

SS-Flat Modules



Akeel Ramadan Mehdi
Department of Mathematics
College of Education
University of Al-Qadisiyah
Email: akeel.mehdi@qu.edu.iq

Adel Salim Tayyah
Department of Mathematics
College of Computer Science and IT
University of Al-Qadisiyah
Email: adits9888@gmail.com

Received : 9\10\2016

Revised : 6\11\2016

Accepted : 9\11\2016

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the dual notion of ss-injective module, namely ss-flat module. The connection between ss-injectivity and ss-flatness is given. Min-Coherent rings, FS -rings, PS -rings, and universally mininjective rings are characterized in terms of ss-flat modules and ss-injectivity modules.

Key Words: min-coherent ring; ss-coherent ring; ss-flat module; ss-injective module; PS ring; FS ring; universally mininjective ring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C11,16D40,16D10

1. Introduction

In [1], the notion of ss-injectivity was introduced and studied. A right R -module M is called ss-injective if any right R -homomorphism $f: S_r \cap J \rightarrow M$ extends to R ; equivalently, if $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = 0$. L. Mao [2] introduced the notion min-flat, for any left R -module N , N is called min-flat if $\text{Tor}_1(R/I, N) = 0$ for every simple right ideal I .

In this paper, we introduce and investigate the notion of ss-flat modules as a generalization of flat modules. A left R -module M is said to be ss-flat if $\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = 0$. Examples are established to show that the notion of ss-flatness is distinct from that of min-flatness and flatness. Several properties of the class of flat modules are given, for example, we prove that a left R -module M is ss-flat iff $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is ss-injective iff the sequence $0 \rightarrow (S_r \cap J) \otimes M \rightarrow R \otimes M$ is exact. Also, we prove that the class of all left is closed under pure submodule and direct limits. In Theorem 2.9, we prove that a ring R is right

min-coherent iff the class of ss-flat modules is closed under direct products iff ${}_R R^S$ is ss-flat, for any index set S iff every left R -module has (SSF) -preenvelope, where SSF is the class of all left ss-flat modules. Also, we introduce the concept of ss-coherent ring as a proper generalization of coherent ring. Many characterizations of ss-coherent rings are given, for example, we prove that a ring R is right ss-coherent iff (a right R -module M is ss-injective iff M^+ is ss-flat) iff the class of all ss-injective right R -modules is closed under direct limits. We study ss-flat modules and ss-injective modules over commutative ring. For example, we prove that a commutative ring R is min-coherent iff $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for all projective R -modules M and N . Also, we prove that if R is a commutative ss-coherent ring, then an R -module M is ss-injective iff $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for any injective R -module N . In



Proposition 2.2. we prove that if M is a simple module over a commutative ring R , then M is ss-flat iff R is ss-injective. As a corollary, we prove that if R is a commutative ring, then R is a universally mininjective iff R is PS-ring iff R is an FS-ring.

Next, we recall some facts and notions needed in the sequel. An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules is called pure if every finitely presented right R -module P is projective with respect to this sequence and we called that $f(A)$ is a pure submodule of B [3]. A right R -module M is called pure injective if M is injective with respect to every pure exact sequence [3]. Let R be a ring and \mathcal{F} be a class of right R -modules. An R -homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ is said to be \mathcal{F} -preenvelope of M where $N \in \mathcal{F}$ if, for every R -homomorphism $g: M \rightarrow F$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an R -homomorphism $h: N \rightarrow F$ such that $hf = g$. An R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$ is said to be \mathcal{F} -precover of M where $N \in \mathcal{F}$ if, for every R -homomorphism $g: L \rightarrow M$ with $L \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an R -homomorphism $h: L \rightarrow N$ such that $fh = g$ [4]. Let \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) be a class of left (resp. right) R -modules. The pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is said to be almost dual pair if for any left R -module M , $M \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $M^+ \in \mathcal{G}$; and \mathcal{G} is closed under direct summands and direct products [4, p. 66].

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. By J (resp., S_r) we denote the Jacobson radical (resp., the right socle) of R . If X is a subset of R , the right annihilator of X in R is denoted by $r(X)$. Let M and N be R -modules. The character module M^+ is defined by $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The symbol $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ (resp., $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$) means $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ (resp., $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$), and similarly $M \otimes N$ (resp., $\text{Tor}_n(M, N)$) means $M \otimes_R N$ (resp., $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N)$) for an integer $n \geq 1$.

We can find the general background materials, for example in [1, 2, 5].

2. ss-Flat Modules

Definition 2.1. A left R -module M is said to be ss-flat if $\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = 0$.

Examples 2.2.

- (1) Any flat module is ss-flat, but the converse is not true. For example the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n is not flat for all $n \geq 2$ (see [5, Examples (2), p. 155]), but it is clear that \mathbb{Z}_p as \mathbb{Z} -module is ss-flat for any prime number p .
- (2) Every ss-flat module is min-flat, since if M is an ss-flat left R -module, then M^+ is an ss-injective right R -module (by Lemma 2.3) and hence from [1, Lemma 2.6] we have that M^+ is right mininjective. By [2, Lemma 3.2], M is min-flat.
- (3) The Björk Example [6, Example 4.15]. Let F be a field and let $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ be an isomorphism $F \rightarrow \bar{F} \subseteq F$, where the subfield $\bar{F} \neq F$. Let R denote the left vector space on basis $\{1, t\}$, and make R into an F -algebra by defining $t^2 = 0$ and $t\alpha = \bar{\alpha}t$ for all $\alpha \in F$. By [1, Example 4.4], R is right mininjective ring but not right ss-injective ring. If $\dim({}_R F)$ is finite, then R right artinian by [6, Example 4.15]. Therefore, R is a right coherent ring. Thus R^+ is a left min-flat R -module by [2, Theorem 4.5], but the left R -module R^+ is not ss-flat by Theorem 2.10 below.

Lemma 2.3. The following statements are equivalent for a left R -module M :

- (1) M is ss-flat.
- (2) M^+ is ss-injective.
- (3) $\text{Tor}_1(R/A, M) = 0$, for every semisimple small right ideal A of R .
- (4) $\text{Tor}_1(R/B, M) = 0$ for every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal B of R .
- (5) The sequence $0 \rightarrow (S_r \cap J) \otimes M \rightarrow R_R \otimes M$ is exact.
- (6) The sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow R_R \otimes M$ is exact for every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal A of R .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) This follows from $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), M^*) \cong \text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M)^*$ (see the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1]).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1] and [1, Proposition 2.7], $\text{Tor}_1(R/A, M)^* \cong \text{Ext}^1(R/A, M^*) = 0$ for every semisimple small right ideal A of R .

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (3) Let I be a semisimple small right ideal of R , so $I = \varinjlim I_i$, where I_i is a finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R , $f_{ij}: I_j \rightarrow I_i$ is the inclusion map, and (I_i, f_{ij}) is a direct system (see [7, Example 1.5.5 (2)]). Clearly, $(R/I_i, h_{ij})$ is a direct system of R -modules, where $h_{ij}: R/I_i \rightarrow R/I_j$ is defined by $h_{ij}(a + I_i) = a + I_j$ with direct limit $(h_i, \varinjlim R/I_i)$. Since the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I_i & \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/I_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_{ij} & & \parallel & & \downarrow h_{ij} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I_j & \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_j} & R/I_j \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where f_{ij} and π_i are the inclusion and natural maps, respectively, thus the sequence $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} R \xrightarrow{u} \varinjlim R/I_i \rightarrow 0$ is exact by [3, 24.6].

It follows from [3, 24.4] that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/I_i \rightarrow 0 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow h_i \\ R & \xrightarrow{u} & \varinjlim R/I_i \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thus the family of mappings $\{g_i: R/I_i \rightarrow \varinjlim R/I_i, \text{ where } g_i(a + I_i) = a + \varinjlim I_i\}$ forms a direct system of homomorphisms, since for $i \leq j$, we get $g_j h_{ij}(a + I_i) = g_j(a + I_j) = a + \varinjlim I_i = g_i(a + I_i)$ for all $a + I_i \in R/I_i$. Thus, there is an

R -homomorphism α such that the following

diagram is commutative with short exact rows (see [3, 24.1]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \xrightarrow{u} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/I_i \xrightarrow{h_i} \varinjlim R/I_i \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I & \xrightarrow{i} & R & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & R/I_i \xrightarrow{h_i} R/\varinjlim I_i \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & & & & & R/\varinjlim I_i \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where π is the natural map, so it follows from [8, Exercise 11 (1), p. 52] that $\varinjlim R/I_i \cong R/\varinjlim I_i$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_1(R/I, M) &= \text{Tor}_1\left(R/\varinjlim I_i, M\right) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_1\left(\varinjlim R/I_i, M\right) \quad (\text{by [9, Theorem XII.5.4 (4)]}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong \varinjlim \text{Tor}_1(R/I_i, M) = 0 \quad (\text{by [10, Proposition 7.8].})$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Clear.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (5) By [9, Theorem XII.5.4 (3)], we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) \rightarrow (S_r \cap J) \otimes M \rightarrow R \otimes M$. Thus the equivalence between (1) and (5) is true.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (6) is similar to ((1) \Leftrightarrow (5)). ■

In following, we will use the symbol SSI (resp. SSF) to denote the classes of ss-injective right (resp. ss-flat left) R -modules.

Corollary 2.4. The pair (SSF, SSI) is an almost dual pair.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and [1, Theorem 2.4]. ■

Lemma 2.5. For a ring R , the following statements hold:

- (1) If $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated, then every pure submodule of ss-injective right R -module is ss-injective.
- (2) Every pure submodule of ss-flat left R -module is ss-flat.
- (3) Every direct limits (direct sums) of ss-flat left R -modules is ss-flat.
- (4) If M, N are left R -modules, $M \cong N$, and M is ss-flat, then N is ss-flat.



Proof. (1) Let M be an ss-injective right R -module and N be a pure submodule of M . Since $N/(S_r \cap J)$ is finitely presented, thus the sequence $\text{Hom}(R/(S_r \cap J), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/(S_r \cap J), M/N) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. By [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (4)], we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(R/(S_r \cap J), M) &\rightarrow \\ \text{Hom}(R/(S_r \cap J), M/N) &\rightarrow \\ \text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), N) &\rightarrow \\ \text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), N) = 0$. Hence N is an ss-injective right R -module.

(2), (3) and (4) By Corollary 2.4 and [4, Proposition 4.2.8, p. 70]. ■

Recall that a right R -module M is said to be FP -injective (or absolutely pure) if $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$ for every finitely presented right R -module N (see [11, 12]). A right R -module M is called n -presented, if there is an exact sequence $F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that each F_i is a finitely generated free right R -module (see [13]). A ring R is called min-coherent, if every simple right ideal of R is finitely presented (see [2]); equivalently, if every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal is finitely presented. In the following definition, we will introduce the concept of ss-coherent ring as a generalization of coherent ring

Definition 2.6. A ring R is said to be right ss-coherent ring, if R is a right min-coherent and $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated; equivalently, if $S_r \cap J$ is finitely presented.

Example 2.7.

- (1) Every coherent ring is ss-coherent.
- (2) Every ss-coherent ring is min-coherent.
- (3) Let R be a commutative ring, then the polynomial ring $R[x]$ is not coherent ring with zero socle by [2, Remark 4.2 (3)]. Hence $R[x]$ is an ss-coherent ring but not coherent.

Corollary 2.8. A right ideal $S_r \cap J$ of a ring R is finitely generated if and only if every FP -injective right R -module is ss-injective.

Proof. By [11, Proposition, p. 361]. ■

Theorem 2.9. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is a right min-coherent ring.
- (2) If M is an ss-injective right R -module, then M^* is ss-flat.

- (3) If M is an ss-injective right R -module, then M^{**} is ss-injective.
- (4) A left R -module N is ss-flat if and only if N^{**} is ss-flat.
- (5) SSF is closed under direct products.
- (6) ${}_R R^S$ is ss-flat for any index set S .
- (7) $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) = 0$ for every FP -injective right R -module M and every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal I .
- (8) If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of right R -modules with N is FP -injective and M is ss-injective, then $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, H) = 0$ for every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal I .
- (9) Every left R -module has an (SSF) -preenvelope.
- (10) If $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ is an (SSI) -preenvelope of a right R -module M , then $\alpha^*: N^* \rightarrow M^*$ is an (SSF) -precover of M^* .
- (11) For any positive integer n and any $b_1, \dots, b_n \in S_r \cap J$, then the right ideal $\{r \in R \mid b_1 r + b_2 r_2 + \dots + b_n r_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}$ is finitely generated.
- (12) For any finitely generated semisimple small right ideal A of R and any $x \in S_r \cap J$, then $\{r \in R; xr \in A\}$ is finitely generated.
- (13) $r(x)$ is finitely generated for any simple right ideal xR .
- (14) Every simple submodule of a projective right R -module is finitely presented.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let I be a finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R , thus there is an exact sequence $F_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} I \rightarrow 0$ in which F_i is a finitely generated free right R -module, $i = 1, 2$ by hypothesis. Therefore, the sequence $F_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\beta} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I \rightarrow 0$ is exact, where $i: I \rightarrow R$ and $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ are the inclusion and the natural maps, respectively and $\beta = i\alpha_1$. Thus R/I is 2-presented and hence [13, Lemma 2.7] implies that $\text{Tor}_1(R/I, M^*) \cong \text{Ext}^1(R/I, M)^* = 0$

Therefore, M^* is an ss-flat left R -module.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By (2) and Lemma 2.3.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Assume that N is an ss-flat left R -module, thus N^* is ss-injective by Lemma 2.3 and this implies that N^{***} is ss-injective by (3). So N^{**} is ss-flat by Lemma 2.3 again. The converse is obtained by [3, 34.6 (1)] and Lemma 2.5 (2).

(4) \Rightarrow (5) By (4), $(SSF)^{++} \subseteq SSF$. Since (SSF, SSI) is an almost dual pair (by Corollary 2.4), thus [4, Proposition 4.3.1 and Proposition 4.2.8 (3)] implies that SSF is closed under direct products.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Clear.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) By Example 2.2 (2) and [2, Theorem 4.5].

(1) \Rightarrow (7) Let I be a finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R and let M be a FP -injective right R -module. By [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (3)], we have the exact sequence $\text{Ext}^1(I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R, M)$. But $\text{Ext}^1(I, M) = 0$ (since M is FP -injective and I is finitely presented) and $\text{Ext}^2(R, M) = 0$ (since R is projective). Thus $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, M) = 0$.

(7) \Rightarrow (8) Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of right R -modules, where N is FP -injective and M is ss -injective and let I be a finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R . By [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (4)], we have an exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R/I, H) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, H) = 0$ for every finitely generated semisimple small right ideal I of R .

(8) \Rightarrow (1) Let N be a FP -injective right R -module, thus we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E(N) \rightarrow E(N)/N \rightarrow 0$. Let I be a finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R , thus $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, E(N)/N) = 0$ by hypothesis. So it follows from [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (4)] that the sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/I, E(N)/N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, E(N)) = 0$ is exact, and so $\text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$. Hence we have the exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(R, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(I, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R/I, N) = 0$ (see [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (3)]). Thus $\text{Ext}^1(I, N) = 0$ and this implies that I is finitely presented (see [11]). Therefore R is a right min-coherent.

(5) \Leftrightarrow (9) By Corollary 2.4 and [4, Proposition 4.2.8 (3), p. 70].

(2) \Rightarrow (10) Since $(SSI)^+ \subseteq SSF$ (by hypothesis) and $(SSF)^+ \subseteq SSI$ (by Lemma 2.3), thus the result follows from [14, 3.2, p. 1137].

(10) \Rightarrow (2) By taking M is an ss -injective right R -module in (10).

(1) \Rightarrow (11) Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in S_r \cap J$. Put $K_1 = b_1R + b_2R + \dots + b_nR$ and $K_2 = b_2R + \dots + b_nR$. Thus $K_1 = b_1R + K_2$. Define $f: R \rightarrow K_1/K_2$ by $f(r) = b_1r + K_2$ which is a well-define R -epimorphism, because if $r_1 = r_2 \in R$, then $b_1r_1 - b_1r_2 = 0 \in K_2$, that is $b_1r_1 + K_2 = b_1r_2 + K_2$. Now we have

$\ker(f) = \{r \in R | b_1r + K_2 = K_2\} = \{r \in R | b_1r \in K_2\} = \{r \in R | b_1r = b_1r_2 + \dots + b_nr_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_2, \dots, r_n \in R\}$. By (1), and using [15, Lemma 4.54 (1)], we have that K_1/K_2 is finitely presented. But $R/\ker(f) \cong K_1/K_2$, so $\ker(f)$ is finitely generated.

(11) \Rightarrow (12) Let $x \in S_r \cap J$ and A be any finitely generated semisimple small right ideal of R , then $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_iR$, so we have that $\{r \in R | xr \in A\} = \{r \in R | xr + a_1r_1 + \dots + a_nr_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}$ if finitely generated by hypothesis.

(12) \Rightarrow (13) By taking $A = 0$.

(13) \Rightarrow (1) Let xR be a simple right ideal. Since $r(x)$ is finitely generated and $xR \cong R/r(x)$, thus xR is finitely presented.

(1) \Rightarrow (14) Let $S_r = \bigoplus_{i \in I} a_iR$, where a_iR is a simple right ideal for each $i \in I$. If P is a projective right R -module, then P is isomorphic to a direct summand of $R^{(S)}$ for some index set S . Let A be any simple submodule of P , then $A \cong B \leq \bigoplus_S S_r = \bigoplus_S \bigoplus_{i \in I} a_iR$. Since A is finitely generated, then there are finite index sets $S_0 \subseteq S$ and $I_0 \subseteq I$ such that $A \cong B \leq \bigoplus_{S_0} \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} a_iR$, so it follows from [15, Lemma 4.54 (3)] that A is finitely presented.

(14) \Rightarrow (1) Clear. ■

Recall that a subclass \mathcal{F} of $\text{Mod-}R$ is said to be definable if it is closed under direct products, direct limits and pure submodules (see [4, Definition 2.4.1, p. 29]).

Theorem 2.10. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is a right ss -coherent ring.
- (2) A right R -module M is ss -injective if and only if M^+ is ss -flat.
- (3) A right R -module M is ss -injective if and only if M^{++} is ss -injective.
- (4) SSI is closed under direct limits.
- (5) $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated and every pure quotient of ss -injective right R -module is ss -injective.
- (6) The following two conditions hold:
 - (a) Every right R -module has an (SSI) -cover.
 - (b) Every pure quotient of ss -injective right R -module is ss -injective.



Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M^+ be ss-flat. Then M^{++} is ss-injective by Lemma 2.3, so it follows from [3, 34.6-7] and Lemma 2.5 (1) that M is ss-injective. The converse is obtained by Theorem 2.9.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let M^{++} be ss-injective, thus M^+ is ss-flat by Lemma 2.3 and hence M is ss-injective by hypothesis. The converse is true by Theorem 2.9.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be an FP-injective right R -module, then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M \rightarrow 0$ is pure by [16, Proposition 2.6 (c)], so it follows from [3, 34.5] that the sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{++} \rightarrow E(M)^{++} \rightarrow (E(M)/M)^{++} \rightarrow 0$ is split. Since $E(M)^{++}$ is ss-injective by hypothesis, thus M^{++} is ss-injective and hence M is ss-injective by hypothesis again. Therefore, $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated by Corollary 2.8, and so $S_r \cap J$ is finitely presented by Theorem 2.9. Thus R is a right ss-coherent ring.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Let $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a direct system of ss-injective right R -modules. Since $S_r \cap J$ is finitely presented, then $R/S_r \cap J$ is 2-presented, so it follows from [13, Lemma 2.9 (2)] that $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \varinjlim M_\lambda) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), M_\lambda) = 0$. Hence $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ is ss-injective.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\{E_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of injective right R -modules. Since $\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} E_i = \varinjlim \{\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} E_i; I_0 \subseteq I, I_0 \text{ finite}\}$ (see [3, p. 206]), then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$ is ss-injective and hence $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated by [1, Corollary 2.25]. By Lemma 2.5, SSI is closed under pure submodules. Since SSI is closed under direct products (by [1, Theorem 2.4]) and since SSI is closed under direct limits (by hypothesis), thus SSI is a definable class. By [4, Proposition 4.3.8, p. 89], (SSI, SSF) is an almost dual pair and hence a right R -module M is ss-injective if and only if M^+ is ss-flat.

(2) \Rightarrow (5) By the equivalence between (1) and (2), we have that $S_r \cap J$ is finitely generated. Now, let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ be a pure exact sequence of right R -modules with M is ss-injective, so it follows from [3, 34.5] that the sequence $0 \rightarrow (M/N)^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow N^+ \rightarrow 0$ is split. By hypothesis, M^+ is ss-flat, so $(M/N)^+$ is ss-flat. Thus M/N is ss-injective by hypothesis again.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) Let $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a direct system of ss-injective right R -modules. By [3, 33.9 (2)], there is a pure exact sequence $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda \rightarrow \varinjlim M_\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Since $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ is ss-injective by [1, Corollary 2.25], thus $\varinjlim M_\lambda$ is ss-injective by hypothesis.

(5) \Leftrightarrow (6) By [1, Corollary 2.25] and [17, Theorem 2.5]. ■

Corollary 2.11. A ring R is ss-coherent if and only if it is min-coherent and the class SSI is closed under pure submodules.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that R is ss-coherent ring, thus R is min-coherent and $S_r \cap J$ is a finitely generated right ideal of R . By Lemma 2.5 (1), SSI is closed under pure submodules.

(\Leftarrow) Let M be any ss-injective right R -module. Since R is min-coherent, thus Theorem 2.9 implies that M^+ is ss-flat. Conversely, let M be any right R -module with such that M^+ is ss-flat. By Lemma 2.3, M^{++} is ss-injective. Since M is a pure submodule of M^{++} (by [3, 34.6 (1)]) and since SSI is closed under pure submodule (by hypothesis) it follows that M is ss-injective. Hence for any right R -module M , we have that M is ss-injective if and only if M^+ is ss-flat. Thus Theorem 2.10 implies that R is ss-coherent. ■

Corollary 2.12. The following statements are equivalent for a right min-coherent ring R :

- (1) Every ss-flat left R -module is flat.
- (2) Every ss-injective right R -module is FP-injective.
- (3) Every ss-injective pure injective right R -module is injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For any ss-injective right R -module M , then M^+ is ss-flat by Theorem 2.9, and so M^+ is flat by hypothesis. Thus M^{++} is injective by [10, Proposition 3.54]. Since M is pure submodule of M^{++} , then M is FP-injective by [20, 35.8].

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By [16, Proposition 2.6 (c)] and [3, 33.7].

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that N is an ss-flat left R -module, thus N^+ is ss-injective pure injective by Lemma 2.3 and [3, 34.6 (2)]. Thus N^+ is injective, and so N is flat by [10, Proposition 3.54]. ■

Proposition 2.13. The following statements are equivalent for a right ss-coherent ring R :

- (1) R is a right ss-injective ring.
- (2) Every left R -module has a monic ss-flat preenvelope.
- (3) Every right R -module has epic ss-injective cover.
- (4) Every injective left R -module is ss-flat.
- (5) Every flat right R -module is ss-injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let N be a left R -module, then there is an R -epimorphism $\alpha: R_R^{(S)} \rightarrow N^+$ for some index set S by [10, Theorem 2.35], and so there is an R -monomorphism $g: N \rightarrow (R_R^+)^S$ by applying [9, Proposition XI.2.3], [3, 11.10 (2) (ii)] and [3, 34.6 (1)], respectively. On the other hand, N has ss-flat preenvelope $f: N \rightarrow F$ by Theorem 2.9. Since $(R_R^+)^S$ is ss-flat by Theorem 2.9 again, thus there is an R -homomorphism $h: F \rightarrow (R_R^+)^S$ such that $hf = g$, so this implies that f is an R -monomorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) Let N be an injective left R -module, then there is an R -monomorphism $f: N \rightarrow F$ with F is ss-flat. But $N \cong f(N) \subseteq {}^0 F$, so we have that N is ss-flat by Lemma 2.5 (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let M be a flat right R -module, then M^+ is injective and hence ss-flat. Thus M is ss-injective by Theorem 2.10.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Obvious, since R_R is flat.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let M be any right R -module, then M has ss-injective cover, say, $g: N \rightarrow M$ by Theorem 2.10. By [10, Theorem 2.35], there is an R -epimorphism $f: R_R^{(S)} \rightarrow M$ for some index set S . Since $R_R^{(S)}$ is ss-injective by [1, Corollary 2.25], then there is an R -homomorphism $h: R_R^{(S)} \rightarrow N$ such that $gh = f$, so g is an R -epimorphism.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $f: N \rightarrow R_R$ be an epic ss-injective cover. Since R_R is projective, then there is an R -homomorphism $g: R_R \rightarrow N$ such that $fg = I_R$, thus f is split, and so $N = \ker(f) \oplus B$ for some ss-injective submodule B of N . Therefore, $R_R \cong N/\ker(f) \cong B$ is ss-injective. ■

Proposition 2.14. The class SS is closed under cokernels of homomorphisms if and only if $\text{coker}(\alpha)$ is ss-injective for every ss-injective right R -module M and $\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Clear.

(\Leftarrow) Let A and B be any ss-injective right R -modules and f be any R -homomorphism from A to B . Define $\alpha: A \oplus B \rightarrow A \oplus B$ by $\alpha(x, y) = (0, f(x))$. Thus, we have that $(A \oplus B)/\text{im}(\alpha) \cong (A \oplus B)/(\{0\} \oplus \text{im}(f)) \cong A \oplus (B/\text{im}(f))$ is ss-injective. Thus $B/\text{im}(f)$ is ss-injective. ■

Proposition 2.15. The class SSF is closed under kernels of homomorphisms if and only if $\ker(\alpha)$ is ss-flat, for every ss-flat left R -module M and $\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Clear.

(\Leftarrow) Let $g: N \rightarrow M$ be any R -homomorphism with N and M are ss-flat left R -modules. Define $\alpha: N \oplus M \rightarrow N \oplus M$ by $\alpha(a, b) = (0, g(b))$. Thus $\ker(\alpha) = \ker(g) \oplus M$ is ss-flat by hypothesis and hence $\ker(g)$ is ss-flat. ■

Theorem 2.16. If R is a commutative ring, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is a min-coherent ring.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for all ss-injective R -modules M and all injective R -modules N .
- (3) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for all injective R -modules M and N .
- (4) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for all projective R -modules M and N .
- (5) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for all projective R -modules M and all ss-flat R -modules N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If I is a finitely generated semisimple small ideal of R , then I is finitely presented. By [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (3)], we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, M) \rightarrow 0$. Thus the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(I, M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(R, M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(R/I, M), N) \rightarrow 0$ is exact by [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (3)] again. So we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes I \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes R \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes (R/I) \rightarrow 0$ by [7, Theorem 3.2.11] and this implies that $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Clear.





(3) \Rightarrow (1) By [3, Proposition 2.3.4] and [10, Theorem 2.75], we have that $(R^{++})^S \cong \text{Hom}(R^+ \otimes R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^S \cong (\text{Hom}(R^+, R^+))^S$ for any index set S . Thus $(R^{++})^S \cong \text{Hom}(R^+, (R^+)^S)$ is ss-flat for any index set S by [3, 11.10 (2)] and since R^+ and $(R^+)^S$ are injective. Since R^S is a pure submodule of $(R^{++})^S$ by [3, 34.6 (1)] and [18, Lemma 1 (2)], so it follows from Lemma 2.5 (2) that R^S is ss-flat for any index set S . Thus (1) follows from Theorem 2.9.

(1) \Rightarrow (5) Since M is a projective R -module, thus there is a projective R -module P such that $M \oplus P \cong R^{(S)}$ for some index set S . Therefore, $\text{Hom}(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(P, N) \cong \text{Hom}(R^{(S)}, N) \cong (\text{Hom}(R, N))^S \cong N^S$ by [3, 11.10 and 11.11]. But N^S is ss-flat by Theorem 2.9, thus $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) Clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) For any index set S , by [3, 11.10 and 11.11], we have that $R^S \cong \text{Hom}(R^{(S)}, R)$. Thus R^S is ss-flat by (4), so it follows from Theorem 2.9 that (1) holds. ■

Corollary 2.17. The following statements are equivalent for a commutative ss-coherent ring R :

- (1) M is an ss-injective R -module.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for any injective R -module N .
- (3) $M \otimes N$ is ss-injective for any flat R -module N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Theorem 2.16.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By [10, Theorem 2.75], we have that $(M \otimes N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}(M, N^+)$ for any R -module N . If N is flat, then N^+ is injective by [10, Proposition 3.54], so $(M \otimes N)^+$ is ss-flat by hypothesis. Therefore, $M \otimes N$ is ss-injective by Theorem 2.10.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) This follows from [5, Proposition 2.3.4], since R is flat. ■

Corollary 2.18. Let R be a commutative ss-coherent ring and SSF is closed under kernels of homomorphisms. Then the following conditions hold for any R -module N :

- (1) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for any ss-injective R -module M .
- (2) $\text{Hom}(N, M)$ is ss-flat for any ss-flat R -module M .
- (3) $M \otimes N$ is ss-injective for any ss-injective R -module M .

Proof. (1) Let M be an ss-injective R -module. It is clear that the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E_1)$ where E_0 and E_1 are injective R -modules. By Theorem 2.16, we have that $\text{Hom}(M, E_0)$ and $\text{Hom}(M, E_1)$ are ss-flat, thus $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat by hypothesis.

(2) Let M be an ss-flat R -module, so we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_1, M)$ where F_0 and F_1 are free R -modules. By Theorem 2.16, the modules $\text{Hom}(F_0, M)$ and $\text{Hom}(F_1, M)$ are ss-flat. Therefore $\text{Hom}(N, M)$ is ss-flat by hypothesis.

(3) Let M be any ss-injective R -module, then $(M \otimes N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}(M, N^+)$ is ss-flat by [10, Theorem 2.75] and applying (1), and hence $M \otimes N$ is ss-injective by Theorem 2.10. ■

Theorem 2.19. Let R be a commutative ss-coherent ring, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is an ss-injective ring.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective for any projective R -module M and any flat R -module N .
- (3) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective for any projective R -modules M and N .
- (4) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective for any injective R -modules M and N .
- (5) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat for any flat R -module M and any injective R -module N .
- (6) $M \otimes N$ is ss-flat for any flat R -module M and any injective R -module N .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since R is ss-injective, thus every flat R -module is ss-injective by Proposition 2.13. Let M be a projective R -module, then $M \oplus P \cong R^{(S)}$ for some projective R -module P and for some index set S . Thus for all flat R -module N , we have $\text{Hom}(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(P, N) \cong \text{Hom}(R^{(S)}, N) \cong N^S$ by [3, 11.10 and 11.11]. Since N^S is ss-injective, thus $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since $R \cong \text{Hom}(R, R)$ by [3, 11.11], thus R is ss-injective ring.

(1)⇒(4) By the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1], $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \text{Hom}(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M), N)$ for all injective R -modules M and N . By Proposition 2.13, M is ss-flat. Thus $\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = 0$ and hence $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective.

(4)⇒(1) To prove R is an ss-injective ring, we need to prove that every injective R -module is ss-flat (see Proposition 2.13). Now, let M be any injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}(M, R^+)$ is ss-injective, so

$0 = \text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \text{Hom}(M, R^+)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M), R^+) \cong (\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) \otimes R)^+ \cong \text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M)^+$ by applying the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1], [10, Theorem 2.75] and [5, Proposition 2.3.4]. Therefore, $\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = 0$, since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is injective cogenerator. Thus M is ss-flat.

(5)⇒(1) and (6)⇒(1) By taking $M = R$ and using [3, 11.11] and [5, Proposition 2.3.4].

(1)⇒(5) Let M be a flat R -module and N be an injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is injective. Therefore $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-flat by Proposition 2.13.

(1)⇒(6) Let M be a flat R -module and let N be an injective R -module. Then N is ss-flat by Proposition 2.13, so the sequence $0 \rightarrow N \otimes (S_r \cap J) \rightarrow N$ is exact. Since M is flat, then the sequence $0 \rightarrow M \otimes N \otimes (S_r \cap J) \rightarrow M \otimes N$ is exact and this implies that $M \otimes N$ is ss-flat. ■

Proposition 2.20. Let R be a commutative ring, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is ss-flat.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective for all injective R -module N .
- (3) $M \otimes N$ is ss-flat for all flat R -module N .

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Let N be any injective R -module. Since

$\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \text{Hom}(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M), N) = 0$ by the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1], then $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is ss-injective.

(2)⇒(3) Let N be a flat R -module, then N^+ is injective by [10, Proposition 3.54]. So it follows from [10, Theorem 2.75] that $(M \otimes N)^+ \cong \text{Hom}(M, N^+)$ is ss-injective. Thus $M \otimes N$ is ss-flat by Lemma 2.3.

(3)⇒(1) Follows from [5, Proposition 2.3.4]. ■

Proposition 2.21. Let R be a commutative ring and M be a semisimple R -module. If M is ss-flat, then $\text{End}(M)$ is ss-injective as R -module.

Proof. By [5, p. 157], there is a group epimorphism $\varphi: (S_r \cap J) \otimes M \rightarrow (S_r \cap J)M$ given by $a \otimes x \mapsto ax$ for each generator, $a \otimes x \in (S_r \cap J) \otimes M$. Thus we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow (S_r \cap J) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{I_M \otimes 1} & R \otimes M \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f \\ 0 \rightarrow (S_r \cap J)M & \xrightarrow{I_2} & M \end{array}$$

where I_M is the identity map, I_1 and I_2 are the inclusion maps, and f is an isomorphism defined by [5, Proposition 2.3.4]. Since $f \circ (I_2 \otimes I_M)$ is \mathbb{Z} -monomorphism, then φ is isomorphism. Therefore $(S_r \cap J) \otimes M \cong (S_r \cap J)M \subseteq J(M) = 0$ by [19, Theorem 9.2.1]. So it follows from [10, Theorem 2.75] that $0 = \text{Hom}((S_r \cap J) \otimes M, M) \cong \text{Hom}(S_r \cap J, \text{End}(M))$. But the sequence $0 = \text{Hom}(S_r \cap J, \text{End}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \text{End}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(R, \text{End}(M)) = 0$ is exact by [9, Theorem XII.4.4 (3)]. Thus $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), \text{End}(M)) = 0$ and hence $\text{End}(M)$ is an ss-injective as R -module. ■

Proposition 2.22. Let R be a commutative ring and M be a simple R -module. Then M is ss-flat if and only if M is ss-injective.

Proof. (⇒) Let $M = mR$ be a simple R -module. Define $f: \text{Hom}(mR, mR) \rightarrow mR$ by $f(\alpha) = \alpha(m)$. We assert that f is a well define R -homomorphism. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1(m) = \alpha_2(m)$, so $f(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_2)$. Now, let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{End}(M)$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$, then $f(r_1\alpha_1 + r_2\alpha_2) = (r_1\alpha_1 + r_2\alpha_2)(m) = (r_1\alpha_1)(m) + (r_2\alpha_2)(m) = r_1\alpha_1(m) + r_2\alpha_2(m) = r_1f(\alpha_1) + r_2f(\alpha_2)$ proving the assertion. Since $f(\text{End}(M)) = M$ and $\ker(f) = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(M): f(\alpha) = 0\} = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(M): \alpha(m) = 0\} = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(M): 0 \neq m \in \ker(\alpha)\} = 0$, then $\text{End}(M) \cong M$ and hence M is ss-injective by Proposition 2.21.

(⇐) Let $\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of all simple R -modules and $E = E(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda)$. Then $\text{Hom}(M, E) \cong M$ by the proof of [12, Lemma 2.6], so it follows from the dual version of [7, Theorem 3.2.1] that $\text{Ext}^1(R/(S_r \cap J), M) = \text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(R/(S_r \cap J), M), E)$. Since M is



is injective then $\text{Hom}(\text{Tor}_1(R/S, R/J), M, E) = 0$. But E is injective cogenerator by [8, Corollary 18.19], this $\text{Tor}_1(R/S, R/J) = 0$ (see [7, definition 3.2.71] and hence M is ss-flat. ■

Recall that a ring R is called *PS-ring* (resp., *FS-ring*) if S_r is projective (resp., flat) (see [20]); equivalently, if $S_r \cap J$ is projective (resp., flat). The following corollary extends a result of [20, Proposition 8 (1)] that a commutative *FS-ring* is *PS-ring*.

Corollary 2.23. The following statements are equivalent for a commutative ring R :

- (1) R is a universally mininjective.
- (2) R is a *PS-ring*.
- (3) R is an *FS-ring*.
- (4) S_r is ss-flat.

Proof. By [1, Corollary 1.19] and Proposition 2.22. ■

References

[1] A. S. Tayyah and A. R. Mehdi, *SS-Injective Modules and Rings*, arxiv: Math., RA/1607.07924v1, 27 Jul 2016.
 [2] L. Mao, *Min-Flat Modules and Min-Coherent Rings*, *Comm. Algebra*, 35(2007), 635-650.
 [3] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, 1991.
 [4] A. R. Mehdi, *Purity relative to classes of finitely presented modules*, PhD Thesis, Manchester University, 2013.
 [5] P. E. Bland, *Rings and Their Modules*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
 [6] I. Amin, M. Yousif and N. Zeyada, *Soc-injective rings and modules*, *Comm. Algebra* 33 (2005) 4229-4250.

[7] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
 [8] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
 [9] P.A. Grillet, *Abstract Algebra*, 2nd edition, GTM 242, Springer, 2007.
 [10] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Springer, 2009.
 [11] E. E. Enochs, *A note on absolutely pure modules*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 19(1976), 361-362.
 [12] R. Ware, *Endomorphism rings of projective modules*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 155(1971), 233-256.
 [13] J. L. Chen and N. Q. Ding, *On n -coherent rings*, *Comm. Algebra*, 24(1996), 3211-3216.
 [14] E. E. Enochs and Z. Y. Huang, *Injective envelopes and (Gorenstein) flat covers*, *Algebr. Represent. Theor.*, 15 (2012) 1131-1145.
 [15] T.Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, GTM 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
 [16] B. Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, *J. London. Math. Soc.*, 2(1970), 323-329.
 [17] H. Holm and P. Jørgensen, *Covers, precovers, and purity*, *Illinois J. Math.*, 52 (2008), 691-703.
 [18] T. J. Cheatham and D. R. Stone, *Flat and Projective Character Modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 175-177.
 [19] F. Kasch, *Modules and Rings*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
 [20] Y. Xiao, *Rings with flat socles*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(1995), 2391-2395.

المقاسات المسطحة من النمط SS-

عقيل رمضان مهدي
جامعة القادسية
كلية التربية
قسم الرياضيات

عادل سالم نايف
جامعة القادسية
كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات
قسم الرياضيات

Email: akeel.mehdi@qu.edu.iq

Email: adils9888@gmail.com

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث، تم تقديم ودراسة المقاسات المسطحة من النمط SS- كمفهوم رديف للمقاسات الاغمارية من النمط SS- الحلقات المتماثلة من النمط - min، الحلقات من النمط FS-، الحلقات من النمط PS-، والحلقات الاغمارية كلياً من النمط min- باستخدام المقاسات المسطحة من النمط SS- والمقاسات الاغمارية من النمط SS-.