Western SGraduate & Postdoctoral Studies

Western University Scholarship@Western

Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository

January 2013

Examining Assistive Technology Use, Self-concept, and Motivation, as Students with Learning Disabilities Transition from a Demonstration School into Inclusive Classrooms

Gabrielle D. Young The University of Western Ontario

Supervisor Dr. Jacqueline Specht The University of Western Ontario

Graduate Program in Education

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree in Doctor of Philosophy

© Gabrielle D. Young 2012

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd Part of the <u>Educational Psychology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Young, Gabrielle D., "Examining Assistive Technology Use, Self-concept, and Motivation, as Students with Learning Disabilities Transition from a Demonstration School into Inclusive Classrooms" (2012). *Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository*. 1054. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/1054

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Western. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository by an authorized administrator of Scholarship@Western. For more information, please contact tadam@uwo.ca.

Examining Assistive Technology Use, Setfincept, and Motivation, as Students with Learning Disabilities Transition from a Demonstration School into Inclusive Classrooms

(Spine title: Transition from a Demonstration School into Inclusive Classrooms)

(Thesis formatMonograph)

by

Gabrielle D.Young

Graduate Program in Education

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

The School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

Western University

London, Ontario, Canada

© Gabrielle D. Young 2012

WESTERN UNIVERSITY

School of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

CERTIFICATE OF EXAMINATION

<u>Superviso</u>r

Dr. Jacqueline Specht

Supervisory Committee

Dr. Jamie Metsala

Examiners

Dr. Jason Brown

Dr. Alan Leschied

Dr. Pamela Cushing

Dr. John Freeman

The thesis by

Gabrielle D. Young

entitled:

Examining Assistive Technology Use, Selfoncept, and Motivation, as Students with

Learning Disabilities Transition From a Demonstration School Into Inclusive

Classrooms

is accepted in partial fulfilment of the

requirements fothe degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Date__

Chair of the Thesis Examination Board

Abstract

Provincial demonstration schools provide specialized programs for students with learning disabilities approvide a supportive environment where students learn about their learning disabilities and how they learn best. Embedded within subject area instruction, these schools provide intensive training on the use of assistive technology. This mixed methods sday followed 12 students (8 males and 4 females between 14 and 16 years of age) and their parents in otdemderstand students€ transition from a demonstration school into high schools, their assistive technology use in both school environments, and hothese environments may have impacted their contract and school motivation. Participants reported students experienced a positive transition to high schoolbecause of the independence and **adv** for a schoolbecause of the independence and **adv** for a school because of the independence and **adv** for a school because of the independence and **adv** for a school because of the independence and **adv** for a school because of the independence and **adv** for a school because of the independence adv for adv for a school because of the in demonstration school eacherstudent relationships were more positive at the demonstration school than at high schoolere were no significant differences between the degree to which assistive technology impacted students€ competence, adaptability, and selfesteem at the emonstration school and at high school. Students continued to benefit from assistive technology in high school and used the technology to varying degrees. Students€ perceptions of the neral intellectual abilition reading, writing, spelling, and mathompetencies increased while attending the demonstration school. Students€ perceived reading and writing competences decreased in high school, yet remained higher from when students entered the demonstration school. There were no significant differences detween students€ motivation and engagement at the demonstration school and high school. Implications are discussed in regards to supportive school practices for students with learning disabilities and how these practices can be applied in inclusive schools

iii

Keywords:demonstration schools, students with learning disabilisiescol transitions, assistive technology, settoncept, selfesteem, school motivationschool belonging

Acknowledgements

It is a great pleasure to be able to acknowledge theidrudies who have supported me as I undertook this doctoral journey.

I would like to express my since appreciation to my supervisor. Jacquelie Specht Jacqui, you weren incredibly strongnentor during both my Master€s and Doctoral StudiesYour guiding wisdomdirected me through this researchojpct andyou wereone of the main reasons I deted to pursue a PhD and a career in academia. Jacqui, you will continue to remain a sound role model in my life. You made my graduate experience extremely enjeble, and just as you had a positive impact on my life, I will aspire to do the same forhe graduate students with whom I work.

I would also like to extend my appreciation to my committee member Dr. Jamie Metsala Jamie, you are recognized for your **extiss** in the area of learning disabilities, and your careful consideration and thoughtful comments greatly strengthened this dissertation.

The financial assistance provided by Western University, an Ontario Graduate Scholarship, and the Scottish Rite **Chab**le Foundation of Canada, enabled me to pursue my doctoral studies full time. Without this support, my doctoral studies would not have been possible.

I would also like to thank my family and friends for supporting me in my educational pursuits My family, especially my parent Son and Marieve Young encouraged me to pursure doctoral studies and supported me along the way. Dad, I appreciated your vested interested in this project tope that seeing this project to completion has made you proud. Mysband, Justin Weiler, has experienced the highs and lows of writing this doctoral dissertation ongside meThank you for understanding when my assistant professorship and my doctoral studies left little time for family, friends, or fun.

Finally, I wish to thank Mr. John Barryand the wonderful staff at the demonstration schoot making this research endex possible. I am indebted to the students and parents who welcomed me into your homes and openly shared your experiences surrunding the trantion from this demonstration school into your neighborhood schools look forward to sharing your stories as deleing the positive impact they may have on the lives of other students with learning disabilities.

Table of Conterts

Section	Page Nu	J mber
Certificate of Examination """"""""""""""		
Abstract and Keywords,,,,,,,,,,,,iii		
Acknowledgements """"""""""""		.V
Table of Contenst ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		vi
List of Tables,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		X
List of Appendices,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		xi
		.1
Demonstration Schools,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, 4
Rationale for Study and Resea ld potheses ,,,,,,,,,,,5		
LITERATURE REVIEW,,,,,,,,,,,,,9		
Self-concept and Selesteem ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,11		
Social Compation Theory ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		13
Impact of Academic Adevement on Selesteem ,,,,,,,		14
Inconsistencies ithe Literature """""""""		15
Importance oSelf-esteem """"""""	,,,-	17
Motivation """"""""".18		
School Motivation ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		18
Attribution Theory and bcus of Control """"""19		
Intrinsic Motivation ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		20
Motivation and Students with Learning Disabilities ""	,,,,-	21
Strategies to Improve Motivation """""""…		23
Self-effi cacy ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		24

Secton	Page N	Number
Practices that Promote Supportive School Environments ,,,	,,,,,,·	27
Classroom SociaBelonging ,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,-	27
Teacherstudent Relationships,,,,,,,,,,	,	29
Practices that Inhibit SupportevSchool Environments	,,,,,,32	
School Transitions,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		33
Impact of School Transitions ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		34
Strategies to Support Scholansitions ,,,,,,,,,,		39
Assistive Technology an8tudents wh Learning Disabilities	,,,,,,41	
Kurzweil 3000, Dragon Naturally Speaking, WordQ,	and Inspir	ration ,.43
Benefits of Assistive Technology ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		47
Need for Assessment and Triaig ,,,,,,,,,,,		50
METHOD .,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,.,		
Participants """"""54		
Overview of Participants ,,,,,,,,,,,,,55		
Measures,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		58
Self-Perception Profile for Legaing Disabled Students	S ,,, ,,,	58
Motivation and Engagement Scaleligh School,,,,,,,,,		60
Patterns of Adaptiveearning Survey ,,,,,,,,,,,		61
Psychosocial Impact of Assize Devices Scale ,,,,,,,,,.		62
Interviews """		
Prœedure """"""""""	,,,,	65
Time 1, 2, and 3 ,,,,,,		
Time 4 ,,,,,,,67		

Page Number

Section

Time 5 ,,,,,,,,,69	
Data Analysis,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
RESULTS ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Initial School Expeience ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,73	
Perceptions of the Demonstion School ,,,,,,,,,,,,.76	
Class Sz e """"	
Teacherstudent Relationships ,,,,,,,,,,,,,.77	
StudentsBelonged ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	81
Peers with Lerning Disabilities """""""""…	82
SupportiveEnvironment """""""""""	83
Students were Scessful """"""	
Assistive Technology Use at tibemonstration School ,,,,,88	
Transition to HighSchool """	
Perceptions of High School and Changes in School Support ,,,,,,,.100	
Examining the Impact of Asstige Technology ,,,,,,,,,,,,	109
Difficulty Using Assistive Technology in High School,	112
Examining Changes in Settoncept,,,,,,,,,,,114	
Confidence ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	127
Examining Changeis Motivation ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	130
Persistence	135
Valued Learning,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	138
Self-efficacy ,,,,,. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	139
Academic Abilities ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	143

Section	Page Number
DISCUSSION, """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	145
Impact of the Demonstration School,	146
Impact of the Assistive Technology,,,,,,,,,,	151
Impact on Selfesteem, Selfconcept and Confidence,,,,,	153
Impact on Moitvation """"""""""…	158
Impact on Selfefficacy and Academic Achievement """".	. 160
Transition to High School ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	162
Perceived Support in School ,,,,,,,,,,1	63
Issues Surrounding As tike Technology Use ,,,,,,,,,	167
Strategies to Make Schoolsolve Supportive ,,,,,,,,,,,,	169
Promote Positive Sf-beliefs """""""	169
Provide Quality Instruction ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	174
Implications for Transitionsinclusive Schools, and ssistve Tech	nology , 180
Strengths and Limitations of elCurrent Study ,,,,,,,,,185	
Future Resard ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,187	
References ", ",,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Appendices " """"""""""".2	28
Curriculum Vita,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, 260

List of Tables

Title	Page Number
Table 1- Outline of the Research & col ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	66
Academic Selfconcept Poshoc Comparisons with SPILD Data ,,,,,,,,	, •
Table 2- General IntellectuaAbility ,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,117	
Table 3- Reading Competece ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,118	
Table 4- Writing Competence ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,119	
Table 5- Spelling Competece ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,120	
Table 6- Math Competnce ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,121	
Table 7• Bar Graph Repsenting Subscale Means from the S	1999 ,,,123
Table 8• Motivation and Engagement Subscale Comparisons ,,,,,,,,,	131

List of Appendices

Section	Page Number
Appendix A- Consent to be Contacted for Fut Research """""".	228
Appendix B - Ethical Approval Form ,,,,,,,,,,	230
Appendix C- Letter of Information,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.231
AppendixD - Interview Questions,,,,,,,,,,,,,232	
Appendix E- Interview Coding Scheme ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	245
Appendix F- Participant Feedback	257

Introduction

Adolescence has been identified as a precarious stage regarding changes in achievement beliefs and behaviours (Eccles et al., 1993). There is evidence that school related worries increase and that pericepst of academic competence, academic values, and course grades decrease during the early adolescent period (Roeser, Midgley, & Urdan, 1996)More so than at any other ageome young adolescents begin to doubt their ability to succeed at their school worduestion the value of their school work, and decrease their effort towards completing academic tasks (Eccles et al., 1993). Adolescence can sobe described as a time of increased set fsciousness (Harter, 1990b), and because of this, the promotion cespectful class setting and a supportive school environment may be especially beneficial to adolescents€ adaptive social functioning in the classroom. In order to ensure that the school environment is supportive for adolescent students, especially thosith learning disabilities, schools need to address issues concerning set/steem and set/fficacy (Long, MacBlain, & MacBlain, 2007).

According to the Learning Disability Association of Canada (2002a.), flearning disabilities refer to a number disorders which may affect the acquisition, organization, retention, understanding or use of verbal or nonverbal information. Learning disabilities differ from intellectual disabilities **asy** affect learning in individuals who otherwise demonstrate average abilities essential for thinking or reasoning. The current study followed students with learning disabilities as they transitioned from a demostration school into their neighbourhoschools in order to better understand their perception school environments, the degree to which they may have been impacted by assistive technology in both school environments, and how these environments may have impacted their coefficient and school motivation. Self concept and motivation are introcked in the text which follows. It is important to examine these constructs as they are salient in the research literature which addresses success in school for students with learning disabilities.

Feeling competent in your academic capabilities, beliet/viagyou can complete an academic task, being motivated and engaged in school, and feeling a sense of school belonging are sel/oriented attributes which are impacted by the individual and the school environment; educators can influence these self fefs and behaviours and help the student to do the same. Due to the pertinence of academicosel pertor to subsequent achievement (Marsh & Martin, 2010), the importance of motivation to school success (Balfanz, 2007), and the ability of a sense of school behaviours will be examined in this study.

Students with learning disabilities can use assistive technology as a means to become more independent learners and more successible/naically. Assistive technology can help to facilitate a positive school experience and may impact academic self-perceptions and one€s motivation to complete academic tasks. This study will examine student€s use of assistive technology at the demonstication and at high school.

Self-esteem is the overall evaluation of oneself as a person and it can be assessed by examining domains pecific competencies or areas of scelf here that are valued by an individual (Harter, 1990a). One should not infer low f-esteem because of low self concept in a particular domain low we view ourselves may non-pact how we value ourselves, foit is only achievement in valued domains that predict self fs. Children with learning disabilities generally recognize the trie ical importance of academic achievement and are aware they lack competence in this area (Elbaum & Vaughn, 2003). As a result, researchers have found that students with learning disabilities have lower self concepts than their notisabled peers (Humpery, 2002; Kloomok & Cosden, 1994; MacMaster, Donovan, & MacIntyre, 2002; McNulty, 2003; Stone & May, 2002; Valas, 1999).

School motivation can be conceptualized as a student€s determination to learn, work effectively and achieve to their potential (Mian;t2003). Some of the most powerful attributions affecting motivation are beliefs about ability (Woolfolk, Winne, & Perry, 2006). Students with learning disabilities are likely to recognize their academic difficulties and they may be reluctant to atterschool assignments if they expect to fail. This sense of learned helplessness has a negative impact on school motivation and may be a particular hazard for students with learning disabilities (Valas, 2001).

Assistive technology refers to any equipment **that** be used to improve the functional capability of an individual (Reed & Bowser, 2005). For individuals with learning disabilities this may include computer programs that provide **specteckt**, text to-speech, graphic organizers, and word predictionalizitipes. Research has demonstrated that assistive technology can have a positive impact on the reading, writing, and spelling abilities of children with learning disabilit(**Es**/menova, Graff, Marci Kinas, & Behrmann, 2012; asting & Halaas Lyster, 2006; raham, 1999; Hall, Hughes, & Filbert, 2000; Hetzroni & Shrieber, 2004; liggins & Raskind, 2000, 2004; ange, McPhillips, Mulhern, & Wylie, 2006; MacArthur, 2000; Tam, Archer, Mays, & Skidmore, 2005; As students learn to use these programs they may **irephre**ir reading and writing abilities in all content areas (MacArthur, 2000).

Demonstration Schools

Provincial demonstration schools were developed by the Ontario Ministry of Education. These schools provide intensive and specialized educational performan students with learning disabilities and are residential in natible program objectives of the demonstration schools are as follows: 1) to provide residential education programs for pupils with severe learning disabilities; 2) to assist enrollepils to develop personal life and learning strategies which will enable them to return to programs within local school boards, other educational jurisdictions, or the community; 3) to provise rince teacher education and; 4) to provide resource servitor school boards as required, including pupil assessment and/or programming assistance (Ontario Ministry of Education, 1990).

In Ontario, provincial demonstration schools provide specialized educational programs for students with learning disabilitiesho may also have attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or other cexisting identifications. These schools provide residential programming for students whose educational needs cannot be met in their local school boards. Demonstration schools/jole individualized instruction and social skills and advocacy training so that students caequepped withstrategies to be successful when they return to their neighborhood schools. Students attend these schools for up to two years and transition plaand followup are utilized to increase the chances that students have a successful transibies k into their local school boards.

The demonstration school follows provincial curriculum within a highly individualized setting. Class sizes range frome **fiv** eight students and teachærs well asresidence couns**ler**s, work to implement a program designed to optimize each student€s academic and social growth. The demonstration school teaches students to read. The school employs a variety of strategieintprove literacy as student€s programs are

tailored towards their unique learning needs, and as a result, students often achieve significant gains in literacy skills (personal communication with demonstration school principal, August 17, 2009). Assistise ftware which provides texto-speech, speedo-text, word prediction, spell checkers, and graphic organizers are also used to support student€s reading and writing during content area instruction.

The residency requirement is an extension of the detration school program because it provides structured homework periods and social skills training. Students are regularly assigned homework to reinforce organizational skills and promote good work habits. Counseors are alo available to monitor studeen homework completion and provide support. Students with learning disabilities may also experience social difficulties (Haager, Watson, & Willows, 1995; Helper, 1997; Kavale & Forness, 1996; Nowicki, 2003; Stone & La Greca, 1990/alkera & Nabuzokab, 2007/alas, 1999). Social skills training is provided within the residency pragn in order to improve studeen anguage and communication, social skills, life skills, and independence. Students are also able to participate in a variety of extracurricular is to help develop their confidence in a safe and supervised environment.

Rationale for Study and Research Hypotheses

Demonstration schools keep their class sizes small (between five to eight students), thus enabling teachers to gear their instrutctiveards each student€s learning needs. The demonstration school also offers assistive technology which can help students compensate for their learning difficulties and provide a means for students to excel in school (Roberts & Stodden, 2005) providing a means to be successful, students may experience improved academic outcomes which may result in improvements in academic self-concept.

Teachers at the demonstration school have been seconded from school boards across Ontario because of their exempleaching practices. In visiting the discussed demonstration school, it became apparent to me that teachers know their students on a personal level and are committed to helping them succeed. Relationships are one of the critical factors developing young coples€motivation and engagement in school (Martin & Dowson, 2009). Teachers need to develop a positive relationship with their students as students€ feelings of acceptantbetoyteachers is associated with emotional, cognitive, and behavioural engagement in school, and students who believe that their teacher is caring tend to learn more (Martin & Dowson, 2009). In addition, teachers higher in warmth tend to foster improved levels of confidence in their students (Martin & Dowson, 2009).

The demonstration school which is the focus of this studgerovides a supportive environment where students can develop a better understanding totalneing disability and discovernow they learn best. This school is designed to meet the needs of students with learing disabilities, and as a result, students at this school are provided with individualized instruction and intensive training on the use of assistive technology. This school provides its students with the mostourplate training on the use of assistive technology, its educators know how to implement the technology in accordance with the curriculum, and students leave the school feeling confident and competent in their use of the technology (Young, 2007).

My Master€s thesis (Young, 2007) was conducted stuitdents who were in their first year of attendance at the demonstration school. Twteney students were interviewed;87% of these students indicated that the use of assistive technology benefited their reading and writing and %30f participants ported that their confidence improved

since using assistive technology. When asked if they felt the use of assistive technology increased their self-esteem, 87% of participants commented that it increased their self esteem *f* quite a bit, and that their self eem increased because of *f* all of the computers., Although students commented that their self em increased, these findings were not supported by data from the administ Self Perception Profile for Learning Disabled Student (SPPLD; Renick & Harter 1988). As a result, with encouragement from the demonstration school principal, a followup study was conducted with a new cohort of demonstration school students in order to determine if changes is teel and self concept would occur after an extend period of time.

In a follow-up study (Young & Specht, 2009), a new cohort of demonstration school students were administered the **&**DF(Renick & Harter, 1988) in September 2007, May 2008, and June 2009. From September 2007 to May 2008, 47 students demonstrated a significant increase in all of the academic **cset** (ept domains. With the exception of Math Competence hich demonstrated a medium effect size, all of the academic domains presented large effect sizes (Cohen, **P3668**) dsamplest-tests were also conducted with the SPLPD (Renick & Harter, 1988) data from September 2007 and June 2009. All of the set concept comparisons were significant and all effect size calculations were largendicating that over the two year period in which students attended the demonstration school, marked improvements were made in their academic self-perceptions.

My doctoral study builds on my previous research and uses both surveys and interviews to provide an idepth analysis of the way in which assistive tedogo and a supportive school environment influences academic selfcept and school motivation. Some of the students from the previously discussed study consented to participate in my doctoral research mixed-methods approach was selected because optimential richness of the data. The quantitative survey data examined group differences, whereas the qualitative interview data provided an examination of individual differences. In my Master€s research, one student commented that the use of assistioleder [made them] feel good, more confident so that [they] can do stuff, (Young, 2007, p. 47); this comment was representative of the group. Based on former interview data, I hypothesized that the strategies and supports that the demonstration scboolged would result in students having an improved academic-selfcept a well as increases thool motivation. If these improvements were to occur, I was unsure if they would persist when students transitioned back into their neighbourhood schools. Urhenc study was exploratory in nature as I set forth to better understand the experiences of students with learning disabilities as they attended a demonstration school, transitioned into local high schools, and completed an academic year in these schools students they transitioned into their neighbourhosd in order to determine the degree to which they continued to use the assistive technology and how they were coping and performing in school. I focused on the following research **dioes**: How did students find the transition to their neighbourhood theorem whether the students€ perceptions of using the assistive technology at the demonstration school and at their current high schools? Is there a change in set foncept and school motiviath from when students were at the demonstration school to when they weiterated in high school? And there a difference between the perceived level of support at the demonstration school and the perceived level of support in high school?

In the textwhich follows I introduce the constructs of selfncept, selfesteem, and motivation, as well as the research literature on supportive school environments, school transitions, and assistive technology. This is followed by an overview of the research pattipants, the measures used in this study, as well as the data collection and analysis techniques. The results are organized according to students€ previous school experiences, their perceptions of the demonstration schoott;æmsition into their neighbourhoodschoots, as well as an examination of changes that may have occurred in regards to studest€perceived school support, impact of the use of assistive technology, as well as perceived changes in scelficept and school motivation. This is followedaby discussion of the results, which utilizes the findings of the current study and other research literature to suggest strategies to make schools more supportive for students with learning disabilities.

Literature Review

A metaanalysis completed by Marsand Martin (2010) demonstrated that prior academic selfconcept has direct and indirect effects on subsequent achievement. It is important to examine academic **self**ncept as positive selfconcept is a desirable outcomeas well as an important mediatorother outcomes (Marsh & Martin, 2010). Motivation is of interest to educators because of the role it plays in student learning. It is important to examine school motivation because middle school students' success can leverage continued success acrossdontent areas (Anderman, Patrick, & Ryan, 2004) and into high schoolas well ashigher education. School motivation is **atical** component of middle gradsdudents' success, and ensuring students maintain strong academic motivation during the middlehool years is paramount to ensuring they remain on thepath to high school graduatio Balfanz, 2007). In additionan important reason for cultivating motivation in students is that academic proficiency is necessary for full participation in society (Log, Monoi, Harper, Knoblauch, & Murphy, 2007). The middle school yearsæcritical to young adolescæædevelopment of their self-esteem and motivation to succeed. Middle schools play a significant role during these years and can have a positive impæretstudents' academic growth and personal development. Teachers can affect students' motivation and unmotivated students can become motivated when placed in a positive learning environment that provides engaging and relevant tasks (Dev, 1997). Academi**ć-se**hcept and school motivation are central to student success, and as a result, these constructs will be examined in this study and will be explored in the literature review which follows.

School belonging refers to a student€s f sense of being accepted, included and encouraged by others in the academic classroom setting and of feeling oneself to be an important part of the life and activity of the class, (Goodenow, 1993, p.25). Osterman€s (2000) literature review indicated that a sense of sdbooirbecan have a positive impact on accemic achievement Researchers have also investigated associations between students' sense of belonging and a range of affective-been been section as a section of the section of th related outcomes. Findings from the National Longitudinal Study onesdeht Health demonstrated that the sense of school belonging was associated with lower levels of emotional distress, lower suicidal ideation, lower levels of involvement in violence, and less frequent use of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana in adole & estrick et al., 1997). The instructional and interpersonal characteristics of classes can contribute to students' perceptions of belonging at the class and general school level (Anderman & Freeman, 2004). Unfortunately, adolescents with lower levels after nic achievement may be less likely to report a sense of belonging than their higheriteving peers (Anderman & Freeman, 2004A sense of belonging is an important human need (Osterman, 2000), and as a result the current study examines students weter thing disabilities€ perceived sense of belonging at a demonstration school ærtdvarious high schools.

The transition to high school is viewed as a difficult time for adolesdents. addition to a larger environment, increased academic demands, aoedd endusonal support (Smith, 1997), students also face social challenges including harassment or teasing by older students,-establishing popularity, and difficulty in making new friends (Langenkamp, 2009). Little empirical research exists on the ticam stit high school or on the effectiveness of strategies designed to support this transition, and to date there is no published research examining the experience of students with learning disabilities as they transition out of provincial demonstration solscand into their community schools; this transition is the focus of the study.

Students with learning disabilities may benefit from the use of assistive technology as a tool to become more independen **starce** bestful learners. Assistive technology is a sessential component of the demonstration school **progra** cussed in this study. As a result, a review of the literature on assistive technology for students with learning disabilities is included in the text which follows.

Self-concept and Selfesteem

Self-concept is considered by most theorists to be **rfautte** and hierarchical, and it is considered to be separate from **estl** em (Burden, 2008). Research supports the usefuless of academic set onceptas an important outcome variable *f* but also as a mediating variable that facilitates the attainment of other desirable outcomes, (Marsh & Yeung, 1997, p. 50). Valentine, DuBo and Cooper (2004) conducted a materiallysis which established the cyclical nature of academic achievement and academic self concept. Holding a positive view of one€s ability to be successful in school was found to be a predictor of gains in academic achievement over, **tona**ccording to these authors, academic selfconcept has a positive effect on achievement, which subseq**basta**y positive effect on academic selfconcept.

The development of a positive academic-**self**icept is essential to the learning process, but this positive sense of self is not always found amongst students with learning disabilities. Researchers hav@g@ested that a selferpetuating cycle of failure becomes established early in the lives of children with learning disabilities (Chapman, 1988). For these children, early failures often lead to a lowered sense of academcionscelft, which in turn contributes to lowered expectations for future success and reduced achievement efforts, which then results in further failure (Durrant, Cunningham, & Voelker, 1990). Students with learning disabilities often do not achieve academic success. They need to be provied with the means to be successful so that they can experience a similar level of academic achievement as their peers without learning disabilities.

Self-esteem is the overall evaluation of oneself as a person (Harter, 1990a). One approach to assessingfsesteem is by examining domæipecific competencies or areas of self-concept that are valued by an individual (Renick & Harter, 1988)earch has demonstrated that for individuals with learning disabilities int selfconcepts include perceptions foone€s general intellectual abilipyerformance on specific academic tasks appearancændsocial acceptability (Renick & Harter, 1988)hen an individual is competent in domains deemed important, high levels ofestefem will ensue, and conversely,when the importance of success far outweighs perceived competencies, low self-esteem is experienced (Harter, 1990a). Social comparison theory.

Between middle childhood and early adolescence, social comparison information assumes increasingly greaterpiontance in the child€s efforts to evaluate the self (Renick & Harter, 1989). When one€s performance on a task is discrepant from others, inferences about ability are likely to be made. As demonstrated by Smith and Naggle (1995), in comparison to the condrgroup, children with learning disabilities perceived themselves to be less competent in the areas of intelligence, academic skills, behavior, and social acceptance. Students with learning disabilities in Grades 3 to 8 perceived themselves as less acadeinally competent when they compared themselves with their normally achieving students in their regular education classes (Renick & Harter, 1989). However, when they compared their abilisie to those offheir peers with learning disabilities in their resouce room, they maintained high perceptions of their own academic competence.

Smith and Nagle (1995) indicate that low sedificept is associated with high ability environments, whereas high setificept is reported in lowability settings a concept theyefer to as the *f* frog pond effect according to Harter (1990a), different school environments provide different social comparison groups. New environments provoke new standards of evaluations which cause the studene talueate his or her competences well as the importance of success in various domains. Renick and Harter (1988) reported that students with learning disabilities who attended a private school, which was specifically structured to meet the academic and social needs of children and adoles ents with learning disabilities, perceived themselves to be more competent than students with learning disabilities in the public school. Students with learning disabilities perceived themselves to be much more scholastically competent when comparing themselves to their peers with learning disabilities than when comparing themselves to their nonlearning disabled peers.

Impact of academic achievement on selfsteem.

Schoołaged children consider academic achievement and behavioral conduct when making sélevaluations (Bear, Clever, & Proctor, 1991), and as a result, deficits in these domains place children with learning disabilities at a greater risk for developing negative perceptions of their overall set/orth. Kloomok and Cosden (1994) hypothesized that students with learning disabilities might discount the importance of academics in order to build their set/onfidence. However, similar to Bear, Clever, and Proctor€s (1991) findings, students in Kloomok and Cosden€s study appeared to value academics@gardless of their perceived competence in academic domains. All groups of students had negative discrepancy scores, indicating that they felt that academic performance was important despite their low competence ratings. These findings are consistent withthe research of Harter, Whites@hd Junkin (1998) which demonstrates that cognitive competence is consistently rated as very important, even among children who feel their skills are poor.

Instruments that assess setoficcept reveal that students widtathing disabilities€ perceptions of inadequacies are primarily found in academic areas (Kistner & Osborne, 1987; Renick & Harter, 1989). Academic performance is salient in the lives of students with learning disabilities, and as a result, Renick and **Hpred**icted that the relationship between perceived scholastic competence and global/setofi would be stronger than the relationships between global setofrth and perceived social acceptance or athletic competence. The authors€ hypotheses were caseretatdents with learning disabilities€ global selfworth was more highly related to their perceived academic competence than their perceptions of their social acceptance or athletic competence. This research is also supported by Harter, Whitesell, and kim(1998) who found that for students in their study, cognitive competence bore a moderately high relationship with globalorsthif.

Inconsistencies in the literature.

Research on selfsteem is often inconsistent and at times contradictory. Although early writings about children with learning disabilities suggested that they had lower self esteem than their peers without disabilities (Bear, Clever, & Proctor, 1991; Chapman, 1988; Harter, Whitesell, & Junkin, 1998; Heyman, 1990; La Greca & Stone, 1990; MacMaster, Donovan, & MacIntyre, 2002; McNulty, 2003; Stanley, Yong, & Nolan, 1997; Valas, 1999), there appears to be as much variation is set manong students with learning disabilities as there is between students with and without learning disabilities. When compared to their normally achieving peers, children with learning disabilities generally demonstrate lower set teem on measures that define-eeteem as the aggregation of selfoncepts across diverse domains. For exangonley, Ghavami VonOhlen, and Foulkes (2007) reported that students with learning disabilities felt they were less academically competent, and had less social skills, and had lower global selfesteem (as reported on the Rosenberg€s (1965 Set Market Scale), than their grade level peers. However, on measures that examine each domain separately, a more differentiated and positive picture of selfoncept emerges.

One would expect that children with learning disabilities€ lower perceptions of academic competence would letadower selfworth; however, this is not always the case. Research suggests that children with learning disabilities generally express positive self-esteem that does not differ from their normally achieving peers (Kistner & Osborne, 1987). While studentwith learning disabilities hold unfavorable academic-selficepts,

many of these students maintain positive-**eet**eem (Bear, Minke, Griffin, & Deemer, 1998; Burden, 2008; Clever, Bear, & Juvonen, 1992; Gans, Kenney, & Ghany, 2003; Kistner, Haskett, Whie, & Robbins, 1987; Kloomok & Cosden, 1994).

Children with learning disabilities may be reatistibilities academic problems yet maintain positive feelings about themselves. Children with learning disabilities who report higher selfesteem are able topparate their intellectual abilities from their specific academic performancellowing them to view themselves as intellectually competent (Cosden, Elliott, Noble, & Kelemen, 1999). This finding is consistent with the work of Rerick and Harter (1987),satheyreported that children are able to attribute their scholastic problems to their learning disability, rather than poor intelligence, which allows them to protect their setfisteem. While students with learning disabilities generally hold positive steperceptions, teachers may make assumptions which are not reflective of how these studerfitted, becausteachers may view these students as being more depressed, less competent, less motivated, and having lowesteelfn (Valas, 1999; Wiest, Wong, & Keil, 1998).

When considered as a group, students with learning disabilities are less accepted by peers, have lower selfsteem, and feel lonelier than their peers without disabilities (Valas, 1999). According to Valas, being labeled as a student with mining disability can have a negative impact on peer acceptance and this may directly or indirectly result in feelings of loneliness. Other researchers have found that students with learning disabilities are less accepted by peers as they have lowesratipger acceptance and receive fewer positive peer nominations (La Greca & Stone, 1990). La Greca and Stone found low-accepted students experienced limited opportunities for positive peer interaction. In addition, lowaccepted students may be deprived motor tunities to learn adaptive modes of social conduct and this can lead to further peer rejection, a vulnerability to poor psychological adjustment, and problems later in life (Valas, 1999). While not all children with learning disabilities experien**ow** lself-esteem, they are at risk for experiencing lowered set steem because they typically experience repeated failures in academic settings (Stanley, Yong, & Nolan, 1997).

Importance of self-esteem.

Some researchers view setsteem as an aggregatehofv you perceive yourself in various areas (Rosenberg, 1966))ile other researchers view it as a separate domain consisting of how you value yourself (Renick & Harter, 1988). Although there are different theoretical approaches to the construct of estation, one cannot dispute the benefits of improving selesteemas it is important for health and welleing throughout the life span (Harter, 1999). A metaalysis of the effectiveness of setsteem enhancement programs for children and adolescentisteepthat some participants experienced gains in setsteem as well as behaviour, personality, emotional functioning, and academic achievement (Haney & Durlak, 1998). Programs designed to improve self esteem may result in improved standardized test scoreduced school disciplinary reports, and reduced use of drugs and alcohol (DuBois & Flay, 2004; Haney & Durlak, 1998). In addition, individuals with a standard deviation higheresset for a variety of negative outcomes (Trzesnie) Sonnellan, Moffitt, Robins, Poulton, & Caspi, 2006).

Self-esteem is positively correlated with achieving more goals in life (Baumeister, Campbell, Krueger, & Vbs, 2003). In addition, eff-esteem may instill a selfulfilling prophecy as individuals it high selfesteem are likely to set higher aspirations and have the confidence to tackle difficult problems, thus enabling these individuals to derive satisfaction from progress and success (Baumeister et al., 2003). According to Marsh and Craven (2005)high selfesteem promotes behaviors that facilitate productive achievement and work experiences. These findings were further supported by the research of DuBois and Tevendale (1999) who found that relatively high levels of stelfem during childhood and adolescence predict more favorable psychological, social, and occupational outcomes during adulthood.

Individuals with high selfesteem are more likely to persist in the face of failure (Baumeister, Campbell, Krueger, & Vohs, 2003). This may lead **tategra**cademic and occupational success. High **self**teem is also of benefit as it acts as a buffer against the detrimental effects of failure and rejection and acts as a resource that enables people to quickly recover from negative life events (Marsh & **Qra**, 2005). This may be especially beneficial for students with learning disabilities who experience numerous academic setbacks over their school careers.

Motivation

School motivation.

School motivation is defined by Martin (2009) as students€ enedgyriare to learn, work effectively, and achieve to their potential at school, and the behaviours that follow from this energy and drive. While school motivation can be defined as students€ energy and drive to learn and work hard, engagement is defined as traviour that reflects this energy and drive (Martin, 2009). Motivation and engagement play a large part in students€ interest in and enjoyment of school and they also underpin students€ achievement (Martin, 2002). According to Martin, when studeretsmativated and engaged they often get better marks in school, work more effectively on difficult academic tasks, understand more of their school work, and enjoy school more. School motivation can beonceptualized broadly to includestudent€s interest school,their desire to earn a positive grade (goal orientation),thereeffort they expend in the classroon(goal pursuit) (Ventzel & Asher, 1995)Researchers such as Martin (2009) hold a broad view of motivation in relation to academic learning/view motivation as a traitand would thus seek to idenytistable patterns in individuseff motives and drives that remain consistent across situations and acrostitiente. researchers view motivation in domain specific ways (e.g., Harter & Jacks2n, 199 Pintrich, 1994). Harter and Jackson (1992) found many students indicated that their motivation orientation (i.e., intrinsic or extrinsic motivation) was strongly related to the particular academic domain, thus causing these authors to stress theriorepofta examining motivation in each school subject.

Attribution theory and locus of control.

Attribution theory describes motivation as a function of an individual€s perceptions of the causes of their previous successes and failures (Weiner, 2000). According to this theory, the causes an individual attributes to an event can determine how they behave on future occasions. In the classroom, a student€s attributions influence his or her optimism, performance, and affect (Weiner, 1994). When individualsutættrib success to factors within their control (eæffort), and failure to insufficient effort or unreasonable demands, they are more likely to exhibit an adaptive motivational pattern. These individuals will be motivated to perform well because they extpætcheir effort will enhance their performance. Conversely, when success is attributed to luck, task ease, or teacher assistance, and failure is attributed to limited ability (factors which are not within one€s personal control), a helpless motivatipætærn is likely to emergeī(oia, Shankland, & Wolbers, 2012).

Research demonstrates that children or adolescents with learning disabilities are more likely than their peers to demonstrate a maladaptive attribution style, and have low achievement expetations, low persistence at school tasks, and low academic self concept; this is unfortunate as these attitudes reduce student motivation and generate negative feelings about themselves and their academic work (MontgomeryN1994;† ez, et al., 2005 N...un† end his colleagues (2005) found that in comparison to students with learning disabilities, students without disabilities were significantly more likely to attribute their failures to lack of ability and effort. These authors found that although a high percentage of students with learning disabilities developed a helpless attributional profile (55%, a substantial percentage of studerits vearning disabilities developed an adaptiveributional profile (45%).

Locus of control refers to the extent to which individuals believe they can control their future educational outcomes. In relation to education, an individual with high internal locus of control would believe that their individual effort contributes to the grades they receive, whereas an individual with high external locus of control would believe that their academic outcomes are due to chance, luck, or teach&doidis,(Harris, & Case, 2001). In their analysis of 22 studies of locus of control, Mamlin and her colleagues (2001) found that in all but 4 studies, students with learning disabilities were found to have more external locus of control than theirlearning disable peers.

Intrinsic motivation.

Intrinsic motivation for completing academic tasks may be perceived as participation in an activity out of curiosity which is driven by the need to know more about something. This form of motivation is based on the inreated for competence and

self-determination, as well as the desire to seek and conquer challenges (Andelman & Taylor, 1990). Individuals may also be intrinsically motivated because they show interest in or enjoy completing the task intrinsic motivation prompts individuals to seek out challenges, participate in tasks, feel competent, and feel part of a community (Vallerand, Pelletier, & Ryan, 1991) individuals who are intrinsically motivated do not solely perform tasks because a reward is earned **fopleting** the task (lurphy & Alexander, 2000). Events that promote greater competence enhance intrinsic motivation, whereas those that diminish perceived competence decrease intrinsic motivation (Zisimopoulos & Galanaki, 2009). Losier and Vallerand (1984) orted that perceived competence precedes intrinsic motivation; however, over time, motivation may also influence perceptions of competence.

Studies surrounding internal motivation have indicated that children tend to be more selfregulating and autonome when they believe they are able attain positive academic outcomes, feel a sense of personal autonomy, and do not feel pressured or controlled by adults (Grolnick, Ryan, & Deci, 1991). Students who are intrinsically motivated for a particular activity re more likely to persist at assigned tasks and less likely to require rewards or incentives to initiate and complete tasks (Dev, 1997). In addition, students who are intrinsically motivated by an academic task are more likely to retain the concepts leverd (Dev, 1997).

Motivation and students with learning disabilities.

When faced with an activity or task to carry out, as a group, students with positive perceptions of their competence are more devoted, show more interest, work harder, and are more persvering than students who question their abilities (Bouffard & Couture, 2003). In addition, these students use more cognitive and metacognitive strategies and

increase their efforts to find solutions to obstacles in their way. These findings are supportedby the research **a** isimopoulos and Galanaki (2009), who found that students who believe they are competent enjoy tasks more and display greater intrinsic motivation than students with low perceived competence. Students with learning disabilities who havepositive perceptions of their academic competences are more likely to persist on task and use strategies in their school wdwle(tzer, Reddy, Pollica, Roditi, Sayer, & Theokas2004) however, students with learning disabilities often report less efficient, less familiar with learning strategies, and use strategies less than their peers without disabilities

Studies have documented the importance of motivation in the academic behaviour and achievement of students with learning disabilities (Bouffard &@eµ2003). Unfortunately, students with learning disabilities have been found to display less motivation toward learning and more fear of failure (Botas & Padeliadu, 2008;idis, 2003; Sideridis, & Tsorbatzoudis, 2002)simopoulos and Galanaki (29)found that students with learning disabilities have more motivational deficits compared to their typically achieving peers as they preferred less challenging work, demonstrated less interest toward school learning, and were less likely to completenaseigs independently. In addition, these authors found that students with learning disabilities demonstrated less intrinsic motivation in reading, math, and science.

Students with and without learning disabilities differ in regards to their achievement movation (Oliver & Steenkamp, 2004), goal commitment (Bouffard & Couture, 2003), metacognition (Botsas & Padeliadu, 2003), an **descellation** (Fulk, Bringham, & Lohman, 1998). In reviewing the results from five stuce stuce is, Morgan, Botsas, Padeliad and Fuchs (2006) found that as a grostup dents with learning disabilities differ from their classmates in regards to their motivational and behavioral profiles, such as their achievement motivation, helplessness, goal commitment, metacognition, and fsægulation

Differences in motivational levels persist when students with learning disabilities enrol in postsecondary educatio Klassen, Krawchuk, Lynch, and Rajani (2008) found that postsecondary students with learning disabilities reported **signify** higher levels of academic procrastination, lower levels of metacognitiverselfulation, and lower self efficacy for selfregulation than their peers without learning disabilities. For most participants in this study, having a learning disabilities understood to be a contributing factor to procrastination, with most participants linking their procrastination to cognitive difficulties (reading, writing, memory, and general processing), as well as to difficulties with using metacognitive approaches a proaches a provide the second strategy use, managing and effort). When interviewed, students in this study indicated that they believe skill deficits play a key role in procrastination, and that a fear of failure may be a key antecedent of procrastinating behaviurs. As a result, students with learning disabilities should be provided with learning strategies instruction anedboovided with the opportunity to demonstrate academic success means to help improve their academic achievement and reduce their feant failure.

Strategies to improve motivation.

Teachers can employ various strategies to foster adaptive school motivation. In conducting a review of the literature, oia, Shankland, and Wolbers (2012) report **th**at order to facilitate interest in thest at hand, teachers should include choice when designing activities, assign engaging curricular tasks, explain the value of what is learned, connect what is learned to students€ personal lives, help students experience the benefit of

strategies that allearned, and only use naturally occurring external rewards when necessaryA student who is sure of some level of success is more likely to tackle the task than one who is unsure of the outcome (Andelman & Taylor, 1990). If the assigned task is within thechild€s ability level, as well as interesting, the child is more likely to be intrinsically motivated to complete the task. If the taskdermines student ability it may reduce motivation (Schunk, 1990). their review of research on motivation in writing Troia, Shankland, and Wolbers (2012) suggest that teachers should ensure their students have opportunities to perform challenging tasks which they can be successful, model coping strategies when faced with difficulty in completing a task, fosteretinef that competence is alterable through effort, and give truthful and specific feedback regarding task performance.

Enhancing the intrinsic motivation of students can result in improved learning (Schunk, 1991). Teachers can enhance intrinsic motivationallowing their students to feel they are in control of their own learning (Skinner, Wellborn, & Connell, 1990). Teachers can also facilitate intrinsic motivation by encouraging students to monitor and reinforce their own progress (Pintrinch & DeGroca90). In addition, positive feedback can enhance intrinsic motivation (Cameron & Pierce, 1994). In conducting a meta analysis of 101 experimental studies, Cameron and Pierce concluded that rewards and reinforcement do not decrease intrinsic motivation, weatbal praise can increase intrinsic motivation. Positive responses to questions posed by students can enhance intrinsic motivation motivations posed by students can enhance intrinsic motivation and help the learner to develop feelings of competency (Dev, 1997).

Self-efficacy.

Albert Bandura defined set fficacy as f beliefs in one €s capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainments, (1997, p. 2). Efficacy beliefs are not global traits, but rather differentiated sets **obside** first linked to distinct realms of functioning (Bandura, 2006; Pajares, 2006). According to Bandura (1994), people with high assurance in their capabilities approach difficult tasks as challenges to be mastered, whereas people who doubt their capabilities often avoid difficult tasks which they view sapersonal threats. When faced with difficult tasks, individuals with low selfefficacy in a specific domain are more likely to dwell on their personal deficiencies on the obstacles they have to encounter, instead of concentrating on how to successful gerform the task at hand (Bandura, 1994).

How people act is often better predicted by the beliefs they hold about their abilities than by what they are actually capable of accomplishing, feperedéptions contribute to what individuals do with the keledge and skills they have (Bandura, 1997). Selfefficacy perceptions influence the type of activity a person is willing to attempt, the level of effort they are willing to expend, as well as the degree of success they are likely to obtain (Klassen, 2003;chunk, 2003). Students who have high-self efficacy in a specific domain are more likely to select challenging tasks, persist at them, and perform them successfully (Bandura, 1997; Walker, 2003). In addition, students who are efficacious are more likely their goals, and this success motivates them to engage in more literacy activities, which in turn increases their reading and writing performance (Walker, 2003). Conversely, students who lack confidence in the skills they possess are less liketly engage in tasks in which those skills are required and may be more likely to give up when faced with difficult academic tasks (Bandura, 1997).

Students who believe they can succeed academically are more likely to show interest in academic work, put fbrgreater effort, and demonstrate increased resiliency when faced with difficulties (Bandura, 1997). These individuals tend to generate and test

alternative courses of action when they do not meet with initial success, function better in the classroom th**u**gh elevated levels of effort and persistence, and deal more effectively with problem situations (Martin, 2009). Selfficacy beliefs are also instrumental to the goals individuals pursue and the control they exercise over their environments. According to Bandura€s social cognitive theory, selfficacy beliefs influence the choices people make and the courses of action they pursue for individuals tend to engage in tasks in which they feel competent and avoid those in which they do not.

Students€ diffiduies with basic academic skills can often be attributed to their belief that they cannot read, write, or think well. Students have difficulty in schoo because they are unable to successfully perthemask, but also because they have come to believe they are incapable of handling academic work (Pajares & Schunk, 2001). Students with learning disabilities often receive poor grades on academic assignments. When repeated failures become internalized, weakened beliefs surrothecitigdent€s ability to successfully complete academic tasks ensues, and this weakened sense of self efficacy may limit the type of academic tasks these students are willing to try and persist at (Hampton & Mason, 2003).

In examining the impact of having a learning disabilityself-efficacy beliefs and the sources of those beliefs, Hampton and Mason (2003) found that compared to students without learning disabilities, students with learning disabilities had less accomplishments in the past, less positive reinforcement from othend a higher degree of anxiety. Students with learning disabilities are more likely to possess loveffieldacy for performing academic tasks (Baird, Scott, Dearing, & Hammill, 2009; Hampton & Mason, 2003). Lackaye, Margalit, Ziv, and Ziman (2006) example 123 adolescents with leargin disabilities and reported that students with learning disabilities placed less investment in their academic work, and reported lower academicesfetfacy and lower social efficacy. While poor academic achievement copressds with poor efficacy tiefs in the same domain, students with learning disabilities€ weakened sense of efficacy may also contribute to their increased difficulties in academic settings (Hampton & Mason, 2003).

It is important to examine settoncept motivation and selfefficacy as these constructs are salient in the literature on success in school for students with learning disabilities. In the text which follows, I will provide an **ide**pth review of the literature on practices that create supposetischool environments for students with learning disabilities and will review the research literature on school transitions. I will also discuss the academic difficulties of students with learning disabilities and explore various forms of assistive technogy which can be used to support their learning needs. Practices that Promote Supportive School Environments

Classroom social belonging.

Having a sense of belonging within social contexts is a basic psychological need which is associated with comfort; peloration, and personal motivation (Furrer & Skinner, 2003; Goodenow, 1993; Murray & Greenberg, 2006). Adolescents who have higher ratings of school connectedness are likely to have lower ratings of emotional distress, suicidal ideation, violence, alcohodse and drug use (Resnick et al., 1997). In addition, higher levels of school belonging have been shown to be associated with lower levels of depression, social rejection, and school problems, and increased academic achievement (Anderman, 2002). Studente feel they belong in schools are more likely to adopt healthy and adaptive motivational orientations toward academic achievement (Anderman & Freeman, 2004; Osterman, 2000). Similar to the findings listed above, Murray and Greenberg€s (2006) longituditeætamination of 96 students receiving special education services found that school belonging was related to the social, behavioural, and emotional adjustment of students with learning disabilitiesudents€ perceptions of school environments emerged asetstrongest unique contributor to students€ ratings of school competence (Murray & Greenberg, 2006) are with learning disabilities who felt a sense of belonging or connectedness in school environments were more likely to be academically engaged inhords. This finding suggests that school cultures which promote connectedness contribute to the positive adjustment of students with learning disabilities (Murray & Greenberg, 2006)

Students become motivated to succeed when they experience a sense of connection and belonging to the school through relationships with adults and other students (Bringharm, Morocco, Clay, & Zigmond, 2006). In Cemalcilar€s (2010) structural equation model analysis, social relationships emerged as a strong predictor of a sense bschool belonging. In addition, a sense of belonging at school is positively associated with students€ expectancies for success and intrinsic value for school, both of which are indicators of motivation (Goodenow, 1993). Ryan and Patrick (2001) investigated students€ perceptions of their classroom social environment as they transitioned between grades. They found that when students moved into a junior high school classroom they perceived as supportive, their efficacy for accomplishing their school work and communicating and getting along with their teacher increased, their disruptive behaviour decreased, and they engaged in more selfated learning. Results of this study indicate that students€ perception of being in a class where teachers encourage classmates to respect their ideas was the most important dimension of the social environment in predicting changes in academic efficacy and selfation of school work.

Teacher-student relationships.

At a time when adolescents are in particular needing service relationships with adults outside the home, the quality of relationships with teachers has been found to be less than optimal. Teachetudent relationships deteriorate after the transition to junior high school (Eccles, Midgley, Wigfiled, et al. 993), and in comparison to elementary school classrooms, junior high and high school classrooms have been characterized by less personal and less positive teachedent relationships. Roorda, Koomen, Split, and Oort (2011) conducted a metanalysis of 99 studies and found positive acherstudent relationships to be associated with schendingement and achievemethese relationships remained important, or more influential, for older students and children who are academically atsk. It is important for teachers to foster positive teacher relationships as research on the effects of classroom climate indicates that the quality of teacherstudent relationships is associated with students€ academic motivation, attitudes toward school, and achiement (Cornelius/White, 2007; Eccles et al. 1993; Goodenow, 1993; Roorda et al., 201,1and teacher support is generally associated with better mental health (LaRusso, Romer, & Selman, 2008; Murray & Greenberg, 2000; Reddy, Rhodes, & Mulhall, 2003; RoeserEccles, & Sameroff, 2000; Way, Reddy, & Rhodes, 2007) Roesner, Midgley, and Urdan (1996) examined adolescents€ perceptions of teacher student relations and how they relate to adolesceaffeet toward school during eighth grade. These authors found that perception of a positive teached dent relationship predicted positive schooelated affect. In addition, the quality of relationships that children have with their teachers has also been shown to be associated with children€s school involvement (Bch & Ladd, 1997; Roorda et al., 2011).

Factors surrounding students€ school relationships strongly impact their capacity to be academically engaged (Johnson, 2009). The interpersonal relationships, support, encouragementand guidance which can be fourateschool help students to negotiate school and the particular challenges they are faced with along the way (Martin, 2009), and positive and supportive teacted at relationships have been identified as key protective factors in children€s lives (John 2008). In reviewing the literature, Martin and Dowson (2009) found that positive teacted at relationships predicted enhanced social, cognitive, and language development in children, and that students€ feelings of acceptance by teachers was assediatith emotional, cognitive, and behavioural engagement in class. Martin and Dowson also found that teachers higher in warmth tend to foster greater confidence in their students and that students who believe that their teacher is caring tend to learn moTe above findings indicate that when the social and emotional needs of students are met, students are more likely to be engaged in the process of information and skill transmission.

Teacherstudent relationships that are characterized by open commonicat support and involvement can promote social, emotional, and academic competencies, and can provide children with a sense of security within their school settings. Murray and Greenberg (2006) examined the perceptions children with learning disabilities f their relationships witheachers and their social, behavioural, and emotional adjustment. In doing so, they found that social relations with teachers were positively related to the social, behavioural, and emotional adjustment of these students with Greenberg found that students with learning disabilities who felt supported by and attached to their teachers were less likely to experience anxiety. In contrast, weak testactions relationships were negatively associated with school competence positively

associated with conduct problems, delinquency, anxiety, and depression (Murray & Greenberg, 2006). Weak relationships with teachers contributed to conduct problems, as students with learning disabilities who were not satisfied with their terstudent relationships had more externalizing behaviour problems.

One component of teacher support is the extent to which students believe their teachers value and establish personal relationships with them (Ryan & Patrick, 2001). Teachers who aræpceived as supportive are generally described as being friendly, caring, understanding, dedicated, and dependable. Perceived teacher support has been linked to students€ achievement motivation, for when students perceive their teacher to be supportive the report higher levels of interest and enjoyment in their school work, a more positive academic sæloncept, and greater expectancies for success in the classroom (Goodenow, 1993; Ryan & Patrick, 2001).

Ryan and Patrick (2001) investigated how studqmeteeptions of the social environments of their grade eight classroom related to changes in motivation and engagement when they moved from seventh to eighth grade. They found that teacher support and promotion of interaction and mutual respect were retdates itive changes in students€ motivation and engagement. Teacher support, promotion of interaction mutual respectiverealso positively related to academic efficacy, social efficacy with teachers and peers, and sretgulated learning, and negativeelated to disruptive behaviour (Ryan & Patrick, 2001). Perceiving the teacher as supportive was especially important for students€ confidence relating to the teacheregelfated learning, and disruptive behaviour. Practices that inhibit supportive school environments.

Through their policies and practices schools can emphasize improvement, mastery, and intellectual development (task mastery goals), or social comparison, relative ability, and competition among students (relative ability goals)od detettings that are competitive and ability focused are likely to promote feelings of frustration and self consciousness, whereas settings that emphasize task mastery and improvement relate to decreased levels of set based on set based on the set of s Roeser and his colleagues examined the relation between adolescents€ perceptions of the school psychological environment and schood ated beliefs, affect, and achievement. In doing so, these authors found that perceiving aphassis on relative ability and competition in schools was positively correlated with students€ adoption of personal relative ability goals, and negatively correlated with feelings of school belonging, positive affect in school, and final semester gradenpaiverage. As a group, students who perceived an emphasis on competition and relative ability were more likely to feel self conscious in academic settings, and when students perceived that only the most able students were recognized, rewarded, and giveport they also perceived that relationships between students and teachers in the school were less warm and responsive (Roeser et al., 1996).

Grouping students according to ability, public honour rolls or assemblies for the highest achieving students, asseparate report card marks for achievement and effort may all provide important messages about what constitutes success at school (Maehr & Midgley, 1991). School characteristics such as size, departmentalized teaching, ability grouping, normative grading, nd class size can also impact the climate of a school. Class size impacts school climate as it is difficult for teachers to maintain warm, positive

relationships with their students when they have to teach 25 to 30 different students each period of the shool day (Eccles et al., 1993). Eccles and her colleagues investigated the relationship between psychological changes associated with adolescence and their social environments. These authors found the shift to junior high to be associated with an increase practices such as whethass task organization, betweelassroom ability grouping, and public evaluation of the correctness of work, factors which may have a negative impact on early adolescents€pæit eptions and motivation. These authors also found that the combination of the large size of the schools, departmentalized teaching and large class sizes made it difficult for teachers and students to form close relationships. School Transitions

The elementary to junior high school transition is assted with negative effects on adolescentsincluding declines in selfesteem (Eccles et al., 1993), and motivation (Anderman, Maehr, & Midgley, 1999). The transition to high school has also been accompanied by negative consequences for some stµidenteding declines in academic achievement (Alspaugh, 1998), and dropping out of high school or failing to graduate on time (Mizelle & Irvin, 2000). Although the transition to high school does elicit some concerns, research surrounding this transition or imited (Akos& Calassi, 2004). While there have been investigations of early adolescents€ school transitions, few studies have focused on students with learning disabilities. In addition, little research has focused on adolescents€ perceptions of theiæisepces in junior high schools (Arowosafe & Irvin, 1992; Reid & Button, 1995), and it is difficult to locate published research on parent perceptions of the transition process.

The transition to high school is viewed as a difficult time for all adolescent however, it may be especially difficult for students with learning disabilities because of the emphasis on competition and social comparison during the developmental period when selfawareness is especially heightened (Eccles et al., 1993). When **steadten**t high school they face more of a focus on ability and competition and less on effort and improvement (Anderson, Jacobs, Schramm, & Splittgerber, 2000). They also experience less personal relations with teachers and less tolerance for misbehaviadulition to experiencing a more competitive gradiented environment, young adolescents moving from junior high to high school may feel inadequate to make academic and extracurricular decisions which may have a significant impact on their futuresl(¢Vize 2005). Students with learning disabilities have increased school dropout rates (Learning Disability Association of Canada, 2007), and this may be due in part to the difficulties they experience when making the transition from junior high to high **s**choo

Impact of school transitions.

School climates are positively associated with mental header (nan, Samdal, Baban, & Bancila2012 LaRusso, Romer, & Selman, 2000 & Robinson,2004; Newman, Newman, Griffin, O€Connor, & Sp22007). Unfortunately, for many children, the nature of the learning environment changes in a negative way during early adolescence (Anderman & Midgley, 1997). Junior high schools are typically larger, have more impersonal teachetudent interactions, and are more evalueated competitive than elementary schools (Harter, Whitesell, & Kowalski, 1992). Junior high schools have also been associated with whole class task organization, bethesenoom ability groupings, external evaluations, and practices that may indreeseliency of social comparisons and set (ssessments of ability (Feldlaufer, Midgley, & Eccles, 1988). In addition, these schools are often characterized by more formal, controlling, and less trusting teachestudent relationships, stricter grading slamds, a greater emphasis on evaluation and social comparison among students, and a disruption of children€s social networks (Eccles & Midgley, 1989). Junior high school teachers are often sortajttet specialists and typically instruct a much larger **bern**of students than do elementary teachers, making it less likely that they will get to krtowir studentsbelieve they are trustworthy, and grant them autonomy (Eccles & Midgley, 1989). The challenges of adjusting to anumber of different teachers are plified for students with learning disabilities as they are more likely to have difficulties with organizational and social skills (Knestinga, Hokanson, & Waldrone, 2008).

Some students may experience a *f* honeymoon, period following the transition to junior high school as students may be excited about new friends and classroom regimes. For these students, the reality of academic or social success and failures my not set in until later (Harter, Whitesell, & Kowalski, 1992). Many adolescents become more negative about school and themselves after the transition to junior high school (Wigfield, Eccles, Mac Iver, Reuman, & Midgley, 1991), and on average, students€ sense of school belonging decreased from Grade 6 to 7 (Anderman, 2003). The increase in whole class task organization and the decrease in opportunities for cooperative interaction among students makes it likely that students will be aware of how they are performing relative to others in class (Feldlaufer, Midgley, & Eccles, 1988). In addition, theitigan from elementary to junior high schools has been causally implicated in producing lowered perceptions of academic competence and decreased motivation (Eccles & Midgley, 1990). These changes in adolescents€ attitudes and beliefs may be partlyedue to th differences between elementary and junior high schools, with a greater emphasis on evaluation, stricter grading standards, competition, and increased social comparisons found in junior high schools (Wigfield & Eccles, 1994).

35

Around the transition to hipschool, the characteristics of school environments become less facilitative towards continuing achievement and positive personal development (Barber & Olsen, 1997; Galton, Morrison, & Pell, 2000). In addition, adolescents making the transition to highas are faced with organizational and role changes as high schools are often larger, more bureaucratic, less personal, and students may lose status as they go from being the oldest in junior high to the youngest in high school (Roeser, Eccles, & Freedran and 1999). For some students, these changes can overtax their capacity to cope and thus compromise their academic and emotional functioning. As high schools are larger, busier, and less personalized environments, students may receive less individualizettention and feedback at a time when it is most needed (Litner, 2003). Cotterell (1992) found that students who moved from small schools to large high schools were more optimistic than their peers prior to the transition, but were more anxious and disortied in the weeks after the change. Students perceived their high schools as less supportive (i.e., friendly, cohesive, organized, and goal oriented), and more pressured (i.e., competitive and individualistic; Cotterell, 1992). However, after five monthships school, the effects of change in school had diminished and more adaptable students perceived their classrooms as more supportive, more organized, and more growbriented.

Learning environments which promote success are characterized by positive relationships (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Students who feel supported by their teachers have been found to have a more positive motivational orientation towards schoolwork (Hamre & Pianta, 2001; Legault, Greendemers, & Pelletier, 2006), and are more likely to experience positive social and emotional where ing (LaRusso, Romer, & Selman, 2008; Murray & Greenberg, 2000Reddy, Rhodes, & Mulhall, 2003; Roeser, Eccles, &

Sameroff, 2000; Way, Reddy, & Rhodes, 2007/eachers of young students have been found to have a **p**re caring approach as they put a stronger emphasis on building relationships with student **B**(u, Stornes, Munthe, & Thuen, 201 Multiple subject based teachers are found in junior high and high schools. Having to rotate between classes has been foundreduce the salience of the relationships between students and teachers a factor which has been found to be crucial to students€ achievement motivation (Murdock & Miller, 2003). Junior high school teachers have been found to be perceived as less warm, rize, and supportive than elementary school teachers (Feldlaufer, Midgley, & Eccles, 1988/art... ‡nez, Aricak, Graves, Pelverszak, & Nellis, 2011, and the quality of teachetudent interactions and the degree of teacher support is perceived to deteriorate in high school (Bru, Stornes, Munthe, & Thuen, 2010; Ferguson & Fraser, 1998). Teachefsolder students are more likely to have a formal approach to teaching as they place their focus on communicating subject content; this may result in a growing mismatch between students€ needs and the support teachers provide (Eccles, et al., 1993; Roeseccles, & Sameroff, 1998).

Eccles and her colleagues (1989) found that bothesettiem and settoncept of ability decreased between the end of Grade 6 and the beginning of Grade 7. For some students these declines appear to mark a negative trajetout arcademic and emotional functioning throughout high school. However, researchers (Eccles et al., 1989; Wigfield, Eccles, Mac Iver, Reuman, & Midgley, 1991) reported thean levels of setesteem werelowest after the transition, but generally recreve during Grade 7. A subsequent longitudinal study conducted by Wigfield and Eccles (1994), found that while stable during elementary school, a notable decline in children€estettem and perceived academic competence occurred following the transitiogurtior high school. These changes may bettributed to changes in the school and classrenowironments, as self esteem maylecrease after the transition to junior high schesostudents adjuted the school change and developew social networks and less (Wigfield et al., 1991).

Children and adults€ competence and efficacy beliefs relate to their choice of achievement task, achievement goals, effort exerted, cognitive strategy use, achievement performance, and overall selforth (Wigfield & Eccles, 194). Upon transitioning into high school, students experience reducedeffilfacy beliefs surrounding content area knowledge and learning strategies. In addition, the transition from elementary to junior high school has been associated with a decliseuident perceptions of academic competence (Cantin & Boivin, 2004; Wigfield & Eccles, 1994; Zanobini & Usai, 2002), and in academic performance (Alspaugh, 1998; Barber & Olsen, 2004; Grolnick, Kurowski, Dunlap, & Hevey, 2000; Zanobini & Usai, 2002). Th**fese**ings were not supported by Whitley, Lupart, and Beran (2007) who noted that the academic achievement of Canadian students remain stable from elementary to junior high school.

Numerous studies demonstrate that as students move from elementary to junior high school, perceived competence, motivation, achievement, and attitudes decline (Anderman & Maehr, 1994; Anderman & Midgley, 1997; Eccles et al., **1998**¢er, Whitesell, & Kowalski, 1992;Jacobs, Lanza, Osgood, Eccles, & Wigfield, 2002; Wigfield, Ecclœ, Maclver, Reuman, & Midgley, 1991). Martin (2007) found junior high and high school students reported less adaptive patterns of motivation and engagement. More specifically, Harter (1996) found shifts from a predominately intrinsic orientation in Grade 3to a more extrinsic orientation by Grade 9. This research is supported by Eccles and Midgley (1990) who determined that between Grades 6 and 7 students demonstrated a significant shift from an intrinsic orientation to an extrinsic orientation towards scho Even though the changes may not be dramatic for most young people, the majority of studies show that the transition from elementary to high school has a negative impact on various dimensions of students€ motivational system, as seen in negatives attitud towards school and learning, lowered confidence in their competencies, and decreased motivation (Eccles, Wigfield, & Schiefele, 1998). Whereas elementary schools tend to be characterized by small classes, stimulating projects, efficacious teachersopedation, junior high schools often emphasize rote memorization, basic skills, completion, and less creative assignments (Anderman, 1998). In addition, junior high schools tend to have highly structured environments, use a lot of between class abititipings, and offer students few opportunities to undertake creative, challenging, and meaningful academic tasks (Anderman & Maehr, 1994). Adolescents experience these changes in school environments at a developmental period when they would benefit frperieracing creative and meaningful academic tasks and a sense of belonging (Eccles et al., 1993).

Strategies to support school transitions.

Large numbers of youth need a lot more attention than they are getting in school, especially when they are makither transition from one level or one schoolated ther (Gregory, 1995). Effors hould be made to improve teacks and transitions in schools that serve early adolescents (Eccles et al., 1993). In order to facilitate a smooth transition, students, parts, and teachers recommended the following: (a) teach study skills and time management before and after the transition; (b) discuss academic expectations with students; (c) increase communication between the teachers at the sending and receiving schools and curriculum and academic expectations at the receiving school; and (d) increase direct communication between parents and teachers in order to assist students with homework and the academic demands of the new school, and to prevent or remediate academic blems (Akos & Galassi, 2004).

All teachers and staff who work with a particular student with a learning disability need to communicate with each other in order to better meet the needs of the student. In addition, resource teachers, classroom teachedracational assistants, and school guidance counsellors should meet with the adolescent and his or her parents at the beginning of the year to ascertain need, establish learning and behavioural goals, and decide on accommodations and interventions (Lit2003). These individuals should also meet regularly to monitor tsteudents€progress and discuss problems that may arise. Before the start of the school year, the student with a learning disability, his or her parents, and core subject teachers should to discuss realistic expectations of each other; these individuals should also meet toward the end of the year to evaluate strategies which worked and didn€t work as this information can be used to plan for the next year (Litner, 2003).

Students withlearning disabilities attention related difficulties often exhibit weak organizational and study skills (Learning Disability Association of Canada, 2002); these deficits may be partially attributed to their poor seguifulation or reduced school motivation. These students may not know how to effectively take notes or prepare for tests, and as a result, Litner (2003) recommends that teachers should employ direct instruction to teach learning strategies. In addition, it is important to introduce self advocacy skills which are poorly developed in these teens but essential to them becoming autonomous, selfaware, and successful learners (Learning Disability Association of Canada, 2003; Litner, 2003). Assistive Technology and Students with Learning Disabilies

Many students with learning disabilities exhibit some type of reading problem (Hall, Hughes, & Filbert, 2000). More specifically, Bender (2008) reported **Bf** to 90% of students with learning disabilities would benefit from reading services. Poor reading achievement can act as a major barrier to future learning opportunities. Students with poor reading abilities will experience difficulty in most curriculum areas as they will lose out on content because of their inability to acquire knowledger **frading** texts (Montalie & Lewandowski, 1996). In addition, failures in reading may lead to self defeating strategies whereby students with learning disabilities avoid opportunities to practice their reading skills (Lundberg, 1995).

Many students with leaing disabilities also have difficulties with written expression as they often experience problems in handwriting, spelling, and the composing process (Hetzroni & Shrieber, 2004; Higgins & Raskind, 1995; MacArthur, 1996, 2000; Roberts & Stodden, 1995). Shents with dysgraphia write slowly, form letters incorrectly, and their final product is often messy and at times illegible (Hetzroni & Shrieber, 2004). Experiencing handwriting difficulties and a poor understanding of writing strategies may constrain ald €s development of writing skills, leading them to avoid academic tasks which require writing (Freeman, MacKinnon, & Miller, 2004).

Students with learning disabilities in the area of written expression may experience difficulty with the physical act **wf**iting as well as the use of spelling and grammar rules. Focusing on these **leavel** writing skills may interfere with their ability to participate in higheorder processes such as organization and revision. This is demonstrated by MacArthur who not**est** students with written language difficulties have less knowledge of the characteristics of good writing and the writing process (MacArthur, 2000), and typically do not devote a large portion of their writing time to planning activities (MacArthur, 1996) addition, these students typically lack awareness of common text structures which causes them to have difficulty organizing their writing.

Many students with learning disabilities in the area of written expression have difficulty coordinating the **o**mplex cognitive process of setting goals, generating content, organizing their writing, and revising their text (MacArthur, 1996). As a result, students with learning disabilities often experience frustration and embarrassment when asked to write. Student who have been unsuccessful in writing may experiencelself is and learned helplessness, and may feel their written products are not worth the effort they expend (Sitko, Laine, & Sitko, 2005). Students with learning disabilities should be provided withthe opportunity to express themselves without having to worry about the mechanics of their writing assistive technology is a tool which can enable them to do so.

Relevant assistive technology for students with learning disabilities includes computer pograms that provide speeto+text, textto-speech, graphic organizers, and word prediction capabilities. Blackhurst (2005) suggests that assistive technology can be used to assist learning, to make learning environments more accessible, and to enhance independence amongst individuals with learning disabilities. Assistive technology allows individuals to accomplish educational goals, and when used strategically, technology can help bypass conditions that once prevented students from obtaining higheofevels learning. In addition, the use of technology can help circumvent mechanical difficulties in writing allowing the quality of written work to align more closely with the intellectual abilities of individuals with learning disabilities (Laine & Breen, 1)9976he use of assistive technology may provide a compensatory alternative, and when embedded within quality writing instruction, improved achievement may ensue (MacArthur, 2009).

Kurzweil 3000, Dragon Naturally Speaking, WordQ, and Inspiration.

Kurzweil 3000 is a speech synthesis program that has **ddespt**eech engine with the ability to convert any type of print media into computerized speech through a process known as optimal character recognition. Little research has been conducted on the use of assistive technology by students with learning disabilities; however, the use of speech synthesis shows promise for assisting students in proof reading their text. Speech synthesis programs enable students to hear what they have written, allowing students to use their general language sense to monitor the adequacy of their writing (MacArthur, 1996). This may help students to notice awkward or incomplete sentences, misspelled words, or errors in meaning. According to MacArthur (2009), an instructional context which incorporates assistive technology may help bridge the gap between what children with learning disabilities want to express and what they are able to do on their own.

Speech synthesis can help students to revise and edit their work and produce final products with less spelling errors. Raskind and Higgins (1995) studied the effect of speech synthesis programs on college students with learning disabilities and found that students detected significantly more errors using the screen reader, than students who used a human reader or had no assistance. Individuals who possess oral language skills that are superior to their written language abilities may benefit from the ability to hear what they have written as it may enable them to catch errors in grammaingspeeld punctuation that would otherwise go unrecognized (Raskind & Higgins, 1998). Sitko, Laine, and Sitko (2005) note the ability of students with learning disestito detect errors orally better than their ability to detect ports.

However, students who misspell a high proportion of words may find that speech synthesis cannot read their writing fluently enough to be helpful; and as a result, speech synthesis may be most beneficial in combination with othewaot tools such as word prediction programs and spelling and grammar checkers.

Speech synthesis programs such as Kurzweil may increase the reading comprehension of students with learning disabilities as they provide the opportunity for students to hear **th**text and see individual words highlighted as they are read aloud. In reviewing the literature, Strangman and Dalton (2005) reported that the use of speech synthesis can improve students€ sight reading and decoding abilities. For example, a Norwegian stu¢l (Fasting &HalaasLyster, 2005) found that, when provided with speech synthesis software, students with reading problems learned to decode words as speech feedback and word highlighting curcurrence can strengthen the alphabetic coding process. Speech synthesis programs may reduce the frustration of inaccurate decoding for students with learning disabilities. Programs such as Kurzweil may remove the negative emotions students associate with reading and provide students with a more complete omprehension of the text (Lundberg, 1995) a result, speech synthesis programs are recommended for use along with research supported reading intervention practices.

Chiang and Jacobs (2009) conducted a study to examine the effects of using Kurzweil 3000on the academic settlerceptions and functional ability of high school students with learning difficulties. Fifty high school students were assigned to either the Kurzweil intervention group or the regular language arts comparison group. Students in the intervention group used Kurzweil intensively for 10 weeks, and made significantly more progress than the comparison group in the reading competence and general intelligence subscales of the SPD (Renick & Harter, 1988), as well as significantly more progess than the comparison group in completing the work experience and education information section on a job application. While further research on the educational implications of Kurzweil 3000 is warranted, the findings of Chiang and Jacobs€ (2009) studyeapromising in regards to Kurzweil€s ability to have a positive impact on academic septerceptions and functional task performance.

Dragon Naturally Speaking enables the user to navigate the computer by speaking or dictating into a microphone. Through the of voice commands and dictation, speech recognition software enables the user to perform word processing tasks, navigate the computer€s operating system, and browse the Internet hands free. Dragon Naturally Speaking can benefit students whose oralroomication skills are superior to their writing abilities as it can help students bypass their problems with **lowder** writing skills by dictating their written work. In addition, speech recognition software can help students to relay their ideas befthey are forgotten due to slow handwriting or typing speeds. In one study, in comparison to the control group, 39 students with learning disabilities from 9 to 19 years of age who used voice recognition software demonstrated significant improvements in threeading comprehension, spelling, and word recognition scores (Higgins & Raskind, 2000).

Problems with transcription can impact the quality and quantity of the writing completed by students with learning disabilities. By composing orally, students with learning disabilities may be able to circumvent transcription or text production problems such as handwriting, spelling, and punctuation, thus providing opportunity for greater focus on higheorder concerns such as planning and content generation. Haggins Raskind (1995) conducted an experimental study on the impact of speech recognition software on the writing of possecondary students with learning disabilities. Participants using speech recognition software produced writing samples that obtained hold is scores than participants who composed their work with the assistance of a transcriber or without assistance. When provided alongside writing instruction, speech recognition software may allow students to produce papers that are longer ared inighuality (MacArthur, 2000).

Word prediction programs such as WordQ pro**the**tuser with a list of potential word choices based upon the most recently used words, the frequency of word use, and the grammatical spelling of the word. Individuals canr**t**edethe predictive list and choose the desired word rather than experiencing the frustration of remembering the correct spelling. Word prediction acts as a compensatory tool which augments spelling and syntax as it enables users to make word choice**srtplete** sentences (Raskind & Higgins, 1998). Word prediction programs may assist students in generating texts with less spelling errors. Although there were only a small number of students in the study, word prediction had a dramatic effect on the legi**biti**thd spelling in journals for four out of the five students (MacArthur, 1998). Word prediction demands a fairly high level of attention to make use of the suggested words (MacArthur, 1998); and as a result, each child must be considered on an individuals in order to select appropriate assistive technology for his or her unique learning needs.

Six students in Grades 3 to 6 with severe writing and/or spelling difficulties attended a monthong summer writing program and participated in a study investisting the benefits of WordQ, Co:Writer, and WriteAssist. The authors of this study (Evmenova, Graff, Marci, & Behrmann, 2010) reported evidence of the effectiveness of all word prediction programs, but especially WordQ, over word processing, and reported t students demonstrated improvements in spelling accuracy across conditions. Students in this study enjoyed the word prediction programs and found them beneficial because they perceived writing as much easier task when they used word prediction. Hogiever, the small sample size, one must be cautious in making generalizations from the findings. While word prediction was considered to be more effective than word processing, the authors caution that one must take typing skills into consideration whermateing the effectiveness of these programs. In a separate study (TamerAMays, & Skidmore, 2005), 42children and their families who received services from a writing clinic in Toronto were asked to assessed their perceived effectiveness of WerndQaincing written productivity. Children and families generally found WordQ to be helpful, and reported increased independence, productivity, motivation to write, and vocabulary use. While this study highlights the potential benefits of WordQ, caution breastaken when interpreting these findings as the data was reselforted.

Inspiration is a graphic organizer that helps students organize information and ideas through the creation of semantic webs on a computer screen. Through the use of Inspiration, braistormed ideas can be entered into a visual organizer which can be converted into an outline prior to writing. Graphic organizers provide an organizational framework to help writers generate topics and content for writing projects, and can assist with theplanning and organizational stages of writing. Being taught a strategy to plan and organize writing increases the compositions of students with learning disabilities such strategy its use of visual organizers (MacArthur, 2009).

Benefits of assistive technology.

For every critical reading skill there is a form of assistive technology with the demonstrated potential to remediate learning failure (Strangman & Dalton, 2005). Fasting

andHalaasLyster (2005) found that assistive technology has the **pateot**improve the basic literacy skills of struggling readers as their analyses indicated that assistive technology had the potential to enhance reading comprehension, word reading rate, and support spelling. However, as this study was conducted in Normale must be cautious whengeneralizing these findings to North American contexts. Lundberg (1995) examined assistive technology as a remediation tool for students with learning disabilities and found that students enjoyed the benefits of computer **trgin** ith speech synthesis programs and gained more in reading and spelling performance compared to students who only had access to conventional special education. Lundberg reported that although students with learning disabilities started at a much lowerdieg level, they were able to outperform their peers who did not use assistive technology by the end of the school period.

There are numerous software programs designed to compensate for the learning deficits students with learning disabilities may havever, word processing may be the most important application for these students (Behrmann & Marci Kinas, 2002). Word processing can address fine motor difficulties, improve the appearance of students€ work, and enable them with to write without beingedy concerned with making errors as their texts can be easily modified. When students with learning disabilities are not preoccupied with the mechanical aspects of writing they have greater opportunity to focus on planning and content generation (Quenrilex/i2001; Raskind & Higgins, 1998); however, students need to be provided with strategy instruction for planning and content generation to be effective Graham, McKeown, Kiuhara, & Harris, 2012)ontent revisions are more likely to occur with the use offord processors as the writer can insert or delete text without having to rewrite the entire document (Lewis, 1998). The potential impact of

word processing on revising is significant as revision is an aspect of the composing process that distinguishes expwriters from less skilled writers (MacArthur, 1996).

Word processors provide students with the means to complete well organized and well written assignments that are reflective of their knowledge and skills (Hetzroni & Schrieber, 2004). Hetzroni and Seber (2004) found that three students with writing disabilities€ motivation to write increased and their frustration diminished when using word processors. These authors speculated that the use of word processors may foster students€ confidence in the written work and may change their peers€ and teachers€ attitudes toward their written output. These speculations are supported by the work of Raskind and Higgins (1998) which demonstrated that using a word processor leads to neater documents which may pestudents develop a sense of pride in their written work and enhance their image of themselves as writers.

Hetzroni and Shieber (2004) reported that the spell check feature in word processors reduced the number of spelling mistakes made by childre awiting disabilities. The use of spell check allows students to remain focused on communicating their ideas rather than being overwhelmed with the process of trying to identify and correct spelling errors. However, for students with learning disabilities important that the spell check program includes phonetic rules in generating suggestions (MacArthur, 2000). Although the research is not extensive, sufficient work has been conducted to conclude that computers can provide assistance to individuaser uggle with writing.

Assistive technology can foster academic success and independence in students with learning disabilities (Bryant, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998), as it allows students to interact with curricular content in order to develop knowlealoge skills (Rapp, 2005).

Assistive technology may also enable students to complete tasks more efficiently which can lead to greater academic success (Forgrave, 2002). In addition, assistive technology can support students in becoming **setg**ulated learne (Sitko, Laine, & Sitko, 2005). Raskind and Higgins (1998) conducted a study in which 140 secondary students with learning disabilities received training on assistive technology over a three year period. Participants in this study demonstrated **posit**academic outcomes as they significantly increased their grade point averages for courses with heavy reading or composition requirements. Participation in this study also led to changes in the use of compensatory strategies and an overall increase **interpendence**. Participants in this study changed roles as students who were previously the on**ergbre**lped became a **`helfedf** for students with learning disabilities, assistive technology can foster interactive participation in general education classroomed as a result, it supports the basic objectives of inclusive education which include a sense of belonging to a group, shared activities with individual outcomes, and a balanced educational experience.

Need for assessment and training.

Although assistie technology can remove barriers to learning, provide compensatory and remedial benefits, and increase academic achievement, it is recognized that providing technology does not ensure its successful use. Informed decision making is crucial to ensuring theuccessful use of assistive technology and preventing its abandonment (DeRosier & Farber, 2005). It is important to have an understanding of the different types of technology and it is equally important to ensure that the obtained technology is properlymplemented and evaluated to determine its effectiveness (Blackhurst, 2005). When considering which technology is best suited for a specific student it is important to consider the cost of the technology, the availability of funding, the environment in which the child will be using the technology, as well as the technology skills of the teachers and educational assistants (Freeman, MacKinnon, & Miller, 2004). The individual using the assistive technology should also play a role in its selection, for their involvement in the selection, acquisition, and maintenance of the technology may help prevent the abandonment of these devices.

Relevant aspects of a person€s cognitive capabilities, as well as their functional limitations, should be taken into consideratwhen recommending assistive technology (Bryan, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998). When selecting assistive technology it is critical that members on the individual education planning committee examine the **stecleno**logy match and work with family members eticit their support and opinions (Bryant, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998). The student€s view of the technology, their motivational level, and their family members€ experience and comfort level with the technology must also be taken into consideration (Bryant) ryant, & Raskind, 1998). Family members should be provided with training on the assistive technology as ttlesices can enhance the families€ability to meet their child€s needs (Bryant, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998). The assessment of assistive technology icontinual process that requires careful consideration of the student€s current level of performance and changes in the educational environment. It is imperative that assistive technology recommendations are carefully evaluated or technology may turntora frustrating barrier for the child.

It is crucial that students and teachers are taught to use assistive technology. Students must be provided with training and ongoing support because for assistive technology to be successful students must have **resterior** equate training and opportunities for practice (Ofiesh, Rice, Long, Merchant, & Gajar, 2002). DeRosier and Farber (2005) conducted a pilot study of user satisfaction and the psychological and social impact of speech recognition software. In this stundyparticipant who did not receive training on the speech recognition software provided negative ratings on the competence and set steem subscales of the pychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale(Day & Jutai, 1996); however, the remaining painting the software. Due to the lack of training and support, individuals report that they are unprepared to benefit from the available technology (DeRosier & Farber, 2010 full & Sitlington, 2003).

Educators need to develop the necessary skills to provide technology services to students with learning disabilities (Blackhurst, 2005). Unfortunately, there is a critical shortage of personnel trained in assistive technology(fr, 2000). The successful use of assistive technology depends on the training received by educators; however, few pre service training programs or courses related to the application of assistive technology are available to teachers (McGhRichmond, Spcht, Young, & Katz, 2011; Mull & Sitlington, 2003). Teachers frequently report feeling unprepared to support students in their use of assistive technology, largely as a result of inadequa**serprice** training (Chmiliar, 2007; Chmiliar & Cheung, 2007)earcher preparation programs must develop ways to structure their curriculum and practicum experience in order to prepare teachers to meet the needs all of their students, including those who use assistive technology.

The issues surrounding assistive tedbgø service delivery are complex, require collaboration, and involve much more than the basic operation of the assistive technology device (QIAT, 2000). One of the critical shortcomings of teacher training is the failure to link the use of assistive technology to individual strengths and weaknesses as indicated on student€s individual education plans (QIAT, 2000). Educators need to be provided with broad knowledge of assistive technology so that they can consider strategies for

implementing assistive techlogy with students in their classes (Bryant, Erin, Lock, & Allan, 1998). The potential of assistive technology will only be obtained if educators are trained on instructional methodologies that allow it to be integrated in a meaningful way (Edyburn, 2000)

Assistive technology can increase academic capabilities (Bryant, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998; Hetzroni & Shrieber, 2004). As students learn to uster tapatech, speechto-text, graphic organizers, and word prediction programs they may improve their readingand writing in all contentareas. However, in order for assistive technology to be used to its fullest potential it must be assessed for a ptersbnology match (Blackhurst, 2005). Training on assistive technology is directly related to user satisf (Die Rosier & Farber, 2005), and as a result, students and teachers should be provided with ongoing training and support.

Research has been conducted on the benefits of assistive technolo**gha**(**b**.g., Hughes, & Filbert, 2000; Hetzroni & Shrieber, 20**0H**ggins & Raskind, 2004; MacArthur, 2000) and the set#steem of students with learning disabilities (MacMaster, Donovan, & MacIntyre, 2002; McNulty, 2003; Valas, 1999). However, prior to the completion of my Master€s thesis (Young, 2007), no studies **heast**igated the impact of assistive technology on academic southcept when used in a supportive school environment (D. Edyburn, personal communication, August 27, 2009). My doctoral research builds on my previous research (Young & Specht, 2009) which streated that the use of assistive technology was associated with an increase in perceived academic competence and perceived intellectual ability, which are components-sufcerth (Harter, Whitesell, & Junkin, 1998). This dissertation ploys multiple dta sources to examine student€s use of assistive technology and theorem motivation as they transition from a twee ar elementary demonstration school program and reintegrate into their local high schools.

Method

Participants

In order to be eligible to attend provincial demonstration schools for students with learning disabilities, students must be formally diagnosed with a learning disability, with or without ADHD (demonstration school website). Students who attend the demonstrationschool have: pervasive language difficultives; akphonological awareness; very weak academic achievement, particularly in reading (mostly grade equivalents of 1 to 3 on standardized tests) despite many years and methods of remediation; emotional reactionecondary to the chronic deprivation of success at school; and they may also have additional difficulties with-famed grossmotor skills, visual-motor integration, or attention (demonstration school website). The program is not designated for students of present with emotional or behavioural issues (demonstration school website). In order to be eligible to attend the demonstration school, students must have exhausted the resources of their current school board€s program and require a residential program to assist in the development of personal life and learning strategies (demonstration school website). The demonstration school which is the focus of the study accepts students in Grades 7 to 9, and these students range from 11 to 15 years in age when they are accepted into the program. Depending on the progress made, students may attend the demonstration school for one or two years. Each year attendance at the discussed demonstration school is between 40 and 50 students and under half of these students æ in their second year of attendance. Nineteen students recently graduated from the demonstration school program and transitioned back into their neighbourhood

schools. Twelve of these students and their parents consented to participate in my doctoral study.

Overview of participants.

Former demonstration school students and their parents participated in this study. Parents were asked to verbally describe the diagnosis of their **deaddes** disability and what ledhem to apply to the demonstration **so**h The overview of participants which follows is based on parent interview data.

Nigel€s learning difficulties were noticeable when he started school; however, his mother noted that *f* his school wouldn€t acknowledge it and didn€t want to deal with it, as they fet he was *f* unmotivated and laztrlis mother said that by *f* Grade 1 he was sad and would often cry at his desk. By Grade 2 it turned to frustration and anger anetwore a lot of, ^1 can€t do€t, Nigel€s school was reluctant to provide him with a psychoeducational assessment, and as a result, in Grade 4 his mother asked to see a copy of his Canadian Achievement Test. The school provided him with an assessment after his mother observed that his achievement was in the first percentile. Nigel€s nepdinterd that his psychoeducational assessment confirmed that he had a learning disability and experienced difficulty with word association and retrieving words from memory. At the recommendation of their paediatrician, Nigel€s parents began lookinagtentding the demonstration school. He attended the demonstration school for Grades 7 and 8 and was 14 at the beginning of the study.

Derrick€sbiological parents were drugsers which led to an unstable family life. He was living with his foster parents the time of the study and his foster mother agreed to participate in the study. His biological parents moved houses often, and as a result, Derrick€s foster mother noted that his various elementary school teachers were often unaware that he was unabteread. Derrick was eventually assigned an educational assistant because his poor reading ability led to poor achievement in all subject areas. The educational assistant recommended that he attend the demonstration school because *f* he really wanted to dearn just didn€t know how to Derrick attended the demonstration school for Grades 7 and 8, and he was 14 at the beginning of the study.

Daniel completed his first psychoeducational assessment in Grade 2 when his parents noticed he would memorize texts **iadte**f reading them. The assessment indicated that there was a discrepancy between his ability to comprehend new material and read new material. The assessment also uncovered his weaknesses in the area of math. Daniel€s elementary school teachers recom**theedat**tend the demonstration school. He wentd the demonstration school for Gradend 8 and was 14 at the beginning of the study.

Ava experienced difficulty reading and this negatively impacted her achievement in other academic domains. After hearing success stories of previous demonstration school students parents decided to start the application process. Ava attended the demonstration school for Gradeand 8. She was 14 at the beginning of the study.

Mike was a good reader who experiencefticality writing. His mother noted that prior to attending the demonstration school, his writing was similar to that of a Grade 2 student because of his poor handwriting skills and his difficulty recalling information. Mike€s parents paid for his psychoestional assessment when he was in Grade 2. After reviewing his past assessment records, his resource teacher felt he was a good candidate for the demonstration school. Mike attendeddbenonstration school for Gradeand 8 and was 14 at the beginningtofe study. Sasha was first diagnosed with a normatical learning disability when she was in senior kindergarten. A second psychoeducational assessment was completed when she was 11. The assessment indicated that she experienced difficulty with reading, mat computations, processing new information, and organizational skills. At the recommendation of the psychologist, Sasha€s parents began completing the demonstration school application. She attended **ther** nonstration school for Gradeand 8 and was 15 at the time of the first interview.

Kristine€s mother realized she had difficulty reading because she would recite stories that were previously read to her instead of reading the words on the page. She also had difficulty completing written assignments. Duener difficulty completing academic tasks, Kristine€s educational assistant recommended that she attend the demonstration school. She attended tbemonstration school for Gradeand 8 and was 15 at the beginning of the study.

Darren experienced difficu/treading. He was able tonderstand information he received orallybut was unable to read. Darren€s elementary school resource teacher recommended he attend the demonstration school. Darren was 16 at the beginning of the study. He attended the demonstratischool for Grade and 9.

Jamie experienced difficulty reading and completing written tasks. She also experienced difficulty understanding mathematic computations. Jamie€s mother wasn€t happy with the instruction she was given at elementary schootsæadesult, she switched into a different school board in Grade 7. Jamie received a formal diagnosis of a learning disability in Grade 7 and her family started thinking about the demonstration school at that time. Jamie was 16 at the beginning of th**g** atudattended the demonstration school for Gra&and 9. From a young age it was apparent that Frank had strong oral language abilities. However, he experienced difficulty with academic tasks that involved reading, writing, or maintaining attention formaextended period of time. He was never the class clown but was often inattentive and would lay his head down on the desk whenever he felt overwhelmed by school work. His mother *f* had a really hard time convincing [his elementary school] to assess him becei[this behaviour] was pdbwn to laziness and disrespect. Frank€s family paid for his psychoeducational assessment as the school board would not fund it. His parents began the application for the demonstration school based on the recommendation of theypchologist and his paediatrician. Frank was 16 at the beginning of the study and attended demonstration school for Gra@eand 10.

Rhys experienced difficulty with reading, writing, and organizational skills. In addition, his mother noted that he *ftws* like he€s in kindengen., Rhys€ principal and resource teacher felt his learning disability made him a good candidate for the demonstration school. He was 16 at the beginning of the study and he attended the demonstration school for Gra@eand 10.

John experienced **di**culty when asked to read or writele attended a private elementary school, and after hearing about the demonstration school from his aunt, he was transferred into a public school and had an updated psychoeducational assessment so that he could apply to the demonstrations school. John was 16 at the beginning of the study and attended to the monstration school for Gra**g** and 10.

Measures

Self-Perception Profile for Learning Disabled Students.

The SelfPerception Profile for Learning Disted Students (SPED; Renick & Harter, 1988) is a selfeport measure for investigating domsipecific judgments of

competencies and adequacies. It was chosen to measureteetin as it was developed specifically for use with students with learning **abis**ities. Individuals with learning disabilities differentiate between their perception fitheir general intellectual ability and their performance on specific academic tasks (Renick & Harter, 1988). This tool is of value as it allows the researcher **too** entries between their perception of their general intellectual ability and their competence levels in each of the specific academic domains. The SPED was designed to measure the following domains: General Intellectual Ability, Reading Competence, Athletic Competence, Writing Competence, Math Competence, Social Acceptance, Athletic Competence, Behavioral Conduct, Physical Appearance and Global Set on the specific across a variety of domains, one is provided with a riched more differentiated view of the child than can be provided by a single scale construct of esteriem (Renick, & Harter, 1988).

Each domaincontains four to five questions and questions are scored on a four point Likert scale, with average scores grang from 1 (very low sel£oncept) to 4 (very high selfconcept) for all questions on each subsc@tesed on the upper 21% and the lower 13% of the sample of students participating in the standardization study for the SPPLD, subscale scores less that are considered to reflect relatively low self perceptions and subscale scores greater than 3.75 are considered to reflect relatively high self-perceptions (Renick & Hartet 988). Based on Cronbach€s alpha, internal consistency reliabilities were found be quite acceptable with subscale reliabilities ranging from .78 to .89 (Renick & Harter, 1988). In order to determine the validity of each of the domaina factor analysis with an oblique rotation was performed. The results of the factor analyses indicethat each of the subscales provides a different and meaningful profile of the set/serceptions of children and adolescents with learning disabilities, and as a resultis tool is deemed as a valid measurement device (Renick & Harter, 1988).

Motivation and Engagement Scal€High School.

The Motivation and Engagement Scale (MES; Martin, 2009) measures students€ motivation and engagement in school. The MES assesses motivation through three adaptive cognitive dimensions (Booster Thoughts), three adaptime/boural dimensions (Booster Behaviours), three impeding/maladaptive cognitive dimensions (Mufflers), and two maladaptive behavioural dimensions (Guzzlers) of motivation and engagement. Motivation Boosters are thoughts and behaviours that reflected han motivation and engagementatively include Selfbeliefs, Valuing School, Focus, Planning, Task Management, and Persistence. Motivation Mufflers reflect impeded motivation and engagement; they are Anxiety, Failure Avoidance, and Uncertain Control (Martin). Motivation Guzzlers reflect reduced motivation and engagement and include Self sabotage and Disengagement (Martin, 2009ach of the eleverators is composed of four items, which are scored on a Likert south items ranging from 1 (disagree strongly) to 7 (agree strongly) The four items are added together to form a score out of 28, which is then converted into a percentage. For the Motivation Boosters, scores closer to 100 reflect higher levels of motivation and engagement, and for the Motivation Mufflers and Guzzlers, scores closer to 100 reflect impeded motivation and engagement.

The MES has a strong factor structure with Cronbach alpha scores ranging from .77 to .82 (Martin, 2009). The relationships among all Boosters, Mufflers, and Guzzlers were exmined through a correlation matrix which was generated by confirmatory factor analysis. All Boosters were highly positively correlated with each other, as were Mufflers and Guzzlers (Martin, 2009). In addition, all Guzzlers were negatively correlated witBoosters, and Mufflers had no relationship or correlated negatively with Boosters (Martin, 2009). A confirmatory factor analysis was also conducted to test the fit of the four higher order factors (i.e., Booster Thoughts, Booster Behaviours, Mufflers, anGuzzlers). The confirmatory factor analysis yielded an excellent fit to the data ²(‰35, 315.47, df = 886, CFI = .98, RMSEA = .042).

The MES (Martin, 2009) has been validated with many educational outcome measures and the factors have been shown to the dytand external validity. Each Booster has been shown to have a significant positive correlation with academic achievement, literacy, numeracy, class participation, enjoyment of school, educational aspirations, and homework completion (Martin, 2009). Inviectigating the Guzzlers, students higher in self-sabotage and disengagement were shown to achieve at a lower level on the achievement measures, display lower literacy and numeracy scores, and demonstrate lower levels of class participation, educationations, and enjoyment of school (Martin, 2009). These students also scored lower on homework completion and were more likely to be absent from school.

Patterns of Adaptive Learning Survey.

The Patterns of Adaptive Learning Sur((e)ALS; Midgley et al.,1995) was developed to asseps rsonal achievement goal orientations, perceptions of teacher's goals, perceptions of classroom goal structure, as well as acardetantic perceptions, beliefs, and strategies. Roeser, Midgley, and Urdan (1996) selectes is soath the PALS which assessed School Goal Dimensions, School Relationship Dimensions, Personal Achievement Goals, Relatedness and -Selfefs. The School Goal Dimension is composed of the school task goal structure which assesses students€ percépations o emphasis in the school on effort and understanding, as well as the school ability goal structure which taps students€ perceptions that relative ability is a prominent and rewarded marker of success in school (Roeser et al., 1296) School Relationing Dimension assessetudents€ perceptions of the quality of teasthedent interactions in school Roeser et al., 1996). The Personal Achievement Goals is composed of personal task goals which assessets dents€ preferences for challenging work, tasstery, and learning new things well as personal relative ability goals which tstosslents€ desire to demonstrate their ability relative to otherspeser et al., 1996 Relatedness is composed of school belonging which assesses ther students fethat they matter and belong in their schooRoeser et al., 1996\$elf-beliefs is composed of academic self efficacy which assesses students€ beliefs that they can master the materials taught in school Roeser et al., 1996These scales can be used identito examinethe psychological environment of the school and the extent to which students perceive their school environment as cooperative rather than competitive. Questions in these scales are organized in a fivepoint Likert survey format with items maing from 1 (not at all true) to 5 (very true). Respective subscale responses are added together and divided by the number of responses in each subscale in order to obtain an average subscale score. In interpreting the responses, scores closer to 1 enced as less positive (i.e., low self efficacy) and scores closer to 5 are viewed as more positive (i.e., high fixed cy). Normed with elementary, middle, and high school students from nine school districts in three Midwestern United States, the redisersion of the PALS has a strong factor structure with Cronbach alpha scores ranging from .76 to .86 (Roeser109a).

Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale.

The Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale (PIADS; Day & Jutai, 1996) is a 26-item selfreport questionnaire designed to assess the psychological as well as the

social benefits of assistive devices on their users. Each item is scored on-point/en Likert scale with scores ranging from negative 3 (maximum negative impact)gth@ (no perceived impact) to positive 3 (maximum positive impact). The PIADS is composed of three subscales. The first subscale, Competence, measuiregsfeetcompetence and efficacyand is sensitive to the perceived impact of assistive technology/homol performance and productivity. The second subscale, Adaptability, measures the willingness to try new things and to take risks and is sensitive to the enabling aspects of assistive technology. The third subscale, -Settem, demonstrates the perediimpact of assistive technology on setonfidence and emotional webleing.

This questionnaire was used to determine if assistive technology increases one perceived competence level which may contribute to the individual succeeding academically. Its important to assess the degree to which assistive technology affects feelings of perceived competence, as feeling of proficiency in daily activities are at the root of selfesteem (Dodds, Bailey, Pearş**&**nYates, 1991as cited in Day & Jutai, 1996). The PIADS is well suited to this study as its focus is on all forms of assistive devices including communication and writing aids.

A reliability coefficient was computed based 1567 respondents to PIADS who ranged in age from 17 to 53, with a mean of g22 (see Day & Jutai, 1996). The Cronbach€s alpha coefficient was .96 suggesting that the internal consistency of the scale is very high (Day & Jutai, 1996). In order to determine the validity of each of the domains a principal components analysis of 126e items was performed. Using varimax rotation, the principal components analysis yielded a three factor solution (i.e., the Competence, Adaptability, and Selfesteem subscales) that accounted for 61% of the total variance (Day & Jutai, 1996). Based onet/findings from their previous research, Day and Jutai report that the PIADS is a reliable and valid tool that appears to have significant power to predict important assistive technology outcomes.

Interviews.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with rents and students. Background information questions were posed in the first interview with parents. I asked parents to provide information surrounding the diagnosis of their child€s learning disability, the type of academic taskswhich their child experiencesdifficulty, and whether their child used assistive technology prior to attending the demonstration school (see Appendix D for additional information). Interview questions also surrounded their child€s experience at the demonstration school, dhitdi€s use of technology, and whether or not they felt their chites level of sel€oncept and school motivation improved as a result of attending the demonstration school.

When conducting the first interview with students, logistical questiveere posed in orderto know which grade they were in and the courses in which they were enrolled (see Appendix D for additional information). Interviewestions also surrounded student€experiences at the demonstration school, their experiences with the use of assistive technology, and whether or not they felt attending the demonstration school had an impact on their selfoncept and school motivation.

The second interview with parents surrounded their child€s experiences at high school. More specifically, interiew questions focused on their child€s transition to a new school, whether or not their child continued to use their assistive technology, and whether they felt their child€s level of sedfoncept ancschool motivationincreased or decreased since attening their new school (see Appendix D for additional information). The second interview with students focused on their experiences attending their current school,

whether they perceived their current school to be a supportive learning environment, whether hey continued to use their assistive technology, and their current level-of self concept and motivation school (see Appendix D for additional information).

Procedure

Table 1 provides an outline of the research protocol.

Table 1 - Outline of the Research Protocol

	Students	Parents
Time 1	Start of demonstration school program.	
- Start of Year 1	- SPPLD (Renick & Harter, 1988)	
- September 2007		
Time 2	End of first year at demonstration school.	
- End of Year 1	- SPPLD (Renick & Harter, 198)	
- May 2008		
Time 3	End of demonstration school program.	
- End of Year 2	- SPPLD (Renick & Harter, 1988)	
- June 2009		
Time 4	End of first semester in high school.	
- Year 3	- Semi-structured interviews with students.	- Semistructured
- January 2010	- Student surveys:	interviews with
	MES (Mattin, 2009)	parents.
	PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996)	
	PALS (Roeser, Midley, & Urdan, 1996)	
Time 5	End of second semester in high school.	
- End of Year 3	- Semi-structured interviews with students.	- Semistructured
- June 2010	- Student surveys:	interviews with
	MES (Martin, 2009)	parents.
	PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996)	
	PALS (Roeser, Midley, & Urdan, 1996)	
	SPPLD (Renick & Harter, 1988)	

Time 1, 2, and 3.

Nineteen students recently graduated from the demationst schol program and transitionednto their neighbourhood schools. While attending the demonstration school students were administered the SHOP (Renick & Harter, 1988). This scale was administered in order todetermine if findings from my Mastertessis (Young, 2007) would replicate future studies wanted todetermine that students € academic self conceptincreased from they first entered into the roton school, had completed the first year f the program, and hardompleted the second year of the program and were making the transition to their local neighbourhood schools.

The principal of the demonstration school contacted former demonstration school students in order to determine which students were interested in being contacted fo further study. Thirteen students and their parents provided consent to be contacted and were invited to participate in my doctoral research. In doing so, I asked to utilize their previous survey data. In total, 12 students and their parents conse**ptæticip**ate in my doctoral study.

Time 4.

Former demonstration school students and their parents who consented to participate took part in semistructured nterviews. Students were also asked to complete surveys. Interviews and surveys occurred at a **time**place which was of convenience to the participants (such **the**ir home and their local community library).

The transition to high school can be a difficult experience for all students, especially those with learning disabilities (Letrello & Miles()2) In moving to a new school, students are not only faced with changes to their physical environment, but also with different academic requirements and new social interactions. Students who have a difficult time transitioning to their new school may loback on their previous school experience with undue fondness. In order to provide sufficient time for students to become accustomed to their new surroundings, students and their parents were interviewed in January. By conducting interviews at the endeofitst semester, students had four months in their new school environment and had time to reflect on their previous experiences at the demonstration school.

Interviews with students took approximately 30 minutes and parent interviews took slightly longe. Upon conducting the student interviews, students were asked to complete the MES (Martin, 2009), the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996), the PALS (Roeser, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996). Students were asked to think back to their experiences at the demonstration sobl when completing the surveys. The MES was used to determine students€ level of motivation and engagement while attending the demonstration school, the PIADS was used to asseignt assistive technologyay have on students at the demonstration cockhand the PALS was used to establish the psychological environment of the demonstration school. In total, the surveys took approximately 30 minutes to complete.

Many students with learning disabilities experience reading difficulties, and as a result, Iread all of the survey items to the students. I also provided each student with a blank piece of paper which could be used to cover survey items to which they were not immediately responding. This strategy was used because encouraging students with learning disabilities to expose only one survey item at a time may help them to maintain their attention on the particular item being answered (Renick & Harter, 1988).

I worked oneon-one with each student in completing the MES (Martin, 2009) and the PALS (Roesr, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996) When providing students with instruction on how to complete the surveys, I stressed that there were not tests and that there were no right or wrong answers. I ensured students that their responses to the survey were condiential and that no one at home or at school would have access to their survey responses. Is and explained that imilar questions were asked in different ways in order to ensure that I had an accurate view of what students were saying. A sample question we included at the beginning of each survey to introduce the use of the Likert scale. I read the sample question aloud and ensured that each child understood how to select their appropriate response, thereontinued to read the remainder of the questions and ensured that each child understood the material was following along.

I worked oneon-one with each student as they completed the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996)In completing the survey, first provided a definition of the term, for example: *f* Competenee The ability to succeed in the important things you need to do in life., I then asked students if they understood what the term means and asked them to respond to whether the use of assistive technology decreations because their *f* competence

Time 5.

Students and their parents were contacted towards the end of the school year in order to complete their second interview. Upon completing the interview, students were once again asked to complete the MES (Martin, 2009), the PIADS (Day & Jute), 19 and the PALS (Roeser, Midgy, & Urdan, 1996). The results of these surveys were used to establish students€ current level of school motivation and engagement, the influence assistive technology continued to have on these students in high school, and the psychological environment of students€ current high schools. While attending the demonstration school, students completed the SP (Renick & Harter, 1988) on three separate occasions. Survey results demonstrated an increase in perceived general intellectual **b**ility and an improvement in perceived competencies across academic domains. The SPED was administered for a fourth time in order to determine if there was a change in students€ academi**p**sedfeptions and their overall selfsteem.

The SPFLD took approximately 15 minutes to complete and was similar in administration to the other surveys. I read the questions aloud and ensured that the student was following along. Questions on the SEP are organized in the following format: *f* Some kids are sure there pretty smart in school, BUT *f* Other kids are not so serve th are all that smart in school ensured that eacstudent was able to choose the *f* kide/y best relate to, and then choose if the scenario was *f* really true, for them or only *f* sort of true, for them. By differentiating between the two scenarios, and selecting the degree to which they could identify with the chosen scenario, the-SPRvas scored similar to a four-point Likert scale.

Member checks are an important component of constru**ctity***a***ti**nd are arguably the most important criterion in establishing credible interview data (Mertens, 2005). At the end of each interview briefly summarized what had been said and asked participants if I was able to accurately relay the concepts and **imatioiothey** were trying to share. Respondent validation can be especially useful as participants may suggest a better way to express an issue or may wish to qualify points (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2007). Upon analyzing the datasent an overview ofte findings to participants for feedback. While I presented group datasked parents to provideedback in regards to the degree to which the research summary and selected quotes provided an accurate portrayal of their child€s use of assistive tech**gyland** their transition back into their neighbourhood schools. Three parents responded; these responses have been modified to remove identifying information and are included in Appendix F.

In order to ensure participants€ responses to all surveys axide interpretentions remained confidential, I provided each participant with a numerical code which was used to compare the results of the surveys that each participant completed. Pseudonyms were also assigned to each participant. After conducting the inter,vibevsligital voice files were stored on a password protected computer and transcribed interview data was kept in a locked desk drawer. After the data is published, the transcribed data and accompanying surveys will be destroyed.

Data Analysis

Interviewswith students and their parents were transcribed verbatim. After each interview was transcribed reviewed the transcripts to identify the main concepts, themes, and issues that arose during the interview. I began analysis early in the research process foas Seidman (1991) notes, marking passages that are of interest, labelling them, and grouping them is interpretative and analytic work that should commence as soon as any new data is collected.

Codes are tags which can be used to assign units of meaninfigrmation compiled during a study (Miles & Huberman, 1994). Codes can be attached to chunks of data ranging from single words to phrases, sentences, or whole paragraphs, and they can be used to retrieve information or to organize information into **targe**nks or patterns. The coding scheme was created inductively as I created my coding scheme based on patterns, themes, and categories that emerged from the data (Patton, 2002). I read all transcripts in order to identify and define the codes, and in **torbe**inimize biasa critical peer was used to validate the emerging themes (Miles & Huberman, 1994). Transcripts were coded thematically using the qualitative data analysis software ATLAS.ti. In order b ensure codes were applied consistently, and dok for coding drift over time, an undergraduate student indepentigeroded 10% of the transcripts. In order to demonstrate interater reliability, I randomly selected portions of parent and student transcripts from both the first and seconder views. There was 95% agreement which is sufficient to move on to the final stages of analysis (Miles & Huberman, 1994). Coding and recoding are over when the analysis appears to have run its course. This means that all of the selected excerpts can be readibified and the categories are saturated (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Lincoln and Guba recommend that data analysis be stopped with the emergence of *f* regularities, or when no new information emerges with additional data analysis. In total there were 48 interviews cripts (24 student interviews and 24 parent interviews), which was sufficient for saturation of the emerging themes (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

In reporting the data I strove to provide an accurate portrayal of students with learning disabilities€ trattisin from a demonstration school into their neighbourhood schools. I provide a broad overview of the students, their previous and current school experiences, the degree to which they used assistive technology in both school environments, and their previous d current levels of set/steem and school motivation. As many participants wanted to discuss their school experiences prior to attending the demonstration school, I have organized the results according to students€ initial school experiences, participates€ perceptions of the demonstration school, students€ experience with the transition to high school, and participants€ perceptions of their local high schools. I also examined potential changes to students€ indication, and

utilized interview data to highlight individual differences which may have been hidden within group survey data.

According to Cresswell (2007), in utilizing a mixedethods approach, the researcher combines qualitative and quantitative approaches in roughly equalions throughout the study. This form desearch provides rich data which cannot be acquired through the sole use of quantitation qualitative methodologies, and allows the researcher to demonstrate convergence in the results through the processidation. In discussing the benefits of triangulation, Cresswell (2007) notes that biases inherent in a particular source, sample, or method can be neutralized when used in conjunction with other data sources, samples, and methods. In prestintifigdings, he quantitative data is presented alongside the interview data in order to better explain perceptions of students€ initial school experiences, participants€ perceptions of the demonstration school, the skills students acquired at the demonstrations, and the degree to which students€ newly acquired skills and competencies transferred with them to high school.

Results

Initial School Experience

Parents described their to the selementary school experience as painful and isolating: *f* It was quite painful because [Ava] didn \in t care or want to go to school, She felt left behind so it was hard for her to go to school., Nigel felt his elementary school teachers *f* didn \in t care ableatrning disabled people, I couldn \in t do anything because they wouldn \in t help me. So I didn \in t try, I just slid through., His mother echoed these sentiments saying,

*f*He didn€t want to be there. He didn€t want anything to do with the teachers. He was isolating himself, Grades 1, 2, and 3 were the worst years for him. By Grade

5 he just slid through. He was there, but not there. He wasn€t doing the work.,, Derrick€s mother also expressed her son€s sense of isolation saying, *f* In Grade 6 he was doing Grade 1 and level work, He didn€t make friends because he didn€t want friends to know he couldn€t read.,,

Some students received remediation through **put**lprograms and participants discussed the stigmas associated with these programs. Nigel€s mother**delt** pull programs categorized students as being unintelligent:

fYou are smart or you are nothat€s how they labelled them, [Teachers] actually single [students] out by putting them into small groups of five or six to go out to get hte with reading It wasn€t in the class getting extra help, they were definitely singled out as not smart kids.,,

Daniel said, fThey \in d pull me out of class and we \in d sit there all day doing Grade 1 or 2 work., He felt the pullout programs reflected his teachers \in low expectatio**him**forf I did Grade 2 work when I was in Grade 6 and 7, They didn \in t challenge me and they pulled me out of the class so I really didn \in t like it.,

Students felt some teachers were publically demeaning and their mothers reported teaches feeduce perceptions are transferred to their peeNsigel s elementary teachers said *f* they de lucky if he could add and subtract, They didn televe in him at [his elementary school] because they already said, $He \in s$ never going to learn how to do this. , Frank s mother is *f* In Grade 2 he had a teacher [who] literally stood at the front of the classroom and would yell out that he was lazy and stupid, That te the year the bullying started., Nigel and Frank internalized comments they received from teachers and reported that this resulted in decreased **set** fteem. Nigel \in s mother said, *f* As far as school, there was no set festeem there at all. He was not confident, If anybody was trying to help him it would instantly be, 1 can \in t do it. \in Academically he was completely shut, **Outwer**. students had similar experience perick \in s mother said, *f* his set there wasn \in t there because he didn \in t feel he could do anything right.

Student s difficulties diabt end when they left school as reports discussed their child s difficulties with momework completion. Kristine s mother said, f she wouldn t come home and do homework because she struggled for the eight hours she was in school, She had struggled to the point that she was so frustrated that she hated school., Similarly, Sasha s motherids, f We did no homework because there was no point in ruining her evening. She saw it as the continuation of a punishment because she thought school was a punishment., Mike s mother also felt f It was horrible. He didn to go to school. He got veryop marks, I would have to study with him at home so it was a lot of work for everybody.,

Two of the twelve students had not been exposed to assistive technology prior to the demonstration school. Seven of the remaining ten students began using assistive technology in Grade 6 and the remaining three students began using technology in Grade 7 and 8. Parents lamented over the time it took to get the technology running: *f*Unfortunately it sat in a box for many months, [Jamie] lost a whole year waiting for a technician to drive twenty miles [to install the technology].,,

Eight of the ten students received insufficient assistive technology training. Students felt their training f wasn \in t very good. It was just the basics, and noted that they f really didn \in t get any atning until [they] were to [the demonstration school]? arents echoed these sentiments saying, f They didn \in t have the resources to learn how to use it properly. It was available but it really wasn \in t usable., Darren \in s mother said, *f* They get the technologyinto these schools but they don \in t have enough help. There were almost 600 kids at [his previous school]. They had two learning support teachers., Mike \in s mother described his previous technology use saying,

*f*He had a desktop but he had to sit at the **battke** room. There really wasn \in t a lot of support for it, The resource teacher was very good but if your teacher isn \in t providing you with what you need there \in s a big problem.,

Perceptions of the Demonstration School

Class size.

All students found it helipil to be in a class with fewer students. In his first year at the demonstration school Daniel had nine students in his class and in his second year he had six; smaller classes were *f* Really helpful ^ccause it was one teacher and one resource and they werehere to help you., Similarly, Nigel said, *f* It was helpful ^ccause there was two teachers and six kids. If someone needed help one teacher would go there., Rhys€ mother said, *f* smaller class sizes mean moreoproprie time., Jamie and Derrick felt the smallerclasses were *f* helpful because you got more attention from the teacher, and *f* If you put your hand up the teacher would be right there.,

Kristine felt f You learn better when the teacher is **come**ne., Her mother said, f They got to know which weakness **awki**ch strength each student had. You can \in t do that with a class of 30., John felt more comfortable being in a smaller class: f If I had to present something, I wouldn \in t be as embarrassed doing it in front of a few people., Ava also felt more comfortable lenging in smaller classrooms: f It \in s a lot less pressure and you get more time with the teacher so you can get the help you need., Her fath \notin the the fath \notin the teacher so you can get the help you need. small class sizes, the one-one, having the technology available all contributed to a better school exerience.

All students benefited from the individualized homework help. Derrick \in s mother appreciated that *f* There was always somebody there that could help them in case they ran into a problem with the technology., There were a number of people Ava control for help: *f* The teachers usually stayed late, the councillors, the E.A. \in s [educational assistants], the computers, there are choices for can help. Frank said, *f* If you asked for help they would help you and make sure you understood it beforefthey I Accessing help reduced Mike \in s anxiety surrounding homework completion: *f* It made homework a lot easier to understand and then I wouldn \in t panic if I couldn \in t get it done., Jamie was thankful her math teacher would stay after school to *f* explain tbings s and make sure I understood it for the they really kinetwor to answer my questions.

Teacher-student relationships.

Data from the PALS (Roeser, Mikey, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that students felt there were positive teachestudent relationships at the demonstration school, with a mean School Relationship subscale score of 4.53 (SD = .53; with 5 being the highest potential score). Similar findings arose in the qualitativata, for when discussing what their child enjoyed most about the demonstration school, many parents brought up the relationships that were developed with the principal, teachers, counsellors, and fellow students. Darren s mother said, f The teachersgreeate they cared, and the principal is fantastic., Similarly, Sasha s mother said, f She loved all of her teachers, her counsellors were wonderful., Jamie s ther said, f The residence state pretty special people. They care very deeply about each teach They bring out the best, they recognize the weakness and work with it., Darren felt his demonstration school teachers cared about him *f*A lot more than they do at a regular high school., Students knew their demonstration school teachers cared about the cause of the encouragement they provided. Sasha said, *f*I could tell they cared because if we didn€t understand something and we got frustrated they€d try to encourage us to keep doing our work., Teacher encouragement extended to all aspects of schootNigel said, *f*When we did sports they were really encouraging.,

The PALS (Roeser, Midgy, & Urdan, 1996) survey responses indicated that students felt the demonstration school teachers wanted them to really understand their work, with a mean School Ta Scoal Structure subscale score of 4.34 (SD = .56; with 5 being the highest potential score). They survey data was supported by the interview data as Darren said the demonstration school teachers *f* would go out of their way and help you one-on-one and makeuse you got the meaning. If you didn the first time they will try to explain it a different way., Similarly, Jamie said the demonstration school teachers *f* took their time helping students out. If we got something wrong they would show us how to doit properly., Kristine felt the teachers *f* were really caring and actually wanted to help us. Whereas other teachers go on with the school work and don teacher if you get it or don teachers genuinely wanted hi succeed: *f* for you encourages you and the spon teachers genuinely wanted you to succeed. After hours when they could be at home making dinner and marking their work they te still at school helping you.,

The School Relationship subsc**ale**m the FALS (Roeser, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that students believed their ideas were listened to and valued at the demonstration school. In alignment with the survey data, Darren \in s mother said, *f* No

question is dumb or stupid; doesn€t matter if you€**ved itst**hree or five times., Ratings on the School Relationship subscale also indicated that the demonstration school teachers treated students with respect. Nigel€s mother said, *f*When he went to [the demonstration school] people were right there to hel**p**nhilf he didn€t understand something they treated him with respect., Data from the School Relationshipcable of the PALS (Roeser, Midtey, & Urdan) also indicated that students felt the demonstration school caredabout students as individuals. Durine **in**terviews, students reported that they felt their demonstration school teachers were genuinely interested in them for Rhys *f* could have a conversation with them after class. If I had a question they would have no problem answering it.,

Parents judgedhat students developed a strong relationship with their demonstration school teachers because they understood their learning disability. Jamie€s mother felt *f* There was a general caring about the academic and a gener**#hndide**rs of disability., Franknoted that some of biteachers *f* had problems themselves with learning disabilities, or they had family members with learning disabilities, so they knew where you were coming from., Ava appreciated that her teachers knew her on a personal level and desiredotlearn how she learned best. Each of her teachers *f* had a little profile of every student, how you learn, don€t learn, and if that person needs to wootkdha understand.She was thankful her teachers developed learning profiles for each student as it heped to direct their instruction. Sasha€s mother felt *f* Very skilled people work there, They have a love for their job and those kids, These are specialized teachers for kids who have specialized issues, The principal pulls it all together, he€s the one who hand picks these people.,,

Students belonged.

Data from the PALS (Roeser, Mikey, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that students felt they belonged at the demonstration school, with a mean School Belonging subscale score of 4.56 (SD = .73; with 5 being thighest potential score). The quantitative data was supported by the qualitative data as all students commented that their demonstration school teachers were welcoming and made them feel like they belonged. Kristine \in s teachers *f* felt warm and welcoming. Is a fraid to walk into a classroom., Mike felt he was destined for the demonstration school: *f* I never belonged at any other school, but [the demonstration school] felt like I was meant to go there and make friends.,

The demonstration school teachers **ended**mie feel like she belonged because fThey wouldn \in t put us down or say we were wrong. They would show us how to do it right., Similarly, John felt he belonged because his teachers *f* really understood what kind of help I needed and how to get me that $h_{i}eh$ gel said, *f* If you needed help they were there for you. If you needed encouragement they were there for you. There were there for you all the time., Darren said, *f* Knowing that you need help and they \in re willing to help you, it made you feel good.,

The School Belonging suscale of the PALS (Roeser, Milesy, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that students strongly felt like they mattered at the demonstration school. In alignment with the survey data, 11 students commented that they felt important at the demonstration school: *f*The staff and teachers at [the demonstration school] took time to listen to what we had to say, so you felt like you were important and they wanted to work with you., (Sasha). John felt important because the *f* teachers always knew how to answer my questions. They seemed to always have the time., Derrick also felt important because

his teachers f we adways there when I needed the maniel said, f The people cared about me, they would help me, and that made me feel really important about myself.,

The School Ability Goal Structe from the PALS (Roeser, Mitegy, & Urdan, 1996) demonstrated that students **fela**tive ability, an individual€s current level of achievement in relation to their previous achievement, was a prominent and rewarded marker of success at the demonstration school dents responded negatively to survey items such as: Teachers treat kids who get good grades better than other kids; and Only a few kids get praised for their school work, with a mean score of 1.53 (SD = .59; with 1 being the lowest potential score). Survey responses were supported being the lowest potential score). 11 participants reported all students were recognized for their effort and achievement at the demonstration school. Sasha said, f A few times I studied really hdird really well on a test, and they would say, 'Good job€ and recognize how hard I was working... Similarly, Mike and Daniel said teachers f recognized a student if they really worked hard and if they wanted to succeed., John appreciated how teachers zecotime effort he put into school work: f They recognize students who tried hard in class. They showed that on the [report] card you got at the end of the weAka said, f Each kid was important. They didn€t talk about the best student or the worst studenttalked about everyone. They recognized everyone€s achievements.,

All students were provided with the opportunity to participate at the demonstration school: fThey always recognized everybody in the class to make sure they were getting the help the geded, If the teacher was asking questions they wouldn \in t just ask one student, they would ask every person., (Derrick). Teachers noticed when students were not achieving and provided them with appropriate supports: fThey recognized all of us for differe measons, We stand out if we fer failing something or we

stand out sitting in class not raising our hand. They will know if we don \in t get it.,, (Kristine). Darren appreciated that all students were given the opportunity to participate because *f* kids who nevent chosen before got chosen., The demonstration school culture was exceptional for Jamie \in s mother said, *f* If you were cheering or participating in the sport you were valued at school. You don \in t get that anywhere else.,

Peers with learning disabilities.

*f*Before I went to [the demonstration school] I felt I wasn€t the same as everyone else because I wasn€t in class with them. After the first month of [the demonstration school] I noticed I wasn€t going to get pull out, I was always there with the full class. So it built up your confidence.,

John \in s mother was happy because her son *f* realized he was not the only one. Before he went to [the demonstration school] his sesteem was low because he couldn \in t function at the level of other kids.,

Students felattending a school with peers who also had learning disabilities reduced bullying. Derrick enjoyed not having to explain his learning disability: *f*They

don€t ask you, ^What€s your problem?€ They all know what€s wrong; this is why we are all here., Jamie <code>ġoyed</code> the demonstration school becausegfryone understood each other and therevasn€t teasing or name callingimilarly, Ava said, *f* I liked it ^cause they couldn€t make fun of you or say anything bad about you ^cause they had it too.,

Supportive environment.

All participants felt the demonstration school was supportive of their or their child s learning needs. Darren s mother said, $fI \text{ don} \text{ t know what teachers at normal public schools would do if they had six kids. They would have more time to heilp but would still be a handout, It is the way they teach that was the problem., Frank s mother appreciated that the demonstration school recognized different learning styles and taught accordingly becaus people learn in different way sasha s teachers pereted information in ways which were relevant and practical for the students. She appreciated that her teachers f would explain [information] using something from our daily twitty and explain it better.$

Participants felt the demonstration schoot the swere always willing to provide additional support. Nigel \in s mother appreciated how responsive the teachers were: *f* If he had his hand up they were right there. They got that confidence in him, They built independence in him so he could start doing **\$bis**ool work] on his own., Sasha \in s mother said, *f* If she needed extra help they would always stay after., Daniel elaborated on the provided support saying, *f* A teacher would stay there ^til 6:30 to help you and the counsellor would stay up ^til 9:00 to makere you got the work done., Frank \in s mother said, *f* They were teachers because they should be teachers, they had the passion.,

Frank noted the demonstration school was supportive because it met the needs of students with learning disabilities. Jamie \in mothing, *f* They understand the disabilities,

they€re capable of reading the [psychoeducational assessments], they€re able to decode the information and teach to it., Jamie said, *f* A lot of the teachers there also have learning disabilities so they took theorem time to help us., Similarly, Nigel said, *f* They knew what we were going through and what would help us learn better because they went through this in their childhood., The demonstration school helped students learn to cope with their learning disabilities. Ava appreciated that her teachers taught students *f* how to deal with [your learning disability] and socially how to commonate with other kids about it, Mike€s mother noted that *f* lead a lot of emotional issues that affected his learning abilities. They were able to deal with both at the same time and that really helped him.,

Assistive technology was deemed an important component of a supportive school environment. Mike and John felt the demtoration school was supportive grause they had the technology to help me understand everything jimilarly, Derrick €s mother noted that he felt supported *f* because he €s always got somebody there that knows the technology, They are great at knowing how to teach them so they don €t get frustrated easily., Mike €s maber also felt the demonstration school provided a **satippe** environment because of technology of coursethey €re all trained on it and everybody was the same. He wasn €t the only one with a computer; he wasn €t the only one who had learning disabilites.,

Students were successful.

Responses to the Relatednessbscale of the PALS (M = 4.56; SD = .73; with 5 being the highest scor (Roeser, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that students strongly agreed with statements such as: I feel like I am safoces this school. In alignment with the interview data, II students commented that they felt successful at the demonstration school. Rhys knew he was successful *f* because my grades were high, I understood what was going on., Stud@geades made them@esuccessful: *f*My grades went up, Before I went to [the demonstration school] they were just over passing and now they€re 80€s., (Darren). Similarly, Kristine€s mother said, *f*She€s pulling off 80€s. She never did that until she went to [the demonstration]., Nigel€s grades were also *f* higher than any reported I had from my other school]scasha said, *f* looking at my work before I went, and looking at my work after, I can tell it changed within a year.,

Students reported they were successful beoafutseir improved reading abilities: *f*I went up six grades in my reading., (Derrick). Ava said, *f*I used to be at a Grade 1 reading level and now I am at the standard Grade 8 or 9 reading level., Similarly, Frank€s mother said, *f*When he left last yearatsereading at a high Grade 9, low Grade 10 level. He came in at a high Grade 2, low Grade 3 level when he started two years prior., John felt successful because *f*I could actually finish the homework and I understood what was happening, I am reading indepently now., The demonstration school also *f*helped [Nigel] become an independent person. It definitely built his self esteem. Academically, he went from reading at Grade 1 or 2 up to Grade 6.,

Students reported that their demonstration school *f* teacheas **least** two different ways to teach us, Whereas at other schools they taught us one way and we would have to learn it that way., (Kristine). **f** equipants feltthat direct instruction contributed togains in studes cacademic achievement. Ava said, *f* At old school the teachers never taught me, they just gave you the worksheets. But here at [the demonstration school] they actually teach you what to do., Ava also discussed the gains she made in mathematics: *f* My teachers used to keep me outside to **doscorree**pBut [the demonstration school] caught me up., She described the instruction she received saying, *f* Everything was very short but they made you understand it, They wouldn \in t give you the answers as other teachers would. They would make you d**out** so y understand it.,

The demonstration school placed an emphasis on reading instruction, provided strategy instruction, and focused on social skills, organizational skills, and the use of assistive technology. Participants commented that students be free fitted hese components of the school. Daniel benefited from the demonstration school because f He doesn \in t feel dumb being put in the ^stupid class \in and with the technology he \in s been able to do things on the computer and get a lot done.,

Academic and socialkills were taught at the demonstration school. Frank fbecame more confident with my reading and writing, It made me feel confident in my personal life too., Kristine said, fLearning how to talk to our teachers about our learning disabilities was a good **size** skill because we can go up to them and say, "This is what I need formy [individual education plate], She felt attending the demonstration school made *f* A big differenceespecially for my grades and **self**teem., Nigel benefited from the advocacy **t**ining *f* because if you **cf**thask for help you are screw, **eT**he demonstration school also taught Mike http://complete.work.independently. His mother said, *f* He es getting good marks, he suing his equipment, he asks for help if he needs it, and he sworkingery independently.,

Ten students and ten parents felt the demonstration school positively impacted their or their child \in social skills. Participants felt the social skills instruction was necessary because *f* most kids that have a learning disability theorem ocial is ability as well., Derrick said the demonstration school *f* definitely helped me with social skills, because he previously hadfiditulty interacting with people. His mother satichter would withdraw because he didn \in t want people to pick upisodisability. Now he \in II talk to anybody and not worry about that., Mike also benefited from the social skills training as evidenced in his mother \in s comment: *f*He \in s more outgoing, he \in s **roon***t***iself**t. He will initiate conversations with people. He capply those social concepts that he \in s learned., Frank said the counsellors *f* taught you how to speak to people and not seem self-conscious about it. They made you feel like you weren \in t a piece of garbage, that people would be willing o hang out. Similarly, John and Mike appreciated the demonstration school because *f* It made me experience how to make new friends, learn social skills and learning strategies, and how to get work done.,

The demonstration school taught students the importance of sticking the daute. Kristine \in s mother said the teachers and counsellors *f* supported these kids in getting their homework done at a scheduled time and not whenever you feel like doing it., Frank \in s mother felt the demonstration school stressed the importance of score does be anything else., The structured routine carried over into Mike \in s study habits because *f* He comes home from school and does his homework first. [The demonstration] set his routine., Rhys benefited from the consistency at the demonstration school: *f* from the teachers, to the dorm, to the work, everything seemed to have a definite and consistency to it. His mother said, *f* The consistency, the follow the teachers, the knowledge of the teachers, the support system they have in place for the factors made the demonstration school a supportive learning environment.

All participants agreed with Ava \in s father \in s comment that attending the demonstration school made a difference *f* on all levelser selfesteem, ability to do school work, and socialize. It is a big improvement for a big improvement for a school work, and socialize is a big improvement of the school work and socialize.

best thing she ever did. It helped her a lot with the technology and hesters ..., For Frank *f* It made a difference in my school work, in my-selffidence, It didn t make me fear speaking to other people or hanging out with other people..., His mother said, *f* It changed the trajectory of his life..., The demonstration school made rediffe for Daniel because is father said *f* He s been able to supplement his weak points, which was reading and math, with technology..., Sasha s mother said, *f* She was so frustrated and so overwhelmed by the shortcomings she always felt she had. Academic for her...,

Derrick is mother felt henceded a special way to be taught and without [the demonstrationschool] he wouldn is thave got, iNigel is mother said, This demonstration school is the answer because they is with kids that are dealing with the same issues. They start believing in themselves, they learn the tools they need to learn., Jamie is mother wished the demonstration school program could be modified and implementation inclusive classrooms. She felt *f* More teachers need to know about [the demonstration school] and why it exists, or how to reognize a student that should, go.

Assistive technology use at the demonstration school.

Parents provided a positive depict of their child \in s use of assistive technology at the demonstration school: *f*He loved to be able to show how it worked. So it was certainly a source of pride., (Frank). However, Derrick \in s mother wondered if her son had become too dependent: *f*His gra**spec**hnology came very easy to him and he \in s done well with it, He \in s got it into his head that it \in s going to be beside him all the time., Daniel benefited from the technology *f*Because if I need it I can use it and I know how to use it. There are program satthelp me reads oit \in s a big positive., It was easier to use assistive technology at the demonstration school *f* because [teachers] knew what they were doing; they weren \in t just learning it for themselves.,, Students liked the way the technology training **prass**ented because *f* It was in the subjects, We would go to a computer lab and learn about it and do [the work] at the same time., Students appreciated receiving assistive technology training within their subject area instruction as it enabled them to **ustrained** how to apply the various functions of the technology. Mike \in s mother said, *f* They trained him how to use it, when to use it, and how much time it can save. They showed him a lot of things and [his achievement] improved. He \in s a different boy.,

The Psybosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale (PIADS; Day & Jutai, 1996) is comprised of three subscales (Competence, Adaptability, and Settim) which were used to assess the psychological as well as the social benefits of the assistive technology. With a mean Competence score of 1.82 (SD = .87; with scores ranging 3rtom-3), data from the PIADS indicated that students perceived that the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on their skilfulness, performance, and independence in completing academic tasks at the demonstration school. Survey data was supported by students who noted they liked using technology because:

f If I talk it comes out a lot better than when I am writingth Dragon I can say the word and it spells it for me. I have table reading Kurzweil helps me read my study notes so I can remember it.,, (Sasha)

Similarly, Frank said, f It \in s difficult to write using my hand or typing. Speaking is a lot faster and I can get everything that \in s in my mind out on paper., Other stellents assistive technology eased the burden of completing academic tasks: f If it wasn \in t for [the technology] I wouldn \in t be able to understand my work., Assistive technology also

reduced the pressure which surrounded completing academic tasks: *f* It **takeo**fstr when you have exams, You can do better because the technology is helping you., (Kristine).

The Competence subscale of the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996) indicated that students perceived that assistive technology had a positive impact on their adlequacy completing academic tasks. The qualitative data supporteduate inative as ten students reported that assistive technology enabled them to finish tasks they otherwise would be unable to complete. Assistive technology assisted Darren in English and the fBecause I can€t retare technology helps me read it. Then I can understand it., The technology enabled Frank to write long ess fays without the technology fI couldn€t write what I wanted to say., Without the technology exams would be more uttifff If I didn€t have Dragon and Kurzweil I wouldn€t be able to write as fast or I wouldn€t be able to check it over because I use Kuzweil to read it back., (Derrick).

Eight parents commented that assistive technology enabled their child to demonstrate their accelemic ability. Darren \in s mother said, without Kurzweil, **gine**d miss sections of what he \in s reading and **dro** the able to put it togeth **D**errick \in s mother said, *f*He relies on [Kurzweil] to read it so he can grasp it better, If he reads it himself he doesn \in t get the full meaning because he \in s missing **Saste** s mother noted that Kurzweil assisted hertest writing: *f*She feels much more successful knowing that she can have that read to her, rather than having to multitask by reading, and then processing, and then writing., Without the technology *f*[Nigel] would never be able to get it done because he wouldn \in t be able to get it read., His mother proceeded to say, *f*Technology is productivity being able to produce something that is grade **exterior**, He€s able to produce work that actually shows what his intellect is, He€s able to do it, he€s able to cope.,

Participants reported that assistive technology improved students€ writing. Dragon Naturally Speaking was essential for Frank because **€Tsheu**ch disconnect between what can come out of him by hand and what is going on in his head., His mother continued to say, *f* If he lets it out verbally it€s remarkable, you get the whole picture. If he€s got to write that out, it will not come out., Nigetied on Inspiration because *f* he can put it in the order he wants. Then he can go back, build on that, and have it flowing in an actual order., Derricts mother felt heenefitted from the technology becayfse doesn€t have the spelling to write over the sage with words he would like to use. If he doesn€t use his technology it looks like a Grade 2 or 3 student; did

Participants felt assistive technology had a strong impact on students€ academic achievement: *f*Her writing has increased exponen**tizelby**ause of Dragon, The technology has transformed her academic life. The technology has given her freedom to understand her work, express herself, feel confident, and feel like she has **attais** invaluable., (Sashas mother). Mike€s mother felt **twath** the technology*f* hisanswers are lengthier and more detailed so he gets better marks., Similarly, Derrick€s mother said, *f*He can pretty well do anything they give him as long as he uses the technology to do it.,

The Competence subscale from the PIA(**DS**y & Jutai, 1996) indicated that students perceived that the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on their capability and ability to adapt to academic expectations. In alignment with the survey data, ten students commented that assistive technology enabled them to compensate for their learning difficulties: *f* It makes it easier because I don \in t struggle trying to understand what I am reading. It does that for me so I can understand what I am supposed to be doing., (John). Assistive technologiese helped Darren comprehend his school work: *f* It helps me ^cause if it wasn€t for [the technology] I couldn€t understand my work.,, Similarly, Kristine said, *f* If I have to do research on the internet I don€t have to struggle reading through it I can useKurzweil to read it., Students noted various ways Dragon Naturally Speaking assisted with writing: *f* It makes it easier because I have terrible penmanship. Dragon Dictate allows my words to get out clear and correct in terms of grammar and spelling., (Fra)NSimilarly, in discussing Dragon Nigel said, *f*You can think it and then it writes it down and I can read it over. Instead of writing it out on a piece of paper and I don€t understandMtke also felt Dragon assisted the editing his work, for withoutthe use of Dragon *f* there is a whole bunch of erasingritieg, and erasing,

Assistive technology made it easier for students to complete school work: f It makes me more motivated because I have technology and it \in s making it easier., (Darren). Derrick \in smother felt hewas motivated because with the technology f It \in s not going to be a dragged but hard thing to get done. He knows he can get it done., Similarly, Ava \in s father said, f I don \in t think she \in d be able to do it if she didn \in thave [the assistive technology], It would take much longer and it would be frustrating.,

The Competence subscale from the PIADS indicated that students perceived that assistive technology had a positive impact on their independence. As per the survey data, Kristine noted that withhe use of assistive technology there was f more of a chance to be able to understand it, whereas when I didn \in t have [the tology] I would just give up. Her mother said, fShe doesn \in t ask for as much spelling help because she knows to go to her technology., Ava said, fKnowing I have plose by makes it easier. If I can \in t do it myself there something there to help me., Mike \in s mother felt the technology enabled

him to independently complete school assignments: fHe \in s much more confident. He takes taskson himself; he doesn \in t need my assistance for anything., Similarly, Darren felt better about himself because of the independence the technology provided: fHe feels great that he can sit down and do it on his own.,

The Competence subscale from the PIADS/(Balutai, 1996) indicated that students perceived that the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on their efficiency and productivity in completing assignments. The survey data was supported by the interview data as ten students felt assi**stive** hology sped up their work completion. If John had to read *f* five pages it should only take ten minutes to read it on Kurzweil, but if I read by myself it would probably take half an hour., Kurzweil also increased Sasha sereading speed: *f* It takes medalbager to read than listening to it being read to me., Dragon was especially beneficial for Kristine in terms of exam writing: *f* I can get it done in an hour because I will have the answers already in my head and I don thave to figure out the words to **w** te, I can just say it., Dragon also helped Derrick with his writing because *f* I mot a very good writer so it does it for me. It shere to help me spell.,

Ava and Darren felt assistive technology enabled them to complete their school work in half of the time; however, Daniel, Jamie, and Rhys didn€t feel the technology made a difference in regards to their rate of work completion. Jamie said, *f* If it€s scanning stuff in, it can take longer but you get the work done more efficiently. But if it€s looking something up it€s easier., Other students talked candidly about issues they faced using technology in the classroom. Rhys felt Dragon Naturally Speaking was helpful but sometimes it takes *f* forev**er fi**gure out what you€re sayi**n** discussing Dragon, Mike said, *f* I can€t use it in the classroom because everybody talks so it messes it up., With a mean Adaptability subscale score of 1.60 (SD = .93; with scores ranging from -3 to +3), responses to the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996) indicated that students perceived hat the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on their willingness to take chances and eagess to try new academic tasks. Sasha \in smother feltrohaght the use of technology [Sasha \in s] uch more confident and willing to take on new averues in school., Assistive technology made Derrick more confident: *f*You just know I have to get my computer and I can do it, It gives you confidence it is sitting there you know you \in re fine.,

The Competence subscale of the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996)aired that students perceived that assistive technology had a positive impact on their self confidence. As would be expected based on the survey data, nine parents commented that the use of assistive technology helped to boost their child€s confidehedte¢ffinology gives [Derrick] the confidence to do the work and not second think it, If he had to do it without the technology he€d be second guessing himself constantly., Some students felt assistive technology set them apart from their peers; howeixervatsn€t the case with Derrick: *f* It doesn€t bother him that people know he uses the technology, He doesn€t care because he knows the work he€s doing ogdibits, Assistive technolog/yelped Derrick compensate for his learning disability. His mother dtaf He really relies on it and if it wasn€t there I think he would lose some of his essatteem. When it comes to the school work he wouldn€t have the confidence in himself without it.,,

With a mean Selesteem subscale score of 1.51 (SD = .88; with scoreging from -3 to +3), responses to the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996) indicated that students perceived that the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on their happiness and self-esteem at the demonstration school. Assistive technology enabled

complete grade level work. Students felt better about themselves because fI know I can complete whatever I am assigned., (John). Sassind, fK owing I can use Dragon to write makes me feel a lot better because I know the quality of my workewidetter., Kristine also said, fI feel way better [knowing] that I can get the same grades as other kids, knowing that I \in m just learning differently.,

Nigel did not want to go to school prior to the demonstration school; however, he now *f* wants to be theænd that€s partly because of his-eet€em. He feels good about being there and that€s all part of the technology, He knows he can do the work, whereas he didn€t feel that way before., Kristine€s success with the technology positively impacted be way **b**e felt about herself. Her mother saidop to attending the demonstration school, *f*She thought she was dumb and that€s not the case, [The demonstration school] really helped to get their-eet€em up., Frank€s mother said, *f*It€s amazing the sætonfidence that he has, His set€worth has increased dramatically. That was probably the greatest thing that he got out of [the demonstration school]; he found out he coule€,

Eight students and seven parents commented that assistive togyhptositively impacted studes motivation. Sasha, Frank, Mike, and John were motivated because fwith my technology I can do better quality work,, fI can finish with a certain mark,, fI can do way better than I could writing it down with pencil and paper, and fI know wit the technology I can actually competit., Darren s mother said, flenjoys school because with the technology he can get it done., Derrick enjoyed f being able to use the technology and people saying, 'Wow, this is amazing. There is nothing wrong with it He felt his motivation came from the technology: f Everything goes back to thetechnology because I can go to it whenever I want and I can always help myself., Three students and three parents felt assistive technology made students *f* less motivated beause it \in s a hassle, In class it takes too long to get up and going., (Nigel). Nigel \in s mother noted this was only with *f* short answers, because she *f* can \in t imagine him writing a complete essay [without *i*t Rhys also found the technology *f* frustrating to use, because *f* it takes so much time and Daniel felt a stigmar bunded the use of technology *f* Knowing I have technology there in case I need it is a good feeling., However, Jamie did not like being *f* dependent on [assistive technology] and root tryin be normal like most people Daniel said, *f* It is motivating because it helps you read, but if you \in re the only one in the class with it, it makes you feel different.,

Three parents felt assistive technology could be frustrating and four students discussed the downfalls of the technology. Darren and Nigel \in s mother said, *f*Dragon can be a little frustrating and *f*It takes a long time to train on Dragon and I know he did get frustrated. But he persevered through it and the outcome was fantastic., Similarly, Sasha \in s mother felt her daughter *f* was excited about the technology, but it can be frustrating to train it and frustrating to know all that you need to knowtabpt fristine said, *f*It is a pain when you have to train Dragon because it takes so long *y p*H since felt *f*It *i*s better that we have it because it takes less time on tests and you don \in thave spelling mistakes., Daniel felt Dragon was beneficial because *f* it can read your work and you don \in thave to get it checked og to we prove the noted in some same *f* you \in re sitting there trying to correct it for five minutes Rhys spoke about the stigmas associated with the technology. He liked using the technology because *f* it helps my marks and makes it a lot easier, but he didn *t f* like it in school because *f* it to long to set up and everyone is looking **a** you because you have a laptop.

Transition to High School

The majority of participants (nine students and eleptements) felt the transition to high school was a positive experience: f It was a smootstittor We haven \in t had too many issues., (Sasha). However, four students and six parents also discussed reasons why the transition was difficult, and ten students and ten parents discussed reasons why it took time to adjust. Students reported that the perimenced a positive transition when there was communication between the two schools. Daniel \in s father f and f had a meeting with the principal and the [demonstration school] teachers and this been seamless. Darren had a smooth transition because f went to set the teachers before he started, Frank \in s mother said, f Guidance transitioned him slowly, What works better with [Frank] is verbal, so he did hospitality, drama, gym, and one math, and it worked perfect.,,

Participants indicated that studehted a positive transition when they were supported in using their technologlyigel€s mother saigThey had his equipment ready to go, so it was good that way., (Nigel). Similarly, Derrick€s mother said, *f*He didn€t have any problems. He was comfortabteing his technology., Students had difficulties when their use of technology was not supported. John was not able to use his technology because *f* it took month before they installed, *i*tSimilarly, Ava€s fathestaid, *f*They keep having problems getting/itDrking. It€s been newxistent for quite some time., Sasha also experienced problems *f* not having access to her computer, or Kurzweil, or scanner when she went to take a testamie experienced difficulty because of *f* where it€s located, the inability to sue it for extracurricular work, there€s no assistance with it, They switched programs she was taught on, Her existing files did not get transferred., In addition, she was bullied because of her use of technology: *f*I don€t use it at school because it singteme out and I get made fun of. But I do use it on my own time., Her mother said, f[the demonstration school] geared up to rely on assistive technology, and those supports were nexistent in highschool,

One reason students experienced difficulty while transition was because they received less support. Kristine \in s mother \oint able didn \in t get as much attentisoshae should have. Sasha said, *f* Having less come one, that \in s difficult to adjust to., Her mother felt teachers provided varying levelss comport: *f* I found her first semester teachers very cooperative, -time-ball, and she kept very involved with them. Second semester teachers were much more laid back, I for they even read her IEP [individual education plan]., Daniel \in s father solid doesn \in t have study hall, doesn \in t have a counsellor helping him every night, so there have been some growing pains about getting work done., Derrick also found it *f* challenging that the teacher wasn \in t over his shoulder going, 'Now [Derrick] you have heave this done by this time \in because he was used to the support.,

Students reported they had difficulty adjusting to high school because of the way material was presented. Rhys \in teachers *f* taught way too fast. If you missed a day you missed a whole part the subject., John and Nigel had difficulty with their school assignments because *f*There \in s not a lot of help and they \in re hard **otasses** or ones., Nigel \in s mother said, *f*He finds it frustrating because he \in s not getting it as quickly as everybody ele.,

Some students felt the transition to high school was a positive experience because of the friends they made. Derrick *f* didn€t know anyone going to [high school] because [the demonstration school] is spread out over Ontario., However, heuwasssful in making new friends as his mother safible seems to have got a few friends, they all hang out at lunch., Some students had friends who helped with the transition: *f* I had a lot of

99

friends I knew before I went to [the demonstration school]. I started **ingaogit** with them so I could have ground with other people.,, (Frank). Jamie said, *f* I had a lot of friends helping me, my older sister told me some advice, so it was good.,, Other students found the transition difficult as they noticed to develop a new peetogp. Ava€s father noted that *f*[Ava] missed the friends she had at [the demonstration school].,, Rhys went to the demonstration school for Grades 9 and 10 and had difficulty transitioning in Grade 11: *f* It took a while ^cause I didn€t know anyone, two yeartso iit people are going to know each other.

Participants felt the transition was successful because of the skills students acquired: *f*You learn a lot at [the demonstration school]. They teach you how to succeed in class., (Daniel). Daniel felt the trat**tisi**n was positive because the demonstration school *f* gave me more soc**ist**ills and helped my academic stand her father said, *f* from an academic standpoint it was definitely positive, You get a lot of skills [at the demonstration school], so you know htowdeal with things.,

Ten students and nine parents discussed strategies students used to deal with difficulties in high school. Six participants mentioned students would ask for help. Ava \in s strategies consisted of *f* asking other people, seeing what ether what l \in m supposed to do, and then just trying., Nigel had *f* no problems asking questions, and did a good job identifying where he had misunderstandings. Similarly, Sasha would *f* ask teachers to repeat what they said and ask **opuestoi** try to understand it. I also record the lessons, I got a digital recorder.,

Students reviewed their work on a daily basis: f We go through it every day and make sure we get it., (Nigel). Similarly, Darren \in s strategy consisted of f going over it and keeping up in school, The computers enable me to study, read my notes easier and

better understand., The demonstration school taught Derrick to complete extra work to boost his grades: fI didn \in t have a very good mark so I got work to do on my computer.,

Students sought remedial help at high school. Derrick *f* spent a few lunch hours getting extra help, He learned that at [the demonstration school]., Similarly, Ava said, *f* If you want extra help you ask him at lunch time., Not all students were willi**agk**to for help outside of class. Nigel€s mother **s/ait€**s disappointing because they have things at school he€s not taking advantage of, He doesn€t want to do it bec**ausf** no else is doing it.,

Students employed settidvocacy skills they learned at the demotation school. Kristine \in s classmates were *f* disruptive in class, and *f* were not resprecentified people trying to learn, Her mother noted that stockten told her peers *f*^You \in re distracting me. Stop it. I need to focus. \in , She has gone to the teachers states up for herself.,, Students also advocated for their use of assistive technology: *f* When we have a problem we always tell our resource teacher and shreats the [tech support] ring away.,, (Kristine). Mike \in s mother noted that and vocated for the teachers states as *f* hept telling the other students to use their computer: "You can \in t stop using your compute godt \in s a thing, it helps you out. \in ,

Perceptions of High School and Changes in School Support

The text which follows provides an overview of paictpants€perceptions of their or their child€s high schoolata from the PALS (Roeser, Milety, & Urdan, 1996) is used to examine the perceived level of support at the demonstration school and at high school. The quantitative data is used to highlighyt significant differences in perceived level of school support, and the qualitative data is used to examine why these changes in perceived level of support may occur. Ten students and eleven parents reported that they or their child had an enjoyable high school experience. Jamie \in s mother said, *f* Before she went to [the demonstration school] she was totally turned off education and learning and growing. [Now] she \in s engaged with the learning process and planning for higher education., \bigoplus arrest her noted that henow has *f* confidence he can get things done on his own, He \in s much more relaxed because he knows he can do it with no problems., Students enjoyed high school because of the friendships they developed: *f* I have a lot of close friends there, (Jamie) and *f* \in m really enjoying it `cause I get to hang out with friends and make new friends.,, (Frank). Although Kristine *f* still wishes she was back at [the demonstration school],, the majority of students and parents said, *f* He likes school, he comes home happycas Thi a marked improvement as students did not enjoy attending their elementary schools.

Four students liked their high schools more than the demonstration school because they could live at home: fI like that $I \in m$ still in my hometown., (Darren and K)) is time $fI \in m$ at home and near the people I know., (Nigel). John said, f being o/osse family is the best part Daniel and Rhys preferred their high schools because they could see their friends, f have more freedom, afree home at the end of the day

Five students found their high schools harder than the demonstration school: *f* It doesn \in t come to him and it \in s a real struggle., (Bestfieldh) R hys lamented that his teachers *f* move too fast ayou don \in t know what \in s going, Stasha didn \in t like *f* not being able to go after school for extra help, You can \in t depend on teachers to help because they have a whole other class., Ava said, *f* There \in s not as much resource as [the demonstration school], but there are places to go for help. Some teachers will stay if y ask them for help., Derrick obtained little feedback from his teachers and found it

difficult f not knowing your marks, it \in s nerve racking becaute y give it to you at the end,

Ten students and eight parents thought their or their child \leq s high wetsool supportive of their learning needs. Parents felt there was good comtinumiteanak \leq s mother said *f* Any phone calls I make they \leq wady to jump right on.Kristine \leq s mother felt shehad *f* a good resource teacher that helps her a lot. I \leq ve hadoat \forall wwsations with her- how to help [Kristine] out and what [Kristine] needs., Student \leq s learning needs were supported in the resource room: *f* the staff there is alwapischer out with the technology (Darren). Mike \leq s teachers said, *f* Stay for the 20 sthinutes of teaching and then you can come down [to the resource room] and they can help you out., He felt supported because *f* If I have a problem they \leq II let me go to the resource room and ask questions.,

Five students and four parents provided explaineds for whyhigh school was not supportive of their or their child s learning needs. Participants felt there was a lack of understanding of learning disabilities within the school system: *f* teachers don t know what b do [^]cause they weren t taughtva stathe). What Kistine found most difficult was *f* Dealing withstudents who think kids with IEES [individual education plans] are stupid and retarded., Jante mothes aid, *f* There is tolerance for severe disabilities but when you re in the middle grounts invisible [disability], there s zero tolerance., Some high school teachers were unfamiliar with student individual education plans: *f* We had to inform one of the teachers that she has a learning disability. It is all there but they don to inform one of the teachers that she has a learning disability. It is all there but they don to his individual education plan *f* because I were only familiar with his individual education plan *f* because I were and them aware of it.,

103

Kristine stressed the importance of speaking with her teachers: f It \in s better to talk to them about it $\hat{c}ase$ if you don \in they don \in know how to help you.,

Participants agreed the demonstration school was more supportive of students€ learning needs and described the differences between the two schools. The demonstration school differed from Sasha€s high schooterms of *f*Teacher support, counselling support, directional support, social support, everything you can think of.,, Darren€s mother said, *f*At [the demonstration school] they€re very learning and tribuitted. At high school if you get it yoo get it, if you don€t ^Oh wet, Derrick€s mother echoed these sentiments saying, *f*[The demonstration school] has a lot more support conducte compared to high school. High school relies on him. He€s got to be the one to do it and push himself., At the demotration school, *f*for homework there would always be a teacher or counsellor there. Now if you have homework you go to study hall. You have to vouch for yourself, l€ve gotter a lot of compliments from resource and guidance that I am one of the only grade nines that will vouch for themselves.,,

High school provided a less structed work environment. Frank \in s mother noted that *f* The difference in structure is probably the biggets g. [Frank] works very well under structure., Similarly, Kristine felt the main diffence was *f* being on a schedule Her mother said, *f* If she would have stayed on the schedule she probably would be doing a lot better., Mike \in s mother said, *f* It \in s upirtoto decide what he \in s going to do, He \in s organizing himself and his time and so far so good.,

Paired samplestests were conducted withata from the PALS (Roeser, Milety, & Urdan, 1996) in order to determine if there was a significant difference betwee support at the demonstration school and at high school. Comparisons were made with

data from the School Relationship subscale, the School Goal subscale (which is comprised of the School Ability Goal structure and the School Task Goal structure), and the Relatedness subscale. Because multiple comparisons were made, a Bonferroni correction was used to control for the risk of a type 1 eArso there were three distinct subscales, and four separate comparisons, the originable of .05 was divided by which resulted in a new value of .013.

The School Relationship subscale on the PALS (Roeser, Midgley, & Urdan, 1996) assesses teached udent relationships. Students responded to the following statements: In this school, teachers and students realightone another; In this school, teachers treat students with respect; and In this school, students feel like they between the following statement school (M = 4.53, SD = .53) than taking school (M = 3.79, SD = .89)(11) = 3.59 p < .013 (d = 1.01).

Five students noted they preferred the demonstration school because fThe connection with other kids and teachers was better., (Ava). Sasha and Derrick felt the demonstration school f w**ä**se family, you knew everybody, You could go to anybody for help., The two schools also differed in terms of encouragement. Jamie felt the demonstration school teachers f really push you to do work, use technology, and always be active. Whereas at our schittes, Do whatever you want; doesnet matter as long as we donet have you for a second **Gate** mother said, fTherees nobody to motivate [Jamie] other than [Jamie]. The encouragement is not there.,

The School Goal subscale on the PALS (Roeser, MydgleUrdan) is comprised of the School Ability Goal structure and the School Task Goal structureSchool Ability Goal structure assesses whether relative ability is a rewarded marker of success in school. It includes questions such as: In this schoodly, a few kids get praised for their school work; This school has given up on some of its students; and In this school, special privileges are given to students who get the highest graded between the field the demonstration school was less likely to only model their top achieving students (M = 1.53, SD = .60) than their current high schools (M = 2.58, SD = t(80),=-5.13,p < .013 (d = -1.48).

The School Task Goal structure the PALS (Roeser, Midey, & Urdan, 1996) assesses whether the school easizes effort and understanding. It includes questions such as: In this school, teachers believe all students can learning school, teachers think how much you learn is more important than test scores or grades; and Trying hard counts a lot in this **spool**. Students perceived a higher emphasis on effort and understanding at the demonstration school (M = 4.35, SD = .56) than at high school (M =3.94, SD = .72)t(11) = 2.96p = .013 (d = .64). In alignment with the survey data, eight students reported they preferred their demonstration school teachers because f It seemed like they cared more, They gave us **one** one time and showed us how to do it, [At high school], if you don€t get it right they just take it up so you don€t learn that much., (Kristine). Sasha preferred her demonstration school teachers because f they will repeat their answer and explain it until you get it. You go to other teachers and they get frustrated with you., The demonstration school teachers altered their pace of instruction to meet student \in s learning needs: f They have more time to explain things the way you need it so you understand it. Now they explain it to the whole class and don€t have time to reteach it., (Darren).

Four students felt there were good teachers at botholschichys described his demonstration school teachers saying, *f* If you needed help they would help you. Even if

you didn \in t say it, they could see you \in re struggling and they would do it for the whole class, My school is the exact same way. There are some **z**ing teachers., He preferred some teachers because *f* They knew what they were doing, they knew the subjects, It seems like you know them on a personal basis.,

Eight students felt their high school teachers cared about them: fThey want you to pass and will **g** out of their way to make sure you have a good mark., (Daniel). Similarly, Frank said, f If you \in re not passing a class they will ask you to stay behind to see if there is anything you can do to up your mark., Darren and John knew their teachers cared about them because fDays I \in m not there they \in ve got the notes sitting on the desk waiting for me., and fThey know when I have difficulty and they help me with that.,

The School Relationship subsc*file* the PALS (Roeser, Mid**t**, & Urdan, 1996) indicated thattudents felt the demonstration school teachers (M = 4.53; SD = .53), were more likely to care about their students than high school teachers (M = 3.79; SD = .89; with 5 being the highest score). In alignment with the survey data, six students felt their demonstration school teachers cared about them more than their high school teachers because *f*They want you to succeed in everything you do. [Whereas at high school], they want you to get it done so they can move on., (Derrick). Sasha said, *f*When I **gryre** fi out what we€re doing it doesn€t seem like they care ^cause they€re trying to help somebody else or they€re trying to plan for their next lesson., Kristine said, *f*If we miss a day they€II go on and forget that we missed it. Whereas at [the demom**stratio**], they gave us the time and helped us get caught up.,

The Relatedness subscale fr**tme** PALS (Roeser, Midgley, & Urdan) assesses school belonging. Students responded to the following statements: I feel like I belong in this school; I feel like am successful in this school; and I feel like I matter in this school.

No significant differences were found between the degree to which students perceived that they belonged at the demonstration school (M = 4.56, SD = .73) and at high school (M = 4.12, SD = .69),t(11) = 1.67 p > .013. Students were likely to *f* feel like I belong, at the demonstration school and at high school. Interview data indicated that ten students felt their high school teachers **n** the they belonged. Darren felt like **b** to be because They welcome everyone and **t** rearryone the same., Sastraid, *f* They don \in t treat me differently because I have a computer or because I have a learning disability., Rhys and Frank appreciated that their teachers *f* don \in t centre youydote at hypou the same as everyone else, and *f* won \in t stop in the middle of class to ask `Did you get that? \in or `Do you need your laptop? \in ,

The school culture made students feel like they belonged: f[teachers] treat me nice and they make me feel good abouts eff., (Mike). Kristine knew she belonged because her teachers f know what we fee going through and they fee always there. They talk to students about how they treat us but we fee still the same as everybody else., Ava appreciated that her teachers f ask mestions about how I fem doined if there fs anything I need. Rhys and Nigel felt important because they developed rapport with their teachers: f would stay after class and talk with them and joke around., f He brings everybody in and doesn fe put them dq imilarly, John felt important because his teachers and peers listened to him.

Half of the student participan(six) reported that they felt important at high school. Students perceived themselves as important members of their school community because they helped others: f In some classes I have better marks than other people so they ask me for help(Frank). Daniel felt important because of his involvement with extracurricular activities: f I feel important since I \in m on the football team., Fountstude

didn€t feel important at high school: *f* Most of [my teachers] don€t care. As long as they get their pay cheque they€re fine., (Jamie). Students also felt unimportant because *f* There is so many kids that [teachers] don€t recognize anything., (Derrick).

In alignment with data from the School Task Goal structure of the PRbeser, Midgley, & Urdan, 1996), 11 students reported that their high school teachers *f* recognize everyone. They try to help students get higher grades., (Jamie). Frank \in steacheds ensure all students participated in class: *f* they \in II give you the same time as someone who always has the right answer., Ava and Kristine \in steachers *f* recognize articipates and who tries, Similarly, John \in steachers *f* recognize all students for what they etcher they get the best grades or try hard in class and in class and they for an 80 on and the teacher was really happy. She knows I work hard.,

The Relatedness subscale of the PAR6(ser, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996), indicated that students felt successful in high school (M = 4.12; SD = .69; with 5 being the highest score). All students reported that they felt successful in high school because of the grades they received. Darren, Miked John said, $fl \in ve$ been doing very well in my classes, and $fl \in m$ getting really good grades., Similarly, Sasha said, fWhen I get tests back and it s a good mark I know I ve been successful., Frank *f* expected this semester to be really hard [^]cause it was academic courses, like English, history, and science, but they ve actually been really easy, My marks have been going really well., Ava could complete grade level work: *f* Before teachers would give me easier work while they taught the rest of the classut at the demonstration school], they got you caught up., Daniel and Jamie were pleased that, *f* All my grades are in the seventies., because *f* before I went to [the demonstration school] it was Ds and Es., Similarly, Derrick said, *f* l€ve seen other kids do work and they were happy they had 50 [percent] and I had an 85., Examining the Impact of Assistive Technolog

In order to determine if there was a difference in the potential impact of assistive technology in both school environments, paired sampless swere conducted with the Competence, Adaptability, and Selfs teem subscales from the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996). Because multiple comparisons were made, a Bonferroni correction was used to control for the risk of a type 1 error. As three comparisons were ender the origination value of .05 was divided by 3 which resulted in a pervalue of .017.

There was no significant difference in the degree to which students were impacted by assistive technology at the demonstration school and at high school. Wittiapoten scores ranging from to +3, the use of assistive technology had a positive impact on students Competence, Adaptability and **Satis** m in both school environments (scores ranged from 1.32 1.82). The Competence subscale focuses on whether assistiv technology impacts students independence, efficiency, productivity, and performance. Assistive technology did not have a stronger impact on students Competence at the demonstration school (M = 1.82, SD = .87) than at high school (M = 1.42, SD = 1.28), t(11) = 1.28p >.017. The Adaptability subscale focuses on whether technology impacts students webeing and ability to participate in school tasks. Assistive technology did not have a stronger impact on students Adaptability at the demonstration (N = 0.5, p >.017). The Self esteem subscale focuses on whether technology impacts students focuses on whether technology did not have a stronger impact on students Adaptability at the demonstration (N = 0.93) than at high school (M = 1.33, SD = 112(41)) = .65, p >.017. The Self esteem subscale focuses on whether technology impacts students for students focuses on whether technology impacts students focuses on whether technology impacts students for students focus focu students€ Set steem at the demonstration school (M = 1.51, SD = .88) than at high school (M = 1.32, SD = 1.080(11) = .71,p > .017.

Responses to the Competence subscale from the PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996) highlighted the benefit of assistive tech**rogy** in regards to students \in competence, capability, and performance. Darren and Derrick continued to use their technology in high school because *f* it helps me learn and it \in seasier to do the work, *f* He knows he can \in t do as well without it, and because *f* Heres a stupid kid that has no goals. He wants to be places and doesn \in tecawhat people think., Kristine \in s mother felt should *f* continue to use [assistive technology] throughout her life because [the demonstration school] taught herwell.,

Being knowled**g**able about assistive technology was one thing stsidled most about high school. Sasha \in s mother for the fact that she is knowledgeable about her technology, She felt confident that she was asked to do some presentations and teachers were like, \hat{I} didn \in t even know that technology existed. \in , Similarly, Mike felt important because fI help other kids who don \in t use the technology that much and teach them how to use it properly.,

Students used their computers less in high scheece use they could complete work independently: fMy use of technology lowered in high school ^cause leve been able to do bookwork with a pencil instead of a computer., (Frank). Other students used their technology consistently: fTo have learned how tolk be see things on the computer and to be able to express herself with Dragon and Kurzweil, I don t know what she do without it., (Sash se moth) Darren recognized the value of assistive technology: $fHe \in s$ gone back and helped at his public school, help kids with it, Mike also trained other students on the technology: *f* Coming from [the demonstration school] he€s kind of an expert so he helped them a little bit. That was good for hisested m.,

Six students and seven parents said assistive texpynovas used at high school and at home. Technology use varied from *f* every day, (Derrick and Mike) to *f* as much as I possibly can, (Sasha). Kurzweil and Dragon Naturally Speaking were most commonly used followed by WordQ and word processors. Kristine wdufalways use my technology to help me read, and Frank always used his technology *f* for note taking i class and writing assignments

Assistive technology **a**s used to complete *f*10,0% homework (Derrick and Frank), to *f* the vast majority, (Sasha and **Pa**)**r** to *f* at least half, (Kristine and Mike). Mike *f* lugs it home evernight and does his work on,,iDarren€s mother said, *f* lf he gets caught on a section to be read [he€ll use Kurzweil]. It€s always on the table when he€s doing homework, A paragraph wodutake him forever, so yes Dragon is great.,, Technology use was task specific as Sasha and Derrick only needed it for longer writing assignments.

Six students and five parents felt they or their child used assistive technology less than they anticipate Five students felt technology was not relevant to their courses. Daniel, John, and Ava said, *f*This term I don \in thave too many classes that I need it., and *f*I only get homework in math and science. Math you can \in to on computers and science it \in s more she work again., Ava and Rhys also said, *f*If I had more literary courses my technology would be more beneficial., Parents provided similar responses for Daniel, Nigel, and Ava \in s parents said, *f*It \in s just because of the subjects.,

Task requirements prevented detents from using assistive technology. Nigel found it difficult because *f* I was trying to do board notes [take notes from the

blackboard], it was drawings, and I couldn€t do it., Ava and Rhys said, *f*it€s mainly sheet work, which they could do by hand. Dæhiand John didn€t need to use technology because *f*I haven€t had too much homework this term because they€re pretty laid back classes and I get it done in school., However, John, Nigel, and Rhys said, *f*I use the technology to complete large assignmentæatly helps me out there., Rhys was unable to use his technology at home: *f*If they could get it so that I had Dragon at home it would be a lot easier.,

Difficulty using assistive technology in high school.

The PIADS (Day & Jutai, 1996) survey data indecathat assistive technology made students feel moderately embarrassed at high school. Ava was resistant to use her technology because f It \in s weird seeing a kid talk to a computer., Similarly, Nigel \in s mother said, f If he was in a class where everybodyhalsene in front of them he wouldn \in t have a problem using it., While Ava and Nigel were resistant to use their technology, students such as Derrick enjoyed showing it off: f he likes to share it with people, He \in s not embarrassed at all.,

Some parents wisbletheir child received additional technology training in high school: *f* It would be nice to have something in the system that would keep developing her use., (Jamies mother Nigel felt his high school was unsupportive as they did not train him to use histaptop: *f*On a laptop there are different things and they never showed us how., In addition, Kristine s mother wished there was a process to update the technology: *f*It needs to be updated when there s updated versions.,

High school teachers were unfamiliaith the use of assistive technology: *f*Dragon, Kurzweil, WordQ, they don€t have a clue what that Disrrick). Derrick preferred his demonstration school teachers because they understood his accommodations: f You don \in t have to coach them through [tume]teggy] and what you \in re doing., Frank and Kristies parentise the demonstration school was f very aware of which tools were available to him and all the teachers understood those tools,, however, in high school f Not as many people know the technology here \in s not somebody there in case you have a problem.,

Participants were frustrated with how technology was implemented in high school: fYou have to be on them continuously, to go in and say, `Where is [her technology] and why is it not happenin (Q?Ava \in s fath) Teachers were not supportive of the technology as it took much time to get Darren \in s notes or exams downloaded to the computer and fThey don \in t acknowledge that I need to read a chapter or a book on Kurzweil., (Sasha). Assistive technology was accommodation on Sasha \in s individual education plan; however, her parents f get frustrated when we hear she \in s having a test and she has to input it into Kurzweil, They should hand her the memory is to say, `Go write your test \notin .

Students discussed**fftic**ulties they encountered when using assistive technology: *f*I like to go down to the resource room and use [my laptop] but I miss what is happening in the classroom., (Darren). Ava was disappointed her Dragon voice files were not transferred to her newoonputer: *f*It needs to be trained and that would take a lot of time., She was also unable to use Kurzweil becau**s**@hoef scannerit€s not working Rhys also experienced difficulties with Kurzweil: *f*unless you scan yoourk perfectly it€s all wonky., He didn€t like uploading his notes to Kurzweil because the *f*majority of times I scan it, fill it out, print itoff, and never look at it agajn.

Jamies mother felt shead difficulty using her technology because of f the barriers that are the rethe changer software, the location, the technology, it \in s not

accessible to her, and I dott the there is technical support amie said, f They took my laptop, swiped everything off of it, put their own system on it and said, Anyone in the school can use it. \in , Stdidn is her laptop because f It is not just mine, everyone can take it. Teachers, other students who have IEP is [individual education plans], they can sign it out, take it, and use it anywhere., Her mother wished the high school would f take the funding that is assigned to each student and let them use their technology. The funding follows the student not the school.,

Examining Changes in Selfconcept

The current study followed students as they started their residency at the demonstration school (Time \$eptember 2007), nearly completed the first year of the program (Time 2; May 2008), nearly completed the demonstration school program (Time 3; June 2009), and were attending their local high schools (Time 4 and 5; January and June 2010). Using the SRPD (Renick & Harter, 1988), studest €elfperception data was collected at four points in time (Time 1, 2, 3, and 5). Surveys and interviews were also used to assess students € perceptions of the school environment, the impact of assistive technology, and sdents € motivation and engagement based on stateent experiences at the demonstration school and their current high schools (Time 4 and 5). In presenting the results I discuss the quantitative findings amongst the qualitative results thus providing a holist depiction of the results.

A one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted with data from the SPED (Renick & Harter, 1988) in order to determine if there was a change in academic sedioncept and global selfsteem from when stedts started their residency at the demonstration school (Time 1), had completed the first year of the program (Time 2), had completed the demonstration school program (Time 3), and were attending their local high schools (Time 5). One y repeated measure NOVAs were conducted with the following subscales: General Intellectual Ability, Reading Competence, Writing Competence, Spelling Competence, Math Competence, and Global Self-worth. All of the academic selfoncept subscales demonstrated a significant difference in scores over time.

When making position tests on repeated measures ANOVAs, patitests and a Bonferroni correction should be used (Howell, 2013). Howekonteed thatt-tests should be used as they effectively control for the familywise remate and they compare well against Tukey€s test in terms of power. Based on Howell€s (2013) recommendation, pairedsamplest-tests were used to determine where changes incoset/ept occurred. As five separate constructs were examined, a Bonferronistandiant was employed reducing thep value to .01.

General Intellectual Abilitydiffered significantly, Wilks \in Lambda = .33(3, 9) = 6.10, p < .01, partial eta squared = .33udents \in perceived General Intellectual Ability increased significantly durint first year of attendance at the demonstration school (see Table 2)When students transitioned into high school their perceived General Intellectual Ability remained higher than when they entered into the demonstration school.

Reading Competenœiffered significantly, Wilks€ Lambda = .2€(3, 9) = 7.80, p < .01, partial eta squared = .732 udents€ perceived Reading Competence increased significantly while they were attending the demonstration sc(seed Table 3)When students transitioned intoigth school their perceived Reading Competence decreased significantly. However, students€ perceived Reading Competence in high school was not significantly different from when students first entered into the demonstration school. Writing Competenceliffered significantly, Wilks€ Lambda = .26(3, 9) = 8.71, p < .01, partial eta squared = .734udents€ perceived Writing Competence increased significantly while they were attending the demonstration sc(seed Table 4)When students transitioned into higherhool their perceived Writing Competence decreased significantly. However, students€ perceived Writing Competence in high school was not significantly different from when students first entered into the demonstration school.

Spelling Competence iffered significantly, Wilks \in Lambda = .18,(3, 9) = 20.05,p < .01, partial eta squared = .837 udents \in perceived Spelling Competence increased significantly during their first and second year of attendance at the demonstration schoolsee Table 5)There was no ignificant difference between students \in perceived Spelling Competence at the demonstration school and at high school.

Math Competence differed significantly, Wilks € Lambda = .4€(,3, 9) = 4.58p < .05, partial eta squared = .650 udents € perceived MacCompetence increased significantly during their first year of attendance at the demonstration s(steeo Table 6). There was no significant difference between students € perceived Math Competence at the demonstration school and at high school.

Global Self-Worth did notdiffer significantly, Wilks \in Lambda = .7B(3, 9) = 1.11, p > .05. The following tables highlight thetest results for the comparisons made from when participants started their residency at the demonstration school (Time 1), were nearto completing the first year of the program (Time 2), were near to completing the demonstration school program (Time 3), and were attending their local high schools (Time 5).

Time	Time	t(11)	Sig.	Cohen€s d
1 (M=2.34; SD=.75	(M=2.34; SD=.75) 2 (M=3.03; SD=.50)		.005*	-1.08
	3 (M=2.94; SD=.60)	-4.288	.001*	-0.88
	5 (M=3.02; SD=.63)	-3.098	.010*	-0.98
2 (M=3.03; SD=.50)) 3 (M=2.94; SD=.60)	.517	.62	0.16
	5 (M=3.02; SD=.63)	.087	.93	0.02
3 (M=2.94; SD=.60)) 5 (M=3.02; SD=.63)	528	.61	-0.13

Table 2- General Intellectual Ability (SPED; Renick & Harter, 1988)

Time 2• End of first year at demonstration school

Time 3• End of demonstration school program

Time	Time	t(11)	Sig.	Cohen€s d
1 (M=2.32; SD=.6	(M=2.32; SD=.63) 2 (M=2.79; SD=.47)		.032	-0.85
	3 (M=3.29; S=.66)	-4.668	.001*	-1.50
	5 (M=2.77; SD=.82)	-1.847	.092	-0.62
2 (M=2.79; SD=.4	7) 3 (M=3.29; SD=.66)	-2.211	.049	-0.87
	5 (M=2.77; SD=.82)	.077	.940	0.03
3 (M=3.29; SD=.6	6) 5 (M=2.77; SD=.82)	3.173	.009*	0.70

Table 3- Reading Competend SPPLD; Renick & Harter, 1988)

Time 2• End of first year at demonstration school

Time 3• End of demonstration **bool** program

Time	Time	t(11)	Sig.	Cohen€s d
1 (M=2.09; SD=.75) 2 (M=2.59; SD=.60)	-2.288	.043	-0.74
	3 (M=3.48; SD=.52)	-5.323	.000*	-2.15
	5 (M=2.85; SD=.80)	-2.773	.018	-0.98
2 (M=2.59; SD=.60) 3 (M=3.48; SD=.52)	-4.419	.001*	-1.59
	5 (M=2.85; SD=.80)	-1.393	.191	-0.38
3(M=3.48; SD=.52)	5 (M=2.85; SD=.80)	3.153	.009*	0.93

Table 4- Writing Competence(SPPLD; Renick & Harter, 1988)

Time 2• Endof first year at demonstration school

Time 3• End of demonstration school program

Time	Time	t(11)	Sig.	Cohen€s d
1 (M=1.96; SD=.58) 2 (M=2.55; SD=.62)		-5.125	.000*	-0.98
	3 (M= 2.94; SD=.70)	-5.501	.000*	-1.52
	5 (M=2.50; SD=.99)	-2.008	.070	-0.67
2 (M=2.55; SD=.62) 3 (M=2.94; SD=.70)	-1.683	.120	-0.59
	5 (M=2.50; SD=.99)	.177	.863	0.06
3(M=2.94; SD=.70)	5 (M=2.50; SD=.99)	1.762	.106	0.51

Table 5- Spelling Competence SPPLD; Renick & Harter, 1988)

Time 2• End of first year at demonstration school

Time 3• End of demonstration school program

Time	Time	t(11)	Sig.	Cohen€s d
1 (M=2.33; SD=.87	1 (M=2.33; SD=.87) 2 (M=2.94; SD=.84)		.014*	-0.71
	3 (M=2.92; SD=.78)	-2.847	.016	-0.69
	5 (M=2.54; SD=.97)	753	.467	-0.23
2 (M=2.94; SD=.84	4) 3 (M=2.92; SD=.78)	.091	.929	0.02
	5 (M=2.54; SD=.97)	1.202	.254	0.44
3(M=2.92; SD=.78) 5 (M=2.54; SD=.97)	2.367	.037	0.43

Table 6- Math Competenc(SPPLD; Renick & Harter, 1988)

Time 2• End of first year at demonstration school

Time 3• End of demonstration school program

During their first year attending the demonstration school, from September 2007 (Time 1) to May 2008 (Time 2), with the exception of Reading and Writing Competence, students demonstrated a significant increase in all of the academ**io**s**etf**pt domains. With the exception of Math Competence, which demonstrated a moderate to large effect size, all of the academic s**et6**ncept domains presented large effect sizes indicating these differences would likely be strong and consistent if the survey were to b**eereb**pea (Cohen, 1988).

Pairedsamplest-tests were also conducted with ShePLD (Renick & Harter, 1988) data from when students began the demonstration school program in September 2007 (Time 1) and completed the program in June 2009 (Times) the exception of Math Competence, students demonstrated a significant increase in all of the academic self-concept domainsAll of the effect size calculations were large indicating that over the two year period in which students attended the demonstration schoolsed

Paired samplestests were conducted with subscales from the SDP (Renick & Harter, 1988) in order to determine if there was a change incosed/ept from when students were near to complising the demonstration school program in June 2009 (Time 3) to when they were well situated in high school in June 2010 (Time 5). With the exception of Reading and Writing Competence, students did not demonstrate a significant decrease in academic sel/incept domains. Reading Competence demonstrated a moderate to large effect sized Writing Competence demonstrated a large effect size, indicating that students perceived a marked decrease in reading and writing abilities when they transitioned into high: Table 7 provides a visual representation of the-SDP subscale comparison means.

Table 7• Bar Graph Representing Subscale Means from theLSPP

(Renick and Harter, 1988)

Note: The arrows represent significant differencess .01.

Seven students and ten parents commented that their or their childesteen f increased as a result of attending the demonstration school. Participants€ comments stood in contrast to data from the SPLP which did not demonstrate differences in Global Self-worth. This discrepancy can be attributed to the fact that individuals may not understand the differences associated with the theoretical constructs and the second sec self-esteem and may use the terms interchangeably or primarily rely on theterms esteem in colloquial conversations. Daniel felt his-**eeteem** f boosted up a lot cause I like going toschool now and I didn€t befor 6 imilarly, Kristine€s mother noted been festeem increased becaus be desn€t come home miserable from destaying, ^not going back mor€, Participants noted that student€s-eeteem increased because of their improved academic abilities: *f* he used to say, [^]l€m stupid, I can€t do those problems.€ He doesn€t say that anymore., (Isaniaether). Nigel€s ther felt his self esteem improved because f He knows he can be successful if he \in s given the proper tools, instructions, and knows what€s expected. He knows to ask, 'What is it you specifically want on this?€ They gave him that box of tools and he uses there, southents felt their selfesteem improved because of the strategies they learned: f the [demonstration] school] teachers showed me it€s okay to ask the teacher foekenybody does it at it€s nothing to be ashamed (offrank). Darren said, f Befor€d get frustrated and need sports to get me through the day. But now, being at [the demonstration school], learning different technology, different ways, it€s easy.,

Participants reported that social skills training had a positive impact on students \in self-esteem. Nigel felt his selfsteem increased *f* because I had social skills **kamolul** what to do in a situation. The social skills training helped students make friends. Ava

said, *f*I have more set#steem. Making new friends and talking to new kids iseet#stan it was., Similarly, Kristine said, *f*I am more interactive with kids now, Now my friends undestand my learning disability., Mike€s mother noted thathe past *f*[Mike] didn€t know how to debate his opinion so he€d get angry. But now [the dertionstchool] has given him the tools to say, [^]This is what I believe.€ He can express himself verbally. That€s really helped him., Derrick€s mother said, *f*Hisstel€m is a lot better since he€s been to [the demonstration school] because they taughtdriathskills, they taught him he could accomplish anything he tries, so now he believes that. He believes in himself.,

Data from the SPED (Renick & Harter, 1988) indicated that students Global Self-worth did not significantly change when they started nding the demonstration school (Time 1; M = 3.23; SD = .56), to when they had completed the first year of the program (Time 2; M = 3.37; SD = .52), to when they had completed the program in its entirety (Time 3; M = 3.47; SD = .66) Renick and Harter (1988) note that on the SPP LD, scores below 2 represent low solverth. Students free mean Global Solver these scores to increase. The quantitative data differed from the qualitation on the students and one parent felt their or their child self their or their child self self their or their child self their or their child self self their or their child the there are the self the self their or their child self their or their child self the self t

Eight students and sparents commented that their or their child \in sesself em continued to increase in high school. Participants felt students for continued to increase because they were complete grade level work. Frank \in s mother said, f[Frank \in s] proven hercelo [the work] in high school rather than [the demonstration]

school] where everything \in s geared towards their success. He \in s aware that the teaching style and commitment was exceptional., Jamie felt heresset feem continued to improve because *f* I can do the work. Before I went to [the demonstration school] I thought I couldn \in t do the work, I was dumb, everyone thought that, and there was no point in doing the work., John also said his sets teem increased because *f* I can do very well and [the work is] surprisingly easy.,

The social skills training from the demonstration school continued to have a positive impact on student sets from f Because people can look at you in a rude way and you can shrug it off. From school skills [we learned], "They must not the set because they fre trying to get you for what you look like. (Derrick). Nigel s mother felt the social skills training continued to boost biselfesteem because f He has that ability to make new friends., Rhys and Mike s-**set** also contined to increase because f I made new friends, I talked to new people.,

Responses to the SPLP (Renick & Harter, 1988) indicated that students \in Global Self-worth did not significantly increase at the demonstration school or when they transitioned into highschool. Students \in mean Global Self th scores were as follows: when students began the demonstration school program (Time 1; M = 3.23; SD = .56), completed the first year of the program (Time 2; M = 3.37; SD = .52), completed the demonstration school program (Time 3; M = 3.47; SD = .66), and were attending high school (Time 4; M = 3.50; SD = .41). Students \in Global Self the scores were high to begin with (4 was the highest score), and as a result, this scale may not be sensitive enough to pick up on stills changes in global selferceptions. Two students and five parents reported that their or their child \in scalf em did not differ at high school from when they were at the demonstration school. Ava \in s father felt his daughter score if the score is changes in global.

fincreased **be**re she attended high school, She wouldn thave survived or even got to high school if she hadn to gone to [the demonstration school]., Similarly, Kristine s mother said, f She got the set from [the demonstration school], When she brings that laptophome you should see her!, Jamie s mother reported that hest set fn increased at the demonstration school because f they proved she has the intelligence, she can learn, she is has different learning needs.

Two students and one parent reported **thair** or their child€s settisteem decreased in high school. Nigel€s-**set**feem decreased because he had a different social comparison group: *f* everybody was the same at [the demonstration **sttheyoli**]ad a learning disability, Other participants notettheir selfesteem decreased because of the bullying in high school: *f* It€s decreased because of the students putting us down.,, (Kristine). Sasha€s mother feltttatethe demonstration school *f*[Sasha] got more feedback and more direction so it boosted upsbtf esteem, Now you€re in a mainstream school, the kids look at you like you€re a freak if you do something wrong.,

Confidence.

Ten students and all twelve parents reported that their or their child \in s confidence increased at the demonstration schoold \otimes this had more confidence because they could complete work independently: *f* I have less need to rely on otheosrtplete the task.,, (Frank). Darren \in s mother felt that the past *f*[Darren] would n \in thave started anything on his own. Whereas now it \in s become ek since $I \in ve$ looked at it and it \in s all done.,, Students \in confidence increased because they could complete grade level work: *f* She has her technologies in place and understands the process to do assignments. It comes a lot easier for her., (Saskes moth). John reported that his confidence increased *f* because I know I can do anything I am given. I am more confident in my work.,

The demonstration school support helped boost students€ confiderecte. mother felt hisconfidence increased because the destruction school f showed him his positive attributes and told him he was worth something. They gave him the individual attention he needed... John for the felt his confidence increased because f He realized he€s not the only one and he can cope with hisinleadisability., The demonstration school taught students to believe in themselves: f[Jamie] came out of [the demonstration school] knowing that she was intelligent, she just had one barrier where her brain wasn€t reading signals. So she€s more confidentamie€s mother)amie described her increased confidence saying, f I previously thought I was going to fail high school. Now I think I am at least going to go to college., Similarly, Sasha \in s mother said, f She understands her capabilities now. Before **dide**€t think she had any, They all walk away believing they can do anything they set their minds to., Nigel said, f lf there are people around you that believe in you, then you believe in yourself., Desrictother noted that here we fhas confidence in hiself; he now has the abilities and the tools. Without [the demonstration school], I don€t know how well he would have done...

The social skills programming at the demonstration school *f* taught [students] different skills to deal with people in order to htele is self-confidence and self-steem, (Derrick). Furthermore participants reported that their confidence increased because of *f*The social skills with the counsellors and interacting with other kids., (Kristine). Ava knew her confidence increased beca**fise** idn€t have many friends at my old school but at [the demonstration school] everyone was your **drieth** at made me feel normal., Students reported that they benefited from the social skills training at the demonstration school because *f*I don€t have ar of talking with people. I don€t worry what people think about me., (Frank). Mike€s confidence continued to increase *f*b**t** cause school because *f*B at the social school because

new friends., Derrick€s mother noted thrathe past f[Derrick] wouldn€t talk to other students, They may be passig notes, and not being able to read the note or write one back, it was easier not to have friends. Now he€s either on the computer or the phone.,

All students and eight parents felt their or their child€s confidence continued to increase in high schod&tudent€s confidence increased because they could complete grade level work: *f*I can complete major assignments and get a great mark on it.,, (Kristine). Ava€s father said, *f*She is always the first one done... She works pretty independently., Ava said, *f*Ademically, it€s made me more scothfident, Whatever it is, l€ve done it, I can do it., Mike@sother felt hisconfidence increased because *f*He€s doing his work on his own, When he was at his other school he never thought he could do it.,,

Sasha€sotherfelt herconfidence was *f* much higher than before she went to [the demonstration school]. She didn€t have any confidence in her abilities... Now it€s a world of difference., Similarly, Jamie said, *f*Before [the demonstration school], I thought everyone thoght I was dumb because I used a computer and had a learning disability. Now that I€m at [high school], I don€t care what anyone thinks., Janoitti€s felt her confidence inœased because the demonstrationol *f* proved she was intelligent, showed herftat other people who were functioning at a high level have disabilities and that she can develop strategies to compensate., Jamie€s mother did not think her daughter€s confidence continued to increase in high school; however, she was happy she maintained br increased confidence levels from the demonstration school.

Three parents felt their or their child€s confidence decreased in high school: *f* It decreased because he felt normal at [the demonstration school]. He felt like everybody else. Now it€s a daileminder that he has this learning disability., (Ni€emoth) r

Sasha \in s mother said, *f* Socially she \in s more insecure than she was at [the demonstration school], Being dropped into this school of two thousand kids, of course she \in s feeling lonely and not making friends.,

Examining Changes in Motivation

Pairedsampled-tests were conducted with data from the MES (Martin, 2009) in order to determine if there was a significant difference between students€ motivation and engagement at the demonstration schoolautroigh school. The MES is composed of three Motivation Booster Thoughts (i.e., Stetflief, Learning Focus, and Valuing), three Motivation Booster Behaviours (i.e., Persistence, Task Management, and Planning), three Motivation Mufflers (i.e., Uncertain Ontrol, Failure Avoidance, and Anxiety), and two Motivation Guzzlers (i.e Disengagement and Settabotage). Multiple comparisons were made, and as a result, a Bonferroni correction was used to control for the risk of a type I error. As there were four **pa**rate constructs, thevalue of .05 was divided by 4, which resulted in a new value of .013.There was no difference in motivation and engagement Global Booster Thoughts, Global Booster Behaviours, Global Mufflers, and Global Guzzlers at the demonstrate school and at high school. The means, standard deviations, as well as the results of the comparisons are presented be 8

Subscale	Demonstration School	HigBichool	t(11)	Sig.
Self-belief	(M=83.1; SD=14.3) (N	∕I=90.2; SD=9.5)	-2.24	.05
Learning Focus	(M=84.9; SD=13.6) (N	l=85.2; SD=13.1)	-0.25	.81
Valuing	(M=84.3; SD=16.9) (N	l=86.1; SD=10.8)	-0.53	.60
Persistence	(M=82.8; SD=14.4) (N		0.52	.61
	(M=78.1; SD=19.1) (M	,		.17
Planning	(M=73.0; SD=16.4) (N	· · · · ·		.70
Uncertain Control	(M=46.3; SD=16.5) (N	l=48.0; SD=18.2)	-0.30	.72
Failure Avoidance	(M=41.4; SD=24.8) (M	l=52.7; SD=31.1)	-1.55	.15
Anxiety	(M=51.2; SD=18.7) (N	l=55.4; SD=20.7)	-0.61	.55
Disengagement	(M=23.5; SD=8.4) (N	I=26.8; SD=10.3)	-1.15	.28
Self-sabotage	(M=32.57; SD=17.7)(N	l=32.5; SD=15.9)	-0.02	.99
Global Booster Tho	ughts is comprised of 8	eef ief, Learning Fo	ocus, anda l luin	g.
Global Booster Beh	aviours is comprised of	Persistence, Tas	k Managemen	t, and I
Global Mufflers is c	omprised of Uncertain C	Control, Failure Av	oidance, and	Anxiet

Table 8• Motivation and Engagement Subscale Comparisons with MES Data (Martin, 2009)

Global Guzzlers is comprised of Disengagement and Sablótage.

Data from the MES (Martin, 2009) indicated that students demonstrated a goodlevel \in of academic solution is the students \in responses earned them a B grade \in on the Self belief subscale as they responded positively to comments such as: If I don \in t give up, I believe I can do difficult schoolwork. Students \in responses also earned them a B grade \in on the Disengagement subscale as they responded negatively to comments such as: I don \in t really care about school anymore. Survey data indicated that there were no significant differences between students \in motivation and engagement at the demonstration school and at high school. When interviewed, ten students and nine parents reported that their or their child \in s motivation to complete academic tasks increased at the demonstration school. Darren and Kristine were *f* More motivated because we know we can get it done., and Frank was more motivated *f* Because I know I can finish my work. I don \in t have to worry about it, goet butterflies, or see a coufice,

Parents judged that their dh is motivation increased at the demonstration school because they were setting goals and working towards achieving their goals: *f*He is setting his own goals now and trying to do better. If he hadn is been at [the demonstration school] that wouldn is happing., (Nigel is mother Sash is mother felt show *f* has loads of motivation in school. She has a distinctive plan for her future and knows what she needs to do to accomplish it., Similarly, Jamie is mother noted that is how talking about postsecondary *f* She was looking at occupations based on ability, now she is looking at career paths based on her interests.,

One student and two parents felt their or their child \in s motivation did not change as a result of attending the demonstration school. Rhys and the said, fI don \in t think it \in s changed, and fHe \in s always had good motivation in school., Three parents felt their child \in s perceptions of completing school work did not change: *f* If he didn \in t have to do it he wouldn \in t. I \in ve never heard him say **tsej** geout of it., (Frank \in s mother

Eight parents noted that as a result of attending the demonstration school, their child now enjoys completing bool work. Derrick \in s mother noted that before whet to the demonstration school *f*[Derrick is trying to inde that he couldn \in t do [his homework], Now he comes in the door and does it, He enjoys it now, He \in s motivated, Now that he can do it school is such an important thing., Students enjoyed doing school work because they *f* can complete it and it \in s not a **(Jaanic)**. Mike \in s mother said, *f*You used to argue with him and he wouldn \in t do it. He said he didn \in t understand it and never would. He \in s come to realize there are different ways to learn. If you don \in t learn one way, try a different way., **fixed** ather note **that** shore viously *f* felt she couldn \in t do [the work]. But having been to [the demonstration school], she came back with better abilities, confidence, and attitude.,

Although data from the MES (Martin, 2009) did not demonstrate statistically significant differences, during the interviews eight students and seven parents reported that their or their child \in s motivation to complete academic tasks continued to increase in high school: $f \mid \in m$ more motivated. I want to do it. Before [the demonstration school] I didn \in t care., (Derrick). Daniel felt his motivation increased because he was successful in completing grade level work: f[the demonstration school] teaches you how to do everything, I \in m more motivated now because I \in m in classes with my friends and I \in m doing the same work as them.,

Procrastination was not a problem in either school environment as students received a ^B grade€ on the Seelbotage subscale of the MES (Martin, 2009). Students responded negatively to statements such as: I sometimes put assignments

until the last moment so I have an excuse if I don \in t do so well. Students demonstrated good study skills as they received a ^B grade \in on the Planning and Task Management subscales of the MES. Students demonstrated good Planning as the **gledsposi**tively to comments such as: Before I start an assignment, I plan out how I am going to do it; and I usually stick to a study timetable or study plan. Students also demonstrated good Task Management as they responded positively to comments sulthings: I study, I usually study in places where I can concentrate and at times when I can concentrate best. Students were motivated because of the skills they learned. **Semire**other noted that **shee**d to have *f* a fear of failure; procrastinating was a **biggié**. Now she gets it done, That \in s something they taught her at [the demonstration school]., Similarly, Sasha was unlikely to procrastinate because *f* I \in ve learned how to separate my homework and do one at a time., Ava was also thankful for the skills shequeired because *f* Advoirag for myself is a big help.,

Responses to the MES (Martin, 2009) indicated that students demonstrated positive selfbeliefs in regards to their academic abilities. Students received a B grade \in on the Selfbelief subscale as the gift they could do well in their school work. Survey data was exemplified by comments from participants such as Mike who noted that he was *f* More motivated to complete my school work because I know I can get it done right and the technology can help me., Fikawas also *f* more motivated to complete my school work because it has become easier. I know the answers., Similarly, Darren was *f* more motivated because I want to do well in school, Now I can do it and before I couldn \in t.,

The MES (Martin, 2009) survey recompses indicated there were no significant difference in students€ academic **Self**efs (M = 83.12; SD = 14.31 versus M = 90.24; SD = 9.50) and Disengagement (M = 23.53; SD = 8.37 versus M = 26.82; SD = 10.28) at

the demonstration school and at high schools survey data was supported by one student and three parents who felt their or their child \in s motivation did not change in high school: *f*She \in s still motivated when it comes to her academics.,, \in Sasber Rhys \in mother said, *f*I don \in t think [his **invat**ion] will change, He \in s got great grades, he \in s on the honour roll and that \in s what \in s important.,

Contrary to the lack of significant differences on the subscale comparisons performed on the MES (Marti 2009), two students and twearents felt their onheir child s motivizion decreased in high schoole fause there are not the same checks and balances, as there was at the demoriestratic chool (Daniel). Nigel note for there are other people who aren two working, so I moking pretty good because I motorcliances, ..., Darren was less motivated f because half of the class doesn thand in their work..., His motivation went f down because at [the demonstration school] there was a lot expected of you. [Teachers] gave you the feeling of set forth; whereas here, the same...,

Persistence.

Students received a B grade \in on the Persistence subscale of the MES (Martin, 2009) as they responded affirmatively to statements such as: I \in II keep working at difficult schoolwork until I think I \in ve worked it out. Dugithe interviews, participants reported all students were likely to persist at academic tasks at the demonstration sabbel. S persisted because her coulters *f* motivated us to finish [our homework] and not give up., Students valued the encouragemeety theceived and employed similar strategies to motivate themselves: *f* I would keep trying at it until I got it. I encouraged myself to get it done., (Mike). Derrick appreciated that his teachers *f* wouldn \in t let me stop, Now I have to coach myself.,

Studentswere motivated to persist at their work: f[Jamie] was known at [the demonstration school] for working at it until I got it because I didn \in t like falling behind., Similarly, John was motivated to f keep trying until I figured it out [because] I wanted to be independent and I couldn \in t do that if I gave up., Ava said, fWhy just give in assignments when I have a chance to do better and make a better life?,

Nine students and six parents judged that their or their child \in s persistence increased at the demonstratischool because they were confident in their abilities. Ava and John were likely to persist *f* because dw I can accomplish the work Frank said, *f* I \in ve grown in my knowledge and confidence in finishing my school work of the source could do it, that made meaore likely to keep trying.Nigel \in s mother said her source *f* knows if he perseveres he can do it. Before he had never done anything or met anybody \in s expectations so he didn \in t think he could do it., Sim Tarty, n was *f* more likely to try to find the right answer ^cause I knew I would get it drowould need it for the future,

The demonstration school provided Sasha with skills which enabled persist at academic tasks. Her mother noted **fisht**e \in slooking at the steps and breaking them down. It seems easier for her., Derrick fees ther felt hispersistence increased because *f*He kept asking other people that might help him, Whereas before it would have been, ^I don \in t know how to do it so we won \in t do that feimilarly, Mike \in s mother said, *f*Now he \in smoore likely to see if he can understand it or ask for help to advocate for himself.,

One student and four parents felt their or their child€s persistence did not change at the demonstration school. Rhys always had strong motivation: *f*Before if I had an assignment I would complete it to the best I could and at [the demonstration school] I did

137

the same thing., Kristine \in s mother said, *f* If she likes the subject she \in II jump into it. If she doesn \in t she still has to be pushed to do it.,

All students persisted in Higschoolbecause f[the demonstrationhool] teaches you how to do it, Darren said, fI always tried hard but I didn \in t have the skills to present it. Now I \in ve learned ways to do it well., Students learned too ashefp as needed. Darren was f or re likely to keep trying and if it \in s really difficult for I would talk to the teacher.Similarly, Frank was f more likely to keep trying until I figure it out, but I will ask a teacher to explain just in case I miss somethin as a said f I \in d be more likely to figure it out because I \in ve learned how to ask for help so I can understand it., Kristine would fKeep trying because that \in s what they taught us at [the demonstration school]- don \in t give up, take a break if you don \in t get it, and then try again.,

Seven tudents and five parents judged their or their child€s persistence at academic tasks continued to increase in high school because they were capable of doing the work: *f* She knows she can do it now. [The demonstration school] taught her that sense of accompilshment., (Jami€s moth) Ava now *f* completes all of the homework, even before the time allotted, and Frank was *f* more likely to do my work because I know what the teachers are talking about the *f* won€t rush through it **`use** he wants to get a good mark, He was *f* nore likely to keep trying at it [because] I believe in mfyaed I know I can get it dong.

Studenst persistence was higher in high school than in elementary school. In discussing elementary school Derrick said, *f* If I had homework I would be the forme, I could shrug it off and be like, T hat \in s fine, give me a zero. It \in s just like the last one. \in , His mother said, *f* He did anything he could to hide what he could n \in to, He fell through the cracks because nobody really knew., However, he will *fistion* up as late as I have

138

to, and f do it as many times abd to, to be able to finish, it H is mother was happy he f still wants to do his work. He \in s thriving to do better all the time.,

Three students and six parents noted they or their child codttoudemonstrate a high level of persistence in high school. Sasha and Darren \in s mother said, f She \in s just as persistent., and f It \in s always been, he wants to get it done., In contrast, two students and one parent felt their or their child \in s persistenc \in deed in high school. Jamie was less likely to persist f cause most of my teachers I don \in t like and they don \in t like me., Nigel was less likely to persist because there was little structure in high school: f you don \in t have a routine., Danie \in father felt here as loo less likely to persist because f He can slip through. There \in s not the same scrutiny as [the demonstration school].,

Valued learning.

The MES (Martin, 2009) survey data indicated that students valued learning at the demonstration school and at higchool. Students received a B grade \in on the Valuing subscale as they responded positively to statements such as: It is important to understand what I am taught at school. In alignment with the quantitative data, 11 students reported it was more important understand their work than get it done: *f*The point of schooling is to learn so there is no point in getting stuff done without understanding it., (Ava). Darren also felt it was more important to *f* understand it than get it done cause if you don \in t understand it you lose that lesso Daniel felt it was more important to understand so that I can know it in the futur, Similarly, Rhys felt it was *f* more important to understand the assignment because if you understand you can apply it to other aspects [ide].,

All students reported that they valued learning because of its impact on their future life outcomes: f If I don \in t get high marks I might not go to college and get a good job., (Mike) and f It predicts what kind of career I will get., (Johra)s Sa \in s business

class was useful because f I will be able to use it when I apply for a job or even in a job., Nigel said, fMath, you need to learn how to count money, and science, if you \in re at a factory you need to understand what chemicals are doing **simi** portant., Frank said, fBeing capable of finishing my work, I get the feeling I won \in t end up in some dead end job. I can actually work up and up in the community.,

Nine students continued to feel it was more important to understand their assignments **ti**n to get them done. Daniel knew it was important to *f* understand the assignment so you can remember it., Similarly, Jamie said, *f* If I understand it, it will stick with me for a long time., Darren knew it was important *f* To learn it and understand it becauseyou€II need it in the future., Nine students also discussed how learning benefited their future life outcomes: *f* I may come to a point in the future where I would need the information., (Sasha). Students felt what they learned in high school would impact heir future employment: *f* Most of the work I do will benefit me because without it I wouldn€t be able to get my diploma or a good job., (Frank) and *f* I want to keep all the options open, so I€m paying attention at school., (DanieI). Similarly, Derrick said, *f* School is your life; if you don€t do good, you€re not going to do good.,

Self-efficacy.

Data from the PALS (Roeser, Mitegy, & Urdan, 1996) indicated that with respect to the demonstration school, students strongly agreed with statements such as: I can do the hardest school work if I try, with a mean Social score of 4.43 (SD = .57; with 5 being the highest score). Interview data was in alignment with the survey data as 11 students and 11 parents commented that their or their child fiscal fy increased at the demonstration school. Satisficament noted that striden to believe she could complete her work at elementary school, f Now she believes everything s attainable, She€s been given the tools to do it, with them teaching her kinaestyneticalell as through her technologies., Sasha said, *f* I believe I can complete an academic assignment, I want to make sure I do the best I can like they taught me at [the demonstration school]., Similarly, *f*[Kristine] never felt she could complete anythin until [the demonstration school]., Herrother felt herefficacy increased because *f*She sees that she can do it. It might take her a bit longer but she can do it and she knows it.,

Participants reported that the demonstration school increased studenfittence which resulted in improved fficacy for work completion. John \in s mother noted that is past f[John] didn \in t have any confidence because it was hard for him to read and study, You now know how to study. You can do it, you can finish it.,, Darred hits efficacy increased because f They \in ve built my control to the providence up. Even though I am at school I feel a lot better., Mike also said his efficacy increased because f I feel a lot better. I feel more confident doing my work., Frank now f believes he earn and that he \in s not stupid., He has f more confidence in my school work and feel I am capable of completing it., Jamie s mother noted that sheed f zero confidence she could complete anything before she went to [the demonstration school]. Now she know sean do it and if she can \in t she know school] I thought I was just going to finish high school, now I am getting some of the highest marks.,

Students enjoyed attending schoechuse of their improved academic competencies and academic **doubl**iefs. Ava said, *f* Before I went to [the demonstration school] I thought I was the worst in the world, [The demonstration school] gave me the tools and showed me I can do it., Hertfreer said, *f* Before she didn€t want to go to school, [Now] she has the attitude that she€s going to try., Similarly, Kristine€s mother said, *f* Before she hated school. We fought for hours and said, O kay, we don \in t need to do homework. \in Now she will come home and dohomework. She \in s doinvell., Jamie \in s mother also note that previously, *f* There would be avoidance, illness, [her school work] would not have been done. Now she tackles it., Daniel \in s efficacy increased because *f* He \in s much more positive about going to schele knows he can do it.,

All students and ten parents felt they or their child had high efficacy for work completion at the demonstration school. *f* At the regular public school system it was, "We know you can€t do it so we€ll give you a level you **o**aevœn though it€s way below what you should be doing.€, Derrick€s efficacy increased at the demonstration school because *f* It was expected you can do this and you will learn how to do it., Similarly, Sasha said, *f* I know what teachers expect now, So I dooad job on my homework.,, Assistive technology enabled Darren and Mike to have high efficacy for work completion.Derrick€s mother saidWithout the technology [Derrick] wouldn€t be doing as well as he is, and without the way teachers taught him toredented and expected him to do it, [his set#fficacy] wouldn€t have been there.,,

The strategies taught at the demonstration school helped students develop high efficacy for work completion: *f*Her teachers are impressed she€s able to complete tasks on time, They€ve learned how to manage their time and to spread time amongst their projects., (Sash€s moth)r Derrick€s mother noted that **he** longer procrastinates over his school work: *f*He doesn€t leave it till the end. The assignment is handed**getst**, he working on it, it€s done, He now believes he€ll doeant€astic job on his homework.,, Mike€s mother felhe demonstration school taught hitto believe in himself: *f*His teachers kept telling him he had the ability, He understood it and could do it.,,

Pared-samplest-tests were conducted withata from the PALS (Roeser, Miledy, & Urdan, 1996) in order to determine if there was a significant difference between students€ efficacy at the demonstration school and at high school. Theliefsf subscale assesses students€ perceptions of their competency in completing school work and includes questions such bam certain I can master the skills taught in school this year; If I have enough time, I can do a good job on all my school work; and Even if the work in school is hard, I can learn **T** there was no difference in students€ efficacy at the demonstration school (M = 4.43, SD = .57), and at high school (M = 4.20, SD = .58), t(11) = 1.39, p > .05 In the interviews, all parents reported that their chied fease y increased or stayed the same in high school. Derrick€s mother judged that his efficacy continued to increase because feven without the extransome he€s still able to do it and he€s been getting good marks. He believes he can do anything **Sawha**€s mother said *f*[Sasha] knows she can complete things. That€s not waivered much at all., Frank \in snother also felt his efficacy emained high because f He has no doubt he will have it done well.

Responses to the PALS (Roeser, Midg, & Urdan, 1996) ndicated that students had high efficacy in high school, with a mean Societies subscale score of 4.20 (SD = .58; with 5 being the highest score). The quantitative data was in alignment with the qualitative data as 11 students and 11 parents feltothetyeir child continued to have high efficacy for completing academic tasks in high school: fI know I \in ve done it before and I can get good mark for it., (Kristine) Students attributed their high efficacy to their school successes: fHe knows what succession he knows, If I put the work and effort into it I can do a good job. \in , (Ni field mother Student \in sheightened efficacy persisted because of their use of assistive technology. Derrick was confident he could do his school work *f* Because of my techorgy, I think I could do anything on it. Whatever \in s on the page I could read it, I could find the definitions for it..., Mike was also *f* likely to believe I can do a good job on [my school work] because the technology helps me a lot.,

In elementary school amie would f think I would f be able to do [the school work] and then I would f to it because I would f want to get define by the teacher., Jamie f so ther felt belf-efficacy continued to thrive in high school f because [the demonstration sch f or voed to her that she could, whereas the traditional education approach taught her that she could f is father said e demonstration sch f showed [Ava] she can do the work and now she knows she can do it.,

Academic abilities.

Ten students and ten parents reported that their or their child \in view of themselves as a student increased at the demonstration school: *f* I used to think I wasn \in t smart enough to do the work. Since going to [the demonstration school] I know I am smart and *e* can b one of the top students in my class., (Sasha). Daniel said, *f*Before you moved along with everyone else knowing that you \in re not really passing. Now I feel right up there with my friends. I know I can do it., John reported that his academic abilities **ised** because *f* I can do anything anyone else gets., Similarly, Derrick \in s mother said, *f*He knows he \in s doing the same work as other kids and he can do it., Derrick judged that his abilities improved because *f*There \in s harder work and I \in m getting good grift f showing I have succeeded., Frank said, *f*I feel more intelligent because of improvements in my reading, math, and science they have all raised grade levels.,

Students reported that their perceived academic abilities increased because they recognized they were capable of learning. Nigelmother noted that **previously** didn \in t *f* think of himself as a student because he wasn \in t learning and he wasn \in t involved. Once

he realized teachers will work with me and I can do this, he wanted to do it., Similarly Frank€s mother said in the pas**f hea**sn€t too sure if he could be a student, he now knows that he can learn., Since attending the demonstration school, Sasha *f* sees herself as a good student and a competent student, and *f*[Jamie] now understand**be**hat if s works hard at something she can achieve it.,

One student and two parents reported their or their child **Greated** ptions stayed the same at the demonstration school. f He \in s always felt good about himself. He does feel better because now he can do ithing own, but he isn \in t bothered by anybody that says anything about his computer or wearing headphones to read a book., **(Examenthe)** r

Six students and five parents felt their or their child \in view of themselves as a student continued to increase **inglh** school because *f* I can do it and I can get it right in a short amount of time., (Derrick) and because *f* I \in ve been doing very well in my grades., (Mike). Mike \in mother noted that the fill field is smarter because he is doing well. He sees the class average **duth** at \in s his goahot to fall below the average., Sasha said, *f* I feel smarter for going into my current school and being able to ask questions and understand, I learned how to do the work myself and I remember those skills [the demonstration school] tabtyme.,

Participants reported that one reason student \in s acaderpier self tions continued to increase was because of their understanding of assistive technology. Derrick helped other students *f* learn the technology... He knows he needs it and he \leq ugeing t it., Similarly, Mike \leq mother said, *f* He \leq s always trying to help the other kids and tell them how to use their technology, It makes him feel smarter because he has his computer and he can keep up with them., Mike felt *f* more intelligent because h**kmo**w to use the computer and fix problems that teachers in resource might not know how to fix., Darren€s perceptions continued to increase because of th**eevcok**uld do with the technology. His mother sai¢llf he had to write it out it would probably takim six months because of his small motor skills, But if he can get a Dragon file going it€s much simpler.,

Four students and five parents felt their or their child \in academic set plies increased remained the same in high school. Jamie description felt heacademic self beliefs increased at the demonstration school and remained steady ever since: *f*When we got to [the demonstration school] she was two and a half years behind. There \in s nothing built into the system to show her that that wasn \in lack of intellige to demonstration school] informed her thashe \in s an intelligent being., Kristine \in s mother noted that t demonstration school taught http://this.may.be a disability, but you don \in thave to make it a disability. Their motto is `believe \in and shoe betwees she can do it., Ava \in s father felt heperceived academic abilities also remained strong in high school because *f* Her attitude is more of a cade attitude, [The demonstration school] instilled the belief that it can be done.,

The new social compleson group caused Nigel€s academicped€eptions to decrease in high school. He feltes smart because I don€t do as good as everybody else., His mother felt the demonstration school *f* builds your confidence because you€re doing as well as everybody se, This year he€s seeing that difference, but at least he had time at [the demonstration school] to figure out he can be successful.,

Discussion

The text which follows evaluates and interprets participant€s survey and interview data in order to provid**p**ractical strategies to support transitions from segregated classes for students with learning disabilities into inclusive classrooms, as well as strategies to

make schools more supportive for these students. In the process of doing so, connections between the current study and other research will be highlighted. The importance of the findings and the generalizability of the results will also be discussed.

Impact of the Demonstration School

Prior to attending the demonstration school, student€s learrfierrendides prevented them from having a positive school experience, for although they attended classes their learning needs were breing met which caused them to slide through the cracks.Some students received pollit instruction. However, students file remediation was demeaning and reflective of their teachoursex bectations for them. This finding alerts us to the importance of putting choonsideration into the rationale for programming used in remedial instruction and the perceived benefits on struction for the individual child. It is also important to speak with students in order to understand their perceptions of receiving puddut programming. Participants reported that students internalized the negative comments they received from the academic this negatively impacted their selfconcept. This finding is in alignment with researchers such as Vaughn, Elbaum, and Boardman (2001) who report that the classroom teacher€s attitude toward the inclusion of students with learning disabets islikely to affect studers self-concept in that setting. Students reported that prior to attending the demonstration school they perceived themselves as incapable of completing academic tasks and this diminished the effort they exerted on school tasks. **Had**se students not been accepted into the demonstration school, their anticipated academic outcomes would be bleak.

The small class sizes allowed the expert teachers at the demonstration school to better understand each student€s strengths and wealanesgeisde their instruction accordingly. In addition, students appreciated theomene support they received.

While teachers cannot control class sizes, they can consider how small group instruction can be utilized within the school day and how centeivisies can be used to allow time for individual instruction. Participants reported that students benefited from the relationships they developed with their teachers and counsellors. Students felt their demonstration school teachers really cared ab**eut ith**dividual well-being, genuinely wanted them to succeed, and would go out of their way to ensure they were successful. Students reported that they could develop a strong relationship with their teachers as they understood their learning disabilities. order to meet the learning needs of all students, demonstration school teachers created individual learning profiles which were used to guide instruction. This practice was perceived as extremely beneficial by participants in this study.

Students feltike they belonged at the demonstration school. Some students fnever belonged at any other school, but felt they were destined to go to the demonstration school to experience academic and social success. Students felt important because the demonstration **so**hstaff took the time to get to know them on a personal basis. Due to the salience of teacherdent relationships in teaching (Katz, 2012), one cannot overlook the importance of starting the day with a friendly smile at the entrance to your classroomrad an accompanying inquiry about the weekd, orutilizing other strategies facilitate positive teachestudent relationships.

Demonstration school teachers recognized the achievements of their top students and highest scoring athletes; however, students and highest scoring athletes; however, students and recognized that they equally valued the effort extended on academic tasks and recognized the contributions of all team players and fans on the sidelines. It is important to provide opportunities where all students, including those who are not the topposing athletes, have an equal opportunity to participate (Specht & Young, 2011). It is also important to recognize those students who attend sporting events and help build school morale.

Students enjoyed attending classes with peers who also had least in the state of th Students liked knowing that other students also experienced learning difficulties because it made them feel comfortable asking for help. Participants reported ulder set confidence improved because they were functioning at the level of the state of the require pullout remedial instruction. Students noted that they enjoyed not having to explain their learning disability to their classmates because they already knew what it was like to experience learning difficulties. Studentshiststudy enjoyed interacting with other students with learning disabilities, and as a result, schools should consider the feasibility of developing a mentorship program for students with learning disabilities. program would provide the opportunity food induction of a regular basis to share stories, experiences, and knowledge, and to have fun with other individuals who have learning disabilities and to learn how they have persevered and become successful. analyses have demonstrated then to ring relationships for youth are associated with more favourable outcomes (DuBois, Holloway, Valentine, & Cooper, 2002; Eby, Allen, Evans, Ng, & DuBois, 2008). While little research exists on the role of mentoring relationships among youth with leargidisabilities, Ahrens, DuBois, Lozano, and Richardson (2010) reported that naturally acquired mentoring relationships experienced during adolescence contribute to improved educational outcomes, including the increased likelihood of graduating from high **bool** and improved selfsteem among youth with learning disabilities as they transition into adulthood.

Participants judged the demonstration school was supportive of students€ learning needs. Students attributed their academic success to the univeigalated

differentiated instruction embedded within classroom instruction as students appreciated that demonstration teachers *f* had at least two different ways to teachers also appreciated that the demonstration school teachers presented informatays which were relevant and meaningful to their daily lives it helped them to value the instruction they received.

Participants reported that the demonstration school met the needs of its students because teachers could interpret psychoedural tassessments and would guide their instruction accordingly. Students appreciated **their** demonstration school teachers taught them how to cope with their learning disabilities, express their learning needs to other educators, and communicate with their learns about their learning difficulties. Students should be provided with an overview of their psychoeducational assessment and how their learning disability impacts learning, and they should be taught how to relay this information to their teachers dispeers. Students should also be informed that their learning disability does not imply that they are not intelligent as they have skills and talents in various domains.

All students were successful at the demonstration school. Students€ grades were previously *f* just over passing, and now they take pride in announcing that they *f* understand what is going on, and are able to *f* pull off,**80 f** majority of students entered the demonstration school with a Grade 1, 2, or 3 reading level and left with the ability to read at their standard grade level. The demonstration school placed a strong emphasis on literacy. Teachers understood the importance of teaching phonemic awareness at an age in which students are typically reading to learn, and used computer programs such as Academy of READING to supplement their reading intervention program. Students made significant improvements in their reading abilities and felt

successful because they could read independently and complete their homework. Participants judged thatese gains in reading contributed to improvements in students€ academic selfconcept.

Students benefited from the academic and social strategies taught at the demonstration school. Students learned how to create and stick to a schedule and this structurel routine carried into their current study habits as they now f come home from school and do their homework first., Genetic and neurobiological factors contribute to learning disabilities (Learning Disability Association of Canada, 2002; Shaywitz & Shaywitz 2009), and as a result, students with learning disabilities may have other family members who also experience reading or organizational difficulties. One cannot assume that students have role models who teach them how to use an agenda, plan their time effectively, and study in an environment which is conducive to learning, and as a result, these strategies need to be explicitly taught. The demonstration school taught students how to speak to teachers about the accommodations outlined in their individuation plans. Students need to be taught to advocate for their learning needs because their teachers may not be skilled in using assessment reports to develop individual education plan goals to guide their instruction. Students benefited from the advocation they received, and participants reported that as a result of attending the demonstration school, students now feel confident initiating conversations, interacting with their peers, and applying the social concepts they learned. Students especial areciated their new social skills because they f experienced how make new friends

Participants reported that the demonstration school positively impacted students \in self-concept, ability to do schoolwork, and socialize. As per their motto, which is *f*Believe,, the demonstration school helped students to *f* learn the tools they need to

learn, and this enabled them to f statelieving in themselve, sDue to improvements in students \in set foncept, academic achievement, and social skills, participants algaeed *f* lt \in s the best thing she ever., diath *f* lt changed the trajectory of their lives.,

Impact of assistive technology.

Interview and survey data indicated that students were positively impacted by the use of assistive technology at the demonstration **dic b u** demonstration **dic dic u** demonstration **dic dic u** demonstration **dic dic dic**

Participants felt assistive technology allowed students to compensate for their reading and writing difficulties and complete academic tasks they wouldwished the unable to complete. Participants reported that when embedded within quality instruction, assistive technology helped students overcome their poor reading comprehension, processing speeds, grammar, spelling, organizational skills, and handwfffiircgtides. Parents reported assistive technology helped their child to successfully complete grade level work. Participants also felt assistive technology had a strong impact on students€ academic achievement, with some participants commenting that the **ftmot**ogy has

transformed her academic life., Edyburn (2009) notes that much remains to be done to improve the quality of special education technology research. However, if future studies were longitudinal in nature and students were provided with suffitieining to make them proficient in their use of assistive technology, similar findings to those presented in this study may ensue.

Students appreciated that assistive technology increased independence, made it easier to complete academic tasks, an**edspep** work completion. This finding was supported by the literature as researchers (Bryant, Bryant, & Raskind, 1998; MacArthur, Ferretti, Okolo, & Cavalier, 2001; Mull & Sitlington, 2003) have demonstrated that assistive technology can redu**ste**den**s**€dependence on others to perform tasks such as reading, writing, and organizing their work. Students felt good knowing that they can *f* do anything as long as they have the technology to do it., In addition, participants commented that assistive technology h**elfpe**boost students€ confidence and self concept. Students felt better about themselves because with assistive technology they were able to successfully complete grade level work. Due to their increased competencies, confidence, and **sed**hcept, student**s**coted that they now enjoy attending school.

The majority of participants felt assistive technology improved students€ motivation because they could produce higher quality school work. However, a quarter of participants felt assistive technology made **stuts**less motivated because *f*it€s a hassle It can take a long time to get the technology running, and as a result, some students were not motivated to use the technology for short answer questions. Students left the demonstration school with improved record abilities. Some students were reading at grade level and no longer used Kurzweil on a regular basis, but used it for reading science or history texts with more challenging vocabulary. Students benefited from becoming proficient in their use of assistevtechnology as they were able to cater the technology to their unique learning needs. This finding is consistent with other research which notes that for technology use to be successful there must be a person technology fit (Specht, Howell, & Young, 2007.)

Participants noted that technology can be frustrating because it takes a long time to train Dragon Naturally Speaking. In addition, some students were hesitant to use their assistive technology because *f* it makes you feel different., Despite these**itsaddess** in this study reported that they were positively impacted by their use of assistive technology. Students with and without disabilities can benefit from the use of assistive technology as students with learning disabilities or organization**addutfe**s canbenefit from the use of Inspiration to brainstorm and structure their writing. Providing all students with access to the technology may help reduce the stigma surrounding its use and reinforce the notion that all students, including those **teith** with getting the technology up and running, and the stigma surrounding its use, some participants preferred using the technology because it enabled them to compl**atdegt**evel work without the assistance of their peers or other educators.

Impact on self-esteem, selfconcept, and confidence.

The SPPLD data (Renick & Harter, 1988) indicated that while attending the demonstration school, students€ perceived Genœrblethtual Ability increased significantly, as did their perceived Reading, Writing, and Spelling Competencies. With the exception of perceived Reading and Writing Competencies, academiorsœetpt scores did not change when students transitioned intostotegool. While students€

perceived General Intellectual Ability increased during their first year at the demonstration school, significant changes were not found in students€ GlobadrStelf

On the SPPLD, Global Selfworth scores above 3.00 are contested to reflect high selfesteem (Renick & Harter, 1988). The students in this study did not have low Global Selfworth scores (mean subscale scores were 3.23, 3.37, 3.47, 3.5), and as a result, one would not expect increases to occur. These findingenastistent with the research literature, for despite the common expectation that children with learning disabilities€ lower septerceptions of academic competence should lead to lower Global Self-worth, research has not shown this to be the case (CBever, & Juvonen, 1992). While students with learning disabilities may have unterable perceptions of their academic competence, studies show that these students maintain positive feelings of global selfworth (Bear, Minke, Griffin, & Deemer, 1998; Kloortho& Cosden, 1994).

When students entered the demonstration schoolrtheain Global Selfworth scores (M = 3.23) did not differ from the predicted Global-Selfth scores of their normally achieving peers (M = 3.26; Renick & Harter, 1988), and as **a**, reselwould not expect to see statistically significant improvements in esself em. During the interviews, seven students and ten parents commented that their or their child€s self esteem increased as a result of attending the demonstration schoolp@netion for this discrepancy between quantitative and qualitative data surrounds the fact that students€ Global Selforth scores were high to begin with, and as a result, theLSPP (Renick & Harter, 1988) may not be sensitive enough to pick up ohesaltatinges in global selfperceptions. Participants commented that their or their child€ssteetIm improved because they were able to successfully complete grade level work. This discrepancy in results may also be attributed to the possibility thittipants used the term selfesteem to account for their or their child€s improvements in academic self concept.

Eighty percent of students with learning disabilities experience difficulty reading (Lerner & Johns, 2012) quantitative metænalysis indiated that systematic phonics instruction helps students learn to read (Ehri, Nunes, Stahl, & Willows, 2001). Academy of READING was designed to foster phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension, and is used by the demonstration struction individualized reading instruction. This program is one component of the demonstration school€s reading remediation program. The demonstration school ensured its students learned how to read and equipped them with academic strategies, and result, students reported that they now enjoy attending school as they feel academically successful.

Strategy instruction focuses on topics such as how to determinisme you do not understand what you are reading to remember what you have alreaded and how to take notes and plan before writing. Strategy instruction is beneficial for students with learning disabilities, for after six months of classreloansed strategy instruction, 201 students with learning disabilities reported more comsister of strategies in completing their schoolwork, and perceived themselves as struggling less in reading, writing, and spelling (Meltzer, Katzir, Miller, Reddy, & Roditi, 2004). In addition, teachers perceived students with learning disabilities as **struate**gic and perceived that they applied more effort to their schoolwork ter receiving strategy instruction (Meltzer et al., 2004). Swanson, Hoskyn, and Lee (1999) reported that knowledge about learning strategies, including which strategies to use different situations, can help make students more effective, purposeful, and independent learning metanalysis on 227 studies on instructional strategies for students with learning disabilities, Swanson, Hoskyn and Lee (1999) found the restoeffective instructional strategies combined direct instruction and strategy instruction. The findings of this nearestalysis are in alignment with the current study as participants reported that through the use of direct reading instruction and strategy struction the demonstration school meets the needs of its students.

Some, though not all, students with learning disabilities experience social difficulties which can negatively impatheir inclusion in the regular classroom (Vaughn, Elbaum, & Boardmar2001). In their metanalysis of 152 studies, Kavale and Forness (1996) found that approximately 80% of students with learning disabilities reported deficiencies in their noverbal communication and social problem solving, and approximately 75% of studes with learning disabilities eceived lowes ocial skills ratingswhen compared to their peers without learning disabilities. Participants reported that students felt better about themselves because of the social skills training they received. This instruction helped students to navigate social situations and verbally express themselves, which resulted in successful peer interactions and the maintenance of new friendships. Cognitive processing difficulties can affect how individuals view and interpret social situations and may cause students with learning disabilities to experience difficulty understanding social cues. Students with learning disabilities may be socially out of step from their classmates and may be ridiculed or ostracized for their difference (Lavoie, 2005). Lavoie suggests strategies teachers can employ to facilitate behaviours that result in greater inclusion and notes that errors in social judgement are teachable moments which should be addressed as they occur throughout the schooladd tion, negative peer interactions can be addressed in class meetings as discussed by Van Ness and Strong (2010). In the past, social skills interventions have been minimally effective in

changing students€ behaviours; however, the short time spenint tventions may not besufficient to see improvements in behaviour (Vaughn, Elbaum, & Boardman, 2001).

Although Global Selfworth scores did not differ, two students and one parent commented that their or their child \in s-selfeem decreased in high because of the new social comparison group. Students enjoyed attending the demonstration school because every student had a learning disability and understood what their peers were going through, but at high school *f* the kids look at you like you fee k if you do something wrong.,

According to Harter (1990), different school environments provide different social comparison groups and social comparison processes play an important role in the formation of students€ perceived academic competenciascbases provided by Renick and Harter (1988), students with learning disabilities who attended a private school, which was specifically structured to meet their academic and social needs, perceived themselves to be more competent and adequate them stund the learning disabilities in the public school. Students with learning disabilities perceived themselves as becoming less academically competent when they compared themselves with normally achieving students in their regular education classes (Realistic regular education classes (Realistic regular education classes). compared their abilities with their peers with learning disabilities in their resource room, they maintained high perceptions of their own academic competence. Research demonstrates that low set back is associated the high ability environments, whereas high selfconcept is reported in love bility settings; Smith and Nagle (1995) refer to this phenomenon as the frog pond effect. While the frog pond effect would predict that students€ setfoncept would diminish afteransitioning into high school, it is

hypothesized that stude self-concept remained intact because of the academic and social skills they acquired at the demonstration school.

Students€confidence increased at the demonstration school becauseatneydle how to believe in themselves, complete grade level work, and cope with their learning disability. The social skills instruict also contributed to studes@televated confidence. Students€academic and social skills transferred with them into highoestc and as a result, the majoty of participants felt studest€confidence continued to increase in this new school environment. However, as would be expected based on the theory of the frog pond effect (Smith & Naggle, 1995), a few participants feat their or their child€s confidence levels decreased in high school due to the new social comparison group.

Impact on motivation.

Students commented that their motivation to complete academic tasks increased at the demonstration school because they kthey could complete the work and be happy with the assessment outcome. Due to their increased academic achievement, students reported they were no longer embarrassed of their school work. The demonstration school taught students the importance of goalisgtand participants reported that students now set their own academic goals and work towards achieving those goals. Students also developed new career aspiration and goals for their spectration, and parents commented that these goals werfteretive of their child€s increased academic competencies and settleliefs.

Data from the MES (Martin, 2009) indicated there was no difference in students€ motivation and engagement at the demonstration **schoo** the picture that emergeolvas one in which students maintained their high levels of motivation from the demonstration school for a number of reasons. Participants reported dbas€ motivation remained high because they were in the same classes as the armiong disabled pers and were able to complete the same voor distributed because of the skills they learned at the demonstration school. Participants judged that students no longer procrastinated over their school work because the demonstration school tag ht them how to break tasks down into manageable chunks. Participants felt students end complete their school work with the use of assistive technologynt students them serves and complete their school work with the use of assistive technologynt students and before I couldn €t

Students commented that their persistence increased at the demonstration school because they became confident in their ability to complete school worstualents were likely to persist at the demonsting a school because their could be and teachers motivated them to finish their work and they were taught the strategies and skills to do so. Students valued the encouragement they received and lear meditor eimilar strategies to motivate themselves. Data from the MES (Martin, 2009) indicated that students€ persistence levels did not decrease upon transitioning into high school. All students continued to persist at their work in high school becaused modestration school taught them not to give up take a break if they need it but then try again. Participates reported that tadents werenore likely to persist at their work because the demonstration school taught them how to appropriately ask felphy identifying concepts they understood and areas where further clarification was necessary. Strategies such as taking a break and then trying again, or utilizing appropriate ways to ask for help, need to be explicitly taught as students with learnidis abilities can be described as strategy disabled and can benefit from direct instruction in this regards (for a review outlining the

benefit of strategy instruction to support reading comprehension see Solis et alfo2012; research on the benefits stfategy instruction for students with learning disabilities see Meltzer, Katzir, Miller, Reddy, & Roditi, 2004 or practical suggestions for implementing strategy instruction see Winebrenner, 2002).

Educators need to discuss the importance of varioadeancic skills and how they relate to future employment (Katz, 2012). Teachers at the demonstration school connected the cademic curriculum to stude current and future life experiences which helped them to value the material taught in school. Students nented that their persistence remained strong in high school because the demonstration school taught them to value their academic accomplishments and they acknowledged how their accomplishments benefited their future life outcomes.

Impact on self-efficacy and academic achievement.

Participants commented that stude efficacy increased at the demonstration school because they learned to *f* believe in themselves, and their academic abilities. Participants also felt stude efficacy increase because their successful academic experiences reaffirmed that they € re *f* not stupid., Students didn€t want to go to elementary school, but since attending the demonstration school *f* they know they can do it, and were *f* much more positive about going to school tudents had high efficacy at the demonstration school and participate staributed this to teacle experiences that all students would learn to complete grade level work. (Partin also attributed stude fit high efficacy to the strategies they lead at the demonstration school, as they were taught how to prioritize their school work and how to ask for help when necessary. Students need to be taught that it is appropriate to ask for help as it is an effective way to clarify misunderstandings and taken to necessary supports. In addition, by providing

choice, teaching learning strategies, and utilizing set fluations, teachers can help students to experience positive set ficacy for completing challenging academic tasks (Walker, 2003).

Participantsjudged that students€ efficacy for work completion increased at the demonstration schood and transitioned with them to high schood the PALSR oeser, Midgley, & Urdan, 1996) data indicated that there was no significant difference in students€ efficace the demonstration school and at high school and the school of the temperature of a teacher or educational assistant. School boards need to consider how educational assistants are assigned to work in the classroom (Giangreco, 2010), as educational assistants may further separate students with learning disabilities from their peers, foster unnecessary dependence on an adult, cause feelings of stigmatization, and may limit access to competent instruction (Giangreco, Yuan, McKenzie, Careron, & Fialka, 2005).

Students€ view of themselves as learners improved at the demonstration school because they could successfully complete grade level work and had the marks to prove it. For the first time, students recognized they were capable of near and perceived themselves as competent learners. Students maintained an academic standing at or above the class average and attributed their increased grade point average to the academic and self-advocacy skills they acquired at the demonstration school

Studens€academic selperceptions also continued to increase because of their understanding of assistive technology. Students enjoyed being knowledgeable about the

technology and took pride in being able to teach their peers and other teachersubsew to it. Students with exceptionalities are more likely to be assigned socially undesirable roles (i.e., the loner, challenged learner, and class clown; Specht, Young, Kertoy, Servais, Spencer, Puskarick Pompeo, 2010)Educational systems should providechildren with equal opportunity to engage in the same variety of roles, but this is often not the case. The students in this study excelled in their knowledge of assistive technology. Similar to the findings of Raskind & Higgins (1998), by teachingecthow to use the technology, students were perceived as a helper and had the opportunity to participate in more socially desirable roles.

Transition to High School

The majority of participants felt the transition to high school was a positive experiene, but reported that teachers played a role in determining the success of the transition. Findings from this study suggest that a positive transition can be facilitated through communication between the two schools and being able to meet teachers at the new school before the school year begins. The transition also went smoothly when high schools supported students in their use of assistive technology and students received sufficient support and attention. Students reported that they experienced a difficult transition when teachers were not familiar with their individual education plans, and when their teachers *f* taught way too fast, and did not differentiate their instruction. These findings highlight the importance of implementing assessment for learni**eguaa**tors need to consider what individual students do and do not know and use this information to guide their instruction (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2011).

Advocacy skills emerged as one factor which contributed to a successful high school transion. Participants reported students were able to advocate for their learning

needs because the demonstration school taught them how to identify areas in which they had misunderstandings, use appropriate strategies to ask for help, let their high school peers know when they were distracting them from learning, and advocate for their use of assistive technology. In order to support a successful school transition, advocacy skills need to be explicitly taught. BrunelParudencio (2001) demonstrated that stuslenth learning disabilities can acquire self vocacy skills as the Grade 7, 8 and 9 students in her study benefited from a knowledge and communication skills program which focused on an understanding of one€s learning disability, learning style, availes blurce required accommodations, and ability to succeed, as well as one€s ability to communicate through the use of verbal and not not skills. The demonstration school also developed metacognitive awareness as students were taught to identify headern best and which environments support learning. It is important to develop metacognitive awareness as this understanding can help facilitate-**set** ulated learning, and set gulated learners are more likely to be successful because they commenting environment (Winne & Perry, 2000).

Perceived support in high school.

One reason students enjoyed high school was because they lived at home and were close to the people they knew. This comment cannot be overlooked as all students should have the right to access an equitable leation in schools within the ommunities in which they live The interview data portrayed a positive high school experience. Students reported that they enjoyed high school because of the friendships they developed. Stelents were likely to acquire new friendships because of the social skills and confidence they acquired at the demonstration school. Only two students experienced difficulties building new friendships in high school; however, these deviances in the interview data could be attributed to studes for spending time with peers in the resource room.

Another reason students enjoyed high school was because they could complete academic tasks with little difficulty. However, this swaot the case for all students as some studets lamented that their teacher ace of instruction was too fast, and that teachers provided students with little feedback on their school work, and were not available for after school help. Students received majority of their support from their resource teachers; they were encouraged to stay in their generaloged datases for the first 20 minutes of instruction and then they could receive additional support in the resource room. Students appreciate doe ble to receive support in the resource room; however, they noted this was problematic as they missed out on valuable instruction when they were out of the classroom. Students€ high schools did not significantly differ from their elementary schools there was minimal inclass support and some teachers took little ownership for the instruction of students with exceptionalities in their classrooms. What changed was the student. After being taught a variety of learning strategies, study skills, selfadvœacy techniques, and how to independently use their technology, students had less difficulty learning and were more likely to succeed in a learning environment which was ill-equipped to meet the needs of students with learning disabilities.

Participants reported high schools were not supportive of their or their child€s needs when there was a lack of knowledge surrounding leadisialgilities. The interview data suggests teachers should be required to obtain professional development surrounding thetae and characteristics of learning disabilities, as well as strategies to meet their learning needs. Participants lamented that some high school teaches were unfamiliar with studes findividual education plans, and as a result,

165

students needed to inforteachers of their learning disabilities. The demonstration school assisted in this regards as students learned how to advocate for themselves and express how their high school teachers could accommodate their learning needs.

Participants felt there watelerance for severe physical or cognitive disabilities *f* but when you€re in the middle grounds, the invisible disability, there is no tolerance., Students also felt their peers needed to better understand individuals with learning disabilities because the ythink kids with individual education ans are ^stupid€ and ^retarde €, School staff and students need to be taught to respect diversity (see Katz, 2012), so that the gifts that each learner brings to the classroom can be recognized.

Participants agrœbethat the demonstration school was more supportive in regards to *f* teacher support, counselling support, directional support, social support, and everything you can think of., Participants felt the demonstration school was very learning and childorientedas teachers taught them how to do their work. However, they felt their high schools were curriculum driven because teachers continued with their instruction even though the content wasn€t understood by the entire class. When students with learning disabilities transition into the workforce or pemet condary education they may choose to disclose their disability and how their employer or instructors can accommodate them. These advocacy skills can be learned within a school setting (see Reiff, 2007). The demonstration school equipped students with the necessary skills to advocate for themselves as resource teachers told them they were *f* amongst the few grade nine students that would vouch for themselves

Data from the PALSR (oeser, Midgey, & Urdan, 1996) ndicated that students felt their demonstration school teachers were more likely than their high school teachers to care about their students. Students felt their onstration school teachers

them to succeeind everything they did but fetheir highschool teachers wanted students to complete their work so they could move on to the next unit of study. Katz (2012) discusses the importance of teacesterdent relationships in supporting learning. The demonstration school was noted to have strong teactoreent relationships and this is one factor which appears to have contributed to the success of its students. This finding is supported by the resilience literature which highlights the importance of positive and supportive teachestudent relationships protective factors in children€s lives (Roorda, Koomen, Split, & Oort, 2011). Students experienced academic success in high school and their selfconcept and school motivation continued to remain high. Participants reported that students€increased selfbeliefs, and the academic and social skills they acquired at the demonstration school, were farstowhich contributed to studen€positive high school experiences.

School cultures can help foster a sense of belonging. Students noted that they felt like they belonged when they weren€t treated differently because they use a computer or have a learning disability. Students felt important because they developed rapport with their teachers and their teachers and peers listened to them. Students app**hactititeid** t high school teachers asked them how they were doing and if there were any additional supports they needed. Students also felt like important members of their school communities when they were involved in extracurricular school activities and adviere to help other students complete academic tasks. Students with learning disabilities may have a variety of strengths in academic and accordemic domains. It is important to provide students with the opportunity to build on their strengths as a **troeparosi** ect and enhance their global set/steem. Role acquisition may also be fundamental to the development of positive set/forth (Marks & MacDermid, 1996). Research from a

longitudinal investigation of school role participation highlights the needbiditional support for secondary school participation, as well as the importance of ensuring that all students, including those with disabilities, have the opportunity to participate in various roles, including the role of helping others (Specht & Young,120

Students reported that they experienced a positive transition when their high school teachers gave all students the opportunity to participate in class and recognized those who participated and tried to succeed. Students appreciated that their brigh s teachers recognized their individual accomplishments and noted that they felt successful because of the grades they received. Students expected their academic courses to be quite challenging, but were passed that they received 70s and 80 these ourses because the demonstration school *f* got you caught up.,

Issues surrounding assistive technology use.

Some students used their assistive technology less in high school because they could successfully complete the tasks without the use of technology erss also used their computers less than anticipated because of restrictive task requirements in class worksheets and because the technology was not relevant to the courses in which they were enrolled. The demonstration school helped stublecosme selfegulated learners. Students were taught how to identify their learning strengths and weaknesses, as well as learning strategies that worked best for them. As students developed learning strategies, and their reading abilities improved, they abree less reliant on their technology and choose to only use it when necessary. Some students used assistive technology primarily to complete essay based assignments and other students consistently used their technology because they judged it had a pesitipact on their academic

achievement. Students continued to recognize the value of the technology and took pride in training other students and teachers to use it.

Participants wished high school teachers were more knowledgeable about assistive technology. Participants reported that students needed to advocate for accommodations listed in their individual education plans as their high school teachers did not acknowledge that they needed to use their technology to read or that they needed to have their corse notes and exams put into Kurzweil.-Beevice special education courses may only provide a brief overview of learning disabilities and provide minimal, if any, exposure to assistive technology. Chie Richmond, Specht, Young, & Katz, 2011) Additional training is necessary for teachers to feel confident in their ability to support students€ use of assistive technologya(tt, Erin, Lock, Allan, & Resta, 1998; Chmiliar & Cheung, 2007; Nelson, 2006). As general educators may not be familiar with the functions of assistive technology, or know how to effectively implement the technology within the curriculum, students with learning disabilities need to be taught how to advocate for their use of technology.

Participants reported students experienced **diffies** with the transition to high school when their use of assistive technology was not supported. It took more than a month for some students to have their technology installed and other students experienced instances when they could not access the **intelc** gy for accommodations listed in their individual education plans. Students also experienced difficulties due to where their assistive technology was located their inability to take their technology home to assist with homework completion or used to technology for extracurricular activities order to ensure that assistive technology is implemented effectively, the setting, environment, tasks, and tools need to be taken into considerational assistive (2005). Educators must

consider the environment which the child completes academic tasks and the tasks that are required for the student to be able to learn and be an active participant in the learning process.

Strategies to Make Schools More Supportive

The following strategies emerged out of the **detut** and parent interview transcripts and the supporting research literature. These strategies can be conceptualized into two general areas, namely, strategies for promoting positive **beief** and quality instruction. When reading the suggested strategie is important to remember that 12 parens and 12 students who attended **dee** nonstration school were the participants in this study, and as a result, one must take caution when making generalizations from this study. In addition, this study was explorery in nature and employed a nexperimental research design, and as a result, one cannot assume that the sole implementation of any of the following suggestions aredeas participants e interpretation of strategies that supported their success, and caution should be taken when considering how these strategies may be used to make schools more supportive for other students with learning disabilities.

Promote positive sel-beliefs.

Support selfconcept As demonstrated by students in this study, students with learning disabilities may receive negative feedback in regards to their academic achievement and this can contribute to decrements in their condetept. This findings supported by the research literature. For example, Zeleke (2004a) reported that students with mathematics disabilities rated themselves more negatively than their high achieving peers on mathematics, academic, and generationed the subscales. Hughansd Dawson€s (1995) study of 47 adults with dyslexia revealed that a typical pattern of failure at school d to longlasting negative feelings of selforth and perceptions of low personal intelligence. Similary, Bear, Minke, and Manning€s (2002)ametysis of 61 studies of selfconcept demonstrated that children with learning disabilities perceived their academic ability less favourably than their peers without learning disabilities, and Zeleke€s (2004b) nætanalysis found that 89% f studies reveate that students with learning disabilities demonstrated siğnantly lower academic setoncept scores than their peers without learning disabilities.

It is important for students to maintain positive **speef** ceptions and high efficacy in regards to theiæbility to complete academic tasks. In this study, various aspects emerged as critical to students**€-self** cept. Namely, teachers need to create an atmosphere of belonging in their classrooms, develop rapport with each of their students, provide students with encouragement when needed, and provide opportunities for participation in which all students can be successful.

Teachers have the ability to promote feelings of security within their classroom. As role models to their students, teachers need to esside ach student with respect. Teachers need to recognize when each student is acting appropriately and completing academic tasks. By acknowledging the success of weaker students, teachers demonstrate that all students have strengths and can be successed her encouragement should also surround participation in extracurricular activities, or other domains in which the student experience success, so that students who struggle academically can feel like they are valued members of their school community slespecially important to encourage students to participate in school based activities as higher levels of participation has been linked to a number of positive outcomes including greater academic achievement, fewer behavioural problems, lower ratessochool dropout, and increased involvement in social activities during early adulthood (Bartko & Eccles, 2003; Darling, Caldwell, & Smith, 2005; Fredericks, AlfektLiro, Hruda, Eccles, Patrick, & Ryan, 2002; Simeonsson, Carlson, Huntington, Strutz McMiller&, Brent, 2001). While teachers need to spend much time encouraging their students, positive memos should also be sent home to parents so they can help to reinforce their child€s efforts in the inclusive classroom.

Foster knowledge of learning disabilitie All members of the school community including administrators, educators, support staff, and students need to have an understanding of the nature and characteristics of learning disabilities and other less common exceptionalities. Teachers should be **unage**d to obtain professional development in regards to effective teaching practices to remediate reading difficulties and meet the needs students with learning disabilities in their classes. School boards should consider how they can better advertiseparonhote the professional development opportunities that they offer. Information could be distributed throughaits and information posters placed within staff rooms.

Participants reported that the demonstration on was supportive of studes the learning needs because teachers understood learning disabilities. Students benefited because the demonstration school taught them how to cope with their learning disability and how to inform educators and peers of their learning difficulties and necessary accommodations. As noted by their high school teachers, former demonstration school students could successfully *f* vouch for themselves

Students enjoyed attending the demonstration school because they did not have to explain their learning disability to their classates. However, in high school students felt their peers thought *f* kids with individual education plans are ^stupid€ and ^retarded€, and

would *f* look at you like you€re a freak if you do something wrong., Katz (2012) reports that students in inclusive classican and should be taught to value diversitytamdork with peers who learn differentlyn elementary school, childre@iterature can be used to assist students in comprehending interpersonal difference and understanding what it might feel like to have difficulty learning. Units of instruction have also proven effective. Implemented with 218 students in Grades 4 to 7 and their teachers, a Respecting Diversity unit demonstrated a significant increase in students **€ splf**ct, awareness of other, and espect for other(Katz, 2011). This unit plan is recommended as a tool to facilitate an understanding of and respect for diverse learners found within inclusive classrooms.

Develop rapport with students fudents felt important because their demonstratio school teachers took the time to get to know them on a personal basis. Students appreciated that their high school teachers asked how they were doing or if they required additional supports for learning, and judged that teacher rapport made them feel like they belonged and were important members of their school community. Teachers should take the opportunity to informally chat with their students at recess, lunch hour, or when they see their students in the halls. These opportunities should be used to develop a relationship of trust and mutual understanding. When teachers take the time to get to know their students and form positive teachestudent relationships, students will be more likely tofputh the effort to meet their teacher€s academic expectations. This is supported by the work of Muller (2001) who found that etsk students put forth more effort when teachers care about students. Research has demonstrated that teastustent relationships can promote a positive school experience. A theta analysis by Cornelius White (2007) demonstrated that positive teachestudent relationships had an above average degree of association with positive student outcomes. In addition, a separate-analysic review (Roorda, Koomen, Spilt, & Oort, 2011) found that the association between teastbeent relationships and engagement and achievement was substantiactive teachestudent relationships remain important, ormore influential, for older students, children who were academically at risk, children from disadvantaged economic backgrounds, and children with learning disabilities (Roorda et al., 2011).

Promote academic and social competence becachers can promote academic competencies and socialce ptance by encouraging students with and without disabilities to assist their peers on tasks in which they are competent. Students in this study felt like important members of their school community because they were able to help other students complete ademic tasks and train others to use assistive technology. Students need to be reminded that each individual has unique learning strengths, that it is appropriate to seek support in areas in which you experience difficulty, and that it is equally beneficial to provide support in areas in which you exhibit expertise as teaching others helps to solidify one€s understanding of the subject matter.

Teachers need to be cognisant of how the classroom seating plan can be organized to facilitate positive interactions with students who experience obstacles to participation (for more information see Katz, 2012). Peer support can be more subtle when seating is strategically planned. A *f* buddy system, can be used to instill confidence in students with exceptionalities Nesbit & Mason, 2010). Students with and without disabilities are likely to help their peers and may benefit from their role as a peer tutor.

Provide quality instruction.

Provide effective instructionParticipants reported that students benefited from effective instruction and appreciated that their teachers presented information in ways which were relevant and practical to their daily lives. Utilizing a combination of direct instruction, classroom discussions, reading materials, and instructional tegehnolo provides students with multiple means to acquire information. Students should also have input in regards to their selected assessment modality so that they can choose utilize their strengths to demonstrate what they know (Ontario Ministry of Educ2005).

Maintain high expectationsPrevious academic records can limit a teacher€s expectations for their students. Demonstration school teachers expected students would be able to complete grade level work and participants reported that these expe**fct**ations success helped students become confident in their abilities. Carol Ann Tomlinson (2008) advises that effective differentiation involves respectful tasks which portray the message that everyone will be studying the most important ideas and thinkingrabtem solving at a high level, and this task will be so interesting that it will be hard to disregard it. Students need to be provided with tasks which they can complete with an appropriate level of difficulty, for as demonstrated in this study, remeidistruction which is below a student€s ability level can be interpreted as a reflection of teacher€s low expectation for their learning.

Be available for supportThe Learning Disability Association of Canada (2012) estimates that one in ten Canadia**as a** learning disability, and as a result, teachers are likely to have students with learning disabilities in their classroom. In order to help students grasp the course material, teachers should be available for extra assistance at recess, lunch hour, **a**fter school. In high school students received the majority of their support from resource teachers. Additional support should be made available within the inclusive classroom. Teachers should circle around the classroom to identify and assist students whoare having difficulty completing academic tasks. Students reported that they benefited from the weekly reporting system provided by the demonstration school and felt high school teachers should also provide students with detailed feedback on their school work so they know how to improve.

Provide a structured learning environment he demonstration school provided a structured work environment and taught students how to create and stick to a schedule. Participants reported that this structured routine **pters** in student€s study habits in high school. Teachers need to strive to create a predictable and stable classroom environment and ensure that their students develop and maintain routines for homework completion (Mather & Goldstein, 2001).

Teach learningstrategiesStudents benefited from the metacognitive awareness they developed at the demonstration school. Students with learning disabilities may not be familiar with effective learning strategies, and as a result, teachers should model strategy use anteach these skills through direct instruction (Mather & Goldstein 2001 Meltzer, Katzir, Miller, Reddy, & Roditi, 2004Students were likely to persist at their school work because their demonstration school teachers motivated them to finish their work ard taught them similar strategies to motivate themselves. Student€s academic and social skills transitioned with them to high school as students continued to review their school work on a daily basis and sought out remedial assistance as needed. Due to thei familiarity with various learning strategies, students continued to feel confident about their abilities in high school. Teach selfadvocacy skillsTeachers need to help their students understand the importance of advocating for themselves, and teach the fundamental factors to success is the ability to selfadvocate (e.g., Aune, 1991; Brinckerhoff, 1980£chings, et al.2001; Janiga & Costenbader, 2002; Lock & Langt 2001; Lynch & Gussel, 1996; Merchant & Gajar, 1997; Skinner & Lindstrom, 2003). Despite the importance of advocacy skills are not taught in high school or at tpestsecondary level. As demonstrated by Brunello Prudencio€s (2001) doctoral research; and/focacy skills can be taught through programs which develop one€s verbal and/newbal skills, as well as an understanding of one€s learning disability, and theailable resources, services, supported

For students with learning disabilities, the foundation for-**adt**/focacy is based on having a thorough understanding of one€s learning disability, its associated that and weaknesses, impact on learning, and compensatory straftegtics pants reported that former demonstration school students were amongst the few Grade 9 students who could advocate for themselves. Students were able to advocate for therbeetwese the demonstration school taught them how to speak about their learning disability, how their technology helps them learn, and how teachers could accommodate their learning needs. Students also demonstrated their advocacy skills as they informed worken they were distracting them from learning.

Teach social skillsIn a metænalytic review of 152 studies investigating social skills deficits among students with learning disabilities, Kavale and Forness (1996) fou that approximately 75% of students with learning disabilities received lower social skills

ratings than their peers without learning disabilities. This finding was supported by a metaanalysis conducted by Nowicki (2003) which demonstrated that children with learning disabilities are **at** greater risk for social difficulties than average to above average achieving students. This is problematic as students with learning disabilities who exhibit poor social skills are more likely to be neglected or rejected by their classmates than studerst without learning disabilities (Bryan, 1997; Haager, Watson, & Willows, 1995; Vaughn, Elbaum, & Schumm, 1996). Social skills programming may help students develop new friendships, and the skills learned in this programming may generalize to new environmetrs (Helper, 1997). **A** a result, administrators should consider the feasibility of implementing social skills programming within their sch**(Ws**)[kera, & Nabuzokab, 2007)

Social skills instruction is designed to teach students how to navigate new social situations, verbally express themselves, and use appropriate body language. The average duration for social skills instruction is 30 hours or less, which may be insufficient to ameliorate social problems (Kavale & Mostert, 2004; Vaughn, Elbaum, & Boardman, 2001). During their two years of attendance at the demonstration school, students received social skills instruction from their residence colloogee part of the evening programming. Participants reported that the social skills training at the dentionstra school helped students feel more confident initiating conversations and interacting with peers, and helped students to control impulsive behaviour by utilizing this training allowed students to successfully interact with their peers and maintain new friendships. Some students were especially appreciative of their newly acquired social skills because it enabled them to experience friendships for the **filmse**.

Support participation. Teachers need to ensure that all students have the opportunity to participate in classroom activities. Teachers should not rely on high achieving students to respond to questions because they know they will have the correct answer. Instead, all students should feel comfortable demonstrating their knowledge. Students should be taught that they do not need to have the correct answer to respond, that providing an incorrect response is not *f* bad,, and what is most important **ine t** hat t try their best.

Interviews with participants highlighted the benefit of having teachers who recognize students who participate and try to succeed. Students reported that they felt important at the demonstration school because teachers rewarded additive and recognized the achievement of all students. Demonstration school teachers recognized the achievements of their top students but equally valued the effort all students exerted on academic tasks. Students felt they were important membersized theol communities when they were involved with extracurricular activities and appreciated that their demonstration school teachers equally recognized the contributions of the highest scoring athletes, team players, and fans on the sidelines. Edushtarts promote participation in academic and social activities as higher levels of school participation have been linked to a number of positive outcomes, including greater academic achievement, lower rates of school dropout, and increased involvementicial activities during early adulthood (Simeonsson, Carlson, Huntington, Strutz McMillen, & Brent, 2001).

Support the use of assistive technology dents reported that they were positively impacted by the use of assistive technology assisted them completing gradelevel work and acted as a scaffold enabling students to complete academic tasks they would otherwise be unable to complete. Participants reported that when provided alongside effective instruction, assistive technoloen/or bed to comperate for studes€ weaknesses in the areas of reading fluency, reading comprehension, grammar, spelling, organizational skills, and handwriting abilities. These findings are consistent with a research study which demonstrated that Kurzweil improved acadel him reptions and the functional task performance of high school students with learn Stouldies, as demonstrated by studee ability to fill out the educational information and work experience sections of a job application form (Chiang & Jacobs) 2 and the research which demonstrates that WordQ enhances spelling accuracy (Evmenova, Graff, Marci Kinas, & Behrmann, 2010 and written productivity Tam, Archer, Mays, & Skidmore, 2005). In addition, outlining programs and concept mapping softwanehelp with planning, and word processing, spell check, word prediction, and speech recognition can offer support for transcription and revision provided in conjunction with effective strategy instruction (MacArthur, 2009). While assistive technology the potential to support the needs of struggling learners, MacArthur (2009) cautions that technology by itself has little impact on learning; in order for students to take advantage of the capabilities of the technology, technology must be embedited wavelity instruction.

Participants felassistive technology had a positive impon stude selfperceptions and motivation because they could produce higher quality work without the assistance of other educators. Students were confident betway seculd complete their work with technology and they took pride in teaching their peers and teachers to use it. Students attributed their successful assistive technology experience to the competency of the demonstration school teachers. Students bedefition the assistive technology training at the demonstration school because technology instruction was embedded within subject area instruction and students learned how to use the technology to support homework completion. It is important for assisti**eeh** nology use to be embedded within class instruction as students may not consider the various ways technology can support task completion.

Students wished they received additional technology training in high school and that their high school teachers were nore knowledgeable about the technology ese findings are consistent with the research literature, as there appears to be serious shortcomings in the amount of assistive technology training provide teachers receive. In Chmiliar€s study (2007), the jority of teachers reported that they had no opportunity for preservice training in the area of assistive technology and were unskilled or needed support.Nelson (2006) recommends that all educators who support an individual student should be knowledge about that child€s assistive technology and be able to embed the use of the technology within instruction.

Participants reported that students experienced difficulty due to the location of their assistive technology and their inability to use ther**telcing**y for extracurricular activities and homework completion. To promote effective use of technology, educators should employ the SETT Framework (Zabala, 2005), by taking the setting, environment, task, and tools into consideration when making assistivenology related decisions. When recommending the use of assistive technology, one must consider how assistive technology training can be provided in order for students, parents, and teachers to become competent with the technology, as well as environ**alefactors** that will continue to support the child in using technology (Specht, Howell, & Young, 2007). Implications for Transitions, Inclusive Schools, and Assistive Technology

There is little empirical research examining the transition from middle stopoo high school for the general education population (Akos & Galassi, 2004). However, the available research demonstrates thettransition from elementary to secondary school is commonly associated with dips in academic achievement and stelf m, an increased social anxiety (Alspaugh, 1998; Eccles et al., 1993). Stute and her relationships are critical to educational success; however, upon transitioning into high school, student teacher relationships become less positive, personal, supportive aring (Eccles et al., 1993). This is unfortunate, for according to Barber and Olsen (2004), the perceived change in studert eacher relationships and student support in high school significantly explained changes intusted of academic, personal, interpersonal functioning achievement.

The inclusive classroom is one of the available placement options for students with exceptionalities. Students with learning disabilities may receive educational services in segregated classes; however, with etxception of the current study, research has yet to examine factors that support students with learning disabilities€ transition from segregated learning environments into inclusive classrooms. In order to support the transition from middle school to Higschool, AndersonlacobsSchramm, and Splittgerber (2000\$uggest the implementation of individualized transition plans, planning teams across schools, student driven goals and problems assessments, and ongoing evaluation of the transition process. **Stuts!** who are struggling academically may require additional support with the transition and may benefit from being taught study skills, and being provided with academic tutors, time management classes, and further discussion of academic expectations (DeimetGoalen, & Rudduck, 2000).

The demonstration school strove to highlight the abilities of students with learning disabilities and supported their unique learning needs through a challenging and enriching program. Embedded within effective instruction use of assistive technology helped

students gain access to grade level curriculum, and through the provision of a stable and nurturing learning environment school was responsive to the needs of the whole child. Participants reported that studebenefited from their attendance at the demonstration school; however, students preferred to live with their family and within their community.

Due to financial constraints, components of the demonstration school, such as the small class sizes and residual programming, cannot be replicated within neighbourhood schools. However, this study highlights specific components of the demonstration school which can be implemented in inclusive classrooms. Providing additional support on assistive technology, avell as extended portunities to develop stude fearning strategies, seladvocacy, and social skills, may help students with learning disabilities have their learning needs met within their community schools.

Assistive technology can be of assistant individuals who struggle with writing (MacArthur, 2009), as the technology can minize studer elearning difficulties by supporting their areas of strength (Behrmann & Marci Kinas, 2002). Assistive software can help to circumvent difficulties with edoding so that students can complete subject area work without struggling to read. When employed by a supportive teacher, assistive technology may help students obtain success in reading and writing (Fasting & Halaas Lyster, 2005), and when embedded with effective strategy instruction, assistive technology provides the means for students to complete organized and well written assignments that are reflective of their knowledge and skills (MacArthur, 2009).

Provisions surrounding special education intable were introduced by the Education Amendment Act of 1980 (Bill 82) which states that it is f the responsibility of school boards to provide (or to purchase from another board) special education programs

and special education services for their exce**ptist**udents, (paragraph 7 of subsection 170(1)). Funding for equipment for students with special needs is provided by The Ontario Ministry of Education. The Special Equipment Amount (SEA) was developed to provide financial assistance to conduct needs **assest**s, identify appropriate technology resources, and to provide assistive technology services. This funding enables staff and students to obtain training on the computers and software programs. Upon obtaining the technology becomes the school board **Es**ponsibility to ensure that the equipment is functioning properly, that it is meeting students (2007). The Ontario Ministry of Education has developed mandates upport assistive technology training and service initiatives, and as a result, one can hope that current educators will see the benefits of assistive technology that were outlined in this study.

Individualized education plans have increasingly recondend the use of assistive technology to aid the written expression of students with learning disabilities (Behrmann & Marci Kinas, 2002; Lewis, 1998). When recommended by a qualified professional, the SEA Per Pupil Amount is used to purchase computer are often computing related devices, as well as fund training and technician costs for SEA equipment. Although recent regulations have included technology mandates and funding to support a variety of technology training and service initiations sistive technology is often not utilized to its full potential because the issues surrounding assistive technology service delivery are complex and involve much more than the basic operation of the technology (QIAT, 2000). This study addresses some of the complex aftassistive technology service delivery, identifies some previously unknown benefits of the technology, and provides strategies to assist educators in further supporting its use. Teaches Eknowledge of assistive technology impacts the way studepts ach its use. Teachers frequently report a lack of knowledge and skills in supporting assistive technology and this is largely a result of inadequates projece training (Chmiliar & Cheung, 2007) Preservice teachers may only be provided with a text but of assistive technology, and as a result, they cannot be expected to successfully utilize technology to meet the needs of their students (Ashton, 2005). Edyburn (2000) laments that there is a critical shortage of sign vice personnel trained the use of assistive technology, and as a result, there is a critical need to incorporate technology-into pre service training for teachers and educational assistants. Expert support needs to be available during the acquisition of the assistive technologring the training of staff and students, and foolfow-up evaluations of studes progress. This initial training and continued support for students and their instructors is crucial to ensuring that technology can be sed as intended to meet stude and their instructors is crucial to ensuring that technology 2000).

Assistive technology programs continue to be offered based on the advice of the Ontario Software Acquisition Program Advisy Committee, and ne can hope that educators will become familiar with the programs and gravitate towards their use. However, one should not be too optimistic as Edyburn (2000) laments that the gap between the potential of assistive technology and current practices has long been a source of frustration for parents and policyatkers. Providing students with assistive technology does not result in improvements in achievement; the potential of the technology will only be seen if teachers have sufficient training to know how to integrate the use of technology within the curriculumand there is sufficient technical support.

185

Strengths and Limitations of the Current Study

This study is novel in that it is the first investigation of the experiences of students with learning disabilities as they transition from a provincial demoinstratchool and reintegrate into their local inclusive classroolNisneteen students recently graduated from one provincial demonstration school and transitioned into their neighbourhood schools;12 of these students consented to participate in this **strady**e generalizations cannot be made from the **data**e to the small sample size and the fact that participants attended one demonstration schoole; no e cannot **infat** the use of assistive technology or any other component of the demonstration school solely contributed to gains in academic setfoncept and setfeported gains in school motivation and achievement.

The demonstration school provided small clasessizhich promoted stronger teacherstudent relationships, individualized instruction, and academic success. In addition, the school provided a supportive learning environment where students were taught how to effectively use their assistive technologyiande evenings counsels were available to provide social skill instruction and individualized homework help. Due to the specialized nature of the demonstration school programming, one cannot assume that the findings of this study would generalize to extract the settings.

New school environments provide new social comparison groups and new standards of evaluation provoke adolescents-tovatuate their competencies (Harter, 1990a). At elementary school there were only a few students with learnatoglidiess; however, at the demonstration school students realized they were not alone as they were surrounded by peers who also had learning disabilities. may have impacted studet

perceptions of their ability, for according to the frog pond effect self-concept is associated with highability environments, whereas high setincept is reported in low ability settings (Smith & Nagle, 1995). Pressley, Gaskins, Solic, and Collins (2006) investigated how one school produced high achievement innstante previously failed, and reported that many factors, including the use of evideanced literacy instruction, strategy instruction, conceptually focused content instruction, motivational techniques, weltrained teachers, skilled counters, and small class sizes, jointly promoted academic achievement?, such as smaller class sizes, effective remedial instruction that a variety of factors such as smaller class sizes, effective remedial instruction that brought basic academic skills withinrage ranges, strategy instruction, social skills instruction, and a new social comparison group are likely to have jointly contributed to increases in confidence levels, academi**perd**eptions, school motivation, and perceived academic abilities.

Participants reported that assistive technology had a positive impact on their or their child s academic achievement. However, as all participants came from the same school one cannot assume that similar findings would occur in other school settings. The students in this study were selected to attend the demonstration school because they had very weak academic achievement, particularly in readinity mostly grade equivalents of 1 to 3 on standardized tests (demonstration school website) mentary school students earning disabilities prevented them from experiencing academic success; however, they had great learning potential, and as a result, one would expect that the use of assistive technology would have a positive impact on their academic achievement. demonstration school was known for its superb implementation of assistive technology because the educators understood the technology and provided students with the most up

to-date training on the technology. Other students with learning disabilities to a provided with adequate training on the use of assistive technology; however, similar findings may notensue as the technology was only one component which helped students become successful at the demonstration school.

Future research.

Due to the highcosts associated with maintaining demonstration schools, only a few students with learning disabilities are able to benefit from these programs. Despite the equity issues which surround determining which students have the opportunity to benefit from the dmonstration schools, little research has been conducted on these programs. Participants in this study reported that the strategies and supports provided by the demonstration school retend in improvements in studes elf-concept, school motivation, and academic achievement, and these heightened stuffs, motivation levels, and achievement outcomes transitioned with studentsheir neighbourhood schools Future research should investigate the effectiveness of demonstration schools in order to determine whether the benefits of these programs compensate for removing students from their families, the communities in which they live, and the inclusive general education classroom. Additional longitudinal research is needed in order to better understandhow former demonstration school students continue to fare in high school and in future employment or postecondary educational settings. Students who were recommended to attend the demonstration school, but declined to attend because of the residential corponent, could act as a control group. This comparison group would enable researchers to better understand the degree to which attending a provincial demonstration school impacts future educational outcomes and career aspirations.

Only a few researchersæconducting systematic, wedesigned research that can lead to confident conclusions on how the use of assistive technology affects learning (Gersten &Edyburn, 2007MacArthur, Ferretti, Okolo, & Cavalier, 2001); addition, little research has been reducted on the use of assistive technology in inclusive schools (Ashton, 2005), and there has been limited research on the impact of assistive technology on academic setfoncept and school motivation. The demonstration school provided an ideal environmentor assistive technology use as teachers were familiar with these programs and knew how to facilitate their use within the general education curriculum. Participants reported that when used in a supportive school environment, assistive technology can have positive impact on academic setfincept and school motivation. In order to make informed decisions about the selection and use of assistive technology, additional research should investigate strategies to better support students in their use of assistive technology in the general education classroom.

References

- Ahrens, K., DuBois, D.L., Lozano, P., & Richardson, L.P. (2011) turally acquired mentoring relationships and young adult outcomesnamong adolescents with learning disabilities Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 245), 207-216. doi:10.1111/j.15405826.2010.00318.x
- Akos, P., & Galassi, J. P. (2004). Middle and high school transitions as viewed by students, parents, d teachers?rofessional School Counseling,47, 212221.
- Alspaugh, J. W. (1998). Achievement loss associated with the transition to middle school and high schoolThe Journal of Educational Research, **20**,25.

doi:10.1080/00220679809597572

Andelman, H. S., & Taylor, L. (1990). Intrinsic motivation and school misbehaviour: Some intervention implicationsournal of Learning Disabilities, 235,41-550.

doi:10.1177/002221949002300903

Anderman, E. M. (1998). The middle school experience: Effects on the math and science achievement of adolescents with Libuurnal of Learning Disabilities, **3(2)**, 128

138.<u>doi:10.1177/0022219498031002</u>03

Anderman, E. M. (2002). School effects on psychological outcomes during adolescence. Journal of Educational Psychology, 9795-809. <u>doi:10.1037//0022</u>

<u>0663.94.4.79</u>5

Anderman, L.H. (2003). Academic and social perceptions as predictors of change in middle school students' sense of school belonging.Journal of Experimental Education, 72(1), 5-22. doi:10.1080/00220970309600877

- Anderman, L. H., & Freeman, T. M. (2004). Students' sense of belonging in school. In M. Maehr & P. Pintrich (Eds.), dvances in motivation and achievement: Vol. 13. Motivating students, improving sorbls: The legacy of Carol Midgley (pp. 263). Oxford: Elsevier, JAI.
- Anderman, E. M., & Maehr, M. L. (1994). Motivation and schooling in the middle gradesReview of Educational Research, **287**-309.<u>doi:10.2307/117069</u>6
- Anderman, E. M., Maehr, M. L., & Midgley, C. (1999). Declining motivation after the transition to middle school: Schools can make a different metric and Development in Education, 3/231-147.
- Anderman, E. M., & Midgley, Q(1997). Changes in achievement goal orientations, perceived academic competence, and grades across the transition televieldle schools.Contemporary Educational Psychology, **22**9298.

doi:10.1006/ceps.1996.0926

Anderman, L. H., Patrick, H., & Ryan, A. M. (2004). Creating adaptive motivational environments in the middle gradesiddle School Journal, 3(5), 33-39.

doi:10.1016/j.cdpsych.2004.02.002

- Anderson, L. W., Jacobs, J., Schramm, S., & Splittgerber, F. (2000School transitions: Beginning of the end or a new beginningernational Journal of Educational Research, 3(4), 325339. doi:10.1016/S08830355(00)000243
- Arowosafe, D. S., & Irvin, J. L. (1992). Transition to a middle level school: What kids say.Middle School Journal, 2(2), 15-19.
- Ashton, T. M. (2005). Perceived knowledge, attitudes, and clogelse of AT use in Special Education Journal of Special Education Technology (22), 60-63.

- Aune, E. (1991). A transition model for postsecondary nd students with learning disabilities.Learning Disabilities Research and Practice,167-187.
- Baird, G.L., Scott, W. D., Dearing, E., & Hammill, S. K. (2009). Cognitive-self
 regulation in youth with and without learning disabilities: Academic estellcacy,
 theories of intelligence, learning vs. performance, goal preferences, and effort
 attributions.Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, (287), 881908.

doi:10.1521/jscp.2009.28.7.881

Balfanz, R. (2007). Preventing student disengagement and keeping students on the graduation path in urban **dul**e-grades schools: Early identification and effective interventionsEducational Psychologist, **4223**-235.

doi:10.1080/00461520701621079

Bandura, A. (1994). Selefficacy. In V. S. Ramachauadir (Ed.), Encyclopedia of human behavior (Vol. 4, pp. 7181). New York: Academic Press.

Bandura, A. (1997)Selfefficacy: The exercise of controllew York: Freeman.

Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for constructing setfficacy scales. In F. Pajares & T. Unda (Eds.).Selfefficacy beliefs of adolescer(tstol. 5, pp. 307337). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.

Barber, B. K., & Olsen, J. A. (2004). Assessing the transitions to middle school and high school.Journal of Adolescent Researd 19, 330.

doi:10.1177/0743558403258113

Barber, B. K., & Olsen, J. A. (1997). Socialization in context: Connection, regulation, and autonomy in the family, school, and neighborhood, and with patents and of Adolescent Research, (22), 287-315. doi:10.1177/0743554897122008

- Barry, J., & Wise, B.J. (1996). Fueling inclusion through technology: Students with disabilities can rise to new heights with iatise technology. The School Administrator, 53(4), 2427.
- Bartko, W. T., & Eccles, J. S. (2003). Adolescent participation in structured and unstructured activities: A persomiented analysis Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 32233241. doi: 00472891/03/080@233/0
- Baumeister, R. F., Campbell, J. D., Krueger, J. I., & Vohs, K. D. (2003). Does high self esteem cause better performance, interpersonal suc**appi**gess, or healthier lifestyles?Psychological Science in the Public Interest**1-4**,4.

doi:10.1111/15291006.01431

- Bear, G. G., Clever, A., & Proctor, W. A. (1991). Spetfrceptions of nonhanchipped children and children with learning disabilities in integrated classes Journal of Special Education, 24,10-426. doi:10.1177/002246699102400403
- Bear, G. G., Minke, K. M., GriffinS. M., & Deemer, S. A. (1998). Achievementated perceptions of children with learning disabilities and normal achievement: Group and developmental differencesournal of Learning Disabilities, 3(1), 91-104. <u>doi:10.1177/002221949803100109</u>
- Bear, G. G., Minke, K. M., & Manning, M. A. (2002). Setoncept of students with learning disabilities: A metanalysis. School Psychology Review, (3), 405427.
- Behrmann, M., & Marci Kinas, J. (2002) ssistive technology for students with mild disabilities: Update 2002 ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED463595)
- Bender, W. N. (1998) Learning disabilities: Characteristics, identification, and teaching strategies (6th ed.). Needham Heights, MA: **Pse**n.

- Birch, S. H., & Ladd, G. W. (1997). The teachdenild relationship and children€s early school adjustmentlournal of School Psychology, 355,-79. doi:10.1016/S002-2 4405(96)0002-5
- Blackhurst, A. E. (2005). Perspectives on applications of technology in the field of learning disabilitiesLearning Disability Quarterly, 2(22), 175-178.

doi:10.2307/1593622

- Botas, G., & Padeliadu, \$2003). Goal orientations and reading comprehension strategy use among students with and without reading difficul**ties** rnational Journal of Educational Research, 2**9**77-495.
- Bouffard, T., & Couture, N. (2003). Motivational profile and academic achievent among students enrolled in different schooling traEksucational Studies, 29,9-38. doi:10.1080/03055690303270
- Brinckerhoff, L. C. (1993). Seladvocacy: A critical skill for college studes with learning disabilitiesFamily and Community Health, 123-33.
- Brunello-Prudencio, L. A. (2001). Knowledge and communication skills training for high school students with learning disabilities for the acquisition of and/focacy skills. Dissertaton Abstracts International, Section A Humanities and Social Sciences, 6(24-A), US: University Microfilms Internationa(UMI No. AAINQ58985).
- Bringharm, N., Morocco, C. C., Clay, K., & Zigmond, N. (2006). What makes a high school a good high school forustents with disabilities.earning Disabilities Research and Practice, (23), 184190. doi:10.1111/j.154@5826.2006.00217.x
- Bru, E., Stornes, T., Munthe, E., & Thuen, E. (2010). StuteRterceptions of Teacher Support Across the Transition from Primary to Secondary Sc**6oa**hdinavian

Journal of Educational Research, (6), 519 533.

doi:10.1080/00313831.2010.522842

- Bryan, T. (1997). Assessing the personal and social status of students with learning disabilities.Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, **62**, 76.
- Bryant, D. P., Bryant, B. R., & Raskind, M. H. (1998). Using assistive technology to enhance the skills of usdents with learning disabilities tervention in School and Clinic, 34(1), 5358. doi:10.1177/105345129803400109
- Bryant, D. P., Erin, E., Lock, R., & Allan, J. M. (1998). Infusing a teapheparation program in learning disabilities with assistive technology/urnal of Learning Disabilities, 31(1), 55-66. doi:10.1177/002221949803100106
- Burden, R. A. (2008). Is dyslexia necesilsyaarssociated with negative feelings of self worth? A review and implications for future researDhyslexia, 14188196. doi:10.1002/dys.371
- Cameron, J., & Pierce, W. D. (1994). Reinforcement, reward intrinsic motivation: A metaanalysis Review of Educational Research, 66;3:423.

doi:10.3102/00346543064003363

Cantin, S., & Boivin, M. (2004). Change and stability in children€s so**tia**brheand self-perceptions during transition from elementary to junior high school. International Journal of Behavioral Developme28(6), 561570.

Cemalcilar, Z. (2010). Schools as socialisation contexts: Understanding the impact of school climate factors on students€ sense of school belon/gipptjed
Psychology: An International Review,(29, 243272.doi:10.1111/j.1464
0597.2009.00389.x

- Chapman, J. W. (1988). Learning disadbchildren€s sedfonceptsReview of Educational Research, 5847-371.doi:10.2307/1170259
- Chiang, H., & Jacobs, K. (2009). Effect of computersed instruction on students€-self perception and functional task performanc@isability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology(2), 106118.<u>doi:10.1080/174831008026136</u>93
- Chmiliar, L. (2007). Perspectives on assistive technology: What teableats, professionals and speech language pathologists have Descylopmental Disabilities Bulletin, 3\$1), 1-17.
- Chmiliar, L., & Cheung, B. (2007). Assistive technology training for teachers Innovation and accessibility onlin@evelopmental Disabilies Bulletin, 3\$1), 18-28.
- Clever, A., Bear, G., & Juvonen, J. (1992). Discrepancies between competence and importance in selfperceptions of children in integrated classer Journal of Special Education, 26,25-138. doi:10.1177/002246699202600201
- Cohen, J. (1988) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral scien (288 sed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007 Research methods inducation (8 ed.). NY: Routledge<u>doi:10.3108/beej.10.</u>r1

Conley, T. D., Ghavami, N., VonOhlen, J., & Foulkes, P. (2007). General and domain specific selfesteem among regular education and specialcation students. Journal of Applied Social Psychology,(**37**, 775789.<u>doi:10.1111/j.1559</u> <u>1816.2007.00185</u>.x CorneliusWhite, J. (2007)Learnercentered teachestudent relationshipsre effective:

A metaanalysis Review of Educational Resear **dr**,(1), 113143.

doi:10.3102/003465430298563

- Cosden, M., Elliott, K., Noble, S., & Kelemen, E. (1999). Surdderstanding and self esteem in children with learning disabilitiesearning Disability Quarterly, 2(4), 279-290.doi:10.2307/1511262
- Cotterell, J. (1992). School size as a factor in adolescents' adjustment to the transition t secondary school. The Journal of Early Adolescence, (1), 2845.

doi:10.1177/0272431692012001002

- Cresswell, J. W. (2007Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches, second editionThousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Darling, N., Caldwell, L. L., & Smith, R. (2005). Participation in schbased
 extracurricular activities and adolescent adjustmatrnal of Leisure Research,
 37, 51-76. doi:10.1007/s1096405-72668
- Day, H. I., & Jutai, J. (1996) The Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale [Manual]. London: University of Western Ontario.
- Deci, E. L., Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., & Ryan, R. M. (1991). Motivation in education: The set@etermination perspectivehe Educational Psychologist, 26, 325-346.<u>doi:10.1207/s15326985ep2603&4_6</u>

Demetrriou, H., Goalen, P., & Rudduck, J. (2000). Academic performance, transfer, transition and friendship: Listening to student voideternational Journal of Educational Researct 3(4), 425435. Demonstration School Website (2012). Provincial Demonstration School: Admissions Guidelines: Retrieved on October 20, 2012 from

http://www.psbnet.ca/eng/schools/files/PDDSeria_compositeprofile.pdf

- DeRosier, R., & Farber, R. S. (2005). Speech recognition software as an assistive device: A pilot study of user satisfaction and psychosocial imp**A/ct**rk, 25,125-134.
- Dev, P. C. (1997). Intrinsic motivation and academideadement: What does their relationship imply for the classroom teach Refmedial and Special Education, 18(1), 12-19. doi:10.1177/074193259701800104
- DuBois, D. L., & Flay, B. R. (2004) The heathy pursuit of selfesteem: Comment on an alternative to the Crocker and Park (2004) formula transport formula from the Bulletin, 130,415-420. doi:10.1037/003-22909.130.3.415
- DuBois, D. L., Holloway, B., Valentine, J., & Cooper, H. (2002). Effectiveness of mentoring programs for youth: A metanalytic reviewAmerican Journal of Community Psychology, 3057 197. doi:10.1023/A:101462881074
- Durrant, J. E., Cunningham, C. E., & Voelker, S. (1990). Academic, social, and general self-concepts of behavioral subgroups of learning disabled childremnal of Educational Psychology, 8257-663.doi:10.1037//00220663.82.4.657
- Eby, L. T., Allen, T. D., Evans, S. C., Ng, T., & DuBois, D. L. (2008). Does mentoring matter? A multidisciplinary metanalysis comparing mentored and mentored individuals. Journal of Vocational Behavi, 72, 254 267.

doi:10.1016/j.jvb.2007.04.005

Eccles, J. S., & Midgley, C. (1989). Stage/environment fit: Developmentally appropriate classrooms for early adolescents. In R. E. Ames & C. Affeds.), Research on motivation in education Vol 3). New York: Academic Press.

- Eccles, J. S., & Midgley, C. (1990). Changes in academic motivation arpdeset/ptions during early adolescence. In R. Montemayor, G. R. Adams, & T. P. Gullotta (Eds.), Advances in adolescent development: From childhood to adolesce/e/occe 2, pp. 134155). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Eccles, J.S., Midgley, C., Wigfiled, A., Miller Buchanan, C., Reuman, D., Flanagan, C., et al. (1993). Development during adolescence: The imp**actage**environment fit on young adolescents€ experiences in schools and in fa**rhities**ican Psychologist, 4890-101.<u>doi:10.1037/0003066X.48.2.9</u>0
- Eccles, J. S., Wigfield, A., Midgley, C., Rrean, D., Mac Iver, D., & Feldlaufer, J. (1993). Negative effects of traditional middle schools on students€ motivation. Elementary School Journal, 9353-574. doi:10.1086/461740
- Eccles, J. S., Wigfi**e**l, A., & Schifele, U. (1998). Motivation to succeed. In W. Damon & N. Eisenberg (Eds.), Andbook of child psychologyth (Sed). Vol. 3: Social, emotional and personality developm (apple. 10171095). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Edyburn, D. L. (2000). Assiste technology and students with mild disabilitiescus on Exceptional Children, (32), 1-23. doi:10.1177/073724770002500406
- Edyburn, D. L. (2009). Using research to inform practate Education Technology Practice, 11(5), 2128. doi:10.1080/09362830902805699

Edyburn, D., Gersten, R. (2007). Defining quality indicators in special education technology researcbournal of Speial Education Technology, (23), 3-18. <u>doi:10.1598/RRQ.42.1</u>.7

Ehri, L. C., Nunes, S. R., Stahl, S. A., & Willows, D. M. (2001). Systematic phonics instruction helps students learn to read: Evidence for National Reading

Panel€s metanalysis Review of Educational Research, 39,3-447.

doi:10.3102/00346543071003393

- Elbaum, B. (2002). The set foncept of students with learning disabilisti A meta analysis of comparisons across different placemeters placemeters Disabilities Research and Practice, (47), 216-226. doi:10.1111/15405826.00047
- Elbaum, B., & Vaughn, S. (2003). Setoncept and students with learning disabilities. In H. L. Swanson, K. R. Harris, & S. Graham (Edslandbook of learning disabilities(pp. 229 241). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Evmenova, A., Graff, H., Marci Kinas, J., & Behrmann, M. (2010). Word predictio programs with phonetic spelling support: Performance comparisons and impact on journal writing for students with writing difficulties.earning Disabilities Research & Practice, **26**), 170 182.<u>doi:10.1111/j.15465826.2010.00315</u>.x
- Fasting, R. B., & Halaas Lyster, S. (2005). The effects of computer technology in assisting the development of literacy in young struggling readers and spellers. European Journal of Special Needs Education(1)2021-40.

doi:10.1080/0885625042000319061

Feldlaufer, H., Midgley., C., & Eccles, J. S. (1988). Student, teacher, and observer perceptions of the classroom environment before and afteratheitton to junior high school. The Journal of Early Adolescence (2), 133156.

doi:10.1177/0272431688082003

Ferguson, P. D., & Fraser, B. J. (1998). Changes in learning environment during the transition from primary to secondary schdoe arning Environments Research, 1, 369-383. doi:10.1023/A:1009976529957 Forgrave, K. A. (2002). Assistive technology: Empowering students with legarnin disabilities. The Clearing House, **7**3), 122126.

doi:10.1080/00098650209599250

- Fredericks, J. A., AlfekLiro, C. J., Hruda, L. Z., Eccles, J. S., Patrick, H., & Ryan, A. M. (2002). A qualitative exploration of adolescents€ commitment to athletics and the arts.Journal of Adolescent Research, **6**8-97.<u>doi:10.1177/07435584021710</u>04
- Freeman, A. R., MacKinnon, J. R., & Miller, L. (20)04Assistive technology and handwriting problems: What do occupational therapists recommensed? Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy.(3), 150-160.
- Freeman, J. G., Samdal, O., Baban, A., & Bancila, D. (2012). The relationship between school perceptins and psychosomatic complains: Crossntry differences across Canada, Norway, and Romaßizhool Mental Health, 495-104.

doi:10.1007/s1231-011-9070-9

- Fulk, B. M., Bringham, F. J., & Lohan, D. A. (1998). Motivation and selégulation: A comparison of students with learning and behaviour problems edial and Special Education, 13300-309. doi:10.1177/074193259801900506
- Furrer, C., & Skinner, E. (2003). Sense of relatedness as a factor in children€s academic engagement and performandeurnal of Education Psychology, 9548-162. doi:10.1037//00220663.95.1.148

Galton, M., Morrison, I., & Pell, T. (2000). Transfer and transition in English schools. International Journal of Educational Research, (33, 341-363.

doi:10.1016/S0883355(00)000245

- Gans, A.M., Kenny, M.C., & Ghany, D.L. (2003). Comparing the **self**cept of students with and without learning disabilities ournal of Learning Disabilities, **3(6)**, 287-295. doi:10.1177/00221940303600307
- Giangreco, M. F. (2010). Utilization of teacher assistants in inclusive schools: Is it the kind of help that helping is all about about a source of the school of the scho
- Giangreco, M. F., Yuan, S., McKenzie, B.,Cameron, P., & Fialka, J. (2005). [^]Be careful what you wish for,€€: Five reasons to be concerned about the assignment of individual paraprofessionals.eaching Exceptional Children, (57), 28-34.
- Goodenow, C. (1993). Classroom belonging among early adolescent students: Relationships to motivation and achievem**dot**urnal of Early Adolescence, ,13 21-43. doi:10.1177/0272431693013001002
- Goodenow, C. (1993). The psychological sense of school membership among adolescents: Scale development and educational correlateshology in the Schools, 3079-90. <u>doi:10.1002/1526807(199301)30:1<79::AID</u>

PITS2310300113>3.0.CO2

Graham, S. (1999).Handwriting and spelling instruction for students with learning disabilities: A review.Learning Disability Quarterly, 2278-98.

doi:10.2307/1511268

Graham, S., McKeown, D., Kiuhara, S., & Harris, K. R. (2012, July 9). A -arreadaysis of writing instruction for students in the ementary grades ournal of Educational Psychology Advance online publication doi:10.1037/a0029185 Gregory, L. W. (1995). The "turnaround" process: Factors influencing the school success of urban youth. Journal of Adolescent Research (11)) 136154.

doi:10.1177/0743554895101008

- Grolnick, W. S., Kurowski, C. O., Dunlap, K. G., & Hevey, C. (2000). Parental resources and the transition to juor high. Journal of Research on Adolescence,460 488.<u>doi:10.1207/SJRA1004_05</u>
- Grolnick, W. S., Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (1991). The inner resources for school achievement: Motivational mediators of children€s perceptions of their parents. Journal of Educational Psychology, 8508517.doi:10.1037//0022

<u>0663.83.4.50</u>8

- Haager, D., Watson, C. W., & Willows, D. M. (1995). Parent, teacher, peer, and self reports of the social competence students with learning disabilities Learning Disabilities, 28205-215.<u>doi:10.1177/002221949502800403</u>
- Hall, T. E., Hughes, C. A., & Filbert, M. (2000). Computer assisted integration reading for students with learning disabilities: A research synth Estecation and Treatment of Children, 2(2), 173193.
- Hampton, N. Z., & Mason, E. (2003). Learning disabilities, gender, sources of efficacy, self-efficacy beliefs, and academichievement in high school studentsurnal of School Psychology, 4101-112. doi:10.1016/S00224405(03)000281
- Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2001). Early teaceblevild relationshipsand the trajectory of children€s school outcomes through eighth gradied Development, 7(2), 625•638.doi:10.1111/14678624.00301

- Haney, P., & Durlak, J. A. (1998). Changing sest teem in chidren and adolescents: A metaanalytic review Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 27423433.
 <u>doi:10.1207/s15374424jccp2704_6</u>
- Harter, S. (1990, a). Processes underlying adolescentos relépt formation. In R. Montemayor (Ed.), Advances in adolescent development, Vol. 2: The transition from childhood to adolescent (rep. 205239). New York: Sage.
- Harter, S. (1990, b). Self and identity development. In S. S. Feldman & G. R. Elliot (Eds.), At the threshold: The developing adolescept. (352387). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Harter, S. (1996). Teacher and classmateeinces on scholastic motivation, selfsteem, and level of voice in adolescents. In J. Juvonen & K. Wentzel (Educ)al motivation: Understanding children€s school adjustr(pept11+42). New York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Harter, S. (1999)The construction of the self: A developmental perspective. York: Guilford Press.
- Harter, S., & Jackson, B. J. (1992). Trait vs. nontrait conceptualizations of intrinsic / extrinsic motivational orientation Motivation and Emotion,16,209 230.

doi:10.1007/BF00991652

- Harter, S., Whitesell, N. R. & Junkin, L .J. (1998). Similarities and differences in domain specific and global evaluations of learnidigabled, behavially-disordered and normally-achieving adolescentAmerican Educational Research Journal (49)5 653-680. doi:10.3102/00028312035004653
- Harter, S., Whitesell, N. R., & Kowalski, P. (1992). ilridual differences in the effects of educational transitions on young adolescents€ perceptions of competence and

motivational orientationAmerican Educational Research Journal, 297-807.

doi:10.2307/**1**63407

- Hepler, J. B. (1997). Evaluating a social skills program for children with learning disabilities.Social Work With Groups, **23**), 21-36. <u>doi:10.1300/J009v20n03_03</u>
- Hetzroni, O. E., & Shriebeß. (2004). Word processing as an assistive technology tool for enhancing academic outcomes of students with writing disabilities in the general classroom/ournal of Learning Disabilities, **3(2)**, 143-154.

doi:10.1177/00222194040370020501

- Heyman, W. B. (1990). The septerception of a learning disability and its relationship to academic selfconcept and selfesteemJournal of Learning Disabilities, **28**), 472-475. doi:10.1177/002221949002300804
- Higgins, E. L., & Raskind, M. H. (1995). Compensatory effectiveness of speech recognition on the written composition performance of postsecondary students with learning disabilitiesLearning Disability Quarterly, 18159174.

doi:10.2307/1511202

- Higgins, E. L., & Raskind, M. H. (2000). Speaking to read: A comparison of continuous vs. discrete speech recognition in the remediation of ilegandisabilities. Journal of Special Education Technology, 115, 30.
- Higgins, E. L., & Raskind, M. H. (2004). Speech recognitions ed and automaticity programs to help students with severe reading and spelling problemals of Dyslexia, 542), 365392. doi:10.1007/s1188004-0017-9
- Hitchings, W. E., Luzzo, D. A., Ristow, R., Horvath, M., Retish, P., & Tanners, A. (2001). The career development needs of college students with learning

disabilities: In their own words_earning Disabilities Research and Practice, 16, 8-17. doi:10.1111/0938982.00002

- Howell, D. C. (2013)Statistical methods for psychologth, **&**dition.Belmont, CA: Cengage Learning
- Hughes, W., & Dawson, R. (1995). Memories of school: Adult dyslexics recall their school daysSupport for Learning, 1(4), 181•184.<u>doi:10.1111/j.1467</u> 9604.1995.tb00037.x
- Humphrey, N. (2002). Teacher and pupil ratings of-**est**éem in developmental dyslexia.British Journal of Special Education, (29, 2936. doi:10.1111/1467 8527.00234
- Janiga, S. J., & Costentber, V. (2002). The transition from high school to postsecondary education for students with learning disabilities: A survey of college service coordinators Journal of Learning Disabilities, 354,62-468, 479.

doi:10.1177/00222194020350050601

- Johnson, B. (2008). Teacher student relationships which promote resilience at school: A micro-level analysis of students€ vie®sitish Journal of Guidance & Counselling, 3(4), 385398. doi:10.1080/03069880802364528
- Johnson, L.S. (2009). School contexts and student belonging: A mixed methods study of an innovative high schooT.he School Community Journal, (19, 99-118.
- Katz, J. (2012)Teaching to Diversity: The Three Block Model for Universal Design for Learning.Winnipeg, MB: Portage and Main Press.
- Katz, J., & Porath, M. (2011). Teaching to Diversity: Creating compassionate learning communities for diverse elementary school studenternational Journal of Special Education, 20, 2941.

Kavale, K. A., & Forness, S. R. (1996). Social skill deficits and learning disabilities: A metaanalysis Journal of Learning Disabilities, 2226-237.

doi:10.1177/002221949602900301

Kavale, K. A., & Mostert, M. P. (2004). Social skills interventions for individuals with learning disabilities Learning Disability Quarterly, 2(71), 31-43.

doi:10.2307/1593630

- Kistner, J., Haskett, M., White, K., & Robbins, F. (1987). Perceived competence and self worth of LD and normally achieving students arning Disability Quarterly, 10, 37-44. doi:10.230/1510753
- Kistner, J., & Osborne, M. (1987). A longitudinal study of LD children۾**salf**uations. Learning Disability Quarterly, 10258-266.<u>doi:10.2307/151059</u>9
- Klassen, R. (2002). A question of datation: A review of the selfficacy beliefs of students with learning disabilitiesearning Disability Quarterly, 2(2), 88-102. <u>doi:10.2307/1511276</u>
- Klassen, R. M., Krawchuk, L. L., Lynch, S. L., & Jaai, S. (2008). Procrastination and motivation of undergraduates with learning disabilities: A mimeethods inquiry. Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, (23), 137-147.<u>doi:10.1111/j.1540-5826.2008.00271</u>.x
- Kloomok, S., & Cosden, M. (1994). Sedoncept in children with learning disabilities: The relationship between global selfincept, academic *f* discounting,, nonacademic selfoncept, and perceived social suppletarning Disablity Quarterly, 17,140-153.<u>doi:10.2307/151118</u>3
- Knestinga, K., Hokanson, C., & Waldronc, N. (2008). Settling in: Facilitating the transition to an inclusive middle school for students with mild disidesilit

International Journal of Disability, Development, and Education (3), 5265 276. doi:10.1080/10349120802268644

Lackaye, T. D., & Margalit, M. (2006). Comparisons of achievement, effortself perceptions among students with learning disabilities and their peers from different achievement groupsournal of Learning Disabilities, **3(9)**, 432446.

doi:10.1177/0022219406039005005

La Greca, A. M., & Stone, W. L. (1990). LD status and achievement: Confounding variables in the study of children€s social statusesteffem and behavioral functioning.Journal of Learning Disabilities, **2**(8), 483490.

doi:10.1177/002221949002300806

- Lange, A. A., McPhillips, M., Mulhern, G., & Wylie, J. (2006). Assistive software tools for secondaryevel students with literacy difficulties ournal of Special Education Technology, 23), 13-22.
- Langenkamp, A. (2009). Following different pathways: Social integration, achievement, and the transition to high school/merican Journal of Education, 1(116), 69-97.

doi:10.1086/605101

LaRusso, MD., Romer, D., & Selman, R. L. (2008). Teachers as builders of respectful school climates: Implications for adolescent drug use norms and depressive symptoms in high school ournal of Youth and Adolescence, **386** 398.

doi:10.1007/s1096407-92124

Lavoie, R. (2005)It€s so much work to be your friend: Helping the child with learning difficulties find social succes§imon and Schuster Inc.

- Learning Disabilities Association of Canada. (2002) ficial definition of learning disabilities. Retrieved on June 1, 2012 from the transmore interval and th
- Learning Disability Association of Canada. (2007)uting a Canadian face on learning disabilities.Retrieved on September 10, 2012 from

http://www.pacfold.ca/what_is/index.shtml

- Learning Disability Association of Canada. (2012, MayLe)arning disabilities at a glance.Retrieved on June 1, 2012 from the state of the
- Legault, L., GreenDemers, I., & Pelletier, L. (2006). Why do high school studleards motivation in the classroom? Toward an understanding of academic amotivation and the role of social support burnal of Educational Psychology, (39, 567 582.doi:10.1037/00220663.98.3.56
- Lerner, J., and Johns, B. (2012) arning disabilities and related mild disabilities (12 Ed.). Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Letrello, T. M., & Miles, D. D. (2003). The transition from middle school to high school: Students with and without learnin**g**selbilities share their perception**T**she Clearing House, **76**(4), 212214.<u>doi:10.1080/00098650309602006</u>
- Lewis, R. B. (1998). Assistive technology and learning distables: Today s realities ar tomorrow€s promisescournal of Learning Disabilities, 3(1), 16-26.

doi:10.1177/002221949803100103

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985) Naturalistic inquiry. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

doi:10.1016/01471767(85)900628

- Litner, B. (2003). Teens with ADHD: The challenge of high schoold & Youth Care Forum, 32(3), 137158.<u>doi:10.1023/A:10233503084</u>85
- Lock, R. H., & Layton, C. A. (2001). Succeeding in postsecondary education through self-advocacy.Teaching Exceptional Children, 366-71.
- Long, L., MacBlain, S., & MacBlain, M. (2007). Supporting students with dyalekthe secondary level: An emotional model of literatryternational Reading Association, 5(12), 124134. doi:10.1598/JAAL.51.2.4
- Long, J. F., Monoi, S. Harper, B., Knoblauch, D., & Murphy, P.200(7). Academic motivation and achievement among urban adolesclerthan Education, 42 196•222.<u>doi:10.1177/00420859073004</u>47
- Losier, G. A., & Vallenard, R. J. (1994). The temporal relation between perceived competence and settletermined motivation. Journal of Social Psychology, 134, 793-801. doi:10.1080/00224545.1994.9923014
- Loukas, A., & Robinson, S. (2004). Examining the decating role of perceived school climate in early adolescent adjustme to turnal of Research on Adolescence, 14, 209-233.doi:10.1111/j.15327795.2004.01402004.x
- Lundberg, I. (1995).The computer as a tool of remediation in the education of students with reading disabilities. A theory ased approachearning Disabilities Quarterly, 18(2), 8999. doi:10.2307/1511197
- Lynch, R. T., & Gussel, L. (1996). Disclosure and set/fvocacy regarding disability related needs: Strategies to maximize integration in postsecondary education. Journal ofCounseling and Development, 7362-357.<u>doi:10.1002/j.1556</u> <u>6676.1996.tb01879.x</u>

MacArthur, C. A. (1996). Using technology to enhance the writing process of students with learning disabilities Journal of Learning Disabilities, **2(9)**, 344354.

doi:10.1177/002221949602900403

- MacArthur, C.A. (1998). Word processing with speech synthesis and word prediction: Effects on the dialogue journal writing of students with learning disabilities. Learning Disability Quarterly, 211-16. doi:10.2307/1511342
- MacArthur, C. A. (2000). New tools for writing: Assistive technology for students with writing difficulties. Topics in Language Disorders, (20), 85-100.

doi:10.1097/000113620002004000008

MacArthur, C. (2009). Reflections on research on writing and technology for struggling writers.Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, (24, 93-103.

doi:10.1111/j.154 5826.2009.00283.x

- MacArthur, C. A., Ferretti, R. P., Okolo, C. M., & Cavalier, A. R. (2001). Technology applications for students with literacy problems: A critical review Elementary School Journal, 10(3), 273301.<u>doi:10.1086/49966</u>9
- MacMaster, K., Donovan, L. A., & MacIntyre, P. D. (2002). The effects of being diagnosed with a learning disability on children€sestteemChild Study Journal, 32(2), 101-108.
- Maehr, M. L., & Midgley, C. (1991). Enhancing student motivation: A schoode approachEducational Psychologist, 26,99-427.

doi:10.1207/s15326985ep2603&4_9

 Mamlin, N., Harris, K. R., & Case, L. P. (2001). A methodological analysis of research on locus of control and learning disabilities: Rethinking a common assumption.
 Journal of Special Education, (#), 214225.<u>doi:10.1177/002246690103400404</u>

- Marks, S.R., & MacDermid, S.M. (1996). Multiple roles and the self: A theory of role balance Journal of Marriage and the Family, 5**8**,17-432.<u>doi:10.2307/35350</u>6
- Marsh, H. W., & Craven, R. G. (2005). Reciprocal effects of coefficept and performance from a multidimensional perspective: Beyond seductive pleasure and unidimensional perspectives on Psychological Science, 33-163. doi:10.1111/j.17456916.2006.00010.x
- Marsh, H. W., & Martin, A. J. (2010) Academicself-concept and academicachievement British Journal of Educational Psychology,,859-77.

doi:10.1348/000709910X503501

- Marsh, H. W., & Yeung, A. S. (1997)Causal effects of academic selfincept on academic achievement: Structural equation models of longitudinal Udantanal of Educational Psychology, **89**), 41-54. doi:10.1037//00220663.89.1.41
- Martin, A. J. (2002). Motivation and academic resilience: Developing a model of student enhancementAustralian Journal of Education, 13;4-49.
- Martin, A. J. (2003). The student motivation scale: Further testing of an instrument that measures school students€ motiva#corstralian Journal of Education, 47), 88-106.
- Martin, A. J., (2007). Examining a multidimensional model of student motivation and engagement using a construct validation approaditish Journal of Educational Psychology, 77413-440.<u>doi:10.1348/000709906X1180</u>36
- Martin, A. J. (2009) The Motivation and Engagement Sc 2018 Edition). Sydney, Australia: Lifelong Achievement Group (ww.lifelongachievement.co)m

- Martin, A. J., & Dowson, M. (2009). Interpersonal relationships, motivation, engagement and achievement: Yields for **they**, current issues and practiceeview of Educational Research, **79**27-365. <u>doi:10.3102/00346543083255</u>83
- Mart...‡nez, R. S., Aricak, O. T., Graves, M. S., Regezak, J., & Nellis, L. (2011). Changes in perceived social support and socioemotional adjustment across the elementary to junior high school transitionournal of Youth Adolescence, 40, 519-530.doi:10.1007/s1096-010-9572-z
- Mather, N., & Goldstein, S. (2001) earning disabilities and challenging behaviors: A guide to intervention and classroom managemeattimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
- McGhie-Richmond, D., Specht, J., Youn@,, & Katz, J. (2011, May)Assistive technologies: New directions for research and pract@genposium presented at the annual conference of the Canadian Society for Studies in Education, Fredericton, NB.
- McNulty, M. A. (2003). Dyslexia and the life courskeurnal of Learning Disabilities, 36(4), 363381.doi:10.1177/00222194030360040701
- Meltzer, L., Katzir, T., Miller, L., Reddy, R., & Roditi, B. (2004). Academic-self perceptions, effort, and strategy use in students withing disabilities: Changes over time.Learning Disabilities Research and Practice (2) 99-108.

doi:10.1111/j.15465826.2004.00093.x

Meltzer, L. J., Reddy, R., Pollica, L. S., RodBi, Sayer, J., & Theokas, C. (2004). Positive and negative septerceptions: Is there a cyclical relationship between teachers€ and students€ perceptions of effort, strategy use, and academic performance Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, **39**, 44.

doi:10.1111/j.15465826.2004.00087.x

- Merchant, D. J., & Gajar, A. (1997). A review of the literature on **add** for components in transition programs for students with learning difference. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 8223-231. <u>doi:10.1016/S1052263(97)000056</u>
- Mertens, D. M. (2005)Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative and mixed meth(2018 ed.). London: Sage publications.
- Midgley, C., Maehr, M. L., Hicks, L., Urdan, T. U., Roeser, R. W., Anderman, E., & Kaplan, A. (1995)Patterns of Adaptive Learning Survey (PALS) man Anah Arbor: University of Michigan.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994)Qualitative data analysi(2nd ed.). London: Sage publication.
- Mizelle, N. B. (2005). Moving out of middle scho@ducational Leadership56-60.
- Mizelle, N. B., & Irvin, J. L. (2000). Transition from middle school to high school. Middle School Journal, 3157-61.
- Montali, J., & Lewandowski, L. (1996). Bimodal reading: Benefits of a talking computer for average and less skilled read**ers**urnal of Learning Disabilities, **2**(9), 271 297.doi:10.1177/002221949602900305

Montgomery, M. S. (1994). Setfoncept and children with learning disabilities: Observed child concordance across six contelependent domainsournal of Learning Disabilities, 27,254 262. doi:10.1177/002221949402700407

- Mull, C. A., & Sitlington, P. L. (2003). The role of technology in the transition to postsecondary education of students with leardisg bilities. Journal of Special Education, 37(1), 2632. doi:10.1177/00224669030370010301
- Muller, C. (2001). The role of caring in teached and relations hip rsisflory **a** t th. Sociological Inquiry, **71**(2), 241-255. <u>doi:10.1111/j.1475682X.2001.tb01110</u>.x

Murdock, T. B., & Miller, A. (2003). Teachers as sources of middle school students€ motivational identity/ariablecentered and persomentered analytic approachesThe Elementary School Journat03(4), 383399.

doi:10.1086/499732

Murphy, P. K., & Alexander, P. A. (2000). A motivated exploration of matition terminology.Contemporary Educational Psychology 2553.

<u>doi:10.1006/ceps.1999.10</u>19

- Murray, C., & Greenberg, M. T. (2000). Children€s relationship with teachers and bonds with school: An investigation of patterns and correlates in middle childhood.
 Journal of School Psychology, 382,3 455.doi:10.1016/S00224405(00)000340
- Murray, C., & Greenberg, M. T. (2006). Examiniting importance of social relationships and social contexts in the lives of children with higbidence disabilitiesThe Journal of Special Education, (#9), 200233.

doi:10.1177/002246690699040301

Nelson, B. (2006). On your mark, get set, wait! Are your teacher candidates prepared to embed assistive technology in teaching and learn **Droj** Rege Student Journal, 40(3), 485• 494.

- Nesbit, W., & Mason, J. (2010). Psychological scaffold ingl about fitting in.The Bulletin, 22,Retrieved on August 1, 2012 from https://www.nlta.nl.ca/files/documents/bulletins/bultn_article_dec10.pdf
- Newman, B. M., Newmar, R., Griffin, S., O€Connor, K., & Spas, J. (2007). The relationship of social support to depressive symptoms during the transition to high school.Adolescence, 42,41•459.
- Nowicki, E. A. (2003). A metaanalysis of the social competence of children with learning disabilities compared to classmates of low and average to high achievementLearning Disability Quarterly, 2(3), 171-188.

doi:10.2307/1593650

- N...un† ez, J. C., Gonz.-Patenzda, J. A., Gonz´ale Zumariega, S., Roces, C., Alvarez, L., Gonz...alez, P., , & Rodr...‡guez, S. (2005). Subgroups of attribution Sale prion students with learning Siculties and their relation to sed oncept and academic goals.Learning Disabilities Research and Practice (22) 86-97.
- Ofiesh, N. S., Rice, C. J., Long, E. M., Meant, D. C., & Gajar, A. H. (2002). Service delivery for postsecondary students with disabilities: A survey of assistive technology use across disabilities
- Oliver, M. A. J., & Steenkamp, D. S. (2004). Attentideficit/hyperactivity disorder: Underlying deficits in achievement motivatidnternational Journal for the Advancement of Counselling,,2467-63.
- Ontario Ministry of Education (1990)Policy / Program Memorandum No. 89. The residential demonstration schools students with learning disabilities: General information and details of the referral processoronto, ON: Ontario Ministry of

Education. Retrieved on May 5, 2012 from

http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/extra/eng/ppm/89.html

- Ontario Ministry of Education. (200). Education for All: The report of the expert panel on literacy and numeracy instruction for students with special education needs, kindergarten to grad. Queen€s Printer for Ontario.
- Ontario Ministry of Education (2007\$pecial education funding guildines: Special equipment amount (SEA) and special incidence portion (8127) ieved May 5, 2012, fromhttp://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/funding/0607/speced.pdf
- Ontario Ministry of Educatior(2011).Learning for All: A guide to effective assessment and instruction for all students, kindergarten to grade @2een€s Printer for Ontario.
- Osterman, K.F. (2000). Students€ need for belonging in the school comreasiew of Educational Research7,0, 323367. doi:10.2307/1170786
- Pajares, F. (1996). Selfficacy beliefs in achievement settingseview of Educational Research, 66543-578. doi:102307/1170653
- Pajares, J., & Schunk, D. H. (2001). Steeffiefs and school success: Sefficacy, self concept, and school achievement. In R. Riding, & S. Rayner (Educo)eption (pp. 239266). London: Ablex Publishing.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002)Qualitative research and evaluation methods ed.). London: Sage publications.
- Pintrich, P. R. (1994). Continuities and discontinuities: Future directions for research in Educational Psychology €ducational Psychologi, \$29,137•148.

doi:10.1207/s15326985ep2903_3

- Pintrich, P. R., & DeGroot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and set/gulated learning components of classroom academic performationer.nal of Educational Psychology, 823-40.
- Pressley, M., Gasins, I.W., Solic, K., & Collins, S. (2006). A portrait of benchmark school: How a school produces high achievement in students who previously failed. Journal of Educational Psychology (29, 282306. doi:10.1037/0022 0663.98.2.282
- QIAT Consortium Leadership Team (2000). Quality indicators for assistive technology services in school setting bournal of Special Education Technolog (4), 553 576.
- Quenneville, J. (2001). Tech tools for studewith learning disabilities: Infusion into inclusive classrooms?reventing School Failure, (45), 167170.

doi:10.1080/10459880109603332

- Rapp, W. H. (2005). Using assistive technology withdents with exceptional learning needs:When does an aid become a crut Reading & Writing Quarterly, 21 193-196.doi:10.1080/10573560590915996
- Raskind, M. H., & Higgins, E. L. (1998). Aistive technology for postsecondary students with learning disabilities: An overview ournal of Learning Disabilities, 3(1), 27-40. doi:10.1177/002221949803100104
- Reed, P. & Bowser, G. (2005) ssistive technology and the IEP. In D. Edyburn, K. Higgins, & R. Boone (Eds.) Handbook of special education technology research and practice (pp. 6177). Whitefish Bay, WI: Knowledge by Design Inc.
- Reddy, R., Rhodes, J. E., & Mulhall, P. (2003). Titifeuence of teacher support on student adjustment in the middle school years: A latent growth curve study.

Development and Psychopathology, **15**9 138.

doi:10.1017/S0954579403000075

- Reid, D. K, & Button, J. L. (1995). Anna€s story: Narratives of personal experience about being labeled learning disable.tburnal of Learning Disabilities, 28602•614. doi:10.1177/002221949502801001
- Reiff, H. B. (2007).Selfadvocacy skills for students with learning disabilities: Making it happen in college and beyor chester, NY: Dude Publishing, A Division of National Professional Resources Inc.
- Renick, M.J., & Harter, S. (1988) elf Perception Profile for Learning Disabled Student [Manual]. Denver: University of Denver.
- Renick, M. J., & Harter, S. (1989). Impact of social comparisons on the developing self perceptions of learning disabled studedtsurnal of Educational Psychology, 81(4), 631-638.doi:10.1037//00220663.81.4.631
- Resnick, M. D., Bearman, P. S., Blum, R. M., Bauman, K. E., Harris, K. M., Jones, J, & Udry, J. R. (1997). Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Healthournal of the American Medical Association, 278823-833. doi:10.1001/jama.1997.03550100049038
- Roberts, K. D., & Stodden, R. (2005). The use of voice recognition software as a compensatory strategy for postsecondary education students receiving services under the category of learning disabledurnal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 22, 49-64.
- Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Foleman Doan, C. (1999). Academic functioning and mental health in adolescence: Patterns, progressions, and routes from childhood. Journal of Adolescent Research, **13**5-174.doi:10.1177/07435584992002

- Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A.J. (1998). Academic and emotional functioning in early adolescence: Longitudinal relations, patterns, and prediction by experience in middle scho@evelopment and Psychopathology(2)0321 352.<u>doi:10.1017/S09545794980016</u>31
- Roeser, R., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. J. (2000). School as a context of early adolescents€ academic and semiabtional development: A summary of research findings. The Elementary School Journal, 1994, 471. <u>doi:10.1086/49965</u>0
- Roeser, R. W., Midgley, C., & Urdan, T. C. (1996). Perceptions of the school psychological environment and early adolescents psychologicaleanad/ioral functioning in school: The mediating role of goals and belongiogrnal of Educational Psychology88(3), 408422.<u>doi:10.1037//00220663.88.3.40</u>8
- Roorda, D. L., Koomen, H. M., Spilt, J. L., & Oort, F. J. (2011). The influence of affective teacheistudent relationships on students€ school engagement and achievement: A metanalytic approachReview of Educational Resear@1(4), 493•529.doi:10.3102/0034654311421793
- Rosenberg, M. (1965)Society and the adolescent **sienf**age Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Sedfetermination theory anthe facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and weekling. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68-78. doi:10.1037//0003066X.55.1.68
- Ryan, A. M., & Patrick, H. (2001). The classoom social environment and changes in adolescents€ motivation and engagement during middle s&hoedican Educational Research Journal, (28, 437460. doi:10.3102/00028312038002437

- Schunk, D. H. (1991). Selfefficacy and academic motivation ducational Psychologist, 26,207-231.doi:10.1080/00461520.1991.9653133
- Schunk, D. H. (2003). Set fficacy for reading and writing: Intence of modeling, goal setting and selevaluation Reading and Writing Quarterly, 1959-172.

doi:10.1080/10573560308219

- Seidman, I. E. (1991) nterviewing as qualitative research: A guide fesearchers in education and the social sciences Vork: Teachers College Press.
- Seidman, E., Allen, L., Aber, J. L., Mitchell, C., & Feinman, J. (1994). The impact of school transition in early adolescence on the **system** and perceived social context of poor urban youth Development, 65507-522.

doi:10.1111/j.14678624.1994.tb00766.x

- <u>Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (200</u>) aying attention to reading: The neurobiology of reading and dyslexi Development and Psychopathology (4),013291349. <u>doi:10.1017/S09545794080006</u>31
- Sideridis, G. D. (2003). On the origins of helpless behaviour of students with learning disabilities: Avoidance motivation international Journal of Educational Research, 39497-517.<u>doi:10.1016/j.ijer.2004.06.0</u>11
- Sideridis, G. D., Morgan, P. L, Botsas, G., Padeliadu, S., & Fuch(2006). Predicting LD on the basis of motivation, metacognition, and psychopathology: An ROC analysis Journal of Learning Disabilities, 3(9), 215229.

doi:10.1177/00222194060390030301

Sideridis, G. D., & Tsorbatzoudis, C. (2003). Ingrapup motivational analysis of students with learning disabilities: A goal orientation approachering
 Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal, 8-19. doi:10.1016/j.ijer.2004.06.011

- Simeonsson, R. T., Carlson, D., Huntington, G. S., Strutz McMillen, J., & Brent, J. L. (2001). Students with disabilities: A national survey of participation in school activities.Disability and Rehabilitation, 32(2), 49-63. doi:10.1080/096382801750058134
- Sitko, M. C., Laine, C. J., & Sitko, C. (2005)//riting tools: Technology and strategies for struggling writers. In D. Edyburn, K. Higgins & R. Boone (Edsla),ndbook of special education technology research apmalctice (pp. 571598). Whitefish Bay,

WI: Knowledge by Design, Inc.

- Skinner, E. A., & Belmont, M. J. (1993). Motivation in the classroom: Reciprocal effects of teacher behavior and student engagement across the schoolbyeraal of EducationalPsychology, 85(4), 571+581. doi:10.1037/002-20663.85.4.571
- Skinner, M. E., & Lindstrom, B. D. (2003). Bridging the gap between high school and college: Strategies for the successful transition of stasdaith learning disabilities.PreventingSchool Failure, 47132-137.

doi:10.1080/10459880309604441

- Smith, J. S. (1997). Effects of eighth grade transition programs on high school retention and experiences Journal of Educational Research, (90), 144-152.
- Smith, D., & Nagle, R. J. (1995). Selferceptions and social comparisons among children with LD.Journal of Learning Disabilities, 2(8), 364371.

doi:10.1177/002221949502800607

Solis, M. (2012)Reading comprehension interventions for middle school students with learning disabilities: A synthesis of 30 years of reseal other and the comparison of Learning Disabilities, 45(4), 327340. doi:10.1177/0022219411402691 Specht, J., Howell, G., & Young, G. (2007). Students with special education needs in Canada and their use of assistive technology during the transition to secondary school.Childhood Education, 8(6), 385-389.

doi:10.1080/00094056.2007.10522956

- Specht, J., & Young, G. (2011, Maghtrategies for promoting school participation: Insights from a longitudinal examitian of school rolesPaper presented at the annual conference of the Canadian Society for Studies in Education, Fredericton, NB.
- Specht, J., Young, G., Kertoy, M., Servais, M., Spencer, T., Puskarich, M., & Pompeo,M. (2010, August)Participation in schol roles: The importance of opportunity.Poster presented at the Inclusive and Supportive Education Congress, Belfast, UK.
- Stanley, P. D., Yong, D., & Nolan, R .F. (1997). Differences in depression and self esteem reported by learning disabled and behalisior dered middle school students Journal of Adolescence, 2019-222. doi:10.1006/jado.1996.0079
- Stone, C. A., & La Greca, A. M. (1990) he social status of children with learning disabilities: A re-examination Journal of Learning Disabilities, 23, 327.
 <u>doi:10.1177/002221949002300109</u>
- Strangman, N., & Dalton, B. (2005). Using technology to support struggling readers: A review of the research. In D. Edyburn, K. Higgins, & R. Boone (Edsa), dbook of special education technology research and practice 325334). Whitefish Bay, WI: Knowledge by Design, Inc.
- Stone, C. A., & May, A. L. (2002). The accuracy of academices effuations in adolescents with learning disabilities urnal of Learning Disabilities, 3(4), 370-383. doi:10.1177/00222194020350040801

- Sturm, J. M., & Rankir/Erickson, J. L. (2002). Effects of handed awn and computer generated concept mapping on the expository writing of middle school students with learning disabilities/Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, **12**,4-139.doi:10.1111/180-5826.00039
- Sturman, A. (1997). Case study methods. In. J. P. Keeves (Edsc)ational research, methodology, and measurement: An international handbodle(2).(pp. 61•
 66) Cambridge, UK: Pergamon.
- Swanson, H. L., Hoskyn, M., & Lee, C. (1999 Interventions for students with learning disabilities: A metanalysis of treatment outcom every York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Tam, C., Archer, J., Mays, J., & Skidmore, G. (2005). Measuring the outcomes of word cueing technologyThe Canadian Journal @ccupational Therapy, 7(2), 301 308.
- Tomlinson, C.A. (2008)Differentiated instruction in action, Program 2: Middle School. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Troia, G. A., Shankland, R. K., & Wolbers, K. A. (2012). Motivation research in writing:
 Theoretical and emipical considerations Reading and Writing Quarterly, 28, 28. doi:10.1080/10573569.2012.632729

Trzesniewski, K., Donnellan, B., Moffitt, T., Robins, R., Poulton, R., & Caspi, A. (2006). Low self-esteem during adolescence predicts poor health, criminal behavior, and limited economic prospects during adulthoDetvelopmental Psychology, 42, 381-390. doi:10.1037/00121649.42.2.381

- Valas, H. (1999). Students with learning disabilities and acceptance, loneliness, selfteem and depression of Education, 3,173-192.
- Valas, H. (2001). Learned helplessness and psychological adjustmented by learning disabilities and low achievemeds candinavian Journal of Educational Research, 4(22), 101-114. doi:10.1080/00313830120052705
- Valentine, J. C., DuBois, D. L., & Cooper, (2004). The relations between sbuffliefs and academic achievement: A systematic review/ucational Psychologist, (29), 111-133.doi:10.1207/s15326985ep3902_3
- Van Ness, D. W., & Strong, H. K2010).Restoring justice: An introduction to restorative justiceElsevier.
- Vaughn, S., Elbaum, B., & Boardman, A. (2001). The social functioning of students with learning disabilities: Implications for inclusioExceptionality, 91), 47-65. doi:10.1207/S15327035EX091&2_5
- Vaughn, S., Elbaum, B. E., & Schumm, J. S. (1996). The effects of inclusion on the social functioning of students with learning disabilities.
 29,598 608. doi:10.1177/002221949602900604
- Walker, B. (2003). The cultivation of student selficacy in reading and writing. Reading and Writing Quarterly, 1973-187. doi:10.1080/10573560308217
- Walkera, A., & Nabuzokab, D. (2007). Academic achievement and social functioning of children with and without learning difficultie Educational Psychology, 25, 635-654.
- Way, N., Reddy, R., & Rhodes, J. (2007). Students€ perceptions of school climate during the middle school years: Associations with trajectories of psychological and

behavioral adjustmenAmerican Journal of Community Psychology, 1994-213. doi:10.1007/s10464007-9143y

- Weiner, B. (1994). Integrating social and personal theories of achievement striving. Review of Educational Research, **65**7• 573. doi:10.3102/00346543064004557
- Weiner, B. (2000). Intrapersonal and interpersonal theories of motivation from an attributional perspective ducational Psychology Review, 112,14.

doi:10.1023A:1009017532121

- Wentzel, K. R., & Asher, S. R. (1995). The academic lives of neglected, rejected, popular, and controversial childre@hild Development66,754•763. doi:10.2307/1131948
- Whitley, J., Lupart, J.L., & Beran, T. (2007). Differences in achievement between adolescents who remain in a&school and who transition to a junior high school.Canadian Journal of Education, (33), 649669.<u>doi:10.2307/2046665</u>7
- Wiest, D. J., Wong, E. H., & Kreil, D. A. (1998). Predictors of global-seelfth and academic performance among regular education, learning disabled, and continuation high school studen#sclolescence, 3(331), 601618.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (1994). Children's competence beliefs, achievement values, and general selfesteem: Change across elementary and middle softwel.
 Journal of Early Adolescence, 1407-138. doi:10.1177/027243169401400203
- Wigfield, A., Eccles, J. S., MacIver, D., Reuman, D. A., & Midgley, C. (1991). Transitions during early adolescence: Changes in children csstad fm across the transition to junior high schood Developmental Psychology, 2752-565. doi:10.1037//00121649.27.4.552

- Winebrenner, S. (2002).eaching kids with learning difficulties in the regular classroom: Strategies and techniques every teacher can use to challedge ativate struggling students Monarch Books.
- Winne, P. H., & Perry, N. E. (2000). Measuring selfgulated learning. In P. Pintrich, M. Boekaerts, & M. Seidner (EdsHandbook of selfegulation(p. 531566).
 Orlando, FL: Academic Pressioi:10.1016/B9780121098992/500457
- Woolfolk, A. E., Winne, P. H., & Perry, N. E. (2006)ducational psycholog(8rd Canadian edition). Toronto: Pearson Education Canada Inc.
- Young, G. (2007)Theeffect of assistive technology on perceptions of academic achievement and set#steemUnpublished Master€s thesis, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada.
- Young, G., & Specht, J. (2009, May)ssessing change in the academic-**self**æpt of students with learning disabilitie? aper presented at the annual conference of the Canadian Society for Studies in Education (CSSE); Ottawa, ON.
- Zabala, J. S. (2005) Ising the SETT Framework to Level the Learning Field for Students with Disabilities. Retrieved on July 1, 2012 from

http://www.ode.state.or.us/initiatives/elearning/nasdse/settintrogeneric2005.pdf

- Zanobini, M., & Usai, C.M. (2002). Domaispecific selfconcept and achievement motivation in the transition from primary to low middle sch**dol**urnal of Educational Psychology2, 203217.<u>doi:10.1080/01443410120115265</u>
- Zelekę S. (2004a). Differences in sectoncept among children with mathematics disabilities and their average and high achieving percentational Journal of Disability, Development and Education,(5), 253269.

doi:10.1080/1034912042000259224

Zeleke, S. (2004b). Setfoncepts of students with learning disabilities and their normally achieving peers: A revievEuropean Journal of Special Needs Education, 19,

145 170.<u>doi:10.1080/08856250410001678</u>469

Zisimopoulos, D. & Galanaki, E. (2009). Academic intrinsic motivation and perceived academic competence in Greek elementary students with and without learning disabilities.Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, (24, 33-43.

doi:10.1111/j.1545826.2008.01275.x

Appendix A- Consent to be Contacted for Future Research

A Longitudinal Investigation of the Impact of Assistive Technology on SelfConcept, Motivation, and Academic Achievement

What is the Purpose of This Study?

We would like to invite you to continue participating in our research study looking at the impact of assistive technology on selfncept motivation and academic achievement. We are interested in looking at the way in which assistive technology may continue to impact students as they make the transition from the Amethyst School back into their local schools, and we would like your helpdioing so. We are contacting you to ask permission to invite you to participate in future studies. By agreeing to be contacted, you are in no way obligated to participate once you hear more about future studies.

Who are the Investigators?

Jacqueline Spet, PhD	Faculty of Education	519-661-2111 ext
	University of Western Ontario	a
Gabrielle Young, MEd.	PhD Student	519-661-2111 ext
	University of Western Ontario	n

What Have We Done and What Do We Plan To Do

All first year students attending the Amethyst School during the-**2008** academic year were invited to participate in this study.

In September 2007 and in May 2008, participants completed a survey that questions how you feel about yourself in areastarted to school and friends. This survey took approximately 30 minutes to complete and was completed at the Amethyst School, during a period of the regular academic school day.

In June 2009, participants will complete the same survey and an additional shat questions how you feel the computer helps you at school. The completion of these two surveys will take approximately 45 minutes. Students and their parents have consented to this process.

During the 20092010 school year, we want to investighter students from Amethyst view their transition back to their neighbourhood schools. If you are interested in being contacted for future research studies, please complete the attached form and have your child return it to their Amethyst School teaches stated above, this agreement to be contacted does not mean that you will have to participate in future research. You can hear about the study and decide at that time.

Any Questions?

If you have any questions about this study, please contact Jaco **Specialet** at 51-961-2111 ext. (a) or Gabrielle Young a manual m

A Longitudinal Investigation of the Impact of Assistive Technology on SelfConcept, Motivation, and Academic Achievement

CONSENT TO BE CONTACTED FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

By signing this form, you will allow the investigators contact you in the future to ask if you would like to continue your participation in this research study. You have no obligation to actually participate in the study.

Name of Student	
Student's Signature	Date
Printed Name of Parent/Guardian	
Parent/Guardian's Signature	Date
Home Telephone	Preferred Contact Time
Alternate Telephone	
E-mail Address	
Home Address:	

Appendix C• Letter of Information

The Impact of Assistive Technology on SetConcept and Motivation Across School Transitions

Letter of Information

What is the Purpose of the Study?

We are conducting research to unders**tand** students from a demonstration school view their transition back to their local schools. Would like to invite you to participate in this study.

The aims of this study are (a) to better understand dademic self-concept and school motivation of students when they have recently transitioned from the demonstration school to their local schools; and (b) to determine the degree to which students may continue to use the assistive technology and how they aregrapid performing in school.

Who are the Investigators?

Jacqueline Specht, Ph	Associate Professor	519-661-2111 ext
	University of Western Ontario	a
Gabrielle Young, MEd.	Doctoral Candidate	519-661-2111 ext
	University of Western Ontario	n

Who is Eligible to Participate and What Will Happen in This Study?

Students who recently attended a demonstration school and who have since transitioned to their local schools will be invited to participate in this students will also be asked to participate.

In September 2007, May 2008 and May 2009, students completed by which assessed how they feel about themselves in areas related to school and friends. We will use this information tassess changes in setteem over time.

In January 2010 and June 2010, students and their parents will be asked interview questions which focus ostudents€ transition from the demonstration school back to their local school, as well as the way in whistudents€ may continue to be influenced by the use of assistive technology.

Upon completing the interviews, students will also be asked to complete surveys. Surveys will be used to determine if there are changes to their academicosetépt and schoo

motivation; to understand their perceived level of support in their previous as well as their current school environment; and to find out how the assistive technology may impact them.

Interviews will be conducted on an individual basis, will be au**cico**rded, and will take approximately 30 minutes to complete. Student surveys will take 30 to 40 minutes to complete. Interviews and surveys will take place at a time which is convenient to you. They can take place at your home, at your local library, **other** location which you may prefer. Interviews will be transcribed into written format with identifying names removed.

You will be also be provided with an overview of the research findings. You will be able to comment on the degree to which you feelr**#**æarchers are providing an accurate portrayal of your/your child€s use of assistive technology and your child€s transition to their local school. This may require an additional 30 minutes of your time.

In appreciation for your assistance with the stuadydents will be provided with a \$20 gift certificate.

Your research records and audiotapes of interviews will be stored in a locked cabinet at the Faculty of Education. These materials will be destroyed 7 years after the publication of the research. When the results of the study are published, your name will not be used and no information that discloses your identity will be released.

There are no known risks to participating in this research. Participation in this study is voluntary. You may refuse the participate, refuse to answer any questions, or withdraw from the study at any time.

If you are already participating in another study at this time, please inform the investigators right away to determine if it is appropriate for you to participaties study.

Questions

If you have any questions about this study, please contact Jacqueline Specificat-519 2111 ext. (a) or Gabrielle Young a second state of the second of the second state of the second state of the second seco

This letter is yours to keep for future reference.

a

If you agree to participate, ease complete the attached consent form.

The Impact of Assistive Technology on SelConcept and Motivation Across School Transitions Gabrielle Young, Doctoral Candidate Dr. Jacqueline Specht, Associate Professor

Consent Form

I have read the letter of information, have had the nature of the study explained to me and I agree to participate in the study. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

Name of Student	_
Student's Signature	Date
Printed Name of Parent/Guardian	
Parent/Guardian's Signature	Date

Name of Person Obtaiming Informed Consent:

Signature of Person Obtaining Informed Consent:

Date:

Appendix D• Interview Questions

Semi-Structured Interview Questions (Parent €Interview One)

Background Information

- Can you provide me with information suurading the formal diagnosis of your child€s learning disability? (i.e., does your child have difficulty with reading, written activities, the organizational process surrounding writing etc.).
- What led you to apply to the demonstration school?

Assistive Technology

- Did your child use assistive technology prior to attending the demonstration school?
- Before attending the demonstration school and before your child had access to the use of assistive technology, what was school like for your child?
- Are there shool subjects or academic tasks your child would have difficulty completing without the use of assistive technology? Please explain.
 - If you answered yes to the above question: Does your child continue to have difficulty with these tasks now that he/shæshthe opportunity to use assistive technology to complete school assignments?
- Are there academic tasks that your child can complete with the use of technology that he/she could not complete before?
 - If you answered yes to the above question: How doesntake him/her feel about himself/herself?
- How did your child describe the use of assistive technology at the demonstration school?
 - Probe: Was it a positive or negative experience? Did he/she find the technology to be useful, time efficient, frustrating.

Demonstration School School Support

- Do you think that your child enjoyed attending the demonstration school? Why or why not?
- What do you think your child enjoyed most about attending the demonstration school? What did he/she enjoy the least?
- Where there specific factors that made the demonstration school different from other schools?

- If so, what might these factors be? (i.e., smaller class sizes, all students have learning disabilities, the school offers social skills programs in the evenings et
- Do you think that these factors were beneficial to your child? Why or why not?
- Do you think that the demonstration school provided a supportive learning environment for your child? Why or why not.

Self-efficacy

- Has your child€s school related bfelieave changed since attending the demonstration school?
 - Probe: Is your child more or less likely to believe that they can complete academic tasks?
- Provided that he/she has enough time to complete the assignment, is your child likely or unlikely to believe that they can do a good job on their homework given by the demonstration school?
 - Probe: Why might this be the case?

Self-Concept

- Do you think your child€s level of setonfidence has increased or decreased since attending the demonstration school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your child€s view of him/herself as a student changed since attending the demonstration school? (i.e., Does he/she feel that he/she is more or less intelligent?).
 - If it has changed, who you think this happened?
- Do you think your child€s level of selsteem changed since attending the demonstration school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

School Motivation

- Do you think that your child enjoys completing school workere or less since he/she has attended the demonstration school? Why might this be the case?
- Do you think that your child is more or less likely to persist at his/her homework since attending the demonstration school? Please explain.
- Do you think thatyour child€s level of school motivation has changed since attending the demonstration school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

Concluding Questions/Comments

- Do you think that attending the demonstration school made a difference inhylm?r (i.e., did he/she learn academic strategies, social and personal skills, etc.).
- Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your child€s experience at the demonstration school or your child€s use of assistive technology?

Semi-Structured Interview Questions (Student€Interview One)

Background Information

- How old are you?
- What school do you currently go to?
- What grade are you in?
- What subjects are you currently enrolled in?

Assistive Technology

- When did you first use assistive techorgy?
- How much training were you provided with on the use of assistive technology?
- Do you like using assistive technology? Why or why not?
- Do you think that the use of assistive technology makes it easier, harder or the same for you to complete yourdsool work? Please explain.
- Do you think that the use of assistive technology makes it faster or slower for you to complete your school work? Please explain.
- Are there academic tasks that you can do with the assistive technology that you could not do before?
 - If you answered yes to the above question, how does this make you feel about yourself?

Demonstration School School Support

- While at the demonstration school, you were in a class with fewer students. Was this helpful or not helpful for you? Please plain why or why not.
- While at the demonstration school, the students in your class also had learning disabilities. Did you like or dislike being in a class with students who also had learning disabilities? Why or why not.
- While at the demonstrationchool, did you receive individualized homework help? If so, was this helpful or not helpful for you?
- Do you think that the demonstration school was a school which was supportive of your learning needs? Please explain why or why not.
- Do you feel that the achers cared about you at the demonstration school? Why or why not?

- Did the teachers make you feel like you belonged at the demonstration school? Why or why not?
- Did you feel like you were important at the demonstration school? Why or why not?
- Did you feel like you were successful at the demonstration school? Why or why not?
- At the demonstration school, did your teachers recognize students who try hard in class or did they only recognize students who got the best grades? Please provide an example to **s**pport your answer.

Self-Efficacy

- Have your school related beliefs increased or decreased since attending the demonstration school?
 - Probe: Are you more or less likely to believe that you can complete an academic tasks?
- Provided that you have enough timecomplete the assignment, are you likely or unlikely to believe that you can do a good job on homework given to you by the demonstration school? Why might this be the case?

Self-Concept

- Do you think your level of selfconfidence has increased or deseedsince attending the demonstration school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your view of yourself as a student has changed since attending the demonstration school? (i.e., Do you feel that you are more or less inttelligte.)
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your level of selfesteem has changed since attending the demonstration school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

School Motivation

- While attending the demonation school, if you had a school assignment that you were having difficulty completing, were you more likely to keep trying at it until you figured it out, or were you more likely to give up? Why might this have been the case?
- Are you more or less likely persist at your school work since attending the demonstration school? Why might this be the case?

- Did you ever feel anxious about completing school assignments that the demonstration school provided? Please explain.
- Do you feel it is more importated understand how to complete a school assignment or do you feel it is more important to simply get your school work done? Please explain.
- Do you feel that what you learn at school is important to your future life outcomes? Please explain why or why not.
- Do you think attending the demonstration school made you more or less motivated to complete your school work? Please explain.

Concluding Questions/Comments

- Do you think that attending the demonstration school made a difference for you? (i.e., did you learn academic strategies, social and personal skills, etc.).
- Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your experience at the demonstration school or your use of assistive technology?

Semi-Structured Interview Questions (Parent €nterview Two)

School Transition

- Please describe the transition to your child€s current school?
 - Probe: Was it a positive experience, a negative experience, a difficult experience etc.
- Were there certain things that took some time for your child to adjust to? Please explain.
- Are there any components of your child€s current school that he/she is experiencing difficulty with?
 - If so, what strategies (if any) is he/she using to deal with these difficult components of his/her school life?

Current School Support

- Is your child enjoying or not enjoying his/her experience at his/her current school? Why might this be the case?
- What do you think your child is enjoying most about attending his/her current school? What is he/she is enjoying the least?
- Do you think tha your child€s current school is supportive of his/her learning needs? Why or why not.

Assistive Technology

- Does your child continue to use assistive technology at his/her current school? Please explain why this may be the case.
- Does your child use assive technology to complete their homework? If so, could you estimate the proportion of their homework that is completed with the use of assistive technology?
- Do you think that using assistive technology makes your child more or less motivated to complet academic tasks? Please explain.

Self-Efficacy

- Has your child€s school related beliefs changed since attending their current school?
 - Probe: Is your child more or less likely to believe that they can complete academic tasks?
- Provided that he/she has **engb** time to complete the assignment, is your child likely or unlikely to believe that they can do a good job on their homework given by their current school? Why might this be the case?

Self-Concept

- Do you think your child€s level of setonfidence ha increased or decreased since attending his/her current school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your child€s view of him/herself as a student has changed since attending his/her current school? (i.e., Does he/she fætelhte are more or less smart?)
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your child€s level of selfsteem has increased or decreased since attending their current school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

School Motivation

- Do you think that your child is more or less likely to persist at academic tasks since attending his/her current school? Please explain.
- Do you think that your child€s level of school motivation has changed since attending his/her current **st**cool?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

Concluding Questions/Comments

- What would you say are the main differences between the demonstration school and your child€s current school?
- Is there anything else that you would like to share abour child€s transition to his/her current school, his/her experience at his/her current school, or his/her experience with the use of assistive technology?

Semi-Structured Interview Questions (Student €nterview Two)

School Transition

- How did you find the transition to your current school?
 - Probe: Was it a positive experience, a negative experience, a difficult experience etc.
- Were there certain things that took some time to adjust to? Please explain.
- Are there any components of your current schlood you are experiencing difficulty with?
 - If so, what strategies (if any) are you using to deal with these difficult components of your school life?

Current School School Support

- What are your thoughts on attending your current school?
 - Probe: Are ya enjoying/not enjoying attending your current school?
- What do you like most about attending your current school? What do you find most challenging?
- How does your current school compare to the demonstration school?
 - Probe: Is it easier or harder? Do yikelit more or less? Are the teachers better at your current school or at the demonstration school? etc.
- Do you feel that the teachers care about you at your current school? Why or why not?
- Do the teachers make you feel like you belong at your currbook Why or why not?
- Do you feel like you are important at your current school? Why or why not?
- Do you feel like you are successful at your current school? Why or why not?
- At your current school, does your teacher recognize students who try harship cla does he/she only recognize students who get the best grades? Can you think of an example to support your answer?
- Do you think that your current school is supportive or not supportive of your learning needs? Please explain.

Assistive Technology

- Do you continue to use assistive technology at your current school? Why or why not?
 - If you continue to use assistive technology, what programs do you currently use?

- Do you use assistive technology to complete your homework?
 - If so, what proportion of yourdmework is completed with the use of assistive technology?
- Do you think that using assistive technology makes you more or less motivated to complete academic tasks? Please explain.

Self-Efficacy

- Since attending your current school, do you feel that your complish most of the school work that is assigned to you? Why or why not?
- Provided that you have enough time to complete the assignment, are you likely or unlikely to believe that you can do a good job on your homework given by your current school Why might this be the case?

Self-Concept

- Do you think your level of selfconfidence has increased or decreased since attending your current school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your view of yourself as a student has not ged since attending your current school? (i.e., Do you feel that you are more or less smart?).
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?
- Do you think your level of selfesteem has increased or decreased since attending your current school?
 - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

School Motivation

- Since attending your current school, if you have a school assignment that you have difficulty completing, are you more likely to keep trying at it until you figure it out, or are you more likely to give up? Why might this be the case?
- Are you more or less likely to persist at your school work since attending your current school? Why might this be the case?
- Do you ever feel anxious about completing school assignments? If so, were yeu m likely to feel anxious about completing school assignments at the demonstration school or at your current school? Please explain.

- Do you feel it is more important to understand how to complete a school assignment or do you feel it is more important stimply get your school work done? Please explain.
- Do you feel that what you learn at school is important to your future life outcomes? Please explain why or why not.
- Since you have been attending your current school, are you more or less motivated to complete your school work? Please explain.

Concluding Questions/Comments

- What would you say are the main differences between the demonstration school and your current school?
- Is there anything else that you would like to share about your transition to your current school, your experience at your current school, or your experience with the use of assistive technology?

Code	Codes	Corresponding Interview
Families		Questions
1. Background Information	- 1.1 Diagnosis of LD	Child Interview €Time 1 - How old are you? - What school do you currently go to? - What grade are you in? - What subjects are you currently enrolled in? Parent Interview €Time 1 - Can you provide me with information surrounding the formal diagnosis of your chides learning disability? (i.e., does your child have difficulty with reading, written activities, the organizational process surrounding writing etc.).
	 1.2 Rationale for Demonstration School 	Parent Interview €Time 1 - What led you to apply to the demotrasion school?

Appendix E- Interview Coding Scheme

2. Assistive	- 2.1 Previous AT	- 2.11 No Prior	Child Interview €Time 1
Technology	Experience	Experience - 2.12 Prior Experience	 When did you first use assistive technology? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Did your child usæssistive technology prior to attending the demonstration och color
	- 2.2 Previous AT Training	-	the demonstration school? <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> - How much training were you provided with on the use of assistive technology?
	- 2.3 Previous School Experience	-	 Parent Interview €Time 1 Before attending the demonstration school and before y child had access to the use of assistive technology, wha was school like for your child?
	- 2.4 Perceptions of AT	AT - 2.42 Not Like	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you like using assistive technology? Why or why not?
		Using AT - 2.43 Easier - 2.44 Harder - 2.45 Same - 2.46 Faser - 2.47 Slower	Child Interview €Time 1 - Do you think that the use of assistive technology makes easier, harder, or the same for you to complete your sch work? Please explain. Child Interview €Time 1 - Do you think that the use of assistive technology makes
		- 2.48 Work	faster or slower for you to complete your school work? Please explain. Child Interview €Time 1
		Completion - 2.49 Positive Impact on Self Perceptions - 2.495 Negative Impact on Self	 Are there academic tasks that you can do with the assis technology that you could not do before? If you answered yes to the above question, how does th make you feel about yourself?
		Perceptions	
	- 2.5 Impact of AT	 2.51 Academic Achievement 2.511 Increase Achievement 2.512 Decrease Achievement 	 Parent Interview €Time 1 Are there school subjects or academic tasks your child would have difficulty completing without the use of assistive technology? Please explain. If you answered yes to the above question: Does your c continue to have difficulty with these tasks now that he/s has the opportunity to use assistive technology to comp school assignments?
		 2.52 Self Perceptions 2.521 Increase Self- Perceptions 2.522 Decrease Self- 	 Parent Interview €Time 1 Are there academic tasks that your child can complete v the use of technology that he/she could not complete before? If you answered yes to the above question: How does th make him/her feel abobt/mself/herself?
		Perceptions - 2.53 Positive Experience - 2.54 Negative Experience - 2.55 AT was Useful - 2.56 AT was Time Efficient - 2.57 AT was Frustrating	 Parent Interview €Time 1 How did your child describe the use of assistive technolo at the demonstration school? Probe: Was it a positive or negative experience? Did he, find the technology to be useful, time efficient, frustrating etc.
	- 2.6 Current Use	 2.58 Task Completion 2.59 Motivation 2.61 High 2.62 Low 	<u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> - Do you continue to use asti ve technology at your current school? Why or why not?

3. Transition		 3.11 Positive Experience 3.12 Negative Experience 3.13 Difficult Experience 	Child Interview €Time 2 - How did you find the transition to your current school - Probe: Was it a positive experience, a negative experience, a difficult experience etc. Parent Interview €Time 2 - Please describe the transition to your child€s current school? - Probe: Was it a positive experience, a negative experience, a difficult experience, a difficult experience.
	- 3.2 Adjustment	 3.21 Difficulties with Adjustment 3.22 Strategies 	 <u>Child Interv iew €Time 2</u> Were there certain things that took some time to adju to? Please explain. Are there any components of your current school tha you are experiencing difficulty with? If so, what strategies (if any) are you using to deal wi these difficultcomponents of your school life? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Were there certain things that took some time for you child to adjust to? Please explain. Are there any components of your child€s current school that he/she is experiencing difficulty with? If so, what strategies (if any) is he/she using to deal wi these difficult components of his/her school life?

	11 Deventions	4.44 Enjoyad	Parant Interview ETime 1
4. Demonstration School	 4.1 Perceptions of Demonstration School 	 4.12 Enjoyed Least 	 Parent Interview €Time 1 Do you think that your child enjoyed attending the demonstration school? Why or why not? What do you think your child enjoyed most about attending the demonstration school? What did he/s enjoy the least?
		 4.13 Different from other Schools 4.14 Beneficial 4.15 Not Beneficial 	 Parent Interview €Time 1 Where there specific factors that made the demonstration school different from other schools? If so, what might these factors be? (i.e., smaller cla sizes, all students have learning disabilitibe, t school offers social skills programs in the evenings etc.) Do you think that these factors were beneficial to your child? Why or why not?
	- 4.2 Class Size	 4.21 Helpful 4.22 Not Helpful 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> While at the demonstration school, you wer a class with fewer students. Was this helpful or not helpful for you? Please explain why or why not.
	- 4.3 Peers with Learning Disabilities	- 4.31 Like - 4.32 Dislike	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> While at the demonstration school, the students in your class the had learning disabilities. Did you like or dislike being in a class with students who also had learning disabilities? Why or why not.
	- 4.4 Oneon-One Support	 4.41 Helpful 4.42 Not Helpful 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> While at the demonstration school, dyindu receive individualized homework help? If so, was this helpf or not helpful for you?
	- 4.5 Support	- 4.51 Supportive - 4.52 Not Supportive	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think that the demonstration school was a school which was supportive of your learn needs? Please explain why or why not. <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think that the demonstration school provide a supportive learning environment for your child? Why or why not.
	 4.6 Student / Teacher Relationship 	 4.61 Teachers Cared 4.62 Teachers Didn€t Care 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you feel that the teachers cared about you at th demonstration school? Why or why not?
	- 4.7 Belongingness	 4.71 Students Belonged 4.72 Students Didn€t Belong 4.73 Students Felt Important 4.74 Students Didn€t Feel Important 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Did the teachers make you feel like you belonged a the demonstration school? Why or why not? Did you feel like you were important at the demonstration school? Why or why not?
	- 4.8 Success	 4.81 Successful 4.82 Unsuccessflu 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Did you feel like you were successful at the demonstration school? Why or why not?
	- 4.9 Recognition	 4.91 Recognized All Students 4.92 Recognized Few Students 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> At the demonstration school, did your teasher recognize students who try hard in class or did they only recognize students who got the best grades? Please provide an example to support your answer
	 4.10 Impact of Demonstration School 		 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think that attending the densoration school made a difference for you? (i.e., did you learn academic strategies, social and personal skills, etc Parent Interview €Time 1

	 Do you think that attending the demonstration schc made a difference in your child? (i.e., did he/she le academic strategies, social and personal skills, etc
- 4.11 Concluding Comments	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Is there anything else you would like to tell us abou your experience at the demonstration school or you use of assistive technology? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Is there anything else you would like to tell us abou your child€s experience at the demonstration scho your child€s use of assistive technology?

5. High School	- 5.1 Perceptions of High School	- 5.11 Enjoying High School	Child Interview €Time 2 - What are your thoughts on attending your current
001001	9	 5.12 Not Enjoying High School 	school? - Probe: Are you enjoying/not enjoying attending your current school? Parent Interview €Time 2
		5 40 5 1 14 4	 Is your child enjoying or not enjoying/her experience at his/her current school? Why might this be the case
		 5.13 Enjoy Most 5.14 Enjoy Least 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> What do you like most about attending your current school? What do you find most challenging? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> What do you think your child is enjoying most about attending his/her current school? What is he/she is enjoying the least?
		- 5.15 Easier - 5.16 Harder	Child Interview €Time 2 - How does your current school compare to the
		- 5.17 Like it More than	demonstration school? - Probe: Is it easier or harder? Do you like it more or le
		Amethyst - 5.18 Like it Less	Are the teachers better at your current school or at th demonstration school? etc.
		than Amethyst - 5.19 Teachers	
		better at Amethyst	
		 5.191 Teachers better at High 	
		School - 5.192 Same	
	- 5.2 Student /	- 5.21 Teachers	Child Interview €Time 2 - Do you feel that the teachers care about you at your
	Teacher Relationship	Cared - 4.22 Teachers Didn€t Care	current school? Why or why not?
-	- 5.3 Belongingness	- 5.31 Students	Child Interview €Time 2 - Do the teachers make you feel like you belong at you
		Belonged - 5.32 Students Didn€t Belong	 Do the teachers make you belong at you current school? Why or why not? Do you feel like you are important at your current school? Why or why not?
		 5.33 Students Felt Important 	School: Why of why hot?
		- 5.34 Students Didn€t Feel	
	- 5.4 Success	Important - 5.41 Successful	Child Interview €Time 2
		- 5.42 Unsuccessfu	 Do you feel like you are successful at your current school? Why or why not?
	- 5.5 Recognition	 5.51 Recognized All Students 	- At your current school, does your teacher recognize
		(i.e., students who try)	students who try hard in class or does he/she only recognize students who get the best grades? Can you think of an example toupport your answer?
		 5.52 Recognized Few Students 	anne or an example toupport your answer:
		(i.e., students with the best grades)	
	- 5.6 Support	- 5.61 Supportive - 5.62	Child Interview €Time 2 - Do you think that your current school is supportive or
		Unsupportive	not supportive of your learning needs? Please explair Parent Interview €Time 2 - Do you think that your dides current school is
	- 5.7 Differences		supportive of his/her learning needs? Why or why no Child Interview €Time 2
			- What would you say are the main differences betwee

from the Demonstration School	the demonstration school and your current school? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> - What would you say are the main differences betwee the demonstration school and your child€s current school?
- 5.8 Concluding Comments	 <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> Is there anything else that you would like to share abore your transtion to your current school, your experience your current school, or your experience with the use of assistive technology? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Is there anything else that you would like to share abore your child€s transition to his/her currentschool, or his/her experience with the use of assistive technology?

6. Self- Efficacy	- 6.1 SelfEfficacy	 6.11 Increase 6.12 Decrease 6.13 Same 	 <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Have your schoole/lated beliefs increased or decrease since attending the demonstration school? Probe: Are you more or less likely to believe that you can complete an academic tasks? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Has your child€s school related beliefs have changed since attending the demonstration school? Probe: Is your child more or less likely to believe that they can complete academic tasks? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Has your child€s school related beliefs changed since attending their current school? Probe: Is your child more or less likely to believe that they can complete academic tasks?
		- 6.13 High - 6.14 Low	 they can complete academic tasks? <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Provided that you have enough time to complete the assignment, are you likely or unlikely to believe that y can do a good job on hometkogiven to you by the demonstration school? Why might this be the case? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Provided that he/she has enough time to complete the assignment, is your child likely or unlikely to believe that they can do a good job on their homeworkegiby the demonstration school? Probe: Why might this be the case? <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> Since attending your current school, do you feel that y can accomplish most of the school work that is assign to you? Why or why not? Provided that you havenough time to complete the assignment, are you likely or unlikely to believe that y can do a good job on your homework given by your current school? Why might this be the case? Provided that you havenough time to complete the assignment, are you likely or unlikely to believe that y can do a good job on your homework given by your current school? Why might this be the case? Provided that he/she has enough time to complete the assignment, is your child likely or unlikely to believe that y can do a good job on your homework given by your current school? Why might this be the case?

7 Self	- 7.1 Self	- 7.11 Increase	Child Interview €Time 1
7. Self Concept	- 7.1 Self Confidence	 7.11 Increase 7.12 Decrease 7.13 Same 	 Do you think your level of set/confidence has increase or decreased since attending the demonstration scho If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your child€s level self-confidence has increased or decreased since attending the demonstr school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your level of set/confidence has increase or decreased since attending yourrent school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your child€s level of set/onfidence has increased or decreased since attending his/her current school?
	- 7.2 Perceived Academic Abilities	 7.21 Increase 7.22 Decrease 7.23 Same 	 If it has changed, why do you think thiaptpened? <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your view of yourself as a student has changed since attending the demonstration school? (Do you feel that you are more or lestelligent? etc.) If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your child€s view of him/herself as a student changed since attending the demonstration school? (i.e., Does he/she feel that he/she is more or intelligent?). If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your view of yourself as a student has changed since attending your current school? (i.e., D you feel that you are more or less smart?). If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your child€s view of him/herself as a student has changed since attending his/her current school? (i.e., Does he/she feel that they are more or I smart?) If it has changed whole you think this happened?
	- 7.3 SelfEsteem	 7.31 Increase 7.32 Decrease 7.33 Same 	 If it has changed, who you think this happened? <u>Child Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your level of selesteem has changed since attending the demonstration school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your child€s level of selesteem changed since attending the demonstration school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 1</u> Do you think your child€s level of selesteem changed since attending the demonstration school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your level of selesteem has increased or decreased since attending your current school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Parent Interview €Time 2</u> Do you think your child€s level of selesteem has increased or decreased since attending their current school? If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

0	0.1 Enjoyment of	0 11 Eniova	Parent Intenview ETime 1
8.	- 8.1 Enjoyment of	- 8.11 Enjoys Work More	Parent Interview €Time 1 - Do you think that your child enjoys completing schoo
Motivation	School		work more or less sindee/she has attended the
		- 8.12 Enjoys	demonstration school? Why might this be the case?
		Work Less	, , ,
		- 8.13 Same	Child Interview CTime 1
	- 8.2 Persistence	- 8.21 Likely to	Child Interview €Time 1 - While attending the demonstration school, if you had
		Persist	school assignment that you werawing difficulty
		- 8.22 Not Likely	completing, were you more likely to keep trying at it
		to Persist	until you figured it out, or were you more likely to give
			up? Why might this have been the case?
			Child Interview €Time 2
			- Since attending your current school, if you have a
			school assignment that you have difficulty completing,
			are you more likely to keep trying at it until you figure
			it out, or are you more likely to give up? Why might this be the case?
		- 8.23 Increase	Child Interview €Time 1
		- 8.24 Decrease	- Are you more or lass likely to persist at your school
		- 8.25 Same	work since attending the demonstration school? Why
		0.20 00110	might this be the case?
			Parent Interview €Time 1
			- Do you think that your child is more or less likely to
			persist at his/her homework since attending the demonstration \$10001? Please explain.
			Child Interview €Time 2
			- Are you more or less likely to persist at your school
			work since attending your current school? Why migh
			this be the case?
			Parent Interview €Time 2
			- Do you think that your child is more or less likely to
			persist at academic tasks since attending his/her curr school? Please explain.
	- 8.3 Anxiety	- 8.31 Felt	Child Interview €Time 1
	(Perceived as a	Anxious	- Did you ever feel anxious about completing school
	negative emotion,	- 8.32 Didn€t	assignments that the demonstration school provided
		Feel Anxious	Please explain.
	not excitement to		Child Interview €Time 2
	complete a task.)		 Do you ever feel anxious about completing school assignments? If so, were you more likely to feel anxi
			aboutcompleting school assignments at the
			demonstration school or at your current school? Plea
			explain.
	- 8.4 Value of	- 8.41 High	<u>Child Interview €Time 1</u>
	Learning	- 8.42 Low	- Do you feel it is more important to understand how to
	, v		complete a school assignment oryou feel it is more important to simply get your school work done? Plea
			explain.
			 Do you feel that what you learn at school is importan
			your future life outcomes? Please explain why or why
			not.
			<u>Child Interview €Time 2</u>
			- Do you feel it is more imported to understand how to
			complete a school assignment or do you feel it is mo
			important to simply get your school work done? Plea explain.
			 Do you feel that what you learn at school is importan
			your future life outcomes? Please explain why or why
			not
	- 8.5 Motivation	- 8.51 Increase	<u>Child Interview €Time 1</u>
		- 8.52 Decrease	 Do you think attending the demonstration school made you more or loss mativated to complete your school
			you more or less motivated to complete your school work? Please explain.
			Parent Interview €Time 1
			- Do you think that your child€svlel of school
		1	
			motivation has changed since attending the

demonstration school? - If it has changed, why do you think this happened? <u>Child Interview €Time 2</u> - Since you have been attending your current school, a you more or less motivated to complete yschrool work? Please explain.
Parent Interview €Time 2 - Do you think that your child€s level of school motivation has changed since attending his/her curre
school? - If it has changed, why do you think this happened?

Appendix F• Participant Feedback

Participant Feedback €Darren

Feedback received throughmeail submission shown below.

Hello Gabrielle,

I am so sorry that I have taken so long to get back to you. Your study is very needed with all the cut backs. It is very sad that everyone willing tokwhoard will not have the same opportunities. The report is very good and yes [Darren] uses all the technology he has to work with. When [Darren] came back to, to high school he was very nervous but Mr B. at the school is amazing. He was very impresseld [Diarren]. The one guidance counsellor set up a meeting with a lot of teachers in the library at the school where [Darren] was the guest speaker. He spoke of [the demonstration school] and what opportunities it opened up. Most didn't know what [the destration school] was. [Darren] returned for grade 10, 11, and 12. He has made the honour roll each year and has got the attention of [the school board]. He would like to be an underwater welder. This year the program with, college in, was offering welding. Everything is free, tuition, books, food, accommodations and transportation. At the end you will have achieved your first level of welding. His marks and the interview were all done by him (we didn't even find out till after). The interviewer was very pressed with [Darren] and he was top of the list. They only allow 12 in the program. He started 2 weeks ago. They have invested about 1214 thousand in each student. I'm sad he is gone again, but not as bad as the first time (lol). He has great friend they work on cars and he still works with the race horses. [Darren€s] little filly will start to race soon, later spring. We just never sit still.

I hope this helps, again I am sorry this has taken so long. Please keep helping when learning is made easideris better for everyone to learn.

Thanks.

[Darren€s mother]

Participant Feedback€Frank

Participant Feedback€Mike

Curriculum Vitae

Name:	Gabrielle Dawn Young
Current Employment:	Assistant Professor Memorial University of Newfound ad
Post-secondary Education and Degrees:	Western University London, Ontario, Canada 2007• 2012 Ph.D. Educational Studies
	Western University London, Ontario, Canada 2005- 2007 M.Ed. Educational Psychology and Special Education
	Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada 2004• 2005 B.Ed. Bachelor of Education
	Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada 2001• 2005 B.A. Child and Youth Studies
Honours and Awards:	Ontario Graduate Scholarship 2011 (\$15,000 declined); 2012 (\$15,000)
	Scottish Rite Graduate Student Award 2010 (\$10,000); 2009 (\$10,000)
	Jessica Jean Campbell Coulson Research Award 2010 (\$1,125)
	Centre for Inclusive Education Research Award 2010 (\$750)
	Doreen Kronick Scholarship 2008 (\$500)

Publications:

Journa Articles

- King, G., Specht, J., Bartlett, D., Servais, M., Petersen, P., BrowMobing, G., & Stewart, S. (2010). A qualitative study of workplace factors influencing expertise in the delivery of children€s education and mental health servicesal of Research in Interprofessional Practice and Education, **26**,5-283.
 - Contributed to the conceptualization of the study design and analysis and interpretation of the data, and critically reviewed the article for intellectual content and provided feedback in the article.
- Specht, J., Howell, G., &oung, G. (2007). Students with special education needs and their use of assistive technology during the transition to secondary school Childhood Education, International Focus Issue(6),3385-389. <u>doi:10.1080/00094056.2007.10522</u>956
 - Conducted all interviews with research participants and associated educators; transcribed, summarized, and critically evaluated all of the data; performed literature searches and summarized relevant articles; and assisted in editing the final paper.

Book Chapter

- Specht, J.Young, G. (2010). How administrators build schools as inclusive communities. In A. L. Edmunds & R. B. Macmillan (Eds.) adership for inclusion A practical guide(pp. 6572). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
 - Performed literature searches, summarized the research included in the chapter, and assisted in editing the final paper.

Government Report

- Dolmage, M.,Young, G., Stuart, H., Specht, J., & Atkland, J. (2009) Evidence of effective high school inclusion: Research, resources and inspir Rieport prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Education.
 - Assisted develop the methodology of the project, facilitated focus groups discussions, coded **all**anscribed interviews, performed literature searches and contributed to the literature review, and contributed to writing the final report.

Online Publication

Young, G., & Specht, J. (2009). What do students say about the use of assistive technology? Association for Special Education Technology Ontario Hub NewsletterRetrieved June 26, 2009 from the technology of technology of the technology of technology

Related Work Experience:

Co-Investigator

- Specht, J., (PI)Aucoin, A., Aylward, L., Bennett, S., Digiorgio, C., Freeman, J., Gallagher, T., Gregory, K., Hill, A., Hutchinson, N., Katz, J., LeBlanc, M., Loreman, T., Lyons, W., McGhiRichmond, D., Metsala, J., Mirenda, P., Nowicki, E., Timmons, V., Thompson, S. janneau, R., Whitley, J., &oung, G. (2012). Borrowing from architecture: Universal design for inclusion and learnBogcial Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSP4Rt0) ership Grant Letter of Intent. Total funding: \$20,000.00.
 - Assisted in devising the methodology of the project, and attended regional meetings with partners to solidify involvement with the proposed research, governance structure, and knowledge mobilization.
- Specht, J. (PI), Aylward, L., Bennett, S., Digiorgio, C., Laghler, T., Hutchinson, N., Katz, J., Loreman, T., Lyons, W., McGHReichmond, D., Metsala, J., Mirenda, P., Thompson, S., Whitley, J., &oung, G. (2012). Are new teachers ready for the inclusive classroom Western University Internal Social Sciences Hundhanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC) Grant. Total funding: \$4,869.00.
 - Assisted in devising the methodology of the project, and collected data from pre service teachers at Memorial University of Newfoundland.
- Hill, A. (PI), McGhie-Richmond, D., Secht, J., Inglis, A., Welsford, B., Strickland, J., Blackstien, S.Young, G., Fennel, J., Chuy, M., Haaf, R., & Latham, G. (2010). Educational and assistive technology for. Solutional Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRQ) blic Outreach and Workshop Grant. Total funding: \$71,466.
 - Wrote accessible summaries of the conference keynote, presentations, and poster sessions, and wrote group newsletters for posting on various group websites.

Research Assistant

- Specht, J., KingG., Servais, M., & Spencer, T. (200@009).School role participation: Perspectives of the child, the parents and the teac**Sers**ial Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC). Total funding: \$109,563.
 - Managed participant recruitment descheduling of interviews for the duration of this longitudinal study, coded transcribed interviews using the qualitative data analysis software program ATLAS.ti, created the annual report to participants, and prepared conference presentations and ptescenesarch findings at conferences.

- Dolmage, M. (2008)High school inclusion research proje**O**ntario Ministry of Education, Special Education Policy and Programs Branch. Total Funding: \$90,000.
 - Attended steering group meetings to develop the metbgg of the project, performed literature searches and contributed to the literature review, facilitated focus groups discussions with teachers and parents across Ontario, coded transcribed interviews using the qualitative data analysis software program ATLAS.ti, contributed to the writing of the final report, and prepared conference presentations and presented findings at conferences.

Specht, J. (2005) Assistive technology and the transition to secondary schel. Dominion of Canada General Insurarcempany. Total funding: \$25,000.

- Performed literature searches and summarized relevant articles, conducted interviews with research participants and associated educators, transcribed, summarized and critically evaluated the data, and prepared conferences and presented research findings at conferences.

Course Developer

Nature and Assessment of Learning Disabili(1€9UC 6755). Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John€s, NL. September 20142ril 2013.

- Selected reading materials, developinestructional modules and assessment techniques, and formatted this graduate course for online delivery

Instructor

Nature and Characteristics of Learning Disabilities 3630). Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John€s, NL. January 20April 2012.

- Provided online instruction for two sections of this special education course (40 students per class), monitored and contributed to the online discussion forum and responded to student queries, and was responsible for all assessment components of the course.

Inclusive Practices for Children with Learning Disabilitites 4530). Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John€s, NL. June 20A2gust 2012.

- Provided instruction to special education degree students in small class settings (40 students peclass), and was responsible for all assessment components of the course.

Practicum in Special Educatio(D 3650). Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John€s, NL. June 2042 August 2012.

Provided instruction to special education degree studes state and class settings (40 students per class), and was responsible for all assessment components of the course.

Educational Psychology and Special Educat(EDUC 5005). Western University, London, ON. September 2009April 2011.

- Provided instruction tone-service students in small class settings (30 students per class), assessed botheless and endf-term assignments for two seminar sections, and provided two two our large group lectures (approximately 400 students attended both lectures).

TeachingAssistant

Childhood Youth and Societ@HYS 2P38). Brock University, St. Catharines, ON. September 2003April 2004.

- Led seminars surrounding weekly lecture topics, and analyzed articles and created activities to facilitate group discussion.
- Childhood Youth and Societ(CHYS 2P38) an Culture and Mental Health of Children and Youth(CHYS 3P09). Brock University, St. Catharines, ON. September 2003 April 2004.
 - Assessed undergraduate essays and evaluated final exams upon the completion of the term.

Related Service Experience:

<u>Vice President of the Boa</u>rd Learning Disability Association of OntaridLondon Region September 2007 June 2011.