CO reaction was investigated. Facile exchange between CO and NO ligands occurred at ambient conditions but no activity towards formation of  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  was observed. Significant difference in catalytic performance of both samples was observed at elevated temperatures. When temperature was increased to 270 °C, induction period in about 145 minutes was detected for HY2.6 supported sample when NO conversion increased from approximately 9 % to 100 % while HY30 anchored  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species remained inactive. In-situ Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and High resolution transition microscopy (HRTEM) measurements revealed that the transient period is associated with decomposition of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes and formation of rhodium particles. These data unambiguously demonstrated that mononuclear  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  species which are stabilized by HY30 zeolite under the reaction conditions do not promote NO reduction with CO but conglomerate of Rh atoms is needed to initiate the catalytic cycle.

## 5.2 INTRODUCTION

Regulations of motor vehicle exhaust emissions impose strict requirements concerning the abatement of nitrogen oxides ( $\text{NO}_x$ ) and CO [347,348]. Currently, removal of harmful components in an automotive exhaust is achieved by using Three Way Catalyst (TWC) containing precious metals (Rh, Pt, Pd) as active components [349-351]. Rhodium is essential constituent of such catalytic systems due to its remarkable activity in NO reduction with CO or hydrocarbons [350]. CO-NO reaction over rhodium catalysts has been subject to extensive research for more than two decades with a primary emphasis on its mechanistic aspects [352-354]. Although the reaction mechanism is found to be relatively simple occurring via few elementary steps [355-357], design of optimal catalyst is still a challenge due to structure-sensitive nature of CO-NO transformation on rhodium surfaces [358-363].

More specifically, surface-science studies combined with kinetic data analysis from single-crystal surfaces revealed that reaction rates as well as selectivity towards nitrogen formation depend on the density of rhodium atoms in the top-most layer of exposed rhodium surface. (i.e.  $\text{N}_2$  selectivity increase in the order:  $\text{Rh}(111) > \text{Rh}(100) > \text{Rh}(110)$ ) [364,365]. This result is generally explained by steric hindrance of NO dissociation elementary step implying that more open surfaces favor higher N atoms coverages [364]. In reality, however, the picture is more complex since unlike flat single crystals studies under the UHV conditions, surface chemistry of the actual supported catalyst is complicated by such effects as adsorbate-adsorbate lateral interactions, adsorption of species on different

sites (i.e. edges, steps, kinks etc.), and contribution of the support [366,367]. Besides, supported metal nanoparticles exhibit several low index faces (i.e. (111), (100) etc.) with their contribution to total exposed metal surface area being dependent on the crystalline size and since activity of those faces are different, they contribute differently to the measured reaction rate [361]. Nevertheless, it is generally established that for supported catalysts rate of NO-CO reaction increases with rhodium particle size with most reports covering 1-7 nm size range [360,362,363].

The question that remains open and still matter of debate in literature is weather single Rh ion could catalyze NO-CO reaction. Supported single-site catalysts have been an area of interest for researchers for several reasons [368,369,278]. First, such materials offer higher concentration of exposed surface sites than conventional supported catalysts which could be principal for industrial applications. Second, these sites are uniform in composition providing opportunities for more selective catalysis. The first indication that Rh complex is capable to promote nitric oxide reduction with carbon monoxide came from work of Meyer and Eisenberg who showed that  $[\text{RhCl}_2(\text{CO})_2]^-$  complexes in liquid phase are capable to facilitate NO-CO reaction yielding  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  at ambient conditions [370]. Supported analogs of these species were investigated by Iizuka et al who suggested that Y-zeolite immobilized  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  species could be involved in reduction of NO by CO at 135 °C with key intermediate defined as  $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{NO})]^+$  [371]. Cannon et al discussed reactivity of silica-bound  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes towards nitric oxide

and concluded that interaction of these species with NO at 100 °C results in a formation rhodium-oxygen adduct (i.e. supposedly  $\text{Rh}(\text{O})_2$ ) on a surface and evolution of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$  in a gas phase [372]. In contrast, Almusaiter et al. observed no gaseous products other than CO after the reaction between alumina-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and NO at 300 °C but detected  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  and  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NO})]^+$  species on a surface which indicated that simple substitution occurred [373]. They proposed that in order to initiate and sustain NO–CO redox reaction cycle,  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes and metallic Rh crystallites have to coexist. More specifically, the latter species are responsible for CO activation while the former act as NO dissociation sites and supply active oxygen atoms for the reaction. Besides, it was found that composition of surface species (i.e.  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ ,  $\text{Rh}\text{-NO}^+$ ,  $\text{Rh}^0\text{-CO}$  etc.) formed under the reaction conditions is governed by temperature and reactant partial pressures and formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species is favored at low temperatures (below light-off) and high NO/CO ratios [374].

Thus, reviewed results indicate some discrepancy regarding the role and functions of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species in NO-CO reaction. In order to clarify these aspects, we used well-defined site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes immobilized into zeolite Y framework. As it was shown previously, such materials could serve as model catalysts to demonstrate reactivity and catalytic properties of isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes [63,288]. We utilized FTIR, STEM, and XPS techniques as well as activity measurements in order to reveal catalytic functions of single-site rhodium complexes in NO-CO reaction and clarify structure-catalytic properties relationships. The results presented herein demonstrate that single-site

Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/Rh(NO)<sub>2</sub> complexes are not responsible for the observed activity and conglomerate of rhodium atoms (i.e. Rh<sup>0</sup> sites) are required to initiate the reaction.

## 5.3 EXPERIMENTAL

### 5.3.1 REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

Dicarbonylacetylacetonato rhodium (I) Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) (acac = C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (Strem, 98% purity) was used as supplied. n-Pentane (Aldrich, 99% purity) and Tetrahydrofuran (Aldrich, >99.9 %) were refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Na/benzophenone ketyl to remove traces of moisture and deoxygenated by sparging of dry N<sub>2</sub> prior to use. All glassware used in preparation steps was previously dried at 120°C. He, 1 % CO balance He and 1 % NO balance He (Airgas, all UHP grade) were additionally purified to their use by passage through oxygen/moisture traps (Agilent) capable of removing traces of O<sub>2</sub> and water to 15 and 25 ppb, respectively. CBV760 and CBV600 dealuminated HY zeolites (Zeolyst International) with Si/Al atomic ratios of 30 and 2.6, respectively, were calcined in flowing O<sub>2</sub> at 300°C for 3 h and then evacuated at 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr and 300°C for 16 h. For simplicity, these zeolite supports are further denoted as HY30 and HY2.6, respectively. All treated supports were stored and handled in a glovebox (MBraun) filled with dry N<sub>2</sub>. The residual water and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the glovebox were kept below 0.1 ppm.



### 5.3.2 PREPARATION OF SUPPORTED SAMPLES

The syntheses and sample transfers were performed with exclusion of air and moisture on a double-manifold Schlenk line and in a N<sub>2</sub>-filled MBraun glove box. Supported samples were prepared by slurring the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor with a corresponding powder support in n-pentane under N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h at room temperature, followed by overnight evacuation at 25°C to remove the solvent. In each case, the Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac) precursor was added in the amount needed to yield samples containing 1 wt% Rh. The Rh weight loading was verified by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis (Galbraith Laboratories Inc.). All prepared samples were stored and handled in a glovebox filled with N<sub>2</sub> to prevent possible contamination and decomposition of supported species.

### 5.3.3 FTIR SPECTROSCOPY

A Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer equipped with a MCT-B detector cooled by liquid nitrogen was used to collect spectra with a resolution of 2 cm<sup>-1</sup>, averaging 64 scans per spectrum. Each powder sample was pressed into a self-supported wafer with a density of approximately 20 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and mounted in a home-made cell connected to a gas distribution manifold. The cell design allowed for the treatment of samples at different temperatures, while various gases flowed through the cell.

#### 5.3.4 X-RAY ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY (XAS) MEASUREMENTS

XAS spectra were collected at X-ray beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA. The storage ring electron energy was 3 GeV and the ring current was in the range of 345-350 mA.

XAS measurements were used to characterize the surface species formed after the thermal treatments of HY30 zeolite-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes. Prior to these measurements, each powder sample was pressed into a wafer inside a N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox. The sample mass was calculated to give an absorbance of approximately 2.5 at the Rh K absorption edge. After the sample had been pressed, it was loaded into an EXAFS cell [145], sealed under N<sub>2</sub>, and removed from the glovebox. The cell was evacuated at 10<sup>-5</sup> Torr and aligned in the X-ray beam. The XAS data were collected at liquid nitrogen temperature in the transmission mode with a Si(220) double crystal monochromator that was detuned by 30% to minimize effects of higher harmonics in the X-ray beam. Samples were scanned at energies near the Rh K (23220 eV) absorption edge. All spectra were calibrated with respect to Rh foil, the spectrum of which was collected simultaneously.

### 5.3.5 EXTENDED X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE (EXAFS) DATA ANALYSIS

The EXAFS data were analyzed with experimentally determined reference files obtained from EXAFS data characterizing materials of known structure. The Rh–Osupport and Rh–C contributions were analyzed with phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes obtained from EXAFS data for Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (which has only terminal CO ligands), respectively. The phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes representing Rh-Rh shell was calculated from the structural parameters characterizing rhodium foil. The transferability of the phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes for near neighbors in the periodic table has been justified experimentally [146]. The parameters used to extract these files from the EXAFS data are reported elsewhere [147]. The EXAFS data were extracted from the spectra with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148]. The EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from the X-ray absorption spectrum by a cubic spline background subtraction and normalized by dividing the absorption intensity by the height of the absorption edge. The final normalized EXAFS function for each sample was obtained from an average of six scans. The parameters characterizing both low-Z (O, C) and high-Z (Rh) contributions were determined by multiple-shell fitting with a maximum of 16 free parameters in r space (where r is the distance from the absorbing atom, Rh or Au) and in k (wave vector) space over the ranges of  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$  with application of  $k^1$  and  $k^3$  weighting of the Fourier transform. The statistically justified number of free parameters (n),

estimated from the Nyquist theorem [149,150],  $n = (2\Delta k\Delta r/\pi) + 1$ , where  $\Delta k$  and  $\Delta r$  are the  $k$  and  $r$  ranges used to fit the data, was approximately 23. The fit was optimized by use of a difference file technique [151,152], with phase- and amplitude-corrected Fourier transforms. Standard deviations were calculated with the XDAP software, as described elsewhere [153]. Systematic errors are not included in the calculation of the standard deviations. The values of the goodness of fit ( $\epsilon_v^2$ ) were calculated with the XDAP software as outlined in the Reports on Standards and Criteria in XAFS Spectroscopy [154]. The variances in both the imaginary and absolute parts were used to determine the fit quality [155].

### 5.3.6 X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY MEASUREMENTS

XPS measurements were conducted using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD XPS system equipped with a monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  source. The system energy scale was calibrated using an Ag foil with the Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> binding energy set at 368.21  $\pm$  0.025 eV for the monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  source operated at 15 keV and 120 W. The pass energy was fixed at 40 eV for the detailed scans. A charge neutralizer was used to compensate for the surface charging during the photoemission. The powder samples (approximately 5 mg) were loaded into the air-tight cell in the N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox and transferred without air exposure into the UHV chamber for the XPS analysis. The C 1s signal with a binding energy of 285.0 eV was used as an internal reference for calibration of the Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Rh 3d<sub>3/2</sub> binding energy values. All binding energies reported in this work were measured with a

precision of  $\pm 0.1$  eV. XPS data were analyzed by nonlinear curve fitting using the XPSPEAK 4.1 software. In all cases, a linear-type background was subtracted from the spectra and a curve fit was performed using the minimum number of G/L-type peaks that provides a good fit. In each case the fitting routine was completed when the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) value was 0.98 or higher.

## 5.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


### 5.4.1 INTERACTION OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES WITH NO

Reaction of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  with HY zeolites results in site-isolated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes anchored to zeolite framework. In our earlier communication we reported that, in fact, two types of binding sites for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species exist in dealuminated Y zeolites with relative fraction of these sites being function of Al content [303]. More specifically, HY30-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are characterized by FTIR bands at 2117, 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2113, 2048  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  with the relative fraction of these species of 83 % and 17 %, respectively. As zeolite Si/Al ratio decreases to 2.6, the fraction of Type I sites drops to 50 % with positions of correspondent features being the same while features characterizing Type II species appear at slightly lower wavenumbers: 2110 and 2043  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .


Exposure of HY30-supported sample to a pulse of 1 % NO in helium for 30 sec resulted in immediate disappearance of carbonyl bands and formation of new features at 1854  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1780  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assigned to symmetric and asymmetric

vibrations of nitrosyl ligands in  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  species (conversion of rhodium carbonyl to rhodium nitrosyl complexes is accompanied by the change of a zeolite color from pale white to purple) (Fig. 5.1). This result is consistent with literature reports suggesting similar FTIR signature for rhodium dinitrosyl species [375]. Analysis of FTIR spectrum in  $\nu(\text{NO})$  region did not allow to distinguish two types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes with substantial level of confidence.

Similarly, interaction of HY2.6-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes with 1 % NO in helium yields two intense bands in the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  region centered at  $1857 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1781 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Deconvolution of this spectrum reveals two weak features at  $1849 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1762 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  suggesting that NO/CO substitution occurred on both types of surface sites (Fig. 5.2). We note that reactivity of two types of sites towards reaction with NO is different, more specifically, if 1 % NO exposure is performed in 10 sec pulses mode, Type I  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes react first (in other words, these sites could be almost selectively “titrated” with NO leaving only Type II  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on the surface). Mass spec analysis of the effluent gas during NO exposure for both samples (HY30 and HY2.6-supported) revealed no other products (i.e.  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$ ) than CO suggesting that no reaction between NO and CO takes place at room temperature. We also did not observe formation of any mixed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_x(\text{NO})_y$  type complexes which were previously indicated as potential active sites for NO-CO reaction. Finally, it is remarkable that CO/NO substitution on HY zeolite-supported Rh complexes is fully reversible and even traces of CO introduced into the cell (5 Torr) result in a immediate reappearance of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes.



**Figure 5.1** FTIR spectrum in the  $\nu_{\text{NO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample after exposure of 1 %  $\text{NO}/\text{He}$  for 1 minute at ambient conditions.




**Figure 5.2** FTIR spectrum in the  $\nu_{\text{NO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample after exposure of 1 %  $\text{NO}/\text{He}$ .

#### 5.4.2 THERMAL STABILITY OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$ COMPLEXES

Since HY zeolite-supported complexes do not exhibit activity in NO-CO reaction at ambient conditions, experiments at elevated temperatures were conducted. However, prior to performing such experiments one has to define range of temperatures when structural integrity of initial  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes is preserved. Thermal stability of HY30 and HY2.6-supported rhodium dicarbonyls in helium was investigated at 100 - 400 °C.

IR spectra in the CO region recorded during the thermal treatments of HY30-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  sample in flowing He are presented in Fig. 5.3. This result shows that up to 200 °C, neither intensity nor integrated area of  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands undergo any detectable changes suggesting that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes remain fully intact. However, we note that close analysis of FTIR spectrum in  $\nu(\text{CO})$  region for sample treated at 100 °C in He indicated that Type II species diminished at this temperature. At 300 °C  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands lose about 30 % of their intensity indicating that decarbonylation occurred to certain extent and, finally, at 400 °C carbonyl ligands were completely removed.






**Figure 5.3** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample after treatment in He for 1 h at different temperatures.

EXAFS data collected at Rh K-edge in the same temperature range is fully consistent with FTIR data and support conclusions stated above (Table 5.1). The results characterizing  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY30}$  sample treated in He at 100 °C and 200 °C revealed no Rh–Rh contributions pointing out to the mononuclear character of Rh surface species. The presence of two carbonyl ligands per each Rh atom was designated by Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions with average coordination numbers of approximately 2 at average bond distances of 1.83 (200 °C) and 2.97 Å (200 °C), respectively. Metal-supported interface was characterized by the presence of Rh–O<sub>s</sub> contributions at an average bond distance of approximately 2.14 Å (100 °C) and 2.13 (200 °C) with coordination

number nearly 2. When temperature was further raised to 300 °C, some changes in structural parameters of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> surface complexes were observed. Although Rh-Rh contribution was not detected suggesting that rhodium species remain mononuclear, Rh-C and Rh-O\* coordination numbers dropped to 0.5 and 0.6 (at bond distances 1.82 Å and 3.02 Å, respectively) indicating that partial decarbonylation of supported complexes occurred. The Rh-support interactions were not altered significantly and were designated by Rh-O<sub>s</sub> contributions with an average coordination number of 1.7 at a bond distance of approximately 2.17 Å. Finally, at 400 °C complete destruction of rhodium surface complexes occurred as evidenced by the appearance of Rh-Rh contribution with average coordination of 5.3 at a bond distance of about 2.65 Å.

FTIR data characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample treated in He at elevated temperatures show that thermal stability of Type I and Type II species on this support is significantly different (Fig. 5.4). More specifically, 47 % of Type II complexes are removed already at 100 °C as evidenced by the reduced intensity of correspondent ν(CO) bands. Further increase of a temperature to 200 °C resulted in decarbonylation of 80 % of Type II species while intensity of ν(CO) bands characterizing Type I complexes was essentially unchanged. Rapid decarbonylation of both types of species was observed at 300 °C when only approximately 20 % of Type I species remained on a surface and this process was completed at 400 °C.



**Figure 5.4** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  region of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample after treatment in He for 1 h at different temperatures.

EXAFS data obtained on HY2.6-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes are complementary to FTIR results (Table. 5.2). Although some fraction of Type II species was thermally decomposed at 100 °C, rhodium species are confirmed to remain mononuclear as evidenced by the absence of Rh–Rh contribution.  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  moiety is retained as indicated by Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions with average coordination numbers 1.9 and 2.2 at bonding distances of 1.84 Å and 3 Å, respectively. The complex is interacting with on average two oxygens of the support as designated by Rh–O<sub>s</sub> contribution with coordination number 2.1 at 2.16 Å. The structural parameters of HY2.6-supported complexes did not change

significantly when temperature was further raised to 200 °C. Two carbonyl ligands were still present on Rh sites (Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions were characterized by coordination numbers of 1.9 and 2.2 at bonding distances of 1.84 Å and 3 Å, respectively) and Rh atoms in each complex were bonded to two support oxygen atoms as shown by the Rh–O<sub>s</sub> contribution with coordination number being nearly 2. This result is consistent with FTIR data which indicate that Type I rhodium dicarbonyls constitute majority of surface species at this temperature. In contrast to the HY30-supported sample, rhodium species on HY2.6 zeolite did not retain mononuclear structure at 300 °C, but aggregated into relatively small rhodium clusters as evidenced by Rh–Rh contribution with coordination number approximately 5.1. The agglomeration processes proceeded further at 400 °C when Rh–Rh contribution was found to be on average 8.8.

Thus, FTIR and EXAFS results suggest that decarbonylation of supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species begin in a relatively narrow temperature window from 200 to 300 °C. These data also indicate that Al content of a zeolite does affect the thermal stability of supported complexes and Al rich support clearly favor decomposition and subsequent aggregation of rhodium species.

**Table 5.1** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 catalyst treated in He for 1 h at different temperatures.

Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs.
100 °C	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	3.6	3.4	5
	Rh–C*	2.1	1.83	0.00256	10			
	Rh–O*	2	2.99	0.00164	10			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.4	2.14	0.00299	-1.8			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.1	2.77	-0.0055	-7.3			
200 °C	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	3.4	2.4	3.5
	Rh–C*	2.1	1.83	0.00382	10			
	Rh–O*	1.9	2.97	0.00052	10			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.3	2.13	0.00122	-0.6			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.1	2.76	-0.00568	-9.1			
300 °C	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	4.4	1.9	2.9
	Rh–C*	0.5	1.82	-0.00318	10			
	Rh–O*	0.6	3.02	-0.00073	0.72			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	1.8	2.17	-0.00173	-5.2			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.4	2.79	-0.00396	-10			
400 °C	Rh–Rh	5.3	2.65	0.00752	7.7			
	Rh–C*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh–O*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	--	--	--	--			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	--	--	--	--			

Standard deviations in fits: N  $\pm$  20%, R  $\pm$  1%,  $\Delta\sigma^2$   $\pm$  10%,  $\Delta E_0$   $\pm$  10%. N, coordination number; R, distance between absorber and backscatterer atoms;  $\Delta\sigma^2$ , Debye-Waller factor relative to the Debye-Waller factor of the reference compound;  $\Delta E_0$ , inner potential correction accounting for the difference in the inner potential between the sample and the reference compound;  $\varepsilon_v^2$ , goodness

of fit; the superscript \* refers to carbonyl ligands. <sup>a</sup> R-space fit ranges  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$ ; 23 allowed fitting parameters.

**Table 5.2** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 catalyst treated in He for 1 h at different temperatures.

Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs.
100 °C	Rh-Rh	--	--	--	--	8	2.1	3.1
	Rh-C*	1.9	1.84	0.0015	10.0			
	Rh-O*	2.2	3.00	0.00261	10.0			
	Rh-O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh-O <sub>s</sub>	2.1	2.16	0.00192	-3.0			
	Rh-O <sub>l</sub>	2.4	2.80	-0.00384	10.0			
200 °C	Rh-Rh	--	--	--	--	7.1	1.8	2.6
	Rh-C*	1.8	1.84	0.00335	10.0			
	Rh-O*	2.2	2.99	0.00397	9.2			
	Rh-O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh-O <sub>s</sub>	2.1	2.16	0.00142	-3.1			
	Rh-O <sub>l</sub>	2.3	2.81	-0.00262	10.0			
300 °C	Rh-Rh	5.1	2.68	0.00207	5.1		13.4	30.3
	Rh-C*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh-O <sub>s</sub>	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O <sub>l</sub>	--	--	--	--			
400 °C	Rh-Rh	8.8	2.68	0.00273	6.4		6.6	9.6
	Rh-C*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O*	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh-O <sub>s</sub>	--	--	--	--			
	Rh-O <sub>l</sub>	--	--	--	--			


Standard deviations in fits:  $N \pm 20\%$ ,  $R \pm 1\%$ ,  $\Delta\sigma^2 \pm 10\%$ ,  $\Delta E_0 \pm 10\%$ .  $N$ , coordination number;  $R$ , distance between absorber and backscatterer atoms;

$\Delta\sigma^2$ , Debye-Waller factor relative to the Debye-Waller factor of the reference compound;  $\Delta E_0$ , inner potential correction accounting for the difference in the inner potential between the sample and the reference compound;  $\varepsilon_v^2$ , goodness of fit; the superscript \* refers to carbonyl ligands. <sup>a</sup> *R*-space fit ranges  $3.5 < k < 15.0 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 < r < 3.5 \text{ \AA}$ ; 23 allowed fitting parameters.

#### 5.4.3 ACTIVITY OF HY ZEOLITE-SUPPORTED Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> COMPLEXES IN NO-CO REACTION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES


Since zeolite-supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> species do not exhibit activity in NO-CO reaction at ambient conditions, catalysts performance was evaluated at elevated temperatures. In order to reveal the temperature when catalysts start to exhibit activity, we conducted experiments in tubular plug flow reactor with 1000 ppm NO and 1000 ppm CO feed when the temperature was ramped with 10-15 °C increment while products were analyzed on-line with a GC. We found that activities of HY30 and HY2.6-supported samples are very different. More specifically, the latter sample starts to show activity at 270 °C while former is virtually inactive at this temperature. In order to compare both samples in a reaction while avoiding complications associated with possible structural changes of supported complexes during the heating in NO/CO mixture, we performed experiments when both samples were preheated to 270 °C in He. Catalytic results presented in Figs. 5.5,5.6 demonstrate that HY2.6-supported catalyst exhibit induction period, more specifically, NO conversion increased from about 9 % to 100 % in 145 minutes. CO conversion started at about 4.9 % and leveled-off

at roughly 80 % during the same time period. Finally, selectivity towards  $N_2$  formation did not change substantially with TOS and was found at about 55 % throughout the experiment. In contrast, HY30-supported sample has no activity in the reaction at this temperature. When answering the question what is the primary reason for such different performance of both catalysts, one has to confirm that initial state of rhodium species (prior to reaction) on both supports is the same.



**Figure 5.5** NO conversion with time for  $Rh(CO)_2/HY30$  (●) and  $Rh(CO)_2/HY2.6$  (■) samples during NO/CO reaction at 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO, 270 °C.





**Figure 5.6** CO conversion with time for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (●) and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (■) samples during NO/CO reaction at 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO, 270 °C.

EXAFS and FTIR data reported above indicate that substantial decarbonylation occurs in He at 300 °C while at 200 °C rhodium dicarbonyl species are fully intact. In order to determine whether supported Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes retain structural integrity at 270 °C (before reaction starts), EXAFS measurements were performed. EXAFS data recorded at Rh K edge for Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample which was heated in He to 270 °C and kept for 1 hour at this temperature showed that rhodium dicarbonyl species are intact and, in contrast to sample treated at 300 °C, no evidence of partial decarbonylation was revealed (Table 5.3, A). More specifically, Rh–C and Rh–O\* contributions were characterized by coordination numbers of 1.9 and 1.7 at bonding distances of 1.83 Å and 2.97 Å, respectively. Rh atom in each complex was still bound to roughly two oxygens of support (Rh–O<sub>s</sub> coordination number is 2.2) suggesting that metal-support interface is also unaltered. We note that FTIR data not only

confirm the presence rhodium dicarbonyls species at 270 °C on the surface of the support but also suggest that their concentration did not change upon heating. (based on the integrated intensities of the correspondent  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands)

EXAFS measurements conducted on  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample treated in similar way showed no Rh-Rh contribution indicating that rhodium aggregation did not occur (or, at least, did not occur to measurable extent) (Table 5.3, B). Rh-C and Rh-O\* contributions were identified with coordination numbers of 1.6 and 1.5, respectively pointing out to the presence of on average 2 carbonyl groups per one Rh atom. Metal-support interactions were also found essentially unchanged. It is important to emphasize that Type II species which initially account for almost 50 % of rhodium dicarbonyls on HY2.6 surface fully disappear at 270 °C as indicated by FTIR spectrum. Besides, by comparing integrated intensities of Type I complexes in a sample at ambient temperature and after heating to 270 °C, one can observe 44 % increase in the latter case (at T=270 °C). Although the exact fate of rhodium carbonyls associated with Type II binding sites is not clear, we could infer based on this result that at least some fraction of them could be converted into Type I complexes at elevated temperatures.

**Table 5.3** EXAFS structural parameters characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (A) Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (B) catalyst treated in He at 270 °C for 1 h.

(A)


Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs
270 °C	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	2.7	2.4	3.5
	Rh–C*	1.9	1.84	0.00312	10			
	Rh–O*	1.7	2.97	0.00042	9.5			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.3	2.13	0.00029	-0.4			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.1	2.76	-0.00505	-8.9			

(B)

Support	Shell	N	R (Å)	$\Delta\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	$\varepsilon_v^2$	$k^1$ -variances (%)	
							Im.	Abs
270 °C	Rh–Rh	--	--	--	--	10.6	3.3	4.9
	Rh–C*	1.6	1.83	0.00087	6.9			
	Rh–O*	2.2	2.97	0.004	7.6			
	Rh–O <sub>support</sub>							
	Rh–O <sub>s</sub>	2.1	2.16	0.00157	-6.9			
	Rh–O <sub>l</sub>	2.2	2.79	-0.00246	-10			

Thus, rhodium species on both HY30 and HY2.6 supports remain mononuclear at the moment before NO and CO reagents are introduced into the reactor. In order to further understand the nature of different catalytic properties of these materials, we performed in-situ FTIR measurements under the reaction conditions.


FTIR spectra in the  $\nu(\text{CO})$  and  $\nu(\text{NO})$  region of HY30-supported sample before and right after introduction of 1000 ppm of NO and 1000 ppm of CO (balance He) mixture into the IR cell is presented in Fig. 5.7 Exposure to reactants immediately resulted in a decrease of  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands intensities and appearance of a new doublet at  $1855\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1780\text{ cm}^{-1}$  indicating formation of  $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes on the surface. Based on the integrated intensities of carbonyl features (before and after sample contact with reactants) we infer that the surface composition of rhodium dicarbonyls and dinitrosyls is correspondent to gas phase ratio of CO/NO concentrations and approximately constitute 50/50 % ratio. Similar picture in the first moments of the reaction was observed for HY2.6-supported sample. Both rhodium dicarbonyls and dinitrosyls were found to coexist on the surface. We emphasize that no bands were detected which could be indicative of mixed  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_x(\text{NO})_y$  complexes on HY30 and HY2.6.




**Figure 5.7** FTIR spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{NO}}$  regions of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{HY2.6}$  sample in He (before reaction) and when 1000 ppm NO 1000 ppm CO was introduced into the cell (reaction started) at 270 °C.

The striking difference in the surface chemistry between HY30 and HY2.6-supported samples could be revealed if difference spectra are plotted in time. More specifically, the spectrum collected right after reactants were introduced into the cell was subtracted from each consecutive spectrum collected every 5 minutes. Results presented in Figs. 5.8, 5.9 show spectacular difference in reactivities of HY30 and HY2.6-supported rhodium species. It is evident that bands at 2117  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 2053  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1855  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1780  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , designating

Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> and Rh(NO)<sub>2</sub> complexes, respectively, are rapidly losing intensity with time in case of HY2.6-supported catalyst but remain unchanged in case of HY30-supported sample. Interestingly, disappearance of bands characterizing dicarbonyl and dinitrosyl species on the surface of HY2.6 zeolite was not accompanied by the evolution of any new features suggesting that these complexes are not converted into new intermediates but most likely decompose. Considering the literature reports which suggest that at elevated temperature CO could act as reducing agent [376-378], we propose that the major reason of decomposition of HY2.6-supported complexes could be their aggregation into rhodium particles. In order to understand whether rhodium aggregation is responsible for the induction period in the activity of the catalyst and observed changes in FTIR spectra, used samples (after the reaction) were analyzed with Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy.




**Figure 5.8** FTIR difference spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{NO}}$  regions of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 sample collected during the reaction 200 min in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C.




**Figure 5.9** FTIR difference spectra in the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{NO}}$  regions of Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample collected during the reaction 200 min in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C.

Representative HRTEM images presented in Fig. 5.10 demonstrate that no particles of measurable size (resolution 1 Å) were formed on the surface of used HY30-supported sample while multiple particles were detected on the surface of HY2.6 zeolite after the reaction. The histogram of particle sizes distribution indicates that most of particles are in the range of 6 – 8 nm with the mean particle size approximately 7.2 Å. (Fig. 5.11) These results are consistent with FTIR data and suggest that decomposition of rhodium dicarbonyl and dinatrosyl complexes under the reaction conditions in case of HY2.6-supported catalyst is associated with rhodium aggregation. Therefore, we infer that the primary reason for such different catalytic activity of HY30 and HY2.6 supported samples is different structure of active sites on these supports. Reported data clearly

suggest that Al content in HY zeolite framework has crucial influence on stability of supported rhodium species.



**Figure 5.10** HRTEM images of used Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY30 (A) and Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 (B) samples (after reaction in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min).



**Figure 5.11** Particle size distribution histogram (175 particles counted) obtained from HRTEM measurements of used Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample (after reaction in 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min).



Highly dealuminated zeolite (HY30) stabilizes rhodium complexes in mononuclear state even under reaction conditions at 270 °C while Al rich zeolite clearly promotes rhodium agglomeration. One of the reasons that could explain these effects is inhomogeneous distribution of rhodium species in HY zeolite with high framework Al content. It is recognized that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes find binding sites next to framework Al atoms due to excessive electronegativity of adjacent oxygens [63]. Increasing of amount of such Al sites ultimately leads to situation when instead of well spatially isolated binding sites for rhodium complexes, we have arrays of Al atoms which could be relatively close to each other reducing diffusion limitations for rhodium atoms under the reaction conditions. Alternatively, we have to acknowledge that HY2.6 zeolite initially has two types of binding sites for  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes where the second type is supposedly being associated with extraframework Al species [303]. Although we showed that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species related to these sites possess of significantly different thermal stability and suggested that conversion of type II into type I species could occur upon heating, we can not exclude possibility that at least fraction of rhodium species experienced complete decarbonylation (during heating in He to 270 °C) and remained “naked” on a surface before reaction was started. Such species are not stabilized by CO ligands and more likely to undergo agglomeration functioning as “seeds” for growing rhodium particles in the first moments of reaction.

One of the most obvious conclusions following our results is that single-site  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes do not promote NO reduction with CO. This

inference contradict the work of Izuka et al who suggested that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  could be involved in catalytic cycle [372]. However, we note that the initial state of active sites (i.e., rhodium carbonyls) in our experiments and in experiments reported by Izuka et al is different. More specifically, this group reported two pairs of  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands characterizing  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes on the catalyst surface prior to the reaction (i.e.,  $2115\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $2050\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2110\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $2030\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) which could be indicative of rhodium species on inner and outer surface of zeolite while in our work it was shown that all Rh species are located in inner cavities. Homogeneous composition of supported rhodium carbonyls is important when investigating the active site structure since different reactivity and different surface chemistry could be reasonably expected on outer and inner zeolite surface.

Additionally, the sample was subject to high temperature pretreatment ( $350\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 h in vacuum) which do not exclude formation of small rhodium aggregates. Thus, the observed catalytic activity could be associated with NO–CO redox reaction cycle when  $\text{Rh}^0$  and  $\text{Rh}^{\delta+}$  species coexist on the surface. This scenario seems to be the most probable in our case as well. XPS measurements carried out on a used HY2.6 supported sample revealed both oxidized and reduced Rh species on the surface (Table 5.4). Although the nature of oxidized Rh species is not clear, it is evident that metallic rhodium sites are needed to promote NO/CO reaction. It is generally accepted that dissociation or recombination of NO molecules on the metallic surface are major pathways leading to adsorbed O atoms which further interact with adsorbed CO molecules

yielding CO<sub>2</sub> [356] It is important to point out that CO molecules could be activated on rhodium particles where they present as linearly bound CO or on single rhodium sites where gem-dicarbonyl binding mode is preferential. In the latter case, however, Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes have to be in a close proximity to rhodium agglomerates where NO dissociation/recombination takes place. Thus, our data do not allow to differentiate between different mechanistic routes of NO/CO reaction, but clearly show that HY zeolite-supported single-site rhodium complexes do not facilitate reaction due to inability of these sites to dissociate/recombine NO molecules.

**Table 5.4** XPS data characterizing Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>/HY2.6 sample before (fresh) and after (used) in CO/NO reaction (1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm CO at 270 °C for 200 min).

Sample	Rh 3d <sub>5/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV	Rh 3d <sub>3/2</sub> , eV	FWHM, eV
fresh	308.5	2.7	313.2	2.7
used	308.6	2.3	313.3	2.3
	307	1.4	311.7	1.4

## 5.5 CONCLUSIONS

Activity of HY30 (Si/Al =30) and HY2.6 (Si/Al=2.6) zeolite-supported single-site Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub> complexes in NO reduction with CO was investigated. At ambient conditions no reaction was observed between NO and CO but, instead, facile CO/NO ligands exchange occurred as evidenced by Fourier transform infrared

spectroscopic (FTIR) and mass spectrometric measurements. When temperature was raised to 270 °C, HY2.6 supported sample exhibited the transient period of about 145 minutes when NO conversion increased from approximately 9 % to 100 % while HY30 supported Rh species remained non-active at these conditions. In-situ FTIR measurements showed that the induction period in case of HY2.6 supported sample is associated with decomposition of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes while these species were found fully intact on the surface of HY30 based sample under the reaction conditions. High resolution transition microscopy (HRTEM) experiments performed on used samples showed that rhodium particles were formed on the surface of HY2.6 zeolite (mean particle size 7.3 nm) and no particles were detected in case of HY30 support. These results clearly demonstrated that mononuclear single-site zeolite-anchored  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2/\text{Rh}(\text{NO})_2$  complexes do not facilitate NO reduction with CO and group of rhodium atoms (i.e., cluster, particle) is required to initiate and sustain NO/CO catalytic transformations. It is proposed that the major reason for the observed effects is inability of mononuclear rhodium species to dissociate/recombine NO molecules.

## 5.6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Clemson Electron Microscopy Laboratory and Dr. Haijun Qian for the help with High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) measurements. XAS data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, a national user facility operated by

Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The assistance of the beam line staff at SSRL is gratefully acknowledged. The EXAFS data were analyzed with the XDAP software developed by XAFS Services International [148].

## CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSIONS

Development of new efficient heterogeneous alternatives to highly active homogeneous catalysts has been an area of extensive research in both academic and industrial environments. Remarkable progress achieved in this direction indicated that supported single-site metal complexes are very promising materials with properties similar to their homogeneous counterparts. Among other complexes, rhodium carbonyls are especially important due to versatility of their catalytic implications and exceptional activity in a liquid phase. Although numerous attempts have been made to synthesize analogs of such species on solid supports, their surface chemistry remains unclear. We used HY zeolite-supported mononuclear rhodium dicarbonyl complexes and attempted to gain fundamental molecular level understanding of reactivity of their ligands, pathways of ligands catalytic transformations and effects of the support.

In Chapter 2, synthesis and characterization of dealuminated Y zeolite-supported well-defined rhodium monocarbonyl hydride species was performed. It was demonstrated that supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes are formed upon exposure of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species to  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  at ambient conditions. These  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes can be selectively converted into mononuclear surface  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  species in  $\text{H}_2$  flow. Structural characterization revealed that

$\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes are bound to two oxygen atoms of the zeolite framework and assume pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal or pseudo-octahedral geometry. Hydride ligands were found very reactive and can be displaced by CO or  $\text{N}_2$  resulting in well-defined  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  and  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{N}_2)$  complexes. Additionally, it was shown that  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{H})_x$  complexes can function as active sites for ethylene hydrogenation reaction. More specifically,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  reacts with hydride ligands to form ethane and unsaturated  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})$  species which interact with gas-phase  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  yielding stable  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$  complexes.

In Chapter 3, effect of the support on reactivity of anchored  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  was examined. The results indicated that two different types of grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes can be obtained when dealuminated HY zeolites with various Si/Al ratios are used as supports. The Al content in a framework was found to have pronounced influence on the relative fractions of these species on the surface. Experiments confirmed that neither water present in zeolites nor partially reacted precursor  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{acac})$  complexes are responsible for the formation of different types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species. It was suggested that the existence of two types of zeolite-grafted  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes could be associated with the different nature of binding sites in dealuminated faujasites (i.e., nonframework Al species and a different distribution of Al in the zeolite framework). Although Rh atoms in both types of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species have similar coordination environment, they were shown to possess slightly different electronic properties. As a result, these complexes exhibit different reactivities in a simple CO/ $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  probe reaction. Alternatively, it was revealed that chemical properties of  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species can be

altered when they coordinate strong electron donor ligands such as  $\text{NH}_3$ . These results clearly demonstrated that support acts as a ligand influencing electronic properties of Rh atoms in mononuclear complexes and, consequently, their reactivities.

In Chapter 4, catalytic activity of supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes in ethylene hydrogenation and ethylene dimerization reactions was investigated. The results confirmed the crucial role of the support in catalysis. More specifically, it was found that HY zeolite-grafted rhodium carbonyl complexes are active in both ethylene hydrogenation and dimerization at ambient conditions while  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  supported species exhibits no activity. Kinetic data complimented by FTIR spectroscopy results revealed that when HY zeolite is used as a support hydrogenation and dimerization processes are coupled and probably involve same surface species as intermediates. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that acidic hydroxyl groups present on the zeolite surface cooperate with grafted rhodium species in C-C bond formation mechanism confirming the bifunctional properties of the catalyst. Finally, by taking advantage of the molecular nature of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes, we showed that selectivity of the catalyst in ethylene hydrogenation/dimerization reactions can be tuned by blocking available coordination sites on Rh. This was achieved by performing surface reaction between rhodium dicarbonyls and  $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$  species which resulted in the formation of chain type  $\text{Au-CN-Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{-NC-Au-}$  structures. The modified catalyst retained activity in ethylene hydrogenation but was found fully inactive in dimerization reaction.



In Chapter 5, catalytic properties of HY zeolite-supported  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  complexes in NO-CO reaction were explored. At room temperature CO/NO ligands exchange occurred and no activity towards formation of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ , or  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was observed. When temperature was raised to 270 °C (rhodium carbonyls are still mononuclear at this temperature), catalytic properties were found to be highly affected by the Al content in the HY zeolite framework. Sample prepared by anchoring  $\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2$  species on Al rich zeolite ( $\text{Si}/\text{Al} = 2.6$ ) showed a transient period in activity in about 145 minutes when NO conversion increased from approximately 9 % to 100 % while dealuminated zeolite-supported rhodium species were fully inactive. *In situ* FTIR experiments and HRTEM measurements of used materials indicated that the observed transient period is associated with Rh aggregation and the actual active site for NO/CO reaction involves a metallic surface. Thus, single-site Rh complexes which are stabilized on dealuminated HY zeolite even at elevated temperatures were found unable to promote NO/CO reaction confirming the structure-sensitive character of NO/CO transformation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.I. Wijngaarden, K.R. Westerterp, A. Kronberg, "Industrial Catalysis: Optimizing Catalysts and Processes", WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, 1998.
- [2] C.H. Bartholomew, R.J. Farrauto, "Fundamentals of Industrial Catalytic Processes", WILEY-INTERSCIENCE, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2006.
- [3] D.J. Adams, P.J. Dyson and S.J. Tavener, Chemistry in Alternative Reaction Media, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 2004.
- [4] Shang-Tian Yang, Hesham El Enshasy, Nuttha Thongchul, Bioprocessing Technologies in Biorefinery for Sustainable Production of Fuels, Chemicals, and Polymers, John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, 2013
- [5] H. Sugiyama, F. Uemura, N. Yoneda, T. Minami, T. Maejima, K. Hamato, Japan Patent 235250 (1997).
- [6] D.J. Cole-Hamilton, Science 299 (2003) 1702.
- [7] J.M. Fraile, J.I. Garcia, C.I. Herrerias, J.A.M. and E. Pires, Chemical Society Reviews 2009 (38) 695.
- [8] A. Choplin, F. Quignard, Coordination Chemistry Reviews 178–180 (1998) 1679.
- [9] G.A. Ozin, C. Gil, Chemical Reviews 89 (1989) 1749.

- [10] S.M.C. Neiva, J.A.V. Santos, J.C. Moreira, Y. Gushikem, H. Vargas, D.W. Franco, *Langmuir* 9 (1993) 2982.
- [11] J.C. Hicks, B.A. Mullis, C.W. Jones, *Journal of American Chemical Society* 129 (2007) 8426.
- [12] J.F. Diaz, K.J. Balkus, *Journal of Chemical Materials* 9 (1997) 61.
- [13] P. Sutra, D. Brunel, *Chemical Communications* (1996) 2485.
- [14] P. Hernan, C. del Pino, E. Ruiz-Hitzky, *Chemical Materials* 4 (1992) 49.
- [15] A.M. Liu, K. Hidajat, S. Kawi, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 168 (2001) 303.
- [16] H. Zhao, J. Peng, M. Cai, *Catalysis Letters* 142 (2012) 138.
- [17] Y. I. Yermakov, B. N. Kuznetsov, V. A. Zakharov, "Catalysis by Supported Complexes", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [18] H. C. Foley, S. J. DeCanio, K. D. Tau, K. J. Chao, J. H. Onuferko, Cecil Dybowski, B. C. Gates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 105 (1983) 3074.
- [19] P. Dufour, C. Houtman, C.C. Santini, C. Ndez, J.M. Basset, L.Y. Hsuf, S.G. Shore, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 114 (1992) 4248.
- [20] M.D. Ward, J.J. Schwartz, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 11 (1981) 397.
- [21] M.D. Ward, J.J. Schwartz, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 103 (1981) 5253.

- [22] S.L. Scott, M. Szpakowicz, A. Mills, C.C. Santini, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 120 (1998) 1883.
- [23] W.A. Herrmann, A.W. Stumpt, T. Priermeier, S. Bogdanovic, V. Dufaud, J.-M. Basset, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 35 (1997) 2803.
- [24] F. Blanc, M. Chabanas, C. Coperet, B. Fenet, E. Herdweck, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 690 (2005) 5014.
- [25] Q. Yang, C. Coperet, C. Li, J.-M. Basset, *New Journal of Chemistry* 27 (2003) 319.
- [26] M. Chabanas, C. Coperet, J.-M. Basset, *Chemistry - A European Journal* 9 (2003) 971.
- [27] X. Solans-Monfort, E. Clot, C. Coperet, O. Eisenstein, *Organometallics* 24 (2005) 1586.
- [28] X. Solans-Monfort, J.-S. Filhol, C. Coperet, O. Eisenstein, *New Journal of Chemistry* 30 (2006) 842.
- [29] E. Le Roux, M. Taoufik, M. Chabanas, D. Alcor, A. Baudouin, C. Coperet, J. Thivolle - Cazat, J.-M. Basset, A. Lesage, S. Hediger, L. Emsley, *Organometallics* 24 (2005) 4274.
- [30] E. Le Roux, M. Taoufik, C. Coperet, A. de Mallmann, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, B.M. Maunders, G.J. Sunley, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 44 (2005) 6755.

- [31] E. Le Roux, M. Taoufik, A. Baudouin, C. Coperet, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, B.M. Maunders, G.J. Sunley, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* 349 (2007) 231.
- [32] L. Lefort, M. Chabanas, O. Maury, D. Meunier, C. Coperet, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 593 - 594 (2000) 96.
- [33] V. Dufaud, G.P. Niccolai, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 117 (1995) 4288.
- [34] V. Vidal, A. Theolier, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, J. Corker, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 118 (1996) 4595.
- [35] C. Rosier, G.P. Niccolai, J.-M. Basset, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 119 (1997) 12408.
- [36] F. Bini, C. Rosier, R.P. Saint-Arroman, E. Neumann, C. Dablemont, A. de Mallmann, F. Lefebvre, G.P. Niccolai, J.-M. Basset, M. Crocker, J.K. Buijink, *Organometallics* 25 (2006) 3743.
- [37] U. Romano, *Gicrnale di Chimica Industriale* 83 (2001) 30.
- [38] J. Corker, F. Lefebvre, C. Lecuyer, V. Dufaud, F. Quignard, A. Choplin, J. Evans, J.-M. Basset, *Science* 271 (1996) 966.
- [39] F. Rascon, R. Wischert, C. Coperet, *Chemical Science* 2 (2011) 1449.

- [40] M. Chabanas, A. Baudouin, C. Copeeret, J.-M. Basset, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 123 (2001) 2062.
- [41] M. Chabanas, A. Baudouin, C. Copeeret, J.-M. Basset, W. Lukens, A. Lesage, S. Hediger, L. Emsley, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 125 (2003) 492.
- [42] A.Rost, H. Schneider, J.P. Zoller, W.A. Herrmann, F.E. Kuhn, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 690 (2005) 4712.
- [43] P. Van Der Voort, M.B. Mitchell, E.F. Vansant, M.G. White, *Interface Science* 179 (1997) 5.
- [44] K. Nakamoto, A.E. Martell, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 32 (1960) 588.
- [45] M. Mikami, I. Nakagawa, T. Shimanouchi, *Spectrochimica Acta* 23A (1967) 1037.
- [46] H. Junge and H. Musso, *Spectrochimica Acta* 24A (1968) 1219.
- [47] I. Jonas, B. Norden, *Spectrochimica Acta* 32A (1976) 427.
- [48] B. Vlckova, B. Strauch, M. Horak, *Collection of Czechoslovak Chemical Communications* 50 (1985) 306.
- [49] J.A. Bertrand, J.A. Kelley, *Inorganica Chimica Acta* 4 (1970) 203.
- [50] K.E. Lawson, *Spectrochimica Acta* 17 (1961) 248.
- [51] J.C. Kenvin, Ph.D. Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology (1991).

- [52] P. Van Der Voort, K. Possemiers, E.F. Vansant, *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday Transactions 5* (1996) 843.
- [53] S.N.R. Rao, E. Waddell, M.B. Mitchell, M.G. White, *Journal of Catalysis* 163 (1996) 176.
- [54] M.B. Mitchell, V.R. Chakravarthy, M.G. White, *Langmuir* 10 (1994) 4523.
- [55] J.C. Kevin, M.G. White, "Preparation and Characterization of Supported Mononuclear Metal Complexes as Model Complexes" 198th ACS National Meeting, Miami Beach, FL, 1989.
- [56] J.C. Kevin, M.G. White, M.B. Mitchell, "Hydrogen Bonding of Mononuclear Metal Complexes to Cab-O-Sil", 200th ACS National Meeting, Washington, D.C., 1990.
- [57] J.C. Kevin, M.G. White, M.B. Mitchell, *Langmuir* 7 (1991) 1198.
- [58] M. Baltes, O. Collart, P. Van Der Voort, E.F. Vansant, *Langmuir* 15 (1999) 5841.
- [59] J. Guzman, B.C. Gates, *Langmuir* 19 (2003) 3897.
- [60] I. Ogino, B.C. Gates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 130 (2008) 13338.
- [61] I. Ogino, B.C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 114 (2010) 2685.
- [62] I. Ogino, C.-Y. Chen, B.C. Gates, *Dalton Transactions* 39 (2010) 8423.
- [63] J.F. Goellner, B.C. Gates, G.N. Vayssilov, N. Rosch, *Journal of the*

American Chemical Society 122 (2000) 8056.

- [64] A.J. Liang, V.A. Bhirud, J.O. Ehresmann, P.W. Kletnieks, J.F. Haw, B.C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 109 (2005) 24236.
- [65] A. Uzun, V.A. Bhirud, P.W. Kletnieks, J.F. Haw, B. C. Gates *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 111 (2007) 15064.
- [66] Z. Huang, M. Brookhart, A.S. Goldman, S. Kundu, A. Ray, S.L. Scott, B. C. Vicente, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, 351 (2009) 188.
- [67] C. Johannes, *Catalysis Today* 51 (1999) 289.
- [68] B.C. Vicente, R.C. Nelson, A.W. Moses, S. Chattopadhyay, S.L. Scott, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 115 (2011) 9012.
- [69] G.-J. Li, T. Fujimoto, A. Fukuoka, M. Ichikawa, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 75 (1993), 1607.
- [70] K.K. Bania, R.C. Deka, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 115 (2011) 9601.
- [71] Tierney J. P., Lidstrom P. Eds "Microwave Assisted Organic Synthesis" Blackwell Publishing and CRC Press: Oxford, U.K. and Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- [72] A. Corma, H. Garcia, *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry* (2004) 1143.
- [73] N. Herron, *Inorganic Chemistry* 25 (1986) 4714.



- [74] L. Gaillion, N. Sajot, F. Bedioui, J. F. Devynck, K.J. Balkus Jr, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 345 (1993) 157.
- [75] C. Bowers, P.K. Dutta, Journal of Catalysis 122 (1990) 271.
- [76] K.J. Balkus Jr, A.A. Welch, B.E. Gnade, Zeolites 19 (1990) 722.
- [77] S. Kowalak, R.C. Weiss, K.J. Balkus Jr, Journal of the Chemical Society Chemical Communications (1991) 57.
- [78] D.E. De Vos, E.J.P. Feijen, R.A. Schoonheydt, P.A. Jacobs, Journal of the American Chemical Society 116 (1994) 4146.
- [79] T. Joseph, D. Srinivas, C.S. Gopinath, Halligudi, Catalysis Letters 83 (2002) 209.
- [80] K.K. Bania, R.C. Deka, Journal of Physical Chemistry C 117 (2013) 11663.
- [81] Y.Y. Zakharov, B.V. Romanovsky, Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta, Seriya 2: Khimiya 18 (1977) 142.
- [82] B.V. Romanovsky, A.G. Gabrielov, Journal of Molecular Catalysis 74 (1992) 293.
- [83] K.J. Balkus Jr, I.P. Ferraris, Journal of Physical Chemistry 94 (1990) 8019.
- [84] M. Ichikawa, L. F. Rao, N. Kosugi, A. Fukuoka, Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday Transactions 87 (1989) 232.
- [85] G.C. Shen, A. Liu, M. Ichikawa, Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 94 (1998) 1353.

- [86] G. J. Li, T. Fujimoto, A. Fukuoka, M. Ichikawa, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1991) 1337.
- [87] N. Herron, G.D. Stucky, C.A. Tolman, *Inorganica Chimica Acta* 100 (1985) 135.
- [88] G.C. Chen, T. Shdo, M. Ichikawa, *Journal of Pbs. Chemistry* 100 (1996) 16947.
- [89] R. Raja, P. Ratnasamy, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 158 (1997) L7.
- [90] M. Ichikawa, *Advances in Catalysis* (1992) 283.
- [91] A. Kulkarni, R.J. Lobo-Lapidus, B.C. Gates, *Chemical Communications* 46 (2010) 5997.
- [92] Y. Okamoto, A. Maezawa, H. Kane, T. Imanaka, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1988) 380.
- [93] C.-F. Cheng, J. Klinowski, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 92 (1996) 289.
- [94] C.-F. Cheng, H. He, W. Zhou, J. Klinowski, J.A. Sousa Goncalves, L.F. Gladden, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 100 (1996) 390.
- [95] Z.Y. Yuan, S.H. Liu, T.H. Chen, J.Z. Wang, H.X. Li, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1995) 973.
- [96] N.-Y. He, S.-L. Bao, Q.-H. Xu, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 105 (1997) 85.

- [97] A. Corma, M.T. Navarro, J. Perez-Pariente, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1994) 147.
- [98] A. Corma, M.T. Navarro, J. Perez-Pariente, F. Sanchez, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 84 (1994) 69.
- [99] O. Franke, J. Rathouski, G. Schulz-Ekloff, J. Starek, Z. Zukal, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 84 (1994) 77.
- [100] K.M. Reddy, I.L. Moudrakovski, A. Sayari *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 98 (1995) 19.
- [101] A. Sayari, V.R. Karra, J.S. Reddy, I.L. Moudrakovski, *Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings* 371 (1995) 81.
- [102] K.M. Reddy, I. Moudrakovski, A. Sayari, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1994) 1059.
- [103] Z. Luan, J. Xu, H. He, J. Klinowski, L. Kevan, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 100 (1996) 19595.
- [104] S. Gontier, A. Tuel, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 143 (1996) 125.
- [105] A. Tuel, S. Gontier, R. Teissier, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1996) 651.
- [106] T.K. Das, K. Chaudari, A.J. Chandwadkar, S. Sivasanker, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1995) 2495.
- [107] J.M. Thomas, J.C. Hernandez-Garrido, R.G. Bell, *Topics in*

Catalysis 52 (2009)1630.

- [108] R. Raja In: Harris KDM, Edwards PP (eds) "Turning points in solid state, materials and surface science". RSC, Cambridge, 2008, 623.
- [109] S.O. Lee, R. Raja, K.D.M. Harris, J.M. Thomas, B.F.G. Johnson, G. Sankar, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 42 (2003) 1520.
- [110] R. Raja, J.M. Thomas, *Solid State Sciences* 8 (2008) 326.
- [111] A.C. Yang, C.W. Garald, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 61 (1957) 1504.
- [112] J.T. Yates, T.M. Duncan, S.D. Worley, R.W. Vaughan, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 70 (1979) 1219.
- [113] J.T. Yates, T.M. Duncan, R.W. Vaughan, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 71 (1979) 3908.
- [114] R.R. Cavanagh, J.T. Yates, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 74 (1981) 4150.
- [115] M. Primet, *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday Transactions* 74 (1978) 2570.
- [116] M. Primet, E. Garbowski, *Chemical Physics Letters* 72 (1980) 472.
- [117] M. Primet, J.C. Vadrine, C. Naccache, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 411 (1978) 4.
- [118] H.F.J. Van't Bilk, J.B.A.D. Van Zon, T. Hulzinga, J.C. Vis,

D.C. Konigsberger, R. Prins, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 87 (1983) 2264.

[119] E.A. Hyde, R. Rudhow, C.H. Rochester, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 79 (1983) 2405.

[120] F. Solymosi, A. Erdohelyi, T. Bansagi, *Journal of the Chemical Society Faraday Transactions* 77 (1981) 2645.

[121] S.D. Worley, C.A. Rice, G.A. Mattson, C.W. Curtis, J.A. Guln, A.R. Tarrer, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 86 (1982), 2714.

[122] H. Arai, H. Tominaga, *Journal of Catalysis* 43 (1976) 131.

[123] D.J.C. Yates, L.L. Murrell, E.B. Prestridge, *Journal of Catalysis* 57 (1979) 41.

[124] A. Erdöhelyi, F. Solymosi, *Journal of Catalysis* 84 (1983) 446.

[125] H. Miessner, I. Burkhardt, D. Gutschick, A. Zecchina, C.Morterra, G. Spoto, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 85 (1989) 2113.

[126] I. Burkhardt, D. Gutschick, U. Lohse, H. Miessner, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1987) 291.

[127] R. V. Chaudhari, *Topics in Catalysis* 55 (2012) 439.

[128] K. Riener, M. Högerl, P. Gigler, F. E. Kühn, *ACS Catalysis* 2 (2012) 613.

[129] D.K. Dutta, B. Deb, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 255 (2011) 1686.

- [130] G.P. Chiusoli, P.M. Maitlis (Eds.), *Metal-catalysis in Industrial Organic Processes*, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, 2006.
- [131] T.W. Bell, D. M. Haddleton, A. McCamley, M. G. Partridge, R. N. Perutz, H. J. Willner, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 112 (1990) 9212.
- [132] T.M. Douglas, S. K. Brayshaw, R. Dallanegra, G. Kociok-Köhn, S. A. Macgregor, G. L. Moxham, A. S. Weller, T. Wondimagegn, P. Vadivelu, *Chemistry - A European Journal* 14 (2008) 1004.
- [133] A.M. Trzeciak, J. J. Ziólkowski, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 429 (1992) 239.
- [134] T. Yoshida, D. L. Thorn, T. Okano, J. A. Ibers, S. Otsuka, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 101 (1979) 4212.
- [135] D.Y. Zhao, D. Goldfarb, *Journal of Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1995) 875.
- [136] S.D. Worley, G. A. Mattson, R. Caudill, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 87 (1983) 1671.
- [137] M.A. Henderson, S.D. Worley, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 89 (1985) 1417.
- [138] M.L. McKee, C.H. Dai, S.D. Worley, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 92 (1988) 1056.
- [139] X. Wang, L. Andrews, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 106 (2002) 3706.
- [140] T. Iizuka, Y. Tanaka, *Journal of Catalysis* 70 (1981) 449.
- [141] T. Iizuka, Y. Tanaka, K. Tanabe, *Journal of Catalysis* 76 (1982) 1.
- [142] H. Miessner, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 116 (1994) 11522.

- [143] G.N. Vayssilov, N. Rösch, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 124 (2002) 3783.
- [144] X. Wang, E.A. Wovchko, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 109 (2005) 16363.
- [145] R. E. Jentoft, S. E. Deutsch, B. C. Gates, *Review of Scientific Instruments* 67 (1996) 2111.
- [146] F.B.M. Duivenvoorden, D.C. Koningsberger, Y.S. Uh, B.C. Gates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 108 (1986) 6254.
- [147] W. F. Weber, B. C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 101 (1997) 10423.
- [148] M. Vaarkamp, J.C. Linders, D.C. Koningsberger, *Physica B* 209 (1995) 159.
- [149] E. A. Stern, *Physical Review B* 48 (1993) 9825.
- [150] E.O. Brigham, *The Fast Fourier Transform*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1974.
- [151] P.S. Kirilin, F.B.M. van Zon, D. C. Koningsberger, B. C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 94 (1990) 8439.
- [152] J.B.A.D. van Zon, D. C. Koningsberger, H.F.J. van't Blik, D.E. Sayers, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 82 (1985) 5742.
- [153] M. Vaarkamp, Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University, The Netherlands, 1993.

- [154] F. W. Lytle, D. E. Sayers, E. A. Stern, *Physica B* 158 (1988) 701.
- [155] D.C. Koningsberger, B.L. Mojet, G.E. van Dorssen, D.E. Ramaker, *Topics in Catalysis* 10 (2000) 143.
- [156] X. Yuan, S. Bi, Y. Ding, L. Liu, M. Sun, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 695 (2010) 1576.
- [157] H. Miessner, *Journal of Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1994) 927.
- [158] A.J. Liang, B.C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 112 (2008) 18039.
- [159] A.J. Liang, R. Craciun, M. Chen, T.G. Kelly, P.W. Kletnieks, J.F. Haw, D. A. Dixon, B. C. Gates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 131 (2009) 8480.
- [160] C.C. Santini, S.L. Scott, J.-M. Basset, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 107 (1996) 263.
- [161] D. Moigno, B. Callejas-Gaspar, J. Gil-Rubio, H. Werner, W. Kiefer, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 661 (2002) 181.
- [162] J. Zhang, X.-Z. Sun, M. Poliakoff, M. W. George, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 678 (2003) 128.
- [163] F. Huq, A. C. Skapski, *Journal of Crystal and Molecular Structure* 4 (1974) 411.
- [164] H.E. Fischer, S.A. King, J.B. Miller, J.Y. Ying, J.B. Benziger, J. Schwartz, *Inorganic Chemistry* 30 (1991), 4403.



- [165] M. Bühl, M. Håkansson, A. H. Mahmoudkhani, L. Öhrström, *Organometallics* 19 (2000) 5589.
- [166] I. Ogino, B. C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 114 (2010) 8405.
- [167] J.C. Fierro-Gonzalez, S. Kuba, Y. Hao, B.C. Gates, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 110 (2006) 13326.
- [168] H.Werner, M. Bosch, M.E. Schneider, C. Hahn, F. Kukla, M. Manger, B. Windmüller, B. Weberndörfer, M. Laubender, *Journal of Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions* (1998) 3549.
- [169] L. Vaska, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 88 (1966) 4100.
- [170] A. Vigalok, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *Organometallics* 15 (1996) 1839.
- [171] M.J. Ingleson, S.K. Brayshaw, M.F. Mahon, G.D. Ruggiero, A.S. Weller, *Inorganic Chemistry* 44 (2005) 3162.
- [172] C. Bianchini, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, F. Zanobini, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 109 (1987) 5548.
- [173] A. Angelini, M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto, C. Pastore, E. Quaranta, M.R. Chierotti, R. Gobetto, I. Papai, C. Graiff, A. Tiripicchio, *Dalton Transactions* (2009) 7924.
- [174] G. Kubas, C. J. Unkefer, B. I. Swanson, E. Fukushima, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 108 (1986) 7000.
- [175] B. Rybtchinski, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *Organometallics* 16 (1997) 3786.

- [176] G. J. Kubas, Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences USA 104 (2007) 6901.
- [177] A.A. Oswald, D.E. Hendriksen, R.V. Kastrup, E.J. Mozeleski, Advances in Chemistry Series 230 (1992) 395.
- [178] M. Sparta, K.J. Børve, V.R. Jensen, Journal of the American Chemical Society 129 (2007) 8487.
- [179] F. H. Jardine, Polyhedron 1 (1982) 569.
- [180] A. M. Argo, B. C. Gates, Journal of Physical Chemistry B 107 (2003) 5519.
- [181] D. Evans, J.A. Osborn, G. Wilkinson, Journal of Chemical Society (1968) 3133.
- [182] R. Franke, D. Selent, A. Börner, Chemical Reviews 112 (2012) 5675.
- [183] R.E. Harmon, S.K. Gupta, D.J. Brown, Chemical Reviews 73 (1973) 21.
- [184] A.Yu. Stakheev, L.M. Kustov, Applied Catalysis A 188 (1999) 3.
- [185] V.E. Henrich, Reports on Progress in Physics 48 (1985) 1481.
- [186] G.A. Somorjai, J.Y. Park, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 47 (2008) 9212.
- [187] R. Petroff Saint-Arroman, M. Chabanas, A. Baudouin, C. Coperet, J.-M. Basset, A. Lesage, L. Emsley, Journal of the American Chemical Society 123 (2001) 3820.

- [188] F. Blanc, C. Coperet, J. Thivolle-Cazat, J.-M. Basset, A. Lesage, L. Emsley, A. Sinha, R.R. Schrock, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 45 (2006) 1216.
- [189] H.E. Maneck, D. Gutschick, I. Burkhardt, B. Luecke, H. Miessner, U. Wolf, *Catalysis Today* 3 (1988) 421.
- [190] E.J. Rode, M.E. Davis, B.E. Hanson, N. Rosch, *Journal of Catalysis* 96 (1985) 574.
- [191] R.D. Shannon, J.C. Vedrine, C. Naccache, F. Lefebvre, *Journal of Catalysis* 88 (1984) 431.
- [192] T.T.T Wong, A.Yu. Stakheev, W.M.H. Sachtler, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 96 (1992) 7733.
- [193] H. Miessner, D. Gutschick, H. Ewald, H. Muller, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 36 (1986) 359.
- [194] F. Lefebvre, P. Gelin, B. Elleuch, Y. Diab, Y. Ben Taarit, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 18 (1984) 257.
- [195] J.P. Fackler, *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry* 7 (1966) 361.
- [196] F.R. Clarke, J.F. Stienback, W.F. Wagner, *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* 26 (1964) 1311.
- [197] S. Ooi, Q. Fernando, *Chemical Communications* (1967) 532.

- [198] J.A.R. van Veen, P.C. De Jong-Versloot, G.M.M. van Kessel, F.J. Fels, *Thermochimica Acta* 152 (1989) 359.
- [199] J.P. Boitiaux, J. Cosyns, M. Derrier, G. Leger, *Hydrocarbon Processing* (1985) 51.
- [200] A.D.K. Logan, K. Sharoudi, A.K. Datye, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 95 (1991) 5568.
- [201] B.M. Weckhunsen, R. Ramachandra Rao, J. Pelgrims, R.A. Schoonheydt, P. Bodart, G. Debras, O. Collart, P. Van Der Voort, E.F. Vansant, *Chemistry - A European Journal* 6 (2006) 2060.
- [202] P. Van Der Voort, R. van Welzenis, M. de Ridder, H. H. Brongersma, M. Baltes, M. Mathieu, P.C. van de Ven, E.F. Vansant, *Langmuir* 18 (2002) 4420.
- [203] I.V. Babitch, Plyuto, P. Van Der Voort, E.F. Vansant, *Journal of Colloid Interface Science* 189 (1997) 144.
- [204] I.V. Babitch, Y.V. Plyuto, P. Van Der Voort, E.F. Vansant, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 93 (1997) 3191.
- [205] R.G. Pearson, H.B. Gray, F. Basolo, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 82 (1960) 787.
- [206] F. Basolo, H.B. Gray, R.G. Pearson, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 82 (1960) 4200.
- [207] J.A.R. van Veen, G. Jonkers, W.H. Hesselink, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 85 (1989) 389.

- [208] H.B. Gary, R.J. Olcott, *Inorganic Chemistry* 1 (1961) 481.
- [209] P. Van Der Voort, M.B. Mitchell, E.F. Vansant, M.G. White, *Interface Science* 5 (1997) 169.
- [210] R. Selke, M. Capka, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 63 (1990) 319.
- [211] M. Mazzei, W. Marconi and M. Riocci, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 9 (1980) 381.
- [212] Y. Traa, D. M. Murphy, R. D. Farley and G. J. Hutchings, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 3 (2001) 1073.
- [213] S. Taylor, J. Gullick, P. McMorn, D. Bethell, P. C. Bullman Page, F. E. Hancock, F. King and G. J. Hutchings, *Journal of Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* (2001) 1714.
- [214] P. Piaggio, P. McMorn, D. Murphy, D. Bethell, P. C. Bullman Page, F. E. Hancock, C. Sly, O. J. Kerton and G. J. Hutchings, *Journal of Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* (2000) 2008.
- [215] S. Luo, J. Li, L. Zhang, H. Xu, J. Cheng, *Chemistry - A European Journal* 14 (2008) 1273.
- [216] J. Lu, P. Serna, B.C. Gates, *ACS Catalysis* 1 (2011) 1549.
- [217] A.J. Liang, R. Craciun, M. Chen, T.G. Kelly, P.W. Kletnieks, J.F. Haw, D.A. Dixon, B.C. Gates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 131 (2009) 8460.

- [218] H.F.J. van't Blik, J.B.A.D. van Zon, T. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger, R.J. Prins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 107 (1985) 3139.
- [219] S.E. Deutsch, J.-R. Chang, B.C. Gates, *Langmuir* 9 (1993) 1284.
- [220] R. Selke, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 37 (1986) 227.
- [221] P. Barbaro, *Chemistry - A European Journal* 12(2006) 5666.
- [222] O. Wang, D. Sayers, M. Huang, C. Yuan, S. Wei, "EXAFS Studies of Mo-Y Zeolite Catalysts", *Symposium on catalysis in fuel processing*, San-Francisco, 1992.
- [223] Y.Ji, A.M.J. van der Eerden, V. Koot, P.J. Kooyman, J.D. Meeldijk, B.M. Weckhuysen, D.C. Koningsberger, *Journal of Catalysis* 234 (2005) 376.
- [224] M. Kawai, M. Uda, M. Ichikawa, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 89 (1985) 1654.
- [225] M.A. Baltanas, J.H. Onuferko, S.T. McMillan, J.R. Katzers, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 91 (1987) 3772.
- [226] Y. Okamoto, N. Ishida, T. Imanaka, S.J. Teranishi, *Journal of Catalysis* 58 (1979) 82.
- [227] Y. Okamoto, N. Ishida, T. Imanaka, S. Teranishi, *Journal of Catalysis* 112 (1988) 427.
- [228] J.Z. Shyu, E.T. Skopinski, J.G. Goodwin, A. Sayari, *Applied Surface Science* 21 (1985) 297.

- [229] H.N. Flach, I. Grassert, G. Oehme, M. Capka, *Colloid and Polymer Science* 274 (1996), 261.
- [230] G. Yuan, Y. Chen, R. Chen, *Chinesse Journal of Polymer Science* 7 (1989) 219.
- [231] A. Michrowsak, K. Menneke, U. Kuntz, A. Kirschning, K. Grela, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 128 (2006) 13261.
- [232] P. Barbaro, C. Bianchini, G. Giambastiani, W. Oberhauser, L. Morassi Bonzi, F. Rossi, V. Dal Santo, *Dalton Transactions* (2004) 1783.
- [233] R. Selke, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 370 (1989), 241.
- [234] A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziolkowski, S. Aygen, R. Van Eldik, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 34 (1986) 337.
- [235] S. Otto, A.J. Muller, *Acta Crystallographica* 57 (2001) 1405.
- [236] W.L. Davis, A. Muller, *Acta Crystallographica* 68 (2012) 1563.
- [237] M. Ooe, M. Murata, A. Takahama, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani, K. Kaneda, *Chemistry Letters* 32 (2003) 692.
- [238] G. Gascon, M.C. Ortega, J.D. Suarez, A.J. Pardey, C. Longo, *Reaction Kinetics Catalysis Letters* 94 (2008) 85.
- [239] C.-H. Cheng, D.E. Hendriksen, R. Eisenberg, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 99 (1977) 2791.

- [240] L. Dadci, H. Elias, U. Frey, A. Hornig, U. Koelle, A.E. Merbach, H. Paulust, J.S. Schneider, *Inorganic Chemistry* 34 (1995) 306.
- [241] U. Kölle, R. Görissen, T. Wagner, *Chemische Berichte* 128 (1995) 911.
- [242] S.F. Lincoln, D.T. Richens, A.G. Sykes, "Metal Aqua Ions" *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II Volume 1*, 515.
- [243] P. Gelin, C. Naccache, Y. Ben Taarit, *Pure & Applied Chemistry* 60 (1988) 1315.
- [244] G. Bergeret, P. Gallezot, P. Gelin, Y. Ben Taarit, F. Lefebvre, C. Naccache, R.D. Shannon, *Journal of Chemistry* 104 (1987) 279.
- [245] A.K. Smith, F. Huguws, A. Theolier, J.-M. Basset, R. Ugo, G.M. Zanderighi, J.L. Bilhou, V. Bilhou-Bougnal, W.F. Graydon, *Inorganic Chemistry* 18 (1979) 3104.
- [246] J.L. Robbins, *Journal Physical Chemistry* 90 (1986), 3381.
- [247] E. Ivanova, K. Hadjiivanov, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 5 (2003) 655.
- [248] K. Gora-Marek, *Vibrational Spectroscopy* 58 (2012) 104.
- [249] S. Huber, H. Knozinger, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 94 (1998) 2047.
- [250] S. Huber, H. Knozinger, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 181 (1999) 239.



- [251] G.N. Vayssilov, M. Staufer, T. Belling, K.M. Neyman, H. Knozinger, N. Rosch, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 103 (1999) 7920.
- [252] J. Scherzer, *ACS Symposium Series* 248 (1984) 157.
- [253] R.D. Shannon, K.H. Gardner, R.H. Staley, G. Bergeret, P. Gallezot, A. Auroux, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 89 (1985) 4778.
- [254] M.J. Remy, D. Stanica, G. Poncelet, E.J.P. Feijen, P.J. Grobet, J.A. Martens, P.A. Jacobs, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 100 (1996) 12440.
- [255] J.A. van Bokhoven, A.L. Roest, D.C. Koningsberger, J.T. Miller, G.H. Nachttegaal, A.P.M. Kentgens, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 104 (2000) 6743.
- [256] D.L. Bhering, A. Ramirez-Solis, C.J.A. Mota, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 107 (2003) 4342.
- [257] J. Jiao, J. Kanellopoulos, W. Wang, S.S. Ray, H. Foerster, D. Freude, M. Hunger, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 7 (2005) 3221.
- [258] D. de Groot, B. F. M. de Waal, J. N. H. Reek, A. P. H. J. Schenning, P. C. J. Kamer, E. W. Meijer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 123 (2001) 8453.
- [259] G.J. Ray, A. Samoson, *Zeolites* 13 (1993) 410.
- [260] A. Samoson, E. Lippmaa, G. Engelhardt, U. Lohse, H.G. Jerschke, *Chemical Physics Letters* 88 (1985) 589.

- [261] A. Zsigmond, S. Undrala, F. Notheisz, A. Szöllsy, J. Bakos, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 303 (2006) 29.
- [262] F. Sette, J. Stöhr, E.B. Kollin, D.J. Dwyer, J.L. Gland, J.L. Robbins, A.L. Johnson, *Physical Review Letters* 54 (1985) 935.
- [263] R.K. Hocking, T.W. Hambley, *Organometallics* 26 (2007) 2815.
- [264] S.P. Khramenko, E.A. Bykova, A.V. Alexeyev, A.P. Tyutyunnik, S.A. Gromilov, *Journal of Structural Chemistry* 53 (2012) 521.
- [265] R.S. Evans, E.A. Hopcus, J. Bordner, A.F. Schreiner, *Journal of Crystal and Molecular Structure* 3 (1973) 235.
- [266] D.E. De Vos, M. Dams, B.F. Sels, P.A. Jacobs, *Chemical Reviews* 102 (2002) 3615.
- [267] R. L. Augustine, S. K. Tanielyan, N. Mathata, Y. Gao, A. Zsigmond, H. Yang, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 256 (2003) 69.
- [268] D.C Bailey, S.H. Langer, *Chemical Reviews* 81 (1981) 109.
- [269] E. Gross, J. Hung-Chang Liu, F.D. Toste, G.A. Somorjai, *Nature Chemistry* 4 (2012) 947.
- [270] D. Yardimci, P. Serna, B.C. Gates, *ACS Catalysis* 2 (2012) 2100.
- [271] A. Salameh, A. Baudouin, J.-M. Basset, C. Coperet, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 47 (2008) 2117.

- [272] P.W.N.M. Van Leeuwen, C.F. Roobeek, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 258 (1983) 343.
- [273] J. A. M. Brandts, P. H. Berben, *Organic Process Research & Development* 7 (2003) 393.
- [274] M. Kranenburg, Y.E.M. van der Burgt, P.C.J. Kamer, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, K. Goubitz, J. Fraanje, *Organometallics* 14 (1995) 3081.
- [275] J. Klosin, C.R. Landis, *Accounts of Chemical Research* 40 (2007) 1251.
- [276] R. Augustine, S. Tanielyan, S. Anderson, H. Yang, *Chemical Communications* (1999) 1257.
- [277] R.L. Augustine, P. Goel, N. Mahata, C. Reyes, S. K. Tanielyan, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 216 (2004) 189.
- [278] C. Coperet, M. Chabanas, R. Petroff Saint-Arroman, J.-M. Basset, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 42 (2003) 156.
- [279] C. McDonagh, P. O'Conghaile, R.J.M. Klein Gebbink, P. O'Leary, *Tetrahedron Letters* 48 (2007) 4387.
- [280] K.G. Azzam, I.V. Babich, K. Seshan, L. Lefferts, *Journal of Catalysis* 25 (2007), 153.
- [281] S. Liu, L. Wang, R. Ohnishi, M. Ichikawa, *Journal of Catalysis* 181 (1999) 175.
- [282] J.F. Young, J.A. Osborne, H. Jardine, G. Wilkinson, *Chemical Communication* (1965) 131.

- [283] K.G. Allum, R.D. Hancock, I.V. Howell, T.E. Lester, S. McKenzie, R.C. Pitkethly, P.J. Robinson, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 107 (1976) 393.
- [284] F. Sanches, M. Iglesias, A. Corma, C.J. del Pino, C. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 70 (1991) 369.
- [285] G. Strukul, M. Bonivento, M. Graziani, *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, 12 (1975) 15.
- [286] P. Serna, B.C. Gates, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 123 (2011) 5642.
- [287] P. Serna, B.C. Gates, *Journal of Catalysis* (2013) in press.
- [288] A.D. Vityuk, O.S. Alexeev, M.D. Amiridis, *Journal of Catalysis* (2013) in press.
- [289] F.E. Hancock, G.J. Hutchings, N.A. Caplan, Patent WO 03/018191 (2003).
- [290] P. O'Leary, N.P. Krosveld, K.P. De Jong, G. van Koten, R.J.M. Klein Gebbink, *Tetrahedron Letters* 45 (2004) 3177.
- [291] A. Crosman, W. F. Hölderich, *Journal of Catalysis* 232 (2005) 43.
- [292] W. De Wilde, G. Peeters, J.H. Lunsford, *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 84 (1980) 2306 .

- [293] P.P. Knops-Gerrits, C.A. Trujillo, B.Z. Zhan, X.Y. Li, P. Rouxhet, P.A. Jacobs Topics in Catalysis 3 (1996) 437.
- [294] K.J.J. Balkus, A.K. Khanmamedova, K. Dixon, F. Bedioui, Applied Catalysis A: General 143 (1996) 159.
- [295] A. Earnshaw, E.A. King, L.F. Larkworthy, Journal of Chemical Society A (1968) 1048.
- [296] P.P. Knops-Gerrits, F. Thibault-Starzyk, P.A. Jacobs, Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 84 (1994) 1411.
- [297] J. Lewis, F.E. Mabbs, H. Weigold, Journal of Chemical Society A (1968) 1699.
- [298] J. Wöltinger, J.-E. Bäckvall, A. Zsigmond, Chemistry - A European Journal 5 (1999) 1460.
- [299] C. Langham, P. Piaggio, D. Bethell, D.F. Lee, P. McMorn, P.C. Bulman Page, D.J. Willock, C. Sly, F.E. Hancock, F. King, G.J. Hutchings, Chemical Communications (1998) 1601.
- [300] M. Tada, Y. Iwasawa, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical, 204 (2003) 27.
- [301] S.L. Scott, J.-M. Basset, G.P. Niccolai, C.C. Santini, J.-P. Candy, C. Lecuyer, F. Quignard, A. Choplin, New of Journal of Chemistry 18 (1994) 115.
- [302] J. Guzman, B.C. Gates, B.C. Dalton Transactions (2003) 3303.

- [303] A.D. Vityuk, O.S. Alexeev, M.D. Amiridis, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* (2013) in press.
- [304] E.A. Wovchko, J.T. Yates Jr., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 117 (1995) 12557.
- [305] B.R. James, G. L. Rempel, *Discussion of the Faraday Society* 46 (1968) 48.
- [306] S.H. Straws, D. Shriver, *Inorganic Chemistry* 17 (1978) 3069.
- [307] S. Muthukumar Pillai, M. Ravindranathan, S. Sivaram, *Chemical Reviews* 86 (1986) 353.
- [308] T. Yashima, Y. Ushida, M. Ebisawa, N. Hara, *Journal of Catalysis* 36 (1975) 320.
- [309] S. Bodoardo, R. Chiappetta, F. Fajula, E. Garrone, *Microporous Materials* 3 (1995) 613.
- [310] J.P. van den Berg, J.P. Wolthuizen, A.D.H. Clague, G.R. Hays, R. Huis, J.H.C. van Hooff, *Journal of Catalysis* 80 (1983) 130.
- [311] C.T. O'Connor, M. Kojima, *Catalysis Today* 6 (1990) 329.
- [312] Eric G. Derouane "Catalysts for Fine Chemical Synthesis Microporous and Mesoporous Solid Catalysts" John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2006, 126.
- [313] V.B. Kazansky, I.R. Subbotina, F.C. Jentoft, *Journal of Catalysis* 240 (2006) 60.

- [314] A. Forestière, H. Olivier-Bourbigou, L. Saussine, *Oil & Gas Science and Technology Reviews* 64 (2009) 649.
- [315] A.W. Al-Sa'doun, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 105 (1993) 1.
- [316] K. Ziegler, H. Martin, U.S. Patent 2,943,125 (1960).
- [317] R. Cramer, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 87 (1965) 4717.
- [318] C. Langham, S. Taylor, D. Bethell, P. McMorn, P.C. Bulman Page, D.J. Willock, C. Sly, F.E. Hancock, F. King, G.J. Hutchings, *Journal of Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* (1999) 1043.
- [319] S. Taylor, J. Gullick, P. McMorn, D. Bethell, P.C. Bulman Page, F.E. Hancock, F. King, G.J. Hutchings, *Journal of Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* (2001) 1724.
- [320] V. Parvulescu<sup>1</sup>, B.-L. Su, *Catalysis Today* 69 (2001) 315.
- [321] K.J.J. Balkus, M. Eissa, R. Lavado, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 94 (1995) 713.
- [322] B.G. Frederick, G. Apai, T.N. Rhodins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 109 (1987) 4797.
- [323] S. Namuangruk, P. Pantu, J. Limtrakul, *A European Journal of Physical Chemistry and Chemical Physics* 6 (2005) 1333.
- [324] K.J.J. Balkus, M. Eissa, R. Lavado, *Chemical Industry* 69 (1997) 363.

- [325] Q.L. Wang, G. Giannetto, M. Guisnet, *Journal of Catalysis* 130 (1991) 471.
- [326] C.J.A. Mota, R.L. Martins, L. Nogueira, W.B. Kover, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 90 (1994) 2297.
- [327] S. Li, S.-J. Huang, W. Shen, H. Zhang, H. Fang, A. Zheng, S.-B. Liu, F. Deng, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 112 (2008) 14486.
- [328] V. Bolis, J.C. Vedrine, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 76 (1980) 1606.
- [329] D.B. Leznoff, J. Lefebvre, *Gold Bulletin* 38/2 (2005) 47.
- [330] C. Jobbagy, T. Tunyogi, G. Palinkas, A. Deak, *Inorganic Chemistry* 50 (2011) 7301.
- [331] D.M. Pham, D. Rios, M.M. Olmstead, A.L. Balch, *Inorganic Chimica Acta* 358 (2005) 4261.
- [332] A. Deak, T. Tunyogi, G. Palinkas, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 131 (2009) 2815.
- [333] B.M. Chadwick, S.G. Frankiss, *Journal of Molecular Structure* 31 (1976) 1.
- [334] H.J. Llewellyn, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 27 (1957) 468.
- [335] G. Ma, W. Yan T. Hu, J. Chen, C. Yan, H. Gao, J. Wu, G. Xua, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 1 (1999) 5215.
- [336] A. Rosenzweig, D.T. Cromer, *Acta Crystallographica* 12 (1959) 709.



- [337] K.J.J. Balkus, M. Eissa, R. Levado, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 117 (1995) 10753.
- [338] K.J.J. Balkus, A. Khanmamedova, M. Eissa, *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 97 (1995) 189.
- [339] R. Raja, P. Ratnasamy, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 143 (1996) 145.
- [340] A. Adima, J.J.E. Moreau, M.W.C. Man, *Chirality* 12 (2000) 411.
- [341] J.E. Kilpatrick, E.J. Prosen, K.S. Pitzer, F.E. Rossini, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards* 36 (1946) 559.
- [342] P. Wu, M. Iwamoto, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions*, 94 (1998) 2871.
- [343] A. Tuel, *Zeolites*, 15 (1995) 228.
- [344] T. Blasco, A. Corma, M.T. Navarro, J. Perez-Pariente, *Journal of Catalysis* 156 (1995) 65.
- [345] J. Evans, G.S. McNulty, *Journal of Chemical Society Dalton Transactions* (1984) 587.
- [346] K.A. Koyana, T. Tatsumi, *Journal of Chemical Society, Chemical Communications* (1996) 145.
- [347] U.S. EPA Tier 2 Standards and Limits on Gasoline Vehicles , Gateway website: [http://www.dieselnet.com/standards/us/ld\\_t2.php](http://www.dieselnet.com/standards/us/ld_t2.php); October 2013.

- [348] European Union Motor vehicles with trailers: polluting emissions, Gateway website: <http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l21047.htm>; July 2007.
- [349] K.C. Taylor, *Catalysis Reviews: Science and Engineering* 35 (1993) 457.
- [350] M. Shelef, G.W. Graham, *Catalysis Reviews: Science and Engineering* 36 (1994) 433.
- [351] D.N. Belton, K.C. Taylor, "Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science" 4 (1999) 97.
- [352] A. Srinivasan, C. Depcik, *Catalysis Reviews: Science and Engineering*, 52 (2010) 462.
- [353] A. Srinivasan, C. Depcik, *Surface Review and Letters* 19 (2012) 1230001.
- [354] H. Hamada, M. Haneda, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 420-421 (2012) 1.
- [355] W.C. Hecker, A.T. Bell, *Journal of Catalysis* 84 (1983) 200.
- [356] V.P. Zhdanov, B. Kasemo, *Surface Science Reports* 29 (1997) 31.
- [357] D.I. Kondarides, T. Chafik, X.E. Verykios, *Journal of Catalysis* 191 (2000) 147.
- [358] A.J. Dent, J. Evans, S.G. Fiddy, B. Jyoti, M.A. Newton, M. Tromp, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 46 (2007) 5356.
- [359] M.A. Newton, A.J. Dent, S.G. Fiddy, B. Jyoti, J. Evans, *Journal of Materials Science* 42 (2007) 3288.

- [360] P. Araya, F. Gracia, J. Cortés, E.E. Wolf, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 38 (2002) 77.
- [361] V.P. Zhdanov, B. Kasemo, *Catalysis Letters* 81 (2002) 141.
- [362] J. Cortés, P. Araya, P. Betancourt, F. Díaz, *Journal of Chemical Research* (2004) 68.
- [363] S.H. Oh, C.C. Eickel, *Journal of Catalysis* 128 (1991) 526.
- [364] G.S. Herman, C.H.F. Peden, S.J. Schmieg, D.N. Belton, *Catalysis Letters* 62 (1999) 131.
- [365] C.H.F. Peden, D.N. Belton, S.J. Schmieg, *Journal Catalysis* 155 (1995) 204.
- [366] J. Cortes, E. Valencia, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 87 (2009) 571.
- [367] S.H. Oh, G.B. Fisher, J.E. Carpenter, D.W. Goodman, *Journal of Catalysis* 100 (1986) 360.
- [368] "Supported Metal Complexes: A New Generation of Catalysts" edited by F. R. Hartley D. Reidel Publishing Company, (1985).
- [369] J.M. Thomas, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* 468 (2012) 1884.
- [370] C.D. Meyer, R. Eisenberg, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 98 (1976) 1364.
- [371] T. Iizuka, J.H. Lunsford, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis* 8 (1980) 391.

- [372] K.C. Cannon, S.K. Jo, J.M. White, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 111 (1989) 5064.
- [373] K.A. Almusaiteer, S.S.C. Chuang, C.-D. Tan *Journal of Catalysis* 189 (2000) 247.
- [374] K.A. Almusaiteer, S.S.C. Chuang, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 104 (2000) 2265.
- [375] H. Miessner, I. Burkhardt, D. Gutschick, A. Zecchina, C. Morterra, G. Spoto, *Journal of Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 86 (1990) 2321.
- [376] F. Solymosi, T. Bansagi, E. Novak, *Journal of Catalysis* 112 (1988) 183.
- [377] D.A. Buchanan, M.E. Hernandez, F. Solymosi, J.M. Whit, *Journal of Catalysis* 125 (1990) 456.
- [378] E. Novak, D. Sprinceana, F. Solymosi, *Applied Catalysis A* 149 (1997) 89.