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#### Abstract

The evolution of galaxies through cosmic time remains a key question in Extragalactic Astronomy. Globular Clusters (GCs) are believed to be good tracers of this evolution as they form during large star formation events. In this thesis we use spectroscopic data of GCs, taken using the FORS2 instrument on the Very Large Telescope, to create a catalogue of kinematics and metallicities for GCs in the S0 galaxy of NGC 3115. In order to do this the data was reduced using the ESO REFLEX program, creating 1D spectra for each of the GC targets. These were then fed into a modified version of the full spectrum fitting method 'pPXF' to extract the kinematics, metallicities and ages of each target. The dataset created via this method was then compared to, and combined with, supplementary data from other surveys to create a more complete catalogue of GCs in NGC 3115. From this catalogue there is evidence that the bimodal colour distribution is caused by a underlying bimodal metallicity distribution. Implying that at least two major star formation events have occurred in the history of NGC 3115.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

Understanding how galaxies form and evolve over time is a major unanswered question in extragalactic astronomy. A deeper knowledge of the processes that create and change galaxies, building the Universe we see around us, would allow accurate modelling of many aspects of the Universe to become a possibility, potentially opening the doors to understanding some of the other great questions in cosmology and astronomy.

With this in mind, the search has been for tracers in galaxies, that might shed light on the processes involved in galaxy formation and evolution, more specifically their star formation history over the Hubble time since the Universe formed $\sim 13$ Gigayears (Gyrs) ago. Given that $\sim 75 \%$ of the stellar mass in the local universe is in spheroids (Fukugita et al. 1998) (e.g. spiral bulges, halos and early-type galaxies), a tracer of this star formation needs to be formed from the same events as the bulk of stars, rather than low-level star formation in galaxies. This led astronomers to consider observing globular clusters (GCs) due to the observation that they are formed in significant numbers in galaxy mergers (Schweizer 2002; Ashman et al. 1992), which are thought to play a large role in galaxy evolution. Although the underlying processes forming GCs are not completely understood, there appears to
be evidence to suggest they are a good candidate as will be discussed.

### 1.1 Globular clusters in galaxy evolution

### 1.1.1 Globular Clusters

Globular clusters are collections of old stars (relative to the host galaxy) that are gravitationally bound. They are generally spherical in shape and have a higher stellar density towards their centre. It is believed that the formation of GCs occurs in single star formation events from large clouds of gas, leading to all the stars contained within the cluster having the same physical properties (age, metallicity, abundance ratio). This is known as a simple stellar population (SSP). An integrated spectrum from an SSP would then allow mean values for the physical properties to be obtained. This is a key assumption, in both previous and current studies.

All galaxies of sufficient mass will contain a population of GCs (Harris 1991a). This fact, along with the assumption that GCs are SSPs, enables GCs to be used to track star formation in their host galaxies, and to measure specific properties of stars at different epochs over the galaxy's lifetime. If multiple populations of GCs are detected, then there has been more than one distinct star formation event in the lifetime of the galaxy.

More recently, there have been studies which suggest that GCs may not all be the SSP that they were once presumed to be (Decressin et al. 2008; Marino et al. 2008; Ferraro et al. 2004). These studies have shown evidence of multiple populations of stars contained within single GCs possibly due to differing ages and He abundances (Piotto et al. 2007). So far this phenomenon has only been attributed to large GCs in the Milky Way galaxy and, given the constraints of current instruments, cannot be tested in extragalactic GCs. Therefore, although useful to acknowledge
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the potential of multiple populations, it is safe to continue to approximate GCs as SSPs, at least for the purposes of these studies.

### 1.1.2 Specific Frequency

A key property for relating a GC population to its host galaxy is the specific frequency $\left(S_{N}\right)$ (Harris et al. 1981). It is defined as the number of clusters per unit galaxy luminosity (Harris 1991a) and is defined numerically as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}=N_{G C} \times 10^{0.4\left(M_{V}+15\right)} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $N_{G C}$ is the total cluster population and $M_{V}$ is the galaxy absolute magnitude. $S_{N}$ can vary quite drastically between the different classifications of galaxies with spirals having $S_{N}<1$ (van den Bergh 1982) while ellipticals and S0s have $S_{N}$ between 2-6 (Harris et al. 1981; Kundu et al. 1998). Understanding why some galaxies were more adept at holding or creating GCs became known as the 'Specific frequency problem', as seen in Figure 1.1. What causes these differences in $S_{N}$, for different galaxies, has become integral in the development of galaxy formation models (Harris 1991a).

The formation of elliptical galaxies via major mergers of spirals, for example, was called into question by van den Bergh (1982) due to spirals having a lower $S_{N}$ than elliptical galaxies by a factor of $\sim 3-6$. However, Ashman et al. (1992) argued that $S_{N}$ would increase after a merger if new GCs were formed. Ashman et al. (1992) predicted that there would be two populations of GCs after the merger, a metalpoor one and a metal-rich one; these were indeed observed in elliptical galaxies shortly after (Zepf et al. 1993). However, this does not account for the high $S_{N}$ in S0 galaxies, as they are not expected to have been formed by mergers (West 1993). They have also been observed to contain bimodal populations of GCs (Kundu et al. 2001) but the processes that caused these are still being researched.


Figure 1.1: The upper plot shows galaxy luminosity against specific frequency, where the filled circles represent elliptical and S0 galaxies and the crossed circles represent spiral and irregular galaxies. Five giant ellipticals at the centres of rich clusters (Virgo, Fornax, Hydra, Coma, A2199) are denoted by the circled dots. The bottom plot shows specific frequency against morphological classification. $E_{R}$ and $E_{S}$ represent ellipticals in rich and sparse clusters respectively and $c_{D}$ shows the central-giant ellipticals from the upper plot. Taken from Harris (1991b).

### 1.1.3 Bimodality of GC populations

One of the most significant discoveries in the field of extragalactic GCs, is the observation that most colour distributions of GC systems are bimodal (Peng et al. 2006b), as can be seen in Figure 1.2. This suggests that the majority of massive galaxies contain at least two distinct sub-populations of GCs as seen in Figure 1.3. The differences in colour between the sub-populations could be caused by a difference in age, in metallicity or a combination of both. Therefore, spectroscopy of individual GCs is needed to identify the true cause.


Figure 1.2: Histogram of the V-I colour of GCs in M87 in the Virgo cluster. The histogram shows the GCs to have a bimodal colour distribution. Taken from Larsen et al. (2001).

After many GC surveys, both in the Milky Way and other galaxies, it has been
confirmed that the most likely cause of the difference in colour is due to differing metallicities in two older sub-populations of GCs (Kuntschner et al. 2002; Brodie et al. 2012; Barmby et al. 2000; Harris 1996). This suggests that there is an older metal-poor population, most likely formed during the galaxies' early formation, and a younger metal-rich population formed later. The findings of some of these studies, in particular ones more relevant to the research of this thesis, will be discussed later in this chapter.


Figure 1.3: Globular cluster mean metallicity against galaxy luminosity $\left(M_{B}\right)$ for metal-poor and metal-rich GC sub-populations in a variety of galaxies. Data is from Strader et al. (2004) and Strader et al. (2006) and was converted from V-I and g-z to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ by the relations of Barmby et al. (2000) and Peng et al. (2006a). Figure taken from Brodie et al. (2006)

In order to explain these multiple sub-populations, there needs to be a mechanism by which multiple star formation events are triggered. There are three widely discussed scenarios that could produce such events. The first idea is the major merger model Zepf et al. (1993), which built on research relating to the formation of elliptical (E)
galaxies by major mergers (Schweizer 2000; Toomre et al. 1972). It suggests that the metal-poor GCs come from the original disk galaxies, whilst the metal-rich GCs are formed during the merger, where the interaction between the two galaxies initiates a star formation event.

This model was examined by Forbes et al. (1997), who argued that the colour distributions of the GCs in the elliptical galaxies were not in line with what should be seen from a major merger. More precisely, it was discovered that the relative numbers of GCs and their colour distributions were not consistent with the predictions made by the theory. Forbes et al. (1997) offered an alternate theory, whereby the metal-poor GCs were formed in fragments of gas in the early stages of galaxy formation. GC formation then ceased for a few Gyrs, and then resumed, along with the formation of the field stars Forbes et al. 1997. This is known as multi-phase dissipational collapse. Forbes et al. (1997) suggested that this could occur via feedback, where gas was removed from the gas clouds, that earlier formed GCs, and then cooled over time, before eventually becoming dense enough to collapse, forming the new metal-rich GCs.

The third model, discussed for the origin of bimodality, is the accretion scenario (Côté et al. 1998). In this scenario, metal-rich GCs are formed in large seed galaxies and the metal-poor GCs are acquired, not from a second star formation event but via accretion from low mass neighbouring galaxies or satellites. This idea is plausible as there is a known connection between the mass of a host galaxy and the mean metallicity of its GC populations (Brodie et al. 1991; Forbes et al. 2001; van den Bergh 1975). However, it is reliant on two key assumptions:

- Firstly, that E galaxies have a 'zero-age' population of GCs that were formed during the initial collapse of the host galaxy and whose metallicity increased in line with galaxy mass.
- Secondly, that the initial galactic mass-function of the low-mass galaxies is
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steep. This is consistent with $\lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ models but is currently steeper than observations would suggest.

The actual cause of GC bimodality has still not been completely identified and it is hoped that studies such as the present one, performed on a variety of galaxies, may shed light on its true nature. Its implication, however, is that galactic star formation can be tracked using GC populations and when its origin is known, we can use GCs as a fossil record of what has happened to the galaxy since its formation.

### 1.2 The Lenticular (S0) Galaxy NGC 3115

NGC 3115 is a lenticular (or S 0 ) galaxy that is almost edge-on to the Milky Way, located in the Sextans constellation at a right ascension (RA) of $10^{h} 05^{m} 14.0^{s}$ and a declination (dec) of $-7^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ (Materne 1979). It is located at a distance of 9.7 Megaparsecs (Mpc) and has a radial velocity of $665[ \pm 39] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ (Paturel et al. 2002; Tonry et al. 2001). At this distance 3115 is one of the closest S 0 galaxies, making it a prime candidate for studies of extragalactic GC systems.

S0 galaxies are believed to be an intermediate type of galaxies between spirals and elliptical galaxies. They differ morphologically from elliptical galaxies as they contain a stellar disk. They do, however, share similarities as they both have little or no on-going star formation and share some spectral features and scaling relations. From galaxy surveys, there is an increase in population size of S0's since a redshift of 1 whilst simultaneously there has been a decrease in the number of spiral galaxies, particularly in cluster environments (Dressler et al. 1997). This leads to a conclusion that their evolution must somehow be linked.

One theory of their formation is that S 0 galaxies are spiral galaxies in which star formation has ceased and have been passively evolving since. This is plausible given
that the there is an absence of gas but presence of dust in the disk (Elmegreen et al. 2002). Moore et al. (1998) suggest that tidal harassment from nearby galaxies could contribute to this and cause the disappearance of the spiral structures in the galaxies arms. It has also been suggested that S 0 galaxies could be formed via merger processes. Burstein et al. (2005) and Sandage (2005) both found that S0's had a higher surface brightness than spiral galaxies, this could be accounted for if the S 0 was the result of a major merger.

The idea of S0's being faded spirals has also been tested by looking at GCs in S0 galaxies, Aragón-Salamanca et al. (2006) and Barr et al. (2007) showed that, as the age of the central region of an S 0 galaxy increased, so did its GC specific frequency. The increase measured is what would be expected if the galaxy was formed from the fading of a disk after star formation ceased. Figure 1.4 shows the findings of both studies. For younger S 0 galaxies their specific frequencies were closer to that of spirals whereas older S0's were closer to that of ellipticals supporting the theory of S0 galaxies being formed from fading spirals. Barr et al. (2007) also assert that, given there are no young S0's with a high specific frequency, large amounts of GCs cannot be created during S 0 formation. Or that if they are, the galaxy undergoes a large increase in luminosity at the same time.

### 1.3 Previous investigations of NGC 3115

The work contained in this thesis follows on from two previous studies of the GC system of NGC 3115. They are: 'VLT spectroscopy of NGC 3115 globular clusters' by Kuntschner et al. (2002) (hereafter K02) and 'The SLUGGS Survey: NGC 3115, A critical test case for metallicity bimodality in globular cluster systems' by Brodie et al. (2012) (hereafter B12). Our study examines GCs in the same area of the sky as both of these surveys, and so provides some overlap of results. This section will, therefore, examine in detail the results these two particular studies before giving an
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Figure 1.4: The graph shows derived ages for S 0 galaxies against their specific frequency. The dashed line is what is expected from a fading galaxy with a starting $S_{N}=0.4$, from the stellar population models of Bruzual et al. (2003). Taken from Barr et al. (2007)
overview of other studies that have been conducted on NGC 3115, and give their findings.

### 1.3.1 VLT spectroscopy of NGC 3115 globular clusters

K02 was the first spectroscopic study dedicated to looking at the GC system of NGC 3115 and is the foundation for our work. In this paper, $\sim 50$ globular cluster candidates were studied using the FORS2 spectrograph on the Very Large Telescope (VLT). Of that number, radial velocities for 28 GC candidates were obtained, which were then compared to the radial velocity of NGC 3115, to decide whether the candidate was a member of the NGC 3115 GC system. For their observations, K02 decided that the velocity cut-off would be between $200-1300 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$, and 26 of the candidates met this criterion.

To calculate metallicity from the line-strengths of the spectra, K02 enforced a cap

Figure 1.5: Histogram of (V-I) colours for GC candidates in NGC 3115 from Kuntschner et al. (2002) (filled histogram) and Kundu et al. (1998). Taken from Kuntschner et al. (2002).

on the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of $\geqslant 12$ per pixel which reduced the sample size to 17 clusters. From this sample, the mean radial velocity was $600[ \pm 12.6] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$, with a dispersion of $\sigma=215 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. The colour distribution of this sample can be seen in Figure 1.5. Out of the final 17 candidates, 9 had a high enough quality spectrum to obtain line strength indices and are also kinematically associated with NGC 3115. In order to obtain abundance ratios, metallicities and ages of their GCs the ratio of Mg to Fe , and line strengths of $\mathrm{H} \beta$ and $[\mathrm{MgFe}]$ were used. The line strength indices system used to obtain age and metallicity in this study was the LICK/IDS system (Worthey et al. 1997; Trager et al. 1998). The data from the FORS spectroscopy needed to be convolved with a gaussian kernel in order to match the resolution of the LICK/IDS system. The line strengths could then be measured against the LICK
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definitions and used in the analysis.

Metallicities and ages were calculated for each of the candidates in the sample. As the error bars are large in around half of the spectra, an error-weighted mean for age and metallicity was calculated. This gave mean ages of $12_{-2.0}^{+1.5}$ and $10.8_{-1.8}^{+1.7}$ Gyrs for the metal-poor and metal-rich clusters respectively. The average $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ was $-1.05 \pm 0.09$ for the metal-poor sample and $-0.26 \pm 0.05$ for the metal-rich sample; where the errors quoted are $1 \sigma$ values. K02 also examine the abundance ratios of the GCs in NGC 3115. They find that $[\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ varies from around the solar value to $\simeq 0.3$ and note that there appears to be no trend with colour. With both sub-populations containing varying abundance ratios.

K02 concluded from their data that there were two populations of GCs in NGC 3115 and that they had the same age of 11-12Gyr using the $H_{\beta}$ vs [ MgFe$]$ measurements. K02 also used higher order Balmer line measurements ( $\mathrm{H} \gamma, \mathrm{H} \delta$ ) and obtained ages of 7 and 5 Gyr for the blue and red clusters. This is a large offset from the ages obtained using $H_{\beta}$, and K02 believe this discrepancy is due to an inaccurate calibration of higher order Balmer lines in the models used for the age calculation. It is therefore suggested, that this measurement should not be taken as reliable and one should instead use the $H_{\beta}$ vs [ MgFe$]$ measurements for age estimates.

In the discussion of their findings, K02 do make the concession that their data is dominated by the bright end of the luminosity function and that this may play a role in the conclusions that can be drawn from the data. It cannot be concluded that the two populations are separated by age, as well as metallicity, as the data suggests that they both formed around 11-12 Gyr ago, although it is possible for the red clusters to be slightly younger.

It can be concluded from their data that the metal-rich clusters, with solar $[\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ abundance ratios, must have been well mixed containing the products of both Type 2 and Type 1a supernovae. Thus, knowing that Type 1a supernovae are delayed with


Figure 1.6: An age and metallicity diagram from Kuntschner et al. (2002). Using $[\mathrm{MgFe}]$ as the metallicity indicator and $H_{\beta}$ as the age indicator. The K02 sample of GCs are the open triangles and circles, representing the red and blue clusters respectively. The filled triangle and circle are the error weighted means of their respective cluster and the filled square represents the centre of NGC 3115 from Trager et al. (1998). The solid and dashed lines are the ages and metallicities from solar-abundance ratio SSP models by Thomas et al. (2002a) and Maraston (2002) over the ranges $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-2.25,-1.35,-0.33,0.00,0.35$ (dashed lines, left to right) and ages $3,5,8$ and 12 Gyr (solid lines from top to bottom).
respect to Type 2 in an SSP, those clusters with solar abundance ratios must have formed after the initial star burst event. K02 suggest that the possible scenarios needed to explain the observed abundance ratio distribution, are difficult to fit within a theory that only involves two formation events, creating the red and blue clusters.

K02 put forward a hypothesis that the metal-poor non-solar abundance ratio clusters are formed with the halo, and the metal-rich non-solar abundance ratio clusters are formed with the bulge during the galaxy's formation. Then around 1-2 Gyr later, the metal-rich solar ratio clusters are formed with the disk, possibly due to another star forming event (ie merger). In order for this scenario to be correct the disk stars would need to have near solar abundance ratios. The kinematics of the GCs in the disk would also be expected to be clearly different from GCs contained in the halo or bulge of NGC 3115. K02 therefore conclude, that more spectroscopic studies of GCs in nearby galaxies are needed in order to improve our understanding of the formation of GCs.

The study presented in this thesis, aims to address this issue by adding more GCs to the catalogue for NGC 3115 and at a larger galactocentric radii. This will help to remove any biases caused by the sample size of the data and the spatial distribution of different sub-populations.

### 1.3.2 The SLUGGS Survey: NGC 3115

The SLUGGS survey (The SAGES Legacy Unifying Globulars and GalaxieS) has looked at 25 early-type galaxies with a range of stellar masses, central stellar kinematics and environments all within a range of 30 Megaparsecs (Forbes 2017). It uses the Subaru Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) for its $g i$ photometry and Keck/DEIMOS for spectroscopy. Unlike the Kuntschner et al (2002) study, SLUGGS uses the CaT index that measures the Calcium II triplet at $\sim 850 \mathrm{~nm}$ for spectroscopic
metallicity estimates. In NGC 3115, B12 obtained kinematics and metallicities for $\sim 150$ GCs from different areas of the galaxy, and aimed to provide more clarity on the bimodality of the GC population.

B12 note, in their discussion, that there have been criticisms of using the CaT index as a measure for metallicity, as it may become less sensitive at high metallicities $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]>-0.4$ and that it may also be sensitive to changes in the horizontal branch morphology (Foster et al. 2010). However, B12 assert that SSP models have now shown these effects to be minimal and therefore would not impact on the results of their survey.

From the initial data set, a cut at $\mathrm{SNR}>12$ per Angstrom was set, to produce a sample of 71 GCs. Figure 1.7 shows the histogram of metallicities derived from the CaT index for the sample, and it clearly has a bimodal distribution. Overlain are predictions based on colour-metallicity relations suggested by models from Yoon et al. (2011) and Usher et al. (2012).


Figure 1.7: GC metallicity distribution functions (MDF) in NGC 3115. The solid line shows the MDF derived from the the CaT measurements. The dashed line is obtained from (g-i) colours using the Yoon et al. (2011) non-linear colour- $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ relation and the dotted line is obtained using the Usher et al. (2012) empirical colour-[Z/H] relation. Taken from Brodie et al. (2012)
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B12 conclude that the CaT index can effectively be used to obtain metallicities for GCs and that in NGC 3115 both the colour and CaT observations show a bimodal population. Figure 1.7 indicates that the suggestion by Yoon et al. (2006), that the populations are in fact uni-modal, and only appear bimodal due to an artefact of nonlinear colour-metallicity transformations, cannot be the case for NGC 3115.

The B12 study provides an excellent comparison sample for the study in this thesis, due to its large sample size and use of the CaT line to obtain metallicities. Whilst there could be potentially large offsets between the data due to the difference in methods used to obtain metallicity, if the results are consistent, it would prove to be a major verification for the theory that the bimodality is caused by metallicity and not age or horizontal branch offsets.

### 1.3.3 Other work

There have also been several photometric studies of the GC population of NGC 3115 in both the optical and in the infrared (Puzia et al. 2002; Cantiello et al. 2014; Jennings et al. 2014). The results of the colour distributions for an infrared and optical study can be seen in Figure 1.8 and Figure 1.9.

The infrared studies assumed the following relation in order to convert colour into [Fe/H] (Puzia et al. 2002):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F e / H]=-5.52( \pm 0.26)+1.82( \pm 0.11) \times(V-K) \tag{1.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whereas the optical studies used (Peng et al. 2006a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F e / H]=-6.21+(5.14 \pm 0.67) \times(g-z) \tag{1.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0.7<(g-z) \leq 1.05$ and outside of that range using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F e / H]=-2.75+(1.83 \pm 0.23) \times(g-z) \tag{1.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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This allowed the two results to be compared against each other, and along with agreeing on a bimodal colour distribution, the studies all agree that the two populations of GCs are separated by metallicity. A drop in the numbers of GCs with metallicities in the range $1.1>[Z / H]>0.4$ is found in the studies, creating a bimodal metallicity distribution. Comparing the photometric and spectroscopic studies shows that the conclusions from both are consistent with each other. All of the studies to date have agreed on a bimodal distribution for the GC population of NGC 3115 in both colour and metallicity.


Figure 1.8: V-K histogram plot of all sources (solid histogram) and GC candidates (shaded histogram) along with a probability-density estimate of the data (thick line) and it's $1 \sigma$ uncertainty (dotted lines) for NGC 3115. Taken from Puzia et al. (2002)


Figure 1.9: (g-i) histogram for Suprime-Cam imaging of detected GC candidates in NGC 3115 from Jennings et al. (2014). Overlaid is a Gaussian kernel density estimate which was normalised so the total number of GCs is the same as in the histogram.

It is also useful to examine other work that has been done on NGC 3115, such as
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integrated light spectroscopy of the galaxy. This allows comparisons between these findings and those obtained from observing the GC population.

Norris et al. (2006) looked at NGC 3115 using long slit spectroscopy from the GMOS instrument. The spheroid of NGC 3115 was found to have a similar age, metallicity and $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$ to that of the red GC population (Norris et al. 2006), which is suggested as an indication of a common origin. However, the study also found evidence of a younger ( $5-8 \mathrm{Gyr}$ ) and chemically enriched stellar population in the disk, meaning it was likely formed in a different star formation event to the spheroid. An issue of Kuntschner et al (2002) was also addressed, as the offset in ages obtained from $\mathrm{H} \beta$ and higher order Balmer lines can be explained mostly by a varying $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$.

In relation to the GC population, Norris et al. (2006) argue that the red GC population and the spheroid could have formed at the same star formation epoch, due to their shared parameters, whereas the blue GC population probably came from the initial star formation burst when the halo was formed.

### 1.4 Outline of this thesis

The aim of this thesis is to continue the work of these studies and add to the catalogue of kinematics and metallicities for GCs in NGC 3115. The original objective of the observations that this thesis is based on, was to measure spectroscopic ages, metallicities and $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$ ratios for around 100 GCs , along with measuring velocities for $\sim 200$ GCs at a range of radii from the galactic centre.

In this thesis, the methods used for the data reduction and analysis of the observations will be presented, as well as discussing the implications of the results and comparing them to the previous studies of the system.

The general structure will be as follows:
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- Chapter 2 presents the data reduction including the methods and software used to accomplish it. It will also look at the quality of the data and any problems that occurred during the reduction.
- Chapter 3 presents the data analysis and explores how the pPXF programme (Cappellari 2017) can be used to derive ages and metallicities for GC populations. It will also look at the issues encountered and what could be done to increase the quality and reliability of the results.
- Chapter 4 presents the results of this analysis including final kinematics and metallicities, along with data from other studies to create a more complete catalogue of GCs for NGC 3115
- Chapter 5 presents a discussion of the results, in the context of GC formation theories, and the conclusions that can be drawn from them. It will also present a summary of the thesis and areas of potential future research.
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## Chapter 2

## Observations and Data Reduction

The study presented in this thesis focuses on spectroscopic observations the GC population of NGC 3115 on a larger scale than had been previously attempted at the time of the observations, covering a range of targets at various galactocentric radii. The main aims of this study are:

- To measure ages, metallicities and $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$ ratios of $\sim 100 \mathrm{GCs}$ around NGC 3115
- To measure velocities of $\sim 200 \mathrm{GCs}$ with which to constrain the dark matter (DM) content and profile of NGC 3115

The observations were taken in 2008-2009 and, at the time, would have been the largest study of the GC population in NGC 3115. Since then the SLUGGS survey has taken place, observing at least as many GCs as this study, and covering a similar area of the galaxy. However, not only are the majority of the target GCs different between the two surveys, but repeat measurements of GCs, in different studies, will only help to confirm findings. Also, both studies have used different methods of obtaining metallicities and differing wavelength ranges, so the comparisons between
them will provide insight into the reliability of the methods used and any systematic offsets.

### 2.1 Instrument Description

The data for this study was obtained using the FORS2 spectrograph instrument on the ESO Very Large Telescope (VLT). The VLT is operated by the European Southern Observatory (ESO) and consists of 4 main Unit Telescopes (UT 1-4), each with an 8.2 m diameter mirror. The observatory is located on Cerro Paranal in the Atacama Desert of northern Chile, with coordinates of $24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 70^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and an altitude of $2,635 \mathrm{~m}$. These telescopes can either work individually, as in this case, or in conjunction with each other, to create a large interferometer (ESO 2011b).

FOcal Reducer/low dispersion Spectrograph 2 (FORS2) is a multi-function optical instrument that is located at UT1's Cassegrain focus (see Figure 2.1). It can observe in imaging, polarimetry, long slit and multi-object spectroscopy modes over a wavelength range of 330-1100 nm (Appenzeller et al. 1998). For the observations described here the instrument was used in Multi-Object Spectroscopic Mask (MXU) mode and the observations were taken in service time. This allows for the most GC targets to be observed simultaneously in each exposure, with a mask produced containing a set number of slits covering the GC targets in each field. In order to achieve this, the FORS Instrumental Mask Simulator (FIMS) is used to create the masks in advance of the observations. FIMS has a graphical user interface which enables users to see the FORS field of view and position the slits of the MXU mask on the image (see Figure 2.2). This enables users to create optimised masks that cover as many targets as possible within the field of view.

This telescope and instrument were selected for this study due to the criteria needed to successfully observe GCs in NGC 3115. In order to obtain accurate metallicities,


Figure 2.1: Diagram showing a schematic view of the FORS instrument at the Cassegrain focus of UT1. Taken from the FORS2 User Manual.


Figure 2.2: An example of FIMS being used to place slits on a field whilst in MXU mode. Taken from the FIMS manual.
a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of around 12 per Angstrom (Kuntschner et al. 2002) is needed. Therefore, for objects at the distance of 9.7 Mpc , and the luminosity of a GC (typically $\sim M_{V}=-6.5$ ), a large telescope such as the VLT is required. FORS2 was chosen due to its large field of view $\left(6^{\prime} .8 \times 6^{\prime} .8\right.$ for standard resolution and $4^{\prime}, 2 \times 44^{\prime} .2$ for high resolution), allowing observations of GCs at a range of galactocentric radii (up to 30 kpc ). In order to probe to even larger galactocentric radii, some fields were centred on the major axis of NGC 3115, and also offset into the halo (Figure 2.3).


Figure 2.3: All possible GC candidate targets, identified from pre-imaging exposures taken with the same instrument. Each colour represents a field around NGC 3115 which can be identified by the legend. The image of NGC 3115 is obtained from the SDSS survey (Abolfathi et al. 2017).

The observations were taken over two observing runs, as the initial run didn't observe all of the requested fields. They have the program ID's: 083.B-0720(A) and 081.B0633(B). The observations covered 5 fields in NGC 3115, as seen in Figure 2.3. The
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Table 2.1: The Field ID, Mask ID, central coordinates and exposure times of the masks used in this study.

| Field | Mask ID | RA | Dec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Exposure Time (s)

areas selected covered targets previously observed in Kuntschner et al. (2002), along with previously unknown GC candidates based on the pre-imaging. The locations of the masks along with their IDs and exposure times can be seen in Table 2.1.

### 2.2 Target selection and Pre-imaging

Pre-imaging of the fields was taken in order to aid target selection and the reduction of these images was completed by Dr Mark Norris. The pre-imaging was taken using V,R and I filters, each for an exposure time of $\sim 35$ seconds. The imaging was also zero pointed to match the photometry of Puzia et al. (2004), which allowed the two sets of data to be directly compared. The depth of the pre-imaging can be seen in Figure 2.5, which is $\sim 24.5$ magnitude. Although as seen from the figure the cut-off magnitude used was slightly lower than this as seen in Figure 2.4.

From the pre-imaging, objects were extracted using SExtractor (Bertin et al. 1996) and several cuts were applied. These cuts removed any objects that had an R-band ellipticity of less than 0.4 and an R-band Class Star of greater than 0.5. This ensured that the objects were close to round and not obviously extended sources, in order to attempt to remove any background galaxies. As seen in Figure 2.5 there were


Figure 2.4: This plot shows V Magnitude against the number of targets in each magnitude bin. It contains all targets selected by FIMS in each of the 5 fields in the study. The magnitude cut-off of the survey of $\sim 23.5$ can be seen from the histogram. The vertical line shows the expected peak of a Gaussian GC luminosity function with $M_{V}=-7.5$
also cuts applied to the V and R magnitudes and the V-I and V-R colours, shown by the dashed lines in the plot. These restricted objects to magnitudes and colours where GCs are expected to be found. The plot also shows known galaxies denoted by the green dots and spectroscopically confirmed GCs in blue and red from Puzia et al. (2004) for comparison.

Using these criteria, the FIMS mask creation software was then used to create the masks for the observations. The targets had a designated priority based upon how closely they met the cut-off criteria or had other special features (ie previously untargeted object). FIMS uses this information, along with the location of the targets, to optimally select the most targets, with the highest priority, to place on the masks.

There were approximately 60 slits per mask and 5 masks in total. For the observations 1" wide slits were used with the 600B grism, it has a central wavelength of 465 nm , range of $330-621 \mathrm{~nm}$ and dispersion on $50 \AA / \mathrm{nm}$ (ESO 2011a). The observations were taken over a wavelength range of $330-621 \mathrm{~nm}$ (depending on the position of the slit) and had a resolution of $\sim 4.8 \AA$, full width half maximum (FWHM), with 1.2 pixels per Angstrom and a seeing of $\leqslant 1.0^{\prime \prime}$. Each field had a total exposure of 13,500 s, split over 5 exposures of 2700 s, apart from field 1 which had a total exposure of $10,800 \mathrm{~s}$ split into 4 exposures of 2700 s . The wavelength range covered by the observations varies slightly based on the positions of the slits on the mask. The selected range allowed coverage of some of the key absorption lines that can be used to determine metallicity, such as: G band (4304 $\AA$ ), $\mathrm{H} \beta(4862 \AA), \mathrm{Mg} \beta$ (5175 $\AA$ ) and Fe5270 (5270 $\AA$ ), as can be seen in Figure 2.7.


Figure 2.5: These four plots summarise the GC target selection process. For the pre-imaging the photometry was zero pointed to match the V, R and I data of Puzia et al. (2004). The top plots show the cut-off points based on the SExtractor star-galaxy separation parameter (Class Star) and ellipticity from the R photometry (from SExtractor). The ellipticity cut-off was less than 0.4 and the Class Star greater than 0.5, ensuring the sources were close to round and not too extended. WFPC2 imaging was used as a training set to help determine which objects were galaxies. The bottom plots show the cuts based on V magnitude and V-R and V-I colours, where the green circles are objects determined to be galaxies, based on WFPC2 photometry. and the blue and red points are spectroscopically confirmed GCs from Puzia et al. (2004).



Figure 2.6: On the left plot is the position of targets of Field 5 overlaid on an image of NGC 3115. The right plot shows the MXU mask of the same targets and the differing length of the slits.
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Figure 2.7: Sample spectra of NGC 3115 GC targets, of varying metallicity, from the 081.B0633(B) observation of Field 1. Dashed lines show key rest wavelength absorption features. The redshift of the GCs is visible from the discrepancy between the rest wavelength and the location of the absorption features in the spectra.

### 2.3 Data reduction pipeline

In order to reduce the data, the ESO Reflex software was used, in conjunction with the FORS2 reduction pipeline (Freudling et al. 2013). This allowed the standard set of reduction steps to be completed with relative ease, along with the ability to check products of the reduction at each stage. Reflex (Freudling et al. 2013) is a graphical environment that allows a simple way of reducing VLT data, it is very similar to Esorex (ESO 2016) but automates the data organisation of each product created at every stage of the reduction. It is also interactive, and so parameters used in the reduction can be changed within the graphical environment. This means the effects of changing each parameter can be viewed before the data is completely reduced.

Firstly a master bias is created for each exposure automatically, it first finds the bias frames, which are provided with the observations, and the readout noise for each
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Figure 2.8: The FORS_CALIB interactive window where each section represents a different process step of the reduction. Top left shows the wavelength-calibrated arc lamp frame, centre left shows the positions of detected arc lines in the rectified frame and bottom left shows the residuals between predicted and detected arc line positions. The top right shows the slits positions in pixel values and the centre and bottom right are the raw and normalised master flat fields
frame is obtained from the standard deviation in each detector readout port. The master bias is produced by combining the bias frames using the median values and its error then appropriately propagated. This master bias is then subtracted from any of the raw files used in the pipeline. Reflex then begins the reduction by calling two procedures: fors_calib and fors_science. These procedures perform the bulk of the data reduction using interactive windows and are described in detail below.

### 2.3.1 FORS_CALIB

The fors_calib recipe first takes the grism table, arc lamp exposures, master bias, reference line catalogue and spectral screen flat exposures from the observation logs and downloads the relevant files using the ESO archive server. The recipe then identifies reference lines on the arc lamp exposure and fits a polynomial that maps the pixel space to wavelength space. In the case of this study, the lamps FlatBlue+4 and FlatRed +3 were used with the arc lines used for identification documented in Table 2.2. It then traces the edges of the spectra on the flat field, allowing the recipe to create a normalised flat field frame and the spectral extraction mask which is applied in the scientific data reduction. The spectra are also rectified using the arc lines to correct for the curvature of the spectra on the slits.

Figure 2.8 shows the fors_calib interactive window; in each panel a different calibration process is being shown. Top left shows the wavelength-calibrated arc lamp frame, there should not be any empty rows as that indicates an error in the detection of the arc lines. Centre left shows the positions of detected arc lines in the rectified frame and once again should not show any empty rows. Bottom left shows the residuals between predicted and detected arc line positions, for which the majority should be less than 0.5 pixels. Outliers are allowed so long as there is not a trend, and any badly fit lines can be added to an ignore list. The top right shows the slit positions in pixel values, which should not be curved or overlapping. Centre and bottom right are the raw and normalised master flat fields. The red lines indicate the edges of the slits and this, along with the area of each slit, should be the same in both panels.

Table 2.2: The master arc line catalogue used in fors_calib

| Wavelength $(\AA)$ | Chemical Ion | Line set |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3466.92 | Cd I | standard |
| 3611.69 | Cd I | standard |
| 3650.153 | Hg I | standard |
| 3888.643 | He I | standard |
| 4026.206 | He I | standard |
| 4046.563 | Hg I | standard |
| 4358.328 | Hg I | standard |
| 4471.501 | He I | standard |
| 4678.149 | Cd I | standard |
| 4713.173 | He I | standard |
| 4799.912 | Cd I | standard |
| 4921.931 | He I | standard |
| 5015.678 | He I | standard |
| 5085.822 | Cd I | standard |
| 5460.735 | Hg I | standard |
| 5769.363 | Hg I | standard |
| 5790.663 | Hg I | standard |
| 5875.663 | He I | standard |
| 6438.47 | Cd I | extended |
| 6678.152 | He I | extended |
| 7065.249 | He I | extended |
|  |  |  |
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$\left[\right.$| Recipe Parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Response | Sky subtraction |
| resp_fit_nknots | 30 |
| resp_fit_degree | $\boxed{-1}$ |
| resp_ignore_mode | stellar_absorption,telli |
| resp_ignore_points |  |
| resp_use_flat_sed | $\boxed{\text { grism_table }}$ |
|  |  |





## $x=5441.48 \quad y=16263$

Figure 2.9: This figure shows the interactive window for fors_science (response). The top panel is the extracted standard star spectrum, the centre panel shows the raw response (ratio of reference spectrum and observed spectrum integrated over same bins as reference spectrum) and fit. The bottom panel shows the flux-calibrated standard star spectrum and reference.

### 2.3.2 FORS_SCIENCE

The fors_science reduction is completed in two parts. The first is shown in Figure 2.9 and is the fitting of the spectrophotometric response curve using a standard star. This is done to account for any effect the response of the instrument may have on the shape of the spectra. Once the response has been calculated using a spectrophotometric standard, the correction can be applied to all the spectra obtained in the next stage of the recipe. At the top of Figure 2.9 is the extracted star spectrum. The centre panel shows the raw response (the ratio of the reference spectrum and observed spectrum integrated over same bins as reference spectrum) and the best fit, represented by the dots and blue line respectively. Finally, the fluxcalibrated standard star spectrum and reference spectrum is shown in the bottom panel; the red line is the star spectrum after the response curve is calibrated while the blue and green is the reference data. Any discrepancy between the blue and green dots here represents an error in the wavelength calibration, whilst differences between the red and blue indicate errors in the inter/extrapolation.

Sky subtraction takes place with the spectral extraction, with each individual spectrum having the sky above and below the object sampled to create a sky spectrum. Figure 2.10 shows how the sky subtraction and spectral extraction has been performed. The top panel shows the mapped and sky-subtracted 2 -dimensional spectrum, that has also been wavelength calibrated and rectified. Also indicated are the extraction limits of each spectrum, shown by the yellow and red lines. Each spectrum can be viewed in the bottom panel to check if the sky has been acceptably removed. Figure 2.11 shows a summary of the products that running FORS_SCIENCE produces and the definition for each, taken from the FORS User manual.


Figure 2.10: The FORS_SCIENCE interactive window where the top panel shows the mapped sky-subtracted 2-dimensional spectrum which has also been wavelength calibrated and rectified and the bottom panel shows the final 1d spectrum for a typical target. Underneath is a close up of an individual GC target as seen on the slit.
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mapped_all_sci_mxu.fits: image with rectified and wavelength calibrated slit spectra. Always produced.
mapped_sci_mxu.fits: image with rectified, wavelength calibrated, and sky subtracted slit spectra. Only produced if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
mapped_sky_sci_mxu.fits: image with rectified and wavelength calibrated slit sky spectra. Only produced if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
object_table_sci_mxu.fits: positions of the spectra on the CCD and on the mapped images, and positions of the detected objects within the slits. Only created if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
reduced_sci_mxu.fits: image with extracted objects spectra. Only created if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
reduced_sky_sci_mxu.fits: image with the sky spectrum corresponding to each of the extracted objects spectra. Only created if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
reduced_error_sci_mxu.fits: image with the statistical errors corresponding to the extracted objects spectra. Only created if at least one sky subtraction method is specified.
sky_shifts_slit_sci_mxu.fits: table containing the observed sky lines offsets that were used for adjusting the input wavelength solutions. Only created if the parameter "skyalign" is set.
unmapped_sci_mxu.fits: image with the sky subtracted scientific spectra on the CCD. Only created if either of the parameters "skylocal" and "skyglobal" are set.
unmapped_sky_sci_mxu.fits: image with the modeled sky spectra on the CCD. Only created if either of the parameters "skylocal" and "skyglobal" are set.

Figure 2.11: This shows the products of running FORS_SCIENCE and the definition of each as specified in the FORS User Manual. Taken from the FORS User Manual.

### 2.3.3 Verification of the FORS2 reduction pipeline

After the reduction pipeline has been run, the final 2-D spectra and some reference and calibration data are created. This allows the processes carried out in the pipeline reduction to be checked, to ensure they have worked as intended. The wavelength calibration can be checked in MAPPED_ALL_SCI_MXU, which shows the spectra after the removal of optical and spectral distortions. The wavelength calibration has been successful if the bright sky lines are straight and aligned vertically, as seen in Figure 2.12 (an analysis of this is found in Chapter 3). As mentioned previously, the sky subtraction can also be checked using the error spectra created. This is done by ensuring the residual noise is compatible with the statistical error associated to the extracted object spectra, as stated in the FORS2 data reduction manual (Izzo et al. 2012).

### 2.3.4 Final Data Processing

The 2-D spectra produced were split into individual files for further processing. This was accomplished using the scopy function in Pyraf (Pyraf is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA). The 1-D spectra were then identified using the object tables created during the reduction, so that they could be correctly co-added with other exposures from the same field. Scombine was used to combine the spectra, with average sigma clipping used to reject anomalous pixels. The spectra were then scaled and combined based on the median value. This produced the final 1-D spectra, that are used in the data analysis, an example of which can be seen in Figure 2.13.

From the initial target list of $\sim 280$ slits, 244 reduced 1-D spectra were obtained (as seen in Table 1); leaving a large sample size to perform further analysis on. The loss of spectra is mostly due to the spectral extraction, as some objects were too faint


Figure 2.12: 2-D spectra of targets in Field 1, after wavelength calibration. Note the prominent sky lines visible towards the right side which can be used to check the wavelength calibration from the data reduction. Each of the lighter coloured rectangles correspond to a 2D spectrum extracted from one of the multi-slits shown in Figure 2.10.


Figure 2.13: 1-D spectrum of a target in Field 3, displayed using the Python implementation of IRAF (Pyraf). This spectrum has been completely reduced and co-added to increase the SNR. The total exposure time for this spectrum was $13,500 \mathrm{~s}$. Units on the y -axis are in ADU per second.
to be detected by Reflex.

## Chapter 3

## Data Analysis and Model Fitting

There are two main methods for extracting metallicities from an integrated GC spectrum. These are the Lick/IDS line strength system and the full spectral fitting method. The Lick/IDS system is commonly used to measure the line strengths at optical wavelengths (Worthey et al. 1997; Trager et al. 1998). During 1972 to 1984 S.Faber and collaborators used the Image Dissector Scanner (IDS) to obtain spectra for many hundreds of stars in the Milky Way, creating a large library which was used to define the line strength system, originally containing 11 indices (Faber et al. 1985). This has more recently been updated to include more than 25 indices, including more age sensitive indices, such as $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, by Worthey et al. (1997). The combination of the large number of indices, and range of stellar types within the library, have made the Lick/IDS system an important method for creating SSP models. It is then possible to compare obtained spectra to these theoretical SSP models in order to determine parameters such as age, metallicity and $[\alpha / \mathrm{Fe}]$.

The full spectral fitting method can also be used to obtain properties of unresolved stellar populations, such as GCs (Foster et al. 2011; Lützgendorf et al. 2012; Lützgendorf et al. 2013). This method involves comparing spectra with grids of synthetic stellar population templates, of various ages and metallicities, and finding
the best matching combination of templates. This enables ages and metallicities to be estimated from the observations. These templates are also convolved with a line-of-sight velocity distribution (LOSVD) to estimate kinematics from the spectrum.

### 3.1 Introduction to pPXF

In order to obtain kinematics and metallicities from the GC spectra in this study, the publicly available distribution of the Penalised Pixel Fitting Method (pPXF), developed by Cappellari and Emsellem (Emsellem et al. 2004; Cappellari 2017) was used. pPXF was chosen as it allows kinematics and metallicities to be easily extracted via the method of full spectrum fitting. It uses large numbers of template spectra to reduce the risk of template mismatch and, therefore, inaccurate results being obtained. pPXF fits the spectra in pixel space, using a maximum penalised likelihood approach, making it easier for the user to mask emission lines and bad pixels in the fit.

In order to produce the best fit spectrum, pPXF creates an optimal fitting template, for each input spectrum, formed by varying contributions from multiple user defined stellar population templates. Legendre polynomials are used to account for differences in continuum shape between the input spectra and the templates used. pPXF trials many LOSVD and, for each, a combination of templates is selected, using a linear least-squares method (Lawson et al. 1974), to create the optimal template with an associated set of template weights. These weights reflect the fraction of how much each stellar population template contributes to the optimal template, over the wavelength range. The goodness of fit for each trial is calculated via a $\chi^{2}$ value and the template weights with the best $\chi^{2}$ are used. From this, values for the kinematics, age and metallicity of the input spectra can be calculated, based upon the weights of the contributing templates.

### 3.2 Setup and use of pPXF

In order to use pPXF to obtain kinematics and metallicities using the data in this study, some modifications to the code in the public distribution had to be made. The new code created was checked using the examples given in the pPXF distribution, in order to ensure it worked as intended. The main change made was to ensure that the wavelength start and end points for each spectrum could be changed individually, based on where the number of counts were non-zero. Without this, pPXF produced incorrect results, as it was including invalid data in the fit, due to the nature of multi-slit spectroscopy.

In order to fit the data, 144 stellar population models from Vazdekis et al. (2010) were used as the template spectra. These models are from the Medium-resolution Isaac Newton Telescope Library of Empirical Spectra (MILES) (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006). This library is used primarily as it covers the wavelength range of the spectra in this study (3525-7500 $\AA$ ), in addition to covering a large range of stellar ages ( 0.063 to 17.78 Gyr ) and metallicities $([\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-2.32$ to +0.22$)$. These templates are based on the solar value of $[\alpha / F e]$, meaning all metallicities extracted will be based on this assumption.

The use of pPXF was split into two parts (kinematics and metallicity) and separate scripts were created for each. When fitting for kinematics, the user is given the option of how many LOSVD parameters to fit for (mean velocity, velocity dispersion, h 3 and h 4$). \mathrm{h} 3$ and h 4 are the Gauss-Hermite coefficients and represent the the skewness and kurtosis of the velocity distribution of the GCs. In the case of NGC 3115 GC spectra, obtaining even velocity dispersion is difficult. This is because the typical velocity dispersion of GC's are $>10 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ which is too low to be resolved given the spectral resolution of FORS2. This was verified by testing in pPXF, which always returned a value of $1 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. Therefore only the mean velocity produced by pPXF will be given in the final results.
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The majority of the code for these two implementations is the same, with key differences being the use of multiplicative legendre polynomials (instead of additive legendre polynomials) to account for the shape of the spectra, and the application of regularization, both of which are used in the metallicity implementation. When pPXF fits a spectrum, it assigns weights to each of the stellar templates used in the fitting and, in order to obtain meaningful values for metallicity using pPXF, these weights need to be regularized. This is because obtaining the star formation history (SFH), and therefore metallicity, from integrated spectra is an ill-posed problem (Engl et al. 2000; Cappellari 2017). Regularization in pPXF is performed using the REGUL keyword, using equation (19.5.10) of Press (2007).

For each spectrum an appropriate value for $R E G U L$ had to be obtained and the method for doing so is set out in the documentation for pPXF. The basic process is as follows:

- Perform an unregularized fit ( $R E G U L=0$ )
- Re-scale the NOISE spectrum so that $\chi^{2} / N_{D O F}=1$. This is done in Python by NOISE $=$ NOISE*sqrt(pp.chi2).
- Iteratively change $R E G U L$ until $\Delta \chi^{2}=\chi^{2}-\chi_{u n r e g u l}^{2}=\sqrt{2 \times \operatorname{len}(\text { goodPixels })}$

In order to decrease the amount of computation time needed to perform this process, the input GC and noise spectra, along with the template spectra, were normalised. This forced the range of values $R E G U L$ could take to be similar in all spectra, and typically two orders of magnitude lower than the counts values. The value obtained for the regularization using this method is the the maximum that enables the SFH to be the smoothest (minimum curvature or variation) but still consistent with the data (Cappellari 2017). Once each spectrum has an associated value for $R E G U L$, pPXF was then used to obtain kinematics and metallicity values for each spectrum. The value obtained for velocity was checked against the version of the code that did
not use $R E G U L$, to ensure that pPXF was working as intended, as the kinematics result should not be overly reliant on the regularization, as found in testing.

In order to ensure that the results would be reliable, and comparable to other studies, different cut-off points were selected for the minimum SNR for kinematics and metallicities. The signal to noise ratio was calculated for each pixel and then averaged over the entire spectrum. For kinematics a $\operatorname{SNR} \geq 6$ per pixel was chosen and for metallicities it was set higher at a $\mathrm{SNR} \geq 10$ per pixel. This ensured that the errors obtained weren't too large as to make the results unusable. Errors were calculated using a Monte Carlo approach (Newman et al. 1999). Each spectrum had an additional noise spectrum created using the combined errors of read-out noise, Poisson noise and sky noise. Using this, the spectra were randomly redrawn, for each trial, and fit 200 times. Values for mean velocity and metallicity were obtained; taking the standard deviation of these values gave the $1 \sigma$ error in these parameters.

### 3.3 Reliability of results

When fitting using pPXF, the option to display the fit is given. This gives an opportunity to inspect the goodness of fit by eye, although it shouldn't be used to discount a target without additional reasons. It has however, provided a useful tool to inspect whether the results given by pPXF are realistic, based on whether the optimal template produced fits the absorption features of the input spectra. This section will show some examples of fits to the data before providing the final results of the data analysis.

In Figure 3.1 there are two fits to the same spectrum. The top plot was obtained after running the kinematics code and therefore the $R E G U L$ option of pPXF is turned off. The bottom plot shows the fit of the same spectra where $R E G U L$ is
used. From the figure it is possible to see that the bottom plot seems to better fit the absorption features depth, indicating a more reliable obtained value for the metallicity of the target.

In some spectra is was not possible for pPXF to accurately fit the spectra and the causes of these problems are not always obvious. However, there were some common features when pPXF could not perfectly fit a spectrum. In Figure 3.2 we see a star that has contaminated the data set, due to the usage of SSP models for the pPXF fitting the absorbtion lines are not correctly accounted for.

In order to see if the results obtained are reasonable and believable, some checks were carried out. Firstly the SNR was plotted against the V magnitude of the targets observed, from Figure 3.3 we can see that as magnitude increases the SNR decreases, which is what we would expect. In Figure 3.4 the SNR has been plotted against velocity error (from pPXF), this allows us to see if the the size of the errors increases as the quality of the spectra decreases. Indeed we can that this is the case for the majority of the targets, there are some outliers with very large errors, which may indicate a problem with those specific spectra. This plot can also be used to see if the kinematic SNR cut-off is appropriate. As can be seen in the plot, once the SNR gets to 5-6 the numbers of large errors is increasing and so it would not make sense to decrease the cut-off as the velocities would become meaningless.

Figure 3.5 shows the SNR plotted against the pPXF obtained metallicities. Generally it follows the same trend as with the kinematics with lower SNR meaning a larger error. There are some spectra that have suspiciously low error for a low SNR, potentially indicating a problem with obtaining the errors from pPXF in certain spectra. In Figure 3.6 the scatter on the 5577 Åline, from Field 1, is shown. This gives an indication of the accuracy of the wavelength calibration performed during the data reduction process.

June 5, 2018


Figure 3.1: A GC spectrum from Field 5 (Object ID 5_47). Top panel shows the fit using the kinematics implementation of pPXF. The bottom panel shows the fit using the metallicity implementation in which the depth of the absorption features are clearly better incorporated. In each plot the the black line is the original observed spectrum and the overplotted red line is the best fit spectrum created by pPXF. The green dots are the residuals from the fitting process, the green vertical lines and blue lines show areas of large residuals from the fit and the difference between the spectra and the model.
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Figure 3.2: A star spectrum from Field 5 (Object ID 5_27) with incorrect fitting. This is because the models used are for SSPs and therefore do not account for single hot stars.


Figure 3.3: This plot shows the SNR and the V magnitude of all the GC targets for which kinematics could be extracted. As would be expected there is the general trend that as the magnitude increases the SNR decreases.
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Figure 3.4: In this plot the SNR and the error from the pPXF velocities are shown. The errors increase in size with decreasing SNR and begin to get much larger as the cut-off SNR is reached. This therefore shows that the cut-off SNR is appropriate and going to lower SNR would not yield any useful results.


Figure 3.5: In this plot the SNR and the error from the pPXF $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ errors are shown.


Figure 3.6: This plot shows the scatter in the sky line at $5577 \AA$ in one of the slits after the data reduction process has taken place.

### 3.4 Results of the data analysis

The final results for velocities and metallicities obtained from the pPXF fits are shown in Appendix A, Table 2 and a subset of the results are shown here, in Table 3.1. In order to improve the fits it may be possible to include more templates, 144 templates were used but there is the option to add more from the MILES database. However, based on other studies in the literature, this number of templates should be sufficient for the majority of cases.

Table 3.1: Table of GC targets listing: Object ID, SNR, Velocity, Velocity Error, metallicity and metallicity error obtained from pPXF. Complete table given in Appendix A.

| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \_12$ | 37 | 187 | 3 | -0.43 | 0.07 |
| $1 \_14$ | 6 | 1074 | 242 |  |  |
| $1 \_15$ | 5 | 484 | 23 |  |  |
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| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \_19$ | 21 | 1127 | 5 | -0.19 | 0.08 |
| $1 \_21$ | 7 | 992 | 29 |  |  |
| $1 \_22$ | 8 | 476 | 69 |  |  |
| $1 \_24$ | 9 | 223 | 223 | -0.79 | 0.13 |
| $1 \_25$ | 9 | 822 | 14 | -0.21 | 0.07 |

## Chapter 4

## Results and Analysis

From the initial 266 GC candidate targets, 111 had a high enough SNR to extract kinematics and 67 passed the threshold for useful metallicity determination. At the distance of NGC 3115, it is difficult to ensure that only GCs are detected using purely photometric (image size and shape) data. To ensure that the sample was not contaminated with background galaxies or foreground stars, a velocity cut was therefore imposed. NGC 3115 has a heliocentric radial velocity of $663[ \pm 4] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ (Tonry et al. 2001; Paturel et al. 2002). Using this, and the velocity cut from Kuntschner et al. (2002), our sample therefore contains objects with radial velocities between $200 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ and $1300 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ (including errors). After this cut, there are 69 remaining candidates with velocities and 31 with metallicities, the locations of which can be seen in Figure 4.1. Therefore, $65 \%$ of the candidates, with SNR greater than 6 per pixel, were deemed dynamically associated with NGC 3115.

Using these remaining targets, a V-I vs V colour-magnitude plot was produced, along with a colour histogram (see Figure 4.2). The cut off between the red and blue GCs is the mean value of the full HST sample from Kundu et al. (1998), given as $(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I})=1.06$. These plots show that there is an excess of red GCs, and we would therefore expect the metallicity results to reflect this as colour and metallicity are


Figure 4.1: The positions of the red and blue GC targets, after the velocity cut, are shown overplotted on an image of NGC 3115. North is to the bottom of the image and East is to the left.
clearly linked in GC populations (Barmby et al. 2000; Kundu et al. 2007; Spitler et al. 2008). Of the final 69 targets, 47 were classified as red GCs and 26 as blue GCs. There is, however, no obvious bimodality in the colours of the target population (as seen in Figure 4.2), although the low number of GC targets may be a cause of this.

### 4.1 Kinematics of NGC 3115 Globular Clusters

The 69 radial velocities are obtained from GCs at various projected galacto-centric radii (see Figure 4.3). The confirmed GCs have an average distance of 10.6 kpc , compared with the average of all the initial GC targets of 12.9 kpc . The majority ( $74 \%$ ) of the targets for which kinematics could be extracted are found within 15 kpc . The average velocity of the GCs is $602[ \pm 29] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ which agrees with the observed radial velocity of NGC 3115 , to within the errors. A histogram showing the velocity distribution of the targets can be seen in Figure 4.4. Taking the recessional velocity


Figure 4.2: Both plots contain the GC targets with V-I less than 2.00, there is one target off the plot with V-I $=2.58$. The top plot shows the blue and red clusters, plotting V magnitude against V-I colour. The cut off between blue and red colour comes from Kundu et al. (1998) and is given as $(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I})=1.06$. There is also a representative error in both the magnitudes and colours underneath the legend. The bottom plot is three histograms showing the colour distribution for the GC targets at different stages of the selection process.


Figure 4.3: Histogram showing the projected galacto-centric distance for all the GC targets that could have kinematics extracted and lie within the velocity range expected for GCs associated with NGC 3115. $74 \%$ of the targets lie within 15 kpc of the galactic centre.
of the GCs to be that of NGC $3115\left(663 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}\right)$, the velocities relative to the system were obtained.

The results for the kinematics were matched with data from other studies in order to examine the reliability of the obtained results. They were first matched with the data from Kuntschner et al. (2002), but none of the their GC targets were covered in the final dataset of this study. The GC targets were then matched with the SLUGGS survey, using the positions from Arnold et al. (2011), which returned 22 matches. GC targets were deemed a match if the separation between the positions was less than 2 arcseconds; for these 22 matches the average separation was 1.05 arcseconds. The error bars for the present study are larger than that of the SLUGGS results, due to the lower SNR of the spectra. Overall however, there is a good correlation between the velocity data sets as seen in Figure 4.6. The average offset between the two datasets is $31.3 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$, with none higher than $87 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. The dataset was also compared with Puzia et al. (2004), for which there was a single match. Puzia et al.


Figure 4.4: Histogram showing the distribution of velocities for the confirmed GC targets in NGC 3115. The average velocity is $602 \pm 29 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$, as shown by the red line, and a standard deviation of $245 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. The overplotted line green line shows the radial velocity of NGC 3115
(2004) find a velocity of $957 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ compared to $912 \pm 19 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ from this study, however no error is given for their value.

### 4.2 Metallicities and Ages

The targets for which metallicities were obtained came from similar projected distances as the kinematics, as seen in top plot of Figure 4.7, with $\sim 77 \%$ coming from within 15 kpc . Despite only containing 31 GCs , there appears to be evidence of a bimodal population in the bottom plot of Figure 4.7. This plot also shows a reflection of the colour distribution, with more metal-rich clusters present in the sample than metal-poor clusters, which is to be expected if there is a higher number of red clusters. In Figure 4.8 the colour-metallicity relationship for the target clusters can be seen, along with the best fit line and the relationship found by Kundu et al. (1998)


Figure 4.5: The top plot shows the absolute velocities obtained for the GC targets from this study where the major axis data has been mirrored about the minor axis. The velocities are $\delta \mathrm{V}$ having had the NGC 3115 system velocity of $660 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ subtracted. The bottom plot shows the rotation curve of the bulge and disk of NGC 3115. Filled circles are from Norris et al. (2006), asterisks from Bender et al. (1994) and squares are from Fisher (1997). The filled triangles are blue GCs and unfilled triangles are red GCs both from Kuntschner et al. (2002). Taken from Norris et al. (2006).


Figure 4.6: This Figure shows the velocity comparison between the dataset of this study and that of Arnold et al. 2011, along with a line of best fit between the two datasets. There is an average offset of $31.3 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ between the two datasets.
for NGC 3115. Figure 4.9 shows the metallicity gradient for the GC targets. The top plot shows both the blue and red clusters from our sample, whereas the bottom plot shows the mean $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ for GCs within radial galacto-centric distance bins. The metallicity gradient has a downward trend with increasing distance, which would be expected if the metal-poor clusters reside predominantly in the galaxy's halo.

Unfortunately, when attempting to compare the metallicity data with that of other studies, only 3 targets could be matched. These targets were observed in the SLUGGS survey and the comparisons are in Table 4.1, with 2 of the 3 agreeing within the errors. However, such a small sample size is not sufficient to establish whether the two studies agree overall. Figure 4.10 shows a comparison between this study, SLUGGS and the data from Kuntschner et al. (2002), for the metallicity distributions of each studies GC targets. Although it should be noted that the Kuntschner et al. (2002) sample is limited in size, the datasets show similar features for the metallicity distribution, with both this study and SLUGGS having a deficit


Figure 4.7: The top histogram shows the projected galacto-centric radii of the targets for which metallicities could be extracted. The bottom histogram is the metallicity distribution for the targets in the dataset for this study. There is an indication of a bimodal population, although the number of targets is not high enough for this to be strongly confirmed. A Gaussian Mixture Method (GMM) profile is plotted over the histogram.


Figure 4.8: This plot shows the colour vs metallicity for each object with a high enough SNR. Overplotted is the best fit line for the data along with the line found by Kundu et al. (1998) for NGC 3115.


Figure 4.9: This plot shows $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ against galacto-centric distance for the red and blue clusters. From the graph we can see there is some overlap between the red and blue clusters in the metal-poor and metal-rich areas.
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| Target ID | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ error | SLUGGS ID | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \_47$ | -0.043 | 0.072 | GC109 | -0.03 | 0.12 |
| $2 \_52$ | -0.27 | 0.073 | GC12 | -0.01 | 0.31 |
| $2 \_53$ | -0.53 | 0.127 | GC14 | -0.94 | 0.14 |

Table 4.1: Table showing the comparison between the matched targets in the GC metallicity dataset of this study and that of the SLUGGS survey.
in the number of GCs in a similar location $([\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$ to -0.8$)$.

As previously noted in the dataset from this study, we find a higher number of metal-rich (21) than metal-poor (10) clusters, when taking $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.7$ to be the cut-off between the two populations. In the SLUGGS survey this population bias does not appear to be present, and from their sample there are 62 metal-rich clusters to 61 metal poor clusters. This suggests that the relative number of metal-rich to metal-poor clusters may be spatially dependant, given that the present study has a higher average distance from the galactic centre than in the SLUGGS survey (11.1 kpc compared to 9.0 kpc ).

Age estimates are more difficult to obtain from spectra using pPXF, as they require an even higher SNR in the spectra for reliable results. However, age estimates were obtained for those spectra which met the same criteria as the metallicity sample. Figure 4.11 is a histogram that shows the frequency of the obtained ages, in which the majority of the spectra fall between 9-10 Gyr (29/31 GCs). Given that this sample contains both metal-rich and metal-poor clusters, it might be expected that there would be a some difference in ages if multiple GC formation events had occurred. The ages of the clusters against their galacto-centric distance can be seen in Figure 4.12, from the plot there doesn't appear to be a difference in age based on the distance from the galactic centre. In Kuntschner et al. (2002) they find the two GC sub-populations to be coeval to $\sim 2 \mathrm{Gyr}$ but based on this study, this appears to be


Figure 4.10: Histogram showing the metallicity distribution for this study along with that of Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Arnold et al. (2011). Both this study and the SLUGGS survey have the metal rich and metal poor peaks at a similar metallicity. Unfortunately the sample size of Kuntschner et al. (2002) is not large enough to draw any strong conclusions from the distribution.


Figure 4.11: Histogram of the ages obtained from pPXF. The majority of GCs fall within 9.2510 Gyr and there is no obvious bimodal age distribution as may be expected from multiple GC formation events. However the age estimates errors vary greatly and are up to $\pm 1 \mathrm{Gyr}$.
closer to $\sim 1 \mathrm{Gyr}$. This result is also mostly consistent with the ages found by Norris et al. (2006), as will be discussed in Chapter 5 .

### 4.3 Combined datasets

The datasets of this study, Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Arnold et al. (2011) can be combined together in order to create an overview of the kinematics and metallicities of GCs in NGC 3115. Figure 4.13 shows the histograms of the combined data for these three studies, along with a best fit double GMM profile for the metallicities. It is clear from the data that there is a bimodal population of GCs, with the peak values at $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.2$ and -0.21 . The combined kinematics are also consistent with the average mean velocity of the total dataset being $666[ \pm 13] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. The combined velocity distribution (Figure 4.14) again shows the general downward trend of


Figure 4.12: The plot shows pPXF obtained ages against their projected galacto-centric radii. From the plot it appears that for our GC targets, age remains relatively constant out to larger distances from the galactic centre.
velocities at greater galacto-centric distance, as seen by the best fit function. This shows the decreasing velocity dispersion of the GC system at larger galacto-centric radii, reflecting the dark matter halo in which NGC 3115 is embedded.

The metallicity gradient can also be constructed from the combined data of this survey and that from the SLUGGS survey. The top plot in Figure 4.15 shows metallicity against distance for all the GCs in both surveys. It appears that the general trend in both surveys agree, as metallicity decreases with projected galactocentric radii. This has also been found to be the case in other galaxies apart from NGC 3115, as noted by in Zinn (1985) and Forbes et al. (2011). The bottom plot, shows the mean metallicity against binned distance for both the surveys, and is consistent with the relation of the previous plot.


Figure 4.13: Histograms showing the kinematics and metallicity distributions for the combined dataset. The top graph shows the distribution of obtained velocities from Kuntschner et al. (2002), Arnold et al. (2011) and this study. The average velocity of all the GCs is $666[ \pm 13] \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$. This is shown as the red line on the plot and the radial velocity of NGC 3115 is shown in green. The bottom plot is the metallicity distribution for the combined datasets of Kuntschner et al. (2002), Arnold et al. (2011) and this study, with a best fit line overplotted. The metallicities peak at $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.2$ and -0.21 .
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Figure 4.14: The top plot shows velocity residual (relative to the systemic velocity of NGC 3115) against distance along the major axis for the combined data of this study, Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Arnold et al. (2011). A maximum error for the velocities is shown in the top right corner of the plot. The bottom plot shows the mean velocity residual (in radial distance bins) against distance along the major axis for the data from this study, Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Arnold et al. (2011). Along with a representative error bar in the top right corner.



Figure 4.15: The top plot shows metallicity against projected galacto-centric distance for the GC targets of both Arnold et al. (2011) and this study, along with best fit lines for both datasets. The bottom plot is the mean $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ against projected galacto-centric distance for the combined dataset, with a best fit line plotted over the data.

## Chapter 5

## Discussion and Conclusions

The aim of this project was to add to the expanding dataset of spectroscopy for GCs around the S0 galaxy NGC 3115. More specifically, GCs that are located at larger galacto-centric distances than had previously been attempted, in order to obtain velocities and metallicities, for GCs from varying components of the galaxy, thereby gaining a greater understanding of the distribution of the GC subpopulations within NGC 3115. Within this thesis the methods for reducing the data, along with obtaining meaningful results from them, has been presented. The analysis of these results has been presented within the context of previous studies. In this chapter, the implications of the results of this study, and the precursor studies, will be investigated to establish preliminary conclusions and areas that require further investigation.

### 5.1 Implications for the formation of NGC 3115 and its GCs

The velocity distributions presented in Chapter 4, show evidence that the GC system of NGC 3115 is rotating about the minor axis of the galaxy (Figure 4.5) and within the inner $\sim 5 \mathrm{kpc}$, the GCs have velocities consistent with that of the stellar bulge measured by Norris et al. (2006). The best fits of both binned and unbinned velocity residuals appear to be declining at larger radii (see Figure 4.14), which corroborates the findings of Arnold et al. (2011) shown in Figure 5.1. According to their analysis, this result is not consistent with a major merger event, as this would produce higher than observed rotation in the outer regions of the galaxy. Arnold et al. (2011) instead postulate that the detection of a declining rotation profile, suggests a twophase assembly scenario, where the inner bulge forms at high redshift and the outer bulge and halo growth is created by minor-merger accretion events (Vitvitska et al. 2002; Abadi et al. 2006; Bournaud et al. 2007; Qu et al. 2010). The declining velocity gradient of the GC system shown in Figure 4.14, could therefore represent the transition between a component formed at high redshift and another which has been formed by accretion. A similar rolling fit method to that of Arnold et al. (2011) has been used on the data in this study, which can be seen in Figure 5.2. This, along with Figure 5.3, which shows the method applied to the combined dataset, is consistent with the plot from Arnold et al. (2011), finding a declining velocity gradient beyond 5 kpc . It should be noted however, that at the largest radii there are low numbers of clusters in the radial bins.

The metallicities obtained in this study, appear to confirm what has previously been found in other studies. NGC 3115 hosts a bimodal GC population in metallicity as well as having a bimodal colour distribution. This bimodality can be seen in both the sample from this study (Figure 4.7), and when combined with Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Usher et al. (2012) (Figure 4.13). The combined metallicity distribution


Figure 5.1: The left plot shows the smoothed rolling-fit rotational profile with uncertainty envelope for the metal-rich GCs (red curve) and metal-poor GCs (blue curve) along with the stellar light (black curve). The right plot shows the $\mathrm{v} / \sigma$ profile of the metal-rich GCs compared with a simulated merger remnant with a 1:10 mass-ratio (from Bournaud et al. (2005). Taken from Arnold et al. (2011).
is found to be bimodal using a Gaussian Mixture Method (GMM) test, as seen in Figure 4.13. These results suggest that the bimodal colour distribution of the GC population in NGC 3115, is consistent with being caused by a bimodal metallicity distribution. It is therefore unlikely that other effects, such as a difference in ages between the GC sub-populations, play a major role in creating the bimodality in colour. Both the sample from this study, and the combined data, imply a declining metallicity gradient. This is again consistent with the findings of Arnold et al. (2011) and would be expected in their hypothesis of a two-phase assembly scenario because the lower-mass accreted systems would be expected to be more metal-poor than NGC 3115 (Naab et al. 2009; Bezanson et al. 2009).

Kuntschner et al. (2002) found the GC sub-population ages in NGC 3115 to be coeval at $11-12[ \pm 2]$ Gyr, with an indication that the red clusters may be slightly younger by $\sim 2$ Gyr. The results of the study in this thesis find 29 of the 31 GCs to be between 9-10 Gyr, which is within $1 \sigma$ of the mean age found by Kuntschner


Figure 5.2: This figure shows the velocity plot using a rolling fit technique similar to that of Arnold et al. (2011). The data for both studies is binned in radial distances of 1 kpc . The top plot is only for data produced from the study in this thesis, whereas the bottom plot is for the data from Arnold et al. (2011). In our data we find a similar result with the velocity gradient decreasing at radii $\geq 5 \mathrm{kpc}$.


Figure 5.3: This is a rolling-fit velocity profile using the data from the study in this thesis and that of Arnold et al. (2011). The data is binned in radial distances of 1 kpc , with the bins within 15 kpc containing $\sim 15 \mathrm{GC}$ velocities. However, those at the largest radii frequently only contain 1 GC.
et al. (2002). There is also no sign of a large age difference between the two subpopulations of GCs, with both metal-poor and metal-rich clusters having similar mean ages. These results may be compared with the findings of Norris et al. (2006), who looked at longslit spectra of the minor axis of NGC 3115 (which should trace the spheroidal component of the galaxy) and found it to have similar properties to the red GCs at large radii, and estimate that the spheroid has a mean age of $\sim 10-12$ Gyr. In the sample in this thesis, there is also potential evidence of a small younger population of GCs, with ages $\sim 8 \mathrm{Gyr}$, although only two such objects were found in this study. Norris et al. (2006) suggest that there may be a young (5-8Gyr), chemically enriched stellar disc component in their major axis disc spectra, therefore if these ages are correct, these younger GCs may have formed along with the disc in NGC 3115.

If GCs only form during major periods of star formation, then the results of this study suggest that NGC 3115 has not undergone such an event in the last $\sim 8$ Gyr. This is similar to the conclusions of Puzia et al. (2002), who claim that NGC 3115 has a similar GC population to the Milky Way, with two sub-systems differing mainly in metallicity, with a small age difference between them. It is still possible that multiple GC formations have occurred in NGC 3115, however, this study finds that these events would have to have occured within a period of $\sim 1 \mathrm{Gyr}$ for the ages obtained to be consistent with this hypothesis. It is possible that other subpopulations of GCs exist in NGC 3115, with ages different from those detected in this study, however there is no significant evidence for it from our sample of GCs.

### 5.2 Suggestions for further investigation

In order to better understand the GC population of NGC 3115, higher SNR observations of the GCs discovered in this study are ideally required. The initial dataset covered a large range of galacto-centric radii, which has not been previously or sub-
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sequently observed, that could allow for GC subpopulations to be associated with different components of NGC 3115. In this study there were initially many more GC candidate targets than the final number of useful spectra used in the data analysis, so obtaining observations with a higher SNR should be a priority. This will allow a larger number of GCs to have their ages and metallicities extracted and investigate whether the sub-populations, of blue and red clusters, are separated by small age differences as well as metallicity. Abundance ratios for more clusters would also enable further investigation of the trends of $\alpha / F e$, found in the stellar populations by Norris et al. (2006), applies to the GCs as well. Finally, the aim of these studies is not simply to discover more about the GC population of NGC 3115, but also to attempt to find a way of using GCs to understand galaxy evolution in all galaxy types. Therefore, expanding the analysis to other galaxies, and more specifically other galaxy morphologies, will help establish the extent to which GCs are a viable tracer of galaxy evolution.

### 5.3 Summary and Conclusions

This thesis has explored the importance of GCs in the context of galaxy evolution, and how they can be used to track star formation events over time. Previous studies of extragalactic GCs, with particular reference to studies that examined NGC 3115, were discussed and their conclusions presented. The GC sample of this thesis was then introduced, along with an explanation of how the data reduction was performed. The use of the full spectrum fitting method pPXF and how it was implemented with this sample was explored, and details of how kinematics and metallicities were extracted from the spectra were presented. The reliability of the results were examined, to ensure that the data analysis had produced realistic results. The velocity and metallicity distributions for NGC 3115 were then compared to previous studies. Finally, the sample of this study was combined with that of

Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Usher et al. (2012) to enable more robust conclusions to be derived from the augmented dataset.

This study was a success in so far as it has added more kinematic, metallicity and age results to the previous studies of GCs in NGC 3115. However, the numbers of useful spectra expected from the initial research proposal were significantly higher than the final sample size. This was primarily due to the lower than expected SNR in many of the GC spectra. Even with this reduced sample size, it has however been possible to obtain meaningful results from the data and strengthen findings of previous studies of NGC 3115.

We find a bimodal metallicity distribution which is consistent with that of Brodie et al. (2012), based on their CaT index results. This does not support the suggestion of Yoon et al. (2006) that the metallicity distributions are uni-modal and the observed colour bimodality is caused by an artefact of strongly non-linear colour-metallicity transformations. We also find declining metallicity and velocity gradients, which give support to the suggestion of Arnold et al. (2011), that NGC 3115 was formed in a two-phase assembly process. While the ages obtained have significant uncertainty, due to the SNR of the spectra, they do appear to be consistent with previous studies to within errors. The results demonstrate that the two sub-populations of GCs are coeval, which supports previous work by Kuntschner et al. (2002) and Arnold et al. (2011). As no GCs have been detected with ages less than 8 Gyr, it suggests that there has been no major star-formation events in NGC 3115 since that time.

With a combined sample of $\sim 230 \mathrm{GC}$ velocities and $\sim 180$ metallicities, there is now a significant population of GCs in NGC 3115 from which to draw conclusions. This enables more confidence in the results, as the sample is more likely to be representative of the entire GC population of NGC 3115. Also, with GCs coming from a wider range of galacto-centric radii, possible links between GC properties and different components of NGC 3115 are becoming better established, with the
potential of finding common origins for these components. This will allow for refined tests of galaxy formation and evolution theories and determine whether GCs can be used successfully as tracers of their host galaxy stellar population in a wide range of galaxy types.

Appendix A

Table 1: Table of target objects with their: Field, Slit ID, Object ID, Right Ascension, Declination, VRI magnitude and associated error obtained from the pre-imaging.

| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1_2 | 151.3568 | -7.6754 | 22.4893 | 0.1349 | 21.3288 | 0.0683 | 20.1392 | 2.3501 |
| 1 | 3 | 1_3 | 151.3436 | $-7.6886$ | 21.4501 | 0.0767 | 20.8305 | 0.0508 | 20.2397 | 1.2104 |
| 1 | 4 | 1_4 | 151.3303 | -7.7017 | 22.8284 | 0.1586 | 22.2282 | 0.1079 | 21.4675 | 1.3609 |
| 1 | 5 | 1-5 | 151.317 | $-7.7149$ | 18.3627 | 0.0179 | 17.6577 | 0.0114 | 17.1361 | 1.2266 |
| 1 | 6 | 1_6 | 151.3038 | $-7.7280$ | 21.265 | 0.0716 | 20.8016 | 0.0495 | 20.2009 | 1.0641 |
| 1 | 7 | 1_7 | 151.3598 | $-7.7316$ | 21.0537 | 0.0648 | 20.582 | 0.0452 | 20.1106 | 0.9431 |
| 1 | 8 | 1-8 | 151.3535 | $-7.7347$ | 22.2826 | 0.1233 | 21.666 | 0.0785 | 21.0167 | 1.2659 |
| 1 | 9 | $1 \_9$ | 151.3732 | -7.7118 | 22.5152 | 0.1367 | 21.9251 | 0.09 | 21.1605 | 1.3547 |
| 1 | 10 | $1 \_10$ | 151.3908 | $-7.7039$ | 21.853 | 0.0958 | 21.107 | 0.0585 | 20.4672 | 1.3858 |
| 1 | 11 | 1.11 | 151.3486 | $-7.7489$ | 21.7059 | 0.0902 | 20.4353 | 0.042 | 18.5298 | 3.1761 |
| 1 | 12 | $1 \_12$ | 151.3549 | $-7.7496$ | 19.1626 | 0.026 | 18.7138 | 0.0186 | 18.3459 | 0.8167 |
| 1 | 14 | 1.14 | 151.3547 | $-7.7157$ | 21.6676 | 0.0885 | 21.0918 | 0.0588 | 20.6838 | 0.9838 |
| 1 | 15 | $1 \_15$ | 151.3536 | -7.7207 | 21.678 | 0.0886 | 21.0776 | 0.0585 | 20.51 | 1.168 |
| 1 | 16 | 1_16 | 151.345 | -7.7345 | 22.7455 | 0.1522 | 22.0206 | 0.094 | 21.5896 | 1.1559 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17 | $1 \_17$ | 151.3371 | -7.7230 | 22.6441 | 0.1453 | 22.0455 | 0.0972 | 21.2812 | 1.3629 |
| 1 | 18 | 1_18 | 151.3271 | $-7.7367$ | 21.4195 | 0.0786 | 21.057 | 0.0573 | 20.581 | 0.8385 |
| 1 | 19 | 1_19 | 151.3256 | $-7.7350$ | 19.682 | 0.0332 | 19.0906 | 0.0223 | 18.505 | 1.177 |
| 1 | 20 | 1_20 | 151.3479 | -7.7089 | 22.7593 | 0.1529 | 22.1831 | 0.1022 | 21.8391 | 0.9202 |
| 1 | 21 | $1 \_21$ | 151.3383 | $-7.7147$ | 21.3679 | 0.0756 | 20.848 | 0.052 | 20.3741 | 0.9938 |
| 1 | 22 | 1_22 | 151.3263 | $-7.7161$ | 21.8502 | 0.0921 | 21.1244 | 0.058 | 20.6333 | 1.2169 |
| 1 | 23 | 1_23 | 151.3163 | $-7.7331$ | 22.486 | 0.1328 | 21.9236 | 0.0892 | 21.2686 | 1.2174 |
| 1 | 24 | 1_24 | 151.3471 | $-7.6990$ | 21.0486 | 0.0631 | 20.5651 | 0.0445 | 20.2138 | 0.8348 |
| 1 | 25 | 1.25 | 151.3197 | $-7.7185$ | 20.9298 | 0.0598 | 20.3087 | 0.0395 | 19.7518 | 1.178 |
| 1 | 26 | 1_26 | 151.3178 | -7.7129 | 21.8397 | 0.0918 | 20.9207 | 0.0527 | 20.2478 | 1.5919 |
| 1 | 27 | 1_27 | 151.3362 | -7.6919 | 21.9049 | 0.0962 | 21.2622 | 0.0624 | 20.7533 | 1.1516 |
| 1 | 28 | 1_28 | 151.3037 | $-7.7128$ | 21.2223 | 0.0686 | 20.4938 | 0.0431 | 19.9314 | 1.2909 |
| 1 | 29 | 1_29 | 151.3161 | $-7.7039$ | 21.349 | 0.073 | 20.5426 | 0.0442 | 19.9066 | 1.4424 |
| 1 | 30 | 1_30 | 151.3222 | -7.7018 | 22.131 | 0.1087 | 21.5046 | 0.0697 | 20.7283 | 1.4027 |
| 1 | 31 | 1_31 | 151.335 | -7.6784 | 22.2501 | 0.1168 | 21.6859 | 0.078 | 21.301 | 0.9491 |
| 1 | 32 | 1_32 | 151.3511 | $-7.6524$ | 20.9152 | 0.0602 | 20.4558 | 0.0427 | 20.0462 | 0.869 |
| 1 | 33 | 1_33 | 151.3121 | $-7.6950$ | 21.7918 | 0.0901 | 21.1842 | 0.0602 | 20.7872 | 1.0046 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34 | 1_34 | 151.321 | -7.6894 | 22.9849 | 0.1697 | 22.2699 | 0.1012 | 21.8254 | 1.1595 |
| 1 | 35 | 1_35 | 151.2801 | -7.6688 | 20.385 | 0.0463 | 19.8846 | 0.0323 | 19.4429 | 0.9421 |
| 1 | 36 | 1_36 | 151.2894 | $-7.6636$ | 22.1656 | 0.1149 | 21.703 | 0.0793 | 21.1723 | 0.9933 |
| 1 | 37 | 1_37 | 151.3118 | $-7.6496$ | 20.4987 | 0.049 | 19.9074 | 0.0327 | 19.2696 | 1.2291 |
| 1 | 38 | 1_38 | 151.3291 | $-7.6405$ | 21.2131 | 0.0693 | 20.6802 | 0.0472 | 20.203 | 1.0101 |
| 1 | 39 | 1_39 | 151.3111 | $-7.6543$ | 23.0507 | 0.1756 | 22.34 | 0.1133 | 21.9788 | 1.0719 |
| 1 | 40 | 1_40 | 151.2605 | $-7.6804$ | 21.1418 | 0.0697 | 20.7866 | 0.0507 | 19.7395 | 1.4023 |
| 1 | 41 | 1.41 | 151.3034 | $-7.6747$ | 21.8605 | 0.0965 | 21.2663 | 0.0634 | 20.7849 | 1.0756 |
| 1 | 42 | 1.42 | 151.3418 | -7.6414 | 22.226 | 0.118 | 21.4649 | 0.07 | 20.942 | 1.284 |
| 1 | 43 | $1 \_43$ | 151.3253 | $-7.6667$ | 21.8437 | 0.0964 | 21.4393 | 0.0687 | 21.0279 | 0.8158 |
| 1 | 44 | 1.44 | 151.3285 | -7.6669 | 20.2944 | 0.0443 | 19.5882 | 0.0281 | 18.9837 | 1.3107 |
| 1 | 45 | 1_45 | 151.3088 | $-7.6903$ | 22.3354 | 0.1247 | 21.6137 | 0.0774 | 21.143 | 1.1924 |
| 2 | 1 | 2_1 | 151.3188 | $-7.7143$ | 21.858 | 0.0888 | 20.8644 | 0.0498 | 20.1563 | 1.7017 |
| 2 | 2 | 2-2 | 151.3055 | $-7.7275$ | 22.2175 | 0.1053 | 21.4166 | 0.0641 | 20.61 | 1.6075 |
| 2 | 3 | 2_3 | 151.2923 | -7.7406 | 20.8649 | 0.0575 | 20.242 | 0.0379 | 19.5182 | 1.3467 |
| 2 | 4 | 2_4 | 151.279 | -7.7538 | 22.1888 | 0.1056 | 21.6061 | 0.0722 | 20.8924 | 1.2964 |
| 2 | 6 | 2_6 | 151.2525 | -7.7801 | 21.6571 | 0.0818 | 21.1823 | 0.0582 | 20.7093 | 0.9478 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7 | 2.7 | 151.3488 | -7.7569 | 23.197 | 0.1748 | 21.7502 | 0.079 | 20.6753 | 2.5217 |
| 2 | 8 | 2_8 | 151.3452 | $-7.7577$ | 21.0729 | 0.0609 | 20.619 | 0.0444 | 20.2252 | 0.8477 |
| 2 | 9 | 2_9 | 151.3167 | -7.7832 | 19.1421 | 0.0249 | 18.7804 | 0.0188 | 18.4096 | 0.7325 |
| 2 | 10 | 2_10 | 151.3044 | $-7.7932$ | 22.094 | 0.1017 | 21.4727 | 0.0679 | 20.9783 | 1.1157 |
| 2 | 11 | 2_11 | 151.2933 | $-7.7986$ | 22.4107 | 0.123 | 21.7213 | 0.0782 | 21.1754 | 1.2353 |
| 2 | 12 | 2_12 | 151.3044 | $-7.7846$ | 22.9924 | 0.1614 | 21.8379 | 0.0798 | 20.0503 | 2.9421 |
| 2 | 13 | 2_13 | 151.3381 | $-7.7483$ | 21.6203 | 0.0819 | 20.7796 | 0.0484 | 19.601 | 2.0193 |
| 2 | 14 | 2_14 | 151.2826 | -7.8002 | 21.8632 | 0.0908 | 21.2424 | 0.0601 | 20.5425 | 1.3207 |
| 2 | 15 | $2 \_15$ | 151.2998 | -7.7804 | 21.0489 | 0.061 | 20.5333 | 0.0429 | 20.0059 | 1.043 |
| 2 | 16 | 2_16 | 151.2813 | $-7.7965$ | 23.2 | 0.1798 | 21.8402 | 0.0818 | 20.1607 | 3.0393 |
| 2 | 17 | 2_17 | 151.2865 | -7.7894 | 22.6305 | 0.1329 | 21.9173 | 0.0831 | 21.3256 | 1.3049 |
| 2 | 18 | 2_18 | 151.3309 | -7.7391 | 20.9032 | 0.0572 | 20.4309 | 0.0408 | 19.8833 | 1.0199 |
| 2 | 19 | 2_19 | 151.3133 | $-7.7598$ | 22.3473 | 0.116 | 21.7208 | 0.0772 | 21.0975 | 1.2498 |
| 2 | 20 | 2_20 | 151.2833 | $-7.7827$ | 21.5143 | 0.0767 | 20.9329 | 0.0519 | 20.2694 | 1.2449 |
| 2 | 21 | 2_21 | 151.2898 | $-7.7727$ | 21.1914 | 0.0656 | 20.6832 | 0.0459 | 20.1064 | 1.085 |
| 2 | 22 | 2_22 | 151.3004 | -7.7590 | 22.7288 | 0.1367 | 22.1095 | 0.0907 | 21.3472 | 1.3816 |
| 2 | 23 | 2_23 | 151.3225 | -7.7338 | 21.2816 | 0.0686 | 20.8226 | 0.0491 | 20.2298 | 1.0518 |



| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 45 | 2_45 | 151.2624 | -7.7318 | 22.6215 | 0.1321 | 22.0369 | 0.0897 | 21.5699 | 1.0516 |
| 2 | 46 | 2_46 | 151.2419 | -7.7498 | 21.6067 | 0.0803 | 21.1939 | 0.0588 | 20.8069 | 0.7998 |
| 2 | 47 | 2_47 | 151.2901 | -7.7000 | 19.9748 | 0.0368 | 19.3738 | 0.0248 | 18.7466 | 1.2282 |
| 2 | 48 | 2_48 | 151.2836 | $-7.7043$ | 21.7371 | 0.0857 | 21.1969 | 0.059 | 20.4626 | 1.2745 |
| 2 | 49 | 2_49 | 151.2838 | -7.7014 | 22.9555 | 0.1563 | 22.2092 | 0.0973 | 21.5093 | 1.4462 |
| 2 | 50 | 2_50 | 151.2679 | $-7.7146$ | 21.9907 | 0.1002 | 20.9437 | 0.053 | 20.0348 | 1.9559 |
| 2 | 51 | 2_51 | 151.279 | -7.7008 | 22.5702 | 0.1343 | 22.0723 | 0.0941 | 21.4106 | 1.1596 |
| 2 | 52 | 2_52 | 151.2739 | -7.6948 | 20.9863 | 0.0593 | 20.406 | 0.0402 | 19.7525 | 1.2338 |
| 2 | 53 | 2_53 | 151.2522 | $-7.7133$ | 20.5191 | 0.0475 | 20.0309 | 0.0337 | 19.4914 | 1.0277 |
| 2 | 54 | 2_54 | 151.2392 | $-7.7233$ | 21.9892 | 0.0969 | 21.471 | 0.0674 | 20.9638 | 1.0254 |
| 2 | 55 | 2_55 | 151.2806 | -7.6792 | 22.1474 | 0.1068 | 21.5833 | 0.0712 | 21.0116 | 1.1358 |
| 2 | 56 | 2_56 | 151.271 | -7.6859 | 22.1327 | 0.1047 | 21.5505 | 0.0708 | 21.013 | 1.1197 |
| 2 | 57 | 2_57 | 151.273 | -7.6811 | 22.1651 | 0.1061 | 21.4984 | 0.0685 | 20.8811 | 1.284 |
| 2 | 58 | 2_58 | 151.2778 | $-7.6727$ | 22.2152 | 0.1098 | 21.5844 | 0.0711 | 21.195 | 1.0202 |
| 2 | 59 | 2_59 | 151.245 | -7.7014 | 22.4897 | 0.1281 | 21.6839 | 0.0749 | 20.8835 | 1.6062 |
| 2 | 60 | 2_60 | 151.2529 | -7.6882 | 20.1275 | 0.0398 | 19.6708 | 0.0284 | 19.2264 | 0.9011 |
| 3 | 7 | 3_7 | 151.4397 | -7.6664 | 23.1483 | 0.1623 | 22.474 | 0.1082 | 21.7857 | 1.3626 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 8 | 3-8 | 151.4046 | -7.6985 | 22.9566 | 0.1432 | 22.5266 | 0.1106 | 22.2126 | 0.744 |
| 3 | 9 | 3-9 | 151.4175 | -7.6837 | 22.685 | 0.129 | 22.0099 | 0.0871 | 21.381 | 1.304 |
| 3 | 10 | 3.10 | 151.4435 | -7.6557 | 20.712 | 0.0496 | 20.2096 | 0.0357 | 19.7227 | 0.9893 |
| 3 | 11 | $3 \_11$ | 151.4245 | -7.6717 | 21.377 | 0.0678 | 20.8733 | 0.0489 | 20.2962 | 1.0808 |
| 3 | 12 | $3 \_12$ | 151.4 | -7.6921 | 22.9348 | 0.1489 | 21.6819 | 0.0752 | 20.5532 | 2.3816 |
| 3 | 13 | 3_13 | 151.4482 | -7.6409 | 21.7726 | 0.083 | 21.3788 | 0.0619 | 20.8466 | 0.926 |
| 3 | 14 | 3_14 | 151.4396 | $-7.6465$ | 22.9985 | 0.1486 | 21.7577 | 0.0753 | 20.1054 | 2.8931 |
| 3 | 15 | $3 \_15$ | 151.4007 | $-7.6816$ | 22.5478 | 0.1209 | 22.1109 | 0.0908 | 21.6167 | 0.9311 |
| 3 | 16 | 3_16 | 151.4237 | $-7.6540$ | 22.5042 | 0.1163 | 21.9748 | 0.0839 | 21.6087 | 0.8955 |
| 3 | 17 | 3_17 | 151.4267 | -7.6533 | 23.6413 | 0.2031 | 22.4396 | 0.1074 | 21.1445 | 2.4968 |
| 3 | 18 | 3_18 | 151.4005 | $-7.6742$ | 20.874 | 0.0537 | 20.1734 | 0.0354 | 19.427 | 1.447 |
| 3 | 19 | 3_19 | 151.4066 | $-7.6652$ | 22.0212 | 0.092 | 21.4421 | 0.0645 | 20.9121 | 1.1091 |
| 3 | 20 | 3_20 | 151.425 | -7.6438 | 21.2456 | 0.0637 | 20.5076 | 0.0411 | 19.8666 | 1.379 |
| 3 | 21 | 3_21 | 151.384 | -7.6807 | 23.1221 | 0.1674 | 21.6928 | 0.0758 | 20.5468 | 2.5753 |
| 3 | 22 | $3 \_22$ | 151.4339 | -7.6272 | 22.9691 | 0.148 | 22.3367 | 0.0996 | 21.7078 | 1.2613 |
| 3 | 23 | 3_23 | 151.3825 | -7.6753 | 22.3616 | 0.11 | 21.6108 | 0.0723 | 20.6961 | 1.6655 |
| 3 | 24 | 3_24 | 151.4331 | $-7.6223$ | 21.3282 | 0.0678 | 20.9672 | 0.0526 | 20.4308 | 0.8974 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 25 | 3_25 | 151.3892 | $-7.6626$ | 21.906 | 0.088 | 21.1943 | 0.0574 | 20.5159 | 1.3901 |
| 3 | 26 | 3_26 | 151.4318 | $-7.6171$ | 22.1351 | 0.0972 | 21.6307 | 0.0701 | 21.1349 | 1.0002 |
| 3 | 27 | 3_27 | 151.3835 | $-7.6621$ | 21.8485 | 0.0848 | 20.7364 | 0.0459 | 19.3037 | 2.5448 |
| 3 | 28 | 3_28 | 151.4192 | $-7.6242$ | 22.1989 | 0.1012 | 21.5329 | 0.0688 | 21.062 | 1.1369 |
| 3 | 29 | 3_29 | 151.4177 | -7.6231 | 22.0798 | 0.0951 | 21.5028 | 0.0661 | 20.7368 | 1.343 |
| 3 | 30 | 3_30 | 151.3655 | $-7.6724$ | 23.7221 | 0.2178 | 23.106 | 0.1519 | 22.1516 | 1.5705 |
| 3 | 31 | 3_31 | 151.4244 | -7.6060 | 20.3641 | 0.0422 | 19.84 | 0.0301 | 19.4861 | 0.878 |
| 3 | 33 | 3_33 | 151.3798 | $-7.6465$ | 20.193 | 0.0389 | 19.7747 | 0.0291 | 19.4012 | 0.7918 |
| 3 | 34 | 3_34 | 151.3733 | $-7.6504$ | 21.9622 | 0.0877 | 21.4561 | 0.0646 | 20.8789 | 1.0833 |
| 3 | 35 | 3_35 | 151.4206 | $-7.6011$ | 21.2557 | 0.0643 | 20.8323 | 0.0482 | 20.4309 | 0.8248 |
| 3 | 36 | 3_36 | 151.3943 | $-7.6244$ | 22.0695 | 0.0945 | 20.9074 | 0.0496 | 19.3618 | 2.7077 |
| 3 | 37 | 3_37 | 151.4097 | -7.6060 | 22.8352 | 0.1373 | 21.8529 | 0.0793 | 20.9553 | 1.8799 |
| 3 | 38 | 3_38 | 151.3636 | $-7.6480$ | 21.7765 | 0.0817 | 21.0852 | 0.0539 | 20.493 | 1.2835 |
| 3 | 39 | 3_39 | 151.3755 | $-7.6332$ | 21.7259 | 0.0801 | 20.8024 | 0.0476 | 20.0541 | 1.6718 |
| 3 | 40 | 3_40 | 151.3713 | $-7.6350$ | 22.7933 | 0.1368 | 22.0269 | 0.0889 | 21.6132 | 1.1801 |
| 3 | 41 | 3_41 | 151.3539 | -7.6498 | 19.684 | 0.0308 | 19.2951 | 0.0233 | 18.9123 | 0.7717 |
| 3 | 42 | 3_42 | 151.3725 | -7.6289 | 21.2194 | 0.063 | 20.3942 | 0.039 | 19.6834 | 1.536 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 43 | 3_43 | 151.383 | -7.6158 | 23.5063 | 0.189 | 22.1329 | 0.0897 | 20.2198 | 3.2865 |
| 3 | 44 | 3_44 | 151.3925 | -7.5979 | 21.4687 | 0.0707 | 20.7672 | 0.0465 | 20.4854 | 0.9833 |
| 3 | 45 | 3_45 | 151.3833 | $-7.6039$ | 21.1045 | 0.0596 | 20.2441 | 0.0363 | 19.4853 | 1.6192 |
| 3 | 46 | 3_46 | 151.3421 | $-7.6420$ | 22.3729 | 0.1077 | 21.8781 | 0.0801 | 21.3552 | 1.0177 |
| 3 | 47 | 3-47 | 151.4011 | $-7.5811$ | 22.5236 | 0.1165 | 21.2666 | 0.0599 | 19.3912 | 3.1324 |
| 3 | 48 | 3-48 | 151.3442 | $-7.6350$ | 22.6371 | 0.1246 | 21.591 | 0.0696 | 20.1533 | 2.4838 |
| 3 | 49 | 3_49 | 151.3843 | $-7.5928$ | 20.8148 | 0.052 | 19.7287 | 0.0285 | 18.449 | 2.3658 |
| 3 | 50 | 3_50 | 151.359 | -7.6152 | 24.1811 | 0.269 | 22.6554 | 0.1181 | 21.1563 | 3.0248 |
| 3 | 51 | 3_51 | 151.33 | -7.6415 | 21.2569 | 0.0641 | 20.7302 | 0.0457 | 20.2027 | 1.0542 |
| 3 | 52 | 3_52 | 151.3437 | -7.6222 | 22.8779 | 0.143 | 21.9602 | 0.0857 | 21.1446 | 1.7333 |
| 3 | 53 | 3_53 | 151.3363 | $-7.6243$ | 22.097 | 0.0963 | 21.4932 | 0.067 | 20.9695 | 1.1275 |
| 3 | 55 | 3_55 | 151.361 | $-7.5968$ | 23.0989 | 0.1557 | 22.0393 | 0.0857 | 20.4039 | 2.695 |
| 3 | 56 | 3_56 | 151.3299 | $-7.6255$ | 23.2992 | 0.1751 | 22.9165 | 0.1329 | 22.3834 | 0.9158 |
| 3 | 57 | 3_57 | 151.3311 | $-7.6185$ | 22.6331 | 0.1253 | 21.5599 | 0.0702 | 21.02 | 1.6131 |
| 3 | 58 | 3_58 | 151.3456 | -7.6014 | 20.5958 | 0.047 | 20.0573 | 0.0333 | 19.5276 | 1.0682 |
| 3 | 59 | 3_59 | 151.3664 | -7.5782 | 22.5144 | 0.116 | 21.2526 | 0.0586 | 19.506 | 3.0084 |
| 3 | 60 | 3_60 | 151.3755 | -7.5667 | 22.028 | 0.0936 | 21.7484 | 0.0749 | 20.7967 | 1.2313 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | 4_1 | 151.2617 | -7.7711 | 22.623 | 0.1255 | 22.4138 | 0.1032 | 21.9266 | 0.6964 |
| 4 | 4 | 4-4 | 151.2219 | $-7.8106$ | 23.7522 | 0.2199 | 23.1856 | 0.1516 | 22.9129 | 0.8393 |
| 4 | 7 | 4_7 | 151.2511 | $-7.8541$ | 23.232 | 0.1665 | 22.6519 | 0.1166 | 22.4356 | 0.7964 |
| 4 | 8 | 4 -8 | 151.2429 | -7.8596 | 21.7073 | 0.0796 | 21.4769 | 0.0653 | 20.8299 | 0.8774 |
| 4 | 9 | 4_9 | 151.2849 | $-7.8153$ | 22.1827 | 0.101 | 21.5769 | 0.0689 | 20.9948 | 1.1879 |
| 4 | 10 | $4 \_10$ | 151.2601 | $-7.8376$ | 19.1596 | 0.0241 | 18.717 | 0.0178 | 18.2581 | 0.9015 |
| 4 | 12 | 4_12 | 151.2629 | $-7.8297$ | 21.2176 | 0.0629 | 20.7081 | 0.0452 | 20.3218 | 0.8958 |
| 4 | 13 | 4_13 | 151.2301 | $-7.8532$ | 21.3319 | 0.0666 | 20.728 | 0.0455 | 20.0058 | 1.3261 |
| 4 | 14 | 4_14 | 151.2854 | -7.8016 | 22.5361 | 0.1205 | 21.6375 | 0.0701 | 20.7104 | 1.8257 |
| 4 | 16 | 4_16 | 151.2828 | -7.7984 | 21.0414 | 0.058 | 20.5076 | 0.0411 | 19.89 | 1.1514 |
| 4 | 17 | $4 \_17$ | 151.2815 | $-7.7969$ | 22.7706 | 0.1362 | 22.1165 | 0.0908 | 20.1786 | 2.592 |
| 4 | 18 | 4_18 | 151.2287 | $-7.8457$ | 22.8012 | 0.1405 | 22.3293 | 0.1045 | 21.5967 | 1.2045 |
| 4 | 19 | 4_19 | 151.2651 | $-7.8056$ | 22.3928 | 0.1119 | 21.8247 | 0.077 | 21.2893 | 1.1035 |
| 4 | 20 | $4 \_20$ | 151.2717 | -7.7959 | 23.7022 | 0.2163 | 22.9969 | 0.1435 | 22.6349 | 1.0673 |
| 4 | 21 | 4_21 | 151.2551 | $-7.8098$ | 21.3337 | 0.0671 | 20.8343 | 0.0484 | 20.2885 | 1.0452 |
| 4 | 22 | $4 \_22$ | 151.2463 | -7.8157 | 22.4918 | 0.118 | 21.8959 | 0.0818 | 21.2336 | 1.2582 |
| 4 | 23 | 4_23 | 151.2304 | $-7.8285$ | 23.2335 | 0.1707 | 22.6691 | 0.1217 | 22.1569 | 1.0766 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 24 | 4_24 | 151.2703 | -7.7863 | 22.6885 | 0.1272 | 21.636 | 0.0704 | 19.9071 | 2.7814 |
| 4 | 25 | 4_25 | 151.2546 | -7.7993 | 23.0816 | 0.1554 | 21.917 | 0.0806 | 20.3867 | 2.6949 |
| 4 | 26 | 4_26 | 151.2481 | $-7.8033$ | 23.7792 | 0.2177 | 22.83 | 0.1327 | 21.62 | 2.1592 |
| 4 | 27 | 4_27 | 151.227 | $-7.8217$ | 21.4484 | 0.0703 | 20.8023 | 0.0471 | 20.2371 | 1.2113 |
| 4 | 28 | 4_28 | 151.2333 | -7.8128 | 23.4285 | 0.1869 | 22.2885 | 0.0981 | 20.4631 | 2.9654 |
| 4 | 29 | 4_29 | 151.2618 | $-7.7817$ | 22.1939 | 0.1008 | 21.6701 | 0.0714 | 21.0802 | 1.1137 |
| 4 | 30 | 4_30 | 151.2647 | $-7.7759$ | 21.7005 | 0.0792 | 21.1472 | 0.0556 | 20.2919 | 1.4086 |
| 4 | 31 | 4_31 | 151.2462 | -7.7916 | 20.7606 | 0.0508 | 20.1382 | 0.0345 | 19.5157 | 1.2449 |
| 4 | 32 | 4_32 | 151.2522 | $-7.7790$ | 21.735 | 0.0802 | 21.1863 | 0.0564 | 20.7064 | 1.0286 |
| 4 | 33 | 4_33 | 151.2168 | $-7.8115$ | 21.8859 | 0.0875 | 21.2758 | 0.0589 | 20.3482 | 1.5377 |
| 4 | 34 | 4_34 | 151.2138 | -7.8115 | 22.0881 | 0.0956 | 20.9878 | 0.0513 | 19.5677 | 2.5204 |
| 4 | 35 | 4_35 | 151.2029 | $-7.8194$ | 21.5226 | 0.0727 | 21.1166 | 0.0546 | 20.7156 | 0.807 |
| 4 | 36 | 4_36 | 151.1968 | $-7.8230$ | 23.3925 | 0.1828 | 22.4619 | 0.1107 | 21.7284 | 1.6641 |
| 4 | 37 | $4 \_37$ | 151.2478 | $-7.7698$ | 20.9368 | 0.0552 | 20.4215 | 0.0395 | 19.8635 | 1.0733 |
| 4 | 38 | 4_38 | 151.1983 | -7.8119 | 19.0127 | 0.0225 | 18.4363 | 0.0156 | 17.9072 | 1.1055 |
| 4 | 39 | 4_39 | 151.228 | -7.7861 | 22.6665 | 0.1263 | 21.7168 | 0.0731 | 20.9409 | 1.7256 |
| 4 | 40 | 4_40 | 151.1839 | -7.8235 | 22.906 | 0.1422 | 22.4338 | 0.1044 | 21.9163 | 0.9897 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 41 | $4 \_41$ | 151.1971 | -7.8084 | 21.7051 | 0.0799 | 21.3008 | 0.0598 | 20.785 | 0.9201 |
| 4 | 42 | $4 \_42$ | 151.2396 | -7.7640 | 21.4762 | 0.071 | 20.3444 | 0.038 | 18.8273 | 2.6489 |
| 4 | 43 | 4_43 | 151.2321 | -7.7642 | 21.652 | 0.0779 | 21.0935 | 0.0543 | 20.4962 | 1.1558 |
| 4 | 44 | 4_44 | 151.2251 | -7.7686 | 22.3758 | 0.1104 | 21.135 | 0.0551 | 19.2103 | 3.1655 |
| 4 | 45 | 4_45 | 151.2155 | $-7.7756$ | 22.7521 | 0.1349 | 21.5916 | 0.0693 | 20.666 | 2.0861 |
| 4 | 46 | 4_46 | 151.1931 | -7.7946 | 20.5351 | 0.0457 | 19.8244 | 0.0297 | 19.1572 | 1.3779 |
| 4 | 47 | 4_47 | 151.1966 | $-7.7880$ | 21.9634 | 0.092 | 21.1853 | 0.0577 | 20.4527 | 1.5107 |
| 4 | 48 | 4_48 | 151.1835 | -7.7982 | 21.1068 | 0.0598 | 20.7258 | 0.0454 | 20.419 | 0.6878 |
| 4 | 49 | 4 -49 | 151.2308 | -7.7487 | 20.7004 | 0.0496 | 19.7699 | 0.0291 | 18.7624 | 1.938 |
| 4 | 50 | 4_50 | 151.1712 | -7.8048 | 21.2396 | 0.0636 | 20.6914 | 0.0447 | 20.1206 | 1.119 |
| 4 | 51 | 4_51 | 151.1884 | $-7.7851$ | 22.8866 | 0.1409 | 21.7932 | 0.0757 | 20.3973 | 2.4893 |
| 4 | 52 | 4_52 | 151.1967 | -7.7745 | 19.2055 | 0.0246 | 18.8546 | 0.019 | 18.4338 | 0.7717 |
| 4 | 53 | $4 \_53$ | 151.1705 | -7.7980 | 20.6852 | 0.0491 | 19.6538 | 0.0275 | 18.3695 | 2.3157 |
| 4 | 54 | 4_54 | 151.1801 | $-7.7857$ | 23.1303 | 0.1617 | 22.7852 | 0.121 | 22.1342 | 0.9961 |
| 4 | 55 | 4_55 | 151.1811 | $-7.7820$ | 22.3181 | 0.1069 | 21.945 | 0.0815 | 21.495 | 0.8231 |
| 4 | 56 | 4_56 | 151.2129 | -7.7478 | 19.9961 | 0.0356 | 19.489 | 0.0255 | 18.9694 | 1.0267 |
| 4 | 57 | 4_57 | 151.1687 | -7.7888 | 22.1897 | 0.1013 | 21.3645 | 0.0626 | 20.6163 | 1.5734 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 58 | 4_58 | 151.1862 | -7.7649 | 21.8791 | 0.0862 | 20.9196 | 0.0497 | 20.0305 | 1.8486 |
| 4 | 59 | 4_59 | 151.19 | -7.7586 | 22.5199 | 0.1183 | 21.9708 | 0.0834 | 21.2165 | 1.3034 |
| 4 | 60 | 4_60 | 151.168 | -7.7780 | 22.5949 | 0.1223 | 21.7384 | 0.0741 | 20.7908 | 1.8041 |
| 4 | 61 | 4_61 | 151.1601 | $-7.7831$ | 22.8741 | 0.1389 | 21.8613 | 0.0782 | 20.6621 | 2.212 |
| 4 | 62 | 4_62 | 151.1744 | $-7.7662$ | 19.8604 | 0.0334 | 19.3308 | 0.0238 | 18.9148 | 0.9456 |
| 5 | 1 | 5_1 | 151.3734 | $-7.7169$ | 23.4987 | 0.1903 | 22.7861 | 0.1308 | 22.4648 | 1.0339 |
| 5 | 2 | 5_2 | 151.3601 | $-7.7300$ | 21.1829 | 0.0621 | 20.6119 | 0.0432 | 20.069 | 1.1139 |
| 5 | 5 | 5_5 | 151.3203 | $-7.7695$ | 20.3278 | 0.0419 | 19.7622 | 0.0291 | 19.1049 | 1.2229 |
| 5 | 7 | 5-7 | 151.3945 | -7.7682 | 23.6589 | 0.2068 | 22.2885 | 0.098 | 21.2106 | 2.4483 |
| 5 | 8 | 5_8 | 151.3981 | -7.7614 | 20.1566 | 0.0385 | 19.7494 | 0.0288 | 19.2962 | 0.8604 |
| 5 | 9 | 5_9 | 151.4021 | $-7.7545$ | 22.9893 | 0.147 | 22.5403 | 0.1082 | 21.9417 | 1.0476 |
| 5 | 10 | 5_10 | 151.3479 | -7.8056 | 21.1041 | 0.06 | 20.6328 | 0.0437 | 20.0621 | 1.042 |
| 5 | 11 | 5_11 | 151.3993 | $-7.7528$ | 24.2172 | 0.2879 | 23.2152 | 0.1635 | 22.5032 | 1.714 |
| 5 | 12 | 5_12 | 151.3842 | $-7.7659$ | 21.9867 | 0.0908 | 21.409 | 0.0634 | 20.8287 | 1.158 |
| 5 | 13 | 5_13 | 151.3885 | -7.7593 | 22.5141 | 0.1208 | 21.1242 | 0.0574 | 19.9915 | 2.5226 |
| 5 | 14 | 5_14 | 151.396 | -7.7496 | 20.2016 | 0.0402 | 19.6623 | 0.0287 | 19.1268 | 1.0748 |
| 5 | 15 | 5_15 | 151.3967 | $-7.7468$ | 23.6757 | 0.2244 | 22.9911 | 0.1606 | 22.7963 | 0.8794 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 16 | 5_16 | 151.3936 | $-7.7486$ | 22.9614 | 0.1492 | 22.4728 | 0.1103 | 21.8704 | 1.091 |
| 5 | 18 | 5_18 | 151.3507 | $-7.7862$ | 22.9135 | 0.1415 | 22.2381 | 0.0943 | 21.6612 | 1.2523 |
| 5 | 19 | 5_19 | 151.3945 | -7.7448 | 22.5762 | 0.1286 | 21.2888 | 0.064 | 20.2041 | 2.3721 |
| 5 | 20 | 5_20 | 151.3924 | -7.7422 | 22.9385 | 0.1497 | 21.7213 | 0.0751 | 20.6259 | 2.3126 |
| 5 | 21 | 5_21 | 151.3812 | $-7.7506$ | 21.837 | 0.085 | 21.2883 | 0.0599 | 20.5279 | 1.3091 |
| 5 | 22 | 5_22 | 151.3301 | $-7.7984$ | 22.5447 | 0.1188 | 21.2725 | 0.059 | 19.2031 | 3.3416 |
| 5 | 23 | 5_23 | 151.3823 | $-7.7438$ | 22.9857 | 0.1474 | 22.0299 | 0.0846 | 20.4627 | 2.523 |
| 5 | 24 | 5_24 | 151.3886 | $-7.7349$ | 20.4768 | 0.0447 | 19.8908 | 0.0308 | 19.3319 | 1.1449 |
| 5 | 25 | 5_25 | 151.3789 | $-7.7422$ | 21.9669 | 0.0899 | 21.3343 | 0.0611 | 20.6224 | 1.3445 |
| 5 | 26 | 5_26 | 151.3591 | $-7.7593$ | 21.2623 | 0.0645 | 20.3356 | 0.0379 | 19.4307 | 1.8316 |
| 5 | 27 | 5_27 | 151.3654 | $-7.7504$ | 20.4772 | 0.0447 | 20.1319 | 0.0345 | 19.7135 | 0.7637 |
| 5 | 28 | 5_28 | 151.3643 | $-7.7486$ | 21.626 | 0.0766 | 21.0279 | 0.0531 | 20.5387 | 1.0873 |
| 5 | 29 | 5_29 | 151.356 | $-7.7538$ | 19.1917 | 0.0247 | 18.278 | 0.0146 | 17.3404 | 1.8513 |
| 5 | 30 | 5_30 | 151.3427 | $-7.7646$ | 23.0399 | 0.1507 | 22.446 | 0.1059 | 21.8586 | 1.1813 |
| 5 | 31 | 5_31 | 151.3553 | -7.7499 | 19.233 | 0.0251 | 18.7696 | 0.0183 | 18.3021 | 0.9309 |
| 5 | 32 | 5_32 | 151.3449 | -7.7575 | 21.0852 | 0.0583 | 20.6655 | 0.0438 | 20.2072 | 0.878 |
| 5 | 33 | 5_33 | 151.3201 | $-7.7796$ | 20.4013 | 0.0432 | 19.8576 | 0.0303 | 19.246 | 1.1553 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 34 | 5_34 | 151.34 | -7.7573 | 22.4669 | 0.1141 | 21.4305 | 0.0636 | 19.8685 | 2.5984 |
| 5 | 35 | 5_35 | 151.3336 | -7.7612 | 22.7146 | 0.1289 | 21.6297 | 0.0699 | 20.1013 | 2.6133 |
| 5 | 36 | 5_36 | 151.3537 | -7.7349 | 22.3413 | 0.1075 | 21.6575 | 0.0715 | 21.0185 | 1.3228 |
| 5 | 37 | 5_37 | 151.3644 | $-7.7213$ | 21.6502 | 0.0774 | 21.2058 | 0.0574 | 20.6604 | 0.9898 |
| 5 | 38 | 5_38 | 151.3496 | -7.7328 | 20.1139 | 0.0378 | 19.7342 | 0.0286 | 19.3354 | 0.7785 |
| 5 | 39 | 5_39 | 151.3451 | -7.7344 | 22.7335 | 0.1301 | 22.153 | 0.0907 | 21.5966 | 1.1369 |
| 5 | 40 | 5_40 | 151.3364 | -7.7399 | 19.8841 | 0.034 | 19.447 | 0.025 | 18.985 | 0.8991 |
| 5 | 41 | 5_41 | 151.3469 | -7.7259 | 20.5975 | 0.0473 | 20.1307 | 0.0344 | 19.6894 | 0.9081 |
| 5 | 42 | 5_42 | 151.3297 | -7.7396 | 20.4761 | 0.0447 | 19.9863 | 0.0322 | 19.4329 | 1.0432 |
| 5 | 43 | 5_43 | 151.3215 | -7.7451 | 22.4518 | 0.1142 | 21.9294 | 0.083 | 21.3148 | 1.137 |
| 5 | 44 | 5_44 | 151.3126 | $-7.7513$ | 22.0001 | 0.0897 | 21.2938 | 0.0595 | 20.6901 | 1.31 |
| 5 | 45 | 5_45 | 151.2994 | $-7.7584$ | 22.7305 | 0.1284 | 22.1102 | 0.0879 | 21.4213 | 1.3092 |
| 5 | 46 | 5_46 | 151.2914 | -7.7498 | 19.103 | 0.0237 | 18.6672 | 0.0174 | 18.2529 | 0.8501 |
| 5 | 47 | 5_47 | 151.3027 | -7.7358 | 19.0775 | 0.0234 | 18.5216 | 0.0163 | 17.9407 | 1.1368 |
| 5 | 48 | 5_48 | 151.319 | $-7.7166$ | 20.8459 | 0.0532 | 20.2825 | 0.0371 | 19.7905 | 1.0554 |
| 5 | 49 | 5_49 | 151.3284 | -7.7045 | 21.7535 | 0.0811 | 21.229 | 0.0577 | 20.5895 | 1.164 |
| 5 | 50 | 5_50 | 151.3314 | -7.6988 | 20.8376 | 0.0531 | 20.1727 | 0.035 | 19.6325 | 1.2051 |


| Field | Slit ID | Object ID | RA | Dec | V | V error | R | R error | I | I error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 51 | 5_51 | 151.3363 | -7.6909 | 21.4588 | 0.0706 | 20.8319 | 0.0479 | 20.1834 | 1.2754 |
| 5 | 52 | 5_52 | 151.3223 | $-7.7020$ | 23.074 | 0.1518 | 22.7759 | 0.1244 | 22.3885 | 0.6855 |
| 5 | 53 | 5_53 | 151.3177 | $-7.7040$ | 21.8472 | 0.0845 | 21.2341 | 0.0576 | 20.6292 | 1.218 |
| 5 | 54 | 5_54 | 151.3158 | -7.7036 | 21.1635 | 0.0616 | 20.5309 | 0.0416 | 19.7899 | 1.3736 |
| 5 | 55 | 5_55 | 151.2754 | $-7.7411$ | 20.4379 | 0.0439 | 19.8434 | 0.0301 | 19.1804 | 1.2575 |
| 5 | 56 | 5_56 | 151.2929 | $-7.7211$ | 22.0762 | 0.0944 | 21.5045 | 0.0657 | 20.7497 | 1.3265 |
| 5 | 57 | 5_57 | 151.31 | $-7.7016$ | 21.1089 | 0.06 | 20.6339 | 0.0436 | 20.0908 | 1.0181 |
| 5 | 58 | 5_58 | 151.3047 | -7.7043 | 19.9578 | 0.0348 | 19.3858 | 0.0242 | 18.7825 | 1.1753 |
| 5 | 59 | 5_59 | 151.2748 | $-7.7312$ | 23.0359 | 0.149 | 22.3083 | 0.0966 | 21.8113 | 1.2246 |
| 5 | 60 | 5_60 | 151.2974 | $-7.7061$ | 20.5358 | 0.0459 | 20.0225 | 0.0327 | 19.4906 | 1.0452 |
| 5 | 61 | 5_61 | 151.293 | -7.7080 | 21.9965 | 0.0912 | 21.3623 | 0.062 | 20.9094 | 1.0871 |
| 5 | 62 | 5_62 | 151.2997 | -7.6986 | 20.9183 | 0.0551 | 20.3556 | 0.0383 | 19.7233 | 1.195 |
| 5 | 63 | 5_63 | 151.3232 | $-7.7311$ | 21.6028 | 0.0755 | 21.0542 | 0.053 | 20.5274 | 1.0754 |
| 5 | 64 | 5_64 | 151.3166 | $-7.7308$ | 23.6704 | 0.2115 | 23.2393 | 0.1652 | 22.5958 | 1.0746 |

Table 2: Table of GC targets listing: Object ID, SNR, Velocity, Velocity Error, metallicity and metallicity error obtained from pPXF.

| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | [Z/H] | [Z/H] error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \_12$ | 37 | 187 | 3 | -0.43 | 0.07 |
| 1.14 | 6 | 1074 | 242 |  |  |
| $1 \_15$ | 5 | 484 | 23 |  |  |
| 1_19 | 21 | 1127 | 5 | -0.19 | 0.08 |
| 1_21 | 7 | 992 | 29 |  |  |
| 1_22 | 8 | 476 | 69 |  |  |
| 1_24 | 9 | 223 | 223 | -0.79 | 0.13 |
| 1_25 | 9 | 822 | 14 | -0.21 | 0.07 |
| 1_28 | 6 | 1072 | 18 |  |  |
| 1_29 | 5 | 831 | 21 |  |  |
| 1_32 | 11 | 646 | 42 | -0.87 | 0.11 |
| 1_35 | 19 | 328 | 7 | -0.33 | 0.07 |
| 1_37 | 13 | 894 | 8 | -0.22 | 0.07 |
| 1_38 | 6 | 601 | 9 |  |  |
| 1.43 | 5 | 572 | 258 |  |  |
| 1_44 | 15 | 86 | 6 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| 2_15 | 7 | 380 | 13 |  |  |
| 2_18 | 9 | 503 | 17 | -0.28 | 0.41 |
| $2 \_20$ | 6 | 689 | 51 |  |  |
| 2_21 | 8 | 442 | 27 |  |  |
| 2_23 | 7 | 470 | 42 |  |  |
| 2_24 | 6 | 402 | 64 |  |  |
| $2 \_25$ | 15 | 410 | 7 | -0.4 | 0.07 |
| 2_26 | 17 | 136 | 6 | -0.11 | 0.07 |
| 2_28 | 19 | 501 | 7 | -0.26 | 0.07 |

June 5, 2018

| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | [Z/H] | [Z/H] error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2_29 | 6 | 497 | 25 |  |  |
| 2_30 | 15 | 143 | 15 | -0.66 | 0.08 |
| 2_35 | 474 | 23 | 5 |  |  |
| 2_40 | 7 | 1199 | 13 |  |  |
| 2_42 | 10 | 435 | 8 | -0.21 | 0.07 |
| 2_43 | 8 | 751 | 11 | -0.35 | 0.08 |
| 2_47 | 17 | 671 | 7 | 0 | 0.07 |
| 2_52 | 10 | 807 | 10 | -0.23 | 0.07 |
| 2_53 | 14 | 479 | 12 | -0.53 | 0.13 |
| 2_60 | 14 | 30 | 9 | -0.32 | 0.08 |
| 3_10 | 16 | 136 | 7 | -0.43 | 0.08 |
| 3_11 | 9 | 600 | 35 | -0.74 | 0.22 |
| 3_13 | 7 | 19 | 15 |  |  |
| 3_16 | 5 | 163 | 509 |  |  |
| 3_18 | 11 | 685 | 46 | -0.19 | 0.71 |
| 3_19 | 6 | 718 | 76 |  |  |
| 3_20 | 10 | -1400 | 1000 | -0.71 | 0.21 |
| 3_21 | 6 | 600 | 0 |  |  |
| 3_25 | 6 | 112 | 12 |  |  |
| 3_26 | 7 | 6 | 18 |  |  |
| 3_29 | 6 | 809 | 19 |  |  |
| 3_31 | 20 | 2600 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| 3_35 | 12 | 191 | 23 | -0.69 | 0.09 |
| 3_38 | 7 | 140 | 9 |  |  |
| 3_39 | 6 | 79 | 23 |  |  |
| 3-41 | 33 | 369 | 17 | -1.37 | 0.08 |
| 3_42 | 10 | 173 | 13 | -0.29 | 0.09 |

June 5, 2018

| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | [Z/H] | [Z/H] error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-44 | 12 | 600 | 2 |  |  |
| 3_45 | 10 | 39 | 9 | -0.19 | 0.71 |
| 3-49 | 10 | 72 | 29 | -0.2 | 0.71 |
| 3_53 | 6 | 1019 | 230 |  |  |
| 3_58 | 16 | 510 | 52 | -1.11 | 0.14 |
| $4 \_8$ | 13 | 108 | 132 | -0.19 | 0.07 |
| 4_10 | 33 | 212 | 25 | -0.61 | 0.12 |
| 4_12 | 9 | -4 | 23 | -0.52 | 0.08 |
| 4_13 | 9 | 600 | 23 | -0.47 | 0.08 |
| 4_16 | 13 | 600 | 27 | -1.09 | 0.10 |
| 4_21 | 9 | 162 | 34 | -0.63 | 0.09 |
| 4_27 | 9 | 213 | 9 | -0.19 | 0.71 |
| 4_29 | 5 | 600 | 0 |  |  |
| 4_30 | 6 | 459 | 333 |  |  |
| 4_32 | 8 | 181 | 32 | -1.05 | 0.24 |
| 4_35 | 7 | -209 | 525 |  |  |
| 4_37 | 13 | 474 | 29 | -0.88 | 0.32 |
| 4_38 | 52 | 193 | 3 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| 4_43 | 7 | 600 | 39 |  |  |
| 4_46 | 17 | 90 | 5 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 4_48 | 15 | -618 | 551 | -0.37 | 0.07 |
| 4_49 | 11 | 26 | 16 | -0.31 | 0.07 |
| 4_50 | 10 | 693 | 55 | -0.55 | 0.14 |
| 4.52 | 43 | -14 | 16 | -1.6 | 0.07 |
| 4_53 | 26 | -8 | 92 | -0.09 | 0.07 |
| 4_56 | 26 | 74 | 5 | -0.15 | 0.07 |
| 4_58 | 6 | 6 | 28 |  |  |

June 5, 2018

| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | [Z/H] | [Z/H] error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4_62 | 27 | 792 | 10 | -0.94 | 0.1 |
| 5_8 | 27 | 170 | 7 | -0.59 | 0.08 |
| 5_10 | 8 | 684 | 27 |  |  |
| 5_12 | 5 | 837 | 139 |  |  |
| 5_14 | 13 | 600 | 0 | -1.11 | 0.15 |
| 5_18 | 5 | 410 | 157 |  |  |
| 5_24 | 17 | 216 | 6 | -0.15 | 0.07 |
| 5_25 | 6 | 689 | 51 |  |  |
| 5_26 | 8 | 24 | 15 |  |  |
| 5_27 | 22 | 303 | 90 | -0.66 | 0.27 |
| 5_28 | 7 | 194 | 23 |  |  |
| 5_31 | 42 | 194 | 4 | -0.4 | 0.07 |
| 5_32 | 11 | 195 | 17 | -0.9 | 0.18 |
| 5_33 | 18 | 721 | 7 | -0.43 | 0.07 |
| 5_37 | 8 | 673 | 49 |  |  |
| 5_38 | 27 | 3 | 14 | -0.83 | 0.11 |
| 5_40 | 30 | 105 | 5 | -0.33 | 0.08 |
| 5_41 | 16 | 410 | 9 | -0.22 | 0.07 |
| 5_42 | 16 | 943 | 16 | -0.98 | 0.1 |
| 5_46 | 46 | 89 | 3 | -0.58 | 0.07 |
| 5_47 | 37 | 481 | 4 | -0.36 | 0.07 |
| 5_48 | 7 | 698 | 62 |  |  |
| 5_49 | 5 | 974 | 201 |  |  |
| 5_50 | 9 | 967 | 27 | -0.19 | 0.13 |
| 5_51 | 7 | 912 | 19 |  |  |
| 5_55 | 15 | 538 | 33 | -0.27 | 0.07 |
| 5_57 | 8 | 708 | 105 | -1.01 | 0.25 |

June 5, 2018

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Object ID | SNR | Velocity | Vel error | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{H}]$ error |
| $5 \_58$ | 13 | 922 | 8 | -0.27 | 0.07 |
| $5 \_60$ | 15 | 754 | 16 | -0.77 | 0.22 |
| $5 \_62$ | 11 | 950 | 9 | -0.28 | 0.07 |
| $5 \_63$ | 8 | 800 | 18 |  |  |
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