

9-1-2016

Legal Anarchism: Does Existence Need to Be Regulated by the State

Sirus Kashefi

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/phd>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#), [Law and Philosophy Commons](#), and the [State and Local Government Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kashefi, Sirus, "Legal Anarchism: Does Existence Need to Be Regulated by the State" (2016). *PhD Dissertations*. 32.
<http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/phd/32>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Osgoode Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in PhD Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Osgoode Digital Commons.

Legal Anarchism: Does Existence Need to Be Regulated by the State?

Sirus Kashefi

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Graduate Program in Law
Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
Toronto, Ontario

September 2016

© **Sirus Kashefi, 2016**

Abstract

This thesis asks “*does existence need to be regulated by the State?*” The answer relies on “legal anarchism”, an interdisciplinary, particularly criminal law and philosophy, and unconventional research project based on multiple methodologies with a specific language. It critically analyzes and consequently rejects State law because of its unjustified and unnecessary nature founded on unlimited violence and white-collar crime (Chapters 1-4), on the one hand, and suggests some alternatives to the Governmental legal system founded on agreement and peace (Chapter 5), on the other hand. It furthermore takes into account the elements of time and space, which means the ecological, local, national, regional, and international aspects of the legal system, in its analysis, critiques, and models.

Keywords: existence, State, repression, legal system, law, punishment, anarchism, legal anarchism, anarchist alternatives

Dedication

I dedicate this dissertation to endless non-human and human victims, particularly my sister Sedigh, of unlimited cruelties of politicians and humanity as a whole. My sister is unspeakably suffering from existential injustice. She is a real ocean of pains swallowing an ignorant and cruel family, forced marriage at 14 year old, a poor, ignorant, and wicked husband, three highly problematic children, permanent injustice imposed by the legal system, ... The Iranian States, before as well as after the Islamist Revolution, have played an immense role in her victimization.

"Is He A Nihilist Dancer?"

He makes fun of all scriptures

He plays with all philosophers

He challenges all authorities

He dances with all ideologies

Is he is a nihilist dancer?"

Sirus Kashefi¹

Acknowledgements

I express my deepest gratitude to the following Professors who have made this thesis possible by their academic reviews and expertise.

I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Dayna Nadine Scott for her important role and continuous support in solving the issues related to the first version of my thesis as well as helping me to defend the thesis. Contrary to my first supervisor, she has sincerely been very disponible, comprehensible, and patient. She has also improved my dissertation by her thoughtful comments and useful suggestions.

I would also thank Professors Liora Salter and Edward Peter Stringham for admitting to take part in the supervisory committee and giving feedback and suggestion, which have facilitated my thesis defense. Their opinion and expertise have certainly enriched my dissertation.

Besides them, I have no debt toward any person in writing this thesis, except Professor James Martel who carefully read the three Chapters (at that time, I did not divided the Chapter 1 into two Chapters of 1 and 2) and gave several creative comments and ideas. I would thus like to thank him for his kindness, trustworthiness, encouragement, suggestions, and criticisms that I have used in the context as well as appendix B of this thesis.

I recognize that the language of the thesis is very vehement, but hopefully honest. I do accordingly emphasise that I have critically analyzed “the institution” and not “the person” at all, since naming some people in this thesis means “a structural analysis” that has nothing to do with any “personal attack”, which is not undoubtedly my business. Furthermore, I am certainly an alone individual who must survive by her own capacities in a jungle or psychiatric hospital that we call the world in which presenting some unconventional ideas – of which this thesis would be an example – is so difficult, if not impossible. Let me accordingly invoke Carl Gustav Jung, “*Loneliness does not come from having no people about one, but from being unable to communicate the things that seem important to oneself, or from holding certain views which others find inadmissible.*”² For instance, does not academia make some individuals, i.e. those who are unable to adapt, alone or excluded through its horrible hierarchy and rules?

My thesis hopefully neither follows York University’s ideology, nor obeys Osgoodian and anarchist propaganda, but it mostly depicts my own opinion as some misanthropic critiques of authorities, forcibly realized through my existential but painful experience and knowledge. For instance, under the academic and economic pressure and censorship resulting in my huge pains and struggle, including my two hunger strikes, against a very cruel system, I had to highly censor the two versions of this PhD thesis (particularly my detailed arguments against lawyering, academia, and politics) in order to defend it possibly, and to

obtain my second PhD title eventually. I hope that this highly censored version will somehow enjoy the freedom of speech, cherished by academia itself! And as Sophocles said in *Oedipus the King*, "*In the time you will know all with certainty; time is the only test of honest men, one day is space enough to know a rogue.*"

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
Synopsis of Thesis	x
Figure 1: The Schematic Plan of the Thesis	xiii
Introduction	1
Chapter 1: The Critical and Controversial Concepts	11
1.1 Synopsis.....	11
1.2 Anarchism, the Anarchist Thoughts, and Libertarians	11
1.2.1 Anarchism: From Broadness to Adjectivelessness	12
1.2.1.1 Anarchism and Libertarianism: To Be or Not To Be Contrary	12
1.2.1.2 Anarchism Without Adjectives	15
1.2.2 The Anarchist Movements, Schools, Publications, and Organizations	17
1.2.3 The Libertarians: A Privileged and Spoiled Caste	17
1.3 The Importance of Anarchism	20
1.4 Legal Anarchism: A Complex Discipline.....	22
1.5 Power and Authority	26
1.5.1 The Similarities and Differences	27
1.5.2 The Critiques of Authority	29
1.5.2.1 The Ideological Justification of Authority	29
1.5.2.2 The Destructive and Corrupting Aspects of Authority	33
1.6 The State.....	38
1.7 Conclusion	42
Chapter 2: Some Elements of the State	44
2.1 Synopsis.....	44
2.2 State Jurisdiction	45
2.2.1 The Creation of Frontiers and the Protection of Citizens	45
2.2.2 The Nation-State: The Belligerent and Dirty Misadventures	46
2.3 The State and Democracy.....	51
2.3.1 From Liberal Democracy to Theocratic Democracy	51
2.3.2 Anarchist Democracy	53
2.4 Statelessness.....	56
2.5 The State and Human Nature	59
2.5.1 An Old Question in Political Philosophy.....	60
2.5.2 The State's Effects on Human Nature	61
2.6 Extremity	63
2.6.2 The Extreme Suffering: Organized and Unlimited Violence.....	70
2.6.2.1 The Scale, Intensity, and Legitimacy of State Violence	71
2.6.2.2 The Overregulation and Overcriminalization of Individuality and Sociality	73
2.6.2.2.1 The Extreme Rules	74
2.6.2.2.2 The Extreme Sanctions.....	75
1.6.2.2.3 The Place of Freedoms and Rights.....	81
2.6.2.3 State Violence Against Nature	84

2.6.2.3.1 From Political Violence Against Animals	84
2.6.2.3.2 To Political Violence Against the Entire Environment	87
2.7 Conclusion	90
Chapter 3: The Anarchist Analysis and Critique of the Law and Punishment.....	94
3.1 Synopsis.....	94
3.2 The Introductory Notices.....	96
3.3 The Critiques of the Law	98
3.3.1 The Substantive Critiques of the Law.....	99
3.3.1.1 The Materialistic Critiques.....	100
3.3.1.2 The Psychological Critiques.....	102
3.3.1.3 The Legal System	106
3.3.1.3.1 The Law School.....	106
3.3.1.3.1.1 The Corporate Center of Indoctrinating Future Lawyers	107
3.3.1.3.1.2 Racist Obedience to the Law.....	110
3.3.1.3.1.3 The Lack of Transparency and Democracy.....	113
3.3.1.3.1.3.1 Academic and Legal Dictatorship	113
3.3.1.3.1.3.2 The Academic and Legal Sanctions Against the Outsiders	116
3.3.1.3.2 Lawyering	117
3.3.1.3.2.1 The Lawyers.....	117
3.3.1.3.2.1.1 The Very Bad Reputation and Moral Bankruptcy	117
3.3.1.3.2.1.2 A Corporatist and Propagandist Institution of Obedience	120
3.3.1.3.2.2 The Judges	126
3.3.1.3.2.2.1 The State Sacred and White Men	126
3.3.1.3.2.2.2 The Selected Dictators	128
3.3.2 The Procedural Critiques of the Law	131
3.3.2.1 The Myth of Equality before the Law.....	131
3.3.2.2 The Myth of the Presumption of Innocence	134
3.4 Conclusion	136
Chapter 4: State Stigmatization and Repression.....	139
4.1 Synopsis.....	139
4.2 The Mechanisms of State Control: State Repression v. Protest Permission	141
4.2.1 Absolute Power to Repress	142
4.2.2 Begging Permission to Protest Against State Violence.....	147
4.3 Delegitimization: The State Against Its Own Rules	150
4.3.1 Historical Delegitimization.....	153
4.3.2 Modern Delegitimization	157
4.4 Judicial Legitimacy: The Anarchists vs. the State Criminals.....	166
4.4.1 Accepting vs. Denying Judicial Legitimacy	166
4.4.1.1 An Accepted Court	167
4.4.1.2 A Denied Court.....	170
4.4.2 A Forced Justice for the Libertarians	173
4.4.3 An Impotent Justice for the State Criminals.....	176
4.5 Conclusion: The Hopeful Revolts or Revolutions	180
Chapter 5: The Anarchist Alternatives.....	184
5.1 Synopsis.....	184
5.2 The Problems and Principles	192
5.2.1 The Problems	194

5.2.1.1 The Problems of Utopianism	194
5.2.1.2 The Problems of Organization.....	197
5.2.1.2.1 Unbalanced Organization between Individualism and Socialism	197
5.2.1.2.2 The Affinity Groups	199
5.2.1.2.2.1 Platformist Anarchism.....	200
5.2.1.2.2.2 Synthesist Anarchism	202
5.2.1.2.2.3 Class Struggle Anarchism	203
5.2.1.3 The Problems of Labour.....	205
5.2.1.3.1 The Leftist Criticisms and Solutions	206
5.2.1.3.2 The Rightist Criticisms and Solutions.....	210
5.2.1.3.3 The Leftist Criticisms of Labour in a Free Market System.....	214
5.2.1.4 The Problems of Natural Resources and Technology	218
5.2.1.4.1 The Natural Problems	218
5.2.1.4.1.1 The Rightist Approach	219
5.2.1.4.1.2 The Leftist Approach	226
5.2.1.4.1.2.1 From the Ownership of the Environment.....	226
5.2.1.4.1.2.2 To the Liberation of the Environment	231
5.2.1.4.2 The Technological Problems.....	234
5.2.1.4.2.1 Radical Anarchism.....	235
5.2.1.4.2.2 Moderate Anarchism	242
5.2.1.4.2.3 Excessive Anarchism	247
5.2.1.5 The Problems of Money and Taxation.....	250
5.2.1.5.1 The Monetary Problems.....	251
5.2.1.5.1.1 The Leftist Approach	251
5.2.1.5.1.2 The Rightist Approach	254
5.2.1.5.2 The Taxing Problems.....	257
5.2.2 The Principles.....	261
5.2.2.1 The Principle of Endless Alternatives	262
5.2.2.2 The Principle of Agreement and Contract	266
5.2.2.2.1 The Foundation of Anarchism.....	266
5.2.2.2.2 The Godlike Contract.....	270
5.2.2.3 The Principle of Decentralization and Autonomy through Federation.....	273
5.2.2.4 The Principle of Non-Aggression.....	276
5.2.2.4.1 The Anarcho-Capitalist Approach	277
5.2.2.4.2 The Critiques and Challenges.....	279
5.2.2.5 The Green Principle	282
5.2.2.6 Free Love	287
5.3 The Alternatives	291
5.3.1 The National Alternatives	292
5.3.1.1 The Individualist Alternatives.....	292
5.3.1.1.1 The Pure Approach.....	292
5.3.1.1.2 The Synthesist Approach.....	295
5.3.1.1.3 The Critiques of the Individualist Alternatives	297
5.3.1.2 The Socialist Alternatives	299
5.3.1.2.1 Some Key Ideas.....	299
5.3.1.2.1.1 The Preventive Effects of Public Opinion and Participation on Criminality	299
5.3.1.2.1.2 The Temporary Autonomous Zones and Squatting	301

5.3.1.2.2 The Mutualist Justice	303
5.3.1.2.3 The Collectivist Justice	308
5.3.1.2.4 The Communist Justice	311
5.3.1.2.4.1 Equality and Consumption According to Need	312
5.3.1.2.4.2 The Rainbow Family of Living Light.....	314
5.3.1.2.5 The Syndicalist Justice	316
5.3.1.2.6 The Eco-Anarchist Justice	319
5.3.1.3 The Capitalist Alternatives.....	324
5.3.1.3.1 The Free Market Law and Punishment	325
5.3.1.3.1.1 The Crimes Against the Individual and Her Property	327
5.3.1.3.1.2 The Crimes Against the Environment	330
5.3.1.3.2 The Free Market Enforcement Agencies	332
5.3.1.3.3 Some Critiques of the Capitalist Alternatives	336
5.3.2 The International Alternatives	339
5.3.2.1 The Rightist Alternatives	340
5.3.2.2 The Leftist Alternatives	344
5.4 Conclusion	348
5.5 My Alternatives and Final Notices	352
5.5.1 The Alternatives.....	353
5.5.1.1 The National Principles	353
5.5.1.1.1 The Principle of Existence	354
5.5.1.1.2 The Principle of Freedom to Choose	355
5.5.1.1.2.1 The General Elements.....	355
5.5.1.1.2.2 The Legal Elements.....	359
5.5.1.2 The International Principles.....	361
5.5.2 The Conclusive and Critical Notices	362
5.5.2.1 The Severe Criticisms of the Anarchists and Legal Anarchism	363
5.5.2.2 My Uncertain Contribution to Legal Anarchism	367
Bibliography	372
In English and French	372
In Farsi.....	453
Appendices	454
Appendix A: Acronyms.....	454
Appendix B: Professor Martel's Comment on My Thesis' Three Chapters (before dividing the Chapter 1 into two Chapters of 1 and 2)	455
Footnotes	457

Synopsis of Thesis

The dissertation aims to demonstrate that the State is neither justifiable nor necessary. In approaching the question of whether “*existence needs to be regulated by the State*”, it focuses on anarchist theories, which conventional legal scholarship misunderstands or ignores, by putting forward arguments based on “legal anarchism”. The critique focuses on criminal law due to its crucial position in justifying and supporting the need for Government as the most important and solicited institution of modernity. Divided into five Chapters, the dissertation aims to understand both the epistemology and necessity of the State according to anarchist thinking. As such, the thesis canvasses the opinions about the legal system consistent with the individualist, socialist, capitalist, and synthesist libertarians. It questions why all anarchist schools of thought, except the minimalists (questionably believing in a minimal State close to anarcho-capitalism), argue against the State’s nature and justification as the exclusive source of law and order. We cannot indeed understand an anarchist society without considering these critiques of Governmental epistemology and necessity. This society struggles to avoid the overwhelming State violence and corruption that is left unchallenged, if not furthered, by the legal establishment. State legal systems are actually impotent toward the white-collar criminals, including State actors themselves, but tough vis-à-vis the blue-collar criminals.

The research strategy engages with very diverse anarchist thinkers from the left to the right sides of the political spectrum, and from the traditional to the modern schools. In order to examine their theories and alternatives to Government, legal anarchism categorizes them into individualist (e.g., Stirner, Armand, and James Walker), socialist (e.g., Godwin, Bakunin, Kropotkin, Malatesta, Goldman, Berkman, Bookchin, Chomsky, Falk, and Zerzan), and capitalist anarchists (e.g., Friedman, Rothbard, Hoppe, and Stringham), without denying their degree of permeability or synthesis inasmuch as they can simultaneously share certain characteristics, principally, of anarchism, individualism, socialism, marketism, and religion (e.g., Proudhon, Spooner, Tolstoy, Tucker, and Chartier). Legal anarchism does indeed use a synthetic methodology to treat the relationship among Government, humans, and non-humans, since, as various libertarian literatures emphasize, Government has monopolized the regulation of all life and death through a sophisticated system of law and punishment. Despite the fact that legal anarchism, as a dependent discipline, relies on anarchist and legal literatures, it, as an independent discipline, has its own autonomy and liberty to analyze, criticize, or develop them with its specific terminology.

To appreciate the anarchist analysis and critique of State law requires the understanding of several key concepts central to legal anarchism. Legal anarchism defines these terms according to its synthetic

methodology without limiting itself to the specific author. The key concepts include legal anarchism, existence, and the State. Legal anarchism is a multidisciplinary project exploring and challenging the conceptual and practical implications of the State and its various institutions in a fundamentally different way. It is concerned with critically analyzing the materialistic and psychological elements of law, like the role of “law addiction” and capital, both indoctrinated and ensured by conventional approaches to legal education and lawyering. State authority actually survives and develops by not only legal or illegal violence, but also propaganda. Legal anarchism regards existence as encompassing all of human and non-human living within the cosmos. This cosmic definition of existence is observable throughout the libertarians’ critiques of Government (Chapters 1-4) as well as their models (Chapter 5), due to their consideration for the life of humans and non-humans in relation to the universe. Legal anarchism describes the State as an illegitimate institution inasmuch as it does not really build upon its citizens’ consent or democracy, but upon several despotic mechanisms, particularly taxation, legalism, and militarism.

The analysis demonstrates that State authority is neither theoretically nor practically justified. That is, neither divine representation, social contract, voting, the ethos of obeying the law, the monopolization of legitimate violence, nor the defense of liberties and rights, can save the State. It is illegitimate because it imposes itself upon the individual, society, and nature while enforcing its rules without any real agreement. First, legal anarchism demonstrates how the overregulation and brutalization of existence through sanctions produces pains for existence while guaranteeing a luxury lifestyle, founded on income inequality, for the elites. Then, it analyzes how State law produces more problems for humans and nature (e.g., pollution, the destruction of individual and social liberty as well as mutuality) than solutions. The anarchist scholars point to accepted practices of legal education and lawyering because they indoctrinate the students and produce obedience to the law, corruption, sexism, racism, corporatism, and environmental degradation. After that, legal anarchism examines why the anarchists regard the State and its legal apparatus as a stigmatizing and repressive institution toward its dissidents whereby it further delegitimizes itself in violation of its own constitutional laws.


Due to Government illegitimacy and problems, legal anarchism concludes the necessity of its premises as the foundation for governance by advocating certain legal models focusing on individual and social agreement and liberty as well as considering the environmental and international issues of governing. These alternatives substituting the State defend an anarchist society relying on peace, decentralization, direct democracy, federalism, equality including gender equality, and ecology at communal, national, and international levels. They are however contested, particularly around questions of

property rights. There is much disagreement among the libertarians about the goals and strategies of establishing an anarchist alternative or self-governance. For instance, legal anarchism shows that the marketing models of Rothbard, Friedman, Chartier, and Stringham are close to the egoist models of Stirner, Walker, and Tucker because of disregarding or ignoring the social, international, and environmental solutions that the socialist models of Godwin, Proudhon, Bakunin, Malatesta, Kropotkin, Bookchin, Kaczynski, Chomsky, Falk, and Zerzan advocate. Unlike the socialist anarchists, the anarcho-capitalists have built their models exclusively upon the individual's economic interest or private property, which either seriously damages or totally denies the value of the society and nature at local or international level. If certain free market anarchists, like Rothbard and Chartier, have analyzed some legal issues of the environment, their analysis has simply reduced nature to private property.


In the end, I articulate my own suggestions and alternatives for how humanity and nature can live peacefully and harmoniously without any central Government, even though some elements of the old order relying on governmentality (e.g., the principles of criminal law) would somehow exist in the new order, but certainly not in a degree that would violate human and non-human dignity and liberty. For example, I conclude that putting the ideas of anarchism into practice, according to the principle of choice, needs more studies and critiques with a positive presentation and stimulation in academia, especially in the law school, and the mass media without fixing them in a dogmatic framework. As such, the dissertation constitutes my possible contribution to legal anarchism, its efficiency, improvement, and future to facilitate a better and safer life for humans and non-humans as well.

Figure 1: The Schematic Plan of the Thesis


1) The Critical and Controversial Concepts




2) Some Elements of the State




3) The Anarchist Analysis and Critique of the Law and Punishment



4) State Stigmatization and Repression



→ 5) The Anarchist Alternatives



Introduction

“Laws are created to be followed by the poor. Laws are made by the rich to bring some order to exploitation. The poor are the only law abiders in history. When the poor make laws the rich will be no more.”

Roque Dalton García¹

The question to know whether existence needs State regulation is beyond any doubt a large research requiring exhausting thought in all existential directions: individuality, sexuality, family, education, language, food, housing, healthcare, power, authority, democracy, economy, culture, art, sport, psychology, sociology, history, religion, morality, technology, geography, the environment, etc. This thesis has nonetheless ambition to examine the legal system, which is a big and crucial part of every State, through anarchist ideas. As a result, *“does existence need to be regulated by the State?”* is the legal and philosophical question of the thesis in the framework of what we call “legal anarchism”. The answer to this large question goes hand in hand with the justification and necessity of the State. To have or not to have the State is a very important question. According to Aristotle, human beings are “political animals” needing Government, regardless of its terminology and form in time and space, as either a centralized institution, recommended by the majority, or a decentralized and small community (i.e. self-governance), advocated by the minority. In other words, we cannot avoid the answer to the question of legitimacy and necessity of governance, because the individual and the society should somehow manage their life through some structure and rule internally as well as externally. In this sense, the thesis outlines a project endeavoring to find some elements of answer argued by certain intellectuals that we could regard as the outside the mainstream, i.e. the anarchists who discredit any centralized authority in favor of self-governance.

Although I will explain the principal but highly controversial concepts of the thesis in the first Chapter, let me briefly define three terms central to my project (existence, the State, and legal anarchism) in order to introduce our debate. We could also keep in mind that these concepts are by no means fixed, but evolving in time and space according to circumstances.

I merely define *existence* as humanity and naturality in their physical and psychological identity in relation to each other in the cosmos. According to this definition, human existence mixes with non-human existence in the universe. As a result, we cannot separate the issues of Governmentality from those of nature to which human beings take part. We are actually one part of nature and not its master and proprietor, as insisted by both religion and capitalism. As we will observe, especially throughout “the Green

Principle” (GP) in the Chapter 5, all animals, including us, have an equal right to exist, even though the respect of such a right seems to be dilemmatic. The more humanity advances in time and space, the more legal anarchism takes into account the cosmic characteristics of libertarian models, as explored in the Chapter 5. Besides, as we will later see, the Statesmen/women are doggedly struggling to regulate or even to destroy all existence, i.e. humans and non-humans, legally or illegally. I therefore try to take into consideration non-humans, submitted to our domination so arrogantly and mercilessly, in this thesis. According to this approach, I would like to be “anti-racist” inasmuch as I deny humans as a “superior race” – if some people would really like to hear about race – to other races or non-humans, which is not actually far from the philosophy of the so-called “Master Race”.² We are just one race with its own “evolution” or even “devolution”. Is there eventually any race more than “nature” because we all belong to the Mother Earth as a small part or a molecule of the cosmos? As a result, is there really any other law than “natural law”?

I define the *State* as an institution that imposes its norms upon a population within a territory by using executive, legislative, and judicial powers. As we will see, these so-called “territoriality” and “separation of powers” are mostly fictive, because all elements of State apparatus obey one rule: the preservation of the status quo and continued State power inside as well as outside State jurisdiction.

By *legal anarchism*, I mean a complex discipline that critically analyzes the law and punishment in terms of several disciplines (especially anarchism and jurisprudence), on the one hand, and presents some alternatives to State law, on the other hand. Legal anarchism first argues why and how authority justifies and imposes itself, substantively and procedurally in the framework of legal system, on the civil society, and consequently causes several harmful effects, such as the destruction of individual and social liberty and autonomy. It then analyzes why we need a Stateless society in which all individuals participate in the matters concerning their life. In this sense, it is neither purely legalistic nor simply libertarian, but principally a mixture of the philosophy of law and anarchism. It tries to understand the ideological and practical elements of legal system, which means a panoply of norms and sanctions, as traditionally monopolized by State violence.

That existential question on governance has hitherto created two groups of intellectuals: “the proponents of the State” (i.e. the Statists or the “archists” according to Professor Martel) and “the opponents of the State” (i.e. the anarchists) who have developed “Statism” and “Statelessness”. We observe that each group has endlessly divided into many different and controversial ideas or “isms”: individualism, capitalism, communism, socialism, monarchism, republicanism, democratism, Judaism, Christianity, Islamism, anarcho-individualism, anarcho-capitalism, anarcho-collectivism, anarcho-

socialism, anarcho-syndicalism, anarcho-primitivism, ethical anarchism, etc. As far as the thesis is concerned, it only analyzes some libertarian ideas for three reasons to avoid a very long and exhausting research by examining all theories of Statists and anarchists about the necessity and justification of the State and its alternatives.

Firstly, from time immemorial, some great bands of iconic personalities and their clans have fervently discussed, justified, defended, worshiped, supported, or implied the necessity of the State to govern all existence of humans and non-humans. They have indeed presented this too big institution as the only salvatory end and means guaranteeing all existential happiness at all levels of individuality, family, community, regionality, nationality, and internationality as well. They have wonderfully done their job through endlessly systematic and institutionalized war, violence, terror, plunder, propaganda, ideologies, and gesticules, such as social contract, utilitarianism, realpolitik, dance, writing, flattering, singing, playing, and sporting. They are people all over the world from, for example, David, Confucius, Cyrus the Great, Protagoras, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Jesus Christ, and Muhammad to Hobbes, Locke, and Marx. Their ideas and actions are unsurprisingly well known among the law Professors and their students around the world. To talk abundantly about “polity” or the subjugation of the masses to few “civil authorities” is really a great intellectual and professional fashion as well as achievement. As a result, we can observe the States and their legal systems everywhere!

Secondly, anarchy is at best useless and utopian, at worst a kind of psychosocial and dangerous illness in the law schools, which are perfectly indoctrinating legal professionals to look at the anarchists as “the psychopathic personalities” or “the naïve people”. According to the dominant ideology in these schools, the libertarians are nostalgically living in the past. The original sin of the libertarians is that they do not believe in any type of State law. On the contrary, these new legal professionals are well educated and generously paid to worship the State as the sacred source of law and order. Furthermore, the libertarian thoughts about the law and punishment are mostly unknown or ignored in the law schools in which few Professors and students have certain rudimentary knowledge about some archaic anarchists, especially William Godwin and Pierre-Joseph Proudhon.

Thirdly, the more we think that we know the State, the more it stays out of our knowledge and control to become a gigantic machine, which is deliberately or undeliberately impotent regarding individual and social injustice. In this case, the global financial crisis, matched with austerity measures imposed upon the poor, is currently a striking example. Paradoxically, the State remains at the same time an omnipresent power that forcibly regulates our existence before birth, during life, and after death as well. In this case, the

anarchist critiques and alternatives would preciousely provide new reflections on freedom and autonomy in our hyper-regulated life. It would thus be important to analyze the anarchist ideas about the law and punishment.

As for dissertation methodology, it has used library research and collected information and evidence from, principally, many books, articles, and websites. It focuses mostly on the criminal law and philosophy that are indeed my academic education and expertise. The methodological challenges stem chiefly from three facts.

Firstly, anarchism is a heterogeneous group of ideas, practices, or movements, because it has permanently evolved according to the problems of humanity and the environment as well. For instance, anarchism treats the issues related to children, women, aboriginals, immigrants, refuges, discrimination, racism, white supremacy, dictatorship, violence, war, slavery, poverty, exploitation, globalization, art, natural resources, and animals.³ In other words, anarchism, as *an open-ended model*, contains many different opinions and cases that reveal the similarities and disparities among anarchist thoughts concerning the ends and means to arrive at an anarchist society. As far as the dissertation is concerned, it can be just an individual and descriptive reflection about a highly complex institution called the State. Because a holistic research about this institution is a difficult, if not impossible, task, the dissertation is unfortunately far from fully analyzing all libertarian reflections on the legal system.

Secondly, the anarchists are not often lawyers, but mostly philosophers, economists, or political scientists. Their works are hence more philosophical, economic, or political than legalistic. Law Professor Eltzbacher has judiciously stated that anarchism analyzes juridical institutions from the philosophical standpoint and with reference to their economic effects. Therefore, to understand its essence without falling to all possible misunderstandings requires that one has to be familiar with the jurisprudential, philosophical, and economic concepts relating to anarchism. This Professor has accordingly founded his study about *the anarchistic teachings* upon three concepts of law, the State, and property.⁴

Except the anarcho-capitalists or “*more akin to what Americans call libertarians*”, as Professor Martel has noticed, the anarchists have rarely developed certain detailed systems when it comes to norms and their application in a libertarian society. In other words, if they are extremely deep in their analysis and critique of the law and punishment, they seem to be fragile in their alternatives to State law insofar as they are usually arguing with general and philosophical statements, rather than by legal statements. For them, the ways of putting the anarchist ideas into practice are principally the responsibility of all members of generation and not exclusively that of certain initiated savants. Analyzing their ideas regarding the legal

system cannot be thus reduced to a mere legal methodology, but it requires understanding many other disciplines (e.g., history, religion, mythology, philosophy, ethics, economics, politics, anthropology, sociology, psychology, feminism, and environmentalism), whereby they undermine the justification of State law and propose some alternatives.

For a thesis, it is challenging to remain in a purely legalistic environment while studying libertarian ideologies about law and order. Indeed, the unconventional methodology of the dissertation, which focuses principally on the criminal law because of my expertise and interests, is somehow *surrealistic* inasmuch as it analyzes and criticizes the legal system in a libertarian manner, which is to say outside of the law's Empire. It focuses indeed on legal anarchism, which is an unconventional discipline because of its anarchist nature. In this case, the relationship between anarchism and surrealism would explain the nonstandard methodology and style of the thesis, since reality and imagination (utopianism) overlap each other in legal anarchism to desire a non-hierarchical and decentralized society, i.e. the opposite of our traditional education and culture. When it comes to the importance of legal anarchism, Professor Martel has judiciously mentioned that anarchy and the law generally “*seem so antithetical in the normal ways that law is considered. Anarchism also seems alegal.*” Anarchy and the law are not paradoxically inimical, even though “*the archaic concept of law*” “*seems to demand an exclusive monopoly on law that pushes aside all alternatives.*”⁵

Thirdly, the rich diversities of anarchist ideas make it difficult to classify them according to some fixed frames (such as individualism, socialism, collectivism, capitalism, and environmentalism), because there exists some degree of overlap or controversy among them. For example, we can simultaneously regard Proudhon as an individualist, syndicalist, mutualist, socialist, capitalist (“*petit bourgeois*” according to Marx’s fetishistic terminology), anarchist, or even minarchist who moderately advocated a federal State at both national and international levels.⁶ The same difficulty to classify a libertarian thinker is observable throughout the “rightist anarchists” (such as Troy Southgate) and the “leftist libertarians” (such as Tolstoy, Lysander Spooner, Benjamin Tucker, Samuel Edward Konkin III, and Gary William Chartier) in whom nationalist, individualist, socialist, capitalist, anarchist, and religious elements are present and mixed. There is furthermore some degree of permeability in legal division, since we can classify some concepts according to several categories.

For example, the Judge is both applying and creating the law, especially in the common law in which there is the concept of Judge-made-law. As a result, the classifications of the thesis are somehow arbitrary, and principally aim at facilitating the analysis of libertarian theories about law and punishment. In other words, the divisions of topics in this thesis (such as socialist, communist, individualist, substantive and

procedural critiques of law) have their own advantages and disadvantages. The same goes for the substantive and procedural issues of law and punishment, as analyzed in the Chapters 2 and 3 that particularly handle overregulation, overcriminalization, and extreme violence enforced by the Governors. This not only proves State law's violence against humankind and nature, but also requires all citizens' absolute obedience to and independence on State authority and law.

By dividing subjects into different elements, the thesis actually wants to facilitate their analysis without forgetting their similarities and interferences. I should also add the problem of anarcho-capitalism to this challenge, because the social anarchists do not really recognize this type of anarchism, due to its acceptance of inequality and exploitation that exist in the current capitalist systems managed by both political and economic elites. Moreover, some intellectuals (such as Rousseau, Hegel, and Nietzsche) who are supposedly anarchists or a source of inspiration for the libertarians strengthen the anarchist controversies because of embracing liberty and authority.⁷

Despite its multidisciplinary and abundant literature, legal anarchism still seems to suffer from the lack of modern alternatives. I thus try contributing to legal anarchism by building a bridge between its traditional ideas and our modern life requiring new regulation, which I cannot miniaturize according to a simple anarchist life. Is it a kind of modernization of legal anarchism? Perhaps, if I cannot present any viable alternatives to the current legal systems, I am not worried for two reasons. On the one hand, countless great thinkers have already presented many different alternatives in the frame of "isms": individualism, socialism, communism, syndicalism, etc. On the other hand, the masses' endless capacity to be governed by mad men (partly thanks to so-called *charismatic leadership*) has hitherto justified *la raison d'être de l'État*, developed by a significant source of intellectuals and politicians as well. Khomeini is a typical example in this case. As a Mullah supported by some Western Governments to become the charismatic and reactionary leader of the Islamic Revolution, he successfully seduced many Persian intellectuals as well as several Western thinkers, including Professor Foucault,⁸ by articulating "anti-imperialism" and "political spirituality".⁹ "*Economics is for donkeys*,"¹⁰ he claimed! This State criminal did perfectly use all his seductive power in the wake of anti-Western sentiment (i.e. Westoxification, Weststruckness, or *gharbzadegi* in Farsi) by which he magnified his authority in establishing one of the most ruthless States in history.¹¹

Although I do not present any dogmatic prescriptions, I keep my natural right to criticize. Like all ideas and theories, my critiques are not without controversy. Due to my anti-Governmental ideas, I may not be an objective researcher, but who is not an ideological person? Who is not a "political animal"? Who is

hence an objective individual far from all ideologies? Has not postmodernism challenged formidably “*the modern confidence in objectivity and truth*”?¹² In this sense, Professor Feyerabend has noticed: “*The process of knowledge production and knowledge distribution was never the free, ‘objective’, and purely intellectual exchange rationalists make it out to be.*”¹³ As for Professor Dayna Scott, she believes that many feminist legal scholars share this notice. My subjectivity does not nevertheless prevent me from analyzing a weight of arguments about the legal system.

Furthermore, this thesis may be my chance to revolt academically against those who have mercilessly put nature and us into a miserable and painful situation through governing and repressing. Let us, i.e. all powerless or voiceless people, stand up against those whose extreme luxury and violence rely on human and animal flesh and blood; a severe language should be our last rampart behind which we can still take a rest and feel our dignity. What fate does existence reserve for us more than revolting against our marginality? My thesis is indeed an unashamed writing against what I see as a highly problematic, if not wholly unjust, institution called the State. In this regard, I challenge not only other ideas, but also my own ones. The gigantic apparatuses of the State, mingled with the masses’ ignorance and cruelty, forced me to immigrate and to endure various forms of injustice, because of my birthplace, poverty, ideas, attitudes, and revolt, as they have perfectly done against my family as well as countless individuals whose existential crime is to be alone and outsider, all alone and outsider.

Throughout my thesis, a reader will indeed realize that I am highly critical of the academicians, particularly the law Professors, apparently paid for justifying the status quo that means all injustice imposed over us by so-called law and order. As we will see, I have presented some evidences that the law Professors are the necessary agents of producing or reproducing authority and hierarchy in whatever is the system.

As far as my thesis outline is concerned, I divide my analysis into five Chapters. Each Chapter contains a synopsis at the beginning and a conclusion at the end in order to facilitate the reader’s understanding. Although I use the term “conclusion” in every Chapter, I think that there is really no conclusion, because every conclusion is potentially able to produce other ideas to become themselves other conclusions, and so on. Professor Martel has accordingly suggested that “*anarchism is anticonclusion by definition.*” Anarchism actually resembles the Hegelian theory of “thesis”, “antithesis”, and “synthesis” insofar as they are interchangeable. Indeed, we have scarcely a limited idea, rather a group of homogeneous or heterogeneous ideas overlapping each other. The demonstrative arguments articulated in the four Chapters will finally lead to the conclusion in the Chapter 5.

Based on legal anarchism endeavoring the legitimacy and necessity of the State in regulating existence, the four Chapters argue anarchist thought about the critiques of State law by proving its harmful consequences on existence, while the Chapter 5 undertakes the anarchist models for a legal system respecting individual and social liberties and freedoms according to self-governance. The former argue why existence does not need any State, when the latter suggests that we can regulate existence as well as care about the ecosystems in a libertarian way.

Chapter 1 analyzes certain controversial concepts central to anarchism, legal thought, and practice. Exploring anarchist literature is actually challenging, because it involves some critical definitions, strengthened by the difficulty of presenting a reliable alternative. This has led to an unconventional form with its paradoxical characteristics. Although my thesis follows anarchist tradition, I recognize my critique or dismissal of certain anarchist definitions when I have problems to present a better definition. This could be confusing for the readers, especially for those who are not familiar with anarchist literature. I indeed try to give some insight into several important definitions in legal anarchism, without pretending to present the best or the most solid definition, since a reader is eventually free to choose any definition that she finds correct. Legal anarchism is by no means an imposed discipline in a traditional or academic sense, but a libertarian project aiming to stimulate freedom and creativity. The Chapter implies that the increasing quantity and quality of anarchist schools, movements, organizations, and publications highlight the difficulty of defining any concept in legal anarchism with certainty, albeit we can find some shared concepts (particularly liberty and autonomy) among all anarchists. However, legal anarchism has strangely endeavored to define, with its specific language, not only itself but also its keywords, especially power, authority, and the State that are ambiguous or general concepts.

Chapter 2 analyzes certain essential elements of governing (e.g., frontier and citizenship) and the relationship between Government and other concepts (e.g., human nature and democracy). It furthermore explains that Statelessness does not necessarily mean the state of nature, rather a modern condition caused by the State itself through, for example, war and refuge. It also argues why Statehood requires both extreme happiness and suffering, because it provides a great existence for some so-called “civil servants” while imposing unlimited forms of violence upon State subjects and whole nature.

Chapter 3 expresses the anarchist analysis and critique of the law and punishment, which deal with the fundamental and formal problems caused by the State. The Chapter emphasizes the characteristics of State law through property rights, legal addiction and indoctrination, lawyering, and the procedural myths of equality before the law and the presumption of innocence (POI). According to this radical approach, the law

school, the lawyer, and the Judge are necessarily agents of corporation and Government in a very profitable but highly unethical business. We know this legal marketing or legal prostitution under the generic name of legal system, to which we are all subject not by the ethos of democracy, as the legalists are fervently propagating, but by the force of brainwashing (i.e. State addiction and the duty of obedience to the law) and violence enforced by unlimited sanctions.

In other words, legal anarchism examines, in the Chapters 2-3, how State violence in its unlimited form can be “legal” or “constitutional” inside as well as outside State jurisdiction. Human history has perfectly proved this by the totalitarian or even apparently democratic States that have committed, for example, genocide and war crimes in accordance with their own constitutional laws, despite in discordance with so-called international law. We will accordingly observe why law Professor Michael Mandel believed that lawyering is a manner of defending things and not a moral endeavor.

Chapter 4 will explain why and how the State stigmatizes and represses anarchist individuals, groups, and movements, mostly by violating its own constitutional rules like the rule of law and due process. The State therefore ignores or violates its own constitutional laws providing the individuals and groups with the principles of justice and fairness. It accordingly delegitimizes itself by passing from a State society, i.e. the so-called State of law, to a chaotic society during which the fundamental liberties and rights of dissidents are practically useless. In this sense, the State could be itself, not its opponents, anarchist because it places itself outside of the law protecting the right to protest. In other words, the Chapters 2-3 concern legal violence enforced by the State, while the Chapter 4 depicts State illegality or delegitimization that is itself another form of State violence.

Chapter 5, which is the longest and the most detailed Chapter, shows the libertarian alternatives to State law at both national and international levels, in accordance with certain anarchist principles such as the GP, contract, federation, and free love. These individualist, socialist, and capitalist models have however embraced some ideological as well as structural problems like organization and natural resources. I eventually present my own anarchist alternatives and express my critical notices about the anarchists and legal anarchism. The last part of Chapter 5 constitutes my uncertain contribution to legal anarchism. In other words, I have ambition to think about some kinds of free and decentralized legal systems that may work in our mega societies, which rely increasingly on each other because of natural resources, the internationalization of human rights and economy, as well as the international dialogues among individuals and communities through mass communication (particularly the Internet) and immigration. Nevertheless, I show my hesitations and inquiries about any conclusive or definitive idea, theory, or alternative. I hope that

my final arguments and questions about legal anarchism will create more constructive or even destructive thoughts, studies, or actions to have a free, equal, peaceful, safe, and clean existence.¹⁴

I do finally state that my thesis purpose is not, as especially elaborated by my “principle of freedom to choose” (PFC) in the Chapter 5, to set out a dogmatic program, but to stimulate some discussions on the issues currently not featured (or indeed actively suppressed) in law schools and the legal profession. For instance, law Professors and legal professionals do scarcely speak about civil disobedience when teaching and practicing the duty of obeying the law. As a result, the important problems of legal immorality (e.g., the legalization of genocide by some Governments) still are not frequently considered and discussed in legal education and lawyering. The aim of this dissertation is to create space for more creative ideas and practice by pioneering and opening up new horizons. One way of stimulating such reflection is through exposing the fundamental and formal problems of the legal system based on a centralized authority, which is obviously far from the ideals of deep ecology, direct democracy, liberty, transparency, and accountability as I set them out in the Chapter 5 in my review of possible alternatives.

Chapter 1: The Critical and Controversial Concepts

1.1 Synopsis

To understand legal anarchism, a complex discipline on which this thesis focuses, requires defining and analyzing certain key concepts: *anarchism*, *anarchist thoughts*, *libertarians*, *law*, *power*, *authority*, and *State*. The definition and the analysis of legal anarchism rely on knowing the different anarchist ideologies that take into account the plurality and justification of authority in time and space, while they evolve from a broad system of thinking to a synthetic form of anarchism. They indeed contain certain open and diverse terminologies that concern the State's epistemology and necessity or justification, both denied by the anarchists. Because two terms, i.e. law and anarchism making the concept of legal anarchism, the Chapter principally defines and analyzes those key concepts that are essential to understand the anarchists' critical attitudes toward the legal system and their alternatives as well. As the symbols and the functions of the legal system, power, authority, and the State are interchangeable concepts that libertarians hate, because they have certain hierarchical and authoritarian characteristics generating violence and exploitation.

1.2 Anarchism, the Anarchist Thoughts, and Libertarians

As an evolving identity, anarchism has generated various decentralized and spontaneous thoughts, movements, schools, publications, organizations, as well as freethinkers, which increase, decrease, or advance according to various situations in different times in order to facilitate a foundation for existence. These libertarian identities have also developed their own sophisticated concepts that legal anarchism uses in its analysis and arguments. Although there is hardly any common conceptual ground in legal anarchism, the thesis endeavors to present some selected key concepts that provide the reader with a better understanding of the anarchist arguments in critically analyzing the legal system as well as in modeling a libertarian society in contrast to our State society.

First, legal anarchism explains why anarchist thought still remains controversial internally, since the leftist anarchists or somehow the majority of anarchists do not recognize those who are called "libertarians". For instance, Martel and Fernández have argued that the libertarians merely constitute a conservative or minarchist group advocating capitalism, despite its harmful effects like economic inequality and exploitation that anarchism has traditionally opposed. Milstein has followed this approach when defining anarchism as a synthesis of communism and liberalism embracing equality. Other scholars, such as McElroy and Butler, hold a different opinion, because they think that some anarchists, including Josiah Warren and Proudhon,

have not really rejected the free market, as an alternative to State capitalism, as long as it guarantees each worker the just compensation for his labour.

Then, legal anarchism argues that certain leftist and rightist anarchists (like Reclus, Louise Michel, De Cleyre, Faure, Malatesta, Guérin, Rothbard, and Woodworth) have tried to *synthesize* various anarchist schools – to which the Chapter 5 will also come back – in order to support the foundation of an anarchist society. There is indeed a process during which various anarchist ideologies, from individualist anarchism to anarcho-capitalism, have unified themselves around a form of anarchism, known as anarchism without adjectives, in order to strengthen anarchist capacities to establish a self-governed society by recognizing, without denying, different anarchist strategies.

After that, legal anarchism explains that in spite of mutual hostility among various anarchist schools, which synthesist anarchism has tried to overcome, they share a common ground: the nobility of many anarchists and their movements. The anarchist caste includes many members of the middle or upper class, regardless of their egoistic, environmentalist, socialist, communist, or capitalist propaganda, which is obviously a result of our unequal societies. For example, Godwin, Bakunin, Kropotkin, Malatesta, Chomsky, Milton Friedman and his son David Friedman come from certain privileged families. Anarchist nobility certainly concerns the creation and development of legal anarchism itself by, for example, complicating anarchist language.

1.2.1 Anarchism: From Broadness to Adjectivelessness

The division of anarchist identities or schools has evolved from broadness into adjectivelessness, since the anarchists have realized that despite their differences in environmentalism, individualism, socialism, or even capitalism, there would be some common elements providing a foundation on which a free society can rely. According to anarchism without adjectives, anarchism is plural in its means (anarcho-individualism, anarcho-collectivism, anarcho-communism, etc.), but singular in its end (i.e. the establishment of a voluntary society).

1.2.1.1 Anarchism and Libertarianism: To Be or Not To Be Contrary

It is firstly important to notice that I am aware of the problems coming from defining a concept, because each definition depends on several concepts that need definition in turn, on the one side, and the writers use it in different contexts with different meanings, on the other side. As a result, terminology is one of the most difficult tasks in research. The anarchist terminology is accordingly uncertain and challenging, even the libertarian scholars do not agree with the key concepts, such as the distinctions among *anarchy*

(positively, a Stateless society or negatively, chaos), *anarchism* (mostly a leftist doctrine advocating natural order in contrast to the artificial or State-imposed order),¹ and *libertarianism* (usually a rightist orientation embracing the free market ideology). Legal anarchism uses anarchism, libertarianism, anarchist, and libertarian as interchangeable concepts, without denying their differences, since many authors use them with either different or similar definitions according to their ideologies or to circumstances. Furthermore, many anarchists, as a privileged caste, have a particular interest (economic, political, academic, or else) in monopolizing the definition of libertarian concepts through their very technical and sophisticated language. The laypeople would consequently need them to explain these concepts. This form of linguistic monopoly makes the clarification of legal anarchism's keywords more difficult in my thesis endeavoring to make compelling arguments.

In *Government or Anarchy? in the Debates on the Constitution*, Blau, Professor Emeritus of religion at Columbia University, has accordingly concluded that it was “a justification of Jefferson's faith in the people that those who wanted a Constitution as a protection against the anarchy in democracy, because they distrusted the people, won the ratification of the Constitution by winning the suffrage of the people.”² Under the pressure of several great thinkers (especially Hobbes and Locke), “chaos theory” has become “chaosology” matched with “anarchophobia”, which means anti-anarchist attitudes or the belief that the States must be independent without any supranational authority (e.g., the EU and the UN) over them.³

Like the definition of liberty based on positivity (“freedom to” or positive freedom) and negativity (“freedom from” or negative freedom), the *definition of anarchism* contains both negative (destructive) and positive (constructive) aspects: the rejection of the State and the acceptance of voluntary cooperation.⁴ To help the readers to have some idea about anarchism, I define this concept in a leftist tradition in relation to the synthetic methodology of legal anarchism. Anarchism is “a non-hierarchical and decentralized order based on common decision and cooperation among the individuals, groups, communities, or nations by adopting various strategies to respect ecology (non-humans), autonomy, justice, individual and social freedoms and rights in accordance with their own capacities and diversities.”

According to this synthesist definition, anarchism is both negative and positive. On the one side, it is aware of its permanent struggle against the production or the reproduction of authority on various scales, and, on the other side, it tries facilitating mutuality among different participants in harmony with direct democracy and the environment. As we will see, to accomplish such a democratic and ecological system is neither practically easy nor desired in some circumstances. For instance, a free market society is under the violent attack of leftist anarchists who define this society as another form of the State society with the cloth

of private companies, which sell and buy law and order like bread. They indeed reproach the capitalist anarchists for basing their model exclusively on private property, which results in disrespecting common decision-making and ecology together.

Nonetheless, the controversial aspects of anarchism are observable throughout its ambiguous definition, which may exclude or put into question certain ideas presented as “libertarian” or “rightist anarchism”, i.e. anarcho-capitalism. In this case, Sapon and Robino argue that since the middle of nineteenth century, the term libertarianism had been used in a left political context (i.e. left libertarianism or libertarian socialism), and its use in a right ideological context (i.e. right libertarianism) only came into fashion in the 1950s.⁵ As for Professor Martel, he has asked whether libertarian means anarchism or not. He thinks that at least in the US, the libertarians constitute a conservative group that is generally against the State, but pro-capitalist. They are not actually anarchists, because they do not entirely oppose the State. Nonetheless, in other places like Spain, “*the anarchists have often called themselves libertarians*,” so it may be just a matter of nomenclature.

Some scholars have also attributed the term “libertarianism” to “anarcho-capitalism” (a completely *laissez-faire* society), which has flourished in the USA since the 1970s, in contrast to anarcho-socialism or libertarian socialism.⁶ In this terminological aspect, Fernández uses “libertarian” in its original sense, that is to say “anarchist”. He believes that they used this concept almost exclusively in this sense until the 1970s when the Libertarian Party grossly misnamed it by not only glorifying capitalism, the mechanism that denies both positive freedom to the vast majority and equal freedom, but also retaining the coercive apparatus of the State while getting rid of its social welfare functions.⁷ As a result, those “libertarians” have widened the rift between poor and rich, and increased the liberty of the rich by reducing that of the poor who find the boot of the State over their necks. These egotists, who are in fact enemies of freedom in the full sense of the word, have hijacked the exceedingly useful term of “libertarian” in the US.⁸ Bufe has accordingly stated “*Anarchism is not “Libertarianism.”*”⁹

For Professor McElroy, although the anarchists of the nineteenth century commonly called themselves “socialists”, they consistently proposed “the free market” as the alternative to the capitalist system. At that time, socialism meant to organize a “society by contract” in which the workers received the full product of their labour through “cost the limit of price”, advocated by Warren as a value resulted exclusively in the compensation for labour or for its product.¹⁰ Butler has stated that this “cost principle” or “labour for labour” rejects the traditional concepts of capitalism such as interest on money and, therefore, all banks and banking operations, stock jobbing, and the present financial systems and institutions built on

money. In turn, it aims at giving to everyone an equal opportunity to acquire knowledge and property, as well as counteracting natural inequality in humanity (e.g., intelligence). In short, it wants to give men, women, and children the just reward for their labour.¹¹

It seems that the term *libertarianism* tries to avoid the negativity of both “anarchism”, associated with terrorism and disorder according to public opinion and to Government, and “capitalism”, as the symbol of imperialism, colonialism, and exploitation according to the leftists. The same could be true as for *religious anarchism*, even though it appears in a leftist style as, for example, Tolstoy presented his anarchistic communism through Christian ideology.¹² Professor Milstein has thus argued that “*Anarchism is a synthesis of the best of liberalism and the best of communism, elevated and transformed by the best of libertarian Left traditions that work toward an egalitarian, voluntarily, and nonhierarchical society.*”¹³ Many other activists and academicians have likewise expressed while adding individualism to their interpretation of anarchism.¹⁴

The question remains whether anarchism is contrary to or synonymous with libertarianism. In other words, to be or not to be contrary, that is the question in the relationship between anarchism and libertarianism. In this case, anarchism without adjectives can provide some elements of reflection.

1.2.1.2 Anarchism Without Adjectives

Anarchism without adjectives, which implies Reclus’ *anarchisme toléré*,¹⁵ attempts to conciliate different anarchist schools around some common principles. These principles include existential dignity (i.e. the respect of existence in which the GP takes part), liberty, autonomy, and decentralization. However, it is essential to notice that although legal anarchism takes the GP into its synthetic methodology and analysis including anarchism without adjectives, the environmental considerations are quietly new in libertarianism. These considerations are actually new in comparison to other anarchist debates like individualism, socialism, and capitalism. The place of the GP can nonetheless be close to anarcho-socialism.

For instance, De Cleyre had expressed the possibility of exercising anarchism without adjectives.¹⁶ Professor Curran has thus argued that anarchism includes a set of “*principles to which most anarchists subscribe, but these principles are broadly interpreted and diversely applied within the panorama of ideas and schools that it accommodates. This breadth includes an anarchism of the left and an anarchism of the right, even if much of the left is loathe to embrace the right’s anarcho-capitalism into its fold.*”¹⁷ Refers to Malatesta, “anarchist pluralism” contains both anarcho-communism (i.e. the common ownership of the means of production mingled with distribution according to needs) and anarcho-collectivism (the common ownership of the means of production mingled with distribution according to work performed). Such pluralism eventually led

Malatesta to see the superiority of “moral communism” upon collectivist mentality, which would bring back wage labour and privilege, in an anarchist society.¹⁸ He furthermore hoped that the anarchists would abandon their differences to find a ground for common action.¹⁹ Louise Michel also expressed a similar opinion: “*I think that each of the “tendencies” will provide one of the stages through which society must pass: socialism, communism, anarchism.*”²⁰

Faure’s *La Voix Libertaire*, founded in 1928, accordingly struggled to unify or to *synthesize* three major anarchist movements (i.e. individualist, communist, and syndicalist) in order to avoid their political and social division and isolation, which means synthesis anarchism or synthesist anarchism.²¹ The Bastian Circle, founded by libertarians such as Professor Rothbard, would start to publish *Left and Right: A Journal of Libertarian Thought* between 1965 and 1968 while urging all libertarians to join the New Left.²²

In addition, the vague borders among different libertarian schools (such as eco-anarchism, individualist anarchism, and anarcho-capitalism) may facilitate recognizing the value of anarchism without adjectives as a synthetic methodology. Guérin has emphasized certain terminological debates in this case insofar as despite the diversity, richness, contradictions, and doctrinal disputes of anarchist thought turning very often around the false problems, there are some homogenous conceptions. A social anarchist is therefore an individual anarchist as an individual anarchist can be a social anarchist, even though he does not dare to say his name.²³ For example, Woodworth says, “*I have no prefix or adjective for my anarchism. I think syndicalism can work, as can free-market anarcho-capitalism, anarcho-communism, even anarcho-hermits, depending on the situation. But I do have a strong individualist streak. Just plain anarchism – against government and authority – is what I’m for.*”²⁴

As a result, do we need some ideas far from all “isms” or “ideologies” in a human sense as already implied by a revolted man who called himself “a citizen of the world”? Is Professor Rothbard right to argue that the categories of “left” and “right” “*have been changing so rapidly in recent years in America that it becomes difficult to recall what the labels stood for not very long ago*”?²⁵ Professor Ray would go in the same direction by concluding that a libertarian likely has “*more in common with the political Left than the political Right, but whether in his personal life he will tend to behave in a liberal/permissive way or in an authoritarian/directive way cannot be predicted.*”²⁶ He has moreover argued that to be a humanistic liberal does not guarantee that a person will also abandon authoritarian practices.²⁷ All anarchist elites indeed share the passion of monopolizing anarchist thoughts and movements, thanks to their mental and material capacities and superiority, especially in wealth, higher education, and networking. The ideological lines of their talking have hence

blurred in practice through publications and propaganda not only in their own circles, but also in the mass media and the society as a whole.

1.2.2 The Anarchist Movements, Schools, Publications, and Organizations

The anarchist or quasi-anarchist schools, movements, tendencies, groups, organizations, journals, magazines, blogs, websites, research institutes, projects, radios, and, possibly, TVs are increasingly growing around the world with their own nepotism, jargons, concepts, strategies, and agendas for the whole of humanity, animality, and nature as well.²⁸ Several years of my personal experience may prove that many of these groups or organizations are as bureaucratic, hierarchical, and segregating as any other Governmental organization (such as the university) that the anarchists are traditionally attacking, despite their extreme propaganda of non-hierarchy and equality.

1.2.3 The Libertarians: A Privileged and Spoiled Caste

In spite of different ideologies among leftists and rightists, both sides of libertarians have been traditionally rooted in the middle and upper classes, rarely in the lower or underclass for which the leftist anarchists are ceaselessly crying and propagating or selling their own products. As Professor Shantz has argued, capitalism controls two soft and tough powers when it comes to reacting against the dissident movements: cooptation, absorption, and marketization (e.g., Critical Legal Studies (CLS), Rainbow Gathering, and punk movement),²⁹ on the one side, and criminalization and repression, on the other side.³⁰ In other words, the State, especially in its capitalist form, has the power of repressing, buying (e.g., to offer an academic or Governmental position), or neutralizing (e.g., to allow them to talk in some intellectual coffee shops or bourgeois universities) its opponents, according to circumstances. For example, to ridicule the anarchist movements by making them futile (e.g., egoist anarchism) in the fight against capitalism (i.e. class struggle anarchism)³¹ would be an efficient weapon in the hands of capitalist elites to absorb the dissidents in a cheap and pacifistic manner. The law schools especially and the universities generally are traditionally placing themselves in the marketing and neutralizing side, thanks to their fortunate, spoiled, conservative, submissive, and fearful Deans, Professors, and students as well.

On the one side, the leftist libertarians have been traditionally imbued with the ideas of revolution, class struggle, strike, sabotage, protest, and resistance, which mean “propaganda by the deeds and the words” as well. On the other side, the rightist and individualist libertarians are so busy with their business and spirituality (e.g., nudism, naturism, primitivism, vegetarianism, free love, and punk rock), which make

them useless or talkative thinkers and activists, tolerated by authority as long as they are socially isolated and politically disinfected, or economically beneficial for capitalism as a niche market.

For instance, on the one hand, there are the iconic academicians, who are endlessly criticizing the law and the State together, belonging to the prestigious academic and freemasonic clubs. In this case, the Godfathers of the so-called “CLS Movement”³² are honorable Professors at Harvard Law School, Stanford Law School, and Northeastern University School of Law. They know very well how to play with a group of burning and legalistic words without firing their position at the heart of these elitist and hierarchical systems based on State sponsorship, capitalism, and conservatism. We really need the emergence of a movement against these iconic and opportunist legal thinkers, since the university has rarely been anything better than a secret and undemocratic system skillfully absorbing the academic Godfathers and Godmothers. Academia has rotted to the core, such as bribery, money laundering, corruption, and investment in mass murder.³³ For example, Unger, a Harvard law Professor, first denounced the Lula da Silva administration “as the “most corrupt in Brazil’s history,”” and then became its Minister for Strategic Affairs!³⁴ The new scandal of Lula’s corruption proves Unger’s denunciation!³⁵ It should actually be cheaper for a State to give bread to some intellectual malcontents, who are the masters of critical verbiage, in order to shut them up than punishing or brutalizing them, which may erect them to the status of martyrs. On the other hand, Professor Niman states that the American and European communities “*have discovered the economic benefits of hosting a Rainbow Gathering.*”³⁶

It would not be surprising that most of the anarchists, except certain unfortunate ones (e.g., Proudhon, Stirner, Grave, Most, and Rucker), belong to a very well respected caste, well-paid workers, or the so-called *petite bourgeoisie*, such as doctors, engineers, artists, historians, geographers, economists, teachers, and Professors. They have both time and money to critically analyze and deeply discredit every element of State apparatus. They have thus developed their own sophisticated argots, loyal acolytes, and technical movements (e.g., Proudhonism, Neo-Proudhonism, Bakuninism, Kropotkinism, Warrenism, Rothbardianism, and Chomskyism) to understand one must study intensively, which is not obviously the case of many people who must work for the wage slavery. We are able to find in their argots many complex expressions, such as reformist anarchism, revolutionary anarchism, post-anarchism, post-structuralist anarchism, post-left anarchy, anarcho-primitivism, and so on.³⁷ Could we apply to the anarchist academicians what Professor Bratich has already said about obscurantism, jargonism, and armchair strategizing “posties” (i.e. postmodernists, poststructuralists, and postcolonialists)?³⁸ Are the anarchist

argots at odds with anarchist emphasis on popular education as a means of enlightenment and liberation from Government intervention?³⁹

About the intellectual revolt against popular education made possible by technological and social revolutions – which is, according to Professor Dayna Scott, the opposite of “experiential education” –, Professor Carey has noticed that the spread of literacy to the masses has driven the intellectuals in the early twentieth century towards producing a mode of culture (modernism) that the masses cannot enjoy. The new availability of culture through television and other media has actually impelled the *“intellectuals to evolve an anti-popular culture mode that can reprocess all existing culture and take it out of the reach of the majority. This mode, variously called ‘post-structuralism’ or ‘deconstruction’ or just ‘theory’, began in 1960s with the work of Jacques Derrida, which attracted a large body of imitators among academics and literary students eager to identify themselves as the intellectual avant-garde.”*⁴⁰

Overall, the classification of the libertarians seems to be actually *fictive* when taking the element of class into account, because they, ironically like the Governors, belong to a privileged and spoiled caste. Does their “charismatic personality” lead to “charismatic authority” crowning all struggles for equality with failure even in an anarchist society? By this question, I would like to know whether some Godlike anarchists (Godwin, Proudhon, Kropotkin, Rothbard, and Chomsky, among others) abuse their authority. Their intellectual authority or power comes from their mental or material superiority in manipulating the society for satisfying their own desires and needs in the name of propagating anarchism through a lot of sophisticated, evolving, or controversial arguments, as, for example, mentioned in all parts of my thesis. In this case, we could observe a form of dictatorship that I would like to call “anarchist dictatorship” appearing in both theory and practice in a centralized manner. The critique of libertarian caste could actually be the foundation of freethinking, so let us criticize them as violent as they do regarding the State.

When it comes to the lists of the anarchists, it is not useless to remind that the iconic and martyric personalities in the history of anarchism traditionally belong to radicalism, socialism, communism, syndicalism, or they are somehow close to these schools, such as anarcho-primitivism, green anarchism, and anarcha-feminism.⁴¹ *Leftist anarchists* or *leftist libertarians* have nowadays absorbed many controversial Godmothers/fathers, post-anarchists, Professors, think-tankers, revolutionaries, environmentalists, activists, feminists, sexologists, criminologists, journalists, artists, syndicalists, and sympathizers as well.⁴² They are also many *individual anarchists* that we can difficultly classify according to a specific anarchist school, because they have simultaneously embraced several aspects of anarchism such as egoism, socialism, and the free market.⁴³ They are many classical and modern *free market*

anarchists who are mostly American economists and Professors.⁴⁴ Besides, they are *religious* or *spiritual anarchists*: Christian anarchists, Jewish anarchists, Islamic anarchists, Buddhist anarchists, etc.⁴⁵ Furthermore, Professor Martel suggests that we should look at Professor Ferguson's *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets* in which she has presented many lists of anarchists and talked about what these lists do to her text.⁴⁶

1.3 The Importance of Anarchism

As the foundation of legal anarchism, anarchism is one of the most important theories for four reasons. Firstly, although anarchist thought has mostly come from and principally developed in Western culture, anarchism is multicultural or universal, since it is older than archism.⁴⁷ For example, China produced specific libertarian ideas 2500 years ago,⁴⁸ while Southeast Asia and Africa have their own traditional anarchism.⁴⁹ The West has ironically pioneered in the modernization of the State and strengthened its functions (especially its legislation through the so-called rule of law) since the Greek City-States. Moreover, if I rely principally on Western legal anarchism, this does not mean at all that other anarchist ideas and practices are less important, but I hope that other researchers will develop them more.

Secondly, libertarian thought explores almost all aspects of human as well as non-human existence in many abstract and concrete ways during human and environmental evolution or revolution: metaphysics, physics, economics, politics, sociality, sexuality, individuality, family, education, food, housing, art, music, culture, sport, animals, etc. We may find rarely any analytical and critical system of thought and practice as large and deep as anarchism.

Thirdly, anarchist ideas are some of the most disgusted, abhorred, ridiculed, miniaturized, manipulated, misinterpreted, misunderstood, discredited, or ignored ideas among the people, the intelligentsia, and the politicians who have some interests to disregard these ideas. For instance, discussions about the violent anarchist activities, loudly known as "propaganda by the deed", during the late 19th and early 20th centuries incarnate a very negative idea of anarchy coming principally from the politicians and their adored scholars who rarely see anything positive in Statelessness, but chaos and violence. Certain anarchist Godfathers/mothers (such as Bakunin, Joann Most, Kropotkin, and Emma Goldman) however preached those activities to their acolytes.⁵⁰ On the one hand, the politicians and intellectuals may see no great value in an anarchist society where equality and justice are crowned. On the other hand, they are absolutely blind and mute when it comes to comparing anarchist violence, which is not certainly common among all libertarians, and its effects with the current situations of Stateness. They

indeed beautify State-subject or more exactly State-slave by the terms “civilization” and “democracy”, regardless of all Governmental war-making machines, conscription, invasion, occupation, slavery, corvée, espionage, massacre, plunder⁵¹ (e.g., taxation and the *Absentee Property Law* of the Israeli Government),⁵² etc. They are willingly but wrongly presenting human history as a “State-making history”⁵³ when glorifying the advantages of the States, particularly healthcare, education, and social welfare that have increasingly become private nowadays. In short, they see in the State-making of history a development of civilizing the so-called barbarians that have supposedly neither culture, nor justice, nor democracy, but savagery and disorder. Our Statist historians have doggedly struggled to show that the State’s people are superior to the Stateless people, while our State-makers have unlikely been “*to give up their claims to sovereignty, they will tend to confront their opponents violently to ensure their control over the resources necessary for effective territorial domination.*”⁵⁴

Fourthly, anarchist theories are extremely diverse and controversial, and therefore contain rich analysis, critiques, and alternatives to hierarchal and authoritarian societies. Such diversity may stem from two facts. On the one side, anarchist theorists are mostly coming from either the middle class or the upper class that has had both wealth and education to elaborate many abstract, sophisticated, artistic, or scientific concepts, which are mostly inaccessible to the masses whose sense of anarchistic or spontaneous revolution is fervently advocated by the revolutionary anarchists. For example, Professor Comfort’s efforts against the allied bombings during the Second World War, as Professor Honeywell has found out, matched with his anarchist tradition based on immediate human goals over abstract and distant ones.⁵⁵ Nonetheless, as long as education and highbrows’ terminologies are inaccessible to all people, the grassroots will be ignorant and, consequently, continue to be the target of academic, cultural, political, or economic exploitation by few elites, including the anarchists. Lewis has accordingly pointed out: “*man is ‘a political animal’ is of course completely false; it is as false as Hobbes’ remark that he is ‘a fighting animal.’ He is neither. It is only the wealthy, intelligent, or educated who are revolutionary or combative.*”⁵⁶ On the other side, the State and its mechanisms are very complex phenomena that require knowing many disciplines: politics, economics, religion, education, family, culture, sport, and technology, among others. Thanks to their material and intellectual superiority to the ordinary people, the anarchists take into account this State complexity deepening their critiques.

However, if anarchism is so rich in many critical aspects throughout “isms” and “posties”, legal anarchism needs more analysis and critique, since the anarchists have been mostly philosophical, political, or economic thinkers rather than lawyers. Although almost all anarchists (Godwin, Proudhon, Kropotkin,

and David Friedman, among others) speak about the legal system, there are few “legal anarchists”, which should be as oxymoronic as “legal anarchism” *per se*. There is indeed a small amount of lawyers among the anarchist castes, sympathizers, activists, or theorists.⁵⁷ We could call them “the political lawyers”, which would refer to Professor Kirchheimer who has already used “political justice” for the partial legal system or the abuse of legal rules for political purposes.⁵⁸ In spite of studying law at the University of Turin, Galleani abandoned legal practice, because he had come to disregard the legal profession. He thus transferred his energies and talents to radical propaganda.⁵⁹

Because the legal job, as Godwin argued many years ago,⁶⁰ is amazingly full of cheating, deception, arrogance, and immorality, it is scarcely able to produce either a freethinker or a revolted individual against the status quo. In addition, when a lawyer goes against State law, she/he most probably leads to fall into the trap of inconsistency with her/his own profession founded on Governmental recognition. For example, Schuster argued that Spooner had given to anarchism a legalistic interpretation, whereby he had verbally destroyed the American Constitution.⁶¹ Spooner certainly put into question the American legal Bible while he declared the unconstitutionality of slavery!⁶² On the contrary, William Lloyd Garrison and the Non-Resistants disapproved the Constitution as “*a convent with death and an agreement with Hell*” inasmuch as it legitimized slavery. Therefore, Garrison strongly rejected Spooner’s *The Unconstitutionality of Slavery*.⁶³ In reality, the Fathers of American Constitution were wealthy slaveholders, merchants, bankers, or lawyers who did not believe in equality among human beings at all.⁶⁴ They have ironically become pro-democratic politicians in order to develop our political mythology, even though they were anti-democratic aristocrats.⁶⁵ In this case, we can talk about *oligarchic* or *elite democracy*,⁶⁶ realized through so-called “representative democracy”.

1.4 Legal Anarchism: A Complex Discipline

As interdisciplinary and libertarian research, based on multiple methodologies and taken part in “anarchist knowledge” or “anarchist science” with a specific language, legal anarchism critically analyzes the philosophy, functions, and workers (particularly the lawyers, social workers, police officers, prosecutors, and Judges) of the legal system and their consequences on victims, offenders, society, and nature, on the one side. In this sense, its critiques of Governmental justice are destructive. It tries to present some alternatives to State law in the frame of a libertarian society, on the other side. In this sense, its alternatives are constructive. On both sides, it is influential and influenced in relationship with other critical thoughts according to time and space, which means the ecological, local, national, regional, and international

aspects of the legal systems. For example, feminism, anti-globalization, radical criminology, constitutive criminology, CLS, and environmentalism help legal anarchism in its analyses, critiques, and models. In short, because the legal system is a quasi-fixed and defended institution by the traditional guardians of law (i.e. the jurists or “*the philosopher-kings of the legal establishment*”)⁶⁷ in time and space, legal anarchism examines the legal institutions in a dynamically holistic approach. In other words, legal anarchism, like anarchism itself,⁶⁸ is *evolutively intersectional*.

In fact, legal anarchism tries to avoid the isolation and discrimination of academic disciplines that resemble the national or ethnic groups defining “*themselves in terms of boundaries, exclusions, and hierarchies*.”⁶⁹ To understand the legal system, as a multi-ideological and multifunctional system, indeed requires a multidisciplinary study taking into account many, if not all, aspects of law and punishment. In other words, any fixed ideological discourse (e.g., to observe the criminal law as a tool in class struggle founded around the economic relationships) is rarely able to analyze the legal system in a holistic manner. Legal anarchism, in spite of its title, cannot therefore be limited only to a narrowly libertarian discipline or a legalistic approach (e.g., Kelsen’ *Pure Theory of Law*);⁷⁰ it should use other disciplines, such as individualism, socialism, Marxism, communism, capitalism, and liberalism. However, if the legal system can produce some good effects (e.g., children’s rights) in a State society, these effects mostly come into existence by the force of the down (i.e. the people) and its demonstration, as relief valve, on the one side, and as long as these effects can guarantee the status quo, on the other side.

Professor Martel has guessed that the debate depends on our relationship to the law. He has accordingly invoked the works of Professor Critchley, an anarchist seeking to stay within the boundaries of the law, even though the law in his approach is radically different from what we usually refer to the term. In this case, Professor Martel is specifically thinking of *The Faith of the Faithless*⁷¹ in which Professor Critchley has discussed the law, while he has taken a few philosophers (especially Professors Giorgio Agamben and Slavoj Žižek) to task, because he has thought that they have prematurely abandoned the law. Professor Martel has also emphasized that almost by definition legal anarchism is going to be very different from other types of legal practices. The anarchists and the law actually “*have a strange and generally alienated history so to retain the word brings in all kinds of interesting complications*.” Professor Martel has indeed implied that legal anarchism has a specific identity requiring different approaches to the current legal practices, such as legal reasoning and the rule of law, which traditionally function not only to justify State power, but also to maximize it in all existence, because they partake in State apparatus.

When it comes to methodology in legal anarchism, I should express certain challenges. Firstly, both quantitative and qualitative works of anarchist thinkers (e.g., philosophers, politologists, economists, and ecologists) have complicated the methodology of legal anarchism insomuch as the study of anarchist ideas in the frame of law still seems to be a difficult task. The jurists' legal bibliography and methodology would not actually be either familiar to or loved by the anarchists who are traditionally skeptical toward the codified law (i.e. the written law), and do not really believe in any type of State law. Secondly, legal anarchism tries to make a connection between different anarchist schools and movements, which contain the heterogeneous ideas concerning the means and ends of establishing a decentralized and non-hierarchical community (i.e. synthesist anarchism). Thirdly, the heterogeneous methodology of legal anarchism would make its epistemology difficult, because each discipline has its own method, language, and frame of thinking. In other words, to put the law and punishment in the frame of legal anarchism faces the problems of making a connection among different mentalities and methodologies in different disciplines, such as philosophy, theology, jurisprudence, criminology, psychology, anthropology, sociology, economy, politics, geography, and statistics. The same could be said as far as the concept of legal anarchism is concerned, since it simultaneously contains "law" and "anarchy" that are traditionally regarded as bellicose, thanks to the presentation of anarchism as either lawlessness (i.e. disorder and chaos) or utopia.⁷² We can observe such a presentation in all social classes, regardless of their level of education.

Therefore, we can ask is "anarchist law", like legal anarchism itself, an oxymoronic concept? Professor Bankowski has accordingly argued that it is not contradictory for the libertarians to speak approvingly about the law if it, as a form of sociality or administrative regulation, allows the participation of all actors.⁷³ By the way, is not ironically existence itself an oxymoronic concept such as the Peace Nobel Prize created by the inventor and manufacturer of dynamite or "merchant of death"?⁷⁴ Is existence doomed to be paradoxical?

Such an oxymoronic concept reveals the recognition of the complexity of legal anarchism: an *independent discipline* containing both law and anarchism, a *dependent discipline* relying on other disciplines, or a *simultaneously independent and dependent discipline* with specific and common characteristics, on the one hand. However, as its name implies, legal anarchism remains libertarian in its terminology, analysis, and critique, i.e. no limitation to any specific author or theory. The oxymoronic concept of legal anarchism matches the interpretation of anarchy as State lawlessness, on the other hand.

For example, sociologist Black argues that "*anarchy is social life without law.*"⁷⁵ He does not nevertheless deny social control in anarchy that varies according to seriousness of offence: beating,

ridicule, teasing, compensation, revenge, banishment, voluntary exile, feud, suicide, assassination, and so on.⁷⁶ The anarchists do not necessarily reject law in an anarchist community. For example, Proudhon considers that the juridical norms are necessary to establish an anarchist order.⁷⁷ As far as Professor Comfort is concerned, he believed in 1945 that “*If anarchism is the recognition of ultimate responsibility, then anarchism is also the origin and quintessence of law, not its opponent.*”⁷⁸ He also argued that the Blackstonian concept of natural law, upon which jurisprudence focuses, is essentially an anarchist concept.⁷⁹ The same is true for the conception of the common law, which comes from common conscience and consent in accordance with human rights and duties. If the anarchists oppose the legal system, it is not because they are against the conception of law, but because this system is in disaccord with human conscience. In short, the law is a normal and desirable element of free communities, when the State and its laws, imposed by a political majority, are not.⁸⁰ Professor Johnson argues that although the “anarchist legal theory” seems to be terminologically a contradiction or demonically an exercise, positive law needs anarchy for its binding force, and freedom is the mother of the law and not its daughter.⁸¹

The etymological and epistemological controversies of legal anarchism are also observable throughout the relationship between anarchism and the legal profession. I define a lawyer in a large sense, which is to say a person who knows, teaches, or practices the law. In the French system, they however make a difference between a “*juriste*” who knows legal science and an “*avocat*” who puts the law into practice as a member of the bar association. In fact, the profession of lawyering is larger than that of knowing or teaching the law inasmuch as all *avocats* are *jurists*, but not all *juristes* are *avocats*.⁸² Can an anarchist be a lawyer and vice versa? As I have already explained, such a possibility is difficult. About the conscious and practical dilemma of practicing law by a libertarian, law Professors Palchak and Leung have found out that few libertarians want to devote the expense and time to enter the legal profession, and then attack all institutions upon which their career depends.⁸³

Moreover, the law schools, firms, and judicial system depend fundamentally upon the bourgeoisie, racism, sexism, sexual harassment, indoctrination, inequality, partiality, hierarchy, domination, submission, or obedience,⁸⁴ which the anarchists fervently reject. They are ironically pretending to struggle for human rights and justice throughout their agenda and work! In fact, the legal professionals belong to a middle or upper class regarding equality in salary as a horrible scourge against natural law. You could rarely find any lawyer who is against any wage slavery inasmuch as the society generously feeds and greatly worships her/him. Due to the overregulation of all existence, all citizens, as either defendant or plaintiff, need a lawyer who is omnipresent, like the State, before their birth, during their life, and after their death in all

cases: familial, sexual, commercial, civil, criminal, etc. Like the State, the lawyer is sacred, because nobody can really live without her/his business. Should therefore the society disregard the lawyer because her/his business depends on the status quo including the wage slavery contrary to her/his own wagelessness or free from any wage regulation?

Nonetheless, Professor Kennedy, who certainly profits from economic inequality because of his prestigious Professorship at Harvard Law School, has ironically proposed: *"I don't think we'd lose anything at all in terms of social product if lawyers, law professors, secretaries, paralegals and law firm janitors were all paid exactly the same amount."*⁸⁵ In this hypothesis, if the society did not lose anything in production, this honorable legal philosopher would most probably lose his social position, fame, and comfortable lifestyle as a capitalist thinker while becoming a modest janitor. What will he intellectually produce if we regard him as a simple worker abandoned at our clemency? I may not exaggerate if I argue that the most demagogue and the most notorious liars nest traditionally among the anarchists, socialists, Marxists, communists, egalitarianists, ethicalists, or criticalists who are comfortably living in their ivory towers while being preaching the ethos of equality and justice to us. They do not even care about treating us with respect and equality, since all their revolutionary words and moral seduction in their writings aim at satisfying their own morality and prestige.

Overall, legal anarchism is an intersectional and evolving discipline that uses a synthetic methodology or anarchism without adjectives to examine the State's epistemology and necessity, particularly State law and punishment, as well as to advocate its alternatives according to anarchist thoughts. It accordingly defines the legal system as a Government institution with power of producing and enforcing the laws or norms, in either an active form (e.g., paying tax) or an inactive form (e.g., not revolting against political authority), which regulate existence. Any attempt to break these norms embraces punishment from physical sanctions (e.g., capital punishment and prison) to economic sanctions (particularly fine). In this case, legal anarchism endeavors to clarify the meaning and essence of power and authority as two essential elements of governance and legality.

1.5 Power and Authority

"Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will."

Frederick Douglass⁸⁶

First, legal anarchism argues that some theorists have critically analyzed the characteristics of power and authority through their similarities and differences. It has particularly relied on the works of Gramsci,

Professors Foucault, Martel, Ward, and Carrington. The scholars have however implied that there are more similarities between authority and power than differences, since both of them exist in all aspects of social life, and force obedience to their rule.

Then, legal anarchism explains why authority needs to be ideologically justified, especially through the educational system indoctrinating obedience to the law, as analyzed by Professors Henry, Milovanovic, and Chappell. This ideological justification contains several divine and human theories, such as the king's divine right, social contract, utilitarianism, democracy, and the dictatorship of the proletariat. Legal anarchism also analyzes why certain thinkers, particularly Hobbes, have defended the necessity of the State to prevent chaos and disorder or the state of nature. This defence is not however a solid argument according to the anarchists such as Professors Barclay, Martel, and Benjamin. They have argued that neither the anthropological research has supported Hobbes' theory, nor any State really protects us against violence, but it is itself the source of violence.

Finally, legal anarchism proves that authority is a destructive phenomenon, because it relies on gender inequality, destroys autonomy and liberty, and eventually corrupts the Governor and the governed together. Professor Wolff has accordingly explained why political authority is incompatible with liberty. Many years ago, Bakunin believed that authority generates a superiority complex mingled with indifference and an inferiority complex respectively in the Governor and the governed. Legal anarchism implies that several studies (e.g., Stanford Prison Experiment, BBC Prison Study, and Milgram Experiment) have supported Bakunin belief. Political authority or the State has nonetheless caused both avoidance, as defended by Professors Martel and Scott, and resistance, as analyzed in the Chapter 4 and 5. Furthermore, legal anarchism recognizes the eventual existence of some harmful effects of power and authority in a decentralized community, since both power and authority are multidimensional and pervasive phenomena in existence.

1.5.1 The Similarities and Differences

While power and authority differ from each other, they share some common characteristics. For instance, they evolve, revolutionize, or even regress in all times and spaces. If power does not necessarily need legitimacy, authority has never stopped claiming its legitimacy upon its subjects that must recognize its ideological justification, rooted in divinity, inheritance, tribalism, charisma, tyranny, meritocracy, aristocracy, oligarchy, plutocracy, democracy, voting system, the legal system (especially in the Constitution), tradition (e.g., the common law), or pure violence (e.g., revolution, *Coup d'État*, and war).

Power, authority, which mostly implies political authority or State authority, and the State are usually interchangeable concepts, while their demarcation lines are not clear among the scholars and the laypeople as well.⁸⁷ Every authority has power, but not every power necessarily has authority. For example, the Mafia has power but not authority or legitimacy. In this sense, power is more general than authority needing legitimacy or the *consent of the governed*, of which the US Declaration of Independence is proud.⁸⁸ As Gramsci noticed, all States, regardless of their political regimes (liberal, democratic, etc.), need not only the masses' consent (i.e. vote, "spontaneous consent", or "voluntary servitude"),⁸⁹ but also the coercion of those who do not consent.⁹⁰

On the one side, both power and authority have the capacity of imposing a set of illegal or legal norms over human and non-human lives, over bodies as well as minds: *biopower* and *biopolitics*.⁹¹ The violation of these norms brings various illegal or legal sanctions according to the seriousness of crime: corporal punishment, capital punishment, torture, kidnaping, blackmail, etc. On the other side, both of them are not monolithic but multidimensional institutions with multi-mechanisms. They are thus omnipresent at all levels of existence: family in its patriarchal or matriarchal form, school, college, university, hospital, factory, religious or spiritual personalities, cultural and economic institutions, legislature, Government, judiciary, prison, environment (e.g., national parks), and so on. As a result, there exist many conceptions related to power and authority: political power, State power, economic power, political authority, civil authority, legislative authority, judicial authority, police authority, economic authority, patriarchal authority, charismatic authority, educational authority, religious authority, etc.

Professor Ward has stated that the principle of authority exists in every aspect of human society.⁹² Professor Carrington has likewise noticed that to different degrees, hierarchy is indispensable to all human efforts entailing organized cooperation. Many of our most valued liberties depend on restrictions imposed by hierarchs of one type or another. Everything depends on the end of a hierarchy, and the fitness of its methods to this end. There is therefore nothing intrinsically wrong with reproducing it.⁹³

As an agent of power and control, authority relies on many social institutions (especially school, university, hospital, court, prison, and the mass media) as well as inter-Governmental organizations (e.g., OAS, EU, ECHR, and UN),⁹⁴ which specify the boundaries in which human behaviours are acceptable or punishable.⁹⁵ Some scholars have nonetheless argued that hierarchy and the exercise of power are neither the necessary elements of social life nor the universal qualities of life. For instance, the structural of the legal system can be non-hierarchical insofar as the people concerned take into account the needs of themselves as well as those of others without addressing to any centralized authority.⁹⁶ However, the

anarchists might forget that so-called local authority can be as problematic as centralized authority, imposed by many diverse mechanisms such as education, religion, morality, law, and so on. In other words, the quantitative and qualitative degrees of authority in its vertical form may be identical in its horizontal form, somewhat such as Professor Paxton's the "parallel State".⁹⁷ In fact, the criminal law in a Stateless community has the capacity to be as ruthless as in a State society. For instance, Benjamin Tucker provided capital punishment, prison, or even torture for his anarchistic society.⁹⁸

When it comes to biopower, Professor Foucault, a real "powerologist" and "guru",⁹⁹ has argued that power exists *"everywhere; not because it embraces everything but because it comes from everything."*¹⁰⁰ In this case, Professor Martel has believed that *"Foucault is very helpful because he recognizes how much anarchism is up against, how ingrained power dynamics are in all sorts of functions that we don't normally associate with the state but which is still "archist" and still caught up in archist forms of legality."* Due to the multi-institutional and omnipresent of authority mingled with knowledge, to destroy it or to revolutionize its organizations requires changing many individual and social relations upon which authority has the unlimited power of regulating and punishing.¹⁰¹ The good news relies paradoxically upon the fact that neither power nor authority can remain absolute and infallible insomuch as there are some people who struggle to escape or to destroy it.¹⁰² In fact, human struggle for freedom and justice is permanently everywhere, and the question is accordingly that to know whether new authority will be less pervasive than the old one.

Overall, legal anarchism defines authority and power as the legal or illegal capacity of an individual, a group, or an institution to set up the norms for humanity and non-humanity as well as to ensure their application. It however challenges this capacity, because authority, unlike power, claims its legitimacy of passing and enforcing the law, while it depends principally on violence or sanction, instead of its citizens' consent. Let us now examine more characteristics of authority through its critiques articulated by legal anarchism, which calls its legitimacy into question.

1.5.2 The Critiques of Authority

Legal anarchism has called authority into question throughout several aspects. It proves that the highbrows have vehemently criticized the ideological justification of authority as well as its destructive and corrupting effects on both Governor and governed.

1.5.2.1 The Ideological Justification of Authority

All authorities need to be ideologically or morally recognized or justified, if not, we would call them powers, even though their mechanisms would be dissimilar. In this case, the educational system, directed

by the State, indoctrinates students with both ethos and necessity of obeying the law in order to avoid what the Governmental ideologists call “the state of nature” or “disorder and chaos”. Professors Henry and Milovanovic have thus incorporated the postmodernists’ anarchistic critique of knowledge into their theory of *constitutive criminology* inasmuch as knowledge is not objective, neutral, or value free, but a weapon of domination or resistance to power.¹⁰³ For instance, Professor Chappell argues that American public schools have prodigiously become bureaucratic institutions operating as a rigorous maintenance system. They function in order “to inculcate the masses with acceptable ideologies and to weed out dissenters whose recalcitrant behavior and spontaneity are viewed as dangerous to the democratic tenets of the United States.”¹⁰⁴ Our modern society is actually soaked with a kind of “State-educational indoctrination”, managed by an army of politicians, academicians, scientists, journalists, clergies, and Mullahs who are hotly justifying the necessity of the State to the detriment of destroying our freedom and justice. They are accordingly normalizing State violence. At the same time, humanity has been desensitized vis-à-vis existential pains, while the pursuit of happiness of politicians has forcibly prevailed over our pains: mass murder, war, political execution, torture, rape, and so on.

The existence of political authority depends not only on the brute and sophisticated force of the police, army, and legal system, but also on the stupidity of the masses to be manipulated and governed by propaganda. For instance, the Mongol Empire could not live for a long time despite the extreme ruthlessness and military skills of Genghis Khan and his commanders, when the British and French Empires still exist, thanks to their brutal as well as sophisticated methods of governing. The State cannot only rely on violence, since its justification and development need brainwashing by which it subjugates all individuals and groups to its authority. In other words, State violence, legal or illegal, is not enough to keep the State alive, at least not for long, and the State therefore demands a sophisticated system of propagating obedience, including the law school and lawyering.

The grassroots indeed adapt themselves to every type of regime as long as the elite, demagogic, or iconic personalities seduce them and satisfy their vulgarity and meaninglessness. In this case, religion, spirituality, and education come to strengthen the governance of these personalities. There also exists a huge gap between the elites and the masses inasmuch as the former are able to extend greatly their influence by controlling the crucial societal institutions, such as the economy, State, and mass media.¹⁰⁵ Nettlau has accordingly found out that it is always easier to mobilize the masses around a program by demanding them to vote and to pay contributions than carrying out an individual and independent action.¹⁰⁶ As Bakunin put, the destruction of political authority needs the political and economic emancipation of the

masses by themselves.¹⁰⁷ The anarchists have also emphasized that the educational system plays a crucial role in this emancipation from authority. Such a system should rely upon freedom, cooperation, equality, creativity, tolerance, and respect of all individuals, groups, and communities concerned, which take into account the environmental issues as well. The current educational systems are unfortunately too far from this anarchist foundation because of their hierarchical and undemocratic elements, which mean the Presidents, Deans, associate Deans, directors, as well as Professors. The academic Godfathers/mothers are perfectly able to use their power to legitimize any form of authority, on the one hand, and to annihilate any dissidence or protest against authority through several sophisticated and tyrannical means, on the other hand. Legal anarchism will come back to this topic in the section concerning the law school in the Chapter 3.

Based principally on some generic concepts of “social contract” or “utilitarianism”, the sources of legitimacy of authority are so abstract, rhetorical, and diverse going in many transcendent as well as untranscendent directions,¹⁰⁸ and speaking about the fearful consequences of the state of nature upon individual, property, or civilization as a whole. For instance, legal anarchism mentions *the divine right of the kings* (e.g., James I of England and Louis XIV) and *theologians* (e.g., the Church in Christianity¹⁰⁹ and the Guardianship of the Islamic Jurist in the Shia)¹¹⁰ to govern in a transcendent way. As for certain examples of an untranscendent or quasi-religious way, they are *public goods*, *democracy*, Plato’s *philosopher-kings*, Weber’s *charismatic authority*,¹¹¹ Rousseau’s *general will* in the *Social Contract*,¹¹² Marx’s, Lenin’s, and Mao’s *dictatorship of the proletariat and the peasantry*,¹¹³ the anarchists’ *revolutionary dictatorship*, *elite dictatorship*, and *avant garde*.¹¹⁴ In this case, there may be a connection between fascism and anarchism because of elitist and aristocratic tendencies among certain libertarians, of which Mussolini and the “Vanguard Group” (an anarchist communist group inspired by Bakunin and Kropotkin and activated during the 1930s in the US) seem to be two examples.¹¹⁵

The Statists have hotly analyzed and defended the fear of chaos and the cult of democracy as the strongest arguments for their contractual or utilitarian theories. It seems that in many of these theories, we are not eventually far from the archaic theory of philosopher-kings implying that the masses are unable to decide or to change the current systems because of their inequalities and incapacities in wealth and sense of politics. Some professional or revolutionary individuals have thus the intellectual and political responsibility to direct the masses toward justice, democracy, and the pursuit of happiness. All those ambiguous and rhetorical theories of legitimizing Government have wonderfully functioned to put all

individuals and nations under the yoke of some seasoned politicians, revolutionaries, or anarchists, whatever their recipes are in their cooking books made for us.

The panic caused by the lack of a centralized political authority has already pushed some great thinkers toward the extreme worship of the State. In this case, Hobbes' *Leviathan* could provide one of the most phenomenal and cited works showing a mind amazingly paralyzed by the fear of State collapse or the failed State.¹¹⁶ It argued that "*no knowledge of the face of the earth, no account of time, no arts, no letters, no society, and which is worst of all, continual fear and danger of violent death, and the life of man, solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short.*"¹¹⁷ Such a fear is unfortunately much deeper than a purely philosophical contemplation, since it is rooted in both societal and Governmental violence, as Hobbes himself was psychosocially a result of the "fear of violent death" and "civil war".¹¹⁸ In this sense, the acceptance of the State because of the fear of the state of nature depends on the personal or social experience of violence attributed to the absence of political authority.

Nonetheless, such a sense should not always be limited to the so-called Third World. For instance, the Canadian society with its propagandist institutions of obedience (especially the school, mass media, and university) does not indeed like the individuals who seriously think about many "disorders" in our State society, such as the wage slavery, poverty, unhealthy housing, racism, and sexism. In other words, it appreciates those who are scared of practicing anarchism, which they regard as the source of disorders rather than order, peace, and justice. It also likes the alienated people and communities that are submerging in gossip topics (particularly the privacy of famous and iconic people), sport, music, dance, alcohol, drug, sexual misadventures and violence.¹¹⁹ In short, the Canadian society desires some humans who unquestionably obey the law in order to pay tax and pay debt off (e.g., education, mortgage, car, etc.). As a result, Canada has rarely produced, to my knowledge, any original anarchist or any anarchist alternative. In comparison to other Western countries like France and the USA, Canada remains a conservative country when it comes to accepting or tolerating anarchism.

Professor Comfort has however argued that the law and coercion are only necessary to regulate behaviour in the centrally organized societies, because the anonymity of centralization isolates the individual from primary group controls. Social dynamics are actually direct and immediate in small groups in which the individual moral sense can function unhindered and participate in shaping the mores that regulate group activity.¹²⁰ In contrast to the Hobbesian concept of brutal war of all against all, Professor Comfort has stated that man is a social animal that follows custom and the social group with an innate

predisposition to sociability. He has also thought, "*Leviathan becomes Frankenstein*" in a society living under central political power.¹²¹

On the one side, the necessity of the State to avoid the so-called "state of nature" is not evident, because the empirical studies have not proved the Hobbesian grim opinion of life in the pre-law Stateless societies.¹²² Professor Barclay finds accordingly out that the anthropological record has not clearly supported Hobbes in any way. The Stateless societies seem to be less brutish and violent than the State societies.¹²³ Moreover, we have never observed a man in the state of nature, which means a man without social conventions.¹²⁴ On the other side, a glance at State crimes (especially war crimes) and the nuclear ambitions of the Statesmen should prove quite the opposite of Hobbesian look at the state of nature, and despite all political authorities around the world, we are not far from the state of nature, rather we are all inside it.¹²⁵ Professor Martel has found out that according to Walter Benjamin, the State does not safeguard us from violence, but it is the *source of violence*, especially in the fieldwork of the police.¹²⁶ "*To 'protect' us via the state is to have the fox guard the henhouse,*" Professor Martel has hence believed. In this case, the American Government, traditionally presented as one of the most democratic Governments, is obviously one of the most aggressive States that we have ever observed during political history.¹²⁷ As we will see in the Chapter 5, some scholars, especially the internationalists, have cynically presented the aggressiveness of the US Government as a symptom of international anarchy, anarchy in international relations, or the state of nature in international relationships! On the contrary, we will observe that the anarchists are seeking for an international libertarian order based on respect and cooperation. However, the *International Anarchist Congress* taking place from 24 to 31 August 1907 in Amsterdam could be a failed endeavor in this regard.¹²⁸

1.5.2.2 The Destructive and Corrupting Aspects of Authority

According to a psychosocial approach based upon sexism depicting the masculine root of authority, the rulers have to be male, because they are supposed to be rational, strong, superior, dominating, adultish, and ruler-like people. On the other hand, the ruled have to be female, because they are supposed to be slow-witted, weak, inferior, submissive, sensual, conventional, slothful, childish, and unambitious people.¹²⁹ Such an idea about the subjugation of women by men is apparently old. For instance, Aristotle, a very great and influential Statist thinker, believed in male domination in both household and the City-State, because of female weakness and inferiority *by nature*.¹³⁰ As a political result, women have scarcely found any opportunity to govern existence, except some seasoned females.¹³¹ Law Professor Wexler has already

concluded: “Now that large numbers of women are getting an opportunity to rule, we will see if they are any better at the task than men have been.”¹³² Contrary to his conclusion, the Stateswomen have proved that there is no real difference between the governance of female and that of male, since both cases focus on corruption and hierarchy. Gender equality, like skin colour (e.g., Obama’s *Wartime Presidency*), cannot certainly solve all problems related to power and authority, because white male supremacy is only a part of these problems in a sophisticated system called politics. In other words, to be or not to be governed is not a simple question of being or not being a woman, since androcentrism is a current part of power and authority. Moreover, if women and men are equal, what is the difference between female governance and male one?

Despite white male supremacy with the inestimably harmful consequences, many human and natural experiences have hitherto shown that authority does know neither gender, nor skin color, nor religion, nor community, nor nationality, and does exceed all times and spaces altogether. It would be therefore hard to think that there is neither hierarchy nor rule-based laws (i.e. *made-man law* according to Emma Goldman)¹³³ in a decentralized and cooperative system. Professor Henry has accordingly shown the existence of hierarchical order in some cooperative structures.¹³⁴ As a result, “organizing from below”, which is contrary to “organizing from above” in the hierarchical societies, may constitute either an *anarchist fetish*¹³⁵ or an oxymoronic concept, which implies below-top governance, proving the existence of vertical authority and domination in a so-called anarchist society.

Moreover, there are always certain problems related to the relationship between freedom and authority, as Professor Wolff has already analyzed in a subtle and critical way. He has especially discussed the incompatibility of autonomy and liberty with authority.¹³⁶ In fact, authority requires absolute submission to the law that mostly breakdowns individual and social autonomy and liberties. Professor Frankel could be hence right to argue that to put positively those problems means to build an institutional framework providing at least minimal conditions for rational choice: human beings “*must be confronted by decisions between alternatives which are not ultimate but relative – in short, choices.*”¹³⁷

Political history has hitherto shown that an authority centralized in one person or a group of persons leads to violence and despotism. Authority is accordingly corrupting not only the Governor (particularly the politicians, lawmakers, Judges, and cops) but also the governed.¹³⁸ It creates the feelings of superiority, unlimited knowledge, certainty, shamelessness, and regretless in the Governor who regards his/her opponents as inhuman and wrong, while it generates the feelings of inferiority, ignorance, uselessness, and obedience in the governed. On the one side, authority facilitates the access to many individual, familial,

social, economic, political, and legal advantages and privileges on all scales for those who desire governance. For instance, they are immunity, respect, celebrity or *political celebrity*¹³⁹ – which is very important in “political show” or “political theatre” –, wealth, sex, food, housing, clothing, and travel. The Governor does really do nothing, but only governing us! On the other side, authority distributes the fear of repression and punishment among those who must obey the rule of law or more exactly the rule of the jungle. It makes resistance very difficult on both sides. In short, more authority unavoidably leads to more problems, especially in the legal system in which the police officers, prosecutors, and Judges enjoy the enormous power of repressing, persecuting, judging, and punishing. As we will observe later, the stigmatization and repression of dissidents are salient in this case.

As for the Governor’s corruption, Khamenei, the Supreme Leader of Iran, has provided a significant example. As a political activist and prominent journalist during the rule of the Shah, Houshang Asadi was jailed with Khamenei who would later become one of the most ruthless and bloodiest leaders in the world. “*I think,*” he says, “*the image I have given of Khamenei and the image we have from him now demonstrate what power does to people, how it turns a tortured prisoner into someone who is in charge of hundreds of torturers and who tortures others through them.*”¹⁴⁰

In this case, I also think that *The Experiment* is an interesting film showing how the voluntary division of participants into two groups acting as inmates and prison guards led to the oppression of the former by the latter.¹⁴¹ This film indeed depicted Professor Zimbardo’s *Stanford Prison Experiment* and Professors Haslam and Reicher’s *The BBC Prison Study*.¹⁴² They had explored the psychological and social consequences of putting people in the groups of unequal power that cause both tyranny and resistance. Their studies remind us of torture in the Abu Ghraib and Guantanamo Bay Prisons.¹⁴³ When it comes to reciprocal corruption between the prisoner and the guard, Professor Sykes has explained a principal barrier to the rehabilitation of an adult offender in a maximum-security prison. It concerns not only the unnaturalness of prison environment and the lack of scientifically tested therapeutic tools, but also the corruption of the guard’s authority in maintaining discipline and custody.¹⁴⁴ There are however some questions to answer: does power reveal an authoritarian personality that changes according to circumstances and opportunities as well? Are personality traits underlying tendencies to act in certain ways in especial situations?¹⁴⁵ Does this also remind us of the “victim to victimizer cycle” or the “cycle of violence”?¹⁴⁶

In our case, Professor Milgram’s controversial study, known as *the Milgram Experiment on Obedience to Authority Figures*, is another significant example providing the destructive aspect of

obedience to authority. It consisted of ordering a “teacher”, a participant, to administer increasingly more severe punishment to a “learner”, an actor working as a cohort of the experimenter, in the context of a learning experiment. A shock generator with 30 graded switches ranging from slight shock (15 volts) to severest shock (450 volts) administered punishment. The study showed that the majority of participants (the 26 subjects of 40) obeyed the orders to the end. They punished the victim until they reached the most potent shock available on the shock generator in spite of the pains of the learner.¹⁴⁷ That social psychologist hence concluded in *The Perils of Obedience*: “Even Eichmann was sickened when he toured the concentration camps, but he had only to sit at a desk and shuffle papers. At the same time the man in the camp who actually dropped Cyclon-b into the gas chambers was able to justify his behavior on the ground that he was only following orders from above. Thus there is a fragmentation of the total human act; no one is confronted with the consequences of his decision to carry out the evil act. The person who assumes responsibility has evaporated. Perhaps this is the most common characteristic of socially organized evil in modern society.”¹⁴⁸ Many political criminals, including war criminals, accordingly love to confirm that they have only obeyed law and order when carrying out their evil acts.

Moreover, those who impose authority over us “live in distance with us” while maintaining parallel lives and separate spheres, which do not rely on partnership.¹⁴⁹ Their isolation from ordinary life has made them immune against any sense of shame, regret, or feeling of human pains, while power has certain negative effects on their brain.¹⁵⁰ Professor Eby’s article about why labour leaders are alone is accordingly significant. He concluded that the man becomes “isolated from his fellowmen by personal inclination and system, more in sorrow than in anger. I know that as long as big government and big organizations exist, men will be set apart, and consequently lonely. Recognizing this, I believe that the set-apart must be governed by a true humility, rooted in the soil of everyday living, and hence willing to return to the people who gave them rise. When they are not such men, when indispensability becomes their God, the people must protect themselves by insuring that they are so returned, even against their own wishes and the indispensability they so unbelievably believe real.”¹⁵¹

As far as the governed is concerned, she/he has become a clown who has no real power over political decisions, except to choose her/his political Masters during some elections. Some researchers have emphasized that the leader influence may directly or indirectly affect an individual through a network of social peers. The influences imposed by social leaders upon group behavior are plentiful in the fields of politics, marketing, and media.¹⁵² The governed people eventually lose the sense of autonomy and freedom in their personal and social affairs whose quantity and quality rely on authority. In this case, self-respect and self-esteem have a direct relationship with how Government treats the citizens.¹⁵³

Professor Martel has argued that if the people believe that “archism”, as a part of “human nature”, is unavoidable, it then makes sense that they try to be as State oriented and aggressive as possible: “*Better to be a dictator yourself than to suffer under one!*” For him, “*the only way anarchism makes sense is if human beings are not fated to either rule or be ruled.*” He has also discussed that Professor Scott’s *The Art of Not Being Governed* is a wonderful book showing that the States do not *naturally* arise at all, but they only force the people to become citizens. As a result, most of the citizens must run away to avoid being dominated. “*It is only over time that States succeed in seeming like the only game in town and that very idea reinforces the prevalence of archist thinking,*” Professor Martel has concluded.

Legal anarchism can summarize the debate by invoking Bakunin’s *Power Corrupts the Best*, which relates to the problems of governing according to the Governor’s *superiority complex* and the governed’s *inferiority complex* as well. He argues that nothing is more destructive for human morality than the habit of commanding. The best person, the most intelligent, disinterested, generous, or the purest person is always spoiled at this trade. Two feelings inherent in power are actually the overestimation of one’s own merits and contempt for the masses. As for the grassroots, they recognize their incapacity to govern on their own account so that they elect their commander. They publicly proclaim their inferiority and the superiority of their commander.¹⁵⁴ The relationship between the Governor and the governed could imply Hegel’s “Master-Slave Dialectic”,¹⁵⁵ which may cause three types of personality: a *slave* or *obedient personality*, supposedly normal, a *dominant personality*, supposedly normal also, and eventually an *anarchist personality*, outlaw or abnormal.¹⁵⁶

Those who study the law, practice it, or even are awarded with certain law degrees, mostly thanks to the Western universities’ corruption and crimes, would seriously suffer from superiority complex in the framework of a type of politically Master-Slave Dialectic. Since, they are historically struggling to subjugate us to their ideology and economic interest as well: the syndrome of “the lawyer-Statesmen” alluding to the philosopher-kings of the legal system, as mentioned above.¹⁵⁷ These narcissistic and psychopathic personalities have never stopped claiming themselves as the only and the best people to govern existence, or they hotly believe in being absolutely the best people to govern existence, since nobody has any merit to replace their absolute power and authority, nobody has their omniscience, but without any reliability. They really want to see all existence, absolutely all, as their dominated property, until everywhere they are able to expand their power and authority, legally or illegally intensified inside as well as outside their jurisdiction. As we will later see regarding the Judge, they make an illusion to be certain “sacred men” or “chosen people by God” in order to be His representatives on the earth and over it.

1.6 The State

The ambiguous concept of the State has generated many debates about its definition and function. These debates would not only help us to understand certain elements of the State, analyzed in the next Chapter, but also call its epistemology into question. Legal anarchism has argued that the State is by no means an institution relying on dialogue, and its rationality and normativity are therefore very questionable. The State is actually one of the most used concepts in social science, but a gruesome concept to define, since it is a highly complex institution. It is also a mixed or identified concept with many other concepts: sovereignty, sovereign State, State sovereignty, popular sovereignty, the sovereignty of the people, democracy, republic, country, nation, nation-State, authority, political authority, civil authority, Government, centralized Government, civil Government, polity, social contract, political contract, commonwealth, society, and so on.¹⁵⁸ It seems that there is no agreement among the libertarian thinkers about the definition of the State, as it is the same regarding other thinkers.¹⁵⁹ Such disagreement also exists when it comes to the similarities and differences between the conceptions of the State and Government.¹⁶⁰ Legal anarchism provides some examples in this case showing the vague and general definitions of the State among the anarchists.

Proudhon describes the State as “*la constitution extérieure de la puissance sociale*.”¹⁶¹ In *God and the State*, written in an elegant and deep language, it seems that Bakunin has really had no definition of the State, but a political allegory. He has thus argued, “*The State will no longer call itself Monarchy; it will call itself Republic.*” It will however “*be none the less the State – that is, a tutelage officially and regularly established by a minority of competent men, men of virtuous genius or talent, who will watch and guide the conduct of this great, incorrigible, and terrible child, the people. The professors of the School and the functionaries of the State will call themselves republicans; but they will be none the less tutors, shepherds, and the people will remain what they have been hitherto from all eternity, a flock. Beware of shearers, for where there is a flock there necessarily must be shepherds also to shear and devour it.*”¹⁶² In *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, he should be clearer when he argues that the State depends upon the principle of *authority*, which means upon the eminently metaphysical, theological, and political idea. Due to the masses’ incapacity of governing themselves, they have always submitted to the benevolent yoke of a justice or wisdom imposed on them from above. Such an authority recognized and respected by the masses has only three possible sources: force, religion, or a superior intelligence always directed by certain minorities. In short, “class”, “power”, and the “State” are three inseparable and presupposed terms meaning “*the political subjection and the economic exploitation of the masses.*”¹⁶³ Bakunin finally believes that “*the most imperfect republic is a thousand times better than the most*

enlightened monarchy,” because there are some periods when the people are continually exploited and not repressed in the former, but repression is permanent in the latter.¹⁶⁴

We may observe the same belief among some anarchists who were lovers of Bakunin (especially Kropotkin and Rocker) and advocates of defending the Allied States during the World Wars I and II, or more exactly enduring the British, French, and American Empires against other ones.¹⁶⁵ In this case, as Bakunin implied, the question was to choose between the bad State and the worse State, which still shows the constant debates in politics concerning “the lesser of two evils principle”.¹⁶⁶ How would his opinion be about the possible World War III? In which side will the libertarians take part to justify “authority” that they ironically hate? Accordingly, Karl Kraus judiciously refused to choose the lesser between two evils, because the lesser of two evils remains evil.¹⁶⁷ Professor Weizman has recently expressed the same thing in *The Least of All Possible Evils*.¹⁶⁸ Furthermore, why shall I choose between two evils when one of them would most probably become unpopular as soon as possible?¹⁶⁹

Professor Hoppe defines the State as a territorial monopolist of force that may engage in continually institutionalized property rights violations and the exploitation of private property owners in the form of regulation, expropriation, and taxation.¹⁷⁰ Berkman has likewise described the State and the law as a protector of capitalism, which means the capitalist class’ robbery from the proletarian class, teaching obedience, though they themselves stand for robbery and murder. In fact, all Governments are the maidservants of the economic powers.¹⁷¹ In short, violence, force, and fraud are the antisocial destructive characters and purposes of authority or the State.¹⁷² Regarding as a synonym for the State, he has defined Government as the King with his ministers or the President with his cabinet, the Congress or the Parliament, and the officials of the different State and Federal departments. They altogether constitute a small number of people as compared with the entire population.¹⁷³

As for Goldman, Berkman’s lifetime lover and comrade, she believes that Government, the State, or organized authority exists exclusively to maintain the monopoly of property by a minority. It is itself the greatest offender, because it breaks every natural and written law, steals in the form of taxes, kills in the form of war and capital punishment, and has come to absolute power in coping with crime, while it has utterly failed to minimize or destroy the horrible catastrophes of its own creation.¹⁷⁴ She undoubtedly has many destructive ideas about the State throughout certain emotional and violent words without eventually defining the heart of her critiques, which is to say the State itself. For her, the State, moral laws, and society all impose the same rule: obedience. Although humankind can have all the glories of the earth, she/he must not become conscious of her/himself. On the contrary, anarchism is the only philosophy that brings the

consciousness of herself/himself to humankind while maintaining that God, the State, and society do not exist and their promises are useless, since they can be fulfilled only by humankind's submission. In other words, anarchism struggles against the greatest foe of all social equality, namely the State, organized authority, statutory law, or the absolute subordination of human conduct. In short, the keynote of all States is injustice. They ordain, judge, condemn, and punish the most insignificant crimes while maintaining themselves through the annihilation of individual liberty, which is the greatest of all crimes.¹⁷⁵

Professor Rothbard has tried to define the State in a Weberian manner mixed with an anarcho-capitalist tradition. In *Anatomy of the State*, he has accordingly said that although the State maintains a monopoly of the use of violence and force in a given territorial area, it does not absolutely represent the majority of the people. Moreover, it is particularly the only social organization obtaining its revenue not by voluntary contribution for its services, but by coercion, which is to say expropriation or taxation.¹⁷⁶

According to Professor Adán, the reformist anarchists (Godwin, Warren, Stephen Pearl Andrews, Benjamin Tucker, Gill, and Read) agree that Government, as a necessary evil, may be only justified by acting against "the actions of invasive agents" that threaten the freedom of individuals. They believe that such a minimal State is a temporary stage toward anarchism.¹⁷⁷ In the same sense, Professor Roberson argues, *"there may be some potential for a reconstituted Godwinian theory, shorn of its perfectibilism and with a proper appreciation for the legitimacy, within a limited scope, of legal authority."*¹⁷⁸ This can be a secular version of Christian anarchism, since John Humphrey Noyes regarded the States as *"preparatory forms of discipline, fitted to the childhood of the race"* before the establishment of the Kingdom of God.¹⁷⁹ As for Lum, a leading anarcho-syndicalist, he argued that an organization for protecting person and his labour product or property, *"composed of those who felt the need for the exercise of such functions, in which loss by depredation would involve no greater difficulty than loss by fire, would naturally arise where such demand existed. The difference between the watchmen of such an organization, whose functions consist in mutual protection and defence of the equal limits of personal freedom, for commercial needs, and a political-policy system wherein personal liberty is subordinated to inanimate things as of a greater importance than their creators, is so apparent to the candid reader that I need not pause to dwell upon it."*¹⁸⁰

The statute law and Judge-made law actually define the frame of existence according to the sacred *rule of law*, and the legal system punishes any attempt to escape from this legal frame. It should keep in mind the interrelationship among those institutions. For instance, the legal system is not merely a monolithic institution insofar as it covers several entities connected to other institutions.¹⁸¹ In fact, the Criminal Justice System (CJS) contains several institutions: police, especially as judicial or executive power, prosecutor acting between the judiciary and the executive, Judge who is somehow a lawgiver in the

common law, social worker, and so on. The State accordingly seems to be a group of authorities, particularly political, economic, legal, and judicial authorities. In this regard, Professor Gordon has presented a large sense of Government, which means a “regime” containing an impersonal set of rules that regulate relationships between people, such as work and family. He has argued that the anarchists refuse not only a specific Government, but also Government in general, a word that implies more than the State.¹⁸²

Moreover, as we will see regarding the principle of checks and balances, State powers strengthen each other, and they do not necessarily guarantee individual and communal rights as the lawyers and politologists are hotly defending. For example, when it comes to the security concerns of refugees in Canada, Mossallanejad has stated that many Members of Parliament do not intervene while other inter-Governmental agencies (such as the UNHCR) scarcely intervene.¹⁸³

In spite of the fact that the State has traditionally constituted the Enemy Number One of anarchism, because of its exploitation, indoctrination, and destruction of individual and social liberties and rights, the anarchists have not ironically cared about defining their enemy in a concrete manner yet, which can be understandable for the laypeople. Since, it would remain an ambiguous institution to define in the libertarian theories. Does not such an ambiguity put the value of these theories into question?

Legal anarchism could nonetheless define the State as an authoritarian institution that incarnates authority in all aspects inasmuch as it is unlimitedly enforcing its rules by force, violence, and domination. It moreover argues that when the State’s justification or legitimacy does not apparently rely on force, it endeavors to falsify its subjects’ consent, particularly by legal education and lawyering, as proved in the Chapter 3. Legal anarchism focuses principally on the normative sense of authority: an institution that imposes its norms upon a population and the environment within a territory by using executive, legislative, and judicial powers or institutions: three Governmental powers.¹⁸⁴ In this sense, Government is an executive institution. Legal anarchism uses the concept of the State and Government in an interchangeable manner.¹⁸⁵ The State exists, according to legal anarchism, through seven basic elements: territory, human population, non-humanity, taxation, army, criminal law, and ideology. It will actually exist as long as it is able to force its citizens, by the army and criminal law, to pay tax and to obey its rules through certain ideological mechanisms that aim at propagating the ethos, advantages, or disadvantages of obeying or disobeying its laws. Professor Gene Sharp has accordingly identified the six major sources of political power: *authority* (perceived legitimacy), *personnel* (the ruler and the ruled), *skills and knowledge*, *material*

resources (such as land and money), *intangible factors* (psychological, cultural, and ideological factors promoting obedience to and cooperation with the ruler), and finally *sanctions*.¹⁸⁶

1.7 Conclusion

Throughout this Chapter, I demonstrate that the anarchists have created and developed their own terminology and jargon, due to their economic and intellectual superiority in our unequal society, when they fight against each other by their own language. For example, the “anarchists” or supposedly socialist thinkers deny the anarchist essence of “libertarians”, i.e. supposedly capitalist thinkers. Nonetheless, their terminological debates are usually general or ambiguous. It seems that they have not clearly defined the key concepts like anarchism, anarchist, libertarian, law, power, authority, and the State.

As a result, legal anarchism has endeavored to overcome the conceptual issues in anarchism by its synthetic methodology or anarchism without adjectives. It defines a concept in accordance with the spirit of anarchist thought and with that of other disciplines like environmentalism and jurisprudence, which are particularly helpful for its concepts and definitions. It has therefore taken into account or synthesized the issues of *normativity* and *ecology* when, for example, analyzing and defining power, authority, and the State. Legal anarchism however recognizes that anarchist terminology is not a fixed phenomenon, since it evolves to answer new challenges. These multidisciplinary and synthetic approaches of legal anarchism should once again prove its importance for academia as well as the society, which would realize the problems caused by State authority in existence.

Because authority and power are multidimensional and multifunctional concepts absorbing all aspects of humanity and non-humanity, it is hard to think that there will be any type of society, even anarchist one, in which they are ineffective or absent. In this regard, Professor Amster has argued that the anarchist communities aim at maintaining social order as a whole, since they do not eliminate power and authority rather newly applying these endemic tendencies.¹⁸⁷ An anarchist society would accordingly try to maximally minimize or destroy the harmful effects of governance imposed by authority on humanity and non-humanity as well.

Furthermore, legal anarchism finds out that authority and power have more similarities than differences. Enforcing endless norms by punishment and asking for absolute obedience constitute the most common characteristics of authority and power. However, contrary to authority like Government, power like the ISIS does not necessarily need the ideological acceptance of its subjects. Power actually enjoys its practical acceptance by those who are automatically subject to its norms. Such a difference implies that

why almost all States are endlessly struggling to prove their legitimacy by a democratic foundation relying supposedly on the voting and education systems. The anarchists have nonetheless argued against this foundation, as shown in the next Chapters, since State legitimacy is principally practical, which puts it next to power.

Relied on the anarchist conceptual debates on power, authority, and the State, legal anarchism has proved that the State and its authority are neither rationalized nor necessary, because their force comes from the monopolization of property and violence. The CJS guarantees State power in a given territory or even outside State jurisdiction when the State increases its power in space. In other words, the anarchists deny the justification and necessity of the State, since it is rooted in the brutal force of legal system strengthened by organized crime and taxation, not in agreement and democracy.

Finally, the general concept of the State affects also the debates about the determinant factors of State authority, which legal anarchism analyzes in the next Chapter.

Chapter 2: Some Elements of the State

2.1 Synopsis

By some elements of the State, I describe State characteristics and relations with other phenomena, which help us to have some knowledge about governance and its legitimacy. They are State jurisdiction, democracy, Statelessness, human nature, and Governmental extreme happiness and pains.

Firstly, legal anarchism examines the necessity of some national frontiers in which Government and its citizenship find their meaning. The anarchists, like Tucker, believe that national frontiers and their creation are physical aspect of Government relying actually on blood and war, not on democracy. Rocker, Ward, and Rothbard have emphasized the difference between the nation and the State, which opposes the justification of the nation-State. The nation exists through cooperation, but the State through coercion that results in war, conquest, occupation, and eventually imperialism, which need also to invent foreign enemies. Legal anarchism accordingly regards the Iranian, American, Israeli, and Venezuelan Governments as typical examples showing how they are apparently fighting against each other, but keeping some secret relationship.

Secondly, legal anarchism analyzes how State democracy has metamorphosed from liberal democracy to religious democracy. Many States claim secular democracy, others theocratic democracy. This metamorphosis has indeed proved, as Mallock implied, State democracy is a form of oligarchy. In contrast to this form of falsified democracy, the librarians have presented anarchist democracy in accordance with social anarchism. Kinna, Surowiecki, Cadogan, and Munson have accordingly defended that despite certain structural difficulties, direct democracy can satisfy an anarchist claim for autonomy and liberty.

Thirdly, by invoking the work of certain libertarians like Stringham and Miles, legal anarchism finds out Statelessness does not result in the state of nature, but in law and order as humanity has long experienced life without any centralized Government. Arendt, Martel, and Benhabib have expressed that Statelessness results in losing rights and liberties inasmuch as their existence and protection depend on Statehood, which paradoxically generates Statelessness because of political problems like war and refugee.

Fourthly, legal anarchism analyzes the connection between Government and human nature, an old consideration among many thinkers. For instance, Rothbard and Panclasta have interpreted human nature as a mixture of social and antisocial tendencies, while the majority of anarchists are confident in human

goodness. Besides, legal anarchism argues that State authority magnifies antisocial tendencies, due to the extremity of State power and violence, legalized or romanticized by some Statist or even anarchist intellectuals (e.g., Chomsky).

Fifthly, legal anarchism proves that State authority causes extreme happiness for the Governors but extreme pain for the governed, i.e. humans and non-humans together. These extremities are systematic, legal, or illegal. To measure them is however very difficult, because exists a little information or a few research about their quantity and quality. On the one side, the State guarantees a luxury lifestyle to its top workers and their castes during life and after death, based on taxation or corruption, to help them in constitutionally pursuing happiness: the First Lady Syndrome, Palaces, ultra-first class travel, etc. On the other side, the State legally or illegally brutalizes humanity and non-humanity through the extreme rules and sanctions. For instance, the CJS and the army devour sociality, individuality, and naturality through unlimited laws and sanctions, such as property crimes, environmental laws and crimes. In this case, the State is symbolically sacred like God, because it is omnipresent and the breaking of its rules brings punishment. Legal anarchism eventually shows that State crimes against nature are a process starting from political violence against animals and resulting in victimizing the entire environment.

2.2 State Jurisdiction

State jurisdiction means that the State and its scholars are trying to justify or rationalize its power in space. It actually implies that the State creates some boundaries inside and outside which it pretends to protect its citizens. This implication has generated a generic concept called the “nation-State”, by which all States are satisfying their warlike and dirty tendencies.

2.2.1 The Creation of Frontiers and the Protection of Citizens

Legal anarchism argues that due to the geographical element of Statehood, worshiped by, among others, certain internationalist thinkers,¹ all States have bloodily created national frontiers whereby they are claiming to protect their citizens, but imposing their own laws. As Benjamin Tucker acknowledged, national boundaries are merely physical manifestations of Government.² In fact, they are a major source of refugee crisis, discrimination, racism, hostilities, and eventually war among nations, thanks to nationalism or jingoism fuelled by politicians.³ Professor Murphy has however believed that conflicts for territory are essentially a constant in human history throughout which “might” has generally made “right”.⁴

Besides State frontiers or territories from which nobody can really escape, the fabrication of “foreign enemy” is a common phenomenon among the despotic as well as democratic States, while it takes part in legitimizing governance. For instance, the Islamic Republic of Iran is too proud to denounce the Western States, especially the USA and Israel, as the basic source of all its problems, and consequently its sworn enemies, and vice versa. Nobody can actually escape from the governmentalization of spaces, even over-spaces,⁵ or from the label of “enemy” around the world.

Territoriality has also created very profitable and gigantic businesses, illegal as well as legal: human trafficking, animal trafficking, asylum, undocumented workers constituting a part of modern slavery,⁶ immigration counsellors, immigration lawyers, visa and immigration services, etc. In the name of defending homeland (i.e. fatherland or motherland) or an ideology, the citizens of one State (e.g., the ISIS) are irresistibly encouraged or legally forced to massacre, injure, rape, torture, destroy, or plunder those of another State. They are consequently burying all senses of morality and humanity together. This phenomenon is really rooted in patriotism or ideologism of which the politicians and war industrialists are profiteers. Nobody will know how many people, animals, and plants have been victims of nationalism inside as well as outside State jurisdiction, since the nationalistic catastrophes do no stop in the frame of national law, international law, or so-called *international war* at all, but devour all existence. In this case, all States, small as well as big ones, are able to declare war against their own populations,⁷ which we call *civil war*, when their interests are jeopardised. For example, the Bashar Al-Assad Administration massacres its own revolting people by calling them “terrorists”,⁸ as did Libya under another dictator, i.e. Muammar Gaddafi.⁹

Patriotism certainly needs its intellectual foundation.¹⁰ Among the intellectuals, the law Professors and lawyers are honourably crowned to discuss endlessly the guilt, POI, responsibility and punishment of heads of State, elements of the crime (i.e. *actus reus* and *mens rea*), legitimacy and competence of the court, just war theory, national security, international arrest warrant, extradition, etc. They are skillfully doing their business through their technical language and rituals, which are unknown to the laypeople, thanks to their *ex cathedra* while also appearing to treat this as an abstract issue, not giving a damn about the pains of victims: invasion, occupation, internment camp, imprisonment, rape, torture, the demolition of property, and so on.

2.2.2 The Nation-State: The Belligerent and Dirty Misadventures

The State always tends to present itself as a nation: the nation-State. On the contrary, the anarchists make a difference between the “society” and the “State”, because the first is a natural organization based

on voluntariness, while the second is an artificial organization founded on violence and coercion, particularly on the legal system and army.¹¹ Professor Ward has accordingly argued that the anarchists stress an important distinction between the State and society.¹² Rocker's *Nationalism and Culture* provides a good example in this case. According to Rocker, every State has the impulse to reduce minimally the rights of the society and to secure its own existence, on the one hand. The society constantly struggles for expanding its liberties and rights by limiting the functions of the State, on the other hand. Political liberties and rights have never indeed been won in legislative structures, but compelled from them by social pressure. The history of Europe provides striking examples showing that such rights and liberties do not persist because they are written down on paper as law, but because they have vitally become a necessity for the people through their very flesh and blood.¹³ It seems that Rocker has gone further by rejecting "the collective responsibility of the nation", because it "*kills the sense of justice of the individual and brings man to the point where he overlooks injustice done; where, indeed, it may appear to him a meritorious act if committed in the interest of the nation.*"¹⁴ Graur has likewise argued that the anarchists do not recognize this type of responsibility inasmuch as it is only a valid notion when all individuals in a nation "*share common interests regardless of class barriers,*" since Rocker did conclude that "*the real situation was far removed from this ideal, and thus anarchists must vehemently reject the concept of collective responsibility.*"¹⁵

This rejection of collective responsibility requires attention. Professor Goldhagen has nonetheless argued that the sentiment of anti-Semitism was deeply rooted in the ideology of ordinary German citizens who were accomplices in murdering the Jews.¹⁶ In this case, Hull, John Stambaugh Professor of history, speaks about the "*culture of violence*" in Nazi Germany, which was shared by other European colonialist States as well.¹⁷ In this sense, legal anarchism invokes the pamphlet of the Anarchist Communist Federation declaring: "*We are against all national flags. All national flags are against us.*"¹⁸ Finally, does the rejection of collective responsibility imply a "culture of impunity" as it exists under the reign of Islamic President Rouhani?¹⁹ Should we recognize the value of "collective guilt" and consequently "collective punishment"²⁰ to avoid such a culture? Professor Magala has argued that the degree of acknowledgment and responsibility of the moral trouble with our cultural legacies is limited. For instance, we cannot hold current British citizens responsible for crimes perpetuated by the British Army in India in the nineteenth century.²¹

The difference between nation and the State can also be observable when it comes to the belligerent and dirty misadventures of the latter in the name of security or prosperity for the former, which means imperialism and colonialism. Professor Rothbard accordingly implied that the "nation" wrongly attached to

the State and emerged in a common and universal word: “the nation-State”. Since, “*the modern European nation-state, the typical “major power”, began not as a nation at all, but as an “imperial” conquest of one nationality – usually at the “center” of the resulting country, and based in the capital city – over other nationalities at the periphery.*”²² Rocker had already argued that after the overthrow of the Roman Empire, the barbaric States arose around Europe, filling the land with rapine and murder, and wrecking all cultural foundations.²³ In this sense, there is a symbiotic relationship between militarism and Statism, as Professor Charles Tilly puts, “*War made the state, and the state made war.*”²⁴ For instance, we may separate the imperialism of the US Government from many American people,²⁵ as we may do it regarding Judaism and the warfare and occupation of the Israeli State or Zionism defending and supporting a Jewish State relying on blood, plunder, genocide, and apartheid.²⁶

In fact, the States cultivate the hate of foreigners among their citizens who do not even know each other at all, and have personally done nothing wrong to each other. The Governments of Iran, Israel, the US, and Venezuela are typical examples in this case showing how the States *create* xenophobia among their citizens, when they collude with each other in order to protect State political and economic interests.

On the one side, the Islamist Government has done everything to create a highly hostile environment between the Persian people and the Israeli people, ever since the Iranian Islamic Revolution in 1979, even though Iran is paradoxically homeland for the biggest and oldest Jewish population in the Middle East outside Israel, a community which is experiencing a particularly hard time.²⁷ Khomeini long ago stated: “*This occupation regime over Jerusalem must vanish from the arena of time.*” President Ahmadinejad would later repeat Khomeini’s statement with a genocidal touch: “*Israel must be wiped off the map.*”²⁸ Hassan Rouhani, a so-called moderate Mullah selected as the Islamic President of Iran, firstly declared “*Israel an ‘old wound that should be removed’*,”²⁹ then referred to Israel as a “*festering Zionist tumor*”.³⁰

On the other side, the State of Israel has not stopped threatening to bomb Iran because of the Iranian nuclear program – despite the fact that Israel is also a nuclear power –, as it did already destroy Iraqi nuclear facilities at Osiraq in 1981.³¹ Many Israelis are nevertheless opposed to such bombardment.³² The same would go for many Iranians opposing Ahmadinejad’s tendency, although there are no statistics about it, due to State censorship and manipulation hiding any truth about Iranian public opinion on Israel.³³ However, despite State manipulation and censorship, both nations have shown hopefully that they love each other.³⁴

Following the political fight between two States, each Iranian Jew was also offered \$10,000 to immigrate to Israel, which doubled the existing \$5,000 allocated by the Ministry of Absorption and the

Jewish Agency.³⁵ Both Iranian and Jewish States do not care about the suffering of their own citizens in the name of national security while theatrically and legally constructing each other as evil as well as enemy. Despite the rhetorical war and creation of a foreign enemy (a real scapegoat for Governmental brutalities) in both States, Iran bought arms from Israel with American consent (known as *Irangate* or *Iran-Contra Affair*), arms that would be later used also against the Israeli and Western targets. That State secret trade would secure the release of the Lebanon hostages, and allow American intelligence agencies to fund the Nicaraguan Contras in the 1980s.³⁶ The political identical love story, but with much more details, would repeat during the dirty or shameful British dealings with Gaddafi (known as the *Deal in the Desert*), which was so profitable for the British arms industry.³⁷

Furthermore, the Iranian theocratic Government has called the United States “the Great Satan” doing “satanic acts”, while the US Government has continued really not to bother the American companies from making money with Iran and not to change the Mullahs’ State, but to seek that Iran changes its “rogue activities”.³⁸ For instance, the US Government deliberately failed to support the Green Movement in Iran, which ended in the Iranian Government’s brutal repression,³⁹ despite the fact that the US Government is traditionally worshipping itself as the *universal gendarme of liberation and democratization*.⁴⁰ After the secret relationship between Khomeini and the US Government, another secret *political love story* has emerged between Presidents Hussein and Hassan, a political terrorist,⁴¹ in order to conciliate American imperialism with Persian Islamic fascism in *Animal Farm* style.⁴² It seems that the Iranian State is itself a “Small Satan” because it does bloody business with other Satans, as in the case of the Iran nuclear deal, for example.⁴³

However, the Small Satan has begun to work with the Great Satan and other ones like the UK. They want indeed to create a more stable Iraqi Government and to ease the threat from Sunni militants struggling to establish an Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS) or even a Muslim Caliphate in the world.⁴⁴ These Satanic States do not really care about the people, justice, and peace in those areas, but about their own geopolitical and economic interests.⁴⁵ Moreover, the Iranian and Iraqi Governments were fighting against each other from September 1980 to August 1988!⁴⁶ As for the ISIS and other terrorist organizations, are they, for example, as the bloody and economic theaters of militarism as the Iran-Iraq War was through other States’ complicity, cooperation, or silence? Will they trigger another Cold War or Hot War to destroy not only humanity but also nature? Will they eventually spark World War III or destroy the Western Empires and their Allies as the Barbarians invaded the Roman Empire? After this violent and retroactive destruction, will existence witness another Renaissance and Enlightenment either with the more powerful centralized States or without anyone? Is human existence doomed to repeat this violent cycle or

to end it with embracing, for example, Marxist communism or anarchism? *Do we belong to anarchism or will we return to anarchism at the end of history?* Will humanity finally destroy the Mother Earth thanks to its destructive technology?

Former President Chávez, supported by Professor Chomsky,⁴⁷ has provided another example. In spite of his anti-imperialistic discourses, Venezuela has still kept a very good economic relationship with the US, and remained a capitalist State as well.⁴⁸

Those examples can show that despite their apparent hostility toward each other, there is usually *secret mutuality* among the States. Professor Martel is right to say that even when the States seem to be in opposition, they are able to collude. Just as they can struggle and compete against each other, they also share a basis for mutual understanding and cooperation (e.g., torture).⁴⁹ This would furthermore call into question the sacralization and worship of “mutuality” and “mutual aid” by respectively comrades Proudhon and Kropotkin, because of their potentiality to destroy or seriously limit our liberties and freedoms as well.

To have a great mind or heart does not necessarily come from nationality, since it results in several factors including society and culture. The highly emphasis of certain libertarians, not only individualists but also socialists such as Emma Goldman, on individuality against sociality may nonetheless put into question a distinction between the State and the society inasmuch as they are presented as two institutions restraining the individual from her liberties through domination and indoctrination. In this case, Professor Ward has himself recognized that the State represents a type of relationship between the people that has been formalized according to a set of interests operating contrary to the people’s interests, *“even to the point where it evaluates its means in terms of megadeaths.”*⁵⁰ As far as Rocker is concerned, he has argued that despite *“all social convulsions we have not yet succeeded in finding an inner adjustment of the manifold desires and needs of the individual and the social ties of the community whereby they shall compliment each other and grow together. This is the first requisite of every great social culture.”*⁵¹ In this sense, Professor Hoffer argues that the solution of the balance between individual liberty and social demand *“is still a challenge”* to us.⁵²

Finally, the crimes of the State are sometimes profitable for its citizens. For example, Professor Wilson has argued that *“even if one opposes much of what the American Government and American corporations have done abroad in our name, one can hardly resist the benefits, be they in lower clothing and gas prices or higher stock markets.”*⁵³ If not all State crimes or activities are beneficial to the citizens, they certainly provide large benefits for the politicians, as we will see later regarding the extreme happiness that the State is permanently providing for its top workers.

2.3 The State and Democracy

The concept of democracy would be as ambiguous as that of the State. We are now witnessing an evolution or devolution from so-called “liberal democracy” to “theocratic democracy”. Besides these controversial types of democracy, we can speak about “anarchist democracy” which depicts the problematic relationship between anarchism and democracy.

2.3.1 From Liberal Democracy to Theocratic Democracy

First, it is important to notice an old tradition among the Western highbrows to call their Governments the *liberal democratic States*, such as the US, Canada, England, France, and Iceland.⁵⁴ They currently call them the *Neo-Liberal States*.⁵⁵ There is another tradition among others to call their Governments *democratic, popular, or republic*. For example, they are the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Republic of Turkey, the People’s Republic of Poland, the German Democratic Republic, the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, the Dominican Republic, the Republic of Cuba, the People’s Republic of China, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, and the Islamic Republic of Pakistan. Such a political show aims at legitimizing Government by naming its institutions “popular” or “democratic”, despite the fact that most are far from democracy. This is shown by the legal systems, in which the Judges are mostly political and Governmental animals. In terms of their selection, salaries, and functions there rarely exists any type of popular supervision or control, just the shadow of “legal independence” in accordance with the doctrine of separation of powers is still satisfying its adepts.⁵⁶ The legal repression of the anarchists, as legal anarchism will analyze in the Chapter 4, denounces the myth of judicial independence.

Thanks to the problems related to the definition of democracy and its elements, every State is perfectly able to theatrically demonstrate its system as popular Government: “*Pepsi or Coca Cola Democracy*”.⁵⁷ I can also call it “*democratic fascism*”, since all Governments that are hotly claiming to be democratic only have a democratic *appearance* despite their elitist and despotic foundation, manipulated by the so-called “voting rights” or “privilege” on all communal, municipal, provincial, national, regional, and international scales.⁵⁸ In this case, Mark Twain’s quote has some significance: “*If voting made any difference they wouldn’t let us do it.*” Professor Ward would later say the identical anarchist slogan: “*If voting changed anything they’d make it illegal.*”⁵⁹ The literature against voting (i.e. *civic duty or right to vote*)⁶⁰ in a hierarchical and centralized system is thus abundant.⁶¹

Mallock has tried to prove that the relation between democracy and oligarchy is complementary and not mutually exclusive, since democracy only recognizes itself by the cooperation of oligarchy in any great and civilized Government.⁶² In fact, democracy has enabled many dictatorial personalities and institutions to justify or to legitimize their brutalities, corruption, and hierarchy by merely adding the adjective of “democratic” to their systems. It has actually become “*une boîte magique*”, inside which everybody is able to legitimize every political regime, regardless of its ideology and structure. For example, Muslims, Christians, Jews, Capitalists, Socialists, Communists, Marxists, Leninists, and Maoists have claimed their own Government to be democratic. Moreover, democratic methods (e.g., choosing the singers by the people) constitute one side of the coin, while another side is unfortunately the unlimited power of the people to choose their own dictators and executors: Napoleon III, Hitler, Khomeini, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan, Mohamed Morsi, etc. In this case, theodemocracy could be significant, since three big religions somehow claim to be democratic: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.

For instance, Professor Litwak argues that the Tehran Government “*enjoyed widespread domestic legitimacy*,” while he ironically describes how President Khatami was “*one of only 4 candidates out of nearly 240 that the Council of Guardians permitted to compete in the 1997 presidential election*”!⁶³ As for Rouhani’s supposedly democratic election in a total male and Muslim competition, he was selected in June 2013, “*in one of those “elections” where 686 candidates tried to register, eight were allowed to run, and every woman was disqualified*.”⁶⁴ Human Rights Watch reported that on February 21, 2011, the Guardian Council, an unelected body of twelve Islamic jurists, disqualified more than 2,000 candidates for Iran’s parliamentary election on ill-defined criteria. On December 31, 2011, the Iranian judiciary announced that calls for an election boycott were “a crime”.⁶⁵ Therefore, either I cannot understand the meaning of democratic election at all, or Professor Litwak has a different definition of democracy or a poor knowledge of political life in Iran. By giving the example of the Iranian 2008 election, Professor Rothe has however understood well the place of democracy in that country, while arguing that despite theocratic Governments often speak of parliamentary systems or democracies, their electoral processes are despotic.⁶⁶ As Boroumand has truly noticed, the Iranian voters only choose “*among candidates whom the ruling oligarchy has extensively screened and preselected*.”⁶⁷

Besides the Iranian State presenting itself as a theocratic or Islamic democracy, could we regard the Israeli State as another example of theodemocracy meaning Jewish democracy? Due to the problematic relationship among the Israeli Government, Zionism, and Judaism (e.g., family law in Israel), our answer could be positive, although there are some liberties and rights (e.g., the freedom of speech) in Israel that do

not exist in Iran. It seems that this Government relies principally on Judaism so that it would present a type of ethnic democracy, which differs from secular democracies such as France and Canada.⁶⁸

In summary, voting constitutes a mythically sophisticated source of legitimacy for the most States; even a theocratic State presents itself as democracy, i.e. theocratic democracy or a version of dictatorship, in order to legitimize its bloody and dirty authority and power. As a result, the Governors hate anarchism and mercilessly repress the anarchists who demystify the “criminal existence” of “political authority” under the disguise of “democracy”. By this demystification the State would collapse, because its beautiful mask falls off.⁶⁹ Because of the problems of State democracy, legal anarchism analyzes anarchist democracy as an alternative.

2.3.2 Anarchist Democracy

There is a complex relationship between *anarchism* and *democracy*. The libertarians have vehemently criticized the element of *representative democracy* or *parliamentarism* (i.e. a type of charlatanism) in Government, because it is made up of a ruling minority, often confused with the tyranny of the majority.⁷⁰ In *The Political Illusion*, Professor Ellul has accordingly argued if democracy is only possible when *every citizen's will* is respected every day, “*everything is lost*.”⁷¹ In addition, to be fully a citizen who decides about all political questions, a citizen must not only be informed, but also have time, knowledge, and good will to control the political machinery. Such a belief in citizenship results in either certain unrealistic ideas or a lack of experience with political power. Professor Ellul has hence thought that to democratically control the State through the proper placing of authority and democratizing planning is still “a political illusion” and “empty verbiage”.⁷² In *History and Illusion in Politics*, Professor Geuss has argued that if certain institutions of representative democracy are maybe valuable in different ways, the more extreme forms of democratic rhetoric (the general will, positive freedom, self-rule, etc.) are able to serve as a useful psychological-social emollient that reconciles the people to their *de facto* submission to a structure. Such a structure has much more power than the people do, and does not always have their individual best interests at heart. As a result, the hope that State power could ever actually be fully under social control or under our power is very misplaced.⁷³

Most has said that there are pernicious faith in authority, blind faith in law, inane faith in coercion, narcotic faith in religion, imbecile faith in capitalism, and confusing faith in democracy. He has seen democracy as “*humbug of charlatans praising themselves, scandal of panjandrums disporting themselves, hoax of opiated masses kowtowing to fraud!*”⁷⁴ In this sense, the State is a matter of faith rather than of rationality.⁷⁵

A State may somehow be democratic when the majority of people vote in favour of specific Governors, which is a vote in the market of Pepsi or Coca Cola Democracy.⁷⁶ Debord has since called this type of democracy “pseudo-power over life lived” in his *The Society of the Spectacle*.⁷⁷ The anti-democratic tendency, which mostly appears as *anti-parliamentary democracy*, among the anarchists may be unconsciously rooted in anti-democratic ideas of certain highbrows and dictators who aristocratically disregard the crowd when worshipping genius individuals who are product of “divine grace” or somehow charisma, which eventually means themselves.⁷⁸ For example, Hitler, an extremist result of intellectual superiority complex, hated democracy as contrast to “the aristocratic principle of Nature” while believing that it was the forerunner of Marxism and directed by the Jews in Western Europe.⁷⁹ According to such a principle, he declared that “*we have the German democracy, which is a true democracy; for here the leader is freely chosen and is obliged to accept full responsibility for all his actions and omissions. The problems to be dealt with are not put to the vote of the majority; but they are decided upon by the individual, and as a guarantee of responsibility for those decisions he pledges all he has in the world and even his life.*”⁸⁰ Of course, this leader or Führer was Hitler himself who would ironically become the leader of the National Socialist German Workers’ Party, which might imply rightist Marxism. As for his allied friend, Mussolini started with socialism, Marxism, and anarchism only to end up with fascism.⁸¹ “*Every anarchist is a baffled dictator,*”⁸² he thus said.

In addition, there are the questions about *abstention* insomuch as some people, including myself, have never voted because of their dissidence toward the State: non-voting resistance. In this case, Sheehan has found out that the libertarians are not alone in abandoning the ballot box as a mock form of democracy: only 52% of American voters participated in the US presidential election in 2000, and 60% of people between the age of 18 and 24 did not vote in the UK general election in 2001. These non-votes certainly do not count politically, and even a Government elected on less than 50% of the total vote remains a legitimate Government. More than half of the voting electorate can rarely achieve consensus on which Government should be in power.⁸³ Professor Graeber has thus pointed out that the US describes itself a great democracy, yet most of its citizens hate the politics of the American Government.⁸⁴

As for Canada, Siddiqui, the Toronto Star’s journalist, has argued that “*voters are cynical about politicians, disconnected from the electoral process, disenchanted with the first-past-the-post system in which a candidate often wins with less than 40 per cent of the votes, rendering the rest valueless.*”⁸⁵ For instance, we have witnessed the steady decline of voter participation: down to nearly a third of the voters at the municipal level (with the exception of Toronto’s 2010 election, when it was 50%), nearly half at the provincial level (48% in the 2011 Ontario election), and less than two-thirds federally (61% in 2011). The turnout among the

young people is worse: 39% for the 18-24 age group in the last federal election and 45% for the 25-34 group.⁸⁶ Voter turnout in many Western countries has indeed been in decline for the past decade or longer.⁸⁷

In spite of common hostility to vote among the anarchists, some of them, such as Yarros and Benjamin Tucker, do not reject voting if it would facilitate the cause of liberty, which somehow means “the lesser of two evils principle”, as supported by *la Fédération Communiste Libertaire* in France.⁸⁸ Absenteeism may be beneficial, because absentees, unlike voters, cannot be responsible for or accomplices in State crimes. Nonetheless, the voters and the politicians worship not only to criminalize (i.e. compulsory voting), but also to blame us for being immoral, irrational, despicable, irresponsible, or even lazy!⁸⁹ Must also we, as atheists, be blamed for not accepting the tricky arguments for divine existence in governance? Are we “democratic sinners” in a system that indoctrinates the students with the virtue of voting?⁹⁰ All arguments also sound good to propagate such a virtue against us: *if you do not vote, you cannot complain; this is your chance to make your voice heard.*⁹¹ What about when there is no candidate to make our voice heard?

The anarchists do not however reject *direct democracy* or self-management in which all individuals participate in decision-making, which reconciles autonomy and authority.⁹² We can call it “anarchist democracy”. Professor Kinna has likewise argued that consensus decision-making allows the individuals to come together, to respect each other as equal voices, and eventually to agree about specific action, while it avoids the domination of minority by majority. She has nonetheless recognized the problems related to decision-making, e.g., when some organized, articulated, charismatic, or knowledgeable individuals dominate the structure of decision-making.⁹³ Contrary to this argument, Surowiecki has explained that group members “do not need to be dominated by exceptionally intelligent people in order to be smart.”⁹⁴ As for Professor Cadogan, he had believed that the secret of success of direct democracy depends on the smallness of the group, the closeness of relations, the shared experience, the comparability of talents and custom, trust, and loyalty.⁹⁵ Munson has found out that consensus decision-making is a naturally anarchist process, which thousands and thousands of groups around the world use. He has also admitted that real democracy is a difficult process, often needing meetings that continue for many hours.⁹⁶

Even though the concept of “Government” could be controversial in accordance with the spirit of anarchism, the definition of anarchism as “self-Government” should be close to direct democracy, which is realizable through social anarchism. In this sense, do the private agencies in anarcho-capitalism, as we will

later observe, remind us of representative democracy that the libertarians, including the rightist libertarians, despise and denounce as a totalitarian institution against individual freedom?

Finally, when they recognize, at least theoretically, certain rights of minorities such as aboriginal rights and LGBT rights in a so-called democratic State, there is no *right to be an anarchist*, because the State does not recognize any individual or communal right to exist outside its jurisdiction. Is the right to be an anarchist naturally controversial because it is eventually a Governmental right? Do we unfortunately need the State to recognize our anarchism because the existence of the latter goes at odds with that of the former? Has any State ever tolerated any libertarian community anywhere? The answers depend principally on Statelessness and State repression toward anarchist experiences as well.

2.4 Statelessness

There are three introductory notes to this section. Firstly, the problems of Statelessness philosophically concern the state of nature, as already analyzed, an always seductive concept for many highbrows.⁹⁷ Secondly, the appearance of State is younger than Statelessness. In this case, Professors Stringham and Miles have argued that “*Statelessness is far more common than most people believe,*” because it did not occur only in a few places in medieval Iceland or modern Somalia.⁹⁸ They have thus undermined the Governmental version of making history: “*If humans have lived without states for the vast majority of human history, how much sense does it make to say that states are something that cannot be avoided?*”⁹⁹ Thirdly, many Governments do not like to accurately count the Stateless people, because of political considerations. A study has nonetheless suggested that there globally exist around 11,000,000 people without a country to call their own.¹⁰⁰ For example, the Roma, described often as Stateless people, “*have been victimized for decades in parts of Europe,*”¹⁰¹ while many of the world’s Stateless individuals are victims of forced displacement and regarded as “no-rights people” living in legal limbo.¹⁰² Contrary to the visible minorities, a concept against human dignity, they are *legally invisible*.¹⁰³

If the State is connected to democracy and individual recognition through citizenship (i.e. “*the legal bond between a State and an individual*”),¹⁰⁴ a person who rejects State authority becomes automatically Stateless and has to consequently face all problems related to Statelessness: children – those born of Stateless parents become Stateless too –, marriage, identity, healthcare, education, housing, job, travel, and so on.¹⁰⁵ In other words, an individual rarely has any personality or identity outside a given State to recognize *exclusively* her and to *supposedly* protect her rights. These recognitions and protections, as Professor Martel has noticed, are the essence of Professor Arendt’s concern about “human rights”, since if

the individuals came along with citizenship, the Stateless individuals would not therefore count as humans to profit from rights.¹⁰⁶ In summary, Professor Benhabib found that Professor Arendt had already argued that Statelessness or the loss of nationality status is tantamount to the loss of all rights. The Stateless people are therefore deprived not only of their citizenship rights, but also of any human rights.¹⁰⁷ However, if human rights are supposedly *natural rights*, why does an individual lose them by becoming Stateless? If the States are the biggest violators of human rights (as they are really), who shall protect such an individual whose situation is caused by the State itself?

For instance, many Palestinians who have become Stateless after the bloody creation of the Israeli State in 1948 are looking forward to creating their own State according to the article 15 of the UDHR,¹⁰⁸ a right which is also defended by some anarchists who observe it as “self-determination”!¹⁰⁹ Price has struggled to explain this contradiction by arguing that support for self-determination depicts libertarian solidarity insofar as the anarchists defend the Palestinian decision-making that Palestinians want, although the anarchists would not themselves make such a decision. The anarchists similarly defend the liberty of workers to choose their own union, even though they are likely to disagree with the most actions of unions. They defend the legal right of people to vote against dictatorship, although they are against electoralism. They defend the legal right to divorce, although they advocate neither separation, nor bourgeois marriage. They should indeed defend the freedom of oppressed people to make choices, without necessarily agreeing with their choices, since the peoples and classes should learn to make their own choices.¹¹⁰ Goldman had already recognized that the Jews were not entitled to more rights than the Arabs, but for an ardent socialist to say that the Jews had no business in Palestine seemed to her rather a strange type of socialism.¹¹¹

From certain anarchist and criminologist points of view, the Jewish State, like all other States, was created through terrorism and plunder, and a Palestinian State would be another form of terrorism and systematic robbery, which implies a “victim to victimizer cycle”, as mentioned above. In this case, Rosengarten, a refugee from Nazi Germany, has wisely noticed that “*the Nazis could justify their crimes by a process of dehumanization as well as reinforcing a nationalistic ideology of the most grandiose proportions. Within this cycle of horror, Jews and Palestinians are the last victims of the Holocaust.*”¹¹² My comment would certainly bother the anarchists, Jewish as well as gentile ones. I however add that real peace and justice depend on the people of both sides to decide for and discuss their own existence, instead of relying on their politicians’ dirty and warlike policy. Herod has thus argued that to get rid of the Israeli State and all States everywhere would free up the whole region and the whole world for an abundance of diverse, autonomous, and

democratic communities. Jews and Palestinians would peacefully live side by side in their neighbourhoods and villages, as for hundreds of years before the coming of Zionism and the State of Israel.¹¹³

Albeit an individual has rarely any “legal” or “Governmental” existence, the article 15 of the UDHR specifies: “*Everyone has the right to a nationality. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.*” In reality, an individual is scarcely able to escape from the jurisdiction of the State, because everywhere there are the States whose citizenship presented as merely “*the right to have rights.*”¹¹⁴ The State, like a God, is omnipresent: “*I am a God who is everywhere and not in one place only.*” (Jeremiah 23:23)

An anarchist personality who abandons the State is subject to the mercy of politicians, cops, prosecutors, Judges, and lawyers deciding about her life according to the rights to identity, to healthcare, to work, to have sex,¹¹⁵ etc. It is very difficult, if not impossible, for her to live in a world bulging with the old States and new ones (e.g., the future Palestinian State), parallel to the explosion of nation-States absorbing Stateless nations!¹¹⁶ In this case, an anarchist individual looks like a sheep among the wolves, that is to say the States.

The States impose themselves upon us not only by the brutal and bloody force of the police, legal system, and army around the world, but also by aggrandizing the issues of Stateless people. They are the source of these issues, when ironically solicited to solve them by national, regional, and international laws. The *UN Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons* (1954), the *UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness* (1961), and the *CE Convention on the Avoidance of Statelessness in relation to State Succession* (2006) are few examples in this case.¹¹⁷ The article 14 of Convention on the Avoidance of Statelessness has accordingly highlighted the importance of international cooperation to prevent Statelessness in the cases of State succession.

Some people who defend the benefits of nationality may argue that a citizen profits from certain social and economic advantages (such as healthcare, education, and social welfare) in a State jurisdiction or in a so-called welfare State.¹¹⁸ I am against such an argument for three reasons.

Firstly, no States provides those advantages for all citizens in a satisfactory manner at all. For example, the \$626 monthly rate for an individual on welfare in Ontario remains still less than the \$663 that same individual would have received twenty years ago, while inflation has increased 44% since then.¹¹⁹ This miserable amount of money is not even enough to rent a good room in Toronto in which TTC metropass costs monthly \$133.75 for a single person in 2014. As for so-called “food banks” in Toronto according to my experience, it mostly distributes “expired food” or poor food quality and quantity among the

poor. Poverty in Canada has continued to affect some segments of the population, especially the children. For instance, 38% of those who received food from food banks in 2011 were children and youth under the age of 18, while between 10% and 14.3% of children or 967,000 are growing up in poverty in Canada.¹²⁰ The social programs have really failed in many domains in Canada, which is self-satisfied of its social welfare.¹²¹ In this case, I can only mention that drugs are too expensive, taxed, and not covered for all people, and that the educational system is so expensive and mostly reserved for the wealthy people.¹²² Canada is indeed the only Western country that has founded universal coverage for physician services and hospital care, but excluding medically necessary prescription drugs.¹²³

Secondly, those advantages are not free at all, because we, ordinary citizens, pay for them by taxation, regardless of our income.

Thirdly, as we will observe, all States are too eager to spend generously for militarism and bureaucracy, which are indeed the welfare of the Statesmen/women under the back of taxpayers, rather than for the social programs, less and less guaranteed under the pressure of practicing a minimal State in its Reaganian-Thatcherian style. Professor Higgs has accordingly argued, *“Government spending – whether on our current armed forces and their more than 800 foreign bases or on “green” energy and other government-favored projects – does not produce prosperity.”*¹²⁴ As for US wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, they cost \$6,000,000,000,000 or \$75,000 for every American household.¹²⁵ Professor Cronin has found that American military approaches have been by far the best funded throughout the decade, since of the \$1,121,000,000,000 spent in the so-called Global War on Terror by 2010, about \$1,100,000,000,000 or 94%, has gone to the Department of Defense.¹²⁶ Should they be too much for a country in which, for example, the healthcare reforms traditionally turn around the budget deficit or budget cuts?¹²⁷

2.5 The State and Human Nature

The political problems concerning human nature are not certainly new in philosophy, since there is really a long term fashion among the philosophers to struggle to prove a link between human nature and the necessity of Government. If human beings are not angels at all, the State aggrandizes these problems rather than reducing them, because it preciously provides a bunch of psychopathic and narcissist personalities with a great opportunity for an extreme brutalization of humanity and naturality as well.

2.5.1 An Old Question in Political Philosophy

Due to the malignity of human nature, there is a very old question in political philosophy about the necessity of a centralized authority to prevent us from submerging in the state of nature in which we rape, torture, kill, or steal from each other. In fact, the Statists believe in the badness of human nature needing authority to control human beings, while the anarchists optimistically believe in the goodness or, at least, social aspect of humankind.¹²⁸ Sheehan has accordingly argued that human nature is an evolutive or perfectible concept, since “*our nature is inseparable from our practices, social and economic and so on, and when our practices change, so too does our sense of our human nature.*”¹²⁹ This could also remind us of Brecht’s *The Exception and the Rule*:

*“Let nothing be called natural
In an age of bloody confusion,
Ordered disorder, planned caprice,
And dehumanized humanity, lest all things
Be held unalterable!”*¹³⁰

As for Professor Rothbard, he has taken a more realistic approach by describing humankind as a mixture of evil and good, criminal and cooperative tendencies.¹³¹ Panclasta had already believed that “*Man is the most sociable animal and at the same time the most individualistic.*”¹³²

Although the Statists have developed many schools of thought, there are two major groups of Statism as far as despotism and liberalism are concerned. On the one side, there are some highbrows believing that the masses are stupid and must consequently be subject to few elites’ governance (e.g., Plato’s philosopher-kings). On the other side, certain intellectuals think that the masses will *gradually* reach democracy under the yoke of few politicians.¹³³ Both beliefs rely somehow on the dictatorship of a band of initiated individuals, observable to some extent among certain social anarchists (such as Bakunin’s *invisible dictatorship* or *collective dictatorship*).¹³⁴ This form of anarchist dictatorship is actually sharing a common ground with the dictatorship of the proletariat defended by Marxism, since it implies that only few anarchist elites would be able to direct our State society toward a libertarian society, by either a *revolution* according to a leftist approach, or an *evolution* according to a rightist approach. After achieving this direction, we obviously need them again because they would explain the principles of a libertarian society to us. In summary, the State’s people would need this anarchist caste until they arrive at their maturity to decide independently about their affairs.

As we have somewhat seen in two examples, the Stanford and BBC Prisons, authority provides some great opportunities for the wildest aspects of human nature to satisfy their evil desires: mass murder, war, torture, rape, forced displacement, forced robbery (tax), expropriation, espionage, and punishment. I think that nothing is worse than injustice imposed by authority, which mostly appears through the sophisticated instruments of Government, with almost absolute irresponsibility and impunity. Étienne-Gabriel Morelly, Jean Meslier, and Rousseau have accordingly argued that humankind “*is naturally good but depraved by existing institutions.*”¹³⁵ We will later see how certain scholars profit from academic wealth and fame to preach the contingent responsibility and punishment of Statesmen/women among their colleagues and students.

2.5.2 The State’s Effects on Human Nature

The State certainly complicates or exacerbates many problems of human as well as natural existences, but it is not the only problematic source. Human history has already shown that human beings are extremely dangerous and greedy animals, with or without centralized power: murder, shooting even in the education system (related also to the problems of politics, gun legislation, and corporate interests),¹³⁶ mutilation, torture, armed robbery, exploitation, slavery, and so on. For instance, although mass murder is profitably a Governmental business during war (civil or international), revolution, or *règlement de comptes*, killing has never been limited to political authority. In this sense, Professor Comfort believes that the conflict between individuals and societies “*is as old as the hills,*” and it is not thus “*the product of the Industrial Revolution, socialism, fascism, or any other contemporary cause.*”¹³⁷ The same could be true when it comes to other individual and social problems (e.g., drug addiction, sexual violence, prostitution, blackmail, corruption, nepotism, and cruelty to animals and plants), which will not automatically disappear in a decentralized community. Human existence has perfectly proved that there is no limit for either goodness or badness of human beings. Bose has accordingly noticed that although Bertrand Russell criticized the anarchists for their “overoptimistic” ideal about the goodness of human nature in anarchist order, an anarchist revolution is successful only when it ignites new emotions and generates new values that act as countermeasures against the germs of the current order.¹³⁸

If education can undermine or destroy those problems, as many anarchists (e.g., Godwin, Kropotkin, Read, Goodman, and Ward) have fervently defended, many Professors have proved the opposite by their corruption. As a Persian proverb puts, “*a savant robber steals the better good.*” Moreover, if the wage slavery (\$11.25 an hour)¹³⁹ and unemployment are problematic in Ontario, the Government of this province is too

generous for its civil servants including the Professors, when it is ironically crying for unemployment rate as well as \$16,000,000,000, \$9,800,000,000, and \$12,500,000,000 deficits respectively in 2012, 2013, and 2014.¹⁴⁰

Furthermore, some scientists, supported by a band of political and economic elites, have turned existence into the worst nightmare when providing the Statesmen/women with the evil power of systematically repressing and destroying, such as weapons (electroshock weapons, nuclear weapons, weapon of mass destruction, chemical weapons, etc.) and torture technologies.¹⁴¹ The more science progresses, the more the art of governing becomes complex and destructive. Mingled with the industrial, military, and political powers, the scientists have really gone too far in making existence too meaningless and too cheap by unceasingly creating the tools of mass suffering and destruction in the age of mass society. They do everything for the sake of certain narcissistic and psychopathic rulers in order to obtain or beg buck, medals, awards, prestige, or job in our deadly life. Professor Comfort said something about “the indiscriminate bombardment of Germany” in *Criminal Lunacy Exposed* in 1945 that remains alive and burning. “*Apart from the fantastic irresponsibility of scientists,*” he argued, “*who are prepared to put such a weapon into the hands of our present rulers, the responsibility for seeing that no political or military figure associated with this action shall be permitted to remain rests upon us.*”¹⁴² Militarism has actually realized all possibilities of educating and practicing mass destruction and murder in the frame of Statism. Could we speak about “intellectual crimes” as we do about State crimes? Could we describe two types of *intellectual criminals*? On the one side, some highbrows provide the materials of conquest, domination, and destruction of existences for State criminals. The nuclear and chemical scientists are typical examples in this case. On the other side, other highbrows trivialize, romanticize, justify, legalize, or defend State violence, crimes, and criminals as well, without accountability.¹⁴³ Can we call it *violence laundering*? For instance, the legalist philosophers place in this category of intellectual criminals.

In short, ordinary or unintelligent individuals commit crimes on a small scale (e.g., shoplifting, trespassing, and public intoxication) while being usually humiliated and punished. On the contrary, the smart individuals, i.e. politicians and scholars, commit highly violent and very profitable crimes on both national and international scales while profiting from the unlimited protection of journalists and legal professionals, whose job is to detect and denounce small criminals, mingled with public amnesia or sympathy. Moreover, some bands of professional murderers and robbers have gathered regionally and internationally in order to support each other and improve their crimes at the regional and international levels. For example, they are UN, which is really “the United States” because it is made of the States

despite its title speaking of “Nations” and its ... agenda for rights diabolized by undemocratic veto power in the UN Security Council,¹⁴⁴ CE, EU, OAS, African Union, Organization of Islamic Cooperation, etc. If one State is *per se* criminal, a group of States constitutes *a fortiori* a band of institutionalized gangsters whose violence is too intense. In fact, political authority at both national and international levels is not really a solution for the badness of human nature, but this complicates it by intensifying unlimitedly human evils.

Finally, the Statesmen/women come from our societies and not from other planets at all! Although they are usually born and educated in the aristocratic families and communities, they share the ordinary people's natural cruelty and wildness towards each other and the environment as well. Even the colonial puppets, who wildly exploit their own fellows, were born in their own racialized or colonialized countries. Although there are countless atrocities committed or managed by the politicians, many human beings are taking pleasure in imposing pains upon others and animals as well (starvation and torture, among many others), while having already lost all senses of sympathy for victims and revolt against persecutors, which is a type of “moral amnesia”.¹⁴⁵ Therefore, there will be no hope for any community to establish a peaceful and pleasant environment, unless humanity changes its attitude toward itself and nature as well. As long as we fight against oppression and injustice toward nature as a whole, we will remain humankind seriously thinking about the harmful results of State extremity.

2.6 Extremity

Legal anarchism argues that the State is perfectly able to cause two types of extremity: *happiness* and *suffering*. Rooted in regalian rights and privileges, these extremities stem from State gigantic materials and forces constructed upon human and non-human flesh and blood.

Extreme happiness concerns Statesmen/women, politicians, and their clans in their “pursuit of happiness”, as constitutionally guaranteed by the US Declaration of Independence. President Obama accordingly claimed: “*We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.*” “*That is our generation's task – to make these words, these rights, these values of life, and liberty, and the pursuit of happiness real for every American.*”¹⁴⁶

On the contrary, extreme suffering mercilessly concerns the poor and laypeople. They must be indoctrinated, taxed, robbed, confiscated, tortured, punished, oppressed, controlled, governed, enslaved, humiliated, killed, or, if necessary, sent to war in order to massacre, injury, and plunder in the name of God, religion, patriotism, national defense, national security, or democracy according to circumstances. State

violence certainly concerns nature as well, since the States are imposing directly or indirectly suffering upon flora and fauna.

We should also keep in mind that it is very hard to know the exact scale and intensity of extreme luxury and violence of the States. They are secrecy, manipulation, and intimidation related naturally to political life, on the one side, the complicity of the scholars whose existence depends on preserving the status quo and continued State power, on the other side. The current information about State extremity indeed constitutes only the tip of the iceberg of Governmental pleasure, corruption, and crime. As a matter of fact, all statistics and studies about this type of political benefits and crimes would basically remain uncertain – contrary to those about so-called “street crime” whose ruthless repression is a Governmental business –, when every objection would be systematically regarded as “communist”, “Marxist”, or, worst of all, “anarchist”. Moreover, technology has come to help the politicians to maximally enjoy existence and to abominably impose pains over their fellows and nature on both national and international scales, mostly in the name of law and order order, while considerably improving their lifestyle.

2.6.1 Extreme Happiness: The Luxury of “Civil Servants”

All States have historically provided unlimited and generous social, economic, political, and sexual benefits not only for their so-called “civil servants”, but also for the unknown number of their family (especially in the framework of *the First Lady Syndrome*),¹⁴⁷ clan members, mistresses, lovers, flatters, friends, courtesans, and guards in a loyalist manner.¹⁴⁸ The best and extremely expensive incomes, hotels, palaces, houses, sexy staff and security, transportation (e.g., presidential aircrafts and cars),¹⁴⁹ food, cloth, healthcare, and vacation are typical examples in this case. Nevertheless, most of these benefits and advantages during life as well as after death have rarely been constitutional or legal.

Close to them, with their comfortable salaries, socio-economic prestige and advantages (e.g., indemnities, but really for what?),¹⁵⁰ the Judges are *legally* welcomed animals to submit us to the law according to their desires and own interpretations. The lifestyle of legal professionals, particularly the Judges, is too far from the reality of ordinary people: rent, housing, wage slavery, the cost of food and cloth, tuition fees, insurance, discrimination, and so on. As a result, they have scarcely any idea about how an ordinary individual must survive inside as well as outside the jungle of our societies. For instance, Judge Judy Sheindlin, a real judicial actress in a Hollywoodian manner and a wonderful businesswoman, makes \$123,000 per day, which means she is the highest paid TV personality, thanks to earning \$47,000,000 annually to rule her judicial kingdom. In short, she is one of the richest 20 women in entertainment,¹⁵¹ and certainly number one in legal entertainment. The Federal Judicial Center, which is the education and

research agency of the US federal courts, reported in 2005 that the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court received respectively \$223,500 and \$213,900 per year, while the district Judges annually received \$174,000.¹⁵² As for the Ontario Judges, the Government of Ontario reported in 2010 that a Judge received \$250,149.81 as salary and \$3,708.44 as taxable benefits, while a Justice of the Peace respectively received more than \$100,000 and \$200.¹⁵³ However, who really knows the exact salary, benefits, and lifestyle (car, travel, sexual abuse, rape, and so on) of those Godlike people that we prestigiously call “Honorable Judge” or “Your Honor”? For example, the total bill for 33 permanent English judges’ residences between 2009 and 2013 “*was a staggering £20,235,054.*”¹⁵⁴

In order not to make this thesis longer, legal anarchism only mentions some cases about the luxury existence of the heads of the States. It avoids thus giving other examples of royal families, the Members of Parliament, politicians, Judges, prosecutors, and police officers who enjoy a very comfortable life, thanks to the highly taxation of the citizens as well as corruption. For Professor Martel, this depicts how Statism and capitalism go hand in hand. These heads, their clans, gangs, and pets are freely living without paying any type of property tax, which their serfs have to pay, in the highly expensive castles and palaces that have become the tourist attractions for political and aesthetic pilgrimages: White House, 10 Downing Street, 24 Sussex Drive, Élysée Palace, Putin’s Palace,¹⁵⁵ Kremlin, etc. For example, Eric Bolling and Sean Hannity of Fox News offered to pay \$74,000 for at least one week of White House tours in March 2013.¹⁵⁶ There is unsurprisingly detailed information about the *US Presidential Pets* or the *First Pets à l’image des First Ladies*!¹⁵⁷ We ironically witness that certain politicians, especially the so-called socialists, are traditionally crying for the shortage as well as expensiveness of housing in their serfdoms!

In one case, Halchin, a specialist in American National Government, has provided some good examples. In 2012, Air Force showed that the cost per hour for the President’s 747 was \$179,750. First Lady and the Vice President use an aircraft different from the President’s 747 insofar as the former principally fly on a C-40 – the C-32 is an alternate – while the latter principally flies on a C-32. In 1999, the General Accounting Office estimated that the incremental costs, which include per diem and related expenses, of presidential trips in 1998 to China, Africa, and Chile were at least \$18,800,000, \$42,800,000, and \$10,500,000 respectively. In 2000, the Office estimated that the Department of Defense spent at a minimum \$292,000,000 to provide fixed-wing airlift and air-refueling support for 159 White House foreign trips from January 1, 1997 to March 31, 2000: 131 by the President, 20 by the First Lady, and 8 by the Vice President. These estimates did not however include per diem and other travel-related costs.¹⁵⁸

In 2013, the President, First Lady, and their daughters traveled to Africa and Honolulu at taxpayer expense, while their flights on Air Force One cost \$15,888,585. The Obamas' trip to Africa cost over \$2,000,000 in lodging, entertainment, and security expenses alone, which was a part of the total cost of approximately \$100,000,000 taxpayers spent on the President's vacation. In 2014, the First lady, her daughters, and an entourage of 70 support staff arrived in China for a week-long visit, labeled part tourism and part soft diplomacy. The Obamas have been staying in the hotel's presidential suite carrying a price tag of about \$8,400 per night. The cost of President Obama's whirlwind trip to South Africa for the funeral of a Government Godfather, i.e. Mandela, exceeded \$11,000,000 without including the air fare.¹⁵⁹

In fact, the American taxpayers are annually spending \$1,400,000,000 for *the pursuit of happiness* by President Obama, presented as an iconic personality of "progress", "hope", "change", and "socialism",¹⁶⁰ on everything. This means salary, housing, staffing (e.g., hundreds of Secret Service agents and a team of doctors), flying, family, "first dog" (Bo costs nearly US \$100,000 per year), etc. In comparison to the UK, the British taxpayers spent just \$57,800,000 on the royal family.¹⁶¹ President Obama is certainly a big example among many other American politicians who cost a lot for the taxpayers.¹⁶²

Former Prime Minister Harper and former French President Sarkozy can provide other costly examples of very generous Government support for the pursuit of happiness by the Statesmen and their clans.

The paint of the Polaris CC-150 military aircraft of Harper cost the taxpayers an additional \$50,000. However, sending his armored limo to India in November 2012 was much more expensive: \$1,061,448. In 2014, his January 18-25 trip – in which he and a delegation of more than 200 people made stops in Israel, Jordan, and the West Bank – totally cost \$2,117,432 for the taxpayers, and more than half the cost was for accommodation. His cost of RCMP personal security team has increased 122% between 2006 and 2014 to reach almost \$20,000,000 annually.¹⁶³ And we are waiting for the Canadian mass media to maybe estimate how much approximately Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, his seductive lifestyle, and big clan would cost for the Canadian taxpayers.¹⁶⁴

According to Paris Match, the French State provided Sarkozy with 10 bodyguards costing over €720,000 annually. As a former head of State, he will also receive pensions and allowances reaching an estimated €22,150 per month after his 60th birthday. As a President, he was splashing out £10,000 daily on food and £200,000 yearly on flowers while keeping 121 cars under his Palace. His garage with insurance and fuel bills were annually costing £100,000 and £275,000 respectively. He was flying around in an Airbus A330 on which he lavished a £215,000,000 refit. He was spending £80,000 on day trips and £1000 daily on

newspapers. His second wife, Carla Bruni-Sarkozy, had a staff of eight at the Elysée Palace costing €36,448 monthly, i.e. more expensive than Socialist President Hollande's ex-partner, Valérie Trierweiler, who owned five workers at the Elysée Palace costing €19,742 a month. As for his eldest son, Pierre Sarkozy (known as DJ Mosey), President Sarkozy spent €39,200 of the French taxpayers' money to repatriate him from Ukraine on a Falcon 50 transport jet, part of a fleet dedicated to presidential and ministerial missions, after his food poisoning in January 2012. Sarkozy was serving guests a red wine bottle being worth £160. Keeping him in style costs still the French taxpayers €2,600,000 annually, while his successor, President Hollande, has dragged the French people through an unprecedented shrinking of the State budget.¹⁶⁵ Like their U.S. counterparts, Sarkozy, Chirac, and Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, the three living French ex-Presidents or political fossils, are each entitled to an office, staff, and a lifetime of security at the expense of the French taxpayers. Finally, is Sarkozy a criminal? Will the *Bettencourt affair* and illegal campaign funds (\$50,000,000) from Muammar Gaddafi reveal his corruption and criminality? Who knows more about his other affairs or crimes?¹⁶⁶ Like Chirac, his criminal predecessor, he is *constitutionally untouchable* according to the articles 67 and 68 of the French Constitution.

In spite of preaching democracy and pretending to live close to the ordinary people or the people who vote for them, the Statesmen/women live too much far from these people. They absolutely know nothing about poverty, except those who have unusually come from a poor background, although they are currently enjoying the extreme richness of a nation. Modesty has no meaning in political life at all, unless for propaganda in order to attire the poor while satisfying narcissist and authoritarian desires of some individuals to govern us. Khamenei who has never ever stopped to preach the ethos of simplicity and modesty to the world and of fighting against *nafs-e-ammara* (i.e. ego) is a simple example among others. They estimate that his criminal Empire values US \$95,000,000,000.¹⁶⁷ However, it seems that corruption is perfectly generalized in the so-called Third World, but mostly institutionalized at the top of the State in the so-called First World.

The politicians also cost too much in their majestic elections for conquering power under the pretext that the citizens exercise their right to vote, which is indeed the right to be enslaved. For example, Sarkozy's campaign for the Elysée cost \$28,000,000 in 2007, while Obama's quest for the White House cost more than \$650,000,000 in 2008.¹⁶⁸ According to the Center for Responsive Politics, the US elections cost \$3,643,942,915 and \$6,285,557,223 respectively in 2010 and 2012.¹⁶⁹ They reported that the cost of the Canadian election was \$279,700,000 in 2006, compared to \$277,800,000 in 2004 and \$200,600,000 in 2000.¹⁷⁰ As for the election expenses in 2008, Elections Canada reported \$286,200,000.¹⁷¹ The 41st

General Election in May 2011 would later cost \$291,000,000.¹⁷² As for the 41st Ontario General Election in June 2014, Elections Ontario has estimated that it would approximately cost \$90,000,000, in comparison to \$79,251,589 in 2011.¹⁷³

As far as the total national campaign expenditure by all political parties across the UK is concerned, the Electoral Commission reported that it had been £31,500,000 in 2010, around £10,800,000 lower than in 2005, but £4,800,000 above the amount spent in 2001.¹⁷⁴ Beckford has estimated that the General Election of 2010 with its 29,700,000 voters cost £85,000,000 equating to just £2.86 a vote. He has also compared that the election of police commissioners was more expensive because only 5,340,000 people (one in six of the electorate) voted in the poll costing £75,000,000 to administer or £14 a vote.¹⁷⁵ Prime Minister David Cameron had already believed that police elections would cost £25,000,000!¹⁷⁶

Moreover, the taxpayers have to pay heavily not only for the living life of the politicians including their mistresses and sex scandals – a term usually used to avoid speaking about *sex crimes* –,¹⁷⁷ but also for the salvation of their souls after death: *State funerals*, even for the war criminals.¹⁷⁸

For example, William Jefferson Hague, the Foreign Secretary, declared in 2013 that British taxpayers could well afford the estimated £10,000,000 cost of Thatcher's funeral, which foresaw £3,750 to reimburse the cost of flying back for all members of parliament who wanted to attend her funeral but found themselves abroad. The former British Prime Minister's ceremonial funeral with full military honors would eventually cost around £4,000,000, which makes it cheaper than the funerals of Queen Elizabeth the Queen Mother (£7,500,000) in 2002 and Princess Diana (up to £7,700,000 in today's money) in 1997.¹⁷⁹

The State funeral of her political soulmate, Ronald Reagan advocating less State in economic regulation but more in political and military adventures, more imperialism and militarism, cost much more. It was more than \$400,000,000 to purify his dirty soul for all evil acts that he had already done in the world: the wars and massacres in Nicaragua and Guatemala, and Irangate, among many other evils.¹⁸⁰ Parry has accordingly concluded: "*while fragile democracies in places like Argentina and Guatemala have sought some level of accountability for these crimes against humanity, the United States continues to honor the principal political leader who aided, abetted and rationalized these atrocities across the entire Western Hemisphere, the 40th President of the United States, Ronald Reagan.*"¹⁸¹

In 2013, Mandela's death has generated another mega and very expensive State funeral in which many political gangsters of the world have happily participated on the taxpayers' money, even though South Africa is seriously suffering from discrimination, poverty, corruption, and criminality deepened by a one-party State or Mandela's political fiefdom, i.e. the African National Congress.¹⁸² A South African

official has affirmed that *“this mega funeral is set to be the biggest that the world has ever seen.”*¹⁸³ By the way, how much does this State mega funeral cost for the South African taxpayers? At this mega funeral, President Jacob Zuma came under fire for spending \$20,000,000 in taxpayer money on what he has claimed were security upgrades to his home in a country whose poverty line is set at \$43 monthly, and 47% of citizens live below it.¹⁸⁴

The political and economic benefits and bonus are coming legally or illegally from the pocket of taxpayers, friends, criminal organizations, or private sectors that are looking for some benefits from the Statesmen/women that they support. These benefits and bonus are apparently justified (even for a prophet of spiritualism such as the 14th Dalai Lama),¹⁸⁵ because their superiority and job require it! The people do not really care about knowing that there is rarely any constitutional law or any other sacred law giving those amazing and too expensive benefits and bonus to the Statesmen/women, or even less to their clans. For instance, in his Second Inaugural Address on January 21, 2013, President Obama worshiped the American Constitution and democracy while emphasizing the inexistence of constitutional privileges: *“Each time we gather to inaugurate a President we bear witness to the enduring strength of our Constitution. We affirm the promise of our democracy. (...) freedom is a gift from God, it must be secured by His people here on Earth. The patriots of 1776 did not fight to replace the tyranny of a king with the privileges of a few or the rule of a mob. They gave to us a republic, a government of, and by, and for the people, entrusting each generation to keep safe our founding creed.”*¹⁸⁶ His inauguration cost around \$180,000,000, and private donations to President Obama's Inaugural Committee paid the parties and balls totaling roughly \$45,000,000. The cost of the inaugural events has recently risen at roughly the same rate as inflation: \$158,000,000 in 2005 and \$173,000,000 in 2009, for example.¹⁸⁷ So, who has said that there are no constitutional privileges while affirming constitutional equality?

During all history, kings, queens, princes, princesses, presidents, prime ministers, and ministers, regardless of political ideologies and so-called “economic recession”, have enjoyed unlimited privileges and accumulated jobs (vs. the division of labour enforced upon the laypeople), i.e. the so-called *cumul des mandats*.¹⁸⁸ They are supposedly omniscient or polyvalent, contrary to an African proverb saying: *“A wise man never knows all, only fools know everything.”* Even their profits can be unconstitutional, mostly thanks to the capacity or stupidity of the masses that are eager to see and applaud them in any situation, such as snow, rain, and war. In reality, there are two things, i.e. militarism and the Statesmen/women's luxury, for which no State has ever been poor enough, regardless of recession and austerity programs, while it pretends to have many structural problems in establishing adequate jobs, welfare system, equality, and prosperity among its citizens. For example, the disclosed wealth of the top ten US senators was

\$1,830,000,000 in 2001, compared to \$133,000,000 in 1977.¹⁸⁹ Due to the billion dollars attached to the lifestyle of politicians who are both money-hungry and power-hungry, can we expect them to identify with the real-world problems of their citizens such as unemployment? There is no way!

President Obama is a great example in legal begging in the pursuit of happiness for himself and his clan as well. The Guardian has investigated campaign finance trips in this case, done at more than twice the rate of the President's two-term predecessors. They have aimed at helping Democrats to win in 2014 midterm elections. Obama has made 30 separate visits to wealthy donors since April 2013. His travels have held mostly in luxury hotels and private mansions across 10 cities, and required him to clock up more than 20,000 miles on Air Force One, which have approximately cost more than \$6,000,000 for the US taxpayers. Donors have typically paid up to a legal limit of \$32,400 to attend drinks receptions and private dinners with Obama, usually in a wealthy benefactor's home. Although party officials declined to disclose totally money raised, ticket prices and numbers of attendees have revealed a maximum of \$41,000,000 gathered through Obama's presence. Among the wealthy donors that the President and First Lady have met, we find businessmen, film producers, actresses, actors, and lawyers: Jose Mas Santos, Harvey Weinstein, Justin Timberlake, Tommy Hilfiger, Bob Iger, Haim Saban, Jeffrey Jacob Abrams, Mike Lombardo, Katie McGrath, etc.¹⁹⁰

Professor Martel has nevertheless argued that he is not sure if he would characterize the luxury of civil servants as extreme happiness. If it is extreme privilege and wealth, there is also a type of anxiety and alienation that stems from being one of the 1% too. For him, "*Rousseau is very good on the alienation of the rich, not that we should feel sorry for them or excuse them.*" Professor Martel has clarified that we cannot however find a place where Rousseau came out and said that the rich suffered too, but it is very clear in *Discourse on Inequality* and the *Social Contract* that we are all alienated under our modern conditions.¹⁹¹ Because of amour-propre showing that we love ourselves only via the opinion of others, nobody is actually self-sufficient and the rich are accordingly "*even more removed from themselves because they are more dependent than anyone on others to mediate the world for them (Hegel says the same thing in his discussion of the Master/Slave relationship and Marx took this idea from both of them).*"

2.6.2 The Extreme Suffering: Organized and Unlimited Violence

Human as well as non-human pains become unlimited and intense when they are *legally* organized, systematically and technologically applied over the powerless people and the environment as well. However, the violence of the State can be *illegally* imposed. In summary, the scale and intensity of violent

activities attributed to or covered up by the State officers and their accomplices pass extensively all levels of individual, communal, regional, international, or existential brutality, since State violence is not limited to human existence at all, it affects non-human existence as well.

2.6.2.1 The Scale, Intensity, and Legitimacy of State Violence

First of all, there is no machine to measure the huge suffering of humans and non-humans stemming from the aggressiveness of State apparatus; let *la raison d'État* or *le secret d'État* work once again to destroy whole existence!

Then, the quantity and quality of political violence, i.e. brutal activities directly or indirectly attributed to or dissimulated by State organization, remain either unknown or poorly documented, thanks to State censorship and to the complicity of scholars. In other words, we are ignorant about the quality and quantity of the destruction and pollution of humanity and nature by the State officers. As a result, we do not know how many humans as well as non-humans have been or will be subject legally or illegally to State crimes. For instance, there are lethal or cruel test and training, pollution, genocide, political murder, extrajudicial killing, forced disappearance, death squad, intoxication by the chemical and nuclear weapons, genetic problems, rape, plunder, expropriation, taxation or organized robbery, slavery, corvée, deportation, forced displacement, labour camp, concentration camp, internment, kidnapping, hijacking, cruel and degrading punishment, and extrajudicial punishment. The State officers have committed these brutal activities in the name of God, national security, civilization, prosperity, peace, justice, democracy, public order, the law of war, or simply law.

For example, law Professors Banks and Raven-Hansen have stated, "*The September 11 terrorist attacks on the United States renewed calls for this country to adopt assassination as an instrument of national security policy.*"¹⁹² As for Professors Laffey and Weldes, they have argued that the "*war on terrorism has been waged in the name of civilisation.*"¹⁹³ However, the States are perfectly able to help each other when it comes to terrorism and war. For instance, several Governments are conducting an extensive, expensive, and integrated effort either to keep President Bashar Al-Assad in power or to shut him down for the sake of another Government.¹⁹⁴

The *scale* and *intensity* of pains become unlimited when they are *legally* and *systematically* organized and imposed upon the individuals, groups, communities, or the whole of society internally or internationally. In this regard, the State has undoubtedly passed all thresholds by its very organized and sophisticated violence, particularly war, invasion, or occupation. The element of "legitimacy" is thus

important, since the major difference between the State and another organized crime group (e.g., Cosa Nostra) stems from the fact that the power of imposing suffering is legalized in the former, contrary to the latter, but any criminal group may become legalized after any revolution or change in Government system. All States have hitherto enjoyed causing the worst pains with absolute impunity, except in the case of the loser or conquered State. These systematic pains are produced in the frame of the Constitution, international law, or even without any legitimacy at all.

In short, the Statesmen/women are subjecting us to these pains in the course of their legitimate activities, mostly reserved for the *Northbound* of the world ("*les Bons*"), or terroristic ones, usually used for the *Southbound* of the world ("*les Méchants*"). For instance, American and Israeli imperialism, occupation, and apartheid are called "democracy", when the Iranian Government's ambition to export the Islamic Revolution and to pursue missiles and weapons of mass destruction (WMD) are called "the axis of evil".¹⁹⁵ The State is nonetheless able to force brutality in all illegal manners, since there is really no independent and powerful institution to pursue, judge, and eventually punish State brutality.

Moreover, the intensity and generality of State crimes prevents us from focusing on demanding the punishment of all guilty politicians, since there are many white-collar gangsters around the world. They mushroom one after another.¹⁹⁶ We have neither power, nor money, nor time, nor energy to bring all of them to justice, and we know that the legal system, at both national and international levels, is itself one part of the problem. As for so-called "Islamic terrorism" supposedly targeting the Western world, it curiously attacks only the people rather than the politicians who protect themselves very well.¹⁹⁷

The creation, continuation, and death of the States depend on systematic violence or State crimes.¹⁹⁸ Professor Agamben has accordingly argued that sovereign historically has the power of death and life.¹⁹⁹ As a result, "*State crime seems inevitable*," Professor Martin argues, "*as long as there are states*."²⁰⁰ Professor Aydinli has nonetheless argued that violence and conflict do not clearly emerge with the creation of States, but in a pre-State environment. The main examples of violence and conflict are naturally more "transnational", since they largely appear at the individual as well as societal levels.²⁰¹

In this case, legal anarchism takes a Weberian approach: "*the monopoly of the legitimate use of physical force*". The State has legitimately monopolized almost all uses of extreme violence not only inside, but also outside a specific territory on a small or large scale, on the one side. The technologists have come to magnify abominably political violence *tous azimuts*, on the other side. Thanks to technology, the State has consequently increased its evil power inside as well as outside its jurisdiction. For example, a so-called democratic State is struggling to crush *WikiLeaks* as a threat to its national security,²⁰² when a totalitarian

one (a so-called “Rogue State” or “Pariah State”)²⁰³ has already created the *cyber-police* (“*Fata*”), also for preserving its national security.²⁰⁴ In this type of repression, i.e. cyber or Internet repression, there is no line between the security of politicians and that of the people at all, when the “Internet police”, “cyber police”, “Web police”, or “Web censorship police” have been created to threat, arrest, imprison, torture, or kill the *cyber-dissidents*. In other words, State repression has already reached the netizens or cybercitizens: *Political repression of cyber-dissidents*.²⁰⁵ In spite of the extreme pains stemming from technology and enacted by the Statesmen/women and their accomplices, the technologists have not been punished yet, maybe because of the freedom of research in a world in which we are nothing better than laboratory mice! However, the good news is that we, all dissidents around the world, continue to fight against cyber repression as well as other aspects of State oppression. In this case, *hacktivism* is a type of resistance to or fight against overregulation and overcriminalization.²⁰⁶

2.6.2.2 The Overregulation and Overcriminalization of Individuality and Sociality

According to legal anarchism, the State society requires two increasing or unlimited elements: the extreme rules and the extreme sanctions. Legal anarchism recognizes that the phenomenon of extreme regulation and criminalization concerns also non-humans (e.g., the environmental laws) and their freedoms and rights consequently. This dissertation cannot unfortunately cope with this phenomenon, if it hopes to stay in a reasonable length.

All States actually rely on regulating all existence and nonexistence as well through all types of sanction. The criminal law certainly occupies an eminent place in this situation. Overregulation and overcriminalization appear not only in the individual, familial, communal, and national levels, but also at the regional and international levels through the inter-Governmental codes and institutions. For instance, some law Professors have recently argued that British regulatory law is increasingly shaped by a European perspective, which does not comfortably fit with the common law traditions while being slow to reply fresh demands.²⁰⁷ The more a State supposedly becomes modern and plural, the more it tries to control and punish its subjects.²⁰⁸ Professor Durkheim thus stated, “*severe punishments are found more frequently in relatively differentiated societies, while simple societies are more likely to be characterized by lenient forms.*”²⁰⁹

The overregulating and sanctioning of all existence generate the question about the place of freedoms and rights, or whether there is any existential liberty or right in an extremely regulated society.

2.6.2.2.1 The Extreme Rules

The legal system is the oldest and the most important Governmental institution that is carcinogenically enacting systematic pains against the individual and community in the frame of national law and recently international law. A modern or postmodern State without overregulation and overcriminalization of human as well as non-human lives does not make sense at all. Overregulation or overcriminalization is not however a new phenomenon. For instance, Constant pointed out well certain causes of overregulation of the society in 1815, which means that the proliferation of the laws adulterates the lawgiver in connection with two naturally human inclinations: *“the need for him to act and the pleasure he gets from believing himself necessary.”*²¹⁰ In fact, he parcels *“out human existence, by right of conquest, like Alexander’s generals sharing the world.”*²¹¹ In this sense, the Supreme Court of the USA long ago stated, *“The sovereignty of a State extends to everything which exists by its own authority or is introduced by its permission.”*²¹²

The State, like divine power, is actually omnipresent in our lives and deaths; it even penetrates into our bedrooms and controls our sexual organs from childhood to seniorhood: *“surely I am with you always, to the very end of the age.”* (Matthew 28:20) *“Go and proclaim everywhere the kingdom of God.”* (Luke 9:60) The State is really God directing humanity as well as nature; all belongs to it, nothing less: *“The earth is the Lord’s, and everything in it, the world, and all who live in it.”* (Psalm 24:1) According to this approach, the State is definitively welcome to regulate our existence before birth (e.g., regulating mothers’ lives), during life (bank regulation, among many others), and after death (the regulation of wills and cemeteries, for example) for the sake of our salvation against ourselves!

It is not therefore by chance that the State regulates or prohibits even suicide (e.g., assisted suicide), which would be mostly an individual choice in the face of misfortune or existential suffering. Professor Szasz has accordingly argued that the traditional religious worldview regards God (i.e. the Creator) as the only legitimate power to decide about life and death, while the modern medical view regards the State as therapist. As a result, the law has enabled some professionals to lock people up if their behaviour is supposedly suicidal.²¹³ Any dissident attitude could equally be blasphemous for the State officers in our overregularized communities, which indeed symbolizes *“lèse-majesté against God”*.²¹⁴

Professor Black’s approach to law as “a quantitative variable” in space and time is significant in our case. He argues that the quantity of law is observable throughout the scope and number of obligations, prohibitions, and other standards to which human beings are subject, on the one side, and the rate of legislation, adjudication, and litigation, on the other side.²¹⁵ Professors Williams and Arrigo have judiciously

found out that the State generally responds to social problems by adding more law, which makes the entire system more complex and chaotic. The better response is thus to have *less law*.²¹⁶

For instance, Stossel has found out that shortly after President Obama's reelection, the "government has issued hundreds of new regulations. The bureaucrats never stop. There are now more than 170,000 pages of federal regulations."²¹⁷ The Israeli Government has already provided another example of the extreme regulation through a project called *Contraceptive Depo-Provera*. This project has indeed followed an experiment in the US State of Georgia between 1967 and 1978, during which 13,000 impoverished women were subject to the injections. Half of those American women were black. Many of them did not know that the injections constituted an experiment on their bodies. Some of them became sick and a few even died during the experiment.²¹⁸ Similar injections given to Ethiopian Jewish women have been a part of the Israeli State attitude vis-à-vis this group of immigrants. During the 1980s and 1990s, thousands of Ethiopian Jews spent several months or years in transit camps in Sudan and Ethiopia. Hundreds lost their lives en route to Israel, merely "because a country that is supposed to be a safe haven for Jews decided the time wasn't right, they couldn't all be absorbed together or they weren't Jewish enough – who had heard of black Jews?"²¹⁹ This policy of complete control over their lives, which started when they still lived in Ethiopia, "is unique to immigrants from that country and does not allow them to adjust to Israel."²²⁰ Forced or coerced sterilization, a form of "genocide" perpetrated by the State, is unfortunately by no means an isolated case at all.²²¹

Moreover, endless multiplication of norms (e.g., rights, principles, laws, codes, acts, decisions, recommendations, resolutions, covenants, and conventions) goes hand in hand with that of organizations, Governmental as well as so-called non-Governmental, responsible for enforcing or watching norms in every aspect of municipal, national, regional, and international existences.²²²

In short, there are endless norms regulating human and non-human lives, on the one hand, and institutions imposing these norms and dealing with them or playing "watchdog organizations", on the other hand.

2.6.2.2 The Extreme Sanctions

First of all, it is important to notice that extreme regulation, particularly in the CJS, has hitherto created a wealthy and effervescing terminology among the highbrows and elites when having blurred, on the one side, the lines among three Governmental powers, and, on the other side, the branches of law, such as the civil law and criminal law. For example, legal anarchism mentions *police State*, *regulatory State*, *judicialization*, *juridification*, *juridification of social spheres*, *juridification of the social world*, *legal explosion*, *flood of norms*, *post-interventionist law*, *overregulation*, *hypercriminalization*, *overcriminalization*,

*overcriminalization of conduct, overfederalization of criminal law, excessive punishment, overpunishment, overpunishing, and mass incarceration.*²²³ In fact, the State is tirelessly criminalizing our existence and the environment as well. It hotly loves to penalise everything, and consequently punishes every type of violence from the parent's slap to rape.²²⁴

The criminal law positions itself at the top of painful institutions, since it increasingly functions by overcriminalization, which matches a gigantic arsenal of sanctions penetrating into all psychic and physical directions.²²⁵ In other words, the State is deeply and brutally criminalizing all aspects of individuality, communality, and sociality, or all existential phenomena. State overregulation, which needs overpunishment, really invades all aspects of existence and nonexistence as well. For example, it concerns blood, flesh, body, mind, sexuality, nudity, textile, family, marriage, neighbourhood, death, suicide, religion, sport, housing, wearing, eating, smoking, drinking, pissing, shitting, farting,²²⁶ ..., educating, teaching, cheating, googling, speaking, writing, publishing, working, transporting, traveling, immigrating, communicating, constructing, vacation, property, healthcare, washroom, pets, animals, plants, moon, and sun. Despite our dissatisfaction or revolt, the State abandons absolutely nothing, because it wants all, absolutely all, nothing less.

Professor Black has noticed that the subject of *"criminal law is especially unrespectable, and the more serious the crime, the more unrespectable it is."*²²⁷ Nonetheless, his notice should be hardly applicable to the white-collar criminals (particularly the lawyers, industrialists, and Statesmen/women) who enjoy legal, political, social, or economic respect, in spite of their extremely harmful crimes. In the middle of the twentieth century, Professor Sutherland, one of the pioneers of studying white-collar crime, said accordingly that these criminals are oriented to respectable and legitimate careers. Due to their social status, they have the specific power to determine *"what goes into the statutes and how the criminal law as it affects themselves is implemented and administered."*²²⁸

As far as the criminal justice is concerned, the State remains omnipresent in repressing and preventing offences in all programs that the criminologists and lawyers are proudly presenting as community policing, community-oriented approaches, or community justice alternatives. These programs rely indeed on Governmental ideology and police power, while turning the citizens into cops and spies in the name of fighting crime.²²⁹ If we categorize crime according to the crimes against the State, public order, family, individual, and property, all crimes would be, as Professor Jareborg implies, against the State because it has monopolized the definition and administration of crime.²³⁰ *"Political theory reminds us that,"*

Professor Burger has accordingly argued, “*punishment is a fundamentally political action, an exercise of political power.*”²³¹

Furthermore, if we analyze the State from a classical and liberal point of view (particularly throughout Hobbes, Locke, Adam Smith, Hayek, and Nozick), it has a monopolizing power to ensure “social order” through protecting individuals and property internally (i.e. inside a nation-State) as well as externally (i.e. outside a nation-State). It means the night-watchman State or minimal State using the police and army whose costs are paid by taxation matching *the invisible hand of the market*.²³² On the one hand, nobody knows whether such a State with its protective and repressive institutions (i.e. police, army, courts, and prisons) remains benign and refrains itself from all misadventures in despotism, militarism, imperialism, or high regularism when becoming a “busy State”.²³³ On the other hand, the invisible mechanism may not actually be so invisible, as the minimalists believe, insofar as few people who own the most part of means of production, thanks to their background or network and talent, decide about the legal system assuring well their own interests. In short, the minimum State or minarchism is not a kind of libertarian alternative, because the State still functions as an important protagonist by monopolizing not only the legal system, but also the police and the army together.

Regarding the harmful effects of extreme criminalization, Professor Duff has truly noticed that the CJS too harshly and destructively punishes too many people. Much of this undue toughness flows from what happens to criminals after conviction and leaves aside the harms perpetrated by the criminal process itself: too many offenders submit to modes of punishment that are, if not actually by design, oppressive, unduly severe, and inhuman.²³⁴ Nonetheless, such an extreme punitive system puts the white-collar offenders, which undoubtedly includes the politicians and lawyers as well, aside too often.

In fact, the State resembles a Big Brother that is permanently watching us everywhere! Such a watch is certainly lucrative for some people, i.e. those whose jobs need those normative and organizational multiplications, and painful for others. The overregulation based on over-sanctions has certainly created many big businesses relying on our flesh and blood, or on our suffering. For instance, Gelderloos and Lincoln argued that a Drug War prisoner had told them that the US economy would crash, if the Government legalized drugs. He said them that we should think about all the cops, prosecutors, defense attorneys, Judges, private contractors, and prison guards whose livelihoods depended on locking people up for drugs, and what would happen to the American economy, if all these legal professionals lost their jobs. They thought that he was right, since after the Great Depression, the elite realized that capitalism crashes unless the State permanently sponsors business.²³⁵

In spite of our over-criminalized societies in which the Judges love to appeal to imprisonment, the legal professionals know almost nothing about prison and its conditions. Bonanno, a famous theorist of contemporary insurrectionary anarchism, has judiciously found out that apart from exceptional cases in which “a superintendent from the court comes into the wing (but he only comes into the wing, not the cells), lawyers and judges don’t normally know what a prison is.”²³⁶ As a result, the legal professionals are ignorant about the fate of those who they have legally helped to find a place in the prison.

Besides the practical critiques of overcriminalization, in *Legitimate Punishment in Liberal Democracy*, Professor Dolovich has challenged punitive legitimacy by concluding that to identify the principles that State punishment must respect to be legitimate does not certainly guarantee the legitimacy of all punishments. On the contrary, these principles of legitimate punishment provide the basis for putting into question the legitimacy of a range of criminal policies in the US, including California’s three strikes law, mandatory minimums, the underfunding of indigent defense, and the widespread sexual violence and overcrowding in the prisons. She has ambiguously referred to “legitimate punishment” as “*punishment imposed consistently with the principles that all would agree to be just and fair when considered from the impartial conditions of the original position.*”²³⁷ She has actually followed “a Rawlsian approach to the problem of punishment” (i.e. *A Theory of Justice*) implying the public justification of punishment, which Professor Chambers has criticized as the “democratization of humility”.²³⁸

The overregulation of all aspects of humanity undoubtedly goes hand in hand with overcriminalization, which is indeed one of the most stigmatizing elements in social control. After the martial law, the criminal law is extremely violent because of its scope and intensity of pains imposed over the individuals or groups. Some scholars have already analyzed the concept of *law’s violence* or legal violence.²³⁹ Professor Martel has truly noticed that Benjamin’s *Critique of Violence* fitting in perfectly here, because “*the task of a critique of violence can be summarized as that of expounding its relation to law and justice.*”²⁴⁰ Under the guise of monopolizing violence, by which the State has supposedly legitimized itself, the law normalizes violence instead of eliminating it.²⁴¹

According to such an approach, domestic violence has a relationship with warfare abroad. For instance, the *War on Crime* in the national criminal justice goes hand in hand with the *War on Terror* in international law.²⁴² In other words, a violent system of the criminal law, nationally imposed, requires a brutal law among the nations through war and conquest, such as the normalization or banalization of torturing the prisoners in Guantanamo Bay and Abu Ghraib. As for the relationship between two paradigms, i.e. the criminal justice and war, in the US, law Professors Huq and Muller have found out certain

substantial similarities. According to them, the connections exist between the political dynamics and the political responses to the perception of increasing crime in the 1960s and 1970s, and to that of “domestic terrorist sleeper cells” after September 11, 2001.²⁴³ Other scholars argue that about 2,200,000 persons were locked up in American prisons in 2005, a rate of 737 inmates per 100,000 residents or 1 in every 138 residents. 1 in 20 American children born is approximately destined to be incarcerated at some point in his life. Minorities are disproportionately imprisoned: 12.6% of all black men between 25 to 29 years old are in prisons, compared to 1.7% of similarly aged whites.²⁴⁴ Marmer however thinks that the carceral situation is much more problematic inasmuch as the criminal justice goes even further: for every prisoner, the USA has two more people on probation, parole, or some related form of control by the criminal justice. Over 7,000,000 people are totally behind bars or subject to some type of control that can place them behind bars.²⁴⁵

Regarding the victimization costs of crime in the US, Professors Delisi and Gatling have found out that victims miss an average of 3.4 days of work per crime, which annually totals \$876,000,000 in lost workdays. More than 1,400,000 patients are annually treated in hospital emergency rooms for nonfatal injuries caused by interpersonal violence. They have also noticed that according to the National Crime Victimization Survey, more than 28,000,000 offences were reported in 1999 that totally cost \$15,600,000,000.²⁴⁶ When it comes to the cost of repressive policy, the USA spends more than \$146,000,000,000 on the CJS each year. The prison-industrial complex is a machine absorbing the vast profits: job creation, private and public supply and construction contracts, cheap labour, continued media profits from exaggerated crime reporting, crime/punishment as entertainment, and so on.²⁴⁷ Such a complex system also reveals an intricate web of relations among the politicians, State penal institutions, and prison corporations in neo-liberal globalization.²⁴⁸ The corporatization of prison goes hand in hand with the degradation of conditions in prison, especially violence and torture. As a result, thousands of California inmates launched a hunger strike against “State-sanctioned torture”.²⁴⁹ As sociologist Gordon has noticed, torture, degradation, humiliation, sexual assault, assault with weapons and dogs, extortion, and blood sport have always been part of American prison behaviour and culture.²⁵⁰

The democratic States have also increased punitiveness for the impoverished and marginal criminals through imprisonment: mass incarceration or the *Carceral State*.²⁵¹ Hence, the less a State is welfaristic, the more it relies on the panoply of sanctions. For instance, Professors Beckett and Western have shown, in their study of American incarceration rates between 1975 and 1995, that there exists a relationship between decrease of social welfare and increase of punitive policy. They have therefore rejected the neo-

liberalist claim that reducing welfare expenditures is an indicative of a shift toward reducing State intervention in social life, but rather a shift toward a more punitive and exclusionary approach to the social regulation of marginality.²⁵² In *Punishment and Welfare: Paternal Incarceration and Families' Receipt of Public Assistance*, Professor Sugie has argued that due to the fact that the criminal justice and welfare systems constitute two crucial Government institutions in the lives of the American poor, we should consider incarceration as a key institution for shaping broader patterns of racial inequality and poverty among American families.²⁵³ In fact, if the States are unable or do not want to destroy economic inequality, they are wonderful to destroy the lives of poor people through endlessly sophisticated case law, codes, and regulations, indoctrinated in the law schools whose Professors are the masters of rhetoric, verbiage, and brainwashing.

The huge quantity and quality of law simply makes the principle of *ignorance of the law does not excuse*, which the honorable law Professors and Judges traditionally preach and teach,²⁵⁴ impossible in the realm of the law's Empire in which these professionals are themselves Emperors.²⁵⁵ Although our Majestic legalists and politicians have not solved the problems of the law's Empire on the earth yet, they have already started to speak about the *Corpus Juris Spatialis* in "the golden age of space law", which means another legal Empire matched with "the weaponization of space". Thanks to our scientists, the legalists have also started to add the issues of "military robot" or "robot war" to those of the law's Empire on the earth and over it as well: personality, responsibility, ethics, just war, robot soldiers, war crimes, and so on.²⁵⁶ For instance, Professor Sharkey noticed in 2008 that there were more US ground robots in Iraq than British soldiers, ranged from 4,000 to 6,000, and there were even more in Afghanistan.²⁵⁷ The earth has really become so small for the destructive ambition of our Majestic Governors and their scientist and legalistic acolytes as well.

On the one side, the intrusion of the State into all existential aspects makes the Governor both ridiculous and busybody together. On the other side, no State can or really wants to respond to all social problems and crimes in the frame of constitutional cases, civil cases, criminal cases, administrative cases, environmental cases, etc. In other words, it is unable to cope with all problems occurring in our highly sanctioned society. As far as the criminal law is concerned, "the tip of the iceberg of crime" proves that the legal system is very far behind the punishment of all criminals, especially the white-collar ones.²⁵⁸ For example, any collective statistics about violence against women show "*only a portion of the impact of violence, as most acts and effects of violence are kept private.*"²⁵⁹ Even if the legal system detected all crimes, it would

not have enough police officers, prosecutors, Judges, lawyers, social workers, criminologists, psychologists, jailors, and so on, to act according to the rule of law and due process.²⁶⁰

That problematic aspect of the criminal justice coexists with so-called *miscarriage of justice* or wrongful criminal conviction whose exact qualitative and quantitative effects are unknown, as they are in the tip of the iceberg of crimes and criminals.²⁶¹ There are really individuals who heavily pay humanly as well as materially for the sake of some legal professionals' crimes, hidden behind an embellished term: miscarriage of justice.²⁶² This is actually a type of *judicial murder* for those who must endure the dysfunction or corruption of the legal system in an extremely criminalized society, which is nonetheless too proud of the rule of law and due process applied by the so-called democratic State.²⁶³ Nobody knows how many men, women, and children have been coldly executed, mutilated, tortured, imprisoned, fined, deported, or expropriated in the framework of miscarriage of justice, while dehumanized according to certain legal and judicial languages and ceremonies that are reducing them to nonexistent, absolutely nobody. Besides, the more the State criminalizes, the more occurs such dysfunction or corruption. Nonetheless, let the lawyers say that these harmful effects of the legal system have simply been the results of some Judges' errors, ill wills, misunderstandings, or misinterpretations. Let them legally conceal the extreme pains behind some words, when the legal victims endlessly suffer; the suffering of the latter makes the former really happy by providing them the lucrative legalistic jobs and verbal opportunity: "*Le malheur des uns fait le bonheur des autres.*"

Due to overregulation, the legal system has become so slow. Is *justice delayed* a type of *justice denied*?²⁶⁴ Lawyers Milenkovski and Diemer accordingly ask: "*Is justice denied a shortcut to anarchy?*"²⁶⁵ In this case, Professors Latzer and Cauthen studied the time taken in the direct appeals of capital sentences in fourteen US States, which "*took a median 966 days to complete direct appeal. Petitioning the U.S. Supreme Court added 188 days where certiorari was denied, and a median 250 days where certiorari was granted and the issues were decided on the merits.*"²⁶⁶ Because their study concerns only appeal cases, if we take into account the time before appeal, the judicial process is longer, with horrible effects that we generally know as "death row phenomenon" in State murder.²⁶⁷

1.6.2.2.3 The Place of Freedoms and Rights

Following those political, social, legal, and judicial problems, we can ask are there any existential liberties or rights in a society submerged in the laws, codes, and rules? In a short answer according to Professor Wolff, as mentioned above, there is neither autonomy nor liberty in a society governed by a State. Let legal anarchism deepen other possible answers a bit more.

In a highly regulated and sanctioned society, we are somehow in disorder and chaos over which the Statists have ironically founded their theories legitimizing the State. In fact, the extreme legalized society discriminatively imposing all types of sophisticated pain on its citizens is far from order and justice. In other words, there is a harsh CJS for the poor and the outsiders, and a lenient or powerless one for the rich and politicians. In this case, where are our freedoms and rights about which the highbrows and politicians are talking too much? They can actually exist in certain sacredly legal and philosophical writings, such as the Constitution and the Bill of Rights whose decipherment justifies the parasitic existence of some academicians.

When it comes to the relationship between rights and the State, George Carlin judiciously and sarcastically said, *“Rights aren’t rights if someone can take them away. They’re privileges. That’s all we’ve ever had in this country, is a bill of temporary privileges. And if you read the news even badly, you know that every year the list gets shorter and shorter. You see all, sooner or later. Sooner or later, the people in this country are gonna realize the government does not give a fuck about them! The government doesn’t care about you, or your children, or your rights, or your welfare or your safety. It simply does not give a fuck about you! It’s interested in its own power. That’s the only thing. Keeping it and expanding it wherever possible.”*²⁶⁸

Nonetheless, we shall apparently enjoy some rights, such as rights to blab and to protest as the State tolerates, in the West whose democracy is a comedy in order to satisfy the legal professionals, politicians, highbrows, and journalists together, when putting our heads under the boots of Governors. We are undoubtedly proud of our bill of rights while paying our bills: rent, telephone, tuition fees, insurance, etc.

The State is the only institution controlling all individuals and groups, and directing all social organizations and institutions, because of its overregulation based on so-called “positivism”, when ironically promoting “the bill of rights”. Such a man-made law appearing under State control goes against natural laws or natural rights, as the libertarians have already analyzed.²⁶⁹ Professors Williams and Arrigo have accordingly noticed that although the anarchists defend the possibility of a spontaneous order without punitive mechanisms of institutional control, they do not prove that how this order can appear in complex social systems. As a result, even if we accept anarchism as “a positive vision of a future humanity”, there are still questions to know how this vision is realizable throughout the social world.²⁷⁰ Can we eventually find some universal laws in nature? Natural laws seem to be problematic *per se* to constitute the foundation of a spontaneous order, since nature has rarely had any sense of fairness or justice when implying “the right of the strongest” in a *jungle* that we know as *the world*, in which many of us have to face an existential nightmare throughout the unjust or cruel sanctions. Rollins has analyzed natural law as a myth, since it is misleading to contrast man-made law with natural law that is “as surely man-made as any Governmental

law". Their difference comes from the fact that the Governmental laws "*are enforced by the punishment of detected violators by the government while natural laws are not enforced by the punishment of violators by nature.*"²⁷¹ In other words, God or nature has supposedly created natural laws, when man, who is lover of legitimizing his ideas through something supernatural or infallible that eventually justifies his ruler, himself actually makes or interprets natural laws. Lum has thus argued that the "natural rights" are evolving and not fixed.²⁷²

The anarchists have therefore stood against the Godlike institutions coldly struggling to criminalize all existence, nothing less. For instance, they have criticized Governmental and religious interventions in our sexuality while having proposed "free love", which I will later explain. In its *Anarchist Manifesto*, London Anarchist Communist Alliance proclaimed: "*propaganda cannot be diversified enough if we want to touch all. (...) Organisation arises from the conciousness that, for a certain purpose, the co-operation of several forces is necessary. When this purpose is achieved the necessity for co-operation has ceased, and each force reassumes its previous independence, ready for other co-operation and combination if necessary. This is organisation in the Anarchist sense – ever varying, or, if necessary, continuous combinations of the elements that are considered to be the most suitable for the particular purpose on hand, and refers not only to the economical and industrial relations between man and man, but also to the sexual relations between man and woman, without which a harmonious social life is impossible.*"²⁷³ In fact, certain anarchist attitudes against overcriminalization are necessarily "illegalist" (especially in the frame of crimes against property),²⁷⁴ since the State has left almost no ground on which the radical dissidents are able to play.

There remain three notices. Firstly, social control is larger than State control, because it concerns quasi-official and unofficial institutions, such as family and friends. In a liberal State, these institutions may enjoy some degrees of autonomy and liberty. In this sense, State control is not absolute. Secondly, due to the problems relating to overcriminalization (particularly the cost and overloading of the legal system), the Governmental institutions are forced to either decriminalize (either *de facto* or *de jure*) or to legalize some criminal behaviours, such as the decriminalization of cannabis in certain US States and Prime Minister Trudeau's campaign advertising of legalizing marijuana.²⁷⁵ Thirdly, overregulation has already created a certain new legal and wealthy caste, very particularly the legislators, prosecutors, Judges, police officers, and lawyers, to make, interpret, and apply the law against our will. In other words, overregulation means over-lawyering, over-professionalizing, or over-legal robbery and prostitution. According to anarchism, the law has been created and interpreted in the same manner that Christian dogma has developed. The laypeople to whom the law applies are forbidden to interpret it for themselves. They must turn to the lawyers who are the priests of law interpretation. The law industry is actually a lucrative industry,

architected to make billions for the State (e.g., financial punishment) and the lawyers, and often to perpetuate the wealth of the corporate world, as the laws are tailor made for them. In summary, the overabundance and vagueness of laws paralyze the people through making them fearful of becoming lawbreakers, and they keep the lawyers in expensive business.²⁷⁶

2.6.2.3 State Violence Against Nature

State violence does not stop at human existence at all, because it affects non-human existence as well, which takes part in “environmental crime”.²⁷⁷ All societies are unfortunately oppressing humans as well as non-humans.²⁷⁸ In this sense, we can partially speak of *the criminology of Empire*, since it analyzes the “*crimes resulting from the environmental destruction and resultant ecocide of indigenous populations in Africa, South America and Asia.*”²⁷⁹ Legal anarchism gives an insight into political violence, through some examples, imposing suffering not only upon animals, but also upon the entire environment.

2.6.2.3.1 From Political Violence Against Animals

Political violence against animals is not really a new phenomenon. For instance, in *The Capture of Animals by the Roman Military*, Professor Epplert has argued that the early beast-hunts (*venationes*) of the later Republic appeared to be relatively impromptu affairs, with little of the infrastructure behind the subsequent imperial beast-hunts. Republican magistrates exhibited the animals that were predominantly supplied by their powerful “contacts” overseas as need required, rather than by regular and established exporters.²⁸⁰ In *Animal Spectacula of the Roman Empire*, he has stated that like gladiatorial games, the organization of animal spectacle was considered important enough to be trusted to a variety of personnel and officials in a centralized State bureaucracy administering all various types of spectacle. Even the Governors might exact funds from their provincials to pay the *venationes*. Because of prevailing attitudes in both Roman and Greek societies, most spectators had no qualms about watching the slaughter of animals in the arena. They indeed regarded that animals existed only for man and had no rights of their own. Animal slaughter symbolized and asserted Roman control over the hazardous natural world. They justified the killing of harmless animals (e.g., deer) at the *venationes* insofar as they potentially threatened crops in the predominantly agrarian Roman society.²⁸¹

As the largest animal rights organization in the world, “People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals” has reported that the US army invented a lethal poisoning test circa the time of the First World War, in which animals were force-fed increasing doses of a chemical until they died. This animal research still constitutes the single most common animal test. Government regulations demand chemical industries to

squirt burning chemicals into the eyes of rabbits and onto their skin. *“Some government-mandated tests kill more than 2,000 animals every time they are conducted. And not a single one of these animal tests has ever been formally proved to be relevant to or able to accurately predict human health effects.”*²⁸²

That animal rights organization has also found out that the non-human victims of warfare are rarely recognized, and their suffering is rarely publicized on a universal scale.²⁸³ They are animals who are shot, poisoned, burned, and otherwise tormented in military training and experiments. These experiments and training are painful, repetitive, costly, and unreliable. Published experiments and internal documents coming from the armed forces expose to view that US military agencies test all types of weaponry on animals, from bombs to chemical, biological, and nuclear agents.²⁸⁴ According to Freeman, the US military spends millions on killing, stabbing, and dismembering more than 10,000 live animals in cruelly medical training drills, although more human, effective, and economical training techniques exist.²⁸⁵

The histories of human warfare and political repression have certainly a tight relationship with animals in general and military and police animals in particular, such as pack animals, military horses, animal-borne bomb attacks, war dogs, anti-tank dogs, MWD, and police dogs (known also as K-9), which constitute violence against animals and humans because of imposing pains upon them.²⁸⁶ Some scholars have accordingly linked, as we will later see in the GP, the treatment of animals to the Holocaust, which is by no means without some paradoxes such as prejudice and anti-Semitism. By the way, are not we, so-called human beings, animals, especially genocidal ones? Besides, since many police officers are acting worse than wild dogs, do they need any dog? They do not, to my knowledge!

Professor Shukin has recently stated that in the theatre of the unconditional War on Terror after the 9/11 attacks, dogs have visibly become embedded in the groundwork and fantasy of State security, which is today universal in its means and effects. Other MWDs besides Cairo, a Belgian Malinois whose tracking sense proved vital in the deadliest manhunt of the early twenty-first century, have prominently appeared in a post-9/11 order of security. Most notorious are perhaps those German shepherds seen with their human handlers in trophy photographs taken by the US soldiers at Abu Ghraib, poised to discharge their powers of physical and psychic terror on Iraqi prisoners. Nowadays, police dogs and MWDs routinely symbolize in the idiom of security as K9s, an abbreviated homophone for dogs placing them in technological series with other weapons, such as the M-16 family of combat rifles or the UH-60 series of Black Hawk helicopters used in the raid on bin Laden's compound. Security dogs are indeed fetishized as optimally efficient fighting machines whose performance is increased by the sleek layers of combat gear that military outfitters like K9 Storm supply. A Canadian-based company is in the business of cladding the new canines of war.²⁸⁷ In their

study about the twenty-nine cases of gunshot wounds in MWDs in Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom between 2003 and 2009, some scholars have found out of the twenty-nine injured dogs, eleven survived the injuries and eighteen died: 38% survival rate. Of the death dogs, all but one perished from catastrophic non-survivable injuries before treatment or evacuation.²⁸⁸

Professor Johnston has noticed that *“Millions of animals have been killed in the name of country – not despite the patriotic love professed for them, but precisely because of it.”*²⁸⁹ Their suffering however remains forgotten or unseen. If animals neither drop bombs nor produce any chemical weapons or killer viruses as human beings perfectly do, *“why should they suffer?”*²⁹⁰ Does it mean that we are *the most dangerous animal?*²⁹¹ Do we have an excellent gift to exploit others without thinking about their pains?²⁹² Can I invoke “terror management theory” arguing that human beings *“are motivated to elevate themselves above other animals as a way of denying their creatureliness and mortality?”*²⁹³ Is not political authority that either encourages or facilitates such motivation? Does not my misanthropism find once again its full significance?

In this sense, Berry has analyzed how the War on Terrorism, pursued by the right-wing Bush Administration, has devolved both human and non-human rights, since there is “interlocking oppression” referring to the relative powerlessness of both human minorities and non-humans. This devolution stems most notably from the USA Patriot Act. As a result, the American citizens have less voice in the environmentally disastrous events (such as the feared destruction of Alaska National Wildlife Reserve), while non-human rights organizations have been redefined as terrorist organizations. Public protest has been affected by not only Governmental monitoring, but also the fear of being labeled “unpatriotic”, “terrorist”, “ecoterrorist”, or “green scare”. In other words, since 9/11, the Administration is destroying human rights and the environment that is habitat for humans and non-humans, while using the present state of crisis, fear, and confusion to subdue social protest and progress.²⁹⁴ Moreover, the Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act, passed by the Congress and signed into law by President Bush in 2006, prohibits any individual from engaging to damage or interfere with the operations of an animal enterprise.²⁹⁵ The nearly identical process of criminalization and repression of animal rights activists has occurred in the Irish Republic where they regard these activists as “animal rights terrorists”.²⁹⁶ A recent research nonetheless shows that the attacks committed by the radical environmental and animal rights groups have caused very few injuries or deaths.²⁹⁷ Professor Sorenson has therefore argued that “terrorism” is a misapplied term for the non-violent actions of animal rights groups insofar as the Government, police, mass media, and corporate lobbyists are using it to destroy opposition to animal exploitation industries.²⁹⁸

2.6.2.3.2 To Political Violence Against the Entire Environment

In *Green Criminology*, Professors South and Beirne have emphasized the link between interhuman violence and animal abuse, on the one hand, and the flouting of State rules aiming at protecting the environment against damage and disaster, on the other hand. Governments even go further by dismissing both human and environmental rights.²⁹⁹ These flouting and dismissal are actually rooted in neo-liberalism preaching minimal State intervention in trade and the free markets, since State protectionism supposedly prevents economic growth and international trade, and generates inefficiencies and waste.³⁰⁰ In reality, capitalist technology requires State mutism or complicity in order to provide corporations with laissez-faire policy regarding the environment. *Section E (What do Anarchists Think Causes Ecological Problems?)* of *An Anarchist FAQ*, published by an international working group of social anarchists, has already analyzed the problem with a remarkable insight. The eco-anarchists argue that universal competition between the nation-States is responsible for not only devouring nature, but also causing international military tensions, because they are seeking to dominate each other by armed force or the threat thereof. Although the politicians and corporations are keen to announce their “green credentials”, the State and capitalism are principal causes for the environmental issues that we are facing. The green anarchists also argue if the oppression of people is the first reason for our ecological problems, so the State cannot logically create and manage an ecological society.³⁰¹ Professor Reich has accordingly spoken of “toxic politics”, since the States are implicated in first and second victimization in chemical disasters because of missing firstly to provide a safe environment, and secondly to punish corporate offenders or the victimizers.³⁰² The chemical and atomic disasters of Bhopal, Chernobyl, Texas City Refinery, Deepwater Horizon, Fukushima Daiichi, and Lac-Mégantic are only few examples showing State-corporate crimes, which not only cost many human and non-human lives when largely polluting and destroying the environment, but also cause first and second victimization.³⁰³

Many researchers have proved that the US nuclear and army facilities violate the environmental laws by the pollution and destruction of the environment at home and abroad as well. This means the *nuclear terrorist State* relating to “State-corporate crimes” or to “Government as polluter”, mingled with corporate crimes or the crimes of the economy in a world in which corporate liability and eventual punishment are still too uncertain and debatable among unlimited highbrows, legalists, politicians, and economists as well.³⁰⁴

For instance, described as *la Guerre Invisible* and *le Scandale Étouffé*, DU in the weapons used during the Persian Gulf and Iraq wars has caused many ecological and human catastrophes, while the Pentagon has systematically concealed, denied, or misled information about the quantities, characteristics,

and the areas in which these weapons have been used. Many scientists have explained its extremely harmful effects on the civilians, the soldiers, and the environment, such as the long-term contamination of water, soil, air, alterations in plants and animals, cancer cluster, high mortality rate due to leukemia, breast cancer, brain tumors, and lymphoma. Iraq has also witnessed birth disorders, such as the increasing number of babies born grotesquely deformed, with no head, two heads, extra fingers and toes, a single eye in their foreheads, scaly bodies, or missing limbs. They have estimated that US military forces used between 1,100 and 2,200 tonnes of DU when attacking Iraq. The amount exceeded the 300 tonnes of DU used in the Persian Gulf War in 1991 and the 10 tonnes used by NATO forces during the bombing of Serbia in 1999. In other words, during the second Gulf War in 2003, British and US troops reportedly used more than 5 times as many DU shells and bombs as the total number used during the first Gulf War in 1991 for invading and occupying Iraq. The US has approximately stockpiled 450,000 tons of DU.³⁰⁵

As for the crimes against the environment committed by the Iraqi Government, Professor Zilinskas has found out that few days before the Operation Desert Storm, the Iraqi forces set on fire about 150 Kuwaiti oil wells, opened oil pipelines, sabotaged petroleum and natural gas processing facilities, and discharged stored petroleum into the Persian Gulf and onto land. They intensified their destructive activities after the Operation Desert Storm started. Although these activities did not actually affect the battlefield, they damaged the terrestrial, marine, and atmospheric environments. They estimate that between 35,000,000 and 150,000,000 barrels spilled onto land. In the ocean, the oil slick was 8 kilometers wide and 50 kilometers long, which generated the largest oil slick ever seen. Iraqi destructive activities have had long lasting and severe effects on the ecosystem, such as acid rain, killing an unknown number of sea snakes, turtles, and wading birds, while threatening thousands of dolphins, whales, and dugongs.³⁰⁶ Over 10,000,000 cubic metres of land was contaminated until 1998. A principal groundwater aquifer, two fifths of Kuwait's entire freshwater reserve, stays contaminated. To clean up 10,000,000 barrels, released into the Gulf affecting coastline along 1500 kilometers, cost more than \$700,000,000.³⁰⁷ Who said or heard anything about these crimes during the trial of Saddam Hussein?

Professor Simon has provided other examples about State role in pollution. The industrialized countries export a large number of toxic wastes to other countries, many of which are done by bribing the officials of foreign Governments. They annually produce about 400,000,000 tons of toxic waste, 60% belongs to the US. A ship containing toxic waste leaves the US every 5 minutes per day. Multinational corporations provide handsome financial rewards for the recipient countries. For instance, Guinea-Bissau, which has a gross national product of \$150,000,000, makes \$150,000,000 to \$600,000,000 over 5 years

in a deal to accept toxic waste from three European countries. Bribes are typically paid to the Third World Government officials in order to establish toxic waste dumps in their nations. Third World participation in the waste dumping of industrialized nations has caused a host of scandals.³⁰⁸ For example, the Nigerian Government has asked a ship containing toxic waste docking in Lagos in 2013 to return immediately its cargo to London from where it came. The toxic waste was hidden among used fridges, television sets, and microwave ovens. This is not the first attempt to dump toxic wastes in Nigeria insofar as the Nigerian Government has detained several ships laden with toxic waste since 1988.³⁰⁹ These would apparently be the cases in which the advanced States have not been able to bribe the Nigerian State well! According to the European Environment Agency, between 250,000 tonnes and 1,300,000 tonnes of used electrical products are annually shipped out of the EU, mostly to West Africa and Asia.³¹⁰

Professors Truscello and Gordon have judiciously found out another aspect of State environmental crime. There since exist between 200,000 and 300,000 tonnes of nuclear waste in the world, and they will remain about 100,000 years. Nearly 1,000,000 cubic metres of radioactive waste have been discharged into the oceans. Nearly 90% of the waste in the ocean is plastic, spread over millions of square miles, and may need a century to biodegrade. The stuff biodegrading faster than that discharges toxic chemicals, which interferes with reproductive systems. A study conducted by the International Programme on the State of Ocean in 2011 proved that ocean life will likely experience the worst mass extinctions in millions of years.³¹¹

In summary, militarism and corporatism are killing and polluting nature on a massive and planetary scale. State power thus brutalizes the entire environment. In this case, Professor Bookchin has judiciously detected a historical link between the degradation of nature and that of international relationships, such as acid rain and nuclear power plants.³¹²

As a reaction to State pollution, a group, led by 16-year old Alec Looz who is the founder of *Kids vs. Global Warming* and the *iMatter Campaign*, filed a legal action against the US in 2011. It is seeking to force the Government to reduce greenhouse gas pollution to levels demanded necessary by the best available science.³¹³ As far as I am concerned, I do not unfortunately think that such an action will embrace success, thanks to endless skills and ruses of the Government lawyers and to the complicity of Honorable Judges who will discuss at their pleasure legal responsibility, *mens rea*, *actus reus*, causation, the burden of proof, strict liability, tort law, and so on. Our planet is really burning while these honorable legalists are making love with each other and chatting about the possibility of State responsibility for pollution.

Like the case of violence against the people, endless multiplication of norms about the environment goes hand in hand with that of organizations, Governmental as well as so-called non-Governmental, which are responsible for enforcing or watching the environmental norms in every aspect of municipal, national, regional, and international existences.³¹⁴

Who is eventually responsible for State extreme violence against nature? The legal professionals are apparently searching for the *possible responsible* for State brutality against wildlife and domesticated animals as well, as they are doing regarding State extreme violence against humanity.

2.7 Conclusion

When it comes to the total economic cost of luxury and benefits of so-called civil servants around the world, we can ask how much we must pay for the extreme happiness of all Statesmen/women, politicians, their families, lovers, gangs, and political parties even *en pleine récession*³¹⁵ matched with supposedly *austerity measures*?³¹⁶ In this case, it is interesting when some people minimize this cost by comparing it with the total number of people. For example, they have told us that the 41st General Election cost each Canadian elector exactly \$12.00!³¹⁷ It is no less interesting yet when they say that Queen Elizabeth II and her clan cost the British taxpayers nearly US \$1.14 each! They would say the same for the Canadian taxpayers.³¹⁸ Firstly, some people, including me, have never exercised their famous right to vote. In fact, they cannot calculate us in such comparison. Secondly, who really knows the real cost of her clan's extreme happiness? Thirdly, if this Queen (also constitutionally Canada's Head of State), her corrupt family, Governor General, Lieutenant Governors, and Commissioners are supposed to have only certain "ceremonial power" in the Commonwealth of Nations,³¹⁹ why must we pay for them? Fourthly, can an individual cheat an amount of \$30,000,000 in Canada and then says that it costs each Canadian taxpayer only less than \$1? Is there any Canadian Judge who will believe her?

Moreover, the extreme happiness enjoyed and the unlimited violence imposed by the Statesmen/women over us and nature depict how much the life of the majority, animals, and plants are too cheap and valueless, contrary to that of the elite minority. This political happiness depends really on the "extreme suffering" that the State brutally enforces on existence under the pretext of law and order, since existence is rarely anything better than a simple gadget played by the Governors at their pleasure. In this sense, Mark Twain or Gideon John Tucker truly said, "*No man's life, liberty, or property are safe while the legislature is in session*,"³²⁰ to which legal anarchism can also add "non-human life".

Unlike their counterparts in the West, the Southern Statesmen/women may sometimes face the judicial process, managed by either a national or an international tribunal (e.g., the Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda) for committing organized crimes, crimes against humanity, war crimes, or genocide in the case of failure or revolution. This process is nonetheless highly problematic because of two phenomena. On the one side, ICL is extremely discriminatory or selective inasmuch as they apply it against some Southern criminals while taking a laissez-faire policy toward the Western ones. On the other side, there are many practical, political, and legal obstacles to judge a political criminal, such as the competence of the court (the ratification of international conventions, treaties, and so on), extradition, testimony, the interpretations of legal texts, legalistic and political verbiage among the lawyers and politicians as well. In fact, the job of governing is funnier and more secure in the West than in the South.

The best job (i.e. excellent salary, tax free, respect, unlimited paid vacations, sex, travel, and so on) for an extremely corrupt, cynical, or dangerous person is really politics. It since enables him to satisfy his extreme needs and desires, undoubtedly on the back of taxpayers through political verbiage and deception. The words of democracy, democratization, popular Government (*“hokumate mardomi”* or *“hokumate mardomsalari”* in the reformist Mullahs’ jargon in Iran, among many other examples), participatory Government, representative Government, popular sovereignty, nation-State, civil society, civilization, the rule of law, freedom, and justice are very typical examples in this case.³²¹ In short, the most profitable and secured profession in a “stable democracy” is politics, since there is neither revolution nor any serious political danger.

The unlimited privileges of political life are very seductive for certain people to commit all types of evils, from mass torture and murder to the destruction of the environment. There exist still several questions about how these people are able to impose their desires and decisions upon the rest of society and nature becoming a slave to carry out their policies, how the masses obey the desire of a power-hungry minority, and so on. In this sense, there are not only dictators and their clans that are responsible for State violence – as this is a common belief among the anarchists who make a fundamental difference between the State and nation –, but also a nation that is voluntarily subject to them, even in a so-called democratic State.

Hence, the psycho-sociological studies of dictatorship have a long way to go in order to analyze the personality of State criminals as well as that of the ordinary people who accept or obey them. If an army of criminologists, psychologists, and psychoanalysts have already examined and reported painstakingly all

mental aspects of ordinary criminals (especially young offenders), we need them to start to do the same regarding the Statesmen/women and officers, including the Judges and prosecutors. The latter send us to war, massacre, torture, or plunder, when punishing us without any sense of regret or shame and with almost absolute impunity, thanks to endless legal, political, and economic obstacles on both national and international scales. They also brutalize extremely non-humans. The scientists should furthermore analyze the corrupting and destructive aspects of authority throughout the narcissistic and psychopathic characteristics of political elites and their economic accomplices (i.e. *political* and *economic personalities* or a type of dominant personality) with the same relentlessness that it uses against the so-called street criminals. In short, they should examine both scale and intensity of State violence to which all ordinary citizens, animals, and plants are legally or illegally subjugated. However, the State criminals, unlike the street ones, are inaccessible to the researchers; even they absolutely deny their criminality, if there is any question or trial! We know not so much about the “personality” of tyrant so that we really need a new science that I would call “tyrantology”, which should study not only this type of criminal personality, but also State crimes as an institution enforcing its own authority inside as well as outside its jurisdiction.

Will we arrive at a time when we describe State violence against animals as “crimes against animality” as we have already started to recognize crimes against humanity? Will we arrive at a time when we recognize State violence against nature as “crimes against the environment”? Will we arrive at a time when State criminology will be as promoting as street criminology? Such a time is still critical, since the legalistic highbrows are submerged in their beautiful doubts about “State wrongdoing”, as legal anarchism is going to analyze later. Besides, the depth of State violence should lead us to think about Professor Liebsch’s question: “*When violence, even in its most subtle forms, pervades everything, when it contaminates everything with no prospect for a state beyond violence, does this then not result in a theoretical normalization and extension of violence?*”³²² Is not State existence itself a crime against existence? Will we arrive at a time when there is no State and consequently no need for State criminology?

Finally, we must have centralized authorities, if we love extreme and omnipresent violence and luxury. Must we really love these authorities whose powers constructed on corruption, organized robbery, arms industry and trafficking needing permanent wars and conflicts around the world, mass murder, political repression, economic exploitation, segregation and racism depending on nationality and frontiers, propaganda, brainwashing, systematic lies and deception, eventually the pollution and destruction of the ecosystems? Has not really the State become a modern God regulating and sanctioning all existence before birth as well as after death by extreme violence, luxury, and bureaucracy? The extreme violence and

expensiveness of the State, including its legal violence and cost, should lead us to think seriously about the libertarian alternatives that would be more human, peaceful, ecological, and cheaper. To understand these alternatives requires giving an insight into the anarchist analysis and critique of the law and punishment, connected to power and authority.

Chapter 3: The Anarchist Analysis and Critique of the Law and Punishment

3.1 Synopsis

The Chapter concerns some introductive notices and critiques of State law and punishment, constructed on absolute obedience and independency. Through certain materialistic, psychological, and professional critiques, legal anarchism analyzes the division of State law into the substantive and procedural laws.

Firstly, legal anarchism expresses some primary critiques, which show that challenging the legal system is neither new nor limited to anarchism. For instance, the Daode Jing, the Kerner Commission, and Selladurai Premakumaran and Nesamalar Premakumaran v. the Queen called State law into question because of its inhumanity and racism. Legal anarchism indeed reveals the discriminatory nature of legal system: too long, bureaucratic, expensive, degrading, and repressive for the impoverished, but human and tolerant for the wealthy.

Secondly, legal anarchism emphasizes a mutual relation between capital and Government, proved by Bastiat, Proudhon, the Oakland Seven Trial, Barker, and Heilbroner. Hudis and Blakeley have accordingly analyzed the global financial crisis in which the States have supported the faulty billionaires by violating the market rule of the minimal State. According to Lease, Churchill, Fallon, Perry, and Hutchinson, this relation hides the subjectivity of governance, because Government does not rely on the rule of law as, for example, stated by Chief Justice Marshall, but on few wealthy people.

Thirdly, legal anarchism argues that both God and Government ask for absolute faith and obedience resulting in legal addiction. According to Tucker, Fox, and Black, Government has become a scared industry to solve all problems. It however destroys individuality and sociality by making their confidence, liberty, autonomy, and mutuality needless. By examining Stirner, Landstreicher, Scheingold, Sheehan, and Marchak, legal anarchism observes "State mentality". This means that the State is simultaneously a concrete and mythical institution, i.e. governance is rooted in divinity and natural law. Landauer, Comfort, and Humble have accordingly estimated that any alternative to State mentality needs a deep change in human and institutional relationships by developing the spontaneous, individual, creative, and positive values focusing on love, liberty, and equality. Due to the materialistic and psychological critiques of the legal system, some thinkers have challenged the duty to obey State law. For example, Godwin, Proudhon,

Raz, Richards, Wolff, Smith, and McBride believe that there is no absolute obligation to respect State law, which opposes the teaching of the law schools.

Fourthly, legal anarchism examines the idea developed by Kennedy in *The Whorehouse Theory of Law*, which concerns the professional critiques of State law.¹ Kennedy argues that the legal system – including at the core, the law schools – is a racist, expensive, and corporatist institution, in which particularly the law students, teachers, lawyers, prosecutors, and Judges are indoctrinating, manipulating, robbing, and governing. The legal professionals are also specialists in justifying or legitimizing, *de facto* or *de jure*, any authority, law, or punishment, on condition of receiving money. As the necessary element of the judiciary, they are shamelessly selling their knowledge and experience to anyone who can afford their expensive fees and costs, regardless of truth and justice.

On the one side, legal anarchism cops with the issues of the law school: indoctrinating legal prostitution and robbery, obedience to State law, secrecy, dictatorship, boycotting or punishing the dissidents. The first task of the law faculty consists in producing the rhetorical Governors of justice. Due to its exorbitant cost and racism, it is a white industry depending on hierarchy and capital came from the public and private sectors. Its teachers fabricate the students to defend any case in any legal system, at any price pleasing them and paying their scholarly debt off. Rooted in sophistry or legal charlatanism, lawyering works by the ethos of discussing anything, regardless of ethical issues, as Mandel defended. For Bankowski, Mungham, and Kolko, the law school indoctrinates obedience to State law, because it depends on State interest and support. Furthermore, the law school is a conservative and secret institution resisting any democratic process and public debate. It is also able to exclude or to destroy any dissident opinion by its sophisticated sanctions, such as dissertation unexaminability and academic watchdogs or peer reviews. The law faculty justifies its secrecy and sanction by making a claim for academic integrity and liberty!

On the other side, legal anarchism proves that the lawyers truly enjoy a very bad reputation in both societal and academic environments, because they rarely abide by any moral value, but by corruption and money-hunger. For examples, Judge Frankel, Hutchinson, Barnhizer, Lerman, Hartley, and Petrucci recognize the unpopularity of lawyers, or even a societal hate toward them. Their bad reputation cannot simply be a popular imagination, since lawyering is a very expensive job without any real regulation. The lawyers do not really accept any legal rule and wage law. In contrast to the overregulation and wage system of blue-collar workers, lawyers enjoy wagelessness and self-governance in their legal robbery and criminality. Several legal scholars (e.g., Lerman, Turriff, and Hutchinson) have defended these economic and legal privileges, basically in the name of the independence of lawyers imposed by the rule of law!

According to Frooman and White, the authority of lawyers and Judges depends on an indecipherable language. Concerning the Judges, legal anarchism proves by many references that they are traditionally undemocratic, conservative, and white men from Christian or Jewish background, with a symbiotic relationship with the lawyers. Like prophets, they are sacred, because they decide about their customers' death and life. Besides their very comfortable salary (e.g., so-called Judge Judy) and advantages (e.g., judicial immunity), they profit from contempt of court, i.e. an arbitrary punishment, to conserve their authority. Their judgment is often racist and conservative, as documented by the Premakumarans, Jobard, and Névane, among others.

Overall, democracy and accountability have no existence in the judiciary, law faculty, and lawyering, all governed by certain invisible hands in the name of justice and democracy!

Fifthly, legal anarchism proves that equality before the law and the POI are mythical principles. The wealthy people, corporatists, and politicians enjoy these principles in an unequal trial, which is the continuation of the class society. Similar to the Marxists, the anarchists criticize the principle of legal equality as a capitalist fetish. For example, Kropotkin calls it a lie because of external inequality, while Horn argues that the State breaches it by the Governors' privileges and immunities. Proudhon and Johnson therefore defend the equality of conditions as the foundation of formal equality before the law. For instance, Borloo, Mohammad, and Conway prove legal inequality in Belgium and Pakistan. Stevenson, Lewis, Williams, and Sadat find out a presumption of guilt for the poor and the so-called unlawful combatants in the American justice. McFarlane and Hunt argue that the POI focuses on whiteness and white innocence. Glasbeek and Husak reveal the problems of proving corporate criminality, since corporations profit from the POI and their harmful crimes for humanity and nature remain unpunished. Dauvé, Milaj, and Bonnici believe that the presumption of guilt has replaced the POI in the age of mass control, surveillance, and regulation. Legal anarchism concludes that equality before the law and the POI are fictive, since they rely on legal or judicial discrimination, rooted in economic and political inequality as well as racism.

3.2 The Introductory Notices

In legal anarchism, State law means a set of norms showing what behaviours are forbidden or permitted, and how they must be respected through a sophisticated system of sanctions (e.g., imprisonment) and institutions (e.g., the police). There exist substantive and procedural laws in this anarchist approach. State law relies on violence inasmuch as its application depends on imposing pains, psychological and physical as well, over citizens, non-citizens, and non-humans. In this case, the cruelest

branches of law are certainly the martial law and the criminal law. They reveal the most virulent aspects of Governmentality that the anarchists are justly criticizing. The critique of the law and punishment is not certainly a new Western phenomenon. For example, the Daode Jing opposed the legalists, such as Confucius, who appreciated a uniform and strict code of rewards and sanctions in the world, while suggesting that the harshest punishment, i.e. the death penalty, would not work, because of the worse destruction and death caused by the State itself.²

It should be important to notice that the critiques or the approval of a phenomenon would mostly depend on which side of this phenomenon we place ourselves. It is therefore essential to know who speaks about the legal system: sociologist, criminologist, philosopher, policewoman/man, prosecutor, Judge, lawyer, prostitute, activist, marginal, offender, victim, law Professor, self-knowledge man, Dean, pimp, charlatan, politician, gangster, businessperson, banker, corporatist, poet, teacher, journalist, anarchist, demagogue, and so on.³ In this sense, Professors Fernandez and Huey's statement finds its significance: *"justice is delivered more efficiently to some folks and injustice to others."*⁴ Some people thus profit from the legal system, while other must suffer from the length, cost, corruption, uncertainty, and fetishist ceremonies of legal workers.⁵ In other words, the rich people enjoy a human justice, while the poor people have to endure a dehumanized justice managed by a band of legal professionals whose wealth and fame founded on the flesh and blood of the second group. Such a critique of the legal system makes evident the necessity of alternatives to existing legal structures.

It would not however be worthless to state that the critiques of the legal system and the proposition of alternatives do not come exclusively from the libertarians and the radical criminologists,⁶ but also from other scholars and politicians as well. Legal anarchism provides two examples concerning the 1960s and 2000s. The National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders (known as the Kerner Commission), appointed by President Johnson to investigate the causes of the ghetto riots in 1967, reported the *"discriminatory administration of justice"* and *"a double standard of justice and protection"*, one for whites and another for Negroes.⁷ The report of the US Department of Justice in 2001 concluded that due to multilateralization and supranationalization within and among countries, *"policing is no longer being constructed and provided exclusively by nation-states. It is quite unclear how these forces will play out in the next few years. The possibilities are worthy of a millennium."*⁸ The authors of this report suggested a private justice that would be close to the anarcho-capitalist or liberal system, which means *"private companies on a commercial basis and by communities on a volunteer basis."*⁹

Moreover, the Canadian legal system has perfectly shown its racist tendency toward the non-white and non-Canadian born citizens in *Selladurai Premakumaran and Nesamalar Premakumaran v. Her Majesty the Queen* in 2006. The Supreme Court of Canada dismissed action against the Canadian Government for fraud and negligent misrepresentation in skilled worker immigration after the dismissal of the Federal Court of Appeal on the ground that there was no genuine issue for trial pursuant to Federal Courts Rules.¹⁰ Such a judicial decision should not be surprising or irrational, if we look at the legal system in Canada in two sides. On the one side, historically the Christian white men and currently the Jews make and govern the legal system. In this case, we can ask is there any separation of the university, as the principal provider of legal professionals, and the legal system from the Church and the Synagogue? As a result, the minority people or the so-called people of colour have rarely had any place in this segregating justice better than exclusion, marginality, or punishment: legalized racism.¹¹ On the other side, the Canadian legal system has its own political agenda that principally aims at protecting the white Canadians in the frame of so-called constitutional law, when it avoids the fundamental issues in the Canadian society, such as the wage slavery, poverty, and racism. For example, the Supreme Court of Canada has recently ruled that anti-prostitution laws are unconstitutional.¹²

The most virulent critiques of the legal system come certainly from the anarchists, regardless of the fact that they are themselves lawyers or not. Hence, the analysis and critique of the law exist in many libertarian works and propaganda as well. For example, Proudhon, Kropotkin, Spooner, Rothbard, and David Friedman have studied law according to many historical, psychological, sociological, economic, philosophical, religious, legal, and criminological approaches. Their writings concerning the essence and form of law are extremely deep, critical, and challenging. In this sense, the anarchist critiques of the legal system share a common ground with radical or critical criminology.

3.3 The Critiques of the Law

"How noble the law, in its majestic equality, that both the rich and poor are equally prohibited from peeing in the streets, sleeping under bridges, and stealing bread!"

Anatole France¹³

Legal anarchism criticizes State law from two basic and formal perspectives. When it comes to the basic critiques of the law, legal anarchism critically examines the legal system in its materialistic and psychological aspects, realized particularly through the nature of lawyering as a skill for sale. The law schools and legal systems are corporate institutions where the professionals learn, teach, preach, and

practice their robbery, which we recognize as the principles of legal education and lawyering. These principles take part indeed in the metaphysical and physical foundation of the law and its sanctioning mechanism. They work as justifier or generator of the legal system, in spite of the fact that many lawyers are seductively speaking of “legal ethics” in the age of “modern lawyering” in “law shows”¹⁴ aiming to pickpocket more clients in the crowded justice in which they defend whoever and whatever on condition of being paid by buck or crowned by fame.

The physical aspects focus mostly on capital, which are hence close to the Marxist ideas about the relation between the legal system and the means of production in the national as well as international laws.¹⁵ Professor Cain has marxistically argued that to understand the society needs “*to study rich man’s law and the ways in which he uses it; to change society, however, it may be necessary to work in the area of poor man’s law. The sociology of law must necessarily encompass both.*”¹⁶ As far as the psychological aspects are concerned, legal anarchism explains why and how the legal professionals and their accomplices (e.g., the teachers and clergies) indoctrinate obedience to the law.

As for the formal critiques of the law, legal anarchism argues against the form and ritual of the law in which the legal professionals enforce State norms. The formality of the legal system focuses mostly on pretending there is neutral application of State law. On the one side, the legal system claims to treat everyone equally without any social, economic, legal, or political prejudice and consideration. On the other side, it claims that everyone is presumably “*innocent until proven guilty according to law in a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal*”, as ruled, for example, by the CCRF (sec. 11-d). Legal anarchism rejects these claims by proving that the economic, political, or ethnic condition of an individual is determinant in her trial.

To avoid making the dissertation longer, I work on the substantive aspects of anarchist critiques more than the procedural aspects, without trying to minimize the importance of the form in which the law and punishment function in a society.

3.3.1 The Substantive Critiques of the Law

Legal anarchism’s substantive critiques of the law go in three directions. They first show the important place of property and money in State law in which the sacred rule of law is not eventually objective, but subjective, because there are the men who decide for and manage the legal system. They then analyze why and how some institutions legitimize State authority and propagate the ethos of obeying

the law resulting in legal addiction. They finally criticize the way in which the law school and lawyering work hand in hand to protect the status quo.

3.3.1.1 The Materialistic Critiques

Property relationships are very important to all aspects of legal anarchism insofar as parallel to the division of labour, *“the state and law expand with the market.”*¹⁷ As Professor Heilbroner explains, there is a symbiotic relationship between Government and capital. On the one hand, the State relies on a strong economy for the revenues ensuring its expensive goals. On the other hand, capital needs State support to perform its accumulation.¹⁸ For instance, during the Oakland Seven Trial in the 1960s, Judge Phillips affirmed that the trial was about the rights of property and those of demonstrators.¹⁹ However, even when the State abundantly possesses natural resources – as it is the case of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the Islamic Republic of Iran, for example –, it forces its citizens as well as foreigners to pay tax.²⁰ In this regard, Frédéric Bastiat, a classical liberal theorist, political economist, and member of the French Assembly, said nearly two centuries ago, *“When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living together in society, they create for themselves in the course of time a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it.”*²¹ He indeed described taxation as a kind of “legal plunder”.²²

Proudhon has emphasized the contradictory nature of property, which means the negative and positive sides of ownership. On the one side, the proprietors use property to exploit the propertyless people. On the other side, the ownership of means of production and life (e.g., land and housing) is central to autonomy and freedom.²³ The tight relationship between the State and capital has led Professor Barker to regard *“the State as capital”* inasmuch as its institutions are able to act as *““conscious bearer” of the capital-relation.”*²⁴ The arms industry is certainly a salient example in this case. In addition, *“If war is an industry,”* as we read on the Internet, *“how can there be peace in a capitalist world?”*

The issues related to money are also important from the point of view that the State is supposedly responsible to issue and distribute currency in a given territory. The State is nonetheless relying on private sector (i.e. banks, credit institutions, and corporations) that lives on interests and profits, thanks to the complicity of Statesmen/women, lawgivers, and Judges who provide a legalistic and judicialistic frame for capital to exploit us maximally. For example, since the financial crisis in 2007, which has been partly attributed to the State because of its deregulation of economic system and its willing inactivity against financial crimes (such as fraud and escaping tax), the capitalist States have helped the private sectors that are billionaires owning a band of extremely expensive and seasoned lobbyists and lawyers as well. Through “the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008”, the US Government accordingly spent up to

\$700,000,000,000 in order to purchase distressed assets, particularly mortgage-backed securities, and supply cash directly to banks.²⁵ Next to the political repression of the social movements against austerity policies, as Professor Hudis has argued, most Western States “*now have little to offer except demands that those people most in need tighten their belts through austerity measures not just for years but for decades to come.*”²⁶ The help of private property by public property, i.e. the money of taxpayers, is obviously at odds with the capitalist ideology of free market and less Government intervention advocated by the liberal thinkers for our salvation. Professor Blakeley has truly found out that in 2008, “*the massive injection of capital by numerous states to prop up failing banks and other financial institutions*” is “*far removed from the minimal state principle at the heart of neoliberalism.*”²⁷

The States no longer print up and spend the money that they need to operate, but borrow it at interest from the private central banks, which means more tax and debt for citizens and no regulation for banks, credit institutions, insurance companies, and big corporations.²⁸ Such a process of irresponsibilization of financial masters goes completely in the opposite of the overcriminalization of individuals and communities at both national and international levels. Lecturer Lease judiciously warned Americans against this form of Government at the end of nineteenth century: “*Wall Street owns the country. It is no longer a government of the people, by the people, and for the people, but a government of Wall Street, by Wall Street, and for Wall Street. The great common people of this country are slaves, and monopoly is the master. The West and South are bound and prostrate before the manufacturing East. Money rules, and our Vice-President is a London banker. Our laws are the output of a system which clothes rascals in robes and honesty in rags.*”²⁹ You are welcome to the Government of Wall Street!³⁰

The libertarians have also criticized the rule of law, i.e. “*a Government of laws, and not of men*” that Chief Justice John Marshall marked in the opinion for the Supreme Court in *Marbury v. Madison* in 1803. Professor Churchill has challenged this mark in *Perversions of Justice: Indigenous Peoples and Anglo-American Law*. Since, the US has consistently used a corrupt form of legalism as a means of organizing colonial control and empire, when the “*nation of laws*” has totally subverted the law of nations in a manner diametrically opposed to the ideals of liberty and democracy that the American Government has historically professed to embrace.³¹ According to law Professor Fallon, although some scholars have equated the rule of law with “*the natural law*” and in contrast to “*the rule of men*”, we have the very “*rule of men*”, which the rule of law supposedly opposes, inasmuch as the Judges or other officials make law under the guise of applying it. He has hence recognized that because all American constitutional debates about the rule of law “*have discernible kernels of meaning,*” we should try to clarify its invocations.³² The faith in Government and its laws goes hand in hand with the dream of the objectivity and righteousness of the legal system itself.

Professor Hutchinson has accordingly found out that the American legalistic dreamers believe that the laws and not men govern the US, and it is, as Perry, an American legal scholar, has put,³³ *“a nation standing under transcendent judgment”* or *“an American Israel”*.³⁴

3.3.1.2 The Psychological Critiques

The State needs some institutions to indoctrinate its legitimacy and ideology, and to internalize or institutionalize repression from the nursery to the grave.³⁵ the mass media, Church, school, university, sport (especially football as a new *gladiator fight* in the new age of mass manipulation, stupidization, and corruption),³⁶ and so on.³⁷ The law school obviously plays an important role among these institutions, because it legitimizes authorities, propagates the ethos of obeying the law, and scatters the fear of punishment. In this approach, the law Professors and lawyers are a type of “Speakers of Government” in State addiction. Several scholars have accordingly analyzed the people’s addiction to the legal system for solving all problems.³⁸ This legal addiction or opium is observable throughout both substantive and procedural laws, since it constitutes a type of legalized and judicialized dependency on a bunch of political and legal professionals (particularly legislators, Judges, cops, lawyers, and social workers) who are actually working as businesspeople and corporatists. Legal opium indeed means that the citizens are increasingly soliciting Government to solve all existential problems by passing more and more laws that cause overregulation and overcriminalization.

The individuals have consequently lost their sense of compassion, solidarity, comprehension, mediation, restoration, or community problem solving in the age of overregulation in which “jurismania” shows that the legal system is *“so eager to bring every variety of social interaction within its ever-expanding gaze.”*³⁹ Professor Fox has accordingly argued, *“even if modern legal systems provide certain benefits to large portions of the population, dependence on state-enforced solutions brings a host of negative outcomes as well, often related to the inhibition of individual autonomy and psychological sense of community.”*⁴⁰ In other words, the individuals believe that ever more law will be able to solve ever more personal and social problems.⁴¹

In this case, Professor Black thinks that the law makes confidence needless, even outdated. When it is wholly in command, morality itself loses pertinence. The question of right and wrong has become a specialty of professionals such as police, lawyers, and Judges. Justice has thus become an industry.⁴² He also explains that the law inversely varies with other types of social control such as family, friend, neighbour, village, tribe, and organization. The latter decreases while the quantity of the former increases.⁴³ In this case, State power to solve all existential problems has really become a religion or belief according to which lawgivers, constables, sheriffs, and Judges can direct other men righteously.⁴⁴ Anthropologist

Rappaport has therefore argued that neither history nor anthropology shows any society from which religion has been completely absent. Even a modern State that has attempted to abolish religion has replaced it with beliefs and practices which seem to be religious themselves.⁴⁵ For instance, it would make sense that “God Bless”, “God Bless You”, or “God Bless America” is indispensably a leitmotif in the US Presidents’ speeches,⁴⁶ since “*criticizing religion is political suicide*” in America.⁴⁷ In October 2001, the US House of Representatives voted in favour of a resolution to encourage public schools to display signs proclaiming “God Bless America”.⁴⁸

Nonetheless, the masses obviously have the freedom of religion, which actually means the right to be stupid or slave, defended by those who preach the ethos of “religious diversity and pluralism” to us.⁴⁹ Their faith in certain imaginative or irrational creatures has actually some anesthetic effects on their misery imposed by either themselves (e.g., violence) or the Stateswomen/men (e.g., taxation, militarism, and apartheid).⁵⁰ Along with religious ideas, sport, art, and music can function as a weapon by not only propagating and enforcing the legitimacy of authority in a passive or aggressive manner, but also simmering all revolts down in an aesthetic manner since diverting the attention of the people from their misery and exploitation imposed by few elites.⁵¹ In reality, the majority of artistic, athletic, and cultural icons take perfectly part in the current system and profit consequently from its privileges when making some of us happy awhile.

On the contrary, Benjamin Tucker noticed, “*Government is to be transferred from the State to the Individual. This is the new faith; faith no more in the gods over man, but in the God within him,*”⁵² which secularly reminds us of Tolstoy’s *Kingdom of God is within You*. His notice depicts the historical belief in Government as a sacred source of social control. The law and the State are thus sacred, even though they should be more concrete and realistic than God.⁵³

In this sense, this would not be accidentally or grammatically wrong that I write the State or Government with capital “S” or “G”. Since it, like God (if He, She, or It exists), has a very particular and powerful existence founded on power and capital, much further than its subjects or more exactly its slaves, which means the ordinary or unknown people whose existence relies on misery. Who cares about them except when the State is looking forward to vote, exhibition, or propaganda? Furthermore, the State and religion are mutually strengthening while demanding absolute faith in and consequently submission to a supernatural and abstract ideal, such as democracy and God/Goddess: “*Trust in the Lord with all your heart and lean not on your own understanding. In all your ways submit to him, and he will make your paths straight.*” (Proverbs 3:5-6) The same capital rule could be applied to the Judges and the Professors who have already

scared us off criticizing them, thanks to their powerful tools of punishing us: *"Whosoever he be that shall rebel against thy commandment, and shall not hearken unto thy words in all that thou commandest him, he shall be put to death."* (Joshua 1:18) It should not accordingly be by chance that they use capital "J" for the Judges of the Supreme Court: Justices. This is why I sarcastically use the Tanakh, Bible, and Quran in legal anarchism or in my other writings. On the contrary, I write the society or the masses with small "s" or "m", since they are still either unknown or unimportant, except when the State needs firstly to be legitimized and selected (e.g., Elections Canada), then to impose suffering over its slaves and to award happiness to its elite workers or the Stateswomen/men.

Professor Scheingold believes that the law is not only real, but also imaginative insomuch as like all social institutions, *"it casts a shadow of popular belief that may ultimately be more significant, albeit more difficult to comprehend, than the authorities, rules, and penalties that we ordinarily associate with law. What we believe reflects our values; it also colors our perceptions."*⁵⁴ Sociologist Marchak finds out the close ties between what the people believe about political governance in the world and what they believe about the meaning of Gods and human existence.⁵⁵ Seabrook, a journalist and author, accordingly states that ruling castes justify their right to rule by a mystical appeal to antiquity, lineage and divine sanction.⁵⁶ According to Sheehan, Marx has claimed that *"bourgeois relationships are smuggled into our consciousness as natural laws."*⁵⁷ Stirner thinks that State power is ideological and relies on *"the successful indoctrination of its subjects."*⁵⁸ Landstreicher thus starts his *Against the Logic of Submission* by arguing: *"Submission to domination is enforced not solely, nor even most significantly, through blatant repression, but rather through subtle manipulations worked into the fabric of everyday social relationships."*⁵⁹

In fact, the State is a network of ideologies and institutions historically rooted in all aspects of human and non-human existence: *State mentality*. As Landauer put, because certain relationships among human beings make the State, we are able to destroy it only by creating new relationships and institutions that will replace the current ones.⁶⁰ Professor Comfort has likewise argued, *"it may be a long time yet before the work of the majority of the people can be made to be a spontaneous, creative experience. Since the technological difficulties are less, love would seem offer a field for an earlier and speedier development of spontaneity, of positive, individualized values, and inner freedom."*⁶¹ Humble finds that after a lifetime of being taught to obey authority and its hierarchies, it is not easy to suddenly interact with all individuals as equals.⁶²

The moral obligation to the law unavoidably constitutes one part of all law schools around the world, regardless of the legal system: civil law, common law, Islamic law, Jewish law, Christian law, Marxist law, and so on.⁶³ The arguments for such an obligation are diverse: fear of chaos and disorder or the state of nature, the divine right of the ruler, social contract (a real Faustian bargain), democracy, public welfare,

general will, psychological and material interests, the correlative relationship between rights and duties, and so on. In this case, even there is an archaic martyr: Socrates who “*peacefully drank the poison as required by the laws of Athens.*”⁶⁴ Such a legal obligation depends essentially on the legitimacy of authority. If we hence put into question State legitimacy, all moral values of State laws will collapse along with Weber’s universal adage of legitimate violence perpetrated by the State. In this sense, Professor Smith starts his article by questioning about the existence of “a *prima facie* obligation to obey the law”, and he is going to finish it by two questions: “*What governments enjoy legitimate authority?*” and “*Have the citizens of any government a prima facie obligation to obey the law?*”⁶⁵ He has thus left us with more doubts and questions than certitude and answers.

Constant already accepted the duty of obedience to the law, which is relative and not absolute. According to him, the ideology of boundless obedience to the law has perhaps caused more evil than all other mistakes that have led humankind astray.⁶⁶ Raz, a legal philosopher, would later assume that even in a just society, we are not generally obliged to obey the law.⁶⁷ After examining the moral and legal obligation to obey the law, Richards, Professor at New York University School of Law, concludes that the anarchist literature, such as Godwin’s *Enquiry Concerning Political Justice*⁶⁸ and Wolff’s *In Defense of Anarchism*, has truly challenged such an obligation. He thinks that the anarchists reject the requirements that the sovereignty of the people or the moral ideal of democracy imposes on Governmental moral claim to our obedience. This claim is actually fragile and constantly threatened insomuch as no previous political theory and practice have proved it yet. A coherent meaning of obedience in democracy requires this obedience to be earned and permanently tested in order that “*when citizens obey, their obedience expresses the moral sovereignty of each person to the greatest extent feasible in a political order.*”⁶⁹

Professor McBride has similarly concluded that punishment apart, the legal systems are not able to appeal to any legal obedience as such, they only “*inspire obedience in “the old-fashioned way;” they must earn it.*”⁷⁰ Professor Barnett would later rely on identical argument regarding the US Constitution by arguing that intellectual honesty acknowledges that no constitution lacking unanimous consent produces any laws that are conscientiously abiding.⁷¹ In short, the anarchists reject “*the existence of a prima facie obligation to obey the law.*”⁷² For example, Proudhon might contrast “unconditional obedience” to the law, forced by sanction, with “conditional obedience”, which means an individual respects the law as long as it guarantees her security and interest as well.⁷³

3.3.1.3 The Legal System

Legal anarchism recognizes two pieces that have a tight relation: the education of obedience to the law and the practice of the law. Racism and despotism are omnipresent in both parts, since so-called Caucasian race, Christianity, and Judaism constitute the old and new foundation of the Western legal system.

After many years of contacting, personally or through my classmates and friends, and feeling the legal system as a student, researcher, intern, GA, TA, and RA, I have noticed the extreme indoctrination, dehumanization, immorality, corruption, and criminality of the law school and legal system. Although I have not practiced hopefully any type of law, I should be able to acknowledge the seductions of wealth, fame, power, or authority provided by legal profession.

Moreover, the lawyers are specialists in “legal pickpocketing”, which means to empty the pocket of clients, thanks to the State that has recognized and legalized these white-collar criminals.

Legal anarchism is by no means against those who sell their body because of their poverty in our unequal societies governed by some gangsters, but undoubtedly against the “intellectual prostitutes”⁷⁴ including the legal professionals. It indeed opposes those who are too active in selling themselves to whomever by making our world full of injustice, inequality, violence, and pollution. There is no shame on the first group but on the second, even though our intellectual prostitutes, like the Governors, have no sense of shame at all. We should also keep in mind that legal anarchism is not sexist, and does not try to stigmatize or insult women, but it advocates “gender equality” and “gender liberation”, especially through the principle of free love. Moreover, legal anarchism does defend neither female nor male prostitution, because in an anarchist society, relied on justice and equality, prostitution is not a serious problem as it is in our very unequal and sexist society.

3.3.1.3.1 The Law School

The law schools are traditionally the white corporate centers in which the law Professors are indoctrinating legal prostitution and robbery, on the one hand, and obedience to the law, on the other hand. These legalistic indoctrination and obedience need despotism, enforced by the Masters of the law schools who govern their fiefdom as it pleases them. They are ironically preaching the ethos of transparency, accountability, and democracy to us, while these concepts have obviously no existence in their kingdom.

3.3.1.3.1.1 The Corporate Center of Indoctrinating Future Lawyers

“If you judge the law, you are not a doer of the law but a judge.” “Your job is to obey the law, not to judge whether it applies to you.”

(James 4:11)

By the corporate center of indoctrinating legal prostitution and robbery, legal anarchism means that the law schools and the law Professors are acting in accordance with the interests of corporation and academia as well. The law schools actually constitute academic corporations, hierarchically managed by some wealthy, influential, and networking people in both political and economic authorities. The wealth of law schools comes from the pocket of students, the public and private sectors: tuition fees, taxpayers,⁷⁵ and donors. In this sense, the Professors are businesspeople who, as intellectual saddles, live over the back of the society that plays the role of a horse, without producing any real good but only providing some types of service, mostly through rhetorical verbiage and nonsense! There are not only human progress and political cancer (i.e. Government) making complex the relations among human beings, but also the law teachers whose business depends on making these relations for our own salvation too difficult. These teachers are actually the great-grandsons of the Sophists who were supposedly the first generation of lawyers incarnating destructive rhetoric, unscrupulousness, intellectual charlatanism, fallacious reasoning, and money-hunger.⁷⁶

For example, Professor Mandel mixed sophistry and lawyering: *“Law is not a thing, but a way of arguing about things.”*⁷⁷ His simple statement implies that we are able to do everything we want to do, but we should only argue artistically like a lawyer. His legalistic reasoning indeed relies upon sophistry rather than ethics, since charlatanism is highly appreciated and determinative in legal verbiage, which eventually implies that *might is right* to make any law, regardless of any ethical principle (e.g., capital punishment and genocide). In other words, State law is a matter of “power”, rather than “ethics”.

When it comes to the cost of this learning, first year Osgoodian students paid \$20,564.28 in tuition and \$890.68 in ancillary fees, for a total cost of \$21,454.96 in 2012. As for annual tuition fees and ancillary fees in the 2013-2014 academic year, they were respectively \$21,593 and \$909. Tuition has annually increased 8% since the 2006-2007 academic year, while the Government of Ontario has fixed a tuition framework capping all increases at 5% per year on March 28, 2013. In this case, Professors Easton and Rockerbie argue that although the majority of Canadian university programs still function under a tuition

ceiling, certain specialty programs, such as law schools and the Master of Business Administration, “have been deregulated.”⁷⁸

In *Are We Paying too Much for Law School?*, Wong, an Osgoode JD student, has found out that “the total cost of a three-year Osgoode JD easily exceeds \$120,000.”⁷⁹ On the one side, such an expensive education could be a good motivation for the law students, stemming from wealthy families, to offer legal service to anybody who can afford their fees under the pretext of “higher-paying options in order to pay off their debt loads,” as Wong has already beautified their eventual role in the profession.⁸⁰ In short, they would make law firms or law plunderers in order to cover their law school debts. In this sense, lawyering could be a form of “money laundering”. On the other side, OHLS is a very profitable legal business for its wealthy Deans and Professors with total revenue of \$25,070,950 in 2012-2013.⁸¹ Wong has accordingly noticed that Osgoode’s annual operating expenses are \$23,000,000, of which 81.67% goes to salaries and benefits while the remaining percentage is spent on operating costs, utilities, scholarships, and bursaries. Full-time faculty members receive \$10,700,000 of this pie, while support staff receives \$6,000,000.⁸²

Thornton, Professor of law at the Australian National University, has already provided other examples of corporatization and marketization in several law schools in which the Professors enjoy high salaries depending on the Dean’s discretion.⁸³ Shall legal anarchism also remind, for instance, that the Ohio State University paid its President, a lawyer by training, more than \$6,000,000 per year between 2010 and 2013?⁸⁴

As far as the University of Toronto is concerned, tuition for the 2013-2014 GPLLM was \$29,257 (domestic students) or \$42,004 (international students) for a one-year course of study. This amount included neither most program materials, meals, and other Faculty of Law fees, nor the University of Toronto and School of Graduate Studies fees of approximately \$1,200, nor the cost of textbooks for courses designed to meet National Committee on Accreditation requirements. There were eventually no scholarships and bursaries available at the Faculty of Law for the GPLLM program! Domestic and international JD program fees for the 2014-2015 academic year are respectively \$31,535.96 and \$42,483.96 for the first year students.⁸⁵ In this case, Goldberg has found out that law, medicine, and dentistry are the most expensive programs, while tuition fees at professional schools have even quadrupled in some Canadian provinces. This has therefore raised fears that lawyering will be accessible only to certain rich people.⁸⁶ As for me, I seriously doubt that access to legal education has ever been available to the poor, since the middle or upper class has traditionally monopolized the law schools and, consequently, lawyering. Besides, Professors Marcucci and Johnstone have stated that even though “the presumably

higher unit costs of the classical university may be true for medicine, it is probably not true for certain other professional programmes, such as law or business, which are highly sought after and which bring considerable private benefits, but which can be rather inexpensively delivered, particularly at the first-degree level.”⁸⁷

While US couples spend almost \$30,000 on their weddings, one year at a top-tier law school and a Columbia JD cost respectively around \$60,000 and \$263,694.⁸⁸ Law Professor Thomson has pointed out that between 1992 and 2003, the cost of living in the US increased 28%, while tuition at public law schools rose 100% for non-residents and 134% for residents, and tuition at private law schools rose 76%. As a result, 20% of law students expect to owe more than \$120,000 in law school debt, and more than 50% expect to owe more than \$60,000.⁸⁹ The increasing tuition fees in the corporate universities, particularly in the law schools, affect more the international students from the poor countries, on the one side, and go on an international scale, on the other side.⁹⁰ However, many parents are dreaming of sending their children to the law school, and many young people are dreaming of going.⁹¹ Furthermore, the payback-money demands “*une carte blanche*” for the future legal professionals to obtain their fees by any means, since law school debt justifies all means.

Nonetheless, the increase of tuition does not necessary guarantee a good job after graduation, thanks to the law schools’ manipulation of postgraduate employment numbers in order to attract more applicants. As a result, several unemployed American lawyers have recently sued their law schools because of “false hope”, “false advertising”, “fraud”, “negligent misrepresentation”, “legerdemain”, and “violations of business law” in the “nationwide lawyer glut” while legal employment opportunities have decreased.⁹² On the contrary, the law schools are perfectly rich to legally provide the academic and spoiled personalities with the *cumul des mandats*, even in so-called *hard times*: Deanship, Professorship, editorship, researchship, scholarship, member of parliament, and so on. Our Honorable Professors, like the politicians, must enjoy accumulated jobs, because they merit! This professional accumulation appears in an academic market that has never stopped to say us that there is extreme competition for few jobs strengthened by deficit, which actually conceals academic elitism, corruption, and criminality backed by verminous connection or networking. For instance, drawing on data from Germany, the UK, and the US, Professor Afonso examined “*how the academic job market is structured in many respects like a drug gang, with an expanding mass of outsiders and a shrinking core of insiders.*”⁹³

3.3.1.3.1.2 Racist Obedience to the Law

“Any school system and authoritarian culture that encourages blind obedience and punishes the questioning of authority will lead to fascism and dictatorship.”

Jørgen Johansen⁹⁴

The State has certainly unlimited interest to manage the quality and quantity of legal education and legal profession through reducing, as Professor Zinn implies, “*many of the complex requirements of modern society to a simple rule*”: “*Obey the law*.”⁹⁵ Professors Bankowski and Mungham have accordingly found out “*an increasing State interest in how and where solicitors practice; in what they practice and in the content of legal education programmes in law schools*.”⁹⁶ To guarantee such an interest, Government needs to propagate the indoctrination of obedience to the law, one essential part of the educational and legal systems. For instance, the academics, jurists, members of the bar, and Judges were crucial participants in creating and upholding “the apartheid legal order” under “the rule of law” (i.e. “the apartheid rule of law” or “the colonial rule of law”) in South Africa, as they are now when it comes to Israeli apartheid.⁹⁷ In this case, Mandela was a phenomenal lawyer who became a wealthy cult in and a profiteer of, along with his clan, the very unequal, corrupt, and violent system in the so-called post-apartheid era.⁹⁸

According to an instrumentalist approach to education, the university is unavoidably one essential element of teaching obedience to the law and hierarchy. In this regard, Professor Kolko has argued that the university focuses on commitments making its relationship to any State “*inherently tense and potentially subversive, no less in the United States than in Peru*.”⁹⁹ Nevertheless, Professors Lipset and Basu state that they historically regard the American highbrows as a source of unrest. They also find out that, as the Weimar intellectuals demonstrate, the intellectuals rarely defend the status quo.¹⁰⁰ Despite its propaganda of “diversity” and “plurality”, the law schools have failed to recognize different ideologies, because it imposes a specific ideology, currently liberalism, over others. In spite of its beautiful façade, it is ugly inside inasmuch as the Professors as well as students have practically made “human dignity”, “human rights”, and “democracy” meaningless, all meaningless because existence has become a “matter of money” through legal rhetoric and reasoning when they are simply “*arguing about things*”.

The law school with its curricula is historically a hierarchical cradle of preaching and preserving the status quo through mastering the methods of lawyering. As a future lawyer, an Osgoodian student has incarnated this mastery when rhetorically arguing: “*Recall our Ethical Lawyering discussions on role morality and creativity. Lawyering does not have to be about being a hired gun or playing the role of the heartless professional. If we worked at incorporating ourselves into the profession, we could come up with creative solutions to making the*

world a slightly better place."¹⁰¹ How are these creative solutions ("*making the world a slightly better place*", but obviously not "*a just place*") possible in one of the most unethical jobs whose actors are entitled to defend anybody regardless of justice?

The law school is indeed beautifying conservatism by preaching "slight change" or "progressive change" to us as the best solution for all evils of the legal systems, including white male supremacy and inequality. It is not perhaps a revolutionary place for the Professors to play the role of revolutionaries; rather a basin of comforting middle or upper castes whose business is the law, regardless of its fairness. A law Professor is a type of rational animal who has no feeling of doubt or shame when she/he is proudly teaching a racist law among her/his students. For example, she/he is serenely teaching the Canadian *Employment Equity Act* of 1995 whose article 3 says who are visible minorities: "*persons, other than aboriginal peoples, who are non-Caucasian in race or non-white in color,*" which means black, South Asian, Chinese, Korean, Japanese, Filipino, Arab, Latin American, and so on.¹⁰² As for me, I have permanently to answer whether I take part in these racialized minorities, particularly in Canadian academia that has never stopped to preach human dignity and equality to us.

Simply by putting money in their pocket and giving them some academic or political position, you can expect the erudite Professors and seasoned lawyers to defend anything, anytime, anywhere. They have really come into existence to say us, i.e. ignorant people, what is good and what is wrong for us: "*Exalted are You; we have no knowledge except what You have taught us. Indeed, it is You who are the Knowing, the Wise.*" (Al Baqarah 2:32) As far as the legal system is concerned, they are the Emperors whose Empires are the law: "*Obey me, and I will be your God, and you will be my people. Do everything as I say, and all will be well.*" (Jeremiah 7:23)

It seems that the Canadian universities generally and the law schools particularly have failed to provide any "critical pedagogy" against "white supremacy", which is symbolized throughout the so-called visible minorities who are excluded from Professorship, scholarship, fellowship, award, conference, and publication for the sake of a bunch of white American as well as European Professors. They are also aggrandizing any fellowship or Professorship for those who are supposedly belonging to the visible minorities, through manipulated photos, interviews, statistics, researches, and so on.¹⁰³ If the university is sacredly a competitive environment, its few positions are reserved for the whitest and the most connected and spoiled people, except when it accepts from some so-called people of color or disable people for the sake of its propaganda of equality and multiculturalism. In this case, may I twist Dante's *Divine Comedy*? *Abandon all hope ye who want to enter academia, if you are not from white race.*

As the top of law schools, the Deans, and law Professors, participate in protecting the status quo and State power by teaching every type of law, regardless of its injustice, discrimination, racism, or sexism, and being, as a vegetable, blind, deaf, and mute vis-à-vis academic and social injustice. In this sense, they are white-collar criminals who help other criminals to escape from justice. For preparing this escape, the law Professors are teaching *the right of defense*, which indeed aims at making money, regardless of innocence or guilt. If they say something about our miseries and injustice, they are mostly doing this in accordance with a frame that the Papa State has already designed for them.¹⁰⁴ They are also fabricating the students who must be as fearful and obedient as possible. As a result, the law students could place among the most impotent, conservative, or alienated people when it comes to fighting against, for instance, environmental destruction, poverty, starvation, exploitation, war, tyranny, mass murder, torture, police brutality, and robber barons for whom they, as future barristers or solicitors, will do certain great services with all their energy, knowledge, and experience. Like their Masters, the law students criticize these issues as long as their interests permit. In summary, a law school is a propagandist institution that functions in all conditions for all political regimes, since it fills the pockets of law Professors and students, including modestly but discriminatively myself, in all seasons!

As for the “evidence” about my critiques of the law schools and its Professors, I have formerly given several examples, and provided many references in this thesis as well as in my other writings explaining the structural problems of legal education. Moreover, thanks to the lack of research on racism, discrimination, and Jewish lobby, the law teachers, like many other elites, are perfectly able to either discredit or mock these problems on the basis that they are simply the “paranoiac” or “fictive” questions by some frustrated, sensational, wrecked, or marginal individuals who are not academic in any proper sense! As for their acolytes, their lovely docile students are too fearful to speak freely, despite the fact that these issues concern some of them; this fearfulness is really the foundation of all law schools. As a result, “university crimes” are secret and, consequently, unpunished. The lack of studies has already strengthened these secrecy and impunity, because the academicians have a good reason to be silent vis-à-vis their crimes and their colleagues’. Is not the university a Christian-Jewish version of “Madrasa” because they all share the common principle of brainwashing? We can also ask what is the matter of Christianity, Judaism, Islam, Buddhism, Hinduism, or whatever religion in managing a legal system? The answer would depend on the questions of transparency and democracy in the law schools.

3.3.1.3.1.3 The Lack of Transparency and Democracy

The Masters of the law schools rule their kingdom with an iron fist, while any action against their rule is punished through various academic and legal sanctions.

3.3.1.3.1.3.1 Academic and Legal Dictatorship

Despite their worship of democracy and transparency, the Godfathers/mothers in the law schools are undemocratically and hierarchically selected by certain invisible hands, and consequently *inaccessible* to the students and society, as well as *irresponsible* for their behaviours, except when their pocket and prestige need quite the opposite. How many times have you participated in electing a Dean? The difference between a dictator and a Dean comes from the fact that the former may be sometimes selected, while the invisible hands select the latter in a place that is supposedly the cradle of propagating the democratic ideologies. We have been actually conditioned to see a political dictator and an academic despot respectively as “tough” and “soft”: one may be selected and another is selected upon our heads to play the role of an Almighty God or Goddess. Both Dean and dictator diabolically share an identical desire: the power to control and to punish.

The universities and especially the law schools are traditionally a political machine to sell honorary doctorates and degrees to the dictators, political gangsters, their clans, or cronies. Let legal anarchism give some examples of scholarly-awarded dictators. *Elena Ceausescu* received honorary doctorates awarded by the University of Teheran, Jordan University, and the University of Manila. *Mugabe* has more than 50 honorary degrees and doctorates from the international universities, including the law degrees awarded by Edinburgh University, the University of Massachusetts, and Michigan State University. *Suzanne Mubarak* has obtained honorary doctorates from several academic institutions, including Cairo University, Azerbaijan State Economic University, Westminster College, and Iwa University. *Muammar Gaddafi* had several honorary degrees from Megatrend University, the Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics, and so on.¹⁰⁵

All universities and colleges in general and law schools in particular rely on secrecy and despotism, transparency and democracy are consequently nonexistent in academia whose God people decide for everybody and everything. At the top of these hierarchical institutions place the Presidents and their associates who, like the State officers, are irresponsible for their actions or inactions. Some invisible hands actually select and promote them. When there is neither transparency nor democracy, certainly corruption and discrimination govern. The universities are really left at the mercy of the academic Masters who do

what they desire in the name of the “freedom of speech” or the “freedom of research”. Professor Baez argues, “Confidentiality is linked rhetorically and in institutional and legal practices with academic freedom, privacy, and honesty. The effect of such linking appears to be that the academy can further its institutional and corporate interests, couch those interests in individualistic language, and thereby insulate itself from any significant kind of accountability or resistance. (...) Academic and legal practices, in particular, maintain confidentiality as a given in the academy, a given that ensures but disguises the mechanisms of power that determine what should be spoken and who should speak it.”¹⁰⁶

Our Honorable Professors, like the lawyers and politicians, are almost irresponsible for their crimes (such as plagiarism, sexual harassment, nepotism, bribery, and blackmail), since there are really no specific law and court fighting against their criminality. We are just accustomed to seeing some special laws and jurisdictions for repressing miserable criminals (e.g., young offenders) or tyrannical losers (e.g., the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991). Our Honorable law Professors are crowned with teaching these laws and courts as the theoretical role, when Our Honorable lawyers are entrusted to say us who is guilty and who is not as the practical role. In both roles, we must obey them.

Nevertheless, very few organizations or individuals are fighting against corruption and criminality in the law schools, while absolutely forgetting the issues of hierarchy and tyranny in legal education itself. For instance, *Law School Transparency*, as a legal watchdog, is touching so timidly and too gently the problem when being going *à la chasse aux sorcières* by dedicating to improve consumer information and to reform the traditional law school model.¹⁰⁷

Arthurs is a law Professor who severely criticized legal fundamentalists in his seminar on “*Valour rather than Prudence*”: *Hard Times and Hard Choices for Canada’s Legal Academy* on January 16, 2013.¹⁰⁸ He said nothing about racism, discrimination, corruption, or despotism in the law school during so-called “hard times”! In spite of his crusade against legal fundamentalists, this Professor could *per se* be himself a legal fundamentalist who believed that the robust dialogue between fundamentalists and anti-fundamentalists is the fief of academia in which the outsiders are not actually welcome.¹⁰⁹ He thus implies the death of transparency and democracy taught by our honorable law teachers. How can he be an “anti-fundamentalist” when he has successfully reached the top of the institutions founded upon inertia and Statism as well? Contrary to his belief that the worldwide recession has deteriorated faculty salaries and working conditions, the law Professors are still very well paid,¹¹⁰ and only the students and their families

must pay the price of the so-called economic crisis, as Professor Arthurs himself mentioned.¹¹¹ He does not certainly like to hear that increasing tuition makes the Professors richer and happier forever.

In short, Professor Arthurs manipulated the truth about the wealth, corruption, and racism of law schools, when he perfectly reduced these issues to the purely “financial factors”. He claimed: “As *rising numbers of law graduates are unable to find articling positions or entry-level professional jobs, students will be less and less likely to enrol in academic programs which are not seen to be professionally negotiable.*”¹¹² Nonetheless, “hard times in economy” do not likely “*provoke painful revision of the progressive view of law in which the intellectual DNA of the Canadian legal academy has long been secreted,*”¹¹³ as he believed. I would ask him, have these hard times reduced his comfortable wealth and salary as a Professor Emeritus? Coates, another famous Professor, has thus stated, “*Canadian universities have gained substantial federal and provincial financial support, and faculty enjoy the second-highest average salaries in the world and enviable working conditions on most campuses.*”¹¹⁴

It seems that the law school is a secret institution abandoned to itself in order to manage its knowledge and wealth while regarding any serious critique as a fictive conspiracy or pure paranoia. All wrongdoers therefore exist outside the law school, and all law teachers are saints: “*I am pure and without sin, I am clean and free from guilt.*” (Job 33:9) There are certainly some limits for its abandonment, because the law teachers are working for *improving* the law school as well as the legal system and not destroying them. In fact, they can easily indoctrinate the students, thanks to the hidden curricula of law schools that are far from any outsider’s critique. How many books and articles about the corruption of law schools have we ever found? What type of commodity or good the law Professors produce better than verbalistic and senseless service?

Furthermore, the law schools are not only speechless vis-à-vis their own problems, but also coward toward other social problems. For example, Professor Arthurs said that although *the Task Force on the Canadian Common law Degree*,¹¹⁵ which exemplifies a fundamentalist approach, has imposed common curriculum and admission standards over law faculties, “*no law faculty or university has so far challenged the new regime by declaring its intention not to comply or by seeking legal recourse against it.*”¹¹⁶ What can we expect the law faculties, the great providers of Governors and technocrats¹¹⁷ who enslave the masses by their art of rhetoric and brainwashing, to do something against their own slavery? How can they go against their own system?

As a matter of fact, it should be necessary to study despotism, corruption, and crimes in academia according to several aspects: the selection and promotion of Deans, directors, Professors, and students,

the economic and political management of law school (especially research grants, scholarship, bursary, award, and travel funding), publication in so-called academic publishing, and credential journal or review. Of course, the Professors and their Masters will resist, in the name of academic freedom and integrity, any attempt to clarify or democratize their fiefdoms. As the eternal gatekeepers of knowledge and progress, they do neither allow nor tolerate any serious study about their delinquency and the attitude of their spoiled acolytes.

3.3.1.3.1.3.2 The Academic and Legal Sanctions Against the Outsiders

The dictator and the Dean have the sufficient tools to impose their desires and ideologies. Moreover, they manage their serfdom and choose everybody that they love, such as minister, associate, and student. There is really no opponent to the scholastic Godfathers/mothers, because the academic elites select the Professors, staffs, and students who must ensure their hegemony and obey their rules. For example, I seriously doubt that neither Osgoode nor any other law school would have accepted me, if it had realized that I would later become so radical against State law. Transparency and plurality have scarcely found any place in the university from which democracy is already excluded. The dissidents are tolerable as long as they remain powerless or speechless and do not consequently destroy the foundation of academia by committing any type of scholastic *lèse-majesté*. The academics have only time and energy for propagating their ideologies and making their own business as profitable as possible.

During the process of election in their hierarchical systems relied on and strengthened by State power, the academic Masters own *les armes redoutables* against their adversaries, offenders, and voiceless dissidents as well. For instance, there are GPA, disciplinary sanctions, suspension, probation, expulsion, retirement, funding cuts, the rejection of scholarship or bursary, thesis unexaminability, peer review, censorship, espionage, and academic boycott (refusing to accept an outsider's application or to publish a dissident's research).¹¹⁸ These sanctions are powerful enough to silence or to crush down any student or academician who dares to think outside the box. Radicalism has rarely found any great place in academia, because academia is traditionally a reactionary environment and a safeguard against any fundamental change of the status quo.

The legal Godfathers/mothers are struggling to not only ensure their authority and domination, but also protect their clans against any invasion. Such a struggle has hitherto been a success, because neither students nor ordinary people have any control or supervision over the election, promotion, and function of the Deans. In summary, democracy, justice, and transparency have no place in any law school, directed by the iron fist of legal Masters who mercilessly destroy all outsiders and dissidents as well.

3.3.1.3.2 Lawyering

Before getting into our debate on lawyering, legal anarchism expresses three notices. Firstly, lawyering is a “violent business”, because it takes part in Weber’s legitimate violence or in law’s violence against humanity and nature, by either justifying this form of violence or facilitating sanction. In this case, if we put State legitimacy into question, lawyering is *a fortiori* delegitimized.

Secondly, in a Manichaeistic approach to legal power, it seems that there are two groups of people in the world. On the one side, there are ignorant individuals who are majority. On the other side, there are legal savants, divided into two parts in turn: one does not steal the first group, and another does it throughout a complex and highly hierarchical system with its extremely codified language and rituals, which means the legal system. In fact, the existence of legal robbers (particularly attorneys, prosecutors, Judges, and experts) depends on monopolizing legal and practical knowledge. In spite of its importance in legal anarchism, the anarchist analysis of all these robbers will certainly go outside the capacity of my thesis. Therefore, I only analyze the situation of lawyers and Judges who are not only the result of the legal system, but also its leaders.

Thirdly, I use “the lawyers”, instead of “all lawyers”, to avoid an absolute generalization of anarchist analysis and critique of lawyering. I indeed recognize that there can be “few lawyers” who exercise their profession in accordance with the ethical principles relying on justice and liberty.¹¹⁹

3.3.1.3.2.1 The Lawyers

As a traditionally corporatist and propagandist institution of obedience to the law, the lawyers are truly subject to a very bad reputation in their moral bankruptcy. According to Wickman, the lawyers have historically come from noble and wealthy backgrounds.¹²⁰

3.3.1.3.2.1.1 The Very Bad Reputation and Moral Bankruptcy

The very bad reputation of lawyers is not something limited only to public opinion, since it also reflects in some scholars’ writings. They historically see the lawyers as dull, vile, pompous asses, businesspeople, money-grubbers, thieves, crooks, necessary evils (“*Nobody Likes a Lawyer until They Need One*”), fat cats, aloof, arrogant, expensive, unethical, and so on:¹²¹ “*Woe to you lawyers, because you have taken away the key to knowledge. You yourselves have not entered, and you have hindered those who were entering.*” (Luke 11:52) The bad reputation of legal professional has created certain “anti-lawyer feeling” as

well as “movement”,¹²² which may eventually result in outdating the lawyers and abolishing the art of lawyering together.

Even a top of the legal profession, Federal Judge Marvin Frankel, told an audience of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York in 1974: *“our adversary system rates truth too low among the values that institutions of justice are meant to serve.”*¹²³ Professor Hutchinson is perfectly aware of the lawyers’ low level of respect in the society, while he is struggling to save them simply by some ethical advices:

*“The legal profession has never been much loved. From Plato through Charles Dickens to Tom Wolfe, literature attests eloquently to its impugned status. As much envied as reviled, the reputation and prestige of lawyers is now considered by many to be at an all-time low. Its image as a noble and honourable profession is in tatters. Society tends to view lawyers as a rich and elite profession that is more interested in its own pocketbook than the public interest. The number of savage jokes about lawyers would be funny if they did not touch a raw nerve: after all, humour is not so much an escape from reality as from despair. In receipt of a professional monopoly, lawyers are considered self-interested and undeserving of their privileged right to govern themselves. (...) Having become too much a corporate business than a public vocation, it is urged that the legal profession must revert to this traditional esprit de corps if it is to regain its respectability and stature: lawyers must reclaim the ethical legacy of noble lawyers past.”*¹²⁴

As for some academic examples about the bad reputation of lawyer, Barnhizer, Professor Emeritus of law at Cleveland State University, said, *“Several years ago a UK blog posted a question about whether lawyers are liars. The best answer was voted to be one offered by someone listed as Eartha W. It stated, “Lawyers do not lie. They bend the truth. They avoid the truth. They never let the facts get in the way of a good defence ... but they do not lie. They get taught how to do this at University. [I]t’s in a subject called Legal Ethics. An oxymoron if ever there was one!!”*^{125”}¹²⁶

In *Lying to Clients*, Lerman, Professor of law at the Catholic University of America, started to say that the lawyers do not supposedly lie to their clients, while the disciplinary rules forbid all conduct of dishonesty, fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit. She then finished by observing that many lawyers continue to deceive their customers, while many customers continue not to trust their lawyers either. The lawyers, unlike other corporations, are indeed abandoned to themselves in the name of their independence and in order to manage their own fraud, manipulation, deception, lie, money-hunger, and criminality.¹²⁷ Professor Lerman accordingly argued, *“New disciplinary rules alone will not correct the institutional problems that erode lawyers’ ability to value truthfulness, but they can initiate the process and set the standards. Law firms need to reevaluate the work environments that they create for their lawyers, and to decide how much deception they wish to tolerate or tacitly encourage.”*¹²⁸

When it comes to comparing the lawyers' ethical standards and ratings of honesty to other professions, Professors Hartley and Petrucci have found out that the lawyers score 11th out of 13th possible rankings, which means only higher than business executives, insurance salespeople, people in advertising, and car salespeople.¹²⁹ In short, they, like politicians, are unpopular while occupying the lowest place in supposedly the most trusted profession.

In the wake of Professor Lerman, Menkel-Meadow, law Professor at University of California, advised "a golden rule": "*The lawyer should be as truthful to the client as she expects the client to be with her.*"¹³⁰ Is this rule applicable in an extremely deceptive and propagandist environment that we know as the legal system? Any person is looking forward to committing a perfect crime needs to pay a lawyer who will teach him how doing it in the best way possible.

When it comes to the ethics of legalistic thinking or thinking-like-a-lawyer, moral or ethical concerns have rarely had any value in legal arguments, since legal thinking is fundamentally depersonalized and desensitized toward human as well as natural pains.¹³¹ As their predecessors (i.e. the ancient Sophists), the lawyers are infallibly accustomed to defending any topic, regardless of rightness or wrongness, as far as their buck is guaranteed. It should not consequently be irrational that they have traditionally defended the value of white male supremacy and capitalism, while rejecting or mocking morality as legally irrelevant because of its "emotional" or "sentimental" considerations that have no place in any *good normative framework*, which is supposedly founded on reason, objectivity, neutrality, and impartiality. In this case, the legalistic defense of the property rights of masters over their slaves in the Fugitive Slave Laws of 1793 and 1850 is a classic example.¹³² The lawyers are actually looking at us as "the clients" and not as "human beings", as the psychologists are looking at the rats.¹³³

In fact, the legal profession is a business in which all means are very welcome as long as they fill the pocket of lawyers up by sophistry and manipulation. Legal professionals have scarcely had any ideology outside the dominant ideology. They are perfectly able to adapt themselves to any type of political regime: communist, socialist, capitalist, Stalinist, fascist, nazist, Islamist, Judaist, Christian, racist, colonialist, imperialist, anarchist, etc. They are really legalist animals for all legal seasons! The deontological considerations are weak among them. In this sense, legal morality seems to be like a fiction or bad reputation. Some scholars have thus examined why the people believe that a good lawyer is a dead lawyer,¹³⁴ and why Shakespeare said "*let's kill all the lawyers*" in *Henry VI*.¹³⁵ Does any good lawyer really exist? Cannot a dead lawyer be dangerous yet because of his/her harmful ideological heritage on humanity and nature?

Every corporation certainly has its own quacks and gangsters, but the big problem with the legal corporation or the Bar is that the time of “private justice” or “revenge” has long gone. As a result, we have rarely had any other option than paying a lawyer to claim our rights, when he/she does not really care about our rights, but our money. In judicial jungles, those who have both capital and expensively seasoned attorneys, strengthened with the mass media’s support, would have much more chance to win than those who are poor, but have only right. So, what would you like to call a profession that mostly makes money by deceiving the legal system or our legitimate rampart against injustice? For instance, White, one of the nation’s preeminent authorities on capital punishment and longtime law Professor at the University of Pittsburgh,¹³⁶ argued that although “*a new band of dedicated lawyers has vigorously rerepresented capital defendants,*” less dedicated lawyers have shockingly defended in an inadequate manner.¹³⁷

To practice law thus requires the fabrication of a special personality founded on immorality and deception, which is identical to the profession of politics. Due to the complexity of the legal system and to the intelligence and rhetorical capacities of attorneys, those who practice lawyering are white-collar criminals *per se*. May the people call a “lawyer” a “liar” or “crook” in the future? There are historically some terminological similarities among the concepts of “liar”, “shyster”, and “lawyer”.¹³⁸ There are certain big and expensive lawyers behind or in front of all big evils, such as war, torture, and financial fraud.¹³⁹ Of course, the people are not all angels, but the problem with the lawyers could be that their business is mostly to dirty, mystify, or cheat on justice in the name of justice or “the adversarial system” (vs. “the inquisitorial system”), which supposedly guarantees “*the values of individual autonomy, equality, and diversity*”.¹⁴⁰ Thus, an army of criminologists, psychologists, and psychoanalysts should carefully examine the personality of lawyers constituted in legal robbery: “legal personality” that is very dangerous.

3.3.1.3.2.1.2 A Corporatist and Propagandist Institution of Obedience

As corporatist institutions, law firms are vastly overpaid and little taxed. It means that lawyering constitutes “*much more a profit-making business than an honorable profession.*”¹⁴¹ It also supports political and economic powers, including the lawyers themselves, against any pursuit or punishment: the Watergate scandal in which about 22 defendants were lawyers, Orenthal James Simpson’s defense teams, Bertrand Russell’s high-powered team of lawyers, etc.¹⁴²

The lawyers’ income is mostly dirty or bloody, because it stems from the blood and pocket, or, in summary, from the life of customers. Forced by the law or the Judge, who would probably receive money from the lawyers for the clients that he/she presents to the lawyers, the laypeople have to hire a lawyer while legal aid is too limited or too ridiculous. In this judicial environment, the Judges treat the self-

represented or lawyerless litigants (SRL) with contempt.¹⁴³ In addition to this “forced lawyering” (vs. *pro se*), Clients must sell their property or loan money in order to afford the exorbitant cost of the lawyers, regardless of the chance of success in the legally chaotic jungle.

Legal anarchism can give three examples about the lawyers’ bloody money. The Rasoulis “*ran out of money about a third of the way through the first trial. Since then, their lawyer has donated hours of his time and the family has started a website to raise funds, so far without much success.*”¹⁴⁴ The Supreme Court of Canada eventually dismissed their “*appeal that would have permitted doctors to end life support for a severely brain-damaged man without the consent of his family or a substitute decision maker.*”¹⁴⁵ Another example comes from Mary McCarthy who had to sell her house because of Lillian Hellman’s suit for \$2,225,000 in damages in the 1980s. The legal fees literally bankrupted McCarthy.¹⁴⁶ A British Columbia woman would stand to lose her home to her attorney being moving to foreclose on her to pay his six-figure bill.¹⁴⁷ In this sense, Arnold Gingrich, publisher of *Esquire*, truly noticed: “*We simply felt that under the adversary system, nobody wins but the lawyers. This agrees to call off the mutual spending of money.*”¹⁴⁸

In *Lawyers Are Too Expensive for Most Canadians*, Prince and Gills report that the legal system lives in crisis, because 65% of individuals involved in family court proceedings in Ontario cannot afford an attorney.¹⁴⁹ With more than 90,000 lawyers,¹⁵⁰ Canadian “*legal fees average \$338 per hour,*”¹⁵¹ which is too high in comparison to the minimum wage slavery in Canada, i.e. around \$11 an hour. As far as the British biggest law firms are concerned, their fees reach at record levels of £850 an hour, while the rates of leading legal practices have doubled in ten years.¹⁵² Some American lawyers are charging \$1,000 an hour.¹⁵³ Regarding the American legal market, law Professor Barton has found out that the proportion of gross national product spent on legal services between 1967 and 1992 tripled, and the number of attorneys increased from 250,000 to nearly 850,000. Lawyer earnings annually grew at 6.6% between 1975 and 1990, rising nearly every year and generally outrunning inflation.¹⁵⁴ Professors Maheshri and Winston have accordingly stated that the American firms and consumers spend annually some \$200,000,000,000 on legal services, while attorneys “*are free to charge any price for their services.*”¹⁵⁵ Joe Jamail and Wichai Thongthan are hence the wealthiest lawyers or the Kings of legal robbers with respectively \$1,600,000,000 and \$1,100,000,000 net worth,¹⁵⁶ thanks to very expensive and lawless legal robbery, i.e. wagelessness.

As far as *legal aid* is concerned, the lawyers are accustomed to saying too many nonsense things, but rarely freely or cheaply. For instance, Mossallanejad has found out that legal aid inadequately pays the lawyers who work for refugee claimants in Canada, and we cannot expect them to work on a *pro bono* basis.¹⁵⁷ About the beautiful legal myth of *pro bono*, McLeay has argued that the empirical reality coming at

least from the common law histories of England and the US shows that *“pro bono has been an ideal that some, many or most lawyers have failed to live up to.”*¹⁵⁸ Professors Granfield and Koenig have argued that when *“many students enter law school planning to help the downtrodden, few actually pursue altruistic careers after graduation.”*¹⁵⁹ Professor Sandefur has accordingly stated that in the US, when an indigent person faces a problem of the civil law and can benefit from a lawyer’s advocacy, she/he *“has no guarantee of counsel.”*¹⁶⁰

It seems that charity is nonsense for the lawyers and law Professors. No money, no lawyer, no law Professor! Furthermore, a just society does not, as I heard from an Iranian Professor, need charity or begging the rich for the poor, since it provides a decent life for everybody without generating any accumulated power and wealth among the hands of some people.

The Canadian Bar Association enumerates the issues of Canadian legal aid: underfunding, discrepancies in coverage among jurisdictions, fragmentation in coverage within a legal aid program, disproportionate impact, and finally social costs of inadequate legal aid services.¹⁶¹ The Canadian Bar Association’s cry is actually for neither its clients, nor the society, nor justice, but the economic benefits of its own members through State aid. Other lawyers and legalistic scholars continue to take this cry over elsewhere, even for the wealthy international criminals and their defense teams, in the name of access to justice.¹⁶² If the lawyers are too eager to beg money from the State (i.e. the taxpayers’ money or State plunder), they have never ironically stopped to claim the self-governance of their legal fiefdom against Government intervention.

The indoctrination of obedience to the law and the justification of the absolute necessity of lawyering constitute two parts of the legal profession.

On the one side, the legal professionals struggle to justify any law, even immoral duty such as military service, anywhere when their interests require it. This is deeply rooted in legal mentality or personality. In this sense, Dworkin, an Excellent law Professor in rhetoric, finds out that the *“lawyers and judges make statements of legal right and duty, even when they know these are not demonstrable, and support them with arguments even when they know that these arguments will not appeal to everyone. They make these arguments to one another, in the professional journals, in the classroom, and in the courts.”*¹⁶³ The law schools are shamelessly teaching “legal pickpocketing” much better than so-called “legal ethics”, which is, like “judicial activism”,¹⁶⁴ actually a legalistic propaganda presented by the legal robbers. The lawyers are currently claiming legal ethics not only on the communal and national scales, but also on the international one, which must frame or devour mass murder, plunder, and forced displacement, among many other international problems on which the lawyers are traditionally expert.¹⁶⁵ As for the morality of the institution of law itself,

legal morality is mystified and left at the benevolence of the lawyers who decide what is good and what is bad for their niche market, as they decide what is legally reasonable or not for their business.

In this case, legal anarchism invokes Professors Turriff and Hutchinson as two typical examples. Professor Turriff, a preeminent Canadian lawyer, has posited, *“lawyer independence can only be protected if lawyers govern lawyers.”*¹⁶⁶ As for Professor Hutchinson, a famous legal ethicist, he provides another classic or maybe revolutionary example of self-governance of lawyer, which somehow corroborates Professor Lerman and Turriff’s approaches to the self-management of lawyering. He believes that *“Owing to the power vested in lawyers as officers of the court and as representatives of ordinary people who may not know their rights or how to defend them, lawyers should have a corresponding degree of (moral) responsibility to their clients and to the institution of law. As these responsibilities are complex and often in conflict, it is imperative that “good judgment” or “moral reasoning” be given more consideration within the curriculum of the law school.”*¹⁶⁷ On the contrary, Professor Hutchinson is less optimist vis-à-vis the *Government lawyers* that he presents as the “orphans of legal ethics” who have to be subservient to political officials and elected leaders. He thinks that the concise democratic inquiry into the theoretical role and responsibilities of State lawyers reveals anything, while those of private lawyers need more serious revision and evaluation.¹⁶⁸

As far as I am concerned, I think that both groups of lawyers, private and public, work for two elements: money and the preservation of the status quo, without assuming any responsibility and consequently facing any punishment. Like God, they are supposed to be too good and innocent.

On the other side, the lawyers really love to show that their job is so important for all existence. Law Professor Howarth has accordingly recognized that the unpopularity of lawyers stems partly from the intuition that the laypeople shall be able to do without them, since social structures shall produce through spontaneous interaction rather than conscious design.¹⁶⁹ As a result, the lawyers as well as other legal professionals would not really like to welcome the phenomena of SRL and “barefoot lawyer” to their kingdom.¹⁷⁰ In their case, a mystified and bombastic language, mingled with certain sacred rituals and costumes dehumanizing existence, is undoubtedly necessary to exclude all adversaries.¹⁷¹ Froomean has accordingly found out three reasons for the lawyers’ unreadable language. Firstly, the attorneys do not think that many of their terms are useless legal jargon. Secondly, they enjoy a particular camaraderie, because only they are able to interpret this jargon. Thirdly, they generate *“a constant need for their own services by making it impossible for lay people to handle even the simplest legal matter themselves.”*¹⁷² As a result, the lawyers, as legislators, have filled the statute books with miles of superfluous verbiage.¹⁷³ In this case,

White, an American law Professor, believes that the authority of Judges and lawyers “*depends upon that of the texts they interpret.*”¹⁷⁴

The lawyers have also the “exclusive right to defend” *whoever* (a war criminal, dictator, corrupt politician, corporate criminal, violent policeman, murderer, torturer, rapist, child abuser, and so on) by *whatever* (e.g., lie, cheat, falsification, blackmail, bribery, and sleeping with their clients), under the pretext of the “*right of defense*” and the “*right to counsel*”, regardless of culpability or innocence. The national and international laws have hotly advocated these rights, which actually mean the right to have a legal robber or a team of legal robbers, according to capital and circumstances.¹⁷⁵ Should we recognize the lawyers’ right of defense, right to counsel, and the Judges’ right of judgment according to Matthew 22:21? Thus, in *Ignatian Spirituality and the Life of the Lawyer: Finding God in All Things – Even in the Ordinary Practice of the Law*, Kalscheur, Professor at Boston College Law School, has catholically concluded, “*The light shed by the life of Ignatius illuminates an extraordinary openness to finding God in everyday life. This is an extraordinary way of living in which all of us can participate in our ordinary practice of the law.*”¹⁷⁶ The sacred law certainly needs the sacred lawyer, vice versa, and both cases blessedly guarantee capital.¹⁷⁷ A dilemma however exists so that if we do not recognize those rights, dictatorship, certainly with the necessary help of the Government and non-Government lawyers, will destroy existence from A to Z. Do we hence place in front of two options: the fierce snake or the dragon?

The so-called right to legal representative is not perhaps accessible to all, especially to the poor. Moreover, Gelderloos and Lincoln have found out that the legal system would be mathematically impossible to function, if the people claimed all of their rights. The CJS has a number of coercive measures to make sure that the people give their rights up. For example, the public defense lawyers, who are the only legal option for the poor majority who must face trial and imprisonment, simply do not have the resources to prepare for a trial in even a part of their cases, so their advice for their clients is nearly always to plead guilty.¹⁷⁸

As for *the mastery of lawyering*, the lawyers are undoubtedly too talented to invent new terminology in order to justify their parasitic or criminal existence and to be attractive. Is “progressive lawyering” a new type of mystification and propaganda in this case? According to my knowledge about and experience with the legal system and the law school as well, it seems that new or modern lawyering is still conservative by nature, on the one side, and this could be true for all legal professionals including our admirable law Professors, on the other side, since both sides depend on the current order. The so-called most radical legalists really stay “conservative” or “reactionary” in comparison to other radicals such as the anarchists.

However, as the indisputable masters of rhetoric who are fervently working side-by-side with other legal professionals and the political-economic elites, the lawyers are perfectly able to seduce the clients for the sake of buck by new words and argots. They are doing this in the framework of euphemistically called “*attorney sexual misconduct*” violating so-called “*no-sex-with-client rule*”, since they are ethically working below zero when excellently knowing how to escape from the punishment of rape and sexual abuse.¹⁷⁹ There are however certain examples of legal propaganda aiming to escape permanently from the label of “*Government lawyering*”¹⁸⁰ or “*the most serviceable instrument of authority*”:¹⁸¹

defense of human rights, activist lawyers, human rights lawyers, political lawyers, radical lawyers, Catholic Lawyers, practicing law for poor people, poverty lawyering, civil rights lawyering, creative lawyering, rebellious lawyering, revolutionary lawyering, anti-apartheid lawyering, Rebellious Lawyering Conference, political lawyering, progressive lawyering, cause lawyering, community lawyering, Ethical Lawyering in a Global Community (ELGC) as a mandatory course at Osgoode, etc.¹⁸²

By this Hollywoodian style of legal professionalism and propaganda, certain legal professionals are sensationally solicited and paid well or even very well in order to earn fame and become *héros médiatiques* in the so-called “globalization of human rights and markets”¹⁸³ in the age of “virtual law firm”, e-lawyering, e-justice, or e-government, which is itself controversial.¹⁸⁴ The terms of revolt, revolution, justice, and fairness are *per se* irreconcilable with lawyering, which is eventually the art of flattery, immorality, and unscrupulous business, done by the monsters with human faces in the name of human rights!

However, there are some activist lawyers of whom few are persecuted (e.g., certain Chinese lawyers and Nasrin Sotoudeh), while the others are apparently enjoying their lives (e.g., Mehrangiz Kar, Shirin Ebadi, and Shadi Sadr) mostly in the Western countries where they are heavily awarded, particularly because of their feminism.¹⁸⁵ For instance, Madam Ebadi is always struggling to prove the excellent relationships among Islam, democracy, and gender equality, which should be a controversial version of so-called “*Islamic feminism*”, grouped into the Islamic State feminists and Islamic non-State feminists,¹⁸⁶ through falsifying Islam that is undemocratic, slavish, and sexist *per se*. She is rhetorically working and shamelessly lying to save either Islamism or the Islamic Republic of Iran from bankruptcy, butchery, and barbarism, backed by the Western States.¹⁸⁷ For example, Ayatollah Mesbah Yazdi affirms that the concepts of human rights, elections, and freedom of political parties are “un-Islamic”.¹⁸⁸ As a member of Iran’s Assembly of Experts being responsible for choosing the Supreme Leader, he is islamically recognizing the rape and torture of the prisoners!¹⁸⁹

Let legal anarchism just invoke some terrorist rules in Islam and the brutality of the Iranian State that is proclaiming to apply “the pure Mohammadian Islam”, which the Islamist attorneys are proudly defending.

We find in the Quran, for example, these bloody and violent instructions: Al Mujadilah 58:5; Al Anfal 8:12; At Tawbah 9:29, 9:123. Let nevertheless the Islamists and their admirable lawyers, undoubtedly including Madam Ebadi herself, present some so-called peaceful Surahs in the Noble Quran! Under the direct orders of Khomeini who struggled to return the Iranian society to the Golden Age of the Prophet Mohammed's kingdom, nearly 30,000 political prisoners, among them children as young as 13, were executed. A crime against humanity, known as *the 1988 Iran Massacre*, thus appeared which may provide another opportunity for some admirable legalistic Professors in their hesitant crusade to theorize "State legal wrongdoing" vs. "Street crime".¹⁹⁰

Even the meaning of Islam is significant in itself to be dangerous for liberties: "*absolute submission to the one God*" or "*Allah*" (i.e. previous Chief Idol in the Kaaba).¹⁹¹ "Moderation" and "reformism" in too dear Islam, enthusiastically defended also by the Western mass media and many highbrows as well, are therefore equivalent to "moderate" or "reformist" "fascism"! "Islamic democracy" is therefore as obscure as "fascist democracy"!¹⁹²

The above arguments would prove that any individual or communal emancipation through lawyering is merely an illusion, because the job of lawyers is full of immoralities, lies, deceptions, cruelties, and crimes against humanity and nature as well. There is rarely any sense of shame or guilt among the lawyers, rather that of money, power, manipulation, or sexual abuse.

3.3.1.3.2.2 The Judges

It is important to notice that for avoiding to repeat or to make longer my thesis, I think that the prosecutors can place in the category of Judges, because they belong to the judiciary, the executive, or both, according to circumstances. In fact, they work in the ideological frame of the State in order to ensure its existence as long as it is possible. Furthermore, the Judges, especially in the common law, have potential power to make the law through interpreting or applying a norm. Is there any separation of powers in this case yet?

In the Western legal systems, the Judges are traditionally white, sacred, legal, and political men that, as dictators, force us to obey them without any question in the framework of the rule of law by the ethos of judicial independence.

3.3.1.3.2.2.1 The State Sacred and White Men

The Judges traditionally come from Caucasian race, either Jewish or Christian men,¹⁹³ acting as the prophets,¹⁹⁴ because they "*convey the message that sin always meets with punishment, and that salvation comes*

only from God.”¹⁹⁵ The prophets were not only divine messengers, but also Judges. The *Sunnah* and *Sharia* of Muhammad are a typical example in this case.¹⁹⁶ Professor Lucien has hence stated that “*the judge, as the representative of God,*” “*used to represent the divine and this representation is set in the imagination through rites, symbols etc.*”¹⁹⁷ “*I charge you in the presence of God and of Christ Jesus, who is to judge the living and the dead, and by his appearing and his kingdom.*” (2 Timothy 4:1)

The Western countries, in which the Judges are traditionally selected white men, have unsurprisingly led to judicial discrimination. For instance, Jobard and Névanen studied penal and civil discrimination based on origin starting with the total accused of offences against the law enforcement agents that a Parisian *tribunal de grande instance* had judged from 1965 to 2005. They affirmed that the Moroccan and Black accused were imprisoned nearly two times more than the European ones for a longer time, when they also faced more risk to see the police officers filing a lawsuit.¹⁹⁸ As for Edith Jones, the former Chief Judge of the US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, she is openly racist and sexist. A group of legal ethicists and civil rights organizations filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against her in 2013, because of her racist judgment about minority groups and astonishingly religious belief in the death penalty. At a speech at the University of Pennsylvania Law School, she said that racial groups, like Hispanics and Blacks, were more predisposed than others to delinquency and violent acts, capital punishment was therefore good for them, because it permitted them to make peace with God.¹⁹⁹ As for her sexist judgment, I would only mention that this Honorable Judge suggested that sexual harassment was fine as long as the victim was not raped! Should legal anarchism remind that President Reagan nominated her in 1985 to the Circuit Court, in which she worked as Chief Judge from 2006 to 2012, and President George Herbert Walker Bush mentioned her as a possible Supreme Court nominee in 1990?²⁰⁰ Do you think that she and those Parisian Judges are marginally legal racists or sexists?²⁰¹ Are the Judges, like the Deans, accountable for their crimes?

I do not believe unfortunately the accountability of Judges as public officers at all. It is very difficult to see a Judge as an accused, because she/he enjoys so-called “judicial immunity”, which constitutes, with “political immunity” (or executive immunity) and “legislative immunity” (or parliamentary immunity), the Governmental triplet of immunity or “impunity”. We can ask: immunity from what? These privileges for some *untouchables*, i.e. the States,²⁰² really mean immunity from prosecution and punishment.²⁰³ Thus, how can an individual go against these sacred privileges or immunities in a very long, uncertain, and expensive process of which the State officers are masters? How many times have we seen a punished Judge? In

reality, the legal plunderers and other State officers are almost perfectly immunized from punishment either *de facto* or *de jure*, but certainly selected to punish others.

On the one hand, although racism and sexism exist unfortunately in all social castes, but the problem with the judiciary is that the Judges are supposedly responsible to punish racists and sexists when they are themselves guilty of these practices. Who knows how many racists, sexists, or even rapists govern the judiciary?

On the other hand, the Judges are comfortably living in an ivory tower, thanks to their white Christian or Jewish middle or upper background fortified by the great salaries and advantages, since they hardly know our poverty and exploitation. They are not actually in the court to solve our problems, but obliging us to obey the rule when earning money. In this case, Bonanno has truly argued that the Judge *“is our enemy because he considers life in a different way to the way we consider it, because for him life is another kind of life, is not our life, because for him we are extraterrestrials and I don’t see why we should consider him to be an inhabitant of our planet either.”*²⁰⁴ The anarchists indeed regard the Judges as oppressors governing their vindictory trials in the capitalist States, and they do not thus recognize their authority.²⁰⁵ As we will later see in *Judicial Legitimacy: The Anarchists vs. the State Criminals*, such an anarchist rejection of judicial authority is somehow at odds with the big anarchists who have found an inestimably political as well as economic opportunity in the courtroom to defend and propagate their ideologies concerning State oppression.

3.3.1.3.2.2 The Selected Dictators

To my knowledge, there is no Judge whose election and promotion stem from the citizens and not the State, which looks like a prophet, formerly chosen by God for a nation or even for entire humanity, as it is nowadays the case of the national or international Judge. How many times have you yourselves participated in the election of a legal professional? Is the Judge free from Government ideology as well as interest? Shall we believe the independence of the judiciary whereby *“most states seek to conform to the international demand for independence is by a constitutional provision for a separate and independent judicial branch of government”*?²⁰⁶ I do not really think so, because, as mentioned above, the Judge remains a Government man, mostly selected and promoted by the hands of Government, especially by the Presidents, Governors, or legislature at the national, regional, and international levels: State Judge.²⁰⁷ Several works have thus analyzed the degree of ideological concordance between an appointing President and a Judge.²⁰⁸

As two massively worshiped and propagated concepts in Western culture, “democracy” and “accountability” have no existence in either the law school or the legal system, actually managed and governed by certain invisible hands. Whether we recognize the Judge or not, we have to obey her/him,

because she/he is omniscient: “Slaves, obey your earthly masters with respect and fear, and with sincerity of heart, just as you would obey Christ.” (Ephesians 6:5) Is judicial authority eventually as unfounded as State authority?

Guaranteeing that the Judges, the scholars have argued, “are accountable to the people is essential to maintaining the legitimacy of the judiciary.”²⁰⁹ However, like the academic and Godlike personalities, there is no democratic process in the election of the Judges, because the invisible hands or the Government officers select them. So, are they really impartial and independent? According to the national and international standards, the Judges must be independent and impartial.²¹⁰ Doreen D. Dodson, Chair of the ABA Standing Committee on Judicial Independence, has rapidly reacted to “unfair and unjust criticism of Judges”: “The Bar has a special responsibility to ensure that judges remain highly respected leaders of our legal system and communities.”²¹¹ How do the lawyers ensure this? We may understand why she and other lawyers are hotly defending “the cult of Judge”. They, at least some of them, hope to become a Judge one or another day, a hope that is common in the common law in which the Judges, as untouchables, enjoy great prestige and capital through political and legal power altogether, on the one hand. They, as fearful and calculative men, are resistant to say anything against the Judges with whom they do business, on the other hand.

Let legal anarchism now examine judicial independence and impartiality in practice, supported also by the so-called principle of separation of powers in theory.

The Leveller argues “If we cannot rely on the courts, it is equally absurd to rely on the politicians who appoint the judges.”²¹² In this case, the executive or political appointment of the sacred Justices of the Supreme Court in the US and Canada is a banal example. The US President appoints the Justices through the advice and consent of the Senate, when the Canadian Constitution empowers the Governor in Council, a British colonial role, to appoint the Justices, but appointments are practically made on the advice of the Prime Minister.²¹³ Since the presidency of Reagan, appointments to the Supreme Court have actually been a string of reactionary policies. The legal system logically constitutes the most reactionary element of the State (outside the military).²¹⁴

When it comes to the partiality of the Judges, Sugako, an anarcho-feminist and journalist, said before being hanged as the first female political prisoner in the history of modern Japan, “You poor pitiful judges. All you wanted to do was protect your positions. To safeguard them, you handed down these verdicts even though you knew they were unlawful and arbitrary. You went against your consciences. You poor judges, poor slaves

of the government.”²¹⁵ During his defence in the court, Léveillé declared: “No more Codes! No more judges! No more police! No more soldiers! No more priests! No more leaders!”²¹⁶

Nevertheless, we must obey the Judges, even though we have not elected them or are not sure at all about their knowledge, impartiality, or independence, since they have absolute power to force us to obey them by the power of law, articulated in the name of law and order as well as in contempt of court, which means an arbitrary sanction without any formal hearing.²¹⁷ In this sense, Buono finds out that the earthly Judge becomes the immortal Judge whose law and commandments are the cardinal point of punishment.²¹⁸ Black has already noticed that many people consider the law as a malignant and mysterious power manipulated by a wicked priesthood of Judges and lawyers.²¹⁹ We therefore have to obey the Judge, even when we are not legal believers at all. Who can stand against the sacred judicial power?

Natapoff, Professor at Loyola Law School, has accordingly argued that the American “*criminal justice system is shaped by a fundamental absence: Criminal defendants rarely speak. From the first Miranda warnings through trial until sentencing, defendants are constantly encouraged to be quiet and to let their lawyers do the talking. And most do. (...) In our democracy, individual speech has historically been seen as an antidote to governmental overreaching. (...) Yet silent defendants rarely express themselves directly to the government official deciding their fate, be it judge or prosecutor, and are often punished more harshly when they do.*”²²⁰

It is so interesting to realize that we are accustomed to calling the people by their first names in a culture in which we must respect too much the Judges, by not only ceremony but also speech throughout several strict protocols, even more than those reserved for a mythical animal called “God”: Your Worship, Your Lordship/Your Ladyship, My Lord, Your Honour, standing whenever the depositions clerk calls “all rise” when the Judge enters or leaves the courtroom, bowing our head to acknowledge the Judge every time we enter or leave the courtroom, etc.²²¹ When it comes to “*address strategies in a politeness framework*” and “*address forms in courtroom discourse*”, Professor Cecconi has affirmed that non-reciprocal “title last name” (TLN) and other honorifics (sir, madam, and gentlemen) achieve and express vertical status distance (power imbalance), while reciprocal deference, as when strangers exchange honorifics or TLN, creates horizontal distance. The non-solidarity dimension actually governs the common nominal forms of address: TLN, occupational titles (“Your Honour”, counsel, and usher), and honorifics.²²² In short, we are *judicially polite slaves*, while supposedly eased or so cool with each other!

Thanks to their authority and judicial immunity, the Judges are able to commit many crimes with nearly absolute impunity. There are accordingly some works concerning crimes committed by certain Judges: racketeering, sexual offences, sending thousands of juveniles to two private detention centers in exchange for \$2,600,000 in kickbacks (“kids-for-cash corruption scandal”), and so on.²²³ Several

researchers have also found out that judicial corruption is pervasive and widely observable in many countries including Taiwan, the Philippines, Vietnam, China, Russia, and Peru, and it has seriously damaged the credibility of the judiciary.²²⁴ Such a public perception may nonetheless be questionable, at least regarding many American people who still regard their public servants with respect. For example, Warren, Harold and Dorie Merilees Professor for the Study of Democracy, has invoked an American poll:²²⁵ “majorities indicated that they trust clergymen or priests (90%), teachers (88%), doctors (84%), police officers (78%), professors (77%), scientists (76%), judges (75%), civil servants (71%), and senior military officers (67%) to tell the truth.”²²⁶

They have rarely criticized the Judges, unlike the politicians, in a violent manner, because the mass media and highbrows are scared of accusation for contempt of court, obstacles to justice, or defamation. In summary, to be or not to be a Judge is to be or not to be a white, male, sacred, scary, conservative, State, or dictator man, in both substantive and procedural laws.

3.3.2 The Procedural Critiques of the Law

Legal anarchism analyzes the formality and the ceremonies of the legal system, which are greedily keeping a mystified language and carefully ensuring the unequal situations in which a rich man has more power than a poor or marginal man does. The rich people indeed enjoy the perseverance of a gang of skilled lawyers as well as the sympathy of public, journalists, police officers, prosecutors, and Judges, when the poor people would wait or die for the clemency of the legal system. Legal language or legal verbiage needs extremely technical decodification, because it is too complicated and expensive for the uninitiated people, certain jobs therefore granted to the police officers, prosecutors, attorneys, and eventually Judges who should say us what is good and what is bad for our legal salvation.

Although the anarchists have criticized many procedural aspects of the law, let legal anarchism only analyze briefly two myths of procedural laws that closely relate to each other: *equality before the law* and *the presumption of innocence*. As two mantras, they function differently according to the different classes, ethnicities, or races.

3.3.2.1 The Myth of Equality before the Law

“All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others.”

George Orwell²²⁷

The Marxists have judiciously criticized the myth of formal legal equality so that it is a central concept in defining the bourgeois-juridical form based on class inequality.²²⁸ We should observe the same challenge

in international law.²²⁹ Equality before the law is indeed the ethos of capitalism preaching “legal equality” when denying the practical differences between two individuals in a legal process. The legal and judicial gaps between a poor person and a rich man are actually huge. For example, how is Bill Gates, who profits from enormous fame and wealth, *legally equal* to a marginal man? The rich man is perfectly able to either buy or affect many journalists, police officers, lawyers, prosecutors, and Judges, while the poor must mostly hope the mercy of the Judge and legal aid whose selection, ideology, function, and perseverance are all suspicious, if not ridiculous. In reality, legal equality takes part in “the equality of rights” or “equal rights” in liberalism, communism, or anarchism, when conservatism preaches it under the disguise of “the equality of opportunity”.²³⁰ Kropotkin thus argued that the equality of rich and poor before the Judge was merely a lie because of external inequality.²³¹ The “*individuals may be,*” the anarchists argue, “equal” in rights and before the law, “*but they may not be free due to the influence of social inequality, the relationships it creates and how it affects the law and the ability of the oppressed to use it.*”²³² In order to ensure real equality, the anarchists go beyond the conservative and liberal concepts of equality of rights and opportunity by arguing that the basic needs of all individuals must be satisfied.²³³

For example, Proudhon thought about equality before the law as a corollary to the equality of races, conditions, and wealth as well.²³⁴ Professor Johnson does not certainly suggest that freedom depends conceptually on economic equality of opportunity, outcome, or socio-cultural status. For him, equality is much more substantive than the formal “equality of rights” or “equality before the law” suggested by certain libertarians and classical liberals, and rightly criticised by the leftists as an abominably thin glove over a very heavy fist. Formal equality within a Statist regime, pervaded with petty tyranny and pillage, is scarcely a value for fighting, but a challenge to the regime. He believes that the conception of equality has a history of leftism and revolution, which means the equality that the French revolutionaries wanted when claiming *égalité*, and the American revolutionaries did when believing that all human beings created equal and endowed by God with some unalienable rights such as life, freedom, and the pursuit of happiness.²³⁵ In the same sense, Horn argues that the principle of equality of all before the law signifies that both content and procedures of law shall apply equally to all. The State however functions in violation of this principle because of its special privileges and immunities. The Statesmen claim for themselves the unique privilege of using violence to force others to comply with their demands, creating arbitrary debts owed to them (taxes), rewriting every law as they see fit, and eventually creating and operating courts. This privilege qualifies as the only arbitrary rule, regardless of the type of procedures used to select the rulers. Cut to its

essentials, Government is solely a privileged caste set above the rest of us and exonerated from the rules of civilized behaviour.²³⁶

When it comes to evaluating the quality and quantity of inequality before the law, it should be comprehensible that there are some doubts about the existence of such inequality among the Government highbrows who belong to the white middle or upper class, mingled with the complicity or interest of academia to conceal the truth or to shut it down. For example, Professor Melchers has attributed a section to fight against racial profiling in his research published by the RCMP, itself accused of and condemned for corruption, racist and sexual crimes.²³⁷ He is however staying skeptical about the real existence of such profiling, since in spite of *“legal prohibitions, official statements and the absence of any evidence of widespread bias and discriminatory practice in policing, police denial that “racial profiling” is officially-sanctioned practice has been met with only scoffing from advocates.”*²³⁸ Professors Mohammad and Conway have nevertheless highlighted inequality before the law in the CJS in Pakistan. For instance, they have documented the testimony of a Police Station Head Officer:

*“We arrest poor people if they make a slight mistake ... We have different codes, depending on your class position. If you are a notable you do not need to be afraid of the law and the police. Poor people have no access to the corridor of power and nobody is going to intervene on their behalf and so, automatically, they cannot escape the grip of the law. In my twenty-two years of service in the police department, I have not seen a rich man in police lock-up and I have never seen a rich man handcuffed. There was a warrant against the Vice Chancellor of the University of Peshawar, Dr. Anwar Khan, the Provost, Ajmal Khan, Administrative Officer, Sikandar Khan, and the Chief Proctor, Dr. Hidayat Ullah, and according to the laws I should have arrested them because they illegally trespassed the women’s center using force. They also committed contempt of court, because there was a stay order from the court. I could not arrest them because there was pressure from the CJS bureaucracy. The rich, the police and the magistracy will always be in one camp.”*²³⁹

As for the Belgian legal system, Borloo has provided several examples of inequality before the law.²⁴⁰ How is the situation of Canada? How many times have you seen the Canadian criminal justice has punished a wealthy man or a Statesman/woman? Let legal anarchism only mention the financial scandal of Mulroney (the “Airbus Affair”), a labour lawyer, businessperson, and the 18th Prime Minister of Canada, which is always unpunished or maybe forgotten as usual! Despite receiving \$300,000 from a secret Swiss bank account controlled by Schreiber, a German-Canadian businessman, in order to lobby the Canadian Government to buy light-armoured vehicles in the 1990s, Mulroney received not only a Government apology, but also a \$2,000,000 settlement after suing for accepting kickbacks!²⁴¹

3.3.2.2 The Myth of the Presumption of Innocence

The questions of equality before the law also relate to those of the POI, since this presumption exists for the politicians and wealthy people, while the ordinary or poor people are supposedly guilty until they prove their innocence. The burden of proof weights upon the poor's shoulder, when a rich guy is perfectly innocent until some legal professionals find him guilty in a legal struggle. A look at the news may show such a legal discrimination. For instance, when it comes to India, the so-called "world's largest democracy",²⁴² Vajpeyi has noticed that although the doctrine of POI is perfectly accepted in criminal jurisprudence in civilised countries, "*the sickening frequency with which it is being used by those holding important positions in public life to cling to power has given it a sinister twist.*"²⁴³ Most of Mexican defendants are presumably guilty, since the Mexican prosecutors and Judges are keen on appraising a growing public outcry over high crime rates and rising violence from the war on illicit drug gangs.²⁴⁴

Moreover, any legal system, Statist or not, founded upon or submerged in corruption, criminality, or violence is always struggling to legitimize its unjustifiable existence throughout the so-called "law of evidence", mostly confession snatched by torture or bargaining. The so-called "civilized man" has indeed no existence without the propaganda of legitimacy, including the POI, even in the case of torture.

Stevenson, Executive Director of the Equal Justice Initiative, has noticed that America burdens too many people with a presumption of guilt, because of their race, ethnicity, religion, nationality, and sometimes poverty, which mark them as someone to be feared and closely monitored.²⁴⁵ Lewis and Stevenson have accordingly invoked *The Innocent Defendant's Dilemma* describing how it is an almost impossible burden for the indigents to prove their innocence.²⁴⁶ Because of few resources for defense, they are trapped by a system presuming their guilt. Due to the fact that "*the odds seem hopelessly stacked against them, many innocent individuals reluctantly plead guilty to avoid the longest prison terms or even death. Innocent victims lose years in prison, face rejection because of criminal records, and many never reach their potential.*"²⁴⁷ Such a burden is much more complicated or devastating in the so-called Global War on Terror.²⁴⁸ Law Professor Sadat has accordingly argued that because the US Government calls the terrorists "unlawful enemy combatants", they are not entitled to the protections of the laws of war concerning their detention and treatment, on the one hand. The Government applies a presumption of guilt to anyone accused of terrorist acts – that the enemy combatant has to rebut in order to defeat her/his detention – under circumstances that difficulty result in the equality of treatment demanded by international law and US Constitutional principles, on the other hand.²⁴⁹

On the contrary, as Glasbeek, a Professor Emeritus and Senior Scholar at Osgoode has argued, entrepreneurs, their companies, and choices enjoy the POI. Asbestos, mercury, lead, iso-cyanates, vinyl chloride, DDT, thalidomide, fracking, off-shore oil drilling, nuclear power plants, unsupervised coal mining by hopeless people in Africa, peddling infant formula in poor countries without proper precautions, etc., are all presumably innocent. Assumptions about *“the virtuous nature of our private wealth generation regime and the attendant presumption of innocence mean that the regulatory balancing and bargaining takes place on a tilted field, tilted in favour of the so-called virtuous producers, guaranteeing that we will suffer more Westrays and Lac-Mégantic and thousands and thousands of unkind, hurtful, atomized cuts and harms. What is wrong with the assumed framework is that it assumes capitalism away.”*²⁵⁰

In reality, the corporate elites perfectly enjoy the POI, and consequently their crimes are largely unpunished or even unnoticed at all. In this case, law Professor Amao has truly emphasized a general perception about the powerlessness of the domestic jurisdictions as far as the control of multinational corporations is concerned.²⁵¹ In other words, the corporations, like the States, are supposedly innocent, and there are the legal professionals who must prove their criminality through unlimited debates and processes talking about social responsibility, individual responsibility, leader responsibility, the competence of jurisdiction, and so on. Professor Husak has accordingly examined how deferred-prosecution agreements (DPAs) used against suspected corporate criminality amount to a form of social engineering infringing the POI. He has concluded that this presumption widely *“construing it to oppose the imposition of penal sanctions on entities (natural or corporate) the state has not proven to be guilty of crime beyond a reasonable doubt. If this wide characterization is accepted, it should be clear that quite a few procedures in criminal justice infringe this presumption – in particular, the use of DPAs against corporate criminality.”*²⁵²

Law Professor McFarlane has argued that the POI relies implicitly on whiteness, which constitutes the category of people in the US entitled to privilege in accordance with white supremacy.²⁵³ Hunt, another law Professor, has accordingly discussed that the US Supreme Court uses the rhetoric of *white innocence* (vs. *black guilt*),²⁵⁴ which generates and perpetuates the myth of a racially “innocence of law” considering the legal landscape to be free of systemically racial biases.²⁵⁵ It should thus be rational that Williams, Professor of law at Columbia University, has found out that thousands of presumptively innocent-until-proved guilty people are languishing in the stinking conditions of Riker’s Island, one of the largest penal colonies in New York capturing a daily population between 13,000 and 14,000. In other words, the inmates at this prison are not mostly convicts insofar as they are waiting for trial. They are actually poor people who cannot afford bail, and averagely spend 51 days there.²⁵⁶

Those myths are indeed struggling to either justify the legal system or declare it innocent in our unequal societies producing the different classes: underclass, middle class, and upper class.²⁵⁷ As Dauvé put, the definition of politics relies on social inequality alongside the equality of rights.²⁵⁸ Daily life in our unequal societies increasingly resembles the prisons, *“where they take the fingerprints of everyone born, where you walk through numberless metal detectors, where you are observed by electronic eyes, where the presumption of innocence has given way to the presumption of guilt.”*²⁵⁹ Professors Milaj and Bonnici have currently stated that mass surveillance and data retention programmes in our society are challenging the classical understanding and safeguards of the principle of the POI. These forms of interference with the individuals’ private life question the fundamentals of a democratic society, undermine the role of this principle at the stages of a criminal process, and eventually compromise the very effectiveness of the legal system itself.²⁶⁰ Based on the division of labour, the different classes have produced not only inequality before the law, but also the presumption of guilt for the poor in a world in which there are unlimited competitions for certain limited social, economic, political, or academic opportunities.

3.4 Conclusion

In its substance and form, the law is shamelessly devouring the poor and minorities while allowing the academic, political, legal, economic, and corporate elites to commit any type of crime against us, from robbing and imprisoning to murdering, destroying, and polluting the environment massively (e.g., Monsanto).²⁶¹ In our stratified societies, the legal system, as an essential part of State apparatus, works to educate and propagate obedience to the law and legal addiction, on the one side, and to oppress those who stand against capitalism and inequality, on the other side. Legal anarchism has therefore criticized both materialistic and psychological aspects of the law in our societies where, contrary to my law Professors’ eternal faith, the principles of fairness and independence of “public justice” are actually mythical. The legal system is proudly called “justice”, partly because human beings are too accustomed to hiding their injustice and corruption throughout certain beautiful words such as justice, democracy, freedom, and right that have almost no existence in humanity, governed by systematically devastating powers and lies.

Like the scale and intensity of State brutality, nobody will ever realize how many times the legal professionals have unjustly executed, punished, or plundered the people, groups, or nations. Nobody will ever realize how many guilty people have remained absolutely untouched or unpunished, thanks to their corrupt or ignorant attorneys, prosecutors, or Judges. Who knows about the quantity and quality of legal

professionals' monstrosity? Who cares about the pains of legal victims? Are the legal professionals and their teachers actually legitimate? Is their power legal when taking into account the despotism and secrecy of the law schools and the legal system altogether? Their legitimacy should be *per se* as unfounded as political authority to which we have to submit by either indoctrination or force.

The States have fabricated the law schools as well as lawyers to be good for all seasons so that they will work under any political and economic regime. They are able to work from the bloodiest regimes to the most swindler ones, such as USSR, Islamic Republic of Iran, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, China, North Korea, Syria, Israel, US, UK, France, and Canada with its genocidal policies against the Indigenous Nations as well as its mystified and alienated immigration system. It seems that they have no sense of shame at all, and their so-called "legal ethics" is rarely anything better than verbiage or propaganda disguised by a pompous and sacred language.

Both law schools and lawyers seriously suffer from the "self-governance syndrome", since they fiercely resist any control or supervision from outside under the disguise of academic freedom and the autonomy of the Bar. There exists therefore no principle of checks and balances in legal education and lawyering, while other social organizations (e.g., labour unions) are doomed to meticulous control or severe punishment, according to circumstances, imposed by the public officers in the name of law and order. Nonetheless, the State preciously keeps its regal right to control or to manipulate legal education and lawyering for its own sake. Legal history has hitherto shown certain symbiotic relationships among the State, law schools, and lawyers, since one cannot exist without another one at all.

As a micro-social organization, the law school hierarchically reflects our Governmental society in which we have no right to decide about the subjects that directly concern us, because some initiated elites, selected by some invisible hands, decide about all things: Professorship, fellowship, scholarship, studentship, award, courses, conferences, etc. This despotic organization cannot really arrive at any democratic and fair point without its structural change, since its construction, governance, management, and education rely on inequality and hierarchy paralyzing mind to be free or to revolt against injustice. Legal anarchism may summarize the result of brainwashing the students with obedience to the law in these twisted biblical praise (Psalm 31:6) and American monetary motto: *In the Law and in the Lawyers we trust*. In spite of the attitude of few activist lawyers, the legal professionals are still struggling to rationalize or to legalize every type of evil like racism and sexism, since they are educated, instructed, lived, and paid for this purpose.²⁶² In fact, the legal profession has historically "*operated as an exclusive club of white middle-class men*"²⁶³ who share the identical racist and sexist values.

On the contrary, one task of legal anarchism is to demystify legal indoctrination and to denounce legal slavery on both ideological and practical grounds. In this case, as Landstreicher argued, we need a type of “*critical thinking as an anarchist weapon*” whereby we examine the legal system, its arguments, assess, strengths, and weaknesses in order to be capable of grasping “*it and turn it to one’s own ends. This involves the capacity for recognizing fallacious reasoning and methods of manipulating language, facts and emotions.*”²⁶⁴ God save us from the legal professionals!²⁶⁵

We are to revolt against colonial and hierarchical mentality in the educational system, which certainly includes the law schools, propagating “intellectual colonialism” or “white supremacy”.²⁶⁶ In this regard, the educational system shall function as an instrument for liberating the oppressed people (such as the visible minorities and indigenous people) to become fully human.²⁶⁷ Our revolt should start with a universal movement against the lawyers and lawyering, since they have reduced existence to either tragedy or theatre. This will be possible, if we think seriously about managing our lives and nature as well by our own powers and capacities without any Godfather/mother’s legalistic intervention. We should find our dignity against all lawyers, prosecutors, and Judges who decide for whole nature and us together.

In short, the movements of “anti-legal system” and “anti-lawyering” shall focus on our awareness of natural rights to exist and to live freely, autonomously, and harmoniously with each other and nature as well, without the legal professionals’ intervention, corruption, and criminality. Can the educational system and legal system arrive at this point peacefully? Is it possible to see a smooth passage from their dictatorship to real democracy without the dictatorship of highbrows? Finally, is it possible *to improve* the current legal system, law school, and lawyering? Your question seems like that: can we *improve fascism*? We cannot perhaps! As Legal anarchism is furthermore going to analyze, the stigmatization and repression of the radical movements by the State has proved that the system, including its powerfully legal system, does not tolerate any protest demanding a fundamental change.

Chapter 4: State Stigmatization and Repression

“As a military tribunal, this Tribunal is a continuation of the war effort of the Allied nations.”

Robert Jackson, the Chief United States Prosecutor at the Nuremberg Trials¹

4.1 Synopsis

Firstly, legal anarchism shows that Government violates its own democratic, legal, or judicial rules, particularly due process, and the freedoms of expression and assembly. Government also goes much further in its unlimited repression of dissidents (e.g., the environmental activists) by stigmatizing them as “anarchist” or “terrorist”. Relied on certain anarchists’ theories, especially Amster and Gordon, legal anarchism argues that three Government powers delegitimize and dehumanize themselves by persecuting and punishing all social protests that they label terrorism or a threat to national security. Government therefore becomes tyrannical and criminal, and denies or maximally reduces the opponents’ rights to protest against its authority and to have an independent and fair trial in a so-called liberal democracy. In this case, the State has “power” and not “authority”, because its power of repression does not rely on so-called legitimate violence, as theorized by Weber. Meliá, Capellan, and Porter suggest that State delegitimization constitutes an offence against democracy or extrajudicial punishment, because it erodes the democratic or judicial aspects of governance like the rule of law. Rucker, Shantz, Ellul, Goldstein, Goodell, and Bennett imply that delegitimization has historically increased parallel to the increase of State power to shut all political dissidents down. The cases of Haymarket, Gitlow, Abrams, USA Patriot Act, Rainbow Family, Critical Mass, and G20 Toronto Summit are classic and modern examples that prove State crime going hand in hand with State impunity.

Secondly, legal anarchism confirms that the State uses many types of street and underground repression: infiltration, agent provocateur, undercover police/informants, creative use of old laws, zoning restrictions, etc. It has also absolute power regarding the protest permit, matched with academic repression. For instance, Martin, Mitchell, Staeheli, the American Civil Liberties Union, and the National Lawyers Guild believe that the State arbitrarily defines “the acceptable protest”, and strictly controls or simply denies the protest permit, when academia enforces its own repressive rules against the dissidents (e.g., censorship, legal actions, and reprimands). Titarenko, McCarthy, McPhail, Augustyn, Fernandez, and Toronto’s Demonstrations and Rallies provide some American, Belarusian, and Canadian cases in which the States control and repress not only the permitted protesters, but also the non-permitted ones, including

the anarchists. These mechanisms of stigmatizing and repressing have resulted in police violence with almost absolute impunity, contrary to the principle of checks and balances that Rothbard calls an American fraud. Legal anarchism accordingly invokes the Leveller, Turk, Gelderloos, Lincoln, and Dupuis-Déri who demonstrate the partiality and dependence of the legal system, which also opposes the principle of checks and balances.

Thirdly, legal anarchism emphasizes that, contrary to certain highbrows' faith, the new age of liberalism has not decreased State authority, but increased it. Guess, Gondek, Wilson, Martin, and Rummel could consider the modern State as a criminal and exterritorial institution. The phenomenon of globalization of State conduct means that the modern State has both worldwide power and technology to criminalize, repress, attack, or kill like a terrorist organization in the War on Terror. Mathiesen gives some examples of State repression in the framework of the Schengen Convention, as the beginning of growing State power, especially after 9/11. In contrast to State violence against the dissidents that dramatically grows and is, according to Roots, profitable for the bureaucrats, anarchist violence remains either futile or non-lethal, as demonstrated by Dupuis-Déri, Futrell, Brents, and Graeber, among other scholars.

Fourthly, legal anarchism analyzes the issue of judicial legitimacy by comparing the iconic anarchists (e.g., Kropotkin and Goldman) with the State criminals (e.g., Saddam Hussein, Milosevic, and Karadzic). Unlike the State offenders, the criminal libertarians do not really put into question judicial authority depending on political authority. They simply remain too critical in their propaganda by the words, inflammatory speeches, or publications, but too silent in their propaganda by the deed during their trials. The famous anarchists would nonetheless enjoy judicial or legal tolerance, contrary to the unknown anarchists or to the ordinary people. Despite the extreme harmful effects of State crime on humanity and nature, which Rothe calls "the crime of all crimes", the State criminals profit ironically from impunity or lenient punishment. If there is any exceptional trial of the State losers, they enjoy certain legal, judicial, or political advantages, such as luxury prisons and free legal aid covering the cost of their expensive lawyers.

Fifthly, legal anarchism examines the issues of State responsibility. Contrary to the forced tribunals for the libertarians (e.g., Koch and Plante), the "criminal wrongdoers" – a beautified concept used by Tanguay-Renaud – or criminal Governors have rarely been subject to judgment. Many legalists, including Crawford, confirm that State law can difficultly prove State responsibility! In other words, the Governors enjoy impunity in committing any form of criminality, because neither national law nor international law is willing or able to persecute, judge, and eventually punish them, except in the case of the State losers or the small States. The Bush family, Blair, and Obama constitute some typical examples in this case, because

they have *illegally* attacked and occupied other countries resulting especially in mass murder and torture. In addition, the State criminals profit absolutely from the knowledge and experience of the best and the most prestigious and expensive law Professors and lawyers (e.g., Bassiouni, Vergès, Ramsey Clark, and Al-Nuaimi), empowered by the economic, political, legal, or judicial obstacles of punishing State crime: *actus reus*, *mens rea*, just war theory, international arrest warrant, extradition, etc.

Sixthly, legal anarchism concludes that State repression and hegemony are not hopefully infallible. As Beggan and Shantz suggest, the dissidents and anarchists are incessantly adapting their strategies to or challenging State violence. For instance, they use the new tactics and the new modes of communication like the Internet. Endless resistance, revolt, and revolution continue consequently coming into existence: the temporary autonomous zone (TAZ), squatting, Occupy Movements, Whistleblower Movement, Arab Spring, etc.²

4.2 The Mechanisms of State Control: State Repression v. Protest Permission

On the one side, the State enjoys stigmatizing, criminalizing, and repressing its opponents in the name of sovereign authority, State security, or even democracy, without actually limiting itself to any law. In this case, there is no State accountability or reliability at all, contrary to what some legalists are preaching to us. On the other side, the opponents need State permission to protest against State crime! This permission can either strengthen State repression itself or, at least, allow it to function properly. In other words, the State permits or tolerates any protest as long as its Governors' life and interest is not in jeopardy. As a result, the eventual protesters can only beg State permission as long as they will remain on the Papa State's playground.

Ironically, the Governors who pretend to fight against terrorism or anarchism are themselves criminals against humanity and nature or war criminals. At the same time, the ordinary people must be suspected, controlled, watched, spied, insulted, humiliated, beaten, raped, tortured, massacred, plundered, taxed, prosecuted, judged, punished, or expropriated according to circumstances, but certainly according to the rule of law! Nevertheless, these suspects must legally obtain the Papa State's permission to protest! This is really a shameful act for those, especially the legal professionals, who either justify or apply such a terrible rule.

4.2.1 Absolute Power to Repress

Firstly, legal anarchism is aware that the concepts of “stigmatization”, “repression”, and “criminalization” are broad, interconnected, and paradoxical aspects of “social control”,³ even though there are, as mentioned in the Chapter 2 by the anarchists, some differences between the State and the society.

Secondly, stigmatization and repression are larger than criminalization, because they can apply without any legislative or judicial intervention, but by the executive or the army. According to this interpretation, the State has absolute power to repress, because it needs neither judicial nor legal restriction so that it delegitimizes its own authority.

Thirdly, legal anarchism regards those concepts as an act of dehumanizing, isolating, or marginalizing an individual, group, or organization by giving it social, political, or legal labels, such as conspirator, terrorist, hooligan, deviant, anarchist, urban guerrilla, and devil.⁴ State apparatus consequently becomes able to crush any protest down through a very complex process. In this case, moral panic, propaganda, systematic lies and falsification, the prohibition of association and mobilization, the restrictions of movement, protest permit, harassment, police violence, murder, arrest, detainment, imprisonment, and fine are routine. At the same time, all State apparatuses (intelligence and espionage agencies, among others) enjoy unlimited powers, from torture to murder, in order to stigmatize and control any dissident movement outside Governmental ideology and interest. The State also has the power of putting into practice “preventive repression” to perform this task when it comes to oppressing social movements. This certainly includes the anarchists’ activities, even though their violence remains much less intense in comparison to other political activities (e.g., the anti-abortion organizations and the extremist groups) and State violence itself.⁵

If legal anarchism principally gives some Western examples in this case, we should keep in mind that “*all states are repressive*”⁶ by nature. If we accept that humanity is naturally violent, its fabricated institution, i.e. so-called Government, would equally be repressive. Moreover, the coercive States tolerate no rivals because they respond to all threats through political repression at all levels.⁷ As a result, criminal prosecutions and trials normally await protesters.⁸ Furthermore, as Professor Beggan has implied, the different types of State repression impact various forms of violence differently, hence varied outcomes to repression may result not only in the nature and type of repression, but also in the form of tactics or violence adopted by the dissidents to combat them.⁹ State criminalization, as an instrument of governance, works crudely and expensively, since it relies on deploying the police, army, and legal system against the

citizens.¹⁰ The States consequently violate human rights in order to keep power and control their populations.¹¹

Despite the fact that some scholars believe that State power has reduced in the age of neo-liberal globalization of capital, people,¹² culture, human rights, and social protest (e.g., anti-globalization movements or alter-globalization),¹³ the States have still kept their non-lethal as well as lethal powers (i.e. the legal system, police, and army).¹⁴ Professor Geuss has accordingly noticed that the modern States have become an object of fear inasmuch as their powers seem to grow so dramatically, when Professor Gondek has analyzed “extraterritorial State conduct” in “the worldwide fight against terrorism”.¹⁵ As for Professor Martin, he has truly argued that the best teachers of terrorists are the States: attacking without any declaration of war, attacking civilians, indoctrinating intense loyalty and a willingness to die for the cause, etc.¹⁶

Johansen has judiciously argued that the punishment of opponents of the State constitutes one form of State violence.¹⁷ What Professor Wilson says about the criminal justice’s reaction to “social protest” is likewise applicable to the Governmental stigmatization (particularly by the term “terrorism”) and repression of the anarchists: *“social control is the process of labelling and treating dissenters as deviants. This process will be referred to as criminalization. (...) Criminalization is a denial of the political status of acts and affirmation of their deviant character.”*¹⁸ Such a political denial enables the legal system not only to repress the libertarian ideas and movements, but also to refuse them the enjoyment of freedoms and rights (particularly the freedom of speech, the freedom of movement, and the right to a fair trial), which are traditionally written in a sacred code: the Constitution. As a result, the stigmatization and repression of libertarianism goes hand in hand with the delegitimization of the State itself. On this oppressive path, political authorities have monopolized legal powers, because they decide what is crime, who is criminal, and the quality and quantity of control and punishment.¹⁹

For instance, the Schengen Convention of 1990 created the “Schengen Information System” (SIS), which is a search-and-control database in Strasbourg with identical national databases in all of the participating States. The article 99 of this Convention has established discreet surveillance of political behaviour, while the article 93 has specified the purpose of the SIS: *“to maintain public order and security, including State security, and to apply the provisions of this Convention relating to the movement of persons, in the territories of the Contracting Parties, using information transmitted by, the system.”* As the largest border control and police information system in the world aiming to repress the criminals actively as well as proactively, the SIS has become *biometric*. The political results of such a Governmental control in the emergence of

European governance are chilling.²⁰ Legal anarchism can here invoke Professor Mathiesen's two examples of the State control of political movements in the EU:

"In September 1998 it was reported that a Greenpeace activist who had protested against the French nuclear armament tests in 1995 was declared unwanted in France. For that reason she was barred entry into Schengen territory at Schiphol Airport in Amsterdam. The decision was made pursuant to Article 96 in the Schengen Convention, concerning unwanted aliens.

*Several Norwegian demonstrators who were arrested and deported during or after the EU summit in Gothenburg, Sweden, in June 2001 (and who had done nothing wrong except be there), were addressed by Swedish police officers with a gleeful "Welcome to Schengen!" Whether they were actually entered in the system is uncertain; at least, Schengen was used as a serious threat."*²¹

Professor Mathiesen has also argued that his examples are *"from the recent past, and they are only the beginning. The September 11, 2001 onslaught on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon in the United States spurred a feverish activity the world over in designing new systems, rules, and regulations for the prevention of terrorism, and in investing existing systems, such as the ones I have described, with new powers."*²²

Border controls such as these are often justified as necessary because of the nature of the protests. For instance, Blair, former British Prime Minister, perfectly stigmatized the anarchists during the protests of the EU Summit in Gothenburg in 2001: *"This effectively is an anarchists' travelling circus that goes from summit to summit with the sole purpose of causing as much mayhem as possible."*²³ In spite of his own war crimes, he believes that the people have no right to engage in "undemocratic anarchy", but in "peaceful protest" that he describes as an essential part of democracy, violent protest therefore has no place in democracy.²⁴ I put Blair's belief into question for three reasons.

Firstly, as Professor Martin has already argued, challenging the status quo is not an easy business, since the dominant groups possess various tools to limit the effectiveness of challengers: promoting a narrow concept of "acceptable protest" and using Governmental repression. Moreover, the usual discussions of "peaceful protest" do not acknowledge the vital role of such a repression. The awareness of this repression highlights the narrowness of limiting the actions of protesters to the immediate moral and legal context in which they occur. Instead of analyzing protest only in terms of rights, we should take into account the social context in which all legitimacy, action, non-action, violence, and non-violence are at stake.²⁵

Secondly, what does Blair mean by "undemocratic anarchy"? What would "democratic anarchy" be? Does Blair eventually accept a type of anarchism (i.e. democratic anarchy)?

Thirdly, democracy is not proof of innocence. Two extremely democratic States preaching peaceful protest have attacked and occupied Iraq with propaganda and false documents. Archbishop Desmond Tutu, a Nobel Peace Prize Winner, has thus called for judging Blair and Bush at the ICC for lying about the WMD of the Iraqi Government. The invasion has left the world more divided and destabilized than any other conflict.²⁶ In short, they accuse these Statesmen of committing several offences according to ICL, including *the crime of aggression, the crime against peace, the crime against humanity, and torture*.²⁷ As far as legal anarchism is concerned, it doubts that the ICC will prosecute, judge, and eventually punish these Governmental criminals, because of many legal and political obstacles: the USA does not recognize the authority of the ICC, the UK's capacity to investigate the allegations itself, and so on.²⁸ In reality, the ICC has hitherto become a Western tool of neo-colonialism and an eagerly hunter to judge and to punish the African war criminals rather than the Western ones who are declaring to bring "*freedom and democracy to the Middle East*" in order to "*be a crushing blow to the forces of terror and the terrorists*".²⁹ In this sense, Professor Comfort's statement in 1946 should remain very significant:

*"Few people can remember what it was like to be sane, to live in a world where one could not earn a decoration for butchering a few thousand civilians, where a good many national heroes would have qualified for the gallows and the mental hospital, and where a single news bulletin of the present time would not have produced nationwide nausea and vomiting."*³⁰

As for President Obama, Nobel Peace Prize Winner in 2009, his administration won another battle in fighting the War on Terror through detaining indefinitely, without a trial, foreigners and US citizens suspected of terrorism under the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA). Indeed, the American Congress annually authorizes the budget of the Department of Defense by a NDAA. As far as the NDAA of 2013 is concerned, Senator Rand Paul has argued that the NDAA violates the constitutional right to habeas corpus.³¹ Moreover, many people criticize Obama's Nobel Peace Prize because, especially, of his defense of "Just War" as a "Wartime President", attacking and invading Libya, bringing total war to Syria, paying Kenya and Ethiopia to attack Somalia, supplying bombs to Saudi Arabia to use on Yemenis, drone war, and the escalation of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq killing plenty of civilians.³² As mentioned in his Nobel speech, he is an excellent War President, not an anti-war one: "*Perhaps the most profound issue surrounding my receipt of this prize is the fact that I am the commander-in-chief of the military of a nation in the midst of two wars*."³³ He is obviously the military king of a highly bellicose nation with the perpetual wars that would violate its own holy rights and freedoms.³⁴

In the wars against terrorism and anarchism, State authority, power, or security does not recognize any limit in controlling and repressing physical as well as psychological spheres, while it is permanently evolving parallel to the progress of control technology, lethal as well as non-lethal, and militarization. For example, we can observe temporary ordinances, fire codes, creative use of old laws, zoning restrictions, closing public space, police preparation and planning, infiltration, agent provocateur, undercover police/informants, spy, police riots, mounted police, military police, militarization of policing, kettling, police dash-cams, body worn video, rubber bullets, Taser, tear gas, gas mask, riot protection helmet, pepper spray, long range acoustic device, water cannon, police dogs, attack dogs, helicopter, armored fighting vehicle, moral panics made by the mass media, and isolating dissident movements.³⁵

Besides those types of street and underground repression, there are other types of repression as Professor Martin has explained, many of which relate to academia as usual. They constitute the censorship of writing, blocking of publications, appointments, promotions, withdrawal of research grants, denial of research opportunities, forced job transfers, reprimands, legal actions, ostracism, harassment, dismissal, blacklisting, and spreading of rumors.³⁶ Other researchers have found academic oppression, the militarization of higher education, policing the campus, in-class arrests, metal detectors, random locker searches, drug-sniffing police dogs, and security guards at every major entrance in the Age of 9/11 and Occupy.³⁷

In spite of the sophisticatedly brutal mechanisms of State control, technology has paradoxically helped the individuals, groups, organizations, as well as social and political movements, which include the anarchists, to spread their messages throughout the world, thanks to its nearly cheap, accessible, decentralized, and non-hierarchical characteristics. Nevertheless, running with technological gallop, the States are targeting mercilessly and increasingly the Internet and telephone through control, censorship, spy, and repression, mostly under the pretext of fighting against terrorism in their crusade for the Global War on Terror. These targets concern not only the governed but also the Governors or the States themselves: State-eat-State.³⁸ For instance, Citizenship and Immigration Canada, a gigantic organization of manipulation and propaganda, crushed down a non-Governmental and peaceful website (www.NotCanada.com) denouncing the terrible condition of non-white immigrants in Canada, accompanied with the perfect mutism of the mass media. It was actually guilty of truly denouncing the lies, fraud (such as *brain drain* and cheap workers), corruption, and racism of the Canadian Government as well as the Canadian society vis-à-vis the non-white immigrants who must become simple workers or dependents on social welfare despite their knowledge and experience.³⁹

In contrast to the libertarians who want to free the Internet from State intervention, the lawyers are struggling to put it among the hands of lawmakers, Governors, Judges, or cops. In this case, David Post, a Professor at Temple University Beasley School of Law, is too scared about the state of nature in cyberspace (i.e. *cyberanarchy* with its consequences such as cyberterrorism and cyberwar) in his crusade for preserving Internet governance.⁴⁰ He is thus writing abundantly about the regulation of cyberspace in his crusade against cyberanarchy.⁴¹

Finally, legal anarchism can point to the ways that the States themselves generate cyberanarchy by becoming cybercriminals in so-called cyberwarfare when doggedly preserving their so-called authority and security. For example, the Iranian State blamed the USA and Israel for creating and executing the Stuxnet computer virus attacking Iran's nuclear program in 2010. The Mullahs' regime would later mount a series of disruptive computer attacks against the principal U.S. banks and other companies in apparent retaliation.⁴² The US and North Korean Governments have recently played another scenario in this case.⁴³

4.2.2 Begging Permission to Protest Against State Violence

Contrary to unlimitedly State oppression, the citizens must beg permission to contest against State violence. Demonstrating in the District of Columbia in the USA is a significant example in this case. In 1982, the American Civil Liberties Union reported that a variety of rules, which depend on whether the location is under the control of the D.C. Government, the Capitol Police, the National Park Service, or some other federal agency, govern the demonstrations. The District also controls who issues the permit, if necessary, who provides police protection, whose set of rules controls, and where the demonstrator is taken if arrested.⁴⁴ The National Lawyers Guild has found a difference between what the police on a specific occasion are permitting a demonstrator to do, and what she/he is legally allowed to do in a theoretical sense.⁴⁵ Professors Mitchell and Staeheli, two specialists in political geography, argue that the city's permit denials have become quite normal, and take part in "*a changing legal geography of dissent that has developed – through struggles on the street and in the courtroom – over more than half a century.*"⁴⁶

Looking at public protests and State repression in Minsk during the 1990-1995 periods showing a part of the transition from communism to capitalism, Professors Titarenko, McCarthy, McPhail, and Augustyn have found out a new State mechanism of prohibiting and channelling public protests, especially by permits for protest events requiring many days in advance. It also gave the right to arrest the non-permitted or illegal protesters to the police. The State actually found a new weapon in controlling and repressing public protest through the institutionalization of the permitting system. Refusing permits for

protest, stalling in issuing permits, and imposing serious restrictions on time, place, and manner generate a far subtler mechanism for oppressing public protest than physical oppression.⁴⁷

As far as *Demonstrations and Rallies* in the City of Toronto are concerned, we see, on the website of this City, the following instructions:

"If you are planning on holding a Demonstration, March or Rally you should fill out a Notice of Demonstration form and submit it to the Toronto Police Services for review. (...)

Checklist:

If you are planning on using a City of Toronto property such as a Civic Square or Park you will need to speak to the Division that permits that particular space.

If using a Private venue you must have approval from the Property Owner.

Contact Toronto Police services and complete a Notice of Demonstration form. Please note this form is to ensure public safety.

Provide insurance certificate from your organization as required by the property owner.

Suggested Timelines/Deadlines:

*2-3 weeks prior to Demonstration."*⁴⁸

It seems that the Canadian protest permit and social protest are, at least theoretically, more conservative or restricted than the American ones, because, as Danyliuk notices, the Canadian Constitution relies on "*peace, order and good Government*" (POGG), while the American Constitution recognizes "*life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness*" (LLPH).⁴⁹ As a result, Danyliuk coolly explains, the Governments and police officers are able to restrict our right of assembly, if it is not naturally peaceful. Moreover, the police services have wide discretionary powers at our assembly or protest, because our rights are limited, subject to restrictions, and must be reasonable. We can only disagree and see a Judge in order to decide.⁵⁰ In other words, we must go to a judicial battle in which a good Judge, who takes part in good Government, will say us what is our fate in protesting during our pursuit of happiness! Does the Judge, which could imply the Bible (Titus 2:12), teach us to say "no" to protest and street passions, and to live self-controlled, upright, and governmentally lives in the status quo? Is not Passamani right to say that the freedom of speech "*is a lie*" because it only reinforces "*the power of those who have the authority to grant it or recognize it*"?⁵¹

A "protest permit" or "parade permit" not only highly recognizes property rights by demanding the property owners' permission, but also strengthens police power by giving a *right* to the police officers to crush down any demonstration while imposing an *obligation to obey* over all protesters.⁵² In spite of our supposedly "constitutional", "regional", and "international" freedoms of assembly and expression taking part

in the so-called right to protest,⁵³ worshiped by the legalists but ... by the cops and Governors as well, every protester is possibly a terrorist so that we are all potentially criminals according to Governmental politics.⁵⁴ As a result, the unarmed and peaceful protesters against capitalism and Governmentalism must face a wild band of law enforcement agencies. Another seasoned band of prosecutors, Judges, and lawyers support these agencies armed to the teeth. They are working either to prove that the police have *democratically* and *constitutionally* repressed a group of so-called anarchists or terrorists, or to undermine the truthiness and sincerity of the plaintiffs. In other words, the second band is responsible for covering up or to justifying the brutality of the first band according to law and order through so-called legal reasoning, which really provides a POI for the police officers and a presumption of guilt for the demonstrators. In this case, I would invoke Camus' statement in *The Rebel*: "*Through a curious reversal peculiar to our age, it is innocence that is called on to justify itself.*"⁵⁵

Police violence is systematically permitted or practically tolerated, while the legal professionals are real specialists in proving that the cops have *legally* acted and, therefore, their repression has been done in the frame of the law, nothing outside the law, but all inside the State's Bible, Tanakh, or Quran that we are accustomed to calling the Constitution: "*It is You we worship and You we ask for help.*" (Al Fatihah 1:5) In fact, the protesters have supposedly committed mischief, trespassing, jaywalking, disobeying a lawful order, failure to disperse, property destruction, etc. In other words, the protesters are criminalized, while the State officers and the police-industrial complex purified.

Professor Fernandez has judiciously found out that *Crowd Management and Civil Disobedience Guidelines*⁵⁶ provides nearly 150 possible offences for the police officers to arrest the protesters, including the anarchists.⁵⁷ In *POST Guidelines: Crowd Management, Intervention, and Control*, California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has largely and too negatively defined an anarchist: "*a person who uses unlawful, violent means to cause disorder or upheaval.*"⁵⁸ The academicians would call this phenomenon "overcriminalization". As a result, no officer is reliable for any crime during any public demonstration, even the murder of an innocent person, Ian Tomlinson, killed on his way home from work by a police officer during the 2009 G-20 summit protests in London.⁵⁹ This really constitutes a form of "*la violence gratuite*", as the French describe it, most often performed by the cops against ordinary citizens.⁶⁰ The cops, heavily equipped and militarized, have absolutely proved to be able to kill the non-violent or unarmed people while profiting from almost absolute impunity, as, for example, they have recently done in the USA where they repress protests and prosecute the War on Crime in a racist and bloody manner.⁶¹

Unlike the dominant ideologies matching the permitting system, the anarchist ideologies have scarcely found any comfortable opportunity for expression, thanks to Governmental and even societal repression and censorship coming from the criminalization of anarchism and the very bad reputation of the anarchists itself. The history of anarchism is indeed a history of systematic stigmatization and oppression through propaganda, deception, police brutality, biased and corrupt justice, execution, murder, torture, jail, deportation, fine, and recently Internet censorship and repression, regardless of real or fictive anarchist violence and threat to law and order. The Black Blocs have accordingly become one of the best anarchist targets for the State and the mass media to describe, for example, breaking windows and plundering shops during demonstrations. On the contrary, they are usually a minority of the rioters (*casseurs*) committing non-lethal violence,⁶² which is absolutely the opposite of the police and army. In this case, one member of the Black Blocs said: *“the Black Bloc is the one telling the states, you don’t have the monopoly of force anymore, because the people have the power. You can have the guns, but we have the power.”*⁶³ Sociologists Futrell and Brents accordingly argue that contemporary social movements with close ties to the American left have almost unanimously condemned violence against both people and property as terrorism.⁶⁴ About the corporate media’s presentation of violent protest, Professor Graeber has believed that the American media is probably the biggest criminal, because two years of increasingly militant direct action show that *“it is still impossible to produce a single example of anyone to whom a US activist has caused physical injury.”*⁶⁵

Nevertheless, the fear of that anarchist minority is enough for the law enforcement agencies or even the army to brutalize or criminalize indiscriminately those who demand liberty, justice, equality, a clean environment, and animal rights. The State consequently violates its own rules to the detriment of the so-called fundamental “right to security”.⁶⁶

4.3 Delegitimization: The State Against Its Own Rules

“We have entered a period in which it must finally be recognized and admitted that criminal justice systems in Western liberal democracies are neither, in any real or meaningful way, about justice nor, even, about crime.”

Jeffrey Shantz⁶⁷

As far as the concept of *delegitimization* is concerned, Professor Amster has inspired legal anarchism. He uses “delegitimation” by which the anarchists weaken the legitimacy of the State and capitalism insofar as the latter do not respect their own rules while unjustly imposing their power.⁶⁸ For instance, when it comes to the legitimacy of State intervention, the UNODC has noticed that *“An effective and prevention-focused response to terrorism should include a strong criminal justice element: one that is guided by*

a normative legal framework and embedded in the core principles of the rule of law, due process and respect for human rights.”⁶⁹ Professor Gordon describes delegitimation as “anarchist interventions in public discourse, verbal or symbolic, whose message is to deny the basic legitimacy of dominant social institutions and eat away at the premises of representative politics, class society, patriarchy and so on.”⁷⁰ It takes in a part of other myriad projects and actions that the anarchists undertake, which are direct action, both creative and destructive, and networking.⁷¹ Professors Capellan and Porter have accordingly argued, because the States coerce, we shall see State repression as “an extrajudicial type of coercion for the purpose of deterring specific activities and/or beliefs perceived to be challenging to government’s legitimacy.”⁷²

It nevertheless seems that “delegitimization” is a paradoxical concept in accordance with an anarchist approach. On the one hand, a libertarian thinks that political authority cannot be legitimate because of either the lack of common consensus, or political propaganda and indoctrination. The State is therefore an illegitimate institution governing by enforcing the laws. In this sense, there is no question of delegitimization, because there is no legitimacy on which political authority has supposedly relied. Such an approach may however be relative, since the State has itself “the monopolized authority to define justice,”⁷³ and by which it represses its dissidents. On the other hand, if a libertarian recognizes the concept of delegitimization, she/he implies the legitimacy of the State and its legal system (especially the Constitution) as well. We will later find such a dilemma in *Judicial Legitimacy: The Anarchists vs. the State Criminals*.

Delegitimization goes hand in hand with political and judicial repression. In *Terrorism and Criminal Law: The Dream of Prevention, the Nightmare of the Rule of Law*, Meliá, Professor of criminal law, has argued that in many despotic States, there is a coexistence of a formal criminal law to fight crime with intelligence and the law enforcement agencies engaging in illegal activities as well. As for the democratic States, “the logic of enemy criminal law” has already led to undermine the rule of law.⁷⁴ In this case, the anarchists have become both “scapegoat” and “suitable target” insomuch as they are demonized, numerically weak, mostly unorganized, and at odds not only with each other but also with other political movements.⁷⁵ Delegitimization can take part in a large process of State crimes against democracy (SCADs), since it undermines the democratic institutions.⁷⁶ In this sense, is not the State itself criminal or even “anarchist” because of rejecting its own regalian rule?

In fact, the States violate their own legislation that are supposed to protect individuals and groups against tyranny and repression. The Statists or legalists ironically consider any attempt to define State terrorism in accordance with domestic law as counterproductive. As a result, the Statesmen/women easily violate their own laws, enhance “temporary measures”, or imply “emergency” in order to justify the

suspension of their laws, while international law has not codified the terrorist activities of Statesmen/women as “illegal acts” yet!⁷⁷ In this case, all anarchist or radical claims for justice, equality, or the clean environment are *de facto* or *de jure* crimes and consequently punished throughout the securitization of public claims. Professor Shantz has accordingly noticed that the criminalization of dissidents includes not only a legal crackdown on activists and their groups, but also “*the use of the repressive legal apparatus to keep disparate possible forces of dissident from ever joining together in common cause: classic divide and conquer tactics.*”⁷⁸

As a result, we must obey the Governors, since the State, as the Bible could imply (Psalm 94-1), acts like a God avenging.

Professor Ellul argued that “*the more organized the state becomes, the more its institutions become streamlined and its economy planned, the more it becomes necessary to eliminate the politically mature citizen who is independent and thoughtful and acts on his own.*”⁷⁹ Rocker had accordingly noticed that every political power struggles to subjugate all social groups to its control and, when it seems advisable, to suppress totally them.⁸⁰ In this sense, Professor Goldstein has found out that the State grossly discriminates against organizations or individuals who are supposed to be a fundamental challenge to Governmental policies, because of their political beliefs.⁸¹ Professor Bennett has thus noticed that the wide range of definitions of political prisoners depicts the history of the USA as “*a history of political trials and imprisonment*”.⁸² Goodell, a lawyer and lobbyist, had argued that “*civil disobedients and victims of repression are political prisoners, even in America.*”⁸³ If civil disobedience is essential to democracy, as Goodell believed,⁸⁴ the American legal system is hardly better than an authoritarian legal system. For instance, Goodell described the 1968 Federal Anti-Riot Act as an unconstitutional law.⁸⁵ It punished those who encouraged or even talked about civil disobedience, and consequently led federal and local authorities to violate the rights to privacy, free speech, assembly and association, equal protection of the laws, reasonable bail, and fair trial.⁸⁶

Several anarchist events (such as the *Haymarket* and *Abrams* cases) have accordingly shown how a State, i.e. a self-proclaimed and exclusive but too aggressive provider of liberty and democracy around the world, ignores its own legal and judicial rules (particularly the right to protest and due process) when it comes to repressing its opponents. It stigmatizes them as dangerous and violent people in order to survey, control, spy, infiltrate, provoke, execute, insult, beat, rape, torture, imprison, fine, or deport according to circumstances. Ironically, the more a so-called democratic State becomes criminal, the more it enforces repressive laws, and the less it consequently respects its legal rights and freedoms. The repression of

anarchists has accordingly proved the increase of State power against dissidents, such as labour movements, in both historical and modern delegitimization.⁸⁷

4.3.1 Historical Delegitimization

Bennett had truly stated that *“the political heretic is treated just as intolerantly as the religiously orthodox had treated the religious heretic, individuals have historically tried to advance justice and democracy by violating laws, or by advocating the violation of laws, or even by being perceived as violating laws, and always by questioning orthodoxy.”*⁸⁸ America’s first Alien Act, passed by magistrates in 1637, is a typical example. As the “spiritual relative” to the Alien Act of 1903 targeting the anarchists, the Act of 1637 forbade the entrance of immigrants *“who might be dangerous to the Commonwealth.”*⁸⁹ The Act of 1903 would criminalize the believers and advocates of anarchism, which meant the forceful and violent overthrow of the US Governments or all Governments. In the wake of this Anarchist Exclusion Act, John Turner, an anarcho-communist, was arrested, denied a lawyer, held in a nine by six foot cage as a wild animal, and eventually deported to England. The US Supreme Court regarded him as an undesirable person, and therefore declared his trial had been constitutional, because his opinions were so dangerous to the American people.⁹⁰ By certainly the help of the scientists, will the States arrive at detecting our revolted thought in order to repress us in our Big Brother Watching society in which thought is potentially criminal and consequently punishable (i.e. “thought crime” or “crime Ideology”)?⁹¹

In *Global Anti Anarchism: The Origins of Ideological Deportation and Suppression of Expression*, Professor Kraut has explained the root of the Immigration Act of 1903. Enforced after President William McKinley’s assassination, the anti-anarchist regulations of the Act not only took part in the reactionary measures in the wake of a national tragedy, but also echoed the xenophobic nature of antiradicalism in the USA as well as the failure to make a difference between ideology and action. The Act supplied a blueprint for ideological deportation and exclusion throughout the twentieth century. Each new additional deportation or exclusion category of foreigners corresponds to the ideological conflicts and internal suppression during the Cold War as well as the War on Terror, and reveals a deep and persistent fear of freedom of speech and foreigners in America. These regulations have also transformed the identity of anarchists from violent criminals to free speech defenders,⁹² which could signify certain public demonstrations or endlessly academic and political verbiage in *les cafés bourgeois* and the classrooms looking more like sex shops than rebellious places. It should not be useless to remind that Teachout, Professor in Fordham University School of Law, explains how some American anarchists (such as Emma Goldman and Abrams) and academics have accidentally created “Corporate Speech Rights”.⁹³

The *Haymarket* affair in 1886 is another salient example of the paradoxes and shameful sides of a so-called democratic system. The system reacted against anarchist through police brutality and a biased Judge, Joseph E. Gary whose verdict was upheld by both the Illinois State Supreme Court and the US Supreme Court, which is really a sacred legal institution in the USA or even in the world. The prosecutor, Attorney Julius S. Grinnell, declared: "*Law is on trial. Anarchy is on trial. These men have been selected, picked out by the Grand Jury, and indicted because they were leaders. There are no more guilty than the thousands who follow them. Gentlemen of the jury; convict these men, make examples of them, hang them and you save our institutions, our society.*"⁹⁴

There is a popular and academic consensus to regard eight Chicago anarchists as the innocents and the victims of a corrupt justice containing numerous irregularities. Firstly, the legal system did not try separately the accused anarchists as they asked. Secondly, the partial Judge hated libertarian ideas. Thirdly, the selection of the jury was both unconventional and biased. Fourthly, evidence came from dubious sources without warrant. Fifthly, the witnesses were coerced, bribed, or inconsistent. Finally, the legal system judged words and not deeds insomuch as it could not prove the identity of bomber.⁹⁵ Judge Gary indeed condemned the anarchists on the grounds of their propaganda by the words or "*inflammatory speeches and publications*" encouraging the bomber, rather than their propaganda by the deed.⁹⁶ As a matter of fact, the Haymarket Memorial recognizes that "*In the aftermath, those who organized and spoke at the meeting – and others who held unpopular political viewpoints – were arrested, unfairly tried and, in some cases, sentenced to death even though none could be tied to the bombing itself.*"

In spite of those elements of State repression presented by many scholars, Messer-Kruse, Professor in the School of Cultural and Critical Studies at Bowling Green State University, argues that those anarchists took part in an international terrorist network and hatched a conspiracy to attack police with guns and bombs on May 4, 1886. That tragic event was not the product of the legal system hanging four anarchists (George Engel, Adolph Fischer, Albert Parsons, and August Spies)⁹⁷ and imprisoning three others (Oscar Neebe, Michael Schwab, and Samuel Fielden). It was largely due to the incompetence of the defendants' lawyers who did use the trial to vindicate anarchism, rather than saving the lives of their clients.⁹⁸ Professor Messer-Kruse nevertheless implies that the trial was absurd in accordance with current due process. Since, according to the standards of the Gilded Age (i.e. the seating of jurors who openly disliked anarchists, the use of undocumented provenance and of evidence seized without warrant, the inflammatory display of anarchist placards and flags), the trial and the jury were fair and representative, while the evidence overwhelmingly established most of the defendants' guilt.⁹⁹ He may thus imply that the

Governmental repression of opinion is irreproachable in time, but not necessarily fair according to the future standards! In other words, delegitimization is relative according to time! This relativity is however masquerading insofar as John Peter Altgeld, the Governor of Illinois, would pardon three anarchist survivors in 1893, while assailing Judge Gary “for conducting the trial with *“malicious ferocity”*.”¹⁰⁰

The American justice would later match the *Red Scare*, supplemented recently by the *Green Scare*,¹⁰¹ which continued to ravage after hanging the anarchists of Chicago and to mingle with *the international campaign against anarchist terrorism*.¹⁰² For example, *Abrams v. United States* depicts not only a biased justice fighting against the freedom of speech guaranteed by the First Amendment to the Constitution, but also the torture and murder of Jacob Schwartz by the police under the wartime Sedition Act of 1918. The legal system convicted the defendants on the basis of two leaflets printed and distributed in New York City in 1918: *The Hypocrisy of the United States and Her Allies, Workers: Wake Up*. The first leaflet denounced the sending of American troops to Revolutionary Russia. The second leaflet, written in Yiddish, denounced the war as well as American efforts to impede the Russian Revolution of 1917, and encouraged the cessation of producing weapons used against Soviet Russia. Judged by the Federal Court House in New York and the Supreme Court, the Abrams case has once again shown the Governmental repression of ideas rather than actions, which the criminalists traditionally worship under the principle of “*‘mere thought’ should not be criminalized*”¹⁰³ (*criminalis legem non punit cogitatio*) contradicting thought crime.

Following the jurors who had found all defendants, except Prober, guilty, Judge Henry D. Clayton fined and imprisoned the defendants from 3 to 20 years, which was the maximum penalty. The Abrams case was really a theater in which a conservative, sexist (anti-women’s suffrage), American patriot, and somehow anti-immigrant Judge and politician, which *per se* meant the anti-defendants who were Russian immigrants, directed the trial against the young anarchists: Jacob Abrams, Mollie Steimer, Hyman Lachowsky, Samuel Lipman, and Schwartz.¹⁰⁴ Judge Clayton had already argued in 1916, even naturalized citizens that *unfairly* criticized the State “*should get off the face of the earth, or at least go back to the country they left. (...) I have no sympathy with any naturalized citizen who is given to carping criticism of this Government or who cannot say that he loves America first, last, and forever.*”¹⁰⁵ He would disrespectfully reply to Abrams invoking the “forefathers of the American Revolution” as his ancestors, “*Do you mean to refer to the fathers of this nation as your forefathers?*”¹⁰⁶

As for the Supreme Court, it stated that the leaflets were unlawful on the basis that they were disloyal and scurrilous, and expressed with abusive language about the American Government in order to bring it into contempt, scorn, contumely, and disrepute, and to incite, provoke, and encourage resistance to

American war policy. Nevertheless, Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes's famous dissenting opinion is very significant. He believed that *"the defendants had as much right to publish as the Government has to publish the Constitution of the United States now vainly invoked by them."* Steimer, Abrams, Lachowsky, and Lipman were eventually deported to the Soviet Empire as Goldman, Berkman, and other anarchists had been already deported under the Immigration Act of 1918, which is known as *the Anarchist Exclusion Act*,¹⁰⁷ because of their opinions against militarism and war in which the American Government has been historically rooted.

Gitlow v. New York came into existence in the wake of the Red Scare of 1919-1920. As a prominent member of the Socialist Party of America during the 1920s, Benjamin Gitlow was arrested and convicted for violating "the New York Criminal Anarchy Law of 1902", because of having published in July 1919 the *Left Wing Manifesto* in *The Revolutionary Age*, which was a radical newspaper. In 1920, Gitlow would be eventually sentenced to 5 to 10 years in prison, of which he served over 2 years. Modeled on Marx and Engels' *The Communist Manifesto*, the *Left Wing Manifesto* advocated the violent overthrow of the American Government in spite of Gitlow's opposite argument at trial. This Manifesto stated, *"Revolutionary Socialism, accordingly, recognizes that the supreme form of proletarian political action is the political mass strike. (...) The power of the proletariat lies fundamentally in its control of the industrial process. The mobilization of this control in action against the bourgeois state and Capitalism means the end of Capitalism, the initial form of the revolutionary mass action that will conquer the power of the state. (...) Proletarian dictatorship is a recognition of the necessity for a revolutionary state to coerce and suppress the bourgeoisie; it is equally a recognition of the fact that, in the Communist reconstruction of society, the proletariat as a class alone counts. (...) The old machinery of the state cannot be used by the revolutionary proletariat. It must be destroyed."*

Following the assassination of President McKinley by a professed anarchist, Leon Frank Czolgosz, the Criminal Anarchy Act criminalized revolution and anarchism altogether by repressing any attempt to foster the violent overthrow of Government. According to this Act, *"Criminal anarchy is the doctrine that organized government should be overthrown by force or violence, or by assassination of the executive head or of any of the executive officials of government, or by any unlawful means. The advocacy of such doctrine either by word of mouth or writing is a felony."* (Sec. 160) And a criminal anarchist is *"any person who by word of mouth or writing advocates, advises or teaches the duty, necessity or propriety of overthrowing or overturning organized government by force or violence, or by assassination of the executive head or of any of the executive officials of government, or by any unlawful means; or, prints, publishes, edits, issues or knowingly circulates, sells, distributes or publicly displays any book, paper, document, or written or printed matter in any form, containing or advocating, advising or teaching the doctrine that organized government should be overthrown by force, violence or any unlawful means."* (Sec. 161)

The Joint Legislative Committee to Investigate Seditious Activities, known as the Lusk Committee, came to strengthen that dreadful law against anarchism. The Lusk Committee possessed broad power to investigate organizations and individuals in New York who were suspected of promoting the overthrow of American Government by violating criminal anarchy statutes in the New York Penal Code. Among the organizations raided during the Lusk Committee investigations were the Rand School of Social Science, the left wing section of the Socialist Party, the Russian Soviet Bureau, the Industrial Workers of the World that were all located in New York City, and 73 branches of the Communist Party. Thousands of documents were seized including membership lists and financial records.

William MacAdoo, presiding Judge at Gitlow's arraignment, proclaimed: *"This statute is a preventive measure. It is intended to head off these mad and cruel men at the beginning of their careers. It is intended to put out a fire with a bucket of water which might not later on yield to the contents of the reservoir."*¹⁰⁸ The Supreme Court upheld Gitlow's conviction while ironically expanding free speech protections for individuals, since it stated that the First Amendment was applicable to the State through the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. According to the Court, the New York State law was constitutional. Since, the State *"cannot reasonably be required to defer the adoption of measures for its own peace and safety until the revolutionary utterances lead to actual disturbances of the public peace or imminent and immediate danger of its own destruction; but it may, in the exercise of its judgment, suppress the threatened danger in its incipency."* Nonetheless, Justices Holmes and Louis Brandeis challenged the dangerousness of the *Left Wing Manifesto* in their dissenting opinion in which they argued:

*"there was no present danger of an attempt to overthrow the government by force on the part of the admittedly small minority who shared the defendant's views. It is said that this manifesto was more than a theory, that it was an incitement. Every idea is an incitement. It offers itself for belief and if believed it is acted on unless some other belief outweighs it or some failure of energy stifles the movement at its birth. The only difference between the expression of an opinion and an incitement in the narrower sense is the speaker's enthusiasm for the result. Eloquence may set fire to reason. But whatever may be thought of the redundant discourse before us it had no chance of starting a present conflagration. If in the long run the beliefs expressed in proletarian dictatorship are destined to be accepted by the dominant forces of the community, the only meaning of free speech is that they should be given their chance and have their way."*¹⁰⁹

4.3.2 Modern Delegitimization

The Western States have nowadays increased their repressive apparatuses, particularly in their universal crusade against terrorism after the violent events of September 9, 2001. In this crusade, they

equate “the anti-globalization movement” with “terrorism”, “civil disobedience” with “domestic terrorism”, or generally “dissidence” with “crime”, and consequently violate their own rule of law, which their constitutionalists have sacralized everywhere they can find us in order to preach the legalistic ethos of due process.¹¹⁰ In fact, the legalistic thinkers biblically believe that the only solution to State evil is to respect the rule of law. Violating or restricting our rights and freedoms goes hand in hand with increasing the level of anti-terror spending by Governments.¹¹¹ Professor Roots has accordingly analyzed the world’s Governmental security bureaucracies and concluded that they are the primary beneficiaries of terrorism, because they “*benefit from their increased jurisdiction over domestic affairs in the wake of terrorist incidents.*”¹¹²

The Governmental stigmatization and repression of the so-called anarchists have actually become more obvious after 9/11, which some observers regard as “a new era of McCarthyism”,¹¹³ in the framework of State crime. The State has thus furthered its own delegitimization by ramping up criminalization, punishment mechanisms, and violence against citizens through its actors. In its process of delegitimization, the State presents the interests of its officers and economic elites as public order or national security, while disregarding its opponents as national or international enemies that it must severely punish. Some scholars have argued that since 9/11 and the subsequent militarization of the police by the Department of Homeland Security, US police officers have killed about 5,000 Americans. In fact, Americans are between 8 and 55 times more likely to be murdered by a police officer than by a terrorist so that the cops are more dangerous to the people than the criminals or even the ISIS.¹¹⁴

In our case, the USA Patriot Act, passed by President George Walker Bush, is a salient example. In this paranoiac War on Terrorism, the lawyers are, as usual, looking forward to the so-called principle of “*checks and balances*” in order to guarantee our legal salvation in democracy.¹¹⁵

For instance, Chang, senior litigation attorney at the Center for Constitutional Rights in New York City, has said, calling upon the judiciary serves as a check against legislative and executive measures violating the Constitution. In addition, calling upon Congress plays an oversight role over the executive by passing the corrective laws where needed, and appointing the Judges who are careful about the Bill of Rights.¹¹⁶ She has actually joined other great attorneys and scientists who are apparently under deep anesthesia not to see that the principle of checks and balances has traditionally denied the rights of so-called people of color, women, children, and libertarians, among many other excluded people. As for Abrams, distinguished Professor of Law Emeritus, his thesis relies on developments in US anti-terrorism law since 9/11. He has argued that the system of checks and balances among the several branches of the US Government, executive, legislative, and judicial, “*has been undermined by the deferral and avoidance of key*

decisions by each of the branches and the withdrawal of authority by one branch from another."¹¹⁷ Haas, Professor Emeritus of political science at the University of Hawaii, has fervently shared the same sacred belief in the principle: *"the Bush presidency may be viewed as a watershed in which the White House attempted to reinvent the Constitution in order to eliminate the checks and balances so carefully crafted by James Madison and others in Philadelphia during 1787."*¹¹⁸

Legal anarchism should nonetheless remind those legalists of the fact that a State is perfectly able to commit all crimes, *de facto* or *de jure*, under the name of Constitution and under the supervision of Judges as well. In this case, political history is full of examples from the so-called tyrannical States to the so-called democratic States: Soviet State, Nazi State, Jewish State, Islamic State, American State, British State, etc. Professor Rothbard has thus called the principle "a fraud" in the USA.¹¹⁹ According to another libertarian perspective, Professor Long has believed, *"the problem with the minarchist version of checks and balances is that it does not go far enough; the opposing parts are too few in number, and too closely linked together in a single overarching institution."*¹²⁰

Those legalists are indeed looking forward to worshipping the principle from which they are obviously profiting as seasoned lawyer or Professor. As a result, Chang has written about and acted against the constitutional violations perpetrated by the Bush Administration (e.g., *Turkmen v. Ashcroft*),¹²¹ when Bush and his friends are always free from all constitutional, criminal, and international offences that they massively committed. The Bush Administration committed the following crimes:

scaring, harassing, silencing, and punishing dissidents; interrogating without suspicion; arresting without charge; detaining without justification (extrajudicial detention); preventive detention; barring the press and public from the terrorist trials; forcing detainees to waive rights to counsel, to notify consular officials from their countries of origin under the Vienna Convention, to contest the immigration charges filed against them, and to seek release on bond; blocking access between detainees and the outside world; monitoring the attorney-client communications; charging with terrorism the lawyers who represent the so-called terrorist suspects; authorizing warrantless electronic surveillance of U.S. citizens; Government secrecy and manipulation; illegally spending public dollars on a secret propaganda program to manufacture a false cause for the war against Iraq; invading Iraq in violation of U.S. law, the UN Charter, and ICL while failing to obtain a declaration of war; torturing; kidnapping and transporting individuals to countries known to practice torture; authorizing the arrest and detention of at least 2,500 children as enemy combatants in violation of the Geneva Convention; etc.

Those are only some examples of a so-called democratic State that has systematically violated not only its own laws, supposedly strengthened by the principle of checks and balances, but also international laws. They also show how aggressive and furious the States become when their interests are threatened

fictively or really, as happened during the Japanese-American internment, the Japanese-Canadian internment, and the McCarthy era, for instance. In all these examples, a group of cunning lawyers have really gotten fame and made a lot of money by either defending State crimes or accusing them in the framework of three legal fetishes: Constitution, criminal law, and International law.

No State has ever stopped its brutality and illegality at the level of political dissidence. It also reacts ridiculously against non-violent individuals and groups. For instance, *the Rainbow Family of Living Light*, as a form of the TAZ, contains non-violent, non-hierarchical, and egalitarian societies in remote forests around the world during its four decades of existence.¹²² In spite of its pacifist nature, avowed even by a Federal Judge,¹²³ the police systematically harass the people attending Rainbow Family gatherings on public lands, and try to prevent them from these gatherings. In this case, firing pepper balls, rubber bullets, arrests without reason, searching, and ticketing on the narrowest of pretexts are routine examples.¹²⁴

Critical Mass, started in September 1992 by a group of San Franciscans gathering to ride bikes after work,¹²⁵ is another example proving State repression. In *Buffalo Cops Wage War on Pedal Pushers*, Professor Jackson explained how a Critical Mass bicycling in Buffalo on May 30, 2003 had faced police repression. During this event, a dozen police officers attacked a peaceful group of bicyclists, arrested, and charged some of them with a type of trumped-up felony. Other cyclists passed a hat and raised enough money to pay the two \$75 tickets. The police then arrested a bicyclist who had crossed Elmwood to find out what was happening, charged him with jaywalking, and eventually put him into a police car. “Some cops bent a woman over the trunk of a police car and one of them hit her with a nightstick. Sibhohan McCollum, a student at Buff State and one of the cyclists, said to a police lieutenant, “Please, you have to stop hitting people,” whereupon she was bent over a police car, handcuffed and taken away. A woman with two children in her car stopped and asked the police to stop beating a bicyclist. They arrested her and took her away. In all, four women were arrested, every one of them because she was pleading with police to stop clubbing someone who wasn’t doing anything except standing or lying there getting clubbed.”¹²⁶

If those repressive and ridiculous attitudes come from one of Bush’s *axis of evil*, the criminal lawyers and constitutionalists may hotly criticize or justify according to who feeds them, but how they can legally do that when those attitudes have come from a highly democratic State? They may be satisfied with the fact that police brutality against the peaceful cyclists, as “a new mode of protest”, has become a universal problem, since the cyclists take to the streets once a month in more than 400 cities worldwide, as it has occurred in Poland, Belarus, London, San Francisco, New York, Miami, and Houston, for example!¹²⁷

As far as the Canadian police are concerned, a video recorded during the 2010 G20 Toronto Summit shows police officer Adam Josephs, better known as “Officer Bubbles”, stood with his arms crossed while

saying a protester, Courtney Winkels, blowing bubbles: *"If the bubble touches me, you're going to be arrested for assault. Do you understand me?"* Winkels was eventually arrested and detained more than 50 hours in police custody for conspiracy to commit an indictable offence, a charge that was fortunately thrown out at her first court appearance. She would later sue the Toronto police for \$100,000 for false arrest and a violation of her Charter rights. As for Officer Bubbles, he launched a \$1,250,000 defamation lawsuit against YouTube!¹²⁸ Besides, the syndrome of Officer Bubbles, legal repression may be ridiculous vis-à-vis the futile offenders, such as the unimportant robbers. For example, because of her "serious crime" (*grande criminalité*) a 67-year-old French woman risked deportation to her country of origin (France) 40 years after her departure. She was condemned to a nine month suspended prison term for a robbery of \$87 in a supermarket in Montreal!¹²⁹

The G20 Toronto Summit, which reminds us of the "mechanisms of biopolitical governance",¹³⁰ is a modern case of State violence through police brutality and the agony of justice to punish the cops who beat and imprisoned the demonstrators without any charge. During this Summit of political and economic elites who were undemocratically deciding for all citizens of the world, the Canadian Government criminalized all politically organized resistance.¹³¹ For instance, Stockwell Day, a former Conservative Cabinet Minister, *"promised a 'security crackdown' on anarchists who were also blamed for travel disruption."*¹³²

In spite of many documented reports on the violence and irregularity of Torontonians police (beating, kettling, illegal arrests and detentions, for example) violating civil and political rights of citizens, which Ontario Ombudsman André Marin called *"the most massive compromise of civil liberties in Canadian history"*,¹³³ there has been only one case of smooth sanction against a policeman in December 2013. Constable Babak Andalib-Goortani was sentenced to 45 days prison and suspended from the police force without pay for assaulting a protester during the G20 Summit, as Crown attorney Philip Perlmutter had demanded a *"short, sharp sentence of imprisonment"* to show that the cops abusing *"their positions of public trust and authority will be dealt with severely"*!¹³⁴ He also faced his second G20 assault trial in which he was acquitted. He eventually came back to work on the Toronto police force, but lost 5 days' pay!¹³⁵

As for Constable Vincent Wong, he was the first police officer to be found guilty of misconduct for a G20-related offence under the Police Services Act in 2013, but his penalty stays a one-day suspension without pay!¹³⁶

On August 15, 2015, a disciplinary hearing would however find Mark Fenton, a senior Toronto Police Service officer ordering to kettle the G20 protesters, guilty of two charges of unlawful arrest and one charge of discreditable conduct, but not guilty of one charge each of discreditable conduct and unlawful exercise of

authority.¹³⁷ As for Ontario Superior Court Justice Frederick Myers, he ruled that the Toronto police had respected the Charter rights of a protester when demanding to search his backpack during the G20 weekend. According to this Judge, that intrusion “*was measured, reasonable, warranted and amounted to a typical and minimal inconvenience of the same type that we put up with on a daily basis in other circumstances where authorities have far less basis for concern.*”¹³⁸ However, one of 30 cops, who are awaiting trial for charges under the Police Services Act stemming from civilian complaints, had regarded “G20 tribunals” as “a Farce”!¹³⁹

Professors Monaghan and Walby have stated that because of the mass arrests, lack of civil protections, and poor detention conditions, the victims of police brutality launched a 600-member class action lawsuit.¹⁴⁰ In the wake of the G20 Summit generating numerous individual lawsuits, this class action has gone hand in hand with another one, and both have claimed respectively \$45,000,000 and \$115,000,000 in damages at the heart of an agonizing legal system with its sacred Judges.¹⁴¹ As for three G20 protesters, they settled their lawsuits out of court after receiving unspecified payments.¹⁴² All these events have happened in a country too proud of its holy CCRF, a country worshipping the right to life, liberty, and security, freedom from unreasonable search, seizure, arbitrary detention and imprisonment, right to legal counsel and habeas corpus, and rights in criminal matters (e.g., the POI) (CCRF, sec. 7-11), among other *fetishist rights and freedoms*.

Due to mutuality and cooperation among the States, the Canadian Government was working with and receiving information from the US National Security Agency and British Government Communications Headquarters. They were therefore monitoring and infiltrating phone calls, emails, Internet activity of diplomats and leaders, Canadians’ Internet and Facebook messages, particularly those of activists and dissident groups, during the G20 in Toronto.¹⁴³

The protective as well as aggressive attitude of the Canadian Government in order to cover up or to justify police spy and brutality during the G20 Toronto strengthens the conclusion of an American study based on group interviews conducted in 2006, which contained 71 social justice organizations. According to this study, the US Government has provided no administrative mechanisms of accountability for erroneous surveillance, false accusations, and improper or unwarranted investigations. Its findings prove that the harm suffered by individuals and political organizations, because of widespread surveillance, infiltration, and documentation, “*is legally cognizable and not at all speculative.*”¹⁴⁴

The anarchists are able to show that the impartiality and independence of the judiciary as well as the principle of checks and balances are mythical or fictive. In this case, Gelderloos and Lincoln have

judiciously pointed out that most US Judges are reluctant to punish police wrongdoing infringing on the rights of people. Over the years, the Supreme Court has drastically crashed down the protections guaranteed by the Bill of Rights in criminal cases, when the presumption of guilt, search and seizure without warrants, and trials without juries are commonplace. The system actually works to ensure that the people will not exercise their rights. If every individual charged with an offence took it to trial, *“the current case load would backlog the courts for over a decade.”*¹⁴⁵ Furthermore, Professor Turk has argued that the Judges and bureaucrats can expect the police officers’ support, if needed, as the police officers can count on the military forces, if resistance is more than they are able to handle.¹⁴⁶

The Leveller has truly argued that the lawyers claim in the capitalist press that the US Supreme Court will not stop them from filing “civil rights” lawsuits in order to reply to police abuse and brutality. Nonetheless, relying on the courts, increasingly mastered by the Judges chosen from the ranks of prosecutors and business lawyers, never frees us from the growing of the Police State around us. How many police brutality lawsuits have actually succeeded? What will happen when they take the police officers into the courts? Their lawsuit seems much like a rape trial. The victims are presented as immorally and politically incorrect and troublemakers. The jurors are mostly selected from the lower middle or middle class employees of the Government and Big Business, since the ordinary people must work for a living and do not consequently get time off from their boss to be on a jury. They are told fairy tales about how noble the police officers are and how they protect us against the “bad elements” in the society. They are also told that the police officers only apply force when they “have to”, and then asked to believe that police murder, terrorism, and mayhem have occurred in accordance with this thin definition of “necessity”.¹⁴⁷ They are ironically few condemnations of those that the police have arrested, mostly less than 5% as Professor Dupuis-Déri has shown in some cases about public demonstration in Seattle (1999), Philadelphia (2000), Miami (2003), Toronto (2010), and Montreal on March 15 every year.¹⁴⁸ Regarding the 2010 G20, Professors Monaghan and Walby have argued that the police arrested more than 1100 individuals and charged 330 individuals, while only 32 cases would result in guilty convictions.¹⁴⁹

These State actions during the G20 should prepare us for a culture of impunity in which the Honorable Vic Toews, then Minister of Public Safety, recognized the value of torture in his directive to the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) instructing *“the agency to use information that may have been extracted through torture when public safety is at stake.”*¹⁵⁰ In his response to opposition questions in the House of Commons on February 7, 2012, he replied, *“Our government expects CSIS and security agencies to make the protection of life and property the overriding priority.”*¹⁵¹ His homologue, the Honorable Stockwell Day,

former Minister of Public Safety, had already followed the same strategy. He was warned that some of the information in a national security certificate used to arrest accused terrorist Mohamed Mahjoub may have been extracted through torture. The legal system has imprisoned and kept the accused man under house arrest since 2000 in Canada. Despite the lack of charge for any crime because of secret information, the Federal Government deems him as “a threat to national security” yet.¹⁵² In 2008, although the Supreme Court of Canada confirmed the unconstitutionality of the security-certificate regime, *“the Government amended the law, and reimposed one on him and four other Muslim foreigners. Mahjoub was finally released in November 2009, again under rigid bail conditions. Since then, the courts have eased the restrictions substantially but he remains far from free.”*¹⁵³ Federal Court Judge Edmond Blanchard eventually confirmed the federal Government’s branding of him as a threat to Canada because of the evidence, including “trusted” by Osama bin Laden, supporting Ottawa’s assertion about his involvement in the terrorist groups.¹⁵⁴

In short, the Canadian State has honorably recognized the practical ethos of torturing for the sake of so-called “public safety”, even though its Biblical laws (such as the CCRF) as well as international obligations (such as the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment) forbid hotly torture.¹⁵⁵ However, let our honorable scholars, particularly legalists and lawyers, talk about it, because they apparently regard it as their own business!

As for England, the City of Westminster police’s “counter terrorist focus desk” asked for anti-anarchist whistleblowers and presented anarchism as a political philosophy considering *“the state undesirable, unnecessary, and harmful, and instead promotes a stateless society, or anarchy. Any information relating to anarchists should be reported to your local police.”*¹⁵⁶ The English Government has thus not only criminalized anarchist philosophy (i.e. the dangerous ideas to its own survival), but also made the whole of society “Governmental spy”. West Midlands Police have recently offered £200 to anyone that reports someone for drinking and driving who is subsequently convicted.¹⁵⁷ As for the British friend or sister, the US Government is too eager in its *chasse aux sorcières* or so-called spies and traitors (*War on Whistleblowers*).¹⁵⁸ As a result, everybody must be scared of State power realized throughout all communication tools, an Orwellian surveillance made by the USA. This could remind us of the power of Allah: *“But do they not know that Allah knows what they conceal and what they declare?”* (Al Baqarah 2:77)

In reality, Governmental repression during the meetings of G8, G20, and other political-economic demonstrations (e.g., social protests against the policies of the Free Trade Area of the Americas, the World Trade Organization, the International Monetary Fund, and the World Bank) is targeting *systematically* and *massively* the anti-globalization protesters. These protesters are mostly peaceful individuals and groups

fighting for equality, freedom, justice, peace, and the environment in our unequal, repressive, unjust, sexist, bellicose, and polluting societies ravaged by corporate and political corruption and delinquency.¹⁵⁹

In their defence of the environment, the social movements did nevertheless force a British jury to decide that Greenpeace activists, which had damaged a coal-fired power station in Kent, had a “lawful excuse” to destroy property in order to prevent the greater harm of the ecosystem. We know this decision as “the doctrine of necessity”.¹⁶⁰ Professor Oleson has accordingly concluded that the justification of this type of *imperfect necessity* (a type of civil disobedience) may, on the one hand, permit some defendants to avoid punishment, and, on the other hand, allow their message to reach the jury to know why their conduct should not be criminal.¹⁶¹ The veganarchists are nonetheless subject to brutal police and legal repression¹⁶² in a world where the State is exclusively responsible to ensure the inviolability of every commodity, including the ecosystems!

Moreover, it is difficult to accuse the anarchists of committing crime when the police act provocatively or violently against any demonstration, even peaceful one.¹⁶³ If the police brutalized, there would scarcely remain any option for the demonstrators better than self-defense, which should be “unintentional violence” or “legitimate defense” against police brutality or police riot. In this sense, a law that gives extreme and unlimited power to Governmental officers to criminalize and therefore to crush the opponents down is unjust and criminal *per se*. The laws against terrorism after 9/11 are typical examples in this case. The Bush Administration has been the subject of many political and legal debates about its criminal behaviour, including efforts to impeach George Walker Bush and his officers because of invasion (war without a declaration), murders, torture, and illegal wiretapping, among other. President Obama, a Nobel Peace Prize Winner and “the commander-in-chief of the military of a nation”, has recently experienced the same treatment.¹⁶⁴ There are eventually professional legalists and politicians who may decide about these crimes by judging one of their own old colleagues, that is to say Bush whose father has already become “legally untouchable”, despite his crimes against peace, crimes against humanity, and war crimes, among others.¹⁶⁵

Furthermore, the poor or unknown criminals are left at the mercy of legal aid and judicial juggling, when the famous, rich, or big criminals, and iconic anarchists are enjoying the support of the best law Professors and the most skilled lawyers.

It also seems that the big anarchists could profit from a lenient penitentiary in comparison to the ordinary offenders. Goldman is a salient example in this case, since, “*Like most other anarchists we have met,*” Professor Ferguson has stated, “*Goldman did a great deal of her reading in prison. (...) While Goldman often*

*scorned her correspondents for suggesting that prison was a good place to get some reading done, in fact a substantial portion of her education took place within prison walls”!*¹⁶⁶ Certain big anarchists, unlike small or unknown ones, have historically profited from non-punishment (especially in the case of academic and activist anarchists such as Professors Chomsky and Churchill who are preaching violence),¹⁶⁷ lenient punishment (e.g., Gelderloos),¹⁶⁸ or deportation to a less authoritarian country (e.g., Fleshin and Steimer were deported from the Soviet Union to Germany).¹⁶⁹ Moreover, in the case of iconic anarchists or famous activists more punishment might actually provide more opportunities or jobs for them!

Contrary to the libertarian cases which are well known and documented, who knows the fate of unknown dissidents who oppose tyranny and fight for freedom in front of the gigantic political and legal machines, i.e. the States, which crush all sense of individuality and sociality down together? In this sense, the State delegitimizes its political foundation, i.e. so-called democracy, as well as its legal system, which is supposedly legitimate, but ironically challenged by the State criminals rather than the anarchists.

4.4 Judicial Legitimacy: The Anarchists vs. the State Criminals

Firstly, the anarchists would accept judicial legitimacy as a rule, when the war criminals or the commanders of crimes against humanity stringently deny the existence of any legitimate court to judge their crimes, or ridicule the court by calling it political conspiracy or diplomatic theater. Secondly, the legal system forces its rules upon citizens, when preventing them from doing anything against judicial authority. In fact, the libertarians have no right to resist the tyranny of Judges, thanks to the label of judicial or civil contempt. Thirdly, the big criminals or political white-collar criminals own dreadful means of repression and destruction in the frame of State crimes, which enable them not only to kill, torture, rape, imprison, spy, control, and humiliate us, but also to destroy and pollute the environment. The State criminals enjoy either impunity or lenient punishment in this case. In summary, according to the acceptance or the rejection of the CJS, there is a double standard: a forced justice for the dissidents, anarchists, or petty criminals, an impotent or lenient justice for the State criminals or the big criminals.

4.4.1 Accepting vs. Denying Judicial Legitimacy

According to legal anarchism, the libertarians actually admit the legitimacy of their court as an opportunity to propagate and sell their ideas, but the State criminals categorically reject it as a political complot made by an illegal court from a point of view of national or international law.

4.4.1.1 An Accepted Court

When it comes to challenging or denying the legitimacy of State court, the anarchists place themselves in opposition to the war criminals or criminals against humanity, since, to my knowledge, no anarchist has really put into question or challenged the legitimacy of the prosecutor and the Judge who have prosecuted and judged her/him. She/he does not actually like to ask how they have become prosecutor and Judge, how they arrived at the zenith of legal power, when she/he is theoretically critical of the legal system. The anarchists do not ironically reject judicial legitimacy, but they are simply critical vis-à-vis the legal system throughout their abstract critiques of the State and its organizations, including the prosecutors and the Judges. In other words, they are satisfied with abstractly denouncing the corruption of legal professionals and their relation to the economic and political elites. Their critiques remain confusing, rhetorical, or sensualist in their discourses, interviews, pamphlets, articles, or books. They are also too fearful in their experience of the judicial process in which they perfectly respect their prosecutors and Judges, even solicit the aid of a lawyer who could be neither less corrupt than a prosecutor or Judge, nor far from legal ideology framed by Government. In reality, their propaganda by the words and the deed rarely reaches their docile attitude towards those who mercilessly prosecute, judge, and punish them. Like many intellectuals (such as Engels and Marx) and politicians, they show a huge gap between theory and practice when they face the brute force of law and order. In this case, they are mute or “*sage comme une image*”! Even they or their families would be eager to go until the top of the legal system or to exhaust all domestic remedies in a State (i.e. the Supreme Court) before calling on an inter-Governmental organization, which means a regional or international Court, symbolizing political authority in its powerfully hierarchical aspects of capitalism and exploitation together.¹⁷⁰

May it be so naïve to expect them to jeopardize their liberty or property in front of an Almighty Power called the Judge? How can they nevertheless exhort their fans to revolt against the legal system in its court as well as prison they stay themselves good boys/girls? Could we be in search of an “honest anarchist” in broad daylight with a lantern on the legal streets? Do the anarchists imply that their Judges are “democratic” and therefore “legitimate”? Do they dance with a legal music that the Papa State has already composed for them? Do they play the same role that the public officers (i.e. police, prosecutor, and Judge) expect them as “good” or “wise” “dissidents”? Eventually, by its mediatization, does not ironically legal repression provide fame and opportunity for the anarchists to sell their products (pamphlets, articles, and books, for example)?

Certain anarchists (e.g., the Non-Resistants in the USA and Rudolf Rocker) have nevertheless refused to go to the court for redressing injury or defending themselves.¹⁷¹ Moreover, the use of State courts, which are traditionally foes of anarchism, for winning an anarchist cause would be an uncertain way devouring time, money, and energy altogether.¹⁷² Chang has already explained the cost of civil disobedience. This means rough treatment at the hands of arresting officers, lengthy detainment under unsafe and harsh conditions, the psychological and financial drain of defending themselves against criminal charges, the stigma of a criminal conviction, long prison sentences, hefty fines, the loss of earnings, and a very long judicial battle to prove the unconstitutionality of a law.¹⁷³ In this regard, the work of so-called “legal observers” is so fragile, because these activists want to discourage the police from attacking demonstrators or to report police brutality and misbehaviour by their watching and reporting with recording devices such as camera.¹⁷⁴ How can they prevent the enforcement agencies from violence for which the State has meticulously trained and prepared them? How can these watchdog activists, themselves subject to police brutality, keep the cops accountable for their brutal actions in the courts in which the Judges share their ideology and unavoidably defend their brutality in the name of, particularly, law and order, self-defense, or legitimate defense?

In fact, the anarchists have hitherto used their trials as an opportunity for propagating their libertarian doctrines, rather than discrediting the authority of the legal system *per se*.¹⁷⁵ The more the State brutalizes through its criminal law, the more the dissidents publicize and distribute their ideas and actions around the world. In this case, Kropotkin, Emma Goldman, and the dissidents of the Republican National Convention (known as the RNC 8) are typical examples. Legal anarchism should now explain them briefly.

During the mediatized Trial of Lyon in 1883, the defendants did their best to turn the Trial into an opportunity to express their libertarian ideas. For instance, Kropotkin developed the principles that they all accepted. Those principles denounced the States and capitalism, while they demanded equality as a fundamental condition of freedom and substitution of a free contract for public administration, legal tutelage, and imposed discipline. He therefore declared: “*scoundrels that we are, we demand bread for all; for all equally independence and justice;*” and called “*on his judges not to perpetuate class hatred, but to join with all just men in establishing a society where the absence of want would remove the causes of strife.*”¹⁷⁶

As far as Goldman is concerned, she recognized in 1916 that the trial gave her an opportunity “*to deliver in open court a defence of birth-control.*”¹⁷⁷ Her trials were indeed the public spectacles in which she played a leading role, thanks to her personality, notoriety, and the publicity surrounding her trials as well.¹⁷⁸ Once asked about the Judges and their profession, she answered: “*I confess I did it with glee, without remorse*

*or pity for the predicament of the gentlemen who had to listen without being able to punish me even for contempt of court.”*¹⁷⁹

Reminding of the repression of demonstrators during the Democratic National Convention in Chicago in 1968,¹⁸⁰ the RNC 8 concerns the criminalization of some dissents in Minneapolis and Saint Paul during the Republican National Convention in 2008. The young dissidents (Luce Guillén-Givins, Max Specktor, Nathanael Secor, Eryn Trimmer, Monica Bicking, Erik Oseland, Robert Czernik, and Garrett Fitzgerald) were preemptively arrested prior to the 2008 RNC because of their political organizing. They were falsely charged with Conspiracy to Riot in Furtherance of Terrorism under the Minnesota Patriot Act. The prosecutors later added charges of Conspiracy to Commit Criminal Damage to Property in Furtherance of Terrorism, both charges with the terrorism enhancement,¹⁸¹ for totally four felony charges per defendant. In early 2009, the prosecutors removed, under political pressure, the terrorism enhancements and left each defendant with two felony charges. In August 2010, Oseland pleaded guilty to a single gross misdemeanor charge, and was eventually sentenced to 91 days in the workhouse and a \$100 fine. In September 2010, all charges against Trimmer, Bicking, and Guillén-Givins were dropped, and four defendants faced trial beginning on October 25, 2010. As Eley states, this irregular case (e.g., police infiltration and preemptive arrest) has provided *“the opportunity for a trial run of large-scale repression against the mass opposition to war and social immiseration that will inevitably emerge in the coming months and years.”*¹⁸²

Nevertheless, like Sugako, Maziotis, a Greek anarchist, denied the legitimacy of the legal system during his trial taking place in Athens in 1999. He bravely said:

*“You must keep in mind that although you are judges and sitting higher than me, many times the revolutionaries, and myself specifically, have judged you long before you judge me. We are in opposite camps, hostile camps. The revolutionaries and revolutionary justice because I don’t believe that this court is justice, it’s the word justice in quotation marks – many times judge their enemies more mercilessly, when they get the chance to impose justice. I will begin from many years ago. We don’t have any crime of mine to judge here. On the contrary, we will talk about crimes, but not mine. We will talk about the crimes of the State, of its mechanisms, of justice and police crimes.”*¹⁸³

It seems that her comrade, Roupá, took a less revolutionary tactic in her *Oral Declaration to the Court*. She declared that Maziotis, Gournas, and she stood trial as “leaders” of Revolutionary Struggle (*Epanastatikos Agonas*), and they would be convicted in the end. They had claimed political responsibility for their participation in Revolutionary Struggle. They had also declared that they were proud of their participation, and would repeat it as many times as necessary. Still, there was no evidence whatsoever tying them to any particular actions.¹⁸⁴

4.4.1.2 A Denied Court

The State criminals (such as Klaus Barbie, Saddam Hussein, Slobodan Milosevic, Omar Hassan Ahmad Al-Bashir, Kenyatta, Ruto, and Radovan Karadzic) have hitherto either denied both national as well as international legitimacy of any court to judge their crimes, or regarded their accusation as “an utterly corrupt and dishonest case” and “politically motivated”.¹⁸⁵ For example, Milosevic, who himself had studied the law, announced on the second day of his trial, “*I challenge the very legality of this tribunal.*”¹⁸⁶ Of course, there are other “legitimate war criminals” (such as the Bush family, Blair, and Putin) remaining outside ICL for the sake of sacrificing the less popular or loved gagsters, war criminals, or criminals against humanity.

Moreover, certain bands of extremely seasoned, expensive, and famous lawyers (Jacques Vergès, Ramsey Clark, Steven Kay, Gillian Higgins, and Najib Al-Nuaimi, among others), and of the biggest Professors of ICL (such as Mahmoud Cherif Bassiouni, often called “the Godfather of International Criminal Law”,¹⁸⁷ and Michael Wahid Hanna) are teaching and encouraging the State criminals to put into question judicial legitimacy. Indeed, these honorable attorneys and Professors, i.e. professional human rights watchdogs belonging to the Legalist Brotherhood and Sisterhood, are purely interpreting ICL inasmuch as there is no legitimate system to prosecute, judge, and eventually punish any State criminal, unless she/he has formerly accepted the competence of a regional or international criminal court! This could be a pure theory of ICL reminding of Kelsenian *Pure Theory of Law*, rooted in an archaic principle regarding the ruling monarch as the exclusive and legitimate authority within his territory without any interference from other monarchs.¹⁸⁸ The punishment of a big criminal really remains utopic *dans l'état actuel des choses*, a utopia which still exists among some legalists who believe in “the concept of universal jurisdiction”. In this case, let us observe shortly the attitude of three criminal defence lawyers who are tremendously famous for their paradoxical defenses: Ramsey Clark, Al-Nuaimi, and Vergès.

Clark, former US Attorney General and a defender of famous criminals, has been one of the initiators of charges against Bush and his son. He is also too eager to defend many bloody dictators who must enjoy “the right of defense” directed by a team of prestigious lawyers. Among his clients, we can find Bernard Coard (political murderer), Elizaphan Ntakirutimana (Rwandan mass murderer), Karadzic (Bosnian Serbian war criminal), Charles McArthur Ghankay Taylor, Saddam Hussein, and Milosevic. As the *Center of Controversies* and the *War Criminal's Best Friend*, this so-called activist and controversial lawyer really loves to enforce *the rule of law*, including the persecution of the activists during the 1960s as an Attorney General, and to present *individuals labeled by the public as indefensible*.¹⁸⁹

As for Al-Nuaimi, this former Justice Minister of Qatar “*is ready to defend Syrian President Bashar al-Assad if the leader was summoned to stand in trial,*”¹⁹⁰ as he did with Saddam’s defense team.¹⁹¹ As a member of this team, he rejected “the legitimacy of the Iraqi court and its right to try his client” by declaring that “*I will tackle the mandate of this court in exercising the duty it was assigned for. We reserve the right not to hear any witnesses or see any matter until the court resolves the question of its legal mandate, as based on local and international law.*”¹⁹² Shall legal anarchism remind that Al-Nuaimi, like the majority of Qataris, sympathizes with Islamic fundamentalist movements and recalls a Qatari man who fired a Kalashnikov at a US base and was then killed in 2001 “a martyr” who would go to heaven?¹⁹³

During his long life of lying and lawyering: Barbie (known as the Butcher of Lyon), Djamil Bouhired, Ilich Ramírez Sánchez (better known as Carlos the Jackal), Khieu Samphan, Tariq Aziz, Milosevic, etc., Vergès was nicknamed *l’Avocat de la Terreur, le Maître de l’Ombre, the Devil’s Advocate, and Salaud Lumineux* for defending from cafe-bombings, plane hijackings, and hostage-taking to mass murder. He was also ready to defend Muammar Gaddafi, if necessary. As an iconoclastically anti-colonialist, communist, Maoist, Muslim, and Catholic fighting lawyer, he famously fabricated the strategy of “rupture defence” whereby he broke down the cases against his clients when undermining judicial authority.¹⁹⁴ He has already become an idol in a job requiring perfect immorality, deep dirtiness, and rhetoric mingled with strong manipulation and sophistry. For instance, Nathan de Arriba-Sellier, a law student, believed that Vergès “*could be a model for students in terms of his exceptional journey: a past as a Resistance fighter, anti-colonialist convictions and his attachment to the fundamental principles of defence.*”¹⁹⁵ Could Vergès do his honorable *stratégie de rupture* for the poor criminals whose cases owning neither money nor media coverage? How did he fight for France against Nazi Germany when he would later defend one of its notorious criminals known as the Butcher of Lyon? How did he challenge the authority of French Judges by his so-called *stratégie de rupture* when he perfectly profited from his French rights and liberties to say any nonsense and to do whatever he loved? I think that he was a provocative lawyer who knew very well how to use or to abuse any opportunity that life could put among his hands everytime he pretended to “*stand alone against the establishment*”,¹⁹⁶ which ironically fed him too graciously. In summary, he was ready to defend all notorious criminals (such as Hitler and George Walker Bush) through the strategy of rupture inspiring some terrorists (e.g., Prospero Gallinari),¹⁹⁷ as long as his clients’ pocket as well as the mass media ensured his interest.

Those examples of extremely skilled lawyers have merely shown that legal immorality *defends the ethically indefensible*, which indeed generates more wealth and celebrity for the Legalist Brotherhood and

Sisterhood: *"The believing men and believing women are allies of one another."* (Al Tawbah 9:71) We however need to analyze why and how a top criminal uses the right of defense when undermining judicial authority, which should be contrary to an anarchist's attitude.

On the one side, no supposedly ordinary criminal, including "the anarchist criminal",¹⁹⁸ has ever been able to deny seriously the competence of the Judges at the national level. On the other side, the so-called "universal jurisdiction" or "universality principle" in international law remains at the mercy of State criminals and several Statesmen/women who technically reject it as "partial", "Victor's Justice", "anti-Sovereignty", or "anti-National Security". The International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991, the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, the International Court for Cambodia, and the ICC are typical examples in this case.¹⁹⁹ These technical jargons against the jurisdiction of these courts come apparently and mostly from a bunch of internationalist lawyers who are seriously thinking about wealth and fame that some famous clients, whose business is to massacre and plunder, bring to their business. Moreover, the universality principle is as relative as human rights themselves.²⁰⁰

Besides the mutism of the legal system toward the traditionally so-called "political" and "economic" "scandals",²⁰¹ the dictators or State criminals have a regal right to attenuating circumstances (e.g., old age and ill health), legal protection (e.g., appointment to Government shielding them from prosecution as a form of political cover-up),²⁰² as well as national and international compassion. They enable the big criminals to profit from legal benefits (such as free legal aid and travel subsidies for family) as well as ridiculous sanctions (such as the suspension of pay, community service, house arrest, and first class prison in The Hague or '*The Hague Hilton*') during their long legal and judicial saga and battle.²⁰³ On the contrary, these legal bonuses rarely exist for the petty criminals who must suffer or perish at the core of the CJS. Who cares? The State is also accustomed to declaring amnesty for some political offenders,²⁰⁴ and to having a kind of Alzheimer's regarding the large crimes of its own big officers. Bonanno has stated that instead of continuing a painful fight against the State, some dissidents want firstly to secure a collective amnesty from the State, then to start new cycles of revolutionary struggle. The insurrectionary anarchists however have no obligation to demand an amnesty for the political prisoners, but they impose the annulation of prison for all, which definitively ends the concept of "prisoner".²⁰⁵

In summary, the criminal justice places itself with the big criminals to the detriment of petty ones. The protection of the big criminals by the CJS would remind us of the Bible: *"So do not fear, for I am with you; do*

not be dismayed, for I am your God. I will strengthen you and help you; I will uphold you with my righteous right hand.” (Isaiah 41:10)

4.4.2 A Forced Justice for the Libertarians

The severe punishment of anarchists may cause a *boomerang effect* inasmuch as it displays the show trials in which certain biased and corrupt officers match up State ideology and interest with democracy and justice. In this Governmental system, *the political trial* owns the weapons of perjury, defamation, and contempt that are targeting to bring the political foes into disrepute.²⁰⁶ We should not accordingly underestimate the value of so-called “*contempt of court*” or “*obstruction of justice*”, which means the power of Judge to punish a defendant through a crime predicted by the penal code or case law. Legal anarchism gives a historically significant example concerning the *William Penn* case in 1670. The indictment contained that Penn, William Mead, and several other persons had tumultuously assembled together with force and arms at Gracechurch Street, Penn had preached there, and caused a great concourse and tumult of the grassroots. Penn was imprisoned for contempt of the Judge (the Lord Mayor of London), even though the jurors had acquitted him. The Lord Mayor not only fined Penn, Mead, and the twelve jurors on a charge of contempt of court (forty marks for each one), but also ordered that they be jailed until their fines were paid.

“The Recorder: Sir, will you plead to your indictment?”

Penn: Shall I plead to an Indictment that hath no foundation in law? If it contain that law you say I have broken, why should you decline to produce that law, since it will be impossible for the jury to determine, or agree to bring in their verdict, who have not the law produced, by which they should measure the truth of this indictment, and the guilt, or contrary of my fact?

The Recorder: You are a saucy fellow, speak to the Indictment.

Penn: I say, it is my place to speak to matter of law; I am arraigned a prisoner; my liberty, which is next to life itself, is now concerned: you are many mouths and ears against me, and if I must not be allowed to make the best of my case, it is hard, I say again, unless you shew me, and the people, the law you ground your indictment upon, I shall take it for granted your proceedings are merely arbitrary.

The Recorder: The question is, whether you are guilty of this indictment?

Penn: The question is not, whether I am guilty of this indictment, but whether this indictment be legal. It is too general and imperfect an answer, to say it is the common-law, unless we knew both where and what it is. For where there is no law, there is no transgression; and that law which is not in being, is so far from being common, that it is no law at all.

The Recorder: You are an impertinent fellow, will you teach the court what law is? It is 'Lex non scripta,' that which many have studied 30 or 40 years to know, and would you have me to tell you in a moment?

Penn: Certainly, if the common law be so hard to be understood, it is far from being very common. (...)

The Recorder: Sir, you are a troublesome fellow, and it is not for the honour of the court to suffer you to go on.

Penn: I have asked but one question, and you have not answered me; though the rights and privileges of every Englishman be concerned in it.

The Recorder: If I should suffer you to ask questions till to-morrow morning, you would be never the wiser.

Penn: That is according as the answers are.

The Recorder: Sir, we must not stand to hear you talk all night.

Penn: I design no affront to the court, but to be heard in my just plea: and I must plainly tell you, that if you will deny me oyer of that law, which you suggest I have broken, you do at once deny me an acknowledged right, and evidence to the whole world your resolution to sacrifice the privileges of Englishmen to your sinister and arbitrary designs.

The Recorder: Take him away. My lord, if you take not some course with this pestilent fellow, to stop his mouth, we shall not be able to do any thing to night.

The Lord Mayor: Take him away, take him away, turn him into the bale-dock.

(...)

Penn: I demand my liberty, being freed by the Jury.

The Lord Mayor: No, you are in for your fines.

Penn: Fines, for what?

*The Lord Mayor: For contempt of the Court."*²⁰⁷

Law Professor Kennedy has explained how the hierarchy of the judicial system goes hand in hand with the complicity of the lawyers. On the one side, the Judges are often free to treat those who come in their courtrooms with a degree of arrogance and a sense of arbitrariness. On the other side, the lawyers expect this attitude and even enjoy the absolute submission during the legal game. Both sides focus on the extreme specialization and hierarchy of function in order to make justice inaccessible to ordinary human understanding and practice.²⁰⁸

As a result, every contact with justice must exclusively pass through those condescending and hierarchical actors who are deliberately mystifying legal language to exclude all laypeople by showing their ignorance of legal reasoning, the context and process of law as well. The Judges and the lawyers are traditionally proclaiming their right to judge and to solve all problems, because they have graduated from the prestigious and secret clubs, which mean those law schools providing firms and the legal systems with the sacred jurists whose principal task is to empty our pockets by their fame and rhetorical charming. This blessed caste has an unsatisfiable desire to increase permanently its power by developing contempt of

court against the dissidents, particularly against the “*grand jury resisters*” and the animal rights activists as well.

For instance, Gerald Koch, a young anarchist and legal activist from New York City, was subpoenaed to a federal grand jury in New York regarding the Times Square Military Recruitment Center bombing in 2008. This was his second subpoena concerning this matter after June 2009. He has refused to testify based on the assertion of his First, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendment rights, as he would be doing again for the duration of this grand jury. Federal District Court Judge John Fontaine Keenan held him in contempt of court, and jailed him Brooklyn’s Metropolitan Correctional Center for “an indefinite period of time”, before releasing him.²⁰⁹

Along with two others, Leah-Lynn Plante, a young anarchist, was sent into federal custody for refusing to provide a federal grand jury testimony about activists in the Pacific Northwest in 2012. Although she was not charged with or convicted of any crime, she was imprisoned for her silence. She was eventually released after spending a week in solitary confinement. Judge Richard Jones reminded her that she could stay in custody until the expiration of the grand jury’s term, which meant until March 2014. Because non-cooperation is supposedly “*civil contempt*”, the legal system is able to jail non-cooperators for up to 18 months.²¹⁰ Judge Jones also jailed Katherine Olejnik and Matt Duran, two other grand jury resisters, for more than five months in the SeaTac Federal Detention Center, in a McCarthyesque manner because of refusing to testify to a grand jury about their ties to the anarchists.²¹¹ The grand jury is actually a “political weapon” in the hands of Government against some brave individuals and groups fighting grandly against authority.²¹²

It seems that contempt of court, which is historically rooted in strengthening the monarchic totalitarianism under the common law,²¹³ has metamorphosed into civil contempt in order to protect the political and economic elites. The environmentalist cases or the so-called Green Scare (such as Carrie Feldman and Scott DeMuth, two animal rights activists) has proved that the situation of Plante is far from being marginal in the American legal system, when it comes to refusing self-incrimination, a right protected by the Fifth Amendment.²¹⁴ Several incidents have indeed proved that the American Government and industry harass systematically the eco-animal movement.²¹⁵ For example, in August 2003, “a three year-old lawsuit charging that environmentalist groups were religious extremists comparable to some of the more violent, intolerant, ultra-orthodox Islamic sects collapsed when the attorney failed to meet a re-filing deadline with the U.S. Supreme Court.”²¹⁶

Osama Awadallah would somehow provide another example in this case. Although he was not accused of any crime, federal officials detained him under the federal “material witness statute” for twenty days, much of that in solitary confinement. The US Government wanted him to testify before a grand jury in New York City, in which he was questioned about whether he knew two of the suspected of involvement in the September 11 hijacking. He was eventually acquitted.²¹⁷ It should not therefore be surprising that Bill C-309, known as the “Mask Legislation”, has criminalized, by amending the Section 65 of the Canadian Criminal Code, protesters who cover their face during “a riot” or “an unlawful assembly”. According to this law, those who wear a mask at tumultuous demonstrations may face a maximum sentence of ten years.²¹⁸ The good news is that to wear a mask in a “lawful assembly” is legal, but there are the cops and Judges who will decide what is lawfulness in this case! The criminalization of masked protesters by the Canadian Government could indeed imply divine authority: “*For God shall bring every work into judgment, with every secret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil.*” (Ecclesiastes 12:14)

In reality, nobody can be unknown to the State’s intelligence and repressive agencies. The State has now everything in its hands to criminalize and repress all protests against its authority under the name of law and order or that of national security. In this regard, its powers are not only *unlimited* and *unbalanced*, but also *legitimate*, and any opposition cannot therefore be legal. As mentioned above, the USA has accordingly provided some examples throughout its laws against so-called anarchists and terrorists that paradoxically undermine its legal Bible, which is to say the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. However, the problem is much deeper and more destructive than the violation of constitutional rights and liberties, since the State criminals subjugate humanity and nature to absolute humiliation and destruction, while they enjoy themselves impunity.

4.4.3 An Impotent Justice for the State Criminals

The political white-collar criminals enjoy committing all types of serious offences against humanity and nature, as we have already observed, thanks to either their legal status or the leniency of the Judges. On the contrary, the dissidents, anarchists or not, must endure Governmental violence because, as Weber too famously articulated, the State has *legitimately and successfully monopolized the use of force*! Nonetheless, there is neither definition of successful claim to use violence, nor practically any limit for this monopolization. In this regard, the history of anarchism is full of State corruption and crimes, even against peaceful dissidents, insofar as the legitimacy and successfulness of Government depend upon the State itself.

Furthermore, it is very important to notice that “State crimes” would be *oxymoronic*, at least according to a classic, pure, or legalistic band of philosophers or scholars, and imply the impunity of State for its crimes of violence. They are hotly talking about Government and its potential responsibility (e.g., so-called *State wrongdoing*) that they are traditionally regarding as their own scholastic kingdom, gifted by the Papa State itself. For them, the State is the absolute source that specifies what crime is and what sanctions are. In summary, the State is an organization with ultimate power upon death and life, and ultimate authority to decide about its own criminality. As mentioned above, State brutality is therefore legitimate in the frame of law’s violence, such as corporal punishment and the death penalty or State killing as practiced in the USA, Iran, and Saudi Arabia.²¹⁹ Regarding State murder, Professor Baker found out China, Saudi Arabia, Iran, and the USA “account for 94% of all executions worldwide,”²²⁰ when they are defending the Mandarin, Islamic, and democratic values of killing mixed with State secrets.

State crimes have also justified the need for political authority to establish law and order, particularly when it comes to fighting against so-called terrorism! In *On Terrorism and the State*, Sanguinetti, a writer and member of the Situationist International, argues that the people are hostile to terrorism, on the one side. They admit that they need the State in order to delegate to it the broadest possible powers so that it is able to assume the task of defending them against an enemy who is merciless, mysterious, obscure, treacherous, and, in short, phantasmal, on the other side.²²¹ For instance, fear is absolutely justified in the War on Terror, which is really an endlessly fake battle for democracy.²²²

Despite their propaganda of fighting against terrorism and insecurity, all States have hitherto been themselves terrorist and criminal. They are sponsoring terrorist groups and committing legal or illegal violence with impunity or with ridiculous punishment reserved for the white-collar criminals.²²³ In this case, legal anarchism can mention *corvée*, taxation, kidnapping, murdering, drug trafficking, money laundering, using child soldiers, and so on. We should also never forget their bloody, dirty, and extremely profitable business that is the arms industry, i.e. a part of “State-corporate crime”, requiring permanent wars or armed conflicts around the world.²²⁴ Professor Casey has thus spoken of “*the Criminal State*”.²²⁵ As Professor Rothe has analyzed well, *State criminality is the crime of all crimes*, due to its dreadful quality and quantity.²²⁶

At the same time, all States are feigning to fight against the criminals, which mean the miserable offenders or poor people, but rarely against the white-collar criminals including the Statesmen/women and lawyers. They are ironically accustomed to calling each other or some organizations as “Rogue State”, “terrorist State”, “State sponsor of terrorism”, or “terrorist organization”. They are however all terrorists *per*

se.²²⁷ In *Death by Government*, Professor Rummel has judiciously acknowledged that “*absolute power kills absolutely,*” and “*the more power a government has, the more it can act arbitrarily according to the whims and desires of the elite, and the more it will make war on others and murder its foreign and domestic subjects.*”²²⁸

On the contrary, State subjects must accept lesser evils among politicians as the counterpart of law, order, justice, and democracy. The latter are not really the priority for the Statesmen/women who are thinking about filling their pockets up firstly, and enjoying all political and social advantages secondly. For example, Cooley argues that Afghans accepted the Taliban for peace, order, and Islamic law before realizing that those extremists would violate human rights (e.g., women’s right to attend school and to work) so deeply.²²⁹ Does this example also fit the so-called democratic States whose citizens have to endure all political and economic misadventures of their Governors for the sake of welfare and peace?

On the one hand, the State mercilessly oppresses its dissidents, unless they merely undertake the *reformist strategies* that the State accepts. On the other hand, Governmental control, censorship, repression, and immunity can bear scarcely anything better than violence, terrorism, underground activities, militia, or civil war, since the State has closed the doors of discussion and bargaining, except what situates inside its ideology and interest. When political authority breaks *la plume*, gun will most probably appear. Terrorism, perpetrated by some individuals or groups, is what the Statesmen/women are really wishing or looking forward to. What do you expect a dissident to do when there is no opportunity for demonstration or protest? Public demonstration may be a type of discharging social, political, or economic anger and frustration. In this sense, *anarchist personality*, in opposition to *obedient personality*, is a reaction to political injustice strengthened by economic exploitation. As long as repression aiming to produce obedient personality exists, there will be anarchist personality; one cannot exist without another. If Buckman is right to consider that humankind “*is animated by discontent,*”²³⁰ we are potentially anarchists!

Despite all repression and crimes attributed to or covered up by the Statesmen/women, their clans, accomplices, or acolytes, the legalistic thinkers are still in a situation of beautiful doubts and questions about and wait for whether theorizing and criminalizing State violence! In reality, they are impotent to overcome their doubtful position and to see State violence as a real crime, which is much more problematic than any ordinary crime committed by the laypeople.

For example, it seems that Professor Tanguay-Renaud has beautified State crimes as well as the “*possible punishment*” of State criminals by explaining “State legal wrongdoing” with legal rhetoric.²³¹ A SSHRC Insight Development Grant has already rewarded him “*for his research project entitled “The State as Criminal Wrongdoer: An Inquiry into the Intelligibility and Legitimacy of Criminalizing the State.”*”²³² He has

concluded his paper by arguing: *“Only time will tell if state crimes, explicitly identified as such, ever come to form a normal part of the domestic and international norms of state accountability. Only experience will show if justified criminal, or criminal-like, processes and punishments can steadily emerge and reliably stand up to politics and other social pressures in response to state wrongdoing. While we wait and watch, though, we can make sure we get the theory right.”*²³³ Would he want to construct a “right theory” upon the flesh, blood, and cadavers of victims of State violence? Would he thus follow Grotius, known as the father of international law, who regarded sovereignty as *“that power whose acts are not subject to the control of another, so that they may be made void by the act of any other human will”*?²³⁴

It is possible to observe a *double standard of criminal terminology*: the stigmatizing terms for the so-called blue-collar criminals or the governed (e.g., criminal street gang as defined and severely repressed by 18 US Code § 521), the quiet or neutral terms for the white-collar criminals or the Governors (e.g., political scandal, economic scandal, impeachment,²³⁵ and State wrongdoing). This also shows a double standard of justice when it comes to punishing: the tough sanctions for the petty criminals (e.g., capital punishment), the smooth sanctions for the big criminals (*The Hague Hilton* and house arrest, among others). This furthermore reveals not only the CJS’s structural problems but also its hypocrisy, because it rarely stigmatizes and punishes those who commit the most dangerous offences against humanity and nature, while it brutally acts vis-à-vis those who commit much less dangerous offences.

In addition, a bunch of international legalists is euphemistically talking about the *“responsibility of States for internationally wrongful acts”* or the “Wrongdoing State” in international law,²³⁶ in which there are still a lot of ambiguity and verbiage about State’s moral obligation to obey international norms.²³⁷ For instance, Professor Crawford, an internationalist Godfather at the University of Cambridge, has so wisely defended, *“The criminalization of state conduct itself raises very difficult questions, because the state is essentially a mechanism for the transfer or the transmission of rights and obligations created by governments over time, and after the demise of those governments. So the state, although we think of the state as some sort of community – and in a certain sense it is – it’s a community to which legal personality is attributed as a method of dealing with legal relations over time, whether they’re debts, or entitlements to territory, or other forms of entitlement or other forms of obligation.”*²³⁸ Should we therefore talk about a bunch of “Wrongdoing Professors” because they have destroyed existence by minimizing or even undermining State crimes? It means that this type of Professors has facilitated the destruction of the world or even the cosmos (particularly in the future) by minimizing State responsibility, or putting it into question. We actually have a world in which State crimes are everywhere, on the one side, and they are some Professors who are trying to minimize or even to defend State crimes through their doubts or so-called “realpolitik”, on the other side.

As Professor Comfort has judiciously argued, only a centralized power can *legally* enable a bunch of psychopaths to satisfy their wild tendencies on a large scale (such as blitzkrieg, mass murder, and torture) without any remorse or any sense of humanity.²³⁹ At the same time, the great legalists and scholastics are honourably crowned to chat or really to fight against each other about “*State immunity*” or “*crown immunity*”. In other words, this is an impotent justice for the State criminals throughout endless technical, philosophist, legalistic, economic, or political jargons: functional immunity, immunity from jurisdiction, immunity from execution, immunity defences, foreign jurisdiction, national court, international crimes, individual responsibility, personal responsibility, non-personal responsibility of State officials, etc. Napolitano, a former Judge of the Superior Court of New Jersey and senior judicial analyst at Fox News Channel, has shown that the States and their officers easily break the law (such as robbery, bribery, drug dealing, torture, preventing free speech, and violating due process), while they have already rendered themselves immune by so-called “sovereign immunity”.²⁴⁰ Who has therefore said anything about *Government liability* or the *responsibility of the State* as a whole in national as well as regional or international law?²⁴¹ Apparently nobody, because “*the King can do no wrong*”!²⁴² So, let the legalistic thinkers dream of the possible punishment of State criminals in their right theory of State wrongdoer.

4.5 Conclusion: The Hopeful Revolts or Revolutions

In spite of those repression and censorship mentioned above, the anarchists have expressed their ideologies through pamphlets, journals, articles, books, interviews, and blogs. They are now able to articulate their opinions on an international scale, thanks to the Internet, i.e. *crypto-anarchism*²⁴³ or a form of TAZ, to which legal anarchism will later return.

When it comes to developing and distributing the anarchist ideologies, even in their extreme radical manifestation, it seems that the USA and particularly England have historically been more tolerant than other countries.²⁴⁴ Switzerland nonetheless remained the center of radical anarchism (such as Bakuninism) and anarchist syndicalism (especially the Jura Federation) for few decades.²⁴⁵ These countries have accordingly shown their capacity to absorb the anarchist ideologies at the core of the mainstream ideologies, commercialize them, or marginalize them in a somehow pacific manner. In other words, they are able to defuse the so-called revolutionary or insurrectionary anarchists through implicating them in conservatism, possibilism, commercialism, academicism (e.g., professorship and lecturer), or verbalism in a dominant culture of business and Statism. I should also add that my notice is *relative*, because I compare them with other Western countries (e.g., France – despite its motto *liberté, égalité, and fraternité* –,

Germany, Italy, and Spain) in which historically the Governments have severely controlled and reacted against the anarchists. It may not be surprising that the USA and England have become the modern cradle of anarchist think-tankers and anarchist industries as well: advertising, films, books, articles, colleges, universities, research institutes, etc. Even neo-Marxism and deconstructionism have nowadays become stronger in America and Britain than in France and Germany.²⁴⁶

As analyzed above, the British and American States have nonetheless proved their fervent tenacity to repress not only anarchist attitude, but also anarchist thought in their anti-libertarian crusade. In fact, both libertarian ideas and libertarian people are subject to brutality and discrimination in all countries. As for Canadian anarchism, it would hardly be original or revolutionary, because Canada, its Governments, and people are deeply rooted in racism and conservatism, on the one hand, and in “Britishism” and “Americanism”, on the other hand.²⁴⁷ In short, it would scarcely have any specific identity more than a Crown colony mixed with Yankeeism in nearly all existential fields (culture, science, law, State repression, spy,²⁴⁸ economics, politics, etc.).

However, several revolts or revolutions have called State repression into question around the world. For instance, the Whistleblower Movement, Arab Spring, and Occupy Movements (e.g., Occupy Wall Street, Occupy Gezi, and Nuit Debout) have depicted the spontaneous revolutions or revolts against the tyrants and oppression, which stake a claim for justice, peace, democracy, and ecology, in spite of State violence and oppression.²⁴⁹ I wonder if they will eventually end or not in enduring the status quo or in changing the masters or despots, instead of destroying authority, hierarchy, and oppression. Have some highbrows had a right to speak about “oriental despotism”?²⁵⁰ It unfortunately seems that the Arab Spring has provided a positive answer. It shows that the seasoned politicians have eventually become winners through presidentialism, electoralism, or parliamentarism, which have actually strengthened the political position of the Islamists in a process of supposedly “democratization” consequently bringing barbaric Islamist laws or *Sharia* back.²⁵¹ It constitutes a big mistake, for instance, that the Iranian people have formerly paid the price by choosing the Mullahs whose heart and mind are too stony, dark, and aggressive.²⁵² The Arab revolutions could remind us of some anarchistic uprisings ending unfortunately in Governmentalism and despotism, such as the Spanish Civil War. The worst despotic Governments are religious, because they are mythically legitimizing themselves as God’s representative on the earth, and mercilessly repressing any dissidence as apostasy, blasphemy, or a crime against divine authority.

Frederick Douglass, an African American abolitionist, stated that “*Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found out the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them,*

and these will continue till they are resisted with either words or blows, or both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress."²⁵³ In other words, as long as State stigmatization and repression exist, resistance and fight will continue everywhere through endless means, non-violent as well as violent, and the greater social movements will emerge.²⁵⁴ Professor Shantz has accordingly affirmed, if State "power is exercised everywhere, it might give rise to resistance everywhere."²⁵⁵ As for us, ordinary individuals, we should not put into silence the victims of victims of despotism and injustice around the world. In other words, we must not forget the blood and pains of our jailed and killed friends (such as Sattar Beheshti and Hossein Ronaghi-Maleki),²⁵⁶ segregated or racialized immigrants and individuals, among others. If they have bravely paid for State violence and censorship with their lives and properties, we must not shut down, because our silence constitutes complicity with politicians and tyrants as well. Our fight against tyranny and for liberty, justice, peace, and the clean and safe environment has just started.

In our fight, the Internet has hopefully changed the political game, as we witness the growth of independent, non-corporate and non-Governmental media, weblogs, Facebook, Twitter, and other means of mass communication.²⁵⁷ They struggle to denounce State violence and to escape from State censorship and oppression, while promoting the spirit of resistance to Government and capitalism together, and that of revolution, as happened during the Arab Spring and throughout anti-globalization movements, through *cyberactivism* or *Internet activism*.²⁵⁸ If the libertarians and political dissidents have been historically subject to the dangers of smuggling their periodicals, pamphlets, manifestos, and books into certain countries, they would nowadays be able to distribute them on a global scale. Parallel to technological progress and the Digital Revolution, the Internet and other communication tools will progressively be more accessible and less apt to State control and repression. The dissidents and the libertarians use them more and more in order to fight against despotism and the exploitation of humankind and nature around the world.

The Internet would also break down the monopoly of academicians and their clans, such as academic referee and peer review (scholastic gatekeeper or watchdog).²⁵⁹ As the scholastic gatekeepers, they are accustomed to stigmatizing any outsider study as "irrational", "sensational", "unscientific", "journalistic", "romantic", "narcissistic", "populist", "opportunist", "anarchist", "frustrated", "angry", "weak", "bad", etc. Nonetheless, they still own an abominable power over publication through so-called academic credentials and evaluations, which arbitrarily recognize what is scientifically acceptable and what is not, what constitutes evidence and what does not. Neither they nor politicians are hopefully so strong to kill a dissident idea, particularly thanks to the Internet!

Finally, the anarchists are by no means innocent children. On the one hand, a look at the anarchist journals, reviews, publishers, websites, organizations, and movements would imply that their leftist and rightist circles have withdrawn into themselves while being guarding against any outsider. May the Quran (Al Hujurat 49:10) imply the establishment of an anarchist caste? (*The anarchists are nothing else than brothers and sisters. So make reconciliation between your brothers and sisters, and fear the Anarchist Godfathers/Godmothers that you may receive mercy.*) On the other hand, it seems that there is a different attitude of the legal system toward unknown opponents and famous activists. If the police, prosecutors, and Judges are particularly harsh vis-à-vis the ordinary dissidents, they are often lenient and cool when it comes to responding to the well-known activist anarchists, because the latter, as the middle or upper class, enjoy huge mass media coverage as well as the seasoned lawyers' advices and defenses. Do you therefore think that the famous anarchists are repressed in the same way as the poor are? Is not it a type of "*justice à deux vitesses*" that the anarchists have hotly rejected when proposing an equal justice?²⁶⁰ As for legal anarchism, it is going to analyze the elements of this anarchist proposition in the next Chapter.

Chapter 5: The Anarchist Alternatives

5.1 Synopsis

This Chapter examines the realization of libertarian communities at the individual, familial, communal, national, international, and environmental levels according to the problems (1), principles (2), and anarchist alternatives or models (3). It finishes by my alternatives and final notices (4). It actually reveals five anarchist approaches to the legal system: egoism or individualism, socialism, capitalism, environmentalism, and synthesism. It also discloses the internal difficulties and controversies of anarchists to answer some of the most important issues of existence related to their models, such as property and punishment.

1) The problems of the anarchist alternatives concern utopianism, organization, labour, natural resources and technology, money and taxation.

The mainstream thinkers traditionally regard anarchism as a utopian or romantic concept, because it cannot be feasible. Nonetheless, Goodman, Ward, Bookchin, McElroy, and Rothbard emphasize that it is realizable, since the anarchists try to theorize, organize, and practice their models (e.g., DIY, direct action, the free market, and the workers' organizations). Moreover, the libertarians consider that Somalia successfully experienced a decentralized system.

Organization occupies a different place among the anarchists. The capitalists accept it by advocating the private organizations. The individualists consider it synonymous with Government, but the socialists recommend it as a necessary element of creating an anarchist model. Merlino believes that the disagreement has weakened the anarchist movements. The socialists therefore advocate and practice the affinity groups taking three libertarian and revolutionary forms: platformism, synthesism, and class struggle anarchism, which show the structural feasibility of anarchist models.

The anarchists criticize the current workforce and workplace relying on State monopoly and regulation. They have however different approaches about dividing and organizing labour. Unlike Strangers In A Tangled and Price admitting the division of labour, Kropotkin advocates a holistic system of labour combining manual work with brain work. In his system, all workers enjoy education, creativity, art, and equality in producing and consuming. Certain social anarchists take an anti-workist and revolutionary approach. Tucker, Black, Dauvé, and Bonanno accordingly confirm that capitalism and its work values are destructive: drudgery, exploitation, hyper-consumption, hyper-specialization, and hyper-technology. The leftists try indeed to solve the issues of specialized labour, and to revolutionize the work environment by

establishing an equitable and human system. On the contrary, the rightists think about maximizing productivity and consumption according to property rights and the market's invisible hand, i.e. a laissez-faire system of work. For Spangler, this system is rooted in mutualism, individualism, and capitalism. In this case, Molinari, Rothbard, Hoppe, Caplan, Stringham, and Konkin III recognize the working values of property: private labour markets, specialized labour, wage system, competition, and non-regulation (e.g., child labour and prostitution). An Anarchist FAQ criticizes these values, because they generate inequality, exploitation, oppression, and hierarchy when struggling to look like anarchism. They are simply similar to State economy.

Concerning the natural and technological problems, legal anarchism invokes Rousseau, Babeuf, and Bahá'u'lláh, and considers the GP's spirit. It therefore confirms that natural resources are neither private property, nor permanent to be subject to war, conquest, or exploitation by technology. The anarchists however disagree with each other about its confirmation.

On the one side, the rightists reject the public ownership of natural resources. For instance, Rothbard, Hoppe, Johnson, and Chartier advocate the privatization and decentralization of resources, according to the principle of first ownership to first user or transformer without any interference (the homestead principle). The rightist approach to the technological issues is also excessive: technological libertarianism. Rothbard, Hoppe, Gordon, and Carson believe that we cannot fix technology, and only the market, self-regulation, decentralization, and capital investment can advance the technological methods of maximal production and consumption. Jones accordingly believes that technology is an autonomous identity with its own existence. Martel criticizes the capitalist approach to natural resources by describing it a form of commodity fetishism. However, both rightist and leftist anarchists share some ideas about technology. For instance, Carson and Landstreicher emphasize the role of technology in increasing State surveillance and control, as, for example, proved by Snowden's disclosure. Rothbard and Bookchin affirm that computer and television help humanity to practice direct democracy.

On the other side, the leftist approach to natural resources has shifted from environmental ownership, which could be close to the capitalist approach, to environmental liberation. Relied on anthropocentrism, Proudhon, Reclus, Bookchin, and Peet have accordingly implied the colonization and communization of resources. The green radicals (e.g., Katz and Zerzan) have however resisted this colonialist implication by advancing an ecocentric and ethical approach. They argue that civilization has no right to pollute or destroy nature by dominating, enslaving, or domesticating non-humans. Like the Neo-Luddites, the radicals also criticize the harmful effects of modern technology on both humanity and nature,

such as capitalism, urbanism, militarism, State control, and State-sponsored science. Zerzan, Porcu, Landstreicher, Marszalek, and Kaczynski accordingly challenge technology, and consequently advocate a green community. Nonetheless, Flood and Bookchin criticize the radical approach, because it weakens anarchism by attacking civilization and technology, rather than directly attacking capitalism and its mechanisms of control, including the State. A moderate approach (e.g., Flood, Bookchin, Wilson, and Kleiner) recognizes the technological problems, but it believes that technology (e.g., cyberspace, cybernetic anarchism, and green technology) can be also liberating and help humanity to create a libertarian life.

Like technology, money and tax divide anarchism, and prove that they can be simultaneously enslaving and liberating. As a result, the libertarians want to abolish State money or centralized currency. For example, Warren and Proudhon advocated a form of local currency depending on the amount of labour (labour money), while the Spanish anarchists would later abolish money during their revolution. Although Kropotkin appreciates Proudhon's Bank of the People and his fight against usury, he wants to abolish all forms of money, including labour money. As for Malatesta, he considers money as a double tool of dominating and liberating. On the contrary, Rothbard, Bagus, Howden, Stringham, Zywicki, and Edwards confirm that a free market society is able to create a currency depending on the gold or silver standard, which functions in accordance with self-regulation, competition, and decentralization. As for taxation, although the anarchists regard Government taxation synonymous with coercion and organized robbery, they would agree that a libertarian community can cover some of its costs by its members' voluntary contributions.

2) As the pillars of a libertarian society, the principles of the anarchist alternatives concern endless alternatives, agreement and contract, federative decentralization and autonomy, non-aggression, respecting non-humanity or the GP, and free love. They indeed constitute an "anarchist archetype" showing the spirit of anarchist alternatives. They have however caused certain disagreements about their existence and application.

Endless alternatives depict "anarchist trial and error", i.e. the anarchists apply or revolutionize different models according to existential changes, including governance. As Taylor and Godesky suggest, existence is by no means fixed, but evolving. Strangers In A Tangled believes that the anarchist strategies are plural. Most of anarchists do not however present any detailed alternative. Bookchin thus implies that they cannot explain the details of their models for the future by the current standards. Price and Goodman recognize the flexibility of anarchist strategies according to time and space, while McElroy provides different examples of anarchist tactics like establishing free schools and civil disobedience.

It seems that contract in anarchism is as sacred as the Constitution in archism. As a functional and shared concept among all schools of anarchist thought, anarchist contract is nonetheless much less detailed than the Constitution. Despite its Godlike existence, its quality and quantity are ambiguous. For instance, we do not exactly know how would be the substance and form of anarchist law. The sacred and enigmatic place of contract has thus led to the creation of certain controversial, if not absurd, concepts by the egoist and capitalist anarchists, such as self-ownness, self-ownership, child as non-contracting individual, the class struggle between parent and child, and the baby market. Contract and agreement are however conditional, because the libertarians (e.g., Proudhon, Bakunin, Tucker, Dolgoff, Mises, Tiebout, and Buchanan) recognize the right to leave a contractual or federative society without coercion.

Federation apparently occupies a secondary place in anarcho-capitalism. On the contrary, the socialist scholars (e.g., Proudhon, Bakunin, Eltzbacher, and Clark) confirm that the anarchist communities would arrange their life in a decentralized and federative manner, which would facilitate liberty, autonomy, democracy, and cooperation. Other scholars (e.g., Danzig, Elliot, and Williams III) prove that decentralization can improve the legal system.

It seems that the principles of non-violence, respecting non-humanity, and free love are connected to each other, since they present a holistic approach to humanity and nature. This implies Bookchin's wholeness mixing anthropocentricity and ecocentricity, as explained in the green justice. The principles constitute the fundamental rights to exist and to enjoy existence without any discrimination, which I call the "principle of existence" (PE) in my alternatives. Despite their practical problems, legal anarchism remains humanist and environmentalist, and opposes their violation (e.g., racism, androcentrism, the death penalty, and torture), except in some cases (e.g., self-defence) and under certain conditions.

Anarcho-capitalism has created and developed the non-aggression principle (NAP). It relies on respecting individual dignity inasmuch as nobody has the right to aggress individual physique, psychic, or property. In the case of aggression, the aggressed individual has the right to self-defense. As detailed in the anarchist models, the case of defending against aggression is problematic, because some libertarians (e.g., Tucker and Rothbard) advise a criminal justice close to State law, which can even impose torture and capital punishment, among other cruel treatments of criminal. Kinsella, Block, Long, Stringham, Rothbard, Hoppe, and Chartier emphasize the economic aspect of the NAP: private property. The leftists (especially An Anarchist FAQ) challenge the principle by arguing that it enables capitalism to legalize oppression and exploitation. Legal anarchism however thinks that the NAP includes humanity and its relation with nature in the cosmos. The NAP therefore concerns not only property, but also all existence: non-aggressing any

woman, man, child, LGBT, transgender, animal, and plant. In this sense, it includes the GP and free love: liberating, equalizing, and respecting sexuality, gender, and the earth. The individualist, feminist, and green anarchists (e.g., Bookchin, Zerzan, Goldman, De Cleyre, and Home) have played an important role in this case.

3) Legal anarchism analyzes the anarchist alternatives in the contexts of nation (3.1) and internation (3.2). They depict the attitude of libertarian societies with their members, others, and non-humanity as well.

3.1) The anarchist alternatives are individualist, socialist, and capitalist. The individualist models are either *pure* (e.g., Stirner, Spooner, Tucker, Yarros, and Walker) or *synthesist* (Nettlau, Armand, and Home), while the socialist models include *mutualism* (e.g., Proudhon, Carson, Johnson, and Chartier), *collectivism* (e.g., Bakunin and Guillaume), *communism* (e.g., Kropotkin, Mühsam, Malatesta, Berkman, and Herod), *syndicalism* (e.g., Proudhon, Maximoff, and Chomsky), and *environmentalism* (e.g., Bookchin). As for the capitalist models, they recommend the privatization of the legal system by either a pure approach (e.g., Rothbard, Hoppe, Benson, Friedman, and Stringham), or a leftist approach (e.g., Konkin III, Carson, Johnson, and Chartier). The leftist approach is close to Proudhonism and balanced among individualism, socialism, and capitalism.

They are indeed pure individualists and capitalists who advocate certain orthodox or puritan models, which obviously sacralize individuality and private property. For instance, they normalize some severe penalties (e.g., corporal punishment and experimentation on the prisoners) to protect the individual and her property. Some anarchists however try to moderate or synthesize those models by adding socialism or communism to them. Moreover, the issues of property and organization are important in analyzing the anarchist models. They actually describe some disagreements between the anarchists about the management of an anarchist society by certain norms, which regulate humans and their relation with nature as either a property, or an autonomous identity.

The egoistic model apparently worships self, even though it criticizes State law as a sacred law! In this pure model, only the individual and private property can design and govern the legal system. For instance, Tucker and Yarros recommend jail, torture, or capital punishment in the case of violation of individuality and property. In contrast to this absolute model, the synthesist model mixes individualism and socialism, which recognizes the individual and her property as the important elements in a free and communitarian life. Kropotkin, Malatesta, and Bookchin however disregard the individualist models as marginal, idealist, bourgeois, or life-stylist.

Before analyzing the socialist alternatives, legal anarchism explains some key concepts playing an important role in social anarchism and its normativity. They are public opinion, participatory justice, the TAZ, and squatting that show some communitarian and revolutionary elements of social anarchism. For instance, legal anarchism invokes Scott of the Insurgency Culture Collective, Black, and Herod who provide some theoretical aspects of public effect on crime and public role in dispute resolution. It also analyzes certain practical aspects of anarchism, i.e. the TAZ and movements of occupying and squatting that rely on spontaneity and direct action.

Inspired by Proudhon's ideas, the mutualist model recommends a system based on the free market and voluntary exchange among the autonomous individuals and free associations. For instance, the model of Chartier depends on a form of restorative justice replacing the criminal law with the civil law. This model aims at correcting human and environmental injuries, on the one hand, and applying the law, on the other hand.

The collectivist model advocates the collectivization of the means of production and the privatization of workers' goods and services, as practiced by the Spanish anarchists. The mutualist and collectivist alternatives are not apparently different from State mechanisms, because they embrace representative governance and the wage system, both criticized by Kropotkin. In addition, although a collectivist society tries to abolish State law, it allows, as Bakunin and Guillaume recognize, murder and confinement in the case of violent crime.

By opposing to each according to his labour advocated by collectivism, the communist model demands to each according to his need. The anarchist communists believe that a communist and libertarian society would produce less criminality, because its human and egalitarian characteristics undermine the main causes of criminality, i.e. poverty and exploitation. For example, Herod's *Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods* and the Rainbow community promote direct democracy and humanistic reaction to antisocial attitudes. They do not however seem to explain well their mechanisms coping with the criminals, especially the dangerous criminals.

Legal anarchism reveals the identical issues in the syndicalist and eco-anarchist models, which respectively depend on the federation of workers and the environmental institutions. Nonetheless, Maximoff argues that a syndicalist society would establish the voluntary and arbitral tribunals for the normal cases, and a temporary, special, and communal tribunal for the serious crimes. As for the green justice, it presents a better model by incorporating non-humans into its normative system. For instance, Bookchin's libertarian

municipalism embraces several anarchist elements: direct democracy, communism, deprofessionalism, and environmentalism.

As for the market alternatives, the anarcho-capitalists regard the legal system as “a good and service” focusing on the NAP. They consequently recommend its marketization by some private mechanisms, especially tort and the private companies. Legal anarchism tries to examine their detailed recommendation according two factors: the marketization of law and punishment, and the marketization of enforcement workers. These factors concern principally the crimes against property, and modestly the crimes against nature. Concerning the capitalist law and punishment, Molinari, Friedman, Smith, and Benson argue that the free market is rooted in natural law, and able to provide the customers with the law, security, and punishment of offender. Rothbard, Stringham, Konkin III, Lee, Wollan, and the Tannehills affirm that a libertarian code can handle the aggression of individuality and property. For instance, the code includes the homestead principle, restitution, capital punishment, murder in self-defence, commercialized prisons, and testing on the criminals to cover their cost. As for the crimes against nature, Rothbard, Friedman, and Chartier minimize them by simply reducing them to certain commodity market problems, which demand tort and compensation. Concerning the laissez-faire enforcement agencies, Rothbard and Stringham believe that the free market can supply the better protection of individuals and property rights by the private police and court, paid principally by their customers, or by the volunteers in the case of the poor. This commercialized protection means, according to Stringham, “*different services for different preferences*”.

Some thinkers nonetheless reject the capitalist alternatives for several reasons. For example, Neal and Kuhn confirm that anarcho-capitalism has methodologically and terminologically undermined anarchism’s essence, i.e. justice and equality. Wieck, Barry, Chua, Minati, and Taylor state that the protection agencies have a tendency to act like Government by becoming authoritarian, monopolist, protector of a group against another, or fabricator of consensus.

3.2) Legal anarchism examines the international alternatives, proposed by the rightist and leftist anarchists. First, it rejects the mainstream idea about international anarchy, because the States traditionally struggle to dominate each other, which results in nationalism, imperialism, colonialism, or war. Then, it reminds that an anarchist model should consider the diverse, interconnected, and universal aspects of the anarchist movements, on the one hand, and face the Hobbesian fear of international anarchy, on the other hand. The anarchist model should also respect the NAP and the GP on a universal scale, which means no adventure in militarism and war (e.g., the WMD). Regarding the rightist approach, Rothbard believes that

contrary to the State society, a libertarian and universal society would be moral, peaceful, efficient, and practicable, and solve its legal issues without any centralized system. Rothbard, Long, Chartier, Stromberg, Hoppe, and Hülsmann believe that a market society can supply the different forms of national defense, which vary from guerrilla warfare, collective defense, cooperative defense, and charity defense, to the insurance companies and private defense companies. The leftist anarchists are traditionally internationalists, because they believe in fraternity and universality in their struggle against capitalism. In addition, as Herod emphasizes, anarchism has no territorial boundaries. For example, Godwin, Proudhon, Bakunin, Rocker, Landauer, and Falk imply a universal order based on the federation of small and decentralized communities that would function according to the diverse norms and contracts. Proudhon, Bakunin, and Rocker look at Europe and the USA as a federative model. The leftist alternatives aim also at solving the international issues like global democracy, global warming, and militarism.

4) Legal anarchism concludes with my own alternatives and notices, which concern my severe criticisms of libertarians and the discipline of legal anarchism as well as my possible contribution to this discipline.

My alternatives depend on the national and international principles, in which green anarchy and green technology play an important role. They are interconnected, humanist, and environmentalist. The national principles are the *principle of existence* and the *principle of freedom to choose* or the *principle of freedom of choice*. The first principle is a holistic approach to existence, since it relies on the NAP and the GP to recognize the right to life for all humans and non-humans. The second principle means the individuals are free to choose any libertarian model whereby they freely manage their life and relate to each other and nature in the cosmos. I divide the PFC into the general elements and the legal elements that are important to the establishment and continuation of a libertarian community. The general elements contain, for example, equality (especially gender equality), education, plurality, property, and direct democracy. The legal elements design some principles that the anarchist community can use to solve some issues like legal education and criminality. The international principles focus on respect, peace, cooperation, decentralization, federation, defence, and ecology.

I particularly criticize the anarchists because of their jargons, internal fights, cult of personality, closeness, oldness, and incoherence. I thus endeavor to contribute to legal anarchism by evolving it from a relatively old, marginal, romantic, or even hated discipline to an open, modern, respected, effective, and academic one. It accordingly needs a clear language, some positive ideas, and reliable models about establishing a society based on justice, liberty, equality, prosperity, peace, green ecology and technology.

Besides my endeavor of modernizing legal anarchism, I have tried to write a thesis that have, for first time to the best of my belief, analyzed and synthesized the diverse anarchist ideas about State necessity and legitimacy through the legal system. However, have I been successful in my contribution to scholasticism and anarchism when both scholars and anarchists have excluded me from any scholastic and libertarian publication and job despite my long thesis, other writings, and experience? Are they able to use the dissident or external ideas when they are hostile vis-à-vis any dissident like me?

5.2 The Problems and Principles

Before getting into my debate on the problems and principles of libertarian alternatives, I should specify that I could not analyze the religious alternatives. For four reasons, I should indeed avoid making longer and more complex my thesis by analyzing the alternatives presented by the religious libertarians.

Firstly, we should not forget the highly critical and controversial value of religion or spirituality among the anarchists (such as “*No Gods, No Masters*”, “*God is Evil*,” “*God is a Pig*”),¹ and that of religious alternatives.² Due to the paradoxical nature of anarchism including the use of both peaceful and violent means, some intellectuals, journalists, and politicians have even found out a relationship among anarchism, Al-Qaeda, the ISIS, or Islamist terrorism!³ In this regard, Professor Martel’s notice is controversially significant: “*Anarchy is the one form of politics that resists idolatry.*”⁴ Although most anarchists oppose religion and God as anti-human as well as a justification for mundane authority and slavery, a few believers use religion in their anarchist conclusions.⁵ Anarchism has not however been able to prevent itself from becoming a type of religion yet, since, as Professor Payne judiciously notices, it has created its own cultic anti-religious forms (normally anti-Catholic), such as ceremonies and liturgies, a salvation myth, saints and martyrs, a spiritual and cultural revolution designed to fabricate a new man with messianic and expansionist purposes.⁶ For instance, Professor Dunbar-Ortiz has believed in anarchist cult and martyrdom: “*Our task as anarcha-feminists can be nothing less than changing the world and to do that we need to consult our heroic predecessors.*”⁷ In short, as O’Keefe has stated, “*Libertarianism is a religion, with human nature its God, and natural rights its commanders.*”⁸ Is it thus possible to undermine the cult of personality, mingled with charisma and religion, in a libertarian society while we have witnessed the deep roots of religiosity in our existence as well as in the anarchist ideas?⁹

Secondly, I do not deny my very anti-religious tendencies, mostly because of my awful experience with and knowledge of religion, especially Islam, and its institutionalized violence against humans and non-humans as well: propagating superstition and stupidity, sexism, intolerance, tyranny, torture, rape, murder,

whipping, stoning, jail, the treatment of nature as God's gift to human beings, and so on. The situation has never been better when, for example, Judaism, Christianity, Buddhism, and Hinduism have governed humanity through their irrationality and corruption. I honestly dislike religion, because it, as a form of WMD, is the root of many human and non-human catastrophes and tragedies during existence.

Thirdly, religion is a type of very dangerous virus that unfortunately never dies, but survives or immunizes itself against all critiques and attacks, even though Professor Mises has truly argued that the Jewish and Islamic "*religions are dead. They offer their adherents nothing more than a ritual. They know how to prescribe prayers and fasts, certain foods, circumcision and the rest; but that is all. They offer nothing to the mind. Completely de-spiritualized, all they teach and preach are legal forms and external rule. They lock their follower into a cage of traditional usages, in which he is often hardly able to breathe; but for his inner soul they have no message. They suppress the soul, instead of elevating and saving it.*"¹⁰ In other words, Judaism, Christianity, and Islam (or really *three axes of evil*) have astonishingly survived, despite their crimes against humanity and nature as well. They have actually supported all types of regime, and committed all types of crime during their dark and bloody existence: the Middle Ages, the Vatican City State, Zionism, the Islamic Revolution of Iran, Al-Qaeda, Boko Haram, the ISIS, etc. Zionism and, recently, the ISIS are merely the examples of two perfectly surviving and extremely harmful religions.¹¹

What Sherlock has accordingly said about Christianity is equally true for other major religions: "*Christianity did not become a major religion by the quality of its truth, but by the quantity of its violence.*"¹² So-called anarchist religion is another example in this case, since it struggles to establish a religious community based on certain archaic religions that are too far from our modern reason and society. The survival, mutation, or immunity of religious beliefs shows the irrationality and obedience of populace and intelligentsia as well, as humanity has experienced the emergence and permanent mutation of State apparatus. In this case, I would like to share Fyodor Dostoevsky's idea: "*Man cannot exist without bowing before something ... Let him reject God, and he will bow before an idol.*"¹³ Furthermore, as Robert Green Ingersoll put, "*There can be but little liberty on earth while men worship a tyrant in heaven.*"¹⁴ Contrary to Professor Martel's notice, is not anarchism, at least in its religious aspect, a form of idolatry? Is not "anarchist religion" an existential paradox or mistake? As a result, anarchist secularism has still a long way to cross in front of anarchist mysticism, affected by "*The God Virus*" and faced by "*God: The Failed Hypothesis*".¹⁵

Fourthly, many of human and non-human liberties and rights have started to appear when humanity has started to revolt against God, Gods, Goddesses, and their sacredness and divine rules: freedom of

speech, freedom of thought, freedom of religion (!), right to life, right to revolt, sexual liberty, women's rights, children's rights, animal rights, secularism, and so on. Even according to a religious myth, God punished Adam and Eve because they revolted by breaking a God's rule. May we wish that if we "*could experimentally educate children in critical thinking and break the cycle for one generation, religion would be dead*"?¹⁶

5.2.1 The Problems

The anarchists are aware of certain problems related to the destruction of the status quo, State power, and their replacement by some libertarian programs. However, the scholars usually charge the libertarian programs with utopianism in a damned world submerged, among many other problems, in the existential pains caused by *realpolitik* – which is fervently condemning any try to change fundamentally the status quo of being purely utopic –, structural organization, labour, natural scarcity, unbridled technology, money, and tax. It seems that if these issues are particularly critical among the individualist as well as leftist anarchists, the rightist ones are a little worried about them. In fact, the rightist libertarians and somehow the mutualists have some detailed programs recognizing the private organizations, the division of labour, especially regarding the legal system, income inequality, modern technology, the exploitation of natural resources, the cult of money, or even disguised taxation. They advocate these programs in the framework of the free market relying on their eternal faith in the economically invisible hands, omnipresent competition, good reputation, and charity, all directed by so-called natural laws. Could it constitute a form of "capitalist religion" realized throughout anarcho-capitalism?

5.2.1.1 The Problems of Utopianism

They suppose that anarchism mostly relates to *utopianism* or somehow *romanticism*¹⁷ – the opposite of dystopianism matching nightmarish archism –,¹⁸ since it, unlike Marxist historical determinacy, requires some actions in the present embodying their goals for the future.¹⁹ According to Professor Honeywell, a utopian theme deployed among certain twentieth century anarchists, specifically Goodman and Ward, concerns the relationship between society, material environments, and their effects on planning urban environments. Based on immediate temporal and spatial contexts, their ideas have influenced the theories of "direct action" and "DIY" promoting the utopian spirit in political ideas.²⁰ (The American State did ironically advocate a DIY activity!)²¹ Despite such a utopian and autonomous spirit, the libertarians refrain themselves from imposing the future alternatives, because they respect future reasoning and freedom in accordance with their belief in "open-ended progress".²² Professor Bookchin has thus affirmed that even

though “We live today under the tyranny of a present that is often more oppressive than the past,” “the libertarian utopians of the past did not provide “blueprints” for the future that we can regard as acceptable today.”²³

The realist people have however ridiculed and undermined utopianism, rooted deeply in anarchist culture or cultural resistance to *realpolitik*,²⁴ as an unrealistic idea and an effort to change the status quo. Professor James accordingly notices that theorists like Rancière and Fisher have argued that neo-liberalism makes impossible to even imagine some alternatives to the status quo.²⁵ On the contrary, without dreams, utopias, and visions that go beyond the death marches of our society, war, industrialism, pollution, and boredom, we are unable to destroy what has doomed us to a passive, stressful, and ambulant numbness.²⁶ In this case, we need “a culture of antiauthoritarian struggle”.²⁷ Moreover, utopianism is relative. On the one hand, what is today “utopic” would tomorrow become “real”.²⁸ On the other hand, as *An Anarchist FAQ* put, if human beings are naturally so bad, “then giving some people power over others and hoping this will lead to justice and freedom is hopelessly utopian.”²⁹

In fact, the people dismiss anarchism because they believe that it means “utopia”. Some libertarians nonetheless argue that anarchism “is anti-utopia” or “utopia’s antithesis.”³⁰ They do not want a perfect world, but a genuine and free world, since humanity has realized time and time again that perfection does not exist. They want a world in which they are free to experience and embrace their imperfections, their true selves.³¹ In other words, they have a belief in human ability to improve with unlimited knowledge, while avoiding the specious accusation of utopianism.³² For example, in the period from the 1890’s to the outbreak of the World War I, hundreds of thousands of workers around the world proved that anarchy was no utopian dream, but a practical method of organizing on a wide scale inasmuch as they were daily applying anarchist ideas.³³

When it comes to the nineteenth-century anarchists, Professor McElroy argues that they were not merely utopists or believers in the millennium. In this case, she invokes Yarros, an anarchist lawyer who believed that the libertarians “work directly, not for a perfect social state, but for a perfect political system. A perfect social state is a state totally free from sin or crime or folly; a perfect political system is merely a system in which justice is observed, in which nothing is punished but crime and nobody coerced but invader.”³⁴ Yarros’ belief would actually imply that it is difficult to observe the anarchist alternatives to State law with a pure legalistic lens, because the anarchists are mostly writing their alternatives in a general manner, e.g., the legal systems in anarcho-individualism and anarcho-syndicalism. In this regard, anarcho-capitalism should be an exception inasmuch as the libertarian capitalists have developed a relatively coherent legal system.

Some people, especially the free market anarchists, have nowadays traced an anarchist model in Somalia, in spite of the problems related to violence and to the conquest of power in this country, before embracing the new Governors.³⁵ In this case, Professor Rothbard has argued that although there is no absolute guarantee for a purely market society not to fall prey to organized crime, this concept is far more functionable than the correctly utopian idea of a strictly limited Government, an idea which has never historically functioned.³⁶

Albeit we usually think that legal anarchism is utopic, it can be a gradual discipline without falling into the trap of conservatism, which is, for example, observable throughout the possibilist movement occurred in France at the end of the nineteenth century.³⁷ In this sense, Read argues that the anarchists' *"practical activity may be a gradual approximation towards the ideal, or it may be a sudden revolutionary realization of that ideal, but it must never be a compromise."*³⁸

Professor Taylor, inspired by the Weberian definition of the State, has nonetheless argued that "pure anarchy", i.e. the equal distribution of the means of force and the absence of political specialization, can exist neither in the past nor in the future. In this sense, all primitive societies are by no means anarchic, because they, like the States, have the means of maintaining order by specific individuals (e.g., chefs and warriors), which are indeed political specialists or political workers. He has nevertheless found out that humanity has mostly lived in Stateless communities. Furthermore, he has ambiguously analyzed the role of the chief in a primitive society, a type of society adored particularly by the anarcho-primitivists. On the one side, the chief has no more power than another individual does, and no control of concentrated force. On the other side, most often his prestige depends largely on his generosity. He is thus under a strong obligation to use his wealth in the service of his people, such as gifts. Professor Taylor has concluded that a remarkable inequality of prestige and participation relies *"on a generosity which periodically levels the distribution of economic resources."* In short, an "acephalous society" is fundamentally egalitarian, certainly more egalitarian than any other type of society.³⁹ In fact, this scholar has implied some degrees of hierarchy or inequality, realized throughout a chiefdom in which democracy has actually no place.⁴⁰

A legal system based on anarchist principles could not really be utopic, because human beings have long experienced "small communities", in contrast to "mass societies" with their ethos of "mass consumption",⁴¹ without centralized and professional politicians to enforce law and order.⁴² Technology, such as communicating and voting through the Internet, has nowadays enabled them to function more democratic.⁴³

We should also regard the problem of utopianism in legal anarchism in relation not only to State repression preventing the realization of any libertarian project, but also to the marginal position of libertarians in the society. Professor Boyer has accordingly noticed that until recently, anarchism “*has only been able to eke out an existence in abandoned places.*”⁴⁴ The realization of a utopic society, i.e. an anarchist community, reveals also the problems of organization.

5.2.1.2 The Problems of Organization

The problems of organization stem from the unbalanced relationship between individuality and sociality in an anarchist organization, especially in the frame of an affinity group, which mainly contains platformist anarchism, synthesist anarchism, and class struggle anarchism on both national and international scales. These libertarian organizations share some common traits (such as direct action), when they have their own ideologies and tactics facing the problems of equalizing individuality and solidarity in their structural struggle against the State, capitalism, legal system, and other forms of exploitation.

5.2.1.2.1 Unbalanced Organization between Individualism and Socialism

How can we apply an anarchist alternative coexisting with the problem of organization in the anarchist ideologies and strategies? In fact, the “concept” and the “application” of libertarian organization seem to be paradoxical, very particularly in individual anarchism that would violently resist many types of organization, advocated by the social anarchists, because of its oppression, hierarchy, leadership, dogmatism, and finally reformism instead of insurrection.⁴⁵ For example, Stirner believes that as long as an institution exists and he cannot dissolve it, his self-appurtenance and ownness will be very remote. The fall of grassroots will thus invite him to his rise. As far as “social duties” are generally concerned, neither God nor humanity prescribes his relation to men, but he gives himself this position. Thus, he has no duty to others, as he has a duty even to himself (such as self-preservation, and therefore not suicide) only so long as he distinguishes himself from himself (his immortal soul from his earthly existence, etc.).⁴⁶

However, the anti-organizational ideas do not actually limit to the individual libertarians. For example, Ciancabilla, one of the important socialist figures of the anarchist movement in the nineteenth century, argued that the anarchists do not establish fixed dogmas to follow. Since the general lines of tactics manifest in a hundred various forms of applications with a thousand varying particulars. The anarchists do not therefore want tactical programs, and they do not consequently want organization. They nonetheless come together spontaneously, without any permanent criteria, according to the momentary affinity groups

for a specific goal. They permanently change these groups as soon as the goal for which they had associated finishes, and new needs and aims push them to seek new collaborators.⁴⁷

As a result, Galleani invoked Merlino who had observed the decomposition and agony of the anarchist movements stemming from the conflict between the individualist anarchists and the anarchist organizers. The individualists miss the concept of retaliation as the spirit of anarchist action, and cannot find a better way to act and to sustain an organization that they reject. On the contrary, the organizers are unable to find a type of organization compatible with the anarchist principles.⁴⁸ Galleani himself incarnated such a libertarian dilemma. He argued that the anarchists should enroll in a labour organization whenever they think it is useful to their struggle and possible to do under well-defined pledges and reservations. Pledge number one: as they are anarchists outside the organization, they should remain anarchists inside it. First reservation: they should never be a part of the leadership, but always in the opposition without assuming any responsibility in running the union. This is an elementary position of coherence for them.⁴⁹ Guillaume had accordingly stated that the anarchist organizational forms and procedures greatly vary in relation to the preferences of the associated workers, as long as they conform to the principles of equality and justice, the administration of the community and election by all members, entrusted either to an individual or to a commission of many members.⁵⁰

On the one side, the anarchists reject an organized authority. For example, Professor Comfort has argued that “every appeal to organized force” is a retroactive or counter-revolutionary process, and tends to generate tyranny because of its inevitable deterioration of individual responsibility.⁵¹ On the other side, all anarchists have highlighted “cooperation” and “mutuality” among individuals and groups in their social, political, and economic views and practices,⁵² which signifies “the principle of agreement and contract” that I will later analyze. Contrary to some Marxists, Professor Bankowski has stated, there is no distinction between “organization” and the “control of production”. However, the anarchists, like the Marxists, believe that the principal problem stems from the fact that the few owns the means of production as established by the rights of “private ownership” guaranteeing domination.⁵³

McQuinn has detailed the problems of anarchist organization according to leftist anarchism. The left mainstream has been explicitly hierarchical, authoritarian, and Statist since the French Revolution and the Jacobins. Even the anarchists or, at least, the leftist libertarians have not been immune to organizational fetishism. Because of their genuine concern for helping to create the conditions for their world, the leftist organizational imperative is too often mistaken for a healthy underlying strategy that the unethical or power-hungry authoritarian leftists have unfortunately undermined and discredited. All various forms of left

anarchism attempt to synthesize the aspects of left organizationalism with the aspects of anarchist organization, and all these synthesis aspects require some degree of sacrificing anarchist theory, practice, and values in exchange for an anticipated increase in either ideological appeal or practical power. In order to prevent further defeats, the leftist anarchists can consciously construct their practice on consistent principles of “self-organization”, always with as few compromises as possible, and with a clear eye on their goals.⁵⁴

The problems related to organization are not certainly new among the anarchists. For example, the International Anarchist Congress of 1907 concerned particularly the organization of the anarchist movement and syndicalism. During the Congress, Dunois argued the anarchist comrades were almost unanimously hostile to any idea of organization since a long time ago. Many anarchists came back to idealism and individualism. There was renewed interest in the old themes of justice, liberty, brotherhood, and the emancipatory omnipotence of the idea of the world occurred in 1848. At the same time, the individual was exalted, in an English manner, against the State and every form of organization viewed as a form of oppression and mental exploitation. The goal of an organization is both thought and action. Although the individualists are obstinately and systematically hostile to any type of organization, anarchism was historically born through the development of socialism and federalism, which signifies a society “organized” without political authority. Finally, anarchism can exist in more or less every sphere of action: in anti-militarist movement, workers’ unions, popular universities, among anti-clericalist freethinkers, etc. These specifically anarchist movements will spontaneously arise from libertarian groups and their federation. The anarchist organization should group together, around a programme of concrete and practical action, all comrades who accept anarchist principles and want to work with each other according to anarchist methods.⁵⁵

Malatesta, Goldman, and Baginski expressed the similar ideas by emphasizing the value of a revolutionary and libertarian organization that particularly needs conscious and energetic individuals.⁵⁶ The affinity groups would actually go in this direction.

5.2.1.2.2 The Affinity Groups

In the organization of anarchist alternatives, there is a generic concept: the “affinity group”, a Spanish term (*grupo de afinidad*) coming from the Iberian Anarchist Federation and referring to the organizational form, applied by the Spanish anarchists in their struggles during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. This has also developed since the social movements of the 1970s and the

movement for global justice through certain militant organizations and street actions. The anarchists actually create the affinity groups as the basic organization to spread the anarchist idea at all levels of community, nation, and inter-nations as well.

The affinity groups are revolutionary, libertarian, autonomous, propagandist, political, educational, communal, and directly democratic groupings aiming to conciliate the individuals and the community through encouraging individuality and solidarity according to specific activities and interests. The decision-making process in an affinity group, a militant unit of about 5-to-20 individuals, is egalitarian, participatory, deliberative, and consensual. In fact, the *modus operandi* of these groups relies on encouraging the people to act autonomously and directly with a sense of responsibility towards organizations and demonstrates. They do not reduce the complex issues of political organization and ideas into one organization, but they recognize that different threads within anarchism will realize in different political organizations or even within the same organization. They thus take three main forms, “platformism”, “synthesist anarchism”, and “class struggle anarchism”, in a flexible and interchangeable manner.⁵⁷

5.2.1.2.2.1 Platformist Anarchism

Platformism is a tendency within libertarian communism specifying the nature that an anarchist organization or anarchist party should take. It is rooted in the Russian anarchist movement, especially Makhnoism, during the Russian Revolution and the resulting civil war. It attempted to push the anarchists toward a more organized and class struggle direction, and to regroup or organize the revolutionary workers and peasants on an economic base of producing and consuming.⁵⁸

Several Russian Platformists emphasized the importance and role of organization, and argued that the Platform sought to establish a centralized organization or a party aiming at creating a tactical and political line for the anarchist movement. They thus accepted a centralized and mechanical system with the simple corrective of election. They however disagreed with the idea of a “synthesis”, and took a critical and more or less negative position toward individualist anarchists and anarcho-syndicalists, since they regarded anarchist-communism as the only valid theory. Even though they believed that the thesis of the Platform relied on directing the masses, the anarchist organizations (i.e. groups, federations, and confederations) could only offer ideological assistance rather than leadership. As far as the organization of production was concerned, they specified that it would be carried out by the organizations created by the workers, soviets, and factory committees that would direct and organize production in the cities, the regions, and the nations. These organizations would closely relate to the masses electing and controlling them, and having the

power of recall at any time. In the defence of the revolution, the Platformists argued that the local formations of workers and peasants would understand that their action was not isolated, but coordinated in a common campaign. When the situation required larger armed formations, the command would be decentralized inasmuch as there would be joint combat when necessary, able to adapt easily to changing situations, and take advantage of unexpected conditions.

The Platformists also analyzed three questions about the creation of an anarchist organization: the aim and essence of an organization, the method of establishing an organization, and its form. They suggested that the first step toward achieving unity in the anarchist movement, which could lead to a serious organization, was collective ideological work on a series of important problems seeking the clearest possible collective solution. They hoped that the anarchists' role would only be ideological collaboration, as participants and helpers would fulfill a platformist social role in a modest manner. The nature of a platformist organization consisted in writing and speaking, revolutionary propaganda, cultural work, concrete living example, and so on. The Platformists finally outlined a perfectly centralized organization with an "Executive Committee" having the responsibility to give an ideological and organizational direction to the different anarchist organizations, which in turn would direct the professional organizations of the workers.⁵⁹

In summary, the Platform relies on three parts. The *general part* affirms and reaffirms the basic principles of libertarian communism, i.e. the class struggle, the necessity of a violent social revolution, the repudiation of democracy, the negation of the State and authority, the role of the anarchists and the masses in the social struggle and social revolution, the transitional period and trade unionism. The *constructive part* deals with the matters of industrial and agrarian production, consumption, and the defense of the revolution. Finally, the *concluding part* concerns the principles of anarchist organization, dependent upon ideological and tactical unity or collective methods of action, collective responsibility, federalism, and the powers of the Executive Committee of the General Union of Anarchists.⁶⁰

However, Voline detected in the organizational principles of platformism a Bolshevik deviation, which meant a party with the policy line and direction of the masses.⁶¹ Voline and the majority of famous anarchists (e.g., Berkman, Emma Goldman, Maximoff, Fabbri, Camilo Berneri, and Malatesta) rejected the Platform, because it wanted to establish "Bolshevist anarchism" or wished the success of the Bolsheviks in Russia.⁶² Nonetheless, an anarchist organization in its platformist style still seems to be attractive for certain anarchist leftists: neo-platformism, such as Especifismo in South America.⁶³

5.2.1.2.2.2 Synthesist Anarchism

Synthesist federation, synthesist anarchism, or simply synthesisism tries to synthesize the different schools of libertarian thought, which has divided anarchist movement into several somewhat mutually hostile tendencies, around the principles of “anarchism without adjectives”. However, anarchism without adjectives is more pluralistic than synthesisism, limited only to three anarchist main tendencies.⁶⁴ Synthesist anarchism has apparently either forgotten or denied the variety of anarchist schools, such as anarcho-collectivism, anarcho-capitalism, spiritual anarchism, and eco-anarchism. *An Anarchist FAQ* has explained that Faure and Voline proposed the anarchist synthesis organizing different anarchist schools around the principles of a common federation. The idea behind the synthesis relied on the fact that anarchism in most countries, including France in the 1920s and Russia during its Revolution, was divided into three main tendencies: communist anarchism, anarcho-syndicalism, and individualist anarchism. It suggested that these schools had to cooperate and work in the same organization. Faure saw these tendencies as a wealth in themselves that would work together in a common organization, while Voline argued that the anarchists would discover the emergence of these tendencies in accordance with the implications of anarchism in various settings such as the economic, social, and individual life.⁶⁵

Faure also revealed four points regarding the anarchist synthesis. Firstly, although those schools differ, they are not contradictory. They have indeed nothing making them irreconcilable, putting them in opposition to each other, proclaiming their incompatibility, or preventing them from living in harmony and coming together for joint propaganda and action. Secondly, their existence does not harm the total force of anarchism, but it is logically able to contribute to the overall strength of anarchism. Thirdly, each of them has its own place, role, and mission within which a broad and deep social movement is going by the name of anarchism, whose goal is to establish a social environment that can assure the maximum well-being and liberty to each and every one. Fourthly, in these conditions, we can compare anarchism to what in chemistry is called a compound or a substance made up of a combination of three elements of anarcho-syndicalism, libertarian communism, and anarchist individualism: $S_2C_2I_2$.⁶⁶

According to *An Anarchist FAQ*, those schools coexist in every anarchist movement at various levels, so that all anarchists would aggregate in an organization in which these schools disappear, both individually and organizationally, which means there would not be anarcho-syndicalism inside the organization, and so forth. The synthesis federation would rely on complete autonomy, within the basic

principles of the Federation and Congress decisions, for individuals and groups, so permitting all different trends to work together while expressing their differences in a common front. The various groups will be organized in a federal structure that combines to share resources in the struggle against Government, capitalism, the legal system, and all other forms of oppression. This federal structure is organized at all levels through a local union (i.e. the groups in a town or city), the regional level (i.e. all groups in Strathclyde are members of the same regional union), up to the national level, and beyond.⁶⁷ In this sense, synthesist anarchism is able to find a broader significance, since it can contain “geolibertarianism” synthesizing libertarianism and geoism. Legal anarchism will come back to this topic in *the Problems of Natural Resources and Technology*.

5.2.1.2.2.3 Class Struggle Anarchism

According to *An Anarchist FAQ*, the class struggle group places between the synthesis and the Platform. It agrees with the synthesis to have some various standpoints within a federation without imposing a common-line on different groups in different circumstances as advocated by the Platform. Nonetheless, like the Platform, class struggle anarchism recognizes that there is not really possible to create a forced union between entirely different strands of anarchism. It aims at collective working class resistance when opposing to reform capitalism via lifestyle changes and to support cooperatives. Even though many class struggle anarchists do these things, they are aware that they are not able to establish an anarchist society only by doing so.⁶⁸

Price argues that class struggle anarchism goes on with the traditions of anarcho-communism and anarcho-syndicalism, and overlaps with libertarian Marxism or autonomist Marxism. In this case, the working class broadly contains employed wage workers, unemployed workers, retired workers, employed women, women homemakers married to male workers, and their children. It also encloses the middle class, typically regarded as better-off workers, white collar and skilled workers, independent professionals, small businesspeople, and the lower levels of management. These middle layers are not really an independent class, since they are mostly part of the two main classes, i.e. working as well as capitalist classes, and they usually direct toward one or the other. Anarchism, like all varieties of socialism, has traditionally opposed class exploitation and its effects, i.e. the alienated work and poverty. The anarchists and Marxists have alike aimed at creating a classless society. However, a working class-led revolution, unlike a Marxist revolution, does not want to seizure State power by an elite, but to create the conscious self-liberation of

the “immense majority”: all the oppressed (especially women and the people of colour), at the center of which exists the proletariat.⁶⁹

In summary, class struggle anarchism is the struggle of the majority, the working and the poor people, against the ruling class. It recognizes that real change does not come through elections, but through mass struggle against the exploiters, particularly the State, capitalism, and the legal system. Although it boycotts elections, it defends all political freedoms, and relies on people power and mass organization to win gains like better pay, to defeat the State, capitalism, and all other types of oppression, and to establish a free Stateless and socialist society.⁷⁰

Like class struggle anarchism, *anarchist insurrectionalism* is a revolutionary activity intending struggle against the State, capitalism, and the law. However, the insurrectionary anarchists reject reforms and organized mass movements as illusory activities and incompatible with anarchism, while advocating armed action (i.e. propaganda by the deed) against the ruling class and its institutions (such as the Judges) in order to cause a spontaneous revolutionary upsurge. We can find the post-left anarchists, anarcho-primitivists, and anarcho-communists among the insurrectionaries.⁷¹

The problems of anarchist organization and its forms (especially platformism, Especifismo, synthesist anarchism, and class struggle) are still unsolved, because its process of election, hierarchy, centralization, as well as its relation to individual freedom and autonomy remain questionable in a world increasingly submerged in establishing or reestablishing organized and centralized authorities destroying both individuality and solidarity. For example, we do not really know whether an anarchist organization relies on written laws, customs, or both, or how everybody should know them, as legal anarchism will later come back to these problems. Indeed, these issues exist because the libertarians, as Purchase has implied, are scared of reemerging partyism and Governmentalism. He has accordingly argued that the anarchist propaganda groups aim at promoting and facilitating the growth of a revolutionary workers movement, but not focusing upon the structure, processes, and development of their own organizations, as this often leads to form intellectual vanguards or inward looking micro-grouplets, inactive in the workers' struggle. In fact, a propaganda group is not an end in itself but a means to an end, and the failure to appreciate this aim results in reemerging partyism and Governmentalism. Such a failure is fatal to the development of an anarchist society.⁷²

If the left anarchists are keen on propagating the theories and, usually secretly, practices of the affinity group, synthesist anarchism, platformism, class struggle, or revolutionary anarchism, the anarcho-capitalists are well structured and organized to become think-tankers lobbying for limited Government,

especially laissez-faire in the welfare systems and free markets around the world.⁷³ Nevertheless, the leftist think-tankers have also their own structural and secret think-tanks as well as groups of class struggle.⁷⁴ The libertarian function of these socialist structures, like capitalist ones, seems to remain questionable, as it was the case of Bakunin's anarchist philosophy and the authoritarian character of his organizational system revealing the contradiction between theory and practice.⁷⁵ He accordingly stated that *"If you remained isolated, if each one of you were obliged to act on their own, you would be powerless without a doubt; but getting together and organising your forces – no matter how weak they are at first – only for joint action, guided by common ideas and attitudes, and by working together for a common goal, you will become invincible."*⁷⁶

The anarchist alternatives are based on the idea that the legal system, as an organization and consequently "a public good" in its free market version, should be provided by the individuals or groups, which are not Governmental agents imposing the substantial and procedural laws in a centralized manner. Due to the diversity of viewpoints as well as different economic and social conditions, these alternatives are heterogeneous including individualist, socialist, collectivist, communist, capitalist, syndicalist, or environmentalist systems. They may share some common characteristics, such as decentralization and public decision. Nonetheless, maintaining social order, as a public good, faces the problems of "free riding" debated among the anarchists, particularly the rightist ones who fervently advocate the privatization of security and justice, which have proposed the panoply of attitudes vary from humanistic to repressive ones.⁷⁷ For instance, the British and American utopian communities of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries as well as the kibbutzim⁷⁸ were quasi-anarchic and mostly egalitarian facing inequality in work effort, which means the free riders or the idlers.⁷⁹ It seems that the kibbutz movement is controversially rooted, as Professor Malkiel put, in "ghettoization" stimulating *"sixteenth-century Italian Jewry to develop larger and more complex political structures, because the Jewish community now became responsible for municipal tasks."*⁸⁰

Finally, we cannot really separate the problems of organization from those of labour, because what we produce and consume is rarely able to come into existence without the work of a group of people and their organization.

5.2.1.3 The Problems of Labour

Legal anarchism should now analyze the leftists and rightists' criticisms of labour as well as their solutions according to three approaches.

Firstly, the social anarchists handle labour with the gloves of class struggle and revolution, while taking an egalitarian approach to the issues of work and workers in their suggested communities. They

indeed criticize both workplace and workforce, because of their hierarchical, dominative, alienative, and exploitative features, stemmed from capital and Government and protected by the law. They also attack the division of labour, since it causes drudgery with inequality and exploitation as a result. Thus, in their libertarian communities, they propose the well-respected and well-rewarded jobs that are ludic, artistic, or scientific, with free time allowing everybody to satisfy her desires and needs in pursuing her happiness.

Secondly, the capitalist anarchists analyze those issues under the angle of free trade and competition in the free market communities. They criticize the place of work in our society in which the State unduly regulates and controls the market and labour, which are the important sources of private property rights, by the labour laws. As a result, they recommend a free society where the individuals and organizations are capable to sell and to buy their production and service in the markets.

Thirdly, the free market libertarians have left us with some doubts about the existence of hierarchy, domination, exploitation, or alienation in their proposed communities, as they exist in the current workplaces. In this case, the leftist anarchists have called the capitalist approach to labour into question. Throughout the leftist criticisms of labour in a free market system, we shall know whether the anarcho-capitalist approach to work is as hierarchical, dominating, exploitative, or alienating as the current systems of workforce and workplace, controlled by State law, in our capitalist societies.

5.2.1.3.1 The Leftist Criticisms and Solutions

The small and decentralized communities, advocated by the anarchists, are quantitatively and qualitatively different from our mega-societies, founded upon very different elements (i.e. classes, interests, and ideologies), in a world that permanently changes, due to the globalization of human rights, environmental issues, immigration, economy, and information. In this regard, there are several questions concerning labour. Particularly, how can the anarchist alternatives respond to the question of the highly “specialization of labour” and, consequently, to “income inequality”? How do the anarchists accordingly think about a Stateless society that will arrange itself when they apparently forget, for example, the knowledge and experience of the Judge with his/her great income and advantages? Let us look at the problem of the division of labour through certain anarchists’ writings that usually mix up manual work with mental work. In other words, they may try to simplify the complexity of work in our so-called modern or postmodern societies, even though the specialization of labour is not certainly a new topic.⁸¹

In *Brain Work and Manual Work*, Kropotkin really dreamed of olden times when the scientists, such as Galileo and Newton, and the natural philosophers had not despised handicraft and manual work. He

then explained what happened to our society in which under the pretext of division of labour, we have meticulously separated the manual worker from the brain worker. The masses of the manual workers do not receive more scientific education than their grandfathers did. They have been even deprived of the education of the small workshop, while their children are driven into a mine or a factory in which they cannot soon remember the little they may have learned at school.⁸² Kropotkin could remind us of Adam Smith who had already stated, *"The man whose whole life is spent in performing a few simple operations, of which the effects are perhaps always the same, or very nearly the same, has no occasion to exert his understanding or to exercise his invention in finding out expedients for removing difficulties which never occur. He naturally loses, therefore, the habit of such exertion, and generally becomes as stupid and ignorant as it is possible for a human creature to become."*⁸³ Do the legal professionals place themselves in this category of worker because they scarcely know anything more than certain dead or alive legal codes cooked by the Governor for the governed? To my knowledge and experience, they unfortunately do, because their existence mostly and their pocket exclusively depend on defending a scared or fetishist job called "the law", which fundamentally protects the authorities and property owners against the governed as well as the poor.

As for the scientists, Kropotkin argued that they despise manual labour, consequently they are mostly incapable of even sketching a scientific instrument, and they must consequently work with the instruments invented for them not by them. There are nevertheless few people, in comparison to the ever-growing requirements of science and industry, who escape the so-much-advocated specialization of labour.⁸⁴

For Kropotkin, not all individuals enjoy the pursuit of scientific work, since various inclinations are such that some find more pleasure in science or art, others in the production of wealth. Whatever their occupations, they will be the more useful in their own branch if they have serious scientific knowledge. And whosoever they might be (scientist, artist, physicist, surgeon, chemist, sociologist, historian, poet, etc.), they would be the gainer if they spent a part of their life in the workshop or the farm, were in contact with humanity in its daily work, and had the satisfaction of knowing that they themselves discharge their duties as the unprivileged producer of wealth. Thanks to human progress, as Kropotkin believed, working only five hours a day, i.e. one-half of the working day, allows everyone to pursue art, science, or any hobby she likes. Her work in those fields would also be more profitable, if she spent the other half of daily work in a productive work, and if science and art were followed from mere inclination instead of capitalist purposes. In addition, a community organized on the principles of all being workers would be rich enough to admit that everyone, after having reached forty years or more, ought to have the moral obligation of taking a direct

part in performing the necessary manual work, so as to be able entirely to devote herself to whatever she chooses in the domain of science, art, or any type of work. Free pursuit in new branches of knowledge and art, free development, and free creation might thus be fully guaranteed. Such a community would experience neither misery amidst wealth nor the duality of conscience permeating our life and stifling every noble effort. It would freely fly towards the highest regions of progress in accordance with human nature. We cannot nevertheless achieve great things by resorting to such poor means as certain training of the hand in a handicraft school, or some teaching of husbandry under the name of Slöjd.⁸⁵

Later in *Fields, Factories and Workshops: or Industry Combined with Agriculture and Brain Work with Manual Work*, Kropotkin optimistically concluded that science and technics, guided by observation, analysis, and experiment, would respond to all possible demands. They would reduce the necessary time for producing wealth to any desired amount, since they leave to everyone as much leisure as she may ask for. They cannot surely guarantee happiness, because happiness depends upon both the individual herself and her surroundings. They however guarantee, at least, the happiness found in the full and varied exercise of the different capacities of humanity, in work needing not be overwork, and in human consciousness that nobody can base her own happiness upon the misery of others. Kropotkin's inquiry indeed opened certain horizons to the unprejudiced mind regarding the specialization of labour.⁸⁶

If we think that Kropotkin is right by implying the theory of DIY, how, for example, can we fabricate or repair our computers and mobile phones? How can we have a holistic education satisfying many of our manual as well as mental needs and desires? How many years must we learn and practice such an education? How does our education enable us to solve the problems stemmed from the physical and psychological division of labour in our time constantly destroying some old jobs while maying create new ones mostly through specializing workplace and workforce as well? As legal anarchism is going to explain in the next section, is technological education beneficial or harmful for human happiness?

Unlike Kropotkin, Price has not excluded the division of labour among a group of workers who “*divide up the tasks among themselves and set their work schedule. The group may include technical specialists, or the specialists (but not bosses) may be provided by management. Workers choose “supervisors” (coordinators) and discipline themselves.*”⁸⁷ Strangers In A Tangled Wilderness, a collective-run publisher of anarchist culture, has also admitted a little specialization. Since skills, like food growing and distribution, can be shared, and it is a good thing that some people study wheelchair repair while others study lens grinding.⁸⁸

Moreover, the division of labour reveals that work is not only an individual problem but also a social one, since it is rooted in human existence and social structure generating exploitation and alienation.⁸⁹ As

Kevin Tucker has already found out, work is also a type of “divine punishment” according to mythology. As “a necessary evil”, work came back to the fall from Eden where God punished Adam to till the soil because of his disobedience. The Protestant work ethic warns us away from “the sin of idle hands”. The reformists and revolutionaries have mostly aimed to reorganize the economy and to redistribute wealth. Capitalism, communism, socialism, syndicalism, or whatever is all about economics. Nevertheless, the problems of working do limit neither to religion nor to ideology, since production and industrialism take part in civilization, a heritage far more rooted and much older than capitalism. Contrary to this mainstream, Tucker, as an anarcho-primitivist, has taken an anti-capitalist approach to civilization while believing that hyper-technology and hyper-specialization identify modernity, which is the face of late capitalism. The anti-civilization critique does reject the myths of necessary work and production, and seek a way of life where these things were not just absent but pushed intentionally away.⁹⁰

Dauvé has briefly analyzed several anti-work activities and movements before as well as after 1914, such as *the Right to Be Lazy* (the opposite of the Right to Work), *Autonomism*, *Never Work! (Ne Travailliez Jamais)*, and *the Manifesto Against Work*.⁹¹ Black has greatly incarnated this leftist and anarchist anti-workism in his essay about abolishing work in which he has started that nobody shall ever work, because work is the cause of nearly all types of misery in the world. Almost all evils that we would care to name stem from living or working in our world designed for work. We must consequently stop working in order to stop suffering. It does not nevertheless mean that we must stop doing things, but creating a new lifestyle based on play, a ludic conviviality, commensality, or even art. We certainly need a lot more time for sheer slack and sloth than we ever like now, regardless of occupation or income, but once recovered from work-induced fatigue nearly all of us desire to act. Black has also dealt with the problem of householding, which signifies the largest occupation and the most tedious tasks with the longest hours but the lowest pay. Through abolishing wage and achieving full unemployment, we are able to destroy the division of labour and its effects, such as the sexual division of labour (e.g., housekeeping),⁹² youth concentration camps (i.e. schools), and the habits of obedience and punctuality so necessary for workers. Black has finished his essay by saying “No one should ever work. Workers of the world ... relax!”⁹³

Black and his comrades, particularly the post-left anarchists and anarcho-primitivists, really enjoy their intellectual, ludic, artistic, well-respected, or well-rewarded work in our capitalist and hyper-specialized societies in which they have never stopped hotly preaching the resistance to and the abolition of all types of exploitative and alienative job, which is to say “bullshit jobs”, to us!⁹⁴ They may not however understand well the situation of the so-called people of colour, immigrants, such as me, unskilled, or jobless people.

We have really no other choice than being exploited in the framework of the so-called “right to work”, “right to part-time work”, or “freedom of contract”⁹⁵ when accepting the wage slavery, which requires us to sell our minds, bodies, and time to those who can keep us alive, only alive for permanent exploitation and alienation by and for the system, in a world worshipping the first value of liberalism: “*chacun pour soi, et Dieu pour tous*.” Our *Right to Be Lazy* is actually nowhere, but that to be exploited or alienated is everywhere.

As for Bonanno, he argues that the idealization of work has hitherto killed the anarchist revolution. The bourgeois morality of production has corrupted the movement of the exploited. It is no accident that the bourgeoisie firstly corrupted the labour unions, precisely because of their closer proximity to the management of the spectacle of production. Thus, the only way for the exploited to escape the old work ethic and the globalization of capital is to refuse or to destroy work, production, and political economy altogether.⁹⁶ In other words, we need certain *revolutionary work* aiming to destroy immediately work, in a world where the specialized thinkers of the proletariat (i.e. intellectual workers and workerist intellectuals) and politicians have transformed the radical theory of liberation into ideology, falsehood, or ideas in the masters’ service.⁹⁷

I think that such a revolution is worthy for two reasons. On the one hand, we are universally living in “work culture” exclusively promoting a prestigious economic position based on good salary, which has to satisfy our insatiable desires and needs from sexuality to materiality, exacerbated by the fantasy of omnipresent advertising penetrating even into our beds and washrooms while preaching overconsumption (especially sex, alcohol, drug, tobacco, house, car, travel, and electronic gadgets). It results in destroying the ecosystems. In other words, our existence and social value rely on what we do as a job or on what is salvatory for our survival in a hell for many and a paradise for few called the world. On the other hand, many of us must suffer from one of the most terribly existential ordeals that is to find a “job” fitting our ambition, education, and experience, because of our lack of luck, connection, recommendation, wealth, politics (i.e. totally the opposite of politicians), and so-called white race in the so-called “insecure”, “flexible”, “precarious”, or “survival” jobs.⁹⁸ These ordeal and insecurity undoubtedly belong to us exclusively and not all to our Masters, including a gang of scholastic Godmothers and Godfathers who are doggedly seizing their job and class advantages for life.

5.2.1.3.2 The Rightist Criticisms and Solutions

The anarcho-capitalist ideas about labour shall be interesting, because the rightist libertarians are treasuring private property as the most important part of “natural right” whose acquisition relies on working,

producing, or exchanging in the production and exchange process.⁹⁹ Spangler has accordingly argued that the market anarchists, including mutualists (e.g., Proudhon), anarcho-capitalists, and some individualist anarchists (e.g., Benjamin Tucker), are opposing the State and favoring the trade of private property in markets. They are also supporting a market economy and a system of possession relying on labour and use. They reject profit because of their adherence to the labour theory of value.¹⁰⁰

In this case, legal anarchism focuses basically on the ideas of Professor Rothbard who, as Professor Hoppe introduced, had rediscovered property and property rights as the common foundation of political philosophy and economics, and was the first to present the total case for private-property anarchism or a pure-market economy as a necessarily and always optimizing social utility.¹⁰¹

Professor Rothbard criticized the monopolistic grants of the State which, among others, impose *child labour laws* prohibiting the labour competition of workers below a certain age, *minimum wage laws* that, by causing the least value-productive workers' unemployment, prevent their competition from the labour markets, and *maximum hour laws* forcing partial unemployment on the workers who want to work longer hours.¹⁰² In contrast, he proposed a *laissez-faire radical* based on non-economic regulation and competition as well. The capitalist anarchists hiring the workers engage in a market transaction, and have no connection with the State. The socialists misunderstand that these capitalists, which hire the workers on a voluntary ground rather than a coercive transaction, have no common class interests. Just as the workers compete with each other, the capitalists do the same, since no common worker or capitalist class interests exist on the free market.¹⁰³ Professor Rothbard also acknowledged the necessity of the division of labour when invoking Professor Mises who had believed that internal peace by this division and freedom of enterprise had a devotion to international peace and free trade as its counterpart.¹⁰⁴ He thus dealt with "the international division of labour" in the framework of our complex modern economy.¹⁰⁵

In his introduction to *The Ethics of Liberty*, Professor Hoppe has argued that every property owner, as for his relations to others, may profit from the advantages of the division of labour and seek improved and better protection of his unalterable rights through cooperating with other owners and their property. In order to satisfy the demand for protection and security among private property owners, it is permissible that some specialized agencies provide protection, insurance, and arbitration services for a fee to the voluntarily clients. It is nonetheless impermissible for any agency to compel anyone to come exclusively to it for protecting or to bar any other agency that offers protection services. No protection agency may be created by taxes or prevented from competition or free entry.¹⁰⁶ This Professor has also found out that human cooperation results in three factors. Firstly, there are differences among human beings as well as

geographical distribution of nature-given factors of production. Secondly, the higher productivity achieves under the division of labour, constructed on the mutual recognition of private property (the exclusive control of every individual over her own body, physical possessions, and appropriations) as compared to self-sufficient isolation, aggression, domination, or plunder. Thirdly, there is human ability to acknowledge this latter fact.¹⁰⁷

Professor Rothbard thought that like property rights, labour is divisible and based on natural rights, which are contrary to animal rights, because non-humans are incapable to engage in exchange with men. Unlike other animals, man has capacity to choose consciously, use his mind and energy to adopt values and goals, find out about the world, realize his ends in order to survive and prosper, interact and communicate with other human beings, and eventually participate in the division of labour. In summary, he is a rational and social animal, since no other animals possess his ability to reason, to make conscious choices, to transform his environment to prosper, to consciously collaborate in society, and to divide labour. All libertarians have indeed recognized the necessity and the colossal advantages of living in society and taking part in the social division of labour. Unlike the Statists, they regard the State as an antisocial instrument that cripples individual creativity, voluntary interchange, and the division of labour. Professor Rothbard also believed that a modern industrial economy needs a division of labour and a vast network of free market exchanges, which can only thrive under liberty.¹⁰⁸ He detailed the issue of division of labour in *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*.¹⁰⁹

Professor Rothbard was undoubtedly aware of the issue of inequality in his system of the free market and the division of labour founded on “free competition”, which means “*freedom to compete or not to compete as the individual wills*.”¹¹⁰ According to him, no one should be surprised about the inequality of ability or monetary income on the free market, because men are unequal in their abilities, tastes, interests, or locations, and natural resources are *unequally* distributed over the earth. This diversity or inequality in abilities and the distribution of resources guarantees the inequality of income on the free market, and since individual monetary assets are derived from her and her ancestors’ abilities in serving consumers on the market, there is unsurprisingly the inequality of monetary wealth as well.¹¹¹ In other words, a libertarian society, in which the right to exclude is fully restored to property owners, is profoundly unequalitarian.¹¹² In short, Professor Rothbard zealously believed that “egalitarianism” is “a revolt against nature”.¹¹³

In their marketing approach, Professors Caplan and Stringham have analyzed the political economy of Professor Mises and Bastiat. Such a political economy has shown that although the median American constantly favors Government financing of projects to create jobs, and supports “French-style work

sharing”, protectionism does not really work, since the taxes funding these projects dismantle as many jobs as they have already created.¹¹⁴ These Professors have also implied that labour is a specialized good. For instance, they have argued that because the juries are conscripted, the courts deal with their work as cheap conscripted labour or virtually a free good. In their capitalist model for the well-functioning of the private courts, they have found out that the stimulant structure of private labour markets is more sentient than the public courts, since private labour markets grant employment decisions to a concerned entrepreneur or manager, not to the public at large. These entrepreneurs reward their workers if they work well and, lay them off if they do not. This reward system surely works better than life appointments or elections. In a free labour market (without laws, such as the minimum wage law reducing employment opportunities), the workers have access to multiple sources of employment, and the managers have to consider this while creating their policies. Even if a specific policy were administratively cheaper for a manager, if the workers did not value the policy more than it saved the manager, it would not be profitable for the company, because the companies need to be wary about offering an employment package that the workers value most.¹¹⁵

It seems that the free market libertarians’ approach to labour relies fundamentally on interest and money when disregarding or avoiding the questions of inequality, exploitation, alienation, hierarchy, and domination inherent in workplace and workforce, based on the division of labour as we must endure in our societies. Property rights, the economic invisible hands, free exchange, free competition, private firms, and their own care about a good reputation have ultimately fascinated the free market anarchists as the miraculous answers to not only those questions, but also all existential questions. Incarnating social Darwinism or more exactly “Darwinist capitalism”,¹¹⁶ Molinari accordingly advocated a free market in which the law of competition and the division of labour would assure that only the most capable individuals succeed. The competent individuals would inevitably emerge to act as judges, lawyers, and policemen, if competition replaced the State’s use of the lottery in the jury system.¹¹⁷ As for Konkin III, a left libertarian, he argues, in reference to Professor Mises, that the individuals have realized that the specialization of different steps of production produces greater wealth than their individual efforts. In an *agorist society*, the self-respect of each worker-capitalist-entrepreneur and the division of labour will probably destroy the traditional business organization, particularly the corporate hierarchy created by the State and not by the market. A term derived from the Greek agora (i.e. *open marketplace*), agorism means a counter-economic system or a system against State economy. Rapid innovations in science, technology, communication,

transportation, production, and distribution constitute some hallmarks of such a society, libertarian in theory and free market in practice.¹¹⁸

5.2.1.3.3 The Leftist Criticisms of Labour in a Free Market System

By taking into account the situation of labour in a free market system, there is a principal question to answer: do the rightist criticisms of labour and their solutions disguise hierarchy, domination, exploitation, or alienation in their system? Let legal anarchism invoke *An Anarchist FAQ*'s detailed analysis and critique in this regard. This international working group of social anarchists has severely criticized the situation of labour in a free market society, because of maintaining property rights and their capitalist results that are hierarchical authority, domination, exploitation, inequality, and alienation, inherent in both capitalism and Government.

For *An Anarchist FAQ*, the anarcho-capitalists' professed desire to finish regulatory economics off is totally disingenuous. The free market actually aims at giving the capitalists the ability to protect their exploitative monopoly of social capital through using the coercive private States, whereby they are regulating the economy in the strongest way, i.e. guaranteeing that it is controlled in certain directions. For example, they are ensuring that production is for profit and not for use. It is consequently runaway growth and an endlessly devouring of nature relied on the principle of "*grow or die*," while the deskilling and alienation of the workers continue. By finishing regulatory economics off, anarcho-capitalism means that the civil society will have even less opportunity than now to control democratically the rapacious action of the capitalists. Regulating personal behaviour would not certainly be done away with in the workplaces in which the bosses' authority would still exist and we would have to obey their rules and regulations.

An Anarchist FAQ has furthermore contradicted Professor Rothbard's claims for market forces or voluntary exchanges resulting in the creation of the free workers. Dispossessed by market forces, these workers are indeed in the same position as the former slaves and serfs. He did confirm the *economic power* in the latter case when denying it in the former. The conditions of the concerned people are nonetheless identical, and these conditions terrify us. According to *An Anarchist FAQ*, Professor Rothbard avoided saying that identical conditions generate identical social relationships. Thus, if the *legally free* ex-serfs are submitted to *economic power* and *masters*, they are still the *legally free* workers within capitalism! Although both sets of labourers are *legally free*, their circumstances show that they are only *free to sell* their liberty to economic power producing relationships of domination and slavery between the legally free individuals.

From the viewpoint of *An Anarchist FAQ*, by making *choice* an ideal in order to sound good, positive, and liberating in practice, right libertarianism has actually become a *dismal politics*, a politics of choice in which most of the choices are not good. However, many of our choices in capitalism, such as employment contracts, limit to our choice of loving it or leaving it in the organizations that we join or create as a result of these so-called free choices. This ideological blind spot stems from the right libertarian definition of *freedom* as *the lack of coercion*, i.e. the labourers are *free* to join a particular workplace, and their freedom is supposedly unrestricted. Indeed, to defend exclusively “freedom from” in an anarcho-capitalist society signifies to defend the authority and power of the few against the many claiming their liberty and rights.

Under capitalist economies, the people are mostly entitled to food only if they are able to sell their freedom and labour to the owners of the means of life, which expands the economic insecurity of wage labourers. When the commodity becomes labour power, the labourers must accept a job to live. Compared to the employers, the employees are usually disadvantageous in the labour market, which obliges them to sell their freedom in return for making profits for the employers. These profits enlarge social inequality as the property owners obtain the surplus value that their labourers produce. This enlarges inequality further, consolidates market power, and thus weakens the bargaining position of employees further. This eventually ensures that even the freest competition possible would not eliminate class power and society, something that Benjamin Tucker himself recognized as emerging with the development of trusts within the free market.

As for *hierarchy* in a free market system, *An Anarchist FAQ* has argued that anarcho-capitalist ideology is rooted in an economic system marked by landlords, banking, stock markets, wage labour, and so hierarchy, oppression, and exploitation. The anarcho-capitalists do not oppose authority, hierarchy, and the State rather trying to privatize them. Some of them seem dimly aware of their glaringly obvious contradiction. For example, Professor Rothbard could not present any argument to solve it, but he simply ignored that capitalism relies on hierarchy and cannot consequently be anarchist. He only argued that the hierarchy in capitalism is good as long as the private property producing it will be acquired in a “just” way. If, as Professor Rothbard believed, property is the basis of freedom and a natural right, why are the many excluded from their birthright by a minority? In other words, Professor Rothbard denied the universality of liberty.

An Anarchist FAQ has continued to draw criticism of the free market in which if the labourers do not like their ruler, they can only seek another. Capitalist hierarchy is thus fine when the labourers consent to it, which does not eventually address the core issue, i.e. the authoritarian nature of capitalist property. Such an argument has totally ignored the reality of social and economic power. The consent argument has failed,

since it has ignored the social circumstances of capitalism limiting the choice of the many. The left anarchists have long argued that the workers, as a class, have rarely any choice better than consenting to capitalist hierarchy. The capitalist alternative is either starvation or dire poverty, which the anarcho-capitalists deny by rejecting economic power. They simply recognize the freedom of contract, even though inequality produces social relationships based on hierarchy and domination, not freedom. As Professor Chomsky believes, anarcho-capitalism is an ideological system leading to some forms of tyranny and oppression, with few counterparts in human history.

According to *An Anarchist FAQ*, the free market libertarians obviously advocate the hierarchy between employer and employee (wage labour) as well as landlord and tenant. Anarchism nevertheless means to reject all types of archy including the hierarchy created by capitalist property. Thus, to ignore the evident archy of capitalist property is deeply illogical. While the left anarchists have been always against capitalism, the right anarchists have accepted it. Because of this acceptance, their anarchy is marked by extensive differences in power and wealth, which show themselves up in relations based upon hierarchy and subordination (e.g., wage labour), not freedom. They do not actually wonder that Proudhon believed that property is tyranny, since it generates hierarchical and authoritarian relations among people in a similar way to Statism.

This international working group has also articulated about another important concept in its criticisms of anarcho-capitalism: *alienation*. The expression of “liberty as property” in the free market has strangely alienated freedom. Freedom is no longer regarded absolute, but a derivative of property. We can consequently “sell” our liberty and be still regarded free according to the capitalist ideology. Although “liberty as property” usually means “self-ownership”, we do not “own” ourself, as an object somehow separable from our subjectivity, but we are ourself. Nonetheless, the concept of “self-ownership” is useful for justifying different forms of domination and oppression. In fact, “self-ownership” becomes the means of justifying to treat people as objects, which it ironically wanted to stop at the beginning.

The group has also found out that because the labourers are paid to submit, we should really ask why Professor Rothbard argued that an individual’s “*labour service is alienable*,” but her “*will is not*,” and that she is not able to alienate her will, more especially her control over her own mind and body. He indeed contrasted self-ownership and private property by arguing that all physical property possessed by an individual is alienable, since she can abandon or sell to another individual her shoes, house, car, money, etc. However, certain vital things exist in the nature of man and natural fact that are not alienable. Her will and control over her own person are accordingly inalienable. *An Anarchist FAQ* has protested against the

alienability of “labour services”, because individual “labour services” and “will” are not dividable. If we sell our labour services, we must also give control of our body and mind to another individual! A labourer will be fired, if he does not obey his employer’s commands. Professor Rothbard’s rejection of this fact indicates an absolute lack of common-sense. As his possible argument against slave contracts, Professor Rothbard would perhaps argue that since the worker is able to quit his work at any time, he does not alienate her will. This nevertheless ignores that between the signing and breaking of a contract and during work hours – and perhaps outside work hours, if the employer has mandatory drug testing or will fire the employees attending union or anarchist meetings or having an “unnatural” sexuality, and so forth –, the employee certainly alienates his will and body as well.

The group has moreover stated that the anarcho-capitalists, unlike the social anarchists, advocate a form of liberty allowing an individual to be rented out to another, while they maintain that she still remains free. It seems bizarre that an ideology supporting freedom finds nothing wrong with the denial and alienation of freedom. All in all, contract theory constitutes a theoretical strategy justifying subjection through presenting it as liberty, and turns a subversive proposition, i.e. human beings are born equal and free, into a civil subjection. In fact, this form of capitalist contract generates a relation of subordination and not of liberty. Professor Ward, as a social anarchist, thus defended the employees’ control of industrial production as the only approach compatible with anarchism.

As a result of the above problems, *An Anarchist FAQ* has concluded that the free market libertarians are not anarchists at all, because they are capitalists presenting themselves as anarchists in order to obtain support for their laissez-faire economic project from those who oppose the State. They cannot claim the term “anarchist”, because they do not really oppose the hierarchy and exploitation associated with capitalism. Their ideas are indeed at odds with the principal ideas of traditional anarchism or even individualist anarchism, which they often claim as forefather of their ideology.¹¹⁹

On the contrary, can the left libertarians solve those problems of labour occurred in free market anarchism? Let legal anarchism later answer this question in the section attributed to the socialist alternatives. Generally speaking, it however seems that the problems of labour (especially the division of labour and wage system) in anarchism remain still unsolved. For example, an anarchist community has to cope with a pompous, verbalistic, parasitic, highly expensive, and forcibly respected caste, i.e. the legal professionals, for them it should eventually find an honourable way of life. Professor Asimakopoulou, a social activist, has recently provided a model in this case. He has proposed “a standard national wage” based on “an objective measure of time worked” or “social contribution”, instead of class power

relationships determining skill sets (labour) valued by markets, which will increase economic development and efficiency.¹²⁰

Finally, those issues also have a direct relation to the problems of natural issues and technology exacerbating the work related problems.

5.2.1.4 The Problems of Natural Resources and Technology

Because the problems of natural resources and those of technology overlap each other, legal anarchism is analyzing them in the same section.

On the one side, natural resources are neither eternal for our exploitation, mostly facilitated through certain unbridled technologies, nor a guarantee of respecting human and natural rights.¹²¹ Parallel to the environmental issues of using these resources, we acknowledge that they are not equally available to all. In other words, their distribution is unfair or hazardous not only around the world, but also in a specific society in which few owns almost all, thanks to State protection and law. There therefore exist some crucial questions about their “ownership” and “management” in harmony with nature. According to Rousseau, Gracchus Babeuf, and Bahá'u'lláh, “*les fruits sont à tous et la terre n'est à personne*,” and “*La terre n'est qu'un seul pays et tous les humains en sont les citoyens*.”¹²² How can we apply their opinion without polluting or destroying the ecosystems to them we all belong? If a community owns a natural resource that is scarce, will be other communities also able to enjoy it? Can they share it by mutualism and federalism because it is subject to “geographical chance”? If the answers were positive, how would the characteristics of mutualism and federalism be? The answers depend on the different anarchist ideologies, which mean environmentalist, collectivist, communist, individualist, syndicalist, capitalist, or mixed systems. For instance, as we are going to observe, some libertarians and those active in the earth liberation movements have provided various reflections about a libertarian management of natural resources.

On the other side, technology, particularly in the framework of the State (e.g., militarism), has produced many problems. The anarchist ideas are accordingly important, since the State is a big antagonist controlling natural resources and technology as well. In *The Socioeconomic Guardians of Scarcity*, Richlin has truly stated that when we apply technology towards human needs and environmental concern rather than the maximization of power/profit, we will be able to minimize suffering.¹²³

5.2.1.4.1 The Natural Problems

As legal anarchism has previously explained about the problems of workplace and workforce, there are different opinions among the anarchists when it comes to using and distributing natural resources. The

rightist approaches are both individualistic and capitalist, while the leftist ones are socialistic or communistic.

5.2.1.4.1.1 The Rightist Approach

Every problem would develop its own theories based on a specific language and certain expressions. For instance, *geolibertarianism*, as an ideology and political movement, synthesizes libertarianism and geoism, or Georgism developed by the American economist and philosopher Henry George who advocated “a single tax on land values”.¹²⁴ The geolibertarians are defending that all natural resources, very particularly land, are common economic resource to which all individuals have an equal right to access. The individuals who claim land as their private property have to pay rent to the society. We can regard geolibertarianism as a form of synthesist anarchism, as Professor Foldvary has developed.

According to Professor Foldvary, the geoists focus on land, rent, and taxes, when they mostly ignore other liberty issues such as regulations, excessive litigation, free market schooling, and victimless crimes. By recognizing “individual right to possess land”, Statist geoism aims to abolish all taxation except on land rents or land values, because only nature supplies land that can be neither moved nor hidden. Professor Foldvary has presented a large definition of land including all earthly space: solid surfaces, water areas, the electromagnetic spectrum, and real estate sites. He has also found out that many geoists do not fully understand free trade inasmuch as every regulation is a form of tax, since real free trade and untax would deregulate. The relationship between geoism and libertarianism is actually complementary, because geoism solves the problem of an inadequate view of public finance in conventional libertarianism, while libertarianism presents a more complete view of the geoist goal of free trade. Professor Foldvary has accordingly believed that geoarchism places between monarchism and anarchism. On the one side, geoist communities assess “*how much of the rental is natural rent, and distribute that equally to the population in those communities.*” On the other side, the anarcho-capitalists “*outside the geoist leagues would probably be hostile to this rent-sharing system and might refuse to trade with the geoists, but that would not be much of a problem for geoists, since the efficiency of geoism would attract much of the enterprise.*”¹²⁵

However, it seems that we still have the problems of use and distribution of natural resources on a universal scale; especially when we observe that some of these resources are scarce, such as oil and gas on which we are still very dependent. Professor Barry has accordingly noticed that the “*strict ecosystem dependence implies that those living in resource-poor ecosystems are condemned to their fate.*”¹²⁶ As a result, how much must the individuals owning these resources pay as rent? They have to pay whom: a specific

community or the entire world? For instance, how much must the countries of oil pay their own citizens or other people around the world who have no access to oil reserves?

According to a pure capitalist approach defended by the ruling class and expressed by Edward Gibbon Wakefield, when all men are free and land is cheap, every individual is able to obtain a piece of land for herself if she pleases.¹²⁷ I can place Warren's opinion between this approach and a socialist approach to natural wealth, since he argued that the society basically had to open the way for each individual to appropriate land and all other natural wealth. By natural wealth, Warren meant all wealth doing not result in human labour. The cost principle provides this wealth for every one at once. For example, land, sold and bought on this principle, passes from one owner to another owner with no farther additions to prime cost than the labour of selling and buying it. In other words, the cost of improving the natural wealth makes it free and accessible to all without price. In accordance with the laws of nature, Warren believed, the principle of labour for labour renders all natural wealth common to all, and consequently rejects all speculation and forbades the buying up of land, goods, provisions, building materials, etc. for the purpose of selling them again at a profit more than a reward for the labour bestowed.

According to Warren, the economies and cooperation advocated by communism rely naturally on the principle of equivalents or simple justice. By compensating only for cost, this principle opens all primary lands, waters, minerals, spontaneous fruits, and all other natural wealth, free from all price. It thus meets the common property idea half-way. For example, although water in a river is common and everybody can use it without paying any price, when an individual has once gotten it into her possession, no other person must claim it without her consent. In other words, even though the wealth or property is common to all, there exists neither communism nor joint ownership between individuals.

Warren also defended that each individual shall possess her natural liberty or sovereignty strengthened by the rights of property and labour, which public opinion clearly defines and admits while the society habitually respects. For him, our immense resources are natural, whereby we ameliorate our condition, and by their better and greater uses, we may arrive at an infinitely higher plane or modes of life than never realized. He believed that the value of a good (such as a well-made watch) depends especially on the natural qualities of the minerals or metals employed, and the labour bestowed by the worker. In this case, he wanted to know whether an individual has a right to set a price upon a natural wealth before she has bestowed any labour over it. He accordingly observed two different talents or skill: the *worker's labours* costing the possessor are a legitimate ground of estimating and pricing, *natural wealth* (e.g., the water, land, and sunshine) costing nothing should be accessible to all freely.¹²⁸

As for Professor Johnson, he has believed that the left libertarians, like all libertarians, advocate that all State ownership of natural resources and all State control of industry shall be abolished. They thus suggest absolute and complete privatization of everything: business building, housing, libraries, roads, bridges, railroads, airports, parks, post offices, television stations, electric lines, power plants, water works, oil rigs, gas pipelines, or anything else of the sort.¹²⁹ He and Professor Chartier have found out that the market anarchists defend the social relationships based, among others, on the “decentralized individual ownership” of personal possessions, homes, land, natural resources, tools, and capital goods.¹³⁰

As formerly mentioned, Professors Rothbard and Hoppe believe that natural resources are unequally distributed over the earth, and cause, among other factors (such as skills), the specialization of the labour. Although Professor Rothbard is critical vis-à-vis Georgism, his definition of land is as large as a geolibertarianist's, since it is synonymous with nature. For him, there are two factors of production: those produced (the *produced factors of production*), and those existed in nature or man's environment (the *original factors of production*). According to him, we can divide the original factors of production into two categories: *the expenditure of human energy* and *the use of non-human elements provided by nature*. We call the first *labour* and the second *nature* or *land* including natural resources. Labour, land, and the produced factors are thus termed *capital goods*. Professor Rothbard uses the concept of “land” in an entirely different way from the mainstream intellectuals. He distinguishes between two concepts of land: the economic land and the geographic land. The former encompasses all nature-given sources of value, which is usually known as natural resources, land, air, water, and insofar as they are unfree goods. The latter, a large part of the value that we generally consider as “land”, is actually a capital good, such as agricultural land. This capital good must be maintained with the use of labour.

Professor Rothbard also states that natural resources and land are the original and nature-given factors. The former is depletable, nonpermanent, and nonreplaceable, while the latter is permanent and nonreproducible resource. In this sense, oil, gas, coal, ores, and copper and diamond mines are natural resources. Because the basic land (not its fruit) requires no reproduction, it does not place in the capital-good category such as forests. On the contrary, because labour cannot produce natural resources, they gain a net rent that is not absorbed by labour and land factors going into their production. They definitively gain the usual interest rate of the society for their proprietors from the net rents, and interest earnings are related to their capital value. We can capitalize a depletable resource, since capitalization can appear for either an infinite or a finite series of future rental incomes. Due to the fact that the only income to ground land is not interest or profit, the original gains belong to the first finder of land. Pioneering – i.e. finding new

land or new natural resources – is simply a business among others inasmuch as investing in it needs labour, entrepreneurial ability, and capital.¹³¹

It nonetheless seems that such a “simple business” has greatly a potential power to become State crime when certain so-called explorers or colonizers, backed by the Statesmen that Professor Rothbard did not certainly appreciate, are doing it, as, for example, Christopher Columbus was doing.¹³² Professor Carew has accordingly argued that a new ethic fully flowered with Columbus’ discovery of America. The Church’s ideology, i.e. the equality of all true believers in front of God including the new convert and the individual born into the faith as well, yielded capitalism’s ideology turning human beings, especially those whose work was in demand in the newly discovered lands, into commodities, chattels, and so many faceless ciphers in a juggernaut of producing and profiting.¹³³

If a free society signifies, Professor Rothbard believes, a world where nobody aggresses against the individual or her property, this then implies a society where every individual has “the absolute right of property” in her own self as well as in the previously unowned natural resources that she finds, transforms by her own labour, and then exchanges with or gives to others. In other words, the principles of a free society clearly specify a theory of property rights meaning self-ownership and the ownership of natural resources found and transformed by individual labour.¹³⁴ In Professor Rothbard’s free society, any unused piece of nature is *unowned* and therefore subject to a man’s ownership when using or mixing his labour with this resource. If there is more land than a limited labour supply can use, the unused land has to simply remain unowned until the arrival of a first user on the scene. Any claim for a new resource that an individual does not use is necessarily “*considered invasive of the property right of whoever the first user will turn out to be,*” because by establishing the ownership of property, the individual and her inheritors “*have appropriated the nature-given factor, and for anyone else to seize it would be an invasive act.*”¹³⁵ Indeed, this Professor would perfectly incarnate a capitalist mentality, as both preached and practiced in all mercantilist systems around the world, according to which a big landowner and his heirs remain proprietors even if they have no energy, desire, or capacity to use their land at all. In this regard, some leftist anarchists, especially Bakunin, have severely criticized the rights of private property and inheritance, and consequently claimed their abolition, because these rights establish and maintain inequality and authority.¹³⁶

When it comes to consuming or more exactly to destroying natural resources by the current generation vis-à-vis the future generation, Professor Rothbard perfectly gives carte blanche to the former through his mercantile reasoning while rejecting a common attack on the free market because of wasting resources, particularly depletable resources. The future generations are purportedly stolen by the present

generations' greed. This reasoning would paradoxically conclude, according to Professor Rothbard, that we cannot consume any of the resources at all. An individual who consumes a depletable resource whenever is leaving less of a stock for herself or her successors to draw upon. In fact, *"whenever any amount of a depletable resource is used up, less is left for the future, and therefore any such consumption could just as well be called 'robbery of the future,' if one chooses to define robbery in such unusual terms. Once we grant any amount of use to the depletable resource, we have to discard the robbery-of-the-future argument and accept the individual preferences of the market. There is then no more reason to assume that the market will use the resources too fast than to assume the opposite. The market will tend to use resources at precisely the rate that the consumers desire."*¹³⁷

Therefore, if the people around the world will be able to consume as much as the American or other Western people currently do according to their mantra of the free market, what will happen to natural resources as well as to the environment? Two researchers have accordingly argued that a single species, i.e. *Homo sapiens*, is generating progressive ecological disasters for the first time in 4000,000,000 years of life on the earth. These disasters stem from the outcome of the human aptitude for culture, particularly as this aptitude has recently realized in the Western World. Human beings are consuming about 12,000 times as much energy, principally in the form of fossil fuels, as they were 400 generations ago when agriculture was firstly introduced. Almost 80% of this energy consumption and technological waste production is occurring in the industrialized nations making up nearly 25% of the total human population.¹³⁸ The World Wide Fund for Nature has recently reported that the world populations of birds, mammals, amphibians, reptiles, and fish fell overall by 52% between 1970 and 2010.¹³⁹

For instance, *"The poorest 10% accounted for just 0.5% and the wealthiest 10% accounted for 59% of all the consumption"* in 2005.¹⁴⁰ In *As World's Population Booms, Will Its Resources Be Enough for Us?*, Dimick, National Geographic's Executive Editor for the Environment, has recently stated out that we will observe 9,600,000,000 Earthlings by 2050 and more than 11,000,000,000 by 2100, while getting rich signifies to consume more natural resources and energy, typically carbon-based fuels (e.g., oil, gas, and coal). This can be observable throughout consumption patterns including higher protein foods (such as meat and dairy), more consumer goods, bigger houses, more vehicles, and more air travel.¹⁴¹ An American child born will accordingly generate thirteen times more ecological damage during her/his lifetime than a Brazilian child born.¹⁴² Even though Americans constitute 5% of the global population, they consume about a quarter of the global fossil fuel resources: burning up nearly 25% of the coal, 26% of the oil, and 27% of the global natural gas. When it comes to growth in China, some 11,000 more cars appeared on Chinese roads every day in 2003: 4,000,000 new private cars during the year. Car sales augmented by 60% in 2002 and by

more than 80% in the first half of 2003. If growth rapidly continues, 150,000,000 cars could jam China's streets by 2015, i.e. 18,000,000 more than were driven on US highways and streets in 1999.¹⁴³

Moreover, Professor Rothbard does describe the "public ownership of natural resources" as a "myth", since Government ownership simply means that the top officials own the property because they control its use. According to this Rothbardian approach, "the nationalization of natural resources" means "the Statization of natural resources". On the contrary, in the free market society, on the one side, *"resources so abundant as to serve as general conditions of human welfare would remain unowned."* On the other side, *"scarce resources would be owned on the following principles: self-ownership of each person by himself; self-ownership of a person's created or transformed property; first ownership of previously unowned land by its first user or transformer."*¹⁴⁴

Finally, Professor Rothbard does not discredit monopoly on natural resources, since a monopoly gain can be imputable to ownership of a land factor or unique natural resource. For example, a monopoly price for diamonds can be attributable to a monopoly of diamond mines, from which diamonds have to be ultimately produced.¹⁴⁵

As a result, several issues of natural resources (especially the monopoly of scarce resources) are still unsolved in Rothbardian free market society or "purely free society". *An Anarchist FAQ* has accordingly concluded that Professor "Rothbard's claims to being an "anarchist" are as baseless as his claim that capitalism will protect the environment."¹⁴⁶

Professor Hoppe has presented a similar opinion to Professor Rothbard's public ownership of natural resources. By recognizing street and path as a part of the "natural environment" in which every individual has the right to act, he has advocated the privatization of public streets for two reasons. On the one side, no resident is hereafter subject to pay any type of tax for keeping or developing any local, provincial, or federal street. The future funding of all streets is only the responsibility of their new private proprietors. On the other side, as for a resident's rights-of-way, such privatization has to leave no individual worse off than she was originally, and cannot make any individual better off. However, the privatization of streets does put some "natural restrictions on the freedom of movement", because entrance onto the streets of different localities, provinces, or States is conditional on the invitation or permission of the owners of these streets. Professor Hoppe has indeed believed that in contrast to the institution of public property causing "conflict", the institution of private property, i.e. the original appropriation of previously unowned or common resources, produces "eternal peace".¹⁴⁷

That prestigious economist has nevertheless left us with some principal questions about the private ownership of natural resources and of streets: what will be our fate, including our freedom of movement, if some wealthy individuals will buy all streets or even all natural resources that we currently beg or use under our extremely happy Governors' clemency? Where are the unowned streets and resources? What is the solution for those who have neither permission nor invitation of the owner? How much must they pay? If they use a street almost *daily* (e.g., going to work), how can they solve "the monopoly on pathway or on entrance" imposed by one or several owners? It seems that neither this honorable Professor nor other fans of the privatization of public goods do really care about the fate of the propertyless people vis-à-vis this monopolization, as it is ironically the case of the Statesmen/women who are hotly defending privatization. Professor Pasour may have summarized the capitalist approach to natural resources, i.e. a *commodity*, which relies simply on *entrepreneurship*:

*"The most important economic problems in achieving efficient resource use involve the coordination of existing knowledge and the discovery of new opportunities by market participants. Acceptance of this view implies a shift in the focus of attention by the economic analyst from the idealized model of "perfect competition" to the market as a process of entrepreneurship motivated by an incentive mechanism to bring about the most useful employment of resources."*¹⁴⁸

In this case, Professor Martel has argued that Polanyi's *The Great Transformation*¹⁴⁹ may help us to understand the hostility against nature as a part of the relentlessness of commodity fetishism. On the contrary, due to the fact that nature does belong to all living things including us, we cannot simply disregard it as our "private property", left at the conquest and mercy of the so-called "first user" in a purely capitalist approach. In other words, all flora and fauna have equal rights to exist and to enjoy natural resources, since we all take part in the ecosystems. According to this radical approach, nature is not God's gift to man at all, which is a historical root of our environmental crisis.¹⁵⁰ As far as I am concerned, I do not really like to see myself as "the Master of nature" that is so ignorant as well as arrogant, because I do not think that I am superior to other animals in order to eat, to exploit, to destroy, to poison, or to enslave them; what I have already done in this regard is certainly an existential and shameful mistake. Let me speak more about this radical approach in *the Leftist Approach* and *the Eco-Anarchist Justice* as well.

Due to the fact that the free market anarchists have not really cared about the problems related to natural resources, legal anarchism now examines the leftist anarchists' opinions in this matter.

5.2.1.4.1.2 The Leftist Approach

In the leftist approach to natural resources, we could observe a shift from the ownership of the environment, in its either individual or common form, to the liberation of the earth. In other words, some left anarchists, particularly the primitivists, do not see anymore the earth with its resources as human property condemned to brutally technological domination, but as a self-identity with its own characteristics and interests. Of course, I will give more details about this in the GP.

5.2.1.4.1.2.1 From the Ownership of the Environment

In a socialist as well as Malthusian approach analyzed by Professor Balla, the global scarcity of resources, as a basic fact of human existence in both abstract and material realms, has caused a permanent confrontation among human beings, which is actually a type of “social action” and “struggle”.¹⁵¹ The issues of scarce resources, including the struggle for dominating or owning nature, are not nonetheless leftist anarchism’s fiefdom, because free market anarchism is also speaking about “the ownership of the scarce goods”.¹⁵² Moreover, some criminologists and sociologists have linked climate change to increased social conflict, including inter-State conflict, genocide, civil war, or conflict among smaller groups within the States. This type of conflict would especially result from competition over scarce resources (e.g., arable land, water, and food) and forced migration.¹⁵³ For instance, in *Revisiting Social and Deep Ecology in the Light of Global Warming*, Professor Krøvel has found out that leftist Latin-American Presidents Chávez, Rafael Correa, and Evo Morales have been employing authoritarianism generating the armed and deadly confrontations with indigenous groups that struggle for controlling local territories and face the threat of expanding exploitation of natural resources by national or multinational corporations.¹⁵⁴

Thus, the *global justice movements* advocate the fair distribution of wealth and resources on a global scale, as a means to prevent the national and international conflicts.¹⁵⁵ The doctrine of “*luck egalitarianism*” is accordingly important, because it deals with “geographical chance” by suggesting the principle of “global distributive equality”. It argues that if certain factors (such as citizenship and the natural distribution of the earth’s wealth and resources) depend generally on luck that pervasively and profoundly determines individual life options, then this principle must ameliorate some inequalities due to such contingencies or unchosen circumstances.¹⁵⁶

In a socialist sense, Leval, an anarcho-syndicalist, argued that during all history, the conquerors have availed force and the means of politic domination in order to grasp the lands and economic resources of the conquered countries and to share them when often devastating the regime of property.¹⁵⁷ In other words, the problems of distributing natural resources are going hand in hand with

those of maintaining and controlling markets, which have already caused colonialism and imperialism founded upon State terrorism.¹⁵⁸ In *The Network of Domination*, Landstreicher would later articulated almost the same idea while arguing that both Government and capitalism (i.e. the capitalist ruling class) control more and more the lands, resources, and products of labour through the bloody and ruthless competition and expropriation of all already shared by the communities.¹⁵⁹ In another socialist but highly anti-natural approach, Marx had highlighted human liberation and social fulfillment in terms of dominating nature and exploiting equally natural resources.¹⁶⁰

For Proudhon, human beings cannot appropriate natural wealth (such as the land, air, and water), because it is the gratuitous gift of God or nature to them, and its appropriation by some individuals excludes all others. He understood that a stationary and limited thing, like the land, provides a greater chance for appropriation than the sunshine or water.¹⁶¹ Cash has however argued that Proudhon's opinion about the demarcation line between natural resources, supposedly unlimited in supply (such as air and water), and land, limited in supply, has found a new dimension in the twentieth first century, since we can no longer see the former as unlimited in supply, even in Proudhon's time they were in limited supply. For instance, Cash has stated that water is currently a controlled resource in the USA through regional monopolies, which can prohibit some people from having access to water.¹⁶²

Reclus has undoubtedly occupied a great place among the thinkers who have long started to be aware of both ecological and political problems of natural resources on an international scale, as George Perkins Marsh had formerly become "*aware of the rapid destruction of the resources of the newly colonized countries of the world*".¹⁶³ Professor Dunbar has accordingly found out that in *Nouvelle Géographie Universelle: La Terre et les Hommes*,¹⁶⁴ Reclus underscored the importance of studying geography in order to make an inventory of the world's resources and to suggest a plan for their equitable distribution, while he reemphasized his concerns about the unequal distribution of wealth. For Reclus, we have not yet made an inventory of our riches and decided in what manner we shall distribute them for our health, glory, and profit. Science has not yet determined which parts of the earth shall remain in their natural condition and be used otherwise, either for producing food or for other elements of our public welfare.¹⁶⁵

Unlike Thomas Robert Malthus,¹⁶⁶ Reclus tried to demonstrate that the problem of resource shortages stems from the organization of the global economy and unequal distribution of wealth, not from the growth of human populations.¹⁶⁷ In other words, he, as an anarchist opposed to the Neo-Malthusianism of many French anarchists (e.g., Paul Robin), argued that geography and statistics prove that the resources of the earth amply supply everybody with enough food.¹⁶⁸ Professor Clark has accordingly

argued, we cannot deny that scarcity is obviously a social fact, and that consequently far the burden of malnutrition and famine has most heavily concerned the people suffering from economic and political powerlessness, not the people overusing resources most flagrantly. He has however stated that from a universal ecological perspective, the continued growth of population will inevitably aggravate ecological crisis, regardless of other social variables.¹⁶⁹ In his anarcho-communism, Malatesta had already recognized that the issues of inequalities in terms of natural resources, quality of land, situation, and accessibility make impossible to achieve a state of equality for all individuals in their more productive attempts to conquer these resources of the different regions.¹⁷⁰

Professor Baudouin has however argued that Reclus was advocating colonization, even though we still appreciate his humanistic criticisms and consider him as one of the headmost anti-colonialists of his time. For instance, around the end of his life, Reclus wrote: *"It is also great to set yourself up as a colonist in a far country and to clear the land with the sweat of your brow... I have to say that I am personally a very ardent patriot and that in my youth I very conscientiously tried to be a colonist; even now, living far from my town of birth and earning a living in a foreign country, I am still a colonist in my own way and without the least regret..."*¹⁷¹

We can also find Professor Bookchin among the socialist libertarians who have taken an environmentalist approach to natural resources. His opinion about "scarcity" and "social ecology" is important, since, as Professor Leff argued, he has truly become *"a pioneer and ideologue of the ecological movement (specifically of its ecoanarchist branch)."*¹⁷² In Murray Bookchin's *Theory of Social Ecology*, Professor Best has found out that because environmental problems are rooted in social causes, they need the social methods of analysis rather than, for example, the biological or philosophical approaches of deep ecology focusing on alienation from nature and overpopulation. The environmental crisis thus requires institutional solutions striving to destroy hierarchical relationships in society, rather than finding the deep self in harmony with natural ways (deep ecology) or believing in Governmental or technological reforms (liberal environmentalism).¹⁷³ The deep ecology movement is not nonetheless alienated to anarchism, since it emphasizes holistic solidarity with all lives.¹⁷⁴

Professor Bookchin did emphasize that the ecological crisis is caused not only by private property, but also by the principle of domination, which means institutional hierarchies and relations of command and obedience pervading society at many different levels.¹⁷⁵ Therefore, without altering the most molecular social relationships – particularly, those between women and men, children and adults, gays and heterosexuals, whites and other ethnic groups (the list is actually considerable) –, society will be puzzled by domination, even in a non-exploitative form and socialistic classless. It would be introduced by hierarchy,

even as it praised the fishy ethos of people's democracies, socialism, and the public ownership of natural resources. As long as domination organizes humanity around a system of elites and hierarchy persists, the project of dominating nature will exist and inevitably direct our planet toward ecological extinction.¹⁷⁶

On the one side, when it comes to "scarcity", Professor Bookchin argued that human society has currently faced the brute problems posed by unavoidable material scarcity as well as their subjective counterpart in denial and guilt. Material scarcity contributed the historic rationale for developing the patriarchal family, private property, class domination, and the State, while it caused the great divisions in hierarchical society pitting town against country, mind against sensuousness, individual against society, the individual against herself, and, finally, work against play. In summary, humanity stands on the threshold of a post-scarcity society for the first time in history. The word "threshold" is important here, because the existing society has not realized the post-scarcity potential of its technology yet. Neither the material "privileges", which modern capitalism supposedly affords the middle classes, nor its lavish wasting of resources manifests any sense of rationality, humanism, or unalienation. When all the resources should promote social equality, there is nothing more criminal to women, homosexuals, and ethnic minorities than subjugation. A market society necessarily treats nature as a mere resource to be exploited and plundered. In other words, the very logic of capitalist production destroys inexorably the natural world. There is no evidence that such a society will later relent in its disruption of vital ecological processes, exploitation of natural resources, use of the waterways and atmosphere as dumping areas for wastes, or cancerous mode of urbanizing and abusing land. Like his imperialisms, modern man's despoliation of the environment functions globally. Today human parasitism destroys more than the atmosphere, climate, soil, water resources, flora, and fauna of a region, since it virtually dismays all the basic cycles of nature and threatens to destroy the stability of the environment on a global scale.¹⁷⁷

On the other side, Professor Bookchin hoped that humanity would organize "one world" – already shattered by a rising tide of nationalism, racism, and an unfeeling parochialism fostering indifference to the plight of millions – in which disparate ethnic groups share their resources in order to improve life everywhere.¹⁷⁸ As for the solution in his *Ecology and Revolutionary Thought and Forms of Freedom*, he wished that we would use a mosaic of non-combustible, combustible, and nuclear fuels in some decentralized communities. We would also diversify our use of energy resources and organize them into an ecologically balanced pattern, when we could combine solar, wind, and water power in a given region to meet the domestic and industrial needs of a decentralized community with only a minimal use of harmful fuels. We would eventually sophisticate our non-combustion energy devices in order to eliminate all harmful

sources of energy. We can moreover envision young people who renew social life in the same manner as they renew our species. By leaving the city, they try to found "*the nuclear ecological communities*" to which other people repair in raising numbers. These communities mobilize large resource pools, and dispose careful ecological surveys and suggestions by the most competent people available. The modern cities begin to shrivel, contract, and disappear, as did their ancient progenitors millennia earlier. In the new, i.e. the rounded ecological communities, the assemblies find their authentic environment and true shelter.¹⁷⁹

Professor Bookchin's *Post-Scarcity Anarchism* and *Communalism* absolutely negated the centralized economy by advocating regional ecotechnology that would organize the instruments of production according to the resources of an ecosystem. The absolute negation of the marketplace would realize through communism in which cooperation and collective abundance would transform need into desire and labour into play.¹⁸⁰ In his system of *libertarian municipalism*, Professor Bookchin believed that instead of nationalizing and collectivizing lands, factories, workshops, and distribution centres, the ecological communities municipalize their economies and join with each other in integrating their resources into a regional confederal system. Lands, factories, and workshops would be controlled by the popular assemblies of free communities, not by a nation-State or by worker-producers who might very well develop a proprietary interest in them.¹⁸¹ Indeed, Professor Bookchin's *social ecology* aims at replacing "*the society of domination by an ecological society founded on unity in diversity.*"¹⁸²

It seems that Professor Bookchin did not firstly realize the problems or the paradoxes of "nuclear power", even in its so-called "ecological form" (i.e. "*the nuclear ecological communities*"). For instance, we have already seen the catastrophes of Chernobyl and Fukushima showing the extreme dangerousity of this power for nature as well as humanity. Professor Bookchin would then change his idea about nuclear power when later regarding it as "intrinsically evil", since its increase would finally turn the earth into a huge atomic bomb.¹⁸³ Moreover, the proliferation of nuclear reactors and weapons means almost the cosmic finality of our existence on this planet.¹⁸⁴ Professor Watson has accordingly argued that nuclear power is inherently a totalitarian complex, since it firstly developed as a weapon under the veil of military secrecy, and secondly coordinated with enormous corporate interests, without public debate "*before the whole society was heavily committed to it.*"¹⁸⁵

In *The Geography of Human Liberation*, Peet, Professor of human geography, put the capitalist use of natural resources in contrast to the anarcho-communist of landscape. In order to maintain the extraordinary level of commodity production, capitalism strips the earth of its resources and pollutes through creating an overconsuming society. It always owns destructive contradictions¹⁸⁶ while proposing

more dangerous “solutions” in its desperate effort to escape from its annihilation. It even uses more threatening and unproven technologies in its effort to maintain existing lifestyles, which earth resources cannot really support. In capitalism we are connected to each other and to the environment by attempting to dominate, which is certainly the crudest attempt at a relationship. Yet we are actually dependent on the natural environment to preserve our existence.¹⁸⁷

According to Professor Peet, contrary to capitalism, communist decentralization, as a technique, aims to renew direct relations with the natural environment by placing our life and production within it and making ourselves immediately dependent on it. The anarcho-communist concept of landscape is thus organized around the principle of human direct contact with the essential process of life, which means the production and reproduction of the species. The communities, ranging in size from a few people to several thousands, first produce the food and shelter necessary to maintain life, and then specialize in production, services, and research suited to the local resources, their accumulated skills or their synthesis of disposition and obligation to their fellow humans. The pattern of spatial interaction reflects a concept of social relations, i.e. intensive and frequent interaction with a sizeable group of trusted others and a wider network of less frequent contacts with diverse other groups. Contact among groups does not rely on an anonymous price system, but on negotiated agreements in the guise of festivals. In the anarcho-communist collective, every individual daily works in order to harness the renewable natural resources of her locality for keeping human life in existence. During the physical labour of food and fuel production, the individuals daily contact the natural world, their predecessors having worked the land, and the future generation which this land will back up. After all, the future decentralized landscape will must be a village and town society, principally based on regionally renewable resources and on a low-energy system run by sun, wind, water, and vegetation using a sophisticated but natural technology.¹⁸⁸

5.2.1.4.1.2.2 To the Liberation of the Environment

In his *Call of the Wild* that is a moral claim for the natural world, Professor Katz has argued that the alternation of the environment by humankind is a form of domination that we must resist.¹⁸⁹ He has thus advocated a liberating approach by avoiding the environmental policies that aim to dominate nature, and by respecting the self-determining development of nature as a subject in itself.¹⁹⁰ Professor Katz's argumentations would actually incarnate an opposition to *environmental anthropocentrism* that relies religiously on the appropriation and imperialist domination of nature by humankind, regarded as the most important component of existence, while it exclusively recognizes the interests and needs of humans to the detriment of those of non-humans.¹⁹¹

As a part of the *earth liberation movements* or *radical environmentalism*, the *anarcho-primitivists'* ideas about natural resources are important inasmuch as they offer a fundamental critique of human domination over nature, which necessarily needs State authority, while they argue that "civilization and technology" are "inherently and irredeemably destructive" because of generating and perpetuating social inequalities as well as environmental disasters (e.g., the radioactive clouds released from Chernobyl and the oil slicks polluting Puget Sound).¹⁹² By equating "civilization" with "a culture of contamination" that goes against the innocent condition of "the state of nature", they advocate that human beings should freely and equally share natural resources in harmony with the ecosystems at the local level. Zerzan, a famous anarcho-primitivist, has accordingly criticized Hobbes's famous idea about pre-civilized life by arguing that "*Prehistory is now characterized more by intelligence, egalitarianism and sharing, leisure time, a great degree of sexual equality, robustness and health, with no evidence at all of organized violence.*"¹⁹³ Professor Smith finds thus out that the anarcho-primitivists have opened certain ethical, political, and ecological possibilities for a radical environmental politics by rejecting to simply reduce nature to either human property (i.e. commodity) or resource. They have nevertheless left us with several serious questions concerning how radical ecology actually foresees the political articulation of ecology and ethics, and how it relates the critique of modern State authority, which means the Leviathan's claim to sovereignty, to that of dominating nature,¹⁹⁴ enslaving, or domesticating animals.¹⁹⁵

The problems of natural resources concern not only the problems of places, but also those of human mentality, social class, poverty, expansionism, and war as their results, as humanity experienced them throughout the so-called "Age of Discovery" or "the Age of European Dominion".¹⁹⁶ As a result, the discovery of other planets or "space colonization" – as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), among other Governmental organizations, has aimed at doing – cannot really solve inequality in access to resources.¹⁹⁷ Does this discovery mean, as Voltaire wrote, to make the earth "*the Universe's insane asylum*"?¹⁹⁸ In other words, by the conquest of other planets, humankind will transfer its problems to other places, rather than solving them, in order to make them as bloody and violent as our world is now. In short, human discovery means more mass destruction and exploitation, undoubtedly backed by authority and power in the name of God, democracy, justice, freedom, progress, or, perhaps the most important, the preservation of humankind!

Except the anarcho-primitivists, almost all anarchists, i.e. rightists as well as leftists, have unfortunately regarded natural resources as "human fiefdom", so that all nature is nothing more than human slave. This highly arrogant and dominant ideology results actually in the "theological domination" of

nature by supposedly God's superior creature. Abrahamic religions are accordingly preaching that God created humankind in his own image in order to be the sovereign and owner of all the earth:¹⁹⁹ *"Let us make mankind in our image, in our likeness, so that they may rule over the fish in the sea and the birds in the sky, over the livestock and all the wild animals, and over all the creatures that move along the ground."* (Genesis 1:26) As for the Holy Quran, *"It is Allah who made for you the grazing animals upon which you ride, and some of them you eat. And for you therein are other benefits and that you may realize upon them a need which is in your breasts; and upon them and upon ships you are carried."* (Ghafir 40:79-80) This foolishly religious and capitalist supremacy over nature has certainly recognized or facilitated *State mass violence against nature*, as already analyzed. I thus share Professor Zimmerman's statement, *"Like Paul Shepard in Nature and Madness,"²⁰⁰ Schoenichen suggests that Christianity's anti-naturalism, along with Western rationalism and materialism, combined to disclose nature as something "other" than and inferior to humankind."*²⁰¹

Nonetheless, human supremacy over nature is highly questionable for two reasons. On the one hand, this so-called "Master of the world" is the biggest and the most dangerous predator that massively hunts and exploits everything everywhere by doing all satanic acts: lie, falsification, execution, rape, torture, wage, war, starvation, pollution, and so on. The only animal that has officially recognized and justified this form of supremacy is humankind itself! Human mastery over nature does indeed require not only faith, but also the lawgiver to legalize all human polluting and destructive tendencies in the framework of urbanization, industrialization, free market, and progress. On the other hand, as long as we regard ourselves as both Master and Proprietor of the earth, we will actually remain slaves inasmuch as we have to take care of our so-called "non-humans". We are not really free when we struggle to dominate not only each other, but also all animals and plants altogether. Thus, social ecology has truly argued that the domination of nature by human is rooted in the domination of human by human, indeed, women by men, the young by their elders, one ethnic group by another, one economic class by another, a colonized people by a colonial power, the individual by bureaucracy, and, finally, the society by the State.²⁰² In other words, enslaving humanity is inseparable from enslaving nature, since all identities, i.e. humanity and wildness, belong to one reality: the Mother Earth in the cosmos. However, Sitting Bull truly challenged this concept in Western culture: *"They claim this mother of ours, the Earth, for their own use, and fence their neighbors away from her, and deface her with their buildings and their refuse."*²⁰³ Is not thus the time to recognize the environment *"in the process of asserting its autonomy vis-à-vis liberalism and socialism"*²⁰⁴ or even anarchism?

The realization of the ecological communities in the leftist side, the privatization of nature in the rightist side, and the conquest of nature in almost both sides require technological advances, of course, in their radical, moderate, and excessive aspects.

5.2.1.4.2 The Technological Problems

Technology is a very controversial means. On the one side, it ironically constitutes both the source of problem and the solution. It depends indeed on how humankind uses it according to a specific ideology. Technology actually functions not only as one part of the problems of authority, but also as one part of the solutions in a libertarian community. We shall thus take into account the environmental as well as human issues related to technology, without forgetting its benefits, such as the Internet and machines to improve existence. Even though we absolutely agree with the anarcho-primitivists about the pollution and destruction of the ecosystems caused by human beings through science and technology (e.g., war and unscrupulous industrialization), we cannot live without modernity, which means technological tools, such as medical instruments, for benefiting not only humanity but also animality. Nevertheless, we face the dilemma of Malthus when it comes to growing humanity regardless of wealth and technology, because all nations, wealthy as well as poor, deeply disrespect the environment and greedily consume natural resources by a dangerous form of technology. In reality, everybody has some basic needs and desires that only the community can satisfy by technological means, particularly food, cloth, house, healthcare, love, sex, education, and art. Such satisfaction depends mostly on more producing and more consuming, in parallel to the growth of populations. Although human beings are able to maximize goods and services, because of technological progress, the more people mean more consumption and pollution.

On the other side, Professor Jones has traced the paradox of technology in the current age of terror, because it simultaneously constitutes a potential target and a threat: a social fabric (web, network, and weave) threatened with destruction as well as a tool of destruction.²⁰⁵

Overall, where is the place of technology in our society according to the anarchists? For instance, are the primitivists right to abandon technology because of its harmful consequences upon humanity and the environment? Can anarchism handle the ecological issues relating to technology? Legal anarchism replies to these questions through three approaches based on radicality, moderation, and excessivity. *Radical anarchism*, which certain socialist anarchists back traditionally up, rejects technology, when *moderate anarchism* is more nuanced, because it does emphasize the benefic aspects of technology without denying its problematic aspects, such as pollution and economic as well as political domination. As

for *excessive anarchism*, it is a capitalist version of technology relying on both individualism and marketing. These various approaches would reveal the ontological problems of technology. We should besides keep in mind, as activist Mclsaac has stated, that the radical ecology movement is healthier when it respects “diversity combined with willingness to learn from all the different traditions” making it up.²⁰⁶

5.2.1.4.2.1 Radical Anarchism

Green anarchy has already explained the harmful effects of technology, such as militarism and capitalism. It has expressed that we are one part of nature so that we cannot destroy the ecosystems by regarding ourselves as the exclusive proprietor of the world. In this case, “*animism*”, rooted in “primitive culture”, has found its ontological value, since it refers to everything existing, and does not consequently distinguish between the animate and the inanimate, or between human and non-human.²⁰⁷ In this case, Zerzan has stated that animism extends the human awareness of living in equality and without domination to other life forms and inanimate dwellers on the planet (e.g., rocks, clouds, and rivers). Green anarchy has therefore argued that anarchy has to embrace the community of living beings, and accordingly taken a step towards re-awakening this awareness.²⁰⁸ In summary, green anarchy has defended animism, since nature is animate insofar as “*each plant supposedly has its own soul and therefore must be treated like a real person.*”²⁰⁹

According to the radical approach, some highbrows describe “megatechnic civilization” and “urban-industrialism” as the aspects of an Empire called *Megamachine*, in which the *Eco-Warriors* (somehow eco-anarchists or eco-terrorists as the Statists love to stigmatize) may find their ontology.²¹⁰ In *Against Technology*, Porcu has detected a link between technology and political and economic powers. He has argued that the need to completely destroy technology is a confusing perspective to many anarchist comrades, and a considerable number of them do not accept it. For them, it is more reasonable and realistic to destroy so-called “hard technology” (e.g., all types of nuclear armaments and asbestos), and to keep “soft technology” (e.g., electronics and information technology) that is socially useful, especially in the future. And anyone who articulates the need to totally destroy the technological apparatus produced by capitalism is rejected as an irresponsible madman wanting to return civilization to the Stone Age. On the contrary, the Governors, bosses, and their multitude of servants absolutely need existing technology, because they aim at using all the scientific instruments to preserve the State and capital as well.²¹¹

For Porcu, all technological apparatus that is currently used in social life stems from military research, when its civil use obeys this military logic far more than our immediately understanding. It is thus important to understand the unconscious mechanisms operating at mass level and permitting the power

structure to overcome people's initial rejection and obtain their full support. In other words, those in power motivate it always. On the contrary, the comrades should be always suspicious of technology, since the instruments created by scientists and used by authority do not fail to obey the logic creating them: the preservation of the status quo. As a revolutionary, Porcu has suggested a radical project aiming to destroy technology within the revolutionary process. The revolutionaries will gain an immeasurable advantage when attacking the State and capital, whereby they will realize to concede nothing to the enemy. Thus, they shall necessarily destroy the entire technological apparatus, beyond the use that any individual would make of it in the future.²¹²

Landstreicher has critically looked at technology as "the machinery of control" so that a revolutionary analysis necessarily requires including a critical assessment of technology. He has argued that the State controls many networks and institutions necessary to production and commerce, such as railway systems, highway systems, airports, ports, satellite, and fiber optic in information networks and communications that are generally State-run and subject to State control. As the necessary elements to new developments in production, technological and scientific research depends largely on the facilities of State-run universities and the military. Landstreicher has also recognized that technological advancements under capitalism aim at maintaining and increasing the control of the capitalist ruling class over our lives. Unsurprisingly, the technical advances, which were not necessarily specific responses to class struggle at the workplace, have mostly occurred in the field of military and policing techniques. For example, electronics and cybernetics have provided the State with the tools of gathering and storing information on large levels never known before, and allowed it to greatly surveil an increasingly impoverished and potentially rebellious world population.²¹³ In this case, Edward Snowden's revelations about "a global surveillance apparatus" run by several big States have recently proved the exactitude of Landstreicher's notice or warning.

Landstreicher has hence believed that the claim to the neutrality of technology has no basis, because technological development is not in a vacuum, but dependent on the social relationships of the order in which it functions. It is the product of a context, and so inevitably reflects this context. It actually develops to guarantee the reproduction of the social order: Government, private property, commodity exchange, marriage, the family, etc. As a result, Landstreicher has defined technology as an integrated system of machinery, techniques, materials, and people designed to reproduce the social relationships prolonging and advancing its existence in accordance with the needs and interests of the ruling class. Since the rise of capitalism, the colonial Empires have developed an increasingly integrated technological system allowing the maximal use of resources including labour power. The capitalists manufacture goods

as a necessary part of the process of increasing capital, creating profit, and maintaining their control over power and wealth. Thus, the factory system, as a form of technology, has developed to control the most volatile part of the production process: the worker. The Luddites accordingly attacked the machines of mass production, because they regarded industrialism as another tool of the masters for dispossessing them. As for the solution, Landstreicher has stated that as we abolish the State and capital, we need to destroy the current technological system in order to take our lives back. The course of our struggle against the world of domination will determine specific tools and techniques. However, we will have to destroy the machinery of control, precisely in order to generate possibilities for creating what we want in liberty.²¹⁴

In *Against Technology*, Zerzan has argued that technology is today claiming to offer solutions to everything in every sphere. There is hardly any problem for which it does not offer any answer. In virtually every case, it has however caused the problem in the first place when asking for a little more technology. The people, who think that technology is simply a neutral tool and purely a matter of instrumental use, believe that it is a positively neutral tool, while they avoid testing the truth claim for its positive aspect. They are nonetheless dissidents who have called technological neutrality into question. Zerzan has found out that, for instance, Horkheimer and Adorno's *Dialectic of Enlightenment* criticized what they called "instrumental reason", since neither technology nor reason is neutral.²¹⁵ Under the sign of civilization and technology, reason is basically biased toward control and distancing. Zerzan has thus concluded that technology is neither neutral, nor a discrete tool separated from its development as a part of society, nor inevitable if we do something about it in order to have a better future.²¹⁶ Zerzan has indeed implied, as Professor Smith writes, a primitivist approach arguing that human progress advertises itself as an advantageous and adventurous journey into an undetermined future that opens certain space for technological creativity. However, profit and the insatiable desires and dictates of a modern market system, entirely dependent on reducing the world to a resource, motivate primarily such progress.²¹⁷

A long time before the modern primitivists or somehow our "Neo-Luddites", the Luddites had manifested their anti-technological ideas and actions during the Industrial Revolution. In this case, Zerzan has noticed that the sentiment of resistance to the widespread hatred of authority and control generated machine-breaking and industrial arson, and soon became tactics against not only the ravages of industrialism but also industrialism itself. In the Luddite resistance to the emergence of mechanized devices between 1799 and 1803, we observe those forms of combat among the West England clothing workers and shearmen. Taken its name from Ned Ludd who was a young framework knitter in Leicestershire having an aversion to confinement and drudge work, the most sustained Luddite destruction of newly introduced

textile machinery appeared between 1811 and 1816. Zeran has also traced some famous writers and poets with Luddite tendencies, such as Horace Walpole, Mary Wollstonecraft Shelley, Percy Bysshe Shelley, and Lord Byron.²¹⁸ In the process of global industrialization, motivated by an evermounting rise in global population and warming, a deepening technological dimension becomes increasingly immersive and defining, and leads to the loss of meaning, passion, and connection. This process permanently and speedily reaches new levels. Thus, according to Zerzan, some labour economists announced *the age of total industrialization* as a new age,²¹⁹ while many have hailed new technologies as the “Second Industrial Revolution”,²²⁰ the “Third Industrial Revolution” or “Digital Revolution”, and the “Fourth Industrial Revolution”.²²¹

Marszalek has stated that the Luddites, all textile weavers, were machine breakers and followers of King Ludd, their mythic leader, in the nineteenth century in England. They struggled against the new technology (i.e. the new weaving machines), because it produced shoddy goods, offended their craft standards, and required little skill. As a result, it degraded families and villages. In order to crush their struggle down, on the one hand, the army occupied the villages when taking its toll with daily patrols to curtail and harass assemblies. On the other hand, the Government passed the Frame Breaking Act and the Malicious Damage Act of 1812 decreeing machine breaking a capital crime, followed by a campaign of yearlong ambushes, torture, and judicial execution. Marszalek has furthermore noticed that despite the bloody repression of the Luddites by the State and their rebellious history, the Luddite heritage has been unjustly interpreted, because they were by no means reactionaries opposing artlessly to technology in their supposed flight from progress and history.²²²

Marszalek has also traced the emergence of Neo-Luddism inasmuch as the Neo-Luddites have reacted “to the technological imperative that dominated the US by the middle of the 20th century: automation took off in the 1950s, displacing thousands of workers; engineering sequestered the popular imagination in the 1960s with the race against the Soviets for space exploration; and in the 1970s, petrochemical-based research seized Wall Street investors like a passion.”²²³ They have emphasized the dark side of modern technological enthusiasm: unemployment, pollution, misdirected federal funds, and the rising fear of nuclear war, which seriously threaten all contemplation of a better future. Neo-Luddism indeed incarnates modern “*fear for a technological future that some mistakenly imagined had exact historic precedents.*”²²⁴

According to Marszalek, we, like the Luddites, have become aware of an apocalyptic future of technology going out of control and badly transforming many aspects of existence, such as nano-engineering, synthetic biology, from bio-fuels to genetically modified foods, and the ecocatastrophe caused

by industrial agricultural and manufacturing. Compared to the Luddites, we are however vulnerable when it comes to overcoming our political, economic, and environmental challenges. Although they did not enjoy our sophisticated technical resources, they possessed deep bonds of trust, rooted in generations of communalism. On the contrary, we are fearful of every encounter while standing alienated, atomized, and closed down. Marszalek is nonetheless so optimistic about “a better life”, because catastrophes lead to break isolation and spontaneously generate solidarity. The pre-revolutions in North Africa and Europe as well as the Occupy Movements may hopefully temper our bleak appraisal. The “Politics of the Squares” – i.e. the instant mobilization of formerly apolitical masses, the rapidly organized support systems to maintain continuity in public spaces and squares, and the conscientious concern for effortlessly speech – is historically unique on this world scale.²²⁵

An anarchist group, calling itself “the Olga Nucleus of the Informal Anarchist Federation-International Revolutionary Front”, may provide an example of Neo-Luddism appeared in 2012. The group claimed responsibility for kneecapping and shooting Roberto Adinolfi, CEO of Italian nuclear firm, in Genoa. In a letter sent to an Italian newspaper, it wrote that the attack aimed at punishing “one of the many sorcerers of the atomic industry” who “*knows well that it is only a matter of time before a European Fukushima kills on our continent. (...) Science in centuries past promised us a golden age, but it is pushing us towards self destruction and slavery. (...) With our action we give back to you a small part of the suffering that you scientists are bringing to the world.*”²²⁶

In spite of his criticism vis-à-vis “anarcho-primitivism”,²²⁷ Professor Kaczynski has warned us against four problems of technoplogy, and therefore suggested some solutions in *Technological Slavery* and *Hit Where It Hurts*.

Firstly, technological progress is inevitably leading us to disaster, either physical disaster (some form of environmental catastrophe, for example) or human disaster by reducing the human race to a servile and degraded condition.²²⁸

Secondly, only the crash of modern technological civilization can prevent disaster, since we can neither control, nor restrain, nor guide, nor moderate the development of the techno-industrial system and its effects. In other words, the principle of “technological autonomy”, as Professor Ellul has already emphasized,²²⁹ affirms that neither the overall development of technology nor its long-term consequences for society is subject to human control. Certainly, the crash of technological civilization will itself cause disaster. The longer the techno-industrial system however continues to develop, the worse the eventual disaster will be. A lesser disaster now will prevent a greater one later. The crash of technological society

needs a type of “out-and-out revolution”.²³⁰ Professors Ellul and Kaczynski are right to be worried about the dangerousity of technological autonomy, since, for example, if technology became able to produce machine (e.g., military robot) independently, it would seriously threaten existence. Does it mean the end of human history?

Thirdly, “*the political left is technological society’s first line of defense against revolution.*” By “the political left”, Professor Kaczynski means those who think that racism, sexism, gay rights, indigenous people’s rights, neocolonialism, animal rights, the environment, poverty, sweatshops, and social justice are amid the most important problems that we currently face. On the contrary, the people extinguishing revolutionary movements are those drawn indiscriminately to those causes, and actually belong to a culture that Professor Hollander calls “the adversary culture”.²³¹ In this case, Professor Jones speaks of “counterculture”, “countercomputer”, and “technology subculture”.²³² It seems that either Professor Kaczynski is not clear about this form of culture, or my understanding of his writing is poor.

Fourthly, Professor Kaczynski has found out the necessity of a new revolutionary movement aiming to eliminate technological society and to exclude all leftists, the assorted neurotics, lazies, incompetents, charlatans, and individuals deficient in self-control being drawn to current resistance movements in America. The form of this revolutionary movement is open to discussion. For a start, those who want to address the problem of technology have to establish systematic contact with each other and a sense of common goal that is nothing less than the destruction of technological civilization. Then, they must strictly set themselves apart from the “adversary culture”.²³³

Professor Kaczynski has also advocated “direct action”. As a prisoner, he has accordingly written *Hit Where It Hurts* recognizing that if he had encouraged illegal activity, the prison would not have allowed this article to publish. For him, it is definitely essential that we attack the system not according to its own technological values, but according to values that conflict with the values of the system. As long as we attack the system in accordance with its own values, we do not hit the system where it hurts, but we allow it to reduce protest by backing off or by giving way. For example, if we attack the timber industry mainly on the basis that forests require to preserve water resources and recreational opportunities, then the system is able to give ground to defuse attack without jeopardizing its own values, because water resources and recreation are totally consistent with the system’s values. If the system draws away or limits logging in the name of recreation and water resources, it is only a tactical retreat and not a strategic defeating the system’s code of values. As a result, “*instead of trotting off to the next world trade summit to have temper*

*tantrums over globalization, radicals ought to put in some time thinking how to hit the system where it really hurts. By legal means, of course!*²³⁴ Do not eventually “legal means” endorse “the system’s values”?

Professors Thorpe and Welsh may have summarized the radically anarchist approach to technology, when arguing that the authoritarian and ecologically destructive juggernaut of State-supported big technology and science in the twentieth century has understandably caused a deep suspicion and pessimism toward technology and science among many anarchists, left libertarians, and environmentalists. The anti-civilization and primitivist anarchism of Zerzan has crystallized this reaction. These Professors have nevertheless gone further in their argumentation about primitivist anarchism. According to them, given the continuing importance of technology and science to the modern States and the “neo-liberal global knowledge economy”, a critical anarchist theory of technology and science requires overcoming the limitations within different forms of primitivism exemplified by Zerzan’s writings. Even though his criticisms of alienation in modern life and the nihilism of contemporary technological culture are penetrating, Zerzan has actually led his readers to a quasi-religious ideal of returning to a wild Eden.²³⁵ The primitivists have indeed neglected the anarchist intellectual tradition, since the ideas and the epistemic practices of contemporary social movements rely on libertarian forms of scientific knowledge and practices resonating with libertarian emphases on horizontal structures, decentralization, and diversity. This proto-anarchist politics of technology and science is necessary to rise above the limits of contemporary State-corporate science that has already reached a “plateau”²³⁶ confronting “paradigm limits”, which can be only transcended by alternative epistemic practices in accordance with the autonomous self-organization of society.²³⁷

However, in *Primitivism, Anarcho-Primitivism and Anti-Civilisationism: Criticism*, Professor Flood affirms that the central purpose of primitivism, anarcho-primitivism, and anti-civilisationism is to abolish technology. Most people are nevertheless arguing that this is a terrible idea and totally unnecessary, since abolishing technology would obviously have devastating consequences for humanity as well as the planet. For example, the 50% of the British people (97% over the age of 65) need contact lenses or glasses. Moreover, hunter-gathering is only able to feed an absolute maximum of 100,000,000 people, thereby necessitating a reduction of world population of 7,145,000,000 people. The primitivists cannot explain how this will come about. Professor Flood also finds out that primitivism mostly evades the question of what level of technology it wishes to return to by hiding behind the claim that it is not arguing for a return to anything, on the contrary it wants to go forward. Its position is actually that certain type of technology is acceptable up to the level of small village society sustained by gathering and hunting. The anarcho-

primitivists think that the problems have started with the appearance of agriculture and mass society. For the purposes of his article, Professor Flood is taking as a starting point that the type of future society, which the anarcho-primitivists advocate, would be largely similar technologically to that which already existed around 12,000 years ago, at the aurora of the agricultural revolution. He does not however claim that they try to “go back”, which is certainly impossible.²³⁸ If we “seek to go forward by getting rid of all the technology of the agricultural revolution and beyond what results will look quite like pre-agricultural society of 10,000 BC. As this is the only example we have of such a society in operation it seems reasonable to use it to evaluate the primitivist claims.”²³⁹

Professor Flood thus concludes that anarcho-primitivism is eventually a pipe dream, which proposes no way forwards in the anarchist struggle for a free society. The anarcho-primitivists end often up weakening this struggle by attacking the very things, like mass organization, which are needed to win it. If they want to change the world, they need to reconsider what they are struggling for.²⁴⁰

In *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, Professor Bookchin has reproached the deep ecologists for linking the ills of society to “civilization” rather than to “capital” and “hierarchy”, to the “megamachine” rather than to “the commodification of life”, and to shadowy “simulations” rather than to the very tangible despotism of material want and exploitation. This is like a new capitalist apology for “downsizing” in modern corporations as the product of “technological advances” rather than of the capitalist insatiable appetite for profit.²⁴¹ Professor Bookchin may have forgotten that *civilization* has hitherto either caused or seriously increased capital, hierarchy, or domination, particularly by means of *technological advances* and *megamachines*.

Those criticisms as well as polemics of the radical anarchist approach to technology have opened the door to a moderate approach.

5.2.1.4.2.2 Moderate Anarchism

Strangers In A Tangled Wilderness, unlike the anarcho-primitivists, does not reject technology, but its inappropriate use.²⁴² As Professor Flood has already affirmed, moderate anarchism claims that technology is not inherently wrong, because it merely depends on how humankind applies it. For instance, it increases freedom (from onerous work or physical disability, etc.) in a free society,²⁴³ or, as mentioned above, increases State repression when destroying existence. In other words, we could criticize the primitivists because of their disregard for technological benefits while putting exclusively pressure on the negative aspects of technology. Moreover, should legal anarchism ask that as the classical “Luddites were themselves technologists,”²⁴⁴ the Neo-Luddites, at least many of them, are not

technologists, “technocrats” (e.g., the social ecologists in Bookchin’s model),²⁴⁵ or profiteers of “high technology”?

By exploring the ambivalences and tensions in the anarchist approaches towards science,²⁴⁶ Professors Thorpe and Welsh demonstrated that classical nineteenth century anarchism had emphasized the hub of socially accountable science within libertarian thinking. Some twentieth century writers (such as Lewis Mumford, Bookchin, and Goodman) would later analyze the elements of this tradition by highlighting liberatory techniques, which ironically developed during an epoch dominated by State-sponsored big science. These Professors also argued that neo-liberal ideology has dominated the twenty-first century by transferring “near market” science to the private sector requiring the clarification of and debate on the relationship between anarchism and science.²⁴⁷ They might imply a type of Neo-Luddism or “activist technology” (i.e. *tech activist*),²⁴⁸ such as Wilson and Kleiner’s *Luddite Cybercommunism*. Kleiner has thus dreamed an independent and alive cyberspace or cyber-communism that requires undertaking numerous practicalities to freely provide and distribute platforms suited to the work of radical communities.²⁴⁹ In this case, WikiLeaks, as a controversial organization which has attracted polarised responses,²⁵⁰ could be an example of “cyber-libertarianism” or “cyber-anarchism” in a world where a new type of spontaneous organization from the Occupy Movements to the Arab Spring is emerging.²⁵¹

Under the title of *Towards a Liberatory Technology*, although Professor Bookchin has not claimed that technology is automatically liberatory or constantly beneficial to human development, he has believed that humankind is not necessarily destined to be enslaved by technology and technological modes of thought (as Juenger and Ellul had already implied in their books on the subject).²⁵² As a result, he has defended “*the ecological use of technology*” that deals with eliminating toil, material insecurity, and centralized economic control-issues. He has tried to show, on the one hand, how the new technology could be ecologically used to reawaken human sense of dependence on the environment and, on the other hand, by reintroducing the natural world into the human experience, we could contribute to the achievement of human wholeness. His approach indeed tries to find a balance between humankind and nature, without which the viability of humanity will be seriously placed in jeopardy.²⁵³ Environmentalism accordingly means the ecological project for achieving a harmonious relationship between nature and humanity as a truce, instead of a lasting equilibrium. On the contrary, ecology tries to attain the dynamic balance of nature through recognizing the interdependence of all non-living and living things.²⁵⁴ Professor Bookchin has however highlighted our very unique place in evolution that does not certainly justify dominating nature.

Such a place stems from our powers of thinking, communicating, and sweepingly altering the natural world in magnificently creative or utterly destructive ways.²⁵⁵

According to Professor Bookchin, the ecological region will organize the living social, cultural, and biotic boundaries of the community or of the several communities sharing its resources. Agriculture will be as “husbandry”, an activity as enjoyable and expressive as crafts, for this free community. The community will satisfy its requirements locally by using the region’s energy resources, minerals, timber, soil, water, animals, and plants as humanistically and rationally as possible, and without undermining ecological principles. (It seems to me that Professor Bookchin has not explained “ecological principles”.) In this regard, the community will use new techniques in accordance with a regional economy. For instance, Professor Bookchin has referred to methods for extracting trace and diluted resources from the earth, water, and air, to wind, solar, hydroelectric, and geothermal energy, to the use of heat pumps, vegetable fuels, solar ponds, thermoelectric converters, and controlled thermonuclear reactions. By its continual development, technology expands local possibilities, such as seemingly inferior and highly intractable resources made available by technological advances.²⁵⁶

For Professor Bookchin, we do not lack energy *per se*, but we are just at the beginning of learning how to use sources being available in almost limitless quantity. (However, I have previously mentioned that Proudhon’s opinion on unlimitedness of natural resources is not evident.) He has accordingly provided some types of “green energy”. For example, they estimate that the gross radiant energy arriving at the earth from the sun is 3000 times more than our annual energy consumption. As a result, technology shall overcome the problem of collecting it to satisfy a portion of human energy needs. For instance, if technology enabled us to collect solar energy for house heating, we could redirect 20% to 30% of our conventional energy resources to other purposes. In this case, we could heat houses, cook food, boil water, melt metals, and produce electricity with devices that exclusively use solar energy. We cannot nonetheless do it efficiently everywhere, since we still face a number of technical problems that only crash research programs can solve. The ocean’s tides and winds constitute other resources that can provide electric energy for us. Professor Bookchin has tried to bring the wind, the sun, and the earth back into technology and into the means of our survival as a revolutionary renewal of human ties to nature.²⁵⁷

Professor Bookchin has finally advocated a type of “technology for life” integrating one community with another. In a permanent revolution in the future, the most urgent task of technology is to produce a great amount of goods with a minimum of toil,²⁵⁸ as Godwin, Kropotkin, and Wilde had already suggested.²⁵⁹ Kropotkin, as we have seen, defended an anarchist society in which technology and science

would provide human beings with leisure to satisfy their needs as well as desires. As Leval argued, the anarchists have always taken into account technological progress in their works.²⁶⁰ Professor Bookchin has thus tried to prove that the machine will eventually absorb the toil involved in mining, smelting, transporting, and shaping raw materials, leaving the final stages of craftsmanship and artistry to the individual. Such a revolution will try to heal the fracture separating humanity from dead machines without sacrificing either humanity or machines, and to assimilate the machine to artistic craftsmanship. The future liberated humankind will enjoy a large variety of work styles based on technological innovations. Free communities will profit from certain cybernated assembly line with baskets to cart the goods home. Like the autonomic nervous system, industry will work on its own, subject to the repairs that human bodies need in occasional bouts of illness. The fracture separating humankind from machine will not however be healed, but simply ignored.²⁶¹ When it comes to citizenship in his work on municipal communities relying on a reduction of community to electronic participation, he did not really explain the rationality and politics behind the proposals to embrace such technological choices.²⁶²

Indeed, “cybernetics” has both capacities either to establish and to strengthen an authoritarian system, as Landstreicher has above mentioned, or to establish self-organization confronting power and domination.²⁶³ The second capacity, i.e. “cybernetic anarchism”, will realize, as Dolgoff emphasizes, through the *cybernetic-technical revolution* removing the well-nigh insuperable material obstacle (i.e. excessively industrial-managerial centralization as well as the scarcity of goods and services) in order to introduce anarchism.²⁶⁴ In *Modern Technology and Anarchism*, Dolgoff recognizes that the anarchist alternative is not a simple fantasy, but a mutual and decentralized model that the revolutionaries will realize when using a type of liberating technology that will be a realistic and practical alternative to the monopoly and abuse of power.²⁶⁵

Professor Watson has traced a contradiction in Professor Bookchin’s opinion, because he wavered between the poetry of the past and the future, when he finally chose a capitalist future with its augermatics, computers, and even shovels as long as human progress demands them. Professor Watson has however argued that our choice faces the legacy of modernity without forgetting renewal and redemption in a future based on social ecology.²⁶⁶ His Bookchinian social ecology would be actually balanced between “anti-Enlightenment” and “post-Enlightenment” inasmuch as “*we are condemned to be modern,*” while we should have a more holistic and organic relation to the environment. According to his deterministic approach, we must face the legacy of modernity without being able to evade our responsibility to think rationally and critically about our eco-technological crisis. Our future social ecology will thus recognize neither scientific

rationality nor technological mastery, but an *authentically* dialectical understanding reorienting existence around perennial, aboriginal, and classical manifestations of wisdom that we must still address fully.²⁶⁷ His notices about modernity and technology could be true, since all civilizations are, to some extent, technocratic, because technical experts manage them.²⁶⁸

However, Professor Bookchin himself acknowledged that we cannot return to aboriginal lifestyles, rather we achieve an ecological society in the future by our insights, knowledge, and data acquired as a result of the long history of philosophy, rationality, science, and technology, which have cleansed humanity of magic, the worship of deities, and primeval religions.²⁶⁹ He has indeed foreseen a future in which a free society will come to terms with its own material insecurities, when technology will reach a level of development rendering scarcity meaningless.²⁷⁰

As for Professors Truscello and Uri Gordon, they have found out that *“As the tipping point for runaway climate change looms, and with the sixth mass extinction event in the history of planet earth already in full swing, substantial and prolonged public revolt is replaced by resignation, techno-optimism and reactionary retrenchment.”*²⁷¹ In the context of prolonged crises, they have argued that the relationships between twenty-first century technologies and anarchist politics will permanently emerge as critical elements of theory and practice. The anarchists have to revolutionize technology even as humanity experiments with technological invention and destruction. For instance, they have emphasized the concept of “sociotechnical assemblage”, a key concept in Science and Technology Studies articulating the social as a type of associations between things that has somehow been present in anarchist thought since Proudhon. The contemporary expression of sociotechnical assemblages are essentially *“the forms of ‘slow violence’ latent within technological legacies of the petromodern state form, which have produced many of the ecological conditions in which anarchists now struggle with various forms of oppression.”*²⁷²

Professor Gordon has also found a curiously ambivalent relationship between the contemporary anarchists and technology: rejection and utilisation. On the one side, the anarchists are fighting against the introduction of new technologies, from biotechnology and nanotechnology to technologies of warfare and surveillance. On the other side, the North anarchists are extensively engaging in using information and communication technologies, and even developing their own software platforms in order to promote anti-authoritarian politics, direct action against State-sponsored repression, and different forms of hacktivism, electronic civil disobedience, and culture jamming.²⁷³ Because of this ambivalent attitude toward technology among the anarchists, Professor Truscello has developed the concept of “imperfect necessity”,²⁷⁴ as mentioned above. Professor Gordon has optimistically foreseen that the people will likely use technique

and the machines that will be appropriate on certain conditions such as sustainability, non-specialism, and a human scale of operation and maintenance, which will encourage creativity, conviviality, and cooperation at a localized level.²⁷⁵

5.2.1.4.2.3 Excessive Anarchism

If the leftist approach is radically or moderately aware of the technological problems (e.g., alienation and pollution), the rightist approach is excessive inasmuch as it hotly believes that only privatization and the free market would specify the quality and quantity of technology in a libertarian society, without really taking care of the human and ecological problems related to technological advances. If some libertarians are capitalistically so radical in the use of technology, others have moderated the libertarian ideas on this topic, balanced between capitalism and technology. However, both groups seem to be less keen on using technology as a revolutionary tool against the State, as it is the case of left anarchism.

Concerning the radical libertarian idea on technology, Professor Rothbard has acknowledged that neither humanity nor economics can tell us about the optimum size of a firm in any given industry, but the concrete technological conditions as well as the demand and supply of each situation. In order to satisfy consumer demand and opportunity costs for the various factors, entrepreneurs and factor-owners are producing when maximizing their profit or monetary income. For Professor Rothbard, technological factors in production are not in a vacuum at all, since technological knowledge shows us a whole host of alternatives. The factors of production are allocated in the service of consumers only when they maximize monetary gain. There is actually no separation of financial considerations from technological efficiency. Neither economists nor any other outside observers are able to set the technological optimum for any firm, but only the market itself can do this. Professor Rothbard has moreover argued that although higher wage rates would urge employers to invent new technological methods to make labor more efficient, the savings available limit the supply of capital goods, and a group of technological opportunities is almost always awaiting more capital anyway. He has also stated that humanity can apply technological improvement to production only via capital investment. Since a technology is not fixed for all time, we use what resources we have, and we multiply the types of usable resources as our technological knowledge grows. For example, *“if we have less timber to use than past generations, we need less too, for we have found other materials that can be used for construction or fuel.”*²⁷⁶

Professor Hoppe has likewise affirmed that changes in the technology are able to change the character of a given commodity. For example, TV that was seemingly a public good has become private

through the development of cable. Nobody can however know all of the circumstances and the changes that would affect the very structure of an industry: changes in technology, in the demands of diverse consumer groups, in the prices of diverse consumer goods affecting directly or indirectly the industry, and so on. For Professor Hoppe, the state of technology is one of factors that are important in the process of economic development.²⁷⁷

Like Professor Bookchin, Professor Rothbard has noticed that modern technology has made possible direct democracy, since each individual can easily vote on concerned issues several times per week by recording her choice on a device attached to her television set. Professor Rothbard has finally noticed that in the long run, the greatest “charity” will precisely be not what we will know by this name, rather “selfish capital investment and the search for technological innovations”.²⁷⁸

Professor Jesse Alan Gordon has spoken of “technological libertarianism” for the “Information Superhighway” and other technology policy, since technology is a type of power invested in individuals rather than in Governments. Technology also yields independence, which is the main characteristic of libertarian psychology. It is actually a powerful force for creating occupational independence, which generates independent thinking about non-occupational aspects of our life, and this is why technological labourers sustain independent lifestyles and the libertarian psychology promoted by such lifestyles. The goal of libertarian politics is primarily to decentralize power. Technology is indeed one of the most powerful forces of decentralization in human history. Technological advances lead towards individual power and go away from Government power, and this is the principal reason for technology users to support the decentralization as well as libertarian political philosophy advocating it. Technology moreover facilitates self-regulation. The technological revolution has mostly realized through self-regulation on a large scale, and computer networks have mostly realized through self-monitored rules on a small scale. Professor Gordon has finally argued, “*Technological libertarianism has been the source of the success of America’s high-tech industries. If we adhere to its underlying philosophy, technological libertarianism can be the source of the success of the Information Superhighway as well.*”²⁷⁹ In this case, we could also observe the controversial concepts of “libertarian transhumanism” and “democratic transhumanism”, connected to “techno-libertarianism” and its issues.²⁸⁰

Like Professor Gordon, Carson argues that the desktop revolution and the Internet have provided the minimum capital outlay for entering most of the entertainment and information industry as well as the marginal cost of reproducing. Combined with endless varieties of cheap software for creating and editing content, the networked environment has made possible for the amateur to produce output of a quality once

associated with giant recording companies and publishing houses. Thanks to cheap equipment and software for high quality recording and sound editing, this is also true for the music industry, desktop publishing, and to a certain extent even to film. Podcasting technology has made it possible to distribute television and radio programming, at virtually no cost, to every person with a broadband connection. A network of amateurs has already peer-produced Wikipedia, an encyclopedia that Britannica regards as a rival.²⁸¹

Carson also thinks that the elements of the nineteenth century radical tradition have survived by a variety of movements, such as Georgist, distributist, and “human scale” technology. He could share Professor Rothbard’s opinion about the beneficial effects of technological innovation on the free market, when he argues that the introducers of new production technologies could derive temporary producer surpluses, but the general spread of the new technology, motivated by such increased profits, would finally decrease the price to the level of production cost. Carson moreover affirms when a company either advances a commanding lead in some new process or technology, or obtains a large enough market share or a low enough cost of production to be immune from retribution, it can well wage a war of conquest on its industry. Industry consistently chooses technologies that not only deskill workers, but also move decision-making upward into the managerial hierarchy.²⁸²

In his analysis of Engelsian approach to production, Carson finds out that even though every increase in economic productivity creates opportunities for robbery through a Statist class system, the same productive technology is always usable in certain non-exploitative ways. A given type of class parasitism generating a certain form of productive technology does not alter the form of technology potentially having both libertarian and exploitative applications in accordance with the nature of the society that adopts it. For Carson, the nineteenth century was somehow an industrial and technical “renaissance”, constructed atop the achievements of the High Middle Ages after a prolonged hiatus. Due to the intervening centuries of war on the society, industrial technology has hitherto functioned in the society on the brutal basis of exploitation and privilege, instead of benefiting the society. On the contrary, he, as an anarchist, affirms that because economic and social relations are consistent with all levels of technology, we can achieve technical progress and integrate new technology into production in any society through voluntary cooperation and free work. In short, any type of technology is amenable to either authoritarian or libertarian applications, according to the nature of the society into which it is introduced.²⁸³ As for me, I think that some types of technology are *naturally* authoritarian or destructive, such as military and torture technologies, regardless of the society concerned.

Carson, like Landstreicher, finds out that digital media and computer technology have potentially increased surveillance to Orwellian levels. Huge computer databases, surveillance programs like Carnivore and Echelon, police experimentation with combinations of public cameras, databases of digital photos, and digital face-recognition technology have made a total surveillance State technically feasible. On the contrary, in using political pressure to defend our counter-organization from repression and pressuring the State to withdraw from our society, modern technology has provided us with exhilarating possibilities for opposing through the large and decentralized associations of affinity groups.²⁸⁴

Whatever our approach to technology is, could we share Professor Jones' statement: "*Modern technology is commonly seen as a monolithic, autonomous, and malevolent force with a life of its own*"?²⁸⁵ Shall we look independently at technology like the laws of moral right and social economy (somewhat like "the invisible hand of the market") that are, as Proudhon put, independent of human or legislative power?²⁸⁶ In other words, are we eventually slaves of technology? As far as I am concerned, I do not think like this. If we can neither destroy nor abandon technology, as the anarcho-primitivists wish, we are able to ask for which type of technology we are looking. It should be a progressive tool to ameliorate existence, without becoming itself a tool of domination and control of humanity and nature by a powerful minority, as it has become in the present. Moreover, to establish a hunting community, wished by certain anarcho-primitivists, goes certainly against animal existence that I undoubtedly defend. Indeed, technology has a very complex identity in relation to existence (e.g., the possibility of reproduction of robot by itself against humankind's interest), including the environment. Baugh has since confirmed that both ecology and technology imply diverse qualifiers, such as liberator, liberatory, democratic, ecological, and feminist²⁸⁷ (e.g., *eco-feminist*).²⁸⁸

5.2.1.5 The Problems of Money and Taxation

Besides their very close relationship with "property", the quality and quantity of money and taxation have certainly changed parallel to technological advancements and to the power and needs of the State during time and according to space. For instance, money is not only a "physical means and wealth", but also an increasingly "virtual means of payment and wealth" through the Internet, credit cards, etc.²⁸⁹ In this case, we are also observing the so-called "tax havens" or a form of State crime in which countless civil servants, politicians, lawyers, businesspeople, artists, celebrities, and their clans are actively implicated.²⁹⁰ However, the States know many things about our financial life, thanks to intelligence agencies and spying equipment, e.g., tax forms and the Social Insurance Number. Even though one of the principal problems of the current monetary and tax systems is that we are *financially naked* in front of a Big Brother watching us,

Government *fully scans* our existence, not just our fiscality, because, as mentioned above, it wants all, nothing less than all. All libertarians accordingly share the fact that the big creator and supporter of these systems is the State or the ruling class, which is harshly punishing or sacrificing those who do not respect its economic rules in its war for power and domination.²⁹¹

In this section, I should briefly analyze the libertarian ideas and models regarding money and tax. My brief analysis is not because I think that these matters are frivolous, rather they are very important in our existence, based on “money” and “tax” forced by the States, on the one hand. I shall be an economist, as my Professor Stringham is, or even a tax specialist in order to treat these technical concepts properly and deeply – which is not my case unfortunately –, on the other hand.

5.2.1.5.1 The Monetary Problems

Legal anarchism analyzes the monetary problems in two directions that can sometimes be identical or overlap each other. On the one side, they are some leftist anarchists who are standing up against the centralized money, supported by the State, which we can call “abolitionists” to some degree. These communitarians have however proposed some monetary alternatives, such as the local currencies. On the other side, they are other libertarians who are against State monetary systems when proposing more individualistic and capitalist models such as credit cards, which would not actually be much different from the current monetary systems monopolized by some corporations (principally Visa, Mastercard, and American Express).

5.2.1.5.1.1 The Leftist Approach

Professor Dodd has found out in *Nietzsche's Money*, almost everything that Professor Nietzsche said about money was negative.²⁹² For instance, in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, he vilified the “superfluous people” acquiring wealth whereby making them poorer.²⁹³ Even though he did fortunately discredit violently God as well as Christian values,²⁹⁴ hostility to money would be much deeper than Nietzschean contemplation, since Judaism and Christianity have historically regarded the love of money as a sin, because it “*is a root of all kinds of evil. Some people, eager for money, have wandered from the faith and pierced themselves with many griefs.*” (1 Timothy 6:10) Professor Schmidt has accordingly traced “the Judeo-Christian hostility to wealth”.²⁹⁵ Consequently, as Professor Graeber has affirmed in his research about debt during the five thousand years that some institutions (Mesopotamian sacred kingship, Mosaic jubilees, and *Sharia* or Canon law) historically placed some sort of controls on the catastrophic social effects of debt.²⁹⁶ In this religious sense of monetary hostility that I would like to invoke the German anarchists who have thought

that the cure for “*the usurious malady of conventional money is straightforward: let the sign mimic the object, let the money die.*”²⁹⁷ Badcock, Jr., has accordingly stated that the entire glaring inequitable distribution of wealth results mainly in our antiquated money system.²⁹⁸

Like the issues of technology and property in anarchism,²⁹⁹ the anarchists regard simultaneously money as the root of problems (more especially authority, domination, and exploitation) and the solutions (e.g., liberation). Burnett has accordingly analyzed the controversial ideas about money in anarchist thought. He has thus argued that although money is undoubtedly the root of much environmental and social evil, it is also a tool for empowerment and liberation in other contexts. For example, a *polycultural economy*, like a food growing system that has many elements (such as a diversity of fruit, nut trees, grains, and vegetables), will survive even if one element declines. An economy relying not only on cash but also on various elements (e.g., LETS, skills, barter, sharing, mutual aid, mutual credit, credit unions, cooperatives, and time dollars) will be far more resilient. In this case, community/green economics fundamentally recognizes that every individual “*has something to contribute, and is of worth within a local scale community even though they might be financially skint.*”³⁰⁰

Warren, as already observed, and Proudhon independently estimated that the value of a good depends on the hour of work done: “cost the limit of price” or the labor theories of value.³⁰¹ To these economic theories, legal anarchism can add some decentralized monetary systems such as “LETS”, “time banking”, “community currency”, and “participatory economics”, which are the opposite of the Governmental systems based on usury and corporatism.³⁰²

In *Anarchism* published by the Encyclopedia Britannica, Kropotkin analyzed Proudhon’s “*Banque du People*” and “*mutuellisme*” that aimed at confronting usury, authority, and domination. Kropotkin explained, Proudhon had stated that “*property is theft*” because of its sense of “right of use and abuse”, ensured by Roman law. On the contrary, in property-rights and the limited sense of possession he had seen the best protection against the intrusions of the State. At the same time, he had not wanted to violently dispossess the present owners of dwelling-houses, land, mines, factories, and so on. He had thus preferred to achieve the same end by rendering the capitalists incapable of earning interest, when he had proposed a national bank, based on the mutual confidence of all producers who would exchange among themselves their products at cost-value, by means of labour cheques representing the hours of labour needed to produce a given commodity. Under such a system that Proudhon called “*mutuellisme*”, all the exchanges of services would strictly be equivalent. Furthermore, this Bank of Exchange would lend money without interest, and levy only something like 1%, or even less, for covering the cost of its administration. Everyone would be

thus able to borrow the money for buying a house, without paying anything more than a yearly rent for the use of it. Kropotkin finally confirmed that Proudhon had rendered a general “social liquidation” easy, without violent expropriation. We could apply the same principle to factories, mines, railways, and so on.³⁰³ In the “*Cincinnati Time Store*” established in 1827, Warren formerly put into practice Proudhon’s Bank of Exchange on a small scale, since they called him “the American Proudhon”.³⁰⁴

Even Marxism has not excluded the socialist State’s possibility of compensating the capitalists for the means of production – which has not obviously happened, to my knowledge, in any so-called Socialist State according to this Marxist karma –, because it is a peaceful method for subordinating and introducing them to socially useful labour, contrary to the bloody and forcible expropriation of the people by capitalism.³⁰⁵ Could this compensation enable them to obtain or to keep again some types of power (especially in the political apparatus) in a so-called socialist State or society?

Valovoi and Lapshina furthermore criticized Proudhon because of underestimating the role of production and overestimating that of circulation. He had simply believed that the indigence of the workers did not result in the employers’ exploitation, but in unjust and unequal exchange causing poverty. They argued that Proudhon had thought that the pauperism, oppression, luxury, vice, crime, and hunger would simply disappear, if exchange could be just, i.e. without money and without profit.³⁰⁶

Proudhon also believed the abolition of money, because he was interested in the equilibration of demand and supply, while Marx elaborated “directly social labor” throughout his criticism of Proudhon’s belief.³⁰⁷ Proudhon and John Gray indeed suggested that every commodity should be directly social and exchangeable with every other commodity in the same manner that money is. Proudhon and other “time-chitters”, as Marx called them in the *Grundrisse*, argued that the mediation of money stood in the way (e.g., LETS and Ithaca Dollars or Ithaca Hours),³⁰⁸ which means “time chits” as “labour money”. For Marx, we cannot abolish money without abolishing the commodity-form, since they are three contradictions in the commodity: the contradiction in the commodity between “use-value” and “value”, the contradiction between “concrete labour”, which produces use-value, and “abstract labour”, which produces value, and, finally, the contradiction between “private labour” (the individual labour) and “directly social labour” (the social labour).³⁰⁹ According to the Marxist approach, we should investigate the development of “the fetishism of value” or “commodity fetishism”, because money relies on such fetishism.³¹⁰

As Professor Dodd has stated, monetary abolitionism is however deeper than Marxism, because it comes back to Plato and continues from Proudhon to modern anarchism.³¹¹ For example, Kropotkinian communist community gives up all idea of wages, either in money or in labor notes, when it seizes upon all

social wealth and announces that everybody has a right to this wealth.³¹² On the contrary, Malatesta has argued that money is not only a powerful means of oppression and exploitation, but also the only means (apart from the most idyllic accord or the most tyrannical dictatorship) that human intelligence uses to regulate production and distribution automatically. For the moment, rather than concerning ourselves with the abolition of money, we should seek a manner that money represents truly the useful work performed by its possessors.³¹³ The anarcho-collectivists indeed demand the end of private ownership of the means of production as a key, while the anarcho-communists demand the abolition of money as a principle.³¹⁴

I could summarize the leftist anarchist ideas on money through Professor Käkälä-Puumala's article. She has stated that one of the principal features within the anarchist movement is historically to disavow authority, particularly the State. One logical and practical consequence of this disavowal is an attempt to abolish money. In this case, some attempts have been made either to abolish money (e.g., the libertarian communes during the Spanish Civil War) or to create the currencies of use-value (i.e. "labour time exchanged for labour time").³¹⁵ She has thought that we thus observe both the idea of community life without State administration and the abolition of money.³¹⁶

If the leftist anarchists are usually hostile toward money, especially in its Governmental or centralized form, the libertarians are more sympathetic to certain monetary systems.³¹⁷

5.2.1.5.1.2 The Rightist Approach

In *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, Professor Rothbard has explained how the State that systematically takes money over ends up in the monetary breakdown of the West, and how a free market could oppositely manage money.³¹⁸

On the one side, Professor Rothbard has acknowledged that by dictating over money, the State controls the economy and secures a stepping-stone for full socialism. Its meddling with money has caused tyranny and disorder in the world, because it has fragmented the productive and peaceful world market by shattering it into a thousand pieces, with trade and investment hampered and hobbled by myriad controls, restrictions, currency breakdowns, artificial rates, etc. It has also generated wars by changing a world of peaceful intercourse into a jungle of warring currency blocs. In summary, State coercion in money and other matters brings only chaos and conflict, such as the world monetary crisis of 1973, followed by the dollar plunge.³¹⁹ Professor Rothbard could not live longer to see the current financial meltdown and, possibly, "next financial crash" as another example of Government meddling with money, although some scholars see it as a problem of "economic deregulation" rather than State intervention.³²⁰ Professors Bagus

and Howden have accordingly found out that banking clients may ask for State intervention against their banks during times of crisis, while the State does not resist using the FRFB system's powers to create money for its own benefit.³²¹

On the other side, Professor Rothbard has believed that contrary to public opinion, a free market in money could not be chaotic, but a model of order and efficiency.³²² He has argued, in *For a New Liberty: The Libertarian Manifesto*, that when a society or a country adopts a certain commodity as money, its unit of weight becomes then the unit of currency in everyday life. We say that such a country is on that particular commodity "standard". Because markets have universally realized that gold or silver are the best standards regardless of their availability, the natural course of these economies is on the gold or silver standard. In this case, market forces supply gold through the technological conditions of supply, the prices of other commodities, and so on.³²³ As for the future, he has advocated a return to the classical gold standard at a realistic gold price and a drastic alteration of the American and world monetary systems. In other words, we should return to the free market commodity money (such as gold), and totally remove the State from the monetary scene.³²⁴

Like Professor Rothbard, Professor Stringham has accepted gold as a standard for marketing.³²⁵ Professors Stringham and Zywicki have argued in *Hayekian Anarchism*, although Professor Hayek was not apparently an anarchist, understanding the internal logic of his economic and legal systems prove the opposite. For example, he left his earlier belief in the Government control of the monetary system while advocating a system of competing currencies that he called the "denationalization of money".³²⁶ They have also stated that because of his frustration with the instability and unworkability of monopoly provision of money by the State, Professor Hayek argued that the State "has the defects of all monopolies: one must use their product even if it is unsatisfactory, and, above all, it prevents the discovery of better methods of satisfying a need for which a monopolist has no incentive."³²⁷ They have suggested that due to his acceptance of competing currency usage, over time Professor Hayek increasingly recognized that institutions such as money could endogenously emerge through spontaneous order and competition, and be more sensitive to individual preferences and needs than Government-created institutions. Then, he went to apply his logic regarding "the defects of all monopolies" by writing about "the denationalization of law".³²⁸ In this case, Professor Zywicki has defended the credit card market, because it is competitive and, consequently, profitable, contrary to nationalized law.³²⁹

At the beginning of *Monopoly and Competition in Money*, Professor Edwards asks "what would happen if private institutions were allowed to produce and market their own currencies in their own denominations on

a competitive basis with freely fluctuating exchange rates?"³³⁰ Like Professor Rothbard, he thinks about gold as an alternative for State money. Roman monetary historically constituted, he argues, a dismal history of continual monetary debasement (usually by literal addition of base metal, until copper formerly washed over gold and silver coins), which culminated in the price controls by Diocletian in A.D. 301. Debasement extended until Roman money totally stopped circulating at the end of the fourth century, occurred with the dissolution of other Roman institutions. However, we observe the circulation of foreign gold and silver coins at market-determined exchange rates throughout much of early American history. As a result, a free and competitive monetary system is able to provide a quantity of money closer to the optimum and to have a more stable real value than that any Government can supply.³³¹

In *Unanswered Quibbles with Fractional Reserve Free Banking*, Professors Bagus and Howden have recently argued, "*Money is created and flows to asset price markets, the values of these ever more marketable assets tend to increase. This phenomenon may also reduce precautionary reserve demand as credit expansion progresses.*"³³² They have also emphasized that the market process itself is perfectly able to satisfy an increased demand to hold real cash balances, and to do so more directly than a FRFB. While the price system attains this in a full reserve banking system, the FRFB system will create new money to respond to an increased demand for money.³³³

On the one hand, we do not really know for how long we must continue to endure the monetary gangs of Governments and corporations destroying existence so permanently as well as decidedly. The multiplication of financial crisis of capitalism, supported or manipulated by certain centralized Governments (e.g., the current global financial crisis and the Great Depression of the 1930s-1940s), should hence lead us to think seriously about the local and cooperative monetary systems, based on respecting nature and humanity, instead of usury and brutal competition, mostly orchestrated by the centralized banks. In this case, the communitarians and the anarchists have formerly provided us with some principles and models relying on real democracy and cooperation.

On the other hand, the creation of money has led, as some leftist anarchists emphasize, to many evils, because it perfectly enables an individual, group, community, nation, or State to buy and to sell anything: respect, sex, love, education, friendship, academic degree, scholarship, professorship, deanship, judgeship, justice, connection, networking, governance, etc. In short, money is God, God is money, and we are still its slaves. Would anarcho-capitalism fit this form of slavery?

5.2.1.5.2 The Taxing Problems

It seems that, on the one side, the demarcation line between leftist and rightist anarchism is not clear when it comes to taxation. On the other side, the anarchists have not sufficiently discussed the issues of taxation in their models, when they agree with the fact that State taxation is theft, and “*Nothing is as permanent as death and taxes.*”³³⁴ For example, how does a free society cover its cost management? Does it do by *voluntary taxation*? How does this work? Legal anarchism analyzes some elements of answer, albeit its analysis does not seem to be persuasive!

There exists, here once again, the sacredness of the State, since we trace the footstep of religion in taxation. In this case, Professor Marshall has found out that “*Render unto Caesar*” was related to taxes, because Caesar was the master of money by creating it, and Jesus probably implied that a Christian could not serve God and Mammon at the same time.³³⁵ Proudhon has formerly noticed that since the law “*is sacred,*” one of Hebrew commands would apply to tax: “*Thou shalt pay thy taxes faithfully.*”³³⁶ He has also believed that the idea of tax is actually rooted in redemption, since by Moses’ law, each first-born supposedly belonged to Jehovah, and ought to be redeemed by an offering.³³⁷ Biehl has accordingly argued that white Christian males are supposedly exempt from federal income tax, presumably because a later Constitutional amendment created the Internal Revenue Service.³³⁸ The Church historically collected tax with the same cruelty as the State. Nonetheless, many people nowadays go to the Church each Sunday and pay their taxes to it. In Germany, the State takes this tax and gives it to the main Christian Churches.³³⁹

Proudhon has also recognized that voting upon laws as well as consent to taxes by the nation’s representatives take actually part in the democratic principles.³⁴⁰ For him, to be governed, among other controls and restrictions, means to be taxed so that the anarchist Revolution shall abolish the tax on land, only by applying the idea of contract on a large scale.³⁴¹ In order to secure the large funds required for his scheme of the People’s Bank in 1848, this apparently “tax abolitionist” suggested an income tax going as high as 33⅓% on some incomes and even reaching 50% on others!³⁴² Lu has hence found out Proudhonian paradoxes or hesitations about the problems of tax. Proudhon did not ask for abolishing taxation in 1861, as he had asked in 1849, but just its reformation. In 1865, he finally concluded that humanity would proceed only by equalizing taxation and property, among other factors.³⁴³

The differences between “Government theft” and “ordinary theft” are principally the matters of “legality” and “organization” inasmuch as the former is *legal* and much more *systematic* than the latter.³⁴⁴ Professor Ivanova finds out that Wray and Mehrling, Professors of economics, have presented two different

ideas about the relationship between the State and taxation under the angle of colonialism. For the first economist, a hypothetical colonial Governor levies taxes *“to monetize a primitive economy,”* while the second regards this situation remarkably looks little like the USA that has been historically characterized by a relatively weak Government and a strong private economy.³⁴⁵ Furthermore, Professors Wigger and Buch-Hansen have argued that neo-liberalism is generally associated with the rollback of welfare States, wage repression, deregulation, reduced taxes, fiscal austerity, processes of financialization, and a monetarist focus on keeping inflation low. Under neo-liberalism, corporate tax rate also decreases considerably with the effect that the State levies taxes and redistributes less surplus value.³⁴⁶

Professor Rothbard has stated that “taxation” is a type of coercion or a compulsory seizure of the property of the State’s inhabitants or subjects, even though we often know it as “tribute” in less regularized epochs. It is purely and simply theft on a colossal scale that no acknowledged criminal could match.³⁴⁷ He has argued that the State, unlike all social institutions, seizes its revenue by the invasion of property or a system of unilateral coercion called “taxation”, not by exchanges freely contracted. He has truly noticed that the ordinary people must pay tax, while the rulers and politicians benefit from taxation. Regardless of legal forms, the bureaucrats do not pay taxes, but they only consume taxes. As a result, Professor Rothbard has found out, a market society is entirely incompatible with the existence of a State, which presumably defends property and person by subsisting itself on the unilateral coercion against private property known as taxation. This society would arrange defense against violence through the freely private and competitive organizations, like any other service. It does not also impose any type of income tax.³⁴⁸

Professor Rothbard has finally rejected a common complaint about the free market because of accepting poverty, leaving “people free to starve”, and ignoring the fact that *“it is far better to be “kindhearted” and give “charity” free rein by taxing the rest of the populace in order to subsidize the poor and the substandard.”*³⁴⁹ He has argued that firstly, the “freedom-to-starve” argument obscures the “war against nature” by the problem of freedom from other individuals’ interference. The individuals are always “free to starve” unless they pursue their conquest of nature. (I should again mention that such a bellicose ideology about nature unfortunately exists among many leftists as well.) “Freedom” accordingly means the absence of molestation by others, since it is simply an interpersonal problem. Secondly, it could be clear that free capitalism and voluntary exchange have enormously improved living standards. (Could I here ask these standards have really happened equally to all people around the world without any State intervention and violence particularly after the Industrial Revolution?) Thirdly, “charity” hardly makes sense by force and taxation, which are indeed its opposite, because it is only a voluntary act of grace. Compulsory confiscation is only

impairing charitable desires completely, since the wealthier people contest that there is no point in giving to charity when the State has formerly taken on the task.³⁵⁰

As I far as I am concerned, I may understand his “war against poor” under the guise of “the war against nature”, because he, maybe like many other anarcho-capitalists, belonged to the middle class with its mental and material superiorities, so rarely touched by the poverty of existence or nature.

Similar to Professor Rothbard, Benjamin Tucker had previously argued that because the State must coexist with taxation, no State will exist when we abolish compulsory taxation. Consequently, the defensive institutions that will appear regularly try to be deterred from becoming an invasive institution by fearing that the voluntary contributions will fall off. He had also stated that the anarchists want to abandon “the last citadel of invasion” by replacing compulsory taxation with voluntary.³⁵¹ He had furthermore believed, for every dollar that tax-dodgers in an anarchist society would enjoy, those who possess the earnings of others, through commercial, industrial, and financial privileges granted by authority in violation of the free market, are currently enjoying a thousand dollars.³⁵²

Indeed, all anarchists, Professor Marshall has argued, are looking forward to a non-violent and peaceful society in which there is no Government claiming the monopoly of violence, with its taxation as physical aggression, its conscription as slavery, its wars as mass murder, and its soldiers as assassins.³⁵³ For instance, in *No Treason*, Spooner analyzed the contractual theory of the State through the US Constitution and found no evidence of any contract to set up a Government, and it was thus absurd to look at the practice of paying taxes or voting as an evidence of tacit consent.³⁵⁴ Professor Marshall has also estimated that the anarchists want to help directly the disadvantaged by participating in community organizations or by voluntary acts of giving, instead of paying taxes to the State deciding who is in need.³⁵⁵ For instance, although Professor Ward criticized State welfare in Britain, he defended “the principle of self-taxation”. By this principle, the free societies fed their mutual funds, which “*contrasts unfavourably with the much larger levies drawn from the income of twentieth and twenty-first-century workers by the state, in order to support its own institutions and other non-welfare activities over which the contributors have no control.*”³⁵⁶

The anarchists do not actually disfavor tax law in principle, as long as it is voluntary and benefits everyone equally. Otherwise it is nothing more than robbery, and we should thus treat the tax collector as nothing less than a robber.³⁵⁷ In *Civil Disobedience*, Thoreau regards the tax-gatherer as a Government agent, against him/her we shall resist. If a thousand people do not pay their tax-bills every year, which is a non-violent measure, the State cannot commit violence and shed blood. Thoreau however recognizes the problem of this peaceful measure inasmuch as the State soon takes and wastes all their property, and

harasses them and their children without end, as it has done against Thoreau himself. On the contrary, he does not decline paying tax, if it benefits the society (e.g., the highway tax and school tax).³⁵⁸ Benjamin Tucker would later suggest the similar opinion by expecting that the organization of a determined body of women and men will effectively and passively resist compulsory taxation in order to cripple their oppressors.³⁵⁹ He would not however reject “voluntary taxation” in a free association, because it relies on contract generating the duty of the members to pay taxes.³⁶⁰

For instance, in its pamphlet *Beating the Poll Tax*, the Anarchist Communist Federation aimed to strengthen organized resistance to the poll tax. It was a non-payment campaign providing poll tax opposition for the working class in Scotland, and inspiring thousands with the confidence to break the law and to take on the local and national Governments, which looked to be in the same way in England and Wales.³⁶¹ As for the USA, Professors Thornton and Weise find out that Professor Beito has done a great service for American history and the scholarship of liberty because of rediscovering the Great Depression-era tax resistance movement, even though it declined as speedily as it began.³⁶² Tax resistance also exists on an international scale, as Professor Carter has advocated, in contrast to a world citizenship within an international sphere dominated by *realpolitik*. The non-payment of taxes and the refusal of military service should be a type of active anarchist resistance in this case.³⁶³

If all anarchists do not certainly have the same ideas on usury and taxation, they would agree with Stirner and Benjamin Tucker saying that the State maintains itself by two invasive monopolies: the power to issue money and the power to tax. Through these monopolies, the State negates the liberty of individuals in their individual, domestic, social, industrial, and commercial lives.³⁶⁴ The anarchists should therefore attack the legal power of privileged capital to increase without work and its monopoly of issuing money, which is its chief bulwark.³⁶⁵ In addition, if all anarchists agree with the fact that “compulsory taxation” is a business of Government, strengthened by corruption and other bloody businesses of Government (especially militarism), “voluntary taxation” is acceptable in a free society as long as it contributes to the benefits of the individual (particularly in individualist and capitalist anarchism) and the community as well.

For example, Maximoff, a famous anarcho-syndicalist, has coped with the question of taxation in a free society emerging from the social revolution and the abolishment of all State organizations. This society will not need any taxation, because all means of production, transport, products of labour, and labour itself will constitute the wealth of society as a whole. However, in the process of construction and during the “transition period” in which the communist economy exists side by side with the individualist units, the society will must tax the latter according to a certain portion of their income for the sake of equality among

all people. The society would use these contributions to maintain and organize general education, transport, highways, postal services, telephone, radio, medical and sanitary services, army, and all public services. The General Confederation of Labour will determine the amount of taxes that the Bank of Cash-and-Goods Credit will take through the cooperative associations uniting the individualist units.³⁶⁶

5.2.2 The Principles

"You will never be free until you free yourself from the prison of your own false thoughts."

Philip Arnold

In order to realize an anarchist society, the libertarians have proposed some principles. In this case, Kakol has presented ten principles that overlap each other and match much more socialist anarchism than capitalist one. These analytical and practical principles are: 1) *Equal access to political decision-making*; 2) *Equal access to society's common wealth*; 3) *Libertarian socialism as the fourth alternative* to authoritarian socialism, authoritarian capitalism, and libertarian capitalism; 4) *Libertarian socialism based on voluntary association*; 5) *Social Individualism* based on recognizing human beings as "social animals" needing to cooperate, and on fully developing individuality and freedom depending on equality and cooperation; 6) *Self-Government and federation* or direct democracy in all institutions, including corporations (workplace democracy) and federation from the bottom-up; 7) *Skeptical attitude* or a healthy skepticism, tolerance, and the relativity of all ideas; 8) *Following the natural law within* by abolishing all human-devised laws, which are artificial and externally-imposed hindrances, and by recognizing the power of reason, conscience, truth, and compassion; 9) *Pacifism as means to end* through non-violent direct action (civil disobedience); 10) *Plant the seeds now* that means instead of reforming the system from within or of violently revolutionizing to change the system from without, we should firstly sow the seeds of an ideal society and then wait for them to grow.³⁶⁷

As far as legal anarchism is concerned, it summarizes those key concepts in six principles: *endless alternatives*, *agreement and contract*, *federative decentralization and autonomy*, *NAP*, *GP*, and *free love*. These principles have a tight relationship with each other, since anarchism means to put into practice infinitely the libertarian models founded on free contracts in the federatively autonomous communities, in which nobody has the right to agress humanity as well as non-humanity, and everybody has the right to love and be loved freely. I therefore think that any missing principle in this case would call into question the significance of anarchism itself. Strangers In A Tangled Wilderness has accordingly simplified those

principles: an ecologically-focused green anarchism relying on mutual aid, free association, and self-determination,³⁶⁸ to which legal anarchism would add peace and love.

On the one hand, the GP defends the idea of respecting non-humanity, which Kakol has apparently forgotten, because, as mentioned at the beginning of the thesis, “existence” contains humanity and nature as a whole, regardless of the form of society and its governance. On the other hand, the principle of “following the natural law within” might have omitted that this law should contain also non-humanity, even though both non-human and human do prove that the laws of nature are inherently cruel or highly wild. For example, the world relies on the “predator-prey relationships”, including humanity in which the “Governors-governed relationships” are a classic example of endless violence and exploitation. If we are supposedly *humans* to act *humanly* according to so-called “*reason*” and “*compassion*”, we are permanently doing unlimited evil acts vis-à-vis each other and the ecosystems as well. For instance, most of us are eating and exploiting animals without any thinking, regret, or compassion. Even our so-called “vegetarianism” relies on eating and exploiting some living things that we actually deny to have any right to exist by regarding our diabolic existence as the best and the most advanced one in a world in which we are supposedly its master and proprietor together. As a result, the environment would have only one option to be freer and happier: disappearing humanity off the face of the earth, because our existence is *naturally* a diabolic threat to all non-humanity. In this case, the application of “the GP” is as dilemmatic as existence itself.

They remain two primary notices about those principles. First, we have formerly observed some of their characteristics, especially throughout the anarchists’ criticisms of the legal system. Second, I will also analyze them more throughout the anarchist alternatives or models on the national as well as international scales.

5.2.2.1 The Principle of Endless Alternatives

In this case, *Strangers In a Tangled Wilderness* has argued that the tactics and strategies are plural in a socialist and anti-capitalist society, such as mutualism and communism. As far as the “legal system” is concerned, it suggests “transformative justice” to which many anarchist works refer. This form of justice functions when it is not possible to repair the harm done by a perpetrator, the anarchist community can help him to take personal responsibility for his action and consequently to prevent him from recommitting such an action in the future. The anarchist community, like any other, indeed defends itself from those who do not or cannot take responsibility for their actions, in the framework of self-defense done in the name of protection rather than “revenge” or “punishment”. *Strangers In a Tangled Wilderness* has also

acknowledged that like many of anarchist ideas and methods, transformative justice is developed and practiced by not only the anarchists themselves, but also a wide range of other marginalized groups. If the anarchists do not certainly live in an anarchist society free from the influence of the culture of domination surrounding them, their thoughts about a world without law are rationally hypothetical. They merit the right to condemn barbarities, such as the culture of prison and police, without having an obligation to provide and implement fully-developed alternatives.³⁶⁹

It eventually seems that anarchist and collective publisher has almost no alternative to the State or capitalism, except preaching some sort of plural or general strategies like “revolution”, “insurrections”, “syndicalism”, and “dual power”, which means to build up “counter-infrastructure” along anarchist lines to satisfy the needs and desires of people, when simultaneously attacking the mainstream institutions destroying the world. Furthermore, it recognizes that anarchism is not a membership club, rather certain anarchist groups, all over the world, working on the problems that could interest us, from ecology to social justice, and we can join many of these groups, or at least take part in their actions. We can also do it ourselves. We can accordingly find a like-minded group of people to join. For example, we can organize the gardeners in our neighbourhood to share freely produce, act against a multinational corporation, such as Walmart, moving into our town, squat a building, steal electricity to throw shows, fundraise for anarchist prisoners, attack symbols of power, spread information, or act in the ways we feel compelled to act.³⁷⁰

As far as I am concerned, I have tried many times to communicate with some so-called anarchist groups, especially academic ones, but I have finally given up because of their lack of answer! However, Professors Stringham and Martel are exceptional in this case because of answering me back. Contrary to Strangers In a Tangled Wilderness’ preach, the anarchists are arbitrarily accepting those who fit better their hidden agenda and hierarchy. Moreover, I have to satisfy certain of my needs or desires from some multinational corporations, including Walmart, not because of my ignorance of their problems, but that of my poverty that does not actually allow me to shop with more money elsewhere, as the anarchists are wishing and preaching.

It seems that the anarchist models are associated with utopianism and anarchism without adjectives as well, since, as Iverson put, libertarianism is a sort of analysis that the libertarians have adopted through certain slogans such as “anarchism without ends”, “permanent protest”, and “pessimistic anarchists” to describe their ideas and positions.³⁷¹ In this case, Godesky’s opinion about diversity is significant, because anarchism recognizes the plurality of ideas and attitudes. According to him, evolution results in diversity which is the primary good, since a single individual, on her own, has really no chance of surviving. In other

words, evolution inevitably occurs as the consequence of a diverse world.³⁷² Anarchism could not actually be static; it would otherwise be doomed to fail. However, does diversity eventually conceal inequality and segregation when scaring us out of totalitarianism?

The evolution of anarchist alternatives depends on anarchist tolerance and various strategies: violent and non-violent actions. Professor McElroy has thus given the examples of street fighting, armed resistance, and sabotage as the first category. As the second category, she has explained that the anarchists endorse certain pacific strategies against State authority: education (e.g., establishing free schools, printing books, and translating foreign documents), creating the parallel institutions (e.g., popular bank and private defense agency), passive resistance to unjust laws (e.g., refusing to pay a poll tax), civil disobedience, strikes, and boycott.³⁷³ In this case, an anarchist alternative could rely on one or all of these initiatives. As Price puts, the anarchist approach is *firm in principles* and *flexible in tactics*.³⁷⁴ The efficiency of the anarchist strategies is however limited to State violence, on the one hand, and to the quantity and quality of those who practice them, on the other hand.

In this sense, Goodman's *Reflections on the Anarchist Principle* has some significance. Due to various historical conditions presenting various threats to this principle, the anarchists have taken different strategies in different places: agrarian, free-city and guild-oriented, technological, anti-technological, communist, affirming property, individualist, collective, speaking of liberty as almost an absolute good, or relying on custom and nature. In other words, the anarchist principle is *relative* according to time and space. As a result, the history of anarchism is "*a continual coping with the next situation, and a vigilance to make sure that past freedoms are not lost and do not turn into the opposite, as free enterprise turned into wage-slavery and monopoly capitalism, or the independent judiciary turned into a monopoly of courts, cops, and lawyers, or free education turned into School Systems.*"³⁷⁵ For instance, "social ecology" is seeking for participatory liberty, unity in diversity, ever-increasing differentiation, mutuality, and community.³⁷⁶

The anarchist alternatives should prove that the libertarian ideas are by no means simply abstract or theoretical concepts, but practical also. Legal anarchism accordingly supposes that there is *the principle of endless alternatives* insofar as the unlimited quantity and quality of powers and authorities in space and time, as analyzed above, require diverse alternatives to the centralized and authoritarian systems. Like the anarchist ideas, the libertarian alternatives are open-extended or plural, and, consequently, they flexibly apply to different situations during different times. They depend indeed on the participation of all individuals and groups concerned, which are not necessarily homogeneous identities sharing the same values. In this sense, Professor Taylor argues that community is clearly "*an open-textured concept*", since "*there is not and*

there cannot be an exhaustive specification of the conditions for the correct use of the concept, a set of criteria of tests which are both necessary and sufficient for something to be deemed a community."³⁷⁷ In this sense, restorative justice, unlike Government-based justice, allows all members of community to participate in healing a harm-done on a partnership basis.³⁷⁸

Nonetheless, the success of a libertarian model would mostly rely on the similarities between the participants when it comes to values and economic conditions as well. Professors Sullivan and Tifft do not thus forget the importance of the political-economic elements of restorative justice while emphasizing the creation of "needs-based communities" that "*are sustainable and cost-effective,*" and "*take into account the needs of all.*"³⁷⁹ Accordingly, the socialist alternatives would function better than the capitalist ones, because they provide, at least theoretically, for everyone the equal condition of living and achieving.

However, the libertarians do not usually present any detailed program about the legal system, because they think that we can neither impose over the future generations our system, nor foresee all problems that will later occur in human societies.³⁸⁰ In other words, because there is no widespread agreement among the anarchists about the best form of social organization, it is up to the individuals to spread the anarchist ideas and to implement them as best they can do among themselves.³⁸¹ As a result, the quantity and quality of contract and agreement in the libertarian communities are ambiguous, in comparison to the current communities in which the States are doggedly regularizing, controlling, and sanctioning all existential things, dead or alive, by endless statute law, ordonnance, case law, customary law, and so on.

For example, Professor Block has argued that although we are not able to depict "*all the details of the future from our present vantage point,*" we do understand that there must be a better way.³⁸² Many anarchists have notwithstanding provided some detailed programs when it comes to managing property.³⁸³ The lack of detailed program would actually reveal the incoherency of ends and means in anarchist thought, as mentioned by Professor Heywood. He has explained, first, they often consider that the anarchist goal, i.e. the overthrow of the State and all types of political authority, is merely unrealistic. Since the evidence of modern history of the world shows that social and economic development goes usually hand in hand with a growth in the role of the State, rather than its disappearance or diminution. Second, in opposing Government and all forms of political authority, the anarchists have abandoned the conventional means of political influence, i.e. forming political parties, standing for elections, seeking public office, and so on. They have been therefore forced to depend on less orthodox methods, often relied on a faith in mass spontaneity rather than political organization. Third, anarchism is not a single and coherent set of political ideas, or a

single movement. Albeit all anarchists oppose State institutions and other forms of coercive authority, they come to a conclusion from very different philosophical perspectives, and, often fundamentally, disagree about the nature of an anarchist community.³⁸⁴ Legal anarchism can thus speak again about the unlimited nature of anarchist alternatives, since nature and humanity are not fixed identities, and human beings, as Dolgoff and Woodcock emphasized, will forever compromise on the day-to-day situation.³⁸⁵

5.2.2.2 The Principle of Agreement and Contract

If socialist anarchism depends on agreement and contract, individualist and capitalist anarchism presents a Godlike sense of contract. If State legal system or somehow the social contract relies on the wild force of a bunch of legalist professionals as well as various agencies and sanctions, the anarchist approach to contract looks “too rosy”, since it still remains questionable when it comes to, especially, responding to its applicability, the right to secession, and non-contracting parties. In the individualistic or marketist approach, an individual would only assume her contractual obligation as long as her interests are guaranteed.

5.2.2.2.1 The Foundation of Anarchism

First, I shall emphasize that legal anarchism presents a large sense of the principle of contract that respects not only individuality, but also sociality as the foundation of anarchism. This principle means a voluntary agreement by two or more parties in any form such as written or oral, direct democracy, and equal access to all economic, social, cultural, and political decision-making, which could hardly make sense in the anarcho-capitalists’ models based on capital and private decision. Second, all anarchist schools, regardless of their structural differences, rely on consent among the individuals, groups, or communities, albeit the forms of consent would vary from one community to another one. Third, it seems that Proudhon did eternalize and propagate the concepts of “contract” and “agreement” in anarchism, through his tireless fight and controversially enormous writings for justice and liberty. All anarchists would hence share what Proudhon did hotly defend during his revolutionary and conservative life: *mutuality in federation*.

Proudhon used *contractualism* to describe the measured liberty of the individual from social constraint or Rousseau’s Social Contract that he profoundly disgusted.³⁸⁶ It means that “*each individual in each of his social relationships consciously cedes away fewer powers than he retains, and thus remains the residuary legatee of his own fortunes. The freedom of the group from social constraint was only incidental to Proudhon, although he wisely foresaw the necessity of increased group activity of all sorts if the predominant activity of the government were to be checked.*”³⁸⁷ In this case, Professor Prichard has found out that Proudhon was

seeking for “a political-economic mutualist contract” in the frame of “federalism”, as opposition to “a legal fiction” and an alternative to divine right, paternal authority, or social necessity, which try to explain the origins of the State and its relations with the individual. Professor Prichard has also argued that Proudhon’s mutualist contract forms the basis of a *synallagmatic* or *commutative* conception of justice by recognizing asymmetric abilities and powers between the individuals, groups, and societies, in contrast to traditional distributive or legal conceptions of justice.³⁸⁸

Law Professor Eltzbacher has analyzed contract in Proudhon’s teaching substituting “*the régime of laws*” with “*the régime of contracts*”, which would constitute the true sovereignty of the people or the Republic. For Proudhon, men are kept together in the society only by the legally binding force of contract, and not by any supreme authority.³⁸⁹ When an individual bargains for any object with one or more of her fellow-citizens, her will alone is her law, and she is her Government in fulfilling her obligation (“*autogestion*”). She could then make that contract with some or with all. All could renew the contract with each other. Every group of citizens, commune, canton, department, company, corporation, etc., considered as a moral person formed by the contract, could then treat with others, always on the same terms; it would be exactly as her will was repeated *ad infinitum*. Such a law made on all points concerning the Republic, on the various motions of million people, would be her law, and, if we could call Government this new order of things, this Government would be hers.³⁹⁰

In *Anarchist Communism: Its Basis and Principles*, Kropotkin has judiciously highlighted a striking contrast in capitalism and anarchist communism, according to a Marxist approach,³⁹¹ as far as agreement and contract are concerned. On the one side, “social contract” has described the origins of Government and its metaphysical conceptions. Government is relatively a modern institution, and its powers have precisely grown in proportion to the growth of the division of society into the privileged and unprivileged classes. Albeit its exploitative forms have changed, its essence has stayed the same: the protection of the capitalist class. In consequence of this monstrous organization, a workman’s son finds neither machine to set in motion nor land to till, unless he accepts to sell his labour for a sum less than its real value. He is actually compelled to agree the feudal conditions that we call “free contract”, because he will find better conditions nowhere. He has to accept either bargaining or starvation, since somebody has formerly appropriated everything. When selling his labour to an employer, a worker perfectly knows that the employer will unjustly take some part of the value of his produce. When selling his labour without even the slightest guarantee of being employed for several months, it is sadly a mockery to call it a “free contract”. On the other side, Kropotkin’s communist society recognizes that the free workers would enjoy a free

organization founded on free agreement and cooperation, without sacrificing the autonomy of the individual by the all-pervading interference of the State, since the no-capitalist system requires the no-Government system.³⁹²

The principle of agreement and contract is by no means absolute. Tucker thus emphasizes that there is historically and universally the right to secession, such as the establishment of the USA in 1776 and the end of the Soviet Union in 1991.³⁹³ We have witnessed the “Scottish independence referendum” inspiring others secessionists or separatists like the Catalan people.³⁹⁴ “*The right to leave a contractual society*” without coercion, recognized for example by Proudhon and Bakunin as a “political right”, shall indeed prevent the conflict or war caused by secession.³⁹⁵ For Dolgoff, the inalienable right to secede balances punishment for violation of agreements. The individuals who have nothing or little in common with the collectivity will not undermine the association by seceding, but will exclude a source of friction, by which promoting general harmony.³⁹⁶ In the theory of “*competitive federalism*”, which actually follows Professor Tiebout’s federalist analysis and relies on extending the principles of the free market to the political organization, Professor Buchanan has acknowledged the rights of individuals to entry into and to exit from production and exchange relationships.³⁹⁷ Professor Mises has accordingly recognized the right of individual secession taking part in the right of self-determination.³⁹⁸

However, can “the right to secession” guarantee the life and function of a contractual society? How would the conditions of the contract (purpose, obligation, application, etc.) be if a contractor wants to leave the community without fulfilling his obligations? Would his abandon be contrary to the “NAP” – because his action would hurt the interests of other contractors and the community as well – and therefore unethical or non-libertarian? How would the situation of “non-contracting party” be? By whom and how the community could solve these issues?

When it comes to the efficiency of statute law, certain anarchists have argued that if written contracts could prevent fraud, we would not need “fine print” or a legal profession. The utmost important thing in a free society is that its members show real fairness and compassion in their dealings with others. It would be also impossible to compel the individuals to participate in any anarchist project, since anarchism depends on voluntary cooperation and self-discipline to make it function. Once a large number of people agree with these cooperation and self-discipline, they will organize them in a way that no tyrant is able to stop them. Living together in peaceful cooperation is indeed a powerful form of protest against the State and police.³⁹⁹

As far as Benjamin Tucker is concerned, he has acknowledged that we have to respect the legal norm stemmed from contract. We must assume the obligations that we have understood and accepted

“consciously and voluntarily”. Tucker is however sure that the obligatory force of contract is by no means without bounds. Albeit contract is the most important and a very serviceable tool, its usefulness embraces its limits, since nobody can employ it in order to abdicate his individuality. Therefore, *“the constituting of an association in which each member waives the right of secession would be a mere form.”*⁴⁰⁰ Moreover, nobody can employ it in order to invade third parties, and the fulfilment of a promise to invade third parties would not thus be valid. Tucker has nonetheless deemed the keeping of promises is a very important matter so that only in the extremest cases would he admit their violation. He has also argued, *“The man who has received a promise is defrauded by its non-fulfilment, invaded, deprived of a portion of his liberty against his will. I have no doubt of the right of any man to whom, for a consideration, a promise has been made, to insist, even by force, upon the fulfilment of that promise, provided the promise be not one whose fulfilment would invade third parties.”*⁴⁰¹

As for the “non-contracting party”, Tucker has advocated that a voluntary association is entitled to enforce whatever regulations the contracting parties may agree upon within the limits of its territory, and no non-contracting party has a right to enter or remain in its territory, except upon such terms as the association may impose. However, if an individual, who has formerly lived somewhere within its territory, declines to join the association, the contracting parties have no right to evict her, to compel her to join, to make her pay for any incidental benefits that she may derive from proximity to their association, or to restrict her in the exercise of any previously-enjoyed right and its benefits.⁴⁰²

Finally, consensus decision-making is important in anarchist contract. In this case, legal anarchism invokes the work of Butler and Rothstein about this form of direct democracy that they call “formal consensus” requiring a commitment to cooperation, disciplined listening and speaking, and respect for the contributions of every member having the responsibility to actively participate as a creative individual within the structure. Avoidance, denial, and repression of conflict are common during meetings, and using formal consensus could not therefore be easy at first. Unresolved conflict from previous experiences might come rushing forth and make the process difficult, if not impossible. Discipline and practice will however smooth the process. The individual’s participation and cooperation constitutes a precious struggle, since it may ensure that all individuals are heard. The characteristics of formal consensus are: the least violent and the most democratic decision-making process, the principles of the group, the desirability of larger groups in which more people participate, no inherently time-consuming, and no secret disruption. The principles of the group mean that every individual has to consent to a decision before its adoption, and a valid objection consists in keeping with all precedent decisions of the group and relied on the commonly-held principles adopted by the group.⁴⁰³

5.2.2.2 The Godlike Contract

In *The Ego and Its Own*, Stirner affirms a Godlike sense of contract according to which the “ego” has an almighty power to do whatever it pleases. He argues, when he joins a society, it indeed takes from him many freedoms, it affords him other freedoms in return, and it does not matter if he himself deprives himself of this and that liberty (e.g., by any contract). He makes a difference when a society limits his freedom and when he himself limits his ownness. He calls the latter a coalition, an agreement, a union, and regards any threat to his ownness, as *a power of itself, a power above him*, a thing unattainable by him. Albeit he can admire, adore, reverence, or respect it, he cannot subdue and consume it, and he *is therefore resigned*. He regards his *resignation, self-renunciation, and spiritlessness as humility*. In contrast to the society, Stirner advises “the union of egoists”, by which he uses another individual, doubtless understands, and makes him at one with her. He thus strengthens *his power* by the agreement, and by this combined force he achieves more than any individual force. He sees only a multiplication of his force in this combination, and he retains it only as long as it is his multiplied force. In summary, he comes into the agreement as long as it only benefits him and his *selfishness*.⁴⁰⁴ According to this egoistic view, an individual can break a contract whenever and whatever she pleases.

Law Professor Eltzbacher has analyzed the Stirnerite approach to contract. First, he has acknowledged that Stirner did not call “anarchism” his teaching about the State, law, and property, rather he preferred to use the epithet “anarchic” to designate political liberalism that he combated. For Stirner, his supreme law was his own welfare while seeing himself as “unique” without any duty. There is no promise in the “the union of egoists” or “self-owners” in Stirner’s model. If he was bound today and hereafter to his will of yesterday, his will would be dull. He must not remain a fool in all his life, because he was a fool yesterday. Due to the fact that the union is simply his own creation, this creature is neither sacred nor a spiritual power above his spirit. The self-owners are held together in the union by the advantage that each individual owns from the union at every moment. Contrary to sacredness of the society, the union relies on ownness and use.⁴⁰⁵ Although Stirner hotly criticized “God”, “Government”, and “society” altogether, his model, exclusively based on the “ego” and its interest, would ironically look as sacred as any God or any State society. In this case, Marx and Engels might be right, to some degree, to call Stirner “Saint Max” (*Sankt Max*).⁴⁰⁶

The Stirnerite ideas would be also observable throughout the capitalist approach to contract, since the libertarians regard the individual as “self-owner”⁴⁰⁷ or “contracting animal”, abandoned to her own ego and interest by making money: no contract, no honey! For example, Professor Rothbard has said that

according to the basic axiom of libertarian political theory, “every man is a selfowner” who has “absolute jurisdiction over his own body,” which indeed means nobody may aggress or invade another person.⁴⁰⁸ Other libertarians had formerly spoken of “the sovereignty of the individual”.⁴⁰⁹ In this case, Professor Freeman truly finds out, in *Illiberal Libertarians: Why Libertarianism Is Not a Liberal View*, that libertarian self-ownership has ultimately reduced human beings to the same normative relationship as they stand to their rights in things: property rights. He thus argues that libertarianism is eventually alienative, since it does not speak so much about freedom as it does about protecting and enforcing the absolute rights of property and contract. The libertarians indeed define liberties by referring to absolute property in individuals and things as well. Therefore, those who have these rights are not ethically important, “so long as their holdings come about by observing libertarian transfer procedures and side-constraints.”⁴¹⁰

Contract has actually found a Godlike place among the anarchists since Proudhon. For example, Benjamin Tucker accepts that “contracts do not necessarily benefit each party” (such as the contracts of paying interest), while he, like Hugo Bilgram, refuses to prohibit these contracts, because he believes that every man is free to make an absurd deal or to make a self-destructive and foolish decision.⁴¹¹ He does not however rely on Stirner’s argument of “might is right,”⁴¹² since this right only exists before contract that voluntarily suspends it. For Tucker, we should anarchistically call the power guaranteed by such suspension “the right of contract”. These two rights, i.e. the right of might and that of contract, constitute the only rights that have ever existed, and the “so-called moral rights” have never therefore existed.⁴¹³ In this case, Tucker does also accept that the anarchistic associations acknowledge the right of secession, and “they may utilize the ballot, if they see fit to do so.”⁴¹⁴

According to such a purely materialistic approach, it would not be surprising that the individualist anarchists have looked at children as “non-contracting individuals”.⁴¹⁵ Among them, Tucker has gone too further in his capitalistic approach to childhood by regarding children as “a form of property” of the parents or the community that have “an expiration date”, which means the moment they will be able to contract and, consequently, to become owners in their own rights.⁴¹⁶ We find his mercantilist position in his editorial “*L’Enfant Terrible*”:

*“I can see no clearer property title in the world than that of the mother to the fruit of her womb, unless she has otherwise disposed of it by contract. . . . The change, then, which my opinion has undergone consists simply in the substitution of certainty for doubt as to the non-invasive character of parental cruelty – a substitution which involves the conclusion that parental cruelty is not to be prohibited, since third parties have not to consider the danger of disaster to organisms [childred] that are outside the limits of social protection.”*⁴¹⁷

According to this egoistically anarchist approach, children metamorphose from “*owned-property*” into “*property-owners*” when they become able to join contracts for the mutual defense of property, liberty, and life. Such an approach sparked a firestorm of paradoxes in Tucker’s *Liberty*.⁴¹⁸ For instance, although Joseph Greevz Fisher opposed Tucker’s conception of “children as chattels”, he possessed his own legal jargon about the ownership of child: *a trustee*.⁴¹⁹ Such a materialistic look at childhood would later appear in the new generation of libertarians. For example, Professor Block has recently argued that there is no parental responsibility for children. In his libertarian system, parents have only negative obligations: “*to refrain from initiatory violence*” against their children.⁴²⁰ He has actually followed the path of Professor Rothbard advocating “the baby market” and recognizing “parent-child relations as a class struggle” in which “*the child must always have, regardless of age, the absolute freedom to run away, to get out from under,*” “*he then becomes a self-owner whenever he chooses to exercise his right to run-away freedom.*”⁴²¹

Nonetheless, the problems related to childhood are far from limited only to rightist anarchism, since, for example, there is a type of “*anarchist pedophilia*” among certain so-called leftist and mystic libertarians and their gurus, such as Foucault, Bey, and Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit (already known as *Dany le Rouge*).⁴²² This would remind us of Khomeini’s Islamic teaching: “*A man can have sexual pleasure from a child as young as a baby. However, he should not penetrate. If he penetrates and the child is harmed then he should be responsible for her subsistence all her life.*”⁴²³

Self-ownership and individual sovereignty have other questionable effects, since the market forces are inhumane, immoral, or as cold as iron. For example, the libertarians have really no problem to accept that an individual sells her body, regardless of the fact that she does it mostly because of her poverty in our unequal society, in the name of “right to sell oneself” opposing a “victimless crime” in a State society.⁴²⁴ They simply consider prostitution as a “commodity”, based on “self-sale contract” or a “free choice”, whereby we are selling our services (i.e. sexuality) for an anticipated monetary gain, which is the highest definition of anarcho-capitalist “empowerment”. The ability to sell ourself to whomever we want is the anarcho-capitalist ideal of liberty.⁴²⁵ The anarcho-capitalists would thus defend no legal restrictions on prostitutes, including the lawyers. Certainly, the “questions of prostitution” in left anarchism need another essay.⁴²⁶ In this case, I can only share Goldman’s opinion on the cause of prostitution lying in the system of the State, religion, and inequality of private property, in the system of legalized robbery, murder, and violation of the helpless children and innocent women.⁴²⁷ It means that the respect of dignity and equality of women, children, all other human beings require to see them as “being” and not “commodity”.

Finally, when it comes to analyzing critically the place of contract in anarchism, Professor Holterman has stated that by couching their denial of the law in some absolute terms, the libertarians have laid themselves open to the most absurd critiques. For example, a writer considered the great importance of the anarchists to observe “contractual obligations” is a denial of their theory about individual liberty.⁴²⁸ Professor Holterman has also added that the anarchists have refuted this critique by arguing that the duty to observe these obligations have nothing to do with the law, which is certainly rubbish. He has accordingly asked, why do not we acknowledge the law as a societal concept rather a Governmental one? Why do not we try to prove that the legal order in anarchism is an attempt to guarantee maximum individual liberty and that the observance of contracts in this order is indeed fundamental?⁴²⁹

5.2.2.3 The Principle of Decentralization and Autonomy through Federation

Albeit all anarchist schools share the principle of *decentralization* and *autonomy*, the anarcho-capitalists do not care so much about realizing this principle through *federation*, which usually symbolizes “federal Government” for them.⁴³⁰ Professor Stromberg has however asked whether the people, who could live in an ordered “anarchy” or a federal republic as decentralized as a “near-anarchy”, would keep themselves, their society, properties, and territories safe from internal and external threat. When it comes to “republican reservations”, he has argued, “*where confederate republicanism and “anarchist liberalism” overlap, we find ourselves admiring militias – though we ask that they be voluntary rather than conscripted.*”⁴³¹ As mentioned above, Professor Hoppe has accordingly spoken about “federal streets”, resulted in the privatization of streets in the natural environment. The “relationships” among the anarcho-capitalist communities would thus remain ambiguous, since federative relationships are suspect in anarcho-capitalism, except in some cases such as competitive federalism: “*chacun pour soi, et Dieu pour tous.*” It may be also comprehensive that at the end of his life, Proudhon really defended a “State version” of the *Federal Principle*, or “*the finished model in which, after numerous trials and errors, there comes to rest his anarchistic conception of political society.*”⁴³² Instead of the State, he indeed advocated a social life based on the legal norm that contracts create. He called this form of life firstly “anarchy” and then “federation”.⁴³³

Since the beginning of this thesis, I have highlighted the importance of decentralization and autonomy in anarchism as well as legal anarchism. Law Professor Eltzbacher has hence stated that anarchism tries to replace the State with a federation, which means ordering all public affairs through free contracts among spontaneously or federalistically instituted communes and societies. In this case, the teachings of Godwin, Proudhon, Stirner, Tolstoy, Bakunin, Kropotkin, Benjamin Tucker, and other

anarchists only foresee a fellowship of contract. In other words, Professor Eltzbacher has found out that the anarchist alternatives are either spontanistic (i.e. a social life based on “a non-juridical controlling principle” according to Godwin, Stirner, and Tolstoy), or federalistic (i.e. a social life based on “the legal norm” stemming from contracts according to Proudhon, Bakunin, Kropotkin, and Tucker).⁴³⁴

Related obviously to the problems of organization, as already analyzed, the principle of decentralization and autonomy through federation means that the libertarian communities do not admit any type of centralized authority or power exercised by a person or a group, and keep their autonomy and freedom vis-à-vis each other on the communal, regional, national, and international scales. It would therefore prevent dictatorship and exploitation. For *An Anarchist FAQ*, Proudhon implies a form of anarchism needing federalism, as the natural complement to self-management, in order to coordinate joint interests, while Bakunin advocates a type of anarchist organization founded upon federalism or confederation and direct democracy or self-management.⁴³⁵ However, Professor Bookchin has largely defined decentralization inasmuch as this term contains not only geographic, territorial, and political values, but also spiritual and cultural values linking the re-empowerment of the community with the re-empowerment of the individual.⁴³⁶ He has indeed suggested participatory democracy based on “*the creative role of dissent*”. It needs federalism ensuring that, on the one hand, “*common interests are discussed and joint activity organised in a way which reflects the wishes of all those affected by them,*” and, on the other hand, “*decisions flow from the bottom up rather than being imposed from the top down by a few rulers.*”⁴³⁷

Speaking about “the bottom” and “the top” could imply that there still exists authority to impose itself in the framework of “*federalism based on mandates and elections*” by which the anarchists try to ensure decision-making from the down.⁴³⁸ In other words, there may be a type of “*decentralized*” and “*federative authority*” in the anarchist community. Such an authority would be more terrible than a centralized one, because it imposes itself closely to its subjects. Let legal anarchism shortly explain this form of authority throughout some anarchist examples.

Professor Clark has argued that albeit the voluntary and federate groups, which would replace the nation-States, are practically possible, “*there is no dogmatic demand that all vestiges of Government, even in a decentralized form, be immediately destroyed.*”⁴³⁹ Professor De George has hence believed that there is no claim that anarchism negates all authority, and there is no need for “unanimous agreement” about what an anarchist group decides. He has eventually concluded that “*If anarchist principles provide the basis for something like government, it is the basis for a minimal government, closely controlled from below and responsive to those below; it is anti-bureaucratic, and dedicated to lower autonomous units doing as much as possible before any*

task is taken on by units above."⁴⁴⁰ In the same direction, McIntosh has mystically argued that anarchism opposes neither the State itself, as a community possessing the authority to govern, nor the coercive enforcement of Governmental decisions, but the State apparatus in its most basic feature of the Governmental process (the making, execution, and enforcement of Governmental decisions) to specific people!⁴⁴¹

It would not thus be surprising that Falk, an iconic law Professor with certain leftist anarchist tendency toward a "World Order", has hotly suggested a minimal State by implying the Spencerian philosophy propagating that the duty of the State is to protect its citizens against each other and to enforce contract.⁴⁴² He has understood that *"the basic anarchist impulse is toward something positive, namely, toward a minimalist governing structure in a setting that encourages the full realization of human potentialities for cooperation and happiness. (...) Furthermore, the anarchist demand is not directed at eliminating all forms of authority in human existence, but at their destructive embodiment in exploitative institutions associated with the modern bureaucratic state. Contrary to general impressions, nothing in anarchist thought precludes a minimum institutional presence at all levels of social organization, provided only that this presence emanates from populist rather than elitist impulses, and that its structure is deliberately designed fully to protect the liberty of all participants, starting with and centering on the individual."*⁴⁴³

For *An Anarchist FAQ*, liberty requires a type of decentralized social environment relying on the workers' direct management in accordance with social anarchism. Anarchism therefore signifies spontaneity, diversity, free development, and decentralization reflecting ecological ideas and concerns. The anarchists do not indeed oppose self-Government through the confederations of free, voluntary, non-hierarchical, and decentralized grassroots and organizations as long as these confederations function in accordance with direct democracy putting power into the hands of the people, instead of delegating power to "representatives". They think that only a rational decentralization of power, both structurally and territorially, can foster and encourage individual liberty, since delegating power into the hands of a minority is obviously a denial of individual dignity and liberty. This leftist approach rejects both capitalist and individualist anarchism in favour of communalizing and decentralizing production by freely associated and cooperative labour on a large scale, rather than only in the workplace. In this case, Kropotkin advocates an anarchist society relying on a confederation of communities that would integrate brain and manual work, while it would decentralize and integrate agriculture and industry.⁴⁴⁴

As for the criminal justice, law Professor Danzig has suggested that decentralization may improve the operation of the police, the courts, and the prisons through bringing home their responsibilities to the community and responsabilizing the community for them. He has concluded that since overcentralization

and overcriminalization are related, externalities, due process considerations, danger, the need for professional training and dispassionate commitment make the community responding to “true crime” (i.e. crime with victims that generates a passion for retribution and a need for the incarceration of the “criminal”), a poor subject for community controlled non-centralization.⁴⁴⁵ Professors Elliot and Williams III have likewise found out that “*a decentralized criminal justice system is more congruent with the assumptions underlying the exchange perspective.*”⁴⁴⁶ Other Professors have recently emphasized that “the voluntary and community sector in the management of offenders” would open “a new phase of decentralization of criminal justice” in Britain.⁴⁴⁷

In summary, anarchism advocates a form of decentralized federalism, today called “horizontalism”, which is one of its great strengths.⁴⁴⁸ Curious George Brigade has truly argued that decentralization constitutes not only the foundation of autonomy being the hallmark of freedom, but also that of trust. To have genuine liberty, we must allow others to work according to their desires and skills when we similarly do. We can neither take their power, nor try to force them to accept our agenda. Our successes in our local communities and in the streets almost always stem from groups working together, because they come from genuine mutual aid and solidarity, and not from coercion.⁴⁴⁹

Finally, I think that to live as an “absolute autonomous community”, as apparently implied by many anarchists, may not actually be possible, because we live in a “*global village*”⁴⁵⁰ in which the existential issues do not recognize any territorial limit technologically and humanly: poverty, exploitation, child labour, prostitution, cultural genocide, human and animal traffic, pollution, and so on. We cannot therefore live without thinking about and acting for others. In this sense, cooperation and federalism would find their significance.

*“Human beings are members of a whole,
In creation of one essence and soul.
If one member is afflicted with pain,
Other members uneasy will remain.
If you have no sympathy for human pain,
The name of human you cannot retain.”*

Saadi (1210-1290)

5.2.2.4 The Principle of Non-Aggression

The NAP, the zero “*aggression principle*” (ZAP), or the “*non-aggression maxim*” (NAM) is important not only on the individual and communal scales, but also on the national, regional, and international scales,

since it prevents, even at least theoretically, an anarchist community from becoming aggressive through colonialism or imperialism. Although libertarianism has mostly developed the NAP, all anarchist schools could share this principle, while they differ as far as its violation by an individual, a group, or a nation is concerned. In other words, they may not agree with each other about the responses to this violation: punishment, exclusion, resocialization, compensation, etc. As we will later see, the anarchist models or alternatives propose various responses in this regard.

In this case, aggression is a large concept containing physical, psychological, social, cultural, legal, political, economic, territorial, or geographical violence. The *principle of non-aggression against person, group, community, and property regardless of gender and age* is indeed the foundation of an anarchist society, which means quite the opposite of the State society based on aggression at both national and international levels. The State is undoubtedly the most aggressive institution, since “*no society could wage an aggressive war against people whom there is no good reason to hate.*”⁴⁵¹ For instance, Herod’s *Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods* contains a “non-aggression pact” whereby the participants “*agree never to organize a military force to invade other neighborhoods.*”⁴⁵²

Anarcho-capitalism has developed the NAP around property rights that, in turn, have caused some critiques and challenges.

5.2.2.4.1 The Anarcho-Capitalist Approach

In this approach, it should be rational to see that the anarcho-capitalists have particularly emphasized “property rights” by which they define the NAP. For instance, Kinsella has argued that the NAP depends on these rights inasmuch as the free market and capitalism overlap each other, and property rights define justice, individual rights, and aggression together.⁴⁵³ Professor Block has even legitimized the owner’s “deadly force” to protect his property rights without being guilty of the violation of any law, because libertarianism justifies the use of violence or aggression relying on property rights and not on morality.⁴⁵⁴ The anarcho-capitalists believe that the concept of freedom means “*freedom from*” initiating force, or the non-aggression against person and property.⁴⁵⁵ In *Market Chosen Law*, Professor Stringham has accordingly stated that property owners would be able to contract a legal system defining what exactly constitutes an aggression of property.⁴⁵⁶ As for Professor Long, he believes that self-ownership could recognize the use of force in self-defense against others’ aggression. If an individual owns herself, she surely has the legitimately enforceable claim or the right to prevent others from subjecting her forcibly to their uses.⁴⁵⁷

In *The Ethics of Liberty*, Professor Rothbard would reject mother's love and morality together. In his libertarian society, a mother absolutely has the right to her own body and to abortion as well as the trustee-ownership of her children, an ownership limited only by the illegality of aggressing against their persons and by recognizing their absolute right to leave home at any time. Parents would be however able to sell their trustee-rights in children to anybody wanting to buy them at any mutually agreed price.⁴⁵⁸ In *For a New Liberty*, Professor Rothbard has analyzed "*the non-aggression axiom*" meaning that neither individual nor group has any right to aggress against any person or his property. Professor Rothbard has defined "aggression" as the threat or use of physical violence against the person or his property. It is thus synonymous with "invasion". Besides, "civil liberties" result in this axiom, because nobody has any right to aggress another person, and everyone absolutely has the right to be free from aggression. There are freedoms to speak, publish, assemble, and engage in "victimless crimes" (such as pornography, sexual deviation, and prostitution), which the libertarians do not regard as "crimes" at all, since they define a "crime" as a violent invasion of a person or property. The libertarians furthermore regard conscription as slavery on a massive scale, war as mass slaughter, and taxation as robbery, which are both immoral and illegal. In contrast to all other thinkers, left, right, or in-between, they refuse to give Government the moral sanction to commit any action that almost everybody agrees to be immoral and criminal if committed by any person or group.⁴⁵⁹

Professor Hoppe has acknowledged, on the one hand, socialism is an institutionalized aggression against or interference with private property, on the other hand, capitalism relies on private property, contractual exchanges between private property owners, and the NAP. Like Kinsella, Professor Hoppe has defined socialism, capitalism, aggression, and contract in terms of property: *socialism* is an institutionalized policy of aggression against property, *capitalism* is an institutionalized policy of the recognition of property and contractualism, *aggression* is aggressing property, *contract* is a nonaggressive relationship between property owners. According to the natural position concerning property, aggression means an action of uninvitedly invading or changing the physical integrity of an individual's body and putting her body to a use against her own liking. Such an action always and necessarily requires the aggressor to increase his satisfaction at the expense of decreasing the satisfaction of another individual.⁴⁶⁰ I have several questions in this case. What is the situation of "somasochist activities"? Can we regard them as some aspects of "free love"? Shall a libertarian society tolerate or permit them? Shall it also tolerate the sacrifice of an animal or a human being who is consent? I do not think so, because these activities are against the respect of human and animal dignity and integrity. However, Professor Hoppe may have implied that they could be

possible for a person who satisfies his sadistic or sexual desires by beating or raping another person who is explicitly consent.⁴⁶¹ There obviously remains the question to know whether a “sexual action” constitutes “rape” when there is “consent”.

Professor Hoppe has also analyzed the NAP throughout religious mythology. Before leaving the Garden of Eden, *“a look at the consequences of the introduction of elements of aggressively founded ownership into paradise should be taken, as this will help elucidate, purely and simply, the central economic and social problem of every type of real socialism, i.e., of socialism in a world of all-around scarcity.”*⁴⁶² When it comes to the law, Professor Hoppe has believed that a norm has to be logically compatible with the NAP in order to be justified itself, and, *mutatis mutandis*, an incompatible norm with this principle is invalid. Finally, certain private protection agencies would own arms, used by the trained individuals, to protect the people from aggression in a free market society.⁴⁶³

Professor Chartier would summarize the NAP affirming moral agents should not either damage the bodies or impede the just possessory interests of others, and requiring “the principles of practical reasonableness” (such as fairness and peace).⁴⁶⁴ The NAP does also specify “gender equality” and “the right” of “children” and all “human beings” to be fairly treated without exploitation and violence. It has not nevertheless been safe from some criticisms and challenges.

5.2.2.4.2 The Critiques and Challenges

According to *An Anarchist FAQ*, “the extremes of laissez-faire capitalism” have become “anti-revolutionary” or “reactionary” through sacralizing the non-aggression of private property. For example, Professor Nozick has argued if one privatizes a town whose acquisition has not violated the Lockean principle of non-aggression, the persons moving or remaining there *“would have no right to a say in how the town was run, unless it was granted to them by the decision procedures for the town which the owner had established.”*⁴⁶⁵ Anarcho-capitalism would have accordingly regarded the Industrial Workers of the World as “criminal aggressors” so that *“the owners of the streets have refused to allow “subversives” to use them to argue their case.”*⁴⁶⁶ After all, the workers did break their contracts and did thus become “criminal aggressors” against the companies, while the local law enforcement agencies did turn a blind-eye to the torture and murder of union members and strikers. In short, the anarcho-capitalists imply the term *“legal possibility”*, which suggests a system of laws determining what is “coercive aggression” and what is “legitimate property”.⁴⁶⁷

An Anarchist FAQ has also found out that right-libertarian and anarcho-capitalist ideology consider freedom as a product of property. In a libertarian society, nobody can legitimately do anything with

another's property if the owner forbids it, which means that only the amount of property determines individual freedom.⁴⁶⁸ For instance, albeit Locke recognizes, in the *Second Treatise of Government*, the right of man in his own person, he justifies slavery in terms of captivation during "a just war" or a war against aggressors.⁴⁶⁹

Professor Chartier has however defended a left libertarian ideology or a freed-market that opposes not only interference with market freedom by politicians and business leaders, but also their social dominance (aggressive and otherwise). It indeed opposes "capitalism", emphasizes that the proponents of liberty object to non-aggressive as well as aggressive restraints on liberty, and affirms that the advocates of liberty are not confused with the people using market rhetoric in order to prop up an unjust the status quo. It also expresses solidarity among the defenders of freed-markets, workers, and ordinary people around the world that call "capitalism" as a short-hand label for the world-system constraining their freedom and stunting their lives. Freed-market advocates shall embrace "anti-capitalism" in order to encapsulate and underscore their full-blown commitment to liberty and their rejection of alternatives talking liberty to conceal acquiescence in deprivation, subordination, and exclusion.⁴⁷⁰

As legal anarchism is concerned, we should apply the NAP to non-human existences as well, because they have both senses of happiness and suffering so that they have the right to live peacefully as we do. In fact, hunting, killing, exploiting, or abusing them (in the laboratories, for example) is as terrible as aggressing a human being. An act of aggression against them violates their right to happiness by imposing suffering over them. However, there is a question to know whether some animals, including humankind, that hunt others constitute an act of aggression *per se*. Can this unfortunately be a natural law because they cannot live without cannibalism? Moreover, if we reject violence against human existence, we cannot accept, *a fortiori*, violence against nature in a Stateless society. However, if certain theories (i.e. "aggression genetics studies") have already defended that we are *genetically violent*,⁴⁷¹ how does the NAP really function in such a society?

As "a whole principle", the NAP actually constitutes a group of rights and liberties that individuals, groups, organizations, communities, nations, animals, and plants enjoy. For example, they are right to life, right to property coming from work, gift, or will, right not to be subject to cruel and humiliating treatment and torture, freedoms of thought and religion, speech, education, sexuality, association and organization. In short, this principle should guarantee a peaceful existence for humans and non-humans as well. There are nonetheless three principal challenges to the realization of this existence.

Firstly, how can a libertarian community remain peaceful and non-militarist when one or all of its neighbours are aggressive or militarist? Must it remain outside the construction or the use of chemical and atomic weapons as well as WMD even though its neighbours or enemies own these weapons and can use them against it? Should it follow the slogan of “mutuality” in this case? Let legal anarchism come back to these questions in the section attributed to *the International Alternatives*. The NAP indeed relates to *the defense of a community against invaders*, which is a problem that we need to examine case by case throughout the anarchist alternatives to State legal system.

Secondly, as legal anarchism is going to analyze it throughout the libertarian alternatives, the trial and punishment of an aggressor challenge the NAP, because they may constitute another aggression. In other words, there are the problems related to how an anarchist society would, on the one side, treat an antisocial behaviour, and, on the other side, respect the NAP. These problems take part in the elements of a CJS. Despite their negation of the State, the anarchists have defended a political life that would not entirely banish certain forms of coercion. For instance, in a Proudhonian sense, Benjamin Tucker has argued that any person who resists a Governor or an invader is a defender or a protector having a personal as well as collective right of self-defense against the aggressor whose life is not sacred.⁴⁷² Such a right should also justify either punishing the aggressor or indemnifying the victim, which would be a form of “anarchist tort”, developed particularly by the capitalist libertarians. In this case, “restorative justice” would constitute the core of individualist, socialist, and capitalist alternatives. It would nevertheless be more democratic and mutualistic in its social perspective than in its marketing one. One problem of restorative justice could be its position toward *Diyya*, which is disgusted as “blood money” or “ransom”.⁴⁷³ Liberty is certainly one of the greatest values in existence, but its untamedness could be problematic for existence so that the realization of the NAP faces certain dilemmas that legal anarchism must handle.

Thirdly, to respect the world of animals and plants requires taking a fundamental approach to existence, which certainly relates to natural resources and technology as mentioned above. In this case, does not anarcho-capitalism, which somehow allows or tolerates monopolization, lead to violate such a fundamental approach? Has not it forgotten to extend the NAP to non-human existence as emphasized by the GP? Anarcho-capitalism indeed regards nature as a “commodity” for or an “inferior identity” to humankind, which means that it does not really recognize the GP resulting in the NAP.

5.2.2.5 The Green Principle

As an extension of the NAP, the GP means respecting non-humanity: the liberation of animals and the earth from human cruelty. Any anarchist society, regardless of its ends and means, cannot destroy or exploit the ecosystems. I really believe that an anarchist community without some “real ecological projects” does hardly make sense to be free and just. I have formerly emphasized that there is no separation between humanity and nature as far as the questions of “governing” are concerned, because power and authority exist in and affect all existence, particularly throughout their extreme violence. In other words, all anarchist models have to respect the ecosystems; they are otherwise as authoritarian as any Government society. Green anarchism has certainly approached the GP in a wealthy manner, since “*every being has the same moral value.*”⁴⁷⁴ Cannot we accordingly agree with Kropotkin who already observed “*the very origin and growth of the sense of equity and justice in animal societies*”?⁴⁷⁵

In this case, the animal liberation movements have developed and radicalized since the 1970s in Britain and the USA.⁴⁷⁶ Their philosophy has grown out of and often overlapped with animal rights, which affirm that “*all animals are entitled to possess their own lives, should possess moral rights, and that some rights for animals ought to be put into law, such as the right to not be confined, harmed, or killed.*”⁴⁷⁷ These movements have judiciously rejected capitalism, because it uses animals as commodities and objects whose only purpose is to be sold and bought. They do not nonetheless oppose capitalism itself, but misery that it inevitably causes by its mode of production and consumption. They have radically compared “animal exploitation” to “the Holocaust”, even worse. In this controversial approach, we are cruel and consequently responsible, if we do not acknowledge the death of the millions of animals every year.⁴⁷⁸ I should add the situation of plants to such acknowledgement so that we speak about the “earth liberation from human tyranny”.

As an alternative, the animal liberation movements aim to reform current social conditions, partly through promoting “cruelty-free” and “compassionate” consumerism to reduce animal suffering. Their logic means not to use or not to consume an animal so that no animal will be killed or harmed, because animals suffer harm in much the same way that we do.⁴⁷⁹

Some green anarchists have found out that around the animal rights movements, many people have changed their lifestyles, diets, and politics because of becoming aware of the suffering of animals in the society. They think that many animal rights groups have nonetheless ignored that all aspects of animal persecution relate intricately to a web of hierarchical domination in the society. The green anarchists also believe that the animal rights movements have not really avoided “the deadly trap of fragmentation”

because of forgetting to regard the suffering of animals as a “part of the total oppression”. Capitalism has indeed used these movements to clean its image: free range eggs, cruelty free products, etc. It also diverts the people from criticizing the whole of society whereby eliminating our enemies and the social circumstances that allow all of these single problems to exist.⁴⁸⁰ The green anarchists are right, since the NAP, like the concept of human rights, is “a total principle” whose elements cannot be separable. As a result, the non-aggression of non-humanity is directly linked to that of humanity, which demands revolutionary activities to liberate both animals and humans from the current miserable conditions. In other words, the solutions do not come essentially from the things that we buy, use, eat, or even steal, but from the relationships between us and our participation in destroying the class system.⁴⁸¹

We furthermore face the ontological questions about two identities in the GP: non-human and human. Are some existences more valuable than others? Can we stop our cruel and capitalist industrialization and urbanization that simply destroys and pollutes the environment? If we oppose State violence against nature, how can we allow cannibalism and the exploitation of nature? As we have already observed in the problems of technology, radical anarchism speaks about *animism* arguing that there is no difference between the animate and the inanimate. For instance, Spinoza too freely acknowledged the freedom of non-human entities.⁴⁸² In *the Eco-Anarchist Justice*, I will analyze how Professor Bookchin has inserted the concepts of “wholeness” and “complementarity” into social ecology in order to recognize the equality of various species. It would be hard to realize the significance of loving humanity when we do not respect non-human existence, since one can scarcely exist without another one: existence is a whole concept.

In order to realize the GP, *vegetarianism* would be a solution for cannibalism, because if we have no right to eat each other, we have no right to eat other animals either. According to Gates, after mosquitoes killing about 725,000 people a year, we are the second deadliest animal in the world by killing about 475,000.⁴⁸³ We are undoubtedly number one in this case, because our society is massively murdering animals as well as humans in its daily violence inflicted upon everything everywhere: roads, factories, mines, building sites,⁴⁸⁴ parks, circuses, laboratories, prisons, war, and so on. For example, they estimate that hunting, one of the legal blood sports, causes the death of 200,000,000 animals yearly, while humankind kills 50,000,000,000 animals every year only for food, at least 10,000,000,000 and 850,000,000 of them are respectively slaughtered in the USA and the UK.⁴⁸⁵ In this case, it is so hypocrite to talk about the regulation of “animal welfare at slaughter and killing”,⁴⁸⁶ since it is as ridiculous as to talk about that of

“human welfare at death row”; there is really no welfare either in slaughter or murder based purely on pains.

So, could all communities become green and vegetarian? Can we change “carnivores” into “herbivores” or “insectivores”? Does not such a change itself go against either the laws of nature or the existence of plants and insects that have the senses of happiness and suffering? Does this form a type of the lesser of two evils principle? If our answer is positive, how shall it function? Was Sadegh Hedayat right to say if human beings “*stop killing animals, they will never kill a human being*”?⁴⁸⁷

In this case, the animal liberation movements considerably emphasize “veganism” recognizing the moral equality of the lives of humans and non-humans and thus opposing all animal usage by humans.⁴⁸⁸ They aim at demonstrating a radical understanding of the institutions of domination in order to develop non-hierarchical relationships with animals, to recreate the one-on-one interactions that we have with animals in our daily lives, and to resist against the system dominating us all. As a result, the animal liberation movements have recently developed strong ties to the anarchist projects and organizations, which engage in direct action against capital and the State, while some animal liberationists have performed an anti-State/anti-capitalist analysis. This has encouraged some animal liberationists to regard their movement as an anarchist project. If the discussion of animal-human relationships adds to the anarchist theories and to the anarchists who have certain affinity with the animal liberationists engaging in direct action, the isolated struggle against speciesism and the animal liberation movements’ intense moralism will be at odds with the anarchist project of insurrection.⁴⁸⁹

Veganism is however far from perfection. When it comes to “the cult of veganism”, some anarchists have found out that it effectively encapsulates the false reasoning of consumer reform. They observe the contradictions of the vegan ethic when it comes to the origins of all commodities and products in our society. For example, a pound of tofu or a bottle of cruelty-free shampoo conceals behind the superficiality of its claim. Many marketed illusions, promoted by companies profiting off animals, claim that vegan products have not taken directly part in the killing of animals. Driven by mass consumption, capitalist production needs an enormous quantity of resources, extracted from the earth by the cheapest and most destructive processes possible generating the massive amounts of animal habitat destruction and animal killing. The cruel reality of production is indeed buried beneath the glitter of the free market.⁴⁹⁰

When it comes to “the liabilities of lifestylism” in veganarchism, Dominick has argued that contemporary anarchists and vegans alike lead unfortunately toward the lifestylist approach, in spite that the simple act of changing individual lifestyle, even when joined by millions of others, cannot change the

world and the social structures that the elites have created to serve their own interests. For him, the people have power to construct a sane economy abolishing animal exploitation industries.⁴⁹¹ In this case, Tefft has found out a hot-button issue on the left because of the debate between the merits of revolutionary action versus those of lifestyle activism. There are those who believe that only a great structural upheaval in the form of revolution will really change, and lifestyle changes will come after. Others want to start and finish their activism with lifestyle changes and ethical consumerism, albeit radical ones.⁴⁹² As far as I am concerned, if I cannot *individually* change systematic cruelty against animals and plants, why should I take part in it yet? If I could not individually change the system certainly, I would have still the power to resist it; this is better than nothing to do and watching the system keeping its way.

However, we have still some existential problems about efficiently applying the GP. How can we live without aggressing animals and plants when we have been hitherto accustomed to living in many ways (e.g., cannibalism and urbanism) that simply violate their right to exist and to enjoy their lives? How can the GP handle the rights of animals that are naturally controversial because, for example, the existence of one depends on eating another one? Is the GP a *purely theoretical concept* left at our powers and interpretations? How can animals and plants resist our cruelty and madness when they have neither voice nor a normative system that we can understand and must consequently obey?⁴⁹³ How have the abolitionists and anti-executionists abolished or diminished the slavery and execution of humanity when humanity itself is unable to stop the same thing against animals and plants? Am I a mad or simply sentimental man who is by no means a good lawyer to understand that the legal system relies religiously and traditionally on recognizing human existence to the detriment of all other species? In this case, we are only “evils” for nature, not its “legal masters”, and my misanthropism finds once again its existential meaning: less human beings means better nature. Ronnie Lee, the co-founder of the ALF and ex-ALF spokesperson spending six and a half years in prison as a result, has accordingly argued that “*only dramatic reduction the world’s human population can help the animals and the environment.*”⁴⁹⁴

Throughout the awareness of some sharp arguments in Malthusianism, I think that less children or human beings means less exploitation and less destruction of the ecosystems, even if they will somewhat exist in a so-called anarchist society. Would not we reduce the amount of inequality, exploitation, and destruction by reducing the huge amount of ignorant and poor individuals and communities under the yoke of a minority called “political and economic “powers””? I think that we would do.

Is not eventually “human voice” the most important voice for nature? This is not a form of so-called “State environmentalism” or the so-called “green State”,⁴⁹⁵ which loves to stigmatize its opponents as

“ecoterrorists” or “eco-warriors” when defining what is legal and what is not in environmentalism, eventually another human tool to dominate nature in the name of law and order? The green anarchists have accordingly criticized all sorts of pressure groups campaigns that aim at persuading the legislator to miraculously end human persecution of other species.⁴⁹⁶ For the animal liberation movements, the State constitutes the backbone and brute force of the capitalist system by sanctifying property and ownership, supporting animal industries, and criminalizing anyone opposing them. Any appeal for additional laws simply increases the power of the legal system and its mythology of fairness and justice. A law against animal cruelty or the use of animals in circuses changes very little, even though some claim it as a victory. The State’s legal apparatus guarantees that the factories of production use more and more animals through their mills while misery goes on. In short, faith in the law means faith in capitalist exploitation, enforced by the bureaucrats, legislators, and cops who have really no interest in changing a social order from which they benefit.⁴⁹⁷

On the one side, we have become, once again, both source of problem and solution when it comes to respecting the GP. However, we cannot actually change the cycles of life forever. The animal liberationists have thus embraced a contradictory proposition arguing that we can end animal pains, either totally or as partly caused by us. We are intimately attached to a cycle of life and death that necessarily involves pains as well as joy and sadness.⁴⁹⁸ On the other side, as the animal liberation movements have truly argued, more laws cannot necessarily bring about more liberty, while all political parties “*offer the same shit with different coloured sugar coatings.*”⁴⁹⁹ The green anarchists have accordingly noticed that by freeing ourselves from the oppressive chains of causes, identities, and ideology of political groups, we would stop walking around cities and looking for animal abuse, and would aim at getting rid ourselves of the behaviour that has created the State and class divided society.⁵⁰⁰

The continuation of the GP is free love, and vice versa. For instance, animal liberation is a moral and civil progression of humans who try to avoid causing suffering to animals, whereby they create a more peaceful world,⁵⁰¹ regardless of gender and species. In this case, Professor Loadenthal has truly found out: “*A holistic, anti-authoritarian framework must include a rejection of speciesism in order to truly approach the potentiality of challenging domination and hierarchy in the hopes of ushering in a more liberatory world. Parochial, sectarian and other single-issue-based agendas will never offer revolutionary potential as they will always be mired in contradiction and the leveraging of the desires of one (oppressed class) over the rights of another. The LGBT, anarchist, and animal rights movements are examples of efforts that have fallen short of developing an analysis that is truly intersectional and inclusive. While the LGBT movement fails to challenges hierarchies including those found in class and race, other movements, such as those identified with the anarchist left, fail to challenge species.*”⁵⁰²

5.2.2.6 Free Love

As an extension of the NAP, free love relies on sexual liberation, empowerment, and equality for all,⁵⁰³ and means that nobody, no community, or no institution has any right to aggress or to interfere with sexual activities of others, except in the very specific cases such as sexual harassment and violence, child abuse and prostitution. Our sexuality and body are not indeed the Governors' business, but ours. For example, an individual has the right to cultivate a tree in her undergarment, as I read elsewhere! The same goes for polygamy when practiced by the free and consent women and men. As a result, the age and the form of marriage, sexual activities, or lives depend on the concerned individuals and community to decide according to their liberties, ideas, and conditions. Armand has accordingly stated that anarchism has no determined sexual morality, and each individual is free to determine her sexual, affective, or sentimental life, as much for one sex as for the other. Its essential is that constraint, domination, or violence does not take place in intimate relations between the anarchists of differing sexes.⁵⁰⁴

The anarchist ideas about free love are a good response to our extremely regularized societies in which the States specify, spy, control, and sanction the quality and quantity of our sex organs, sexual desires, needs, activities, and lives. In other words, sexual liberties in a libertarian community are a response to the criminalization of our sexual life by Government, and to social stigma as well. In this case, the repression of polygamy, open marriage, incest, gays, lesbians, queers, transsexuals, sodomy, and pornography constitute some forms of State crime.⁵⁰⁵ The anarchist struggle against "compulsory monogamy" would thus be a form of resistance.⁵⁰⁶ As for an example of social stigma, the society usually loves to link anarchism with prostitution and degeneration.⁵⁰⁷

Moreover, free love has hopefully undermined male sexual supremacy as well as female purity that, as institutional ideology and religion, traditionally need State law and punishment. For instance, because of my profound anti-religious opinion and experience, let me invoke some parts of the Bible and the Quran. They rely actually on "misogyny" (i.e. woman as male property), "pedophilia", "sexual violence", and "sexual slavery", not only on the earth (e.g., acid attacks against women)⁵⁰⁸ but also in the sky (i.e. hell), blindly believed and sanctioned by many apostles. They are Genesis 19:6-8; Exodus 21:4, 21:7-11; Leviticus 20:10, 21:9; Deuteronomy 22:23-24; Al Baqarah 2:25, 2:223; An Nisa 4:3, 4:15, 4:34; An Nur 24:2.⁵⁰⁹

All those sexist and cruel sacraments unfortunately exist still in some religious countries as "tradition" or "law", such as the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In this case, the Iranian laws provide men with nearly absolute sexual and material supremacy over women as well as children by reducing them to "sexual gadgets" or more exactly to "sexual slaves",

abandoned to the control of their permanent or temporary fathers and husbands since their birthday.⁵¹⁰ I could accordingly invoke Churchill's statement articulated many years ago in *The River War* in 1899. He said, "*The fact that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property – either as a child, a wife, or a concubine – must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power among men.*"⁵¹¹ Nonetheless, some scholars have regarded human love as "*both analogy and ascent to Divine Love*"!⁵¹²

As a result, the principle of free love is extremely important in such a sexist and humiliating environment.⁵¹³ Professor Portwood-Stacer has accordingly spoken about "anarchonormativity" as a strategy with positive political potential, because of proliferating and making legible forms of sexuality that anarchist ethics inform, promoting a queer critique of hegemonic sexuality, and therefore making life more livable for the individuals whose desires are oppressed by dominant discourses and institutions.⁵¹⁴ Nonetheless, the anarchists have not always been tolerant toward homosexuality, of which "anarchist homophobia" and "sexism" could be an example.⁵¹⁵

Love seems to have a large meaning, because it includes both humans and non-humans. In this case, Professor Bookchin has affirmed that liberated sexuality consists in negating totally the patriarchal family and replacing all forms of transcended regulation of sexuality by the untrammelled and spontaneous expression of eroticism among equals.⁵¹⁶ As the masters of rhetoric like the lawyers, some anarchist Professors and sexologists have spoken about the "*acts of revolutionary love*", while anarchist pedagogy and queering anarchism are able to include the spiritual or honoring practices of care of the self intertwining with the care of each other and the earth, to which we all belong. They love to invite themselves and others to acknowledge the lived, embodied, emotional, erotic, and relational experiences of the fundamental anarchy already existing. They also invite a queering of anarchist pedagogy by highlighting the role of love in learning, teaching, and living. Learning to be free for these anarchists means learning to love themselves and the emotions passing through them, not gripping on to ideologies or identities. In summary, love fulfils their practices of anarchy.⁵¹⁷

In the history of the freedom of sexuality, Emma Goldman, self-presented as "*a daring sexual rebel*", is undoubtedly one of the most powerful and the freest women who not only taught but also practiced free love with her numerous lovers and comrades: Berkman, Modest Stein, Johann Most, Ed Brady, Reitman, Hippolyte Havel, Leon Malmed, etc.⁵¹⁸ She really revolutionized both thought and bed in anarchist sexuality through "*her scandalous public advocacy of free love and her ruthless critique of marriage.*"⁵¹⁹ For her, love is the deepest and strongest element in all life, the harbinger of hope, joy, ecstasy, the defier of all laws and

conventions, the freest and the most powerful moulder of human destiny. Thus, how can this all-compelling force become synonymous with the poor little State and Church-begotten weed and marriage?⁵²⁰ Goldman's free society relies on anarcho-communism in which the principle of free love means that love is free from authority and oppression. In this society, some day, women and men will rise, reach the mountain peak, meet strong and free, and ready to partake, to receive, and to bask in the golden rays of love. If our world gives birth to true oneness and companionship, not marriage, love will be the parent.⁵²¹

Even though there is a long history of using sexual expression (particularly nudity) as a type of political protest,⁵²² it seems that Goldman theoretically and practically revolutionized *sexual anarchism* by her revolutionary introduction of "*eros to politics*" (i.e. political eroticism),⁵²³ when her grandchildren and lovers would later do it by integrating "*queering anarchist pedagogies*" into whole existence.⁵²⁴ And thanks to their great psychological and physical capital behind their sexual propaganda or free love, left anarchism or even the whole of existence only now knows what really mean "true sex" and "libertarian love", and how we shall practice the *Kama Sutra* either in the current system, as a rebellious activity, or in the future libertarian system in which the principle of sexual freedom will equally satisfy all forms of liberties and sex organs through unlimited imagination and orgasm. In this case, "*Back! is as much wrapped up in criminal acts as in sexual practice, as much in strategy as in style.*"⁵²⁵

Professor Koenig has provided a classic example of practicing free love: *Home*, founded in 1896 and located on Puget Sound in Washington. In that anarchist colony, nearly 300 anarchists wanted to restructure society by the social organization of intimate life. They had the opportunity to test their beliefs at Home in which they transformed free love, sexual liberation, individualism, and communal landholding from ideals to reality. Putting these ideas into practice however proved to be difficult, because the anarchists had to face numerous prosecutions threatening the cohesion of their community. Professor Koenig has argued that the history of Home and its legal struggles is important, since it vividly demonstrates the way in which American anarchists appeared as foremost advocates of individual liberty in various forms, from free love to free speech. It moreover illustrates the rise and fall of a form of American anarchism as it emphasizes tensions endemic to the radical left during the early twentieth century, including the limits of individual liberty, definitions of obscenity and respectability as well as the complicated relationship between individuals and the larger community. This history also reveals that realizing anarchism is by no means an easy task. If Home's anarchism could not survive, its philosophical heritage could. Through its legal clashes with the American Government, Home created "*its most lasting legacy: a forceful articulation of the primacy of individual freedom as the basis for an egalitarian society*".⁵²⁶ Professor LeWarne has furthermore argued that in

their radical search for a better society, the individualists of Home challenged the issues, including sexual relationship and women's social role, that the conventional society would be later forced to answer.⁵²⁷

The New Encyclopedia of Social Reform reflected a version of free love shared by most Home residents. Free love accordingly signifies that neither Church nor State has any right to control sexual relations or the family, since love is not compulsory, and all marriage without love is sin. Free lovers make love supreme and unfettered by any tie whatsoever. By collectivism and free competition or cooperation, respectively the socialists and anarchists would have each woman and each man free to support her and him without depending upon any other individual. Free love happens when a woman loves not for reason of family, position, custom, support, or help in any way, but simply because she loves. This would produce the highest, purest, and the most enduring love. Parents would raise their children as they would wish without any force.⁵²⁸ Free love does not imply irresponsibility or licentiousness, but "*the right of couples to love as they chose and to separate when love died.*"⁵²⁹ Free lovers have also claimed that marriage, freed from the control of priest or Government, would be pure, noble, and abiding. And prostitution would thus disappear.⁵³⁰

I think that this type of "idealistic", "pure", or "Platonic" love may be inaccessible to some people who cannot satisfy their emotion and sexuality in the context of this form of anarchist love, so that prostitution and pornography, especially advocated in anarcho-capitalism (i.e. right to sell oneself), are not totally unfounded. Professor Mises has ironically found out, prehistoric women and men "*paired in purest love; in the pre-capitalist age, marriage and family life were simple and natural, but Capitalism brought money marriages and marriages de convenances on the one hand, prostitution and sexual excesses on the other.*"⁵³¹ Not all individuals are really as talented and lucky as certain free lovers (e.g., Goldman) to have sex when and where they want, since some always remain outside sexual gratitude for the reason of money, beauty, and so on. Sexuality is not actually a pure and free phenomenon that the anarchist free lovers propagate.

When it comes to putting free union into practice in Edwardian England, Professor Frost has traced the same problems. Unlike most socialists and feminists, the anarchists did not merely theorize free love, since many of them openly confronted the difficulties of free union in a hostile social and legal environment when living out their ideals. They were not unrealistic about the need for both liberty and responsibility in relations between women and men. Their actions were actually feasible, even though their writings set high ideals and goals. Their critiques of marriage were acidulous and rhetorically uncompromising. They defended that the control of people's intimate lives was both unnecessary and illegitimate. Many of them argued that marriage was simply one more conventional tool of oppression that the society would abandon.

In summary, the anarchists reject the institution of marriage as they do regarding the institution of the king.⁵³²

As far as I am concerned, I have some questions. Do those anarchist descriptions of love imply simply “sexual desires and needs”, “real love”, or both? As seen above regarding *anarchist pedophilia*, what will be the age of love in a libertarian society? In other words, any person can make love with another person at any age?

5.3 The Alternatives

The anarchists have presented some legal models in order to contradict the centralized systems, on the individual, communal, national, regional, and international scales. *The national alternatives* mean the models that would function at the level of anarchists and communities sharing some common historical, linguistic, cultural, or economic characteristics in a limited geographical sphere. We have three categories of models in this case: “the individualist alternatives”, “the socialist alternatives” containing the mutualist, collectivist, communist, syndicalist, and eco-anarchist justices, and eventually “the free market alternatives”. *The international alternatives* mean the anarchists and libertarian communities that would function at a larger level of social and geographical diversities, while sharing one or more characteristics of the national alternatives. This case concerns also the “regional alternatives”, such as Europe and Asia. In addition, federation is a functional element on both national and international scales, even though its role could be more important among the socialist communities located in different places.

We would keep in mind three introductive notices. Firstly, the anarchist models could not be pure or impermeable only, but mixed or synthesist also. They can therefore share some common elements, such as liberty, direct democracy, and federation. Secondly, as Professor Barclay emphasized, neither political science nor political sociology has taken seriously the alternatives to the complex hierarchical organizations with coercive authority at the top.⁵³³ Thirdly, despite all State repression, control, and spy of not only libertarian action but also libertarian opinion, as mentioned above, they are hopefully some individuals and groups having tried to create the anarchist communities around the world at all levels (communal, national, and so on). They have however faced certain internal problems, such as decision-making and managing property. One can thus ask will we see more anarchist alternatives if the States less repress? Are not the anarchist models actually less developed or numerous partly because of the States not admitting any non-Governmental model at all?

5.3.1 The National Alternatives

The national alternatives consist in three categories of models whose characteristics are individualist, socialist, and capitalist, which would help the individuals, groups, and organization to live freely, peacefully, and harmoniously with each other and nature as well.

5.3.1.1 The Individualist Alternatives

Although Professor Palante considers that the words “individualism” and “anarchism” are often used as synonyms,⁵³⁴ the individualist models are either pure, in the Stirnerite approach, or mixed with some socialist characteristics. The egoistic characteristics are dominant in the pure approach, when the synthesist approach relies on both egoism or individualism and socialism. In this case, Professor Xavier Diez has argued that Stirner much more influenced Spanish individualist anarchism, through his “egocentric individualism” destroying the absolute, than Thoreau’s “hermit rebel”, Warren’s “disenchantment with the collective”, Benjamin Tucker’s “liberal individualism”, and Armand and Ryner’s “existential liberation”.⁵³⁵ Some anarchists, especially the leftists, have however criticized these models.

5.3.1.1.1 The Pure Approach

In this case, Stirner’s “*union of egoists*” is a philosophical (apparently dialectical), abstract, and concise example of an excessive model in anarchist individualism, close to anarcho-capitalism because of harmonizing with the economic philosophy of Warren.⁵³⁶ Stirner vaguely outlines the union of egoists, like all utopically good societies, only to demonstrate the logical outcome of “ownness” when universally applied.⁵³⁷ This union means a relation that all parts continually renew and support through an act of own-will or conscious egoism.⁵³⁸ According to this approach, every individual own-welfare requires that a social human life, called the union of egoists, relies solely on its precepts, and takes the place of the State by “insurrection”, i.e. the lifting of oneself above the existing order. Even after the abolition of the State, the self-owners will continue to fight for their own will or union. The egoists indeed sacrifice nothing to the State or the society, but they only utilize and completely transform it into their property and creature: the union of egoists.⁵³⁹

For Professor Leopold, the union of egoists purely constitutes an “*instrumental association whose good is solely the advantage that the individuals concerned may derive for the pursuit of their individual goals; there are no shared final ends and the association is not valued in itself.*”⁵⁴⁰ According to Passamani, the union is an alternative to the society and a tool for rebelling against the State, hierarchy, and authority. In other words,

it simultaneously functions as a relational form and a counter-association. It is closely connected to rebellion, which is not merely a transitional phase from the society to the union, but constant insurrection against every power and heaven debasing one's inalienable exclusivity. Without one's continuous and extremist autonomy, there would certainly be a revolution, but it would still only be a reform of the existing order. Passamani has also argued that Stirner understood relationships between the individuals as "mutual utilization", by which recognizing that every individual is the center of her own world signifies denying any form of authority and hierarchy, because they impose their centrality and a different perspective from the individual's one, and destroy her property. Due to the fact that the union, unlike the State, the Church, or the society, has no autonomous existence from the particular individuals composing it, the interests of the participants determine its duration. It is thus an "*unceasing coming-back-together*" opposing the "already-being-together" in all hierarchical relationships, and a "*taking-part*" in a game to which an individual contributes the establishment of the rules opposing a "being-part" of a social order, which presents itself as authority and enforces its own laws.⁵⁴¹

As for State law according to the Stirnerite approach, it is sacred, since God is the lawmaker, and consequently the disobedience to it is illegal, immoral, and criminal.⁵⁴² On the contrary, Stirner argues that self-welfare demands that it itself shall be the individuals' rule of action in the place of State law.⁵⁴³ Since crimes stem from *fixed ideas*, the egoists give or take to them the right out of their own plenitude of power, and they are the most impenitent criminal against every superior power. As creator and owner of their right, they recognize no other source of right than them, neither God, nor the State, nor nature, nor man himself with his "eternal rights of man", nor divine right, nor human right. In other words, egoism concerns realizing individual values without interference from fixed ideas.⁵⁴⁴

Benjamin Tucker has symbolized such an approach or excessive individualism, since his anarchism does not recognize any deprivation of individual property or any portion of it without the owner's consent, unless in the case of invasion. In this case, anarchism takes enough of the invader's property to repair the damage done by his invasion. Furthermore, a condition of membership in a voluntary association may rightfully demand the support of certain things, like jury service. Tucker has also confirmed jail, torture, or the death penalty in the case of invasion of individuality or property, because the life of an invader is not sacred at all. This confinement is in accordance with rightness and anarchism, because "*it is sometimes the wisest way of vindicating the right asserted in the answer to the first question. There are many ways consistent with Anarchy of determining the nature and duration of such confinement. Jury trial, in its original form, is one way, and in my judgment the best way yet devised.*"⁵⁴⁵ It would not hence be surprising that Professor Rothbard would

later recognize, in *The Ethics of Liberty*, torture by the cops in some cases!⁵⁴⁶ Clair has truly criticized this recognition by arguing that the torture of any person, criminal suspect or not, by any person, police or otherwise, shall be regarded as an equally criminal act in accordance with libertarianism.⁵⁴⁷

Similar to Tucker's concept of jury, the individual anarchist Spooner acknowledged, in his *Essay on the Trial by Jury*, a legal system based on "direct democracy". This is "the trial by jury" or a "trial by the country", anciently called "trial *per pais*", because it fairly represents the people and thus distinguishes itself from a trial by the State.⁵⁴⁸ His essay principally argues that any law or legal practice in the USA contradicting the common law is invalid, and does not merit any respect as a result.⁵⁴⁹

As for Yarros, he firstly agrees that the State or the community has no right to penalize or to punish any individual or group of individuals simply for refusing to work with the society. He then argues that if an individual however becomes invasive and aggressive, she violates the principle of equal freedom so that she deserves restraint and punishment. The penalties inflicted upon her would rely on the intelligence and humanity of the community in which a representative jury would think and judge her action. Certain communities may inflict capital punishment in some cases, while others may reject that degree of punishment as ineffectual or barbarous. Science and experience would propose improvements or changes in the anarchistic codes of criminal procedure and criminal law. In any event, the willful criminal, i.e. the antisocial individual, could have no one but himself to blame.⁵⁵⁰

James Walker has presented another Stirnerite approach, mixed with Nietzscheanism, as opposed to the moralists believing in the sacred law. Like an egoistic thinking about egoism as "organic existence", he has a right to do what he can take and openly keep, while another individual has a right to take it from him if she can. He appeals to no moral law of the world, since everything is lawful for him, and he recognizes none. According to circumstances, we should either find our interests coincide, or fight each other, or steer clear of each other. Because the law and liberty are synonymous, there is "*no liberty of action till it is understood that each of us finds his law in his will and pleasure and that wherein our wills and pleasures agree we make our law, which we enforce on others who come into our domain, because we must or it is our convenience so to do.*"⁵⁵¹

Walker has also believed that life is worth less without us than it will be with us, and our precious force is his strength from the moment that we understand that he has no greater hope than in our fullest assertion of our freedom. We do not wait for the overman, because we are ourselves the overmen. Walker has shortly thought about the importance of mutuality when we care for our own species or any other species between which and ourselves there exists some communication of mutual sympathy and

intelligence. According to Walker, the egoists can suppress a dangerous sane man or a dangerous madman as a measure of prevention. They do not accordingly need to resort to casuistry in the case of slight doubt, if they are determined that it is not safe to risk permitting either to live. They will not thus “*let an offender off on technicalities or scruples if they deem it necessary to expel him or kill him, and thus, too, if one has killed another the inquiry will be as to whether or not the slayer merely anticipated an intelligent verdict by a jury.*”⁵⁵²

5.3.1.1.2 The Synthesist Approach

In *Anarchism: Communist or Individualist? Both*, Nettlau knows that the communists, when pointedly asked, say that they have no objection to the individualists that want to live in their own way without establishing new authority or monopolies, and vice versa. They however say it seldom in an open and friendly way, since both sides are fervently convinced that liberty is solely possible if they carry out their own scheme. He also admits that there are the individualists and the communists that think only their respective ideologies can satisfy completely and solve all problems. He synthetically concludes that all individualists and communists revolting against authority would work with general solidarity, instead of division into little chapels in which each one believes that he owns a correct economic solution of the social problem. To fight authority in the capitalist system, the system of State socialism, syndicalism, both, or all the three combined requires an immense wave of real anarchist feeling, even before asking about the economic remedies.⁵⁵³ Nettlau does indeed say nothing against “an economic system-individualist, collectivist, or communist”, because anarchism would be of little value, if it required that somebody shall “*beforehand discover, out of the infinite field of economic possibilities, just the one which would be the only right one!*”⁵⁵⁴ In summary, all libertarian communists and libertarian individualists, who are not vulgarly antisocial and egotistical, will be welcome among the free practitioners of voluntarism and solidarity.⁵⁵⁵

Professor McElroy has however argued that the Haymarket affair caused a deep schism in the USA between the individualist anarchists and the communist anarchists with whom they had formerly agreed. The schism relied indeed on ideology, particularly on the question of whether violence could be used as a political strategy.⁵⁵⁶ From a non-violent individualist standpoint, John Henry Mackay has accordingly maintained that communist anarchism is a controversial term.⁵⁵⁷

As for Armand, he, like Proudhon, shows a synthesist model when arguing that the individualist anarchists differentiate themselves from the anarchist communists in the sense that they regard (besides property in some objects of enjoyment extending from the personality) property in the means of production and the free disposition of the product as the principal guarantee of individual autonomy. These anarchists

are never accountable to anyone but themselves for their acts and gestures. They consider association only as an expedient and a makeshift. They therefore want to associate voluntarily with certain comrades only in the cases of urgency. They solely desire to contract generally for the short term, and can avoid every contract as soon as it hurts one of the contracting parties. They claim legitimate defense in these cases: 1) the subordination of the individual to the environment inasmuch as she cannot treat with the entire collective as equal to equal, power to power; 2) the obligation of solidarity, mutual aid, and association; 3) the deprivation of the individual and inalienable possession of the means of production and the disposition of the product; 4) the exploitation of the individual through labour and profit; 5) monopolization, i.e. to possess more than normal upkeep; 6) State monopoly; 7) the loan at interest, usury, money-changing, and inheritance.⁵⁵⁸ Armand indeed wants to create certain affinity groups in which one of their functions is to put into practice his thesis of amorous camaraderie, explicit sexual content, and equilibrium between sexes.⁵⁵⁹

In this case, Home could be a mixture of “individual” and “communitarian” model, because its founders thought about many “isms”, while they tried to prove the usefulness of each ism to humanity. Individualism eventually prevailed over other isms, since those men emphasized the individual’s liberty and merits to follow her line of action, no matter how much it might differ from tradition, without ostracism from her neighbour, censure, rules, regulations, and laws. They highlighted that Home was “a community of individuals” rather than “a cooperative colony”. They thus professed individualism and personal liberties, which rejected communal life. If they denied restrictions selected by a forcible organization (i.e. Government) and its agents (i.e. a mock preacher, police force, court, and jail), they did recognize “self-organization” based on individual liberty. In spite of their anti-Government tendency, they had to register the Mutual Home Association in January 1898, after almost two years of informal organization, which flourished and lasted for nearly two decades. The sole purpose of Home was to help its members to obtain and to build homes for themselves, and to establish better moral and social conditions. They were entitled to have between one and two acres of land from Home by paying the cost of land, one dollar for a membership certificate, and taxes for their particular tract. They considered houses and other improvements as individual property that they could bequeath or sale, while the land remained for Home so that they could never sale, mortgage, or dispose of. They could also will membership certificates, including land rights, to a remaining spouse, children, or other beneficiaries. Due to its peacefulness and tolerance, Home allowed a market system as long as the individual acted according to her choice without interference and hurt. A few cooperatives thus functioned at Home.⁵⁶⁰

As for the governance of Home, it was the business of an elected board of trustees with an annual meeting in January. Home also had some newspapers including *Discontent: Mother of Progress*,⁵⁶¹ an open forum for various libertarian views on individualism, communism, politics, economics, world affairs, religion, and sex, and *the Agitator* advocating principally industrial unionism.⁵⁶²

In spite of its “economic communitarianism”, Home had to face the abuse of some newcomers taking two front acres and additional plots in the names of children and wives. The founders could eventually do nothing to limit or to control the abusers, because they apparently abhorred laws.⁵⁶³ The rudimentarily living conditions did not prevent residents from “resolute-hearted” and “self-supporting comrades”.⁵⁶⁴ The troubles did not limit to those problems: public and legal threat, harassment, and persecution. The frenzy of anti-anarchism, peaked by the assassination of President McKinley in 1901, would moreover encourage some newspapers, personalities, and calumniators to advocate hotly the extermination and extralegal execution of anarchists, including the members of Home, because of supposedly selfishness, viciousness, brutality, free love, disloyalty to the American Government, or even a menace to humanity. They would eventually bring certain legal actions against the colony for its free love, obscenity, nudity, and anarchy, which actually undermined the freedoms of thought and speech.⁵⁶⁵ In spite of all those issues, the colony remained an interesting community. In this case, Elbert Hubbard, who visited and lectured at Home, observed that it was a community made of peaceful anarchists, in which “*even the ducks on the shore were tame, knowing that these people would not harm or kill.*” In that town of over a hundred people, he “*saw neither a church, a preacher, a lawyer, a doctor, a pauper, a gambler, a prostitute, a drunkard, a justice of the peace nor a constable.*”⁵⁶⁶ Hubbard was right, because, as implied above, no lawyer means no prostitution, no crime, or even no cry!

5.3.1.1.3 The Critiques of the Individualist Alternatives

Albeit Kropotkin recognizes that humankind is intrinsically both egoistic and social,⁵⁶⁷ he regards, on the one side, the individualist anarchism of the schools of Stirner in Germany and Benjamin Tucker in America as desperately conservative and solely committed to win personal freedom without any revolutionary change in the economic system. They metaphysically go far from real life by the “self-assertion of the individual”, run against the feelings of human equality, and dangerously move close to those who imaginatively represent themselves as a “superior breed”: the State, Church, police, modern legislation, militarism, imperialism, and all other forms of oppression. On the other side, the individualist anarchism of the American Proudhonians (i.e. the individualist anarchists comprising the mutualists) finds little sympathy amongst the working masses, but chiefly amongst the “intellectuals” who have soon realized

that the individualization, which they so greatly praise, is not achievable by individual efforts. As a result, they either leave the ranks of the anarchists and embrace the liberal individualism of the classical economists, or retire into a form of Epicurean a-moralism or superman theory, similar to that of Stirner and Nietzsche. The great bulk of the anarchist workers choose the anarchist-communist ideas having gradually evolved out of the anarchist collectivism of the International Working Men's Association.⁵⁶⁸

As far as Malatesta is concerned, on the one hand, he argues that in the anarchist milieu, individualism, communism, collectivism, mutualism, and all the intermediate and eclectic projects are merely the ways considered best for achieving liberty and solidarity in economic life, which means the ways corresponding more closely with liberty and justice for distributing the means of production and the products of labour among the people. On the other hand, he considers that the individualists did not invent the adage "*anarchy is the natural order*," which diametrically opposes the truth! He thus thinks that the individualists have always constituted a very small minority among the anarchists, and generally without credit and influence.⁵⁶⁹

In spite of his apparent hostility toward individualist anarchism in *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, Professor Bookchin has acknowledged that ideological individualism has not faded away during the periods of sweeping social unrest. However, the anarcho-individualists have hardly influenced the emerging working class. They have indeed expressed their opposition in uniquely personal forms, particularly in outrageous behaviour, fiery tracts, and aberrant lifestyles in the cultural ghettos of *fin de siècle* in Paris, London, and New York. As a credo, individualist anarchism has largely remained a bohemian lifestyle, most conspicuous in its demands for sexual freedom (free love) and enamored of innovations in behaviour, clothing, and art. In the 1990s, the traditionally individualist-liberals in the UK and the USA produced the self-styled anarchists who would be later cultivating a type of anarcho-individualism that Professor Bookchin called "*lifestyle anarchism*". This type of anarchism is steadily eroding the socialistic character of the libertarian tradition because of its preoccupations with the ego as well as its uniqueness and polymorphous concepts of resistance. The issues of lifestyle are nevertheless supplanting revolutionary politics and social action in anarchism. No less than Marxism and other forms of socialism, anarchism is "*profoundly influenced by the bourgeois environment it professes to oppose, with the result that the growing 'inwardness' and narcissism of the yuppie generation have left their mark upon many avowed radicals.*"⁵⁷⁰

Unlike Professor Bookchin, Professor Xavier Diez does not consider "lifestyle anarchism" as "bourgeois deviation" or a bad thing, but actually an honest anarchist approach to life. Contrary to Professor Bookchin, he does not see an "unbridgeable chasm" between "social anarchism" and "lifestyle anarchism",

if we understand the former as achieving a degree of social change. All of these have reflected in some periodical publications, such as *La Revista Blanca*, *Estudios*, *Ética*, *Iniciales*, and *Nosotros*. Moreover “alongside *Ateneos Libertarios* (something close to *Infoshops*) more aligned with anarcho-syndicalism and anarcho-communism there existed ones like the “*Ateneo Naturista Éclectico*” which will tend towards the individualist anarchists positions even though it will be open to anarcho-syndicalists and anarcho-communists as well as with the case of the individualist publications which will be widely read also by workers and anarcho-communist militants belonging to the IAF and the CNT anarchist trade union.”⁵⁷¹

It seems that the individualistic alternatives can be, despite their idealistic or synthesist values, scarcely practical everywhere. As Professor Taylor has put, anarchic life requires a community enjoying “*approximate economic equality*” (“a rough equality of basic material conditions”) with some commune values, not just individual ones.⁵⁷² Nonetheless, the theory and practice of “rough equality” are open to many questions and discussions, such as what does “a rough equality” mean? Does it mean equality before the law? Does it concern only the materials that human and environmental existences need to survive? Are they conditions for living solely or blooming also at both individual and social levels? Let us see these questions and their answers throughout the socialist models, since the individualistic alternatives do not apparently present a coherent legal system.

5.3.1.2 The Socialist Alternatives

To understand the socialist alternatives require explaining some key concepts or ideas that would be common among the socialist anarchists from different schools. They must also respect some fundamental rights, which mean the rights of everybody to home, food, water, clothing, education, healthcare, etc., without discrimination. In other words, the anarchist communities should incorporate respect for the individual rights into their processes.⁵⁷³

5.3.1.2.1 Some Key Ideas

The preventive effects of public opinion and participation on offences, the temporary autonomous zones, and squatting are some basic concepts in the socialist models.

5.3.1.2.1.1 The Preventive Effects of Public Opinion and Participation on Criminality

The people’s opinion and participation play an important role in the methods of social control in a socialistic system. Certain jurists call it “participatory criminal justice” in a State society.⁵⁷⁴ The NAP must indeed face the issues of criminality in a socialistic community that the anarchists try to establish by an

equal or quasi-equal order. Such a community would principally focus on public opinion as well as the benevolence of the people. The anarchists argue that the pressure of public opinion, the power of “moral coercion”, or “mutual surveillance” could prevent crime in a free society, which would replace State law.⁵⁷⁵ In this case, “prevention” and “fight against the causes of crime” (principally poverty and exploitation) are essential.

Scott of the Insurgency Culture Collective has accordingly concluded that because the anarchist societies do not come into existence overnight, the anarchists acknowledge that many bad people from the old authoritarian, chauvinistic, and capitalist society will exist around when an anarchist society is in its process of organization. This anarchist society has to be prepared for many of these people rejecting the new society, and to set an example with them in order to be fairer and more uncompromising in handling the issues of crime and social peace. It has also to be prepared to free all those currently imprisoned for actions no longer treated as crime, and mercilessly to imprison all those who do not respect the social peace in the new anarchist society. It could take a few generations of experience in the new society and many incarcerations before the society becomes free from the bad influences of authoritarianism, chauvinism, and capitalism. The ultimate goal of an anarchist society would indeed be to end with prisons altogether. The anarchists can start by releasing all those unnecessarily imprisoned under the current system, and closing the prisons. After social transformation and the dwindling of prison populations, the anarchists systematically close and destroy all the remaining prisons, parallel to the minimization of the need to banish violent offenders.⁵⁷⁶ Berkman had likewise recognized that an anarchist community would generate neither crime nor any soil for its thriving. It would look upon occasional antisocial acts as the survivals of previous diseased conditions and attitudes, and would thus treat them as an unhealthy state of mind rather than as crime.⁵⁷⁷

In response to Scott of the Insurgency Culture Collective, Black has argued that since anarchism concerns how people can harmoniously live together, an anarchist society does not relate to crime and punishment, but to conflict and dispute resolution. In other words, the “anarchist response to crime” does not concern crime that, by definition, anarchism abolishes, but rather solving problems between the people or, at least, providing some means for their resolution (e.g., mediation and arbitration), and if all else does not embrace success, banishment or execution.⁵⁷⁸ In *“Wild Justice”*, Black has also concluded that *“vengeance can be empowering. Along with the justice of vengeance, there’s the joy of vengeance. And isn’t anarchism the only politics of joy?”*⁵⁷⁹ Such a conclusion would contradict, as we are going to observe, Kropotkin’s opinion about “organized vengeance” or State criminal justice.

Herod has similarly realized that the questions of laws, rights, and crime will completely take on a different character in an association of democratically autonomous neighbourhoods. Because an objective definition of crime, an inalienable right, or a universal law has actually no existence, these matters conceptually look like majority/minority disputes within the neighbourhood assemblies, which would simply adjudicate disputes themselves, or at least some disputes. These assemblies would define what crime is, and decide how to handle it. The same goes for the law (if there is any) and human rights. Herod has also imagined that a number of these assemblies can strike an agreement to convene a court to do this. He has even thought about a regional court in this form. This court has the advantage of putting some distance between the neighbourhood in which the dispute takes place and the individuals that are judging it.⁵⁸⁰

However, the efficiency of public opinion regarding crime prevention is critical or questionable. Could it prevent the possible offenders from committing crimes? Does it work better in an anarchist society than in a mega-society because it contains the close and permanent relationships between its members?

For instance, Professor Russell doubted that an equal society or public opinion might be sufficient to prevent theft and violent crime.⁵⁸¹ Some theories (e.g., the Almond-Lippmann Consensus) have furthermore called into question public opinion because of its manipulation by the politicians.⁵⁸² Certain scholars have found a harmful relationship between the death sentences and public opinion.⁵⁸³ On the one hand, public opinion exposes individual life to the scrutiny of social members that can be much more problematic than Government control, because it tremendously urges to conformity and *“is less tolerant than any system of law.”*⁵⁸⁴ On the other hand, if we could see it as a form of control by “shame” in maintaining social order in a primitive society, its effects depend mostly on the smallness and stability of a community whose members share identical beliefs and values.⁵⁸⁵ Moreover, endless examples of politicians (Bill Clinton’s sexual scandals and Bush’s lie about the WMD in Iraq in order to invade and occupy this country by using the same weapons)⁵⁸⁶ depict that shame does not exist in politics allowing or even encouraging all types of propaganda, lie, deception, falsification, and immorality. In this case, Professor Cotterrell has truly said, *“scandal is the most normal thing imaginable in politics.”*⁵⁸⁷

5.3.1.2.1.2 The Temporary Autonomous Zones and Squatting

We could find the key ideas of Bey’s TAZ and squatting in social anarchism. The Occupy Movements would be one part of these ideas, which all could mix tactics, strategies, and alternatives for a somehow short time by performing an anarchist community. Bey has however asked about the possibility of a *politique* (a way of living-together) and a *poetique* (a way of making) for the “permanent” TAZ or “PAZ”.⁵⁸⁸

The theory of the TAZ has indeed tried to concern existing or emerging situations, rather than pure utopianism.⁵⁸⁹ For instance, Bey has presented the “pirate utopias” as the first modern TAZ. The sea-rovers and corsairs of the eighteenth century established an “information network” spanning the globe: primitive and devoted basically to grim business, the net however functioned wonderfully. They were scattered throughout some islands and remote hideouts in which ships could be provisioned and watered, booty traded for necessities and luxuries. Some of these islands endorsed “intentional communities”, whole mini-societies consciously living outside the law and keeping it up, even if solely for a short but merry existence.⁵⁹⁰ Shea has nowadays presented Anna Halprin’s experiment in “mutual creation” as a form of the TAZ, because it constitutes an affinity-based approach to collectivity.⁵⁹¹

According to Bey, if we cannot have a revolution, at least we can have an uprising, which means an intense life getting lived for usually no more than 18 months, or a few nights. This can be a TAZ in which the people live joyously and intensely in each other’s presence that Bey calls conviviality and living together. In this case, Bey does find the Occupy Movements very interesting, since they have organized themselves in a purely classical anarchism through consensus.⁵⁹² As for Professor Newman, he has argued that Tahrir Square was the most stunning example of the recent democratic insurrection by becoming the symbolic center of the protest and an autonomous liberated zone.⁵⁹³ Other scholars have found some connections among the TAZ, cyberculture, and cyberpunk.⁵⁹⁴ For instance, in *Sober Spaces in the Punk and Anarchist Scenes*, Karnage, an American activist, has observed that within the anarchist and punk scenes there are many interesting activities for a broad spectrum of individuals, including those who smoke, take drugs, drink, those who do not, and those who are recovering from drug abuse. We can also ask the people if it is OK for them when we drink, smoke, blow some coke, eat meat, take our shirt off, or kiss them.⁵⁹⁵

Professor Bookchin has however criticized Bey’s TAZ, because it, like lifestyle anarchism, appeals to sorcery and even mysticism. It is “*egocentric anarchism, with its postmodernist withdrawal into individualistic “autonomy”, Foucauldian “limit experiences”, and neo-Situationist “ecstasy”, threatens to render the very word anarchism politically and socially harmless – a mere fad for the titillation of the petty bourgeois of all ages.*”⁵⁹⁶ As far as I am concerned, I think that Professor Bookchin is not totally wrong, since Bey, like *Maître Vergès*, is a mixture of controversies and even naiveties by simultaneously kissing Islam, Hinduism, Judaism, Christianity, anarchism, and so on.⁵⁹⁷ Is he eventually an anarchist, a skillful opportunist, or both?

Relying on the right to a home as well as the anti-EU and other anti-globalization movements, the squats provide a space for autonomous politics and everyday free organizing in many cities around the

world. The squatters use the Infoshops and the Internet to connect to each other in a world in which more than 1,000,000,000 people, even in wealthy nations, are houseless.⁵⁹⁸ The States are not nonetheless acting properly to utilize vacant buildings for housing, but fervently defending property rights against the homeless people. As a result, the communists, anarchists, ravers, punks, hackers, and artists seize the vast, abandoned factories, forts, boarded-up schools, and churches, and transform them into the concert halls, cinemas, bars, squats, and art galleries. The squatters however have very little legal recognition, and they can usually keep their houses by helping each other and getting support from friends and the local communities.⁵⁹⁹

Carson has accordingly stated, on the one hand, as long as the State is legally enforcing the property rights of landlords, the squatters' victory will only be short and local, without any significant and permanent result. On the other hand, the squatters are indeed homeless and indigent people with very little to lose after all. In this case, some individuals reportedly commit certain minor offences around first frost every year only to get three hots and a cot until spring. If the homeless occupy every abandoned or vacant housing unit in a city, they will at least own shelter in the short term until the legal system forcibly removes them. And the political constraints against large-scale brutality are possibly insurmountable, if the squatters solely use the non-violent tactics and the mass media as well. In the meantime, the squatting movements perform a principal educative and propaganda service, develop political consciousness among urban residents, draw public attention and sympathy against the predatory character of landlordism, and, most importantly, keep the State and landlords permanently on the defensive.⁶⁰⁰

Squatting actually shares some characteristics with the Occupy Movements, such as spontaneity, direct action, and revolt against the existing order. In this case, Occupy Wall Street used the name of "occupation" because of cutting all the abstractions regarding affordable housing in order to make clear that this was a "squatter organization".⁶⁰¹ As for Toronto, after the "Pope Squat" in 2002, squatting has become an agenda for the Occupy activists, which the law enforcement agencies are kicking out of public parks, who are taking over empty buildings.⁶⁰²

5.3.1.2.2 The Mutualist Justice

They usually contribute the mutualist justice to the works of Proudhon, since if he did not like communism at all, he appreciated the mutual and free associations respecting individual property coming from labour, while he demanded the abolition of Government in the economic organism. This model indeed synthesizes individualism, capitalism, and socialism, which we could call "left libertarianism", rested on two

central claims of full self-ownership for all agents and egalitarian ownership of natural resources,⁶⁰³ “voluntary socialism”,⁶⁰⁴ or somehow “market socialism” as long as it does not embrace any centralized authority.⁶⁰⁵ Swartz has however argued that John Gray first used the word “mutualism” in 1832, and William Batchelder Greene then wrote a series of newspaper articles and eventually published under the title of “*Mutual Banking*”⁶⁰⁶ in 1849.⁶⁰⁷ The mutual aid and conflict resolution exist practically in some communities at the heart of the States, such as the traditional Egyptian villages.⁶⁰⁸

Rocker rejected “positive law” made by the States, while accepting “natural law” because it existed before the development of States and resulted in mutual agreements among human beings confronting one another as free and equal with the same interests and equal dignity.⁶⁰⁹ Other scholars would later share the same opinion by believing that restoring the community-environment relationship maintains not only individual dignity, but also mutually supportive and egalitarian relations among community members.⁶¹⁰ Mutual aid, unlike the capitalist and laissez-faire philosophies of politics, is, as Professor Konrad Zacharias Lorenz put, the solely “*phylogenetically adapted mechanism of behaviour*” of a self-preservative and repressive tendency in an evolutionary situation, which otherwise is destructive and predatory.⁶¹¹

In this case, Proudhon speaks, in *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, about “commutative justice” which is a synonym for the reign of contract in order to substitute the old systems of distributive justice, the reign of law, feudal, Governmental, or military rule by price and value resulted in agreement or mutuality. In his revolutionary system or a “society without authority”, industrial organization, contracts, economic forces, collective force, identity of interests, industrial associations, and economic centralization will respectively take the places of Government, laws, political powers, public force, police, standing armies, and political centralization. This goes hand in hand with the complete and immediate abolition of courts without any substitution or transition.⁶¹² As we can observe, his system is not far from controversies, because, for example, there will exist centralization under the title of “economic centralization”. Woodcock has accordingly found out the difference between Kropotkin’s communist model relying on “need”, Proudhon’s mutualism, and Bakunin’s collectivism, which both emphasized the systems of distribution related directly to the individual worker’s “labour time”. Kropotkin thought that any wage system, even administered by the Banks of the People or the workers’ associations through labour checks, is simply another form of compulsion, and a voluntary society does not therefore acknowledge such a thing any more.⁶¹³

Carson has relied on Proudhonism by arguing that the cost principle can realize only through the free market and voluntary exchange. The law of cost actually functions through the competitive mechanism

whereby the producers are involved into the market while price is not more than cost, and leave it in the contrary case. In a free market society, the price of a service or good is a sign of the cost entailed in producing it. Contrary to the Marxists and other anti-market socialists, Carson has believed that the law of value cannot merely be a description of commodity exchange in such a society, but fundamentally an ethical principle. As far as the legal system is concerned, Carson has invoked Dominick's "*Dual Power Strategy*"⁶¹⁴ requiring self-organization at the grassroots level to establish an "alternative social infrastructure". It contains, for example, the cooperatives of producers and consumers, LETS, mutual banks, syndicalist industrial unions, community-supported agriculture, tenant associations, rent strikes, neighbourhood associations, non police affiliated, crime-watch, cop-watch programs, and voluntary courts for civil arbitration. The "libertarian municipalist" project of devolving local Government works to the neighbourhood level, and the mutualized, rather than municipalized, social services also enter this heading.⁶¹⁵

Professors Johnson and Chartier have argued that the market anarchists, such as Warren and Proudhon, are radically individualists and belong to the anti-capitalist social movements, because of advocating individual freedom and mutual consent in every aspect of social life or the voluntary exchange of goods and services through individuals and groups in accordance with the expectation of mutual benefit. In other words, they recognize "the radical possibilities of market social activism" by appealing solidarity, mutuality, sustainability, economic equality, and social justice through non-violent direct action. If the "mutualists" (e.g., Lum, Swartz, and the European followers of Proudhon) defend that the emancipation of workers, who must have access to capital, would realize through the worker-owned cooperatives and direct worker ownership over the means of production, the "individualists" (e.g., Benjamin Tucker, Yarros, and Tandy) emphasize that under the conditions of equal liberty, the workers would arrange ownership according to circumstances. Professors Johnson and Chartier have also estimated that the most radical part of the market-oriented strand of the libertarian movement, represented by thinkers like Professor Rothbard and Childs, generally admitted "anarcho-capitalism", rather than the anti-capitalist economics of individualism and mutualism.⁶¹⁶

Professor Chartier has moreover believed that in a mutualist community, a court would apparently be quicker to acknowledge the rights of workers homesteading a shuttered factory than a similar court in a community with traditionally Lockean property rights. In a market anarchist community, a local jury could perfectly decide about denying someone ordinary services because of race. "*There is nothing,*" Professor Chartier has emphasized, "*about market anarchism, per se, that settles the question just how different*

*communities that all endorse private property rights will or should understand those rights, or just when different courts or protective agencies will be inclined to, say, award tort or contract damages. Which rights should be endorsed by a legal system in a market anarchist community, and what remedies should be available for their infringement, can only be answered in terms of ongoing moral argument – just the sort of argument that allows diverse communities in a market anarchist society to serve as laboratories in which experiments in living are carried on.*⁶¹⁷

In order to establish a Stateless society relying on mutuality and the NAP, Professor Chartier has advocated a “civil law system”, instead of the criminal law, with certain elements implying both “tort” and “restorative justice” which would be closer to anarcho-capitalism than anarcho-socialism. He has accordingly discussed both *rectifying injury* and *enforcing the law* in his model of an anarchist society.

As far as *rectifying injuries* or the violation of the NAP is concerned, firstly, a Stateless society is “crime-free”, since the absence of the State reduces occasions for conflict, and diminishes the frequency of aggressive violence or crime. Secondly, crime is actually a “Statist category” inasmuch as it is supposedly an offence against the king traditionally and the State currently, despite the “egalitarian rhetoric”. In other words, the State itself constitutes “an aggressive gang of thugs” prohibiting various peaceful activities such as prostitution, gambling, and the State-imposed monopolies. By abolishing the State and establishing a mutually free society, there would not therefore be such a thing as crime. In this case, civil justice would absorb criminal justice or the unjustified and dangerous CJS. Thirdly, the principle of fairness requires monetary restitution for an injury to body or possession, including the costs of medical care, restoring misappropriated possessions, and recovering damages (e.g., attorney’s fees and court costs). The victim or anyone else does not indeed benefit from the retributive punishment of an injurer, which itself opposes the principle of fairness. Fourthly, the violations of enforceable agreements require compensation for damage to or loss of possessions. Fifthly, some non-aggressive sanctions would add to monetary restitution, such as public shaming, ostracism, boycotts, peaceful strikes, work slowdowns, non-violent protest, and litigation-based approaches.⁶¹⁸ As we will later see in the capitalist model, Professor Chartier has the identical opinion on rectifying “environmentally mediated injuries” and protecting “vulnerable persons and sentient non-human animals”.

As far as I am concerned, it seems that Professor Chartier has not clearly explained the case of serious injuries, particularly murder which has sometimes nothing to do with the State. For instance, does he imply “blood money”? The answer would be positive: *“Money damages are available for physical injuries because money enables the replacement of a damage or misappropriated physical object or the repair of a bodily*

injury; it is, in effect, the best available substitute for an intact physical possession, organ, or limb."⁶¹⁹ Besides, who would receive money damages in the case of an alone victim? Would the community members do?

As for *enforcing the law*, Professor Chartier has recognized, firstly, legal authority would vitally maintain order in a Stateless society in accordance with agreement and the NAP, albeit it faces some limits or problems to avoid "the moral equivalent of the State". Secondly, the legal system of an anarchist society – whether in the form of the civil law, the common law, or something entirely different – is "polycentric" in three dimensions: *the territorially localized and consensus-based legal regime, the non-territorial and agreement-based legal regime, and the non-territorial and communal legal regime*. In the first dimension, the majority of people, certainly not all, voluntarily accept a set of legal rules, law-generation, and law-enforcement mechanisms in a given geographic territory. In the second, the people, who do not necessarily occupy the same territory, opt for the dispute resolution services as well as the legal rules of the regime. In the third, the people, who do not necessarily occupy the same territory, opt for the dispute resolution services, as well as the legal rules of the regime, of a cultural or religious community. Thirdly, "complete legal uniformity" is not acceptable in an anarchist society in which there are different dispute resolution services and law enforcement agencies as well. Fourthly, a polycentric legal order or legal diversity could lead to a measure of convergence. Fifthly, legal disputes in a Stateless society principally occur in three cases: two personal or organizational participants in the same legal regime, two participants in different legal regimes, and a personal or organizational outlaw not affiliated with any legal regime and a participant in a legal regime. The use of force is legitimate in these cases: if a peaceful legal dispute fails so that to use "*force against outlaws could be justified in limited circumstances.*"⁶²⁰

It seems that Professor Chartier has not eventually solved the problems of enforcing the law by violence, which would obviously call the NAP into question while somewhat echoing a form of the moral equivalent of the State. In addition, the quality or quantity of force or an aggressive action in Professor Chartier's anarchist legal order – while dispute resolution fails – is not clear. He would perhaps emphasize, once again, public pressure in an anarchist society in which there would apparently be considerable social and economic pressure on outlaws "*to affiliate with legal regimes and on regimes to standardize mechanisms for resolving cross-regime disputes.*"⁶²¹

The mutualist model has however divided the leftist and the rightist anarchists while leaving them in an ambiguous situation. For example, McKay has concluded that mutualism and communist anarchism commonly share many things. Both would agree about the need to construct some alternatives such as cooperatives, even though the latter estimates that this is not sufficient in itself. When they may make our

life better under capitalism and demonstrate that we should not live like cogs in the machine of economic growth, they are totally unable to transform capitalism. Rather than changing the system, the system will more likely change them as they adjust themselves to market forces in order to survive. According to McKay, we need to invent a culture of resistance in our communities and workplaces, a movement which fights capitalism and tries to replace it. In summary, “*we need revolutionary social movements,*” because “*mutualism is not enough.*”⁶²²

Those problems could lead us to think about another anarchist alternative, called the collectivist justice, which would be more socialist but less detailed than the mutualist justice.

5.3.1.2.3 The Collectivist Justice

Performed during the Spanish Revolution through the collectivisation of farmland and factories,⁶²³ anarchist collectivism is most famously associated with Bakunin who formulated anarcho-syndicalist tactics, but called himself a “collectivist” and “communist anarchist” as well. It is similar to anarchist mutualism, i.e. economic distribution according to deed and the private ownership of goods and services, and to anarchist communism, i.e. the revolutionary and anti-capitalist tendencies through the collectivization of the means of production.⁶²⁴ *An Anarchist FAQ* has however argued that the anarchists are neither collectivists nor individualists, because “collectivist” and “individualist” tendencies continually interact in modern capitalism. In other words, both capitalist collectivism and individualism constitute one-sided aspects of human existence and, like all imbalanced manifestations, are intensely flawed. Both individualism and collectivism practically lead to deny individual liberty and group autonomy and dynamics as well. “Individualism” has nonetheless produced a “collectivist” tendency within the society as capitalism has destroyed the communal forms of social organization in favour of ones founded on abstract individualism, authority, and hierarchy, which are all qualities that the State embodies as the only remaining agent of collective action in the capitalist worldview.⁶²⁵

The collectivists, whose Bakunist-inspired thesis was dominate until the 1880s, advocated the abolition of the State by the collective ownership of the means of production, on the one hand, and the workers’ right to “full and just pay” for their work and consequently to the private ownership of their produced goods and services, on the other hand.⁶²⁶ In this case, the question of “money” after an anarchist revolution reveals the difference between anarcho-collectivism and anarcho-communism insomuch as the latter considers that the abolition of money is the key, while the former considers that the end of the private ownership of the means of production is essential. In other words, production for use rather than

profit/money constitutes the principal concept distinguishing the communist and collectivist forms of anarchism from the competitive mutualism advocated by Proudhon. Most anarcho-collectivists however believe that over time, money will cease to exist as the sense of community becomes stronger and productivity rises. The collectivist anarchists indeed tend to agree with the mutualist anarchists about the fact that the economy would eventually evolve into communism as the legacies of scarcity and capitalism will disappear.⁶²⁷ Professor Eltzbacher has nonetheless found out that Bakunin foresaw collectivism as the future society, since private property will exist in the objects of consumption, while the instruments of labor, land, and all other capital will become only social property.⁶²⁸

As for the legal system in a Bakunist society, Professor Eltzbacher has argued that justice will serve as the basis of the future society, since without it, there will be no freedom, no living together, no prosperity, and no peace. There are neither jurists, nor theologians, nor metaphysicians who commend it, but humanity commands that every individual's enjoyment relates to the quantity of goods produced by her. In the progress of mankind from Statism to anarchism, the law, i.e. the laws of nature, will not disappear, but enacted law or State law. If enacted law functions in a low stage of evolution, there will be some laws and rights based on liberty (such as the rights to "independence" and "freely joining and separating" from the agricultural and industrial associations) in the next stage of evolution. Professor Eltzbacher has also explained that the Bakunist society will realize through a revolution unchaining and destroying everything that we currently call "evil passions" and "public order", which means destroying all institutions of inequality and establishing economic and social equality. The revolution will, among other things, dissolve the army, courts, corps of office-holders, police, clergy, official administration of justice, and all we call juristic law and its exercise.⁶²⁹

In reality, Bakunin thought that those destruction and dissolution of capitalism and Government make all permanent armies and police useless. However, he, like Proudhon, believed that the society cannot become completely defenseless against crime, even though it has no right to judge the criminals. He ironically argued that since the society is the only cause of crime, the social punishment of the criminals, who can never be guilty, is hypocritical or absurd. The only right of society "*in its present transitional state is the natural right to kill in self-defence the criminals it has itself produced, but not the right to judge and condemn them.*"⁶³⁰ In this case, I would ask: how can a collectivist society kill in the name of self-defence when the aggressor may suffer from some form of psychological or material disorders? How can it kill when it has no idea about the rightness of its action or its guilt because of, for example, failing to run crime preventive programs? Does not such a society eventually need the criminal Judge even throughout its jury? The

similar questions would exist in all internal as well as external levels in a Bakunist model. However, James Guillaume, a collectivist anarchist and Bakunin's friend, stated that we would doubtlessly ask how those committing murder and other violent crimes would be treated in the new equalized society. This society could not obviously, on the pretext of respect for individual rights and the negation of authority, permit a murderer to either run loose or wait for a friend of the victim to avenge him. He would have to be deprived of his freedom and confined to a special house until he could be returned to the society without danger.⁶³¹

Due to the fact the Bakuninist critiques of capitalism rely mostly on Marxism and Proudhonism,⁶³² work and property are so important in Bakunin's ideal society and its legal function as well. For instance, he did say that liberty exists within the society, not by its destruction, and there is thus no place for the people who act in ways that lessen liberty for others. They include all parasites living off the labour of others. Because work contributes to one's labour for the creation of wealth and constitutes the basis of political rights in an anarchist society, the people who live by exploiting others do not merit any political right. And those who steal, violate voluntary agreements by and within the society, inflict bodily harm, etc. can be punished through the laws created by the society members.⁶³³

It seems that Bakunin's teaching has really no alternative to the currently complex legal system better than some general concepts, particularly "communes" and "associations" whose legal function or similar thing is not clear, if not close to the current system. Bakunin indeed believed that the collectivist future of society by no means requires the setting up of any supreme authority, but the organization of society, collective, or social property from below upward by the voice of free union. In the name of freedom, on which alone an economic or political organization focuses, we should always protest against everything looking even remotely similar to communism or State socialism.⁶³⁴ He nonetheless accepted a form of centralization when speaking about a real organization that cannot work without some degree of regimentation, which after all merely results in a mutual agreement or a contract.⁶³⁵ The collectivist communes would actually elect all of their functionaries, law makers, Judges, and administrators of communal property. They would also decide about their own affairs but, if voluntarily federated to the next tier of administration or the provincial assembly, their constitutions have to conform to the provincial assembly. Similarly, the participating communes must accept the constitution of the province. The provincial assembly would specify the rights and obligations existing between communes, and pass the laws concerning the province as a whole.⁶³⁶

Kropotkin has thus criticized the mutualist and collectivist justices for two principal reasons: representative Government and the wages system. Albeit anarchist mutualism speaks of abolishing

capitalist rule, it intends to retain those institutions constituting the very basis of this rule. This form of Government is absolutely incomprehensible to anarchism, because the intelligent individuals, who are not wanting in the collectivist party, are able to remain the partisans of municipal or national parliaments after all lessons that history has produced in France, England, Germany, or the USA. As for the wages system, Kropotkin has questioned that after having abolished private property and communalized all means of production, how can the collectivists confirm the wages system in any form? They are however doing this by recommending *labour-cheques*.⁶³⁷ We should now know what the communist anarchists, including Kropotkin, advocate as an alternative to the legal system.

5.3.1.2.4 The Communist Justice

On the one side, it seems that the communist justice focuses on equality and consumption according to need, which is not always clear or maybe practical. It would also remind us of the Marxist mystified maxim “*from each according to his ability, to each according to his need*”.⁶³⁸ Here, there is, once again, a controversial or symbiotic relationship between anarchism and Marxism, especially in its authoritarian aspects, called authoritarian Marxism. There are indeed differences and similarities between “libertarian communism” and “authoritarian communism” throughout their ends and means.⁶³⁹ Pengam has accordingly stated that in 1843, under the Rabelaisian motto “*Do what you will!*” and in opposition to Étienne Cabet, Dézamy’s *Code de la Communauté*⁶⁴⁰ initiated the basis for the principles that some communist and anarchist-communist theoreticians (e.g., Déjacque, Marx, Engels, William Morris, and Kropotkin) would later develop in the nineteenth century. These principles aimed at subordinating the economy to the satisfaction of all populations’ needs, abolishing commercial exchange, money, the division of labour, introducing progressively attractive work, and destroying progressively the State and its functions through a revolutionary Government. In addition, albeit the Anarchist Congress of London in 1881 favoured “the abolition of all property including collective” and Kropotkin himself opposed “common use” to “ownership”, he did only admit the collectivist perspective of the transfer of property to a new agent, i.e. to the society as a whole.⁶⁴¹ According to Nettlau, François Dumartheray spoke of “*communisme libertaire*” (anarchist communism) for the first time at the end of the nineteenth century, a term which speedily spread in Europe then.⁶⁴²

On the other side, the Rainbow Family of Living Light could be a modern example of practicing the communistic system.

5.3.1.2.4.1 Equality and Consumption According to Need

As its name would imply, the communist justice system relies on “equality”. In *The Liberation of Society from the State: What Is Communist Anarchism?*, Mühsam has thus emphasized the importance of equal rights in communist anarchism on both national and international scales, throughout its revolutionary worldview and objective. On the one hand, communist anarchism allows a natural connection between the individual and the society, with equal rights, mutual support, and the personal responsibility of each individual in awareness of the total obligation and common responsibility for the society, and becomes the mode of living for humanity as well. On the other hand, communist federalism tries to build up the communal body from below by generating the creative forces in direct communication with one another in order to take the measures on which the well-being of both individual and community rely while guaranteeing citizenry. A communist community is indeed a form of organization through natural order corresponding to the demands of justice, mutuality, equality, shared personal responsibility, and the community of individuals. In this case, social consciousness makes a difference between lawful and unlawful behaviors in accordance with their regard and disregard for equal rights.⁶⁴³

When it comes to developing or influencing a communist justice based on humanity, compassion, and idealism, Kropotkin has undoubtedly played an important role.⁶⁴⁴ This is actually an alternative to State criminal law that Kropotkin has called “organized vengeance”.⁶⁴⁵ His comrade, Malatesta, has recognized that the anarchists are not the only social forces within the society, since the different social attitudes and philosophies coexist and conflict. As a result, some antisocial acts will always exist, and the people will also exist with an urge to punish and to keep the punitive machinery with everything that it will entail. If the anarchists do not discover and use the methods of containing these acts, they should undoubtedly continue to be the victims of the authoritarian solutions that others are so eager and ready to apply.⁶⁴⁶ Violence is, for Malatesta, admissible only while it is unavoidable to defend oneself and others from violence, and it is where necessity stops that crime starts.⁶⁴⁷

Malatesta has also coped with the problem of crime in the anarchist community. In his opinion, crime means any action tending to consciously increase human suffering and violating the right of all individuals to equal freedom and to the greatest possible enjoyment of moral and material well-being. It is mostly rooted in the institutional problems, rather than the individual ones. According to the authoritarians and Statesmen, there is a simple solution: a legislative institution listing the crimes and prescribing the sanctions, a cop hunting out the criminals, a magistrate judging them, and, eventually, a prison making them suffer. As a result, by eliminating all social causes of crime, we develop in mankind brotherly feelings

and mutual respect which are, as François Marie Charles Fourier put, a useful alternative to crime. If we cannot change the whole concept of crime, we can neither abolish all organisms living on its prevention and repression. Malatesta, like Guillaume and Berkman,⁶⁴⁸ has thought that we can however believe in its automatic disappearance because of an increase in material well-being, education, as well as advances in pedagogy and medicine. Albeit our belief and the future could be optimistic and rosy, crime and its fear nowadays prevent peaceful social relations, and they will not certainly disappear from one moment to a radical and thoroughgoing revolution. They would even be the cause of upheaval and disintegration in a free society, just as an insignificant grain of sand is able to stop the most perfect machine.⁶⁴⁹

When it comes to the death penalty, Malatesta, unlike certain repressive anarchists (e.g., Benjamin Tucker), could hopefully be abolitionist:

*"Fortunately only few men are born, or become, moral bloodthirsty and sadistic monsters whose death we would not know how to mourn. If these poor devils were to be a continuous threat to everybody and there were no other way of defending ourselves other than by killing them, one could also admit the death penalty. But the trouble is that in order to carry out the death penalty one needs an executioner. The executioner is, or becomes, a monster, and on balance it is better to let the monsters that there are go on living, rather than to create others. And this applies to real delinquents, anti-social beings who arouse no sympathy and provoke no commiseration. When it comes to the death penalty as a means of political struggle, then ... well history teaches us what can be the consequences."*⁶⁵⁰

On the grounds of human solidarity, Malatesta has advocated a form of communism involving the common ownership of property and the socialization of production. According to him, only anarchist communism would liberate humanity and destroy political power, i.e. the State, and the conquest of the land and all existing riches.⁶⁵¹ Faure has similarly presented libertarian communism as the remedy for all authoritarian Bastilles: capitalism, religion, Government, police, army, magistracy, parliament, and family.⁶⁵² Malatesta has furthermore recommended the organization of social life through the free associations and federations of producers and consumers, created and modified in accordance with the wishes of their members.⁶⁵³ Malatesta, unlike Bakunin's fascination with the secret societies, has considered that the anarchists should essentially publicize maximally their activities to reach as many people as possible. Besides his rejection of all parliamentary action, he has deeply criticized any trade-union movement setting up a central committee with permanent officials. He has finally synthesized his opinion in the draft text of an *Anarchist Programme*, accepted by the Unione Anarchica Italiana at its Congress in Bologna in 1920.⁶⁵⁴

Herod's *Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods*, functioning in accordance with direct democracy, could be an anarchist communist model in which there is no ownership of land, natural resources, and labour, because they are not commodities, but socially and cooperatively defined, shared, and managed.

Even though no higher authority exists to enforce the laws and to resolve the conflict in favour of one party or the other, these free associations grant a way out of this muddle for many identity conflicts short of outright crime. New identities and lifestyles are always emerging as mortal phenomena, because they come up and come out like everything else. Thus, the people with shared beliefs and practices are able to establish the communities, live together, or leave their communities as they please, and no one can stop them. The world actually constitutes a big place in which there exists room for everyone. They are only the cancers of imperialism and nationalism that have spawned and metastasized everywhere so that the world has begun to seem crowded.⁶⁵⁵

All in all, it seems that the anarchist communists have not detailed their program for a legal system. For instance, as one of his reviewers implies, in spite of promising to sketch “*some ways in which a communist anarchist program for strengthening the rule of criminal law might be implemented*,” Professor Pepinsky has not really presented a detailed system of communist anarchism which would replace the American criminal law.⁶⁵⁶ Legal anarchism should therefore examine a practical model of anarcho-communism.

5.3.1.2.4.2 The Rainbow Family of Living Light

Professor Niman thinks that the Rainbow Family of Living Light inspired by Kropotkin’s humanistic reaction to antisocial behaviour by compassion, mutuality, volunteering, benevolence, love, friendship, and consensual decision-making. It has however some rules or norms, such as not to do business, not to drink alcohol (except in A-Camp reserved for alcohol), not to fight, and not to steal. It accordingly has an anarcho-communistic strategy in which the “Shanti Sena”, i.e. the “Peace Center”, plays an important role when it comes to keeping peace in the Rainbow community.⁶⁵⁷ This humanistic and non-violent approach to antisocial behaviour can be also observable in *peacemaking criminology*,⁶⁵⁸ which opposes State law constructed over capital punishment, death row, lengthy prison sentences, and the criminalization of non-violent actions (e.g., drug offences).

How does the Rainbow community respond to an antisocial action? It does by an “Om circle”, which is inspired by Buddhism, a circle of peacekeepers around the threatening or agitated people. The Rainbows hold hand, and chorus “Om” that is supposedly a non-violent tactic. They also use this tactic against unpopular and unjustifiable dissent.⁶⁵⁹ Because the Shanti Sena exists in every Rainbow, every member of the Rainbow Family is responsible for keeping the gatherings peaceful and safe, even in the face of violent attitudes or provocations.⁶⁶⁰ There nevertheless exists “the elite Shanti Sena” or “the Shanti Sena organization”, which “*is legitimized by the majority of Rainbows, who willingly take orders from them.*”⁶⁶¹ It seems

that the task of this organization is not clear when it comes to preventing or responding to robbery, mental illness, physical violence, and alcohol-related problems.⁶⁶² I may argue that the Rainbow Family, as a temporary micro-society, is not actually able to solve the problems that our macro-societies have already produced and magnified, because of social inequality and injustice *tous azimuts* in wealth, education, sexuality, job, etc.

Although Professor Niman explains how Rainbow Family has some capacities to heal violent individuals, serious violence has challenged this community. Legal anarchism invokes the case of *Ryan Pringle* as an example. He came to a rural commune, called the “School of Happiness”, in Northern New South Wales on April 16, 2012. He had arrived at the camp earlier that day when a group called the Rainbow Family Australia was preparing for a six-week gathering. He started to act violently and erratically while threatening the Rainbow Family members with a knife. Three of the campers fled the scene and drove to the nearby town of Tenterfield, in which they called the police. Pringle reportedly threatened the remaining ten campers for nine hours, apparently punched three of them, and dislocated one man’s shoulder. After the police arrived, Pringle reportedly dropped his knife and fled into the woods, before returning with a crossbow and demanding the police to drop their weapons. The police eventually opened fire, first with a Taser then a firearm, and killed Pringle. After his death, the Rainbow Family declared, “*We would like to thank the police officers who came to our aid ... That the media paint the police in a less than glorious light is an offence to decency. They were, and are, our champions and we are forever in their debt!*”⁶⁶³

We may regard Pringle case as an exceptional event to which an “Om circle” was not apparently a sufficient response. Professor Niman has however given another example of what the Yippies call “Peace-pigs”. In this ironic case, some peace activists called the “*police to arrest other peace activists for selling peace paraphernalia at a peace demonstration!*”⁶⁶⁴ As for the Rainbow gathering in Colorado in 2014, there were four non-fatal stabbings.⁶⁶⁵

For applying the criminal policy of the Rainbow Family to a larger community, we need to think about some points. Firstly, the Rainbow gatherings are *temporary zones*, made of some people who want to escape from political authority for a while and to enjoy their existence without social and political restrictions altogether. They do not consequently face the evils of current societies (particularly exploitation and poverty), which have some crucial effects on the CJS. Secondly, how their general rules (such as non-commercialism and consensual decision-making) may prevent crime, rehabilitate, or punish criminals in some dangerous cases is still challenging. We may regard these gatherings so rosy or artificial instead of a

universal model for our complicated societies, which the Rainbows call “Babylon”.⁶⁶⁶ Professor Niman has hence concluded that Shanti Sena actions cannot solve all conflicts.⁶⁶⁷

5.3.1.2.5 The Syndicalist Justice

It seems that the syndicalist justice, well developed and defended despite many conflicts and repressive laws,⁶⁶⁸ takes part in anarchist mutualism, collectivism, and communism as well, because in all of them exist the questions of production and consumption by the workers. *An Anarchist FAQ* has accordingly stated that all anarchist mutualism, collectivism, and communism are rooted in self-management in the workplace, while the syndicalist, collectivist, and communist anarchists reject the free market. Moreover, the anarcho-syndicalists, like other syndicalists, aim at creating an industrial union movement relied on anarchist ideas by the decentralized and federated unions using direct action to reform capitalism until they will become strong enough to overthrow it. In many ways, we can consider anarcho-syndicalism as a new version of collectivist anarchism, which also stresses the importance of anarchists working within the labour movement and creating unions to prefigure the future free society. The idea of federations of syndicates comes indeed back to Proudhon’s agro-industrial federation, first raised during the 1848 Revolution and named as such in his 1863 book titled *The Principle of Federation*.⁶⁶⁹

Professor Eisfeld has accordingly argued that Proudhon’s heritage before his death, called the “syndicalist movement”, came into existence through the associations of federated individual workers (“natural groups”), united by coordinating bodies and industrial workers’ action as the way toward the emancipation and foundation of libertarian society. *Du Principe Fédératif* proposed a libertarian economic and political alternative to capitalist property and the centralized bourgeois State, while *De la Capacité Politique des Classes Ouvrières*⁶⁷⁰ argued that only the social or direct action of the working people would establish such a federation of associations and communes.⁶⁷¹

As for Professor Ferguson, she has affirmed that Kropotkin, like Goldman and Berkman, embraced more strongly syndicalism at the end of his life, because it could incorporate the daily lives of the people into the anarchist revolution.⁶⁷² Goldman indeed thought about both theoretical and practical aspects of syndicalism, since almost all leading unionists agree with the libertarians that a free society would only exist through certain voluntary association whose ultimate success would depend upon the moral and intellectual development of the workers supplanting the wage system with a new social arrangement relied on solidarity and economic well-being for all.⁶⁷³

An Anarchist FAQ has also argued that when it comes to the confederations of syndicates, i.e. the negotiated and coordination bodies, under collectivist and communist anarchism, they would have two key roles. They firstly share and coordinate information produced by the syndicates, and secondly determine the response to the changes in production and consumption indicated by this information. They would also be responsible for the clearly defined branches of production, while the production units would generally operate in only one branch of production. They would moreover have direct links to other confederations and the relevant communal confederations supplying the syndicates with guidelines for decision-making. On the one hand, a collectivist society acknowledges that the individuals would either arrange the loans of community labour banks for credit, or use their own savings in order to start up a new syndicate. This will apparently limit the number of new syndicates being formed. On the other hand, a communist society offers the similar solution to the collectivist one, since there would still be some basic agreements between its members for work done and so for work placements with excess supply of workers. In the first society, the individuals doing unpleasant work may be “rewarded” with a slightly higher pay, while in the second, a similar solution would be possible, with the number of necessary hours demanded by an individual being reduced by an amount that relates to the undesirability of the work involved. The agreements between the syndicates would determine the actual levels of reward.⁶⁷⁴

Maximoff has presented a program to create a Confederation of Free Communes with their Councils (Soviets), or a form of Communalism substituting for Statism. He has actually foreseen the future anarchist society throughout three essential institutions: 1) the unions of producers leading to a fruitful communism of producers by syndicalizing production; 2) the associations of consumers leading to a consumers and communism by utilizing cooperation; 3) the territorial associations leading to a unity in diversity by Communalism, or a Confederation of Peoples based upon liberty and equality. As for organizing the military defense for this society, there would be a General Arming of the Workers as the basis for a People’s Militia, strengthened by all organizational and technical attainments of military science. Communalism would submit this Militia, organized according to an industrial basis, to the productive associations, and engage it in productive and useful efforts in times of peace. It would organize a citizen guard’s service, helped by the House Committees, for the purpose of peace and public security. The citizens themselves would in turn assume the general duty of defense, which means a self-defense with no central organization from above. The voluntary tribunals of arbitration would replace the existing courts. In the cases of grave crimes, connected with manslaughter or offences against liberty and equality, Communalism would establish a special and temporary communal court when abolishing the permanent courts. It would also abolish the

prisons insomuch as the schools, hospitals, doctors, and all public welfare and liberty could prove the safest means to get rid of crimes and criminals altogether.⁶⁷⁵

As an iconoclastic defender of anarcho-syndicalism and libertarian socialism altogether,⁶⁷⁶ Professor Chomsky has emphasized both spiritual and material relevance of anarcho-syndicalism. On the one side, libertarian socialism has contributed to a spiritual transformation by changing the way in which human beings conceive themselves and their ability to act, decide, create, produce, and enquire. The social thinkers from the left-Marxist traditions and Luxembourg to the anarcho-syndicalists have always highlighted this spiritual transformation. They have actually purposed the creation of the institutions contributing to this transformation in the nature of work and creative activity, merely in social bonds among people, while this interaction of creating institutions permits new aspects of human nature to flourish and build more libertarian institutions to which these liberated human beings would contribute. On the other side, there exists another anarchist tradition developing into anarcho-syndicalism that simply regards anarchist ideas as the proper mode of organization for a highly complex and advanced industrial society. This anarchist tendency merges or at least interrelates very closely with the different schools of left-wing Marxism, such as the Council Communists developed in the Luxembourgian tradition and later represented by the Marxist theorists like Anton Pannekoek.⁶⁷⁷

In spite of its development and critical approach to globalization,⁶⁷⁸ the syndicalist justice has been subject to severe criticisms. For instance, although Professor Bookchin agreed, in *The Ghost of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, that syndicalism or workers' control of industry means synonymously anarchism, he concluded that as "realistic" and "practical" as anarchist syndicalism could seem, it performs an archaic ideology rooted in a sectorial interest or a narrowly economistic notion of bourgeois interest.⁶⁷⁹ From the very beginning, the anarcho-communists have increasingly criticized the anarcho-syndicalists because of their obsession with workplace organizing.⁶⁸⁰ When it comes to the relationship between syndicalism and anarchism, Malatesta had already stated that the working organization does not constitute an end but just one of the means, albeit important, of achieving anarchism.⁶⁸¹

In the light of the black record of union violence and intimidation over the years, i.e. a form of violence inherent in their assumed power to keep non-strikers off their jobs, Professor Rothbard argued in *Syndical Syndrome*, it is hard to understand why so many libertarians have lately embraced anarcho-syndicalism and the "working class" as well. Despite their arrogance and coercion, the labour unions believe that they are the harbinger of any totally syndicalist society in the future.⁶⁸² We could accordingly understand why Serge has warned us against syndicalism in its despotically organizational structure by

giving the General Confederation of Labour as an example of the transposition of parliamentary hideousness. This form of unionism is actually an impeccable copy of the parliamentary farce, in which even exist the clowns through the delegation of power, votes, decisions having the force of law, as well as half hidden combinations, personal competition, and kitchen squabbles.⁶⁸³ Some scholars have thus regarded “anarcho-syndicalism” and “organization” as synonymous.⁶⁸⁴ In other words, due to syndical hierarchy and bureaucracy, any attempt to organize the workers into unions, transform unions, and assume leadership of these “mass organizations”, as the Leninists call them, has miserably failed.⁶⁸⁵

Our experience with syndicalism and its corruption shows the influence of not only political power but also the Mafia upon the unions.⁶⁸⁶ Professor Lupo has thus observed, *“Italian Empire and Labor Movement composed for the most part of ex-convicts, which distinguished itself by its clearly sympathetic stance toward the Mafia as a supposed form of “syndicalism” through which the peasants “seized by force ... the means of survival from the local seigneurs and large estate owners.”*”⁶⁸⁷ Professor Carter has however noticed that whilst the State, the Church, and the Mafia ruled in Western Sicily for several decades, the workingmen seriously challenged the Mafia that killed forty-three syndicalists.⁶⁸⁸ In this case, we could suspect the Kropotkinian hot defense of “mutual aid” in legal as well as illegal businesses, such as politics, Mafia, unionism, and professorshipism. However, the end of syndicalism depends actually on the end of workerism,⁶⁸⁹ and not on mutualism. It moreover seems that anarcho-syndicalism is more worried about the condition of workers than that of the environment, even though some scholars have formerly spoken about “green syndicalism”, “syndical ecology”, or “eco-syndicalism”.⁶⁹⁰

5.3.1.2.6 The Eco-Anarchist Justice

The eco-anarchist justice is an anarchist response to human-centered hierarchies causing the fundamental drivers of ecological overshoot, ecosystem collapse, and biological extinctions, on the one side. It tries to give actively over more of the earth to non-humans, and to restore natural ecosystems, as the strongest manner to hand power back to non-humans while giving the space to them in order to continue their resistance to human subjugation, on the other side.⁶⁹¹

We cannot regard the eco-anarchist justice as “a pure theory”, but a group of projects to establish harmonious and equitable existence among human and non-human identities. For example, Institute for Social Ecology recommends a reconstructive and transformative outlook on environmental and social issues, as well as a directly democratic and confederative politics. Social ecology, as a body of ideas, actually demands a moral economy that goes beyond hierarchy and scarcity, and toward a world re-harmonizing human communities with the natural world and celebrating diversity, creativity, and freedom.⁶⁹²

Although, as we have already observed, almost all anarchist schools have treated the ecological issues, green anarchy places itself in the first rank of these schools in this case. In its radical form, i.e. anarcho-primitivism's "*all-or-nothing approach*" that advocates living in hunting-gathering communities,⁶⁹³ green anarchy would require neither written law nor sophisticated legal system. However, Professor Smith is right to offer an apology for a "moral fable" that especially regards inanimate things and animals as its characters, contrary to an apology for the lack of specific environmental policies and those who would exactly implement them.⁶⁹⁴

As legal anarchism has partly analyzed in *the Problems of Natural Resources and Technology* and *the Green Principle*, green anarchy tries to establish the communities living in harmony with nature, such as the use of green energy. Such a green approach would be very useful on a global scale to overcome the ecological problems or even catastrophes stemming from human beings and their lifestyles. Let legal anarchism focus on Professor Bookchin's ideas in this case, since he has tried to respond to the ecological issues in a modern and anarchist society.

As we have already observed throughout the link between the degradation of nature and that of international relationships, Professor Bookchin has estimated, what actually "*concerns us is our destiny as a life form and the future of the biosphere itself. The possible deaths of vast forests, including the tropical rain forests that girdle the earth, speak crises that threaten the integrity of our entire ecological fabric.*"⁶⁹⁵ His libertarian municipalism relies thus on "a holistic approach to an ecologically oriented economy" as well as "direct democracy". In his ecological system, the citizens make policies and concrete decisions about industrial production and agriculture in face-to-face assemblies. As citizens and not simple workers, farmers, or professionals, they are involved in rotating productive activities, irrespective of their profession.⁶⁹⁶

In Bookchinian *New Municipal Agenda*, everything stems from "the municipality": freedom, citizenship, confederation, and interdependence. Municipal liberty is the foundation of political liberty that is itself the foundation of individual liberty. It actually recovers a new participatory politics founded around self-empowered, free, and active citizens. A municipal politics also requires the municipalisation of the economy. The municipality here means "the citizen body in face-to-face assembly" absorbing the economy into its public business, and divesting it of privatization into a self-service enterprise. In summary, the *New Municipal Agenda* aims at replacing the State with a confederal network of municipal assemblies and reducing the corporate economy to a truly political economy in which municipalities, which interact with each other politically and economically, resolve their material issues as citizen bodies in open and non-hierarchical assemblies, not merely as farmers, professionals, and white or blue-collar workers. These

municipal citizens will firstly transform themselves from occupational beings into public beings. They will then create a world where all weapons will become plowshares. They will ultimately tailor psychologically, spiritually, structurally, architecturally, and technologically a human eco-community to the natural communities composing our planet.⁶⁹⁷

By libertarian municipalism, Professor Bookchin had in his mind the original Hegelian meaning of *politics*: the management of the *polis*'s affairs through a participatory democratic body of institutions.⁶⁹⁸ Furthermore, his Hegelian ideal of political life is deeply rooted in *the Athenian polis* in which the citizens discussed and decided about the issues of polis by arriving at a public consensus.⁶⁹⁹ He may have not mentioned the problems of sexism, racism, slavery, imperialism, militarism, and warfare of so-called *Athenian democracy*⁷⁰⁰ – as we could nowadays find them, to some degree, in so-called *American democracy* – that he certainly despised!⁷⁰¹ Professor Bookchin really dreamed a type of “ecological face-to-face democracy” replacing capitalism through some ecologically decenyalized communities based on non-hierarchical relationships, eco-technologies (such solar power), humanly scaled industries, and organic agriculture.⁷⁰²

Because Professor Bookchin was deeply rooted in Marxism, his anarchist model is an ecological society based on “*libertarian communism*”, which means a non-hierarchical and self-managed society, free of all forms of domination. Each individual participates directly, fully, and equally in the unmediated management of the community, and has free access to all means of life.⁷⁰³ Such a model is “egalitarian”, because a free society with an ethics of complementarity would compensate for the unavoidable inequalities in degrees of intellectuality, physical differences, and needs among individuals. It would also apply the notion of equality to the non-human world, since differences among species are far more than among human beings. Professor Bookchin thus argued, social ecology substitutes *wholeness* and *complementarity* for “biocentricity”, “anthropocentricity”, “ecocentricity”, and other “centricities” plaguing humanity today, which rely on the notion of “otherness” and the differentiations. In order to create a free society based on wholeness, complementarity, and differentiation, we have to be concrete and avoid the characteristic of the mystical tendencies in the ecology movement: the diffuseness. In this case, Professor Bookchin has judiciously found out that we would re-examine the cleavages separating humanity from nature as well as the splits within the human society originally producing these cleavages, if the notion of wholeness becomes intelligible and the reopened eye glimpses a fresh image of liberty.⁷⁰⁴

Professor Bookchin has somehow advocated “the deprofessionalization of politics” as much as possible in libertarian municipalism, in which the people regain power from the State.⁷⁰⁵ This form of

deprofessionalization would imply the destruction or, at least, reduction of the division or specialization of labour. For instance, through open assemblies or direct democracy, the municipal citizens would manage the legal as well as judicial affairs. This also makes sense if we look at the legal system as “an ideological and political exercise of power” by some powerfully “political animals” that we ironically call “civil servants”. Professor Bookchin may nonetheless be controversial for the following reasons.

Firstly, he regarded urban space as a unique place inasmuch as it offers a strictly human basis for social and economic life ceasing to rely exclusively on kinship ties and a sexual division of labour. In this space, territorial lines open the possibility for a social life that functions according to self-worth and individual capacities, which has replaced the biological matrix of social life segregating the labour process in accordance with brute physical capacities and viewing the strangers as enemies.⁷⁰⁶ Such an apology for urbanism would contradict Professor Bookchin’s own ecological communalism founded on decentralized and small communities. Secondly, did Professor Bookchin controversially regard “the social ecologists as technocrats” (i.e. the professionals) that Professor Watson has tried to show?⁷⁰⁷ Thirdly, Bookchin’s *eco-anarchism*, i.e. anarchism and social ecology, has to consider that normal people have probably “*the untapped power to reason on a level that does not differ from that of humanity’s most brilliant individuals.*”⁷⁰⁸ How would be the fate of those who are either “abnormal” or so “exceptional” to manipulate others in Bookchin’s *eco-anarchist communities*? In summary, even though Professor Bookchin knew well that the future of justice without solving the problem of “inequality” would betray its claims to uphold the individual and social rights,⁷⁰⁹ he left us to solve the puzzle of his ecological system as far as, particularly, the legal system is concerned. Fourthly, Professor Bookchin believed that human beings are supposedly “rational enough” to achieve a free and ecological society (i.e. the communal society) where they will act in accordance with “the highest level of intellectual and moral probity”, if not they “*will not deserve to be free.*”⁷¹⁰ According to such a belief, if we had these qualities of intelligence and morality, we would not hopefully need the State and its “viruses”, including the legal professionals; but the reality of our existence has unfortunately proved that we are so far from this belief. Should we however abandon all hope? Certainly, not!

I may therefore think that Professor Bookchin did not really reject a legal system close to the current one, because “the minimum programme” and “the maximum programme” in his communalistic system contain controversially power, hierarchy, electoralism, and legislation as well, i.e. “State environmentalism”. As the minimum programme, local electoral politics institutionalizes power in the municipality in which the participants to “*Communalism mobilize themselves to electorally engage in a potentially important centre of power – the municipal council – and try to compel it to create legislatively potent neighbourhood assemblies.*”⁷¹¹ The

maximum programme of communalism aims at fully institutionalizing the early forms of community self-management, this eventually leads to electoral success at the municipal level and to establish a new office rooted in community organization. The power of this office legislates “popular assemblies into existence”, in which the active citizens will envision and create lasting institutions and organizations that will socially transform the real world.⁷¹² Despite his communist and egalitarian hot blood, Professor Bookchin’s communalism may be evaporative by implying “classness”, since the maximum programme “*seeks to radically restructure cities’ governing institutions into popular democratic assemblies based on neighborhoods, towns, and villages. In these popular assemblies, citizens – including the middle classes as well as the working classes – deal with community affaires on face-to-face basis, making policy decisions in a direct democracy, and giving reality to the ideal of humanistic, rational society.*”⁷¹³ As a result, some intellectuals have criticized Bookchin’s ecological communalism for superficiality, bureaucracy, and the lack of universality of citizenship relying narrowly on municipality, among other criticisms.⁷¹⁴ His comrade, Biehl, even found out, “*At the second conference of the libertarian municipalism series, in Vermont in 1999, Murray broke with anarchism;*”⁷¹⁵ as she would later do the same thing.⁷¹⁶

It seems that Professor Bookchin, like many other anarchists, spent a huge amount of his energy, time, and scholasticism to historically and ontologically criticize and undermine State legal system, while he was so resistant to talk a bit more about the function of the law, or whatever he liked to call, in his libertarian model. For example, when it comes to “the liberatory achievements of justice”, he advocated “*a new advance that will include the individuality fostered by justice’s maxim of equals and the shared participation of the individual in a common humanity.*”⁷¹⁷ We could have some questions in respect of his advocacy, such as how will these maxim and shared participation realize in our highly divided theoretically as well as practically societies? In this case, we have a parasitic, prestigious, and dangerous group of masters (especially the politicians, corporatists, police officers, Judges, prosecutors, lawyers, philosophers, Professors, and criminologists) for them we must provide a very comfortable lifestyle with our money, minds, bodies, and ...!

Eventually, Professor Bookchin, as a “real American highbrow”, was absolutely aware of the power of the “American Dream”⁷¹⁸ in its “emancipatory features”, since a municipal agenda is worthless without piecing together these features. He thus argued that our political landscape is open for the revival of a dream emphasizing community, individuality, decentralism, and direct democracy.⁷¹⁹ Why cannot we apply this openness to the legal system in eco-anarchism? May I controversially think that he could accordingly be close to anarcho-capitalism that he did not certainly appreciate as an anarchist communist?

5.3.1.3 The Capitalist Alternatives

As law Professors Búrca and Gerstenberg have written, a Hayekian approach constitutes the core of the legal system in anarcho-capitalism, which considers the rule of law as a social facilitator able to establish the impersonal and formal guidelines permitting the spontaneous order of the free market to forward without impediment, while any other system is derivative.⁷²⁰ According to this approach with its effervescing market terminology, the law and punishment, as two commodities or services, aim basically at protecting property rights, since, as Professor Stringham has already emphasized, if the markets are capable of providing bread, they can also provide the legal system. The private organizations would therefore supply security at universities, colleges, hotels, casinos, shopping malls, courts, and so on, in accordance with the logic of private-property anarchism.⁷²¹ Because the legal realm is not different from other industries, the market allows the consumers to buy services supplied by the entrepreneurs, which are far superior to the Statists' imagination.⁷²² In summary, the private firms or protection agencies are perfectly able to provide the protection of individuals and their property in a free market based on competition as well as public reputation, and managed by an invisible-hand mechanism, which is supposedly efficient enough to prevent corruption and brutality that we find in State legal system. In the case of conflict between two or more agencies, a firm specialized in arbitration would solve their problem.⁷²³

Based on "tort", the capitalist alternatives are basically rooted in the famous economists' ideas of the Austrian School of Economics, especially Ludwig von Mises, Hayek, Rothbard, Milton and David Friedman. The Ludwig von Mises Institute and the Journal of Libertarian Studies have accordingly become the cradle of the rightist think-tankers who propose the privatization of justice, in contrast to socialism as well as Keynesianism or the welfare State.⁷²⁴ Krepelka has perfectly concluded this ideology throughout his "pure libertarian ideas" aiming at abolishing the welfare State through the owners' right to discriminate freely and better protection of property rights.⁷²⁵ Even two leftist thinkers have emphasised that a truly private model of law and legal integration must reject the State as a regulatory framework.⁷²⁶ Overall, the capitalist models would be actually very close to the individualist model in its extreme form, but more sophisticated and detailed in the fields of the law, punishment, prison, police, and court. This could also embrace conservatism in the framework of so-called "paleolibertarianism" or "libertarian conservatism".⁷²⁷

Legal anarchism should now examine such a marketing logic highlighting the "crimes against property", so dear to capitalism certainly. It is however very important to notice that due to an abundant literature relating to the anarcho-capitalist ideas on the legal system, it is very difficult, if not impossible, to mention all capitalist models here.⁷²⁸ Legal anarchism's examination about these ideas is not therefore

complete, but trying to show the main ideas of free market anarchism regarding the legal system in a libertarian society. In this case, legal anarchism analyzes firstly the free market law and punishment containing the crimes against individuality, property, and nature, then the free market enforcement agencies including the private police and court, and eventually some critiques of the capitalist alternatives or justice.

5.3.1.3.1 The Free Market Law and Punishment

Instead of speaking about “direct democracy”, “consensus decision-making”, and “rehabilitation” in the legal system, the free marketing anarchists are really obsessed with selling and buying the law, punishment, and security founded on so-called “private property rights” and the “NAP” as well. These are all managed by the private corporation or the private defense agency (PDA) in both civil and military forms (i.e. the privatization of security and defense in the very lucrative but bloody markets),⁷²⁹ because the free markets’ legal system is nothing better than “commodity”, “good”, or “service” with a specific price determined by the free markets’ invisible hand. In short, the legislative, judiciary, and executive authorities or powers are *per se* monetary, marketable, or entrepreneurial for those who can afford their costs, contrary to the CJS as a public good.⁷³⁰

For instance, Molinari developed his free market opinion about the legal system in *The Production of Security*, in which the production of security is subject to the law of free competition, as the interests required by the consumers. On the contrary, if we removed the production of security from the jurisdiction of free competition as it is in the case of monopoly and communism, the society would suffer a loss as a whole. According to Molinari, because mankind is an imperfect creature, not all people do recognize the right of individual to her person and goods, and some of them make criminal attempts, by either violence or fraud, against the individuals or their property. The society therefore needs an industry preventing or suppressing these forcible or fraudulent aggressions. In order to guarantee the consumers full security of their persons and property, there are three essential elements. Firstly, the producer of security specifies some penalties against the criminals of persons and property. Secondly, he would impose certain inconveniences on the consumers aiming at facilitating the discovery of the criminals. Thirdly, in order to cover his costs of production and an appropriate return for his efforts, he regularly gathers a certain sum varying according to the consumers’ situation, occupations, and the extent, value, and nature of their properties. As for the consumer, he is able to buy security wherever he likes. Moreover, the rule of free

competition makes war between the producers of security unjustifiable, because the consumers would not permit themselves to be conquered.⁷³¹

Professor Friedman has defended, in *The Machinery of Freedom: Guide to a Radical Capitalism*, that the private defense agencies would sell a legal service to their customers while having a strong incentive to supply security service with the higher quality and the lower cost than the present Governmental protective agencies. In his ideal market society, the private arbitrators, like the current lawmakers and Judges, decide about the definition of crime, losses resulting from criminal acts, and sanctions altogether. They indeed produce the systems of law for profit on the open market, just as bras and books produced currently. Just as there is now competition among different brands of cars, there will also be competition among different brands of law.⁷³² Through examining the legal and political institutions of Iceland from the tenth to the thirteenth centuries, Professor Friedman has come to a conclusion that within its own institutional structure, the Icelandic legal system sufficiently functioned and solved the issues implicit in a system of private enforcement. Even though its solutions may not be applicable yet, they certainly remain still interesting.⁷³³

In *Justice Entrepreneurship in a Free Market*, Professor Smith has believed that modern libertarian philosophy is characteristically deductive. The anarchists argue that because the principles of justice are rooted in natural law, they place within human knowledge. Just as we do not demand a Government to rule what is true in history or science, we do not therefore demand a Government to rule standards and procedures in the realm of justice. We can deduce the content of law in a libertarian society from a well-developed theory of property rights including a theory of title, acquisition, and exchange. We can similarly define the formal aspects of law without recourse to the State. Even the principles of restitution, as a libertarian substitute for “punishment”, stem from libertarian first principles and not from the State. Professor Smith has eventually concluded that we can firstly deduce juridical procedures from the principle of non-coercion, and then judge the procedures employed by “a free-market Justice Agency” as “correct” or “incorrect” using objective standards.⁷³⁴

In *To Serve and Protect: Privatization and Community in Criminal Justice*, Professor Benson has advocated that “complete privatization in criminal justice” means that the private-sector control over all decisions regarding the use of resources devoted to protect persons and property. It conceivably includes the resources for the pursuit, prosecution, and punishment of offenders. Through “contracting out”, the entrepreneur, the decision-making apparatus within a firm, or both replace simply the hierarchical decision-making apparatus of an agency or a bureaucracy in State legal system. The private entrepreneurs produce

some goods or services for profit. They however assume the risk of loss while failing to produce at the expected costs. Professor Benson has finally concluded that the negative record of the CJS in controlling crime (particularly consensual crimes, such as the voluntary purchase of marijuana, liquor during prohibition, and guns for protection) signifies that privatization will not cease to exist.⁷³⁵

Furthermore, the anarcho-capitalist model has emphasized punishing the crimes against the individual and her property, firstly and mostly, and those against the environment, secondly, since this model actually regards the ecological problems as purely “individualist” or “capitalist” rather than “animist”.

5.3.1.3.1.1 The Crimes Against the Individual and Her Property

Professor Rothbard has devoted a section about “Property and Criminality” to *The Ethics of Liberty*. A criminal is accordingly any person aggressing against another person or his property. The criminal has indeed no natural right to retain robbed property, as the aggressor has no right to claim any property acquired by aggression. Thus, we may only speak about just property, legitimate property, or perhaps “natural property”, which means to decide whether an act of violence is defensive or aggressive, e.g., whether it is a case of a victim who tries to repossess her property or a criminal who steals a victim. Any criminal titles to property shall be invalidated and turned to the victim or her heirs. If she cannot be found and the actual possessor is not himself the offender, then the property justly returns to the actual possessor according to the “homesteading principle”. There also exists a theory of the rights of property according to which every individual has an absolute right to the control and ownership of her own body, and to the unused land resources which she finds and transforms. All rights of punishment derive therefore from the victim’s right of self-defense, even though she will generally entrust this task to the police and court agencies in the libertarian society.⁷³⁶

Professor Rothbard has also believed that the legal code would simply insist on the NAP, define property rights in accordance with libertarian principle, lay down precise guidelines for the private courts, set up the rules of evidence about the wrongdoers in any dispute as well as a code of maximum punishment for any particular offender. Within the framework of this code, the special courts would compete in accordance with the most efficient procedures, and the free market would then decide about how the Judges, juries, etc., would provide the most efficient methods for judicial services. The legal code of the future libertarian society would not focus on blind custom, because much of which could be anti-libertarian, but on the basis of reason rather than simple tradition. Thanks to a body of common law principles to draw

on, the task of our reason in correcting and amending the common law would be much easier than attempting to build a body of systematic legal principles freshly out of the thin air.⁷³⁷

As far as Professor Stringham is concerned, he has seemed to be repressive because of his absolute belief in laissez-faire policy in *Market Chosen Law*, even though bringing capital punishment. In this case, the contract among the property owners defines what exactly constitutes an aggression within private property. For internal disputes between contractual individuals, certain can decide with one another to follow strict rules while others less strict guidelines. As a result, a covenant can provide the death penalty for certain crimes. For example, a condominium association can rule that “*any members of the private community who trespassed onto another member’s property would receive the death penalty*”!⁷³⁸ While discussing whether or not it is humane for the State to administer this form of punishment, the opposing opinions are probably irreconcilable, but the issue is not problematic if the concerned parties want to invent and follow this punishment. Professor Stringham has thus asked is it inefficient or immoral for the individuals to decide voluntarily to assume the strict rules? This could be a problem decided only by those who would voluntarily assume these rules. The members of the covenant would indeed decide about the moral question regarding the degree of possible punishment imposed upon the internal aggressors.⁷³⁹

It seems that Professor Stringham has fallen into an informal fallacy, because if capital punishment was inefficient or immoral in a State system, it could not be legitimate in a capitalist or contractual system either. In addition, can this dear Professor admit, for example, the rape and corporal punishment of a so-called criminal as sanction, unfortunately practiced still in some countries and by the ISIS,⁷⁴⁰ because of its so-called “consensual” or “traditional” nature among the members of a community?⁷⁴¹

In *New Libertarian Manifesto*, Konkin III has accordingly spoken about the colour of the market in an ambiguous manner: the black, grey, and red markets.⁷⁴² When certain coercive acts receive often the label *black market*, such as theft and murder, a libertarian perfectly regards the vast majority of this “organized crime” as a legitimate activity, even though occasionally unsavory. For example, a libertarian cannot consider the Mafia activities as black market, but the acts forced by Government upon certain of the black market collecting protection money (taxes) from its victims and enforcing its control with beatings and executions (law enforcement), and even conducting wars while its monopoly is in jeopardy. In summary, Konkin III has controversially estimated that the black market constitutes anything non-violent inhibited by the State and carried on anyways. He has used the *grey market* to deal in goods and services not themselves illegal but distributed or obtained in ways legislated against by the State. Much of what we call “white-collar crime” belongs to this and concerns most of society. Where we draw the line between grey

and black markets depends mostly on our society's state of consciousness. He has also separated the *red market* from the moral acts of the black market. For instance, murder is considered red market, but to defend oneself against a criminal including a cop, while the State inhibits self-defence, is grey in Orange County and black in New York City.⁷⁴³

In this case, let legal anarchism just invoke Professor Goodwin's *Black Markets: The Supply and Demand of Body Parts* as a negative or tragic side of the so-called black market, maybe forgotten in the agorist *New Libertarian Manifesto*.⁷⁴⁴ This Professor has shown how indefinite delays on the national transplantation waitlists and an inadequate supply of organs have led a growing number of terminally ill Americans to demand international underground markets and coordinators or brokers for organs. As a result of this black demand, Chinese prisoners on death row and the economically disadvantaged in Brazil and India are the most compromised co-participants in the private negotiation process occurring in the shadows of the law or outside the legal process. These unfortunate individuals are "*supplying kidneys and other organs for Americans and other Westerners willing to shop and pay in the private process.*"⁷⁴⁵ I do not really think that it matters at all to a pure libertarian like Professor Rothbard or even Konkin III, because it is simply a "libertarian contract" in a "black market" in which nobody but only two unequal parties take part.

Besides those coloured markets, there is the "private prison" in the libertarian legal system, as we are currently observing the privatization of prison or the growth of the prison-industrial complex.⁷⁴⁶ For instance, Professors Lee and Wollan advocated, several decades ago, a libertarian prison built and run not by a business corporation, but by some principles such as freedom from coercion, individual enterprise, and the maximization of autonomy. It indeed operates according to permission, rather than prohibition, by which it early and fully develops into a flourishing economy. In this prison, the prisoners are free to do what they want, to move as they please, and to enter into relationships in accordance with voluntary exchange. All these freedoms are only subject to the rules of the criminal code and other legal constraints applicable to all other citizens. An important feature of the libertarian prison is the right to work at whatever legitimate activity pleases the prisoner. This laissez-faire prison, in which the possession and production of wealth is crucial, has a progressively chilling effect on violence. The business enterprise in this prison is subject to intensive surveillance through electronic and other means. The prison enterprise pays the costs of this supervision as one of the additional costs of doing business.⁷⁴⁷

These Professors' model is not eventually as voluntary as they argued, since within the laissez-faire prison, the inmates have to pay for their room, food, clothing, board, security supervision, and victims' restitution, which, I think, provides a form of "carceral slavery" (i.e. slave wages).⁷⁴⁸ They however thought

that as long as the public welfare laws are the outside world, their scope has to reach inside the prison. The public welfare provisions of law would insure that the prisoner receives minimal circumstances of food, clothing, and shelter. Nonetheless, the flourishing economy in this form of prison permits the inmates to earn money satisfying all these needs as well as a surplus allocating among the different goods and services that the inmates prefer. These Professors did not also believe that forced labour is even a good type of discipline, because fines, confiscation of property, loss of “good time”, added time, and reparation payments for serious offenses are sufficient to punish the violation of the law in the prison. Moreover, the inmates who are exceptionally violent would be subject to more intense surveillance, while other inmates could buy or rent more comfortable quarters as long as they are consistent with the need for security.⁷⁴⁹

Under this repressive or carceral logic of doing business that we may understand why Linda and Morris Tannehill have defended, in *The Market for Liberty*, a marketing criminal system that allows doing research on a criminal who refuses to rehabilitate him and to work. This research can actually provide enough money to pay for keeping this criminal, or to really test on this “criminal laboratory mouse” in the free market law.⁷⁵⁰ May we also understand why law Professor Harel has hotly defended that this is exclusively the business of the State to inflict criminal sanctions?⁷⁵¹

5.3.1.3.1.2 The Crimes Against the Environment

Free market environmentalism really looks at nature with its issues as a form of *commodity*, “inferior to humanity”, and at humankind as *nature’s favourite* or *natural master*.⁷⁵² The free market environmentalists are advocating that private property rights and market transactions address sufficiently the environmental problems.⁷⁵³ As a result, the rightist libertarians would simply describe the concepts of “animal rights” and “animal liberation” as two myths. Legal anarchism can shortly examine such a capitalist approach throughout the works of Professors Rothbard, Friedman, and Chartier who may have certain limited or parcel ideas on the crimes against the environment, regarded by the so-called “first ownership to first use principle” for natural resources or the “homesteading principle”.⁷⁵⁴

Professor Rothbard has recognized, on the one hand, only a physical invasion of individual or property is an illicit act or tort. On the other hand, to establish guilt, liability, and strict causality of aggression generating harm must rely on the rigid test of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, strict causal connection has to exist between an aggressor and a victim, provable beyond a reasonable doubt. For instance, air pollution, consisting of noxious odors, smoke, or other visible matter, constitutes definitely an invasive interference. Because we can smell or touch these particles, they are naturally

invasive, except in the case of homesteaded air pollution easements. Damages beyond the simple invasion would certainly demand further liability. Nonetheless, air pollution of particles or gases, invisible or undetectable by the senses, should not naturally constitute aggression, because of not interfering with the owner's use or possession.⁷⁵⁵

Professor Rothbard has also believed that aggression may appear in the form of polluting an individual's air, including her owned effective airspace, injury against her person, or a nuisance interfering with her possession or use of her land. In this case, firstly, the polluter has not formerly created a homestead easement. Secondly, when visible pollutants or noxious odors are naturally aggression, the plaintiff has to prove actual harm in the case of insensible and invisible pollutants, because the burden of proof of aggression depends on him. Thirdly, the plaintiff has to prove strict causality from the defendant's actions to his victimization. Fourthly, the plaintiff has to prove these aggression and causality beyond a reasonable doubt. Fifthly, there is only liability for the deed, and not vicarious liability. By collapsing crime into tort, air pollution cases are generally those of separate torts affecting the victims. As a result, there is no compulsory joinder, while the separate causal factors apportion damages. As for class action suits, they are not acceptable, except where every plaintiff voluntarily and actively joins and common interests predominate on individual and separate ones.⁷⁵⁶

As far as Professor Friedman is concerned, it seems that he has no idea about animal rights, but just some general imagination about the pollution of nature. For him, even if we privatized all polluted things, pollution would not totally stop, but the only manner to stop entirely pollution depends on our total death, and even this would generate at least a short-run pollution problem. The proper control of pollution will occur if the damage of pollution is more than the cost of warding it off. Every polluter actually tries to keep polluting as long as it costs him nothing, and to claim his pollution as an unavoidable thing. Who avoids pollution relies on politics rather than real costs. If the polluter must pay for his pollution, however unavoidable or avoidable, we will rapidly realize which one cannot or can stop polluting. For instance, because polluting the air over anyone else's property violates his property rights, a libertarian society can forbid pollution, except when the polluter has obtained the consent of the owners of all affected land. The simplest solution to this paradoxical situation is to allow the parties injured by air pollution to sue for damages, presumably in class actions throughout many victims against many polluters. Here again, the problem stems from an absolute right to control one's property. For example, carbon dioxide, as a pollutant, constitutes a product of human metabolism. If we have no right to impose a single molecule of pollution on

anyone else's property, then we have to get the permission of all our neighbours to breathe, unless we promise not to breathe.⁷⁵⁷

As for Professor Chartier, he thinks that preventing, ending, or remedying environmentally mediated injuries (e.g., pollution) is a private problem in an anarcho-capitalist society. A civil system insuring compensation for environmental injuries would prevent the people from harming the environment. Some persons or groups are accordingly responsible for a place, region, ecosystem, and aspect of the non-sentient world, if they have the capacity and desire to care of it. They may not only claim its possession in order to develop it for economic reasons, but also be interested in preserving it, or planning to entirely prohibit or limit economic development. For example, to pollute a given unpolluted airspace possessed by a person or community will generate a compensable injury. In Professor Chartier's Stateless model, there is also collective action to rectify environmentally mediated injuries. When it comes to protecting vulnerable persons, non-humans, or unpossive animals, this Professor argues that although they deserve legal protection, no legal regime is obliged to provide this form of protection, except by specific agreement. Someone can therefore become a freelance or trustee to claim their rights and interests in the courts.⁷⁵⁸

5.3.1.3.2 The Free Market Enforcement Agencies

In *For a New Liberty*, Professor Rothbard has explained how the anarcho-capitalist law, police, and court would work. The market and private enterprises come after the abolition of Government operations in the service of protection (e.g., the police and courts) in the field of defending person and property against attack or invasion, as they are nowadays working in some private sectors such as private security.⁷⁵⁹ Professor Rothbard has besides acknowledged, albeit certain private defense companies will become offender,⁷⁶⁰ just as certain people currently become offender, a Stateless society would provide neither regular and legalized channel for criminality and aggression, nor Government apparatus whose control needs a secure monopoly for invasion of individual and property. While there is a State, there are also the coercive power of taxing and the compulsory monopoly of forcibly protecting. On the contrary, a criminal police or judiciary would difficultly take power in the purely free market society, as it exists in a State society with the instrument of command. The purely free market society would furthermore have a system of "checks and balances" aiming at making it almost impossible for any organized crime (i.e. State apparatus) to succeed.⁷⁶¹

Professor Rothbard has also recognized that although we are unable to blueprint fully a market legal system, we can reasonably think that the landowners or insurance companies would supply police service

in a libertarian society. Because these companies would pay benefits to the victims of crime, they would most probably supply police service as a means of keeping down criminality and consequently their payment of benefits. The free market police officers would efficiently function with a strong incentive to work courteously and to refrain from violence against their clients or their clients' customers and friends as well. A free market society would furthermore reward efficient and courteous police protection to customers, while penalizing to fall this standard off. The prime concern of the insurance company and its detectives is to recover the loot, when to apprehend and to punish the criminal are secondary to the helping of the victim. Competition moreover assumes efficiency, high quality, low price, and there is no reason to guarantee *a priori* that there is a divine rule about having only one cop agency in a geographical area with a "natural monopoly".⁷⁶²

When it comes to judicial service, the private Judge or arbitrator decides who is the breaker of contracts or the criminal, in any sort of dispute or crime. As an example, Professor Rothbard has estimated that the common law Judges were historically working very much like private arbitrators or experts in the law in the case of disputes among the private parties. There also exist many possibilities to finance the private courts in a free market society. Firstly, each individual could subscribe to a court service by paying a monthly premium, and then go to the court if she is in need. Secondly, because she will probably need the court much less frequently than the police officers, she could pay a fee whenever she uses the court, with the contract-breaker or criminal eventually recompensing the plaintiff or victim. Thirdly, the police agencies would hire the courts to settle disputes. Fourthly, there could be the vertically integrated firms that supply both police and judicial service: the Prudential Judicial Company could have the police and judicial divisions. Only the market will be able to determine which of these methods will be the most appropriate.⁷⁶³

As for how a poor person can afford private protection, Professor Rothbard has argued that such a problem certainly applies to all forms of commodity and service in the libertarian society, including the police, even though protection is necessary like food, clothing, and shelter. Private charity would generally supply very poor people. In some specific cases, there would undoubtedly be the ways of supplying voluntarily free police protection to the poor by either the police companies with goodwill – as doctors and hospitals currently do – or the special "police aid" companies working similar to the current "legal aid" companies. Besides, the legal aid companies would voluntarily supply free legal counsel to the poor people in trouble with the authorities.⁷⁶⁴ Concerning the criticisms of this form of so-called "legal aid" and "voluntarism", I could only refer you to my notices about lawyering in the Chapter 3.

For Professor Rothbard, albeit purely voluntary arbitration works sufficiently for commercial disputes, ostracism would not probably be sufficient in the case of criminal activities, such as the mugger, rapist, and bank robber. The legal enforcement and courts become necessary in this regard. We must also remember that the private street owners can refuse to allow these criminals in their areas. When it comes to enforcing the judicial decisions, the private courts must respect the critical libertarian rule according to which no physical force could be used against any person that has not been convicted as an offender. Otherwise, the users of this force, whether the police or courts, would be themselves responsible for the conviction as aggressors, if it proved that the forced person was innocent of crime. In contrast to Government system, neither policeman nor Judge could enjoy special immunity to use coercion beyond what any member of society could use. The provision of just, objective, and peaceful decisions constitutes an essential part of the private Judges' service to their clients when finding the truth about who has committed the crime. An appeals procedure constitutes another essential part of any court's service to its clients, provided by a voluntary arbitrator and paid by the various original courts charging their customers for appeals services in their fees.⁷⁶⁵

As far as the "outlaw protectors" in an anarcho-capitalist society are concerned, Professor Rothbard has believed that the free market libertarians do not escape from this question for six reasons.

Firstly, contrary to the utopians such as Marxists and left-wing anarchists (i.e. anarcho-communists or anarcho-syndicalists), the libertarians neither defend that the establishment of the purely free society will bring a new and magically transformed "Libertarian Man", nor assume that nobody will have criminal designs upon his neighbours. They however assert that due to the particular degree of "goodness" or "badness" among human beings, the purely libertarian society will work throughout the highest degree of morality, efficiency, security of person and property, with the least criminal. Secondly, the rewards and sanctions of the free market economy will unlikely produce any form of favouritism. Thirdly, any suspicion of a Judge or court will lead their customers to melt away and to ignore its decision. This system functions much more efficient to keep the Judges honest than the judicial mechanism of the State. Fourthly, the temptation for bias and venality would be far less, because the private firms in the free market earn their salary from a mass market by consumers and not just from wealthy customers. Fifthly, in contrast to the American "checks and balances" system, the free market economy provides the real checks and balances. It would actually keep the police, Judges, and courts honest because of its lively possibility of heading down the block or down the road to another cop, Judge, or court in the case of any suspicion. In other words, it would keep them honest because their customers are capable of cutting off their business, which

are the real and active checks and balances of the free market economy in the free society. Sixthly, the public would regard any outlaw police force as purely bandit, and would not hence legitimize its extortion and tribute though onerous “taxes” to be automatically paid, as it is currently the case of State police. It would quickly resist this form of organized banditry and overthrow it.⁷⁶⁶

In this case, a curious question appears to answer: how can the customers, mostly unarmed and without any military knowledge and experience, resist those professionally armed bandits and overthrow them? We are currently facing the same question in our militarized societies where some professional and dangerous murderers, i.e. militaries and politicians, own the most dangerous weapons against the unarmed and ordinary masses.

As for Professor Stringham, he has analyzed the problem of labour throughout private organization. In *Market Chosen Law*, he has stated that there are “*different services for different preferences*” in a free market community. For example, some people, who want more employee protection or more consumer protection, can work for companies or shop at stores that have a high degree of protection, or even paternalism if they desire. There are essentially contracting the legal systems that are more protective, in which any individual, who wishes to do business in such a manner, is required by the business owners to adhere to their restrictions, but no one is forced to. Such a system is a way for the people to voluntarily select personal regulations by the market. These regulations, unlike the bureaucratic regulations, necessarily pass the market litmus test, with burdensome self-regulations generating a decrease in business among the concerned parties. Businesses are actually able to provide the best internal atmosphere for their members and to create an atmosphere in which the people tend to be satisfied. By being able to frequent businesses that have different sets of internal rules, the consumers are essentially capable of having a variety of legal choice.⁷⁶⁷

Professor Stringham has also argued that the private Judges would solely make judgments according to the agreement and procedures depending on the consumers. Because the examples of non-State law are all around the world on both local and global levels (e.g., collective bargaining agreements and arbitration),⁷⁶⁸ the private police and courts are feasible. As for certain examples of the private law enforcement, Professor Stringham has explained the “institutions of higher learning” (which I have criticized throughout my thesis and other writings!), the banking industries, and baseball. For him, albeit the private security officers and dean’s offices are greatly different from their bureaucratic counterparts, they perform the job that only State police and courts are supposedly capable of doing. Like Professor Rothbard, he has eventually stated that the private legal system would not meet many problems relating to the public law

enforcement. There exist common police procedures to haul a suspect into custody, but a private system would not even use similar practices, since the private police would not need to drag any person into court without any prior agreement with a specific legal institution. Regarding the issue of which court would have competence in a dispute between complete strangers, this Professor has believed that there is really no reason to think about this as a problem, because it is “a public land problem” that would not appear in a private system.⁷⁶⁹

Besides the critiques of the free market system mentioned above and despite its so rosy photos taken by some free market anarchists, legal anarchism shall now examine why the private legal system is far from having less problems than a public one.

5.3.1.3.3 Some Critiques of the Capitalist Alternatives

As we have formerly observed, the free market anarchists do not really care about equality, direct democracy (despite their claim to “free market democracy” or “capitalist democracy”),⁷⁷⁰ and ecology, thanks to the sacredness of private property and ownership as well as to the invisible hands of the free markets or those of some economic elites. As a result, Neal has believed that the anarchists are *methodologically* rejecting lifestylism and anarcho-capitalism, because they do not have chosen a good way to arrive at anarchism, but certain wrong means to achieve similar ends, namely human happiness.⁷⁷¹ For Kuhn, it is terminologically possible to draw strong lines between anarchism and other phenomena such as “anarcho-capitalism” and “national anarchism”. These are paradoxical neologisms signifying certain schools of thought that do not fit the proposed meaning of anarchism, principally because they have undermined any meaningful sense of justice and egalitarianism.⁷⁷²

Wieck has expressed the similar opinion by arguing that he should not encompass some bourgeois individualists calling themselves anarchists, because of having nothing in common with anarchism as a social movement and historic idea, such as so-called anarcho-capitalism.⁷⁷³ He has also found out that some have appropriately used the terms such as “democracy”, “socialism”, and “anarchism” for diverse and conflicting approaches. For instance, Professor Rothbard’s association of anarchism with capitalism, a conjunction usually called anarcho-capitalism, has generated a conception that is totally outside the mainstream of anarchist theoretical writings as well as social movements. This conjunction constitutes a self-contradiction to those who consider themselves as anarchists. In addition, Professor Rothbard’s definition of “anarchist society” as a society where there exists “*no legal possibility for coercive aggression against the person or property of any individual*” may avert formal contradiction through its minimalism.⁷⁷⁴

Moreover, Professor Barry's notice about the protection agencies' unjust tendency is significant. He wonders how these agencies prevent from representing the collective interests of whites against blacks, settlers against aboriginal inhabitants, Aryans against Jews, Protestants against Catholics, or any other group against others. They would hence support the members of the privileged group in a dispute against the members of the excluded group, or even they would be able to prevent the latter from forming their own protective agencies.⁷⁷⁵ In this case, law Professor Chua has pointed out that contrary to conventional wisdom, democracy and markets may not be mutually strengthening in the developing world, but the combined pursuit of democratization and marketization will often marshal ethnic tensions in highly predictable and determinate ways, with potentially very serious consequences containing the subversion of democracy and markets themselves.⁷⁷⁶ As for Western democracies, Professor Minati has proved that they use the sophisticated marketing techniques in order to manipulate consensus, while the free markets are useful as long as they absorb potential buyers with the possibility to buy consensus.⁷⁷⁷

As for Professor Taylor, he rejects the free market system for two main reasons. Firstly, the anarcho-capitalists have generally minimized the importance of some external elements, such as competition among the private security companies. Secondly, if these companies are not competitive, they will become oligopolistic whereby they resemble the State, because of their political specialization and concentrated force. As a result, we, customers (their own and others'), are not sure that they will use their power only to protect us.⁷⁷⁸

Both Professors Barry and Taylor have indeed emphasized the potentiality of the private security firms to become as authoritarian as the Governmental agencies of security and justice, due to their wealth and armed forces in an undemocratic environment governed only by the individual and her property or capital. In *Is "Anarcho" Capitalism against the State?*, MacSaorsa should not thus be wrong when he notices that the free market libertarians are far from being anarchists, because they are only capitalists desiring to observe that the private States develop, the States which are rigorously accountable to their pay masters without shamelessly having democracy that we currently do. As a result, the "private State" capitalism would be a far better name for "anarcho"-capitalism insomuch as it at least gives a fairer idea of what they are attempting to sell us.⁷⁷⁹

Moreover, the so-called libertarians, who are implying "*to each according to his contribution*" whereby consequently and ironically matching the first socialist stage toward Marxian communism,⁷⁸⁰ may not really like to see not only political and economic inequality inherent in capitalism,⁷⁸¹ but also existential inequality (high IQ and sexual attraction, for example), unlimitedly exploited and exacerbated by humanity.

Any form of inequality actually affects social and legal relationships among human beings. As a natural result, they are blind to observe that all individuals are not so talented to become successful (a Statesman or a law Professor, for example) in our extremely competitive, tribal, networking, advertised, corrupt, corporative, or Mafia societies. They would share Sartre's naïve arguments in favour of personal responsibility *"for vast and apparently authorless social ills,"*⁷⁸² which means we are all *"responsible for everything"*⁷⁸³ everywhere! In short, we are *absolutely* our own God in accordance with this highly exaggerated form of individual responsibility: *"we are fully responsible for our world."*⁷⁸⁴ For example, if I, as a supposedly member of the blasted visible minorities, become a deviant or a criminal in the Canadian society rather than a Professor in a law school, governed by the invisible hands of some academic Godfathers/mothers who are traditionally Christians and now apparently Jews, I am absolutely responsible.

The anarcho-capitalist ideas about social justice are identical to the capitalist approach to human mind and body defined through "capital", as, for example, legal anarchism has analyzed regarding contract in childhood. For instance, when it comes to certain student organizations that fight for improving labour conditions in less economically developed regions of the world, Hellmer has argued in *Establishing Government Accountability in the Anti-Sweat Shop Campaign*, low wages are generally "just" as long as the employer and the employee freely agree about them. It would thus *"seem misguided for a third party to step in and make a value judgment concerning this agreement based upon some illogical interpersonal utility comparison."*⁷⁸⁵ Like other libertarians, she has exclusively put the burden on the State by liberating the employer from fairness and justice in the name of "free agreement", which is not really better than the "wage slavery", thanks to the misery of workers. She has therefore concluded: *"if social justice organizations want to be more effective in pushing for greater respect for human rights throughout the world by punishing the corporations that support oppressive regimes, they should make a distinction between voluntary actions of individuals and the state policies that force people into work. The former deserves respect, while the latter is deserving of reproach. By making this distinction, social justice advocates can more effectively push for human rights through the respect of freedom while simultaneously allowing developing nations and the citizens therein to fight their way out of poverty."*⁷⁸⁶ As mentioned in her article, she has simply gone under the guidance of a libertarian guru, which is to say Professor Block who has already regarded the students as *"still pompous adolescents, entirely innocent of even the most basic elements of rudimentary economics."*⁷⁸⁷ As an economist of the Austrian School and prominent anarcho-capitalist, would it be his task to teach these innocent adolescents how to act economically against economic exploitation?

5.3.2 The International Alternatives

It is firstly important to notice that despite the dominant idea of “anarchy in international relations” (i.e. leaderless) among many scholars, there still exists the domination-submission relation among the States or “*the Hobbesian nightmare of State-eat-State competition*”.⁷⁸⁸ In this case, Read has argued that in the Middle Ages, the manor courts coped with all misdemeanours and crimes, and saved those committed against the artificial entities of the Church and the State. Anarchism accordingly implies a universal simplification of life and a universal decentralization of authority, while inhuman entities, such as the modern city, will eventually cease to exist.⁷⁸⁹ Hedgecock has hence believed that the anarchists are real internationalists, and if we want to cooperate across national boundaries, we shall find out a great deal more about the hopes, fears, aspirations, and problems of others.⁷⁹⁰ Professor Marshall estimated that if this might seem utopian to certain people, the anarchists emphasize the way in which some highly complex agreements between the international organizations (e.g., railways and airlines) can be realized through negotiation without any central authority enforcing its will.⁷⁹¹ Kropotkin had accordingly argued that there are some free organizations for nobler pursuits, such as the Lifeboat Association, the Hospitals Association, and the Red Cross Society. For instance, although to slaughter the individuals on the battlefields stays the duty of the States, they acknowledge their inability to take care of their own wounded while greatly abandoning the task to private initiative.⁷⁹² In this case, federation and confederation are necessary elements, which would somehow imply “panarchy”, mostly in its rightist form.⁷⁹³

As a worldwide movement, anarchism needs to take into account the complexity and interconnectedness of the anarchists around the world,⁷⁹⁴ on the one side, and the Hobbesian fear of international anarchy leading some scholars to propose a “World State” through the ethos of global democracy, on the other side.⁷⁹⁵ Albeit Professor Taylor has recognized the problem of inter-communal or confederal relations, he has argued that this problem is less severe than that of relations between the nation-States, which are more exploitative and aggressive than small Stateless communities.⁷⁹⁶ The anarchists have indeed highlighted the relationship between the militarist agenda and the emergence of the nation-State, since one cannot live without another.⁷⁹⁷ In this case, all individuals animated by a true desire for peace, communalism, and social justice shall join the forces searching for avenues that can render this idea universal.⁷⁹⁸

The various ideologies in anarchism have divided the anarchists into two different groups advocating some approaches to improve and defend the anarchist communities nationally, regionally, and internationally. Anarchist internationalism can therefore rely on individualism, socialism, capitalism, or all

according to circumstances and in terms of green anarchism. As far as legal anarchism is concerned, it analyzes them according to some rightist and leftist models.

Before getting into our debate on the universal models, legal anarchism notices two elements. On the one side, we would once again keep in our mind that the NAP and the GP do not allow any anarchist community to invade or to occupy another community or nation, or to destroy non-humans, because, as mentioned above, militarism kills not only humanity but also nature. As a result, an anarchist community or nation would remain both “peaceful” and “ecological” when it comes to militarism and national defense. On the other side, Professor Kazmi’s *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory* has adopted a conceptual approach to deploy an anarchistic interpretation of the “domestic analogy” theorizing State interaction under international anarchy. He has indeed wanted to emphasize how the critical radicalism of anarchism can complete a conceptual radicalism particularly trying to theorize State interaction and to understand global anarchy.⁷⁹⁹ Such an analogy would likewise exist in the rightist models in international relationship. The analysis of the anarchist international models could consequently be less detailed than the anarchist national models, because several characteristics of a national alternative exist also in an international alternative, such as defensive organisms.

5.3.2.1 The Rightist Alternatives

In *Anatomy of the State*, Professor Rothbard has truly noticed that the State has a natural tendency to bolster its power, which takes externally place through conquering a territorial and causes an inherent conflict of interest between one set of State rulers and another.⁸⁰⁰ He has moreover coped with the issues of “national defense” in *For a New Liberty*. Firstly, a great evil of the nation-State comes from that every State can identify all of its subjects with itself, and consequently in any war between States, the innocent civilians or their subjects become target of aggression from the enemy State. On the contrary, because a libertarian society would not have this identification, there would be very little chance of waging a devastating war. The libertarian philosophy is indeed an eternal one without any bound to time or place, while a libertarian universal society would be efficient, moral, peaceful, and workable.⁸⁰¹ If freedom is instantaneously established throughout the world, there will be no problem of “national defense”, but “local police problems”. Secondly, to conquest a libertarian society would provoke guerrilla warfare as an irresistible force stemming precisely from the people themselves who fight for their liberty and independence against a foreign State, and not from a dictatorial and central Government. And this certainly

generates a sea of troubles, the enormous costs and losses that would stop well in advance even a hypothetical Government bent on military conquest.⁸⁰²

When it comes to analyzing the issue of a libertarian court on an international scale in *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, Professor Rothbard has implied that the world has perfectly lived well throughout without any single and ultimate decision-maker over its total inhabited surface. For example, the Argentinian lives in a state of “anarchy” or non-Government in relation to the citizen of Uruguay. The private citizens of these and other countries can actually live and trade together without embracing insoluble legal conflicts, in spite of lacking a common Governmental ruler. In this case, the Argentinian, aggressed by a Uruguayan, goes to an Argentinian court whose decision is recognized by the Uruguayan courts, and vice versa if the Uruguayan is the aggrieved party.⁸⁰³ We however meet the question to know what would happen if those courts did not recognize each other’s competence, which is, to my knowledge, very common in our judicial worlds submerged in distrusters, doubts, or accusations.

In *Defending a Free Nation*, Professor Long has argued that the key element of defense is to establish a system of security without a centralized commander that the enemy can seize, but with a “collective defense” to face the invader. The free market could supply, via the profit economy or the charity economy, this defensive organization without centralization, limited only to defense and not aggression and imperialism, and cheaper than State army. Besides this “regular high-tech military defense”, there would be an “armed populace” or the “National Militia”, organized according to Proudhon’s formula of “association of associations”, as well as “non-violent resistance” in the case of invasion or war. After reading more and more ancient and medieval history, Professor Long has however realized that the individualistic, anarchic, decentralized, and egalitarian societies do not necessarily become peaceful. For example, the Viking and Celtic societies, which we appreciate so much as anarchist models, belonged to the most effective raiders and conquerors in history. He has thus asked what prevents a free nation from itself becoming a threat to the security of other nations and ultimately a threat to its own security. The solution is maybe, he thinks, that the free nation’s neighbours become themselves free nations.⁸⁰⁴

On the one side, Professor Chartier has defended, by focusing on the NAP, the ethos of “cultural anarchism” rejecting the source of human problems (i.e. nationalism, racism, sexism, heterosexism, paternalism, subordination, exclusion, and deprivation), because of the absence of State subsidies and violence, in a non-violent way within the rules enforced by just legal systems. On the other side, he has believed that the defense services in a Stateless society are presumably multiple and complementary, which aim at defending their customers against the small-scale aggression of robbers and muggers, the

medium-scale aggression of terrorists, and the large-scale aggression of conquerors as well. This society provides these services in the following manners. 1) The volunteers help neighbours or others in the case of defense. 2) The charity defense services work freely or with deliberately low rates. 3) The cooperatives supply their members with defense services. 4) The cooperatives, partnerships, or other organizations supply the nonmembers with defense service, and obtain compensation for their work. 5) The people defend themselves. Professor Chartier has also argued that these defensive services are superior to State armies for the following reasons.⁸⁰⁵

Firstly, they are cheaper than State military forces, because less demanded in a Stateless society than in a world overrun by the States, on the one hand. The providers of defensive services, unlike State armies, should reasonably deliver their services in an inexpensive way, on the other hand. Secondly, the defense of a Stateless society is easier than a State society, due to the reduced cost of these providers. Thirdly, the providers would avoid aggression because of its cost and the persuasion of their customers to take its risks. Fourthly, they would unlikely engage in aggression in a given region, but in defense. Fifthly, without State rule in a given State-sized region, the people living in the region would not need the defensive services for the entire region. In the lack of collective targeting, defense against marauding herds in a Stateless community could expectedly focus on regions much smaller than most current States. Sixthly, a defensive service would not be worried about the risk of an attack by a WMD, because it would render the Stateless society's territory and adjacent regions uninhabitable, and consequently reduce the value of their economic exploitation and colonization. In addition, the high cost of this form of weaponry would expectedly prevent a Stateless society from producing it.⁸⁰⁶

In this case, Professor Rothbard has pointed out that because there exists no defense against nuclear weapons and the current "defense" focuses only on the threat of mutual destruction, no State is able to fulfill this type of defense as long as these weapons exist.⁸⁰⁷ For Professor Friedman, defense against nations and pending major technological change must be supplied on a sufficiently large scale in order to support retaliatory, and maybe also defensive, nuclear forces.⁸⁰⁸ Professor Hoppe has found out that if only one customer withdrew his payments because he was unconvinced about the necessity of a battle in the particular conflict at hand, there would immediately be economic pressure on the company to seek a peaceful solution to the conflict.⁸⁰⁹

The Myth of National Defense: Essays on the Theory and History of Security Production, edited by Professor Hoppe, would constitute the core of the libertarian arguments for the private defense agencies on a universal scale. Let thus me only analyze some parts of this book showing these arguments.⁸¹⁰

When it comes to “real defense”, Professor Stromberg has assumed that minimal States and anarchies are able to do without nuclear bombs, stealth bombers, cruise missiles, and expensive systems appropriated for universal meddling or world conquest. As for the “force structure” of simple defense, he has believed that we would observe some rough combination of “insurance companies” and militias – not as mutually exclusive as we perhaps think – with resort to mass-based guerrilla warfare, nonetheless and by whoever organized, *in extremis*.⁸¹¹

Professor Hoppe has argued that the private dealings between foreigners seem to be significantly less bellicose than the dealings between different States, because the latter are the winner of all wars as well as the last surviving protection racket. He has drowned an analogy between insurance against natural disasters and external aggression insomuch as the demand for insurance will be more expensive in certain areas, like some territories are facing more natural disasters than others. The business owners and private property owners prefer territories with rising property values and low protection costs to those with falling property values and high protection costs. This consequently leads to the migration of people and goods from falling-property-value and high-risk areas into increasing-property-value and low-risk areas. Besides the opposition of an armed private citizenry, the aggressing State would face the resistance of not only one but allegedly several insurance and reinsurance agencies. In the case of any successful attack and invasion, these insurers would receive massive indemnification payments. Once liberated, we would reacquire our right to self-defense, and could turn to unregulated and freed insurance companies for efficient professional assistance in all cases of protection and conflict resolution.⁸¹²

According to Professor Hülsmann in *Secession and the Production of Defense*, a school of laissez-faire economists argues about abolishing or reforming Government organizations in the field of law enforcement and defense in terms of purely private agencies. He has also contributed to defense economics, an infamously unsystematic and underdeveloped part of economic theory, which has forgotten the case of secession altogether. The private defense agencies are *ceteris paribus* more operative than compulsory agencies. Even though successful secessionist warfare does not unavoidably demand the expulsion of the Government troops, it could lead to different, equitably satisfying settings. Expulsing the enemy demands a concentration of troops of similar size that in turn can be achieved in ways common to other forms of business. Secession is eventually a gradual and spontaneous process involving various sub-territories or even various strata of the population at different points of time.⁸¹³

As far as I am concerned, I think that there are some questions about the capitalist alternatives on an international scale, since our experience with the capitalist systems under the yoke of the economic elites

has hitherto been problematic, if not catastrophic. For example, Bonanno has argued that the law of the market, i.e. the phenomenon of colonization, forced the most backward regions to adapt to the basic capitalist system. This phenomenon swallows all nations, internal and foreign regions of the single capitalist States.⁸¹⁴ As for Professor Kazmi, libertarian anarchy depicts the external projection of “State capitalism” in which the State assimilates its identity and interests totally to a form of atomized and possessive freedom. Historical recourse to individualist libertarian anarchism, from Spooner to Professor Rothbard, can elucidate this in greater depth and variation.⁸¹⁵ Legal anarchism should therefore examine the socialist alternatives.

5.3.2.2 The Leftist Alternatives

The socialist anarchists have been traditionally thinking about putting anarchism into practice at the universal level. For instance, Maximoff has believed that anarchism and communism are only feasible on a universal scale and not in one country alone, which means the proletariat organized in the form of international producers’ unions or associations. As an internationalist, it is fundamental for him to belong not to a nationality but to a class. He has thus considered that only by direct action, founded on international proletarian solidarity, we can overcome the rule of the bourgeoisie and the State, and only by the international of productive workers’ unions we can supersede the moribund capitalist world.⁸¹⁶ In this case, we could also find some old and new scholars and activists, such as William Godwin, Proudhon, Bakunin, Tolstoy, Landauer, Rocker, Falk, Chomsky, Bamyeh, Prichard, Kazmi, and Herod. Legal anarchism should shortly analyze some of their ideas on anarchism in international relations.⁸¹⁷

When it comes to analyzing the concept of “politeness” throughout Godwin’s writings, Professor Kazmi has acknowledged that the scholars do not agree about its precise definition and presence in various disciplines so that exists a cluster of identifiable themes, most often associated with the idiom. For instance, politeness inherently constitutes a *normative* concept relating to social norms and the forms of behavior as well. It also includes conflict avoidance and resolution, achieving goals without imposition or aggression, adopting strategies of dissimulation or indirectness, creating and sustaining social order, denoting and propagating civilized behavior, and signifying cultural refinement and intellectual accomplishment, while going beyond its single attribution to human interaction and social relations. Godwin’ antiauthoritarianism led him to accept more receptively this concept, while he attempted to reconcile it to his more communalist tendencies with a potential for preserving individual liberty and social order. Professor Kazmi has also recognized that although Godwin relatively wrote little about international affairs to elaborate a coherent international theory throughout his scattered works, his vision of future world order

relies on the rationalistic philosophical premises shaping his conception of an anarchist community. This implies a Godwinian international theory throughout establishing the free and independent associations on a small scale: the enlightened communities without permanent institutional mechanisms to regulate and control relations among them.⁸¹⁸

Due to Proudhon's recognition of *the plurality of contracts and norms*, this anarchist thinker believed that international norms would form and transform through international practice rather than some form of higher hierarchy and authority.⁸¹⁹ In *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, Professor Prichard has found out Proudhon's notice about the most religious and righteously juridical nations that have historically been the most war-like.⁸²⁰ For me, the extreme militarism and aggressive imperialism of the USA can be only an example in this case.

Proudhon also advocated an anarchist ideal about the role of European pioneering (i.e. a "European Confederation", "Federal Europe", or "United States of Europe"!) in engineering the political future of humanity.⁸²¹ When it comes to "the European equilibrium", Proudhon observed the inter-relationship between contract and political power in Europe through the "principle of the plurality of sovereign powers". He indeed thought that international relations focus on the social groups (businesspeople, nations, dynasties, Governments, etc.) managing the anarchy between them and within their territories by force, the threat of its use, the manipulation of collective reason, contract, pact, and treaty.⁸²² Some scholars also argued that Proudhon had an intuition of the "beggar-thy-neighbour policy", which the opening of borders could make it even easier. Deprivations can accordingly affect the French workers through promoting the emergence of a few large fortunes, on the one side, and pauperism, on the other side. In the longer term, private ownership and liberalism would limit pauperism, when war would increase the role of the State with a system of public exploitation of conflicts.⁸²³

Professor Eltzbacher has found out, Bakunin believed that the revolution would not be national but international or universal, because a political and national revolution could not win, unless the political revolution would become social, and the national revolution, by its fundamentally socialistic and State-destroying character, would become a universal revolution. The abolition of the national State would lead to accept all foreign countries, provinces, communities, associations, and individuals as part of the new political system and nationality. This is a universal revolution through binding the insurgent countries together for joint defence and marching on unchecked over the abolished boundaries and the ruins of the formerly States to its triumph. On the one hand, a hundred revolutionists seriously and firmly bound together are sufficient for the international organization of all Europe, while two or three hundred

revolutionists are sufficient for the organization of the largest country. On the other hand, the winning of all wise, silent, energetic, and well-disposed individuals, sincerely devoted to the idea, are enough to cover all Europe and America with a network of self-sacrificing and united revolutionists.⁸²⁴

According to Professor Marshall, Europe and the USA serve as a model in Bakunin's doctrine, since he looked at a largely fraternal union of humanity and extended the principle of federalism to the world as a whole. As a transition to a federation of all nations, he, like Proudhon, regarded "a United States of Europe" as the solely way of preventing a civil war between the different peoples in the "European family". His "United States" would not be a bureaucratic, centralized, and military federation, but organized from the bottom up with member nations possessing the right to secession.⁸²⁵ Professor Kazmi has accordingly recognized that Bakunin is known for advocating the global dimensions of world anarchism that require to establish federation among the free and independent local communities, regardless of current national boundaries, in contrast to Marx's perception on the future direction of the European socialist movements. This form of activist anarchism finds today a more universal reach than in the past, while attacking globalization as a mode of capitalist hegemonic domination over the autonomy of individuals and local communities.⁸²⁶

As for world order analyzed by Proudhon and Bakunin, Professor Prichard has comparatively argued that they markedly differed on how to reach there, because of their understanding of the international, the international balance of power, and how the structure of world order constrains and enables revolutionary social action. On the one side, Proudhon believed that the ultimate emaciation of the State by the development of autonomous sub-State associations and regional political units and their institutionalization by the horizontal forms of federalism would in itself transform radically political community. On the other side, Bakunin fervently disagreed with him by arguing that emancipation would be a violent insurrection to completely destroy the States whereby liberating and educating the subject populations of Europe. He believed a natural, immanent, and spontaneous order would appear, and the general will of the masses would begin throughout the ashes of imperialism. Professor Prichard has shared Proudhon's belief when stating that history demonstrates that a return to such a belief would be a valuable endeavor.⁸²⁷

Besides, Professor Marshall has argued that Rocker's *Nationalism and Culture* was calling for "a real federation of European peoples as the first condition for a future world federation" at the end of the Second World War. As for Landauer, he believed that the "nationhood" of a people would appear when "Statehood" would cease to exist. Nationhood accordingly means the closeness of people together in their way of life, language, tradition, and memories of a common rate and works to generate real communal living. It is

indeed the rebirth of all peoples out of the spirit of regional community that maybe bring salvation. For Professor Marshall, when Landauer tried to revive old communal traditions and to dissolve the State, his vision was unrestricted. We could find the essential features of Rocker's concept of a "people" in Landauer's concept of the "nation", since this form of nation is a community of communities, and not an artificial whole, in which the individual does not only identify with her nation, but also as one ring in the widening circle of humanity.⁸²⁸

In *Anarchism without 'Anarchism': Searching for Progressive Politics in the Early 21st Century*, law Professor Falk has argued that philosophical anarchism provides us with a valuable foundation to revitalize domestically and internationally progressive politics. For him, the anarchists are uniformly disposing to deconstruct the State from within and to repudiate militarism and war as acceptable ways to human security. This geniously challenges a revived tradition of anarchism without anarchism to develop a universal vision permitting its overriding concern with individual liberty, communal autonomy, and harmony among groups to be responsive to the planetary imperatives of a sustainable political and social life in the early 21st century. The normative political priorities of the early 21st century are accordingly: 1) the radical option for denuclearisation and complete disarmament; 2) the protection of the global commons; 3) the treatment of global warming; 4) the acknowledgment of the issues related to water scarcity and peak oil, the plan for equitable distribution of safe water as well as transitions to post-petroleum circumstances; 5) the elimination of poverty and the drastic reduction of economic inequalities; 6) the establishment of global democracy; 7) the diminution of hard power (militarism) and the increase of soft power; 8) the achievement of self-determination above and below the level of the State; 9) the encouragement of local self-reliance and de-globalization; 10) the positive response to indigenous claims to traditional ways of life, and to self-determination and sovereignty goals.⁸²⁹

As far as I am concerned, it seems that Professor Falk has been either in contradiction with his own peaceful principle or ambiguous when it comes to defining "soft power" that focuses on "*diplomacy, peaceful settlement, non-violent coercion – 'legitimacy wars'*"!⁸³⁰

Herod has judiciously emphasized the international character of anarchism by finding out that we can never define anarchism (i.e. true communism for him) geographically, but only socially, because it does not recognize any territorial boundaries. In this case, the notion of so-called international law, a concept that we have recently heard a lot, constitutes only the collection of treaties ratified by various Governments, such as the Geneva Conventions, the Nuremberg Judgments, and treaties on torture or land mines. Due to the absence of a world Government with police and army, the treaties have actually no teeth, except ethically.

Even those Governments, which have apparently ratified the treaties, ignore willingly them. Herod has thus asked whether the idea of a “law” has any meaning in the lack of the tools of violence (i.e. arrests, imprisonment, fines, executions, and armed invasion) necessary to enforce it. Strangely enough, we can compare this situation to the one that an association of neighborhood assemblies would face.⁸³¹

As a result, when it comes to establishing a libertarian court at the regional or international level in Herod’s *Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods*, we could ask how it would work for so-called international crimes, if they would exist in a libertarian world or between two or more nations, whether libertarian or not. Moreover, some of our previous questions about international relations in anarchism seem to be almost unsolved, such as how a libertarian community would remain peaceful and non-militarist when its neighbours would be aggressive, militarist, or use the WMD.

Furthermore, environmental anarchism is a crucial element in international relations, since fully understanding the context of global environmental problems requires recognizing the role that we have actively played in the destruction of nature. The environmentalists and social science scholars have therefore argued that environmental justice and social justice must go hand in hand.⁸³² In other words, the anarchist dimension of international relationship is not just political, but also ecological. For example, Professor Westra has argued, in *Virtue as Foundational for a Global Ethic* relying on “Kantian cosmopolitanism”, that because of close connections between human and ecological rights, including humans and non-humans as both parts and processes, we need to adopt certain universal principles to impose the respect of life’s infinite value.⁸³³ This Kantian approach to existence, i.e. a cosmology of an unquestionable and omnipresent God,⁸³⁴ could be also important for the international anarchist models. We shall thus take into account the relations between humans and non-humans on a planetary and cosmological scale, on the one hand, and the catastrophic aspects of neo-liberalism harming deeply the environment because of propagating a cult of consumption and competition, on the other hand.⁸³⁵

5.4 Conclusion

Among the problems of realizing the anarchist legal models on both national and international scales, there would be two main challenges: *organization* and *legal diversity*. These two challenges deeply affect other anarchist questions about utopianism, labour, natural resources, technology, money, and eventually tax. They also relate to the anarchist principles, i.e. endless alternatives, contract, autonomy, decentralization, federation, the NAP, the GP, and free love. In other words, a libertarian world needs to be plurally organized in accordance with some principles while answering some human and natural questions.

In this case, the individualist models, especially in their Stirnerite form, face a structural problem because of denying or considerably limiting social organization. This would oppose the socialist anarchists with their solidarity slogan as well as the anarchist capitalists who admit organization as long as it results in some atomized forms of liberty in the framework of private property and private agencies as well.

On the one side, the problem of organization among the libertarians, especially the individual anarchists, concerns the function of the legal system in a Stateless society. For instance, the affinity group has already shown the capacity of anarchism to work without hierarchy and centralization, but usually in a temporary way with a limited number of individuals. This could serve as a model for dealing with antisocial behaviour in criminal cases and with dispute resolution in civil cases as well. On the other side, there exist the problems related to respecting normative plurality in a free, non-hierarchical, decentralized, and federalized community proposed by the libertarian thinkers, which means negotiation and agreement among all individuals, groups, or organizations concerned through direct democracy. These thinkers might believe that in such a community, common ideas and interests prevail over disagreement and conflict. Nonetheless, the increase of individual and social tendencies or movements (feminism, homosexuality, environmentalism, etc.) has already called the validity of arriving at a common ideology into question. How does accordingly a libertarian community accept or tolerate different ideologies or attitudes standing against its own principles? For instance, may it accept the marriage of a child as permitted by some cultures or sacraments? Does it recognize sex education in the schools when some of its parental members are strictly Muslims, Christians, or Jews?

In spite of their ideological and organizational differences, the anarchists would share some principles as long as they assume liberty, justice, and mutuality or contract. The ecological issues do not however concern all anarchists in the same manner, since if some leftists would agree with the radical respect of the environment in accordance with the GP, the rightists have less been worried about the terrible conditions of non-humans that they basically regard as either "God's gift to man" or "purely human property". In this case, the problems related to property constitute the core of disagreement among the schools of anarchism, and diversify their alternative to State legal system. Professor Eltzbacher has acknowledged, on the one side, if anarchism generally rejects property, or at least private property, there is a distinction between communistic and individualistic anarchists, or even between communistic, collectivistic, and individualistic anarchists. On the other side, albeit they declare that the future society of anarchism has no tie of contract binding individuals together, anarchism aims at having all public affairs arranged for by contracts between the federally organized communes and societies.⁸³⁶

In *Anarchism and Legal Rules*, Descallar has argued that anarchism defends that the main deterrent for criminality in a libertarian community will rely on practicing the natural tendency towards mutual aid, even though the anarchists do not believe that criminality will totally disappear. For example, what form of reaction will take place if an individual is murdered by another? Will the social response be wholly left to the neighbours' discretion? In this case, the defence of social relations has to be organized according to a common criterion, valid for the total framework in which the organization functions. The community specifies the criterion in accordance with the basic principle of its organization. The responses to violations following this criterion are therefore organized. For Descallar, an anarchist organization does not inevitably mean uniformity or centralization in the content of the response and the procedure of the determination. For instance, it can specify that the local bodies decide on the concrete responses to concrete violations in each case, as a communal criterion for the implementation of sanctions. The necessity of the consistent sanction and a possible appeal against it to the general assembly secure that the content of the non-centralized sanction does not oppose these basic principles. An organized sanction signifies that the way of the declared sanction is deduced from a common criterion valid for the whole community. In addition, the social response is not actually an arbitrary one, because the arbiters, and not lynching mobs, are the legitimized people to do it, for instance.⁸³⁷

Two scholars, Schmidt and Walt, could summarize "crime and social order" in an egalitarian and libertarian society relying on direct democracy and the self-Government of cities, towns, villages, and neighbourhoods. This society legitimizes coercive power against the acts (e.g., rape and murder) harming the commonwealth, i.e. the social order and the liberty of individuals, through the linkage between rights and duties in accordance with a basic commitment to the goals and values of this society. The individuals who disagree with these values have no obligation to remain within a society that they oppose, as the society has no obligation to maintain these individuals. Permitting some individuals to enjoy the rights and benefits of a cooperative commonwealth and to refuse to fulfill their duties according to their abilities is tantamount to resurrecting economic and social inequalities and exploitation, which precisely means the evils of class that the new world tries to abolish. Permitting likewise some individuals to disrespect the rights and liberties of others is amount to resorting hierarchy, even if they fulfill their social duties.⁸³⁸

Those scholars have also found out that albeit certain forms of criminality will not disappear, a libertarian and open economic and social order will be able to provide numerous alternatives for conflict resolution in the cases of minor crimes. They have moreover suggested that the power of public pressure can restrain the people from criminal actions, and the withdrawal of cooperation can sufficiently discourage

the repetitions of these actions. The existence of a popular militia and a dense network of associational life will furthermore prevent crime, as the alienation and isolation of modern society will be passed. When it comes to coping with more serious cases, they have argued that the militia could intervene, and some form of trial could presumably appear within a structure set up for this purpose. The solution will be some forms of medical treatment in the case of the criminal who will be proved to be mentally ill and cannot consequently be accountable for his action. Some other measures will otherwise have to be taken, such as compensation, a period of isolation or exile, or permanent expulsion from the anarchist society. The prison has no place in this anarchist model, because it, as Kropotkin formerly argued, creates new evils and acts as “schools of crime” and abuse transforming its inmates into habitual criminals.⁸³⁹

When it comes to defending individuality, community, or even nationality in an anarchist community or several communities against any invasion or occupation, the anarchists have, apart from the NAP, the “right to self-defence”, with the different consequences from the punishment of the invader to the compensation of the damaged individuals or communities. In this case, do the anarchists need “the permanent defensive organization” or somehow a form of professional army? It seems that the free market anarchists accept it much easier than the leftist ones who are scared of the emergence of a new army, like State army, with domination and a tendency toward producing, buying, or selling the arms, including the WMD. As for the problems related to these weapons, the leftists would imply that we need either to see their total destruction, or to endure their catastrophic effects on humanity as well as nature. If we experienced certain small-scale communities around the world, would we accordingly witness no “crimes against humanity” or “war crimes” because of abolishing the professional murders with their WMD? Would we also witness no “crimes against nature” because of our new ecological living style?

Most anarchists, except the anarcho-capitalists, have not actually given enough details of the legal system in their ideal communities at both national and international levels. This may justify their hesitation about not becoming authoritarian in their models by imposing them over the next generations, on the one hand, and endless quantity and quantity of anarchist alternatives requiring less strict rules than State legal systems, on the other hand. For instance, Bookchin’s *social ecology* with its “open-ended character” has deliberately abandoned us with more ambiguities, contradictions, and questions than certitudes, clarities, and answers.⁸⁴⁰ As a result, I myself doubt ironically that what I have written could really depict the anarchist models, but merely my interpretation, imagination, or even misunderstanding in my struggle to de-puzzle them! Such de-puzzlement may seriously call my legal anarchist approach into question also.

All in all, legal anarchism has not fully developed yet, since as law Professor Stone has found out, jurisprudential debates on the place of law within the concept of anarchy are limited.⁸⁴¹ In this case, the form and context of the legal system in an anarchist community shall respond to many questions about written law, customary law, the adversarial system, the inquisitorial system, the specialization of the legal system, the rules of court, and so forth, in accordance with the anarchist principles. Moreover, the legal anarchist models, like humanity, are full of dilemmas and contradictions, because they must face different existences with their different conditions and interests. Legal anarchism has really to handle human and non-human psychological and physical integrity with kid gloves. For example, how could we become vegetarians when we must eat plants or limitedly use animal products (such as milk and egg)? How could we respect “the freedom of religion” when such a freedom violating female rights? As a result, legal anarchism has to answer an existential dilemma: “*to be or not to be*,” because almost every existence depends on cooperating with, destroying, or limiting another existence.

Although I do not deny the anarchists’ merits, especially regarding their deep analysis and critique of the law and punishment, I am critical vis-à-vis their alternatives. I also acknowledge that they have been rarely able to implement their models, if not eradicated by State violence, in our modern societies under the pretext of utopianism or unfeasibility. In this sense, Professor Hutchinson asked me to reflect on what Proudhon would have presented as alternative to the legal system, if he had lived in our time. This too moody anarchist would apparently have presented, to my knowledge, no legal model that could function in modernity on either national or international scale! I am therefore developing shortly my reflection of anarchist modernization.

5.5 My Alternatives and Final Notices

I am first suggesting some libertarian alternatives at both internal and external levels. As a synthetic and universal model, they could embrace certain utopic and paradoxical characters. Are they utopian because their conditions have not come into existence yet? Alternatively, are they paradoxical because we do not really want to apply them yet?

On the one hand, there are two general and profound principles, which I try briefly clarifying, in my national alternatives: the *principle of existence* considering the life of all existing identities, and the *principle of freedom to choose* by all concerned people in one or different places. The concerned people would indeed decide about the characteristics of an anarchist society in accordance with their capacities, conditions, and existential dignity. On the other hand, there are some international principles by which a

libertarian community would manage its external affairs in relation to other communities and the environment as well. I am then going to conclude my thesis through some critical notices about the anarchists and legal anarchism, such as their verbalism mingled with their lack of clarity and coherence. I am eventually going to argue that I may have added some original thoughts to legal anarchism.

5.5.1 The Alternatives

I am now presenting two types of libertarian alternatives relying on some radical principles, on both internal and external scales, according to which the anarchist communities would deeply arrange their existences in relation to each other and the environment as a whole. They actually overlap each other nationally as well as internationally when enclosing several essential elements, necessary to keep an anarchist identity alive. They are the *principle of existence* and the *principle of freedom to choose* at the national level. As for the international principles, an anarchist community or an anarchist federation of communities would remain peaceful, cooperative, and ecological without any militarist agenda, except in the case of self-defence against any aggression.

In terms of those principles, if all individuals and communities would enjoy the liberties and rights (e.g., equal education, decision-making, and movement), they would also have a responsibility to respect others and the environment as well through their ecological lifestyle and cooperation. These principles would also be useful or practicable when science and technology would later enable humanity to live in other planets. They cannot nonetheless guarantee “a perfect system”, but as perfect as it can be.

5.5.1.1 The National Principles

In order to create a free and libertarian society replacing the State society, I would like to enumerate two general principles. A peaceful and harmonious life would found upon these principles, in accordance with our Mother Earth or Existential Mother in a cosmological order. They could however be either repetitive (e.g., contract, the GP, and free love), or difficultly realizable. The anarchist society would actually contain *per se* some other fundamental principles (such as decentralization, autonomy, and federation) that I have analyzed. The national principles contain the local and communal strategies in the libertarian societies. My radical alternatives focus indeed on certain features designing different types of anarchist society, which have also significance in the international existence of anarchism.

5.5.1.1.1 The Principle of Existence

The PE or the existential principle, as the foundation of all rights and liberties, signifies the respect of all existing identities' life in the cosmos: *the right to live and to enjoy life without any discrimination*. The legalists only recognize the PE for human beings (e.g., UDHR, art. 3), because the GP has apparently no meaning for them. Due to this legal ignorance, State extreme violence against humans and non-humans, and the capitalist approach to nature as human property, I would like to place the GP at the top of my principles for establishing an anarchist society. In this case, the GP finds a large meaning, because it not only includes "green technology",⁸⁴² which opposes the exploitation and slavery of humans and non-humans, but also defends the respect of existential dignity. This means the right or liberty of humans and non-humans to exist and to enjoy existence as well. Green technology could save humanity from exploiting or destroying nature by providing green energy, vegetarian lifestyle, recycling, and so on.

We nowadays need the legal systems taking the complexity of human and natural existences into account altogether. As sociable animals, we should necessarily live in families and communities enabling us to satisfy our needs and desires as well. In this case, we should respect *organization*, a concept balanced among naturality, individuality, and sociality. This is certainly a great challenge to live organizationally and cooperatively without being forced or forcing to create or to support a hierarchical authority. At the same time, the respect of flora and fauna plays an extremely important role in this balance, because we are neither masters nor proprietors of nature. The death of nature is really our own death insomuch as we are one part of nature, only one part. As a result, realizing any libertarian system has to go hand in hand with the ecological approaches.

In our so-called postmodern societies heavily influenced by the Internet and galloping technology, even though distributed in a very unequal manner, we are massively destroying and polluting nature on a planetary scale. We have drastically reduced or totally disappeared many species from our Mother Earth already. As long as we are continuing to commit our crimes against humanity and nature by mercilessly exploiting and killing each other and the ecosystems as well, we will undoubtedly end in annihilating nature. In this case, eco-anarchism is very useful to give us some ideas about how we can respect our existence without destroying the environment, or be much less harmful to animals as well as plants at the universal level, or even at the cosmological level in the future. Our problems (economy, immigration, and pollution, among others) go much further than a specific community, nation, or planet in the future. We accordingly have two responsibilities, i.e. local and universal, one relates to our citizenship in a given territory, another to our membership on the earth. According to this interpretation, natural resources belong to all organisms,

including human beings. There nevertheless remain two main questions about these resources for the anarchists: how to manage and to use them?

As a vegetarian, I certainly prefer a system in which nobody has any right to harm, to exploit, to enslave, or to murder any animal in any form: circus, domesticating, testing, hunting, slaughtering, etc. In this sense, I even defend “*equality between humanity and animality*”, because we all belong to one identity: the environment. The anarchist community should therefore define, prevent, and punish the “crimes against nature” or the environment, in a much more efficient and courageous manner than some societies that are ridiculously pretending to do it regarding crimes against humanity nowadays. I am also aware of the practical problems of this defense, because humanity is marketing, urbanizing, and industrializing existence so deeply and permanently. Let us demand, once more, “impossible” that would eventually become “possible”. Let us realize our dream, and if we dream an anarchist community, we dream the best one! In short, any anarchist system that does not radically respect the environment and the cosmos has really no sense for me, since I would personally love the green communities with vegetarian habits.

5.5.1.1.2 The Principle of Freedom to Choose

In order to understand the PFC, on the one side, I explain its general elements, such as synthesisism, tolerance, education, healthcare, welfare, equality, and direct democracy. On the other side, I analyze the contextual and procedural norms, particularly in a criminal system, by which an anarchist community or federation regulates its existence.

5.5.1.1.2.1 The General Elements

The PFC means any anarchist system that the individuals, groups, organizations, or communities decide to create. This enables them to satisfy their creativity, needs, and desires in terms of their existential conditions, and to respect each other, other communities, and the environment. It could be in a place or, thanks to technological progress such as the Internet, several places and planets in the future. As a large and open concept, this principle encloses some other principles that a community and its members should accept to establish and to manage a libertarian environment. For instance, there are libertarian education, respecting the plurality of ideas and sexuality, no aggression against person and legitimate property, right to join and to leave, democratic decision-making without accumulation of authority and with the possibility of revoking authority, equality, solidarity, strict and controlled punishment. In summary, any alternative should depend on a synthesis of individual freedom and social cohesion allowing the people to choose a libertarian system that suits better their time and space. In other words, my system is a type of *synthesis*

anarchism based on individual, social, and environmental diversity in sexuality, family, education, ethnicity, religion, natural resources, legal system, and so forth.

In this case, there are obviously some questions about mixing the anarchist systems. For example, how can a capitalist community work and collaborate with a communistic community? The individuals, groups, or communities are indeed free to choose any system that serves them better. A community or a federation of communities are free to practice one anarchist model (e.g., anarcho-syndicalism) or diverse models (e.g., anarcho-capitalism and anarcho-communism) in a given territory or region, as long as they do neither fight against each other, nor undermine the anarchist principles such as freedom and autonomy. Due to the fundamental principles (especially the principles of endless alternatives, contract, and non-aggression), there would be “anarchist tolerance” or even “anarchist cooperation” facilitating a harmonious, peaceful, prosperous, and ecological existence among diverse anarchist communities. For instance, a community wants to realize a free market society, while some of its members or neighbours disregard anarcho-capitalism. The tolerance of ideas and practices actually constitutes a libertarian value. There is nonetheless mutual distrust or even destruction between the socialist and capitalist anarchists who are usually regarding each other as enemy, in spite of sharing some common ground like individual freedom, contract, and decentralization. I could accordingly share Malatesta’s opinion on realizing a voluntary and free communism. It is indeed mocking if an individual has no right and possibility to live in a different regime (collectivist, mutualist, or individualist) as she wishes, always on condition that there is neither exploitation nor oppression of others. And only the ways of life respecting freedom and recognizing each individual’s equal right to the means of production and to the full enjoyment of the product of her own labour are eventually anarchist.⁸⁴³

As far as I am concerned, I am not hopefully in any position to force others to choose my alternatives. It is not indeed my business at all to prevent them from putting their own beliefs into practice. I may only present my arguments opposing their option, if I think it is not appropriate, which means my power is simply argumentative and not physical, since I respect the NAP. The use of force against the peaceful people is actually nothing better than State tyranny.

For instance, although I am profoundly anti-religious, I have no right to prevent the people from their religion. In this case, Tucker acknowledges religion as a personal code of morality as long as it does not impose any authority over others.⁸⁴⁴ There are nonetheless the problems of practicing some religious codes, when they are discriminative toward certain individuals or groups, such as children and women.⁸⁴⁵ There are accordingly some serious problems relating to practice a right in a libertarian system, such as the

right of women to abort or to be topless, because of opposing religious belief perhaps! In other words, it is not always easy to respect all religious faith without violating some principles of legal anarchism. I should however emphasize that the respect of freedom of conscience or religion would be at the core of any anarchist society. Price accordingly argues, when he does not personally believe in God, he is against any militant atheist and anti-religious campaigns, since it is important to know whether the anarchists with different ideas can work together, rather than their views on God.⁸⁴⁶ Voltaire likewise said, “*I may not agree with what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it.*”

Moreover, the anarchist community needs a *libertarian education* that would be free, accessible to all, democratic, cooperative, creative, secular, non-ideological, non-hierarchical, and cosmological. In this educational system, the professors and students teach and learn about how the individual and the society can choose some principles enabling them to live harmoniously, freely, peacefully, creatively, ecologically, and cosmologically. This form of education would actually show the way in which the members can live with each other and respect human and natural dignity, without exploitation, nationalism, or imperialism. A libertarian education is necessary, because the current education system, especially the law school, is directly or indirectly preaching the destruction of humanity and nature through legalizing or justifying State violence in all directions. This is emancipatory not only for humanity, but also for nature. When it comes to the law schools, the members of an anarchist community would decide about either destroying or keeping them with direct democracy, transparency, and accountability. In the first case, the law Professors would find an honorable job elsewhere, and in the second, they would abandon their authoritarian, irresponsible, and condescending habituates altogether in order to embrace truly justice and liberty.

In accordance with the PE and the NAP, a libertarian education would also help the anarchist community to run voluntarily some programs of “birth control”, “green technology”, and “animal rights and freedoms”. These programs aim at reducing maximally or totally, if possible, human harmful effects on existence: poverty, exploitation, animal slavery, pollution, habitat destruction, etc. The libertarian education would constantly modernize the environmental and equitable methods of creation, production, and consumption on all individual, familial, communal, national, regional, international, and universal scales.

On the one side, not only education, but also other *basic needs* (e.g., food, cloth, housing, and healthcare) would be free and accessible to all. The anarchist society would however face a traditional question: the free riders and idles, except those who cannot work because of physical or mental reasons. On the other side, the anarchist society would provide a *mechanism for defending the rights and liberties of some groups of individuals with specific needs* (such as children, women, persons with disabilities, seniors,

aboriginals, and immigrants), as long as they would not reach at their equal and fair capacities. These groups have historically been subject to exploitation, discrimination, racism, sexism, or injustice in different ways, particularly when it comes to housing, education, healthcare, job, and decision-making. They should enjoy not only the “equal rights” (e.g., decision-making and access to all communal wealth and resources), like all other members, but also the “extra-rights” until they would be able to decide about their situation and community, or their condition would reach at the same point of others. Some can remind that a libertarian society, especially in its socialist form, is “equalitarian” (e.g., gender equality) and not paternalistic, but we would make sure that this equalitarianism is applicable and, if necessary, some extra-measures would help all members of the anarchist society to have access to all educational, social, political, economic, and natural resources. As a result, those who cannot work, because of their physical or mental conditions, must enjoy all wealth of a community or nation. In this case, the violation of these rights and freedoms could be a crime, punishable according to the decision of the community in accordance with the criminal principles, mentioned below.

An anarchist society would function according to *direct democracy* by using green technology, with the possibility of a very limited representative system by discussion, vote, and revocability, since the representatives would work with limited or controlled authority in space and time. The PFC would accordingly be important in the process of negotiation and contract as long as there would be “honesty” facilitating decision-making and dispute resolution. If the individuals or groups concerned started and continued with honesty in such a process, it could be easy to arrive at a solution. It is actually easier to arrive at a compromise or conflict resolution between honest individuals than dishonest ones. It would also be a hope for solving the existential problems, including self-Government, when the people in an anarchist society would try to use true arguments rather than falsified ones resulting in despotism, hate, conflict, and eventually war. In this case, we need a form of “anarchist morality”, based on transparency and honesty, which facilitates direct democracy.

An anarchist community would furthermore respect *property rights* as long as they would be legitimate and defined by the concerned people without generating the exploitation of humans and non-humans as well. In other words, they would not become a tool of dominating or manipulating humans and non-humans by any form of so-called ownership through, for example, the wage system. By legitimate property, I mean any form of property created, transformed, or transferred by individual or communal work. Neither individual nor collective property is however sacred or absolute, but at the service of human and natural progress and justice. I could also suggest, on the one hand, the lands, natural resources (because

they belong to nobody, but to the environment itself as a whole), and means of production (because it is principally the result of a collective work) would be “common”, but the fruit of each individual or communal labour should be “personal”. On the other hand, the community would respect the lives of non-humans as non-property identities in accordance with the PE, i.e. nobody has any right to destroy, aggress, or exploit them. In the same manner, an anarchist society would decide about a monetary system, if necessary, according to the standard of gold, silver, time chits, or whatever else that it would choose to circulate among its members and in relation to other communities as well. The same would be true for any economic contribution like voluntary taxation or other forms of free participation.

5.5.1.1.2.2 The Legal Elements

As far as the legal system in a libertarian society is concerned, it would face several problems existing also in a State society (voting system, robbery, murder, and so on), or maybe some new ones created by new situations in time and space, since there could be no perfect system. These problems would be national, regional, international, constitutional, criminal, civil, administrative, ecological, and so on, according to circumstances. Let me here mention few general principles for a criminal system that an anarchist society could choose.

1) The anarchist community would choose the framework of legal system according to its conditions, such as custom, common law, civil law, and mixed system. In any of this form, the community could define, prevent, and punish two categories of criminality: crimes against humanity and crimes against nature. The first category encloses the offences against individual, group, organization, society, nation, and property, while the second concerns the offences against the ecosystems or non-humans according to the PE. The community would accordingly establish both preventive and repressive programs.

2) The anarchist community would democratically define the substantive as well as procedural aspects of laws and their sanctions, without falling into endless jungle of overregulation and consequently overpunishment. In other words, less law would cause fewer problems, but more liberties and transparency, since the legal system could not solve all existential problems. In other words, less laws or legal system is highly recommended, because it would less complicate existence. If there would be any individual, communal, or ecological harm, all concerned members would decide about the solution outside as well inside the legal system.

3) The anarchist community would avoid “legal professionalism” with its natural and deadly consequences: authority, hierarchy, domination, extreme violence, and corruption. Let all members

participate in solving problems, instead of educating a privileged caste, called the legal professionals, living parasitically in their own ivory tower without any sense of humanity and compassion, but mercantilism and authoritarianism.

The specialization of actors of the CJS is a challenge in this case, since the anarchist community would decide on its eventual need of the law school, lawyering, defensive and dispute resolution organizations, communal or private. It would therefore cope with the dilemma of these institutions, because they have some symbiotic relations with politics and economics. I have proved that these institutions can be neither human nor beneficial for all social members and non-humans. They lack to provide a decent life, but a specially organized mechanism of elitism, propaganda, and injustice through defending the interests of a minority aiming at destroying humanity and nature together for the sake of money. The anarchist society would accordingly have two options: either the law school forever to bring or to legitimize more misery and war by hierarchy and corruption, or its destruction to radically respect humanity and nature by entering the ways in which there would be more justice, liberty, equality, and the cleaner and safer ecosystems.

To solve the problems of legal specialization is really a big challenge in a world where the specialization and atomization of labour and complication of human relations with each other and non-humans, through endless norms in all directions, as a solution to human and natural problems, have become catastrophic. Capitalism, especially in its universal cloth of neo-liberalism, has unavoidably led to marketize and consume everything, alive and unalive as well, everywhere. This is a serious problem in front of an anarchist model, since the anarchists would seriously think about having or not having the legal professional in governing their internal and external affairs. If they would be able to use our experience in this case, they would be careful about the disadvantages and dangers of a professionalized legal system as ours: despotism and the governmentalization of all existence. I hope that they would take a lesson from our extremely specialized CJS in order to avoid legal specialization. The ancient Greek legal system in its popular and equal form could serve as a model in this case. The jury system, made by all community members, could accordingly be fair and independent from all parties, which is very different from the current jury systems that have really become a gigantic and *médiatique* machine to acquit the crimes of the public officers, but to punish the marginal or revolted people, as shows the grand jury in the USA.

4) A libertarian system would also think about the principles concerning defense, individual and social compensation for the victim, and the rehabilitation or punishment of the criminals who have invaded or committed any violent or fraudulent act against another person, community, nation, property, or the environment. For instance, albeit sanction could intrinsically constitute a form of violence, a libertarian

community cannot legitimize inhuman or humiliating sanctions such as capital and corporal penalties that are neither moral nor efficient. In other words, the community would try to minimize the cruelty of sanctions, but to maximize their humanity and efficiency for all concerned elements, i.e. the victim, offender, and society. Besides, it would not forget the preventive programs, such as gender, educational, and economic equality which would be more efficient than punishment.

5.5.1.2 The International Principles

On the one side, humanity has hitherto witnessed countless years of international problems, systematically produced under the auspice of politicians in the framework of international relations or international laws. There are conflicts, genocide, wars, cyberwarfare, militarism, invasion, occupation, concentration camps, refugees, migrant crisis, separation walls, deportation, forced displacement and disappearance, mass imprisonment, mass murder, injury, mutilating, torture, rape, plunder, spy, hate, political oppression, coups d'État, economic exploitation and disaster, destruction and intoxication of nature. Is accordingly there any *law and order* in international relations? Is contrarily there any *chaos* or *anarchism* in international relations according to the Statists' own standards? This should lead an anarchist community or an anarchist federation to rethink about a new world with new relations based on respect, understanding, compassion, diversity, mutuality, decentralization, democracy, green ecology, and cosmology. It would consequently neither tolerate nor help those Governmental crimes to come into existence anymore.

When it comes to using or to fabricating the WMD by an anarchist nation, I would agree with Professor Rothbard who argued about the almost impossibility of atomic defence, because it relies solely on mutual threat and destruction. This form of weaponry is not really persuasive, and its fabrication and use, even for self-defence, could not be anarchist *per se*, but some form of crimes against humanity and nature as a whole because of its dreadful effects on the environment including humanity. What would however happen if one or all neighbours of an anarchist nation would have this weapon while all doors to resolution by dialogue would have firmly been closed? I think that such a nation could not produce or use this weapon as a defensive means, because the end does not justify the inhuman means. It would nonetheless have a right to use other less problematic means, for the environment and humanity as well, to defend its existence against aggression. In addition, neither a nuclear weapon nor a highly professional and extremely powerful army can efficiently guarantee national defence or peace, as, for example, the US army has proved in its problematic war and occupation in Afghanistan and Iraq.

There would actually be regional and international cooperation between various federations around the world, despite their different ideologies and cultures, since we all live on the same planet in which we share the same advantages as well as disadvantages, such as the environmental and cosmological issues. We cannot thus live without universal or cosmological cooperation as well as solidarity. As for the questions of weaponry and militarism on the national, regional, and international scales, they relate first to those of federalism and the peaceful nature of an anarchist community. They depend then on the defensive nature and features of this community against any invasion or occupation. For example, they are industrial associations and private defense agencies in respectively the Proudhonian and anarcho-capitalist models, which the anarchist members could choose. In summary, an anarchist community or a federation of anarchist communities would not become aggressive, militarist, colonialist, or imperialist, unless in the case of losing its anarchist essence or principles.

On the other side, since natural resources belong not only to all human beings, but also to all animals and plants, no individual, nation, or community has any right of monopolizing them to the detriment of misery of others, simply because of possessing them by chance, inheritance, marketing, or conquering. We are indeed all animals with some common needs and desires, without forgetting our individuality and diversity in space and time. Moreover, natural resources, especially gas and oil, are not endless, so that we must not destroy or exhaust them totally. An anarchist federation would consequently have to think seriously about green technology and its distribution with equality and justice on a universal scale.

As for the legal system at a universal or cosmological level in the future, the anarchist community or the anarchist federation of communities would decide about a system that can fit their international and cosmological relations. This actually includes legal, political, economic, social, cultural, artistic, and sportive cooperation, dispute and conflict resolution, and the response to the issues of offences against them, the ecosystems, or the cosmos as a whole (e.g., space debris). The above principles of justice would be helpful in this case by providing a mutual, peaceful, just, and green framework in which those communities arrange their affairs universally or even cosmologically in the future.

5.5.2 The Conclusive and Critical Notices

Some of my severe criticisms of the anarchists and legal anarchism would certainly embrace my own thesis itself, but with two noticeable differences. On the one side, I do not really belong to their caste, and, on the other side, they have never recognized or published any of my anarchist writings at all. This would therefore prove my uncertain contribution to their ideas or movements.

5.5.2.1 The Severe Criticisms of the Anarchists and Legal Anarchism

As a multidisciplinary and multi-methodological concept in its terminology, analysis, critique, and alternatives, legal anarchism has not only influenced many concepts, but also been influenced by them. In this regard, feminism, environmentalism, radical criminology, and restorative justice are salient examples. The libertarians' examination and critiques of the legal system and their alternatives are important for two reasons. Firstly, they stimulate our minds by putting into question all aspects of the law and punishment while denouncing their dehumanized and anti-ecological characteristics. Would the current legal situation be much more problematic without legal anarchism, since the legal professionals do rarely recognize any dissident voice or criticism? Secondly, they show the possibility of having some legal systems more human and respectful of nature as well.

Nonetheless, bulging with fascinating discussions and analyses but mostly blind toward their own problems, anarchism generally and legal anarchism particularly are proudly rooted too much in their ancient, new, sacred, Westernized, dead, or iconic personalities.⁸⁴⁷ These iconic think-tankers possess their own jargons (e.g., self-defence, transformative justice, commutative justice, and test on the criminal as a laboratory mouse) mystifying a punitive agenda of another form of State control, without excluding old sanctions (e.g., capital punishment and torture). Because they have actually become as sacred as the Governors have, I have applied some scriptures to them in my thesis.

In spite of their seducing leitmotif (principally, justice, liberty, autonomy, mutuality, decentralization, and environmentalism), they seem to be unable to present certain coherently normative systems for our very diverse and complex societies,⁸⁴⁸ indoctrinated by Governmental education.⁸⁴⁹ This form of education has never stopped preaching to us the necessity of the State as the Hobbesian absolute provider of law and order in a globalized world, in which there is supposedly no centralized authority to impose so-called "human rights" as well as "environmental rights" over our heads. This would justify the length of the Chapter 5 that aims at both analyzing and criticizing the libertarians' systems, which could be no less problematic than their criticisms of the current systems founded on State power and authority.

A look at the anarchist journals and studies may realize that anarchism seriously suffers from dead ideas and fossilized thinkers, while it is perfectly making a guard against any freethinker. The anarchists are really suffering from an *intellectual superiority complex*, which would be a type of intellectual and academic racism. The anarchist ideals are usually either close to State law or far from our realities: our cruelties vis-à-vis nature and ourselves as well. In this sense, they have a revolutionary power or agenda to become an authoritarian system that they are arrogantly pretending to replace.⁸⁵⁰

On the contrary, the capitalist anarchists, really hated or ignored by the socialist anarchists, have developed a normative system that is relatively coherent, but not necessarily democratic, human, or ecological. They simply look at humanity and nature as private property to maximize profit. And as for anarchist morality, they are ironically more coherent or honest than the so-called socialist anarchists, because they do not care about equality when enjoying capitalist advantages founded on inequality (e.g., leadership and professorship). Adversely, the socialist anarchists are perfectly profiting from these advantages when absolutely rejecting capitalism as an evil system! In other words, if the rightist anarchists are openly recognizing “inequality”, the leftist ones are apparently rejecting it while deeply kissing its buttock in their attitudes or organizations! *Let anyone of anarchists who is not profiteer of capitalism be the first to throw a stone at capitalism.* (John 8:7)

The anarchists’ impossibility to theorize coherently or to establish successfully, if the State would rarely allow them, a libertarian model has indeed confronted us with some existential questions. For instance, is not destroying a system much easier than reconstructing it or inventing another one? How has the State survived or even developed despite all destructive critiques articulated by the anarchists? How has it been able to adapt to all crises including political and financial scandals?

Besides, the anarchist terminology is mostly far from the understanding of grassroots that need, according to certain anarchist think-tankers, the direction by a bunch of the *érudits médiatiques* and professional activists who are lovers of their libertarian gurus. The anarchist disciples are really responsible to decode and to develop their gurus’ language, to mutually support each other, and to anarchistically exclude all outsiders. In this sense, Professor Gordon’s notice could be significant, because contemporary anarchism is broadly discontinuous with the historically anarchist movement of peasants and workers.⁸⁵¹ All anarchist morality and propaganda (such as friendship and solidarity)⁸⁵² could somehow depend on “mutuality” or “*donnant-donnant*” among the leftists and on “the free market” among the rightists, which would be scarcely anything better than capitalist morality founded upon economic interest. The libertarians are actually accustomed to criticizing too much the State for being cruel, when they are themselves dehumanized and arrogant vis-à-vis the laypeople. They are floating on the same moral bankruptcy in which the Statesmen/women have already submerged deeply.

The anarchists have scarcely gone further than their scholastic language, jargon, and verbiage (such as post-anarchism), produced mostly in some capitalist universities or romantic cafés in a few wealthy countries. This means mostly in France, England, and the USA that are perfectly symbolizing capitalism, hated by the anarchists, at least the leftist ones, in their pompous speeches, but rarely in their docile

attitudes.⁸⁵³ They may hotly denounce European and American colonialism and imperialism, but they are practically worshipping £, €, and \$! If the archaic generation of so-called social anarchism sacrificed sometimes its lives and properties for establishing a Stateless society, the modern generation is mostly made by certain very well established, paid, and respected Professors, doctors, scientists, highbrows, journalists, or artists. The police or the army is rarely repressing their activism, thanks to their heroic position in our capitalist society against which these anarchists feign to fight and to revolutionize. As for the modern generation of so-called anarcho-capitalism, it is also coming from the middle or upper class whose mind is under the yoke of making money while preaching to us the ethos of the free market whose gatekeepers are indeed the libertarians themselves.

Anarchism or libertarianism is indeed *a business* among others, but many times a noisy or scaring one. If you look at the face of those generations, you can find many good bourgeois people from whom happiness is agreeably effervescing, which are quite the opposite of the proletarians, peasants, and lumpenproletarians for them the leftists are always crying deeply. How can hence the Godlike anarchists, such as Bakunin and Tolstoy, preach the ethos of liberty, autonomy, mutuality, solidarity, plurality, and tolerance to us, when they are themselves so egoist, exploitative, authoritarian, or intolerant from head to toe? How can they expect us to put into practice their ethos when they are unable to do it themselves? In this sense, their libertarian alternatives could be utopian at best or demagogic at worst. For instance, the leftist communities and publications (e.g., Anarchist Studies and Institute for Anarchist Studies) are close to the rightist ones (e.g., Journal of Libertarian Studies, LewRockwell, and Ludwig von Mises Institute), and vice versa, because of excluding any dissident opinion or critique while developing the anarchist cult of personality. They, as the micro-societies, would be indeed unable to tolerate or to accept other opinions, when they are inculcating the value of diversity and plurality in a non-State society!

My critiques may be an ethical challenge to some anarchists who could be opportunist highbrows presenting anarchism as the end of human history through certain anarchist revolutions or evolutions around the world.⁸⁵⁴ This could be an eschatological faith close to the Hegelian and Marxian ends of history,⁸⁵⁵ or to the Fukuyamaian *End of History and Last Man*.⁸⁵⁶ In this case, Professor Black has articulated “the return of anarchy” with certain poetically philosophical words: “*The past will return to some degree, yet society will be different. It will be communal and situational at the same time, a unity of opposites, a situational society. To some degree, moreover, anarchy will return.*”⁸⁵⁷ In fact, the legal system would eventually become libertarian. Since appears authority, including legal authority, in all aspects of human and non-human existences, any libertarian alternative would be multifunctional. Moreover, due to the phenomenon

of “State death”, will we eventually witness the States’ disappearance around the world, i.e. the establishment of anarchist orders, instead of new ones’ appearance or metamorphose?

However, can the anarchists severely criticize my own criticisms because of my criminal law education and authoritarian experience in existence? I do recognize that my obsession with “the questions of criminality” would stem from two elements consciously or unconsciously. Firstly, I was born, have been living, studying, and working in two highly totalitarian State, i.e. the Pahlavi Dynasty and the Islamic Republic of Iran, and two extremely regularized States, i.e. the Republic of France and the Monarchic Government of Canada. Secondly, I have been studying criminal law for many years. If I had been and done otherwise, I would have probably had another opinion on anarchism. Could my obsession with the CJS and legal anarchism be the fruit of existing within the State with its propagandist and repressive apparatus partly called the “criminal law”? Do my models, especially their “legal elements”, look like State law? Alternatively, do they look like the libertarian models that I have criticized partly because of their similarity to State law?

There is perhaps no perfect system, because every system has its own advantages and disadvantages as well. In other words, a system can be good in one aspect like ecology, but problematic in another aspect like economy. The anarchist alternatives to State law cannot be an exception to this rule. Even a small community would be by no means a harmonious place without social tensions and constraints on individual, group, or ecology. The legal system, as one of the means of social control, would thus be necessary to an anarchist society.⁸⁵⁸ As I have nevertheless analyzed in the legal repression of anarchism, the States have never allowed any anarchist system to continue long and to improve itself, thanks to their presentation of anarchism as the state of nature and anti-anarchist laws as a result. All anarchist communities, such as the communities during the Spanish Civil War, have consequently had a short life to be conclusive. The States have hitherto forced us to believe that our existence, continuation, and improvement are absolutely their right or business. In fact, any protest or different thinking is either punished by the legal system as chaos and terrorism, or mocked by the majority as utopia and nonsense. There are hopefully dissenters with their courage, resistance, innovation, creation, or direct action that challenge the status quo and State power while presenting, even modestly, some alternatives to the current legal systems. As a hopeful result, no State has ever been able to destroy all resistance and to control all citizens, and our power to resist is no less important than State repression itself.

5.5.2.2 My Uncertain Contribution to Legal Anarchism

There remain some final notices that I should say about my problematic contribution to anarchism generally and legal anarchism particularly.

The principal and very large inquiry of my dissertation about the justification and necessity of existential regulation by the State may not be an original question insofar as “*all human societies, from time to time, rendered open to interrogation by political crises or changes in moral outlook.*”⁸⁵⁹

I however hope that my thesis has modestly presented and challenged, with a comprehensible language for the laypeople, the anarchist thoughts about the law and punishment. I recognize that my doctoral project may be original insofar as it has, for the first time to my knowledge, endeavored to broadly study the relationship between the legal system and anarchism by an engagement with various classic and modern literatures, and to accordingly make legal anarchism a scholarly discipline with its own language, methodology, and strategy. If it had nonetheless no originality as I want, it could at least stimulate others to think about the advantages and disadvantages of the legal system in both State and libertarian societies. From a conservative or revolutionary perspective, it is important to improve or to revolutionize our life by questioning about existential issues like governance. The dissertation has endeavored in this direction by coping with the necessity of the State. It could contribute to the anarchist ideas and, particularly, to the anarchist alternatives taking into account the elements of time, space, human diversity, and nature through respecting the right to exist for all animals, plants, and human beings.

Furthermore, if the dissertation seems to be so “surrealistic” or utopic, we should not forget that our progress and awareness of humanity and nature have already started by idealism, that is to say by trying to create a green world where justice, liberty, and peace are no longer abstract words but reality. To put into practice these ideas are by no means an easy task, but we should work on them because existence would work better by hope than by deterministic pessimism.

My thesis essence has indeed relied on two principal inquiries about the justification and necessity of the State and its alternatives relying on legal anarchism. They are indeed existential dilemmas for all societies, regardless of their characteristics during time and in space. On the one hand, do we need State laws to govern all existence? On the other hand, if we can manage existence without any centralized and authoritarian power, which type of legal system we need? As Kropotkin formerly implied,⁸⁶⁰ the answer may depend on a Manichaeistic option: either countless State laws with their dehumanized mechanisms, monopolized by a gang of professionals who are fervently designing their own sacred rituals being destroying humanity and the environment together, or a dignified legal system based on liberty, justice, and

ecology, managed by all people concerned. Does my interpretation imply that legal anarchism believes in the absolute wrongness of State law while divinizing anarchist law? Would this mean the end of history by anarchism? Would humanity however return to State laws after anarchist laws? Likewise, could a “Statism-anarchism circle” exist as the criminal-victim circle? Are my critiques of legal anarchism and the anarchists too vehement while everybody has a right to criticize my thesis even in a more violent manner? The good questions may not systematically lead to the good answers, as my thesis questions may prove, since they need a lot of reflection, try, failure, success, or even frustration to evaluate the right answers (but what are eventually the right answers?). As Umberto Eco has argued that “*Books are not made to be believed, but to be subjected to inquiry*,” my thesis is a way of thinking and not indoctrinating.

I have tried to *synthesize* several anarchist ideologies – even though some of them, especially anarcho-capitalism, are still subject to other anarchists’ tough attitude or exclusion – and to *treat fairly* them by analyzing instead of excluding them. All anarchist schools have indeed an *equal right* to defend and develop freely their models as long as they want. It is thus neither my right nor hopefully my capacity to prevent them from expressing their opinions. In other words, I, as a researcher, could not judge which one is “anarchist” or “more anarchist” than another one. For instance, Professor Rothbard had the same natural right to develop his libertarian model as Bakunin had done about his collectivist anarchism, otherwise there is dictatorship. I have indeed struggled to analyze critically, even shortly, principal anarchist opinions on the matter, regardless of their possibly mutual hostility or rejection. In this case, my thesis could develop legal anarchism through presenting, interpreting, making obsessively reference to, and providing a huge bibliography of many different anarchist ideas and movements.

My ambition seems to be idealistic insomuch as we have been born to live and to die with all our miseries in the name of reality of the State! As a result, let us try to realize what is idealistic, to be idealistic instead of accepting our miseries as reality, and to fight for an equal, peaceful, democratic, and green existence.

As far as legal anarchism is concerned, I have some propositions for its evolution and modernization. I hope that it will have a promoting future by overcoming the prejudices and internal conflicts from which anarchism has historically suffered, on the one side, and opening itself to a more critical experience through more reflections, studies, and practices, on the other side. It really needs a better image in the mass media and academia, particularly in the law school, by presenting the positive aspects of anarchism and encouraging more dialogues and studies about its principles for human and natural governance among the laypeople and scholars as well.

Contrary to the jargons that the anarchist middle or upper class have historically developed and doggedly defended, legal anarchism needs a clear and comprehensible language for everybody. If it wants to present some reliable alternatives to State law, it should have not only a knowledgeable terminology, but also certain modern models that the people can use in their existence. The anarchist models would accordingly consider green anarchism and green technology, because they provide some guidelines for respecting the Mother Earth in relation to the cosmos. Moreover, if legal anarchism wants to become an effective discipline, it should permanently modernize its theories and models by new science and technology. It would perhaps neither forget its rich history of ideas and experience in different times and spaces, nor fossilize itself in some dead gurus' opinions, certainly by respecting its own principle of endless alternatives! It would constantly reconsider its problems in order to find new solutions in accordance with its principles.

Legal anarchism should furthermore avoid many fights among different groups of anarchism, called leftists, rightists, individualists, egoists, capitalists, and so on. Albeit pluralism and criticism are internally and externally vital for the progress of any discipline, legal anarchism cannot weaken its arguments by purely submerging in endless debates among different schools of anarchist thought. It would thus try to synthesize these debates, without denying their differences, and to use the external and dissident ideas, which are important to its modernization. The anarchist schools have hitherto weakened themselves by not only fighting against State authority that is their common enemy, but also discrediting or excluding each other's theory and model, in spite of advocating "mutuality" and "cooperation" as the foundation of any anarchist community!

As a multidisciplinary exploration of justice, legal anarchism should also say us how we can live peacefully, harmoniously, freely, fairly, and equally with each other and nature as well, i.e. without hierarchy, domination, extreme regulation and violence, despotism, and with respecting the existence of animals and plants at all local, regional, national, international, or even cosmological levels. It seems that if legal anarchism wants only *to reform* the current laws and sanctions, it will either lose its substance and existential meaning, or become another tool in preserving the status quo and keeping State power alive. Such a reform could remind, for instance, when we try to reform an authoritarian system, like Iran's Islamic Government, in a libertarian manner, by which we would seriously miss the nature of libertarianism, or the system would simply continue to destroy nature and us under another name: Iran's Islamic-Liberative Government!

As long as human beings are too cruel and seasoned to destroy not only human lives but also non-human lives, they will scarcely need any normative system based on freedom, justice, mutuality, peace, and green ecology, already proposed by the anarchists as an alternative to the current laws and sanctions. In this case, there would be no need for legal anarchism's solutions, but only one solution: *humankindcide*!

Can a free and creative educational system – i.e. contrary to ours relying on money, privilege, hierarchy, tyranny, secrecy, religiosity, corruption, and grading – care about humanity and naturality in order to change human minds and hearts for living peacefully and harmoniously with nature? Can a libertarian education efficiently change our bloody and dirty nature? Can it change discriminative nature giving more power (e.g., intelligence and wealthy family) to some when denying even basic needs to others? Can it stimulate the spirit of disobedience and resistance to tyranny and barbarism appearing in any legal or illegal form? Do the enlightened thoughts mean the death of oppression and slavery? Albeit my thesis may have tried to provide some elements of answer, we should certainly answer these questions in other theses. However, until there exists life, there is hope, until there is hope, there is resistance to State extremity imposed mostly by the legal system and the army, and until there exists resistance, there is hope to change for establishing more human and ecological communities around the world. As long as the educational system in general and the university in particular, unfortunately both rotten to the core, are a “privilege” and not a “natural right”, the society has ethically died. As a result, the students have become too coward and conservative insofar as any change, including the libertarian revolts or revolutions, in the society is tantamount to a utopia for them. In other words, the corrupt Professors need the fearful students, and vice versa, and they have no courage to dream freely about some libertarian aspirations.

On the contrary, education is able to stimulate unlimitedly the positive and constructive aspects of humankind, instead of developing some negative and destructive aspects that certain corporatist and centralized authorities are unbelievably strengthening. For instance, it can provide us with the elements of thought about freedom, justice, mutuality, creativity, compassion, and love of nature, instead of indoctrinating us with submission-domination relationships, the WMD, and the methods of torture. Even though some of us are paradoxically misanthropic, let us experience some libertarian educations as we have already experienced the problematic educations based on wealth, elitism, tyranny, hierarchy, and anti-ecology.

Let me now finish my thesis with a question that we can regard as parallel to my dissertational inquiry, which thus requires another thesis. *Would we have anarchistically lived, perhaps without State extremity with almost absolute impunity, if the elites had not hotly indoctrinated everybody with the ethos of*

the principles of the State or the Evil Empire in the name of God, prosperity, progress, justice, liberty, security, or democracy? In summary, would humanity have peacefully experienced another form of existence, if the elites had not indoctrinated it with State mentality or justification that actually means the Governors' interest? If the answer were positive, legal anarchism would once more find its significance.

Vive la liberté et la dignité humaines et naturelles! Let us have some big dreams!

Bibliography

In English and French

- ABA, *Rapid Response to Unfair and Unjust Criticism of Judges*, Standing Committee on Judicial Independence, 2008.
- ABBOUD (Leila) & MAUSHAGEN (Peter), *Germany Wants a German Internet as Spying Scandal Rankles*, Reuters, Oct. 25, 2013.
- ABDULLAH (Halimah), *Reagan and Thatcher: 'Political Soulmates'*, CNN, Apr. 9, 2013.
- ABDUL-HUSSAIN (Hussain), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, World Affairs, 2012.
- ABELES (Norman), *Ethics and the Interrogation of Prisoners: An Update*, Ethics and Behavior, Vol. 20, No. 3-4, 2010, pp. 243-249.
- ABRAHAMIAN (Ervand), *Khomeini: Fundamentalist or Populist?*, New Left Review, Vol. 1, No. 186, 1991, pp. 102-119.
- ABRAMS (Norman), *Developments in US Anti-Terrorism Law: Checks and Balances Undermined*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 4, No. 5, 2006, pp. 1117-1136.
- ACKERMAN (Alan), *Just Words: Lillian Hellman, Mary McCarthy, and the Failure of Public Conversation in America*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2011.
- ADAMS (Cindy), *Don't Judge Judy by her Pricey Digs*, New York Post, June 18, 2008.
- ADAMS (Jason M.), *Non-Western Anarchisms: Rethinking the Global Context*, Anarchist Library, 2014.
- ADAMS (Jason M.), *Postanarchism in a Nutshell*, Anarchist Library, 2003.
- ADAMS (Susan), *America's Most Expensive Law Schools*, Forbes, Mar. 13, 2015.
- ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism, 1800-1936: A Study of the Feasibility of Anarchism*, Braunton: Merlin Books, 1992.
- ADDLEY (Esther) ET AL., *Tony Blair Accused of War Crimes by Protester at Leveson Inquiry*, Guardian, May 28, 2012.
- ADLER (Freda) & LAUFER (William S.) (ed.), *Advances in Criminological Theory*, New Brunswick and New Jersey: Transaction, 1999.
- ADSETT (Margaret), *Change in Political Era and Demographic Weight as Explanations of Youth 'Disenfranchisement' in Federal Elections in Canada, 1965-2000*, Journal of Youth Studies, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2003, pp. 247-264.
- AELST (Peter Van) & WALGRAVE (Stefaan), *New Media, New Movements? The Role of the Internet in Shaping the 'Anti-Globalization' Movement*, Information, Communication and Society, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, pp. 465-493.
- AFFINITY PROJECT, *Interview with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, Anarchist Library, 2009.
- AFONSO (Alexandre), *How Academia Resembles a Drug Gang*, London School of Economics and Political Science Blogs, Dec. 11, 2013.
- AGAMBEN (Giorgio), *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*, trans. by Daniel Heller-Roazen, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1995.
- AGAMBEN (Giorgio) & LÉVY (Yildune), *Le Secret le Mieux Gardé de l'Affaire de Tarnac*, Le Monde, Nov. 14, 2102.
- AGNEW (Robert), *Dire Forecast: A Theoretical Model of the Impact of Climate Change on Crime*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2012, pp. 21-42.
- AHMARI (Sohrab), *The Sheikh of Terror*, Wall Street Journal, June 20, 2013.
- AHMED (Amel), *UN: Marijuana-Related Health Problems on Rise in US*, Al Jazeera, June 26, 2014.
- AHMED (Shamila), *Constitutive Criminology and the 'War on Terror'*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2014, pp. 357-371.
- AIUTO (Russell), *The Legacy of Sacco and Vanzetti*, Crime Library.
- AJI (Albert), *Syria Accuses Rebels of Using Chemical Weapons against Government Troops in East Damascus*, Globe and Mail, Aug. 24, 2013.
- AJI (Albert) & KARAM (Zeina), *Russia Opposes Use of Force in Syria Resolution; Rebels Demand International Response*, CTV News, Sep. 17, 2013.
- AJI (Albert) & LEDERER (Edith), *UN: More 100,000 Now Dead in Syria's Civil War*, Time, July 25, 2013.
- AKHAVAN (Payam), *Canada: Haven of Choice for Iran's Elite*, Toronto Star, Nov. 21, 2011.
- AKHTAR (Syed H.), *Prophet Muhammad*, Islamic Research Foundation International.
- AL-ANSARI (Nadhir) ET AL., *Suggested Landfill Sites for Hazardous Waste in Iraq*, Natural Science, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2013, pp. 463-477.
- ALEBEEK (Rosanne van), *National Courts, International Crimes and the Functional Immunity of State Officials*, Netherlands International Law Review, Vol. 59, No. 1, 2012, pp. 5-41.
- ALDRED (Guy Alfred), *Pioneers of Anti-Parliamentarism*, Glasgow: Strickland Press, 1940.

ALEXANDER (Lawrence A.) & O'DRISCOLL (Lyla H.), *Stork Markets: An Analysis of "Baby-Selling"*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1980, pp. 173-196.

ALLEN (Kate), *Lawyers Call for Criminal Investigation into G20 Takedown*, *Toronto Star*, June 27, 2010.

ALLEN (Kate), *Today, Our Chance to Fight a New Hi-Tech Tyranny*, *Guardian*, May 28, 2006.

ALLEN (Peter), *DSK's Long-Suffering Wife Anne Sinclair finally Leaves him as Former IMF Boss Is Kicked out of Their Paris Home*, *Daily Mail*, June 29, 2012.

ALLEN (Peter), *First Lady Valerie Trierweiler 'to Be Kicked out of Elysee Palace over Partner Francois Hollande's Sex Scandal with Actress 20 Years His Junior'*, *Daily Mail*, Jan. 12, 2014.

ALLEN (Peter), *Sarkozy's Plans 'to Dodge New 75% French Tax Rate by Moving to London with Wife Carla and Setting up £1BN Private Equity Fund'*, *Daily Mail*, Jan. 22, 2013.

ALLEN (Peter) & SPARKS (Ian), *Sarkozy Braced for Slew of Criminal Probes as Presidential Immunity Comes to an End*, *Daily Mail*, June 15, 2012.

ALLINSON (Richard), *The Language of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, *Crime and Delinquency*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 1979, pp. 364-369.

ALO (B.T.), *Professional Police Dog Training*, eHow.

ALPHEN (Tony Van), *Fired York University VP Received \$86,000 'Performance' Bonus*, *Toronto Star*, Jan. 7, 2013.

ALTGELD (John P.), *Reasons for Pardoning Fielden, Neebe and Schwab: The Haymarket Anarchists*, *Anarchist Library*, 1886.

AL-TURKI (Nadia), *In Conversation with M. Sharif Bassiouni*, *Asharq Al-Awsat*, Mar. 24, 2013.

ALVANDI (Roham) & EMERY (Christian), *The Lure of Conspiracy Theories in Iranian Politics*, *Guardian*, July 1, 2016.

ÁLVAREZ (Enrique), *Man Un/Made: Male Homosocial and Homosexual Desire in Anarchist Culture of the Spanish Civil War*, *Journal of Iberian and Latin American Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, pp. 17-32.

AMAO (Olufemi O.), *Corporate Social Responsibility, Multinational Corporations and the Law in Nigeria: Controlling Multinationals in Host States*, *Journal of African Law*, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2008, pp. 89-113.

AMARUSO (John), *France's First Lady Hospitalized after President Hollande Sex Scandal*, *Guardian Liberty Voice*, Jan. 14, 2014.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Death Penalty 2013: Small Number of Countries Trigger Global Spike in Executions*, Mar. 27, 2014.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran Must Immediately Investigate Blogger's Death in Custody*, Nov. 8, 2012.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran: Release Human Rights Lawyer for Good*, Jan. 23, 2013.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Israel: Collective Punishment Will Not Bring the Justice that Murdered Teens Deserve*, July 1, 2014.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Universal Jurisdiction: A Preliminary Survey of Legislation around the World*, London, 2012.

AMRI (Ramzi), *Why Does the US President Always End His Speech with "God Bless America"?*, *Quora*, Apr. 8, 2012.

AMSDEN (Jon), *Industrial Collectivization under Workers' Control: Catalonia, 1936-1939*, *Antipode*, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 99-114.

AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, foreword by John Clark, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2012.

AMSTER (Randall), *Breaking the Law: Anti-Authoritarian Visions of Crime and Justice*, *New Formulation*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2004.

AMSTER (Randall), *Restoring (Dis)Order: Sanctions, Resolutions, and "Social Control" in Anarchist Communities*, *Contemporary Justice Review*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2003, pp. 9-24.

AMSTER (Randall) ET AL. (ed.), *Contemporary Anarchist Studies: An Introductory Anthology of Anarchy in the Academy*, London: Routledge, 2009.

AMUZEGAR (Jahangir), *The Islamic Republic of Iran: Facts and Fiction*, *Middle East Policy*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 25-36.

ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Against Nationalism*, London: Anarchist Communist, 2009.

ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Beating the Poll Tax*, London: Anarchist Communist, 1990.

ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Basic Bakunin*, London: Anarchist Communist, 2007.

ANARCHIST FEDERATION OF RIO DE JANEIRO, *Social Anarchism and Organisation Anarchist*, trans. Jonathan Payn, Fordsburg: Zabalaza Books, 2008.

ANARCHIST INTERNATIONAL, *What Anarchists Are Against and What They Are For*.

ANARCHO, *Anarchist Economics*, *Anarchist Library*, 2012.

ANARCHO, *The Economics of Anarchy*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009.

ANDERSON (D. Mark) & REES (Daniel I.), *The Legalization of Recreational Marijuana: How Likely Is the Worst-Case Scenario?*, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2014, pp. 221-232.

ANDERSON (Kevin B.), *Year Two of the Arab Revolutions*, *Logos*, Vol. 11, No. 2-3, 2012.

ANDERSON (Mark K.), *Voteauction Booth is Closed*, *Wired News*, Oct. 21, 2000.

ANDERSON (Perry), *The New Old World*, London: Verso, 2009.

ANDERSON (Ryan), *Alleged Judge Sex Scandal Too Close to Home*, *Chapman Law Courier*, Apr. 15, 2013.

ANDERSON (Tim), *Defend Yourself: Facing a Charge in Court*, 2nd ed., Annandale: Federation Press, 2008.

ANDERSSON (Carl), *The Personality Cult of Elena Ceausescu*, Ceausescu, 2005.

ANDREATTA (David), *Syria's Collapsed Health-Care System urgently Needs World's Help, MSF Warns*, Globe and Mail, Sep. 30, 2013.

ANDREWS (Robert), *The Columbia Dictionary of Quotations*, New York: Columbia University Press, 1993.

ANNETT (Evan) & HUI (Ann), *Toronto's Liberal Surge; Doug Ford's 'Enema' Prescription and other Election Surprises*, Globe and Mail, June 13, 2014.

ANNIS (Roger), *Canada Needs a New Left-Wing Party*, Links International Journal of Socialist Renewal, July 3, 2014.

ANONYMOUS, *Anarchy Against Utopia!*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation: Devastate to Liberate, or Devastatingly Liberal?*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

ANONYMOUS, *Beyond Workerism: Beyond Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

ANONYMOUS, *Brittle Utopias*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

ANONYMOUS, *Environmental Anarchism in Vermont: Anne Petermann of Global Justice Ecology Project*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

ANONYMOUS, *On Sabotage as One of the Fine Arts: A Contribution to the Topic of the Theory of the Practice of Sabotage*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

ANONYMOUS, *Safe as Death*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

ANONYMOUS, *The Anarchist Ethic in the Age of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

ANONYMOUS, *The Field Guide to Anti-Hunting*, Anarchist Library, 1991.

ANONYMOUS, *The Undesirables*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

ANONYMOUS, *To Never Bow Our Heads Ever Again*, Anarchist Library, 2014.

ANSELL (Christopher K.), *Schism and Solidarity in Social Movements: The Politics of Labor in the French Third Republic*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.

ANTI-RACIST ACTION & THE GREEN MOUNTAIN ANARCHIST COLLECTIVE, *Black Bloc Tactics Communiqué*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

ANTLIFF (Allan), *Anarchy, Power, and Poststructuralism*, SubStance, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 56-66.

ARAGORNI, *Anarchy Without Road Maps or Adjectives*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

ARAN (Gideon) & HASSNER (Ron E.), *Religious Violence in Judaism: Past and Present*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2013, pp. 355-405.

ARBENA (Joseph L.), *Meaning and Joy in Latin American Sports*, International Review for the Sociology of Sport, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2000, pp. 83-91.

ARBUTHNOT (Felicity) ET AL., *Depleted Uranium: A Post-War Disaster for Environment and Health*, Amsterdam: Laka Foundation, 1999.

ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *A Review of the Effects of Uranium and Depleted Uranium Exposure on Reproduction and Fetal Development*, Toxicology and Industrial Health, Vol. 17, No. 5-10, 2001, pp. 180-191.

ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *Two-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study of Implanted Depleted Uranium (DU) in CD Rats*, Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Vol. 72, No. 6, 2009, pp. 410-427.

ARIN (Kerim Peren) ET AL., *Exploring the Dynamics between Terrorism and Anti-Terror Spending: Theory and UK-Evidence*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 77, No. 2, 2011, pp. 189-202.

ARJOMAND (Said Amir), *After Khomeini: Iran Under His Successors*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.

ARJOMAND (Said Amir), *Civil Society and the Rule of Law in the Constitutional Politics of Iran Under Khatami – Iranian President Mohammad Khatami*, Iran Chamber Society, 2000.

ARLANDSON (James Malcolm), *Top Ten Reasons Why Islam Is NOT the Religion of Peace*, Answering Islam.

ARMAND (Émile), *Anarchist Individualism and Amorous Comradeship*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

ARMAND (Émile), *Mini-Manual of Individualist Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1911.

ARMAND (Émile), *The Anarchism of Émile Armand*, Anarchist Library, 1907-1964.

ARNI (Caroline) & HONEGGER (Claudia), *The Modernity of Women: Jenny P. d'Héricourt's Contribution to Social Theory (1809-1875)*, Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2008, pp. 45-65.

ARORA (Kamna), *Will Hugo Chávez's Successor Thaw Ties with US?*, Zee News, Mar. 8, 2013.

ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Critical Criminology, Existential Humanism, and Social Justice: Exploring the Contours of Theoretical Integration*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 10, 2001, pp. 83-95.

ARETOULAKIS (Emmanouil), *Towards a PostHumanist Ecology*, European Journal of English Studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, pp. 172-190.

ARTHURS (Harry William), "Valour rather than Prudence": *Hard Times and Hard Choices for Canada's Legal Academy*, Faculty Research Seminar Series, Jan. 16, 2013.

ARTUSO (Antonella), *Ontario Suicide Attempts Being Shared with U.S. Border Officials*, Toronto Sun, Apr. 14, 2014.

ARVON (Henri), *L'Anarchisme*, 3rd ed., Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1964.

ARVON (Henri), *Les Libertariens Américains: De l'Anarchisme Individualiste à l'Anarcho-Capitalisme*, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1983.

ASADI (Houshang), *Mr. Khamenei and His Cell-Mates*, Rooz, Mar. 10, 2009.

ASHMAN (Sam) & CALLINICOS (Alex), *Capital Accumulation and the State System: Assessing David Harvey's The New Imperialism*, *Historical Materialism*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2006, pp. 107-131.

ASIMAKOPOULOS (John), *Bridging Utopia and Pragmatism to Achieve Direct Economic Democracy*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 48-73.

ASSIS (Elie), *Man, Woman and God in Judg 4*, *Scandinavian Journal of the Old Testament*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 110-124.

ATZMON (Gilad), *ISIS, Scotland and the Politics of Mimicry*, *MWC News*, Sep. 18, 2014.

AUBIN (Dena), *U.S. Appeals Court Affirms Contempt Judgment for Anarchist*, Reuters, Dec. 2, 2013.

AUFHEBEN, *Civilization and Its Latest Discontents: A Review of Against His-story! Against Leviathan!*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

AVRICH (Paul), *An American Anarchist: The Life of Voltairine de Cleyre*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1978.

AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1988.

AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Voices: An Oral History of Anarchism in America*, Oakland: AK Press, 2005.

AVRICH (Paul), *The Haymarket Tragedy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984.

AYAD (Moustafa), *Obituary: Welsh S. White/Pitt Law Professor, Leading Authority on Death Penalty*, Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, Jan. 1, 2006.

AYDINLI (Ersel), *Before Jihadists There Were Anarchists: A Failed Case of Transnational Violence*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 31, No. 10, 2008, pp. 903-923.

AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Framing Collective Action Against Neoliberalism: The Case of the "Anti-Globalization" Movement*, *Journal of World-Systems Research*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2004, pp. 11-34.

AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Transnational Political Processes and Contention against the Global Economy*, *Mobilization*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2001, pp. 55-68.

AZZONI (Tales), *Neymar's Dream of World Cup 2014 Victory Not Over, Brazil Star Says in Tearful Video*, National Post, July 6, 2014.

BAARS (Gritje), *"Reform or Revolution"? Polanyian versus Marxian Perspectives on the Regulation of the Economic*, *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly*, Vol. 62, No. 4, 2011, pp. 415-431.

BAASE (Sara), *Voltairine de Cleyre: Anarchist without Adjectives*, Anarchist Archives, Feb. 18, 2000.

BABCOX (Peter), *The Committee to Defend the Conspiracy*, New York Review of Books, June 19, 1969.

BACCHI (Umberto), *France: Socialist President Hollande 'Hates the Poor' Says Ex Valérie Trierweiler*, International Business Times, Sep. 3, 2014.

BACHRACH (Judy), *Arab Spring for Him, Arab Winter for Her*, World Affairs, Nov. 1, 2011.

BACKHOUSE (Constance), *Colour-Coded: A Legal History of Racism in Canada, 1900-1950*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999.

BACKHOUSE (Constance), *White Female Help and Chinese-Canadian Employers: Race, Class, Gender, and Law in the Case of Yee Clun, 1924*, *Canadian Ethnic Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 1994, pp. 34-52.

BADCOCK, JR. (John), *Slaves to Duty*, Anarchist Library, 1894.

BAEZ (Benjamin), *Confidentiality and Peer Review: The Paradox of Secrecy in Academe*, *Review of Higher Education*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2002, pp. 163-183.

BAGUS (Philipp) & HOWDEN (David), *Unanswered Quibbles with Fractional Reserve Free Banking*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 18.

BAINBRIDGE (Jason), *The Bodies of Law: Performing Truth and the Mythology of Lawyering in American Law Shows*, *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2009, pp. 395-413.

BAKAN (Abigail B.) & ABU-LABAN (Yasmeen), *Israel/Palestine, South Africa and the 'One-State Solution': The Case for an Apartheid Analysis*, *Politikon*, Vol. 37, No. 2-3, 2010, pp. 331-351.

BAKER (David V.), *'Trends in the Use of Capital Punishment' – Revisited: A Tribute to Professor Frank E. Hartung*, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2006 pp. 353-396.

BAKER (Janice L.) ET AL., *Gunshot Wounds in Military Working Dogs in Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom: 29 Cases (2003-2009)*, *Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2013, pp. 47-52.

BAKER (Peter), *Obama Speaks to President of Iran in First Talk since 1979*, New York Times, Sep. 27, 2013.

BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *God and the State*, Anarchist Library, 1882.

BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Federalism, Socialism, and Anti-Theologism*, Anarchist Library, 1867.

BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, Anarchist Library, 1999.

BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Power Corrupts the Best*, Anarchist Library, 1867.

BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Revolutionary Catechism*, Anarchist Library, 1866.

BALATON-CHRMES (Samantha), *Statelessness in a World of Nation-States*, Monash Asia Institute, 2012.

BALDWIN (Roger Nash) (ed.), *Kropotkin's Revolutionary Pamphlets*, New York: Dover, 1970.

BALL (James), *Boris, Assuming the Guilt of Terror Suspects Is No 'Minor Change' to the Law*, Guardian, Aug. 25, 2014.

BALLA (Bálint), *Scarcity of Resources and Social Action*, Social Science Information, Vol. 21, No. 6, 1982, pp. 901-913.

BALSAM (Joel), *It's Been Three Years since Toronto's G20 Protest*, VICE News, June 27, 2013.

BANKS (Cyndi), *Criminal Justice Ethics: Theory and Practice*, 3rd ed., Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2013.

BANKS (William C.) & RAVEN-HANSEN (Peter), *Targeted Killing and Assassination: The U.S. Legal Framework*, University of Richmond Law Review, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2003, pp. 667-749.

BANKOWSKI (Zenon) & MUNGHAM (Geoff), *A Political Economy of Legal Education*, Higher Education Quarterly, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1978, pp. 448-463.

BANKOWSKI (Zenon) & MUNGHAM (Geoff), *Images of Law*, London and Boston: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1976.

BANNISTER (Piers), *The Death Penalty: UN Victory Puts Total Abolition within our Grasp*, International Review of Law Computers and Technology, Vol. 22, No. 1-2, 2008, pp. 165-170.

BARAK (Gregg), *Theft of a Nation: Wall Street Looting and Federal Regulatory Colluding*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2012.

BARAKA (Ajamu), *U.S. Guilty of Genocide in Guatemala Should Be Real Headline*, Black Agenda Report, May 14, 2013.

BARAN (Madeleine), *Charges Dismissed against Three of RNC 8 Suspects*, Minnesota Public Radio, Sep. 16, 2010.

BARBER (Matt), *Sexual Anarchy*, Townhall, Sep. 3, 2011.

BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Anthropology and Anarchism*, Raven, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1992, pp. 141-178.

BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Mutual Aid and Conflict Resolution in the Traditional Egyptian Village*, Raven, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1992, pp. 72-79.

BARCLAY (Harold B.), *People without Government: An Anthropology of Anarchism*, preface by Alex Comfort, London: Kahn and Averill, 1982.

BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Segmental Acephalous Systems: Alternatives to Centralised Bureaucracy*, Raven, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1989, pp. 207-224.

BARKAN (Steven E.), *Criminal Prosecution and the Legal Control of Protest*, Mobilization, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, pp. 181-194.

BARKER (Colin), *The State as Capital*, International Socialism, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1978, pp. 16-42.

BARKER (Derek W. M.), *Oligarchy or Elite Democracy? Aristotle and Modern Representative Government*, New Political Science, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 547-566.

BARKIN (Noah), *Germany Says Forces in Iran Trying to Torpedo Nuclear Deal*, Reuters, July 8, 2016.

BARNES (Roger C.), *Peacemaking Criminology: Challenges and Possibilities*, National Social Science Association, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2007.

BARNET (Phred), *The Non-Aggression Principle*, Americanly Yours, Apr. 14, 2011.

BARNETT (Michael) & DUVALL (Raymond) (ed.), *Power in Global Governance*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Constitutional Legitimacy*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2003, pp. 111-148.

BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Libertarianism and Legitimacy: A Reply to Huebert*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2005, pp. 71-78.

BARNHIZER (David), *Ten Elements of "Real" Ethics in the Practice of Law (and Life)*, Cleveland-Marshall Legal Studies Paper No. 12-248, Nov. 28, 2012.

BAR-ON (Tamir), *A Response to Alain de Benoist*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 123-168.

BAROUDI (Sami E.), *The Islamic Realism of Sheikh Yusuf Qaradawi (1926-) and Sayyid Mohammad Hussein Fadlallah (1935-2010)*, British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2016, pp. 94-114.

BARR (Judith), *When the Mask Falls Off: Understanding a Very Human and Very Spiritual Reality*, GoodTherapy, Feb. 21, 2012.

BARRIONUEVO (Alexei), *'Minister of Ideas' Tries to Put Brazil's Future in Focus*, New York Times, Feb. 2, 2008.

BARROWS-FRIEDMAN (Nora), *"Boycott Israel" Activist Banned from Toronto University*, Electronic Intifada, Aug. 12, 2013.

BARROW (Denys), *Judgment Delayed Is Justice Denied: Delays in Delivering Judgments in the Eastern Caribbean*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2009, pp. 429-442.

BARRY (Brian), *Anarchy, State and Utopia* by Robert Nozick, Political Theory, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1975, pp. 331-336.

BARRY (John), *Rethinking Green Politics: Nature, Virtue and Progress*, London: Sage, 1999.

BARRY (Rachel Ann) ET AL., *Waiting for the Opportune Moment: The Tobacco Industry and Marijuana Legalization*, Milbank Quarterly, Vol. 92, No. 2, 2014, pp. 207-242.

BARTON (Benjamin H.), *A Glass Half Full Look at the Changes in the American Legal Market*, International Review of Law and Economics, Vol. 38, 2014, pp. 29-42.

BARTROP (Paul R.) (ed.), *Bosnian Genocide: The Essential Reference Guide*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2016.

BASSIOUNI (Mahmoud Cherif), *Post-Conflict Justice in Iraq: An Appraisal of the Iraq Special Tribunal*, Cornell International Law Journal, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2005, pp. 327-390.

BASSIOUNI (Mahmoud Cherif) & HANNA (Michael Wahid), *Ceding the High Ground: The Iraqi High Criminal Court Statute and the Trial of Saddam Hussein*, June 25, 2007.

BASTIAT (Frédéric), *The Law*, trans. by Dean Russell, 4th ed., Irvington-on-Hudson: Foundation for Economic Education, 2004.

BATALO (Klas), *Anarchist Synthesis*, Libcom, Oct. 4, 2012.

BATTAGALIA (Joe), *Michelle Obama's Beijing Hotel Was Deemed Too Costly for Biden Trip*, Newsmax, Mar. 24, 2014.

BAUDOUIN (Axel), *Reclus, A Colonialist?*, CyberGeo, 2003, Art. 239.

BAUM (Lawrence), *Judicial Elections and Judicial Independence: The Voter's Perspective*, Ohio State Law Journal, Vol. 64, No. 1, 2003, pp. 13-41.

BAYEFSKY (Anne), *President Obama Doesn't Understand Rouhani*, Algemeiner, Sep. 23, 2013.

BAYLEY (David H.) & SHEARING (Clifford D.), *The Future of Policing*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1996, pp. 585-606.

BAYLEY (David H.) & SHEARING (Clifford D.), *The New Structure of Policing: Description, Conceptualization, and Research Agenda*, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, 2001.

BAYNES (Terry), *Ex-Prosecutor Claims O. J. Simpson Attorney Tampered with Glove*, Reuters, Sep. 8, 2012.

BAZELON (Emily) ET AL., *Crimes and Misdemeanors*, Slate, July 24, 2008.

BAZHANOV (Valentine A.), *Shadow Science in the Soviet Union*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, pp. 89-99.

BEAUCHAMP (Zack), *How Obama's Nobel Prize Speech Explains His Syria Policy*, Think Progress, Sep. 4, 2013.

BEAULIEU (Alain), *Towards a Liberal Utopia: The Connection between Foucault's Reporting on the Iranian Revolution and the Ethical Turn*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 36, No. 7, 2010, pp. 801-818.

BEAUMONT (Peter), *Syria: Massacres of Sunni Families Reported in Assad's Heartland*, Guardian, May 4, 2013.

BECKER (Michael), *Anarcho-Primitivism: The Green Scare in Green Political Theory*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

BECKETT (Katherine) & WESTERN (Bruce), *Governing Social Marginality: Welfare, Incarceration, and the Transformation of State Policy*, Punishment and Society, Vol. 3, No 1, 2001, pp. 43-59.

BECKETT (Lois), *Six Ways Fukushima Is Not Chernobyl*, ProPublica, Mar. 18, 2011.

BECKFORD (Martin), *The £14-A-Vote Farce: Cost of Electing Police Commissioners Makes It Most Expensive Poll in British History*, Daily Mail, Nov. 17, 2012.

BEDLOW (Derek), *From Zero to Hero*, Law Society Gazette, Nov. 16, 2006.

BEEBE (Barton), *Law's Empire and the Final Frontier: Legalizing the Future in the Early Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 108, No. 7, 1999, pp. 1737-1773.

BEEHNER (Lionel), *IRAQ: Saddam's Trial*, Council on Foreign Relations, Mar. 14, 2006.

BEGGAN (Dominic M.), *State Repression and Political Violence: Insurgency in Northern Ireland*, International Journal on World Peace, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2006, pp. 61-90.

BELIEN (Paul), *On Abortion, the Welfare State, Keynes and Hayek*, Brussels Journal, July 1, 2005.

BELLEGARRIGUE (Anselme), *Manifeste de l'Anarchie*, L'Anarchie, Journal de l'Ordre, No. 1, 1850.

BEN-DAVID (Calev), *Israel Plans for Iran Strike, Citizens Fear Worst*, Bloomberg News, Aug. 15, 2012.

BEN-GEDALYAHU (Tzvi), *Dutch Justice Ministry Employee: ISIS a Zionist Conspiracy*, Jewish Press, Aug. 13, 2014.

BENHABIB (Seyla), *The Right to Have Rights in Contemporary Europe*, Georgetown University, Feb. 2005.

BENKLER (Yochai), *Practical Anarchism: Peer Mutualism, Market Power, and the Fallible State*, Politics and Society, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2013, pp. 213-251.

BENNETT (James R.), *Political Trials and Prisoners in the United States*, Social Anarchism, Vol. 22, 1996.

BENNHOLD (Katrin), *French Culture Minister Refuses to Resign Over Paid Sex Trysts*, New York Times, Oct. 8, 2009.

BENSON (Bruce L.), *Book Review: James L. Nolan, Reinventing Justice: the American Drug Court Movement*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2003, pp. 103-110.

BENSON (Bruce L.), *Reciprocal Exchange as the Basis for Recognition of Law: Examples from American History*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1991, pp. 53-82.

BENSON (Bruce L.), *To Serve and Protect: Privatization and Community in Criminal Justice*, foreword by Marvin Eugene Wolfgang, Oakland: Independent Institute, 1998.

BENTHAM (Jeremy), *Justice and Codification Petitions*, London: R. Heward, 1829.

BEN-YEHUDA (Nachman), *Deviance in Science: Towards the Criminology of Science*, British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1986, pp. 1-27.

BENZIE (Robert) & FERGUSON (Rob), *The Most Massive Compromise of Civil Liberties in Canadian History (Toronto Star)*, Ombudsman Ontario, Dec. 8, 2010.

BERESFORD (Stuart), *The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and the Right to Legal Aid and Assistance*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1998, pp. 49-65.

BERESFORD (Stuart) & LAHIOUEL (Hafida), *The Right to Be Defended in Person or Through Legal Assistance and the International Criminal Court*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2000, pp. 949-984.

BERGEN (Peter), *America's Wartime President*, CNN, Sep. 29, 2014.

BERGHEL (Hal), *Mr. Snowden's Legacy*, Computer, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2014, pp. 66-70.

BERGMAN (Ronen), *Secret War with Iran: The 30-Year Clandestine Struggle Against the World's Most Dangerous Terrorist Power*, trans. by Ronnie Hope, New York: Free Press, 2008.

BERKMAN (Alexander), *The Bolshevik Myth (Diary 1920-1922)*, Anarchist Library, 1925.

BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prison Memoirs of an Anarchist*, New York: Mother Earth Publishing Association, 1912.

BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prisons and Crime*, Anarchist Library, 1906.

BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, New York: Vanguard Press, 1929.

BERKMAN (Alexander) & GOLDMAN (Emma), *Deportation, Its Meaning and Menace; Last Message to the People of America*, Ellis Island, New York, 1919.

BERMAN (Michelle), *Post-Doc Opp at Osgoode...*, OHLS, Oct. 23, 2012.

BERMAN (Russell), *Iran Rejects U.S. Bid to Coordinate Against ISIS*, Wire, Sep. 15, 2014.

BERRY (Bonnie), *International Progress and Regress on Animal Rights*, International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, Vol. 24, No. 9, 2004, pp. 58-75.

BERRY (David), *For a Dialectic of Homosexuality and Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

BERRY (David) & BANTMAN (Constance) (ed.), *New Perspectives on Anarchism, Labour and Syndicalism: The Individual, the National and the Transnational*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars, 2010.

BEST (Steven), *Murray Bookchin's Theory of Social Ecology: An Appraisal of the Ecology of Freedom*, Organization and Environment, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1998, pp. 334-353.

BEVERS (Hans), *Police Observation and the 1990 Schengen Convention*, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1993, pp. 83-107.

BEY (Hakim), *Against "Legalization"*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BEY (Hakim), *Living under Sick Machines*, interview by Jarrett Earnest, Brooklyn Rail, June 5, 2014.

BEY (Hakim), *Millennium*, Anarchist Library, 1996.

BEY (Hakim), *NoGoZone*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BEY (Hakim), *Permanent TAZs*, Anarchist Library, 1993.

BEY (Hakim), *Post-Anarchism Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1987.

BEY (Hakim), *T.A.Z.: The Temporary Autonomous Zone, Ontological Anarchy, Poetic Terrorism*, Anarchist Library, 1985.

BHASIN (Gurbeen), *Khomeini's Millenarian Vision and the Iranian Revolution (Ayatollah Khomeini)*, PhD thesis, University of Windsor, 1994.

BIBAWY (Amir) & OLSTER (Marjorie), *Syria's Foreign Minister Says His Regime Is Fighting 'Terrorists' Who Eat Human Hearts*, CTV News, Sep. 30, 2013.

BIBHA (Tripathi), *Contempt of Court and Freedom of Speech: Exploring Gender Biases*, New Delhi: Readworthy, 2011.

BIEHL (Janet), *Biehl Breaks with Social Ecology*, Institute for Social Ecology, Apr. 14, 2011.

BIEHL (Janet), *Bookchin Breaks with Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

BIEHL (Janet), *Mumford Gutkind Bookchin: The Emergence of Eco-Decentralism*, Porsgrunn: New Compass, 2011.

BIEHL (Janet), *The Fallacy of "Neither Left Nor Right": Militia Fever*, Anarchist Library, 1995.

BIEHL (Janet) (ed.), *The Murray Bookchin Reader*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1999.

BIGELOW (Melville Madison), *Centralization and the Law: Scientific Legal Education*, Boston: Little, Brown, 1906.

BIGO (Didier) & GUITTET (Emmanuel-Pierre), *Northern Ireland as Metaphor: Exception, Suspicion and Radicalization in the 'War on Terror'*, Security Dialogue, Vol. 42, No. 6, 2011, pp. 483-498.

BIRCH (Arthur) (ed.), *Science Research in Australia: Who Benefits?*, Canberra: Centre for Continuing Education, Australian National University, 1983.

BIRCH (Thomas H.), *The Incarceration of Wildness: Wilderness Areas as Prisons*, Environmental Ethics, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1990, pp. 3-26.

BIRGDEN (Astrid) & PERLIN (Michael L.), *"Where the Home in the Valley Meets the Damp Dirty Prison": A Human Rights Perspective on Therapeutic Jurisprudence and the Role of Forensic Psychologists in Correctional Settings*, Aggression and Violent Behavior, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2009, pp. 256-263.

BLACK (Bob), *An Anarchist Response to "An Anarchist Response to Crime"*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

BLACK (Bob), *Anarchy after Leftism*, Columbia: Columbia Alternative Library, 1997.

BLACK (Bob), *"Constitutionalism": The White Man's Ghost Dance*, Anarchist Library, 1995.

BLACK (Bob), *The Abolition of Work*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BLACK (Bob), *"Wild Justice": Crime as an Anarchist Source of Social Order*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

BLACK (Bob), *Withered Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1998.

BLACK (Bob), *Wooden Shoes or Platform Shoes?: On the "Organizational Platform of the Libertarian Communists"*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BLACK (Donald), *Sociological Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.

BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, New York: Academic Press, 1976.

BLACKSTONE (Lee Robert), *A New Kind of English: Cultural Variance, Citizenship and DIY Politics amongst the Exodus Collective in England*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

BLAINE (Sue), *SA 'More Unequal' than 20 Years Ago, Says Oxfam*, Business Day, Jan. 22, 2013.

BLAKE (Aaron), *Why 'Impeach Obama' Is Different than 'Impeach Bush'*, Washington Post, July 9, 2014.

BLAKE (Leslie) ET AL., *Over-Regulation and Suing the State for Negligent Legislation*, Statute Law Review, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2007, pp. 218-234.

BLAKELEY (Ruth), *Human Rights, State Wrongs, and Social Change: The Theory and Practice of Emancipation*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2013, pp. 599-619.

BLAKELEY (Ruth), *State Terrorism and Neoliberalism: The North in the South*, London: Routledge, 2009.

BLANCHARD (Kathryn D.) & O'BRIEN (Kevin J.), *Prophets Meet Profits: What Christian Ecological Ethics Can Learn from Free Market Environmentalism*, Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2014, pp. 103-123.

BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Canada's Prostitution Bill Likely Unconstitutional: Swedish Expert*, CTV News, July 9, 2014.

BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Don't Make Prostitutes Criminals, Manitoba's Attorney General Urges*, CTV News, July 7, 2014.

BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Prostitution Bill Spurs Debate on Whether to Protect Or Punish Sex Workers*, Huffington Post, July 10, 2014.

BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Supreme Court Strikes Down Canada's Anti-Prostitution Laws as Charter Breach*, Global News, Dec. 20, 2013.

BLATCHFORD (Christie), *G20 Cop Trial: Adam Nobody Had Water Bottle Full of Ethanol*, Canada.com, June 3, 2013.

BLAU (Joseph L.), *Government or Anarchy? in the Debates on the Constitution*, Charles S. Peirce Society, Transactions, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1987, pp. 507-519.

BLEIKER (Roland), *Activism after Seattle: Dilemmas of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, Pacifica Review: Peace, Security and Global Change, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2002, pp. 191-207.

BLISS (William Dwight Porter) & BINDER (Rudolph Michael) (ed.), *The New Encyclopedia of Social Reform*, New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1908.

BLITZ (Brad K.) & LYNCH (Maureen) (ed.), *Statelessness and Citizenship: A Comparative Study on the Benefits of Nationality*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2011.

BLIZZARD (Christina), *Lib's Accountability Bill Just Window Dressing*, Toronto Sun, July 8, 2014.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Anarchism and Minarchism; No Rapprochement Possible: Reply to Tibor Machan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2007, pp. 61-90.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Anarchism and Minarchism; No Rapprochement Possible: Reply to Tibor Machan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 741-770.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Free Market Transportation: Denationalizing the Roads*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1979, pp. 209-238.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Illiberal Libertarians: Why Libertarianism Is Not a Liberal View, and a Good Thing Too; Reply to Samuel Freeman*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 537-580.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Natural Rights, Human Rights, and Libertarianism*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 74, No. 1, 2015, pp. 29-62.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Plumb-Line Libertarianism: A Critique of Hoppe*, Reason Papers, Vol. 29, 2007, pp. 151-163.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Protest! (For no Good Reason)*, Free Market, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2000.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Review of the Myth of the Rational Voter: Why Democracies Choose Bad Policies by Bryan Caplan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 689-718.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Terri Schiavo*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 527-536.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *The Human Body Shield*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 625-630.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *The Non-Aggression Axiom of Libertarianism*, LewRockwell, Feb. 17, 2003.

BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Toward a Libertarian Theory of Guilt and Punishment for the Crime of Statism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 665-665.

BLOOM (Tristyn), *Obama's Africa Vacation Hit Taxpayers For \$2 Million on Lodging, Entertainment, Security Alone*, Daily Caller, May 29, 2014.

BLOUNT (P. J.), *Limits on Space Weapons: Incorporating the Law of War into the Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Proceedings of the 51st Colloquium on the Law of Outer Space, SSRN, 2009.

BLUMENFELD (Jacob) ET AL. (ed.), *The Anarchist Turn*, London: Pluto Press, 2013.

BOAS (Gideon), *The Milošević Trial: Lessons for the Conduct of Complex International Criminal Proceedings*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

BOLLOTEN (Burnett), *The Spanish Civil War: Revolution and Counterrevolution*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1990.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Anarchism and the National Liberation Struggle*, Anarchist Library, 1976.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *And We Will Still Be Ready to Storm the Heavens Another Time: Against Amnesty*, Anarchist Library, 1984.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Apart from the Obvious Exceptions*, Anarchist Library, 1997.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Armed Joy*, Anarchist Library, 1977.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *For an Anti-Authoritarian Insurrectionalist International*, Anarchist Library, 1993.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *From Riot to Insurrection: Analysis for an Anarchist Perspective against Post-Industrial Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 1988.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Insurrectionalist Anarchism – Part One*, Anarchist Library, 1999.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Let's Destroy Work, Let's Destroy the Economy*, Anarchist Library, 1987-1995.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Locked Up*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Palestine, Mon Amour*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Propulsive Utopia*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *The Anarchist Tension*, Anarchist Library, 1996.

BONDGRAHAM (Darwin), *Social Movements and State Repression: An Interview with Scott Crow*, Z Magazine, Dec. 2010.

BONIFACE (Susie), *Why the £8Million Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Will Cost Would Be Better Spent on Those Who Die Unnoticed*, Daily Mirror, Apr. 9, 2013.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Libertarian Municipalism: An Overview*, Anarchist Library, 1991.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1986.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1989.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, Boston: South End Press, 1990.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism: An Unbridgeable Chasm*, Edinburgh and San Francisco: AK Press, 1995.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology and Communalism*, Oakland and Edinburgh: AK Press, 2007.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Society and Ecology*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom: The Emergence and Dissolution of Hierarchy*, New York: Black Rose Press, 1991.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ghost of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1992.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1987.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1987.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *To Remember Spain: The Anarchist and Syndicalist Revolution of 1936*, Anarchist Library, 1994.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1986.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Towards a Liberatory Technology*, Anarchos, No. 2-3, 1968-1969.

BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Whither Anarchism? A Reply to Recent Anarchist Critics*, Anarchist Library, 1998.

BOOTH (Anne), *Night Watchman, Extractive, or Developmental States? Some Evidence from late Colonial South-East Asia*, Economic History Review, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2007, pp. 241-266.

BOOTH (Robert), *Anarchists Should Be Reported, Advises Westminster Anti-Terror Police*, Guardian, July 31, 2011.

BOOTH (Stephen), *Primitivism: An Illusion with No Future*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

BORER (Kris), *Norms and the NAP*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 57-66.

BORGER (Julian), *Syria: Chemical Weapons Inspectors Begin Securing Assad Regime's Arsenal*, Guardian, Oct. 3, 2013.

BORGHESE (Livia), *Italy's Former PM Silvio Berlusconi Starts Community Service at Senior Center*, CNN, May 9, 2014.

BORLOO (Jean-Pierre), *Pauvre Justice, ou l'Inégalité des Citoyens devant la Loi*, Bruxelles: EPO, 1997.

BORSOOK (Paulina), *Cyberselfish Redux*, Mother Jones, Nov. 28, 2000.

BOSALUM (Feras), *Libyan Assembly Votes to Follow Islamic Law*, Reuters, Dec. 4, 2013.

BOSE (Atindranath), *A History of Anarchism*, Calcutta: World Press, 1967.

BOSHOF (Anél), *Law and Its Rhetoric of Violence*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 425-437.

BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Anarchistes, le Sionisme et la Naissance de l'Etat d'Israël (The Anarchists, Zionism, and the Birth of the State of Israel)*, trans. by Jesse Sheppard Cohn, Social Anarchism, No. 35, 2003-2004, pp. 15-31.

BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Paradoxes des Anarchistes Face au Sionisme et à la Naissance de l'État d'Israël*, Archives Juives, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2003, pp. 100-108.

BOURDIEU (Pierre), *Acts of Resistance: Against the Tyranny of the Market*, trans. Richard Nice, New York: The New Press, 1998.

BOURNE (Randolph), *The War and the Intellectuals*, Columbia University, 2004.

BOWCOTT (Owen), *Khomeini Regime Committed Gross Human Rights Abuses, Finds Tribunal*, Guardian, Nov. 4, 2012.

BOWLES (William), *Depleted Uranium: A War Crime Within a War Crime*, Global Research, Mar. 23, 2010.

BOYDEN (Stephen) & DOVES (Stephen R.), *Natural-Resource Consumption and Its Environmental Impacts in the Western World. Impacts of Increasing per Capita Consumption*, Ambio, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1992, pp. 63-69.

BOYEA (Brent D.), *Linking Judicial Selection to Consensus: An Analysis of Ideological Diversity*, American Politics Research, Vol. 35, No. 5, 2007, pp. 643-670.

BOYER (Dominic), *Simply the Best: Parody and Political Sincerity in Iceland*, American Ethnologist, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2013, pp. 276-287.

BOYLE (Francis Anthony), *The Bush Administration Is an Ongoing Criminal Conspiracy Under International Law and U.S. Domestic Law*, Global Research, Sep. 2, 2008.

BOYLE (Francis Anthony), *The Hypocrisy and Racism Behind the Formulation of U.S. Human Rights Foreign Policy: In Honor of Clyde Ferguson*, Social Justice, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1989, pp. 71-93.

BOZÓKI (András) & SÜKÖSD (Miklós), *Anarchism in Hungary: Theory, History, Legacies*, trans. by Alan Renwick, New Jersey: Centre for Hungarian Studies and Publications, 2006.

BRACE (Paul R.) & BOYEA (Brent D.), *State Public Opinion, the Death Penalty, and the Practice of Electing Judges*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2008, pp. 360-372.

BRACE (Paul R.) & HALL (Melinda Gann), *The Interplay of Preferences, Case Facts, Context, and Rules in the Politics of Judicial Choice*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 59, No. 4, 1997, pp. 1206-1231.

BRADFORD (William C.), *Acknowledging and Rectifying the Genocide of American Indians: "Why Is It that They Carry Their Lives on Their Fingernails?"*, Metaphilosophy, Vol. 37, No. 3-4, 2006, pp. 515-543.

BRADSHAW (Elizabeth A.), *Deepwater, Deep Ties, Deep Trouble: A State-Corporate Environmental Crime Analysis of the 2010 Gulf of Mexico Oil Spill*, Dissertation, Western Michigan University, 2012.

BRADSHAW (James) & APPLEBY (Timothy), *Former York U Managers Cleared on Fraud Charges*, Globe and Mail, May 1, 2013.

BRAMBLE (Tom), *'Another World Is Possible': A Study of Participants at Australian Alter-Globalization Social Forums*, Journal of Sociology, Vol. 42, No. 3, 2006, pp. 287-309.

BRANSWELL (Helen), *Hassan Rasouli Case before Supreme Court Will Have Profound Effect on End-of-Life Care Decisions*, National Post, Dec. 10, 2012.

BRATICH (Jack Z.), *Adventures in the Public Secret Sphere: Police Sovereign Networks and Communications Warfare*, Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2014, pp. 11-20.

BRATTON (Michael) & MASUNUNGURE (Eldred), *Popular Reactions to State Repression: Operation Murambatsvina in Zimbabwe*, African Affairs, Vol. 106, No. 422, 2007, pp. 21-45.

BREITBART (Myrna Margulies), *Anarchist Decentralism in Rural Spain, 1936-1939: The Integration of Community and Environment*, Antipode, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 83-98.

BRENNAN (Jason), *Libertarianism: What Everyone Needs to Know*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2012.

BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Brian Mulroney Acted inappropriately in Accepting Cash, Inquiry Finds*, Toronto Star, May 31, 2010.

BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Is Canada Breaking the Law by Using Torture Info?*, Toronto Star, Feb. 8, 2012.

BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Toronto Journalist Witnessed 'Police Brutality' at Toronto G20*, Dec. 6, 2010.

BRENNAN (Stephen Vincent) (ed.), *Classic American Hero Stories: Twelve Inspirational Tales of American Heroism*, Guilford: Lyons Press, 2005.

BRESCIA (Raymond H.), *Line in the Sand: Progressive Lawyering, 'Master Communities', and a Battle for Affordable Housing in New York City*, Albany Law Review, Vol. 73, No. 3, 2010, pp. 715-763.

BRETT (Annabel S.), *Changes of State: Nature and the Limits of the City in Early Modern Natural Law*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.

BREWER (Rose M.) & HEITZEG (Nancy A.), *The Racialization of Crime and Punishment: Criminal Justice, Color-Blind Racism, and the Political Economy of the Prison Industrial Complex*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 51, No. 5, 2008, pp. 625-644.

BRIGGS, JR. (Vernon M.), *Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders: A Review Article Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders*, Journal of Economic Issues, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1968, pp. 200-210.

BRIGHT (Richard), *World Cup 2018: Former FIFA Official Michel Zen-Ruffinen Offers Himself as a '£210K' Fixer*, Telegraph, Oct. 23, 2010.

BRINKERHOFF (Noel) & BIEDERMAN (Danny), *Reagan-Supported Dictator Convicted of Genocide; Is Current President of Guatemala Next?*, AllGov, May 14, 2013.

BINTON (Maurice), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, Critique, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1975, pp. 78-86.

BRISMAN (Avi) & SOUTH (Nigel), *A Green-Cultural Criminology: An Exploratory Outline*, *Crime, Media, Culture*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2013, pp. 115-135.

BRISSENDEN (Michael), *G8 Genoa: Police Brutality Inquiry*, ABC Radio National, July 29, 2001.

BRITTON (Erin), *The Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Suite101, Feb. 15, 2008.

BRO (Henning), *Housing: From Night Watchman State to Welfare State*, *Scandinavian Journal of History*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2009, pp. 2-28.

BROACH (Drew), *Judge Edith Jones' 'Racist' Remarks: What Others Are Saying*, NOLA, June 14, 2013.

BROGAN (Denis William), *Proudhon*, London: Hamish Hamilton, 1934.

BRONNER (Ethan), *Complaint Accuses U.S. Judge in Texas of Racial Bias*, *New York Times*, June 4, 2013.

BRONSKILL (Jim), *Canada Had Expected to Face UN Grilling for Attitude on Torture*, *Global and Mail*, Nov. 12, 2012.

BRONSKILL (Jim), *Ottawa Tells Spies to Use Possible Torture Info in 'Exceptional' Cases*, *Herald News*, Feb. 7, 2012.

BRONSKILL (Jim), *Tories Secretly Gave Canadian Military OK to Share Info despite Torture Risk*, *Globe and Mail*, Apr. 13, 2014.

BROOKS (Frank H.) (ed.), *The Individualist Anarchists: An Anthology of Liberty (1881-1908)*, New Brunswick: Transaction, 1994.

BOROUHAND (Ladan), *The Untold Story of the Fight for Human Rights*, *Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2007, pp. 64-79.

BROUWER (Andrew), *Statelessness in the Canadian Context: An Updated Discussion Paper*, UNHCR, 2012.

BROVERMAN (Aaron), *From a Toronto Basement, Citizen Lab Fights Tyranny Online*, *This Magazine*, Mar. 22, 2010.

BROWN (Christopher), *Report Slams Numerous Federal Departments*, *Lloydminster Source*, Nov. 28, 2013.

BROWN (Richard Harvey), *Cultural Representation and Ideological Domination*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 71, No. 3, 1993, pp. 657-676.

BROWNLEE (Kimberley), *Retributive, Restorative and Ritualistic Justice*, *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 2010, 385-397.

BRUCE (Alan S.) & BECKER (Paul J.), *State-Corporate Crime and the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant*, *Western Criminology Review*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2007, pp. 29-43.

BRUCE (Corin), *Green Anarchism: Towards the Abolition of Hierarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2014.

BRYANT (Christa Case), *Bomb Iran? Why 5 Top Israeli Figures Don't Want to Do It*, *Christian Science Monitor*, Sep. 4, 2012.

BRYANT (Christa Case), *Israelis Shrug at Netanyahu's Urgent Warnings on Iran (+Video)*, *Christian Science Monitor*, Sep. 25, 2012.

BRYANT (Martin), *Why Hasn't the US Government Crushed Wikileaks?*, *Next Web*, Oct. 23, 2010.

BUCHANAN (James McGill), *Federalism and Individual Sovereignty*, *Cato Journal*, Vol. 15, No. 2-3, 1995, pp. 259-268.

BUCH-HANSEN (Hubert) & WIGGER (Angela), *Competition, the Global Crisis, and Alternatives to Neoliberal Capitalism: A Critical Engagement with Anarchism*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 604-626.

BUCKMAN (Peter), *The Limits of Protest*, London: Gollancz, 1970.

BUFE (Charles), *Anarchism: What It Is and What It Isn't*, Fordsburg: Zabalaza Books, 2011.

BULLOCK (Marcus) & JENNINGS (Michael W.) (ed.), *Walter Benjamin: Selected Writings*, Cambridge: Belknap Press, 1996.

BUMSTED (Brad) & ERDLEY (Debra), *Critics: Judges' Luxury Cars Slap at Taxpayers*, *Tribune*, Nov. 28, 2010.

BUNGER (Amy), *Founding the Criminal Law: Punishment and Political Thought in the Origins of America*, book review, *American Political Science Association*, Vol. 95, No. 2, 2001, pp. 483-484.

BUONO (Federico), *The Anarchist and Amoral Anti-Judicial Attitude*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

BUONO (Federico), *The Code of the Key-Order: Anarchist Amoral Anti-Juridism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

BÚRCA (Gráinne de) & GERSTENBERG (Oliver), *The Denationalization of Constitutional Law*, *Harvard International Law Journal*, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2006, pp. 243-262.

BURGOS (Marcelo Martinez) & NISMAN (Alberto), *Office of Criminal Investigations: AMIA Case*, Investigations Unit of the Office of the Attorney General, Oct. 25, 2006.

BURKE (Myles), *Video Implies Massacre of Prisoners by Libyan Rebel Fighters*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 17, 2012.

BURLEIGH (Michael), *Why I'm Convinced Israel Will Bomb Iran in Weeks: Netanyahu Is Running out of Patience and Is 'Closer than Ever' to Launching a Strike*, *Daily Mail*, Aug. 24, 2012.

BURNETT (Graham), *The Root of all Evil? Some Thoughts about Permaculture*, *Permaculture Magazine*, No. 37, 2003.

BUSCH (Hans-Christoph Schmidt am), *Cameralism as 'Political Metaphysics': Human Nature, the State, and Natural Law in the Thought of Johann Heinrich Gottlob von Justi*, *European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2009, pp. 409-430.

BUSH (George Walker), *State of the Union Address*, Miller Center, Jan. 29, 2002.

BUTCHER (A. Allen), *Rainbow Family of Living Light: 3 Articles*, Fourth World Services, 2012.

BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren and the Sovereignty of the Individual*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1980, pp. 433-448.

BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren Notebook "D": A Senior Honors Thesis*, Ball State University, Teachers College, 1964.

BUTLER (C. T. Lawrence) & ROTHSTEIN (Amy), *On Conflict and Consensus: A Handbook on Formal Consensus Decisionmaking*, Takoma Park: Food Not Bombs, 2007.

BUTLER (Don), *Self-Represented Litigants 'Treated with Contempt' by Many Judges, Study Finds*, Ottawa Citizen, Jan. 1, 2013.

BUTTERWORTH (Alex), *The World That Never Was: A True Story of Dreamers, Schemers, Anarchists and Secret Agents*, London: Bodley Head, 2010.

BUZGALIN (Iagin), *Towards the Theory of Alter Globalism Ghost of Alter Globalization*, Russia, 2009.

BZERBEL, *Obama's Dog Has \$100,000 Handler*, Infowars, Sep. 28, 2012.

CADOGAN (Peter), *Freedom to Vote? Freedom from Voting?*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1991, pp. 101-113.

CAGLE (Susie), *Activists and Anarchists Speak for Themselves at Occupy Oakland*, Truthout, Feb. 8, 2012.

CAHM (Caroline), *Kropotkin and the Rise of Revolutionary Anarchism, 1872-1886*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

CAIN (Maureen), *Rich Man's Law or Poor Man's Law?*, British Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1975, pp. 61-66.

CAIN (Maureen) & HUNT (Alan), *Marx and Engels on Law*, London: Academic Press, 1979.

CALDWELL (John Taylor) & SHIPWAY (Mark), *An Introduction to Guy Alfred Aldred and Anti-Parliamentary Communist Federation*, London: Hobnail Press, 2006.

CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING, *Crowd Management and Civil Disobedience Guidelines*, 2003.

CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING, *POST Guidelines – Crowd Management, Intervention, and Control*, 2012.

CALL (Lewis), *Postmodern Anarchism*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2002.

CALLAHAN (Gene), *Liberty versus Libertarianism*, Politics, Philosophy and Economics, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2013, pp. 48-67.

CAMATTE (Jacques), *The Democratic Mystification*, Anarchist Library, 1969.

CAMFIELD (Graham), *From Tolstoyan to Terrorist: The Revolutionary Career of Prince D. A. Khilkov, 1900-1905*, Revolutionary Russia, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1999, pp. 1-43.

CAMIA (Catalina), *2012 Election Costs Could Reach Record \$5.8 Billion*, USA Today, Aug. 2, 2012.

CAMPANARIO (Juan Migue), *Peer Review for Journals as it Stands Today – Part 1*, Science Communication, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1998, pp. 181-211.

CAMPANARIO (Juan Migue), *Peer Review for Journals as it Stands Today – Part 2*, Science Communication, Vol. 19, No. 4, 1998, pp. 277-306.

CAMPBELL (Bruce), *Lac-Mégantic: Where Does the Buck Stop?*, Rabble.ca, Oct. 23, 2013.

CAMPBELL (Gerard T.), *Sartre's Absolute Freedom*, Laval Théologique et Philosophique, Vol. 33, No. 1, 1977, pp. 61-91.

CAMPBELL (James), *Alfred Nobel and His Prizes*, Boston Review, Oct. 1, 2001.

CAMPBELL (Robert A.), *Leadership Succession in Early Islam: Exploring the Nature and Role of Historical Precedents*, Leadership Quarterly, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2008, pp. 426-438.

CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Sending Stephen Harper's Armoured Limo and SUV to India Cost \$1 Million*, Toronto Star, Jan. 29, 2013.

CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Stephen Harper's Middle East Trip Cost \$239,000*, Toronto Star, Feb. 21, 2014.

CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Stephen Harper's Middle East Trip Cost \$1 Million*, Toronto Star, May 30, 2014.

CAMPOS (Paul F.), *Jurismania: The Madness of American Law*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.

CAMPOS (Paul F.) ET AL., *Against the Law*, Durham: Duke University Press, 1996.

CANADIAN BUSINESS, *Dictators and their Wealth: Ali Khamenei*, MSN, Oct. 21, 2011.

CANADIAN FEED THE CHILDREN, *On the Journey to Change*, Annual Report, Toronto, 2011.

CANNISTRARO (Philip V.), *Mussolini, Sacco-Vanzetti, and the Anarchists: The Transatlantic Context*, Journal of Modern History, Vol. 68, No. 1, 1996, pp. 31-62.

CANNON (Terence) & BLUM (Joseph A.), *Introduction to THE MOVEMENT*, UC San Diego Library.

CAPITANO (Michael), *The Existential Crisis that Is Law School*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 26, No. 7, 2012, pp. 1, 11.

CAPELLAN (Joel A.) & PORTER (Jeremy R.), *Spaces of Terror: Modeling Spatial Non-Stationarity in State-Level Repression*, Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2014, pp. 245-258.

CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Mises, Bastiat, Public Opinion, and Public Choice*, Review of Political Economy, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2005, pp. 79-105.

CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Privatizing the Adjudication of Disputes*, Theoretical Inquiries in Law, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2008, pp. 503-528.

CAPLING (Ann) & NOSSAL (Kim Richard), *Death of Distance or Tyranny of Distance? The Internet, Deterritorialization, and the Anti-Globalization Movement in Australia*, Pacific Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2001, pp. 443-465.

CAPPELL (Charles), *The Status of Black Lawyers*, Work and Occupations, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1990, pp. 100-121.

CARATINI (Roger), *Initiation à la Philosophie*, Paris: L'Arhipel, 2000.

CAREW (Jan), *Columbus and the Origins of Racism in the Americas: Part Two*, Race and Class, Vol. 30, No. 1, 1988, pp. 33-57.

CAREY (John), *The Intellectuals and the Masses: Pride and Prejudice among the Literary Intelligentsia, 1880-1939*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993.

CARLSON (Andrew R.), *Anarchism in Germany*, Vol. I, Metuchen: Scarecrow Press, 1972.

CARNAHAN (Thomas) & MCFARLAND (Sam), *Revisiting the Stanford Prison Experiment: Could Participant Self-Selection Have Led to the Cruelty?*, Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, Vol. 33, No. 5, 2007, pp. 603-614.

CARNIS (Laurent A. H.), *Two Irreconcilable Theories of Justice: Social Engineering vs. Ethics of Property*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 399-436.

CARNOT (Mélanie) & ROSSIGNON (Arnaud), *Election Législative: Le Parti Socialiste Monte au Front*, Courrier Picard, Mar. 26, 2013.

CARR (Celia), *Ontario Leader's Debate Focuses on Liberal Gas Plant Scandal and Conservative Million Job Plan*, Brock Press, June 10, 2014.

CARRELL (Severin), *Edinburgh Leads Moves to Axe Mugabe Honours*, Guardian, June 7, 2007.

CARROLL (John), *Break-Out from the Crystal Palace: The Anarcho-Psychological Critique: Stirner, Nietzsche, Dostoevsky*, London and Boston: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1974.

CARROLL (John) (ed.), *Max Stirner: The Ego and His Own*, New York: Harper and Row, 1971.

CARROLL (Rory), *California Prison Hunger Strike Leader: 'If Necessary We'll Resume. This Is War'*, Guardian, Sep. 27, 2013.

CARROLL (Rory), *30,000 California Inmates Launch Hunger Strike against 'State-Sanctioned Torture'*, Raw Story, July 9, 2013.

CARSON (Jennifer Varriale) ET AL., *Terrorist and Non-Terrorist Criminal Attacks by Radical Environmental and Animal Rights Groups in the United States, 1970-2007*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2012, pp. 295-319.

CARSON (Kevin Amos), *Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, Fayetteville, 2004.

CARTER (Alan), *Analytical Anarchism: Some Conceptual Foundations*, Political Theory, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2000, pp. 230-253.

CARTER (April), *The Political Theory of Anarchism*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1971.

CARTER (April), *The Political Theory of Global Citizenship*, London: Routledge, 2001.

CARTER (Ruth), *Lawyers' Bad Reputations Start with Arrogant Law Students*, Undeniable Truth, Sep. 6, 2011.

CASEY (Gerard), *Libertarian Anarchy: Against the State*, London: Continuum, 2012.

CASELLA (Jean) & RIDGEWAY (James), *What the War on Terror Owes to the War on Crime (Redux)*, Solitary Watch, June 22, 2010.

CASH (Punk Johnny), *Natural Resources As Property Takes a New Dimension in the 21st Century*, Gonzo Times, Oct. 8, 2012.

CASSIDY (John), *N.S.A. Scandal: God Save US from the Lawyers*, New Yorker, June 12, 2013.

CAVA (Bruno) & CASTANEDA (Marcelo), *Black Bloc and Teachers: Education Crisis Explodes on Rio's Streets*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 23, 2013.

CAVATORE (Alison), *Judge Judy Buys \$10.7 Million Condo in Beverly Hills*, Haute Living, May 24, 2013.

CE, *Convention on the Avoidance of Statelessness in relation to State Succession*, Strasbourg, May 19, 2006.

CECCONI (Elisabetta), *Legal Discourse and Linguistic Incongruities in Bardell vs. Pickwick: An Analysis of Address and Reference Strategies in The Pickwick Papers Trial Scene*, Language and Literature, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2008, pp. 205-219.

CHAMARD (Jean-Marc) ET AL., *Les Sourires de la Terre*, Romagnat: De Borée, 2006.

CHAMBERS (Simone), *Democratizing Humility*, Buffalo Criminal Law Review, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, pp. 465-477.

CHAMBLISS (William J.) ET AL. (ed.), *State Crime in the Global Age*, Cullompton: Willan, 2010.

CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), *Proudhon et la Norme: Pensée Juridique d'un Anarchiste*, préface de Nicole Dockès-Lallement, Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2004.

CHAMPAGNE (Anthony), *The Politics of Judicial Selection*, Policy Studies Journal, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2003, pp. 413-419.

CHANG (Jui-jen) & LIU (Chia-ying), *The Negligence Rule in the Presence of Judicial Corruption and Social Norms*, Atlantic Economic Journal, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2007, pp. 203-215.

CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent: How Post-September 11 Anti-Terrorism Measures Threaten Our Civil Liberties*, foreword by Howard Zinn, New York: Seven Stories Press, 2002.

CHANG (Nancy), *The War on Dissent*, Nation, Sep. 13, 2004.

CHAPMAN (Brian), *The Police-State*, Government and Opposition, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1968, pp. 428-440.

CHAPMAN (James), *Now Putin Calls the Shots on Syria: Russia Tells U.S. to Call Off Strikes before Chemical Weapons Deal*, Daily Mail, Sep. 10, 2013.

CHAPPELL (Robert H.), *Anarchy Revisited: An Inquiry into the Public Education Dilemma*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1978, pp. 357-372.

CHARBONNEAU (Louis), *Syria at U.N. Compares Militant Onslaught to 9/11 Attacks*, Daily Star, Sep. 30, 2013.

CHARLES (Alec), *The Meta-Utopian Metatext: The Deconstructive Dreams of Ulysses and Finnegans Wake*, Utopian Studies, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2012, pp. 472-503.

CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order: Law and Politics for a Stateless Society*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

CHARTIER (Gary), *Socialist Ends, Market Means: Five Essays*, Tulsa Alliance of the Libertarian Left.

CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), *Markets Not Capitalism: Individualist Anarchism against Bosses, Inequality, Corporate Power, and Structural Poverty*, New York: Autonomedia, 2012.

CHASE (Steve) (ed.), *Defending the Earth: A Dialogue between Murray Bookchin and Dave Foreman*, foreword by David Levine, Boston: South End Press, 1991.

CHEMERINSKY (Erwin), *Against Sovereign Immunity*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 53, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1201-1224.

CHIN (Jessica), *Three G20 Protesters Accept Cash to Drop Claims against Toronto Police*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 18, 2013.

CHOKSHI (Niraj), *Pope Francis Slams Drug Legalization, but U.S. Catholics Are Mixed – At least on Pot*, Washington Post, June 20, 2014.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Activism, Anarchism, and Power*, interview by Harry Kreisler, Conversations with History, Chomsky.info, Mar. 22, 2002.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *America Is Not a Democracy*, YouTube, Feb. 12, 2011.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Chomsky Refutes "Libertarian" "Anarcho"-Capitalism*, YouTube, Feb. 5, 2008.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Rogue States*, Z Magazine, Apr. 1998.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Legitimacy of Violence as a Political Act?*, Avram Noam Chomsky debates with Hannah Arendt, Susan Sontag, et al., Chomsky.info, Dec. 15, 1967.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Relevance of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, interviewed by Peter Jay, Chomsky.info, July 25, 1976.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Week Online Interviews Chomsky*, Z Space, Feb. 23, 2002.

CHOMSKY (Avram Noam) ET AL., *Trials of the Resistance*, intr. by Murray Kempton, New York: New York Review, 1970.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Former French Minister Luc Ferry Makes Child Abuse Claim on TV*, Guardian, May 31, 2011.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *François Hollande Becomes Most Unpopular French President Ever*, Guardian, Oct. 29, 2013.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *French Inquiry Opens into Allegations Gaddafi Funded Sarkozy 2007 Campaign*, Guardian, Apr. 19, 2013.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *I Said to Klaus Barbie: I Want People to See Your Human Side*, Guardian, May 15, 2008.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Jacques Vergès, French Lawyer who Defended Klaus Barbie, Dies Aged 88*, Guardian, Aug. 16, 2013.

CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Jacques Vergès Obituary*, Guardian, Aug. 16, 2013.

CHRISTENSEN (Christian), *Twitter Revolutions? Addressing Social Media and Dissent*, Communication Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2011, pp. 155-157.

CHRISTIE (Stuart) & MELTZER (Albert), *The Floodgates of Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1970.

CHU (Henry), *Kenyan Deputy President Excused from Crimes-Against-Humanity Trial*, Los Angeles Times, Sep. 23, 2013.

CHUA (Amy L.), *Markets, Democracy, and Ethnic Conflict*, American Society of International Law Proceedings, Vol. 93, 1999, pp. 1-5.

CHUENE (Asaph Madimetja), *The Rise of the Anti-Mandela Cult*, Politics Web, July 29, 2012.

CHUN-CHIAO (Chang), *On Exercising All-Round Dictatorship over the Bourgeoisie*, Hongqi, No. 4, 1975.

CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *A Not So Friendly Fascism? Political Prisons and Prisoners in the United States*, CR: New Centennial Review, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2006, pp. 1-54.

CHURCHILL (Winston Leonard Spencer), *Churchill: The Power of Words*, ed. by Martin Gilbert, Boston: Da Capo Press, 2012.

CIANCABILLA (Giuseppe), *Against Organisation*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

CIMBALA (Stephen J.), *U.S.-Russian Strategic Arms Reductions: Nonlinear Challenges, Practical Opportunities*, Orbis, Vol. 57, No. 4, 2013, pp. 574-594.

CLAIR (Marc), *Mondays with Murray: Did Rothbard Approve of Torture?*, Lions of Liberty, Sep. 2, 2013.

CLAIR (Robin Patric) ET AL., *Why Work?: The Perceptions of a Real Job and the Rhetoric of Work Through the Ages*, West Lafayette: Purdue University Press, 2008.

CLARK (Andrew), *Paulson Abandons Plans to Buy up America's Toxic Mortgage Assets*, Guardian, Nov. 13, 2008.

CLARK (John D.) & THEMUDO (Nuno S.), *Linking the Web and the Street: Internet-Based "Dotcauses" and the "Anti-Globalization" Movement*, World Development, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2006, pp. 50-74.

CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth: The Promise of Social Ecology: A Celebration of the Work of Murray Bookchin*, London: Green Print, 1990.

CLARK (John P.), *Bridging the Unbridgeable Chasm: On Bookchin's Critique of the Anarchist Tradition*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

CLARK (John P.), *The Impossible Community: Realizing Communitarian Anarchism*, London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2013.

CLARK (Ramsey), *Initial Complaint Charging*, Deoxy, May 9, 1991.

CLARK (Ramsey), *Vote To Impeach Bush: A Message from Ramsey Clark, Vote To Impeach*, 2003.

CLARK (Ramsey) ET AL., *War Crimes: A Report on United States War Crimes against Iraq*, Washington, D.C.: Misonneuve Press, 1992.

CLASTRES (Pierre), *La Société contre l'État: Recherches d'Anthropologie Politique*, Paris: Minuit, 1974.

CLEYRE (Antonio de), *Anarchism Without Adjectives*, Libcom, Feb. 28, 2012.

CLIFFORD (Mary) (ed.), *Environmental Crime: Enforcement, Policy, and Social Responsibility*, Gaithersburg: Aspen, 1998.

CLINTON (William J.), *Statement on Signing the Iraq Liberation Act of 1998*, White House, Oct. 31, 1998.

C-M (Jessica), *Islamic Feminism in the Middle East: A Powerful Force for Change*, July 18, 2012.

COARD (Michael), *The "Black" Eye on George Washington's "White" House*, Avenging The Ancestors Coalition, Oct. 21, 2010.

COATES (Ken), *The Quiet Campus: The Anatomy of Dissent at Canadian Universities*, Academic Matters, Nov. 2012, pp. 23-26.

COBAIN (Ian), *ICC to Examine Claims that British Troops Carried out War Crimes in Iraq*, Guardian, May 13, 2014.

COGAN (Brian), *"Do They Owe Us a Living? Of Course They Do!" Crass, Throbbing Gristle, and Anarchy and Radicalism in Early English Punk Rock*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2008, pp. 77-90.

COHEN (Elliot D.), *Pure Legal Advocates and Moral Agents: Two Concepts of a Lawyer in an Adversary System*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1985, pp. 38-59.

COHEN (Mark), *Reformist Anarchism 1800-1936: A Study of the Feasibility of Anarchism*, Social Anarchism, No. 19, 2005.

COHEN (Mike), *South Africa's Racial Income Inequality Persists*, Census Shows, Bloomberg, Oct. 30, 2012.

COHEN (Youssef) ET AL., *The Paradoxical Nature of State Making: The Violent Creation of Order*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 75, No. 4, 1981, pp. 901-910.

COHN (Jesse Sheppard), *Anarchism and the Crisis of Representation: Hermeneutics, Aesthetics, Politics*, Selinsgrove: Susquehanna University Press, 2006.

COHN (Jesse Sheppard) & WILBUR (Shawn P.), *What's Wrong With Postanarchism?*, Anarchist Library, Apr. 29, 2010.

COLE (Juan), *Stateless: The Core of the Palestinian Crisis*, lecture at the Jerusalem Fund, May 2, 2013.

COLE (Matthew) & MORGAN (Karen), *Vegaphobia: Derogatory Discourses of Veganism and the Reproduction of Speciesism in UK National Newspapers*, British Journal of Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 1, 2011, pp. 134-153.

COLLINS (Hugh), *Marxism and Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1984.

COLLINS (Lauren), *The Libyan School of Economics*, New Yorker, Dec. 8, 2011.

COLSON (Daniel), *Anarchist Readings of Spinoza*, Journal of French Philosophy, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2007, pp. 90-129.

COMFORT (Alex), *Authority and Delinquency in the Modern State: A Criminological Approach to the Problem of Power*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1950.

COMMISSO-GEORGE (Christina), *\$1M Price Tag for Limos Shipped to India for Harper Visit*, CTV News, Jan. 29, 2013.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM, *Justice Delayed Is Justice Denied: A Case for A Federal Employees Appeals Court*, Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2006.

CONCA (James), *Israel Has Nuclear Weapons, But Only Iran Has Nuclear Power*, Forbes, Oct. 21, 2012.

CONDON (Stephanie), *Conservative Judge Edith Jones Up for Rare Review*, CBS News, June 13, 2013.

CONNOR (Kevin), *Police Appeal Decision to Allow G20 Class Action*, Toronto Sun, Aug. 21, 2014.

CONRAD (Peter), *What the Eye Can't See ...*, Guardian, Aug. 2, 2003.

CONSENT WITHDRAWN, *We Must Marginalize the State and Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

CONSIDINE (Craig), *U.S. Depleted Uranium as Malicious as Syrian Chemical Weapons*, Huffington Post, Aug. 29, 2013.

CONSTANT (Benjamin), *Principles of Politics Applicable to All Governments*, ed. by Etienne Hofmann, trans. by Dennis O'Keeffe, intr. by Nicholas Capaldi, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 2003.

CONWAY (Doug), *Writer Says Bush, Blair War Criminals*, Age, Nov. 4, 2004.

COOK (Jonathan), *Israel's Treatment of Ethiopians 'Racist'*, National, Jan. 6, 2010.

COOPER (Zack), *Healthcare Reform: The US Policy Debate*, LSE's Centre for Economic Performance, 2012.

COPLESTON (Frederick), *A History of Philosophy*, Vol. II and III, New York: Doubleday, 1993.

CORBET (Sylvie), *French President Francois Hollande in Trouble both in Public and Private*, Huffington Post, Sep. 6, 2014.

CORBET (Sylvie) & CHARLTON (Angela), *Nicolas Sarkozy the First Former French President to Be Held Overnight for Questioning*, National Post, July 1, 2014.

CORBETT (James), *Power, Corruption and Lies in FIFA: Is this the Beginning of the End for Sepp Blatter?*, FourFourTwo, June 10, 2014.

COBURN (Lorri), *Breaking Free: How Forgiveness and a Course in Miracles Can Set You Free*, Bloomington: Balboa, 2011.

CORRIN (Jennifer), *Judge or Be Judged: Accepting Judicial Appointment in an Unlawful Regime*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 16, No. 2-3, 2009, pp. 191-209.

COTLER (Irwin), *Analysis: Six Questions for Iran's President Rouhani*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 27, 2013.

COTLER (Irwin), *Testing Hassan Rouhani's Commitment to Human Rights*, Jerusalem Post, Nov. 10, 2013.

COTTERRELL (Roger), *Transparency, Mass Media, Ideology and Community*, Cultural Values, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1999, pp. 414-426.

COTTLE (Rex L.) & WALLACE (Myles S.), *The Nearly Invisible Invisible Hand*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 341-343.

COUGHLIN (Con), *Will a Middle East Awash with Weapons Be Gaddafi's Final Legacy?*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 23, 2011.

COVAS (Alexandra), *The Holocaust through Latvia's Eyes: How the Nazi Party Became the Lesser of Two Evils*, *Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2012, pp. 36-38.

COWELL (Alan), *South Africa Losing a Grip on Its Promise*, *New York Times*, Dec. 27, 2012.

COWEN (Tyler), *Law as a Public Good*, *Economics and Philosophy*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1992, pp. 249-267.

COWLEY (Jason), *The Matches Have Been Excellent So Far. But Could FIFA's Corruption Make This the Last World Cup?*, *New Republic*, June 15, 2014.

COWLING (Mark), *Postmodern Policies? The Erratic Interventions of Constitutive Criminology*, *Internet Journal of Criminology*, 2006.

COYNE (Christopher J.) ET AL., *A Note on the Market Provision of National Defense*, *Journal of Private Enterprise*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2014, pp. 51-55.

COYNE (Jerry A.), *If ISIS Is Not Islamic, then the Inquisition Was Not Catholic: There Is No Such Thing as 'True' Religion*, *New Republic*, Sep. 13, 2014.

CRAWFORD (James), *Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts*, Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge, 2001.

CRENSHAW (Kimberlé Williams), *Forward: Toward a Race-Conscious Pedagogy in Legal Education*, *National Black Law Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1988-1990, pp. 1-14.

CRIBB (Robert), *Supreme Court Decision on Hassan Rasouli Will Clarify End-of-Life Medical Decisions*, *Toronto Star*, Dec. 10, 2012.

CRIMETHINC., *Fighting for Our Lives: An Anarchist Primer*, 2000.

CRONIN (Audrey Kurth), *U.S. Grand Strategy and Counterterrorism*, *Orbis*, Vol. 56, No. 2, 2012, pp. 192-214.

CRONIN (Isaac) (ed.), *Confronting Fear: A History of Terrorism*, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 2002.

CROQUET (Nicolas A. J.), *The Role and Extent of a Proportionality Analysis in the Judicial Assessment of Human Rights Limitations within International Criminal Proceedings*, Leiden: Brill, 2015.

CROSS (Tony), *Terror's Advocate Jacques Vergès Buried in Paris*, *RFI*, Aug. 21, 2013.

CROVELLI (Mark R.), *Toward an A Priori Theory of International Relations*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2007, pp. 101-21.

CROWE (David M.), *War Crimes and Genocide in History, and the Evolution of Responsive International Law*, *Nationalities Papers*, Vol. 37, No. 6, 2009, pp. 757-806.

CROWE (David M.), *War Crimes, Genocide, and Justice: A Global History*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.

CROWNE-MOHAMMED (Emir A.), *Learning to Act Like a Law Student: A Response to the Model Code of Professional Responsibility for Law Students*, *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2009, pp. 91-111.

CRUZ (Nicole Santa), *O.C. Judge Is Target of Sexual Misconduct Investigation*, *Los Angeles Times*, Mar. 30, 2013.

CULLER (Jonathan D.), *"Feminism in Time": A Response*, *Modern Language Quarterly*, Vol. 65, No. 1, 2004, pp. 177-194.

CULZAC (Natasha), *Switzerland 'Could Grant Edward Snowden Asylum if He Testifies against NSA'*, *Independent*, Sep. 8, 2014.

CURIOUS GEORGE BRIGADE, *The End of Arrogance: Decentralization and Anarchist Organizing*, Anarchist Library, 2002.

CURL (John), *For All the People: Uncovering the Hidden History of Cooperation, Cooperative Movements, and Communalism in America*, intr. by Ishmael Reed, 2nd ed., Oakland: PM Press, 2012.

CURRAN (Giorel), *21st Century Dissent: Anarchism, Anti-Globalization and Environmentalism*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007.

CURRAN (Giorel) & GIBSON (Morgan), *WikiLeaks, Anarchism and Technologies of Dissent*, *Antipode*, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2012, pp. 294-314.

CURRIDEN (Mark), *The Lawyers of Watergate: How a '3rd-Rate Burglary' Provoked New Standards for Lawyer Ethics*, *ABA Journal*, June 1, 2012.

DAIBHIDH, *Anarcho-Hucksters: There Is Nothing Anarchistic about Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

DAICOFF (Susan Swaim), *Lawyer, Know Thyself: A Review of Empirical Research on Attorney Attributes Bearing on Professionalism*, *American University Law Review*, Vol. 46, No. 5, 1997, pp. 1337-1427.

D'AMATO (Paul), *Anarchism: How Not to Make a Revolution*, *International Socialist Review*, No. 3, 1997, pp. 47-53.

DANNESKJÖLD (Robert), *Free Rider Problems in Insurance-Based Private Defense*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 509-525.

DANYLIUK (Rick), *Canada Allows Peaceful Protests*, *Western Producer*, Feb. 17, 2011.

DANZIG (Richard), *Toward the Creation of a Complementary, Decentralized System of Criminal Justice*, *Stanford Law Review*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1973, pp. 1-54.

DAUVÉ (Gilles), *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Autonomy*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

DAUVÉ (Gilles), *To Work or Not to Work? Is that the Question?*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

DAVACHI (Azadeh), *IMPRISONED – Nasrin Sotoudeh: A Mother, a Lawyer, an Activist*, trans. by Roja Bandari, Payvand, Sep. 15, 2010.

DAVENPORT (Christian), *Multi-Dimensional Threat Perception and State Repression: An Inquiry into Why States Apply Negative Sanctions*, *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 39, No. 3, 1995, pp. 683-713.

DAVENPORT (Christian) (ed.), *Paths to State Repression: Human Rights Violations and Contentious Politics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2000.

DAVIDSON (Judy), *Racism against the Abnormal? The Twentieth Century Gay Games, Biopower and the Emergence of Homonational Sport*, *Leisure Studies*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2014, pp. 357-378.

DAVIDSON (Stewart), *Ecoanarchism: A Critical Defence*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2009, pp. 47-67.

DAVIES (Caroline), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Cost an Estimated £3.6M*, No 10, *Guardian*, Apr. 25, 2013.

DAVIES (Christie), *American Jokes about Lawyers*, *Humor*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2008, pp. 369-386.

DAVIS (Laurence) & KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *Anarchism and Utopianism*, preface by Peter Marshall, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2009.

DAW (Jamie R.) ET AL., *Here Today, Gone Tomorrow: The Issue Attention Cycle and National Print Media Coverage of Prescription Drug Financing in Canada*, *Health policy*, Vol. 110, No. 1, 2013, pp. 67-75.

DAY (Michael), *Humiliated Ex-Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi Rages at Italian Court that Declared Him a Tax Fraud*, *Independent*, Aug. 2, 2013.

DAY (Tanis), *The Health-Related Costs of Violence Against Women in Canada: The Tip of the Iceberg*, London, Ontario: Centre for Research on Violence Against Women and Children, 1995.

DEAN ET (Geoff) AL., *Conceptual Framework for Managing Knowledge of Police Deviance*, *Policing and Society*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2010, pp. 204-222.

DEARING (Stephanie), *Toronto's G20 'Officer Bubbles' Suing YouTube for Defamation*, *Digital Journal*, Oct. 17, 2010.

DEASY (Kristin), *Sattar Beheshti, Iranian Opposition Blogger, Dies Days after Arrest*, *Global Post*, Nov. 8, 2012.

DEBORD (Guy), *The Society of the Spectacle*, trans. by Donald Nicholson-Smith, New York: Zone Books, 1994.

DEBOUZY (Marianne), *Le Procès des Huit de Chicago (1969-1970): Déconstruire l'Image des Ennemis Publics*, *Le Mouvement Social*, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 29-47.

DEDMAN (Bill), *U.S. v. WikiLeaks: Espionage and the First Amendment*, *NBC News*, Apr. 18, 2012.

DEHAAS (Josh), *Professor Pay Ranked from Highest to Lowest*, *MacLean's*, May 4, 2012.

DEHAAS (Josh), *Nova Scotia Presidents' Salaries Revealed*, *MacLean's*, Jan. 18, 2012.

DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), *State Crimes Against Democracy in the War on Terror: Applying the Nuremberg Principles to the Bush-Cheney Administration*, *Contemporary Politics*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2010, pp. 403-420.

DELACOURT (Susan), *Politicians Now More likely to Be Businessmen than Lawyers*, *Toronto Star*, Feb. 1, 2013.

DELAMOTTE (Eugenia C.), *Gates of Freedom: Voltairine de Cleyre and the Revolution of the Mind: With Selections from Her Writing*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2004.

DELLEN (Maarten van), *Political Leadership in Zapatista Mexico: Marcos, Celebrity, and Charismatic Authority –by Di Piramo, Daniela*, *Bulletin of Latin American Research*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 2012, pp. 541-542.

DELEON (Abraham P.), *Oh No, Not the "A" Word! Proposing an "Anarchism" for Education*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2008, pp. 122-141.

DELISI (Matt) & GATLING (Jewel M.), *Who Pays for a Life of Crime? An Empirical Assessment of the Assorted Victimization Costs Posed by Career Criminals*, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 283-293.

DEMARE (Carol) & ETTKIN (Brian), *Dalai Lama Organizers Pay Off Debt*, *Albany Times-Union*, May 9, 2009.

DEMICK (Barbara), *Iran Remains Home to Jewish Enclave*, *Middle East information Center*, Sep. 30, 1997.

D'EMILIO (Frances), *Italy High Court Deliberates Berlusconi Fate*, *Yahoo*, Aug. 1, 2013.

DENIKE (Margaret Ann), *The Human Rights of Others: Sovereignty, Legitimacy, and "Just Causes" for the "War on Terror"*, *Hypatia*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2008, pp. 95-121.

DENINNO (Nadine), *Judge Judy Sheindlin Makes \$123,000 A Day, Roughly \$45M Salary And \$110M Net Worth For 70-Year-Old*, *International Business Times*, Oct. 22, 2012.

D'ENTREVES (Maurizio Passerin), *Hannah Arendt*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 2006.

DEN UYL (Douglas J.), *Passion, State, and Progress: Spinoza and Mandeville on the Nature of Human Association*, *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 1987, pp. 369-395.

DENYER (Simon), *In India, the World's Largest Democracy, Some See System as a Handicap*, *Washington Post*, Mar. 2, 2012.

DERANEK (Michael S.), *Fact and Fiction in the Marijuana Legalization Debate*, *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2014, pp. 104-106.

DERFLER (Leslie), *Paul Lafargue and the Flowering of French Socialism, 1882-1911*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1998.

DERFNER (Jeremy), *Buy This Vote!*, *Slate*, Aug. 23, 2000.

DESAI (Radhika), *The New Communists of the Commons: Twenty-First-Century Proudhonists*, *International Critical Thought*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2011, pp. 204-223.

DEUSEN (David Van) & MASSOT (Xaviar) (ed.), *The Black Bloc Papers*, Shawnee Mission: Breaking Glass Press, 2010.

DEZALAY (Yves) & GARTH (Bryant G.) (ed.), *Lawyers and the Rule of Law in an Era of Globalization*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011.

DI FIORE (James), *Justin Trudeau and the Great Pot Hypocrisy*, *Huffington Post*, May 13, 2016.

DILLARD (Dudley), *Keynes and Proudhon*, *Journal of Economic History*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1942, pp. 63-76.

DIMICK (Dennis), *As World's Population Booms, Will Its Resources Be Enough for Us?*, *National Geographic*, Sep. 20, 2014.

DINOVITZER (Ronit) & HAGAN (John), *Hierarchical Structure and Gender Dissimilarity in American Legal Labor Markets*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 92, No. 3, 2014, pp. 929-955.

DITTMER (Kristofer), *Local Currencies for Purposive Degrowth? A Quality Check of some Proposals for Changing Money-As-Usual*, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2013, pp. 3-13.

DOBUZINSKIS (Laurent), *Is Progressive Environmentalism an Oxymoron?*, *Critical Review*, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 283-303.

DODD (Nigel), *Nietzsche's Money*, *Journal of Classical Sociology*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2013, pp. 47-68.

DODD (Nigel), *Simmel's Perfect Money: Fiction, Socialism and Utopia in The Philosophy of Money*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 29, No. 7-8, 2012, pp. 146-176.

DODEK (Adam) ET AL., *Ethical Lawyering in a Global Community*, Course Outline, Fall 2007-Winter 2008.

DOLAN (Maura), *Law School Graduates Aren't Finding Much on the Employment Docket*, *Los Angeles Times*, Apr. 1, 2013.

DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy: Selected Works by the Activist-Founder of World Anarchism*, New York: Vintage Books, 1972.

DOLGOFF (Sam), *Misconceptions of Anarchism*, *RIVET*, No. 3, 2008.

DOLGOFF (Sam), *Modern Technology and Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 1986.

DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *The Anarchist Collectives: Workers' Self-Management in the Spanish Revolution, 1936-1939*, *Anarchist Library*, 1974.

DOLGOFF (Sam), *The Relevance of Anarchism to Modern Society*, *Anarchist Library*, 1977.

DOLGOFF (Sam), *Fragments: A Memoir*, Cambridge: Refract, 1986.

DOLOVICH (Sharon), *Idealism, Disproportionality, and Democracy: A Reply to Chambers and Garvey*, *Buffalo Criminal Law Review*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, pp. 479-489.

DOLOVICH (Sharon), *Legitimate Punishment in Liberal Democracy*, *Buffalo Criminal Law Review*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, pp. 307-442.

DOLOVICH (Sharon), *State Punishment and Private Prisons*, *Duke Law Journal*, Vol. 55, No. 3, 2005, pp. 439-548.

DOMINICK (Brian A.), *Animal Liberation and Social Revolution*, *Anarchist Library*, 1997.

DONAGHY (Rori) & ATKINSON (Mary), *Crime and Punishment: Islamic State vs Saudi Arabia*, *Middle East Eye*, Jan. 20, 2015.

DONNELLY (Jack), *Sovereign Inequalities and Hierarchy in Anarchy: American Power and International Society*, *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2006, pp. 139-170.

DONNELLY (Jack), *The Relative Universality of Human Rights*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2007, pp. 281-306.

DONNELLY (Michael), *Green Sabotage as "Terrorism"*, *CounterPunch*, May 26, 2007.

DO OR DIE, *The Luddites War on Industry: A Story of Machine Smashing and Spies*, *Anarchist Library*, 1997.

DOPLICK (Renee), *Bassiouni "Quite Doubtful" International Criminal Court Will Succeed: The Failures, Challenges, and Future of International Criminal Law*, *Inside Justice*, Mar. 31, 2010.

DOTAN (Tom), *Lawyers Sue Law Schools for False Advertising*, *Neon Tommy*, Feb. 2, 2012.

DOUGLAS (Dorothy W.), *P.J. Proudhon: A Prophet of 1848. Part I: Life and Works*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 34, No. 5, 1929, pp. 781-803.

DOUGLAS (Dorothy W.), *The Social Purpose in the Sociology of DeGreef*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1926, pp. 433-454.

DOYKE (Kenneth), *Introduction: Ethnicity and Money*, *American Behavioral Scientist*, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2001, pp. 181-190.

DOYLE (Kevin), *Parliament or Democracy?*, *Workers Solidarity Movement*, Feb. 2001.

DRAKOPOULOU DODD (Sarah Louise), *Roots Radical: Place, Power and Practice in Punk Entrepreneurship*, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 165-205.

DRAPER (John), *Canada: A Refuge for Iranian Criminals*, *Cobourg Atheist*, Nov. 23, 2011.

DREHLE (David Von), *The Big Man on Campus*, *Time*, Nov. 11, 2009.

DREIER (Peter) & FLACKS (Dick), *Patriotism and Progressivism*, *Peace Review*, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2003, pp. 397-404.

DRINNON (Richard), *Rebel in Paradise: A Bibliography of Emma Goldman*, New York: Bantam Books, 1973.

DRIPPS (Donald A.), *Overcriminalization, Discretion, Waiver: A Survey of Possible Exit Strategies*, University of San Diego School of Law, Public Law and Legal Theory Research Paper Series, Paper 36, 2005.

DRURY (Ian), *The 'Dirty Secret' of British Arms Sales to Libya Just Months before Gaddafi Slaughtered Pro-Democracy Protesters*, Daily Mail, Apr. 5, 2011.

DUDA (John), *Cybernetics, Anarchism and Self-Organisation*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 52-72.

DUFF (R. Antony), *A Criminal Law for Citizens*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2010, pp. 293-309.

DUFFY (Andrew), *RCMP View Clouded by Racism: Abdullah Almalki*, *National Post*, Oct. 25, 2011.

DUKE (George), *Gadamer and Political Authority*, *European Journal of Political Theory*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 25-40.

DUN (Frank van), *Natural Law, Liberalism, and Christianity*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2001, pp. 1-36.

DUNBAR (Gary S.), *Élisée Reclus, Geographer and Anarchist*, *Antipode*, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 16-21.

DUNBAR (Gary S.), *Elisée Reclus: Historian of Nature*, Hamden: Archon Books, 1978.

DUNBAR (Graham), *FIFA, Players' Union Agree Luis Suarez Needs Help*, *Toronto Star*, June 27, 2014.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Anarchism and the Politics of Affinity Groups*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2010, pp. 40-61.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue? Répression Policière et Mouvements Sociaux*, Montréal: Écosociété, 2013.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Black Blocs: Bas les Masques*, *Mouvements*, Vol. 1, No. 25, 2003, pp. 74-80.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Démocratie: Histoire Politique d'un Mot aux États-Unis et en France*, Montréal: Lux, 2013.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Drapeau Noir sur Carré Rouge: Les Anarchistes et la Grève Étudiante de 2012*, *Possibles*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2013.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Histoire du Mot «Démocratie» au Canada et au Québec: Analyse Politique des Stratégies Rhétoriques*, *Revue Canadienne de Science Politique*, Vol. 42, No. 2, 2009, pp. 321-343.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *L'Abstention: Nouveau Péch  Capital*, *Libert *, Vol. 50, No. 4, 2009, pp. 104-115.

DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *La Fiction du Contrat Social: Uchronie Lib rale, Utopie Anarchiste*, *Politique et Soci t s*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2009, p. 3-24.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *L'Anarchisme entre Nationalisme et Cosmopolitisme: L'Exp rience des Juifs Isra liens du Groupe Anarchists Against the Wall*, *Sociologie et Soci t s*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2012, p. 241-260.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *Les  lections de Conseils d' l ves: M thode d'Endoctrinement au Lib ralisme Politique*, *Revue des Sciences de l' ducation*, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2006, pp. 691-709.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *L'Esprit Anti-D mocratique des Fondateurs de la 'D mocratie' Moderne*, *AGONE*, No. 22, 1999, pp. 95-113.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *Penser l'Action Directe des Black Blocs*, *Politix*, Vol. 17, No. 68, 2004, pp. 79-109.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *Qu'est-ce que la D mocratie?*, *Horizons Philosophiques*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1994, pp. 84-95.

DUPUIS-D RI (Francis), *The Black Blocs Ten Years after Seattle: Anarchism, Direct Action, and Deliberative Practices*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 45-82.

DURAND (C dric) & L G  (Philippe), *Over-Accumulation, Rising Costs and 'Unproductive' Labor: The Relevance of the Classic Stationary State Issue for Developed Countries*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2014, pp. 35-53.

DYER (Gwynne), *Silvio Berlusconi Is Down but Not Out*, *Straight*, Aug. 4, 2013.

DYZENHAUS (David), *Judging the Judges, Judging Ourselves: Truth, Reconciliation and the Apartheid Legal Order*, Oxford: Hart, 1998.

EALHAM (Chris), *Class, Culture and Conflict in Barcelona, 1898-1937*, London: Routledge, 2005.

EANELLI (Tegan), *Bash Back! Is Dead; Bash Back Forever!*, *Anarchist Library*, 2007-2011.

EASTON (Stephen T.) & ROCKEBIE (Duane W.), *Optimal Government Subsidies to Universities in the Face of Tuition and Enrollment Constraints*, *Education Economics*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2008, pp. 191-201.

EBADI (Shirin), *Islam, Democracy and Human Rights*, trans. by Firoozeh Papan-Matin, Center for Middle East Studies, University of California, May 17, 2004.

EBADI (Shirin), *Islam, Democracy and Human Rights*, The UCLA International Institute and the Roland W. Burkle Center for International Relations, YouTube, May 14, 2004.

EBADI (Shirin), *No to Islamic Feminism and Islamic Human Rights*, interview by Mina Saadadi, *Shahrazad News*, Apr. 7, 2009.

EBADI (Shirin), *Who Defines Islam?*, conversation with Deniz Kandiyoti, *Open Democracy*, Mar. 21, 2011.

EBY (Kermit), *Why Labor Leaders Are Lonesome*, *Antioch Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1952, pp. 146-154.

ECHO, *Rejectionary Anarchist Critiques*, *Anarchist Library*, 2013.

ECKART (Christian), *Saddam Hussein's Trial in Iraq: Fairness, Legitimacy and Alternatives, a Legal Analysis*, Cornell Law School Graduate Student Papers, paper 13, 2006.

ECKHOLM (Erik), *Even in New York, China Casts a Shadow*, *New York Times*, June 18, 2012.

EDEL (Matthew), *Rent Theory and Working Class Strategy: Marx, George and the Urban Crisis*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1977, pp. 1-15.

EWALD (Shawn) (ed.), *Anarchism in Action: Methods, Tactics, Skills, and Ideas*, 2nd ed.

EDWARDS (James Rolph), *Monopoly and Competition in Money*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 107-117.

EGAN (Michael), *Managers as Kings: Shakespeare on Modern Leadership*, Management Decision, Vol. 38, No. 5, 2000, pp. 315-327.

EGNELL (Robert) & HALDÉN (Peter) (ed.), *New Agendas in Statebuilding: Hybridity, Contingency and History*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2013.

EHRlich (Howard J.) (ed.), *Reinventing Anarchy, Again*, Edinburgh and San Francisco: AK Press, 1996.

EILPERIN (Juliet), *Obama's Campaign Finance Reform Plans Have Faded*, Washington Post, Apr. 29, 2013.

EISFELD (Rainer), *The Emergence and Meaning of Socialist Pluralism*, International Political Science Review, Vol. 17, No. 3, 1996, pp. 267-279.

ELECTIONS CANADA, *Report of the Chief Electoral Officer of Canada on the 41st General Election of May 2, 2011*, Ottawa, Aug. 17, 2011.

ELECTIONS ONTARIO, *2014 General Provincial Election*, May 7, 2014.

ELEY (Tom), *Political Dissent as Terrorism: "Minnesota Patriot Act" Charges Filed against RNC Eight*, World Socialist Web Site, Sep. 11, 2008.

ELEY (Tom), *RNC in Twin Cities: Eight Protesters Charged with Terrorism under Patriot Act*, World Socialist Web Site, Sep. 6, 2008.

ELIAS (Bat-Hen Epstein), *All the Jews there Live in Fear that their Telephones Are Tapped*, Israel Hayom, Oct. 12, 2012.

EL LIBERTARIO, *Venezuela: Now More than Ever*, Anarchist Library, 2014.

ELLIOT (Eryn M.) & WILLIAMS III (Frank P.), *When You No Longer Need Maslow: Exchange, Professionalism, and Decentralization in the Management of Criminal Justice Agencies*, Public Administration Quarterly, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1995, pp. 74-88.

ELLISON (Katherine), *An Inconvenient Lawsuit: Teenagers Take Global Warming to the Courts*, Atlantic, May 9, 2012.

ELLMANN (Stephen), *Law and Legitimacy in South Africa*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1995, pp. 407-479.

ELLUL (Jacques), *The Political Illusion*, trans. by Konrad Kellen, New York: Alfred Abraham Knopf, 1967.

ELTZBACHER (Paul), *Anarchism*, trans. by Steven Tracy Byington, New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, London: Arthur C. Fifield, 1908.

ELWAR (Eliot), *Op-Ed: American and Russian Involvement in Syria Reflects Cold War Era*, Digital Journal, Aug. 19, 2013.

EMERSON (Richard M.), *Power-Dependence Relations*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1962, pp. 31-41.

ENCINA (Gregorio Billikopf), *Milgram's Experiment on Obedience to Authority*, College of Natural Resources, Nov. 15, 2004.

ENGDAHL (William), *Syria Gas Attack Story Has Whiff of Saudi War Propaganda*, Russia Today, Aug. 21, 2013.

ENZLER (Stefan M.), *Environmental Effects of Warfare*, Lenntech, Sep. 2006.

EPPLETT (William Christopher), *Animal Spectacula of the Roman Empire*, PhD thesis, University of British Colombia, 2001.

EPPLETT (William Christopher), *The Capture of Animals by the Roman Military*, Greece and Rome, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2001, pp. 210-222.

EPSTEIN (Cynthia Fuchs), *Knowledge for What?*, Journal of Legal education, Vol. 49, No. 1, 1999, pp. 41-49.

ERB (Kelly Phillips), *Italy's High Court Agrees that Berlusconi Should Go to Prison: But He Likely Won't*, Forbes, Aug. 1, 2013.

ERDBRINK (Thomas), *Her Demand Met, Imprisoned Iranian Ends Hunger Strike*, New York Times, Dec. 4, 2012.

ERWIN (Andrew) & WOOD (Marjorie), *The One Percent at State U*, Institute for Policy Studies, May 21, 2014.

ESCRITT (Thomas), *Kenyan President's Hague Trial Halted in Blow to War Crimes Court*, Reuters, Sep. 5, 2014.

ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *Did Obama Quietly Reach Out to Iranian President Rohani?*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Sep. 10, 2013.

ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *'Murder': Some Accountability in Iranian Blogger Sattar Beheshti's Death*, Atlantic, Nov. 14, 2012.

ESVELD (Bill Van), *As Anger Rises in Israel-Palestine, 'Collective Punishment' Must Be Avoided*, Globe and Mail, July 7, 2014.

EVANS (Martin), *PC Simon Harwood Sacked over Death of Ian Tomlinson*, Telegraph, Sep. 17, 2012.

EVANS (Natalie) & DICKINSON (Natalie), *Nelson Mandela Funeral Plans: Everything You Need to Know about Madiba's State Burial*, Daily Mirror, Dec. 7, 2013.

EVANS (Peter B.), *The Eclipse of the State? Reflections on Stateness in an Era of Globalization*, World Politics, Vol. 50, No. 1, 1997, pp. 62-87.

EVERS (Williamson M.), *Rawls and Children*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1978, pp. 109-114.

EVERS (Williamson M.), *Specialization and the Division of Labor in the Social Thought of Plato and Rousseau*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 45-64.

EVREN (Süreyya), *Postanarchism and the '3rd World'*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

FAHIM (Kareem) & NOSSITER (Adam), *In Libya, Massacre Site Is Cleaned Up, Not Investigated*, New York Times, Oct. 24, 2011.

FAHS (Breanne), 'Freedom to' and 'Freedom from': A New Vision for Sex-Positive Politics, *Sexualities*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2014, pp. 267-290.

FALER (Brian), *Taxpayers Take Hit on Reagan Holiday*, Washington Post, June 14, 2004.

FALK (Candace Serena) ET AL. (ed.), *Emma Goldman: A Documentary History of the American Years*, 2 Vol., Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003.

FALK (Richard Anderson), *Anarchism without 'Anarchism': Searching for Progressive Politics in the Early 21st Century*, *Millennium*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 381-398.

FALK (Richard Anderson), *Kuala Lumpur Tribunal: Bush and Blair Guilty*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 28, 2011.

FALKOFF (Marc D.), *Abrogating State Sovereign Immunity in Legislative Courts*, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 101, No. 4, 2001, pp. 853-885.

FALLON, JR. (Richard H.), "The Rule of Law" as a Concept in Constitutional Discourse, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 97, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-56.

FANTZ (Ashley), *Who Is Doing What in the Coalition Battle against ISIS?*, CNN, Sep. 15, 2014.

FARAH (Hammam), *York Is Trying to Ban Me from My Home*, *Excalibur*, Mar. 26, 2014, p. 7.

FARBEROV (Snejana), *Judge and Married Father of Two 'Gave Woman a Job in District Attorney's Office in Exchange for Sex'*, *Daily Mail*, Mar. 7, 2013.

FARER (Tom), *What Syria Has to Teach Neo-Conservatives and Liberals about US Foreign Policy*, *International Spectator*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2013, pp. 17-24.

FAROUK (Fazila), *South Africa: How Income Inequality Hurts South Africa's Children*, *AllAfrica*, May 24, 2013.

FARROW (Trevor C. W.), *Foreword*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. i-iv.

FARROW (Trevor C. W.), *Sustainable Professionalism*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 51-103.

FARWELL (James P.) & ROHOZINSKI (Rafal), *Stuxnet and the Future of Cyber War*, *Survival*, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-40.

FATAH (Tarek), *Khomeini's Savagery Celebrated in Canada*, *Toronto Sun*, June 5, 2013.

FATTAHI (Kambiz), *Two Weeks in January: America's Secret Engagement with Khomeini*, *BBC Persian Service*, June 3, 2016.

FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, Paris: La Librairie Internationale, 1934.

FAURE (Sébastien), *Libertarian Communism*, *Anarchist Library*, 1903.

FAURE (Sébastien), *The Anarchist Synthesis*, *Anarchist Library*, 1927.

FAWN (Rick), *Death Penalty as Democratization: Is the Council of Europe Hanging Itself?*, *Democratization*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2001, pp. 69-96.

FAZAL (Tanisha M.), *State Death: The Politics and Geography of Conquest, Occupation, and Annexation*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007.

FAZILI (Yousra Y.), *Between Mullahs, Robes and Absolutism: Conservatism in Iran*, *SAIS Review of International Affairs*, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2010, pp. 39-55.

FEAGIN (Joe R.), *Systemic Racism: A Theory of Oppression*, New York: Routledge, 2006.

FEAGIN (Joe) & BENNEFIELD (Zinobia), *Systemic Racism and U.S. Health Care*, *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2014, pp. 7-14.

FEARON (Joseph), *Reasonable Doubt: Six Things You Should Know about Police Violence*, *Straight*, Sep. 12, 2014.

FAZAELI (Roja), *Contemporary Iranian Feminism: Identity, Rights and Interpretations*, *Muslim World Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2007, Art. 8.

FEDERATION OF LAW SOCIETIES OF CANADA, *Task Force on the Canadian Common Law Degree*, Final Report, Oct. 2009.

FEDERMAN (Cary) & HOLMES (Dave), *Guantánamo Bodies: Law, Media, and Biopower*, *Media Tropes*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2011, pp. 58-88.

FEIGENBAUM (Anna), *Written in the Mud: (Proto)Zine-Making and Autonomous Media*, *Feminist Media Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-13.

FEJTO (François), *A Maoist in France: Jacques Vergès and Revolution*, *China Quarterly*, No. 19, 1964, pp. 120-127.

FEKETE (Jason), *Annual Cost for Harper's RCMP Security Detail Has Doubled to \$19.6 Million since He Became PM in 2006*, *National Post*, Mar. 17, 2014.

FELDMAN (Carrie), *Refusal to Testify*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009.

FELNER (Eitan), *Abuse of Jews Does Not Justify Abuse of Palestinians*, *New York Times*, Aug. 20, 1998.

FEREJOHN (John), *Independent Judges, Dependent Judiciary: Explaining Judicial Independence*, *Southern California Law Review*, Vol. 72, No. 2-3, 1999, pp. 353-384.

FERGUSON (Christopher J.) & BEAVER (Kevin M.), *Natural Born Killers: The Genetic Origins of Extreme Violence*, *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2009, pp. 286-294.

FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman's "Anarchism Without Adjectives"*, *Public Domain Review*, Jan. 12, 2011.

FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2011.

FERNANDEZ (Colin), *Better in than out: African Country Set to Make Breaking Wind a Crime*, *Daily Mail*, Jan. 28, 2011.

FERNÁNDEZ (Frank), *Cuban Anarchism: The History of a Movement*, trans. by Charles Bufe, Chicago: See Sharp Press, 2001.

FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident: Social Control and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2008.

FERNANDEZ (Luis A.) & HUEY (Laura) (ed.), *Rethinking Policing and Justice: Exploring Alternatives to Law Enforcement*, London: Routledge, 2012.

FERNHOLZ (Tim), *China's Arms Industry Is Hoping for Some Good PR from the Syrian Rebels*, Quartz, Aug. 14, 2013.

FERRERA (Peter), *Contributor Is President Obama Really A Socialist? Let's Analyze Obamanomics*, Forbes, Dec. 20, 2012.

FERRETTI (Federico), *Pioneers in the History of Cartography: The Geneva Map Collection of Élisée Reclus and Charles Perron*, Journal of Historical Geography, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2014, pp. 85-95.

FESER (Edward), *Self-Ownership, Abortion, and the Rights of Children: Toward a More Conservative Libertarianism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 91-114.

FESER (Edward), *Taxation, Forced Labor, and Theft*, Independent Review, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2000, pp. 219-235.

FEYERABEND (Paul), *Against Method*, intr. by Ian Hacking, 4th ed., London: Verso, 2010.

FICKLING (David), *Pinter Demands War Crimes Trial for Blair*, Guardian, Dec. 7, 2005.

FIELD (Stewart) & WEST (Andrew), *Dialogue and the Inquisitorial Tradition: French Defence Lawyers in the Pre-Trial Criminal Process*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2003, pp. 261-316.

FILISS (John), *Technocracy*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

FILOCHE (Gérard), *Hollande à 13%: Sa Popularité Paie le Prix de la Politique Droitière du PS*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Sep. 5, 2014.

FILSHTINSKIY (Stas), *Cybercrime, Cyberweapons, Cyber Wars: Is There Too Much of It in the Air?*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 56, No. 6, 2013, pp. 28-30.

FINGERMAN (Isadora), *The Challenges of Access to Justice and Enforcement of the Right to Counsel in Brazil*, Criminal Justice Matters, Vol. 92, No. 1, 2013, pp. 24-25.

FINKELMAN (Paul), *Garrison's Constitution: The Covenant with Death and How It Was Made*, Prologue, Vol. 32, No. 4, 2000.

FINLAY (Robert), *The Treasure-Ships of Zheng He: Chinese Maritime Imperialism in the Age of Discovery*, Terrae Incognitae, Vol. 23, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-12.

FINN (Peter) & HORWITZ (Sari), *U.S. Charges Snowden with Espionage*, Washington Post, June 21, 2013.

FISHER (Matthew), *Al-Shabab Terrorist Group Rose from Somalia's Long History of Violence and Anarchy*, Canada.com, Sep. 23, 2013.

FISHER (Nicole), *Mental Health Loses Funding As Government Continues Shutdown*, Forbes, Oct. 10, 2013.

FITZPATRICK (Meagan), *Bill Would Make Wearing Masks during Riots a Crime*, CBC News, Nov. 17, 2011.

FLETCHER (Michael A.) & WILSON (Scott), *Obama Accepts Nobel Peace Prize, Defends 'Just War'*, Washington Post, Dec. 11, 2009.

FLEW (Antony), *Social Science: Making Visible the Invisible Hands*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1987, pp. 197-211.

FLOOD (Andrew), *Bakunin's Idea of Revolution and Revolutionary Organisation*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 6, 2002, pp. 8-12.

FLOOD (Andrew), *Is Primitivism Realistic? An Anarchist Reply to John Zerzan and Others*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

FLOOD (Andrew), *Primitivism, Anarcho-Primitivism and Anti-Civilisationism: Criticism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

FLOOD (Andrew), *The Trouble with Islam*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 7, 2003, pp. 22-24.

FLYNN (Thomas), *Jean-Paul Sartre*, Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Apr. 22, 2004.

FOER (Franklin), *The Libelous Truth*, New Republic, July 13, 2011.

FOISNEAU (Luc), *Governing a Republic: Rousseau's General Will and the Problem of Government*, Republics of Letters: A Journal for the Study of Knowledge, Politics, and the Arts, Vol. 2, No. 1, 15, 2010, pp. 93-104.

FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Between State and Anarchy: A Model of Governance* (<http://www.foldvary.net/works/quebec.html>).

FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geoanarchism: A Short Summary of Geoism and Its Relation to Libertarianism*, AntiState, July 15, 2001.

FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geoism and Libertarianism*, Progress Report, Jan. 9, 2007.

FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geo-Rent: A Plea to Public Economists*, Econ Journal Watch, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2005, pp. 106-132.

FOLLOROU (Jacques), *Tous les Services de Renseignement Occidentaux s'Espionnent*, Le Monde, July 4, 2013.

FOLLOROU (Jacques) & JOHANNÉ (Franck), *Révélations sur le Big Brother Français*, Le Monde, July 4, 2013.

FONTANEL (Jacques) ET AL., *French Utopian Economists of the Nineteenth Century*, Defence and Peace Economics, Vol. 19, No. 5, 2008, pp. 339-350.

FOOTE (Andrew), *Ontario Law School Fee Almost Doubles due to New Training*, CBC News, Feb. 10, 2014.

FORBES (Amy Wiese), *"Let's Add the Stomach": Satire, Absurdity, and July Monarchy Politics in Proudhon's What Is Property?*, French Historical Studies, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2001, pp. 679-705.

FORCESE (Craig), *Vic Toews, Kant and Mill: Torture Again*, National Security Law Blog, Feb. 10, 2012.

FORD (Peter), *China's Blind Activist Lawyer, Chen Guangcheng, Released from Prison*, Christian Science Monitor, Sep. 9, 2010.

FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Human Rights and Democracy: The 2010 Foreign and Commonwealth Office Report*, London, 2011.

FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Human Rights and Democracy: The 2012 Foreign and Commonwealth Office Report*, Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs by Command of Her Majesty, London, 2013.

FORMAN, JR. (James), *Exporting Harshness: How the War on Crime Helped Make the War on Terror Possible*, New York University Review of Law and Social Change, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2009, pp. 331-374.

FORTNER (Michael Javen), *The Carceral State and the Crucible of Black Politics: An Urban History of the Rockefeller Drug Laws*, Studies in American Political Development, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2013, pp. 14-35.

FOSTER (Peter), *Cost to US of Iraq and Afghan Wars Could Hit \$6 Trillion*, Telegraph, Mar. 29, 2013.

FOTOPOULOS (Takis), *Globalization, the Reformist Left and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, Democracy and Nature, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2001, pp. 233-280.

FOUCAULT (Michel), *The History of Sexuality: An Introduction*, trans. Robert Hurley, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1979.

FOUQUET (Helene), *Ex-French First Lady Says Hollande Detests Poor in Tell-All Book*, Bloomberg, Sep. 3, 2014.

FOUQUET (Helene), *Sarkozy Lifestyle Dents Hollande Efforts to Narrow Budget Gap*, Bloomberg, Mar. 17, 2013.

FOX (Dennis R.), *The Autonomy-Community Balance and the Equity-Law Distinction: Anarchy's Task for Psychological Jurisprudence*, Behavioral Sciences and the Law, Vol. 11, 1993, pp. 97-109.

FOX (Dennis R.), *Where's the Proof that Law Is a Good Thing?*, Law and Human Behavior, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1993, pp. 257-258.

FRANCIS (Andrew), *At the Edge of Law: Emergent and Divergent Models of Legal Professionalism*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2011.

FRANCISCO (Ronald A.), *The Relationship between Coercion and Protest: An Empirical Evaluation in Three Coercive States*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, pp. 263-282.

FRANKE-RUTA (Garance), *Before You Listen to Obama Tonight, Read His Nobel Peace Prize Speech*, Atlantic, Sep. 10, 2013.

FRANKEL (Charles), *Freedom, Authority, and Orthodoxy*, Antioch Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1952, pp. 182-194.

FRANKFURTER (Felix), *The Case of Sacco and Vanzetti*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 1927.

FRANZEN (Carl), *France Has Its Own PRISM-Like Web and Phone Metadata Surveillance Effort, Report Says*, Verge, July 4, 2013.

FREDMAN (Zach), *Shoring Up Iraq, 1983 to 1990: Washington and the Chemical Weapons Controversy*, Diplomacy and Statecraft, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2012, pp. 533-554.

FREEDMAN (Monroe H.), *Lawyers' Ethics in an Adversary System*, Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1975.

FREEDOM, *The Peasant Revolt in Italy (1949)*, Raven, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1989, pp. 391-396.

FREEMAN (Matt), *Pentagon Should End Live Animal Trauma Exercises*, Hill, Mar. 4, 2013.

FREEMAN (Samuel Richard), *Illiberal Libertarians: Why Libertarianism Is Not a Liberal View*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2001, pp. 105-151.

FREUDENHEIM (Milt) & GINIGER (Henry), *Reagan's War over Nicaragua*, New York Times, July 22, 1984.

FREY (Bruno S.), *Publishing as Prostitution? – Choosing between One's Own Ideas and Academic Success*, Public Choice, Vol. 116, No. 1-2, 2003, pp. 205-223.

FRIEDERSDORF (Conor), *How Obama Ignored Congress, and Misled America, on War in Libya*, Atlantic, Sep. 13, 2012.

FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Law as a Private Good: A Response to Tyler Cowen on the Economics of Anarchy*, Economics and Philosophy, Vol. 10, 1994, pp. 319-327.

FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Private Creation and Enforcement of Law: A Historical*, Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1979, pp. 399-416.

FRIEDMAN (David Director), *The Machinery of Freedom: Guide to a Radical Capitalism*, 2nd ed., La Salle: Open Court, 1989.

FRIEL (Charles M.) (ed.), *Boundary Changes in Criminal Justice Organizations*, Criminal Justice, Vol. 2, Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Justice, 2000.

FRIEND (Julius W.), *Stateless Nations: Western European Regional, Nationalisms and the Old Nations*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

FROOMAN (Hilary), *Lawyers and Readability*, Journal of Business Communication, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1981, pp. 45-51.

FROST (Ginger), *'Love Is always Free': Anarchism, Free Unions, and Utopianism in Edwardian England*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 73-94.

FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *The End of History*, National Interest, Summer 1989.

FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *The End of History and the Last Man*, New York: Free Press, 1992.

FULKERSON (Zack), *NDA: What Obama Hoped You Wouldn't Notice About This Bill*, PolicyMic, Jan. 14, 2013.

FULTON (Dennis), *5 Historic Sex Scandals that Put Bill Clinton to Shame*, Cracked, Jan. 22, 2012.

FULTON (Will), *After the Arab Spring: Iran's Foreign Relations in the Middle East*, Iran Tracker, Sep. 28, 2011.

FULTON (Will) ET AL., *Iranian Strategy in Syria*, the Institute for the Study of War and AEI's Critical Threats Project, Institute for the Study of War, 2013.

FUNK (Lindsay), *Syrian Civil War: Why Iraq Is More Terrified of Al-Qaeda than America*, PolicyMic, 2013.

FUTRELL (Robert) & BRENTS (Barbara G.), *Protest as Terrorism?: The Potential for Violent Anti-Nuclear Activism*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 46, No. 6, 2003, pp. 745-765.

GABBARD (David), *The Outlook for Social Justice in Our Compulsory Schools: An Anarchist Forecast*, Peace Studies Journal, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2010, pp. 119-126.

GADDEA (James), *11 Things You Didn't Know About Hassan Rouhani*, PolicyMic, Aug. 5, 2013.

GALANTER (Marc), *The Great American Lawyer Joke Explosion*, Humor, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2008, pp. 387-413.

GALLEANI (Luigi), *The End of Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 1925.

GALLEANI (Luigi), *The Principal of Organization to the Light of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

GALLEANI (Luigi), *Workers' Organizations*, Anarchist Library, 1925.

GAMBONE (Larry), *Proudhon and Anarchism*, Nanaimo: Red Lion Press, 1996.

GANDY (Imani), *Racist, Anti-Woman Judge Edith Jones to Be Investigated for Alleged Racist Remarks*, RH Reality Check, June 13, 2013.

GANJI (Akbar), *Who Is Ali Khamenei?*, Foreign Affairs, 2013.

GARAUDY (Roger), *Conférence de 1996 Roger Garaudy sur Son Livre: Les Mythes Fondateurs de la Politique Israélienne*, YouTube, June 15, 2012.

GARAUDY (Roger), *The Founding Myths of Israeli Politics*, Association des Anciens Amateurs de Récits de Guerres et d'Holocaustes, VHO, 1996.

GARCIA-NAVARRO (Lourdes), *Brazil's Black Bloc Activists: Criminals or People Power?*, NPR, Oct. 22, 2013.

GARDINER (Stephanie) & RALTON (Nick), *Ryan's Tragedy: Shock at Death of Former League Star's Son*, Sydney Morning Herald, Apr. 16, 2012.

GARET (Ronald R.), *Judges as Prophets: A Coverian Interpretation Judicial Independence and Accountability Symposium*, Southern California Law Review, Vol. 72, No. 2-3, 1999, pp. 385-423.

GARLICK (Steve), *A New Sexual Revolution? Critical Theory, Pornography, and the Internet*, Canadian Review of Sociology, Vol. 48, No. 3, 2011, pp. 221-239.

GARTZKE (Erik), *The Myth of Cyberwar: Bringing War in Cyberspace Back Down to Earth*, International Security, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2013, pp. 41-73.

GARVER (Eugene), *Narrative, Rhetorical Argument, and Ethical Authority*, Law and Critique, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1999, pp. 117-146.

GATES (Bill), *The Deadliest Animal in the World*, Gates Notes, Apr. 25, 2014.

GATES (Sara), *Nicolas Sarkozy Calls Campaign Finance Charges 'Unfair and Unfounded'*, Huffington Post, Mar. 25, 2013.

GAUDRY (Adam J. P.), *Insurgent Research*, Wicazo Sa Review, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2011, pp. 113-136.

GAUTHIER-VILLARS (David), *France Looks Into Child-Sex Allegations*, Wall Street Journal, June 1, 2011.

GAY (Kathlyn) (ed.), *American Dissidents: An Encyclopedia of Activists, Subversives, and Prisoners of Conscience*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2012.

GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *Death Penalty on Decline; China, Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, US Top Executors of Capital Punishment: Amnesty*, International Business Times, Apr. 10, 2013.

GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *US Only Western Country to Carry Out Capital Punishment Last Year, Ranks 5th Worldwide*, International Business Times, Mar. 27, 2012.

GELDERLOOS (Peter), *The Many Prisoners of America's Security State*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism Is a Consumer Activity*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism: Why Not? An Anarchist Perspective*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

GELDERLOOS (Peter), *What Is Democracy?*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

GELDERLOOS (Peter) & LINCOLN (Patrick), *World Behind Bars: The Expansion of the American Prison Sell*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

GELLA (Aleksander) (ed.), *The Intelligentsia and the Intellectuals: Theory, Method and Case Study*, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1976.

GEORGE (Chris), *The Difference Between Libertarianism and Anarchism*, New Kind of Mind, Oct. 26, 2009.

GEORGE (William H.), *Proudhon and Economic Federalism*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 30, No. 4, 1922, pp. 531-542.

GERALD (John Bart), *A Note on Peru*, Night's Lantern, May 16, 2009.

GERALD (John Bart), *Crimes Against Humanity: No Amnesty for Guatemala's Former Dictator General Efraín Ríos Montt*, Global Research, May 13, 2013.

GERSHMAN (Jacob), *Ferguson Unrest Focuses Attention on Rights of Protesters*, Wall Street Journal, Aug. 14, 2014.

GETGEN (Jocelyn E.), *Untold Truths: The Exclusion of Enforced Sterilizations from the Peruvian Truth Commission's Final Report*, Boston College Third World Law Journal, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2009, Art. 1.

GETLEN (Larry), *Corrupt 'Kids for Cash' Judge Ruined More than 2,000 Lives*, New York Post, Feb. 23, 2014.

GETMAN-ERASO (Jordi), 'Cease Fire, Comrades!' Anarcho-Syndicalist Revolutionary Prophecy, *Anti Fascism and the Origins of the Spanish Civil War*, *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2008, pp. 93-114.

GEUSS (Raymond), *History and Illusion in Politics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

GHEISSARI (Ali) (ed.), *Contemporary Iran: Economy, Society, Politic*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.

GHEISSARI (Ali) & NASR (Vali), *Democracy in Iran: History and the Quest for Liberty*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006.

GHOBADZADEH (Naser) & RAHIM (Lily Zubaidah), *Islamic Reformation Discourses: Popular Sovereignty and Religious Secularisation in Iran*, *Democratization*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2012, pp. 334-351.

GHORMLEY (Kenneth O.), *The L.F.D.B.A. Celebrates Its Centennial; Anarchy at Home*, California: Fortnightly Club of Redlands, 2007.

GHOSH (Palash), *Iran's Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei ... A Multi-Billionaire And BMW Car Dealer?*, *International Business Times*, May 3, 2013.

GIANG (Vivian), *A Bunch of Young Lawyers Are Suing Their Law Schools because They Don't Have Jobs*, *Business Insider*, Feb. 15, 2012.

GIBSON (James L.), *Intolerance and Political Repression in the United States: A Half Century after McCarthyism*, *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2008, pp. 96-108.

GIBSON (Owen), *Match-Fixing Claims Involving Ghana Cast Shadow over World Cup*, *Guardian*, June 23, 2014.

GIBSON (Peter), *Anarchism and the Selfish Gene*, *Raven*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1988, pp. 167-173.

GIDDINGS (Larry W.), *Why Anti-Authoritarian?*, Anarchist Library, 1990.

GILBERT (Jean-Marc), *Une Dame Risque l'Expulsion du Pays*, *Le Journal de Montréal*, Nov. 21, 2011.

GILL (Rebecca D.) ET AL., *Are Judicial Performance Evaluations Fair to Women and Minorities? A Cautionary Tale from Clark County, Nevada*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2011, pp. 731-759.

GILLIS (Charlie), *Do We Really Need So Many Lawyers?*, *MacLean's*, Sep. 22, 2013.

GILLIS (Wendy), *Cop Guilty of G20 Assault Won't Be Fired – but He Loses 5 Days' Pay*, *Toronto Star*, Nov. 9, 2015.

GILLIS (Wendy), *Demote Officer who Ordered G20 Arrests, Prosecution Tells Police Tribunal*, *Toronto Star*, Apr. 13, 2016.

GILLIS (Wendy), *Misconduct Ruling Upheld in G20 Bandana Case*, *Toronto Star*, Sep. 1, 2015.

GILLIS (Wendy), *Toronto's 'Officer Bubbles' Gains Web Notoriety*, *Toronto Star*, July 16, 2010.

GILLMAN (Ollie) & EDWARDS (Valerie), *California Law Graduate who Came Top of her Class Loses Lawsuit against Former School after Suing them over her Failure to Find a Job in 10 Years*, *Daily Mail*, Mar. 25, 2016.

GINSBURG (Mitch), *Israeli Expert: Iran already a Nuclear Power, but Can't Deliver a Bomb*, *Times of Israel*, Sep. 10, 2012.

GIRALDO (Jeanne K.) & TRINKUNAS (Harold A.) (ed.), *Terrorism Financing and State Responses: A Comparative Perspective*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2007.

GIRI (Ram Ashish), *Cultural Anarchism: The Consequences of Privileging Languages in Nepal*, *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2010, pp. 87-100.

GIROUD (Sandrine), *Enforcement against State Assets and Execution of ICSID Awards in Switzerland: How Swiss Courts Deal with Immunity Defences*, *ASA Bulletin*, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2012, pp. 758-766.

GIROUX (Henry A.), *The Emerging Authoritarianism in the United States: Political Culture under the Bush/Cheney Administration*, *Symplokē*, Vol. 14, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 98-151.

GITTLITZ (A. M.), *Double Jeopardy: New York Activist Subpoenaed for Secret Grand Jury – Again*, *Truthout*, May 10, 2013.

GLASBEEK (Harry), *The Presumed Innocence of Capitalism and Lac-Mégantic*, *Bullet*, No. 858, 2013.

GLASER (John), *Reagan's Friend and Ally Convicted of Genocide*, *Antiwar*, May 13, 2013.

GLASER (John), *How Obama Is Tacitly Supporting Egypt's Military Government*, *Daily Caller*, Aug. 19, 2013.

GLASSGOLD (Peter), *Anarchy!: An Anthology of Emma Goldman's Mother Earth*, Berkley: Counterpoint, 2012.

GLEDHILL (Cara), *Queering State Crime Theory: The State, Civil Society and Marginalization*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 127-138.

GLOVER (Stephen), *How Can We Be so Blindly Stupid as to Sell Arms to Despots then Bleat about Democracy?*, *Daily Mail*, Feb. 24, 2011.

GLUECK (Katie), *Nancy Reagan: Ronald Reagan and Margaret Thatcher Were 'Soul Mates'*, *Politico*, Apr. 8, 2013.

GOAR (Carol), *Do-It-Yourself-Law: A Trickle Becomes a Deluge*, *Toronto Star*, May 15, 2013.

GODERYA (Seher), *Negotiating Justice: Progressive Lawyering, Low-Income Clients, and the Quest for Social Change*, by Corey S. Shdaimah, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2010, pp. 193-194.

GODESKY (Jason), *Thirty Theses*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

GODWIN (Peter), *The Real Legacy of Nelson Mandela*, *Wall Street Journal*, Dec. 10, 2011.

GODWIN (William), *Enquiry Concerning Political Justice: With Selections from Godwin's Other Writings*, ed. by K. Codell Carter, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971.

GOLDBERG (Jeffrey), *Rohani's Scared to Shake Obama's Hand*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 24, 2013.

GOLDBERG (Jonah), *What Kind of Socialist Is Barack Obama?*, Commentary, May 2010.

GOLDBERG (Susan), *Wealthy and Wise*, Canadian Bar Association, 2014.

GOLDENBERG (Tia), *Israel Threatens to Strike Iran's Nuclear Facilities in Attempt to Ratchet up International Pressure on Tehran*, National Post, Mar. 21, 2014.

GOLDING (Martin P.) & EDMUNDSON (William A.) (ed.), *The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Law and Legal Theory*, Malden: Blackwell, 2005.

GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, with biographic sketch by Hippolyte Havel, 3rd ed., New York: Mother Earth Publishing Association, 1917.

GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchy and the Sex Question*, Anarchist Library, 1896.

GOLDMAN (Emma), *Living My Life*, Garden City: Garden City, 1934.

GOLDMAN (Emma), *On Zionism*, Anarchist Library, 1938.

GOLDMAN (Emma), *Syndicalism: The Modern Menace to Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 1913.

GOLDMAN (Lea) & BLAKELEY (Kiri), *In Pictures: The Richest 20 Women in Entertainment: Judith "Judge Judy" Sheindlin*, Forbes, Jan. 18, 2008.

GOLDSMITH (Jack) & WU (Tim), *Who Controls the Internet?: Illusions of a Borderless World*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2006.

GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression in Modern America from 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Schenkman, 1978.

GOMES (Simone da Silva Ribeiro), *Black Bloc Discussion and the Radicalisation of Debate in Brazil's Public Sphere*, Open Democracy, Nov. 6, 2013.

GONDEK (Michal), *Extraterritorial Application of the European Convention on Human Rights: Territorial Focus in the Age of Globalization?*, Netherlands International Law Review, Vol. 52, No. 3, 2005, pp. 349-387.

GONZÁLEZ (Xavier Oliveras), *Denying Anarchic Spaces and Places: An Anarchist Critique of Mosaic-Statist Metageography*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2010, pp. 181-197.

GOODELL (Charles), *Political Prisoners in America*, New York: Random House, 1973.

GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat: Additional Notes*, Critique, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1976, pp. 85-90.

GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat (1918)*, Critique, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1974, pp. 27-47.

GOODMAN (Alana), *New Iranian President Tied to 1994 Bombing*, Washington Free Beacon, June 19, 2013.

GOODMAN (Paul), *Reflections on the Anarchist Principle*, Anarchist Library, 1966.

GOODRICH (Peter), *Law Induced Anxiety: Legists, Anti-Lawyers and the Boredom of Legality*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2000, pp. 143-163.

GOODRICH (Peter), *Zenotypes: On the Modes of Reproduction of Critical Lawyers*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2002, pp. 425-443.

GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death: The Anarchist Articles and Pamphlets of Alex Comfort*, London: Freedom Press, 1994.

GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *For Anarchism: History, Theory, and Practice*, London, New York, Routledge, 1989.

GOODWIN (Adam), *Evolution and Anarchism in International Relations: The Challenge of Kropotkin's Biological Ontology*, Millennium, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 417-437.

GOODWIN (Barbara), *Using Political Ideas*, 4th ed., Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1997.

GOODWIN (Barbara) & TAYLOR (Keith), *The Politics of Utopia: A Study in Theory and Practice*, London: Hutchinson, 1982.

GOODWIN (Michele), *Black Markets: The Supply and Demand of Body Parts*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

GORDON (Avery F.), *Abu Ghraib: Imprisonment and the War on Terror*, Race and Class, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2006, pp. 42-59.

GORDON (Evelyn), *Thanks to Syria, Timing of Looming Iran Crisis Is Fortuitous*, Commentary, Aug. 1, 2013.

GORDON (Jesse Alan), *Technological Libertarianism*, On The Issues, 1995.

GORDON (Michael R.) & SANGER (David E.), *U.S. Is in Pursuit of Iranian Role in Talks on Iraq*, New York Times, June 16, 2014.

GORDON (Tahlia) ET AL., *Regulation of Legal Services in the E-World: A Need to Short Circuit Hot Spots in Ethics and Novel Practices?*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 55-87.

GORDON (Uri), *Against the Wall: Anarchist Mobilization in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict*, Peace and Change, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2010, pp. 412-433.

GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism and Political Theory: Contemporary Problems*, PhD thesis, Mansfield College, University of Oxford, 2007.

GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism Reloaded*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2007, pp. 29-48.

GORDON (Uri), *Anarchists Against the Wall*, YouTube, Sep. 27, 2009.

GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!: Anti-Authoritarian Politics from Practice to Theory*, London: Pluto Press, 2008.

GORDON (Uri), *Can Anarchists Support a Palestinian State?*, YouTube, Oct. 16, 2009.

GORDON (Uri), *Israeli Anarchism: Statist Dilemmas and the Dynamics of Joint Struggle*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2007, pp. 7-30.

GORDON (Uri) & GRIETZER (Ohal) (ed.), *Anarchists Against the Wall: Direct Action and Solidarity with the Palestinian Popular Struggle*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2013.

GORZELANY (Jim), *All the Presidents' Wheels: The History Of Cars And Our Commanders-In-Chief*, *Forbes*, Feb. 17, 2012.

GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Grand Jury Resister Released Reportedly Cooperated with Investigation of Pacific Northwest Activists*, *Firedoglake*, Oct. 30, 2012.

GOTO (Shihoko), *The Cost of Pomp for Reagan's Funeral*, *United Press International*, June 16, 2004.

GOVERNMENT OF ONTARIO, *Judiciary, Disclosure for 2010 under the Public Sector Salary Disclosure Act*, 1996.

GRADSTEIN (Linda), *Israel under Pressure to Give up Chemical, Nuclear Weapons*, *Jerusalem Post*, Sep. 18, 2013.

GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *A Conversation with Anarchist David Graeber*, interview by Charlie Rose, *YouTube*, Jan. 29, 2013.

GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Concerning the Violent Peace-Police: An Open Letter to Chris Hedges*, *N + 1*, Feb. 9, 2012.

GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Debt: The First Five Thousand Years*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009.

GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Occupy and Anarchism's Gift of Democracy*, *Guardian*, Nov. 15, 2011.

GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The New Anarchists*, *New Left Review*, Vol. 13, 2002, pp. 61-73.

GRAHAM (Gordon), *Anarchy and the Internet*, *University of Aberdeen*, Jan. 30, 2007 (<http://www.abdn.ac.uk/philosophy/endsandmeans/vol1no2/graham.shtml>).

GRAHAM (Robert) (ed.), *Anarchism: A Documentary History of Libertarian Ideas*, 2 Vol., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 2005.

GRAHAM (Robert), *The General Idea of Proudhon's Revolution*, *Anarchist Library*, 2010.

GRANFIELD (Robert) & KOENIG (Thomas), *From Activism to Pro Bono: The Redirection of Working Class Altruism at Harvard Law School*, *Critical Sociology*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1990, pp. 57-80.

GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi": The Life and Teachings of Rudolf Rocker*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1997.

GRAY (Maggie), *'A Fistful of Dead Roses ...': Comics as Cultural Resistance: Alan Moore and David Lloyd's V for Vendetta*, *Journal of Graphic Novels and Comics*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2010, pp. 31-49.

GREASON (David), *Embracing Death: The Western Left and the Iranian Revolution, 1978-83*, *Economy and Society*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2005, pp. 105-140.

GREEN (Arnold W.), *Equality and Equal Opportunity*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1977, pp. 125-136.

GREEN (Bruce A.), *The Flood of US Lawyers: Natural Fluctuation or Professional Climate Change?*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 19, No. 2-3, 2012, pp. 193-207.

GREEN (Jonathon) & KAROLIDES (Nicholas J.), *Encyclopedia of Censorship*, New York: Facts On File, 2009.

GREEN (Johnny), *Does Hillary Clinton Support Marijuana Legalization?*, *Weed Blog*, June 22, 2014.

GREEN (Leslie), *Legal Obligation and Authority*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Dec. 29, 2003.

GREENBERG (Ivan), *Everyone Is a Terrorist Now: Marginalizing Protest in the U.S.*, *Journal of Radical Criminology*, No. 2, 2013, pp. 131-143.

GREENE (Jamal), *Beyond Lawrence: Metaprivacy and Punishment*, *Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 115, No. 8, 2006, pp. 1862-1928.

GREENBERG (Karen J.), *Guilty Until Proven Guilty: Threatening the Presumption of Innocence*, *Huffington Post*, Nov. 18, 2010.

GREENFIELD (Daniel), *The Myth of Israeli Collective Punishment*, *FrontPage Magazine*, July 8, 2014.

GREENHILL (Sam), *Found, Gaddafi's Chemical Weapons: Deadly Arsenal Was Hidden despite Pledge to Blair*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 27, 2011.

GREENWALD (Glenn), *Prosecution of Anonymous Activists Highlights War for Internet Control*, *Guardian*, Nov. 23, 2012.

GREENWAY (Judy), *Enemies of the State? Homosexuality in the Nineteenth Century*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2005, pp. 90-95.

GREER (Joseph Christian), *Occult Origins: Hakim Bey's Ontological Post-Anarchism*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 2, 2013, pp. 166-187.

GRENOBLE (Ryan), *Thomas P. Lowe, Minnesota Divorce Lawyer, Caught Sleeping With Client, Billing Her For It*, *Huffington Post*, Jan. 18, 2013.

GREY (William), *Anthropocentrism and Deep Ecology*, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 71, No. 4, 1993, pp. 463-475.

GRIPPER (Ann), *What Time Is Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Tomorrow? Timetable, Guest List, Cost, Travel and All the Details*, *Daily Mirror*, Apr. 16, 2013.

GROOM (John F.), *The 1.4 Billion Dollar Man: Costs of the Obama White House*, *Attitude Media*, 2012.

GROS (Jean-Germain), *Towards a Taxonomy of Failed States in the New World Order: Decaying Somalia, Liberia, Rwanda and Haiti*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 1996, pp. 455-471.

GROSS (Ashley), *Judge Frees Two Activists Who Refused to Testify about Anarchism*, *KPLU*, Feb. 28, 2013.

GROSS (Barry Edward), *Free Love and Free Will in Paradise Lost*, *Studies in English Literature, 1500-1900*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1967, pp. 95-106.

GROSS (Michael), *What Makes People Click?*, *Current Biology*, Vol. 23, No. 7, 2013, pp. R255-R258.

GROVES (Tim) & DUBINSKY (Zach), *G20 Case Reveals 'Largest Ever' Police Spy Operation*, *CBC News*, Nov. 22, 2011.

GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism: From Theory to Practice*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

GUÉRIN (Daniel), *L'Anarchisme: De la Doctrine à l'Action*, Paris: Gallimard, 1965.

GUILLAUME (James), *Ideas on Social Organization*, Anarchist Library, 1876.

GUNDARA (Jagdish S.), *Ancient Athenian Democratic Knowledge and Citizenship: Connectivity and Intercultural Implications*, *Intercultural Education*, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2011, pp. 231-241.

GUPTA (Vinay), *We Can't Have Nice Things Because Hakim Bey and the Pope Will Molest Your Children*, *How to Live Wiki*, Aug. 30, 2010.

GURNEY (Matt), *Officer Bubbles Makes Good*, *National Post*, May 24, 2012.

GUTIÉRREZ D. (José Antonio), *Anarchist-Communism and Elections*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

GUTIÉRREZ D. (José Antonio), *State Terrorism Is openly Practiced in Europe*, *Anarkismo*, Jan. 13, 2013.

GUZMAN (Timothy Alexander), *Iran Nuclear Talks: Remembering the Israeli Attack on Iraq's Peaceful Nuclear Reactor Osirak. Will History Repeat Itself?*, *Global Research*, Nov. 17, 2013.

HAAS (Michael), *America's War Crimes Quagmire, from Bush to Obama*, Los Angeles: Publishinghouse for Scholars, 2010.

HAAS (Michael), *George W. Bush, War Criminal?: The Bush Administration's Liability for 269 War Crimes*, foreword by Benjamin B. Ferencz, Westport: Praeger, 2009.

HADFIELD (Gillian K.), *The Cost of Law: Promoting Access to Justice through the (Un)Corporate Practice of Law*, *International Review of Law and Economics*, Vol. 38, 2014, pp. 43-63.

HAGGERTY (Anthony), *Brazil v. Chile: Samba Star Fred Claims Luis Suarez's Punishment Is Too Severe*, *Daily Record and Sunday Mail*, June 28, 2014.

HALCHIN (L. Elaine), *Presidential Travel: Policy and Costs*, Congressional Research Service, May 17, 2012.

HALL (Allan), *Historian Tracks down Evil Erna the Nazi Killer: A 'Harmless' Granny in Vienna*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 22, 2007.

HALL (John), *Saudi Preacher Who 'Raped and Tortured' His Five-Year-Old Daughter to Death Is Released after Paying 'Blood Money'*, *Independent*, Feb. 4, 2013.

HALL (Kermit Lance) ET AL. (ed.), *The Oxford Companion to American Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.

HALL (Matthew), *Beyond the Human: Extending Ecological Anarchism*, *Environmental Politics*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2011, pp. 374-390.

HALL (Randy), *Media Cheered Obama's 'Party Time,' Bashed Bush's 'Lavish' Second Inaugural*, *NewsBusters*, Jan. 23, 2013.

HAMILTON (Graeme), *Visible Minorities the New Majority*, *National Post*, Apr. 3, 2008.

HAMILTON (Graeme), *When the Mob Controls Unions, the Public Pays, 'Donnie Brasco' Tells Quebec Corruption Inquiry*, *National Post*, Sep. 24, 2012.

HAMILTON (Grant), *'If You Don't Vote, You Can't Complain,' Is a Silly Sentiment*, *Brandon Sun*, Oct. 22, 2010.

HAMILTON (Michael), *5 Common Misconceptions about Voting: Your Vote Doesn't Count*, *PolicyMic*, 2012.

HAMM (Mark S.), *'High Crimes and Misdemeanors': George W. Bush and the Sins of Abu Ghraib*, *Crime, Media, Culture*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2007, pp. 259-284.

HAMOWY (Ronald), *Some Comments on the Rhetoric of the Environmental Movement*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1996, pp. 161-177.

HAMOWY (Ronald) (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Libertarianism*, Los Angeles: Sage, 2008.

HANDMAKER (Jeff) & ZYBERI (Gentian), *'Israel's Right to Exist': Is It a Real Issue?*, *Electronic Intifada*, Mar. 28, 2007.

HANEY (Craig) & ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *Persistent Dispositionalism in Interactionist Clothing: Fundamental Attribution Error in Explaining Prison Abuse*, *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 35, No. 6, 2009, pp. 807-814.

HANLEY (Will), *Statelessness: An Invisible Theme in the History of International Law*, *European Journal of International Law*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2014, pp. 321-327.

HANNON (Michael), *The People v. Benjamin Gitlow (1920)*, Law Library, University of Minnesota.

HANSSEN (F. Andrew), *On the Politics of Judicial Selection: Lawyers and State Campaigns for the Merit Plan*, *Public Choice*, Vol. 110, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 79-97.

HAPGOOD (Hutchins), *An Anarchist Woman*, Anarchist Library, 1909.

HARAK (G. Simon), *After the Gulf War: A New Paradigm for the Peace Movement*, *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1992, pp. 11-40.

HARDY (Dennis), *Alternative Communities in Nineteenth Century England*, London: Longman, 1979.

HA-REDEYE (Omar), *G20 Class Action Fails Certification*, *Slaw*, June 2, 2013.

HAREL (Alon), *Why Only the State May Inflict Criminal Sanctions: The Case against Privately Inflicted Sanctions*, *Legal Theory*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2008, pp. 113-133.

HAREVEN (Alouph), *Victimization: Some Comments by an Israeli*, *Political Psychology*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1983, pp. 145-155.

HARKINSON (Josh), *Occupy Movement Targets Foreclosed Properties*, *Mother Jones*, Dec. 6, 2011.

HARPER (Jim), *You're Eight Times More Likely to be Killed by a Police Officer than a Terrorist*, *Cato Institute*, Aug. 10, 2012.

HARRIGER (Katy J.), *A Review of "Bad Advice: Bush's Lawyers in the War on Terror"*, Congress and the Presidency, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2011, pp. 356-358.

HARRIS (Jerry), *Dystopian and Global Rebellion: A Review of the Tenth Annual Conference of the Global Studies Association of North America*, International Critical Thought, Vol. 2, No. 4, 2012, pp. 524-533.

HARRIS (Nick), *Two Referees at World Cup Suspected of Match-Fixing ... But FIFA only Found out about Past Allegations after Tournament Started*, Daily Mail, July 6, 2014.

HARRIS (Shane), *The Terrorism Enhancement: An Obscure Law Stretches the Definition of Terrorism, and Metes out Severe Punishments*, National Journal, July 13, 2007.

HARRIS (Sam), *Sleepwalking toward Armageddon*, Sep. 10, 2014 (<http://www.samharris.org/blog/item/sleepwalking-toward-Armageddon>).

HARRISON (Ross), *Arab Spring or Islamic Spring?*, National Interest, Aug. 26, 2013.

HART (David M.), *Gustave de Molinari and the Anti-Statist Liberal Tradition*, Part I, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 263-290.

HART (David M.), *Gustave de Molinari and the Anti-Statist Liberal Tradition*, Part II, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1981, pp. 399-434.

HART (David M.), *Gustave de Molinari and the Anti-Statist Liberal Tradition*, Part III, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1982, pp. 83-104.

HASHAM (Alyshah), *Judge Upholds Police Search of Protesters during G20 Weekend*, Toronto Star, Apr. 8, 2014.

HASHAM (Alyshah), *Toronto Constable Babak Andalib-Goortani's Second G20 Assault Trial to Proceed, Rules Judge*, Toronto Star, Jan. 17, 2014.

HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *When Prisoners Take Over the Prison: A Social Psychology of Resistance*, Personality and Social Psychology Review, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2012, pp. 154-179.

HARTLEY (Carolyn Copps) & PETRUCCI (Carrie J.), *Practicing Culturally Competent Therapeutic Jurisprudence: A Collaboration between Social Work and Law*, Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 14, 2004, pp. 133-181.

HATTER (Karen), *The Enslaved at the President's House Memorial*, NowPublic, Oct. 26, 2010.

HATTINGH (Shawn), *Venezuela and the "Bolivarian Revolution": Beacon of Hope or Smoke and Mirrors?*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

HAUSER (Emily L.), *Israel's Ethiopian Birth Control Story Reflects A Broader Problem*, Daily Beast, Jan. 28, 2013.

HAUSLOHNER (Abigail) & MORRIS (Loveday), *U.N. Says ISIS Rebels Carried out 'Cold-Blooded Executions' in Iraq*, Washington Post, June 16, 2014.

HAUSMANN (Joanna), *MASSACRE in Syria: Dozens Executed Today in Village*, Heavy, 2013.

HAWKINS (Ed), *FIFA World Cup 2014: Could Matches Be Fixed in Brazil?*, BBC News, May 29, 2014.

HAWORTH (Robert H.) (ed.), *Anarchist Pedagogies: Collective Actions, Theories, and Critical Reflections on Education*, Oakland: PM Press, 2012.

HAYASE (Nozomi), *WikiLeaks and the Anarchistic Roots of Global Uprising*, Dissident Voice, Oct. 24, 2012.

HEATH (Malcolm), *Aristotle on Natural Slavery*, White Rose Research, 2008.

HEBBLETHWAITE (Cordelia), *US Election: How Can It Cost \$6BN?*, BBC News, Aug. 1, 2012.

HECKERT (Jamie) ET AL., *Loving-Teaching: Notes for Queering Anarchist Pedagogies*, Educational Studies, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2012, pp. 12-29.

HEDGECOCK (Andrew), *Introduction*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1991, pp. 3-12.

HEDGES (Chris), *The Cancer in Occupy*, Truthdig, Feb. 6, 2012.

HEDRICK (Nick), *Former Nixon Lawyer Reflects on Watergate*, Statesman, Oct. 5, 2011.

HEGEL (Georg Wilhelm Friedrich), *The Philosophy of History*, prefaces by Charles Hegel, trans. by J. Sibree, Kitchener: Batoche Books, 2001.

HEIDER (Ulrike), *Anarchism: Left, Right, and Green*, trans. by Danny Lewis and Ulrike Bode, San Francisco: City Lights Books, 1994.

HEILBRONER (Robert), *Twenty-First Century Capitalism*, Concord: Anansi, 1992.

HEKMAN (Susan J.) (ed.), *Feminist Interpretations of Michel Foucault*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1996.

HELLER (Kevin Jon) & DUBBER (Markus D.) (ed.), *The Handbook of Comparative Criminal Law*, Stanford: Stanford Law Books, 2011.

HELLMER (Ellennita Muetze), *Establishing Government Accountability in the Anti-Sweat Shop Campaign: Toward a Logical, Activist Approach to Improving the Working Conditions of the Poor*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2005, pp. 33-47.

HELM (Toby), *Tony Blair Should Face Trial over Iraq War, Says Desmond Tutu*, Guardian, Sep. 2, 2012.

HELMS (Robert P.), *Pedophilia and American Anarchism: The Other Side of Hakim Bey (with Bibliography and Sample Poem)*, Libcom, Nov. 10, 2006.

HEMPHILL (David) & LESKOWITZ (Shari), *DIY Activists: Communities of Practice, Cultural Dialogism, and Radical Knowledge Sharing*, *Adult Education Quarterly*, Vol. 63, No. 1, 2013, pp. 57-77.

HENDAWI (Hamza), *Mubarak Health Condition: Defibrillator Used on Egypt's Former President*, *Huffington Post*, June 11, 2012.

HENDRICKSON (Mark), *President Obama's Marxist-Leninist Economics: Fact and Fiction*, *Forbes*, July 26, 2012.

HENRY (Stuart), *Community Justice, Capitalist Society, and Human Agency: The Dialectics of Collective Law in the Cooperative*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1985, pp. 303-327.

HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology: Origins, Core Concepts, and Evaluation*, *Social Justice*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2000, pp. 268-290.

HERBERT (Geoff), *Lawyer Robert Kardashian Hid Evidence in O. J. Simpson Murder Case, Fred Goldman Says*, *Las Vegas Sun*, June 10, 2014.

HERMAN (Edward S.), *The United States As Torture Central*, *Z Magazine*, May 2004.

HERMAN (Edward S.) & CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*, New York: Pantheon Books, 2002.

HERMAN (Susan), *PATRIOT Games: Terrorism Law and Executive Power*, *Jurist Legal News and Research*, Jan. 26, 2006.

HERMES (Kris), *Chasing Anarchists: May Day and the Federal Government's Use of Grand Juries as Political Counterintelligence*, *Huffington Post*, Apr. 30, 2013.

HEROD (James), *Getting Free: Creating an Association of Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods*, Boston: Lucy Parsons Center, 2007.

HESS (David) & MARTIN (Brian), *Repression, Backfire, and the Theory of Transformative Events*, *Mobilization*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, 249-267.

HETER (Storm), *Sartre's Political Philosophy*, *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Sep. 26, 2006.

HEURICH (Sarah J.) & VAUGHN (Michael S.), *Ill-Treatment and Torture at Abu Ghraib Prison: Irrational Policy Implementation and Administrative Breakdown*, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2009, pp. 181-201.

HEVESI (Dennis), *Law Schools Boycotted Over Lack of Minority Teachers*, *New York Times*, Apr. 6, 1990.

HEWLETT (Nick), *Marx, Engels, and the Ethics of Violence in Revolt*, *European Legacy*, Vol. 17, No. 7, 2012, pp. 882-898.

HEYDEMANN (Steven), *Syria and the Future of Authoritarianism*, *Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2013, pp. 59-73.

HEYWOOD (Andrew), *Political Ideologies: An Introduction*, 2nd ed., New York: Worth, 1998.

HIATT (Fred), *'Bush Lied'? If only It Were That Simple*, *Washington Post*, June 9, 2008.

HICKENLOOPER (John Wright), *Experimenting with Pot: The State of Colorado's Legalization of Marijuana*, *Milbank Quarterly*, Vol. 92, No. 2, 2014, pp. 243-249.

HIER (Sean Patrick) & BOLARIA (B. Singh) (ed.), *Race and Racism in 21st Century Canada: Continuity, Complexity, and Change*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2007.

HIGGS (Robert), *Less Government, Less Economic Trouble*, *Mises Daily*, Oct. 8, 2010.

HIGH (Holly), *Anthropology and Anarchy: Romance, Horror or Science Fiction?*, *Critique of Anthropology*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 93-108.

HIGH PRIEST WOMBAT, KSC, *Quiet Resistance: The Workers' Union Underground*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

HILL (Declan) & LONGMAN (Jeré), *Fixed Soccer Matches Cast Shadow over World Cup*, *New York Times*, May 31, 2014.

HILL (Derek), *New Style Law School*, *Canadian Lawyer Magazine*, 2007.

HILL (Symon), *Digital Revolutions: Activism in the Internet Age*, Oxford: New Internationalist, 2013.

HINCKLEY (David), *Judge Judy Rules! TV Court Jurist Is the Highest Paid Television Star*, *New York Daily News*, Aug. 8, 2012.

HINNANT (Lori), *Jacques Verges, Lawyer for Klaus Barbie, Carlos the Jackal, Dead at 88*, *Toronto Star*, Aug. 16, 2013.

HIRSCHAUER (Stefan), *Editorial Judgments: A Praxeology of 'Voting' in Peer Review*, *Social Studies of Science*, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2010, pp. 71-103.

HIRSCHFELD DAVIS (Julie), *D.C. Readies for Reagan Ceremonies*, *Los Angeles Times*, June 9, 2004.

HIRSHLEIFER (Jack), *Anarchy and Its Breakdown*, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 103, No. 1, 1995, pp. 26-52.

HITCHENS (Peter), *Saint Nelson? Or Not?*, *Daily Mail*, June 5, 2008.

HITLER (Adolf), *Mein Kampf*, London: Hurst and Blackett, 1939.

HODGE (Nathan), *The Legal Case for Robot War Gets Complicated*, *Wired*, Apr. 29, 2010.

HODGES (Dave), *Cops Kill 8 Times More Americans Than Terrorists Do*, *Activist Post*, Nov. 17, 2013.

HOFFER (Charles Russell), *Nationalism and Culture*, by Rudolf Rocker, *New York: Covici-Friede, 1937*, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1938, pp. 103-104.

HOILE (David), *Is The ICC Fit for Purpose?*, *New African*, Mar. 1, 2012.

HOLMES (Barbara Ann), *Liberation and the Cosmos: Conversations with the Elders*, Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2008.

HOLMES (Robert L.), *State-Legitimacy and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, Virginia Law Review, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, pp. 133-141.

HOLT (Richard) (ed.), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Costing 'Much Less than £10M'*, Says Francis Maude, Telegraph, Apr. 17, 2013.

HOLT (Thomas J.), *Cyber War Will Not Take Place*, by Thomas Rid, Global Crime, Vol. 15, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 206-208.

HOLTERMAN (Thomas) & MAARSEVEEN (Henc van) (ed.), *Law and Anarchism*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1984.

HÖLZL (Richard), *Nature Conservation in the Age of Classical Modernity: The Landesausschuss Für Naturpflege and the Bund Naturschutz in Bavaria*, Bulletin of the German Historical Institute, Supplement 3, 2006, pp. 27-52.

HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition: Herbert Read, Alex Comfort and Colin Ward*, New York: Continuum International Publishing Group, 2011.

HONEYWELL (Carissa), *Utopianism and Anarchism*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2007, pp. 239-254.

HOOFD (Ingrid M.), *Ambiguities of Activism: Alter-Globalism and the Imperatives of Speed*, New York: Routledge, 2012.

HOOPER (John), *Silvio Berlusconi Begins Community Service at Hospice*, Guardian, May 9, 2014.

HOPE (Christopher), *Baroness Thatcher's Funeral Cost Us 6P Each*, Telegraph, Apr. 25, 2013.

HOPKINS (James), *Psychologically Disturbed and on the Side of the Terrorists: The Delegitimation of Critical Intellectuals in Terrorism and Political Violence*, Critical Studies on Terrorism, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 297-312.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Fallacies of the Public Goods Theory and the Production of Security*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1989, pp. 27-46.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *A Theory of Socialism and Capitalism: Economics, Politics, and Ethics*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2010.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Natural Order, the State, and the Immigration Problem*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2002, pp. 75-97.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Of Private, Common, and Public Property and the Rationale for Total Privatization*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 1.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property: Studies in Political Economy and Philosophy*, 2nd ed., Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2006.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense: Essays on the Theory and History of Security Production*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2003.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Political Economy of Monarchy and Democracy, and the Idea of a Natural Order*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1995, pp. 94-121.

HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Private Production of Defense*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998-1999, pp. 27-52.

HOPTON (Terry), *Tolstoy, History and Non-Violence*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2010, pp. 19-28.

HORN (Kevin S. Van), *Pulling the Plug on the State*, Free America, Nov. 14, 2006.

HORN (Kevin S. Van), *Rule-of-Law Anarchism: A Strategy for Destroying the State's Legitimacy*, Free America, Mar. 11, 2007.

HORNBY (Catherine), *Head of Italian Ruling Party Calls on Berlusconi to Resign*, Reuters, Aug. 7, 2013.

HOROVITZ (David), *Iran's Rouhani Had No Role in 1994 Argentina Bombing, Prosecutor Says*, Times of Israel, June 25, 2013.

HORROX (James), *A Living Revolution: Anarchism in the Kibbutz Movement*, Oakland: AK Press, 2009.

HORROX (James), *Gustav Landauer (1870-1919)*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

HORWITZ (Simonne) & NEWMAN (Dwight), *A Legal-Historical Consideration of Links between Canadian and South African Racial Policies*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2010, pp. 691-706.

HOSSEINI-CHAVOSHI (Meimanat) ET AL., *Social and Psychological Consequences of Abortion in Iran*, International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Vol. 118, Supplement 2, 2012, pp. S172-S177.

HOUSE OF COMMONS, HOME AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, *Policing of the G20 Protests: Eighth Report of Session 2008-09*, London: The Stationery Office, 2009.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, *Over-Criminalization of Conduct/Over-Federalization of Criminal Law*, Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2010.

HOWARTH (David Ross), *Is Law a Humanity: (Or Is It More Like Engineering)?*, Arts and Humanities in Higher Education, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2004, pp. 9-28.

HUANG (Cindy), *Young South African Voters Are Not Big Fans of Nelson Mandela's Political Party*, VICE News, May 6, 2014.

HUBEN (Mike), *Critiques of Libertarianism*, May 27, 2014 (<http://critiquesoflibertarianism.blogspot.ca/>).

HUBEN (Mike), *Left-Libertarian and Anarchist Criticism*, STD, Oct. 25, 2007.

HUBEN (Mike), *Liberal Criticisms*, STD, Nov. 20, 2010.

HUDIS (Peter), *From the Economic Crisis to the Transcendence of Capital*, Journal of the International Marxist-Humanist Organization, Aug. 27, 2012.

LUDLOW (Peter) (ed.), *Crypto Anarchy, Cyberstates, and Pirate Utopias*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2001.

HUEBERT (Jacob H.), *Libertarianism Today*, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2010.

HUGHES (James J.), *The Politics of Transhumanism*, Changesurfer, 2002.

HUI (Ann), *Senior Toronto Police Officer Found Guilty on G20 Charges*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 25, 2015.

HUI (Martin), *Starting the Conversation on Tuition*, *Obiter Dicta*, No. 14, Apr. 8, 2013, pp. 1, 4-5.

HULL (Isabel V.), *Absolute Destruction: Military Culture and the Practices of War in Imperial Germany*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2005.

HULSMAN (Louk Henri Christian), *Critical Criminology and the Concept of Crime*, *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1986, pp. 63-80.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Iran: Set Immediate Moratorium on Executions*, Oct. 29, 2013.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Palestine/Israel: Indiscriminate Palestinian Rocket Attacks*, July 9, 2014.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Stateless Again: Palestinian-Origin Jordanians Deprived of their Nationality*, New York, 2010.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2012*, New York, 2011.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2013*, New York, 2012.

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2014*, New York, 2013.

HUMMEL (Jeffrey Rogers), *National Goods Versus Public Goods: Defense, Disarmament, and Free Riders*, *Review of Austrian Economics*, Vol. 4, 1990, pp. 88-122.

HUNE-BROWN (Nicholas), *A Life Interrupted: Hassan Rasouli's Journey from an Earache to a High-Stakes Battle over End-of-Life Decisions*, *Toronto Life*, Nov. 27, 2012.

HUNT (Cecil J. II), *The Color of Perspective: Affirmative Action and the Constitutional Rhetoric of White Innocence*, *Michigan Journal of Race and Law*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, pp. 477-555.

HUNT (Richard), *The Natural Society: A Basis for Green Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

HUNTER (Jane), *Israeli Arms Sales to Iran*, *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*, Nov. 1986, p. 2.

HUQ (Aziz Z.) & MULLER (Christopher), *The War on Crime as Precursor to the War on Terror*, *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2008, pp. 215-229.

HUSAIN (S. Athar), *The Message of Muhammad: Prophet Muhammad and His Mission*, Lahore: Islamic Book Foundation, 1983.

HUSAK (Douglas), *Overcriminalization: The Limits of the Criminal Law*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2008.

HUSAK (Douglas), *Social Engineering as an Infringement of the Presumption of Innocence: The Case of Corporate Criminality*, *Criminal Law and Philosophy*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 353-369.

HUSSAIN (Dilly), *The Muslim Blame Game: 'Not in My Name'*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 18, 2014.

HUTCHINGS (James), *Animal Liberation and Human Liberation*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Casaubon's Ghosts: The Haunting of Legal Scholarship*, *Legal Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2001, pp. 65-98.

HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *'In the Public Interest': The Responsibilities and Rights of Government Lawyers*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 105-129.

HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility*, 2nd ed., Toronto: Irwin Law, 2006.

HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics for a Fragmented Society: Between Professional and Personal*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 5, No. 2-3, 1998, pp. 175-192.

HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *The Importance of Not Being Ernest*, *McGill Law Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 1988, pp. 234-263.

HUTCHISON (Elizabeth Quay), *From "La Mujer Esclava" to "La Mujer Limón": Anarchism and the Politics of Sexuality in Early-Twentieth-Century Chile*, *Hispanic American Historical Review*, Vol. 81, No. 3, 2001, pp. 519-553.

HYNES (H. Patricia), *Depleted Uranium Weapon Use Persists, Despite Deadly Side Effects*, *Truthout*, Aug. 25, 2011.

HYNES (H. Patricia), *War and the Tragedy of the Commons*, *Truthout*, Aug. 4, 2011.

I-AFD, *Flier about the Pope*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

IACOBBO (Karen) & IACOBBO (Michael), *Vegetarians and Vegans in America Today*, Westport: Praeger, 2006.

IASIELLO (Emilio), *Cyber Attack: A Dull Tool to Shape Foreign Policy*, 2013 5th International Conference on Cyber Conflict (CYCON 2013), June 2013, pp. 1-18.

IBRAHIM (Jibrin), *The First Lady Syndrome and the Marginalisation of Women from Power: Opportunities or Compromises for Gender Equality?*, *Feminist Africa*, No. 3, 2004.

IBRAHIM (Raymond), *Parallel Betrayals: Iranian Revolution and Arab Spring*, *FrontPage Magazine*, June 18, 2012.

ICHIYO (Muto), *The Buildup of a Nuclear Armament Capability and the Postwar Statehood of Japan: Fukushima and the Genealogy of Nuclear Bombs and Power Plants*, *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 171-212.

ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Eclético*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

IRAN HUMAN RIGHTS DOCUMENTATION CENTER, *No Safe Haven: Iran's Global Assassination*, New Haven, 2008.

IRWIN (Ryan M.), *Apartheid on Trial: South West Africa and the International Court of Justice, 1960-66*, International History Review, Vol. 32, No. 4, 2010, pp. 619-642.

ISAACS-MARTIN (Wendy), *Muslim Women and Human Rights: Does Political Transformation Equal Social Transformation?*, Politikon, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2013, pp. 113-132.

ISOCRACY NETWORK FOR LIBERTY AND COMMON WEALTH, *Mutualism: An Interview with Kevin Carson*, Nov. 3, 2009.

ISRAËL (Liora), *Défendre le Défenseur de l'Ennemi Public. L'Affaire Croissant*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 67-84.

IVANOV (Georgi), *Syria Civil War: Why Israel and Iran May Go to War, Forcing the US to Send in Troops*, PolicyMic, 2012.

IVANOVA (Maria N.), *Can 'It' Happen Again: The Limits of Money Artistry*, Critique, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2011, pp. 211-232.

IVISON (D. J.), *Futilitarianism: A Libertarian Dilemma?*, Anarchist Library, 1960.

JACKSON (Abby), *37-Year-Old who Can't Get a Lawyer Job after Applying to 150 Firms Is finally Going to Court against her Law School*, Business Insider, Mar. 7, 2016.

JACKSON (Bruce), *Buffalo Cops Wage War on Pedal Pushers*, CounterPunch, June 24, 2003.

JACKSON (Patrick), *Obituary: French Lawyer Jacques Verges*, BBC News, Aug. 16, 2013.

JACKSON (Robert), *Summation for the Prosecution, Nuremberg Trials*, July 26, 1946.

JACOBS (Eric), *Bill C-309 and Its Discontents*, Linchpin, Dec. 11, 2012.

JACOBSON (Phyllis), *Two Invented Lives*, New Politics, No. 23, 1997.

JAFARI SAMIMI (Ahmad) ET AL., *Tax Capacity and Tax Effort: Evidence from Islamic Republic of Iran*, World Applied Sciences Journal, Vol. 6, No. 10, 2009, pp. 1413-1422.

JAGER (Elliot), *Power and Politics: Anarchy Has Its Place*, Jerusalem Post, May 23, 2007.

JAMES (Robin), *Neoliberal Noise: Attali, Foucault and the Biopolitics of Uncool*, Vol. 55, No. 2, Culture, Theory and Critique, 2014, pp. 138-158.

JANABI (Ahmed), *Saddam to Face Judge over Al-Anfal*, Al Jazeera, May 14, 2006.

JANSON (Jay), *Guatemala's Rios Montt Genocide Conviction: Omen for US Presidents and Their Hired Assassins*, Global Research, May 18, 2013.

JANUS (Andrea), *Stop Sharing Suicide Attempt Info, Privacy Commissioner Tells Police*, CTV News, Apr. 14, 2014.

JARACH (Lawrence), *Anarcho-Communists, Platformism, and Dual Power: Innovation or Travesty?*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

JARACH (Lawrence), *Proudhon's Ghost: Petit-Bourgeois Anarchism, Anarchist Businesses, and the Politics of Effectiveness*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

JAREBORG (Nils), *Crime Ideologies*, Stockholm Institute for Scandinavian Law, Vol. 40, 2000, pp. 431-443.

JARRY-SHORE (Dominique), *Special Toronto G20 Court Groans under Weight of Mass Weekend Arrests*, 570 News, June 29, 2010.

JARVIS (Lee) ET AL., *The Cyberterrorism Threat: Findings from a Survey of Researchers*, Studies in Conflict and Terrorism, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2014, pp. 68-90.

JEFFRIES (Stuart), *The Troublemaker*, Guardian, June 2, 2006.

JENNINGS (Andrew), *Americans Probe Blatter Henchman over "Bogus" Visa*, Daily Mail, Apr. 4, 2002.

JENNINGS (Andrew), *Blatter Faces Revolt over FIFA's Major Money Crisis*, ESPN Soccernet, Mar. 1, 2002.

JENNINGS (Andrew), *FIFA Chief's World Cup Ticket Scam: Trade in England Seats Helped "Net £500,000 Profit"*, Daily Mail, Sep. 12, 2006.

JENNINGS (Andrew), *Havelange to Blatter: The Dynasty Based on Corruption*, ESPN Soccernet, Feb. 28, 2002.

JENNINGS (Andrew), *Investigating Corruption in Corporate Sport: The IOC and FIFA*, International Review for the Sociology of Sport, Vol. 46, No. 4, 2011, pp. 387-398.

JENNINGS (Andrew) & LIPTON (Martin), *How Blatter Is Planning to Rig the Vote which Could Oust Him*, Daily Mail, Apr. 4, 2002.

JENSEN (Richard Bach), *The International Campaign Against Anarchist Terrorism, 1880-1930s*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2009, pp. 89-109.

JERVEY (Ben), *Young Americans Sue Government to Stop Global Warming, Polluter Interests Granted Intervention To Defend*, DeSmogBlog, Apr. 4, 2012.

JEWS FOR JUSTICE FOR PALESTINIANS, *Israel's Collective Punishment of Palestinians in Operation Brother's Keeper – Lawyers Ask for British Intervention*, Global Research, June 26, 2014.

JINGLUN (Zhao), *Beyond Civil War*, China.org.cn, July 29, 2013.

JOBARD (Fabien) & NÉVANEN (Sophie), *La Couleur du Jugement: Discriminations dans les Décisions Judiciaires en Matière d'Infractions à Agents de la Force Publique (1965-2005)*, Revue Française de Sociologie, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2007, pp. 243-272.

JOHANSEN (Jørgen), *Hitler and the Challenge of Non-Violence*, Open Democracy, Mar. 26, 2010.

JOHANSEN (Jørgen), *Humor as a Political Force, or How to Open the Eyes of Ordinary People in Social Democratic Countries*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, pp. 23-29.

JOHN (Mark), *French President Francois Hollande Quiet about Private Life after Affair Scandal*, Toronto Sun, Jan. 14, 2014.

JOHN (Ollie), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Cost \$5.6 Million: Much Less Than Reported*, News Feed, Apr. 28, 2013.

JOHNSON (Charles W.), *A Place for Positive Law: A Contribution to Anarchist Legal Theory*, Molinari Institute, Dec. 29, 2007.

JOHNSON (Charles W.) & LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Libertarian Feminism: Can This Marriage Be Saved?*, 2005 (<http://charleswjohanson.name/essays/libertarian-feminism/>).

JOHNSON (Paul), *Intellectuals*, London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1988.

JOHNSON (Roya), *The 1988 Iran Massacre: Crimes against Humanity*, American Thinker, Sep. 22, 2004.

JOHNSTON (Steven), *Animals in War: Commemoration, Patriotism, Death*, Political Research Quarterly, Vol. 65, No. 2, 2012, pp. 359-371.

JOLL (James), *The Anarchists*, 2nd ed., London: Methuen, 1979.

JONES (Allison), *Toronto Cop Charged in G20 Case Says He Didn't Assault Man, but Others May Have*, Global News, May 28, 2013.

JONES (Allison), *Toronto Police Officer Sentenced to 45 Days Prison for 2010 G20 Assault of Adam Nobody*, National Post, Dec. 9, 2013.

JONES (Barbara L.), *The Lessons that Watergate Holds for Lawyers*, Minnesota Lawyer, Vol. 12, No. 15, 2008.

JONES (Bob), *Anti-Parliamentarism and Communism in Britain 1917-21*, Sheffield: Pirate Press, 1991.

JONES (Flint), *A New Syndicalism?*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology: From the Luddites to Neo-Luddism*, New York: Routledge Press, 2006.

JORICH (Avi), *Iran's Canadian Banker Exposed*, Cutting Edge News, Nov. 2, 2011.

JOSCELYN (Thomas), *State Department Highlights Iran's 'Marked Resurgence' of State-Sponsored Terrorism*, Long War Journal, May 31, 2013.

JOSEPHSON (Matthew), *The Robber Barons: The Great American Capitalist, 1861-1901*, New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1934.

JOYAL (Serge), *Diminishing the Crown*, Globe and Mail, June 10, 2010.

JUMA (Victor), *Judges' Mercedes Orders Lift Luxury Car Market 33.7PC*, Business Daily, Oct. 31, 2012.

K (Sasha), *Insurrectionary Practice and Capitalist Transformation*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Hit Where It Hurts*, Anarchist Library, 2002.

KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Technological Slavery*, intr. by David Skrbina, 2nd ed., Port Townsend: Feral House, 2010.

KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *The Truth about Primitive Life: A Critique of Anarchoprimitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

KAHRIMAN-OZTURK (Deniz) ET AL., *A Qualitative Study on Turkish Preschool Children's Environmental Attitudes through Ecocentrism and Anthropocentrism*, International Journal of Science Education, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2012, pp. 629-650.

KAIRYS (David) (ed.), *Politics of Law: A Progressive Critique*, 3rd ed., New York: Basic Books, 1998.

KÄKELÄ-PUUMALA (Tiina), *"There Is Money Everywhere": Representation, Authority, and the Money Form in Thomas Pynchon's Against the Day*, Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2013, pp. 147-160.

KAKOL (Peter Paul), *Ten Anarchist Principles*, Spunk, 1995.

KALBEITZER (Rachel), *Psychologists and Interrogations: Ethical Dilemmas in Times of War*, Ethics and Behavior, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2009, pp. 156-168.

KALSCHUR (Gregory A.), *Ignatian Spirituality and the Life of the Lawyer: Finding God in All Things – Even in the Ordinary Practice of the Law*, Journal of Catholic Legal Studies, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2007, pp. 7-28.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *A Message to Iran from Israel: I Love You*, Guardian, Mar. 26, 2012.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iran Accused of Torturing Blogger to Death*, Guardian, Nov. 8, 2012.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iran and Iraq Account for Global Rise in Capital Punishment*, Guardian, Mar. 27, 2014.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranian Blogger on Hunger Strike Close to Death, Warn Fellow Prisoners*, Guardian, June 6, 2012.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranian Human Rights Lawyer Nasrin Sotoudeh Temporarily Freed*, Guardian, Jan. 17, 2013.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Nasrin Sotoudeh and Director Jafar Panahi Share Top Human Rights Prize*, Guardian, Oct. 26, 2012.

KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed) & SMITH (David), *US Had Extensive Contact with Ayatollah Khomeini before Iran Revolution*, Guardian, June 10, 2016.

KAMIYA (Gary), *Why Bush Hasn't Been Impeached*, Salon, May 22, 2007.

KAMRAVA (Mehran), *Iranian Shiism under Debate*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2003, pp. 102-112.

KAN (Zhen) ET AL., *Containment Control for a Directed Social Network with State-Dependent Connectivity*, American Control Conference American Control Conference, 2013, pp. 1950-1955.

KANE (Laura), *Three G20 Police Brutality Lawsuits Settled out of Court: Lawyer*, Toronto Star, Apr. 17, 2013.

KAPLAN (Fred), *Why Iran Is America's Best New Partner in the Middle East*, Slate, June 16, 2014.

KAPLAN SOMMER (Allison), *Ethiopian Women and Birth Control: When a Scoop Becomes a Smear*, Haaretz, Jan. 30, 2013.

KAPNER (Brother Nathanael), *Michael Hoffman on Israel's 'Talmudic' War Crimes*, Real Jew News, 2009.

KAPOOR (Nisha), *On the North West 10(12): Postcoloniality, the British Racial State and the War on Terror*, Identities, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2013, pp. 61-76.

KAR (Mehrangiz), *Iranian Law and Women's Rights*, Muslim World Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2007, Art. 9.

KARACA (Sevinc), *Feminism in the Muslim World*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 15, 2009, pp. 35-38.

KARANIKOLOS (Marina) ET AL., *Financial Crisis, Austerity, and Health in Europe*, Lancet, Vol. 381, No. 9874, 2013, pp. 1323-1331.

KARAPETYAN (Karén), *The State of the Spectacle: A Post-Anarchist Investigation of the Problem of State Reification*, PhD thesis, Department of International Politics, University of Wales, 2004.

KARNAGE (Mo), *Sober Spaces in the Punk and Anarchist Scenes*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Bibliography of Fighting for Liberty, Justice, Equality, Peace, and Ecology*, Academia.edu, Mar. 7, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at Feminism throughout Anarcha-Feminism: Feminization of All or Disguised Sexism?*, Academia.edu, Apr. 9, 2012.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State: A Vague and Hated Institution, and An Existential Enigma*, Academia.edu, Aug. 22, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Anarchism: The Broad, Paradoxical, and Living Ideas and Movements at the Core of Our Hierarchical, Dominative, and Oppressive Societies*, Academia.edu, June 15, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Economic, Social, Legal, and Political Racism in North America throughout "Selladurai Premakumaran and Nesamalar Premakumaran v. Her Majesty the Queen"*, Academia.edu, June 20, 2014.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment: A Cruel and Discriminative Tool Showing the Darkness and the Misery of Human Mind and Heart*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, Academia.edu, Nov. 23, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Anarchist Analysis of Property: A Tool of Domination and Exploitation or Self-Governing and Prosperity?*, Academia.edu, May 28, 2012.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence through War*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Democracy: A Philosophical, Legal, and Political Trap, Eye-Catching, and Catch-All*, Academia.edu, Dec. 15, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Freedom: Blocked between Philosophical Thoughts and the Legal Sphinx, or between the Sky (Heaven) and Earth (Hell)*, Academia.edu, July 17, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Human Rights: A Relative, Progressive, Regressive, and Controversial Concept*, International Zeitschrift, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2011, pp. 74-78.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, Academia.edu, May 21, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law: Between Sensualism and Scientism*, in *Creative Law: Selected Papers from the University of British Columbia's 16th Annual Interdisciplinary Legal Studies Graduate Students' Conference*, 2012, pp. 132-244.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism: To Have or Not to Have Authority?*, Academia.edu, Feb. 25, 2012.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas: A Metamorphosis from Anarchy to Federation*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Police Brutality, Murder, and Crimes*, Academia.edu, Dec. 12, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Chaotic, Critical, and Poetic Reflections on Existence, Dedicated to Sattar Beheshti*, Academia.edu, Aug. 28, 2012.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on a Taboo Topic: Academic Crimes and Dictatorship*, Academia.edu, Dec. 4, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*, Academia.edu, June 12, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, Academia.edu, Oct. 7, 2013.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law and Anarchism: Reality and Fiction*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011.

KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Western Academic World's Support of the State Criminals: Saif al-Islam Gaddafi, Abdolkarim Soroush, Hassan Rouhani, Seyed Hossein Mousavian, and Mehdi Hashemi Rafsanjani*, Eye Art Collective, July 17, 2015.

KATOPE (Christopher) & ZOLBROD (Paul), *The Rhetoric of Revolution*, New York: Macmillan, 1970.

KATOUIZIAN (Homa), *Sadeq Hedayat: The Life and Legend of an Iranian Writer*, London: I.B. Tauris, 1991.

KATZ (Eric), *The Call of the Wild: The Struggle Against Domination and the Technological Fix of Nature*, Environmental Ethics, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1992, pp. 265-273.

KATZ (Eric), *The Liberation of Humanity and Nature*, Environmental Values, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2002, pp. 397-405.

KATZMAN (Kenneth), *Iran: U.S. Concerns and Policy Responses*, Congressional Research Service, Nov. 4, 2013.

KAUTH (Glenn), *Editorial: Sunshine List Shows Need for More Restraint*, Law Times, Apr. 18, 2011.

KAUZLARICH (David) ET AL., *A Complicity Continuum of State Crime*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2003, pp. 241-254.

KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

KEARNEY (Michael), *What Would Happen if Palestine Joined the International Criminal Court?*, Global Research, Aug. 22, 2014.

KEATEN (Jamey), *Nicolas Sarkozy Under Formal Investigation in Case of Liliane Bettencourt*, L'Oreal Heiress, Huffington Post, Mar. 21, 2013.

KEBABI (John S.) (ed.), *The Haymarket Affair and the Trial of the Chicago Anarchists 1886*, New York: Hans Peter Kraus, 1970.

KEDMEY (Dan), *Berlusconi Begins First Day of Community Service*, Time, May 9, 2014.

KEINON (Herb), *Israel Wanted Assad Gone since Start of Syria Civil War*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 17, 2013.

KELBIE (Paul), *Edinburgh University Revokes Mugabe Degree*, Guardian, July 15, 2007.

KELLEHER (Elizabeth), *Shirin Ebadi to Claim Place in the Illustrious History of the Nobel Prize*, IIP Digital.

KELLY (Annie), *Modern-Day Slavery: An Explainer*, Guardian, Apr. 3, 2013.

KELSEY (Graham), *Anarchosyndicalism, Libertarian Communism, and the State: The CNT in Zaragoza and Aragon, 1930-1937*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 1991.

KENNEDY (Brendan), *Woman Who Blew Bubbles at Officer Bubbles Sues Police*, Toronto Star, June 24, 2011.

KENNEDY (Brendan) & YANG (Jennifer), *G20 Status Report: One Year later, where Do We Stand?*, Toronto Star, June 25, 2011.

KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy: A Polemic Against the System*, Cambridge: Afar, 1983.

KENNEDY (Duncan) (ed.), *Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy: A Polemic Against the System: A Critical Edition*, New York: New York University Press, 2004.

KENNEY (Sally J.), *Breaking the Silence: Gender Mainstreaming and the Composition of the European Court of Justice*, Feminist Legal Studies, Vol. 10, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 257-270.

KERL (Eric), *Contemporary Anarchism*, International Socialist Review, No. 72, 2010.

KERR (Gregor), *Crime, Punishment and Community Policing*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 6, 2002, pp. 4-7.

KERR (Jason R.) & BROWN (Jeffrey J.), *Costs of a Medical Education: Comparison with Graduate Education in Law and Business*, Journal of the American College of Radiology, Vol. 3, No 2, 2006, pp. 122-130.

KERR (Jennifer C.), *2013 Inauguration: Event Comes With Big Price Tag*, Huffington Post, Dec. 16, 2012.

KERSTEN (Mark), *Is the ICC Racist?*, Justice in Conflict, Feb. 22, 2012.

KESSLER (Glenn), *Bachmann's Claim that Barack Obama Has a '\$1.4 Billion-A-Year Presidency' of 'Perks and Excess'*, Washington Post, Mar. 18, 2013.

KESSLER (Glenn), *Did Ahmadinejad Really Say Israel Should Be 'Wiped off the Map'?*, Washington Post, May 10, 2011.

KETZ (Sammy), *Who Are the 'Terrorists' the World Needs to Fight?*, Nation, Sep. 17, 2014.

KHALED (Ashraf), *Egypt: Furore over Honorary Doctorate for First Lady*, University World News, Sep. 19, 2010.

KHAN (Amar) (ed.), *A Complete Guide to Pedophilia in Islam*, Islam Watch, Feb. 9, 2009.

KHANDELWAL (Avani), *Football Match-Fixer Wilson Raj Perumal Rubbishes FIFA World Cup Match Prediction Allegations*, Daily News and Analysis, July 3, 2014.

KHAREM (Haroony), *A Curriculum of Repression: A Pedagogy of Racial History in the United States*, New York: Peter Lang, 2006.

KILIAN (Crawford), *Federal Election Cost Each Voter \$12.00*, Tyee, Aug. 17, 2011.

KILANI (Feras), *The Massacre at Libya's Khalat Al-Farjan Compound*, BBC News, Apr. 30, 2012.

KILEY (Brendan), *Beyond Contempt (Or, Life after Solitary Confinement for Matt Duran and Katherine Olejnik)*, Stranger, Apr. 3, 2013.

KILEY (Brendan), *Christmas in Prison*, Stranger, Dec. 19, 2012.

KILEY (Brendan), *Convicted Sex Offender and Police Informant Attempts to Infiltrate Seattle's Activist Community*, Stranger, Sep. 10, 2013.

KILEY (Brendan), *Freedom Is Frustrating What Life Is Like After Prison and Solitary Confinement for Local Activists Katherine Olejnik and Matthew Duran*, Stranger, Apr. 3, 2013.

KILEY (Brendan), *Grand Jury Refusers Katherine Olejnik and Matthew Duran Are Free*, Stranger, Feb. 27, 2013.

KILEY (Brendan), *New Evidence that the US Army Hired Spies to Go Undercover Among Local Anti-War Protesters*, Stranger, Aug. 20, 2013.

KILKENNY (Allison), *Every Judge Is an "Activist Judge"*, Huffington Post, July 13, 2009.

KIM (Brian) & MAMMO (Danny), *Iran's Political Corruption and Turmoil: How Football Brought It to Light*, Duke University, June 17, 2009.

KIM (Claire Jean), *Moral Extensionism or Racist Exploitation? The Use of Holocaust and Slavery Analogies in the Animal Liberation Movement*, New Political Science, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2011, pp. 311-333.

KING (Anna), *Islam, Women and Violence*, Feminist Theology, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2009, pp. 292-328.

KING, JR. (Neil) & TERLEP (Sharon), *GM Collapses into Government's Arms*, Wall Street Journal, June 2, 2009.

KINGTON (Tom), *Italian Anarchists Kneecap Nuclear Executive and Threaten more Shootings*, Guardian, May 11, 2012.

KINNA (Ruth), *Anarchism: A Beginner's Guide*, Oxford: Oneworld, 2009.

KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *The Continuum Companion to Anarchism*, New York: Continuum, 2012.

KINNEY (Michael), *Technology Plays Key Part in Battling Police Brutality (VIDEO)*, Washington Times Herald, July 24, 2014.

KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *Advice for Prospective Libertarian Law Students*, July 28, 2009.

KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *What Libertarianism Is*, Mises Daily, Aug. 21, 2009.

KINSEY (Richard), *Marxism and the Law: Preliminary Analyses*, British Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1978, pp. 202-227.

KINZER (Stephen), *Obama's Calm Approach on ISIS Will Keep America Safer*, Boston Globe, Sep. 14, 2014.

KIPNIS (Kenneth), *Ethics and the Professional Responsibility of Lawyers*, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 8, 1991, pp. 569-576.

KIRBY (Michael), *Terrorism: The International Response of the Courts*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2005, pp. 313-344.

KIRCHHEIMER (Otto), *Political Justice: The Use of Legal Procedure for Political Ends*, Westport: Greenwood, 1980.

KLAYMAN (Ben), *GM to Buy Stake from Treasury; Government May Lose Billions*, Reuters, Dec. 19, 2012.

KLEBNIKOV (Paul), *Millionaire Mullahs*, Forbes, July 21, 2003.

KLEIN (Ezra), *Why Do We Even Care About Syria's Chemical Weapons?*, Bloomberg, Sep. 4, 2013.

KLEIN (Joseph A.), *Obama's Naive Hopes for Peace Deal with Sham "Moderate" Rouhani*, Canada Free Press, Sep. 30, 2013.

KLENOWSKI (Paul M.), *Peacemaking Criminology: Etiology of Crime or Philosophy of Life?*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2009, pp. 207-222.

KLIFF (Sarah), *Seven Facts about America's Mental Health-Care System*, Washington Post, Dec. 17, 2012.

KLIMEK (Cheryl Carpenter), *Obama's \$11 Million Trip to the Mandela Funeral – That's an Expensive Selfie!*, BizPac Review, Dec. 21, 2013.

KNAPTON (Sarah), *The World Has Lost Half Its Animals in 40 Years, Says WWF*, Regina Leader-Post, Sep. 30, 2014.

KNELL (Yolande), *Israeli Ethiopian Birth Control to Be Examined*, BBC News, Feb. 28, 2013.

KOENIG (Brigitte Anne), *Law and Disorder at Home: Free Love, Free Speech, and the Search for An Anarchist Utopia*, Labor History, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2004, pp. 199-223.

KOERNER (Brendan), *Judge Judy*, Slate, May 27, 2005.

KOLIN (Andrew), *State Power and Democracy: Before and During the Presidency of George W. Bush*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.

KOMAICO (Richard), *Scandalous Divorce Lawyers Who Sleep With Their Clients*, Huffington Post, Jan. 31, 2012.

KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *New Libertarian Manifesto*, Huntington Beach: Koman, 1983.

KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *Reply to Rothbard*, Anthony Flood, Oct. 4, 2013.

KORNEGGER (Peggy), *Anarchism: The Feminist Connection*, Anarchist Library, 1975.

KORNSTEIN (Daniel J.), *Kill All The Lawyers?: Shakespeare's Legal Appeal*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994.

KORTEN (David C.), *When Corporations Rule the World*, 2nd ed., San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 2001.

KOURI (Jim), *Iranian Revolutionary Model Influenced the Arab Spring*, Say Analysts, Examiner, Jan. 3, 2012.

KRAUS (Krystalline), *Activist Communiqué: Mask Ban officially Becomes Law in Canada*, Rabble.ca, July 5, 2013.

KRAUS (Krystalline), *Activist Communiqué: Wearing a Mask Could soon Be an Illegal Act during 'Tumultuous Demonstrations'*, Rabble.ca, May 15, 2012.

KRAUS (Krystalline), *Senior Toronto Police Officer Found Guilty of Misconduct for Ordering Mass Arrest at the G20 Summit in 2010*, Rabble.ca, Aug. 29, 2015.

KRAUSE-JACKSON (Flavia), *Iran Cyber-Repression Brings U.S. Sanctions on Officials*, Bloomberg, Nov. 8, 2012.

KRAUT (Julia Rose), *Global Anti Anarchism: The Origins of Ideological Deportation and Suppression of Expression*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 169-193.

KREDO (Adam), *Rouhani: 'This Festering Zionist Tumor Has Opened Once Again'*, Washington Free Beacon, July 31, 2014.

KREIG (Andrew), *Rove Suspected in Swedish-US Political Prosecution of Wikileaks*, Huffington Post, Dec. 19, 2010.

KREPELKA (Jan), *A Pure Libertarian Theory of Immigration*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2010, pp. 35-52.

KREPELKA (Jan), *Who Owns Countries? On the Fallacy of Consent to Authority* (<http://jankrepelka.com/p/jan-krepelka-who-owns-countries.pdf>).

KRIEGER (Lawrence S.), *Institutional Denial about the Dark Side of Law School, and Fresh Empirical Guidance for Constructively Breaking the Silence*, Journal of Legal Education, Vol. 52, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 112-129.

KRIEKEN (Robert van), *The Poverty of Social Control: Explaining Power in the Historical Sociology of the Welfare State*, Sociological Review, Vol. 39, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-25.

KRIMERMAN (Leonard I.) & PERRY (Lewis C.) (ed.), *Patterns of Anarchy*, New York: Anchor Books, 1966.

KRISHNAN (Manisha), *If Justin Trudeau Is about to Legalize Weed, why Are we still Imprisoning People Over It?*, VICE News, Apr. 21, 2016.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Anarchism*, Encyclopedia Britannica, 1905.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Anarchist Communism: Its Basis and Principles*, Anarchist Library, 1927.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Brain Work and Manual Work*, Anarchist Library, 1890.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Ethics: Origin and Development*, Anarchist Library, 1922.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Fields, Factories and Workshops: or Industry Combined with Agriculture and Brain Work with Manual Work*, Anarchist Library, 1912.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Law and Authority*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Memoirs of A Revolutionist*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1989.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Mutual Aid: A Factor of Evolution*, Anarchist Library, 1902.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Organised Vengeance Called "Justice"*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Conquest of Bread*, Anarchist Library, 1906.

KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Wage System*, Anarchist Library, 1920.

KROUWEL (André), *Otto Kirchheimer and the Catch-All Party*, West European Politics, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2003, pp. 23-40.

KRØVEL (Roy), *Revisiting Social and Deep Ecology in the Light of Global Warming*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 22-47.

KUBICKI (Joshua), *6 Reasons the Legal Industry is Ripe for Startup Invasion*, Tech Cocktail, Mar. 25, 2013.

KUCERA (Joshua), *Weaponization of Space*, Council on Foreign Relations, June 22, 2012.

KUHN (Gabriel), *The Meaning of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

KUL (Steven) ET AL., *Americans on Israel and the Iranian Nuclear Program: A Study of American Public Opinion*, Program on International Policy Attitudes, Mar. 13, 2012.

KUMAR (Ajith Vijay), *The Right to Reject: Indian Democracy's New Hot Button*, Zee News, Sep. 27, 2013.

KUNTSMAN (Adi) & RAJI (Sanaz), *"Israelis and Iranians, Get A Room!": Love, Hate, and Transnational Politics from the "Israel Loves Iran" and "Iran Loves Israel" Facebook Campaigns*, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2012, pp. 143-154.

LACAYO (Richard), *Iran-Contra: The Cover-Up Begins to Crack*, Time, June 24, 2001.

LARCOM (Shaun), *Problematic Legal Pluralism: Causes and Some Potential 'Cures'*, Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2014, pp. 193-217.

LACOSS (Don), *Howling Wilderness and The Promised Land*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

LAFFERTY (Lorraine), *Lawyers Gone Bad: Money, Sex and Madness in Canada's Legal Profession*, by Philip Slayton, Book Review, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 197-202.

LAFFEY (Mark) & WELDES (Jutta), *US Foreign Policy, Public Memory, and Autism: Representing September 11 and May 4*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2004, pp. 355-375.

LAGESON (Sarah Esther), *Corey Shdaimah on Progressive Lawyering*, Society Pages, Oct. 12, 2011.

LAKE (Frank), *Obama Inauguration to Cost \$300 Million*, Weekly World News, Jan. 19, 2013.

LAKSHMANAN (Indira A. R.) & FOROOHAR (Kambiz), *Obama Seeing Rohani at UN Holds Promise Tinged with Risks*, Bloomberg, Sep. 20, 2013.

LALLY (Kathy) & FORERO (Juan), *Bolivian President's Plane Forced to Land in Austria in Hunt for Snowden*, Washington Post, July 3, 2013.

LAMB (Christina), *Khomeini Fatwa 'Led to Killing of 30,000 in Iran'*, Telegraph, Feb. 4, 2001.

LAMB (Nicholas), *The Pacification of Radical Dissent: An Anti-Security Analysis of the Toronto G20 Joint Intelligence Group*, Master's thesis, Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Affairs, Carleton University, 2012.

LANDAUER (Gustav), *Revolution and Other Writings: A Political Reader*, ed. and trans. by Gabriel Kuhn, pref. by Richard J. F. Day, Oakland: PM Press, 2010.

LANDES (Richard), *From Useful Idiot to Useful Infidel: Meditations on the Folly of 21st-Century "Intellectuals"*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 621-634.

LANDSMAN (Stephan), *Pro Se Litigation*, Annual Review of Law and Social Science, Vol. 8, 2012, pp. 231-253.

LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *Against the Logic of Submission*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *Critical Thinking as an Anarchist Weapon*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *Feral Revolution and Other Essays*, intr. by Alfredo Maria Bonanno, Anarchist Library, 2013.

LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *The Network of Domination*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

LANDUCCI (Roberto) & MOODY (Barry), *Italy's Top Court Hears Crucial Berlusconi Appeal*, Reuters, July 30, 2013.

LANG (John C.) & STERCK (Hans De), *The Arab Spring: A Simple Compartmental Model for the Dynamics of a Revolution*, Mathematical Social Sciences, Vol. 69, 2014, pp. 12-21.

LAPE (Susan), *Race and Citizen Identity in the Classical Athenian Democracy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

LAPORTE (Louis), *Jean Chrétien et Brian Mulroney, Deux Poids, Deux Mesures!*, Vigile, Juin 3, 2010.

LARISON (Daniel), *Why Hard-liners Recycle Myths about the Green Movement in Iran*, American Conservative, July 22, 2013.

LARKIN (George), *Dead Lawyers*, Asalark, 2007.

LANDLER (Mark), *For Obama, an Unexpected Legacy of Two Full Terms at War*, New York Times, May 14, 2016.

LATZER (Barry) & CAUTHEN (James N. G.), *Justice Delayed? Time Consumption in Capital Appeals: A Multistate Study*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New York, 2007.

LAUB (Karin), *U.S. Drawn into Proxy War in Syria after Decision to Arm Rebels*, Huffington Post, June 14, 2013.

LAUB (Zachary) & MASTERS (Jonathan), *Syria's Crisis and the Global Response*, Council on Foreign Relations, Aug. 13, 2013.

LAUBACHER (Paul), *SONDAGE. Impopularité Record pour Hollande, Lâché par Ses Soutiens de Gauche*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Sep. 5, 2014.

LAUGHLAND (Oliver) & SANER (Emine), *Tony Blair and the Protesters Who Keep Trying to Arrest Him for War Crimes*, Guardian, Nov. 16, 2012.

LAVIER (Nicola), *Virtual Firms Thrive in the Downturn*, Law Society Gazette, July 23, 2009.

LAVIGNE (Mary Jane), *Guilty Plea from One of RNC8*, Twin Cities Daily Planet, Aug. 31, 2010.

LAWDER (David), *U.S. Backs Away from Plan to Buy Bad Assets*, Reuters, Nov. 12, 2008.

LAWRENCE (M. Friedman) ET AL. (ed.), *Legal Culture and the Legal Profession*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1996.

LEA (John), *Crime and Modernity: Continuities in Left Realist Criminology*, London: Sage, 2002.

LEASE (Mary Elizabeth), *Wall Street Owns the Country, circa 1890* (<http://www.historyisaweapon.com/defcon1/marylease.html>).

LEE (J. Roger) & WOLLAN, JR. (Laurin A.), *The Libertarian Prison: Principles of Laissez-Faire Incarceration*, Prison Journal, Vol. 65, No. 2, 1985, pp. 108-121.

LEE (Matthew) & PACE (Julie), *U.S. Military Poised to Strike Syria; Assad Calls Chemical Attack Allegations 'Preposterous'*, CTV News, Aug. 27, 2013.

LEE (Ronnie), *Animal Liberation But Not Too Much?*, Arkangel, No. 2, 1990.

LEEDER (Jessica), *Immigrants Suing Immigration Canada*, Edmonton Journal, Oct. 22, 2003.

LEE-LAMPSHIRE (Wendy), *Anthropomorphism without Anthropocentrism: A Wittgensteinian Ecofeminist Alternative to Deep Ecology*, Ethics and the Environment, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1996, pp. 91-102.

LEESON (Peter T.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Is Government Inevitable? Comment on Holcombe's Analysis*, Independent Review, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2005, pp. 543-549.

LEFCOURT (Robert) (ed.), *Law against the People: Essays to Demystify Law, Order, and the Courts*, New York: Random House, 1971.

LEFÈVRE (Jennifer), *Men of Ideas, Men of Action: French Intellectuals' Impact on Post-War Politics*, Journal of European Studies, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2003, pp. 177-196.

LEFF (Enrique), *Murray Bookchin and the End of Dialectical Naturalism*, Capitalism Nature Socialism, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1998, pp. 67-93.

LEFTIE (Peter), *Judiciary Buys 40 Luxury Fuel Guzzlers for Judges*, Daily Nation, Nov. 2, 2012.

LEIDIG (Michael), *Elderly Woman Is Wanted Nazi War Criminal*, Telegraph, Oct. 22, 2007.

LEIER (Mark), *Bakunin: The Creative Passion*, New York: Thomas Dunne Books/St. Martin's Press, 2006.

LEIPER (Jean McKenzie), *Bar Codes: Women in the Legal Profession*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2006.

LEISS (William), *Domination of Nature*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1994.

LEJEUNE (Aude), *Les Professionnels du Droit comme Acteurs du Politique: Revue Critique de la Littérature Nord-Américaine et Enjeux pour une Importation en Europe Continentale*, Sociologie du Travail, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp. 2011, pp. 216-233.

LEMARIÉ (Alexandre), *Combien Coûtent les Déplacements de M. Sarkozy?*, Le Monde, Jan. 5, 2011.

LENDLER (Marc), *The Time to Kill a Snake: Gitlow v. New York and the Bad-Tendency Doctrine*, Journal of Supreme Court History, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2011, pp. 11-29.

LENDMAN (Stephen), *Israeli Theft of Palestinian Property*, Countercurrents, Jan. 7, 2010.

LENDMAN (Stephen), *Remembering Michael Mandel*, Global Research, Oct. 31, 2013.

LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *Leo Tolstoy as the Mirror of the Russian Revolution*, Proletary, No. 35, Sep. 11 (24), 1908.

LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *The State and Revolution*, 2nd ed., New York: International Publishers, 1943.

LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *The Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, Lenin's Collected Works, Vol. 30, 4th ed., Moscow: Progress, 1965.

LENNARD (Natasha), *Grand Jury Resistor Leah-Lynn Released from Prison*, Salon, Oct. 19, 2012.

LENNARD (Natasha), *New York Activist Faces Jail for His Silence*, Salon, May 15, 2013.

LENNARD (Natasha), *The Strange Success of Grand Jury Resistance*, Salon, Feb. 3, 2014.

LENNARD (Natasha), *Third Northwest Activist Jailed for Staying Silent*, Salon, Oct. 11, 2012.

LEO J. SHAPIRO & ASSOCIATES, *Public Perceptions of Lawyers: Consumer Research Findings*, ABA, 2002.

LEON (Daniel De), *Aristide Briand*, *Daily People*, Vol. 11, No. 243, 1911, pp. 1-2.

LEONARD (Aaron), Marc Lendler: "Every Idea Is an Incitement ...", *History News Network*, May 18, 2013.

LEONARD (John), *Not What Happened but Why*, *New York Times*, Mar. 23, 2003.

LEONNIG (Carol D.) & NAKAMURA (David), *Document: Major Resources Needed for Obama Africa Trip*, *Washington Post*, June 13, 2013.

LEOPOLD (David), *Max Stirner*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Aug. 23, 2011.

LERMAN (Lisa G.), *Lying to Clients*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 138, No. 3, 1990, pp. 659-760.

LEUNG (Rebecca), *Judge Judy*, *CBS News*, Dec. 10, 2003.

LEURS (Rob), *Ayatollah Khomeini: The Changing Face of Islam*, *Communication Studies*, No. 12, 2012, pp. 25-45.

LEVAL (Gaston), *L'Anarchisme et l'Abondancisme*, Paris: Libertaire, 1949.

LÉVEILLÉ (Louis), *The Anarchist Defense of Louis Léveillé*, *Anarchist Library*, 1891.

LEVERETT (Hillary Mann) ET AL., *U.S. Policies toward Israel and Iran: What Are the Linkages*, *Middle East Policy*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 1-22.

LÉVY (Albert), *Stirner and Nietzsche*, Paris: Société Nouvelle de Librairie et d'Édition, 1904.

LEVY (Carl), *Anarchism and Cosmopolitanism*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2011, pp. 265-278.

LEVY (Carl), *Social Histories of Anarchism*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 1-44.

LEVY (Sue-Ann), *Mayor Rob Ford's Punishment Hardly Fits the Crime*, *Toronto Sun*, Nov. 26, 2012.

LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound, 1885-1915*, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1975.

LEWIS (John) & STEVENSON (Bryan), *State of Equality and Justice in America: The Presumption of Guilt*, *Washington Post*, May 17, 2013.

LEWIS (Laurence), *Report: Obama and Rouhani May Be Ready for Historic Handshake Next Week*, *Daily Kos*, Sep. 20, 2013.

LEWIS (Paul) ET AL., *Iraq Crisis: US Willing to Work with Iran but Officials Play down Military Talk*, *Guardian*, June 16, 2014.

LEWIS (Renee), *Rights Group: Israel's Search for Settlers Led to Collective Punishment*, *Al Jazeera*, June 17, 2014.

LEWIS (William), "In a Field of Pain and Death": *Law/Rhetoric/History/Culture*, *Rhetoric and Public Affairs*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2002, pp. 159-177.

LEWIS (Wyndham), *The Art of Being Ruled*, New York: Haskell House, 1972.

LI (Guangyi), "New Year's Dream": *A Chinese Anarcho-Cosmopolitan Utopia*, *Utopian Studies*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2013, pp. 89-104.

LI (Nick), *Why Are So Many Politicians Lawyers?*, *Invisible College Blog*, Jan. 25, 2008.

LIBCOM, *Direct Democracy: An Anarchist Alternative to Voting*, Aug. 4, 2011.

LICHFIELD (John), *Gaddafi, Tapie and Bettencourt: Nicholas Sarkozy's Scandals Are Catching up as He Talks about Return to Political Stage*, *Independent*, June 5, 2013.

LICHFIELD (John), *Syria Crisis: Regime Has Given Russia 'Proof' of Rebel Chemical Weapon Use*, *Independent*, Sep. 17, 2013.

LICHTER-MARCK (Rose), *Leah-Lynn Plante and the Portland's Anarchist Grand Jury Resisters*, *VICE News*, Nov. 3, 2012.

LICHTMAN (Sarah A.), *Do-It-Yourself Security: Safety, Gender, and the Home Fallout Shelter in Cold War America*, *Journal of Design History*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2006, pp. 39-55.

LIDDICK (Donald Ron), *Eco-Terrorism: Radical Environmentalism and Animal Liberation Movements*, Westport: Praeger, 2006.

LIEBSCH (Burkhard), *What Does (Not) Count as Violence: On the State of Recent Debates About the Inner Connection Between Language and Violence*, *Human Studies*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 7-24.

LIEVROUW (Leah A.), *Alternative and Activist New Media*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2011.

LIGHT (Andrew) (ed.), *Social Ecology after Bookchin*, New York: Guilford Press, 1998.

LIGHT (Andrew) & SMITH (Jonathan M.) (ed.), *Space, Place, and Environmental Ethics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1997.

LIGUORI (Chiara), *Dominican Republic: Stateless People Are No-Rights People*, *WIRE*, Feb. 5, 2014.

LILLEY (Brian), *MacKay Sexist? You Be the Judge*, *Toronto Sun*, June 26, 2014.

LIMBAUGH (David), *Bankrupt: The Intellectual and Moral Bankruptcy of Today's Democratic Party*, Washington, D.C.: Regnery, 2006.

LINDEN (Julian), *No Action against Zuniga for Neymar Tackle-FIFA*, *Reuters*, July 7, 2014.

LINDTNER (Silvia), *Hackerspaces and the Internet of Things in China: How Makers Are Reinventing Industrial Production, Innovation, and the Self*, *China Information*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2014, pp. 145-167.

LING (Vicky), *Family Legal Aid: Does It Have a Future?*, *Legal Information Management*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2011, pp. 92-95.

LIPIETZ (Alain), *Credit Money: A Condition Permitting Inflationary Crisis*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1982, pp. 49-57.

LIPSCHUTZ (Ronnie D.), *Reconstructing World Politics: The Emergence of Global Civil Society*, *Millennium*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1992, pp. 389-420.

LISTER (Tim), *ISIS: The First Terror Group to Build an Islamic State?*, *CNN*, June 12, 2014.

LITTLEJOHN (Reggie), *Chen Guangcheng Risked Everything to Save Women from Forced Abortion and Sterilization in China*, Women's Rights Without Frontiers, Apr. 29, 2012.

LITVAK (Meir), *The Islamic Republic of Iran and the Holocaust: Anti-Semitism and Anti-Zionism*, Journal of Israeli History, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2006, pp. 267-284.

LITWAK (Robert S.), *Rogue States and U.S. Foreign Policy: Containment after the Cold War*, Washington, D.C.: Woodrow Wilson Center Press, 2000.

LIU (Huiying), *Feminism: An Organic or an Extremist Position? On Tien Yee As Represented by He Zhen*, Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2003, pp. 779-800.

LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Anarchism and Academia, Insurrection and Illegality: Age Old Tensions Revisited*, Theory in Action, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2012, pp. 93-102.

LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Operation Splash Back!: Queering Animal Liberation Through the Contributions of Neo-Insurrectionist Queers*, Journal for Critical Animal Studies, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2012, pp. 80-105.

LOADER (Ian) & WALKER (Neil), *Policing as a Public Good: Reconstituting the Connections between Policing and the State*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2001, pp. 9-35.

LOCASCIO (Vincent R.), *The Monetary Elite vs. Gold's Honest Discipline*, Old Bridge: Weltanschauung Financial Press, 2005.

LONDON ANARCHIST COMMUNIST ALLIANCE, *An Anarchist Manifesto*, London: Metropolitan Printing Works, 1895.

LONG (D. Stephen), "... And God Bless America", Liturgy, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2005, pp. 1-3.

LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Land-Locked: A Critique of Carson on Property Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 87-95.

LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Libertarian Anarchism Responses to Ten Objections*, Left-Libertarian, Oct. 22, 2012.

LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Self-Ownership and External Property*, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Apr. 25, 2012.

LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Why Objective Law Requires Anarchy*, Formulations, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1998.

LONG (Roderick Tracy) & MACHAN (Tibor Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism/Minarchism: Is a Government part of a Free Country?*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2008.

LOWE (Lindsay), *Happy Birthday, Judge Judy! 5 Things You Didn't Know About Judy Sheindlin*, Parade, Oct. 21, 2013.

LU (Shi Yung), *The Political Theories of P. J. Proudhon*, New York: M. R. Gray, 1922.

LUCAS (Fred), *Swedish Reporter actually Confronts Obama on His Nobel Peace Prize*, Blaze, Sep. 4, 2013.

LUCAS, JR. (George R.), *Ethics and Cyber Conflict: A Response to JME 12:1 (2013)*, Journal of Military Ethics, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 20-31.

LUCAS (Scott), *Iran Feature: The Last Blog of Sattar Beheshti, Murdered by Security Forces in Prison*, EA WorldView, Nov. 12, 2012.

LUCIANO (Michael), *Obama Is Wrong and Bill Maher and Sam Harris Are Right About Islam and ISIL*, Daily Banter, Sep. 12, 2014.

LUCIEN (Arnaud), *Staging and the Imaginary Institution of the Judge*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2010, pp. 185-206.

LUDWIG (David), *Obama's Nobel Peace Prize, 5 Years Later*, PolicyMic, Oct. 12, 2013.

LUHNOW (David), *Presumption of Guilt*, Wall Street Journal, Oct. 17, 2009.

LUM (Dyer Daniel), *The Fiction of Natural Rights*, Anarchist Library, 1890.

LUNA (Erik), *The Overcriminalization Phenomenon*, American University Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2005, pp. 703-743.

LUPICA (Mike), *If Barack Obama Manages to Defeat ISIS, He Will Be a Great President*, New York Daily News, Sep. 6, 2014.

LUPICK (Travis), *Justin Trudeau Warns Marijuana Laws Haven't Changed yet, Adds Decriminalization Not Going to Happen*, Straight, Mar. 1, 2016.

LUPO (Salvatore), *History of the Mafia*, trans. by Antony Shugaar, New York: Columbia University Press, 2009.

LUSSENHOP (Jessica), *Erik Oseland Leaves RNC 8*, Citypages, Sep. 1, 2010.

LUSTGARTEN (Abraham), *Feds Let BP off Probation despite Pending Safety Violations*, ProPublica, Mar. 12, 2012.

LUTHER (Daisy), *Crimes Against Humanity: How Monsanto Is Turning a Hawaiian Island into a Petri Dish Complete with Human Lab Rats*, Organic Prepper, June 13, 2013.

LYSENKO (Volodymyr V.) & DESOUZA (Kevin C.), *Cyberprotest in Contemporary Russia: The Cases of Ingushetiya.ru and Bakhmina.ru*, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 77, No. 7, 2010, pp. 1179-1193.

MACASKILL (Ewen), *Edward Snowden: How the Spy Story of the Age Leaked out*, Guardian, June 12, 2013.

MACASKILL (Ewen) & MCGREAL (Chris), *Israel Should Be Wiped off Map, Says Iran's President*, Guardian, Oct. 27, 2005.

MACAULAY (Hamish), *Free the Immigrants*, Calgary's News and Entertainment Weekly, Nov. 6, 2003.

MACCHARLES (Tonda), *Supreme Court of Canada to Rule on Prostitution Laws*, Toronto Star, Dec. 19, 2013.

MACCORMICK (Neil) & BANKOWSKI (Zenon) (ed.), *Enlightenment, Rights and Revolution: Essays in Legal and Social Philosophy*, Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press, 1989.

MACEDA (Jim), *Analysis: Israel Airstrike May Foreshadow Iran Attack*, NBC News, Feb. 4, 2013.

MACFARLANE (Julie), *The National Self-Represented Litigants Project: Identifying and Meeting the Needs of Self-Represented Litigants, Final Report*, 2013.

MACFARLANE (Kevin), *Value and Exploitation in Marxism: A Critique*, Libertarian Alliance, 1988.

MACGILLIVRAY (Royce), *Thomas Hobbes's History of the English Civil War A Study of Behemoth*, *Journal of the History of Ideas*, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1970, pp. 179-198.

MACHAJSKI (Jan Waclaw), *State Socialism*, Anarchist Library, 1900.

MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchism and Minarchism: A Rapprochement*, *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2002, Art. 6.

MACIEL (Citaly), *Remembering Professor Michael Mandel*, *Obiter Dicta*, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 4.

MACKENZIE (Drew), *Cost of Obama's Trip to Mandela Funeral: \$11.5 Million*, *Newsmax*, Dec. 19, 2013.

MACKENZIE (Drew), *Obama's Family Trips on Air Force One Cost Taxpayers \$15.9M*, *Newsmax*, Mar. 27, 2014.

MACKEY (Robert), *Reports Point to an Overlooked Massacre in Syria*, *New York Times*, Sep. 13, 2013.

MACKEY (Robert), *Israeli Minister Agrees Ahmadinejad Never Said Israel 'Must Be Wiped Off the Map'*, *New York Times*, Apr. 17, 2012.

MACKEY (Thomas C.), *"They Are Positively Dangerous Men": The Lost Court Documents of Benjamin Gitlow and James Larkin before the New York City Magistrates' Court, 1919*, *New York University Law Review*, Vol. 69, No. 2, 1994, pp. 421-436.

MACLELLAN (Stephanie), *Michael Mandel: Law Professor, Anti-War Activist, Tenor, 'Extraordinary Mentor'*, *Toronto Star*, Oct. 29, 2013.

MACLEOD (Calum), *The Snowden Wasteland*, *Computer Fraud and Security*, Vol. 2014, No. 7, 2014, pp. 11-13.

MACSAORSA (Iain), *Ecology or "Anarcho"-Capitalism?*, Anarchist Library, 1995.

MACSAORSA (Iain), *Is "Anarcho" Capitalism against the State?*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

MACSAORSA (Iain), *The Myths of "Libertarian" Economics*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

MAC SHEOIN (Tomás), *Policing and Repression of Anti-Globalization Protests and Movements: A Bibliography of English-Language Material*, *Interface*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2010, pp. 288-367.

MACSIMOIN (Alan), *Anarchism, Elections and All That*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 12, 2007, pp. 9-11.

MADARSHAH (Mehri), *Shirin Ebadi, 2003 Nobel Laureate, Speaks at UNESCO Headquarters on "Religion and Democracy"*, *UNDiplomatic Times*, Feb. 2, 2004.

MADEIRO (Gustavo), *Sport and Power: Globalization and Merchandizing in the Soccer World*, *Society and Business Review*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2007, pp. 287-298.

MAGALA (Slawomir), *Cold Wars and Hot Issues: Management of Responsibilities*, *Human Resource Development International*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, pp. 493-505.

MAGUIRE (Dylan), *Syrian Civil War: Arming Secular Rebels Only Way to Weaken Al-Qaeda Ones*, *PolicyMic*, 2013.

MAHESHRI (Vikram) & WINSTON (Clifford), *An Exploratory Study of the Pricing of Legal Services*, *International Review of Law and Economics*, Vol. 38, 2014, pp. 169-173.

MAHON (Elizabeth Kerri), *Scandalous Women: Uncivil Wars: Lillian Hellman vs. Mary McCarthy and the Question of Julia*, *Scandalous Woman*, Aug. 12, 2008.

MAHONEY (Dan), *Ownership, Scarcity, and Economic Decision Making*, *Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2002, pp. 39-56.

MAHONEY (Jill), *Syria War of Words: What Obama and Assad Say, and What They really Mean*, *Globe and Mail*, Sep. 9, 2013.

MAHOOD (Molly Maureem), *Poetry and Humanism*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950.

MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, 2 Vol., Paris: François Maspero, 1975.

MAKHMALBAF (Mohsen), *Secret Life and Wealth of Khamenei*, Dec. 28, 2009.

MAKIN (Kirk), *Legal Scholar Michael Mandel Stoked the Fires of Debate*, *Globe and Mail*, Nov. 22, 2013.

MALALA (Justice), *Mandela's Death Shines Uncomfortable Light on South Africa's ANC*, *CNN*, Dec. 17, 2013.

MALATESTA (Errico), *Democracy and Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1924.

MALATESTA (Errico), *Money and Banks*, *Raven*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1995, pp. 211-212.

MALATESTA (Errico), *Note to the Article "Individualism and Anarchism" by Adamas*, Anarchist Library, 1924.

MALATESTA (Errico), *On Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1925-1926.

MALATESTA (Errico), *The Anarchist Revolution: Polemical Articles 1924-1931*, ed. and intr. by Vernon Richards, London: Freedom Press, 1995.

MALATESTA (Maria), *Défenses Militantes. Avocats et Violence Politique dans l'Italie des Années 1970 et 1980*, *Le Mouvement Social*, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 85-103.

MALIK (Sana), *Unmasking Bill C-309: Newly Passed Legislation Threatens Freedom of Expression*, *Rabble.ca*, June 27, 2013.

MALKIEL (David), *The Tenuous Thread: A Venetian Lawyer's Apology for Jewish Self-Government in the Seventeenth Century*, *Association for Jewish Studies Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1987, pp. 223-250.

MALKIN (Elisabeth), *Trial on Guatemalan Civil War Carnage Leaves Out U.S. Role*, *New York Times*, May 16, 2013.

MALLOCH (Margaret) & MUNRO (Bill) (ed.), *Crime, Critique and Utopia*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

MALLOCK (William Hurrell), *The Limits of Pure Democracy*, London: Chapman and Hall, 1918.

MAMDANI (Mahmood), *Amnesty or Impunity? A Preliminary Critique of the Report of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of South Africa (TRC)*, *Diacritics*, Vol. 32, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 33-59.

MANIKKALINGAM (Ram), *Temporary Columns: Obama, Unger and I*, 3 Quarks Daily, Feb. 25, 2008.

MANSFIELD (Michael), *Why Bush, Blair Should Be Charged With War Crimes Over Iraq Invasion*, CNN, Mar. 19, 2013.

MARCANO (Ender), *Venezuela-US Trade Balance down 31.42% in 2012*, trans. by Jhean Cabrera, *El Universal*, Jan. 25, 2013.

MARCHAK (M. Patricia), *Ideological Perspectives on Canada*, Montreal: McGill Queen's University Press, 2011.

MARCHESE (Adriano), *As the US Decline Continues, Canada Looks to Step in*, *Oil Price*, June 21, 2012.

MARCUCCI (Pamela N.) & JOHNSTONE (D. Bruce), *Tuition Fee Policies in a Comparative Perspective: Theoretical and Political Rationales*, *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2007, pp. 25-40.

MARK, *Breaking the Barricades: Quebec's Carnival of Resistance Against Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

MARKANTONATOU (Maria), *Neoliberal State Regulation and the Bailout of Global Capitalism in the Recent Economic Crisis*, *Critical Sociology*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 145-153.

MARKEY (Greg), *Canadians Will Pay for this Election – They Always Do*, *iPolitics*, Mar. 23, 2011.

MARKS (Benjamin), *Archipelagos of Educational Chaos*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2005, pp. 87-102.

MARLINSPIKE (Moxie) & HART (Windy), *An Anarchist Critique of Democracy*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

MARONESE (Nicholas), *York Policy on Ethical Investment Counters Student Group's*, *Excalibur*, Mar. 30, 2011.

MARRANCI (Gabriele), *The Anthropology of Islam*, Oxford: Berg, 2008.

MARSHALL (Andy), *Foreign Professionals Wasted in Canada*, *Business Edge*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2004.

MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible: A History of Anarchism*, London: Harper Perennial, 2008.

MARSZALEK (Bernard), *The Luddites' 200th Birthday: Happy Birthday, King Ludd!*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

MARTEL (James R.), *Divine Violence: Walter Benjamin and the Eschatology of Sovereignty*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012.

MARTEL (James R.), *Textual Conspiracies: Walter Benjamin, Idolatry, and Political Theory*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2013.

MARTIN (Brian), *Democracy without Elections*, *Social Anarchism*, No. 21, 1995-1996, pp. 18-51.

MARTIN (Brian), *Governments Are Terrorists' Best Teachers*, *Illawarra Mercury*, Feb. 5, 2003, p. 21.

MARTIN (Brian), *Nuclear Winter: Science and Politics*, *Science and Public Policy*, Vol. 15, No. 5, 1988, pp. 321-334.

MARTIN (Brian), *Protest in a Liberal Democracy*, *Philosophy and Social Action*, Vol. 20, No. 1-2, 1994, pp. 13-24.

MARTIN (Brian), *Science, War and Peace (I): Building a Lasting Activism*, *Peace Studies*, No. 7, 1984, pp. 9-12.

MARTIN (Brian), *Suppression of Dissent: What It Is and What to Do About It*, *Social Medicine*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2012, pp. 246-248.

MARTÍNEZ LÓPEZ (Miguel A.), *The Squatters' Movement: Urban Counter-Culture and Alter-Globalization Dynamics*, *South European Society and Politics*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2007, pp. 379-398.

MARTOSKO (David), *The World's Most Expensive Selfie: Did State Department Blow \$11.5 Million on Obama's Trip to Mandela Funeral (NOT including the Cost of Air Force One)?*, *Daily Mail*, Dec. 19, 2013.

MARTOSKO (David) & BLAKE (Matthew), *Obama Says He Has a 'Developing Strategy' to 'Ultimately Destroy' ISIS Just Like 'We've Gone after Al Qaeda'*, *Daily Mail*, Sep. 5, 2014.

MARX (Karl), *On Proudhon*, Letter Johann Baptist von Schweitzer, *Der Social-Demokrat*, No. 16, Feb. 1, 1865.

MASCARENHAS (Hyacinth), *Syria 2013: Did Obama Forget He Won A Nobel Peace Prize?*, *PolicyMic*, Sep. 4, 2013.

MASCARENHAS (Michael), *Where the Waters Divide: Neoliberalism, White Privilege, and Environmental Racism in Canada*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2012.

MASLEN (Geoff), *GLOBAL: Mugabe – Man of Many Degrees*, *University World News*, Oct. 31, 2010.

MASTROIANNI (George R.), *The Person-Situation Debate: Implications for Military Leadership and Civilian-Military Relations*, *Journal of Military Ethics*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2011, pp. 2-16.

MATACONIS (Doug), *The Presidency Costs Taxpayers A Lot, but That's Not Obama's Fault*, *Outside the Beltway*, Sep. 29, 2012.

MATIN-ASGARI (Afshin), *Twentieth Century Iran's Political Prisoners*, *Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 42, No. 5, 2006, pp. 689-707.

MATTHEWS (Chris), *Unemployed Lawyer Loses Suit against Former School*, *Fortune*, Mar. 25, 2016.

MATTHEWS (Merrill), *Contributor Comparing Obama's Policies with French Socialist Hollande*, *Forbes*, May 10, 2012.

MATTHEWS (Owen), *Chernobyl's Lessons for Fukushima on Earthquake's First Anniversary*, *Daily Beast*, Mar. 11, 2012.

MAUSER (Gary A.), *Bill C-15 and Animal Rights*, Simon Fraser University.

MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *My Social Credo*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Programme of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1927.

MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Syndicalists in the Russian Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich) (ed.), *The Political Philosophy of Bakunin: Scientific Anarchism*, Glencoe: Free Press, 1953.

MAXIMUS (Cyrus), *Khamenei and Son Stashed Billions in Foreign Accounts*, Iran Channel, May 16, 2011.

MAY (Clifford D.), *Academic PC at Brandeis Silences Muslim Dissident Hirsi Ali*, Washington Times, Apr. 15, 2014.

MAYS (G. Larry) & TAGGART (William A.), *Court Delay: Policy Implications for Court Managers*, Criminal Justice Policy Review, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1986, pp. 198-210.

MAZA (Cristina), *Wikileaks Founder Julian Assange Seeks Asylum in Ecuador, Fearing U.S. Prosecution*, PolicyMic, 2012.

MAZIOTIS (Nikos), *Statement to the Athens Criminal Court*, Anarchist Library, 1999.

MCAULIFF (Michael), *NDAA Case: Indefinite Detention Injunction Does Irreparable Harm*, Obama Admin. Lawyers Argue, Huffington Post, Sep. 14, 2012.

MCBRIDE (Kelly) & KINGSTON (Lindsey N.), *Legal Invisibility and the Revolution: Statelessness in Egypt*, Human Rights Review, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2014, pp. 159-175.

MCBRIDE (William), *Fetishism of Illegality and the Mystifications of Authority and Legitimacy*, Georgia Law Review, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, pp. 863-890.

MCCALMONT (Lucy), *Kirsten Powers to President Obama: Give Back Nobel Prize*, Politico, Sep. 5, 2013.

MCCLAM (Erin), *Ripple Effect: How the US Move on Syria Could Reverberate around the World*, World News, Aug. 27, 2013.

MCCREADY (William D.), *Papal Plenitudo Potestatis and the Source of Temporal Authority in Late Medieval Papal Hierocratic Theory*, Speculum, Vol. 48, No. 4, 1973, pp. 654-674.

MCDANIEL (Erin A.), *Robot Wars: Legal and Ethical Dilemmas of Using Unmanned Robotic Systems in 21st Century Warfare and Beyond*, Master's thesis, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, 2008.

MCELROY (Damien), *Iran's Hassan Rouhani: Israel an 'Old Wound that Should Be Removed'*, Telegraph, Aug. 2, 2013.

MCELROY (Damien), *Mahmoud Ahmadinejad: Jews in Iran*, Telegraph, Oct. 3, 2009.

MCELROY (Wendy), *Benjamin Tucker, Liberty, and Individualist Anarchism*, Independent Review, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1998, 1997, pp. 421-434.

MCELROY (Wendy), *Individualist Anarchism vs. "Libertarianism" and Anarchocommunism*, New Libertarian, No. 12, 1984.

MCELROY (Wendy), *Rights and Duties under Anarchy by Benjamin Tucker*, Aug. 11, 2011 (<http://www.wendymcelroy.com/print.php?news.4144>).

MCELROY (Wendy), *The Culture of Individualist Anarchism in Late Nineteenth-Century America*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 291-304.

MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty: An Overview of Individualist Anarchism, 1881-1908*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2003.

MCELROY (Wendy), *The Liberty Debate on Participation in Politics*, Independent Review, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2000, pp. 377-391.

MCELROY (Wendy), *The Schism between Individualist and Communist Anarchism in the Nineteenth Century*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2000, pp. 97-123.

MCFADDEN (Robert D.), *Jacques Vergès, Defender of Terrorists and War Criminals, Is Dead at 88*, New York Times, Aug. 16, 2013.

MCFARLANE (Audrey G.), *Operatively White: Exploring the Significance of Race and Class through the Paradox of Black Middle-Classness*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 72, No. 4, 2009, pp. 163-196.

MCFARLANE (Kevin), *Libertarianism: The Perversion of Liberty: A Restricted Critique*, Libertarian Alliance, Jan. 1, 1994.

MCGRATH (John Michael), *G20 Aftermath: Court Allows Class Action Lawsuit to Proceed*, Toronto Life, Aug. 31, 2011.

MCGRATH (John Michael), *Officer Bubbles Takes on the Internet; Internet Laughs Ruefully*, Toronto Life, Oct. 21, 2010.

MCGRATH (Gary), *Cyber War Is Inevitable (Unless We Build Security in)*, Journal of Strategic Studies, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 109-119.

MCGREGOR (Glen), *Shipping Harper Limos to India Cost \$1 Million*, Ottawa Citizen, Jan. 29, 2013.

MCINTYRE (Douglas A.), *Government Signals It Will Help GM (GM) and Chrysler: Now the Hard Part*, 24/7 Wall Street, Mar. 25, 2009.

MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

MCKAY (Iain), *Mutualism, Yes and No*, Anarchist Writers, Jan. 4, 2010.

MCKAY (Iain), *The Irresistible Correctness of Anarchism*, Anarchist Writers, July 24, 2008.

MCKEOWN (Anthony), *The Structural Production of State Terrorism: Capitalism, Imperialism and International Class Dynamics*, Critical Studies on Terrorism, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2011, pp. 75-93.

MCKINLEY (Blaine), *Free Love and Domesticity: Lizzie M. Holmes, Hagar Lyndon (1893), and the Anarchist-Feminist Imagination*, Journal of American Culture, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1990, pp. 55-62.

MCKINNEY (Coy), *An Anarchist Theory of Criminal Justice*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

MCKINZIE (Ashleigh E.) & BRADLEY (Mindy S.), *Deviance and Social Control in an Alternative Community: The Unique Case of the Rainbow Family of Living Light*, Deviant Behavior, Vol. 34, No. 8, 2013, pp. 599-617.

MCLAREN (Duncan) & WILLMORE (Ian), *The Environmental Damage of War in Iraq*, Guardian, Jan. 19, 2003.

MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority: A Philosophical Introduction to Classical Anarchism*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007.

MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Mikhail Bakunin: The Philosophical Basis of His Anarchism*, New York: Algora, 2002.

MCLEAN (Jesse), 'Officer Bubbles' Sues YouTube and Users over Cartoons, *Toronto Star*, Oct. 16, 2010.

MCLEAY (Fiona), *The Legal Profession's Beautiful Myth: Surveying the Justifications for the Lawyer's Obligation to Perform Pro Bono Work*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2008, pp. 249-271.

MCNEILLY (Gerry), *Policing the Right to Protest: G20 Systemic Review Report*, Toronto: Office of the Independent Police Review Director, 2012.

MCPARLAND (Kelly), *Kelly McParland: Iran Dangles unlikely Opportunity to Offset Obama's Syrian Shambles*, *National Post*, Sep. 20, 2013.

MCQUILLAN (Karin), *Obama Has Made It Impossible for Israel to Strike Iran*, *American Thinker*, Jan. 28, 2014.

MCQUINN (Jason), *Against Organizationalism: Anarchism as both Theory and Critique of Organization*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

MCQUINN (Jason), *The Incredible Lameness of Left-Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

MCSMITH (Andy), *Inspectors Uncover Gaddafi's Secret Stash of Chemical Weapons*, *Independent*, Jan. 21, 2012.

M.D.P., *Money and Logos*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

MEADE (Derek), *Gulf War Syndrome and the Army's Depleted Uranium Training Videos*, *Motherboard*, 2011.

MEARSHEIMER (John J.) & WALT (Stephen M.), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, *Middle East Policy*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2006, pp. 29-87.

MEARSHEIMER (John J.) & WALT (Stephen M.), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2007.

MEDZHIBOVSKAYA (Inessa), *Tolstoi's Response to Terror and Revolutionary Violence*, *Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2008, pp. 505-531.

MEHLER PAPERNY (Anna), *Election Costs Have Skyrocketed in Past Decade*, *Globe and Mail*, Apr. 1, 2011.

MEHLER PAPERNY (Anna), *G20 Class-Action Suit Claims \$45-Million in Damages*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 6, 2010.

MEHRLING (Perry), *Modern Money: Fiat or Credit?*, *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2000, pp. 397-406.

MELAMED (Karmel), *A Jew Murdered in Iran*, *Jewish Journal*, Dec. 11, 2012.

MELCHERS (Ronald-Francis), *Inequality before the Law: The Canadian Experience of "Racial Profiling"*, Ottawa: RCMP, 2006.

MELIÁ (Manuel Cancio), *Terrorism and Criminal Law: The Dream of Prevention, the Nightmare of the Rule of Law*, *New Criminal Law Review*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 108-122.

MELMAN (Yossi), *Iranian Jews Blast Offer of Cash for Immigrating to Israel*, *Haaretz*, July 14, 2007.

MELTZER (Albert), *Anarchism: Arguments for and Against*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2000.

MEMARIAN (Omid), *Why Should Hassan (Rouhani) Meet with Hussein (Obama)?*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 28, 2013.

MENEAUD (Marc), *Taxpayers, £20M Bill So Judges Can Live in Luxury*, *Sunday Post*, Mar. 23, 2014.

MENKEL-MEADOW (Carrie), *Lying to Clients for Economic Gain or Paternalistic Judgment: A Proposal for a Golden Rule of Candor*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 138, No. 3, 1990, pp. 761-783.

MENNIE (James), *Trudeau's Liberals: Just Not Ready for Legal Marijuana?*, *Montreal Gazette*, Feb. 26, 2016.

MENNIE (James), *Trudeau's Marijuana Musings Leave Quebec out of Joint*, *Montreal Gazette*, Feb. 12, 2016.

MEO (Nick), *Libya Protests: 140 'Massacred' as Gaddafi Sends in Snipers to Crush Dissent*, *Telegraph*, Feb. 20, 2011.

MERCER (Jonathan), *Anarchy and Identity*, *International Organization*, Vol. 49, No. 2, 1995, pp. 229-252.

MERLAN (Anna), *After Eight Months, Brooklyn Anarchist Jerry Koch Set Free after Refusing to Testify Before Grand Jury*, *Village Voice*, Jan. 29, 2014.

MERRIAM, JR. (Charles Edward), *History of the Theory of Sovereignty since Rousseau*, Kitchener: Batoche Books, 2001.

MERVAUD (Michel), *Herzen et Proudhon, Cahiers du Monde Russe et Soviétique*, Vol. 12, No. 1-2, 1971, pp. 110-188.

MESSER-KRUSE (Timothy), *The Trial of the Haymarket Anarchists: Terrorism and Justice in the Gilded Age*, New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2011.

MESSIA (Hada), *The Berlusconi Sex Scandal Explained*, *CNN*, Aug. 25, 2011.

MESTROVIC (Stjepan G.) & LORENZO (Ronald), *Durkheim's Concept of Anomie and the Abuse at Abu Ghraib*, *Journal of Classical Sociology*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2008, pp. 179-207.

MESTROVIC (Stjepan G.) & ROMERO (Rachel), *Poisoned Social Climate, Collective Responsibility, and the Abuse at Abu Ghraib – or, the Establishment of "Rule that Is Lack of Rule"*, *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2012, pp. 62-69.

METCALF (Hope) & SANNEH (Sia), *Overcriminalization and Excessive Punishment: Uncoupling Pipelines to Prison*, Report from the December 2011 Workshop at Yale Law School, New Haven: Yale Law School, 2012.

METZLER (Rebekah), *Thatcher and Reagan: 'Political Soul Mates' Who Didn't Always Agree*, *US News*, Apr. 8, 2013.

MEYER (David J.), *The Time of God's Final Call*, *Last Trumpet Newsletter*, Vol. 23, No. 7, 2004.

MEYER (Matt), *Rebuilding Revolutionary Nonviolence in an Anti-Imperialist Era*, *Peace Review*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 69-77.

MEZYAEV (Alexander), *Jacques Vergès: Live History of XX Century. In Memoriam*, Strategic Culture Foundation, Aug. 19, 2013.

MICHAELS (Martin), *Seattle Activists Fear another Informant in Their Midst*, Popular Resistance, Sep. 13, 2013.

MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.), *Between Citizens and the Socialist State: The Negotiation of Legal Practice in Socialist Cuba*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 29, No. 1, 1995, pp. 65-101.

MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.) & KRAMER (Ronald C.) (ed.), *State-Corporate Crime: Wrongdoing at the Intersection of Business and Government*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2006.

MICKUTĖ (Jolanta), *Making of the Zionist Woman: Zionist Discourse on the Jewish Woman's Body and Selfhood in Interwar Poland*, East European Politics and Societies and Cultures, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2014, pp. 137-162.

MIÉVILLE (China), *Between Equal Rights: A Marxist Theory of International Law*, Leiden: Brill, 2005.

MIGUE (Jean-Luc), *Federalism and Individual Sovereignty: A Comment on Buchanan*, Cato Journal, Vol. 15, No. 2-3, 1995, pp. 269-275.

MILAJ (Jonida) & BONNICI (Jeanne Pia Mifsud), *Unwitting Subjects of Surveillance and the Presumption of Innocence*, Computer Law and Security Review, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2014, pp. 419-428.

MILANI (Abbas), *The Shah*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.

MILENKOVSKI (Katerina) & DIEMER (Mary S.), *Is Justice Denied a Shortcut to Anarchy?*, Spring, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2009, pp. 6-8.

MILGRAM (Stanley), *Behavioral Study of Obedience*, Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, Vol. 67, No. 4, 1963, pp. 371-378.

MILGRAM (Stanley), *The Perils of Obedience*, Harper's Magazine, Vol. 247, No. 1483, 1973, pp. 62-66, 75-77.

MILLEN (Raymond A.), *The Hobbesian Notion of Self-Preservation Concerning Human Behavior during an Insurgency*, Parameters, 2006-2007, pp. 4-13.

MILLER (Abby), *Columbus Day: Celebrating the 'Greatest Wave of Genocide in History'*, Addicting Info, Oct. 13, 2013.

MILLER (Geoffrey P.), *Government Lawyers' Ethics in a System of Checks and Balances*, University of Chicago Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 4, 1987, pp. 1293-1299.

MILLER (Laurel E.) ET AL., *Democratization in the Arab World: Prospects and Lessons from Around the Globe*, Santa Monica: National Defense Research Institute, 2012.

MILLER (Michael E.), *Critical Mass Tensions With Cops Grow After Arrest, Hit-and-Run*, Miami New Times, July 11, 2013.

MILLHISER (Ian), *Chief Justice Roberts Calls for Rare Formal Review of Allegedly Racist Judge*, Think Progress, June 13, 2013.

MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, Oakland: AK Press, 2010.

MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Reclaim the Cities: From Protest to Popular Power*, Anarchist Library, 2000.

MINATI (Gianfranco), *Buying Consensus in "Free" Markets: The End of Democracy?*, World Futures, Vol. 60, No. 1-2, 2004, pp. 29-37.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, *Statutes of the Kibbutzim: Proposed Model*, Jerusalem: Ministry of Labour, Department of Co-operation, 1966.

MINNEROP (Petra), *Rogue States: State Sponsors of Terrorism?*, German Law Journal, Vol. 3, 2002.

MISES (Ludwig von), *Socialism: An Economic and Sociological Analysis*, trans. by J. Kahane, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1951.

MISES (Ludwig von) & HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Mises on Secession*, Mises Daily, Oct. 19, 2012.

MISTRY (Divyesh), *Why Students Need to Hit the Ballot Box*, Excalibur, Vol. 49, No. 9, 2014, p. 5.

MITCHELL (Don) & STAEHEL (Lynn A.), *Permitting Protest: Parsing the Fine Geography of Dissent in America*, International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2005, pp. 796-813.

MOGHADAM (Valentine M.), *Islamic Feminism and Its Discontents: Toward a Resolution of the Debate*, Signs, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2002, pp. 1135-1171.

MOHAJERINEJAD (Reza), *The Killing of Sattar Beheshti*, Iranian, Nov. 7, 2012.

MOHAMMAD (Fida) & CONWAY (Paul), *Political Culture, Hegemony, and Inequality before the Law: Law Enforcement in Pakistan*, Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2005, pp. 631-641.

MOJAB (Shahrazad), *Theorizing the Politics of 'Islamic Feminism'*, Feminist Review, No. 69, 2001, pp. 124-146.

MOLAVI (Shourideh Cherie), *Israel: A Democracy for Jews Only – Abuses Against its Palestinian-Arab Citizens*, Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East, May 2008.

MOLINARI (Gustave de), *The Production of Security*, trans. by J. Huston McCulloch, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2009.

MONAGHAN (Jeffrey), *Terror Carceralism: Surveillance, Security Governance and De/Civilization*, Punishment and Society, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2013, pp. 3-22.

MONAGHAN (Jeffrey) & WALBY (Kevin), *They Attacked the City: Security Intelligence, the Sociology of Protest Policing and the Anarchist Threat at the 2010 Toronto G20 Summit*, Current Sociology, Vol. 60, No. 5, 2010, pp. 653-671.

MONAGHAN (Rachel), *Terrorism in the Name of Animal Rights*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1999, pp. 159-169.

MONBIOT (George), *We're One Crucial Step Closer to Seeing Tony Blair at The Hague*, Guardian, Sep. 3, 2012.

MONIER (Frédéric), *Défendre des «Terroristes» dans la France de 1935. L'Avocat des «Oustachis Croates»*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 105-120.

MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Child Poverty Rates in Canada, Ontario Remain High*, Toronto Star, Nov. 25, 2013.

MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Ontario Budget 2013: Minimum Wage Stays at \$10.25 an Hour*, Toronto Star, May 2, 2013.

MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Ontario Welfare Reforms Roll out this Month*, Toronto Star, Sep. 2, 2013.

MONTANER (Carlos Alberto), *The Time of the Great Gendarme, Watching America*, Sep. 8, 2013.

MONTGOMERY (Ken), *Pedagogy and Privilege: The Challenges and Possibilities of Teaching Critically about Racism*, Critical Education, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-22.

MOORE (Malcolm), *Chinese Lawyers Targeted as Xi Jinping Tightens Control*, Telegraph, Aug. 20, 2013.

MOORE (Michael), *Capitalism: A Love Story*, YouTube, Jan. 27, 2013.

MOORE (Peter), *People as Lawyers. Lay Advocacy and Self-Help in the Legal System*, British Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1978, pp. 121-132.

MOORE (Ryan), *Can't Afford Law School? No Problem*, Excalibur, Vol. 49, No. 6, 2014, p. 1.

MOORE (Ryan), *York Invests in Suppliers to Israeli Defense Forces*, Excalibur, Vol. 49, No. 7, 2014, pp. 1-2.

MOORE (Wendy Leo), *Reproducing Racism: White Space, Elite Law Schools, and Racial Inequality*, foreword by Joe R. Feagin, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2008.

MOOSA (Hussain Fiyaz), *Police Release Man in Judge's Sex Scandal*, Haveeru, Aug. 22, 2013.

MORADI (Karim), *Iran's Massacre in 1988 and the Nuclear Deal*, Hill, Sep. 18, 2015.

MORAN (Jessica), *The Firebrand and the Forging of a New Anarchism: Anarchist Communism and Free Love*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

MORE (Jaime), *One Student's Tribute to the Late Professor Michael Mandel*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 3.

MOREL (Lucas E.), *In Pursuit of Freedom*, Teaching History, June 30, 2011.

MORELLI (Massimo) & ROHNER (Dominic), *Natural Resource Distribution and Multiple Forms of Civil War*, European University Institute, 2010.

MORET (Leuren), *Depleted Uranium*, YouTube, Feb. 11, 2011.

MORGAN (Kevin), *Herald of the Future? Emma Goldman, Friedrich Nietzsche and the Anarchist as Superman*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009, pp. 55-80.

MORGAN (Matthew), *The Containment of Occupy: Militarized Police Forces and Social Control in America*, Global Discourse, Vol. 4, No. 2-3, 2014, pp. 267-284.

MORGAN (Michael L.) (ed.), *Classics of Moral and Political Theory*, 5th ed., Indianapolis: Hackett, 2011.

MORO (Tasha), *National Lawyers Guild Condemns Ongoing Arrests of Legal Observers in Ferguson*, National Lawyers Guild, Aug. 26, 2014.

MORO (Tasha), *NLG Legal Observer Arrested While Documenting Ongoing Police Violence in Ferguson*, National Lawyers Guild, Aug. 20, 2014.

MORRIS (Aldon) ET AL., *White Supremacy and Higher Education: The Alabama Higher Education Desegregation Case*, National Black Law Journal, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1994-1997, pp. 59-91.

MORRIS (Brian), *Basic Kropotkin: Kropotkin and the History of Anarchism*, foreword by the Anarchist Communist Federation, Anarchist Library, 2008.

MORRIS (Brian), *Tolstoy and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

MORRISON (Wayne), *Theoretical Criminology: From Modernity to Post-Modernism*, London: Cavendish, 1997.

MORROW (Adrian), *'Officer Bubbles' Launches Suit against YouTube*, Globe and Mail, Oct. 15, 2010.

MORTON (Marian J.), *Emma Goldman and the American Left*, New York: Twayne, 1992.

MORTON (Stephen), *States of Emergency and the Apartheid Legal Order in South African Fiction*, Journal of Postcolonial Writing, Vol. 46, No. 5, 2010, pp. 491-503.

MOSCOVITCH (Allan) ET AL., *The Welfare State in Canada: A Selected Bibliography, 1840 to 1978*, Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 1983.

MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Bush Stops Funds for UNFPA Abuses: The U.N. Population Fund Supports Forced Abortions and Sterilizations*, Insight on the News, Aug. 19, 2002.

MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Peruvian Human Rights Commission Charges USAID and UNFPA Supported Forced Sterilization*, Catholic Exchange, July 26, 2003.

MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Canada and the Protection of Stateless People*, Refugee Update, No. 72, 2011, pp. 6-7.

MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Torture in the Age of Fear*, Hamilton: Seraphim, 2005.

MOTYL (Matt) ET AL., *When Animals Attack: The Effects of Mortality Salience, Infrhumanization of Violence, and Authoritarianism on Support for War*, Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2010, pp. 200-203.

MOUSAVIAN (Hossein), *Iran, the US and Weapons of Mass Destruction*, Survival, Vol. 54, No. 5, 2012, pp. 183-202.

MROUE (Bassem) & AJI (Albert), *Syria Accuses Rebels of Using Chemical Weapons; Aid Group Says 355 Dead in Earlier Attacks*, CTV News, Aug. 24, 2013.

MUCCHIELLI (Laurent) (ed.), *The Security Mania: France 2010*, Chicago: Carceral Notebooks, Vol. 5, 2009.

MUHAMMAD (Ramizah Wan), *Shari'ah Court Judges and Judicial Creativity (Ijtihad) in Malaysia and Thailand: A Comparative Study*, *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2009, pp. 127-139.

MÜHSAM (Erich), *The Liberation of Society from the State: What Is Communist Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 1932.

MUIR (Ronda), *Practical Practice Tips: Lawyers Lusting After Clients and Their Spouses*, *Law People*, Apr. 11, 2012.

MULHAUSER (Whitney-Ann), *Iran's 2013 Presidential Election: The Measure of Free and Fair*, *Human Rights Brief*, Nov. 11, 2013.

MULHOLLAND (Rory), *French First Lady's Budget Passes Austerity Test*, *Guardian*, May, 5, 2013.

MULLEN (Jack), *Police Are More Dangerous to Citizens than Criminals*, *Signs of the Times*, June 8, 2014.

MULLEN (Jethro), *Yet Another Beheading. What's the World Going to Do about ISIS?*, *CNN*, Sep. 15, 2014.

MUNSON (Chuck), *Debunking Nonsense in the Anarchist Movement*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

MURPHY (Alexander B.), *Territoriality, Morality, and International Law: Thoughts on Hendrix's 'Moral Theory of State Territory'*, *Geopolitics*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2001, pp. 163-168.

MURPHY (Kim), *Anarchist Probe: Jailed Activists Say They Won't Talk to Feds*, *Los Angeles Times*, Oct. 19, 2012.

MURPHY (Kim), *Anarchists Targeted after Seattle's Violent May Day Protests*, *Los Angeles Times*, Oct. 19, 2012.

MURPHY (Robert Patrick), *The Labor Theory of Value: A Critique of Carson's Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 17-33.

MURRA (Rebecca), *Voteauction.net: Protected Political Speech or Treason?*, *Journal of High Technology Law*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2005, pp. 357-380.

MURRAY (Bill), *7 Reasons Why Police are More Dangerous to Americans than ISIS*, *Free Thought Project*, June 13, 2015.

MURRAY (Don), *Surprise! François Hollande-Julie Gayet Affair Not Deflected by Policy*, *CBC News*, Jan. 15, 2014.

MURRAY (Donette), *Military Action But Not As We Know It: Libya, Syria and the Making of an Obama Doctrine*, *Contemporary Politics*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2013, pp. 146-166.

MURRAY (Nancy), *Obama and the Global War on Terror, Race and Class*, Vol. 53, No. 2, 2011, pp. 84-93.

MWENDA (Andrew M.), *Africa and the Curse of the ICC*, *AllAfrica*, Oct. 18, 2013.

MYERS (Peter C.), *Between Divine and Human Sovereignty: The State of Nature and the Basis of Locke's Political Thought*, *Polity*, Vol. 27, No. 4, 1995, pp. 629-649.

MYSICKA (Robert) & MCKENDRY (Marty), *Beer, Butter, and Barristers: How Canadian Governments Put Cartels before Consumers*, Toronto: C. D. Howe Institute, 2013.

MYTHEN (Gabe) ET AL., *Decentralizing Risk: The Role of the Voluntary and Community Sector in the Management of Offenders*, *Criminology and Criminal Justice*, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2013, pp. 363-379.

NABAVI (Negin) (ed.), *Intellectual Trends in Twentieth-Century Iran: A Critical Survey*, Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2003.

NABAVI (Negin) (ed.), *Iran: From Theocracy to the Green Movement*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

NABAVI (Negin), *The "Arab Spring" as Seen through the Prism of the 1979 Iranian Revolution*, *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2012, pp. 153-155.

NAGEL (Thomas), *Libertarianism without Foundations*, *Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 85, No. 1, 1975, pp. 136-149.

NAGER (Barry R.), *The Jury That Tried William Penn*, *American Bar Association Journal*, Vol. 50, No. 2, 1964, pp. 168-170.

NAHSHON (Gad), *Israel's Nuclear Power*, *Jewish Post*.

NAKHOUL (Samia), *Egypt Seen as Graveyard of Arab Spring and Islamist Ambitions for Power*, *Reuters*, Aug. 18, 2013.

NAPOLITANO (Andrew Peter), *Constitutional Chaos: What Happens When the Government Breaks its Own Laws*, Nashville: Nelson Current, 2004.

NAPOLITANO (Andrew Peter), *How the Government Breaks the Law*, *Cato Policy Report*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 2004.

NASRIN (Taslina), *An Interview with Taslima Nasrin*, *Free Inquiry*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1998-1999.

NASRIN (Taslina), *They Wanted to Kill Me*, interview, *Middle East Quarterly*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2000, pp. 67-74.

NASSERI (Ladane), *Rohani Takes His Charm to UN Used to Iranian Hectoring*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 23, 2013.

NATAPOFF (Alexandra), *Speechless: The Silencing of Criminal Defendants*, *New York University Law Review*, Vol. 80, No. 4, 2005, pp. 1449-1504.

NEARY (Ben), *ACLU Blasts Forest Service over Rainbow Gathering*, *USA Today*, Oct. 3, 2008.

NEAL (Dave), *Anarchism: Ideology or Methodology?*, Anarchist Library, 1997.

NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Iran Increasing Arrests, Torture, Executions, UN Says*, *Huffington Post*, Feb. 28, 2013.

NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Islamic State Commits War Crimes, Syrian Government Using Poison Gas – U.N.*, *Reuters*, Aug. 27, 2014.

NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Syrian Forces Responsible for Baniyas Massacres: U.N. Report*, *Reuters*, Sep. 11, 2013.

NEEMAN (Zvika), *The Freedom to Contract and the Free-Rider Problem*, Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1999, pp. 685-703.

NEOCLEOUS (Mark) & RIGAKOS (George) (ed.), *Anti-Security*, Ottawa: Red Quill Books, 2011.

NESHER (Talila), *Israel Admits Ethiopian Women Were Given Birth Control Shots*, Haaretz, Jan. 27, 2013.

NESS (Immanuel) (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Revolution and Protest*, Chichester and Malden: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.

NESTEL (M. L.), *ISIS Forums Share Pipe Bomb Instructions for Attacks on NYC, Las Vegas*, Vocativ, Sep. 16, 2014.

NETTLAU (Max), *Anarchism: Communist or Individualist? Both*, Anarchist Library, 1914.

NETTLAU (Max), *An Anarchist Manifesto*, Anarchist Library, 1895.

NETTLAU (Max), *Are there New Fields for Anarchist Activity?*, Anarchist Library, 1907.

NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, traduit par Martin-Zemliak, Paris: Editions du Cercle et de la Tête de Feuilles, 1971.

NETTLAU (Max) & MONTSENY (Federica), *Authoritarian Communism and Libertarian Communism*, Anarchist Library, 1928.

NEUBURGER (G. J.), *The Difference between Judaism and Zionism*, Jews Not Zionists.

NEWHAM (Gareth), *Government Corruption Tainting Madiba Legacy*, IOL News, Jan. 24, 2014.

NEWKIRK (Ingrid), *Free the Animals 20th Anniversary Edition: The Amazing True Story of the Animal Liberation Front in North America*, New York: Lantern Books, 2012.

NEWMAN (Saul), *Anarchism, Poststructuralism and the Future of Radical Politics*, SubStance, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 3-19.

NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism and Space: Revolutionary Fantasies and Autonomous Zones*, Planning Theory, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2011, pp. 344-365.

NEWMAN (Saul), *Voluntary Servitude Reconsidered: Radical Politics and the Problem of Self-Domination*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2010, pp. 31-49.

NEWMAN (Saul), *War on the State: Stirner and Deleuze's Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

NGUYEN (Linda), *Stockwell Day Admits May Have Used Info Obtained Through Torture To Detain Mohamed Mahjoub*, Huffington Post, Sep. 6, 2012.

NICE (Geoffrey), *State Involvement in War Crimes Trials*, Gresham College, Apr. 10, 2013.

NICHOLAS (Mary P.), *Citizens and Statesmen: Study of Aristotle's Politics*, Savage: Rowman and Littlefield, 1992.

NICHOLS (Ben) & LOPEZ (Joe), *Another US War Crime: The Use of Depleted Uranium Munitions in Iraq*, World Socialist Web Site, May 29, 2003.

NICHOLS (Marcia), *Cicero's Pro Cluentio and the "Mazy" Rhetorical Strategies of Wieland*, Law and Literature, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2008, pp. 459-476.

NICHOLS (Michelle), *UN Report: Iran Missile Tests 'Not Consistent' with Nuclear Deal Spirit*, Reuters, July 8, 2016.

NICHOLSON (Paul), *Exclusive: Ex FIFA GenSec Points to Serious Ethics Violation by Bidder*, Inside World Football, June 10, 2014.

NICKEL (Gordon), *Islam: A Religion of Peace?*, National Post, June 13, 2006.

NICOLSON (Donald), *Demography, Discrimination and Diversity: A New Dawn for the British Legal Profession?*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2005, pp. 201-228.

NICOUD (Anabelle), *Menacée d'Expulsion pour un Vol à l'Étalage*, La Presse, Nov. 22, 2011.

NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow: A Nomadic Utopia*, 2nd ed., Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 2011.

NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Buffalo News Spins the Bicycle Trial*, Mediastudy, Dec. 3, 2003.

NISBET (Robert), *Twilight of Authority*, London: Heinemann, 1976.

NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) (ed.), *Terrorization of Dissent: Corporate Repression, Legal Corruption and the Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act*, New York: Lantern Books, 2013.

NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) & GABBARD (David) (ed.), *Policing the Campus: Academic Repression, Surveillance, and the Occupy Movement*, New York: Peter Lang, 2013.

NO COMPROMISE, *Grand Jury Investigations, FBI Harassment, and Your Rights*, Anarchist Library, 1996-2004.

NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, Boston: Little and Brown, 1939.

NOMAD (Max), *Rebels and Renegades*, Freeport, New York: Books for Libraries Press, 1968.

NOMAD (Max), *White Collars and Horny Hands: The Revolutionary Thought of Wacław Machajski*, Anarchist Library, 1932.

NOONAN (Jeff), *Changes of State: Nature and the Limits of the City in Early Modern Natural Law*, European Legacy, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2014, pp. 271-273.

NORDGREN (Dan), *African Dictator Passes No Fanny Flatulence Law*, Christ Wire, Feb. 2, 2011.

NOROUZI (Arash), *Israel: "Wiped off The Map". The Rumor of the Century, Fabricated by the US Media to Justify An All out War on Iran*, Global Research, Sep. 26, 2010.

NORTON-TAYLOR (Richard) & HOPKINS (Nick), *Libya Warned Smugglers Are Looting Gaddafi's Guns*, Guardian, Sep. 2, 2011.

NOTTEN (Michael van), *The Laws of the Somalis: A Stable Foundation for Economic Development in the Horn of Africa*, ed. by Spencer Heath MacCallum, Trenton: Red Sea Press, 2005.

NOUWEN (Sarah M. H.), *Legal Equality on Trial: Sovereigns and Individuals before the International Criminal Court*, Netherlands Yearbook of International Law, Vol. 43, 2013, pp. 151-181.

NOVAK (Derry), *The Place of Anarchism in the History of Political Thought*, Review of Politics, Vol. 20, No. 3, 1958, pp. 307-329.

NOYS (Benjamin), *The Culture of Death*, Oxford: Berg, 2005.

NOZICK (Robert), *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*, New York: Basic Books, 1974.

NYBERG (Svein Olav), *The Union of Egoists*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

NYBERG (Svein Olav), *What Is Selfishness?*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *Inaugural Address*, White House, Jan. 21, 2013.

OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *Remarks by the President at the Acceptance of the Nobel Peace Prize*, White House, Dec. 10, 2009.

O'BRIEN (Conor Cruise) & VANECH (William Dean) (ed.), *Power and Consciousness*, London: University of London Press, 1969.

O'CARROLL (Aileen), *The Nomad, the Displaced and the Settler: Work in the 21st Century*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 9, 2005, pp. 3-8.

O'KANE (Chris), *Fetishism and Social Domination in Marx, Lukacs, Adorno and Lefebvre*, PhD thesis, Centre for Social and Political Thought, University of Sussex, 2013.

O'LEARY (Naomi), *Berlusconi Backs Government at Rally against Tax Conviction*, Reuters, Aug. 4, 2013.

OLESON (J.C.), *Down the World: Imperfect Necessity and Total Cultural Revolution*, Unbound, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2007, pp. 20-104.

OLIVER (Pamela E.), *Repression and Crime Control Why Social Movements Scholars Should Pay Attention to Mass Incarceration as a Forum of Repression*, Mobilization, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2008, pp. 1-24.

OLSEN (Frances), *Socrates on Legal Obligation: Legitimation Theory and Civil Disobedience*, Georgia Law Review, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, pp. 929-966.

OLSEN (Marvin Elliot) & MARGER (Martin N.) (ed.), *Power in Modern Societies*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1993.

OLSON (Elizabeth), *Law Graduate Gets Her Day in Court, Suing Law School*, New York Times, Mar. 6, 2016.

OLSON (Elizabeth), *Law Graduate Who Sued Her School Loses at Trial*, New York Times, Mar. 24, 2016.

OLSON (Elizabeth G.), *Law Grads Take their Job Frustrations to Court*, Fortune, Aug. 31, 2011.

OLTERMANN (Philip) & ACKERMAN (Spencer), *Germany Asks Top US Intelligence Official to Leave Country over Spy Row*, Guardian, July 10, 2014.

OLWIG (Kenneth Robert), *Historical Geography and the Society/Nature "Problematic": The Perspective of J. F. Schouw, G. P. Marsh and E. Reclus*, Journal of Historical Geography, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1980, pp. 29-45.

O'NEILL (Maggie), *Hassan Rouhani Continues Israel-Bashing Tradition*, PolicyMic, Aug. 4, 2013.

O'NEILL (Onora), *Nozick's Entitlements*, Inquiry, Vol. 19, No. 1-4, 1976, pp. 468-481.

OREN (Ido), *Why has the United States Not Bombed Iran? The Domestic Politics of America's Response to Iran's Nuclear Programme*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2011, pp. 659-684.

ORWELL (George), *Animal Farm: A Fairy Story*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2009.

ORWELL (George), *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, ed. by Peter Stansky, New York: William H. Freeman, 1983.

ORWELL (Georges), *Politics v. Literature an Examination of Gulliver's Travels*, Polemic, No. 5, 1946.

OSBOR (Andrew), *Thatcher's Body Lies in Chapel as Funeral Debate Rages*, Reuters, Apr. 16, 2013.

OSTERFELD (David), *Anarchism and the Public Goods Issue: Law, Courts, and the Police*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1989, pp. 47-68.

OSTROWSKI (James), *Chomsky's Economics*, Mises Daily, Jan. 6, 2003.

OSTROWSKI (James), *The Rise and Fall of Jury Nullification*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2001, pp. 89-115.

OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), *Towards Libertarian Welfarism: Protecting Agency in the Night-Watchman State*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2013, pp. 107-128.

O'SULLIVAN (John), *Lawyers' Self Governance Under Threat?*, Slaw, Apr. 18, 2011.

OSWALD (Andrew J.), *Can We Test for Bias in Scientific Peer-Review?*, IZA Discussion Paper No. 3665, 2008.

OTSUKA (Michael), *Libertarianism without Inequality*, Oxford: Clarendon, 2003.

OWEN (Jonathan), *Justice Costs: Fury as Lawyers' Fees Top £850 an Hour*, Independent, Nov. 26, 2013.

PADAVIC (Irene) & ORCUTT (James D.), *Perceptions of Sexual Harassment in the Florida Legal System: A Comparison of Dominance and Spillover Explanations*, Gender and Society, Vol. 11, No. 5, 1997, pp. 682-698.

PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Accused Toronto Police Officer Calls G20 Tribunals a 'Farce'*, Toronto Star, July 23, 2013.

PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *G20 Summit: Officer Found Guilty of Misconduct in First Police Act Conviction*, Toronto Star, Dec. 16, 2013.

PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Officer Faces Penalty for Unlawful G20 Arrest*, Toronto Star, Feb. 20, 2014.

PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Officer Who Relayed G20 Bandana Order Testifies at Police Tribunal*, Toronto Star, Nov. 22, 2013.

PAL (Amitabh), *Shirin Ebadi Interview*, Progressive, 2004.

PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Anarchism and Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1909.

PALAZZOLO (Joe), *A Dozen Law Schools Hit with Lawsuits over Jobs Data*, Wall Street Journal, Feb. 1, 2012.

PALAZZOLO (Joe), *The Lawyer-Politician Is in Decline*, Wall Street Journal, Feb. 24, 2012.

PALCHAK (John K.) & LEUNG (Stanley T.), *No State Required? A Critical Review of the Polycentric Legal Order*, Vol. 38, No. 3, Gonzaga Law Review, pp. 289-333.

PELAEZ (Vicky), *The Prison Industry in the United States: Big Business or a New Form of Slavery?*, Global Research, Mar. 31, 2014.

PALMER (Brian), *Congratulations, Mr. President. And Thanks for the Cheez-Its*, Slate, Jan. 22, 2013.

RAMONET (Ignacio), *Neoliberalism's Newest Product: The Modern Slave Trade*, Global Research, Aug. 3, 2011.

PANCLASTA (Biófilo), *Red Seed*, Anarchist Library, 1911.

PANNEKOEK (Anton), *Party and Class*, Leicester: Anarchist Communist Federation, 2009.

PANTICH (Leo), *Violence as a Tool of Order and Change: The War on Terrorism and the Antiglobalization Movement*, Monthly Review, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2002, pp. 12-32.

PAPPAS (Alex), *Taxpayers Spent \$1.4 Billion on Obama Family Last Year, Perks Questioned in New Book*, Daily Caller, Sep. 26, 2012.

PARCHAMI (Ali), *The 'Arab Spring': The View from Tehran*, Contemporary Politics, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, pp. 35-52.

PARDUE (Angela Dawn) ET AL., *Psychopathy and Corporate Crime: A Preliminary Examination, Part 1*, Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2013, pp. 116-144.

PARDUE (Angela Dawn) ET AL., *Psychopathy and Corporate Crime: A Preliminary Examination, Part 2*, Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2013, pp. 145-169.

PARK (Mi), *Imagining a Just and Sustainable Society: A Critique of Alternative Economic Models in the Global Justice Movement*, Critical Sociology, Vol. 39, No 1, 2013, pp. 65-85.

PARKER (Brad), *Israel's Impunity Culture: War Crimes, Intimidation and Oppression*, Al Jazeera, July 7, 2014.

PARNASS (Sarah), *Inauguration to Cost Millions but Total Price Tag Unclear*, ABC News, Jan. 19, 2013.

PARRY (Robert), *How Reagan Promoted Genocide*, Consortium News, Feb. 21, 2013.

PARRY (Robert), *Reagan and Argentina's Dirty War*, Consortium News, May 17, 2013.

PARRY (Robert), *Reagan and Guatemala's Death Files*, Consortium News, Nov. 3, 2011.

PARRY (Robert), *Reagan's Favourite Genocidaire*, LRB Blog, May 13, 2013.

PARRY (Robert), *Ronald Reagan: Accessory to Genocide – Ex-Guatemalan Dictator Rios Montt Guilty of Mayan Genocide*, Global Research, May 13, 2013.

PARRY (Robert), *Ronald Reagan: Worst President Ever?*, Consortium News, June 3, 2009.

PARSONS (Robert James), *America's Big Dirty Secret*, Le Monde Diplomatique, Mars 3, 2002.

PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Law and Marxism: A General Theory*, trans. by Barbara Einhorn, ed. and intr. by Chris Arthur, London: Ink Links, 1978.

PASOUR, JR. (Ernest C.), *Conservation, "X-Inefficiency" and Efficient Use of Natural Resources*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 371-390.

PASOUR, JR. (Ernest C.), *The Free Rider as a Basis for Government Intervention*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1981, pp. 453-464.

PASSAMANI (Massimo), *"More, Much More" and Other Writings*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

PASSAMANI (Massimo), *Mutual Utilization: Relationship and Revolt in Max Stirner*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

PASSANTINO (Annamaria), *Welfare of Animals at Slaughter and Killing: A New Regulation on the Protection of Animals at the Time of Killing*, Journal of Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Vol. 4, No. 3-4, 2009, pp. 273-285.

PASSAS (Nikos), *Lawful but Awful: 'Legal Corporate Crimes'*, Journal of Socio-Economics, Vol. 34, No. 6, 2005, pp. 771-786.

PATRANOBIS (Sutirtho), *Shanghai Judges Suspended over Sex Scandal*, Hindustan Times, Aug. 4, 2013.

PAULEY (Nigel), *Tony Blair Could Receive £10M Funeral Like Margaret Thatcher*, Daily Star, Apr. 20, 2013.

PAVEH (Shadi), *Vicious Attack at Women's Prison in Iran*, Gatestone Institute, Apr. 30, 2014.

PAVLICH (Katie), *Obamas' Cost of Living in the White House: \$1.4 Billion a Year*, Townhall, Mar. 11, 2013.

PAYNE (Stanley George), *On the Heuristic Value of the Concept of Political Religion and its Application*, Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2005, pp. 163-174.

PEACOCK (Byron) & DARNELL (Simon C.), *Political Celebrity and the Olympic Movement: Exploring the Charismatic Authority of IOC Presidents*, Celebrity Studies, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2012, pp. 319-334.

PEAR (Robert), *Panel on Health Care Work Force, Lacking a Budget, Is Left Waiting*, New York Times, Feb. 24, 2013.

PEARSON (Michael) & YAN (Holly), *Could U.S., Iran Work Together in Iraq? Maybe, Officials Say*, CNN, June 16, 2014.

PEAT (Don), *Council Votes Mammoliti Breached Code of Conduct: Pay Suspended Three Months over Fundraising Dinner*, Toronto Sun, July 9, 2014.

PEDERSEN (Stephanie), *The Green Scare and what It Means for Activism*, International, Aug. 5, 2012.

PEET (Richard), *The Geography of Human Liberation*, Antipode, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 119-134.

PEEV (Gerri) & KELLY (Tom), *Bloodbath as 300 Are Massacred for Defying Gaddafi in Libya, while U.S. and UN Condemn Britain for Cosying up to Tyrant*, Daily Mail, Feb. 21, 2011.

PEKER (Emre), *Turkey Labels Israel a 'Terrorist State'*, Wall Street Journal, Nov. 19, 2012.

PELLOW (David N.) & NYSETH BREHM (Hollie), *An Environmental Sociology for the Twenty-First Century*, Annual Review of Sociology, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 229-250.

PENDLEBURY (James), *Tangled Threads of Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PENGAM (Alain), *Anarchist-Communism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

PENHAUL (Karl) & SMITH-SPARK (Laura), *Report: Court Orders Former Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak Freed*, CNN, Aug. 21, 2013.

PENKETH (Anne), *The New Suffragettes: Shirin Ebadi – The Campaigner Who Has Become an International Figurehead for Women's Rights*, Independent, May 30, 2013.

PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), *Anarchism*, New York: New York University Press, 1978.

PENINSKY (Harold E.), *Communist Anarchism as an Alternative to the Rule of Criminal Law*, Contemporary Crises, Vol. 2, 1978, pp. 315-334.

PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Peacemaking: Reflections of a Radical Criminologist*, Ottawa: University of Ottawa Press, 2007.

PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), *Criminology as Peacemaking*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1991.

PEPPER (Stephen L.), *The Lawyer's Amoral Ethical Role: A Defense, A Problem, and Some Possibilities*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1986, pp. 613-635.

PERCY (John), *Monsanto's Crimes Unpunished!*, Direct Action, No. 37, 2012.

PEREZ (Nahshon), *The Internal Contradictions of Recognition Theory*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 27-56.

PERKEL (Colin), *Mohamed Mahjoub's Case Can Go On, Judge Says*, Toronto Star, Nov. 6, 2013.

PERKEL (Colin), *Mohamed Mahjoub's Right to Fair Trial Violated, but Security Certificate Upheld*, CTV News, Oct. 25, 2013.

PERKEL (Colin), *Police Violated Civil Rights, Acted Illegally, Says Scathing Report on G20 Summit*, National Post, May 16, 2012.

PERKEL (Colin), *Report Details 'Shocking' Abuses at G20 Summit*, Toronto Star, Feb. 27, 2011.

PERLINGIERI (Ilya Sandra), *Chernobyl: The Horrific Legacy*, Global Research, Apr. 25, 2009.

PERRY (Nick), *NSW Police Unit to Probe Rainbow Shooting*, NineMSN, Apr. 17, 2012.

PESARAN (Evaleila), *Towards an Anti-Western Stance: The Economic Discourse of Iran's 1979 Revolution*, Iranian Studies, Vol. 41, No. 5, 2008, pp. 693-718.

PESSOA (Fernando), *The Selected Prose of Fernando Pessoa*, ed. and trans. by Richard Zenith, New York: Grove Press, 2001.

PETERS (Marijke), *EU Approves Ban on Tools of Torture*, RNW, June 17, 2010.

PHILLIP (Abby D.), *President Obama, Nobel Peace Prize Winner, Back on War Footing*, ABC News, Aug. 30, 2013.

PHILLIPS (Gervase), *Writing Horses into American Civil War History*, War in History, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2013, pp. 160-181.

PIAZZA (Judyth), *NSC Study Shows You Are More Likely Killed by a Cop than a Terrorist*, News Blaze, Feb. 21, 2009.

PICARD (André), *Rasouli Case Chance for Supreme Court to Put Forward Guidelines on End-of-Life Treatment*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 10, 2012.

PICARD (André), *Rasouli Case Shows Need to Be Pro-Active about Treatment*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 9, 2012.

PICY (Emile), *L'Assemblée Vote l'Interdiction du Cumul des Mandats*, Reuters, Juillet 9, 2013.

PIELKE, JR. (Roger), *How Can FIFA Be Held Accountable?*, Sport Management Review, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2013, pp. 255-267.

PILIU (Fabien), *La Suisse, la Destination Préférée de Ceux Qui Ont Choisi l'Exil*, La Tribune, Mar. 12, 2014.

PILLAR (Paul R.), *Why Israeli Public Opinion Opposes a Strike on Iran*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 2012.

PILZ (Erich), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism: A Cultural Movement in Early Twentieth-Century China under the Influence of the West and Japanese Examples*, book review, China Information, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2003, pp. 137-140.

PINCH (Steffanie), *Five Ways the New Anti-Mask Bill C-309 Will Affect Activists and Civil Liberties*, Rabble.ca, Nov. 14, 2012.

PINTA (Saku), *Anarchism, Marxism, and the Ideological Composition of the Chicago Idea*, WorkingUSA, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2009, pp. 421-450.

PINTER (Harold), *Art, Truth and Politics*, Nobel Lecture, Dec. 7, 2005.

PIZZI (Michael), *African Union Floats ICC Walkout after Kenyatta Asks for Case to Be Tossed*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 10, 2013.

PLANTE (Leah-Lynn), *Statement from a Resister*, Vimeo, Oct. 9, 2012.

PLONCARD D'ASSAC (Philippe), *Les Crimes d'États du Mondialisme*, Égalité et Réconciliation, Nice, Mai 31, 2013.

POE (Catherine), *Want to See the 2013 Inauguration and Parade? Here's How*, Washington Times, Jan. 4, 2013.

POE (Catherine), *2013 Inauguration: How Much Will It Cost You?*, Washington Times, Jan. 7, 2013.

POHL (Jason), *Red Feather Lakes Stabbings Tied to Rainbow Family*, Coloradoan, July 16, 2014.

POISSON (Jayme), *G20 Summit: Cop Unmasked as Protest Couple File Suit*, Toronto Star, Mar. 14, 2012.

POLENBERG (Richard), *Fighting Faiths: The Abrams Case, the Supreme Court, and Free Speech*, New York: Viking, 1987.

POLENBERG (Richard), *Progressivism and Anarchism: Judge Henry D. Clayton and the Abrams Trail*, Law and History Review, Vol. 3, 1985, pp. 397-408.

POLLOCK (Joycelyn M.), *Ethical Dilemmas and Decisions in Criminal Justice*, 7th ed., Wadsworth: Cengage Learning, 2010.

POLMAN (Dick), *Johann Breyer and the Sluggish Pursuit of Justice*, NewsWorks, July 25, 2014.

PONTHUS (Julien), *French President Francois Hollande Vows to Finish in 2017 despite Approval Rating Hitting Record Low*, Sydney Morning Herald, Sep. 6, 2014.

POPPER (Karl), *The Open Society and Its Enemies*, 2 Vol., 5th ed., Princeton University Press, 1971.

PORCALLA (Delon) & BALABO (Dino), *AFP Told: Stop Vote-Buying*, Philippine Star, May 13, 2013.

PORCU (Pierleone), *Against Technology*, Anarchist Library, 1988.

PORTER (Andrew), *Robert Mugabe to Be Stripped of Knighthood*, Telegraph, June 25, 2008.

PORTWOOD-STACER (Laura), *Constructing Anarchist Sexuality: Queer Identity, Culture, and Politics in the Anarchist Movement*, Sexualities, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 479-493.

POSNER (Eric Andrew), *Do States Have a Moral Obligation to Obey International Law?*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 55, No. 5, 2003, pp. 1901-1919.

POSNER (Eric Andrew), *The Killer Robot War Is Coming*, Slate, May 15, 2013.

POTTER (Will), *Gerald Koch Hasn't Been Accused of a Crime, but the Feds Put Him Jail Anyway*, VICE News, June 4, 2013.

POTTER (Will), *Skinny Mirror: How Pop Culture Normalizes a False Image of the "War on Terror"*, Affinities: A Journal of Radical Theory, Culture, and Action, Vol. 6, No 1, 2013, pp. 109-112.

POTTER (Will), *The Green Scare*, Vermont Law Review, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2009, pp. 671-687.

POWELL (Benjamin W.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Economics in Defense of Liberty: The Contribution of Murray Rothbard*, Sep. 13, 2010.

POWELL (Benjamin W.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Public Choice and the Economic Analysis of Anarchy: A Survey*, Public Choice, Vol. 140, No. 3-4, 2009, pp. 503-538.

PRÉFONTAINE (Daniel C.) & LEE (Joanne), *The Rule of Law the Independence of the Judiciary*, paper prepared for World Conference on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Montreal, Dec. 7-9, 1998.

PREPARATA (Guido), *Of Money, Heresy, and Surrender: Part I: The Ways of Our System, an Outline, from Bretton Woods to the Financial Slump of 2008*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 18-47.

PREPARATA (Guido), *Perishable Money in a Threefold Commonwealth: Rudolf Steiner and the Social Economics of an Anarchist Utopia*, Review of Radical Political Economics, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2006, pp. 619-648.

PRESTON (Keith), *An Anarchist National Defense and Foreign Policy*, Attack the System, 2002.

PREVILLE (Philip), *The Police vs. Everybody Else*, Toronto Life, Apr. 2016.

PRICE (Andy), *Communalism or Caricature: Patterns of Bookchin Critique*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2008, pp. 76-82.

PRICE (Andy), *Deep Ecology, Misanthropy, and the Genesis of the Bookchin Caricature*, Communalism, No. 1, 2009, pp. 44-61.

PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin: Social Ecology and the Crises of Our Time*, Porsgrunn: New Compass Press, 2012.

PRICE (Tony Curzon) & CRITCHLEY (Simon), *The Attractions of Mystical Anarchism*, Open Democracy, May 8, 2012.

PRICE (Wayne), *A Maoist Attack on Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

PRICE (Wayne), *An Anarchist Program for Labor*, Anarchist Library, 2002.

PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchism: Utopian or Scientific?*, Utopian Mag, 2005.

PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchist Organisation not Leninist Vanguardism*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchist Responses when Elected Governments Are Overturned*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

PRICE (Wayne), *Decentralism, Centralism, Marxism, and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

PRICE (Wayne), *Insurrectional Anarchism vs. Class-Struggle Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

PRICE (Wayne), *Lessons of the Israeli-Lebanese War*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PRICE (Wayne), *Malatesta's Anarchist Vision of Life after Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

PRICE (Wayne), *Religion and Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PRICE (Wayne), *Should Anarchists Raise a Program of Demands?*, Anarchist Library, 2014.

PRICE (Wayne), *The Palestinian Struggle and the Anarchist Dilemma*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PRICE (Wayne), *The Platypus Questions on Marxism and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2014.

PRICE (Wayne), *The Two Main Trends in Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PRICE (Wayne), *What Is Class Struggle Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

PRICHARD (Alex), *Deepening Anarchism: International Relations and the Anarchist Ideal*, Anarchist studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2010, pp. 29-57.

PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, Routledge: London, 2013.

PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, Millennium, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2007, pp. 623-645.

PRINCE (Jessica) & GILLIS (Rory), *Lawyers Are Too Expensive for Most Canadians. Give More Work to Paralegals*, Globe and Mail, Nov. 5, 2013.

PRINCIPLE (Peter), *Anarchism, Heterosexism and Secular Religions*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

PRINZ (Aloys), *Money in the Real and the Virtual World: E-Money, C-Money and the Demand for CB-Money*, NETNOMICS, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1999, pp. 11-35.

PRITCHARD (Dean), *Lawyer: Sex Scandal Judge an 'Exploited Woman'*, Toronto Sun, June 26, 2012.

PROAL (Louis), *Political Crime*, intr. by Franklin H. Giddings, reprinted with a new intr. by Edward Sagarin and an index, Montclair: Patterson Smith, 1973.

PROTHERO (Arianna), *It's That Time Again: Thousands of Critical Mass Cyclists Take to The Streets*, WLRN, Oct. 25, 2013.

PROTHERO (Stephen), *Religious Literacy: What Every American Needs to Know – And Doesn't*, New York: Harper One, 2008.

PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *Du Principe Fédératif et de la Nécessité de Reconstituer le Parti de la Révolution*, Paris: Henri Justin Édouard Dentu, 1863.

PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, Corvus, 2010.

PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *System of Economical Contradictions: or, The Philosophy of Poverty*, Anarchist Library, 1847.

PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *What Is Property? An Inquiry into the Principle of Right and of Government*, Anarchist Library, 1840.

PRUITT, JR. (Paul McWhorter), *Henry Delamar Clayton Jr.*, Encyclopedia of Alabama, Feb. 15, 2012.

PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchist Organisation: Why It Is Failing*, Anarchist Library, 1998.

PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchist Society and Its Practical Realization*, San Francisco: See Sharp Press, 1990.

QUINN (Ben), *Queen Elizabeth II Visits New York: What Does Royalty Cost British Taxpayers?*, Christian Science Monitor, July 6, 2010.

RAGSDALE (Bruce A.), *The Chicago Seven: 1960s Radicalism in the Federal Courts*, Federal Judicial Center, 2008.

RAHIMI (Babak), *The Agonistic Social Media: Cyberspace in the Formation of Dissent and Consolidation of State Power in Postelection Iran*, Communication Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2011, pp. 158-178.

RAHMDDEL (Mansour) & RAHMDDEL (Naser), *The Right to Counsel in Iranian Criminal Justice System*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 60, No. 3, 2013, pp. 287-295.

RAIDER (Mark A.), *"Vigilantibus Non Dormientibus": The Judicial Activism of Louis Marshall*, Jewish Social Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2007, pp. 40-62.

RAJ (Althia), *Stephen Harper's New Plane Design Will Cost \$50,000*, Huffington Post, Feb. 22, 2013.

RAMOS-GOROSTIZA (José Luis), *Socio-Economic Utopianism in Spain at the End of the Nineteenth Century: La Nueva Utopía by Ricardo Mella*, Utopian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2009, pp. 5-39.

RAMSAY (Maureen), *Liberal Democratic Politics as a Form of Violence*, Democratization, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2010, pp. 235-250.

RAMSAY (Peter), *The Insecurity State: Vulnerable Autonomy and the Right to Security in the Criminal Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

RAMSTACK (Tom), *Soldier in WikiLeaks Case Said Video Would Cause Splash*, Reuters, June 11, 2013.

RANDAZZO (Sara), *Jobless Grads Who Sued Law Schools Find More Rejection in Court*, Wall Street Journal, Oct. 15, 2015.

RANKIN (Sarah), *Today's Law Grad: Six Figures in Debt and Heading to Bay Street*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 2, 2013.

RANNEY (Frances J.), *Aristotle's Ethics and Legal Rhetoric: An Analysis of Language Beliefs and the Law*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2005.

RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism: Critiques of State Autonomy in Ancient and Modern China*, London: Continuum, 2012.

RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism Reconsidered*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1998, pp. 123-151.

RAPP (John A.), *Utopia, Anti-Utopia and Dysotopia Ideas in Philosophical Daoism*, Journal of Comparative Asian Development, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2002, pp. 211-231.

RAPPOPORT (Jon), *Monsanto and the FDA: 2 Crime Families Working a Trillion-Dollar Hustle*, Word Press, Mar. 1, 2013.

RAPPAPORT (Michael), *Commentary: Nobody Likes a Lawyer until They Need One*, Lawyers Weekly, Feb. 22, 2008.

RAPPAPORT (Roy A.), *The Sacred in Human Evolution*, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, Vol. 2, 1971, pp. 23-44.

RASHIDIAN (Jahanshah), *Massacre of 1988 in Iran*, Iran Press Service, Sep. 1, 2007.

RASKAS (Joseph), *Rouhani Is No Moderate*, Jerusalem Post, July 2, 2013.

RAUCH (Leo), *The Political Animal: Studies in Political Philosophy from Machiavelli to Marx*, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1981.

RAVEN (John), *Advancing and Defeating the PEGS Agenda: Socio-Cybernetics and Murray Bookchin*, Good Society, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2010, pp. 79-88.

RAY (John Joseph), *Authoritarianism of the Left Revisited*, *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1985, pp. 271-272.

RAY (John Joseph), *Libertarians and the Authoritarian Personality*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 39-43.

RAZ (Joseph), *Authority and Consent*, *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, pp. 103-131.

READ (Herbert), *The Paradox of Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 1941.

READ (Russ), *There's a Clear Reason Forces in Iran Are Trying to 'Torpedo' the Nuclear Deal*, *Daily Caller*, July 8, 2016.

RECKNAGEL (Charles), *At UN, Obama and Rohani Sound Optimistic on Iranian Nuclear Crisis*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 25, 2013.

REDDICK (Malina), *Merit Selection: Review of the Social Scientific Literature*, *Dickinson Law Review*, Vol. 106, No. 4, 2002, pp. 729-745.

REEVES (Benjamin), *New Court Ruling another Obstacle in Genocide Prosecution of Guatemala's Rios Montt*, *Kansas City News*, Oct. 25, 2013.

REGAN (Sheila), *RNC 8 Court Saga Finally Over*, *Twin Cities Daily Planet*, Oct. 19, 2010.

REGAN (Sheila), *The Secret Case against Carrie Feldman*, *Twin Cities Daily Planet*, Jan. 26, 2010.

REGIL (Alvaro J. de), *Keynesian Economics and The Welfare State*, *Jus Semper Global Alliance*, Apr. 2003.

REHMAN (Javaid), *Freedom of Expression, Apostasy, and Blasphemy within Islam: Sharia, Criminal Justice Systems, and Modern Islamic State Practices*, *Criminal Justice Matters*, Vol. 79, No. 1, 2010, pp. 4-5.

REICH (Michael R.), *Toxic Politics: Responding to Chemical Disasters*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1991.

REICHERT (William O.), *Natural Right in the Political Philosophy of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 77-91.

REID (Joan A.), *Crime and Personality: Personality Theory and Criminality Examined*, *Student Pulse Academic*, Vol. 3 No. 1, 2011.

REID (Traci V.), *Women Candidates and Judicial Elections: Telling an Untold Story*, *Politics and Gender*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2010, pp. 465-474.

REILLY (Jill), *Saudi Celebrity Cleric Who Raped and Murdered His Daughter, Five, Claimed He Injured Her because He Doubted She Was a Virgin*, *Daily Mail*, Feb. 12, 2013.

REJALI (Darius), *Torture and Democracy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007.

RENNIE (Steve), *Auditor General 2013 Fall Report: Food Safety System Mired in Confusion*, *Huffington Post*, Nov. 26, 2013.

RENZI (Alessandra) & ELMER (Greg), *The Biopolitics of Sacrifice: Securing Infrastructure at the G20 Summit*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 30, No. 5, 2013, pp. 45-69.

REPORTERS WITHOUT BORDERS, *Internet Enemies*, Paris, Mar. 12, 2012.

REY (P. J.), *Julian Assange: Cyber-Libertarian or Cyber-Anarchist?*, *Society Pages*, Nov. 8, 2011.

REYNOUARD (Vincent), *Un Scandale Étouffé: Les Armes à l'Uranium Appauvri*, YouTube, Feb. 25, 2012.

RHODE (Deborah L.), *Expanding the Role of Ethics in Legal Education and the Legal Profession*, *Santa Clara University*, Jan. 17, 2002.

RICHARDS (Anthony), *Conceptualizing Terrorism*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2014, pp. 213-236.

RICHARDS (David A. J.), *Conscience, Human Rights, and the Anarchist Challenge to the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Georgia Law Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, pp. 771-790.

RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), *Errico Malatesta: His Life and Ideas*, London: Freedom Press, 1965.

RICHES (Dennis), *Chernobyl: Crime without Punishment – Disturbing Parallels between Chernobyl and Fukushima*, *Nuclear Free by 2045?*, Jan. 22, 2012.

RICHLIN (Philip), *The Socioeconomic Guardians of Scarcity*, *Anarchist Library*, 2014.

RICHMAN (Alvin), *Iranian Public Opinion on Governance, Nuclear Weapons and Relations with the United States*, *World Public Opinion*, Aug. 27, 2008.

RICHTER (Paul), *U.S. Talks to Iran about Crisis in Iraq, Militant Threats, Official Says*, *Los Angeles Times*, June 16, 2014.

RIDLEY (Yvonne), *Bush Convicted of War Crimes in Absentia*, *Foreign Policy Journal*, May 12, 2012.

RIECHMANN (DEB) & DOZIER (Kimberly), *France Is Latest US Ally Angered by NSA Snooping*, *Cambridge Times*, Oct. 22, 2013.

RIGGENBACH (Jeff), *The Art of Not Being Governed*, *Mises Daily*, Dec. 10, 2010.

RIGLEY (Stephen), *£500 Reward for Shopping a Drink-Driver*, *Daily Mail*, Nov. 29, 2001.

RILEY (M. Christopher), *Anarchy, State, or Utopia?: Checks and Balances in Internet Governance*, *IEEE Internet Computing*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2013, pp. 10-17.

RINAS (Stefan), *Reforms Emerging from 2010 G20 Protests Must Be Acted Upon*, *Canadian Journalists for Free Expression*, July 3, 2013.

RIOLS (Yves-Michel), *L'Iran d'Hassan Rohani "Prêt à Coopérer"*, *Le Monde*, Sep. 25, 2013.

RISEN (James), *Snowden Says He Took No Secret Files to Russia*, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2013.

RITTER (Emily Hencken), *Policy Disputes, Political Survival, and the Onset and Severity of State Repression*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2014, pp. 143-168.

RIVERA (Ray) & SWEENEY (Matthew), *Acquaintance of 2 Hijackers Is Acquitted*, New York Times, Nov. 18, 2006.

RIVERS (Ray), *Lac-Mégantic Disaster, a Change in Regulations that Should Never Have Been Allowed to Happen. Who Is Asking the Questions?*, Burlington Gazette, July 15, 2013.

ROBERSON (Christopher), *The State as Rational Authority: An Anarchist Justification of Government*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1998, pp. 617-630.

ROBERTS (Dan) & POWELL (Kenton), *Revealed: Obama's Record-Breaking Effort to Tap Wealthy Donors for Cash*, Guardian, Nov. 12, 2013.

ROBERTS (Hannah), *Nazi War Criminal Erich Priebke Avoids 'Bin Laden-Style' Burial after Relatives Strike Deal to Bury his Body in a 'Secret Location'*, Daily Mail, Oct. 20, 2013.

ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *Militarization of Law Enforcement in America: Gratuitous Police Violence, Totally out of Control*, Global Research, Sep. 2, 2014.

ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *Police Are More Dangerous to the Public than Are Criminals*, Institute for Political Economy, Sep. 16, 2013.

ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *The Militarization of the Police Has Produced a Murder Machine*, Global Research, Aug. 18, 2014.

ROBERTSON (Adi), *Government Contractor Leaks Massive Surveillance Effort by NSA, FBI on Consumer Services*, Verge, June 6, 2013.

ROCHE (Edward M.) & BLAINE (Michael J.), *International Convention for the Peaceful Use of Cyberspace*, Orbis, Vol. 58, No. 2, 2014, pp. 282-296.

ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarchism and Sovietism*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, trans. by Ray E. Chase, Los Angeles: Rocker Publications Committee, 1937.

ROCKWELL (Elsie) & VERA (Eugenia Roldán), *State Governance and Civil Society in Education: Revisiting the Relationship*, Paedagogica Historica, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-16.

RODRIGUES (Chris), *The Mandela Cult*, Rolling Stone, July 30, 2013.

RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Antagonistic Violence*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Illegal Anarchism: The False Dichotomy*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

RODRIGUEZ (Jose R.), *The Persistence of Formalism: Towards a Situated Critique beyond the Classic Separation of Powers*, Law and Development Review, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2010, pp. 41-77.

RODRIGUEZ (Roberto Cintli), *Guatemala Genocide Conviction and a More Just Vision for American Continent*, Truthout, May 16, 2013.

ROGERS (Abby), *The 20 Most Expensive Law Schools in America*, Business Insider, July 4, 2012.

ROGERS (Simon), *EU Arms Exports to Libya: Who Armed Gaddafi?*, Guardian, Mar. 1, 2011.

ROGERS (Simon), *White and Male: Diversity and the Judiciary*, Guardian, Mar. 28, 2012.

ROHDE (David), *Obama Talks out of Both Sides of His Mouth on Campaign Finance*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 2013.

ROIPE (Katie), *Imagining Enemies: Nora Ephron's theory of Mary McCarthy vs. Lillian Hellman*, Slate, Dec. 10, 2002.

ROLLINS (L. A.), *The Myth of Natural Rights*, Port Townsend: Loompanics Unlimited, 1983.

ROMANELLI (Silvia), *New York's Undocumented Workers Join Forces against Mistreatment*, Guardian, July 23, 2013.

RONEN (Gil), *Muslim Feminist Declaration Blacklisted by Western Feminists*, Israel National News, June 11, 2014.

ROOD (Justin), *Animal Rights Groups and Ecology Militants Make DHS Terrorist List, Right-Wing Vigilantes Omitted*, Congressional Quarterly, Mar. 25, 2005.

ROOS (Jerome), *They Can't Represent Us: Why The Mask of Democracy Is Falling*, Occupy, June 27, 2014.

ROOTS (Roger), *Who Benefits from Terrorism: The Common Interests of Terrorists and Governments of Terrorized Societies*, War Crimes, Genocide, and Crimes against Humanity, Vol. 5, No. 1-2, 2011, pp. 125-145.

ROOUM (Donald) & FREEDOM PRESS (ed.), *What Is Anarchism? An Introduction*, Anarchist Library, 1995.

ROSENGARTEN (Lillian), *Palestinians: Last Victims of the Holocaust*, Palestine Chronicle, May 21, 2011.

ROSENTHAL (Bernice Glatzer), *New Myth, New World: From Nietzsche to Stalinism*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2002.

ROSETT (Claudia), *How Corrupt Is the United Nations?*, Canada Free Press, Apr. 4, 2006.

ROSS (Jeffrey Ian) (ed.), *Controlling State Crime*, 2nd ed., New Brunswick and London: Transaction, 2000.

ROSS (Sherwood), *Chossudovsky's New Book: "Wipe Israel off the Map" Statement by Iranian Leader was Gross Distortion*, Global Research, June 5, 2012.

ROSTAMI POVEY (Elaheh), *Feminist Contestations of Institutional Domains in Iran*, Feminist Review, No. 69, 2001, pp. 44-72.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *A Future of Peace and Capitalism*, Mises Daily, July 9, 2004.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Anatomy of the State*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2009.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt against Nature, and Other Essays*, intr. by David Gordon, 2nd ed., Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2000.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty: The Libertarian Manifesto*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2002.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Konkin on Libertarian Strategy*, Mises Daily, Apr. 6, 2009.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Liberty and the New Left*, *Left and Right: A Journal of Libertarian Thought*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1965, pp. 35-67.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2009.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Nations by Consent: Decomposing the Nation-State*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1994, pp. 1-10.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Robert Nozick and the Immaculate Conception of the State*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1977, pp. 45-57.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Syndical Syndrome*, Mises Daily, Oct. 18, 2011.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, intr. by Hans-Hermann Hoppe, New York: New York University Press, 1998.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Foreign Policy of the Old Right*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1978, pp. 85-96.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Laissez-Faire Radical: A Quest for the Historical Mises*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 237-253.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Logic of Action II: Applications and Criticism from the Austrian School*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 1997.

ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2008.

ROTHE (Dawn L.), *State Criminality: The Crime of All Crimes*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2009.

ROTHE (Dawn L.) & COLLINS (Victoria), *An Exploration of Applying System Criminality to Arms Trafficking*, *International Criminal Justice Review*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2011, pp. 22-38.

ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MULLINS (Christopher W.), *The Death of State Sovereignty? An Empirical Exploration*, *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2010, pp. 79-96.

ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MULLINS (Christopher W.), *The International Criminal Court and United States Opposition*, *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2006, pp. 201-226.

ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MUZZATTI (Stephen L.), *Enemies Everywhere: Terrorism, Moral Panic, and US Civil Society*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2004, pp. 327-350.

ROUPA (Pola), *Oral Declaration to the Court*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

ROUSE (Margaret), *Hacktivism*, Search Security, 2007.

ROUSSEAU (Jean-Jacques), *Du Contrat Social*, Paris: Gallimard, 2004.

ROUSSELLE (Duane), *Max Stirner's Post-Post-Anarchism: A Review Essay*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2013, pp. 157-165.

ROUSSELLE (Duane) & EVREN (Süreyya) (ed.), *Post-Anarchism: A Reader*, London: Black Point and Pluto, 2011.

ROY (Jennifer), *Legalized Racism*, Canadian Race Relations Foundation, July 14, 2015.

ROY (Jennifer), *Racism in the Justice System*, Canadian Race Relations.

ROWLAND (Jill) ET AL., *Whither Cyberpower?*, *International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 124-137.

RUBENSON (Daniel) ET AL., *Does Low Turnout Matter? Evidence from the 2000 Canadian Federal Election*, *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2007, pp. 442-450.

RUBENSTEIN (Richard L.), *Jihad and Genocide*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2010.

RUBIN (Daniel Ian), *Mindcrime and Doublethink: Using Music to Teach Dystopian Literature*, *English Journal*, Vol. 101, No. 2, 2011, pp. 74-79.

RUBIN (Jennifer), *Iran: Missed Opportunities and False Hope*, Washington Post, July 22, 2013.

RUBIN (Michael), *Khatami and the Myth of Reform in Iran*, Washington Institute, 2002.

RUDY (Alan) & LIGHT (Andrew), *Social Ecology and Social Labor: A Consideration and Critique of Murray Bookchin*, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1995, pp. 75-106.

RUMMEL (Rudolph J.), *Death by Government*, foreword by Irving Louis Horowitz, New Brunswick: Transactions, 1994.

RUNKLE (Gerald), *Anarchism, Old and New*, New York: Delacorte Press, 1972.

RUSHE (Dominic), *BP and Government Lawyers Prepare for Battle over Environmental Cost of Spill*, *Guardian*, Feb. 22, 2013.

RUSSELL (Bertrand), *History of Western Philosophy*, London: Routledge, 2004.

RUSSELL (Bertrand), *Proposed Roads to Freedom: Socialism, Anarchism and Syndicalism*, University Park: A Penn State Electronic Classics Series.

RUYS (Tom), *Of Arms, Funding and "Non-lethal Assistance" – Issues Surrounding Third-State Intervention in the Syrian Civil War*, *Chinese Journal of International Law*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 13-53.

SABATINI (Peter), *Libertarianism: Bogus Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1994-1995.

SABERAN (Foad), *Les Bahaïs d'Iran en Danger*, Libération, Août 26, 2008.

SADAT (Leila Nadya), *A Presumption of Guilt: The Unlawful Enemy Combatant and the U.S. War on Terror*, Denver Journal of International Law and Policy, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2009, pp. 539-553.

SADAT (Leila Nadya), *Terrorism and the Rule of Law*, Washington University Global Studies Law Review, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2004, pp. 135-154.

SADEGHI (Fereshteh), *Iranians Denounce BBC Report Claiming Secret Contact between Khomeini, US*, Al-Monitor, June 14, 2016.

SADEGHI-BOROUJERDI (Eskandar), *Head of Revolutionary Guards on Security and Energy in the Persian Gulf*, Iran Pulse, Jan. 25, 2013.

SAID (Sammy), *The 10 Richest Lawyers in the World*, Richest, July 25, 2013.

SAID (Sammy), *Who Are the 10 Highest Paid Lawyers in the USA?*, Richest, Oct. 28, 2013.

SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, Liberty: Fifth Estate, 2005.

SALTMAN (Richard B.), *The Social and Political Thought of Michael Bakunin*, Westport Greenwood Press, 1983.

SAMMONS (Anthony), *The Under-Theorization of Universal Jurisdiction: Implications for Legitimacy on Trials of War Criminals by National Courts*, Berkeley Journal of International Law, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2003, pp. 111-143.

SAMUEL (Henry), *Former Tunisian Dictator Ben Ali's Wife Claims: 'I Was a Woman of the People'*, Telegraph, July 1, 2012.

SAMUEL (Henry), *Hollande Hits Back at Ex-Girlfriend's Claims that He 'Doesn't Like the Poor'*, Telegraph, Sep. 5, 2014.

SAMUEL (Henry), *Nicolas Sarkozy 'Spent £30,000 of Taxpayers' Money to Repatriate Son from Ukraine*, Telegraph, Feb. 1, 2012.

SAMUELS (Richard J.), *Japan's Rhetoric of Crisis: Prospects for Change after 3.11*, Journal of Japanese Studies, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 97-120.

SANDEFUR (Rebecca L.), *Lawyers' Pro Bono Service and American-Style Civil Legal Assistance*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2007, pp. 79-112.

SANDELL (Clayton), *Prosecutor in O. J. Simpson Trial Accuses Johnnie Cochran of Tampering with Evidence*, ABC News, Sep. 9, 2012.

SANDERS (John T.) & NARVESON (Jan), *For and Against the State: New Philosophical Readings*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1996.

SANDLER (Paul Mark), *The Trial of William Penn*, Shapiro Sher Guinot and Sandler, Feb. 9, 2007.

SANDLER (Ronald) & CAFARO (Philip) (ed.), *Environmental Virtue Ethics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2005.

SAPON (Vladimir) & ROBINO (Sam), *Right and Left Wings in Libertarianism*, Canadian Social Science, Vol. 5, No. 6, 2009, pp. 135-142.

SARKISIAN (Joseph), *Poll: 75% of Americans Don't Support an Israeli Strike on Iran*, PolicyMic, 2012.

SARTWELL (Crispin), *Against the State: An Introduction to Anarchist Political Theory*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2008.

SAUNDERS (David), *Anti-Lawyers: Religion and the Critics of Law and State*, London: Routledge, 1997.

SAUNDERS (David), *The Critical Jurist and the Moment of Theory*, Postcolonial Studies, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2007, pp. 77-92.

SAUNDERS (Darren) & THOMAS (Samantha), *Honorary Doctorates: Well Deserved, or Just a Bit of Spin?*, Conversation, Nov. 30, 2011.

SAWAHEL (Wagdy), *Arab States: Division over Doctorates for Despots*, University World News, June 12, 2011.

SAWERS (Larry) & WACHTEL (Howard M.), *Theory of the State, Government Tax and Purchasing Policy, and Income Distribution*, Review of Income and Wealth, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1975, pp. 111-124.

SCALBERT (Augustin), *Un Peu Malade à Odessa, Pierre Sarkozy Rapatrié aux Frais de l'Etat*, Rue 89, Jan. 31, 2012.

SCHÄFER (Burkhard) & BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Emerging Legal Orders: Formalism and the Theory of Legal Integration*, Ratio Juris, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 486-505.

SCHAFER (Stephen), *The Political Criminal: The Problem of Morality and Crime*, New York: Free Press, 1974.

SCHAPIRO (J. Salwyn), *Pierre Joseph Proudhon, Harbinger of Fascism*, American Historical Review, Vol. 50, No. 4, 1945, pp. 714-737.

SCHÄRER (Roland), *The Council of Europe and the Reduction of Statelessness*, Refugee Survey Quarterly, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2006, pp. 33-39.

SCHARFF (Robert C.) & DUSEK (Val) (ed.), *Philosophy of Technology: The Technological Condition*, Malden: Blackwell, 2003.

SCHEER (Christopher), *Ten Appalling Lies We Were Told About Iraq*, AlterNet, June 26, 2003.

SCHEINGOLD (Stuart), *The Politics of Rights: Lawyers, Public Policy, and Political Change*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1974.

SCHELL (Frank), *India: America's New Gendarme or Strategic Partner?*, National Strategy Forum, 2008.

SCHENKER (David), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, World Affairs, 2012.

SCHEPT (Judah), *(Un)Seeing like a Prison: Counter-Visual Ethnography of the Carceral State*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, pp. 198-223.

SCHLEE (Günther), *Customary Law and the Joys of Statelessness: Idealised Traditions versus Somali Realities*, Journal of Eastern African Studies, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2013, pp. 258-271.

SCHLEEF (Debra), *Reproducing Racism: White Space, Elite Law Schools, and Racial Inequality*, book review, Social Forces, Vol. 88, No. 3, 2010, pp. 1488-1490.

SCHLOSSER (Kolson), *Malthus at Mid-Century: Neo-Malthusianism as Bio-Political Governance in the Post-WWII United States*, Cultural Geographies, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2009, pp. 465-484.

SCHMIDT (Michael), *Religious Fundamentalist Regimes: A Lesson from the Iranian Revolution 1978-1979*, Zabalaza, No. 2, 2002.

SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame: The Revolutionary Class Politics of Anarchism and Syndicalism*, Oakland: AK Press, 2009.

SCHNEIROV (Richard), *From the Trial to the Hangings*, Illinois During the Gilded Age, 2008.

SCHONER (Penny) & RATCLIFFE (David) (ed.), *Perversions of Justice: Indigenous Peoples and Angloamerican Law by Ward Churchill*. Published by City Lights Books, San Francisco, March 2003, talk by Ward LeRoy Churchill to mark the book release at First Congregational Church of Oakland, Radical, Feb. 22, 2003.

SCHOW (Ashe), *Obama's "Friend" Calls Israel a "Terrorist State"*, Foundry, Nov. 21, 2012.

SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), *Native American Anarchism: A Study of Left-Wing Anarchist Individualism*, Port Townsend: Loompanics, 1983.

SCHWEMLE (Barbara L.), *Salaries of Federal Officials: A Fact Sheet*, Report for Congress, Center for Resource Solutions, Jan. 11, 2005.

SCHWORM (Peter), *UMass Revokes Mugabe's Honorary Degree*, Boston Globe, June 28, 2008.

SCOTT (James C.), *The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*, New Heaven and London: Yale University Press, 2009.

SCOTT (John T.), *The Theodicy of the Second Discourse: The "Pure State of Nature" and Rousseau's Political Thought*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 86, No. 3, 1992, pp. 696-711.

SCOTT OF THE INSURGENCY CULTURE COLLECTIVE, *The Anarchist Response to Crime*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

SEABROOK (Jeremy), *The No-Nonsense Guide to Class, Caste and Hierarchies*, New York: Verso Books, 2004.

SELLARS (Simon), *Hakim Bey: Repopulating the Temporary Autonomous Zone*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 83-108.

SEGAN (Sascha), *Internet Sites Try to Sell Votes*, ABC News, Aug. 20, 2000.

SEHIMI (Mustapha), *Sport et Politique: Valeurs ou Opium du Peuple?*, L'Économiste, June 11, 2014.

SEKELJ (Laslo), *Has Anarcho-Cummnism a Future?*, Raven, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1990, pp. 15-25.

SELIGMAN (Edwin Robert Anderson), *The Income Tax: A Study of the History, Theory, and Practice of Income Taxation at Home and Abroad*, New York: Macmillan, 1911.

SELIGMAN (Lara), *Iran Warns Attack on Syria Would Trigger Retaliation on Israel*, Hill, Aug. 28, 2013.

SELTZER (Larry), *NSA Spying Will Not Change Internet Governance*, Zero Day, Oct. 28, 2013.

SENIOR (Paul) ET AL., *Understanding Modernisation in Criminal Justice*, Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2007.

SENZEE (Thom), *Overcoming the Stigma of 'Vexatious Litigator': An Impossible Task?*, Huffington Post, Aug. 4, 2014.

SERAFYN (Jennifer), *Government Lawyering Externship Program*, Boston University's Law School, Apr. 14, 2014.

SERGE (Victor), *Our Anti-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1910.

SERRANO (Luis Gonzalez), *El Salvador Tragic: 10 Roque Dalton Poems from 3 Books*, Cordite Poetry Review, Feb. 1, 2013.

SEWELL (Anne), *Mass Arrests: 'Critical Mass' Cycle Ride during Olympics Opening*, Digital Journal, July 27, 2012.

SEYF (Ahmad), *Iran: Theocracy and Taxation Dilemma*, View Point, June 14, 2013.

SHAER (Matthew), *The Case(s) against Law School*, New York Magazine, Mar. 4, 2012.

SHAH (Anup), *Militarization and Weaponization of Outer Space*, Global Issues, Jan. 21, 2007.

SHAH (Niaz A.), *Islamic Law and the Law of Armed Conflict: The Conflict in Pakistan*, London: Routledge, 2011.

SHAH (Sabir), *US Wars in Afghanistan, Iraq to Cost \$6 Trillion*, Global Research, Sep. 20, 2013.

SHAHINE (Alaa), *Economy Not for Donkeys as Crisis Tops Iran Voter Concerns*, Bloomberg, June 13, 2013.

SHAHVAR (Soli), *The Islamic Regime in Iran and Its Attitude towards the Jews: The Religious and Political Dimensions*, Immigrants and Minorities, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2009, pp. 82-117.

SHANNON (Deric) & ROGUE (J.), *Refusing to Wait: Anarchism and Intersectionality*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Active Anarchy: Political Practice in Contemporary Movements*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2011.

SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Green Syndicalism: An Alternative Red/Green Vision*, New York: Syracuse University Press, 2012.

SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Neither Justice Nor Crime (We Are All Criminals Now)*, Journal of Radical Criminology, No. 3, 2014, pp. 7-11.

SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Reflections on the End of Work*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Syndicalism, Ecology and Feminism: Judi Bari's Vision*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

SHARKEY (Noel), *Cassandra or False Prophet of Doom: AI Robots and War*, IEEE Computer Society, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2008, pp. 14-17.

SHARMA (Hemant) & GLENNON (Colin), *A Case for Supreme Court Term Limits? The Changing Ideological Relationship between Appointing Presidents and Supreme Court Justices*, Politics and Policy, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2013, pp. 267-297.

SHAYLER (David), *Evidence Shows ISIS Is Just a Zionist Operation*, Neon Nettle, Sep. 20, 2014.

SHEA (Tusa), *Anna Halprin's 10 Myths: Mutual Creation and Non-Totalizing Collectivity*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 2, 2011, pp. 9-30.

SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, London: Reaktion Books, 2003.

SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *DIY Urbanism as an Environmental Justice Strategy: The Case Study of Times Up! 1987-2012*, Theory in Action, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 40-73.

SHERLOCK (Michael), *Violent Christianity: Refuting the Christian Apologists at Come Reason Ministries*, Word Ppress, July 7, 2014.

SHIBLAK (Abbas), *Stateless Palestinians*, Forced Migration Review, No. 26, 2006, pp. 8-9.

SHIELDS (Christopher John), *The Blackwell Guide to Ancient Philosophy*, Malden: Blackwell, 2003.

SHIFFMAN (Ron) ET AL. (ed.), *Beyond Zuccotti Park: Freedom of Assembly and the Occupation of Public Space*, Oakland: New Village Press, 2012.

SHIMOGAWA (Duane), *Federal Judge Kevin Chang Trading Downtown Condo for Sustainable Luxury Home Project in Honolulu*, Pacific Business News, June 10, 2014.

SHIPKA (Thomas A.), *A Critique of Anarchism*, Studies in Soviet Thought, Vol. 27, No. 3, 1984, pp. 247-261.

SHIPP (Patricia), *'Robert Kardashian Hid Evidence that Would Have Nailed OJ Simpson for the Murder of Nicole and My Son': Fred Goldman Makes Sensational Claim on 20th Anniversary of Slayings*, Daily Mail, June 9, 2014.

SHIVA (Vandana), *The Lies of Free Market Democracy*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 15, 2011.

SHOHAM (Shlomo Giora) ET AL. (ed.), *International Handbook of Criminology*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2010.

SHONE (Steve J.), *American Anarchism*, Leiden: Brill, 2013.

SHOUD (Sarah), *Excellent Article: CDCr's Attempt to Silence Prisoners, Ban Critical "Oppositional" Publications*, Prisoner Hunger Strike Solidarity, June 22, 2014.

SHUKAITIS (Stephen) & GRAEBER (David Rolfe) (ed.), *Constituent Imagination: Militant Investigations, Collective Theorization*, Oakland: AK Press, 2007.

SHUKIN (Nicole), *Security Bonds: On Feeling Power and the Fiction of an Animal Governmentality*, English Studies in Canada, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2014, pp. 177-198.

SHULMAN (Alix Kates) (ed.), *Red Emma Speaks: Selected Writings and Speeches*, New York: Random House 1972.

SIDDIQUI (Haroon), *Canada Should Make Voting Mandatory*, Toronto Star, June 11, 2014.

SIEMIATYCKI (Myer), *The Diversity Gap: The Electoral Under-Representation of Visible Minorities*, DiverseCity: The Greater Toronto Leadership Project, Nov. 2011.

SIEVERS (Ken), *WikiLeaks Party Peace Delegation to War Torn Syria*, Stringer, Jan. 11, 2014.

SIKAND (Yoginder), *On Islam and Gender Equality*, Countercurrents, Aug. 18, 2009.

SILVERLEIB (Alan), *Dominique Strauss-Kahn: A Brilliant Career, a Stunning Accusation*, CNN, May 18, 2011.

SILVERSTEIN (Helena), *The Symbolic Life of Law: The Instrumental and the Constitutive in Scheingold's*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 407-423.

SIMON (Yves) & KUIC (Vukan), *A Note on Proudhon's Federalism*, Publius, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1973, pp. 19-30.

SIMONS (Tal) & INGRAM (Paul), *Enemies of the State: The Interdependence of Institutional Forms and the Ecology of the Kibbutz, 1910-1997*, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 48, No. 4, 2003, pp. 592-621.

SIMPSON (Barry D.), *The Cultural Degradation of Universal Education: The Educational Views of Robert Lewis Dabney*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2006, pp. 47-60.

SINA (Ali), *Understanding Muhammad: A Psychobiography of Allah's Prophet*, 2nd ed., 2008.

SINGER (Peter), *Animal Liberation: A New Ethics for Our Treatment of Animals*, New York: New York Review and Random House, 1975.

SINGER (Peter Warren), *Military Robots and the Laws of War*, New Atlantis, No. 23, 2009, pp. 25-45.

SISAK (Michael), *Powell Pleads Guilty*, Times-tribune, July 1, 2009.

SITTA (Salman Abu), *Israel's Seizure, Confiscation and Sale of Palestinian Property*, London: Palestine Land Society, Aug. 2009.

SKILLINGTON (Tracey), *Climate Change and the Human Rights Challenge: Extending Justice beyond the Borders of the Nation State*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 16, No. 8, 2012, pp. 1196-1212.

SKINNER (Stephen), *The Right to Life, Democracy and State Responsibility in 'Urban Guerilla' Conflict: The European Court of Human Rights Grand Chamber Judgment in Giuliani and Gaggio v. Italy*, *Human Rights Law Review*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2011, pp. 567-577.

SKIRDA (Alexandre), *Facing the Enemy: A History of Anarchist Organization from Proudhon to May 1968*, trans. by Paul Sharkey, London: AK Press, 2002.

SLAUGHTER (Graham), *45-Day Jail Sentence for Toronto Police Officer Who Beat G20 Protester*, *Toronto Star*, Dec. 9, 2013.

SLEDGE (Matt) & REILLY (Ryan J.), *NDA Signed into Law by Obama Despite Guantanamo Veto Threat, Indefinite Detention Provisions*, *Huffington Post*, Jan. 3, 2013.

SLOAN (Alastair), *Who Are the 'Political Prisoners' in Saudi and Iran?*, *Middle East Monitor*, Apr. 30, 2014.

SMALL (Peter), *Judge Allows One G20 Class Action Lawsuit to Seek Certification, while Staying a Second One*, *Toronto Star*, Aug. 30, 2011.

SMALL (Peter), *Toronto G20 Trial: Police Officer Glenn Weddell Denies Assaulting Bystander*, *Toronto Star*, May 28, 2013.

SMITH (Adam), *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, Edinburg: Thomas Nelson, 1843.

SMITH (Charlie), *Supreme Court of Canada Rules that Three Prostitution Laws Are Unconstitutional*, *Straight*, Dec. 20, 2013.

SMITH (David), *Mandela Family Fall out as Lawyers Argue over Former President's Legacy*, *Guardian*, May 17, 2013.

SMITH (George Hamilton), *Justice Entrepreneurship in a Free Market*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 405-426.

SMITH (Jennifer), *The Law Profession's One Percent*, *Wall Street Journal*, Apr. 16, 2012.

SMITH (Jordan), *Judge Edith Jones: Blacks and Hispanics More Violent: Complaint Filed Over Jones's Discriminatory and Biased Comments*, *Austin Chronicle*, June 4, 2013.

SMITH (Malcolm Barry Estes), *Is There a Prima Facie Obligation to Obey the Law?*, *Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 82, No. 5, 1973, pp. 950-976.

SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty: Ethics, Biopolitics, and Saving the Natural World*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2011.

SMITH (Sam), *The True Cost of Reagan and Extreme Capitalism*, *Scoop*, June 21, 2004.

SMITH (Tony), *The Case against Free Market Environmentalism*, *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1995, pp. 126-144.

SMOOT (Stephen), *How ISIS' Perceived Strengths Could Also Be Their Undoing*, *Town Hall*, Sep. 14, 2014.

SNELGROVE (Corey), *Insurgent Settler Research: Preliminary Thoughts*, *Word Press*, Dec. 2012.

SNIDER (Laureen), *The Sociology of Corporate Crime: An Obituary*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2000, pp. 169-206.

SNYDER (Gary), *Buddhist Anarchism*, *Bureau of Public Secrets*, 1961.

SOAVE (Robby), *Inequality Thrives at Public Universities, Where Execs Live Like Kings*, *Reason*, June 11, 2014.

SOLDZ (Stephen), *Healers or Interrogators: Psychology and the United States Torture Regime*, *Psychoanalytic Dialogues*, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2008, pp. 592-613.

SOLE (Sam) & BRÜMMER (Stefaans), *The Kept Politician: Zuma's Willing Benefactors*, *Mail and Guardian*, Dec. 7, 2012.

SOLOMON (Martha), *Emma Goldman*, Boston: Twayne, 1987.

SOLTIS (Andy), *French Prez Hollande Will Pick Lover in Time for Obama Visit*, *New York Post*, Jan. 14, 2014.

SOMFALVI (Attila), *Obama to Meet Netanyahu, But Not Rohani*, *Ynetnews*, Sep. 17, 2013.

SONG (Susan), *Polyamory and Queer Anarchism: Infinite Possibilities for Resistance*, *Anarchist Library*, 2012.

SONN (Richard D.), *Sex, Violence, and the Avant-Garde: Anarchism in Interwar France*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2010.

SORENSEN (John), *Constructing Terrorists: Propaganda about Animal Rights*, *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2009, pp. 237-256.

SOROOSHYARI (Nahid), *The Tensions between Feminism and Libertarianism: A Focus on Prostitution*, *Washington University Jurisprudence Review*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2010, pp. 167-193.

SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), *Green Criminology*, Aldershot and Burlington: Ashgate, 2006.

SPARKS (Ian), *Carla Bruni-Sarkozy Faces Backlash over Controversial Minister's Sex Scandal*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 10, 2009.

SPARKS (Ian), *First Lady Costs a Third of Carla: Hollande's Girlfriend Costs French Taxpayers £36,000 a Month less than Sarkozy's Wife*, *Daily Mail*, May 6, 2013.

SPENCER (Richard), *Middle East Review of 2012: The Arab Winter*, *Telegraph*, Dec. 31, 2012.

SPENCER (Robert), *Libya's National Assembly: "Islamic Law Is the Source of Legislation in Libya. All State Institutions Need to Comply with This"*, *Jihad Watch*, Dec. 5, 2013.

SPENCER (Robert) & CHESLER (Phyllis), *The Violent Oppression of Women in Islam*, Los Angeles: David Horowitz Freedom Center, 2007.

SPENCER (Saranac Hale), *Former Nazi Guard Dies on Eve of Judge's Extradition Order*, *Legal Intelligencer*, July 25, 2014.

SPITZER (Steven), *Punishment and Social Organization: A Study of Durkheim's Theory of Penal Evolution*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1975, pp. 613-638.

SPOONER (Lysander), *A Letter to Grover Cleveland*, Boston: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1886.

SPOONER (Lysander), *An Essay on the Trial by Jury*, Boston: John Punchard Jewett, 1852.

SPOONER (Lysander), *Let's Abolish Government*, New York: Arno Press and New York Times, 1972.

SPOONER (Lysander), *No Treason*, Anarchist Library, 1870.

SPOONER (Lysander), *The Unconstitutionality of Slavery*, Boston: Bela Marsh, 1860.

SRIRAM (Chandra Lekha) ET AL., *Justice Delayed? Internationalised Criminal Tribunals and Peace-Building in Lebanon, Bosnia and Cambodia*, Conflict, Security and Development, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2011, pp. 335-356.

STABLE (Carol A.) & MOROOKA (Junya), 'Between Two Evils, I Refuse To Choose The Lesser', Cultural Studies, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 2003, pp. 326-348.

STAHL (Roger), *Carving Up Free Exercise: Dissociation and "Religion" in Supreme Court Jurisprudence*, Rhetoric and Public Affairs, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2002, pp. 439-458.

STAMM (Ed) ET AL., *Consent or Coercion*, Anarchist Library, 1995.

STANTON (John), *United Kingdom, United States and Israel Kings of Pain*, Global Research, May 13, 2004.

STAPLETON (Michael), *Seattle Anarchists Imprisoned for Refusing to Testify before a Grand Jury*, World Socialist Web Site, Feb. 25, 2013.

STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets: Political Violence and Social Control in the Global Era (Protest Policing)*, New York: New York University Press, 2011.

STARR (Amory) ET AL., *The Impacts of State Surveillance on Political Assembly and Association: A Socio-Legal Analysis*, Qual Sociol, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2008, pp. 251-270.

STARR (Amory) & FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Legal Control and Resistance Post-Seattle*, Social Justice Vol. 36, No. 1, 2009, pp. 41-60.

STARR (Amory) & FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *The Legal Arena of Social Control Protest: Policing since Seattle*, Social Justice, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2008.

STAUFFER (Dana Jalbert), *Aristotle's Account of the Subjection of Women*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 70, No. 4, 2008, pp. 929-941.

STECKLOW (Steve) ET AL., *Khamenei Controls Massive Financial Empire Built on Property Seizures*, Reuters, Nov. 11, 2013.

STEDEN (Ronald van), *Privatizing Policing: Describing and Explaining the Growth of Private Security*, Amsterdam: Vrije University, 2007.

STEIGERWALD (Lucy), *Baby, I'm an Anarchist, You're a Member of Al-Qaeda*, Reason, Aug. 3, 2011.

STEINHARDT (Jennifer L.), *Law Students, Faculty Protest Racial Incidents*, Harvard Crimson, Apr. 16, 2002.

STEVENSON (Bryan), *The Presumption of Guilt*, Huffington Post, July 8, 2013.

STEVERMAN (Ben), *American Express Banks on Federal Help*, Bloomberg BusinessWeek, Nov. 12, 2008.

STEWART (Louis H.), *Changemakers (RLE: Jung): A Jungian Perspective on Sibling Position and the Family Atmosphere*, New York: Routledge, 1992.

STIGLITZ (Joseph E.), *Freefall: America, Free Markets, and the Sinking of the World Economy*, New York: William Warder Norton, 2010.

STINSON (Scott), *Scott Stinson: How the Ontario Liberals Pulled off Their Majority Win despite Years of Scandal*, National Post, June 12, 2014.

STIRNER (Max), *Art and Religion*, Anarchist Library, 1842.

STIRNER (Max), *Stirner's Critics*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, trans. by Steven Tracy Byington, intr. by James L. Walker, New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1907.

STOHL (Michael) & LOPEZ (George A.) (ed.), *Government Violence and Repression: An Agenda for Research*, New York: Greenwood Press, 1986.

STOKER (Sam), *Framing the 'RNC 8*, In These Times, Oct. 8, 2008.

STONE (Matthew), *Law, Ethics and Levinas's Concept of Anarchy*, Australian Feminist Law Journal, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2011, pp. 89-105.

STOSSEL (John F.), *No Regulation?, No Problem*, Creators, Jan. 2, 2013.

STOSSEL (John F.), *The ObamaCare Regulation Overload*, YouTube, May 7, 2013.

STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Life Without Law: An Introduction to Anarchist Politics*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civil: A Brief Philosophical and Political Introduction to the Concept of Post-Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

STRATMANN (Thomas) & GARNER (Jared), *Judicial Selection: Politics, Biases, and Constituency Demands*, Public Choice, Vol. 118, No. 3-4, 2004, pp. 251-270.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law: The Political Economy of Choice*, New Brunswick and London: Transaction, 2007.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy, State and Public Choice*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998-1999, pp. 53-77.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Minimizing Resource Costs under a Gold Standard: From Warehouse Deposits to Deposit Banking*, *Journal of Economics and Finance Education*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2010, pp. 1-5.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Overlapping Jurisdictions, Proprietary Communities, and Competition in the Realm of Law*, *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, Vol. 162, No. 3, 2006, pp. 516-534.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & MILES (Caleb J.), *Repelling States: Evidence from Upland Southeast Asia*, *Review of Austrian Economics*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2012, pp. 17-32.

STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol. 78, No. 3, 2011, pp. 290-301.

STROBEL (Mike), *Gas Plants Scandal Will Linger Despite Liberal Win*, *Toronto Sun*, June 12, 2014.

STROBEL (Warren), *Iran's Sponsorship of Terrorism Sees "Marked Resurgence": U.S.*, *Reuters*, May 30, 2013.

STROMBERG (Joseph R.), *Sovereignty, International Law, and the Triumph of Anglo-American Cunning*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2004, pp. 29-93.

STROUD (Scott R.), *Selling Democracy and the Rhetorical Habits of Synthetic Conflict: John Dewey as Pragmatic Rhetor in China*, *Rhetoric and Public Affairs*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2013, pp. 97-132.

STROUP (Richard L.), *Free-Market Environmentalism*, *Library of Economics and Liberty*, 2008.

STUART (Susan), *War as Metaphor and the Rule of Law in Crisis: The Lessons we Should Have Learned from the War on Drugs*, *Southern Illinois University Law Journal*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-43.

STURMAN (Henry), *Morality and Libertarianism*, Nov. 22, 1999 (<http://www.henrysturman.com/articles/morality.html>).

SUBVERSIVE ENERGY, *Beyond Animal Liberation*, *Anarchist Library*, 2012.

SUDBURY (Julia), *Celling Black Bodies: Black Women in the Global Prison Industrial Complex*, *Feminist Review*, No. 70, 2002, pp. 57-74.

SUDBURY (Julia) (ed.), *Global Lockdown: Race, Gender, and the Prison-Industrial Complex*, New York: Routledge, 2005.

SUGAKO (Kanno), *Reflections on the Way to the Gallows*, *Anarchist Library*, 1911.

SUGARMAN (David) (ed.), *Legality, Ideology and the State*, London: Academic Press, 1983.

SUGDEN (John) & TOMLINSON (Alan), *Power and Resistance in the Governance of World Football: Theorizing FIFA's Transnational Impact*, *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1998, pp. 299-316.

SUGIE (Naomi F.), *Punishment and Welfare: Paternal Incarceration and Families' Receipt of Public Assistance*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 90, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1403-1427.

SUISSA (Judith), *Anarchism and Education: A Philosophical Perspective*, London: Routledge, 2006.

SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice: Healing the Foundations of Our Everyday Lives*, Monsey: Willow Tree Press, 2001.

SUMNER (Colin) (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Criminology*, Malden: Blackwell, 2004.

SUNDERLIN (William D.), *Ideology, Social Theory, and the Environment*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003.

SUNSHINE (Spencer), *Nietzsche and the Anarchists*, *Fifth Estate*, No. 367, 2004-2005, pp. 36-37.

SUROWIECKI (James), *The Wisdom of Crowds: Why the Many Are Smarter Than the Few and How Collective Wisdom Shapes Business, Economies, Societies and Nations*, New York: Doubleday, 2004.

SUTHERLAND (Edwin Hardin), *Is "White Collar Crime" Crime?*, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1945, pp. 132-139.

SUTHERLAND (Edwin Hardin), *White-Collar Criminality*, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1940, pp. 1-12.

SÜTZL (Wolfgang) & COX (Geoff) (ed.), *Creating Insecurity: Art and Culture in the Age of Security*, Brooklyn: Autonomedia, 2009.

SWARTZ (Clarence Lee), *What Is Mutualism?*, 1927.

SWEETMAN (Mick), *Occupying Housing from the Pope Squat to Occupy Toronto*, *Rabble.ca* Dec. 19, 2011.

SWINFORD (Steven) ET AL., *Britons Who Go to Syria 'Are Guilty until Proved Innocent'*, *Says Boris Johnson*, *Telegraph*, Aug. 24, 2014.

SYAL (Rajeev), *Nicolas Sarkozy to Meet David Cameron at No 10*, *Guardian*, June 3, 2013.

SYKES (Gresham M.), *The Corruption of Authority and Rehabilitation*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1956, pp. 257-262.

SZASZ (Thomas), *Suicide Prohibition: The Shame of Medicine*, Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 2011.

TABER (Jane), *Trudeau Urges Parliament Recall to Debate Syria*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 27, 2013.

TADDESE (Yamri), *Lawyer Who Slept with Family Law Client in Joint Retainer Battles Suspension Bid*, *Law Time*, Apr. 1, 2013.

TADROS (Samuel), *Egypt's Elections: Why the Islamists Won*, *World Affairs*, Mar./Apr. 2012.

TLADI (Dire), *Africa: What Will Africa Make of Its Opportunity to Lead the ICC?*, *AllAfrica*, July 30, 2014.

TAHERI (Amir), *Has the Time Come for Military Intervention in Syria?*, American Foreign Policy Interests, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 217-220.

TAIBBI (Matt), *A Rare Look at Why the Government Won't Fight Wall Street*, Rolling Stone, Sep. 18, 2012.

TAIT (Robert), *Ayatollah Ali Khamenei Controls £60 Billion Financial Empire, Report Says*, Telegraph, Nov. 12, 2013.

TAIT (Robert), *Economics Is for Donkeys*, New Statesman, Sep. 11, 2008.

TAMÁS (Gáspár Miklós), *Ethnarchy and Ethno-Anarchism*, Social Research, Vol. 63, No. 1, 1996, pp. 147-190.

TAN (Kok-Chor), *Luck, Institutions, and Global Distributive Justice: A Defence of Global Luck Egalitarianism*, European Journal of Political Theory, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2011, pp. 394-421.

TANNEHILL (Morris and Linda), *The Market for Liberty*, Lansing: Morris and Linda Tannehill, 1970.

TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2013, pp. 255-284.

TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, Forthcoming in Criminal Law and Philosophy, Osgoode CLPE Research Paper No. 23/2012, 2012.

TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, The Jack and Mae Nathanson Centre on Transnational Human Rights, Crime and Security, YouTube, Nov. 25, 2011.

TAWFEEQ (Mohammed) ET AL., *Terrifying Execution Images in Iraq; U.S. Embassy in Baghdad Relocates Some Staff*, CNN, June 15, 2014.

TAYLOR (Blair), *From Alterglobalization to Occupy Wall Street: Neoanarchism and the New Spirit of the Left*, City, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2013, pp. 729-747.

TAYLOR (Bron Raymond), *The Tributaries of Radical Environmentalism*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2008, pp. 27-61.

TAYLOR (Michael), *Anarchy and Cooperation*, London: Wiley, 1976.

TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1982.

TAYLOR (Paul A.), *From Hackers to Hacktivists: Speed Bumps on the Global Superhighway?*, New Media and Society, Vol. 7, No. 5, 2005, pp. 625-646.

TEACHOUT (Zephyr), *The Historical Roots of Citizens United v. FEC: How Anarchists and Academics Accidentally Created Corporate Speech Rights*, Harvard Law a Policy Review, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2011, pp. 163-187.

TEDESCO (Theresa), *Day of Reckoning Nears for Ontario as Growing Deficit Raises Risk of Fresh Credit Downgrade*, Financial Post, June 6, 2014.

TEFFT (Katerina), *Veganarchism*, Manitoban, Jan. 28, 2014.

TELHAMI (Shibley), *The February 2012 Israeli Public Opinion Survey*, Brookings, Feb. 29, 2012.

TERENCE, *Free Software as Part of the Anarchist Toolkit*, Anarkismo, June 27, 2007.

TESÓN (Fernando), *Libertarianism and Morality*, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Dec. 31, 2011.

TEUBNER (Gunther) (ed.), *Dilemmas of Law in the Welfare State*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1986.

THE CRIMETHINC. WORKERS' COLLECTIVE, *Days of Love, Nights of War: Crimethink for Beginners*, Atlanta: CrimethInc., 2001.

THE ELECTORAL COMMISSION, *UK General Election 2010, Campaign Spending Report*, Feb. 2011.

THE LEVELLER, *Killer Cops: Licensed to Kill*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

THÉNAULT (Sylvie), *Défendre les Nationalistes Algériens en Lutte pour l'Indépendance. La «Défense de Rupture» en Question*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 121-135.

THOMAS (Paul), *Karl Marx and Max Stirner*, Political Theory, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1975, pp. 159-179.

THOMAS (Paul), *Karl Marx and the Anarchists*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1980.

THOMPSON (Elizabeth), *PM's Security Squad Racked up More than \$23 Million in Cost Overruns*, iPolitics, Apr. 22, 2014.

THOMSON (David I. C.), *Law School 2.0: Legal Education for a Digital Age*, Newark: LexisNexis, 2009.

THORBURN (Malcolm), *Reinventing the Night-Watchman State?*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2010, pp. 425-444.

THOREAU (Henry David), *Civil Disobedience*, Anarchist Library, 1848.

THOREAU (Henry David), *Walden: Life in the Woods*, Anarchist Library, 1854.

THORNE (Kym) & KOUZMIN (Alexander), *The USA PATRIOT Acts (et al.): Convergent Legislation and Oligarchic Isomorphism in the "Politics of Fear" and State Crime(s) Against Democracy (SCADs)*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2010, pp. 885-920.

THORNHILL (Ted), *Austerity, Moi? Nicolas Sarkozy Spends £10k a Day on Food and Keeps 121 Cars under His Palace*, Daily Mail, Feb. 7, 2012.

THORNTON (Margaret), *Privatising the Public University: The Case of Law*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012.

THORNTON (Mark) & WEISE (Chetley), *The Great Depression Tax Revolts Revisited*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2001, pp. 95-105.

THORPE (Charles) & WELSH (Ian), *Beyond Primitivism: Towards a Twenty-First Century Anarchist Theory and Praxis for Science and Technology*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2008, pp. 48-75.

TIBI (Bassam), *Islamic Law/Shari'a, Human Rights, Universal Morality and International Relations*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 1994, pp. 277-299.

TIBI (Bassam), *Islamism and Democracy: On the Compatibility of Institutional Islamism and the Political Culture of Democracy*, *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2009, pp. 135-164.

TIEBOUT (Charles Mills), *A Pure Theory of Local Expenditures*, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 64, No. 5, 1956, pp. 416-424.

TIERSMA (Peter), *Lawyer Jokes*, *Language and Law*.

TILLY (Charles), *Social Movements, 1768-2004*, Boulder: Paradigm, 2004.

TINKER (George E.) & FREELAND (Mark), *Thief, Slave Trader, Murderer: Christopher Columbus and Caribbean Population Decline*, *Wicazo Sa Review*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2008, pp. 25-50.

TINNEVELT (Ronald), *Federal World Government: The Road to Peace and Justice?*, *Cooperation and Conflict*, Vol. 47, No. 2, 2012, pp. 220-238.

TISDALL (Simon), *Ten Questions NATO Faces in Its Fight to Combat ISIS*, *Guardian*, Sep. 6, 2014.

TITARENKO (Larissa) ET AL., *The Interaction of State Repression, Protest Form and Protest Sponsor Strength during the Transition from Communism in Minsk, Belarus, 1990-1995*, *Mobilization*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2001, pp. 129-150.

TITUNIK (Regina F.), *Are We All Torturers Now? A Reconsideration of Women's Violence at Abu Ghraib*, *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2009, pp. 257-277.

TOBGUI (Fawzia), *De l'Anarchisme au Fédéralisme. Articulation entre Droit et État dans le Système Politique de Proudhon*, *What's New*, July 8, 2005.

TODD (Douglas), *Has the Term, 'Visible Minority,' Outlived its Usefulness?*, *Vancouver Sun*, Mar. 17, 2010.

TOGNINA (Andrea), *The Forgotten History of the Libertarian Left*, *Swissinfo.ch*, Aug. 29, 2012.

TOLL (Ian W.), *The Less Than Heroic Christopher Columbus*, *Sunday Book Review*, Sep. 23, 2011.

TOLSTOY (Leo), *Patriotism and Government*, 1900.

TOLSTOY (Leo), *The Kingdom of God Is Within You*, *Anarchist Library*, 1893.

TOMLINSON (Alan), *Lord, Don't Stop the Carnival: Trinidad and Tobago at the 2006 FIFA World Cup*, *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2007, pp. 259-282.

TOMLINSON (Kathy), *B.C. Woman May Lose Home over Huge Lawyer Bill*, *CBC News*, Dec. 3, 2012.

TONRY (Michael), *Racial Politics, Racial Disparities, and the War on Crime*, *Crime and Delinquency*, Vol. 40, No. 4, 1994, pp. 475-494.

TORBATI (Yeganeh), *Human Rights Lawyer, Freed from Prison*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 18, 2013.

TORBATI (Yeganeh) & HEMMIN (Jon), *Iran's Supreme Leader Does Not Reign Supreme*, *Dhaka Tribune*, Aug. 27, 2013.

TORPEY (John), *Coming and Going: On the State Monopolization of the Legitimate "Means of Movement"*, *Sociological Theory*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1998, pp. 239-259.

TORRE (Massimo La), *The Hierarchical Model and H. L. A. Hart's Concept of Law*, *Revus*, No. 21, 2013, pp. 141-161.

TORRES (Bob) & TORRES (Jenna), *Vegan Freak: Being Vegan in a Non-Vegan World*, Oakland: PM Press and Tofu Hound Press, 2010.

TOTTEN (Michael J.), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, *World Affairs*, 2012.

TOTTEN (Michael J.), *US Criticized by Tunisian Secularists for Backing Islamists*, *World Affairs*, Mar. 21, 2012.

TRASK (H. Arthur Scott), *William Graham Sumner: Against Democracy, Plutocracy, and Imperialism*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2004, pp. 1-27.

TRAUTMAN (Brian J.), *When Power Is Unaccountable: Why the NDAA Is Unconstitutional*, *CounterPunch*, Jan. 18, 2012.

TRAUTMAN (Frederic), *The Voice of Terror: A Biography of Johann Most*, Westport: Green Press, 1980.

TRAVIS (Alan) ET AL., *Britain 'Sliding into Police State'*, *Guardian*, Jan. 28, 2005.

TREKI (Ali Abdussalam), *Deformed Babies in Fallujah*, *Uruknet*, Oct. 14, 2009.

TRIMONDI (Victor and Victoria), *The Shadow of the Dalai Lama: Sexuality, Magic and Politics in Tibetan Buddhism*, trans. by Mark Penny, 2003.

TROIANOVSKI (Anton) ET AL., *U.S.-German Relations Fray over Espionage Allegations*, *Wall Street Journal*, July 10, 2014.

TRUSCELLO (Michael) & GORDON (Uri), *Whose Streets? Anarchism, Technology and the Petromodern State*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 9-27.

TSONCHEV (T. S.), *Witnessing the Pain of Others*, *Montreal Review*, Oct. 2010.

TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty: Selections from the Writings of Benjamin R. Tucker*, ed. by Clarence Lee Swartz, New York: Vanguard Press, 1926.

TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Instead of a Book, by a Man too Busy to Write One*, 2nd ed., New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1897.

TUCKER (Jeffrey Albert), *Secession: A Fundamental and Universal Right*, Liberty.me, July 4, 2014.

TUCKER (Kevin), *Egocide*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

TUCKER (Kevin), *Everywhere and Nowhere: The Pathology of the Machine*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

TUCKER (Kevin), *Revolt of the Savages: Primitive Revolts against Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

TUCKER (Kevin), *To Produce or Not to Produce*, Anarchist Library, 2004-2005.

TURCATO (Davide), *Making Sense of Anarchism: Errico Malatesta's Experiments with Revolution, 1889-1900*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

TURK (Austin T.), *Political Criminality: The Defiance and Defense of Authority*, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1982.

TURNER (John C.), *Tyranny, Freedom and Social Structure: Escaping our Theoretical Prisons*, British Journal of Social Psychology, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2006, pp. 41-46.

TURRIFF (Gordon), *Self-Governance as a Necessary Condition of Constitutionally Mandated Lawyer Independence in British Columbia*, the Conference of Regulatory Officers, Perth, Australia, Sep. 17, 2009.

TURRIFF (Gordon), *Self-Governance as a Necessary Condition of Constitutionally Mandated Lawyer Independence in British Columbia*, posted by Mandy Ostick, Courthouse Library BC, Jan. 26, 2010.

TURRIFF (Gordon), *The Consumption of Lawyer Independence*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 283-305.

TWIN CITIES ECO-PRISONER SUPPORT COMMITTEE, *Resist the Grand Juries: Fight the Green Scare*, Anarchist Library, 2007.

TWISS (Sumner B.), *Torture, Justification, and Human Rights: Toward an Absolute*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2007, pp. 346-367.

UN, *Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness*, New York, Aug. 30, 1961.

UN, *Human Rights in the Administration of Justice: A Manual on Human Rights for Judges, Prosecutors and Lawyers*, New York, 2003.

UN, *United Nations General Assembly Resolutions of Particular Relevance to Statelessness and Nationality*, Mar. 25, 2013.

UNESCO, *New Media: The Press Freedom Dimension, Challenges and Opportunities of New Media for Press Freedom*, Paris, 2007.

UNHCR, *Climate Change and Statelessness: An Overview*, May 15, 2009.

UNHCR, *Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons*, Feb. 2011.

UNHCR, *Media Backgrounder: Millions Are Stateless, Living in Legal Limbo*.

UNHCR, *Nationality and Statelessness: A Handbook for Parliamentarians*, Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2005.

UNHCR, *Stateless People*, 2008.

UNHCR, *The World's Stateless People: Questions and Answers*, Sep. 2007.

UNODC, *Access to Justice: Legal Defence and Legal Aid*, New York, 2006.

UNODC, *Handbook on Criminal Justice Responses to Terrorism*, New York, 2009.

URBINA (Ian), *Despite Red Flags about Judges, a Kickback Scheme Flourished*, New York Times, Mar. 27, 2009.

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY INSTITUTE, *Health and Environmental Consequences of Depleted Uranium Use by U.S. Army*, Federation of American Scientists, 1994.

VAISSE (Justin), *The 2012 French Presidential Elections: A Primer*, Brookings, Apr. 4, 2012.

VAJPEYI (Yogesh), *Presumption of Innocence to Cling to Power a Poor Reflection on Those Entrusted with Governance*, New Indian Express, May 26, 2013.

VALAYDEN (Rama), *Some Lessons from the Life of Jacques Vergès*, Le Mauricien, Sep. 16, 2013.

VALLENTYNE (Peter) ET AL., *Why Left-Libertarianism Is Not Incoherent, Indeterminate, or Irrelevant: A Reply to Fried*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2005, pp. 201-215.

VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics: The Contemporary Debate*, New York: Palgrave, 2000.

VALOVOI (Dmitrii Vasil'evich) & LAPSHINA (Genriëtta Evgen'evna), *Names on an Obelisk*, trans. by Peter Greenwood, Moscow: Progress, 1983.

VAN CREVELD (Martin), *The Rise and Decline of the State*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

VANEIGEM (Raoul), *Contributions to the Revolutionary Struggle, Intended to Be Discussed, Corrected, and Principally, Put into Practice Without Delay*, Anarchist Library, 1974.

VARESS (Majid), *Marzieh Akbarabadi*, YouTube, Mar. 1, 2013.

VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists: Aesthetes and Subversives During the Fin-de-Siècle*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1996.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Dialog on Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, Anarchist Library, 2006-2007.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anarchy and Organization: The Debate at the 1907 International Anarchist Congress*, Anarchist Library, 1907.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anti-Civ Gatherings: Reports from "Green Anarchist"*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Canenero"*, Anarchist Library, 1994-1997.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from Insurrection*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #1*, Anarchist Library, 2008.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #5*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles on Animal and Earth Liberation Struggles from "Green Anarchist"*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Ecodefense: A Field Guide to Monkeywrenching*, Anarchist Library, 1993.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Feral: A Journal Towards Wildness*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reconsidering Primitivism, Technology, and the Wild*, Anarchist Library, 2004.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reply by Several Russian Anarchists to the 'Platform'*, Anarchist Library, 1927.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Revolutionary Solidarity*, Anarchist Library, 1989-1994.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The International Anarchist Congress Held at the Plancius Hall, Amsterdam, on August 26th-31st, 1907*, Anarchist Library, 1907.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The Origins of Primitivism (1977-1988)*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *This Is What Democracy Looks Like*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Wildcat: Dodge Truck June 1974*, Anarchist Library, 1974.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience Volume 2, Number 6*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience, Volume 3, Number 1*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

VASILYEVA (Nataliya), *Edward Snowden Hits Hurdles in Search for Asylum*, Toronto Star, July 2, 2013.

VEESER (Cyrus), *Book Review: Power and Politics in Globalization: The Indispensable State (By Howard H. Lentner, Routledge, 2004)*, Business and Society Review, Vol. 110, No. 2, 2005, pp. 225-232.

VEUSE (Mohamed Jean), *Anarca-Islam*, PhD thesis, Department of Sociology, Queen's University Kingston, 2009.

VERHAREN (Charles), *Afrocentricity, Ecocentrism, and Ecofeminism: New Alliances for Socialism*, Socialism and Democracy, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2003, pp. 73-90.

VESCOVI (James), *Why Does Law School Cost So Much?*, Columbia Law School.

VINCENT (Coralie), *Pour Valérie Trierweiler, François Hollande N'Aime Pas les Pauvres et les Vachards*, Closer Magazine, Sep. 4, 2014.

VINCENT (K. Steven), *Between Marxism and Anarchism: Benoit Malon and French Reformist Socialism*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992.

VICINI (Antonella), *Shirin Ebadi: A Lesson on Human Rights*, Reset Doc, May 21, 2013.

VICOVAC (R. Dule), *Challenges in Providing Legal Aid to Displaced Persons Following Armed Conflict: Lessons Learned from Kosovo*, Journal of Human Rights Practice, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2013, pp. 195-206.

VIDAL (John), *Toxic 'E-Waste' Dumped in Poor Nations, Says United Nations*, Guardian, Dec. 14, 2013.

VISSER (Josh) & CROSS (Allison), *Shipping Stephen Harper's Armoured Limos to India Cost \$1 Million: DND*, National Post, Jan. 29, 2013.

VLASIC (Bill), *General Motors Might Not Survive without Government Help*, New York Times, Nov. 12, 2008.

VLTCHEK (Andre), *The Arab Spring Is Dead: Egypt's Failed Revolution*, Russia Today, Oct. 7, 2013.

VOORHEES (Josh), *Yes, We Cannabis*, Slate, June 10, 2014.

VOS (Jan De), *From Milgram to Zimbardo: The Double Birth of Postwar Psychology/Psychologization*, History of the Human Sciences, Vol. 23, No. 5, 2010, pp. 156-175.

VUKOVICH (Daniel F.), *China as Humanist Exemplum*, Cultural Politics, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2012, pp. 207-231.

WACHTEL (Jonathan), *Iran Gains Prominence at UN despite Human Rights Record*, Fox News, Apr. 24, 2014.

WADUGE (Shenali D.), *Lessons for Sri Lanka from the Libyan Experience*, LankaWeb, Aug. 28, 2013.

WAGE SLAVE X, *Capitalism, Technology and the Environment*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

WAHDAT-HAGH (Wahied), *Hassan Rohani: Iran's "Rational" President-Elect*, Commentator, June 24, 2013.

WALFISH (Daniel), *Making Lawyers Responsible for the Truth: The Influence of Marvin Frankel's Proposal for Reforming the Adversary System*, Seton Hall Law Review, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2005, pp. 613-666.

WALKER (James L.), *The Philosophy of Egoism*, Anarchist Library, 1905.

WALKER (Peter), *Ian Tomlinson Jury Shown Video of Moments before His Death*, Guardian, June 19, 2012.

WALKER (Samuel), *Popular Justice: A History of American Criminal Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1980.

WALSH (John), *Campus Unrest: Congress Ponders Federal Sanctions on Universities*, Science, Vol. 165, No. 3888, 1969, pp. 46-49.

WALSHE (Sadhbh), *California Prison Hunger Strike Is Call for Justice*, Guardian, July 16, 2013.

WALT (Lucien van der), *T. W. Thibedi (1888-1960): The Life of a South African Revolutionary Syndicalist*, Zabalaza, No. 13, 2013, pp. 45-46.

WALT (Lucien van der), *Who Rules South Africa? An Anarchist/Syndicalist Analysis of the ANC, the Post-Apartheid Elite Pact and the Political Implications*, Zabalaza, No. 13, 2013, pp. 7-13.

WALTERS (Guy), *The Moment I Shook Hands with Gestapo Monster Who Massacred Hundreds: Nazi Who Was Once a Waiter at the Savoy Dies Aged 100*, Daily Mail, Oct. 11, 2013.

WARD (Colin), *Anarchism: A Very Short Introduction*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, London: Freedom Press, 1996.

WARD (Dana), *Anarchy and the Internet*, 2001.

WARD (Colin), *The Anarchist Sociology of Federalism*, Anarchist Library, 1992.

WARD (Colin), *The Case Against Voting*, Anarchist Library, 1987.

WARD (Ian), *Introduction to Critical Legal Theory*, 2nd ed., London: Cavendish, 2004.

WARD (Jon), *Rand Paul Slams John McCain for Stripping NDAA of Protections against Indefinite Detention*, Huffington Post, Dec. 19, 2012.

WARE (Mark), *Peer Review: Benefits, Perceptions and Alternatives*, London: Publishing Research Consortium, 2008.

WARE (Mark), *Peer Review: Recent Experience and Future Directions*, New Review of Information Networking, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-53.

WARNER (Brian), *Judge Judy Net Worth*, Celebrity Net Worth.

WARNER (Brian), *Nelson Mandela Net Worth*, Celebrity Net Worth.

WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, New York: Burt Franklin, 1852.

WARREN (Josiah), *True Civilisation*, Anarchy Is Order, 1863.

WARREN (Mark E.), *Democracy and Deceit: Regulating Appearances of Corruption*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 50, No 1, 2006, pp. 160-174.

WATERFIELD (Bruno), *Libya: Tony Blair Defends 'Deal in the Desert' with Colonel Gaddafi*, Telegraph, Apr. 14, 2011.

WATNER (Carl), *Benjamin Tucker and his Periodical, Liberty*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1977, pp. 301-318.

WATNER (Carl), *Harry Browne – Have You Forgotten?: "The Lesser of Two Evils Is Still Evil"*, Voluntaryist, No. 85, Apr. 1997.

WATNER (Carl), *The "Criminal" Metaphor in the Libertarian Tradition*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 313-325.

WATNER (Carl), *The English Individualists as They Appear in Liberty*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1982, pp. 59-82.

WATSON (Adam), *The Limits of Independence: Relations between States in the Modern World*, London: Routledge, 1997.

WATSON (David), *Against the Megamachine: Essays on Empire and Its Enemies*, Brooklyn: Autonomedia, 1998.

WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin: Preface for a Future Social Ecology*, Brooklyn and Detroit: Autonomedia, 1996.

WATSON (David), *Swamp Fever, Primitivism and the "Ideological Vortex": Farewell to All That*, Anarchist Library, 1997.

WAYNE (Katherine), *Permissible Use and Interdependence: Against Principled Veganism*, Journal of Applied Philosophy, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2013, pp. 160-175.

WEARING (David), *Freedom to Follow Orders: The Democracy Bush and Blair Wanted for Iraq*, Open Democracy, Mar. 14, 2013.

WEINZWEIG (Ari), *A Lapsed Anarchist's Approach to Building a Great Business*, Ann Arbor: Zingerman's Press, 2010.

WEIR (David), *Anarchy and Culture: The Aesthetic Politics of Modernism*, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1997.

WEISS (Linda), *Globalization and State Power*, Development and Society, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2000, pp. 1-15.

WEISS (Penny A.) & KENSINGER (Loretta) (ed.), *Feminist Interpretations of Emma Goldman*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2007.

WEISS (Seth), *Marx, Proudhon and Alternatives to Capital*, News and Letters, 2005.

WEISS (Stanley), *Five Strategies for the U.S. in Syria*, Huffington Post, Apr. 23, 2013.

WEISSERT (Will), *Federal Judge Accused of Making Racial Comments*, New England Cable News, June 4, 2013.

WEISSMAN (Steve), *Indicting Reagan, Israel, and the God Squads in the Guatemalan Holocaust*, Reader Supported News, May 14, 2013.

WEIZMAN (Eyal), *The Least of All Possible Evils: Humanitarian Violence from Arendt to Gaza*, London: Verso, 2011.

WELCH (Michael), *Crimes of Power and States of Impunity: The U.S. Response to Terror*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2009.

WELLS (Crystal), *Somalia's Future Hinges on Its Youth*, Huffington Post, Aug. 26, 2014.

WENDT (Alexander), *Why a World State Is Inevitable*, European Journal of International Relations, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2003, pp. 491-542.

WENNERLIND (Carl), *The Death Penalty as Monetary Policy: The Practice and Punishment of Monetary Crime, 1690-1830*, History of Political Economy, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2004, pp. 131-161.

WERKHEISER (Ian), *Domination and Consumption: An Examination of Veganism, Anarchism, and Ecofeminism*, PhaenEx, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2013, pp. 135-160.

WERTZ (Frederick J.), *Of Rats and Psychologists: A Study of the History and Meaning of Science*, Theory and Psychology, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1994, pp. 165-197.

WESOŁOWSKI (Zbigniew), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism: A Cultural Movement in Early Twentieth-Century China under the Influence of the West and Japanese Examples*, book review, Monumenta Serica, Vol. 53, 2005, pp. 518-520.

WEST (Kevin), *The Persian Conquest*, W Magazine, July 2009.

WESTERN WILDLIFE UNIT OF THE ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT, *Memories of Freedom*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

WESTHUIZEN (Janis van der) & SWART (Kamilla), *Bread or Circuses? The 2010 World Cup and South Africa's Quest for Marketing Power*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2011, pp. 168-180.

WESTON (Greg) ET AL., *CSEC Used Airport Wi-Fi to Track Canadian Travellers: Edward Snowden Documents*, CBC News, Jan. 30, 2014.

WESTON (Greg) ET AL., *New Snowden Docs Show U.S. Spied during G20 in Toronto*, CBC News, Nov. 27, 2013.

WESTTHORP (Tanya), *Hundreds Mourn Ryan Pringle*, Gold Coast, Apr. 25, 2012.

WEXLER (Steve), *How Did Aristotle Get It Wrong About Women and Slaves?*, Voices, Vol. 1.1, 2005.

WEYMOUTH (Lally), *A Conversation with Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*, Washington Post, Sep. 24, 2006.

WHITE (Damian Finbar), *Hierarchy, Domination, Nature: Considering Bookchin's Critical Social Theory*, Organization and Environment, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2003, pp. 34-65.

WHITE (Jack), *After the Dust Settles: Lessons from the Summit Protests*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 8, 2004, pp. 3-9.

WHITE (Kim Kennedy) & DURAM (Leslie A.) (ed.), *America Goes Green: An Encyclopedia of Eco-Friendly Culture in the United States*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2013.

WHITE (Robert W.) & WHITE (Terry Falkenberg), *Repression and the Liberal State: The Case of Northern Ireland, 1969-1972*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, pp. 330-352.

WHITE, JR. (Lynn Townsend), *The Historical Roots of our Environmental Crisis*, Science, Vol. 155, No. 3767, 1967, pp. 1203-1207.

WHITE (Michael), *Diary: Those Qataris, eh. They're so Willing to Help, No One's beyond the Pale*, Guardian, Apr. 11, 2013.

WHITE (Rob), *Depleted Uranium, State Crime and the Politics of Knowing*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2008, pp. 31-54.

WHITE (Robert) & SPROULE (Warren), *Don't Mourn the Death of Theory, Organize! Globalization and the Rhizome of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Continuum, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2002, pp. 317-333.

WHITE (Welsh S.), *Litigating in the Shadow of Death: Defense Attorneys in Capital Cases*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2006.

WHITEHEAD (John W.), *Jailing Americans for Profit: The Rise of the Prison Industrial Complex*, Rutherford Institute, Apr. 10, 2012.

WHITLOW (Maynard), *Max Stirner and the Heresy of Self-Abundance*, Anarchist Library, 1950.

WICKMAN (Forrest), *"Churn That Bill, Baby!" How Did Lawyers Get Such a Bad Reputation? And Do They Overbill All the Time?*, Slate, Mar. 26, 2013.

WIEBE (Michael), *Toward an Anarchist Constitutional Political Economy*, University of Manitoba, 2010.

WIECK (David), *Anarchist Justice*, Anarchist Library, 1978.

WIECK (David), *The Negativity of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1975.

WIENERON (Jon), *What Makes Ohio State the Most Unequal Public University in America?*, Nation, May 19, 2014.

WIJNGAARDS (John), *Greek Philosophy on the Inferiority of Women, Women Can Be Priests*.

WILBUR (Shawn P.), *On Proudhon's Income Tax Proposal*, Libertarian Labyrinth, Nov. 28, 2013.

WILDCAT, *Outside and Against the Unions*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

WILDE (Oscar), *The Soul of Man Under Socialism*, New York: Humboldt, 1891.

WILDROOTS, *In Defense of Omnivorosity*, Anarchist Library, 2011.

WILKES-EDRINGTON (Lindsay), *Farm Worker Conditions Likened to Modern Slavery (VIDEO)*, Huffington Post, Feb. 1, 2013.

WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Anarcha-chaos and Order: On the Emergence of Social Justice*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2001, pp. 223-252.

WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change: Theoretical Integrations and Critical Applications*, New York: Springer, 2004.

WILLIAMS (Garrath), *Hobbes: Moral and Political Philosophy*, Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, July 5, 2005.

WILLIAMS (Ian), *Ramsey Clark, the War Criminal's Best Friend*, Salon, June 21, 1999.

WILLIAMS (J. B.), *Obama Attacks Libya, and Where's Congress?*, American Thinker, Mar. 20, 2011.

WILLIAMS (Leonard), *Anarchism Revived*, New Political Science, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2007, pp. 297-312.

WILLIAMS (Leonard), *Hakim Bey and Ontological Anarchism*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 109-137.

WILLIAMS (Patricia J.), *L’Affaire DSK: Presumption of Innocence Lost*, Nation, May 24, 2011.

WILLIAMS (Walter E.), *Government Theft, American Style*, World Net Daily, Aug. 6, 2008.

WILLS (Santiago), *Did Reagan Finance Genocide in Guatemala?*, ABC News, May 14, 2013.

WILLSHER (Kim), *French President Francois Hollande Is Embroiled in Sex Scandal*, Los Angeles Times, Jan. 13, 2014.

WILNER (Michael), *JCPOA Anniversary: Has Iran Complied with the Nuclear Deal?*, Jerusalem Post, July 7, 2016.

WILNER (Michael), *US Seeks to Expedite Talks with Iran over ISIS*, Jerusalem Post, June 16, 2014.

WILNER (Michael), *US Voices Disagreement with Netanyahu’s Outlook at UN on Political Islam*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 30, 2014.

WILSON (James G.), *Noam Chomsky and Judicial Review*, Cleveland State Law Review, Vol. 44, No. 4, 1996, pp. 439-472.

WILSON (John), *Social Protest and Social Control*, Social Problems, Vol. 24, No. 4, 1977, pp. 469-481.

WILSON (Matt) & KLEINER (Dmytri), *Luddite Cybercommunism: An Email Exchange*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 73-84.

WING (Nick), *Edith Jones, Federal Judge, Faces Rare Supreme Court-Ordered Review Over Alleged Racist Remarks*, Huffington Post, June 13, 2013.

WITTES (Benjamin) (ed.), *Legislating the War on Terror: An Agenda for Reform*, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2009.

WLADIMIROFF (Michail), *Commentary: The Assignment of Defence Counsel before the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1999, pp. 957-968.

WOLF (Frieder Otto), *The Missed Rendezvous of Critical Marxism and Ecological Feminism*, Capitalism Nature Socialism, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2007, pp. 109-125.

WOLFF (Robert Paul), *In Defense of Anarchism*, New York: Harper and Row, 1970.

WOLFGANG (Ben), *Obama Weighs in for Campaign Finance Limits*, Washington Times, Oct. 8, 2013.

WOLLAN (Laurin A.), *Lawyers in Government: “The Most Serviceable Instruments of Authority”*, Public Administration Review, Vol. 38, No. 2, 1978, pp. 105-112.

WONG (Terry), *Are We Paying too Much for Law School?*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, pp. 1, 15.

WOOD (Nick), *Sarko’s 121 Cars Show Why We Don’t Want a French-Style Privacy Law*, Daily Mail, Feb. 7, 2012.

WOOD (Simon A.), *Rethinking Fundamentalism: Ruhollah Khomeini, Mawlana Mawdudi, and the Fundamentalist Model*, Journal for Cultural and Religious Theory, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2011, pp. 171-198.

WOOD (Stephen), *U.S. and India: World’s Oldest and Largest Democracies Share Historical Ties*, DipNote, June 22, 2013.

WOODARD (Ben), *Schellingian Thought for Ecological Politics*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 2, 2013, pp. 86-108.

WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism: A History of Libertarian Ideas and Movements*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2004.

WOODCOCK (George) & AVAKUMOVIC (Ivan), *The Anarchist Prince: A Biographical Study of Peter Kropotkin*, London: Thomas Volney Boardman, 1950.

WOODHOUSE (Craig), *TOP Judges Have Blown Nearly £18,000 of Taxpayers’ Cash on a Series of Countryside Getaways*, Sun, Feb. 24, 2013.

WOODS (Allan), *Lac Megantic: Think-Tank’s Report Blames Weak Government Regulation*, Toronto Star, Oct. 22, 2013.

WOODS, JR. (Thomas E.), *Symposium Introduction on Federalism, War, and Reconstruction*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2002, pp. 1-2.

WORKERS’ SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *Housing and Squatting*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

WORKERS’ SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *Why Class Struggle and Revolution From Below? And Why Do We Oppose Capitalism and the State?*, Anarchist Library, 2005.

WRIGHT (Oliver), *ISIS Threat: David Cameron Wants an Alliance with Iran*, Independent, Aug. 17, 2014.

WRIGHT (Oliver), *Taxpayers Can ‘Well Afford’ to Contribute to Estimated £10M Cost of Baroness Thatcher’s Funeral*, Says William Hague, Independent, Apr. 10, 2013.

WRIGHT (Robin), *Iran’s Green Movement*, United States Institutes of Peace, Dec. 15, 2009.

WRIGHT (Robin), *Wright Monday, Iran’s Green Movement Reaches Out to U.S.*, Time, Nov. 23, 2009.

WRIGHT (Steve), *Civilising the Torture Trade*, Guardian, Mar. 13, 2003.

WRIGHT (Steve), *The New Technologies of Political Repression: A New Case for Arms Control?*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, pp. 31-62.

WROUGHTON (Lesley), *U.S. Mulls Discussions with Iran over Iraq Security Crisis*, Official Says, Huffington Post, June 15, 2014.

X (Malcolm), *The Ballot or the Bullet*, Cleveland, EdChange, Apr. 3, 1964.

YACOVONE (Donald), *A Covenant with Death and an Agreement with Hell*, Massachusetts Historical Society, 2005.

YAMASHITA (Masakazu), *One-and-a-Half Years since the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident, Japan Has Learned Nothing: Resumption of Nuclear Operations*, International Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol. 69, No. 5, 2012, pp. 697-704.

YAN (Holly) ET AL., *White House Pushes Congress on Syria after Obama's Recoil*, CNN, Sep. 1, 2013.

YANG (Jennifer), *'Officer Bubbles' Identified other Toronto Officers to G20 Watchdog*, Toronto Star, May 23, 2012.

YANKAH (Ekow N.), *A Paradox in Overcriminalization*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-34.

YARDI (Efrat), *Israel's Ethiopians Suffer Different 'Planned' Parenthood*, Haaretz, Dec. 12, 2012.

YAROSHINSKAYA (Alla A.), *Secret Chernobyl Documents Expose the Cover-Up*, DiaNuke, Mar. 28, 2013.

YARROS (Victor S.), *Philosophical Anarchism: Its Rise, Decline, and Eclipse*, American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 41, No. 4, 1936, pp. 470-483.

YASHAR (Ari), *Libya Making Its Laws 'More Islamic'*, Israel National News, Nov. 15, 2013.

YASSOUR (Avraham), *Gustav Landauer: The Man, the Jew and the Anarchist*, Anarchist Library, 1989.

YASSOUR (Avraham), *Topos and Utopia in Landauer's and Buber's Social Philosophy*, Anarchist Library, 1982.

YATES (Roger), *Criminalizing Protests about Animal Abuse. Recent Irish Experience in Global Context*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 55, No. 5, 2011, pp. 469-482.

YAZDANPANA (Hamid), *Iran's Secret Massacre: The Untold Legacy*, Iran Focus, Aug. 12, 2014.

YAZDANPANA (Hamid), *Iran's 500-Execution Spree Shatters the Myth of Hassan Rouhani's Reform*, PolicyMic, Oct. 30, 2013.

YENKO (Athena), *ISIS Is a Zionist Plot; A Jew Is Leading the Group – Reports Claim*, International Business Times, Aug. 14, 2014.

YOUNG (Andy), *Police Offer £200 to Report Drink Drivers*, Drinks Business, Dec. 2, 2013.

YOUNG (Evelyn Y.), *The Four Personae of Racism: Educators' (Mis)Understanding of Individual Vs. Systemic Racism*, Urban Education, Vol. 46, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1433-1460.

YOUNG (Jeffrey), *Health Care Reform Lives: What Obama Must Do To Ensure It Thrives*, Huffington Post, Nov. 7, 2012.

YOUNG (Stephen), *According to the Deep Web, ISIS May Be Encouraging Really Lame Attacks in Texas*, Dallas Observer, Sep. 17, 2014.

ZAHAH (Alexander), *Legal Aid, Self-Representation, and the Crisis at The Hague Tribunal*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2008, pp. 241-263.

ZAIOTTI (Ruben), *Revisiting Schengen: Europe and the Emergence of a New Culture of Border Control*, Perspectives on European Politics and Society, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2007, pp. 31-54.

ZAND ERVIN (Manda), *The Secret Negotiations between Carter and Khomeini*, American Thinker, July 6, 2016.

ZARCHIN (Tomer), *Tip of the Iceberg of Israeli Organized Crime?*, Haaretz, Aug. 19, 2011.

ZEITUNE (José), *International Principles on the Independence and Accountability of Judges, Lawyers and Prosecutors*, Practitioners' Guide Series No. 1, Geneva: International Commission of Jurists, 2004.

ZELDEN (Charles L.), *The Judicial Branch of Federal Government: People, Process, and Politics*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2007.

ZENGERLE (Patricia), *U.S. Vote in 2012 Will Be Record, \$6 Billion Election*, Reuters, Aug. 30, 2011.

ZERZAN (John) (ed.), *Against Civilization: Readings and Reflections*, Los Angeles: Feral House, 2005.

ZERZAN (John), *Against Technology: A Talk by John Zerzan (April 23, 1997)*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

ZERZAN (John), *Industrialism and Its Discontents: The Luddites and Their Inheritors*, Anarchist Library, 2013.

ZERZAN (John), *No Way Out?*, Anarchist Library, 2003.

ZERZAN (John), *Organized Labor versus "The Revolt Against Work"*, Anarchist Library, 2010.

ZEYDABADI-NEJAD (Saeed), *Iranian Intellectuals and Contact with the West: The Case of Iranian Cinema*, British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2007, pp. 375-398.

ZHANGAZHA (Takura), *The ICC's Problematic 'Heart of Darkness' Approach in Africa*, Nehanda Radio, Oct. 21, 2013.

ZHAO (Jihong Solomon) ET AL., *Community Policing: Did It Change the Basic Functions of Policing in the 1990s?*, Justice Quarterly, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2003, pp. 697-724.

ZIMMERMAN (Jesse), *York Federation of Students Passes Divestment Resolution against Israel*, Rabble.ca, Mar. 29, 2013.

ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy: From Animal Rights to Radical Ecology*, 4th ed., Upper Saddle River: Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2005.

ZINN (Howard), *The Conspiracy of Law*, Anarchist Library, 1971.

ZINN (Howard), *The Zinn Reader: Writings on Disobedience and Democracy*, 2nd ed., New York: Seven Stories Press, 2009.

ZUNES (Stephen), *Arab Revolutions and the Power of Nonviolent Action*, National Catholic Reporter, Dec. 1, 2011.

ZUNJIC (Bob), *Jean Paul Sartre: The Humanism of Existentialism II*, University of Rhode Island, 1946.

ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *The Economics of Credit Cards*, George Mason Law and Economics Working Paper No. 00-22, 2000.

ZYWICKI (Todd J.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Common Law and Economic Efficiency*, George Mason University Law and Economics Research Paper Series 10-43, Sep. 8, 2010.

Abdolkarim Soroush (<http://www.answers.com/topic/abdolkarim-soroush>; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abdolkarim_Soroush).
About the ISE, Institute for Social Ecology.
Academic Employment Opportunities, York University, July 1, 2013 (<http://webapps.yorku.ca/academichiringviewer/viewposition.jsp?positionnumber=1315>).
Access to Justice: Ensuring Meaningful Access to Counsel in Civil Cases: Response to the Fourth Periodic Report of the United States to the United Nations Human Rights Committee, prepared by Columbia Law School Human Rights Clinic, 2013.
ACLU Plans to Investigate Rainbow Family Treatment, High Times, July 7, 2008.
Africa and the International Criminal Court: Mending Fences, Avocats Sans Frontières, Kampala and Brussels, July 2012.
After Phone Call with Obama, Haaretz, Sep. 28, 2013.
A Guide to Doing Business in Saudi Arabia: Taxes, AME Info, Oct. 6, 2011.
Ahmadinejad: Israel Will Disappear From Map, YouTube, June 3, 2008.
All Eyes on Rohani and Obama as UN Summit Opens, France 24, Sep. 24, 2013.
American Presidents Dogs (<http://www.mans-best-friend.org.uk/american-presidents-dogs.htm>).
American Public Opinion Polls: Opinion toward Iran (2010-2012), Jewish Virtual Library.
An Anarchist Response to the Police Murder at a Rainbow Gathering near Tenterfield NSW, IndyMedia, May 3, 2012.
Anarchism and the Platformist Tradition: An Archive of Writings on the Platformist Tradition within Anarchism, Anarchist Platform.
Anarchist Gerald Koch Imprisoned for Refusing to Testify before Grand Jury, Voice of Russia, Oct. 15, 2013.
Anarchists 'Shot Nuclear Boss Roberto Adinolfi', BBC News, May 11, 2012.
Anarchist Turner Tells of His Fight; Was Stared At on Ellis Island as If a Wild Animal, New York Times, Mar. 14, 1904.
Anger at G8 Police 'Brutality', Daily Mail, 2001.
Animal Liberation Front Supporters Group Newsletter, Animal Liberation Front Supporters Group, Apr. 2009.
Animals in War: The Unseen Casualties, Animal Aid, June 1, 2003.
Anti-Voting, Anarchist Library.
Applying the Schengen Agreement of 14 June 1985, Hellenic Resources Network, June 19, 1990.
Are Lawyers Liars or Is It Just a Myth?, Yahoo, Mar. 4, 2009.
Arms Lobbyist Karlheinz Schreiber, Made Infamous for Dealings with Brian Mulroney, Convicted in Germany, National Post, Nov. 14, 2013.
Arrest Blair for Crimes Against Peace (<http://www.arrestblair.org/>).
Arrest Leads to Rainbow Riot, Trib, July 5, 2008.
Arrests in Critical Mass Bike Ride near Olympic Park, BBC News, July 28, 2012.
A Short Note on the General Theory of Anarchist Economics, Anarchist International Information Service.
As Marijuana Legalization Spreads in Some Parts of U.S., Some States Use Federal Grants to Crack Down Harder, AllGov, June 25, 2014.
Attorney Misconduct, Free Dictionary.
Aux Francs-Tireurs: Richard Martineau avec «l'Avocat de la Terreur», YouTube, Feb. 6, 2012.
Babak Andalib-Goortani Back at Work on Toronto Police Force, CBC News, Feb. 18, 2015.
Bankers Gone Wild – How the US Government Helped Wall Street Gang Rape America's Middle Class, What Really Happened.
Berlusconi Gets Seven Years in Jail for 'Bunga Bunga' Scandal, Euro Sport, June 25, 2013.
Bettencourt Affair: Sarkozy Secret Cash Case 'Dropped', BBC News, Oct. 7, 2013.
Beyond Treason: The True Story of Depleted Uranium, YouTube, Aug. 24, 2011.
Bill C-309 Passed: Mask Up Anyway, Vancouver Media Co-op, June 19, 2013.
Bill C-309: Preventing Persons from Concealing Their Identity during Riots and Unlawful Assemblies Act, Open Parliament, June 19, 2013.
Biometric Stock Directory Updated: Global Biometric Market Anticipated to Reach a Value of USD 23.3 Billion by 2019, InvestorIdeas, Aug. 13, 2014.
'Black Bloc' Anarchist Group Confronts Power in Brazil, Many Injured, Voice of Russia, Oct. 27, 2013.
Blair: Anarchists Will Not Stop Us, BBC News, June 16, 2001.
Blair War Crimes Foundation, Word Press.
Blogger's Death Stirs Political Hornet's Nest in Iran, Middle East Experience, Dec. 26, 2012.
Bo (dog), Wikipedia.
Boston Police Accused of Spying on Protesters and Intimidating Dissidents, Russia Today, Oct. 18, 2012.
Boston Police Spied on Occupy Protesters instead of Investigating Tsarnaevs, Russia Today, May 10, 2013.
Britain, War Crimes and the International Criminal Court, Guardian, May 15, 2014.

Bush Administration Lies for War, Americans Against World Empire, May 2003.
Bush and Blair Discuss the Mideast, New York Times, Nov. 12, 2004.
Bush Crimes (<http://www.bushcrimes.net/>).
Bushel's Case (1670) 124 E.R. 1006, Wikipedia.
Bush's Crimes, Friends of the Earth.
Bush Scandals List, NetRootsMass, June 2, 2009.
Calling Judges Lord, Lordship, Your Honour not Mandatory: Supreme Court, Times of India, Jan. 6, 2014.
Canada Allowed U.S. to Spy on G20 Leaders at Toronto Summit, Top Secret Documents Reveal, National Post, Nov. 28, 2013.
Canada 'Does Not Condone Torture,' Toews Says, CTV News, Feb. 7, 2012.
Canada to Introduce Pot Legislation Next Spring, Minister Tells UN, CTV News, Apr. 20, 2016.
Canadian Law School Profiles, Oxford Seminars.
Carlo Gambuzzi (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carlo_Gambuzzi; <http://www.yatedo.com/p/Carlo+Gambuzzi/famous/c50dbfd17da8612f31b596a8e6a49be7>).
Cartooning Canada's Governor Generals, Filibuster Cartoons.
Census 2001 Highlights: Factsheet 6: Visible Minorities and Ethnicity in Ontario, Ontario Ministry of Finance, Feb. 5, 2003.
Ce qu'il Faut Savoir sur l'Interdiction du Cumul des Mandats, Le Nouvel Observateur, Juillet 4, 2013.
Chaos Mars Saddam Court Hearing, BBC News, Dec. 5, 2005.
Charges Dropped against Former French President Nicolas Sarkozy, Deutsche Welle, Oct. 7, 2013.
Chernobyl Cover-Up A Catalyst for 'Glasnost', NBC News, Apr. 24, 2006.
Chicago National Lawyers Guild Legal Observer® Arrested While Documenting Ongoing Police Violence in Ferguson, MO, eNews Park Forest, Aug. 21, 2014.
Chief Admits 5-Metre G20 Security Rule Didn't Exist, CBC News, June 29, 2010.
Classification of Visible Minority, Statistics Canada, June 15, 2009.
Community Policing, Vancouver Police Department.
Community Policy Defined, Community Oriented Policing Services.
Consumption by the United States, Associated Press, 2008.
Controversial French Lawyer Verges Dies at 88, France 24, Aug. 16, 2013.
Corporal Punishment of Children Remains Common Worldwide, Studies Find, Science Daily, Aug. 9, 2010.
Cost of National Security, National Priorities.
Counterculture Group Plans Vancouver Island Gathering, CBC News, Aug. 8, 2013.
Country Reports on Terrorism 2012, U.S. Department of State, 2013.
Courthouse Design and Finance State Links, National Center for State Court.
Court Room Etiquette, Judiciary of Scotland, 2014.
Crime Against Humanity: Indict Iran's Ruling Mullahs for Massacre of 30,000 Political Prisoners, Foreign Affairs Committee of the National Council of Resistance of Iran, Auvers-sur-Oise, 2001.
Critical Legal Studies (<http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/bridge/CriticalTheory/critical2.htm>; <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/bridge/CriticalTheory/critical2.htm>; <http://elearning.la.psu.edu/crim-soc467/lesson-3/legal-process-theory-and-critical-legal-studies-movement>; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Critical_legal_studies).
Critical Mass Encourages Sustainable Reaction, Houston Chronicle, Oct. 24, 2013.
Critical Mass Police Ban Blocked by Law Lords, Guardian, Nov. 26, 2008.
CSIS Can Use Information Gained Through Torture: Secret Directive, Huffington Post, Feb. 7, 2012.
CSIS May Use Intelligence Derived from Torture, Toews Says, CBC News, Feb. 7, 2012.
Cumul des Mandats: Une Pratique Restreinte à Compter de 2017?, Vie Publique, Août 9, 2013.
Cycling Event Critical Mass Creating Chaos in Downtown Houston Streets?, ABC 13, Oct. 26, 2013.
David Abbasi avec Jacques Vergès, YouTube, July 17, 2012.
David G. Post: Research and Writing, Temple University Beasley School of Law, Aug. 2012.
Day Blames G20 Travel Alert on 'Small Group of Thugs', CTV News, June 20, 2010.
Day Says Security Certificate Info May Have Come from Torture, CBC News, Sep. 6, 2012.
Dead Lawyer Jokes, Murdering Lawyers (<http://www.murderinglawyers.com/deadlawyerjokes.htm>).
Debate: International Criminal Court, Debatepedia, Oct. 24, 2010.
Demonstrations and Rallies, City of Toronto.
De Nombreux Dénateurs Opposés au Non-Cumul des Mandats, France Inter, Sep. 18, 2013.
Desmond Tutu Calls for Blair and Bush to Be Tried over Iraq, BBC News, Sep. 2, 2012.
Desmond Tutu Calls for War Crimes Charges for Blair, Bush, CBC News, Sep. 2, 2012.
Did the British Lawyer Steven Kay Inflict Harm on the International Criminal Court?, African Press International, Feb. 26, 2012.
Dieudonné: La Bête Noire, YouTube, Mar. 29, 2012.
Diplomatic Standoff over Blind 'Barefoot Lawyer' Who Fled to U.S. Embassy as Chinese Government Fears More Dissidents Could Seek American Protection, Daily Mail, Apr. 30, 2012.

Disguising Cowardice as Pacifism in Australia, Anarchy and Chaos, Word Press, May 3, 2012.

'Donnie Brasco' Says Mob Controls Construction Via Unions, CBC News, Sep. 24, 2012.

Dr. Julie Macfarlane's Research on Self-Represented Litigants in the News, Windsor Law School, May 15, 2013.

Economics of War, Women and the Economy: A Project of United Nations Platform for Action Committee Manitoba, 2011.

Editorial: Compassion for Mubarak, Jakarta Post, June 5, 2012.

Edward Snowden Rumours Force Bolivian President's Plane to Detour, Toronto Star, July 3, 2013.

Edward Snowden's Whistleblowing Sparks a Debate America Needed to Have, Toronto Star, July 3, 2013.

Election Campaign Spending by Political Parties, UK Political Info.

Elections Présidentielles: A Nanterre, Faire Barrage à la Droite et au Front National, Gauche Citoyenne, 2013.

ELGC Syllabus – Osgoode Hall Law School, posted by Chantal Morton, International Forum on Teaching Legal Ethics and Professionalism, Apr. 27, 2011.

End All Corporal Punishment of Children (<http://www.endcorporalpunishment.org/>).

E. N. Thompson Forum 2012-2013: Dr. Shirin Ebadi-True Islam: Human Rights, Faith, and Women, NetNebraska, Feb. 26, 2013.

Entrevista a Susan Sontag a Barcelona, 2 Parts, YouTube, Sep. 29, 2008.

Ethiopian Birth Control?, Jerusalem Post, Dec. 10, 2012.

European Court of Human Rights Absolves Italy of Carlo Giuliani's Death, Italy Calling, Mar. 28, 2011.

Evangelicals Bring Iranian Jews to Israel, Ynetnews, Oct. 22, 2007.

'Executions, Amputations and Lashings': ISIS Commits War Crimes in Syria, UN Says, Assyrian International News Agency, Aug. 27, 2014.

Exclusive: 'I Don't Think I Have Any Kind of Problem with Women,' Strauss-Kahn Says, CNN, July 10, 2013.

Existentialism and Jean-Paul Sartre: Sartre and Kant on Ethics, School Worker Helper, 2013.

Ex-President Sarkozy's 10 Bodyguards to Cost over 700,000 Euros a Year, RFI, June 12, 2012.

Faculty Research Seminar Series: A Comment on 'No Comment', York University Events, Dec. 5, 2012.

First They Came for the Communists..., Make Me A Sammich, Oct. 12, 2012.

Former Minister's Allegation of Paedophilia Investigated, France 24, June 2, 2011.

France-Élection Partielle: Les Effets de l'Affaire Cahuzac, La Nouvelle Tribune, June 17, 2013.

France: Le Pays Est en Pleine Récession et le Chômage Augmente Encore, RTS.ch, Mai 5, 2013.

France's Hollande Bids for Relaunch amid Trierweiler Scandal, BBC News, Jan. 14, 2014.

François Hollande, le Président qui "N'Aime Pas les Pauvres"?, L'Express, Sep. 3, 2014.

Free Leah (<http://www.freeleah.org/>).

Free Nasrin Sotoudeh, Human Rights Lawyer in Prison in Iran, Petition by Safe World for Women, Change.

French Court Drops Probe into Sarkozy Campaign Cash, France 24, Oct. 7, 2013.

French Police Search Nicolas Sarkozy Home and Office, BBC News, July 3, 2012.

French President Hollande's Approval Rating Slips to 13PC – Making Him Most Unpopular Leader since War, Irish Independent, Sep. 4, 2014.

Funeral Costs 'Much Less than £10M', Daily Star, Apr. 17, 2013.

Furore in Israel over Birth Control Drugs for Ethiopian Jews, IRIN, Jan. 28, 2013.

Gaddafi Interpreter Says Libya Funded Sarkozy Campaign, BBC News, June 20, 2013.

Gang of Atlantic City Officers Brutally Beat Man, Release an Attack Dog to Gnow on His Neck, Police State USA, Sep. 30, 2013.

Gas Plant Scandal: We Kept Few Records, McGuinty Tells OPP, CBC News, June 13, 2014.

Genoa Protester Case Dismissed, BBC News, May 5, 2003.

German Prosecutors Criticized in Nazi Probe, Washington Observer Reporter, July 24, 2014.

Giorgio Mammoliti, Toronto City Councillor, Docked 3 Months Pay, CBC News, July 9, 2014.

Globalisation's Eclipse of the Nation-State: How Globalisation Is Overriding the Autonomy of the Nation-State, About.

God Bless America: US Civil Religion and the President as Prophet, Priest and Martyr of the Nation, Religion Factor, Oct. 15, 2012.

Government Recalls GM Stock, Washington Times, Dec. 26, 2012.

Greece: Revolutionary Struggle Claims Responsibility for Car Bomb Explosion in Athens City Centre, Contra Info, Apr. 25, 2014.

Green Groups to Sue US Government over Atmospheric Pollution, BusinessGreen, May 5, 2011.

Green Movement Alive and Well in Iran, Deutsche Welle, Feb. 17, 2012.

Growing Pains for Saudi Arabia's Withholding Tax, KPMG, Nov. 23, 2012.

Guatemalan Court Opens Door to Amnesty for Ríos Montt, Global Post, Oct. 23, 2013.

Guatemala: Court Seeks Amnesty for Ríos Montt, World War 4 Report, Oct. 29, 2013.

G20 Assault: Babak Andalib-Goortani Gets 45-Day Sentence, CBC News, Dec. 9, 2013.

G20 Class Action (<http://www.g20classaction.ca/>).

G20 Class-Action Lawsuit Seeks \$115M, CBC News, Sep. 2, 2010.

G20 Officer Found Not Guilty on Assault Charges, CBC News, May 31, 2013.

G20 Police Officer Assault Trial Postponed over New Video, CBC News, June 3, 2013.

Hamon Appelle à Voter UMP si Nécessaire, Le Figaro, Mar. 21, 2011.

Harper's Middle East Tour Costs Taxpayers \$2.1 Million, Chatham Daily News, June 2, 2014.

Hasan Rouhani's Israel Remarks Distorted, State Television Says, Huffington Post, Aug. 2, 2013.

Hassan Rasouli Case: Top Court Upholds Life-Support Right, CBC News, Oct. 18, 2013.

Has the Term Visible Minority Become Totally Obsolete?, Vancouver Sun, Apr. 6, 2010.

Health Care Budget Deficit Calculator, Center for Economic and Policy Research.

Hegel on the End of History, International Political Theory, Nov. 26, 2011.

H.E. Mrs. Suzanne Mubarak Granted an Honorary Doctorate from the University of Cairo, Cairo University, Sep. 16, 2010.

Henry Paulson Confronted on Threatening Congress with Martial Law, Infowars, Aug. 28, 2012.

H.E. Suzanne Mubarak Honorary Doctorate Ceremony, YouTube, Feb. 3, 2010.

Hillary Clinton: Internet Repression 'Will Fail', BBC News, Feb. 15, 2011.

Hollande Partner Trierweiler Costs France Three Times Less than Carla Bruni-Sarkozy, RFI, May 4, 2013.

Hollande Slams Accusations from Former First Lady that He Hates the Poor, CTV News, Sep. 5, 2014.

Hosseini Ronaghi-Maleki, CyberDissidents, Dec. 13, 2009.

H.Res. 1258 (110th): Impeaching George W. Bush, President of the United States, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors, GovTrack, June 11, 2008.

H.R.4655: Iraq Liberation Act of 1998 (Enrolled Bill (Sent to President)), Iraq Watc, Aug. 2006.

Ian Tomlinson Family Campaign (<http://www.iantomlinsonfamilycampaign.org.uk/>).

Ibrahim Turkmen, Asif-ur-Rehman Saffi, and Syed Amjad Ali Jaffri, Center for Constitutional Rights, Apr. 17, 2002.

'If this Is Legalization, then Justin Trudeau Lied': Jodie Emery Slams Police Crackdown on Marijuana Dispensaries, Global News, May 27, 2016.

If You Could experimentally Educate Children in Critical Thinking and Break the Cycle for one Generation, Religion Would Be Dead, Skeptical Avenger, Sep. 19, 2013.

IHRDC Chart of Executions by the Islamic Republic of Iran – 2013, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, Nov. 27, 2013.

IHRDC Chart of Executions by the Islamic Republic of Iran – 2015, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, Oct. 15, 2015.

I'm Afraid the Bitter Truth Is Iraq and Libya Were better off under the Tyrants Toppled by an Arrogant and Naive West, Daily Mail, July 31, 2014.

Immigrants in Canada Discover Their Credentials Are Worthless, Canadian Content, Oct. 25, 2003, p. F9.

Incoming Students, OHLS.

In Conversation with Peter Lamborn Wilson, Brooklyn Rail, Oct. 4, 2012.

Indemnities and Allowances, National Assembly of Québec, Mar. 25, 2014.

Indemnities, Salaries and Allowances Members of the House of Commons, Parliament of Canada, 2006.

Indemnity for Returning Officers at Police and Crime Commissioner Elections, Home Office and Ministry of Justice, Government of the United Kingdom, July 5, 2013.

Inequality and Inclusive Growth in Africa: A Conversation with South African Finance Minister Pravin Gordhan, Brookings, Apr. 17, 2013.

Inside Job, Other School of Economics, Apr. 15, 2011.

Iran Confirms Exchange of Letters with Obama, Reuters, Sep. 17, 2013.

Iranian Jews Offered \$10,000 Each to Come to Israel, New Jew, Nov. 5, 2007.

Iranian Jews to Israel: Our National Identity is Not for Sale, YouTube, Dec. 31, 2007.

Iranian Journalist Recalls Torture, Sharing Cell With Supreme Leader (To Be), Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Aug. 3, 2010.

Iranian Women Prisoners Detail Torture: 'Death Was Like a Desire', PBS NewsHour, June 10, 2011.

Iran No Defender of Women's Rights, Toronto Sun, Apr. 27, 2014.

Iran Prosecutor Confirms Blogger Sattar Beheshti Died in Police Custody, Guardian, Nov. 12, 2012.

Iran Releases Political Prisoners, including Sakharov Prize Winner Nasrin Sotoudeh, Russia Today, Sep. 18, 2013.

Iran Sending Military to Syria to Counter US, Prepare for Israel, Whiteout Press, June 17, 2013.

Iran's Jewish Community, YouTube, Oct. 1, 2012.

Iran's Spiritual Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei Revealed to Run £60 BILLION Business Empire Covering Everything from Oil to Ostrich Farming, Daily Mail, Nov. 11, 2013.

Evidence Supports Mohamed Mahjoub's Security Certificate, Judge Says, CBC News, Dec. 6, 2013.

Iran: State of the Green Movement, Foreign Policy Initiative, Apr. 6, 2010.

Iran's Supreme Leader Sayed Ali Khamenei's Wealth and Bank Account Info in Foreign Countries, CNN, Jan. 3, 2010.

Iran's Taxation System, Barrasihaye Eghtesadi, Monthly Magazine, No. 145, 1999, pp. 18-21.

Iran Sweeps Coveted UN Rights Posts, Iran News Update, Apr. 30, 2014.
Islam and Democracy: Uneasy Companions, Economist, Aug. 6, 2011.
Israel Gripped by Swirl Surrounding Treason Trial, CNN, July 16, 1998.
Israel Loves Iran (<http://www.israellovesiran.com/>; <https://www.facebook.com/israellovesiran>).
Israel Must Be 'Eliminated', Wall Street Journal, Sep. 27, 2012.
Israeli Public Opinion Polls: Attitudes toward Iran, Jewish Virtual Library, Nov. 2013.
Italy's Berlusconi Sentenced to 7 Years in Underage Sex Trial, CBC News, June 24, 2013.
It's a Wall Street Government, YouTube, Sep. 1, 2010.
Jacques Vergès, Economist, Aug. 24, 2013.
Jacques Vergès, YouTube, Mar. 10, 2012.
Jacques Vergès Accuse Nicolas Sarkozy et BHL de Crime contre l'Humanité, YouTube, Aug. 1, 2011.
Jacques Vergès: "Fier d'Être Insulté par des Gens pour Qui Je n'Ai aucun Respect", YouTube, Feb. 9, 2011.
Jacques Vergès: La Démocratie à Visage Obscène, le Vrai Catéchisme de ..., YouTube, July 30, 2012.
Jean-Paul Sartre (1905-1980), SparkNotes, 2013.
Jerry Resists (<http://jerryresists.net/his-statement/>).
Jewish Man Exposes Israel's Lies, YouTube, June 24, 2011.
Jews Who Stand With Palestinians Against Israel, YouTube, Apr. 29, 2010.
Johnson Calls for 'Guilty until Proven Innocent' for Suspected Terrorists, Guardian, Aug. 25, 2014.
Judge Rejects City Couple's Case Against Ottawa, MeQuierolr, Aug. 24, 2005.
Judge Reserves on Expanded Omar Khadr Lawsuit, InsideHalton, Sep. 3, 2014.
Judge's Husband Calls Her 'Victim' in Sex Scandal, CBC News, July 25, 2012.
Judicial-Legislative Checks and Balances, eNotes.
Judicial Salaries, Federal Judicial Center.
Julie Gayet, François Hollande's Reported Mistress, Blocked from Prestigious French Cultural Jury amid Sex Scandal Fallout, National Post, Jan. 15, 2014.
Justice Katju Stirs Up Fresh Controversy, Says Ex-CJI Balakrishnan Backed Corrupt Judge, Zee News, Aug. 11, 2014.
Kenyan Chief Seeks to Halt ICC Trial, Japan Times, Oct. 11, 2013.
Kenya Not Lobbying for ICC Pull-Out, Yahoo, Oct. 10, 2013.
Kenyan President Unlikely to Appear at ICC, Al Jazeera, Oct. 10, 2013.
Kenya President Kenyatta's ICC Trial 'Must Be Scrapped', BBC News, Oct. 10, 2013.
L'Appartement Qui Abrite les Relations Secrètes du Président Lié au Grand Banditisme, Mediapart, Jan. 12, 2014.
Latest on Syria: Obama Backs Intervention, Iran Reacts, and More, Globe and Mail, Aug. 31 2013.
Lautenberg Amendment (<http://takeaction.hias.org/NetCommunity/Page.aspx?pid=321>).
Lawyers Urged to Feel Good about Themselves, Lawyers Weekly, Oct. 25, 2011.
Legal Aid in Canada, Canadian Bar Association.
Legal Independence: It's Your Right. Understanding Judicial and Lawyer Independence and the Rule of Law, The Justice Education Society and the Law Society of BC, 2009.
Legal Observer Team Report, World Economic Forum Protests, Pt'chang Nonviolent Community Safety Group, 2001.
Legal Observer Training Manual, National Lawyers Guild, New York, 2003.
Le PS Appelle à Voter UMP si nécessaire pour Faire Barrage au FN, Le Progrès, Mar. 21, 2011.
Les Fruits Sont à Tout le Monde!, La Contre-Histoire, Mai 27, 2013.
Libya Assembly Votes for Sharia Law, Al Jazeera, Dec. 4, 2013.
Libya Unrest: Scores Killed in Benghazi 'Massacre', BBC News, Feb. 20, 2011.
L'Iran et l'Occident: Le Déficit Nucléaire, 2001-2008, YouTube, Nov. 29, 2012.
Living in Somalia's Anarchy, BBC News, Nov. 18, 2004.
Lot-et-Garonne: "On Appelle à Faire Barrage au Front National" (Christophe Borgel), France Info, June 17, 2013.
Malawi Row over Whether New Law Bans Farting, BBC News, Feb. 4, 2011.
Manitoba Judge Sex Inquiry Hearings Underway, CBC News, June 24, 2012.
Mark Fenton, G20 Police Officer behind Mass Arrests, Guilty of Discreditable Conduct, CBC News, Aug. 25, 2015.
Mass Cycling Events in the New Forest Spark New Row, Daily Echo, Oct. 6, 2013.
Michelle Obama Plans Pricey Trip to China as the First Family Is Criticized for Spending Hundreds of Millions of Dollars Traveling on the Taxpayer's Dime, Daily Mail, Mar. 4, 2014.
Michigan State Revokes Mugabe's Honorary Degree, Detroit Free Press, Sep. 12, 2008.
Military Pegs Hourly Air Force One Cost at \$181G, as Obama Sets Travel Record, Fox News, Nov. 24, 2010.
Milosevic Challenges Court's Legitimacy, BBC News, Feb. 13, 2002.
Milosevic Public Trial, Human Rights Project.

Modern Ethical Lawyer: History and Strategy (<http://modernethicallawyer.com/>).
Moghavemat Ta Pirozi (<http://moghavemat-ta-pirozi.blogspot.ca/2012/11/blog-post.html>).
Mohamed Mahjoub 'Nervous' about Forthcoming Ruling, CBC News, Oct. 23, 2013.
Money As Debt (<http://www.moneyasdebt.net/>; <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4lt8bMdqs0M>; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Money_as_Debt).
Monsanto: Corporate Crimes, Corporate Watch.
Montreal to Ban Masks at Protests, CBC News, May 7, 2012.
Morocco Probes Orgy Claims against Ex-French Minister, BBC News, June 8, 2011.
Mother of Slain Blogger Sattar Beheshti Speaks Out, YouTube, Apr. 4, 2013.
Mr. Kay and Ms. Higgins Assigned as Milosevic's Lawyers, Slobodan Milosevic Organization, Sep. 2, 2004.
Mubarak Suffers Heart Attack after Receiving Life Sentence, Times of Israel, June 2, 2012.
Mugabe 'Does Not Lose Sleep' over Honorary Degrees, New Zimbabwe, Nov. 12, 2009.
Mugabe Stripped of Degree Honour, BBC News, June 6, 2007.
Mulroney Tried to Cover Up Cash Payments He Received in Hotel Rooms: Schreiber, CBC News, Oct. 31, 2007.
Mulroney Won't Appear before Committee: Lawyer, CTV News, Feb. 26, 2008.
Natl Lawyers Guild 'Condemns Ongoing Arrests' of Legal Observers in Ferguson, Progress Illinois, Aug. 27, 2014.
Nazi War-Crimes Suspect Dies the Night before Judge Rules He Should Be Extradited to Germany, National Post, July 23, 2014.
Neo-Anarchism: The Reformist Alternative, Not Bored, Sep. 23, 2007.
Netanyahu 'Determined to Attack Iran' before US Elections, Claims Israel's Channel 10, Times of Israel, Aug. 20, 2012.
New Questions Raised over Mulroney's Ties with German Businessman, CBC News, Feb. 8, 2006.
Nicolas Sarkozy, un Conférencier de Luxe à 200 000 € l'Intervention, La Dépêche, Jan. 9, 2012.
Nicolas Sarkozy, un Conférencier Évalué à 250.000 Euros?, Le Parisien, Août 29, 2012.
Nigeria Asks London Ship Containing Toxic Waste to Return Its Deadly Cargo, Nigerian Watch, Jan. 11, 2013.
"Nobody Dies a Virgin. Life Fucks Us All" – Kurt Cobain, Word Ppress.
Non-Cumul de Mandat: Le RDSE Veut une Exception pour les Sénateurs, Ma Commune, Sep. 17, 2013.
No Man's Life, Liberty, or Property Are Safe While the Legislature Is in Session, United for Missouri.
North Judges' Luxury Life ... Paid for by You!, Chronicle Live, Apr. 12, 2009.
Not Canada, CTV News, YouTube, May 1, 2011.
Nude Photos of Judge Contained in Complaint, CBC News, Aug. 31, 2010.
NYT Editor John Swinton and the Truth about the Independent Press, Nomadic Politics, Apr. 8, 2014.
Oakland Seven Indicted!, Farmworker Movement Documentation.
Obama and Rohani Talk – First US-Iran Presidential Phone Call since 1979, Euro News, Sep. 27, 2013.
Obama Family 'Costs Taxpayers \$1.4BILLION Per Year' (that's 20 Times More Expensive than British Royal Family), Daily Mail, Sep. 29, 2012.
Obama Nobel Peace Prize Appeal Sought by Bolivian President and Russian Lawmaker, Huffington Post, Mar. 23, 2011.
Obama Says He Exchanged Letters with Rohani, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Sep. 15, 2013.
Obama Signs NDAA 2013 Without Objecting to Indefinite Detention of Americans, Russia Today, Jan. 3, 2013.
Obama Wins Back the Right to indefinitely Detain under NDAA, Russia Today, July 17, 2013.
Obiter Dicta Remembers Professor Michael Mandel, *Obiter Dicta*, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 2.
Occupy Monsanto (<http://occupy-monsanto.com/>).
"Officer Bubbles" – From Bubbles to Bookings?, YouTube, July 10, 2010.
"Officer Bubbles": From Bubbles to Bookings?, Real News, June 25, 2011.
Officer Who Ordered Kettling of G20 Protesters Found Guilty on Three out of Five Charges, National Union of Public and General Employees, Sep. 2, 2015.
Oliver Says Ontario Needs to Tackle Deficit in Upcoming Budget, Hamilton Spectator, June 16, 2014.
Om Shanti Shanti Shanti, Wildmind Buddhist Meditation.
On Anarchism and Democracy, Anarchist Writers, Mar. 7, 2009.
Ontario Judge Declines to Certify Class Action for G20 Detainees, CTV News, May 24, 2013.
Ontario Unemployment Rate: Economic Development Minister Blames Europe, U.S. For Stagnant Job Numbers, Huffington Post, Mar. 9, 2012.
Oregon Activist Jailed for Refusing to Testify Before Grand Jury, Democracy Now!, Oct. 12, 2012.
Osgoode and Bar-Ilan Faculty of Law Host Joint Symposium in Israel Entitled "Critical Reflections on Legal Pluralism in the State and Beyond", OHLS and the Faculty of Law, Bar-Ilan University, July 1, 2012.
Osgoode Hall Law School: A Driving Force in Legal Research, OHLS, 2013.
Other Toronto Officers Charged after G20 Summit, 680News, Nov. 19, 2014.
Passings: Law Professor Michael Mandel – An Unconventional, Free-Spirited, Anti-Establishment Critic, YFile, Oct. 28, 2013.
PC Simon Harwood and Ian Tomlinson: Key Footage, Guardian, July 19, 2012.

Peace Factory: A Bridge of Communication between the People in the Middle East, Peace Factory (<http://thepeacefactory.org>).
Personal Data in Schengen Information System (SIS), Data State Inspectorate, June 11, 2013.
Pete Wilson Invokes Clinton Sex Scandal at Ryan Fund-Raiser, CNN, Sep. 7, 2012.
Platformism: An Introduction, Libcom, Oct. 12, 2006.
Play Review: Dead Lawyers by George Larkin, A Walk In The Park, Oct. 20, 2008.
Polaris Project: For A World Without Slavery (<http://www.polarisproject.org/>; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polaris_Project).
Poll Shows Public's Perception of Lawyers and Law Society Is Trending Upward, Law Society of British Columbia, Mar. 14, 2011.
Popularité de François Hollande: La Descente aux Enfers du Chef de l'État dans les Sondages, Huffington Post, Sep. 5, 2014.
President Ahmadinejad 'On Wipe Israel Off the Map Quote', YouTube, Sep. 24, 2012.
Presidential Pet Museum (<http://www.presidentialpetmuseum.com/whitehousepets-1.htm>).
Press TV Documentary: International War Criminals, Bush to The Hague, Nov. 25, 2012.
Profile: Karima El Mahroug, BBC News, June 24, 2013.
Profile: Silvio Berlusconi, Italian Ex-Prime Minister, BBC News, May 9, 2014.
Proof of Lies by George W. Bush in *National Geographic Interview on 9/11*, Conscious Life News, Aug. 28, 2011.
Prosecutor Recommends Dropping Sarkozy Probe, Chicago Tribune, June 28, 2013.
Protocols and Courtroom Rules, Queensland Government, Mar. 9, 2012.
Public Sector Salary Disclosure 2012 (Disclosure for 2011): Universities, Ontario Ministry of Finance, Nov. 23, 2012.
Qatari Lawyer Says Ready to Defend Embattled President Assad in Court, Al Arabiya, Nov. 21, 2012.
Qatar's Former Justice Minister Calls on Bashir to Accept ICC Trial in Sudan, Sudan Tribune, Jan. 3, 2011.
Quand L'Europe Sauve Ses Banques, Qui Paye?, Arte, YouTube, Feb. 28, 2013.
Queen Elizabeth II's Costs Bring Criticism, MSN, June 29, 2009.
Quick Facts, OHLS.
Rabbi Josef Antebi Exposing Zionists, even after Being Tortured by Them!, YouTube, Jan. 30, 2011.
Rabbi: "Zionists Have Hijacked the Jewish Religion", YouTube, June 29, 2010.
Rainbow Family Gathering in Colorado Shrinking, 9News, July 22, 2014.
Rainbow Gathering Om Circle Celebration, July 4, 2008 in Wyoming, YouTube, July 8, 2008.
Ramsey Clark Becomes Center of Controversies, Daily News, Aug. 16, 1972, pp. 5, 15.
Ramsey Clark Chosen to Head Commission to Investigate Bush Crimes, Veterans Today, Apr. 9, 2010.
Reagan's Funeral Expensive Affair for US, Rediff, June 11, 2004.
Reagan-Supported Dictator Convicted of Genocide; Is Current President of Guatemala Next?, AllGov, May 14, 2013.
Rebellious Lawyering Conference Feb. 17-18 Will Focus on Education Reform, Reproductive Justice, Other Topics, Yale Law School, Jan. 19, 2012.
Rebellious Lawyering Conference February 19-21: Rabble? Meet Rouser, Yale Law School, Jan. 11, 2010.
Rebellious Lawyering Conference to Feature Speakers on Social Justice, Yale Law School, Feb. 13, 2013.
Report: Iran's Rohani Tied to AMIA 1994 Bombing, Jerusalem Post, June 20, 2013.
Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, New York: Bantam Books, 1968, pp. 1-29.
Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran, Human Rights Council, Feb. 28, 2013.
Report Says Forest Service Has Harassed Gatherings, New York Times, Oct. 4, 2008.
Repression and Police Dog Abuse, OoCities.
Reward to 'Shop' Drink Drivers, BBC News, Nov. 29, 2001.
Right to Have Sex Is Fundamental, Adultery Not a Crime, Indian Express, June 30, 2011.
Rohani Backs New Nuclear Talks, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Sep. 24, 2013.
Rohani: Obama's New Tone on Iran Could Ease Tensions with U.S., Haaretz, Sep. 27, 2013.
Ronald Reagan, Truth Seeker, Jan. 13, 2009.
Rusty Idols, May 20, 2009 (<http://rustyidols.blogspot.ca/2009/05/rights-arent-rights-if-someone-can-take.html>).
Saddam Execution 'Within Hours', Al Jazeera, Dec. 30, 2006.
Saddam's Lawyers Challenge Court's Legitimacy, Security, Daily Times, Dec. 6, 2005.
Saddam Team Rejects Court Legitimacy, Al Jazeera, Nov. 29, 2005.
Sarkozy-Kadhafi: Dix Mois d'Enquêtes, Mediapart.
Sarkozy's Eldest Son Hospitalized in Ukraine, Rianovosti, Feb. 25, 2012.
Saudi Arabia Highlights 2012, Deloitte.
Saudi Arabia Pushes UN Resolution on Syria Abuse, Al Jazeera, Oct. 31, 2013.
Saudi Arabia Tax Rates (<http://www.taxrates.cc/html/saudi-arabia-tax-rates.html>).
Saudi Cleric Rapes, Kills 5-Yr-Old Daughter, Yahoo, Feb. 4, 2013.

Saudi Cleric Who Raped and Killed Daughter Receives Small Fine, Commentator, Feb. 3, 2013.
Schengen Information System (SIS), European Commission, Aug. 14, 2013.
Scores of Iranian Prisoners Pardoned as Rohani Heads to UN, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Sep. 23, 2013.
Selected US Independent Media, Roy H. Park School of Communications, Ithaca College.
Serbian University Defends Qaddafi Honorary Doctorate, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Mar. 3, 2011.
Sexual Rights, Palo Alto Medical Foundation.
Sharia Law – Islamic Justice, YouTube, Apr. 11, 2011.
Shop a Drink or Drug Driver and Bag a Festive Reward, West Midlands Police, Solihull Local Policing Unit, Dec. 2, 2013.
Should Anarchists Support Suicide Bombers?, InterActivist Info Exchange, Sep. 22, 2003.
Silvio Berlusconi Sentenced for Tax Fraud, BBC News, Oct. 26, 2012.
Slavery in Islam, BBC News, Sep. 7, 2009.
Small but Not Safe, Al-Ahram Weekly, No. 735, Mar. 24, 2005.
Squeaky Wheel, Willamette Week, Sep. 10, 2014.
Stanford Prison Experiment, Abu Ghraib and More, Democracy Now!, Mar. 30, 2007.
State Immunity, War Crimes and Human Rights, Professor James Crawford in an interview with Magda Karagiannakis, Global Change, Peace and Security, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 85-96.
Stateless, Canadian Council for Refugees (<http://ccrweb.ca/stateless.htm>; <http://ccrweb.ca/files/statelessnessprimeren.pdf>).
Statelessness Isn't a New Problem, Ottawa Citizen, Apr. 29, 2013.
Stateless Persons, Icelandic Human Rights Centre.
State Sponsors of Terrorism, U.S. Department of State.
Student Fees (JD Program), University of Toronto Faculty of Law.
Successful SSHRC Grant Application, Ontario Legal Philosophy Partnership, Oct. 19, 2012.
'Sunshine List' 2014: Ontario's Top Public Earners List Released, CBC News, Mar. 28, 2014.
Support Imprisoned NYC Grand Jury Resister Jerry Koch!, Committee Against Political Repression, May 23, 2013.
Supreme Court Prostitution Ruling Forces, CBC News, Dec. 21, 2013.
Supreme Court Strikes Down Canada's Prostitution Laws, CBC News, Dec. 20, 2013.
Support Scott DeMuth! Resist State Repression!, Word Press.
Suspected Nazi Guard's Death a Blow to Prosecutors, Times of Israel, July 24, 2014.
Suzanne Mubarak Honorary Doctorate Ceremony, YouTube, Feb. 3, 2010.
Syria Crisis: France and Russia Admit Attack Differences, BBC News, Sep. 17, 2013.
Syrians Flee Coastal Town after 'Massacre', Al Jazeera, May 4, 2013.
Syrians Flee 'Massacres' in Baniyas and Al-Bayda, BBC News, May 4, 2013.
Syria Opposition Slams Village 'Massacre', Al Jazeera, May 3, 2013.
Syria's Palestinians: Stateless and Hapless as Ever, Economist, Nov. 17, 2012.
Talk to Al Jazeera – Rabbi Weiss: Zionism Has Created 'Rivers of Blood', YouTube, Mar. 10, 2012.
Taoism and Anarchism (part one revisited), Individuated, Jan. 22, 2007.
Terrorism Court Cases, Green Is the New Red.
Thatcher Funeral Cost Taxpayers \$5.6 Million, Huffington Post, Apr. 25, 2013.
Thatcher Funeral Invites Revealed as Cost Criticized, TV New Zealand News, Apr. 12, 2013.
The American Presidency Project (<http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/>).
The Anarchist Origins of May Day, Workers Solidarity Movement, May 1, 2010.
The Arms Industry, Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.
The Corruption of the United Nations, Trumpet, Oct. 20, 2005.
The Dalai Lama's Biatchhh, YouTube, Aug. 8, 2008.
The Dark Side of Dalai Lama, 3 Parts, YouTube, Apr. 12, 2008.
The Dark Side of the Dalai Lama, Western Shugden Society, Oct. 3, 1999.
The End of Anarchism? by Luigi Galleani (Kindle Edition), Christie Books, June 28, 2012.
The Government Is Finally Arresting Wall Street Bankers ... For Losing Wall Street's Money, Real News, Aug. 17, 2013.
The Governor General and the Lieutenant Governors, British Monarchy.
The Invisible Dictatorship: Revolutionary Organisation and Objectives, Anarchy 38, 1984-1985.
The Legacy of Watergate: Rethinking Legal Ethics, Street Law (http://www.streetlaw.org/en/Page/728/The_Legacy_of_Watergate_Rethinking_Legal_Ethics).
The Milgram Experiment (Full Video), YouTube, July 15, 2012.
The Military's War on Animals, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.
The Money Behind the Elections, Open Secrets.
The Nobel Peace Prize 2003, Nobel Prize Organization, 2003.
The Problem of Organisation and the Notion of Synthesis, Libcom, Dec. 26, 2005.

The Queen's Role in Canada, British Monarchy.
The Red Scare in the 1920, History Learning Site.
There Is A Big Difference Between Zionism and Judaism – Here It Is, Democratic Underground, Jan. 14, 2009.
The Role of Canada's Governor General, CBC News, July 8, 2010.
The Sexist Bible, Religious Criticism (<http://www.religiouscriticism.com/bible/the-sexist-bible/>).
The Shadow of Chernobyl, Index on Censorship, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2001, pp. 186-189.
The State of Consumption Today, Worldwatch Institute, 2011.
The Tasneem Project (<http://www.tasneemproject.info/>).
The Truth About Lawyers, Swap Meet Dave, 2005 (<http://www.swapmeetdave.com/Humor/Lawyer.htm>).
The Top 7 Funerals of the First Decade of the 21st Century, Your Funeral Guy, Dec. 30, 2009.
The Trial of William Penn and William Mead, at the Old Bailey, for a Tumultuous Assembly: 22 Charles II. A. D. 1670 (<http://www.constitution.org/trials/penn/penn-mead.htm>).
The War Against the Mafia, YouTube, Mar. 1, 2012.
The War on Terror: 10 Years Later, Power Elite, Sep. 11, 2011.
The Whole "Officer Bubbles" Story: Toronto Neighborhood Responds to G2, YouTube, July 10, 2010.
The World's Billionaires: #1095 Joe Jamail, Jr., Forbes, Aug. 5, 2014.
The World's Billionaires: #655 Joseph Jamail Jr., Forbes, Mar. 3, 2010.
The World's Billionaires: #19 Wichai Thongtang, Forbes, June 2014.
The 57th Presidential Inauguration, White House, Jan. 21, 2013.
The 1919 Red Scare, Vintage Periods.
The 2012 Money Race: Compare the Candidates, New York Times.
Third Anarchist Jailed for Refusing to Testify before Secret Grand Jury, Russia Today, Oct. 11, 2012.
Ticket Prices for Dalai Lama Visit to Charlottesville, NBC29, Aug. 23, 2012.
Tony Blair War Criminal (<http://www.tonyblairwarcriminal.com/>).
Toronto Police Board Seeks to Appeal G20 Class Action Certification, CBC News, Aug. 21, 2014.
Toronto Police Officer G20 Assault Trial Adjourned on First Day, Metro News, Feb. 10, 2014.
Toronto's York University Revokes Status of Students Against Israeli Apartheid (SAIA), Citizen Action Monitor, Aug. 2, 2013.
Trade in Goods with Venezuela, United States Census Bureau, June 4, 2013.
Transcript of Bill Clinton's Speech to the Democratic National Convention, New York Times, Sep. 5, 2012.
Transcript: The Bush-Blair Press Conference, Guardian, Nov. 20, 2003.
Trial Opens of Nine Cyclists Arrested at Critical Mass on Night of Olympic Opening Ceremony, Road.CC, Feb. 25, 2013.
True Torah Jews (<http://www.truetorahjews.org>).
Tuition, University of Toronto Faculty of Law.
Tuition and Fees, OHLS.
Turkey's Erdogan Calls Israel a "Terrorist State", Reuters, Nov. 19, 2012.
Turkmen v. Ashcroft, Center for Constitutional Rights.
UK Hopes Iran Will Cooperate in Fight against ISIS, Daily Sabah, Sep. 15, 2014.
UK Jewish MP: Israel Acting Like Nazis in Gaza, YouTube, Jan. 16, 2009.
UK Prime Minister David Cameron: 'Police Elections Will Cost £25M', YouTube, Sep. 7, 2011.
UN Calls Canada Racist for 'Visible Minorities' Tag, Canwest News Service, Mar. 8, 2007.
UN Conventions on Statelessness, UNHCR.
Understanding How Good People Turn Evil: Renowned Psychologist Philip Zimbardo on his Landmark Stanford Prison Experiment, Abu Ghraib and More, Democracy Now!, Mar. 30, 2007.
Une Femme d'Origine Française Menacée d'Expulsion pour un Vol à l'Étalage, Radio Canada, Nov. 23, 2011.
Une Française Expulsée du Canada pour Vol à l'Étalage, YouTube, Feb. 4, 2012.
United Nations Corruption and the Need for Reform, Foundation for Defense of Democracies, 2013.
United States Presidential Pets, Wikipedia.
United States v. Awadallah (Challenging Government's Application of Federal "Material Witness" Statute), U.S. Court of Appeals, 2nd Cir., No. 02-1269 (amicus), New York Civil Liberties Union.
US Denies Cooperation with Iran against ISIS, Fox News, Sep. 5, 2014.
US-Iranian Military, Intelligence Cooperation in War on ISIS Reaps First Successes in Syria and Iraq, DEBKAFfile, Sep. 5, 2014.
US-Venezuela Balance of Trade Down by 31.76% in 2012, trans. by Jhean Cabrera, El Universal, Feb. 28, 2013.
University Awarded an Honorary Doctorate of Egypt First Lady, Cairo University, Oct. 10, 2010.
UN Votes to Condemn Syria Rights Abuses, Your Middle East, Nov. 20, 2013.
U.S. Court of Appeals, 2nd Cir., No. 02-1269 (amicus), New York Civil Liberties Union.
Use It and Lose It: The Outsize Effect of U.S. Consumption on the Environment, Scientific American, Sep. 14, 2012.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.
 U.S. Government Animal Testing Programs, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.
 US Military Faces Animal Abuse Claims with Live Animal Training, Allvoices, Apr. 29, 2013.
 U.S. Phone Spying Gives Terrorists a Win, Toronto Star, June 9, 2013.
 US Prepares for Talks with Iran to Stop Iraq Militants, Says Official, Guardian, June 16, 2014.
 US Presidents Bless You, Soundboard.
 US Treasury to Unveil New Banking Sector Plan on Monday, Economic Times, Feb. 6, 2009.
 U.S.-Venezuela Trade Facts, Executive Office of the President of the United of.
 Verdict Due in Berlusconi Underage Sex Trial, Al Jazeera, June 24, 2013.
 Verdict Expected in Berlusconi Sex Trial, News24, June 24, 2013.
 Video of Police Attack on Ian Tomlinson, Guardian, Apr. 7, 2009.
 Videos on Islamic Violence against Women, YouTube, Nov. 24, 2010.
 VIS and SIS II Contracts Now Confirmed, Biometric Technology Today, Vol. 13, No. 5, 2005, p. 3.
 Visit: www.NotCanada.com, Just Landed, Feb. 8, 2006.
 Voltaire Quotes (<http://www.whale.to/a/voltaire.html>).
 War Crimes Suspect Dies in US, Jewish Chronicle, July 25, 2014.
 West Balochistan: Fear Rising Over Iranian Government-Ordered Executions, Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization, Oct. 30, 2013.
 West Midlands Police Offer £200 Reward in Drink-Drive Campaign, BBC News, Dec. 2, 2013.
 West Midlands Police Publish Names of Drink-Drive Accused, BBC News, Dec. 4, 2013.
 What an Election Costs, CBC News, Nov. 7, 2008.
 What Do I Call a Judge?, Judiciary of England Wales.
 What Is the Difference between Synthesist Anarchism and Anarchism Without Adjectives?, Anarchy 101, 2011.
 White House Lays out Case for Syria Response, CBC News, Aug. 27, 2013.
 Who Is NotCanada.com?, Annares Word Press, June 5, 2009.
 Why Canada Closed the Website Notcanada.com?, Yahoo, June 28, 2010.
 Why Do Lawyers Have a Bad Reputation?, Yahoo, Mar. 13, 2009.
 Why Do Lawyers Have Such Bad Reputations?, Askville, Aug. 25, 2008.
 Why I'm Suing the Government of United States, Earth Island Journal, May 4, 2011.
 Why Israel Should Declare Itself a Nuclear Power, Daily Beast, Oct. 20, 2010.
 Why Self-Ownership Makes Rape, Murder and Slavery "Okay", Urban Dissent, Nov. 2, 2011.
 Witness Statement: Shadi Sadr, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, June 13, 2010.
 WMD Lies: Bush Administration, YouTube, Jan. 25, 2010.
 World Corporal Punishment Research (<http://www.corpun.com/>).
 World Cup 2014: Nigeria Suspended from all International Football after Government Interference with Nigerian FA, Telegraph, July 9, 2014.
 World Newspapers: Alternative News.
 York U Staff Knew about Fraud, but Didn't Blow the Whistle, Metro, Mar. 12, 2013.
 You're 55 Times More Likely to be Killed by a Police Officer than a Terrorist, Washington's Blog, Mar. 4, 2015.
 You Spent \$400 Million Friday and Didn't Even Know It, Functional Ambivalent, June 11, 2004.
 Zionism, YouTube.

 5 Arrested in Rainbow Family Clash with Feds, USA Today, July 4, 2008.
 5 Most Ridiculously Expensive Funerals, Business Pundit, Feb. 17, 2012.
 8 Reasons NOT to Immigrate to Canada, Settlement, Jan. 25, 2006.
 9 Polls on U.S. and Israeli Opinions on Iran, Iran Primer, Apr. 10, 2012.
 10 Disturbing Similarities between Saudi Arabia and Daesh, Al Bawaba, Jan. 11, 2016.
 22150€ Par Mois: Les Revenus de Nicolas Sarkozy après Sa Défaite, Quoi?, Avril 2, 2012.
 182 People Arrested in Protest, Metropolitan Police, July 29, 2012.
 2011 Malawian Air Fouling Legislation, Wikipedia.
 2013 Ontario Budget: Highlights, Ontario Ministry of Finance, May 2, 2013.
 \$20M SAGA: S'African Media Defy Ban, Print Photos of President Zuma's Luxurious Home, Nigeriana, Nov. 25, 2013.
 \$45M G20 Class-Action Suit Filed, CBC News, Aug. 6, 2010.

In Farsi

BARABARI TV, پخش دسام دسن و ت قوائی حمید با اف شار سعید گ فتگوی, YouTube, Sep. 26, 2013.
MESDAGHI (Iraj), زیست کاری چاره «رحمانی اسلام» ع بادی، شیرین به سرگ شاده نامه, Pezhvake, 2013.
VOICE OF AMERICA, *Human Rights and Islam*, YouTube, Aug. 25, 2009.
VOICE OF AMERICA, امپراتوری مالی خامنه ای, 4 Parts, YouTube, Feb. 28 and Mar. 1, 2013.

Appendices

Appendix A: Acronyms

ABA	American Bar Association
ALF	Animal Liberation Front
CCRF	Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms
CE	Council of Europe
CJS	Criminal Justice System
CLS	Critical Legal Studies
DIY	Do It Yourself
DU	Depleted Uranium
ECHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
FRFB	Fractional Reserve Free Banking
GA	Graduate Assistant
GP	Green Principle
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICL	International Criminal Law
ISIS	Islamic State of Iraq and Syria
LETS	Local Exchange Trading System
MWD	Military Working Dogs
NAP	Non-Aggression Principle
OAS	Organization of American States
OHLS	Osgoode Hall Law School
PE	Principle of Existence
PFC	Principle of Freedom to Choose or Principle of Freedom of Choice
POI	Presumption of Innocence
RA	Research Assistant
RCMP	Royal Canadian Mounted Police
SRL	Self-Represented or Lawyerless Litigants
TA	Teaching Assistant
TAZ	Temporary Autonomous Zone
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UNHCR	United Nation High Commissioner for Refugees
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
USA	United States of America
WMD	Weapon of Mass Destruction

Appendix B: Professor Martel's Comment on My Thesis' Three Chapters (before dividing the Chapter 1 into two Chapters of 1 and 2)

February 22, 2014

Hi Sirus:

I realized as I was working through your thesis that I would never get your comments if I kept up writing comments in the text as I did for the first part so I decided that rather than give this back to you six months from now, I'd send you what I had done and then give a few general comments. This is a lovely, sprawling and fascinating study of anarchism and it's wonderfully thorough and committed. I think there are a lot of wonderful ideas and innovations although at times they get buried in the hugeness of the thing. I am not sure what to say about that. I could see paring it down but, on the other hand, that would risk losing the anarchist spirit which is expressed not only in words but in the sheer exuberance and also the loose framing that allows the work to move at its own pace. It seems a very anarchist piece of writing in that sense and I don't know if that was deliberate on your part or just reflected your own deep convictions. Some of my favorite parts are the question of extremes. I think that is a very nice way to phrase the costs of archism. At one point I quibble with you about the extreme happiness of wealth just because there are some theoretical arguments that show the rich to be unhappy in their own way (anxious, evil, guilty etc.) but I think that your argument is nonetheless very persuasive and not even necessarily countering my quibble because the two extremes feed each other. Happiness is defined as the opposite of the misery that capitalism creates in the vast majority of the population so, anxious or not, it remains an extreme (perhaps that could even be reflected in the way this is set up).

I love how in the second Chapter, you bring this idea to the practice of lawyering. There you get very specific about the application of your larger analysis to the practice of law. I think the argument you make about extremes in the previous Chapter could be brought even more explicitly to bear to the analysis of legal practices. Your use of terms like legal robbers is very apt and fits into that model really well. I think your rundown of the practice of lawyers and judges is one of my favorite parts of this thesis. I'm not sure you would have to impose a more visible framework or architecture on the work connecting these various arguments because I saw the architecture quite clearly but you could if you wanted to be more explicit about the ways that various parts of the work fit together. The idea that judges are above the law because they regulate it also fits into this pattern.

The same pattern applies in Chapter 3 where the terms anarchist and terrorist are used in order to create a countervailing foil to protect and justify the "extreme happiness" of the ruling elite. This argument accords with something that I've been thinking for a long time; why is it that every time you get an anarchist uprising, there is a corresponding rise of fascism? It seems as if fascism is liberalism's response to the threat of anarchism (and communism too but I think communism poses less of an existential threat

because states like the USSR still worked with the world market, traded, etc.) So when the anarchists of Spain got really organized, Franco was there to oppose them. When the Black Panthers organized the community in Oakland (not just militarily but also in terms of education, healthcare etc.) Edgar Hoover was there to destroy them. When push comes to shove, liberalism's pleasant facade dissolves and you get the fascist fist. I think your thesis also suggests this. It's another version of the extremes you discuss. Liberalism itself can be read as an extreme posing as the antithesis, the source of all that is moderate and reasonable in life.

I thought section 3.3. on delegitimization was excellent. The idea that the state failing to follow its own rules as a kind of larger undermining of the legal order is really a great way to approach this question. The double standard applied to cops and other agents of the law vs. citizens is also very clear here. One of the nice side effects of your choice of terminology comes very clear in the section entitled the Anarchists vs. the State Criminals. You could make it even more clear that your judgment of criminality comes, not from some transcendent legal code that one can follow or not (the basis for the justifications of natural and positive law alike) but rather from the results of the practices of law, the way that the law is used instrumentally for nefarious and capitalist reasons and then uses its authority and prestige to delegitimize anarchists, among others, even as this practice in fact (as you note) delegitimizes itself.

The theme that the anarchists are not "innocent children" as you put it is also a nice way to give the anarchists their own agency instead of making them pure and perfect vs. the archists. Your ideas about the Internet as a possible source of resistance are also interesting and not uncontroversial because many people have written on what they claim to be the false promise of a radical Internet. It might be worth engaging with some of that literature (not that your literature review is not already extremely impressive!). All the things you write at the end about the Arab Spring and other movements is all very helpful and I love what you say about the anarchist implications of the Quran. That seems to be an entirely different writing project!

Congratulations on having written this wonderful and major piece of writing. I really enjoyed it and learned from it. I am sorry I had to break off the textual commentary but, as I said, I knew I would never get this to you at the rate that I was going so I figured better a partially addressed work than nothing at all (I presume you have a timetable for your writing anyway so sooner is definitely better than later).

James

Footnotes

¹ KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Chaotic, Critical, and Poetic Reflections on Existence, Dedicated to Sattar Beheshti*, Academia.edu, Aug. 28, 2012, p. 45.

² STEWART (Louis H.), *Changemakers (RLE: Jung): A Jungian Perspective on Sibling Position and the Family Atmosphere*, New York: Routledge, 1992, p. 138.

Introduction

¹ SERRANO (Luis Gonzalez), *El Salvador Tragic: 10 Roque Dalton Poems from 3 Books*, Cordite Poetry Review, Feb. 1, 2013.

² About this dreadful philosophy, see SAMAAAN (A. E.), *From a Race of Masters to a Master Race: 1948 to 1848*, Charleston: CreateSpace, 2013; RUBENFELD (Sheldon) (ed.), *Medicine after the Holocaust: From the Master Race to the Human Genome and Beyond*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010; SPIRO (Jonathan Peter), *Defending the Master Race: Conservation, Eugenics, and the Legacy of Madison Grant*, Burlington: University of Vermont Press, 2009; BACHRACH (Susan) & KUNTZ (Dieter) (ed.), *Deadly Medicine: Creating the Master Race*, Washington, D.C.: United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, 2004; BLACK (Edwin), *War against the Weak: Eugenics and America's Campaign to Create a Master Race*, New York: Four Walls Eight Windows, 2003; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *Fantasies of the Master Race: Literature, Cinema, and the Colonization of American Indians*, San Francisco: City Lights Books, 1998; CLAY (Catrine) & LEAPMAN (Michael), *Master Race: The Lebensborn Experiment in Nazi Germany*, London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1995; LAFFIN (John), *Hitler Warned Us: The Nazis' Master Plan for a Master Race*, London: Brassey's, 1995; MCLAREN (Angus), *Our Own Master Race: Eugenics in Canada, 1885-1945*, Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1990; CECIL (Robert), *The Myth of the Master Race: Alfred Rosenberg and Nazi Ideology*, London: Batsford, 1972.

³ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Bibliography of Fighting for Liberty, Justice, Equality, Peace, and Ecology*, Academia.edu, Mar. 7, 2013; AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, foreword by John Clark, Santa Barbara, California: Praeger, 2012, pp. 68, 147, 163; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Anarchism: The Broad, Paradoxical, and Living Ideas and Movements at the Core of Our Hierarchical, Dominative, and Oppressive Societies*, Academia.edu, June 15, 2011; EVREN (Süreyya), *Introduction: How New Anarchism Change the World (of Opposition) after Seattle and Gave Birth to Post-Anarchism*, in ROUSSELLE (Duane) & EVREN (Süreyya) (ed.), *Post-Anarchism: A Reader*, London: Black Point and Pluto, 2011, p. 4; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism Reloaded*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2007, p. 29; BOZÓKI (András) & SÜKÖSD (Miklós), *Anarchism in Hungary: Theory, History, Legacies*, trans. by Alan Renwick, New Jersey: Centre for Hungarian Studies and Publications, 2006, pp. 1, 7, 39, 242-243; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism: A History of Libertarian Ideas and Movements*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2004, p. 272; GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi": The Life and Teachings of Rudolf Rocker*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1997, pp. 241-242; MAARSEVEEN (Henc van), *Anarchism and the Theory of Political Law*, in HOLTERMAN (Thomas) & MAARSEVEEN (Henc van) (ed.), *Law and Anarchism*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1984, p. 90.

⁴ ELTZBACHER (Paul), *Anarchism*, trans. by Steven Tracy Byington, New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, London: Arthur C. Fifield, 1908, pp. 5, 15.

⁵ See also MARTEL (James R.), *Who Is Afraid of Anarchism? An Interview with James Martel*, Truth is a Beaver, July 8, 2013.

⁶ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas: A Metamorphosis from Anarchy to Federation*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011; JARACH (Lawrence), *Proudhon's Ghost: Petit-Bourgeois Anarchism, Anarchist Businesses, and the Politics of Effectiveness*, Anarchist Library, 2007; SIMON (Yves) & KUIC (Vukan), *A Note on Proudhon's Federalism*, Publius, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1973, pp. 19-30; MARX (Karl), *On Proudhon*, Letter to Johann Baptist von Schweitzer, Der Social-Demokrat, No. 16, Feb. 1, 1865.

⁷ About the controversial relationship between these thinkers and anarchism, see JUN (Nathan J.), *Hegel and Anarchist Communism*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2014, pp. 28-54; KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011, p. 8; MORGAN (Kevin), *Herald of the Future? Emma Goldman, Friedrich Nietzsche and the Anarchist as Superman*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009, pp. 55-80; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible: A History of Anarchism*, London: Harper Perennial, 2008, pp. 155 ff.; MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority: A Philosophical Introduction to Classical Anarchism*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007, pp. 105-108; SUNSHINE (Spencer), *Nietzsche and*

the Anarchists, Fifth Estate, No. 367, 2004-2005, pp. 36-37; MOORE (John) & SUNSHINE (Spencer) (ed.), *I Am Not A Man, I Am Dynamite! Friedrich Nietzsche and the Anarchist Tradition*, New York: Autonomedia, 2004; ROUSSEAU (Jean-Jacques), *Du Contrat Social*, Paris: Gallimard, 2004, pp. 49, 51-54; SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, London: Reaktion Books, 2003, pp. 72-79; LEOPOLD (David), *A Left-Hegelian Anarchism*, European Legacy: Toward New Paradigms, Vol. 8, No. 6, 2003, pp. 777-786; BROBJER (Thomas H.), *Philologica: A Possible Solution to the Stirner-Nietzsche*, Journal of Nietzsche Studies, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2003, pp. 109-114; ROSENTHAL (Bernice Glatzer), *New Myth, New World: From Nietzsche to Stalinism*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2002; GOLOMB (Jacob) & WISTRICH (Robert S.) (ed.), *Nietzsche, Godfather of Fascism?: On the Uses and Abuses of a Philosophy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002; CALL (Lewis), *Postmodern Anarchism*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2002; CONTE (Thomas), *Nietzschean Anarchism and the Possibility of Political Culture*, New Political Science, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1999, pp. 377-394; VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists: Aesthetes and Subversives During the Fin-de-Siècle*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1996, pp. 106-108; ANTLIFF (Allan), *Carl Zigrosser and the Modern School: Nietzsche, Art, and Anarchism*, Archives of American Art Journal, Vol. 34, No. 4, 1994, pp. 16-23; SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), *Native American Anarchism: A Study of Left-Wing Anarchist Individualism*, Port Townsend: Loompanics, 1983, p. 7; JOLL (James), *The Anarchists*, 2nd ed., London: Methuen, 1979, pp. 15-16; NISBET (Robert), *Twilight of Authority*, London: Heinemann, 1976, p. 212; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, Vol. I, Paris: François Maspero, 1975, p. 27; CARROLL (John), *Break-Out from the Crystal Palace: The Anarcho-Psychological Critique: Stirner, Nietzsche, Dostoevsky*, London and Boston: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1974; POPPER (Karl), *The Open Society and Its Enemies*, 5th ed., Vol. 2, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971, p. 37; READ (Herbert), *The Paradox of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1941; LÉVY (Albert), *Stirner and Nietzsche*, Paris: Société Nouvelle de Librairie et d'Édition, 1904.

⁸ Ironically, he was openly homosexual, while Khomeini hated homosexuality as a great sin punishable by the death penalty!

⁹ See ZAND ERVIN (Manda), *The Secret Negotiations between Carter and Khomeini*, American Thinker, July 6, 2016; ALVANDI (Roham) & EMERY (Christian), *The Lure of Conspiracy Theories in Iranian Politics*, Guardian, July 1, 2016; SADEGHI (Fereshteh), *Iranians Denounce BBC Report Claiming Secret Contact between Khomeini, US*, Al-Monitor, June 14, 2016; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed) & SMITH (David), *US Had Extensive Contact with Ayatollah Khomeini before Iran Revolution*, Guardian, June 10, 2016; FATTAHI (Kambiz), *Two Weeks in January: America's Secret Engagement with Khomeini*, BBC Persian Service, June 3, 2016; GOODGAME (Peter), *The Globalists and the Islamists: Fomenting the "Clash of Civilizations" for a New World Order*, Dublin: Omnia Veritas, 2014; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, Academia.edu, Oct. 7, 2013; KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, Academia.edu, Nov. 23, 2011, p. 14; LANDES (Richard), *From Useful Idiot to Useful Infidel: Meditations on the Folly of 21st-Century "Intellectuals"*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 621-634; *Art Contemporain et Post-Modernisme – 1^{re} Partie: Une Vision « Post-Moderne » et une « Révolte contre le Monde Moderne »*, Les Materialistes, Nov. 18, 2013; *Art Contemporain et Post-Modernisme – 7^e Partie: Michel Foucault et la Révolution Iranienne*, Les Materialistes, Nov. 25, 2013; *Le 1^{er} Février 1979, Khomeiny et la Révolution Iranienne*, Les Materialistes, Fév. 1, 2009; SCHIFFER (Daniel Salvatore), *Iran: Quand les Intellectuels Français Encensaient les Fous d'Allah*, Le Point, Mar. 18, 2013; LEURS (Rob), *Ayatollah Khomeini: The Changing Face of Islam*, Communication Studies, No. 12, 2012, pp. 27, 41; MOHAMMADI (Saman), *Why the West Created Iran and Wants to Destroy it Now*, Henry Makow, July 18, 2012; MOHAMMADI (Saman), *The British and U.S. Governments Installed Khomeini into Power in 1979*, Excavator, Nov. 3, 2011; MILANI (Abbas), *The Shah*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011; BEAULIEU (Alain), *Towards a liberal Utopia: The Connection between Foucault's Reporting on the Iranian Revolution and the Ethical Turn*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 36, No. 7, 2010, pp. 801-818; SENADJI (Mahmoud), *L'Iran, une Menace ? La Leçon de Foucault (2/2)*, Oumma, Mars 24, 2009; SENADJI (Mahmoud), *L'Iran de Michel Foucault*, Parti des Indigènes de la République, Mars 15, 2009; AFFINITY PROJECT, *Interview with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 8; AYSHA (Emad El-Din), *Foucault's Iran and Islamic Identity Politics beyond Civilizational Clashes*, External, International Studies Perspectives, Vol. 7, No. 4, 2006, pp. 377-394; AFARY (Janet) & ANDERSON (Kevin B.), *Foucault and the Iranian Revolution: Gender and the Seductions of Islamism*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005; CAVAZZINI (Andrea) (dir.), *Michel Foucault: L'Islam et la Révolution Iranienne*, Milano: Mimesis, 2005; GREASON (David), *Embracing Death: The Western Left and the Iranian Revolution, 1978-83*, Economy and Society, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2005, pp. 105-140; NOSRATI (Ahmad), *A Letter to Intellectuals: The Manipulation of the Persian Nation by Western Power and Russian Policy*, Victoria: Trafford, 2004; BENSÂID (Daniel), *(Im)politiques de Foucault*, Vacarmes, No. 28, 2004; ROY (Olivier), *«L'Énigme du Soulèvement» Foucault et l'Iran*, Vacarme, No. 29, 2004, pp. 34-38; LEFÈVRE (Jennifer), *Men of Ideas, Men of Action: French Intellectuals' Impact on Post-War Politics*, Journal of European Studies, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2003, p. 192; THOMPSON (Scott) & STEINBERG (Jeffrey), *British Svengali behind Clash of Civilizations*, Executive Intelligence Review, Nov. 30, 2001; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Dits et Écrits, tome II, 1976-1988*, Paris: Gallimard, 2001; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Le Chef Mythique de la Révolte*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 279, Nov. 26, 1978, pp. 1-2; FOUCAULT (Michel), *À Quoi Révent les Iraniens?*, Le Nouvel Observateur, No. 727, Nov. 16-22, 1978, pp. 48-49; FOUCAULT (Michel), *La Révolte Iranienne Se Partage sur les Rubans des Cassettes*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No.

273, No. 19, 1978, pp. 1-2; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Défi à l'Opposition*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 262, Nov. 7, 1978, pp. 1-2; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Une Révolte aux Mains Nues*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 261, Nov. 5, 1978, pp. 1-2; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Téhéran: La Foi contre le Shah*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 237, Oct. 8, 1978, p. 11; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Le Shah a Cent de Retard*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 230, Oct. 1, 1978, p. 1; FOUCAULT (Michel), *L'Armée: Quand la Terre Tremble*, Corriere della Sera, Vol. 103, No. 228, Sep. 28, 1978, pp. 1-2.

¹⁰ Quoted by TAIT (Robert), *Economics Is for Donkeys*, New Statesman, Sep. 11, 2008. See also SHAHINE (Alaa), *Economy Not for Donkeys as Crisis Tops Iran Voter Concerns*, Bloomberg, June 13, 2013; PARCHAMI (Ali), *The 'Arab Spring': The View from Tehran*, Contemporary Politics, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, p. 48; ARJOMAND (Said Amir), *After Khomeini: Iran Under His Successors*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009, p. 56.

¹¹ See GANJI (Akbar), *Who Is Ali Khamenei?*, Foreign Affairs, 2013; SHAHVAR (Soli), *The Islamic Regime in Iran and Its Attitude towards the Jews: The Religious and Political Dimensions*, Immigrants and Minorities, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2009, pp. 82-117; PESARAN (Evailela), *Towards an Anti-Western Stance: The Economic Discourse of Iran's 1979 Revolution*, Iranian Studies, Vol. 41, No. 5, 2008, pp. 693-718; ZEYDABADI-NEJAD (Saeed), *Iranian Intellectuals and Contact with the West: The Case of Iranian Cinema*, British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2007, pp. 375-398.

¹² WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change: Theoretical Integrations and Critical Applications*, New York: Springer, 2004, p. 7.

¹³ FEYERABEND (Paul), *Against Method*, intr. by Ian Hacking, 4th ed., London: Verso, 2010, p. 127. See also FEYERABEND (Paul), *Academic Ratiofascism Comments on Tibor Machan's Review*, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1982, pp. 191-195; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *The Politics of Medicinal Anarchism*, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1982, pp. 183-189; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchosurrealism Revisited Reply to Feyerabend's Comments*, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1982, pp. 197-199; TSOU (Jonathan Y.), *Reconsidering Feyerabend's "Anarchism"*, Perspectives on Science, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2003, pp. 208-235; NÆSS (Arne), *Why Not Science for Anarchists Too? A Reply to Feyerabend*, Inquiry, Vol. 18, 1975, pp. 183-194; MOORE (Ryan), *Media Objectivity Is a Myth*, Excalibur, Oct. 22, 2014.

¹⁴ Since starting my thesis program at Osgoode in 2009, I have written and presented some ideas about the relationship between the law and anarchism that are available in my website (<https://independent.academia.edu/NoManNoLandNowhere>). I try not to repeat them or, at least, I want to present some of them in a new frame with a new bibliography, because I despise to be a repetitive writer!

Chapter 1: The Critical and Controversial Concepts

¹ For example, see MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, Oakland: AK Press, 2010; WEINZWEIG (Ari), *A Lapsed Anarchist's Approach to Building a Great Business*, Ann Arbor: Zingerman's Press, 2010, p. 19; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!: Anti-Authoritarian Politics from Practice to Theory*, London: Pluto Press, 2008; GRAHAM (Robert) (ed.), *Anarchism: A Documentary History of Libertarian Ideas*, Vol. I, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 2005, pp. xi-xiv; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy, State and Public Choice*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., pp. 19-23; CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), *Proudhon et la Norme: Pensée Juridique d'un Anarchiste*, préface de Nicole Dockès-Lallement, Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 2004, pp. 11 ff.; CARTER (Alan), *Analytical Anarchism: Some Conceptual Foundations*, Political Theory, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2000, pp. 230-253; WATSON (Adam), *The Limits of Independence: Relations between States in the Modern World*, London: Routledge, 1997, p. 144; HIRSHLEIFER (Jack), *Anarchy and Its Breakdown*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 103, No. 1, 1995, pp. 26-52; ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism, 1800-1936: A Study of the Feasibility of Anarchism*, Brauton, Devon: Merlin Books, 1992, pp. 108-113; BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Anthropology and Anarchism*, Raven, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1992, pp. 141-178; BARCLAY (Harold B.), *People without Government: An Anthropology of Anarchism*, preface by Alex Comfort, London: Kahn and Averill, 1982, p. 13; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1982, pp. 4 ff.; BELLEGARRIGUE (Anselme), *Manifeste de l'Anarchie*, L'Anarchie, Journal de l'Ordre, No. 1, 1850.

² BLAU (Joseph L.), *Government or Anarchy? in the Debates on the Constitution*, Charles S. Peirce Society, Transactions, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1987, p. 516.

³ In this case, see WEINZWEIG (Ari), *A Lapsed Anarchist's Approach to Building a Great Business*, op. cit., p. 19; BEY (Hakim), *Quantum Mechanics and Chaos Theory: Anarchist Meditations on N. Herbert's Quantum. Reality: Beyond the New Physics*, Anarchist Library, 2009; KELLERT (Stephen H.), *Borrowed Knowledge: Chaos Theory and the Challenge of Learning across*

Disciplines, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008; WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., pp. 17 ff.; DANEKE (Gregory A.), *Systemic Choices: Nonlinear Dynamics and Practical Management*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1999; WATSON (Adam), *The Limits of Independence*, op. cit., pp. 32-39, 144; FABER (Jan) & KOPPELAAR (Henk), *Chaos Theory and Social Science: A Methodological Analysis*, *Quality and Quantity*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1994, pp. 421-433; GREGERSEN (Hal) & SAILER (Lee), *Chaos Theory and Its Implications for Social Science Research*, *Human Relations*, Vol. 46, No. 7, 1993, pp. 777-802; BEST (Steven), *Chaos and Entropy: Metaphors in Postmodern Science and Social Theory*, *Science as Culture*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1991, pp. 188-226; LOYE (David), *Chaos and Transformation: Implications of Nonequilibrium Theory for Social Science and Society*, *Behavioral Science*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 1987, pp. 53-65.

⁴ About the positive and negative aspects of liberty and anarchism, see AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 27-30, 38; MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., p. 25; BUFE (Charles), *Anarchism: What It Is and What It Isn't*, Fordsburg: Zabalaza Books, 2011, pp. 7-8; SARTWELL (Crispin), *Against the State: An Introduction to Anarchist Political Theory*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2008, pp. 18-21; MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, op. cit., pp. 50-52; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Freedom: Blocked between Philosophical Thoughts and the Legal Sphinx, or between the Sky (Heaven) and Earth (Hell)*, Academia.edu, July 17, 2011, pp. 5, 11; HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition: Herbert Read, Alex Comfort and Colin Ward*, New York: Continuum International Publishing Group, 2011, p. 147; FROMM (Erich Seligmann), *Escape from Freedom*, New York: Henry Holt, 1994; MAARSEVEEN (Henc van), *Anarchism and the Theory of Political Law*, op. cit., p. 91; SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), *Native American Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 7-8; MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, op. cit., pp. 14-15, 70, 145; BALDWIN (Roger Nash) (ed.), *Kropotkin's Revolutionary Pamphlets*, New York: Dover, 1970, pp. 147 ff.

⁵ SAPON (Vladimir) & ROBINO (Sam), *Right and Left Wings in Libertarianism*, *Canadian Social Science*, Vol. 5, No. 6, 2009, p. 135.

⁶ See KINNA (Ruth), *Anarchism: A Beginner's Guide*, Oxford: Oneworld, 2009, pp. 31-32; GEORGE (Chris), *The Difference Between Libertarianism and Anarchism*, *New Kind of Mind*, Oct. 26, 2009; HAMOWY (Ronald) (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Libertarianism*, Los Angeles: Sage, 2008; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Chomsky Refutes "Libertarian" "Anarcho"-Capitalism*, YouTube, Feb. 5, 2008; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Week Online Interviews Chomsky*, Z Space, Feb. 23, 2002; GOODWIN (Barbara), *Using Political Ideas*, 4th ed., Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1997, pp. 137-139; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., p. 59.

⁷ See MARKANTONATOU (Maria), *Neoliberal State Regulation and the Bailout of Global Capitalism in the Recent Economic Crisis*, *Critical Sociology*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 145-153.

⁸ FERNÁNDEZ (Frank), *Cuban Anarchism: The History of a Movement*, trans. by Charles Bufe, Chicago: See Sharp Press, 2001, p. 9.

⁹ BUFE (Charles), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 6. See also CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Chomsky on Libertarianism and Murray Rothbard*, *Distant Ocean*, Apr. 21, 2008; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Chomsky Refutes "Libertarian" "Anarcho"-Capitalism*, op. cit.; OSTROWSKI (James), *Chomsky's Economics*, *Mises Daily*, Jan. 6, 2003; SABATINI (Peter), *Libertarianism: Bogus Anarchy*, *Anarchist Library*, 1994-1995.

¹⁰ MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty: An Overview of Individualist Anarchism, 1881-1908*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2003, pp. 124-126, 146-147; MCELROY (Wendy), *Individualist Anarchism vs. "Libertarianism" and Anarchocommunism*, *New Libertarian*, No. 12, 1984. See also below, Chapter 5, footnote 301.

¹¹ BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren and the Sovereignty of the Individual*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1980, pp. 433-434.

¹² See TOLSTOY (Leo), *The Kingdom of God Is Within You*, *Anarchist Library*, 1893; MORRIS (Brian), *Tolstoy and Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2012; HOPTON (Terry), *Tolstoy, History and Non-Violence*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2010, pp. 19-28; EDZHIBOVSKAYA (Inessa), *Tolstoy's Response to Terror and Revolutionary Violence*, *Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2008, pp. 505-531; CAMFIELD (Graham), *From Tolstoyan to Terrorist: The Revolutionary Career of Prince D. A. Khilkov, 1900-1905*, *Revolutionary Russia*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1999, pp. 1-43.

¹³ MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, op. cit., p. 13.

¹⁴ For example, see AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 87-89; BOZÓKI (András) & SÜKÖSD (Miklós), op. cit., p. 7; MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., pp. 52-53, 115-116, 158; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism: From Theory to Practice*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; COHN (Jesse Sheppard), *Anarchism and the Crisis of Representation: Hermeneutics, Aesthetics, Politics*, Selinsgrove: Susquehanna University Press, 2006, p. 14; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit.;

WARD (Colin), *Anarchism: A Very Short Introduction*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004, pp. 1-3; HEYWOOD (Andrew), *Political Ideologies: An Introduction*, 2nd ed., New York: Worth, 1998, pp. 188, 196; BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and The Critique of Law*, in SUGARMAN (David) (ed.), *Legality, Ideology and the State*, London: Academic Press, 1983, pp. 267-292; CARLSON (Andrew R.), *Anarchism in Germany*, Vol. I, Metuchen: Scarecrow Press, 1972, pp. 160-161, 378-380, 397-399; ARVON (Henri), *L'Anarchisme*, 3rd ed., Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1964, pp. 12-13, 92.

¹⁵ NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, traduit par Martin-Zemliak, Paris: Editions du Cercle et de la Tête de Feuilles, 1971, p. 204.

¹⁶ See CLEYRE (Antonio de), *Anarchism Without Adjectives*, Libcom, Feb. 28, 2012; DELAMOTTE (Eugenia C.), *Gates of Freedom: Voltairine de Cleyre and the Revolution of the Mind: With Selections from Her Writing*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2004, p. 26; AVRICH (Paul), *An American Anarchist: The Life of Voltairine de Cleyre*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1978, pp. 144-170; BAASE (Sara), *Voltairine de Cleyre: Anarchist without Adjectives*, Anarchist Archives, Feb. 18, 2000.

¹⁷ CURRAN (Giorel), *21st Century Dissent: Anarchism, Anti-Globalization and Environmentalism*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007, p. 19.

¹⁸ TURCATO (Davide), *Making Sense of Anarchism: Errico Malatesta's Experiments with Revolution, 1889-1900*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012, pp. 51-54.

¹⁹ NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, op. cit., pp. 171-172.

²⁰ Quoted in BUTTERWORTH (Alex), *The World That Never Was: A True Story of Dreamers, Schemers, Anarchists and Secret Agents*, London: Bodley Head, 2010, p. 412.

²¹ SONN (Richard D.), *Sex, Violence, and the Avant-Garde: Anarchism in Interwar France*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2010, pp. 3, 10; FAURE (Sébastien), *The Anarchist Synthesis*, Anarchist Library, 1927.

²² HEIDER (Ulrike), *Anarchism: Left, Right, and Green*, trans. by Danny Lewis and Ulrike Bode, San Francisco: City Lights Books, 1994, p. 94. See also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Liberty and the New Left*, Left and Right: A Journal of Libertarian Thought, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1965, pp. 35-67; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Left and Right: The Prospects for Liberty*, Left and Right: A Journal of Libertarian Thought, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1965, pp. 4-22.

²³ GUÉRIN (Daniel), *L'Anarchisme: De la Doctrine à l'Action*, Paris: Gallimard, 1965, p. 6.

²⁴ Quoted in AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Voices: An Oral History of Anarchism in America*, Oakland: AK Press, 2005, p. 475.

²⁵ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Foreign Policy of the Old Right*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1978, p. 85.

²⁶ RAY (John Joseph), *Libertarians and the Authoritarian Personality*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, p. 42. About *anarchism without adjectives*, see also D'ARCANGELO (Christopher) ET AL., *Anarchism Without Adjectives: On the Work of Christopher D'Arcangelo (1975-1979)*, New York: Artists Space, 2011; FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman's "Anarchism Without Adjectives"*, Public Domain Review, Jan. 12, 2011; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, Anarchist Library, 2009, pp. 183-186; ARAGORN!, *Anarchy Without Road Maps or Adjectives*, Anarchist Library, 2007; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reply by Several Russian Anarchists to the 'Platform'*, Anarchist Library, 1927; NETTLAU (Max), *Anarchism: Communist or Individualist? Both*, Anarchist Library, 1914.

²⁷ RAY (John Joseph), *Authoritarianism of the Left Revisited*, Personality and Individual Differences, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1985, p. 272.

²⁸ For example, they were and are: philosophical anarchism, ethical anarchism, religious anarchism, mystical anarchism, individualist anarchism, egoist anarchism, socialist anarchism, anarchist communism, communalism, collectivist anarchism, mutualism, national anarchism, anarcho-capitalism, paleolibertarianism, agorism, anarcho-syndicalism, panarchy, anarcho-feminism, Black anarchism, anarcho-queer, anarcho-punk, anarcho-primitivism, green anarchism, eco-anarchism, green syndicalism, eco-syndicalism, anarcho-naturism, deep ecology, social ecology, cultural anarchism, platformism, especifismo, synthesis anarchism, anarchism without adjectives, geolibertarianism, illegalism, affinity group, insurrectionary anarchism, class struggle anarchism, revolutionary anarchism, reformist anarchism, anarcho-pacifism, post-left anarchy, post-anarchism, post-colonial anarchism, Gnarrism, anarcho-surrealism, Sans-Culottes, Spanish Revolution, Friends of Durruti Group, Events of the 1960s, Situationist International, Os Cangaceiros, Contra Info, Awareness League, Germinal Anarchist Group of Trieste, Man!: A Journal of the Anarchist Ideal and Movement, Federation of Revolutionary Anarchist Collectives, Nestor Makhno Archive, Curious George Brigade, Angry Brigade, Punkslut, Profane Existence, Up Against the Wall Motherfuckers, Social Revolutionary Anarchist Federation, Anarchist Federation, Direct Action Network, Columbia Anarchist League, Anti-Globalization Movements, Indignant Movement, Squatters' Movement in Europe (Squatting Europe Collective), Occupy Movements, Arab

Spring, Anarchist Studies Initiative, Anarchism Research Group, Rochester Red and Black, Species Traitor, Guerre au Paradis, Storm Heaven, Anarkismo, Anarchist Studies Network, North American Anarchist Studies Network, Institute for Anarchist Studies, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, Anarcho-Syndicalist Review, Anarchy Library, Anarchist Library, Critical Criminology Working Group, Prairie Struggle, Anarchist News, Zabalaza Anarchist Communist Front, Libertarian Communist Organization, Union Communiste Libertaire, Common Struggle, Anarchist Communist Federation, All London Anarchist Revolutionary Mob, Workers' Solidarity Movement, Solidarity Federation, Workers Solidarity Movement, National-Anarchist Movement, Forums of the Libertarian Left, Las Vegas Alliance of the Libertarian Left, CrimethInc., Rolling Thunder, Ravage Éditions, Sprout Distro, Iconoclast Media, Linchpin, Bay of Rage, Libcom, High Priest Wombat, Agorist, Strangers In A Tangled Wilderness, Recomposition, Species and Class, Attack the System, First of May Anarchist Alliance, Federação Anarquista Gaúcha, Federação Anarquista do Rio de Janeiro, Red and Black Cafe, AK Press, Cienfuegos Press, International Anarchist Movement, Black Front Press, Revolutionary Struggle, Free America, Anarchist Action Network, Research on Anarchism List, Melbourne Anarchist Archives, Reason in Revolt, Anarchist Bookfair, Black Cat Press, Alliance: A Journal of Theory And Strategy, Students for a Stateless Society, Sovereign Living, Masturbate For Peace, Center for a Stateless Society, Black Orchid Collective, Seattle Anti-Repression Committee, Seattle Solidarity Network, Zed Books, Committee Against Political Repression, Toronto Anarchist Black Cross, Phoenix Anarchism, Black Blocs, Spirit of Revolt, Daily Anarchist, Libertarian Alliance, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Libertarian Papers, Liberty Magazine, Liberty Unbound, Lions of Liberty, Libertarianism Organization: Exploring the Theory and History of Liberty, Mutualist.Org: Free Market Anti-Capitalism, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Ludwig von Mises Institute, Molinari Institute, Laissez Faire Club, Laissez-Faire Institute, Anarchy Meetup Groups, Rainbow Gathering, Anarchists Against the Wall, Green Is the New Red, Animal Liberation Front, Vegan Animal Liberation Alliance, Bristol Animal Rights Collective, Earth First!, Earth Liberation Front, Earth Liberation Army, Vegan Warfare, HumAnarchist, Earth Liberation Prisoners Support Network, Earth, Spirit, and Anarchy, Institute for Social Ecology, Institute for Critical Animal Studies, Do or Die: Voices of Ecological Resistance, Dead Anarchists, Alpine Anarchist Productions, Contr'un: Anarchist Theory (Libertarian Labyrinth), Dysophia, Red Lion Press, ROAR Magazine, Food Not Bombs, Tabula Rasa, NYC Anarchist Black Cross, Guerrilla News: No War But Social War!, Three Way Fight, LDS Anarchy, Brotherhood Church, Catholic Worker Movement, Jesus Radicals, Gonzo Times, Anarchist World This Week, AnarchyRadio, Audio Anarchy Radio, and Moorish Orthodox Radio Crusade.

²⁹ For example, see DRAKOPOULOU DODD (Sarah Louise), *Roots Radical: Place, Power and Practice in Punk Entrepreneurship*, Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 165-205; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law: Between Sensualism and Scientism*, in *Creative Law: Selected Papers from the University of British Columbia's 16th Annual Interdisciplinary Legal Studies Graduate Students' Conference*, 2012, pp. 228-229; COGAN (Brian), "Do They Owe Us a Living? Of Course They Do!" *Crass, Throbbing Gristle, and Anarchy and Radicalism in Early English Punk Rock*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2008, pp. 77-90.

³⁰ SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Active Anarchy: Political Practice in Contemporary Movements*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2011, pp. 125-134.

³¹ See BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism: An Unbridgeable Chasm*, Edinburgh and San Francisco: AK Press, 1995.

³² About this leftist movement, see GEAREY (Adam), 'Change is Gonna Come': *Critical Legal Studies and the Legacies of the New Left*, Law and Critique, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2013, pp. 211-227; DUNBAR (Anthony W.), *Critical Race Information Theory: Applying a Critical Race Lens to Information Studies*, PhD Thesis, University of California, 2008; GABEL (Peter), *The Spiritual Foundation of Attachment to Hierarchy*, in KENNEDY (Duncan) (ed.), *Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy: A Polemic Against the System: A Critical Edition*, New York: New York University Press, 2004, pp. 154-155; KENNEDY (Duncan), *A Bibliography of Critical Legal Studies*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 94, No. 2, 1984, pp. 461-490; WARD (Ian), *Introduction to Critical Legal Theory*, 2nd ed., London: Cavendish, 2004; GARCÍA-VILLEGAS (Mauricio), *Symbolic Power Without Violence? Critical Comments on Legal Consciousness Studies*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 363-393; IRELAND (Paddy), *History, Critical Legal Studies and the Mysterious Disappearance of Capitalism*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 65, No. 1, 2002, pp. 120-140; REVOLUTIONARY ANTI-AUTHORITARIANS OF COLOR, *An Anarchist Introduction to Critical Race Theory*, Anarchist Library, 2002; CROISSANT (Jennifer L.), *Critical Legal Theory and Critical Science Studies: Engaging Institutions*, Cultural Dynamics, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2000, pp. 223-236; NORRIE (Alan), *From Critical to Socio-Legal Studies: Three Dialectics in Search of a Subject*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2000, pp. 85-113; GORDON (Robert W.), *Some Critical Theories of Law and their Critics*, in KAIRYS (David) (ed.), *Politics of Law: A Progressive Critique*, 3rd ed., New York: Basic Books, 1998, pp. 621-661; GORDON (Robert W.), *Critical Legal Histories*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1984, pp. 57-125; BAUMAN (Richard W.), *Critical Legal Studies: A Guide to the Literature*, Boulder: Westview, 1996; BOYLE (James) (ed.), *Critical Legal Studies*, New York: New York University Press, 1994; ALTMAN (Andrew), *Critical Legal Studies: A Liberal*

Critique, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1990; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.) (ed.), *Critical Legal Studies*, Totowa: Rowman and Littlefield, 1989; KLARE (Karl E.) (ed.), *Critical Legal Studies: A Collection of Readings*, Toronto: Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, 1988; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.) & MONAHAN (Patrick J.), *Law, Politics, and the Critical Legal Scholars: The Unfolding Drama of American Legal Thought*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1984, pp. 199-245; KORNHAUSER (Lewis A.), *The Great Image of Authority*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1984, pp. 349-389; SCHLEGEL (John Henry), *Notes toward an Intimate, Opinionated, and Affectionate History of the Conference on Critical Legal Studies*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1984, pp. 391-411; SCHLEGEL (John Henry), *Critical Legal Studies: An Afterword*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1984, pp. 673-674; KLARE (Karl E.) (ed.), *Readings on Worker Participation: Legal and Philosophical Issues*, Toronto: Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, 1987; KELMAN (Mark), *A Guide to Critical Legal Studies*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1987; UNGER (Roberto Mangabeira), *The Critical Legal Studies Movement*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1986; UNGER (Roberto Mangabeira), *The Critical Legal Studies Movement*, Harvard Law Review, Vol. 96, No. 3, 1983, pp. 561-675.

³³ For example, see CRIBB (Robert), *Panama Papers: British-Canadian Billionaire Mysterious Middleman in 'Corruption Scheme'*, Toronto Star, May 25, 2016; DUBINSKY (Zach), *Panama Papers Confirm Canadian Billionaire and University Benefactor as Mystery Man in Global Bribery Case*, CBC News, May 25, 2016; FERRERAS (Jesse), *Panama Papers Reveal Canadian Victor Dahdaleh as Key Figure in International Bribery Scheme*, Huffington Post, May 25, 2016; YANG (Michael), *Your Daily Read for Wednesday, May 25, 2016 in Toronto*, Toronto Star, May 25, 2016; *Victor Dahdaleh Honoured by York University with Dahdaleh Institute for Global Health*, PR Newswire, Dec. 27, 2015; *York University Establishes The Dahdaleh Institute for Global Health*, York University, Dec. 8, 2015; *Business Leader and Philanthropist Victor Phillip Dahdaleh Donates \$20 Million to York University*, YFile, Oct. 27, 2015; *Philanthropist Victor Dahdaleh Donates \$20 Million to York University*, Hamilton Spectator, Oct. 27, 2015; *York U Proudly Announces the Creation of The Dahdaleh Institute for Global Health, Made Possible by a \$20 Million Donation by York Alumnus Victor Phillip Dahdaleh*, Global Health, Faculty of Health, York University; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Western Academic World's Support of the State Criminals: Saif al-Islam Gaddafi, Abdolkarim Soroush, Hassan Rouhani, Seyed Hossein Mousavian, and Mehdi Hashemi Rafsanjani*, Eye Art Collective, July 17, 2015; FARAH (Hammam), *York U Violates Your Rights!*, YU Free Press, No. 1, 2014, p. 16; FARAH (Hammam), *York Is Trying to Ban Me from My Home*, Excalibur, Mar. 26, 2014, p. 7; MOORE (Ryan), *York Invests in Suppliers to Israeli Defense Forces*, Excalibur, Vol. 49, No. 7, 2014, pp. 1-2; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on a Taboo Topic: Academic Crimes and Dictatorship*, Academia.edu, Dec. 4, 2013; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Highly Bureaucratic and Mafia Systems: Scholarships, Bursaries, and Awards*, Academia.edu, Aug. 2, 2011; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, Academia.edu, May 21, 2011; JUSTICE CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL FREEDOMS, *The 2014 Campus Freedom Index*, Ottawa, Sep. 29, 2014; JUSTICE CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL FREEDOMS, *2013 Campus Freedom Index*, Ottawa, Sep. 24, 2013; DICKIN (Daniel), *Ontario's Universities and Student Unions Flunk Freedom of Speech Test*, Huffington Post, Sep. 30, 2013; *Open Letter and Petition to Revoke Ban of SAIA and Hammam Farah at York University*, Jadaliyya, Sep. 6, 2013; BARROWS-FRIEDMAN (Nora), *'Boycott Israel' Activist Banned from Toronto University*, Electronic Intifada, Aug. 12, 2013; MIBIRUNG (Norbert), *No Room for SAIA at York University*, Manitoban, Aug. 12, 2013; *Toronto's York University Revokes Status of Students Against Israeli Apartheid (SAIA)*, Citizen Action Monitor, Aug. 2, 2013; URBACH (Robyn), *Revoking SAIA's Club Status Won't Ease Middle East Tension at York University*, National Post, Aug. 1, 2013; BRADSHAW (James) & APPLEBY (Timothy), *Former York U Managers Cleared on Fraud Charges*, Globe and Mail, May 1, 2013; HOPPER (Tristin), *University of Manitoba Students Union Ignores Legal Advice, Votes to Strip Anti-Israel Group of Official Club Status*, National Post, Apr. 12, 2013; ZIMMERMAN (Jesse), *York Federation of Students Passes Divestment Resolution against Israel*, Rabble.ca, Mar. 29, 2013; *York U Staff Knew about Fraud, but Didn't Blow the Whistle*, Metro, Mar. 12, 2013; ALPHEN (Tony Van), *York University Staff Knew about Fraud but Didn't Report It*, Documents Show, Toronto Star, Mar. 12, 2013; ALPHEN (Tony Van), *Fired York University VP Received \$86,000 'Performance' Bonus*, Toronto Star, Jan. 7, 2013; *An Open Letter to the York University Community, Students Against Israeli Apartheid at York University*, Facebook, Dec. 4, 2012; MARONESE (Nicholas), *York Policy on Ethical Investment Counters Student Group's*, Excalibur, Mar. 30, 2011; ROSE (Brent), *Campaign Questions University's Investments*, Excalibur, Mar. 16, 2011; *Forbidden Ideas, Fragile Freedom at York University*, Mar. 2, 2011; CHURCH (Elizabeth), *Controversy Brews over York's Handling of Conference on Mideast*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 12, 2010.

³⁴ BARRIONUEVO (Alexei), *'Minister of Ideas' Tries to Put Brazil's Future in Focus*, New York Times, Feb. 2, 2008. See also MANIKKALINGAM (Ram), *Temporary Columns: Obama, Unger and I*, 3 Quarks Daily, Feb. 25, 2008.

³⁵ See below, Chapter 4, footnotes 202 and 235.

³⁶ NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow: A Nomadic Utopia*, 2nd ed., Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 2011, p. 177.

³⁷ For some examples, see GREER (Joseph Christian), *Occult Origins: Hakim Bey's Ontological Post-Anarchism*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 2, 2013, pp. 166-187; ROUSSELLE (Duane), *Postanarchism and its Critics: A*

Conversation with Saul Newman, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 74-96; ROUSSELLE (Duane), *Max Stirner's Post-Post-Anarchism: A Review Essay*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2013, pp. 157-165; ROUSSELLE (Duane), *After Post-Anarchism*, Berkeley: Repartee/LBC, 2012; ROUSSELLE (Duane), *Georges Bataille's Post-Anarchism*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2012, pp. 235-257; ROUSSELLE (Duane) & EVREN (Süreyya) (ed.), *Post-Anarchism*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism: To Have or Not to Have Authority?*, *Academia.edu*, Feb. 25, 2012, pp. 37-39; FRETWELL (Nathan), *The Postanarchist Moment*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2012, pp. 101-105; SCHILL (Brian James), *'Nothing Is Permitted Anymore': Postanarchism, Gnosticism, and The End of Production*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2012, pp. 9-31; NEWMAN (Saul), *Crowned Anarchy: Postanarchism and International Relations Theory*, *Millennium*, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2012, pp. 259-278; NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism: A Politics of Anti-Politics*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2011, pp. 313-327; NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism and Space: Revolutionary Fantasies and Autonomous Zones*, *Planning Theory*, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2011, pp. 344-365; NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism and Power*, *Journal of Power*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2010, pp. 259-274; NEWMAN (Saul), *The Politics of Postanarchism*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2010; NEWMAN (Saul), *Unstable Universalities: Poststructuralism and Radical Politics*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2007; NEWMAN (Saul), *Anarchism, Poststructuralism and the Future of Radical Politics*, *SubStance*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 3-19; COHN (Jesse Sheppard) & WILBUR (Shawn P.), *What's Wrong With Postanarchism?*, *Anarchist Library*, Apr. 29, 2010; WILLIAMS (Dana M.), *Red vs. Green: Regional Variation of Anarchist Ideology in the United States*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2009, pp. 189-210; PRICE (Wayne), *The Two Main Trends in Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; CALL (Lewis), *Post-Anarchism Today*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2010, pp. 9-15; CALL (Lewis), *Unstable Universalities: Poststructuralism and Radical Politics*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 122-125; CALL (Lewis), *A Is for Anarchy, V Is for Vendetta: Images of Guy Fawkes and the Creation of Postmodern Anarchism*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2008, pp. 154-172, 105; CALL (Lewis), *Postmodern Anarchism in the Novels of Ursula K. Le Guin*, *SubStance*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 87-105; CALL (Lewis), *Postmodern Anarchism*, op. cit.; ANTLIFF (Allan), *Anarchy, Power, and Poststructuralism*, *SubStance*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 56-66; MCQUINN (Jason), *Post-Left Anarchy: Leaving the Left Behind*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; MCQUINN (Jason), *Post-Left Anarchy?*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; MCQUINN (Jason), *The Incredible Lameness of Left-Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2003; FRANKS (Benjamin), *Postanarchism and Meta-Ethics*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2008, pp. 135-153, 105; FRANKS (Benjamin), *Postanarchism: A Critical Assessment*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2007, pp. 127-145; EVREN (Süreyya), *Notes on Post-Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2008; EVREN (Süreyya), *Postanarchism and the '3rd World'*, *Anarchist Library*, 2006; *Neo-Anarchism: The Reformist Alternative*, *Not Bored*, Sep. 23, 2007; ADAMS (Jason M.), *Postanarchism in a Nutshell*, *Anarchist Library*, 2003; MAY (Todd), *The Political Philosophy of Poststructuralist Anarchism*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1994; KOCH (Andrew M.), *Poststructuralism and the Epistemological Basis of Anarchism*, *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 1993, pp. 327-351; ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism*, op. cit.; COHEN (Mark), *Reformist Anarchism 1800-1936: A Study of the Feasibility of Anarchism*, *Social Anarchism*, No. 19, 2005; BEY (Hakim), *Post-Anarchism Anarchy*, *Anarchist Library*, 1987.

³⁸ BRATICH (Jack), *Fragments on Machinic Intellectuals*, in SHUKAITIS (Stephen) & GRAEBER (David Rolfe) (ed.), *Constituent Imagination: Militant Investigations, Collective Theorization*, Oakland: AK Press, 2007, p. 137.

³⁹ About the place of "education" in anarchist thoughts, see HAWORTH (Robert H.) (ed.), *Anarchist Pedagogies: Collective Actions, Theories, and Critical Reflections on Education*, Oakland: PM Press, 2012; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., especially pp. 240-242; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit., p. 67 (note 78); DELEON (Abraham P.), "Anarchism ... Is a Living Force within our Life ...", *Anarchism, Education and Alternative Possibilities*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2012, pp. 5-11; GARLAND (Christian), "We Teach All Hearts to Break": *On the Incompatibility of Education with Schooling at All Levels, and the Renewed Need for a De-Schooling of Society*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2012, pp. 30-38; DELEON (Abraham P.), *Oh No, Not the "A" Word! Proposing an "Anarchism" for Education*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2008, pp. 122-141; SIMPSON (Barry D.), *The Cultural Degradation of Universal Education: The Educational Views of Robert Lewis Dabney*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2006, pp. 47-60; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, *Liberty: Fifth Estate*, 2005, pp. 23-26; MARKS (Benjamin), *Archipelagos of Educational Chaos*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2005, pp. 87-102; VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 108-112; ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 93-95, 141-149.

⁴⁰ CAREY (John), *The Intellectuals and the Masses: Pride and Prejudice among the Literary Intelligentsia, 1880-1939*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993, pp. 214-215.

⁴¹ For example, they are Lao Tzu, Zeno of Citium, Diogenes of Sinope, François Rabelais, Étienne de La Boétie, William Blake, Godwin, Proudhon, Mikhail Alexandrovich Bakunin, Carlo Gambuzzi, James Guillaume, Jean Grave, Joseph Déjacque, August Spies, Albert Richard Parsons, Lucy Eldine Gonzalez Parsons, Johann Christoph Neve, Fernand-Léonce Émile Pelloutier, Pyotr Alexeyevich Kropotkin, Émile Pouget, Carlo Cafiero, Errico Malatesta, Élisée Reclus, Louise Michel, Rafael Farga Pellicer,

Giuseppe Ciancabilla, Kanno Sugako, Johann Most, Georges Eugène Sorel, Luigi Galleani, Gustav Landauer, Georgette Kokoczinski, Joseph Lane, Louisa Sarah Bevington, William Morris, Sébastien Faure, George Barrett, James Tochatti, Agnes Henry, Francesco Saverio Merlino, Cipriano Ricardo Flores Magón, Pietro Gori, José Buenaventura Durruti Dumange, Dyer Daniel Lum, Voltairine de Cleyre, Emma Goldman, Alexander Berkman, Walter Benjamin, Ben Lewis Reitman, Charlotte Mary Wilson, Jan Wacław Machajski, Max Nomad, Ross Winn, Lilian Wolfe, Randolph Bourne, Hugh Owen Pentecost, Camillo Berneri, Giovanna Berneri, Marie Louise Berneri, Manolo Gonzalez, Carlo Tresca, Charles Malato, Max Nettlau, Amédée Catonné (called Amédée Dunois), Luigi Fabbri, Francesc Ferrer i Guàrdia, Clara Meijer-Wichmann, Victor Serge, Vsevolod Mikhailovich Eikhenbaum (known as Voline), Lucía Sánchez Saornil, Peter Andreyevich Arshinov, Nestor Makhno, Grigori Petrovitch Maximoff, Virgilia D'Andrea, Arthur Eric Rowton Gill, Max Baginski, François Dumartheray, Isaac Puente Amestoy, Paul Eltzbacher, Rudolf Rocker, Erich Mühsam, Augustin Frédéric Hamon, Senya Fleshin, Jacob Abrams, Mollie Steimer, Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, Alexandre Jacob (known as Marius Jacob), Guy Alfred Aldred, David Wieck, Leda Rafanelli, Dora Marsden, Geoffrey Ostergaard, Gaston Leval (born Pierre Robert Piller), Sam Dolgoff, Augustin Souchy Bauer, Maria Occhipinti, William Michael Duane, Marcus Graham, Daniel Guérin, Federica Montseny Mañé, Murray Bookchin, Nicolas Hardy Walter, Herbert Read, Paul Goodman, Philip Richard Sansom, John Hewetson, Avram Noam Chomsky, John Zerzan, Colin Ward, Alex Comfort (*), Hamilton Bertie Gibson (known as Tony Gibson), Ida Mett, Georges Fontenis, Albert Meltzer, Stuart Christie, Harold B. Barclay, Howard Zinn, Cedric Robinson, Robert Paul Wolff, Robert Anton Wilson, Paul Avrich, Henri Arvon, Umberto Marzocchi, John Pilgrim, John Rety, Vernon Richards, Fredy Perlman, George Woodcock, Alfredo Maria Bonanno, Ronald Creagh, Russell Means, Ken Knabb, Theodore John Kaczynski (also known as the Unabomber), Jacques Camatte, Ward LeRoy Churchill, Raoul Vaneigem, David Watson, James C. Scott, Lorenzo Kom'boa Ervin, James Herod, and Jeremy John Ratter (known as Penny Lapsang Rimbaud).

(*) Goodway has nonetheless argued that Comfort was neither a communist nor a socialist. GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death: The Anarchist Articles and Pamphlets of Alex Comfort*, London: Freedom Press, 1994, p. 22.

⁴² For example, see Gilles Dauvé (pen name Jean Barrot), Donald Rooum, Peter Ryley, Bob James, Heiner M. Becker, Roxanne Dunbar-Ortiz, David Goodway, Alan Brian Carter, April Carter, Thomas Holterman, John Moore, Zenon Bankowski, Peter Marshall, John P. Clark, Leonard Williams, Saul Newman, Nathan J. Jun, David Rolfe Graeber, Ruth Kinna, Jeffrey A. Shantz, Jeff Ferrell, Dennis C. Sullivan, Larry L. Tifft, Richard J. F. Day, Chris Ealham, Davide Turcato, Constance Bantman, Howard J. Ehrlich, Alex Prichard, Allan Antliff, Mark Antliff, Randall Amster, James R. Martel, Chuck W. Morse, Michael Albert, Jason McQuinn, Ron Sakolsky, Peter Gelderloos, Luis A. Fernandez, Amory Starr, Cindy Milstein, Judith Suissa, Wayne Price, Andy Price, Benjamin Noys, Iain McKay, George McKay, Uri Gordon, Jesse Sheppard Cohn, Sylvain Boulouque, Todd May, Saul Newman, Lewis Call, Alexandre Skirda, Duane Rousselle, Abraham P. DeLeon, Stevphen Shukaitis, Jack Bratich, Jamie Heckert, Brian Martin, Brian Morris, Lawrence Jarach, Bob Black, Judy Greenway, Andrew Cornell, Dennis R. Fox, Michael I. Niman, Chris Crass, Crispin Gallagher Sartwell, Saku Pinta, Kevin Tucker, Joseph Toscano, Alberto Toscano, Dana M. Williams, Brad Thomson, Sharif Gemie, Carl Levy, Petar Jandrić, Matthew Thomas, Matthew S. Adams, Jason M. Adams, Simon Springer, Derrick Jensen, Richard Bach Jensen, Kirwin Ray Shaffer, Robert Graham, Michelle M. Campbell, Sandra Jeppesen, Marianne Enckell, Abbey Willis, Judith Butler, Jim Donaghey, Joshua Stephens, Francis Dupuis-Déri, Étienne Desbiens-Després, Adam Godwin, Frank Fernández, Giorel Curran, Arif Dirlik, Süreyya Evren, John Patten, Graham Purchase, Charles Bufe, Lucien van der Walt, Michael Schmidt, Laura Portwood-Stacer, Gabriel Kuhn, Nicholas Lamb, Aileen O'Carroll, Shawn P. Wilbur, Ramon Ryan, Andrew Flood, Gregor Kerr, Paul Bowman, Ray Cunningham, Paul McLaughlin, Conor McLoughlin, Terry Clancy, Sean Mallory, Oisín Mac Giolla Móir, Kevin Gillan, Will Potter, Brendan Kiley, Grietje Baars, Michael Truscello, Michael Loadenthal, Richard James White, Colin C. Williams, Michael Henry Scrivener, Joel Olson, Janet Biehl, Corey Snelgrove, Peter Staudenmaier, Kathy E. Ferguson, Jason Royce Lindsey, Peter Seyferth, Deric Shannon, Bert Altena, Mohammed A. Bamyeh, Paul Sharkey, Matt Wilson, David Porter, Martyn Everett, Margaret A. Majumdar, Martha A. Ackelsberg, Brian Oliver Sheppard, L. Susan Brown, Gillian Whiteley, Clive Head, Michael Paraskos, Carissa Honeywell, Jón Gnarr, Emily Charkin, Terry Eyssens, Federico Buono, Richard Hunt, Bobby Whittenberg-James, Jonathan Simcock, Iain MacSaorsa, Massimo Passamani, Christian Garland, Ran Prieur, Layla AbdelRahim, Peter D. Burdon, Chris Kortright, Gaetano Manfredonia, James Hutchings, John Connor, John Filiss, Judi Bari, Dale Allen Pfeiffer, Don LaCoss, Ferran Aisa, Octavio Alberola, Claire Auzias, Colin Salter, Sam Mbah, Bratach Dubh, Tasos Theofilou, David Berry, Daniel Colson, Craig Rosebraugh, Chekov Feeney, Robert P. Helms, Walker Lane, Charles J.-H. MacDonald, Paul Z. Simons, Larry Gambone, Jason Godesky, Nicolas Phebus, Justin Allen Keoki Helepololei, Penelope Nin, Rob Los Ricos, Brian A. Dominick, Kostas Gournas, Nikos Maziotis, Pola Roupá, Kay Kersplebedeb, Dave Negation, Stephen Booth, Ihar Alinevich, E.T.C. Dee, Mo Karmage, Nicoal Sheen, Maia Ramnath, Benjamin Heim Shepard, J. Rogue, Jorell A. Meléndez Badillo, Jørgen Johansen, John Jacobi, Anthony J. Nocella II, Steven Best, Bernard Marszalek, Miguel A. Martínez López, Thomas Swann, Michael William, Peter Paul Kakol, José Antonio Gutiérrez D., Emcee Lynx, and Spencer Sunshine.

⁴³ For instance, they are Johann Kaspar Schmidt (known as Max Stirner), Stephen Pearl Andrews, Josiah Warren, William Batchelder Greene, Lothrop Withington, Henry Appleton, James L. Walker, Auberon Herbert who was a Member of the British Parliament, Henrik Johan Ibsen, Wordsworth Donisthorpe, Henry Bool, Gertrude B. Kelly, Steven Tracy Byington, Joseph Greevz Fisher, Ezra Heywood, Joseph Albert (known as Albert Libertad), John Henry Mackay, Henry David Thoreau, Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, Henry Albert Seymour, Abele Rizieri Ferrari (known by his pen name Renzo Novatore), Alphonse Gallaud de la Pérouse (known as Zo d'Axa), Jacques Élie Henri Ambroise Ner (known by pseudonym Han Ryner), Clarence Lee Swartz, William Charles Owen, John Beverley Robinson, Vicente Rojas Lizcano (known by his pseudonym Biófilo Panclasta), Félix Fénéon, Émile Armand, Francis Dashwood Tandy, Hutchins Hapgood, John William Lloyd who eventually ended in minarchism, Georges Toussaint Léon Palante, Victor S. Yarros who was an anarchist before accepting the existence of the State, André Lorulot, Marcel Dieu (known as Hem Day), Manuel Devaldès, Maria Lacerda de Moura, Jean-René Saulière, Kevin Amos Carson, Sidney E. Parker, Sharon Presley, Wolfi Landstreicher (Feral Faun), Fred Woodworth, Michel Onfray, and Svein Olav Nyberg.

⁴⁴ In this case, I can mention Claude Frédéric Bastiat, Gustave de Molinari, Lysander Spooner, Friedrich August Hayek, Ludwig von Mises, Murray Newton Rothbard, Anthony de Jasay, Ayn Rand, Llewellyn Harrison Rockwell, Jr, Morris and Linda Tannehill, Milton Friedman, David Director Friedman, Leland B. Yeager, Chris Ronald Tame, Tibor Richard Machan, Spencer Heath McCallum, Terry Lee Anderson, William L. Anderson, Konkin III, Thomas James DiLorenzo, George Gerald Reisman, Ralph Raico, David Gordon, Jan Narveson, Joseph Neil Schulman, Bruce L. Benson, Roderick Tracy Long, Jeffrey Rogers Hummel, Jeff Riggenbach, Ernest C. Pasour, Jr., Leonard P. Liggio, Jacob H. Huebert, Fred Emanuel Foldvary, Butler Shaffer, David Conway, Chartier, Randy E. Barnett, Charles Alan Murray, Vance, Bertrand Lemennicier, Ronald Hamowy, Jan Clifford Lester, Frank van Dun, Norman Stephan Kinsella, Paul Edward Gottfried, Walter Edward Block, Richard Allen Epstein, Robert Higgs, Jason Brennan, John F. Stossel, Todd J. Zywicki, Stringham, Peter Leeson, Benjamin W. Powell, Edward Feser, Carl Watner, Stefan Basil Molyneux, Thomas E. Woods, Jr., Roy A. Childs, Jr., Mark Thornton, Richard L. Stroup, Bryan Caplan, Gene Callahan, Wendy McElroy, Gerard Casey, Peter Vallentyne, Hillel Steiner, Laurent A. H. Carnis, Daniel J. D'Amico, Robert Patrick Murphy, Hans-Hermann Hoppe, Jörg Guido Hülsmann, Joseph R. Stromberg, Christopher J. Coyne, Yuri N. Maltsev, David Osterfeld, Josef Sima, David Boaz, Ludwig van den Hauwe, Williamson M. Evers, Jan Kregelka, Charles W. Johnson, Jim Davies, Kevin S. Van Horn, Justus D. Doenecke, Steve J. Shone, Danny Frederick, Jakub Božýdar Wiśniewski, Ben O'Neill, Xavier Méra, George Hamilton Smith, Alexander William Salter, James Rolph Edwards, Michael F. Reber, Patrick C. Tinsley, Don Stacy, Edward W. Younkins, Nikolay Gertchev, Graham Dawson, Morgan A. Brown, Laura Davidson, Dan Mahoney, Geoffrey Allan Plauché, Allen Mendenhall, Philipp Bagus, Danny Frederick, Lee Haddigan, Peter J. Preusse, Brad Spangler, Stephen D. Cox, Kris Borer, Seth King, Leonidas Zelmanovitz, Wally Conger, Anna Morgenstern, H. Arthur Scott Trask, Ann Caldwell Butler, Alex R. Knight III, Jeffrey Albert Tucker, Grant A. Mincy, Mark Stoval, and Brooke Bida.

⁴⁵ In this case, I can only mention Anabaptists, Petr Chelčický, Anne Hutchinson, Gerrard Winstanley, Jacques Roux, John Humphrey Noyes, Henry Clarke Wright, Adin Ballou, David Lipscomb, Leo Tolstoy, Jeno Henrik Schmitt, Charles Erskine Scott Wood, Elbert Green Hubbard, Dorothy Day, Léonce Crenier, Sri Aurobindo, Ammon Ashford Hennacy, Nikolai Alexandrovich Berdyaev, Peter Maurin, Martin Buber, Cornelis Boeke (known as Kees Boeke), Jacques Ellul, Peter Lamborn Wilson (pseudonym Hakim Bey), Simon Critchley, David Frank Andrews, Alexandre Christoyannopoulos, Nellis Lake, Tripp York, Yehuda Ashlag, Laurence M. Vance, Philip Francis Berrigan, Ciaron O'Reilly, Michael C. Elliot, Ivan Illich, Vernard Marion Eller, Paul Theodore Pojman, Kevin Ryan Daugherty, Mohamed Jean Veneuse, Michael Muhammad Knight, and Mia X. Kursions (formerly known as the Dolly Llamas).

⁴⁶ FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2011.

⁴⁷ About the history of anarchism, see ADAMS (Matthew S.), *The Possibilities of Anarchist History Rethinking the Canon and Writing History*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2013, pp. 33-63; LEVY (Carl), *Social Histories of Anarchism*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 1-44; THAROOR (Ishaan), *A Brief History of Anarchism: The European Tradition*, *The Time*, Dec. 31, 2010; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit.; GRAHAM (Robert) (ed.), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; NETTLAU (Max), *A Short History of Anarchism*, London: Freedom Press, 1996; NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, op. cit., GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *For Anarchism: History, Theory and Practice*, London: Routledge, 1989; GOODWAY (David), *Anarchism*, *History Workshop*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 1986, pp. 199-201; BOSE (Atindranath), *A History of Anarchism*, Calcutta: World Press, 1967; NOVAK (Derry), *The Place of Anarchism in the History of Political Thought*, *Review of Politics*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 1958, pp. 307-329; ZENKER (Ernst Victor), *Anarchism: A Criticism and History of Anarchist Theory*, New York: George Palmer Putman's Son, 1897; SCHAACK (Michael J.), *Anarchy and Anarchists: A History of the Red Terror and the Social Revolution in American and Europe*, Chicago: F. J. Schulte, 1889.

⁴⁸ See PIERAGASTINI (Steven J.), *Daoism and Anarchism: Critiques of State Autonomy in Ancient and Modern China*, Asian Studies Review, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2014, pp. 506-507; LI (Guangyi), "New Year's Dream": A Chinese Anarcho-Cosmopolitan Utopia, Utopian Studies, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2013, pp. 89-104; RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism: Critiques of State Autonomy in Ancient and Modern China*, London: Continuum, 2012; RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism Reconsidered*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1998, pp. 123-151; DIRLIK (Arif), *Anarchism in Early Twentieth Century China: A Contemporary Perspective*, Journal of Modern Chinese History, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2012, pp. 131-146; DIRLIK (Arif), *Anarchism in the Chinese Revolution*, Berkley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1991; DIRLIK (Arif), *Vision and Revolution: Anarchism in Chinese Revolutionary Thought on the Eve of the 1911 Revolution*, Modern China, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1986, pp. 123-165; DIRLIK (Arif) & KREBS (Edward S.), *Socialism and Anarchism in Early Republican China*, Modern China, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1981, pp. 117-151; WESOŁOWSKI (Zbigniew), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism: A Cultural Movement in Early Twentieth-Century China under the Influence of the West and Japanese Examples*, book review, Monumenta Serica, Vol. 53, 2005, pp. 518-520; LIU (Huiying), *Feminism: An Organic or an Extremist Position? On Tien Yee As Represented by He Zhen*, Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2003, pp. 779-800; PILZ (Erich), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism: A Cultural Movement in Early Twentieth-Century China under the Influence of the West and Japanese Examples*, book review, China Information, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2003, pp. 137-140; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Three Essays on the New Mandarins*, Anarchist Library, 1978; *Anarchism in the Americas and China*, Raven, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1998; BOSE (Atindranath), *A History of Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 11-24; SCALAPINO (Robert A.) & YU (George T.), *The Chinese Anarchist Movement*, Anarchist Library, 1961.

⁴⁹ See MA (Jianxiong), *The Five Buddha Districts on the Yunnan-Burma Frontier: A Political System Attached to the State*, Cross-Currents: East Asian History and Culture Review, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2014, pp. 478-505; HINDMAN (Heather), *Post-Political in the Post-Conflict: DIY Capitalism, Anarcho-Neoliberalism and Nepal's Ungovernable Mountains*, Cultural Anthropology, Mar. 24, 2014; WALT (Lucien van der), *T. W. Thibedi (1888-1960): The Life of a South African Revolutionary Syndicalist*, Zabalaza, No. 13, 2013, pp. 45-46; WALT (Lucien van der), *Anarchism and Syndicalism in an African Port City: The Revolutionary Traditions of Cape Town's Multiracial Working Class, 1904-1931*, Labor History, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2011, pp. 137-171; WALT (Lucien van der), *Anarchism and Syndicalism in South Africa, 1904-1921: Rethinking the History of Labour and the Left*, PhD thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, 2007; PILLAY (Devan) & Walt (Lucien van der), *Introduction: Assessing the Politics of Organized Labour in Asia, Africa and Latin America at the Start of the 21st Century*, Labour, Capital and Society, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2011, pp. 2-25; SCHMIDT (Michael), *South Asian Anarchism: Paths to Praxis*, Anarkismo, July 17, 2012; RAMNATH (Maia), *Decolonizing Anarchism: An Antiauthoritarian History of India's Liberation Struggle*, Edinburgh and Washington, D.C.: AK Press, 2011; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & MILES (Caleb J.), *Repelling States: Evidence from Upland Southeast Asia*, Review of Austrian Economics, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2012, pp. 17-32; MBAH (Sam), *African Anarchism*, Word Press, Aug. 12, 2012; MBAH (Sam) & IGARIWEY (I. E.), *African Anarchism: The History of A Movement*, Anarchist Library, 2001; MANICAS (Peter T.), *State, Power, Anarchism: A Discussion of The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*, Perspectives on Politics, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2011, pp. 92-98; ROBERTS (Neil), *State, Power, Anarchism: A Discussion of The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*, Perspectives on Politics, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2011, pp. 84-88; GIRI (Ram Ashish), *Cultural Anarchism: The Consequences of Privileging Languages in Nepal*, Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2010, pp. 87-100; SCOTT (James C.), *The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*, New Heaven and London: Yale University Press, 2009; PILGRIM (John), *Introduction: Anthropology, Anarchism and Africa*, Raven, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1992, pp. 113-115.

⁵⁰ For example, see PROAL (Louis), *Political Crime*, intr. by Franklin H. Giddings, reprinted with a new intr. by Edward Sagarin and an index, Montclair: Patterson Smith, 1973, pp. 49-104, 115, 119, 130-131, 160, 164, 348.

⁵¹ See SCOTT (James C.), *The Art of Not Being Governed*, op. cit., pp. 7, 337.

⁵² See LENDMAN (Stephen), *Israeli Theft of Palestinian Property*, Countercurrents, Jan. 7, 2010; SITTA (Salman Abu), *Israel's Seizure, Confiscation and Sale of Palestinian Property*, London: Palestine Land Society, Aug. 2009, MOLAVI (Shourideh Cherie), *Israel: A Democracy for Jews Only – Abuses Against its Palestinian-Arab Citizens*, Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East, May 2008, p. 4.

⁵³ See SCOTT (James C.), *The Art of Not Being Governed*, pp. x, 3, 7-9; RIGGENBACH (Jeff), *The Art of Not Being Governed*, Mises Daily, Dec. 10, 2010; MAYARAM (Shail), *Against History, Against State: Counterperspectives from the Margins*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2003; TILLY (Charles), *Coercion, Capital, and European States, AD 990-1992*, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell, 1990, p. 162; MANN (Michael), *The Sources of Social Power*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986, pp. 63-70.

⁵⁴ COHEN (Youssef) ET AL., *The Paradoxical Nature of State Making: The Violent Creation of Order*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 75, No. 4, 1981, p. 904.

- ⁵⁵ HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., pp. 94, 98.
- ⁵⁶ LEWIS (Wyndham), *The Art of Being Ruled*, New York: Haskell House, 1972, p. 3.
- ⁵⁷ Such as Stephen Pearl Andrews, Spooner, Aristide Briand, István Bibó, Gambuzzi, Gori, Pentecost, Scott Wood, Meijer-Wichmann, Merlino, Yarros, Eltzbacher, Huebert, Epstein, Holterman, Chartier, van Dun, Benson, David Friedman, Barnett, Kinsella, Zywicki, Mendenhall, Ben O'Neill, Baars, Amster, and Bob Black. In this regard, see KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *Advice for Prospective Libertarian Law Students*, July 28, 2009; JOLL (James), *The Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 180-181; BOZÓKI (András) & SÜKÖSD (Miklós), op. cit.; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 485; BLACK (Bob), *Withered Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1998, p. 44; GALLEANI (Luigi), *The End of Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 1925; LEON (Daniel De), *Aristide Briand*, Daily People, Vol. 11, No. 243, 1911, p. 2.
- ⁵⁸ See KROUWEL (André), *Otto Kirchheimer and the Catch-All Party*, West European Politics, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2003, pp. 25, 37 (note 2); KIRCHHEIMER (Otto), *Political Justice: The Use of Legal Procedure for Political Ends*, Westport: Greenwood, 1980, pp. 246-256.
- ⁵⁹ *The End of Anarchism? by Luigi Galleani (Kindle Edition)*, Christie Books, June 28, 2012.
- ⁶⁰ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law and Anarchism: Reality and Fiction*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011, p. 24.
- ⁶¹ SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 143.
- ⁶² See SPOONER (Lysander), *No Treason*, Anarchist Library, 1870; SPOONER (Lysander), *The Unconstitutionality of Slavery*, Boston: Bela Marsh, 1860; SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., pp. 144-145, 149.
- ⁶³ SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., pp. 67, 69, 79, 145, 182; MOREL (Lucas E.), *In Pursuit of Freedom*, Teaching History, June 30, 2011; FINKELMAN (Paul), *Garrison's Constitution: The Covenant with Death and How It Was Made*, Prologue, Vol. 32, No. 4, 2000; YACOVONE (Donald), *A Covenant with Death and an Agreement with Hell*, Massachusetts Historical Society, 2005.
- ⁶⁴ See MOORE (Wendy Leo), *Reproducing Racism: White Space, Elite Law Schools, and Racial Inequality*, foreword by Joe R. Feagin, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2008, pp. ix, 51, 53; COARD (Michael), *The "Black" Eye on George Washington's "White" House*, Avenging The Ancestors Coalition, Oct. 21, 2010; HATTER (Karen), *The Enslaved at the President's House Memorial*, NowPublic, Oct. 26, 2010; FEAGIN (Joe R.), *Systemic Racism: A Theory of Oppression*, New York: Routledge, 2006; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *What Is Democracy?*, Anarchist Library, 2004, pp. 13-14; VERHAREN (Charles), *Afrocentricity, Ecocentrism, and Ecofeminism: New Alliances for Socialism*, Socialism and Democracy, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2003, pp. 75-76; JEFFERSON (Thomas), *Notes on the State of Virginia*, Raleigh: Alex Catalogue, 1990.
- ⁶⁵ See DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Démocratie: Histoire Politique d'un Mot aux États-Unis et en France*, Montréal: Lux, 2013, especially pp. 9-10; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Histoire du Mot «Démocratie» au Canada et au Québec: Analyse Politique des Stratégies Rhétoriques*, Revue Canadienne de Science Politique, Vol. 42, No. 2, 2009, pp. 321-343; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *L'Esprit Anti-Démocratique des Fondateurs de la 'Démocratie' Moderne*, AGONE, No. 22, 1999, pp. 95-113.
- ⁶⁶ About this type of democracy, see SANDERS (Bernie), *Democracy vs. Oligarchy*, Huffington Post, Mar. 31, 2014; BARKER (Derek W. M.), *Oligarchy or Elite Democracy? Aristotle and Modern Representative Government*, New Political Science, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 547-566; MATUSZAK (Sławomir), *The Oligarchic Democracy: The Influence of Business Groups on Ukraine Politics*, Ośrodek Studiów Wschodnich, No. 42, 2012; ITOH (Hiroshi), *The Supreme Court and Benign Elite Democracy in Japan*, Burlington: Ashgate, 2010; WOLF (Sonja), *Subverting Democracy: Elite Rule and the Limits to Political Participation in Post-War El Salvador*, Journal of Latin American Studies, Vol. 41, No. 3, 2009, pp. 429-465; RACIBORSKI (Jacek), *Forming Government Elites in a New Democracy: The Case of Poland*, Communist and Post-Communist Studies, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2007, pp. 17-40; RUOSTETSAARI (Ilkka), *Social Upheaval and Transformation of Elite Structures: The Case of Finland*, Political Studies, Vol. 54, No. 1, 2006, pp. 23-42; HIGLEY (John) & BURTON (Michael), *Elite Foundations of Liberal Democracy*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2006; HIGLEY (John) & GUNTHER (Richard) (ed.), *Elites and Democratic Consolidation in Latin America and Southern Europe*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992; HIGLEY (John) ET AL., *Elite Integration in Stable Democracies: A Reconsideration*, European Sociological Review, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1991, pp. 35-53; COLLIER (Ruth Berins), *Paths toward Democracy: The Working Class and Elites in Western Europe and South America*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1999; MILLER (Arthur H.) ET AL., *Conceptions of Democracy among Mass and Elite in Post-Soviet Societies*, British Journal of Political Science, Vol. 27, No. 2, 1997, pp. 157-190; ETZIONI-HALEVY (Eva) (ed.), *Classes and Elites in Democracy and Democratization: A Collection of Readings*, New York: Garland, 1997; SIVES (Amanda), *Elites Behaviour and Corruption in the Consolidation of Democracy in Brazil*, Parliamentary Affairs, Vol. 46, No. 4, 1993, pp. 549-562; SHAMIR (Michal), *Political Intolerance among Masses and Elites in Israel: A Reevaluation of the Elitist Theory of Democracy*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 53, No. 4, 1991, pp. 1018-1043; OBER (Josiah), *Mass and Elite in Democratic Athens: Rhetoric, Ideology, and the Power of the*

People, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1989; BACHRACH (Peter), *Political Elites in A Democracy*, New York: Atherton Press, 1971; MICHELS (Robert), *Political Parties: A Sociological Study of the Oligarchical Tendencies of Modern Democracy*, trans. by Eden and Cedar Paul, intr. by Seymore Martin Lipset, New York: Free Press, 1966; KORNBERG (Allan) & THOMAS (Norman), *Representative Democracy and Political Elitism in Canada and the United States*, Parliamentary Affairs, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1965, pp. 91-102; BACHRACH (Peter), *Elite Consensus and Democracy*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 24, No. 3, 1962, pp. 439-452.

⁶⁷ See PLATO, *The Republic*, trans. and intr. by Reginald E. Allen, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2006; RECCO (Gregory) & SANDAY (Eric) (ed.), *Plato's Laws: Force and Truth in Politics*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2013; WHITAKER (Albert Keith), *A Journey into Platonic Politics: Plato's Laws*, Lanham: University Press of America, 2004; SINDIC (Denis), *Arendt and the Politics of Theory and Practice: Beyond Ivory towers and Philosopher-Kings*, Theory and Psychology, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2013, pp. 499-517; FEDERMAN (Cary) & HOLMES (Dave), *Guantánamo Bodies: Law, Media, and Biopower*, Media Tropes, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2011, pp. 58-88; KUMM (Mattias), *The Idea of Socratic Contestation and the Right to Justification: The Point of Rights-Based Proportionality Review*, Law and Ethics of Human Rights, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 142-175; SHORT (Tom), *Plato as Personnel Manager*, Human Resource Management International Digest, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2010, pp. 38-41; BARR (Mark Lyle), *The Common Law Illusion: Literary Justice in Coleridge's On the Constitution of the Church and State*, College Literature, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2008, pp. 120-141; RON (Amit), *Modern Natural Law Meets the Market: The Case of Adam Smith*, European Journal of Political Theory, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2008, pp. 117-136; MEITAL (Amir) & AGASSI (Joseph), *Slaves in Plato's Laws*, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2007, pp. 315-347; ORAM (Matan), *The Theopolitical Foundations of Strauss's Criticism of Modernity*, Zeitschrift für deutsch-jüdische Literatur und Kulturgeschichte, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2007, pp. 75-94; ALDER (John), *Robert Cover's 'Nomos and Narrative': The Court as Philosopher King or Pontius Pilate?*, Issues in Legal Scholarship, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2006, Art. 4; BROOKS (Thom), *Knowledge and Power in Plato's Political Thought*, International Journal of Philosophical Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2006, pp. 51-77; HUMPHREYS (Stephen), *Nomarchy: On the Rule of Law and Authority in Giorgio Agamben and Aristotle*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2006, pp. 331-351; HERZOG (Annabel), *Political Itineraries and Anarchic Cosmopolitanism in the Thought of Hannah Arendt*, Inquiry, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2004, pp. 20-41; SCHOTT (Jeremy M.), *Founding Platonopolis: The Platonic Politeia in Eusebius, Porphyry, and Iamblichus*, Journal of Early Christian Studies, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2003, pp. 501-531; MELAMED (Abraham), *The Philosopher-King in Medieval and Renaissance Jewish Thought*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2003; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Casaubon's Ghosts: The Haunting of Legal Scholarship*, Legal Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2001, pp. 65-98; CHEN (Albert H. Y.), *Rational Law, Economic Development and the Case of China*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1999, pp. 97-120; WAHLSTEN (Douglas), *Leilani Muir versus the Philosopher King: Eugenics on trial in Alberta*, Genetica, Vol. 99, No. 2-3, 1997, pp. 185-198; FINKEL (Norman J.), *Socioscientific Evidence and Supreme Court Numerology: When Justices Attempt Social Science*, Behavioral Sciences and the Law, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1993, pp. 67-77; PARKER (Kim Ian), *Solomon as Philosopher King? The Nexus of Law and Wisdom in 1 Kings 1-11*, Journal for the Study of the Old Testament, Vol. 17, No. 53, 1992, pp. 75-91; NICHOLS (Mary), *The Republic's Two Alternatives: Philosopher-Kings and Socrates*, Political Theory, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1984, pp. 252-274.

⁶⁸ See SHANNON (Deric) & ROGUE (J.), *Refusing to Wait: Anarchism and Intersectionality*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

⁶⁹ BROWN (Richard Harvey), *Cultural Representation and Ideological Domination*, Social Forces, Vol. 71, No. 3, 1993, pp. 657-658.

⁷⁰ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 17-19; KELSEN (Hans), *General Theory of Law and State*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1949; KELSEN (Hans), *Pure Theory of Law*, Gloucester: Peter Smith, 1989.

⁷¹ CRITCHLEY (Simon), *The Faith of the Faithless: Experiments in Political Theology*, London: Verso Books, 2012; PRICE (Tony Curzon) & CRITCHLEY (Simon), *The Attractions of Mystical Anarchism*, Open Democracy, May 8, 2012.

⁷² See WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., pp. 15-16.

⁷³ BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and the Critique of Law*, op. cit., pp. 286-287.

⁷⁴ About this oxymoronic concept, see ACCETTURA (Robert), *Einstein Nobel Paradox*, Robert Accettura's Fun With Wordage, Mar. 29, 2010; RAPACIOLI (Paul), *Nobel Descendant Slams Economics Prize*, Local, Sep. 28, 2005; SHANE (Scott), *Contradiction Racked Nobel Prize Endower*, Baltimore Sun, Dec. 7, 2003; CAMPBELL (James), *Alfred Nobel and His Prizes*, Boston Review, Oct. 1, 2001; TÄGIL (Sven), *Alfred Nobel's Thoughts about War and Peace*, Nobel Prize Organization, Nov. 20, 1998; KELLEHER (Elizabeth), *Shirin Ebadi to Claim Place in the Illustrious History of the Nobel Prize*, IIP Digital.

⁷⁵ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, New York: Academic Press, 1976, pp. 123-124.

⁷⁶ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., pp. 126-130.

-
- ⁷⁷ CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), *op. cit.*, p. III.
- ⁷⁸ GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, *op. cit.*, p. 43.
- ⁷⁹ Professor Martel has nonetheless noticed that a large number of anarchists would vehemently disagree with this argument.
- ⁸⁰ GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, *op. cit.*, p. 44.
- ⁸¹ JOHNSON (Charles W.), *A Place for Positive Law: A Contribution to Anarchist Legal Theory*, Molinari Institute, Dec. 29, 2007.
- ⁸² About the difference between a “jurist” and a “lawyer”, see BIGELOW (Melville Madison), *Centralization and the Law: Scientific Legal Education*, Boston: Little, Brown, 1906, p. 219.
- ⁸³ PALCHAK (John K.) & LEUNG (Stanley T.), *No State Required? A Critical Review of the Polycentric Legal Order*, Vol. 38, No. 3, *Gonzaga Law Review*, p. 290.
- ⁸⁴ For example, see WILLOUGHBY-HERARD (Tiffany), *More Expendable than Slaves? Racial Justice and the After-Life of Slavery, Politics, Groups, and Identities*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2014, pp. 506-521; DINOVTZER (Ronit) & HAGAN (John), *Hierarchical Structure and Gender Dissimilarity in American Legal Labor Markets*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 92, No. 3, 2014, pp. 929-955; KAY (Fiona M.) & HAGAN (John), *Cultivating Clients in the Competition for Partnership: Gender and the Organizational Restructuring of Law Firms in the 1990s*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 33, No. 3, 1999, pp. 517-555; WALLACE (Jean E.) & KAY (Fiona M.), *Tokenism, Organizational Segregation, and Coworker Relations in Law Firms*, *Social Problems*, Vol. 59, No. 3, 2012, pp. 389-410; EDLEMAN (Kaitlin), *Does this Study Prove that Law Firm Partners are Racist?*, *Vault*, Apr. 22, 2014; AREWA (Olufunmilayo B.) ET AL., *Enduring Hierarchies in American Legal Education*, *Indiana Law Journal*, Vol. 89, No. 3, 2014, pp. 941-1068; BOUTCHER (Steven A.) & SILVER (Carole), *Gender and Global Lawyering: Where are the Women?*, *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2014, pp. 1139-1167; BONELLI (Maria Da Gloria), *Gender and Difference among Brazilian Lawyers and Judges: Public and Private Practice in the Global Periphery*, *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2014, pp. 1291-1309; GUERRERO (Danger), *This Racist-As-Hell Law Firm Commercial Is the Apex of A Long, Strange Tale*, *Uproxx*, Dec. 3, 2013; GUPTA (Prachi), *Alabama Law Firm Blasted over Racist Ad*, *Salon*, Dec. 3, 2013; BUMP (Philip), *This Florida Pool Guy Made a Racist Ad for an Alabama Law Firm on Spec*, *Wire*, Dec. 2, 2013; LIU (Sida), *The Legal Profession as a Social Process: A Theory on Lawyers and Globalization*, *Law and Social Inquiry*, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2013, pp. 670-693; KLINK (Bart van), *The Role of Hierarchy, Example, and Language in Learning*, *Recht en Methode in onderzoek en onderwijs*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2013, pp. 26-47; TORRE (Massimo La), *The Hierarchical Model and H. L. A. Hart's Concept of Law*, *Revus*, No. 21, 2013, pp. 141-161; ASHLEY (Louise) & EMPSON (Laura), *Differentiation and Discrimination: Understanding Social Class and Social Exclusion in Leading Law Firms*, *Human Relations*, Vol. 66, No. 2, 2013, pp. 219-244; BANKS (Cyndi), *Criminal Justice Ethics: Theory and Practice*, 3rd ed., Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2013, pp. 65-85; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Judge Finds Racism in Three More Death Penalty Cases: Death Sentences Overturned under North Carolina's Racial Justice Act*, Dec. 20, 2012; SAINT-CYR (Yosie), *Systemic Discrimination in Law Firms: Perception or Reality? My Point of View*, *Slaw*, Feb. 2, 2012; SEBESTA (Kendyl), *Ruling Tackles Racism in Legal Profession*, *Law Times*, Jan. 30, 2012; BIERNAT (Monica) ET AL., *The Language of Performance Evaluations: Gender-Based Shifts in Content and Consistency of Judgment*, *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2012, pp. 186-192; RANDALL (Vernellia R.), *Annotated Bibliography: Overcoming Institutional Racism through a Change in Law School Curriculum*, *Race, Racism and the Law*, 2012; RANDALL (Vernellia R.), *The Misuse of the LSAT: Discrimination Against Blacks and Other Minorities in Law School Admissions*, *St. John's Law Review*, Vol. 80, No. 1, 2006, pp. 107-151; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, *op. cit.*; GILL (Rebecca D.) ET AL., *Are Judicial Performance Evaluations Fair to Women and Minorities? A Cautionary Tale from Clark County, Nevada*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2011, pp. 731-759; LYON (Andrea D.), *Racism Common in Jury Selection*, *CNN*, June 23, 2010; SCHLEEF (Debra), *Reproducing Racism: White Space, Elite Law Schools, and Racial Inequality*, book review, *Social Forces*, Vol. 88, No. 3, 2010, pp. 1488-1490; MOORE (Wendy Leo), *Reproducing Racism*, *op. cit.*; NOBLITT (Lynnette S.) ET AL., *Bias on the Bench: Sex, Judges, and Mock Trial Simulations*, *Feminist Teacher*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2011, pp. 124-138; LEVIT (Nancy), *Lawyers Suing Law Firms: The Limits on Attorney Employment Discrimination Claims and the Prospects for Creating Happy Lawyers*, *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, Vol. 73, No. 1, 2011, pp. 56-106; SARAT (Austin) (ed.), *Special Issue: Law Firms, Legal Culture, and Legal Practice*, Bingley: Emerald, 2010; BAUMLE (Amanda K.), *Sex Discrimination and Law Firm Culture on the Internet: Lawyers at the Information Age Watercooler*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009; FARRELL (Amy) ET AL., *Race Effects of Representation among Federal Court Workers: Does Black Workforce Representation Reduce Sentencing Disparities?*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social*, Vol. 623, No. 1, 2009, pp. 121-133; SANDEFUR (Rebecca L.), *Access to Civil Justice and Race, Class, and Gender Inequality*, *Annual Review of Sociology*, Vol. 34, 2008, pp. 339-358; PANTER (Abigail T.) ET AL., *Everyday Discrimination in a National Sample of Incoming Law Students*, *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2008, pp. 67-79; TORREY (Morrison), *Actually Begin to Satisfy ABA Standards 211(a) and*

212(a): *Eliminate Race and Sex Bias in Legal Education*, Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review, Vol. 43, 2008, No. 2, pp. 615-618; TORREY (Morrison), *You Call That Education?*, Wisconsin Women's Law Journal, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2004, pp. 93-118; SYLVEST (Casper), 'Our Passion for Legality': *International Law and Imperialism in Late Nineteenth-Century Britain*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2008, pp. 403-423; GORMAN (Elizabeth H.) & KMEC (Julie A.), *Hierarchical Rank and Women's Organizational Mobility: Glass Ceilings in Corporate Law Firms*, American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 114, No. 5, 2009, pp. 1428-1474; GORMAN (Elizabeth H.), *Work Uncertainty and the Promotion of Professional Women: The Case of Law Firm Partnership*, Social Forces, Vol. 85, No. 2, 2006, pp. 865-890; GORMAN (Elizabeth H.), *Gender Stereotypes, Same-Gender Preferences, and Organizational Variation in the Hiring of Women: Evidence from Law Firms*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 70, No. 4, 2005, pp. 702-728; HARTLEY (Carolyn Copps) & PETRUCCI (Carrie J.), *Practicing Culturally Competent Therapeutic Jurisprudence: A Collaboration between Social Work and Law*, Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 14, 2004, pp. 133-181; NOONAN (Mary C.) ET AL., *Pay Differences among the Highly Trained: Cohort Differences in the Sex Gap in Lawyers' Earnings*, Social Forces, Vol. 84, No. 2, 2005, pp. 853-872; SHAIN (Ralph), *Legal Education and Hierarchy: A Reply to Duncan Kennedy*, 2009; GARCÍA-LÓPEZ (Gladys), "Nunca Te Toman En Cuenta [They Never Take You into Account]": *The Challenges of Inclusion and Strategies for Success of Chicana Attorneys*, Gender and Society, Vol. 22, No. 5, 2008, pp. 590-612; PALERMO (Josephine) & EVANS (Adrian), *Relationships between Personal Values and Reported Behavior on Ethical Scenarios for Law Students*, Behavioral Sciences and the Law, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2007, pp. 121-136; GARICANO (Luis) & HUBBARD (Thomas N.), *Managerial Leverage Is Limited by the Extent of the Market: Hierarchies, Specialization, and the Utilization of Lawyers' Human Capital*, Journal of Law and Economics, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1-43; GARICANO (Luis) & HUBBARD (Thomas N.), *Hierarchical Sorting and Learning Costs: Theory and Evidence from the Law*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 58, No. 2, 2005, pp. 349-369; DINOVIETZ (Ronit) & GARTH (Bryant G.), *Lawyer Satisfaction in the Process of Structuring Legal Careers*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1-50; FETTE (Julie), *Pride and Prejudice in the Professions: Women Doctors and Lawyers in Third Republic France*, Journal of Women's History, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2007, pp. 60-86; ANGEL (Marina), *Women Lawyers of All Colors Steered to Contingent Positions in Law Schools and Law Firms*, Chicana/o-Latina/o Law Review, Vol. 26, 2006, pp. 169-182; MIRANDÉ (Alfredo), *Alfredo's Caribbean Adventure: Latcrit Theory, Narratives, and the Politics of Exclusion*, Chicana/o-Latina/o Law Review, Vol. 26, 2006, pp. 207-236; HERNANDEZ-TRUYOL (Berta) ET AL., *Latcrit X Afterword: Beyond the First Decade: A Forward-Looking History of Latcrit Theory, Community and Praxis Afterword*, Chicana/o-Latina/o Law Review, Vol. 26, 2006, pp. 237-298; LEIPER (Jean McKenzie), *Bar Codes: Women in the Legal Profession*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2006; SHEPPARD (Steve), *The Ghost in the Law School: How Duncan Kennedy Caught the Hierarchy Zeitgeist but Missed the Point*, Journal of Legal Education, Vol. 55, No. 1-2, 2005, pp. 94-107; TURNER (K. B.) & JOHNSON (James B.), *A Comparison of Bail Amounts for Hispanics, Whites, and African Americans: A Single County Analysis*, American Journal of Criminal Justice, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2005, pp. 35-53; REYNOSO (Cruz) & AMRON (Cory), *Diversity in Legal Education: A Broader View, a Deeper Commitment*, Journal of Legal Education, Vol. 52, No. 4, 2002, pp. 491-505; PEREA (Juan F.) ET AL., *Race and Races: Cases and Resources for a Diverse America*, St. Paul: West Group, 2000; SHEPPARD (Steve) (ed.), *The History of Legal Education in the United States: Commentaries and Primary Sources*, Pasadena: Salem Press, 1999; KENNEDY (Duncan) (ed.), *Legal Education*, op. cit.; KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy: A Polemic Against the System*, Cambridge: Afar, 1983; MCBRIER (Debra Branch), *Gender and Career Dynamics within a Segmented Professional Labor Market: The Case of Law Academia*, Social Forces, Vol. 81, No. 4, 2003, pp. 1201-1266; SCHULTZ (Ulrike) & SHAW (Gisela) (ed.), *Women in the World's Legal Professions*, Oxford: Hart, 2003; SIMMONS (Gwendolyn Zoharah), *Racism in Higher Education*, University of Florida Law Journal and Public Policy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2002, pp. 29-43; KENNEY (Sally J.), *Breaking the Silence: Gender Mainstreaming and the Composition of the European Court of Justice*, Feminist Legal Studies, Vol. 10, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 257-270; ALFINI (James J.) & GABLE (Jarrett), *The Role of the Organized Bar in State Judicial Selection Reform: The Year 2000 Standards*, Dickinson Law Review, Vol. 106, No. 4, 2002, pp. 683-727; REDDICK (Malia), *Merit Selection: Review of the Social Scientific Literature*, Dickinson Law Review, Vol. 106, No. 4, 2002, pp. 729-745; HEINZ (John P.) ET AL., *Lawyers' Roles in Voluntary Associations: Declining Social Capital?*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2001, pp. 597-629; ABRAMS (Kathryn), *Race and Races: Constructing a New Legal Actor*, California Law Review, Vol. 89, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1589-1603; WILLIAMS, JR. (Robert A.), *Do You Believe in the Rule of Law?*, California Law Review, Vol. 89, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1633-1640; YAMAMOTO (Eric K.), *Teaching Race through Law: "Resources for a Diverse America"*, California Law Review, Vol. 89, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1641-1651; URIBE-URAN (Victor M.), *Honorable Lives: Lawyers, Family, and Politics in Colombia, 1780-1850*, Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2000; PIERCE (Jennifer L.), *Emotional Labor among Paralegals*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 561, No. 1, 1999, pp. 127-142; SAND (Inger-Johanne), *Understanding the New Forms of Governance: Mutually Interdependent, Reflexive, Destabilised and Competing Institutions*, European Law Journal, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1998, pp. 271-293; LABAND (David N.) & LENTZ (Bernard F.), *The Effects of Sexual Harassment on Job Satisfaction, Earnings, and Turnover among Female Lawyers*, Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 51, No. 4, 1998, pp. 594-607; RUSSELL (Katheryn K.), *The Color of Crime: Racial Hoaxes, White Fear, Black Protectionism, Police Harassment, and other Macroaggressions*, New York:

New York University, 1998; GUINIER (Lani) ET AL., *Becoming Gentlemen: Women, Law School, and Institutional Change*, Boston: Beacon Press, 1997; PADAVIC (Irene) & ORCUTT (James D.), *Perceptions of Sexual Harassment in the Florida Legal System: A Comparison of Dominance and Spillover Explanations*, *Gender and Society*, Vol. 11, No. 5, 1997, pp. 682-698; FREE, JR. (Marvin D.), *African Americans and the Criminal Justice System*, New York: Garland, 1996; FOLEY (Linda A.) ET AL., *The Effect of Race on Decisions by Judges and Other Officers of the Court*, *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 26, No. 13, 1996, pp. 1190-1212; STEPNIK (Andrea) & ORCUTT (James D.), *Conflicting Testimony: Judges' and Attorneys' Perceptions of Gender Bias in Legal Settings*, *Sex Roles*, Vol. 34, No. 7-8, 1996, pp. 567-579; PIERCE (Jennifer L.), *Gender Trials: Emotional Lives in Contemporary Law Firms*, Berkeley and London: University of California Press, 1995; MOBLEY (G. Melton) ET AL., *Mentoring, Job Satisfaction, Gender, and the Legal Profession*, *Sex Roles*, Vol. 31, No. 1-2, 1994, pp. 79-98; TONRY (Michael), *Racial Politics, Racial Disparities, and the War on Crime*, *Crime and Delinquency*, Vol. 40, No. 4, 1994, pp. 475-494; STANLEY (Christopher), *Training for the Hierarchy? Reflections on the British Experience of Legal Education*, *Law Teacher*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 1988, pp. 78-86; PODMORE (David) & SPENCER (Anne), *Women Lawyers in England: The Experience of Inequality*, *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1982, pp. 337-361; ADAM (Barry D.), *Stigma and Employ Ability: Discrimination by Sex and Sexual Orientation in the Ontario Legal Profession*, *Canadian Review of Sociology*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 1981, pp. 216-221; SACHS (Albie) & WILSON (Joan Hoff), *Sexism and the Law: A Study of Male Beliefs and Legal Bias in Britain and the United States*, Oxford: M. Robertson, 1978; YUDOF (Mark George), *Suspension and Expulsion of Black Students from the Public Schools: Academic Capital Punishment and the Constitution*, *Law and Contemporary Problems*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1975, pp. 374-411; HIGGINBOTHAM, JR. (Aloysius Leon), *Racism and the Early American Legal Process, 1619-1896*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 1-17; HASTIE (William H.), *Toward an Equalitarian Legal Order, 1930-1950*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 18-31; WOLFGANG (Marvin E.) & RIEDEL (Marc), *Race, Judicial Discretion, and the Death Penalty*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 119-133; LEONARD (Walter J.), *The Development of the Black Bar*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 134-143; PARKER (Kellis E.) & STEBMAN (Betty J.), *Legal Education for Blacks*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 144-155; BURNS (Haywood), *Black People and the Tyranny of American Law*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 407, No. 1, 1973, pp. 156-166; BLUM (Jeffrey D.), *20 Law Students Protest Firm's 'Racist' Policies*, *Harvard Crimson*, Dec. 14, 1968; SELLIN (Johan Thorsten), *Race Prejudice in the Administration of Justice*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 41, No. 2, 1935, pp. 212-217.

⁸⁵ KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education*, op. cit., p. 79. See also KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education*, op. cit., p. 123; KENNEDY (Duncan), *Introduction*, in KENNEDY (Duncan) (ed.), *Legal Education*, op. cit., p. 1.

⁸⁶ Quoted in HOLMES (Barbara Ann), *Liberation and the Cosmos: Conversations with the Elders*, Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2008, p. 90.

⁸⁷ For example, see EMERSON (Richard M.), *Power-Dependence Relations*, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1962, pp. 31-41.

⁸⁸ See ACHBAR (Mark) ET AL (prod. and dir.), *Manufacturing Consent: Noam Chomsky and the Media*, New York: Zeitgeist Video, 2002; HERMAN (Edward S.) & CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*, New York: Pantheon Books, 2002.

⁸⁹ See NEWMAN (Saul), *Voluntary Servitude Reconsidered: Radical Politics and the Problem of Self-Domination*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2010, pp. 31-49; BOÉTIE (Étienne de La), *Anti-Dictator: The Discours sur la Servitude Volontaire*, intr. by Murray Newton Rothbard, trans. by Harry Kurz, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1997.

⁹⁰ See GRAMSCI (Antonio), *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*, ed. and trans. by Quintin Hoare and Geoffrey Nowell Smith, London: Lawrence and Wishart, 1971, p. 12; BLAKELEY (Ruth), *State Terrorism and Neoliberalism: The North in the South*, London: Routledge, 2009, pp. 7-8; ROBINSON (William I.), *Promoting Polyarchy: Globalisation, US Intervention, and Hegemony*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996, p. 22.

⁹¹ About these concepts and their examples, see FOUCAULT (Michel), *The Birth of Biopolitics: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1978-1979*, ed. by Michel Senellart, trans. by Graham Burchell, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Security, Territory, Population: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1977-1978*, ed. by Michel Senellart, trans. by Graham Burchell, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Society Must Be Defended: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1975-1976*, ed. by Mauro Bertani and Alessandro Fontana, trans. by David Macey, London: Penguin, 2004; FOUCAULT (Michel), *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and other Writings, 1972-1977*, trans. and ed. by Colin Gordon, New York: Pantheon Books, 1980; FOUCAULT (Michel), *The History of Sexuality: An Introduction*, trans. Robert Hurley, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1979; MARTEL (Sara L.), *Biopower and Reproductive Loss*, *Cultural Studies*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2014, pp.

327-345; RENZI (Alessandra) & ELMER (Greg), *The Biopolitics of Sacrifice: Securing Infrastructure at the G20 Summit*, Theory, Culture and Society, Vol. 30, No. 5, 2013, pp. 45-69; SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty: Ethics, Biopolitics, and Saving the Natural World*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2011; FEDERMAN (Cary) & HOLMES (Dave), *Guantánamo Bodies*, op. cit.; HUFFER (Lynne), *Mad for Foucault: Rethinking the Foundations of Queer Theory*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2010; NEALON (Jeffrey Thomas), *Foucault Beyond Foucault: Power and Its Intensifications since 1984*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2008; ESPOSITO (Roberto), *Bíos: Biopolitics and Philosophy*, trans. and introd. by Timothy Campbell, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008; PICKETT (Brent), *On the Use and Abuse of Foucault for Politics*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2005; LAZZARATO (Maurizio), *From Biopower to Biopolitics*, Pli: The Warwick Journal of Philosophy, Vol. 13, 2002, pp. 112-125; FLEMING (Peter), *Review Article: When 'Life Itself' Goes to Work: Reviewing Shifts in Organizational Life through the Lens of Biopower*, Human Relations, Vol. 67, No. 7, 2014, pp. 875-901; KIOUPKIOLIS (Alexandros), *Towards a Regime of Post-political Biopower? Dispatches from Greece, 2010-2012*, Theory, Culture and Society, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2014, pp. 143-158; RODRIGUES (Sara), *A Woman's "Right to Know"? Forced Ultrasound Measures as an Intervention of Biopower*, International Journal of Feminist Approaches to Bioethics, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 51-73; GOOCH (Joshua A.), *Figures of Nineteenth-Century Biopower in Samuel Butler's Erewhon*, Nineteenth-Century Contexts, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2014, pp. 53-71; ADLER (Anthony Curtis), *Fractured Life and the Ambiguity of Historical Time: Biopolitics in Agamben and Arendt*, Cultural Critique, Vol. 86, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-30; CAVANAGH (Connor J.), *Biopolitics, Environmental Change, and Development Studies*, Forum for Development Studies, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2014, pp. 273-294; TRIPATHY (Jyotirmaya), *Biopolitics, Torture, and the Making of the Terrorist: An Essay on Un-Modernizing*, Social Semiotics, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2014, pp. 159-174; LILJA (Mona) & VINTHAGEN (Stellan), *Sovereign Power, Disciplinary Power and Biopower: Resisting what Power with what Resistance?*, Journal of Political Power, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 107-126; REYES-ZAGA (Héctor A.), *Biopolitics and Disposable Bodies: A Critical Reading of Almazán's Entre Perros*, Latin American Perspectives, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2014, pp. 189-201; DAVIDSON (Judy), *Racism against the Abnormal? The Twentieth Century Gay Games, Biopower and the Emergence of Homonational Sport*, Leisure Studies, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2014, pp. 357-378; JAMES (Robin), *Neoliberal Noise: Attali, Foucault and the Biopolitics of Uncool*, Vol. 55, No. 2, Culture, Theory and Critique, 2014, pp. 138-158; BRENDSE (Philip J.), *Borderline Epidemics: Latino Immigration and Racial Biopolitics*, Politics, Groups, and Identities, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2014, pp. 168-187; AJANA (Btihak), *Governing through Biometrics: The Biopolitics of Identity*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013; COLAGUORI (Claudio) (ed.), *Security, Life, and Death: Governmentality and Biopower in the Post 9/11 Era*, Whitby: de Sitter, 2013; DE BOEVER (Arne), *Narrative Care: Biopolitics and the Novel*, New York: Bloomsbury, 2013; BLAIN (Michael), *Power, Discourse and Victimhood Ritual in the War on Terror*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2012; DEBRIX (Francois) & BARDER (Alexander), *Beyond Biopolitics: Theory, Violence, and Horror in World Politics*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012; CHEN (Mel Y.), *Animacies: Biopolitics, Racial Mattering, and Queer Affect*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2012; TAKESHITA (Chikako), *The Global Biopolitics of the IUD: How Science Constructs Contraceptive Users and Women's Bodies*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2012; HARITAWORN (Jintha), *The Biopolitics of Mixing: Thai Multiracialities and Haunted Ascendancies*, Surrey: Ashgate, 2012; LIESEN (Laurette T.) & WALSH (Mary Barbara), *The Competing Meanings of 'Biopolitics' in Political Science: Biological and Post-Modern Approaches to Politics*, Politics and the Life Science, Vol. 31, No. 1-2, 2012, pp. 2-15; COLLIER (Stephen J.), *Post-Soviet Social: Neoliberalism, Social Modernity, Biopolitics*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011; LEMKE (Thomas), *Biopolitics: An Advanced Introduction*, trans. by Eric Frederick Trump, New York: New York University Press, 2011; PUGLIESE (Joseph), *Biometrics: Bodies, Technologies, Biopolitics*, New York: Routledge, 2010; LOBO-GUERRERO (Luis), *Insuring Security: Biopolitics, Security and Risk*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; STONE (Matthew), *Biopolitics and Movement: A History of Travellers and the Law*, Liverpool Law Review, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2011, pp. 49-63; STONE (Matthew), *Levinas and Political Subjectivity in an Age of Global Biopower*, Law Culture and the Humanities, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2010, pp. 105-123; WOLFE (Cary), *Before the Law: Animals in a Biopolitical Context*, Law Culture and the Humanities, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2010, pp. 8-23; SCHLOSSER (Kolson), *Malthus at Mid-Century: Neo-Malthusianism as Bio-Political Governance in the Post-WWII United States*, Cultural Geographies, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2009, pp. 465-484; NADESAN (Majia Holmer), *Governmentality, Biopower, and Everyday Life*, New York: Routledge, 2008; ESPOSITO (Roberto), *Bíos: Biopolitics and Philosophy*, trans. and intr. by Timothy Campbell, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008; DA COSTA (Beatriz) & PHILIP (Kavita) (ed.), *Tactical Biopolitics: Art, Activism, and Technoscience*, foreword by Joseph Dumit, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2008; LU (Sheldon H.), *Chinese Modernity and Global Biopolitics: Studies in Literature and Visual Culture*, Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2007; LASCOUMES (Pierre), *La Gouvernamentalité: De la Critique de l'État aux Technologies du Pouvoir*, Le Portique, No. 13-14, 2004; RIFKIN (Jeremy), *Fusion Biopolitics*, Nation, Jan. 31, 2002; KWIATKOWSKI (Lynn), *Health Care as Political Weaponry: Healing Bodies in Political Conflict*, Urban Anthropology and Studies of Cultural Systems and World Economic Development, Vol. 25, No. 4, 1996, pp. 385-417; SHIVA (Vandana) & MOSER (Ingunn) (ed.), *Biopolitics: A Feminist and Ecological Reader on Biotechnology*, London: Zed Books, 1995.

⁹² WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, London: Freedom Press, 1996, p. 32. See also KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 16.

⁹³ CARRINGTON (Paul), *Reproducing the Right Sort of Hierarchy*, in KENNEDY (Duncan) (ed.), *Legal Education*, op. cit., p. 147.

This seasoned law Professor at Duke University should appreciate hierarchy, due to his military education (i.e. mass murdering) and Deanship as well, which both certainly need submission. About the salvatory influence of militarism on his personality, he has proudly said that “*neither Exeter nor Harvard achieved in years what the United States Army did in weeks to make adults out of almost all of us involuntary selectees. (...) My respect for what the Army did is not linked to any militaristic impulses on my part. I was grateful that the Army thought me unpromising as an infantryman, and later trained me to type and fill out forms. I was never happier than the day I left active duty as a soldier.*” CARRINGTON (Paul), *Reproducing the Right Sort of Hierarchy*, op. cit., p. 146.

⁹⁴ For example, see HURD (Ian), *After Anarchy: Legitimacy and Power in the United Nations Security Council*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007.

⁹⁵ See WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., p. 25.

⁹⁶ See SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice: Healing the Foundations of Our Everyday Lives*, Monsey: Willow Tree Press, 2001, pp. 180-181; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.), *Living Restorative Justice as Lifestyle: An Interview with Fred Bohrer*, *Contemporary Justice Review*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1998, pp. 149-166; BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Anthropology and Anarchism*, op. cit.; BARCLAY (Harold B.), *People without Government*, op. cit.

⁹⁷ About this theory and its example, see PAXTON (Robert Owen), *The Anatomy of Fascism*, New York: Vintage Books, 2005; AKKOC (Raziye), *A Parallel State within Turkey? How the Country's Democracy Came under Attack from Two Men's Rivalry*, *Telegraph*, Feb. 24, 2015; LOWEN (Mark), *Turkey's Erdogan Battles 'Parallel State'*, *BBC News*, Dec. 17, 2014.

⁹⁸ See TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty: Selections from the Writings of Benjamin R. Tucker*, ed. by Clarence Lee Swartz, New York: Vanguard Press, 1926, pp. 71-72; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Instead of a Book, by a Man too Busy to Write One*, 2nd ed., New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1897, pp. 60, 156-157; MCELROY (Wendy), *Rights and Duties under Anarchy by Benjamin Tucker*, Aug. 11, 2011.

⁹⁹ He has really become a “superstar”. See JONES (Josh), *Hear Michel Foucault Deliver His Lecture on “Truth and Subjectivity” at UC Berkeley, in English (1980)*, *Open Culture*, Dec. 20, 2013; WOHLSEN (Marcus), *Foucault at Berkeley: A University Transformed*, *Illuminations*, 2005; GORDON (David), *Power, Autonomy, Authorship, and Community: Foucault as Educational Guru*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2000, pp. 215-236; MILLER (James), *The Passion of Michel Foucault*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2000; DARIER (Eric), *The Michel Foucault Galaxy: Dancing under the Stars*, *Modern and Contemporary France*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1995, pp. 322-325; KIMBALL (Roger), *The Perversions of M. Foucault*, *New Criterion*, Vol. 11, 1993, p. 10; KURZWEIL (Edith), *Michel Foucault's History of Sexuality as Interpreted by Feminists and Marxists*, *Social Research*, Vol. 53, No. 4, 1986, p. 647.

¹⁰⁰ FOUCAULT (Michel), *The History of Sexuality*, op. cit., p. 93; quoted in NOYS (Benjamin), *The Culture of Death*, Oxford: Berg, 2005, p. 31.

¹⁰¹ See LANDAUER (Gustav), *Revolution and Other Writings: A Political Reader*, ed. and trans. by Gabriel Kuhn, pref. by Richard J. F. Day, Oakland: PM Press, 2010, pp. 3, 213-214.

¹⁰² See GEUSS (Raymond), *History and Illusion in Politics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 104-109.

¹⁰³ HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology: Origins, Core Concepts, and Evaluation*, op. cit., p. 270. See also COWLING (Mark), *Postmodern Policies? The Erratic Interventions of Constitutive Criminology*, *Internet Journal of Criminology*, 2006; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology: Origins, Core Concepts, and Evaluation*, *Social Justice*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2000, pp. 268-290; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology at Work: Applications to Crime and Justice*, Albany: SUNY Press, 1999; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology: Beyond Postmodernism*, London: Sage, 1996; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *The Constitution of Constitutive Criminology: A Postmodern Approach to Criminological Theory*, in NELKEN (David) (ed.), *The Futures of Criminology*, London: Sage, 1994; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Back to Basics: A Postmodern Redefinition of Crime*, *Critical Criminologist*, Vol. 5, No. 2-3, 1993, pp. 1-2, 6, 12; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology*, *Criminology*, Vol. 29, Vol. 2, 1991, pp. 293-316.

¹⁰⁴ CHAPPELL (Robert H.), *Anarchy Revisited: An Inquiry into the Public Education Dilemma*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1978, p. 357.

¹⁰⁵ OLSEN (Marvin Elliot) & MARGER (Martin N.) (ed.), *Power in Modern Societies*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1993, p. 153.

¹⁰⁶ NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, op. cit., p. 209.

¹⁰⁷ See BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, Anarchist Library, 1999, pp. 43-48.

¹⁰⁸ See DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *La Fiction du Contrat Social: Uchronie Libérale, Utopie Anarchiste*, Politique et Sociétés, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2009, p. 3-24; SARTWELL (Crispin), *Against the State*, op. cit., pp. 39 ff.; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 17-18; DE GEORGE (Richard T.), *Anarchism and Authority*, in PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), *Anarchism*, New York: New York University Press, 1978, p. 91

¹⁰⁹ See COPLESTON (Frederick), *A History of Philosophy*, Vol. II and III, New York: Doubleday, 1993; MCCREADY (William D.), *Papal Plenitudo Potestatis and the Source of Temporal Authority in Late Medieval Papal Hierocratic Theory*, *Speculum*, Vol. 48, No. 4, 1973, pp. 654-674.

¹¹⁰ See PAPAN-MATIN (Firoozeh), *The Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1989 Edition)*, *Iranian Studies*, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2014, pp. 159-200; HOSSAINZADEH (Nura), *Ruhollah Khomeini's Political Thought: Elements of Guardianship, Consent, and Representative Government*, *Journal of Shi'a Islamic Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 129-150; SAOULI (Adham), *Intellectuals and Political Power in Social Movements: The Parallel Paths of Fadlallah and Hizbullah*, *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2014, pp. 97-116; AHMAD (Refat Sayed), *Religion and the State in Turkey and Iran: A Comparative Overview*, *Contemporary Arab Affairs*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 36-53; SAIF (Mashal), *Notes from the Margins: Shi'a Political Theology in Contemporary Pakistan*, *Journal of Shi'a Islamic Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 65-97; GHOBADZADEG (Naser), *Religious Secularity: A Vision for Revisionist Political Islam*, *Philosophy and Social Criticism*, Vol. 39, No. 10, 2013, pp. 1005-1027; GHOBADZADEG (Naser) & RAHI (Lily Zubaidah), *Islamic Reformation Discourses: Popular Sovereignty and Religious Secularisation in Iran*, *Democratization*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2012, pp. 334-351; AL-SAYYID (Ridwan), *State and Religion in a Revolutionary Era: Perspectives and Demands of the Islamic Awakening*, *Contemporary Arab Affairs*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2013, p. 328; RANDJBAR-DAEMI (Siavush), *Building the Islamic State: The Draft Constitution of 1979 Reconsidered*, *Iranian Studies*, Vol. 46, No. 4, 2013, pp. 641-663; MAVANI (Hamid), *Khomeini's Concept of Governance of the Jurisconsult (Wilayat Al-Faqih) Revisited: The Aftermath of Iran's 2009 Presidential Election*, *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 67, No. 2, 2013, pp. 207-228; MAVANI (Hamid), *Ayatullah Khomeini's Concept of Governance (Wilayat Al-Faqih) and the Classical Shi'i Doctrine of Imamate*, *Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 47, No. 5, 2011, pp. 807-824; DON (Zuraidah Mohd) & MAY (Alan), *The Discursive Representation of Iran's Supreme Leader in Online Media*, *Discourse and Society*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2013, pp. 743-762; RIZVI (M. Mahtab Alam), *Velayat-e-Faqih (Supreme Leader) and Iranian Foreign Policy: An Historical Analysis*, *Strategic Analysis*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2012, pp. 112-127; AKHAVI (Shahrough), *The Thought and Role of Ayatollah Hossein'ali Montazeri in the Politics of Post-1979 Iran*, *Iranian Studies*, Vol. 41, No. 5, 2008, pp. 645-666; COCKBURN (Patrick), *Muqtada Al-Sadr and the Battle for the Future of Iraq*, New York: Scribner, 2008; BRUNNER (Rainer) & EENDE (Werner), *The Twelver Shia in Modern Times: Religious Culture and Political History*, Leiden: Brill, 2001; HOSSEINI (Hamid), *Theocracy Versus Constitutionalism: Is Velayat-e Faghih Compatible with Democracy*, *Journal of Iranian Research and Analysis*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 1999, pp. 83-96.

¹¹¹ This is a type of the "cult of personality", created through some bloody dictators such as Lenin, Stalin, Hitler, Mussolini, Mao, Fidel Castro, Raul Castro, Khomeini, Reagan, Blair, and Bush. See WEBER (Max), *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization*, trans. by A. M. Henderson and Talcott Parsons, ed. and intr. by Talcott Parsons, London: W. Hodge, 1947; HOFMANN (David C.) & DAWSON (Lorne L.), *The Neglected Role of Charismatic Authority in the Study of Terrorist Groups and Radicalization*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2014, pp. 348-368; BACON (Dawn) & BORTHWICK (Alan M.), *Charismatic Authority in Modern Healthcare: The Case of the 'Diabetes Specialist Podiatrist'*, *Sociology of Health and Illness*, Vol. 35, No. 7, 2013, pp. 1080-1094; SAGIV (Gadi), *Hasidism and Cemetery Inauguration Ceremonies: Authority, Magic, and Performance of Charismatic Leadership*, *Jewish Quarterly Review*, Vol. 103, No. 3, 2013, pp. 328-351; PEACOCK (Byron) & DARNELL (Simon C.), *Political Celebrity and the Olympic Movement: Exploring the Charismatic Authority of IOC Presidents*, *Celebrity Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2012, pp. 319-334; DELDEN (Maarten van), *Political Leadership in Zapatista Mexico: Marcos, Celebrity, and Charismatic Authority – by Di Piramo, Daniela*, *Bulletin of Latin American Research*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 2012, pp. 541-542; DI PIRAMO (Daniela), *Political Leadership in Zapatista Mexico: Marcos, Celebrity, and Charismatic Authority*, Boulder and London: First Forum Press, 2010; STRONG (Carol) & KILLINGSWORTH (Matt), *Stalin the Charismatic Leader?: Explaining the 'Cult of Personality' as a Legitimation Technique*, *Politics, Religion and Ideology*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2011, pp. 391-411; OSAGHAE (Eghosa E.), *The Limits of Charismatic Authority and the Challenges of Leadership in Nigeria*, *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2010, pp. 407-422; WINKLER (Ingo), *Contemporary Leadership Theories: Enhancing the Understanding of the Complexity, Subjectivity and Dynamic of leadership*, Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag, 2010; HOFFMANN (Bert), *Charismatic Authority and Leadership Change: Lessons from Cuba's Post-Fidel Succession*, *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2009, pp. 229-248; KANTOLA (Anu), *The Rise of Charismatic Authority Styles in Corporate Capitalism*, *Journal of Power*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2009, pp. 423-440; ADAIR-TOTTEFF (Christopher), *Max Weber's Charisma*, *Journal of Classical Sociology*, Vol. 5,

No. 2, 2005, pp. 189-204; PIOVANELLI (Pierluigi), *Jesus' Charismatic Authority: On the Historical Applicability of a Sociological Model*, *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, Vol. 73, No. 2, 2005, pp. 395-427; ZITSER (Ernest A.), *The Transfigured Kingdom: Sacred Parody and Charismatic Authority at the Court of Peter the Great*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2004; TURNER (Stephen), *Charisma Reconsidered*, *Journal of Classical Sociology*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2003, pp. 5-26; KIRSCH (Thomas G.), *Performance and the Negotiation of Charismatic Authority in an African Indigenous Church in Zambia*, *Paideuma*, 2002, pp. 57-76; SAEIDI (Ali A.), *Charismatic Political Authority and Populist Economics in Post-Revolutionary Iran*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2001, pp. 219-236; POOLE (Adrian), *Charismatic Authority in Early Modern English Tragedy*, book review, *Review of English Studies*, Vol. 52, No. 208, 2001, pp. 575-576; FALCO (Raphael), *Charisma and Tragedy: An Introduction*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1999, pp. 71-98; FALCO (Raphael), *Charismatic Authority in Early Modern English Tragedy*, Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2000; SCOTT (Lowell K.), *Charismatic Authority in the Rational Organization*, *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1978, pp. 43-62; EISENSTADT (Shmuel Noah), (ed.), *Max Weber on Charisma and Institution Building: Selected Papers*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968; RIGBY (T. Harry), *Weber's Typology of Authority: A Difficulty and Some Suggestions*, *Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1966, pp. 2-15.

¹¹² See ROUSSEAU (Jean-Jacques), *Du Contrat Social*, op. cit.; WARD (Lee), "Gods Would Be Needed to Give Men Laws": *Rousseau on the Modern Republican Legislator*, *Perspectives on Political Science*, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2014, pp. 41-51; MISCEVIC (Nenad), *In Search of the Reason and the Right – Rousseau's Social Contract as a Thought Experiment*, *Acta Analytica*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2013, pp. 509-526; KRIEG (Andreas), *Towards a Normative Explanation: Understanding Western State Reliance on Contractors Using Social Contract Theory*, *Global Change, Peace and Security*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2013, pp. 339-355; BEENSTOCK (Zoe), *Romantic Individuals and the Social Contract: The Prelude and Rousseau*, *European Romantic Review*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2012, pp. 157-175; FOISNEAU (Luc), *Governing a Republic: Rousseau's General Will and the Problem of Government*, *Republics of Letters: A Journal for the Study of Knowledge, Politics, and the Arts*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2010, pp. 93-104; MORRIS (Christopher Warren) (ed.), *The Social Contract Theorists: Critical Essays on Hobbes, Locke, and Rousseau*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1999; LEVINE (Andrew), *The General Will: Rousseau, Marx, Communism*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993; NEUHOUSE (Frederick), *Freedom, Dependence, and the General Will*, *Philosophical Review*, Vol. 102, No. 3, 1993, pp. 363-395; SCOTT (John T.), *The Theodicy of the Second Discourse: The "Pure State of Nature" and Rousseau's Political Thought*, *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 86, No. 3, 1992, pp. 696-711; RILEY (Patrick), *The General Will before Rousseau*, *Political Theory*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1978, pp. 485-516; EVERS (Williamson M.), *Social Contract: A Critique*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1977, pp. 185-194; SALISBURY (W. Seward), *Faith, Ritualism, Charismatic Leadership and Religious Behavior*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1956, pp. 241-245.

¹¹³ See LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *The Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, Lenin's Collected Works, Vol. 30, 4th ed., Moscow: Progress, 1965, pp. 93-104; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *The State and Revolution*, 2nd ed., New York: International Publishers, 1943; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *Lenin on the United States: Selected Writings*, New York: International Publishers, 1970; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *What Is to Be Done?*, trans. by Joe Fineberg and George Hanna, revisions to trans., intr., and glossary by Robert Service, London: Penguin Books, 1989; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *On the Proletarian Party of a New Type*, compiled and the preface by Yevdokiya Polkovnikova and Yelena Strukova, Moscow: Novosti Press Agency Publishing House, 1981; MAO (Zedong), *On the People's Democratic Dictatorship*, Peking: Foreign Languages Press, 1961; ZURBRUGG (Anthony), *Socialism and Strategy: A Libertarian, Critique of Leninism*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 16-51; PRICE (Wayne), *Should Anarchists Raise a Program of Demands?*, *Anarchist Library*, 2014; PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchist Organisation not Leninist Vanguardism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2006; PRICE (Wayne), *A Maoist Attack on Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2007; PORCARO (Mimmo), *Occupy Lenin*, *Socialist Register*, Vol. 49, 2013, pp. 84-97; LAFFAN (Tony), *'Not a Dictatorship of the Proletariat but a Comradeship of All': Methodism and the Newcastle Labour Movement*, *Labour History*, No. 85, 2003, pp. 217-224; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarchism and Sovietism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2012; PANNEKOEK (Anton), *Party and Class*, Leicester: Anarchist Communist Federation, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 3487-3491; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The Twilight of Vanguardism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2003; PANTSOV (Alexander), *The Bolsheviks and the Chinese Revolution 1919-1927*, Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2000; LORIMER (Doug), *Trotsky's Theory of Permanent Revolution: A Leninist Critique*, Chippendale: Resistance Books, 1998; BARANY (Zoltan), *The 'Volatile' Marxian Concept of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Studies in East European Thought*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-21; MAYER (Robert), *Lenin, the Proletariat, and the Legitimation of Dictatorship*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1997, pp. 99-115; MAYER (Robert), *The Dictatorship of the Proletariat from Plekhanov to Lenin*, *Studies in East European Thought*, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1993, pp. 255-280; EHRENBURG (John) *The Dictatorship of the Proletariat: Marxism's Theory of Socialist Democracy*, New York: Routledge, 1992; MELOGRANI (Piero), *Lenin and the Myth of World Revolution: Ideology and Reasons of State, 1917-1920*, trans. by Julie Lerro, Atlantic Highlands: Humanities Press International, 1989; CHESTER (Eric), *Revolutionary Socialism and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Critique*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1989, pp. 83-89; DRAPER (Hal), *Dictatorship of the Proletariat from Marx to Lenin*, New York: Harper Review Press, 1987; SCHENA (Patrick), *From a Dictatorship of the Proletariat to a State of the Whole People*, *Studies in Soviet Thought*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1979, pp. 155-

163; BALIBAR (Etienne), *On the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, intr. by Grahame Lock, afterword by Louis Althusser, trans. by Grahame Lock, London: New Left Books, 1977; LEVINE (Andrew), *Review Article: Balibar, on the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Politics and Society*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1977, pp. 69-83; GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat: Additional Notes*, *Critique*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1976, pp. 85-90; GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat (1918)*, *Critique*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1974, pp. 27-47; GOULDNER (Alvin W.), *Prologue to a Theory of Revolutionary Intellectuals*, *Telos*, No. 26, 1975-1976, pp. 3-36; BRINTON (Maurice), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Critique*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1975, pp. 78-86; CHUN-CHIAO (Chang), *On Exercising All-Round Dictatorship over the Bourgeoisie*, *Hongqi*, No. 4, 1975; WEYDEMEYER (Joseph), *The Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Labor History*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1962, pp. 214-217; JOHNSTONE (Monty), *The Paris Commune and Marx's Conception of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, *Massachusetts Review*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 1971, pp. 447-462; NOMAD (Max), *Political Heretics: From Plato to Mao Tse-Tung*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1963; NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, Boston: Little, Brown, 1939; NOMAD (Max), *White Collars and Horny Hands: The Revolutionary Thought of Wacław Machajski*, Anarchist Library, 1932; MOORE, JR. (Barrington), *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*, Boston: Beacon Press, 1966; MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Bolshevism: Promises and Reality*, Anarchist Library, 1935; BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, New York: Vanguard Press, 1929, pp. 129 ff.; BERKMAN (Alexander), *The Bolshevik Myth (Diary 1920-1922)*, Anarchist Library, 1925.

114 See GURIANOVA (Nina), *Revolution is Creativity: Some Aspects of Moscow Anarchist Periodicals in the Context of Avant-Garde Aesthetics (1917-1918)*, *Journal of Modern Periodical Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2014, pp. 245-269; CLARK (John P.), *The Impossible Community: Realizing Communitarian Anarchism*, London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2013, pp. 133-134; KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, op. cit., pp. 9, 14, 24; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The Twilight of Vanguardism*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Why a Vanguard?*, Anarchist Library, 1977; GOULDNER (Alvin W.), *Prologue to a Theory of Revolutionary Intellectuals*, op. cit., p. 15; GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat: Additional Notes*, op. cit.; GOODEY (Chris), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat (1918)*, op. cit.; BRINTON (Maurice), *Factory Committees and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat*, op. cit.; NOMAD (Max), *Political Heretics*, op. cit.; NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, op. cit.; NOMAD (Max), *White Collars and Horny Hands*, op. cit.

115 About this group, see AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Voices*, op. cit., p. 424.

116 About the concept of "failed State" and its examples, see CARLSEN (Lars) & BRUGGEMANN (Rainer), *The 'Failed State Index' Offers More than Just a Simple Ranking*, *Social Indicators Research*, Vol. 115, No. 1, 2014, pp. 525-530; LEONARD (Liam), *'Cultural Criminology, Governmentality and the Liquidity of the Failing State: The View from Ireland' for Critical Criminology*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2014, pp. 293-306; JONES (Clive), *Yemen Divided: The Story of a Failed State in South Arabia*, by Noel Brehony, *Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 50, No. 3, 2014, pp. 509-511; BREHONY (Noel), *Yemen Divided: The Story of a Failed State in South Arabia*, London: I.B. Tauris, 2013; O'TOOLE (Fintan), *Three Cracked Pillars of a Failed State*, *Dublin: Irish Times*, 2013; NAY (Olivier), *Fragile and Failed States: Critical Perspectives on Conceptual Hybrids*, *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2013, pp. 326-341; DINGLI (Sophia), *Is the Failed State Thesis Analytically Useful? The Case of Yemen*, *Politics*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2013, pp. 91-100; JABAREEN (Yosef), *Conceptualizing "Post-Conflict Reconstruction" and "Ongoing Conflict Reconstruction" of Failed States*, *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 107-125; EZROW (Natasha) & FRANTZ (Erica), *Revisiting the Concept of the Failed State: Bringing the State Back In*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 34, No. 8, 2013, pp. 1323-1338; HAMMOND (Laura), *Somalia Rising: Things Are Starting to Change for the World's Longest Failed State*, *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2013, pp. 183-193; ROTBERG (Robert Irwin) & AKER (Jenny C.), *Mobile Phones: Uplifting Weak and Failed States*, *Washington Quarterly*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 111-125; ROTBERG (Robert Irwin) (ed.), *When States Fail: Causes and Consequences*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004; HIGGS (Robert), *State-Led Humanitarian Aid: Another Case of "Government Failure": A Review of Christopher J. Coyne, Doing Bad by Doing Good: Why Humanitarian Action Fails*, *Stanford University Press*, Stanford, CA, 2013, *Review of Austrian Economics* Vol. 26, No. 4, 2013, pp. 493-496; COYNE (Christopher J.), *Doing Bad by Doing Good: Why Humanitarian Action Fails*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013; KIMENYI (Mwangi S.) ET AL., *Reconstituting Africa's Failed States: The Case of Somalia*, *Social Research*, Vol. 77, No. 4, 2010, pp. 1339-1366; BECHTOL, JR. (Bruce E.), *Defiant Failed State: The North Korean Threat to International Security*, Washington, D.C.: Potomac Books, 2010; HURIA (Sonali), *Failed States and Foreign Military Intervention*, New Delhi: Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies, 2009; VERHOEVEN (Harry), *The Self-Fulfilling Prophecy of Failed States: Somalia, State Collapse and the Global War on Terror*, *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2009, pp. 405-425; WARNOCK (John W.), *Creating a Failed State: The US and Canada in Afghanistan*, Halifax: Fernwood, 2008; HAIMS (Marla C.) ET AL., *Breaking the Failed-State Cycle*, Santa Monica: RAND, 2008; GHANI (Ashraf) & LOCKHART (Clare), *Fixing Failed States: A Framework for Rebuilding a Fractured World*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008; DENIKE (Margaret Ann), *The Human Rights of Others: Sovereignty, Legitimacy, and "Just Causes" for the "War on Terror"*, *Hypatia*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2008, pp. 98, 103, 107; PATRICK (Stewart), *"Failed" States and Global Security: Empirical*

Questions and Policy Dilemmas, *International Studies Review*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2007, pp. 644-662; LAMBACH (Daniel) & DEBIELE (Tobias) (ed.), *State Failure Revisited I: Globalization of Security and Neighborhood Effects*, Duisburg: Institute for Development and Peace, 2007; KRAXBERGER (Brennan M.), *Failed States: Temporary Obstacles to Democratic Diffusion or Fundamental Holes in the World Political Map?*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 28, No. 6, 2007, pp. 1055-1071; CANADIAN COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION, *"Failed States": Canadian Action in Conflict-Affected States*, Ottawa: Canadian Council for International Co-operation, 2006; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Failed States: The Abuse of Power and the Assault on Democracy*, London: Hamish Hamilton, 2006; IGNATIEFF (Michael Grant), *Intervention and State Failure*, *Dissent*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2002, pp. 115-119; KUX (Dennis), *Pakistan: Flawed Not Failed State*, New York: Foreign Policy Association, 2001; EVANS (Peter B.), *The Eclipse of the State? Reflections on Stateness in an Era of Globalization*, *World Politics*, Vol. 50, No. 1, 1997, pp. 62-87; GROS (Jean-Germain), *Towards a Taxonomy of Failed States in the New World Order: Decaying Somalia, Liberia, Rwanda and Haiti*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 1996, pp. 455-471.

¹¹⁷ Cited in MORGAN (Michael L.) (ed.), *Classics of Moral and Political Theory*, 5th ed., Indianapolis: Hackett, 2011, p. 619.

¹¹⁸ See MILLEN (Raymond A.), *The Hobbesian Notion of Self-Preservation Concerning Human Behavior during an Insurgency*, *Parameters*, 2006-2007, pp. 4-13; WILLIAMS (Garraht), *Hobbes: Moral and Political Philosophy*, *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, July 5, 2005; RAUCH (Leo), *The Political Animal: Studies in Political Philosophy from Machiavelli to Marx*, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1981, p. 17; MACGILLIVRAY (Royce), *Thomas Hobbes's History of the English Civil War A Study of Behemoth*, *Journal of the History of Ideas*, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1970, pp. 179-198.

¹¹⁹ For example, see SCHECK (Laura) & MILLER (Tatum), *Point/Counterpoint: The Ghomeshi Verdict*, *Peak*, Apr. 4, 2016; LORETO (Nora) & BEUHLER (Sarah), *The Ghomeshi Trial Isn't another Setback for Feminism. It's Proof We're Winning*, *Rabble.ca*, Apr. 4, 2016; CALDER (Gillian) & JOHNSON (Rebecca), *Comment: Ghomeshi Case Raises Disturbing Legal Issues*, *Times Colonist*, Apr. 5, 2016; *Lawyer Marie Henein Betrayed No One by Defending Jian Ghomeshi*, *Hamilton Spectator*, Apr. 4, 2016; GOLLOM (Mark), *Meet Marie Henein, the 'Fearless and Brilliant' Lawyer Defending Jian Ghomeshi*, *CBC News*, Nov. 27, 2014; GALLANT (Jacques), *Jian Ghomeshi: Once Talkative and Energetic, He's Reduced to Silent and Absent Figure*, *Toronto Star*, Nov. 26, 2014; OSTROFF (Joshua), *Jian Ghomeshi Arrested: Ex-CBC Host Facing Sexual Assault Charges*, *Huffington Post*, Nov. 26, 2014; *Jian Ghomeshi to Plead Not Guilty to Sex Assault, Choking Charges*, *CBC News*, Nov. 26, 2014; BURTON (Michael), *Jian Ghomeshi Drops \$55 Million Lawsuit against CBC, Excalibur*, Vol. 49, No. 15, 2014, p. 1; *York Alumnus Jian Ghomeshi Ousted from Position at CBC, Excalibur*, Oct. 30, 2014; DONOVAN (Kevin), *Jian Ghomeshi Drops \$55M CBC Lawsuit*, *Toronto Star*, Nov. 25, 2014; MEHTA (Diana), *Jian Ghomeshi Withdraws Lawsuit against CBC*, *CP24*, Nov. 25, 2014; SELLEY (Chris), *Jian Ghomeshi's Hostile Work Environment*, *National Post*, Nov. 24, 2014; CLIM (Brandon), *Jian Ghomeshi's Involvement in Student Politics*, *StudentUnion.ca*, Nov. 10, 2014; HOPPER (Tristin), *Jian Ghomeshi Helped Create York University's Radical Student Activism with Charm, Ambition and a Vow to End Sexism*, *National Post*, Nov. 7, 2014.

¹²⁰ HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 97.

¹²¹ GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit., p. 69. See also HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 98.

¹²² FOX (Dennis R.), *Where's the Proof that Law Is a Good Thing?*, *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1993, p. 258.

¹²³ BARCLAY (Harold B.), *People without Government*, op. cit., p. 28; quoted in FOX (Dennis R.), *Where's the Proof that Law Is a Good Thing?*, op. cit., p. 258. See also KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., p. 15; POWELL (Benjamin W.) & WILSON (Bart), *An Experimental Investigation of Hobbesian Jungles*, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol. 66, No. 3-4, 2008, pp. 669-686; POWELL (Benjamin) & COYNE (Christopher J.), *Do Pessimistic Assumptions about Human Behavior Justify Government?*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2003, pp. 17-37; ORBELL (John M.) & RUTHERFORD (Brent M.), *Can Leviathan Make the Life of Man Less Solitary, Poor, Nasty, Brutish and Short?*, *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1973, pp. 383-407.

¹²⁴ HAMPSHIRE (Stuart), *Self-Consciousness and Society*, in O'BRIEN (Conor Cruise) & VANECH (William Dean) (ed.), *Power and Consciousness*, London: University of London Press, 1969, p. 242.

¹²⁵ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence through War*, *Academia.edu*, May 16, 2011.

¹²⁶ See BULLOCK (Marcus) & JENNINGS (Michael W.) (ed.), *Walter Benjamin: Selected Writings*, Cambridge: Belknap Press, 1996, pp. 236-252.

¹²⁷ For some examples of US wars and crimes, see TURSE (Nick), *Kill Anything that Moves: The Real American War in Vietnam*, New York: Metropolitan Books, 2013; HAAS (Michael), *America's War Crimes Quagmire, from Bush to Obama*, Los Angeles: Publishinghouse for Scholars, 2010; HAAS (Michael), *George W. Bush, War Criminal?: The Bush Administration's*

Liability for 269 War Crimes, foreword by Benjamin B. Ferencz, Westport: Praeger, 2009; CORLETT (J. Angelo), *US Responsibility for War Crimes in Iraq*, Res Publica, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2010, pp. 227-244; SCHWAB (Orrin), *The Gulf Wars and the United States: Shaping the Twenty-First Century*, Westport: Praeger Security International, 2009; GREINER (Bernd), *War Without Fronts: The USA in Vietnam*, trans. by Anne Wyburd and Victoria Fern, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009; NELSON (Deborah), *The War behind Me: Vietnam Veterans Confront the Truth about U.S. War Crimes*, New York: Basic Books, 2008; VANAIK (Achint) (ed.), *Selling US Wars*, foreword by Tariq Ali, Northampton: Olive Branch Press, 2007; BRECHER (Jeremy) ET AL. (ed.), *In the Name of Democracy: American War crimes in Iraq and Beyond*, New York: Metropolitan Books, 2005; SELDEN (Mark) & SO (Alvin Y.) (ed.), *War and State Terrorism: The United States, Japan, and the Asia-Pacific in the Long Twentieth Century*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2004; GAREAU (Frederick Henry), *State Terrorism and the United States: From Counterinsurgency to the War on Terrorism*, Atlanta and London: Clarity Press, 2004; METTRAUX (Guénaél), *US Courts-Martial and the Armed Conflict in the Philippines (1899-1902): Their Contribution to National Case Law on War Crimes*, Journal of International Criminal Justice Vol. 1, No. 1, 2003, pp. 135-150; STOKES (Doug), *Why the End of the Cold War Doesn't Matter: The US War of Terror in Colombia*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2003, pp. 560-585; LANGLEY (Lester D.), *The Banana Wars: United States Intervention in the Caribbean, 1898-1934*, Wilmington: Scholarly Resources, 2002; KLAUSEN (Jytte), *War and Welfare, Europe and the United States, 1945 to the Present*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1998; BULLOCK (Kenneth), *United States Tort Liability for War Crimes Abroad: An Assessment and Recommendation*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 58, No. 1, 1995, pp. 139-159; AUSTIN (Kathi), *Invisible Crimes: US Private Intervention in the War in Mozambique*, ed. by William Minter, Washington, D.C.: Africa Policy Information Center, 1994; CLARK (Ramsey) ET AL., *War Crimes: A Report on United States War Crimes against Iraq*, Washington, D.C.: Maisonneuve Press, 1992; CLARK (Ramsey), *Initial Complaint Charging*, Deoxy, May 9, 1991; WEINGARTNER (James J.), *Trophies of War: U.S. Troops and the Mutilation of Japanese War Dead, 1941-1945*, Pacific Historical Review, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1992, pp. 53-67; WEIGLEY (Russell Frank), *The American Way of War: A History of United States Military Strategy and Policy*, New York: Macmillan, 1973; *The List of Wars Involving the United States*, Wikipedia; *United States War Crimes*, Wikipedia.

¹²⁸ About this Congress, see RUSSELL (Jesse) & COHN (Ronald), *International Anarchist Congress of Amsterdam*, Stoughton: Book on Demand, 2012; ANTONIOLI (Maurizio) (ed.), *The International Anarchist Congress of Amsterdam (1907)*, English ed. and trans. by Nestor McNab, Edmonton: Black Cat Press, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The International Anarchist Congress Held at the Plancius Hall, Amsterdam, on August 26th-31st, 1907*, Anarchist Library, 1907; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anarchy and Organization: The Debate at the 1907 International Anarchist Congress*, Anarchist Library, 1907.

¹²⁹ LEWIS (Wyndham), *The Art of Being Ruled*, op. cit., pp. 95-96; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at Feminism throughout Anarcha-Feminism: Feminization of All or Disguised Sexism?*, Academia.edu, Apr. 9, 2012, pp. 11-12.

¹³⁰ STAUFFER (Dana Jalbert), *Aristotle's Account of the Subjection of Women*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 70, No. 4, 2008, pp. 929-941; HEATH (Malcolm), *Aristotle on Natural Slavery*, White Rose Research, 2008, pp. 14-16; CLAIR (Robin Patric) ET AL., *Why Work?: The Perceptions of a Real Job and the Rhetoric of Work Through the Ages*, West Lafayette: Purdue University Press, 2008, pp. 39-40; WEXLER (Steve), *How Did Aristotle Get It Wrong About Women and Slaves?*, Voices, Vol. 1.1, 2005; NICHOLAS (Mary P.), *Citizens and Statesmen: Study of Aristotle's Politics*, Savage: Rowman and Littlefield, 1992, pp. 19 ff.; WIJNGAARDS (John), *Greek Philosophy on the Inferiority of Women*, Women Can Be Priests.

¹³¹ For example, they are Dilma Vana Rousseff, Myriam El Khomri, Elena Ceausescu, Indira Gandhi, Margaret Thatcher, Bernadette Chirac, Claude Chirac, Hillary Clinton, Angela Merkel, Cristina Kirchner, Verónica Michelle Bachelet Jeria, Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, Faezeh Hashemi Rafsanjani, Leïla Ben Ali, Suzanne Mubarak, Ayesha Gaddafi, Laura Chinchilla Miranda, Kathleen O'Day Wynne, and Pauline Marois. In this case, see below, Chapter 4, footnotes 202 and 235; SAMUEL (Henry), *Former Tunisian Dictator Ben Ali's Wife Claims: 'I Was a Woman of the People'*, Telegraph, July 1, 2012; MCDONAGH (Eileen), *The Motherless State: Women's Political Leadership and American Democracy*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009.

¹³² WEXLER (Steve), *How Did Aristotle Get It Wrong About Women and Slaves?*, op. cit.

¹³³ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 7.

¹³⁴ See WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., p. 40 (note 16); HENRY (Stuart), *Community Justice, Capitalist Society, and Human Agency: The Dialectics of Collective Law in the Cooperative*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1985, pp. 303-327; HENRY (Stuart), *Private Justice*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1983.

¹³⁵ For some examples of this type of fetish among the anarchist thinkers, see MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Anarchism and Its Aspirations*, op. cit.; BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and the Critique of Law*, op. cit., p. 270; DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy: Selected Works by the Activist-Founder of World Anarchism*, New York: Vintage Books, 1972, p. 338.

- ¹³⁶ See WOLFF (Robert Paul), *In Defense of Anarchism*, New York: Harper and Row, 1970; DUKE (George), *Gadamer and Political Authority*, *European Journal of Political Theory*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 25-40; REIMAN (Jeffrey H.), *Anarchism and Nominalism: Wolff's Latest Obituary for Political Philosophy*, *Ethics*, Vol. 89, No. 1, 1978, pp. 95-110; REIMAN (Jeffrey H.), *In Defense of Political Philosophy: A Reply to Robert Paul Wolff's In Defense of Anarchism*, New York: Harper and Row, 1972; STERBA (James P.), *The Decline of Wolff's Anarchism*, *Journal of Value Inquiry*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1977, pp. 213-217; MARTIN (Rex), *Wolff's Defence of Philosophical Anarchism*, *Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 24, No. 95, 1974, pp. 140-149; FRANKFURT (Harry G.), *The Anarchism of Robert Paul Wolff*, *Political Theory*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1973, pp. 405-414; PRITCHARD (Michael S.), *Wolff's Anarchism*, *Journal of Value Inquiry*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1973, pp. 296-302; SMITH (Malcolm Barry Estes), *Wolff's Argument for Anarchism*, *Journal of Value Inquiry*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1973, pp. 290-295; SOBERS (David), *Wolff's Logical Anarchism*, *Ethics*, Vol. 82, No. 2, 1972, pp. 173-176; O'NEIL (Patrick M.), *The Inadequacy of Paul Wolff's Authority-Autonomy Antinomy*, *Journal of the Libertarian Alliance*, Vol. 6, No. 4, Art. 4 of 8.
- ¹³⁷ FRANKEL (Charles), *Freedom, Authority, and Orthodoxy*, *Antioch Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1952, p. 194.
- ¹³⁸ MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 20.
- ¹³⁹ See CRAIG (Geoffrey), *Kevin's Predicaments: Power and Celebrity across the Political and Media Fields*, *International Journal of Press/Politics*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2014, pp. 24-41; GARTHWAITE (Craig) & MOORE (Timothy, J.), *Can Celebrity Endorsements Affect Political Outcomes? Evidence from the 2008 US Democratic Presidential Primar*, *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2013, pp. 355-384; WHEELER (Mark), *Celebrity Politics: Image and Identity in Contemporary Political Communications*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2013; PEACOCK (Byron) & DARNELL (Simon C.), *Political Celebrity and the Olympic Movement*, op. cit.; DELDEN (Maarten van), *Political Leadership in Zapatista Mexico*, op. cit., pp. 541-542; NOWNES (Anthony J.), *An Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Celebrity Support for Political Parties in the United States*, *American Politics Research*, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2012, pp. 476-500; MAYES (Tessa), *The Cult of the Political Celebrity*, *Spiked*, Oct. 16, 2012; ANTOUN (Richard T.), *From Heroes to Celebrities to Moneyball: The Life Cycle of Professional Male Star Athletes Adjusting to Shifting Forms of Competition and Changing Political and Cultural Economies*, *Identities*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2011, pp. 138-161; CLAIR (Matthew), *The Political Celebrity*, *PolicyMic*, June 14, 2011; OBERACKER (J. Scott), *The Reel Deal: Michael Moore, Political Documentary and the Discourse of Celebrity*, *Celebrity Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2010, pp. 170-188; HEINZE (Eric), *Heir, Celebrity, Martyr, Monster: Legal and Political Legitimacy in Shakespeare and Beyond*, *Law and Critique*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2009, pp. 79-103; HENNEBERG (Stephan C.) & CHEN (Yi-Ling), *Celebrity Political Endorsement*, *Journal of Political Marketing*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2008, pp. 1-31; SPOHRER (Erika), *Becoming Extra-Textual: Celebrity Discourse and Paul Robeson's Political Transformation*, *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2007, pp. 151-168; SIMONSON (Peter), *Celebrity, Public Image, and American Political Life: Rereading Robert K. Merton's Mass Persuasion*, *Political Communication*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2006, pp. 271-284; ZOONEN (Liesbet van), *The Personal, the Political and the Popular: A Woman's Guide to Celebrity Politics*, *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2006, pp. 287-301; GOTTLIEB (Julie), *The Marketing of Megalomania: Celebrity, Consumption and the Development of Political Technology in the British Union of Fascists*, *Journal of Contemporary History*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2006, pp. 35-55; JACKSON (David J.) & DARROW (Thomas I. A.), *The Influence of Celebrity Endorsements on Young Adults' Political Opinions*, *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*, Vol. 10, 3, 2005, pp. 80-98; STREET (John), *Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation*, *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2004, pp. 435-452; EWING (E. Thomas), *A Stalinist Celebrity Teacher: Gender, Professional, and Political Identities in Soviet Culture of the 1930s*, *Journal of Women's History*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2004, pp. 92-118; WEST (Darrell M.) & ORMAN (John M.), *Celebrity Politics*, Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 2003.
- ¹⁴⁰ *Iranian Journalist Recalls Torture, Sharing Cell With Supreme Leader (To Be)*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Aug. 3, 2010. See also ASADI (Houshang), *Letters to My Torturer: Love, Revolution, and Imprisonment in Iran*, trans. by Nushin Arbabzadah, Oxford: Oneworld, 2010; ASADI (Houshang), *Mr. Khamenei and His Cell-Mates*, Rooz, Mar. 10, 2009.
- ¹⁴¹ SCHEURING (Paul T.) (dir.), *The Experiment*, United States: Entertainment One Films, 2010.
- ¹⁴² See HANEY (Craig) & ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *Persistent Dispositionalism in Interactionist Clothing: Fundamental Attribution Error in Explaining Prison Abuse*, *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 35, No. 6, 2009, pp. 807-814; ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *The Lucifer Effect: Understanding How Good People Turn Evil*, New York: Random House, 2007; ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *A Situationist Perspective on the Psychology of Evil: Understanding How Good People are Transformed into Perpetrators*, in MILLER (Arthur G.) (ed.), *The Social Psychology of Good and Evil*, New York: Guilford, 2004, pp. 2-50; HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *When Prisoners Take Over the Prison: A Social Psychology of Resistance*, *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2012, pp. 154-179; HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *On the Agency of Individuals and Groups: Lessons from the BBC Prison Study*, in POSTMES (Tom) & JETTEN (Jolanda) (ed.), *Individuality and the Group: Advances in Social Identity*, London and Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2006, 237-257;

HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *Rethinking the Psychology of Tyranny: The BBC Prison Study*, British Journal of Social Psychology, Vol. 45, 2006, pp. 1-40; HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *Debating the Psychology of Tyranny: Fundamental Issues of Theory, Perspective and Science*, British Journal of Social Psychology, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2006, pp. 55-63; HASLAM (S. Alexander) & REICHER (Stephen David), *The Psychology of Tyranny*, Scientific American Mind, 2005, pp. 44-51; ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *On Rethinking the Psychology of Tyranny: The BBC Prison Study*, British Journal of Social Psychology, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2006, pp. 47-53; MASTROIANNI (George R.), *The Person-Situation Debate: Implications for Military Leadership and Civilian-Military Relations*, Journal of Military Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2011, pp. 2-16; VOS (Jan De), *From Milgram to Zimbardo: The Double Birth of Postwar Psychology/Psychologization*, History of the Human Sciences, Vol. 23, No. 5, 2010, pp. 156-175; *Understanding How Good People Turn Evil: Renowned Psychologist Philip Zimbardo on his Landmark Stanford Prison Experiment, Abu Ghraib and More*, Democracy Now!, Mar. 30, 2007; CARNAHAN (Thomas) & MCFARLAND (Sam), *Revisiting the Stanford Prison Experiment: Could Participant Self-Selection Have Led to the Cruelty?*, Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, Vol. 33, No. 5, 2007, pp. 603-614; TURNER (John C.), *Tyranny, Freedom and Social Structure: Escaping our Theoretical Prisons*, British Journal of Social Psychology, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2006, pp. 41-46.

¹⁴³ See HANEY (Craig) & ZIMBARDO (Philip George), *Persistent Dispositionalism in Interactionist Clothing*, op. cit.; MESTROVIC (Stjepan G.) & ROMERO (Rachel), *Poisoned Social Climate, Collective Responsibility, and the Abuse at Abu Ghraib – or, the Establishment of “Rule that Is Lack of Rule”*, International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2012, p. 63; MESTROVIC (Stjepan G.) & LORENZO (Ronald), *Durkheim’s Concept of Anomie and the Abuse at Abu Ghraib*, Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2008, pp. 181, 198; ABELES (Norman), *Ethics and the Interrogation of Prisoners: An Update*, Ethics and Behavior, Vol. 20, No. 3-4, 2010, p. 243; KALBEITZER (Rachel), *Psychologists and Interrogations: Ethical Dilemmas in Times of War*, Ethics and Behavior, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2009, pp. 154-165; LANKFORD (Adam), *Promoting Aggression and Violence at Abu Ghraib: The U.S. Military’s Transformation of Ordinary People into Torturers*, Aggression and Violent Behavior, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2009, pp. 388-395; BIRGDEN (Astrid) & PERLIN (Michael L.), *“Where the Home in the Valley Meets the Damp Dirty Prison”: A Human Rights Perspective on Therapeutic Jurisprudence and the Role of Forensic Psychologists in Correctional Settings*, Aggression and Violent Behavior, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2009, p. 258; ALKADRY (Mohamad G.) & WITT (Matthew T.), *Abu Ghraib and the Normalization of Hate and Torture*, Public Integrity, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2009, pp. 137-155; TITUNIK (Regina F.), *Are We All Torturers Now? A Reconsideration of Women’s Violence at Abu Ghraib*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2009, p. 261; ZURBRIGGEN (Eileen), *Sexualized Torture and Abuse at Abu Ghraib Prison: Feminist Psychological Analyses*, Feminism and Psychology, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2008, pp. 301-320; ALTMAN (Neil), *The Psychodynamics of Torture*, Psychoanalytic Dialogues, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2008, pp. 666-667; SOLDZ (Stephen), *Healers or Interrogators: Psychology and the United States Torture Regime*, Psychoanalytic Dialogues, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2008, p. 608; HAMM (Mark S.), *‘High Crimes and Misdemeanors’: George W. Bush and the Sins of Abu Ghraib*, Crime, Media, Culture, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2007, pp. 259-284; TWISS (Sumner B.), *Torture, Justification, and Human Rights: Toward an Absolute*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2007, p. 362; GORDON (Avery F.), *Abu Ghraib: Imprisonment and the War on Terror*, Race and Class, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2006, p. 47.

¹⁴⁴ SYKES (Gresham M.), *The Corruption of Authority and Rehabilitation*, Social Forces, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1956, p. 262.

¹⁴⁵ See REID (Joan A.), *Crime and Personality: Personality Theory and Criminality Examined*, Student Pulse Academic, Vol. 3 No. 1, 2011, p. 2; FARRINGTON (David P.) & JOLLIFFE (Darrick), *Personality and Crime*, in SMELSER (Neil J.) & BALTERS (Paul B.) (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2004, pp. 11260-11264; MASTROIANNI (George R.), *The Person-Situation Debate*, op. cit.; WEBSTER (Gregory D.), *The Person-Situation Interaction Is Increasingly Outpacing the Person-Situation Debate in the Scientific Literature: A 30-Year Analysis of Publication Trends, 1978-2007*, Journal of Research in Personality, Vol. 43, No. 2, 2009, pp. 278-279; CARNAHAN (Thomas) & MCFARLAND (Sam), *Revisiting the Stanford Prison Experiment*, op. cit.; FLEESON (William), *Moving Personality beyond the Person-Situation Debate*, Current Directions in Psychological Science, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2004, pp. 83-87; KENRICK (Douglas T.) & FUNDER (David C.), *Profiting From Controversy: Lessons from the Person-Situation Debate*, American Psychologist, Vol. 43, No. 1, 1988, pp. 23-34; MISCHER (Walter), *Personality and Assessment*, New York: Wiley, 1968.

¹⁴⁶ About this cycle, see ARAV (Dan) & GUREVITZ (David), *Trauma, Guilt, Forgiveness: The Victimizer as Witness in the Cinematic and Televisual Representations of Conflict in Israel*, Media, War and Conflict, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 104-120; HOWARD (Sally), *Recognizing the Humanity of the Victimizer: Clinical and Social Implications*, International Journal of Psychoanalytic Self Psychology, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2013, pp. 179-194; ROSENGARTEN (Lillian), *Palestinians: Last Victims of the Holocaust*, Palestine Chronicle, May 21, 2011; THMAS (Tracy A.) & FREMOUW (William), *Moderating Variables of the Sexual “Victim to Offender Cycle” in Males*, Aggression and Violent Behavior, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2009, pp. 382-387; CAULKINS (Jonathan P.) ET AL., *Cycles of Violence: A Dynamic Control Analysis*, European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 181, No. 1, 2007, pp. 350-361; FAGAN (Abigail A.), *The Relationship between Adolescent Physical Abuse and Criminal Offending: Support for an Enduring and Generalized Cycle of Violence*, Journal of Family Violence, Vol. 20, No. 5, 2005, pp. 279-290; FLEISCHER (Tzvi),

Israel in the Australian Media, Jewish Political Studies Review, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 2005; LAMBIE (Ian) ET AL., *Resiliency in the Victim-Offender Cycle in Male Sexual Abuse*, Sexual Abuse, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2002, pp. 31-48; GLASSER (M.) ET AL., *Cycle of Child Sexual Abuse: Links between Being a Victim and Becoming a Perpetrator*, British Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 179, No. 6, 2001, pp. 482-494; KARNIK (Niranjan S.), *Between Victim and Victimizer: The Narrow Corporeal Path of Being a Foster Child*, Children and Youth Services Review, Vol. 23, No. 9-10, 2001, pp. 743-759; FELNER (Eitan), *Abuse of Jews Does Not Justify Abuse of Palestinians*, New York Times, Aug. 20, 1998; RYAN (Gail), *Victim to Victimizer: Rethinking Victim Treatment*, Journal of Interpersonal Violence, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1989, pp. 325-341; WIDOM (Cathy Spatz), *The Cycle of Violence*, Science, Vol. 244, No. 4901, 1989, pp. 160-166; HAREVEN (Alouph), *Victimization: Some Comments by an Israeli*, Political Psychology, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1983, pp. 145-155; GUPTA (Bhabani Sen), *The 'Cycle of Violence' in West Asia*, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 8, No. 19, 12, 1973, pp. 866-867.

¹⁴⁷ MILGRAM (Stanley), *Behavioral Study of Obedience*, Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, Vol. 67, No. 4, 1963, pp. 371, 376. About his research, see MILGRAM (Stanley), *Obedience to Authority: An Experimental View*, New York: Perennial Classics, 2004; MILGRAM (Stanley), *The Perils of Obedience*, Harper's Magazine, Vol. 247, No. 1483, 1973, pp. 62-66, 75-77; MILGRAM (Stanley), *Liberating Effects of Group Pressure*, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1965, pp. 127-134; TUCKER (Kevin), *Everywhere and Nowhere: The Pathology of the Machine*, Anarchist Library, 2013, pp. 10-11; *The Milgram Experiment (Full Video)*, YouTube, July 15, 2012; RUSSELL (Nestar J. C.) & GREGORY (Robert J.), *Spinning an Organizational "Web of Obligation"? Moral Choice in Stanley Milgram's "Obedience" Experiments*, American Review of Public Administration, Vol. 41, No. 5, 2011, pp. 495-518; MASTROIANNI (George R.), *The Person-Situation Debate*, op. cit.; RUSSELL (Nestar J. C.) & GREGORY (Robert J.), *Making the Undoable Doable: Milgram, the Holocaust, and Modern Government*, American Review of Public Administration, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2005, pp. 327-349; MINOW (Martha), *What the Rule of Law Should Mean in Civics Education: From the 'Following Orders' Defence to the Classroom*, Journal of Moral Education, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2006, pp. 137-162; VOS (Jan De), *From Milgram to Zimbardo*, op. cit.; ENCINA (Gregorio Billikopf), *Milgram's Experiment on Obedience to Authority*, College of Natural Resources, Nov. 15, 2004; HELM (Charles) & MORELLI (Mario), *Obedience to Authority in a Laboratory Setting: Generalizability and Context Dependency*, Political Studies, Vol. 33, No. 4, 1985, 610-627; HELM (Charles) & MORELLI (Mario), *Stanley Milgram and the Obedience Experiment: Authority, Legitimacy, and Human Action*, Political Theory, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1979, pp. 321-345.

¹⁴⁸ MILGRAM (Stanley), *The Perils of Obedience*, op. cit., p. 77.

¹⁴⁹ SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice*, op. cit., p. 181.

¹⁵⁰ For example, see ROBERTSON (Ian H.), *The Personality Profile of Leaders Who Make War*, Psychology Today, Mar. 4, 2014; ROBERTSON (Ian H.), *The Winner Effect: How Power Affects Your Brain*, London: Bloomsbury, 2012; ROBERTSON (Ian H.), *Like Baboons, Our Elected Leaders Are Literally Addicted to Power*, Telegraph, Apr. 26, 2012; AL-RODHAN (Nayef R. F.), *The Neurochemistry of Power: Implications for Political Change*, Politics in Spire, Feb. 27, 2014; GARGIULO (Susanne), *Does Power Make You Mean?*, CNN, Oct. 29, 2013; RYAN (Selwyn), *Political Power and the Brain*, Trinidad Express Newspapers, Oct. 26, 2013; BENDEREV (Chris), *When Power Goes To Your Head, It May Shut Out Your Heart*, National Public Radio, Aug. 10, 2013; *Power Really Does Corrupt as Scientists Claim It's as Addictive as Cocaine*, Daily Mail, Apr. 28, 2012; SMITH (Pamela K.) ET AL., *Powerful People Make Good Decisions Even When They Consciously Think*, Psychological Science, Vol. 19, No. 12, 2008, pp. 1258-1259.

¹⁵¹ EBY (Kermit), *Why Labor Leaders Are Lonesome*, Antioch Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1952, p. 154.

¹⁵² KAN (Zhen) ET AL., *Containment Control for a Directed Social Network with State-Dependent Connectivity*, American Control Conference American Control Conference, 2013, p. 1950.

¹⁵³ See PEREZ (Nahshon), *The Internal Contradictions of Recognition Theory*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 27-56.

¹⁵⁴ BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Power Corrupts the Best*, Anarchist Library, 1867, p. 2.

¹⁵⁵ About this dialectic, see BIRD-POLLAN (Stefan), *Hegel's Grounding of Intersubjectivity in the Master-Slave Dialectic*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2012, pp. 237-256; KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, op. cit., pp. 9, 11, 13; PHIPPS (Gregory Alan), *Desire, Death, and Women in the Master-Slave Dialectic: A Comparative Reading of Hegel's Phenomenology of Spirit and Henry James's The Golden Bowl*, Philosophy and Literature, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2011, pp. 233-250; ZREIK (Raef), *A One-State Solution? From a 'Struggle unto Death' to 'Master-Slave' Dialectics*, Social Identities, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2011, pp. 793-810; MORGAN (Anne), *Simone de Beauvoir's Ethics, the Master/Slave Dialectic, and Eichmann as a Sub-Man*, Hypatia, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2009, pp. 39-53; REYNOLDS (Jack), *The Master-Slave Dialectic and the "Sado-Masochistic Entity"*, Angelaki, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2009, pp. 11-26; COLE (Andrew), *What Hegel's Master/Slave Dialectic Really Means*, Journal of

Medieval and Early Modern Studies, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2004, pp. 577-610; BEAUMONT (Daniel), *King, Queen, Master, Slave: The Master/Slave Dialectic and the Thousand and One Nights*, *Neophilologus*, Vol. 82, No. 3, 1998, pp. 335-356; ARTHUR (Chris), *Hegel's Master-Slave Dialectic and a Myth of Marxology*, *New Left Review*, Nov.-Dec. 1983, pp. 67-75.

¹⁵⁶ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, op. cit.; ADORNO (Theodor W.) ET AL., *The Authoritarian Personality*, New York: William Warder Norton, 1982; ALTEMEYER (Bob), *The Authoritarians*, Winnipeg, 2006; ALTEMEYER (Robert Anthony), *Right-Wing Authoritarianism*, Winnipeg: University of Manitoba Press, 1981; RAY (John Joseph), *Special Review of "Right-Wing Authoritarianism" by R. A. Altemeyer*, *Personality and Individual Differences* Vol. 8, No. 5, 1987. pp. 771-772; RAY (John Joseph) & LOVEJOY (Frances H.), *Does Attitude to Authority Exist?*, *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol. 11, No. 8, 1990, pp. 765-769.

¹⁵⁷ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Western Academic World's Support of the State Criminals*, op. cit.; DELACOURT (Susan), *Politicians Now More likely to Be Businessmen than Lawyers*, *Toronto Star*, Feb. 1, 2013; HEINEMAN, JR. (Benjamin W.), *The General Counsel as Lawyer-Statesman: A Blue Paper*, Harvard Law School Program on the Legal Profession, 2010; PALAZZOLO (Joe), *The Lawyer-Politician Is in Decline*, *Wall Street Journal*, Feb. 24, 2012; LI (Nick), *Why Are So Many Politicians Lawyers?*, *Invisible College Blog*, Jan. 25, 2008; COWEN (Tyler), *Why Are So Many Lawyers Politicians?*, *Marginal Revolution*, Jan. 23, 2008; EPSTEIN (Michael M.), *Victorian Divorce Anxiety and the Lawyer-Statesman in Fin de Siecle Advertising*, *Law and Literature*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2002, pp. 143-168; MURPHY (Sean D.), *The U.S. Lawyer-Statesman at Times of Crisis: A Look at Colonial America*, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting*, Vol. 95, 2001, pp. 99-106; PREMPEH (H. Kwasi), *Lawyers and Liberal Democracy*, *Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2000, pp. 71-78; ALFIERI (Anthony V.), *Denaturalizing the Lawyer-Statesman*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 93, No. 6, 1995, pp. 1204-1230; ALTMAN (James M.), *Modern Litigators and Lawyer-Statesmen*, *Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 103, No. 4, 1994, pp. 1031-1071; KRONMAN (Anthony T.), *The Lost Lawyer: Failing Ideals of the Legal Profession*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1993; REHNQUIST (William H.), *The Lawyer-Statesman in American History*, *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1986, pp. 537-557; HAIN (Paul L.) & PIERESON (James E.), *Lawyers and Politics Revisited: Structural Advantages of Lawyer-Politicians*, *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1975, pp. 41-51; SCOTT (James Brown), *Grotius' de Jure Belli ac Pacis Libri Tres: The Work of a Lawyer, Statesman and Theologian*, *American Journal of International Law*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1925, pp. 461-468; CONNOR (Henry Groves), *James Iredell: Lawyer, Statesman, Judge. 1751-1799*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review and American Law Register*, Vol. 60, No. 4, 1912, pp. 225-253.

¹⁵⁸ For example, see *American Heritage Dictionary: State*; *State*, *Reverso*; *State*, *Thesaurus*; *Synonyms for State*, *Your Dictionary*; *State*, *Wikipedia*.

¹⁵⁹ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State: A Vague and Hated Institution, and An Existential Enigma*, *Academia.edu*, Aug. 22, 2011, pp. 3-7; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 9; UNHCR, *Climate Change and Statelessness: An Overview*, May 15, 2009, p. 1; KARAPETYAN (Karén), *The State of the Spectacle: A Post-Anarchist Investigation of the Problem of State Reification*, PhD thesis, Department of International Politics, University of Wales, 2004.

¹⁶⁰ For example, see MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 27 (note 5); BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Toward a Libertarian Theory of Guilt and Punishment for the Crime of Statism*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 665-666; VAN CREVELD (Martin), *The Rise and Decline of the State*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999, pp. 1-2, 415.

¹⁶¹ Quoted in CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), op. cit., p. 10. See also TOBGUI (Fawzia), *De l'Anarchisme au Fédéralisme. Articulation entre Droit et État dans le Système Politique de Proudhon*, *What's New*, July 8, 2005.

¹⁶² BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *God and the State*, *Anarchist Library*, 1882, p. 28.

¹⁶³ BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, op. cit., pp. 25, 37.

¹⁶⁴ BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Federalism, Socialism, and Anti-Theologism*, *Anarchist Library*, 1867, p. 34. See also WALT (Lucien van der), *Who Rules South Africa? An Anarchist/Syndicalist Analysis of the ANC, the Post-Apartheid Elite Pact and the Political Implications*, *Zabalaza*, No. 13, 2013, p. 8.

¹⁶⁵ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Chaotic, Critical, and Poetic Reflections*, op. cit., pp. 248-249, 276.

¹⁶⁶ For certain examples, see CAMPBELL (Maggie) & VOLLHARDT (Johanna Ray), *Fighting the Good Fight: The Relationship Between Belief in Evil and Support for Violent Policies*, *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2014, pp. 16-33; ANNIS (Roger), *Canada Needs a New Left-Wing Party*, *Links International Journal of Socialist Renewal*, July 3, 2014; *Lot-et-Garonne: "On Appelle à Faire Barrage au Front National" (Christophe Borgel)*, *France Info*, June 17, 2013; *France-Élection Partielle: Les Effets de l'Affaire Cahuzac*, *La Nouvelle Tribune*, June 17, 2013; PTACEK (Melissa M.), *On the Ruins of Ruins: Weizman's The Least of All Possible Evils*, *Theory and Event*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2013; WEIZMAN (Eyal), *The Least of All Possible*

Evils: Humanitarian Violence from Arendt to Gaza, London: Verso, 2011; CARNOT (Mélodie) & ROSSIGNON (Arnaud), *Election Législative: Le Parti Socialiste Monte au Front*, Courrier Picard, Mar. 26, 2013; *Elections Présidentielles: A Nanterre, Faire Barrage à la Droite et au Front National*, Gauche Citoyenne, 2013; COVAS (Alexandra), *The Holocaust through Latvia's Eyes: How the Nazi Party Became the Lesser of Two Evils*, *Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2012, pp. 36-38; GROENENDYK (Eric), *Justifying Party Identification: A Case of Identifying with the "Lesser of Two Evils"*, *Political Behavior*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2012, pp. 453-475; MIQUEL (Gerard) & SNOWBERG (Erik), *The Lesser Evil: Executive Accountability with Partisan Supporters*, *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2012, pp. 19-45; BIRMINGHAM (Peg), *On Action: The Appearance of the Law*, in YEATMAN (Anna) ET AL. (ed.), *Action and Appearance: Ethics and the Politics of Writings in Hannah Arendt*, New York: The Continuum International Publishing Group, 2011, pp. 106-107; *Le PS Appelle à Voter UMP si nécessaire pour Faire Barrage au FN*, *Le Progrès*, Mar. 21, 2011; *Hamon Appelle à Voter UMP si Nécessaire*, *Le Figaro*, Mar. 21, 2011; NEAL (Tess M. S.), *Choosing the Lesser of Two Evils: A Framework for Considering the Ethics of Competency-for-Execution Evaluations*, *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2010, pp. 145-157; BELLAMY (Alex J.), *Dirty Hands and Lesser Evils in the War on Terror*, *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2007, pp. 509-526; HEYSE (Liesbet), *Choosing the Lesser Evil: Understanding Decision Making in Humanitarian Aid NGOs*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2006; IGNATIEFF (Michael Grant), *The Lesser Evil: Political Ethics in an Age of Terror*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004; FRIEDMAN (Gerald), *Success and Failure in Third-Party Politics: The Knights of Labor and the Union Labor Coalition in Massachusetts, 1884-1888*, *International Labor and Working-Class History*, No. 62, 2002, pp. 164-188; WEEKS (Jeffrey) (ed.), *The Lesser Evil and the Greater Good: The Theory and Politics of Social Diversity*, London: Rivers Oram Press, 1994.

¹⁶⁷ STABILE (Carol A.) & MOROOKA (Junya), *'Between Two Evils, I Refuse To Choose The Lesser'*, *Cultural Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 2003, p. 326; BOURDIEU (Pierre), *Acts of Resistance: Against the Tyranny of the Market*, trans. Richard Nice, New York: The New Press, 1998, pp. 8-9; WATNER (Carl), *Harry Browne – Have You Forgotten?: "The Lesser of Two Evils Is Still Evil"*, *Voluntaryist*, No. 85, Apr. 1997.

¹⁶⁸ WEIZMAN (Eyal), *The Least of All Possible Evils*, op. cit.

¹⁶⁹ In this case, President Hollande' unpopularity is a typical example. See GAYLE (Damien), *French PM Positions Himself for a Challenge to President Francois Hollande as He Says Socialist Party 'Must Reinvent Itself or Die'*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 23, 2014; CORBET (Sylvie), *French President Francois Hollande in Trouble both in Public and Private*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 6, 2014; PONTIUS (Julien), *French President Francois Hollande Vows to Finish in 2017 despite Approval Rating Hitting Record Low*, *Sydney Morning Herald*, Sep. 6, 2014; FILOCHE (Gérard), *Hollande à 13%: Sa Popularité Paie le Prix de la Politique Droitière du PS*, *Le Nouvel Observateur*, Sep. 5, 2014; LAUBACHER (Paul), *SONDAGE. Impopularité Record pour Hollande, Lâché par Ses Soutiens de Gauche*, *Le Nouvel Observateur*, Sep. 5, 2014; SAMUEL (Henry), *Hollande Hits Back at Ex-Girlfriend's Claims that He 'Doesn't Like the Poor'*, Sep. 5, 2014; *Popularité de François Hollande: La Descente aux Enfers du Chef de l'État dans les Sondages*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 5, 2014; *French President Hollande's Approval Rating Slips to 13PC – Making Him Most Unpopular Leader since War*, *Irish Independent*, Sep. 4, 2014; BACCHI (Umberto), *France: Socialist President Hollande 'Hates the Poor' Says Ex Valérie Trierweiler*, *International Business Times*, Sep. 3, 2014; FOUQUET (Helene), *Ex-French First Lady Says Hollande Detests Poor in Tell-All Book*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 3, 2014; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *François Hollande Becomes Most Unpopular French President Ever*, *Guardian*, Oct. 29, 2013.

¹⁷⁰ HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Political Economy of Monarchy and Democracy, and the Idea of a Natural Order*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1995, p. 94.

¹⁷¹ BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, op. cit., pp. 16, 43.

¹⁷² BERKMAN (Alexander) & GOLDMAN (Emma), *Deportation, Its Meaning and Menace; Last Message to the People of America*, Ellis Island, New York, 1919, p. 16.

¹⁷³ BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, op. cit., pp. 164, 97.

¹⁷⁴ SHULMAN (Alix Kates), *Red Emma Speaks: Selected Writings and Speeches*, New York: Random House 1972, pp. 37, 57; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, with biographic sketch by Hippolyte Havel, 3rd ed., New York: Mother Earth Publishing Association, 1917, pp. 63-64.

¹⁷⁵ GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit., pp. 57-58, 62-63.

¹⁷⁶ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Anatomy of the State*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2009, pp. 10-11.

¹⁷⁷ ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 125-126, 108-113. See also WARD (Ian), *Introduction to Critical Legal Theory*, op. cit., p. 89. About the theory of "Government as a necessary evil" and its critiques, see also STOSSEL (John F.), *Is Government Necessary?*, YouTube, Apr. 7, 2011; HOLCOMBE (Randall Gregory), *Is Government Really Inevitable?*,

Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2007, pp. 41-48; HOLCOMBE (Randall Gregory), *Government: Unnecessary but Inevitable*, Independent Review, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2004, pp. 325-342; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Is Government Necessary?*, YouTube, Dec. 4, 2011; LEESON (Peter T.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Is Government Inevitable? Comment on Holcombe's Analysis*, Independent Review, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2005, pp. 543-549; HOLMES (Alison), *Devolution, Coalitions and the Liberal Democrats: Necessary Evil or Progressive Politics?*, Parliamentary Affairs, Vol. 60, No. 4, 2007, pp. 527-547; DALTON (Russell J.) & WELDON (Steven A.), *Public Images of Political Parties: A Necessary Evil?*, West European Politics, Vol. 28, No. 5, 2005, pp. 931-951; WILLS (Garry), *A necessary Evil: A History of American Distrust of Government*, New York: Touchstone, 2002; SABIA, JR. (Daniel R.), *Machiavelli's Soderini and the Problem of Necessity*, Social Science Journals, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2001, pp. 53-67; KUEHLS (Thom), *Defending Government, the Secular State, and the Founders*, Theory and Event, Vol. 4, No. 2; 2000; PEARSON (Frederic S.), *'Necessary Evil': Perspectives on West German Arms Transfer Policies*, Armed Forces and Society, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1986, pp. 525-552; SAWERS (Larry) & WACHTEL (Howard M.), *Theory of the State, Government Tax and Purchasing Policy, and Income Distribution*, Review of Income and Wealth, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1975, p. 111; WOODWORTH (Fred), *Anarchism: What Is It? Is It Practical or Utopian? Is Government Necessary?*, Tucson: The Match, 1974.

¹⁷⁸ ROBERSON (Christopher), *The State as Rational Authority: An Anarchist Justification of Government*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1998, p. 630.

¹⁷⁹ SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 55.

¹⁸⁰ LUM (Dyer Daniel), *Industrial Economics*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), *Markets Not Capitalism: Individualist Anarchism against Bosses, Inequality, Corporate Power, and Structural Poverty*, New York: Autonomedia, 2012, pp. 252-253.

¹⁸¹ See SUGARMAN (David), *Introduction and Overview*, in SUGARMAN (David) (ed.), *Legality, Ideology and the State*, op. cit., p. 2; KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education*, op. cit., pp. 24-26; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., p. 3.

¹⁸² GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism and Political Theory: Contemporary Problems*, PhD thesis, Mansfield College, University of Oxford, 2007, pp. 73-74.

¹⁸³ MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Torture in the Age of Fear*, Hamilton: Seraphim, 2005, p. 239.

¹⁸⁴ See ROTHWELL (Donald R.) ET AL., *International Law: Cases and Materials with Australian Perspectives*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011; HANDMAKER (Jeff) & ZYBERI (Gentian), *'Israel's Right to Exist': Is It a Real Issue?*, Electronic Intifada, Mar. 28, 2007; RAIČ (David), *Statehood and the Law of Self-Determination*, The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 2002; *The Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States in 1933*.

¹⁸⁵ In this sense, see SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 25-26.

¹⁸⁶ HORN (Kevin S. Van), *Pulling the Plug on the State*, Free America, Nov. 14, 2006.

¹⁸⁷ AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., p. 101. See also *ibid.*, p. 125.

Chapter 2: Some Elements of the State

¹ For example, see FRENCH (Duncan) (ed.), *Statehood and Self-Determination: Reconciling Tradition and Modernity in International Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; VIDMAR (Jure), *Democratic Statehood in International Law: The Emergence of New states in Post-Cold War Practice*, Oxford: Hart, 2013; FABRY (Mikulas), *Recognizing States: International Society and the Establishment of New States since 1776*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

² MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 5.

³ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence*, op. cit.; BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, op. cit., pp. 29, 38-39, 42-45, 112, 115-116; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit., pp. 30-31; 123, 133-150; TOLSTOY (Leo), *Patriotism and Government*, 1900.

⁴ MURPHY (Alexander B.), *Territoriality, Morality, and International Law: Thoughts on Hendrix's 'Moral Theory of State Territory'*, Geopolitics, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2001, p. 163.

⁵ See GONZÁLEZ (Xavier Oliveras), *Denying Anarchic Spaces and Places: An Anarchist Critique of Mosaic-Statist Metageography*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2010, pp. 181-197.

⁶ For example, see ROMANELLI (Silvia), *New York's Undocumented Workers Join Forces against Mistreatment*, Guardian, July 23, 2013; KELLY (Annie), *Modern-Day Slavery: An Explainer*, Guardian, Apr. 3, 2013; WILKES-EDRINGTON (Lindsay), *Farm Worker Conditions Likened to Modern Slavery* (VIDEO), Huffington Post, Feb. 1, 2013; RAMONET (Ignacio), *Neoliberalism's Newest Product: The Modern Slave Trade*, Global Research, Aug. 3, 2011; Polaris Project: *For A World Without Slavery*.

⁷ See WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, op. cit., pp. 24-25; WEIL (Simone), *Reflections on War*, Left Review, 1938.

⁸ About the massacre of Syrians, see *Tony Blair Calls for 'Proper Ground War' with Islamic State*, The Week, May 17, 2016; *Syrian President Bashar al-Assad: Facing Down Rebellion*, BBC News, Oct. 21, 2015; *Many Killed in Syria Barrel Bomb 'Massacre'*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 30, 2014; PITARAKIS (Leftis) & HEILPR (John), *UN Envoy Warns of Possible Massacre in Syrian Border Town Kobani*, Huffington Post, Oct. 10, 2014; *'Executions, Amputations and Lashings': ISIS Commits War Crimes in Syria*, UN Says, Assyrian International News Agency, Aug. 27, 2014; NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Islamic State Commits War Crimes, Syrian Government Using Poison Gas – U.N.*, Reuters, Aug. 27, 2014; NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Syrian Forces Responsible for Baniyas Massacres: U.N. Report*, Reuters, Sep. 11, 2013; SIEVERS (Ken), *WikiLeaks Party Peace Delegation to War Torn Syria*, Stringer, Jan. 11, 2014; MURRAY (Donette), *Military Action But Not As We Know It: Libya, Syria and the Making of an Obama Doctrine*, Contemporary Politics, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2013, pp. 146-166; HEYDEMANN (Steven), *Syria and the Future of Authoritarianism*, Journal of Democracy, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2013, pp. 59-73; RUYS (Tom), *Of Arms, Funding and "Non-lethal Assistance" – Issues Surrounding Third-State Intervention in the Syrian Civil War*, Chinese Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 13-53; FARER (Tom), *What Syria Has to Teach Neo-Conservatives and Liberals about US Foreign Policy*, International Spectator, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2013, pp. 17-24; TAHERI (Amir), *Has the Time Come for Military Intervention in Syria?*, American Foreign Policy Interests, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 217-220; *UN Votes to Condemn Syria Rights Abuses*, Your Middle East, Nov. 20, 2013; BORGER (Julian), *Syria: Chemical Weapons Inspectors Begin Securing Assad Regime's Arsenal*, Guardian, Oct. 3, 2013; ANDREATTA (David), *Syria's Collapsed Health-Care System urgently Needs World's Help, MSF Warns*, Globe and Mail, Sep. 30, 2013; CHARBONNEAU (Louis), *Syria at U.N. Compares Militant Onslaught to 9/11 Attacks*, Daily Star, Sep. 30, 2013; BIBAWY (Amir) & OLSTER (Marjorie), *Syria's Foreign Minister Says His Regime Is Fighting 'Terrorists' Who Eat Human Hearts*, CTV News, Sep. 30, 2013; MACKEY (Robert), *Reports Point to an Overlooked Massacre in Syria*, New York Times, Sep. 13, 2013; KLEIN (Ezra), *Why Do We Even Care About Syria's Chemical Weapons?*, Bloomberg, Sep. 4, 2013; STARR (Barbara) ET AL., *White House: Syria Crosses 'Red Line' with Use of Chemical Weapons on Its People*, CNN, June 14, 2013; HAUSMANN (Joanna), *MASSACRE in Syria: Dozens Executed Today in Village*, Heavy, 2013; BEAUMONT (Peter), *Syria: Massacres of Sunni Families Reported in Assad's Heartland*, Guardian, May 4, 2013; *Syrians Flee Coastal Town after 'Massacre'*, Al Jazeera, May 4, 2013; *Syrians Flee 'Massacres' in Baniyas and Al-Bayda*, BBC News, May 4, 2013; *Syria Opposition Slams Village 'Massacre'*, Al Jazeera, May 3, 2013.

⁹ About the crimes of this dictator, see MURRAY (Donette), *Military Action But Not As We Know It*, op. cit.; KUPERMAN (Alan J.), *A Model Humanitarian Intervention?: Reassessing NATO's Libya Campaign*, International Security, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2013, pp. 105-136; BASSIOUNI (Mahmoud Cherif) (ed.), *Libya: From Repression to Revolution*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2013; RONEN (Yehudit), *Britain's Return to Libya: From the Battle of Al-Alamein in the Western Libyan Desert to the Military Intervention in the 'Arab Spring' Upheaval*, Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 49, No. 5, 2013, pp. 675-695; SENAUTH (Frank), *The Making and The Revolution of Libya*, Bloomington: Xlibris Corporation, 2013; PARGETER (Alison), *Libya: The Rise and Fall of Qaddafi*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 2012; BURKE (Myles), *Video Implies Massacre of Prisoners by Libyan Rebel Fighters*, Telegraph, Oct. 17, 2012; KILANI (Feras), *The Massacre at Libya's Khalat Al-Farjan Compound*, BBC News, Apr. 30, 2012; FAHIM (Kareem) & NOSSITER (Adam), *In Libya, Massacre Site Is Cleaned Up, Not Investigated*, New York Times, Oct. 24, 2011; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *The Battle for Libya: Killings, Disappearances, and Torture*, London, 2011; STEPHEN (Chris), *Muammar Gaddafi War Crimes Files Revealed*, Guardian, June 18, 2011; PEEV (Gerri) & KELLY (Tom), *Bloodbath as 300 Are Massacred for Defying Gaddafi in Libya, while U.S. and UN Condemn Britain for Cosying up to Tyrant*, Daily Mail, Feb. 21, 2011; MEO (Nick), *Libya Protests: 140 'Massacred' as Gaddafi Sends in Snipers to Crush Dissent*, Telegraph, Feb. 20, 2011; *Libya Unrest: Scores Killed in Benghazi 'Massacre'*, BBC News, Feb. 20, 2011; DUNNE (Tim) & GIFKINS (Jess), *Libya and the State of Intervention*, Australian Journal of International Affairs, Vol. 65, No. 5, 2011, pp. 515-529; LEVENE (Mark), *Beyond Gaddafi: Sustainable Prevention in the Face of Environmental Injustice: Some Words of Praise but also Caution!*, Journal of Genocide Research, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2011, pp. 209-215; ZIMMERER (Jürgen), *Beyond Gaddafi: Sustainable Prevention in the Face of Environmental Injustice*, Journal of Genocide Research, Vol. 13, No. 1-2, 2011, pp. v-vii; ZOUBIR (Yahia H.), *Libya and Europe: Economic Realism at the Rescue of the Qaddafi Authoritarian Regime*, Journal of Contemporary European Studies, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2009, pp. 401-415.

¹⁰ See BOURNE (Randolph), *The War and the Intellectuals*, Columbia University, 2004.

-
- ¹¹ HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., pp. 4-5, 186; GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi"*, op. cit., pp. 183-188.
- ¹² WARD (Colin), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 26.
- ¹³ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, trans. by Ray E. Chase, Los Angeles: Rocker Publications Committee, 1937, pp. 87-89.
- ¹⁴ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., p. 252.
- ¹⁵ GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi"*, op. cit., pp. 66-67. About "collective responsibility", see also KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment: A Cruel and Discriminative Tool Showing the Darkness and the Misery of Human Mind and Heart*, Academia.edu, May 16, 2011, p. 9; SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., pp. 89-90; *Should Anarchists Support Suicide Bombers?*, InterActivist Info Exchange, Sep. 22, 2003.
- ¹⁶ See YOUNG (Evelyn Y.), *The Four Personae of Racism: Educators' (Mis)Understanding of Individual Vs. Systemic Racism*, Urban Education, Vol. 46, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1433-1434; GOLDHAGEN (Daniel Jonah), *Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust*, New York: Alfred Abraham Knopf, 1996.
- ¹⁷ See HULL (Isabel V.), *Absolute Destruction: Military Culture and the Practices of War in Imperial Germany*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2005, pp. 332-333; CROWE (David M.), *War Crimes and Genocide in History, and the Evolution of Responsive International Law*, Nationalities Papers, Vol. 37, No. 6, 2009, p. 768.
- ¹⁸ ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Against Nationalism*, London: Anarchist Communist, 2009, p. 1.
- ¹⁹ See COTLER (Irwin), *Analysis: Six Questions for Iran's President Rouhani*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 27, 2013.
- ²⁰ About collective guilt and punishment and their examples, see RUDOREN (Jodi), *Israeli Forces Demolish Home of Palestinian Who Used a Car to Kill 2*, New York Times, Nov. 19, 2014; ZABANEH (Rania) & HATUQA (Dalia), *Israeli Demolitions 'Collective Punishment'*, Al Jazeera, Aug. 18, 2014; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Palestine/Israel: Indiscriminate Palestinian Rocket Attacks*, July 9, 2014; GREENFIELD (Daniel), *The Myth of Israeli Collective Punishment*, FrontPage Magazine, July 8, 2014; PARKER (Brad), *Israel's Impunity Culture: War Crimes, Intimidation and Oppression*, Al Jazeera, July 7, 2014; ESVELD (Bill Van), *As Anger Rises in Israel-Palestine, 'Collective Punishment' Must Be Avoided*, Globe and Mail, July 7, 2014; GOODMAN (Nathan), *Collective Punishment and Israeli State Terror*, Antiwar, July 4, 2014; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Israel: Collective Punishment Will Not Bring the Justice that Murdered Teens Deserve*, July 1, 2014; JEWS FOR JUSTICE FOR PALESTINIANS, *Israel's Collective Punishment of Palestinians in Operation Brother's Keeper – Lawyers Ask for British Intervention*, Global Research, June 26, 2014; LEWIS (Renee), *Rights Group: Israel's Search for Settlers Led to Collective Punishment*, Al Jazeera, June 17, 2014; WOHL (Michael J. A.) ET AL., *Victim and Perpetrator Groups' Responses to the Canadian Government's Apology for the Head Tax on Chinese Immigrants and the Moderating Influence of Collective Guilt*, Political Psychology, Vol. 34, No. 5, 2013, pp. 713-729; CUSHMAN (Fiery) ET AL., *Revenge without Responsibility? Judgments about Collective Punishment in Baseball*, Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, Vol. 48, No. 5, 2012, pp. 1106-1110; ROSS (Don), *Special Human Vulnerability to Low-Cost Collective Punishment*, Behavioral and Brain Sciences, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2012, pp. 37-38; WILLIAMS (Crispin), *Early References to Collective Punishment in an Excavated Chinese Text: Analysis and Discussion of an Imprecation from the Wenxian Covenant Texts*, Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, Vol. 74, No. 3, 2011, pp. 437-462; MAHER (Amro A.) ET AL., *The Impact of Collective Guilt on the Preference for Japanese Products*, Journal of Global Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2010, pp. 135-148; SIVAKUMARAN (Sandesh), *War Crimes before the Special Court for Sierra Leone: Child Soldiers, Hostages, Peacekeepers and Collective Punishments*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2010, pp. 1009-1034; DARCY (Shane), *Prosecuting the War Crime of Collective Punishment: Is It Time to Amend the Rome Statute?*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2010, pp. 29-51; MYERS (Elissa) ET AL., *Impact of Conflict on Mental Health in Northern Ireland: The Mediating Role of Intergroup Forgiveness and Collective Guilt*, Political Psychology, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2009, pp. 269-290; KLANDERMANS (Bert) ET AL., *Redeeming Apartheid's Legacy: Collective Guilt, Political Ideology, and Compensation*, Political Psychology, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2008, pp. 331-349; BROWN (Rupert) ET AL., *Nuestra Culpa: Collective Guilt and Shame as Predictors of Reparation for Historical Wrongdoing*, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol. 94, No. 1, 2008, pp. 75-90; MCMAHAN (Jeff), *Collective Crime and Collective Punishment*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2008, pp. 4-12; WOHL (Michael J. A.) & BRANSCOMBE (Nyla R.), *Remembering Historical Victimization: Collective Guilt for Current Ingroup Transgressions*, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol. 94, No. 6, 2008, pp. 988-1006; ALEXOPOULOS (Golfo), *Stalin and the Politics of Kinship: Practices of Collective Punishment, 1920s-1940s*, Comparative Studies in Society and History, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2008, pp. 91-117; CLARKE (Peter D.), *The Interdict in the Thirteenth Century: A Question of Collective Guilt*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007; TÄNNISJÖ (Torbjörn), *The Myth of Innocence: On Collective Responsibility and Collective Punishment*, Philosophical Papers,

Vol. 36, No. 2, 2007, pp. 295-314; FALOMIR-PICHAstor (Juan) ET AL., *Perceived Legitimacy of Collective Punishment as a Function of Democratic versus Non-Democratic Group Structure*, Group Processes and Intergroup Relations, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2007, pp. 565-579; BANERJEE (Priyodarsi), *Collective Punishments: Incentives and Examinations in Organisations*, B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1388-1388; MIRON (Anca M.) ET AL., *Collective Guilt as Distress over Illegitimate Intergroup Inequality*, Group Processes Intergroup Relations, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2006, pp. 163-180; TOLLEFSEN (Deborah), *The Rationality of Collective Guilt*, Midwest Studies in Philosophy, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2006, pp. 222-239; FORREST (Peter), *Collective Guilt; Individual Shame*, Midwest Studies in Philosophy, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2006, pp. 145-153; FLETCHER (George P.), *Collective Guilt and Collective Punishment*, Theoretical Inquiries in Law, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2004, pp. 163-178; BRANSCOMBE (Nyla R.) & DOOSJE (Bertjan) (ed.), *Collective Guilt: International Perspective*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004; GILBERT (Margaret), *Collective Guilt and Collective Guilt Feelings*, Journal of Ethics, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2002, pp. 115-143; CLARKE (Peter D.), *Peter the Chanter, Innocent III and Theological Views on Collective Guilt and Punishment*, Journal of Ecclesiastical History, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2001, pp. 1-20; CORLETT (J. Angelo), *Collective Punishment and Public Policy*, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1992, pp. 207-216; CORLETT (J. Angelo), *The "Modified Vendetta Sanction" as a Method of Corporate-Collective Punishment*, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 8, No. 12, 1989, pp. 937-942; MORRIS (Benny), *Collective Punishment in Beit Sahur*, Journal of Palestine Studies, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1982, pp. 181-182; KELSEN (Hans), *Collective and Individual Responsibility in International Law with Particular Regard to the Punishment of War Criminals*, California Law Review, Vol. 31, No. 5, 1943, pp. 530-571.

²¹ MAGALA (Slawomir), *Cold Wars and Hot Issues: Management of Responsibilities*, Human Resource Development International, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, p. 500. About the "collective responsibility" and the State, see also NICE (Geoffrey), *State Involvement in War Crimes Trials*, Gresham College, Apr. 10, 2013; BEGBY (Endre), *Collective Responsibility for Unjust Wars*, Politics, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 100-108; STILZ (Anna), *Collective Responsibility and the State*, Journal of Political Philosophy, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2011, pp. 190-208; SARKOZY (Nicolas), *The State and the Internet: A Call for Collective Responsibility*, New Perspectives Quarterly, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2011, pp. 7-11; SANDERSON (Mike), *The Collective Responsibility of States to Protect Refugees*, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2010, pp. 342-347; HURWITZ (Agnès), *The Collective Responsibility of States to Protect Refugees*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; MORSS (John R.), *The Legal Relations of Collectives: Belated Insights from Hohfeld*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2009, pp. 289-305; BARBOUR (Brian) & GORLICK (Brian), *Embracing the 'Responsibility to Protect': A Repertoire of Measures Including Asylum for Potential Victims*, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 533-566; PIERIK (Roland), *Collective Responsibility and National Responsibility*, Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2008, pp. 465-483; MILLER (David), *National Responsibility and Global Justice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007; GELISSEN (John), *Old-Age Pensions: Individual or Collective Responsibility? An Investigation of Public Opinion across European Welfare States*, European Societies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2001, pp. 495-523; HOWARTH (David Ross), *Three Forms of Responsibility: On the Relationship between Tort Law and the Welfare State*, Cambridge Law Journal, Vol. 60, No. 3, 2001, pp. 553-580; WILKINS (Burleigh Taylor), *Terrorism and Collective Responsibility*, London: Routledge, 1992.

²² ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Nations by Consent: Decomposing the Nation-State*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1994, pp. 1-2. See also OSTERGAARD (Geoffrey), *Resisting the Nation State: The Pacifist and Anarchist Tradition*, 1991; TILLY (Charles) (ed.), *The Formation of National States in Western Europe*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1975.

²³ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., p. 90.

²⁴ Quoted in RYAN (Cheyney), *The State and War Making*, in SANDERS (John T.) & NARVESON (Jan), *For and Against the State: New Philosophical Readings*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1996, p. 217.

²⁵ See POTTER (Simon J.), *Jingoism, Public Opinion, and the New Imperialism*, Media History, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2014, pp. 34-50; BAKER (Andy) & CUPERY (David), *Anti-Americanism in Latin America: Economic Exchange, Foreign Policy Legacies, and Mass Attitudes toward the Colossus of the North*, Latin American Research Review, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2013, pp. 106-130; BAMBA (Abou B.), *Transnationalising Decolonisation: The Print Media, American Public Spheres and France's Imperial Exit in West Africa*, Journal of Transatlantic Studies, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2013, pp. 327-349; LEWIS (William S.), *War, Manipulation of Consent, and Deliberative Democracy*, Journal of Speculative Philosophy, New Series, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2008, pp. 266-277; SMITH (Thomas E.), *The Discourse of Violence: Transatlantic Narratives of Lynching during High Imperialism*, Journal of Colonialism and Colonial History, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2007; KARCHER (Carolyn L.), *Ida B. Wells and Her Allies against Lynching: A Transnational Perspective*, Comparative American Studies, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2005, pp. 131-151; NINKOVICH (Frank A.), *Cold War Constructions: The Political Culture of United States Imperialism, 1945-1966, and: To Lead the Free World: American Nationalism and the Cultural Roots of the Cold War*, book review, Journal of Cold War Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2003, pp. 78-81; HERMAN (Edward S.) & CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Manufacturing Consent*, op. cit.; GRIFFITH (Robert), *The Cultural Turn in Cold War Studies*, Reviews in American History, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2001, pp. 150-157; APPY (Christian G.) (ed.), *Cold War Constructions: The Political Culture of*

United States Imperialism, 1945-1966, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 2000; FOUSEK (John), *To Lead the Free World: American Nationalism and the Cultural Roots of the Cold War*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2000.

²⁶ About the relationship among Judaism, Zionism, and the crimes of the Israeli State, see MILES (Jim), *Canada and Israel: Partners in Racial and Humanitarian Crimes*, *Foreign Policy Journal*, Oct. 9, 2014; DIVINE (Donna Robinson), *Zionism and the Politics of Authenticity*, *Israel Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2014, pp. 94-110; DADOO (Suraya) & OSMAN (Firoz), *Why Israel? The Anatomy of Zionist Apartheid – A South African Perspective*, South Africa: Media Review Network, 2013; ARAN (Gideon) & HASSNER (Ron E.), *Religious Violence in Judaism: Past and Present*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2013, 355-405; FISHER (Netanel), *A Jewish State? Controversial Conversions and the Dispute Over Israel's Jewish Character*, *Contemporary Jewry*, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2013, pp. 217-240; PELED (Miko) & WALKER (Alice), *The General's Son: Journey of an Israeli in Palestine*, Charlottesville: Just World Books, 2012; CHOWERS (Eyal), *The Political Philosophy of Zionism: Trading Jewish Words For A Hebraic Land*, by New York: Cambridge University Press, 2012; *Jewish Man Exposes Israel's Lies*, YouTube, June 24, 2011; *Talk to Al Jazeera – Rabbi Weiss: Zionism Has Created 'Rivers of Blood'*, YouTube, Mar. 10, 2012; OHANA (David), *The Origins of Israeli Mythology: Neither Canaanites Nor Crusaders*, trans. by David Maisel, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2012; GARAUDY (Roger), *Conférence de 1996 Roger Garaudy sur son Livre: Les Mythes Fondateurs de la Politique Israélienne*, YouTube, June 15, 2012; *Dieudonné: La Bête Noire*, YouTube, Mar. 29, 2012; KLUG (Brian), *A Higher Road to Peace: The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict and the Battle for Judaism*, *Peace and Change*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2011, pp. 527-540; PENSLAR (Derek J.), *The Foundations of the 20th Century: Herzlian Zionism in Yoram Hazony's The Jewish State*, *Israel Studies*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2001, pp. 118-128; GARAUDY (Roger), *The Founding Myths of Israeli Politics*, *Association des Anciens Amateurs de Récits de Guerres et d'Holocaustes*, VHO, 1996; *Rabbi Josef Antebi Exposing Zionists, even after Being Tortured by Them!*, YouTube, Jan. 30, 2011; *Rabbi: "Zionists Have Hijacked the Jewish Religion"*, YouTube, June 29, 2010; GÜRKAN (Salime Leyla), *The Jews as a Chosen People: Tradition and Transformation*, London: Routledge, 2009; *Jews Who Stand With Palestinians Against Israel*, YouTube, Apr. 29, 2010; KAPNER (Brother Nathanael), *Michael Hoffman on Israel's 'Talmudic' War Crimes*, *Real Jew News*, 2009; *There Is A Big Difference Between Zionism and Judaism – Here It Is*, *Democratic Underground*, Jan. 14, 2009; *UK Jewish MP: Israel Acting Like Nazis in Gaza*, YouTube, Jan. 16, 2009; KEDAR (Nir), *The Triumph of Military Zionism: Nationalism and the Origins of the Israeli Right*, book review, *Shofar: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Jewish Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2009, pp. 163-165; YAKOBSON (Alexander), *Jewish Peoplehood and the Jewish State, How Unique?: A Comparative Survey*, *Israel Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2008, pp. 1-27; SCHINDLER (Colin), *The Triumph of Military Zionism: Nationalism and the Origins of the Israeli Right*, London: I.B. Tauris, 2007; MEARSHEIMER (John J.) & WALT (Stephen M.), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2007; MEARSHEIMER (John J.) & WALT (Stephen M.), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, *Middle East Policy*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2006, pp. 29-87; HAIJA (Rammy M.), *The Armageddon Lobby: Dispensationalist Christian Zionism and the Shaping of US Policy Towards Israel-Palestine*, *Holy Land Studies: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2006, pp. 75-95; FRISCH (Hillel) & SANDLER (Shmuel), *Religion, State, and the International System in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict*, *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2004, pp. 77-96; DAVID (Joseph E.) (ed.), *The State of Israel: Between Judaism and Democracy*, Jerusalem: IDI Press, 2003; LUZ (Ehud), *Wrestling with an Angel: Power, Morality and Jewish Identity*, trans. Michael Swirsky, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2003; RATZABI (Shalom), *Between Zionism and Judaism: The Radical Circle in Brith Shalom, 1925-1933*, Leiden: Brill, 2002; TABORY (Ephraim), *The Influence of Liberal Judaism on Israeli Religious Life*, *Israel Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2000, pp. 183-203; NEUBURGER (G. J.), *The Great Gulf between Zionism and Judaism*, *Neturei Karta*, 2000; NEUBURGER (G. J.), *The Difference between Judaism and Zionism*, *Jews Not Zionists*; LIEBMAN (Charles) & SUSSEER (Bernard), *Judaism and Jewishness in the Jewish State*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 555, 1998, pp. 15-25; KELMAN (Herbert C.), *Israel in Transition from Zionism to Post-Zionism*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 555, 1998, pp. 46-61; SPRINZAK (Ehud), *Extremism and Violence in Israel: The Crisis of Messianic Politics*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 555, 1998, pp. 114-126; STERNHELL (Zeev), *The Founding Myths of Israel: Nationalism, Socialism, and the Making of the Jewish State*, trans. David Maisel, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1997; DOWTY (Allen), *The Jewish State: A Century Later*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989; DOWTY (Alan), *Zionism and the Jewish State*, *Review of Politics*, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1983, pp. 611-612; WEISSBROD (Lilly), *From labour Zionism to New Zionism: Ideological Change in Israel*, *Theory and Society*, Vol. 10, No. 6, 1981, pp. 777-803; LUSTICK (Ian), *Zionism and the State of Israel: Regime Objectives and the Arab Minority in the First Years of Statehood*, *Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1980, pp. 127-146; *Zionism Confronted by a Binational State*, *Journal of Palestine Studies*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1973, pp. 151-158.

²⁷ See MELAMED (Karmel), *A Jew Murdered in Iran*, *Jewish Journal*, Dec. 11, 2012; ELIAS (Bat-Hen Epstein), *All the Jews there Live in Fear that their Telephones Are Tapped*, *Israel Hayom*, Oct. 12, 2012; *Iran's Jewish Community*, YouTube, Oct. 1, 2012; MCELROY (Damien), *Mahmoud Ahmadinejad: Jews in Iran*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 3, 2009; WEST (Kevin), *The Persian Conquest*, *W Magazine*, July 2009; KLÜSENER (Edgar), *Jews in Iran since the Revolution of 1979: Caught between a Rock and*

a *Hard Place*, München: GRIN Verlag, 2008; *Iranian Jews to Israel: Our National Identity is Not for Sale*, YouTube, Dec. 31, 2007; DEMICK (Barbara), *Iran Remains Home to Jewish Enclave*, Middle East information Center, Sep. 30, 1997.

²⁸ See GUZMAN (Timothy Alexander), *Iran Nuclear Talks: Remembering the Israeli Attack on Iraq's Peaceful Nuclear Reactor Osirak. Will History Repeat Itself?*, Global Research, Nov. 17, 2013; President Ahmadinejad 'On Wipe Israel Off the Map Quote', YouTube, Sep. 24, 2012; *Israel Must Be 'Eliminated'*, Wall Street Journal, Sep. 27, 2012; ROSS (Sherwood), *Chossudovsky's New Book: "Wipe Israel off the Map" Statement by Iranian Leader was Gross Distortion*, Global Research, June 5, 2012; ROBERT MACKEY (Robert), *Israeli Minister Agrees Ahmadinejad Never Said Israel 'Must Be Wiped Off the Map'*, New York Times, Apr. 17, 2012; AMUZEGAR (Jahangir), *The Islamic Republic of Iran: Facts and Fiction*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 30-31; KESSLER (Glenn), *Did Ahmadinejad really say Israel Should Be 'Wiped off the Map'?*, Washington Post, May 10, 2011; NOROUZI (Arash), *Israel: "Wiped off The Map". The Rumor of the Century, Fabricated by the US Media to Justify An All out War on Iran*, Global Research, Sep. 26, 2010; RUBENSTEIN (Richard L.), *Jihad and Genocide*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2010, pp. 119 ff.; GORDON (Gregory S.), *From Incitement to Indictment? Prosecuting Iran's President for Advocating Israel's Destruction and Piecing Together Incitement Law's Emerging Analytical Framework*, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 98, No. 3, 2008, pp. 853-920; Ahmadinejad: *Israel Will Disappear From Map*, YouTube, June 3, 2008; WEYMOUTH (Lally), *A Conversation with Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*, Washington Post, Sep. 24, 2006; LITVAK (Meir), *The Islamic Republic of Iran and the Holocaust: Anti-Semitism and Anti-Zionism*, Journal of Israeli History, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2006, pp. 267-284; MACASKILL (Ewen) & MCGREAL (Chris), *Israel Should Be Wiped off Map, Says Iran's President*, Guardian, Oct. 27, 2005.

²⁹ O'NEILL (Maggie), *Hassan Rouhani Continues Israel-Bashing Tradition*, PolicyMic, Aug. 4, 2013; MCELROY (Damien), *Iran's Hassan Rouhani: Israel an 'Old Wound that Should Be Removed'*, Telegraph, Aug. 2, 2013; *Hasan Rouhani's Israel Remarks Distorted, State Television Says*, Huffington Post, Aug. 2, 2013. See also WAHDAT-HAGH (Wahied), *Hassan Rohani: Iran's "Rational" President-Elect*, Commentator, June 24, 2013.

³⁰ KREDO (Adam), *Rouhani: 'This Festering Zionist Tumor Has Opened Once Again'*, Washington Free Beacon, July 31, 2014.

³¹ See GOLDENBERG (Tia), *Israel Threatens to Strike Iran's Nuclear Facilities in Attempt to Ratchet up International Pressure on Tehran*, National Post, Mar. 21, 2014; MCQUILLAN (Karin), *Obama Has Made It Impossible for Israel to Strike Iran*, American Thinker, Jan. 28, 2014; BORGER (Julian), *The Truth about Israel's Secret Nuclear Arsenal*, Guardian, Jan. 15, 2014; SPENCER (Richard), *Iran Nuclear Deal: Israel Rages – and no One Cares*, Telegraph, Nov. 24, 2013; GUZMAN (Timothy Alexander), *Iran Nuclear Talks*, op. cit.; GRADSTEIN (Linda), *Israel under Pressure to Give up Chemical, Nuclear Weapons*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 18, 2013; MACEDA (Jim), *Analysis: Israel Airstrike May Foreshadow Iran Attack*, NBC News, Feb. 4, 2013; CHOSSUDOVSKY (Michel), *Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War*, Montreal: Global Research, 2012; CONCA (James), *Israel Has Nuclear Weapons, But Only Iran Has Nuclear Power*, Forbes, Oct. 21, 2012; GINSBURG (Mitch), *Israeli Expert: Iran already a Nuclear Power, but Can't Deliver a Bomb*, Times of Israel, Sep. 10, 2012; LERNER (Michael), *Iran, Israel, and Obama*, Tikkun, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2012, pp. 6-53; BURLEIGH (Michael), *Why I'm Convinced Israel Will Bomb Iran in Weeks: Netanyahu Is Running out of Patience and Is 'Closer than Ever' to Launching a Strike*, Daily Mail, Aug. 24, 2012; *Netanyahu 'Determined to Attack Iran' before US Elections, Claims Israel's Channel 10*, Times of Israel, Aug. 20, 2012; BEN-DAVID (Calev), *Israel Plans for Iran Strike, Citizens Fear Worst*, Bloomberg News, Aug. 15, 2012; ROSHANDEL (Jalil) & LEAN (Nathan Chapman), *Iran, Israel, and the United States: Regime Security vs. Political Legitimacy*, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2011; OREN (Ido), *Why has the United States Not Bombed Iran? The Domestic Politics of America's Response to Iran's Nuclear Programme*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2011, pp. 670, 675, 677; COHEN (Avner), *The Worst-Kept Secret: Israel's Bargain with the Bomb*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2010; LEVERETT (Hillary Mann) ET AL., *U.S. Policies toward Israel and Iran: What Are the Linkages*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 1-22; SALT (Jeremy), *Israel's Nuclear Weapons: The White House Factor*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 23-35; BEN-MEIR (Alon), *Israel's Response to A Nuclear Iran*, International Journal on World Peace, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2010, pp. 61-78; *Why Israel Should Declare Itself a Nuclear Power*, Daily Beast, Oct. 20, 2010; SLEIMAN (Mounzer), *Shutting down Dimona: Israel's Nuclear Programme, Arsenal and Environmental Threat*, Contemporary Arab Affairs, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2010, pp. 437-479; BOLTON (John R.), *Time for an Israeli Strike?*, Washington Post, July 2, 2009; PEDATZUR (Reuven), *The Iranian Nuclear Threat and the Israeli Options*, Contemporary Security Policy, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2007, pp. 513-541; HEMMER (Christopher), *Responding to a Nuclear Iran*, Parameters, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2007, pp. 42-53; *Israeli Military Calculations towards Iran*, Strategic Comments, Vol. 12, No. 9, 2006, pp. 1-2; TAROCK (Adam), *Iran's Nuclear Programme and the West*, Third World Quarterly, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2006, pp. 645-664; MEARSHEIMER (John J.) & WALT (Stephen M.), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*, op. cit.; GINOR (Isabella) & REMEZ (Gideon), *The Spymaster, the Communist, and Foxbats over Dimona: The USSR's Motive for Instigating the Six-Day War*, Israel Studies, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, pp. 88-130; BAHGAT (Gawdat), *Nuclear Proliferation in the Middle East: Iran and Israel*, Contemporary Security Policy, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2005, pp. 25-43; REITER (Dan), *Preventive Attacks against Nuclear Programs and the "Success" at Osirak*, Nonproliferation Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2005, pp. 355-371; PINKUS (Benjamin), *Atomic*

Power to Israel's Rescue: French-Israeli Nuclear Cooperation, 1949-1957, Israel Studies, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2002, pp. 104-138; BERES (Louis René) & TSIDDON-CHATTO (Yoash), *In Support of Anticipatory Self-Defence Israel, Osiraq and International Law*, Contemporary Security Policy, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1998, pp. 111-114; SIMPSON (John), *In Support of Anticipatory Self-Defence: Israel, Osiraq and International Law*, Contemporary Security Policy, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1998, pp. 118-120; QUESTER (George H.), *Nuclear Weapons and Israel*, Middle East Journal, Vol. 37, No. 4, 1983, pp. 547-564; RAMBERG (Bennett), *Attacks on Nuclear Reactors: The Implications of Israel's Strike on Osiraq*, Political Science Quarterly, Vol. 97, No. 4, 1982, pp. 653-669; ROSEN (Steven J.), *A Stable System of Mutual Nuclear Deterrence in the Arab-Israeli Conflict*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 71, No. 4, 1977, pp. 1367-1383; JABBER (Fuad A.), *Israel's Nuclear Options*, Journal of Palestine Studies, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1971, pp. 21-38; NAHSHON (Gad), *Israel's Nuclear Power*, Jewish Post.

³² *Israeli Public Opinion Polls: Attitudes toward Iran*, Jewish Virtual Library, Nov. 2013; BRYANT (Christa Case), *Bomb Iran? Why 5 Top Israeli Figures Don't Want to Do It*, Christian Science Monitor, Sep. 4, 2012; BRYANT (Christa Case), *Israelis Shrug at Netanyahu's Urgent Warnings on Iran (+Video)*, Christian Science Monitor, Sep. 25, 2012; *9 Polls on U.S. and Israeli Opinions on Iran*, Iran Primer, Apr. 10, 2012; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *A Message to Iran from Israel: I Love You*, Guardian, Mar. 26, 2012; KUL (Steven) ET AL., *Americans on Israel and the Iranian Nuclear Program: A Study of American Public Opinion*, Program on International Policy Attitudes, Mar. 13, 2012; PILLAR (Paul R.), *Why Israeli Public Opinion Opposes a Strike on Iran*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 2012; TELHAMI (Shibley), *The February 2012 Israeli Public Opinion Survey*, Brookings, Feb. 29, 2012; SARKISIAN (Joseph), *Poll: 75% of Americans Don't Support an Israeli Strike on Iran*, PolicyMic, 2012; *American Public Opinion Polls: Opinion toward Iran (2010-2012)*, Jewish Virtual Library; LEVERETT (Hillary Mann) ET AL., *U.S. Policies toward Israel and Iran*, op. cit., pp. 6-7.

³³ Richman has however argued that "Iran's official anti-Israel policy statements are mirrored in the responses of its public. Three-fourths of Iranians express an unfavorable opinion of Israel (74%, including 61% very unfavorable)." RICHMAN (Alvin), *Iranian Public Opinion on Governance, Nuclear Weapons and Relations with the United States*, World Public Opinion, Aug. 27, 2008. See also INTERNATIONAL PEACE INSTITUTE, *Iran, Lebanon, Israelis and Palestinians: New IPI Opinion Polls*, Jan. 5, 2011.

³⁴ See KUNTSMAN (Adi) & RAJI (Sanaz), *"Israelis and Iranians, Get A Room!": Love, Hate, and Transnational Politics from the "Israel Loves Iran" and "Iran Loves Israel" Facebook Campaigns*, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2012, pp. 143-154; *Israel Loves Iran; Peace Factory: A Bridge of Communication between the People in the Middle East*, Peace Factory.

³⁵ *Iranian Jews Offered \$10,000 Each to Come to Israel*, New Jew, Nov. 5, 2007; *Evangelicals Bring Iranian Jews to Israel*, Ynetnews, Oct. 22, 2007; MELMAN (Yossi), *Iranian Jews Blast Offer of Cash for Immigrating to Israel*, Haaretz, July 14, 2007.

³⁶ About this affair and other secret relationships between the Iranian Government and the Jewish Government, see KEYNOUSH (Banafsheh), *The Secret Side of Iran-US Relations since the 1979 Revolution*, Guardian, July 10, 2015; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, op. cit.; GADDEA (James), *11 Things You Didn't Know About Hassan Rouhani*, PolicyMic, Aug. 5, 2013; FREDMAN (Zach), *Shoring Up Iraq, 1983 to 1990: Washington and the Chemical Weapons Controversy*, Diplomacy and Statecraft, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2012, pp. 533-554; BERGMAN (Ronen), *Secret War with Iran: The 30-Year Clandestine Struggle Against the World's Most Dangerous Terrorist Power*, trans. by Ronnie Hope, New York: Free Press, 2008, pp. 40-48; *The Secret Dealings of Israel, Iran and the United States*, Democracy Now!, Sep. 25, 2007; PARSI (Trita), *Treacherous Alliance: The Secret Dealings of Israel, Iran and the United States*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007, pp. 114 ff.; LACAYO (Richard), *Iran-Contra: The Cover-Up Begins to Crack*, Time, June 24, 2001; LITWAK (Robert S.), *Rogue States and U.S. Foreign Policy: Containment after the Cold War*, Washington, D.C.: Woodrow Wilson Center Press, 2000, pp. 55-56, 58, 99, 162, 250; BLOCK (Alan A.), *The Origins of Iran-Contra: Lessons from the Durrani Affair*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 33, No. 1-2, 2000, pp. 53-84; BUSH (George), *President George Bush's Pardon of the Iran-Contra Defendants*, Federal Sentencing Reporter, Vol. 13, No. 3-4, 2000, pp. 209-210; *Israel Gripped by Swirl Surrounding Treason Trial*, CNN, July 16, 1998; MIO (Jeffery Scott) & LOVRICH (Nicholas P.), *Men of Zeal: Memory for Metaphors in the Iran-Contra Hearings*, Metaphor and Symbol, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1998, pp. 49-68; CHILCOTE (Ronald H.), *Teaching Iran-Contra: Further Reflections*, PS: Political Science and Politics, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1997, pp. 515-517; LYNCH (Michael) & BOGEN (David), *The Spectacle of History: Speech, Text, and Memory at the Iran-Contra Hearings*, Durham: Duke University Press, 1996; LEE (Ronald) & SPANO (Shawn J.), *Technical Discourse in Defense of Public Virtue: Ronald Reagan's Explanation of the Iran/Contra Affair*, Political Communication, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1996, pp. 115-129; HICKS (D. Bruce), *Presidential Foreign Policy Prerogative after the Iran-Contra Affair: A Review Essay*, Presidential Studies Quarterly, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1996, pp. 962-977; SECORD (Richard) & WURTS (Jay), *Honored and Betrayed: Irangate, Covert Affairs, and the Secret War in Laos*, New York: Wiley, 1992; GHIO (Rob S.), *The Iran-Contra Prosecutions and the Failure of Use Immunity*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 45, No. 1, 1992, pp. 229-261; CANHAM-CLYNE (John), *Business as Usual: Iran-Contra and the National Security State*, World Policy Journal, Vol. 9,

No. 4, 1992, pp. 617-637; TIMBERS (Edwin), *Legal and Institutional Aspects of the Iran-Contra Affair*, *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 1990, pp. 31-41; FISHER (Louis), *Congressional Access to Executive Branch Information: Lessons from Iran-Contra*, *Government Information Quarterly*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1989, pp. 383-394; FISHER (Louis), *How to Avoid Iran-Contras*, *California Law Review*, Vol. 76, No. 4, 1988, pp. 939-960; SEGEV (Samuel), *The Iranian Triangle: The Untold Story of Israel's Role in the Iran-Contra Affair*, trans. by Haim Watzman, New York: Free Press, 1988; KORNBLUH (Peter), *The Iran-Contra Scandal: A Postmortem*, *World Policy Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1987, pp. 129-150; HOUSE (Ernest R.), *The Iran-Contra Hearings and Evaluation*, *Evaluation Practice*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1987, pp. 31-33; SCHEFFER (David J.), *U.S. Law and the Iran-Contra Affair*, *American Journal of International Law*, Vol. 81, No. 3, 1987, pp. 696-723; MARSHALL (Jonathan) ET AL., *The Iran-Contra Connection: Secret Teams and Covert Operations in the Reagan Era*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1987; MALLISON (Sally V.) & MALLISON (W. Thomas), *The Changing U.S. Position on Palestinian Self-Determination and the Impact of the Iran-Contra Scandal*, *Journal of Palestine Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1987, pp. 101-114; HUNTER (Jane), *Israeli Arms Sales to Iran*, *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*, Nov. 1986, p. 2. For another example, see MAGNEZI (Aviel), *Nahum Manbar Released from Prison*, *Ynetnews*, Oct. 31, 2011; ZARCHIN (Tomer), *Israeli Convicted of Selling Weapons to Iran Released from Prison Early*, *Haaretz*, Oct. 31, 2011; OSTROVSKY (Victor), *What Israel's Top-Secret Manbar Trial Reveals about Extensive, Ongoing Israeli Arms Dealing with Iran*, *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*, Sep. 1998, pp. 55-56.

³⁷ See MCSMITH (Andy), *Inspectors Uncover Gaddafi's Secret Stash of Chemical Weapons*, *Independent*, Jan. 21, 2012; GREENHILL (Sam), *Found, Gaddafi's Chemical Weapons: Deadly Arsenal Was hidden despite Pledge to Blair*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 27, 2011; COUGHLIN (Con), *Will a Middle East Awash with Weapons Be Gaddafi's Final Legacy?*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 23, 2011; NORTON-TAYLOR (Richard) & HOPKINS (Nick), *Libya Warned Smugglers Are Looting Gaddafi's Guns*, *Guardian*, Sep. 2, 2011; WATERFIELD (Bruno), *Libya: Tony Blair Defends 'Deal in the Desert' with Colonel Gaddafi*, *Telegraph*, Apr. 14, 2011; DRURY (Ian), *The 'Dirty Secret' of British Arms Sales to Libya Just Months before Gaddafi Slaughtered Pro-Democracy Protesters*, *Daily Mail*, Apr. 5, 2011; ROGERS (Simon), *EU Arms Exports to Libya: Who Armed Gaddafi?*, *Guardian*, Mar. 1, 2011; GLOVER (Stephen), *How Can We Be so Blindly Stupid as to Sell Arms to Despots then Bleat about Democracy?*, *Daily Mail*, Feb. 24, 2011; PEEV (Geri) & KELLY (Tom), *Bloodbath as 300 Are Massacred for Defying Gaddafi in Libya*, op. cit.

³⁸ See LITWAK (Robert S.), *Rogue States and U.S. Foreign Policy*, op. cit., pp. 169, 173, 177, 181-182, 184, 189-190; *L'Iran et l'Occident: Le Déficit Nucléaire, 2001-2008*, YouTube, Nov. 29, 2012.

³⁹ See KATZMAN (Kenneth), *Iran: U.S. Concerns and Policy Responses*, Congressional Research Service, Nov. 4, 2013; LARISON (Daniel), *Why Hard-liners Recycle Myths about the Green Movement in Iran*, *American Conservative*, July 22, 2013; RUBIN (Jennifer), *Iran: Missed Opportunities and False Hope*, *Washington Post*, July 22, 2013; BACCHI (Umberto), *Iran's Presidential Elections: Tortured Freedom Blogger Ashkan Reveals Prison Hell*, *International Business Times*, June 14, 2013; *Green Movement Activists Live in Fear as Iran's Presidential Election Nears*, *Guardian*, May 17, 2013; MILLER (Debra A.), *The Iranian Green Movement*, Detroit: Greenhaven Press, 2012; TEZCÜR (Güneş Murat), *Democracy Promotion, Authoritarian Resiliency, and Political Unrest in Iran*, *Democratization*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 120-140; *Green Movement Alive and Well in Iran*, *Deutsche Welle*, Feb. 17, 2012; INAIEE (Maryam), *Green Movement still Alive in Iran despite Two Years of Repression Say Supporters*, *National*, June 13, 2011; *Iranian Women Prisoners Detail Torture: 'Death Was Like a Desire'*, *PBS NewsHour*, June 10, 2011; JAVEDANFAR (Meir), *Iran's Repressive Regime Cannot Last*, *Guardian*, Feb. 22, 2011; SADEGHI (Shirin), *Iran's Green Movement Lie*, *Huffington Post*, Feb. 20, 2011; POLLACK (Kenneth M.) & TAKEYH (Ray), *Doubling Down on Iran*, *Washington Quarterly*, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2011, pp. 7-21; *Iran: State of the Green Movement*, *Foreign Policy Initiative*, Apr. 6, 2010; KAMRAVA (Mehran), *The 2009 Elections and Iran's Changing Political Landscape*, *Orbis*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2010, pp. 400-412; HASHEMI (Nader) & POSTEL (Danny) (ed.), *The People Reloaded: The Green Movement and the Struggle for Iran's Future*, Brooklyn: Melville House, 2010; WRIGHT (Robin), *Wright Monday, Iran's Green Movement Reaches Out to U.S.*, *Time*, Nov. 23, 2009; WRIGHT (Robin), *Iran's Green Movement, United States Institutes of Peace*, Dec. 15, 2009; HOMAYOUN (Homa), *Prison Rape Ordeal of Boy who Dared to Defy Tehran Hardliners*, *Times*, Aug. 22, 2009.

⁴⁰ About some examples of the American superiority complex metamorphosed into “une gendarmerie universelle”, see MONTANER (Carlos Alberto), *The Time of the Great Gendarme*, *Watching America*, Sep. 8, 2013; LAGON (Mark P.), *Promoting Democracy: The Whys and Hows for the United States and the International Community*, *Council on Foreign Relations*, Feb. 2011; SCOTT (James M.) & STEELE (Carie A.), *Sponsoring Democracy: The United States and Democracy Aid to the Developing World, 1988-2001*, *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 1, 2011, pp. 47-69; BAPAT (Navin), *Terrorism, democratization, and US foreign policy*, *Public Choice*, Vol. 149, No. 3-4, 2011, pp. 315-335; SCHELL (Frank), *India: America's New Gendarme or Strategic Partner?*, *National Strategy Forum*, 2008; CUSHMAN (Thomas), *Exporting Democracy: What Have We Learned from Iraq?*, *Dissent*, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2007, pp. 53-54; CRANER (Lorne), *Will U.S. Democratization Policy Work?*, *Democracy in the Middle East*, *Middle East Quarterly*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2006, pp. 3-10; BURNELL (Peter), *Political Strategies of External Support for Democratization*, *Foreign Policy Analysis*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 2005, pp. 361-384; TUDDA (Christopher J.),

"Reenacting the Story of Tantalus": Eisenhower, Dulles, and the Failed Rhetoric of Liberation, *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 2005, pp. 3-35; YONEYAMA (Lisa), *Liberation under Siege: U.S. Military Occupation and Japanese Women's Enfranchisement*, *American Quarterly*, Vol. 57, No. 3, 2005, pp. 885-910; GONZÁLEZ (Francisco E.) & KING (Desmond), *The State and Democratization: The United States in Comparative Perspective*, *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2004, pp. 193-210; TESTAS (Abdelaziz), *The United States' approach to Algeria's civil conflict: Implications for democratization, internal peace and anti-American violence*, *Democratization*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2004, pp. 87-120; WINDSOR (Jennifer L.), *Promoting Democratization Can Combat Terrorism*, *Washington Quarterly*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2003, pp. 43-58; CLINTON (William J.), *Statement on Signing the Iraq Liberation Act of 1998*, White House, Oct. 31, 1998; H.R.4655: *Iraq Liberation Act of 1998*; *The Iraq Liberation Act of 1998*.

⁴¹ See KLEIN (Joseph A.), *Obama's Naive Hopes for Peace Deal with Sham "Moderate" Rouhani*, *Canada Free Press*, Sep. 30, 2013; MEMARIAN (Omid), *Why Should Hassan (Rouhani) Meet with Hussein (Obama)?*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 28, 2013; RASKAS (Joseph), *Rouhani Is No Moderate*, *Jerusalem Post*, July 2, 2013; HOROVITZ (David), *Iran's Rouhani Had No Role in 1994 Argentina Bombing, Prosecutor Says*, *Times of Israel*, June 25, 2013; AHMARI (Sohrab), *The Sheikh of Terror*, *Wall Street Journal*, June 20, 2013; *Report: Iran's Rohani Tied to AMIA 1994 Bombing*, *Jerusalem Post*, June 20, 2013; IRAN HUMAN RIGHTS DOCUMENTATION CENTER, *No Safe Haven: Iran's Global Assassination*, New Haven, 2008; GOODMAN (Alana), *New Iranian President Tied to 1994 Bombing*, *Washington Free Beacon*, June 19, 2013; BURGOS (Marcelo Martinez) & NISMAN (Alberto), *Office of Criminal Investigations: AMIA Case*, *Investigations Unit of the Office of the Attorney General*, Oct. 25, 2006.

⁴² About these secret relationship and political love story, see above, Introduction, footnote 9; MASON (Jeff) & CHARBONNEAU (Louis), *Obama, Iran's Rouhani Hold Historic Phone Call*, *Reuters*, Sep. 28, 2013; *After Phone Call with Obama*, *Haaretz*, Sep. 28, 2013; BAKER (Peter), *Obama Speaks to President of Iran in First Talk since 1979*, *New York Times*, Sep. 27, 2013; *Obama and Rohani Talk – First US-Iran Presidential Phone Call since 1979*, *Euro News*, Sep. 27, 2013; *Rohani: Obama's New Tone on Iran Could Ease Tensions with U.S.*, *Haaretz*, Sep. 27, 2013; BARABARI TV, *و ی قوائ حم ید با اف شار سع ید گ ف ت گوی*, YouTube, Sep. 26, 2013; RIOLS (Yves-Michel), *L'Iran d'Hassan Rohani "Prêt à Coopérer"*, *Le Monde*, Sep. 25, 2013; RECKNAGEL (Charles), *At UN, Obama and Rohani Sound Optimistic on Iranian Nuclear Crisis*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 25, 2013; GOLDBERG (Jeffrey), *Rohani's Scared to Shake Obama's Hand*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 24, 2013; *All Eyes on Rohani and Obama as UN Summit Opens*, *France 24*, Sep. 24, 2013; *Rohani Backs New Nuclear Talks*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 24, 2013; NASSERI (Ladane), *Rohani Takes His Charm to UN Used to Iranian Hectoring*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 23, 2013; *Scores of Iranian Prisoners Pardoned as Rohani Heads to UN*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 23, 2013; BAYEFSKY (Anne), *President Obama Doesn't Understand Rouhani*, *Algemeiner*, Sep. 23, 2013; LEWIS (Laurence), *Report: Obama and Rouhani May Be Ready for Historic Handshake Next Week*, *Daily Kos*, Sep. 20, 2013; MCPARLAND (Kelly), *Kelly McParland: Iran Dangles unlikely Opportunity to Offset Obama's Syrian Shambles*, *National Post*, Sep. 20, 2013; LAKSHMANAN (Indira A. R.) & FOROOHAR (Kambiz), *Obama Seeing Rohani at UN Holds Promise Tinged with Risks*, *Bloomberg*, Sep. 20, 2013; SOMFALVI (Attila), *Obama to Meet Netanyahu, But Not Rohani*, *Ynetnews*, Sep. 17, 2013; *Iran Confirms Exchange of Letters with Obama*, *Reuters*, Sep. 17, 2013; *Obama Says He Exchanged Letters with Rohani*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 15, 2013; ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *Did Obama Quietly Reach Out to Iranian President Rohani?*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Sep. 10, 2013; TORBATI (Yeganeh) & HEMMIN (Jon), *Iran's Supreme Leader Does Not Reign Supreme*, *Dhaka Tribune*, Aug. 27, 2013.

⁴³ About this highly controversial deal, see READ (Russ), *There's a Clear Reason Forces in Iran Are Trying to 'Torpedo' the Nuclear Deal*, *Daily Caller*, July 8, 2016; NICHOLS (Michelle), *UN Report: Iran Missile Tests 'Not Consistent' with Nuclear Deal Spirit*, *Reuters*, July 8, 2016; BARKIN (Noah), *Germany Says Forces in Iran Trying to Torpedo Nuclear Deal*, *Reuters*, July 8, 2016; WILNER (Michael), *JCPOA Anniversary: Has Iran Complied with the Nuclear Deal?*, *Jerusalem Post*, July 7, 2016; ZUCKERMAN (Mortimer Benjamin), *Obama's Iran Nuclear Deal Is a Bad Deal Off to a Worse Start*, *US News*, Jan. 21, 2016; LEE (Matthew), *Analysis: Nuclear Deal Puts US between Iran and a Hard Place*, *Yahoo*, Apr. 10, 2016; *Iran Nuclear Deal: 'New Chapter' for Tehran as Sanctions End*, *BBC News*, Jan. 17, 2016; TUCKER (Jasmine), *What's Next for the Iran Nuclear Deal?*, *National Priorities Project*, Sep. 24, 2015; FARHI (Farideh), *Iran's Nuclear Deal Is No Revolution*, *Al Jazeera*, Sep. 24, 2015; HOWK (Jason Criss), *Lieutenant General (Retired) Michael Flynn and the Iranian Nuclear Agreement*, *Observer*, Sep. 24, 2015; MCINERNEY (Peggy), *Give Iran Nuclear Deal a Chance, Experts Say*, *UCLA Newsroom*, Sep. 22, 2015; WILLIAMS (Armstrong), *Congress Must Oppose the Dangerous Iran Deal*, *Jerusalem Post*, Sep. 2, 2015; SATLOFF (Robert), *The Dangerous Deal with Iran Deal*, *US News*, July 27, 2015; BROAD (William J.) & PEÇANHA (Sergio), *The Iran Nuclear Deal – A Simple Guide*, *New York Times*, July 14, 2015; JØRGENSEN (Katrina), *Seven Serious Problems with the Iranian Nuclear Deal Framework*, *Independent Journal Review*, Apr. 2015.

⁴⁴ About this controversially satanic cooperation, see ESFANDIARY (Dina) & TABATABAI (Ariane), *Iran's ISIS Policy*, *International Affairs*, Vol. 91, No. 1, 2015, pp. 1-15; ESFANDIARY (Dina), "Iranians are Terrified": Iran's ISIS Nightmare, *National Interest*, July 11, 2014; SENGUPTA (Kim), *War with Isis: Iran Seeks to Join International Coalition Battling Militants as Part of Significant Shift in Its Foreign Policy*, *Independent*, Aug. 18, 2015; PHILLIPS (James), *Why the US Can't Trust Iran to Help Defeat ISIS*, *Daily Signal*, Oct. 30, 2014; *Despite Ukraine, U.S. and Russia Cooperate on ISIS and Iran*, *News Gnom*, Oct. 15, 2014; MOHSENI (Payam), *Bad Move, ISIS: Why America and Iran Should Work Together*, *National Interest*, Oct. 5, 2014; AVNI (Benny), *Iran Says It's Willing to Fight ISIS, for a Price*, *News Week*, Sep. 29, 2014; MULLEN (Jethro), *Yet Another Beheading. What's the World Going to Do about ISIS?*, *CNN*, Sep. 15, 2014; BERMAN (Russell), *Iran Rejects U.S. Bid to Coordinate Against ISIS*, *Wire*, Sep. 15, 2014; FANTZ (Ashley), *Who Is Doing What in the Coalition Battle against ISIS?*, *CNN*, Sep. 15, 2014; *UK Hopes Iran Will Cooperate in Fight against ISIS*, *Daily Sabah*, Sep. 15, 2014; KINZER (Stephen), *Obama's Calm Approach on ISIS Will Keep America Safer*, *Boston Globe*, Sep. 14, 2014; WITHNALL (Adam), *David Haines Beheading: David Cameron Condemns ISIS 'Monsters' Shown in Video Murdering British Aid Worker*, *Independent*, Sep. 14, 2014; SOLOMON (Erika) & DARAGAH (Borzou), *ISIS Militants Say UK Aid Worker Beheaded*, *Financial Times*, Sep. 14, 2014; *David Cameron Vows to Hunt Down ISIS 'Monsters' Who Executed British Aid Worker David Haines*, *National Post*, Sep. 14, 2014; HALL (John), *America Gave Advance Warning to Iran that It Would Bomb ISIS in Syria – and Said They Would Not Target Assad's Regime*, *Daily Mail*, Sep. 24, 2014; *David Haines Purportedly Beheaded in New ISIS Video*, *CBC News*, Sep. 13, 2014; TISDALL (Simon), *Ten Questions NATO Faces in Its Fight to Combat ISIS*, *Guardian*, Sep. 6, 2014; LUPICA (Mike), *If Barack Obama Manages to Defeat ISIS, He Will Be a Great President*, *New York Daily News*, Sep. 6, 2014; *US Denies Cooperation with Iran against ISIS*, *Fox News*, Sep. 5, 2014; MARTOSKO (David) & BLAKE (Matthew), *Obama Says He Has a 'Developing Strategy' to 'Ultimately Destroy' ISIS Just Like 'We've Gone after Al Qaeda'*, *Daily Mail*, Sep. 5, 2014; *US-Iranian Military, Intelligence Cooperation in War on ISIS Reaps First Successes in Syria and Iraq*, *DEBKAfile*, Sep. 5, 2014; COATES (Sam), *Cameron Reaches out to Iran as He Warns of ISIS Threat against Britain*, *Times*, Aug. 18, 2014; WRIGHT (Oliver), *ISIS Threat: David Cameron Wants an Alliance with Iran*, *Independent*, Aug. 17, 2014; *West Facing 'Generational Struggle' against Islamic State, UK's Cameron Says*, *Times of India*, Aug. 17, 2014; CHARLTON (Corey), *Now America Is Fighting alongside IRAN to Defeat ISIS: Evidence Emerges that Both Sides Are Helping Iraq in Its Bid to Stop Islamists*, *Daily Mail*, July 2, 2014; BAR'EL (Zvi), *Iraq Crisis Pushing Obama toward Alliance with Syria and Iran*, *Haaretz*, June 29, 2014; SHABANI (Mohammad Ali), *America and Iran Can Save Iraq*, *New York Times*, June 26, 2014; *Ayatollah of Iran Says U.S. Should Avoid Iraq*, *New York Times*, June 22, 2014; FITZPATRICK (Meagan), *Iraq Crisis: How the U.S. and Iran Could Bury the Hatchet*, *CBC News*, June 17, 2014; WILNER (Michael), *US Seeks to Expedite Talks with Iran over ISIS*, *Jerusalem Post*, June 16, 2014; GORDON (Michael R.) & SANGER (David E.), *U.S. Is in Pursuit of Iranian Role in Talks on Iraq*, *New York Times*, June 16, 2014; LEWIS (Paul) ET AL., *Iraq Crisis: US Willing to Work with Iran but Officials Play down Military Talk*, *Guardian*, June 16, 2014; PEARSON (Michael) & YAN (Holly), *Could U.S., Iran Work Together in Iraq? Maybe, Officials Say*, *CNN*, June 16, 2014; RICHTER (Paul), *U.S. Talks to Iran about Crisis in Iraq, Militant Threats, Official Says*, *Los Angeles Times*, June 16, 2014; KAPLAN (Fred), *Why Iran Is America's Best New Partner in the Middle East*, *Slate*, June 16, 2014; *US Prepares for Talks with Iran to Stop Iraq Militants, Says Official*, *Guardian*, June 16, 2014; HAUSLOHNER (Abigail) & MORRIS (Loveday), *U.N. Says ISIS Rebels Carried out 'Cold-Blooded Executions' in Iraq*, *Washington Post*, June 16, 2014; *Iraq Conflict: ISIS Extends Grip in North as U.S., Iran Talk*, *CBC News*, June 16, 2014; TAWFEEQ (Mohammed) ET AL., *Terrifying Execution Images in Iraq; U.S. Embassy in Baghdad Relocates Some Staff*, *CNN*, June 15, 2014; WROUGHTON (Lesley), *U.S. Mulls Discussions with Iran over Iraq Security Crisis, Official Says*, *Huffington Post*, June 15, 2014; LISTER (Tim), *ISIS: The First Terror Group to Build an Islamic State?*, *CNN*, June 12, 2014.

⁴⁵ About the ISIS, its crimes, and the reactions of other States, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *The ISIS: A Muslim Form of State Crime between the Western States and the Islamist States?*, *Academia.edu*, June 12, 2015; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*, *Academia.edu*, June 12, 2013.

⁴⁶ About certain examples of State crimes during that war, see CROWE (David M.), *War Crimes, Genocide, and Justice: A Global History*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014, pp. 335-341; CROWE (David M.), *War Crimes and Genocide in History, and the Evolution of Responsive International Law*, op. cit.; ZHUKOV (Georgy K.), *Iranian Child Soldiers Head to the Front during the Iran-Iraq War, Where They Would Be Utilized in Human Wave Attacks against Fortified Iraqi Positions. c. 1980s*, *Reddit*, Nov. 21, 2013; FREDMAN (Zach), *Shoring Up Iraq, 1983 to 1990*, op. cit.; RANDAL (Jonathan C.), *Iran-Iraq War, Crimes of War*, 2011; ZEGVELD (Liesbeth), *Compensation for the Victims of Chemical Warfare in Iraq and Iran*, in FERSTMAN (Carla) ET AL (ed.), *Reparations for Victims of Genocide, War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity: Systems in Place and Systems in the Making*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2009, pp. 369-382; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Children at War*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006; POTTER (Lawrence G.) & SICK (Gary G.) (ed.), *Iran, Iraq, and the Legacies of War*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004; SICK (Gary), *Iran: Confronting Terrorism*, *Washington Quarterly*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2003, pp. 83-98; PELLETIERE (Stephen C.), *A War Crime or an Act of War?*, *New York Times*, Jan. 31, 2003; GOLDBERG (Jeffrey), *The Great Terror*, New

Yorker, Mar. 25, 2002; TERRY (Sara), *For Iran's Child Soldiers, Capture by the Iraqis Is a Mixed Blessing*, Christian Science Monitor, July 7, 1987; SAEEDOUR (Vera Beaudin), *The Real Victims of the Iran-Iraq War*, Cultural Survival.

⁴⁷ About the symbiotic relationship between President Chávez and Comrade Chomsky, see FURTH (Alan), *Chomsky Was Wrong about Chavez*, Winnipeg Free Press, Feb. 6, 2014; LOPEZ (Virginia), *Noam Chomsky Pleads with Hugo Chávez to Free Judge in Open Letter*, Guardian, Dec. 21, 2011; KOZLOFF (Nikolas), *Lingering Questions for Chomsky on Venezuela (Part 1)*, Huffington Post, Aug. 9, 2011; KOZLOFF (Nikolas), *Lingering Questions for Chomsky on Venezuela (Part 2)*, Huffington Post, Aug. 17, 2011; KOZLOFF (Nikolas), *Lingering Questions for Chomsky on Venezuela (Part 3)*, Huffington Post, Aug. 23, 2011; 'Extreme Dishonesty' – *The Guardian*, *Noam Chomsky and Venezuela*, MediaLens, July 6, 2011; CARROLL (Rory), *Noam Chomsky Criticises Old Friend Hugo Chávez for 'Assault' on Democracy*, Guardian, July 3, 2011; ALBEROLA (Octavio), *Chomsky as Chavez's Clown*, trans. by Luis Prat, Libcom, Anarchist Library, 2009; JOHNSON (Craig B.), *United Nations in the Architecture of the System beyond the System*, Architectural Theory Review, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2007, pp. 97-99; *Chavez Boosts Chomsky Book Sales*, BBC News, Sep. 25, 2006; RICH (Motoko), *U.S. Best Seller, Thanks to Rave by Latin Leftist*, New York Times, Sep. 23, 2006.

⁴⁸ EL LIBERTARIO, *Venezuela: Now More than Ever*, Anarchist Library, 2014; *Trade in Goods with Venezuela*, United States Census Bureau, June 4, 2013; ARORA (Kamna), *Will Hugo Chávez's Successor Thaw Ties with US?*, Zee News, Mar. 8, 2013; *US-Venezuela Balance of Trade Down by 31.76% in 2012*, trans. by Jhean Cabrera, El Universal, Feb. 28, 2013; MARCANO (Ender), *Venezuela-US Trade Balance down 31.42% in 2012*, trans. by Jhean Cabrera, El Universal, Jan. 25, 2013; CORRALES (Javier) & ROMERO (Carlos), *U.S.-Venezuela Relations since the 1990s: Coping with Midlevel Security Threats*, New York: Routledge, 2013; HATTINGH (Shawn), *Venezuela and the "Bolivarian Revolution": Beacon of Hope or Smoke and Mirrors?*, Anarchist Library, 2012, p. 10; WETZEL (Tom), *Venezuela from Below*, Anarchist Library, 2010; BOYKOFF (Jules), *Devil or Democrat? Hugo Chávez and the US Prestige Press*, New Political Science, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2009, pp. 3-26; COLE (N. Scott), *Hugo Chavez and President Bush's Credibility Gap: The Struggle Against US Democracy Promotion*, International Political Science Review, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2007, pp. 493-507; *U.S.-Venezuela Trade Facts*, Executive Office of the President of the United States; STAUDENMAIER (Michael) & CARLSON (Anne), *Venezuela: Of Chavistas and Anarquistas*, Anarchist Library, 2006.

⁴⁹ For some examples, see BUSH (Stephen S.), *Torture and the Politics of the Sacred*, Soundings: An Interdisciplinary Journal, Vol. 97, No. 1, 2014, pp. 75-99; WINTER (Elke), *(Im)Possible Citizens: Canada's 'Citizenship Bonanza' and Its Boundaries*, Citizenship Studies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2014, pp. 46-62; DUFFY (Andrew), *Torture Lawsuit "A Matter of Canada's Honour:" Judge, Ottawa Citizen*, Jan. 25, 2014; DUFFY (Andrew), *RCMP View Clouded by Racism: Abdullah Almalki*, National Post, Oct. 25, 2011; BELL (Colleen), *The Freedom of Security: Governing Canada in the Age of Counter-Terrorism*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2011; LEFEBVRE (Stéphane), *Canada's Legal Framework for Intelligence*, International Journal of Intelligence and Counterintelligence, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2010, pp. 247-295; O'CONNOR (Daniel) & LINT (Willem de), *Frontier Government: The Folding of the Canada-US Border*, Studies in Social Justice, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2009, pp. 39-66; MARQUIS (Greg), *Secret Service: Political Policing in Canada from the Fenians to Fortress America* by Reg Whitaker, Gregory S. Kealey, and Andrew Parnaby, *In the Shadow of Canada's Camps*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2007, pp. 49-69; ABU-LABAN (Yasmeen) & NATH (Nisha), *From Deportation to Apology: The Case of Maher Arar and the Canadian State*, Canadian Ethnic Studies, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2007, pp. 71-98; STASIULIS (Daiva) & ROSS (Darryl), *Security, Flexible Sovereignty, and the Perils of Multiple Citizenship*, Citizenship Studies, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2006, pp. 329-348; *Arar v. Ashcroft*, 414 F.Supp. 2d 250 (E.D. N.Y. 2006); MAYER (Jane), *Annals of Justice – Outsourcing Torture: The Secret History of America's "Extraordinary Rendition" Program*, New Yorker, Feb. 14, 2005; JAIMET (Kate), *Free Almalki*, *Graham Urges Syria: Mideast Country Refuses to Recognize Dual Citizenship*, Vancouver Sun, Nov. 6, 2003.

⁵⁰ WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, op. cit., p. 9.

⁵¹ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., p. 94.

⁵² HOFFER (Charles Russell), *Nationalism and Culture*, by Rudolf Rocker, New York: Covici-Friede, 1937, American Sociological Review, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1938, p. 104.

⁵³ WILSON (James G.), *Noam Chomsky and Judicial Review*, Cleveland State Law Review, Vol. 44, No. 4, 1996, pp. 439-440.

⁵⁴ For example, see WILKINSON (Paul), *Terrorism Versus Democracy: The Liberal State Response*, 3rd ed., Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; MAUTNER (Menachem), *From "Honor" to "Dignity": How Should a Liberal State Treat Non-Liberal Cultural Groups?*, Theoretical Inquiries in Law, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2008, pp. 1199-1199; EAGLES (Munroe) ET AL., *The Institutions of Liberal Democratic States*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2004; WINGO (Ajume H.), *Veil Politics in Liberal Democratic States*, pref. by Jeremy Waldron, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003; CHAN (Sylvia), *Liberalism, Democracy and Development*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002; FULLER (Timothy) & HITTINGER (John P.) (ed.), *Reassessing the Liberal State: Reading Maritain's Man and the State*, Washington, D.C.: American Maritain Association, 2001; ALVAREZ (José E.), *Do Liberal*

States Behave Better? A Critique of Slaughter's Liberal Theory, *European Journal of International Law*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2001, pp. 183-246; GIBNEY (Matthew J.), *Liberal Democratic States and Responsibilities to Refugees*, *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 93, No. 1, 1999, pp. 169-181; MYLES (John), *How to Design a "Liberal" Welfare State: A Comparison of Canada and the United States*, *Social Policy and Administration*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1998, pp. 341-364; BURLEY (Anne-Marie), *Law among Liberal States: Liberal Internationalism and the Act of State Doctrine*, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 92, No. 8, 1992, pp. 1907-1996; POCKLINGTON (Thomas C.) ET AL., *Liberal Democracy in Canada and the United States: An Introduction to Politics and Government in Canada and the United States*, Toronto: Holt, Rinehart and Winston of Canada, 1985; COX (Andrew), *The Political Instability of Liberal and Social Democratic State forms in British Society*, *Parliamentary Affairs*, Vol. 35, No. 4, 1982, pp. 381-395; ACKERMAN (Bruce A.), *Social Justice in the Liberal State*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1980.

⁵⁵ For example, see THORHALLSSON (Baldur) & KATTEL (Rainer), *Neo-Liberal Small States and Economic Crisis: Lessons for Democratic Corporatism*, *Journal of Baltic Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2013, pp. 83-103; THORHALLSSON (Baldur), *The Corporatist Model and its Value in Understanding Small European States in the Neo-Liberal World of the Twenty-First Century: The Case of Iceland*, *European Political Science*, Vol. 3, No. 9, 2010, pp. 375-386; POLLACK (Shoshana), *Labelling Clients 'Risky': Social Work and the Neo-liberal Welfare State*, *British Journal of Social Work*, Vol. 40, No. 4, 2010, pp. 1263-1278; PRASAD (Monica), *The Politics of Free Markets: The Rise of Neoliberal Economic Policies in Britain, France, Germany, and the United States*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2006; ROBERTSON (Susan) & DALE (Roger), *Local States of Emergency: The Contradictions of Neo-Liberal Governance in Education in New Zealand*, *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2002, pp. 463-482; CHANG (Ha-Joon), *Breaking the Mould: An Institutional Political Economy Alternative to the Neo-Liberal Theory of the Market and the State*, *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 26, No. 5, 2002, pp. 539-559; PRESSMAN (Richard S.), *Is There a Future for the Heath Anthology in the Neo-Liberal State?*, *Symploke*, Vol. 8, No. 1-2, 2000, pp. 57-67.

⁵⁶ About this type of propaganda, see below, Chapter 3, footnote 127; NEUDORF (Lorne), *Promoting Independent Justice in a Changing World*, *Human Rights Law Review*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2012, pp. 107-121; SEIBERT-FOHR (Anja) (ed.), *Judicial Independence in Transition*, Berlin: Springer, 2012; POST (Robert C.), *The Independence of the Judiciary*, *Bulletin of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, Vol. 63, No. 2, 2010, pp. 47-48; *Legal Independence: It's Your Right. Understanding Judicial and Lawyer Independence and the Rule of Law*, The Justice Education Society and the Law Society of BC, 2009; BOBEK (Michal), *The Fortress of Judicial Independence and the Mental Transitions of the Central European Judiciaries*, *European Public Law*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2008, pp. 99-123; SHELTON (Dinah), *Legal Norms to Promote the Independence and Accountability of International Tribunals*, *Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2003, pp. 27-62; BROWN (Chester), *The Evolution and Application of Rules Concerning Independence of the "International Judiciary"*, *Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2003, pp. 63-96; MADHUKU (Lovemore), *Constitutional Protection of the Independence of the Judiciary: A Survey of the Position in South Africa*, *Journal of African Law*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2002, pp. 232-245; OFFICE OF DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE, *Guidance for Promoting Judicial Independence and Impartiality*, Washington, D.C., 2002; FOX (Hazel), *Commentary: The Advisory Opinion on the Difference Relating to Immunity from Legal Process of a Special Rapporteur of the Commission of Human Rights: Who Has the Last Word on Judicial Independence?*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1999, pp. 889-918; FOX (Hazel), *The Advisory Opinion on the Difference Relating to Immunity from Legal Process of a Special Rapporteur of the Commission of Human Rights: Who Has the Last Word on Judicial Independence?*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 1999, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 889-918; PRÉFONTAINE (Daniel C.) & LEE (Joanne), *The Rule of Law the Independence of the Judiciary*, paper prepared for World Conference on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Montreal, Dec. 7-9, 1998; DODSON (J. Michael) ET AL., *Human Rights and the Salvadoran Judiciary: The Competing Values of Independence and Accountability*, *International Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1997, pp. 50-65; LAGON (Susan Sullivan), *The Role of the Independent Judiciary*, San Francisco: Institute for Contemporary Studies, 1993; O'DONNELL (Daniel), *The Independence of Judges and Lawyers: U.N. Standard Setting and the Siracusa Draft Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary*, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting*, Vol. 76, 1982, pp. 326-332; BENT (James Appleton), *The Independent Judiciary: A Treatise on the Reform of the Judiciary by the Nonpartisan Selection of Judges*, U.S., 1924.

⁵⁷ See DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Démocratie*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Histoire du Mot «Démocratie» au Canada et au Québec*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Qu'est-ce que la Démocratie?*, *Horizons Philosophiques*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1994, pp. 84-95; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Democracy: A Philosophical, Legal, and Political Trap, Eye-Catching, and Catch-All*, *Academia.edu*, Dec. 15, 2011, p. 9.

⁵⁸ For example, see MISTRY (Divyesh), *Why Students Need to Hit the Ballot Box*, *Excalibur*, Vol. 49, No. 9, 2014, p. 5; FAUVELLE-AYMAR (Christine), *The Welfare State, Migration, and Voting Rights*, *Public Choice*, Vol. 159, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 105-120; SHORT (John Rennie), *The Supreme Court, the Voting Rights Act and Competing National Imaginaries of the USA*, *Territory, Politics, Governance*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2014, pp. 94-108; JOYCE (Patrick M.) ET AL., *Statistical Modeling Methodology for*

the Voting Rights Act Section 203 Language Assistance Determinations, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 109, No. 505, 2014, pp. 36-47; VANNESTE (Klaas), *Decoupling Economic Rights from Voting Rights: A Threat to the Traditional Corporate Governance Paradigm*, *European Business Organization Law Review*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2014, pp. 59-81; CASCIO (Elizabeth U.) & WASHINGTON (Ebony), *Valuing the Vote: The Redistribution of Voting Rights and State Funds following the Voting Rights Act of 1965*, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 129, No. 1, 2014, pp. 379-433; NEIER (Aryeh), *The United Nations Human Rights Council: A Critique and Early Assessment by Rosa Freedman*, book review, *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 212-214; FREEDMAN (Rosa), *The United Nations Human Rights Council: A Critique and Early Assessment*, New York: Routledge, 2012; HUG (Simon) & LUKÁCS (Richard), *Preferences or Blocs? Voting in the United Nations Human Rights Council*, *Review of International Organizations*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2014, pp. 83-106; OROMANER (Mark), *Bending Toward Justice: The Voting Rights Act and the Transformation of American Democracy* by Gary May, book review, *American Studies*, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2014, pp. 231-232; MAY (Gary), *Bending Toward Justice: The Voting Rights Act and the Transformation of American Democracy*, New York: Basic Books, 2013; PANG (Xiaopeng) ET AL., *Does Women's Knowledge of Voting Rights Affect their Voting Behaviour in Village Elections? Evidence from a Randomized Controlled Trial in China*, *China Quarterly*, Vol. 213, 2013, pp. 39-59; KNICKREHM (Kay M.) & BENT (Devin), *Voting Rights, Voter Turnout, and Realignment: The Impact of the 1965 Voting Rights Act*, *Journal of Black Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 3, 1988, pp. 283-296; STILL (Edward) & KARLAN (Pamela), *Cumulative Voting as a Remedy in Voting Rights Cases*, *National Civic Review*, Vol. 84, No. 4, 1995, pp. 337-346; ISSACHAROFF (Samuel), *Polarized Voting and the Political Process: The Transformation of Voting Rights Jurisprudence*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 90, No. 7, 1992, pp. 1833-1891; SHOCKLEY (Evelyn Elayne), *Voting Rights Act Section 2: Racially Polarized Voting and the Minority Community's Representative of Choice*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 89, No. 4, 1991, pp. 1038-1067; BALL (Howard), *Racial Vote Dilution: Impact of the Reagan DOJ and the Burger Court on the Voting Rights Act*, *Publius*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 1986, pp. 29-48.

⁵⁹ WARD (Colin), *The Case Against Voting*, Anarchist Library, 1987, p. 6.

⁶⁰ About this electoral duty, see KOSMIDIS (Spyros), *Heterogeneity and the Calculus of Turnout: Undecided Respondents and the Campaign Dynamics of Civic Duty*, *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2014, pp. 123-136; WEINSCHENK (Aaron), *Personality Traits and the Sense of Civic Duty*, *American Politics Research*, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2014, pp. 90-113; PANAGOPOULOS (Costas) & ABRAJANO (Marisa A.), *Life-Cycle Effects on Social Pressure to Vote*, *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2014, pp. 115-122; PASSINI (Stefano), *Adolescents' Commonsense Understanding of Rights and Duties: The Effect of the Individualization of Rights on a Rights Dilemma*, *Youth and Society*, Vol. 46, No. 4, 2014, pp. 460-477; MOGHADDAM (Fathali M.) ET AL., *Toward a Cultural Theory of Duties*, *Culture and Psychology*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2000, pp. 275-302.

⁶¹ For example, see D'AXA (Zo), *To the Voters*, Anarchist Library, 2012; D'AXA (Zo), *You Are Nothing But Suckers*, Anarchist Library, 1898; LIBCOM, *Direct Democracy: An Anarchist Alternative to Voting*, Aug. 4, 2011; STEVENS (Robert), *Abstention in Greek Elections Shows Anger at PASOK Austerity*, World Socialist Web Site, Nov. 9, 2010; DIMINO (Michael) ET AL., *Voting Rights and Election Law*, Newark: LexisNexis, 2010; RECLUS (Elisée), *Why Anarchists Don't Vote*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ALSTON (Wilton D.), *How Can Anyone Think Voting Matters?*, LewRockwell, Oct. 1, 2008; ALVAREZ (R. Michael) ET AL., *Whose Absentee Votes Are Returned and Counted: The Variety and Use of Absentee Ballots in California*, *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2008, pp. 673-683; PREVOST (Alicia Kolar) & SCHAFFNER (Brian F.), *Digital Divide or Just Another Absentee Ballot? Evaluating Internet Voting in the 2004 Michigan Democratic Primary*, *American Politics Research*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2008, pp. 510-529; DILORENZO (Thomas James), *Be Patriotic: Don't Vote*, LewRockwell, Oct. 9, 2008; RANCIÈRE (Jacques), *Hatred of Democracy*, trans. by Steve Corcoran, London: Verso, 2006; GUTIÉRREZ D. (José Antonio), *Anarchist-Communism and Elections*, Anarchist Library, 2004; CRIMETHINC. EX-WORKERS' COLLECTIVE, *Don't Just Vote, Get Active*, 2004; IMAI (Kosuke) & KING (Gary), *Did Illegal Overseas Absentee Ballots Decide the 2000 U.S. Presidential Election?*, *Perspectives on Politics*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2004, pp. 537-549; SOBRAN (Joe), *How To Vote For Liberty*, Voluntaryist, Oct. 26, 2004; CALLAHAN (Gene), *Rock the Non-Vote*, Part I, LewRockwell, Aug. 18, 2004; CONGER (Wally), *But Whom Will You Root For?*, LewRockwell, Sep. 15, 2004; CONGER (Wally), *The Anti-Electorate Manifesto*, LewRockwell, Sep. 26, 2002; CALLAHAN (Gene), *Rock the Non-Vote*, Part II, LewRockwell, Sep. 2, 2004; SHERRER (Hans), *Non-Voting as an Act of Secession*, Voluntaryist, No. 114, 2002; WATNER (Carl) & MCELROY (Wendy) (ed.), *Dissenting Electorate: Those Who Refuse to Vote and the Legitimacy of Their Opposition*, Jefferson: McFarland, 2001; WATNER (Carl), *Harry Browne – Have You Forgotten?*, op. cit.; WATNER (Carl), *Is Voting an Act of Violence?*, Voluntaryist, No. 103, Apr. 2000; WATNER (Carl), *Cultivate Your Own Garden: No Truck with Politics*, Voluntaryist, No. 40, Oct. 1989; MCELROY (Wendy), *Why I Would Not Vote Against Hitler*, Voluntaryist, No. 85, Apr. 1997; HUEBERT (Jacob H.), *Every Vote is Wasted*, LewRockwell, Oct. 6, 2000; DUANE (Michael), *To Vote or Not to Vote?*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1991, pp. 117-123; WARD (Colin), *The Case Against Voting*, op. cit.; BLACK (Bob), *Electing Not to Vote*, Anarchist Library, 1982, 1985; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Party Dialogue*, New Libertarian, Vol. 4, No. 8, 1980-1981; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Ethics of Voting*, III Parts, Voluntaryist; *The Submerged Constitutional Right to an Absentee Ballot*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 72, No. 1, 1973, pp. 157-171; ANONYMOUS, *Why I Refuse to Register (To Vote or Pay Taxes)*,

Voluntaryist, No. 100, Oct. 1999; *Election Law – Absentee Ballots – Mail or Delivery Provision Held Mandatory*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 42, No. 2, 1942, pp. 304-308; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit.; WOLFF (Robert Paul), *In Defense of Anarchism*, op. cit.; LIBERTAD (Albert), *The Cult of Carrion and Other Texts*, Anarchist Library, 1898-1925; MIRBEAU (Octave), *The Voters Strike*, Anarchist Library, 1902; SPOONER (Lysander), *No Treason*, op. it.; SPOONER (Lysander), *Against Woman Suffrage*, New Age, Feb. 24, 1877; *Anti-Voting*, Anarchist Library; KREPELKA (Jan), *Who Owns Countries? On the Fallacy of Consent to Authority*.

⁶² MALLOCK (William Hurrell), *The Limits of Pure Democracy*, London: Chapman and Hall, 1918, p. 392.

⁶³ LITWAK (Robert S.), op. cit., pp. 173, 183. See also GHEISSARI (Ali) & NASR (Vali), *Democracy in Iran: History and the Quest for Liberty*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006; RUBIN (Michael), *Khatami and the Myth of Reform in Iran*, Washington Institute, 2002.

⁶⁴ MULHAUSER (Whitney-Ann), *Iran's 2013 Presidential Election: The Measure of Free and Fair*, Human Rights Brief, Nov. 11, 2013; BAYEFSKY (Anne), *President Obama Doesn't Understand Rouhani*, op. cit. See also COTLER (Irwin), *Testing Hassan Rouhani's Commitment to Human rights*, Jerusalem Post, Nov. 10, 2013; COHEN (Marsha B.), *Disregarding Iran's Election: A Taxonomy*, LobeLog, July 9, 2013; DUBOWITZ (Mark), *Why You Shouldn't Get Too Excited About Rouhani*, Atlantic, June 17, 2013.

⁶⁵ HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2013*, New York, 2012, p. 537.

⁶⁶ ROTHE (Dawn L.), *State Criminality: The Crime of All Crimes*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2009, p. 141. See also AMUZEGAR (Jahangir), *The Islamic Republic of Iran*, op. cit., pp. 26-28.

⁶⁷ BOROUMAND (Ladan), *The Untold Story of the Fight for Human Rights*, Journal of Democracy, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2007, p. 67.

⁶⁸ About theodemocracy, see also STEPHENS (Randall J.) & BOWMAN (Matthew Burton), *Mormonism and American History: An Interview with Matthew Bowman*, Historically Speaking, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 11-12; AHMAD (Irfan), *Theorizing Islamism and Democracy: Jamaat-e-Islami in India*, Citizenship Studies, Vol. 16, No. 7, 2012, pp. 887-903; AHMAD (Irfan), *Democracy and Islam*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2011, pp. 459-470; MASON (Patrick Q.), *God and the People: Theodemocracy in Nineteenth-Century Mormonism*, Journal of Church and State, Vol. 53, No. 3, 2011, pp. 349-375; JOHN (Jeremiah), *The Site of Mormon Political Theology*, Perspectives on Political Science, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2011, pp. 87-96; PELED (Yoav), *The Viability of Ethnic Democracy: Jewish Citizens in Inter-War Poland and Palestinian Citizens in Israel*, Ethnic and Racial Studies, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2011, pp. 83-102; PELED (Yoav), *Ethnic Democracy and the Legal Construction of Citizenship: Arab Citizens of the Jewish State*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 86, No. 2, 1992, pp. 432-443; BEN-YEHUDA (Nachman), *Theocratic Democracy: The Social Construction of Religious and Secular Extremism*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2010; RAM (Uri), *Tensions in the "Jewish Democracy": The Constitutional Challenge of the Palestinian Citizens in Israel*, Constellations, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2009, pp. 523-536; FOX (Jonathan) & RYNHOLD (Jonathan), *A Jewish and Democratic State? Comparing Government Involvement in Religion in Israel with other Democracies*, Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2008, pp. 507-531; ELMAN (Miriam Fendius), *Does Democracy Tame the Radicals? Lessons from Israel's Jewish Religious Political Parties*, Asian Security, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2008, pp. 79-99; KHATAB (Sayed) & BOUMA (Gary D.), *Democracy in Islam*, London: Routledge, 2007; TEZCÜR (Güneş Murat), *Constitutionalism, Judiciary, and Democracy in Islamic Societies*, Polity, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2007, pp. 479-501; EL FADL (Khaled Abou), *Islamic and the Challenge of Democratic Commitment*, Oriente Moderno, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2007, pp. 247-300; AFSARUDDIN (Asma), *Mawdūdī's "Theo-Democracy": How Islamic Is It Really?*, Oriente Moderno, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2007, pp. 301-325; MOUSSALLI (Ahmad S.), *Islamic Democracy and Human Rights*, Oriente Moderno, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2007, pp. 437-455; FERJANI (Mohamed Cherif), *Islam Politique et Démocratie: Une Évolution Comparable à celle qui a Donné La « Démocratie Chrétienne » Est-Elle Possible?*, Oriente Moderno, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2007, pp. 383-405; HARPER (Steven C.), *"Dictated by Christ": Joseph Smith and the Politics of Revelation*, Journal of the Early Republic, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2006, pp. 275-304; CAMPANINI (Massimo), *Democracy in the Islamic Political Concept*, Oriente Moderno, Vol. 24, No. 2/3, 2005, pp. 343-352; LOWRANCE (Sherry), *Deconstructing Democracy: The Arab-Jewish Divide in the Jewish State*, Critique: Critical Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2004, pp. 175-193; TAMIMI (Azzam), *The Islamic Quest for Democracy, Pluralism, and Human Rights*, Journal Of Islamic Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2003, pp. 93-95; FOGIEL-BIJAOUI (Silvie), *Why Won't There Be Civil Marriage Any Time Soon in Israel? Or: Personal Law –The Silenced Issue of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict*, Nashim: A Journal of Jewish Women's Studies and Gender Issues, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2003, pp. 28-34; SADRI (Mahmoud) & SADRI (Ahmad) (ed.), *Reason, Freedom, and Democracy in Islam: Essential Writings of Abdolkarim Soroush*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2002; SMOOHA (Sammy), *The Model of Ethnic Democracy: Israel as a Jewish and Democratic State*, Nations and Nationalism, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2002, pp. 475-503; SA'DI (Ahmad H.), *The Peculiarities of Israel's Democracy: Some Theoretical and Practical Implications for Jewish-Arab Relations*, International Journal of Intercultural Relations, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2002, pp. 119-133; NETTLER (Ronald L.), *Islam, Politics and Democracy: Mohamed Talbi and Islamic*

Modernism, Political Quarterly, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2000, pp. 50-59; PAPPÉ (Ilan), *Israel at a Crossroads between Civic Democracy and Jewish Zealotocracy*, Journal of Palestine Studies, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2000, pp. 33-44; NASR (S. V. R.), *Democracy and Islamic Revivalism*, Political Science Quarterly, Vol. 110, No. 2, 1995, pp. 261-285; GAVISON (Ruth), *Jewish and Democratic? A Rejoinder to the "Ethnic Democracy" Debate*, Israel Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1999, pp. 44-72; BARZILAI (Gad), *A Jewish Democracy at War: Attitudes of Secular Jewish Political Parties in Israel toward the Question of War (1949-1988)*, Comparative Strategy, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1990, pp. 179-194; SAMUELSON (Norbert M.), *Can Democracy and Capitalism Be Jewish Values? Mordecai Kaplan's Political Philosophy*, Modern Judaism, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1983, pp. 189-215; NAJJAR (Fauzi M.), *Democracy in Islamic Political Philosophy*, Studia Islamica, No. 51, 1980, pp. 107-122; LEVY (Hyman), *Communism, Democracy, the Jewish People*, Jewish Quarterly, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1969, pp. 35-36; DUSHKIN (Alexander M.), *Democracy and Jewish Education*, Journal of Jewish Education, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1942, pp. 94-100.

⁶⁹ See GATI (Charles), *The Mask Is Off*, American Interest, Aug. 7, 2014; BARGHOUTI (Omar), *Dropping the Last Mask of Democracy*, Al Jazeera, Aug. 3, 2011; ROOS (Jerome), *They Can't Represent Us: Why The Mask of Democracy Is Falling*, Occupy, June 27, 2014; *In Brazil, the Mask of Democracy Is Falling*, ROAR Magazine, June 18, 2013; BARR (Judith), *When the Mask Falls Off: Understanding a Very Human and Very Spiritual Reality*, GoodTherapy, Feb. 21, 2012; AGRWAAL (Ashok), *The Mask of Democracy: State Repression and the 'Rule of Law'*, India Together, Apr.-May 2002; LA BOTZ (Dan), *Mask of Democracy: Labour Suppression in Mexico Today*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1992.

⁷⁰ For example, see CASEY (Gerard), *Libertarian Anarchy: Against the State*, London: Continuum, 2012; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Democracy*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., pp. 8, 22-23, 29, 54 (notes 190-191); LINDSEY (Jason Royce), *Functional Representation and Its Anarchist Origins*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2010, pp. 85-100; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2009; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt against Nature, and Other Essays*, intr. by David Gordon, 2nd ed., Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2000; MACSIMOIN (Alan), *Anarchism, Elections and All That*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 12, 2007, pp. 9-11; CALDWELL (John Taylor) & SHIPWAY (Mark), *An Introduction to Guy Alfred Aldred and Anti-Parliamentary Communist Federation*, London: Hobnail Press, 2006; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *This Is What Democracy Looks Like*, Anarchist Library, 2006; JARACH (Lawrence), *Democracy and Conspiracy: Overlaps, Parallels, and Standard Operating Procedures*, Anarchist Library, 2005-2006; ROBINSON (Andy), *Democracy vs Desire: Beyond the Politics of Measure*, Anarchist Library, 2005-2006; MARLINSPIKE (Moxie) & HART (Windy), *An Anarchist Critique of Democracy*, Anarchist Library, 2005; COHN (Jesse Sheppard), *Anarchism and the Crisis of Representation*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *What Is Democracy?*, op. cit.; TRASK (H. Arthur Scott), *William Graham Sumner: Against Democracy, Plutocracy, and Imperialism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2004, pp. 1-27; DOYLE (Kevin), *Parliament or Democracy?*, Workers Solidarity Movement, Feb. 2001; MARTIN (Brian), *Democracy without Elections*, Social Anarchism, No. 21, 1995-1996, pp. 18-51; *On Voting*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1991; RUNKLE (Gerald), *Anarchism, Old and New*, New York: Delacorte Press, 1972, pp. 77-79; JONES (Bob), *Anti-Parliamentarism and Communism in Britain 1917-21*, Sheffield: Pirate Press, 1991; CAMATTE (Jacques), *The Democratic Mystification*, Anarchist Library, 1969; ALDRED (Guy Alfred), *Pioneers of Anti-Parliamentarism*, Glasgow: Strickland Press, 1940; MALATESTA (Errico), *Democracy and Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1924; ALDRED (Guy Alfred), *Socialism and Parliament*, Anarchist Library, 1923; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *On Representative Government and Universal Suffrage*, Anarchist Library, 1870.

⁷¹ ELLUL (Jacques), *The Political Illusion*, trans. by Konrad Kellen, New York: Alfred Abraham Knopf, 1967, p. 230.

⁷² ELLUL (Jacques), *The Political Illusion*, op. cit., pp. 137-138, 258.

⁷³ GEUSS (Raymond), *History and Illusion in Politics*, op. cit., p. 129.

⁷⁴ TRAUTMAN (Frederic), *The Voice of Terror: A Biography of Johann Most*, Westport: Green Press, 1980, pp. 187-188.

⁷⁵ MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 42-43; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, op. cit.; ADÁN (José-Peréz), *Reformist Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 153 (note 93), 155; BERMAN (Harold Joseph), *Faith and Order: The Reconciliation of Law and Religion*, Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1993.

⁷⁶ For some examples, see STROUD (Scott R.), *Selling Democracy and the Rhetorical Habits of Synthetic Conflict: John Dewey as Pragmatic Rhetor in China*, Rhetoric and Public Affairs, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2013, pp. 97-132; PORCALLA (Delon) & BALABO (Dino), *AFP Told: Stop Vote-Buying*, Philippine Star, May 13, 2013; GOLDSMITH (Jack) & WU (Tim), *Who Controls the Internet?: Illusions of a Borderless World*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2006, pp. 77-78; MURRA (Rebecca), *Voteauction.net: Protected Political Speech or Treason?*, Journal of High Technology Law, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2005, pp. 357-380; ANDERSON (Mark K.), *Voteauction Booth is Closed*, Wired News, Oct. 21, 2000; DERFNER (Jeremy), *Buy This Vote!*, Slate, Aug. 23, 2000; SEGAN (Sascha), *Internet Sites Try to Sell Votes*, ABC News, Aug. 20, 2000; JOSEPHSON (Matthew), *The Robber Barons: The Great American Capitalist, 1861-1901*, New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1934.

- ⁷⁷ DEBORD (Guy), *The Society of the Spectacle*, trans. by Donald Nicholson-Smith, New York: Zone Books, 1994, par. 60.
- ⁷⁸ For example, see D'AMATO (Paul), *Anarchism: How Not to Make a Revolution*, International Socialist Review, No. 3, 1997, pp. 47-53; CAREY (John), *The Intellectuals and the Masses*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit., p. 6.
- ⁷⁹ CAREY (John), op. cit., pp. 198-208; HITLER (Adolf), *Mein Kampf*, London: Hurst and Blackett, 1939, pp. 61, 72, 298, 343, 487-488.
- ⁸⁰ HITLER (Adolf), *Mein Kampf*, op. cit., p. 82.
- ⁸¹ See NOMAD (Max), *Rebels and Renegades*, op. cit., pp. 278 ff.; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 221; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., p. 195; MCKAY (Iain), *The Irresistible Correctness of Anarchism*, Anarchist Writers, July 24, 2008; BEHAN (Tom), *The Resistible Rise of Benito Mussolini*, London: Bookmarks, 2003; CANNISTRARO (Philip V.), *Mussolini, Sacco-Vanzetti, and the Anarchists: The Transatlantic Context*, Journal of Modern History, Vol. 68, No. 1, 1996, pp. 31-62; CARROLL (John) (ed.), *Max Stirner: The Ego and His Own*, New York: Harper and Row, 1971, pp. 13, 15-16; READ (Herbert), *Pragmatic Anarchism*, in KATOPE (Christopher) & ZOLBROD (Paul), *The Rhetoric of Revolution*, New York: Macmillan, 1970, p. 184 (note 5).
- ⁸² Quoted in BUTTERWORTH (Alex), *The World That Never Was*, op. cit., p. 411.
- ⁸³ SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 31.
- ⁸⁴ GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Occupy and Anarchism's Gift of Democracy*, Guardian, Nov. 15, 2011. See also CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *America Is Not a Democracy*, YouTube, Feb. 12, 2011.
- ⁸⁵ SIDDIQUI (Haroony), *Canada Should Make Voting Mandatory*, Toronto Star, June 11, 2014.
- ⁸⁶ SIDDIQUI (Haroony), *Canada Should Make Voting Mandatory*, op. cit. See also MASTRACCI (Davide), *Youth Will Not Vote in the Ontario Election and Here's Why*, Globe and Mail, June 11, 2014; LEVITZ (Stephanie), *The Canadian Press Voter Turnout Canada: Having Kids, Being New Canadian Keeps People Away From Polls*, StatsCan, Huffington Post, Apr. 25, 2012; ANDERSON (Cameron D.) & GOODYEAR-GRANT (Elizabeth), *Youth Turnout: Adolescents' Attitudes in Ontario*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 41, No. 3, 2008, pp. 697-718; RUBENSON (Daniel) ET AL., *Does Low Turnout Matter? Evidence from the 2000 Canadian Federal Election*, Electoral Studies, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2007, pp. 442-450; JOHNSTON (Richard) ET AL., *Turnout and the Party System in Canada, 1988-2004*, Electoral Studies, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2007, pp. 735-745; MARTINEZ (Michael D.) & GILL (Jeff), *Does Turnout Decline Matter? Electoral Turnout and Partisan Choice in the 1997 Canadian Federal Election*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2006, pp. 343-362; LOEWEN (Peter John) & BLAIS (André), *Did Bill C-24 Affect Voter Turnout? Evidence from the 2000 and 2004 Elections*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2006, pp. 935-943; NAKHAIE (M. Reza), *Electoral Participation in Municipal, Provincial and Federal Elections in Canada*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2006, pp. 363-390; ADSETT (Margaret), *Change in Political Era and Demographic Weight as Explanations of Youth 'Disenfranchisement' in Federal Elections in Canada, 1965-2000*, Journal of Youth Studies, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2003, pp. 247-264; ENDERSBY (James W.) ET AL., *Closeness Counts in Canada: Voter Participation in the 1993 and 1997 Federal Elections*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 64, No. 2, 2002, pp. 610-631; STUCLAR (Donley T.), *Canadian Exceptionalism: Explaining Differences over Time in Provincial and Federal Voter Turnout*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2001, pp. 299-319; BRIANS (Craig), *Residential Mobility, Voter Registration, and Electoral Participation in Canada*, Political Research Quarterly, Vol. 50, No. 1, 1997, pp. 215-227.
- ⁸⁷ RUBENSON (Daniel) ET AL., *Does Low Turnout Matter?*, op. cit., p. 442; ADSETT (Margaret), *Change in Political Era and Demographic Weight as Explanations of Youth 'Disenfranchisement' in Federal Elections in Canada, 1965-2000*, op. cit., p. 247; BLAIS (André) ET AL., *Where Does Turnout Decline Come From?*, European Journal of Political Research, Vol. 43, No. 2, 2004, pp. 221-236; DALTON (Russell J.) & WATTENBERG (Martin P.), *Parties Without Partisans: Political Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002; GRAY (Mark) & CAUL (Miki), *Declining Voter Turnout in Advanced Industrial Democracies, 1950 to 1997: The Effects of Declining Group Mobilisation*, Comparative Political Studies, Vol. 33, No. 9, 2000, pp. 1091-1122.
- ⁸⁸ MCELROY (Wendy), *The Culture of Individualist Anarchism in Late Nineteenth-Century America*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, p. 300; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 92. Concerning some controversial debates on voting in anarchism, see also KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *Reply to Rothbard*, Anthony Flood, Oct. 4, 2013; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Konkin on Libertarian Strategy*, Mises Daily, Apr. 6, 2009.
- ⁸⁹ See JOHN (Sarah) & DEBATS (Donald A.), *Australia's Adoption of Compulsory Voting: Revising the Narrative – Not Trailblazing, Uncontested or Democratic*, Australian Journal of Politics and History, Vol. 60, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-27; BHATTACHARYA (Sourav) ET AL., *Compulsory versus Voluntary Voting: An Experimental Study*, Games and Economic

Behavior, Vol. 84, No. 5, 2014, pp. 111-131; JAITMAN (Laura), *The Causal Effect of Compulsory Voting Laws on Turnout: Does Skill Matter?*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 92, 2013, pp. 79-93; CZESNIK (Mikolaj), *Is Compulsory Voting a Remedy? Evidence from the 2001 Polish Parliamentary Elections*, East European Politics, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2013, pp. 499-520; SINGH (Shane) & THORNTON (Judd), *Compulsory Voting and the Dynamics of Partisan Identification*, European Journal of Political Research, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2013, pp. 188-211; SINGH (Shane), *How Compelling is Compulsory Voting? A Multilevel Analysis of Turnout*, Political Behavior, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2011, pp. 95-111; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *L'Abstention: Nouveau Péch  Capital*, Libert , Vol. 50, No. 4, 2009, pp. 104-115; PANAGOPOULOS (Costas), *The Calculus of Voting in Compulsory Voting Systems*, Political Behavior, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2008, pp. 455-467; MARTIN (Brian), *Compulsory Voting: A Useful Target for Anti-State Action?*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1991, pp. 130-139.

⁹⁰ See DUPUIS-D  RI (Francis), *Les  lections de Conseils d' l ves: M thode d'Endoctrinement au Lib ralisme Politique*, Revue des Sciences de l' ducation, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2006, pp. 691-709.

⁹¹ See HAMILTON (Michael), *5 Common Misconceptions about Voting: Your Vote Doesn't Count*, PolicyMic, 2012; HAMILTON (Grant), *'If You Don't Vote, You Can't Complain,' Is a Silly Sentiment*, Brandon Sun, Oct. 22, 2010.

⁹² About direct democracy and its criticisms, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 9, 36, 46; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Democracy*, op. cit., pp. 8, 12-13; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., pp. 23, 29; LIBCOM, *Direct Democracy*, op. cit.; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Occupy and Anarchism's Gift of Democrac*, op. cit.; DAUV  (Gilles), *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Autonomy*, Anarchist Library, 2008; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *This Is What Democracy Looks Like*, op. cit.; MARLINSPIKE (Moxie) & HART (Windy), *An Anarchist Critique of Democracy*, op. cit., p. 10; MONSIEUR DUPONT, *Democracy*, Anarchist Library, 2005-2006; HALBERSTADT (Mitchell), *Beyond Exclusion: Democracy and an Anarchist Ethic*, Anarchist Library, 2005-2006; SHIPKA (Thomas A.), *A Critique of Anarchism*, Studies in Soviet Thought, Vol. 27, No. 3, 1984, pp. 248, 256.

⁹³ KINNA (Ruth), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 144-145.

⁹⁴ SUROWIECKI (James), *The Wisdom of Crowds: Why the Many Are Smarter Than the Few and How Collective Wisdom Shapes Business, Economies, Societies and Nations*, New York: Doubleday: 2004, p. XIII.

⁹⁵ CADOGAN (Peter), *Freedom to Vote? Freedom from Voting?*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1991, p. 104.

⁹⁶ MUNSON (Chuck), *Debunking Nonsense in the Anarchist Movement*, Anarchist Library, 2010, pp. 4-5. See also SEEDS FOR CHANGE, *Consensus Decision Making*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ANONYMOUS, *Introduction to Consensus Descision-Making*, Anarchist Library, 2009; CRASS (Chris), *Towards a Non-Violent Society: A Position Paper on Anarchism, Social Change and Food Not Bombs*, Anarchist Library, 1995; BUTLER (C. T. Lawrence) & ROTHSTEIN (Amy), *On Conflict and Consensus: A Handbook on Formal Consensus Decisionmaking*, Takoma Park: Food Not Bombs, 2007.

⁹⁷ For example, see NOONAN (Jeff), *Changes of State: Nature and the Limits of the City in Early Modern Natural Law*, European Legacy, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2014, pp. 271-273; BRETT (Annabel S.), *Changes of State: Nature and the Limits of the City in Early Modern Natural Law*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011; EDMUNDSON (William A.), *Politics in a State of Nature*, Ratio Juris, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 149-186; DRISCOLL (Catherine), *Evolution and the Loss of Hierarchies: Dubreuil's "Human Evolution and the Origin of Hierarchies: The State of Nature"*, Biology and Philosophy, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2012, pp. 125-135; DUBREUIL (Beno t), *Human Evolution and the Origins of Hierarchies: The State of Nature*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2010; MOEHLER (Michael), *Why Hobbes' State of Nature is Best Modeled by an Assurance Game*, Utilitas, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2009, pp. 297-326; BUSCH (Hans-Christoph Schmidt am), *Cameralism as 'Political Metaphysics': Human Nature, the State, and Natural Law in the Thought of Johann Heinrich Gottlob von Justi*, European Journal of the History of Economic Thought, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2009, pp. 409-430; PROZOROV (Sergei), *The Appropriation of Abandonment: Giorgio Agamben on the State of Nature and the Political*, Continental Philosophy Review, Vol. 42, No. 3, 2009, pp. 327-353; BERGER (Mark T.), *Review Article: States of Nature and the Nature of States: The Fate of Nations, the Collapse of States and the Future of the World*, Third World Quarterly, Vol. 28, No. 6, 2007, pp. 1203-1214; THORNTON (Helen), *State of Nature or Eden?: Thomas Hobbes and His Contemporaries on the Natural Condition of Human Beings*, Rochester: University of Rochester Press, 2005; ALEXANDER (J. McKenzie), *Group Dynamics in the State of Nature*, Erkenntnis, Vol. 55, No. 2, 2001, pp. 169-182; ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *The Nature of the State and the State of Nature: a Comment on Grady and McGuire's Paper*, Journal of Bioeconomics, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1999, pp. 241-261; LANE (Melissa), *States of Nature, Epistemic and Political*, Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Vol. 99, 1999, pp. 211-224; SCOTT (John T.), *The Theodicy of the Second Discourse*, op. cit.; MYERS (Peter C.), *Between Divine and Human Sovereignty: The State of Nature and the Basis of Locke's Political Thought*, Polity, Vol. 27, No. 4, 1995, pp. 629-649; RAU (Zbigniew), *The State of Enslavement: the East European Substitute for the State of Nature*, Political Studies, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1991, pp. 253-269; GRAFSTEIN (Robert), *The Significance of Modern State of Nature Theory*, Polity, Vol. 19, No. 4, 1987, pp. 529-550; DEN UYL (Douglas J.), *Passion, State, and Progress: Spinoza and Mandeville on the Nature of Human Association*, Journal of the History of Philosophy, Vol. 25, No. 3, 1987, pp. 369-395; GOLDWIN (Robert A.), *Locke's State of Nature in*

Political Society, Western Political Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 1, 1976, pp. 126-135; ASHCRAFT (Richard), *Locke's State of Nature: Historical Fact or Moral Fiction?*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 62, No. 3, 1968, pp. 898-915; DOYLE (Phyllis), *The Contemporary Background of Hobbes' "State of Nature"*, *Economica*, No. 21, 1927, pp. 336-355.

⁹⁸ STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & MILES (Caleb J.), *Repelling States*, op. cit., p. 26.

⁹⁹ Ibid., p. 26. See also BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Anthropology and Anarchism*, op. cit.; BARCLAY (Harold B.), *People without Government*, op. cit.; SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 47; CLASTRES (Pierre), *La Société contre l'État: Recherches d'Anthropologie Politique*, Paris: Minuit, 1974; KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Mutual Aid: A Factor of Evolution*, Anarchist Library, 1902.

¹⁰⁰ UNHCR, *The World's Stateless People: Questions and Answers*, Sep. 2007, p. 6.

¹⁰¹ UNHCR, *The World's Stateless People*, op. cit., p. 4.

¹⁰² UNHCR, *Nationality and Statelessness*, op. cit., p. 3; UNHCR, *Media Backgrounder: Millions Are Stateless, Living in Legal Limbo*; LIGUORI (Chiara), *Dominican Republic: Stateless People Are No-Rights People*, WIRE, Feb. 5, 2014.

¹⁰³ See MCBRIDE (Kelly) & KINGSTON (Lindsey N.), *Legal Invisibility and the Revolution: Statelessness in Egypt*, Human Rights Review, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2014, pp. 159-175; HANLEY (Will), *Statelessness: An Invisible Theme in the History of International Law*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2014, pp. 321-327.

¹⁰⁴ UNHCR, *The World's Stateless People*, op. cit., p. 6.

¹⁰⁵ About Statelessness and its issues, see BALATON-CHRIMES (Samantha), *Statelessness, Identity Cards and Citizenship as Status in the Case of the Nubians of Kenya*, Citizenship Studies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2014, pp. 15-28; BRADLEY (Megan), *Rethinking Refugeehood: Statelessness, Repatriation, and Refugee Agency*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2014, pp. 101-123; KINGSTON (Lindsey N.), "A Forgotten Human Rights Crisis": *Statelessness and Issue (Non)Emergence*, Human Rights Review, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 73-87; SCHLEE (Günther), *Customary Law and the Joys of Statelessness: Idealised Traditions versus Somali Realities*, Journal of Eastern African Studies, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2013, pp. 258-271; CHATTY (Dawn) ET AL., *Statelessness and Tribal Identity on Lebanon's Eastern Borders*, Mediterranean Politics, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2013, pp. 411-426; BARZILAI (Maya), *A Poetics of Statelessness: Avraham Ben Yitzhak after World War I*, Naharaim, Vol. 7, No. 1-2, 2013, pp. 111-130; *Statelessness Isn't a New Problem*, Ottawa Citizen, Apr. 29, 2013; UNHCR, *The World's Stateless People*, op. cit.; STAPLES (Kelly), *Statelessness and the Politics of Misrecognition*, Res Publica, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, pp. 93-106; RAWLINGS (Gregory), *Statelessness, Human Rights and Decolonisation*, Journal of Pacific History, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2012, pp. 45-68; BROUWER (Andrew), *Statelessness in the Canadian Context: An Updated Discussion Paper*, UNHCR, 2012; UNHCR, *Stateless People*, 2008; UNHCR, *Nationality and Statelessness: A Handbook for Parliamentarians*, Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2005; MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Torture in the Age of Fear*, op. cit., pp. 248-250; MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Canada and the Protection of Stateless People*, Refugee Update, No. 72, 2011, pp. 6-7; BALATON-CHRIMES (Samantha), *Statelessness in a World of Nation-States*, Monash Asia Institute, 2012; BLITZ (Brad K.) & LYNCH (Maureen) (ed.), *Statelessness and Citizenship: A Comparative Study on the Benefits of Nationality*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2011; Forced Migration Review, No. 32, 2009; SOMERS (Margaret R.), *Genealogies of Citizenship: Markets, Statelessness, and the Right to Have Rights*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008; *Statelessness: Prevention and Reduction of Statelessness and Protection of Stateless Persons*, Refugee Survey Quarterly, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2007, pp. 127-131; MINAHAN (James), *Encyclopedia of the Stateless Nations: Ethnic and National Groups around the World*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 2002; WEIS (Paul), *Nationality and Statelessness in International Law*, foreword by Hersch Lauterpacht, 2nd ed., Alphen aan den Rijn: Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1979; SECKLER-HUDSON (Catheryn) *Statelessness: With Special Reference to the United States*, intr. by James Brown Scott, New York: Kraus Reprint, 1971; *Stateless*, Canadian Council for Refugees; *Stateless Persons*, Icelandic Human Rights Centre.

¹⁰⁶ See also ARENDT (Hannah), *Essays in Understanding 1930-1954: Formation, Exile, and Totalitarianism*, ed. and intr. by Jerome Kohn, New York: Schocken Books, 2005; ARENDT (Hannah), *The Origins of Totalitarianism*, New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1973; D'ENTREVES (Maurizio Passerin), *Hannah Arendt*, Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2006; BENHABIB (Seyla), *The Right to Have Rights in Contemporary Europe*, Georgetown University, Feb. 2005; BENHABIB (Seyla), *The Reluctant Modernism of Hannah Arendt*, 2nd ed., Lanham and Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003; HANSEN (Phillip), *Hannah Arendt: Politics, History and Citizenship*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 1993.

¹⁰⁷ BENHABIB (Seyla), *The Right to Have Rights in Contemporary Europe*, op. cit., p. 2. See also BIRMINGHAM (Peg), *Hannah Arendt and Human Rights: The Predicament of Common Responsibility*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2006, pp. 44-46.

¹⁰⁸ See MOLAVI (Shourideh C.), *Stateless Citizenship: The Palestinian-Arab Citizens of Israel*, Leiden: Brill, 2013; COLE (Juan), *Stateless: The Core of the Palestinian Crisis*, lecture at the Jerusalem Fund, May 2, 2013; JEFFERIS (Danielle C.),

Institutionalizing Statelessness: The Revocation of Residency Rights of Palestinians in East Jerusalem, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2012, pp. 202-230; Syria's Palestinians: Stateless and Hapless as Ever, Economist, Nov. 17, 2012; AKRAM (Susan M.) ET AL. (ed.), *International Law and the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: A Rights-Based Approach to Middle East Peace*, London: Routledge, 2011; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Stateless Again: Palestinian-Origin Jordanians Deprived of their Nationality*, New York, 2010; QAFISHEH (Mutaz M.), *The International Law Foundations of Palestinian Nationality: A Legal Examination of Nationality in Palestine under Britain's Rule*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2008; SHIBLAK (Abbas), *Stateless Palestinians*, Forced Migration Review, No. 26, 2006, pp. 8-9; TAKKENBERG (Lex), *The Status of Palestinian Refugees in International Law*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998.

¹⁰⁹ About the anarchists' ambiguous or controversial ideas on Israel and Palestine, see ADAMS (Jason M.), *Non-Western Anarchisms: Rethinking the Global Context*, Anarchist Library, 2014; IZRINE (Jean-Marc), *Les Libertaires du Yiddishland*, Alternative Libertaire, 2013; BUTLER (Judith), *Palestine, State Politics and the Anarchist Impasse*, in BLUMENFELD (Jacob) ET AL. (ed.), *The Anarchist Turn*, London: Pluto Press, 2013, pp. 203-223; BUTLER (Judith), *Anarchism and Occupation in Palestine*, New Left Project, Apr. 11, 2013; GORDON (Uri) & GRIETZER (Ohal) (ed.), *Anarchists Against the Wall: Direct Action and Solidarity with the Palestinian Popular Struggle*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2013; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!*, op. cit., pp. 139-162; GORDON (Uri), *Against the Wall: Anarchist Mobilization in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict*, Peace and Change, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2010, pp. 412-433; GORDON (Uri), *Can Anarchists Support a Palestinian State?*, YouTube, Oct. 16, 2009; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchists Against the Wall*, YouTube, Sep. 27, 2009; GORDON (Uri), *Israeli Anarchism: Statist Dilemmas and the Dynamics of Joint Struggle*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2007, pp. 7-30; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *L'Anarchisme entre Nationalisme et Cosmopolitisme: L'Expérience des Juifs Israéliens du Groupe Anarchists Against the Wall*, Sociologie et Sociétés, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2012, pp. 241-260; STEPHENS (Joshua), *Palestinian Anarchists in Conversation: Recalibrating Anarchism in a Colonized Country*, Anarchist News, July 21, 2013; PRICHARD (Alex), *Deepening Anarchism: International Relations and the Anarchist Ideal*, Anarchist studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2010, pp. 33-35; SOUTHGATE (Troy), *N-AM Manifesto*, National-Anarchist Movement, Sep. 18, 2010, Part 1: Anti-Zionism; BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Paradoxes Anarchistes sur la «Question Juive»*, A Contretemps, Sep. 21, 2010; BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Anarchistes, le Sionisme et la Naissance de l'État d'Israël (The Anarchists, Zionism, and the Birth of the State of Israel)*, trans. by Jesse Sheppard Cohn, Social Anarchism, No. 35, 2003-2004, pp. 15-31; BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Paradoxes des Anarchistes Face au Sionisme et à la Naissance de l'État d'Israël*, Archives Juives, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2003, pp. 100-108; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Palestine, Mon Amour*, Anarchist Library, 2010; PRICE (Wayne), *The Palestinian Struggle and the Anarchist Dilemma*, Anarchist Library, 2009; PRICE (Wayne), *Lessons of the Israeli-Lebanese War*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The A Word*, Anarchist Library, 2008; GONCHAROK (Moshe), *The Yiddish Anarchist Press in Israel*, Infoshop News, July 13, 2008; AMEDEO (Bertolo) (dir.), *Juifs et Anarchistes: Histoire d'une Rencontre*, Paris: L'Éclat, 2008; JAGER (Elliot), *Power and Politics: Anarchy Has Its Place*, Jerusalem Post, May 23, 2007; BARSKY (Robert Franklin), *Anarchism, the Chomsky Effect and the Descent from the Ivory Tower*, Critical Studies in Media Communication, Vol. 23, No. 5, 2006, pp. 446-452; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Advocacy and Realism: A Reply to Noah Cohen*, Z Net, Aug. 26, 2004; GRAUR (Mina), *Anarchisme et Sionisme: Le Débat sur le Nationalisme Juif*, Mondialisme, Mai 1, 2004; ANONYMOUS, *Up Against The Wall*, Anarchist Library, 2003; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *War Guilt in the Middle East*, Left and Right, 1967. TEMPLER (Bill), *From Mutual Struggle to Mutual Aid: Moving Beyond the Statist Impasse in Israel/Palestine*, Borderlands E-journal, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2003; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Israel: 50 Years of Conquest*, Anarchist Library, 1999; *Anarchists Against The Wall*.

¹¹⁰ PRICE (Wayne), *The Palestinian Struggle and the Anarchist Dilemma*, op. cit., p. 5.

¹¹¹ GOLDMAN (Emma), *On Zionism*, Anarchist Library, 1938, p. 3. See also HALBROOK (Stephen P.), *Left Hegelianism, Arab Nationalism, and Labor Zionism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1982, pp. 181-199.

¹¹² ROSENGARTEN (Lillian), *Palestinians*, op. cit.

¹¹³ HEROD (James), *Getting Free: Creating an Association of Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods*, Boston: Lucy Parsons Center, 2007, p. 105.

¹¹⁴ MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Torture in the Age of Fear*, op. cit., p. 248; MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Canada and the Protection of Stateless People*, op. cit., p. 6.

¹¹⁵ For example, see BRYSK (Alison) & MEHTA (Aashish), *Do Rights at Home Boost Rights Abroad? Sexual Equality and Humanitarian Foreign Policy*, Journal of Peace Research, Vol. 51, No. 1, 2014, pp. 97-110; KUWALI (Dan), *Battle for Sex?: Protecting Sexual(ity) Rights in Africa*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2014, pp. 22-60; MOS (Martijn), *Of Gay Rights and Christmas Ornaments: The Political History of Sexual Orientation Non-Discrimination in the Treaty of Amsterdam*, Journal of Common Market Studies, Vol. 52, No. 3, 2014, pp. 632-649; HUNT (Stephen), *Christian Lobbyist Groups and the Negotiation of*

Sexual Rights in the UK, Journal of Contemporary Religion, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2014, pp. 121-136; LOW (Wah-Yun) & WONG (Yut-Lin), *Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, HIV/AIDS, and Public Health*, Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2014, pp. 116-117; STEIN (Edward), *Sexual Orientations, Rights, and the Body: Immutability, Essentialism, and Nativism*, Social Research, Vol. 78, No. 2, 2014, pp. 633-658; COX (Noel), *Human Rights, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in the Commonwealth: Struggles for Decriminalisation and Change*, Round Table, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2014, pp. 128-130; LENNOX (Corinne) & WAITES (Matthew) (ed.), *Human Rights, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in the Commonwealth: Struggles for Decriminalisation and Change*, London: Human Rights Consortium, Institute of Commonwealth Studies, 2013; ZEBRACKI (Martin), *Right to Space: Moving Towards Sexual Citizenship Beyond the Nation State*, Antipode, Vol. 45, No. 4, 2013, pp. 785-788; DE LA DEHESA (Rafael), *Queering the Public Sphere in Mexico and Brazil: Sexual Rights Movements in Emerging Democracies*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2010; LIND (Amy) (ed.), *Development, Sexual Rights and Global Governance*, London: Routledge, 2010; ABRAMSON (Paul R.) ET AL., *Sexual Rights in America: The Ninth Amendment and the Pursuit of Happiness*, New York: New York University Press, 2003; *Right to Have Sex Is Fundamental, Adultery Not a Crime*, Indian Express, June 30, 2011; BEGER (Nico J.), *Tensions in the Struggle for Sexual Minority Rights in Europe: Que(e)rying Political Practices*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2004; HAAG (Pamela), *Consent: Sexual Rights and the Transformation of American Liberalism*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1999; MCCORMICK (Naomi B.), *Sexual Salvation: Affirming Women's Sexual Rights and Pleasures*, forewords by Elizabeth Rice Allgeier and Albert Ellis, Westport: Praeger, 1994; *Sexual Rights*, Palo Alto Medical Foundation.

¹¹⁶ About the concept of Stateless nation, see FRIEND (Julius W.), *Stateless Nations: Western European Regional, Nationalisms and the Old Nations*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012; XIFRA (Jordi) & MCKIE (David), *From Realpolitik to Noopolitik: The Public Relations of (Stateless) Nations in an Information Age*, Public Relations Review, Vol. 38, No. 5, 2012, pp. 819-824; THOMSEN (Robert), *Nationalism in Stateless Nations: Images of Self and Other in Scotland and Newfoundland*, Edinburgh: John Donald, 2010; AZOPARDI (Keith), *Sovereignty and the Stateless Nation: Gibraltar in the Modern Legal Context*, Oxford: Hart, 2009; MACCORMICK (Neil), *The European Constitutional Convention and the Stateless Nations*, International Relations, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 331-344; NAGEL (Klaus-Jürgen), *Transcending the National/Asserting the National: How Stateless Nations like Scotland, Wales and Catalonia React to European Integration*, Australian Journal of Politics and History, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2004, pp. 57-74; MINAHAN (James), *Encyclopedia of the Stateless Nations: Ethnic and National Groups around the World*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 2002; KEATING (Michael), *Plurinational Democracy: Stateless Nations in a Post-Sovereignty Era*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001; KEATING (Michael), *Stateless Nation-Building: Quebec, Catalonia and Scotland in the Changing State System*, Nations and Nationalism, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1997, pp. 689-717; ROBERTS (Peter), *Strategies for the Stateless Nation: Sustainable Policies for the Regions in Europe*, Regional Studies, Vol. 31, No. 9, 1997, pp. 875-882; WOLFEREN (Karel van), *The Enigma of Japanese Power: People and Politics in a Stateless Nation*, New York: Vintage, 1990; VEREMIS (Thanos), *From the National State to the Stateless Nation 1821-1910*, European History Quarterly, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1989, pp. 135-148.

¹¹⁷ See UNHCR, *Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons*, Feb. 2011; UN, *Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness*, New York, Aug. 30, 1961; UN Conventions on Statelessness, UNHCR; UN, *United Nations General Assembly Resolutions of Particular Relevance to Statelessness and Nationality*, Mar. 25, 2013; CE, *Convention on the Avoidance of Statelessness in relation to State Succession*, Strasbourg, May 19, 2006; SCHÄRER (Roland), *The Council of Europe and the Reduction of Statelessness*, Refugee Survey Quarterly, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2006, pp. 33-39.

¹¹⁸ For example, see BLITZ (Brad K.) & LYNCH (Maureen) (ed.), *Statelessness and Citizenship*, op. cit.; LARKIN (Philip M.), *The New Puritanism: The Resurgence of Contractarian Citizenship in Common Law Welfare States*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2014, pp. 227-256; CROOK (Max), *The Last Bastion of the Social Democratic Golden Age: The Welfare State without its Class Basis*, Political Studies Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 239-247; MARSTON (Greg) & SHEVELLAR (Lynda), *In the Shadow of the Welfare State: The Role of Payday Lending in Poverty Survival in Australia*, Journal of Social Policy, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2014, pp. 155-172; KO (Hyejin), *Empirical Analysis of Fiscal Soundness and Public Social Expenditure: A Lesson for the Future Direction of the Korean Welfare State*, Asian Social Work and Policy Review, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2014, pp. 16-33; RINGSMOSE (Charlotte) ET AL., *Do Welfare States Raise Welfare(d) Kids? Day-Care Institutions and Inequality in the Danish Welfare State*, Early Child Development and Care, Vol. 184, No. 2, 2014, pp. 177-193; PRINZEN (Katrin), *Intergenerational Ambivalence: New Perspectives on Intergenerational Relationships in the German Welfare State*, Ageing and Society, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2014, pp. 428-451; RAPHAEL (Dennis), *Challenges to Promoting Health in the Modern Welfare State: The Case of the Nordic Nations*, Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2014, pp. 7-17; LEIS-PETERS (Annette), *Hidden by Civil Society and Religion? Diaconal Institutions as Welfare Providers in the Growing Swedish Welfare State*, Journal of Church and State, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2014, pp. 105-127; HOLMQVIST (Emma) & TURNER (Lena), *Swedish Welfare State and Housing Markets: Under Economic and Political Pressure*, Journal of Housing and the Built Environment, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2014, pp. 237-254; BREWER (Kathryne B.) ET AL., "Crowding in" or "Crowding out"? An Examination of the Impact of the Welfare State on

Generalized Social Trust, International Journal of Social Welfare, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2014, pp. 61-68; KWIEK (Marek), *Changing Higher Education and Welfare States in Postcommunist Central Europe: New Contexts Leading to New Typologies?*, Human Affairs, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2014, pp. 48-67; SUMINO (Takanori), *Escaping the Curse of Economic Self-Interest: An Individual-Level Analysis of Public Support for the Welfare State in Japan*, Journal of Social Policy, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2014, pp. 109-133; TABIN (Jean-Pierre), *Power and Welfare: Understanding Citizens' Encounters with State Welfare*, European Journal of Social Work, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2014, pp. 157-157; MIK-MEYER (Nana) & VILLADSEN (Kaspar), *Power and Welfare: Understanding Citizens' Encounters with State Welfare*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2013; SAVOLAINEN (Jukka) ET AL., *Material Deprivation or Minimal Education? Social Class and Crime in an Egalitarian Welfare State*, Advances in Life Course Research, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2013, pp. 175-184; OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), *Towards Libertarian Welfarism: Protecting Agency in the Night-Watchman State*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2013, pp. 107-128; HALLSWORTH (Simon) & LEA (John), *Reconstructing Leviathan: Emerging Contours of the Security State*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2011, pp. 141-157; BRO (Henning), *Housing: From Night Watchman State to Welfare State*, Scandinavian Journal of History, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2009, pp. 2-28; DANIELS (Ronald Joel) & TREBILCOCK (Michael J.), *Rethinking the Welfare State: The Prospects for Government by Voucher*, New York: Routledge, 2005; MARS LAND (David), *Welfare or Welfare State?: Contradictions and Dilemmas in Social Policy*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1996; KRIEKEN (Robert van), *The Poverty of Social Control: Explaining Power in the Historical Sociology of the Welfare State*, Sociological Review, Vol. 39, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-25; MOSCOVITCH (Allan) ET AL., *The Welfare State in Canada: A Selected Bibliography, 1840 to 1978*, Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 1983; ANDERSON (Martin), *Welfare: The Political Economy of Welfare Reform in the United States*, Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 1978.

¹¹⁹ MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Ontario Welfare Reforms Roll out this Month*, Toronto Star, Sep. 2, 2013. About social assistance in Canada, see also BOESVELD (Sarah), *Social bond: Saskatchewan Tries New Way to Finance Single Mothers in Need*, National Post, May 23, 2014; LORIGGIO (Paola), *Ontario Budget 2013: Welfare Recipients to Keep More of their Own Money*, Huffington Post, May 2, 2013; MAHON (Rianne) & BRENNAN (Deborah), *Federalism and the "New Politics" of Welfare Development: Childcare and Parental Leave in Australia and Canada*, Publius, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2013, pp. 90-108; SEN (Anindya) & ARIIZUMI (Hideki), *Teen Families, Welfare Transfers, and the Minimum Wage: Evidence from Canada*, Canadian Journal of Economics, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2013, pp. 338-360; WESTHUES (Anne) & WHARF (Brian) (ed.), *Canadian Social Policy: Issues and Perspectives*, 5th ed., Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2012; WEAVER (Robert D.) ET AL., *Devolution and the Poverty Reduction Effectiveness of Canada's Provincial Social Welfare Programs: Results from a Time-Series Investigation of a Canadian National Survey*, Journal of Policy Practice, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2010, pp. 80-95; BEAULIEU (Nicolas) ET AL., *Intergenerational Reliance on Social Assistance: Evidence from Canada*, Journal of Population Economics, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2005, pp. 539-562; MOSCOVITCH (Allan) ET AL., *The Welfare State in Canada*, op. cit.; Ontario Ministry of Community and Social Services.

¹²⁰ CANADIAN FEED THE CHILDREN, *On the Journey to Change*, Annual Report, Toronto, 2011, p. 8; MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Child Poverty Rates in Canada, Ontario Remain High*, Toronto Star, Nov. 25, 2013. About child poverty in Canada, see also B.C. *Has Highest Child Poverty Rate in Canada: Report*, CBC News, Nov. 26, 2013; CONFERENCE BOARD OF CANADA, *Child Poverty*, 2013; FIRST CALL: BC CHILD AND YOUTH ADVOCACY COALITION, *Child Poverty Report Card*, Vancouver, 2012; MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Campaign 2000 Urges Ottawa to Eliminate Child Tax Credits and Use Money to Fight Poverty*, Toronto Star, Nov. 21, 2012; HOWE (R. Brian) & COVELL (Katherine), *Child Poverty in Canada and the Rights of the Child*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2003, pp. 1067-1087.

¹²¹ For example, see HICK (Steven), *Social Welfare in Canada: Understanding Income Security*, 2nd ed., Toronto: Thompson Educational Publishing, 2007.

¹²² See *New Data Suggests the High Costs of Education Is Hurting Families*, NewsWire, June 5, 2014; HABIB (Marlene), *University Tuition Rising to Record Levels in Canada*, CBC News, Sep. 11, 2013; *Undergraduate Tuition Fees for Full Time Canadian Students, by Discipline, by Province (Canada)*, Statistics Canada, Oct. 3, 2012; *University Tuition Fees, 2012/2013*, Statistics Canada Sep. 12, 2012; MILLAR (Erin), *A University Education in Canada Is a Bargain*, Globe and Mail, Oct. 24, 2011; LEWIS (Brian) & STILES (David), *How Invisible Are the Emperor's New Clothes?*, Public Management Review, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2004, pp. 453-472; FINNIE (Ross), *Student Loans, Student Financial Aid and Post-secondary Education in Canada*, Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2002, pp. 155-170; LANDON (Stuart), *Education Costs and Institutional Structure*, Economics of Education Review, Vol. 18, No. 3, 1999, pp. 327-345; *Cost of Studying in Canada*, Council of Ministers of Education, Canada.

¹²³ DAW (Jamie R.) ET AL., *Here Today, Gone Tomorrow: The Issue Attention Cycle and National Print Media Coverage of Prescription Drug Financing in Canada*, Health policy, Vol. 110, No. 1, 2013, p. 67. About the problems related to drugs in Canada, see also BARNIEH (Lianne) ET AL., *A Synthesis of Drug Reimbursement Decision-Making Processes in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Countries*, Value in Health, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2014, pp. 98-108; LAROYA (Gil), *When*

Capitalism Is at Odds With Health Care, Huffington Post, June 20, 2014; *Medical Tax Deductions often Overlooked*, CBC News, Mar. 6, 2012; MCBRIDE (Terry), *Claiming Medical Expenses Good Prescription at Tax Time*, Financial Post, Apr. 26, 2011; HANLEY (Gillian E.) ET AL., *Distributional Consequences of the Transition from Age-Based to Income-Based Prescription Drug coverage in British Columbia, Canada*, Health Economics, Vol. 17, No. 12, 2008, pp. 1379-1392; LEXCHIN (Joel) ET AL., *Are Drugs too Expensive in Canada?*, Canadian Family Physician, Vol. 52, No. 5, 2006, pp. 573-576; KAPUR (Vishnu) & BASU (Kisalaya), *Drug Coverage in Canada: Who Is at Risk?*, Health Policy, Vol. 71, No. 2, 2005, pp. 181-193; MINTZES (Barbara) ET AL., *Introduction of Direct-to-Consumer Advertising of Prescription Drugs in Canada: An Opinion Survey on Regulatory Policy*, Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2005, pp. 310-330; BENOIT (Ellen), *National Health Insurance and Health-Based Drug Policy: An Examination of Policy Linkages in the USA and Canada*, Journal of Social Policy, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2004, pp. 133-151; ANIS (Aslam H.) ET AL., *A Dog's Breakfast: Prescription Drug Coverage Varies Widely across Canada*, Medical Care, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2001, pp. 315-326.

¹²⁴ HIGGS (Robert), *Less Government, Less Economic Trouble*, Mises Daily, Oct. 8, 2010.

¹²⁵ See MILLER (Paul D.), *America, Don't Give Up on Afghanistan*, New Republic, Nov. 30, 2014; THOMPSON (Mark), *A General Writes the First After-Action Report on the Wars in Afghanistan and Iraq: Why We Lost*, Time, May 22, 2014; SHAH (Sabir), *US Wars in Afghanistan, Iraq to Cost \$6 Trillion*, Global Research, Feb. 12, 2014; FOSTER (Peter), *Cost to US of Iraq and Afghan Wars Could Hit \$6 Trillion*, Telegraph, Mar. 29, 2013; *Cost of National Security*, National Priorities; *Costs of War*.

¹²⁶ CRONIN (Audrey Kurth), *U.S. Grand Strategy and Counterterrorism*, Orbis, Vol. 56, No. 2, 2012, p. 204.

¹²⁷ See CBO: *Declining Health Care Costs Will Lower US Budget Deficit*, Voice of America News, Apr. 14, 2014; YDSTIE (John), *Reining in Health Care Costs Key to Trimming Deficit*, NPR, Feb. 6, 2014; FISHER (Nicole), *Mental Health Loses Funding As Government Continues Shutdown*, Forbes, Oct. 10, 2013; ZIGMOND (Jessica), *Healthcare Is Major Factor in Long-Term U.S. Budget Deficit*, CBO says, Modern Healthcare, Sep. 17, 2013; PEAR (Robert), *Panel on Health Care Work Force, Lacking a Budget, Is Left Waiting*, New York Times, Feb. 24, 2013; LOWREY (Annie), *Slower Growth of Health Costs Eases Budget Deficit*, New York Times, Feb. 11, 2013; KLIFF (Sarah), *Seven Facts about America's Mental Health-Care System*, Washington Post, Dec. 17, 2012; YOUNG (Jeffrey), *Health Care Reform Lives: What Obama Must Do To Ensure It Thrives*, Huffington Post, Nov. 7, 2012; COOPER (Zack), *Healthcare Reform: The US Policy Debate*, LSE's Centre for Economic Performance, 2012; *Health Care Budget Deficit Calculator*, Center for Economic and Policy Research; *Deficit-Reducing Health Care Reform*, White House.

¹²⁸ See BUTTERWORTH (Alex), op. cit., p. 411; CURRAN (Giorel), *21st Century Dissent*, op. cit.; SARTWELL (Crispin), *Against the State*, op. cit., pp. 5-6, 12, 42, 54-55, 62; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 24-25; SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; RUNKLE (Gerald), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 41-40, 158-159, 176-177; SUISSA (Judith), *Anarchism and Education: A Philosophical Perspective*, London: Routledge, 2006, pp. 24-37; WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., pp. 17 (note 4), 38; SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 58; HAMATI-ATAYA (Inanna), *Neorealism Reconsidered: Human Nature or State Behavior?*, International Studies Review, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2012, pp. 303-307; SCHUETT (Robert), *Political Realism, Freud, and Human Nature in International Relations: The Resurrection of the Realist Man*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010; BUSCH (Hans-Christoph Schmidt am), *Cameralism as 'Political Metaphysics'*, op. cit.; BETZIG (Laura), *But What Is Government Itself but the Greatest of all Reflections on Human Nature?*, Politics and the Life Sciences, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2009, pp. 102-105; FREYBERG-INAN (Annette), *What Moves Man: The Realist Theory of International Relations and its Judgment of Human Nature*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2004; LOPTSON (Peter) (ed.), *Readings on Human Nature*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 1998; DEN UYL (Douglas J.), *Passion, State, and Progress*, op. cit.; WEYANT (Robert G.), *Helvétius and Jefferson: Studies of Human Nature and Government in the Eighteenth Century*, Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1973, pp. 29-41; GLOVER (Willis B.), *Human Nature and the State in Hobbes*, Journal of the History of Philosophy, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1966, pp. 292-311; LEIGHTON (Alexander H.), *Human Nature and Government Policy*, Philosophical Review, Vol. 57, No. 1, 1948, pp. 27-38; MERRIAM, JR. (Charles Edward), *Human Nature and Science in City Government*, Journal of Social Forces, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1923, pp. 459-462; BROWN (Harold Chapman), *Human Nature and the State*, International Journal of Ethics, Vol. 26, No. 2, 1916, pp. 177-192.

¹²⁹ SHEEHAN (Seán M.), op. cit., p. 66.

¹³⁰ ANDREWS (Robert), *The Columbia Dictionary of Quotations*, New York: Columbia University Press, 1993, p. 174.

¹³¹ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Society without a State*, in PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 193.

¹³² PANCLASTA (Biófilo), *Red Seed*, Anarchist Library, 1911, p. 2.

¹³³ LEWIS (Wyndham), op. cit., p. 72.

¹³⁴ See ANARCHO, *Bakunin and the Invisible Legions, revisited*, 2006; FLOOD (Andrew), *Bakunin's Idea of Revolution and Revolutionary Organisation*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 6, 2002, pp. 8-12; MORRIS (Brian), *Bakunin: The Philosophy of Freedom*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1993; *The Invisible Dictatorship: Revolutionary Organisation and Objectives*, Anarchy 38, 1984-1985; SALTMAN (Richard B.), *The Social and Political Thought of Michael Bakunin*, Westport Greenwood Press, 1983; CARLSON (Andrew R.), *Anarchism in Germany*, op. cit., p. 327; *Invisible Dictatorship*, Wikipedia.

¹³⁵ MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 117, 122.

¹³⁶ For some recent examples, see SCHILDKRAUT (Jaclyn) & HERNANDEZ (Tiffany Cox), *Laws that Bit the Bullet: A Review of Legislative Responses to School Shootings*, American Journal of Criminal Justice, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2014, pp. 358-374; MALKKI (Leena), *Political Elements in Post-Columbine School Shootings in Europe and North America*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 185-210; *Moncton Shooting: RCMP Surround Building as Manhunt Continues*, CBC News, June 5, 2014; *Moncton Shooting: Witnesses Recall Search for Safety*, CBC News, June 5, 2014; *Moncton Shooting: 3 RCMP Officers Dead, 2 Wounded*, CBC News, June 4, 2014; *Brussels Jewish Museum Shooting Suspect Nemmouche Resists Extradition to Belgium*, Deutsche Welle, June 5, 2014; *Court Defers Decision on Handover of Brussels Shooting Suspect*, Israel National News, June 5, 2014; *Brussels Shooting Suspect Refuses Extradition to Belgium*, Haaretz, June 4, 2014; *Suspected Murder-Suicide Prompts School Lockdown in Milford, Connecticut*, CBS, New York, June 4, 2014; GILLIS (Wendy), *Etobicoke General Hospital Shooting Takes Gang Violence 'To a Different Level,' Police Say*, Toronto Star, June 2, 2014; DOLAN (Maura), *Court Backs Disclosure of Officers' Names in Shooting Cases*, Los Angeles Times, May 29, 2014; BROWN (Kim), *One Man Dead, Three Injured in Shooting in Toronto's East End*, Toronto Star, May 25, 2014; TEPPER (Sean), *York University Students Sue School for \$20.5 Million after Food-Court Shooting*, Staff Reporter, Toronto Star, Apr. 10, 2014; MISENER (Kevin), *Victims in York U Shooting Suing School for \$20.5M*, CityNews Toronto, Apr. 10, 2014; PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Six People Arrested in York University Shooting*, Toronto Star, Mar. 14, 2014; SCHILLER (Juliet), *School Shootings and Critical Pedagogy*, Educational Forum, Vol. 77, No. 2, 2013, pp. 100-110; INTERDISCIPLINARY GROUP ON PREVENTING SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY VIOLENCE, *December 2012 Connecticut School Shooting Position Statement*, Journal of School Violence, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2013, pp. 119-133; FLANNERY (Daniel J.) ET AL., *Violence and School Shootings*, Current Psychiatry Reports, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-7; ABOUK (Rahi) & ADAMS (Scott), *School Shootings and Private School Enrollment*, Economics Letters, Vol. 118, No. 2, 2013, pp. 297-299; VUORI (Miika) ET AL., *Local Responses to Collective and Personal Crime after School Shootings*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 59, No. 2, 2013, pp. 225-242; VUORI (Miika) ET AL., *Fear of Crime in Local Communities after School Shootings*, Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime Prevention, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 154-171; *Shot Fired from Gun Taken to Modbury High School*, News, May 11, 2012; KLEIN (Jessie), *The Bully Society: School Shootings and the Crisis of Bullying in America's Schools*, New York: New York University Press, 2012; VENANZI (Augusto De), *School Shootings in the USA: Popular Culture as Risk, Teen Marginality, and Violence against Peers*, Crime, Media, Culture, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2012, pp. 261-278; NURMI (Johanna), *Making Sense of School Shootings: Comparing Local Narratives of Solidarity and Conflict in Finland*, Traumatology, Vol. 18, 3, 2012, pp. 16-28; BACKHOLM (Klas) & BJÖRKQVIST (Kaj), *Journalists' Emotional Reactions after Working with the Jokela School Shooting Incident*, Media, War and Conflict, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2012, pp. 175-190; SWEZEY (James A.) & THORP (Kimerly A.), *A School Shooting Plot Foiled*, Journal of Research on Christian Education, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2010, pp. 286-312; FERNANDEZ (Manny), *In Bell Case, Black New Yorkers See Nuances That Temper Rage*, New York Times, Apr. 27, 2008; BAKER (Al.), *50-Shot Barrage Leads to Charges for 3 Detectives*, New York Times, Mar. 17, 2007; BUCKLEY (Cara) & RASHBAUM A (William K.), *Day After a Fatal Shooting, Questions, Mourning and Protest*, New York Times, Nov. 27, 2006; WENDT (Greg), *Crossbow Attack Injures Two Schoolgirls*, SMH, Apr. 4, 2003.

¹³⁷ GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit., p. 52.

¹³⁸ BOSE (Atindranath), op. cit., pp. 404-405. See also GIBSON (Peter), *Anarchism and the Selfish Gene*, Raven, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1988, pp. 167-173.

¹³⁹ About this wage slavery, *Minimum Wage*, Ontario Ministry of Labour, Nov. 20, 2015; TIESSEN (Kaylie), *Making the Case for a \$15 Minimum Wage in Ontario*, Waterloo Region Record, Oct. 05, 2015; *Ontario's Minimum Wage Now \$11 an Hour*, CityNews Toronto, June 1, 2014; MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Ontario's Minimum Wage Jumps to \$11 Sunday*, Toronto Star, May 31, 2014. About the wage slavery, see also RYAN (Liz), *The Truth about the Minimum Wage*, Forbes, Oct. 8, 2014; CURRY (Bill), *NDP Proposes \$15-An-Hour Federal Minimum Wage*, Globe and Mail, Sep. 13, 2014; *Tom Mulcair Says He Will Propose \$15-An-Hour Federal Minimum Wage*, CBC News, Sep. 13, 2014; *Mulcair: Minimum Wage for Federal Employees Will Be \$15 an Hour if NDP Government Elected*, Huffington Post, Sep. 13, 2014; ABDELWAHAB (Alexandra), *N.B. Minimum Wage Will Soon Be the Lowest in Canada*, Global News, Aug. 19, 2014; MONSEBRAATEN (Laurie), *Ontario Budget 2013: Minimum Wage Stays at \$10.25 an Hour*, Toronto Star, May 2, 2013; *2013 Ontario Budget: Highlights*, Ontario Ministry of Finance, May 2, 2013.

¹⁴⁰ TEDESCO (Theresa), *Day of Reckoning Nears for Ontario as Growing Deficit Raises Risk of Fresh Credit Downgrade*, Financial Post, June 6, 2014; *Oliver Says Ontario Needs to Tackle Deficit in Upcoming Budget*, Hamilton Spectator, June 16, 2014; *Ontario Unemployment Rate: Economic Development Minister Blames Europe, U.S. For Stagnant Job Numbers*, Huffington Post, Mar. 9, 2012.

¹⁴¹ For example, see PETERS (Marijke), *EU Approves Ban on Tools of Torture*, RNW, June 17, 2010; REJALI (Darius), *Torture and Democracy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007; HERMAN (Edward S.), *The United States As Torture Central*, Z Magazine, May 2004; STANTON (John), *United Kingdom, United States and Israel Kings of Pain*, Global Research, May 13, 2004; WRIGHT (Steve), *Civilising the Torture Trade*, Guardian, Mar. 13, 2003; WRIGHT (Steve), *The New Technologies of Political Repression: A New Case for Arms Control?*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, pp. 31-62; SPIER (Raymond E.) (ed.), *Science and Technology Ethics*, London: Routledge, 2002; GREENBERG (Daniel S.), *Science, Money, and Politics: Political Triumph and Ethical Erosion*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2001; BAZHANOV (Valentine A.), *Shadow Science in the Soviet Union*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, pp. 89-99; MARTIN (Brian), *Nuclear Winter: Science and Politics*, Science and Public Policy, Vol. 15, No. 5, 1988, pp. 321-334; MARTIN (Brian), *Science, War and Peace (I): Building a Lasting Activism*, Peace Studies, No. 7, 1984, pp. 9-12; MARTIN (Brian), *Science and War*, in BIRCH (Arthur) (ed.), *Science Research in Australia: Who Benefits?*, Canberra: Centre for Continuing Education, Australian National University, 1983, pp. 101-108.

¹⁴² GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit., pp. 48-49.

¹⁴³ For some examples, see below, Chapter 4, footnote 167; HOPKINS (James), *Psychologically Disturbed and on the Side of the Terrorists: The Delegitimisation of Critical Intellectuals in Terrorism and Political Violence*, Critical Studies on Terrorism, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 297-312; RIMON (Helena) & SCHLEIFER (Ron), *Who Will Guard the Guardians? Introduction to the Special Issue on the Intellectuals and Terror: A Fatal Attraction*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 511-517; HOLLANDER (Paul), *Righteous Political Violence and Contemporary Western Intellectuals*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 518-530; RIMON (Helena), *Paradoxes of "Free Floating" and Controversy of Betrayal: Intellectuals' Reflections on Themselves Against the Background of Terror*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 531-549; GEIFMAN (Anna), *The Liberal Left Opt's for Terror*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 550-560; SEIDLER (Meir), *The Beauty and the Beast: Jean-Paul Sartre and the Baader-Meinhof Gang*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 597-605; SHPIRO (Shlomo), *The Intellectual Foundations of Jewish National Terrorism: Avraham Stern and the Lehi*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2013, pp. 606-620; LANDES (Richard), *From Useful Idiot to Useful Infidel*, op. cit.; SOWELL (Thomas), *Intellectuals and Society*, New York: Basic Books, 2009.

¹⁴⁴ About some criticism and scandals of the UN, see HATUEL-RADOSHITZKY (Michal), *Criticism of the UN Security Council Veto Mechanism: Ramifications for Israel*, Institute for National Security Studies, Nov. 10, 2015; PAVEH (Shadi), *Vicious Attack at Women's Prison in Iran*, Gatestone Institute, Apr. 30, 2014; *Iran Sweeps Coveted UN Rights Posts*, Iran News Update, Apr. 30, 2014; *Iran No Defender of Women's Rights*, Toronto Sun, Apr. 27, 2014; WACHTEL (Jonathan), *Iran Gains Prominence at UN despite Human Rights Record*, Fox News, Apr. 24, 2014; *United Nations Corruption and the Need for Reform*, Foundation for Defense of Democracies, 2013; GERALD (John Bart), *A Note on Peru*, Night's Lantern, May 16, 2009; OKHOVAT (Sahar), *The United Nations Security Council: Its Veto Power and Its Reform*, Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies Working Paper No. 15/1, University of Sydney, 2011; *UN Honors North Korea's Kim Jong-il*, Ynetnews, Dec. 22, 2011; ROSETT (Claudia), *How Corrupt Is the United Nations?*, Canada Free Press, Apr. 4, 2006; *The Corruption of the United Nations*, Trumpet, Oct. 20, 2005; GOLD (Dore), *Tower of Babel: How the United Nations Has Fueled Global Chaos*, New York: Crown Forum, 2004; CHAN (Steve), *Power, Satisfaction and Popularity: A Poisson Analysis of UN Security Council Vetoes*, Cooperation and Conflict: Journal of the Nordic International Studies Association, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2003, pp. 339-359; MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Peruvian Human Rights Commission Charges USAID and UNFPA Supported Forced Sterilization*, Catholic Exchange, July 26, 2003; MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Bush Stops Funds for UNFPA Abuses: The U.N. Population Fund Supports Forced Abortions and Sterilizations*, Insight on the News, Aug. 19, 2002; FASSBENDER (Bardo), *UN Security Council Reform and the Right of Veto: A Constitutional Perspective*, The Hague and Boston: Kluwer Law International, 1998; BERTRAND (Maurice), *The UN as an Organization. A Critique of Its Functioning*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 6., No. 1, 1995, pp. 349-359; GERBET (Pierre), *Naissance des Nations Unies*, Espoir, No. 102, 1995; STONE (Julius), *Aggression and World Order: A Critique of United Nations Theories of Aggression*, London: Stevens, 1958.

¹⁴⁵ See TSONCHEV (T. S.), *Witnessing the Pain of Others*, Montreal Review, Oct. 2010; *Entrevista a Susan Sontag a Barcelona*, 2 Parts, YouTube, Sep. 29, 2008; CONRAD (Peter), *What the Eye Can't See ...*, Guardian, Aug. 2, 2003; LEONARD (John), *Not What Happened but Why*, New York Times, Mar. 23, 2003; SONTAG (Susan), *Regarding the Pain of Others*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2003.

¹⁴⁶ OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *Inaugural Address*, White House, Jan. 21, 2013.

¹⁴⁷ See MINTOOGUE (Yves), *The First Lady Syndrome*, trans. by Karen Press, *Chimurenga Chronic*, Aug. 21, 2013; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at Feminism*, op. cit., pp. 13-14; BLAIR (Diane Marie), "I Want You To Write Me": *Eleanor Roosevelt's Use of Personal Letters as a Rhetorical Resource*, *Western Journal of Communication*, Vol. 72, No. 4, 2008, pp. 415-433; IBRAHIM (Jibrin), *The First Lady Syndrome and the Marginalisation of Women from Power: Opportunities or Compromises for Gender Equality?*, *Feminist Africa*, No. 3, 2004; AGINA-UDE (Ada), *The First Lady Syndrome*, *Africa Woman Net*, 2004, pp. 1, 8; PARRY-GILES (Shawn J.) & Blair (Diane M.), *The Rise of the Rhetorical First Lady: Politics, Gender Ideology, and Women's Voice, 1789-2002*, *Rhetoric and Public Affairs*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, pp. 565-599.

¹⁴⁸ For example, they are the political and economic clans or dynasties of Kennedy, Bush, Clinton, Obama, Trudeau, Robert Bruce Ford, Pahlavi, Khomeini, Rafsanjani, and Khamenei. About these dynasties, see TRUDEAU (Justin), *Justin Trudeau Book Excerpt: A Commission, a Convention – and a Decision*, *Ottawa Citizen*, Oct. 18, 2014; MAS (Susana), *Justin Trudeau: My Father Said 'I Should Never Feel Compelled to Run for Office'*, *CBC News*, Oct. 18, 2014; *Ten Things You Might Not Know about Justin Trudeau*, *InfoTel News*, Oct. 18, 2014; *10 Surprising Revelations from Justin Trudeau's New Memoir*, *CTV News*, Oct. 18, 2014; MATHIEU (Annie), *Justin Trudeau: Au Nom du Fils*, *La Presse*, Oct. 18, 2014; TOLLER (Carol), *Beyond Baby Kissing: How Justin Trudeau Will Woo Women Voters*, *MacLean's*, Oct. 16, 2014; LAFLECHE (Grant), *GRANT RANT: Justin Trudeau Lacks Dad's Focus and Will*, *St. Catharines Standard*, Oct. 6, 2014; D'AMATO (Pete), *JFK's Lookalike Grandson Hand-Delivers Profile in Courage Award to George H. W. Bush*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 2, 2014; WYATT (Daisy), *Bill and Hillary Clinton Share Photo of New Granddaughter Charlotte Clinton Mezvinsky*, *Independent*, Sep. 28, 2014; DIMANNO (Rosie), *Take a Pass, Rob Ford: You've Got Every Reason to Stick to One Fight*, *Toronto Star*, Sep. 18, 2014; RIDER (David), *Ford Family Is Building a Political Dynasty*, *Toronto Star*, Sep. 12, 2014; *Doug Ford to Join Mayoral Race, Rob Ford Drops Out*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 12, 2014; GEE (Marcus), *Rob Ford Made Us Care about the City's Fate*, *Globe and Mail*, Sep. 12, 2014; *Trudeau's Family Friend Fidel Castro Calls NATO "Nazi SS"*, *BC Blue*, Sep. 2, 2014; POWELL (Betsy), *City Council Candidate Struggles against Ford Dynasty in Ward 2*, *Toronto Star*, Aug. 24, 2014; O'DONNELL (Carl), *How the \$1 Billion Kennedy Family Fortune Defies Death and Taxes*, *Forbes*, July 8, 2014; *Kayhan Editor Criticize Corruption of Hashemi Rafsanjani Clan on TV*, *Lenziran*, June 15, 2014; LUCE (Edward), *The War of Clinton-Bush Dynasties*, *Financial Times*, June 8, 2014; AZARI (Julia), *The Fetus of Monarchy? Why the Bush and Clinton Dynasties Would Be Different*, *Mischief of Faction*, Apr. 30, 2014; LEWIS (Matt), *Bush versus Clinton 2016: Would a Battle of the Dynasties really Be Un-American?*, *Telegraph*, Apr. 19, 2014; SCHER (Bill), *Don't Fear the Dynasty: Clinton vs. Bush Would Not Doom Democracy*, *Week*, Apr. 9, 2014; MCEACHERN (Rob), *Trudeau and McGuinty Clan, Thick as Thieves?*, *Red Like Me*, Apr. 1, 2014; JOHN (Suddenly), *Ayatollah Khamenei Sets Up Dynasty in Iran*, *Tavern Keepers*, May 27, 2013; MCVEIGH (Karen), *George P. Bush and the US Obsession with Political Dynasties*, *Guardian*, Mar. 11, 2014; MARTZ (Brandon), *Who Is Ali Khamenei?*, *Homeland Security*, June 7, 2013; SLAVIN (Barbara), *Don't Get Too Excited Over Rafsanjani's Run*, *Al-Monitor*, May 13, 2013; AMINI (Fariba), *Elections, Iranian Style*, *Huffington Post*, May 27, 2013; RADIA (Andy), *What's in a Name: Justin Trudeau Has the Political Dynasty Advantage*, *Yahoo*, Apr. 10, 2013; KAWA (Lucas), *Why the Bush Dynasty Is America's First Family of Finance*, *Business Insider*, Nov. 27, 2012; LIGAYA (Armina), *How Will Justin Trudeau Contend with His Father's Legacy?*, *CBC News*, Sep. 27, 2012; MCQUAID (John), *The Obama Administration: An End to Dynastic Politics?*, *Huffington Post*, May 25, 2011; MOAVENI (Azadeh), *Why the Pahlavi Dynasty Still Haunts Iranians*, *Time*, Jan. 6, 2011; MOAVENI (Azadeh), *Iran Reacts to Suicide of the Shah's Son*, *Time*, Jan. 5, 2011; POPPLEWELL (Brett), *Pierre Trudeau's Daughter, Sarah, Lives under the Radar*, *Toronto Star*, Nov. 24, 2010; STANLEY (Tim), *Passing of the Kennedy Dynasty*, *History Today*, Vol. 59, No. 11, 2009; BAKER (Russ), *Family of Secrets: The Bush Dynasty, America's Invisible Government, and the Hidden History of the Last Fifty Years*, foreword by James Moore, New York: Bloomsbury Press, 2009; TAIT (Robert), *Grandson of Ayatollah Khomeini 'Leaves Iran to Avoid Presidential Inauguration'*, *Guardian*, July 21, 2009; FLEISHMAN (Jeffrey), *Iran Supreme Leader's Son Seen as Power Broker with Big Ambitions*, *Los Angeles Times*, June 25, 2009; *Iran: Khamenei Wants Dynasty?*, *Daily Kos*, June 22, 2009; EVANS (Michael), *Is Jimmy Carter Guilty of Treason?*, *WorldNetDaily*, Jan. 29, 2009; *The Kennedy Family: An American Dynasty*, *Guardian*, Dec. 16, 2008; *Trudeau Clan Adds Baby Xavier to Its Ranks*, *Toronto Star*, Oct. 19, 2007; EBBETS (Schuyler), *'Dynasty of Death': Historical Perspective on the Bush Family*, *Global Research*, Oct. 22, 2006; KELLEY (Kitty), *The Family: The Real Story of the Bush Dynasty*, New York: Doubleday, 2004; KLEBNIKOV (Paul), *Millionaire Mullahs*, *Forbes*, July 21, 2003; BHASIN (Gurbeen), *Khomeini's Millenarian Vision and the Iranian Revolution (Ayatollah Khomeini)*, PhD thesis, University of Windsor, 1994; DAVIS (John H.), *The Kennedys: Dynasty and Disaster, 1848-1983*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1984; *The Kennedys: An American Dynasty*, *Vanity Fair*; *Rafsanjani Clan Takes Back Power at Azad University*, *Iran Times*.

¹⁴⁹ For example, see HALCHIN (L. Elaine), *Presidential Travel: Policy and Costs*, *Congressional Research Service*, May 17, 2012; GORZELANY (Jim), *All the Presidents' Wheels: The History Of Cars And Our Commanders-In-Chief*, *Forbes*, Feb. 17, 2012; UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE, *Presidential Travel: Dod Airlift Cost for White House Foreign*

Travel, Washington, D.C.: The Office, 2000; SIURU (William D.) & STEWART (Andrea), *Presidential Cars and Transportation: From Horse and Carriage to Air Force One, the Story of How the Presidents of the United States Travel*, Iola: Krause, 1995.

¹⁵⁰ For example, see *Indemnities and Allowances*, National Assembly of Québec, Mar. 25, 2014; *Indemnity for Returning Officers at Police and Crime Commissioner Elections*, Home Office and Ministry of Justice, Government of the United Kingdom, July 5, 2013; *Indemnities, Salaries and Allowances Members of the House of Commons*, Parliament of Canada, 2006.

¹⁵¹ About this judicial superstar, WILLIAMS (Tanisha Quilter), *Judge Judy's Sidekick Petri Hawkins 'Bailiff' Byrd Going Strong*, EURweb, June 16, 2014; SEIKALY (Andrea), *Heroes of Hollywood Awards Luncheon to Honor Judge Judy Sheindlin*, Variety, May 29, 2014; LOWE (Lindsay), *Happy Birthday, Judge Judy! 5 Things You Didn't Know About Judge Sheindlin*, Parade, Oct. 21, 2013; BARNES (Brooks), *Others Fade, but Judge Judy Is Forever: At 71, She Still Presides*, New York Times, May 23, 2014; WARNER (Brian), *Judge Judy Net Worth, Celebrity Net Worth*; CAVATORE (Alison), *Judge Judy Buys \$10.7 Million Condo in Beverly Hills*, Haute Living, May 24, 2013; DENINNO (Nadine), *Judge Judy Sheindlin Makes \$123,000 A Day, Roughly \$45M Salary And \$110M Net Worth For 70-Year-Old*, International Business Times, Oct. 22, 2012; HINCKLEY (David), *Judge Judy Rules! TV Court Jurist Is the Highest Paid Television Star*, New York Daily News, Aug. 8, 2012; HOUWEN (Fleur van der), *Formulating Disputes*, Journal of Pragmatics, Vol. 41, No. 10, 2009, pp. 2072-2085; ADAMS (Cindy), *Don't Judge Judy by her Pricey Digs*, New York Post, June 18, 2008; GOLDMAN (Lea) & BLAKELEY (Kiri), *In Pictures: The Richest 20 Women in Entertainment: Judith "Judge Judy" Sheindlin*, Forbes, Jan. 18, 2008; KOHM (Steven A.), *The People's Law versus Judge Judy Justice: Two Models of Law in American Reality-Based Courtroom TV*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2006, pp. 693-728; KOERNER (Brendan), *Judge Judy*, Slate, May 27, 2005; FOUST (Christina R.), *A Return to Feminine Public Virtue: Judge Judy and the Myth of the Tough Mother*, Women's Studies in Communication, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2004, pp. 269-293; LEUNG (Rebecca), *Judge Judy*, CBS News, Dec. 10, 2003; SHEINDLIN (Judy), *Don't Pee on My Leg and Tell Me It's Raining: America's Toughest Family Court Judge Speaks Out*, New York: HarperCollins, 1996.

¹⁵² *Judicial Salaries*, Federal Judicial Center. See also SCHWEMLE (Barbara L.), *Salaries of Federal Officials: A Fact Sheet*, Report for Congress, Center for Resource Solutions, Jan. 11, 2005.

¹⁵³ GOVERNMENT OF ONTARIO, *Judiciary*, Disclosure for 2010 under the *Public Sector Salary Disclosure Act*, 1996. About Judge salary, see also DEYNELI (Fatih), *Analysis of Relationship between Efficiency of Justice Services and Salaries of Judges with Two-Stage DEA Method*, European Journal of Law and Economics, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2012, pp. 477-493; PRICE (Barry A.), *The Question of Diminution of Income for Justices and Judges of the Supreme Court and the Inferior Courts of the United States*, Journal of Supreme Court History, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2007, pp. 276-281; YOON (Albert), *As You Like It: Senior Federal Judges and the Political Economy of Judicial Tenure*, Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2005, pp. 495-549.

¹⁵⁴ MENEAUD (Marc), *Taxpayers, £20M Bill So Judges Can Live in Luxury*, Sunday Post, Mar. 23, 2014. For other examples of the judges' luxurious lifestyle, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment*, op. cit., p. 38; SHIMOGAWA (Duane), *Federal Judge Kevin Chang Trading Downtown Condo for Sustainable Luxury Home Project in Honolulu*, Pacific Business News, June 10, 2014; HASTINGS (Chris), *Judges Must Quit £3,000-A-Night Luxury Lodgings and Stay at B&Bs, as Ministers Seek to Slash £5 Million Accommodation Bill*, Daily Mail, Sep. 15, 2013; WOODHOUSE (Craig), *TOP Judges Have Blown Nearly £18,000 of Taxpayers' Cash on a Series of Countryside Getaways*, Sun, Feb. 24, 2013; BUMSTED (Brad) & ERDLEY (Debra), *Critics: Judges' Luxury Cars Slap at Taxpayers*, Triblive, Nov. 28, 2010; LEFTIE (Peter), *Judiciary Buys 40 Luxury Fuel Guzzlers for Judges*, Daily Nation, Nov. 2, 2012; JUMA (Victor), *Judges' Mercedes Orders Lift Luxury Car Market 33.7PC*, Business Daily, Oct. 31, 2012; *North Judges' Luxury Life ... Paid for by You!*, Chronicle Live, Apr. 12, 2009.

¹⁵⁵ JOHNSON (Luke), *Italian Architect of 'Putin's Palace' Granted Russian Passport*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Aug. 20, 2014; GREY (Stephen) ET AL., *Billion-Dollar Medical Project Helped Fund "Putin's Palace" on the Black Sea*, Reuters, May 21, 2014; WHEWELL (Tim), *Putin's Palace? A Mystery Black Sea Mansion Fit for a Tsar*, BBC News, May 4, 2012; *'Putin's Palace,' \$350 Million Mansion Reportedly Owned by Russian Prime Minister, Sold to Tycoon*, Huffington Post, Mar. 4, 2011; *'Putin Palace' Sells for \$350 Million*, Telegraph, Mar. 3, 2011; OSBORN (Andrew), *Vladimir Putin 'Has £600 Million Italianate Palace'*, Telegraph, Feb. 14, 2011; IGNATIUS (David), *Sergey Kolesnikov's Sale of Palatial Corruption, Russian Style*, Washington Post, Dec. 23, 2010; *Putin's Spokesman Dismisses Report of Palace on Black Sea*, RIA Novosti, Dec. 23, 2010.

¹⁵⁶ PAVLICH (Katie), *Obamas' Cost of Living in the White House: \$1.4 Billion a Year*, Townhall, Mar. 11, 2013.

¹⁵⁷ For example, see LEWIS (J. Patrick) ET AL., *First Dog's White House Christmas*, Ann Arbor: Sleeping Bear Press, 2010; FICARRA (John) ET AL., *Bo Confidential: The Secret Files of America's First Dog*, Philadelphia: Running Press, 2009; BRYANT (Traphes) & LEIGHTON (Frances Spatz), *Dog Days at the White House: The Outrageous Memoirs of the Presidential Kennel Keeper*, New York: Macmillan, 1975; *Presidential Pet Museum*; *American Presidents Dogs*.

¹⁵⁸ HALCHIN (L. Elaine), *Presidential Travel*, op. cit., pp. 3-4. See also *Military Pegs Hourly Air Force One Cost at \$181G, as Obama Sets Travel Record*, Fox News, Nov. 24, 2010.

¹⁵⁹ BLOOM (Tristyn), *Obama's Africa Vacation Hit Taxpayers For \$2 Million on Lodging, Entertainment, Security Alone*, Daily Caller, May 29, 2014; MACKENZIE (Drew), *Obama's Family Trips on Air Force One Cost Taxpayers \$15.9M*, Newsmax, Mar. 27, 2014; MACKENZIE (Drew), *Cost of Obama's Trip to Mandela Funeral: \$11.5 Million*, Newsmax, Dec. 19, 2013; Michelle Obama Plans Pricey Trip to China as the First Family Is Criticized for Spending Hundreds of Millions of Dollars Traveling on the Taxpayer's Dime, Daily Mail, Mar. 4, 2014; KLIMEK (Cheryl Carpenter), *Obama's \$11 Million Trip to the Mandela Funeral – That's an Expensive Selfie!*, BizPac Review, Dec. 21, 2013; MARTOSKO (David), *The World's Most Expensive Selfie: Did State Department Blow \$11.5 Million on Obama's Trip to Mandela Funeral (NOT including the Cost of Air Force One)?*, Daily Mail, Dec. 19, 2013; JUDICIAL WATCH, *JW Obtains Documents Revealing High Cost of Obama 2013 Trips*, Mar. 26, 2014; BATTAGALIA (Joe), *Michelle Obama's Beijing Hotel Was Deemed Too Costly for Biden Trip*, Newsmax, Mar. 24, 2014; BATTAGALIA (Joe), *Michelle Obama's Beijing Hotel Was Deemed Too Costly for Biden Trip*, op. cit.; BIER (Jeryl), *Cost of First Lady's Beijing Hotel Was Deemed 'Prohibitive' for Biden*, Weekly Standard, Mar. 24, 2014; JUDICIAL WATCH, *JW Reveals Obama's 2013 Vacations Cost Taxpayers \$7,396,531*, Feb. 27, 2014; CHURCHER (Sharon), *Study: Obama Most Traveled President, With 31 Costly Trips Abroad*, Newsmax, Mar. 24, 2014; BIER (Jeryl), *VIP Hotel and Vehicles for Mandela Funeral Trip More than \$11M*, Weekly Standard, Dec. 19, 2013; LARSON (Leslie), *President Obama, Michelle Obama, George W. Bush and Wife Laura Depart South Africa after Whirlwind Trip*, New York Daily News, Dec. 10, 2013; AUERBACH (Matthew), *Michelle Obama's \$5 Million Ireland Visit*, Newsmax, June 17, 2013; LEONNIG (Carol D.) & NAKAMURA (David), *Document: Major Resources Needed for Obama Africa Trip*, Washington Post, June 13, 2013.

¹⁶⁰ See WILLIAMS (Rhys H.), *Immigration and National Identity in Obama's America: The Expansion of Culture-War Politics*, Canadian Review of American Studies, Vol. 42, No. 3, 2012, pp. 322-346; SAVAGE (Michael), *Trickle Down Tyranny: Crushing Obama's Dream of the Socialist States of America*, New York: William Morrow, 2012; FERRERA (Peter), *Contributor Is President Obama Really A Socialist? Let's Analyze Obamanomics*, Forbes, Dec. 20, 2012; HENDRICKSON (Mark), *President Obama's Marxist-Leninist Economics: Fact and Fiction*, Forbes, July 26, 2012; MATTHEWS (Merrill), *Contributor Comparing Obama's Policies with French Socialist Hollande*, Forbes, May 10, 2012; MORRIS (Dick) & MCGANN (Eileen), *Revolt!: How to Defeat Obama and Repeal His Socialist Programs*, New York: HarperCollins, 2011; WATERLANDER (Frans), *The Obama Doctrine: Socialism, Corruption and Economic Collapse*, CreateSpace, 2011; GOLDBERG (Jonah), *What Kind of Socialist Is Barack Obama?*, Commentary, May 2010; KURTZ (Stanley), *Radical-in-Chief: Barack Obama and the Untold Story of American Socialism*, New York: Threshold, 2010; GINGRICH (Newt), *To Save America: Stopping Obama's Secular-Socialist Machine*, Washington, D.C.: Regnery, 2010; OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *The Audacity of Hope: Thoughts on Reclaiming the American Dream*, New York: Vintage Books, 2008.

¹⁶¹ See PAVLICH (Katie), op. cit.; FORBES (Steve), *Obama's Opulent Lifestyle: Pickpocketing Taxpayers*, Forbes, Apr. 17, 2013; KESSLER (Glenn), *Bachmann's Claim that Barack Obama Has a '\$1.4 Billion-A-Year Presidency' of 'Perks and Excess'*, Washington Post, Mar. 18, 2013; *Annual Cost to Taxpayers for Obama Family Is \$1.4 Billion*, Godfather Politics, Jan. 7, 2013; GROOM (John F.), *The 1.4 Billion Dollar Man: Costs of the Obama White House*, Attitude Media, 2012; MATACONIS (Doug), *The Presidency Costs Taxpayers A Lot, but That's Not Obama's Fault*, Outside the Beltway, Sep. 29, 2012; *Obama Family 'Costs Taxpayers \$1.4BILLION Per Year' (that's 20 Times More Expensive than British Royal Family)*, Daily Mail, Sep. 29, 2012; BZERBEL, *Obama's Dog Has \$100,000 Handler*, Infowars, Sep. 28, 2012; PAPPAS (Alex), *Taxpayers Spent \$1.4 Billion on Obama Family Last Year, Perks Questioned in New Book*, Daily Caller, Sep. 26, 2012; GRAY (Robert Keith), *Presidential Perks Gone Royal: Your Taxes Are Being Used For Obama's Re-Election*, New York: New Voices Press, 2012.

¹⁶² For other examples, see AVERY (Cole), *Mary Landrieu Internal Investigation Finds \$33,700 in improperly Charged Flights*, NOLA, Sep. 12, 2014; LEAHY (Michael Patrick), *Mary Landrieu Admits Taxpayers Funded \$33K of Campaign Travel, RNC Says It's More*, Breitbart, Sep. 12, 2014; *More Suspicious Landrieu Flights*, Grand Old Party, Sept. 12, 2014; MARTOSKO (David), *Taxpayers Will Spend \$944,000 to Support Bill Clinton's Lifestyle in 2014 as He Claims He and Hillary Are Not 'out of Touch' because They 'Talk to People in Our Town'*, Daily Mail, June 24, 2014; BUMP (Philip), *The Clintons Say They Left the White House in Debt. Wait, What?*, Washington Post, June 9, 2014; KNOWLES (David), *U.S. Senate Seat now Costs \$10.5 Million to Win, on Average, while US House Seat Costs, \$1.7 Million, New Analysis of FEC data Shows*, Mar. 11, 2013; BENNET (James), *The New Price of American Politics*, Atlantic, Sep. 19, 2012; HEBBLETHWAITE (Cordelia), *US Election: How Can It Cost \$6BN?*, BBC News, Aug. 1, 2012; CURTISS (Richard H.), *The Cost of Israel to US Taxpayers, If Americans Knew*.

¹⁶³ *Harper's Middle East Tour Costs Taxpayers \$2.1 Million*, Chatham Daily News, June 2, 2014; CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Stephen Harper's Middle East Trip Cost \$1 Million*, Toronto Star, May 30, 2014; CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Stephen Harper's Middle East Trip Cost \$239,000*, Toronto Star, Feb. 21, 2014; CAMPION-SMITH (Bruce), *Sending Stephen Harper's Armoured*

Limo and SUV to India Cost \$1 Million, Toronto Star, Jan. 29, 2013; FEKETE (Jason), *Annual Cost for Harper's RCMP Security Detail Has Doubled to \$19.6 Million since He Became PM in 2006*, National Post, Mar. 17, 2014; THOMPSON (Elizabeth), *PM's Security Squad Racked up More than \$23 Million in Cost Overruns*, iPolitics, Apr. 22, 2014; RAJ (Althia), *Stephen Harper's New Plane Design Will Cost \$50,000*, Huffington Post, Feb. 22, 2013; MCGREGOR (Glen), *Shipping Harper Limos to India Cost \$1 Million*, Ottawa Citizen, Jan. 29, 2013; VISSER (Josh) & CROSS (Allison), *Shipping Stephen Harper's Armoured Limos to India Cost \$1 Million: DND*, National Post, Jan. 29, 2013; COMMISSO-GEORGEE (Christina), *\$1M Price Tag for Limos Shipped to India for Harper Visit*, CTV News, Jan. 29, 2013.

¹⁶⁴ For some examples in his case, see MALONEY (Ryan), *Conservatives Blast Trudeau's Trip to Billionaire 'Summer Camp'*, Huffington Post, July 6, 2016; THOMPSON (Elizabeth), *Trudeau's Washington Trip Cost \$257,219*, iPolitics, May 31, 2016; THOMPSON (Elizabeth), *Trudeau Nannies on Track to Cost nearly \$100,000 a Year*, iPolitics, May 5, 2016; KOHUT (Tania), *Trudeau's Official Visit to Washington Cost Taxpayers More than \$257K*, Global News, May 31, 2016; BRYDEN (Joan), *Justin Trudeau's Washington Trip Included 44 People, Cost More than \$25,000*, Huffington Post, May 6, 2016; MCGREGOR (Glen), *Trudeau Will Reimburse Government for (some of the) Costs of Family Travel to Exclusive Caribbean Resort*, National Post, Jan. 10, 2016; *Justin Trudeau to Pay Economy Fares for Family's Travel on Government Jet*, CBC News, Jan. 11, 2016; *Trudeau to Reimburse Taxpayers for Costs of Family's Caribbean Vacation*, Toronto Sun, Jan. 11, 2016; *Trudeau Family's Caribbean Vacation Splashed across the Tabloids*, CTV News, Jan. 10, 2016; HALL (Chris), *Trudeau Children's Nannies Being Paid for by Taxpayers*, CBC News, Nov. 30, 2015.

¹⁶⁵ Shall legal anarchism notice that his ex-First Lady has accused him of hating the poor? In this regard, see TRIERWEILER (Valérie), *Merci pour ce Moment*, Paris: Les Arènes, 2014; WILLSHER (Kim), *Hollande Says Claim He Called Poor 'Toothless' Was Blow to His Whole Life*, Guardian, Sep. 10, 2014; CORBET (Sylvie), *French President Francois Hollande in Trouble both in Public and Private*, op. cit.; PONTIUS (Julien), *French President Francois Hollande Vows to Finish in 2017 despite Approval Rating Hitting Record Low*, op. cit.; SAMUEL (Henry), *Hollande Hits Back at Ex-Girlfriend's Claims that He 'Doesn't Like the Poor'*, op. cit.; SAMUEL (Henry), *Valérie Trierweiler Book: 'François Hollande Put Me on Drugs to Keep Me out of the Way'*, Telegraph, Sep. 4, 2014; *Hollande Slams Accusations from Former First Lady that He Hates the Poor*, CTV News, Sep. 5, 2014; *Popularité de François Hollande*, op. cit.; *François Hollande Réagit au Livre de Valérie Trierweiler: "La Fonction Présidentielle Doit Être Respectée"*, Huffington Post, Sep. 5, 2014; VINCENT (Coralie), *Pour Valérie Trierweiler, François Hollande N'Aime Pas les Pauvres et les Vachards*, Closer Magazine, Sep. 4, 2014; BOINET (Carole), *"Merci pour ce Moment": On a lu le Livre de Valérie Trierweiler*, Les Inrocks, Sep. 4, 2014; *French President Hollande's Approval Rating Slips to 13PC*, op. cit.; BACCHI (Umberto), *France*, op. cit.; FOUQUET (Helene), *Ex-French First Lady Says Hollande Detests Poor in Tell-All Book*, op. cit.; *François Hollande, le Président qui "N'Aime Pas les Pauvres"?*, L'Express, Sep. 3, 2014.

¹⁶⁶ See SEBAG (Gaspard), *Sarkozy May Face Trial on Lost Bid to Block Corruption Case*, Bloomberg, Mar. 22, 2016; GONZALES (Paule), *La Justice Valide des Écoutes de Nicolas Sarkozy*, Le Figaro, Mar. 22, 2016; BOUDET (Alexandre), *La Justice Valide définitivement les Écoutes de Nicolas "Bismuth" Sarkozy*, Le Huffington Post, Mar. 22, 2016; *La Cour de Cassation Valide les Écoutes Téléphoniques de Nicolas Sarkozy*, Le Monde, Mar. 22, 2016; *Ecoutes: Pourquoi Nicolas Sarkozy Est-il Poursuivi?*, Le Monde, Mar. 22, 2016; *Three Allies of France's Sarkozy Charged in Fraud Probe*, Daily Star, Oct. 4, 2014; *Sarkozy Allies Charged in French Fraud Probe*, RFI, Oct. 4, 2014; *Sarkozy Bid Divides Chirac Couple over 2017 Election*, BBC News, Oct. 2, 2014; *Libye: Sarkozy Accusé d'Avoir Perçu des Dizaines de Millions d'Euro de Kadhafi*, Koaci, Sep. 18, 2014; *Campagne de Sarkozy en 2007: Nouvelle Accusation d'un Cousin de Kadhafi*, Le Parisien, Sep. 16, 2014; BAUME (Maïa de la), *French Judge Suspends Sarkozy Corruption Investigation*, New York Times, Sep. 24, 2014; IRA (Kumaran), *Ex-French President Nicolas Sarkozy Denies Influence Peddling Charges*, World Socialist Web Site, July 5, 2014; *Comprendre la Mise en Examen de Sarkozy en 3 Minutes*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Juillet 5, 2014; *Appel de Chatel aux Sarkozystes: "Il Faut Se Serrer les Coudes"*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Juillet 5, 2014; *France's Presidency: Sarkozy Held*, Economist, July 4, 2014; *The Star Cast in Sarkozy's Latest Legal Saga*, France 24, July 3, 2014; *Sarkozy: Comment le Chef d'Accusation de Corruption Est Arrivé sur le Tapis*, France 24, Juillet 2, 2014; BILEFSKY (Dan) & BAUME (Maïa de la), *Accusations 'Grotesque,' Nicolas Sarkozy Says of Inquiry*, New York Times, July 2, 2014; WILLSHER (Kim), *France's Sarkozy: 'There Is a Wish to Humiliate Me that Is Not Normal'*, Los Angeles Times, July 2, 2014; WILLSHER (Kim), *Nicolas Sarkozy under Official Investigation after Questioning*, Guardian, July 2, 2014; CORBET (Sylvie) & CHARLTON (Angela), *Nicolas Sarkozy the First Former French President to Be Held Overnight for Questioning*, National Post, July 1, 2014; VINOCUR (Nicholas), *France's Former President Sarkozy Detained by Police in Corruption Probe*, Reuters, July 1, 2014; *French Ex-President Sarkozy Held Over Influence Claims*, BBC News, July 1, 2014; *Nicolas Sarkozy sur Écoute: Manuel Valls et Christiane Taubira Savaient, selon le Canard Enchaîné*, Atlantico, Mar. 12, 2014; RIOUFOLLE (Ivan), *Le Mensonge de Taubira, Aveu d'une Gêne*, Le Figaro, Mar. 12, 2014; *Sondage: Sarkozy Touché par les Affaires*, Le JDD, Mar. 9, 2014; *Sarko Enregistré à Son Insu: Un Micro Était Caché dans le Buisson*, Le Canard Enchaîné, Mar. 5, 2014; ALLEN (Peter), *First Lady Valerie Trierweiler 'to Be Kicked out of Elysee Palace over Partner Francois Hollande's Sex scandal with Actress 20 Years His Junior'*, Daily Mail, Jan. 12, 2014; ALLEN (Peter), *Sarkozy's Plans 'to Dodge New 75%*

French Tax Rate by Moving to London with Wife Carla and Setting up £1BN Private Equity Fund, Daily Mail, Jan. 22, 2013; ALLEN (Peter) & SPARKS (Ian), *Sarkozy Braced for Slew of Criminal Probes as Presidential Immunity Comes to an End*, Daily Mail, June 15, 2012; *Bettencourt Affair: Sarkozy Secret Cash Case 'Dropped'*, BBC News, Oct. 7, 2013; *Charges Dropped against Former French President Nicolas Sarkozy*, Deutsche Welle, Oct. 7, 2013; *French Court Drops Probe into Sarkozy Campaign Cash*, France 24, Oct. 7, 2013; WADUGE (Shenali D.), *Lessons for Sri Lanka from the Libyan Experience*, LankaWeb, Aug. 28, 2013; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Carla Bruni-Sarkozy under Fire over Cost of Website Billed to Public Purse*, Guardian, July 26, 2013; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *French Inquiry Opens into Allegations Gaddafi Funded Sarkozy 2007 Campaign*, Guardian, Apr. 19, 2013; *Gaddafi Interpreter Says Libya Funded Sarkozy Campaign*, BBC News, June 20, 2013; *Sarkozy-Kadhafi: Dix Mois d'Enquêtes*, Mediapart; *Prosecutor Recommends Dropping Sarkozy Probe*, Chicago Tribune, June 28, 2013; LICHFIELD (John), *Gaddafi, Tapie and Bettencourt: Nicholas Sarkozy's Scandals Are Catching up as He Talks about Return to Political Stage*, Independent, June 5, 2013; SYAL (Rajeev), *Nicolas Sarkozy to meet David Cameron at No 10*, Guardian, June 3, 2013; SPARKS (Ian), *First Lady Costs a Third of Carla: Hollande's Girlfriend Costs French Taxpayers £36,000 a Month less than Sarkozy's Wife*, Daily Mail, May 6, 2013; GATES (Sara), *Valerie Trierweiler's Budget: French First Lady Costs the Government less than Predecessor Carla Bruni*, Huffington Post, May 6, 2013; MULHOLLAND (Rory), *French First Lady's Budget Passes Austerity Test*, Guardian, May, 5, 2013; *Hollande Partner Trierweiler Costs France Three Times Less than Carla Bruni-Sarkozy*, RFI, May 4, 2013; WHITE (Michael), *Diary: Those Qataris, eh. They're so Willing to Help, No One's beyond the Pale*, Guardian, Apr. 11, 2013; GATES (Sara), *Nicolas Sarkozy Calls Campaign Finance Charges 'Unfair and Unfounded'*, Huffington Post, Mar. 25, 2013; KEATEN (Jamey), *Nicolas Sarkozy Under Formal Investigation in Case of Liliane Bettencourt*, L'Oreal Heiress, Huffington Post, Mar. 21, 2013; FOUQUET (Helene), *Sarkozy Lifestyle Dents Hollande Efforts to Narrow Budget Gap*, Bloomberg, Mar. 17, 2013; *Nicolas Sarkozy, un Conférencier Évalué à 250.000 Euros?*, Le Parisien, Août 29, 2012; *French Police Search Nicolas Sarkozy Home and Office*, BBC News, July 3, 2012; *Ex-President Sarkozy's 10 Bodyguards to Cost over 700,000 Euros a Year*, RFI, June 12, 2012; *22150€ Par Mois: Les Revenus de Nicolas Sarkozy après Sa Défaite*, Quoi?, Avril 2, 2012; *Sarkozy's Eldest Son Hospitalized in Ukraine*, Rianovosti, Feb. 25, 2012; THORNHILL (Ted), *Austerity, Moi? Nicolas Sarkozy Spends £10K a Day on Food and Keeps 121 Cars under His Palace*, Daily Mail, Feb. 7, 2012; WOOD (Nick), *Sarko's 121 Cars Show Why We Don't Want a French-Style Privacy Law*, Daily Mail, Feb. 7, 2012; SAMUEL (Henry), *Nicolas Sarkozy 'Spent £30,000 of Taxpayers' Money to Repatriate Son from Ukraine*, Telegraph, Feb. 1, 2012; SCALBERT (Augustin), *Un Peu Malade à Odessa, Pierre Sarkozy Rapatrié aux Frais de l'Etat*, Rue 89, Jan. 31, 2012; *Nicolas Sarkozy, un Conférencier de Luxe à 200 000 € l'Intervention*, La Dépêche, Jan. 9, 2012; LEMARIÉ (Alexandre), *Combien Coûtent les Déplacements de M. Sarkozy?*, Le Monde, Jan. 5, 2011.

¹⁶⁷ See TAIT (Robert), *Ayatollah Ali Khamenei Controls £60 Billion Financial Empire, Report Says*, Telegraph, Nov. 12, 2013; *Iran's Spiritual Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei Revealed to Run £60 BILLION Business Empire Covering Everything from Oil to Ostrich Farming*, Daily Mail, Nov. 11, 2013; STECKLOW (Steve) ET AL., *Khamenei Controls Massive Financial Empire Built on Property Seizures*, Reuters, Nov. 11, 2013; GHOSH (Palash), *Iran's Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei ... A Multi-Billionaire And BMW Car Dealer?*, International Business Times, May 3, 2013; VOICE OF AMERICA, *امپراتوری مالی خامنه ای*, 4 Parts, YouTube, Feb. 28 and Mar. 1, 2013; CANADIAN BUSINESS, *Dictators and their Wealth: Ali Khamenei*, MSN, Oct. 21, 2011; MAXIMUS (Cyrus), *Khamenei and Son Stashed Billions in Foreign Accounts*, Iran Channel, May 16, 2011; *Iran's Supreme Leader Sayed Ali Khamenei's Wealth and Bank Account Info in Foreign Countries*, CNN, Jan. 3, 2010; MAKHMALBAF (Mohsen), *Secret Life and Wealth of Khamenei*, Dec. 28, 2009; KLEBNIKOV (Paul), *Millionaire Mullahs*, op. cit.

¹⁶⁸ VAÏSSE (Justin), *The 2012 French Presidential Elections: A Primer*, Brookings, Apr. 4, 2012.

¹⁶⁹ *The Money Behind the Elections*, Open Secrets. See also CAMIA (Catalina), *2012 Election Costs Could Reach Record \$5.8 Billion*, USA Today, Aug. 2, 2012; HEBBLETHWAITE (Cordelia), *US Election: How Can It Cost \$6BN?*, BBC News, Aug. 1, 2012; ZENGERLE (Patricia), *U.S. Vote in 2012 Will Be Record, \$6 Billion Election*, Reuters, Aug. 30, 2011.

¹⁷⁰ ELECTIONS CANADA, *Report of the Chief Electoral Officer of Canada on the 41st General Election of May 2, 2011*, Ottawa, Aug. 17, 2011, p. 45; KILIAN (Crawford), *Federal Election Cost Each Voter \$12.00*, Tyee, Aug. 17, 2011; MARKEY (Greg), *Canadians Will Pay for this Election – They Always Do*, iPolitics, Mar. 23, 2011; *What an Election Costs*, CBC News, Nov. 7, 2008. See also RAJ (Althia), *Electoral Reform Referendum Would Cost \$300M, Chief Electoral Officer Says*, Huffington Post, July 7, 2016; STONE (Laura), *Referendum on Electoral Reform Would Cost \$300-Million, Elections Chief Says*, Globe and Mail, July 7, 2016; BOUTILIER (Alex), *Liberal Plan for Electoral Reform Won't Be Easy or Quick, Says Elections Chief Marc Mayrand*, Toronto Star, July 7, 2016.

¹⁷¹ MARKEY (Greg), op. cit.; ELECTIONS CANADA, *Report of the Chief Electoral Officer of Canada on the 41st General Election of May 2*, op. cit., p. 45.

- 172 KILIAN (Crawford), op. cit.; ELECTIONS CANADA, op. cit., pp. 44-45; MEHLER PAPERNY (Anna), *Election Costs Have Skyrocketed in Past Decade*, *Globe and Mail*, Apr. 1, 2011.
- 173 ELECTIONS ONTARIO, *2014 General Provincial Election*, May 7, 2014, p. 5.
- 174 THE ELECTORAL COMMISSION, *UK General Election 2010, Campaign Spending Report*, Feb. 2011, pp. 7-8. See also *Election Campaign Spending by Political Parties*, UK Political Info.
- 175 BECKFORD (Martin), *The £14-A-Vote Farce: Cost of Electing Police Commissioners Makes It Most Expensive Poll in British History*, *Daily Mail*, Nov. 17, 2012.
- 176 UK Prime Minister David Cameron: 'Police Elections Will Cost £25M', YouTube, Sep. 7, 2011.
- 177 About some typical and recent examples of the long list of political sex scandals, see *List of Federal Political Sex Scandals in the United States*, Wikipedia; *List of Federal Political Scandals in the United States*, Wikipedia; HASKI (Pierre), *François Hollande: The New French President*, *Guardian*, Jan. 17, 2014; SAMUEL (Henry), *Hollande 'Wants Trierweiler out' but First Lady 'Refusing to Pack Bags'*, *Telegraph*, Jan. 16, 2014; LARSON (Leslie), *Nicolas Sarkozy Mocks Francois Hollande for Love Woes: 'He Is the Ridiculous President'*, *New York Daily News*, Jan. 16, 2014; MURRAY (Don), *Surprise! François Hollande-Julie Gayet Affair Not Deflected by Policy*, *CBC News*, Jan. 15, 2014; AMARUSO (John), *France's First Lady Hospitalized after President Hollande Sex Scandal*, *Guardian Liberty Voice*, Jan. 14, 2014; JOHN (Mark), *French President Francois Hollande Quiet about Private Life after Affair Scandal*, *Toronto Sun*, Jan. 14, 2014; *Julie Gayet, François Hollande's Reported Mistress, Blocked from Prestigious French Cultural Jury amid Sex Scandal Fallout*, *National Post*, Jan. 15, 2014; *France's Hollande Bids for Relaunch amid Trierweiler Scandal*, *BBC News*, Jan. 14, 2014; SOLTIS (Andy), *French Prez Hollande Will Pick Lover in Time for Obama Visit*, *New York Post*, Jan. 14, 2014; WILLISHER (Kim), *French President Francois Hollande Is Embroiled in Sex Scandal*, *Los Angeles Times*, Jan. 13, 2014; HEWITT (Gavin), *Hollande Gayet: Scandal and the French President*, *BBC News*, Jan. 10, 2014; ALLEN (Peter), *First Lady Valerie Trierweiler*, op. cit.; *Profile: Silvio Berlusconi, Italian Ex-Prime Minister*, *BBC News*, May 9, 2014; HORNBY (Catherine), *Head of Italian Ruling Party Calls on Berlusconi to Resign*, *Reuters*, Aug. 7, 2013; DYER (Gwynne), *Silvio Berlusconi Is Down but Not Out*, *Straight*, Aug. 4, 2013; O'LEARY (Naomi), *Berlusconi Backs Government at Rally against Tax Conviction*, *Reuters*, Aug. 4, 2013; DAY (Michael), *Humiliated Ex-Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi Rages at Italian Court that Declared Him a Tax Fraud*, *Independent*, Aug. 2, 2013; ERB (Kelly Phillips), *Italy's High Court Agrees That Berlusconi Should Go to Prison: But He Likely Won't*, *Forbes*, Aug. 1, 2013; D'EMILIO (Frances), *Italy High Court Deliberates Berlusconi Fate*, *Yahoo*, Aug. 1, 2013; LANDUCCI (Roberto) & MOODY (Barry), *Italy's Top Court Hears Crucial Berlusconi Appeal*, *Reuters*, July 30, 2013; *Berlusconi Gets Seven Years in Jail for 'Bunga Bunga' Scandal*, *Euro Sport*, June 25, 2013; *Verdict Expected in Berlusconi Sex Trial*, *News24*, June 24, 2013; *Italy's Berlusconi Sentenced to 7 Years in Underage Sex Trial*, *CBC News*, June 24, 2013; *Verdict Due in Berlusconi Underage Sex Trial*, *Al Jazeera*, June 24, 2013; *Profile: Karima El Mahroug*, *BBC News*, June 24, 2013; *Silvio Berlusconi Sentenced for Tax Fraud*, *BBC News*, Oct. 26, 2012; MESSIA (Hada), *The Berlusconi Sex Scandal Explained*, *CNN*, Aug. 25, 2011; *Morocco Probes Orgy Claims against Ex-French Minister*, *BBC News*, June 8, 2011; *Former Minister's Allegation of Paedophilia Investigated*, *France 24*, June 2, 2011; GAUTHIER-VILLARS (David), *France Looks Into Child-Sex Allegations*, *Wall Street Journal*, June 1, 2011; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Former French Minister Luc Ferry Makes Child Abuse Claim on TV*, *Guardian*, May 31, 2011; SPARKS (Ian), *Carla Bruni-Sarkozy Faces Backlash over Controversial Minister's Sex Scandal*, *Daily Mail*, Oct. 10, 2009; BENNHOLD (Katrin), *French Culture Minister Refuses to Resign Over Paid Sex Trysts*, *New York Times*, Oct. 8, 2009; *Exclusive: 'I Don't Think I Have Any Kind of Problem with Women,' Strauss-Kahn Says*, *CNN*, July 10, 2013; ALLEN (Peter), *DSK's Long-Suffering Wife Anne Sinclair finally Leaves him as Former IMF Boss Is Kicked out of their Paris Home*, *Daily Mail*, June 29, 2012; SILVERLEIB (Alan), *Dominique Strauss-Kahn: A Brilliant Career, a Stunning Accusation*, *CNN*, May 18, 2011.
- 178 For example, see RYALL (Julian), *Yasukuni Shrine: The 14 'Class A' War Criminals Honoured by Japan*, *Telegraph*, Aug. 15, 2014; RYALL (Julian), *Yasukuni Shrine: War Criminals among the Dead Revered by Japan*, *Telegraph*, Aug. 15, 2014; RYALL (Julian), *Yasukuni Shrine: Kamikaze Pilot Statue and Zero Fighter Plane among Exhibits*, *Telegraph*, Aug. 15, 2014; JHA (Supriya), *Israel War Hero Ariel Sharon Buried after Military State Funeral*, *Zee News*, Jan. 14, 2014; COLE (Juan), *Did Ariel Sharon Get a Pass on War Crimes because He Was White?*, *Informed Comment*, Jan. 13, 2014; SHAOUL (Jean), *Ariel Sharon, War Criminal (February 26, 1928-January 11, 2014)*, *World Socialist Website*, Jan. 13, 2014; *Ariel Sharon: Warrior or War Criminal?*, *Al Jazeera*, Jan. 13, 2014; BEN-DAVID (Calev) & FERZIGER (Jonathan), *Sharon, Divisive in Death as in Life, Buried at Israel Ranch*, *Bloomberg*, Jan. 13, 2014; LATAAN (Damian), *War Criminals Gather for War Criminal's Funeral*, *BlogSpot*, Jan. 13, 2014; LATAAN (Damian), *A State Funeral for the Butcher of Sabra and Shatila?*, *Information Clearing House*, Jan. 4, 2014; TAIT (Robert) & BLAIR (David), *Israel Prepares for Ariel Sharon's Death after His Health Deteriorates*, *Telegraph*, Jan. 2, 2014; *A State Funeral for the Butcher of Sabra and Shatila?*, *Before It's News*, Jan. 2, 2014.

179 JOHN (Ollie), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Cost \$5.6 Million: Much Less Than Reported*, News Feed, Apr. 28, 2013; HOPE (Christopher), *Baroness Thatcher's Funeral Cost Us 6P Each*, Telegraph, Apr. 25, 2013; *Thatcher Funeral Cost Taxpayers \$5.6 Million*, Huffington Post, Apr. 25, 2013; DAVIES (Caroline), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Cost an Estimated £3.6M*, No. 10, Guardian, Apr. 25, 2013; *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Cost £3.6Million, Just a Third of What Opponents Claimed the Final Bill Would Be*, Daily Mail, Apr. 25, 2013; PAULEY (Nigel), *Tony Blair Could Receive £10M Funeral Like Margaret Thatcher*, Daily Star, Apr. 20, 2013; *Funeral Costs 'Much Less than £10M'*, Daily Star, Apr. 17, 2013; HOLT (Richard) (ed.), *Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Costing 'Much Less than £10M'*, Says Francis Maude, Telegraph, Apr. 17, 2013; OSBOR (Andrew), *Thatcher's Body Lies in Chapel as Funeral Debate Rages*, Reuters, Apr. 16, 2013; GRIPPER (Ann), *What Time Is Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Tomorrow? Timetable, Guest List, Cost, Travel and All the Details*, Daily Mirror, Apr. 16, 2013; *Thatcher Funeral Invites Revealed as Cost Criticized*, TV New Zealand News, Apr. 12, 2013; LAWLESS (Jill), *Margaret Thatcher Funeral Plans Feature More than 2,000 Invited Guests, Including Queen Elizabeth II*, Huffington Post, Apr. 11, 2013; WRIGHT (Oliver), *Taxpayers Can 'Well Afford' to Contribute to Estimated £10M Cost of Baroness Thatcher's Funeral*, Says William Hague, Independent, Apr. 10, 2013; BONIFACE (Susie), *Why the £8Million Margaret Thatcher's Funeral Will Cost Would Be Better Spent on Those Who Die Unnoticed*, Daily Mirror, Apr. 9, 2013.

180 See JANSON (Jay), *Guatemala's Ríos Montt Genocide Conviction: Omen for US Presidents and Their Hired Assassins*, Global Research, May 18, 2013; RODRIGUEZ (Roberto Cintli), *Guatemala Genocide Conviction and a More Just Vision for American Continent*, Truthout, May 16, 2013; MALKIN (Elisabeth), *Trial on Guatemalan Civil War Carnage Leaves Out U.S. Role*, New York Times, May 16, 2013; WILLS (Santiago), *Did Reagan Finance Genocide in Guatemala?*, ABC News, May 14, 2013; WEISSMAN (Steve), *Indicting Reagan, Israel, and the God Squads in the Guatemalan Holocaust*, Reader Supported News, May 14, 2013; BRINKERHOFF (Noel) & BIEDERMAN (Danny), *Reagan-Supported Dictator Convicted of Genocide; Is Current President of Guatemala Next?*, AllGov, May 14, 2013; BARAKA (Ajamu), *U.S. Guilty of Genocide in Guatemala Should Be Real Headline*, Black Agenda Report, May 14, 2013; LASER (John), *Reagan's Friend and Ally Convicted of Genocide*, Antiwar, May 13, 2013; *Reagan-Supported Dictator Convicted of Genocide; Is Current President of Guatemala Next?*, AllGov, May 14, 2013; PARRY (Robert), *Reagan and Argentina's Dirty War*, Consortium News, May 17, 2013; PARRY (Robert), *Reagan's Favourite Genocidaire*, LRB Blog, May 13, 2013; PARRY (Robert), *Ronald Reagan: Accessory to Genocide – Ex-Guatemalan Dictator Rios Montt Guilty of Mayan Genocide*, Global Research, May 13, 2013; PARRY (Robert), *How Reagan Promoted Genocide*, Consortium News, Feb. 21, 2013; GERALD (John Bart), *Good vs. Evil in Guatemala*, Night's Lantern, Apr. 21, 2012; GERALD (John Bart), *Masks of Investment: A Trial in Guatemala*, Night's Lantern, Apr. 5, 2012; ABDULLAH (Halimah), *Reagan and Thatcher: 'Political Soulmates'*, CNN, Apr. 9, 2013; GLUECK (Katie), *Nancy Reagan: Ronald Reagan and Margaret Thatcher Were 'Soul Mates'*, Politico, Apr. 8, 2013; METZLER (Rebekah), *Thatcher and Reagan: 'Political Soul Mates' Who Didn't Always Agree*, US News, Apr. 8, 2013; *5 Most Ridiculously Expensive Funerals*, Business Pundit, Feb. 17, 2012; PARRY (Robert), *Ronald Reagan: Worst President Ever?*, Consortium News, June 3, 2009; PARRY (Robert), *Reagan and Guatemala's Death Files*, Consortium News, Nov. 3, 2011; *The Top 7 Funerals of the First Decade of the 21st Century*, Your Funeral Guy, Dec. 30, 2009; *Ronald Reagan*, Truth Seeker, Jan. 13, 2009; MEYER (David J.), *The Time of God's Final Call*, Last Trumpet Newsletter, Vol. 23, No. 7, 2004; GOTO (Shihoko), *The Cost of Pomp for Reagan's Funeral*, United Press International, June 16, 2004; FALER (Brian), *Taxpayers Take Hit on Reagan Holiday*, Washington Post, June 14, 2004; *You Spent \$400 Million Friday and Didn't Even Know It*, Functional Ambivalent, June 11, 2004; *Reagan's Funeral Expensive Affair for US*, Rediff, June 11, 2004; HIRSCHFELD DAVIS (Julie), *D.C. Readies for Reagan Ceremonies*, Los Angeles Times, June 9, 2004; KORNBLUH (Peter), *Nicaragua, the Price of Intervention: Reagan's Wars Against the Sandinistas*, Washington, D.C.: Institute for Policy Studies, 1987; BURNS (E. Bradford), *At War in Nicaragua: The Reagan Doctrine and the Politics of Nostalgia*, New York: Harper and Row, 1987; WALKER (Thomas W.) (ed.), *Reagan versus the Sandinistas: The Undeclared War on Nicaragua*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1987; FREUDENHEIM (Milt) & GINIGER (Henry), *Reagan's War over Nicaragua*, New York Times, July 22, 1984; FRAPPIER (Jon), *Above the Law: Violations of International Law by the U.S. Government from Truman to Reagan*, Crime and Social Justice, No. 21-22, 1984, pp. 1-36.

181 PARRY (Robert), *Reagan and Argentina's Dirty War*, op. cit.

182 See NEWHAM (Gareth), *Government Corruption Tainting Madiba Legacy*, IOL News, Jan. 24, 2014; GRAHAM (Tim), *Rest in Whitewash: Networks Set to Ignore Mandela's Communist Party Ties*, Dictator Friends, NewsBusters, Dec. 7, 2013; APPLEBAUM (Anne), *Beyond Mourning*, Slate, Dec. 6, 2013; LOPEZ (Linette), *Nelson Mandela's Party Could Be Screwed without Him*, Business Insider, Dec. 5, 2013; RODRIGUES (Chris), *The Mandela Cult*, Rolling Stone, July 30, 2013; KOPECKÝ (Petr), *Political Competition and Party Patronage: Public Appointments in Ghana and South Africa*, Political Studies, Vol. 59, No. 3, 2011, pp. 713-732; WARD (Josh), *The World from Berlin: South Africa 'Has Become a De Facto One-Party State'*, Spiegel, Apr. 24, 2009; BRULLIARD (Karin), *In South Africa, Talk of a One-Party State*, Washington Post, Apr. 22, 2009; GEVISSER (Mark), *South Africa: Beyond a One-Party State*, Guardian, Apr. 20, 2009; BUTLER (Anthony), *How Democratic is the African*

National Congress?, Journal of Southern African Studies, Vol. 31, No. 4, 2005, pp. 719-736; ADAM (Heribert), *Corporatism as Ethnic Compromise: Labour Relations in Post-Apartheid South Africa*, Nations and Nationalism, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1998, pp. 347-362.

183 EVANS (Natalie) & DICKINSON (Natalie), *Nelson Mandela Funeral Plans: Everything You Need to Know about Madiba's State Burial*, Daily Mirror, Dec. 7, 2013. About Mandela's mega State burial, see also YENDE (Sizwe Sama), *R70m Cash Crunch over Mandela Memorial Spend*, City Press, Mar. 16, 2014; GRAVELAND (Bill), *Alberta Premier Apologizes for Cost of Mandela Funeral trip but is not Repaying Taxpayers*, National Post, Feb. 10, 2014; Alison Redford 'Surprised' by \$45K Cost of Attending Mandela's Funeral, CTV News, Feb. 5, 2014; BENNETT (Dean), *Redford under Fire for Billing Taxpayers \$45,000 to Go to Mandela Funeral*, Globe and Mail, Feb. 4, 2014; *Mandela Funeral Funds 'Misappropriated'*, IOL News, Jan. 26, 2014; WITHERS (Paul), *Guess How Much Stephen McNeil's Trip to Mandela Funeral Cost You?*, CBC News, Feb. 3, 2014; POLGREEN (Lydia) ET AL., *Thousands Gather to Bury Mandela in His Home Village*, New York Times, Dec. 15, 2013; *Nelson Mandela Honoured with State Funeral in South Africa*, CBC News, Dec. 15, 2013; YORK (Geoffrey), *Tutu's Exclusion Overshadows Preparations for Mandela's State Funeral*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 14, 2013; *L'Appartement Qui Abrite les Relations Secrètes du Président Lié au Grand Banditisme*, Mediapart, Jan. 12, 2014; KLIMEK (Cheryl Carpenter), *Obama's \$11 Million Trip to the Mandela Funeral*, op. cit.; MACKENZIE (Drew), *Cost of Obama's Trip to Mandela Funeral*, op. cit.; MARTOSKO (David), *The World's Most Expensive Selfie*, op. cit.; DAVIDSON (Isaac), *Key: Harawira took Taxpayer-Funded Junket to South Africa*, New Zealand Herald, Dec. 16, 2013; GUMUCHIAN (Marie-Louise), *Obama, World Leaders Praise 'Giant of History' at Mandela Memorial*, CNN, Dec. 10, 2013; CLARK (Natasha), *Nelson Mandela memorial guest list*, Telegraph, Dec. 10, 2013; *Full List of Heads of State Attending Mandela Funeral*, Nehanda Radio, Dec. 9, 2013; BURSTON (Bradley), *Nixing Mandela Funeral as Too Costly, Bibi Shows World What He's Truly Made of*, Haaretz, Dec. 9, 2013; FEDERMAN (Josef), *Israel's Netanyahu Backs out of Mandela Funeral, Citing High Travel Cost*, CTV News, Dec. 9, 2013; *Nelson Mandela Funeral: 'The World Is Coming'*, Telegraph, Dec. 9, 2013; *Almost 100 World Leaders to Attend Mandela's Funeral – But Not Netanyahu*, National, Dec. 9, 2013; EVANS (Natalie) & BURROWS (Ben), *Nelson Mandela Funeral Plans: Everything You Need to Know about Madiba's State Burial*, Daily Mirror, Dec. 9, 2013; EVANS (Natalie), *Nelson Mandela Funeral: Everything You Need to Know about Madiba's State Burial*, Daily Mirror, Dec. 7, 2013; EVANS (Becky), *'Queen to Miss Nelson Mandela's Funeral after Scaling Back Foreign Trips' as Prince Charles Prepares to Attend*, Daily Mail, Dec. 8, 2013; NEWLING (Dan) & WATSON (Leon), *The Giant Arena in Mandela's Back Yard that Will House the Biggest Funeral in History: Preparations Begin as World Leaders Head to South Africa for Historic Event*, Daily Mail, Dec. 8, 2013; JOSHI (Priya), *60 World Leaders to Unite to Honour Nelson Mandela at State Funeral*, World Leaders, Sports Icons and Celebrities to Attend Mandela Funeral, International Business Times, Dec. 8, 2013; BROWN (Stephen Rex) & SIEMASZKO (Corky), *South Africa prepares for Nelson Mandela's Funeral, Memorial; President Obama, Former President Bush, other World Leaders to Attend*, New York Daily News, Dec. 7, 2013; GAMBRELL (Jon), *Nelson Mandela Memorial Events to Attract Massive Crowds, but Few Details Known*, CTV News, Dec. 7, 2013; LAING (Aislinn), *Paying Tribute to Mandela: South Africa's Long-Planned Mega Event (+Video)*, Christian Science Monitor, Dec. 7, 2013; COMMISSO (Christina), *Nelson Mandela Funeral Plans: The Day-By-Day Itinerary*, CTV News, Dec. 7, 2013; TORCHIA (Christopher), *Nelson Mandela to be Buried with State Funeral on Dec. 15*, Spec, Dec. 6, 2013; *Nelson Mandela to Receive State Funeral as World Mourns his Death*, Metro, Dec. 6, 2013; FARBEROV (Snejana), *Will this be the Biggest Funeral in History? Mandela to have 10-Day State Memorial and Obama, Clinton and Cameron are Expected to attend Service on Sunday, December 15*, Daily Mail, Dec. 6, 2013; *Mandela to Lie in State for 3 days; Obamas to Travel to South Africa*, New York Post, Dec. 6, 2013; *Desmond Tutu Thanks God for 'Gift of Madiba' as World Mourns Nelson Mandela*, CTV News, Dec. 6, 2013; RODRIGUES (Chris), *The Mandela Cult*, op. cit.; SMITH (David), *Nelson Mandela Funeral Plan: Africa's Ultimate Test*, Guardian, Dec. 5, 2013.

184 HUANG (Cindy), *Young South African Voters Are Not Big Fans of Nelson Mandela's Political Party*, VICE News, May 6, 2014; BERGHEL (Hal), *Mr. Snowden's Legacy*, Computer, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2014, pp. 66-70; MALALA (Justice), *Mandela's Death Shines Uncomfortable Light on South Africa's ANC*, CNN, Dec. 17, 2013; SMITH (David), *Jacob Zuma Accused of Corruption 'On a Grand Scale' in South Africa*, Guardian, Nov. 29, 2013; *Jacob Zuma Booed At Nelson Mandela Memorial*, Malawi Voice, Dec. 11, 2013; *Mandela Funeral: Mourners Defy Downpour, Jeer President Zuma*, Punch, Dec. 10, 2013; *\$20M SAGA: S'African Media Defy Ban, Print Photos of President Zuma's Luxurious Home*, Nigerian, Nov. 25, 2013.

185 See *Ticket Prices for Dalai Lama Visit to Charlottesville*, NBC29, Aug. 23, 2012; WESTERN SHUGDEN SOCIETY, *A Great Deception: The Ruling Lamas' Policies*, London: Western Shugden Society, 2010; DEMARE (Carol) & ETTKIN (Brian), *Dalai Lama Organizers Pay Off Debt*, Albany Times-Union, May 9, 2009; *The Dalai Lama's Biatchhh*, YouTube, Aug. 8, 2008; *The Dark Side of Dalai Lama*, 3 Parts, YouTube, Apr. 12, 2008; TRIMONDI (Victor and Victoria), *The Shadow of the Dalai Lama: Sexuality, Magic and Politics in Tibetan Buddhism*, trans. by Mark Penny, 2003; *The Dark Side of the Dalai Lama*, Western Shugden Society, Oct. 3, 1999. I have heard that the Statesmen/women must live in a luxury style, because it is good for national reputation and the prevention of corruption! I once criticized the expensive and despotic lifestyle of His Holiness the 14th Dalai Lama, who is living very far from the poverty of the Tibetan people, a Tibetan student ridiculed me by replying: "do you think he must travel and live like us?" Our experience with political life (i.e. corruption, oppression, and inequality) has shown that

none of those arguments really makes sense. In addition, because State funding may not be sufficient to guarantee all political happiness, the politicians are extremely specialist in begging legally or illegally (i.e. bribery and extortion) from the private sector to cover the cost of their political campaign and their parasitic lifestyle as well.

¹⁸⁶ OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *Inaugural Address*, op. cit.; *The 57th Presidential Inauguration*, White House, Jan. 21, 2013.

¹⁸⁷ HALL (Randy), *Media Cheered Obama's 'Party Time,' Bashed Bush's 'Lavish' Second Inaugural*, NewsBusters, Jan. 23, 2013; PALMER (Brian), *Congratulations, Mr. President. And Thanks for the Cheez-Its*, Slate, Jan. 22, 2013; EILPERIN (Juliet), *Obama's Campaign Finance Reform Plans Have Faded*, Washington Post, Apr. 29, 2013. See also PARNASS (Sarah), *Inauguration to Cost Millions but Total Price Tag Unclear*, ABC News, Jan. 19, 2013; LAKE (Frank), *Obama Inauguration to Cost \$300 Million*, Weekly World News, Jan. 19, 2013; *President Obama's Inauguration Planners Offering Special VIP Access to Donors Willing to Pay up to \$1 Million*, New York Daily News, Jan. 9, 2013; POE (Catherine), *2013 Inauguration: How Much Will It Cost You?*, Washington Times, Jan. 7, 2013; POE (Catherine), *Want to See to the 2013 Inauguration and Parade? Here's How*, Washington Times, Jan. 4, 2013; KERR (Jennifer C.), *2013 Inauguration: Event Comes With Big Price Tag*, Huffington Post, Dec. 16, 2012; PALMER (Anna), *Inauguration 2013: Planners Seek Million-Dollar Donors*, Politico, Dec. 10, 2012; *Comparing Inauguration Costs*, FactCheck, Jan. 21, 2009; MAYEROWITZ (Scott), *What Recession? The \$170 Million Inauguration*, ABC News, Jan. 19, 2009.

¹⁸⁸ For some debates about this political and economic phenomenon, see *Les Lois sur le Non-Cumul des Mandats Validées par les «Sages»*, Le Monde, Fév. 13, 2014; *De Nombreux Dénateurs Opposés au Non-Cumul des Mandats*, France Inter, Sep. 18, 2013; *Non-Cumul de Mandat: Le RDSE Veut une Exception pour les Sénateurs*, Ma Commune, Sep. 17, 2013; *Cumul des Mandats: Une Pratique Restreinte à Compter de 2017?*, Vie Publique, Août 9, 2013; VERHELST (Tom) ET AL., *Necessary Asymmetry or Undemocratic Imbalance? Professionalisation in the Recruitment and Career of Belgian Local Councillors*, *Local Government Studies*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2013, pp. 273-297; BROUARD (Sylvain) ET AL., *Why Do French MPs Focus More on Constituency Work than on Parliamentary Work?*, *Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2013, pp. 141-159; PICY (Emile), *L'Assemblée Vote l'Interdiction du Cumul des Mandats*, Reuters, Juillet 9, 2013; *Ce qu'il Faut Savoir sur l'Interdiction du Cumul des Mandats*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Juillet 4, 2013; COSTA (Olivier) E AL., *Far Away, So Close: Parliament and Citizens in France*, *Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 3-4, 2012, pp. 294-313; COSTA (Olivier) & KERROUCHE (Eric), *MPs under the Fifth Republic: Professionalisation within a Weak Institution*, *West European Politics*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2009, pp. 327-344; MÉRINDOL (Jean-Yves), *Les Universitaires et leurs Statuts depuis 1968*, *Le Mouvement Social*, Vol. 233, No. 1, 2010, pp. 69-91; STECKEL (Marie-Christine), *L'Interdiction du Cumul des Mandats*, *Revue Administrative*, Vol. 53, No. 313, 2000, pp. 76-89; KNAPP (Andrew), *The Cumul des Mandats, Local Power and Political Parties in France*, *West European Politics*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1991, pp. 18-40; CROISAT (Maurice) & TOURNON (Jean), *Centralisation et Pluralisme: Le Paradoxe Français*, *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1984, pp. 415-428.

¹⁸⁹ SMITH (Sam), *The True Cost of Reagan and Extreme Capitalism*, Scoop, June 21, 2004.

¹⁹⁰ ROBERTS (Dan) & POWELL (Kenton), *Revealed: Obama's Record-Breaking Effort to Tap Wealthy Donors for Cash*, Guardian, Nov. 12, 2013. See also WOLFGANG (Ben), *Obama Weighs in for Campaign Finance Limits*, Washington Times, Oct. 8, 2013; EILPERIN (Juliet), *Obama's campaign finance reform plans have faded*, op. cit.; ROHDE (David), *Obama Talks out of Both Sides of His Mouth on Campaign Finance*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 2013; *The 2012 Money Race: Compare the Candidates*, New York Times.

¹⁹¹ ROUSSEAU (Jean-Jacques), *Discourse on Inequality: On the Origin and Basis of Inequality among Men*, Wellington: Floating Press, 2009.

¹⁹² BANKS (William C.) & RAVEN-HANSEN (Peter), *Targeted Killing and Assassination: The U.S. Legal Framework*, University of Richmond Law Review, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2003, p. 667. See also WELCH (Michael), *Crimes of Power and States of Impunity: The U.S. Response to Terror*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2009.

¹⁹³ LAFFEY (Mark) & WELDES (Jutta), *US Foreign Policy, Public Memory, and Autism: Representing September 11 and May 4*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2004, p. 355.

¹⁹⁴ For example, see JOSE (Coleen), *3 Bloody Reasons the CIA Should Stop Secretly Arming Rebels Around the World*, Mic, Oct. 16, 2014; MAZZETTI (Mark), *C.I.A. Study of Covert Aid Fueled Skepticism about Helping Syrian Rebels*, New York Times, Oct. 14, 2014; TISDALL (Simon), *Ten Questions NATO Faces in Its Fight to Combat ISIS*, op. cit.; *US-Iranian Military Intelligence Cooperation in War on ISIS Reaps First Successes in Syria and Iraq*, op. cit.; *Syria's War: Assad Gains Upper Hand*, Strategic Comments, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2014, pp. ix-xi; KOZHANOV (Nikolay), *Russian-Syrian Dialogue: Myths and Realities*, Journal of the Middle East and Africa, Vol. 5, No. 2014, pp. 1-22; KOZHANOV (Nikolay), *Russian Support for Assad's Regime: Is There a Red Line?*, International Spectator, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2013, pp. 25-31; MURRAY (Donette), op. cit.; HEYDEMANN

(Steven), *Syria and the Future of Authoritarianism*, op. cit.; RUYS (Tom), *Of Arms, Funding and "Non-lethal Assistance"*, op. cit.; FARER (Tom), *What Syria Has to Teach Neo-Conservatives and Liberals about US Foreign Policy*, op. cit.; TAHERI (Amir), *Has the Time Come for Military Intervention in Syria?*, op. cit.; EL HUSSEINI (Rola), *Hezbollah and the Axis of Refusal: Hamas, Iran and Syria*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 31, No. 5, 2010, pp. 803-815; *Saudi Arabia Pushes UN Resolution on Syria Abuse*, *Al Jazeera*, Oct. 31, 2013; KEINON (Herb), *Israel Wanted Assad Gone since Start of Syria Civil War*, *Jerusalem Post*, Sep. 17, 2013; AJI (Albert) & KARAM (Zeina), *Russia Opposes Use of Force in Syria Resolution; Rebels Demand International Response*, *CTV News*, Sep. 17, 2013; *Syria Crisis: France and Russia Admit Attack Differences*, *BBC News*, Sep. 17, 2013; LICHFIELD (John), *Syria Crisis: Regime Has Given Russia 'Proof' of Rebel Chemical Weapon Use*, *Independent*, Sep. 17, 2013; ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *Did Obama Quietly Reach Out to Iranian President Rohani?*, op. cit.; CHAPMAN (James), *Now Putin Calls the Shots on Syria: Russia Tells U.S. to Call Off Strikes before Chemical Weapons Deal*, *Daily Mail*, Sep. 10, 2013; MAHONEY (Jill), *Syria War of Words: What Obama and Assad Say, and What They really Mean*, *Globe and Mail*, Sep. 9, 2013; YAN (Holly) ET AL., *White House Pushes Congress on Syria after Obama's Recoil*, *CNN*, Sep. 1, 2013; *Latest on Syria: Obama Backs Intervention, Iran Reacts, and More*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 31 2013; SELIGMAN (Lara), *Iran Warns Attack on Syria Would Trigger Retaliation on Israel*, *Hill*, Aug. 28, 2013; MCCLAM (Erin), *Ripple Effect: How the US Move on Syria Could Reverberate around the World*, *World News*, Aug. 27, 2013; LEE (Matthew) & PACE (Julie), *U.S. Military Poised to Strike Syria; Assad Calls Chemical Attack Allegations 'Preposterous'*, *CTV News*, Aug. 27, 2013; TABER (Jane), *Trudeau Urges Parliament Recall to Debate Syria*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 27, 2013; *White House Lays out Case for Syria Response*, *CBC News*, Aug. 27, 2013; AJI (Albert), *Syria Accuses Rebels of Using Chemical Weapons against Government Troops in East Damascus*, *Globe and Mail*, Aug. 24, 2013; MROUE (Bassem) & AJI (Albert), *Syria Accuses Rebels of Using Chemical Weapons; Aid Group Says 355 Dead in Earlier Attacks*, *CTV News*, Aug. 24, 2013; AJI (Albert) & LEDERER (Edith), *UN: More 100,000 Now Dead in Syria's Civil War*, *Time*, July 25, 2013; *Iran Sending Military to Syria to Counter US, Prepare for Israel*, *Whiteout Press*, June 17, 2013; ENGDAHL (William), *Syria Gas Attack Story Has Whiff of Saudi War Propaganda*, *Russia Today*, Aug. 21, 2013; ELWAR (Eliot), *Op-Ed: American and Russian Involvement in Syria Reflects Cold War Era*, *Digital Journal*, Aug. 19, 2013; GLASER (John), *How Obama Is Tacitly Supporting Egypt's Military Government*, *Daily Caller*, Aug. 19, 2013; FERNHOLZ (Tim), *China's Arms Industry Is Hoping for some Good PR from the Syrian Rebels*, *Quartz*, Aug. 14, 2013; LAUB (Zachary) & MASTERS (Jonathan), *Syria's Crisis and the Global Response*, *Council on Foreign Relations*, Aug. 13, 2013; GORDON (Evelyn), *Thanks to Syria, Timing of Looming Iran Crisis Is Fortuitous*, *Commentary*, Aug. 1, 2013; JINGLUN (Zhao), *Beyond Civil War*, *China.org.cn*, July 29, 2013; LAUB (Karin), *U.S. Drawn into Proxy War in Syria after Decision to Arm Rebels*, *Huffington Post*, June 14, 2013; FULTON (Will) ET AL., *Iranian Strategy in Syria, the Institute for the Study of War and AEI's Critical Threats Project*, *Institute for the Study of War*, 2013; WEISS (Stanley), *Five Strategies for the U.S. in Syria*, *Huffington Post*, Apr. 23, 2013; FUNK (Lindsay), *Syrian Civil War: Why Iraq Is More Terrified of Al-Qaeda than America*, *PolicyMic*, 2013; MAGUIRE (Dylan), *Syrian Civil War: Arming Secular Rebels Only Way to Weaken Al-Qaeda Ones*, *PolicyMic*, 2013; IVANOV (Georgi), *Syria Civil War: Why Israel and Iran May Go to War, Forcing the US to Send in Troops*, *PolicyMic*, 2012.

¹⁹⁵ About the axis of evil, see DAVIES (Graeme A. M.), *Strategic Cooperation, the Invasion of Iraq and the Behaviour of the 'Axis of Evil'*, 1990-2004, *Journal of Peace Research*, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2008, pp. 385-399; WORDS WITHOUT BORDERS, *Literature from the "Axis of Evil": Writing from Iran, Iraq, North Korea, and Other Enemy Nations*, New York: New Press, 2007; HERADSTVEIT (Daniel) & BONHAM (G. Matthew), *What the Axis of Evil Metaphor Did to Iran*, *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 61, No. 3, 2007, pp. 421-440; DIFILIPPO (Anthony), *US Policy and the Nuclear Weapons Ambitions of the "Axis of Evil" Countries*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2006, pp. 101-123; DUECK (Colin), *Strategies for Managing Rogue States*, *Orbis*, Vol. 50, No. 2, 2006, pp. 223-241; TAREMI (Kamran), *Beyond the Axis of Evil: Ballistic Missiles in Iran's Military Thinking*, *Security Dialogue*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2005, pp. 93-108; CHUNG (Oknim), *U.S. Approaches toward the Two Remaining "Evils"*, *Korean Journal of Defense Analysis*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2005, pp. 185-211; EUBANKS (Philip) & SCHAEFFER (John D.), *A Dialogue between Traditional and Cognitive Rhetoric: Readings of Figuration in George W. Bush's "Axis of Evil" Address*, *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2004, pp. 53-70; BAHGAT (Gawdat), *Iran, the United States, and the War on Terrorism*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2003, pp. 93-104; BUSH (George Walker), *State of the Union Address*, *Miller Center*, Jan. 29, 2002; WATTS (Jonathan), *Balancing the "Axis of Evil" in Northeast Asia*, *Lancet*, Vol. 360, No. 9335, 2002, pp. 735-735; KENNEDY (Andrew), *Nuclear Diplomacy in the Axis of Evil: The Challenge of North Korea Air Power*, *RUSI Journal*, Vol. 148, No. 3, 2003, pp. 66-70; RYAN (Maria), *Inventing the 'Axis of Evil': The Myth and Reality of US Intelligence and Policy-Making after 9/11*, *Intelligence and National Security*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2002, pp. 55-76.

¹⁹⁶ Such as Khamenei, Hashemi Rafsanjani, Mostafa Pour-Mohammadi, Rouhani, Khatami, Hamid Karzai, Kissinger, Bush, Blair, Putin, Alexander Lukashenko, Gurbanguly Berdimuhamedov, Fidel and Raul Castro, Omar Al-Bashir, Benjamin Netanyahu, Mugabe, Bashar Al-Assad, Xi Jinping, Kim Jong-un, Uhuru Kenyatta, William Ruto, Zine El Abidine Ben Ali and his clan.

- ¹⁹⁷ See PLONCARD D'ASSAC (Philippe), *Les Crimes d'États du Mondialisme*, Égalité et Reconciliation, Nice, Mai 31, 2013.
- ¹⁹⁸ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit.; FAZAL (Tanisha M.), *State Death: The Politics and Geography of Conquest, Occupation, and Annexation*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007; RUMMEL (Rudolph J.), *Death by Government*, foreword by Irving Louis Horowitz, New Brunswick: Transactions, 1994.
- ¹⁹⁹ AGAMBEN (Giorgio), *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*, trans. by Daniel Heller-Roazen, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1995; NOYS (Benjamin), *The Culture of Death*, op. cit., p. 31.
- ²⁰⁰ MARTIN (Brian), *Eliminating State Crime by Abolishing the State*, in ROSS (Jeffrey Ian) (ed.), *Controlling State Crime*, 2nd ed., New Brunswick and London: Transaction, 2000, p. 389.
- ²⁰¹ AYDINLI (Ersel), *Before Jihadists There Were Anarchists: A Failed Case of Transnational Violence*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 31, No. 10, 2008, p. 903.
- ²⁰² About this organization, see ESTOP (Juan Domingo Sánchez), *WikiLeaks: From Abbé Barruel to Jeremy Bentham and Beyond (A Short Introduction to the New Theories of Conspiracy and Transparency)*, *Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2014, pp. 40-49; HINDMAN (Elizabeth) & THOMAS (Ryan), *When Old and New Media Collide: The Case of WikiLeaks*, *New Media and Society*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2014, pp. 541-558; MORTENSEN (Mette), *Who Is Surveilling Whom? Negotiations of Surveillance and Sousveillance in Relation to WikiLeaks' Release of the Gun Camera Tape Collateral Murder*, *Photographies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 23-37; BEYER (Jessica L.), *The Emergence of a Freedom of Information Movement: Anonymous, WikiLeaks, the Pirate Party, and Iceland*, *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2014, pp. 141-154; RAMSTACK (Tom), *Soldier in WikiLeaks Case Said Video Would Cause Splash*, Reuters, June 11, 2013; DEDMAN (Bill), *U.S. v. WikiLeaks: Espionage and the First Amendment*, NBC News, Apr. 18, 2012; BREVINI (Benedetta) ET AL. (ed.), *Beyond WikiLeaks: Implications for the Future of Communications, Journalism, and Society*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013; GREENWALD (Glenn), *Prosecution of Anonymous Activists Highlights War for Internet Control*, Guardian, Nov. 23, 2012; MAZA (Cristina), *Wikileaks Founder Julian Assange Seeks Asylum in Ecuador, Fearing U.S. Prosecution*, PolicyMic, 2012; CURRAN (Giorel) & GIBSON (Morgan), *WikiLeaks, Anarchism and Technologies of Dissent*, *Antipode*, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2012, pp. 294-314; PENENBERG (Adam L.), *WikiLeaks' Julian Assange: 'Anarchist,' 'Agitator,' 'Arrogant' and a Journalist*, Washington Post, Jan. 28, 2011; ROBERTS (Alasdair), *The WikiLeaks Illusion*, *Wilson Quarterly*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2011, pp. 16-21; MOROZOV (Evgeny), *WikiLeaks and the Perils of Extreme Glasnost*, *New Perspectives Quarterly*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2011, pp. 7-11; KROTOSKI (Aleks), *WikiLeaks and the New, Transparent World Order*, *Political Quarterly*, Vol. 82, No. 4, 2011, pp. 526-530; THOMAS (Barbara), *WikiLeaks and the Question of Responsibility within a Global Democracy*, *European View*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2011, pp. 17-23; HOOD (Christopher), *From FOI World to WikiLeaks World: A New Chapter in the Transparency Story?*, *Governance*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2011, pp. 635-638; DICKINSON (Elizabeth), *The First WikiLeaks Revolution?*, *Foreign Policy*, Jan. 13, 2011; MOORE (Adam D.), *Privacy, Security, and Government Surveillance: WikiLeaks and the New Accountability*, *Public Affairs Quarterly*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2011, pp. 141-156; ELLISON (Sarah), *The Man Who Spilled the Secrets*, Vanity Fair, 2011; KREIG (Andrew), *Rove Suspected in Swedish-US Political Prosecution of Wikileaks*, Huffington Post, Dec. 19, 2010; BRYANT (Martin), *Why hasn't the US government crushed Wikileaks?*, Next Web, Oct. 23, 2010.
- ²⁰³ About the concept of *Rogue State* and its examples, see MITCHELL (Sara McLaughlin) & TRUMBORE (Peter F.), *Rogue States and Territorial Disputes*, *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2014, pp. 323-339; JUMBERT (Maria Gabrielsen), *How Sudan's 'Rogue' State Label Shaped US Responses to the Darfur Conflict: What's the Problem and Who's in Charge?*, *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2014, pp. 284-299; O'REILLY (Kelly P.), *A Rogue Doctrine?: The Role of Strategic Culture on US Foreign Policy Behavior*, *Foreign Policy Analysis*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2013, pp. 57-77; CLARKE (Michael), *Iran as a 'Pariah' Nuclear Aspirant*, *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 67, No. 4, 2013, pp. 491-510; MATTAR (Karim), *Rereading the 'Rogue State'*, *Interventions*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2012, pp. 551-568; MOSES (John), *The Prusso-German Idea of War: The Values of a virtual Rogue State*, *History Compass*, Vol. 10, No. 12, 2012, pp. 901-917; ROTBERG (Robert Irwin) (ed.), *Worst of the Worst: Dealing with Repressive and Rogue Nations*, Cambridge: World Peace Foundation, 2007; BLUM (William), *Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower*, 3rd ed., Monroe: Common Courage Press, 2005; DERRIDA (Jacques), *Rogues: Two Essays on Reason*, trans. by Pascale-Anne Brault and Michael Naas, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2005; ALLMAN (T. D.), *Rogue State: America at War with the World*, New York: Nation Books, 2004; TRIPLETT (William C., II), *Rogue State: How a Nuclear North Korea Threatens America*, Washington, D.C.: Lanham, 2004; MINNEROP (Petra), *Rogue States: State Sponsors of Terrorism?*, *German Law Journal*, Vol. 3, 2002; THOMPSON (Janna), *Is There Such a Thing as a Rogue State?*, Melbourne: Centre for Applied Philosophy and Public Ethics, 2002; LITWAK (Robert S.), op. cit.; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Rogue States*, Z Magazine, Apr. 1998.
- ²⁰⁴ See BUCKLEY (Sean), *Iran Throws Tech Bloggers in Prison for Working with 'Enemy Media'*, Engadget, June 20, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Briton among Eight Jailed in Iran for Web Insults*, Guardian, May 27, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN

(Saeed), *Iran Cyber Police Blocked in Bid to Identify Blogger*, Guardian, May 13, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iran Accused of Torturing Blogger to Death*, Guardian, Nov. 8, 2012; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranian Blogger on Hunger Strike Close to Death, Warn Fellow Prisoners*, Guardian, June 6, 2012; BALDINO (Daniel) & GOOLD (Jarrad), *Iran and the Emergence of Information and Communications Technology: The Evolution of Revolution?*, Australian Journal of International Affairs, Vol. 68, No. 1, 2014, pp. 17-35; SMALL MEDIA, *Iranian Internet Infrastructure and Policy Report*, Sep.-Oct. 2013; *Mother of Slain Blogger Sattar Beheshti Speaks Out*, YouTube, Apr. 4, 2013; *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran*, Human Rights Council, Feb. 28, 2013, pp. 8-9; NEBEHAY (Stephanie), *Iran Increasing Arrests, Torture, Executions, UN Says*, Huffington Post, Feb. 28, 2013; *Blogger's Death Stirs Political Hornet's Nest in Iran*, Middle East Experience, Dec. 26, 2012; ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *'Murder': Some Accountability in Iranian Blogger Sattar Beheshti's Death*, Atlantic, Nov. 14, 2012; *Iran Prosecutor Confirms Blogger Sattar Beheshti Died in Police Custody*, Guardian, Nov. 12, 2012; LUCAS (Scott), *Iran Feature: The Last Blog of Sattar Beheshti, Murdered by Security Forces in Prison*, EA WorldView, Nov. 12, 2012; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran Must Immediately Investigate Blogger's Death in Custody*, Nov. 8, 2012; DEASY (Kristin), *Sattar Beheshti, Iranian Opposition Blogger, Dies Days after Arrest*, Global Post, Nov. 8, 2012; KRAUSE-JACKSON (Flavia), *Iran Cyber-Repression Brings U.S. Sanctions on Officials*, Bloomberg, Nov. 8, 2012; MOHAJERINEJAD (Reza), *The Killing of Sattar Beheshti*, Iranian, Nov. 7, 2012; CROSS (Kevin), *Why Iran's Green Movement Faltered: The Limits of Information Technology in a Rentier State*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2010, SAIS Review, pp. 169-187; Hossein Ronaghi-Maleki, *CyberDissidents*, Dec. 13, 2009; TAIT (Robert), *Iran Moves to Silence Opposition with Internet Crime Unit*, Guardian, Nov. 15, 2009.

205 About these concepts, see LINDTNER (Silvia), *Hackerspaces and the Internet of Things in China: How Makers Are Reinventing Industrial Production, Innovation, and the Self*, China Information, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2014, pp. 145-167; SULLIVAN (Jonathan), *China's Weibo: Is Faster Different?*, New Media and Society, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 24-37; ROCHE (Edward M.) & BLAINE (Michael J.), *International Convention for the Peaceful Use of Cyberspace*, Orbis, Vol. 58, No. 2, 2014, pp. 282-296; ROWLAND (Jill) ET AL., *Whither Cyberpower?*, International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 124-137; KRAUSE-JACKSON (Flavia), *Iran Cyber-Repression Brings U.S. Sanctions on Officials*, op. cit.; ZHANG (Tao), *Governance and Dissidence in Online Culture in China: The Case of Anti-CNN and Online Gaming*, Theory, Culture and Society, Vol. 30, No. 5, 2013, pp. 70-93; SINPENG (Aim), *State Repression in Cyberspace: The Case of Thailand*, Asian Politics and Policy, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2013, pp. 421-440; GREGORY (John), *Government Control of the Internet*, Slaw, Jan. 16, 2013; REPORTERS WITHOUT BORDERS, *Internet Enemies*, Paris, Mar. 12, 2012; DREYER (John), *Engaging the Audience: Rethinking Hegemon and the Web*, Vol. 44, No. 9, Futures, 2012, pp. 778-786; DUTTON (William H.) ET AL., *Freedom of Connection, Freedom of Expression: The Changing Legal and Regulatory Ecology Shaping the Internet*, Paris: UNESCO, 2011; UNESCO, *New Media: The Press Freedom Dimension, Challenges and Opportunities of New Media for Press Freedom*, Paris, 2007; MOROZOV (Evgeny), *Why the Worlds Secret Police Want You to Join Facebook*, Sunday Times, Mar. 6, 2011; UYGHUR HUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT, *Trapped in a Virtual Cage: Chinese State Repression of Uyghurs Online*, 2010; BROVERMAN (Aaron), *From a Toronto Basement, Citizen Lab Fights Tyranny Online*, This Magazine, Mar. 22, 2010; BUTLER (Joy R.), *The Cyber Citizen's Guide through the Legal Jungle: Internet Law for Your Professional Online Presence*, Arlington: Sashay Communications, 2010; SHARIFF (Shaheen) & CHURCHILL (Andrew H.) (ed.), *Truths and Myths of Cyber-Bullying: International Perspectives on Stakeholder Responsibility and Children's Safety*, New York: Peter Lang, 2010; HE (Qinglian), *The Fog of Censorship: Media Control in China*, trans. by Paul Frank, New York: Human Rights in China, 2007; ALLEN (Kate), *Today, Our Chance to Fight a New Hi-tech Tyranny*, Guardian, May 28, 2006; GOLDSMITH (Jack) & WU (Tim), *Who Controls the Internet?*, op. cit.; TOMLINSON (James E.), *Cybercitizens of the Commonwealth: How Rural and Urban Pennsylvanians Access and Use the Internet*, Harrisburg: Center for Rural Pennsylvania, 2001; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *From the Streets to the Internet: The Cyber-Diffusion of Contention*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 566, No. 1, 1999, pp. 132-143; HAUBEN (Michael) & HAUBEN (Ronda), *Netizens: On the History and Impact of Usenet and the Internet*, Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1997.

206 See STEINMETZ (Kevin F.) & GERBER (Jurg), *"The Greatest Crime Syndicate since the Gambinos": A Hacker Critique of Government, Law, and Law Enforcement*, Deviant Behavior, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2014, pp. 243-261; LEONARD (Philip), *'A Revolution in Code'? Hari Kunzru's Transmission and the Cultural Politics of Hacking*, Textual Practice, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2014, pp. 267-287; MANSFIELD-DEVINE (Steve), *Hactivism: Assessing the Damage*, Network Security, No. 8, 2011, pp. 5-13; MORRISON (Aimée), *An Impossible Future: John Perry Barlow's 'Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace'*, New Media and Society, Vol. 11, No. 1-2, 2009, pp. 53-71; COLEMAN (E. Gabriella) & GOLUB (Alex), *Hacker Practice: Moral Genres and the Cultural Articulation of Liberalism*, Anthropological Theory, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2008, pp. 255-277; ROUSE (Margaret), *Hactivism*, Search Security, 2007; TAYLOR (Paul A.), *From Hackers to Hacktivists: Speed Bumps on the Global Superhighway?*, New Media and Society, Vol. 7, No. 5, 2005, pp. 625-646; GUNKEL (David), *Editorial: Introduction to Hacking and Hactivism*, New Media and Society, Vol. 7, No. 5, 2005, pp. 595-597; JORDAN (Tim) & TAYLOR (Paul A.), *Hactivism and Cyberwars: Rebels with a*

Cause?, London: Routledge, 2004; AUTY (Caroline), *Political Hacktivism: Tool of the Underdog or Scourge of Cyberspace?*, Aslib Proceedings: New Information Perspectives, Vol. 56, No. 4, 2004, pp. 212-221; JORDAN (Tim), *Activism!: Direct Action, Hacktivism and the Future of Society*, London: Reaktion Books, 2002; BUSCH (Otto von) & PALMAS (Karl), *Abstract Hacktivism: The Making of a Hacker Culture*, London and Istanbul: Open Mute, 2006; KRAPP (Peter), *Terror and Play, or What Was Hacktivism?*, Grey Room, 2005, pp. 70-93; BALDI (Stefano) ET AL., *Hacktivism, Cyber-Terrorism and Cyberwar: The Activities of the Uncivil Society in Cyberspace*, Msida and Geneva: DiploFoundation, 2003; HANCOCK (Bill), *Hacktivism Increasing*, Computers and Security, Vol. 19, No. 8, 2000, pp. 673-674; LEVY (Steven), *Hackers: Heroes of the Computer Revolution*, New York: Anchor Press/Doubleday, 1984.

²⁰⁷ BLAKE (Leslie) ET AL., *Over-Regulation and Suing the State for Negligent Legislation*, Statute Law Review, Vol. 28, No 3, 2007, p. 224.

²⁰⁸ See MONAGHAN (Jeffrey), *Terror Carceralism: Surveillance, Security Governance and De/Civilization*, Punishment and Society, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2013, pp. 3-22; O'MALLEY (Pat), *Volatile and Contradictory Punishment*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1999, pp. 175-196.

²⁰⁹ SPITZER (Steven), *Punishment and Social Organization: A Study of Durkheim's Theory of Penal Evolution*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1975, p. 624. See also GREENBERG, *Punishment, Division of Labor, and Social Solidarity*, in ADLER (Freda) & LAUFER (William S.) (ed.), *Advances in Criminological Theory*, New Brunswick and New Jersey: Transaction, 1999, pp. 283-361; BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., p. 40.

²¹⁰ CONSTANT (Benjamin), *Principles of Politics Applicable to All Governments*, ed. by Etienne Hofmann, trans. by Dennis O'Keeffe, intr. by Nicholas Capaldi, Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 2003, p. 63.

²¹¹ CONSTANT (Benjamin), *Principles of Politics Applicable to All Governments*, op. cit., p. 63.

²¹² *McCulloch v. Maryland*, 17 U.S. 4 Wheat. 316 (1819).

²¹³ SZASZ (Thomas), *Suicide Prohibition: The Shame of Medicine*, Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 2011, pp. 79-80, xiii. About the relationship between the State and suicide, see also KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., p. 6; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit., pp. 7, 15; KOCH (Michael) & TKACH (Benjamin), *Deterring or Mobilizing? The Influence of Government Partisanship and Force on the Frequency, Lethality and Suicide Attacks of Terror Events*, Peace Economics, Peace Science and Public Policy, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2012, Art. 5; TUFFS (Annette), *Swiss Government Considers Stricter Law on Assisted Suicide*, British Medical Journal, Vol. 339, No. 7715, 2009, pp. 257-257; PARRY (Jane) & LINDSEY (Rose), *Farmers, Farm Workers and Work-Related Stress*, London: Policy Studies Institute for the Health and Safety Executive, 2005; CARTER (Gregory T.) ET AL., *Whose Life Is It, Anyway? The Federal Government vs. the State of Oregon on the Legality of Physician-Assisted Suicide*, American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2005, pp. 249-251; BLAKELY (Tony) & COLLINGS (Sunny), *Is There a Causal Association between Suicide Rates and the Political Leanings of Government?*, Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, Vol. 56, No. 10, 2002, p. 722; SHAW (Mary) ET AL., *Mortality and Political Climate: How Suicide Rates Have Risen during Periods of Conservative Government, 1901-2000*, Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, Vol. 56, No. 10, 2002, pp. 723-725; CHARATAN (Fred), *US Government Moves against Doctor Assisted Suicide*, British Medical Journal, Vol. 323, No. 7322, 2001, pp. 1149-1149; DILLNER (Luisa), *Suicide among Farmers Provokes Government Action*, British Medical Journal, Vol. 308, No. 6935, 1994, p. 1001; GLENN (Gary D.), *Inalienable Rights and Locke's Argument for Limited Government: Political Implications of a Right to Suicide*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 46, No. 1, 1984, pp. 80-105.

²¹⁴ About this form of crime, see KUMAR (Kalyan), *Thailand Asks New Zealand to Extradite Lèse Majeste Suspect: Concern High Over Its Use Against Political Rivals*, International Business Times, June 18, 2015; JONES (Derek) (ed.), *Censorship: A World Encyclopedia*, London: Fitzroy Dearborn, 2001, pp. 1397-1402; BAR (Car Ludwig von) ET AL., *A History of Continental Criminal Law*, trans. by Thomas S. Bell and others, New Jersey: The Lawbook Exchange, 1999, p. 320; KELLY (G. A.), *From Lèse-Majesté to Lèse-Nation: Treason in Eighteenth-Century France*, Journal of the History of Ideas, Vol. 42, No. 2, 1981, pp. 269-28.

²¹⁵ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., p. 3.

²¹⁶ WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., p. 40 (note 12).

²¹⁷ STOSSEL (John F.), *No Regulation?, No Problem*, Creators, Jan. 2, 2013. See also STOSSEL (John F.), *The ObamaCare Regulation Overload*, YouTube, May 7, 2013.

²¹⁸ See also FEAGIN (Joe) & BENNEFIELD (Zinobia), *Systemic Racism and U.S. Health Care*, Social Science and Medicine, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2014, p. 9; LARGENT (Mark A.), *Breeding Contempt: The History of Coerced Sterilization in the United States*,

New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2008; BRADFORD (William C.), *Acknowledging and Rectifying the Genocide of American Indians: "Why Is It that They Carry Their Lives on Their Fingernails?"*, *Metaphilosophy*, Vol. 37, No. 3-4, 2006, pp. 523; MAY (Elaine Tyler), *Barren in the Promised Land: Childless Americans and the Pursuit of Happiness*, New York: Basic Books, 1995; SHAPIRO (Thomas M.), *Population Control Politics: Women, Sterilization, and Reproductive Choice*, Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1985; DARITY (William A.) & TURNER (Castellano B.), *Fears of Genocide among Black Americans as related to Age, Sex, and Region*, *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 63, No. 12, 1973, pp. 1029-1034; DARITY (William A.) & TURNER (Castellano B.), *Family Planning, Race Consciousness and the Fear of Race Genocide*, *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 62, No. 11, 1972, pp. 1454-1459.

219 YARDI (Efrat), *Israel's Ethiopians Suffer Different 'Planned' Parenthood*, *Haaretz*, Dec. 12, 2012.

220 YARDI (Efrat), *Israel's Ethiopians Suffer Different 'Planned' Parenthood*, op. cit. See also KAPLAN SOMMER (Allison), *Ethiopian Women and Birth Control: When a Scoop Becomes a Smear*, *Haaretz*, Jan. 30, 2013; KNELL (Yolande), *Israeli Ethiopian Birth Control to Be Examined*, *BBC News*, Feb. 28, 2013; HAUSER (Emily L.), *Israel's Ethiopian Birth Control Story Reflects A Broader Problem*, *Daily Beast*, Jan. 28, 2013; *Furore in Israel over Birth Control Drugs for Ethiopian Jews*, *IRIN*, Jan. 28, 2013; NESHER (Talila), *Israel admits Ethiopian Women Were Given Birth Control Shots*, *Haaretz*, Jan. 27, 2013; *Ethiopian Birth Control?*, *Jerusalem Post*, Dec. 10, 2012; COOK (Jonathan), *Israel's Treatment of Ethiopians 'Racist'*, *National*, Jan. 6, 2010.

221 For certain examples, see NORDENSVARD (Johan), *The Mass-Production of Quality 'Human Material': Economic Metaphors and Compulsory Sterilisation in Sweden*, *Critical Discourse Studies*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2013, pp. 172-186; WESTERMANN (Stefanie), *Secret Suffering: The Victims of Compulsory Sterilization during National Socialism*, *History of Psychiatry*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2012, pp. 483-487; ESSACK (Zaynab) & STRODE (Ann), *"I Feel Like Half a Woman all the Time": The Impacts of Coerced and Forced Sterilisations on HIV-Positive Women in South Africa*, *Agenda*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2012, pp. 24-34; HOLT (Ed), *Uzbekistan Accused of Forced Sterilisation Campaign*, *Lancet*, Vol. 379, No. 9835, 2012, p. 2415; *Diplomatic Standoff over Blind 'Barefoot Lawyer' Who Fled to U.S. Embassy as Chinese Government Fears More Dissidents Could Seek American Protection*, *Daily Mail*, Apr. 30, 2012; ZAMPAS (Christina) & LAMAČKOVÁ (Adriana), *Forced and Coerced Sterilization of Women in Europe*, *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, Vol. 114, No. 2, 2011, pp. 163-166; MOONEY (Jadwiga E. Pieper), *Re-Visiting Histories of Modernization, Progress, and (Unequal) Citizenship Rights: Coerced Sterilization in Peru and in the United States*, *History Compass*, Vol. 8, No. 9, 2010, pp. 1036-1054; FORD (Peter), *China's Blind Activist Lawyer, Chen Guangcheng, Released from Prison*, *Christian Science Monitor*, Sep. 9, 2010; GERALD (John Bart), *A Note on Peru*, op. cit.; GETGEN (Jocelyn E.), *Untold Truths: The Exclusion of Enforced Sterilizations from the Peruvian Truth Commission's Final Report*, *Boston College Third World Law Journal*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2009, Art. 1; PATEL (Priti), *How Did We Get Here and Where to Now? The Coerced Sterilisation of HIV-Positive Women in Namibia*, *Agenda*, Vol. 22, No. 75, 2008, pp. 38-44; *Peruvian Human Rights Commission Charges USAID and UNFPA Supported Forced Sterilization*, *Population Research Institute*, July 8, 2003; MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Peruvian Human Rights Commission Charges USAID and UNFPA Supported Forced Sterilization*, op. cit.; MOSHER (Steve Westley), *Bush Stops Funds for UNFPA Abuses*, op. cit.; BRANTLINGER (Ellen A.), *Sterilization of People with Mental Disabilities: Issues, Perspectives, and Cases*, Westport: Auburn House, 1995; BURLEIGH (Michael), *Death and Deliverance: 'Euthanasia' in Germany c. 1900-1945*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994; ANNAS (George J.) & GRODIN (Michael A.) (ed.), *The Nazi Doctors and the Nuremberg Code: Human Rights in Human Experimentation*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1992; RUBENSTEIN (Richard L.) & ROTH (John K.), *Approaches to Auschwitz: The Holocaust and Its Legacy*, Atlanta: John Knox Press, 1987; LIFTON (Robert Jay), *The Nazi Doctors: Medical Killing and the Psychology of Genocide*, New York: Basic Books, 1986.

222 For example, see Toronto District School Board, children's rights, children's laws, youth criminal justice act, juvenile court, young offender's court, youth court, family law, family court, aboriginal rights, aboriginal laws, aboriginal court, traffic code, traffic court, traffic tribunal, Municipal Electoral Tribunal, Organized Crime Enforcement, UN, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, Principles Governing the General Regulation and Reduction of Armaments, UN Environment Programme, UNODC, UNHCR, UN Economic and Social Council, ICC, Special Tribunal for Lebanon, UN Commission on Human Rights, UN Children's Fund (UNICEF), UN Atomic Energy Commission, CE, EU, European Convention on the Exercise of Children's Rights, European Convention on Human Rights, European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings, Convention on Cybercrime, European Parliament resolution on the Future of Regional Airports and Air Services in the EU, ECHR, Need for International Condemnation of Crimes of Totalitarian Communist Regimes (Resolution 1481/2006 of the CE Parliamentary Assembly), European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Court of Justice of the European Union, OAS, American Convention on Human Rights, Inter-American Convention

for the Protection and Conservation of Sea Turtles, European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, African Union, African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, International Bureau for Children's Rights, Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, Anti-Slavery International, Center for Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, Friends of Peoples Close to Nature, Human Rights Internet, and Human Rights First.

²²³ For example, see GRANT (Isabel), *The Over-Criminalization of Persons with HIV*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 63, No. 3, 2013, pp. 475-484; DERCOLE (Fabio) ET AL., *Overpunishing Is Not Necessary to Fix Cooperation in Voluntary Public Goods Games*, Journal of Theoretical Biology, Vol. 326, 2013, pp. 70-81; METCALF (Hope) & SANNEH (Sia), *Overcriminalization and Excessive Punishment: Uncoupling Pipelines to Prison*, Report from the December 2011 Workshop at Yale Law School, New Haven: Yale Law School, 2012; YANKAH (Ekow N.), *A Paradox in Overcriminalization*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-34; DÍEZ (Carlos Gómez-Jara), *Corporate Culpability as a Limit to the Overcriminalization of Corporate Criminal Liability: The Interplay between Self-Regulation, Corporate Compliance, and Corporate Citizenship*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 78-96; MOLINA (Fernando), *A Comparison between Continental European and Anglo-American Approaches to Overcriminalization and Some Remarks on How to Deal With it*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 123-138; BYLAND (Karin) & VARONE (Frédéric), *Judiciarisation de l'Action Publique en Suisse: Analyse du Contentieux Administratif au Tribunal Fédéral*, Swiss Political Science Review, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, pp. 78-100; ECKHOLM (Erik), *Even in New York, China Casts a Shadow*, New York Times, June 18, 2012; LITTLEJOHN (Reggie), *Chen Guangcheng Risked Everything to Save Women from Forced Abortion and Sterilization in China*, Women's Rights Without Frontiers, Apr. 29, 2012; *Chen Guangcheng's Report Released: Forced Abortion and Sterilization*, Women's Rights Without Frontiers; HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, *Over-Criminalization of Conduct/Over-Federalization of Criminal Law*, Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2010; RAMSAY (Peter), *Overcriminalization as Vulnerable Citizenship*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2010, pp. 262-285; OLIVER (Dawn) ET AL. (ed.), *The Regulatory State: Constitutional Implications*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010; MILBURN (Philip A.) (ed.), *From Security-Mania to Hyper-Criminalization: French Justice Under Pressure*, in MUCCHIELLI (Laurent) (ed.), *The Security Mania: France 2010*, Chicago: Carceral Notebooks, Vol. 5, 2009, pp. 45-55; HUSAK (Douglas), *Overcriminalization: The Limits of the Criminal Law*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2008; GONZÁLEZ (Juan Carlos) & PORTILLOS (Edwardo L.), *The Undereducation and Overcriminalization of U.S. Latinas/os: A Post-Los Angeles Riots LatCrit Analysis*, Educational Studies, Vol. 42, No. 3, 2007, pp. 247-266; RIOS (Victor M.), *The Hyper-Criminalization of Black and Latino Male Youth in the Era of Mass Incarceration*, Souls, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2006, pp. 40-54; LUNA (Erik), *The Overcriminalization Phenomenon*, American University Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2005, pp. 703-743; SUN BEALE (Sara), *The Many Faces of Overcriminalization: From Morals and Mattress Tags to Overfederalization*, American University Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2005, pp. 747-782; MOOHR (Geraldine Szott), *Defining Overcriminalization through Cost-Benefit Analysis: The Example of Criminal Copyright Laws Overcriminalization*, American University Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2005, pp. 783-808; HEALY (Gene), *The Culture of Criminalization*, Cato Institute, May 18, 2005; TRAVIS (Alan) ET AL., *Britain 'Sliding into Police State'*, Guardian, Jan. 28, 2005; TESKE (Paul Eric), *Regulation in the States*, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2004; CORRY (Dan), *Regulatory State: Labour and the Utilities 1997-2002*, London: Institute for Public Policy Research, 2003; WAGSTAFF (Graham F.) & PREECE (Dawn), *Is Overpunishment Fairer than Underpunishment? Perceptions of Deviations from Equity*, Psychology, Crime and Law, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1997, pp. 261-274; TEUBNER (Gunther) (ed.), *A Comparative Analysis in the Areas of Labor, Corporate, Antitrust, and Social Welfare Law*, Berlin: De Gruyter, 1987; TEUBNER (Gunther) (ed.), *Dilemmas of Law in the Welfare State*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1986; RICHARDS (David A. J.), *Sex, Drugs, Death, and the Law: An Essay on Human Rights and Overcriminalization*, Totowa: Rowman and Littlefield, 1982; CHAPMAN (Brian), *The Police-State, Government and Opposition*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1968, pp. 428-440; KADISH (Sanford H.), *The Crisis of Overcriminalization*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 374, No. 1, 1967, pp. 157-170.

²²⁴ VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Revolutionary Solidarity*, Anarchist Library, 1989-1994, p. 5.

²²⁵ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment*, op. cit.

²²⁶ See HAINES (Lester), *Big Stink over Malawi Farting Ban: Solicitor General Challenges Trouser Cough Clampdown*, Register, Feb. 4, 2011; *Malawian Air Fouling Legislation of 2011: Malawi Row over Whether New Law Bans Farting*, BBC News, Feb. 4, 2011; NORDGREN (Dan), *African Dictator Passes No Fanny Flatulence Law*, Christ Wire, Feb. 2, 2011; FERNANDEZ (Colin), *Better in than out: African Country Set to Make Breaking Wind a Crime*, Daily Mail, Jan. 28, 2011; MARUPI (Kabelo), *Malawi Bill Seeks to Punish Public Farting and Fortune Tellers*, Afrik News, Jan. 26, 2011.

²²⁷ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., p. 11.

- 228 SUTHERLAND (Edwin Hardin), *White-Collar Criminality*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1940, p. 8. See also SUTHERLAND (Edwin Hardin), *Is "White Collar Crime" Crime?*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1945, p. 137.
- 229 See FERNANDEZ (Luis A.) & HUEY (Laura) (ed.), *Rethinking Policing and Justice: Exploring Alternatives to Law Enforcement*, London: Routledge, 2012; PALMIOTTO (Michael J.), *Community Policing: A Police-Citizen Partnership*, New York: Routledge, 2011; CLEAR (Todd R.) ET AL., *Community Justice*, 2nd ed., Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; GORDON (Uri), *Getting Free: Creating an Association of Democratic Autonomous Neighborhoods*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 116-118; HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit.; HANNIMAN (Wayne), *Canadian Muslims, Islamophobia and National Security*, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2008, pp. 271-285; ZHAO (Jihong Solomon) ET AL., *Community Policing: Did It Change the Basic Functions of Policing in the 1990s?*, Justice Quarterly, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2003, pp. 697-724; CLEAR (Todd R.) & KARP (David R.), *Community Justice: A Conceptual Framework*, in FRIEL (Charles M.) (ed.), *Boundary Changes in Criminal Justice Organizations*, Criminal Justice, Vol. 2, Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Justice, 2000, pp. 323-368; KARP (David R.) (ed.), *Community Justice: An Emerging Field*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1998; *Community Policy Defined*, Community Oriented Policing Services; *Community Policing*, Vancouver Police Department; KERR (Gregor), *Crime, Punishment and Community Policing*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 6, 2002, pp. 4-7; WEITZER (Ronald), *Policing under Fire: Ethnic Conflict and Police-Community Relations in Northern Ireland*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 1996.
- 230 JAREBORG (Nils), *Crime Ideologies*, Stockholm Institute for Scandinavian Law, Vol. 40, 2000, p. 440.
- 231 BUNGER (Amy), *Founding the Criminal Law: Punishment and Political Thought in the Origins of America*, book review, American Political Science Association, Vol. 95, No. 2, 2001, p. 483.
- 232 See OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), *Towards Libertarian Welfarism*, op. cit.; TINNEVELT (Ronald), *Federal World Government: The Road to Peace and Justice?*, Cooperation and Conflict, Vol. 47, No. 2, 2012, pp. 220-238; DOBRA (Alexandra), *The State under Globalization: Weakened State or Reinforced Economic Planner?*, New Global Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2011, Art. 4; THORBURN (Malcolm), *Reinventing the Night-Watchman State?*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2010, pp. 425-444; FULDA (Joseph S.), *Arguing for Liberty in a Secular Age*, Economic Affairs, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2009, pp. 83-84; BRO (Henning), *Housing*, op. cit.; KELLY (Robert E.), *No 'Return to the State': Dependency and Developmentalism against Neo-Liberalism*, Development in Practice, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2008, pp. 319-332; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Anarchism and Minarchism: No Rapprochement Possible: Reply to Tibor Machan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2007, pp. 61-90; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Anarchism and Minarchism: No Rapprochement Possible: Reply to Tibor Machan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 741-770; WARD (Ian), op. cit., pp. 120-123; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *The Libertarian Minimal State?: A Critique of the Views of Nozick, Levin, and Rand*, Journal of Ayn Rand Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2002, pp. 141-160; HUEBERT (Jacob H.), *Libertarianism Today*, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2010, p. 5; LONG (Roderick Tracy) & MACHAN (Tibor Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism/Minarchism: Is a Government part of a Free Country?*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2008; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchism and Minarchism: A Rapprochement*, Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2002, Art. 6; POSNER (Richard Allen), *Hayek, the Law, and Cognition*, NYU Journal of Law and Liberty, Vol. 1, No. 0, 2005, pp. 147-165; HAMOWY (Ronald) (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Libertarianism*, op. cit., pp. 11-12; HEILBRONER (Robert), *Twenty-First Century Capitalism*, Concord: Anansi, 1992, pp. 52-53; BOOTH (Anne), *Night Watchman, Extractive, or Developmental States? Some Evidence from late Colonial South-East Asia*, Economic History Review, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2007, pp. 241-266; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 44-48, 96-102; FLEW (Antony), *Social Science: Making Visible the Invisible Hands*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1987, pp. 197-211; LEVIN (Michael E.), *A Hobbesian Minimal State*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1982, pp. 338-353; COTTLE (Rex L.) & WALLACE (Myles S.), *The Nearly Invisible Invisible Hand*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 341-343; NOZICK (Robert), *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*, New York: Basic Books, 1974; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Robert Nozick and the Immaculate Conception of the State*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1977, pp. 45-57; RYAN (Cheyney C.), *Yours, Mine, and Ours: Property Rights and Individual Liberty*, Ethics, Vol. 87, No. 2, 1977, pp. 126-141; O'NEILL (Onora), *Nozick's Entitlements*, Inquiry, Vol. 19, No. 1-4, 1976, pp. 468-481; HAYEK (Friedrich August), *The Road to Serfdom*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1976; HAYEK (Friedrich August), *The Constitution of Liberty*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1960; NAGEL (Thomas), *Libertarianism without Foundations*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 85, No. 1, 1975, pp. 136-149.
- 233 About the term "busy State", see BOOTH (Anne), *Night Watchman, Extractive, or Developmental States?*, op. cit., pp. 243, 261; KOHLI (Atul), *State-Directed Development: Political Power and Industrialization in the Global Periphery*, Cambridge: Cambridge, 2004, p. 40.
- 234 DUFF (R. Antony), *A Criminal Law for Citizens*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2010, p. 294.

-
- 235 GELDERLOOS (Peter) & LINCOLN (Patrick), *World Behind Bars: The Expansion of the American Prison Sell*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 26.
- 236 BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Locked Up*, Anarchist Library, 2008, p. 29.
- 237 DOLOVICH (Sharon), *Legitimate Punishment in Liberal Democracy*, Buffalo Criminal Law Review, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, pp. 442, 316 (note 20).
- 238 DOLOVICH (Sharon), *Idealism, Disproportionality, and Democracy: A Reply to Chambers and Garvey*, Buffalo Criminal Law Review, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, p. 479; RAWLS (John), *A Theory of Justice*, Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1971; CHAMBERS (Simone), *Democratizing Humility*, Buffalo Criminal Law Review, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2004, pp. 465-477.
- 239 For example see BOSHOF (Anél), *Law and Its Rhetoric of Violence*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 425-437; SPRINGER (Simon), *Illegal Evictions? Overwriting Possession and Orality with Law's Violence in Cambodia*, Journal of Agrarian Change, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2013, pp. 520-546; SKINNER (Stephen), *Violence in Fascist Criminal Law Discourse: War, Repression and Anti-Democracy*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 439-458; MENKE (Christoph), *Law and Violence*, Law and Literature, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2010, pp. 1-17; SARAT (Austin) & CULBERT (Jennifer Louise) (ed.), *States of Violence: War, Capital Punishment, and Letting Die*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009; SARAT (Austin) (ed.), *Law, Violence, and the Possibility of Justice*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002; SARAT (Austin), *When the State Kills: Capital Punishment and the American Condition*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001; SARAT (Austin), *Abolitionism as Legal Conservatism: The American Bar Association, the Death Penalty and the Continuing Anxiety about Law's Violence*, Theory and Event, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1997; SARAT (Austin), *Leading Law into the Abyss: What (If Anything) Has Sociology Done to Law?*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1994, pp. 609-624; SARAT (Austin), *Beyond the Law School: Teaching Law in Political Science*, Perspectives on Political Science, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1992, pp. 145-151; SARAT (Austin) & KEARNS (Thomas R.) (ed.), *Law's Violence*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1992; NOLL (Gregor), *The Miracle of Generative Violence? René Girard and the Use of Force in International Law*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2008, pp. 563-580; HUNTER (Rosemary), *Law's (Masculine) Violence: Reshaping Jurisprudence*, Law and Critique, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2006, pp. 27-46; BRADNEY (Anthony), *'It's About Power': Law in the Fictional Setting of a Quaker Meeting and in the Everyday Reality of 'Buffy the Vampire Slayer'*, Issues in Legal Scholarship, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2006, Art. 2; BLOMLEY (Nicholas), *Law, Property, and the Geography of Violence: The Frontier, the Survey, and the Grid*, Annals of the Association of American Geographers, Vol. 93, No. 1, 2003, pp. 121-141; LEWIS (William), *"In a Field of Pain and Death": Law/Rhetoric/History/Culture*, Rhetoric and Public Affairs, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2002, pp. 159-177; MORAN (Leslie J.), *Affairs of the Heart: Hate Crime and the Politics of Crime Control*, Law and Critique, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2001, pp. 331-344; TUITT (Patricia), *Law and Violence in Richard Wright's 'Native Son'*, Law and Critique, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2000, pp. 201-217; MALEY (Willy), *Beyond the Law?: The Justice of Deconstruction*, Law and Critique, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1999, pp. 49-69; MINOW (Martha) ET AL. (ed.), *Narrative, Violence, and the Law: The Essays of Robert Cover*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1993; COVER (Robert M.), *Violence and the Word*, Yale Journal of Law, Vol. 95, No. 8, 1986, pp. 1601-1629; DUNCAN (Sheila), *Law's Sexual Discipline: Visibility, Violence, and Consent*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1995, pp. 326-352.
- 240 BULLOCK (Marcus) & JENNINGS (Michael W.) (ed.), *Walter Benjamin*, op. cit., p. 236.
- 241 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Revolutionary Solidarity*, op. cit., p. 6.
- 242 See KIENSCHERF (Markus), *Security Assemblages and Spaces of Exception: The Production of (Para-) Militarized Spaces in the U.S. War on Drugs*, Journal of Radical Criminology, No. 1, 2012, pp. 19-35; STUART (Susan), *War as Metaphor and the Rule of Law in Crisis: The Lessons we Should Have Learned from the War on Drugs*, Southern Illinois University Law Journal, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-43; *The War on Terror: 10 Years Later*, Power Elite, Sep. 11, 2011; CASELLA (Jean) & RIDGEWAY (James), *What the War on Terror Owes to the War on Crime (Redux)*, Solitary Watch, June 22, 2010; FORMAN, JR. (James), *Exporting Harshness: How the War on Crime Helped Make the War on Terror Possible*, New York University Review of Law and Social Change, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2009, pp. 331-374; HUQ (Aziz Z.) & MULLER (Christopher), *The War on Crime as Precursor to the War on Terror*, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2008, pp. 215-229; BJÖRNEHED (Emma), *Narco-Terrorism: The Merger of the War on Drugs and the War on Terror*, Global Crime, Vol. 6, No. 3-4, 2004, pp. 305-324; SANDERSON (Thomas M.), *Transnational Terror and Organized Crime: Blurring the Lines*, SAIS Review of International Affairs, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2004, pp. 49-61; STEINERT (Heinz), *The Indispensable Metaphor of War: On Populist Politics and the Contradictions of the State's Monopoly of Force*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2003, pp. 265-291; QUINNEY (Richard), *The Way of Peace: On Crime, Suffering, and Service*, in PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), *Criminology as Peacemaking*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1991, p. 12; ELIAS (Robert), *Crime Control as Human Rights Enforcement*, in PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), *Criminology as Peacemaking*, op. cit., pp. 251-252; KLEIN (Lloyd), *Taking a Bite Out of Social Injustice*, in PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 282-283;

PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Peacemaking in Criminology and Criminal Justice*, in PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 300-301.

²⁴³ HUQ (Aziz Z.) & MULLER (Christopher), op. cit., p. 215.

²⁴⁴ HUSAK (Douglas), *Overcriminalization*, op. cit., p. 4. See also GELDERLOOS (Peter) & LINCOLN (Patrick), *World Behind Bars*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *The Many Prisoners of America's Security State*, Anarchist Library, 2004. Professor Fortner has however argued that "the origins of policies that have created opportunities for the inequitable administration of justice cannot be reduced simply to racism." FORTNER (Michael Javen), *The Carceral State and the Crucible of Black Politics: An Urban History of the Rockefeller Drug Laws*, *Studies in American Political Development*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2013, p. 35.

²⁴⁵ MARMER (Ronald L.), *Mass Incarceration: Finding Our Way Back to Normal*, in METCALF (Hope) & SANNEH (Sia), *Overcriminalization and Excessive Punishment*, op. cit., p. 2.

²⁴⁶ DELISI (Matt) & GATLING (Jewel M.), *Who Pays for a Life of Crime? An Empirical Assessment of the Assorted Victimization Costs Posed by Career Criminals*, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, p. 284.

²⁴⁷ BREWER (Rose M.) & HEITZEG (Nancy A.), *The Racialization of Crime and Punishment: Criminal Justice, Color-Blind Racism, and the Political Economy of the Prison Industrial Complex*, *American Behavioral Scientist*, Vol. 51, No. 5, 2008, p. 637. About the cost of the criminal justice in the US, see also ROSENBERG (Jennifer) & MARK (Sara), *Balanced Justice: Cost-Benefit Analysis and Criminal Justice Policy*, Institute for Policy Integrity, 2011; GLASMEIER (Amy K.) & FARRIGAN (Tracey), *The Economic Impacts of the Prison Development Boom on Persistently Poor Rural Places*, *International Regional Science Review*, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2007, pp. 274-299; COHEN (Mark A.), *The Costs of Crime and Justice*, London: Routledge, 2005; COHEN (Mark A.), *Measuring the Costs and Benefits of Crime and Justice*, *Criminal Justice*, Vol. 4, 2000, pp. 263-315.

²⁴⁸ See WHITEHEAD (John W.), *Jailing Americans for Profit: The Rise of the Prison Industrial Complex*, Rutherford Institute, Apr. 10, 2012; SUDBURY (Julia), *Celling Black Bodies: Black Women in the Global Prison Industrial Complex*, *Feminist Review*, No. 70, 2002, pp. 57-74. For an opposite argument, see DOLOVICH (Sharon), *State Punishment and Private Prisons*, *Duke Law Journal*, Vol. 55, No. 3, 2005, pp. 439-548.

²⁴⁹ SHOURD (Sarah), *Excellent Article: CDCr's Attempt to Silence Prisoners, Ban Critical "Oppositional" Publications*, Prisoner Hunger Strike Solidarity, June 22, 2014; CARROLL (Rory), *California Prison Hunger Strike Leader: 'If Necessary We'll Resume. This Is War'*, *Guardian*, Sep. 27, 2013; CARROLL (Rory), *30,000 California Inmates Launch Hunger Strike against 'State-Sanctioned Torture'*, *Raw Story*, July 9, 2013; WALSHE (Sadhbh), *California Prison Hunger Strike Is Call for Justice*, *Guardian*, July 16, 2013; KERSPLEBEDEB (Kay), *Repression, Resistance, and the Neocolonial Prison Nation*, *Journal of Radical Criminology*, No. 1, 2012, pp. 117-130.

²⁵⁰ GORDON (Avery F.), *Abu Ghraib*, op. cit., p. 48.

²⁵¹ About this phenomenon and its effects, see WYLER (Grace), *The Mass Incarceration Problem in America*, *VICE News*, July 26, 2014; *End Mass Incarceration Now*, *New York Times*, May 24, 2014; ORMOND (Aiyanas), *Jaywalking to Jail: Capitalism, Mass Incarceration and Social Control on the Streets of Vancouver*, *Journal of Radical Criminology*, No. 3, 2014, pp. 101-112; HERNANDEZ-SCOTT (Erica), *A Review of "The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness"*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2014, pp. 87-90; JEWKES (Yvonne), *Punishment in Black and White: Penal "Hell-Holes," Popular Media, and Mass Incarceration*, *Atlantic Journal of Communication*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 42-60; NOVEK (Eleanor), *The Color of Hell: Reframing Race and Justice in the Age of Mass Incarceration*, *Atlantic Journal of Communication*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-4; THORPE (Rebecca U.), *Urban Divestment, Rural Decline and the Politics of Mass Incarceration*, *Good Society*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2014, pp. 17-29; COPSON (Lynne), *Penal Populism and the Problem of Mass Incarceration: The Promise of Utopian Thinking*, *Good Society*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2014, pp. 55-72; LOADER (Ian) & SPARKS (Richard), *Beyond Mass Incarceration?*, *Good Society*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2014, pp. 114-120; FULLILOVE (Robert E.) & ROSEN (Danielle E.), *Mass Incarceration: The HIV/AIDS Epidemic and the Affordable Care Act – What Will the Future Hold?*, *Journal of HIV/AIDS and Social Services*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 5-7; FORTNER (Michael Javen), *The "Silent Majority" in Black and White: Invisibility and Imprecision in the Historiography of Mass Incarceration*, *Journal of Urban History*, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2014, pp. 252-282; FORTNER (Michael Javen), *The Carceral State and the Crucible of Black Politics*, op. cit.; SYKES (Bryan L.) & PETTIT (Becky), *Mass Incarceration, Family Complexity, and the Reproduction of Childhood Disadvantage*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 654, No. 1, 2014, pp. 127-149; PETTIT (Becky), *Invisible Men: Mass Incarceration and the Myth of Black Progress*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2012; DAGAN (David) & TELES (Steven M.), *Locked In? Conservative Reform and the Future of Mass Incarceration*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 651, No. 1, 2014, pp. 266-276; CADORA (Eric), *Civics Lessons: How Certain Schemes to End Mass Incarceration Can Fail*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 651, No. 1, 2014, pp. 277-285; WILDEMAN (Christopher), *Parental Incarceration, Child Homelessness,*

and the Invisible Consequences of Mass Imprisonment, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 651, No. 1, 2014, pp. 74-96; WESTERN (Bruce) & WILDEMAN (Christopher), *The Black Family and Mass Incarceration*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 621, No. 1, 2009, pp. 221-242; WESTERN (Bruce) & MULLER (Christopher), *Mass Incarceration, Macrosociology, and the Poor*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 647, No. 1, 2013, pp. 166-189; JUSTICE (Benjamin), *Mass Incarceration and the Making of Citizens*, *History of Education*, Vol. 43, No. 3, 2014, pp. 408-417; MILLER (Reuben Jonathan), *Devolving the Carceral State: Race, Prisoner Reentry, and the Micro-Politics of Urban Poverty Management*, *Punishment and Society*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2014, pp. 305-335; SCHEPT (Judah), *(Un)Seeing like a Prison: Counter-Visual Ethnography of the Carceral State*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, pp. 198-223; GOTTSCHALK (Marie), *Democracy and the Carceral State in America*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 651, No. 1, 2014, pp. 288-295; GOTTSCHALK (Marie), *Dollars, Sense, and Penal Reform: Social Movements and the Future of the Carceral State*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 669-694; UGGEN (Christopher), *Introduction: Who We Punish: The Carceral State*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 467-469; SIMON (Jonathan), *Rise of the Carceral State*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 471-508; MUKAMAL (Debbie A.), *Introduction: Consequences of a Carceral State*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 569-573; GERTNER (Nancy), *Alternatives to the Carceral State: The Judge's Role*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 663-667; JACOBS (James B.), *Finding Alternatives to the Carceral State*, *Social Research*, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2014, pp. 695-699; LAMBLE (Sarah), *Queer Necropolitics and the Expanding Carceral State: Interrogating Sexual Investments in Punishment*, *Law and Critique*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2013, pp. 229-253; ROSS (Jeffrey Ian) (ed.), *The Globalization of Supermax Prisons*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2013; MURCH (Donna), *The Many Meanings of Watts: Black Power, Wattstax, and the Carceral State*, *OAH Magazine of History*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2012, pp. 37-40; ALEXANDER (Michelle), *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*, New York: New Press, 2012; BECKETT (Katherine) & MURAKAWA (Naomi), *Mapping the Shadow Carceral State: Toward an institutionally Capacious Approach to Punishment*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2012, pp. 221-244; DRUCKER (Earnest M.), *A Plague of Prisons: The Epidemiology of Mass Incarceration in America*, New York: New Press, 2011; STUNTZ (William J.), *The Collapse of American Criminal Justice*, London: Harvard University Press, 2011; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment*, op. cit.; SIM (Joe), *Punishment and Prisons: Power and the Carceral State*, London and Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2009; WACQUANT (Loïc), *Punishing the Poor: The Neoliberal Government of Social Insecurity*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2009; WACQUANT (Loïc), *The Curious Eclipse of Prison Ethnography in the Age of Mass Incarceration*, *Ethnography*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2002, pp. 371-397; WACQUANT (Loïc), *From Slavery to Mass Incarceration*, *New Left Review*, Vol. 13, 2002, pp. 41-60; PAGER (Devah), *Marked: Race, Crime, and Finding Work in an Era of Mass Incarceration*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007; WILSON (Bruce), *Book Review: Prison Nation: The Warehousing of America's Poor*, *Criminal Justice Review*, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2005, pp. 101-102; BROOMHALL (Susan), *Poverty, Gender and Incarceration in Sixteenth-Century Paris*, *French History*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2004, pp. 1-24; HERIVEL (Tara) & WRIGHT (Paul) (ed.), *Prison Nation: The Warehousing of America's Poor*, New York: Routledge, 2003; GARLAND (David) (ed.), *Mass Imprisonment: Social Causes and Consequences*, London and Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2001; SNIDER (Laureen), *The Sociology of Corporate Crime: An Obituary*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2000, p. 170; REIMAN (Jeffrey H.), *The Rich Get Richer and the Poor Get Prison: Ideology, Class, and Criminal Justice*, 4th ed., Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1995; SPIEGEL (Uriel) & TEMPLERMAN (Joseph), *Economics of Discriminatory Sentencing*, *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1989, pp. 317-332; *The Stop Mass Incarceration Network*.

252 BECKETT (Katherine) & WESTERN (Bruce), *Governing Social Marginality: Welfare, Incarceration, and the Transformation of State Policy*, *Punishment and Society*, Vol. 3, No 1, 2001, pp. 43, 55.

253 SUGIE (Naomi F.), *Punishment and Welfare: Paternal Incarceration and Families' Receipt of Public Assistance*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 90, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1403, 1424.

254 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Freedom*, op. cit., p. 18; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., pp. 24, 36; ASHWORTH (Andrew), *Ignorance of the Criminal Law, and Duties to Avoid it*, *Modern Law Review*, Vol. 74, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-26; SINGER (Richard G.) & LA FOND (John Q.), *Criminal Law*, 4th ed., Austin: Aspen, 2007; ALTER (Adam L.) ET AL., *Morality Influences How People Apply the Ignorance of the Law Defense*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 2007, pp. 819-864; PALFREYMAN, (David), *Ignorance of the Law Is No Excuse!*, *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 2003, pp. 114-117; DAVIES (Sharon L.), *The Jurisprudence of Willfulness: An Evolving Theory of Excusable Ignorance*, *Duke Law Journal*, Vol. 48, No. 3, 1998, pp. 341-427; KAHAN (Dan M.), *Ignorance of Law Is an Excuse: But only for the Virtuous*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 96, No. 1, 1997, pp. 127-154; SIMON (Lindsey H.), *The Supreme Court's Interpretation of the Word "Willful": Ignorance of the Law as an Excuse to Prosecutions for Structuring Currency Transactions*, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, Vol. 85, No. 4, 1995, pp. 1161-1188; GRACE (Bruce R.), *Ignorance of the Law as an Excuse*, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 86, No. 7, 1986, pp. 1392-1416; MATTHEWS (Paul), *Ignorance of the Law Is No Excuse?*, *Legal Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1983, pp. 174-195; ELWORK (Amiram) ET AL., *Juridic Decisions: In Ignorance of the Law or in Light of It?*, *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1977, pp. 163-189; WALTERS (Archie LeRoy), *Ignorantia Juris Non Excusat: The Maxim as*

Applied in Civil Cases, Los Angeles: School of Law, University of Southern California, 1929; JACKSON (E. Hilton), *Latin for Lawyers, containing: I, A course in Latin, with Legal Maxims and Phrases as a Basis of Instruction*, London: The Carswell, 1915.

255 About the law's Empire and its examples, see BARLEBEN (Dale), *Law's Empire Writes Back: Legal Positivism and Literary Rejoinder in Wilde's De Profundis*, University of Toronto Quarterly, Vol. 82, No. 4, 2013, pp. 907-923; EKINS (Richard), *Legislative Intent in Law's Empire*, Ratio Juris, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2011, pp. 435-460; NIJHAR (Preeti), *Imperial Metaphors and Native Savagery: Law's Imagery, Crime and Empire*, Liverpool Law Review, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2009, pp. 189-205; GUEST (Stephen), *Exploring Law's Empire: The Jurisprudence of Ronald Dworkin – Edited by Scott Hershowitz*, Philosophical Books, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2008, pp. 280-283; HERSHOVITZ (Scott), *Exploring Law's Empire: The Jurisprudence of Ronald Dworkin*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008; MCQUEEN (Rob), 'Speaking and Listening to Words Is How We Know Who We Are': An Introduction to 'Law's Empire', Social Identities, Vol. 13, No. 6, 2007, pp. 685-696; HILLYARD (Paddy), *Law's Empire: Socio-legal Empirical Research in the Twenty-first Century*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2007, pp. 266-279; BARTHOLOMEW (Amy), *Empire's Law: The American Imperial Project and the 'War to Remake the World'*, London: Ann Arbor, 2006; CHOUINARD (Vera), *Challenging Law's Empire: Rebellion, Incorporation, and Changing Geographies of Power in Ontario's Legal Clinic System*, Studies in Political Economy, Vol. 55, 1998, pp. 65-92; BECK (Anthony), *Foucault and Law: the Collapse of Law's Empire*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1996, pp. 489-502; CAMPOS (Paul F.) ET AL., *Against the Law*, Durham: Duke University Press, 1996; ALLAN (Trevor R. S.), *Justice and Fairness in Law's Empire*, Cambridge Law Journal, Vol. 52, No. 1, 1993, pp. 64-88; ROSS (Steven), *Law, Integrity, and Interpretation: Ronald Dworkin's Law's Empire*, Metaphilosophy, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1991, pp. 265-279; PEERENBOOM (Randall), *A Coup d'État in Law's Empire: Dworkin's Hercules Meets Atlas*, Law and Philosophy, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1990, pp. 95-113; GUASTINI (Riccardo), *Some Remarks on the Conceptual Framework of "Law's Empire"*, Ratio Juris, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1988, pp. 176-180; PADLEY (Anne), *Law's Empire*, Ratio Juris, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1988, pp. 181-186; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Indiana Dworkin and Law's Empire*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 96, No. 3, 1987, pp. 637-665; FINNIS (John), *On Reason and Authority in "Law's Empire"*, Law and Philosophy, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1987, pp. 357-380; DWORKIN (Ronald), *Law's Empire*, Cambridge: Belknap Press, 1986.

256 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence*, op. cit., pp. 8-9; MANJIKIAN (Mary), *Becoming Unmanned*, International Feminist Journal of Politics, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 48-65; NOORMAN (Merel) & JOHNSON (Deborah), *Negotiating Autonomy and Responsibility in Military Robots*, Ethics and Information Technology, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 51-62; MAJIMA (Shunzo), *A Brief Thought on the Future of Global Ethics: Military Robots and New Food Technologies*, Journal of Global Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2014, pp. 53-55; HELLSTRÖM (Thomas), *On the Moral Responsibility of Military Robots*, Ethics and Information Technology, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2013, pp. 99-107; SHEEHAN (Michael) & BORMANN (Natalie) (ed.), *Securing Outer Space: International Relations Theory and the Politics of Space*, London: Routledge, 2012; AL-RODHAN (Nayef R. F.), *Meta-Geopolitics of Outer Space: An Analysis of Space Power, Security and Governance*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012; KUCERA (Joshua), *Weaponization of Space*, Council on Foreign Relations, June 22, 2012; POSNER (Eric Andrew), *The Killer Robot War Is Coming*, Slate, May 15, 2013; ANDERSON (Kenneth) & WAXMAN (Matthew), *Law and Ethics for Robot Soldiers*, Policy Review, No. 176, 2012, pp. 35-49; DORFTEI (Ioan) & BAUDOIN (Yvan), *A Concept of Walking Robot for Humanitarian Demining*, Industrial Robot: An International Journal, Vol. 39, No. 5, 2012, pp. 441-449; BHATT (Chetan), *Human Rights and the Transformations of War*, Sociology, Vol. 46, No. 5, 2012, pp. 813-828; PAGALLO (Ugo), *Robots of Just War: A Legal Perspective*, Philosophy and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2011, pp. 307-323; WEISS (Lora G.), *Autonomous Robots in the Fog of War*, IEEE Spectrum Spectrum, Vol. 48, No. 8, 2011, pp. 30-57; DANIELSON (Peter), *Engaging the Public in the Ethics of Robots for War and Peace*, Philosophy and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2011, pp. 239-249; SCHULZKE (Marcus), *Robots as Weapons in Just Wars*, Philosophy and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2011, pp. 293-306; COECKELBRGH (Mark), *From Killer Machines to Doctrines and Swarms, or Why Ethics of Military Robotics Is not (Necessarily) about Robots*, Philosophy and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2011, pp. 269-278; SIMPSON (Thomas W.), *Robots, Trust and War*, Philosophy and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2011, pp. 325-337; HODGE (Nathan), *The Legal Case for Robot War Gets Complicated*, Wired, Apr. 29, 2010; RODERICK (Ian), *Considering the Fetish Value of EOD Robots: How Robots Save Lives and Sell War*, International Journal of Cultural Studies, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2010, pp. 235-253; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Military Robots and the Laws of War*, New Atlantis, No. 23, 2009, pp. 25-45; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Robots at War: The New Battlefield*, Wilson Quarterly, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2009, pp. 30-48; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Wired for War: The Robotics Revolution and Conflict in the Twenty-First Century*, New York: Penguin Press, 2009; ALLEN (Colln) ET AL., *Robots at War*, Wilson Quarterly, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2009, pp. 6-9; BLOUNT (P. J.), *Limits on Space Weapons: Incorporating the Law of War into the Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Proceedings of the 51st Colloquium on the Law of Outer Space, SSRN, 2009; LIN (Patrick) ET AL., *Robots in War: Issues of Risk and Ethics*, Ethics and Robotics, 2009, pp. 49-67; MCDANIEL (Erin A.), *Robot Wars: Legal and Ethical Dilemmas of Using Unmanned Robotic Systems in 21st Century Warfare and Beyond*, Master's thesis, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, 2008; BRITTON (Erin), *The Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Suite101, Feb. 15, 2008; BORENSTEIN (Jason), *The Ethics of Autonomous Military Robots*, Studies in Ethics, Law, and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2008, Art. 2; SHAH (Anup), *Militarization and Weaponization of Outer Space*, Global Issues, Jan.

21, 2007; THOMAS (Jonathan C.), *Spatialis Liberum*, Florida Coastal Law Review, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2006, pp. 579-629; MOWTHORPE (Matthew), *The Militarization and Weaponization of Space*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2004; VOTH (Danna), *A New Generation of Military Robots*, IEEE Intelligent Systems, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2004, pp. 2-3; SCHMITT (Carl), *Nomos of the Earth: The Nomos of the Earth in the International Law of the Jus Publicum Europaeum*, trans. and ann. by Gary L. Ulmen, New York: Telos Press, 2003; DUNK (Frans G. von der), *Sovereignty Versus Space – Public Law and Private Launch in the Asian Context*, Singapore Journal of International and Comparative Law, Vol. 5, 2001, pp. 22-47; BEEBE (Barton), *Law's Empire and the Final Frontier: Legalizing the Future in the Early Corpus Juris Spatialis*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 108, No. 7, 1999, pp. 1737-1773; WEISBIN (Charles R.) ET AL., *Miniature Robots for Space and Military Missions*, IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1999, pp. 9-18; KEEFE (Heidi), *Making the Final Frontier Feasible: A Critical Look at the Current Body of Outer Space Law*, Santa Clara Computer and High Technology Law Journal, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1995, pp. 345-371; SHAKER (Steven M.) & WISE (Alan R.), *War without Men: Robots on the Future Battlefield*, Oxford: Pergamon-Brassey, 1988.

257 SHARKEY (Noel), *Cassandra or False Prophet of Doom: AI Robots and War*, IEEE Computer Society, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2008, p. 14.

258 See WILLIAMS (Aled), *Fighting Fraud in the EU: A Note on Icebergs and Evidence*, ERA Forum, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 227-234; ZARCHIN (Tomer), *Tip of the Iceberg of Israeli Organized Crime?*, Haaretz, Aug. 19, 2011; JAMES (Kerrie) & MACKINNON (Laurie), *The Tip of the Iceberg: A Framework for Identifying Non-Physical Abuse in Couple and Family Relationships*, Journal of Feminist Family Therapy, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2010, pp. 112-129; LOMELL (Heidi Mork), *The Politics of Numbers: Crime Statistics as a Source of Knowledge of Governance*, in SHOHAM (Shlomo Giora) ET AL. (ed.), *International Handbook of Criminology*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2010, p. 130; OBERGFELL-FUCHS (Joachim), *Perpetrators and Victims of Sex Crimes*, in SHOHAM (Shlomo Giora) ET AL. (ed.), op. cit., p. 267; MORRISON (Wayne), *Theoretical Criminology: From Modernity to Post-Modernism*, London: Cavendish, 1997, pp. 168-169, 265; PRANSKY (Joanne), *Mobile Robots: Big Benefits for US Military*, Industrial Robot, Vol. 24, No. 2, 1997, pp. 126-130; HULSMAN (Louk Henri Christian), *Critical Criminology and the Concept of Crime*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1986, p. 67; BEN-YEHUDA (Nachman), *Deviance in Science: Towards the Criminology of Science*, British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1986, pp. 1-27.

259 DAY (Tanis), *The Health-Related Costs of Violence Against Women in Canada: The Tip of the Iceberg*, London, Ontario: Centre for Research on Violence Against Women and Children, 1995, p. 1.

260 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., p. 190.

261 About this concept, see NAUGHTON (Michael), *Rethinking Miscarriages of Justice: Beyond the Tip of the Iceberg*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007; NAUGHTON (Michael), *Miscarriages of Justice and the Government of the Criminal Justice System: An Alternative Perspective on the Production and Deployment of Counter-Discourse*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2005, pp. 211-231; KNOOPS (Geert-Jan Alexander), *Redressing Miscarriages of Justice: Practice and Procedure in National and International Criminal Cases*, Ardsley: Transnational Publishers, 2006; EDMOND (Gary), *Constructing Miscarriages of Justice: Misunderstanding Scientific Evidence in High Profile Criminal Appeals*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2002, pp. 53-89; WALKER (Clive) & STARMER (Keir) (ed.), *Miscarriages of Justice: A Review of Justice in Error*, London: Blackstone Press, 1999.

262 Only for some examples in this case, see MCEWEN (Joan), *Innocence on Trial: The Framing of Ivan Henry*, Victoria: Heritage House, 2014; GALLANT (Jacques) & ANSARI (Sadiya), *Murder Charge Withdrawn against Man Who Spent 12 Years in Prison*, Toronto Star, Nov. 28, 2014; EDWARDS (Peter), *Wrongful Conviction: Key Dates in the Legal Nightmare of Leighton Hay of Mississauga*, Toronto Star, Nov. 28, 2014; MAKI (Allan), *Wrongfully Convicted of Murder, Leighton Hay Free after 12 Years*, Globe and Mail, Nov. 28, 2014; *Leighton Hay, Wrongfully Convicted of Murder in 2002, Walks Free*, CBC News, Nov. 28, 2014; *Leighton Hay Freed After 12 Years Behind Bars*, CTV News, Nov. 28, 2014; PERKEL (Colin), *Leighton Hay Finally Freed a Decade after Wrongful First-Degree Murder Conviction*, National Post, Nov. 28, 2014; PERKEL (Colin), *Ontario Asks Top Court to Throw out Lawsuit from Man Who Spent 31 Years in Jail for Murder He Didn't Commit*, National Post, Oct. 2, 2014; PERKEL (Colin), *Top Court Asked to Block Romeo Phillion's Wrongful Prosecution Lawsuit*, CTV News, Oct. 1, 2014; PERKEL (Colin), *Wrongfully Convicted Man Jailed 31 Years Can now Sue Cops: Crown*, CTV News, July 31, 2014; MACDONALD (Michael), *N.S. Man Serving Life Sentence May Have Been Wrongfully Convicted of Murdering Ex-Girlfriend 16 Years Ago*, National Post, Nov. 24, 2014; *Glen Eugene Assoun Granted Bail as Murder Conviction Reviewed*, CBC News, Nov. 24, 2014; ALTER (Charlotte), *Wrongfully Convicted California Man Released After 36 Years*, Time, Nov. 24, 2014; MAI-DUC (Christine), *Man Wrongfully Convicted of Ventura County Killing to Be Released*, Los Angeles Times, Nov. 20, 2014; GILLISPIE (Mark) & SEEWER (John), *Wrongfully Convicted Men Freed After Serving 39 Years for 1975 Murder*, Global News, Nov. 21, 2014; BRODSKY (Sascha), *Two New York Men Are Paid \$9 Million in Wrongful Conviction Case*, Reuters, Nov. 13, 2014; WOODWARD (Jon) & DERRICK (Chad), *Ivan Henry Spent 27 Years in Prison, but Is still Seeking Justice*, CTV News, Nov. 8, 2014; MASON (Gary), *The*

Wrongfully Convicted Are Never Truly Set Free, Globe and Mail, Nov. 7, 2014; *New York Man Wrongfully Convicted of Murder Freed after 29 Years in Prison*, Guardian, Oct. 15, 2014; SHAH (Maryam), *Wrongly Convicted Romeo Phillion Seeks 'Justice'*, Toronto Sun, Oct. 2, 2014; *Wrongfully Convicted Man May Face Obstacles in Suing Ottawa Police, Province*, CablePulse 24, Oct. 2, 2014; SCHMIDT (Colleen), *International Event Draws Attention to Wrongful Convictions*, CTV News, Oct. 2, 2014; MULGREW (Ian), *City of Vancouver Says Wrongfully Convicted Ivan Henry Not 'Innocent'*, Vancouver Sun, June 13, 2014; MARON (Dina Fine), *Many Prisoners on Death Row Are Wrongfully Convicted*, Scientific American, Apr. 28, 2014; HUGHES (Virginia), *How Many People Are Wrongly Convicted? Researchers Do the Math*, Phenomena, Apr. 28, 2014; *Record Number of Wrongful Convictions Overturned in 2013*, CBS News, Feb. 4, 2014; SULLIVAN (Laura), *Exonerations on the Rise, and Not Just because of DNA*, National Public Radio, Feb. 4, 2014; BREDDERMAN (Will), *New District Attorney Could Free Man Convicted in Controversial Murder Case*, Brooklyn Paper, Feb. 3, 2014; *How to Be Wrongfully Convicted*, National Post, 2014; *More than 2,000 Wrongfully Convicted People Exonerated in 23 Years, Researchers Say*, CNN, May 21, 2012; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Death Penalty and Innocence*; KREUTER (William), *The Innocent Executed*, Justice Denied.

²⁶³ See DEWITT (David Miller), *The Judicial Murder of Mary E. Surratt*, New Jersey: The Lawbook Exchange, 2003; GRAIN (E. R.), *Judicial Murder: The Case of Lieutenant Wark*, London: University Press, 1900.

²⁶⁴ About "delayed justice", see SRIRAM (Chandra Lekha) ET AL., *Justice delayed? Internationalised criminal tribunals and peace-building in Lebanon, Bosnia and Cambodia*, Conflict, Security and Development, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2011, pp. 335-356; LAKES (Joyce M.), *Justice Delayed vs. Justice Denied: Race, Politics, and Money in State Government*, Bloomington: AuthorHouse, 2010; BARROW (Denys), *Judgment Delayed Is Justice Denied: Delays in Delivering Judgments in the Eastern Caribbean*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2009, pp. 429-442; COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM, *Justice Delayed Is Justice Denied: A Case for A Federal Employees Appeals Court*, Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2006; SANDA (Akin A.), *Justice Delayed Is Justice Denied: Problems and Solutions*, Ibadan: Spectrum Books, 2001; MAYS (G. Larry) & TAGGART (William A.), *Court Delay: Policy Implications for Court Managers*, Criminal Justice Policy Review, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1986, pp. 198-210; BENTHAM (Jeremy), *Justice and Codification Petitions*, London: R. Heward, 1829.

²⁶⁵ MILENKOVSKI (Katerina) & DIEMER (Mary S.), *Is Justice Denied a Shortcut to Anarchy?*, Spring, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2009, pp. 6-8.

²⁶⁶ LATZER (Barry) & CAUTHEN (James N. G.), *Justice Delayed? Time Consumption in Capital Appeals: A Multistate Study*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New York, 2007, p. 2.

²⁶⁷ About this phenomenon, see LATZER (Barry) & CAUTHEN (James N. G.), *Justice Delayed?*, op. cit.; GUERNSEY (JoAnn Bren), *Death Penalty: Fair Solution or Moral Failure?*, Minneapolis: Twenty-First Century Books, 2010; BUFFINGTON-VOLLUM (Jacqueline) ET AL., *Predicting Institutional Violence among Death Row Inmates: The Utility of the Sorensen and Pilgrim Model*, Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2008, pp. 16-22; JACOBS (David) ET AL., *Who Survives on Death Row? An Individual and Contextual Analysis*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 72, No. 4, 2007, pp. 610-632; DOUGLAS (Carol Anne) ET AL., *United States: Women on Death Row Suffer Harsher Conditions than Male Inmates*, Off Our Backs, Vol. 35, No. 1-2, 2005, p. 10; BLUME (John) ET AL., *Explaining Death Row's Population and Racial Composition*, Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2004, pp. 165-207; ARGYS (Laura M.) & MOCAN (H. Naci), *Who Shall Live and Who Shall Die? An Analysis of Prisoners on Death Row in the United States*, Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2004, pp. 255-282; DAVIES (Rachael), *Prison's Second Death Row*, Lancet, Vol. 364, No. 9431, 2004, pp. 317-318; CUNNINGHAM (Mark D.) & VIGEN (Mark P.), *Death Row Inmate Characteristics, Adjustment, and Confinement: A Critical Review of the Literature*, Behavioral Sciences and the Law, Vol. 20, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 191-210; ARRIGO (Bruce A.) & FOWLER (Carol R.), *The "Death Row Community": A Community Psychology Perspective*, Deviant Behavior, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2001, pp. 43-71; HARRINGTON (C. Lee), *A Community Divided: Defense Attorneys and the Ethics of Death Row Volunteering*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2000, pp. 849-881; GEORGE (Whitney), *Women on Death Row*, Off Our Backs, Vol. 28, No. 1, 1998, pp. 16-17; BLANK (Daniel P.), *Mumia Abu-Jamal and the "Death Row Phenomenon"*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 48, No. 6, 1996, pp. 1625-1659; STREIB (Victor L.), *Death Row Women*, Criminal Justice Matters, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1996, p. 17; ABU-JAMAL (Mumia), *Live from Death Row*, Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1995; HECTOR (Mario), *Death Row*, London: Zed, 1984; JACKSON (Bruce) & CHRISTIAN (Diane), *Death Row*, Boston: Beacon Press, 1980; CHRISTIAN (Diane) & JACKSON (Bruce), *Death Row*, Watertown: Documentary Educational Resources, 1979; LEVINE (Stephen) (ed.), *Death Row: An Affirmation of Life*, San Francisco: Glide, 1972.

²⁶⁸ *Rusty Idols*, May 20, 2009.

²⁶⁹ About the difference between "the natural law theory" and "the positivist theory", see NAPOLITANO (Andrew Peter), *Constitutional Chaos: What Happens When the Government Breaks its Own Laws*, Nashville: Nelson Current, 2004, pp. xi-xvii;

MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 51-67, 79, 134; MCELROY (Wendy), *Benjamin Tucker, Liberty, and Individualist Anarchism*, Independent Review, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1998, 1997, pp. 426-428.

270 WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Anarchaos and Order: On the Emergence of Social Justice*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2001, p. 243.

271 ROLLINS (L. A.), *The Myth of Natural Rights*, Port Townsend: Loompanics Unlimited, 1983, p. 3.

272 LUM (Dyer Daniel), *The Fiction of Natural Rights*, Anarchist Library, 1890, p. 2. Concerning natural rights and natural laws and their issues, see also BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Natural Rights, Human Rights, and Libertarianism*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 74, No. 1, 2015, pp. 29-62; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Reply to Frank van Dun's "Natural Law and the Jurisprudence of Freedom"*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2004, pp. 65-72; BURNS (Timothy W.), *John Courtney Murray, Religious Liberty, and Modernity: Part I: Inalienable Natural Rights*, Logos, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2014, pp. 13-38; BURNS (Timothy W.), *John Courtney Murray, Religious Liberty, and Modernity: Part II: Modern Constitutional Democracy*, Logos, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2014, pp. 49-65; MAINZER (Klaus), *The Concept of Law in Natural, Technical and Social Systems*, European Review, Vol. 22, No. S1, 2014, pp. S2-S25; O'SULLIVAN (James P.), *Josef Fuchs' Revised Natural Law: Possibilities for Social Ethics*, New Blackfriars, Vol. 95, No. 1058, 2014, pp. 379-396; NOONAN (Jeff), *Changes of State*, op. cit.; BRETT (Annabel S.), *Changes of State*, op. cit.; FINE (Robert), *Natural law and Critical Theory: Bringing Rights Back in*, Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2013, pp. 222-238; FREDERICK (Danny), *A Puzzle about Natural Laws and the Existence of God*, International Journal for Philosophy of Religion, Vol. 73, No. 3, 2013, pp. 269-283; CHERNILO (Daniel), *The Natural Law Foundations of Modern Social Theory: A Quest for Universalism*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; DUFFEL (Siegfried Van), *Natural Rights to Welfare*, European Journal of Philosophy, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2013, pp. 641-664; VEN (Johannes A. van der), *From Natural Rights to Human Rights: A Cultural War in the Modern Era*, Religion, State and Society, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2013, pp. 164-187; SAVIRIMUTHU (Joseph), *John Locke, Natural Rights and Intellectual Property: The Legacy of an Idea*, Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, Vol. 8, No. 11, 2013, pp. 892-894; MACK (Eric), *Lysander Spooner: Nineteenth-Century America's Last Natural Rights Theorist*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2012, pp. 139-176; SPOONER (Lysander), *Natural Law; or the Science of Justice*, Boston: Alexander Williams, 1882; CEASER (James W.), *Progressivism and the Doctrine of Natural Rights*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2012, pp. 177-195; RIFE (Sean C.), *Is there a Natural Right to Healthcare?*, Human Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2012, pp. 613-622; BUCKLER (Steve), *Hannah Arendt and Political Theory: Changing the Tradition*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2011, p. 66; MACSAORSA (Iain), *The Myth of "Natural Law"*, Anarchist Library, 2009; DUN (Frank van), *The Logic of Law*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 1, Article No. 36, 2009; CHARTIER (Gary), *Economic Justice and Natural Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., especially pp. 3154-3167; DUN (Frank van), *Natural Law and the Jurisprudence of Freedom*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2004, pp. 31-54; DUN (Frank van), *Natural Law, Liberalism, and Christianity*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2001, pp. 1-36; DUN (Frank van), *Human Dignity: Reason or Desire? Natural Rights versus Human Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2001, pp. 1-28; STURMAN (Henry), *Morality and Libertarianism*, Nov. 22, 1999; ESHELMAN (Larry J.), *Might versus Right*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1996, pp. 29-50; CAMPOS (Paul F.) ET AL., *Against the Law*, op. cit., pp. 100-115; WILSON (Robert Anton), *Natural Law, or Don't Put a Rubber on Your Willy*, Port Townsend: Loompanics Unlimited, 1987; OSTERFELD (David), *Natural Rights Debate: A Comment on a Reply*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1983, pp. 101-113; FLEW (Antony), *Could There Be Universal Natural Rights?*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 6, No. 3-4, 1982, pp. 277-288; HARMAN (Gilbert), *Moral Relativism as a Foundation for Natural Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1980, pp. 367-371; REICHERT (William O.), *Natural Right in the Political Philosophy of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 77-91; MORRIS (Christopher Warren), *Human Autonomy and the Natural Right to Be Free*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1980, pp. 379-392; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Ludwig Von Mises and Natural Law: A Comment on Professor Gonce*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1980, pp. 289-297; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Against Nonlibertarian Natural Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1978, pp. 233-238.

273 LONDON ANARCHIST COMMUNIST ALLIANCE, *An Anarchist Manifesto*, London: Metropolitan Printing Works, 1895. See also GREENWAY (Judy), *Speaking Desire: Anarchism and Free Love as Utopian Performance in Fin de Siècle Britain*, in DAVIS (Laurence) & KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *Anarchism and Utopianism*, preface by Peter Marshall, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2009, pp. 153,164.

274 About "illegalism" in the anarchist ideas, see BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Le Problème du Vol: Clément Duval, Salto, Subversion et Anarchie*, No. 3, 2013; DIEULEVEULT (Colombe de), *Alexandre Jacob, Forçat Anarchiste en Guyane: Politique ou Droit Commun?*, Criminocorpus, Mars 27, 2013; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Capitalism, Illegality and Subversion: The Prefigurative Politics of the 2-Hour Work Day*, Unrest Magazine, No. 6, 2012; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Anarchism and Academia, Insurrection and Illegality: Age Old Tensions Revisited*, Theory in Action, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2012, pp. 93-102; THOMAS

(Bernard), *Les Vies d'Alexandre Jacob (1879-1954)*, Mousse, Voleur, Anarchiste, Bagnard, Paris: Fayard-Mazarine, 1998; CARUCHET (William), *Marius Jacob: l'Anarchiste KASHEFI* (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, op. cit., pp. 21-23; OS CANGACEIROS, *Millenarian Rebels: Prophets and Outlaws*, Anarchist Library, 2012; OS CANGACEIROS, *A Crime Called Freedom: The Writings of Os Cangaceiros (Volume One)*, Anarchist Library, 2006; DELPECH (Jean-Marc), *Alexandre Jacob, l'Honnête Cambrioleur: Portrait d'un Anarchiste (1879-1954)*, Lyon: Atelier de Création Libertaire, 2008; RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Illegal Anarchism: The False Dichotomy*, Anarchist Library, 2011; RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Antagonistic Violence*, Anarchist Library, 2011; ANONYMOUS, *Interview of comrade Giorgos Voutsis-Vogiatzis (Greece)*, Anarchist Library, 2010; EALHAM (Chris), *Class, Culture and Conflict in Barcelona, 1898-1937*, London: Routledge, 2005; EALHAM (Chris), *Anarchism and Illegality in Barcelona, 1931-1937*, *Contemporary European History*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1995, pp. 133-151; JACOB (Marius), *Écrits*, Paris: L'Insomniaque, 2004; JACOB (Marius), *Why I Was a Burglar*, Anarchist Library, 1905; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #1*, Anarchist Library, 2008; NIN (Penelope), *Au-delà de la Loi*, Tabula Rasa, 1996; IMRIE (Doug), *The Illegalists*, Anarchist Libreray, 1994-1995; CARUCHET (William), *Marius Jacob: L'Anarchiste Cambrioleur*, Paris: Séguier, 1993; WATNER (Carl), *The "Criminal" Metaphor in the Libertarian Tradition*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 313-325; ARMAND (Émile), *The Anarchism of Émile Armand*, Anarchist Library, 1907-1964; ARMAND (Émile), *Is the Illegalist Anarchist our Comrade?*, Anarchist Library, 1911; SERGENT (Alain), *Un Anarchiste de la Belle Époque: Alexandre Marius Jacob*, Paris: Le Seuil, 1950; SERGE (Victor), *Expedients*, Anarchist Library, 1912; SERGE (Victor), *Anarchists – Bandits*, Anarchist Library, 1909; SERGE (Victor), *The Illegalists*, Anarchist Library, 1908.

²⁷⁵ In these cases, see 'If this Is Legalization, then Justin Trudeau Lied': Jodie Emery Slams Police Crackdown on Marijuana Dispensaries, *Global News*, May 27, 2016; DI FIORE (James), *Justin Trudeau and the Great Pot Hypocrisy*, *Huffington Post*, May 13, 2016; KRISHNAN (Manisha), *If Justin Trudeau Is about to Legalize Weed, why Are we still Imprisoning People Over It?*, *VICE News*, Apr. 21, 2016; *Canada to Introduce Pot Legislation Next Spring, Minister Tells UN*, *CTV News*, Apr. 20, 2016; LUPICK (Travis), *Justin Trudeau Warns Marijuana Laws Haven't Changed yet, Adds Decriminalization Not Going to Happen*, *Straight*, Mar. 1, 2016; MENNIE (James), *Trudeau's Liberals: Just Not Ready for Legal Marijuana?*, *Montreal Gazette*, Feb. 26, 2016; MENNIE (James), *Trudeau's Marijuana Musings Leave Quebec out of Joint*, *Montreal Gazette*, Feb. 12, 2016; GOLLOM (Mark), *Ads Claiming Trudeau Supports Brothels Target Ethnic Social Conservatives*, *CBC News*, Oct. 15, 2015; *Tory Ads in Chinese Newspaper Accuse Trudeau of Wanting to Open Brothels, Make Pot accessible to Kids*, *National Post*, Oct. 14, 2015; RAJ (Althia), *Federal Election 2015: Trudeau Releases New Ad As Anticipated Election Call Nears*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 1, 2015; GUTWILLIG (Stephen), *A Crucial Election Season for Legalizing Marijuana and Ending the Drug War*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 18, 2014; INGRAHAM (Christopher), *Marijuana Use and High School Graduation: It's Complicated*, *Washington Post*, Sep. 18, 2014; ROGERS (Kate), *Stoners on the Job: Nearly 10% of Americans Went to Work High*, *CNBC*, Sep. 18, 2014; *As Marijuana Legalization Spreads in Some Parts of U.S., Some States Use Federal Grants to Crack Down Harder*, *AllGov*, June 25, 2014; AHMED (Amel), *UN: Marijuana-Related Health Problems on Rise in US*, *Al Jazeera*, June 26, 2014; GREEN (Johnny), *Does Hillary Clinton Support Marijuana Legalization?*, *Weed Blog*, June 22, 2014; CHOKSHI (Niraj), *Pope Francis Slams Drug Legalization, but U.S. Catholics Are Mixed – At least on Pot*, *Washington Post*, June 20, 2014; VOORHEES (Josh), *Yes, We Cannabis*, *Slate*, June 10, 2014; BARRY (Rachel Ann) ET AL., *Waiting for the Opportune Moment: The Tobacco Industry and Marijuana Legalization*, *Milbank Quarterly*, Vol. 92, No. 2, 2014, pp. 207-242; HICKENLOOPER (John Wright), *Experimenting with Pot: The State of Colorado's Legalization of Marijuana*, *Milbank Quarterly*, Vol. 92, No. 2, 2014, pp. 243-249; ANDERSON (D. Mark) & REES (Daniel I.), *The Legalization of Recreational Marijuana: How Likely Is the Worst-Case Scenario?*, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2014, pp. 221-232; DERANEK (Michael S.), *Fact and Fiction in the Marijuana Legalization Debate*, *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2014, pp. 104-106; PACULA (Rosalie Liccardo) & SEVIGNY (Eric L.), *Marijuana Liberalization Policies: Why We Can't Learn Much from Policy Still in Motion*, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2014, pp. 212-221; CAULKINS (Jonathan Paul) ET AL., *Marijuana Legalization: What Everyone Needs to Know*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2012; FURLOW (Bryant), *States and US Government Spar over Medical Marijuana*, *Lancet Oncology*, Vol. 13, No. 5, 2012, pp. 450-450; YANKAH (Ekow N.), *A Paradox in Overcriminalization*, op. cit.; MORGAN (Kayla), *Legalizing Marijuana*, Edina: ABDO, 2010; BEY (Hakim), *Against "Legalization"*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ROSENTHAL (Ed) ET AL., *Why Marijuana Should Be Legal*, 2nd ed., New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 2003; BENSON (Bruce L.), *Book Review: James L. Nolan, Reinventing Justice: the American Drug Court Movement*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2003, pp. 103-110; NOLAN, JR. (James L.), *Reinventing Justice: the American Drug Court Movement*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001.

²⁷⁶ CONSENT WITHDRAWN, *We Must Marginalize the State and Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2011, pp. 2-3, 5-6.

²⁷⁷ About this type of crime, see BILLIET (Carole) & ROUSSEAU (Sandra), *How Real Is the Threat of Imprisonment for Environmental Crime?*, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, Vol. 37, No. 2, 2014, pp. 183-198; LERSCH (Kim M.) & HART (Timothy C.), *Environmental Justice, Lead, and Crime: Exploring the Spatial Distribution and Impact of Industrial Facilities in Hillsborough County, Florida*, *Sociological Spectrum*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-21; GOESCHL (Timo) & JÜRGENS (Ole),

Criminalizing Environmental Offences: When the Prosecutor's Helping Hand Hurts, European Journal of Law and Economics, Vol. 37, No. 2, 2014, pp. 199-219; KINDYNIS (Theo), *Ripping up the Map: Criminology and Cartography Reconsidered*, British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2014, pp. 222-243; WYATT (Tanya), *The Russian Far East's Illegal Timber Trade: An Organized Crime?*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 61, No. 1, 2014, pp. 15-35; JARRELL (Melissa Luisa) & OZYMY (Joshua), *Few and Far between: Understanding the Role of the Victim in Federal Environmental Crime Prosecutions in the United States*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 61, No. 5, 2014, pp. 563-584; JARRELL (Melissa Luisa), *Environmental Crime and the Media: News Coverage of Petroleum Refining Industry Violations*, New York: LFB Scholarly, 2007; AMOS (William) ET AL., *Getting Tough on Environmental Crime?: Holding the Government of Canada to Account on Environmental Enforcement*, Vancouver: Ecojustice Canada, 2011; SKINNIDER (Eileen), *Victims of Environmental Crime: Mapping the Issues*, Vancouver: International Centre for Criminal Law Reform and Criminal Justice Policy, 2011; WHITE (Robert Douglas), *Transnational Environmental Crime: Toward an Eco-Global Criminology*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; WHITE (Robert Douglas) (ed.), *Environmental Crime: A Reader*, Portland: Willan, 2009; WOLF (Brian), *Organized Environmental Crime: An Analysis of Corporate Noncompliance with the Law*, preface by Michael C. Dreiling, Lewiston: Edwin Mellen Press, 2009; RICE (Mary) (ed.), *Environmental Crime: A Threat to our Future*, London: Environmental Investigation Agency, 2008; SITU (Yingyi) & EMMONS (David), *Environmental Crime: The Criminal Justice System's Role in Protecting the Environment*, Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2000; DARROCH (Fiona) & HARRISON (Peter), *Environmental Crime*, London: Cameron May, 1999; CLIFFORD (Mary) (ed.), *Environmental Crime: Enforcement, Policy, and Social Responsibility*, Gaithersburg: Aspen, 1998; EDWARDS (Sally Mitchell) ET AL. (ed.), *Environmental Crime and Criminality: Theoretical and Practical Issues*, New York: Garland, 1996; FRATE (Anna Alvazzi del) & NORBERRY (Jennifer) (ed.), *Environmental Crime, Sanctioning Strategies and Sustainable Development*, Rome and Canberra: United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute, 1993.

²⁷⁸ BERRY (Bonnie), *International Progress and Regress on Animal Rights*, International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, Vol. 24, No. 9, 2004, p. 58.

²⁷⁹ IADICOLA (Peter), *The Centrality of Empire in the Study of State Crime and Violence*, in CHAMBLISS (William J.) ET AL. (ed.), *State Crime in the Global Age*, Cullompton: Willan, 2010, p. 41. See also IADICOLA (Peter) & SHUPE (Anson), *Violence, Inequality, and Human Freedom*, 2nd ed., Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003; OKONTA (Ike) & DOUGLAS (Oronto), *Where Vultures Feast: Shell, Human Rights, and Oil in the Niger Delta*, San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 2001. GRINDE (Donald A.) & JOHANSEN (Bruce E.), *Ecocide of Native America: Environmental Destruction of Indian Lands and People*, Sante Fe: Clear Light, 1995; JOCHNICK (Chris), *Amazon Oil Offensive*, Multinational Monitor, Jan. 1995, pp. 12-15.

²⁸⁰ EPPLETT (William Christopher), *The Capture of Animals by the Roman Military*, Greece and Rome, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2001, p. 210.

²⁸¹ EPPLETT (William Christopher), *Animal Spectacula of the Roman Empire*, PhD thesis, University of British Colombia, 2001, pp. 29, 38, 345-347.

²⁸² *U.S. Government Animal Testing Programs*, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.

²⁸³ Professor Dayna Scott has however criticized this statement by invoking the media coverage of the French police dog that was killed in Saint Denis siege on November 18, 2015. About this case, see *Russia Gives France Puppy to Replace Police Dog Killed in Paris Raid*, Press Democrat, Dec. 7, 2015; TRAN (Mark), *Diesel the French Police Dog Dies in St-Denis Siege*, Guardian, Nov. 18, 2015; *World Mourns Diesel the Police Dog, Killed in Paris Raid*, Toronto Sun, Nov. 18, 2015.

²⁸⁴ *The Military's War on Animals*, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.

²⁸⁵ FREEMAN (Matt), *Pentagon Should End Live Animal Trauma Exercises*, Hill, Mar. 4, 2013. See also *US Military Faces Animal Abuse Claims with Live Animal Training*, Allvoices, Apr. 29, 2013; KIMBERLIN (Joanne), *Military Contractor Cited for Treatment of Goats*, Virginian-Pilot, June 30, 2012; AEGERTER (Gil) & BLACK (Jeff), *Coast Guard Defends Medical Training on Live Animals After PETA Posts Gory Video*, MSNBC, Apr. 19, 2012; GALA (Shalin G.) ET AL., *Use of Animals by NATO Countries in Military Medical Training Exercises: An International Survey*, Military Medicine, Vol. 177, No. 8, 2012, pp. 907-910; ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *Two-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study of Implanted Depleted Uranium (DU) in CD Rats*, Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Vol. 72, No. 6, 2009, pp. 410-427; ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *A Review of the Effects of Uranium and Depleted Uranium Exposure on Reproduction and Fetal Development*, Toxicology and Industrial Health, Vol. 17, No. 5-10, 2001, pp. 180-191; CONN (P. Michael) & PARKER (James V.), *The Animal Research War*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008; CHIVERS (Christopher John), *Tending a Fallen Marine, With Skill, Prayer, and Fury*, New York Times, Nov. 2, 2006; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Militarization and Civilization: Articles from "Green Anarchist"*, Anarchist Library, 2004; *Reprieve From Wound Tests Is Ended for Pigs and Goats*, New York Times, Jan. 24, 1984; *U.S. Food and Drug Administration*, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals.

- ²⁸⁶ See FEARON (Joseph), *Reasonable Doubt: Six Things You Should Know about Police Violence*, Straight, Sep. 12, 2014; SALIH (Sara), *The Animal You See*, Interventions, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2014, pp. 299-324; JEZIEWSKI (Tadeusz) ET AL., *Efficacy of Drug Detection by Fully-Trained Police Dogs Varies by Breed, Training Level, Type of Drug and Search Environment*, Forensic Science International, Vol. 237, No. 3, 2014, pp. 112-118; LAZAROWSKI (Lucia) & DORMAN (David C.), *Explosives Detection by Military Working Dogs: Olfactory Generalization from Components to Mixtures*, Applied Animal Behaviour Science, Vol. 151, No. 5, 2014, pp. 84-93; HEDIGER (Ryan) (ed.), *Animals and War: Studies of Europe and North America*, Leiden: Brill, 2013; HAMM (Mark), *High Crimes and Misdemeanors*, op. cit., pp. 263-264; PARKER-STARBUCK (Jennifer), *Animal Ontologies and Media Representations: Robotics, Puppets, and the Real of War Horse*, Theatre Journal, Vol. 65, No. 3, 2013, pp. 373-393; PARR (Joanna R.) & OTTO (Cynthia M.), *Emergency Visits and Occupational Hazards in German Shepherd Police Dogs (2008-2010)*, Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care, Vol. 23, No. 6, 2013, pp. 591-597; WATIER-GRILLOT (Stéphanie) ET AL., *Chemoprophylaxis and Treatment of African Canine Trypanosomosis in French Military Working Dogs: A Retrospective Study*, Veterinary Parasitology, Vol. 194, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-8; Gang of Atlantic City Officers Brutally Beat Man, Release an Attack Dog to Gnaw on His Neck, Police State USA, Sep. 30, 2013; MACGREGO (Arthur), *Animal Encounters: Human and Animal Interaction in Britain from the Norman Conquest to World War One*, London: Reaktion Books, 2012; ADAMKIEWICZ (Ewa) ET AL., *Differences between an "Ideal" Detection Dog and Dogs Used by the Police*, Journal of Veterinary Behavior, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2011, p. 70; SINN (David L.) ET AL., *Personality and Performance in Military Working Dogs: Reliability and Predictive Validity of Behavioral Tests*, Applied Animal Behaviour Science, Vol. 127, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 51-65; OZCAN (S. Sebnem) ET AL., *Utilization of Police Dogs: A Turkish Perspective*, Policing, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2009, pp. 226-237; SCHALKE (Esther) ET AL., *Requirement Profile for Police Interview Assistance Dogs*, Journal of Veterinary Behavior, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2009, pp. 86-87; ERIKSSON (Lasse), *The Swedish Police System for Selecting Dogs for Service*, Journal of Veterinary Behavior, Vol. 4, No. 6, 2009, p. 239; GOSLING (Samuel D.) & HILLIARD (Stewart J.), *Personality and Performance in Explosive-Detection Military Working Dogs (MWDs)*, Journal of Veterinary Behavior, Vol. 4, No. 6, 2009, pp. 239-240; HAVERBEKE (Anouck) ET AL., *Assessing Undesired Aggression in Military Working Dogs*, Applied Animal Behaviour Science, Vol. 117, No. 1-2, 2009, pp. 55-62; BENOIT (Whitney), *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Police Service Dog Breeding Program: An Overview*, Journal of Veterinary Behavior, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2008, pp. 180-181; MEADE (Peter C.), *Police and Domestic Dog Bite Injuries: What Are the Differences? What Are the Implications about Police Dog Use?*, Injury Extra, Vol. 37, No. 11, 2006, pp. 395-401; AUER (Catherine), *Bionic Kitty, Pigeon Alarms, and the Dolphins of War*, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, Vol. 59, No. 4, 2003, pp. 7-8; SAWERS (Larry), *U.S. Army Procurement of Draft and Pack Animals in the Civil War Era*, Eastern Economic Journal, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2003, pp. 59-67; HUTSON (H Range) ET AL., *Law Enforcement K-9 Dog Bites: Injuries, Complications, and Trends*, Annals of Emergency Medicine, Vol. 29, No. 5, 1997, pp. 637-642; KAUFFMAN (Kyle D.), *The U.S. Army as a Rational Economic Agents the Choice of Draft Animals during the Civil War*, Eastern Economic Journal, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1996, pp. 333-343; COOPER (Jilly), *Animals in War*, London: Heinemann, 1983; MCKAY (Kenneth L.), *Animals in War and Isonomia*, American Journal of Philology, Vol. 85, No. 2, 1964, pp. 124-135; SLOANE (Charles F.), *Dogs in War, Police Work and on Patrol*, Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology, and Police Science, Vol. 46, No. 3, 1955, pp. 385-395; MCCARTNEY (Eugene S.), *On Keeping Animals Silent in Peace and War*, Classical Journal, Vol. 38, No. 4, 1943, pp. 222-226; FULD (Leonard Felix), *The Use of Police Dogs: A Summary*, Journal of the American Institute of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1912, pp. 123-127; ALO (B.T.), *Professional Police Dog Training*, eHow; *Repression and Police Dog Abuse*, OoCities.
- ²⁸⁷ SHUKIN (Nicole), *Security Bonds: On Feeling Power and the Fiction of an Animal Governmentality*, English Studies in Canada, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2014, pp. 177-178.
- ²⁸⁸ BAKER (Janice L.) ET AL., *Gunshot Wounds in Military Working Dogs in Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom: 29 Cases (2003-2009)*, Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2013, p. 47.
- ²⁸⁹ JOHNSTON (Steven), *Animals in War: Commemoration, Patriotism, Death*, Political Research Quarterly, Vol. 65, No. 2, 2012, p. 359.
- ²⁹⁰ *Animals in War: The Unseen Casualties*, Animal Aid, June 1, 2003.
- ²⁹¹ See SMITH (David Livingstone), *Less than Human: Why We Demean, Enslave, and Exterminate Others*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 2011; SMITH (David Livingstone), *The Most Dangerous Animal: Human Nature and the Origins of War*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 2007; FERGUSON (R. Brian), *The Most Dangerous Animal: Human Nature and the Origins of War*, Peace and Change, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2010, pp. 164-167.
- ²⁹² PHILLIPS (Gervase), *Writing Horses into American Civil War History*, War in History, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2013, p. 160.
- ²⁹³ MOTYL (Matt) ET AL., *When Animals Attack: The Effects of Mortality Salience, Infrahumanization of Violence, and Authoritarianism on Support for War*, Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2010, p. 200. About terror management theory, see LEWIS (Adam M.), *Terror Management Theory Applied Clinically: Implications for Existential-*

Integrative Psychotherapy, *Death Studies*, Vol. 38, No. 6, 2014, pp. 412-417; PYSZCZYNSKI (Thomas A.), *What Are We So Afraid Of?: A Terror Management Theory Perspective on the Politics of Fear*, *Social Research*, Vol. 71, No. 4, 2014, pp. 827-848; PYSZCZYNSKI (Thomas A.) ET AL., *Why Do We Need What We Need? A Terror Management Perspective on the Roots of Human Social Motivation*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-20; BEN-ARI (Orit Taubman) ET AL., *Does a Threat Appeal Moderate Reckless Driving? A Terror Management Theory Perspective*, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2000, pp. 1-10; BARON (Reuben M.), *On Making Terror Management Theory Less Motivational and More Social*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 21-22; BUSS (David M.), *Human Social Motivation in Evolutionary Perspective: Grounding Terror Management Theory*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 22-26; LEARY (Mark R.) & SCHREINDORFER (Lisa S.), *Unresolved Issues with Terror Management Theory*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 26-29; MIKULINCER (Mario) & FLORIAN (Victor), *Do We Really Know What We Need? A Commentary on Pyszczynski, Greenberg, and Solomon*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 33-36; PAULHUS (Delroy L.) & TRAPNELL (Paul D.), *Terror Management Theory: Extended or Overextended?*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 40-43; SNYDER (Charles Richard), *Control and the Application of Occam's Razor to Terror Management Theory*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 48-49; WICKLUND (Robert A.), *Terror Management Accounts of Other Theories: Questions for the Cultural Worldview Concept*, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 54-58; HARMON-JONES (Eddie) ET AL., *Terror Management Theory and Self-Esteem: Evidence that Increased Self-Esteem Reduces Mortality Salience Effects*, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 72, No. 1, 1997, pp. 24-36; GREENBERG (Jeff) ET AL., *Assessing the Terror Management Analysis of Self-Esteem: Converging Evidence of an Anxiety-Buffering Function*, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 63, No. 6, 1992, pp. 913-922.

²⁹⁴ BERRY (Bonnie), *International Progress and Regress on Animal Rights*, op. cit., pp. 58, 65, 68, 70. See also GANDIO (Jason Del) & NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) (ed.), *Terrorization of Dissent: Corporate Repression, Legal Corruption and the Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act*, New York: Lantern Books, 2013; BERRY (Bonnie), *Animal Rights in a Time of Terror*, *Animals and Society*, No. 2, 2002, pp. 3-4; COLE (David), *Misdirected Snooping Doesn't Stop Terror*, *New York Times*, June 4, 2002; BARCOTT (Bruce), *From Tree-Hugger to Terrorist*, *New York Times Magazine*, Apr. 7, 2002.

²⁹⁵ About this Act, see NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) (ed.), *Terrorization of Dissent*, op. cit.; POTTER (Will), *Skinny Mirror: How Pop Culture Normalizes a False Image of the "War on Terror"*, *Affinities: A Journal of Radical Theory, Culture, and Action*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2013, pp. 109-112; POTTER (Will), *The Green Scare*, *Vermont Law Review*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2009, pp. 671-687; LOVITZ (Dara), *Muzzling a Movement: The Effects of Anti-Terrorism Law, Money, and Politics on Animal Activism*, New York: Lantern Books, 2010; WATERS (Richard D.) & WANG (Meredith L.), *Advocacy on the Internet: Lessons Learned from the Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act of 2005*, *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2011, pp. 241-256; *The Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act (AETA)*, Center for Constitutional Rights.

²⁹⁶ YATES (Roger), *Criminalizing Protests about Animal Abuse. Recent Irish Experience in Global Context*, *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol. 55, No. 5, 2011, pp. 469-482.

²⁹⁷ CARSON (Jennifer Variale) ET AL., *Terrorist and Non-Terrorist Criminal Attacks by Radical Environmental and Animal Rights Groups in the United States, 1970-2007*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2012, pp. 295-319.

²⁹⁸ SORENSON (John), *Constructing Terrorists: Propaganda about Animal Rights*, *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2009, pp. 237-256. See also RICHARDS (Anthony), *Conceptualizing Terrorism*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2014, pp. 225-226.

²⁹⁹ SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers), *Introduction*, in SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), *Green Criminology*, Aldershot and Burlington: Ashgate, 2006, p. xv, xvii. About the link between animal abuse and interhuman conflict, see also BEIRNE (Piers) & SOUTH (Nigel), *Issues in Green Criminology: Confronting Harms against Environments, Humanity and other Animals*, Cullompton: William, 2007; BEIRNE (Piers), *For A Nonspeciesist Criminology: Animal Abuse As An Object of Study*, in SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), *Green Criminology*, op. cit., pp. 29-59.

³⁰⁰ PASSAS (Nikos), *Lawful but Awful: 'Legal Corporate Crimes'*, *Journal of Socio-Economics*, Vol. 34, No. 6, 2005, p. 781.

³⁰¹ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1083, 1086, 1089.

³⁰² REICH (Michael R.), *Toxic Politics: Responding to Chemical Disasters*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1991. See also WILLIAMS (Christopher), *An Environmental Victimology*, in SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 309-333; PENZ (Peter), *Environmental Victims and State Sovereignty: A Normative Analysis*, *Social Justice*, Vol. 23, No. 4 (66), 1996, pp. 41-61.

³⁰³ See HEMMI (Akiko) & GRAHAM (Ian), *Hacker Science Versus Closed Science: Building Environmental Monitoring Infrastructure*, *Information, Communication and Society*, Vol. 17, No. 7, 2014, pp. 830-842; BROWN (Christopher), *Report Slams Numerous Federal Departments*, *Lloydminster Source*, Nov. 28, 2013; RENNIE (Steve), *Auditor General 2013 Fall Report: Food*

Safety System Mired in Confusion, Huffington Post, Nov. 26, 2013; WOODS (Allan), *Lac Megantic: Think-Tank's Report Blames Weak Government Regulation*, Toronto Star, Oct. 22, 2013; CAMPBELL (Bruce), *Lac-Mégantic: Where Does the Buck Stop?*, Rabble.ca, Oct. 23, 2013; RIVERS (Ray), *Lac-Megantic Disaster, a Change in Regulations that Should Never Have Been Allowed to Happen. Who Is Asking the Questions?*, Burlington Gazette, July 15, 2013; CHOSSUDOVSKY (Michel), *Fukushima: A Nuclear War without a War: The Unspoken Crisis of Worldwide Nuclear Radiation*, Global Research, Oct. 25, 2013; ICHIYO (Muto), *The Buildup of a Nuclear Armament Capability and the Postwar Statehood of Japan: Fukushima and the Genealogy of Nuclear Bombs and Power Plants*, Inter-Asia Cultural Studies, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 171-212; SAMUELS (Richard J.), *Japan's Rhetoric of Crisis: Prospects for Change after 3.11*, Journal of Japanese Studies, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 97-120; HIXSON (Lucas W.), *Japanese government to fund underground wall at Fukushima Daiichi as more criminal charges filed against TEPCO*, Enformable, Sep. 3, 2013; YAROSHINSKAYA (Alla A.), *Secret Chernobyl Documents Expose the Cover-Up*, DiaNuke, Mar. 28, 2013; YAROSHINSKAYA (Alla A.), *Chernobyl: Crime Without Punishment*, New Brunswick: Transaction, 2011; PARDUE (Angela Dawn) ET AL., *Psychopathy and Corporate Crime: A Preliminary Examination, Part 1*, Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2013, pp. 116-144; PARDUE (Angela Dawn) ET AL., *Psychopathy and Corporate Crime: A Preliminary Examination, Part 2*, Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2013, pp. 145-169; BRISMAN (Avi) & SOUTH (Nigel), *A Green-Cultural Criminology: An Exploratory Outline*, Crime, Media, Culture, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2013, p. 124; RUSHE (Dominic), *BP and Government Lawyers Prepare for Battle over Environmental Cost of Spill*, Guardian, Feb. 22, 2013; BRADSHAW (Elizabeth A.), *Deepwater, Deep Ties, Deep Trouble: A State-Corporate Environmental Crime Analysis of the 2010 Gulf of Mexico Oil Spill*, Dissertation, Western Michigan University, 2012; LUSTGARTEN (Abraham), *Feds Let BP off Probation despite Pending Safety Violations*, ProPublica, Mar. 12, 2012; MATTHEWS (Owen), *Chernobyl's Lessons for Fukushima on Earthquake's First Anniversary*, Daily Beast, Mar. 11, 2012; RICHES (Dennis), *Chernobyl: Crime without Punishment – Disturbing Parallels between Chernobyl and Fukushima*, Nuclear Free by 2045?, Jan. 22, 2012; YAMASHITA (Masakazu), *One-and-a-Half Years since the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident, Japan Has Learned Nothing: Resumption of Nuclear Operations*, International Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol. 69, No. 5, 2012, pp. 697-704; BECKETT (Lois), *Six Ways Fukushima Is Not Chernobyl*, ProPublica, Mar. 18, 2011; PERLINGIERI (Ilya Sandra), *Chernobyl: The Horrific Legacy*, Global Research, Apr. 25, 2009; *Chernobyl Cover-Up A Catalyst for 'Glasnost'*, NBC News, Apr. 24, 2006; BROUGHTON (Edward), *The Bhopal Disaster and Its Aftermath: A Review*, Environmental Health, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2005; *The Shadow of Chernobyl*, Index on Censorship, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2001, pp. 186-189; CASSELS (Jamie), *The Uncertain Promise of Law: Lessons from Bhopal*, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 29, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-50.

304 For example, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*, op. cit.; MOLONEY (Christopher J.) & CHAMBLISS (William J.), *Slaughtering the Bison, Controlling Native Americans: A State Crime and Green Criminology Synthesis*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2014, pp. 319-338; GLEDHILL (Cara), *Queering State Crime Theory: The State, Civil Society and Marginalization*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 127-138; LUSTIG (Doreen), *Three Paradigms of Corporate Responsibility in International Law: The Kiobel Moment*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2014, pp. 593-614; WILT (Harmen van der), *Corporate Criminal Responsibility for International Crimes: Exploring the Possibilities*, Chinese Journal of International Law, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2013, pp. 43-77; SOUTH (Nigel) & BRISMAN (Avi) (ed.), *Routledge International Handbook of Green Criminology*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2013; SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), op. cit.; SCHOTTER (Casey) & RHINEBERGER-DUNN (Gayle), *The I-35W Bridge Collapse: Crimes of Commission and Omission Resulting from the Confluence of State Processes and Political-Economic Conditions*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2013, pp. 477-492; BARRETT (Kimberly L.), *Bethlehem Steel at Lackawanna: The State-Corporate Crimes that Continue to Victimize the Residents and Environment of Western New York*, Journal of Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2013, pp. 263-282; FINLEY (Laura L.), *Examining State and State-Corporate Crime Surrounding Major Sporting Events*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2013, pp. 228-250; KRAMER (Ronald C.), *Carbon in the Atmosphere and Power in America: Climate Change as State-Corporate Crime*, Journal of Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2013, pp. 153-170; KRAMER (Ronald C.), *Criminologists and the Social Movement Against Corporate Crime*, Social Justice, Vol. 16, No. 2 (36), 1989, pp. 146-164; BAAR (Annika Van) & HUISMAN (Wim), *The Oven Builders of the Holocaust: A Case Study of Corporate Complicity in International Crimes*, British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 52, No. 6, 2012, pp. 1033-1050; BRISMAN (Avi) & SOUTH (Nigel), *A Green-Cultural Criminology*, op. cit., pp. 115-135; GRIFFIN (O. Hayden) & MILLER (Bryan Lee), *OxyContin and a Regulation Deficiency of the Pharmaceutical Industry: Rethinking State-Corporate Crime*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2011, pp. 213-226; KLEIN (Josh) & LAVERY (Cathy), *Legitimizing War by Victimization: State-Corporate Crime and Public Opinion*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 56, No. 3, 2011, pp. 301-317; GOBERT (James J.) & PASCAL (Ana-Maria), *European Developments in Corporate Criminal Liability*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; GOBERT (James J.) & PUNCH (Maurice), *Rethinking Corporate Crime*, London: Butterworths/LexisNexis, 2003; MULLINS (Christopher W.) & ROTHE (Dawn L.), *Gold, Diamonds and Blood: International State-Corporate Crime in the Democratic Republic of the Congo*, Contemporary Justice Review: Issues in Criminal, Social, and Restorative Justice, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2008, pp. 81-99; BRUCE (Alan S.) & BECKER (Paul J.), *State-Corporate Crime and the*

Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, *Western Criminology Review*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2007, pp. 29-43; KAUZLARICH (David) ET AL., *A Complicity Continuum of State Crime*, *Contemporary Justice Review*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2003, pp. 241-254; MATTHEWS (Rick A.) & KAUZLARICH (David), *The Crash of ValuJet Flight 592: A Case Study in State-Corporate Crime*, *Sociological Focus*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2000, pp. 281-298; KAUZLARICH (David) & KRAMER (Ronald C.), *Crimes of the American Nuclear State: At Home and Abroad*, Boston: Northeastern University Press, 1998; KAUZLARICH (David), *A Criminology of the Nuclear State*, *Humanity and Society*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1995, pp. 37-57; KAUZLARICH (David) & KRAMER (Ronald C.), *The Nuclear Terrorist State*, *Peace Review*, Vol. 7, No. 3-4, 1995, pp. 333-337; KAUZLARICH (David) & KRAMER (Ronald C.), *State-Corporate Crime in the US Nuclear Weapons Production Complex*, *Journal of Human Justice*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1993, pp. 4-28; KAUZLARICH (David) ET AL., *Toward the Study of Governmental Crime: Nuclear Weapons, Foreign Intervention, and International Law*, *Humanity and Society*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 1992, pp. 543-563; MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.) & KRAMER (Ronald C.) (ed.), *State-Corporate Crime: Wrongdoing at the Intersection of Business and Government*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2006; WHYTE (Dave), *Lethal Regulation: State-Corporate Crime and the United Kingdom Government's New Mercenaries*, *Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2003, pp. 575-600; ROSS (Jeffrey Ian), *The Dynamics of Political Crime*, Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2003, pp. 148-156; SEIS (Mark), *Five Types of Environmental Criminals*, in CLIFFORD (Mary) (ed.), *Environmental Crime*, op. cit., pp. 255-279; GERAGHTY (Annie), *Corporate Criminal Liability*, *American Criminal Law Review*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2002, pp. 327-353; WELLS (Celia), *Corporations and Criminal Responsibility*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001; CARON (David D.), *State Crimes in the ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility: Insights from Municipal Experience with Corporate Crimes*, *American Society of International Law Proceedings*, Vol. 92, 1998, pp. 307-312; GLASBERG (Davita S.) & SKIDMORE (Dan L.), *The Role of the State in the Criminogenesis of Corporate Crime*, *Social Science Quarterly*, Vol. 79, No. 1, 1998, pp. 110-128; TUNNELL (Kenneth D.) (ed.), *Political Crime in Contemporary America: A Critical Approach*, New York: Garland, 1993; REICH (Michael R.), *Toxic Politics*, op. cit.; PEARCE (Frank) & TOMBS (Steve), *Bhopal: Union Carbide and the Hubris of the Capitalist Technocracy*, *Social Justice*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 1989, pp. 116-145.

³⁰⁵ See TOQUE (Catherine) ET AL., *The Corrosion of Depleted Uranium in Terrestrial and Marine Environments*, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, Vol. 128, No. 5, 2014, pp. 97-105; AL-ANSARI (Nadhir) ET AL., *Suggested Landfill Sites for Hazardous Waste in Iraq*, *Natural Science*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2013, pp. 463-477; AL-ANSARI (Nadhir) & AL-MUQDADI (Kadhim), *The Waste of Wars in Iraq: Its Nature, Size and Contaminated Areas*, *Workshop on Landfills of Hazardous Waste and Its Implications on Health and Environment*, Lulea, 15-17 Nov. 2011, pp. 1-34; STANLEY (Jacob K.) ET AL., *Environmental Assessment of Depleted Uranium Used in Military Armor-Piercing Rounds in Terrestrial Systems*, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 33, No. 6, 2014, pp. 1308-1314; NAFEZI (Gazmend) ET AL., *Radon Levels and Doses in Dwellings in two Villages in Kosovo, Affected by Depleted Uranium*, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, Vol. 158, No. 3, 2014, pp. 331-339; CONSIDINE (Craig), *U.S. Depleted Uranium as Malicious as Syrian Chemical Weapons*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 29, 2013; BOWLES (William), *Depleted Uranium: A War Crime Within a War Crime*, *Global Research*, Mar. 23, 2010; REYNOUARD (Vincent), *Un Scandale Étouffé: Les Armes à l'Uranium Appauvri*, YouTube, Feb. 25, 2012; HYNES (H. Patricia), *Depleted Uranium Weapon Use Persists, Despite Deadly Side Effects*, *Truthout*, Aug. 25, 2011; HYNES (H. Patricia), *War and the Tragedy of the Commons*, *Truthout*, Aug. 4, 2011; *Beyond Treason: The True Story of Depleted Uranium*, YouTube, Aug. 24, 2011; MORET (Leuren), *Depleted Uranium*, YouTube, Feb. 11, 2011; MEADE (Derek), *Gulf War Syndrome and the Army's Depleted Uranium Training Videos*, *Motherboard*, 2011; BUSBY (Chris) ET AL., *Cancer, Infant Mortality and Birth Sex-Ratio in Fallujah, Iraq 2005-2009*, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol. 7, No. 7, 2010, pp. 2828-2837; TREKI (Ali Abdussalam), *Deformed Babies in Fallujah*, *Uruknet*, Oct. 14, 2009; WHITE (Rob), *Depleted Uranium, State Crime and the Politics of Knowing*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2008, pp. 31-54; BERTELL (Rosalie), *Depleted Uranium: All the Questions about DU and Gulf War Syndrome Are Not Yet Answered*, *International Journal of Health Services*, Vol. 36, No. 3, 2006, pp. 503-520; ENZLER (Stefan M.), *Environmental Effects of Warfare*, *Lenntech*, Sep. 2006; ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *Two-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study of Implanted Depleted Uranium (DU) in CD Rats*, op. cit.; ARFSTEN (Darryl P.) ET AL., *A Review of the Effects of Uranium and Depleted Uranium Exposure on Reproduction and Fetal Development*, op. cit.; NICHOLS (Ben) & LOPEZ (Joe), *Another US War Crime: The Use of Depleted Uranium Munitions in Iraq*, *World Socialist Web Site*, May 29, 2003; UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME, *Depleted Uranium in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Switzerland*, 2003; PETERSON (SCOTT), *Remains of Toxic Bullets Litter Iraq, the Monitor Finds High Levels of Radiation Left by US Armor-Piercing Shells*, *Christian Science Monitor*, May 15, 2003; PARSONS (Robert James), *America's Big Dirty Secret*, *Le Monde Diplomatique*, Mars 3, 2002; SPRATT (Brian G.), *Depleted Uranium Munitions: Where Are We Now?*, *Journal of Radiological Protection*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2002, pp. 125-130; MEISSONNIER (Martin) ET AL., *Uranium Appauvri, la Guerre Invisible*, Paris: Robert Laffont, 2001; ARBUTHNOT (Felicity) ET AL., *Depleted Uranium: A Post-War Disaster for Environment and Health*, Amsterdam: Laka Foundation, 1999; U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY INSTITUTE, *Health and Environmental Consequences of Depleted Uranium Use by U.S. Army*, Federation of American Scientists, 1994.

- 306 ZILINSKAS (Raymond A.), *Preventing State Crimes against the Environment during Military Operations: The 1977 Environmental Modification Treaty*, in ROSS (Jeffrey Ian) (ed.), *Controlling State Crime*, op. cit., pp. 237, 256-258.
- 307 MCLAREN (Duncan) & WILLMORE (Ian), *The Environmental Damage of War in Iraq*, Guardian, Jan. 19, 2003. See also BAUMANN (Paul R.), *Environmental Warfare: 1991 Persian Gulf War*, Department of Geography, State University of New York College at Oneonta, 2011; ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation: Devastate to Liberate, or Devastatingly Liberal?*, Anarchist Library, 2009, pp. 6-7; AL-DAMKHI (Ali Mohamed), *Kuwait's Oil Well Fires, 1991: Environmental Crime and War*, International Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol. 64, No. 1, 2007, pp. 31-44; MCCLAIN (Heather MacLeod), *Environmental Impact: Oil Fires and Spills Leave Hazardous Legacy*, CNN, 2001; HUSAIN (Tahir), *Kuwaiti Oil Fires: Regional Environmental Perspectives*, Oxford: Pergamon, 1995; ENZLER (Stefan M.), *Environmental Effects of Warfare*, op. cit.; *Pollution Legacy Lives on in Kuwait*, BBC News, Aug. 4, 2000; *Gulf Found to Recover from War's Oil Spill*, New York Times, Mar. 18, 1993; KHORDAGUI (Hosny) & AL-AJMI (Dhari), *Environmental Impact of the Gulf War: An Integrated Preliminary Assessment*, Environmental Management, Vol. 17, No. 4, 1993, pp. 557-562; HAWLEY (T. M.), *Against the Fires of Hell: The Environmental Disaster of the Gulf War*, New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1992; KHORDAGUI (Hosny), *Comments on Current Environmental in Kuwait Events*, Environmental Management, Vol. 15, No. 4, 1991, pp. 455-459.
- 308 SIMON (David R.), *Corporate Environmental Crimes and Social Inequality*, in SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), op. cit., p. 176. See also SZASZ (Andrew), *Corporations, Organized Crime, and the Disposal of Hazardous Waste: An Examination of the Making of A Criminogenic Regulatory Structure*, in SOUTH (Nigel) & BEIRNE (Piers) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 341-367.
- 309 *Nigeria Asks London Ship Containing Toxic Waste to Return Its Deadly Cargo*, Nigerian Watch, Jan. 11, 2013.
- 310 VIDAL (John), *Toxic 'E-Waste' Dumped in Poor Nations, Says United Nations*, Guardian, Dec. 14, 2013. See also *EU Exporting More Waste, Including Hazardous Waste*, European Environment Agency; MORRISON (Sarah) & CARSTEN (Paul), *UN Close to Ban on West's Toxic Waste Exports*, Independent, Oct. 23, 2011; BREIVIK (Knut) ET AL., *Are Reductions in Industrial Organic Contaminants Emissions in Rich Countries Achieved Partly by Export of Toxic Wastes?*, Environmental Science and Technology, Vol. 45, No. 21, 2011, pp. 9154-9160; LIPMAN (Zada), *Trade in Hazardous Waste: Environmental Justice Versus Economic Growth*, Basel Action Network, 2011; WONG (Ming Hung) ET AL., *Export of Toxic Chemicals: A Review of the Case of Uncontrolled Electronic-Waste Recycling*, Environmental Pollution, Vol. 149, No. 2, 2007, pp. 131-140; WIDMER (Rolf) ET AL., *Global Perspectives on E-Waste*, Environmental Impact Assessment Review, Vol. 25, No. 5, 2005, pp. 436-458; MUNDADA (M. N.) ET AL., *E-Waste: A New Challenge for Waste Management in India*, International Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol. 61, No. 3, 2004, pp. 265-279; BARKIN (David), *Toxic Exports: The Transfer of Hazardous Wastes from Rich to Poor Countries*, Review of Radical Political Economics, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2003, pp. 382-384; CLAPP (Jennifer), *Toxic Exports: The Transfer of Hazardous Wastes from Rich to Poor Countries*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2001; CLAPP (Jennifer), *The Toxic Waste Trade with Less-Industrialised Countries: Economic Linkages and Political Alliances*, Third World Quarterly, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1994, pp. 505-518; CLAPP (Jennifer), *Africa, NGOs, and the International Toxic Waste Trade*, Journal of Environment and Development, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1994, pp. 17-46; KUMMER (Katharina), *International Management of Hazardous Wastes: The Basel Convention and Related Legal Rules*, Oxford: Clarendon Press Oxford, 1995; HESS (Jeremy) & FRUMKIN (Howard), *The International Trade in Toxic Waste: The Case of Sihanoukville, Cambodia*, International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2000, pp. 331-344; KOHN (Robert E.), *Exporting Toxic Waste*, Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 3, 1995, pp. 187-195; WYNNE (Brian), *The Toxic Waste Trade: International Regulatory Issues and Options*, Third World Quarterly, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1989, pp. 120-146; SHAIKH (Rashid A.) & NICHOLS (Joanne K.), *The International Management of Chemicals*, Ambio, Vol. 13, No. 2, 1984, pp. 88-91.
- 311 TRUSCELLO (Michael) & GORDON (Uri), *Whose Streets? Anarchism, Technology and the Petromodern State*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, p. 9.
- 312 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1987, p. 105.
- 313 ELLISON (Katherine), *An Inconvenient Lawsuit: Teenagers Take Global Warming to the Courts*, Atlantic, May 9, 2012; JERVEY (Ben), *Young Americans Sue Government to Stop Global Warming, Polluter Interests Granted Intervention To Defend*, DeSmogBlog, Apr. 4, 2012; *Why I'm Suing the Government of United States*, Earth Island Journal, May 4, 2011; *Green Groups to Sue US Government over Atmospheric Pollution*, BusinessGreen, May 5, 2011.
- 314 For example, see U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, US Animal Welfare Act, Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act, UK Hunting Act 2004, UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, Vienna Convention for the protection of the Ozone Layer, Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques, European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals, Universal Declaration on Animal Welfare, World Society for the Protection of Animals, Animal Legal

Defense Fund, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, and Animal Aid Foundation.

³¹⁵ For some examples, see *Les Grandes Écoles Militaires en Sursis?*, Le Salon Beige, Juillet 5, 2014; *Faire Baisser le Chômage en Pleine Récession, le Défi Impossible du Gouvernement*, L'Expansion, Mai 15, 2013; France: *Le Pays Est en Pleine Récession et le Chômage Augmente Encore*, RTS.ch, Mai 5, 2013; Italie: *Vote Crucial et Crainte d'Instabilité en Pleine Récession*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Fév. 25, 2013.

³¹⁶ About the politics of austerity, its effects, and the masses' reaction, see SHANNON (Deric) (ed.), *The End of the World as We Know It? Crisis, Resistance, and the Age of Austerity*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2014; *Austerity Generation*, Soundings: A Journal of Politics and Culture, No. 56, 2014; *Editorial: A Crisis We Need to Talk About*, Irish Independent, July 6, 2014; KALETSKY (Anatole), *How EU Politics Pushed Merkel to Lift Germany's Austerity Policies*, Reuters, July 4, 2014; LADI (Stella) & TSAROUHAS (Dimitris), *The Politics of Austerity and Public Policy Reform in the EU*, Political Studies Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2014, pp. 171-180; RÜDIG (Wolfgang) & KARYOTIS (Georgios), *Who Protests in Greece? Mass Opposition to Austerity*, British Journal of Political Science, Vol. 44, No. 3, 2014, pp. 487-513; VASILOPOULOU (Sofia) ET AL., *Greece in Crisis: Austerity, Populism and the Politics of Blame*, Journal of Common Market Studies, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2014, pp. 388-402; DRUMMOND (John S.), *Healthcare and the Politics of Austerity*, Nursing Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2013, p. 1; PURKIS (Mary Ellen), *The 15th International Philosophy of Nursing Conference held in association with the International Philosophy of Nursing Society (IPONS) at the West Park Centre, Dundee, Scotland, 25-28 August 2011: Healthcare and the Politics of Austerity*, Nursing Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2013, pp. 2-4; MOLINA-MULA (Jesús) & PEDRO-GÓMEZ (Joan E. De), *Impact of the Politics of Austerity in the Quality of Healthcare: Ethical Advice*, Nursing Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2013, pp. 53-60; GILLIGAN (Paula), *"Harsh Realism": Gender, Reality Television, and the Politics of the "Sink" Housing Estate in Austerity Britain*, Television and New Media, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2013, pp. 244-260; PARK (Mi), *Lessons from the Asian Financial Crisis for the Eurozone: A Comparative Analysis of the Perilous Politics of Austerity in Asia and Europe*, Asia Europe Journal, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2013, pp. 189-199; HATZOPOULOS (Pavlos) & PATELIS (Korinna), *The Comrade is Violent: Liberal Discourses of Violence in Anti-Austerity Greece*, Theory and Event, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2013; PAUTZ (Hartwig) & KOMINOU (Margarita), *Reacting to 'Austerity Politics': The Tactic of Collective Expropriation in Greece*, Social Movement Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2013, pp. 103-110; POTTER (Lucy) & WESTALL (Claire), *Neoliberal Britain's Austerity Foodscape: Home Economics, Veg Patch Capitalism and Culinary Temporality*, New Formulation, Vol. 80-81, 2013, pp. 155-178; MONASTIRIOTIS (Vassilis) ET AL., *Austerity Measures in Crisis Countries: Results and Impact on Mid-Term Development*, Intereconomics, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2013, pp. 4-32; KARANIKOLOS (Marina) ET AL., *Financial Crisis, Austerity, and Health in Europe*, Lancet, Vol. 381, No. 9874, 2013, pp. 1323-1331; ALBO (Greg), *The Crisis and Economic Alternatives*, Socialist Register, Vol. 49, 2013, pp. 1-25; SPOURDALAKIS (Michalis), *Left Strategy in the Greek Cauldron: Explaining Syriza's Success*, Socialist Register, Vol. 49, 2013, pp. 98-119; EXADAKTYLOS (Theofanis) & ZAHARIADIS (Nikolaos), *Policy Implementation and Political Trust: Greece in the Age of Austerity*, Hellenic Observatory Papers on Greece and Southeast Europe, No. 65, 2012; LEE (Simon) & BEECH (Matt) (ed.), *The Cameron-Clegg Government: Coalition Politics in the Age of Austerity*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011; Variant, No. 39-40, 2010.

³¹⁷ See MARKEY (Greg), op. cit.; ELECTIONS CANADA, op. cit., p. 45.

³¹⁸ See WARNER (Brian), *Does The Royal Family Take Advantage of UK Taxpayers?*, Celebrity Net Worth, July 5, 2014; RAJ (Althia), *Canadians Vented about Costs of Queen's Diamond Jubilee*, Monarchy, Documents Show, Huffington Post, Apr. 22, 2013; CHASE (Steven), *Tories Blasted over \$1-Million 'Bread and Circuses' Royal Tour*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 30, 2012; *Conservatives Balked at Cost of Queen's Diamond Jubilee*, CBC News, Jan. 9, 2012; *Another Royal Visit to Cost Taxpayers Millions*, Canadian Republic, Feb. 18, 2011; QUINN (Ben), *Queen Elizabeth II Visits New York: What Does Royalty Cost British Taxpayers?*, Christian Science Monitor, July 6, 2010; ENGELHART (Katie), *Queen Costs Us More than the Brits Pay*, MacLean's, July 14, 2009; *Queen Elizabeth II's Costs Bring Criticism*, MSN, June 29, 2009.

³¹⁹ About these parasitically colonialist institutions and few shy critiques, see LAGASSÉ (Philippe), *The Queen of Canada Is Dead; Long Live the British Queen*, MacLean's, Feb. 3, 2013; SMITH (Jennifer) & JACKSON (D. Michael) (ed.), *The Evolving Canadian Crown*, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2012; *The Role of Canada's Governor General*, CBC News, July 8, 2010; JOYAL (Serge), *Diminishing the Crown*, Globe and Mail, June 10, 2010; FRANKS (Charles E. S.), *Keep the Queen and Choose another Head of State*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 9, 2010; HEPBURN (Bob), *Governor General's Office Outlives Its Usefulness*, Toronto Star, Jan. 7, 2010; WHITE (Randall), *Who Is Our Head of State: Jean or the Queen?*, Toronto Star, Oct. 19, 2009; SMITH (David E.), *Canada's Governors General: Biography and Constitutional Evolution 1847-1878*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2007, pp. 772-773; MESSAMORE (Barbara Jane), *Canada's Governors General 1847-1878: Biography and Constitutional Evolution*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2006; MESSAMORE (Barbara Jane), *'The Line over which He Must Not Pass': Defining the Office of Governor General, 1878*, Canadian Historical Review, Vol. 86, No. 3, 2005, pp. 453-483; MERCHANT (David) & RICH (Paul), *Canada and the Commonwealth: Does the Commonwealth Have*

a Future as Well as a Past?, *American Behavioral Scientist*, Vol. 47, No. 10, 2004, pp. 1319-1328; VAUGHAN (Frederick), *Canadian Federalist Experiment: From Defiant Monarchy to Reluctant Republic*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2003; REDMOND (Gerald), *Imperial Viceregal Patronage: The Governors-General of Canada and Sport in the Dominion, 1867-1909*, *International Journal of the History of Sport*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1989, pp. 193-217; TODHUNTER (Rodger A.), *Preservation, Parks and the Vice-Royalty Lord Dufferin and Lord Grey in Canada*, *Landscape Planning*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1985, pp. 141-160; WHITLEY (Stuart), *The Lieutenant-Governor's Advisory Boards of Review for the Supervision of the Mentally Disordered Offender in Canada: A Call for Change*, *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, Vol. 7, No. 3-4, 1984, pp. 385-394; MARTIN (Ged), *The Appointment of Sir Francis Bond Head as Lieutenant-Governor of Upper Canada in 1835*, *Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1975, pp. 280-291; *The Queen's Role in Canada*, *British Monarchy*; *The Governor General and the Lieutenant Governors*, *British Monarchy*; *Cartooning Canada's Governor Generals*, *Filibuster Cartoons*.

³²⁰ No Man's Life, Liberty, or Property Are Safe While the Legislature Is in Session, United for Missouri; MAUSER (Gary A.), *Bill C-15 and Animal Rights*, Simon Fraser University.

³²¹ For certain examples in this case, see EGNELL (Robert) & HALDÉN (Peter) (ed.), *New Agendas in Statebuilding: Hybridity, Contingency and History*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2013; SADEGHI-BOROUJERDI (Eskandar), *Head of Revolutionary Guards on Security and Energy in the Persian Gulf*, *Iran Pulse*, Jan. 25, 2013; GHOBADZADEH (Naser) & RAHIM (Lily Zubaidah), *Islamic Reformation Discourses*, op. cit.; NABAVI (Negin) (ed.), *Iran: From Theocracy to the Green Movement*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012; NABAVI (Negin) (ed.), *Intellectual Trends in Twentieth-Century Iran: A Critical Survey*, Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2003; ARJOMAND (Said Amir), *After Khomeini*, op. cit.; ARJOMAND (Said Amir), *Civil Society and the Rule of Law in the Constitutional Politics of Iran Under Khatami – Iranian President Mohammad Khatami*, Iran Chamber Society, 2000; GHEISSARI (Ali) (ed.), *Contemporary Iran: Economy, Society, Politic*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; KAMRAVA (Mehran), *Iranian Shiism under Debate*, *Middle East Policy*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2003, pp. 102-112.

³²² LIEBSCH (Burkhard), *What Does (Not) Count as Violence: On the State of Recent Debates About the Inner Connection Between Language and Violence*, *Human Studies*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, p. 11.

Chapter 3: The Anarchist Analysis and Critique of the Law and Punishment

¹ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit.; KENNEDY (Florynce), *The Whorehouse Theory of Law*, in LEFCOURT (Robert) (ed.), *Law against the People: Essays to Demystify Law, Order, and the Courts*, New York: Random House, 1971, pp. 81-82.

² RAPP (John A.), *Daoism as Utopian or Accommodationist: Radical Daoism Re-Examined in light of the Guodian Manuscripts*, in DAVIS (Laurence) & KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *Anarchism and Utopianism*, op. cit., pp. 33-42; RAPP (John A.), *Utopia, Anti-Utopia and Dysotopia Ideas in Philosophical Daoism*, *Journal of Comparative Asian Development*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2002, pp. 225-226; *Taoism and Anarchism (part one revisited)*, *Individuated*, Jan. 22, 2007. See also below, Chapter 5, footnote 2; SHIELDS (James Mark), *Zen and the Art of Treason: Radical Buddhism in Meiji Era (1868-1912) Japan*, *Politics, Religion and Ideology*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2014, pp. 205-223; CARREIRO (Daniel Rodríguez), *The Dao Against the Tyrant: The Limitation of Power in the Political Thought of Ancient China*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2013, pp. 111-151; RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism*, op. cit.; RAPP (John A.), *Daoism and Anarchism Reconsidered*, op. cit.; RAPP (John A.), *Daoism as Utopian or Accommodationist*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., p. 20; JOSH, *Anarchism and Taoism*, Anarchist Library, 2005; CLARK (John P.), *Zen Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2006; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Me, A Dissident? No Thanks: Interview With A Chinese Rebel*, Anarchist Library, 1999; DIRLIKE (Arif), *Anarchism in the Chinese Revolution*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1991.

³ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 3.

⁴ FERNANDEZ (Luis A.) & HUEY (Laura), *Alternatives to Policing*, in FERNANDEZ (Luis A.) & HUEY (Laura) (ed.), *Rethinking Policing and Justice*, op. cit., p. 1.

⁵ About the relations between the law and fetishism, see O'KANE (Chris), *Fetishism and Social Domination in Marx, Lukacs, Adorno and Lefebvre*, PhD thesis, Centre for Social and Political Thought, University of Sussex, 2013; PATON (Diana), *Obeah Acts: Producing and Policing the Boundaries of Religion in the Caribbean*, *Small Axe*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-18; RIPELL (Julieta Lemaitre), *Legal Fetishism: Law, Violence, and Social Movements in Colombia*, *Revista Jurídica de la Universidad de*

Puerto Rico, Vol. 77, 2008, pp. 331-344; GRBICH (Judith), *Secrets of the Fetish in International Law's Messianism*, in ORFORD (Anne) (ed.), *International Law and Its Others*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 197-220; GRBICH (Judith), *The Problem of the Fetish in Law, History and Postcolonial Theory*, Law Text Culture, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2003, pp. 43-70; KELSEY (Jane), *Legal Fetishism and the Contradictions of the GATS*, Globalisation, Societies and Education, Vol. 1, No. 3, 2003, pp. 267-280; GOODRICH (Peter), *Law Induced Anxiety: Legists, Anti-Lawyers and the Boredom of Legality*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2000, pp. 143-163; HOROWITZ (Amir), *Legal Interpretation, Morality, and Semantic Fetishism*, American Philosophical Quarterly, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2000, pp. 335-357; CARLSON (David Gray), *Duellism in Modern American Jurisprudence*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 99, No. 7, 1999, pp. 1908-1954; SCHLAG (Pierre), *Laying Down the Law: Mysticism, Fetishism, and the American Legal Mind*, New York: New York University Press, 1996.

⁶ For example, see SCHWENDINGER (Herman) & SCHWENDINGER (Julia), *Who Killed the Berkeley School? Struggles over Radical Criminology*, Brooklyn: Punctum Books, 2014; SCHEPT (Judah), (Un)Seeing like a Prison, op. cit.; SCHWENDINGER (Herman) & SCHWENDINGER (Julia), *Looking Back: Reflecting on the Birth of Radical Criminology at Berkeley*, Journal of Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, 2, 2013, pp. 148-152; LYNCH (Michael J.), *Reexamining Political Economy and Crime and Explaining the Crime Drop*, Journal of Crime and Justice, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2013, pp. 248-262; LYNCH (Michael J.) & MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.), *Primer in Radical Criminology: Critical Perspectives on Crime, Power and Identity*, 4th ed., Monsey: Criminal Justice Press, 2006; LYNCH (Michael J.) (ed.), *Radical Criminology*, Aldershot, and Brookfield: Dartmouth, 1997; LYNCH (Michael J.) & GROVES (W. Byron), *A Primer in Radical Criminology*, 2nd ed., New York: Harrow and Heston, 1989; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Radical Criminology: A Manifesto*, Journal of Radical Criminology, No. 1, 2012, pp. 7-17; ROSS (Jeffrey Ian) (ed.), *Cutting the Edge: Current Perspectives in Radical/Critical Criminology and Criminal Justice*, 2nd ed., New Brunswick: Transaction, 2009; KLENOWSKI (Paul M.), *Peacemaking Criminology: Etiology of Crime or Philosophy of Life?*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2009, pp. 207-222; DEKESEREDY (Walter S.), *Contemporary Critical Criminology*, New York: Routledge, 2011; CARRIER (Nicolas), *Critical Criminology Meets Radical Constructivism*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2011, pp. 331-350; LILLY (J. Robert) ET AL., *Criminological Theory: Context and Consequences*, 5th ed., Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2011, pp. 166-198; PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Peacemaking: Reflections of a Radical Criminologist*, Ottawa: University of Ottawa Press, 2007; KAUZLARICH (David), *Seeing War as Criminal: Peace Activist Views and Critical Criminology*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2007, pp. 67-85; INCIARDI (James) (ed.), *Radical Criminology: The Coming Crises*, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1980; ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Critical Criminology, Existential Humanism, and Social Justice: Exploring the Contours of Theoretical Integration*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 10, 2001, pp. 83-95; ARRIGO (Bruce A.) (ed.), *Social Justice/Criminal Justice: The Maturation of Critical Theory in Law, Crime, and Deviance*, Belmont: West/Wadsworth, 1999; HENRY (Stuart) & MILOVANOVIC (Dragan), *Constitutive Criminology: Origins, Core Concepts, and Evaluation*, op. cit., pp. 268-290; BARAK (Gregg) (ed.), *Varieties of Criminology: Readings from a Dynamic Discipline*, Westport: Praeger, 1994; BANKOWSKI (Zenon) ET AL., *Radical Criminology or Radical Criminologist?*, Contemporary Crises, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1977, pp. 37-51; TAYLOR (Ian) ET AL. (ed.), *Critical Criminology*, London and Boston: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1975.

⁷ About its report, see GOODELL (Charles), *Political Prisoners in America*, New York: Random House, 1973, p. 329; BRIGGS, JR. (Vernon M.), *Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders: A Review Article Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders*, Journal of Economic Issues, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1968, pp. 200-210; *Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders*, New York: Bantam Books, 1968, pp. 1-29.

⁸ BAYLEY (David H.) & SHEARING (Clifford D.), *The New Structure of Policing: Description, Conceptualization, and Research Agenda*, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, 2001, p. 40.

⁹ BAYLEY (David H.) & SHEARING (Clifford D.), *The Future of Policing*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1996, p. 585. About the governance of security under private auspices, see also BAYLEY (David H.), *Police for the Future*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1996; STEDEN (Ronald van), *Privatizing Policing: Describing and Explaining the Growth of Private Security*, Amsterdam: Vrije University, 2007; JOHNSTON (Les) & SHEARING (Clifford), *Governing Security: Explorations in Policing and Justice*, London: Routledge, 2003; NOGALA (Detlef), *State, Market, Civil Society: Mixing the Economy of Policing Anew? Observations from Germany and Continental Europe*, paper to *In Search of Security: An International Conference on Policing and Security*, Montreal, Feb. 19-22, 2003; DE WAARD (Jaap), *The private security industry in international perspective*, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1999, pp. 143-174; JOHNSTON (Les), *Private Policing in the Context*, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1999, pp. 175-196; KEMPA (Michael) ET AL., *Reflections of the Evolving Concept of 'Private Policing'*, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1999, pp. 197-223.

¹⁰ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Economic, Social, Legal, and Political Racism in North America throughout "Selladurai Premakumaran and Nesamalar Premakumaran v. Her Majesty the Queen"*, Academia.edu, June 20, 2014; ADU-FEBIRI (Francis) & OFORI (Everett), *Succeeding from the Margins of Canadian Society: A Strategic Resource for New Immigrants*,

Refugees and International Students, British Columbia: CCB Publishing, 2009, p. 25; *Judge Rejects City Couple's Case Against Ottawa*, MeQuierolr, Aug. 24, 2005; MARSHALL (Andy), *Foreign Professionals Wasted in Canada*, Business Edge, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2004; MACAULAY (Hamish), *Free the Immigrants*, Calgary's News and Entertainment Weekly, Nov. 6, 2003; LEEDER (Jessica), *Immigrants Suing Immigration Canada*, Edmonton Journal, Oct. 22, 2003.

¹¹ See ROY (Jennifer), *Legalized Racism*, Canadian Race Relations Foundation, July 14, 2015; ROY (Jennifer), *Racism in the Justice System*, Canadian Race Relations Foundation; GILMORE (Scott), *Canada's Race Problem? It's Even Worse than America's*, MacLean's, Jan. 22, 2015; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Economic, Social, Legal, and Political Racism in North America*, op. cit.; FLERAS (Augie), *Racisms in a Multicultural Canada: Paradoxes, Politics, and Resistance*, Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2014; RANKIN (Jim) ET AL., *Unequal Justice: Aboriginal and Black Inmates Disproportionately Fill Ontario Jails*, Toronto Star, Mar. 4, 2013; MASCARENHAS (Michael), *Where the Waters Divide: Neoliberalism, White Privilege, and Environmental Racism in Canada*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2012; CANNON (Martin John) & SUNSERI (Lina) (ed.), *Racism, Colonialism, and Indigeneity in Canada: A Reader*, Don Mills and New York: Oxford University Press, 2011; HENRY (Frances) & TATOR (Carol), *The Colour of Democracy: Racism in Canadian Society*, 4th ed., Toronto: Nelson Education, 2009; GOSINE (Andil) & TEELUCKSINGH (Cheryl), *Environmental Justice and Racism in Canada: An Introduction*, Toronto: Emond Montgomery, 2008; HIER (Sean Patrick) & BOLARIA (B. Singh) (ed.), *Race and Racism in 21st Century Canada: Continuity, Complexity, and Change*, Peterborough: Broadview Press, 2007; RUDIN (Jonathan), *Aboriginal Peoples and the Criminal Justice System*, Paper prepared for the Ipperwash Inquiry, 2006; BACKHOUSE (Constance), *Colour-Coded: A Legal History of Racism in Canada, 1900-1950*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999; BACKHOUSE (Constance), *White Female Help and Chinese-Canadian Employers: Race, Class, Gender, and Law in the Case of Yee Clun, 1924*, Canadian Ethnic Studies, Vol. 26, No. 3, 1994, pp. 34-52; IACOVETTA (Franca) ET AL. (ed.), *A Nation of Immigrants: Women, Workers and Communities in Canadian History, 1840's-1960's*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1998; WILLIAMS (Dorothy W.), *Les Noirs à Montréal, 1628-1986: Essai de Démographie Urbaine*, Montréal: Victor-Lévy Beaulieu Éditeur, 1998; WALKER (James W. St. G.), "Race," *Rights and the Law in the Supreme Court of Canada: Historical Case Studies*, Toronto: The Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History and Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 1997; DICKASON (Olive Patricia), *Canada's First Nations: A History of Founding Peoples from Earliest Times*, Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1997; DICKASON (Olive Patricia), *Les Premières Nations du Canada: Depuis les Temps les plus Lointains jusqu'à Nos Jours*, Sillery: Les Éditions du Septentrion, 1996; SEENATH (Harriet) ET AL., *Race and the Canadian Justice System: An Annotated Bibliography*, Toronto: Urban Alliance on Race Relations, 1995; COUTU (Jean Charles), *La Justice Pour et Par les Autochtones*, Québec: Ministère de la Justice du Québec, 1995; CURRIE (Ab) & KIEFI (George), *Ethnocultural Groups and the Justice System in Canada: A Review of the Issues*, Ottawa: Department of Justice Canada, 1994; MIKI (Roy) & KOBAYASHI (Cassandra), *Justice in Our Time: The Japanese Canadian Redress Agreement*, Vancouver and Winnipeg: Talonbooks and National Association of Japanese Canadians, 1991; HELLY (Denise), *Les Chinois à Montréal, 1877-1951*, Québec: Institut Québécois de Recherche sur la Culture, 1987; *The Aboriginal Justice Implementation Commission*.

¹² See WINGROVE (Josh), *Canada's New Prostitution Laws: Everything You Need to Know*, Globe and Mail, July 15, 2014; BERGERON-OLIVER (Annie), *Government Amendment to Prostitution Bill Passes*, iPolitics, July 15, 2014; BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Prostitution Bill Spurs Debate on Whether to Protect Or Punish Sex Workers*, Huffington Post, July 10, 2014; BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Canada's Prostitution Bill Likely Unconstitutional: Swedish Expert*, CTV News, July 9, 2014; BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Don't Make Prostitutes Criminals, Manitoba's Attorney General Urges*, CTV News, July 7, 2014; BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *Supreme Court Strikes Down Canada's Anti-Prostitution Laws as Charter Breach*, Global News, Dec. 20, 2013; URBACH (Robyn), *Peter MacKay Hopes Bill C-36 Will Eliminate Prostitution in Canada. Good Luck with that*, National Post, July 8, 2014; SMITH (Charlie), *Supreme Court of Canada Rules that Three Prostitution Laws are Unconstitutional*, Straight, Dec. 20, 2013; *Supreme Court Prostitution Ruling Forces*, CBC News, Dec. 21, 2013; *Supreme Court Strikes Down Canada's Prostitution Laws*, CBC News, Dec. 20, 2013; MACCHARLES (Tonda), *Supreme Court of Canada to Rule on Prostitution Laws*, Toronto Star, Dec. 19, 2013.

¹³ Quoted in STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Life Without Law: An Introduction to Anarchist Politics*, Anarchist Library, 2013, p. 6.

¹⁴ See PAPKE (David Ray), *Skepticism Bordering on Distrust: Family Law in the Hollywood Cinema*, Family Court Review, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2012, pp. 13-22; BAINBRIDGE (Jason), *The Bodies of Law: Performing Truth and the Mythology of Lawyering in American Law Shows*, European Journal of Cultural Studies, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2009, pp. 395-413; ERICKSON (Hal), *Encyclopedia of Television Law Shows: Factual and Fictional Series about Judges, Lawyers and the Courtroom, 1948-2008*, Jefferson: McFarland, 2009; MARKOVITS (Daniel), *A Modern Legal Ethics: Adversary Advocacy in a Democratic Age*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008; CLASSEN (Steven), *Lawyers Not in Love: The Defenders and Sixties TV*, Television and New Media, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2007, pp. 144-168; BRENNER (Susan W.), *Law in an Era of "Smart" Technology*, New York: Oxford University

Press, 2007; ASIMOW (Michael) & MADER (Shannon), *Law and Popular Culture: A Course Book*, New York: Peter Lang, 2004; RAPPING (Elayne), *Law and Justice as Seen on TV*, New York: New York University Press, 2003; MACHURA (Stefan) & ULBRICH (Stefan), *Law in Film: Globalizing the Hollywood Courtroom Drama*, *Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2001, pp. 117-132; ROSTRON (Allen K.), *Lawyers, Law and the Movies: The Hitchcock Cases*, *California Law Review*, Vol. 86, No. 1, 1998, pp. 211-239; SHEPARD (Randall T.), *The Importance of Legal History for Modern Lawyering*, *Indiana Law Review*, Vol. 30, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-6; COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION, *A Practical Guide to Modern Lawyering: Advertising, Solicitation and Common Ethical Complaints*, Columbus: 1978; *Modern Ethical Lawyer: History and Strategy*.

¹⁵ About the Marxist critiques of the law, see BAARS (Gritje), *The New Anti-Lawyers: On the Emergence of New 'Anarcho-Communist' Writing in International Law*, Gardens of Justice, Critical Legal Conference, Stockholm, Sept. 15, 2012; BAARS (Gritje), *Law(yers) Congealing Capitalism: On the (Im)possibility of Restraining Business in Conflict through International Criminal Law*, PhD thesis, University College London, 2012; BAARS (Gritje), "Reform or Revolution"? Polanyian versus Marxian Perspectives on the Regulation of the Economic, *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly*, Vol. 62, No. 4, 2011, pp. 415-431; ZIMMERMANN (Augusto), *Marxism, Law and Evolution: Marxist Law in Both Theory and Practice*, *Journal of Creation*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2009, pp. 90-97; KNOX (Robert), *Marxism, International Law, and Political Strategy*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2009, pp. 413-436; MARTIN (James) (ed.), *The Poulantzas Reader: Marxism, Law, and the State*, London: Verso, 2008; HEAD (Michael), *Evgeny Pashukanis: A Critical Reappraisal*, Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish, 2008; PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *The General Theory of Law and Marxism*, New Brunswick: Transaction, 2002; PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Law and Marxism: A General Theory*, trans. by Barbara Einhorn, ed. and intr. by Chris Arthur, London: Pluto, 1983; PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Pashukanis: Selected Writings on Marxism and Law*, ed. and intr. by Piers Beirne and Robert Sharlet, trans. by Peter B. Maggs, foreword by John N. Hazard, London and Toronto: Academic Press, 1980; SHARLET (Robert S.), *Pashukanis and the Commodity Exchange Theory of Law, 1924-1930: A Study in Soviet Marxist Legal Thought*, PhD thesis, Indiana University, 1968; KEARNEY (Kevin), *A Marxist perspective on jurisprudence*, World Socialist Web Site, Nov. 26, 2008; MIÉVILLE (China), *Between Equal Rights: A Marxist Theory of International Law*, Leiden: Brill, 2005; CARTY (Anthony), *Marxism and International Law: Perspectives for the American (Twenty-First) Century?*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2004, pp. 247-270; CHIMNI (B. S.), *An Outline of a Marxist Course on Public International Law*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2004, pp. 1-3; CHIMNI (B. S.), *Marxism and International Law: A Contemporary Analysis*, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 34, No. 6, 1999, pp. 337-349; FINE (Robert), *The Rule of Law and Muggleonian Marxism: The Perplexities of Edward Thompson*, *Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 1994, pp. 193-213; KRYGIER (Martin) (ed.), *Marxism and Communism: Posthumous Reflections on Politics, Society, and Law*, Amsterdam and Atlanta: Rodopi, 1994; KRYGIER (Martin), *Marxism and the Rule of Law: Reflections after the Collapse of Communism*, *Law and Social Inquiry*, Vol. 15, No. 4, 1990, pp. 633-663; VINCENT (Andrew), *Marx and Law*, *Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 1993, pp. 371-397; STUCHKA (Pyotr Ivanovich), *P. I. Stuchka: Selected Writings on Soviet Law and Marxism*, ed., ann., trans., and intr. by Robert Sharlet, Peter B. Maggs, and Piers Beirne, Armonk: Myron E. Sharpe, 1988; COLLINS (Hugh), *Marxism and Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1984; TERRAR (Toby), *The Soviet Critique of New Left Legal Theory: A Descriptive Bibliography*, *Studies in Soviet Thought*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 1982, pp. 210-226; BEIRNE (Piers) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), *Marxism and Law*, New York: Wiley, 1982; BEIRNE (Piers), *Empiricism and the Critique of Marxism on Law and Crime*, *Social Problems*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1979, pp. 373-385; BEIRNE (Piers), *Marxism and the Sociology of Law: Theory or Practice?*, *British Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1975, pp. 78-81; FINE (Bob) ET AL. (ed.), *Capitalism and the Rule of Law: From Deviancy Theory to Marxism*, London: Hutchinson, 1979; KINSEY (Richard), *Marxism and the Law: Preliminary Analyses*, *British Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1978, pp. 202-227; CAIN (Maureen) & HUNT (Alan), *Marx and Engels on Law*, London: Academic Press, 1979; CAIN (Maureen), *The Main Themes of Marx' and Engels' Sociology of Law*, *British Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1974, pp. 136-148; MAKEPEACE (R. W.), *Marxist Ideology and Soviet Criminal Law*, London and Totowa: Croom Helm, 1980; HARRIS (James W.), *Legal Philosophies*, London: Butterworths, 1980, pp. 245-258.

¹⁶ CAIN (Maureen), *Rich Man's Law or Poor Man's Law?*, *British Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1975, p. 66.

¹⁷ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., p. 39.

¹⁸ HEILBRONER (Robert), *Twenty-First Century Capitalism*, op. cit., p. 55.

¹⁹ ROTHSCCHILD (Emma), *Notes from a Political Trial*, in CHOMSKY (Avram Noam) ET AL., *Trials of the Resistance*, intr. by Murray Kempton, New York: New York Review, 1970, p. 111. About this case, see also ROTHSCCHILD (Emma), *Notes from a Political Trial*, *New York Review of Books*, July 10, 1969; DEBOUZY (Marianne), *Le Procès des Huit de Chicago (1969-1970): Déconstruire l'Image des Ennemis Publics*, *Le Mouvement Social*, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 44, 46; WATKINS (Russell) ET AL., *Movement on Trial: The Oakland Seven*, DVD, Oakland, 1969; CANNON (Terence) & ERLICH (Reese), *The Oakland Seven*, *Ramparts Magazine*, Apr. 1969, pp. 35-37; ERLICH (Reese), *A Day in the Life of an Oakland Seven*, *Realist*, No. 58, Dec. 1968;

CANNON (Terence) & BLUM (Joseph A.), *Introduction to THE MOVEMENT*, UC San Diego Library; The Movement, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1968.

²⁰ For example, see SEYF (Ahmad), *Iran: Theocracy and Taxation Dilemma*, View Point, June 14, 2013; JAFARI SAMIMI (Ahmad) ET AL., *Tax Capacity and Tax Effort: Evidence from Islamic Republic of Iran*, World Applied Sciences Journal, Vol. 6, No. 10, 2009, pp. 1413-1422; *Iran's Taxation System*, Barrasihaye Eghtesadi, Monthly Magazine, No. 145, 1999, pp. 18-21; *Growing Pains for Saudi Arabia's Withholding Tax*, KPMG, Nov. 23, 2012; *A Guide to Doing Business in Saudi Arabia: Taxes*, AME Info, Oct. 6, 2012; *Saudi Arabia Highlights 2012*, Deloitte; *Saudi Arabia Tax Rates*.

²¹ Quoted in LOCASCIO (Vincent R.), *The Monetary Elite vs. Gold's Honest Discipline*, Old Bridge: Weltanschauung Financial Press, 2005, p. 187.

²² See BASTIAT (Frédéric), *The Law*, trans. by Dean Russell, 4th ed., Irvington-on-Hudson: Foundation for Economic Education, 2004.

²³ HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 166.

²⁴ See BARKER (Colin), *The State as Capital*, International Socialism, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1978, pp. 16-42; ASHMAN (Sam) & CALLINICOS (Alex), *Capital Accumulation and the State System: Assessing David Harvey's The New Imperialism*, Historical Materialism, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2006, pp. 107-131.

²⁵ See PENNATHUR (Anita) ET AL., *The Stock Market Impact of Government Interventions on Financial Services Industry Groups: Evidence from the 2007-2009 Crisis*, Journal of Economics and Business, Vol. 71, 2014, pp. 22-44; DETZER (Daniel) ET AL., *Financial Systems in Financial Crisis: An Analysis of Banking Systems in the EU*, Intereconomics, Vol. 49, No. 2, 2014, pp. 56-87; SANTAMARÍA (M. Teresa Corzo) ET AL., *Financial Crises and the Transfer of Risk between the Private and Public Sectors: Evidence from European Financial Markets*, Spanish Review of Financial Economics, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-14; ARGITIS (Georgios) & NIKOLAIDI (Maria), *The Financial Fragility and the Crisis of the Greek Government Sector*, International Review of Applied Economics, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2014, pp. 274-292; KARANIKOLOS (Marina) ET AL., *Financial Crisis, Austerity, and Health in Europe*, op. cit.; *Quand L'Europe Sauve Ses Banques, Qui Paye?*, Arte, YouTube, Feb. 28, 2013; BERTOLA (Giuseppe) & PRETE (Anna Lo), *Finance, Governments, and Trade*, Review of World Economics, Vol. 149, No. 2, 2013, pp. 273-294; DING (Cherng G.) ET AL., *The Influence of Government Intervention on the Trajectory of Bank Performance during the Global Financial Crisis: A Comparative Study among Asian Economies*, Journal of Financial Stability, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2013, pp. 556-564; SALSMAN (Richard M.), *The Financial Crisis Was a Failure of Government, Not Free Markets*, Forbes, Sep. 19, 2013; MOORE (Michael), *Capitalism: A Love Story*, YouTube, Jan. 27, 2013; KOLLMANN (Robert) ET AL., *Fiscal Policy, Banks and the Financial Crisis*, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, Vol. 37, No. 2, 2013, pp. 387-403; URECHE-RANGAU (Loredana) & BURIETZ (Aurore), *One Crisis, Two Crises ... the Subprime Crisis and the European Sovereign Debt Problems*, Economic Modelling, Vol. 35, 2013, pp. 35-44; BROWN (Tony), *Spatial and Financial Fixes and the Global Financial Crisis: Does Labour Have the Knowledge and Power to Meet the Challenge?*, International Journal of Lifelong Education, Vol. 32, No. 6, 2013, pp. 690-704; KICKERT (Walter), *How the Danish Government Responded to Financial Crises*, Public Money and Management, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2013, pp. 55-62; KICKERT (Walter), *How the UK Government Responded to the Fiscal Crisis: An Outsider's View*, Public Money and Management, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2012, pp. 169-176; KICKERT (Walter), *How the Dutch Government Responded to Financial, Economic and Fiscal Crisis*, Public Money and Management, Vol. 32, No. 6, 2012, pp. 439-443; CHIMA (Onyebuchi Ralph) & LANGLEY (Paul), *Putting Humpty Dumpty Back Together Again: Financialisation and the Management of the Subprime Mortgage Crisis*, Global Society, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2012, pp. 409-427; ACHARYA (Viral V.) & KULKARNI (Nirupama), *What Saved the Indian Banking System: State Ownership or State Guarantees?*, World Economy, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2012, pp. 19-31; KLAYMAN (Ben), *GM to Buy Stake from Treasury; Government May Lose Billions*, Reuters, Dec. 19, 2012; WADE (Robert H.) & SIGURGEIRSDOTTIR (Silla), *Iceland's Rise, Fall, Stabilisation and Beyond*, Cambridge Journal of Economics, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2012, pp. 127-144; MA (Jing) & TIAN (Lihui), *Global Financial Crisis and China's Transition: Balancing Market Power with Active Role of the Government*, International Economic Journal, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2012, pp. 379-389; *Government Recalls GM Stock*, Washington Times, Dec. 26, 2012; WILLIAMS (Russell Alan), *The Limits of Policy Analytical Capacity: Canadian Financial Regulatory Reform*, International Journal of Public Sector Management, Vol. 25, No. 6/7, 2012, pp. 455-463; OLIVEIRA (Luís) ET AL., *The Determinants of Sovereign Credit Spread Changes in the Euro-Zone*, Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2012, pp. 278-304; TRUTWEIN (Patrick) ET AL., *Perceived Guarantees, Investor Protection and Bailout*, Journal of Property Investment and Finance, Vol. 30, No. 5, 2012, pp. 435-457; DWYER (Gerald P.) & LOTHIAN (James R.), *International and Historical Dimensions of the Financial Crisis of 2007 and 2008*, Journal of International Money and Finance, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2012, pp. 1-9; *Henry Paulson Confronted on Threatening Congress with Martial Law*, Infowars, Aug. 28, 2012; *Inside Job*, Other School of Economics, Apr. 15, 2011; SCANLON (Kathleen) et al., *Responding to the Housing and Financial Crises: Mortgage Lending, Mortgage Products and Government*

Policies, International Journal of Housing Policy, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-49; STOLZ (Stéphanie) & WEDOW (Michael), *Central Bank Measures in Support of the Financial Sector in the EU and the United States*, Intereconomics, Vol. 45, No. 6, 2010, pp. 385-392; BREITENFELLNER (Bastian) & WAGNER (Niklas), *Government Intervention in Response to the Subprime Financial Crisis: The Good into the Pot, the Bad into the Crop*, International Review of Financial Analysis, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2010, pp. 289-297; KANE (Edward J.), *Ethical Failures in Regulating and Supervising the Pursuit of Safety-Net Subsidies*, European Business Organization Law Review, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2009, pp. 185-211; MCINTYRE (Douglas A.), *Government Signals It Will Help GM (GM) and Chrysler: Now the Hard Part*, 24/7 Wall Street, Mar. 25, 2009; *US Treasury to Unveil New Banking Sector Plan on Monday*, Economic Times, Feb. 6, 2009; KING, JR. (Neil) & TERLEP (Sharon), *GM Collapses into Government's Arms*, Wall Street Journal, June 2, 2009; HARO (Lea) & SULLIVAN (Maria), *The American Mortgage Crisis Goes Global*, Critique, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2009, pp. 51-65; HAIDAR (Jamal Ibrahim), *The Mark-to-Market Valuation and Executive Pay Package Regulations within the 2009 US (Bailout) Emergency Economic Stabilization Act*, Journal of Economic Policy Reform, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2009, pp. 189-199; TAYLOR (John B.), *How Government Created the Financial Crisis*, Wall Street Journal, Feb. 9, 2009; TAYLOR (John B.), *Getting Off Track: How Government Actions and Interventions Caused, Prolonged, and Worsened the Financial Crisis*, Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 2009; CLARK (Andrew), *Paulson Abandons Plans to Buy up America's Toxic Mortgage Assets*, Guardian, Nov. 13, 2008; VLASIC (Bill), *General Motors Might Not Survive without Government Help*, New York Times, Nov. 12, 2008; STEVERMAN (Ben), *American Express Banks on Federal Help*, Bloomberg BusinessWeek, Nov. 12, 2008; LAWDER (David), *U.S. Backs Away from Plan to Buy Bad Assets*, Reuters, Nov. 12, 2008; SMITH (Aaron), *Bush: 'We Must Act Now'*, CNN, Sept. 19, 2008; *Financial Crisis*, MacLean's.

²⁶ HUDIS (Peter), *From the Economic Crisis to the Transcendence of Capital*, Journal of the International Marxist-Humanist Organization, Aug. 27, 2012, p. 1.

²⁷ BLAKELEY (Ruth), *State Terrorism and Neoliberalism*, op. cit., p. 6. See also BRISMAN (Avi), *Gregg Barak: Theft of a Nation: Wall Street Looting and Federal Regulatory Colluding*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2013, pp. 525-527; BARAK (Gregg), *Theft of a Nation: Wall Street Looting and Federal Regulatory Colluding*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2012.

²⁸ See GRIGNON (Paul), *Money as Debt*, DVD, Gabriola: Moonfire Studio, 2006; GRIGNON (Paul), *Money as Debt II: Promises Unleashed*, Gabriola: Moonfire Studio, 2009; GRIGNON (Paul), *Money as Debt III: Evolution beyond Money*, DVD, Gabriola: Moonfire Studio, 2011; WIPOND (Rob), *This Artist Follows the Money*, Focus, 2011; GRIGNON (Paul), *Producer's Comments on the Movie, Money as Debt*, Moonfire Studio Video Arts and Animation, Netfirms, Feb. 15, 2010; GRIGNON (Paul), *Disputed Information in Money as Debt*, Moonfire Studio Video Arts and Animation, Netfirms, Nov. 29, 2010; GRIFFIN (G. Edward), *Meet Bill Still, Fiat-Money Advocate: An analysis of the documentaries Money Masters and Capital Crimes*, Freedom Force International, 2011; GRIFFIN (G. Edward), *Money as Debt: An Instructional Video that Gets a Flunking Grade*, Freedom Force International, June 7, 2007; WASHINGTON (Samuel), *Film Review: 'Money as Debt'*, Epoch Times, Dec. 30, 2008; NAULIN (David), *L'Argent Dette: Découvrez le Long Métrage "Money as Debt" de Paul Grignon*, CDURABLE, Oct. 31, 2008; BAKER (Carolyn), *Daddy, Where Does the Money Come From?*, Atlantic Free Press, Aug. 24, 2007; HART (Keith), *Money Is always Personal and Impersonal*, Anthropology Today, Vol. 3, No. 5, 2007, pp. 12-16; CARMACK (Patrick S. J.) & STILL (William T.), *The Money Masters: How Banks Create the World's Money*, DVD, Manitou Springs: Royalty Production Company, 2005; CARMACK (Patrick S. J.) & STILL (William T.), *The Money Masters: How International Bankers Gained Control of America*, DVD, Manitou Springs: Royalty Production Company, 2004.

²⁹ LEASE (Mary Elizabeth), *Wall Street Owns the Country*, circa 1890.

³⁰ See *The Government Is Finally Arresting Wall Street Bankers ... For Losing Wall Street's Money*, Real News, Aug. 17, 2013; TAIBBI (Matt), *A Rare Look at Why the Government Won't Fight Wall Street*, Rolling Stone, Sep. 18, 2012; BARAK (Gregg), *Theft of a Nation*, op. cit.; *It's a Wall Street Government*, YouTube, Sep. 1, 2010.

³¹ CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *Perversions of Justice: Indigenous Peoples and Anglo-American Law*, San Francisco: City Lights Books, 2003; SCHONER (Penny) & RATCLIFFE (David) (ed.), *Perversions of Justice: Indigenous Peoples and Angloamerican Law by Ward Churchill. Published by City Lights Books, San Francisco, March 2003*, talk by Ward LeRoy Churchill to mark the book release at First Congregational Church of Oakland, Radical, Feb. 22, 2003.

³² FALLON, JR. (Richard H.), *"The Rule of Law" as a Concept in Constitutional Discourse*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 97, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-3, 55-56.

³³ PERRY (Michael J.), *The Constitution, the Courts and Human Rights: An Inquiry into the Legitimacy of Constitutional Policymaking by the Judiciary*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1982, p. 98.

³⁴ HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *The Importance of Not Being Ernest*, McGill Law Journal, Vol. 34, No. 2, 1988, pp. 234-235.

³⁵ ANONYMOUS, *To Never Bow Our Heads Ever Again*, Anarchist Library, 2014, p. 2.

³⁶ Concerning the relationship between politics and football, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Football and FIFA: A New "Gladiator Fight" in the New Age of Mass Manipulation, Stupidization, Corruption, and Organized Crimes*, Academia.edu, June 5, 2015; Germany Captain Philipp Lahm Retires from International Football, Guardian, July 18, 2014; OLTERMANN (Philip), *Angela Merkel the Mascot: German Chancellor's Relationship with the Team*, Guardian, July 14, 2014; EVANS (Stephen), *Merkel Goes for Goal with Germany at World Cup Final*, BBC News, July 12, 2014; WATTS (Jonathan), *Brazil's World Cup Run Is over but Political Ramifications still Unclear*, Guardian, July 9, 2014; WATTS (Jonathan), *Brazil 2014: World Cup where Politics and Social Media Invaded the Pitch*, Guardian, July 7, 2014; WATTS (Jonathan), *World Cup Diary: Fidel Castro Salutes Maradona and Lionel Messi*, Guardian, June 26, 2014; WATTS (Jonathan), *Brazilian Police Criticised over Raid on Protest Camp*, Guardian, June 18, 2014; WATTS (Jonathan), *Anti-World Cup Protests across Brazil*, Guardian, May 16, 2014; *World Cup 2014: Nigeria Suspended from all International Football after Government Interference with Nigerian FA*, Telegraph, July 9, 2014; *Will Protests Follow the Brazil-Germany World Cup Clash?*, Channel 4 News, July 8, 2014; *Diego Maradona to Hold Talks over Becoming New Coach of Venezuela*, Guardian, July 2, 2014; SILVER (Dan), *Political Footballers: Paolo Di Canio and 10 other Players Who Have Nailed Their Colours to the Mast*, Daily Mirror, Apr. 2, 2013; BRACH (Bartłomiej), *Who Is Lionel Messi? A Comparative Study of Diego Maradona and Lionel Messi*, International Journal of Cultural Studies, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2012, pp. 415-428; KERSHAW (Tom), *Diego Maradona's Religion and Political Views*, Hollowverse, Dec. 13, 2012; Ahmadinejad Nod Fuels Talk of Maradona Coaching Iran, Reuters, Dec. 6, 2010; *Diego Maradona Today*, Soccer Politics/The Politics of Football, Oct. 25, 2013; *Diego Maradona: His Best Quotes*, Telegraph, June 16, 2010; NAUGHTON (Philippe), *Diego Maradona Makes a Fan of President Ahmadinejad of Iran*, Sunday Times, Apr. 3, 2008; SALAZAR-SUTIL (Nicolás), *Maradona Inc: Performance Politics off the Pitch*, International Journal of Cultural Studies, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2008, pp. 441-458; CARROLL (Rory), *Maradona and Chávez Laugh over 'Hand of God' Goal on Chat Show*, Guardian, Aug. 20, 2007; *Political Football: Diego Maradona*, Channel 4 News, June 26, 2007; TAYLOR (Chris), *A Big Hand*, Guardian, Nov. 6, 2005; SINGER (Mike), *Pele on World Cup: 'We Cannot Mix' Politics and Soccer*, CBS Sports, June 10, 2014; *Pelé*, Soccer Politics/The Politics of Football, 2014; ROMERO (Simon) & NEUMAN (William), *How Angry Is Brazil? Pelé Now Has Feet of Clay*, New York Times, June 21, 2013; ROMERO (Simon), *International Business: Making Brazilian Soccer a Bigger Deal*, New York Times, May 11, 1999; FRYE (Caitlin), *Pelé's Religion and Political Views*, Hollowverse, Jan. 3, 2013; *Pele Slips from Brazil Pedestal*, Guardian, Nov. 25, 2001; PONTES (Felipe) & BRANDIMARTE (Walter), *Protesters March along Copacabana before Brazil World Cup Match*, Reuters, June 23, 2014; O'HANLON (Eilis), *Radio: No Escape from the Samba Beat*, Irish Independent, June 15, 2014; *Brazilian Police Shoot Live Rounds during Anti-World Cup Protests*, CTV News, June 15, 2014; *World Cup Blog: Rio, a City of Two Halves*, Deutsche Welle, June 12, 2014; *Brazil World Cup: Clashes at Sao Paulo and Rio Protests*, BBC News, June 12, 2014; *Brutal Repression of Anti-FIFA and World Cup Protests in Brazil*, Revolution News, June 12, 2014; SEHIMI (Mustapha), *Sport et Politique: Valeurs ou Opium du Peuple?*, L'Économiste, June 11, 2014; RAAB (Alon K.), *The Universe Is Shaped Like a Football: Football and Revolution*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 31, No. 7, 2014, pp. 795-814; HELAL (Ronaldo) & SOARES (Antonio Jorge), *The Decline of the 'Soccer-Nation': Journalism, Soccer and National Identity in the 2002 World Cup*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2014, pp. 132-146; BOUM (Aomar), *Shoot-Outs for the Nation: Football and Politics in Post-Colonial Moroccan-Algerian Relations*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2013, pp. 548-564; KENNEDY (David) & KENNEDY (Peter), *Introduction: Reflections on the Context of 'Left Wing' Fan Cultures*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 117-131; MANGAN (James Anthony) ET AL., *Rivalries: China, Japan and South Korea – Memory, Modernity, Politics, Geopolitics – and Sport*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 30, No. 10, 2013, pp. 1130-1152; MCDUGALL (William), *Kicking from the Left: The Friendship of Celtic and FC St. Pauli Supporters*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2013, pp. 230-24; APLIN (Nick), *The Slow Contagion of Scottish Example: Association Football in Nineteenth-Century Colonial Singapore*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2013, pp. 588-602; APLIN (Nick), *Sports and Games in Colonial Singapore: 1819-1867*, Sport in Society, Vol. 15, No. 10, 2012, pp. 1329-1340; XYPOLIA (Ilia), *More than Just a Game: Football as the Modern Opium of the Masses*, London Progressive Journal, June 20, 2012; *The 'New' Opium of the Masses*, Pragoti, May 30, 2012; BALLER (Susann), *Football and the Representation of History: The Senegalese 2002 'Success Story' in Football Cartoons and Advertisements*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2012, pp. 309-326; ZENENGA (Praise), *Visualizing Politics in African Sport: Political and Cultural Constructions in Zimbabwean Soccer*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2012, pp. 250-263; COOPER (Christine) & JOHNSTON (Joanne), *Vulgate Accountability: Insights from the Field of Football, Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2012, pp. 602-634; WOOD (David), *Playing by the Book: Football in Latin American Literature*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2011, pp. 27-41; TAYLOR (N. A. J.), *Football Hooliganism as Collective Violence: Explaining Variance in Britain through Interpersonal Boundaries, 1863-1989*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 28, No. 13, 2011, pp. 1750-1771; ALEGI (Peter) & BOLSMANN (Chris), *South Africa and the Global Game: Introduction*, Soccer and Society, Vol. 11, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 1-11; EAGLETON (Terry), *Football: A Dear Friend to Capitalism*, Guardian, June 15, 2010; LEWIS (Aidan), *From Alpine Clubs to Baggio and Berlusconi: Italy Reflected through Sport*, Journal of Modern Italian Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2009, pp. 96-103; GUPTA (Amit), *The Globalization of Sports, the Rise of Non-Western Nations, and the Impact*

on *International Sporting Events*, *International Journal of the History of Sport*, Vol. 26, No. 12, 2009, pp. 1779-1790; KIM (Brian) & MAMMO (Danny), *Iran's Political Corruption and Turmoil: How Football Brought It to Light*, Duke University, June 17, 2009; BENOIT (Macon), *The Politicization of Football: The European Game and the Approach to the Second World War*, *Soccer and Society*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2008, pp. 532-550; SHOBE (Hunter), *Football and the Politics of Place: Football Club Barcelona and Catalonia, 1975-2005*, *Journal of Cultural Geography*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2008, pp. 87-105; MADEIRO (Gustavo), *Sport and Power: Globalization and Merchandizing in the Soccer World*, *Society and Business Review*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2007, pp. 287-298; MCLELLAN (Illya), *The Cult of Football: A Religion for the Twentieth Century and Beyond*, *Bleacher Report*, Sep. 20, 2008; NATALI (Marcos), *The Realm of the Possible: Remembering Brazilian Futebol*, *Soccer and Society*, Vol. 8, No. 2-3, 2007, pp. 267-282; CHEHABI (Houchang E.), *The Politics of Football in Iran*, *Soccer and Society*, Vol. 7, No. 2-3, 2006, pp. 233-261; TOMLINSON (Alan) & YOUNG (Christopher) (ed.), *National Identity and Global Sports Events: Culture, Politics, and Spectacle in the Olympics and the Football World Cup*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2006; NOVAK (Andrew), *Rhodesia's 'Rebel and Racist' Olympic Team: Athletic Glory, National Legitimacy and the Clash of Politics and Sport*, *International Journal of the History of Sport*, Vol. 23, No. 8, 2006, pp. 1369-1388; FEIXA (Carles) & JURIS (Jeffrey S.), *Football Cultures*, *Social Anthropology*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2000, pp. 203-208; ARBENA (Joseph L.), *Meaning and Joy in Latin American Sports*, *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2000, pp. 83-91; GIULIANOTTI (Richard), *Back to the Future: An Ethnography of Ireland's Football Fans at the 1994 World Cup Finals in the USA*, *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 1996, pp. 323-344; BECK (Peter J.), *England v Germany 1938: Football as Propaganda*, *History Today*, Vol. 32, No. 6, 1982, pp. 29-34; LEVER (Janet), *Soccer: Opium of the Brazilian People*, *Trans-Action*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1969, pp. 36-43; ALPINE ANARCHIST PRODUCTIONS, *Anarchist Football (Soccer) Manual*; *Football*, Libcom.

³⁷ For example, see LINDEN (Julian), *No Action against Zuniga for Neymar Tackle-FIFA*, Reuters, July 7, 2014; HARRIS (Nick), *Two Referees at World Cup Suspected of Match-Fixing ... But FIFA only Found out about Past Allegations after Tournament Started*, *Daily Mail*, July 6, 2014; AZZONI (Tales), *Neymar's Dream of World Cup 2014 Victory Not Over, Brazil Star Says in Tearful Video*, *National Post*, July 6, 2014; KHANDELWAL (Avani), *Football Match-Fixer Wilson Raj Perumal Rubbishes FIFA World Cup Match Prediction Allegations*, *Daily News and Analysis*, July 3, 2014; HAGGERTY (Anthony), *Brazil v. Chile: Samba Star Fred Claims Luis Suarez's Punishment Is Too Severe*, *Daily Record and Sunday Mail*, June 28, 2014; DUNBAR (Graham), *FIFA, Players' Union Agree Luis Suarez Needs Help*, *Toronto Star*, June 27, 2014; GIBSON (Owen), *Match-Fixing Claims Involving Ghana Cast Shadow over World Cup*, *Guardian*, June 23, 2014; COWLEY (Jason), *The Matches Have Been Excellent So Far. But Could FIFA's Corruption Make This the Last World Cup?*, *New Republic*, June 15, 2014; BENNETT (Owen), *Fifa Is Run Like a 'Mafia Family' Blasts Former FA Chairman Lord Triesman*, *Express*, June 11, 2014; *FIFA Operates 'Like a Mafia Family'*, RTÉ.ie, June 11, 2014; BOYKOFF (Jules), *Why You Should Root for the World Cup Protesters*, *Guardian*, June 10, 2014; CORBETT (James), *Power, Corruption and Lies in FIFA: Is this the Beginning of the End for Sepp Blatter?*, *FourFourTwo*, June 10, 2014; NICHOLSON (Paul), *Exclusive: Ex FIFA GenSec Points to Serious Ethics Violation by Bidder*, *Inside World Football*, June 10, 2014; BARGENT (James), *The Messi Scandal: From Charity Soccer to Money Laundering Accusations*, *InSight Crime*, June 3, 2014; HILL (Declan) & LONGMAN (Jeré), *Fixed Soccer Matches Cast Shadow over World Cup*, *New York Times*, May 31, 2014; HILL (Declan), *To Fix or Not To Fix? How Corruptors Decide to Fix Football Matches*, *Global Crime*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2009, pp. 157-177; HILL (Declan), *How Gambling Corruptors Fix Football Matches*, *European Sport Management Quarterly*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2009, pp. 411-432; HAWKINS (Ed), *FIFA World Cup 2014: Could Matches Be Fixed in Brazil?*, *BBC News*, May 29, 2014; PIELKE, JR. (Roger), *How Can FIFA Be Held Accountable?*, *Sport Management Review*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2013, pp. 255-267; WESTHUIZEN (Janis van der) & SWART (Kamilla), *Bread or Circuses? The 2010 World Cup and South Africa's Quest for Marketing Power*, *International Journal of the History of Sport*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2011, pp. 168-180; JENNINGS (Andrew), *Investigating Corruption in Corporate Sport: The IOC and FIFA*, *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, Vol. 46, No. 4, 2011, pp. 387-398; JENNINGS (Andrew), *FIFA Fine Top Official's Son \$1 Million*, *Daily Mail*, Mar. 13, 2007; JENNINGS (Andrew), *Foul! The Secret World of FIFA: Bribes, Vote Rigging and Ticket Scandals*, London: HarperSport, 2006; JENNINGS (Andrew), *FIFA Chief's World Cup Ticket Scam: Trade in England Seats Helped "Net £500,000 Profit"*, *Daily Mail*, Sep. 12, 2006; JENNINGS (Andrew), *Americans Probe Blatter Henchman over "Bogus" Visa*, *Daily Mail*, Apr. 4, 2002; JENNINGS (Andrew), *Blatter Faces Revolt over FIFA's Major Money Crisis*, *ESPN Soccernet*, Mar. 1, 2002; JENNINGS (Andrew), *Havelange to Blatter: The Dynasty Based on Corruption*, *ESPN Soccernet*, Feb. 28, 2002; JENNINGS (Andrew) & LIPTON (Martin), *How Blatter Is Planning to Rig the Vote which Could Oust Him*, *Daily Mail*, Apr. 4, 2002; KIM (Brian) & MAMMO (Danny), *Iran's Political Corruption and Turmoil*, op. cit.; NIELSEN (Christian Axboe), *The Goalposts of Transition: Football as a Metaphor for Serbia's Long Journey to the Rule of Law*, *Nationalities Papers*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2010, pp. 87-103; BRIGHT (Richard), *World Cup 2018: Former FIFA Official Michel Zen-Ruffinen Offers Himself as a '£210K' Fixer*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 23, 2010; TOMLINSON (Alan), *Lord, Don't Stop the Carnival: Trinidad and Tobago at the 2006 FIFA World Cup*, *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2007, pp. 259-282; SUGDEN (John) & TOMLINSON (Alan), *Power and Resistance in the*

Governance of World Football: Theorizing FIFA's Transnational Impact, Journal of Sport and Social Issues, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1998, pp. 299-316.

³⁸ See AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 91-92; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., pp. 199-200; WILLIAMS (Christopher R.) & ARRIGO (Bruce A.), *Theory, Justice, and Social Change*, op. cit., p. 31; FOX (Dennis R.), *The Autonomy-Community Balance and the Equity-Law Distinction: Anarchy's Task for Psychological Jurisprudence*, Behavioral Sciences and the Law, Vol. 11, 1993, pp. 100-101; TAYLOR (Michael), *The Possibility of Cooperation: Studies in Rationality and Social Change*, New York: Cambridge, 1987, pp. 168-169; TAYLOR (Michael), *Anarchy and Cooperation*, London: Wiley, 1976, pp. 134-135; HOLTERMAN (Thomas), *Anarchist Theory of Law and the State*, in HOLTERMAN (Thomas) & MAARSEVEEN (Henc van) (ed.), *Law and Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 48, 63-64; BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and the Critique of Law*, op. cit., p. 281; KINSEY (Richard), *Marxism and the Law: Preliminary Analyses*, British Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1978, pp. 202-227.

³⁹ CAMPOS (Paul F.), *Jurismania: The Madness of American Law*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, viii. Regarding jurismania, see also GOODRICH (Peter), *Law Induced Anxiety*, op. cit.

⁴⁰ FOX (Dennis R.), *Where's the Proof that Law Is a Good Thing?*, op. cit., p. 258.

⁴¹ SAUNDERS (David), *The Critical Jurist and the Moment of Theory*, Postcolonial Studies, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2007, p. 86.

⁴² BLACK (Donald), *Sociological Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1989, p. 85.

⁴³ BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., pp. 6, 107.

⁴⁴ SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 69. See also KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, op. cit.

⁴⁵ RAPPAPORT (Roy A.), *The Sacred in Human Evolution*, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, Vol. 2, 1971, p. 23. See also TAYLOR (Michael), *Anarchy and Cooperation*, op. cit., p. 88.

⁴⁶ For example, see KANDRA (Deacon Greg), *When Did Presidents Start Saying "God Bless America" at the End of Speeches? You Might Be Surprised*, Patheos, Jan. 29, 2014; HALLOWELL (Billy), *The History Behind U.S. Presidents Using 'God Bless America' in Official Speeches*, Blaze, Jan. 28, 2014; *'God Bless America' in Presidential Speeches Has a Little-Known, Uncomfortable Beginning*, Huffington Post, Jan. 28, 2014; HARTNETT (Stephen John), *The Folly of Fighting for Providence, or, the End of Empire and Exceptionalism*, Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2013, pp. 201-214; KASKOWITZ (Sheryl), *God Bless America: The Surprising History of an Iconic Song*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2013; *God Bless America: US Civil Religion and the President as Prophet, Priest and Martyr of the Nation*, Religion Factor, Oct. 15, 2012; *Transcript of Bill Clinton's Speech to the Democratic National Convention*, New York Times, Sep. 5, 2012; AMRI (Ramzi), *Why Does the US President Always End His Speech with "God Bless America"?*, Quora, Apr. 8, 2012; DUNN (Bill), *How (and When) God Arrived to Bless America*, Freedom from Religion Foundation, Jan. 30, 2012; LONG (D. Stephen), *"... And God Bless America"*, Liturgy, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2005, pp. 1-3; PROTHERO (Stephen), *Religious Literacy: What Every American Needs to Know – And Doesn't*, New York: Harper One, 2008, p. 227; DOMKE (David) & COE (Kevin), *Happy 35th, 'God Bless America'*, Time, Apr. 29, 2008; *US Presidents Bless You*, Soundboard; *The American Presidency Project*.

⁴⁷ COYNE (Jerry A.), *If ISIS Is Not Islamic, then the Inquisition Was Not Catholic: There Is No Such Thing as 'True' Religion*, New Republic, Sep. 13, 2014.

⁴⁸ DREIER (Peter) & FLACKS (Dick), *Patriotism and Progressivism*, Peace Review, Vol. 15, No. 4, 2003, p. 397. See also LUGG (Catherine A.), *One Nation under God? Religion and the Politics of Education in a Post-9/11 America*, Educational Policy, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2004, pp. 169-187.

⁴⁹ For example, see DASTMALCHIAN (Amir), *Teaching and Learning Guide for: The Epistemology of Religious Diversity in Contemporary Philosophy of Religion*, Philosophy Compass, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2013, pp. 409-412; GELB (Betsy D.) & LONGACRE (Teri Elkins), *Acknowledging Religious Diversity: Opportunities and Challenges*, Business Horizons, Vol. 55, No. 5, 2012, pp. 509-518; *Osgoode and Bar-Ilan Faculty of Law Host Joint Symposium in Israel Entitled "Critical Reflections on Legal Pluralism in the State and Beyond"*, OHLS and the Faculty of Law, Bar-Ilan University, July 1, 2012; LUŽNÝ (Dušan), *The Study of Religious Diversity in the Czech Lands – Diversity in Academic Studies of Religions*, Human Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2012, pp. 558-568; EUROPEAN COMMISSION, *Pluralism and Religious Diversity, Social Cohesion and Integration in Europe*, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2011; BROWN (R. Khari) & BROWN (Ronald E.), *The Challenge of Religious Pluralism: The Association between Interfaith Contact and Religious Pluralism*, Review of Religious Research, Vol. 53, No. 3, 2011, pp. 323-340; MERINO (Stephen M.), *Religious Diversity in a "Christian Nation": The Effects of Theological Exclusivity and Interreligious Contact on the Acceptance of Religious Diversity*, Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, Vol. 49, No. 2, 2010, pp. 231-246; PATEL (Eboo) & MEYER (Cassie), *Engaging Religious Diversity on Campus: The Role of Interfaith Leadership*,

Journal of College and Character, Vol. 10, No. 7, 2009, p. 1436; HARRISON (Victoria S.), *Internal Realism, Religious Pluralism and Ontology*, Philosophia, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2008, pp. 97-110; GRIFFIN (David Ray) (ed.), *Deep Religious Pluralism*, Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2005; SINHA (Vineeta), *Theorising 'Talk' about 'Religious Pluralism' and 'Religious Harmony' in Singapore*, Journal of Contemporary Religion, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2005, pp. 25-40; WILLARD (Julian), *Plantinga's Epistemology of Religious Belief and the Problem of Religious Diversity*, Heythrop Journal, Vol. 44, No. 3, 2003, pp. 275-293; BASINGER (David), *Religious Diversity: A Philosophical Assessment*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2002; VOAS (David) ET AL., *Religious Pluralism and Participation: Why Previous Research Is Wrong*, Vol. 67, No. 2, 2002, pp. 212-230; QUINN (Philip L.), *Religious Diversity and Religious Toleration*, International Journal for Philosophy of Religion, Vol. 50, No. 1-3, 2001, pp. 57-80; SMITHEY (Shannon Ishiyama), *Religious Freedom and Equality Concerns under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, Canadian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2001, pp. 85-107; CHAVES (Mark) & GORSKI (Philip S.), *Religious Pluralism and Religious Participation*, Annual Review of Sociology, Vol. 27, 2001, pp. 261-281; BOUMA (Gary D.), *Social Justice Issues in the Management of Religious Diversity in Australia*, Social Justice Research, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1999, pp. 283-295; MIRANDA (Evelina Orteza y), *Religious Pluralism and Tolerance*, British Journal of Religious Education, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1994, pp. 19-34.

⁵⁰ For example, see DAVIS (Uri), *Apartheid Israel: Possibilities for the Struggle Within*, London: Zed Books, 2003; CAREY (Roane) (ed.), *The New Intifada: Resisting Israel's Apartheid*, intr. by Avram Noam Chomsky, London: Verso, 2001; *Israel and the Apartheid Analogy*, Wikipedia.

⁵¹ About this type of political weapon, see SALA (Massimiliano) (ed.), *Music and Propaganda in the Short Twentieth Century*, Turnhout: Brepols, 2014; VANC (Antoaneta M.), *The Counter-Intuitive Value of Celebrity Athletes as Antidiplomats in Public Diplomacy: Ilie Nastase from Romania and the World of Tennis*, Sport in Society, Vol. 17, No. 9, 2014, pp. 1187-1203; DAVIDSON (Judy), *Racism against the Abnormal?*, op. cit.; DOUSTI (Morteza) ET AL., *Sport Policy in Iran*, International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2013, pp. 151-158; GRANT (Susan), *Physical Culture and Sport in Soviet Society: Propaganda, Acculturation, and Transformation in the 1920s and 1930s*, New York: Routledge, 2013; BROWNE (Harris), *The Frontman: Bono (In the Name of Power)*, London: Verso, 2013; LAUNCHBURY (Claire), *Music, Poetry, Propaganda: Constructing French Cultural Soundscapes at the BBC during the Second World War*, Oxford: Peter Lang, 2012; PECOUT (Christophe), *Le Sport dans la France du Gouvernement de Vichy (1940-1944)*, Histoire Sociale, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2012, pp. 319-337; AJA (Teresa González), *From Dictatorship to Democracy in Spain: The Iconography of Motorcyclist Angel Nieto*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2011, pp. 240-252; ZWICKER (Stefan), *Sport in the Czech and Slovak Republics and the Former Czechoslovakia and the Challenge of Its Historiography*, Journal of Sport History, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2011, pp. 373-385; MOORE (Colin), *Propaganda Prints: A History of Art in the Service of Social and Political Change*, London: A and C Black, 2010; MONGER (David), *Sporting Journalism and the Maintenance of British Servicemen's Ties to Civilian Life in First World War Propaganda*, Sport in History, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2010, pp. 374-401; MERKEL (Udo), *Bigger than Beijing 2008: Politics, Propaganda and Physical Culture in Pyongyang*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 27, No. 14-15, 2010, pp. 2467-2492; BONDE (Hans), *Sport and Politics-Danish-German Sport Collaboration during World War II*, Scandinavian Journal of History, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2010, pp. 156-176; BONDE (Hans), *Danish Sport and the Nazi Seizure of Power: Indoctrination, Propaganda and Confrontation*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 26, No. 10, 2009, pp. 1458-1488; BONDE (Hans), *The Great Male Cycle: Sport, Politics and European Masculinity Today*, International Journal of the History of Sport, Vol. 26, No. 10, 2009, pp. 1540-1554; MCCRANN (Grace-Ellen), *Government Wartime Propaganda Posters: Communicators of Public Policy*, Behavioral and Social Sciences Librarian, Vol. 28, No. 1-2, 2009, pp. 53-73; LEE (Jung) & BAIRNER (Alan), *The Difficult Dialogue: Communism, Nationalism, and Political Propaganda in North Korean Sport*, Journal of Sport and Social Issues, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2009, pp. 390-410; KAUFMAN (Haim) & GALILY (Yair), *Sport, Zionist Ideology and the State of Israel*, Sport in Society, Vol. 12, No. 8, 2009, pp. 1013-1027; MITTLER (Barbara), *Popular Propaganda? Art and Culture in Revolutionary China*, Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, Vol. 152, No. 4, 2008, pp. 466-489; ORWELL (George), *All Art is Propaganda: Critical Essays*, compiled by George Packer, intr. by Keith Gessen, Orlando: Harcourt, 2008; CUSICK (Suzanne G.), *Music in the Detention Camps of the "Global War on Terror"*, Journal of the Society for American Music, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2008, pp. 1-26; CUSICK (Suzanne G.), *Music as Torture/Music as Weapon*, Revista Transcultural De Musica/Transcultural Music Review, Vol. 10, No. 11, 2006; BROWN (Steven) & VOLGSTEN (Ulrik) (ed.), *Music and Manipulation: On the Social Uses and Social Control of Music*, New York: Berghahn Books, 2006; BACHT (Nikolaus) (ed.), *Music, Theatre and Politics in Germany: 1848 to the Third Reich*, Burlington: Ashgate, 2006; CURRID (Brian), *A National Acoustics: Music and Mass Publicity in Weimar and Nazi Germany*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2006; JONES (John Bush), *The Songs that Fought the War: Popular Music and the Home Front, 1939-1945*, Waltham: Brandeis University Press, 2006; JONES (Keith), *Music in Factories: A Twentieth-Century Technique for Control of the Productive Self*, Social and Cultural Geography, Vol. 6, No. 5, 2005, pp. 723-744; TAN (Shzr Ee), *Manufacturing and Consuming Culture: Fakesong in Singapore*, Ethnomusicology Forum, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2005, pp. 83-106; BECK (Peter J.), *Britain and the Cold War's 'Cultural Olympics': Responding to the Political Drive of Soviet Sport, 1945-58*, Contemporary British History, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2005, pp.

169-185; WELLS (Karen A.), *Music as War Propaganda: Did Music Help Win The First World War?*, Parlor Songs Academy, 2004; MINDOTI (Kaskon W.) & AGAK (Hellen), *Political Influence on Music Performance in Kenya between 1963-2002*, Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education, No. 161-162, 2004, pp. 155-164; MOON (Krystyn R.), "There's No Yellow in the Red, White, and Blue": The Creation of Anti-Japanese Music during World War II, *Pacific Historical Review*, Vol. 72, No. 3, 2003, pp. 333-352; KRÜGER (Arnd) & MURRAY (William) (ed.), *The Nazi Olympics: Sport, Politics, and Appeasement in the 1930s*, Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2003; CLOONAN (Martin) & JOHNSON (Bruce), *Killing Me Softly with His Song: An Initial Investigation into the Use of Popular Music as a Tool of Oppression*, *Popular Music*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2002, pp. 27-39; ROSS (Sheryl Tuttle), *Understanding Propaganda: The Epistemic Merit Model and Its Application to Art*, *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2002, pp. 16-30; JOHN (Eckhard), *Music and Concentration Camps: An Approximation*, *Journal of Musicological Research*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2001, pp. 269-323; ROSARIO (Iva), *Art and Propaganda: Charles IV of Bohemia*, Woodbridge: Boydell Press, 2000; CONE (Michèle C.), *French Art of the Present in Hitler's Berlin*, *Art Bulletin*, Vol. 80, No. 3, 1998, pp. 555-567; MORRIS (Penelope), *Giovanna Zangrandi: Negotiating Fascism*, *Italian Studies*, Vol. 53, No. 1, 1998, pp. 94-121; CLARK (Toby), *Art and Propaganda in the Twentieth Century: The Political Image in the Age of Mass Culture*, New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1997; ROMBOUTS (Stephen), *Art as Propaganda in Eighteenth-Century France: The Paradox of Edme Bouchardon's Louis XV*, *Eighteenth-Century Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 1993, pp. 255-282; HANNESTAD (Niels), *Roman Art and Imperial Policy*, trans. and keyboarding by P. G. Crabb, Århus: Aarhus University Press, 1986; CASTRIOTA (David) (ed.), *Artistic Strategy and the Rhetoric of Power: Political Uses of Art from Antiquity to the Present*, Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press, 1986; PERRIS (Arnold), *Music as Propaganda: Art to Persuade, Art to Control*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 1985; JOHNSON (Arthur T.), *Government, Opposition and Sport: the Role of Domestic Sports Policy in Generating Political Support*, *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1982, pp. 22-34; HAZAN (Baruch), *Olympic Sports and Propaganda Games: Moscow 1980*, New Brunswick: Transaction Books, 1982; ZEMAN (Zbynek), *Selling the War: Art and Propaganda in World War II*, London: Orbis, 1978; HOUN (Franklin W.), *The Stage as a Medium of Propaganda in Communist China*, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 1959, pp. 223-235; DOWD (David L.), *Art as National Propaganda in the French Revolution*, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1951, pp. 532-546; COWELL (Henry), *Music As Propaganda*, *Bulletin of the American Musicological Society*, No. 11-13, 1948, pp. 9-11; KING (Chauncey), *Propaganda Music*, *Music Educators Journal*, Vol. 27, No. 5, 1941, p. 59; *Music and the Holocaust*.

⁵² Quoted in SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 139.

⁵³ About the sacredness of the law and political authority, see BELKEZIZ (Abdelilah), *Religion and the State in the Arab World: An Overview*, *Contemporary Arab Affairs*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 22-35; MARTEL (James R.), *Textual Conspiracies: Walter Benjamin, Idolatry, and Political Theory*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2013; MARTEL (James R.), *Divine Violence: Walter Benjamin and the Eschatology of Sovereignty*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012; MARTEL (James R.), *Love is a Sweet Chain: Desire, Autonomy and Friendship in Liberal Political Theory*, New York: Routledge, 2001; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *God, Myth, and the State*, op. cit.; WAINWRIGHT (Joel), 'The First Duties of Persons Living in a Civilized Community': The Maya, the Church, and the Colonial State in Southern Belize, *Journal of Historical Geography*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2009, pp. 428-450; EISGRUBER (Christopher L.), *Secularization, Religiosity, and the United States Constitution*, *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2006, pp. 445-472; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 17-19; SAUNDERS (David), *Anti-Lawyers: Religion and the Critics of Law and State*, London: Routledge, 1997; GENTILE (Emilio), *The Sacralization of Politics in Fascist Italy*, trans. by Keith Botsford, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1996.

⁵⁴ SCHEINGOLD (Stuart), *The Politics of Rights: Lawyers, Public Policy, and Political Change*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1974, p. 3. See also SILVERSTEIN (Helena), *The Symbolic Life of Law: The Instrumental and the Constitutive in Scheingold's*, *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 407-423.

⁵⁵ MARCHAK (M. Patricia), *Ideological Perspectives on Canada*, Montreal: McGill Queen's University Press, 2011, p. 7.

⁵⁶ SEABROOK (Jeremy), *The No-Nonsense Guide to Class, Caste and Hierarchies*, New York: Verso Books, 2004, pp. 21-22.

⁵⁷ SHEEHAN (Seán M.), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 74.

⁵⁸ CARROLL (John), *Break-Out from the Crystal Palace*, op. cit., p. 48. About the relationship between the State and ideology, see also PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Law and Marxism*, op. cit., p. 75.

⁵⁹ LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *Against the Logic of Submission*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 3.

⁶⁰ LANDAUER (Gustav), *Revolution and Other Writings*, op. cit., pp. 3, 213-214; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 12, 21, 411-412; GRAHAM (Robert), *The Anarchist Contract*, in EHRLICH (Howard J.) (ed.), *Reinventing Anarchy, Again*, Edinburgh and San Francisco: AK Press, 1996, p. 69; WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, op. cit., p. 23; WARD (Colin), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 8, 26.

⁶¹ COMFORT (Alex), *Authority and Delinquency in the Modern State: A Criminological Approach to the Problem of Power*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1950, p. 111.

⁶² HUMBLE (Jane E.), *Hypocrisy*, in THE CRIMETHINC. WORKERS' COLLECTIVE, *Days of Love, Nights of War: Crimethink for Beginners*, Atlanta: CrimethInc., 2001, p. 129

⁶³ For some works on the moral obligation to obey the law, see WALTON (Kevin), *The Particularities of Legitimacy: John Simmons on Political Obligation*, *Ratio Juris*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-15; WELLMAN (Christopher Heath) & SIMMONS (Alan John), *Is There a Duty to Obey the Law?*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2005; SIMMONS (Alan John), *Moral Principles and Political Obligations*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1979; GUR (Noam), *Actions, Attitudes, and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Journal of Political Philosophy*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2013, pp. 326-346; JIANG (Shanhe) ET AL., *Citizens' Obligation to Obey the Law: An Empirical Study of Guangzhou, China*, *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, Vol. 57, No. 4, 2013, pp. 495-518; OSTAS (Daniel T.), *Civil Disobedience in a Business Context: Examining the Social Obligation to Obey Inane Laws*, *American Business Law Journal*, Vol. 47, No. 2, 2010, pp. 291-312; RAZ (Joseph), *The Authority of Law: Essays on Law and Morality*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; MAY (Thomas), *On Raz and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Law and Philosophy*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1997, pp. 19-36; BATNITZKY (Leora), *A Seamless Web? John Finnis and Joseph Raz on Practical Reason and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 1995, pp. 153-175; TYLER (Tom R.), *Why People Obey the Law*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2006; KRAMER (Matthew H.), *Legal and Moral Obligation*, in GOLDING (Martin P.) & EDMUNDSON (William A.) (ed.), *The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Law and Legal Theory*, Malden: Blackwell, 2005, pp. 179-190; GREEN (Leslie), *Legal Obligation and Authority*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Dec. 29, 2003; TUNICK (Mark), *The Moral Obligation to Obey Law*, *Journal of Social Philosophy*, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2002, pp. 464-482; RATTNER (Arye) ET AL., *Not Bound by the Law: Legal Disobedience in Israeli Society*, *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2001, pp. 265-283; RATTNER (Arye), *Structural Models of Injustice and Illegalism in Israel*, *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 1998, pp. 379-396; EDMUNDSON (William A.) (ed.), *The Duty to Obey the Law: Selected Philosophical Readings*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1999; HIMMA (Kenneth Einar), *Positivism, Naturalism, and the Obligation to Obey Law*, *Southern Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1998, pp. 145-161; HARRIS (Edward A.), *From Social Contract to Hypothetical Agreement: Consent and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 92, No. 3, 1992, pp. 651-683; CHRISTIE (George C.), *On the Moral Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Duke Law Journal*, Vol. 39, No. 6, 1990, pp. 1311-1336; KLOSKO (George), *Parfit's Moral Arithmetic and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1990, pp. 191-213; SMITH (Malcolm Barry Estes), *Review Essay/The Obligation to Obey the Law: Revision or Explanation?*, *Criminal Justice Ethics*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1989, pp. 60-70; SMITH (Malcolm Barry Estes), *Is There a Prima Facie Obligation to Obey the Law?*, *Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 82, No. 5, 1973, pp. 950-976; BOARDMAN (William S.), *Coordination and the Moral Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Ethics*, Vol. 97, No. 3, 1987, pp. 546-557; *Georgia Law Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984; BALL (Milner S.), *Obligation: Not to the Law but to the Neighbor*, *Georgia Law Review*, Vol. 18, No. 5, 1984, pp. 911-927; MARSHALL (John), *Inventing the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, pp. 159-176; MACKIE (John Leslie), *Obligations to Obey the Law*, *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, pp. 143-158; HOLMES (Robert L.), *State-Legitimacy and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, pp. 133-141; JOHNSON (Conrad D.), *Moral and Legal Obligation*, *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 72, No. 12, 1975, pp. 315-333.

⁶⁴ OLSEN (Frances), *Socrates on Legal Obligation: Legitimation Theory and Civil Disobedience*, *Georgia Law Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, p. 930. See also HATZISTAVROU (Antony), *Crito's Failure to Deliberate Socratically*, *Classical Quarterly*, Vol. 63, No. 2, 2013, pp. 580-594; MOORE (Christopher), *Socratic Persuasion in the Crito*, *British Journal for the History of Philosophy*, Vol. 19, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1021-1046; HOWENSTEIN (Mark S.), *Socrates and the Moral Limits of Legal Obligation*, *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2009, pp. 55-76; GREEN (Michael), *Socrates and King on Legal Obligations*, *Philosophy of Law*, Mar. 22, 2008; ZUCKERT (Catherine H.), *Plato's Laws: Postlude or Prelude to Socratic Political Philosophy?*, *Journal of Politics*, Vol. 66, No. 2, 2004, pp. 374-395; ROSANO (Michael J.), *Citizenship and Socrates in Plato's "Crito"*, *Review of Politics*, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2000, pp. 451-477; JOHNSON (Curtis), *Socrates on Obedience and Justice*, *Political Research, Quarterly*, Vol. 43, No. 4, 1990, pp. 719-740; STEPHENS (James), *Socrates on the Rule of Law*, *History of Philosophy Quarterly*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1985, pp. 3-10; COLSON (Darrel D.), *On Appealing to Athenian Law to Justify Socrates' Disobedience*, *Apeiron*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1985, pp. 133-151; KRAUT (Richard), *Socrates and the State*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984; SMITH (Nicholas D.), *Socrates and Obedience to the Law*, *Apeiron*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1984, pp. 10-18; WOODRUFF (Paul), *Socrates and Legal Obligation*, book review, *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1983, pp. 93-95; ALLEN (Reginald E.), *Socrates and Legal Obligation*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1980; WOOZLEY (Anthony Douglas), *Law and Obedience: The Arguments of Plato's Crito*, London: Duckworth, 1979; WOOZLEY (Anthony Douglas), *Socrates on Disobeying the Law*, in VLASTOS (Gregory) (ed.), *The Philosophy of Socrates: A Collection of Critical Essays*, Garden City: Doubleday, 1971, pp. 299-318; MARTIN (Rex), *Socrates on Disobedience to Law*, *Review of Metaphysics*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 1970, pp. 21-38; D'AMATO

(Anthony), *Obligation to Obey the Law: A Study of the Death of Socrates*, Southern California Law Review, Vol. 49, No. 5, 1976, pp. 1079-1108.

⁶⁵ SMITH (Malcolm Barry Estes), *Is There a Prima Facie Obligation to Obey the Law?*, op. cit., p. 976.

⁶⁶ CONSTANT (Benjamin), op. cit., p. 319.

⁶⁷ RAZ (Joseph), *Authority and Consent*, Virginia Law Review, Vol. 67, No. 1, 1981, p. 103. See also HOLMES (Robert L.), *State-Legitimacy and the Obligation to Obey the Law*, op. cit.

⁶⁸ See GODWIN (William), *Enquiry Concerning Political Justice: With Selections from Godwin's Other Writings*, ed. by K. Codell Carter, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971.

⁶⁹ RICHARDS (David A. J.), *Conscience, Human Rights, and the Anarchist Challenge to the Obligation to Obey the Law*, Georgia Law Review, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, pp. 777-780, 789.

⁷⁰ MCBRIDE (William), *Fetishism of Illegality and the Mystifications of Authority and Legitimacy*, Georgia Law Review, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1984, p. 890.

⁷¹ BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Constitutional Legitimacy*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2003, p. 148. See also BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Libertarianism and Legitimacy: A Reply to Huebert*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2005, pp. 71-78; BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Restoring the Lost Constitution: The Presumption of Liberty*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004; HUEBERT (Jacob H.), *No Duty to Obey the State: Reply to Barnett*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2005, pp. 79-81; KLOSKO (George), *Are Political Obligations Content Independent?*, Political Theory, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2011, pp. 498-523.

⁷² ROBERSON (Christopher), *The State as Rational Authority*, op. cit., p. 617.

⁷³ CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), *Proudhon et la Norme*, op. cit., pp. 45, 48.

⁷⁴ About this concept, see NYT Editor John Swinton and the Truth about the Independent Press, Nomadic Politics, Apr. 8, 2014; FREY (Bruno S.), *Publishing as Prostitution? – Choosing between One's Own Ideas and Academic Success*, Public Choice, Vol. 116, No. 1-2, 2003, pp. 205-223.

⁷⁵ According to law Professor Arhurs, the money of taxpayers is falsely presented as "government grants". ARTHURS (Harry William), "Valour rather than Prudence": Hard Times and Hard Choices for Canada's Legal Academy, Faculty Research Seminar Series, Jan. 16, 2013, p. 7.

⁷⁶ See GORE (David Charles), *Sophists and Sophistry in the Wealth of Nations*, Philosophy and Rhetoric, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-26; AHMAD (Wan Azhar Wan), *The Modern Day Sophists*, Star Online, Jan. 18, 2011; COQUILLETTE (Daniel R.) ET AL., *Lawyers and Fundamental Moral Responsibility*, 2nd ed., Newark: LexisNexis, 2010; ANDRUS (R. Blain), *Lawyer: A Brief 5,000-Year History*, Chicago: American Bar Association, 2009; HOFFMAN (Dave), *Corporate Lawyers as Sophists*, Concurring Opinions, Nov. 11, 2009; NICHOLS (Marcia), *Cicero's Pro Cluentio and the "Mazy" Rhetorical Strategies of Wieland*, Law and Literature, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2008, pp. 459-476; O'GRADY (Patricia F.), *The Sophists: An Introduction*, London: Duckworth, 2008; SILVERMINTZ (Daniel), *Socrates on Trial: Strategies for Teaching*, Classical World, Vol. 100, No. 3, 2007, pp. 283-295; RUSSELL (Bertrand), *History of Western Philosophy*, London: Routledge, 2004, pp. 80-85; GIBERT (John), *The Sophists*, in SHIELDS (Christopher John), *The Blackwell Guide to Ancient Philosophy*, Malden: Blackwell, 2003, pp. 27-50; GALE (Fredric), *Logic, Rhetoric, and Legal Writing*, Journal of Business and Technical Communication, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1996, pp. 203-212; TORDESILLAS (Alonso), *Chaim Perelman: Justice, Argumentation and Ancient Rhetoric*, Argumentation, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1990, pp. 109-124.

⁷⁷ MORE (Jaime), *One Student's Tribute to the Late Professor Michael Mandel*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 3. About his cult of personality among his acolytes, see ALARCON (Victoria), *Michael Mandel Remembered Fondly by Osgoode Community*, Excalibur, Nov. 6, 2013; *Obiter Dicta Remembers Professor Michael Mandel*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 2; MACIEL (Citaly), *Remembering Professor Michael Mandel*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 4; MAKIN (Kirk), *Legal Scholar Michael Mandel Stoked the Fires of Debate*, Globe and Mail, Nov. 22, 2013; LENDMAN (Stephen), *Remembering Michael Mandel*, Global Research, Oct. 31, 2013; MACLELLAN (Stephanie), *Michael Mandel: Law Professor, Anti-War Activist, Tenor, 'Extraordinary Mentor'*, Toronto Star, Oct. 29, 2013; *Passings: Law Professor Michael Mandel – An Unconventional, Free-Spirited, Anti-Establishment Critic*, YFile, Oct. 28, 2013.

⁷⁸ EASTON (Stephen T.) & ROCKERBIE (Duane W.), *Optimal Government Subsidies to Universities in the Face of Tuition and Enrollment Constraints*, Education Economics, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2008, p. 191.

⁷⁹ WONG (Terry), *Are We Paying too Much for Law School?*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 86, No. 6, 2013, p. 1.

-
- ⁸⁰ WONG (Terry), *Are We Paying too Much for Law School?*, op. cit., p. 1.
- ⁸¹ See HUI (Martin), *Starting the Conversation on Tuition*, *Obiter Dicta*, No. 14, Apr. 8, 2013, pp. 1, 4-5.
- ⁸² WONG (Terry), op. cit., p. 15. About the fees and cost of Osgoode, see also MOORE (Ryan), *Survival of the Fittest*, *Excalibur*, Vol. 50, No. 12, 2015, p. 1; MOORE (Ryan), *Can't Afford Law School? No Problem*, *Excalibur*, Vol. 49, No. 6, 2014, p. 1; WONG (Terry), *Why What We Pay for Law School Should Matter to Everyone and What You Can Do*, *Obiter Dicta*, Nov. 18, 2013; *Quick Facts*, OHLS; *Tuition and Fees*, OHLS; *Incoming Students*, OHLS.
- ⁸³ See THORNTON (Margaret), *Privatising the Public University: The Case of Law*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012.
- ⁸⁴ SOAVE (Robby), *Inequality Thrives at Public Universities, Where Execs Live Like Kings*, *Reason*, June 11, 2014. See also Ohio State Most 'Unequal' Public College in U.S., *College Fix*, June 11, 2014; WIENERON (Jon), *What Makes Ohio State the Most Unequal Public University in America?*, *Nation*, May 19, 2014; ERWIN (Andrew) & WOOD (Marjorie), *The One Percent at State U*, *Institute for Policy Studies*, May 21, 2014; WEISSMANN (Jordan), *This State College President Earned \$6 Million Last Year. Should You Be Mad?*, *Slate*, May 20, 2014; DREHLE (David Von), *The Big Man on Campus*, *Time*, Nov. 11, 2009.
- ⁸⁵ *Tuition*, University of Toronto Faculty of Law; *Student Fees (JD Program)*, University of Toronto Faculty of Law.
- ⁸⁶ GOLDBERG (Susan), *Wealthy and Wise*, Canadian Bar Association, 2014. About the fees and cost of law schools in Canada, see also DYKSTRA (Steve), *The View From Up North: Law School Grifting*, *Above the Law*, July 23, 2014; FOOTE (Andrew), *Ontario Law School Fee Almost Doubles due to New Training*, *CBC News*, Feb. 10, 2014; RANKIN (Sarah), *Today's Law Grad: Six Figures in Debt and Heading to Bay Street*, *Globe and Mail*, Apr. 2, 2013; MCCLELLAND (Siobhan), *Becoming A Lawyer: Is A Law Degree Still A 'Golden Ticket'?*, *Huffington Post*, Sep. 4, 2012; *Are Law School Tuition Fees in Canada Still a Bargain?*, *Law of Work*, July 9, 2012; *Law School: What Will It Cost? 2010 Tuition Figures for First-Year Students*, *MacLean's*, Sep. 16, 2010; *Canadian Law School Profiles*, Oxford Seminars.
- ⁸⁷ MARCUCCI (Pamela N.) & JOHNSTONE (D. Bruce), *Tuition Fee Policies in a Comparative Perspective: Theoretical and Political Rationales*, *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2007, pp. 35-36. See also KERR (Jason R.) & BROWN (Jeffrey J.), *Costs of a Medical Education: Comparison with Graduate Education in Law and Business*, *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, Vol. 3, No 2, 2006, pp. 122-130.
- ⁸⁸ ROGERS (Abby), *The 20 Most Expensive Law Schools in America*, *Business Insider*, July 4, 2012; ADAMS (Susan), *America's Most Expensive Law Schools*, *Forbes*, Mar. 13, 2015; In this case, see also STRAUSS (Karsten), *America's Most Expensive Law Schools in 2016*, *Forbes*, Mar. 23, 2016.
- ⁸⁹ THOMSON (David I. C.), *Law School 2.0: Legal Education for a Digital Age*, Newark: LexisNexis, 2009, pp. 68-69. See also *Debt-Financed Cost of Attendance*, *Law School Transparency*.
- ⁹⁰ In this regard, see HACKER (Holly K.), *Low Cost of New UNT Dallas Law School Draws High Interest*, *Dallas News*, July 21, 2014; NGUYEN (David H. K.) & SERNA (Gabriel R.), *Access or Barrier? Tuition and Fee Legislation for Undocumented Students across the States*, *Clearing House*, Vol. 87, No. 3, 2014, pp. 124-129; WILKINS (Stephen) ET AL., *The Decision-Making and Changing Behavioural Dynamics of Potential Higher Education Students: The Impacts of Increasing Tuition Fees in England*, *Educational Studies*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2013, pp. 125-141; DWENGER (Nadja) ET AL., *Do Tuition Fees Affect the Mobility of University Applicants? Evidence from a Natural Experiment*, *Economics of Education Review*, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2012, pp. 155-167; WALKER (Ian) & ZHU (Yu), *Differences by Degree: Evidence of the Net Financial Rates of Return to Undergraduate Study for England and Wales*, *Economics of Education Review*, Vol. 30, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1177-1186; HEMELT (Steven) & MARCOTTE (Dave), *The Impact of Tuition Increases on Enrollment at Public Colleges and Universities*, *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2011, pp. 435-457; OKEKE (Chinedu I.O.), *Domestic and International Tuition Fees in African Universities: Might This Impede the Quest for Africanisation of Higher Education?*, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, Vol. 15, No. 5, 2011, pp. 429-444; MARCUCCI (Pamela) ET AL., *Higher Educational Cost-Sharing, Dual-Track Tuition Fees, and Higher Educational Access: The East African Experience*, *Peabody Journal of Education*, Vol. 83, No. 1, 2008, pp. 101-116; MULLIN (Christopher M.) & HONEYMAN (David S.), *Statutory Responsibility for Fixing Tuition and Fees: Community Colleges and Undergraduate Institutions*, *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, Vol. 32, No. 4-6, 2008, pp. 284-304; LUNT (Ingrid), *Beyond Tuition Fees? The Legacy of Blair's Government to Higher Education*, *Oxford Review of Education*, Vol. 34, No. 6, 2008, pp. 741-752; MARCUCCI (Pamela N.) & JOHNSTONE (D. Bruce), *Tuition Fee Policies in a Comparative Perspective*, op. cit.; KERR (Jason R.) & BROWN (Jeffrey J.), *Costs of a Medical Education: Comparison with Graduate Education in Law and Business*, op. cit.; SHANAHAN (Theresa), *Legal Scholarship in Ontario's English-speaking Common Law Schools*, *Canadian Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2006, pp. 25-50; EHRENBURG (Ronald G.), *An Economic*

Analysis of the Market for Law School Students, NBER Working Paper No. 2602, 1988; VESCOVI (James), *Why Does Law School Cost So Much?*, Columbia Law School; ANDERBERG (Kirsten), *Tuition and Fees for Law Schools*, eHow.

⁹¹ RANKIN (Sarah), *Today's Law Grad*, op. cit.

⁹² MATTHEWS (Chris), *Unemployed Lawyer Loses Suit against Former School*, *Fortune*, Mar. 25, 2016; GILLMAN (Ollie) & EDWARDS (Valerie), *California Law Graduate who Came Top of her Class Loses Lawsuit against Former School after Suing them over her Failure to Find a Job in 10 Years*, *Daily Mail*, Mar. 25, 2016; OLSON (Elizabeth), *Law Graduate Who Sued Her School Loses at Trial*, *New York Times*, Mar. 24, 2016; OLSON (Elizabeth), *Law Graduate Gets Her Day in Court, Suing Law School*, *New York Times*, Mar. 6, 2016; OLSON (Elizabeth G.), *Law Grads Take their Job Frustrations to Court*, *Fortune*, Aug. 31, 2011; JACKSON (Abby), *37-Year-Old who Can't Get a Lawyer Job after Applying to 150 Firms Is finally Going to Court against her Law School*, *Business Insider*, Mar. 7, 2016; RANDAZZO (Sara), *Jobless Grads Who Sued Law Schools Find More Rejection in Court*, *Wall Street Journal*, Oct. 15, 2015; BRASWELL (Molly C.), *Law School Grads Suing Alma Maters for Overhyping Post-Grad Employment Guarantees*, *Red Alert Politics*, Apr. 4, 2013; DOLAN (Maura), *Law School Graduates Aren't Finding Much on the Employment Docket*, *Los Angeles Times*, Apr. 1, 2013; GREEN (Bruce A.), *The Flood of US Lawyers: Natural Fluctuation or Professional Climate Change?*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 19, No. 2-3, 2012, pp. 193-207; WOLFGANG (Ben), *Unemployed Lawyers Sue Schools over Promises of Jobs*, *Washington Times*, June 17, 2012; DEHAAS (Josh), *Didn't Get What You Wanted? Lawsuit! Lawsuit!*, *MacLean's*, May 25, 2012; SHAER (Matthew), *The Case(s) against Law School*, *New York Magazine*, Mar. 4, 2012; GIANG (Vivian), *A Bunch of Young Lawyers Are Suing Their Law Schools because They Don't Have Jobs*, *Business Insider*, Feb. 15, 2012; DURKIN (Erin), *Grads Sue Brooklyn Law School, Charging School Fudged Employment Stats*, *New York Daily News*, Feb. 8, 2012; LANDES (Luke), *Unemployed Lawyers Sue Their Law Schools*, *Consumerism Commentary*, Feb. 7, 2012; DOTAN (Tom), *Lawyers Sue Law Schools for False Advertising*, *Neon Tommy*, Feb. 2, 2012; PALAZZOLO (Joe), *A Dozen Law Schools Hit with Lawsuits over Jobs Data*, *Wall Street Journal*, Feb. 1, 2012; *Students Sue NY Law School for \$200 Million*, *Courthouse News Service*, Aug. 11, 2011; LEE (Patrick G.), *Law Grads Sue Alma Maters for Millions in Tuition Refunds*, *Wall Street Journal*, Aug. 10, 2011; JONES (Ashby), *Jobless in San Diego ... And Suing Over It*, *Wall Street Journal*, May 31, 2011; SLOAN (Karen), *Law School Sued over 'False' Employment Statistics*, *National Law Journal*, May 27, 2011.

⁹³ AFONSO (Alexandre), *How Academia Resembles a Drug Gang*, *London School of Economics and Political Science Blogs*, Dec. 11, 2013.

⁹⁴ JOHANSEN (Jørgen), *Hitler and the Challenge of Non-Violence*, *Open Democracy*, Mar. 26, 2010.

⁹⁵ ZINN (Howard), *The Conspiracy of Law*, *Anarchist Library*, 1971, p. 2.

⁹⁶ BANKOWSKI (Zenon) & MUNGHAM (Geoff), *A Political Economy of Legal Education*, *Higher Education Quarterly*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1978, p. 460.

⁹⁷ See JAISING (Shakti), *Reconstructing Apartheid, Redefining Racism*, *Interventions*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 117-134; DUGARD (John) & REYNOLDS (John), *Apartheid, International Law, and the Occupied Palestinian Territory*, *European Journal of International Law*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2013, pp. 867-913; BULTZ (Ariel), *Redefining Apartheid in International Criminal Law*, *Criminal Law Forum*, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2013, pp. 205-233; NAGY (Rosemary L.), *Truth, Reconciliation and Settler Denial: Specifying the Canada-South Africa Analogy*, *Human Rights Review*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2012, pp. 349-367; FILIPPI (Natacha), *Deviance, Punishment and Logics of Subjectification during Apartheid: Insane, Political and Common-law Prisoners in a South African Gaol*, *Journal of Southern African Studies*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2011, pp. 627-643; MOORE (Sally Falk), *The Legislative Dismantling of a Colonial and an Apartheid State*, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, Vol. 7, 2011, pp. 1-15; ALBERTYN (Catherine), *Law, Gender and Inequality in South Africa*, *Oxford Development Studies*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2011, pp. 139-162; MORTON (Stephen), *States of Emergency and the Apartheid Legal Order in South African Fiction*, *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, Vol. 46, No. 5, 2010, pp. 491-503; BAKAN (Abigail B.) & ABU-LABAN (Yasmeen), *Israel/Palestine, South Africa and the 'One-State Solution': The Case for an Apartheid Analysis*, *Politikon*, Vol. 37, No. 2-3, 2010, pp. 331-351; HORWITZA (Simonne) & NEWMAN (Dwight), *A Legal-Historical Consideration of Links between Canadian and South African Racial Policies*, *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 2010, pp. 691-706; ENGLER (Yves), *Canada and Israel: Building Apartheid*, *Black Point: Fernwood*, 2010; IRWIN (Ryan M.), *Apartheid on Trial: South West Africa and the International Court of Justice, 1960-66*, *International History Review*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 2010, pp. 619-642; ROTHBERG (Michael), *After Apartheid, beyond Filiation: Witnessing and the Work of Justice*, *Law and Literature*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2009, pp. 275-290; MEIERHENRICH (Jens), *The Legacies of Law: Long-run Consequences of Legal Development in South Africa, 1652-2000*, *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*, 2008; REGAN (Bernard), *The State of Israel and the Apartheid Regime of South Africa in Comparative Perspective*, *Holy Land Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2008, pp. 201-212; HASAN (Rumy), *The Unitary, Democratic State and the Struggle Against Apartheid in Palestine-Israel*, *Holy Land Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2008, pp. 81-94; BARNARD (Jaco) ET AL. (ed.), *Dignity*,

Freedom and the Post-Apartheid Legal Order: The Critical Jurisprudence of Laurie Ackermann, Cape Town: Juta, 2008; DUGARD (Jackie), *Judging the Judges: Towards an Appropriate Role for the Judiciary in South Africa's Transformation*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2007, pp. 965-981; MAMDANI (Mahmood), *Amnesty or Impunity? A Preliminary Critique of the Report of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of South Africa (TRC)*, Diacritics, Vol. 32, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 33-59; BISHARA (Marwan), *Palestine/Israel, Peace or Apartheid: Occupation, Terrorism, and the Future*, foreword by Richard Falk, 2nd ed., New York: Zed Books, 2002; WILSON (Richard A.), *The Politics of Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa: Legitimizing the Post-Apartheid State*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001; MARX (Anthony W.), *Class Discord and Racial Order: Economic Interests and Racial Domination in South Africa and the United States*, Politikon, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1999, pp. 81-101; DYZENHAUS (David), *Judging the Judges, Judging Ourselves: Truth, Reconciliation and the Apartheid Legal Order*, foreword by Kader Asmal, Oxford: Hart, 1998; NAGAN (Winston P.), *Law and Post-Apartheid South Africa*, Fordham International Law Journal, Vol. 12, No. 3, 1988, pp. 399-451; ELLMANN (Stephen), *Law and Legitimacy in South Africa*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1995, pp. 407-479.

⁹⁸ See DERRIDA (Jacques), *Admiration of Nelson Mandela, or the Laws of Reflection*, Law and Literature, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 9-30;

SMITH (David), *Nelson Mandela's Will Leaves Money for Family and Staff but Nothing for Winnie*, Guardian, Feb. 3, 2014; SMITH (David), *Mandela Family Fall out as Lawyers Argue over Former President's Legacy*, Guardian, May 17, 2013; SMITH (David), *How Rich is Nelson Mandela?*, Guardian, Apr. 10, 2013; WALT (Lucien van der), *T. W. Thibedi (1888-1960)*, op. cit.; ROPER (Chris), *The Lies Nelson Mandela Taught Us*, Mail and Guardian, Dec. 13, 2013; CAPLAN (Gerry), *Mandela left South Africa Beset by Corruption, AIDS and Inequality*, Rabble.ca, Dec. 12, 2013; FAIRBANKS (Eve), *The Dark Spot of Nelson Mandela's Legacy*, New Republic, Dec. 9, 2013; POLGREEN (Lydia), *Disappointment in Successors to Nelson Mandela, a Revered Father of a Nation*, New York Times, Dec. 6, 2013; FAROUK (Fazila), *South Africa: How Income Inequality Hurts South Africa's Children*, AllAfrica, May 24, 2013; *Inequality and Inclusive Growth in Africa: A Conversation with South African Finance Minister Pravin Gordhan*, Brookings, Apr. 17, 2013; PARAMAGURU (Kharunya), *Being Mandela: Nelson Mandela's Granddaughters Get a Reality-TV Show*, Time, Feb. 19, 2013; BLAINE (Sue), *SA 'More Unequal' than 20 Years Ago, Says Oxfam*, Business Day, Jan. 22, 2013; COWELL (Alan), *South Africa Losing a Grip on Its Promise*, New York Times, Dec. 27, 2012; SOLE (Sam) & BRÜMMER (Stefaans), *The Kept Politician: Zuma's Willing Benefactors*, Mail and Guardian, Dec. 7, 2012; COHEN (Mike), *South Africa's Racial Income Inequality Persists, Census Shows*, Bloomberg, Oct. 30, 2012; CHUENE (Asaph Madimetja), *The Rise of the Anti-Mandela Cult*, Politics Web, July 29, 2012; GODWIN (Peter), *The Real Legacy of Nelson Mandela*, Wall Street Journal, Dec. 10, 2011; BOEHMER (Elleke), *Nelson Mandela: A Very Short Introduction*, New York: Sterling, 2010; CREAMER (Martin), *Aurora Destined to Be Major Gold Player: Zondwa Mandela*, Mining Weekly, Oct. 14, 2009; HITCHENS (Peter), *Saint Nelson? Or Not?*, Daily Mail, June 5, 2008; WARNER (Brian), *Nelson Mandela Net Worth*, Celebrity Net Worth.

⁹⁹ KOLKO (Gabriel), *Government and University in America*, in O'BRIEN (Conor Cruise) & VANECH (William Dean) (ed.), op. cit., p. 138.

¹⁰⁰ LIPSET (Seymour Martin) & BASU (Asoke), *The Roles of the Intellectual and Political Roles*, in GELLA (Aleksander) (ed.), *The Intelligentsia and the Intellectuals: Theory, Method and Case Study*, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1976, p. 113, 115.

¹⁰¹ CAPITANO (Michael), *The Existential Crisis that Is Law School*, Obiter Dicta, Vol. 26, No. 7, 2012, p. 11.

¹⁰² *Classification of Visible Minority*, Statistics Canada, June 15, 2009; *Census 2001 Highlights: Factsheet 6: Visible Minorities and Ethnicity in Ontario*, Ontario Ministry of Finance, Feb. 5, 2003. About the critiques of the concept of the visible minorities and discrimination against them, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Economic, Social, Legal, and Political Racism in North America*, op. cit.; SIEMIATYCKI (Myer), *The Diversity Gap: The Electoral Under-Representation of Visible Minorities*, DiverseCity: The Greater Toronto Leadership Project, Nov. 2011; *Has the Term Visible Minority Become Totally Obsolete?*, Vancouver Sun, Apr. 6, 2010; TODD (Douglas), *Has the Term, 'Visible Minority,' Outlived its Usefulness?*, Vancouver Sun, Mar. 17, 2010; HAMILTON (Graeme), *Visible Minorities the New Majority*, National Post, Apr. 3, 2008; *UN Calls Canada Racist for 'Visible Minorities' Tag*, Canwest News Service, Mar. 8, 2007.

¹⁰³ The recruitment advertisements for the Faculty Recruitment Committee at OHLS are a classic example of the propaganda for Canadian academic machine: "We especially welcome applications from women, visible minorities, Aboriginal persons, persons with disabilities, and LGBT candidates." BERMAN (Michelle), *Post-Doc Opp at Osgoode...*, OHLS, Oct. 23, 2012. The fairness of Osgoodian Academic Employment is supposedly based on "an Employment Equity Plan, which aims to ensure that our faculty is reflective of the four designated societal groups identified in the federal Employment Equity Act: women, visible minorities, Aboriginal persons, and persons with disabilities." *Academic Employment Opportunities*, York University, July 1, 2013.

- ¹⁰⁴ About the term “Papa State”, see STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, trans. by Steven Tracy Byington, intr. by James L. Walker, New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1907, pp. 106, 133.
- ¹⁰⁵ See ANDERSSON (Carl), *The Personality Cult of Elena Ceausescu*, Ceausescu, 2005; BUSURCA (Emil), *Elena Ceausescu: Doctor Horrors Causa*, VHS, Hilversum: EO, 1997; MASLEN (Geoff), *GLOBAL: Mugabe – Man of Many Degrees*, University World News, Oct. 31, 2010; *Mugabe ‘Does Not Lose Sleep’ over Honorary Degrees*, New Zimbabwe, Nov. 12, 2009; *Michigan State Revokes Mugabe’s Honorary Degree*, Detroit Free Press, Sep. 12, 2008; SCHWORM (Peter), *UMass Revokes Mugabe’s Honorary Degree*, Boston Globe, June 28, 2008; PORTER (Andrew), *Robert Mugabe to Be Stripped of Knighthood*, Telegraph, June 25, 2008; KELBIE (Paul), *Edinburgh University Revokes Mugabe Degree*, Guardian, July 15, 2007; CARRELL (Severin), *Edinburgh Leads Moves to Axe Mugabe Honours*, Guardian, June 7, 2007; *Mugabe Stripped of Degree Honour*, BBC News, June 6, 2007; SAUNDERS (Darren) & THOMAS (Samantha), *Honorary Doctorates: Well Deserved, or Just a Bit of Spin?*, Conversation, Nov. 30, 2011; SAWAHIL (Wagdy), *Arab States: Division over Doctorates for Despots*, University World News, June 12, 2011; *Serbian University Defends Qaddafi Honorary Doctorate*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Mar. 3, 2011; KHALED (Ashraf), *Egypt: Furore over Honorary Doctorate for First Lady*, University World News, Sep. 19, 2010; *University Awarded an Honorary Doctorate of Egypt First Lady*, Cairo University, Oct. 10, 2010; *H.E.Mrs. Suzanne Mubarak Granted an Honorary Doctorate from the University of Cairo*, Cairo University, Sep. 16, 2010; *H.E. Suzanne Mubarak Honorary Doctorate Ceremony*, YouTube, Feb. 3, 2010.
- ¹⁰⁶ BAEZ (Benjamin), *Confidentiality and Peer Review: The Paradox of Secrecy in Academe*, Review of Higher Education, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2002, pp. 163-164.
- ¹⁰⁷ About, Law School Transparency. About transparency in the law schools, see also *Law School Transparency*, Jaclyn’s Events, June 5, 2014; PEACOCK (William), *Law School Transparency Gets an Update, Wants More NALP Forms*, FindLaw, Apr. 21, 2014; MCENTEE (Kyle P.), *Transparency Review in Advance of New Law School Jobs Data*, Law.com, Apr. 4, 2014; MCENTEE (Kyle P.) & LYNCH (Patrick J.), *Way Forward: Transparency at American Law Schools*, Pace Law Review, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2012, pp. 1-76; ARTHURS (Harry William), “*Valour rather than Prudence*”, op. cit., p. 19 (note 25); STAKE (Jeffrey Evans), *Improving Law School “Transparency”*, Law Review Bulletin, Aug. 12, 2013; YELLEN (David), *Advancing Transparency in Law School Employment Data: The ABA’s New Standard 509*, Bar Examiner, Vol. 81, No. 4, 2012, pp. 6-13; ELIGON (John), *Jobs Data More Vital to Her than Food*, New York Times, Aug. 27, 2010; SEGAL (David), *For 2nd Year, a Sharp Drop in Law School Entrance Tests*, New York Times, Mar. 20, 2012; CHEN (Vivia), *Law School Transparency Gets R-E-S-P-E-C-T*, Careerist, June 14, 2011; BECKER (Amanda), *Critics Say Law Schools Don’t Give Students Realistic Career Expectations*, Washington Post, Feb. 18, 2011; WEISS (Debra Cassens), *New Nonprofit Asks Law Schools for Detailed Salary*, Job Information, ABA Journal, July 13, 2010; LAT (David) & MYSTAL (Elie), *Increasing Transparency in Employment Reporting by Law Schools: What Is to Be Done?*, Above the Law, Apr. 21, 2010; SLOAN (Karen), *Law Students Push Schools for Better Employment Numbers*, National Law Journal, Apr. 21, 2010.
- ¹⁰⁸ According to him, “*legal fundamentalists tend to believe that “law” – as a field of study, as a profession, as a social institution – has an essential meaning, a core content, and distinctive institutional characteristics that may change slowly over time but at any given moment can be authoritatively specified.*” ARTHURS (Harry William), op. cit., p. 14.
- ¹⁰⁹ See ARTHURS (Harry William), op. cit., pp. 24-25.
- ¹¹⁰ See ‘*Sunshine List*’ 2014, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirius), *Some Chaotic, Critical, and Poetic Reflections on Existence*, op. cit., pp. 158-159; *Public Sector Salary Disclosure 2012 (Disclosure for 2011): Universities*, Ontario Ministry of Finance, Nov. 23, 2012; DEHAAS (Josh), *Professor Pay Ranked from Highest to Lowest*, MacLean’s, May 4, 2012; DEHAAS (Josh), *Nova Scotia Presidents’ Salaries Revealed*, MacLean’s, Jan. 18, 2012; MILLAR (Erin), *Professor Pay Varies Greatly by Discipline*, MacLean’s, Mar. 31, 2008; Harry W. Arthurs, *Land of Free: Law Professor Salaries*, Glassdoor.
- ¹¹¹ ARTHURS (Harry William), op. cit., p. 7.
- ¹¹² Ibid., p. 8.
- ¹¹³ Ibid., p. 9.
- ¹¹⁴ COATES (Ken), *The Quiet Campus: The Anatomy of Dissent at Canadian Universities*, Academic Matters, Nov. 2012, p. 25.
- ¹¹⁵ FEDERATION OF LAW SOCIETIES OF CANADA, *Task Force on the Canadian Common Law Degree*, Final Report, Oct. 2009.
- ¹¹⁶ ARTHURS (Harry William), op. cit., pp. 11-12, 15.
- ¹¹⁷ See *ibid.*, pp. 14, 23-24.

118 In this case, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on a Taboo Topic*, op. cit.; WU (Brian), *How Chinese Corporate Espionage Affects American Agriculture*, Motley Fool, June 16, 2014; MAY (Clifford D.), *Academic PC at Brandeis Silences Muslim Dissident Hirsi Ali*, Washington Times, Apr. 15, 2014; BRUNNSTROM (David), *Dissident Warns China Sending Spies to U.S. in Scholarly Guise*, Reuters, Feb. 27, 2014; PHILLIPS (Tom), *Peking University Professor Xia Yeliang Sacked as China Targets Academic Dissidents*, DNA India, Oct. 21, 2013; *Peking University Says Liberal Professor Xia Yeliang Fired for 'Poor Teaching'*, South China Morning Post, Oct. 20, 2013; FISCHER (Karin), *Academic Ties with China Face New Scrutiny in Dispute over Dissident*, Chronicle of Higher Education, June 18, 2013; READ (Brock), *Chinese Dissident Says NYU Is Forcing Him to Leave over His Activism*, Chronicle of Higher Education, June 16, 2013; JACOBS (Andrew), *China Dissident Says He's Being Forced from N.Y.U.*, New York Times, June 16, 2013; COVERT (James), *NYU Booting Blind Chinese Dissident Chen Guangcheng Amid Shanghai Expansion: Sources*, New York Post, June 13, 2013; SNIDERMAN (Andrew Stobo), *Crime and the New Punishment for University Students*, MacLean's, Dec. 12, 2012; GOLDEN (Daniel), *American Universities Infected by Foreign Spies Detected by FBI*, Bloomberg, Apr. 8, 2012; RETTIG (Hillary), *A Symposium on Academic Oppression*, How To Finish My Thesis, 2012; *Ignoring a Butler, Urinating in the Street and Stealing Traffic Cones: Cambridge Students Fined in Crackdown on Bad Behaviour*, Daily Mail, May 24, 2011; BERGMAN (Jerry), *Slaughter of the Dissidents: The Shocking Truth about Killing the Careers of Darwin Doubters*, 2nd ed., Southworth: Leafcutter Press, 2011; ELROD (Jennifer), *Critical Inquiry: A Tool for Protecting the Dissident Professor's Academic Freedom*, California Law Review, Vol. 96, No. 6, 2008, pp. 1669-1691; FRANKOWSKI (Nathan) (dr.) *Expelled: No Intelligence Allowed*, Universal City: Vivendi Visual Entertainment, 2008; *College and University Government: Imposition and Removal of Governance Sanctions*, Academe, Vol. 86, No. 5, 2000, pp. 72-73; *Francis Marion University: Statement Recommending Sanction*, Academe, Vol. 83, No. 5, 1997, pp. 83-84; *College and University: Government Elmira College: Statement Recommending Sanction*, Academe, Vol. 81, No. 5, 1995, pp. 59-60; ABRAMSON (Harold J.) & WENCES (Rosario), *Campus Dissent and Academic Punishment: The Response of College Professors to Local Political Activism*, Sociology of Education, Vol. 45, No. 1, 1972, pp. 61-75; WALSH (John), *Campus Unrest: Congress Ponders Federal Sanctions on Universities*, Science, Vol. 165, No. 3888, 1969, pp. 46-49; BALLARD, JR. (Frederic L.) & GANZ, JR. (Rudolf V.), *Crime and Punishment in the University*, Harvard Crimson, June 14, 1962; *Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities*, York University; *Codes of Conduct*, York University.

119 "Isn't it a shame how 99% of the lawyers give the whole profession a bad name"? *The Truth About Lawyers*, Swap Meet Dave, 2005.

120 WICKMAN (Forrest), *"Churn That Bill, Baby!" How Did Lawyers Get Such a Bad Reputation? And Do They Overbill All the Time?*, Slate, Mar. 26, 2013.

121 About the bad reputation of lawyers, see GILLIS (Charlie), *Do We Really Need So Many Lawyers?*, MacLean's, Sep. 22, 2013; WICKMAN (Forrest), *"Churn That Bill, Baby!"*, op. cit.; KUBICKI (Joshua), *6 Reasons the Legal Industry is Ripe for Startup Invasion*, Tech Cocktail, Mar. 25, 2013; *Lawyers Urged to Feel Good about Themselves*, Lawyers Weekly, Oct. 25, 2011; CARTER (Ruth), *Lawyers' Bad Reputations Start with Arrogant Law Students*, Undeniable Truth, Sep. 6, 2011; *Poll Shows Public's Perception of Lawyers and Law Society Is Trending Upward*, Law Society of British Columbia, Mar. 14, 2011; *Why Do Lawyers Have a Bad Reputation?*, Yahoo, Mar. 13, 2009; *Why Do Lawyers Have Such Bad Reputations?*, Askville, Aug. 25, 2008; RAPPAPORT (Michael), *Commentary: Nobody Likes a Lawyer until They Need One*, Lawyers Weekly, Feb. 22, 2008; NICHOLS (Marcia), *Cicero's Pro Cluentio and the "Mazy" Rhetorical Strategies of Wieland*, op. cit., p. 471; BEDLOW (Derek), *From Zero to Hero*, Law Society Gazette, Nov. 16, 2006; LEO J. SHAPIRO & ASSOCIATES, *Public Perceptions of Lawyers: Consumer Research Findings*, ABA, 2002; ASIMOW (Michael), *Popular Perceptions of Lawyers*, in HALL (Kermit Lance) ET AL. (ed.), *The Oxford Companion to American Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002, pp. 495-499; ; RHODE (Deborah), *Expanding the Role of Ethics in Legal Education and the Legal Profession*, Santa Clara University, Jan. 17, 2002; DAICOFF (Susan Swaim), *Lawyer, Know Thyself: A Review of Empirical Research on Attorney Attributes Bearing on Professionalism*, American University Law Review, Vol. 46, No. 5, 1997, pp. 1337-1427; RODELL (Fred), *Woe Unto You, Lawyers!*, intr. by Jerome Frank, Littleton: Fred B. Rothman, 1987; DICKERSON (Reed), *Review of "Woe Unto You, Lawyers!"*, By Fred Rodell, Washington University Law Review, Vol. 25, No. 2, 1940, pp. 296-299; MOORE (Peter), *People as Lawyers. Lay Advocacy and Self-Help in the Legal System*, British Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1978, p. 121; BARNES (Harrison), *Why Is the Legal Profession Given A Bad Reputation?*, LawCrossing.

122 For example, see SAUNDERS (David), *The Critical Jurist and the Moment of Theory*, op. cit.; SAUNDERS (David), *Anti-Lawyers*, op. cit.; GOODRICH (Peter), *Law Induced Anxiety*, op. cit.; GOODRICH (Peter), *Tristes Juristes*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2003, pp. 109-120; POLLOCK (Joycelyn M.), *Ethical Dilemmas and Decisions in Criminal Justice*, 7th ed., Wadsworth: Cengage Learning, 2010, p. 286; GALANTER (Marc), *The Great American Lawyer Joke Explosion*, Humor, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2008, pp. 387-413; *The Truth About Lawyers*, op. cit.; GALANTER (Marc), *The Assault on Civil Justice: The Anti-Lawyer Dimension*, in LAWRENCE (M. Friedman) ET AL. (ed.), *Legal Culture and the Legal Profession*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1996,

pp. 79-118; MCCORMACK (Mark H.), *The Terrible Truth about Lawyers: How Lawyers Really Work and How to Deal With Them Successfully*, New York: Beech Tree Books, 1987; GAWALT (Gerard W.), *Sources of Anti-Lawyer Sentiment in Massachusetts, 1740-1840*, *American Journal of Legal History*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 1970, pp. 283-307; *Antilawyer Movement*, *Free Dictionary*; *Antilawyer Movement Law and Legal Definition*, USLegal.

¹²³ Quoted in WALFISH (Daniel), *Making Lawyers Responsible for the Truth: The Influence of Marvin Frankel's Proposal for Reforming the Adversary System*, *Seton Hall Law Review*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2005, p. 613.

¹²⁴ HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics for a Fragmented Society: Between Professional and Personal*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 5, No. 2-3, 1998, p. 175.

¹²⁵ *Are Lawyers Liars or Is It Just a Myth?*, Yahoo, Mar. 4, 2009.

¹²⁶ BARNHIZER (David), *Ten Elements of "Real" Ethics in the Practice of Law (and Life)*, *Cleveland-Marshall Legal Studies Paper No. 12-248*, Nov. 28, 2012, p. 1.

¹²⁷ About this type of independency, see above, Chapter 2, footnote 56; ELBERS (Nieke A.) ET AL., *Exploring Lawyer-Client Interaction: A Qualitative Study of Positive Lawyer Characteristics, Psychological Injury and Law*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2012, pp. 89-94; *Diplomatic Standoff over Blind 'Barefoot Lawyer'*, op. cit.; TURRIFF (Gordon), *The Consumption of Lawyer Independence*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 283-305; MICHELS (Kevin H.), *Lawyer Independence: From Ideal to Viable Legal Standard*, *Case Western Reserve Law Review*, Vol. 61, No. 1, 2010, pp. 85-141; DUNLAP (Charles J.) & LETENDRE (Linell A.), *Military Lawyering and Professional Independence in the War on Terror: A Response to David Luban*, *Stanford Law Review*, Vol. 61, No. 2, 2008, pp. 417-441; LUBAN (David), *Remarks, Panel on Ethics and Professional Independence in Modern Legal Practice, Stanford University Conference on the American Legal Profession: Current Controversies, Future Challenges*, Stanford University, Mar. 14, 2008; ALEXANDER (Ralston S.), *Lawyer Independence in the Balance*, *Benchers' Bullet*, No. 2, 2005; HAZARD, JR. (Geoffrey Cornell) ET AL., *The Law of Lawyering*, 3rd ed., Gaithersburg: Aspen, 2001; ALEXANDER (Ralston S.) ET AL., *The Lawyers' Professional Independence: Present Threats/Future Challenges*, Chicago: Tort and Insurance Practice Section, American Bar Association, 1984; DAVIS (Evan A.), *The Meaning of Professional Independence*, *Columbia Law Review*, Vol. 103, No. 5, 2003, pp. 1281-1292; PEERENBOOM (Randall P.), *Lawyers in China: Obstacles to Independence and the Defense of Rights*, New York: Lawyers Committee for Human Rights, 1998; WILKINS (David B.), *Who Should Regulate Lawyers?*, *Harvard Law Review*, Vol. 105, No. 4, 1992, pp. 799-887.

¹²⁸ LERMAN (Lisa G.), *Lying to Clients*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 138, No. 3, 1990, pp. 659, 760.

¹²⁹ HARTLEY (Carolyn Copps) & PETRUCCI (Carrie J.), *Practicing Culturally Competent Therapeutic Jurisprudence*, op. cit., p. 134. See also HOPPER (Tristin), *Campaign Aims to Boost Canadians' Plummeting Trust in Lawyers, but Is that even Possible?*, *National Post*, July 31, 2013.

¹³⁰ MENKEL-MEADOW (Carrie), *Lying to Clients for Economic Gain or Paternalistic Judgment: A Proposal for a Golden Rule of Candor*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 138, No. 3, 1990, p. 780.

¹³¹ See GOODRICH (Peter), *Zenotypes: On the Modes of Reproduction of Critical Lawyers*, *Social and Legal Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2002, p. 425; BANKOWSKI (Zenon) & MUNGHAM (Geoff), *Images of Law*, London and Boston: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1976, p. 112.

¹³² See MOORE (Wendy Leo), *Reproducing Racism*, op. cit., pp. 49-55; FEAGIN (Joe R.), *Systemic Racism*, op. cit.; KRIEGER (Lawrence S.), *Institutional Denial about the Dark Side of Law School, and Fresh Empirical Guidance for Constructively Breaking the Silence*, *Journal of Legal Education*, Vol. 52, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 112-129; EPSTEIN (Cynthia Fuchs), *Knowledge for What?*, *Journal of Legal education*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 1999, pp. 41-49; BELL (Derrick A.), *And We Are Not Saved: The Elusive Quest for Racial Justice*, New York: Basic Books, 1987.

¹³³ See WERTZ (Frederick J.), *Of Rats and Psychologists: A Study of the History and Meaning of Science, Theory and Psychology*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1994, pp. 165-197.

¹³⁴ See MUTI (Richard), *Good Lawyer, Dead Lawyer*, Bloomington: Authorhouse, 2009; *Play Review: Dead Lawyers* by George Larkin, *A Walk In The Park*, Oct. 20, 2008; TIERSMA (Peter), *Lawyer Jokes*, *Language and Law*; LARKIN (George), *Dead Lawyers*, *Asalark*, 2007; *Dead Lawyer Jokes*, *Murdering Lawyers*; DAVIES (Christie), *American Jokes about Lawyers*, *Humor*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2008, p. 383; GALANTER (Marc), *The Great American Lawyer Joke Explosion*, op. cit.; ROSS (Stanley D.), *The Joke's On ... Lawyers*, Sydney: Federation Press, 1996; OVERTON (Thomas W.), *Lawyers, Light Bulbs, and Dead Snakes: The Lawyer Joke as a Societal Text*, *UCLA Law Review*, Vol. 42, No. 4, 1995, pp. 1069-1114; MILLER (David Wiley), *Dead Lawyers and Other Pleasant Thoughts*, New York: Random House, 1993; EGAN (Elliott), *The Lawyer's Guide to Cheating, Stealing and Amassing Obscene Wealth*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1992.

- ¹³⁵ Quoted in KORNSTEIN (Daniel J.), *Kill All The Lawyers?: Shakespeare's Legal Appeal*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994. See also EGAN (Michael), *Managers as Kings: Shakespeare on Modern Leadership*, Management Decision, Vol. 38, No. 5, 2000, p. 319.
- ¹³⁶ AYAD (Moustafa), *Obituary: Welsh S. White/Pitt Law Professor, Leading Authority on Death Penalty*, Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, Jan. 1, 2006.
- ¹³⁷ WHITE (Welsh S.), *Litigating in the Shadow of Death: Defense Attorneys in Capital Cases*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2006, p. 3.
- ¹³⁸ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit., p. 42.
- ¹³⁹ For example, see HARRIGER (Katy J.), *A Review of "Bad Advice: Bush's Lawyers in the War on Terror"*, Congress and the Presidency, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2011, pp. 356-358; BRUFF (Harold H.), *Bad Advice: Bush's Lawyers in the War on Terror*, Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 2009.
- ¹⁴⁰ PEPPER (Stephen L.), *The Lawyer's Amoral Ethical Role: A Defense, A Problem, and Some Possibilities*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1986, p. 613. About these legal systems, see SEVIER (Justin), *The Truth-Justice Tradeoff: Perceptions of Decisional Accuracy and Procedural Justice in Adversarial and Inquisitorial Legal Systems*, Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2014, pp. 212-224; OGG (James Thomas), *Adversary and Adversity: Converging Adversarial and Inquisitorial Systems of Justice – A Case Study of the Italian Criminal Trial Reforms*, International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2013, pp. 31-61; SKLANSKY (David Alan), *Anti-Inquisitorialism*, Harvard Law Review, Vol. 122, No. 6, 2009, pp. 1634-1704; KUBICEK (Theodore L.), *Adversarial Justice: America's Court System on Trial*, New York: Algora, 2006; KOPPEN (Peter J. van) & PENROD (Steven D.) (ed.), *Adversarial versus Inquisitorial Justice: Psychological Perspectives on Criminal Justice Systems*, New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 2003; FIELD (Stewart) & WEST (Andrew), *Dialogue and the Inquisitorial Tradition: French Defence Lawyers in the Pre-Trial Criminal Process*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2003, pp. 261-316; STACY (Helen) & LAVARCH (Michael) (ed.), *Beyond the Adversarial System*, Sydney: Federation Press, 1999; MARGOT (Pierre), *The Role of the Forensic Scientist in an Inquisitorial System of Justice*, Science and Justice, Vol. 38, No. 2, 1998, pp. 71-73; LUBAN (David), *Heroic Judging in an Antiheroic Age*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 97, No. 7, 1997, pp. 2064-2090; PIZZI (William T.), *Lessons from Reforming Inquisitorial Systems*, Federal Sentencing Reporter, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1995, pp. 42-43; MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.), *Between Citizens and the Socialist State: The Negotiation of Legal Practice in Socialist Cuba*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 29, No. 1, 1995, pp. 65-101; O'REILLY (Gregory W.), *England Limits the Right to Silence and Moves towards an Inquisitorial System of Justice*, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 85, No. 2, 1994, pp. 402-452; GLASSER (Cyril), *Civil Procedure and the Lawyers – The Adversary System and the Decline of the Orality Principle*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 56, No. 3, 1993, pp. 307-324; ELHAUGE (Einer Richard), *Do Lawyers Improve the Adversary System? A General Theory of Litigation Advice and Its Regulation*, California Law Review, Vol. 79, No. 2, 1991, pp. 313-420; KIPNIS (Kenneth), *Ethics and the Professional Responsibility of Lawyers*, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 8, 1991, pp. 569-576; STRIER (Franklin D.) & GREENE (Edith), *The Adversary System: An Annotated Bibliography*, Littleton: Fred B. Rothman, 1990; SCHULHOFER (Stephen J.), *The Future of the Adversary System*, Justice Quarterly, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1986, pp. 83-93; COHEN (Elliot D.), *Pure Legal Advocates and Moral Agents: Two Concepts of a Lawyer in an Adversary System*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1985, pp. 38-59; LANDON (Donald D.), *Clients, Colleagues, and Community: The Shaping of Zealous Advocacy in Country Law Practice*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1985, pp. 81-111; LANDSMAN (Stephan), *The Adversary System: A Description and Defense*, Washington, D.C.: American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research, 1984; SCHWARTZ (Murray L.), *The Zeal of the Civil Advocate*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1983, pp. 543-563; SCHWARTZ (Murray L.), *The Professionalism and Accountability of Lawyers*, California Law Review, Vol. 66, No. 4, 1978, pp. 669-697; WOLFRAM (Charles W.), *Client Perjury: The Kutak Commission and the Association of Trial Lawyers on Lawyers, Lying Clients, and the Adversary System*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1980, pp. 964-980; MARSHALL (Datuk David), *Facets of the Accusatorial and Inquisitorial Systems*, Singapore: Malayan Law Journal Pte., 1979; MARVELL (Thomas B.), *Appellate Courts and Lawyers: Information Gathering in the Adversary System*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 1979; LANGBEIN (John H.), *Understanding the Short History of Plea Bargaining*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 13, No. 2, 1979, pp. 261-272; BARKAN (Steven E.), *Political Trials and the "Pro Se" Defendant in the Adversary System*, Social Problems, Vol. 24, No. 3, 1977, pp. 324-336; MEAGHER (William R.), *A Critique of Lawyers' Ethics in an Adversary System*, Fordham Urban Law Journal, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1975, pp. 289-301; FREEDMAN (Monroe H.), *Lawyers' Ethics in an Adversary System*, Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1975; GLASER (William A.), *Pretrial Discovery and the Adversary System*, foreword by Earl Warren, New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1968; HARTT (E. Patrick), *Justice in the Adversary System*, Toronto: John Howard Society of Ontario, 1964.
- ¹⁴¹ ASIMOW (Michael), *Popular Perceptions of Lawyers*, op. cit., p. 496.

¹⁴² In this regard, see BOYETTE (Chris), *The O. J. Simpson Trial: Where Are They Now?*, CNN, June 18, 2014; HERBERT (Geoff), *Lawyer Robert Kardashian Hid Evidence in O. J. Simpson Murder Case, Fred Goldman Says*, Las Vegas Sun, June 10, 2014; SHIPP (Patricia), *'Robert Kardashian Hid Evidence that Would Have Nailed OJ Simpson for the Murder of Nicole and My Son': Fred Goldman Makes Sensational Claim on 20th Anniversary of Slayings*, Daily Mail, June 9, 2014; SANDELL (Clayton), *Prosecutor in O. J. Simpson Trial Accuses Johnnie Cochran of Tampering with Evidence*, ABC News, Sep. 9, 2012; BAYNES (Terry), *Ex-Prosecutor Claims O. J. Simpson Attorney Tampered with Glove*, Reuters, Sep. 8, 2012; CURRIDEN (Mark), *The Lawyers of Watergate: How a '3rd-Rate Burglary' Provoked New Standards for Lawyer Ethics*, ABA Journal, June 1, 2012; PIAZZA (Jo), *Where Are They Now? The O.J. Simpson trial lawyers and Judge Lance Ito*, Fox News, Feb. 19, 2012; HEDRICK (Nick), *Former Nixon Lawyer Reflects on Watergate*, Statesman, Oct. 5, 2011; LEJEUNE (Aude), *Les Professionnels du Droit comme Acteurs du Politique: Revue Critique de la Littérature Nord-Américaine et Enjeux pour une Importation en Europe Continentale*, Sociologie du Travail, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp. 2011, pp. 216-233; JONES (Barbara L.), *The Lessons that Watergate Holds for Lawyers*, Minnesota Lawyer, Vol. 12, No. 15, 2008; O. J. Simpson Trial: *Testimony about Simpson's Trip to Chicago*, CNN, Dec. 31, 2007; FISHER (George), *The O. J. Simpson Corpus*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 49, No. 4, 1997, pp. 971-1019; BROWN (William J.) ET AL., *Media Coverage and Public opinion of the O. J. Simpson Trial: Implications for the Criminal Justice System*, Communication Law and Policy, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1997, pp. 261-287; JOHNSON (Paul), *Intellectuals*, London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1988, pp. 216-217; *The Legacy of Watergate: Rethinking Legal Ethics*, Street Law.

¹⁴³ About the SRL at both national and international scales, see SENZEE (Thom), *Overcoming the Stigma of 'Vexatious Litigator': An Impossible Task?*, Huffington Post, Aug. 4, 2014; CHASE (Ashley Krenelka), *Making the Most of Free Legal Research: A Selected Annotated Bibliography*, Reference Reviews, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2014, pp. 6-10; BEVAN (Chris), *Self-Represented Litigants: The Overlooked and Unintended Consequence of Legal Aid Reform*, Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2013, pp. 43-54; ADAM (Karen S.) & BRADY (Stacey N.), *Fifty Years of Judging in Family Law: The Cleavers Have Left the Building*, Family Court Review, Vol. 51, No. 1, 2013, pp. 28-33; GOAR (Carol), *Do-It-Yourself-Law: A Trickle Becomes a Deluge*, Toronto Star, May 15, 2013; MACFARLANE (Julie), *The National Self-Represented Litigants Project: Identifying and Meeting the Needs of Self-Represented Litigants, Final Report*, 2013; *Dr. Julie Macfarlane's Research on Self-Represented Litigants in the News*, Windsor Law School, May 15, 2013; BUTLER (Don), *Self-Represented Litigants 'Treated with Contempt' by Many Judges, Study Finds*, Ottawa Citizen, Jan. 1, 2013; LANDSMAN (Stephan), *Pro Se Litigation*, Annual Review of Law and Social Science, Vol. 8, 2012, pp. 231-253; SHEPARD (Randall T.), *The Self-Represented Litigant: Implications for the Bench and Bar*, Family Court Review, Vol. 48, No. 4, 2010, pp. 607-618; MCBRIDE (Erin K.) & MCBRIDE (Brynne D.), *Depending on the Kindness of Strangers: Protecting Indigent Litigants by Granting the Right to an Attorney in Civil Custody Proceedings*, Journal of Child Custody, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2010, pp. 117-137; CHESSER (Lucy), *'When Two Loving Hearts Beat as One': Same-Sex Marriage, Subjectivity and Self-Representation in the Australian Case of Marion-Bill-Edwards, 1906-1916*, Women's History Review, Vol. 17, No. 5, 2008, pp. 721-742; ZAHAR (Alexander), *Legal Aid, Self-Representation, and the Crisis at the Hague Tribunal*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2008, pp. 241-263; CHUI (Wing Hong) ET AL., *Lawyers' Perspectives on the Impacts of Self-Representation in Civil Proceedings in Hong Kong*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2007, pp. 195-212; ROBERTSON (Michael) & CORBIN (Lillian), *To Enable or to Relieve? Specialist Lawyers' Perceptions of Client Involvement in Legal Service Delivery*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2005, pp. 121-143; HOUGH (Bonnie Rose), *Description of California Courts' Programs for Self-Represented Litigants*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2004, pp. 305-334; HUNTER (Rosemary), *Adversarial Mythologies: Policy Assumptions and Research Evidence in Family Law*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2003, pp. 156-176; *The National Self-Represented Litigants Project* (NSRLP). I have experienced and heard about the corruption of some Persian lawyers, since both sides, i.e. the plaintiff and the defendant, pay them when they are simultaneously working as spy and traitor.

¹⁴⁴ HUNE-BROWN (Nicholas), *A Life Interrupted: Hassan Rasouli's Journey from an Earache to a High-Stakes Battle over End-of-Life Decisions*, Toronto Life, Nov. 27, 2012.

¹⁴⁵ *Hassan Rasouli Case: Top Court Upholds Life-Support Right*, CBC News, Oct. 18, 2013. About Rasouli case, see BLACKWELL (Tom), *Court Tosses \$2M Lawsuit by Family of Brain-Damaged Man Accusing Doctors of Threats and Assault*, National Post, Mar. 3, 2015; BOYLE (Theresa), *Hassan Rasouli to Move out of Sunnybrook after Long End-of-Life Court Battle*, Toronto Star, Dec. 31, 2013; MULHOLLAND (Angela), *Rasouli Life-Support Case: SCC Rules Consent Needed before Ending Treatment*, CTV News, Oct. 18, 2013; BRANSWELL (Helen), *Hassan Rasouli Case before Supreme Court Will Have Profound Effect on End-of-Life Care Decisions*, National Post, Dec. 10, 2012; CRIBB (Robert), *Supreme Court Decision on Hassan Rasouli Will Clarify End-of-Life Medical Decisions*, Toronto Star, Dec. 10, 2012; PICARD (André), *Rasouli Case Chance for Supreme Court to Put Forward Guidelines on End-of-Life Treatment*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 10, 2012; PICARD (André), *Rasouli Case Shows Need to Be Pro-Active about Treatment*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 9, 2012.

-
- ¹⁴⁶ See ACKERMAN (Alan), *Just Words: Lillian Hellman, Mary McCarthy, and the Failure of Public Conversation in America*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2011; FOER (Franklin), *The Libelous Truth*, New Republic, July 13, 2011; MAHON (Elizabeth Kerri), *Scandalous Women: Uncivil Wars: Lillian Hellman vs. Mary McCarthy and the Question of Julia*, Scandalous Woman, Aug. 12, 2008; ROIPHE (Katie), *Imagining Enemies: Nora Ephron's theory of Mary McCarthy vs. Lillian Hellman*, Slate, Dec. 10, 2002; JACOBSON (Phyllis), *Two Invented Lives*, New Politics, No. 23, 1997; JOHNSON (Paul), *Intellectuals*, op. cit., pp. 302-303.
- ¹⁴⁷ TOMLINSON (Kathy), *B.C. Woman May Lose Home over Huge Lawyer Bill*, CBC News, Dec. 3, 2012.
- ¹⁴⁸ ACKERMAN (Alan), *Just Words*, op. cit., p. 279.
- ¹⁴⁹ PRINCE (Jessica) & GILLIS (Rory), *Lawyers Are Too Expensive for Most Canadians. Give More Work to Paralegals*, Globe and Mail, Nov. 5, 2013.
- ¹⁵⁰ GILLIS (Charlie), *Do We Really Need So Many Lawyers?*, op. cit.
- ¹⁵¹ MYSICKA (Robert) & MCKENDRY (Marty), *Beer, Butter, and Barristers: How Canadian Governments Put Cartels before Consumers*, Toronto: C. D. Howe Institute, 2013, p. 14.
- ¹⁵² OWEN (Jonathan), *Justice Costs: Fury as Lawyers' Fees Top £850 an Hour*, Independent, Nov. 26, 2013. About the British lawyers' cost, see also TOZER (James), *NHS Is Billed £83K Legal Fees ... over a £1K Payout: Health Chiefs to Crack Down on 'Excessive' Claims by Lawyers*, Daily Mail, Apr. 7, 2014; BLACKMORE (Nicole), *High Legal Fees Prompt Rise in DIY Divorces*, Telegraph, Aug. 19, 2013; LEVENE (Tony), *How to Cut the Costs of Getting Divorced*, Guardian, Apr. 6, 2013; DOWELL (Ben), *High Cost of Libel Cases Shackling UK Newspapers, Says Study*, Guardian, Feb. 19, 2009; *Ten Questions to Ask Your Lawyer about Costs*, Legal Ombudsman.
- ¹⁵³ SMITH (Jennifer), *The Law Profession's One Percent*, Wall Street Journal, Apr. 16, 2012.
- ¹⁵⁴ BARTON (Benjamin H.), *A Glass Half Full Look at the Changes in the American Legal Market*, International Review of Law and Economics, Vol. 38, 2014, p. 30.
- ¹⁵⁵ MAHESHRI (Vikram) & WINSTON (Clifford), *An Exploratory Study of the Pricing of Legal Services*, International Review of Law and Economics, Vol. 38, 2014, p. 169. About the American legal market, see also DINOVTZER (Ronit) & HAGAN (John), *Hierarchical Structure and Gender Dissimilarity in American Legal Labor Markets*, op. cit.; HADFIELD (Gillian K.), *The Cost of Law: Promoting Access to Justice through the (Un)Corporate Practice of Law*, International Review of Law and Economics, Vol. 38, 2014, pp. 43-63; *2014 Report on the State of the Legal Market*, Center for the Study of the Legal Profession at Georgetown Law and Peer Monitor, 2014; *2013 Report on the State of the Legal Market*, Center for the Study of the Legal Profession at Georgetown Law and Peer Monitor, 2013; PATRICE (Joe), *ABA Job Numbers Are Out – Market Still Sucks*, Above the Law, Apr. 9, 2014; WEISS (Debra Cassens), *'Hysterias-R-Us Legal Lemming Movement' Attacked; Law Prof Predicts Robust Legal Market*, ABA Journal, Mar. 12, 2014; KUBICKI (Joshua), *Make that \$400 Billion for US Legal Market Size*, Legal Transformation Institute, Feb. 24, 2014; KUBICKI (Joshua), *6 Reasons the Legal Industry is Ripe for Startup Invasion*, op. cit.; STRONG (Frank), *BTI Consulting: US Legal Market Exceeds \$100 Billion*, Business of Law Blog, Jan. 16, 2014; WRIGHT (Joshua), *The Job Market for Lawyers: Side Work on the Rise amid Continuing Glut of New Grads*, Forbes, Jan. 10, 2014; BROWN (Paul B.), *A Simple Solution to the Lawyer Glut*, Forbes, Oct. 30, 2013; ZAHORSKY (Rachel M.) & HANNA (Janan), *10 Surprising Legal Markets*, ABA Journal, Mar. 1, 2011; O'CONNELL (Vanessa), *Big Law's \$1,000-Plus an Hour Club*, Wall Street Journal, Feb. 23, 2011. About the cost of lawyering, see also WOLFSON (Andrew), *Lawyer Found Liable in \$42M Fen-Phen Judgment*, Courier-Journal, Aug. 5, 2014; FISHER (Daniel), *Lawyer Stanley Chesley Ordered To Pay \$42 Million Over Fen-Phen Debacle*, Forbes, Aug. 5, 2014; EISENBERG (Theodore) & MILLER (Geoffrey P.), *The English versus the American Rule on Attorney Fees: An Empirical Study of Public Company Contracts*, Cornell Law Review, Vol. 98, No. 2, 2013, pp. 327-381; JOHNOSON (Antone), *Why Are Lawyers So Expensive Even with the Excess Supply of Lawyers?*, Forbes, Mar. 6, 2012; MYSTAL (Elie), *Why Are Lawyers So Expensive? Well, Are They Really?*, Above the Law, Oct. 5, 2011; MAKIN (Kirk), *Access to Justice Becoming a Privilege of the Rich, Judge Warns*, Globe and Mail, Feb. 10, 2011; WILSON (Tony), *How Legal Fees Work*, Globe and Mail, Nov. 8, 2010; CHOI (Albert), *Allocating Settlement Authority under a Contingent-Fee Arrangement*, Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2003, pp. 585-610; *Paying for a Lawyer*, Justice Education Society of BC; *How, and How Much, Do Lawyers Charge?*, Lawyers; *How Much Will a Lawyer Cost?*, Legal Help.ca; *How Much Do Lawyers Cost?*, Rocket Lawyer.
- ¹⁵⁶ *The World's Billionaires: #1095 Joe Jamail, Jr.*, Forbes, Aug. 5, 2014; *The World's Billionaires: #19 Wichai Thongtang*, Forbes, June 2014; *The World's Billionaires: #655 Joseph Jamail Jr.*, Forbes, Mar. 3, 2010; *Top 10 Most Expensive Lawyers in the World*, Top10For, May 27, 2014; *Top 10 Most Expensive Lawyers in the World*, Top Lists Mania, Feb. 20, 2014; SAID

(Sammy), *Who Are the 10 Highest Paid Lawyers in the USA?*, Richest, Oct. 28, 2013; SAID (Sammy), *The 10 Richest Lawyers in the World*, Richest, July 25, 2013.

157 MOSSALLANEJED (Ezat), *Torture in the Age of Fear*, op. cit., p. 244.

158 MCLEAY (Fiona), *The Legal Profession's Beautiful Myth: Surveying the Justifications for the Lawyer's Obligation to Perform Pro Bono Work*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2008, p. 264.

159 GRANFIELD (Robert) & KOENIG (Thomas), *From Activism to Pro Bono: The Redirection of Working Class Altruism at Harvard Law School*, *Critical Sociology*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1990, p. 57.

160 SANDEFUR (Rebecca L.), *Lawyers' Pro Bono Service and American-Style Civil Legal Assistance*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2007, p. 79. About the *pro bono* lawyers, see also HADFIELD (Gillian K.), *The Cost of Law*, op. cit.; GREENO (Elizabeth J.) ET AL., *Meeting the Civil Legal Needs of Low-Income Marylanders: An Evaluation of a Judicare Pilot*, *Journal of Policy Practice*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2014, pp. 65-84; PSUTKA (Achel), *Pro Bono Lawyer Working for the Common Good*, *Regina Leader-Post*, Aug. 13, 2014; CASADY (Michelle), *S.A. Lawyers Recognized for Pro Bono Program*, *My San Antonio*, Aug. 12, 2014; BURKE (Melissa Nann), *Pro-Pot Lawyer Will Defend for Free*, *USA Today*, Aug. 10, 2014; Joe Biden Calls on Lawyers to Work Pro Bono for Child Migrants, *CBC News*, Aug. 6, 2014; BWAMBALE (Elias), *Lawyers Should Embrace Pro Bono Service*, *New Vision*, July 31, 2014; GRIMES (Richard), *Why (and How To) Do Pro Bono Work in Law Schools?*, *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2008, pp. 27-39; GRANFIELD (Robert), *The Meaning of Pro Bono: Institutional Variations in Professional Obligations among Lawyers*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2007, pp. 113-146; RHODE (Deborah L.), *Pro Bono in Principle and in Practice: Public Service and the Professions*, *Stanford: Stanford Law and Politics*, 2005; LUBET (Steven) & STEWART (Cathryn), *A "Public Assets" Theory of Lawyers' Pro Bono Obligations*, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 145, No. 5, 1997, pp. 1245-1307; STROSSEN (Nadine), *Pro Bono Legal Work: For the Good of Not only the Public, but also the Lawyer and the Legal Profession*, *Michigan Law Review*, Vol. 91, No. 8, 1993, pp. 2122-2149; CHRISTENSEN (Barlow F.), *The Lawyer's Pro Bono Publico Responsibility*, *American Bar Foundation Research Journal*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1981, pp. 1-19.

161 *Legal Aid in Canada*, Canadian Bar Association.

162 See HENNING (Peter J.), *Pay Dispute Threatens Some High-Cost Legal Cases in Britain*, *New York Times*, May 12, 2014; BOWCOTT (Owen), *Criminal Legal Aid Fee Cuts for Lawyers Confirmed by Justice Secretary*, *Guardian*, Feb. 27, 2014; BOWCOTT (Owen), *Lawyers to Earn Higher Legal Aid Fees for Early Guilty Pleas*, *Guardian*, Nov. 1, 2013; RING (Suzi), *U.K. Trial Lawyers Stage First Walkout in 600 Years on Fee Cuts*, *Bloomberg*, Jan. 6, 2014; LAWLESS (Jill), *British Lawyers in Wigs Take to the Picket Line*, *Yahoo*, Jan. 6, 2014; VICOVAC (R. Dule), *Challenges in Providing Legal Aid to Displaced Persons Following Armed Conflict: Lessons Learned from Kosovo*, *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2013, pp. 195-206; COBB (Stephen), *Legal Aid Reform: Its Impact on Family Law*, *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2013, pp. 3-19; COOKSON (Graham), *Analysing the Economic Justification for the Reforms to Social Welfare and Family Law Legal Aid*, *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2013, pp. 21-41; MEYLER (Frances) & WOODHOUSE (Sarah), *Changing the Immigration Rules and Withdrawing the 'Currency' of Legal Aid: The Impact of LASPO 2012 on Migrants and Their Families*, *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2013, pp. 55-78; MORRIS (Debra) & BARR (Warren), *The Impact of Cuts in Legal Aid Funding on Charities*, *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2013, pp. 79-94; CAIRNS (Ralph), *The Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012: The Significant Changes*, *Probation Journal*, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2013, pp. 177-189; FINGERMANN (Isadora), *The Challenges of Access to Justice and Enforcement of the Right to Counsel in Brazil*, *Criminal Justice Matters*, Vol. 92, No. 1, 2013, pp. 24-25; RAHMDEL (Mansour) & RAHMDEL (Naser), *The Right to Counsel in Iranian Criminal Justice System*, *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol. 60, No. 3, 2013, pp. 287-295; *Access to Justice: Ensuring Meaningful Access to Counsel in Civil Cases: Response to the Fourth Periodic Report of the United States to the United Nations Human Rights Committee*, prepared by Columbia Law School Human Rights Clinic, 2013; MITTAL (Raman) & SREEMITHUN (K. V.), (ed.), *Legal Aid: Catalyst for Social Change*, New Delhi: Satyam Law International, 2012; MORHE (Renee Aku Sitsofe), *An Overview of Legal Aid for Criminal Cases in Ghana: The History and Challenge of Providing Legal Aid*, *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2012, pp. 105-117; LING (Vicky), *Family Legal Aid: Does It Have a Future?*, *Legal Information Management*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2011, pp. 92-95; PLESENCE (Pascoe) & BALMER (Nigel J.), *The Audacity of Justice: Recession, Redundancy, Rights and Legal Aid*, *Social Policy and Society*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2010, pp. 475-488; BARENDRECHT (Maurits), *Legal Aid, Accessible Courts or Legal Information? Three Access to Justice Strategies Compared*, *Global Jurist*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2011, Art. 6; HOCKING (John), *Legal Aid and Defence Support at the UN International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia*, *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, Vol. 36, No. 3, 2010, pp. 529-537; ZAHAR (Alexander), *Legal Aid*, op. cit.; UNODC, *Access to Justice: Legal Defence and Legal Aid*, New York, 2006; REGAN (Francis), *The Swedish Legal Services Policy Remix: The Shift from Public Legal Aid to Private Legal Expense Insurance*, *Journal of Law*

and Society, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2003, pp. 49-65; ANAGNOST (Stephan), *The Challenge of Providing High Quality, Low Cost Legal Aid for Asylum Seekers and Refugees*, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2000, pp. 577-588; BERESFORD (Stuart) & LAHIOUEL (Hafida), *The Right to Be Defended in Person or Through Legal Assistance and the International Criminal Court*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2000, pp. 949-984; BERESFORD (Stuart), *The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and the Right to Legal Aid and Assistance*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1998, pp. 49-65; WLADIMIROFF (Michail), *Commentary: The Assignment of Defence Counsel before the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1999, pp. 957-968; WALL (David S.), *Legal Aid, Social Policy, and the Architecture of Criminal Justice: The Supplier Induced Inflation Thesis and Legal Aid Policy*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1996, pp. 549-569; SMITH (Roger), *Legal Aid on an Ebbing Tide*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1996, pp. 570-579; BOHLANDER (Michael), *Legal Advice in Criminal Proceedings in the Federal Republic of Germany*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1992, pp. 401-418; HACKLER (Jim), *The Manifest and Latent Functions of Legal Aid*, International Criminal Justice Review, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1992, pp. 58-75; HERZOG (Peter) & HERZOG (Brigitte Ecolivet), *The Reform of the Legal Professions and of Legal Aid in France*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 22, No. 3, 1973, pp. 462-491.

¹⁶³ DWORKIN (Ronald), *On Not Prosecuting Civil Disobedience*, in CHOMSKY (Avram Noam) ET AL., *Trials of the Resistance*, op. cit., p. 64.

¹⁶⁴ About this judicial propaganda, see *Letter: Giving Rights to Corporations Is Egregious Judicial Activism by U.S. Supreme Court*, Kalamazoo Gazette, Aug. 6, 2014; SEXTON (John), *Progressives Pronounce Halbig Decision 'Judicial Activism' then Promise Liberal Judges Will Prevail*, Breitbart News, July 22, 2014; PILLAY (Anashri), *Revisiting the Indian Experience of Economic and Social Rights Adjudication: The Need for a Principled Approach to Judicial Activism and Restraint*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 63, No. 2, 2014, pp. 385-408; GARBEN (Sacha), *Sky-High Controversy and High-Flying Claims? The Sturgeon Case Law in Light of Judicial Activism, Euroscepticism and Eurolegalism*, Common Market Law Review, Vol. 50, No. 1, 2013, pp. 15-45; WIESBROCK (Anja), *Political Reluctance and Judicial Activism in the Area of Free Movement of Persons: The Court as the Motor of EU – Turkey Relations?*, European Law Journal, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2013, pp. 422-442; GRIMMEL (Andreas), *Judicial Interpretation or Judicial Activism? The Legacy of Rationalism in the Studies of the European Court of Justice*, European Law Journal, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2012, pp. 518-535; TELL (Dave) & MILLER (Eric Carl), *Rhetoric, Rationality, and Judicial Activism: The Case of Hillary Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*, Advances in the History of Rhetoric, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2012, pp. 185-203; HOQUE (Ridwanul), *Judicial Activism in Bangladesh: A Golden Mean Approach*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2011; SHAH (Prakash), *Activism in the European Court of Justice and Changing Options for Turkish Citizen Migrants in the United Kingdom*, in HAILBRONNER (Kay) ET AL. (ed.), *Current Developments in Citizenship, Immigration, Refugee Law and Law of Foreigners*, Ankara: Union of Turkish Bar Associations, 2010, pp. 299-326; KILKENNY (Allison), *Every Judge Is an "Activist Judge"*, Huffington Post, July 13, 2009; LINDQUIST (Stefanie A.) & CROSS (Frank B.), *Measuring Judicial Activism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; DICKSON (Brice) (ed.), *Judicial Activism in Common Law Supreme Courts*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007; KOOIJMANS (Pieter), *The ICJ in the 21st Century: Judicial Restraint, Judicial Activism, or Proactive Judicial Policy*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 56, No. 4, 2007, pp. 741-753; RAIDER (Mark A.), *"Vigilantibus Non Dormientibus": The Judicial Activism of Louis Marshall*, Jewish Social Studies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2007, pp. 40-62; GAVA (John), *Unconvincing and Perplexing: Hutchinson and Stapleton on Judging*, University of Queensland Law Journal, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2007, pp. 67-81; LEISHMAN (Rory), *Against Judicial Activism: The Decline of Freedom and Democracy in Canada*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2006; ROOSEVELT III (Kermit), *The Myth of Judicial Activism: Making Sense of Supreme Court Decisions*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2006; KELLY (James B.), *Governing with the Charter: Legislative and Judicial Activism and Framer's Intent*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2005; BARTELS (Lorand), *The Separation of Powers in the WTO: How to Avoid Judicial Activism*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 53, No. 4, 2004, pp. 861-895; KMIEC (Keenan Douglas), *The Origin and Current Meanings of "Judicial Activism"*, California Law Review, Vol. 92, No. 5, 2004, pp. 1441-1477; HEYDON (Dyson), *Judicial Activism and the Death of the Rule of Law*, Otago Law Review, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2004, pp. 493-515; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Heydon' Seek: Looking for Law in all the Wrong Places*, Monash University Law Review, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2003, pp. 85-103; CARRESE (Paul O.), *The Cloaking of Power: Montesquieu, Blackstone, and the Rise of Judicial Activism*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2003; ANANT (T. C. A.) & SINGH (Jaivir), *An Economic Analysis of Judicial Activism*, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 37, No. 43, 2002, pp. 4433-4439; WOLFE (Christopher), *Judicial Activism: Bulwark of Freedom or Precarious Security?*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1997; HARWOOD (Sterling), *Judicial Activism: A Restrained Defense*, San Francisco: Austin and Winfield, 1996; KOOPMANS (Thijmen), *Judicial Activism and Procedural Law*, European Review of Private Law, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1993, pp. 67-81; KOOPMANS (Thijmen), *The Roots of Judicial Activism*, in MATSCHER (Franz) & PETZOLD (Herbert) (ed.), *Protecting Human Rights: The European Dimension*, Studies in Honour of Gerard J. Wiarda, Köln: Carl Heymanns Verlag 1988, pp. 317-327; ITOH (Hiroshi), *Judicial Review and Judicial Activism in Japan*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 53, No. 1, 1990, pp. 169-179;

BRUBAKER (Stanley C.), *Reconsidering Dworkin's Case for Judicial Activism*, *Journal of Politics*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 1984, pp. 503-519; HOLLAND (Kenneth), *Judicial Activism vs. Restraint: McDowell, Miller, and Perry Reconsider the Debate*, *American Bar Foundation Research Journal*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1983, pp. 705-717; REBELL (Michael A.) & BLOCK (Arthur R.), *Educational Policy Making and the Courts: An Empirical Study of Judicial Activism*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982; GRIFFITHS (John), *Restraint and Activism in Judicial Review*, *Cambridge Law Journal*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 1979, pp. 228-233; MASON (Alpheus Thomas), *Judicial Activism: Old and New*, *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 55, No. 3, 1969, pp. 385-426.

¹⁶⁵ About the propaganda of legal ethics at all levels, see FERRIS (Graham), *Values Ethics and Legal Ethics: The QLD and LETR Recommendations 6, 7, 10, and 11*, *Law Teacher*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2014, pp. 20-32; WEBNER (Prina), "The Duty to Act Fairly": *Ethics, Legal Anthropology, and Labor Justice in the Manual Workers Union of Botswana*, *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, Vol. 56, No. 2, 2014, pp. 479-507; LUCAS, JR. (George R.), *Ethics and Cyber Conflict: A Response to JME 12:1 (2013)*, *Journal of Military Ethics*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 20-31; BARBOUR (Charles), *Doing Justice to Foucault: Legal Theory and the Later Ethics*, *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2013, pp. 73-88; BANKS (Cyndi), *Criminal Justice Ethics*, op. cit.; SHUE (Henry), *Laws of War, Morality, and International Politics: Compliance, Stringency, and Limits*, *Leiden Journal of International Law*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 271-292; BOSHOFF (Anél), *Law and Its Rhetoric of Violence*, op. cit.; HAYDEN (Paul T.), *Ethical Lawyering: Legal and Professional Responsibilities in the Practice of Law*, 3rd ed., St. Paul: Thomson West, 2012; GORDON (Tahlia) ET AL., *Regulation of Legal Services in the E-World: A Need to Short Circuit Hot Spots in Ethics and Novel Practices?*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 55-87; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit.; ORKIN (Mark M.), *Legal Ethics*, 2nd ed., Toronto: Canada Law Book, 2011; KALAJDZIC (Jasminka), *Self-Interest, Public Interest, and the Interests of the Absent Client: Legal Ethics and Class Action Praxis*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-37; ALPA (Guido), *Lawyers' Ethics in Italy: An Historical Treatment with some Comments on Recent Changes in Approach*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 307-318; CROZIER (B. D.), *Legal Ethics: A Handbook for Zimbabwean Lawyers*, Harare: Legal Resources Foundation, 2009; *International Criminal Law and Legal Ethics: The Need for Shared Expectations*, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting*, Vol. 103, 2009, pp. 256-267; MARKOVITS (Daniel), *A Modern Legal Ethics: Adversary Advocacy in a Democratic Age*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008; KAUFFMAN (Kent D.), *Legal Ethics*, 2nd ed., Clifton Park: Delmar Learning, 2008; PUIG (Gonzalo Villalta), *Legal Ethics in Legal Education: A Review and Critique of Anglo-American Approaches and Methods*, *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2008, pp. 5-17; RHODE (Deborah L.) & LUBAN (David), *Legal Ethics*, New York: Thomson Reuters/Foundation Press, 2008; DODEK (Adam M.), *Canadian Legal Ethics: Ready for the Twenty-First Century at Last*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 1-49; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), "In the Public Interest": *The Responsibilities and Rights of Government Lawyers*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 105-129; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility*, 2nd ed., Toronto: Irwin Law, 2006; HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics for a Fragmented Society*, op. cit.; RICHARDSON (Benjamin J.), *Putting Ethics into Environmental Law: Fiduciary Duties for Ethical Investment*, *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2008, pp. 243-291; LAUFER (William S.), *Law, Ethics, and Divergent Rhetoric*, *Business Ethics Quarterly*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2007, pp. 441-447; PARKER (Christine) & EVANS (Adrian), *Inside Lawyers' Ethics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007; DYZENHAUS (David) ET AL. (ed.), *Law and Morality: Readings in Legal Philosophy*, 3rd ed., Toronto and Buffalo: University of Toronto Press, 2007; RODES, (Robert E.), *On Lawyers and Moral Discernment*, *Journal of Catholic Legal Studies*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2007, pp. 259-276; ETHERINGTON (Laurence) & LEE (Robert Gregory), *Ethical Codes and Cultural Context: Ensuring Legal Ethics in the Global Law Firm*, *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2007, pp. 95-118; RANNEY (Frances J.), *Aristotle's Ethics and Legal Rhetoric: An Analysis of Language Beliefs and the Law*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2005; RAFFIELD (Paul), *A Discredited Priesthood: The Failings of Common Lawyers and Their Representation in Seventeenth Century Satirical Drama*, *Law and Literature*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2005, pp. 365-395; BRANCH (Adam), *American Morality over International Law: Origins in UN Military Interventions, 1991-1995*, *Constellations*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2005, pp. 103-127; HENDRICK (Judith), *Law and Ethics*, Cheltenham: Nelson Thornes, 2004; HEINZE (Eric A.), *Humanitarian Intervention: Morality and International Law on Intolerable Violations of Human Rights*, *International Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2004, pp. 471-490; SCALLEN (Eileen A.), *Evidence Law as Pragmatic Legal Rhetoric: Reconnecting Legal Scholarship, Teaching and Ethics*, *Quinnipiac Law Review*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2003, pp. 813-891; MURPHY (Alexander B.), *Territoriality, Morality, and International Law*, op. cit.; NICOLSON (Donald) & WEBB (Julian), *Professional Legal Ethics: Critical Interrogations*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999; BOLDIZAR (Alexander) & KORHONEN (Outi), *Ethics, Morals and International Law*, *European Journal of International Law*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1999, pp. 279-311; COPP (David), *International Law and Morality in the Theory of Secession*, *Journal of Ethics*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1998, pp. 219-245; TIBI (Bassam), *Islamic Law/Shari'a, Human Rights, Universal Morality and International Relations*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 1994, pp. 277-299; KIPNIS (Kenneth), *Ethics and the Professional Responsibility of Lawyers*, op. cit.; KIPNIS (Kenneth), *Legal Ethics*, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1986; COHEN (Elliot D.), *Pure Legal Advocates and Moral Agents*, op. cit.; FORSYTHE (David P.), *Law, Morality, and War after Vietnam*, *World Politics*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 1976, pp. 450-472;

FREEDMAN (Monroe H.), *Lawyers' Ethics in an Adversary System*, op. cit.; WISE (Raymond L.), *Legal Ethics*, 2nd ed., New York: M. Bender, 1970; DRINKER (Henry Sandwith), *Legal Ethics*, New York: Columbia University Press, 1953.

¹⁶⁶ TURRIFF (Gordon), *The Consumption of Lawyer Independence*, op. cit., p. 283. See also TURRIFF (Gordon), *Self-Governance as a Necessary Condition of Constitutionally Mandated Lawyer Independence in British Columbia*, posted by Mandy Ostick, Courthouse Library BC, Jan. 26, 2010; TURRIFF (Gordon), *Self-Governance as a Necessary Condition of Constitutionally Mandated Lawyer Independence in British Columbia*, the Conference of Regulatory Officers, Perth, Australia, Sep. 17, 2009; O'SULLIVAN (John), *Lawyers' Self Governance Under Threat?*, *Slaw*, Apr. 18, 2011.

¹⁶⁷ HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility*, op. cit., p. 213.

¹⁶⁸ HUTCHINSON (Allan C.), *'In the Public Interest'*, op. cit., pp. 106, 128-129.

¹⁶⁹ HOWARTH (David Ross), *Is Law a Humanity: (Or Is It More Like Engineering)?*, *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2004, p. 14.

¹⁷⁰ About barefoot lawyer, see PEERENBOOM (Randall), *Searching for Political Liberalism in all the Wrong Places: The Legal Profession in China as the Leading Edge of Political Reform?*, in DEZALAY (Yves) & GARTH (Bryant G.) (ed.), *Lawyers and the Rule of Law in an Era of Globalization*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011, p. 245; FORD (Peter), *China's Blind Activist Lawyer*, op. cit.; JOHNOSN (Tim), *In China, Lawyers Fighting for Justice routinely Harassed*, *Knight Ridder Newspapers*, Nov. 15, 2005; GIBB (Frances), *Barefoot Lawyers*, *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2003, pp. 69-74; LIU (Melinda), *Barefoot Lawyers*, *Newsweek*, Mar. 3, 2002; ALFORD (William P.), *Tasselled Loafers for Barefoot Lawyers: Transformation and Tension in the World of Chinese Legal Workers*, *China Quarterly*, Vol. 141, 1995, pp. 22-38.

¹⁷¹ See RAJAGOPAL (Krishnadas), *Lawyers in Lower Courts to Dress Down for Summer*, *Hindu*, July 29, 2014; RAJ (Manish), *Lower Court Lawyers Need Not Wear Gowns*, *Times of India*, July 26, 2014; LEPORE (Meredith), *Do Female Lawyers Have the Worst Dress Code?*, *Levo League*, June 17, 2013; FURNHAM (Adrian) ET AL., *What to Wear? The Influence of Attire on the Perceived Professionalism of Dentists and Lawyers*, *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 43, No. 9, 2013, pp. 1838-1850; *The Lawyer*, *Elle*, Feb. 20, 2013; BEIHAMME (Alexander Daniel) ET AL., *Court Ceremonies and Rituals of Power in Byzantium and the Medieval Mediterranean: Comparative Perspectives*, Leiden: Brill, 2013; HAQUE (Emdadul), *History of Costumes for Lawyers: Magnificence vs. Ridicule*, *Bangla News* 24, July 1, 2012; CHASE (Oscar G.) & THONG (Jonathan), *Judging Judges: The Effect of Courtroom Ceremony on Participant Evaluation of Process Fairness-Related Factors*, *Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2012, pp. 221-245; *Appearing in Courts and Tribunals*, *New Zealand Law Society*, 2011; ZARETSKY (Staci), *Look Like a Lawyer: Dress to Impress in 2011*, *Lawyerist*, Jan. 7, 2011; BOUTIN (Aimée), *"The Title of Lawyer Leads Nowhere!": The "Physiology" of the Law Student in Paul Gavarni, Émile de la Bédollière and George Sand*, *Nineteenth-Century French Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2011, pp. 57-80; DAYAN (Colin), *The Law Is A White Dog: How Legal Rituals Make and Unmake Persons*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011; RAU (Steven E.), *Civility, Decorum, and Ritual in the Judiciary*, *William Mitchell Law Review*, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2011, pp. 2097-2100; MORAN (Leslie J.), *Forming Sexualities as Judicial Virtues*, *Sexualities*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2011, pp. 273-289; LUCIEN (Arnaud), *Staging and the Imaginary Institution of the Judge*, *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2010, pp. 185-206; WHITEHEAD (Tom), *Judges Give New Robes a Dressing-Down*, *Telegraph*, Mar. 26, 2009; CROWNE-MOHAMMED (Emir A.), *Learning to Act Like a Law Student: A Response to the Model Code of Professional Responsibility for Law Students*, *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2009, p. 93 (note 8); KATZ (Paul R.), *Divine Justice: Religion and the Development of Chinese Legal Culture*, London: Routledge, 2009; KATZ (Paul R.), *Ritual? What Ritual? Secularization in the Study of Chinese Legal History, from Colonial Encounters to Modern Scholarship*, *Social Compass*, Vol. 56, No. 3, 2009, pp. 328-344; RAASCH (Janet Ellen), *Law Firm Dress Code: External Restriction or Internal Guide?*, *Constant Content*, Dec. 11, 2008; *Lord Chief Justice Models New Gown for Judges*, *Telegraph*, May 13, 2008; LEIPER (Jean McKenzie), *Bar Codes: Women in the Legal Profession*, op. cit.; ROTHERHAM (Craig), *Restitution and Property Rites: Reason and Ritual in the Law of Proprietary Remedies*, *Theoretical Inquiries in Law*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2001, Art. 7; FINNANE (Mark), *'Payback', Customary Law and Criminal Law in Colonised Australia*, *International Journal of the Sociology of Law*, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2001, pp. 293-310; EVANS (David), *Theatre of Deferral: The Image of the Law and the Architecture of the Inns of Court*, *Law and Critique*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1999, pp. 1-25; STYCHIN (Carl F.), *Celebration and Consolidation: National Rituals and the Legal Construction of American Identities*, *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 1998, pp. 265-291; MILLER (Geoffrey Parsons), *Ritual and Regulation: A Legal-Economic Interpretation of Selected Biblical Texts*, *Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 1993, pp. 477-501; WINN (Peter A.), *Legal Ritual*, *Law and Critique*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1991, pp. 207-232; TIERSMA (Peter Meijes), *Rites of Passage: Legal Ritual in Roman Law and Anthropological Analogues*, *Journal of Legal History*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1988, pp. 3-25; COHEN (Esther), *Symbols of Culpability and the Universal Language of Justice: The Ritual of Public Executions in Late Medieval Europe*, *History of European Ideas*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1989, pp. 407-416; WEYRAUCH (Walter Otto), *Law as Mask: Legal Ritual and Relevance*, *California Law Review*, Vol. 66,

No. 4, 1978, pp. 699-726; NOONAN (John Thomas), *Persons and Masks of the Law: Cardozo, Holmes, Jefferson, and Wythe as Makers of the Masks*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1976; NEUSNER (Jacob), *Ritual without Myth: The Use of Legal Materials for the Study of Religions*, Religion, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1975, pp. 91-100; GLUCKMAN (Max), *Politics, Law and Ritual in Tribal Society*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1965; ABERDEENE (Jason), *How to Dress Like a Lawyer for Halloween*, eHow; KANE (Sally), *Sample Law Firm Dress Code*, About; *Les Costumes Judiciaires*, Ministère de la Justice, France.

¹⁷² FROOMAN (Hilary), *Lawyers and Readability*, Journal of Business Communication, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1981, p. 45.

¹⁷³ ALLINSON (Richard), *The Language of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, Crime and Delinquency, Vol. 25, No. 3, 1979, p. 366. Concerning the lawyers' jargon, rhetoric, and verbiage, see also FREEMAN (Michael D. A.) & SMITH (Fiona) (ed.), *Law and Language: Current Legal Issues*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013; MATTILA (Heikki Eero Sakari), *Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin and Modern Lingua Francas*, trans. by Christopher Goddard, 2nd ed., Farnham and Burlington: Ashgate, 2013; BUTT (Peter), *Modern Legal Drafting: A Guide to Using Clearer Language*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; SVONGORO (Paul) ET AL., *Language and the Legal Process: A Linguistic Analysis of Courtroom Discourse Involving Selected Cases of Alleged Rape in Mutare, Zimbabwe*, South African Journal of African Languages, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 117-128; CUMMINGS (Scott L.) (ed.), *The Paradox of Professionalism: Lawyers and the Possibility of Justice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011; ASSY (Rabeea), *Can the Law Speak Directly to its Subjects? The Limitation of Plain Language*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2011, pp. 376-404; HARRIES (Jill), *Superfluous Verbiage?: Rhetoric and Law in the Age of Constantine and Julian*, Journal of Early Christian Studies, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2011, pp. 345-374; GIANNONI (Davide Simone) & FRADE (Celina) (ed.), *Researching Language and the Law: Textual Features and Translation Issues*, Bern: Peter Lang, 2010; BROWNLEE (Kimberley), *Retributive, Restorative and Ritualistic Justice*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 2010, 385-397; BENNION (Francis), *Confusion Over Plain Language Law*, Journal of the Commonwealth Lawyers' Association, 2007, pp. 63-68; MERTZ (Elizabeth), *The Language of Law School: Learning to "Think Like a Lawyer"*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007; RANNEY (Frances J.), *Aristotle's Ethics and Legal Rhetoric*, op. cit.; WEISSBOURD (Bernard) & MERTZ (Elizabeth), *Rule-Centrism versus Legal Creativity: The Skewing of Legal Ideology through Language*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 19, No. 4, 1985, pp. 623-659; MELLINKOFF (David), *The Language of the Law*, Eugene: Resource, 2004; PHILLIPS (Alfred), *Lawyers' Language: How and Why Legal Language is Different*, London: Routledge, 2003; PHILLIPS (Alfred), *Lawyers' Language: The Distinctiveness of Legal Language*, London: Routledge, 2002; HOHMANN (Hanns), *Rhetoric and Dialectic: Some Historical and Legal Perspectives*, Argumentation, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2000, pp. 223-234; CONLEY (John M.) & O'BARR (William M.), *Just Words: Law, Language, and Power*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998; HASIAN, JR. (Marouf) & CROASMUN (Earl), *Rhetoric's Revenge: The Prospect of a Critical Legal Rhetoric*, Philosophy and Rhetoric, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1996, pp. 384-399; O'ROURKE (Sean Patrick), *Rhetoric in Legal Scholarship*, Rhetoric Society Quarterly, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1996, pp. 119-123; WETLAUFER (Gerald B.), *Rhetoric and Its Denial in Legal Discourse*, Virginia Law Review, Vol. 76, No. 8, 1990, pp. 1545-1597; GOODRICH (Peter), *Law and Language: An Historical and Critical Introduction*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1984, pp. 173-206; BOGOCH (Bryan) & DANET (Brenda), *Challenge and Control in Lawyer-Client Interaction: A Case Study in an Israeli Legal Aid Office*, Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse, Vol. 4, No. 1-3, 1984, pp. 249-275; DANET (Brenda), *Language in the Legal Process*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1980, pp. 445-564; GOLDFARB (Ronald), *Lawyer Language*, Litigation, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1977, pp. 3-4, 55-56; CHANEY (Henry A.), *Legal Verbiage*, Michigan Law Journal, Vol. 4, No. 4, 1895, pp. 109-113.

¹⁷⁴ WHITE (James Boyd), *Acts of Hope: Creating Authority in Literature, Law, and Politics*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994, p. 125; quoted by GARVER (Eugene), *Narrative, Rhetorical Argument, and Ethical Authority*, Law and Critique, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1999, p. 125 (note 11).

¹⁷⁵ About these rights, see ANAGNOSTOPOULOS (Ilias), *Criminal Justice Cooperation in the European Union after the First Few "Steps": A Defence View*, ERA Forum, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2014, pp. 9-24; PATRY (Marc W.) ET AL., *Recent Supreme Court of Canada Rulings on Criminal Defendants' Right to Counsel*, Psychology, Crime and Law, Vol. 20, No. 8, 2014, pp. 741-755; RAHMDL (Mansour) & RAHMDL (Naser), *The Right to Counsel in Iranian Criminal Justice System*, op. cit.; *Access to Justice*, op. cit.; VILLIERS (Wim de), *Is the Two-Hour Evidentiary Presumption in the Context of Drinking and Driving Cases a Sufficiently Compelling Factor to Override a Suspect's Right to Counsel under South African Law?*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, pp. 119-141; FINGERMANN (Isadora), *The Challenges of Access to Justice and Enforcement of the Right to Counsel in Brazil*, op. cit.; VERMEULEN (Gert), (ed.), *Defence Rights: International and European Developments*, Antwerpen: Maklu, 2012; CROQUET (Nicolas A. J.), *The International Criminal Court and the Treatment of Defence Rights: A Mirror of the European Court of Human Rights' Jurisprudence?*, Human Rights Law Review, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2011, pp. 91-131; WAINCYMER (Jeff), *Reconciling Conflicting Rights in International Arbitration: The Right to Choice of Counsel and the Right to an Independent and Impartial Tribunal*, Arbitration International, Vol. 26, No. 4, 2010, pp. 597-623; SNOOK (Brent) ET AL., *A Descriptive Analysis of How Canadian Police Officers Administer the Right-to-Silence and Right-to-Legal-Counsel Cautions*, Canadian

Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Vol. 52, No. 5, 2010, pp. 545-560; SERRE (Eric Barbier de La), *Procedural Justice in the European Community Case-law concerning the Rights of the Defence: Essentialist and Instrumental Trends*, European Public Law, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2006, pp. 225-250; EKELI (Kristian Skagen), *The Principle of Liberty and Legal Representation of Posterity*, Res Publica, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2006, pp. 385-409; PAOLETTI (Sarah), *Driving Support from International Law for the Right to Counsel in Civil Cases*, Temple Political and Civil Rights Law Review, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2006, pp. 651-662; UNODC, *Access to Justice*, op. cit.; FIELD (Stewart) & WEST (Andrew), *Dialogue and the Inquisitorial Tradition*, op. cit.; BERESFORD (Stuart) & LAHIOUEL (Hafida), *The Right to Be Defended in Person or Through Legal Assistance and the International Criminal Court*, op. cit.; BERESFORD (Stuart), *The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and the Right to Legal Aid and Assistance*, op. cit.; NIJBOER (Johannes Fredrikus), *Children and Young Persons in the Criminal Justice System: The Council of Europe Recommendation on Witness Protection and Rights of the Defence*, Criminal Law Forum, Vol. 10, No. 4, 1999, pp. 443-465; WLADIMIROFF (Michaïl), *Commentary*, op. cit.; FINKELSTEIN (Marie Alison), *The Right to Counsel*, Toronto: Butterworths, 1988; PEARSE (John) & GUDJONSSON (Gisli), *Police Interviewing and Legal Representation: A Field Study*, Journal of Forensic Psychiatry, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1997, pp. 200-208.

176 KALSCHUR (Gregory A.), *Ignatian Spirituality and the Life of the Lawyer: Finding God in All Things – Even in the Ordinary Practice of the Law*, Journal of Catholic Legal Studies, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2007, p. 28.

177 About the controversial relation between Jesus and capitalism, see GOTSIS (George N.) & MERIANOS (Gerasimos), *Early Christian Representations of the Economy: Evidence from New Testament Texts*, History and Anthropology, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2012, pp. 467-505; BLUM (Edward J.), *“Look, Baby, We Got Jesus on Our Flag”: Robust Democracy and Religious Debate from the Era of Slavery to the Age of Obama*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 637, No. 1, 2011, pp. 17-37; OAKMAN (Douglas), *The Radical Jesus: You Cannot Serve God and Mammon*, Biblical Theology Bulletin, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2004, pp. 122-129; RIBUFFO (Leo P.), *Jesus Christ as Business Statesmen: Bruce Barton and the Selling of Corporate Capitalism*, American Quarterly, Vol. 33, No. 2, 1981, pp. 206-231; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Laissez-Faire Radical: A Quest for the Historical Mises*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 247-250; MISES (Ludwig von), *Socialism: An Economic and Sociological Analysis*, trans. by J. Kahane, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1951, pp. 409-429; CARROLL (Ray), *Jesus: A Capitalist*, Madison: American Council of Christian Laymen, 1952.

178 GELDERLOOS (Peter) & LINCOLN (Patrick), op. cit., p. 28.

179 For some examples of so-called attorney-client sexual relations, see STANTON (Sam) & WALSH (Denny), *Shenanigans at Sacramento County Jail? Or Just Overzealous Guards?*, Sacramento Bee, Jan. 27, 2016; JOHNSON (Alan), *DeWine's Role in Office Sexual-Harassment Probe Is Questioned*, Columbus Dispatch, Aug. 8, 2014; NEIL (Martha), *Lawyer Suspended for Violating No-Sex-With-Client Rule; He Admits Oral Sex Was DUI Case Fee*, ABA Journal, Aug. 1, 2013; TADDESE (Yamri), *Lawyer Who Slept with Family Law Client in Joint Retainer Battles Suspension Bid*, Law Time, Apr. 1, 2013; TYLER (Tracey), *Sex with Client Sinks Top Lawyer*, Toronto Star, Feb. 3, 2007; MUIR (Ronda), *Practical Practice Tips: Lawyers Lusting After Clients and Their Spouses*, Law People, Apr. 11, 2012; KOMAIKO (Richard), *Scandalous Divorce Lawyers Who Sleep With Their Clients*, Huffington Post, Jan. 31, 2012; GRENOBLE (Ryan), *Thomas P. Lowe, Minnesota Divorce Lawyer, Caught Sleeping With Client, Billing Her For It*, Huffington Post, Jan. 18, 2013; ROSENWALD SMITH (Gayle) & ABRAHMS (Sally), *What Every Woman Should Know about Divorce and Custody: Judges, Lawyers, and Therapists Share Winning Strategies on How to Keep the Kids, the Cash, and Your Sanity*, New York: Penguin, 2007; SLAYTON (Philip), *Lawyers Gone Bad: Money, Sex and Madness in Canada's Legal Profession*, Toronto: Viking Canada, 2007; LAFFERTY (Lorraine), *Lawyers Gone Bad: Money, Sex and Madness in Canada's Legal Profession*, by Philip Slayton, Book Review, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 197-202; MILLAR (Paul), *Lawyers Gone Bad: Money, Sex and Madness in Canada's Legal Profession*, book review, Canadian Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2007, pp. 260-262; SEYMORE (Malinda L.), *Attorney-Client Sex: A Feminist Critique of the Absence of Regulation*, Yale Journal of Law and Feminism, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2003, pp. 175-223; CROWLEY-CYR (Lynda) & CAPLE (Carol), *Sex with Clients and the Ethical Lawyer*, James Cook University Law Review, Vol. 8, 2001, pp. 67-77; FRANCIS (Leslie Pickering), *Sexual Harassment as an Ethical Issue in Academic Life*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2001; SHIREY (William K.), *Dealing with the Profession's 'Dirty Little Secret': A Proposal for Regulating Attorney-Client Sexual Relations*, Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1999, pp. 131-160; SILVER (Marjorie A.), *Love, Hate, and Other Emotional Interference in the Lawyer/Client Relationship*, Clinical Law Review, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1999, pp. 259-313; STRUZZIN (Melissa A.), *Sex Behind the Bar: Should Attorney-Client Sexual Relations be Prohibited?*, Duquesne Law Review, Vol. 37, Vol. 4, pp. 1999, pp. 637-657; AWAD (Abed), *Attorney-Client Sexual Relations*, Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 22, 1998, pp. 131-191; ECKHAUSE (Melissa M.), *Chastity Belt for Lawyers: Proposed MRPC 1.8(k) and the Regulation of Attorney-Client Sexual Relationships*, University of Detroit Mercy Law Review, Vol. 75, No. 1, 1997, pp. 115-141; PADAVIC (Irene) & ORCUTT (James D.), *Perceptions of Sexual Harassment in the Florida Legal System*, op. cit.; MISCHLER (Linda Fitts), *Reconciling Rapture, Representation, and Responsibility: An Argument against Per Se Bans on Attorney-Client Sex*,

Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1996, pp. 209-270; LANGFORD, JR. (William D.), *Criminalizing Attorney-Client Sexual Relations: Toward Substantive Enforcement*, Texas Law Review, Vol. 73, No. 5, 1995, pp. 1223-1254; PINCUS (David H.), *Lawyers in Lust: Does New York's New Rule Addressing Attorney-Client Sexual Relations Do Enough Notes and Comments*, Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 2, 1994, pp. 249-275; MCQUEEN (Molly A.), *Regulating Attorney-Client Sex: The Need for an Express Rule*, Gonzaga Law Review, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1993-1994, pp. 405-424; NUTTALL (David), *Good Lawyer and Bad Lawyer*, Surrey: Hancock House, 1993; LIVINGSTON (Margit), *When Libido Subverts Credo: Regulation of Attorney-Client Sexual Relations*, Fordham Law Review, Vol. 62, No. 1, 1993, pp. 5-63; MURRELL (Dan S.) ET AL., *Loose Canons – A National Survey of Attorney-Client Sexual Involvement: Are There Ethical Concerns*, Memphis State University Law Review, Vol. 23, No. 2, 1993, pp. 483-506; KANE (Andrew W.) ET AL., *Attorney Sexual Misconduct*, American Journal of Family Law, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1992, pp. 191-195; JORGENSON (Linda Mabus) & SUTHERLAND (Pamela K.), *Fiduciary Theory Applied to Attorneys' Personal Dealings: Attorney-Client Sexual Contact*, Arkansas Law Review, Vol. 45, No. 3, 1992, pp. 458-502; O'CONNELL (John M.), *Keeping Sex out of the Attorney-Client Relationship: A Proposed Rule*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 92, No. 4, 1992, pp. 887-922; GOLDBERG (Nancy E.), *Sex and the Attorney-Client Relationship: An Argument for a Prophylactic Rule*, Akron Law Review, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1992, pp. 45-75; MURIEL (Robert H.), *Suppressed v. Suppressed: A Court's Refusal to Remedy the Legal Profession's Dirty Little Secret*, Attorney-Client Sexual Exploitation, Loyola University Law Journal, Vol. 23, No. 2, 1992, pp. 309-328; GUTHEIL (Thomas) ET AL., *Prohibiting Lawyer-Client Sex*, Bulletin of the American Academy of Psychiatry and Law, Vol. 20, No. 4, 1992, pp. 365-382; DUBIN (Lawrence), *Sex and the Divorce Lawyer: Is the Client off Limits*, Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1988, pp. 585-619; *Attorney Misconduct*, Free Dictionary.

¹⁸⁰ See about this type of lawyering, see DOTAN (Yoav), *Lawyering for the Rule of Law: Government Lawyers and the Rise of Judicial Power in Israel*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014; SERAFYN (Jennifer), *Government Lawyering Externship Program*, Boston University's Law School, Apr. 14, 2014; STEFANELLI (Justine N.), *Explaining Akzo Nobel: In-House Counsel, Government Lawyers, and Independence*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 62, No. 2, 2013, pp. 485-492; TILLIPMAN (Jessica) & MAHINI (Robert B.), *Government Lawyering*, Briefing Papers, No. 11-3, 2011, pp. 1-28; MODEK (Adam M.), *Lawyering at the Intersection of Public Law and Legal Ethics: Government Lawyers as Custodians of the Rule of Law*, Dalhousie Law Journal, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2010, pp. 1-53; BLUM (Gabriella), *The Role of the Client: The President's Role in Government Lawyering*, Boston College International and Comparative Law Review, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2009, pp. 275-287; GLENN (Andrea S.), *Profs Describe Government Lawyering*, Columbia Law School, Sep. 29, 2008; MAVEETY (Nancy) & PETTAI (Vello), *Government Lawyers and Non-Judicial Constitutional Review in Estonia*, Europe-Asia Studies, Vol. 57, No. 1, 2005, pp. 93-115; BARZILAI (Gad) & NACHMIAS (David), *Governmental Lawyering in the Political Sphere: Advocating the Leviathan*, Israel Studies, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1998, pp. 30-46; SCHUCK (Peter H.), *Lawyers and Policymakers in Government*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1998, pp. 7-18; MCGARITY (Thomas O.), *The Role of Government Attorneys in Regulatory Agency Rulemaking*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1998, pp. 19-32; CALABRESI (Steven G.), *The President, the Supreme Court, and the Constitution: A Brief Positive Account of the Role of Government Lawyers in the Development of Constitutional Law*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1998, pp. 61-82; PAULSEN (Michael Stokes), *Hell, Handbaskets, and Government Lawyers: The Duty of Loyalty and Its Limits*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1998, pp. 83-106; WALD (Patricia M.), *"For the United States": Government Lawyers in Court*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 61, No. 1, 1998, pp. 107-128; HEINICKE (Malcolm A.), *The ABA Should Not Delay on Pay-to-Play: Regulating the Political Contributions of Lawyers to Government Officials Who Award Legal Contracts*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 49, No. 6, 1997, pp. 1523-1546; DEVINS (Neal), *Government Lawyers and the New Deal*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 96, No. 1, 1996, pp. 237-268; BISHARAT (George E.), *Courting Justice? Legitimation in Lawyering under Israeli Occupation*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1995, pp. 349-405; MICHALOWSKI (Raymond J.), *Between Citizens and the Socialist State*, op. cit.; STALLWORTHY (Mark), *Local Government Lawyers: The 1980s and Beyond*, Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1992, pp. 69-84; MILLER (Geoffrey P.), *Government Lawyers' Ethics in a System of Checks and Balances*, University of Chicago Law Review, Vol. 54, No. 4, 1987, pp. 1293-1299; SYME (Ronald), *Lawyers in Government: The Case of Ulpian*, Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, Vol. 116, No. 5, 1972, pp. 406-409; MAYER (Andrew C.), *The Lawyer in the Executive Branch of Government*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1970, pp. 425-444; OLNEY (Warren), *A Government Lawyer Looks at Little Rock*, California Law Review, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1957, pp. 516-523; BALDWIN (Raymond P.) & HALL (Livingston), *Using Government Lawyers to Animate Bureaucracy*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 63, No. 2, 1953, pp. 197-204; *Government Lawyering Externship*, Washington Law University.

¹⁸¹ WOLLAN (Laurin A.), *Lawyers in Government: "The Most Serviceable Instruments of Authority"*, Public Administration Review, Vol. 38, No. 2, 1978, pp. 105-112.

¹⁸² You can find here some examples of legal mystification and propaganda by the lawyers: BOUKALAS (Christos), *Politics as Legal Action/Lawyers as Political Actors: Towards a Reconceptualisation of Cause Lawyering*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2013, pp. 395-420; ROZNAI (Yaniv), *Revolutionary Lawyering? On Lawyers' Social Responsibilities and Roles during a*

Democratic Revolution, Southern California Interdisciplinary Law Journal, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2013, pp. 353-384; *Rebellious Lawyering Conference to Feature Speakers on Social Justice*, Yale Law School, Feb. 13, 2013; *Rebellious Lawyering Conference Feb. 17-18 Will Focus on Education Reform, Reproductive Justice, Other Topics*, Yale Law School, Jan. 19, 2012; FARROW (Trevor C. W.) ET AL., *Ethical Lawyering in A Global Community*, Toronto: Comparative Research in Law and Political Economy Network and OHLS, 2012; FARROW (Trevor C. W.), *Foreword*, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. i-ii; FARROW (Trevor C. W.), *Sustainable Professionalism*, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2008, pp. 51-103; WEBBER (Frances), *Borderline Justice*, Race and Class, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2012, pp. 39-54; *ELGC Syllabus – Osgoode Hall Law School*, posted by Chantal Morton, International Forum on Teaching Legal Ethics and Professionalism, Apr. 27, 2011; FRANCIS (Andrew), *At the Edge of Law: Emergent and Divergent Models of Legal Professionalism*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2011, pp. 87-112; LAGESON (Sarah Esther), *Corey Shdaimah on Progressive Lawyering*, Society Pages, Oct. 12, 2011; MCEVOY (Kieran), *What Did the Lawyers Do During the 'War'? Neutrality, Conflict and the Culture of Quietism*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 74, No. 3, 2011, pp. 350-384; LIU (Sida) & HALLIDAY (Terence C.), *Political Liberalism and Political Embeddedness: Understanding Politics in the Work of Chinese Criminal Defense Lawyers*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 45, No. 4, 2011, pp. 831-866; BRESCIA (Raymond H.), *Line in the Sand: Progressive Lawyering, 'Master Communities', and a Battle for Affordable Housing in New York City*, Albany Law Review, Vol. 73, No. 3, 2010, pp. 715-763; *Rebellious Lawyering Conference February 19-21: Rabble? Meet Rouser*, Yale Law School, Jan. 11, 2010; LAURITSEN (Marc), *The Lawyer's Guide to Working Smarter With Knowledge Tools*, Chicago: ABA, Law Practice Management Section, 2010; GODERYA (Seher), *Negotiating Justice: Progressive Lawyering, Low-Income Clients, and the Quest for Social Change*, by Corey S. Shdaimah, Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2010, pp. 193-194; GERBER (Michael E.) ET AL., *The E-Myth Attorney: Why Most Legal Practices Don't Work and What to Do About It*, Hoboken: John Wiley, 2010; KAPLAN (Paul), *Forgetting the Future: Cause Lawyering and the Work of California Capital Trial Defenders*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2010, pp. 211-235; LAVER (Nicola), *Virtual Firms Thrive in the Downturn*, Law Society Gazette, July 23, 2009; ARISTODEMOU (Maria), *Cause Lawyers Are Alright: Ideology Works Its Magic on the Cause*, International Journal of Law in Context, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2009, pp. 331-338; TORREALBA (Fernando Vegas), *The Role of the Revolutionary Lawyer*, Z Communications, July 15, 2009; SHDAIMAH (Corey S.), *Negotiating Justice: Progressive Lawyering, Low-Income Clients, and the Quest for Social Change*, New York: New York University Press, 2009; SARAT (Austin) & SCHEINGOLD (Stuart A.) (ed.), *The Cultural Lives of Cause Lawyers*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008; SARAT (Austin) & SCHEINGOLD (Stuart A.), *Cause Lawyers and Social Movements*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2006; SARAT (Austin) & SCHEINGOLD (Stuart A.), *Something to Believe In: Politics, Professionalism and Cause-Lawyering*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2004; SARAT (Austin) & SCHEINGOLD (Stuart A.) (ed.), *Cause Lawyering and the State in a Global Era*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001; CARLE (Susan D.), *Progressive Lawyering in Politically Depressing Times: Can New Models for Institutional Self-Reform Achieve Change?*, Harvard Journal of Law and Gender, Vol. 30, 2007, pp. 323-352; HILL (Derek), *New Style Law School*, Canadian Lawyer Magazine, 2007; DODEK (Adam) ET AL., *Ethical Lawyering in a Global Community*, Course Outline, Fall 2007-Winter 2008; DALTON (Clare) (ed.), *Progressive Lawyering, Globalization, and Markets: Rethinking Ideology and Strategy*, Buffalo: William S. Hein, 2007; TOKARZ (Karen L.), *Poverty, Justice, and Community Lawyering*, Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 20, 2006, pp. 1-15; CARLE (Susan D.) (ed.), *Lawyers' Ethics and the Pursuit of Social Justice: A Critical Reader*, New York: New York University Press, 2005; ETIENNE (Margareth), *The Ethics of Cause Lawyering: An Empirical Examination of Criminal Defense Lawyers as Cause Lawyers*, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 95, No. 4, 2005, pp. 1195-1260; QUIGLEY (William P.), *Revolutionary Lawyering: Addressing the Root Causes of Poverty and Wealth*, Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 20, 2006, pp. 101-168; SCHEINGOLD (Stuart) (ed.), *The Worlds Cause Lawyers Make: Structure and Agency in Legal Practice*, Stanford: Stanford Law and Politics, 2005, pp. 239-273; HEINZ (John P.) ET AL., *Lawyers for Conservative Causes: Clients, Ideology, and Social Distance*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2003, pp. 5-50; DOTAN (Yoav), *Public Lawyers and Private Clients: An Empirical Observation on the Relative Success Rates of Cause Lawyers*, Law and Policy, Vol. 21, No. 4, 1999, pp. 401-425; DOTAN (Yoav), *Cause Lawyers Crossing the Lines: Patterns of Fragmentation and Cooperation between State and Civil Rights Lawyers in Israel*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 5, No. 2-3, 1998, pp. 193-208; HALLIDAY (Terence C.), *Politics and Civic Professionalism: Legal Elites and Cause Lawyers*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 24, No. 4, 1999, pp. 1013-1060; KAIRYS (David) (ed.), *Politics of Law*, op. cit.; BELLOW (Gary), *Steady Work: A Practitioner's Reflections on Political Lawyering*, Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1996, pp. 297-310; CICCHINO (Peter M.), *To Be a Political Lawyer*, Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1996, pp. 311-314; SIMON (William H.), *The Dark Secret of Progressive Lawyering: A Comment on Poverty Law Scholarship in the Post-Modern, Post-Reagan Era*, Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, 1995; ELLMANN (Stephen), *Law and Legitimacy in South Africa*, Law and Social Inquiry, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1995, pp. 407-479; SIMON (William H.), *The Dark Secret of Progressive Lawyering: A Comment on Poverty Law Scholarship in the Post-Modern, Post-Reagan Era*, University of Miami Law Review, Vol. 48, No. 4, 1994, pp. 1099-1114; SOUTHWORTH (Ann), *Taking the Lawyer out of Progressive Lawyering*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 46, No. 1, 1993, pp. 213-234; LÓPEZ (Gerald P.), *Rebellious Lawyering: One Chicano's Vision of Progressive Law Practice*, Boulder: Westview Press, 1992; WEXLER (Stephen),

Practicing Law for Poor People, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 79, No. 5, 1970, pp. 1049-1067; *Rebellaw* 2014; *Journal of Catholic Legal Studies* (Catholic Lawyer).

183 Concerning the globalization of human rights and markets, see NAULT (Derrick M.) & ENGLAND (Shawn L.), *Globalization and Human Rights in the Developing World*, New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2011; SOYSA (Indra de) & VADLAMANNATI (Krishna Chaitanya), *Does Being Bound Together Suffocate, or Liberate? The Effects of Economic, Social, and Political Globalization on Human Rights, 1981-2005*, *Kyklos*, Vol. 64, No. 1, 2011, pp. 20-53; CHAPMAN (Audrey R.), *Globalization, Human Rights, and the Social Determinants of Health*, *Bioethics*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2009, pp. 97-111; CHOLEWINSKI (Ryszard) & TARAN (Patrick), *Migration, Governance and Human Rights: Contemporary Dilemmas in the Era of Globalization*, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2009, pp. 1-33; SKLAIR (Leslie), *The Globalization of Human Rights*, *Journal of Global Ethics*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2009, pp. 81-96; HOLZMEYER (Cheryl), *Human Rights in an Era of Neoliberal Globalization: The Alien Tort Claims Act and Grassroots Mobilization in Doe v. Unocal*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 43, No. 2, 2009, pp. 271-304; KINLEY (David), *Globalisation and Democracy: Progress and Paradoxes*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009; NAIM-AHMED (Samir), *Human Rights and Globalization*, *Countercurrents*, Apr. 21, 2007; GRISWOLD (Daniel), *Globalization, Human Rights, and Democracy*, *Cato Institute*, Aug. 11, 2006; HOWARD-HASSMANN (Rhoda E.), *The Second Great Transformation: Human Rights Leapfrogging in the Era of Globalization*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2005, pp. 1-40; GOODHART (Michael), *Democracy as Human Rights: Freedom and Equality in the Age of Globalization*, New York: Routledge, 2005; GOODHART (Michael), *Origins and Universality in the Human Rights Debates: Cultural Essentialism and the Challenge of Globalization*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2003, pp. 935-964; GONDEK (Michal), *Extraterritorial Application of The European Convention on Human Rights: Territorial Focus in the Age of Globalization?*, *Netherlands International Law Review*, Vol. 52, No. 3, 2005, pp. 349-387; STYCHIN (Carl F.), *Same-Sex Sexualities and the Globalization of Human Rights Discourse*, *McGill Law Journal*, Vol. 49, No. 4, 2004, pp. 951-968; BRYSK (Alison) & SHAFIR (Gershon) (ed.), *People out of Place: Globalization, Human Rights, and the Citizenship Gap*, New York: Routledge, 2004; ISHAY (Micheline R.), *The History of Human Rights: From Ancient Times to the Globalization Era*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004; COICAUD (Jean-Marc) ET AL. (ed.), *The Globalization of Human Rights*, Tokyo: United Nations University Press, 2003; ROBINSON (Fiona), *Human Rights and the Global Politics of Resistance: Feminist Perspectives*, *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 29, No. S1, 2003, pp. 161-180; LANGLOIS (Anthony J.), *Human Rights: The Globalisation and Fragmentation of Moral Discourse*, *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2002, pp. 479-496; PREUSS (Ulrich K.), *The Force, Frailty, and Future of Human Rights under Globalization*, *Theoretical Inquiries in Law*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2001, p. 1008; NOORANI (Abdul Gafoor), *Globalisation of Human Rights*, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 36, No. 17, 2001, pp. 1395-1396; CHUNAKARA (Mathews George) (ed.), *Globalization and Its Impact on Human Rights*, Tiruvalla: Christava Sahitya Samithy, 2000; CHARNOVITZ (Steve), *The Globalization of Economic Human Rights*, *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1999, pp. 113-124; HOWARD (Rhoda E.), *Human Rights and the Culture Wars: Globalization and the Universality of Human Rights*, *International Journal*, Vol. 53, No. 1, 1997, pp. 94-112.

184 About e-lawyering, see GRANAT (Richard S.), *Private Capital for Funding Law Firms Serving Low and Moderate Income Clients*, *Lawyering Blog*, Dec. 4, 2015; GRANAT (Richard S.), *Online Legal Services: The Future of the Legal Profession*, *DirectLaw*, 2010; PODGERS (James), *Are Old Ethics Rules Stifling Innovations in Legal Services Delivery and Marketing?*, *ABA Journal*, Aug. 8, 2014; FILISKO (G. M.), *Lawyers' Definitions of Virtual Practice Vary, but Not When It Comes to Finding Success*, *ABA Journal*, Apr. 1, 2014; POJE (Joshua), *Trends in Virtual and Online Lawyering*, *Law Technology Today*, Sep. 18, 2013; GEIST (Michael), *Ottawa's Complete E-Government Failure*, *Toronto Star*, Nov. 29, 2013; LAKHANI (Avnita), *Social Networking Sites and the Legal Profession: Balancing Benefits with Navigating Minefields*, *Computer Law and Security Review*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2013, pp. 164-174; ROSA (João) ET AL., *Risk Factors in E-Justice Information Systems*, *Government Information Quarterly*, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2013, pp. 241-256; AMBROGI (Robert J.), *Virtual Lawyering Earns Lawyer a Bar Reprimand*, *LawSites*, Aug. 30, 2012; TAMBLYN (George), *The Rise of the Virtual Law Firm*, *Washington State Bar News*, Vol. 66, No. 3, 2012, pp. 15-22; BOYE (Will), *Law Firms Break from Traditional Model*, *Charlotte Business Journal*, Oct. 4, 2010; GORDON (Tahlia) ET AL., *Regulation of Legal Services in the E-World*, op. cit.; LAVER (Nicola), *Virtual Law Firms Thrive in the Downturn*, *Law Society Gazette*, July 23, 2009; FRASER (Charmaine), *E-Government: The Canadian Experience*, *Dalhousie Journal of Interdisciplinary Management*, Vol. 4, 2009, pp. 1-14; SINGEL (Ryan), *Analysis: New Law Gives Government Six Months to Turn Internet and Phone Systems into Permanent Spying Architecture*, *Wired*, Aug. 6, 2007; BAILENSEN (Jeremy N.) ET AL., *Courtroom Applications of Virtual Environments, Immersive Virtual Environments, and Collaborative Virtual Environments*, *Law and Policy*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2006, pp. 249-270; LYMAN (Jay), *AT&T Sued for Role in Aiding US Government Surveillance*, *TechNewsWorld*, Feb. 1, 2006; KASHI (Joe), *Building a Virtual Law Firm Changes and Opportunities*, *Law Practice Today*, Jan. 2004; MUIR (Lindsey) & DOUGLAS (Alex), *Advent of E-Business Concepts in Legal Services and Its Impact on the Quality of Service*, *Managing Service Quality*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2001, pp. 175-181; ADLER (Michael) & HENMAN (Paul), *E-Justice: A*

Comparative Study of Computerization and Procedural Justice in Social Security, International Review of Law, Computers and Technology, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2001, pp. 195-212.

185 In these cases of punishment and reward, see LIU (Natalie), *China Releases Prominent Dissident Lawyer*, Voice of America, Aug. 7, 2014; *Chinese Dissident Gao Zhisheng Released from Prison*, BBC News, Aug. 7, 2014; *Fiery Chinese Rights Lawyer Released from Prison*, Washington Post, Aug. 7, 2014; MOORE (Malcolm), *Chinese Lawyers Targeted as Xi Jinping Tightens Control*, Telegraph, Aug. 20, 2013; *The Dangers of Rights Lawyering in China and the Role of American Law Firms: A Conversation with Chen Guangcheng and Professor Jerome Cohen*, New York City Bar Association, Feb. 7, 2013; LITTLEJOHN (Reggie), *Chen Guangcheng Risked Everything to Save Women from Forced Abortion and Sterilization in China*, op. cit.; SHUMEI (Hou) & KEITH (Ron), *The Defense Lawyer in the Scales of Chinese Criminal Justice*, Journal of Contemporary China, Vol. 20, No. 70, 2011, pp. 379-395; FORD (Peter), *China's Blind Activist Lawyer*, op. cit.; ORTIZ (Cindy), *Chinese Lawyers Face Government Oppression*, Baptist Press, Nov. 3, 2009; HUMAN RIGHTS IN CHINA, *State Secrets: China's Legal Labyrinth*, New York, 2007; *Human Rights Lawyer Nasrin Sotoudeh Re-Arrested in Iran*, Al Arabiya, Oct. 26, 2014; *Iran: Judicial Harassment against Human Rights Lawyer Ms. Nasrin Sotoudeh*, World Organisation Against Torture, Oct. 20, 2014; *Iran Bans Human Rights Lawyer from Practicing Law*, Al Arabiya, Oct. 19, 2014; *Voices from Iran: Strong Support for the Nuclear Negotiations*, International Campaign for Human Rights in Iran, July 15, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Six Judges Accused of Leading Role in Iranian Crackdown on Free Speech*, Guardian, July 31, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranian Human Rights Lawyer Nasrin Sotoudeh Temporarily Freed*, Guardian, Jan. 17, 2013; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Nasrin Sotoudeh and Director Jafar Panahi Share Top Human Rights Prize*, Guardian, Oct. 26, 2012; TORBATI (Yeganeh), *Human Rights Lawyer, Freed from Prison*, Huffington Post, Sep. 18, 2013; *Iran Releases Political Prisoners, including Sakharov Prize Winner Nasrin Sotoudeh*, Russia Today, Sep. 18, 2013; *Free Nasrin Sotoudeh, Human Rights Lawyer in Prison in Iran*, Petition by Safe World for Women, Change; FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Human Rights and Democracy: The 2012 Foreign and Commonwealth Office Report*, Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs by Command of Her Majesty, London, 2013, pp. 40, 176; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran: Release Human Rights Lawyer for Good*, Jan. 23, 2013; FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH OFFICE, *Human Rights and Democracy: The 2010 Foreign and Commonwealth Office Report*, London, 2011, pp. 207-208; ERDBRINK (Thomas), *Her Demand Met, Imprisoned Iranian Ends Hunger Strike*, New York Times, Dec. 4, 2012; DAVACHI (Azadeh), *IMPRISONED – Nasrin Sotoudeh: A Mother, a Lawyer, an Activist*, trans. by Roja Bandari, Payvand, Sep. 15, 2010; KAR (Mehrangiz), *What Rouhani Can and Must Do for Iran's Women*, Brookings, Oct. 2, 2013; KAR (Mehrangiz), *Crossing the Red Line: The Struggle for Human Rights in Iran*, Costa Mesa: Blind Owl Press, 2007; *Shirin Ebadi*, Biography, Aug. 10, 2014; *Shirin Ebadi*, Encyclopedia Britannica, Sep. 27, 2013; PENKETH (Anne), *The New Suffragettes: Shirin Ebadi – The Campaigner Who Has Become an International Figurehead for Women's Rights*, Independent, May 30, 2013; HUBBARD-BROWN (Janet), *Shirin Ebadi: Champion for Human Rights in Iran*, New York: Infobase, 2007; EBADI (Shirin) & MOAVENI (Azadeh), *Iran Awakening: From prison to Peace Prize: One Woman's Struggle at the Crossroads of History*, Toronto: Vintage Canada, 2007; *The Nobel Peace Prize 2003*, Nobel Prize Organization, 2003; *Iran: Sentencing of Human Rights Defender Ms Shadi Sadr*, Front Line Defenders, May 25, 2010; *Shadi Sadr, Iran*, U.S. Department of State, Mar. 1, 2010; SEVIER (Caroline), *Dissident Watch: Shadi Sadr and Mahboubeh Abbasgholizadeh*, Middle East Quarterly, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2007, p. 96; BENNETT (Carline), *Seven Who Create New Pathways for Success*, Women's eNews, Dec. 23, 2003; *Witness Statement: Shadi Sadr*, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, June 13, 2010; *Shadi Sadr*, Huffington Post.

186 For example, see RONEN (Gil), *Muslim Feminist Declaration Blacklisted by Western Feminists*, Israel National News, June 11, 2014; CHESLER (Phyllis), *Op-Ed: The Next Great Movement?*, Israel National News, June 10, 2014; PENKETH (Anne), *The New Suffragettes*, op. cit.; *E. N. Thompson Forum 2012-2013: Dr. Shirin Ebadi-True Islam: Human Rights, Faith, and Women*, NetNebraska, Feb. 26, 2013; EBADI (Shirin), *Who Defines Islam?*, conversation with Deniz Kandiyoti, Open Democracy, Mar. 21, 2011; EBADI (Shirin), *No to Islamic Feminism and Islamic Human Rights*, interview by Mina Saadadi, Shahrzad News, Apr. 7, 2009; EBADI (Shirin), *Islam, Democracy and Human Rights*, trans. by Firoozeh Papan-Matin, Center for Middle East Studies, University of California, May 17, 2004; EBADI (Shirin), *Islam, Democracy and Human Rights*, The UCLA International Institute and the Roland W. Burkle Center for International Relations, YouTube, May 14, 2004; PAL (Amitabh), *Shirin Ebadi Interview*, Progressive, 2004; MADARSHAHI (Mehri), *Shirin Ebadi, 2003 Nobel Laureate, Speaks at UNESCO Headquarters on "Religion and Democracy"*, UNDiplomatic Times, Feb. 2, 2004; EDWIN (Shirin), *Underlining Religious Sidelining: Islamic Feminism and Marxism in Mohammed Umar's Amina*, Women's Studies International Forum, Vol. 37, 2013, pp. 64-72; GRAMI (Amal), *Islamic Feminism: A New Feminist Movement or a Strategy by Women for Acquiring Rights?*, Contemporary Arab Affairs, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2013, pp. 102-113; MOGHADAM (Valentine M.), *Globalization and Social Movements: Islamism, Feminism, and the Global Justice Movement*, 2nd ed., Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2013; MOGHADAM (Valentine M.), *Islamic Feminism and Its Discontents: Toward a Resolution of the Debate*, Signs, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2002, pp. 1135-1171; MIR-HOSSEINI (Ziba) ET AL. (ed.), *Gender and Equality in Muslim Family Law: Justice and Ethics in the Islamic Legal Process*, New York: I.B. Tauris, 2013; MIR-

HOSSEINI (Ziba), *Islam and Gender: The Religious Debate in Contemporary Iran*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999; ISAACS-MARTIN (Wendy), *Muslim Women and Human Rights: Does Political Transformation Equal Social Transformation?*, *Politikon*, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2013, pp. 113-132; TAMANNA (Nowrin), *Recognition of 'Difference' in Shari'a: A Feminist Scrutiny through the Lens of Substantive Equality*, *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2013, pp. 329-345; C-M (Jessica), *Islamic Feminism in the Middle East: A Powerful Force for Change*, July 18, 2012; KARACA (Sevinc), *Feminism in the Muslim World*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 15, 2009, pp. 35-38; CHAN-MALIK (Sylvia), *Chadors, Feminists, Terror: The Racial Politics of U.S. Media Representations of the 1979 Iranian Women's Movement*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 637, No. 1, 2011, pp. 112-140; MOGHISSI (Haideh), *Islamic Feminism Revisited*, *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East*, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2011, pp. 76-84; RHOUNI (Raja), *Secular and Islamic Feminist Critiques in the Work of Fatima Mernissi*, Leiden: Brill, 2010; SIKAND (Yoginder), *On Islam and Gender Equality*, *Countercurrents*, Aug. 18, 2009; KING (Anna), *Islam, Women and Violence*, *Feminist Theology*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2009, pp. 292-328; AHMAD (Salbiah), *Critical Thoughts on Islam, Rights and Freedom in Malaysia*, Petaling Jaya: Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, 2007; MARSHALL (Gul Aldikacti), *A Question of Compatibility: Feminism and Islam in Turkey*, *Critique: Critical Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2008, pp. 223-238; FAZAELI (Roja), *Contemporary Iranian Feminism: Identity, Rights and Interpretations*, *Muslim World Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2007, Art. 8; JEFFRIES (Stuart), *The Troublemaker*, *Guardian*, June 2, 2006; AHMADI (Fereshteh), *Islamic Feminism in Iran: Feminism in a New Islamic Context*, *Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2006, pp. 33-53; ROSTAMI POVEY (Elaheh), *Feminist Contestations of Institutional Domains in Iran*, *Feminist Review*, No. 69, 2001, pp. 44-72; MOJAB (Shahrazad), *Theorizing the Politics of 'Islamic Feminism'*, *Feminist Review*, No. 69, 2001, pp. 124-146.

¹⁸⁷ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, op. cit.; SHARIFI (Hajir), *Iranian Student Groups and Faculty Concerned over Iran's Rising State Violence at Home*, *Excalibur*, Jan. 16, 2016; *10 Disturbing Similarities between Saudi Arabia and Daesh*, *Al Bawaba*, Jan. 11, 2016; DONAGHY (Rori) & ATKINSON (Mary), *Crime and Punishment: Islamic State vs Saudi Arabia*, *Middle East Eye*, Jan. 20, 2015; COYNE (Jerry A.), *If ISIS Is Not Islamic, then the Inquisition Was Not Catholic*, op. cit.; LUCIANO (Michael), *Obama Is Wrong and Bill Maher and Sam Harris Are Right About Islam and ISIL*, *Daily Banter*, Sep. 12, 2014; HARRIS (Sam), *Sleepwalking toward Armageddon*, Sep. 10, 2014; WRIGHT (Oliver), *ISIS Threat*, op. cit.; NORMAN (Laurence), *West Sees Significant Gaps with Iran on Nuclear Deal*, *Wall Street Journal*, July 13, 2014; *Iran Problem*, *Washington Post*, Aug. 12, 2014; *Nuclear Issue, Sports, the West and Mullahs*, *News About Iran*, July 6, 2014; *Shah, Mullahs and the West's Banana Peel*, *News About Iran*, May 25, 2014; *Mullah Corruption, the Lefts, and the Wes*, *News About Iran*, June 12, 2012; BROGAN (Benedict), *The West Should Think carefully before Embracing Iran's Mullahs*, *Telegraph*, June 16, 2014; MESDAGHI (Iraj), *پژوهش کار ی چاره «رحمانی اسلام» ع بادی، شیرین به سرگ شاده نامه*, *Pezhvake*, 2013; LANDES (Richard), op. cit.; VARESS (Majid), *Marzieh Akbarabadi*, YouTube, Mar. 1, 2013; LEDEEN (Michael), *Dare We Say It? The Mullahs Must Go*, *Tower*, No. 19, Dec. 2013; MURRAY (Douglas), *William Hague's Appeasement of Iran's Mullahs Is a Historic and Terrible Mistake*, *Spectator*, Nov. 25, 2013; REILLY (Jill), *Saudi Celebrity Cleric Who Raped and Murdered His Daughter, Five, Claimed He Injured Her because He Doubted She Was a Virgin*, *Daily Mail*, Feb. 12, 2013; HALL (John), *Saudi Preacher Who 'Raped and Tortured' His Five-Year-Old Daughter to Death Is Released after Paying 'Blood Money'*, *Independent*, Feb. 4, 2013; *Saudi Cleric Rapes, Kills 5-Yr-Old Daughter*, *Yahoo*, Feb. 4, 2013; *Saudi Cleric Who Raped and Killed Daughter Receives Small Fine*, *Commentator*, Feb. 3, 2013; THOMAS (Jacob), *Women's Status in the Quran and the Lies of Nobel Laureate Shirin*, *Islam Watch*, Apr. 28, 2012; *Islam and Democracy: Uneasy Companions*, *Economist*, Aug. 6, 2011; *Sharia Law – Islamic Justice*, YouTube, Apr. 11, 2011; *Videos on Islamic Violence against Women*, YouTube, Nov. 24, 2010; MURRAY (Donette), *The Carcass of Dead Policies: Lessons for Obama in Dealing with Iran*, *Contemporary Politics*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2010, pp. 209-223; TIBI (Bassam), *Islamism and Democracy: On the Compatibility of Institutional Islamism and the Political Culture of Democracy*, *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2009, pp. 135-164; TIBI (Bassam), *Islamic Law/Shari'a*, op. cit.; KING (Anna), *Islam, Women and Violence*, op. cit.; *Slavery in Islam*, *BBC News*, Sep. 7, 2009; VOICE OF AMERICA, *Human Rights and Islam*, YouTube, Aug. 25, 2009; CASSEL (Matthew), *The Western Media and Iran*, *Electronic Intifada*, June 23, 2009; SINA (Ali), *Understanding Muhammad: A Psychobiography of Allah's Prophet*, 2nd ed., 2008; SPENCER (Robert) & CHESLER (Phyllis), *The Violent Oppression of Women in Islam*, Los Angeles: David Horowitz Freedom Center, 2007; SPENCER (Robert), *The Truth about Muhammad: Founder of the World's Most Intolerant Religion*, Washington, D.C.: Regnery, 2006; RASHIDIAN (Jahanshah), *Massacre of 1988 in Iran*, *Iran Press Service*, Sep. 1, 2007; SOYSA (Indra de) & NORDÅS (Ragnhild), *Islam's Bloody Innards? Religion and Political Terror, 1980-2000*, *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 51, No. 4, 2007, pp. 927-943; NICKEL (Gordon), *Islam: A Religion of Peace?*, *National Post*, June 13, 2006; HOFMANN (Steven), *Islam and Democracy: Micro-Level Indications of Compatibility*, *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 37, No. 6, 2004, pp. 652-676; FLOOD (Andrew), *The Trouble with Islam*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 7, 2003, pp. 22-24; SCHMIDT (Michael), *Religious Fundamentalist Regimes: A Lesson from the Iranian Revolution 1978-1979*, *Zabalaza*, No. 2, 2002; JAHANBAKHSH (Forough), *Islam, Democracy and Religious Modernism in Iran, 1953-2000*, Leiden: Brill, 2001; HAERI (Shahla), *Law of Desire: Temporary Marriage in Shi'i Iran*,

Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1989; ARLANDSON (James Malcolm), *Top Ten Reasons Why Islam Is NOT the Religion of Peace*, Answering Islam; *Islamic Democracy*; *Muslims WorldWide: The Muslim Issue*.

188 FAZILI (Yousra Y.), *Between Mullahs, Robes and Absolutism: Conservatism in Iran*, SAIS Review of International Affairs, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2010, p. 49.

189 See *Islam Allows Raping, Torturing Prisoners: Mesbah-Yazdi*, CNN, Sep. 5, 2009; *Iran: Report on Anti-Democracy Imam on Iranian Prison Rapes*, Responsible for Equality and Liberty, Sep. 2, 2009; RATZLAV-KATZ (Nissan), *Ahmadinejad's Imam: Islam Allows Raping, Torturing Prisoners*, Israel National News, Jan. 9, 2009.

190 In this case, see MORADI (Karim), *Iran's Massacre in 1988 and the Nuclear Deal*, Hill, Sep. 18, 2015; WARD (Olivia), *Remembering the Victims of Iran's 'Death Commission'*, Toronto Star, Sep. 12, 2014; MAGINNIS (Kenneth), *1988 Massacre in Iran – 25 Years Later*, Hill, Aug. 22, 2013; YAZDANPANAH (Hamid), *Iran's Secret Massacre: The Untold Legacy*, Iran Focus, Aug. 12, 2014; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran still Seeks to Erase the '1988 Prison Massacre' from Memories*, 25 Years on, Aug. 29, 2013; FATAH (Tarek), *Khomeini's Savagery Celebrated in Canada*, Toronto Sun, June 5, 2013; BOWCOTT (Owen), *Khomeini Regime Committed Gross Human Rights Abuses, Finds Tribunal*, Guardian, Nov. 4, 2012; TALEBI (Shahla), *Who Is Behind the Name?: A Story of Violence, Loss, and Melancholic Survival in Post-Revolutionary Iran*, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2011, pp. 39-69; WOOD (Simon A.), *Rethinking Fundamentalism: Ruhollah Khomeini, Mawla Mawdudi, and the Fundamentalist Model*, Journal for Cultural and Religious Theory, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2011, pp. 178, 188-194; SHAROOZ (Kaveh), *With Revolutionary Rage and Rancor: A Preliminary Report on the 1988 Massacre of Iran's Political Prisoners*, Harvard Human Rights Journal, Vol. 20, 2007, pp. 227-261; RASHIDIAN (Jahanshah), *Massacre of 1988 in Iran*, op. cit.; MATIN-ASGARI (Afshin), *Twentieth Century Iran's Political Prisoners*, Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 42, No. 5, 2006, pp. 689-707; JOHNSON (Roya), *The 1988 Iran Massacre: Crimes against Humanity*, American Thinker, Sep. 22, 2004; LAMB (Christina), *Khomeini Fatwa 'Led to Killing of 30,000 in Iran'*, Telegraph, Feb. 4, 2001; *Crime Against Humanity: Indict Iran's Ruling Mullahs for Massacre of 30,000 Political Prisoners*, Foreign Affairs Committee of the National Council of Resistance of Iran, Auvers-sur-Oise, 2001; BHASIN (Gurbeen), *Khomeini's Millenarian Vision and the Iranian Revolution (Ayatollah Khomeini)*, op. cit., p. iv; ABRAHAMIAN (Ervand), *Khomeini: Fundamentalist or Populist?*, New Left Review, Vol. 1, No. 186, 1991, pp. 103-104, 115.

191 About the meaning and root of Islam and Allah, see SHOEBAT (Walid), *Biblical Evidence Why the Worship of Allah Is the Worship of Lucifer*, Shoebat Foundation, May 30, 2014; SHOEBAT (Theodore), *The Oldest Reference to Allah*, Shoebat Foundation, Sep. 13, 2012; KHAN (Mohammad A.), *The Quran Confesses Allah Was a Pagan God before Islam*, Islam Watch, Jan. 21, 2010; MARRANCI (Gabriele), *The Anthropology of Islam*, Oxford: Berg, 2008, p. 44; KHAN (Muhammad Zafrulla), *Islam: Its Meaning for Modern Man*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1964; SALEMI (Peter), *The Plain Truth about Islam*, The British-Israel Church of God.

192 See NASRIN (Taslina), *Taslina Nasrin and the Struggle against Religious Fundamentalism*, interview with the Institute for the Secularisation of Islamic Society, Oct. 28, 2002; NASRIN (Taslina), *They Wanted to Kill Me*, interview, Middle East Quarterly, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2000, pp. 67-74; NASRIN (Taslina), *An Interview with Taslima Nasrin*, Free Inquiry, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1998-1999.

193 See RACKLEY (Erika), *Archive for the 'Diversity in the Judiciary and Women in the Legal Professions' Category*, Inherently Human, May 29, 2014; LILLEY (Brian), *MacKay Sexist? You Be the Judge*, Toronto Sun, June 26, 2014; *Black Judge Claims Race Discrimination because He Is 'Paid less than Some of His White Colleagues'*, Daily Mail, Mar. 1, 2014; MORIN (Jason L.), *The Voting Behavior of Minority Judges in the U.S. Courts of Appeals: Does the Race of the Claimant Matter?*, American Politics Research, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2014, pp. 34-64; MACLENNAN (Catriona), *Greater Diversity Needed in Judiciary*, New Zealand Herald, Apr. 17, 2013; SOMMERLAD (Hilary), *Diversity, Merit, and the English Judiciary: The Lessons that Can Be Learned from the Reform of Selection Processes, A U.K. Contribution*, Fordham Urban Law Journal City Square, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2013, pp. 94-107; SOMMERLAD (Hilary) ET AL., *Diversity in the Legal Profession in England and Wales: A Qualitative Study of Barriers and Individual Choices*, University of Westminster Apr. 17, 2012; BARRETT (Kevin), *1500%-too-Jewish Supreme Court: "US belongs to Israel"*, Veterans Today, Nov. 1, 2012; JERAJ (Samir) & MCROBIE (Heather), *Diversity in the British Judiciary: On the Backburner for Too Long*, Open Democracy, July 23, 2012; BINDMAN (Geoffrey), *White Male Judges: The Supreme Court and Judicial Diversity*, Open Democracy, July 6, 2012; ROGERS (Simon), *White and Male: Diversity and the Judiciary*, Guardian, Mar. 28, 2012; BOWCOTT (Owen), *Judiciary Needs to Be More Diverse, Peers Say*, Guardian, Mar. 28, 2012; GILL (Rebecca D.) ET AL., *Are Judicial Performance Evaluations Fair to Women and Minorities?*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit.; TORRES-SPILLISCY (Ciara) ET AL., *Improving Judicial Diversity*, Brennan Center for Justice, Mar. 3, 2010; REID (Traci V.), *Women Candidates and Judicial Elections: Telling an Untold Story*, Politics and Gender, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2010, pp. 465-474; KENNEY (Sally J.), *Critical Perspectives on Gender and Judging*, Politics and Gender, Vol. 6, No. 3,

2010, pp. 433-441; KENNEY (Sally J.), *Breaking the Silence*, op. cit.; WARD (Geoff) ET AL., *Does Racial Balance in Workforce Representation Yield Equal Justice? Race Relations of Sentencing in Federal Court Organizations*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 43, No. 4, 2009, pp. 757-806; BONNEAU (Chris W.) & RICE (Heather Marie), *Impartial Judges? Race, Institutional Context, and U. S. State Supreme Courts*, *State Politics and Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2009, pp. 381-403; JENSEN (Jennifer M.) & MARTINEK (Wendy L.), *The Effects of Race and Gender on the Judicial Ambitions of State Trial Court Judges*, *Political Research Quarterly*, Vol. 62, No. 2, 2009, pp. 379-392; Straw: *Too Many White Male Judges*, BBC News, May 13, 2008; ZELDEN (Charles L.), *The Judicial Branch of Federal Government: People, Process, and Politics*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2007, p. 109; MAUTE (Judith L.), *English Reforms to Judicial Selection: Comparative Lessons for American States?*, *Fordham Urban Law Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2006, pp. 387-423; MERRITT (Deborah Jones), *Justice Sandra Day O'Connor: The Framers' "First Woman"*, *Journal of Supreme Court History*, Vol. 31, No. 2, 2006, pp. 107-108; STRATMANN (Thomas) & GARNER (Jared), *Judicial Selection: Politics, Biases, and Constituency Demands*, *Public Choice*, Vol. 118, No. 3-4, 2004, pp. 251-270; REDDICK (Malia), *Merit Selection*, op. cit.; BRATTON (Kathleen A.) & SPILL (Rorie L.), *Existing Diversity and Judicial Selection: The Role of the Appointment Method in Establishing Gender Diversity in State Supreme Courts*, *Social Science Quarterly*, Vol. 83, No. 2, 2002, pp. 504-518; ALOZIE (Nicholas O.), *Selection Methods and the Recruitment of Women to State Courts of Last Resort*, *Social Science Quarterly*, Vol. 77, No. 1, 1996, pp. 110-126; UHLMAN (Thomas), *Race, Recruitment, and Representation: Background Differences between Black and White Trial Court Judges*, *Political Research Quarterly*, Vol. 30, No. 4, 1977, pp. 457-470; SELLIN (Johan Thorsten), *Race Prejudice in the Administration of Justice*, op. cit.

¹⁹⁴ See COOPER (Howard), *Ancient Israel: The Former Prophets: Joshua, Judges, Samuel, and Kings A Translation with Commentary by Robert Alter*, *Jewish Quarterly*, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2013, pp. 58-60; ALTER (Robert), *Ancient Israel: The Former Prophets: Joshua, Judges, Samuel, and Kings*, New York: William Warder, Norton, 2013; GARET (Ronald R.), *Judges as Prophets: A Coverian Interpretation Judicial Independence and Accountability Symposium*, *Southern California Law Review*, Vol. 72, No. 2-3, 1999, pp. 385-423; BALL (Milner S.), *Law and Prophets, Bridges and Judges*, *Journal of Law and Religion*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1989, pp. 1-20; COVER (Robert M.), *The Folktales of Justice: Tales of Jurisdiction*, *Capital University Law Review*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1985, pp. 179-203.

¹⁹⁵ ASSIS (Elie), *Man, Woman and God in Judg 4*, *Scandinavian Journal of the Old Testament*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, p. 111.

¹⁹⁶ See SHAH (Niaz A.), *Islamic Law and the Law of Armed Conflict: The Conflict in Pakistan*, London: Routledge, 2011, p. 20; ELSERGANY (Ragheb), *The Prophet Muhammad's Justice to Non-Muslims over Financial Transactions*, *Islam Story*, June 14, 2011; REHMAN (Javaid), *Freedom of Expression, Apostasy, and Blasphemy within Islam: Sharia, Criminal Justice Systems, and Modern Islamic State Practices*, *Criminal Justice Matters*, Vol. 79, No. 1, 2010, pp. 4-5; MUHAMMAD (Ramizah Wan), *Shari'ah Court Judges and Judicial Creativity (Ijtihad) in Malaysia and Thailand: A Comparative Study*, *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2009, pp. 127-139; KING (Anna), *Islam, Women and Violence*, op. cit.; CAMPBELL (Robert A.), *Leadership Succession in Early Islam: Exploring the Nature and Role of Historical Precedents*, *Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2008, p. 432; LAPIDUS (Ira Marvin), *A History of Islamic Societies*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002, p. 19; HUSAIN (S. Athar), *The Message of Muhammad: Prophet Muhammad and His Mission*, Lahore: Islamic Book Foundation, 1983; AKHTAR (Syed H.), *Prophet Muhammad*, Islamic Research Foundation International.

¹⁹⁷ LUCIEN (Arnaud), *Staging and the Imaginary Institution of the Judge*, *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2010, pp. 188-189.

¹⁹⁸ JOBARD (Fabien) & NÉVANEN (Sophie), *La Couleur du Jugement: Discriminations dans les Décisions Judiciaires en Matière d'Infractions à Agents de la Force Publique (1965-2005)*, *Revue Française de Sociologie*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2007, p. 243.

¹⁹⁹ However, the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability of the Judicial Conference of the United States dismissed the complaint. See *Memorandum of Decision*, Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability of the Judicial Conference of the United States, in re: Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, C.C.D. No. 14-01, proceeding in review of the Order and Memorandum of the Judicial Council of the D.C. Circuit, JC. Nos. DC-13-90021, 05-13-90099 (5th Cir). Filed Feb 19, 2015 (<http://www.uscourts.gov/file/document/2015/02/complaint-judicial-misconduct-14-01>).

²⁰⁰ About sexism and racism of Judge Edith Jones, see BROACH (Drew), *Judge Edith Jones' 'Racist' Remarks: What Others Are Saying*, NOLA, June 14, 2013; WING (Nick), *Edith Jones, Federal Judge, Faces Rare Supreme Court-Ordered Review Over Alleged Racist Remarks*, *Huffington Post*, June 13, 2013; CONDON (Stephanie), *Conservative Judge Edith Jones Up for Rare Review*, CBS News, June 13, 2013; GANDY (Imani), *Racist, Anti-Woman Judge Edith Jones to Be Investigated for Alleged Racist Remarks*, RH Reality Check, June 13, 2013; MILLHISER (Ian), *Chief Justice Roberts Calls for Rare Formal Review of Allegedly Racist Judge*, *Think Progress*, June 13, 2013; LAVA (Jesse), *Allegedly Racist Judge Has a History of Saying Awful Stuff*, *Huffington Post*, June 6, 2013; WEISSERT (Will), *Edith Jones, Federal Judge, Made Racist Remarks, Claimed Minorities 'Predisposed To Crime': Complaint*, *Huffington Post*, June 5, 2013; WEISSERT (Will), *Federal Judge Accused of Making Racial*

Comments, New England Cable News, June 4, 2013; BRONNER (Ethan), *Complaint Accuses U.S. Judge in Texas of Racial Bias*, New York Times, June 4, 2013; SMITH (Jordan), *Judge Edith Jones: Blacks and Hispanics More Violent: Complaint Filed Over Jones's Discriminatory and Biased Comments*, Austin Chronicle, June 4, 2013.

201 For other examples of racist and sexist judges, see KAY (Barbara), *Biased Judges Are a Stain on Canada's Judiciary*, National Post, Aug. 7, 2014; HAMILTON (Graeme), *Court Finds Quebec Judge's 'Intrinsically Sexist Attitude' toward Female Defence Lawyer Grounds for New Trial*, National Post, Aug. 5, 2014; KEEGAN (Simon), *'Sexist, Racist and Homophobic' Judge Apologises for 'Sluts Are Just Whores in Training' Outburst*, Daily Mirror, Mar. 10, 2014; HOPE (Eternal), *Arkansas Judge Mike Maggio Outed for Racist, Sexist, Homophobic Posts*, Daily Kos, Mar. 10, 2014; MOLLOY (Antonia), *Arkansas Judge Apologises for 'Racist, Sexist and Homophobic' Online Comments*, Independent, Mar. 8, 2014; *Judge Admits He Leaked Details of Charlize Theron's Adoption in Series of Racist and Sexist Anonymous Comments on Online Forum*, Daily Mail, Mar. 7, 2014; FLATOW (Nicole), *Judge Who Retired after Racist Email Sent Hundreds of Others, Investigation Finds*, ThinkProgress, Jan. 21, 2014; EGELKO (Bob), *Judge Richard Cebull Sent Hundreds of Racist E-Mails, Panel Says*, San Francisco Chronicle, Jan. 20, 2014; BENEN (Steve), *Federal Judge Sent Hundreds of Racist Messages*, MSNBC, Jan. 20, 2014; TOLSON (Mike), *Houston Judge Accused of Racist Remarks*, Chron, Feb. 5, 2013; BEARY (Habib), *Indian Judge's 'Sexist' Remarks Upset Women's Groups*, BBC News, Sep. 6, 2012; HANEY LÓPEZ (Ian F.), *Racism on Trial: The Chicano Fight for Justice*, Cambridge: Belknap Press, 2003; HANEY LÓPEZ (Ian F.), *Institutional Racism: Judicial Conduct and a New Theory of Racial Discrimination*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 109, No. 8, 2000, pp. 1717-1884; LIN (Albert), *Arkansas Judge's Shocking Racist, Sexist, Homophobic Comments*, DiversityInc.

202 For a new example, see MACCHARLES (Tonda), *Zahra Kazemi's Death a 'Tragedy' Says Supreme Court, but Family Can't Sue Iran*, Toronto Star, Oct. 10, 2014; PRESS (Jordan), *Supreme Court Says Zahra Kazemi's Family Can't Sue Iran for Her Death*, Ottawa Citizen, Oct. 10, 2014; BLANCHFIELD (Mike), *SCC Says Hashemi Can't Sue Iran over Mother's Torture Death*, CTV News, Oct. 10, 2014; *Zahra Kazemi's Son Blocked by Supreme Court from Suing Iran*, CBC News, Oct. 10, 2014.

203 Concerning the Governmental triplet of immunity and its critiques, BESLIU (Raluca), *Legally Corrupt: Romanian Politicians Chase 'Super-Immunity'*, Al Jazeera, Jan. 18, 2014; HARDT (Sascha), *Parliamentary Immunity: A Comprehensive Study of the Systems of Parliamentary Immunity of the United Kingdom, France and the Netherlands in a European Context*, Cambridge: Metro, 2013; MURPHY (John), *Rethinking Tortious Immunity for Judicial Acts*, Legal Studies, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2013, pp. 455-477; *Non-labile? Inviolable? Untouchable? The Challenge of Parliamentary Immunities: An Overview*, Brussels: Office for Promotion of Parliamentary Democracy, 2012; HOPPE (Tilman), *Public Corruption: Limiting Criminal Immunity of Legislative, Executive and Judicial Officials in Europe*, Vienna Journal on International Constitutional Law, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2011, pp. 538-549; MAINGOT (J. P. Joseph) & DEHLER (David), *Politicians Above the Law: A Case for the Abolition of Parliamentary Inviolability*, Ottawa: Baico, 2010; MAINGOT (J. P. Joseph), *Parliamentary Privilege in Canada*, 2nd ed., Ottawa and Montreal: House of Commons, 1997; WIGLEY (Simon), *Parliamentary Immunity in Democratizing Countries: The Case of Turkey*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2009, pp. 567-591; WIGLEY (Simon), *Parliamentary Immunity: Protecting Democracy or Protecting Corruption?*, Journal of Political Philosophy, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2003, pp. 23-40; PISA (Nick), *Silvio Berlusconi Immune from Prosecution while in Office after Law Passed*, Telegraph, July 22, 2008; FOX (Hazel), *The Law of State Immunity*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008; CE, *Corruption and Democracy: Political Finances, Conflicts of Interest, Lobbying, Justice*, Strasbourg, 2008; CHAFETZ (Joshua A.), *Democracy's Privileged Few: Legislative Privilege and Democratic Norms in the British and American Constitutions*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007; MAY (Thomas Erskine), *Erskine May's Treatise on the Law, Privileges, Proceedings, and Usage of Parliament*, London: LexisNexis, 2004; WARBRICK (Colin), *Immunity and International Crimes in English Law*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 53, No. 3, 2004, pp. 769-774; *Parliament in Italy Passes Immunity Law for Berlusconi*, New York Times, June 19, 2003; CASSESE (Antonio), *When May Senior State Officials Be Tried for International Crimes? Some Comments on the Congo v. Belgium Case*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2002, pp. 853-875; PLESCIA (Joseph), *Judicial Accountability and Immunity in Roman Law*, American Journal of Legal History, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2001, pp. 51-70; FALKOFF (Marc D.), *Abrogating State Sovereign Immunity in Legislative Courts*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 101, No. 4, 2001, pp. 853-885; MCGEE (Simon) & ISSACS (Adam), *Rules on Parliamentary Immunity in the European Parliament and the Member States of the European Union*, Brussels: European Centre for Parliamentary Research and Documentation, 2001; AMAR (Akhil Reed) & KATYAL (Neal Kumar), *Executive Privileges and Immunities: The Nixon and Clinton Cases*, Harvard Law Review, Vol. 108, No. 3, 1995, pp. 701-726; ZUCKERMAN (Adrian A. S.), *Public Interest Immunity – A Matter of Prime Judicial Responsibility*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 57, No. 5, 1994, pp. 703-725; OLOWOFOYEKU (Abimbola A.), *Suing Judges: A Study of Judicial Immunity*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993; OLOWOFOYEKU (Abimbola A.), *The Crumbling Citadel: Absolute Judicial Immunity De-Rationalised*, Legal Studies, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1990, pp. 271-292; SZEFTTEL (Marc), *Parliamentary Immunity in Imperial Russia 1906-1917*, Parliaments, Estates and Representation, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1985, pp. 79-82; CARTER (Stephen L.), *The Political Aspects of Judicial Power: Some Notes on the Presidential Immunity Decision*, University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Vol. 131, No. 6, 1983, pp. 1341-1401; WITTY (Joanne), *Federal Executive Immunity from Civil*

Liability in Damages: A Reevaluation of Barr v. Matteo, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 77, No. 4, 1977, pp. 625-648; CAMPBELL (Enid), *Parliamentary Privilege in Australia*, Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 1966; THOMPSON (Dorothy), *Judicial Immunity and the Protection of Justices*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 21, No. 5, 1958, pp. 517-533; *Executive Immunity from Judicial Power to Compel Documentary Disclosure*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 51, No. 7, 1951, pp. 881-888.

204 BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *The Anarchist Tension*, Anarchist Library, 1996, p. 4.

205 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Canenero"*, Anarchist Library, 1994-1997, p. 51.

206 CORRIN (Jennifer), *Judge or Be Judged: Accepting Judicial Appointment in an Unlawful Regime*, International Journal of the Legal Profession, Vol. 16, No. 2-3, 2009, p. 192.

207 Concerning some examples of the political selection and promotion of the judiciary, see below, footnote 213; BENEN (Steve), *'We're Going to Have Supreme Court Appointments'?*, MSNBC, Aug. 13, 2014; MCCULLOUGH (John James), *Canada Is Corrupt When it Comes to Choosing Judges*, Huffington Post, May 5, 2014; CANES-WRONE ET AL. (Brandice) AL., *Judicial Selection and Death Penalty Decisions*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 108, No. 1, 2014, pp. 23-39; FOMBAD (Charles Manga), *Appointment of Constitutional Adjudicators in Africa: Some Perspectives on How Different Systems Yield Similar Outcomes*, Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2014, pp. 249-275; CROWE (Justin), *Building the Judiciary: Law, Courts, and the Politics of Institutional Development*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012; REID (Traci V.), *Women Candidates and Judicial Elections: Telling an Untold Story*, Politics and Gender, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2010, pp. 465-474; MACKENZIE (Ruth) ET AL., *Selecting International Judges: Principle, Process, and Politics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010; MACKENZIE (Ruth) & SANDS (Philippe), *International Courts and Tribunals and the Independence of the International Judge*, Harvard International Law Journal, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2003, pp. 271-285; CORRIN (Jennifer), *Judge or Be Judged*, op. cit.; MCCLENDON (Gwyneth C.), *Building the Rule of International Criminal Law: The Role of Judges and Prosecutors in the Apprehension of War Criminals*, Human Rights Review, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2009, pp. 349-372; VAUBEL (Roland), *Constitutional Courts as Promoters of Political Centralization: Lessons for the European Court of Justice*, European Journal of Law and Economics, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2009, pp. 203-222; BOHLANDER (Michael), *Pride and Prejudice or Sense and Sensibility? A Pragmatic Proposal for the Recruitment of Judges at the ICC and other International Criminal Courts*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2009, pp. 529-542; BRACE (Paul R.) & BOYEY (Brent D.), *State Public Opinion, the Death Penalty, and the Practice of Electing Judges*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2008, pp. 360-372; BOYEY (Brent D.), *Linking Judicial Selection to Consensus: An Analysis of Ideological Diversity*, American Politics Research, Vol. 35, No. 5, 2007, pp. 643-670; BRACE (Paul R.) & HALL (Melinda Gann), *The Interplay of Preferences, Case Facts, Context, and Rules in the Politics of Judicial Choice*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 59, No. 4, 1997, pp. 1206-1231; VOETEN (Erik), *The Politics of International Judicial Appointments: Evidence from the European Court of Human Rights*, International Organization, Vol. 61, No. 4, 2007, pp. 669-701; CHAVEZ (Rebecca Bill), *The Appointment and Removal Process for Judges in Argentina: The Role of Judicial Councils and Impeachment Juries in Promoting Judicial Independence*, Latin American Politics and Society, Vol. 49, No. 2, 2007, pp. 33-58; WIERDA (Marieke) ET AL., *Early Reflections on Local Perceptions, Legitimacy and Legacy of the Special Tribunal for Lebanon*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 5, No. 5, 2007, pp. 1065-1081; CHOI (Stephen) & GULATI (Mitu), *A Tournament of Judges?*, California Law Review, Vol. 92, No. 1, 2004, pp. 299-322; STRATMANN (Thomas) & GARNER (Jared), *Judicial Selection*, op. cit.; CHAMPAGNE (Anthony), *The Politics of Judicial Selection*, Policy Studies Journal, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2003, pp. 413-419; BAUM (Lawrence), *Judicial Elections and Judicial Independence: The Voter's Perspective*, Ohio State Law Journal, Vol. 64, No. 1, 2003, pp. 13-41; GUILLAUME (Gilbert), *Some Thoughts on the Independence of International Judges vis-à-vis States*, Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2003, pp. 163-168; MEERNIK (James), *Victor's Justice or the Law? Judging and Punishing at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 47, No. 2, 2003, pp. 140-162; JOHNSON (Susan W.) & SONGER (Donald R.), *The Influence of Presidential versus Home State Senatorial Preferences on the Policy Output of Judges on the United States District Courts*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 36, No. 3, 2002, pp. 657-676; KENNEY (Sally J.), *Breaking the Silence*, op. cit.; FEREJOHN (John A.) & KRAMER (Larry D.), *Independent Judges, Dependent Judiciary: Institutionalizing Judicial Restraint*, New York University Law Review, Vol. 77, No. 4, 2002, pp. 962-1039; FEREJOHN (John A.), *Independent Judges, Dependent Judiciary: Explaining Judicial Independence*, Southern California Law Review, Vol. 72, No. 2-3, 1999, pp. 353-384; HANSSEN (F. Andrew), *On the Politics of Judicial Selection: Lawyers and State Campaigns for the Merit Plan*, Public Choice, Vol. 110, No. 1-2, 2002, pp. 79-97; HANSSEN (F. Andrew), *The Effect of Judicial Institutions on Uncertainty and the Rate of Litigation: The Election versus Appointment of State Judges*, Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 28, No. 1, 1999, pp. 205-232; BUNCH (Kenyon D.) & CASEY (Gregory), *Political Controversy on Missouri's Supreme Court: The Case of Merit vs. Politics*, State and Local Government Review, Vol. 22, No. 1, 1990, pp. 5-16; SOLOMON (Rayman L.), *The Politics of Appointment and the Federal Courts' Role in Regulating America: U. S. Courts of Appeals Judgeships from T. R. to F. D. R.*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1984, pp. 285-343; HALL (Kermit Lance), *Progressive Reform and the Decline of Democratic Accountability: The*

- Popular Election of State Supreme Court Judges, 1850-1920*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1984, pp. 345-369; KAGAN (Robert A.) ET AL., *American State Supreme Court Justices, 1900-1970*, American Bar Foundation Research Journal, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1984, pp. 371-408; CLICK (Henry R.), *The Politics of Court Reform: In A Nutshell*, Policy Studies Journal, Vol. 10, No. 4, 1982, pp. 680-689; KARST (Kenneth L.), *A New State Constitution: Of Independent State Grounds and Independent State Judges*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1976, pp. 829-835; GROSSMAN (Joel B.), *Lawyers and Judges: The ABA and the Politics of Judicial Selection*, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1965; NAGEL (Stuart S.), *Political Party Affiliation and Judges' Decisions*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 55, No. 4, 1961, pp. 843-850.
- ²⁰⁸ SHARMA (Hemant) & GLENNON (Colin), *A Case for Supreme Court Term Limits? The Changing Ideological Relationship between Appointing Presidents and Supreme Court Justices*, Politics and Policy, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2013, p. 272.
- ²⁰⁹ GILL (Rebecca D.) ET AL., op. cit., p. 731.
- ²¹⁰ For example, see ZEITUNE (José), *International Principles on the Independence and Accountability of Judges, Lawyers and Prosecutors*, Practitioners' Guide Series No. 1, Geneva: International Commission of Jurists, 2004; UN, *Human Rights in the Administration of Justice: A Manual on Human Rights for Judges, Prosecutors and Lawyers*, New York, 2003; FEREJOHN (John), *Independent Judges, Dependent Judiciary: Explaining Judicial Independence*, Southern California Law Review, Vol. 72, No. 2-3, 1999, pp. 353-384.
- ²¹¹ ABA, *Rapid Response to Unfair and Unjust Criticism of Judges*, Standing Committee on Judicial Independence, 2008, p. 1.
- ²¹² THE LEVELLER, *Killer Cops: Licensed to Kill*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 4.
- ²¹³ About these political appointments, see above, footnote 207; *Frequently Asked Questions*, Supreme Court of Canada, Jan. 11, 2016; MCCORMICK (Peter James), *Choosing the Chief: Duality, Seniority, and Beyond*, Journal of Canadian Studies, Vol. 47, 1, 2014, pp. 5-35; SHARMA (Hemant) & GLENNON (Colin), *A Case for Supreme Court Term Limits?*, op. cit.; BARTELS (Brandon L.) & JOHNSTON (Christopher D.), *Political Justice? Perceptions of Politicization and Public Preferences toward the Supreme Court Appointment Process*, Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 76, No. 1, 2012, pp. 105-116; BAILEY (Michael A.) & YOON (Albert), *'While There's a Breath in My Body': The Systemic Effects of Politically Motivated Retirement from the Supreme Court*, Journal of Theoretical Politics, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2011, pp. 293-316; WEIDEN (David L.), *Judicial Politicization, Ideology, and Activism at the High Courts of the United States, Canada, and Australia*, Political Research Quarterly, Vol. 64, No. 2, 2011, pp. 335-347; BILODEAU (Roger), *Supreme Court of Canada: Structure, Status and Challenges*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 36, No. 3, 2010, pp. 421-441; WETSTEIN (Matthew E.) ET AL., *Ideological Consistency and Attitudinal Conflict: A Comparative Analysis of the U.S. and Canadian Supreme Courts*, Comparative Political Studies, Vol. 42, No. 6, 2009, pp. 763-792; RIDDELL (Troy) ET AL., *Federal Judicial Appointments: A Look at Patronage in Federal Appointments since 1988*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2008, pp. 39-74; NASH (Jonathan Remy), *Prejudging Judges*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 106, No. 8, 2006, pp. 2168-2206; PURDUM (Todd S.), *Presidents, Picking Justices, Can Have Backfires*, New York Times, July 5, 2005; CURRY (Tom), *A Guide to the Supreme Court Nomination*, NBC News, Nov. 5, 2005; HALL (Kermit L.) (ed.), *The Oxford Companion to the Supreme Court of the United States*, op. cit.; LINDQUIST (Stefanie A.) ET AL., *The Impact of Presidential Appointments to the U.S. Supreme Court: Cohesive and Divisive Voting within Presidential Blocs*, Political Research Quarterly, Vol. 53, No. 4, 2000, pp. 795-814; GATES (John B.) & COHEN (Jeffrey E.), *Presidents, Supreme Court Justices, and Racial Equality Cases: 1954-1984*, Political Behavior, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1988, pp. 22-36; CALDEIRA (Gregory A.), *In the Mirror of the Justices: Sources of Greatness on the Supreme Court*, Political Behavior, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1988, pp. 247-266; TEGER (Stuart), *Presidential Strategy for the Appointment of Supreme Court Justices*, Public Choice, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1977, pp. 1-22.
- ²¹⁴ THE LEVELLER, *Killer Cops*, op. cit., pp. 4, 3.
- ²¹⁵ SUGAKO (Kanno), *Reflections on the Way to the Gallows*, Anarchist Library, 1911, p. 10.
- ²¹⁶ LÉVEILLÉ (Louis), *The Anarchist Defense of Louis Léveillé*, Anarchist Library, 1891, p. 6.
- ²¹⁷ About this type of authoritarian punishment and its examples, see HONG (Nicole) & HUANG (Daniel), *U.S. Judge Threatens to Hold Argentina in Contempt of Court*, Wall Street Journal, Aug. 8, 2014; AX (Joseph) & CHUNG (Andrew), *US Judge Threatens Argentina with Contempt Order*, Business Insider, Aug. 8, 2014; MALINOWSKI (W. Zachary), *Aaron Hernandez Cousin, Ill with Cancer, Seeks Home Confinement for Contempt of Court Sentence*, Providence Journal, Aug. 7, 2014; MATSUDA (Akiko), *Spring Valley Mayor Jailed for Contempt of Court*, Journal News, Aug. 1, 2014; DICKSON (Louise), *Angry Judge Has Victoria Lawyer Detained for Contempt*, Times Colonist, July 11, 2014; PHILLIPS (Sam), *In Re Marciano: An analysis of the Impossibility Defense in Contempt*, Law Firm of Donlevy-Rosen and Rosen, P.A., Feb. 4, 2013; SYNODINOU (Tatiana-Eleni), *The Media Coverage of Court Proceedings in Europe: Striking a Balance between Freedom of Expression and Fair Process*, Computer Law and Security Review, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2012, pp. 208-219; LICHTMAN (Robert M.), *The Supreme Court and McCarthy-Era Repression: One Hundred Decisions*, Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2012; STEWART (Daxton R. "Chip")

& FARGO (Anthony L.), *Challenging Civil Contempt: The Limits of Judicial Power in Cases Involving Journalists*, Communication Law and Policy, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2011, pp. 425-462; D'ASCOLI (Silvia), *Sentencing Contempt of Court in International Criminal Justice: An Unforeseen Problem Concerning Sentencing and Penalties*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2007, pp. 735-756; SCARCE (RIK), *Contempt of Court: A Scholar's Battle for Free Speech from behind Bars*, Walnut Creek: AltaMira Press, 2005; LIU (Caitlin), *Sleepy Juror Gets Rude Awakening*, Los Angeles Times, Apr. 20, 2005; *Some Guidelines on the Contempt Powers*, Canadian Judicial Council, 2001; THORNTON (Laura A.), *Fines, Imprisonment or Both: Civil vs. Criminal Contempt*, Virginia Lawyer, Vol. 49, No. 7, 2001, pp. 34-39; MILLER (Christopher J.), *Contempt of Court*, 3rd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000; RAVESON (Louis), *A New Perspective on the Judicial Contempt Power: Recommendations for Reform*, Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1990, pp. 1-65; NICOL (Andrew G. L.) & ROGERS (Heather), *Changing Contempt of Court*, London: National Council for Civil Liberties, 1981; SATHE (S. P.), *Freedom of Speech and Contempt of Court*, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 5, No. 42, 1970, pp. 1741-1742; EVANS (Paul V.), *The Power to Punish Summarily for "Direct" Contempt of Court: An Unnecessary Exception to Due Process*, Duke Bar Journal, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1956, pp. 155-160; DELL'ERGO (Robert J.), *Constitutional Law: Power of Court to Punish Constructive Contempts*, California Law Review, Vol. 30, No. 5, 1942, pp. 577-581; ORFIELD (Lester B.), *Supreme Court Decisions on Federal Criminal Procedure*, Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 33, No. 3, 1942, pp. 219-244; ROWLEY (Nathan D.), *Summary Power of Courts to Punish Perjury and False Swearing as Contempt*, California Law Review, Vol. 21, No. 6, 1933, pp. 582-596; NELLES (Walter), *The Summary Power to Punish for Contempt*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 31, No. 6, 1931, pp. 956-974; WILLIS (Hugh Evander), *Punishment for Contempt of Court*, Indiana Law Journal, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1927, pp. 309-314; WAMPLER (T. Morris), *Powers of Courts to Punish Contempts, Considered with Special Regard to Courts of Maryland and Virginia*, Virginia Law Register, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1911, pp. 102-113.

²¹⁸ BUONO (Federico), *The Code of the Key-Order: Anarchist Amoral Anti-Juridism*, Anarchist Library, 2012, p. 5.

²¹⁹ BLACK (Bob), *"Constitutionalism": The White Man's Ghost Dance*, Anarchist Library, 1995, p. 7.

²²⁰ NATAPOFF (Alexandra), *Speechless: The Silencing of Criminal Defendants*, New York University Law Review, Vol. 80, No. 4, 2005, pp. 1449-1451. See also MCKINNEY (Coy), *An Anarchist Theory of Criminal Justice*, Anarchist Library, 2012, pp. 5-6.

²²¹ See ANDERSON (Tim), *Defend Yourself: Facing a Charge in Court*, 2nd ed., Annandale: Federation Press, 2008, pp. 24-25; *Calling Judges Lord, Lordship, Your Honour not Mandatory: Supreme Court*, Times of India, Jan. 6, 2014; *Court Room Etiquette*, Judiciary of Scotland, 2014; *Protocols and Courtroom Rules*, Queensland Government, Mar. 9, 2012; *What Do I Call a Judge?*, Judiciary of England Wales; *Courthouse Design and Finance State Links*, National Center for State Court.

²²² CECCONI (Elisabetta), *Legal Discourse and Linguistic Incongruities in Bardell vs. Pickwick: An Analysis of Address and Reference Strategies in The Pickwick Papers Trial Scene*, Language and Literature, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2008, pp. 206-207.

²²³ For some examples, see WITHERSPOON (Tommy), *Attorney Appeals Waco Federal Judge's Reprimand, Seeks Impeachment*, Waco Tribune-Herald, Jan. 18, 2016; MCEWEN (Alan), *Judge Who Quit over 'Magic Circle' Gay Sex Scandal Dies Aged 80 Taking Secrets to the Grave*, Daily Mirror, Jan. 7, 2016; BROCKLEBANK (Jonathan), *A Magic Circle of Judges, a Sex Abuse Probe and the Sinister Truth about the Fettesgate Scandal*, Daily Mail, Aug. 13, 2014; *Justice Kapadia Rubbishes Katju's Allegations over Corrupt HC Judge*, Firstpost, Aug. 12, 2014; *Justice Katju Stirs Up Fresh Controversy, Says Ex-CJI Balakrishnan Backed Corrupt Judge*, Zee News, Aug. 11, 2014; KUMAR (Prakash) & TRIPATHI (Ashish), *Ex-CJI Didn't Act against Corrupt Judge*, Deccan Herald, Aug. 10, 2014; SINGH (Pravin), *Sexual Harassment Allegation by Gwalior Judge Highlights Dark Side of Judicial System*, OneIndia News, Aug. 4, 2014; GETLEN (Larry), *Corrupt 'Kids for Cash' Judge Ruined More than 2,000 Lives*, New York Post, Feb. 23, 2014; HUFF (Ethan A.), *Corrupt Judge Sentenced to 30 Years in Prison for Jailing Innocent Children in 'Kids for Cash' Scheme*, Natural News, Feb. 18, 2014; MOOSA (Hussain Fiyaz), *Police Release Man in Judge's Sex Scandal*, Haveeru, Aug. 22, 2013; PRITCHARD (Dean), *Manitoba Judge in Sex Scandal under Investigation for Expense Claims*, Sun News Network, Aug. 20, 2013; ANDERSON (Ryan), *Alleged Judge Sex Scandal Too Close to Home*, Chapman Law Courier, Apr. 15, 2013; CRUZ (Nicole Santa), *O.C. Judge Is Target of Sexual Misconduct Investigation*, Los Angeles Times, Mar. 30, 2013; FARBEROV (Snejana), *Judge and Married Father of Two 'Gave Woman a Job in District Attorney's Office in Exchange for Sex'*, Daily Mail, Mar. 7, 2013; PRITCHARD (Dean), *Lawyer: Sex Scandal judge an 'Exploited Woman'*, Toronto Sun, June 26, 2012; PATRANOBIS (Sutirtho), *Shanghai Judges Suspended over Sex Scandal*, Hindustan Times, Aug. 4, 2013; *Judge's Husband Calls Her 'Victim' in Sex Scandal*, CBC News, July 25, 2012; *Manitoba Judge Sex Inquiry Hearings Underway*, CBC News, June 24, 2012; PAVLO (Walter), *Pennsylvania Judge Gets 'Life Sentence' For Prison Kickback Scheme*, Forbes, Aug. 12, 2011; PAVLO (Walter), *Even Judges Commit Crimes, Bad Ones*, Forbes, Feb. 20, 2011; *Nude Photos of Judge Contained in Complaint*, CBC News, Aug. 31, 2010; URBINA (Ian), *Plea Agreement by 2 Judges Is Rejected In Pennsylvania*, New York Times, July 31, 2009; URBINA (Ian), *Despite Red Flags about Judges, a Kickback Scheme Flourished*, New York Times, Mar. 27, 2009; URBINA (Ian), *70 Youths Sue Former Judges in Detention Kickback Case*, New

York Times, Feb. 26, 2009; SISAk (Michael), *Powell Pleads Guilty*, Times-tribune, July 1, 2009; FRANK (Thomas), *Lock Em Up: Jailing Kids Is a Proud American Tradition*, Wall Street Journal, Apr. 1, 2009; CHEN (Stephanie), *Pennsylvania Rocked by 'Jailing Kids for Cash' Scandal*, CNN, Feb. 24, 2009; PADAVIC (Irene) & ORCUTT (James D.), *Perceptions of Sexual Harassment in the Florida Legal System*, op. cit.

224 CHANG (Jui-jen) & LIU (Chia-ying), *The Negligence Rule in the Presence of Judicial Corruption and Social Norms*, Atlantic Economic Journal, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2007, p. 204.

225 TAYLOR (Humphrey), *Whom Do We Trust to Tell the Truth?*, Harris Interactive, 2001.

226 WARREN (Mark E.), *Democracy and Deceit: Regulating Appearances of Corruption*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 50, No 1, 2006, p. 165 (note 8).

227 ORWELL (George), *Animal Farm: A Fairy Story*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2009, p. 192.

228 SHIVJI (Issa G.), *Equality, Rights and Authoritarianism in Africa*, in MACCORMICK (Neil) & BANKOWSKI (Zenon) (ed.), *Enlightenment, Rights and Revolution: Essays in Legal and Social Philosophy*, Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press, 1989, p. 273.

229 See NOUWEN (Sarah M. H.), *Legal Equality on Trial: Sovereigns and Individuals before the International Criminal Court*, Netherlands Yearbook of International Law, Vol. 43, 2013, pp. 151-181.

230 See BEDI (Sonu S.), *The Scope of Formal Equality of Opportunity: The Horizontal Effect of Rights in a Liberal Constitution*, Political Theory, 2014, pp. 1-23; AIKEN (Juliet R.) ET AL., *The Origins and Legacy of the Civil Rights Act of 1964*, Journal of Business and Psychology, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2013, pp. 383-399; WEINBERGER (David), *What Does Equality of Opportunity Mean?*, Daily Signal, Apr. 20, 2012; *Against Equality of Opportunity*, Economist, Apr. 20, 2012; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Propulsive Utopia*, Anarchist Library, 2009; O'BRIEN (Nick), *Equality and Human Rights: Foundations of a Common Culture?*, Political Quarterly, Vol. 79, No. 1, 2008, pp. 27-35; DAUVÉ (Gilles), *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Autonomy*, op. cit.; MASON (Andrew), *Levelling the Playing Field: The Idea of Equal Opportunity and its Place in Egalitarian Thought*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006; MIEVILLE (China), *Between Equal Rights*, op. cit.; *Philosophy and the Real World*, Guardian, Mar. 22, 2004; SAYCE (Liz) & O'BRIEN (Nick), *The Future of Equality and Human Rights in Britain – Opportunities and Risks for Disabled People*, Disability and Society, Vol. 19, No. 6, 2004, pp. 663-667; TURNER (Sean), *The Myth of Equality*, RenewAmerica, Sept. 11, 2003; CAVANAGH (Matt), *Against Equality of Opportunity*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2002; ARNESON (Richard J.), *Equality of Opportunity*, Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Oct. 8, 2002; ARNESON (Richard J.), *Against Rawlsian Equality of Opportunity*, Philosophical Studies, Vol. 93, No. 1, 1999, pp. 77-112; CRUICKSHANK (Justin), *Ethnocentrism, Social Contract Liberalism and Positivistic-Conservatism: Rorty's Three Theses on Politics*, Res Publica, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2000, pp. 1-23; CANEY (Simon), *Cosmopolitan Justice and Equalizing Opportunities*, Metaphilosophy, Vol. 32, No. 1-2, 2001, pp. 113-134; KERNOHAN (Andrew William), *Liberalism, Equality, and Cultural Oppression*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998; GUTMANN (Amy), *Liberal Equality*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980; JOSEPH (Lawrence B.), *Some Ways of Thinking about Equality of Opportunity*, Western Political Quarterly, Vol. 33, No. 3, 1980, pp. 393-400; PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Law and Marxism*, op. cit.; BRESSLER (Marvin), *The Liberal Synthesis in American Higher Education*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 404, No. 1, 1972, pp. 183-193; MACKAY (William Andrew), *Equality of Opportunity: Recent Developments in the Field of Human Rights in Nova Scotia*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1967, pp. 176-186; GECYS (Casimir C.), *Equality of Rights of the Soviet Nationalities*, Russian Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1953, pp. 100-110; MÜHSAM (Erich), *The Liberation of Society from the State: What Is Communist Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 1932; MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Programme of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1927; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 191-192, 204; OWEN (William Charles), *Anarchism Versus Socialism*, Anarchist Library, 1922; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Writings*, Anarchist Library, 1867-1871; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Revolutionary Catechism*, Anarchist Library, 1866; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *What Is Property? An Inquiry into the Principle of Right and of Government*, Anarchist Library, 1840, pp. 84-85.

231 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Law and Authority*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 5.

232 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1237.

233 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 48-49.

234 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *Du Principe Fédératif et de la Nécessité de Reconstituer le Parti de la Révolution*, Paris: Henri Justin Édouard Dentu, 1863, p. 311.

- ²³⁵ JOHNSON (Charles W.), *Liberty, Equality, Solidarity: Toward a Dialectical Anarchism*, in LONG (Roderick Tracy) & MACHAN (Tibor Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism/Minarchism*, op. cit., pp. 169-170.
- ²³⁶ HORN (Kevin S. Van), *Rule-of-Law Anarchism: A Strategy for Destroying the State's Legitimacy*, *Free America*, Mar. 11, 2007.
- ²³⁷ See ZAPF-GILJE (Leif) & UZIELLI (Julian), *Racism within RCMP Stirs Debate over Bad Apples or Systemic Problems*, *CBC News*, Jan. 5, 2016; GALLOWAY (Gloria), *RCMP Chief's Comments about Racism Fuel Tense Relations with Officers*, *Globe and Mail*, Dec. 28, 2015; BURGMANN (Tamsyn), *RCMP Harassment, Bullying Still Exists in Force: Liberal MP*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 22, 2014; *RCMP Commissioner Pledges to Rid Force of 'Bad Apples'*, *CBC News*, May 28, 2012; *RCMP Face Sexual Harassment Class-Action Suit*, *CBC News*, Mar. 27, 2012; *More B.C. Mounties Complain of Harassment*, *CBC News*, Nov. 8, 2011; THEODORE (Terri), *RCMP Sexual Harassment Lawsuit: 150 Former, Current Members File Class-Action Suit*, *Huffington Post*, Mar. 27, 2012; *Aboriginal RCMP Officers Face Racism, Says Ex-Mountie*, *CBC News*, Dec. 21, 2011; *Aboriginal Ex-Mountie Recalls Racism, Harassment*, *CBC News*, Dec. 20, 2011; DUFFY (Andrew), *RCMP View Clouded by Racism: Abdullah Almalki*, *National Post*, Oct. 25, 2011; *Ex-RCMP Cadet Wins Discrimination Appeal*, *CBC News*, July 30, 2010; GREWAL (San), *Federal Court Finds RCMP Guilty of Racism*, *Toronto Star*, July 20, 2010; DEAN ET (Geoff) AL., *Conceptual Framework for Managing Knowledge of Police Deviance*, *Policing and Society*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2010, pp. 204-222; *RCMP Officers Sue Force, Allege Racism*, *CBC News*, Sep. 15, 2009; BEN-PORAT (Guy), *Policing Multicultural States: Lessons from the Canadian Model*, *Policing and Society*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2008, pp. 411-425; *RCMP Faces \$1M Payout on Discrimination Case*, *CBC News*, Apr. 17, 2008; *RCMP Training Beset with Slurs, Criticism, Says Ex-Officer*, *CBC News*, June 18, 2003.
- ²³⁸ MELCHERS (Ronald-Frans), *Inequality before the Law: The Canadian Experience of "Racial Profiling"*, *Ottawa: RCMP*, 2006, p. 77.
- ²³⁹ MOHAMMAD (Fida) & CONWAY (Paul), *Political Culture, Hegemony, and Inequality before the Law: Law Enforcement in Pakistan*, *Policing*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2005, pp. 633-634.
- ²⁴⁰ BORLOO (Jean-Pierre), *Pauvre Justice, ou l'Inégalité des Citoyens devant la Loi*, *Bruxelles: EPO*, 1997.
- ²⁴¹ About the "Airbus Affair", see *Arms Lobbyist Karlheinz Schreiber, Made Infamous for Dealings with Brian Mulroney, Convicted in Germany*, *National Post*, Nov. 14, 2013; LAPOINTE (Louis), *Jean Chrétien et Brian Mulroney, Deux Poids, Deux Mesures!*, *Vigile*, Juin 3, 2010; MUNROE (Susan), *Oliphant Commission of Inquiry, About*, June 2, 2010; BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Brian Mulroney Acted inappropriately in Accepting Cash, Inquiry Finds*, *Toronto Star*, May 31, 2010; *Public Policy Issues and the Oliphant Commission: Independent Research Studies*, prepared for Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney, *Ottawa: Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada*, 2010; SPECTOR (Norman), *The Oliphant Inquiry Was an almost Perfect Ottawa Case Study*, *Globe and Mail*, June 11, 2009; *Mulroney-Schreiber Inquiry Steers Clear of 'Airbus Affair' on First Day*, *CBC News*, Mar. 30, 2009; *Mulroney Won't Appear before Committee: Lawyer*, *CTV News*, Feb. 26, 2008; *Mulroney Tried to Cover Up Cash Payments He Received in Hotel Rooms: Schreiber*, *CBC News*, Oct. 31, 2007; *New Questions Raised over Mulroney's Ties with German Businessman*, *CBC News*, Feb. 8, 2006; *Schreiber v. Canada (Attorney General)*, *Supreme Court Judgments*, 2002 SCC 62; CURRIE (Robert J.), *Schreiber v. Canada (Attorney General)*, *Dalhousie Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 8, 1999, pp. 207-219; KAPLAN (William), *Presumed Guilty: Brian Mulroney, the Airbus Affair, and the Government of Canada*, *Toronto: McClelland and Stewart*, 1998; CAMERON (Stevie), *On the Take: Crime, Corruption and Greed in the Mulroney Years*, *Toronto: Macfarlane Walter and Ross*, 1994.
- ²⁴² See KUMAR (Ajith Vijay), *The Right to Reject: Indian Democracy's New Hot Button*, *Zee News*, Sep. 27, 2013; WOOD (Stephen), *U.S. and India: World's Oldest and Largest Democracies Share Historical Ties*, *DipNote*, June 22, 2013; DENYER (Simon), *In India, the World's Largest Democracy, Some See System as a Handicap*, *Washington Post*, Mar. 2, 2012.
- ²⁴³ VAJPEYI (Yogesh), *Presumption of Innocence to Cling to Power a Poor Reflection on Those Entrusted with Governance*, *New Indian Express*, May 26, 2013.
- ²⁴⁴ LUHNOW (David), *Presumption of Guilt*, *Wall Street Journal*, Oct. 17, 2009. See also RAFSKY (Sara), *Mexican Documentary 'Presumed Guilty' Finds Justice*, *Committee to Protect Journalists*, Feb. 7, 2014; HERNÁNDEZ (Roberto) SMITH (Geoffrey) (dir.), *Presunto Culpable (Presumed Guilty)*, *San Miguel Chapultepec: Videomax*, 2011.
- ²⁴⁵ STEVENSON (Bryan), *The Presumption of Guilt*, *Huffington Post*, July 8, 2013.
- ²⁴⁶ DERVAN (Lucian E.) & EDKINS (Vanessa A.), *The Innocent Defendant's Dilemma: An Innovative Empirical Study of Plea Bargaining's Innocence Problem*, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, Vol. 103, No. 1, 2013, Art. 1.

- 247 LEWIS (John) & STEVENSON (Bryan), *State of Equality and Justice in America: The Presumption of Guilt*, Washington Post, May 17, 2013.
- 248 See WATT (Nicholas), *Cameron Dismisses Johnson's 'Presumption of Guilt' Terror Plan*, Guardian, Aug. 26, 2014; DEARDEN (Lizzie), *Boris Johnson Says Anyone Returning from Iraq or Syria 'Without a Good Reason' Should Be Classed as a Potential Terrorist*, Belfast Telegraph, Aug. 25, 2014; BALL (James), *Boris, Assuming the Guilt of Terror Suspects Is No 'Minor Change' to the Law*, Guardian, Aug. 25, 2014; *Johnson Calls for 'Guilty until Proven Innocent' for Suspected Terrorists*, Guardian, Aug. 25, 2014; *Britons in Syria and Iraq Should Be Presumed Guilty*, Boris Johnson Says, BBC News, Aug. 25, 2014; SWINFORD (Steven) ET AL., *Britons Who Go to Syria 'Are Guilty until Proven Innocent'*, Says Boris Johnson, Telegraph, Aug. 24, 2014; KAPOOR (Nisha), *On the North West 10(12): Postcoloniality, the British Racial State and the War on Terror*, Identities, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2013, pp. 61-76; MURRAY (Nancy), *Obama and the Global War on Terror, Race and Class*, Vol. 53, No. 2, 2011, pp. 85, 87; BIGO (Didier) & GUITTET (Emmanuel-Pierre), *Northern Ireland as Metaphor: Exception, Suspicion and Radicalization in the 'War on Terror'*, Security Dialogue, Vol. 42, No. 6, 2011, pp. 488, 492; GREENBERG (Karen J.), *Guilty Until Proven Guilty: Threatening the Presumption of Innocence*, Huffington Post, Nov. 18, 2010; SADAT (Leila Nadya), *A Presumption of Guilt: The Unlawful Enemy Combatant and the U.S. War on Terror*, Denver Journal of International Law and Policy, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2009, pp. 539-553; GITENSTEIN (Mark H.), *Nine Democracies and the Problems of Detention, Surveillance, and Interrogation*, in WITTES (Benjamin) (ed.), *Legislating the War on Terror: An Agenda for Reform*, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2009, p. 12.
- 249 SADAT (Leila Nadya), *A Presumption of Guilt*, op. cit., pp. 539, 541; SADAT (Leila Nadya), *Terrorism and the Rule of Law*, Washington University Global Studies Law Review, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2004, p. 140 (note 16).
- 250 GLASBEEK (Harry), *The Presumed Innocence of Capitalism and Lac-Mégantic*, Bullet, No. 858, 2013.
- 251 AMAO (Olufemi O.), *Corporate Social Responsibility, Multinational Corporations and the Law in Nigeria: Controlling Multinationals in Host States*, Journal of African Law, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2008, p. 89.
- 252 HUSAK (Douglas), *Social Engineering as an Infringement of the Presumption of Innocence: The Case of Corporate Criminality*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 353, 367.
- 253 MCFARLANE (Audrey G.), *Operatively White: Exploring the Significance of Race and Class through the Paradox of Black Middle-Classness*, Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 72, No. 4, 2009, pp. 165, 186, 192, 195.
- 254 GARCIA-ROJAS (Claudia), *The Long History of Presumed White Innocence and Black Guilt*, Truthout, Dec. 10, 2014; ROSS (Thomas), *The Rhetorical Tapestry of Race: White Innocence and Black Abstraction*, William and Mary Law Review, Vol. 32, No. 1, 1990, Art. 2.
- 255 HUNT (Cecil J. II), *The Color of Perspective: Affirmative Action and the Constitutional Rhetoric of White Innocence*, Michigan Journal of Race and Law, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, pp. 477, 547.
- 256 WILLIAMS (Patricia J.), *L'Affaire DSK: Presumption of Innocence Lost*, Nation, May 24, 2011. About the presumption of innocence and its critiques, see ULVÄNG (Magnus), *Criminal and Procedural Fairness: Some Challenges to the Presumption of Innocence*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 469-484; TOMLIN (Patrick), *Could the Presumption of Innocence Protect the Guilty?*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 431-447; WEIGEND (Thomas), *Assuming that the Defendant Is Not Guilty: The Presumption of Innocence in the German System of Criminal Justice*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 285-299; LIPPKE (Richard L.), *The Prosecutor and the Presumption of Innocence*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 337-352; ROBERTS (Paul), *Loss of Innocence in Common Law Presumptions*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 317-336; TADROS (Victor), *The Ideal of the Presumption of Innocence*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 449-467; SHINER (Roger A.), *Corporations and the Presumption of Innocence*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 485-503; CLARK (Sherman J.), *The Juror, the Citizen, and the Human Being: The Presumption of Innocence and the Burden of Judgment*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 421-429; RICHARDSON (William), *The Presumption of Innocence in Canonical Trials of Clerics Accused of Child Sexual Abuse: An Historical Analysis of the Current Law*, Leuven: Peeters, 2011; SCHWIKKARD (Pamela-Jane), *Presumption of Innocence*, Kenwyn: Juta, 1999; MORTON (James Cooper) & HUTCHISON (Scott C.), *The Presumption of Innocence*, Toronto: Carswell, 1987; THAYER (James Bradley), *The Presumption of Innocence in Criminal Cases*, 1897.
- 257 About the relationship between social class and the law, WALSH (Anthony), *Social Class and Crime: A Biosocial Approach*, London: Routledge, 2011; BARAK (Gregg) ET AL., *Class, Race, Gender, and Crime: The Social Realities of Justice in America*, 3rd ed., Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2010; KIRKUP (James), *Labour Plans 'Class War' Law to Narrow the Gap between Rich and Poor*, Telegraph, Jan. 13, 2009; BARBALET (Jack M.), *Moral Indignation, Class Inequality and Justice: An Exploration*

and *Revision of Ranulf*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2002, pp. 279-297; BARBALET (Jack M.), *Citizenship: Rights, Struggle and Class Inequality*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1988; SERON (Carroll) & MUNGER (Frank), *Law and Inequality: Race, Gender... and, of Course, Class*, *Annual Review of Sociology*, Vol. 22, 1996, pp. 187-212; BAUMGARTNER (Mary Pat), *Law and the Middle Class: Evidence from a Suburban Town*, *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1985, pp. 3-24; BRAITHWAITE (John), *The Myth of Social Class and Criminality Reconsidered*, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 1981, pp. 36-57; SNYDER (Francis G.) *Law and Development in the Light of Dependency Theory*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1980, pp. 723-804; TRUBEK (David M.), *Complexity and Contradiction in the Legal Order: Balbus and the Challenge of Critical Social Thought about Law*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1977, pp. 529-569.

258 DAUVÉ (Gilles), *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Autonomy*, op. cit., p. 27.

259 ANONYMOUS, *Safe as Death*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 5.

260 MILAJ (Jonida) & BONNICI (Jeanne Pia Mifsud), *Unwitting Subjects of Surveillance and the Presumption of Innocence*, *Computer Law and Security Review*, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2014, pp. 426-427.

261 See LUTHER (Daisy), *Crimes Against Humanity: How Monsanto Is Turning a Hawaiian Island into a Petri Dish Complete with Human Lab Rats*, *Organic Prepper*, June 13, 2013; RAPPOPORT (Jon), *Monsanto and the FDA: 2 Crime Families Working a Trillion-Dollar Hustle*, *Word Press*, Mar. 1, 2013; PERCY (John), *Monsanto's Crimes Unpunished!*, *Direct Action*, No. 37, 2012; KATZ (Rebecca S.), *Environmental Pollution: Corporate Crime and Cancer Mortality*, *Contemporary Justice Review*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2012, pp. 97-125; ROBIN (Marie-Monique), *The World According to Monsanto: Pollution, Corruption, and the Control of Our Food Supply*, trans. by George Holoch, New York: The New Press, 2009; *Monsanto: Corporate Crimes*, *Corporate Watch*, *Occupy Monsanto*.

262 For example, see SCHLEEF (Debra), *Reproducing Racism*, op. cit.; MOORE (Wendy Leo), *Reproducing Racism*, op. cit.; BREWER (Rose M.) & HEITZEG (Nancy A.), *The Racialization of Crime and Punishment*, op. cit.; STEINHARDT (Jennifer L.), *Law Students, Faculty Protest Racial Incidents*, *Harvard Crimson*, Apr. 16, 2002; BACKHOUSE (Constance), *Colour-Coded*, op. cit.; HEVESI (Dennis), *Law Schools Boycotted Over Lack of Minority Teachers*, *New York Times*, Apr. 6, 1990; MORRIS (Aldon) ET AL., *White Supremacy and Higher Education: The Alabama Higher Education Desegregation Case*, *National Black Law Journal*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1994-1997, pp. 59-91; CRENSHAW (Kimberlé Williams), *Forward: Toward a Race-Conscious Pedagogy in Legal Education*, *National Black Law Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1988-1990, pp. 1-14.

263 NICOLSON (Donald), *Demography, Discrimination and Diversity: A New Dawn for the British Legal Profession?*, *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2005, p. 201. See also CAPPELL (Charles), *The Status of Black Lawyers*, *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1990, pp. 100-121.

264 LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *Critical Thinking as an Anarchist Weapon*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 3.

265 See CASSIDY (John), *N.S.A. Scandal: God Save US from the Lawyers*, *New Yorker*, June 12, 2013.

266 About this type of education, see CABRERA (Nolan León), *Exposing Whiteness in Higher Education: White Male College Students Minimizing Racism, Claiming Victimization, and Recreating White Supremacy*, *Race Ethnicity and Education*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2014, pp. 30-55; HANIFI (Shah Mahmoud), *The Intellectual Impact of Colonialism and the Urgency of Decolonizing Knowledge of Afghanistan*, *Middle East Institute*, Apr. 18, 2012; STANLEY (Timothy John), *Contesting White Supremacy: School Segregation, Anti-Racism, and the Making of Chinese Canadians*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2011; TAHSIN (Hassan), *Imperialist Democracy, Intellectual Colonialism*, *Arab News*, Mar. 16, 2007; DEI (George Jerry Sefa) & KEMPF (Arlo) (ed.), *Anti-Colonialism and Education: The Politics of Resistance*, Rotterdam: Sense, 2006; GILLBORN (David), *Rethinking White Supremacy Who Counts in 'White World'*, *Ethnicities*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2006, pp. 318-340; GILLBORN (David), *Education Policy as an Act of White Supremacy: Whiteness, Critical Race Theory and Education Reform*, *Journal of Education Policy*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2005, pp. 485-505; KHAREM (Haroon), *A Curriculum of Repression: A Pedagogy of Racial History in the United States*, New York: Peter Lang, 2006; DENNIS (Michael), *The Skillful Use of Higher Education to Protect White Supremacy*, *Journal of Blacks in Higher Education*, Vol. No. 32, 2001, pp. 115-123; MYERS (Garth Andrew), *Intellectual of Empire: Eric Dutton and Hegemony in British Africa*, *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, Vol. 88, No. 1, 1998, pp. 1-27.

267 See SNELGROVE (Corey), *Insurgent Settler Research: Preliminary Thoughts*, *Word Press*, Dec. 2012; CORNTASSEL (Jeff) & GAUDRY (Adam), *Insurgent Education and Indigenous-Centered Research: Opening New Pathways to Community Resurgence*, in ETMANSKI (Catherine) ET AL. (ed.), *Learning and Teaching Community Based Research: Linking Pedagogy to Practice*, University of Toronto Press, 2012, pp. 195-216; GAUDRY (Adam J. P.), *Insurgent Research*, *Wicazo Sa Review*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2011, pp. 113-136; FREIRE (Paulo), *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, trans. by Myra Bergman Ramos, intr. by Donald Macedo, New York: Continuum, 2000.

Chapter 4: State Stigmatization and Repression

¹ JACKSON (Robert), *Summation for the Prosecution*, Nuremberg Trials, July 26, 1946.

² I should notice that this Chapter is shorter than others, because my other writings and bibliographies have offered more information as well as examples concerning State stigmatization and repression, particularly against anarchism. Particularly, see “*Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*”, and “*Police Brutality, Murder, and Crimes*”, both published on Academia.edu.

³ For instance, Fernandez thinks that the concept of “social control of dissident” is larger than the term “repression”, because the former “opens up the theoretical possibilities for broader studies of protest control,” while the latter is “limited to cover tactics such as harassment, intimidation, assault, detainment, and murder,” and consequently “too narrowly constructed and leaves out multiples spheres of contention and domination.” FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident: Social Control and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2008, p. 9. About the concepts of “State repression” and “social control”, see also CAPELLAN (Joel A.) & PORTER (Jeremy R.), *Spaces of Terror: Modeling Spatial Non-Stationarity in State-Level Repression*, *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2014, pp. 245-258; RITTER (Emily Hencken), *Policy Disputes, Political Survival, and the Onset and Severity of State Repression*, *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2014, pp. 143-168; ARSOVSKA (Jana), *The “G-local” Dimension of Albanian Organized Crime: Mafias, Strategic Migration and State Repression*, *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2014, pp. 205-223; DIMITROV (Martin K.) & SASSOON (Joseph), *State Security, Information, and Repression: A Comparison of Communist Bulgaria and Ba’thist Iraq*, *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2014, pp. 3-31; FAGAN (Geraldine), *A Word of Justice: Islam and State Repression in the North-West Caucasus*, *Central Asian Survey*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2014, pp. 29-46; SCHNEIDER (Nina), *Waiting for a Meaningful State Apology: Has Brazil Apologized for Authoritarian Repression?*, *Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 69-84; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue? Répression Policière et Mouvements Sociaux*, Montréal: Écosociété, 2013, pp. 28-37; BECKETT (Katherine) & HERBERT (Steve), *Penal Boundaries: Banishment and the Expansion of Punishment*, *Law and Social Inquiry*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2010, pp. 1-38; BECKETT (Katherine) & HERBERT (Steve), *Dealing with Disorder: Social Control in the Post-Industrial City*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2008, pp. 5-30; DAVENPORT (Christian), *Media Bias, Perspective, and State Repression: The Black Panther Party*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010; DAVENPORT (Christian), *State Repression and the Domestic Democratic Peace*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007; DAVENPORT (Christian), *State Repression and Political Order*, *Annual Review of Political Science*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1-23; DAVENPORT (Christian) (ed.), *Paths to State Repression: Human Rights Violations and Contentious Politics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2000; DAVENPORT (Christian), *Multi-Dimensional Threat Perception and State Repression: An Inquiry into Why States Apply Negative Sanctions*, *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 39, No. 3, 1995, pp. 683-713; WOOD (Reed M.), “A Hand upon the Throat of the Nation”: *Economic Sanctions and State Repression, 1976-2001*, *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 52, No. 3, 2008, pp. 489-513; BRATTON (Michael) & MASUNUNGURE (Eldred), *Popular Reactions to State Repression: Operation Murambatsvina in Zimbabwe*, *African Affairs*, Vol. 106, No. 422, 2007, pp. 21-45; BYRNE (James M.) & REBOVICH (Donald J.), *The New Technology of Crime, Law and Social Control* Monsey, New York: Criminal Justice Press, 2007; DEUTSCHMANN (Linda Bell), *Deviance and Social Control*, 4th ed., Toronto: Thomson/Nelson, 2007; BEGGAN (Dominic M.), *State Repression and Political Violence: Insurgency in Northern Ireland*, *International Journal on World Peace*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2006, pp. 61-90; KHAREM (Harooun), *A Curriculum of Repression*, op. cit.; JELIN (Elizabeth), *State Repression and the Labors of Memory*, trans. by Judy Rein and Marcial Godoy-Anativia, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2003; WRIGHT (Teresa), *The Perils of Protest: State Repression and Student Activism in China and Taiwan*, Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 2001; WHITE (Robert W.) & WHITE (Terry Falkenberg), *Repression and the Liberal State: The Case of Northern Ireland, 1969-1972*, *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, pp. 330-352; KRIEKEN (Robert van), *The Poverty of Social Control*, op. cit.; HENRY (Stuart) (ed.), *Social Control: Aspects of Non-State Justice*, Aldershot: Dartmouth, 1994; LIDSTONE (Ken W.), *Social Control and the Criminal Law*, *British Journal of Criminology*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1987, pp. 31-36; DAVIS (Nanette J.) & ANDERSON (Bo), *Social Control: The Production of Deviance in the Modern State*, New York: Irvington, 1983; STEINERT (Heinz), *Against a Conspiracy Theory of Criminal Law à propos Hepburn’s “Social Control and the Legal Order”*, *Contemporary Crises*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1977, pp. 437-440; WILSON (John), *Social Protest and Social Control*, *Social Problems*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 1977, pp. 469-481; WATKINS (Cecil Kenneth), *Social Control: Aspects of Modern Society*, London: Longman, 1975; JANOWITZ (Morris), *Sociological Theory and Social Control*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 81, No. 1, 1975, pp. 82-108; COOK-GUMPERZ (Jenny), *Social Control and Socialization: A Study of Class Differences in the Language of Maternal Control*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1973; ADAMEK (Raymond J.) & LEWIS (Jerry M.), *Social Control Violence and Radicalization: The*

Kent State Case, Social Forces, Vol. 51, No. 3, 1973, pp. 342-347; MILLER (Justin), *Criminal Law: An Agency for Social Control*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 43, No. 5, 1934, pp. 691-715.

⁴ See MARGULIES (Phillip) & ROSALER (Maxine), *The Devil on Trial: Witches, Anarchists, Atheists, Communists, and Terrorists in America's Courtrooms*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 2008.

⁵ See DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue?*, op. cit., pp. 22-28.

⁶ WHITE (Robert W.) & WHITE (Terry Falkenberg), *Repression and the Liberal State*, op. cit., p. 330.

⁷ FRANCISCO (Ronald A.), *The Relationship between Coercion and Protest: An Empirical Evaluation in Three Coercive States*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, p. 267; DAVENPORT (Christian), *Multi-Dimensional Threat Perception and State Repression*, op. cit., p. 683.

⁸ See BARKAN (Steven E.), *Criminal Prosecution and the Legal Control of Protest*, Mobilization, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, p. 181.

⁹ BEGGAN (Dominic M.), *State Repression and Political Violence*, op. cit., p. 61.

¹⁰ BRATTON (Michael) & MASUNUNGURE (Eldred), *Popular Reactions to State Repression*, op. cit., p. 23.

¹¹ RITTER (Emily Hencken), *Policy Disputes, Political Survival, and the Onset and Severity of State Repression*, op. cit., p. 143; DAVENPORT (Christian) (ed.), *Paths to State Repression*, op. cit.

¹² The anarchists do not certainly oppose the free movement of people and capital, since this movement is currently reserved for few nations, multinational corporations, and elites. GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *A Conversation with Anarchist David Graeber*, interview by Charlie Rose, YouTube, Jan. 29, 2013.

¹³ About these movements, see TAYLOR (Blair), *From Alterglobalization to Occupy Wall Street: Neoanarchism and the New Spirit of the Left*, City, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2013, pp. 729-747; HOOFD (Ingrid M.), *Ambiguities of Activism: Alter-Globalism and the Imperatives of Speed*, New York: Routledge, 2012; LENCO (Peter), *Deleuze and World Politics: Alter-Globalizations and Nomad Science*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2012; STEGER (Manfred B.) & WILSON (Erin K.), *Anti-Globalization or Alter-Globalization? Mapping the Political Ideology of the Global Justice Movement*, International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 56, No. 3, 2012, pp. 439-454; MARK, *Breaking the Barricades: Quebec's Carnival of Resistance Against Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2012; MARK, *Class Struggle Beyond Anti-Globalization Protest*, Anarchist Library, 2002; ROBERTSON (Kirsty), *Capitalist Cocktails and Moscow Mules: The Art World and Alter-Globalization Protest*, Globalizations, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2011, pp. 473-486; CONWAY (Janet), *Analysing Hegemonic Masculinities in the Anti-Globalization Movement(s)*, International Feminist Journal of Politics, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2011, pp. 225-230; CONWAY (Janet), *Civil Resistance and the "Diversity of Tactics" in the Anti-Globalization Movement: Problems of Violence, and Solidarity in Activist Politics*, Osgood Hall Law Journal, Vol. 41, No. 2-3, 2003, pp. 505-529; PLEYERS (Geoffrey), *Alter-Globalization: Becoming Actors in the Global Age*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2010; BUZGALIN (Iagin), *Towards the Theory of Alter Globalism Ghost of Alter Globalization*, Russia, 2009; BAKKER (Karen), *The "Commons" Versus the "Commodity": Alter-Globalization, Anti-Privatization and the Human Right to Water in the Global South*, Antipode, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2007, pp. 430-455; MARTÍNEZ LÓPEZ (Miguel A.), *The Squatters' Movement: Urban Counter-Culture and Alter-Globalization Dynamics*, South European Society and Politics, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2007, pp. 379-398; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit.; CURRAN (Giorel), *21st Century Dissent*, op. cit.; CLARK (John D.) & THEMUDO (Nuno S.), *Linking the Web and the Street: Internet-Based "Dotcauses" and the "Anti-Globalization" Movement*, World Development, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2006, pp. 50-74; BRAMBLE (Tom), *'Another World Is Possible': A Study of Participants at Australian Alter-Globalization Social Forums*, Journal of Sociology, Vol. 42, No. 3, 2006, pp. 287-309; WALL (Derek), *Babylon and Beyond: The Economics of Anti-Capitalist, Anti-Globalist and Radical Green Movements*, London: Pluto Press, 2005; BURSENS (Peter) & SINARET (Dave), *The Anti-Globalisation Movement and the European Union: Horizontal Cohesion of the Laeken Protesters*, Perspectives on European Politics and Society, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2005, pp. 55-77; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Framing Collective Action Against Neoliberalism: The Case of the "Anti-Globalization" Movement*, Journal of World-Systems Research, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2004, pp. 11-34; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Transnational Political Processes and Contention against the Global Economy*, Mobilization, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2001, pp. 55-68; BROOKS (D. Christopher), *Faction in Movement: The Impact of Inclusivity on the Anti-Globalization Movement*, Social Science Quarterly, Vol. 85, No. 3, 2004, pp. 559-577; BAYKAN (Barış Gençer) & LELANDIS (Gülçin Erdi), *Cross-Readings of the Anti-Globalisation Movement in Turkey and Beyond. Political Culture in the Making*, International Social Science Journal, Vol. 56, No. 182, 2004, pp. 519-528; ARTNER (Annamaria), *Anti-Globalization Movements: The Developments in Asia*, Contemporary Politics, Vol. 10, No. 3-4, 2004, pp. 243-255; ESCOBAR (Arturo), *Beyond the Third World: Imperial Globality, Global Coloniality and Anti-Globalisation Social Movements*, Third World Quarterly, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2004, pp. 207-230; STARR (Amory), *How Can Anti-Imperialism Not Be Anti-Racist? The North American Anti-Globalization Movement*, Journal of World-Systems Research, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2004, pp. 119-151; STARR (Amory) & ADAMS (Jason), *Anti-Globalization: The Global Fight for Local Autonomy*, New Political Science, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2003, pp. 19-42; DAY (Richard J. F.), *Anarchism, Indigenism, and*

Anti-Globalization in North American Social Movements, *DeriveApprodi*, Nov. 2003; MORSE (Chuck), *Theory of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *New Formulation*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2003; MORSE (Chuck), *Theory of the Anti-Globalization Movement, Part II*, *New Formulation*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2002; AELST (Peter Van) & WALGRAVE (Stefaan), *New Media, New Movements? The Role of the Internet in Shaping the 'Anti-Globalization' Movement*, *Information, Communication and Society*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, pp. 465-493; RAJGOPAL (Shoba S.), *Reclaiming Democracy? The Anti-Globalization Movement in South Asia*, *Feminist Review*, No. 70, 2002, pp. 134-137; CAFFENTZIS (George), *Oil, Islam and September 11: An Essay Addressed to the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *Review of African Political Economy*, Vol. 29, No. 91, 2002, pp. 187-198; BLEIKER (Roland), *Activism after Seattle: Dilemmas of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *Pacifica Review*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2002, pp. 191-207; ANONYMOUS, *The Anarchist Ethic in the Age of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *Anarchist Library*, 2001; EPSTEIN (Barbara), *Anarchism and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *Monthly Review*, Vol. 53, No. 4, 2001, pp. 1-14; FOTOPOULOS (Takis), *Globalization, the Reformist Left and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, *Democracy and Nature*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2001, pp. 233-280; COUCH (Jen), *Imagining Zapatismo: The Anti-Globalisation Movement and the Zapatistas*, *Communal/Plural*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2001, pp. 243-260; PANAYOTAKIS (Costas), *On the Self-Understanding of the "Anti-Globalization" Movement: A View from Genoa*, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2001, pp. 95-102; DEFILIPPIS (James), *Our Resistance Must Be as Local as Capitalism Place, Scale and the Anti-Globalization Protest Movement*, *City*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2001, pp. 363-373; GOPAL (Shankar), *American Anti-Globalisation Movement: Re-Examining Seattle Protests*, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 36, No. 34, 2001, pp. 3226-3232; CAPLING (Ann) & NOSSAL (Kim Richard), *Death of Distance or Tyranny of Distance? The Internet, Deterritorialization, and the Anti-Globalization Movement in Australia*, *Pacific Review*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2001, pp. 443-465; LLOYD (John), *The Protest Ethic: How the Anti-Globalisation Movement Challenges Social Democracy*, London: Demos, 2001.

¹⁴ For example, see TINNEVELT (Ronald), *Federal World Government*, op. cit.; ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MULLINS (Christopher W.), *The Death of State Sovereignty? An Empirical Exploration*, *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2010, pp. 79-96; MARTEL (James R.), *Divine Violence*, op. cit., pp. 4-5; LENHARD (Johannes), *Is Globalization causing the Decline of the Nation-State?*, München: GRIN Verlag GmbH, 2010; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 167-168; MARTIN (Brian), *Backfire Manual: Tactics against Injustice*, Sparsnäs: Irene, 2012; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.) (ed.), *Racial Profiling and Borders: International, Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, Lake Mary: Vandeplas, 2010; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.) (ed.), *Racism and Borders: Representation, Repression, Resistance*, New York: Agora, 2010; BOYKOFF (Jules), *Beyond Bullets: The Suppression of Dissent in the United States*, Oakland and Edinburgh: AK Press, 2007; MOK (Ka Ho), *Globalisation, New Education Governance and State Capacity in East Asia*, *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1-21; LAFFEY (Mark) & WELDES (Jutta), *Policing and Global Governance*, in BARNETT (Michael) & DUVALL (Raymond) (ed.), *Power in Global Governance*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005, pp. 65-66; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Framing Collective Action Against Neoliberalism*, op. cit., p. 29; OUGAARD (Morten), *Political Globalization: State, Power and Social Forces*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004; LENTNER (Howard H.), *Power and Politics in Globalization: The Indispensable State*, New York: Routledge, 2004; VEESER (Cyrus), *Book Review: Power and Politics in Globalization: The Indispensable State (By Howard H. Lentner, Routledge, 2004)*, *Business and Society Review*, Vol. 110, No. 2, 2005, pp. 225-232; TILLY (Charles), *Social Movements, 1768-2004*, Boulder: Paradigm, 2004, p. 101; WEISS (Linda), *Globalization and State Power*, *Development and Society*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2000, pp. 1-15; CASTLES (Stephen) & DADVIDSON (Alastair), *Citizenship and Migration: Globalization and the Politics of Belonging*, New York: Routledge, 2000; EVANS (Peter B.), *The Eclipse of the State?*, op. cit.; STRANGE (Susan), *The Retreat of the State: The Diffusion of Power in the World Economy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996; OHMAE (Kenichi), *The End of Nation State: The Rise of Regional Economies*, New York: Free Press, 1995; HORSMAN (Mathew) & MARSHALL (Andrew), *After the Nation-State: Citizens, Tribalism and the New World Disorder*, London: HarperCollins, 1995; *Globalisation's Eclipse of the Nation-State: How Globalisation Is Overriding the Autonomy of the Nation-State*, About.

¹⁵ GEUSS (Raymond), op. cit., p. 128; GONDEK (Michal), *The Reach of Human Rights in a Globalising World: Extraterritorial Application of Human Rights Treaties*, Antwerp: Intersentia, 2009; GONDEK (Michal), *Extraterritorial Application of the European Convention on Human Rights*, op. cit. See also BULTO (Takele Soboka), *The Extraterritorial Application of the Human Right to Water in Africa*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.

¹⁶ MARTIN (Brian), *Governments Are Terrorists' Best Teachers*, *Illawarra Mercury*, Feb. 5, 2003, p. 21.

¹⁷ JOHANSEN (Jørgen), *Humor as a Political Force, or How to Open the Eyes of Ordinary People in Social Democratic Countries*, *Philosophy and Social Action*, Vol. 17, No. 3-4, 1991, p. 23.

¹⁸ WILSON (John), *Social Protest and Social Control*, op. cit., pp. 470, 475. See also STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets: Political Violence and Social Control in the Global Era (Protest Policing)*, New York: New York University Press, 2011, p. 8.

¹⁹ OLIVER (Pamela E.), *Repression and Crime Control Why Social Movements Scholars Should Pay Attention to Mass Incarceration as a Forum of Repression*, Mobilization, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2008, pp. 1-24; STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets*, op. cit., pp. 8-9.

²⁰ See *Biometric Stock Directory Updated: Global Biometric Market Anticipated to Reach a Value of USD 23.3 Billion by 2019*, InvestorIdeas, Aug. 13, 2014; *Schengen Information System (SIS)*, European Commission, Aug. 14, 2013; *Personal Data in Schengen Information System (SIS)*, Data State Inspectorate, June 11, 2013; ZAIOTTI (Ruben), *The Italo-French Row over Schengen, Critical Junctures, and the Future of Europe's Border Regime*, Journal of Borderlands Studies, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2013, pp. 337-354; ZAIOTTI (Ruben), *Revisiting Schengen: Europe and the Emergence of a New Culture of Border Control, Perspectives on European Politics and Society*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2007, pp. 31-54; KARANJA (Stephen Kabera), *Transparency and Proportionality in the Schengen Information System and Border Control Co-Operation*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2008; O'NEILL (Maria), *A Critical Analysis of the EU Legal Provisions on Terrorism, Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 20, No 1, 2007, pp. 26-48; BROEDERS (Dennis), *The New Digital Borders of Europe: EU Databases and the Surveillance of Irregular Migrants*, International Sociology, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2007, pp. 71-92; ROSS (James C.), *Biometrics: Intersecting Borders and Bodies in Liberal Bionetwork States*, Journal of Borderlands Studies, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2007, pp. 77-96; CARRERA (Sergio), *What Does Free Movement Mean in Theory and Practice in an Enlarged EU?*, European Law Journal, Vol. 11, No. 6, 2005, pp. 699-721; *VIS and SIS II Contracts Now Confirmed*, Biometric Technology Today, Vol. 13, No. 5, 2005, p. 3; SAMATAS (Minas), *Greece in 'Schengenland': Blessing or Anathema for Citizens' and Foreigners' Rights?*, Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2003, pp. 141-156; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The New Anarchists*, New Left Review, Vol. 13, 2002, p. 64; MAHMOOD (Shiraz), *The Schengen Information System: An Inequitable Data Protection Regime*, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1995, pp. 179-200; BEVERS (Hans), *Police Observation and the 1990 Schengen Convention*, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1993, pp. 83-107; *Applying the Schengen Agreement of 14 June 1985*, Hellenic Resources Network, June 19, 1990.

²¹ MATHIESEN (Thomas), *The Rise of the Surveillant State in Times of Globalization*, in SUMNER (Colin) (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Criminology*, Malden: Blackwell, 2004, pp. 446-447.

²² MATHIESEN (Thomas), *The Rise of the Surveillant State in Times of Globalization*, op. cit., p. 447.

²³ *Blair: Anarchists Will Not Stop Us*, BBC News, June 16, 2001.

²⁴ *Blair*, op. cit. See also HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 1.

²⁵ MARTIN (Brian), *Protest in a Liberal Democracy*, Philosophy and Social Action, Vol. 20, No. 1-2, 1994, pp. 13-24.

²⁶ HELM (Toby), *Tony Blair Should Face Trial over Iraq War, Says Desmond Tutu*, Guardian, Sep. 2, 2012.

²⁷ See *CIA Torture Brutal and Ineffective: US Senate Report*, Daily Mail, Dec. 10, 2014; ACKERMAN (Spencer) ET AL., *Senate Report on CIA Torture Claims Spy Agency Lied about 'Ineffective' Program*, Guardian, Dec. 9, 2014; RUSHE (Dominic) ET AL., *Rectal Rehydration and Broken Limbs: The Grisliest Findings in the CIA Torture Report*, Guardian, Dec. 9, 2014; DILANIAN (Ken) & KLAPPER (Bradley), *Torture Report Finds CIA's Brutal Tactics Didn't Make U.S. Safer After 9-11*, CTV News, Dec. 9, 2014; GOMEZ (Alan), *U.S. Personnel Brace for Torture Backlash Worldwide*, USA Today, Dec. 9, 2014; FAIR (Eric), *I Can't Be Forgiven for Abu Ghraib*, New York Times, Dec. 9, 2014; *Are George W. Bush, Dick Cheney Unable to Visit Europe due to Threat of Arrest?*, PolitiFact, July 16, 2014; KIMBERLEY (Margaret), *America's War Crime in Iraq*, Global Research, June 18, 2014; NEWMAN (Alex), *UN Could Prosecute Bush for War Crimes, Says Ex-U.S. Terror Czar*, New American, June 9, 2014; ASHTARI (Shadee), *Former Counterterrorism Czar Richard Clarke: Bush, Cheney Committed War Crimes*, Huffington Post, May 29, 2014; GARCIA (Twiggy), *Tony Blair Should Be Prosecuted for War Crimes – Not Just Judged by History*, Guardian, May 7, 2014; BRUSSELLS TRIBUNAL, *War Criminal George W. Bush Comes to Canada*, Global Research, Apr. 28, 2014; JAMAIL (Dahr), *Lawsuit Against Bush and Blair for War Crimes? International Lawyers Seek Justice for Iraqis*, Global Research, Apr. 23, 2014; WILLIAMS (Zoe), *Stop Calling Tony Blair a War Criminal. The Left Should Be Proud of His Record*, Guardian, Apr. 8, 2014; MANSFIELD (Michael), *Why Bush, Blair Should Be Charged With War Crimes Over Iraq Invasion*, CNN, Mar. 19, 2013; *Press TV Documentary: International War Criminals*, Bush to The Hague, Nov. 25, 2012; LAUGHLAND (Oliver) & SANER (Emine), *Tony Blair and the Protesters Who Keep Trying to Arrest Him for War Crimes*, Guardian, Nov. 16, 2012; MONBIOT (George), *We're One Crucial Step Closer to Seeing Tony Blair at The Hague*, Guardian, Sep. 3, 2012; *Desmond Tutu Calls for War Crimes Charges for Blair, Bush*, CBC News, Sep. 2, 2012; *Desmond Tutu Calls for Blair and Bush to Be Tried over Iraq*, BBC News Sep. 2, 2012; ADDLEY (Esther) ET AL., *Tony Blair Accused of War Crimes by Protester at Leveson Inquiry*, Guardian, May 28, 2012; RIDLEY (Yvonne), *Bush Convicted of War Crimes in Absentia*, Foreign Policy Journal, May 12, 2012; SMITH (Charles Anthony), *The Rise and Fall of War Crimes Trials: From Charles I to Bush II*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012; KOLIN (Andrew), *State Power and Democracy: Before and During the Presidency of George W. Bush*, New York:

Palgrave Macmillan, 2011; FALK (Richard Anderson), *Kuala Lumpur tribunal: Bush and Blair Guilty*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 28, 2011; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), *State Crimes Against Democracy in the War on Terror: Applying the Nuremberg Principles to the Bush-Cheney Administration*, Contemporary Politics, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2010, pp. 403-420; FALK (Richard Anderson) ET AL. (ed.), *Crimes of War: Iraq*, New York: Nation Books, 2006; *Bush Scandals List*, NetRootsMass, June 2, 2009; HEURICH (Sarah J.) & VAUGHN (Michael S.), *Ill-Treatment and Torture at Abu Ghraib Prison: Irrational Policy Implementation and Administrative Breakdown*, Criminal Justice Studies, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2009, pp. 181-201; BOYLE (Francis Anthony), *The Bush Administration Is an Ongoing Criminal Conspiracy Under International Law and U.S. Domestic Law*, Global Research, Sep. 2, 2008; BAZELON (Emily) ET AL., *Crimes and Misdemeanors*, Slate, July 24, 2008; H.Res. 1258 (110th): *Impeaching George W. Bush, President of the United States, of High Crimes and Misdemeanors*, GovTrack, June 11, 2008; BUGLIOSI (Vincent), *The Prosecution of George W. Bush for Murder*, Cambridge: Vanguard Press, 2008; HAAS (Michael), *America's War Crimes Quagmire, from Bush to Obama*, op. cit.; HAAS (Michael), *George W. Bush, War Criminal?*, op. cit.; PAUST (Jordan J.), *Beyond the Law: The Bush Administration's Unlawful Responses in the "War" on Terror*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007; HAMM (Mark S.), *'High Crimes and Misdemeanors'*, op. cit.; COHN (Marjorie), *Donald Rumsfeld: The War Crimes Case*, Jurist, Nov. 9, 2006; GIROUX (Henry A.), *The Emerging Authoritarianism in the United States: Political Culture under the Bush/Cheney Administration*, Symplokē, Vol. 14, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 98-151; WELCH (Michael), *Scapegoats of September 11th: Hate Crimes and State Crimes in the War on Terror*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2006; HAKKI (Murat Metin), *War Crimes and the War in Iraq: Can George W. Bush and Tony Blair Be Held Legally Responsible?*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2006, pp. 3-17; HAKKI (Murat Metin), *The Second Iraq War One Year On: Can George W. Bush and Tony Blair Be Tried for War Crimes?*, Human Rights Review, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2004, pp. 86-103; PINTER (Harold), *Art, Truth and Politics*, Nobel Lecture, Dec. 7, 2005; SHEPARD (William S.), *International Law and the War on Terrorism*, Mediterranean Quarterly, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2005, pp. 79-93; FICKLING (David), *Pinter Demands War Crimes Trial for Blair*, Guardian, Dec. 7, 2005; CONWAY (Doug), *Writer Says Bush, Blair War Criminals*, Age, Nov. 4, 2004; AHMED (Nafeez Mosaddeq), *Behind the War on Terror: Western Secret Strategy and the Struggle for Iraq*, East Sussex: Clairview, 2003; ESQUIVEL (Adolfo Perez), *Bush's Crime Against Humanity*, New Perspectives Quarterly, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2003, pp. 48-49; CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent: How Post-September 11 Anti-Terrorism Measures Threaten Our Civil Liberties*, foreword by Howard Zinn, New York: Seven Stories Press, 2002; *Bush's Crimes*, Friends of the Earth; *Blair War Crimes Foundation*, Word Press; *Arrest Blair for Crimes Against Peace*; *Tony Blair War Criminal*; *Bush Crimes*.

²⁸ See KOH (Harold Hongju) & BUCHWALD (Todd F.), *The Crime of Aggression: The United States Perspective*, American Journal of International Law, Vol. 109, No. 2, 2015, pp. 257-295; *Britain, War Crimes and the International Criminal Court*, Guardian, May 15, 2014; COBAIN (Ian), *ICC to Examine Claims that British Troops Carried out War Crimes in Iraq*, Guardian, May 13, 2014; OWEN (Jonathan), *Iraq Inquiry: International Criminal Court Will Investigate 'Abuse' by UK Troops*, Independent, May 13, 2014; *ICC to Investigate Claims of Abuse by UK Forces in Iraq*, BBC News, May 13, 2014; LILJAS (Per), *Top U.K. Defense Officials Accused of War Crimes*, Time, Jan. 13, 2014; BOCK (Charles De), *The Crime of Aggression: Prospects and Perils for the Third World*, Chinese Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 91-131; SCHABAS (William A.), *International War Crimes Tribunals and the United States*, Diplomatic History, Vol. 35, No. 5, 2011, pp. 769-786; ANDERSSON (Nils) ET AL., *International Justice and Impunity: The Case for the United States*, Atlanta: Clarity Press, 2008; PITTMAN (Thomas Wayde) & HEAPHY (Matthew), *Does the United States Really Prosecute Its Service Members for War Crimes? Implications for Complementarity before the International Criminal Court*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2008, pp. 165-183; ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MULLINS (Christopher W.), *The International Criminal Court and United States Opposition*, Crime, Law and Social Change, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2006, pp. 201-226; JOHANSEN (Robert C.), *The Impact of US Policy toward the International Criminal Court on the Prevention of Genocide, War Crimes, and Crimes against Humanity*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2006, pp. 301-331; BOYLE (Francis Anthony), *The Hypocrisy and Racism Behind the Formulation of U.S. Human Rights Foreign Policy: In Honor of Clyde Ferguson*, Social Justice, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1989, pp. 71-93.

²⁹ See KEARNEY (Michael), *What Would Happen if Palestine Joined the International Criminal Court?*, Global Research, Aug. 22, 2014; HUSSAIN (Dilly), *The Muslim Blame Game: 'Not In My Name'*, Huffington Post, Aug. 18, 2014; *I'm Afraid the Bitter Truth Is Iraq and Libya Were better off under the Tyrants Toppled by an Arrogant and Naive West*, Daily Mail, July 31, 2014; TLADI (Dire), *Africa: What Will Africa Make of Its Opportunity to Lead the ICC?*, AllAfrica, July 30, 2014; ZHANGAZHA (Takura), *The ICC's Problematic 'Heart of Darkness' Approach in Africa*, Nehanda Radio, Oct. 21, 2013; MWENDA (Andrew M.), *Africa and the Curse of the ICC*, AllAfrica, Oct. 18, 2013; WEARING (David), *Freedom to Follow Orders: The Democracy Bush and Blair Wanted for Iraq*, Open Democracy, Mar. 14, 2013; HASSAN (Oz), *Constructing America's Freedom Agenda for the Middle East: Democracy and Domination*, Abingdon: New York: Routledge, 2013; *Africa and the International Criminal Court: Mending Fences*, Avocats Sans Frontières, Kampala and Brussels, July 2012; HOILE (David), *Is The ICC Fit for Purpose?*, New African, Mar. 1, 2012; KERSTEN (Mark), *Is the ICC Racist?*, Justice in Conflict, Feb. 22, 2012; HOILE (David), *The International Criminal Court: Europe's Guantanamo Bay?*, Africa Research Centre, 2010; WADDELL (Nicholas) & CLARK (Phil) (ed.), *Courting*

Conflict? Justice, Peace and the ICC in Africa, London: Royal African Society, 2008; SHARANSKY (Natan) & DERMER (Ron), *The Case for Democracy: The Power of Freedom to Overcome Tyranny and Terror*, New York: PublicAffairs, 2004; *Bush and Blair Discuss the Mideast*, New York Times, Nov. 12, 2004; *Transcript: The Bush-Blair Press Conference*, Guardian, Nov. 20, 2003.

³⁰ COMFORT (Alex), *Art and Social Responsibility*, London: Falcon Press, 1946, p. 79; quoted in HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 95.

³¹ About the NDAA and its critiques, see FULKERSON (Zack), *NDAA: What Obama Hoped You Wouldn't Notice About This Bill*, PolicyMic, Jan. 14, 2013; SLEDGE (Matt) & REILLY (Ryan J.), *NDAA Signed into Law by Obama Despite Guantanamo Veto Threat, Indefinite Detention Provisions*, Huffington Post, Jan. 3, 2013; *Obama Wins Back the Right to indefinitely Detain under NDAA*, Russia Today, July 17, 2013; *Obama Signs NDAA 2013 Without Objecting to Indefinite Detention of Americans*, Russia Today, Jan. 3, 2013; WARD (Jon), *Rand Paul Slams John McCain for Stripping NDAA of Protections against Indefinite Detention*, Huffington Post, Dec. 19, 2012; MCAULIFF (Michael), *NDAA Case: Indefinite Detention Injunction Does Irreparable Harm, Obama Admin. Lawyers Argue*, Huffington Post, Sep. 14, 2012; TRAUTMAN (Brian J.), *When Power Is Unaccountable: Why the NDAA Is Unconstitutional*, CounterPunch, Jan. 18, 2012; STANTON (Louise), *The National Defense Function and Federalism: The Importance of the 2008 National Defense Authorization Act*, Defense and Security Analysis, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2012, pp. 288-302.

³² See *America Is Complicit in the Carnage in Yemen*, New York Times, Aug. 17, 2016; OWENS (Eric), *Obama at War Longer than Any Other President*, Daily Caller, May 16, 2016; *Obama Becomes Longer Wartime President than George W. Bush*, Fox News Videos, May 16, 2016; LANDLER (Mark), *For Obama, an Unexpected Legacy of Two Full Terms at War*, New York Times, May 14, 2016; KATSIAFICAS (George), *Thank You Barack Obama for Showing Us that Peace Is War*, CounterPunch, May 11, 2016; RAMPTON (Roberta), *Obama Sends More Special Forces to Syria in Fight against IS*, Reuters, Apr. 26, 2016; SUPERVILLE (Darlene), *250 U.S. Troops Are Deploying to Syria as ISIS Fight Intensifies*, Military Times, Apr. 25, 2016; MERRY (Robert W.), *America's 5 Worst Wartime Presidents*, National Interest, Apr. 18, 2015; *Are Obama's Record Arms Sales to Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Egypt and Iraq Fueling Unrest in Middle East?*, Democracy Now!, Apr. 7, 2015; CARSON (Frank), *10 Most Controversial Nobel Peace Prize Winners*, Cheat Sheet, Dec. 25, 2014; CARROLL (Lauren) & GREENBERG (Jon), *Fact-Checking the War Comparisons between Obama and Bush*, Poynter, Oct. 1, 2014; BERGEN (Peter), *America's Wartime President*, CNN, Sep. 29, 2014; LUDWIG (David), *Obama's Nobel Peace Prize, 5 Years Later*, PolicyMic, Oct. 12, 2013; FRANKE-RUTA (Garance), *Before You Listen to Obama Tonight, Read His Nobel Peace Prize Speech*, Atlantic, Sep. 10, 2013; MCCALMONT (Lucy), *Kirsten Powers to President Obama: Give Back Nobel Prize*, Politico, Sep. 5, 2013; LUCAS (Fred), *Swedish Reporter actually Confronts Obama on His Nobel Peace Prize*, Blaze, Sep. 4, 2013; MASCARENHAS (Hyacinth), *Syria 2013: Did Obama Forget He Won A Nobel Peace Prize?*, PolicyMic, Sep. 4, 2013; BEAUCHAMP (Zack), *How Obama's Nobel Prize Speech Explains His Syria Policy*, Think Progress, Sep. 4, 2013; PHILLIP (Abby D.), *President Obama, Nobel Peace Prize Winner, Back on War Footing*, ABC News, Aug. 30, 2013; FRIEDERSDORF (Conor), *How Obama Ignored Congress, and Misled America, on War in Libya*, Atlantic, Sep. 13, 2012; MOORHEAD (Molly), *Obama's Wartime Presidency*, PolitiFact, Aug. 5, 2012; WILLIAMS (J. B.), *Obama Attacks Libya, and Where's Congress?*, American Thinker, Mar. 20, 2011; *Obama Nobel Peace Prize Appeal Sought by Bolivian President and Russian Lawmaker*, Huffington Post, Mar. 23, 2011; MURRAY (Nancy), *Obama and the Global War on Terror*, op. cit.; FLETCHER (Michael A.) & WILSON (Scott), *Obama Accepts Nobel Peace Prize, Defends 'Just War'*, Washington Post, Dec. 11, 2009; ZELENY (Eff), *Accepting Peace Prize, Obama Offers 'Hard Truth'*, New York Times, Dec. 10, 2009; FOUCHÉ (Gwladys) & MACASKILL (Ewen), *Humble Obama Accepts Nobel Prize*, Guardian, Dec. 10, 2009.

³³ OBAMA (Barack Hussein), *Remarks by the President at the Acceptance of the Nobel Peace Prize*, White House, Dec. 10, 2009

³⁴ King Philip's War, King William's War, Queen Anne's War, King George's War, French and Indian War, Cherokee War, American Revolution, Franco-American Naval War, Barbary Wars, War of 1812, Creek War, Texas War of Independence, Mexican-American War, American Civil War, Spanish-American War, World War I, World War II, Korean War, Vietnam War, Bay of Pigs Invasion, Invasion of Grenada, Invasion of Panama, Persian Gulf War, Intervention in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Afghanistan War, Iraq War, War on Terror, War on Drugs, War on Poverty, etc.

³⁵ See KINNEY (Michael), *Technology Plays Key Part in Battling Police Brutality (VIDEO)*, Washington Times Herald, July 24, 2014; SALTER (Michael), *Toys for the Boys? Drones, Pleasure and Popular Culture in the Militarisation of Policing*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2014, pp. 163-177; BRATICH (Jack Z.), *Adventures in the Public Secret Sphere: Police Sovereign Networks and Communications Warfare*, Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2014, pp. 11-20; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue?*, op. cit.; BALKO (Radley), *Rise of the Warrior Cop: The Militarization of America's Police Forces*, New York: PublicAffairs, 2013; INTERNATIONAL NETWORK OF CIVIL LIBERTIES ORGANIZATIONS, *"Take Back the*

Streets": *Repression and Criminalization of Protest around the World*, 2013; *Boston Police Spied on Occupy Protesters instead of Investigating Tsarnaevs*, Russia Today, May 10, 2013; *Boston Police Accused of Spying on Protesters and Intimidating Dissidents*, Russia Today, Oct. 18, 2012; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.) (ed.), *Protest and Punishment: The Repression of Resistance in the Era of Neoliberal Globalization*, Durham: Carolina Academic Press, 2012; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *If Left to their Own Devices: Police Provocation and Terrorists' Tendency to Fail*, Unrest Magazine, No. 9, 2013; MICHAELS (Martin), *Seattle Activists Fear another Informant in Their Midst*, Popular Resistance, Sep. 13, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *Convicted Sex Offender and Police Informant Attempts to Infiltrate Seattle's Activist Community*, Stranger, Sep. 10, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *New Evidence that the US Army Hired Spies to Go Undercover Among Local Anti-War Protesters*, Stranger, Aug. 20, 2013; MAIRA (Sunaina) & SZE (Julie), *Dispatches from Pepper Spray University: Privatization, Repression, and Revolts*, American Quarterly, Vol. 64, No. 2, 2012, pp. 315-330; AGAMBEN (Giorgio) & LÉVY (Yildune), *Le Secret le Mieux Gardé de l'Affaire de Tarnac*, Le Monde, Nov. 14, 2102; LUIJK (Nicolien van) & FRISBY (Wendy), *(Re)Framing of Protest at the 2010 Winter Olympic Games*, International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2012, pp. 343-359; MUÑOZA (Lucia Liste) & SOYSA (Indra de), *The Blog versus Big Brother: New and Old Information Technology and Political Repression, 1980-2006*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 15, No. 8, 2011, pp. 1315-1330; EARL (Jennifer), *Political Repression: Iron Fists, Velvet Gloves, and Diffuse Control*, Annual Review of Sociology, Vol. 37, 2011, pp. 261-284; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 32 ff.; ROTHE (Dawn L.) & MUZZATTI (Stephen L.), *Enemies Everywhere: Terrorism, Moral Panic, and US Civil Society*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2004, pp. 327-350; BALE (Jeffrey M.), *The May 1973 Terrorist Attack at Milan Police HQ: Anarchist 'Propaganda of the Deed' or 'False-Flag' Provocation?*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1996, pp. 132-166; WRIGHT (Steve), *The New Technologies of Political Repression*, op. cit.; DONNER (Frank), *Protectors of Privilege: Red Squads and Police Repression in Urban America*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1990; MARX (Gary T.), *Undercover: Police Surveillance in America*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1988; JOLL (James), op. cit., pp. 110-112, 121, 124; TACKWOOD (Louis E.) & THE CITIZENS RESEARCH AND INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE, *The Glass House Tapes: The Story of an Agent Provocateur and the New Police Intelligence Complex*, New York: Avon Books, 1973; CARLSON (Andrew R.), *Anarchism in Germany*, op. cit., pp. 211-213, 217-219, 225-226, 231-235, 399-400.

³⁶ MARTIN (Brian), *Suppression of Dissent: What It Is and What to Do About It*, Social Medicine, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2012, pp. 246-248.

³⁷ See NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) & GABBARD (David) (ed.), *Policing the Campus: Academic Repression, Surveillance, and the Occupy Movement*, New York: Peter Lang, 2013; NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) ET AL. (ed.), *Academic Repression: Reflections from the Academic Industrial Complex*, Oakland: AK Press, 2010.

³⁸ For some examples, see below, footnotes 158 and 249; HARDING (Luke), *The Snowden Files: The Inside Story of the World's Most Wanted Man*, First Vintage Books, 2014; HEAD (Mike), *Australian Spy Agencies Given Vast New Powers*, World Socialist Web Site, Oct. 2, 2014; BENKLER (Yochai), *Want to Reform the NSA? Give Edward Snowden Immunity*, Atlantic, Sep. 8, 2014; LLANSO (Emma), *How Politicians Are Trying to Break the Internet*, CNN, Sep. 5, 2014; PATINO (Ricardo), *Two Years On, Julian Assange Is Still a Prisoner of Process*, Guardian, Aug. 17, 2014; TROIANOVSKI (Anton) ET AL., *U.S.-German Relations Fray over Espionage Allegations*, Wall Street Journal, July 10, 2014; OLTERMANN (Philip) & ACKERMAN (Spencer), *Germany Asks Top US Intelligence Official to Leave Country over Spy Row*, Guardian, July 10, 2014; MILLER (Greg) & KIRCHNER (Stephanie), *Germany Orders CIA Station Chief to Leave over Spying Allegations*, Washington Post, July 10, 2014; NICKS (Denver), *Report: U.K. Spy Agency Stored Millions of Webcam Images*, Time, Feb. 27, 2014; FRIEDERSDORF (Conor), *What James Clapper Doesn't Understand About Edward Snowden*, Atlantic, Feb. 24, 2014; PAYTON (Laura), *CSEC Snowden Docs: MPs Grill Defence Minister on Spying Revelation*, CBC News, Jan. 31, 2014; MACLEOD (Calum), *The Snowden Wasteland*, Computer Fraud and Security, Vol. 2014, No. 7, 2014, pp. 11-13; INKSTER (Nigel), *The Snowden Revelations: Myths and Misapprehensions*, Survival, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2014, pp. 51-60; JOHNSON (Loch K.) ET AL., *An INS Special Forum: Implications of the Snowden Leaks*, Intelligence and National Security, Vol. 29, No. 6, 2014, pp. 793-810; BAJAJ (Kamlesh), *Cyberspace: Post-Snowden*, Strategic Analysis, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2014, pp. 582-587; SCHEUERMAN (William E.), *Whistleblowing as Civil Disobedience: The Case of Edward Snowden*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 7, 2014, pp. 609-628; BERGHEL (Hal), *Mr. Snowden's Legacy*, op. cit.; BERGHEL (Hal), *The Intimidation Factor: How a Surveillance State Can Affect What You Read in Professional Publications*, Computer, Vol. 46, No. 12, 2013, pp. 91-95; *Canada's Spy Agency Faces Tough Questioning over Snooping*, Calgary Herald, Jan. 31, 2014; *Defence Minister Insists Spy Agency Did Not Track Canadian Travellers*, CTV News, Jan. 31, 2014; WESTON (Greg) ET AL., *CSEC Used Airport Wi-Fi to Track Canadian Travellers: Edward Snowden Documents*, CBC News, Jan. 30, 2014; WESTON (Greg) ET AL., *New Snowden Docs Show U.S. Spied during G20 in Toronto*, CBC News, Nov. 27, 2013; WESTON (Greg), *Inside Canada's Top-Secret Billion-Dollar Spy Palace*, CBC News, Oct. 8, 2013; MCDIARMID (Jessica), *Canadian Spy Agency Gleaned Passengers' Data from Airport's Wifi*: CBC, Toronto Star, Jan. 30, 2014; *More NSA Revelations: Backdoors, Snooping Tools and Worldwide Reactions*, Network Security, Vol. 1, 2014, pp. 1-20; BAUMAN (Zygmunt) ET AL., *After Snowden: Rethinking the Impact of Surveillance*, International Political Sociology, Vol. 8, No.

2, 2014, pp. 121-144; TOXEN (Bob), *The NSA and Snowden: Securing the All-Seeing Eye*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 57, No. 5, 2014, pp. 44-51; DIMITRAKIS (Panagiotis), *US intelligence and Chinese Spies in the Civil War*, Journal of Intelligence History, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 62-75; LANDAU (Susan), *Highlights from Making Sense of Snowden, Part II: What's Significant in the NSA Revelations*, IEEE Security and Privacy Security, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2014, pp. 62-64; LANDAU (Susan), *Making Sense from Snowden: What's Significant in the NSA Surveillance Revelations*, IEEE Security and Privacy Security, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2013, pp. 54-63; *Sweden Reportedly Helped U.S. Spy on Russia*, Huffington Post, Dec. 5, 2013; SMALE (Alison) & SANGER (David E.), *Spying Scandal Alters U.S. Ties With Allies and Raises Talk of Policy Shift*, New York Times, Nov. 11, 2013; SELTZER (Larry), *NSA Spying Will Not Change Internet Governance*, Zero Day, Oct. 28, 2013; ABBOUD (Leila) & MAUSHAGEN (Peter), *Germany Wants a German Internet as Spying Scandal Rankles*, Reuters, Oct. 25, 2013; RIECHMANN (DEB) & DOZIER (Kimberly), *France Is Latest US Ally Angered by NSA Snooping*, Cambridge Times, Oct. 22, 2013; RISEN (James), *Snowden Says He Took No Secret Files to Russia*, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2013; *Canadian Spies Targeted Brazil's Mines Ministry: Report*, CBC News, Oct. 7, 2013; FRANZEN (Carl), *France Has Its Own PRISM-Like Web and Phone Metadata Surveillance Effort, Report Says*, Verge, July 4, 2013; FOLLOROU (Jacques) & JOHANNÉ (Franck), *Révélation sur le Big Brother Français*, Le Monde, July 4, 2013; FOLLOROU (Jacques), *Tous les Services de Renseignement Occidentaux s'Espionnent*, Le Monde, July 4, 2013; GREENWALD (Glenn), *On the Espionage Act Charges against Edward Snowden*, Guardian, June 22, 2013; U.K. *Hacked Diplomats' Phones, Emails*, Guardian Reports, CBC News, June 16, 2013; GREENWALD (Glenn) & MACASKILL (Ewen), *NSA Prism Program Taps in to User Data of Apple, Google and Others*, Guardian, June 7, 2013; AHMED (Nafeez Mosaddeq), *Pentagon Bracing for Public Dissent over Climate and Energy Shocks*, Guardian, June 14, 2013; ROBERTSON (Adi), *Government Contractor Leaks Massive Surveillance Effort by NSA, FBI on Consumer Services*, Verge, June 6, 2013; JEFFREYS-JONES (Rhodri), *In Spies We Trust: The Story of Western Intelligence*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013; SAMIMI (Lily), *The State of Iran's Internet Repression*, National Iranian American Council, May 17, 2012; *Hillary Clinton: Internet Repression 'Will Fail'*, BBC News, Feb. 15, 2011; BROVERMAN (Aaron), *From a Toronto Basement*, op. cit.; BONDGRAHAM (Darwin), *Social Movements and State Repression: An Interview with Scott Crow*, Z Magazine, Dec. 2010; LAGERKVIST (Johan), *After the Internet, Before Democracy: Competing Norms in Chinese Media and Society*, Bern: Peter Lang, 2010; SREBERNY (Annabelle) & KHIABANY (Gholam), *Blogistan: The Internet and Politics in Iran*, London: I.B. Tauris, 2010; CZEPEK (Andrea), *Press Freedom and Pluralism in Europe: Concepts and Conditions*, Bristol: Intellect, 2009; ANDREJEVIC (Mark), *iSpy: Surveillance and Power in the Interactive Era*, Lawrence: Kansas University Press, 2007.

³⁹ In this case, see DRAPER (John), *Canada: A Refuge for Iranian Criminals*, Cobourg Atheist, Nov. 23, 2011; AKHAVAN (Payam), *Canada: Haven of Choice for Iran's Elite*, Toronto Star, Nov. 21, 2011; JORICH (Avi), *Iran's Canadian Banker Exposed*, Cutting Edge News, Nov. 2, 2011; *Not Canada*, CTV News, YouTube, May 1, 2011; *Why Canada Closed the Website Notcanada.com?*, Yahoo, June 28, 2010; *Who Is NotCanada.com?*, Annares Word Press, June 5, 2009; *Visit: www.NotCanada.com*, Just Landed, Feb. 8, 2006; *8 Reasons NOT to Immigrate to Canada*, Settlement, Jan. 25, 2006.

⁴⁰ About "cyberanarchy", "cyberterrorism", "cyberwarfare", and "Internet governance", see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*, op. cit.; CONTE (Andrew), *Line Dividing Hacker Cyber Crime, State-Sponsored Terror Attacks Murky*, Triblive, Nov. 6, 2014; HAMILTON (Keegan), *Meet the Men and Women Who Help Rule North Korea from the Shadows*, VICE News, Oct. 17, 2014; LUCAS (George R.), *Ethics and Cyber Conflict*, op. cit.; LANG (John C.) & STERCK (Hans De), *The Arab Spring: A Simple Compartmental Model for the Dynamics of a Revolution*, Mathematical Social Sciences, Vol. 69, 2014, pp. 12-21; MACLEOD (Calum), *The Snowden Wasteland*, op. cit.; FLORIDI (Luciano) & TADDEO (Mariarosaria) (ed.), *The Ethics of Information Warfare*, Cham: Springer, 2014; MCGILLIVRAY (Kevin), *Give It Away Now? Renewal of the IANA Functions Contract and Its Role in Internet Governance*, International Journal of Law and Information Technology, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 3-26; CHENOU (Jean-Marie), *From Cyber-Libertarianism to Neoliberalism: Internet Exceptionalism, Multi-stakeholderism, and the Institutionalisation of Internet Governance in the 1990s*, Globalizations, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2014, pp. 205-223; ZIEWITZ (Malte) & PENTZOLD (Christian), *In search of internet Governance: Performing Order in Digitally Networked Environments*, New Media and Society, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2014, pp. 306-322; HILL (Richard), *The Internet, Its Governance, and the Multi-Stakeholder Model*, Info, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2014, pp. 16-46; SUTHERLAND (Ewan), *Corruption and Internet Governance*, Info, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2014, pp. 1-15; CERF (Vinton G.), *The Internet Governance Ecosystem*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 57, No. 4, 2014, p. 7; JARVIS (Lee) ET AL., *The Cyberterrorism Threat: Findings from a Survey of Researchers*, Studies in Conflict and Terrorism, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2014, pp. 68-90; HOLT (Thomas J.), *Cyber War Will Not Take Place*, by Thomas Rid, Global Crime, Vol. 15, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 206-208; KSHETRI (Nir), *Cyberwarfare: Western and Chinese Allegations*, IT Professional, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 16-19; RID (Thomas), *Cyber War Will Not Take Place*, London: Hurst, 2013; YANNAKOGEORGOS (Panayotis A.) & LOWTHER (Adam B.) (ed.), *Conflict and Cooperation in Cyberspace: The Challenge to National Security*, Boca Raton: Taylor and Francis, 2013; FILSHTINSKIY (Stas), *Cybercrime, Cyberweapons, Cyber Wars: Is There Too Much of It in the Air?*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 56, No. 6, 2013, pp. 28-30; GARTZKE (Erik), *The Myth of Cyberwar: Bringing War in Cyberspace Back Down to Earth*, International Security, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2013, pp. 41-73; PHILBIN (Guy) & PHILBIN (Tobias R.),

Finding the New High Ground in Cyber War: Malware as an Instrument of War, Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2013, pp. 371-378; CIMBALA (Stephen J.), *U.S.-Russian Strategic Arms Reductions: Nonlinear Challenges, Practical Opportunities*, Orbis, Vol. 57, No. 4, 2013, pp. 574-594; ANDRESS (Jason) & WINTERFELD (Steve) (ed.), *Cyber Warfare: Techniques, Tactics and Tools for Security Practitioners*, 2nd ed., Waltham: Syngress, 2013; RILEY (M. Christopher), *Anarchy, State, or Utopia?: Checks and Balances in Internet Governance*, IEEE Internet Computing, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2013, pp. 10-17; STONE (John), *Cyber War Will Take Place!*, Journal of Strategic Studies, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 101-108; JOHNSON (Adrian), *Cyber War Will Not Take Place*, RUSI Journal, Vol. 158, No. 6, 2013, pp. 106-107; MISHRA (Bimal Kumar) & PRAJAPATI (Apeksha), *Modelling and Simulation: Cyber War*, Procedia Technology, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2013, pp. 987-997; MCGRAW (Gary), *Cyber War Is Inevitable (Unless We Build Security in)*, Journal of Strategic Studies, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 109-119; JUNIO (Timothy J.), *How Probable is Cyber War? Bringing IR Theory Back In to the Cyber Conflict Debate*, Journal of Strategic Studies, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2013, pp. 125-133; DEIBERT (Ronald J.) ET AL., *Cyclones in Cyberspace: Information Shaping and Denial in the 2008 Russia-Georgia War*, Security Dialogue, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2012, pp. 3-24; ARQUILLA (John), *Cyber War Is already upon Us*, Foreign Policy, Feb. 27, 2012; ARQUILLA (John) & RONFELDT (David) (ed.), *Networks and Netwars: The Future of Terror, Crime, and Militancy*, Santa Monica: RAND, 2001; ARQUILLA (John) & RONFELDT (David), *Cyberwar Is Coming!*, Comparative Strategy, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1993, pp. 141-165; WEIMANN (Gabriel), *Cyber-Fatwas and Terrorism*, Studies in Conflict and Terrorism, Vol. 34, No. 10, 2011, pp. 765-781; DUNLAP (Charles J.), *Perspectives for Cyber Strategists on Law for Cyberwar*, Strategic Studies Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2011, pp. 81-99; FARWELL (James P.) & ROHOZINSKI (Rafal), *Stuxnet and the Future of Cyber War*, Survival, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-40; CLARKE (Richard A.) & KNAKE (Robert K.), *Cyber War: The Next Threat to National Security and What to Do About It*, New York: Ecco, 2010; GRAHAM (David E.), *Cyber Threats and the Law of War*, Journal of National Security Law, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2010, pp. 87-102; DIPERT (Randall R.), *The Ethics of Cyber Warfare*, Journal of Military Ethics, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2010, pp. 384-410; WILSON (Clay), *Computer Attack and Cyberterrorism*, New York: Nova Science, 2009; OWENS (William A.) ET AL. (ed.), *Technology, Law, and Ethics Regarding U.S. Acquisition and Use of Cyberattack Capabilities*, Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2009; KRAMER (Franklin D.) ET AL. (ed.), *Cyberpower and National Security*, Washington, D.C.: National Defense University Press, 2009; LIBICKI (Martin C.), *Cyberdeterrence and Cyberwar*, Santa Monica: RAND, 2009; MEHAN (Julie E.), *Cyberwar, Cyberterror, Cybercrime: A Guide to the Role of Standards in an Environment of Change and Danger*, Ely: IT Governance, 2008; AL-RIZZO (Hasan M.), *The Undeclared Cyberspace War between Hezbollah and Israel*, Contemporary Arab Affairs, Vol. 1, No. 3, 2008, pp. 391-405; BLANK (Stephen), *Web War I: Is Europe's First Information War a New Kind of War?*, Comparative Strategy, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2008, pp. 227-247; COUNCIL OF EUROPE, *Cyberterrorism: The Use of the Internet for Terrorist Purposes*, Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 2007; COLARIK (Andrew Michael), *Cyber Terrorism: Political and Economic Implications*, Hershey: Idea Group, 2006; DRISSEL (David), *Internet Governance in a Multipolar World: Challenging American Hegemony*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2006, pp. 105-120; JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology: From the Luddites to Neo-Luddism*, New York: Routledge Press, 2006, pp. 211-233; O'DAY (Alan) (ed.), *Cyberterrorism*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2004; WEIMANN (Gabriel), *Cyberterrorism: How Real is the Threat?*, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Institute of Peace, 2004; THIERER (Adam D.) & CREWS, JR. (Clyde Wayne) (ed.), *Who Rules the Net?: Internet Governance and Jurisdiction*, Washington, D.C.: Cato Institute, 2003; HEDLEY (Steve), *Nations, markets and other imaginary places: who makes the law in cyberspace?*, Information and Communication Technology Law, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2003, pp. 215-224; BLANE (John V.), *Cybercrime and Cyberterrorism: Current Issues*, Hauppauge: Novinka Books, 2003; GOLDSMITH (Jack L.), *Against Cyberanarchy*, University of Chicago Law Review, Vol. 65, No. 4, 1998, pp. 1199-1250.

⁴¹ *Abortion, ICANN, and Internet Governance; Ominous Developments on the "Internet Governance" Front; Don't Break the Internet; Sex, Lies, and Videogames: Brown V. Entertainment Merchants Association; The Theory of Generativity; In Search of Jefferson's Moose: Notes on the State of Cyberspace; Nice Questions Unanswered: Grokster, Son's Staple Article of Commerce Doctrine, and the Deferred Verdict on Internet File Sharing; Against "Against Cyberanarchy"; 'The Free Use of Our Faculties': Jefferson, Cyberspace, and the Language of Social Life; What Larry Doesn't Get: Code, Law, and Liberty in Cyberspace; 'Chaos Prevailing on Every Continent': Towards a New Theory of Decentralized Decision-Making in Complex Systems; The 'Unsettled Paradox': The Internet, the State, and the Consent of the Governed; Law and Borders: The Rise of Law in Cyberspace; Governing Cyberspace; Pooling Intellectual Capital: Thoughts on Anonymity, Pseudonymity, and Limited Liability in Cyberspace; Anarchy, State, and the Internet: An Essay on Law-Making in Cyberspace*, etc. In this case, see POST (David G.), *Abortion, ICANN, and Internet Governance*, Washington Post, Sep. 1, 2014; POST (David G.), *Ominous Developments on the "Internet Governance" Front*, Volokh Conspiracy, Oct. 16, 2013; LEMLEY (Mark) ET AL., *Don't Break the Internet*, Stanford Law Review Online, Vol. 64, 2011, pp. 34-38; POST (David G.), *Sex, Lies, and Videogames: Brown V. Entertainment Merchants Association*, Cato Supreme Court Review, Vol. 27, 2011; POST (David G.), *The Theory of Generativity*, Fordham Law Review, Vol. 78, No. 6, 2010, pp. 101-112; POST (David G.), *In Search of Jefferson's Moose: Notes on the State of Cyberspace*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; POST (David G.) ET AL., *Nice Questions Unanswered: Grokster, Sony's Staple Article of Commerce*

Doctrine, and the Deferred Verdict on Internet File Sharing, Cato Supreme Court Review, 2004-2005, pp. 235-261; POST (David G.), *Against "Against Cyberanarchy"*, Berkeley Technology Law Journal, Vol. 17, 2002, pp. 1365-1387; POST (David G.), *'The Free Use of Our Faculties': Jefferson, Cyberspace, and the Language of Social Life*, Drake Law Review, Vol. 49, 2001, pp. 407-426; POST (David G.), *What Larry Doesn't Get: Code, Law, and Liberty in Cyberspace*, Stanford Law Review Online, Vol. 52, 2000, pp. 1439-1459; POST (David G.), *'Chaos Prevailing on Every Continent': Towards a New Theory of Decentralized Decision-Making in Complex Systems*, Chicago-Kent Law Review, Vol. 73, No. 4, 1998, pp. 1055-1099; POST (David G.), *The 'Unsettled Paradox': The Internet, the State, and the Consent of the Governed*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1998, pp. 521-543; JOHNSON (David R.) & POST (David G.), *Law and Borders – The Rise of Law in Cyberspace*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 48, No. 5, 1996, pp. 1367-1402; POST (David G.), *Governing Cyberspace*, Wayne Law Review, Vol. 43, No. 1, 1996, pp. 155-171; POST (David G.), *Pooling Intellectual Capital: Thoughts on Anonymity, Pseudonymity, and Limited Liability in Cyberspace*, University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1996, pp. 139-169; POST (David G.), *Anarchy, State, and the Internet: An Essay on Law-Making in Cyberspace*, Journal of Online Law, 1995, Art. 3. About Post's writings, see also David G. Post: *Research and Writing*, Temple University Beasley School of Law, Aug. 2012.

⁴² See ROCHE (Edward M.) & BLAINE (Michael J.), *International Convention for the Peaceful Use of Cyberspace*, op. cit.; FILSHTINSKIY (Stas), *Cybercrime, Cyberweapons, Cyber Wars*, op. cit.; HOLT (Thomas J.), *Cyber War Will Not Take Place*, by Thomas Rid, op. cit., p. 206; JARVIS (Lee) ET AL., *The Cyberterrorism Threat*, op. cit.; CIMBALA (Stephen J.), *U.S.-Russian Strategic Arms Reductions*, op. cit., pp. 581-582, 585; GARTZKE (Erik), *The Myth of Cyberwar*, op. cit., pp. 41, 64; MCGRAW (Gary), *Cyber War Is Inevitable*, op. cit.; ROWLAND (Jill) ET AL., *Whither Cyberpower?*, op. cit.; JOHNSON (Chris W.), *Anti-Social Networking: Crowdsourcing and the Cyber Defence of National Critical Infrastructures*, Ergonomics, Vol. 57, No. 3, 2014, pp. 419-433; *US-Israeli Computer Super-Worm Hit Russian Nuclear Plant – Kaspersky*, Russia Today, Nov. 12, 2013; IASIELLO (Emilio), *Cyber Attack: A Dull Tool to Shape Foreign Policy*, 2013 5th International Conference on Cyber Conflict (CYCON 2013), June 2013, pp. 1-18; APPELLEGE (Scott D.), *The dawn of Kinetic Cyber*, 2013 5th International Conference on Cyber Conflict (CYCON 2013), June 2013, pp. 1-15; *US-Israeli Stuxnet Cyber-Attacks against Iran: "Act of War"*, Russia Today, Mar. 26, 2013; WATERMAN (Shaun), *U.S.-Israeli Cyberattack on Iran Was 'Act of Force,' NATO Study Found*, Washington Times, Mar. 24, 2013; SHALAL-ESA (Andrea), *US General: Iran's Cyber War Machine 'A Force To Be Reckoned With'*, Business Insider, Jan. 18, 2013; LINDSAY (Jon R.), *Stuxnet and the Limits of Cyber Warfare*, Security Studies, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2013, pp. 365-404; NAKASHIMA (Ellen), *Iran Blamed for Cyberattacks on U.S. Banks and Companies*, Washington Post, Sep. 21, 2012; COLLINS (Sean) & MCCOMBIE (Stephen), *Stuxnet: The Emergence of a New Cyber Weapon and Its Implications*, Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2012, pp. 80-91; NAKASHIMA (Ellen) ET AL., *U.S. Israel Developed Flame Computer Virus to Slow Iranian Nuclear Efforts, Officials Say*, Washington Post, June 19, 2012; ERDBRINK (Thomas), *Iran Confirms Attack by Virus that Collects Information*, New York Times, May 29, 2012; MOUSAVIAN (Hossein), *Iran, the US and Weapons of Mass Destruction*, Survival, Vol. 54, No. 5, 2012, p. 193; JONES (Willie D.), *Declarations of Cyberwar*, IEEE Spectrum, Vol. 49, No. 8, 2012, p. 18; SANGER (David E.), *Obama Order Sped Up Wave of Cyber Attacks Against Iran*, New York Times, June 1, 2012; DEMPSEY (Paul), *Can a Cyber-Attack Count as Warfare?*, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 7, No. 6, 2012, p. 13; FIDLER (David P.), *Was Stuxnet an Act of War? Decoding a Cyberattack*, IEEE Security and Privacy, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2011, pp. 56-59; CHEN (Thomas M.) & ABU-NIMEH (Saeed), *Lessons from Stuxnet*, IEEE Computer Society, Vol. 44, No. 4, 2011, pp. 91-93; LANGNER (Ralph), *Stuxnet: Dissecting a Cyberwarfare Weapon*, IEEE Security and Privacy, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2011, pp. 49-51; KARNOUSKOS (Stamatis), *Stuxnet Worm Impact on Industrial Cyber-Physical System Security*, IEEE, 2011, pp. 4490-4494; FARWELL (James P.) & ROHOZINSKI (Rafal), *Stuxnet and the Future of Cyber War*, op. cit.

⁴³ See DWYER (Devin), *President Obama Sanctions North Korea after Sony Cyberattack*, ABC News, Jan. 2, 2015; HALPER (Daniel), *Obama Sanctions N. Korea for 'Destructive and Coercive Cyber Attack on Sony'*, Weekly Standard, Jan. 2, 2015; LEDERMAN (Josh), *US Sanctions North Korea over Sony Cyberattack*, Yahoo News, Jan. 2, 2015; LOVE (Dylan), *North Korea Counterstrike: US Could Deploy Range of Cyberweapons Against Kim Jong-un, Sony Hackers*, International Business Times, Dec. 19, 2014; TIMM (Trevor), *Enough with the Sony Hack. Can We All Calm Down about Cyberwar with North Korea Already?*, Guardian, Dec. 19, 2014; PARK (Ju-min) & KIM (Jack), *For North Korea's Cyber Army, Long-Term Target May Be Telecoms, Utility Grids*, Reuters, Dec. 19, 2014; *How Did Backward North Korea Inflict Costliest ever Cyberattack on a US Firm?*, The Telegraph, Dec. 18, 2014; *FBI: North Korea to Blame for Sony Hack*, Krebs on Security, Dec. 14, 2014.

⁴⁴ MITCHELL (Don) & STAEHELI (Lynn A.), *Permitting Protest: Parsing the Fine Geography of Dissent in America*, International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2005, p. 796.

⁴⁵ MITCHELL (Don) & STAEHELI (Lynn A.), *Permitting Protest*, op. cit., p. 796.

⁴⁶ *Ibid.*, pp. 810-811.

⁴⁷ TITARENKO (Larissa) ET AL., *The Interaction of State Repression, Protest Form and Protest Sponsor Strength during the Transition from Communism in Minsk, Belarus, 1990-1995*, Mobilization, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2001, pp. 130-131, 138-139, 146.

⁴⁸ *Demonstrations and Rallies*, City of Toronto.

⁴⁹ DANYLIUK (Rick), *Canada Allows Peaceful Protests*, Western Producer, Feb. 17, 2011. About the comparison of POGG with LLPH, see REYNOLDS (Neil), *Peace, Order and Good Government, the Constitutional Cudgel*, Globe and Mail, May 28 2012; VALVERDE (Mariana), *Peace, Order, and Good Government: Policelike Powers in Postcolonial Perspective*, in DUBBER (Markus Dirk) & VALVERDE (Mariana) (ed.), *The New Police Science: The Police Power in Domestic and International Governance*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2006, pp. 73-106; BERAN (Carol L.), *The Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Alice Munro's Fiction*, Social Science Journals, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2000, pp. 329-345.

⁵⁰ DANYLIUK (Rick), *Canada Allows Peaceful Protests*, op. cit. Concerning the protest permits in Canada, see also BINESHII, A *Brief Review of the Legal and Illegal Status of Common Protest Activity*, Vancouver Media Co-op, Jan. 8, 2013; VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT, *Public Demonstration Guidelines*, 2012; YUEN (Jenny), *Topless Protest in T.O.*, Toronto Sun, Aug. 28, 2011; YUNUSOV (Pulat), *The Law of Street Protest in Canada*, Law Is Cool, July 4, 2010; *Calgary City Hall Rejects Protest Permits*, CBC News, Feb. 3, 2010; PABLO (Carlito), *City Denies Protest Permit to 2010 Welcoming Committee, Informs Police*, Straight, Jan. 27, 2010; HUNTER (Jacob), *How to Organize a Protest*, Cannabis Culture, Aug. 14, 2009; *Seize a Street, All You Need Is a Cause*, National Post; *Know Your Rights: Protesters' Edition*, Canadian Civil Liberties Association.

⁵¹ PASSAMANI (Massimo), *"More, Much More" and Other Writings*, Anarchist Library, 2010, p. 26.

⁵² About protest permit, see also GERSHMAN (Jacob), *Ferguson Unrest Focuses Attention on Rights of Protesters*, Wall Street Journal, Aug. 14, 2014; *How to Get a Permit for a Protest*, eHow, Aug. 12, 2014; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 13-15, 81-86; MCCARTHY (John D.) & MCPHAIL (Clark), *Places of Protest: The Public Forum in Principle and Practice*, Mobilization, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, pp. 229-247; DELLA PORTA (Donatella) & REITER (Herbert) (ed.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*, afterword by Gary T. Marx, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1998.

⁵³ About these freedoms and right, see TYULKINA (Svetlana), *Fragmentation in International Human Rights Law: Political Parties and Freedom of Association in the Practice of the UN Human Rights Committee, European Court of Human Rights and Inter-American Court of Human Rights*, Nordic Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2014, pp. 157-175; MOLES (Andres), *The Public Ecology of Freedom of Association*, Res Publica, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2014, pp. 85-103; KIAI (Maina) & VIZE (Jeff), *Three Years after Tunisia: Thoughts and Perspectives on the Rights to Freedom of Assembly and Association from United Nations Special Rapporteur Maina Kiai*, Journal of Global Ethics, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2014, pp. 114-121; ALEMDAR (Zeynep), *'Modelling' for Democracy? Turkey's Historical Issues with Freedom of Speech*, Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 50, No. 4, 2014, pp. 568-588; GOLUBOVIC (Dragan), *Freedom of Association in the Case law of the European Court of Human Rights*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 17, No. 7-8, 2013, pp. 758-771; FINCHETT-MADDOCK (Lucy), *Responding to the Private Regulation of Dissent: Climate Change Action, Popular Justice and the Right to Protest*, Journal of Environmental Law, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2013, pp. 293-304; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Freedom under Threat: The Clampdown against Freedoms of Expression, Assembly and Association in Russia*, Apr. 24, 2013; MCNEILLY (Gerry), *Policing the Right to Protest: G20 Systemic Review Report*, Toronto: Office of the Independent Police Review Director, 2012; SHIFFMAN (Ron) ET AL. (ed.), *Beyond Zuccotti Park: Freedom of Assembly and the Occupation of Public Space*, Oakland: New Village Press, 2012; RUSSELL (Margaret M.) (ed.), *Freedom of Assembly and Petition: The First Amendment, Its Constitutional History and the Contemporary Debate*, Amherst: Prometheus Books, 2010; ROLLAND (Asle), *Modernising Freedom of Speech: The Case of Article 100 of the Norwegian Constitution*, Policy Studies, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2010, pp. 331-350; POWERS (Elizabeth) (ed.), *Freedom of Speech: The History of an Idea*, Lanham: Bucknell University Press, 2011; LEPKA (Iwona), *Freedom of Expression in Post-Communist Poland*, Critique, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2009, pp. 619-634; MILMAN-SIVAN (Faina), *Freedom of Association as a Core Labor Right and the ILO: Toward a Normative Framework*, Law and Ethics of Human Rights, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2009, pp. 109-153; LANGILLE (Brian), *The Freedom of Association Mess: How We Got into It and How We Can Get out of It*, McGill Law Journal, Vol. 54, No. 1, 2009, pp. 177-212; WAMBALI (Michael K. B.), *Taking Stock of the Practice of the Right to Freedom of Political Association in Tanzania: Constitutional Developments from 1992 to 2005*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2009, pp. 687-701; YOUNGS (Raymond), *G8 Protests: Controlling the Right to Demonstrate*, European Public Law, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2008, pp. 69-80; ALEXANDER (Larry), *What Is Freedom of Association, and What Is Its Denial?*, Vol. 25, No. 2, Social Philosophy and Policy, 2008, pp. 1-21; WHITTINGTON (Keith E.), *Industrial Saboteurs, Reputed Thieves, Communists, and the Freedom of Association*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2008, pp. 76-91; PRESSER (Stephen B.), *Freedom of Association in Historical Perspective*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2008, pp. 157-181; BOYD (Richard), *The Madisonian Paradox of Freedom of Association*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2008, pp. 235-262; HARLEY (Anne) &

DELANEY (Simon), *The Right to Protest: A Handbook for Protesters and Police*, Braamfontein: Freedom of Expression Institute, 2007; BARENDT (Eric M.), *Freedom of Speech*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007; DHAVAN (Rajeev), *Arguments, Protests, Strikes and Free Speech: The Career and Prospects of the Right to Strike in India*, Social Scientist, Vol. 34, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 21-69; *Freedom of Expression, Assembly and Association: Best Practice*, London: Commonwealth Secretariat, 2003; KILLIAN (D.), *Eroding Our Right to Assemble? Police Repression at the WEF Protest*, Off Our Backs, Vol. 32, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 8-10; FENWICK (Helen), *The Right to Protest, the Human Rights Act and the Margin of Appreciation*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 62, No. 4, 1999, pp. 491-514; SWEPSTON (Lee), *Human Rights Law and Freedom of Association: Development through ILO Supervision*, International Labour Review, Vol. 137, No. 2, 1998, pp. 169-194; BARNARD (Catherine) & HARE (Ivan), *The Right to Protest and the Right to Export: Police Discretion and the Free Movement of Goods*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 60, No. 3, 1997, pp. 394-411; GHOSH (S. K.), *Freedom of Speech and Assembly and Public Order*, New Delhi: Ashish Publishing House, 1993; SMITH (Stephen A.), *Freedom of Expression in Native American Constitutions*, Journal of Communication Inquiry, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1991, pp. 23-42; EMERSON (Thomas I.), *Freedom of Association and Freedom of Expression*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 74, No. 1, 1964, pp. 1-35; KONVITZ (Milton Ridvas), *First Amendment Freedoms: Selected Cases on Freedom of Religion, Speech, Press, Assembly*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1963.

⁵⁴ GREENBERG (Ivan), *Everyone Is a Terrorist Now: Marginalizing Protest in the U.S.*, Journal of Radical Criminology, No. 2, 2013, pp. 131-143; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Neither Justice Nor Crime (We Are All Criminals Now)*, Journal of Radical Criminology, No. 3, 2014, pp. 7-11.

⁵⁵ Quoted in SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., p. 79.

⁵⁶ CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING, *Crowd Management and Civil Disobedience Guidelines*, 2003.

⁵⁷ FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., p. 90.

⁵⁸ CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING, *POST Guidelines – Crowd Management, Intervention, and Control*, 2012, p. 47.

⁵⁹ About the murder of Tomlinson by a policeman, see EVANS (Martin), *PC Simon Harwood Sacked over Death of Ian Tomlinson*, Telegraph, Sep. 17, 2012; WALKER (Peter), *Ian Tomlinson Jury Shown Video of Moments before His Death*, Guardian, June 19, 2012; *PC Simon Harwood and Ian Tomlinson: Key Footage*, Guardian, July 19, 2012; *Video of Police Attack on Ian Tomlinson*, Guardian, Apr. 7, 2009; HOUSE OF COMMONS, HOME AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, *Policing of the G20 Protests: Eighth Report of Session 2008-09*, London: The Stationery Office, 2009.

⁶⁰ About some examples of this form of violence, see LAURIN-DESJARDINS (Camille), *Brutalité Policière une Femme Dit Avoir Été Victime de Violence Gratuite*, Le Journal de Montréal, Sep. 28, 2014; LAURIN-DESJARDINS (Camille), *Prof et Concierge Arrêtés devant leurs Élèves: «De la Violence Gratuite»*, Le Journal de Montréal, Juin 13, 2014; LAURIN-DESJARDINS (Camille), *Teacher Says Cop Choked, Pepper-Sprayed Her in Front of Students*, Toronto Sun, June 13, 2014; ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *Militarization of Law Enforcement in America: Gratuitous Police Violence, Totally out of Control*, Global Research, Sep. 2, 2014; ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *The Militarization of the Police Has Produced a Murder Machine*, Global Research, Aug. 18, 2014; UCAD: *La Police en Flagrant Délit de Violence Gratuite Regardez!*, Ndarinfo, Août 16, 2014; *Violence Gratuite des Policiers Israéliens sur un Jeune de 15 Ans*, Agence Info Libre, Juillet 6, 2014; LECLERCQ (Benjamin), *Allemagne: La Police en Flagrant Délit de Violence Gratuite*, MyEurop, Juin 28, 2013; *'Gratuitous Violence' Gets Boynton Police Officer Fired*, BLUtube, May 5, 2011; MCCRYSTAL (Cal), *The Wrong Side of the Law*, Independent, Nov. 21, 1993.

⁶¹ ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *Militarization of Law Enforcement in America*, op. cit.; ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *The Militarization of the Police Has Produced a Murder Machine*, op. cit. For many other examples in this case, see also KASHEFI (Sirus), *Police Brutality, Murder, and Crimes*, Academia.edu, Dec. 12, 2013.

⁶² DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *The Black Blocs Ten Years after Seattle: Anarchism, Direct Action, and Deliberative Practices*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 50, 73.

⁶³ GARCIA-NAVARRO (Lourdes), *Brazil's Black Bloc Activists: Criminals or People Power?*, NPR, Oct. 22, 2013. About the Black Blocs, see also ZÚQUETE (José Pedro), *Men in Black: Dynamics, Violence, and Lone Wolf Potential*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. p. 95-109; FONTAINE (Claire), *Human Strike Has Already Begun and Other Writings*, Anarchist Library, 2013; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue?*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Drapeau Noir sur Carré Rouge: Les Anarchistes et la Grève Étudiante de 2012*, Possibles, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2013; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *L'Argument de la Vitrine Cassée Est le Meilleur du Monde Moderne: Reconsidérer les Rapports entre l'Action Directe et la Politique Délibérative*, Ateliers de l'Éthique, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2012, p. 127-140; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Les Black Blocs: La Liberté et l'Égalité se Manifestent*, 3 éd., Montréal: Lux, 2007; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Penser l'Action Directe des Black Blocs*, Politix,

Vol. 17, No. 68, 2004, pp. 79-109; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Black Blocs: Bas les Masques*, Mouvements, Vol. 1, No. 25, 2003, pp. 74-80; GOMES (Simone da Silva Ribeiro), *Black Bloc Discussion and the Radicalisation of Debate in Brazil's Public Sphere*, Open Democracy, Nov. 6, 2013; 'Black Bloc' Anarchist Group Confronts Power in Brazil, *Many Injured*, Voice of Russia, Oct. 27, 2013; CAVA (Bruno) & CASTANEDA (Marcelo), *Black Bloc and Teachers: Education Crisis Explodes on Rio's Streets*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 23, 2013; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Concerning the Violent Peace-Police: An Open Letter to Chris Hedges*, N + 1, Feb. 9, 2012; CAGLE (Susie), *Activists and Anarchists Speak for Themselves at Occupy Oakland*, Truthout, Feb. 8, 2012; HEDGES (Chris), *The Cancer in Occupy*, Truthdig, Feb. 6, 2012; MARK, *Breaking the Barricades*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *Don't Die Wondering: Atlanta Against the Police Winter 2011-2012*, Anarchist Library, 2012; ANONYMOUS, *Burning the Bridges They Are Building: Anarchist Strategies Against the Police in the Puget Sound, Winter 2011*, Anarchist Library, 2011; DEUSEN (David Van) & MASSOT (Xaviar) (ed.), *The Black Bloc Papers*, Shawnee Mission: Breaking Glass Press, 2010; AVERY-NATALE (Edward), *'We're Here, We're Queer, We're Anarchists': The Nature of Identification and Subjectivity Among Black Blocs*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2010, pp. 95-115; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 58-59, 154-161; SEVERINO, *Has the Black Block Reached the End of Its Usefulness?*, Anarchist Library, 2002; CUNNINGHAM (Ray), *Bashing the Black Bloc?*, Red and Black Revolution, No. 6, 2002, pp. 13-15; ANTI-RACIST ACTION & THE GREEN MOUNTAIN ANARCHIST COLLECTIVE, *Black Bloc Tactics Communiqué*, Anarchist Library, 2001; ANONYMOUS, *Anarchist/Black Bloc Motivation*, Anarchist Library, 2001.

⁶⁴ FUTRELL (Robert) & BRENTS (Barbara G.), *Protest as Terrorism?: The Potential for Violent Anti-Nuclear Activism*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 46, No. 6, 2003, p. 748.

⁶⁵ GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The New Anarchists*, op. cit., p. 66.

⁶⁶ About this type of right, see ZEDNER (Lucia), *Terrorizing Criminal Law*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2014, pp. 99-121; KEMPEN (Piet Hein van), *Four Concepts of Security – A Human Rights Perspective*, Human Rights Law Review, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-23; RAMSAY (Peter), *The Insecurity State: Vulnerable Autonomy and the Right to Security in the Criminal Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012; LUCHTMAN (Michiel), *Principles of European Criminal Law: Jurisdiction, Choice of Forum, and the Legality Principle in the Area of Freedom, Security, and Justice*, European Review of Private Law, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2012, pp. 347-379; PUYENBROECK (Laurens van) & VERMEULEN (Gert), *Towards Minimum Procedural Guarantees for the Defence in Criminal Proceedings in the EU*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 60, No. 4, 2011, pp. 1017-1038; HARNUM (James), *Deriving the Right to Water from the Right to Life, Liberty and Security of the Person: Section 7 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and Aboriginal Communities in Canada*, Review of European Community & International Environmental Law, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2010, pp. 306-315.

⁶⁷ SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Neither Justice Nor Crime*, op. cit., p. 7.

⁶⁸ See AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 155-157. Concerning the concept of delegitimization and its different definitions, see also HOPKINS (James), *Psychologically Disturbed and on the Side of the Terrorists*, op. cit.; SHINDLER (Colin), *Israel and the European Left: Between Solidarity and Delegitimization*, New York: Continuum, 2012; CHISANGO (Tadíos) & GWANDURE (Calvin), *Delegitimation of Disliked Political Organisations through Biased Language and Acronyming*, Journal of Psychology in Africa, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2011, pp. 455-458; HAMMACK (Phillip L.) ET AL., *Prevalence and Correlates of Delegitimization among Jewish Israeli Adolescents*, Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2011, pp. 151-178; ROSENBERG (M.J.), *Israel: 'Delegitimization' Is just a Distraction*, Los Angeles Times, July 17, 2011; LIS (Jonathan), *Livni: Delegitimization of Israel Exacerbates other Threats*, Haaretz, Aug. 24, 2010; BERRY (Ken) ET AL., *Delegitimizing Nuclear Weapons: Examining the Validity of Nuclear Deterrence*, Monterey: Monterey Institute of International Studies, 2010; VOLPATO (Chiara) ET AL., *Picturing the Other: Targets of Delegitimization across Time*, International Journal of Conflict and Violence, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 269-287; OREN (Neta) & BAR-TAL (Daniel), *The Detrimental Dynamics of Delegitimization in Intractable Conflicts: The Israeli-Palestinian Case*, International Journal of Intercultural Relations, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2007, pp. 111-126; BAR-TAL (Daniel), *Causes and Consequences of Delegitimization: Models of Conflict and Ethnocentrism*, Journal of Social Issues, Vol. 46, No. 1, 1990, pp. 65-81; BAR-TAL (Daniel), *Delegitimization: The Extreme Case of Stereotyping and Prejudice*, in BAR-TAL (Daniel) ET AL. (ed.), *Stereotyping and Prejudice: Changing Conceptions*, New York: Springer, 1989, pp. 169-182; SPRINZAK (Ehud), *Right-Wing Terrorism in a Comparative Perspective: The Case of Split Delegitimization*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1995, pp. 17-43.

⁶⁹ UNODC, *Handbook on Criminal Justice Responses to Terrorism*, New York, 2009, p. 3.

⁷⁰ GORDON (Uri), *Dark Tidings: Anarchist Politics in the Age of Collapse*, in AMSTER (Randall) ET AL. (ed.), *Contemporary Anarchist Studies: An Introductory Anthology of Anarchy in the Academy*, London: Routledge, 2009, p. 253.

⁷¹ GORDON (Uri), *Dark Tidings*, op. cit., p. 253.

-
- ⁷² CAPELLAN (Joel A.) & PORTER (Jeremy R.), *Spaces of Terror*, op. cit., pp. 246-247.
- ⁷³ SCHAFER (Stephen), *The Political Criminal: The Problem of Morality and Crime*, New York: Free Press, 1974, p. 37.
- ⁷⁴ MELIÁ (Manuel Cancio), *Terrorism and Criminal Law: The Dream of Prevention, the Nightmare of the Rule of Law*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 121-122. About “enemy criminal law” or “criminal law against enemies”, see also SAAS (Claire), *Exceptional Law in Europe with Emphasis on “Enemies” Preventive Detention and Criminal Justice*, UNC School of Law, May 11, 2012; DíEZ (Carlos Gómez-Jara) & CHIESA (Luis E.), *Spain*, in HELLER (Kevin Jon) & DUBBER (Markus D.) (ed.), *The Handbook of Comparative Criminal Law*, Stanford: Stanford Law Books, 2011, p. 522; DíEZ (Carlos Gómez-Jara), *Enemy Combatants vs. Enemy Criminal Law*, New Criminal Law Review, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2008, pp. 529-562; JAKOBS (Günther), *On the Theory of Enemy Criminal Law*, Bora Laskin Law Library, University of Toronto.
- ⁷⁵ See GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression in Modern America from 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Schenkman, 1978, p. 570.
- ⁷⁶ About the term SCADs, see KOUZMIN (Alexander) ET AL (ed.), *State Crimes Against Democracy: Political Forensics in Public Affairs*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance) & WITT (Matthew T.), *Conspiracy Theory Reconsidered: Responding to Mass Suspicions of Political Criminality in High Office*, Administration and Society, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2013, pp. 267-295; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance) (ed.), *Symposium on State Crimes against Democracy, December 2009*, Public Integrity, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2011, pp. 197-252; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), *State Crimes Against Democracy in the War on Terror*, op. cit.; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), *Beyond Conspiracy Theory: Patterns of High Crime in American Government*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2010, pp. 795-825; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), & WITT (Matthew T.), *Preventing State Crimes Against Democracy*, Administration and Society, Vol. 20, No. 10, 2009, pp. 527-550; DEHAVEN-SMITH (Lance), *When Political Crimes Are Inside Jobs: Detecting State Crimes Against Democracy*, Administrative Theory and Praxis, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2006, pp. 330-355; THORNE (Kym) & KOUZMIN (Alexander), *The USA PATRIOT Acts (et al.): Convergent Legislation and Oligarchic Isomorphism in the “Politics of Fear” and State Crime(s) Against Democracy (SCADs)*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2010, pp. 885-920; MANWELL (Laurie A.), *In Denial of Democracy: Social Psychological Implications for Public Discourse on State Crimes Against Democracy Post-9/11*, American Behavioral Scientist, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2010, pp. 848-884; PHILLIPS (Peter) & HUFF (Mickey), *State Crimes Against Democracy*, Global Research, Mar. 4, 2010.
- ⁷⁷ BLAKELEY (Ruth), *State Terrorism and Neoliberalism*, op. cit., p. 31; MITCHEL (Chris) ET AL., *State Terrorism: Issues of Concept and Measurement*, in STOHL (Michael) & LOPEZ (George A.) (ed.), *Government Violence and Repression: An Agenda for Research*, New York: Greenwood Press, 1986, p. 13.
- ⁷⁸ SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Active Anarchy*, op. cit., p. 132.
- ⁷⁹ ELLUL (Jacques), *The Political Illusion*, op. cit., p. 167.
- ⁸⁰ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., p. 90.
- ⁸¹ GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression*, op. cit., p. xvi.
- ⁸² BENNETT (James R.), *Political Trials and Prisoners in the United States*, Social Anarchism, Vol. 22, 1996.
- ⁸³ GOODELL (Charles), *Political Prisoners in America*, op. cit., p. 10.
- ⁸⁴ Ibid., p. 325.
- ⁸⁵ About this act, see RAGSDALE (Bruce A.), *The Chicago Seven: 1960s Radicalism in the Federal Courts*, Federal Judicial Center, 2008; ZALMAN (Marvin), *The Federal Anti-Riot Act and Political Crime: The Need for Criminal Law Theory*, Villanova Law Review, Vol. 20, No. 5-6, 1975, pp. 897-937; BABCOX (Peter), *The Committee to Defend the Conspiracy*, New York Review of Books, June 19, 1969; *History of the Federal Judiciary*, Federal Judicial Center.
- ⁸⁶ GOODELL (Charles), op. cit., p. 330.
- ⁸⁷ See GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression*, op. cit., p. 69.
- ⁸⁸ BENNETT (James R.), *Political Trials and Prisoners in the United States*, op. cit.
- ⁸⁹ SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., p. 25. About “The Act of 1637”, see *ibid.*, pp. 16, 25, 27-28, 34.
- ⁹⁰ GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression*, op. cit., pp. 67-70; *Anarchist Turner Tells of His Fight; Was Stared At on Ellis Island as If a Wild Animal*, New York Times, Mar. 14, 1904.
- ⁹¹ About these concepts, see BEDI (Sonu), *Why a Criminal Prohibition on Sex Selective Abortions Amounts to a Thought Crime*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2011, pp. 349-360; RUBIN (Daniel Ian), *Mindcrime and Doublethink: Using Music to*

Teach Dystopian Literature, English Journal, Vol. 101, No. 2, 2011, pp. 74-79; LARNER (Jesse), *Hate Crime/Thought Crime*, Dissent, Vol. 57, No. 2, 2010, pp. 74-79; LIEBERMAN (Michael), *Hate Crime Laws: Punishment to Fit the Crime: A Response to Jesse Lerner*, Dissent, Vol. 57, No. 3, 2010, pp. 81-84; PEABODY (Michael D.), *Thought and Crime*, Liberty Magazine, 2008; KRETZMER (David) & HAZAN (Francine Kershman) (ed.), *Freedom of Speech and Incitement against Democracy*, Cambridge: Kluwer Law International, 2000; JAREBORG (Nils), *Crime Ideologies*, op. cit.; KNORPP (William), *Thoughtcrime*, Analysis, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1985, pp. 178-179; ORWELL (George), *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, ed. by Peter Stansky, New York: William H. Freeman, 1983.

⁹² KRAUT (Julia Rose), *Global Anti Anarchism: The Origins of Ideological Deportation and Suppression of Expression*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp. 192-193, 169.

⁹³ TEACHOUT (Zephyr), *The Historical Roots of Citizens United v. FEC: How Anarchists and Academics Accidentally Created Corporate Speech Rights*, Harvard Law a Policy Review, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2011, pp. 163-187.

⁹⁴ *The Anarchist Origins of May Day*, Workers Solidarity Movement, May 1, 2010.

⁹⁵ MESSER-KRUSE (Timothy), *The Trial of the Haymarket Anarchists: Terrorism and Justice in the Gilded Age*, New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2011, pp. 4-6, 181. About the Haymarket Affair, see also DIMOCK (James Patrick), *The Haymarket Conspiracy: Transatlantic Anarchist Networks* by Timothy Messer-Kruse, book review, Rhetoric and Public Affairs, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2014, pp. 367-371; SHONE (Steve J.), *American Anarchism*, Leiden: Brill, 2013; MESSER-KRUSE (Timothy), *The Haymarket Conspiracy: Transatlantic Anarchist Networks*, Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2012; RIMKE (Heidi), *Haymarket tragedy*, in NESS (Immanuel) (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Revolution and Protest*, Chichester and Malden: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009, pp. 1568-1570; PINTA (Saku), *Anarchism, Marxism, and the Ideological Composition of the Chicago Idea*, WorkingUSA, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2009, pp. 421-450; SCHNEIROV (Richard), *From the Trial to the Hangings*, Illinois During the Gilded Age, 2008; GREEN (James), *Death in the Haymarket: A Story of Chicago, the First Labor Movement and the Bombing that Divided Gilded Age America*, New York: Pantheon Books, 2006; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 25-26, 31-32, 37-42; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Schism between Individualist and Communist Anarchism in the Nineteenth Century*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2000, pp. 97-123; NELSON (Bruce C.), *Beyond the Martyrs: A Social History of Chicago's Anarchists, 1870-1900*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1988; ROEDIGER (Dave) & ROSEMONT (Franklin) (ed.), *Haymarket Scrapbook*, Chicago: Charles Hope Kerr, 1986; AVRICH (Paul), *The Haymarket Tragedy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984; GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression*, op. cit.; WERSTEIN (Irving), *Strangled Voices: The Story of the Haymarket*, New York: Macmillan, 1970; KEBABIAN (John S.) (ed.), *The Haymarket Affair and the Trial of the Chicago Anarchists 1886*, New York: Hans Peter Kraus, 1970; STEIN (Leon) & TAFT (Philip) (ed.), *The Accused and the Accusers: The Famous Speeches of the Eight Chicago Anarchists in Court*, New York: Arno, 1970; FONER (Philip S.) (ed.), *The Autobiographies of the Haymarket Martyrs*, New York: Humanities Press, 1969; DAVID (Henry), *The History of the Haymarket Affair: A Study in the American Social-Revolutionary and Labor Movements*, 2nd ed., New York: Russell & Russell, 1958; TRUMBULL (Matthew Mark), *The Trial of the Judgment: A Review of the Anarchist Case*, Chicago: Health and Home, 1888; LUM (Dyer Daniel), *A Concise History of the Great Trial of Chicago Anarchists in 1886*, Chicago: Socialistic Publishing, 1886.

⁹⁶ RIMKE (Heidi), *Haymarket tragedy*, op. cit., p. 1569.

⁹⁷ Louis Lingg "committed suicide in his cell the day before the executions." AVRICH (Paul), *The Haymarket Tragedy*, op. cit., p. xi.

⁹⁸ MESSER-KRUSE (Timothy), *The Trial of the Haymarket Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 8, 185.

⁹⁹ MESSER-KRUSE (Timothy), op. cit., pp. 8, 181.

¹⁰⁰ AVRICH (Paul), *The Haymarket Tragedy*, op. cit., p. xi. About Governor Altgeld's pardon, see ALTGELD (John P.), *Reasons for Pardoning Fielden, Neebe and Schwab: The Haymarket Anarchists*, Anarchist Library, 1886.

¹⁰¹ See HUSMANN (Caleb), *The Green Scare*, in WHITE (Kim Kennedy) & DURAM (Leslie A.) (ed.), *America Goes Green: An Encyclopedia of Eco-Friendly Culture in the United States*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2013, pp. 40-42; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Deconstructing "Eco-Terrorism": Rhetoric, Framing and Statecraft as Seen through the Insight Approach*, Critical Studies on Terrorism, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2013, pp. 92-117; PEDERSEN (Stephanie), *The Green Scare and what It Means for Activism*, International, Aug. 5, 2012; SALTER (Colin), *Activism as Terrorism: The Green Scare, Radical Environmentalism and Governmentality*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2011, pp. 211-238; POTTER (Will), *Green Is the New Red: An Insider's Account of a Social Movement Under Siege*, San Francisco: City Lights Books, 2011; POTTER (Will), *The Green Scare*, op. cit.; BECKER (Michael), *Anarcho-Primitivism: The Green Scare in Green Political Theory*, Anarchist Library, 2010; *The Green Scare: The Scariest Political-Horror Show Since the McCarthy Era!*, Portland: Eberhardt Press, July 23, 2010; SMITH (Rebecca K.), "Ecoterrorism"? A Critical Analysis of the Vilification of Radical Environmental Activists as

Terrorists, *Environmental Law*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2008, pp. 537-576; BECK (Colin J.), *On the radical cusp: Ecoterrorism in the United States, 1998-2005*, *Mobilization*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2007, pp. 161-176; TWIN CITIES ECO-PRISONER SUPPORT COMMITTEE, *Resist the Grand Juries: Fight the Green Scare*, Anarchist Library, 2007; HALL (Lee), *Disaggregating the Scare from the Greens*, *Vermont Law Review*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 2009, pp. 689-715; DONNELLY (Michael), *Green Sabotage as "Terrorism"*, *CounterPunch*, May 26-28, 2007; LIDDICK (Donald Ron), *Eco-Terrorism: Radical Environmentalism and Animal Liberation Movements*, Westport: Praeger, 2006; ROOD (Justin), *Animal Rights Groups and Ecology Militants Make DHS Terrorist List, Right-Wing Vigilantes Omitted*, *Congressional Quarterly*, Mar. 25, 2005; *Terrorism Court Cases*, *Green Is the New Red*.

¹⁰² About the Red Scare and Government response, see JENSEN (Richard Bach), *The Pre-1914 Anarchist "Lone Wolf" Terrorist and Governmental Responses*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 86-94; JENSEN (Richard Bach), *The International Campaign Against Anarchist Terrorism, 1880-1930s*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2009, pp. 89-109; JENSEN (Richard Bach), *The United States, International Policing and the War against Anarchist Terrorism, 1900-1914*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2001, pp. 15-46; WALKER (William T.), *McCarthyism and the Red Scare: A Reference Guide*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2011; NIELSEN (Kim E.), *Un-American Womanhood: Antiradicalism, Antifeminism, and the First Red Scare*, Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 2001; BARSON (Michael) & HELLER (Steven), *Red Scared!: The Commie Menace in Propaganda and Popular Culture*, San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 2001; LIPSET (Seymour Martin) & MARKS (Gary), *It Didn't Happen Here: Why Socialism Failed in the United States*, New York: William Warder Norton, 2000; SCHMIDT (Regin), *Red Scare: FBI and the Origins of Anticommunism in the United States, 1919-1943*, Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press and University of Copenhagen, 2000; FARIELLO (Griffin), *Red Scare: Memories of the American Inquisition: An Oral History*, New York: William Warder Norton, 1995; BIEL (Steven), *The Left and Public Memory*, *Reviews in American History*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1995, pp. 704-709; GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *Political Repression*, op. cit., pp. 66-70, 139-163; GOLDSTEIN (Robert Justin), *The Anarchist Scare of 1908: A Signe of Tensions in the Progressive Era*, *American Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 1974, pp. 55-78; POWERS (Richard Gid), *Not Without Honor: The History of American Anti-Communism*, New York: Free Press, 1995; PRESTON, JR. (William), *Aliens and Dissenters: Federal Suppression of Radicals, 1903-1933*, foreword by Paul Buhle, 2nd ed., Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1994; HONG (Nathaniel), *Constructing the Anarchist Beast in American Periodical Literature, 1880-1903*, *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1992, pp. 110-130; MURRAY (Robert Keith), *Red Scare: A Study in National Hysteria, 1919-1920*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1955; *The Red Scare in the 1920*, History Learning Site; *The 1919 Red Scare*, Vintage Periods.

¹⁰³ DUFF (Antony), *Theories of Criminal Law*, Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, May 14, 2013. About this criminal principle, see also NOBLES (Richard) & SCHIFF (David), *Communicating Moral Responsibility through Criminal Law*, *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2006, pp. 207-217; FLETCHER (George P.), *Criminal Theory in the Twentieth Century*, *Theoretical Inquiries in Law*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2001, Art. 9; EDGE (Peter W.), *Contemporaneity and Moral Congruence: Actus Reus and Mens Rea Reconsidered*, *Liverpool Law Review*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1995, pp. 83-95; SULLIVAN (G. R.), *Cause and the Contemporaneity of Actus Reus and Mens Rea*, *Cambridge Law Journal*, Vol. 52, No. 3, 1993, pp. 487-500; SISTARE (Christine T.), *Responsibility and Criminal Liability*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 1989.

¹⁰⁴ About Henry De Lamar Clayton, Jr., see POLENBERG (Richard), *Fighting Faiths*, op. cit., pp. 95-102; POLENBERG (Richard), *Progressivism and Anarchism*, op. cit.; PRUITT, JR. (Paul McWhorter), *Henry Delamar Clayton Jr.*, *Encyclopedia of Alabama*, Feb. 15, 2012.

¹⁰⁵ NEW YORK TIMES, Jan. 7, 1916; POLENBERG (Richard), *Fighting Faiths*, op. cit., p. 101.

¹⁰⁶ Quoted in AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, op. cit., p. 217. About this case, see *Abrams v. United States* 250 U.S. 616 (1919); HEALY (Thomas), *The Justice Who Changed His Mind: Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., and the Story behind Abrams v. United States*, *Journal of Supreme Court History*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2014, pp. 35-78; GREEN (Jonathon) & KAROLIDES (Nicholas J.), *Encyclopedia of Censorship*, New York: Facts On File, 2009, p. 2; *The Case of Mollie Steimer, Jacob Abrams, Hyman Lachowsky and Samuel Lipman*, *Libcom*, Mar. 11, 2008; RAIDER (Mark A.), *"Vigilantibus Non Dormientibus"*, op. cit., p. 46; SMITH (Stephen A.), *Schenck v. United States and Abrams v. United States*, in PARKER (Richard A.) (ed.), *Free Speech on Trial: Communication Perspectives on Landmark Supreme Court Decisions*, Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press, 2003, pp. 20-35; AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1988, 215-222; AVRICH (Paul), *Mollie Steimer: An Anarchist Life*, Anarchist Library, 1988; POLENBERG (Richard), *Fighting Faiths: The Abrams Case, the Supreme Court, and Free Speech*, New York: Viking, 1987; POLENBERG (Richard), *Progressivism and Anarchism: Judge Henry D. Clayton and the Abrams Trail*, *Law and History Review*, Vol. 3, 1985, pp. 397-408; SZAJKOWSKI (Zosa), *Double Jeopardy: The Abraham Case of 1919*, *American Jewish Archives*, Vol. 23, 1971, pp. 6-32; POLLOCK (Frederick), *Abrams v. United States*, *Law Quarterly Review*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 1920, pp. 334-338.

- ¹⁰⁷ See GAGE (Beverly), *The Day Wall Street Exploded: A Story of America in its First Age of Terror*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; BERKMAN (Alexander) & GOLDMAN (Emma), *Deportation, Its Meaning and Menace*, op. cit.
- ¹⁰⁸ Quoted by LENDLER (Marc), *The Time to Kill a Snake: Gitlow v. New York and the Bad-Tendency Doctrine*, *Journal of Supreme Court History*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2011, p. 11.
- ¹⁰⁹ About Gitlow case, the New York Criminal Anarchy Law of 1902, and the Lusk Committee, see *Gitlow v. New York* 268 U.S. 652, 45 S. Ct. 625, 69 L. Ed. 1138, 1925 U.S.; *Notes*, *Harvard Law Review*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1922-1923, pp. 199-204; LEONARD (Aaron), *Marc Lender: "Every Idea Is an Incitement ..."*, *History News Network*, May 18, 2013; ROSS (William G.), *Brandeis, Gitlow, and the Supreme Court's Transformation during the Interwar Years*, *Reviews in American History*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 2013, pp. 687-693; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 13-14; KRAUT (Julia Rose), *Global Anti Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 191; LENDLER (Marc), *Gitlow v. New York: Every Idea an Incitement*, Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 2012; LENDLER (Marc), *The Time to Kill a Snake*, op. cit.; *Gitlow Goes Back to Serve his Term*, *New York Times*, Nov. 10, 1925; *Gitlow Loses Fight in Highest Court to Annul Anarchy Law*, *New York Times*, June 9, 1925; *Gitlow is Pardoned by Governor Smith as Punished Enough*, *New York Times*, Dec. 12, 1925; MACKEY (Thomas C.), *"They Are Positively Dangerous Men": The Lost Court Documents of Benjamin Gitlow and James Larkin before the New York City Magistrates' Court, 1919*, *New York University Law Review*, Vol. 69, No. 2, 1994, pp. 421-436; HANNON (Michael), *The People v. Benjamin Gitlow (1920)*, Law Library, University of Minnesota; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 17; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit., p. 18; FALK (Candace Serena) ET AL. (ed.), *Emma Goldman: A Documentary History of the American Years, Vol. 2: Making Speech Free, 1902-1909*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003, p. 16; GLASSGOLD (Peter), *Anarchy!: An Anthology of Emma Goldman's Mother Earth*, Berkeley: Counterpoint, 2012, pp. 6-8.
- ¹¹⁰ See AHMED (Shamila), *Constitutive Criminology and the 'War on Terror'*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2014, pp. 357-371; CHOSSUDOVSKY (Michel), *America's Holy Crusade against the Muslim World*, *Global Research*, July 4, 2014; KOLIN (Andrew), *State Power and Democracy*, op. cit.; *Ten Years After 9/11: An Anarchist Evaluation*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2011; ANGSTROM (Jan), *Mapping the Competing Historical Analogies of the War on Terrorism: The Bush Presidency*, *International Relations*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2011, pp. 224-242; THORNE (Kym) & KOUZMIN (Alexander), *The USA PATRIOT Acts (et al.): Convergent Legislation and Oligarchic Isomorphism in the "Politics of Fear" and State Crime(s) Against Democracy (SCADs)*, *American Behavioral Scientist*, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2010, pp. 885-920; RAMSAY (Maureen), *Liberal Democratic Politics as a Form of Violence*, *Democratization*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2010, pp. 235-250; MCCULLOCH (Jude) & PICKERING (Sharon), *Pre-Crime and Counter-Terrorism: Imagining Future Crime in the 'War on Terror'*, *British Journal of Criminology*, Vol. 49, No. 5, 2009, pp. 628-645; LOVE (Nancy S.), *Anti-, Neo-, Post-, and Proto-: Conservative Hybrids, Ironic Reversals, and Global Terror(ism)*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 2009, pp. 443-459; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 65-67, 157; STOHL (Michael), *The Global War on Terror and State Terrorism*, *Perspectives on Terrorism*, Vol. 2, No. 9, 2008; GRAY (John), *Black Mass: How Religion Led the World into Crisis*, Toronto: Anchor Canada, 2008; HERMAN (Susan), *PATRIOT Games: Terrorism Law and Executive Power*, *Jurist Legal News and Research*, Jan. 26, 2006; JONES (Alun) ET AL., *Blackstone's Guide to the Terrorism Act 2006*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006; CAMPBELL (Colm) & CONNOLLY (Ita), *Making War on Terror? Global Lessons from Northern Ireland*, *Modern Law Review*, Vol. 69, No. 6, 2006, pp. 935-957; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Framing Collective Action Against Neoliberalism*, op. cit., pp. 25-27; CHANG (Nancy), *The War on Dissent*, *Nation*, Sep. 13, 2004; CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent*, op. cit.; PANTICH (Leo), *Violence as a Tool of Order and Change: The War on Terrorism and the Antiglobalization Movement*, *Monthly Review*, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2002, pp. 12-32; FORD (Peter), *Europe Cringes at Bush 'Crusade' against Terrorists*, *Christian Science Monitor*, Sep. 19, 2001.
- ¹¹¹ See ARIN (Kerim Peren) ET AL., *Exploring the Dynamics between Terrorism and Anti-Terror Spending: Theory and UK-Evidence*, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol. 77, No. 2, 2011, pp. 189-202.
- ¹¹² ROOTS (Roger), *Who Benefits from Terrorism: The Common Interests of Terrorists and Governments of Terrorized Societies*, *War Crimes, Genocide, and Crimes against Humanity*, Vol. 5, No. 1-2, 2011, p. 126.
- ¹¹³ See HERMES (Kris), *Chasing Anarchists: May Day and the Federal Government's Use of Grand Juries as Political Counterintelligence*, *Huffington Post*, Apr. 30, 2013; GIBSON (James L.), *Intolerance and Political Repression in the United States: A Half Century after McCarthyism*, *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2008, p. 97.
- ¹¹⁴ MURRAY (Bill), *7 Reasons Why Police are More Dangerous to Americans than ISIS*, *Free Thought Project*, June 13, 2015; *You're 55 Times More Likely to be Killed by a Police Officer than a Terrorist*, *Washington's Blog*, Mar. 4, 2015; *You're Nine Times More Likely to be Killed by a Police Officer than a Terrorist*, *Washington's Blog*, Aug. 15, 2014; MULLEN (Jack), *Police Are More Dangerous to Citizens than Criminals*, *Signs of the Times*, June 8, 2014; HODGES (Dave), *Cops Kill 8 Times More Americans Than Terrorists Do*, *Activist Post*, Nov. 17, 2013; ROBERTS (Paul Craig), *Police Are More Dangerous to the Public than Are Criminals*, *Institute for Political Economy*, Sep. 16, 2013; HARPER (Jim), *You're Eight Times More Likely to be Killed by*

a Police Officer than a Terrorist, Cato Institute, Aug. 10, 2012; PIAZZA (Judyth), *NSC Study Shows You Are More Likely Killed by a Cop than a Terrorist*, News Blaze, Feb. 21, 2009. See also LARTEY (Jamiles), *By the Numbers: US Police Kill More in Days than Other Countries do in Years*, Guardian, June 9, 2015.

¹¹⁵ For some examples of this type of legal salvation, see KOWALSKI (Kathiann M.), *Checks and Balances: A Look at the Powers of Government*, Minneapolis: Lerner, 2012; RODRIGUEZ (Jose R.), *The Persistence of Formalism: Towards a Situated Critique beyond the Classic Separation of Powers*, Law and Development Review, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2010, pp. 41-77; OLSSON (Stefan), *Defending the Rule of Law in Emergencies through Checks and Balances*, Democracy and Security, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2009, pp. 103-126; ABRAMS (Norman), *Developments in US Anti-Terrorism Law: Checks and Balances Undermined*, Journal of International Criminal Justice, Vol. 4, No. 5, 2006, pp. 1117-1136; BRANNEN, JR. (Daniel E.) & BAKER (Lawrence W.), *Checks and Balances: The Three Branches of the American Government*, Detroit: Thomson/Gale, 2005; GEORGOPOULOS (Theodore), *The 'Checks and Balances' Doctrine in Member States as a Rule of EC Law: The Cases of France and Germany*, European Law Journal, Vol. 9, No. 5, 2003, pp. 530-548; LURIE (Yotam) & FRENKEL (David A.), *Corporate Governance: Separation of Powers and Checks and Balances in Israeli Corporate Law*, Business Ethics, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2003, pp. 275-283; MILLER (Geoffrey P.), *Government Lawyers' Ethics in a System of Checks and Balances*, op. cit.; *Judicial-Legislative Checks and Balances*, eNotes.

¹¹⁶ CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent*, op. cit., p. 135.

¹¹⁷ ABRAMS (Norman), *Developments in US Anti-Terrorism Law*, op. cit., p. 1117.

¹¹⁸ HAAS (Michael), *George W. Bush, War Criminal?*, op. cit., p. 3.

¹¹⁹ See ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty: The Libertarian Manifesto*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2002, p. 240; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit., pp. 27-28.

¹²⁰ LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Why Objective Law Requires Anarchy*, Formulations, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1998. For more information about such a perspective, see also LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Libertarian Anarchism Responses to Ten Objections*, Left-Libertarian, Oct. 22, 2012; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Market Anarchism as Constitutionalism*, in LONG (Roderick Tracy) & MACHAN (Tibor Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism/Minarchism*, op. cit., pp. 133-154; WIEBE (Michael), *Toward an Anarchist Constitutional Political Economy*, University of Manitoba, 2010.

¹²¹ See CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent*, op. cit., pp. 75-76; *Turkmen v. Ashcroft*, Center for Constitutional Rights; *Ibrahim Turkmen, Asif-ur-Rehman Saffi, and Syed Amjad Ali Jaffri*, Center for Constitutional Rights, Apr. 17, 2002.

¹²² About this anarchist activity, see *Rainbow Family of Living Light*, Apologetics Index, June 22, 2014; POHL (Jason), *Red Feather Lakes Stabbings Tied to Rainbow Family*, Coloradoan, July 16, 2014; CONNOLLY (Caroline), *Officials Warning Residents about 'Rainbow Family' Gathering, LDS Church Moving Children from Area*, Fox13Now, June 17, 2014; MCKINZIE (Ashleigh E.) & BRADLEY (Mindy S.), *Deviance and Social Control in an Alternative Community: The Unique Case of the Rainbow Family of Living Light*, Deviant Behavior, Vol. 34, No. 8, 2013, pp. 599-617; *Counterculture Group Plans Vancouver Island Gathering*, CBC News, Aug. 8, 2013; LELIS (Ludmilla), *Rainbow Family of Aging Hippies, Teen Wanderers Chills out at Annual Ocala National Forest Encampment*, Orlando Sentinel, Feb. 16, 2013; NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow*, op. cit.; NIMAN (Michael I.), *You Can't Be Nonviolent Without Violence: The Rainbow Family's Nonkilling Nomadic Utopia and its Survival of Persistent State Violence*, in PIM (Joám Evans) (ed.), *Nonkilling Societies*, Honolulu: Center for Global Nonkilling, 2010, pp. 325-339; NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center' and the Non-Policing of an Anarchist Temporary Autonomous Zone: Rainbow Family Peacekeeping strategies*, in FERNANDEZ (Luis A.) & HUEY (Laura) (ed.), *Rethinking Policing and Justice*, op. cit., pp. 63-74; BUTCHER (A. Allen), *Rainbow Family of Living Light: 3 Articles*, Fourth World Services, 2012; TAVORY (Iddo) & GOODMAN (Yehuda C.), *"A Collective of Individuals": Between Self and Solidarity in a Rainbow Gathering*, Sociology of Religion, Vol. 70, No. 3, 2009, pp. 262-284; BOSSARTE (Robert M.) ET AL., *Injury, Violence, and Risk among Participants in a Mass Gathering of the Rainbow Family of Living Light*, Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2008, pp. 588-595; HARLEY (Andrew), *Rainbow Family Leaves; Clean-Up Begins*, Vail Daily, July 12, 2006; ZAFFOS (Joshua), *Om on the Range: The Rainbow Family Welcomes Itself Back to Colorado*, Colorado Springs Independent, June 22, 2006; *Rainbow 2004 Experience Not Positive*, States County Staff, Modoc County Record, Nov. 18, 2004; FAHYS (Judy), *Rainbows Earn Praise for Cleanup*, Salt Lake Tribune, Aug. 1, 2003; OCHENSKI (George), *Without a Trace: In the End, the Rainbows Were a lot Gentler on Montana than Racicot Was*, Missoula Independent, June 7, 2001.

¹²³ See SENTELL (David B.), *Judge Dave and the Rainbow People*, Washington, D.C.: Green Bag Press, 2002.

¹²⁴ See NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit., pp. 68-71; *Report Says Forest Service Has Harassed Gatherings*, New York Times, Oct. 4, 2008; BUTCHER (A. Allen), op. cit., pp. 13, 20; NEARY (Ben), *ACLU Blasts Forest Service over Rainbow Gathering*, USA Today, Oct. 3, 2008; *ACLU Plans to Investigate Rainbow Family Treatment*, High Times, July 7, 2008; *Arrest Leads to Rainbow Riot*, Trib, July 5, 2008; *5 Arrested in Rainbow Family Clash with Feds*, USA Today, July 4, 2008.

¹²⁵ *Squeaky Wheel*, Willamette Week, Sep. 10, 2014.

¹²⁶ JACKSON (Bruce), *Buffalo Cops Wage War on Pedal Pushers*, CounterPunch, June 24, 2003. See also NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit., p. 71; NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Buffalo News Spins the Bicycle Trial*, Mediastudy, Dec. 3, 2003. See also SPINA (Matthew), *Critical Mass Makes Point without Legalistic Mess*, Buffalo News, May 29, 2004.

¹²⁷ About Critical Mass and its problems, see STEHLIN (John), *Regulating Inclusion: Spatial Form, Social Process, and the Normalization of Cycling Practice in the USA*, Vol. 9, No. 1, *Mobilities*, 2014, pp. 21-41; BIEL (Joe), *Aftermass: Bicycling in a Post-Critical Mass Portland*, DVD, Portland: Microcosm, 2014; BIEL (Joe), *Bipedal, by Pedal. Number Three: A History of Bicycle Activism in Portland, Oregon*, Portland: Microcosm, 2012; MILLER (Michael E.), *Critical Mass Tonight: Brickell Better Get Ready for Some Bicycles*, Miami New Times, Aug. 29, 2014; SHAY (Miya), *Houston Police Department May Stop Providing Security for Monthly Cycling Event Critical Mass*, ABC13 News, Jan. 3, 2014; *Cycling Event Critical Mass Creating Chaos in Downtown Houston Streets?*, ABC 13, Oct. 26, 2013; PROTHERO (Arianna), *It's That Time Again: Thousands of Critical Mass Cyclists Take to The Streets*, WLRN, Oct. 25, 2013; *Critical Mass Encourages Sustainable Reaction*, Houston Chronicle, Oct. 24, 2013; *Mass Cycling Events in the New Forest Spark New Row*, Daily Echo, Oct. 6, 2013; MILLER (Michael E.), *Critical Mass Tensions With Cops Grow After Arrest, Hit-and-Run*, Miami New Times, July 11, 2013; *Trial Opens of Nine Cyclists Arrested at Critical Mass on Night of Olympic Opening Ceremony*, Road.CC, Feb. 25, 2013; ALEXANDER (Heather), *Critical Mass Says Paying for Houston Police Escorts Not an Option*, Houston Chronicle, Jan. 3, 2014; RICHARDS (Tom), *Cycling Arrests Raise Questions about Legacy Olympic Organisers Want to Leave*, Guardian, July 30, 2012; *182 People Arrested in Protest*, Metropolitan Police, July 29, 2012; *Arrests in Critical Mass Bike Ride near Olympic Park*, BBC News, July 28, 2012; SEWELL (Anne), *Mass Arrests: 'Critical Mass' Cycle Ride during Olympics Opening*, Digital Journal, July 27, 2012; ELIGON (John), *Former Officer Testifies in Bicyclist-Shoving Case*, New York Times, Apr. 23, 2010; O'CONNOR (Anahad), *City Pays \$98,000 to Critical Mass Cyclists*, New York Times, Mar. 30, 2010; BLICKSTEIN (Susan G.), *Automobility and the Politics of Bicycling in New York City*, International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2010, pp. 886-905; BLICKSTEIN (Susan G.) & HANSON (Susan), *Critical Mass: Forging a Politics of Sustainable Mobility in the Information Age*, Transportation, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2001, pp. 347-362; *Critical Mass Police Ban Blocked by Law Lords*, Guardian, Nov. 26, 2008; EL-GHOBASHY (Tamer), *Rookie Cop in Hot Water after Video Shows Him Slamming Biker*, New York Daily News, July 29, 2008; MOYNIHAN (Colin), *The Officer, the Bicyclist and the Video*, New York Times, July 29, 2008; BARRON (James), *Officer Investigated in Toppling of Cyclist*, New York Times, July 29, 2008; CARLSSON (Chris), *Nowtopia: How Pirate Programmers, Outlaw Bicyclists and Vacant Lot Gardeners Are Inventing the Future Today!*, Oakland and Edinburgh: AK Press, 2008; CARLSSON (Chris) (ed.), *Critical Mass: Bicycling's Defiant Celebration*, Edinburgh and Oakland: AK Press, 2002; FURNESS (Zack), *Critical Mass, Urban Space and Vélomobility*, *Mobilities*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2007, pp. 299-319; *Critical Mass London*, Urban75, 2006; MADDEN (Richard), *London: How Cyclists Around the World Put a Spoke in the Motorist's Wheel*, Telegraph, Dec. 16, 2003; GAROFOLI (Joe), *Critical Mass Turns 10/A Decade of Defiance/Cyclists Celebrate 10 Years of Clogging Streets en Mass*, San Francisco Chronicle, Sep. 26, 2002.

¹²⁸ See KRAUS (Krystalline), *Activist Communique: G20 Class Action Important Update*, Rabble.ca, Apr. 12, 2014; GURNEY (Matt), *Officer Bubbles Makes Good*, National Post, May 24, 2012; YANG (Jennifer), *'Officer Bubbles' Identified other Toronto Officers to G20 Watchdog*, Toronto Star, May 23, 2012; O'KANE (Josh), *Woman Who Encountered Officer Bubbles Sues Police*, Globe and Mail, June 23, 2011; KENNEDY (Brendan), *Woman Who Blew Bubbles at Officer Bubbles Sues Police*, Toronto Star, June 24, 2011; MCGRATH (John Michael), *Officer Bubbles Takes on the Internet; Internet Laughs Ruefully*, Toronto Life, Oct. 21, 2010; FEDIO (Chloé), *Hamilton Man Stands Up to 'Officer Bubbles' over Comments*, Toronto Star, Oct. 18, 2010; DEARING (Stephanie), *Toronto's G20 'Officer Bubbles' Suing YouTube for Defamation*, Digital Journal, Oct. 17, 2010; MCLEAN (Jesse), *'Officer Bubbles' Sues YouTube and Users over Cartoons*, Toronto Star, Oct. 16, 2010; SPEERIN (Dan), *'Officer Bubbles' Launches Lawsuit against YouTube!*, Truth Mashup, Oct. 15, 2010; MORROW (Adrian), *'Officer Bubbles' Launches Suit against YouTube*, Globe and Mail, Oct. 15, 2010; GILLIS (Wendy), *Toronto's 'Officer Bubbles' Gains Web Notoriety*, Toronto Star, July 16, 2010; FREESTON (Jesse), *Toronto Neighborhood Defends G-20 Activists*, Real News Network, July 10, 2010; ISLAM (Nazrul) & FREESTON (Jesse), *'Officer Bubbles' – From Bubbles to Bookings?*, YouTube, July 10, 2010; *'Officer Bubbles': From Bubbles to Bookings?*, Real News, June 25, 2011; *'Officer Bubbles' – From Bubbles to Bookings?*, YouTube, July 10, 2010; *The Whole 'Officer Bubbles' Story: Toronto Neighborhood Responds to G2*, YouTube, July 10, 2010; JARRY-SHORE (Dominique), *Special Toronto G20 Court Groans under Weight of Mass Weekend Arrests*, 570 News, June 29, 2010.

¹²⁹ See *Une Française Expulsée du Canada pour Vol à l'Étalage*, YouTube, Feb. 4, 2012; *Au Pays Depuis 1964: Expulsée pour un Vol de 80\$?*, TVA Nouvelles, Feb. 3, 2012; *Une Femme d'Origine Française Menacée d'Expulsion pour un Vol à l'Étalage*, Radio Canada, Nov. 23, 2011; NICOUUD (Anabelle), *Menacée d'Expulsion pour un Vol à l'Étalage*, La Presse, Nov. 22, 2011; GILBERT (Jean-Marc), *Une Dame Risque l'Expulsion du Pays*, Le Journal de Montréal, Nov. 21, 2011.

¹³⁰ RENZI (Alessandra) & ELMER (Greg), *The Biopolitics of Sacrifice*, op. cit., p. 47.

¹³¹ RIMKE (Heidi), *Security: Resistance*, in NEOCLEOUS (Mark) & RIGAKOS (George) (ed.), *Anti-Security*, Ottawa: Red Quill Books, 2011, p. 193.

¹³² RIMKE (Heidi), *Security*, op. cit., p. 197. See also *Day Blames G20 Travel Alert on 'Small Group of Thugs'*, CTV News, June 20, 2010.

¹³³ BENZIE (Robert) & FERGUSON (Rob), *The Most Massive Compromise of Civil Liberties in Canadian History* (Toronto Star), Ombudsman Ontario, Dec. 8, 2010. See also MORGAN (Matthew), *The Containment of Occupy: Militarized Police Forces and Social Control in America*, *Global Discourse*, Vol. 4, No. 2-3, 2014, pp. 267-284; KITCHEN (Veronica) & RYGIEL (Kim), *Privatizing Security, Securitizing Policing: The Case of the G20 in Toronto, Canada*, *International Political Sociology*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 201-217; *Accountability Is Job 1 for Toronto's Next Police Chief*, Toronto Star, Aug. 13, 2014; BLATCHFORD (Christie), *G20 Cop Trial: Adam Nobody Had Water Bottle Full of Ethanol*, Canada.com, June 3, 2013; *G20 Police Officer Assault Trial Postponed over New Video*, CBC News, June 3, 2013; *G20 Officer Found Not Guilty on Assault Charges*, CBC News, May 31, 2013; JONES (Allison), *Toronto Cop Charged in G20 Case Says He Didn't Assault Man, but Others May Have*, Global News, May 28, 2013; SMALL (Peter), *Toronto G20 Trial: Police Officer Glenn Weddell Denies Assaulting Bystander*, Toronto Star, May 28, 2013; *Charges Laid against Toronto Police after G20 Report*, CTV News, May 17, 2012; KANE (Laura), *Three G20 Police Brutality Lawsuits Settled out of Court: Lawyer*, Toronto Star, Apr. 17, 2013; MCNEILLY (Gerry), *Policing the Right to Protest*, op. cit.; DUBINSKY (Zach) & SEGLINS (Dave), *G20 'Kettling' Commander among 45 Officers to Be Charged*, CBC News, May 18, 2012; SEGLINS (Dave), *G20 Report Slams Police for 'Excessive' Force*, CBC News, May 16, 2012; PERKEL (Colin), *Police Violated Civil Rights, Acted Illegally, Says Scathing Report on G20 Summit*, National Post, May 16, 2012; PERKEL (Colin), *Report Details 'Shocking' Abuses at G20 Summit*, Toronto Star, Feb. 27, 2011; POISSON (Jayme), *G20 Summit: Cop Unmasked as Protest Couple File Suit*, Toronto Star, Mar. 14, 2012; MCNALLY (David), *Global Slump: The Economics and Politics of Crisis and Resistance*, Oakland: PM Press, 2011; KENNEDY (Brendan) & YANG (Jennifer), *G20 Status Report: One Year later, where Do We Stand?*, Toronto Star, June 25, 2011; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence*, op. cit., pp. 9-10, 25; BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Toronto Journalist Witnessed 'Police Brutality' at Toronto G20*, Toronto Star, Dec. 6, 2010; *The Whole "Officer Bubbles" Story*, op. cit.; *Chief Admits 5-Metre G20 Security Rule Didn't Exist*, CBC News, June 29, 2010; ALLEN (Kate), *Lawyers Call for Criminal Investigation into G20 Takedown*, Toronto Star, June 27, 2010.

¹³⁴ SLAUGHTER (Graham), *45-Day Jail Sentence for Toronto Police Officer Who Beat G20 Protester*, Toronto Star, Dec. 9, 2013; JONES (Allison), *Toronto Police Officer Sentenced to 45 Days Prison for 2010 G20 Assault of Adam Nobody*, National Post, Dec. 9, 2013; *G20 Assault: Babak Andalib-Goortani Gets 45-Day Sentence*, CBC News, Dec. 9, 2013; *Toronto Cop Convicted of G20 Assault Has Been Sentenced to 45 Days in Prison*, MacLean's, Dec. 9, 2013. About this case, see also HA (Tu Thanh), *Toronto Police Officer Guilty of Assaulting G20 Protester Adam Nobody*, Globe and Mail, Sep. 12, 2013; *Toronto Cop Found Guilty of Assaulting G20 Protester with Weapon*, CTV News, Sep. 12, 2013; *Toronto Police Officer Babak Andalib-Goortani Found Guilty of Assault with Weapon against G20 Protester Adam Nobody*, National Post, Sep. 12, 2013; JONES (Allison), *Lawyer Says Man Accusing Police of Assault during G20 Protest Was No Bystander*, Globe and Mail, June 6, 2013; SMALL (Peter), *Toronto G20 Police Assault Trial: Adam Nobody Accused of Fomenting Unrest*, Toronto Star, June 6, 2013.

¹³⁵ PREVILLE (Philip), *The Police vs. Everybody Else*, Toronto Life, Apr. 2016; GILLIS (Wendy), *Cop Guilty of G20 Assault Won't Be Fired – but He Loses 5 Days' Pay*, Toronto Star, Nov. 9, 2015; HASHAM (Alyshah), *No Jail for Toronto Police Officer Convicted of G20 Assault*, Toronto Star, Jan. 29 2015; HASHAM (Alyshah), *Cop Acquitted on Second G20 Assault Charge*, Toronto Star, Sep. 24, 2014; HASHAM (Alyshah), *Toronto Police Officer G20 Assault Trial Adjourned on First Day*, Toronto Star, Feb. 10, 2014; HASHAM (Alyshah), *Toronto Constable Babak Andalib-Goortani's Second G20 Assault Trial to Proceed, Rules Judge*, Toronto Star, Jan. 17, 2014; *Babak Andalib-Goortani Back at Work on Toronto Police Force*, CBC News, Feb. 18, 2015; *Other Toronto Officers Charged after G20 Summit*, 680News, Nov. 19, 2014; BETTENCOURT-MCCARTHY (Wyndham), *Four Years Later, the Scars of the G20 Persist*, Torontoist, Sep. 28, 2014; PERKEL (Colin), *Babak Andalib-Goortani, G20 Cop, Acquitted On 2nd Assault Charge*, Huffington Post, Sep. 24, 2014; *Babak Andalib-Goortani Acquitted in 2nd G20 Incident*, CBC News, Sep. 24, 2014; *Toronto Police Officer G20 Assault Trial Adjourned on First Day*, Metro News, Feb. 10, 2014.

¹³⁶ GILLIS (Wendy), *Misconduct Ruling Upheld in G20 Bandana Case*, Toronto Star, Sep. 1, 2015; PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Officer Faces Penalty for Unlawful G20 Arrest*, Toronto Star, Feb. 20, 2014; PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *G20 Summit: Officer Found Guilty of Misconduct in First Police Act Conviction*, Toronto Star, Dec. 16 2013; PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Officer Who Relayed G20 Bandana Order Testifies at Police Tribunal*, Toronto Star, Nov. 22, 2013.

¹³⁷ GILLIS (Wendy), *Demote Officer who Ordered G20 Arrests, Prosecution Tells Police Tribunal*, Toronto Star, Apr. 13, 2016; GILLIS (Wendy), *'Toronto Deteriorated into a Sense of Lawlessness,' Says G20 Police Commander*, Toronto Star, Dec. 17, 2014; GILLIS (Wendy), *Police Tell Different Stories about Orders from Notorious G20 Kettling*, Toronto Star, Dec. 16, 2014;

Toronto Cop who Ordered G20 Mass Arrests 'Deserves to Be Fired,' Court Hears, CTV News, April 13, 2016; *Officer Who Ordered Kettling of G20 Protesters Found Guilty on Three out of Five Charges*, National Union of Public and General Employees, Sep. 2, 2015; KRAUS (Krystalline), *Senior Toronto Police Officer Found Guilty of Misconduct for Ordering Mass Arrest at the G20 Summit in 2010*, Rabble.ca, Aug. 29, 2015; HUI (Ann), *Senior Toronto Police Officer Found Guilty on G20 Charges*, Globe and Mail, Aug. 25, 2015; Mark Fenton, *G20 Police Officer behind Mass Arrests, Guilty of Discreditable Conduct*, CBC News, Aug. 25, 2015; ANSARI (Sadiya), *G20 Police Misconduct Hearing Asked to Subpoena Chief Bill Blair*, Toronto Star, Jan. 12, 2015; *Lawyers at G20 Hearing Push for Chief Blair to Testify*, CBC News, Jan. 12, 2015; MEHTA (Diana), *Misconduct Hearing Begins for Toronto Cop over G20 'Kettling, in 2010*, CTV News, Nov. 19, 2014; CLARKE (Katrina), *Hearing Begins for Toronto Police Officer Charged in G20 'Kettling'*, Toronto Star, Nov. 19, 2014; *Other Toronto Officers Charged after G20 Summit*, op. cit.; *Senior Toronto Police Officer to Face G20 'Kettling' Hearing*, CBC News, Nov. 16, 2014.

¹³⁸ HASHAM (Alyshah), *Judge Upholds Police Search of Protesters during G20 Weekend*, Toronto Star, Apr. 8, 2014. See also *Figueiras v Toronto Police Services Board*, 2014 ONSC 2142 (CanLII); *Judge Upholds Police Search of Protesters during G20 Weekend*, Metro News, Apr. 8, 2014; *York Cop who Clashed with Mississauga Man at G20 Won't Face Misconduct Charges*, Mississauga News, June 7, 2012; YANG (Jennifer), *'Fairness' to Accused Cop Cited as Reason for Blocking G20 Charges*, Toronto Star, June 6, 2012; YANG (Jennifer), *Protester Sues 'This Ain't Canada' Cop after York Police Board Refuses to Charge Him*, Toronto Star, June 6, 2012.

¹³⁹ PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Accused Toronto Police Officer Calls G20 Tribunals a 'Farce'*, Toronto Star, July 23, 2013.

¹⁴⁰ MONAGHAN (Jeffrey) & WALBY (Kevin), *They Attacked the City: Security Intelligence, the Sociology of Protest Policing and the Anarchist Threat at the 2010 Toronto G20 Summit*, Current Sociology, Vol. 60, No. 5, 2010, p. 664.

¹⁴¹ See FEARON (Joseph), *Reasonable Doubt*, op. cit.; CONNOR (Kevin), *Police Appeal Decision to Allow G20 Class Action*, Toronto Sun, Aug. 21, 2014; *Toronto Police Board Seeks to Appeal G20 Class Action Certification*, CBC News, Aug. 21, 2014; MEHTA (Diana), *Court Approves Two G20 Class Action Lawsuits Alleging Mistreatment by Toronto Police during 2010 Summit*, National Post, Aug. 7, 2014; RINAS (Stefan), *Reforms Emerging from 2010 G20 Protests Must Be Acted Upon*, Canadian Journalists for Free Expression, July 3, 2013; HA-REDEYE (Omar), *G20 Class Action Fails Certification*, Slaw, June 2, 2013; *Ontario Judge Declines to Certify Class Action for G20 Detainees*, CTV News, May 24, 2013; DAVIS (Stephen Spencer), *Toronto Police Board Hit with \$1.4-Million Lawsuit over G20 Arrests*, Globe and Mail, Aug. 1, 2012; MCGRATH (John Michael), *G20 Aftermath: Court Allows Class Action Lawsuit to Proceed*, Toronto Life, Aug. 31, 2011; SMALL (Peter), *Judge Allows One G20 Class Action Lawsuit to Seek Certification, while Staying a Second One*, Toronto Star, Aug. 30, 2011; *\$45M G20 Class-Action Suit Filed*, CBC News, Aug. 6, 2010; *G20 Class-Action Lawsuit Seeks \$115M*, CBC News, Sep. 2, 2010; MEHLER PAPERNY (Anna), *G20 Class-Action Suit Claims \$45-Million in Damages*, Globe and Mail, Aug. 6, 2010; *G20 Class Action*.

¹⁴² CHIN (Jessica), *Three G20 Protesters Accept Cash to Drop Claims against Toronto Police*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 18, 2013.

¹⁴³ TOMLINSON (Simon), *Canada Let NSA Spy on G8 and G20 Ontario Summits during Week-Long Operation in 2010*, Daily Mail, Nov. 28, 2013; OWEN (Paul), *Canada 'Allowed NSA to Spy on G8 and G20 Summits'*, Guardian, Nov. 28, 2013; *Canada Allowed U.S. to Spy on G20 Leaders at Toronto Summit, Top Secret Documents Reveal*, National Post, Nov. 28, 2013; WESTON (Greg) ET AL., *New Snowden Docs Show U.S. Spied during G20 in Toronto*, op. cit.; LAMB (Nicholas), *The Pacification of Radical Dissent: An Anti-Security Analysis of the Toronto G20 Joint Intelligence Group*, Master's thesis, Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Affairs, Carleton University, 2012; BALSAM (Joel), *It's Been Three Years since Toronto's G20 Protest*, VICE News, June 27, 2013; GROVES (Tim) & DUBINSKY (Zach), *G20 Case Reveals 'Largest Ever' Police Spy Operation*, CBC News, Nov. 22, 2011.

¹⁴⁴ STARR (Amory) ET AL., *The Impacts of State Surveillance on Political Assembly and Association: A Socio-Legal Analysis*, Qual Sociol, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2008, p. 267.

¹⁴⁵ GELDERLOOS (Peter) & LINCOLN (Patrick), op. cit., p. 28.

¹⁴⁶ TURK (Austin T.), *Political Criminality: The Defiance and Defense of Authority*, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1982, p. 38.

¹⁴⁷ THE LEVELLER, *Killer Cops*, op. cit., p. 4.

¹⁴⁸ DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Introduction: Répression Policière et Mouvements Sociaux*, in DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis) (dir.), *À Qui la Rue?*, op. cit., pp. 16-17.

¹⁴⁹ MONAGHAN (Jeffrey) & WALBY (Kevin), *They Attacked the City*, op. cit., p. 664.

¹⁵⁰ *Canada 'Does Not Condone Torture,' Toews Says*, CTV News, Feb. 7, 2012.

- ¹⁵¹ Canada 'Does Not Condone Torture,' op. cit. See also BRONSKILL (Jim), *Tories Secretly Gave Canadian Military OK to Share Info despite Torture Risk*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 13, 2014; BRONSKILL (Jim), *Ottawa Tells Spies to Use Possible Torture Info in 'Exceptional' Cases*, Herald News, Feb. 7, 2012; *Ottawa Allows RCMP, Border Agency to Use Torture-Tainted Information*, Globe and Mail, Aug. 24, 2012; MAZIGH (Monia), *Vic Toews and Torture: A Shameful Legacy*, Rabble.ca, July 12, 2013; LEGER (Dan), *Is Canada OK with Torture? Vic Toews Sure Is*, Chronicle Herald, Feb. 13, 2012; FORCESE (Craig), *Vic Toews, Kant and Mill: Torture Again*, National Security Law Blog, Feb. 10, 2012; ARAR (Maher), *Public Safety Minister Bows to Pressure on Torture Policy*, Rabble.ca, Feb. 8, 2012; BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Is Canada Breaking the Law by Using Torture Info?*, Toronto Star, Feb. 8, 2012; *CSIS Can Use Information Gained Through Torture: Secret Directive*, Huffington Post, Feb. 7, 2012; *CSIS May Use Intelligence Derived from Torture, Toews Says*, CBC News, Feb. 7, 2012; *Canada Says May Use Information from Torture, Rarely*, Reuters, Feb. 7, 2012.
- ¹⁵² NGUYEN (Linda), *Stockwell Day Admits May Have Used Info Obtained Through Torture To Detain Mohamed Mahjoub*, Huffington Post, Sep. 6, 2012; *Day Says Security Certificate Info May Have Come from Torture*, CBC News, Sep. 6, 2012.
- ¹⁵³ PERKEL (Colin), *Mohamed Mahjoub's Right to Fair Trial Violated, but Security Certificate Upheld*, CTV News, Oct. 25, 2013.
- ¹⁵⁴ *Evidence Supports Mohamed Mahjoub's Security Certificate, Judge Says*, CBC News, Dec. 6, 2013. About his case, see also PERKEL (Colin), *Mohamed Mahjoub's Case Can Go On, Judge Says*, Toronto Star, Nov. 6, 2013; *Mohamed Mahjoub 'Nervous' about Forthcoming Ruling*, CBC News, Oct. 23, 2013; KEUNG (Nicholas), *Harsh House Arrest Conditions Lifted against Alleged Terrorist Mohamed Mahjoub*, Toronto Star, Jan. 8, 2013.
- ¹⁵⁵ See *Judge Reserves on Expanded Omar Khadr Lawsuit*, InsideHalton, Sep. 3, 2014; BRONSKILL (Jim), *Tories Secretly Gave Canadian Military OK to Share Info despite Torture Risk*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 13, 2014; BRONSKILL (Jim), *Canadian Torture Policy Irks European Security Alliance, Records Reveal*, Globe and Mail, Dec. 2, 2013; BRONSKILL (Jim), *Canada Had Expected to Face UN Grilling for Attitude on Torture*, Global and Mail, Nov. 12, 2012; *International Law: Canada's Non-Compliance with the Convention against Torture*, Lawyers Against the War, Global Research, May 2, 2012; FORCESE (Craig), *Vic Toews, Kant and Mill*, op. cit.; BRENNAN (Richard J.), *Is Canada Breaking the Law by Using Torture Info?*, op. cit.; *The Absolute Prohibition Against Torture*, Canadian Civil Liberties Association.
- ¹⁵⁶ BOOTH (Robert), *Anarchists Should Be Reported, Advises Westminster Anti-Terror Police*, Guardian, July 31, 2011. See also STEIGERWALD (Lucy), *Baby, I'm an Anarchist, You're a Member of Al-Qaeda*, Reason, Aug. 3, 2011; HUNDAL (Sunny), *Counter-Terrorist Office – Grass Up Anarchists!*, Liberal Conspiracy, July 31, 2011; DOCTOROW (Cory), *Official London Anti-Terrorist Publication Says Anarchists Should Be Reported to Local Police*, Boing Boing, July 31, 2011; *Counter Terrorist Focus Desk*, City of Westminster, Vol. 1, No. 16, 2011.
- ¹⁵⁷ *West Midlands Police Publish Names of Drink-Drive Accused*, BBC News, Dec. 4, 2013; ARLOTT (Georgia), *Shop a Drink Driver ... and You Cop £200*, Coventry Telegraph, Dec. 4, 2013; *West Midlands Police Offer £200 Reward in Drink-Drive Campaign*, BBC News, Dec. 2, 2013; YOUNG (Andy), *Police Offer £200 to Report Drink Drivers*, Drinks Business, Dec. 2, 2013; *Shop a Drink or Drug Driver and Bag a Festive Reward*, West Midlands Police, Solihull Local Policing Unit, Dec. 2, 2013. See also RIGLEY (Stephen), *£500 Reward for Shopping a Drink-Driver*, Daily Mail, Nov. 29, 2001; *Reward to 'Shop' Drink Drivers*, BBC News, Nov. 29, 2001.
- ¹⁵⁸ For example, see above and below, footnotes 38 and 249; WAGNER (Laura), *U.S. Ranks 41st in Press Freedom Index Thanks to 'War On Whistleblowers'*, National Public Radio, Apr. 20, 2016; MORAN (Rick), *US Ranked 41st in Latest Survey of Media Freedom*, American Thinker, Apr. 20, 2016; GUZMAN (Timothy Alexander), *Propaganda for a Price: The NED, Reporters Without Borders and the 2016 Press Freedom Index*, Global Research, Apr. 18, 2016; REPORTERS WITHOUT BORDERS, *2016 World Press Freedom Index*, 2016; *Media Ignores CIA Whistleblower Trial and Conviction*, Counter Current News, Jan. 28, 2015; SOLOMON (Norman), *The Invisible Man: Jeffrey Sterling, CIA Whistleblower*, Global Research, Jan. 28, 2015; WHEELER (Marcy), *This Is How a Police State Protects "Secrets": Jeffrey Sterling, the CIA and Up to 80 Years on Circumstantial Evidence*, Salon, Jan. 28, 2015; *CIA Whistleblower Jeffrey Sterling Convicted of Espionage*, Real News, Jan. 27, 2015; WINSHIP (Michael), *Hypocrisy on Parade in Paris*, Middle East Online, Jan. 20, 2015; BEHRENS (Matthew), *No Chelsea Manning for Hypocritical World Leaders in Paris*, Rabble.ca, Jan. 19, 2015; NORTH (David), *"Free Speech" Hypocrisy in the Aftermath of the Attack on Charlie Hebdo*, World Socialist Web Site, Jan. 9, 2015; LUCKERSON (Victor), *Edward Snowden, Lupe Fiasco and More Wish Chelsea Manning a Happy Birthday*, Time, Dec. 16, 2014; PILKINGTON (Ed), *Edward Snowden Sends Birthday Greeting to 'Extraordinary' Chelsea Manning*, Guardian, Dec. 16, 2014; PENGELLY (Martin), *Chelsea Manning Was Transgender 'in Secret' while Serving in US Army*, Guardian, Dec. 8, 2014; *Chelsea Manning May Be Transferred to Civilian Prison for Gender Treatment*, Guardian, May 14, 2014; *WikiLeaks Founder Julian Assange Tackles Google, Dispels Health Rumours*, CBC News, Sep. 26, 2014; *Assange Extradition to US 'Far-Fetched', Say Swedish Prosecutors*, Yahoo, Sep. 23, 2014; *Edward Snowden and Julian Assange Join Kim Dotcom's Political Campaign in New Zealand*, Belfast Telegraph, Sep. 16,

2014; *Assange to Dotcom: 'We Share the Same Prosecutor'*: Snowden, Assange and Dotcom Team Up, *Russia Today*, Sep. 15, 2014; Snowden, *Assange Join Dotcom Attacking 'Spying' New Zealand Government*, *Hurriyet Daily News*, Sep. 15, 2014; GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Lawyer for Edward Snowden: Positive Developments in Switzerland but Issues Still Remain*, *Firedoglake*, Sep. 12, 2014; CULZAC (Natasha), *Switzerland 'Could Grant Edward Snowden Asylum if He Testifies against NSA'*, *Independent*, Sep. 8, 2014; *Switzerland 'Unlikely to Extradite Snowden', if He Appears for NSA Testimony*, *Russia Today*, Sep. 8, 2014; Snowden: *The Case for Prosecution: Treachery and Its Consequences*, *Economist*, Jan. 10, 2014; ABEYRATNE (Ruwantissa), *Extradition and the Airport Transit Lounge: The Snowden Case*, *Journal of Transportation Security*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2014, pp. 17-26; HORWITZ (Sari), *Julian Assange unlikely to Face U.S. Charges over Publishing Classified Documents*, *Washington Post*, Nov. 25, 2013; FINN (Peter) & HORWITZ (Sari), *U.S. Charges Snowden with Espionage*, *Washington Post*, June 21, 2013; Neil MacBride, *Prosecutor Who Handled Edward Snowden Charges, Stepping Down*, *Huffington Post*, Aug. 22, 2013; *Timeline: How Edward Snowden Evaded US Prosecution*, *Voice of America*, July 24, 2013; LALLY (Kathy) & FORERO (Juan), *Bolivian President's Plane Forced to Land in Austria in Hunt for Snowden*, *Washington Post*, July 3, 2013; *Edward Snowden Rumours Force Bolivian President's Plane to Detour*, *Toronto Star*, July 3, 2013; *Edward Snowden's Whistleblowing Sparks a Debate America Needed to Have*, *Toronto Star*, July 3, 2013; VASILYEVA (Nataliya), *Edward Snowden Hits Hurdles in Search for Asylum*, *Toronto Star*, July 2, 2013; COLIVER (Sandra), *US Prosecution of Snowden and Manning Exceeds International Norms*, *Guardian*, June 26, 2013; COHN (Marjorie) & BERNSTEIN (Dennis J.), *Snowden's Case for Asylum*, *Global Research*, June 25, 2013; MACASKILL (Ewen), *Edward Snowden: How the Spy Story of the Age Leaked out*, *Guardian*, June 12, 2013; *U.S. Phone Spying Gives Terrorists a Win*, *Toronto Star*, June 9, 2013; BROWN (David W.), *INTERVIEW: War on Whistleblowers' Director Robert Greenwald*, *Week*, Apr. 15, 2013; CALDERONE (Michael) & SLEDGE (Matt), *Obama Whistleblower Prosecutions Lead to Chilling Effect on Press*, *Huffington Post*, Apr. 16, 2013; GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Robert Greenwald's 'War on Whistleblowers' Shows Importance of Preserving Press Freedom*, *Shadowproof*, Apr. 16, 2013; VANDEN HEUVEL (Katrina), *Don't Confuse Truth-Tellers with Traitors*, *Washington Post*, Apr. 16, 2013; DEVINE (Ted), *Obama's Dangerously Contradictory Stance on Whistleblowing*, *Guardian*, Apr. 16, 2013; WHEELER (Marcy), *War on Whistleblowers: How the Obama Administration Destroyed Thomas Drake for Exposing Government Waste*, *AlterNet*, Apr. 15, 2013; LIEBELSON (Dana), *New Film Explores Obama's War on Whistleblowers and the Free Press*, *Mother Jones*, Apr. 10, 2013; BRAVE NEWS FILMS, *War on Whistleblowers: Free Press and the National Security State*.

¹⁵⁹ For example, see STARR (Amory) & FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *The Legal Arena of Social Control Protest: Policing since Seattle*, *Social Justice*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2008; STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets*, op. cit.; STARR (Amory) & FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Legal Control and Resistance Post-Seattle*, *Social Justice* Vol. 36, No. 1, 2009, pp. 41-60; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit.; LAMB (Nicholas), *The Pacification of Radical Dissent*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *The Black Blocs Ten Years after Seattle*, op. cit., pp. 45-82; BRISSENDEN (Michael), *G8 Genoa: Police Brutality inquiry*, *ABC Radio National*, July 29, 2001; *Anger at G8 Police 'Brutality'*, *Daily Mail*, 2001; WHITE (Jack), *After the Dust Settles: Lessons from the Summit Protests*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 8, 2004, pp. 3-9.

¹⁶⁰ See TRUSCELLO (Michael), *Imperfect Necessity and the Mechanical Continuation of Everyday Life: A Post-anarchist Politics of Technology*, in ROUSSELLE (Duane) & EVREN (Süreyya) (ed.), *Post-Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 250-251; OLESON (J.C.), *Down the World: Imperfect Necessity and Total Cultural Revolution*, *Unbound*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2007, pp. 20-104.

¹⁶¹ OLESON (J.C.), op. cit., pp. 103-104.

¹⁶² See GLITTER (Red) & MACLEOD (Ed), *Warning: Animal Rights Blogger Is Agent of State Repression*, *Vegan Animal Liberation Alliance*, Apr. 28, 2011; WESTERN WILDLIFE UNIT OF THE ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT, *Memories of Freedom*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; *Animal Liberation Front Supporters Group Newsletter*, *Animal Liberation Front Supporters Group*, Apr. 2009; MONAGHAN (Rachel), *Terrorism in the Name of Animal Rights*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1999, pp. 159-169.

¹⁶³ See AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 48 ff.

¹⁶⁴ See LEAHY (Michael Patrick), *Landrieu Ad: Republicans Will Impeach Obama if Cassidy Defeats Me in Senate Election*, *Breitbart News*, Dec. 1, 2014; MILLER (S. A.), *Republicans Dismiss Impeachment, Say It Would 'Martyr' Obama*, *Washington Times*, Nov. 30, 2014; HEALY (Gene), *War Powers in the Bush-Obama Era*, *Cato Institute*, Sep. 14, 2014; OHLHEISER (Abby), *Mark Your Calendars: 'National Impeach Obama Week' Is Nigh*, *Washington Post*, Aug. 21, 2014; GRYBOSKI (Michael), *Tea Party, Libertarian Groups Holding 'National Impeach Obama Week'*, *Christian Post*, Aug. 20, 2014; NAL (Renee), *Erased History: The Aggressive Effort to Impeach George W. Bush*, *Brenner Brief*, Aug. 3, 2014; NICKS (Denver), *Poll: One Third of Americans Want Obama Impeached*, *Time*, July 25, 2014; STEINHAUSER (Paul), *CNN/ORC Poll: Majority Say No to Impeachment and Lawsuit*, *CNN*, July 25, 2014; HAWKINGS (David), *Boehner's Bet: Lawsuit Will Quiet Impeachment Calls*, *Roll Call*, July 11, 2014; DENNIS (Steven), *House Resolution Authorizes Suing Obama Over Affordable Care Act*, *Roll Call*, July 10,

2014; KACZYNSKI (Andrew), *John McCain: "I Don't Agree" With Sarah Palin On Impeachment*, BuzzFeed News, July 10, 2014; BLAKE (Aaron), *Why 'Impeach Obama' Is Different than 'Impeach Bush'*, Washington Post, July 9, 2014; BLAKE (Aaron), *Sarah Palin Just Joined the 'Impeach Obama' Crowd. That's Bad News for the GOP*, Washington Post, July 8, 2014; PALIN (Sarah), *It's Time to Impeach' President Obama*, Breitbart, July 8, 2014; LEE (Sheila Jackson), *We Did Not Seek an Impeachment of President Bush*, PolitiFact, July 30, 2014; SEITZ-WALD (Alex), *Impeach Obama! Again!*, Salon, May 10, 2013; HERB (Jeremy), *GOP Sen. Inhofe: Obama Could Be Impeached over Benghazi 'Cover-Up'*, Hill, May 10, 2013; GAY (Kathlyn) (ed.), *American Dissidents: An Encyclopedia of Activists, Subversives, and Prisoners of Conscience*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2012, pp. 136-137; CHAIT (Jonathan), *Scandal TBD*, New Republic, Oct. 6, 2010; *Ramsey Clark Chosen to Head Commission to Investigate Bush Crimes*, Veterans Today, Apr. 9, 2010; GABBARD (David), *The Outlook for Social Justice in Our Compulsory Schools: An Anarchist Forecast*, Peace Studies Journal, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2010, pp. 119-120; GABBARD (David), *Updating the Anarchist Forecast for Social Justice in Our Compulsory Schools*, in HAWORTH (Robert H.) (ed.), *Anarchist Pedagogies*, op. cit., pp. 32-33; KUCINICH (Dennis J.) ET AL., *Thirty-five Articles of Impeachment and the Case for Prosecuting George W. Bush*, Port Townsend: Feral House, 2008; HAAS (Michael), *George W. Bush, War Criminal?*, op. cit.; KAMIYA (Gary), *Why Bush Hasn't Been Impeached*, Salon, May 22, 2007; LOO (Dennis) & PHILLIPS (Peter) (ed.), *Impeach the President: The Case against Bush and Cheney*, intr. by Howard Zinn New York: Seven Stories Press, 2006; LINDORFF (Dave) & OLSHANSKY (Barbara), *The Case for Impeachment: The Legal Argument for Removing President George W. Bush from Office*, New York: Thomas Dunne Books, 2006; NICHOLS (John), *The Genius of Impeachment: The Founders' Cure for Royalism*, New York: New Press, 2006; LIMBAUGH (David), *Bankrupt: The Intellectual and Moral Bankruptcy of Today's Democratic Party*, Washington, D.C.: Regnery, 2006, pp. 363-364; CLARK (Ramsey), *Vote To Impeach Bush: A Message from Ramsey Clark, Vote To Impeach, 2003; Efforts to Initiate the Impeachment of President George W. Bush*, OpenCongress; *Impeach Obama Campaign*.

¹⁶⁵ See GAY (Kathlyn) (ed.), *American Dissidents*, op. cit., p. 136; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam) ET AL., *Acts of Aggression: Policing Rogue States*, New York: Seven Stories Press, 1999; HARAK (G. Simon), *After the Gulf War: A New Paradigm for the Peace Movement*, Journal of Humanistic Psychology, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1992, pp. 11-40; CLARK (Ramsey), *The Fire This Time: U.S. War Crimes in the Gulf*, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 1992; CLARK (Ramsey) ET AL., *War Crimes*, op. cit.; CLARK (Ramsey), *Initial Complaint Charging*, op. cit.

¹⁶⁶ FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, op. cit., pp. 147-148.

¹⁶⁷ About their controversial cases, see MEYER (Matt), *Rebuilding Revolutionary Nonviolence in an Anti-Imperialist Era*, Peace Review, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 75-76; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Noam Chomsky on Revolutionary Violence, Communism and the American Left*, interview by Christopher Helali, Pax Marxista, Mar. 12, 2013; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Revolutionary Pacifism: Choices and Prospects*, City of Sydney Peace Prize Lecture, 2011; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Activism, Anarchism, and Power*, interview by Harry Kreisler, Conversations with History, Chomsky.info, Mar. 22, 2002; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Legitimacy of Violence as a Political Act?*, Avram Noam Chomsky debates with Hannah Arendt, Susan Sontag, et al., Chomsky.info, Dec. 15, 1967; FORTE (Maximilian), *Ward Churchill v. "The Good Americans": How Churchill's Critics Made His Case*, Zero Anthropology, Mar. 27, 2009; *The Justice of Roosting Chickens: Ward Churchill Speaks*, Democracy Now!, Feb. 18, 2005; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *The Ghosts of 9-1-1: Reflections on History, Justice and Roosting Chickens*, Alternative Press Review, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2005, pp. 45-56; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *On the Justice of Roosting Chickens: Reflections on the Consequences of U.S. Imperial Arrogance and Criminality*, Oakland: AK Press, 2003; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy) & RYAN (Mike), *Pacifism as Pathology: Notes on an American Pseudopraxis*, Winnipeg: Arbeiter Ring, 1998; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *"Some People Push Back": On the Justice of Roosting Chickens*, Kersplebedeb.

¹⁶⁸ He is the author of *How Nonviolence Protects the State*, which was published by South End Press in 2007.

¹⁶⁹ See AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, op. cit., p. 223.

¹⁷⁰ For example, see ECHR, *Giuliani and Gaggio v. Italy*, Application No. 23458/02, Aug. 25, 2009 and Mar. 24, 2011; SKINNER (Stephen), *The Right to Life, Democracy and State Responsibility in 'Urban Guerilla' Conflict: The European Court of Human Rights Grand Chamber Judgment in Giuliani and Gaggio v. Italy*, Human Rights Law Review, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2011, pp. 567-577; *European Court of Human Rights Absolves Italy of Carlo Giuliani's Death*, Italy Calling, Mar. 28, 2011; *Genoa Protester Case Dismissed*, BBC News, May 5, 2003; YOUNG (William) & KAISER (David E.), *Postmortem: New Evidence in the Case of Sacco and Vanzetti*, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1985; FRANKFURTER (Felix), *The Case of Sacco and Vanzetti*, Atlantic, Mar. 1, 1927; AIUTO (Russell), *The Legacy of Sacco and Vanzetti*, Crime Library.

¹⁷¹ See SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., pp. 73, 75; GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi"*, op. cit., p. 170.

¹⁷² See FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 10-11; ROSENBERG (Gerald N.), *The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring about Social Change?*, 2nd ed., Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008; KIRCHHEIMER (Otto), *Political Justice*, op.

cit.; BALBUS (Isaac D.), *The Dialectics of Legal Repression: Black Rebels before the American Criminal Courts*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1973.

173 CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent*, op. cit., p. 111.

174 About this type of observation, see GETTYS (Travis), *Philadelphia Police Sued again for Roughing up Legal Observer during Anti-Fracking Protest*, Raw Story, Sep. 15, 2014; MATHIS (Joel), *ACLU Sues Police for Using Force to Stop Photos of Arrest*, Philadelphia Magazine, Sep. 15, 2014; LAND (Greg), *Legal Observer Training Offered at Davis-Bozeman Firm*, Daily Report, Sep. 2, 2014; *Natl Lawyers Guild 'Condemns Ongoing Arrests' of Legal Observers in Ferguson*, Progress Illinois, Aug. 27, 2014; MORO (Tasha), *National Lawyers Guild Condemns Ongoing Arrests of Legal Observers in Ferguson*, National Lawyers Guild, Aug. 26, 2014; MORO (Tasha), *NLG Legal Observer Arrested While Documenting Ongoing Police Violence in Ferguson*, National Lawyers Guild, Aug. 20, 2014; *Chicago National Lawyers Guild Legal Observer® Arrested While Documenting Ongoing Police Violence in Ferguson*, MO, eNews Park Forest, Aug. 21, 2014; COLE (Heather), *Legal Observers Keep an Eye on Rights as Protest Rules Shift*, Missouri Lawyers Media, Aug. 25, 2014; STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets*, op. cit., pp. 126-127; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., p. 65; MAC SHEOIN (Tomás), *Policing and Repression of Anti-Globalization Protests and Movements: A Bibliography of English-Language Material*, Interface, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2010, pp. 288-367; *Legal Observer Training Manual*, National Lawyers Guild, New York, 2003; *Legal Observer Team Report, World Economic Forum Protests*, Pt'chang Nonviolent Community Safety Group, 2001.

175 For example, see HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., pp. 16-17, 54.

176 WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 173. About that Trial, see also KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Memoirs of A Revolutionist*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1989, pp. 419-423; WOODCOCK (George) & AVAKUMOVIC (Ivan), *The Anarchist Prince: A Biographical Study of Peter Kropotkin*, London: Thomas Volney Boardman, 1950, pp. 189-192; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit., Vol. I, pp. 151-152; 171-173, 251-261; CAHM (Caroline), *Kropotkin and the Rise of Revolutionary Anarchism, 1872-1886*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 178-209.

177 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Living My Life*, Garden City: Garden City, 1934, p. 570.

178 MORTON (Marian J.), *Emma Goldman and the American Left*, New York: Twayne, 1992, p. 79; DRINNON (Richard), *Rebel in Paradise: A Bibliography of Emma Goldman*, New York: Bantam Books, 1973, p. 209; SOLOMON (Martha), *Emma Goldman*, Boston: Twayne, 1987, p. 150.

179 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Living My Life*, op. cit., p. 569.

180 See above, footnote 85; WIENER (Jon) (ed.), *Conspiracy in the Streets: The Extraordinary Trial of the Chicago Eight*, New York: New Press, 2006; SCHULTZ (John), *Motion Will Be Denied: A New Report on the Chicago Conspiracy Trial*, New York: Morrow, 1972; CLAVIR (Judy) & SPITZER (John) (ed.), *The Conspiracy Trial*, Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1970; EPSTEIN (Jason), *The Great Conspiracy Trial: An Essay on Law, Liberty, and the Constitution*, New York: Random House, 1970.

181 About "the terrorism enhancement", see HARRIS (Shane), *The Terrorism Enhancement: An Obscure Law Stretches the Definition of Terrorism, and Metes out Severe Punishments*, National Journal, July 13, 2007.

182 ELEY (Tom), *Political Dissent as Terrorism: "Minnesota Patriot Act" Charges Filed against RNC Eight*, World Socialist Web Site, Sep. 11, 2008. About the RNC 8, see also PICKERING (Leslie James) (ed.), *Conspiracy to Riot in Furtherance of Terrorism: The Collective Autobiography of the RNC 8*, Portland: Arissa Media Group, 2011; GURNON (Emily), *Last 'RNC 8' Protesters Admit Guilt – but Remain Defiant*, Twin Cities, Oct. 20, 2010; REGAN (Sheila), *RNC 8 Court Saga Finally Over*, Twin Cities Daily Planet, Oct. 19, 2010; BARAN (Madeleine), *Charges Dismissed against Three of RNC 8 Suspects*, Minnesota Public Radio, Sep. 16, 2010; LUSSENHOP (Jessica), *Erik Oseland Leaves RNC 8*, Citypages, Sep. 1, 2010; LAVIGNE (Mary Jane), *Guilty Plea from One of RNC8*, Twin Cities Daily Planet, Aug. 31, 2010; MADOR (Jessica), *Man Sentenced to 91 Days in RNC Protest Case*, Minnesota Public Radio, Aug. 27, 2010; *Gaertner Cancels the RNC 8's 'Furtherance of Terrorism' Charges as Case Continues*, Politics in Minnesota, Apr. 9, 2009; STOKER (Sam), *Framing the 'RNC 8, In These Times*, Oct. 8, 2008; ELEY (Tom), *RNC in Twin Cities: Eight Protesters Charged with Terrorism under Patriot Act*, World Socialist Web Site, Sep. 6, 2008.

183 MAZIOTIS (Nikos), *Statement to the Athens Criminal Court*, Anarchist Library, 1999, pp. 2-3.

184 ROUPA (Pola), *Oral Declaration to the Court*, Anarchist Library, 2013, p. 5.

185 See BARTROP (Paul R.) (ed.), *Bosnian Genocide: The Essential Reference Guide*, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2016, p. 113; CROQUET (Nicolas A. J.), *The Role and Extent of a Proportionality Analysis in the Judicial Assessment of Human Rights Limitations within International Criminal Proceedings*, Leiden: Brill, 2015, p. 57; INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE PROSECUTION OF PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR SERIOUS VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW COMMITTED IN THE TERRITORY OF THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA SINCE 1991, *Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadzic (Decision*

on the Accused's Motion Challenging the Legal Validity and Legitimacy of the Tribunal), IT-95-5/18-T, Dec. 7, 2009; BELCZYK (Jaclyn), *ICTY Rejects Karadzic Challenge to Legitimacy of Court*, Jurist, Dec. 7, 2009; *UN Court Rejects Karadzic Legitimacy Challenge*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Dec. 7, 2009; *Karadzic Challenges Legality of Yugoslavia Tribunal*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Nov. 30, 2009; ESCRITT (Thomas), *Kenyan President's Hague Trial Halted in Blow to War Crimes Court*, Reuters, Sep. 5, 2014; *Kenyan Chief Seeks to Halt ICC Trial*, Japan Times, Oct. 11, 2013; PIZZI (Michael), *African Union Floats ICC Walkout after Kenyatta Asks for Case to Be Tossed*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 10, 2013; *Kenya President Kenyatta's ICC Trial 'Must Be Scrapped'*, BBC News, Oct. 10, 2013; *Kenyan President Unlikely to Appear at ICC*, Al Jazeera, Oct. 10, 2013; *Kenya Not Lobbying for ICC Pull-Out*, Yahoo, Oct. 10, 2013; CHU (Henry), *Kenyan Deputy President Excused from Crimes-Against-Humanity Trial*, Los Angeles Times, Sep. 23, 2013; *Did the British Lawyer Steven Kay Inflict Harm on the International Criminal Court?*, African Press International, Feb. 26, 2012; *Qatar's Former Justice Minister Calls on Bashir to Accept ICC Trial in Sudan*, Sudan Tribune, Jan. 3, 2011; BASSIOUNI (Mahmoud Cherif) & HANNA (Michael Wahid), *Ceding the High Ground: The Iraqi High Criminal Court Statute and the Trial of Saddam Hussein*, June 25, 2007; BOAS (Gideon), *The Milošević Trial: Lessons for the Conduct of Complex International Criminal Proceedings*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007, p. 3; ECKART (Christian), *Saddam Hussein's Trial in Iraq: Fairness, Legitimacy and Alternatives, a Legal Analysis*, Cornell Law School Graduate Student Papers, paper 13, 2006; BEEHNER (Lionel), *IRAQ: Saddam's Trial*, Council on Foreign Relations, Mar. 14, 2006; *Saddam's Lawyers Challenge Court's Legitimacy*, Security, Daily Times, Dec. 6, 2005; *Chaos Mars Saddam Court Hearing*, BBC News, Dec. 5, 2005; *Mr. Kay and Ms. Higgins Assigned as Milosevic's Lawyers*, Slobodan Milosevic Organization, Sep. 2, 2004; SAMMONS (Anthony), *The Under-Theorization of Universal Jurisdiction: Implications for Legitimacy on Trials of War Criminals by National Courts*, Berkeley Journal of International Law, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2003, pp. 111-143; SCHARF (Michael P.) & SCHABAS (William A.), *Slobodan Milosevic on Trial: A Companion*, New York: Continuum, 2002; *Milosevic Challenges Court's Legitimacy*, BBC News, Feb. 13, 2002; WILLIAMS (Ian), *Ramsey Clark, the War Criminal's Best Friend*, Salon, June 21, 1999; *Milosevic Public Trial*, Human Rights Project.

¹⁸⁶ *Milosevic Challenges Court's Legitimacy*, op. cit.

¹⁸⁷ See AL-TURKI (Nadia), *In Conversation with M. Sharif Bassiouni*, Asharq Al-Awsat, Mar. 24, 2013; DOPLICK (Renee), Bassiouni "Quite Doubtful" International Criminal Court Will Succeed: *The Failures, Challenges, and Future of International Criminal Law*, Inside Justice, Mar. 31, 2010; SADAT (Leila Nadya) & SCHARF (Michael P.) (ed.), *The Theory and Practice of International Criminal Law: Essays in Honor of M. Cherif Bassiouni*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2008.

¹⁸⁸ SAMMONS (Anthony), *The Under-Theorization of Universal Jurisdiction*, op. cit., p. 117.

¹⁸⁹ GAY (Kathlyn) (ed.), *American Dissidents*, op. cit., pp. 134-136; WILLIAMS (Ian), *Ramsey Clark*, op. cit.; *Ramsey Clark Becomes Center of Controversies*, Daily News, Aug. 16, 1972, pp. 5, 15.

¹⁹⁰ *Qatari Lawyer Says Ready to Defend Embattled President Assad in Court*, Al Arabiya, Nov. 21, 2012.

¹⁹¹ See *Saddam Execution 'Within Hours'*, Al Jazeera, Dec. 30, 2006; JANABI (Ahmed), *Saddam to Face Judge over Al-Anfal*, Al Jazeera, May 14, 2006; JANABI (Ahmed), *Saddam Defendants to Take the Stand*, Al Jazeera, May 11, 2006.

¹⁹² *Saddam Team Rejects Court Legitimacy*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 29, 2005.

¹⁹³ *Small but Not Safe*, Al-Ahram Weekly, No. 735, Mar. 24, 2005.

¹⁹⁴ About this lawyer's life, customers, strategy, writings, and controversies see VERGÈS (Jacques), *De Mon Propre Aveu*, Paris: Pierre-Guillaume de Roux, 2013; VERGÈS (Jacques), *Justice et littérature*, Presses Universitaires de France, 2011; VERGÈS (Jacques), *Journal: La Passion de Défendre*, Monaco: Rocher, 2008; VERGÈS (Jacques), *Que Mes Guerres Étaient Belles!*, Monaco: Rocher, 2007; VERGÈS (Jacques), *Crimes contre l'Humanité Massacres en Côte d'Ivoire*, Paris: Pharos, 2006; FELISSI (Philippe Karim), *Jacques Vergès, l'Anticolonialiste*, entretiens de Jacques Vergès, Paris: Le Félin, 2005; VERGÈS (Jacques), *De la Stratégie Judiciaire*, préface d'Amar Bentoumi, Paris: Minuit, 1968; DUTHEL (Heinz), *Jacques Vergès: "L'Avocat Mystérieux, très à l'Est de la France"*, Stoughton: Books On Demand, 2013; CHARVIN (Robert), *Jacques Vergès, un Aristocrate du Refus*, Paris: Harmattan, 2013; VALAYDEN (Rama), *Some Lessons from the Life of Jacques Vergès*, Le Mauricien, Sep. 16, 2013; *Jacques Vergès*, Economist, Aug. 24, 2013; *Le Dernier Souper de Jacques Vergès*, Paris Match, Août 24, 2013; CROSS (Tony), *Terror's Advocate Jacques Vergès Buried in Paris*, RFI, Aug. 21, 2013; MEZYAEV (Alexander), *Jacques Vergès: Live History of XX Century. In Memoriam*, Strategic Culture Foundation, Aug. 19, 2013; KONOPNICKI (Guy), *Jacques Vergès en Plein Ego Trip*, Marianne, Août 19, 2013; CATTORI (Silvia), *Entretien avec Maître Jacques Vergès: «Nous Assistons à une Époque d'Ensaucement de l'Humanité»*, Mondialisation, Août 17, 2013; CAILLAT (Sophie), *Dix Choses que Vous Ignorez peut-être sur Jacques Vergès*, Rue89, Août 16, 2013; JACKSON (Patrick), *Obituary: French Lawyer Jacques Vergès*, BBC News, Aug. 16, 2013; JOHANNÈS (Franck), *Mort de Jacques Vergès, Avocat Brillant, Redouté et parfois Haï*, Le Monde, Août 15, 2013; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *Jacques Vergès Obituary*, Guardian, Aug. 16, 2013; CHRISAFIS (Angelique),

Jacques Vergès, *French Lawyer who Defended Klaus Barbie, Dies Aged 88*, Guardian, Aug. 16, 2013; CHRISAFIS (Angelique), *I Said to Klaus Barbie: I Want People to See Your Human Side*, Guardian, May 15, 2008; HINNANT (Lori), *Jacques Verges, Lawyer for Klaus Barbie, Carlos the Jackal, Dead at 88*, Toronto Star, Aug. 16, 2013; MCFADDEN (Robert D.), *Jacques Vergès, Defender of Terrorists and War Criminals, Is Dead at 88*, New York Times, Aug. 16, 2013; *Controversial French Lawyer Verges Dies at 88*, France 24, Aug. 16, 2013; ISRAËL (Liora), *Défendre le Défenseur de l'Ennemi Public. L'Affaire Croissant*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 67, 83; MONIER (Frédéric), *Défendre des «Terroristes» dans la France de 1935. L'Avocat des «Oustachis Croates»*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, p. 117; THÉNAULT (Sylvie), *Défendre les Nationalistes Algériens en Lutte pour l'Indépendance. La «Défense de Rupture» en Question*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, pp. 121-135; Jacques Vergès, YouTube, Mar. 10, 2012; Jacques Vergès: *La Démocratie à Visage Obscène, le Vrai Catéchisme de ...*, YouTube, July 30, 2012; David Abbasi avec Jacques Vergès, YouTube, July 17, 2012; *Aux Francs-Tireurs: Richard Martineau avec «l'Avocat de la Terreur»*, YouTube, Feb. 6, 2012; Jacques Vergès *Accuse Nicolas Sarkozy et BHL de Crime contre l'Humanité*, YouTube, Aug. 1, 2011; Jacques Vergès: *"Fier d'Être Insulté par des Gens pour Qui Je n'Ai aucun Respect"*, YouTube, Feb. 9, 2011; SCHROEDER (Barbet), *L'Avocat de la Terreur*, Paris: Wild Side Video, 2007; VIOLET (Bernard), *Vergès: Le Maître de l'Ombre*, Paris: Seuil, 2000; FEJTO (François), *A Maoist in France: Jacques Vergès and Revolution*, China Quarterly, No. 19, 1964, pp. 120-127.

¹⁹⁵ JACKSON (Patrick), *Obituary*, op. cit.

¹⁹⁶ HINNANT (Lori), *Jacques Verges*, op. cit.

¹⁹⁷ MALATESTA (Maria), *Défenses Militantes. Avocats et Violence Politique dans l'Italie des Années 1970 et 1980*, Le Mouvement Social, Vol. 240, No. 1, 2012, p. 93.

¹⁹⁸ In this regard, Goldman has noticed that "*capitalist America also divides the anarchists into two categories, philosophic and criminal. The first are accepted in highest circles; one of them is even high in the councils of the Wilson Administration. The second category, to which we have the honor of belonging, is persecuted and often imprisoned.*" GOLDMAN (Emma), *Living My Life*, op. cit., pp. 765-766.

¹⁹⁹ For example, see AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Universal Jurisdiction: A Preliminary Survey of Legislation around the World*, London, 2012; *Debate: International Criminal Court*, Debatepedia, Oct. 24, 2010; REYDAMS (Luc), *Universal Jurisdiction: International and Municipal Legal Perspectives*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006; PHILIPPE (Xavier), *The Principles of Universal Jurisdiction and Complementarity: How Do the Two Principles Intermesh?*, International Review of the Red Cross, Vol. 88, No. 862, 2006, pp. 375-398; MACEDO (Stephen) (ed.), *Universal Jurisdiction: National Courts and the Prosecution of Serious Crimes under International Law*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004.

²⁰⁰ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Human Rights: A Relative, Progressive, Regressive, and Controversial Concept*, International Zeitschrift, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2011, pp. 74-78; DONNELLY (Jack), *The Relative Universality of Human Rights*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2007, pp. 281-306.

²⁰¹ For some recent examples, see GREWAL (San), *Susan Fennell Wants Back in Brampton Debate*, Toronto Star, Sep. 16, 2014; GREWAL (San), *Most in Brampton Want Mayor Susan Fennell to Quit: Poll*, Toronto Star, Aug. 11, 2014; LEE (James), *Economic Impact of Oil Scandal Containable*: ANZ, Focus Taiwan News Channel, Sep. 13, 2014; RIDER (David), *Rob Ford's Rise Marked by Blunt Words, Controversy and Scandal*, Toronto Star, Sep. 12, 2014; HOPKINS (Andrea), *Brother Takes Place of Drugs Scandal Toronto Mayor*, Scotsman, Sep. 13, 2014; MCNAIR (David), *Guest Post: The Trillion Dollar Scandal of Financial Secrecy*, Financial Times, Sep. 3, 2014; BLIZZARD (Christina), *Lib's Accountability Bill Just Window Dressing*, Toronto Sun, July 8, 2014; ANNETT (Evan) & HUI (Ann), *Toronto's Liberal Surge; Doug Ford's 'Enema' Prescription and other Election Surprises*, Globe and Mail, June 13, 2014; *Gas Plant Scandal: We Kept Few Records, McGuinty Tells OPP*, CBC News, June 13, 2014; STROBEL (Mike), *Gas Plants Scandal Will Linger Despite Liberal Win*, Toronto Sun, June 12, 2014; STINSON (Scott), *Scott Stinson: How the Ontario Liberals Pulled off Their Majority Win despite Years of Scandal*, National Post, June 12, 2014; CARR (Celia), *Ontario Leader's Debate Focuses on Liberal Gas Plant Scandal and Conservative Million Job Plan*, Brock Press, June 10, 2014; BENZIE (Robert) EL. AL., *Dalton McGuinty Not Part of Gas Plants Probe, OPP Says*, Toronto Star, June 5, 2014; BENZIE (Robert) & FERGUSON (Rob), *Gas-Plant Scandal Exposes Liberal Rifts*, Toronto Star, Apr. 4, 2014; GANESAN (Narayanan), *Singapore in 2012: A Year of Scandals, Attempted Political Engagement, and Socio-Economic Anxieties*, Southeast Asian Affairs, Vol. 2013, No. 1, 2013, pp. 255-272; ALLERN (Sigurd) & POLLACK (Ester) (ed.), *Scandalous! The Mediated Construction of Political Scandals in Four Nordic Countries*, Gothenburg: Nordicom, 2012.

²⁰² For example, see below, footnote 235; *Top Brazil Prosecutor Says Lula Cabinet Appointment an Attempt to Avoid Arrest, Disrupt Investigations*, Firstpost, Apr. 8, 2016; BILLER (David) & WOODS (Randall), *Lula in Limbo Isolates Brazil's Rousseff in Her Moment of Need*, Bloomberg, Mar. 31, 2016; ROMERO (Simon), *'Lula,' Ex-President of Brazil, Lashes Out at Judge Overseeing Corruption Inquiry*, New York Times, Mar. 28, 2016; SAXENA (Shobhan), *A Coup Is in the Air: The Plot to Unsettle*

Rousseff, *Lula and Brazil*, Wire, Mar. 25, 2016; RAPOZA (Kenneth), *Brazil's Supreme Court Judge Saves Ex-President Lula from Top Petrobras Prosecutor*, Forbes, Mar. 23, 2016; RAPOZA (Kenneth), *Brazil Ex-President Lula Offered New Job to Shield Him from Prosecutors*, Forbes, Mar. 15, 2016; DOUGLAS (Bruce), *Release of Tapped Phone Calls between Lula and Rousseff Sparks Mass Protests in Brazil*, Guardian, Mar. 17, 2016; DOUGLAS (Bruce), *Brazil: Judge Halts Lula's Appointment to Cabinet amid Corruption Scandal*, Guardian, Mar. 17, 2016; NEWMAN (Alex), *Amid Historic Uprising in Brazil, Regime Shields Corrupt Leader*, New American, Mar. 17, 2016; *Transcript of Phone Calls between Former Brazilian President Lula and Various Political Supporters*, Globe and Mail, Mar. 17, 2016; *Brazil Judge Blocks Lula Appointment to Government*, CBC News, Mar. 17, 2016; NOLEN (Stephanie), *Rousseff Warns against Coup as Brazil's Ex-President Lula Sworn In*, Globe and Mail, Mar. 17, 2016; *Lula's Abortive Return to Government Sparks New Protests and Suspicions*, Economist, Mar. 17, 2016.

²⁰³ For some examples, see SPENCER (Saranac Hale), *Former Nazi Guard Dies on Eve of Judge's Extradition Order*, Legal Intelligencer, July 25, 2014; POLMAN (Dick), *Johann Breyer and the Sluggish Pursuit of Justice*, NewsWorks, July 25, 2014; *War Crimes Suspect Dies in US*, Jewish Chronicle, July 25, 2014; *German Prosecutors Criticized in Nazi Probe*, Washington Observer Reporter, July 24, 2014; *Suspected Nazi Guard's Death a Blow to Prosecutors*, Times of Israel, July 24, 2014; *Nazi War-Crimes Suspect Dies the Night before Judge Rules He Should Be Extradited to Germany*, National Post, July 23, 2014; PEAT (Don), *Council Votes Mammoliti Breached Code of Conduct: Pay Suspended Three Months over Fundraising Dinner*, Toronto Sun, July 9, 2014; *Giorgio Mammoliti, Toronto City Councillor, Docked 3 Months Pay*, CBC News, July 9, 2014; *Mammoliti Wrong to Accept 'Gifts' from Lobbyists and Contractors*, Toronto Star, July 4, 2014; PAGLIARO (Jennifer), *Giorgio Mammoliti Faces Pay Cut after Gaining \$80,000 from Improper Fundraiser*, Toronto Star, July 3, 2014; HOOPER (John), *Silvio Berlusconi Begins Community Service at Hospice*, Guardian, May 9, 2014; KEDMEY (Dan), *Berlusconi Begins First Day of Community Service*, Time, May 9, 2014; BORGHESE (Livia), *Italy's Former PM Silvio Berlusconi Starts Community Service at Senior Center*, CNN, May 9, 2014; *Profile: Silvio Berlusconi, Italian Ex-Prime Minister*, op. cit.; *Berlusconi to Do Community Service with Alzheimer's Patients*, Guardian, Apr. 30, 2014; *Berlusconi: Glad to Help Elderly as Punishment*, Yahoo, Apr. 17, 2014; LYMAN (Eric J.), *Italian Court to Decide Silvio Berlusconi's Punishment in April*, Hollywood Reporter, Jan. 21, 2014; IRWIN (Rachel), *Inside The "Hague Hilton"*, Institute for War and Peace Reporting, Dec. 19, 2013; DAY (Michael), *Humiliated Ex-Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi Rages at Italian Court that Declared Him a Tax Fraud*, op. cit.; LANDUCCI (Roberto) & MOODY (Barry), *Italy's Top Court Hears Crucial Berlusconi Appeal*, op. cit.; ROBERTS (Hannah), *Nazi War Criminal Erich Priebke Avoids 'Bin Laden-Style' Burial after Relatives Strike Deal to Bury his Body in a 'Secret Location'*, Daily Mail, Oct. 20, 2013; KINGTON (Tom), *Funeral of Nazi War Criminal Erich Priebke Is Called off after Clashes*, Guardian, Oct. 16, 2013; *Erich Priebke: Nazi War Criminal*, BBC News, Oct. 16, 2013; WINFIELD (Nicole), *Nazi War Criminal Priebke Refused Church Funeral in Rome*, Toronto Star, Oct. 14, 2013; WALTERS (Guy), *The Moment I Shook Hands with Gestapo Monster Who Massacred Hundreds: Nazi Who Was Once a Waiter at the Savoy Dies Aged 100*, Daily Mail, Oct. 11, 2013; LEVY (Sue-Ann), *Mayor Rob Ford's Punishment Hardly Fits the Crime*, Toronto Sun, Nov. 26, 2012; PENHAUL (Karl) & SMITH-SPARK (Laura), *Report: Court Orders Former Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak Freed*, CNN, Aug. 21, 2013; PATERSON (Tony), *'Most Wanted' Nazi War Crimes Suspect Arrested in Budapest*, Independent, July 19, 2012; *97-Yr-Old 'Most Wanted' Nazi War Criminal Arrested in Hungary*, Russia Today, July 18, 2012; *Editorial: Compassion for Mubarak*, Jakarta Post, June 5, 2012; HENDAWI (Hamza), *Mubarak Health Condition: Defibrillator Used on Egypt's Former President*, Huffington Post, June 11, 2012; *Mubarak Suffers Heart Attack after Receiving Life Sentence*, Times of Israel, June 2, 2012; HOLLIGAN (Anna), *Profile: Scheveningen Prison*, BBC News, May 16, 2012; SAGOLJ (Damir), *Witness: My weekend at The Hague Hilton*, Reuters, Sep. 28, 2011; WATERFIELD (Bruno), *The Hague Hilton: Ratko Mladic's New Prison Home*, Telegraph, June 1, 2011; CARVAJAL (Doreen), *Accused of War Crimes, and Living With Perks*, New York Times, June 3, 2010; HALL (Allan), *Historian Tracks down Evil Erna the Nazi Killer: A 'Harmless' Granny in Vienna*, Daily Mail, Oct. 22, 2007; LEIDIG (Michael), *Elderly Woman Is Wanted Nazi War Criminal*, Telegraph, Oct. 22, 2007.

²⁰⁴ For example, see *Guatemala: Court Seeks Amnesty for Rios Montt*, World War 4 Report, Oct. 29, 2013; REEVES (Benjamin), *New Court Ruling another Obstacle in Genocide Prosecution of Guatemala's Rios Montt*, Kansas City News, Oct. 25, 2013; *Guatemalan Court Opens Door to Amnesty for Rios Montt*, Global Post, Oct. 23, 2013; GERALD (John Bart), *Crimes Against Humanity: No Amnesty for Guatemala's Former Dictator General Efraim Rios Montt*, Global Research, May 13, 2013.

²⁰⁵ BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *And We Will Still Be Ready to Storm the Heavens Another Time: Against Amnesty*, Anarchist Library, 1984, pp. 4, 37.

²⁰⁶ KIRCHHEIMER (Otto), op. cit., p. 46.

²⁰⁷ *The Trial of William Penn and William Mead, at the Old Bailey, for a Tumultuous Assembly: 22 Charles II. A. D. 1670*. See also KEMPTON (Murray), *Introduction*, in CHOMSKY (Avram Noam) ET AL., *Trials of the Resistance*, op. cit., pp. 9-10; SANDLER (Paul Mark), *The Trial of William Penn*, Shapiro Sher Guinot and Sandler, Feb. 9, 2007; OSTROWSKI (James), *The Rise and Fall of Jury Nullification*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2001, p. 91; NAGER (Barry R.), *The Jury That*

Tried William Penn, American Bar Association Journal, Vol. 50, No. 2, 1964, pp. 168-170; *Bushel's Case (1670)* 124 E.R. 1006, Wikipedia.

208 KENNEDY (Duncan), *Legal Education*, op. cit., pp. 24-26; KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., pp. 39-40.

209 LENNARD (Natasha), *The Strange Success of Grand Jury Resistance*, Salon, Feb. 3, 2014; LENNARD (Natasha), *New York Activist Faces Jail for His Silence*, Salon, May 15, 2013; MERLAN (Anna), *After Eight Months, Brooklyn Anarchist Jerry Koch Set Free after Refusing to Testify Before Grand Jury*, Village Voice, Jan. 29, 2014; AUBIN (Dena), *U.S. Appeals Court Affirms Contempt Judgment for Anarchist*, Reuters, Dec. 2, 2013; *Anarchist Gerald Koch Imprisoned for Refusing to Testify before Grand Jury*, Voice of Russia, Oct. 15, 2013; POTTER (Will), *Gerald Koch Hasn't Been Accused of a Crime, but the Feds Put Him Jail Anyway*, VICE News, June 4, 2013; *Support Imprisoned NYC Grand Jury Resister Jerry Koch!*, Committee Against Political Repression, May 23, 2013; MOYNIHAN (Colin), *Jail for Man Refusing to Testify in Bomb Case*, New York Times, May 21, 2013; MOYNIHAN (Colin), *Man May Face Jail for Refusing to Testify in Bomb Case, Again*, New York Times, May 16, 2013; MOYNIHAN (Colin), *Brooklyn Man Refusing to Testify in Times Square Bomb Case*, New York Times, Apr. 28, 2013; GITTLITZ (A. M.), *Double Jeopardy: New York Activist Subpoenaed for Secret Grand Jury – Again*, Truthout, May 10, 2013; *Jerry Resists*.

210 STAPLETON (Michael), *Seattle Anarchists Imprisoned for Refusing to Testify before a Grand Jury*, World Socialist Web Site, Feb. 25, 2013; LICHTER-MARCK (Rose), *Leah-Lynn Plante and the Portland's Anarchist Grand Jury Resisters*, VICE News, Nov. 3, 2012; GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Grand Jury Resister Released Reportedly Cooperated with Investigation of Pacific Northwest Activists*, Firedoglake, Oct. 30, 2012;

MURPHY (Kim), *Anarchists Targeted after Seattle's Violent May Day Protests*, Los Angeles Times, Oct. 19, 2012; LENNARD (Natasha), *Grand Jury Resistor Leah-Lynn Released from Prison*, Salon, Oct. 19, 2012; LENNARD (Natasha), *Third Northwest Activist Jailed for Staying Silent*, Salon, Oct. 11, 2012; *First They Came for the Communists...*, Make Me A Sammich, Oct. 12, 2012; *Oregon Activist Jailed for Refusing to Testify Before Grand Jury*, Democracy Now!, Oct. 12, 2012; POTTER (Will), *3 People Now in Jail for Refusing to Talk About Other Anarchists*, Green Is the New Red, Oct. 11, 2012; *Third Anarchist Jailed for Refusing to Testify before Secret Grand Jury*, Russia Today, Oct. 11, 2012; PLANTE (Leah-Lynn), *Statement from a Resister*, Vimeo, Oct. 9, 2012; KILEY (Brendan), *Political Convictions?*, Stranger, Aug. 8, 2012; BERNSTEIN (Maxine), *Two Portland Residents Facing Federal Grand Jury Subpoena from Seattle Vow They Won't Cooperate*, Oregonian, Aug. 1, 2012.

211 KILEY (Brendan), *Freedom Is Frustrating What Life Is Like After Prison and Solitary Confinement for Local Activists Katherine Olejnik and Matthew Duran*, Stranger, Apr. 3, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *Beyond Contempt (Or, Life after Solitary Confinement for Matt Duran and Katherine Olejnik)*, Stranger, Apr. 3, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *Grand Jury Refusers Katherine Olejnik and Matthew Duran Are Free*, Stranger, Feb. 27, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *Grand Jury Refusers: Five Months and Counting, Plus Solitary Since December*, Stranger, Feb. 8, 2013; KILEY (Brendan), *Christmas in Prison*, Stranger, Dec. 19, 2012; STAPLETON (Michael), *Seattle Anarchists Imprisoned for Refusing to Testify before a Grand Jury*, op. cit.; *Two Grand Jury Resisters Released; Maddy Pfeiffer Moved From Solitary Confinement*, Committee Against Political Repression, Feb. 28, 2013; GROSS (Ashley), *Judge Frees Two Activists Who Refused to Testify about Anarchism*, KPLU, Feb. 28, 2013; MURPHY (Kim), *Anarchist Probe: Jailed Activists Say They Won't Talk to Feds*, Los Angeles Times, Oct. 19, 2012; MURPHY (Kim), *Anarchists Targeted after Seattle's Violent May Day Protests*, op. cit.

212 See HERMES (Kris), *Chasing Anarchists: May Day and the Federal Government's Use of Grand Juries as Political Counterintelligence*, Huffington Post, Apr. 30, 2013; POTTER (Will), *Another Anarchist Will Be Jailed December 26th for Resisting Grand Jury*, Green Is the New Red, Dec. 20, 2012; POTTER (Will), *BREAKING: FBI and JTTF Raid Multiple Homes, Grand Jury Subpoenas in Portland, Olympia, Seattle*, Green Is the New Red, July 25, 2012; *Maddy's Contempt of Court Hearing and Solidarity Rally*, Anarchist News, Dec. 12, 2012; *Free Maddy Pfeiffer!*, Committee Against Political Repression, Dec. 27, 2012; WOODHOUSE (Leighton), *Animal Liberation Activist Jonathan Paul on the Grand Jury Process as a Tool of State Repression*, Dissenter, Nov. 9, 2012; KILEY (Brendan), *Matthew "Maddy" Pfeiffer, Another Grand Jury Resister*, Stranger, Nov. 7, 2012; GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Interview: GreenIsTheNewRed.com's Will Potter on FBI Raids, Grand Juries and Political Repression*, Dissenter, July 31, 2012; GOSZTOLA (Kevin), *Grand Juries and the FBI's Targeting of Anarchists in the Occupy Movement*, Dissenter, July 27, 2012; KILEY (Brendan), *Grand Jury Refusers: Attorneys Ask the Court to Let Them Go*, Stranger, Feb. 22, 2013; WESTERN WILDLIFE UNIT OF THE ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT, *Memories of Freedom*, op. cit.; FELDMAN (Carrie), *Refusal to Testify*, Anarchist Library, 2009; THE BRILLIANT, *And For the Heroes, Something!*, Anarchist Library, 2007; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, Anarchist Library, 2006-2007; TWIN CITIES ECO-PRISONER SUPPORT COMMITTEE, *Resist the Grand Juries*, op. cit.; CHURCHILL (Ward LeRoy), *A Not So Friendly Fascism? Political Prisons and Prisoners in the United States*, CR: New Centennial Review, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2006, pp. 1-54; NO COMPROMISE, *Grand Jury Investigations, FBI Harassment, and Your Rights*, Anarchist Library, 1996-2004; RATNER (Margaret) & RATNER (Michael), *The Grand Jury: A Tool to Repress Political Activists*, in JAIMES (Joy) (ed.), *States of Confinement: Policing, Detention and Prisons*, New York: Palgrave, 2002, pp. 277-286; DEUTSCH (Michael E.), *The Improper Use of the Federal Grand Jury: An Instrument for*

the Internment of Political Activists, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, Vol. 75, No. 4, 1984, pp. 1159-1196; CLARK (Leroy D.), *The Grand Jury: The Use and Abuse of Political Power*, New York: HarperCollins, 1975; BENDAT (James R.), *A Disturbing Shift in the Grand Jury's Role*, *Los Angeles Times*, Oct. 2, 1974; CERRUTI (Eugene) & DONNER (Frank J.), *The Grand Jury Network*, *Nation*, Jan. 3, 1972; SHEEN (Nicoal), *On Grand Jury Resistance*, Animal Liberation Front; Seattle Anti-Repression Committee; *Grand Jury Resistance, Earth First!*

213 BIBHA (Tripathi), *Contempt of Court and Freedom of Speech: Exploring Gender Biases*, New Delhi: Readworthy, 2011, p. 1.

214 See REGAN (Sheila), *The Secret Case against Carrie Feldman*, *Twin Cities Daily Planet*, Jan. 26, 2010; FELDMAN (Carrie), *Refusal to Testify*, op. cit.; TWIN CITIES ECO-PRISONER SUPPORT COMMITTEE, *Resist the Grand Juries*, op. cit.; *Support Scott DeMuth! Resist State Repression!*, Word Press.

215 NO COMPROMISE, *Grand Jury Investigations*, op. cit., p. 3.

216 LACOSS (Don), *Howling Wilderness and The Promised Land*, Anarchist Library, 2003, p. 2.

217 RIVERA (Ray) & SWEENEY (Matthew), *Acquaintance of 2 Hijackers Is Acquitted*, *New York Times*, Nov. 18, 2006; CHANG (Nancy), *Silencing Political Dissent*, op. cit., pp. 76-77; *United States v. Awadallah*, 2002, WL 755793 (S.D.N.Y. 30 April 2002); *United States v. Awadallah (Challenging Government's Application of Federal "Material Witness" Statute)*, U.S. Court of Appeals, 2nd Cir., No. 02-1269 (amicus), New York Civil Liberties Union.

218 About this criminalizing Bill, see KRAUS (Krystalline), *Activist Communiqué: Mask Ban officially Becomes Law in Canada*, *Rabble.ca*, July 5, 2013; KRAUS (Krystalline), *Activist Communiqué: Wearing a Mask Could soon Be an Illegal Act during 'Tumultuous Demonstrations'*, *Rabble.ca*, May 15, 2012; MALIK (Sana), *Unmasking Bill C-309: Newly Passed Legislation Threatens Freedom of Expression*, *Rabble.ca*, June 27, 2013; *Bill C-309 Passed: Mask Up Anyway*, *Vancouver Media Co-op*, June 19, 2013; *Bill C-309: Preventing Persons from Concealing Their Identity during Riots and Unlawful Assemblies Act*, *Open Parliament*, June 19, 2013; FITZPATRICK (Meagan), *Wearing a Mask at a Riot Is Now a Crime*, *CBC News*, June 19, 2013; FITZPATRICK (Meagan), *Bill Would Make Wearing Masks during Riots a Crime*, *CBC News*, Nov. 17, 2011; JACOBS (Eric), *Bill C-309 and Its Discontents*, *Linchpin*, Dec. 11, 2012; PINCH (Steffanie), *Five Ways the New Anti-Mask Bill C-309 Will Affect Activists and Civil Liberties*, *Rabble.ca*, Nov. 14, 2012; RENZETTI (Elizabeth), *Let's Face Facts: Ottawa's New Mask Ban Goes too Far*, *Globe and Mail*, Nov. 2, 2012; *Montreal to Ban Masks at Protests*, *CBC News*, May 7, 2012; MCLAUGHLIN (Christine), *Bill C-309, Preventing Persons from Concealing Their Identity during Riots and Unlawful Assemblies Act*, *Active History*, Dec. 12, 2011; MERTL (Steve), *Alberta Tory MP Pushes Ahead with Bill to Outlaw Wearing Masks during Riots*, *Daily Brew*, Nov. 30, 2011.

219 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, op. cit.; ADWAR (Corey), *These Are the 19 States that Still Let Public Schools Hit Kids*, *Business Insider*, Mar. 28, 2014; *IHRDC Chart of Executions by the Islamic Republic of Iran – 2015*, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, Oct. 15, 2015; *IHRDC Chart of Executions by the Islamic Republic of Iran – 2013*, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, Nov. 27, 2013; ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *Violence Returns to Iran's Sistan-Baluchistan Province*, *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, Nov. 7, 2013; *West Balochistan: Fear Rising Over Iranian Government-Ordered Executions*, *Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization*, Oct. 30, 2013; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Iran: Set Immediate Moratorium on Executions*, Oct. 29, 2013; MAMOURI (Ali), *Prominent Shiite Clerics Diverge from Iran on 'Islamic' Punishment*, *Al-Monitor*, June 18, 2013; TALEBI (Shahla), *From the Light of the Eyes to the Eyes of the Power: State and Dissident Martyrs in Post-Revolutionary Iran*, *Visual Anthropology*, Vol. 25, No. 1-2, 2012, pp. 120-147; BUCAR (Elizabeth M.) & SHIRAZI (Faegheh), *The "Invention" of Lesbian Acts in Iran: Interpretative Moves, Hidden Assumptions, and Emerging Categories of Sexuality*, *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2012, pp. 416-434; *The Execution of Women in Iranian Criminal Law: An Examination of the Impact of Gender on Laws Concerning Capital Punishment in the New Islamic Penal Code*, Iran Human Rights Documentation Center, May 8, 2012; *Saudi Woman Executed for 'Witchcraft and Sorcery'*, *BBC News*, Dec. 12, 2011; *2010 Human Rights Report: Saudi Arabia*, U.S. Department of State, Apr. 8, 2011; OTTO (Jan Michiel) (ed.), *Sharia Incorporated: A Comparative Overview of the Legal Systems of Twelve Muslim Countries in Past and Present*, Leiden: Leiden University Press, 2010; SANADJIAN (Manuchehr), *Citizenship, 'Rubbished' Dissidents and Local Restoration of the Dead: The Execution of Luri Political Activists in South-Western Iran*, *Social Identities*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2010, pp. 197-223; *Corporal Punishment of Children Remains Common Worldwide*, *Studies Find*, *Science Daily*, Aug. 9, 2010; WHITAKER (Brian), *Saudi Arabia's Juggling Act on Homosexuality*, *Guardian*, Sep. 13, 2010; HAJJAR (Lisa), *Does Torture Work? A Sociolegal Assessment of the Practice in Historical and Global Perspective*, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, Vol. 5, 2009, pp. 311-345; GHASSEMI (Ghassem), *Criminal Punishment in Islamic Societies: Empirical Study of Attitudes to Criminal Sentencing in Iran*, *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, Vol. 15, No. 1-2, 2009, pp. 159-180; FARMER (Alice), *Impairing Education: Corporal Punishment of Students with Disabilities in US Public Schools*, New York: Human Rights Watch and American Civil Liberties Union, 2009; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *A Violent Education: Corporal Punishment of Children in US*

Public Schools, New York, 2008; BAKER (David V.), 'Trends in the Use of Capital Punishment' – Revisited: A Tribute to Professor Frank E. Hartung, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2006 pp. 353-396; PENNELL (Charles R.), *Law as a Cultural Symbol: The Gifford Murder Case and the Presentation of Saudi Justice*, *International Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2006, pp. 121-142; PETERS (Rudolph), *Crime and Punishment in Islamic Law: Theory and Practice from the Sixteenth to the Twenty-First Century*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005; BONAKDARIAN (Mansour), *A World Born through the Chamber of a Revolver: Revolutionary Violence, Culture, and Modernity in Iran, 1906-1911*, *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2005, pp. 318-340; *Saudi Executioner Tells All*, BBC News, June 5, 2003; AFSHARI (Reza), *Tortured Confessions: Prisons and Public Recantations in Modern Iran*, book review, *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2002, pp. 290-297; CONQUERGOD (Lorne Dwight), *Lethal Theatre: Performance, Punishment, and the Death Penalty*, *Theatre Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2002, pp. 339-367; GOSSE (Van) & PHILIP (Kavita), *Mumia Abu-Jamal and the Social Wage of Whiteness*, *Radical History Review*, Vol. 2001, No. 81, 2001, pp. 5-14; GARDNER (Frank), *Analysis: Saudi Rough Justice*, BBC News, Mar. 28, 2000; ABRAHAMIAN (Ervand), *Tortured Confessions: Prisons and Public Recantation in Modern Iran*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999; MOORE (Richter H.), *Courts, Law, Justice, and Criminal Trials in Saudi Arabia*, *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, Vol. 11, No. 1-2, 1987, pp. 61-67; *World Corporal Punishment Research: End All Corporal Punishment of Children*.

²²⁰ BAKER (David V.), 'Trends in the Use of Capital Punishment', op. cit., p. 356. See also JOUET (Mugambi), *America's Great Big Blind Spot on Human Rights*, *New Republic*, Oct. 8, 2014; GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *Death Penalty on Decline: China, Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, US Top Executors of Capital Punishment: Amnesty*, *International Business Times*, Apr. 10, 2013; GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *US Only Western Country to Carry Out Capital Punishment Last Year, Ranks 5th Worldwide*, *International Business Times*, Mar. 27, 2012; FAWN (Rick), *Death Penalty as Democratization: Is the Council of Europe Hanging Itself?*, *Democratization*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2001, p. 91.

²²¹ SANGUINETTI (Gianfranco), *On Terrorism and the State*, in CRONIN (Isaac) (ed.), *Confronting Fear: A History of Terrorism*, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 2002, p. 78.

²²² See WARK (McKenzie), *The Occulted State*, in SÜTZL (Wolfgang) & COX (Geoff) (ed.), *Creating Insecurity: Art and Culture in the Age of Security*, Brooklyn: Autonomedia, 2009, p. 171.

²²³ For many examples in this case, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Iranian State's Crimes Against Humanity and Nature*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on State Terrorism, Violence, and Crimes*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Is the Law a Job in the Legal Whorehouse?*, op. cit., p. 49; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Punishment*, op. cit., p. 44; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Philosophical and Legal Look at State Violence*, op. cit.

²²⁴ About this industry, see WAGSTYL (Stefan), *German Defence Ministry and Arms Industry Come under Fire*, *Financial Times*, Oct. 5, 2014; GROVE (Thomas), *U.S. Aims at Russian Weapons Industry*, *Columbus Dispatch*, July 18, 2014; *Russia's Defense Industry to Minimize Participation in UK Arms Exhibitions*, ITAR-TASS Russian News Agency, July 14, 2014; *Budget 2014: Government Raises Military Spending, Eases FDI Limit in Arms Industry*, *New Delhi Television*, July 11, 2014; BLANK (Stephen) & KIM (Younkyoo), *Arms Sales and Russia's Future as an Asian Power*, *Asian Politics and Policy*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2014, pp. 267-284; ADAMSKY (Dmitry (Dima)), *Nuclear Incoherence: Deterrence Theory and Non-Strategic Nuclear Weapons in Russia*, *Journal of Strategic Studies*, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2014, pp. 91-134; DAVIS (James W.) & JASPER (Ursula), *Non-Strategic Nuclear Weapons as a 'Trojan Horse': Explaining Germany's Ambivalent Attitude*, *European Security*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2014, pp. 15-30; GROSSE (Tomasz Grzegorz), *Geoeconomic Relations between the EU and China: The Lessons from the EU Weapon Embargo and from Galileo*, *Geopolitics*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2014, pp. 40-65; GODFREY (Richard) ET AL., *The Private Military Industry and Neoliberal Imperialism: Mapping the Terrain*, *Organization*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2014, pp. 106-125; LIND (Gunner), *Early Military Industry in Denmark-Norway, 1500-1814*, *Scandinavian Journal of History*, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2013, pp. 405-421; BOJNEC (Štefan), *Changing Role of the Slovenian Defence Industry*, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 113, No. 6, 2013, pp. 875-889; BAUM (Joel A. C.) & MCGAHAM (Anita M.), *The Reorganization of Legitimate Violence: The Contested Terrain of the Private Military and Security Industry during the Post-Cold War Era*, *Research in Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 33, 2013, pp. 3-37; IKEGAMI (Masako), *The End of a 'National' Defence Industry?: Impacts of Globalization on the Swedish Defence Industry*, *Scandinavian Journal of History*, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2013, pp. 436-457; OXENSTIERNA (Susanne) & WESTERLUND (Fredrik), *Arms Procurement and the Russian Defence Industry: Challenges Up to 2020*, *Journal of Slavic Military Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-24; SHARMA (Ashok), *US-India Defence Industry Collaboration: Trends, Challenges and Prospects*, *Maritime Affairs*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2013, pp. 129-147; ERICKSON (Jennifer L.), *Market Imperative Meets Normative Power: Human rights and European Arms Transfer Policy*, *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2013, pp. 209-234; BLOM (Martin) ET AL., *The Trade-Off between Innovation and Defense Industrial Policy: A Simulation Model Analysis of the Norwegian Defense Industry*, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 80, No. 8, 2013, pp. 1579-1592; TAYLOR (Ian) & WU (Zhengyu), *China's Arms Transfers to Africa and Political Violence*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2013, pp.

457-475; SHAH (Anup), *The Arms Trade is Big Business*, Global Issues, Jan. 5, 2013; COMOLA (Margherita), *Democracies, Politics, and Arms Supply*, Review of International Economics, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2012, pp. 150-163; ROTHE (Dawn L.) & COLLINS (Victoria), *An Exploration of Applying System Criminality to Arms Trafficking*, International Criminal Justice Review, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2011, pp. 22-38; GANSLER (Jacques S.), *Democracy's Arsenal: Creating a Twenty-First-Century Defense Industry*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2011; *Economics of War*, Women and the Economy: A Project of United Nations Platform for Action Committee Manitoba, 2011; BITZINGER (Richard A.) (ed.), *The Modern Defense Industry: Political, Economic, and Technological Issues*, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2009; WRIGLEY (Christopher), *The Arms Industry*, London: Campaign Against Arms Trade, 2001; MARKUSEN (Ann R.) & COSTIGAN (Sean S.) (ed.), *Arming the Future: A Defense Industry for the 21st Century*, New York: Council on Foreign Relations Press, 1999; WARING (Marilyn), *Counting for Nothing: What Men Value and What Women are Worth*, 2nd ed., Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999; WULF (Herbert) (ed.), *Arms Industry Limited*, Solna: Sipri, 1993; JENKINS (Philip), *European Arms Industries in the 1980s: A Study in Corporate Crime*, International Criminal Justice Review, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-20; *The Arms Industry*, Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.

225 CASEY (Gerard), *Libertarian Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 1-5.

226 ROTHE (Dawn L.), *State Criminality*, op. cit.

227 For example, see WILNER (Michael), *US Voices Disagreement with Netanyahu's Outlook at UN on Political Islam*, Jerusalem Post, Sep. 30, 2014; JOSCELYN (Thomas), *State Department Highlights Iran's 'Marked Resurgence' of State-Sponsored Terrorism*, Long War Journal, May 31, 2013; STROBEL (Warren), *Iran's Sponsorship of Terrorism Sees "Marked Resurgence": U.S.*, Reuters, May 30, 2013; *Country Reports on Terrorism 2012*, U.S. Department of State, 2013; *State Sponsors of Terrorism*, U.S. Department of State; GUTIÉRREZ D. (José Antonio), *State Terrorism Is openly Practiced in Europe*, Anarkismo, Jan. 13, 2013; SCHOW (Ashe), *Obama's "Friend" Calls Israel a "Terrorist State"*, Foundry, Nov. 21, 2012; PEKER (Emre), *Turkey Labels Israel a 'Terrorist State'*, Wall Street Journal, Nov. 19, 2012; *Turkey's Erdogan Calls Israel a "Terrorist State"*, Reuters, Nov. 19, 2012.

228 RUMMEL (Rudolph J.), *Death by Government*, op. cit., pp. 1-2.

229 COOLEY (John K.), *Unholy Wars*, in CRONIN (Isaac) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 374-376; JOHNSON (Thomas H.), *Financing Afghan Terrorism: Thugs, Drugs, and Creative Movements of Money*, in GIRALDO (Jeanne K.) & TRINKUNAS (Harold A.) (ed.), *Terrorism Financing and State Responses: A Comparative Perspective*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2007, p. 95. See also COOLEY (John K.), *Unholy Wars: Afghanistan, America and International Terrorism*, 3rd ed., London: Pluto Press, 2002.

230 BUCKMAN (Peter), *The Limits of Protest*, London: Gollancz, 1970, p. 13.

231 See TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2013, pp. 255-284; TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, Forthcoming in Criminal Law and Philosophy, Osgoode CLPE Research Paper No. 23/2012, 2012; TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, The Jack and Mae Nathanson Centre on Transnational Human Rights, Crime and Security, YouTube, Nov. 25, 2011.

232 *Successful SSHRC Grant Application*, Ontario Legal Philosophy Partnership, Oct. 19, 2012.

233 TANGUAY-RENAUD (François), *Criminalizing the State*, Criminal Law and Philosophy, op. cit., p. 282.

234 Quoted in MERRIAM, JR. (Charles Edward), *History of the Theory of Sovereignty since Rousseau*, Kitchener: Batoche Books, 2001, p. 11.

235 For example, see above, footnote 202; WINTER (Brian), *The Decline and Fall of Dilma Rousseff*, Newsweek, May 16, 2016; PAZ (Manuel Barcia), *Dilma Rousseff's Impeachment Was Led by the White, Wealthy Men who now Make Up the Brazilian Cabinet*, Independent, May 15, 2016; ESCOBAR (Pepe), *Dilma out: Brazilian Plutocracy Sets 54mn Votes on Fire*, Russia Today, May 12, 2016; LOPEZ (Luciana) & CHARBONNEAU (Louis), *Brazil's Rousseff May Appeal to Mercosur if Impeached Illegally*, Reuters, Apr. 22, 2016; MIRAND (David), *The Real Reason Dilma Rousseff's Enemies Want her Impeached*, Guardian, Apr. 21, 2016; RAPOZA (Kenneth), *The Motive behind the Impeachment of Brazil's President Dilma Rousseff*, Forbes, Apr. 21, 2016; SMITH (Amy Erica), *Is the Impeachment Trial of Brazil's Dilma Rousseff a Coup?*, Washington Post, Apr. 20, 2016; BOURNE (Richard), *The Fallout from Dilma Rousseff's Impeachment Will Reach beyond Brazil*, Guardian, Apr. 20, 2016; TREVISANI (Paulo), *Brazil's Embattled President Dilma Rousseff Seeks to Make Her Case Abroad*, Wall Street Journal, Apr. 20, 2016; DE BARROS (Celso Rocha), *Dilma Rousseff's Impeachment Isn't a Coup, It's a Cover-Up*, New York Times, Apr. 19, 2016; SANATI (Cyrus), *Dilma Rousseff Impeachment Is Just the First Step to Heal Brazil's Broken Economy*, Fortune, Apr. 18, 2016; BOADLE (Anthony) & PARAGUASSU (Lisandra), *Brazil's Rousseff Vows to Fight on after Impeachment Defeat*, Reuters, Apr. 18, 2016.

- 236 For example, see FARHANG (Cliff), *Mapping the Approaches to the Question of Exemption from International Responsibility*, Netherlands International Law Review, Vol. 60, No. 1, 2013, pp. 93-120; CRAWFORD (James), *State Responsibility: The General Part*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; CRAWFORD (James) ET AL. (ed.), *The Law of International Responsibility*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010; CRAWFORD (James), *The International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002; CRAWFORD (James), *Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts*, Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge, 2001; *Materials on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts*, United Nations Legislative Series, New York, 2012; *Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts*, adopted by the International Law Commission at its fifty-third session in 2001, UN, New York, 2005; D'ASPREMONT (Jean), *Rebellion and State Responsibility: Wrongdoing by Democratically Elected Insurgents*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 58, No. 2, 2009, pp. 427-442; LÖWENHEIM (Nava), *A Haunted Past: Requesting Forgiveness for Wrongdoing in International Relations*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2009, pp. 531-555; DUMBERRY (Patrick), *New State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts by an Insurrectional Movement*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2006, pp. 605-621; GAJA (Giorgio), *Should all references to international crimes disappear from the ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility?*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1999, pp. 365-370; AMADOR (F. V. García) ET AL., *Recent Codification of the Law of State Responsibility for Injuries to Aliens*, Dobbs Ferry: Oceana, 1974.
- 237 See POSNER (Eric Andrew), *Do States Have a Moral Obligation to Obey International Law?*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 55, No. 5, 2003, pp. 1901-1919.
- 238 *State Immunity, War Crimes and Human Rights*, Professor James Crawford in an interview with Magda Karagiannakis, Global Change, Peace and Security, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, p. 92.
- 239 See HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., pp. 82-83, 117-119; COMFORT (Alex), *Authority and Delinquency in the Modern State*, op. cit.; GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit.
- 240 NAPOLITANO (Andrew Peter), *Constitutional Chaos*, op. cit.; NAPOLITANO (Andrew Peter), *How the Government Breaks the Law*, Cato Policy Report, Vol. 26, No. 6, 2004.
- 241 Concerning sovereign immunity and State liability, see HUANG (Huikang), *On Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction*, Chinese Journal of International Law, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-11; ROSENBLOOM (David H.), *Federal Law Against Age and Disability Discrimination Meets the Dignity of the States: The Supreme Court, States' Sovereign Immunity, and Judicial Review*, Review of Public Personnel Administration, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2014, pp. 23-39; HIGGINS (Rosalyn), *Equality of States and Immunity from Suit: A Complex Relationship*, in NIJMAN (Janne Elisabeth) & WERNER (Wouter G.) (ed.), *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 2012*, The Hague: Tobias Michael Carel Asser Press, 2013, pp. 129-149; KIYANI (Asad G.), *Al-Bashir and the ICC: The Problem of Head of State Immunity*, Chinese Journal of International Law, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2013, pp. 467-508; SANGER (Andrew), *I. Immunity of State Officials from the Criminal Jurisdiction of a Foreign State*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 62, No. 1, 2013, pp. 193-224; BARKER (J. Craig), *I. International Court of Justice: Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v Italy) Judgment of 3 February 2012*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2013, pp. 741-752; POTACS (Michael) & MAYER (Claudia), *Changes in Austrian State Liability Law under the Influence of European Law*, European Public Law, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2013, pp. 51-59; FRANCIONI (Francesco), *From Utopia to Disenchantment: The Ill Fate of 'Moderate Monism' in the ICJ judgment on the Jurisdictional Immunities of the State*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1125-1132; SCHERR (Kathrin), *Comparative Aspects of the Application of the Principle of State Liability for Judicial Breaches*, ERA Forum, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2012, pp. 565-588; DAVIES (Arwel), *State Liability for Judicial Decisions in European Union and International Law*, International and Comparative Law Quarterly, Vol. 61, No. 3, 2012, pp. 585-611; BOUDREAULT (François), *Identifying Conflicts of Norms: The ICJ Approach in the Case of the Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy: Greece Intervening)*, Leiden Journal of International Law, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1003-1012; GIBNEY (Mark) & ROXSTRÖM (Erik), *What a Pity! Sovereign Immunity, State Responsibility, and the Diminution of Accountability under International Human Rights Law*, Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2012, pp. 443-459; HARTMANN (Bernd J.), *Alignment of National Government Liability Law in Europe after Francovich*, ERA Forum, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2012, pp. 613-623; SHAH (Sangeeta), *Jurisdictional Immunities of the State: Germany v Italy*, Human Rights Law Review, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2012, pp. 555-573; ALEBEEK (Rosanne van), *National Courts, International Crimes and the Functional Immunity of State Officials*, Netherlands International Law Review, Vol. 59, No. 1, 2012, pp. 5-41; GIROUD (Sandrine), *Enforcement against State Assets and Execution of ICSID Awards in Switzerland: How Swiss Courts Deal with Immunity Defences*, ASA Bulletin, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2012, pp. 758-766; LOCK (Tobias), *Is Private Enforcement of EU Law through State Liability a Myth? An Assessment 20 Years after Francovich*, Common Market Law Review, Vol. 49, No. 5, 2012, pp. 1675-1702; RAEPENBUSCH (Sean Van), *La Convergence entre les Régimes de Responsabilité Extracontractuelle de l'Union*

Européenne et des États Membres, ERA Forum, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2012, pp. 671-684; YANG (Xiaodong), *State Immunity in International Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012; VEHOVEC (Marjeta Tomulic), *The Cause of Member State Liability*, European Review of Private Law, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2012, pp. 851-880; FINKE (Jasper), *Sovereign Immunity: Rule, Comity or Something Else?*, European Journal of International Law, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2010, pp. 853-881; OKPALUBA (Chuks) & OSODE (Patrick C.), *Government Liability: South Africa and the Commonwealth*, Claremont, Cape Town: Juta, 2010; FIGLEY (Paul F.) & TIDMARSH (Jay), *The Appropriations Power and Sovereign Immunity*, Michigan Law Review, Vol. 107, No. 7, 2009, pp. 1207-1267; WELCH (Michael), *Crimes of Power and States of Impunity*, op. cit.; SHORTELL (Christopher), *Rights, Remedies, and the Impact of State Sovereign Immunity*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2008; CENTNER (Terence J.), *Discerning Immunity for Governmental Entities: Analyzing Legislative Choices*, Review of Policy Research, Vol. 24, No. 5, 2007, pp. 425-441; COOPER-HILL (James), *The Law of Sovereign Immunity and Terrorism*, Dobbs Ferry: Oceana, 2006; BANKAS (Ernest K.), *The State Immunity Controversy in International Law: Private Suits against Sovereign States in Domestic Courts*, Berlin: Springer, 2005; FLOREY (Katherine), *Insufficiently Jurisdictional: The Case against Treating State Sovereign Immunity as an Article III Doctrine*, California Law Review, Vol. 92, No. 5, 2004, pp. 1375-1439; SIEGEL (Jonathan R.), *Waivers of State Sovereign Immunity and the Ideology of the Eleventh Amendment*, Duke Law Journal, Vol. 52, No. 6, 2003, pp. 1167-1243; DURCHSLAG (Melvyn R.), *State Sovereign Immunity: A Reference Guide to the United States Constitution*, Westport: Praeger, 2002; BOCCABELLA (John), *Government Liability*, Victoria: Environmental Law Centre Society, 2002; CHEMERINSKY (Erwin), *Against Sovereign Immunity*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 53, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1201-1224; FALKOFF (Marc D.), *Abrogating State Sovereign Immunity in Legislative Courts*, op. cit.; ZHANG (Yong) (ed.), *Comparative Studies on Governmental Liability in East and Southeast Asia*, The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 1999; OUZIEL (Lauren), *Waiving States' Sovereign Immunity from Suit in Their Own Courts: Purchased Waiver and the Clear Statement Rule*, Columbia Law Review, Vol. 99, No. 6, 1999, pp. 1584-1607; BIANCHI (Andrea), *Individual Accountability for Crimes against Humanity: Reckoning with the Past, Thinking of the Future*, SAIS Review, 1999, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 97-131; BRÖHMER (Jürgen), *State Immunity and the Violation of Human Rights*, The Hague and Boston: Martinus Nijhoff, 1997; ROMANS (Scott F.), *A Waiver of Sovereign Immunity for the CWA?*, Federal Facilities Environmental Journal, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1997, pp. 69-92; STRAMA (Brenda T.) (ed.), *AIDS and Governmental Liability: State and Local Government Guide to Legislation, Legal Issues, and Liability*, Chicago: Section of Urban, State and Local Government Law, American Bar Association, 1993; HURWITZ (Bruce A.), *State Liability for Outer Space Activities: In Accordance with the 1972 Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects*, Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff, 1992; MORGAN (Edward M.), *International Law and the Canadian Courts: Sovereign Immunity, Criminal Jurisdiction, Aliens' Rights and Taxation Powers*, Toronto: Carswell, 1990; ANTIEAU (Chester James) & MECHAM (Milo), *Tort Liability of Government Officers and Employees*, New York, Toronto: Wiley Law, 1990; COHEN (David S.), *Government Liability in Contract*, Toronto: Ontario Law Reform Commission, 1987; HUFFMAN (James), *Government Liability and Disaster Mitigation: A Comparative Study*, Lanham: University Press of America, 1986; COHEN (David S.), *Government Liability: Cases, Notes and Materials*, Toronto: York University, OHLS, 1985; HUMPHREY (Katherine), *Effective Supervision of Community Law: Liability of Governments*, World Competition, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1985, pp. 81-84; BADR (Gamal Moursi), *State Immunity: An Analytical and Prognostic View*, The Hague and Boston: Martinus Nijhoff, 1984; VANCE (Mary), *Government Liability: Monographs*, Monticello: Vance Bibliographies, 1983; DELAUME (Georges R.), *Public Debt and Sovereign Immunity: The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976*, American Journal of International Law, Vol. 71, No. 3, 1977, pp. 399-422; CHINKIN (Christine M.), *Trading Activities by Foreign Sovereign States and the Law of Sovereign Immunity*, Modern Law Review, Vol. 39, No. 5, 1976, pp. 597-602; JACOBS (Clyde E.), *The Eleventh Amendment and Sovereign Immunity*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 1972; GIUTTARI (Theodore R.), *The American Law of Sovereign Immunity: An Analysis of Legal Interpretation*, New York: Praeger, 1970; SWEENEY (Joseph M.), *The International Law of Sovereign Immunity: Policy Research Study*, Washington: External Research Staff, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, U.S. Department of State, 1964; ALSTYNE (Arvo Van), *California Government Tort Liability*, Berkeley: California Continuing Education of the Bar, 1964; JAFFE (Louis L.), *Suits against Governments and Officers: Sovereign Immunity*, Harvard Law Review, Vol. 77, No. 1 1963, pp. 1-39; VACIN (Donald E.), *Municipal Corporations: Liability in Tort: Prospective Judicial Abrogation of the Sovereign Immunity Concept*, Michigan Law Review, Vol. 60, No. 3, 1962, pp. 379-383.

²⁴² CHEMERINSKY (Erwin), *Against Sovereign Immunity*, op. cit., p. 1202.

²⁴³ About this concept, see KARLSTRØM (Henrik), *Do Libertarians Dream of Electric Coins? The Material Embeddedness of Bitcoin*, Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2014, pp. 23-36; CHEN (Adrian), *Much Ado About Bitcoin*, International New York Times, Nov. 26, 2013; GREENBERG (Andy), *Meet the 'Assassination Market' Creator Who's Crowdfunding Murder with Bitcoins*, Wayback Machine, Nov. 18, 2013; VUKOVICH (Daniel F.), *China as Humanist Exemplum*, Cultural Politics, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2012, p. 224; GREIF (Avner) & TADELIS (Steven), *A Theory of Moral Persistence: Crypto-Morality and Political Legitimacy*, Journal of Comparative Economics, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2010, pp. 229-244; HERRERA (Geoffrey L.), *Technology and International Systems*, Millennium, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2003, pp. 559-593; LUDLOW (Peter) (ed.), *Crypto Anarchy*,

Cyberstates, and Pirate Utopias, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2001; VINGE (Vernor), *True Names: And the Opening of the Cyberspace Frontier*, ed. by James Frankel, New York: Tor, 2001; BARLOW (John Perry), *A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace*, Wayback Machine, 1996; MAY (Timothy C.), *The Cyphernomicon*, Wayback Machine, 1994; MAY (Timothy C.), *The Crypto Anarchist Manifesto*, International New York, Times, Nov. 22, 1992; JANSSEN (Cory), *Cryptoanarchism*, Techopedia.

²⁴⁴ In this case, Professors Krimerman and Perry have found out that “England was a heaven for anarchist fugitives from the Continent in the second half of the nineteenth century and was not terrorized by acts of violence as were other European countries.” KRIMERMAN (Leonard I.) & PERRY (Lewis C.) (ed.), *Patterns of Anarchy*, New York: Anchor Books, 1966, p. 16.

²⁴⁵ See TOGNINA (Andrea), *The Forgotten History of the Libertarian Left*, Swissinfo.ch, Aug. 29, 2012.

²⁴⁶ HAARSCHER (Guy), *Some Contemporary Trends in Continental Philosophy of Law*, in GOLDING (Martin P.) & EDMUNDSON (William A.) (ed.), *The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Law and Legal Theory*, op. cit., p. 302.

²⁴⁷ About these controversial topics and problems, see RATHGEBER (Brent), *The Prime Minister: The Americanization of Canadian Politics*, Huffington Post, Sep. 26, 2014; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Economic, Social, Legal, and Political Racism in North America*, op. cit.; PARK (Augustine S. J.), *Constituting Omar Khadr: Cultural Racism, Childhood, and Citizenship*, International Political Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2014, pp. 43-62; HAN (Huamei), “Westerners,” “Chinese,” and/or “Us”: Exploring the Intersections of Language, Race, Religion, and Immigrantization, *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2014, pp. 54-70; DENISON (Jacqueline) ET AL., *Aboriginal Women’s Experiences of Accessing Health Care when State Apprehension of Children Is Being Threatened*, *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 70, No. 5, 2014, pp. 1105-1116; LOGIE (Carmen H.) & RWIGEMA (Marie-Jolie), “The Normative Idea of Queer Is a White Person”: Understanding Perceptions of White Privilege among Lesbian, Bisexual, and Queer Women of Color in Toronto, Canada, *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, pp. 174-191; LEVINE-RASKY (Cynthia), *White Fear: Analyzing Public Objection to Toronto’s Africentric School*, *Race Ethnicity and Education*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2014, pp. 202-218; LEVINE-RASKY (Cynthia) ET AL., *The Exclusion of Roma Claimants in Canadian Refugee Policy*, *Patterns of Prejudice*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2014, pp. 67-93; VICKERS (Jill) & ISAAC (Annette), *The Politics of “Race”: Canada, Australia, the United States*, 2nd ed., Toronto: Scholars Portal, 2013; RIZVI (Mehdi), *Racism in Academia: Addressing Racial Oppression in Post-Secondary Education*, Rabble.ca, Nov. 14, 2013; DOUGLAS (Delia D.) & HALAS (Joannie M.), *The Wages of Whiteness: Confronting the Nature of Ivory Tower Racism and the Implications for Physical Education*, *Sport, Education and Society*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2013, pp. 453-474; COLOMA (Roland Sintos), “Too Asian?” *On Racism, Paradox and Ethno-Nationalism*, *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2013, pp. 579-598; CARLETON (Sean), *Illustrating Racism: Challenging Canada’s Racial Amnesia with Comics*, *Social History*, Vol. 46, No. 92, 2013, pp. 509-522; FULFORD (Robert), *Remembering Canada’s Golden Era of Anti-Americanism*, National Post June 29, 2013; KHALIL (Nouman), *Toronto Taxi Drivers Fight for Equality, Justice*, South Asian Focus, Mar. 28, 2013; MASCARENHAS (Michael), *Where the Waters Divide*, op. cit.; MAHTANI (Minelle), *The Genealogies of Racial Difference at Canadian Universities*, *Canadian Ethnic Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2012, pp. 153-156; *30 of Your Britishisms Used by Americans*, BBC News, Oct. 16, 2012; RADOS (Ryan), *Anti-Americanism in Canada*, Poetical, Sep. 1, 2012; MARCHESE (Adriano), *As the US Decline Continues, Canada Looks to Step in*, Oil Price, June 21, 2012; STANLEY (Timothy J.), *Contesting White Supremacy: School Segregation, Anti-Racism, and the Making of Chinese Canadians*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2011; PRICE (John), *Orienting Canada: Race, Empire, and the Transpacific*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2011; ANDERSON (Greg) & SANDS (Christopher), *Forgotten Partnership Redux: Canada-U.S. Relations in the 21st Century*, Amherst: Cambria Press, 2011; HERD (Alexander W. G.), *A “Common Appreciation”: Eisenhower, Canada, and Continental Air Defense, 1953-1954*, *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2011, pp. 4-26; EGERTON (Hugh Edward), *A Short History of British Colonial Policy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010; CRUMP (Jennifer), *Canada Under Attack*, Toronto: Dundurn Press, 2010; THIBODEAU (Amy), *Canada: A Linguistic Battleground between the US and Britain*, Guardian, June 9, 2010; DAIGNEUULT (Todd), *The Influence of the United States on Canadian Culture*, Life Paths 360, Jan. 30, 2010; HIER (Sean Patrick) & BOLARIA (B. Singh) (ed.), *Race and Racism in 21st Century Canada*, op. cit.; BUCKNER (Phillip) & FRANCIS (R. Douglas) (ed.), *Canada and the British World: Culture, Migration, and Identity*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2006; MCKENNON (Ed), *Importing Hegemony: Library Information Systems and U.S. Hegemony in Canada and Latin America*, *Radical History Review*, Vol. 2006, No. 95, 2006, pp. 45-69; NELSON (Charmaine) & NELSON (Camille Antoinette) (ed.), *Racism, Eh? A Critical Interdisciplinary Anthology of Race and Racism in Canada*, Concord: Captus Press, 2004; ADAMS (Michael) ET AL., *Fire and Ice: The United States, Canada and the Myth of Converging Values*, Toronto: Penguin Canada, 2003; FLERAS (Augie), *Social Problems in Canada: Conditions, Constructions and Challenges*, 3rd ed., Scarborough: Prentice Hall, 2001; DAY (Richard J. F.), *Multiculturalism and the History of Canadian Diversity*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2000; BACKHOUSE (Constance), *Colour-Coded*, op. cit.; BACKHOUSE (Constance), *White Female Help and Chinese-Canadian Employers*, op. cit.; WALKER (James W. St. G.), “Race,” *Rights and the Law in the Supreme Court of Canada: Historical Case*

Studies, Toronto: The Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History and Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 1997; GRANATSTEIN (Jack Lawrence), *Yankee Go Home?: Canadians and Anti-Americanism*, Toronto: HarperCollins, 1996; WALKER (James W.), *Racial Discrimination in Canada: The Black Experience*, Ottawa: Canadian Historical Association, 1985; TAYLOR (Mike), *The Truth About Immigration: Exposing the Economic and Humanitarian Myths*, Coquitlam: Karma, 1998; SMITH (Allan), *Canada: An American Nation? Essays on Continentalism, Identity and the Canadian Frame of Mind*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1994; MOSS (Wendy) & GARDNER-O'TOOLE (Elaine), *Aboriginal People: History of Discriminatory Laws*, Government of Canada, Law and Government Division, Nov. 1991; SATZEWICH (Victor Nicholas), *Racisms: The Reactions to Chinese Migrants in Canada at the turn of the Century*, *International Sociology*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1989, pp. 311-327; MALAREK (Victor), *Haven's Gate: Canada's Immigration Fiasco*, Toronto: Macmillan, 1987; LIPSET (Seymour Martin), *Historical Traditions and National Characteristics: A Comparative Analysis of Canada, the United States*, in EISENSTADT (Shmuel Noah) (ed.), *Patterns of Modernity*, New York: New York University Press, 1987, pp. 60-83; LIPSET (Seymour Martin), *Historical Traditions and National Characteristics: A Comparative Analysis of Canada and the United States*, *Canadian Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1986, pp. 113-155; GRANT (George Parkin), *Lament for a Nation: The Defeat of Canadian Nationalism*, Toronto: Gage, 1978; SHUGARMAN (David P.), *The Problems of the Reluctant Nationalist: A Comment on Alan Cairns's "Political Science in Canada and the Americanization Issue"*, *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1976, pp. 121-126; CAIRNS (Hugh Alan Craig), *Political Science in Canada and the Americanization Issue*, *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1975, pp. 191-234; LUMSDEN (Ian G.) (ed.), *Close the 49th Parallel etc.: The Americanization of Canada*, Toronto University of Toronto Press, 1970; BOURINOT (John George), *Canada and the United States: A Study in Comparative Politics*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1890, pp. 1-25; KINGDOM (William), *America and the British Colonies*, 2nd ed., London: G. and W. B. Whittaker, 1820.

²⁴⁸ For example, see ARTUSO (Antonella), *Ontario Suicide Attempts Being Shared with U.S. Border Officials*, Toronto Sun, Apr. 14, 2014; BENZIE (Robert), *Ontario Privacy Watchdog Blasts Police for Disclosing Suicide Attempts*, Toronto Star, Apr. 14, 2014; JANUS (Andrea), *Stop Sharing Suicide Attempt Info, Privacy Commissioner Tells Police*, CTV News, Apr. 14, 2014; MERTL (Steve), *Privacy Watchdog Slams Toronto Police for Sharing Suicide-Attempt reports with U.S.*, Yahoo News, Apr. 14, 2014; LESLIE (Keith), *Privacy Watchdog Wants Police to Stop Sharing Suicide Attempts with U.S.*, CablePulse 24, Apr. 14, 2014.

²⁴⁹ See above, footnotes 38 and 158; MORIN (Violaine), *Un Mois Après, Nuit Debout Est toujours dans la Place*, *Le Monde*, Avril 30, 2016; FISCHLIN (Josh), *Nuit Debout Demonstrations Continue in Montreal*, *Link*, Apr. 30, 2016; BAUER (Hélène), *Nuit Debout in Montreal*, *Link*, Apr. 23, 2016; MCAULEY (James), *France's Young Protesters: Whatever It Is, They're against It*, *Washington Post*, Apr. 22, 2016; NASR (Edwin), *On the Uprisings in France*, *CounterPunch*, Apr. 22, 2016; GUPTA (Arun), *Democracy Sleepwalking*, *CounterPunch*, Apr. 19, 2016; *Nuit Debout: Après Trois Semaines, le Mouvement S'interroge sur Son Avenir*, *Libération*, Avril 21, 2016; MERCIER (Gilbert) & CHERY (Dady), *Nuit Debout: Dawn of a Revolution?*, *News Junkie Post*, Apr. 14, 2016; CARON (Pierre-Louis), *Une «Nuit Debout», une Semaine après le Début du Mouvement*, *VICE News*, Avril 8, 2016; FUCHS (Christian), *OccupyMedia!: The Occupy Movement and Social Media in Crisis Capitalism*, Winchester: Zero Books, 2014; SMALIGO (Nicholas), *The Occupy Movement Explained: From Corporate Control to Democracy*, Chicago: Open Court, 2014; MORGAN (Matthew), *The Containment of Occupy*, op. cit.; ADAMS (Jason M.), *Occupy Time: Technoculture, Immediacy and Resistance After Occupy Wall Street*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014; LUSCOMBE (Alex) & WALBY (Kevin), *Occupy Ottawa, Conservation Officers, and Policing Networks in Canada's Capital City*, *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, Vol. 56, No. 3, 2014, pp. 295-322; ÖRS (İlay Romain), *Genie in the Bottle: Gezi Park, Taksim Square, and the Realignment of Democracy and Space in Turkey*, *Philosophy and Social Criticism*, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 489-498; INCEOGLU (İrem), *The Gezi Resistance and Its Aftermath: A Radical Democratic Opportunity?*, *Soundings: A Journal of Politics and Culture*, No. 57, 2014, pp. 23-34; BREAU (Sébastien), *The Occupy Movement and the Top 1% in Canada*, *Antipode*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2014, pp. 13-33; ERAYDIN (Ayda) & TAŞAN-KOK (Tuna), *State Response to Contemporary Urban Movements in Turkey: A Critical Overview of State Entrepreneurialism and Authoritarian Interventions*, *Antipode*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2014, pp. 110-129; BEAM (Christopher), *Occupy Hong Kong's Disorganization Might Kill the Movement*, *New Republic*, Oct. 3, 2014; HAYOUN (Massoud), *After Bloody Clashes in Hong Kong, Students Back out of Government Talks*, *Al Jazeera*, Oct. 3, 2014; ROBEYNS (Ingrid), *Occupy Central: Civil Disobedience in Hong Kong*, *Crooked Timber*, Sep. 27, 2014; SUNSHINE (Spencer), *The Right Hand of Occupy Wall Street: From Libertarians to Nazis, the Fact and Fiction of Right-Wing Involvement*, *Political Research Associates*, Feb. 23, 2014; KIESBYE (Stefan) (ed.), *The Occupy Movement*, Detroit: Greenhaven Press, 2013; NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) & GABBARD (David) (ed.), *Policing the Campus*, op. cit.; NG (Angie), *Fighting Inequality in Hong Kong: Lessons Learned from Occupy Hong Kong*, *Journal of Radical Criminology*, No. 2, 2013, pp. 47-68; EVREN (Süreyya), *Gezi Resistance in Istanbul: Something in Between Tahrir, Occupy and a Late Turkish 1968*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 7-11; TAYLOR (Blair), *From Alterglobalization to Occupy Wall Street*, op. cit.; LIDAN (Song), *Global Youth Protests in the Era of Capitalist Economic Crisis*, *International Critical Thought*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2013, pp. 367-381; ATKINSON (Adrian) & VILORIA (Julie), *Readjusting to Reality 2: Transition?*, *City*, Vol. 17, No. 5, 2013, pp. 580-605; GIBSON (Morgan Rodgers),

The Anarchism of the Occupy Movement, Australian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 48, No. 3, 2013, pp. 335-348; SANDE (Mathijs van de), *The Prefigurative Politics of Tahrir Square: An Alternative Perspective on the 2011 Revolutions*, Res Publica, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2013, pp. 223-239; BRISSETTE (Emily), *Prefiguring the Realm of Freedom at Occupy Oakland*, Rethinking Marxism, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2013, pp. 218-227; GITLIN (Todd), *Occupy's Predicament: The Moment and the Prospects for the Movement*, British Journal of Sociology, Vol. 64, No. 1, 2013, pp. 3-25; BAKER (Nick), *Occupy Gezi: Police Sweep Clears Protesters From Taksim Square*, PolicyMic, June 12, 2013; WINSLOW (Samantha), *Occupy Gezi Movement Gains Backing From Striking Workers*, In These Times, June 11, 2013; *What's Happening in Turkey?*, Guardian, June 5, 2013; WINDSOR (Lauren), *On the Ground With Occupy Gezi*, Huffington Post, June 3, 2013; AYMAN (I. Zekeriya), *Turkey: 'Occupy Gezi': Istanbul's Red-Green Uprising*, Links International Journal of Socialist Renewal, June 2, 2013; BENNETT (Alex), *Occupy Gezi: Has the Arab Spring Finally Reached Turkey?*, PolicyMic, June 1, 2013; BATUMAN (Elif), *Occupy Gezi: Police against Protesters in Istanbul*, New Yorker, June 1, 2013; BATATUR, *Occupy Gezi/Istanbul Protests*, CNN, May 31, 2013; TASHJIAN (Yeghig), *The Fruits of "Arab Spring": Islamism, Anarchism and Feminism*, Strategic Outlook, 2013; STEPHENS (Joshua), *Talking Anarchism and the Arab Uprisings with Mohammed Bamyeh*, Toward Freedom, Feb. 26, 2013; DEAN (Jodi), *Occupy Wall Street: After the Anarchist Moment*, Socialist Register, Vol. 49, 2013, pp. 52-62; EPSTEIN (Barbara), *Occupy Oakland: The Question of Violence*, Socialist Register, Vol. 49, 2013, pp. 63-83; WHITE (Richard James), *Towards a Post-Occupy World*, Philosophers for Change, Aug. 21, 2012; ARAGORN! (ed.), *Occupy Everything: Anarchists in the Occupy Movement 2009-2011*, Berkeley: LBC Books, 2012; ALBERT (Michael), & MAJAVU (Mandisi), *Occupy Theory*, Woods Hole: ZBooks/ZCommunications, 2012; WRITERS FOR THE 99%, *Occupying Wall Street: The Inside Story of an Action that Changed America*, Chicago: Haymarket Books, 2012; CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Occupy*, London: Penguin Books, 2012; ANONYMOUS, *Becoming Uncontrollable: An Anarchist Reflection on Occupy Seattle*, Anarchist Library, 2012; WALL (Illan Rua), *Tunisia and the Critical Legal Theory of Dissensus*, Law and Critique, Vol. 23, No 3, 2012, pp. 219-236; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *Labor and Occupy Wall Street: Common Causes and Uneasy Alliances*, WorkingUSA, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2012, pp. 121-134; SHIFFMAN (Ron) ET AL. (ed.), *Beyond Zuccotti Park*, op. cit.; HARRIS (Jerry), *Dystopian and Global Rebellion: A Review of the Tenth Annual Conference of the Global Studies Association of North America*, International Critical Thought, Vol. 2, No. 4, 2012, pp. 524-533; VAUGHN (Robert G.), *The Successes and Failures of Whistleblower Laws*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2012; WORTH (Mark), *Whistling around the World: The Need for an International Whistleblower Movement*, Transparency International, May 31, 2012; HEDGES (Chris), *The Cancer in Occupy*, op. cit.; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Concerning the Violent Peace-Police*, op. cit.; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Occupy Wall Street's Anarchist Roots*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 30, 2011; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Occupy and Anarchism's Gift of Democracy*, op. cit.; SCHNEIDER (Nathan), *Thank You, Anarchists*, Nation, Dec. 19, 2011; JONES (Thai), *Occupy Protests Show Radical Potential*, Jewish Daily Forward, Dec. 2, 2011; ZUNES (Stephen), *Arab Revolutions and the Power of Nonviolent Action*, National Catholic Reporter, Dec. 1, 2011; SHIVA (Vandana), *The Lies of Free Market Democracy*, Al Jazeera, Nov. 15, 2011; BARNWELL (Alison), *About 100 People Meet for "Anarchist General Assembly" in preparation for Occupy Portland Eviction*, Oregonian, Nov. 12, 2011; KAZIN (Michael), *Anarchism Now: Occupy Wall Street Revives an Ideology*, New Republic, Nov. 7, 2011; BENNETT (Drake), *David Graeber, the Anti-Leader of Occupy Wall Street*, Business Week, Oct. 26, 2011; BERRETT (Dan), *Intellectual Roots of Wall Street Protest Lie in Academe*, Chronicle of Higher Education, Oct. 16, 2011; LATZA (Barbie), *Anarchists Hijack Rome Protests*, Daily Beast, Oct. 15, 2011; BAMYEH (Mohammed A.), *Anarchist, Liberal, and Authoritarian Enlightenments: Notes From the Arab Spring*, Jadaliyya, July 30, 2011; MICHAEL-MATSAS (Savas), *The Arab Spring: The Revolution at the Doors of Europe*, Critique, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2011, pp. 421-432; PRICE (Andy), *From Arab Spring to Spanish Summer: The Protests Continue*, Z Net, May 22, 2011; SAKBANI (Michael), *The Revolutions of the Arab Spring: Are Democracy, Development and Modernity at the Gates?*, Contemporary Arab Affairs, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2011, pp. 127-147; JASPER (James Macdonald), *The Art of Moral Protest: Culture, Biography, and Creativity in Social Movements*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997; *The National Whistleblowers Center* (NWC).

²⁵⁰ For example, see COHEN (Ashley L.), *Wage Slavery, Oriental Despotism, and Global Labor Management in Maria Edgeworth's Popular Tales*, Eighteenth Century, Vol. 55, No. 2, 2014, pp. 193-215; CURTIS (Michael), *Orientalism and Islam: European Thinkers on Oriental Despotism in the Middle East and India*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009; ABRAHAMIAN (Ervand), *Oriental Despotism: The Case of Qajar Iran*, International Journal of Middle East Studies, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1974, pp. 3-31; WITTFOGEL (Karl August), *Results and Problems of the Study of Oriental Despotism*, Journal of Asian Studies, Vol. 28, 1969, No. 2, pp. 357-365; WITTFOGEL (Karl August), *A Stronger Oriental Despotism*, China Quarterly, No. 1, 1960, pp. 29-34; WITTFOGEL (Karl August), *Oriental Despotism: A Comparative Study of Total Power*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1957; WITTFOGEL (Karl August), *The Ruling Bureaucracy of Oriental Despotism: A Phenomenon that Paralyzed Marx*, Review of Politics, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1953, pp. 350-359; RIASANOVSKY (Nicholas V.), *"Oriental Despotism" and Russia*, Slavic Review, Vol. 22, No. 4, 1963, pp. 644-649; VENTURI (Franco), *Oriental Despotism*, Journal of the History of Ideas, Vol. 24, No. 1, 1963, pp. 133-142; PETERSEN (William), *Oriental Despotism and Modern Totalitarianism*, Antioch Review, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1958, pp.

92-101; BOULANGER (Nicolas Antoine), *The Origin and Progress of Despotism: In the Oriental, and other Empires of Africa, Europe, and America*, Amsterdam, 1764.

²⁵¹ See BENHABIB (Seyla), *The New Legitimation Crises of Arab States and Turkey*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 349-358; ANDERSON (Lisa), 'Creative Destruction': *States, Identities and Legitimacy in the Arab World*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 369-379; REDISSI (Hamadi), *The Decline of Political Islam's Legitimacy: The Tunisian Case*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 381-390; GRAMI (Amel), *The Debate on Religion, Law and Gender in Post-Revolution Tunisia*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 391-400; HAMZAWY (Amr), *On Religion, Politics and Democratic Legitimacy in Egypt, January 2011-June 2013*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 401-406; KARČIĆ (Harun), *Shariah after the Arab Spring?*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 407-419; WALZER (Michael), *After the Arab Spring*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 421-429; HASHEMI (Nader), *Rethinking Religion and Political Legitimacy across the Islam-West Divide*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 439-447; LAURENCE (Jonathan), *The 21st-Century Impact of European Muslim Minorities on 'Official Islam' in the Muslim-Majority World*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 40, No. 4-5, 2014, pp. 449-458; TIBI (Bassam), *The Sharia State: Arab Spring and Democratization*, London: Routledge, 2013; SPENCER (Robert), *Libya's National Assembly: "Islamic Law Is the Source of Legislation in Libya. All State Institutions Need to Comply with This"*, Jihad Watch, Dec. 5, 2013; SPENCER (Robert), *Egypt and the Death of the 'Arab Spring'*, FrontPage Magazine, Dec. 4, 2012; BOSALUM (Feras), *Libyan Assembly Votes to Follow Islamic Law*, Reuters, Dec. 4, 2013; *Libya Assembly Votes for Sharia Law*, Al Jazeera, Dec. 4, 2013; YASHAR (Ari), *Libya Making Its Laws 'More Islamic'*, Israel National News, Nov. 15, 2013; HAQQANI (Husain), *Islamists and Democracy: Cautions from Pakistan*, Journal of Democracy, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2013, pp. 5-14; BAMYEH (Mohammed A.), *Anarchist Method, Liberal Intention, Authoritarian Lesson: The Arab Spring between Three Enlightenments*, Constellations, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2013, pp. 188-202; ALLANI (Alaya), *The Post-Revolution Tunisian Constituent Assembly: Controversy over Powers and Prerogatives*, Journal of North African Studies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2013, pp. 131-140; VLTCHER (Andre), *The Arab Spring Is Dead: Egypt's Failed Revolution*, Russia Today, Oct. 7, 2013; GERGES (Fawaz A.), *What Changes Have Taken Place in US Foreign Policy towards Islamists?*, Contemporary Arab Affairs, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2013, pp. 189-197; NAKHOUL (Samia), *Egypt Seen as Graveyard of Arab Spring and Islamist Ambitions for Power*, Reuters, Aug. 18, 2013; HARRISON (Ross), *Arab Spring or Islamic Spring?*, National Interest, Aug. 26, 2013; CAMPANINI (Massimo), *Longing for Democracy: A New Way to Political Transformation from an Islamic Perspective*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 39, No. 4-5, 2013, pp. 349-359; MILLER (Laurel E.) ET AL., *Democratization in the Arab World: Prospects and Lessons from Around the Globe*, Santa Monica: National Defense Research Institute, 2012; BLAYDES (Lisa) & LO (James), *One Man, One Vote, One Time? A Model of Democratization in the Middle East*, Journal of Theoretical Politics, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2012, pp. 110-146; ANDERSON (Kevin B.), *Year Two of the Arab Revolutions*, Logos, Vol. 11, No. 2-3, 2012; O'CONNELL (Brian), *Constitutional Apostasy: The Ambiguities in Islamic Law after the Arab Spring*, Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2012, Art. 3; SPENCER (Richard), *Middle East Review of 2012: The Arab Winter*, Telegraph, Dec. 31, 2012; *In Conversation with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, Brooklyn Rail, Oct. 4, 2012; *Arab Women as Likely as Men to Support Islamic Law in Middle East after Arab Spring, Says Survey*, Huffington Post, June 25, 2012; TADROS (Samuel), *Egypt's Elections: Why the Islamists Won*, World Affairs, Mar./Apr. 2012; TOTTEEN (Michael J.), *US Criticized by Tunisian Secularists for Backing Islamists*, World Affairs, Mar. 21, 2012; TOTTEEN (Michael J.), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, World Affairs, 2012; SCHENKER (David), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, World Affairs, 2012; ABDUL-HUSSAIN (Hussain), *Arab Spring or Islamist Winter?*, World Affairs, 2012; FULLER (Graham E.), *Now the Elected Islamists Must Deliver*, New Perspectives Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2012, pp. 43-44; GUL (Abdullah), *Egyptian Muslims Should Embrace Secularism*, New Perspectives Quarterly, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2012, pp. 48-51; ROY (Olivier), *The Tunisian Revolt: Where Have All the Islamists Gone?*, New Perspectives Quarterly, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2011, pp. 52-53; BACHRACH (Judy), *Arab Spring for Him, Arab Winter for Her*, World Affairs, Nov. 1, 2011; TAHERI (Amir), *The "Arab Spring" Has Toppled Some Despots and Enriched the Arab Political Vocabulary. But What Are Its Limits and What Should Western Democracies Do to Help It Achieve Its Objectives?*, American Foreign Policy Interests, Vol. 33, No. 6, 2011, pp. 273-277; HASSAN (Bahey eldin), *Ideas Can Also Kill: Five Assumptions that Uprisings in the Arab World Have Disproved*, Muslim World Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2011, p. 1244; *Sharia Law and the Arab Spring*, Euronews, Oct. 26, 2011; *The Role of Islamic Law in Tunisia's Constitution and Legislation Post-Arab Spring*, Library of Congress.

²⁵² About the relation between the Islamic Revolution of Iran and the Arab Spring, see HARRISON (Ross), *Arab Spring or Islamic Spring?*, op. cit.; FERDOWSI (Ali), *The Arab Spring and Iran: Speculations on the Political Sequence of Pre-Islamism, Islamism and Post-Islamism*, in SUZUKI (Hitoshi) (ed.), *The Middle East Turmoil and Japanese Response: For a Sustainable Regional Peacekeeping System*, Chiba-shi: Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization, 2013; AHMADI (Hamid), *Iran and the Arab Spring: Why Haven't Iranians Followed the Arabs in Waging Revolution?*, Asian Politics and Policy, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2013, pp. 407-420; SARIOLGHALAM (Mahmood), *Transition in the Middle East: New Arab Realities and Iran*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2013, pp. 121-134; JONES (Peter), *Hope and Disappointment: Iran and the Arab Spring*,

Survival, Vol. 55, No. 4, 2013, pp. 73-84; RIVETTI (Paola), *Democracy and Secularism in Iran: Lessons for the Arab Spring?*, Mediterranean Politics, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2013, pp. 125-132; DABASHI (Hamid), *What happened to the Green Movement in Iran?*, Al Jazeera, June 12, 2013; NABAVI (Negin), *The "Arab Spring" as Seen through the Prism of the 1979 Iranian Revolution*, International Journal of Middle East Studies, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2012, pp. 153-155; PARCHAMI (Ali), *The 'Arab Spring'*, op. cit.; IBRAHIM (Raymond), *Parallel Betrayals: Iranian Revolution and Arab Spring*, FrontPage Magazine, June 18, 2012; KOURI (Jim), *Iranian Revolutionary Model Influenced the Arab Spring*, Say Analysts, Examiner, Jan. 3, 2012; FULTON (Will), *After the Arab Spring: Iran's Foreign Relations in the Middle East*, Iran Tracker, Sep. 28, 2011.

253 Quoted in HOLMES (Barbara Ann), *Liberation and the Cosmos*, op. cit., p. 90.

254 HESS (David) & MARTIN (Brian), *Repression, Backfire, and the Theory of Transformative Events*, Mobilization: An International Quarterly, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2006, 249-267.

255 SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Anarchist Futures in the Present*, Anarchist Library, 2008, p. 10.

256 About his case, see *Iran's Press Record Needs Scrutiny at U.N. General Assembly*, Committee to Protect Journalists, Sep. 9, 2014; *Iranian Reformist Journalist, Activist Blogger Released after 5 Years*, Payvand, Sep. 4, 2014; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Iran: Prisoner of Conscience Denied Health Care: Hossein Ronaghi Maleki*, Aug. 29, 2013; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Fears Grow for Iranian Blogger on Hunger Strike*, Guardian, Aug. 28, 2013; *Hossein Ronaghi Maleki*, International Campaign for Human Rights in Iran, May 25, 2012; *Hossein Ronaghi-Maleki*, op. cit.

257 For example, see FEIGENBAUM (Anna), *Written in the Mud: (Proto)Zine-Making and Autonomous Media*, Feminist Media Studies, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-13; GROSS (Michael), *What Makes People Click?*, Current Biology, Vol. 23, No. 7, 2013, pp. R255-R258; HANDS (Joss), *@ Is for Activism: Dissent, Resistance and Rebellion in a Digital Culture*, London: Pluto Press, 2011; GENOSKO (Gary) & THOBURN (Nicholas) (ed.), *Franco 'Bifo' Berardi: After the Future*, trans. A. Bove et al., Edinburgh: AK Press, 2011; LIEVROUW (Leah A.), *Alternative and Activist New Media*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2011; LOEWENSTEIN (Antony), *The Blogging Revolution*, Carlton: Melbourne University Press, 2008; OATES (Sarah), *Introduction to Media and Politics*, Los Angeles and London: Sage, 2008; TERENCE, *Free Software as Part of the Anarchist Toolkit*, Anarkismo, June 27, 2007; OATES (Sarah) ET AL. (ed.), *The Internet and Politics: Citizens, Voters and Activists*, London: Routledge, 2006; GRAHAM (Gordon), *Anarchy and the Internet*, University of Aberdeen, Jan. 30, 2007; VAIDHYANATHAN (Siva), *The Anarchist in the Library: How the Clash between Freedom and Control is Hacking the Real World and Crashing the System*, New York: Basic Books, 2004; LUDLOW (Peter) (ed.), *Crypto Anarchy*, op. cit.; WARD (Dana), *Anarchy and the Internet*, 2001; *Mideast Youth*; *Z Communications*; *Selected US Independent Media*, Roy H. Park School of Communications, Ithaca College; *World Newspapers: Alternative News*; *IndependentMedia.ca*; *Anarchy Archives*; *Anarchism.net*; *Spunk Library*; *WikiLeaks*; *Alternative Media Project*; *Infoshop*; *IndyMedia*; *Unrest Magazine*; *News Junkie Post*; *Communication, SchNEWS*; *Planet Ivy*; *Raven*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1995.

258 About the pros and cons of this case, see LANG (John C.) & STERCK (Hans De), *The Arab Spring*, op. cit.; BRATICH (Jack Z.), *Adventures in the Public Secret Sphere*, op. cit.; FLOOD (Andrew), *Revolutionary Organisation in the Digital Age – Norwegian Speaking Tour June 2014*, Anarchist Writers, June 10, 2014; AL-RAWI (Ahmed), *Framing the Online Women's Movements in the Arab World*, Information, Communication and Society, Vol. 17, No. 9, 2014, pp. 1147-1161; POELL (Thomas), *Social Media and the Transformation of Activist Communication: Exploring the Social Media Ecology of the 2010 Toronto G20 Protests*, Information, Communication and Society, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2014, pp. 716-731; POELL (Thomas) & BORRA (Erik), *Twitter, YouTube, and Flickr as Platforms of Alternative Journalism: The Social Media Account of the 2010 Toronto G20 Protests*, Journalism, Vol. 13, No. 6, 2012, pp. 695-713; HILL (Symon), *Digital Revolutions: Activism in the Internet Age*, Oxford: New Internationalist, 2013; COLLENTINE (Josef Ohlsson), *Internet and Social Media as Tools of Freedom*, Pirate Times, July 3, 2013; WAGNER (Kevin M.) & GAINOUS (Jason), *Digital Uprising: The Internet Revolution in the Middle East*, Journal of Information Technology and Politics, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2013, pp. 261-275; PASSINI (Stefano), *The Facebook and Twitter Revolutions: Active Participation in the 21st Century*, Human Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2012, pp. 301-312; MANSOUR (Essam), *The Role of Social Networking sites (SNSs) in the January 25th Revolution in Egypt*, Library Review, Vol. 61, No. 2, 2012, pp. 128-159; AOURAGH (Miriya), *Framing the Internet in the Arab Revolutions: Myth Meets Modernity*, Cinema Journal, Vol. 52, No. 1, 2012, pp. 148-156; LYSENKO (Volodymyr V.) & DESOUZA (Kevin C.), *Moldova's Internet Revolution: Analyzing the Role of Technologies in Various Phases of the Confrontation*, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 79, No. 2, 2012, pp. 341-361; YANG (Guobin), *The Power of the Internet in China: Citizen Activism Online*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2011; KHAMIS (Sahar) & VAUGHN (Katherine), *Cyberactivism in the Egyptian Revolution: How Civic Engagement and Citizen Journalism Tilted the Balance*, Arab Media and Society, No. 14, 2011; HOOFD (Ingrid M.), *Ambiguities of Activism*, op. cit.; HOWARD (Philip N.) ET AL., *Opening Closed Regimes: What was the Role of Social Media during the Arab Spring?*, Project on Information Technology and Political Islam, Research Memo, 2011; LOTAN (Gilad) ET AL., *The Revolutions Were Tweeted: Information Flows during the 2011 Tunisian and Egyptian Revolutions*, International Journal of Communication, Vol. 5, No. 5,

2011, pp. 1375-1405; ALTERMAN (Jon B.), *The Revolution Will Not Be Tweeted*, Washington Quarterly, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2011, pp. 103-116; HUSAIN (Mishal) & POLLACK (Ricardo), *How Facebook Changed the World: The Arab Spring*, 2 parts, BBC documentary, Sep. 2011; ZUCKERMAN (Ethan), *The First Twitter Revolution?*, Foreign Policy, Jan. 14, 2011; CHRISTENSEN (Christian), *Twitter Revolutions? Addressing Social Media and Dissent*, Communication Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2011, pp. 155-157; RAHIMI (Babak), *The Agonistic Social Media: Cyberspace in the Formation of Dissent and Consolidation of State Power in Postelection Iran*, Communication Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2011, pp. 158-178; STEPANOVA (Ekaterina), *The Role of Information Communication Technologies in the "Arab Spring": Implications beyond the Region*, PONSARS Eurasia Policy Memo, No. 159, 2011; ZHUO (Xiaolin) ET AL., *Egypt: The First Internet Revolt?*, Peace Magazine, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2011, pp. 6-10; SHIRKY (Clay), *The Political Power of Social Media: Technology, the Public Sphere, and Political Change*, Foreign Affairs, Vol. 90, No. 1, 2011, pp. 28-41; SHIRKY (Clay), *Here Comes Everybody: The Power of Organizing without Organizations*, London: Penguin, 2008; GARLICK (Steve), *A New Sexual Revolution? Critical Theory, Pornography, and the Internet*, Canadian Review of Sociology, Vol. 48, No. 3, 2011, pp. 221-239; HAMAMSY (Walid El), *BB = BlackBerry or Big Brother: Digital media and the Egyptian revolution*, Journal of Postcolonial Writing, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2011, pp. 454-466; LYSENKO (Volodymyr V.) & DESOUZA (Kevin C.), *Cyberprotest in Contemporary Russia: The Cases of Ingushetiya.ru and Bakhmina.ru*, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 77, No. 7, 2010, pp. 1179-1193; HASHEMI-NAJAFABADI (S. Adel), *Has the Information Revolution in Muslim Societies Created New Publics?*, Muslim World Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2010, Art. 4; BUZGALIN (Iagin), *Towards the Theory of Alter Globalism Ghost of Alter Globalization*, op. cit.; HOFKIRCHNER (Wolfgang), *A Critical Social Systems View of the Internet*, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2007, pp. 471-500; BRAMBLE (Tom), *'Another World Is Possible'*, op. cit.; CLARK (John D.) & THEMUDO (Nuno S.), *Linking the Web and the Street*, op. cit.; REED (Thomas Vernon), *The Art of Protest: Culture and Activism from the Civil Rights Movement to the Streets of Seattle*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2005; MCCAUGHEY (Martha) & AYERS (Michael D.) (ed.), *Cyberactivism: Online Activism in Theory and Practice*, New York: Routledge, 2003; AELST (Peter Van) & WALGRAVE (Stefaan), *New Media, New Movements?*, op. cit.; BLEIKER (Roland), *Activism after Seattle*, op. cit.; CAPLING (Ann) & NOSSAL (Kim Richard), *Death of Distance or Tyranny of Distance?*, op. cit.; AYRES (Jeffrey M.), *Transnational Political Processes and Contention against the Global Economy*, op. cit.

²⁵⁹ For the critiques of peer review, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Some Studies on a Taboo Topic*, op. cit.; ROMANO (Aja), *Academic Journals Are Facing a Battle to Weed out Fake Peer Reviews*, Daily Dot, Aug. 21, 2015; LIPTAK (Adam), *The Lackluster Reviews that Lawyers Love to Hate*, New York Times, Oct. 21, 2013; WARE (Mark), *Peer Review: Recent Experience and Future Directions*, New Review of Information Networking, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-53; LIU (Xuan Zhen) & FANG (Hui), *Peer Review and Over-Competitive Research Funding Fostering Mainstream Opinion to Monopoly*, Scientometrics, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2011, pp. 293-301; WARE (Mark), *Peer Review: Benefits, Perceptions and Alternatives*, London: Publishing Research Consortium, 2008, pp. 16-17; HIRSCHAUER (Stefan), *Editorial Judgments: A Praxeology of 'Voting' in Peer Review*, Social Studies of Science, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2010, pp. 71-103; CAMPANARIO (Juan Miguel), *Rejecting Nobel Class Articles and Resisting Nobel Class Discoveries: Accounts by Nobel Laureates*, Scientometrics, Vol. 81, No. 2, 2009, pp. 549-565; CAMPANARIO (Juan Migue), *Peer Review for Journals as it Stands Today – Part 1*, Science Communication, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1998, pp. 181-211; CAMPANARIO (Juan Migue), *Peer Review for Journals as it Stands Today – Part 2*, Science Communication, Vol. 19, No. 4, 1998, pp. 277-306; CAMPANARIO (Juan Miguel), *Have Referees Rejected some of the Most-Cited Articles of All Times?*, Journal of the American Society for Information Science, Vol. 47, No. 4, 1996, pp. 302-310; CAMPANARIO (Juan Miguel) & MARTIN (Brian), *Challenging Dominant Physics Paradigms*, Journal of Scientific Exploration, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 421-438; MARTIN (Brian), *Suppression Stories*, Wollongong: Fund for Intellectual Dissent, 1997; OSWALD (Andrew J.), *Can We Test for Bias in Scientific Peer-Review?*, IZA Discussion Paper No. 3665, 2008; HIGGS (Robert), *Peer Review, Publication in Top Journals, Scientific Consensus, and So Forth*, Independent Institute, May 7, 2007; SMITH (Richard), *Peer Review: A Flawed Process at the Heart of Science and Journals*, Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, Vol. 99, No. 4, 2006, pp. 178-182; ERIKSSON (Lena), *British Scientists Exclude 'Maverick' Colleagues, Says Report*, EurekAlert, Aug. 16, 2004; PETIT-ZEMAN (Sophie), *Trial by Peers Comes Up Short*, Guardian, Jan. 16, 2003; FREY (Bruno S.), *Publishing as Prostitution?*, op. cit.; HORTON (Richard), *Genetically Modified Food: Consternation, Confusion, and Crack-Up*, Medical Journal of Australia, Vol. 172, No. 4, 2000, pp. 148-149; BAEZ (Benjamin), *Confidentiality and Peer Review*, op. cit., pp. 163-183; ARMSTRONG (J. Scott), *Democracy Does Not Make Good Science: On Reforming Review Procedures for Management Science Journals*, Interfaces, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1998, pp. 88-91; OSMOND (Daniel H.), *Malice's Wonderland: Research Funding and Peer Review*, Journal of Neurobiology, Vol. 14, No. 2., 1983, pp. 95-112.

²⁶⁰ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *The Sacred Law*, op. cit., pp. 15-16.

Chapter 5: The Anarchist Alternatives

¹ About the anarchist critiques of religion, see HEARN (Mark), *Containing "Contamination": Cardinal Moran and Fin de Siècle Australian National Identity, 1888-1911*, *Journal of Religious History*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2010, pp. 20-35; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., especially pp. 132-137, 176-183; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience, Volume 3, Number 1*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 13; PRICE (Wayne), *Religion and Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2009; CLEYRE (Voltairine de), *The Economic Tendency of Freethought*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ANONYMOUS, *Drowning*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MOST (Johann Joseph), *The God Pestilence*, Anarchist Library, 2009; HUNT (Richard), *The Natural Society: A Basis for Green Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; KAMALMAZ (Mazen), *Against Colonialism and Fundamentalism*, Anarchist Library, 2008; ONFRAY (Michel), *Atheist Manifesto: The Case Against Christianity, Judaism, and Islam*, New York: Arcade, 2007; ANONYMOUS, *The Continuing Appeal of Religion*, Anarchist Library, 2006; MELANCHOLIC TROGLODYTES, *A Proletarian Critique of the Nation of Islam*, Anarchist Library, 2006; GUÉRIN (Daniel) (ed.), *No Gods, No Masters: An Anthology of Anarchism*, Oakland: AK Press, 2005; LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *The Network of Domination*, Anarchist Library, 2005; SATOUR (Khaled), "Secularism," *the Celestial City and the Revolutionary Left*, Anarchist Library, 2005; DAVIES (Jim), "Christian Anarchist": An Oxymoron?, *Strike The Root*, Aug. 8, 2005; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reconsidering Primitivism, Technology, and the Wild*, Anarchist Library, 2004; DEWEY (Clayton), *Anarchism and Unitarian Universalism*, Anarchist Library, 2004; MCQUINN (Jason), *Demoralizing Moralism: The Futility of Fetishized Values*, Anarchist Library, 2004; TREMLETT (Paul-François), *On the Formation and Function of the Category 'Religion' in Anarchist Writing*, *Culture and Religion*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2004, pp. 367-381; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *The Pedagogy of Religion: Two Conversations between God and Children*, Anarchist Library, 2003; WEINSTEIN (MaxZine), *Anarcho-Spirituality and Its Discontents: A Personal Reflection*, Anarchist Library, 2003; BUFE (Charles), *20 Reasons to Abandon Christianity*, Tucson: See Sharp Press, 2000; GIBSON (Tony), *Should We Mock at Religion?*, Anarchist Library, 1999; BIEHL (Janet) & STAUDENMAIER (Peter), *Ecofascism: Lessons from the German Experience*, Anarchist Library, 1996; POTTER (Bob), *Psychotherapy and Religion*, *Raven*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1997, pp. 266-282; *Religion*, *Raven*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1994; VANEIGEM (Raoul), *The Resistance to Christianity: The Heresies at the Origins of the 18th Century*, Anarchist Library, 1993; WALTER (Nicolas), *Anarchism and Religion*, Anarchist Library, 1991; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Atheism, Ayn Rand, and Other Heresies*, Buffalo: Prometheus Books, 1991; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Atheism: The Case Against God*, Buffalo: Prometheus Books, 1979; AL-DJOUHALL, *The Misery of Islam*, Anarchist Library, 1989; CASANOVA (Julián), *Anarchism and Revolution in the Spanish Civil War: The Case of Aragon*, *European History Quarterly*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 1987, pp. 423-451; WOODWORTH (Fred), *There Is No God*, Austin: American Atheists, 1980; BATAILLE (Georges), *The Sacred Conspiracy*, Anarchist Library, 1936; FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, Paris: La Librairie Internationale, 1934, p. 5; FAURE (Sébastien), *Does God Exist? Twelve Proofs of the Nonexistence of God*, Anarchist Library, 1908; LORULOT (André), *The Soviets and the Papacy*, Anarchist Library, 1922; GOLDMAN (Emma), *The Philosophy of Atheism*, Anarchist Library, 1916; GOLDMAN (Emma), *The Failure of Christianity*, Anarchist Library, 1913; CHAMPNEY (Adeline), *What Is Worth While?*, Anarchist Library, 1911; KUH (Edwin J.), *The Right to Disbelieve*, Anarchist Library, 1910; GALLEANI (Luigi), *Leo Tolstoy (1828-1910)*, Anarchist Library, 1910; LEROY (Maxime), *Stirner versus Proudhon*, Anarchist Library, 1905; MALATO (Charles), *Religions*, Anarchist Library, 1893; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *God and the State*, op. cit.; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Federalism, Socialism, and Anti-Theologism*, op. cit.; DÉJACQUE (Joseph), *The Circulus in Universality*, Anarchist Library, 1858; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *God Is Evil, Man Is Free*, intr. by Shawn P. Wilbur, Anarchist Library, 1849; STIRNER (Max), *Art and Religion*, Anarchist Library, 1842.

² About religious, mystical, or spiritual anarchism, and its alternatives, see above, Chapter 3, footnote 2; SOUTHGATE (Troy) (ed.), *Albion Awake: Mystical Anarchism and the National Quest for an Alternative Britain*, London: Black Front Press, 2014; BUHLE (Paul) (ed.), *Radical Jesus: A Graphic History of Faith*, Harrisonburg: Herald Press, 2013; DUGGAN (Daniel), *Christian Anarchism: A Political Commentary on the Gospel by Alexandre Christoyannopoulos*, book review, *Political Studies Review*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2013, pp. 231-232; MILLER (James J.), *Canon and Identity Thoughts on the Hyphenated Anarchist*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 2013, pp. 22-32; VENEUSE (Mohamed Jean), *Islam and Anarchism: Relationships and Resonances*, *Minor Compositions*, 2013; VENEUSE (Mohamed Jean), *The Body of the Condemned, Sally: Paths to Queering Anarcho-Islam*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2010, pp. 217-239; VENEUSE (Mohamed Jean), *Anarcho-Islam*, PhD thesis, Department of Sociology, Queen's University Kingston, 2009; DAUGHERTY (Kevin Ryan), *A Short History of Religious Anarchism*, *Jesus Radicals*, Apr. 21, 2013; DAUGHERTY (Kevin Ryan), *Anarcho-Anabaptism*, *Koinonia Revolution*, Oct. 29, 2012; READING (Brenton), *To Change the World V: On Liberals, Anabaptists, and Anarchy*, *Spectrum Magazine*, July 13, 2012; CRITCHLEY (Simon), *Mystical Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2012; PRICE (Tony Curzon) & CRITCHLEY (Simon), *The Attractions of Mystical Anarchism*, op. cit.; CHAMBERS (Paul), *Christian Anarchism: A Political Commentary on the Gospel*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2012, pp. 109-111; SALTER (Alexander William), *Christian Anarchism: Communitarian or Capitalist?*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 151-161; ALEXIS-BAKER (Nekeisha), *Jesus Radicals, Reflection from a Co-Founder*, *Geez*, Nov. 15, 2012; HEBDEN (Keith), *Dalit Theology and Christian Anarchism*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2011; VEM (Anil), *Two Mystic Materialist Sketches*, Anarchist Library, 2011; HORROX (James), *Gustav Landauer (1870-1919)*, Anarchist

Library, 2011; CHRISTOYANNOPOULOS (Alexandre J. M. E.), *Christian Anarchism: A Political Commentary on the Gospel*, Charlottesville: Imprint Academic, 2010; CHRISTOYANNOPOULOS (Alexandre J. M. E.), *Religious Anarchism: New Perspectives*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars, 2009; CHRISTOYANNOPOULOS (Alexandre J. M. E.), *Christian Anarchism: A Revolutionary Reading of the Bible*, Department of Politics and International Relations, Rutherford College, University of Kent, July 2008; MARLOW, *Anarchism and Christianity*, Anarchist Library, 2009; POJMAN (Paul), *Anarchospirituality*, Social Anarchism, No. 43, 2009; HADDIGAN (Lee), *The Importance of Christian Thought for the American Libertarian Movement: Christian Libertarianism, 1950-1971*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 14; TAYLOR (Bron Raymond), *Dark Green Religion: Nature Spirituality and the Planetary Future*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2010; SELLARS (Simon), *Hakim Bey: Repopulating the Temporary Autonomous Zone*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 83-108; YORK (Tripp), *Living on Hope while Living in Babylon: The Christian Anarchists of the Twentieth Century*, Cambridge: Lutterworth Press, 2009; GREER (Joseph Christian), *Occult Origins*, op. cit., pp. 166-187; BEY (Hakim), "Anarchist Religion"?, Anarchist Library, 2009; BEY (Hakim), *Spiritual Anarchism: Topics for Research*, Anarchist Library, 2002; BEY (Hakim), *Post-Anarchism Anarchy*, op. cit.; CRANE (Silas), *Authoritarianism and Self-Creation*, Anarchist Library, 2008; KNEPPER (Paul), *The Other Invisible Hand: Jews and Anarchists in London before the First World War*, Jewish History, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2008, pp. 295-315; HAMINGTON (Maurice), *Two Leaders, Two Utopias: Jane Addams and Dorothy Day*, National Women's Studies Association Journal, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2007, pp. 159-186; BOSTAPH (Samuel), *Book Review of The Church Confronts Modernity: Catholic Intellectuals and the Progressive Era and The Church and the Market: A Catholic Defense of the Free Economy by Thomas E. Woods, Jr.*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2006, pp. 87-94; WOODS, JR. (Thomas E.), *The Church and the Market: A Catholic Defense of the Free Economy*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2005; KURSIONS (Mia X.), *Meditation on Meditation: Direct Experience as Spirituality*, Anarchist Library, 2005; TUCKER (Kevin), *Egocide*, Anarchist Library, 2005; ANONYMOUS, *There is no Authority but Yourself: Reclaiming Krishnamurti for Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2005; DUN (Frank van), *Natural Law, Liberalism, and Christianity*, op. cit.; MOYA (Jose C.), *The Positive Side of Stereotypes: Jewish Anarchists in Early-Twentieth-Century Buenos Aires*, Jewish History, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2004, pp. 19-48; ROSENTHAL (Bernice Glatzer), *New Myth, New World*, op. cit.; NALIMOV (Vasily Vasilievich), *On the History of Mystical Anarchism in Russia*, trans. by A. V. Yarkho, International Journal of Transpersonal Studies, Vol. 20, 2001, pp. 85-98; PENNER (Archie), *The New Testament, the Christian, and the State*, Haggerston: James Lowry/Deutsche Buchhandlung, 2000; ANDREWS (Dave), *Christi-Anarchy: Discovering a Radical Spirituality of Compassion*, Oxford: Lion, 1999; WENZER (Kenneth C.), *Tolstoy's Georgist Spiritual Political Economy (1897-1910): Anarchism and Land Reform*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 56, No. 4, 1997, pp. 639-667; Editorial: *From Intellectual Anarchism to Spiritual Anarchism*, Journal of Human Values, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1997, pp. 1-2; AUROBINDO (Sri), *The Human Cycle: The Ideal of Human Unity War and Self-Determination*, Pondicherry: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Press, 1997; AUROBINDO (Sri), *Karmayogin: Political Writings and Speeches, 1909-1910*, Pondicherry: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Press, 1997; CHATTOPADHYAYA (Debi Prasad), *Sri Aurobindo and Karl Marx: Integral Sociology and Dialectical Sociology*, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1988; WATSON (David), *Swamp Fever, Primitivism and the "Ideological Vortex": Farewell to All That*, Anarchist Library, 1997; TARLETON (George), *Birth of a Christian Anarchist*, Pennington: Pendragon, 1993; HORNLEY (Kerry), *Zenarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1991; ELLUL (Jacques), *Anarchy and Christianity*, trans. by Geoffrey W. Bromiley, Grand Rapids: William Bernard Eerdmans, 1991; ELLIOT (Michael C.), *Freedom, Justice and Christian Counter-Culture*, London: SCM Press, 1990; YASSOUR (Avraham), *Gustav Landauer: The Man, the Jew and the Anarchist*, Anarchist Library, 1989; YASSOUR (Avraham), *Topos and Utopia in Landauer's and Buber's Social Philosophy*, Anarchist Library, 1982; COY (Patrick G.) (ed.), *A Revolution of the Heart: Essays on the Catholic Worker*, Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1988; ELLER (Vernard), *Christian Anarchy: Jesus' Primacy Over the Powers*, Grand Rapids: William Bernard Eerdmans, 1987; HARDY (Dennis), *Alternative Communities in Nineteenth Century England*, London: Longman, 1979, pp. 172-180; SEGERS (Mary C.), *Equality and Christian Anarchism: The Political and Social Ideas of the Catholic Worker Movement*, Review of Politics, Vol. 40, No. 2, 1978, pp. 196-230; SCHERRER (Jutta), *Les "Sociétés Philosophico-Religieuses" et la Quête Idéologique de l'Intelligentsia Russe avant 1917*, Cahiers du Monde Russe et Soviétique, Vol. 15, No. 3-4, 1974, pp. 297-314; GILMORE (Ruth), *The Christian Anarchists: Ruskin and Tolstoy, and a Consideration of Their Influence on Gandhi*, PhD thesis, Department of History, University of Adelaide, 1970; SNYDER (Gary), *Buddhist Anarchism*, Bureau of Public Secrets, 1961; HENNACY (Ammon Ashford), *The Autobiography of a Catholic Anarchist*, New York: Catholic Worker Books, 1954; HUBBARD (Elbert Green), *Jesus Was An Anarchist*, Detroit: Labadie, 1939; *The Tasneem Project*.

³ About this controversial relationship, see BAROUDI (Sami E.), *The Islamic Realism of Sheikh Yusuf Qaradawi (1926-) and Sayyid Mohammad Hussein Fadlallah (1935-2010)*, British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2016, pp. 94-114; CRIMETHINC., *Turkish Anarchists on the Fight for Kobanê*; GRAY (John), *The Age of Hyper-Terrorism*, New Statesman, Apr. 5, 2016; BERMAN (Russell A.), *Mourning, Solidarity and "Transversal Grief": How Judith Butler Misreads Paris*, Telos Press, Mar. 28, 2016; AL-MARASHI (Ibrahim), *10 Overlooked Dimensions of ISIS*, Islamic Monthly, Mar. 23, 2016; KAPLAN (Robert David), *ISIS and the Logic of Anarchy*, National Interest, Nov. 17, 2015; *Turkish Anarchists and the Fight for Kobanê*, Vancouver Media

Co-op, Mar. 11, 2015; WATKINS (Gareth), *Anarchists vs. ISIS: The Revolution in Syria Nobody's Talking About*, CVLT Nation, Feb. 6, 2015; YOUNG (Stephen), *According to the Deep Web, ISIS May Be Encouraging Really Lame Attacks in Texas*, Dallas Observer, Sep. 17, 2014; KETZ (Sammy), *Who Are the 'Terrorists' the World Needs to Fight?*, Nation, Sep. 17, 2014; NESTEL (M. L.), *ISIS Forums Share Pipe Bomb Instructions for Attacks on NYC, Las Vegas*, Vocativ, Sep. 16, 2014; SMOOT (Stephen), *How ISIS' Perceived Strengths Could Also Be Their Undoing*, Town Hall, Sep. 14, 2014; *IS Extremist's Laptop Holds Recipes for Bombs and Banana Mousse*, News, Sep. 10, 2014; DOORNBOS (Harald) & MOUSSA (Jenan), *Recipes from the Islamic State's Laptop of Doom*, Foreign Policy, Sep. 9, 2014; *Anarchists Join Fight against IS to Defend Kurdish Autonomous Areas*, Libcom, Oct. 3, 2014; COX (Stephen D.), *ISIS and the Anarchists*, Liberty Unbound, Aug. 13, 2014; BHATT (Chetan), *The Virtues of Violence: The Salafi-Jihadi Political Universe*, Theory, Culture and Society, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2014, pp. 25-48; BAR-ON (Tamir), *A Response to Alain de Benoist*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 123-168; FELDMAN (Matthew), *Comparative Lone Wolf Terrorism: Toward a Heuristic Definition*, Democracy and Security, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2013, pp. 270-286; STRIEGHER (Jason-Leigh), *Early Detection of the Lone Wolf: Advancement of Counter-Terrorism Investigations with an Absence or Abundance of Information and Intelligence*, Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2013, pp. 35-53; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Look at Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 17; RANALLI (Brent), *The Terrorism Endgame: Lessons from the War on Anarchy (Part I)*, Globalist, Oct. 12, 2011; RANALLI (Brent), *The Terrorism Endgame: Lessons from the War on Anarchy (Part II)*, Globalist, Oct. 13, 2011; CARR (Matthew), *The Infernal Machine: An Alternative History of Terrorism*, London: Hurst, 2011; CARR (Matthew), *Cloaks, Daggers and Dynamite*, History Today, Vol. 57, No. 12, 2007, pp. 29-31; GELVIN (James L.), *The Modern Middle East: A History*, 3rd ed., New York: Oxford University Press, 2011; GELVIN (James L.), *Nationalism, Anarchism, Reform: Understanding Political Islam from the Inside Out*, Middle East Policy, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2010, pp. 118-133; GELVIN (James L.), *Al-Qaeda and Anarchism: A Historian's Reply to Terrorology*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 563-581; GELVIN (James L.), *Al-Qaeda and Anarchism: A Historian's Reply to Terrorology: Response to Commentaries*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 606-611; STEIGERWALD (Lucy), *Baby, I'm an Anarchist*, op. cit.; KISTLER (Scott), *Al-Qaeda and Anarchism*, Tota Scriptura, Jan. 5, 2010; STOTT (Paul), *Anarchism, Terrorism Studies and Islamism*, Global Discourse, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2010, pp. 66-85; *Are Islamic Extremists like the Anarchists?*, Fabius Maximus, Dec. 14, 2009; WARM-FORK (Alderson), *Anarchism and Al-Qaeda*, Directionless Bones, Apr. 24, 2009; BINDER (Leonard), *Comment on Gelvin's Essay on Al-Qaeda and Anarchism*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 582-588; ESENWEIN (George), *Comments on James L. Gelvin's "Al-Qaeda and Anarchism: A Historian's Reply to Terrorology"*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 597-600; KELSAY (John), *Al-Qaida as a Muslim (Religio-Political) Movement Remarks on James L. Gelvin's "Al-Qaeda and Anarchism: A Historian's Reply to Terrorology"*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 601-605; JENSEN (Richard Bach), *Nineteenth Century Anarchist Terrorism: How Comparable to the Terrorism of Al-Qaeda?*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 589-596; DESAI (Meghnad), *Rethinking Islamism: The Ideology of the New Terror*, London: I.B. Tauris, 2007; LAQUEUR (Walter), *Anarchism and Al Qaeda*, Middle East Strategy at Harvard, Dec. 23, 2007; CRONIN (Audrey Kurth), *How Al-Qaida Ends: The Decline and Demise of Terrorist Groups*, International Security, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2006, pp. 7-48; RABASA (Angel) ET AL., *Beyond Al-Qaeda: The Outer Rings of the Terrorist Universe*, Part 2, Santa Monica: RAND, 2006; *For Jihadist, Read Anarchist*, Economist, Aug. 18, 2005; SEDGWICK (Mark), *Al-Qaeda and the Nature of Religious Terrorism*, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2004, pp. 795-814; *Is this the Man Who Inspired Bin Laden?*, Guardian, Nov. 1, 2001.

⁴ MARTEL (James R.), *Textual Conspiracies*, op. cit., p. 254.

⁵ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 176.

⁶ PAYNE (Stanley George), *On the Heuristic Value of the Concept of Political Religion and its Application*, Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2005, p. 167.

⁷ Quoted in JEPPESEN (Sandra) & NAZAR (Holly), *Genders and Sexualities in Anarchist Movements*, in KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *The Continuum Companion to Anarchism*, New York: Continuum, 2012, p. 162.

⁸ O'KEEFE (Stephen), *Preface*, in ROLLINS (L. A.), *The Myth of Natural Rights*, op. cit.

⁹ For some examples of the cult of personality among the anarchists, see KIZILKAYA (Emre), *Turkish Anarchists Turn to Kemalism*, Al-Monitor, Dec. 6, 2013; BANNISTER (Christopher), *Rival Durrutis: A Posthumous Cult of Personality*, Volunteer, Nov. 22, 2010; BANNISTER (Christopher), *The Rival Durrutis: The Posthumous Cult of Personality of Buenaventura Durruti, November, 1936- June, 1937*, Master's thesis, Newcastle University, 2009.

¹⁰ MISES (Ludwig von), *Socialism*, op. cit., p. 410. See also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Laissez-Faire Radical*, op. cit., p. 250.

¹¹ About the controversial relationship between Zionism and the ISIS, see SHAYLER (David), *Evidence Shows ISIS Is Just a Zionist Operation*, Neon Nettle, Sep. 20, 2014; ATZMON (Gilad), *ISIS, Scotland and the Politics of Mimicry*, MWC News, Sep.

18, 2014; DUKE (David Ernest), *Horrors of ISIS Created by Zionist Supremacy*, Aug. 22, 2014; YENKO (Athena), *ISIS Is a Zionist Plot; A Jew Is Leading the Group – Reports Claim*, International Business Times, Aug. 14, 2014; RAPAPORT (Emma), *“ISIS Is a Zionist Plot”: Security Official Suspended*, NL Times, Aug. 13, 2014; BEN-GEDALYAHU (Tzvi), *Dutch Justice Ministry Employee: ISIS a Zionist Conspiracy*, Jewish Press, Aug. 13, 2014.

¹² SHERLOCK (Michael), *Violent Christianity: Refuting the Christian Apologists at Come Reason Ministries*, July 7, 2014. See also *Answering Memes: Christianity Spread Through Violence (to Christians!)*, Come Reason Ministries, June 5, 2014.

¹³ Quoted in CAMPOS (Paul F.) ET AL., *Against the Law*, op. cit., p. 157.

¹⁴ Quoted in COBURN (Lorri), *Breaking Free: How Forgiveness and a Course in Miracles Can Set You Free*, Bloomington: Balboa, 2011, p. 25.

¹⁵ About these types of virus and hypothesis, see RAY (Darrel W.), *The God Virus: How Religion Infects Our Lives and Culture*, Bonner Springs: IPC Press, 2009; BOWKER (John), *Is God A Virus?: Genes, Culture, and Religion*, London: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge, 1995; STENGER (Victor John), *God: The Failed Hypothesis*, Amherst: Prometheus Books, 2007.

¹⁶ *If You Could experimentally Educate Children in Critical Thinking and Break the Cycle for one Generation, Religion Would Be Dead*, Skeptical Avenger, Sep. 19, 2013.

¹⁷ For example, see PLOTKIN (Sidney), *Veblen, Europe and Utopia*, History of European Ideas, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2014, pp. 245-258; DUARTE (Diogo), *Utopia*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 98-100; GHOCHE (Ralph), *Émile Zola's Volatile Utopia*, Journal of Architectural Education, Vol. 67, No. 1, 2013, pp. 32-38; MALLOCH (Margaret) & MUNRO (Bill) (ed.), *Crime, Critique and Utopia*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013; CLARK (John P.), *The Impossible Community*, op. cit., especially pp. 127-148; LI (Guangyi), *“New Year's Dream”*, op. cit.; DAVIS (Laurence) & KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *Anarchism and Utopianism*, op. cit.; KINNA (Ruth), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 122-181; SHUKAITIS (Stephen) & GRAEBER (David Rolfe) (ed.), op. cit.; BOZÓKI (András) & SÜKÖSD (Miklós), op. cit., pp. 104-105; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 33-34; BRONNER (Stephen Eric), *Modernism at the Barricades: Aesthetics, Politics, Utopia*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2012; BRADSHAW (Ross) (ed.), *Utopia*, Nottingham: Five Leaves, 2012; CHARLES (Alec), *The Meta-Utopian Metatext: The Deconstructive Dreams of Ulysses and Finnegans Wake*, Utopian Studies, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2012, pp. 472-503; MCGEOGH (Jared), *Romantic Anarche: The Philosophical and Literary Anarchism of William Godwin*, PhD thesis, University of Western Ontario, 2011; OGILVY (Jay), *Facing the Fold: From the Eclipse of Utopia to the Restoration of Hope*, Foresight, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2011, pp. 7-23; ANONYMOUS, *Anarchy Against Utopia!*, Anarchist Library, 2011; HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., especially pp. 149-161; HONEYWELL (Carissa), *Utopianism and Anarchism*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2007, pp. 239-254; LÖWY (Michael), *Morning Star: Surrealism, Marxism, Anarchism, Situationism, Utopia*, intr. by Donald LaCoss, Austin: University of Texas Press, 2009; HÄRMÄNMAA (Marja), *Beyond Anarchism: Marinetti's Futurist (Anti-)Utopia of Individualism and 'Artocracy'*, European Legacy, Vol. 14, No. 7, 2009, pp. 857-871; ANONYMOUS, *Brittle Utopias*, Anarchist Library, 2009; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Propulsive Utopia*, op. cit.; LOY (Taylor Andrew), *Anarchy in Critical Dystopias: An Anatomy of Rebellion*, Anarchist Library, 2008; CARLSSON (Chris), *Nowtopia*, op. cit.; CREAGH (Ronald), *Anarchism Is Back. We May Now Re(dis)cover Utopia*, Spaces of Utopia, No. 6, 2007, pp. 61-83; HERZOG (Don), *Romantic Anarchism and Pedestrian Liberalism*, Political Theory, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2007, pp. 313-333; CLARK (Samuel), *Living Without Domination The Possibility of an Anarchist Utopia*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007; PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchism: Utopian or Scientific?*, Utopian Mag, 2005; BLACK (Bob), *Views From Nowhere: Review of “Design Your Own Utopia”*, Anarchist Library, 2004; KOENIG (Brigitte Anne), *Law and Disorder at Home: Free Love, Free Speech, and the Search for An Anarchist Utopia*, Labor History, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2004, pp. 199-223; GREENWAY (Judy), *No Place for Women? Anti-Utopianism and the Utopian Politics of the 1890s*, Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography, Vol. 84, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 201-209; SUISSA (Judith), *Anarchism, Utopias and Philosophy of Education*, Journal of Philosophy of Education, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2001, pp. 627-646; ALSEN (Eberhard), *The New Romanticism: A Collection of Critical Essays*, New York: Garland, 2000; BLECHMAN (Max) (ed.), *Revolutionary Romanticism*, San Francisco: City Lights, 1999; BERNERI (Marie Louise), *Utopias of the English Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 1999; GIBSON (Tony), *Anarchism and Utopia*, Raven, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1994, pp. 364-369; LE GUIN (Ursula Kroeber), *The Dispossessed: An Ambiguous Utopia*, New York: Harper Paperbacks, 1991; LE GUIN (Ursula Kroeber), *A Non-Euclidean View of California as a Cold Place to Be*, Anarchist Library, 1982; LE GUIN (Ursula Kroeber), *The Dispossessed*, Anarchist Library, 1974; GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit.; LÖWY (Michael), *Revolution Against 'Progress': Walter Benjamin's Romantic Anarchism*, New Left Review, No. 1, Vol. 152, 1985, pp. 42-59; HOLZMAN (Michael), *Anarchism and Utopia: William Morris's News from Nowhere*, English Literary History, Vol. 51, No. 3, 1984, pp. 589-603; GOODWIN (Barbara) & TAYLOR (Keith), *The Politics of Utopia*, op. cit.; KELLY (Aileen), *Mikhail Bakunin: A Study in the Psychology and Politics of Utopianism*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1982; HARDY (Dennis), *Alternative Communities in Nineteenth*

Century England, op. cit.; LARGE (Stephen S.), *The Romance of Revolution in Japanese Anarchism and Communism during the Taishō Period*, Modern Asian Studies, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1977, pp. 441-467; COLLINGE (James), *Anarchism and Romanticism: Aspects of the Educational Thought of Herbert Read*, PhD thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 1976; KRAFFT (John M.), *Anarcho-Romanticism and the Metaphysics of Counterforce: Alex Comfort and Thomas Pynchon*, Paunch, No. 40-41, 1975, pp. 78-107; MORRIS (William), *News From Nowhere; or, An Epoch of Rest*, Anarchist Library, 1890; THOREAU (Henry David), *Paradise (to Be) Regained*, Anarchist Library, 1843.

¹⁸ See TELMISSANY (May), *The Utopian and Dystopian Functions of Tahrir Square*, Postcolonial Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2014, pp. 36-46; PODOSHEN (Jeffrey S.) ET AL., *Theoretical Reflections on Dystopian Consumer Culture: Black Metal*, Marketing Theory, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2014, pp. 207-227; HEYBACH (Jessica A.) & SHEFFIELD (Eric C.), *Dystopian Schools: Recovering Dewey's Radical Aesthetics in an Age of Utopia-Gone-Wrong*, Education and Culture, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2014, pp. 79-94; BASU (Balaka) ET AL. (ed.), *Contemporary Dystopian Fiction for Young Adults: Brave New Teenagers*, New York: Routledge, 2013; MANDELL (Megan), *Space, Place, and Identity in Yevgeny Zamyatin's We and J. G. Ballard's the Drowned World*, Master's thesis, Florida Atlantic University, 2013; MUTEKWA (Anias), "In This Wound of Life ...": *Dystopias and Dystopian Tropes in Chenjerai Hove's Red Hills of Home*, Journal of Literary Studies, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2013, pp. 98-115; AMES (Melissa), *Engaging "Apolitical" Adolescents: Analyzing the Popularity and Educational Potential of Dystopian Literature Post-9/11*, High School Journal, Vol. 97, No. 1, 2013, pp. 3-20; SCHAFFRAN (Alex), *Discourse and Dystopia, American Style*, City, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2013, pp. 130-148; CHARLES (Alec), *The Meta-Utopian Metatext*, op. cit.; WOLF (Werner), "What Would Happen If We Were No Longer Able to Narrate?" *Dystopian Speculations and Other Reflections on the Relevance of Narratives for Human Life*, Anglia, Vol. 131, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-16; HARRIS (Jerry), *Dystopian and Global Rebellion*, op. cit.; STOBIE (Cheryl), *Dystopian Dreams from South Africa: Lauren Beukes's Moxyland and Zoo City*, African Identities, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2012, pp. 367-380; RUBIN (Daniel Ian), *Mindcrime and Doublethink*, op. cit.; CHRISTENSEN (Christian), *Twitter Revolutions?*, op. cit., p. 156; RAHIMI (Babak), *The Agonistic Social Media*, op. cit., p. 173; AFOLAYAN (Sola) & IBITOYE (Charles), *A Marxist Interpretation of the Dystopian Society in the African Novel*, Critique, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2011, pp. 341-353; GHERAN (Niculae Liviu) & MONTEITH (Ken) (ed.), *Monstrous Space: The Other Frontier*, Witney: Inter-Disciplinary Press, 2010; WIDDICOMBE (Toby), *Utopia, Historiography, and the Paradox of the Ever-Present*, Rethinking History, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2009, pp. 287-316; HORAN (Thomas), *Revolutions from the Waist Downwards: Desire as Rebellion in Yevgeny Zamyatin's "We," George Orwell's "1984," and Aldous Huxley's "Brave New World"*, Extrapolation, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2007, pp. 314-339; BACCOLINI (Rafaella) & MOYLAN (Tom) (ed.), *Dark Horizons: Science Fiction and the Dystopian Imagination*, New York: Routledge, 2003; BAETEN (Guy), *Western Utopianism/Dystopianism and the Political Mediocrity of Critical Urban Research*, Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography, Vol. 84, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 143-152; GOTTLIEB (Erika), *Dystopian Fiction East and West: Universe of Terror and Trial*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2001; RABKIN (Eric S.) ET AL. (ed.), *No Place Else: Explorations in Utopian and Dystopian Fiction*, Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press, 1983; ORWELL (George), *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, op. cit.; TARRY (Dorothy Muriel), *The Utopian Vision of the Total State in the 20th Century with Special Reference to Zamyatin, Aldous Huxley and George Orwell*, PhD thesis, University of London, 1981; ZAMYATIN (Yevgeny Ivanovich), *We*, trans. by Mirra Ginsburg, New York: Bantam Books, 1972.

¹⁹ HONEYWELL (Carissa), *Utopianism and Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 243-244.

²⁰ Ibid., pp. 245, 252. About direct action, DIY, and their examples, see LINDTNER (Silvia), *Hackerspaces and the Internet of Things in China*, op. cit.; MARTIN-IVERSON (Sean), *Running in Circles: Performing Values in the Bandung 'Do It Yourself' Hardcore Scene*, Ethnomusicology Forum, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2014, pp. 184-207; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *DIY Urbanism as an Environmental Justice Strategy: The Case Study of Times Up! 1987-2012*, Theory in Action, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014, pp. 40-73; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *Bridging the Divide between Queer Theory Sage and Anarchism*, Sexuality, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 511-527; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *DIY Politics and Queer Activism*, in TEAM COLORS COLLECTIVE (ed.), *Uses of a Whirlwind: Movement, Movements, and Contemporary Radical Currents in the United States*, Oakland: AK Press, 2010, pp. 163-182; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *Play as World Making: From the Cockettes to the Germs, Gay Liberation to DIY Community Building*, in BERGER (Dan) (ed.), *The Hidden 1970s: Histories of Radicalism*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2010, pp. 177-194; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *Queer Political Performance and Protest: Play, Pleasure, and Social Movement*, New York: Routledge, 2010; GORDON (Uri) & GRIETZER (Ohal) (ed.), *Anarchists Against the Wall*, op. cit.; FEIGENBAUM (Anna), *Written in the Mud*, op. cit.; HEMPHILL (David) & LESKOWITZ (Shari), *DIY Activists: Communities of Practice, Cultural Dialogism, and Radical Knowledge Sharing*, Adult Education Quarterly, Vol. 63, No. 1, 2013, pp. 57-77; BARRETT (Dawson), *DIY Democracy: The Direct Action Politics of U.S. Punk Collectives*, American Studies, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2013, pp. 23-42; TAYLOR (Blair), *From Alterglobalization to Occupy Wall Street*, op. cit.; RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Antagonistic Violence*, op. cit.; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *The Surrealist Adventure and the Poetry of Direct Action*, Anarchist Library, 2011; NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism and Space*, op. cit.; LIEVROUW (Leah A.), *Alternative and Activist New Media*, op. cit.; JEPPESEN (Sandra), *The DIY Post-Punk Post-Situationist Politics of CrimethInc.*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2011, pp. 23-55; IBRAHIM

(Yousaf), *Political Distinction in the British Anti-Capitalist Movement*, *Sociology*, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2011, pp. 318-334; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *The Black Blocs Ten Years after Seattle*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Penser l'Action Directe des Black Blocs*, op. cit.; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Global Protesters Versus Global Elites: Are Direct Action and Deliberative Politics Compatible?*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2007, pp. 167-186; CULTON (Kenneth R.) & HOLTZMAN (Ben), *The Growth and Disruption of a "Free Space": Examining a Suburban Do It Yourself (DIY)*, *Space and Culture*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2010, pp. 270-284; DOBBS (Andrew), *Conspiracy or Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2010; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Direct Action: An Ethnography*, Oakland: AK Press, 2009; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *The Shock of Victory*, Anarchist Library, 2007; CLEYRE (Voltaire de), *Direct Action*, Anarchist Library, 2009; CORNELL (Andrew), *Anarchism and the Movement for a New Society: Direct Action and Prefigurative Community in the 1970s and 80s*, *Perspectives on Anarchist Theory*, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; COGAN (Brian), *"Do They Owe Us a Living?"*, op. cit.; NESBITT (Rebecca Gordon), *The Reality of My Desires*, *Variant*, No. 30, 2007, pp. 3-5; TRAPESE COLLECTIVE (ed.), *Do It Yourself: A Handbook for Changing our World*, London and Ann Arbor: Pluto Press, 2007; KERR (Gregor), *Direct Action Gets the Goods, But How?*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 12, 2007, pp. 15-16; BORUM (Randy) & TILBY (Chuck), *Anarchist Direct Actions: A Challenge for Law Enforcement*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2005, pp. 201-223; BLACKSTONE (Lee Robert), *A New Kind of English: Cultural Variance, Citizenship and DIY Politics amongst the Exodus Collective in England*, Anarchist Library, 2005; ANONYMOUS, *The Battle Against Bayer: The End ... or Is It?*, Anarchist Library, 2004; FLOOD (Andrew), *Direct Action against the War in Ireland*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 7, 2003, pp. 6-10; POUGET (Emile), *Direct Action*, London: Kate Sharpley Library, 2003; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles on Animal and Earth Liberation Struggles from "Green Anarchist"*, Anarchist Library, 2003; SEEL (Benjamin) ET AL. (ed.), *Direct Action in British Environmentalism*, London: Routledge, 2000; MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Reclaim the Cities: From Protest to Popular Power*, Anarchist Library, 2000; MCKAY (George), *DiY Culture: Party and Protest in Nineties Britain*, *Social Anarchism*, No. 27, 2000; MCKAY (George) (ed.), *DIY Culture: Party and Protest in Nineties Britain*, London: Verso, 1998; CROPPER (Mick), *A Personal Experience of Direct Action*, *Raven*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1997, pp. 378-380; SPARROW (Rob), *Anarchist Politics and Direct Action*, Anarchist Library, 1997; WELSH (Ian) & MCLEISH (Phil), *The European Road to Nowhere: Anarchism and Direct Action against the UK Roads Programme*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1996, pp. 27-44; EPSTEIN (Barbara), *Political Protest and Cultural Revolution: Nonviolent Direct Action in the 1970s and 1980s*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1991; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Ecodefense: A Field Guide to Monkeywrenching*, Anarchist Library, 1993; JULLIARD (Jacques), *Fernand Pelloutier et les Origines du Syndicalisme d'Action Directe*, Paris: Seuil, 1971; BARRETT (George), *The Anarchist Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 1920.

²¹ See LICHTMAN (Sarah A.), *Do-It-Yourself Security: Safety, Gender, and the Home Fallout Shelter in Cold War America*, *Journal of Design History*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2006, pp. 39-55.

²² MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., p. 171.

²³ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1986, pp. 237, 283.

²⁴ About this form of culture, see ADAMS (Jason M.), *Non-Western Anarchisms*, op. cit.; DONAGHEY (Jim), *Laura Portwood-Stacer, Lifestyle Politics and Radical Activism*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014; PORTWOOD-STACER (Laura), *Lifestyle Politics and Radical Activism*, London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2013; WHITE (Stuart), *Social Anarchism, Lifestyle Anarchism, and the Anarchism of Colin Ward*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2011, pp. 92-104; DAVIS (Laurence), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism: An Unhelpful Dichotomy*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2010, pp. 62-82; GRAY (Maggie), *'A Fistful of Dead Roses ...': Comics as Cultural Resistance: Alan Moore and David Lloyd's V for Vendetta*, *Journal of Graphic Novels and Comics*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2010, pp. 31-49; JUN (Nathan J.), *Toward an Anarchist Film Theory: Reflections on the Politics of Cinema*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2010, pp. 139-161; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Anarchy Works*, Anarchist Library, 2010; GIRI (Ram Ashish), *Cultural Anarchism*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Dialog on Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ARAGORN!, *Toward a Non European Anarchism or Why a Movement Is the Last Thing that People of Color Need*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ARAGORN!, *A Non-European Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 174-176; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism and Political Theory*, op. cit.; EVREN (Süreyya), *Postanarchism and the '3rd World'*, op. cit.; SHAFFER (Kirwin Ray), *Anarchism and Countercultural Politics in Early Twentieth-Century Cuba*, Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2005; SHAFFER (Kirwin Ray), *The Radical Muse: Women and Anarchism in Early-Twentieth-Century Cuba*, *Cuban Studies*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2003, pp. 130-153; BLACKSTONE (Lee Robert), *A New Kind of English*, op. cit.; WESOŁOWSKI (Zbigniew), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism*, op. cit.; EALHAM (Chris), *Class, Culture and Conflict in Barcelona, 1898-1937*, op. cit.; PILZ (Erich), *China, Kropotkin, and Anarchism*, op. cit.; SCHLEUNING (Neala), *Anarchy and Culture: The Aesthetic Politics of Modernism by David Weir*, book review, *Social Anarchism*, No. 26, 1998; SIRY (Joseph M.), *Chicago's Auditorium Building: Opera or Anarchism*, *Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians*, Vol. 57, No. 2, 1998, pp. 128-159; WEIR (David), *Anarchy and Culture: The Aesthetic Politics of Modernism*, Amherst: University of

- Massachusetts Press, 1997; BEVIR (Mark), *The Rise of Ethical Anarchism in Britain, 1885-1900*, Historical Research, Vol. 69, No. 169, 1996, pp. 143-165; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, op. cit.
- ²⁵ JAMES (Robin), *Neoliberal Noise*, op. cit., p. 138; FISHER (Mark), *Capitalist Realism: Is There No Alternative?*, London: Zero Books, 2009; RANCÈRE (Jacques), *Disagreement: Politics and Philosophy*, trans. by Jacqueline Rose, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1999.
- ²⁶ ANONYMOUS, *Brittle Utopias*, op. cit., p. 2.
- ²⁷ GIDDINGS (Larry W.), *Why Anti-Authoritarian?*, Anarchist Library, 1990, p. 10.
- ²⁸ BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, op. cit., p. 42.
- ²⁹ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 108. See also MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), op. cit., p. 3725.
- ³⁰ ANONYMOUS, *Anarchy Against Utopia!*, op. cit., p. 2.
- ³¹ Ibid., p. 2.
- ³² MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., p. 171; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 232.
- ³³ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 244.
- ³⁴ MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 103.
- ³⁵ See MENKHAUS (Ken), *Calm between the Storms? Patterns of Political Violence in Somalia, 1950-1980*, Journal of Eastern African Studies, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2014, pp. 558-572; ZAYLAI (Hassan), *Somalia: A Little Advice for President Hassan Sheikh Mahmoud!*, Garowe Online, Sep. 11, 2014; SMITH (David), *African Union Troops in Somalia Accused of Gang Rapes*, Irish Times, Sep. 9, 2014; DAHIR (Abdirashid M.), *Somalia: After Col. Barre Hirale Concession, what's Next for Jubaland?*, Garowe Online, Sep. 6, 2014; WELLS (Crystal), *Somalia's Future Hinges on Its Youth*, Huffington Post, Aug. 26, 2014; *Somalia Profile*, BBC News, July 16, 2014; CLEMENS (Victor), *A Skeptic's Comment on Somalia*, Daily Anarchist, Apr. 22, 2014; FISHER (Matthew), *Al-Shabab Terrorist Group Rose from Somalia's Long History of Violence and Anarchy*, Canada.com, Sep. 23, 2013; *Is Somalia an Example of an Anarchy, and If Not Why?*, Anarchy 101, Mar. 20, 2012; SCHLEE (Günther), *Customary Law and the Joys of Statelessness*, op. cit.; BARKER (David), *The Law according to the Somalis*, Daily Anarchist, Nov. 16, 2011; MURPHY (Robert Patrick), *Anarchy in Somalia*, Mises Daily, June 30, 2011; *Somalia Counting the Cost of Anarchy*, BBC News, Jan. 26, 2011; *Somalia: 20 Years of Anarchy*, BBC News, Jan. 26, 2011; KNIGHT III (Alex R.), *The Truth about Somalia and Anarchy*, Center for a Stateless Society, Oct. 7, 2009; LEESON (Peter T.), *Anarchy Unbound, or Why Self-Governance Works Better than You Think*, CATO Unbound, Aug. 6, 2007; LEESON (Peter T.), *Better off Stateless: Somalia before and after Government Collapse*, Journal of Comparative Economics, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2007, pp. 689-710; LEESON (Peter T.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Is Government Inevitable?*, op. cit.; LENNARTZ (Norbert), *The Law of the Somalis: A Stable Foundation for Economic Development in the Horn of Africa by Michael van Notten*; Spencer Heath MacCallum, ed., Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2007, pp. 129-133; NOTTEN (Michael van), *The Laws of the Somalis: A Stable Foundation for Economic Development in the Horn of Africa*, ed. by Spencer Heath MacCallum, Trenton: Red Sea Press, 2005; POWELL (Benjamin W.), *Somali "Anarchy" Is More Orderly than Somali Government*, Newsroom, Independent Institute, Dec. 22, 2006; POWELL (Benjamin W.) ET AL., *Somalia After State Collapse: Chaos or Improvement?*, Independent Institute Working Paper Number 64, No. 30, 2006; *Living in Somalia's Anarchy*, BBC News, Nov. 18, 2004; LITTLE (Peter D.), *Somalia: Economy Without State*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2003; GROS (Jean-Germain), *Towards a Taxonomy of Failed States in the New World Order*, op. cit.
- ³⁶ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., p. 1055.
- ³⁷ About this movement, see ANSELL (Christopher K.), *Schism and Solidarity in Social Movements: The Politics of Labor in the French Third Republic*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004, pp. 102-110; DERFLER (Leslie), *Paul Lafargue and the Flowering of French Socialism, 1882-1911*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1998, pp. 71 ff.; AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, op. cit., pp. 240-246; KNOPE (Karen Rilton), *The Possibilist Party and French Socialism, 1871-1905*, PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1962.
- ³⁸ READ (Herbert), *The Paradox of Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 2.
- ³⁹ TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 6-9, 80-81, 33, 110, 109.
- ⁴⁰ See HIGH (Holly), *Anthropology and Anarchy: Romance, Horror or Science Fiction?*, Critique of Anthropology, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 93-108; SNEATH (David), *The Headless State: Aristocratic Orders, Kinship Society, and Misrepresentations of Nomadic Inner Asia*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2007.

- ⁴¹ About this type of society, see VAHRENKAMP (Richard), *The Logistic Revolution: The Rise of Logistics in the Mass Consumption Society*, Brandsberg: Josef Eul Verlag GmbH, 2012; PULJU (Rebecca J.), *Women and Mass Consumer Society in Postwar France*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011; WIRSCHING (Andreas), *From Work to Consumption. Transatlantic Visions of Individuality in Modern Mass Society*, Contemporary European History, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-26; THOMSON (Irene Taviss), *The Theory That Won't Die: From Mass Society to the Decline of Social Capital*, Sociological Forum, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2005, pp. 421-448; MATSUYAMA (Kiminori), *The Rise of Mass Consumption Societies*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 110, No. 5, 2002, pp. 1035-1070; HAMILTON (Richard F.), *Mass Society, Pluralism, and Bureaucracy: Explication, Assessment, and Commentary*, Westport and London: Praeger, 2001; COOK (Deborah), *Adorno on Mass Societies*, Journal of Social Philosophy, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2001, pp. 35-52; SHILS (Edward Albert), *The Constitution of Society*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982, pp. 69-89; BIDDISS (Michael D.), *The Age of the Masses: Ideas and Society in Europe since 1870*, Hassocks: Harvester, 1977; SWINGWOOD (Alan), *The Myth of Mass Culture*, Atlantic Highlands: Humanities Press, 1977; GINER (Salvador), *Mass Society*, London: M. Robertson, 1976; HALEBSKY (Sandor), *Mass Society and Political Conflict: Toward a Reconstruction of Theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976; SINGER (Benjamin D.), *Mass Society, Mass Media and the Transformation of Minority Identity*, British Journal of Sociology, Vol. 24, No. 2, 1973, pp. 140-150; KATONA (George), *The Mass Consumption Society*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964; WILENSKY (Harold L.), *Mass Society and Mass Culture: Interdependence or Independence?*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1964, pp. 173-197; MARCEL (Gabriel), *Man against Mass Society*, trans. by G.S. Fraser, foreword by Donald Mackinnon, Chicago: Henry Regnery, 1962; GUSFIELD (Joseph R.), *Mass Society and Extremist Politics*, American Sociological Review, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1962, pp. 19-30.
- ⁴² See HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 59; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., p. 130; VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 112-114, 116; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 4, 71; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, 2nd ed., Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1986; CARNEIRO (Robert L.), *Political Expansion as an Expression of the Principle of Competitive Exclusion*, in COHEN (Ronald) & SERVICE (Elman Rogers) (ed.), *Origins of the State: The Anthropology of Political Evolution*, Philadelphia: Institute for the Study of Human Issues, 1978, p. 219; BALDWIN (Roger Nash) (ed.), *Kropotkin's Revolutionary Pamphlets*, op. cit.; KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Mutual Aid*, op. cit.
- ⁴³ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Democracy*, op. cit., p. 8; ALKASSAR (Ammar) & VOLKAMER (Melanie) (ed.), *E-Voting and Identity: First International Conference, VOTE-ID 2007, Bochum, Germany, October 4-5, 2007: Revised Selected Papers*, Berlin: Springer, 2007; OATES (Sarah) ET AL. (ed.), *The Internet and Politics: Citizens, Voters and Activists*, London: Routledge, 2006; MCCLUSKEY (Ann), *The Internet and Democracy: Beyond the Techno-Libertarian Rhetoric*, Variant, No. 26, 2006, pp. 25-28; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 596.
- ⁴⁴ BOYER (Dominic), *Simply the Best: Parody and Political Sincerity in Iceland*, American Ethnologist, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2013, p. 284.
- ⁴⁵ See ECHO, *Rejectionary Anarchist Critiques*, Anarchist Library, 2013, pp. 18-19.
- ⁴⁶ STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., pp. 114, 158.
- ⁴⁷ CIANCABILLA (Giuseppe), *Against Organisation*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 2. See also VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists*, op. cit., p. 18.
- ⁴⁸ GALLEANI (Luigi), *The Principal of Organization to the Light of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 2.
- ⁴⁹ GALLEANI (Luigi), *The Principal of Organization to the Light of Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 6; GALLEANI (Luigi), *Workers' Organizations*, Anarchist Library, 1925, p. 3.
- ⁵⁰ GUILLAUME (James), *Ideas on Social Organization*, Anarchist Library, 1876, p. 6.
- ⁵¹ GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit., p. 79.
- ⁵² About the questions of politics and organization among the anarchists, see MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 20-26; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Culture of Individualist Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 291-304.
- ⁵³ BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and the Critique of Law*, op. cit., pp. 287-289.
- ⁵⁴ MCQUINN (Jason), *Against Organizationalism: Anarchism as both Theory and Critique of Organization*, Anarchist Library, 2012, pp. 2-3. See also MCQUINN (Jason), *A Review of the "Tyranny of Structurelessness": An Organizationalist Repudiation of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; DUDA (John), *Cybernetics, Anarchism and Self-Organisation*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 52-72.
- ⁵⁵ VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anarchy and Organization*, op. cit., pp. 3-6, 9.

⁵⁶ Ibid., pp. 10-13.

⁵⁷ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2601-2604; DUPUIS-DÉRI (Francis), *Anarchism and the Politics of Affinity Groups*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2010, pp. 40, 54. About “the affinity group”, see also ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Eclético*, Anarchist Library, 2013; RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Antagonistic Violence*, op. cit.; FERNANDEZ (Luis A.), *Policing Dissident*, op. cit., pp. 55 ff.; STARR (Amory) ET AL., *Shutting down the Streets*, op. cit., pp. 106, 125-126, 143; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 60, 63; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 552; AVRICH (Paul), *Anarchist Portraits*, op. cit., p. 252; MURRAY (Daniel), *Democratic Insurrection: Constructing the Common in Global Resistance*, *Millennium*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 461-482; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from Insurrection*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Essays from Willful Disobedience Volume 1-2*, Anarchist Library, 2009; CLARK (John P.), *Bridging the Unbridgeable Chasm: On Bookchin’s Critique of the Anarchist Tradition*, Anarchist Library, 2008; DOCTRINE (Liana), *Articles from Anarchy: A Journal of Desire Armed*, Anarchist Library, 2006-2008; DELEON (Abraham P.), *Oh No, Not the “A” Word! Proposing an “Anarchism” for Education*, op. cit.; ANTI-RACIST ACTION & THE GREEN MOUNTAIN ANARCHIST COLLECTIVE, *Black Bloc Tactics Communiqué*, op. cit.; DAY (Richard J. F.), *From Hegemony to Affinity*, *Cultural Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2004, pp. 716-748; CURIOUS GEORGE BRIGADE, *The End of Arrogance: Decentralization and Anarchist Organizing*, Anarchist Library, 2002; ANONYMOUS, *The Affinity Group*, Anarchist Library, 2001; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience Volume 2, Number 12*, Anarchist Library, 2001; ANONYMOUS, *The Anarchist Ethic in the Age of the Anti-Globalization Movement*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience Volume 2, Number 6*, Anarchist Library, 2001; MILSTEIN (Cindy), *Reclaim the Cities*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Insurrectionalist Anarchism – Part One*, Anarchist Library, 1999; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Apart from the Obvious Exceptions*, Anarchist Library, 1997; MIDNIGHT NOTES, *Strange Victories*, Anarchist Library, 1985; UP AGAINST THE WALL MOTHERFUCKERS, *The Brown Paper Bag Theory of Affinity Groups*, Anarchist Library, late 1960s; EWALD (Shawn) (ed.), *Anarchism in Action: Methods, Tactics, Skills, and Ideas*, 2nd ed.

⁵⁸ *Platformism: An Introduction*, Libcom, Oct. 12, 2006.

⁵⁹ VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reply by Several Russian Anarchists to the ‘Platform’*, op. cit., pp. 3-5, 7-12.

⁶⁰ SKIRDA (Alexandre), *Facing the Enemy: A History of Anarchist Organization from Proudhon to May 1968*, trans. by Paul Sharkey, London: AK Press, 2002, p. 125.

⁶¹ SKIRDA (Alexandre), *Facing the Enemy*, op. cit., pp. 125-126.

⁶² MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2616-2617.

⁶³ About platformism, neo-platformism, and their criticisms, see PRICE (Wayne), *Should Anarchists Raise a Program of Demands?*, op. cit.; PRICE (Wayne), *Insurrectional Anarchism vs. Class-Struggle Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2012; PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchist Organisation not Leninist Vanguardism*, op. cit.; *Supplement to the Organisational Platform: Questions and Answers*, Libcom, Dec. 26, 2005; JARACH (Lawrence), *Anarcho-Communists, Platformism, and Dual Power: Innovation or Travesty?*, Anarchist Library, 2012; *Especifismo*, Libcom, Sep. 9, 2012; ANARCHIST FEDERATION OF RIO DE JANEIRO, *Especifismo: Anarchist Organisation, Historical Perspectives and Influences*, *Anarkismo*, Mar. 5, 2012; ANARCHIST FEDERATION OF RIO DE JANEIRO, *Social Anarchism and Organisation Anarchist*, trans. Jonathan Payn, Fordsburg: Zabalaza Books, 2008; RECLUSE (Alias), *The Qestion of Neoplatformism: Critiques, Mystifications, Solutions – Roi Ferreiro*, Libcom, July 28, 2011; ROCHESTER RED AND BLACK, *Platform*, Anarchist Library, 2011; SHANNON (Deric), *A Flame to Extinguish Capital: Review of Black Flame*, Anarchist Library, 2010; COMMON CAUSE OTTAWA, *Organized Anarchism in the Anti-Capitalist Struggle*, Anarchist Library, 2010; GAMBONE (Larry), *Principled Bakuninism*, *Anarchism and the Platformist Tradition*, June 28, 2010; CORRÊA (Felipe), *Especifismo and Synthesis/Synthesism*, *Anarchism and the Platformist Tradition*, June 10, 2010; WEAVER (Adam), *Especifismo: The Anarchist Praxis of Building Popular Movements and Revolutionary Organization in South America*, *Anarchism and the Platformist Tradition*, June 10, 2010; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame: The Revolutionary Class Politics of Anarchism and Syndicalism*, Oakland: AK Press, 2009; BLACK (Bob), *Wooden Shoes or Platform Shoes?: On the “Organizational Platform of the Libertarian Communists”*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCQUINN (Jason), *“Facing the Enemy”: A Platformist Interpretation of the History of Anarchist Organization*, Anarchist Library, 2009; PENDLEBURY (James), *Tangled Threads of Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2009; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria) & THE COMRADES OF KRONSTADT EDITIONS, *Worker’s Autonomy*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2610-2622; MCKAY (Iain), *Letters to Anarchy on Platformism*, Anarchist Writers, Mar. 3, 2009; *The Problem of Organisation and the Notion of Synthesis*, Libcom, Dec. 26, 2005; ANDREWF, *Organisational Platform of the General Union of Anarchists (Draft)*, Libcom, Apr. 4, 2005; *Manifesto of Libertarian Communism – Georges Fontenis*, Libcom, Apr. 4, 2005; *Platformism and Bolshevism*, *International Bolshevik Tendency*, 2002; PHEBUS (Nicolas), *As Far As Organization Goes: We Are Platformists*, Anarchist Library, 2001; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 110; FONTENIS

(Georges), *Manifesto of Libertarian Communism*, Anarchist Library, 1953; MALATESTA (Errico) & MAKHNO (Nestor), *About the Platform*, Anarchist Library, 1927-1929; MALATESTA (Errico), *Anarchism and Organization*, Anarchist Library, 1897; ISIDINE (Maria), *Organisation and Party*, Plus Loin, No. 36-37, Mar./Apr. 1928; ARSHINOV (Peter), *Elements Old and New in Anarchism: A Reply to Maria Isidine*, Nov.-Dec. 1928; FABBRI (Luigi), *About a Project for Anarchist Organisation*, Il Martello (New York), Sept. 17/24, 1927; DIELO TRUDA (WORKERS' CAUSE), *Organisational Platform of the Libertarian Communists*, Anarchist Library, 1926; *Anarchism and the Platformist Tradition: An Archive of Writings on the Platformist Tradition within Anarchism*, Anarchist Platform.

⁶⁴ BATALO (Klas), *Anarchist Synthesis*, Libcom, Oct. 4, 2012; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2605-2606; *What Is the Difference between Synthesist Anarchism and Anarchism Without Adjectives?*, *Anarchy* 101, 2011.

⁶⁵ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2604-2607.

⁶⁶ FAURE (Sébastien), *The Anarchist Synthesis*, op. cit., p. 6. See also FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, op. cit., pp. 2708-2711.

⁶⁷ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2607-2608. About the anarchist synthesis, see also *The Problem of Organisation and the Notion of Synthesis*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from Insurrection*, op. cit.; G. C., *Beyond the Structure of Synthesis*, Anarchist Library, 2009; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *From Riot to Insurrection: Analysis for an Anarchist Perspective against Post-Industrial Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 1988.

⁶⁸ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 2623.

⁶⁹ RICE (Wayne), *What Is Class Struggle Anarchism?*, Anarchist Library, 2007, pp. 5-6, 17.

⁷⁰ WORKERS' SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *Why Class Struggle and Revolution From Below? And Why Do We Oppose Capitalism and the State?*, Anarchist Library, 2005, pp. 4, 9.

⁷¹ About anarchist insurrectionalism and its examples, see *Greece: Revolutionary Struggle Claims Responsibility for Car Bomb Explosion in Athens City Centre*, *Contra Info*, Apr. 25, 2014; PRICE (Wayne), *Insurrectional Anarchism vs. Class-Struggle Anarchism*, op. cit.; ECHO, *Philoclasticism: The Affinity to Destruction*, Anarchist Library, 2013; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Operation Splash Back! Queering Animal Liberation Through the Contributions of Neo-Insurrectionist Queers*, *Journal for Critical Animal Studies*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2012, pp. 80-105; LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Anarchism and Academia, Insurrection and Illegality*, op. cit.; DO OR DIE, *Insurrectionary Anarchy: Organising for Attack!*, Anarchist Library, 2012; DO OR DIE, *Down with the Empire! Up with the Spring!*, Anarchist Library, 2003; DO OR DIE, *The Luddites War on Industry: A Story of Machine Smashing and Spies*, Anarchist Library, 1997; SUBVERSIVE ENERGY, *Beyond Animal Liberation*, Anarchist Library, 2012; WILLIAMS (Leonard) & THOMSON (Brad), *The Allure of Insurrection*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 1, 2011, pp. 265-289; NOYS (Benjamin), *The Savage Ontology of Insurrection: Negativity, Life, and Anarchy*, *Postanarchism Seminar*, Athens, Nov. 27-28, 2011; EANELLI (Tegan), *Bash Back! Is Dead; Bash Back Forever!*, Anarchist Library, 2007-2011; MCKAY (Iain), *The Coming Insurrection*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2011, pp. 124-126; MACHETE, *The Insurrection and Its Double*, Anarchist Library, 2011; *Autonomous Base Nucleus*, UK IndyMedia, Nov. 20, 2011; RODRIGUEZ (Gustavo), *Illegal Anarchism*, op. cit.; GOURNAS (Kostas) ET AL., *Political Letter to Society: Letters from the Imprisoned Members of the Revolutionary Struggle*, Anarchist Library, 2010; TUCKER (Kevin), *The Failure of Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2010; TUCKER (Kevin), *Revolution and/or Insurrection: Some Thoughts on Tearing this Muthafucka Down*, Anarchist Library, 2009; FILISS (John), *Interview with John Moore*, Anarchist Library, 2010; CURIOUS GEORGE BRIGADE, *Insurrectionary Mutual Aid*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ANONYMOUS, *On Sabotage as One of the Fine Arts: A Contribution to the Topic of the Theory of the Practice of Sabotage*, Anarchist Library, 2009; INVISIBLE COMMITTEE, *The Coming Insurrection*, Los Angeles: Semiotext(e), 2009; CANENERO, *The Fullness of a Struggle without Adjectives*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ANONYMOUS, *Introduction to the Apocalypse*, Anarchist Library, 2009; K (Sasha), *Some Notes on Insurrectionary Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; K (Sasha), *Insurrectionary Practice and Capitalist Transformation*, Anarchist Library, 2005; TOSCANO (Alberto), *The War against Pre-Terrorism: The Tarnac 9 and The Coming Insurrection*, *Radical Philosophy*, No. 154, 2009; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; O.V., *Autonomous Base Nucleus*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MANFREDONIA (Gaetano), *Anarchisme et Changement Social: Insurrectionnalisme, Syndicalisme, Éducationnisme-Réalisateur*, Lyon: Atelier de Création Libertaire, 2007; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from Insurrection*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Insurrection vs. Organization*, Anarchist Library, 2007; BLACK (Joe), *Anarchism, Insurrections and Insurrectionalism*, *Infoshop*, July 19, 2006; SICK (Collin), *Notes on the article "Anarchism, Insurrections and Insurrectionalism"*, *Infoshop*, Dec. 28, 2006; DUPONT (Frère), *Critique of Chrisso and Odeteo's BARBARIANS*, Anarchist Library, 2004; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anti-Civ Gatherings: Reports from "Green Anarchist"*, Anarchist Library, 2004; CRISSO AND ODOTEIO, *Barbarians: The Disordered Insurgence*, Anarchist Library, 2003; JONES (Flint), *Bringing Class Struggle Up-to-Date*, Anarchist Library, 2002; SKIRDA (Alexandre), *Facing the Enemy*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The Marini Trial*, Anarchist Library, 2002; ALBEROLA (Octavio), *Baja California: Attempted Insurrections*,

Anarchist Library, 1995; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Insurrectionalist Anarchism*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *The Insurrectional Project*, Anarchist Library, 1998; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Apart from the Obvious Exceptions*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *The Anarchist Tension*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *For an Anti-Authoritarian Insurrectionalist International*, Anarchist Library, 1993; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *From Riot to Insurrection*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Why Insurrection?*, Anarchist Library, 1982; ROOUM (Donald) & FREEDOM PRESS (ed.), *What Is Anarchism? An Introduction*, Anarchist Library, 1995, pp. 14, 34-35; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Revolutionary Solidarity*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The Angry Brigade: Documents and Chronology, 1967-1984*, Anarchist Library, 1985; DUBH (Bratach), *Armed Struggle in Italy 1976-78*, Anarchist Library, 1979; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Wildcat: Dodge Truck June 1974*, Anarchist Library, 1974; SITUATIONIST INTERNATIONAL, *The Decline and Fall of the Spectacle-Commodity Economy*, Anarchist Library, 1965.

⁷² PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchist Organisation: Why It Is Failing*, Anarchist Library, 1998, pp. 9, 17-18.

⁷³ Regarding the think-tanks related to the free market anarchists, for example, see Ludwig von Mises Institute, Molinari Institute, Locke Institute, Adam Smith Institute, Thoreau Institute, Cato Institute, Independent Institute, Daily Anarchist, Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty, Laissez Faire Club, Laissez-Faire Institute, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Center for a Stateless Society, Advocates for Self-Government, Free State Project, Americans for Limited Government, Center for Small Government, Institute for Justice, Institute for Humane Studies, Foundation for Economic Education, Libertarians for Life, Outright Libertarians, Libertarian International, International Society for Individual Liberty, Ladies of Liberty Alliance, Libertarian Futurist Society, Seattle Anarcho-Capitalism, Libertarian Party, Republican Liberty Caucus, and Young Americans for Liberty.

⁷⁴ For example, see Libcom, Anarchist Library, North American Anarchist Studies Network, Anarchist Studies Network, Institute for Anarchist Studies, Zabalaza Anarchist Communist Front, Common Struggle, Libertarian Communist Organization, Union Communiste Libertaire, Anarchist Communist Federation, All London Anarchist Revolutionary Mob, Workers' Solidarity Movement, Red and Black Café, and Sect of Revolutionaries or Rebel Sect.

⁷⁵ See NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, op. cit., pp. 189-190.

⁷⁶ Quoted in ANARCHIST FEDERATION OF RIO DE JANEIRO, *Social Anarchism and Organisation Anarchist*, op. cit., p. 2.

⁷⁷ About these problems and their solutions in anarchism, see COYNE (Christopher J.) ET AL., *A Note on the Market Provision of National Defense*, Journal of Private Enterprise, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2014, pp. 51-55; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., pp. 216-218; DANNESKJÖLD (Robert), *Free Rider Problems in Insurance-Based Private Defense*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 509-525; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 39 ff.; TAYLOR (Michael), *Anarchy and Cooperation*, op. cit.; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy, State and Public Choice*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense: Essays on the Theory and History of Security Production*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2003; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Fallacies of the Public Goods Theory and the Production of Security*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1989, pp. 27-46; SKIRDA (Alexandre), *Facing the Enemy*, op. cit., pp. 216, 222-223; PRESTON (Keith), *An Anarchist National Defense and Foreign Policy*, Attack the System, 2002; LOADER (Ian) & WALKER (Neil), *Policing as a Public Good: Reconstituting the Connections between Policing and the State*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2001, pp. 9-35; NEEMAN (Zvika), *The Freedom to Contract and the Free-Rider Problem*, Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1999, pp. 685-703; COWEN (Tyler), *Law as a Public Good*, Economics and Philosophy, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1992, pp. 249-267; HUMMEL (Jeffrey Rogers), *National Goods Versus Public Goods: Defense, Disarmament, and Free Riders*, Review of Austrian Economics, Vol. 4, 1990, pp. 88-122; OSTERFELD (David), *Anarchism and the Public Goods Issue: Law, Courts, and the Police*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1989, pp. 47-68; JASAY (Anthony de), *Social Contract, Free Ride: A Study of Public Goods Problem*, London: Oxford University Press, 1989; PASOUR, JR. (Ernest C.), *The Free Rider as a Basis for Government Intervention*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1981, pp. 453-464.

⁷⁸ The kibbutz nonetheless remained exclusively a Jewish settlement in Palestine. BUTLER (Judith), *Palestine, State Politics and the Anarchist Impasse*, op. cit., p. 211.

⁷⁹ Concerning the kibbutzim, see TURCATO (Davide), *Making Sense of Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 229-238; TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 37, 104, 120-125, 169; AMSTER (Randall), *A Living Revolution: Anarchism in the Kibbutz Movement*, book review, Shofar: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Jewish Studies, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2011, pp. 175-177; *Repopulation and Rising Productivity of Kibbutzim due to Capitalism? Please NO!*, Libcom, Sep. 2, 2011; HORROX (James), *Gustav Landauer (1870-1919)*, Anarchist Library, 2011; HORROX (James), *A Living Revolution: Anarchism in the Kibbutz Movement*, Oakland: AK Press, 2009; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Palestine, Mon Amour*, op. cit.; RUFFLE (Bradley J.) & SOSIS (Richard), *Cooperation and the In-Group-Out-Group Bias: A Field Test on Israeli Kibbutz Members and City Residents*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2006, pp. 147-163; BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Anarchistes, le Sionisme et la Naissance de l'Etat d'Israël*, op. cit.; BOULOUQUE (Sylvain), *Les Paradoxes des Anarchistes Face au Sionisme*

et à la Naissance de l'État d'Israël, op. cit.; SIMONS (Tal) & INGRAM (Paul), *Enemies of the State: The Interdependence of Institutional Forms and the Ecology of the Kibbutz, 1910-1997*, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 48, No. 4, 2003, pp. 592-621; TURNIANSKY (Meir), *Kibbutz Samar*, Anarchist Library, 1997; YASSOUR (Avraham), *Gustav Landauer*, op. cit.; SEKELJ (Laslo), *Has Anarcho-Cummnism a Future?*, Raven, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1990, p. 19; YASSOUR (Avraham), *Topos and Utopia in Landauer's and Buber's Social Philosophy*, op. cit.; GOODWIN (Barbara) & TAYLOR (Keith), *The Politics of Utopia: A Study in Theory and Practice*, London: Hutchinson, 1982, pp. 185, 196-201; ERASMUS (Charles J.), *In Search of the Common Good: Utopian Experiments Past and Future*, New York: Free Press, 1977; CHRISTIE (Stuart) & MELTZER (Albert), *The Floodgates of Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 1970, pp. 25, 36; KANTER (Rosabeth Moss), *Commitment Community: Communes and Utopias in Sociological Perspective*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1972; VEYSEY (Laurence), *The Communal Experience: Anarchist and Mystical Counter-Cultures in America*, New York: Harper and Row, 1973; MINISTRY OF LABOUR, *Statutes of the Kibbutzim: Proposed Model*, Jerusalem: Ministry of Labour, Department of Co-operation, 1966.

⁸⁰ MALKIEL (David), *The Tenuous Thread: A Venetian Lawyer's Apology for Jewish Self-Government in the Seventeenth Century*, Association for Jewish Studies Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1987, p. 223.

⁸¹ See DURKHEIM (Émile), *The Division of Labor in Society*, intr. by Lewis A. Coser, trans. by W. D. Halls, New York: Free Press, 1997;

EVERS (Williamson M.), *Specialization and the Division of Labor in the Social Thought of Plato and Rousseau*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, pp. 45-64; PLATO, *Protagoras*, trans. by Benjamin Jowett, New York: Liberal Arts Press, 1956.

⁸² KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Brain Work and Manual Work*, Anarchist Library, 1890, p. 3.

⁸³ SMITH (Adam), *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, Edinburg: Thomas Nelson, 1843, p. 327. See also BLACK (Bob), *The Abolition of Work*, Anarchist Library, 2009, pp. 8-9.

⁸⁴ KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Brain Work and Manual Work*, op. cit., pp. 3-4.

⁸⁵ KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), op. cit., pp. 19-20.

⁸⁶ KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Fields, Factories and Workshops: or Industry Combined with Agriculture and Brain Work with Manual Work*, Anarchist Library, 1912, p. 183. See also KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Conquest of Bread*, Anarchist Library, 1906, pp. 129-131, 136.

⁸⁷ PRICE (Wayne), *An Anarchist Program for Labor*, Anarchist Library, 2002, p. 6.

⁸⁸ STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civ!: A Brief Philosophical and Political Introduction to the Concept of Post-Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2008, p. 4.

⁸⁹ For example, see RINEHART (James W.), *The Tyranny of Work: Alienation and the Labour Process*, 5th ed., Toronto: Nelson, 2006.

⁹⁰ TUCKER (Kevin), *To Produce or Not to Produce*, Anarchist Library, 2004-2005, pp. 2, 4-6.

⁹¹ DAUVÉ (Gilles), *To Work or Not to Work? Is that the Question?*, Anarchist Library, 2010. See also SEMPRUN (Jaime), *Notes on the Manifesto Against Labor*, Libcom, June 27, 2011; WEEKS (Kathi), *The Problem with Work: Feminism, Marxism, Antiwork Politics, and Postwork Imaginaries*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2011; PAVLINA (Steve), *10 Reasons You Should Never Get a Job*, Steve Pavlina, July 21, 2006; DEBORD (Guy), "Never Work", Marxists Internet Archive, 1963; LAFARGUE (Paul), *The Right To Be Lazy*, Anarchist Library, 1883.

⁹² See WARING (Marilyn), *If Women Counted: A New Feminist Economics*, New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 1990.

⁹³ BLACK (Bob), *The Abolition of Work*, op. cit., pp. 2, 11-12, 15. See also BLACK (Bob), *Smokestack Lightning*, Anarchist Library, 2009; BLACK (Bob), *Anarchy after Leftism*, Columbia: Columbia Alternative Library, 1997; BLACK (Bob), *What Is Wrong with this Picture? A Critique of a Neo-Futurist's Vision of the Decline of Work*, Anarchist Library, 1995ish; BLACK (Bob), *Primitive Affluence: A Postscript to Sahlins*, Anarchist Library, 1992.

⁹⁴ For example, see GLASER (Eliane), *Beyond Bullshit Jobs: Why Do Pointless Jobs Continue to Proliferate in these Lean Times?*, Soundings: A Journal of Politics and Culture, No. 57, 2014, pp. 82-94; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *On the Phenomenon of Bullshit Jobs*, Strike! Magazine, Aug. 17, 2013; ECHO, *Rejectionary Anarchist Critiques*, op. cit.; BROWN (L. Susan), *Does Work Really Work?*, Anarchist Library, 2011; O'MAD (Henry), *Living Wild: Wilderness and Our Place in It*, Anarchist Library, 2011; KOSMAN (Mark), *Is Capitalism's Crisis Putting Revolution Back on the Agenda?*, Anarchist Library, 2011; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Feral: A Journal Towards Wildness*, Anarchist Library, 2010; ZERZAN (John), *Organized Labor versus "The Revolt Against Work"*, Anarchist Library, 2010; SIMONS (Paul Z.), *Seven Theses on Play*, Anarchist Library, 2010; DOBBINS (Gregory), *Lazy*

Idle Schemers: Irish Modernism and the Cultural Politics of Idleness, Dublin: Field Day, 2010; ANONYMOUS, *How Ethical is the Work 'Ethic': Reconsidering Work and 'Leisure Time'*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *On the Frontline Anarchists at Work*, London: Anarchist Communist, 2009; BLEU MARIN, *On Behalf of the Barbarians*, Anarchist Library, 2009; PASSAMANI (Massimo), *The Two Faces of the Present*, Anarchist Library, 2009; HIGH PRIEST WOMBAT, KSC, *Quiet Resistance: The Workers' Union Underground*, Anarchist Library, 2006; WINTERSON (Jeanette), *Product is the Excrement of Action*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience, Volume 3, Number 1*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *On Sabotage as One of the Fine Arts*, op. cit.; HUNT (Richard), *The Natural Society*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *Beyond Workerism: Beyond Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #4*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #5*, Anarchist Library, 2009; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #1*, op. cit.; STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civ!*, op. cit.; Anarchist Library, 2008; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit.; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Reflections on the End of Work*, Anarchist Library, 2003; LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *The Network of Domination*, op. cit.; ROSEMONT (Penelope), *The Psychopathology of Work*, Anarchist Library, 2004; ANONYMOUS, *Running to Stand Still: Globalisation, Blagging and the Dole*, Anarchist Library, 2001; KERR (Eric), *Eight Hours Too Many?*, Anarchist Library, 2000; BUFE (Charles), *Listen, Anarchist!*, intr. by Janet Biehl, Anarchist Library, 1998; MEYERDING (Jane), *Choosing Marginality*, Anarchist Library, 1998; WATSON (David), *Civilization in Bulk*, Anarchist Library, 1991; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Let's Destroy Work, Let's Destroy the Economy*, Anarchist Library, 1987-1995; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Armed Joy*, Anarchist Library, 1977; VINCENT (K. Steven), *Between Marxism and Anarchism: Benoit Malon and French Reformist Socialism*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992, pp. 88 ff.; LOWNDES (S. L.), *Why Work?*, Anarchist Library, 1982; VANEIGEM (Raoul), *Contributions to the Revolutionary Struggle, Intended to Be Discussed, Corrected, and Principally, Put into Practice Without Delay*, Anarchist Library, 1974; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Wildcat*, op. cit.; PERLMAN (Freddy), *The Reproduction of Daily Life*, Anarchist Library, 1969; RUSSELL (Bertrand), *In Praise of Idleness*, Anarchist Library, 1932; CARPENTER (Edward), *Non-Government Society*, Anarchist Library, 1917; SERGE (Victor), *Our Anti-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1910; D'AXA (Zo), *The Honest Worker*, Anarchist Library, 1898; THOREAU (Henry David), *Walden: Life in the Woods*, Anarchist Library, 1854; ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Work and the Free Society*, London: Anarchist Communist.

⁹⁵ About these rights, freedom, and their examples, see LANDAU (Philip), *Are You Entitled to Work Part-Time, and What Are Your Rights if You Do?*, Guardian, Oct. 30, 2013; RAMSDEN (Michael) & MARSH (Luke), *The 'Right to Work' of Refugees in Hong Kong: MA v Director of Immigration*, International Journal of Refugee Law, Vol. 25, Vol. 3, 2013, pp. 574-596; PRASSL (Jeremias), *Freedom of Contract as a General Principle of EU Law? Transfers of Undertakings and the Protection of Employer Rights in EU Labour Law: Case C-426/11 Alemo-Herron and others v Parkwood Leisure Ltd*, Industrial Law Journal, Vol. 42, No. 4, 2013, pp. 434-446; SCHEPEL (Harm), *Freedom of Contract in Free Movement Law: Balancing Rights and Principles in European Public and Private Law*, European Review of Private Law, Vol. 21, No. 5, 2013, pp. 1211-1229; BELL (Mark), *Achieving the Objectives of the Part-Time Work Directive? Revisiting the Part-Time Workers Regulations*, Industrial Law Journal, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2011, pp. 254-279; MESSENGER (Jon C.), *Working Time Trends and Developments in Europe*, Cambridge Journal of Economics, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2011, pp. 295-316; ATKINSON (J. L.), *Does the Sex Discrimination Act Provide a Right to Work Part-Time for Mothers?*, Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2010, pp. 47-57; RISSE (Mathias), *Right to Work? A Right to Leisure? Labor Rights as Human Rights*, Law and Ethics of Human Rights, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2009, Art. 1; POGGE (Thomas), *Comment on Mathia Risse: "A Right to Work? A Right to Leisure? Labor Rights as Human Rights"*, Law and Ethics of Human Rights, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2009, Art. 2; STEVANS (Lonnie K.), *The Effect of Endogenous Right-to-Work Laws on Business and Economic Conditions in the United States: A Multivariate Approach*, Review of Law and Economics, Vol. 5, 1, 2009, pp. 595-614; RUTGERS (Jacobien W.), *The European Economic Constitution, Freedom of Contract and the DCFR*, European Review of Contract Law, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2009, pp. 95-108; BARRIENTOS (Stephanie), *Contract Labour: The 'Achilles Heel' of Corporate Codes in Commercial Value Chains*, Development and Change, Vol. 39, No. 6, 2008, pp. 977-990; FOX (James W.), *The Law of Many Faces: Antebellum Contract Law Background of Reconstruction-Era Freedom of Contract*, American Journal of Legal History, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2007, pp. 61-112; HARDOY (Inés) & SCHØNE (Pål), *The Part-Time Wage Gap in Norway: How Large is It Really?*, British Journal of Industrial Relations, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2006, pp. 263-282; PERSSON (Anders J.), *The Contract of Employment: Ethical Dimensions*, Journal of Business Ethics, 66, No. 4, pp. 2006, 407-415; GHOSH (Jayati), *The "Right to Work" and Recent Legislation in India*, Social Scientist, Vol. 34, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 88-102; FRASER (Melanie), *New Rights for Old: Flexi-Working and Sex Discrimination*, Employee Relations, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2004, pp. 167-181; SCHMIDT (Marlene), *The Right to Part-Time Work under German Law: Progress in or a Boomerang for Equal Employment Opportunities?*, Industrial Law Journal, Vol. 30, No. 4, 2001, pp. 335-351; JACOBS (Antoine) & SCHMIDT (Marlene), *The Right to Part-Time Work: The Netherlands and Germany Compared*, International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2001, pp. 371-384; KIMEL (Dori), *Neutrality, Autonomy, and Freedom of Contract*, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2001, pp. 473-494; SALEH (Nabil), *Freedom of Contract: What Does it Mean in the Context of Arab*

Laws?, *Arab Law Quarterly*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2001, pp. 346-357; NEEMAN (Zvika), *The Freedom to Contract and the Free-Rider Problem*, op. cit.; GALL (Gilbert), *Union Security Rights at the Polls: A Call for Modeling Right-to-Work Voting*, *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1996, pp. 41-56; SMITH (Stephen A.), *Future Freedom and Freedom of Contract*, *Modern Law Review*, Vol. 59, No. 2, 1996, pp. 167-187; SMART (Brian), *The Right to Strike and the Right to Work*, *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1985, pp. 31-40.

⁹⁶ BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Armed Joy*, op. cit., pp. 14-15; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Let's Destroy Work, Let's Destroy the Economy*, Anarchist Library, 1987-1995.

⁹⁷ VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from "Machete" #5*, op. cit., pp. 3-4; VANEIGEM (Raoul), *Contributions to the Revolutionary Struggle*, op. cit., p. 8.

⁹⁸ About these concepts, see SILVERA (Ian), *Future UK Economy under Threat Thanks to 'Shift towards Insecure Employment*, Yahoo, Sep. 3, 2014; SULLIVAN (S. P.), *'Insecure, Underpaid, Highly Stressed': Rutgers Report Looks at State of American Workforce*, *Star-Ledger*, Aug. 29, 2014; DIXON (Jane) ET AL., *Flexible Employment, Flexible Eating and Health Risks*, *Critical Public Health*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2014, pp. 461-475; LEE (Hwok-Aun) & OFRENEO (Rene E.), *From Asian to Global Financial Crisis: Recovery Amidst Expanding Labour Precarity*, *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, Vol. 44, No. 4, 2014, pp. 688-710; REID (Alison) ET AL., *Taking Risks and Survival Jobs: Foreign-Born Workers and Work-Related Injuries in Australia*, *Safety Science*, Vol. 70, 2014, pp. 378-386; RICHTER (Anne) ET AL., *Job Insecurity and Well-Being: The Moderating Role of Job Dependence*, *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 23, No. 6, 2014, pp. 816-829; SUHASINI (Gloria), *What Immigrants in Survival Jobs Have to Say about New Policies*, *Canadian Immigrant*, Nov. 29, 2012; SANGHA (Jasjit) ET AL., *An Ethnodrama on Work-Related Learning in Precarious Jobs: Racialization and Resistance*, *Qualitative Inquiry*, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2012, pp. 286-296; VALLAS (Steven) & PRENER (Christopher), *Dualism, Job Polarization, and the Social Construction of Precarious Work*, *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2012, pp. 331-353; KALLEBERG (Arne L.), *Job Quality and Precarious Work: Clarifications, Controversies, and Challenges*, *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2012, pp. 427-448; KALLEBERG (Arne L.), *Good Jobs, Bad Jobs: The Rise of Polarized and Precarious Employment Systems in the United States, 1970s to 2000s*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2011; VOSKO (Leah F.) (ed.), *Precarious Employment: Understanding Labour Market Insecurity in Canada*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2006; O'CARROLL (Aileen), *The Nomad, the Displaced and the Settler: Work in the 21st Century*, *Red and Black Revolution*, No. 9, 2005, pp. 3-8; KIDDER (Thalia) & RAWORTH (Kate), *'Good Jobs' and Hidden Costs: Women Workers Documenting the Price of Precarious Employment*, *Gender and Development*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2004, pp. 12-21; HEERY (Edmund) & SALMON (John) (ed.), *The Insecure Workforce*, London: Routledge, 2000.

⁹⁹ For example, see ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Anatomy of the State*, op. cit., pp. 13-15; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 30-35; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt against Nature, and Other Essays*, op. cit., pp. 96-97, 99-100; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, intr. by Hans-Hermann Hoppe, New York: New York University Press, 1998.

¹⁰⁰ SPANGLER (Brad), *Market Anarchism as Stigmergic Socialism*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), *Markets Not Capitalism*, op. cit., p. 85.

¹⁰¹ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. xi-xii.

¹⁰² ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., p. 1093.

¹⁰³ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Laissez-Faire Radical*, op. cit., p. 245.

¹⁰⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 237.

¹⁰⁵ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2008, pp. 64, 80, 87, 94; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Private Production of Defense*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998-1999, p. 35.

¹⁰⁶ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., p. xx.

¹⁰⁷ HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Natural Order, the State, and the Immigration Problem*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2002, p. 75; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property: Studies in Political Economy and Philosophy*, 2nd ed., Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2006, p. 18 (note 21).

¹⁰⁸ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. xxxv-xxxvi, 116, 155-157, 187, 269.

¹⁰⁹ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 95 ff.

¹¹⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 657.

¹¹¹ Ibid., pp. 656-657.

¹¹² ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., p. xxxix.

¹¹³ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt against Nature*, op. cit.

¹¹⁴ CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Mises, Bastiat, Public Opinion, and Public Choice*, Review of Political Economy, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2005, pp. 86, 89, 93.

¹¹⁵ CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Privatizing the Adjudication of Disputes*, Theoretical Inquiries in Law, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2008, pp. 510, 514, 519, 525. About the market libertarians' ideas on labour, see also CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; MOLINARI (Gustave de), *The Production of Security*, trans. by J. Huston McCulloch, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2009; MOLINARI (Gustave de), *La Morale Économique*, Paris: Guillaumin, 1888; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Of Private, Common, and Public Property and the Rationale for Total Privatization*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 1; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Marxist and Austrian Class Analysis*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1990, pp. 79-93; BENSON (Bruce L.), *To Serve and Protect: Privatization and Community in Criminal Justice*, foreword by Marvin Eugene Wolfgang, Oakland: Independent Institute, 1998; SPOONER (Lysander), *Let's Abolish Government*, New York: Arno Press and New York Times, 1972; SPOONER (Lysander), *A Letter to Grover Cleveland*, Boston: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1886; SPOONER (Lysander), *The Law of Intellectual Property, or, An Essay on the Right of Authors and Inventors to a Perpetual Property in Their Ideas*, Boston: Bela Marsh, 1885; SPOONER (Lysander), *The Unconstitutionality of Slavery*, op. cit.; WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, New York: Burt Franklin, 1852.

¹¹⁶ About social Darwinism and its relation with the free market, TIENKEN (Christopher H.), *Neoliberalism, Social Darwinism, and Consumerism Masquerading as School Reform*, Interchange, Vol. 43, No. 4, 2013, pp. 295-316; BURNHAM (Terence C.), *Toward a Neo-Darwinian Synthesis of Neoclassical and Behavioral Economics*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 90, 2013, pp. S113-S127; JOHNSON (Dominic D. P.) ET AL., *Darwin's Invisible Hand: Market Competition, Evolution and the Firm*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 90, 2013, pp. S128-S140; GORDON (David), *Social Darwinism and the Free Market*, Mises Daily, July 17, 2012; COHEN (Ben), *Free Markets and the Bastardization of Charles Darwin*, Daily Banter, June 25, 2012; JILIN (XU), *Social Darwinism in Modern China*, Journal of Modern Chinese History, 2012, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 182-197; GIROUX (Henry A.), *Neoliberalism and the Death of the Social State: Remembering Walter Benjamin's Angel of History*, Social Identities, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2011, pp. 587-601; MARCIANO (Alain), *Darwin, Darwinism and Social Darwinism: What Do We Learn from Darwin's Theory of Social Evolution?*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-3; MARCIANO (Alain), *Why Hayek Is a Darwinian (after all)? Hayek and Darwin on Social Evolution*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2009, pp. 52-61; WEIKART (Richard), *Was Darwin or Spencer the Father of Laissez-Faire Social Darwinism?*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2009, pp. 20-28; LEONARD (Thomas C.), *Origins of the Myth of Social Darwinism: The Ambiguous Legacy of Richard Hofstadter's Social Darwinism in American Thought*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2009, pp. 37-51; MARMEFELT (Thomas), *Human Knowledge, Rules, and the Spontaneous Evolution of Society in the Social Thought of Darwin, Hayek, and Boulding*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2009, pp. 62-74; GEISENDORF (Sylvie), *The Economic Concept of Evolution: Self-Organization or Universal Darwinism?*, Journal of Economic Methodology, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2009, pp. 377-391; CORNING (Peter A.), *Holistic Darwinism: The New Evolutionary Paradigm and Some Implications for Political Science*, Politics and the Life Sciences, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2008, pp. 22-54; MICKHAIL (George) & OSTROVSKY (Arsen), *MetaCapitalism: The Dialectics of Impoverishment*, Critical Perspectives on Accounting, Vol. 18, No. 6, 2007, pp. 671-705; DICKENS (Peter), *Social Darwinism: Linking Evolutionary Thought to Social Theory*, Buckingham: Open University Press, 2000; HAWKINS (Mike), *Social Darwinism in European and American Thought, 1860-1945: Nature as Model and Nature as Threat*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997; HOFSTADTER (Richard), *Social Darwinism in American Thought*, Boston: Beacon Press, 1992; BANNISTER (Robert C.), *Social Darwinism: Science and Myth in Anglo-American Social Thought*, Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1978.

¹¹⁷ HART (David M.), *Gustave de Molinari and the Anti-Statist Liberal Tradition*, Part II, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1981, p. 409.

¹¹⁸ KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *New Libertarian Manifesto*, Huntington Beach: Koman, 1983. About the *agorist society*, see KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *Reply to Rothbard*, op. cit.; KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *An Agorist Primer*, Huntington Beach: KoPubCo, 2008; KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *The Last, Whole Introduction to Agorism*, Papers of the Libertarian Left, No. 1, 1995; SAMPAT (Mike), *Logic and the Case against Stiglitz*, Toronto Star, Apr. 16, 2013; GORDON (David), *Sam Konkin and Libertarian Theory*, LewRockwell, June 30, 2011; SCHULMAN (Joseph Neil), *The Agorist Revolutionary Alternative*, Rational Review, Feb. 16, 2011; SCHULMAN (Joseph Neil), *Alongside Night*, Mill Valley: Pulpless.Com, 1999; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Konkin on Libertarian Strategy*, op. cit.; CONGER (Wally), *Agorist Class Theory: A Left Libertarian Approach to Class*

Conflict Analysis, drawing on the unfinished work of Samuel Edward Konkin III, foreword by Brad Spangler, Movement of Libertarian Left, 2006; BURTON (Daniel), *Interview With Samuel Edward Konkin III*, Spaz, 2002.

¹¹⁹ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1193-1194, 1199, 1202-1205, 1216, 1487-1488, 2895-2897, 2899, 2906, 2926, 2939-2940, 2942, 2965-2966, 2974-2975, 2994.

¹²⁰ ASIMAKOPOULOS (John), *Bridging Utopia and Pragmatism to Achieve Direct Economic Democracy*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 48, 60.

¹²¹ The examples of the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia have proved that being wealthy in natural resources does not necessarily go hand in hand with being wealthy in individuality and sociality, since these States have very bad records in existential rights (such as the extensive use of torture and corporal punishment), which they are systematically regarding as a “Western threat” to their interests. Just for some examples in this case, see *10 Disturbing Similarities between Saudi Arabia and Daesh*, op. cit.; FATAH (Tarek), *Saudi Arabia Is Not Our Friend*, Toronto Sun, Sep. 29, 2015; DITCHBURN (Jennifer), *Harper Defends Canada’s Military Vehicle Contract with Saudi Arabia*, CTV News, Sep. 25, 2015; SULLIVAN (Kevin), *For Wife of Imprisoned Saudi Blogger Raif Badawi: ‘It’s a Life of Waiting’*, Washington Post, Sep. 17, 2015; BROWN (Hayes), *Saudi Blogger’s Wife Says His Lashing Sentence Is “An Ongoing Nightmare”*, BuzzFeed News, Sep. 16, 2015; DONAGHY (Rori) & ATKINSON (Mary), *Crime and Punishment*, op. cit.; STEVENS (John), *£41K a Month and 2% on Top: Blair’s Deal with Saudis Leads to Questions over Former PM’s Lucrative Contract*, Daily Mail, Nov. 9, 2014; BALALI (Mehrdad), *Iran Hangs Woman Convicted of Killing Alleged Rapist*, Reuters, Oct. 25, 2014; BLAIR (David), *Amnesty Condemns ‘Bloody Stain on Human Rights Record’ as Iran hangs 26-Year-Old Woman*, Telegraph, Oct. 25, 2014; HALL (John) ET AL., *‘Please Don’t Cry. I Love You. I wish I Could Have Hugged You until I Died’: Iranian Woman’s Heartrending Message to Her Mother before She Was Hanged for Killing the Man Who ‘Tried to Rape Her’*, Daily Mail, Oct. 25, 2014; SRIDHARAN (Vasudevan), *BREAKING: Iran Executes Reyhaneh Jabbari, 26-Year-Old Woman Who Killed Her Rapist*, International Business Times, Oct. 25, 2014; SAUL (Heather), *Iran Hangs Reyhaneh Jabbari for Murder of ‘Her Attempted Rapist’*, Independent, Oct. 25, 2014; HARDING (David), *Iran Hangs Reyhaneh Jabbari despite Human Rights Protests*, New York Daily News, Oct. 25, 2014; SAUL (Heather), *Reyhaneh Jabbari: Iran due to Execute Woman for Murder of Her Alleged Attempted Rapist*, Independent, Sep. 30, 2014; *Iran’s Hanging of Reyhaneh Jabbari Condemned*, BBC News, Oct. 25, 2014; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Iran: Reyhaneh Jabbari Executed*, Oct. 25, 2014; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Saudi Arabia: End Arbitrary Detention*, Sep. 23, 2014; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2014*, New York, 2013; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *World Report 2012*, New York, 2011; *An Online Campaign Has Brought 10-Day Reprieve to Iranian Women Reyhaneh Jabbari on the Death Row*, Asian Tribune, Oct. 4, 2014; AHADI (Mina) ET AL., *Iran’s “Hanging Machine” to Execute Reyhaneh Jabbari*, Gatestone Institute, Sep. 29, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranian Pharrell Williams Fans behind Happy Video Sentenced*, Guardian, Sep. 19, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iran and Iraq Account for Global Rise in Capital Punishment*, Guardian, Mar. 27, 2014; CHANDLER (Adam), *Iran Sentences Dancers for ‘Happy’ Video*, Wire, Sep. 19, 2014; COTLER (Irwin), *Iran’s President Continues to Violate Human Rights*, Huffington Post, Sep. 18, 2014; THAROOR (Ishaan), *Saudi Arabia, Key to Obama’s Strategy, Beheaded at least 8 People last Month*, Washington Post, Sep. 11, 2014; AHMED (Amel), *Beheadings Remain Integral Part of Saudi Justice System*, Al Jazeera, Sep. 11, 2014; WIGHT (John), *Supporting Saudi Arabia Is Supporting Violations of Human Rights*, Russia Today, Aug. 29, 2014; *Could Iran’s High Profile Executions Change Capital Punishment Laws?*, Guardian, May 16, 2014; SLOAN (Alastair), *Who Are the ‘Political Prisoners’ in Saudi and Iran?*, Middle East Monitor, Apr. 30, 2014; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Death Penalty 2013: Small Number of Countries Trigger Global Spike in Executions*, Mar. 27, 2014; AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, *Annual Report 2013*, London, 2013.

¹²² *Les Fruits Sont à Tout le Monde!*, La Contre-Histoire, Mai 27, 2013; DENIS (Garnier), *Les Fruits Sont à Tous et la Terre n’Est à Personne*, AgoraVox, Juillet 31, 2008; CHAMARD (Jean-Marc) ET AL., *Les Sourires de la Terre*, Romagnat: De Borée, 2006, p. 100; SABERAN (Foad), *Les Bahaïs d’Iran en Danger*, Libération, Août 26, 2008.

¹²³ RICHLIN (Philip), *The Socioeconomic Guardians of Scarcity*, Anarchist Library, 2014, p. 12.

¹²⁴ About Georgism and its criticisms, see GEORGE (Henry), *Protection or Free Trade: An Examination of the Tariff Question, with Especial Regard to the Interests of Labor*, New York: Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, 1949; GEORGE (Henry), *Progress and Poverty: An Inquiry into the Cause of Industrial Depressions and of Increase of Want with Increase of Wealth: The Remedy*, London: Charles Kegan Paul, 1881; CASAL (Paula), *Progressive Environmental Taxation: A Defence*, Political Studies, Vol. 60, No. 2, 2012, pp. 419-433; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit.; *Mutualism: An Interview with Kevin Carson*, Isocracy Network, Nov. 3, 2009; ANDELSON (Robert V.), *Henry George and the Reconstruction of Capitalism*, Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, Sep. 22, 2008; ANDELSON (Robert V.) (ed.), *Critics of Henry George: An Appraisal of Their Strictures on Progress and Poverty*, 2nd ed, Malden: Blackwell, 2003; ANDELSON (Robert V.), *On Separating the Landowner’s Earned and Unearned Increment: A Georgist Rejoinder to F. A. Hayek*, American Journal of Economics and

Sociology, Vol. 59, No. 1, 2000, pp. 109-117; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Land-Locked: A Critique of Carson on Property Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 87-95; DECOSTER (Karen), *Henry George and the Tariff Question*, LewRockwell, Apr. 19, 2006; SAMULLS (Warren J.), *Why the Georgist Movement Has Not Succeeded: A Speculative Memorandum*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 583-592; SAMULLS (Warren J.), *Why the Georgist Movement Has Not Succeeded: Response to My Discussants*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 629-632; HEAVEY (Jerome F.), *Comments on Warren Samuels' "Why the Georgist Movement Has Not Succeeded"*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 593-599; CORD (Steven), *Invited Comment on Warren Samuels's "Speculative Memorandum"*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 601-605; SULLIVAN (Mark A.), *Why the Georgist Movement Has Not Succeeded: A Personal Response to the Question Raised by Warren J. Samuels*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 607-623; HARRISS (Lowell), *Getting Results: Or Not*, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 62, No. 3, 2003, pp. 625-628; JOHNSON (Edgar H.), *The Economics of Henry George's Progress and Poverty*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 18, No. 9, 1910, pp. 714-735.

¹²⁵ FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geoism and Libertarianism*, Progress Report, Jan. 9, 2007; FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geo-Rent: A Plea to Public Economists*, Econ Journal Watch, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2005, p. 107; FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Geoanarchism: A Short Summary of Geoism and Its Relation to Libertarianism*, AntiState, July 15, 2001; FOLDVARY (Fred Emanuel), *Between State and Anarchy: A Model of Governance*.

¹²⁶ BARRY (John), *Rethinking Green Politics: Nature, Virtue and Progress*, London: Sage, 1999, p. 86. See also DAVIDSON (Stewart), *Ecoanarchism: A Critical Defence*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2009, p. 52.

¹²⁷ RICHMAN (Sheldon), *What Laissez Faire?*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., p. 125.

¹²⁸ WARREN (Josiah), *True Civilisation*, Anarchy Is Order, 1863, pp. 39, 71, 73-74, 115; WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, op. cit.; BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren and the Sovereignty of the Individual*, op. cit., p. 433.

¹²⁹ JOHNSON (Charles W.), *Two Words on "Privatization"*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., p. 283.

¹³⁰ CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.), *Introduction*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., p. 2.

¹³¹ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 10, 96, 153-154, 194, 483-486, 496-499, 530.

¹³² About this prestigious gangster, see NEVIUS (James), *Christopher Columbus Was a Lost Sadist. There Shouldn't Be a Holiday in His Name*, Guardian, Oct. 12, 2015; MILLER (Abby), *Columbus Day: Celebrating the 'Greatest Wave of Genocide in History'*, Addicting Info, Oct. 13, 2013; TOLL (Ian W.), *The Less Than Heroic Christopher Columbus*, Sunday Book Review, Sep. 23, 2011; KASUM (Eric), *Columbus Day? True Legacy: Cruelty and Slavery*, Huffington Post, Oct. 11, 2010; ZINN (Howard), *The Zinn Reader: Writings on Disobedience and Democracy*, 2nd ed., New York: Seven Stories Press, 2009, pp. 479-498; TINKER (George E.) & FREELAND (Mark), *Thief, Slave Trader, Murderer: Christopher Columbus and Caribbean Population Decline*, Wicazo Sa Review, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2008, pp. 25-50; RABBIT (Winter), *Christopher Columbus and His Crimes Against Humanity*, Daily Kos, Oct. 5, 2007; COX (Clinton), *From Columbus to Hitler and Back Again*, Race and Class, Vol. 43, No. 3, 2002, pp. 39-49; D'SOUZA (Dinesh), *The Crimes of Christopher Columbus*, First Things, 1995.

¹³³ CAREW (Jan), *Columbus and the Origins of Racism in the Americas: Part Two*, Race and Class, Vol. 30, No. 1, 1988, p. 33.

¹³⁴ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), op. cit., pp. 1047, 1049.

¹³⁵ Ibid., pp. 169-170.

¹³⁶ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Anarchist Analysis of Property: A Tool of Domination and Exploitation or Self-Governing and Prosperity?*, Academia.edu, May 28, 2012, pp. 7, 16; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Stateless Socialism: Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1953, p. 5; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Letters to a Frenchman on the Present Crisis*, Anarchist Library, 1870; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Equal Opportunity in Education*, Anarchist Library, 1869; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Revolutionary Catechism*, op. cit.; MAGÓN (Ricardo Flores), *The Right of Property*, Anarchist Library, 1911; MACHAJSKI (Jan Wacław), *State Socialism*, Anarchist Library, 1900.

¹³⁷ ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 499-500. Regarding the discussion of "natural resources" and the critique of the "doctrines of conservation", see also SCOTT (Anthony), *Natural Resources: The Economics of Conservation*, 2nd ed., Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1973.

-
- 138 BOYDEN (Stephen) & DOVES (Stephen R.), *Natural-Resource Consumption and Its Environmental Impacts in the Western World. Impacts of Increasing per Capita Consumption*, *Ambio*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1992, p.63.
- 139 WORLD WIDE FUND FOR NATURE, *Living Planet Report 2014: Species and Spaces, People and Places*, Gland, 2014; KNAPTON (Sarah), *The World Has Lost Half Its Animals in 40 Years, Says WWF*, *Regina Leader-Post*, Sep. 30, 2014; DARO (Ishmael N.), *The World Has Lost Half Its Wildlife since 1970: Report*, *Canada.com*, Sep. 30, 2014; PLUMER (Brad), *Study: We've Wiped out Half the World's Wildlife since 1970*, *Vox*, Sep. 30, 2014; MILES (Tom), *WWF Report: Global Wildlife Populations Down by Half since 1970*, *CBC News*, Sep. 29, 2014.
- 140 *Consumption by the United States*, Associated Press, 2008.
- 141 DIMICK (Dennis), *As World's Population Booms, Will Its Resources Be Enough for Us?*, *National Geographic*, Sep. 20, 2014.
- 142 *Use It and Lose It: The Outsize Effect of U.S. Consumption on the Environment*, *Scientific American*, Sep. 14, 2012.
- 143 *Consumption by the United States*, op. cit.; *The State of Consumption Today*, Worldwatch Institute, 2011. See also LUNDBERG (Jan), *Consumption Civilization: Our Prospects since Western Civilization's Historical Adaptation*, *People's Voice*, Sep. 30, 2014; HERMAN (Doug), *A New Way for Stewardship of Mother Earth: Indigeneity*, *Smithsonian*, Sep. 30, 2014; *Humanity's Voracious Consumption of Natural Resources Unsustainable*, UN News Centre, May 12, 2011; PEARCE (Fred), *Consumption Dwarfs Population as Main Environmental Threat*, *Yale Environment* 360, Apr. 13, 2009; SINGH (Timon), *Study Finds Western World Must Halve Meat Consumption to Feed Global Population by 2050*, *Inhabitat*, June 21, 2012; *Population, Natural Resources and Development*, *Ambio*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1992; *Population and Energy Consumption*, *World Population Balance*.
- 144 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 1276-1277.
- 145 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), op. cit., p. 679. About Rothbard's ideas on natural resource, see also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Logic of Action II: Applications and Criticism from the Austrian School*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 1997, pp. 121-170.
- 146 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1166.
- 147 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Of Private, Common, and Public Property and the Rationale for Total Privatization*, op. cit., pp. 4, 7-9.
- 148 PASOUR, JR. (Ernest C.), *Conservation, "X-Inefficiency" and Efficient Use of Natural Resources*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 384-385.
- 149 POLANYI (Karl), *The Great Transformation*, foreword by Robert M. MacIver, Boston: Beacon Press, 1957.
- 150 See WHITE, JR. (Lynn Townsend), *The Historical Roots of our Environmental Crisis*, *Science*, Vol. 155, No. 3767, 1967, pp. 1203-1207. There are however opposite arguments in favor of "religious environmentalism". In this case, see BLANCHARD (Kathryn D.) & O'BRIEN (Kevin J.), *Prophets Meet Profits: What Christian Ecological Ethics Can Learn from Free Market Environmentalism*, *Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2014, pp. 103-123; FERGUSON (David), *On Animals: Responses to David Clough's Systematic Theology. God, Christ, and Animals*, *Zygon*, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2014, pp. 741-745; ADAM (Margaret B.), *On Animals: Responses to David Clough's Systematic Theology. The Particularity of Animals and of Jesus Christ*, *Zygon*, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2014, pp. 746-751; CARTER (Christopher), *On Animals: Responses to David Clough's Systematic Theology. The Imago Dei as the Mind of Jesus Christ*, *Zygon*, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2014, pp. 752-760; WEBB (Stephen H.), *On Animals: Responses to David Clough's Systematic Theology. Toward A Weak Anthropocentrism*, *Zygon*, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2014, pp. 761-763; CLOUGH (David L.), *On Animals: Responses to David Clough's Systematic Theology. On Thinking Theologically about Animals: A Response*, *Zygon*, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2014, pp. 764-771; CLOUGH (David L.), *On Animals. Volume I: Systematic Theology*, London: T. and T. Clark, 2012; RASMUSSEN (Larry L.), *Earth-Honoring Faith*, *Tikkun*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2014; ZIEGLER (Jeff J.), *Catholics, the Environment, and a "Culture of Waste"*, *Catholic World Report*, Sep. 17, 2013; ELLINGSON (Stephen) ET AL., *The Structure of Religious Environmentalism: Movement Organizations, Interorganizational Networks, and Collective Action*, *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 2012, pp. 266-285; BIVIANO (Erin Lothes), *Worldviews on Fire: Understanding the Inspiration for Congregational Religious Environmentalism*, *CrossCurrents*, Vol. 62, No. 4, 2012, pp. 495-511; KEMMERER (Lisa) & NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) (ed.), *Call to Compassion: Religious Perspectives on Animal Advocacy from a Range of Religious Perspectives*, New York: Lantern Books, 2011; CHAPPLE (Christopher Key), *Religious Environmentalism: Thomas Berry, the Bishnoi, and Satish Kumar*, *Dialog*, Vol. 50, No. 4, 2011, pp. 336-343; PYARI (Dayal), *Environment Stewardship and Religion*, *International Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2011, pp. 26-35; TROSTER (Lawrence), *The Book of Black Fire: An Eco-Theology of Revelation*, *Conservative Judaism*, Vol. 62,

- No. 1, 2010, pp. 132-151; FOWLER-SMITH (Louise), *Hindu Tree Veneration as a Mode of Environmental Encounter*, Leonardo, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2009, pp. 43-51; LITFIN (Karen T.), *A Greener Faith: Religious Environmentalism and Our Planet's Future*, book review, Review of Policy Research, Vol. 25, No. 5, 2008, pp. 487-489; MCCAMMACK (Brian), *Hot Damned America: Evangelicalism and the Climate Change Policy Debate*, American Quarterly, Vol. 59, No. 3, 2007, pp. 645-668; GOTTLIRB (Roger S.), *A Greener Faith: Religious Environmentalism and Our Planet's Future*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2006; TOMALIN (Emma), *Bio-Divinity and Biodiversity: Perspectives on Religion and Environmental Conservation in India*, Numen, Vol. 51, No. 3, 2004, pp. 265-295; DUNAWAY (Finis), *The Religious Roots of American Environmentalism*, Reviews in American History, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2004, pp. 380-387; PALMER (Martin) & FINLAY (Victoria), *Faith in Conservation: New Approaches to Religions and the Environment*, Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2003; TARAKESHWAR (Nalini) ET AL., *The Sanctification of Nature and Theological Conservatism: A Study of Opposing Religious Correlates of Environmentalism*, Review of Religious Research, Vol. 42, No. 4, 2001, pp. 387-404; KEARNS (Laurel), *Saving the Creation: Christian Environmentalism in the United States*, Sociology of Religion, Vol. 57, No. 1, 1996, pp. 55-70; ECKBERG (Douglas Lee) & BLOCKER (T. Jean), *Christianity, Environmentalism, and the Theoretical Problem of Fundamentalism*, Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, Vol. 35, No. 4, 1996, pp. 343-355; GUTH (James L.) ET AL., *Faith and the Environment: Religious Beliefs and Attitudes on Environmental Policy*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, pp. 364-382; GUTH (James L.) ET AL., *Theological Perspectives and Environmentalism among Religious Activists*, Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1993, pp. 373-382; WOODRUM (Eric) & HOBAN (Thomas), *Theology and Religiosity Effects on Environmentalism*, Review of Religious Research, Vol. 35, No. 3, 1994, pp. 193-206; BELKNAP (Jennifer), *God's Gift, Our Responsibility*, Christianity Today.
- ¹⁵¹ BALLA (Bálint), *Scarcity of Resources and Social Action*, Social Science Information, Vol. 21, No. 6, 1982, pp. 901-902.
- ¹⁵² For example, see MAHONEY (Dan), *Ownership, Scarcity, and Economic Decision Making*, Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2002, pp. 39-56.
- ¹⁵³ PELLOW (David N.) & NYSETH BREHM (Hollie), *An Environmental Sociology for the Twenty-First Century*, Annual Review of Sociology, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2013, p. 242; DEMERITT (Jacqueline H. R.) & YOUNG (Joseph K.), *A Political Economy of Human Rights: Oil, Natural Gas, and State Incentives to Repress*, Conflict Management and Peace Science, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2013, pp. 99-120; AGNEW (Robert), *Dire Forecast: A Theoretical Model of the Impact of Climate Change on Crime*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2012, pp. 21-42; RUBIN (Olivier), *Social Perspective on the Symbiotic Relationship between Climate Change and Conflict*, Social Development Issues, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2010, pp. 29-41; MORELLI (Massimo) & ROHNER (Dominic), *Natural Resource Distribution and Multiple Forms of Civil War*, European University Institute, 2010.
- ¹⁵⁴ KRØVEL (Roy), *Revisiting Social and Deep Ecology in the Light of Global Warming*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, p. 31.
- ¹⁵⁵ See UPTON (Caroline), *The New Politics of Pastoralism: Identity, Justice and Global Activism*, Geoforum, Vol. 54, 2014, pp. 207-216; BRAND (Ulrich), *Contradictions and Crises of Neoliberal-Imperial Globalization and the Political Opportunity Structures for the Global Justice Movements*, Innovation, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2012, pp. 283-298; SKILLINGTON (Tracey), *Climate Change and the Human Rights Challenge: Extending Justice beyond the Borders of the Nation State*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 16, No. 8, 2012, pp. 1196-1212; CHATTERJEE (Deen K.) (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Global Justice*, Dordrecht: Springer, 2011; CARDER (Eddy F.), *The American Environmental Justice Movement*, Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2010; KORTHALS (Michiel) & JONGE (Bram De), *Two Different Ethical Notions of Benefit Sharing of Genetic Resources and their Implications for Global Development*, New Genetics and Society, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2009, pp. 87-95; BERGSTEIN (Rachel) & ROSENCRANZ (Armin), *Resisting Global Toxics: Transnational Movements for Environmental, Justice*, book review, Global Environmental Politics, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2008, pp. 163-165; CHECKER (Melissa), *Eco-Apartheid and Global Greenwaves: African Diasporic Environmental Justice Movements*, Souls, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2008, pp. 390-408; PELLOW (David Naguib), *Resisting Global Toxics: Transnational Movements for Environmental Justice*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2007; HAYWARD (Tim), *Global Justice and the Distribution of Natural Resources*, Political Studies, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2006, pp. 349-369; SCHLOSBERG (David), *Reconceiving Environmental Justice: Global Movements and Political Theories*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2004, pp. 517-540; SACHS (Aaron), *The Ultimate "Other": Post-Colonialism and Alexander von Humboldt's Ecological Relationship with Nature*, History and Theory, Vol. 42, No. 4, 2003, pp. 111-135.
- ¹⁵⁶ TAN (Kok-Chor), *Luck, Institutions, and Global Distributive Justice: A Defence of Global Luck Egalitarianism*, European Journal of Political Theory, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2011, p. 395. About this doctrine, see also LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN (Kasper), *Democratic Egalitarianism versus Luck Egalitarianism: What Is at Stake?*, Philosophical Topics, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2014, pp. 117-134; LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN (Kasper), *Luck-Egalitarianism: Faults and Collective Choice*, Economics and Philosophy, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2011, pp. 151-173; LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN (Kasper), *Luck Egalitarianism: Equality, Responsibility, and Justice*, book review, Analysis, Vol. 70, No. 4, 2010, pp. 804-805; LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN (Kasper), *Hurley on Egalitarianism and the Luck-*

Neutralizing Aim, Politics, Philosophy and Economics, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2005, pp. 249-265; ARNESON (Richard J.), *Rethinking Luck Egalitarianism and Unacceptable Inequalities*, Philosophical Topics, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2014, pp. 153-169; ARNESON (Richard J.), *Equality and Equal Opportunity for Welfare*, Philosophical Studies, Vol. 56, No. 1, 1989, pp. 77-93; STEMPLOWSKA (Zofia), *Rescuing Luck Egalitarianism*, Journal of Social Philosophy, 2013, Vol. 44, No. 4, pp. 402-419; KNIGHT (Carl), *Luck Egalitarianism*, Philosophy Compass, Vol. 8, No. 10, 2013, pp. 924-934; KNIGHT (Carl), *Luck Egalitarianism: Equality, Responsibility, and Justice*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2009; TOMLIN (Patrick), *Choices Chance and Change: Luck Egalitarianism Over Time*, Ethical Theory and Moral Practice, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2013, pp. 393-407; ELFORD (Gideon), *Equality of Opportunity and Other-Affecting Choice: Why Luck Egalitarianism Does Not Require Brute Luck Equality*, Ethical Theory and Moral Practice, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2013, pp. 139-149; TAN (Kok-Chor), *Luck, Institutions, and Global Distributive Justice: A Defence of Global Luck Egalitarianism*, European Journal of Political Theory, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2011, pp. 394-421; JUBB (Robert), *On the Significance of the Basic Structure: A Priori Baseline Views and Luck Egalitarianism*, Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 59-79; KIBE (Takashi), *The Relational Approach to Egalitarian Justice: A Critique of Luck Egalitarianism*, Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1-21; COHEN (Gerald Allan), *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice, and Other Essays in Political Philosophy*, ed. by Michael Otsuka, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011; COHEN (Gerald Allan), *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice*, Ethics, Vol. 99, No. 4, 1989, pp. 906-944; LAZENBY (Hugh), *One Kiss Too Many? Giving, Luck Egalitarianism and Other-Affecting Choice*, Journal of Political Philosophy, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2010, pp. 271-286; SHER (George), *Real-World Luck Egalitarianism*, Social Philosophy and Policy, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2010, pp. 218-232; BROWN (Alexander), *Ronald Dworkin's Theory of Equality: Domestic and Global Perspectives*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009; BROWN (Alexander), *Luck Egalitarianism and Democratic Equality*, Ethical Perspectives, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2005, pp. 293-339; SCHEMMEL (Christian), *On the Usefulness of Luck Egalitarian Arguments for Global Justice*, Global Justice, Vol. 1, 2008, pp. 54-67; BARRY (Nicholas), *Reassessing Luck Egalitarianism*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 70, No. 1, 2008, pp. 136-150; BARRY (Nicholas), *Defending Luck Egalitarianism*, Journal of Applied Philosophy, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2006, pp. 89-107; SEGALL (Shlomi), *In Solidarity with the Imprudent: A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism*, Social Theory and Practice, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2007, pp. 177-198; VOIGT (Kristin), *The Harshness Objection: Is Luck Egalitarianism Too Harsh on the Victims of Option Luck?*, Ethic Theory Moral Practice, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2007, pp. 389-407; MARKOVITS (Daniel), *Luck Egalitarianism and Political Solidarity*, Theoretical Inquiries in Law, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1176-1176; LANG (Gerald), *Luck Egalitarianism and the See-Saw Objection*, American Philosophical Quarterly, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2006, pp. 43-56; KAUFMAN (Alexander), *Choice, Responsibility and Equality*, Political Studies, Vol. 52, No. 4, 2004, pp. 819-836; GORDON (David), *The Politics of Good Fortune*, Mises Review, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2003; HURLEY (Susan L.), *Justice, Luck, and Knowledge*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2003; ANDERSON (Elizabeth S.), *What Is the Point of Equality?*, Ethics, Vol. 109, No. 2, 1999, pp. 287-337.

¹⁵⁷ LEVAL (Gaston), *L'Anarchisme et l'Abondancisme*, Paris: Libertaire, 1949, p. 31.

¹⁵⁸ See BLAKELEY (Ruth), *Human Rights, State Wrongs, and Social Change: The Theory and Practice of Emancipation*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 39, No. 3, 2013, pp. 599-619; BLAKELEY (Ruth), *State Terrorism and Neoliberalism*, op. cit.

¹⁵⁹ LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *The Network of Domination*, op. cit., pp. 7-9, 15-16, 18.

¹⁶⁰ MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Mikhail Bakunin: The Philosophical Basis of His Anarchism*, New York: Algora, 2002, p. 168. About Marx's controversial ideas on the domination of nature by humankind, see also WAGE SLAVE X, *Capitalism, Technology and the Environment*, Anarchist Library, 2010; LAYFIELD (David), *Marxism and Environmental Crises*, Bury St. Edmunds: Arena Books, 2008; WOLF (Frieder Otto), *The Missed Rendezvous of Critical Marxism and Ecological Feminism*, Capitalism Nature Socialism, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2007, pp. 109-125; LEISS (William), *Domination of Nature*, Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1994, p. 68; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom: The Emergence and Dissolution of Hierarchy*, New York: Black Rose Press, 1991, p. 65.

¹⁶¹ PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *What Is Property?*, op. cit., pp. 84-85.

¹⁶² CASH (Punk Johnny), *Natural Resources As Property Takes a New Dimension in the 21st Century*, Gonzo Times, Oct. 8, 2012.

¹⁶³ OLWIG (Kenneth Robert), *Historical Geography and the Society/Nature "Problematic": The Perspective of J. F. Schouw, G. P. Marsh and E. Reclus*, Journal of Historical Geography, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1980, p. 38.

¹⁶⁴ RECLUS (Elisée), *Nouvelle Géographie Universelle: La Terre et les Hommes*, Paris: Librairie Hachette, 1894.

¹⁶⁵ DUNBAR (Gary S.), *Élisée Reclus, Geographer and Anarchist*, Antipode, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 19-20.

¹⁶⁶ About his ideas and consequences, see MALTHUS (Thomas Robert), *An Essay on the Principle of Population*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992; MAYHEW (Robert J.), *Malthus: The Life and Legacies of an Untimely Prophet*, Cambridge:

Belknap Press, 2014; CULLATHER (Nick), "Stretching the Surface of the Earth": *The Foundations, Neo-Malthusianism and the Modernising Agenda*, *Global Society*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2014, pp. 104-112; KLYVE (Dominic), *Darwin, Malthus, Süßmilch, and Euler: The Ultimate Origin of the Motivation for the Theory of Natural Selection*, *Journal of the History of Biology*, Vol. 47, No. 2, 2014, pp. 189-212; MARGO (J. Anderson), *Malthus and American History*, *Reviews in American History*, Vol. 42, No. 1, 2014, pp. 43-50; MØLLER (Niels Framroze) & SHARP (Paul), *Malthus in Cointegration Space: Evidence of a Post-Malthusian Pre-Industrial England*, *Journal of Economic Growth*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2014, pp. 105-140; HILL (Ian E. J.), *The Rhetorical Transformation of the Masses from Malthus's "Redundant Population" into Marx's "Industrial Reserve Army"*, *Advances in the History of Rhetoric*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2014, pp. 88-97; LEVINE (David K.) & MODICA (Salvatore), *Anti-Malthus: Conflict and the Evolution of Societies*, *Research in Economics*, Vol. 67, No. 4, 2013, pp. 289-306; ECCLES (Neil), *Sustainable investment, Dickens, Malthus and Marx*, *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2013, pp. 287-302; HOFMANN (Klaus), *Beyond the Principle of Population: Malthus's Essay*, *European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2013, pp. 399-425; TELLMANN (Ute), *Catastrophic Populations and the Fear of the Future: Malthus and the Genealogy of Liberal Economy*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2013, pp. 135-155; PEURA (Pekka), *From Malthus to Sustainable Energy: Theoretical Orientations to Reforming the Energy Sector*, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 19, 2013, pp. 309-327; CLARK ET AL. (Gregory) AL., *Malthus, Wages, and Preindustrial Growth*, *Journal of Economic History*, Vol. 72, No. 2, 2012, pp. 364-392; BAVEL (Jan Van), *Economic, Social and Demographic Thought in the XIXth Century: The Population Debate from Malthus to Marx*, book review, *Population Studies*, Vol. 66, No. 3, 2012, pp. 348-351; REUVENY (Rafael), *Taking Stock of Malthus: Modeling the Collapse of Historical Civilizations*, *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 303-329; NEFEDOV (Sergei Aleksandrovich), *Neo-Malthusianism in the Modern Methodology of History*, *Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 82, No. 6, 2012, pp. 453-460; CHARBIT (Yves), *Economic, Social and Demographic Thought in the XIXth Century: The Population Debate from Malthus to Marx*, Dordrecht: Springer, 2009; HOFF (Derek S.), *The State and the Stork: The Population Debate and Policy Making in US History*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2012; ROBERTSON (Thomas), *The Malthusian Moment: Global Population Growth and the Birth of American Environmentalism*, New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2012; FREY (Marc), *Neo-Malthusianism and Development: Shifting Interpretations of a Contested Paradigm*, *Journal of Global History*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2011, pp. 75-97; SCHLOSSER (Kolson), *Malthus at Mid-Century*, op. cit.; FURLONG (Kathryn) ET AL., *Geographic Opportunity and Neomalthusian Willingness: Boundaries, Shared Rivers, and Conflict*, *International Interactions*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2006, pp. 79-108; ACCAMPO (Elinor Ann), *The Gendered Nature of Contraception in France: Neo-Malthusianism, 1900-1920*, *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2003, pp. 235-262; BRANDHORST (Henny), *From Neo-Malthusianism to Sexual Reform: The Dutch Section of the World League for Sexual Reform*, *Journal of the History of Sexuality*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2003, pp. 38-67; ELWELL (Frank W.), *A Commentary on Malthus' 1798 Essay on Population as Social Theory*, Lewiston: Edwin Mellen Press, 2001; HOLLANDER (Samuel), *The Economics of Thomas Robert Malthus*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1997; RAO (Mohan), *An Imagined Reality: Malthusianism, Neo-Malthusianism and Population Myth*, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 29, No. 5, 1994, pp. PE40-PE52; MATTHAEI (Julie), *Rethinking Scarcity: Neoclassicism, NeoMalthusianism, and NeoManrsm*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 16, No. 2-3, 1984, pp. 81-94; PRAAG (Ph. Van), *The Development of Neo-Malthusianism in Flanders*, *Population Studies*, Vol. 32, No. 3, 1978, pp. 467-480; LEROY (Marcel), *Neo-Malthusianism, Foreign Aid, and International Relations*, *International Journal*, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1975, pp. 26-43; MICKLEWRIGHT (F.H. Amphlett), *The Rise and Decline of English Neo-Malthusianism*, *Population Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1961, pp. 32-51; TAYLOR (Milton C.), *Neo Malthusianism in Puerto Rico*, *Review of Social Economy*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1952, pp. 42-54; MCNABB (Vincent), *The Ethics and Psychology of Neomalthusian Birth-Control*, *New Blackfriars*, Vol. 11, No. 126, 1930, pp. 549-557; HIMES (Norman E.), *Robert Dale Owen, The Pioneer of American Neo-Malthusianism*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 35, No. 4, 1930, pp. 529-547; HIMES (Norman E.), *McCulloch's Relation to the Neo-Malthusian Propaganda of His Time: An Episode in the History of English Neo-Malthusianism*, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 37, No. 1, 1929, pp. 73-86; HIMES (Norman E.), *The Place of John Stuart Mill and of Robert Owen in the History of English Neo-Malthusianism*, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 42, No. 4, 1928, pp. 627-640.

¹⁶⁷ FERRETTI (Federico), *Pioneers in the History of Cartography: The Geneva Map Collection of Élisée Reclus and Charles Perron*, *Journal of Historical Geography*, Vol. 43, No. 1, 2014, p. 86.

¹⁶⁸ DUNBAR (Gary S.), *Élisée Reclus: Historian of Nature*, Hamden: Archon Books, 1978, pp. 87-88.

¹⁶⁹ CLARK (John P.), *The Dialectical Social Geography of Élisée Reclus*, in LIGHT (Andrew) & SMITH (Jonathan M.) (ed.), *Space, Place, and Environmental Ethics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1997, p. 142 (note 72). See also LAPPÉ (Frances Moore) & COLLINS (Joseph), *World Hunger: Twelve Myths*, New York: Grove Weidenfeld, 1986.

¹⁷⁰ MALATESTA (Errico), *The Anarchist Revolution: Polemical Articles 1924-1931*, ed. and intr. by Vernon Richards, London: Freedom Press, 1995, p. 21-22; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2242, 2840.

¹⁷¹ BAUDOUIN (Axel), *Reclus, A Colonialist?*, *CyberGeo*, 2003, Art. 239, par. 71-72.

- ¹⁷² LEFF (Enrique), *Murray Bookchin and the End of Dialectical Naturalism*, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1998, p. 67.
- ¹⁷³ BEST (Steven), *Murray Bookchin's Theory of Social Ecology: An Appraisal of the Ecology of Freedom*, *Organization and Environment*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1998, p. 337.
- ¹⁷⁴ VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Feral*, op. cit., p. 24. About deep ecology, see DIEHM (Christian), *Darwin and Deep Ecology*, *Ethics and the Environment*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2014, pp. 73-93; ARETOULAKIS (Emmanouil), *Towards a PostHumanist Ecology*, *European Journal of English Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, pp. 172-190; KOBER (Gal), *For They Do Not Agree in Nature: Spinoza and Deep Ecology*, Vol. 18, No. 1, *Ethics and the Environment*, 2013, pp. 43-65; KRØVEL (Roy), *Revisiting Social and Deep Ecology in the Light of Global Warming*, op. cit.; KOPNINA (Helen), *The Lorax Complex: Deep Ecology, Ecocentrism and Exclusion*, *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2012, pp. 235-254; BESTHORN (Fred H.), *Deep Ecology's Contributions to Social Work: A Ten-Year Retrospective*, *International Journal of Social Welfare*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2012, pp. 248-259; MESSERSMITH-GLAVIN (Paul), *Between Social Ecology and Deep Ecology: Gary Snyder's Ecological Philosophy*, *Anarchist Library*, 2011; GLASSER (Harold), *Naess's Deep Ecology: Implications for the Human Prospect and Challenges for the Future*, *Inquiry*, Vol. 54, No. 1, 2011, pp. 52-77; ANONYMOUS, *Environmental Anarchism in Vermont: Anne Petermann of Global Justice Ecology Project*, *Anarchist Library*, 2010; PRICE (Andy), *Deep Ecology, Misanthropy, and the Genesis of the Bookchin Caricature*, *Communalism*, No. 1, 2009, pp. 44-61; BENDER (Frederic L.), *The Culture of Extinction: Toward a Philosophy of Deep Ecology*, Amherst: Humanity Books, 2003; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Syndicalism, Ecology and Feminism: Judi Bari's Vision*, *Anarchist Library*, 2001; KATZ (Eric) ET AL. (ed.), *Beneath the Surface: Critical Essays in the Philosophy of Deep Ecology*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2000; JOFF, *The Possibility of an Anti-Humanist Anarchism*, *Anarchist Library*, 2000; ORTEN (David), *Ecofascism: What Is It? A Left Biocentric Analysis*, *Anarchist Library*, 2000; ANONYMOUS, *Biocentrism: Ideology Against Nature*, *Anarchist Library*, 1999; SMITH (Mick), *To Speak Trees: Social Constructivism, Environmental Values and the Futures of Deep Ecology*, *Environmental Ethics*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 1999, pp. 359-376; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Whither Anarchism? A Reply to Recent Anarchist Critics*, *Anarchist Library*, 1998; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Comments on the International Social Ecology Network Gathering and the "Deep Social Ecology" of John Clark*, *Anarchist Library*, 1995; BOOKCHIN (Murray) ET AL., *Deep Ecology and Anarchism: A Polemic*, London: Freedom Press, 1993; CHASE (Steve) (ed.), *Defending the Earth: A Dialogue between Murray Bookchin and Dave Foreman*, foreword by David Levine, Boston: South End Press, 1991; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Crisis in the Ecology Movement*, *Anarchist Library*, 1988; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology versus 'Deep Ecology': A Challenge for the Ecology Movement*, *Raven*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1987, pp. 219-220; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Yes! – Whither Earth First?*, *Anarchist Library*, 1987; WATSON (David), *Swamp Fever, Primitivism and the "Ideological Vortex"*, op. cit.; WATSON (David), *How Deep Is Deep Ecology?*, *Anarchist Library*, 1989; LEE-LAMPSHIRE (Wendy), *Anthropomorphism without Anthropocentrism: A Wittgensteinian Ecofeminist Alternative to Deep Ecology*, *Ethics and the Environment*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1996, pp. 91-102; DRENGSON (Alan) & INOUE (Yuichi) (ed.), *The Deep Ecology Movement: An Introductory Anthology*, Berkeley: North Atlantic Books, 1995; SESSIONS (George) (ed.), *Deep Ecology for the 21st Century: Readings on the Philosophy and Practice of the New Environmentalism*, Boston: Shambhala, 1995; DEVALL (Bill) & SESSIONS (George), *Deep Ecology: Living as if Nature Mattered*, Salt Lake City: Peregrine Smith Books, 1985; GREY (William), *Anthropocentrism and Deep Ecology*, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 71, No. 4, 1993, pp. 463-475; NÆSS (Arne) & SESSIONS (George), *Basic Principles of Deep Ecology*, *Anarchist Library*, 1984; NÆSS (Arne), *Ecology, Community and Lifestyle: Outline of an Ecosophy*, trans. by David Rothenberg, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989; BARI (Judi), *Revolutionary Environmentalism: Biocentrism and Deep Ecology*, *Alarm*, 1995; BIEHL (Janet), *Theses on Social Ecology and Deep Ecology*, *Anarchist Library*, 1995; PEPPER (David), *Eco-Socialism: From Deep Ecology to Social Justice*, London: Routledge, 1993; ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.), *Rethinking the Heidegger-Deep Ecology Relationship*, *Environmental Ethics*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1993, pp. 195-225; LACHAPELLE (Dolores), *Sacred Land, Sacred Sex: Rapture of the Deep: Concerning Deep Ecology and Celebrating Life*, Silverton: Finn Hill Arts, 1988.
- ¹⁷⁵ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1094.
- ¹⁷⁶ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, op. cit., p. 76. See also MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1094.
- ¹⁷⁷ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 11-13, 17-19, 60, 81-82
- ¹⁷⁸ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Society and Ecology*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009, p. 3.
- ¹⁷⁹ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 96, 191.
- ¹⁸⁰ BOOKCHIN (Murray), op. cit., p. 63.
- ¹⁸¹ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, Boston: South End Press, 1990, p. 194.

- ¹⁸² CLARK (John P.), *Preface: A New Philosophy for the Green Movement*, in CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth: The Promise of Social Ecology: A Celebration of the Work of Murray Bookchin*, London: Green Print, 1990, p. 3.
- ¹⁸³ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., p. 188; WATSON (David), *Social Ecology and the Problem of Technology*, in LIGHT (Andrew) (ed.), *Social Ecology after Bookchin*, New York: Guilford Press, 1998, p. 236 (note 19).
- ¹⁸⁴ BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., p. 288. About Bookchin's ecological ideas and their critiques, see also BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology and Communalism*, Oakland and Edinburgh: AK Press, 2007; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Whither Anarchism?*, op. cit.; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit.; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Philosophy of Social Ecology: Essays on Dialectical Naturalism*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1990; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1987; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, op. cit.; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Libertarian Municipalism: An Overview*, Anarchist Library, 1991; BIEHL (Janet) (ed.), *The Murray Bookchin Reader*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1999; BIEHL (Janet) & BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Politics of Social Ecology: Libertarian Municipalism*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1998; BIEHL (Janet), *Mumford Gutkind Bookchin: The Emergence of Eco-Decentralism*, Porsgrunn: New Compass, 2011; BIEHL (Janet), *Bookchin Breaks with Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007; BRUCE (Corin), *Green Anarchism: Towards the Abolition of Hierarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2014, pp. 5-6; PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin: Social Ecology and the Crises of our Time*, Norway: New Compass Press, 2012; PRICE (Andy), *Deep Ecology, Misanthropy, and the Genesis of the Bookchin Caricature*, op. cit.; PRICE (Andy), *Communalism or Caricature: Patterns of Bookchin Critique*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2008, pp. 76-82; RAVEN (John), *Advancing and Defeating the PEGS Agenda: Socio-Cybernetics and Murray Bookchin*, Good Society, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2010, pp. 79-88; WHITE (Damian Finbar), *Bookchin: A Critical Appraisal*, London: Pluto Press, 2008; WHITE (Damian Finbar), *Hierarchy, Domination, Nature: Considering Bookchin's Critical Social Theory*, Organization and Environment, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2003, pp. 34-65; CURRAN (Giorel), *Murray Bookchin and the Domination of Nature*, Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1999, pp. 59-94; BLACK (Bob), *Withered Anarchism*, op. cit.; PRYCHITKO (David L.), *Expanding the Anarchist Range: A Critical Reappraisal of Rothbard's Contribution to the Contemporary Theory of Anarchism*, Review of Political Economy, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1997, pp. 433-455; SALLEH (Ariel), *Social Ecology and 'The Man Question'*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1996, pp. 258-273; WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin: Preface for a Future Social Ecology*, Brooklyn and Detroit: Autonomedia, 1996; RUDY (Alan) & LIGHT (Andrew), *Social Ecology and Social Labor: A Consideration and Critique of Murray Bookchin*, Capitalism Nature Socialism, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1995, pp. 75-106; CHASE (Steve) (ed.), *Defending the Earth*, op. cit.
- ¹⁸⁵ WATSON (David), *Against the Megamachine: Essays on Empire and Its Enemies*, Brooklyn: Autonomedia, 1998, p. 75.
- ¹⁸⁶ About "creative destruction" in capitalism, see SCHUMPETER (Joseph Alois), *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy*, intr. by Tom Bottomore, 3rd ed., New York: Harper and Row, 1975; MAZZUCATO (Mariana), *Financing Innovation: Creative Destruction vs. Destructive Creation*, Industrial and Corporate Change, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2013, pp. 851-867; BERGEK (Anna) ET AL., *Technological Discontinuities and the Challenge for Incumbent Firms: Destruction, Disruption or Creative Accumulation?*, Research Policy, Vol. 42, No. 6-7, 2013, pp. 1210-1224; SPENCER (Aron S.) & KIRCHHOFF (Bruce A.), *Schumpeter and New Technology Based Firms: Towards a Framework for How NTBFs Cause Creative Destruction*, International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2006, pp. 145-156; FOSTER (Richard N.) & KAPLAN (Sarah), *Creative Destruction: Why Companies that are Built to Last Underperform the Market, and How to Successfully Transform Them*, New York: Currency/Doubleday, 2001; METCALFE (J. Stanley), *Evolutionary Economics and Creative Destruction*, London: Routledge, 1998; NOLAN (Richard L.) & CROSON (David C.), *Creative Destruction: A Six-Stage Process for Transforming the Organization*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1995.
- ¹⁸⁷ PEET (Richard), *The Geography of Human Liberation*, Antipode, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 127, 130.
- ¹⁸⁸ PEET (Richard), *The Geography of Human Liberation*, op. cit., pp. 130-132.
- ¹⁸⁹ KATZ (Eric), *The Call of the Wild: The Struggle Against Domination and the Technological Fix of Nature*, Environmental Ethics, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1992, pp. 265-273; KING (Roger), *Critical Reflections on Biocentric Environmental Ethics: Is It an Alternative to Anthropocentrism?*, in LIGHT (Andrew) & SMITH (Jonathan M.) (ed.), *Space, Place, and Environmental Ethics*, op. cit., p. 211.
- ¹⁹⁰ KATZ (Eric), *The Liberation of Humanity and Nature*, Environmental Values, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2002, p. 404. See also RODMAN (John), *The Liberation of Nature?*, Inquiry, Vol. 20, No. 1-4, 1977, pp. 83-131; SINGER (Peter), *Animal Liberation: A New Ethics for Our Treatment of Animals*, New York: New York Review and Random House, 1975.
- ¹⁹¹ See AVELAR (Idelber), *Contemporary Intersections of Ecology and Culture: On Amerindian Perspectivism and the Critique of Anthropocentrism*, Revista de Estudios Hispánicos, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2014, pp. 105-121; RAE (Gavin), *Heidegger's Influence on*

Posthumanism: The Destruction of Metaphysics, Technology and the Overcoming of Anthropocentrism, History of the Human Sciences, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2014, pp. 51-69; KAHRIMAN-OZTURK (Deniz) ET AL., *A Qualitative Study on Turkish Preschool Children's Environmental Attitudes through Ecocentrism and Anthropocentrism*, International Journal of Science Education, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2012, pp. 629-650; BODDICE (Rob) (ed.), *Anthropocentrism: Humans, Animals, Environments*, Leiden, Brill: 2011; *Anthropocentric vs Non-Anthropocentric Environmental Ethics*, Capilano University OpenCourseWare, 2007; HAY (Peter R.), *Main Currents in Western Environmental Thought*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2002; PLUMWOOD (Val), *Environmental Culture: The Ecological Crisis of Reason*, London: Routledge, 2002; GREY (William), *Environmental Value and Anthropocentrism*, Ethics and the Environment, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1998, pp. 97-103; GREY (William), *Anthropocentrism and Deep Ecology*, op. cit.; KING (Roger), *Critical Reflections on Biocentric Environmental Ethics*, op. cit., pp. 209-230; LEE-LAMPSHIRE (Wendy), *Anthropomorphism without Anthropocentrism*, op. cit.; BIRCH (Thomas H.), *The Incarceration of Wildness: Wilderness Areas as Prisons*, Environmental Ethics, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1990, pp. 3-26.

¹⁹² SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., pp. 70-71.

¹⁹³ ZERZAN (John), *Against Technology: A Talk by John Zerzan (April 23, 1997)*, Anarchist Library, 2006, p. 8.

¹⁹⁴ SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., p. 79.

¹⁹⁵ About anarcho-primitivism and its criticisms, see also SMITH (Mick), *The State of Nature: The Political Philosophy of Primitivism and the Culture of Contamination*, Environmental Values, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2002, pp. 407-425; BECKER (Michael), *Anarcho-Primitivism*, op. cit.; SEELY (Mark R.), *The Revolutionary Posture of Anarcho-Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2012; VADÉN (Tere), *Notes on an Anarchist Theory of Language, or, A Sympathetic Critique of Zerzan's Primitivist Refusal of Symbolic Language*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2011, pp. 241-264; WILDROOTS, *In Defense of Omnivorousness*, Anarchist Library, 2011; ANONYMOUS, *Why Civilization?*, Anarchist Library, 2011; BLACK FLAG, *Irrationalism: Steve Booth Against "The Machine"*, Anarchist Library, 2011; REDWOLFRETURNS, *Wild Peace: Healing Relationships through Primal Awareness*, Anarchist Library, 2011; REDWOLFRETURNS, *"Now What?": A Primitivist Strategy Proposal*, Anarchist Library, 2004; GURNOW (Michael), *"The Folly of Beginning a Work Before We Count the Cost": Anarcho-Primitivism in Daniel Defoe's Robinson Crusoe*, Anarchist Library, 2010; BLACK (Bob), *Book Filled with Lies*, Anarchist Library, 2010; ALBERT (Michael), *Anarchism = Zerzan?*, Anarchist Library, 2010; TUCKER (Kevin), *Against Cultivation and in Defense of Wildness*, Anarchist Library, 2010; TUCKER (Kevin), *Revolt of the Savages: Primitive Revolts against Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2009; AUFHEBEN, *Civilization and Its Latest Discontents: A Review of Against His-story! Against Leviathan!*, Anarchist Library, 2010; JARACH (Lawrence), *Why I Am Not An Anti-Primitivist*, Anarchist Library, 2010; JARACH (Lawrence), *Why Primitivism (Without Adjectives) Makes Me Nervous*, Infoshop News, Aug.16, 2002; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *Barbaric Thoughts: On a Revolutionary Critique of Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2010; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *A Critique, Not a Program: For a Non-Primitivist Anti-Civilization Critique*, Anarchist Library, 2007; WHITTENBERG-JAMES (Bobby), *Economic Nihilism: An Anarchist Case Against Economic*, Anarchist Library, 2010; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The Origins of Primitivism (1977-1988)*, Anarchist Library, 2010; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Dialog on Primitivism*, op. cit.; ZERZAN (John), *On the Transition: Postscript to Future Primitive*, Anarchist Library, 2011; ZERZAN (John), *Future Primitive*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ZERZAN (John) (ed.), *Against Civilization: Readings and Reflections*, Los Angeles: Feral House, 2005; ZERZAN (John), *Running on Emptiness: The Pathology of Civilization*, Los Angeles: Feral House, 2002; ZERZAN (John), *Why Primitivism?*, Anarchist Library, 2002; ZERZAN (John), *Future Primitive and Other Essays*, Brooklyn: Autonomedia, 1994; NIHILO ZERO, *A Primitivist Response to Andrew Flood's Question: Is Primitivism Realistic?*, Anarchist Library, 2010; FLOOD (Andrew), *Primitivism, Anarcho-Primitivism and Anti-Civilisationism: Criticism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; FLOOD (Andrew), *Is Primitivism Realistic? An Anarchist Reply to John Zerzan and Others*, Anarchist Library, 2005; KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *The Truth about Primitive Life: A Critique of Anarchoprimitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2008; PRIEUR (Ran), *Beyond Civilized and Primitive*, Anarchist Library, 2008; ABDELRAHIM (Layla), *Avatar: An Anarcho-Primitivist Picture of the History of the World*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ABDELRAHIM (Layla), *On Objects, Love, and Objectifications: Children in a Material World*, Anarchist Library, 2002; MCKAY (Iain), *Letters Against Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., especially pp. 156-160, 186-198; BEY (Hakim), *Primitives and Extropians*, Anarchist Library, 2009; WOOD (Alden), *The Ethics of the Natural World: An Anarcho-Primitivist Synthesis of William Faulkner's "The Bear"*, Anarchist Library, 2009; LADD (Brent), *Realities of Going Primitive*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MOORE (John), *A Primitivist Primer*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MOORE (John), *Comin' Home: Defining Anarcho-Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; LUDDITE (Laurel), *This is Anarcha-Herbalism: Thoughts on Health and Healing for the Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2009; TAYLOR (Bron Raymond), *The Tributaries of Radical Environmentalism*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2008, pp. 27-61; THORPE (Charles) & WELSH (Ian), *Beyond Primitivism: Towards a Twenty-First Century Anarchist Theory and Praxis for Science and Technology*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2008, pp. 48-75; USUL OF THE BLACKFOOT, *Post-Civ!: A Deeper Exploration*, Anarchist Library, 2008; O'DRISCOLL (Bill), *Wild Times Ahead: Waiting for the End of Civilization with Anarcho-Primitivist Kevin Tucker*, Infoshop, July 13, 2006; GODESKY (Jason), *Thirty Theses*,

Anarchist Library, 2006; GODESKY (Jason), *5 Common Objections to Primitivism and Why They're Wrong*, Anarchist Library, 2005; ANONYMOUS, *Anthropology and John Zerzan: A Brief Critique*, Anarchist Library, 2005; ANONYMOUS, *What Is Anarcho-Primitivism?*, Anarchist Library, 2005; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reconsidering Primitivism, Technology, and the Wild*, op. cit.; PETARD (Paul), *John Zerzan and the Primitive Confusion, by En Attendant: A Review*, Anarchist Library, 2004; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anti-Civ Gatherings*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles on Animal and Earth Liberation Struggles from "Green Anarchist"*, op. cit.; SHEPPARD (Brian Oliver), *Anarchism vs. Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2003; XSILENT, *The Conundrums of Dismantling Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 2003; BOOTH (Stephen), *Primitivism: An Illusion with No Future*, Anarchist Library, 2001; KNABB (Ken), *The Poverty of Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2001; CAMPBELL (Duncan), *Anarchy in the USA*, Anarchist Library, 2001; VANDIVER (Pendleton), *Anarchist Epistemology*, Anarchist Library, 2001; WATSON (David), *Swamp Fever, Primitivism and the "Ideological Vortex"*, op. cit.; C. (Alain), *John Zerzan and the Primitive Confusion*, Anarchist Library, 2000; HEINBERG (Richard), *The Primitivist Critique of Civilization*, Anarchist Library, 1995; ANONYMOUS, *Primitivism: Back to Basics?*, Anarchist Library, 1995; THE RED MENACE, *Demolition Derby: Reflections on 'Primitivism'*, Anarchist Library, 1989; BODLEY (John H.), *Anthropology and Contemporary Human Problems*, 2nd ed., Mountain View: Mayfield, 1983; DIAMOND (Stanley), *In Search of the Primitive: A Critique of Civilization*, New Brunswick: Transaction Books, 1974; EISELEY (Loren), *The Invisible Pyramid*, London: Rupert Charles Hart-Davis, 1970; SAHLINS (Marshal), *Stone Age Economics*, Chicago: Aldine, 1968.

196 About this bloody Age, see ARNOLD (David), *The Age of Discovery, 1400-1600*, 2nd ed., New York: Routledge, 2002; LOVE (Ronald S.), *Maritime Exploration in the Age of Discovery, 1415-1800*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 2006; MANCALL (Peter C.), *The Age of Discovery*, in MASUR (Louis P.) (ed.), *The Challenge of American History*, Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1999, pp. 26-53; DEVOTO (Bernard Augustine), *The Course of Empire*, New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 1998; FINLAY (Robert), *The Treasure-Ships of Zheng He: Chinese Maritime Imperialism in the Age of Discovery*, *Terrae Incognitae*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 1991, pp. 1-12; CIPOLLA (Carlo M.), *European Culture and Overseas Expansion*, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1970.

197 In this regard, see MOHDIN (Aamna), *Stephen Hawking: Humanity Will only Survive by Colonizing other Planets*, Quartz, Jan. 19, 2016; JOHN (Tara), *Stephen Hawking: Disaster on Earth Is Becoming a 'Near Certainty'*, Time, Jan. 19, 2016; SAMPLE (Ian), *Most Threats to Humans Come from Science and Technology, Warns Hawking*, Guardian, Jan. 19, 2016; GRIFFIN (Andrew), *Stephen Hawking: Humanity Needs to Live in Space or Die Out, Physicist Warns via Hologram*, Independent, Apr. 27, 2015; SLOBODIAN (Rayna Elizabeth), *Selling Space Colonization and Immortality: A Psychosocial, Anthropological Critique of the Rush to Colonize Mars*, *Acta Astronautica*, Vol. 113, 2015, pp. 89-104; NASA, *Space Settlement*, Dec. 1, 2014; TIZIANI (Moreno), *The Colonization of Space: An Anthropological Outlook*, *Antrocom Online Journal of Anthropology*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2013 pp. 225-236; SMITH (Cameron McPherson) & DAVIES (Evan Tyler Suliëman), *Emigrating Beyond Earth: Human Adaptation and Space Colonization*, New York: Springer, 2012; SEEDHOUSE (Eri), *Interplanetary Outpost: The Human and Technological Challenges of Exploring the Outer Planets*, Berlin: Springer, 2012; SEEDHOUSE (Erik), *Martian Outpost: The Challenges of Establishing a Human Settlement on Mars*, Berlin: Springer, 2009; WILLIAMS (Lynda), *Irrational Dreams of Space Colonization*, *Peace Review*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2010, pp. 4-8; ANKER (Peder), *The Ecological Colonization of Space*, *Environmental History*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2005, pp. 239-268; HARRISON (Albert A.), *Spacefaring: The Human Dimension*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001.

198 PESSOA (Fernando), *The Selected Prose of Fernando Pessoa*, ed. and trans. by Richard Zenith, New York: Grove Press, 2001, p. 150.

199 See HAGEVI (Magnus), *Religion and the Environmental Opinion in 22 Countries: A Comparative Study*, *International Review of Sociology*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2014, pp. 91-109; CHUKWUNONYELUM (Ani Casimir Kingston) ET AL., *Philosophy, Religion and the Environment in Africa: The Challenge of Human Value Education and Sustainability*, *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 1, No. 6, 2013, pp. 62-72; WU (Tsui-Jung), *A Critical Reflection of Christian Anthropocentrism and Ecological Crisis from a Taoist Perspective: A Contribution to Christian-Taoist Eco-Theology*, Master's thesis, University of Birmingham, 2011; SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., pp. 10-16, 68; JONES (Alex), *'Ecoscience' Blames Judeo-Christian Religions for Overpopulation, Environmental Destruction*, *Infowars*, Dec. 10, 2009; YAACOB (Mashitoh), *Religious Factors and Environmental Behaviour: A Review of the Professional Literature*, *Jurnal Pengajian Umum*, Vol. 7, 2006, pp. 27-40; TALIAFERRO (Charles), *Vices and Virtues in Religious Environmental Ethics*, in SANDLER (Ronald) & CAFARO (Philip) (ed.), *Environmental Virtue Ethics*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2005, pp. 159-172; GOODCHILD (Philip), *Capitalism and Religion: The Price of Piety*, London: Routledge, 2002; CARTER (Dee), *Unholy Alliances: Religion, Science, and Environment*, *Zygon*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2001, pp. 357-372; HAYES (Bernadette C.) & MARANGUDAKIS (Manussos), *Religion and Environmental Issues within Anglo-American Democracies*, *Review of Religious Research*, Vol. 42, No. 2, 2000, pp. 159-174; BOYD (Heather Hartwig), *Christianity and the Environment in the American Public*, *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 1999, pp. 36-44; LEA (David R.), *Christianity and Western Attitudes towards the Natural Environment*, *History of European Ideas*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1994, pp. 513-524; GREELEY (Andrew), *Religion and Attitudes toward the Environment*, *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*,

Vol. 32, No. 1, 1993, pp. 19-28; HOGE (Dean R.), *Judeo-Christian Values and the Ecological Crisis*, in PEACHEY (Paul) ET AL. (ed.), *The Place of the Person in Social Life*, Washington, D.C.: Council for Research in Values and Philosophy, 1991, pp. 269 ff.; HAND (Carl M.) & LIERE (Kent D. Van), *Religion, Mastery-Over-Nature, and Environmental Concern*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 63, No. 2, 1984, pp. 555-570.

200 SHEPARD (Paul), *Nature and Madness*, San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1982.

201 ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.), *Ecofascism: An Enduring Temptation*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy: From Animal Rights to Radical Ecology*, 4th ed., Upper Saddle River: Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2005, p. 393. Professor Zimmerman has however highlighted the dark side of Walter Schoenichen, since he “explicitly portrayed his ecosophy as consistent with the *Blut und Boden* (“blood and soil”) racism of German National Socialism.” ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.), *Ecofascism*, op. cit., p. 394. About Schoenichen’s ideas on the environment, see also HÖLZL (Richard), *Nature Conservation in the Age of Classical Modernity: The Landesaussschuss Für Naturpflege and the Bund Naturschutz in Bavaria*, *Bulletin of the German Historical Institute*, Supplement 3, 2006, pp. 27-52.

202 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *What Is Social Ecology?*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., pp. 471-473; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, op. cit., p. 76; BAUGH (Graham), *The Politics of Social Ecology*, in CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth*, op. cit., p. 97.

203 Quoted in EASTMAN (Charles A.), *Sitting Bull*, in BRENNAN (Stephen Vincent) (ed.), *Classic American Hero Stories: Twelve Inspirational Tales of American Heroism*, Guilford: Lyons Press, 2005, p. 226.

204 DOBUZINSKIS (Laurent), *Is Progressive Environmentalism an Oxymoron?*, *Critical Review*, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 283.

205 JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit., p. 212.

206 MCISAAC (Paul), *Ecology and the Left*, in CHASE (Steve) (ed.), *Defending the Earth*, op. cit., p. 54.

207 ELY (John), *Animism and Anarchism*, in CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth*, op. cit., p. 49.

208 ZERZAN (John), *No Way Out?*, *Anarchist Library*, 2003, p. 6.

209 ARETOULAKIS (Emmanouil), *Towards a PostHumanist Ecology*, *European Journal of English Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2014, p. 176. For more information about animism, see also WALLACE (Mark I.), *A Beaked and Feathered God: Rediscovering Christian Animism*, *Tikkun*, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2014, pp. 33-36; JENSEN (Casper Bruun) & BLOK (Anders), *Techno-Animism in Japan: Shinto Cosmograms, Actor-Network Theory, and the Enabling Powers of Non-Human Agencies*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2013, pp. 84-115; PAPAPETROS (Spyros), *Movements of the Soul: Traversing Animism, Fetishism, and the Uncanny*, *Discourse*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2012, pp. 185-208; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Freedom and Necessity in Nature: A Problem in Ecological Ethics*, *Anarchist Library*, 2011; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *On Spontaneity and Organisation*, *Anarchist Library*, 1975, pp. 21-22; HORNBORG (Anne-Christine), *Mi'kmaq Landscapes: From Animism to Sacred Ecology*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2008; BRENNAN (Andrew), *Environmental Ethics*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Jan. 3, 2008; TAYLOR (Bron Raymond), *The Tributaries of Radical Environmentalism*, op. cit.; WILLERSLEV (Rane), *Soul Hunters: Hunting, Animism and Personhood among the Siberian Yukaghirs*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007; HORNBORG (Alf), *Animism, Fetishism, and Objectivism as Strategies for Knowing (or not Knowing) the World*, *Ethnos*, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2006, pp. 22-24; INGOLD (Tim), *Rethinking the Animate, Re-Animating Thought*, *Ethnos*, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2006, pp. 9-20; HARVEY (Graham), *Animism: Respecting the Living World*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2006; BIRD-DAVID (Nurit), *Animism Revisited: Personhood, Environment, and Relational Epistemology*, in HARVEY (Graham) (ed.), *Readings in Indigenous Religions*, London: Continuum, 2002, pp. 72-105; ORR (Emma Restall), *Animism, Anarchy and Living Druidry*, *Sacred Hoop*, 2004; READ (Malcolm Kevin), *Ideologies of the Spanish Transition Revisited: Juan Huarte de San Juan, Juan Carlos Rodriguez, and Noam Chomsky*, *Journal of Medieval and Early Modern Studies*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2004, pp. 309-343; ANONYMOUS, *The Malleus Maleficarum – The Hammer of Witches: A Review*, *Anarchist Library*, 2003; STRINGER (Martin D.), *Rethinking Animism: Thoughts from the Infancy of Our Discipline*, *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1999, pp. 541-555; BLACK (Bob), *Anarchy after Leftism*, op. cit., pp. 127, 138; TYLOR (Edward Burnett), *Primitive Culture: Researches into the Development of Mythology, Philosophy, Religion, Art and Custom*, London: John Murray, 1871.

210 In this regard, see FINKE (Laurie) & SHICHTMAN (Martin B.), *Arthur Pendragon, Eco-Warrio*, *Arthuriana*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 2013, pp. 5-19; MAREŠ (Miroslav), *Environmental Radicalism and Extremism in Postcommunist Europe*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2008, pp. 91-107; TAYLOR (Bron Raymond), *The Tributaries of Radical Environmentalism*, op. cit.; WATSON (David), *Against the Megamachine: Empire and the Earth*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., pp. 479-495; WATSON (David), *Against the Megamachine: Essays on Empire and Its Enemies*, op. cit.; HUGGAN (Graham), *“Greening” Postcolonialism: Ecocritical Perspectives*, *MFS Modern Fiction Studies*, Vol. 50, No. 3, 2004,

- pp. 701-733; FOREMAN (Dave), *Second Thoughts of an Eco-Warrior*, in CHASE (Steve) (ed.), *Defending the Earth*, op. cit., pp. 107-119; FOREMAN (Dave), *Confessions of An Eco-Warrior*, New York: Crown, 1991; SCHWARTZ (Martin D.), *Environmental Terrorism: Analyzing the Concept*, *Journal of Peace Research*, Vol. 35, No. 4 1998, pp. 483-96.
- 211 PORCU (Pierleone), *Against Technology*, Anarchist Library, 1988, p. 2.
- 212 PORCU (Pierleone), *Against Technology*, op. cit., pp. 2-4.
- 213 LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *The Network of Domination*, op. cit., pp. 6, 14, 17.
- 214 LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), op. cit., pp. 10, 15-17.
- 215 HORKHEIMER (Max) & ADORNO (Theodor W.), *Dialectic of Enlightenment: Philosophical Fragments*, ed. by Gunzelin Schmid Noerr, trans. by Edmund Jephcott, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2002.
- 216 ZERZAN (John), *Against Technology*, op. cit., pp. 3-4, 7, 10-11. About the question of *neutrality of technology*, see also WILSON (Matt) & KLEINER (Dmytri), *Luddite Cybercommunism: An Email Exchange*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 73-75; BOOTH (Stephen), *Primitivism*, op. cit., pp. 42-43.
- 217 SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, pp. 96-97.
- 218 See also JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit.
- 219 KERR (Clark) ET AL., *Industrialism and Industrial Man: The Problems of Labor and Management in Economic Growth*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1960, p. 1.
- 220 ZERZAN (John), *Industrialism and Its Discontents: The Luddites and Their Inheritors*, Anarchist Library, 2013, pp. 2, 4-6, 11-12, 15.
- 221 About these Revolutions, see SHELTON (Lane), *OMG 2003! So Much Noise*, *CIO*, Oct. 7, 2014; CLABURN (Thomas), *Make Internet-Ready Products, or Else*, *InformationWeek*, Sep. 25, 2014; DRATH (Rainer) & HORCH (Alexander), *Industrie 4.0: Hit or Hype?*, *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2014, pp. 56-58; BOJANOVA (Irena), *The Digital Revolution: Whats on the Horizon?*, *IT Professional*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2014, pp. 8-12; HILL (Symon), *Digital Revolutions*, op. cit.; DOSI (Giovanni) & GALAMBOS (Louis) (ed.), *The Third Industrial Revolution in Global Business*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; LIXING (Zou), *The Third Industrial Revolution and Development Strategies of China*, *International Affairs Forum*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2013, pp. 79-82; MUNTONE (Stephanie), *Second Industrial Revolution*, *Education.com*, Feb. 4, 2012; LEVIN (Miriam R.) ET AL., *Urban Modernity: Cultural Innovation in the Second Industrial Revolution*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2010; LANZOLLA (Gianvito) & ANDERSON (Jamie), *The Digital Revolution Is Over. Long Live the Digital Revolution!*, *Business Strategy Review*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2010, pp. 74-77; RUSSELL (Andrew L.), *"Industrial Legislatures": Consensus Standardization in the Second and Third Industrial Revolutions*, *Enterprise and Society*, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2009, pp. 661-674; VIJAYACHANDRAN (Kuttappan), *Socialism and the Second Industrial Revolution*, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 43, No. 33, 2008, pp. 74-77; ATKESON (Andrew) & KEHOE (Patrick J.), *Modeling the Transition to a New Economy: Lessons from Two Technological Revolutions*, *American Economic Review*, Vol. 97, No. 1, 2007, pp. 64-88; RIDER (Christine) (ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Age of the Industrial Revolution, 1700-1920*, 2 Vol., Westport: Greenwood Press, 2007; BEAUDREAU (Bernard C.), *The Economic Consequences of Mr. Keynes: How the Second Industrial Revolution Passed Great Britain*, New York: iUniverse, 2006; AMARAVADI (Chandra S.), *The World and Business Computing in 2051*, *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2003, pp. 373-386; LEVY (David A. L.), *Europe's Digital Revolution: Broadcasting Regulation, the EU, and the Nation State*, London: Routledge, 2001; HULL (James P.), *The Second Industrial Revolution: The History of a Concept*, *Storia Della Storiografia*, No. 36, 1999, pp. 81-90; HULL (James P.), *From Rostow to Chandler to You: How Revolutionary Was the Second Industrial Revolution?*, *Journal of European Economic History*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1996, pp. 191-208; GRAHAM (Andrew), *Broadcasting Policy and the Digital Revolution*, *Political Quarterly*, Vol. 69, No. B, 1998, pp. 30-42; RICKARDS (Tudor) & MOGER (Susan), *Special Feature: The Second Great Industrial Revolution*, *Creativity and Innovation Management*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1998, pp. 140-147; BOTTICELLI (Peter), *The British Engineering Press during the Second Industrial Revolution: Responses to Corporate Capitalism*, *Business History Review*, Vol. 71, No. 2, 1997, pp. 260-286; CONSTABLE (Geoffrey), *Managing Product design: The Next Industrial Revolution*, *IEE Review*, Vol. 40, No. 2, 1994, pp. 79-82; FINKELSTEIN (Joseph), *The American Economy from the Great Crash to the Third Industrial Revolution*, Arlington Heights: Harlan Davidson, 1992; FINKELSTEIN (Joseph) & NEWMAN (David), *The Third Industrial Revolution: A Special Challenge to Managers*, *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1984, pp. 53-65; COOPER (Charles) & KAPLINSKY (Raphael) (ed.), *Technology and Development in the Third Industrial Revolution*, London: Frank Cass, 1989; STINE (George Harry), *The Third Industrial Revolution*, New York: Putnam, 1975; HELFGOTT (Roy B.), *America's Third Industrial Revolution*, *Challenge*, Vol. 29, No. 5, 1986, pp. 41-46; WEINER (Norbert), *The Human Use of Human Beings*, London: Eyre and Spottiswoode, 1954.

- 222 MARSZALEK (Bernard), *The Luddites' 200th Birthday: Happy Birthday, King Ludd!*, Anarchist Library, 2012, pp. 2-3.
- 223 MARSZALEK (Bernard), *The Luddites' 200th Birthday*, op. cit., pp. 3-4.
- 224 MARSZALEK (Bernard), op. cit., p. 4.
- 225 MARSZALEK (Bernard), *The Luddites' 200th Birthday*, op. cit., pp. 5-6. About Luddism, see also BEY (Hakim), *Living under Sick Machines*, interview by Jarrett Earnest, Brooklyn Rail, June 5, 2014; UNCFC, *Luddite Goals and Principles*, Anarchist Library, 2014; JACOBI (John), *The Luddite Method*, Anarchist Library, 2014; JACOBI (John), *Placing Our Bets*, Anarchist Library, 2014; JACOBI (John), *Why I Am Leaving Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2014; MCDONALD (Riley), *The Frame-Breakers: Thomas Pynchon's Post-Human Luddites*, Canadian Review of American Studies, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2014, pp. 102-121; JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit.; GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!*, op. cit., pp. 127-135; SALE (Kirkpatrick), *Rebels Against the Future: Lessons from the Luddites*, in ZERZAN (John) (ed.), *Against Civilization*, op. cit., pp. 247-251; SALE (Kirkpatrick), *Rebels Against the Future: The Luddites and Their War on the Industrial Revolution: Lessons for the Computer Age*, Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1996; BINFIELD (Kevin), *Writings of the Luddites*, Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004; JONES (Nancy L.), *Scientism or Luddism: Is Informed Ethical Dialogue Possible?*, American Journal of Bioethics, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2004, pp. 18-20; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reconsidering Primitivism, Technology, and the Wild*, op. cit.; FOX (Nicols), *Against the Machine: The Hidden Luddite History in Literature, Art, and Individual Lives*, Washington, D.C.: Island Press, 2003; BANNING (Doresa), *Modern Day Luddites*, Media Technologies and Society, Nov. 30, 2001; BAILET (Brian), *The Luddite Rebellion*, New York: New York University Press, 1998; GRINT (Keith) & WOOLGAR (Steve), *The Machine at Work: Technology, Work, and Organization*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 1997; DO OR DIE, *The Luddites War on Industry*, op. cit.; KELLY (Kevin), *Interview with the Luddite*, Wired Magazine, No. 3.06, 1995; NOBLE (David F.), *Progress Without People: New Technology, Unemployment, and the Message of Resistance*, Toronto: Between the Lines, 1995, pp. 3-23; ROSZAK (Theodore), *The Cult of Information: A Neo-Luddite Treatise on High-Tech, Artificial Intelligence, and the True Art of Thinking*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994; GARDNER (Edith Anne), *Revolutionary Readings: Mary Shelley's Frankenstein and the Luddite Uprisings*, PhD thesis, University of Iowa, 1993; RANDALL (Adrian), *Before the Luddites: Custom, Community and Machinery in the English Woollen Industry, 1776-1809*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991; PYNCHON (Thomas), *Is It OK to Be a Luddite?*, New York Times Book Review, Oct. 28, 1984, pp. 1, 40-41; THOMPSON (Edward Palmer), *The Making of the English Working Class*, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1980; SZYMANSKI (Al), *Braverman as a Neo-Luddite?*, Critical Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1978 pp. 45-50; FORCIER (Michael), *In Defense of Labor and Monopoly Capital: Comments On Szymanski's "Braverman as a Neo-Luddite?"*, Critical Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1979, pp. 56-57; ZERZAN (John and Paula), *The Luddites: A History of Machine Breaking at the Dawn of Capitalism*, Sacramento: Chrysalis Books, 1976; PEEL (Frank), *The Risings of the Luddites, Chartists and Plugdrawers*, intr. by Edward Palmer Thompson, 4th ed., London: F. Cass, 1968.
- 226 *Anarchists 'Shot Nuclear Boss Roberto Adinolfi'*, BBC News, May 11, 2012; KINGTON (Tom), *Italian Anarchists Kneecap Nuclear Executive and Threaten more Shootings*, Guardian, May 11, 2012. About this attack, see also KINGTON (Tom), *Kneecapping of Italian Nuclear Power Firm boss Prompts Terrorism Fears*, Guardian, May 7, 2012; RALPH (Talia), *Roberto Adinolfi, CEO of Italian Nuclear Firm, Shot by Gunmen in Genoa*, Global Post, May 7, 2012; *Roberto Adinolfi, Italian Nuclear Firm CEO, Shot In Genoa*, Huffington Post, May 7, 2012.
- 227 See KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Technological Slavery*, intr. by David Skrbina, 2nd ed., Port Townsend: Feral House, 2010, pp. 126 ff.; KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *The Truth about Primitive Life*, op. cit.
- 228 KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *The Truth about Primitive Life*, op. cit., p. 13.
- 229 See ELLUL (Jacques), *The "Autonomy" of the Technological Phenomenon*, in SCHARFF (Robert C.) & DUSEK (Val) (ed.), *Philosophy of Technology: The Technological Condition*, Malden: Blackwell, 2003, pp. 386-397; WINNER (Langdon), *Autonomous Technology: Technics-out-of-Control as a Theme in Political Thought*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1978.
- 230 KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *The Truth about Primitive Life*, op. cit., pp. 13-14.
- 231 HOLLANDER (Paul), *The Survival of the Adversary Culture: Social Criticism and Political Escapism in American Society*, foreword by Sidney Hook, New Brunswick: Transaction Books, 1988; KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Technological Slavery*, op. cit., pp. 14-15.
- 232 JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit., pp. 173-209. In this case, see also HOLT (Thomas J.), *Examining the Role of Technology in the Formation of Deviant Subcultures*, Social Science Computer Review, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2010, pp. 466-481; WILLIAMS (J. Patrick), *Authentic Identities Straightedge Subculture, Music, and the Internet*, Journal of Contemporary Ethnography, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2006, pp. 173-200.
- 233 KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Technological Slavery*, op. cit., p. 15.

-
- 234 KACZYNSKI (Theodore John), *Hit Where It Hurts*, Anarchist Library, 2002, pp. 3, 6, 11.
- 235 See AUFHEBEN, *Civilization and Its Latest Discontents*, op. cit.
- 236 MUMFORD (Lewis), *Technics and Civilization*, New York: Harcourt Brace, 1934.
- 237 THORPE (Charles) & WELSH (Ian), *Beyond Primitivism*, op. cit., pp. 48-50.
- 238 FLOOD (Andrew), *Primitivism, Anarcho-Primitivism and Anti-Civilisationism*, op. cit., pp. 3, 5, 16.
- 239 Ibid., p. 5.
- 240 Ibid., p. 16. For more information about the criticisms of the radical approach to technology, see also FLOOD (Andrew), *Is Primitivism Realistic?*, op. cit.; BOOTH (Stephen), *Primitivism*, op. cit.
- 241 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 2. About the response of some anarchists to the critiques of Bookchin, see PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 200-207; SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., pp. 74-75.
- 242 STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civl*, op. cit., p. 3.
- 243 FLOOD (Andrew), *Primitivism, Anarcho-Primitivism and Anti-Civilisationism*, op. cit., p. 16.
- 244 JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit., p. 9.
- 245 See WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 119-167.
- 246 See RESTIVO (Sal), *Science, Sociology of Science, and the Anarchist Tradition*, Raven, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1994, pp. 183-196.
- 247 THORPE (Charles) & WELSH (Ian), op. cit., pp. 48-49.
- 248 For example, see BENCZE (John Lawrence) & ALSOP (Steve) (ed.), *Activist Science and Technology*, New York: Springer, 2014; WARREN (Anne Marie) ET AL., *Facebook: The Enabler of Online Civic Engagement for Activists*, Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2014, pp. 284-289; BRADSHAW (Elizabeth A.), *This is What a Police State Looks Like: Sousveillance, Direct Action and the Anti-Corporate Globalization Movement*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2013, pp. 447-461; TAYEBI (Ali), *Planning Activism: Using Social Media to Claim Marginalized Citizens' Right to the City*, Cities, Vol. 32, No. 8, 2013, pp. 88-93; STEPHANSEN (Hilde C.), *Connecting the Peripheries: Networks, Place and Scale in the World Social Forum Process*, Journal of Postcolonial Writing, Vol. 49, No. 5, 2013, pp. 506-518; HEMPHILL (David) & LESKOWITZ (Shari), *DIY Activists*, op. cit.; THIGO (Philip), *People, Technology and Spaces: Towards a New Generation of Social Movements*, Journal of Contemporary African Studies, Vol. 31, No. 2, 2013, pp. 255-264.
- 249 WILSON (Matt) & KLEINER (Dmytri), *Luddite Cybercommunism*, op. cit., pp. 78-79.
- 250 CURRAN (Giorel) & GIBSON (Morgan), *WikiLeaks, Anarchism and Technologies of Dissent*, op. cit., p. 29.
- 251 HAYASE (Nozomi), *WikiLeaks and the Anarchistic Roots of Global Uprising*, Dissident Voice, Oct. 24, 2012; REY (P. J.), *Julian Assange: Cyber-Libertarian or Cyber-Anarchist?*, Society Pages, Nov. 8, 2011.
- 252 JUENGER (Friedrich George), *The Failure of Technology*, intr. by Frederick D. Wilhelm, Chicago: Henry Regnery, 1956; ELLUL (Jacques), *The Technological Society*, trans. by John Wilkinson, intr. by Robert King Merton, New York: Alfred Abraham Knopf, 1967.
- 253 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 108, 134, 136.
- 254 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., pp. 21-22.
- 255 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1989, p. 198.
- 256 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 140-142.
- 257 Ibid., pp. 143-144, 148-149, 151.
- 258 Ibid., pp. 152, 157.
- 259 See MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 178, 211, 215-216; MARSHALL (Peter) (ed.), *The Anarchist Writings of William Godwin*, London: Freedom Press, 1986, p. 172; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 76-77; WILDE (Oscar), *The Soul of Man Under Socialism*, New York: Humboldt, 1891, p. 16.
- 260 LEVAL (Gaston), *L'Anarchisme et l'Abondancisme*, op. cit., p. 22.

-
- 261 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 155-156. About Bookchin's ideas on technology, see also BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., pp. 219-266; BOOKCHIN (Murray) & BRECHER (Jeremy), *Post-Affluence Anarchy: A Dialogue*, Anarchist Library, 1973; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Towards a Liberatory Technology*, Anarchos, No. 2-3, 1968-1969.
- 262 RUDY (Alan) & LIGHT (Andrew), *Social Ecology and Social Labor*, op. cit., p. 102; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., p. 250.
- 263 DUDA (John), *Cybernetics, Anarchism and Self-Organisation*, op. cit.; MCEWAN (John D.), *Anarchism and the Cybernetics of Self-Organizing Systems*, Anarchy, Vol. 31, 1963, pp. 270-283.
- 264 DOLGOFF (Sam), *The Relevance of Anarchism to Modern Society*, Anarchist Library, 1977, p. 18. About cybernetics and anarchism, see also SWANN (Thomas), *The Cybernetics of Occupy: An Anarchist Perspective*, Roar Magazine, June 20, 2014; DARIVANDI SHOUSHARI (Kosar), *Redesigning a Large Supply Chain Management System to Reduce the Government Administration: A Socio-Functional Systems Approach*, Systemic Practice and Action Research, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2013, pp. 195-216; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *Feral Revolution and Other Essays*, intr. by Alfredo Maria Bonanno, Anarchist Library, 2013; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *A Balanced Account of the World: A Critical Look at the Scientific World View*, Anarchist Library, 2001; DUPONT (Frère) & IFINSITURCON, *Intimacy*, Anarchist Library, 2010; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *The Origins of Primitivism (1977-1988)*, op. cit.; BECKER (Michael), *Anarcho-Primitivism*, op. cit.; TIQQUN, *The Cybernetic Hypothesis*, Anarchist Library, 2010; RAVEN (John), *Advancing and Defeating the PEGS Agenda*, op. cit.; BRINKER (John), *Mix Ted Kaczynski with LSD; Do You Get The Unabomber?*, Anarchist Library, 2007; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Reflections on the End of Work*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *The Undesirables*, Anarchist Library, 2001; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience, Volume 2, Number 11*, Anarchist Library, 2001; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Willful Disobedience Volume 2, Number 6*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *Where Do We Meet Face to Face*, Anarchist Library, 2001; WILLIAM (Michael), *The Ecology Montreal Party: A "Libertarian" Frankenstein*, Anarchist Library, 1994; BERMAN (Morris), *The Cybernetic Dream of the 21st Century*, in CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth*, op. cit., pp. 12-32; VANEIGEM (Raoul), *Basic Banalities*, Anarchist Library, 1963.
- 265 DOLGOFF (Sam), *Modern Technology and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1986, p. 3.
- 266 WATSON (David), *Social Ecology and the Problem of Technology*, op. cit., p. 233.
- 267 WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 50-51, 154.
- 268 FILISS (John), *Technocracy*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 3.
- 269 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., p. lvii.
- 270 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., p. 137.
- 271 TRUSCELLO (Michael) & GORDON (Uri), *Whose Streets?*, op. cit., p. 10.
- 272 Ibid., pp. 10, 12.
- 273 GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!*, op. cit., pp. 109, 111; TRUSCELLO (Michael), *Imperfect Necessity and the Mechanical Continuation of Everyday Life*, op. cit., p. 250; JURIS (Jeffery S.), *Networking Futures: The Movements against Corporate Globalization*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2008.
- 274 TRUSCELLO (Michael), *Imperfect Necessity and the Mechanical Continuation of Everyday Life*, op. cit., p. 250.
- 275 GORDON (Uri), *Anarchy Alive!*, op. cit., p. 138. About the leftist approach to technology, see also BRUCATO (Ben), *Toward a Peak Everything Postanarchism and a Technology Evaluation Schema for Communities in Crisis*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2013, pp. 28-51; TUCKER (Kevin), *Everywhere and Nowhere*, op. cit.; TUCKER (Kevin), *Revolt of the Savages*, op. cit.; NIHILO ZERO, *The System Currently in Place*, Anarchist Library, 2012; SMITH (Tom), *Genetic Engineering and Primitivism*, Anarchist Library, 2011; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Reconsidering Primitivism, Technology, and the Wild*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *The Undesirables*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *An Open Letter on Technology and Mediation*, Anarchist Library, 1999; WITT (Harriet), *The Soft, Warm, Wet Technology of Native Oceania*, Anarchist Library, 1991.
- 276 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 645, 647, 649, 719, 963, 1125.
- 277 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property*, op. cit., pp. 8, 20, 151; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Fallacies of the Public Goods Theory and the Production of Security*, op. cit., pp. 30, 34.
- 278 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 1284, 1320.
- 279 GORDON (Jesse Alan), *Technological Libertarianism*, On The Issues, 1995.

- 280 About these concepts, see CREWS, JR. (Clyde Wayne), *Techno-Libertarianism: Building the Case for Separation of Technology and State*, *Forbes*, July 17, 2014; THIERER (Adam D.) & CREWS, JR. (Clyde Wayne), *The Libertarian Vision for Telecom and High-Technology*, *Cato Institute*, Apr. 3, 2001; DAHLIN (Bo), *Our Posthuman Futures and Education: Homo Zappiens, Cyborgs, and the New Adam*, *Futures*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2012, pp. 55-63; TENNISON (Michael N.), *Moral Transhumanism: The Next Step*, *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy*, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2012, pp. 405-416; TIROSH-SAMUELSON (Hava), *Transhumanism as a Secular Faith*, *Zygon*, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2012, pp. 710-734; NEWMAN (Stuart A.), *The Transhumanism Bubble*, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2010, pp. 29-42; BÉLAND (Jean-Pierre) ET AL., *The Social and Ethical Acceptability of NBICs for Purposes of Human Enhancement: Why Does the Debate Remain Mired in Impasse?*, *NanoEthics*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2011, pp. 295-307; HODGES (John), *Going beyond the Limits: Genetic Modification of Livestock and Dissolution of Ancient Boundaries*, *Livestock Science*, Vol. 130, No. 1-3, 2010, pp. 3-13; KOCH (Tom), *Enhancing Who? Enhancing What? Ethics, Bioethics, and Transhumanism*, *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy*, Vol. 35, No. 6, 2010, pp. 685-699; JOTTERAND (Fabrice), *At the Roots of Transhumanism: From the Enlightenment to a Post-Human Future*, *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy*, Vol. 35, No. 6, 2010, pp. 617-621; WU (Tim), *What Is a Tech Libertarian?*, *Technology Liberation Front*, Nov. 16, 2010; HEFNER (Philip), *The Animal that Aspires to Be an Angel: The Challenge of Transhumanism*, *Dialog*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2009, pp. 158-167; PACKMAN (Carl), *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*, by Francis Fukuyama. London: Profile Books, 2003, *Rethinking Marxism*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2009, pp. 317-323; FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*, London: Profile Books, 2003; FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *Transhumanism*, *Foreign Policy*, No. 144, 2004, pp. 42-43; BAILEY (Ronald), *Transhumanism and the Limits of Democracy*, *Reason*, Apr. 28, 2009; BAILEY (Ronald), *Trans-Human Expressway: Why Libertarians Will Win the Future*, *Reason*, May 11, 2005; BAILEY (Ronald), *Liberation Biology: The Scientific and Moral Case For the Biotech Revolution*, Amherst: Prometheus Books, 2005; REYNOLDS (Glenn), *An Army of Davids: How Markets and Technology Empower Ordinary People to Beat Big Media, Big Government, and Other Goliaths*, Nashville: Nelson Current, 2006; CARRICO (Dale), *James Hughes Flogs for the Robot Cult*, *Amor Mundi*, Feb. 15, 2009; CARRICO (Dale), *Bailey on the CybDemite Menace*, *Amor Mundi*, May 12, 2005; WILSON (James), *Transhumanism and Moral Equality*, *Bioethics*, Vol. 21, No. 8, 2007, pp. 419-425; AGAR (Nicholas), *Whereto Transhumanism? The Literature Reaches a Critical Mass*, *Hastings Center Report*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2007, pp. 12-17; MCNAMEE (Michael John) & EDWARDS (Steven D.), *Transhumanism, Medical Technology and Slippery Slopes*, *Journal of Medical Ethics*, Vol. 32, No. 9, 2006, pp. 513-518; HUGHES (James J.), *Democratic Transhumanism*, *Journal of Geoethical Nanotechnology*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2006; HUGHES (James J.), *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesign Human of the Future*, Boulder: Westview Press, 2004; HUGHES (James J.), *Democratic Transhumanism*, *Changesurfer*, Apr. 28, 2002; HUGHES (James J.), *The Politics of Transhumanism*, *Changesurfer*, 2002; HUGHES (James J.), *Embracing Change with All Four Arms: A Post-Humanist Defense of Genetic Engineering*, *Eubios Journal of Asian and International Bioethics*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1996, pp. 94-101; GLENN (Linda MacDonald), *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesign Human of the Future*, book review, *American Journal of Bioethics*, Vol. 5, No. 5, 2005, pp. 81-82; TAYLOR (Paul A.), *From Hackers to Hacktivists*, op. cit., pp. 630-631, 644; TRUSCELLO (Michael), *The Architecture of Information: Open Source Software and Tactical Poststructuralist Anarchism*, *Postmodern Culture*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2003; BORSOOK (Paulina), *Cyberselfish: Ravers, Guilders, Cyberpunks, and Other Silicon Valley Life-Forms*, *Yale Journal of Law and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2001, pp. 1-10; BORSOOK (Paulina), *Cyberselfish: A Critical Romp through the Terribly Libertarian Culture of High Tech*, New York: PublicAffairs, 2000; BORSOOK (Paulina), *Cyberselfish Redux*, *Mother Jones*, Nov. 28, 2000; GRAY (John), *False Dawn: The Delusions of Global Capitalism*, New York: New Press, 1998; ROSENZWEIG (Roy), *Live Free or Die? Death, Life, Survival, and Sobriety On The Information Superhighway*, *American Quarterly*, Vol. 51, No. 1, 1999, pp. 160-17.
- 281 CARSON (Kevin Amos), *How "Intellectual Property" Impedes Competition*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 327-328.
- 282 CARSON (Kevin Amos), *Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, Fayetteville, 2004.
- 283 CARSON (Kevin Amos), *Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, op. cit.
- 284 Ibid.
- 285 JONES (Steven E.), *Against Technology*, op. cit., p. 9.
- 286 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, Corvus, 2010, p. 136; REICHERT (William O.), *Natural Right in the Political Philosophy of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, p. 84. Pashukanis has similarly argued that private "property appears as an intrinsic natural property of objects themselves, according to a sort of natural law which operates behinds people's back, quite independently of their will." PASHUKANIS (Evgeny Bronislavovich), *Law and Marxism*, op. cit., p. 112. See also CAIN (Maureen) & HUNT (Alan), *Marx and Engels on Law*, op. cit., pp. 52, 57, 61, 100-101, 153-154, 251.

287 BAUGH (Graham), *The Politics of Social Ecology*, op. cit., pp. 113-114.

288 For example, see MALLORY (Chaone), *Locating Ecofeminism in Encounters with Food and Place*, *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2013, pp. 171-189; DREW (Erin), *Teaching and Learning Guide for: Ecocriticism and Eighteenth-Century English Studies*, *Literature Compass*, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2013, pp. 301-310; STEVENS (Lorna) ET AL., *Uddering the Other: Androcentrism, Ecofeminism, and the Dark Side of Anthropomorphic Marketing*, *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1-2, 2013, pp. 158-174; MARLAND (Pippa), *Ecocriticism*, *Literature Compass*, Vol. 10, No. 11, 2013, pp. 846-868; WERKHEISER (Ian), *Domination and Consumption: An Examination of Veganism, Anarchism, and Ecofeminism*, *PhaenEx*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2013, pp. 135-160; GAARD (Greta Claire), *Ecofeminism Revisited: Rejecting Essentialism and Re-Placing Species in a Material Feminist Environmentalism*, *Feminist Formations*, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2011, pp. 26-53; ELKIN (Gary), *Greens Are Anarchists, or Should Be*, *Anarchist Library*, 2011; LI (HUEY-LI), *Ecofeminism as a Pedagogical Project: Women, Nature, and Education*, *Educational Theory*, Vol. 57, No. 3, 2007, pp. 351-368; RHODES (Daniel), *Integrating Ecofeminism, Globalization, and World Religions*, by Rosemary Radford Ruether, *Sociological Inquiry*, Vol. 77, No. 1, 2007, pp. 126-127; WOLF (Frieder Otto), *The Missed Rendezvous of Critical Marxism and Ecological Feminism*, op. cit.; RUETHER (Rosemary Radford), *Integrating Ecofeminism, Globalization, and World Religions*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2005; RUETHER (Rosemary Radford), *New Woman, New Earth: Sexist Ideologies and Human Liberation*, New York: Seabury Press, 1975; MALLORY (Chaone), *Ecofeminism and Forest Defense in Cascadia: Gender, Theory and Radical Activism*, *Capitalism, Nature, Socialism*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2006, pp. 32-49; THOMPSON (Charis), *Back to Nature? Resurrecting Ecofeminism after Poststructuralist and Third-Wave Feminisms*, *Isis*, Vol. 97, No. 3, 2006, pp. 505-512; ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., Part 2: *Ecofeminism and Social Justice*, pp. 139-279; BUCKINGHAM (Susan), *Ecofeminism in the Twenty-First Century*, *Geographical Journal*, Vol. 170, No. 2, 2004, pp. 146-154; WITCH HAZEL, *The Revolt of Adam and Eve: A Green Anarcha-Feminist Perspective*, *Anarchist Library*, 2002; FOTOPOULOS (Takis), *Globalization, the Reformist Left and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, op. cit.; HELLER (Chiah), *Toward a Radical Eco-Feminism*, in CLARK (John P.) (ed.), *Renewing the Earth*, op. cit., pp. 153-174; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., pp. 152-158; HOWELL (Nancy R.), *Ecofeminism: What One Needs to Know*, *Zygon*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 1997, pp. 231-241; LEE-LAMPSHIRE (Wendy), *Anthropomorphism without Anthropocentrism*, op. cit.; WRIGHT (Colin), *Anarchism, Feminism and the Individual*, *Anarchist Library*, 1994; SALLEH (Ariel), *Class, Race, and Gender Discourse in the Ecofeminism/Deep Ecology Debate*, *Environmental Ethics*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1993, pp. 225-244; BIEHL (Janet), *Finding our Way: Rethinking Ecofeminist Politics*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1991.

289 See ZHANG (Lei) ET AL., *Empirical Research on the Impact of Usage of Electronic Money on Money Multiplier in China*, 2012 Fourth International Symposium on Information Science and Engineering, 2012, pp. 481-484; JIN (Li) & KREVER (Richard), *Globalization and Modernization as Drivers for Tax Reform in the Socialist Market Economy*, *Theoretical Inquiries in Law*, Vol. 11, No. 2, Art. 9, 2010; BESFAMILLE (Martin) & PARLATORE SIRITTO (Cecilia), *Modernization of Tax Administrations and Optimal Fiscal Policies*, *Journal of Public Economic Theory*, Vol. 11, No. 6, 2009, pp. 897-926; GUO (Jingzhi) & CHOW (Angelina), *Virtual Money Systems: A Phenomenal Analysis*, 10th IEEE Conference on E-Commerce Technology and the Fifth IEEE Conference on Enterprise Computing, E-Commerce and E-Services, 2008, pp. 267-272; KERSSSENBROCK (Otto-Ferdinand Graf), *Equity Substitution Loans in German Commercial, Insolvency and Tax Law: Current Status in Anticipation of Modernization*, *Intertax*, Vol. 35, No. 11, 2007, pp. 616-637; ANEESH (A.), *Virtual Migration: The Programming of Globalization*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2006; DAVIS (John B.) ET AL. (ed.), *The Elgar Companion to Economics and Philosophy*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2004; KISER (Edgar) & LINTON (April), *Determinants of the Growth of the State: War and Taxation in Early Modern France and England*, *Social Forces*, Vol. 80, No. 2, 2001, pp. 411-448; KORTEN (David C.), *When Corporations Rule the World*, 2nd ed., San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 2001, pp. 185-193; PRINZ (Aloys), *Money in the Real and the Virtual World: E-Money, C-Money and the Demand for CB-Money*, *NETNOMICS*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1999, pp. 11-35.

290 For certain examples, see WOOD (Robert W.), *Panama Papers Expose Celebs, Politicians, Billionaires with Offshore Tax Havens despite FATCA*, *Forbes*, Apr. 4, 2016; RICHARDS (Steve), *The Panama Papers Tax Avoidance Scandal Shows Just how Powerless Cameron and Osborne Really Are*, *Independent*, Apr. 4, 2016; MAROTTE (Bertrand), *Tax-Evasion Crackdowns Promised over 'Panama Papers' Revelations*, *Globe and Mail*, Apr. 4, 2016; ASTHANA (Shishir), *The Panama Papers: All You Need to Know about how the Tax Fraud Worked*, *Business Standard*, Apr. 4, 2016; *Panama Tax Papers: The Taxonomy of the Leak*, *Economist*, Apr. 4, 2016; SEDDON (Max) ET AL., *Kremlin Rejects Panama Leaks as 'Putinophobia'*, *Financial Times*, Apr. 4, 2016; HALL (John) ET L., *Panama 'Tax Scam' Lawyer Is Son of Nazi SS Officer from Dreaded Death's Head Division who Fled to South America then SPIED on Cuba for the CIA, Brother Reveals*, *Daily Mail*, Apr. 4, 2016; MALYON (Ed), *Lionel Messi Prepared for 'Panama Papers' Legal Action after Barcelona Ace Is Linked to Tax Evasion Projects*, *Daily Mirror*, Apr. 4, 2016; KROLL (Luisa), *Billionaires, Former Billionaires Outed for Offshore Wealth by The Panama Papers*, *Forbes*, Apr. 3, 2016; GRAY

(Jeff), *'Panama Papers' Reveal Offshore Tax Evasion, Money Laundering among Global Elite*, Globe and Mail, Apr. 3, 2016; BILTON (Richard), *Panama Papers: Mossack Fonseca Leak Reveals Elite's Tax Havens*, BBC News, Apr. 3, 2016; OSTER (Adrien), *Bruno Sido, Épinglé pour son Compte en Suisse, Moquait Jérôme Cahuzac au Sénat*, Le Huffington Post, Nov. 13, 2014; CLAVEL (Geoffroy), *Comptes à l'Étranger: Trois Parlementaires UMP (deux Députés, un Sénateur) Épinglés par la Haute Autorité pour la Transparence*, Le Huffington Post, Nov. 13, 2014; PILIU (Fabien), *La Suisse, la Destination Préférée de Ceux Qui Ont Choisi l'Exil*, La Tribune, Mar. 12, 2014; GAUQUELIN (Blaise), *Vers la Fin du Secret Bancaire en Europe*, Libération, Mar. 12, 2014; WALRAVENS (Eric), *Quand Berlin et Londres S'Allient pour Protéger l'Opacité Fiscale des Multinationales*, Mediapart, Mar. 11, 2014; BOWERS (Simon), *Britain Rules the World of Tax Havens, Queen is warned*, Guardian, Nov. 7, 2013; *Senator Pana Merchant and Husband Tony Merchant Sue CBC over Offshore Taxes Story*, Huffington Post, June 5, 2013; *Countries Rattled by Tax-Haven Data Leak*, CBC News, Apr. 7, 2013; MARLIÈRE (Philippe), *After the Cahuzac Scandal, the Hollande Presidency Is in Tatters*, New Statesman, Apr. 3, 2013; CHEMIN (Ariane) ET AL., *Les Liens Inavouables de Cahuzac avec les "Gudards" de Marine Le Pen*, Le Monde, Apr. 3, 2013; LEIGH (David), *Leaks Reveal Secrets of the Rich Who Hide Cash Offshore*, Guardian, Apr. 3, 2013; ZALAC (Frédéric) ET AL., *Senator's Husband Put \$1.7M in Offshore Tax Havens*, CBC News, Apr. 3, 2013; HULTEN (Andrew van), *Remapping the Fiscal State After the Global Financial Crisis*, Economic Geography, Vol. 88, No. 3, 2012, pp. 231-253; HOWKER (Ed) & MALIK (Shiv), *Cameron Family Fortune Made in Tax Havens*, Guardian, Apr. 20, 2012; HAREL (Xavier), *La Grande Évasion: Le Vrai Scandale des Paradis Fiscaux*, préface d'Éva Joly, Paris: Les Liens Qui Libèrent, 2010; PALAN (Ronen) & CHAVAGNEUX (Christian), *Les Paradis Fiscaux*, Paris: La Découverte, 2010; ADDISON (Timothy V.), *Shooting Blanks: The War on Tax Havens*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2009, pp. 703-727; KUDRLE (Robert T.), *The OECD's Harmful Tax Competition Initiative and the Tax Havens: From Bombshell to Damp Squib*, Global Economy Journal, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2008, Art. 1.

²⁹¹ For some old and new examples, see TORCHIA (Christopher) & IMRAY (Gerald), *Oscar Pistorius Offered \$34,000 in 'Blood Money' to Reeva Steenkamp's Family, Prosecutor Says in Sentencing Hearing*, National Post, Oct. 14, 2014; *Oscar Pistorius Trial: Steenkamp's 'Rejected Blood Money'*, BBC News, Oct. 14, 2014; SIMPSON (Jack), *Iranian Billionaire 'Secretly Executed' over Biggest Bank Fraud in Country's History*, Independent, May 25, 2014; *Iran Billionaire Executed over \$2.6B Bank Fraud*, USA Today, May 24, 2014; INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION AGAINST THE DEATH PENALTY, *The Death Penalty and the "Most Serious Crimes": A Country-By-Country Overview of the Death Penalty in Law and Practice in Retentionist States*, 2013; GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *Death Penalty on Decline: China, Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, US Top Executors of Capital Punishment*, op. cit.; GAYATHRI (Amrutha), *US Only Western Country to Carry Out Capital Punishment Last Year, Ranks 5th Worldwide*, op. cit.; CARTER (Linda E.) ET AL., *Understanding Capital Punishment Law*, Newark: LexisNexis, 2012; WENNERLIND (Carl), *The Death Penalty as Monetary Policy: The Practice and Punishment of Monetary Crime, 1690-1830*, History of Political Economy, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2004, pp. 131-161.

²⁹² DODD (Nigel), *Nietzsche's Money*, Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2013, p. 47.

²⁹³ NIETZSCHE (Friedrich), *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 2003, p. 77; DODD (Nigel), *Nietzsche's Money*, op. cit., p. 48.

²⁹⁴ For example, see AUWEELE (Dennis Vanden), *Noble Lies and Tragedy in Nietzsche's Zarathustra*, International Journal of Philosophy and Theology, Vol. 74, No. 2, 2013, pp. 127-143; REMPEL (Morgan), *Daybreak 72: Nietzsche, Epicurus, and the after Death*, Journal of Nietzsche Studies, Vol. 43, No. 2, 2012, pp. 342-354; KULAK (Avron), *The Religious, the Secular, and the Natural Sciences: Nietzsche and the Death of God*, European Legacy, Vol. 16, No. 6, 2011, pp. 785-797; WORDEN (Skip), *A Genealogy of Business Ethics: A Nietzschean Perspective*, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 84, No. 3, 2009, pp. 427-456; RAY (Matthew), *Nietzsche's Philosophy of Religion. By Julian Young The Shadow of the Anti-Christ: Nietzsche's Critique of Christianity. By Stephen N. Williams*, Heythrop Journal, Vol. 50, No. 2, 2009, pp. 346-347; WILLIAMS (Stephen N.), *The Shadow of the Anti-Christ: Nietzsche's Critique of Christianity*, Grand Rapids: Baker, 2006; YOUNG (Julian), *Nietzsche's Philosophy of Religion*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005; MOORE (Gregory), *Nietzsche, Degeneration, and the Critique of Christianity*, Journal of Nietzsche Studies, Vol. 43, No. 19, 2000, pp. 1-18; KOTSKO (Adam), *The Shadow of the Antichrist: Nietzsche's Critique of Christianity – By Stephen N. Williams*, Reviews in Religion and Theology, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2007, pp. 611-613; WILLIAMS (Stephen N.), *The Shadow of the Antichrist: Nietzsche's Critique of Christianity*, Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2006; SANTANIELLO (Weaver), *Nietzsche's Antichrist: 19th-Century Christian Jews and the Real Big Lie*, Modern Judaism, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1997, pp. 163-177; MCCORMICK (John P.), *Dangers of Mythologizing Technology and Politics: Nietzsche, Schmitt and the Antichrist*, Philosophy and Social Criticism, Vol. 21, No. 4, 1995, pp. 55-92; SHAPIRO (Gary), *Nietzsche's Graffito: A Reading of The Antichrist*, Boundary 2, 1981, pp. 119-140; HENNIG (John), *Nietzsche, Jaspers and Christianity*, New Blackfriars, Vol. 29, No. 343, 1948, pp. 476-480; HARDIN (Edwin Dodge), *Nietzsche's Service to Christianity*, American Journal of Theology, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1914, pp. 545-552; WARBEKE (John M.), *Friedrich Nietzsche, Antichrist, Superman, and Pragmatist*, Harvard Theological Review, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1909, pp. 366-385.

- 295 DOYKE (Kenneth), *Introduction: Ethnicity and Money*, *American Behavioral Scientist*, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2001, p. 181; SCHMIDT (Thomas E), *Hostility to Wealth in the Synoptic Gospels*, Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1987.
- 296 GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Debt: The First Five Thousand Years*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 17. About the historical relationship between money and power, see also M.D.P., *Money and Logos*, Anarchist Library, 2009.
- 297 PREPARATA (Guido), *Perishable Money in a Threefold Commonwealth: Rudolf Steiner and the Social Economics of an Anarchist Utopia*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2006, p. 647.
- 298 BADCOCK, JR. (John), *Slaves to Duty*, Anarchist Library, 1894, p. 8.
- 299 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Anarchist Analysis of Property*, op. cit.
- 300 BURNETT (Graham), *The Root of all Evil? Some Thoughts about Permaculture*, *Permaculture Magazine*, No. 37, 2003.
- 301 About "cost the limit of price", see above, Chapter 1, footnote 10; WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, op. cit.; MACSAORSA (Iain), *The Myths of "Libertarian" Economics*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1482, 2345; BROOKS (Frank H.) (ed.), *The Individualist Anarchists: An Anthology of Liberty (1881-1908)*, New Brunswick: Transaction, 1994, pp. 111-112.
- 302 About this type of economic activities, see DITTMER (Kristofer), *Local Currencies for Purposive Degrowth? A Quality Check of some Proposals for Changing Money-As-Usual*, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 2013, pp. 3-13; GLYNOS (Jason) & SPEED (Ewen), *Varieties of Co-Production in Public Services: Time Banks in a UK Health Policy Context*, *Critical Policy Studies*, Vol. 6, No. 4, 2012, 402-433; MARTIN (Ian), *Too Far Ahead of Its Time: Barclays, Burroughs, and Real-Time Banking*, *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2012, pp. 5-19; DODD (Nigel), *Simmel's Perfect Money: Fiction, Socialism and Utopia in The Philosophy of Money*, *Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 29, No. 7-8, 2012, pp. 146-176; OZANNE (Lucie K.), *Learning to Exchange Time: Benefits and Obstacles to Time Banking*, *International Journal of Community Currency Research*, Vol. 14, 2010, pp. A1-16; PANAYOTAKIS (Costas), *Individual Differences and the Potential Tradeoffs Between the Values of a Participatory Economy*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2009, pp. 23-42; SPANNOS (Chris) (ed.), *Real Utopia: Participatory Society for the 21st Century*, Edinburgh and Oakland: AK Press, 2008; COLLOM (Ed), *Engagement of the Elderly in Time Banking: The Potential for Social Capital Generation in an Aging Society*, *Journal of Aging and Social Policy*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2008, pp. 414-436; COLLOM (Ed), *The Motivations, Engagement, Satisfaction, Outcomes, and Demographics of Time Bank Participants: Survey Findings from a U.S. System*, *International Journal of Community Currency Research*, Vol. 11, 2007, pp. 36-83; SEYFANG (Gill J.), *Sustainable Consumption, the New Economics and Community Currencies: Developing New Institutions for Environmental Governance*, *Regional Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 7, 2006, pp. 781-791; SEYFANG (Gill J.), *Working Outside the Box: Community Currencies, Time Banks and Social Inclusion*, *Journal of Social Policy*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2004, pp. 49-71; SEYFANG (Gill J.), *Time Banks: Rewarding Community Self-Help in the Inner City?*, *Community Development Journal*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 2004, pp. 62-71; SEYFANG (Gill J.), *Money that Makes a Change: Community Currencies, North and South*, *Gender and Development*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2001, pp. 60-69; SEYFANG (Gill J.), *Local Exchange Trading Systems and Sustainable Development*, *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 1996, pp. 5-45; PEACOCK (Mark S.), *The Moral Economy of Parallel Currencies: An Analysis of Local Exchange Trading Systems*, *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, Vol. 65, No. 5, 2006, pp. 1059-1084; PEACOCK (Mark S.), *Local Exchange Trading Systems: A Solution to the Employment Dilemma?*, *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, Vol. 71, No. 1, 2000, pp. 55-78; JAYARAMAN (Rajshri) & OAK (Mandar), *The Signalling Role of Municipal Currencies in Local Development*, *Economica*, Vol. 72, No. 288, 2005, pp. 597-613; CAHN (Edgar S.), *No More Throw Away People*, 2nd ed., Washington, D.C.: Essential Books, 2004; CAHN (Edgar S.), *Time Dollars, Work and Community: From 'Why?' to 'Why Not?'*, Vol. 31, No. 5, 1999, *Futures*, pp. 499-509; CAHN (Edgar S.) & ROWE (Jonathan), *Time Dollars: The New Currency that Enables Americans to Turn Their Hidden Resource-Time-Into Personal Security and Community Renewal*, Emmaus: Rodale Press, 1992; FOTOPOULOS (Takis), *Inclusive Democracy and Participatory Economics*, *Democracy and Nature*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2003, pp. 401-425; ALBERT (Michael) ET AL., *In Defense of Participatory Economics*, *Science and Society*, Vol. 66, No. 1, 2002, pp. 7-28; ALBERT (Michael) & HAHNEL (Robin), *The Political Economy of Participatory Economics*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1991; ALBERT (Michael) & HAHNEL (Robin), *Participatory Economics for the 21st Century*, *Peace Review*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1992, pp. 3-7; ALBERT (Michael) & HAHNEL (Robin), *Looking Forward: Participatory Economics for the Twenty First Century*, Boston: South End Press, 1991; HAHNEL (Robin), *Economic Justice and Democracy: From Competition to Cooperation*, New York: Routledge, 2005; HAHNEL (Robin), *Participatory Economics*, *Newsletter of PEGS*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1992, pp. 5-6; FITZPATRICK (Tony) & CALDWELL (Caron), *Towards a Theory of Ecosocial Welfare: Radical Reformism and Local Exchanges and Trading Systems (LETS)*, *Environmental Politics*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2001, pp. 43-67; BEBBINGTON (Jan), *Local Exchange Trading Systems (LETS): An Introduction*, *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2001, pp. 12-18; LEYSHON (Andrew), *LETS Act Locally: The Growth of Local Exchange Trading*

Systems, book review, *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1999, pp. 124-125; CROALL (Jonathan), *LETS Act Locally: The Growth of Local Exchange Trading Systems*, London: Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, 1997; PACIONE (Michael), *Toward A Community: An Examination of Local Exchange Trading Systems in West Glasgow*, *Urban Geography*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1998, pp. 211-231; PACIONE (Michael), *Local Exchange Trading Systems: A Rural Response to the Globalization of Capitalism?*, *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 4, 1997, pp. 415-427; PACIONE (Michael), *Local Exchange Trading Systems as a Response to the Globalisation of Capitalism*, *Urban Studies*, Vol. 34, No. 8, 1997, pp. 1179-1199; SCHNEIDER (Hartmut), *Participatory Governance for Poverty Reduction*, *Journal of International Development*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 1999, pp. 521-534; WILLIAMS (Colin C.), *Local Purchasing Schemes and Rural Development: An Evaluation of Local Exchange and Trading Systems (LETS)*, *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 1996, pp. 231-244; WILLIAMS (Colin C.), *Informal Sector Responses to Unemployment: An Evaluation of the Potential of Local Exchange Trading Systems (LETS)*, *Work, Employment and Society*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1996, pp. 341-359; WILLIAMS (Colin C.), *The New Barter Economy: An Appraisal of Local Exchange and Trading Systems (LETS)*, *Journal of Public Policy*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1996, pp. 85-101; LANG (Peter), *Lets Work: Rebuilding the Local Economy*, Bristol: Grover, 1994; DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *The Anarchist Collectives: Workers' Self-Management in the Spanish Revolution, 1936-1939*, Anarchist Library, 1974; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit.

303 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Anarchism*, *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 1905. About Proudhon's "Banque" and "mutuellisme", see also PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit.; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *De la Justice dans la Révolution et dans l'Église*, 3 Vol., Paris: Garnier Frères, 1858; STRONG (Derek Ryan), *Proudhon and the Labour Theory of Property*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2014, pp. 52 ff.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit.; GRAHAM (Robert), *The General Idea of Proudhon's Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2010; BUELINCKX (Erik), *Proudhon's Influence in Belgium: Nationalism and Culture*, Anarchist Library, 2009; FONTANEL (Jacques) ET AL., *French Utopian Economists of the Nineteenth Century*, *Defence and Peace Economics*, Vol. 19, No. 5, 2008, pp. 339-350; DUTRIEUX (Janpier), *A travers la Banque du Peuple de P.J. Proudhon*, 2008; GAMBONE (Larry), *Proudhon and Anarchism*, Nanaimo: Red Lion Press, 1996; VINCENT (K. Steven), *Pierre-Joseph Proudhon and the Rise of French Republican Socialism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1984; MERVAUD (Michel), *Herzen et Proudhon*, *Cahiers du Monde Russe et Soviétique*, Vol. 12, No. 1-2, 1971, pp. 110-188; RITTER (Alan), *Proudhon and the Problem of Community*, *Review of Politics*, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1967, pp. 457-477; EDEL (Matthew), *Rent Theory and Working Class Strategy: Marx, George and the Urban Crisis*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1977, p. 5; SCHAPIRO (J. Salwyn), *Pierre Joseph Proudhon, Harbinger of Fascism*, *American Historical Review*, Vol. 50, No. 4, 1945, pp. 714-737; DILLARD (Dudley), *Keynes and Proudhon*, *Journal of Economic History*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1942, pp. 63-76; DOUGLAS (Dorothy W.), *P.J. Proudhon: A Prophet of 1848. Part I: Life and Works*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 34, No. 5, 1929, pp. 781-803; LU (Shi Yung), *The Political Theories of P. J. Proudhon*, New York: M. R. Gray, 1922; DANA (Charles A.), *Proudhon and his "Bank of the People"*, New York: Benjamin Ricketson Tucker, 1896.

304 See SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., pp. 103-104. About this Store, see also WARREN (Josiah), *Plan of the Cincinnati Labor for Labor Store*, Philadelphia: Mechanics Free Press, 1829; WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, op. cit.; CURL (John), *For All the People: Uncovering the Hidden History of Cooperation, Cooperative Movements, and Communalism in America*, intr. by Ishmael Reed, 2nd ed., Oakland: PM Press, 2012, pp. 37-38, 45; RIGGENBACH (Jeff), *Josiah Warren: The First American Anarchist*, *Mises Daily*, Feb. 25, 2011; HARDY (Dennis), *Alternative Communities in Nineteenth Century England*, op. cit., pp. 163, 165, 248; BAILIE (William), *Josiah Warren, the First American Anarchist: A Sociological Study*, Brooklyn: H. C. Roseman, 1971; BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren Notebook "D": A Senior Honors Thesis*, Ball State University, Teachers College, 1964.

305 See MARX (Karl) & ENGELS (Friedrich), *Manifesto of the Communist Part*, New York: International Publishers, 1985; HEWLETT (Nick), *Marx, Engels, and the Ethics of Violence in Revolt*, *European Legacy*, Vol. 17, No. 7, 2012, pp. 891-892; JONES (Campbell) & MURTOLA (Anna-Maria), *Entrepreneurship and Expropriation*, *Organization*, Vol. 19, No. 5, 2012, pp. 635-655; MCKEOWN (Anthony), *The Structural Production of State Terrorism: Capitalism, Imperialism and International Class Dynamics*, *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2011, pp. 85-86; DE ANGELIS (Massimo), *Marx and Primitive Accumulation: The Continuous Character of Capital's "Enclosures"*, *Commoner*, No. 2, 2001; TORPEY (John), *Coming and Going: On the State Monopolization of the Legitimate "Means of Movement"*, *Sociological Theory*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1998, pp. 239-259; HARRIS (Richard), *Marxism and the Agrarian Question in Latin America*, *Latin American Perspectives*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1978, pp. 2-26. Professor Rothbard has also emphasized "violent expropriation" by the "State capitalism". See ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *A Future of Peace and Capitalism*, *Mises Daily*, July 9, 2004.

306 VALOVOI (Dmitrii Vasil'evich) & LAPSHINA (Genriëtta Evgen'evna), *Names on an Obelisk*, trans. by Peter Greenwood, Moscow: Progress, 1983, p. 269.

- 307 WEISS (Seth), *Marx, Proudhon and Alternatives to Capital*, News and Letters, 2005. Concerning Proudhon's ideas on money, see also PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit.; BASTIAT (Frédéric) & PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *Free Money: A Debate on Capital, Interest and Free Credit*, 1849-1850; ADAM (David), *Marx's Critique of Socialist Labor-Money Schemes and the Myth of Council Communism's Proudhonism*, Marxist-Humanist Initiative, Jan. 21, 2013; TUTTLE (James), *Support C4SS with Bastiat and Proudhon's "Free Money: A Debate"*, Center for A Stateless Society, Dec. 20, 2012; IVANOVA (Maria N.), *Can 'It' Happen Again: The Limits of Money Artistry*, Critique, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2011, pp. 211-232; Proudhon's 'Labour Money', Libcom, Dec. 5, 2009; ELTZBACHER (Paul), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Instead of A Book*, op. cit.
- 308 About Ithaca Hours, see EISEN (Ben), *Ithaca Currency Keeps Money Local*, Cornell Daily Sun, Aug. 23, 2007; HERRMANN (Gretchen M.), *Special Money: Ithaca "Hours" and Garage Sales*, Ethnology, Vol. 45, No. 2, 2006, pp. 125-141; GROVER (David), *Would Local Currencies Make a Good Local Economic Development Policy Tool? The Case of Ithaca Hours*, Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy, Vol. 24, No. 5, 2006, pp. 719-737; SOLOMON (Lewis D.), *Rethinking Our Centralized Monetary System: The Case for a System of Local Currencies*, foreword by Bob Swann, Westport: Praeger, 1996; GLOVER (Paul), *Ithaca Hours: Creating Community Economics with Local Currency*, Good Society, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1997, p. 56; Paul Glover: *Social Entrepreneur*, <http://www.ithacahours.org/>.
- 309 WEISS (Seth), *Marx, Proudhon and Alternatives to Capital*, op. cit. About the difference concerning money between the Marxists and Proudhonists, see also CAMPBELL (David), *How Sensible Is the Left-Wing Criticism of Money, Exchange and Contract?*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2011, pp. 528-539; DESAI (Radhika), *The New Communists of the Commons: Twenty-First-Century Proudhonists*, International Critical Thought, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2011, pp. 204-223.
- 310 LIPIETZ (Alain), *Credit Money: A Condition Permitting Inflationary Crisis*, Review of Radical Political Economics, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1982, p. 50. About commodity fetishism, see also GUNDERSON (Ryan), *Problems with the Defetishization Thesis: Ethical Consumerism, Alternative Food Systems, and Commodity Fetishism*, Agriculture and Human Values, Vol. 31, No. 1, 2014, pp. 109-117; HEROD (Andrew) ET AL., *Waste, Commodity Fetishism and the Ongoingness of Economic Life*, Area, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2013, pp. 376-382; DUNCOMBE (Stephen), *It Stands on Its Head: Commodity Fetishism, Consumer Activism, and the Strategic Use of Fantasy*, Culture and Organization, 2012, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 359-375; CLULEY (Robert) & DUNNE (Stephen), *From Commodity Fetishism to Commodity Narcissism*, Marketing Theory, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2012, pp. 251-265; NEOCLEOUS (Mark), *Security, Commodity, Fetishism*, Critique, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2007, pp. 339-355; HAWKES (David), *Idols of the Marketplace: Idolatry and Commodity Fetishism in English Literature, 1580-1680*, New York: Palgrave, 2001; MIKLITSCH (Robert), *From Hegel to Madonna: Towards a General Economy of "Commodity Fetishism"*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 1998; TAUSSIG (Michael T.), *The Devil and Commodity Fetishism in South America*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1980; PERLMAN (Fredy), *Commodity Fetishism: An introduction to I. I. Rubin's Essay on Marx's Theory of Value*, Anarchist Library, 1968.
- 311 DODD (Nigel), *Simmel's Perfect Money*, op. cit., p. 147.
- 312 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Wage System*, Anarchist Library, 1920, p. 10. See also KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Conquest of Bread*, op. cit.
- 313 RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), *Errico Malatesta: His Life and Ideas*, London: Freedom Press, 1965, pp. 100-101.
- 314 MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchism and Minarchism*, op. cit., pp. 151-152.
- 315 See COHN (Jesse Sheppard), *Anarchism and the Crisis of Representation*, op. cit., pp. 216-229; SONN (Richard D.), *Anarchism*, New York: Twayne and Maxwell Macmillan, 1992, pp. 90-94.
- 316 KÄKELÄ-PUUMALA (Tiina), *"There Is Money Everywhere": Representation, Authority, and the Money Form in Thomas Pynchon's Against the Day*, Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2013, p. 156.
- 317 Spooner presented a monetary system based on individualist anarchism, which actually placed between liberalism and anarchism. See ARVON (Henri), *Les Libertariens Américains: De l'Anarchisme Individualiste à l'Anarcho-Capitalisme*, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1983, pp. 82-86.
- 318 POWELL (Benjamin W.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Economics in Defense of Liberty: The Contribution of Murray Rothbard*, Sep. 13, 2010, p. 19.
- 319 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, op. cit., p. 83-85.
- 320 About this financial deregulation, its controversies, and consequences, see CLINTON (Hillary), *My Plan to Prevent the Next Crash*, Bloomberg View, Oct. 8, 2015; STEWART (Heather), *Next Financial Crash Is Coming – and before we've Fixed Flaws*

from *Last One*, Guardian, Oct. 7, 2015; SNYDER (Michael), *Global Financial Meltdown Coming? Clear Signs that the Great Derivatives Crisis Has Now Begun*, Economic Collapse, Oct. 7, 2015; SNYDER (Michael), *Warren Buffett: Derivatives Are Still Weapons of Mass Destruction and 'Are Likely to Cause Big Trouble'*, June 22, 2015; *New Research on the Impact of Financial Deregulation Catches the Eye of the Federal Reserve*, PR Newswire, Sep. 24, 2014; HADJIMICHALIS (Costis) & HUDSON (Ray), *Contemporary Crisis Across Europe and the Crisis of Regional Development Theories*, Regional Studies, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2014, pp. 208-218; FRANCIS (Bill), *Banking Deregulation, Consolidation, and Corporate Cash Holdings: U.S. Evidence*, Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 41, No. 3-4, 2014, pp. 45-56; BIELING (Hans-Jürgen), *Shattered Expectations: The Defeat of European Ambitions of Global Financial Reform*, Journal of European Public Policy, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2014, pp. 346-366; REATI (Angelo), *Economic Policy for Structural Change*, Review of Political Economy, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2014, pp. 1-22; MCCLAUGHLIN (Patrick A.) & GREENE (Robert), *Did Deregulation Cause the Financial Crisis? Examining a Common Justification for Dodd-Frank*, Mercatus Center, July 19, 2013; TEMIN (Peter) & VINES (David), *The Leaderless Economy: Why the World Economic System Fell Apart and How to Fix It*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013; SCHUPP (Paul R.), *Charles H. Ferguson: Predator Nation: Corporate Criminals, Political Corruption, and the Hijacking of America*, Critical Criminology, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2013, pp. 529-531; FERGUSON (Charles H.), *Predator Nation: Corporate Criminals, Political Corruption, and the Hijacking of America*, New York: Crown Business, 2012; ADMATI (Anat) & HELLWIG (Martin), *The Bankers' New Clothes: What's Wrong with Banking and What to Do About It*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013; ALLISON (John A.), *The Financial Crisis and the Bank Deregulation Myth*, Cato Institute, Dec. 10, 2012; NATTER (Raymond), *Deregulation and the Financial Crisis*, Barnett, Sivon and Natter, P.C., 2012; BARAK (Gregg), *Theft of a Nation*, op. cit.; BARBA (Aldo), *The US Congress Inquiry on the Financial and Economic Crisis*, Contributions to Political Economy, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2011, pp. 77-98; WEISSMAN (Robert), *Deregulation and the Financial Crisis*, Huffington Post, May 25, 2011; STIGLITZ (Joseph E.), *Freefall: America, Free Markets, and the Sinking of the World Economy*, New York: William Warder Norton, 2010; BASS (Hans H.) ET AL. (ed.), *Labor Markets and Labor Market Policies between Globalization and World Economic Crisis: Japan and Germany*, München: Rainer Hampp Verlag, 2010; CROTTY (James), *Profound Structural Flaws in the US Financial System that Helped Cause the Financial Crisis*, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 44, No. 13, 2009, pp. 127-135; HOPT (Klaus J.) ET AL., *Preventing Bank Insolvencies in the Financial Crisis: The German Financial Market Stabilisation Acts*, European Business Organization Law Review, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2009, pp. 515-554; WALLISON (Peter J.), *Deregulation and the Financial Crisis*, American Enterprise Institute, Oct. 31, 2009; *Money As Debt; Bankers Gone Wild – How the US Government Helped Wall Street Gang Rape America's Middle Class, What Really Happened*.

321 BAGUS (Philipp) & HOWDEN (David), *Unanswered Quibbles with Fractional Reserve Free Banking*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 18, p. 16.

322 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, op. cit., p. 83.

323 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., p. 180. About Professor Rothbard's opinion on money, see also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Economic Thought before Adam Smith: An Austrian Perspective on the History of Economic Thought*, Aldershot: Elgar, 1995; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Classical Economics: An Austrian Perspective on the History of Economic Thought*, Vol. II, Aldershot: Elgar, 1995; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Austrian Theory of Money*, in DOLAN (Edwin G.) (ed.), *The Foundations of Modern Austrian Economics*, Kansas City: Sheed and Ward, 1976, pp. 160-184.

324 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *What Has Government Done to Our Money?*, op. cit., p. 107.

325 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Minimizing Resource Costs under a Gold Standard: From Warehouse Deposits to Deposit Banking*, Journal of Economics and Finance Education, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2010, pp. 1-5.

326 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 78, No. 3, 2011, p. 291.

327 HAYEK (Friedrich August), *Denationalisation of Money: An Analysis of the Theory and Practice of Concurrent Currencies*, 3rd ed., London: Institute of Economic Affairs, 1990, p. 28; quoted by STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 291.

328 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 291. About Hayekian ideas on money, see also HAYEK (Friedrich August), *Market Standards for Money*, Economic Affairs, Vol. 6, No. 4, 1986, pp. 8-10; DODD (Nigel), *Simmel's Perfect Money*, op. cit., p. 12; FERRIS (J. Stephen) & GALBRAITH (John A.), *On Hayek's Denationalization of Money, Free Banking and Inflation Targeting*, European Journal of the History of Economic Thought, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2006, pp. 213-231; KELSEY (Jane), *The Denationalization of Money: Embedded Neo-Liberalism and the Risks of Implosion*, Social and Legal Studies, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2003, pp. 155-176.

-
- 329 See ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *The Economics of Credit Card Security*, Volokh Conspiracy, Jan. 21, 2014; ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Credit Cards and Bankruptcy*, ExpressO, 2008; ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *The Economics of Credit Cards*, George Mason Law and Economics Working Paper No. 00-22, 2000.
- 330 EDWARDS (James Rolph), *Monopoly and Competition in Money*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1980, p. 107.
- 331 EDWARDS (James Rolph), *Monopoly and Competition in Money*, op. cit., p. 116.
- 332 BAGUS (Philipp) & HOWDEN (David), *Unanswered Quibbles with Fractional Reserve Free Banking*, op. cit., p. 4.
- 333 BAGUS (Philipp) & HOWDEN (David), op. cit., p. 12. About the anarcho-capitalist ideas on money, see also NORTH (Gary), *Mises on Money*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2012; CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 121-154; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law: The Political Economy of Choice*, New Brunswick and London: Transaction, 2007; HORWITZ (Steven) (ed.), *Biographical Dictionary of Austrian Economists*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2005; GERTCHEV (Nikolay), *Dehomogenizing Mises's Monetary Theory*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 57-90; HÜLSMANN (Jörg Guido), *Legal Tender Laws and Fractional-Reserve Banking*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 33-55; MUELLER (Reinhold C.), *The Venetian Money Market: Banks Panics, and the Public Debt, 1200-1500*, Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1997; SELGIN (George) *The Theory of Free Banking: Money Supply under Competitive Note Issue*, New Jersey: Rowman and Littlefield, 1988; MISES (Ludwig von), *The Theory of Money and Credit*, Indianapolis, Liberty Classics, 1980; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit.; SPOONER (Lysander), *Constitutional Law, Relative to Credit, Currency and Banking*, Worcester: Joseph B. Ripley, 1843.
- 334 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., p. 1066. See also CHODOROV (Frank), *Out of Step: The Autobiography of an Individualist*, New York: Devin-Adair Company, 1962, pp. 216-239; JOHNSON (Dave), *Tax Cuts Are Theft*, Huffington Post, Aug. 9, 2010; WILLIAMS (Walter E.), *Government Theft, American Style*, World Net Daily, Aug. 6, 2008; FESER (Edward), *Taxation, Forced Labor, and Theft*, Independent Review, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2000, pp. 219-235; TAME (Chris Ronald), *Taxation Is Theft*, Libertarian Alliance Political, Note No. 44, 1989; HERBERT (Auberon) & LEVY (Joseph Hiam), *Taxation and Anarchism*, London: Personal Rights Association, 1912.
- 335 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 75.
- 336 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., p. 182. See also TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit., p. 28.
- 337 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *System of Economical Contradictions: or, The Philosophy of Poverty*, Anarchist Library, 1847, p. 207.
- 338 BIEHL (Janet), *The Fallacy of "Neither Left Nor Right": Militia Fever*, Anarchist Library, 1995, p. 13.
- 339 I-AFD, *Flier about the Pope*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 3.
- 340 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., p. 149.
- 341 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), op. cit., pp. 97, 123, 182; GEORGE (William H.), *Proudhon and Economic Federalism*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 30, No. 4, 1922, p. 536.
- 342 SELIGMAN (Edwin Robert Anderson), *The Income Tax: A Study of the History, Theory, and Practice of Income Taxation at Home and Abroad*, New York: Macmillan, 1911, p. 279; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *Proposition relative à l'Impôt sur le Revenu présentée le 11 Juillet 1848, par le Citoyen Proudhon*, Paris: Garnier Frères, 1848; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *Proposition relative à l'Impôt sur le Revenu, présentée le 11 Juillet 1848, par le Citoyen Proudhon; suivie du Discours qu'il a Prononcée à l'Assemblée Nationale, le 31 Juillet 1848*, Paris: Garnier Frères, 1848; GEORGE (William H.), *Proudhon and Economic Federalism*, op. cit., p. 531.
- 343 LU (Shi Yung), *The Political Theories of P. J. Proudhon*, op. cit., pp. 93, 60. About Proudhon ideas on taxation, see also PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *System of Economical Contradictions*, op. cit.; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *What Is Property?*, op. cit.; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *On Proudhon's Income Tax Proposal*, Libertarian Labyrinth, Nov. 28, 2013; FORBES (Amy Wiese), *"Let's Add the Stomach": Satire, Absurdity, and July Monarchy Politics in Proudhon's What Is Property?*, French Historical Studies, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2001, pp. 686-687, 704; SIMON (Yves) & KUIC (Vukan), *A Note on Proudhon's Federalism*, op. cit., pp. 24, 29; EDEL (Matthew), *Rent Theory and Working Class Strategy*, op. cit.; BROGAN (Denis William), *Proudhon*, London: Hamish Hamilton, 1934; DOUGLAS (Dorothy W.), *P.J. Proudhon*, op. cit., pp. 791-792, 794, 796-797.

-
- 344 See WILLIAMS (Walter E.), *Government Theft, American Style*, World Net Daily, Aug. 6, 2008; BROWNING (Edgar K.), *Stealing from Each Other: How the Welfare State Robs Americans of Money and Spirit*, Westport: Praeger, 2008.
- 345 IVANOVA (Maria N.), *Can 'It' Happen Again*, op. cit., p. 219; WRAY (Larry Randall), *Understanding Modern Money: The Key to Full Employment and Price Stability*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 1998; MEHRLING (Perry), *Modern Money: Fiat or Credit?*, Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2000, pp. 401-402.
- 346 BUCH-HANSEN (Hubert) & WIGGER (Angela), *Competition, the Global Crisis, and Alternatives to Neoliberal Capitalism: A Critical Engagement with Anarchism*, New Political Science, Vol. 35, No. 4, 2013, pp. 611-612.
- 347 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 162.
- 348 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 1048-1049, 1056, 1060, 1151.
- 349 Ibid., p. 1318.
- 350 Ibid., pp. 1318-1319. About Professor Rothbard's ideas on taxation, see also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit.
- 351 TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 47, 52.
- 352 Ibid., p. 86.
- 353 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 636.
- 354 Ibid., p. 23.
- 355 Ibid., p. 24.
- 356 HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., p. 163. See also HONEYWELL (Carissa), op. cit., pp. 173-174; WARD (Colin), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 28; WARD (Colin), *Anarchism and the Informal Economy*, Raven, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1987, pp. 25-37.
- 357 CONSENT WITHDRAWN, *We Must Marginalize the State and Capitalism*, op. cit., p. 4.
- 358 THOREAU (Henry David), *Civil Disobedience*, Anarchist Library, 1848, p. 9-12, 14-15.
- 359 TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 54-55.
- 360 ELTZBACHER (Paul), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 196-197.
- 361 ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Beating the Poll Tax*, London: Anarchist Communist, 1990, p. 9. See also ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *The Poll Tax and How to Fight It*, London: Anarchist Communist, 1988; K (Sasha), *Insurrectionary Practice and Capitalist Transformation*, op. cit., pp. 10-11.
- 362 BEITO (David T.), *Taxpayers in Revolt: Tax Resistance During the Great Depression*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1989, p. 164; THORNTON (Mark) & WEISE (Chetley), *The Great Depression Tax Revolts Revisited*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2001, pp. 95-96.
- 363 CARTER (April), *The Political Theory of Global Citizenship*, London: Routledge, 2001, p. 181; LEVY (Carl), *Anarchism and Cosmopolitanism*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2011, p. 268.
- 364 STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit.; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Liberty Debate on Participation in Politics*, Independent Review, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2000, p. 379.
- 365 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 122.
- 366 MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Programme of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, op. cit., p. 34; MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *My Social Credo*, Anarchist Library, 2011, pp. 14-15. About the problems of taxation and their solutions in anarchism, see also CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order: Law and Politics for a Stateless Society*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013; HATTINGH (Shawn), *Venezuela and the "Bolivarian Revolution"*, op. cit.; CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; ADES (Richard), *Revolutionary Purity Showdown*, Anarchist Library, 1979-2011; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; GRAEBER (David Rolfe), *Debt*, op. cit.; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchism and Minarchism*, op. cit.; CORDATO (Roy E.), *Tax Rate vs. Tax Base: A Public Choice Perspective on the Consequences for the Growth of Government*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1986, pp. 63-68; ELTZBACHER (Paul), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Great French Revolution 1789-1793*, Anarchist Library, 1909; BADCOCK, JR. (John), *Slaves to Duty*, op. cit.; PENTECOST (Hugh Owen), *The Sins of Government*, Twentieth Century, Mar. 13, 1890; PENTECOST (Hugh Owen), *The Crime of Owning Vacant Land*, Anarchist Library, 1889; SPOONER (Lysander), *No Treason*, op. cit.

- 367 KAKOL (Peter Paul), *Ten Anarchist Principles*, Spunk, 1995. Concerning the anarchist principles, see also MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; GOODMAN (Paul), *Reflections on the Anarchist Principle*, Anarchist Library, 1966; ANARCHIST INTERNATIONAL, *What Anarchists Are Against and What They Are For*.
- 368 STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civ!*, op. cit., p. 4.
- 369 STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Life Without Law*, op. cit., pp. 3, 7-8, 12.
- 370 Ibid., pp. 12-13, 15-16. See also STRANGERS IN A TANGLED WILDERNESS, *Post-Civ!*, op. cit.
- 371 IVISON (D. J.), *Futilitarianism: A Libertarian Dilemma?*, Anarchist Library, 1960, p. 4.
- 372 GODESKY (Jason), *Thirty Theses*, op. cit., pp. 5, 11.
- 373 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 42-44, 103-104.
- 374 PRICE (Wayne), *Anarchist Responses when Elected Governments Are Overturned*, Anarchist Library, 2006, p. 3.
- 375 GOODMAN (Paul), *Reflections on the Anarchist Principle*, op. cit., p. 2.
- 376 BIEHL (Janet) (ed.), *The Murray Bookchin Reader*, op. cit., pp. 40-41; WILLIAMS (Leonard), *Anarchism Revived*, New Political Science, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2007, p. 301.
- 377 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., p. 26.
- 378 About this type of justice, see below, footnote 658; MOONEY (Annabelle), *Restoring Trust: Plachimada, the Human Trust and Anticipatory Negligence as Restorative Justice*, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2014, pp. 243-261; PAUL (Gregory D.) & DUNLOP (Julia A.), *The Other Voice in the Room: Restorative Justice Facilitators' Constructions of Justice*, Conflict Resolution Quarterly, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2014, pp. 257-283; GAVRIELIDES (Theo), *Bringing Race Relations into the Restorative Justice Debate: An Alternative and Personalized Vision of "the Other"*, Journal of Black Studies, Vol. 45, No. 3, 2014, pp. 216-246; DICKSON-GILMORE (E. Jane), *Whither Restorativeness?: Restorative Justice and the Challenge of Intimate Violence in Aboriginal Communities*, Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Vol. 56, No. 4, 2014, pp. 417-446; RAINE (John W.), *Rehabilitative and Restorative Justice for Juvenile Offenders: How Might Economic Sanctions Help?*, Criminology and Public Policy, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2014, pp. 27-29; PARENT (Geneviève), *Peace Operations and Restorative Justice: Groundwork for Post-Conflict Regeneration*, Peacebuilding, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2014, pp. 363-365; REDDY (Peter), *Peace Operations and Restorative Justice: Groundwork for Post-Conflict Regeneration*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2012; LEIDNER (Bernhard) ET AL., *Dehumanization, Retributive and Restorative Justice, and Aggressive Versus Diplomatic Intergroup Conflict Resolution Strategies*, Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2013, pp. 181-192; VANFRAECHEM (Inge) ET AL. (ed.), *Restorative Justice Realities: Empirical Research in a European Context*, The Hague: Eleven International, 2010; NESS (Daniel W. Van) & STRONG (Karen Heetderks), *Restoring Justice: An Introduction to Restorative Justice*, Waltham: Anderson, 2010; BROWNLEE (Kimberley), *Retributive, Restorative and Ritualistic Justice*, op. cit.; KLENOWSKI (Paul M.), *Peacemaking Criminology*, op. cit.; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.), *Facing into the Wind's Teeth: Hal Pepinsky Brings Peacemaking to Fields of War*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2008, pp. 299-317; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Handbook of Restorative Justice: A Global Perspective*, London: Routledge, 2005; PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Peacemaking*, op. cit.; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice*, op. cit.; BARAK (Gregg), *A Reciprocal Approach to Peacemaking Criminology: Between Adversarialism and Mutualism*, Theoretical Criminology, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2005, pp. 131-152; LIEBMANN (Marian), *Restorative Justice: How It Works*, London and Philadelphia: Jessica Kingsley, 2007; AMSTER (Randall), *Breaking the Law: Anti-Authoritarian Visions of Crime and Justice*, New Formulation, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2004; AMSTER (Randall), *Restoring (Dis)Order: Sanctions, Resolutions, and "Social Control" in Anarchist Communities*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2003, pp. 9-24; BOEHRER (Fred), *Anarchism and Downward Mobility: Is Finishing last the least We Can Do?*, Contemporary Justice Review, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2003, pp. 37-45; KERR (Gregor), *Crime, Punishment and Community Policing*, op. cit.; BRASWELL (Michael) ET AL., *Corrections, Peacemaking, and Restorative Justice: Transforming Individuals and Institutions*, Cincinnati: Anderson, 2001; BAZEMORE (S. Gordon) & SCHIFF (Mara) (ed.), *Restorative Community Justice: Repairing Harm and Transforming Communities*, Cincinnati: Anderson, 2001; NORTHEY (Wayne), *Justice Is Peacemaking: A Biblical Theology of Peacemaking in Response to Criminal Conflict*, Akron: Mennonite Central Committee, Office of Criminal Justice, 1992.
- 379 SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice*, op. cit., p. 189.
- 380 See AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 19-20, 169-170, 175; MCLAUGHLIN (Paul), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., p. 171; NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, op. cit., p. 170.
- 381 STAMM (Ed) ET AL., *Consent or Coercion*, Anarchist Library, 1995, p. 9.

-
- 382 BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Free Market Transportation: Denationalizing the Roads*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1979, p. 236.
- 383 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Anarchist Analysis of Property*, op. cit.
- 384 HEYWOOD (Andrew), *Political Ideologies*, op. cit., pp. 187-188.
- 385 DOLGOFF (Sam), *Fragments: A Memoir*, Cambridge: Refract, 1986, p. 190; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 273.
- 386 About Proudhon's critiques of social contract, see PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, *Millennium*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2007, p. 629; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit., pp. 11, 17-18, 23-24.
- 387 DOUGLAS (Dorothy W.), *The Social Purpose in the Sociology of DeGreef*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1926, pp. 439-440.
- 388 PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, op. cit., pp. 641-642.
- 389 Bakunin and Kropotkin would later argue in the same way. See ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 126, 154, 157-158, 167, 272 ff.
- 390 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 74-75.
- 391 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit., pp. 17-18; BRASS (Tom), *Towards a Comparative Political Economy of Unfree Labour: Case Studies and Debates*, London: Frank Cass, 1999; BRASS (Tom) & LINDEN (Marcel van der) (ed.), *Free and Unfree Labour: The Debate Continues*, Bern: Peter Lang, 1997; LAPIDES (Kenneth), *Marx's Wage Theory in Historical Perspective: Its Origins, Development, and Interpretation*, Westport: Praeger, 1998; SCHOLLIERS (Peter) (ed.), *Real Wages in 19th and 20th Century Europe: Historical and Comparative Perspectives*, Oxford: Berg, 1989; MCFARLANE (Kevin), *Value and Exploitation in Marxism: A Critique*, Libertarian Alliance, 1988.
- 392 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Anarchist Communism: Its Basis and Principles*, Anarchist Library, 1927, pp. 6-7, 9, 19.
- 393 TUCKER (Jeffrey Albert), *Secession: A Fundamental and Universal Right*, Liberty.me, July 4, 2014. For an opposite argument, see TURLEY (Jonathan), *Uncivil Action: Was Lincoln Wrong on Secession?*, Sep. 24, 2010; HOLZER (Harold), *Lincoln President-Elect: Abraham Lincoln and the Great Secession Winter 1860-1861*, New York: Simon and Schuster, 2008; MCCLINTOCK (Russell), *Lincoln and the Decision for War: The Northern Response to Secession: The Northern Response to Secession*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2008; POTTER (David Morris), *Lincoln and His Party in the Secession Crisis*, Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University, 1995; *Lincoln on Secession*, National Park Service.
- 394 See MAS (Artur), *The Catalan People Have Spoken. Will the Spanish Government Listen?*, *Guardian*, Oct. 5, 2015; ANDREWS (Kieran), *No Scottish Labour Revival without a Second Independence Referendum*, *Courier*, Sep. 28, 2015; NARDELLI (Alberto), *Catalonia Election: What Does Victory for Pro-Independence Parties Mean?*, *Guardian*, Sep. 28, 2015; *Basque Premier Wants 'Legal Consultation' with Madrid*, *Straits Times*, Sep. 28, 2015; *Basque Country Head also Wants Referendum on Ties to Spain*, *Yahoo*, Sep. 27, 2015; *Spain Faces Problems With Basque Country Besides Catalonia*, *Sputnik*, Sep. 27, 2015; JOHNSTON (Ian), *Queen's Remark on Scottish Independence Was 'Deliberate Intervention' in Referendum, Book Claims*, *Independent*, Sep. 23, 2015; GREEN (Chris), *Alex Salmond: BBC Bias Was 'Significant Factor' in Deciding Scottish Independence Referendum*, *Independent*, Sep. 17, 2015; GOODMAN (Al), *No Catalonia Independence Referendum for Now, President Says*, *CNN*, Oct. 14, 2014; *Catalonia Cancels Scottish-Style Referendum on Independence*, *Telegraph*, Oct. 14, 2014; ROBILLARD (Alexandre), *'We Are also Pleased Your Province Never Separated from Canada': German President Aggravates Quebec Separatists*, *National Post*, Sep. 27, 2014; BLACK (Conrad), *In Scotland and Quebec, Separatism Is a Dead Pigeon*, *National Post*, Sep. 27, 2014; VIEIRA (Paul) & MACDONALD (Alistair), *Scottish Independence Issue May Return, Quebec Separatists Warn*, *Wall Street Journal*, Sep. 20, 2014; *Scottish Referendum: Scotland Votes 'No' to Independence*, *BBC News*, Sep. 19, 2014; WINNING (Nicholas) ET AL., *Scottish Vote Echoes Far and Wide*, *Wall Street Journal*, Sep. 19, 2014; PATRIQUIN (Martin), *Quebec Separatists Sad? Not So Much*, *MacLean's*, Sep. 19, 2014; MINDER (Raphael), *Catalan Secessionists, Despite Scotland Independence Loss, Continue Push to Leave Spain*, *New York Times*, Sep. 19, 2014; HAMILTON (Graeme), *Quebec Separatists, Disappointed but ever Optimistic, Put Positive Spin on Scotland Independence Loss*, *National Post*, Sep. 19, 2014; BLATCHFORD (Andy), *Quebec Separatists Inspired by Scottish Counterparts Win or Lose*, *iPolitics*, Sep. 18, 2014; SPARROW (Andrew) & OWEN (Paul), *Scottish Independence Referendum: Alex Salmond Addresses Final Campaign Rally*, *Guardian*, Sep. 17, 2014; MOUNT (Ian), *In Spain's Catalan Region, All Eyes Are on Scotland's Secession Vote*, *Fortune*, Sep. 17, 2014; BUCK (Tobias), *Spain Calls Scottish Independence a 'Torpedo' against Europe*, *Financial Times*,

Sep. 17, 2014; TALBOT (Véronick), *Le Député de Terrebonne Témoin d'un Moment Historique*, Journal La Revue, Sep. 16, 2014.

395 KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *Was Mises an Anarchist?*, Aug. 7, 2009; HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit., p. 145; PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, op. cit., pp. 642; WOODS, JR. (Thomas E.), *Symposium Introduction on Federalism, War, and Reconstruction*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2002, p. 1; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 33-34; ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 120-121; DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy*, op. cit.; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, op. cit., p. 136.

396 DOLGOFF (Sam), *Misconceptions of Anarchism*, RIVET, No. 3, 2008.

397 BUCHANAN (James McGill), *Federalism as an Ideal Political Order and an Objective for Constitutional Form*, Publius, Vol. 25, No. 2, 1995, pp. 19-27; BUCHANAN (James McGill), *Federalism and Individual Sovereignty*, Cato Journal, Vol. 15, No. 2-3, 1995, pp. 259-268; MIGUE (Jean-Luc), *Federalism and Individual Sovereignty: A Comment on Buchanan*, Cato Journal, Vol. 15, No. 2-3, 1995, pp. 269-275; TIEBOUT (Charles Mills), *A Pure Theory of Local Expenditures*, Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 64, No. 5, 1956, pp. 416-424; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Overlapping Jurisdictions, Proprietary Communities, and Competition in the Realm of Law*, Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics, Vol. 162, No. 3, 2006, p. 530. About competitive federalism, see also NICHOLSON-CROTTY (Sean) & THEOBALD (Nick), *Claiming Credit in the U.S. Federal System: Testing a Model of Competitive Federalism*, Publius, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2011, pp. 232-256; MINTROM (Michael), *Competitive Federalism and the Governance of Controversial Science*, Publius, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2009, pp. 606-631; VOLDEN (Craig), *The Politics of Competitive Federalism: A Race to the Bottom in Welfare Benefits?*, American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2002, pp. 352-363; BÖRZEL (Tanja A.), *From Competitive Regionalism to Cooperative Federalism: The Europeanization of the Spanish State of the Autonomies*, Publius, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2000, pp. 17-42; KASPER (Wolfgang), *Competitive Federalism: Promoting Freedom and Prosperity*, West Perth: Institute of Public Affairs, 1995; KASPER (Wolfgang), *High on the Reform Agenda: Competitive Federalism*, Policy, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1994, pp. 10-14; SHANNON (John) & KEE (James Edwin), *The Rise of Competitive Federalism*, Public Budgeting and Finance, Vol. 9, No. 4, 1989, pp. 5-20; WINTROBE (Ronald), *Competitive Federalism and Bureaucratic Power*, European Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 3, No. 1-2, 1987, pp. 9-31; BRETON (Albert), *Towards a Theory of Competitive Federalism*, European Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 3, No. 1-2, 1987, pp. 263-329.

398 MISES (Ludwig von) & HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Mises on Secession*, Mises Daily, Oct. 19, 2012. See also HÜLSMANN (Jörg Guido), *Secession and the Production of Defense*, in HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit., pp. 369-413.

399 STAMM (Ed) ET AL., *Consent or Coercion*, op. cit., pp. 9, 11.

400 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 189, 196.

401 Ibid., pp. 189-190.

402 Ibid., p. 195.

403 BUTLER (C. T. Lawrence) & ROTHSTEIN (Amy), *On Conflict and Consensus*, op. cit., pp. 3-4, 6-8.

404 STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., pp. 153, 155-156.

405 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 95-96, 100, 103-105.

406 About their critiques of Stirner, see MARX (Karl) & ENGELS (Frederick), *The German Ideology*, Moscow: Progress, 1968, ch. 3; ROUSSELLE (Duane), *Max Stirner's Post-Post-Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 158, 162; RIDDER (Widukind De), *Max Stirner, Hegel and the Young Hegelians: A reassessment*, History of European Ideas, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2008, pp. 285-297; SMITH (Caleb), *Solitude and Freedom: A Response to Saul Newman on Stirner and Foucault*, Postmodern Culture, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2004; NEWMAN (Saul), *Spectres of Freedom in Stirner and Foucault: A Response to Caleb Smith's "Solitude and Freedom"*, Postmodern Culture, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2004; NEWMAN (Saul), *Spectres of Stirner: A Contemporary Critique of Ideology*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2001, pp. 309-330; CARATINI (Roger), *Initiation à la Philosophie*, Paris: L'Arhipel, 2000, p. 690; THOMAS (Paul), *Karl Marx and the Anarchists*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1980; THOMAS (Paul), *Karl Marx and Max Stirner*, Political Theory, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1975, pp. 159-179; CARROLL (John), *Break-Out from the Crystal Palace*, op. cit., pp. 60-86.

407 About this concept and its critiques, see EABRASU (Marian), *Rothbard's and Hoppe's Justifications of Libertarianism: A Critique*, Politics, Philosophy and Economics, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2013, pp. 288-307; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Self-Ownership and External Property*, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Apr. 25, 2012; SOBEL (David), *Backing Away from Libertarian Self-Ownership*,

Ethics, Vol. 123, No. 1, 2012, pp. 32-60; YPI (Lea), *Self-Ownership and the State: A Democratic Critique*, Ratio, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2011, pp. 91-106; CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit., p. 155; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *The Gift Economy of Property*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 178-190; MCLAVERTY (Peter), *Socialism and Libertarianism*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2005, pp. 185-198; OTSUKA (Michael), *Libertarianism without Inequality*, Oxford: Clarendon, 2003, pp. 12 ff.; FREEMAN (Samuel Richard), *Illiberal Libertarians: Why Libertarianism Is Not a Liberal View*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2001, pp. 105-151; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property*, op. cit., pp. 412-143; VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics: The Contemporary Debate*, New York: Palgrave, 2000; RADNITZKY (Gerard), *Is Democracy More Peaceful than Other Forms of Government?*, in HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit., p. 194; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 93-94, 164, 184-185, 559, 705, 738, 960, 1049, 1053, 1140, 1277; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit.; STURMAN (Henry), *Morality and Libertarianism*, op. cit.; BRENKERT (George G.), *Self-Ownership, Freedom, and Autonomy*, Journal of Ethics, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1998, pp. 27-55; GORDON (David), *Self-Ownership, Freedom, and Equality*, G.A. Cohen, Mises Review, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1998; COHEN (Gerald Allan), *Self-Ownership, Freedom, and Equality*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995; MICHAEL (Mark A.), *Redistributive Taxation, Self-Ownership and the Fruit of Labour*, Journal of Applied Philosophy, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1997, pp. 137-146.

408 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Logic of Action II*, op. cit., p. 127.

409 See WARREN (Josiah), *Equitable Commerce*, op. cit.; BUTLER (Ann Caldwell), *Josiah Warren and the Sovereignty of the Individual*, op. cit.; DAVIDSON (James Dale) & REES-MOGG (William), *The Sovereign Individual: How to Survive and Thrive During the Collapse of the Welfare State*, New York: Simon and Schuster, 1997; ANDREWS (Stephen Pearl) ET AL., *Love, Marriage, and Divorce, and the Sovereignty of the Individual*, New York: Source Book Press, 1972.

410 FREEMAN (Samuel Richard), *Illiberal Libertarians*, op. cit., pp. 131, 133.

411 See MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 138, 61, 147.

412 See NEWMAN (Saul), *War on the State: Stirner and Deleuze's Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 16.

413 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 64, 79; *Liberty*, Mar. 4, 1893, p. 3.

414 TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Instead of a Book, by a Man too Busy to Write One*, op. cit., p. 56.

415 See MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 69-83, 163-164.

416 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 76.

417 TUCKER (Kevin), *L'Enfant Terrible*, *Liberty*, Vol. 11, No. 8, 1895, p. 4; quoted in WATNER (Carl), *The English Individualists as They Appear in Liberty*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1982, p. 75.

418 See BROOKS (Frank H.) (ed.), *The Individualist Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 205-221; WATNER (Carl), *Benjamin Tucker and his Periodical, Liberty*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1977, pp. 312-313.

419 WATNER (Carl), *The English Individualists as They Appear in Liberty*, op. cit., p. 75; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 78.

420 BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Terri Schiavo*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, p. 529.

421 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt against Nature*, op. cit., pp. 147-148, 153-154. About the Rothbardian and libertarian ideas on childhood, see also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 107-108, 119-142; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., pp. 93 (note 12), 1111-1112; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. xviii, xxxix-xli, xlv, xlviii, 13 (note 13), 60, 97-112, 147 (note 17), 150-151, 272; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Origins of the Welfare State in America*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1996, pp. 193-232; BLOCK (Walter Edward) ET AL., *The Natural Rights of Children*, International Journal of Health Policy Management, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2014, pp. 85-89; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Response to Wisniewski on Abortion, Round, Two*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 4; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Response to Wisniewski on Abortion, Round Three*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 37; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Rejoinder to Wisniewski on Abortion*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 32; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Libertarianism, Positive Obligations and Property Abandonment: Children's Rights*, International Journal of Social Economics, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2004, pp. 275-286; WIŚNIEWSKI (Jakub Bożydar), *Abortion, Libertarianism, and Evictionism: A Last Word*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2013, pp. 153-162; WIŚNIEWSKI (Jakub Bożydar), *Response to Block on Abortion, Round Three*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 6; WIŚNIEWSKI (Jakub Bożydar), *A Critique of Block on Abortion and Child Abandonment*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 16; WIŚNIEWSKI (Jakub

Bozydar), *Rejoinder to Block's Defense of Evictionism*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 37; FLANIGAN (Jessica), *What About Children?*, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Feb. 28, 2012; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *A Theory of Socialism and Capitalism: Economics, Politics, and Ethics*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2010, pp. 24-25 (note 12); FESER (Edward), *Self-Ownership, Abortion, and the Rights of Children: Toward a More Conservative Libertarianism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2004, pp. 91-114; NOZICK (Robert), *Parents and Children*, in VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics*, op. cit., pp. 290-294; WALKER (John), *Children's Rights versus Murray Rothbard's The Ethics of Liberty*, Libertarians for Life, 1991; ALEXANDER (Lawrence A.) & O'DRISCOLL (Lyla H.), *Stork Markets: An Analysis of "Baby-Selling"*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1980, pp. 173-196; EVERS (Williamson M.), *Rawls and Children*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1978, pp. 109-114; GREEN (Arnold W.), *Equality and Equal Opportunity*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1977, pp. 125-136.

⁴²² See *Martial a-t-il Dérapé en Rappelant les Écrits Polémiques de Daniel Cohn-Bendit dans le "Mad Mag"?*, Metro News, Mar. 18, 2016; *Cohn-Bendit le Pédophile Dégénéré (Preuve en Image)*, Rutube, Mar. 29, 2015; LAING (Jacqueline), *Normalizing Pedophilia: Abolishing the Age of Consent*, LifeSiteNews, Nov. 12, 2013; CONNOLLY (Kate), *Green Party in Germany to Investigate Backing for Paedophiles in 80s*, Guardian, May 14, 2013; CONNOLLY (Kate), *Sixties Hero Revealed as Kindergarten Sex Author*, Guardian, Jan. 28, 2001; FLEISCHHAUER (Jan) ET AL., *Pedophile Links Haunt Green Party*, Spiegel, May 13, 2013; *Paedophilia Accusations Haunt Green Politician*, Deutsche Welle, May 4, 2013; BARBER (Matt), *Sexual Anarchy*, Townhall, Sep. 3, 2011; GUPTA (Vinay), *We Can't Have Nice Things Because Hakim Bey and the Pope Will Molest Your Children*, How to Live Wiki, Aug. 30, 2010; *Les Extraits du Livre de Daniel Cohn-Bendit qui Font Polémique*, Le Nouvel Observateur, Juin 5, 2009; HELMS (Robert P.), *Pedophilia and American Anarchism: The Other Side of Hakim Bey (with Bibliography and Sample Poem)*, Libcom, Nov. 10, 2006; HELMS (Robert P.), *Leaving Out the Ugly Part: On Hakim Bey*, Anarchist Library, 2004; FISCHER (Joseph J.), *Drawing the Line on 'Drawing the Line': Foucauldian Pleasure, Feminist Pain, and the Pedophilic Limit*, draft prepared for the Political Theory Workshop at the University of Chicago, Jan. 28, 2008; ALCOFF (Linda Martin), *Dangerous Pleasures: Foucault and the Politics of Pedophilia*, in HEKMAN (Susan J.) (ed.), *Feminist Interpretations of Michel Foucault*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1996, pp. 99-135.

⁴²³ Quoted in KHAN (Amar) (ed.), *A Complete Guide to Pedophilia in Islam*, Islam Watch, Feb. 9, 2009. See also FINCKE (Daniel), *Khomeini, Iran, and More Islamic Clerics on Sex With Children*, Patheos, July 21, 2014; MOUSAVI (Kaveh), *Yes, Khomeini Has Really Endorsed Pedophilia*, Patheos, July 20, 2014; *Iran: Fatwa Pédophile de Khomeini sur le Site du Guide Suprême Ali Khamenei*, Poste de Veille, Feb 21, 2014; *Khomeini's Comments about Sex with Newborn Baby*, YouTube, July 9, 2012; NAMAZIE (Maryam), *Religiously Sanctioned Paedophilia*, Free Thought Blogs, Jan. 9, 2012; *Khomeini's Fatwa: Child Abuse Is Allowed*, CNN, Sep. 7, 2009; CHITSAZ (Sarvnaz) & SAMSAMI (Soona), *Iranian Women and Girls: Victims of Exploitation and Violence*, in HUGHES (Donna M.) & ROCHE (Claire M.), *Making the Harm Visible: Global Sexual Exploitation of Women and Girls Speaking Out and Providing Services*, Kingston: Coalition Against Trafficking in Women, 1999.

⁴²⁴ See BRENNAN (Jason), *Libertarianism: What Everyone Needs to Know*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2012, pp. 86, 91; COSTER (Karen De), *Prostitution is Libertarian*, LewRockwell, Mar. 11, 2012; TESÓN (Fernando), *Libertarianism and Morality*, Bleeding Heart Libertarians, Dec. 31, 2011; *Why Self-Ownership Makes Rape, Murder and Slavery "Okay"*, Urban Dissent, Nov. 2, 2011; SOROOSHYARI (Nahid), *The Tensions between Feminism and Libertarianism: A Focus on Prostitution*, Washington University Jurisprudence Review, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2010, pp. 167-193; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Defending the Undependable: The Pimp, Prostitute, Scab, Slumlord, Libeler, Moneylender, and other Scapegoats in the Rogue's Gallery of American Society*, foreword by Murray Newton Rothbard, Auburn: Ludwig Von Mises Institute, 2008; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Plumb-Line Libertarianism: A Critique of Hoppe*, Reason Papers, Vol. 29, 2007, pp. 152, 155-156; OTSUKA (Michael), *Libertarianism without Inequality*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 22, 106-107, 113; JOHNSON (Charles W.) & LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Libertarian Feminism: Can This Marriage Be Saved?*, 2005; MCELROY (Wendy) (ed.), *Liberty for Women: Freedom and Feminism in the Twenty-First Century*, Chicago: Ivan R. Dee, 2002; MCELROY (Wendy), *Sexual Correctness: The Gender-Feminist Attack on Women*, Jefferson: McFarland, 1996; COBIN (John), *Rape in a Free Market*, Policy of Liberty Net, June 6, 2000; ROBERTS (M. J. D.), *Feminism and the State in Later Victorian England*, Historical Journal, Vol. 38, No. 1, 1995, pp. 85-110; MCFARLANE (Kevin), *Libertarianism: The Perversion of Liberty: A Restricted Critique*, Libertarian Alliance, Jan. 1, 1994, p. 4 (note 10).

⁴²⁵ DAIBHIDH, *Anarcho-Hucksters: There Is Nothing Anarchistic about Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2010, pp. 3-4.

⁴²⁶ Concerning the left anarchist ideas on prostitution, see ANARCHIST FEDERATION, *Response to: Prostitution Is Not Compatible with Anarchism*, Nov. 1, 2011; ARMAND (Émile), *Anarchist Individualism and Amorous Comradeship*, Anarchist Library, 2004; CLEYRE (Voltairine de), *Sex Slavery*, Anarchist Library, 2009; WARD (Colin), *The Child in the City*, Anarchist Library, 1978; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit.; GOLDMAN (Emma), *The White Slave Traffic*,

Anarchist Library, 1910; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchy and the Sex Question*, Anarchist Library, 1896; HAPGOOD (Hutchins), *An Anarchist Woman*, Anarchist Library, 1909.

427 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchy and the Sex Question*, op. cit., p. 5.

428 DEMOINET (Fernand), *L'Idée de l'État chez les Théoriciens Anarchistes*, Paris: Libraire Sociale et Économique, 1941, p. 172.

429 HOLTERMAN (Thomas), *Anarchist Theory of Law and the State*, op. cit., pp. 44-45.

430 About this symbolization, see CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit.; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Anarchism and Minarchism*, op. cit., pp. 65-66, 745-746; ZYWICKI (Todd J.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Common Law and Economic Efficiency*, George Mason University Law and Economics Research Paper Series 10-43, Sep. 8, 2010, p. 17; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 300; POWELL (Benjamin W.) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Public Choice and the Economic Analysis of Anarchy: A Survey*, Public Choice, Vol. 140, No. 3-4, 2009, p. 516; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit.; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Overlapping Jurisdictions, Proprietary Communities, and Competition in the Realm of Law*, op. cit., pp. 529-530; ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Is Forum-Shopping Corrupting America's Bankruptcy Courts?*, Georgetown Law Journal, Vol. 94, No. 4, 2006, pp. 1141-1195; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Liberty and the New Left*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Political Economy of Monarchy and Democracy, and the Idea of a Natural Order*, op. cit.; KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *New Libertarian Manifesto*, op. cit.

431 STROMBERG (Joseph R.), *Mercenaries, Guerrillas, Militias, and the Defense of Minimal States and Free Societies*, in HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit., pp. 231, 233.

432 SIMON (Yves) & KUIC (Vukan), op. cit., p. 20.

433 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 74.

434 Ibid., pp. 4, 278, 290, 293.

435 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 67.

436 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., p. 228.

437 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 67.

438 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), op. cit., p. 93.

439 CLARK (John P.), *What Is Anarchism?*, in PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), op. cit., p. 18.

440 DE GEORGE (Richard T.), *Anarchism and Authority*, op. cit., pp. 102, 106-107.

441 MCINTOSH (Donald), *The Dimensions of Anarchy*, in PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 267-268.

442 See MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 167.

443 FALK (Richard Anderson), *Anarchism and World Order*, in PENNOCK (James Roland) & CHAPMAN (John William) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 65, 67.

444 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 62-63, 67, 73, 84, 149, 155-156.

445 DANZIG (Richard), *Toward the Creation of a Complementary, Decentralized System of Criminal Justice*, Stanford Law Review, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1973, pp. 3-4, 54.

446 ELLIOT (Eryn M.) & WILLIAMS III (Frank P.), *When You No Longer Need Maslow: Exchange, Professionalism, and Decentralization in the Management of Criminal Justice Agencies*, Public Administration Quarterly, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1995, p. 80.

447 MYTHEN (Gabe) ET AL., *Decentralizing Risk: The Role of the Voluntary and Community Sector in the Management of Offenders*, Criminology and Criminal Justice, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2013, pp. 363-364. About the decentralization of criminal justice, see also HUGGINS (Martha K.), *From Bureaucratic Consolidation to Structural Devolution: Police Death Squads in Brazil*, Policing and Society, Vol. 7, No. 4, 1997, pp. 207-234; WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, op. cit., pp. 15-16; SYKES (Gary W.), *The Functional Nature of Police Reform: The 'Myth' of Controlling the Police*, Justice Quarterly, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1985, pp. 51-65; MURPHY (Patrick V.), *Cooperation in the Criminal Justice System*, Crime and Delinquency, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1972, pp. 42-48.

- 448 PRICE (Wayne), *Decentralism, Centralism, Marxism, and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2007, p. 6.
- 449 CURIOUS GEORGE BRIGADE, *The End of Arrogance*, op. cit., p. 6. About the principle of decentralization and autonomy through federation, see also BIEHL (Janet), *Mumford Gutkind Bookchin*, op. cit.; LIVINGSTON (Donald W.), *A Moral Accounting of the Union and the Confederacy*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2002, pp. 57-101; HARTLEY (David), *Federalism and Particularism*, *Raven*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1997, pp. 209-231; WARD (Colin), *Federalism, Regionalism and Planning: An Anarchist Perspective*, *Raven*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1995, pp. 290-302; WARD (Colin), *Kropotkin's Federation*, *Raven*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1992, pp. 327-341; WARD (Colin), *The Anarchist Sociology of Federalism*, Anarchist Library, 1992; STOEHR (Taylor) (ed.), *Decentralizing Power: Paul Goodman's Social Criticism*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1994; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Meaning of Confederation*, Anarchist Library, 1990; ROSEN (Stanley), *Recentralization, Decentralization, and Rationalization: Deng Xiaoping's Bifurcated Educational Policy*, *Modern China*, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1985, pp. 301-346; BREITBART (Myrna Margulies), *Anarchist Decentralism in Rural Spain, 1936-1939: The Integration of Community and Environment*, *Antipode: A Radical Journal of Geography*, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 83-98; WOODCOCK (George), *Reflections on Decentralism*, Anarchist Library, 1969; BERNERI (Camillo), *Peter Kropotkin: His Federalist Ideas*, London: Freedom Press, 1942; GUILLAUME (James), *Federalism*, Anarchist Library, 1871; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Federalism, Socialism, and Anti-Theologism*, op. cit.
- 450 About this theory, see MCLUHAN (Marshall), *The Gutenberg Galaxy: The Making of Typographic Man*, Toronto: Scholars Portal, 2013; MCLUHAN (Marshall) & POWERS (Bruce R.), *The Global Village: Transformations in World Life and Media in the 21st Century*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1989; MCMANUS (Laurie), *Ambiguity of Identity in the "Global Village": Ellington, McLuhan, and the Afro-Eurasian Eclipse*, *Jazz Perspectives*, Vol. 6, No. 1-2, 2012, pp. 179-196; BAREVIČIUTE (Jovile), *The Locality of the "Global Village" in the Aspect of Communication: Pro et Contra M. McLuhan*, *LIMES*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2010, pp. 184-194; AGUAYO (Beatriz Eugenia Cid), *Global Villages and Rural Cosmopolitanism: Exploring Global Ruralities*, *Globalizations*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2008, pp. 541-554; BÖHME-MEHNER (Tatjana), *Sound and Musics in the Global Village: On Landscapes in Sound and Soundscapes in Culture*, *Organised Sound*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2008, pp. 153-160; FEATHERSTONE (Mike), *Genealogies of the Global, Theory, Culture and Society*, Vol. 23, No. 2-3, 2006, pp. 387-392; COOPER (Thomas W.), *The Medium Is the Mass: Marshall McLuhan's Catholicism and Catholicism*, *Journal of Media and Religion*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2006, pp. 161-173; COOPER (Thomas W.), *The Global Village: Transformations in World Life and Media in the 21st Century*, book review, *Telecommunications Policy*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 1990, pp. 344-346; KHIABANY (Gholam), *Globalization and the Internet: Myths and Realities*, *Trends in Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2003, pp. 137-15; BENSON (Stephen Paul), *Village People? The Net Generation*, *IEEE Communications Magazine*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 1998, pp. 32-35; HOUSE (Charles), *IT Issues: A Global Perspective*, *Computer Bulletin*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 1997, pp. 2-3.
- 451 RUNKLE (Gerald), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 77.
- 452 HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit., p. 146.
- 453 KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *What Libertarianism Is*, *Mises Daily*, Aug. 21, 2009. See also KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *The Relation between the Non-Aggression Principle and Property Rights*, *Mises Economics Blog*, Oct. 4, 2011; KINSELLA (Norman Stephan), *Reply to Van Dun: Non-Aggression and Title Transfer*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2004, pp. 55-64.
- 454 BLOCK (Walter Edward), *The Non-Aggression Axiom of Libertarianism*, *LewRockwell*, Feb. 17, 2003. See also CALLAHAN (Gene), *Liberty versus Libertarianism*, *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2013, p. 57.
- 455 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1211.
- 456 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998-1999, p. 65.
- 457 LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Self-Ownership and External Property*, op. cit.
- 458 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 104.
- 459 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 22-24. About Professor Rothbard's ideas on the non-aggression axiom, see also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit.
- 460 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *A Theory of Socialism and Capitalism*, op. cit., pp. 18, 22-23.
- 461 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), op. cit., pp. 22-23.
- 462 Ibid., p. 25.
- 463 Ibid., pp. 160, 185-186.
- 464 CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit., p. 45.

- 465 NOZICK (Robert), *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*, op. cit., p. 270; quoted by MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 419.
- 466 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 1271.
- 467 Ibid., pp. 1283, 1311, 3032-3033, 3048-3050, 3056, 3085-3086, 3119.
- 468 Ibid., p. 1211.
- 469 OTSUKA (Michael), *Self-Ownership and Equality: A Lockean Reconciliation*, in VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics*, op. cit., p. 150; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1222, 2999-3000; STURMAN (Henry), *Morality and Libertarianism*, op. cit.
- 470 CHARTIER (Gary), *Advocates of Freed Markets Should Oppose Capitalism*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., p. 117. About the NAP, see also BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Natural Rights, Human Rights, and Libertarianism*, op. cit.; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Illiberal Libertarians: Why Libertarianism Is Not a Liberal View, and a Good Thing Too; Reply to Samuel Freeman*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 537-580; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *The Human Body Shield*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 625-630; OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 10; CASEY (Gerard), *Libertarian Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 38-43; BARNET (Phred), *The Non-Aggression Principle*, *Americany Yours*, Apr. 14, 2011; BORER (Kris), *Norms and the NAP*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 57-66; BORER (Kris), *Risking Aggression: Reply to Block*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 13; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) & ZYWICKI (Todd J.), *Hayekian Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 299 (note 21); LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Nonaggression Axiom*, in HAMOWY (Ronald) (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Libertarianism*, op. cit., pp. 357-360; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit.; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 17-19.
- 471 Concerning these theories, see TATARELLI (Roberto) ET AL., *Behavioral Genetics and Criminal Responsibility at the Courtroom*, *Forensic Science International*, Vol. 237, No. 3, 2014, pp. 40-45; AVALOS (Arian) ET AL., *Individual Responsiveness to Shock and Colony-Level Aggression in Honey Bees: Evidence for a Genetic Component*, *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, Vol. 68, No. 5, 2014, pp. 761-771; IBARRA-SORIA (Ximena) ET AL., *The Genomic Basis of Vomeronasal-Mediated Behaviour*, *Mammalian Genome*, Vol. 25, No. 1-2, 2014, pp. 75-86; BOISVERT (Danielle) ET AL., *Genetic and Environmental Overlap Between Delinquent Peer Association and Delinquency in Adolescence*, *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 2014, pp. 58-74; CONNOLLY (Eric) & BEAVER (Kevin M.), *Examining the Genetic and Environmental Influences on Self-Control and Delinquency: Results From a Genetically Informative Analysis of Sibling Pairs*, *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2014, pp. 707-735; BEAVER (Kevin M.), *The Effects of Genetics, the Environment, and Low Self-Control on Perceived Maternal and Paternal Socialization: Results from a Longitudinal Sample of Twins*, *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2011, pp. 85-105; BEAVER (Kevin M.) ET AL., *Genetic and Environmental Influences on Levels of Self-Control and Delinquent Peer Affiliation: Results from a Longitudinal Sample of Adolescent Twins*, *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, Vol. 36, No. 1, 2009, pp. 41-60; SAVAGE (Joanne), *The Association between Attachment, Parental Bonds and Physically Aggressive and Violent Behavior: A Comprehensive Review*, *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2014, pp. 164-178; VASKE (Jamie) ET AL., *Genetic and Environmental Contributions to the Relationship between Violent Victimization and Criminal Behavior*, *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, Vol. 27, No. 16, 2012, pp. 3213-3235; FERGUSON (Christopher J.) & BEAVER (Kevin M.), *Natural Born Killers: The Genetic Origins of Extreme Violence*, *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2009, pp. 286-294.
- 472 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 27 (note 2).
- 473 About this problem, see LARCOM (Shaun), *Problematic Legal Pluralism: Causes and Some Potential 'Cures'*, *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2014, pp. 208; HOSSEINI-CHAVOSHI (Meimanat) ET AL., *Social and Psychological Consequences of Abortion in Iran*, *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, Vol. 118, Supplement 2, 2012, pp. S175-S176; BANNISTER (Piers), *The Death Penalty: UN Victory Puts Total Abolition within our Grasp*, *International Review of Law Computers and Technology*, Vol. 22, No. 1-2, 2008, p. 165; FAZAELI (Roja), *Contemporary Iranian Feminism: Identity, Rights and Interpretations*, op. cit., pp. 5-6; KAR (Mehrangiz), *Iranian Law and Women's Rights*, *Muslim World Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2007, Art. 9, pp. 7-8; DAAÏF (Lahcen), *Le Prix du Sang (Diya) au Premier Siècle de l'Islam*, *Hypothèses: Travaux de l'École Doctorale d'Histoire de l'Université Paris I Panthéon-Sorbonne*, No. 1, 2006, pp. 329-342; TARABAY (Jamie), *Blood Money and Iraqi Tribal Justice*, *NPR News*, Dec. 9, 2006; BAKER (Tom), *Blood Money, New Money, and the Moral Economy of Tort Law in Action*, *Law and Society Review*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2001, pp. 275-319; BAKER (Tom), *Transforming Punishment into Compensation: In the Shadow of Punitive Damage*, *Wisconsin Law Review*, No. 1, 1998, pp. 211-236.

-
- 474 HUTCHINGS (James), *Animal Liberation and Human Liberation*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 3.
- 475 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Ethics: Origin and Development*, Anarchist Library, 1922, p. 21. In this case, see also KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Mutual Aid*, op. cit.; KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Anarchist Morality*, Anarchist Library, 1897.
- 476 About these movements, see BRUCE (Corin), *Green Anarchism*, op. cit.; POWELL (Dylan), *Veganism in the Occupied Territories: Anti-Colonialism and Animal Liberation*, Mar. 1, 2014; MICHAEL (George) (ed.), *Extremism in America*, Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2014; TWINE (Richard), *Is Biotechnology Deconstructing Animal Domestication? Movements toward Liberation*, *Configurations*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 135-158; SUBVERSIVE ENERGY, *Beyond Animal Liberation*, op. cit.; NEWKIRK (Ingrid), *Free the Animals 20th Anniversary Edition: The Amazing True Story of the Animal Liberation Front in North America*, New York: Lantern Books, 2012; SOCHA (Kim), *Women, Destruction, and the Avant-Garde: A Paradigm for Animal Liberation*, Amsterdam: Rodopi, 2012; WESTERN WILDLIFE UNIT OF THE ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT, *Memories of Freedom*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism Is a Consumer Activity*, Anarchist Library, 2008; FLÜKIGER (Jean-Marc), *The Radical Animal Liberation Movement: Some Reflections on Its Future*, *Journal for the Study of Radicalism*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2008, pp. 111-132; FLÜKIGER (Jean-Marc), *An Appraisal of the Radical Animal Liberation Movement in Switzerland: 2003 to March 2007*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 31, No. 2, 2008, pp. 145-157; LIDDICK (Donald Ron), *Eco-Terrorism*, op. cit.; SCARCE (Rik), *Eco-Warriors: Understanding the Radical Environmental Movement*, foreword by David Brower, Walnut Creek: Left Coast Press, 2006; SINGER (Peter) (ed.), *In Defense of Animals: The Second Wave*, Malden: Blackwell, 2006; SINGER (Peter), *Animal Liberation*, op. cit.; NO COMPROMISE, *Grand Jury Investigations*, op. cit.; DOMINICK (Brian A.), *Animal Liberation and Social Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 1997; TUOHEY (John) & MA (Terence), *Fifteen Years after "Animal Liberation": Has the Animal Rights Movement Achieved Philosophical Legitimacy?*, *Journal of Medical Humanities*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 1992, pp. 79-89; LEE (Ronnie), *Animal Liberation But Not Too Much?*, *Arkangel*, No. 2, 1990.
- 477 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., p. 72.
- 478 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., pp. 73-75; KIM (Claire Jean), *Moral Extensionism or Racist Exploitation? The Use of Holocaust and Slavery Analogies in the Animal Liberation Movement*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2011, pp. 311-333; SMITH (Craig), *Blasphemous Likenesses: J.M. Coetzee's The Lives of Animals, America, and the Holocaust*, *Safundi*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2011, pp. 47-68; FRANCIONE (Gary L.), *Animal Welfare and the Moral Value of Nonhuman Animals*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2010, pp. 24-36; COETZEE (John Maxwell), *The Lives of Animals*, ed. and intr. by Amy Gutmann, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999; MACDONALD (David B.), *Pushing the Limits of Humanity? Reinterpreting Animal Rights and "Personhood" through the Prism of the Holocaust*, *Journal of Human Rights*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2006, pp. 417-437; SZTYBEL (David), *Can the Treatment of Animals Be Compared to the Holocaust?*, *Ethics and the Environment*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2006, pp. 97-132; KALECHOFISKY (Roberta), *Animal Suffering and the Holocaust: The Problem with Comparisons*, Marblehead: Micah, 2003; COSTELLOE (Timothy M.), *The Invisibility of Evil: Moral Progress and the 'Animal Holocaust'*, *Philosophical Papers*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2003, pp. 109-131; BLACK (Jason Edward), *Eternal Treblinka: Our Treatment of Animals and the Holocaust*, *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, Vol. 89, No. 1, 2003, pp. 83-84; PHILLIPS (Denise), *Animals in the Third Reich: Pets, Scapegoats, and the Holocaust*, book review, *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, Vol. 33, No. 3, 2003, pp. 479-480; SAX (Boria), *Animals in the Third Reich: Pets, Scapegoats, and the Holocaust*, foreword by Klaus P. Fischer, New York: Continuum, 2000; PATTERSON (Charles), *Eternal Treblinka: Our Treatment of Animals and the Holocaust*, New York: Lantern Books, 2002.
- 479 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., p. 75; BRUCE (Corin), *Green Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 5.
- 480 ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., pp. 5-8, 10.
- 481 ANONYMOUS, op. cit., pp. 10, 25.
- 482 WOODARD (Ben), *Schellingian Thought for Ecological Politics*, *Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies*, No. 2, 2013, p. 101.
- 483 GATES (Bill), *The Deadliest Animal in the World*, *Gates Notes*, Apr. 25, 2014.
- 484 ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., p. 22.
- 485 ANONYMOUS, *The Field Guide to Anti-Hunting*, Anarchist Library, 1991, p. 3; MASSON (Jeffrey Moussaieff) & MASSON (Leila), *Foreword*, in IACOBBO (Karen) & IACOBBO (Michael), *Vegetarians and Vegans in America Today*, Westport: Praeger, 2006, p. ix.; COLE (Matthew) & MORGAN (Karen), *Vegaphobia: Derogatory Discourses of Veganism and the Reproduction of Speciesism in UK National Newspapers*, *British Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 62, No. 1, 2011, pp. 134-135. About the statistics in this case, see also PASSANTINO (Annamaria), *Welfare of Animals at Slaughter and Killing: A New Regulation on the Protection of Animals at the Time of Killing*, *Journal of Consumer Protection and Food Safety*, Vol. 4, No. 3-4, 2009, pp. 273-285.

486 For example, see VELARDE (A.) ET AL., *Religious Slaughter: Evaluation of Current Practices in Selected Countries*, Meat Science, Vol. 96, No. 1, 2014, pp. 278-287; EU, *Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the Various Stunning Methods for Poultry*, Brussels, Dec. 19, 2013; COMMISSION EUROPÉENNE, *Le Responsable du Bien-Être des Animaux dans l'Union Européenne*, 2012; *The Protection of Animals at the Time of Killing*, Council Regulation, No 1099/2009, Sep. 24, 2009; ZIVOTOFISKY (Ari), *Government Regulations of Shechita (Jewish Religious Slaughter) in the Twenty-First Century: Are They Ethical?*, Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, Vol. 25, No. 5, 2012, pp. 747-763; LAMA (Genaro Miranda-de la) ET AL., *Assessment of Cattle Welfare at a Commercial Slaughter Plant in the Northwest of Mexico*, Tropical Animal Health and Production, Vol. 44, No. 3, 2012, pp. 497-504; PASSANTINO (Annamaria), *Welfare of Animals at Slaughter and Killing*, op. cit.; GREGORY (Neville G.), *Animal Welfare at Markets and during Transport and Slaughter*, Meat Science, Vol. 80, No. 1, 2008, pp. 2-11; TERLOUW (E. M. Claudia) ET AL., *Pre-Slaughter Conditions, Animal Stress and Welfare: Current Status and Possible Future Research*, Animal, Vol. 2, No. 10, 2008, pp. 1501-1517; EDERATION OF VETERINARIANS OF EUROPE, *Welfare of Animals at Slaughter and Killing: A New Regulation*, Oct. 25, 2007; GRANDIN (Temple), *Progress and Challenges in Animal Handling and Slaughter in the U.S.*, Applied Animal Behaviour Science, Vol. 100, No. 1-2, 2006, pp. 129-139.

487 Quoted in KATOUIZIAN (Homa), *Sadeq Hedayat: The Life and Legend of an Iranian Writer*, London: I.B. Tauris, 1991, p. 20. See also PHILSOOPH (Hushang), *Hedayat, Vegetarianism and Modernity: Altruism, Leonardo da Vinci, and Sub-Humanization*, in KATOUIZIAN (Homa) (ed.), *Sadeq Hedayat: His Work and His Wondrous World*, London: Routledge, 2008, pp. 144-177; KATOUIZIAN (Homa), *Man and Animal in Hedayat's "Stray Dog"*, in KATOUIZIAN (Homa) (ed.), *Sadeq Hedayat*, op. cit., pp. 178-184.

488 About veganism and veganarchism, see CARR (Chris), *Veganarchism and Emma Goldman*, Species and Class, July 27, 2014; TEFFT (Katerina), *Veganarchism*, Manitoban, Jan. 28, 2014; REGAN (Marguerite M.), *Feminism, Vegetarianism, and Colonial Resistance in Eighteenth-Century British Novels*, Studies in the Novel, Vol. 46, No. 3, 2014, pp. 275-292; YEH (Hsin-Yi), *Boundaries, Entities, and Modern Vegetarianism: Examining the Emergence of the First Vegetarian Organization*, Qualitative Inquiry, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2013, pp. 298-309; POWELL (Dylan), *Veganism in the Occupied Territories*, op. cit.; WERKHEISER (Ian), *Domination and Consumption*, op. cit.; WAYNE (Katherine), *Permissible Use and Interdependence: Against Principled Veganism*, Journal of Applied Philosophy, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2013, pp. 160-175; MEHTA (Mona G.), *Networks of Death and Militant Vegetarianism: The Mechanics of Communal Violence in Gujarat*, India Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2013, pp. 108-117; CIOCCHETTI (Christopher), *Veganism and Living Well*, Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2012, pp. 405-417; HARRIS (John Richard) & GALVIN (Richard), *'Pass the Cocoamone, Please': Causal Impotence, Opportunistic Vegetarianism and Act-Utilitarianism*, Ethics, Policy and Environment, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2012, pp. 368-383; DEVRIES (Juliana), *Making Choices: Ethics and Vegetarianism*, Dissent, Vol. 59, No. 2, 2012, pp. 39-41; ARPPE (Tiina) ET AL., *Living Food Diet and Veganism: Individual vs Collective Boundaries of the Forbidden*, Social Science Information, Vol. 50, No. 2, 2011, pp. 275-297; COLE (Matthew) & MORGAN (Karen), *Vegaphobia*, op. cit.; WILDROOTS, *In Defense of Omnivorousness*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism: Why Not? An Anarchist Perspective*, Anarchist Library, 2011; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism Is a Consumer Activity*, op. cit.; FRANCIONE (Gary Lawrence) & GARNER (Robert), *The Animal Rights Debate: Abolition or Regulation?*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2010; TORRES (Bob) & TORRES (Jenna), *Vegan Freak: Being Vegan in a Non-Vegan World*, Oakland: PM Press and Tofu Hound Press, 2010; PUSKAR-PASEWICZ (Margaret), *Cultural Encyclopedia of Vegetarianism*, Santa Barbara: Greenwood, 2010; MUERS (Rachel) & GRUMETT (David) (ed.), *Eating and Believing: Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Vegetarianism and Theology*, London: T. and T. Clark, 2008; CHERRY (Elizabeth), *Veganism as a Cultural Movement: A Relational Approach*, Social Movement Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2006, pp. 155-170; SNEIJDER (Petra) & MOLDER (Hedwig F. M. Te), *Moral Logic and Logical Morality: Attributions of Responsibility and Blame in Online Discourse on Veganism*, Discourse and Society, Vol. 16, No. 5, 2005, pp. 675-696; ZAMIR (Tzachi), *Veganism*, Journal of Social Philosophy, Vol. 35, No. 3, 2004, pp. 367-379; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anti-Civ Gatherings*, op. cit.; MARCUS (Erik), *Vegan: The New Ethics of Eating*, Ithaca: McBooks Press, 1998.

489 SUBVERSIVE ENERGY, *Beyond Animal Liberation*, op. cit., pp. 4, 7-9.

490 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., pp. 75-76.

491 DOMINICK (Brian A.), *Animal Liberation and Social Revolution*, op. cit., p. 21.

492 TEFFT (Katerina), *Veganarchism*, op. cit.

493 Some anarchists have however argued, "Even wild animals resisted the destruction of their homelands under the hooves of invading livestock. Many of the so-called "renegade" Gray Wolves, who undertook seemingly wanton attacks on cattle and sheep, were the last surviving members of their packs and had seen their fellow pack members trapped and killed. Arizona's "Aguila Wolf" ("aguila" is Spanish for "eagle") killed up to 65 sheep in one night. Near Meeker, Colorado, "Rags the Digger" would

ruin trap lines by digging up traps without tripping them. Many of these avenging wolves were trap victims themselves, bearing names like "Crip," "Two Toes," "Three Toes,"

"Peg Leg," and "Old Lefty." VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Ecodefense*, op. cit., p. 32.

494 ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., p. 15. About his ideas, see LEE (Ronnie), *Animal Liberation But Not Too Much?*, op. cit.; ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., pp. 26-27; NEWKIRK (Ingrid), *Free the Animals 20th Anniversary Edition*, op. cit.; BEST (Steven) & NOCELLA II (Anthony J.) (ed.), *Terrorists or Freedom Fighters?: Reflections on the Liberation of Animals*, foreword by Ward LeRoy Churchill, New York: Lantern Books, 2004; MONAGHAN (Rachel), *Terrorism in the Name of Animal Rights*, op. cit.

495 About this concept, see EISEN (Ben) & YOUREX (Romy), *The Environmental State of Canada: 2013 Update*, Winnipeg: Frontier Centre for Public Policy, 2013; GREEN (Kenneth P.) & EISEN (Ben), *The Environmental State of Canada: 30 Years of Progress*, Winnipeg: Frontier Centre for Public Policy, 2009; MAY (Peter J.) & KOSKI (Chris), *State Environmental Policies: Analyzing Green Building Mandates*, Review of Policy Research, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2007, pp. 49-65; VACHON (Stephan) & MENZ (Fredric C.), *The Role of Social, Political, and Economic Interests in Promoting State Green Electricity Policies*, Environmental Science and Policy, Vol. 9, No. 7-8, 2006, pp. 652-662; NORGAARD (Kari) & YORK (Richard), *Gender Equality and State Environmentalism*, Gender and Society, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2005, pp. 506-522; PRUGAL (Elizabeth), *Gender Orders in German Agriculture: From the Patriarchal Welfare State to Liberal Environmentalism*, Sociologia Ruralis, Vol. 44, No. 4, 2004, pp. 349-372; SUNDERLIN (William D.), *Ideology, Social Theory, and the Environment*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003, pp. 192-193; DRYZEK (John S.) ET AL., *Green States and Social Movements: Environmentalism in the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, and Norway*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003; OOI (Giok-Ling), *The Role of the State in Nature Conservation in Singapore*, Society and Natural Resources, Vol. 15, No. 5, 2002, pp. 455-460; MAZUR (Allan) & WELCH (Eric W.), *The Geography of American Environmentalism*, Environmental Science and Policy, Vol. 2, No. 4-5, 1999, pp. 389-396; DIETZ (Thomas) & KALOF (Linda), *Environmentalism among Nation-States*, Social Indicators Research, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1992, pp. 353-366; HASKELL (Elizabeth H.) & PRICE (Victoria S.), *State Environmental Management: Case Studies of Nine States*, New York: Praeger, 1973.

496 ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., p. 3.

497 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., p. 87.

498 Ibid., p. 74.

499 ANONYMOUS, *Animal Liberation*, op. cit., pp. 4-6.

500 Ibid., p. 32.

501 VARIOUS AUTHORS, *A Murder of Crows*, op. cit., p. 73.

502 LOADENTHAL (Michael), *Operation Splash Back!*, op. cit., p. 99. About the GP, see also GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism: Why Not?*, op. cit.; GELDERLOOS (Peter), *Veganism Is a Consumer Activity*, op. cit.; SINGER (Peter), *Animal Liberation*, op. cit.; CARSON (Jennifer Variale) ET AL., *Terrorist and Non-Terrorist Criminal Attacks by Radical Environmental and Animal Rights Groups in the United States, 1970-2007*, op. cit.; TORRES (Bob) & TORRES (Jenna), *Vegan Freak*, op. cit.; TORRES (Bob), *Making A Killing: The Political Economy of Animal Rights*, Edinburgh and Oakland: AK Press, 2008; DRAPER (Adam), *Making A Killing: The Political Economy of Animal Rights*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2010, pp. 111-113; *Anarchist Ethics: A Utilitarian Approach*, Infoshop News, Dec. 17, 2006; WESTERN WILDLIFE UNIT OF THE ANIMAL LIBERATION FRONT, *Memories of Freedom*, op. cit.; ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit.; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles on Animal and Earth Liberation Struggles from "Green Anarchist"*, op. cit.; THOREAU (Henry David), *Walden*, op. cit.

503 FAHS (Breanne), 'Freedom to' and 'Freedom from': A New Vision for Sex-Positive Politics, Sexualities, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2014, p. 284.

504 ARMAND (Émile), *Mini-Manual of Individualist Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1911, p. 6.

505 For some examples, see GLEDHILL (Cara), *Queering State Crime Theory*, op. cit.; NEGY (Charles) ET AL., *Young Adults' Attitudes Toward Polygamous Marriage as a Function of Gender, Attitudes Toward Same-Sex Marriage, and Other Sociopersonality Constructs*, Marriage and Family Review, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2013, pp. 51-82; TALLIE (T. J.), *Queering Natal: Settler Logics and the Disruptive Challenge of Zulu Polygamy*, GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2013, pp. 167-189; SWEET (Joanna), *Equality, Democracy, Monogamy: Discourses of Canadian Nation Building in the 2010-2011 British Columbia Polygamy Reference*, Canadian Journal of Law and Society, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-19; MAGNIER (Mark) & SHARMA (Tanvi), *India's Supreme Court Reinstates Law Criminalizing Gay Sex*, Los Angeles Times, Dec. 11, 2013; *Map: Countries Where Homosexuality Is A Crime*, CBC News, Dec. 11, 2013; KORDUNSKY (Anna), *Russia Not Only Country With*

Anti-Gay Laws, National Geographic, Aug. 14, 2013; JACQUES (Gloria), *Sexual Minorities in Africa: A Challenge for Social Work*, Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services, Vol. 25, No. 2, 2013, pp. 158-177; ROSENEIL (Sasha) ET AL., *Changing Landscapes of Heteronormativity: The Regulation and Normalization of Same-Sex Sexualities in Europe*, Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State and Society, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2013, pp. 165-199; MORTON (Heather), *Attitudes, Beliefs, and Knowledge of Prostitution and the Law in Canada*, Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2012, pp. 229-244; STEIMLE (Joshua), *Can a Faithful Mormon Be an Anarchist?*, Liberty Questions and Answers, Dec. 7, 2012; DUBBER (Markus D.), *Policing Morality: Constitutional Law and the Criminalization of Incest*, University of Toronto Law Journal, Vol. 61, No. 4, 2011, pp. 737-759; CORRIVEAU (Patrice), *Judging Homosexuals: A History of Gay Persecution in Quebec and France*, trans. by Käthe Roth, foreword by Barry Adam, Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2011; CLARK (Anna), *James Hinton and Victorian Individuality: Polygamy and the Sacrifice of the Self*, Victorian Studies, Vol. 54, No. 1, 2011, pp. 35-61; HABIB (Samar), *Islam and Homosexuality*, Vol. 1, Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2010; DUGAN (Emily), *More than 70 Countries Make Being Gay a Crime*, Independent, Aug. 1, 2010; Archampong (Elizabeth A.), *Reconciliation of Women's Rights and Cultural Practices: Polygamy in Ghana*, Commonwealth Law Bulletin, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2010, pp. 325-332; COLBRAN (Nicola), *Realities and Challenges in Realising Freedom of Religion or Belief in Indonesia*, International Journal of Human Rights, Vol. 14, No. 5, 2010, pp. 678-704; SMITH (Miriam), *Political Institutions and Lesbian and Gay Rights in the United States and Canada*, New York: Routledge, 2008; MUCCIARONI (Gary), *Same Sex, Different Politics: Success and Failure in the Struggles over Gay Rights*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008; MÉTHOT (Mélanie), *Bigamists Meet Polygamists: Confronting the Popular Image of Bigamists in Canadian Society*, History of the Family, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2007, pp. 169-177; *The Return of Polygamy*, LDS Anarchy, Oct. 8, 2007; GREENE (Jamal), *Beyond Lawrence: Metaprivacy and Punishment*, Yale Law Journal, Vol. 115, No. 8, 2006, pp. 1862-1928; MASHHOUR (Amira), *Islamic Law and Gender Equality: Could There Be a Common Ground? A Study of Divorce and Polygamy in Sharia Law and Contemporary Legislation in Tunisia and Egypt*, Human Rights Quarterly, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2005, pp. 562-596; REESE (Linda Williams), *The Mormon Question, Polygamy and Constitutional Conflict in Nineteenth-Century America*, book review, Journal of Interdisciplinary History, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2004, pp. 477-478; HANSEN (Klaus J.), *Prostitution, Polygamy, and Power: Salt Lake City, 1847-1918*, book review, Journal of Interdisciplinary History, Vol. 34, No. 4, 2004, pp. 658-659; LIU (Huiying), *Feminism*, op. cit., pp. 789, 795; NICHOLS (Jeffrey), *Prostitution, Polygamy, and Power: Salt Lake City, 1847-1918*, Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 2002; GORDON (Sarah Barringer), *The Mormon Question, Polygamy and Constitutional Conflict in Nineteenth-Century America*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2002; FRADELLA (Henry), *Legal, Moral, and Social Reasons for Decriminalizing Sodomy*, Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, Vol. 18, No. 3, 2002, pp. 279-301; STAHL (Roger), *Carving Up Free Exercise: Dissociation and "Religion" in Supreme Court Jurisprudence*, Rhetoric and Public Affairs, Vol. 5, No. 3, 2002, pp. 440, 445, 454; COTT (Nancy F.), *Public Vows: A History of Marriage and the Nation*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2000; GARNER (Anne C.), *The Criminalization of Homosexuality: An Historical and Legal Analysis of the Sodomy Statute*, PhD thesis, Central Missouri State University, 1995; SALDEN (Maarten), *The Dutch Penal Law and Homosexual Conduct*, Journal of Homosexuality, Vol. 13, No. 2-3, 1987, pp. 155-179; MCCORMACK (Thelma), *Deregulating the Economy and Regulating Morality: The Political Economy of Censorship*, Studies in Political Economy, No. 18, 1985, pp. 173-185; KNUTSON (Donald C.) (ed.), *Homosexuality and the Law*, New York: Haworth Press, 1980.

⁵⁰⁶ See SONG (Susan), *Polyamory and Queer Anarchism: Infinite Possibilities for Resistance*, Anarchist Library, 2012.

⁵⁰⁷ For example, see MICKUTÉ (Jolanta), *Making of the Zionist Woman: Zionist Discourse on the Jewish Woman's Body and Selfhood in Interwar Poland*, East European Politics and Societies and Cultures, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2014, pp. 138, 140; KASHEFI (Sirus), *An Analysis of Anarchist Personality*, op. cit.; NORDAU (Max), *Degeneration*, New York: Daniel Appleton, 1895.

⁵⁰⁸ For example, see WYKE (Thomas), *Iran: Motorcycle Acid Gangs 'Terrorising Women' who Break Islamic Dress Code*, International Business Times, Oct. 22, 2014; *Acid Attacks against Women Spark Protests in Iran*, World Bulletin, Oct. 22, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Iranians Protest over Acid Attacks against Women*, Guardian, Oct. 22, 2014; KAMALI DEGHAN (Saeed), *Acid Attacks in Isfahan Have Nothing to Do with the Hijab*, Say Iranian Officials, Guardian, Oct. 20, 2014; KHALAJ (Monavar), *Women Targeted in Latest Round of Iran's Power Struggle*, Financial Times, Oct. 22, 2014; KREDO (Adam), *Iranian Gangs Step Up Acid Attacks Against 'Immodest' Women: Women Breaking Islamic Law Doused with Acid*, Washington Free Beacon, Oct. 22, 2014; RAFIZADEH (Majid), *Wave of Acid Attacks in Iran*, FrontPage Magazine, Oct. 22, 2014; *Iran's Isfahan Outraged Over Acid Attacks*, RadioFreeEurope/RadioLiberty, Oct. 22, 2014; *Jack 'the Acid' Ripper: Suspects Arrested in Connection with Acid Attacks against Women in Iran*, Catholic Online, Oct. 21, 2014; ERDBRINK (Thomas), *Arrests Follow Acid Attacks on Iranian Women*, New York Times, Oct. 20, 2014; *Four Arrests over Acid Attacks against Women in Iran*, BBC News, Oct. 20, 2014; MOTEVALLI (Golnar), *Acid Attacks on Women in Iran Raise Concerns over Veil Vigilantes*, Bloomberg BusinessWeek, Oct. 20, 2014; *Acid Attacks on Women in Iran: Wear 'Proper Hijab' or Be Disfigured!*, Middle East Online, Oct. 20, 2014; MOGHTADER (Michelle), *Iran Arrests Suspects after Acid Attacks on Women in Isfahan*, Reuters, Oct. 20, 2014.

509 About religious misogyny and sexual violence in religion, see MACKIE (Scott D.), *The Passion of Eve and the Ecstasy of Hannah: Sense Perception, Passion, Mysticism, and Misogyny in Philo of Alexandria, De ebrietate* 143-52, *Journal of Biblical Literature*, Vol. 133, No. 1, 2014, pp. 141-163; HAWKINS (Michael C.), *Masculinity Reborn: Chivalry, Misogyny, Potency and Violence in the Philippines' Muslim South, 1899-1913*, *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2013, pp. 250-265; SCHWYZER (Hugo), *Calling Bullshit on Religious Misogyny*, *Jezebel*, Jan. 20, 2012; POLZER (Natalie C.), *Misogyny Revisited: The Eve Traditions in Avot de Rabbi Natan, Versions A and B*, *AJS Review*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2012, pp. 207-255; HOLLAND (Jack), *A Brief History of Misogyny*, New York: Constable and Robinson, 2012; KNUST (Jennifer Wright), *Holy Misogyny: Why the Sex and Gender Conflicts in the Early Church Still Matter*, By April DeConick, *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, Vol. 80, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1128-1130; ROBERTS (Penny), *Peace, Ritual, and Sexual Violence during the Religious Wars, Past and Present*, Vol. 214, No. 7, 2012, pp. 75-99; DAVIS (Natalie Zemon), *The Rites of Violence: Religious Riot in Sixteenth-Century France*, *Past and Present*, No. 59, 1973, pp. 51-91; DECONICK (April D.), *Holy Misogyny: Why the Sex and Gender Conflicts in the Early Church Still Matter*, New York: Continuum, 2011; TUMANOV (Vladimir), *Mary Versus Eve: Paternal Uncertainty and the Christian View of Women*, *Neophilologus*, Vol. 95, No. 4, 2011, pp. 507-521; BAYNE (Emma), *Womb Envy: The Cause of Misogyny and Even Male Achievement?*, *Women's Studies International Forum*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2011, pp. 151-160; FORTUNE (Marie) & ENGER (Rabbi Cindy), *Violence Against Women and the Role of Religion*, National Resource Center on Domestic Violence, 2011; GREIFF (Shaina), *No Justice in Justifications: Violence against Women in the Name of Culture, Religion, and Tradition*, Global Campaign to Stop Killing and Stoning Women and Women Living Under Muslim Laws, 2010; OGEA (Jacqueline M.) & MCNAMARA (Erin) (ed.), *Restoring Dignity: A Toolkit for Religious Communities to End Violence Against Women*, New York: Religions for Peace, 2009; KING (Anna), *Islam, Women and Violence*, op. cit.; MAGUIRE (Daniel C.) & SHAIKH (Sa'diyya) (ed.), *Violence Against Women in Contemporary World Religions: Roots and Cures*, Cleveland: Pilgrim Press, 2007; *Religious Teachings About Violence Against Women*, Newsletter of the BC Association of Specialized Victim Assistance and Counselling Programs, Winter 2006; OUZGANE (Lahoucine) (ed.), *Islamic Masculinities*, London: Zed Books, 2006; OUZGANE (Lahoucine), *Women and Islam*, *Postmodern Culture*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1993; AHMED (Leila), *Women and Gender in Islam: Historical Roots of a Modern Debate*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1992; ALMOND (Ian), *Experimenting with Islam: Nietzschean Reflections on Bowles's Araplina*, *Philosophy and Literature*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2004, pp. 309-323; ALI (Wijdan), *Muslim Women: Between Cliché and Reality*, *Diogenes*, Vol. 50, No. 3, 2003, pp. 77-87; JONTE-PACE (Diane Elizabeth), *Speaking the Unspeakable: Religion, Misogyny, and the Uncanny Mother in Freud's Cultural Texts*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001; GILMORE (David E.), *Misogyny: The Male Malady*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2001; MOGHISSI (Haideh), *Feminism and Islamic Fundamentalism: The Limits of Postmodern Analysis*, London: Zed Books, 1999; SHARMA (Arvind) & YOUNG (Katherine K.) (ed.), *Feminism and World Religions*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999; AFSHAR (Haleh), *Islam and Feminisms: An Iranian Case-Study*, Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1998; KARAM (Azza M.), *Women, Islamisms and the State: Contemporary Feminisms in Egypt*, Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1998; WALSH (Efthalia Makris), *Women and Religion in the First Christian Centuries*, book review, *Journal of Early Christian Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1997, pp. 598-600; SAWYER (Deborah F.), *Women and Religion in the First Christian Centuries*, New York: Routledge, 1996; HARROWITZ (Nancy A.), *Anti-Semitism, Misogyny, and the Logic of Cultural Difference: Cesare Lombroso and Matilde Serao*, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1994; *The Sexist Bible*, Religious Criticism.

510 About certain laws against women and children in Iran, see HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, *Iran: Free Women Activists*, Mar. 8, 2014; *"Iran: Former Child Bride Faces Execution by Hanging" – Women Living Under Muslim Laws Calls for Action*, Girls Not Brides Feb. 11, 2014; *Islamic Republic of Iran: Promoting Violence Against Children*, Iran Human Rights Review, 2014; GHAMARI-TABRIZI (Behrooz), *Women's Rights, Shari'a Law, and the Secularization of Islam in Iran*, *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2013, pp. 237-253; ESFANDIARI (Golnaz), *Iran Law Could Allow Adults to Marry Their Adopted Children*, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Nov. 8, 2013; KENEALLY (Meghan), *Iran Passes a Law Allowing Men to Marry Their 13-Year-Old Adopted Daughters Just as the Country's New President Touts Himself As a Moderate*, Daily Mail, Oct. 3, 2013; JUSTICE FOR IRAN, *Stolen Lives, Empty Classroom: An Overview on Girl Marriages in the Islamic Republic of Iran*, 2013; KAMALI DEHGHAN (Saeed), *Iran Lawmakers Pass Bill Allowing Men to Marry Adopted Daughters*, Guardian, Sep. 26, 2013; IRAN HUMAN RIGHTS DOCUMENTATION CENTER, *Gender Inequality and Discrimination: The Case of Iranian Women*, Mar. 8, 2013; KAR (Mehrangiz), *Iranian Law and Women's Rights*, op. cit.; TREMAYNE (Soraya), *Modernity and Early Marriage in Iran: A View from Within*, *Journal of Middle East Women's Studies*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2006, pp. 65-94.

511 CHURCHILL (Winston Leonard Spencer), *Churchill: The Power of Words*, ed. by Martin Gilbert, Boston: Da Capo Press, 2012, p. 27.

512 GROSS (Barry Edward), *Free Love and Free Will in Paradise Lost*, *Studies in English Literature, 1500-1900*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1967, p. 95; MAHOOD (Molly Maureem), *Poetry and Humanism*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950, p. 223.

513

- 514 PORTWOOD-STACER (Laura), *Constructing Anarchist Sexuality: Queer Identity, Culture, and Politics in the Anarchist Movement*, Sexualities, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 480, 490-491.
- 515 About these anarchist problems, see PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, Routledge: London, 2013, pp. 17, 106-110; ÁLVAREZ (Enrique), *Man Un/Made: Male Homosocial and Homosexual Desire in Anarchist Culture of the Spanish Civil War*, Journal of Iberian and Latin American Studies, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2012, pp. 17-32; *Proudhon's Sexism and Racism*, Anarchist Writers, Feb. 11, 2010; PRINCIPLE (Peter), *Anarchism, Heterosexism and Secular Religions*, Anarchist Library, 2009; ARNI (Caroline) & HONEGGER (Claudia), *The Modernity of Women: Jenny P. d'Héricourt's Contribution to Social Theory (1809-1875)*, Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2008, pp. 45-65; CLEMINSON (Richard) & ÁLVAREZ (Gabriel Calzada), *'Los Invisibles': A History of Male Homosexuality in Spain, 1850-1940*, Cardiff: University of Wales Press, 2007; CULLER (Jonathan D.), "Feminism in Time": A Response, Modern Language Quarterly, Vol. 65, No. 1, 2004, pp. 177-194; PORTWOOD-STACER (Laura), *Constructing Anarchist Sexuality*, op. cit., p. 490; BERRY (David), *For a Dialectic of Homosexuality and Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2003, pp. 4-5, 7, 28.
- 516 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 63.
- 517 HECKERT (Jamie) ET AL., *Loving-Teaching: Notes for Queering Anarchist Pedagogies*, Educational Studies, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2012, pp. 18, pp. 22-23, 25.
- 518 See FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, op. cit., p. 178.
- 519 FERGUSON (Kathy E.), op. cit., p. 177.
- 520 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit., p. 242.
- 521 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Anarchism and Other Essays*, op. cit., pp. 242, 245; FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, op. cit., p. 177. Concerning Goldman's ideas on free love, see also GOLDMAN (Emma), *Living My Life*, op. cit.; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Voltaire De Cleyre*, Anarchist Library, 1932; GOLDMAN (Emma), *Speeches Against Conscription*, Anarchist Library, 1917; GOLDMAN (Emma), *The Social Significance of the Modern Drama*, Anarchist Library, 1914; GOLDMAN (Emma), *What I Believe*, Anarchist Library, 1908.
- 522 GARLICK (Steve), *A New Sexual Revolution?*, op. cit., p. 230.
- 523 See FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, op. cit., p. 178; FALK (Candace Serena), *Let Icons be Bygones! Emma Goldman: The Grand Expositor*, in WEISS (Penny A.) & KENSINGER (Loretta) (ed.), *Feminist Interpretations of Emma Goldman*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2007, p. 49.
- 524 See HECKERT (Jamie) ET AL., *Loving-Teaching*, op. cit. About Heckert ideas on free love, see also HECKERT (Jamie) & CLEMINSON (Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism and Sexuality: Ethics, Relationships and Power*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011; HECKERT (Jamie), *Relating Differently*, Sexualities, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 403-411.
- 525 EANELLI (Tegan), *Bash Back! Is Dead; Bash Back Forever!*, op. cit., p. 6. Concerning this anarchist movement, see also BALASKOVITZ (Andy), *Bash Back! Resolved*, Lansing City Pulse, July 20, 2011; *Federal Court Rules Against Lansing Gay Activist Group*, WILX News 10, July 12, 2011; *Radical TransFolk and Queers Converge in Chicago April 5th*, Infoshop News, Jan. 6, 2008.
- 526 KOENIG (Brigitte Anne), *Law and Disorder at Home*, op. cit., pp. 199-200. Concerning that anarchist colony, see also WADLAND (Justin), *Trying Home: The Rise and Fall of An Anarchist Utopia on Puget Sound*, Corvallis: Oregon State University Press, 2014; CURL (John), *For All the People*, op. cit.; GHORMLEY (Kenneth O.), *The L.F.D.B.A. Celebrates Its Centennial; Anarchy at Home*, California: Fortnightly Club of Redlands, 2007; FOGARTY (Robert S.), *All Things New: American Communes and Utopian Movements, 1860-1914*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2003, pp. 166-167; SHOR (Francis Robert), *Utopianism and Radicalism in a Reforming America, 1888-1918*, Westport: Greenwood Press, 1997, pp. 127-130; RETHERFORD (Sylvia), *Compilation of Writings and Photos Concerned with the History of Home, Washington*, Key Center Library, 1985; LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound, 1885-1915*, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1975, pp. 168-226; JACKER (Corinne), *The Black Flag of Anarchy: Antistatism in the United States*, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1968, pp. 136-138; GASKINE (J. W.), *The Anarchists at Home*, Washington, Independent, Apr. 1910, pp. 914-922.
- 527 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound*, op. cit., pp. 226, 242.
- 528 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound*, op. cit., pp. 188-189; BLISS (William Dwight Porter) & BINDER (Rudolph Michael) (ed.), *The New Encyclopedia of Social Reform*, New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1908, p. 484.
- 529 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound*, op. cit., p. 189.

- 530 BLISS (William Dwight Porter) & BINDER (Rudolph Michael) (ed.), *The New Encyclopedia of Social Reform*, op. cit., p. 484.
- 531 MISES (Ludwig von), *Socialism*, op. cit., p. 94.
- 532 FROST (Ginger), 'Love Is always Free': *Anarchism, Free Unions, and Utopianism in Edwardian England*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 76-77. About the anarchist ideas on love, see also PANCLASTA (Biófilo), *Seven Years Buried Alive in a Gomezuelan Dungeon*, Anarchist Library, 2013; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *Feral Revolution and Other Essays*, op. cit.; LANDSTREICHER (Wolfi), *Against the Logic of Submission*, op. cit.; ARMAND (Émile), *Anarchist Individualism and Amorous Comradeship*, op. cit.; SCARFÓ (América) & ARMAND (Émile), *Letter of América Scarfó to Émile Armand*, Anarchist Library, 1928; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at Feminism*, op. cit.; MCLENNAN (Tracey) & ASHER (Gordon), *Anarchism and Sexuality in exchange with Jamie Heckert*, Variant, No. 42, 2011, pp. 31-35; STIRNER (Max), *Stirner's Critics*, Anarchist Library, 2011; STIRNER (Max), *Art and Religion*, op. cit.; STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit.; ACKELSBERG (Martha A.), *Resisting Citizenship: Feminist Essays on Politics, Community, and Democracy*, New York: Routledge, 2010; PUNKERSLUT, *The Relationship of Anarchism and Free Love*, Mar. 25, 2010; ACKELSBERG (Martha A.), *Free Women of Spain: Anarchism and the Struggle for the Emancipation of Women*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2004; KISSACK (Terence), *Free Comrades: Anarchism and Homosexuality in the United States 1895-1917*, Edinburg: AK Press, 2008; GREENWAY (Judy), *Enemies of the State? Homosexuality in the Nineteenth Century*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2005, pp. 90-95; GREENWAY (Judy), *Speaking Desire*, op. cit., pp. 153-170; KOENIG (Brigitte), *Visions of the Future: Reproduction, Revolution, and Regeneration in American Anarchist Utopian Fiction*, in DAVIS (Laurence) & KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *Anarchism and Utopianism*, op. cit., pp. 171-186; MORAN (Jessica), *The Firebrand and the Forging of a New Anarchism: Anarchist Communism and Free Love*, Anarchist Library, 2004; BEE (Mae), *A Green Anarchist Project on Freedom and Love*, Anarchist Library, 2004; MARSO (Lori), *A Feminist Search for Love: Emma Goldman on the Politics of Marriage, Love, Sexuality and the Feminine*, *Feminist Theory*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2003, pp. 305-320; CARPENTER (Edward), *A Life of Liberty and Love Sheila Rowbotham*, London: Verso, 2008; KLUBOCK (Thomas Miller), *Writing the History of Women and Gender in Twentieth-Century Chile*, *Hispanic American Historical Review*, Vol. 81, No. 3, 2001, pp. 493-518; HUTCHISON (Elizabeth Quay), *From "La Mujer Esclava" to "La Mujer Limón": Anarchism and the Politics of Sexuality in Early-Twentieth-Century Chile*, *Hispanic American Historical Review*, Vol. 81, No. 3, 2001, pp. 519-553; *Feminism, Anarchism, Women*, Raven, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1993; BREGMAN (Adam), *Loose Cannons: Love, Relationships, Jealousy, Rejection and Liberation*, Anarchist Library, 1993; MCKINLEY (Blaine), *Free Love and Domesticity: Lizzie M. Holmes, Hagar Lyndon (1893), and the Anarchist-Feminist Imagination*, *Journal of American Culture*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1990, pp. 55-62; RIMBAUD (Penny), *The Last of The Hippies: An Hysterical Romance*, Anarchist Library, 1982; VANEIGEM (Raoul), *The Book of Pleasures*, Anarchist Library, 1979; SEARS (Hal D.), *The Sex Radicals: Free Love in High Victorian America*, Lawrence: Regents Press of Kansas, 1977; GORDON (Linda), *Woman's Body, Woman's Right: A Social History of Birth Control in America*, New York: Grossman, 1976; FOR OURSELVES, *Everyday Love: The Last Refuge and the Last Closet of Desire!*, Anarchist Library, 1976; FERRARI (Abele Rizieri), *Toward the Creative Nothing*, Anarchist Library, 1924; HAPGOOD (Hutchins), *The Story of a Lover*, New York: Boni and Liveright, 1919; HAPGOOD (Hutchins), *An Anarchist Woman*, op. cit.; RYNER (Han), *On Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1913; RYNER (Han), *Mini-Manual of Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1905; TOLSTOY (Leo), *The Kingdom of God Is Within You*, op. cit.; HEYWOOD (Ezra), *Uncivil Liberty: An Essay to Show the Injustice and Impolicy of Ruling Woman Without Her Consent*, intr. by James J. Martin, Anarchist Library, 1873; *Journal of Lucifer: The Light Bearer*.
- 533 BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Segmental Acephalous Systems: Alternatives to Centralised Bureaucracy*, Raven, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1989, p. 207. See also GRAHAM (Robert), *Fresh Alternatives*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2011, pp. 117-120.
- 534 PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Anarchism and Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1909, p. 1.
- 535 ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Eclético*, op. cit., pp. 4-5.
- 536 STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., p. 12.
- 537 WHITLOW (Maynard), *Max Stirner and the Heresy of Self-Abundance*, Anarchist Library, 1950, p. 9.
- 538 NYBERG (Svein Olav), *The Union of Egoists*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 3.
- 539 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 103, 110; STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., pp. 98, 157.
- 540 LEOPOLD (David), *Max Stirner*, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Aug. 23, 2011. See also STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, ed. by David Leopold, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- 541 PASSAMANI (Massimo), *Mutual Utilization: Relationship and Revolt in Max Stirner*, Anarchist Library, 2011, pp. 3, 8, 12. About the mutual relationship in Stirner's ideas, see also STIRNER (Max), *Stirner's Critics*, op. cit.
- 542 STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., pp. 37-40, 123.

-
- 543 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 99.
- 544 STIRNER (Max), *The Ego and Its Own*, op. cit., p. 109; NYBERG (Svein Olav), *What Is Selfishness?*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 5.
- 545 TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Instead of a Book, by a Man too Busy to Write One*, op. cit., pp. 56, 60; TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *Individual Liberty*, p. 72. See also TUCKER (Benjamin Ricketson), *State Socialism and Anarchism. How Far They Agree and wherein They Differ*, in CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 21-35.
- MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 183.
- 546 See ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 82-83; CALLAHAN (Gene), *Liberty versus Libertarianism*, op. cit., pp. 55-56; WENZEL (Robert), *David Friedman and Murray Rothbard on Torture*, *Economic Policy Journal*, Apr. 13, 2012; FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Confession Under Torture: The Visigothic Solution*, Apr. 10, 2012.
- 547 CLAIR (Marc), *Mondays with Murray: Did Rothbard Approve of Torture?*, *Lions of Liberty*, Sep. 2, 2013.
- 548 SPOONER (Lysander), *An Essay on the Trial by Jury*, Boston: John Punchard Jewett, 1852, p. 6; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 96.
- 549 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., p. 106.
- 550 YARROS (Victor S.), *Philosophical Anarchism: Its Rise, Decline, and Eclipse*, *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 1936, p. 476. See also YARROS (Victor S.), *Adventures in the Realm of Ideas*, Girard: Haldeman-Julius, 1947; YARROS (Victor S.), *Individualist or Philosophical Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1897.
- 551 WALKER (James L.), *The Philosophy of Egoism*, Anarchist Library, 1905, pp. 13, 26, 34, 38.
- 552 WALKER (James L.), *The Philosophy of Egoism*, op. cit., pp. 22, 36-38.
- 553 NETTLAU (Max), *Anarchism: Communist or Individualist?*, op. cit., p. 2, 4-5.
- 554 NETTLAU (Max), *Are there New Fields for Anarchist Activity?*, Anarchist Library, 1907, p. 9.
- 555 NETTLAU (Max) & MONTSENY (Federica), *Authoritarian Communism and Libertarian Communism*, Anarchist Library, 1928, p. 30. See also NETTLAU (Max), *An Anarchist Manifesto*, Anarchist Library, 1895, p. 8.
- 556 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Schism between Individualist and Communist Anarchism in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., p. 99.
- 557 KRIMERMAN (Leonard I.) & PERRY (Lewis C.) (ed.), *Patterns of Anarchy*, op. cit., p. 16.
- 558 ARMAND (Émile), *Mini-Manual of Individualist Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 4-6. See also ARMAND (Émile), *Anarchist Individualism and Amorous Comradeship*, op. cit.; ARMAND (Émile), *The Anarchism of Émile Armand*, op. cit.
- 559 ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Eclético*, op. cit., p. 7.
- 560 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound*, op. cit., pp. 171-172, 174, 187-188, 191.
- 561 See RANKIN (Amanda), *Discontent: Mother of Progress*, Labor Press Project, 2001; GORGURA (Heather), *The Agitator*, Labor Press Project, 2001.
- 562 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), *Utopias on Puget Sound*, op. cit., pp. 172, 174-175, 187, 208 ff.
- 563 Ibid., p. 172.
- 564 Ibid., pp. 192-195.
- 565 See KOENIG (Brigitte Anne), *Law and Disorder at Home*, op. cit.; GHORMLEY (Kenneth O.), *The L.F.D.B.A. Celebrates Its Centennial*, op. cit.; LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), op. cit., pp. 177-186, 203 ff.
- 566 LEWARNE (Charles Pierce), op. cit., p. 200; GHORMLEY (Kenneth O.), *The L.F.D.B.A. Celebrates Its Centennial*, op. cit.
- 567 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Ethics*, op. cit., pp. 14, 116, 134, 179, 185, 194, 198, 232-234, 238, 254-255; MORRIS (Brian), *Basic Kropotkin: Kropotkin and the History of Anarchism*, foreword by the Anarchist Communist Federation, Anarchist Library, 2008, p. 9.
- 568 BALDWIN (Roger Nash) (ed.), *Kropotkin's Revolutionary Pamphlets*, op. cit., pp. 5, 172, 292, 297.
- 569 MALATESTA (Errico), *Note to the Article "Individualism and Anarchism" by Adamas*, Anarchist Library, 1924, pp. 1, 3.

- 570 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 7-9. See also PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 22, 200-207; FABBRI (Luigi), *Bourgeois Influences on Anarchism*, trans. by Charles Bufe, Chicago: See Sharp Press, 2001.
- 571 ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Ecléctico*, op. cit., p. 7. About the individualist alternatives and their critiques, see PARKER (Sidney E.), *Archists, Anarchists and Egoists*, Anarchist Library, 2012; PARKER (Sidney E.), *The New Freewoman: Dora Marsden and Benjamin R. Tucker*, Anarchist Library, 2012; PARKER (Sidney E.), *My Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1981; HUNEKER (James Gibbons), *Max Stirner*, Anarchist Library, 2011; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Enemies of Society: An Anthology of Individualist and Egoist Thought*, San Francisco: Ardent Press, 2011; LANDSTREICHER (Wolff), *Egoism vs. Modernity: Welsh's Dialectical Stirner*, Anarchist Library, 2011; WELSH (John F.), *Max Stirner's Dialectical Egoism: A New Interpretation*, Lanham: Lexington Books, 2010; LEVY (Carl), *Social Histories of Anarchism*, op. cit.; ANTLIFF (Allan), *Anarchy, Power, and Poststructuralism*, op. cit.; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; BLACK (Bob), *Preface to the Right to Be Greedy by "For Ourselves"*, Anarchist Library, 2009; BLACK (Bob), *Anarchy after Leftism*, op. cit.; CLARK (John P.), *Bridging the Unbridgeable Chasm*, op. cit.; CLARK (John P.), *Max Stirner's Egoism*, London: Freedom Press, 1976; COLSON (Daniel), *Anarchist Readings of Spinoza*, *Journal of French Philosophy*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2007, pp. 90-129; COLSON (Daniel), *Anarchist Subjectivities and Modern Subjectivity*, Anarchist Library, 1996; ROBINSON (John Beverley), *Egoism*, Anarchist Library, 2005; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; FOR OURSELVES, *The Right to Be Greedy: Theses on the Practical Necessity of Demanding Everything*, Anarchist Library, 1974; TUCKER (Kevin), *Egocide*, op. cit.; MORAN (Jessica), *The Firebrand and the Forging of a New Anarchism*, op. cit.; MCQUINN (Jason), *The Incredible Lameness of Left-Anarchism*, op. cit.; SHAFFER (Butler), *The Ego and His Own*, *LewRockwell*, Sep. 27, 2002; RABATÉ (Jean-Michel), *James Joyce and the Politics of Egoism*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001; ROOUM (Donald) & FREEDOM PRESS (ed.), *What Is Anarchism?*, op. cit.; SABATINI (Peter), *Libertarianism*, op. cit.; CARROLL (John) (ed.), *Max Stirner*, op. cit.; RILEY (Thomas A.), *New England Anarchism in Germany*, *New England Quarterly*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1945, pp. 25-38; FAURE (Sébastien), *The Anarchist Synthesis*, op. cit.; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Misanthropic Pessimism*, Anarchist Library, 1914; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *The Relationship Between Pessimism and Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1914; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Les Antinomies entre l'Individu et la Société*, Paris: Alcan, 1912; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Anarchism and Individualism*, Anarchist Library, 1909; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *La Sensibilité Individualiste*, Paris: Alcan, 1909; PALANTE (Georges Toussaint Léon), *Combat pour l'Individu*, Paris: Alcan, 1904; SERGE (Victor), *Egoism*, Anarchist Library, 1913; BAGINSKI (Max), *Stirner: The Ego and His Own*, Anarchist Library, 1907; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Anarchy and Organization*, op. cit.; LÉVY (Albert), *Stirner and Nietzsche*, op. cit.
- 572 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 95-96.
- 573 STAMM (Ed) ET AL., *Consent or Coercion*, op. cit., p. 11.
- 574 About this form of justice, see DZUR (Albert W.), *Participatory Democracy and Criminal Justice*, *Criminal Law and Philosophy*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2012, pp. 115-129; LAZERGES (Christine), *Introduction à la Politique Criminelle*, Paris: L'Harmattan, 2000; STEPHEMS (Gene), *Participatory Justice: The Politics of the Future*, *Justice Quarterly*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1986, pp. 67-82.
- 575 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 34-35, 41-42; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., pp. 151-152, 219-221, 224, 236-239; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 30-31, 173, 217, 649-651; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit.; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 73-74; WOODCOCK (George) & AVAKUMOVIC (Ivan), *The Anarchist Prince*, op. cit., pp. 324-325; GOODWIN (Barbara), *Using Political Ideas*, op. cit., p. 139.
- 576 SCOTT OF THE INSURGENCY CULTURE COLLECTIVE, *The Anarchist Response to Crime*, Anarchist Library, 2012, pp. 10-11.
- 577 BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, op. cit., p. 168. See also BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prison Memoirs of an Anarchist*, New York: Mother Earth Publishing Association, 1912; BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prisons and Crime*, Anarchist Library, 1906; DAWN COLLECTIVE (ed.), *Under the Yoke of the State: Selected Anarchist Responses to Prisons and Crime*, Vol. 1, 1886-1929, London: Kate Sharpley Library, 2003.
- 578 BLACK (Bob), *An Anarchist Response to "An Anarchist Response to Crime"*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 14.
- 579 BLACK (Bob), *"Wild Justice": Crime as an Anarchist Source of Social Order*, Anarchist Library, 2012, p. 23.
- 580 HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit., p. 118.

- 581 See RUSSELL (Bertrand), *Proposed Roads to Freedom: Socialism, Anarchism and Syndicalism*, University Park: A Penn State Electronic Classics Series, 2002, pp. 82-86; BOSE (Atindranath), op. cit., pp. 4004-405.
- 582 For example, see KASHEFI (Sirus), *Unanimism: Between Sociology and Psychology*, International Zeitschrift, Vol. 7, No. 1, 201; HERMAN (Edward S.) & CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Manufacturing Consent*, op. cit.; YUCHTMAN-YA'AR (Ephraim) & PERES (Yochanan), *Between Consent and Dissent: Democracy and Peace in the Israeli Mind*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2000; SINNOTT (Richard), *Knowledge and the Position of Attitudes to a European Foreign Policy on the Real-To-Random Continuum*, International Journal of Public Opinion Research, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2000, pp. 113-137; FOYLE (Douglas C.), *Counting the Public In: Presidents, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy*, New York: Columbia University Press, 1999; KNOPF (Jeffrey W.), *How Rational Is "The Rational Public"? Evidence from U.S. Public Opinion on Military Spending*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 42, No. 5, 1998, pp. 544-571; HOLSTI (Ole Rudolf), *Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus* Merston Series: Research Programs and Debates, International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 36, No. 4, 1992, pp. 439-466.
- 583 See CANES-WRONE ET (Brandice) AL., *Judicial Selection and Death Penalty Decisions*, op. cit.; BRACE (Paul R.) & BOYEY (Brent D.), *State Public Opinion, the Death Penalty, and the Practice of Electing Judges*, op. cit.; BOYEY (Brent D.), *Linking Judicial Selection to Consensus*, op. cit., p. 644; BRACE (Paul R.) & HALL (Melinda Gann), *The Interplay of Preferences, Case Facts, Context, and Rules in the Politics of Judicial Choice*, Journal of Politics, Vol. 59, No. 4, 1997, pp. 1206-1231; BAUM (Lawrence), *Judicial Elections and Judicial Independence*, op. cit.; MISHLER (William) & SHEEHAN (Reginald S.), *The Supreme Court as a Countermajoritarian Institution? The Impact of Public Opinion on Supreme Court Decisions*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 87, No. 1, 1993, pp. 87-101.
- 584 ORWELL (Georges), *Politics v. Literature an Examination of Gulliver's Travels*, Polemic, No. 5, 1946. In the same sense, see CUSAC (Anne-Marie), *Cruel and Unusual: The Culture of Punishment in America*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009, pp. 6-7; WALKER (Samuel), *Popular Justice: A History of American Criminal Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1980, pp. 3-4; WILDE (Oscar), *The Soul of Man Under Socialism*, op. cit., pp. 21, 27.
- 585 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 92-94.
- 586 About these cases Pete Wilson Invokes Clinton Sex Scandal at Ryan Fund-Raiser, CNN, Sep. 7, 2012; FULTON (Dennis), *5 Historic Sex Scandals that Put Bill Clinton to Shame*, Cracked, Jan. 22, 2012; *Proof of Lies by George W. Bush in National Geographic Interview on 9/11*, Conscious Life News, Aug. 28, 2011; *Beyond Treason*, op. cit.; MALTI-DOUGLAS (Fedwa), *Partisan Sex: Bodies, Politics, and the Law in the Clinton Era*, New York: Peter Lang, 2009; *WMD Lies: Bush Administration*, YouTube, Jan. 25, 2010; HIATT (Fred), *'Bush Lied'? If only It Were That Simple*, Washington Post, June 9, 2008; PERRY (Steve), *The Bush Administration's Top 40 Lies about War and Terrorism*, Common Dreams, July 30, 2003; SCHEER (Christopher), *Ten Appalling Lies We Were Told About Iraq*, AlterNet, June 26, 2003; *Bush Administration Lies for War*, Americans Against World Empire, May 2003; HAGOOD (Wesley O.), *Presidential Sex: From the Founding Fathers to Bill Clinton*, Secaucus: Carol, 1998; *Sexual Misconduct Allegations Against Bill Clinton*, Wikipedia.
- 587 COTTERRELL (Roger), *Transparency, Mass Media, Ideology and Community*, Cultural Values, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1999, p. 424.
- 588 BEY (Hakim), *Permanent TAZs*, Anarchist Library, 1993, p. 3.
- 589 BEY (Hakim), *NoGoZone*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 4; BEY (Hakim), *Permanent TAZs*, op. cit., p. 3.
- 590 BEY (Hakim), *T.A.Z.: The Temporary Autonomous Zone, Ontological Anarchy, Poetic Terrorism*, Anarchist Library, 1985, pp. 91, 114.
- 591 See SHEA (Tusa), *Anna Halprin's 10 Myths: Mutual Creation and Non-Totalizing Collectivity*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 2, 2011, pp. 9-30.
- 592 In *Conversation with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, op. cit. About the TAZ and its examples, see also BEY (Hakim), *Living under Sick Machines*, op. cit.; BEY (Hakim), *The Periodic Autonomous Zone*, Anarchist Library, 2009; AFFINITY PROJECT, *Interview with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, op. cit.; BEY (Hakim), *Millennium*, Anarchist Library, 1996; GREER (Joseph Christian), *Occult Origins*, op. cit., pp. 166-187; *Hakim Bey and the Occupy Wall Street Movement*, Hermetic Library Blog, Nov. 15, 2011; NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit.; WARD (Colin), *Temporary Autonomous Zones*, Anarchist Library, 2010; BOLLIG (Ben), *Exiles and Nomads: Perlongher in Brazil*, Hispanic Research Journal, Vol. 7, No. 4, 2006, pp. 337-351; ATTON (Chris), *The Infoshop: The Alternative Information Centre of the 1990s*, New Library World, Vol. 100, No. 1, 1999, pp. 24-29; WEIR (David), *Anarchy and Culture*, op. cit.; RICOS (Rob Los), *Traveling Autonomous Zone*, Anarchist Library, 1993.
- 593 NEWMAN (Saul), *Postanarchism and Space*, op. cit., p. 36.

- 594 SELLARS (Simon), *Hakim Bey*, op. cit.; WILLIAMS (Leonard), *Hakim Bey and Ontological Anarchism*, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2010, pp. 113, 120, 123; GRAY (Chris Hables), *Cyborg Citizen: Politics in the Posthuman Age*, New York: Routledge, 2001; ARMITAGE (John), *Ontological Anarchy, the Temporary Autonomous Zone, and the Politics of Cyberculture: A Critique of Hakim Bey*, Angelaki, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1999, pp. 115-128.
- 595 KARNAGE (Mo), *Sober Spaces in the Punk and Anarchist Scenes*, Anarchist Library, 2013, pp. 2, 4.
- 596 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 26.
- 597 For example, see *In Conversation with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, op. cit.; AFFINITY PROJECT, *Interview with Peter Lamborn Wilson*, op. cit.; BEY (Hakim), *Millennium*, op. cit.
- 598 For example, a fifth of the people of South Africa live in squatter camps. WORKERS' SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *Housing and Squatting*, Anarchist Library, 2005, p. 2.
- 599 EWALD (Shawn) (ed.), *Anarchism in Action*, op. cit., pp. 102, 135, 270-271, 291, 296-297.
- 600 CARSON (Kevin Amos), *Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, op. cit. See also ISOCRACY NETWORK FOR LIBERTY AND COMMON WEALTH, *Mutualism: An Interview with Kevin Carson*, Nov. 3, 2009.
- 601 HARKINSON (Josh), *Occupy Movement Targets Foreclosed Properties*, Mother Jones, Dec. 6, 2011.
- 602 About the squatting movements, see SWEETMAN (Mick), *Occupying Housing from the Pope Squat to Occupy Toronto*, Rabble.ca Dec. 19, 2011; PODUR (Justin), *The Pope Squat*, Aug. 7, 2007; *Pope Squat Demands*, Ontario Coalition Against Poverty, Oct. 6, 2006; LEHRER (Ute) & WINKLER (Andrea), *Public or Private? The Pope Squat and Housing Struggles in Toronto*, Social Justice, Vol. 33, No. 3 (105), 2006, pp. 142-157; *The Pope Squat, Organized by the Ontario Coalition Against Poverty*, Fright Library Toronto, 2002. About squatting, see also MARCHETTI (Raffaele), *Street Politics: Power Back to the Square*, World Public Forum, Jan. 30, 2014; CATTANEO (Claudio) & MARTÍNEZ LÓPEZ (Miguel A.) (ed.), *The Squatters' Movement in Europe: Commons and Autonomy as Alternatives to Capitalism*, London: Pluto Press, 2014; MARTÍNEZ LÓPEZ (Miguel A.), *The Squatters' Movement in Europe: A Durable Struggle for Social Autonomy in Urban Politics*, Antipode, Vol. 45, No. 4, 2013, pp. 866-887; MARTÍNEZ LÓPEZ (Miguel A.), *The Squatters' Movement: Urban Counter-Culture and Alter-Globalization Dynamics*, op. cit.; DEE (E. T. C.), *The Squatters' Movement in Europe Commons and Autonomy as Alternatives to Capitalism*, Squatting Europe Kollektive, 2014; DEE (E. T. C.), *Hannah Dobbz, Nine-Tenths of the Law: Property and Resistance in the United States*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 107-108; THE SQUATTING EUROPE KOLLECTIVE (ed.), *Squatting in Europe: Radical Spaces, Urban Struggles*, Wivenhoe: Minor Compositions, 2013; GUPTA (Arun), *A Brief History of Squatting*, In These Times, Aug. 1, 2013; GIOVANNI (Annalena di) & BARNETT (Anthony), *The Fight for the Square – Tahrir, Sol, Wall Street, Taksim*, Open Democracy, June 28, 2013; JENKINS (Simon), *From Trafalgar to Taksim, the Politics of the Square Puts the Wind Up Power*, Guardian, June 12, 2013; SUTTON (Ed), *In Zurich, and Across Europe, Squatter Communities Are Strengthening*, Occupy, Mar. 15, 2013; SPILLANE (Chris) & FORTADO (Lindsay), *Occupy London Squat at UBS Puts Empty Buildings in Spotlight*, Bloomberg, Jan. 27, 2012; DOBBZ (Hannah), *Nine-Tenths of the Law: Property and Resistance in the United States*, Oakland: AK Press, 2012; HELEPOLOLEI (Justin Allen Keoki), *Beyond the Plaza: Barcelona's Okupa Squatters at Work in the Wake of La Crisis*, Works Bepress, 2012; DHALIWAL (Puneet), *Public Squares and Resistance: The Politics of Space in the Indignados Movement*, Interface, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2012, pp. 251-273; BUTLER (Judith), *Bodies in Alliance and the Politics of the Street*, European Institute for Progressive Cultural Policies, 2011; GUZMÁN-CONCHA (César), *Cracking Under Pressure: Narrating the Decline of the Amsterdam Squatters' Movement*, book review, Journal for the Study of Radicalism, Vol. 5, No. 2, 2011, pp. 144-146; VALDES (Manuel), *Occupy's New Tactic on the West Coast: Squatting*, Seattle Times, Nov. 29, 2011; OWENS (Lynn), *Cracking Under Pressure: Narrating the Decline of the Amsterdam Squatters' Movement*, Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; HOMES NOT JAILS, *Squatters Handbook: "Political" Squatting Tips*, Sprout Distro.
- 603 VALLENTYNE (Peter) ET AL., *Why Left-Libertarianism Is Not Incoherent, Indeterminate, or Irrelevant: A Reply to Fried*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2005, p. 202. See also VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics*, op. cit.; VALLENTYNE (Peter) & STEINER (Hillel) (ed.), *The Origins of Left-Libertarianism: An Anthology of Historical Writings*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 2000; FRIED (Barbara H.), *Left-Libertarianism: A Review Essay*, Philosophy and Public Affairs, Vol. 32, No. 1, 2004, pp. 66-92.
- 604 See TANDY (Francis Dashwood), *Voluntary Socialism: A Sketch*, Denver: Francis Dashwood Tandy, 1896; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Francis Tandy Rides Again*, History News Network, May 16, 2006; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 105, 114, 156, 182; NOZICK (Robert), *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*, op. cit.; SWARTZ (Clarence Lee), *What Is Mutualism?*, 1927, p. 125.

- ⁶⁰⁵ About market socialism, see ZHENG (Ping), *The Contrasting Strategies of Owner-Managed and Foreign-Engaged Joint Ventures under Market Socialism in China*, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 2014, pp. 539-560; MILLER (David), *Our Unfinished Debate about Market Socialism*, *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2014, pp. 119-139; MILLER (David), *Market, State, and Community: Theoretical Foundations of Market Socialism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1990; BOCKMAN (Johanna), *Markets in the Name of Socialism: The Left-Wing Origins of Neoliberalism*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2011; DIQUATTRO (Arthur), *Market Socialism Is Not Market Capitalism: Remarks on Robin Hahnel's "Economic Justice"*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 43, No. 4, 2011, pp. 523-539; DWYER (Joseph de la Torre), *Precarious Politics: Experiments in Market-Socialism and the Prospects for Cuban Women's Unfinished Revolution*, *New Political Science*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2011, pp. 211-237; CHU (Wan-wen), *Market Socialism, Chinese Style: Bringing Development Back into Economic Theory*, *China Economic Journal*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2011, pp. 307-312; Holt-Jensen (Arild), *Transition from State Socialism to Market Economy: The Case of Estonia*, *Norwegian Journal of Geography*, Vol. 64, No. 3, 2010, pp. 127-128; PAUL (Ellen Frankel) ET AL. (ed.), *After Socialism*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003; O'NEILL (John), *Socialism, Associations and the Market*, *Economy and Society*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2003, pp. 184-206; OLLMAN (Bertell) (ed.), *Market Socialism: The Debate among Socialists*, New York: Routledge, 1997; ROEMER (John E.) (ed.), *Equal Shares: Making Market Socialism Work*, London: Verso, 1996; YUNKER (James A.), *Socialism Revised and Modernized: The Case for Pragmatic Market Socialism*, New York: Praeger, 1992; ARNESON (Richard J.), *Is Socialism Dead? A Comment on Market Socialism and Basic Income Capitalism*, *Ethics*, Vol. 102, No. 3, 1992, pp. 485-511; LAVOIE (Don), *Computation, Incentives, and Discovery: The Cognitive Function of Markets in Market Socialism*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 507, 1990, pp. 72-79.
- ⁶⁰⁶ See GREENE (William Batchelder), *Socialistic, Communistic, Mutualistic, and Financial Fragments*, Westport: Hyperion Press, 1975; GREENE (William Batchelder), *Mutual Banking: Showing the Radical Deficiency of the Present Circulating Medium, and the Advantages of Free Currency*, Denver: The Anti-Interest League, 1895; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Culture of Individualist Anarchism in Late Nineteenth-Century America*, op. cit., p. 292.
- ⁶⁰⁷ SWARTZ (Clarence Lee), *What Is Mutualism?*, op. cit., p. 32.
- ⁶⁰⁸ See BARCLAY (Harold B.), *Mutual Aid and Conflict Resolution in the Traditional Egyptian Village*, *Raven*, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1992, pp. 72-79.
- ⁶⁰⁹ ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., p. 86; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 420.
- ⁶¹⁰ See AMSTER (Randall), *Breaking the Law*, op. cit.; AMSTER (Randall), *Restoring (Dis)Order*, op. cit., pp. 20-21; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry), *Restorative Justice*, op. cit.; TIFFT (Larry L.), *The Coming Redefinitions of Crime: An Anarchist Perspective*, *Social Problems*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1979, pp. 392-402.
- ⁶¹¹ READ (Herbert), *Pragmatic Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 182.
- ⁶¹² PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., p. 68, 139, 152, 161. See also KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit.
- ⁶¹³ WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 168.
- ⁶¹⁴ DOMINICK (Brian A.), *An Introduction to Dual Power Strategy*, San Diego Indymedia, Sep. 18, 2002. About this form of strategy, see also JARACH (Lawrence), *Anarcho-Communists, Platformism, and Dual Power*, op. cit.
- ⁶¹⁵ CARSON (Kevin Amos), *Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, op. cit. See also ISOCRACY NETWORK FOR LIBERTY AND COMMON WEALTH, *Mutualism*, op. cit.; MURPHY (Robert Patrick), *The Labor Theory of Value: A Critique of Carson's Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 17-33; REISMAN (George Gerald), *Freedom Is Slavery: Laissez-Faire Capitalism Is Government Intervention: A Critique of Kevin Carson's Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 47-86; REISMAN (George Gerald), *Mutualism's Support for the Exploitation of Labor and State Coercion*, *Mises Economics Blog*, June 23, 2006; REISMAN (George Gerald), *Mutualism: A Philosophy for Thieves*, *Mises Economics Blog*, June 18, 2006.
- ⁶¹⁶ CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.), *Introduction*, op. cit., pp. 1-6.
- ⁶¹⁷ CHARTIER (Gary), *Socialist Ends, Market Means: Five Essays*, *Tulsa Alliance of the Libertarian Left*, p. 3.
- ⁶¹⁸ CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit., pp. 263-274, 281-282, 291-293, 301-302.
- ⁶¹⁹ Ibid., p. 269.
- ⁶²⁰ Ibid., pp. 242-245, 247-250, 257.

- ⁶²¹ Ibid., p. 262. See also HOLTERMAN (Thomas), *Two Foundational Elements of Statelessness*, Center for a Stateless Society, Sep. 4, 2014.
- ⁶²² MCKAY (Iain), *Mutualism, Yes and No*, Anarchist Writers, Jan. 4, 2010. About the mutualist justice, see also MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; MINCY (Grant A.), *Market Anarchism for Network Mutualism*, Center for a Stateless Society, July 24, 2014; BENKLER (Yochai), *Practical Anarchism*, op. cit.; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *Mutualism and "Market Anarchism"*, Mutualism.info, Aug. 2, 2012; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *Mutualism: The Anarchism of Approximations, I*, Libertarian Labyrinth, Nov. 14, 2007; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *Mutualism: The Anarchism of Approximations, II*, Libertarian Labyrinth, Nov. 29, 2007; ANARCHO, *Anarchist Economics*, Anarchist Library, 2012, pp. 7-9; ANARCHO, *The Economics of Anarchy*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCELROY (Wendy), *Anarchist-Mutualism by John William Lloyd in which He Discusses His Relationship with Tucker*, Sep. 6, 2008; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; LEVY (Carl), *Social Histories of Anarchism*, op. cit.; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Editorial to Symposium Issue on Studies in Mutualist Political Economy*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2006, pp. 3-4; LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Land-Locked*, op. cit.; HUTCHISON (Elizabeth Quay), *From "La Mujer Esclava" to "La Mujer Limón"*, op. cit.; GAMBONE (Larry), *Proudhon and Anarchism*, op. cit.; ROOUM (Donald) & FREEDOM PRESS (ed.), *What Is Anarchism?*, op. cit.; SABATINI (Peter), *Libertarianism*, op. cit.; WIECK (David), *The Negativity of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1975; BESTOR, Jr. (Arthur Eugene), *The Evolution of the Socialist Vocabulary*, Journal of the History of Ideas, Vol. 9, No. 3, 1948, pp. 259-302; FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, op. cit.; CLEYRE (Voltairine de), *Economics of Dyer D. Lum*, Anarchist Library, 1893; *Mutualist.Org: Free Market Anti-Capitalism*.
- ⁶²³ See ANARCHO, *Anarchist Economics*, op. cit., pp. 5-6; OVEJERO (Anastasio), *Spanish Libertarian Collectives: A Unique Historical Case of Worker Self Management*, WorkingUSA, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 521-535; RAMOS-GOROSTIZA (José Luis), *Socio-Economic Utopianism in Spain at the End of the Nineteenth Century: La Nueva Utopía by Ricardo Mella*, Utopian Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2009, pp. 5-39; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., especially pp. 2459 ff.; GONZALEZ (Manolo), *Life in Revolutionary Barcelona*, Anarchist Library, 2009; GETMAN-ERASO (Jordi), *'Cease Fire, Comrades!' Anarcho-Syndicalist Revolutionary Prophecy, Anti Fascism and the Origins of the Spanish Civil War*, Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2008, p. 109; WETZEL (Tom), *Workers Power and the Spanish Revolution*, Libcom, Dec. 27, 2006; CHRISTIE (Stuart), *We, the Anarchists!: A Study of the Iberian Anarchist Federation (FAI), 1927-1937*, Hastings: Meltzer Press, 2000; CHRISTIE (Stuart) & MELTZER (Albert), *The Floodgates of Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 36-37; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *To Remember Spain: The Anarchist and Syndicalist Revolution of 1936*, Anarchist Library, 1994; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Spanish Anarchists: The Heroic Years 1868-1936*, New York: Free Life, 1977; SOUCHY (Augustin), *Beware Anarchists!: A Life for Freedom*, Chicago: Charles Hope Kerr, 1992; MCCARRON (Des), *Collectives in the Spanish Revolution*, Workers Solidarity, No. 33, 1990; BOLLOTEN (Burnett), *The Spanish Civil War: Revolution and Counterrevolution*, Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1990; BERGER (Lisa) & MAZER (Carol), *All Our Lives*, trans. by De Toda la Vida, Anarchist Library, 1986; AMSDEN (Jon), *Industrial Collectivization under Workers' Control: Catalonia, 1936-1939*, Antipode, Vol. 10-11, No. 3-1, 1978, pp. 99-114; KORNEGGER (Peggy), *Anarchism: The Feminist Connection*, Anarchist Library, 1975, pp. 5-7; DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *The Anarchist Collectives*, op. cit.; ROCKER (Rudolf), *The Tragedy of Spain*, Anarchist Library, 1937.
- ⁶²⁴ ANARCHO, *The Economics of Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 3, 7; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 2857.
- ⁶²⁵ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 98-99, 102, 901-902.
- ⁶²⁶ RAMOS-GOROSTIZA (José Luis), *Socio-Economic Utopianism in Spain at the End of the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., pp. 10, 16.
- ⁶²⁷ MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 151-152, 2208, 2229.
- ⁶²⁸ ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 130.
- ⁶²⁹ Ibid., 119-121, 131-133, 135.
- ⁶³⁰ DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy*, op. cit., p. 150; PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *General Idea of the Revolution in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., p. 159; LU (Shi Yung), *The Political Theories of P. J. Proudhon*, op. cit., p. 92.
- ⁶³¹ DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 371-372. See also MAARSEVEEN (Henc van), *Anarchism and the Theory of Political Law*, in HOLTERMAN (Thomas) & MAARSEVEEN (Henc van) (ed.), *Law and Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 89.
- ⁶³² MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 270; RUNKLE (Gerald), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 84; NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, op. cit., pp. 126, 174, 206.
- ⁶³³ ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Basic Bakunin*, London: Anarchist Communist, 2007, p. 17; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., p. 217.

634 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 131.

635 MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich) (ed.), *The Political Philosophy of Bakunin: Scientific Anarchism*, Glencoe: Free Press, 1953, p. 380.

636 ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *Basic Bakunin*, op. cit., p. 19.

637 KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *The Conquest of Bread*, op. cit., p. 113. About anarchist collectivism and the collectivist justice, see PENGAM (Alain), *Anarchist-Communism*, Anarchist Library, 2012; *What's Anarcho-Collectivism?*, Anarchy 101, Apr. 16, 2012; *What Does "Collectivism" Have to Do with Anarchy?*, Anarchy 101, Sep. 3, 2011; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; LEIER (Mark), *Bakunin: The Creative Passion*, New York: Thomas Dunne Books/St. Martin's Press, 2006; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit.; CROWDER (George), *Classical Anarchism: The Political Thought of Godwin, Proudhon, Bakunin and Kropotkin*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1991; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Œuvres Complètes*, présentées et annotées par Arthur Lehning, 8 Vol., Paris: Champ Libre, 1973-1982; SALTMAN (Richard B.), *The Social and Political Thought of Michael Bakunin*, op. cit.; ALDRED (Guy Alfred) (ed.), *Bakunin's Writings*, New York: Gordon Press, 1973; ARVON (Henri), *Bakounine*, Paris: Seghers, 1972; CARR (Edward Hallett), *Michael Bakunin*, New York: Vintage Books, 1961; FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, op. cit.; GALLEANI (Luigi), *The End of Anarchism?*, op. cit.; GUILLAUME (James), *Michael Bakunin: A Biographical Sketch*, Anarchist Library, 1907.

638 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit., pp. 27-27; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 38, 91-92, 101; MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 342, 627, 653; WOODCOCK (George), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 285-286; SZTYBEL (David), *Marxism and Animal Rights*, Ethics and the Environment, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1997, pp. 169-185; MARX (Karl), *Critique of the Gotha Programme*, with appendices by Friedrich Engels and Vladimir Ilyich Lenin, New York: International Publishers, 1973; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *The State and Revolution*, op. cit.

639 About their symbiotic relation, see ROTH (Gary), *Anarchism without Marxism*, Critical Sociology, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2014, pp. 301-304; PRICE (Wayne), *The Platypus Questions on Marxism and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2014; PRICE (Wayne), *The Marxist Paradox: An Anarchist Critique*, Anarchist Library, 2013; PRICE (Wayne), *Marx's Economics for Anarchists: An Anarchist's Introduction to Marx's Critique of Political Economy*, Anarchist Library, 2012; PRICE (Wayne), *What Is Class Struggle Anarchism?*, op. cit.; PRICE (Wayne), *What Is Anarchist Communism?*, Anarchist Library, 2008; PRICE (Wayne), *What I Believe and How I Came to Believe It*, Anarchist Library, 2008; PRICE (Wayne), *Decentralism, Centralism, Marxism, and Anarchism*, op. cit.; PRICE (Wayne), *Libertarian Marxism's Relation to Anarchism*, posted by Daniel, Libcom, May 16, 2007; FRANKS (Benjamin), *Between Anarchism and Marxism: The Beginnings and Ends of the Schism ...*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2012, pp. 207-227; MCKAY (Iain), *Another View: Syndicalism, Anarchism and Marxism*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2012, pp. 89-105; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; KINNA (Ruth), *Guy Aldred: Theorising Revolutionary Action*, Mayday Magazine, No. 7, 2012, pp. 26-31; KINNA (Ruth), *Guy Aldred: Bridging the Gap between Marxism and Anarchism*, Journal of Political Ideologies, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2011, pp. 97-114; WOODS (Alan), *An Introduction to Marxism and Anarchism*, London: Welred Books, 2011; BLACKLEDGE (Paul), *Marxism and Anarchism*, International Socialism, No. 125, Jan. 5, 2010; DUNBAR-ORTIZ (Roxanne), *On Anarchism and Marxism*, 3 Parts, YouTube, Mar. 20, 2010; CARTER (Alan), *Beyond Primacy: Marxism, Anarchism and Radical Green Political Theory*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 19, No. 6, 2010, pp. 951-972; CARTER (Alan), *Outline of an Anarchist Theory of History*, in GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *For Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 176-197; GAUTNEY (Heather), *Between Anarchism and Autonomist Marxism*, WorkingUSA, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2009, pp. 467-487; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; GARLAND (Christian), *The (Anti-) Politics of Autonomy: Between Marxism and Anarchism*, Theory in Action, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2009, pp. 8-16; ROBERTSON (Ann), *Marxism Versus Anarchism: The Philosophical Roots of the Marx-Bakunin Conflict*, Workers Action, 2009; PINTA (Saku), *Anarchism, Marxism, and the Ideological Composition of the Chicago Idea*, op. cit.; PINTA (Saku), *The Abolition of the State: Anarchist and Marxist Perspectives*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009, pp. 120-122; JUN (Nathan J.), *Wobblies and Zapatistas: Conversations on Anarchism, Marxism and Radical History*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 118-120; LYNDD (Staughton) & GRUBAČIĆ (Andrej), *Wobblies and Zapatistas: Conversations on Anarchism, Marxism and Radical History*, Oakland: PM Press, 2008; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; LILLEY (Sasha), *Howard Zinn on Marxism, Anarchism, and the Paris Commune*, YouTube, Nov. 5, 2009; PENDLEBURY (James), *Tangled Threads of Revolution*, op. cit.; GOODWIN (Adam), *Anarchism and Its Critique of Marxism*, Social Anarchism, No. 42, 2008-2009; PRICE (Andy), *Communalism or Caricature*, op. cit.; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 18, 56, 58; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Marxism and Anarchism*, in GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *For Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 109-126; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Libertarian Marxism?*, Anarchist Library, 1969; GUÉRIN (Daniel), *Pour un Marxisme Libertaire*, Paris: Laffont, 1969; ANTLIFF (Allan), *Anarchy, Power, and Poststructuralism*, op. cit.; LEIER (Mark), *Bakunin*, op. cit., p. 300; SAKOLSKY (Ron), *Creating Anarchy*, op. cit., p. 71; WORKERS' SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *After the Collapse of Marxism: Is There an Alternative to Capitalism Today?*, Anarchist Library, 2005; MCLOUGHLIN (Conor), *Marxism*

and *Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2005; NEWMAN (Saul), *Anarchism, Marxism and the Bonapartist State*, Anarchist Library, 2004; RSW, *Marxism and Anarchism*, Raven, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2001, pp. 119-128; RSW, *Marxist Communism Has Failed!*, Raven, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2001, pp. 103-105; MELTZER (Albert), *Anarchism: Arguments for and Against*, Edinburgh: AK Press, 2000; BAKUNIN (Mikhail Alexandrovich), *Marxism, Freedom and the State*, op. cit.; DOLGOFF (Sam), *A Critique of Marxism*, Fordsburg: Zabalaza Books, 1983; DOLGOFF (Sam) (ed.), *Bakunin on Anarchy*, op. cit.; MORSE (Chuck), *Capitalism, Marxism, and the Black Radical Tradition: An Interview with Cedric Robinson*, Perspectives on Anarchist Theory, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1999; PELS (Dick), *Marxism vs. Anarchism*, in PELS (Dick), *Property and Power in Social Theory: A Study in Intellectual Rivalry*, London: Routledge, 1998, pp. 74-1; BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Anarchism, Marxism and The Critique of Law*, op. cit.; CLEAVER (Harry), *Kropotkin, Self-Valorization and the Crisis of Marxism*, Anarchist Library, 1993; VINCENT (K. Steven), *Between Marxism and Anarchism*, op. cit.; SEKELJ (Laslo), *Has Anarcho-Communism a Future?*, op. cit.; MCFARLANE (Kevin), *Value and Exploitation in Marxism*, op. cit.; BARKER (Jeffrey H.), *Individualism and Community: The State in Marx and Early Anarchism*, New York: Greenwood Press, 1986; THOMAS (Paul), *Karl Marx and the Anarchists*, op. cit.; JOLL (James), *The Anarchists*, op. cit., pp. 93, 244-255; GRUPPO COMUNISTA ANARCHICO DI FIRENZE, *Anarchist Communism and Libertarian Communism*, L'Informatore di Parte, No. 4, 1979; CLARK (John P.), *What Is Anarchism?*, op. cit., p. 19; DIEMER (Ulli), *Anarchism vs. Marxism: A Few Notes on an Old Theme*, Red Menace, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1978; MILLER (Martin A.), *Anarchism vs. Marxism in the Russian Revolution. A Review of Recent Literature*, International Labor and Working-Class History, No. 9, 1976, pp. 28-37; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit., Vol. II, pp. 137-206; HOBBSAWM (Eric John), *Revolutionaries: Contemporary Essays*, London: Phonix, 1973; CARLSON (Andrew R.), *Anarchism in Germany*, op. cit., pp. 34-39, 396-397; MARX (Karl) ET AL., *Anarchism and Anarcho-Syndicalism: Selected Writings*, ed. by Nikita Y. Kolpinsky, New York: International Publishers, 1972; NOMAD (Max), *Apostles of Revolution*, op. cit., p. 51; NETTLAU (Max) & MONTSENY (Federica), *Authoritarian Communism and Libertarian Communism*, op. cit.; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Marx and Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 1925.

⁶⁴⁰ See DÉZAMY (Alexandre Théodore), *Code de la Communauté*, Paris: Prévost, 1842; MAILLARD (Alain), *La Communauté des Égaux: Le Communisme Néo-Babouviste dans la France des Années 1840*, Paris: Kimé, 1999; BRAVO (Gian Mario), *Les Socialistes avant Marx*, 3 Vol., Paris: François Maspero, 1979.

⁶⁴¹ PENGAM (Alain), *Anarchist-Communism*, op. cit., pp. 4, 11.

⁶⁴² NETTLAU (Max), *Histoire de l'Anarchie*, op. cit., pp. 140, 145.

⁶⁴³ MÜHSAM (Erich), *The Liberation of Society from the State*, op. cit., pp. 14-15, 25, 42.

⁶⁴⁴ See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin's Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit.

⁶⁴⁵ KROPOTKIN (Pyotr Alexeyevich), *Organised Vengeance Called "Justice"*, Anarchist Library, 2009.

⁶⁴⁶ WARD (Colin), *Anarchy in Action*, op. cit., p. 129.

⁶⁴⁷ RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), *Errico Malatesta: His Life and Ideas*, op. cit., p. 53.

⁶⁴⁸ See GUILLAUME (James), *Ideas on Social Organization*, op. cit., pp. 14-15; BERKMAN (Alexander), *What Is Communist Anarchism?*, op. cit., pp. 167-168, 241-242; BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prison Memoirs of an Anarchist*, op. cit.; BERKMAN (Alexander), *Prisons and Crime*, op. cit.

⁶⁴⁹ RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), *Errico Malatesta: His Life and Ideas*, op. cit., pp. 105-106, 109-110. See also VENTURINI (Aldo) & MALATESTA (Errico), *Further Thoughts on the Question of Crime*, No. 134, Sep. 16, 1921

⁶⁵⁰ RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 111-112.

⁶⁵¹ MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 347-348.

⁶⁵² FAURE (Sébastien), *Libertarian Communism*, Anarchist Library, 1903, p. 3.

⁶⁵³ GRAHAM (Robert), *The Role of Contract in Anarchist Ideology*, in GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *For Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 164.

⁶⁵⁴ MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 352-353. About that Programme, see RICHARDS (Vernon) (ed.), op. cit., pp. 182-198.

⁶⁵⁵ HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit., pp. 103, 146-147.

⁶⁵⁶ PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Communist Anarchism as an Alternative to the Rule of Criminal Law*, Contemporary Crises, Vol. 2, 1978, pp. 319, 328-329. About the anarchist communist system, see also ADAMS (Matthew S.), *Rejecting the American Model: Peter Kropotkin's Radical Communalism*, History of Political Thought, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2014, pp. 147-173; PARK (Mi), *Imagining a Just and Sustainable Society: A Critique of Alternative Economic Models in the Global Justice Movement*, Critical Sociology, Vol.

39, No 1, 2013, pp. 65-85; MALLOCH (Margaret) & MUNRO (Bill) (ed.), *Crime, Critique and Utopia*, op. cit.; QUINTUS (John A.), *Louisa Sarah Bevington's Letters to Ethel Rolt Wheeler: A Catechism in Communist Anarchy*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2012, pp. 80-88; JARACH (Lawrence), *Anarcho-Communists, Platformism, and Dual Power*, op. cit.; MALATESTA (Errico), *A Talk about Anarchist Communism between Two Workers*, *Anarchist Library*, 2009; BLACK (Bob), *Wooden Shoes or Platform Shoes?*, op. cit.; BERRY (David), *Change the World Without Taking Power? The Libertarian Communist Tradition in France Today*, *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2008, pp. 111-130; LANE (Joseph), *An Anti-Statist Communist Manifesto*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Library, 2007; ROUX (Jacques), *Anarchist Communism: An Introduction*, Libcom, Oct. 25, 2006; CALDWELL (John Taylor) & SHIPWAY (Mark), *An Introduction to Guy Alfred Aldred and Anti-Parliamentary Communist Federation*, op. cit.; GUTIÉRREZ D. (José Antonio), *Anarchist-Communism and Elections*, op. cit.; MORAN (Jessica), *The Firebrand and the Forging of a New Anarchism*, op. cit.; AUTHIER (Denis) & DAUVÉ (Gilles) (dir.), *Ni Parlement Ni Syndicats: Les Conseils Ouvriers! Les Communistes de Gauche dans la Révolution Allemande (1918-1922)*, Paris: Les Nuits Rouges, 2003; GAMBONE (Larry), *For Community: The Communitarian Anarchism of Gustav Landauer*, *Anarchist Library*, 2001; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *What Is Communalism?*, *Anarchy Archives*, Nov. 7, 2001; BIEHL (Janet) & BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Politics of Social Ecology*, op. cit.; BIEHL (Janet), *Bookchin Breaks with Anarchism*, op. cit.; BIEHL (Janet) (ed.), *The Murray Bookchin Reader*, op. cit.; CRUMP (John), *The Anarchist Movement in Japan, 1906-1996*, *Anarchist Library*, 1996; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Schism between Individualist and Communist Anarchism in the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit.; MCELROY (Wendy), *Individualist Anarchism v. Communist Anarchism and Libertarianism*, op. cit.; ROOUM (Donald) & FREEDOM PRESS (ed.), *What Is Anarchism?*, op. cit.; KELSEY (Graham), *Anarchosyndicalism, Libertarian Communism, and the State: The CNT in Zaragoza and Aragon, 1930-1937*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 1991; BOLLOTEN (Burnett), *The Spanish Civil War*, op. cit.; AMESTOY (Isaac Puente), *Libertarian Communism*, *Cienfuegos Press Anarchist Review*, No. 6, 1982; HARDY (Dennis), *Alternative Communities in Nineteenth Century England*, op. cit., pp. 155-172; FAURE (Sébastien), *L'Encyclopédie Anarchiste*, op. cit.; MOST (Johann Joseph), *Anarchist Communism*, *Anarchist Library*, 1889.

657 NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit., pp. 64-68.

658 About this form of criminology, see above, footnote 378; PEPINSKY (Harold E.), *Peacemaking Criminology*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2013, pp. 319-339; PEPINSKY (Harold E.) & QUINNEY (Richard) (ed.), op. cit.; AME (Robert K.) & ALIDU (Seidu M.), *Truth and Reconciliation Commissions, Restorative Justice, Peacemaking Criminology, and Development*, *Criminal Justice Studies*, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2010, pp. 253-268; GASKEW (Tony), *Peacemaking Criminology and Counterterrorism: Muslim Americans and the War on Terror*, *Contemporary Justice Review*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2009, pp. 345-366; WOZNIAK (John F.), *C. Wright Mills and Higher Immorality: Implications for Corporate Crime, Ethics, and Peacemaking Criminology*, *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol. 51, No. 1, 2009, pp. 189-203; WOZNIAK (John F.), *Poverty and Peacemaking Criminology: Beyond Mainstream Criminology*, *Critical Criminology*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2008, pp. 209-223; WOZNIAK (John F.), *Toward a Theoretical Model of Peacemaking Criminology: An Essay in Honor of Richard Quinney*, *Crime and Delinquency*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2002, pp. 204-231; BARNES (Roger C.), *Peacemaking Criminology: Challenges and Possibilities*, *National Social Science Association*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2007; VITELLO (Corey J.), *Stalking Laws, Therapeutic Jurisprudence, and Peacemaking Criminology: A Radical Law-Psychology Inquiry*, *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2003, pp. 1-37; MCEVOY (Kieran), *Beyond the Metaphor: Political Violence, Human Rights and 'New' Peacemaking Criminology*, *Theoretical Criminology*, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2003, pp. 319-346; SULLIVAN (Dennis C.) & TIFFT (Larry L.), *Criminology as Peacemaking: A Peace-Oriented Perspective on Crime, Punishment and Justice that Takes into Account the Needs of All*, *Justice Professional*, Vol. 11, No. 1-2, 1998, pp. 4-34.

659 About this non-violent strategic, see NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit., pp. 66-67; NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow*, op. cit., pp. 117-118; *Rainbow Gathering Om Circle Celebration, July 4, 2008 in Wyoming*, YouTube, July 8, 2008; *Om Shanti Shanti Shanti*, Wildmind Buddhist Meditation.

660 NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow*, op. cit., pp. 115, 118.

661 NIMAN (Michael I.), op. cit., p. 119.

662 See NIMAN (Michael I.), op. cit., pp. 118-130; MCKINZIE (Ashleigh E.) & BRADLEY (Mindy S.), *Deviance and Social Control in an Alternative Community*, op. cit.

663 See *An Anarchist Response to the Police Murder at a Rainbow Gathering near Tenterfield NSW*, IndyMedia, May 3, 2012; *Disguising Cowardice as Pacifism in Australia*, *Anarchy and Chaos*, Word Press, May 3, 2012; WESTTHORP (Tanya), *Hundreds Mourn Ryan Pringle*, *Gold Coast*, Apr. 25, 2012; PERRY (Nick), *NSW Police Unit to Probe Rainbow Shooting*, *NineMSN*, Apr. 17, 2012; GARDINER (Stephanie) & RALTON (Nick), *Ryan's Tragedy: Shock at Death of Former League Star's Son*, *Sydney Morning Herald*, Apr. 16, 2012.

664 NIMAN (Michael I.), *People of the Rainbow*, op. cit., p. 118.

- 665 *Rainbow Family Gathering in Colorado Shrinking*, 9News, July 22, 2014; POHL (Jason), *Red Feather Lakes Stabbings Tied to Rainbow Family*, op. cit.
- 666 NIMAN (Michael I.), *The Shanti Sena 'Peace Center'*, op. cit., pp. 64, 72.
- 667 Ibid., p. 72.
- 668 For example, see KEENAN (Derek), *Syndicalism: An International and Historical Perspective*, Global Labour Institute, June 5, 2014; WHITE (Ahmed A.), *The Crime of Economic Radicalism: Criminal Syndicalism Laws and the Industrial Workers of the World, 1917-1927*, Oregon Law Review, Vol. 85, No. 3, 2012, pp. 649-769; WORKERS' SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *History of the Anarchist-Syndicalist Trade Union Movement*, Anarchist Library, 2012; ARCHER (Robin), *Does Repression Help to Create Labor Parties? The Effect of Police and Military Intervention on Unions in the United States and Australia*, Studies in American Political Development, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2001, pp. 189-219; SOUBIRAN-PAILLET (Francine), *L'Invention du Syndicat (1791-1884): Itinéraire d'une Catégorie Juridique*, Paris: Réseau Européen Droit et Société, 1999; SHOR (Francis), "Virile Syndicalism" in *Comparative Perspective: A Gender Analysis of the IWW in the United States and Australia*, International Labor and Working-Class History, No. 56, 1999, pp. 65-77; FRIEDMAN (Gerald C.), *Revolutionary Unions and French Labor: The Rebels behind the Cause; or, Why Did Revolutionary Syndicalism Fail?*, French Historical Studies, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1997, pp. 155-181.
- 669 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 152, 2233, 2235, 2295.
- 670 PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *De la Capacité Politique des Classes Ouvrières*, Paris: Librairie Internationale, 1868.
- 671 EISFELD (Rainer), *The Emergence and Meaning of Socialist Pluralism*, International Political Science Review, Vol. 17, No. 3, 1996, pp. 269-270.
- 672 FERGUSON (Kathy E.), *Emma Goldman: Political Thinking in the Streets*, op. cit., p. 150.
- 673 GOLDMAN (Emma), *Syndicalism: The Modern Menace to Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 1913, p. 10.
- 674 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 2240, 2345.
- 675 MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *My Social Credo*, op. cit., pp. 15-16. See also MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Programme of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, op. cit.; MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *Syndicalists in the Russian Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2011.
- 676 See CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *On Anarchism*, New York: New Press, 2013; WILSON (Michael S.) & CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *Noam Chomsky: The Kind of Anarchism I Believe in, and What's Wrong with Libertarians*, AlterNet, May 28, 2013; OSTROWSKI (James), *Chomsky's Economics*, op. cit.
- 677 CHOMSKY (Avram Noam), *The Relevance of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, interviewed by Peter Jay, Chomsky.info, July 25, 1976.
- 678 See FOTOPOULOS (Takis), *Globalization, the Reformist Left and the Anti-Globalization Movement*, op. cit.; *Globalisation: Origins-History-Analysis-Resistance*, Do or Die: Voices of Ecological Resistance, No. 8, 1999, pp. 35-54; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Green Syndicalism: An Alternative Red/Green Vision*, New York: Syracuse University Press, 2012; WHITE (Robert) & SPROULE (Warren), *Don't Mourn the Death of Theory, Organize! Globalization and the Rhizome of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Continuum, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2002, pp. 317-333.
- 679 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ghost of Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1992, pp. 3, 24.
- 680 JONES (Flint), *A New Syndicalism?*, Anarchist Library, 2001, p. 5.
- 681 MALATESTA (Errico), *On Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1925-1926, p. 6.
- 682 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Syndical Syndrome*, Mises Daily, Oct. 18, 2011.
- 683 SERGE (Victor), *Our Anti-Syndicalism*, op. cit., p. 4.
- 684 WHITE (Robert) & SPROULE (Warren), *Don't Mourn the Death of Theory, Organize!*, op. cit., p. 323.
- 685 WILDCAT, *Outside and Against the Unions*, Anarchist Library, 2012, p. 9; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Wildcat*, op. cit., p. 32.
- 686 See EGLOFF (Emmanuel), *La Principale Fédération de la CGT Exige la Démission de Thierry Lepaon*, Le Figaro, Dec. 12, 2014; CGT: *La Première Fédération Réclame la Démission de Thierry Lepaon*, Le Point, Dec. 12, 2014; *Le Futur de Thierry Lepaon à la Tête de la CGT en Suspens*, Le Figaro, Dec. 9, 2014; *French Union Boss under Fire for Exorbitant Renovations*, France 24, Dec. 5, 2014; *Scandales à la CGT: Thierry Lepaon Charge Ses Opposants*, Le Figaro, Nov. 27, 2014; *Lepaon a aussi Fait Rénover Son Bureau, Selon «le Canard Enchaîné»*, Libération, Nov. 25, 2014; BANERJEE (Sidhartha) &

RAKOBOWCHUK (Peter), *291 Witnesses, 2,756 Documents, 66,000 Pages of Testimony and 30 Months Later, Quebec Corruption Inquiry Is Finally Over*, National Post, Nov. 14, 2014; *Charbonneau Commission Wraps with Call for Confidence to Be Restored*, CBC News, Nov. 14, 2014; *Appartement de Lepaon: La CGT Dénonce une «Attaque hors de Propos»*, Le Parisien, Oct. 29, 2014; *Scandale à la CGT: Thierry Lepaon Doit-Il Démissionner?*, Orange Actualités, Oct. 29, 2014; *CGT: D'Alain Juppé à Thierry Lepaon, ces Personnalités Épinglées pour Leur Condition de Logement*, 20 Minutes, Oct. 29, 2014; *Thierry Lepaon A-t-il Fait (Chèrement) Rénover Son Appartement aux Frais de la CGT?*, 20 Minutes, Oct. 28, 2014; WOODS (Allan), *Montreal Mafia Boss Was a Contact, Construction Magnate Tells Corruption Probe*, Toronto Star, Sep. 3, 2014; CRIBB (Robert) & ALPHEN (Tony Van), *Construction Union Linked to Firm with Alleged Mafia Ties*, Toronto Star, Apr. 23, 2014; GARDINER (Sean), *Mob's Hold on Unions Isn't What It Used to Be*, Wall Street Journal, Feb. 18, 2014; *Corruption Inquiry Witness: Mafia Controlled Province's Largest Construction Union*, CTV News, Oct. 1, 2013; HAMILTON (Graeme), *When the Mob Controls Unions, the Public Pays, 'Donnie Brasco' Tells Quebec Corruption Inquiry*, National Post, Sep. 24, 2012; *'Donnie Brasco' Says Mob Controls Construction Via Unions*, CBC News, Sep. 24, 2012; *The War Against the Mafia*, YouTube, Mar. 1, 2012; JACOBS (James B.) & PETERS (Ellen), *Labor Racketeering: The Mafia and the Unions*, Vol. 30, 2003, pp. 229-282; GRABIANOWSKI (Ed), *How the Mafia Works*, HowStuffWorks.

687 LUPO (Salvatore), *History of the Mafia*, trans. by Antony Shugaar, New York: Columbia University Press, 2009, p. 177.

688 CARTER (April), *The Political Theory of Anarchism*, London: Routledge and Charles Kegan Paul, 1971, pp. 40-41. See also FREEDOM, *The Peasant Revolt in Italy (1949)*, Raven, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1989, pp. 395-396.

689 ANONYMOUS, *Beyond Workerism*, op. cit., p. 1.

690 For some examples, see SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Green Syndicalism*, op. cit.; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Green Syndicalism: An Alternative Red-Green Vision*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2002, pp. 21-41; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Radical Ecology and Class Struggle: A Re-Consideration*, Anarchist Library, 2005; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.), *Syndicalism, Ecology and Feminism*, op. cit.; SHANTZ (Jeffrey A.) & ADAM (Barry D.), *Ecology and Class: The Green Syndicalism of IWW/Earth First Local*, International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, Vol. 19, No. 7-8, 1999, pp. 43-72; PRICE (Andy), *Jeff Shantz, Green Syndicalism: An Alternative Red/Green Vision*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2013, pp. 123-125; JAKOPOVICH (Dan), *Green Unionism in Theory and Practice*, Synthesis/Regeneration, No. 43, 2007; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarcho-Syndicalism, Technology and Ecology*, Anarchist Library, 1995. Concerning the syndicalist justice and its examples, see WALT (Lucien van der), *Who Rules South Africa?*, op. cit.; WALT (Lucien van der), *T. W. Thibedi (1888-1960)*, op. cit.; WALT (Lucien van der), *(Re)Constructing a Global Anarchist and Syndicalist Canon: A Response to Robert Graham and Nathan Jun on Black Flame*, Anarchist Developments in Cultural Studies, No. 1, 2013, pp. 193-203; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; CORKETT (Tom), *Unity as Rivalry: The Spanish Anarcho-Syndicalists and Socialists on the Eve of the Civil War*, Politics, Religion and Ideology, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2012, pp. 267-287; ZERZAN (John), *Organized Labor versus "The Revolt Against Work"*, op. cit.; BERRY (David) & BANTMAN (Constance) (ed.), *New Perspectives on Anarchism, Labour and Syndicalism: The Individual, the National and the Transnational*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars, 2010; DAMIER (Vadim), *Anarcho-Syndicalism in the 20th Century*, trans. Malcolm Archibald, Edmonton: Black Cat Press, 2009; ANARCHIST COMMUNIST FEDERATION, *The Origins of the Movement for Workers Councils in Germany*, Manchester: Anarchist Communist Federation, 2009; DARLINGTON (Ralph), *Syndicalism and the Influence of Anarchism in France, Italy and Spain*, Anarchist Studies, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009, pp. 29-54; DARLINGTON (Ralph), *Syndicalism and the Transition to Communism: An International Comparative Analysis*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 2008; VARIOUS AUTHORS, *Articles from Insurrection*, op. cit.; *Trotskyist Lies on Anarchism: Felix Morrow on Spain*, Libcom, Oct. 26, 2006; BROWN (Tom), *Principles of Syndicalism*, Libcom, Nov. 27, 2006; BROWN (Tom), *Tom Brown's Syndicalism*, London: Phoenix Press, 1990; BROWN (Tom), *British Syndicalism: Pages from Labour History*, London: Kate Sharpley Library, 1994; BROWN (Tom), *Trade Unionism or Syndicalism?*, London: Freedom press, 1942; MORROW (Felix), *Anarchism in Spain*, New International, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1938, pp. 6-7; WORKERS' SOLIDARITY FEDERATION, *Anarcho-Syndicalism Outlined*, Anarchist Library, 2005; WORKERS' SOLIDARITY MOVEMENT, *Unions and Revolution*, Anarchist Library, 2005; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarchosyndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1938; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarcho-Syndicalism: Theory and Practice*, preface by Avram Noam Chomsky, intr. by Nicholas Walter, Edinburgh: AK, 2004; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarchism and Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1949; ROCKER (Rudolf), *Anarchosyndicalism*, Anarchist Library, 1938; GRAUR (Mina), *An Anarchist "Rabbi"*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *A Critique of Syndicalist Methods*, Anarchist Library, 1998; VARIAS (Alexander), *Paris and the Anarchists*, op. cit., especially pp. 95-99; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *To Remember Spain*, op. cit.; KELSEY (Graham), *Anarchosyndicalism, Libertarian Communism, and the State*, op. cit.; COLSON (Daniel), *Anarcho-Syndicalisme et Communisme: Saint-Etienne 1920-1925*, préface de Pierre Ansart, Saint-Etienne et Lyon: Centre d'Etudes Foréziennes/Atelier de Création Libertaire, 1986; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchist Society and Its Practical Realization*, San Francisco: See Sharp Press, 1990; AMSDEN (Jon), *Industrial Collectivization under Workers' Control*, op. cit.; MAITRON (Jean), *Le Mouvement Anarchiste en France*, op. cit.; WEISBORD (Albert), *The Conquest of Power: Liberalism*,

Anarchism, Syndicalism, Socialism, New York: Covici-Friede 1937; ESTEY (J. A.), *Revolutionary Syndicalism*, London: P. S. King and Son, 1913.

691 HALL (Matthew), *Beyond the Human: Extending Ecological Anarchism*, *Environmental Politics*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2011, pp. 374, 388; *IWW Environmental Unionism Caucus*.

692 *About the ISE*, Institute for Social Ecology.

693 SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., p. 81.

694 SMITH (Mick), op. cit., pp. 219-220.

695 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, op. cit., p. 105.

696 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., p. 194.

697 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., pp. 228, 262-263, 282, 286.

698 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., p. liv.

699 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, op. cit., p. 156; PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 213-217.

700 About these problems, see GUNDARA (Jagdish S.), *Ancient Athenian Democratic Knowledge and Citizenship: Connectivity and Intercultural Implications*, *Intercultural Education*, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2011, pp. 237-238; LAPE (Susan), *Race and Citizen Identity in the Classical Athenian Democracy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010; GILLIGAN (Carol) & RICHARDS (David A. J.) *The Deepening Darkness: Patriarchy, Resistance, and Democracy's Future*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009; SAMONS II. (Loren J.), *What's Wrong with Democracy?: From Athenian Practice to American Worship*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004; SONGE-MØLLER (Vigdis), *Philosophy without Women: The Birth of Sexism in Western Thought*, trans. by Peter Cripps, London: Continuum, 2002; KAPLAN (Morris B.), *Sexual Justice: Democratic Citizenship and the Politics of Desire*, New York: Routledge, 1997; WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 173-175; ZINN (Howard), *Declarations of Independence: Cross-Examining American Ideology*, New York: HarperCollins, 1990, pp. 70-73.

701 Professor Bookchin has nonetheless recognized that Athenian alien residents enjoyed neither the right to participate in assemblies nor explicit judicial rights of their own beyond the security of their lives and property. BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., p. 151. Moreover, democracy still has to solve the problem of racism and discrimination nowadays. For example, see PYRON (Darden Asbury), *Review of Fighting for Democracy: Black Veterans and the Struggle Against White Supremacy in the Postwar South*, *Forum*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2010, Art. 11; PARKER (Christopher S.), *Fighting for Democracy: Black Veterans and the Struggle Against White Supremacy in the Postwar South*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009; WILLETT (Cynthia), *The Abolition of White Democracy*, *Perspectives on Politics*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2005, pp. 881-883; OLSON (Joel), *The Abolition of White Democracy*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2004; AELLEN (Danielle S.), *Talking to Strangers: Anxieties of Citizenship since Brown v. Board of Education*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2004; BENHABIB (Seyla), *The Rights of Others: Aliens, Residents, and Citizens*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2004; LIPSITZ (George), *The Possessive Investment in Whiteness: Racialized Social Democracy and the "White" Problem in American Studies*, *American Quarterly*, Vol. 47, No. 3, 1995, pp. 369-387.

702 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., p. 155.

703 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, op. cit., pp. 253, 257, 273-274.

704 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., pp. lii-liv, 42.

705 See BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., p. 285.

706 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Toward an Ecological Society*, op. cit., p. 138.

707 See WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 119-167.

708 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Remaking Society: Pathways to a Green Future*, op. cit., p. 198.

709 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, op. cit., p. 166.

710 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology and Communalism*, op. cit., pp. 116, 118.

711 *Ibid.*, p. 109; PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., p. 218.

712 PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., p. 223; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology and Communalism*, op. cit., p. 115.

713 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *Social Ecology and Communalism*, op. cit., p. 101.

- 714 About these criticisms and their responses, see PRICE (Andy), *Recovering Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 11-32, 231-255; PRICE (Andy), *Deep Ecology, Misanthropy, and the Genesis of the Bookchin Caricature*, op. cit.; PRICE (Andy), *Communalism or Caricature*, op. cit.; WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 169-187; CLARK (John P.), *Municipal Dreams: A Social Ecological Critique of Bookchin's Politics*, Anarchist Library, 1998.
- 715 BIEHL (Janet), *Bookchin Breaks with Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 40.
- 716 BIEHL (Janet), *Biehl Breaks with Social Ecology*, Institute for Social Ecology, Apr. 14, 2011.
- 717 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Ecology of Freedom*, pp. 167-168.
- 718 However, Malcom X criticized strongly American Dream, because it relies on whiteness that certainly includes Bookchin himself. "No, I'm not an American. I'm one of the 22 million black people who are the victims of Americanism. One of the 22 million black people who are the victims of democracy, nothing but disguised hypocrisy. So, I'm not standing here speaking to you as an American, or a patriot, or a flag-saluter, or a flag-waver – no, not I. I'm speaking as a victim of this American system. And I see America through the eyes of the victim. I don't see any American dream; I see an American nightmare." X (Malcolm), *The Ballot or the Bullet*, Cleveland, EdChange, Apr. 3, 1964.
- 719 BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Rise of Urbanization and the Decline of Citizenship*, op. cit., p. 281; WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., pp. 175-177. Concerning the eco-anarchist justice, see also MORRIS (Brian), *Anthropology, Ecology, and Anarchism: A Brian Morris Reader*, intr. by Peter Marshall, Oakland: PM Press, 2014; SHEPARD (Benjamin Heim), *DIY Urbanism as an Environmental Justice Strategy*, op. cit.; ALEXANDER (Samuel), *Wild from Below: Examining the Anarchist Challenge to Earth Jurisprudence*, in MALONEY (Michelle) & BURDON (Peter D.) (ed.), *Wild Law: In Practice*, London: Routledge, 2014, pp. 31-44; BURDON (Peter D.), *The Earth Community and Ecological Jurisprudence*, *Oñati Socio-Legal Series*, Vol. 3, No. 5, 2013, pp. 815-837; PARK (Mi), *Imagining a Just and Sustainable Society*, op. cit.; GREEN ANARCHIST INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION, *The Eco-Anarchist Manifesto*, 2011; ANONYMOUS, *Environmental Anarchism in Vermont*, op. cit.; DAVIDSON (Stewart), *Ecoanarchism*, op. cit.; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; ANTAGONISM (Dave), *Jacques Camatte and the New Politics of Liberation*, Anarchist Library, 2004-2005; CAMATTE (Jacques), *Against Domestication*, Anarchist Library, 1973; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchism and Ecology*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1997; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchism and Ecology: The Historical Relationship*, Petersham: Jura Books, 1993; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchism and Environmental Survival*, Tucson: See Sharp Press, 1994; PURCHASE (Graham), *Anarchist Society and Its Practical Realization*, op. cit.; BREITBART (Myrna Margulies), *Anarchist Decentralism in Rural Spain, 1936-1939*, op. cit.
- 720 BÚRCA (Gráinne de) & GERSTENBERG (Oliver), *The Denationalization of Constitutional Law*, *Harvard International Law Journal*, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2006, p. 253.
- 721 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit., p. 1.
- 722 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, op. cit., p. 53.
- 723 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 61-62.
- 724 About Keynesian economics and the Keynesian welfare State, see MOREL (Nathalie) ET AL. (ed.), *Towards a Social Investment Welfare State?: Ideas, Policies and Challenges*, Bristol: Policy, 2012; SENIOR (Paul) ET AL., *Understanding Modernisation in Criminal Justice*, Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2007, pp. 41 ff.; BELIEN (Paul), *On Abortion, the Welfare State, Keynes and Hayek*, *Brussels Journal*, July 1, 2005; LEA (John), *Crime and Modernity: Continuities in Left Realist Criminology*, London: Sage, 2002; REGIL (Alvaro J. de), *Keynesian Economics and The Welfare State*, *Jus Semper Global Alliance*, Apr. 2003; CRABTREE (Derek) & THIRLWALL (Anthony Philip) (ed.), *Keynes and the Role of the State: The Tenth Keynes Seminar Held at the University of Kent at Canterbury, 1991*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993; TEUBNER (Gunter) (ed.), *Dilemmas of Law in the Welfare State*, op. cit.
- 725 KREPELKA (Jan), *A Pure Libertarian Theory of Immigration*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2010, p. 50. See also CARNIS (Laurent A. H.), *Two Irreconcilable Theories of Justice: Social Engineering vs. Ethics of Property*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 399-436.
- 726 SCHÄFER (Burkhard) & BANKOWSKI (Zenon), *Emerging Legal Orders: Formalism and the Theory of Legal Integration*, *Ratio Juris*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, p. 493.
- 727 About these concepts, see PENDLETON (Arthur), *Lew Rockwell and the Strange Death (Or At Least Suspended Animation) of Paleolibertarianism*, *VDARE*, May 14, 2008; MITCHELL (Brian Patrick), *Eight Ways to Run the Country: A New and Revealing Look at Left and Right*, Westport: Praeger, 2007, pp. 76 ff.; ROCKWELL, JR. (Llewellyn Harrison), *Libertarianism and the Old Right*, *Mises Daily*, Aug. 5, 2006; ROCKWELL, JR. (Llewellyn Harrison), *What I Learned From Paleoism*, *LewRockwell*, May 2,

2002; ROCKWELL, JR. (Llewellyn Harrison), *The Case for Paleo-Libertarianism*, *Liberty*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1990, pp. 34-38; TRASK (H. Arthur Scott), *Was Nathaniel Hawthorne a Paleolibertarian?*, *LewRockwell*, Apr. 12, 2004; CAREY (George W.) (ed.), *Freedom and Virtue: The Conservative Libertarian Debate*, Wilmington: Intercollegiate Studies Institute, 1998; PIPER (J. Richard), *Ideologies and Institutions: American Conservative and Liberal Governance Prescriptions since 1933*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 1997; MEHRABIAN (Albert), *Relations among Political Attitudes, Personality, and Psychopathology Assessed With New Measures of Libertarianism and Conservatism*, *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1996, pp. 469-491.

⁷²⁸ In this case, see STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Private Governance: Creating Order in Economic and Social Life*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2015; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit.; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Overlapping Jurisdictions, Proprietary Communities, and Competition in the Realm of Law*, op. cit.; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy, State and Public Choice*, op. cit.; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *The Capability of Government in Providing Protection Against Online Fraud*, *Journal of Law, Economics, and Policy*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2005, pp. 371-392; STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, op. cit.; CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Networks, Law, and the Paradox of Cooperation*, *Review of Austrian Economics*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2003, pp. 309-326; CAPLAN (Bryan) & STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Privatizing the Adjudication of Disputes*, op. cit.; COYNE (Christopher J.) ET AL., *A Note on the Market Provision of National Defense*, op. cit.; COYNE (Christopher J.) & HALL (Abigail R.), *Anarchy, Philanthropy, and the Provision of Public Goods in a Free Society*, George Mason University Working Paper in Economics, No. 13-22, July 19, 2013; JONES (Ben), *The Libertarian Case Against the Death Penalty*, *Libertarianism.org*, Oct. 24, 2013; CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit.; CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; SMITH (Adam C.) ET AL., *Anarchy, Groups, and Conflict: An Experiment on the Emergence of Protective Associations*, *Social Choice and Welfare*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2012, pp. 325-353; MENDENHALL (Allen), *My "Country" Lies over the Ocean: Seasteading and Polycentric Law*, *Studies in Emergent Order*, Vol. 5, 2012, pp. 37-156; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 31-35; RAJABIUN (Reza), *Private Enforcement and Judicial Discretion in the Evolution of Antitrust in the United States*, *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2012, pp. 187-230; RAJABIUN (Reza), *Private Enforcement of Law*, in GAROUPA (Nuno) (ed.), *Criminal Law and Economics*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2009, pp. 60-89; GRAF (Konrad), *Action-Based Jurisprudence: Praxeological Legal Theory in Relation to Economic Theory, Ethics, and Legal Practice*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 19; MURPHY (Robert Patrick), *Law without the State*, *Mises Daily*, Nov. 4, 2011; MURPHY (Robert Patrick), *Chaos Theory*, 2nd ed., Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2010; PLAUCHÉ (Geoffrey Allan), *Immanent Politics, Participatory Democracy, and the Pursuit of Eudaimonia*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. No. 16; REBER (Michael F.), *Distributive Justice and Free Market Economics: A Eudaimonistic Perspective*, *Libertarian Papers*, Vol. 2, 2010, Art. 29; STRONG (Michael), *Polycentric Law, a.k.a. Anarcho-Capitalism as No Big Deal*, *Let A Thousand Nations Bloom*, June 23, 2010; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Of Private, Common, and Public Property and the Rationale for Total Privatization*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *A Theory of Socialism and Capitalism*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Economics and Ethics of Private Property*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Private Production of Defense*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Political Economy of Monarchy and Democracy, and the Idea of a Natural Order*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Fallacies of the Public Goods Theory and the Production of Security*, op. cit.; DANNESKJÖLD (Robert), *Free Rider Problems in Insurance-Based Private Defense*, op. cit.; BORER (Kris), *Norms and the NAP*, op. cit.; CASEY (Gerard), *Reflections on Legal Polycentrism*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2010, pp. 22-34; MOLINARI (Gustave de), *The Production of Security*, op. cit.; D'AMICO (Daniel J.), *The Business Ethics of Incarceration: The Moral Implications of Treating Prisons Like Businesses*, *Reason Papers*, Vol. 31, 2009, pp. 125-147; D'AMICO (Daniel J.), *The American Prison State*, *Mises Daily*, May 5, 2011; D'AMICO (Daniel J.), *Changing the Guard: Private Prisons and the Control of Crime*, book review, ed., *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2007, pp. 123-128; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Law and Appeals in a Free Society*, *Daily Mises*, Oct. 25, 2010; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Libertarian Position on Capital Punishment*, *Mises Daily*, July 13, 2010; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Society without a State*, op. cit.; LONG (Ryan), *Robert Murphy on Legal Anarchism*, *Stationary Wave*, Oct. 25, 2010; NOTTEN (Michael van), *The Laws of the Somalis*, op. cit.; LONG (Roderick Tracy) & MACHAN (Tibor Richard) (ed.), *Anarchism/Minarchism*, op. cit.; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Anarchism and Minarchism*, op. cit.; MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit.; TINSLEY (Patrick C.), *Private Police: A Note*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1998-1999, pp. 95-100; BENSON (Bruce L.), *To Serve and Protect*, op. cit.; BENSON (Bruce L.), *Reciprocal Exchange as the Basis for Recognition of Law: Examples from American History*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1991, pp. 53-82; BENSON (Bruce L.), *The Enterprise of Law: Justice without the State*, San Francisco: Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy, 1990; BARNETT (Randy Evan), *The Structure of Liberty: Justice and the Rule of Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998; BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Pursuing Justice in a Free Society: Part One – Power vs. Liberty*, *Criminal Justice Ethics*, Vol. 4,

No. 2, 1985, pp. 50-72; BARNETT (Randy Evan), *Pursuing Justice in a Free Society: Part Two – Crime Prevention and the Legal Order*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1986, pp. 30-53; BARNETT (Randy Evan), "Justice Entrepreneurship in a Free Market": Comment, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 427-431; FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Law as a Private Good: A Response to Tyler Cowen on the Economics of Anarchy*, Economics and Philosophy, Vol. 10, 1994, pp. 319-327; FRIEDMAN (David Director), *The Machinery of Freedom: Guide to a Radical Capitalism*, 2nd ed., La Salle: Open Court, 1989; FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Private Creation and Enforcement of Law: A Historical*, Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1979, pp. 399-416; FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Problems in the Provision of Public Goods*, Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1987, pp. 505-520; SANDERS (John T.) & NARVESON (Jan), *For and Against the State*, op. cit.; COWEN (Tyler), *Rejoinder to David Friedman on the Economics of Anarchy*, Economics and Philosophy, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1994, pp. 329-332; COWEN (Tyler), *Law as a Public Good*, op. cit.; HUMMEL (Jeffrey Rogers), *National Goods Versus Public Goods*, op. cit.; OSTERFELD (David), *Anarchism and the Public Goods Issue*, op. cit.; ORY (Pascal), *L'Anarchisme de Droite*, Paris: Grasset, 1985; ARVON (Henri), *Les Libertariens Américains*, op. cit.; HART (David M.), *Gustave de Molinari and the Anti-Statist Liberal Tradition*, op. cit.; WATNER (Carl), *The "Criminal" Metaphor in the Libertarian Tradition*, op. cit.; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Justice Entrepreneurship in a Free Market*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 405-426; SMITH (George Hamilton), *Justice Entrepreneurship Revisited: A Reply to Critics*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 453-469; STRASNICK (Steven), "Justice Entrepreneurship in A Free Market": Comment, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1979, pp. 433-437; FIELDING (Karl T.), *The Role of Personal Justice in Anarcho-Capitalism*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1978, pp. 239-241; PEDEN (Joseph R.), *Property Rights in Celtic Irish Law*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1977, pp. 81-95; TANNEHILL (Morris and Linda), *The Market for Liberty*, Lansing: Morris and Linda Tannehill, 1970.

⁷²⁹ About this agency, see WARD (Claire), *Private Military Contractors Hired to Move Guns and Gold out of Ferguson*, VICE News, Nov. 21, 2014; EREMENKO (Alexey), *Blackwater.ru: The Future of Russian Private Military Companies*, Moscow Times, Nov. 12, 2014; PETERSOHN (Ulrich), *The Impact of Mercenaries and Private Military and Security Companies on Civil War Severity between 1946 and 2002*, International Interactions, Vol. 40, No. 2, 2014, pp. 191-215; JOACHIM (Jutta) & SCHNEIKER (Andrea), *All for One and One in All: Private Military Security Companies as Soldiers, Business Managers and Humanitarians*, Cambridge Review of International Affairs, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2014, pp. 246-267; JOACHIM (Jutta) & SCHNEIKER (Andrea), *Of 'True Professionals' and 'Ethical Hero Warriors': A Gender-Discourse Analysis of Private Military and Security Companies*, Security Dialogue, Vol. 43, No. 6, 2012, pp. 495-512; AKCINAROGLU (Seden) & RADZISZEWSKI (Elizabeth), *Private Military Companies, Opportunities, and Termination of Civil Wars in Africa*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, Vol. 57, No. 5, 2013, pp. 795-821; TRIPP (Tara) COBKIT (Sutham), *Unexpected Pathways: Criminal Justice Career Options in the Private Sector*, Journal of Criminal Justice Education, Vol. 24, No. 4, 2013, pp. 478-494; FINEGAN (Sharon G.), *Watching the Watchers: The Growing Privatization of Criminal Law and the Need for Limits on Neighborhood Watch Associations*, University of Massachusetts Law Review, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2013, pp. 88-134; ALEXANDRA (Andrew), *Private Military and Security Companies and the Liberal Conception of Violence*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2012, pp. 158-174; HEDAH (Marcus), *Unaccountable: The Current State of Private Military and Security Companies*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2012, pp. 175-192; PERRIN (Benjamin), *Mind the Gap: Lacunae in the International Legal Framework Governing Private Military and Security Companies*, Criminal Justice Ethics, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2012, pp. 213-232; PATTISON (James), *The Legitimacy of the Military, Private Military and Security Companies, and Just War Theory*, European Journal of Political Theory, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2012, pp. 131-154; TOUGAS (Marie-Louise), *International Law and Private Military Companies: A Search for Clarity* *Droit International, Sociétés Militaires Privées et Conflit Armé: Entre Incertitudes et Responsabilités*, Brussels: Bruylant, 2012; SNELL (Angela), *The Absence of Justice: Private Military Contractors, Sexual Assault, and the U.S. Government's Policy of Indifference*, University of Illinois Law Review, Vol. 2011, No. 3, 2011, pp. 1125- 1163; ELSEA (Jennifer K.), *Private Security Contractors in Iraq and Afghanistan: Legal Issues*, Congressional Research Service, report for Congress, 2010; THORBURN (Malcolm), *Reinventing the Night-Watchman State?*, op. cit.; HAREL (Alon), *Why Only the State May Inflict Criminal Sanctions: The Case against Privately Inflicted Sanctions*, Legal Theory, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2008, pp. 113-133; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Corporate Warriors: The Rise of the Privatized Military Industry*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2008; SINGER (Peter Warren), *War, Profits, and the Vacuum of Law: Privatized Military Firms and International Law*, Columbia Journal of Transnational Law, Vol. 42, No. 2, 2004, pp. 521-549; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Private Military Firms in Today's Wars*, interviewed by Terry Gross, Centre for Research on Globalisation July, 2003; SINGER (Peter Warren), *Private Military Firms*, Crimes of War; PELTON (Robert Young), *Licensed to Kill: Privatizing the War on Terror*, New York: Crown, 2006; AVANT (Deborah D.), *The Market for Force: The Consequences of Privatizing Security*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005; HUMMER (Don) & NALLA (Mahesh), *Modeling Future Relations between the Private and Public Sectors of Law Enforcement*, Criminal Justice Studies, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2003, pp. 87-96; TABARROK (Alexander) (ed.), *Changing the Guard: Private Prisons and the Control of Crime*, Oakland: Independent Institute, 2003; PASTOR (James F.), *The Privatization of Police in America: An Analysis and Case Study*, Jefferson: McFarland, 2003;

ARNOLD (Guy), *Mercenaries: The Scourge of the Third World*, Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 1999; BENSON (Bruce L.), *To Serve and Protect*, op. cit.; SCOTT (Thomas M.) MCPHERSON (Marlys), *The Development of the Private Sector of the Criminal Justice System*, Law and Society Review, Vol. 6, No. 2, 1971, pp. 267-288.

730 For example, see LOADER (Ian) & WALKER (Neil), *Policing as a Public Good*, op. cit.

731 MOLINARI (Gustave de), *The Production of Security*, op. cit., pp. 22-23, 27-28, 53-59.

732 FRIEDMAN (David Director), *The Machinery of Freedom*, op. cit.

733 FRIEDMAN (David Director), *Private Creation and Enforcement of Law*, op. cit., pp. 399, 411.

734 SMITH (George Hamilton), *Justice Entrepreneurship in a Free Market*, op. cit., pp. 405-406, 424.

735 BENSON (Bruce L.), *To Serve and Protect*, op. cit., pp. 15, 17, 318.

736 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Ethics of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 51-52, 60, 90.

737 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 231-232, 235.

738 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, op. cit., p. 65.

739 Ibid., p. 65.

740 In these cases, see JANSEN (Michael), *Innocence Destroyed*, Gulf Today, Mar. 18, 2016; *Women who Violate Daesh's Strict Dress Code Are Being Tortured by this Medieval Method*, Al Bawaba, Feb. 27, 2016; BHUTIA (Jigme), *ISIS Torture: Daesh Use New 'Biter' Weapon to Punish Women for Showing 'too much Skin' in Mosul*, International Business Time, Feb. 25, 2016; PATON (Callum), *ISIS: Child Soldiers Raped and Tortured by Daesh for Disobeying 'Caliphate Cubs' Training*, International Business Times, Jan. 19, 2016; BACCHI (Umberto), *ISIS in Iraq: Daesh Blows up Homes and Churches to Punish Christians and Dissenters*, International Business Time, Jan. 19, 2016; *10 Disturbing Similarities between Saudi Arabia and Daesh*, op. cit.; MORLEY (Nicole), *ISIS Has a Guide on when Militants Can Rape Female Sex Slaves*, Metro, Dec. 30, 2015; STAUFENBERG (Jess), *Indian Sisters Told They Will Be Repeatedly Gang-Raped as Punishment for Their Brother's Crime Launch Appeal at Supreme Court*, Independent, Aug. 28, 2015; *Global Outrage Follows Sentencing of Two Indian Women to Punishment by Gang Rape*, VICE News, Aug. 28, 2015; COCKBURN (Patrick Oliver), *ISIS, a Year of the Caliphate: Day-to-Day Life in the 'Islamic State' – where any Breach of Restrictive, Divinely Inspired Rules Is Savagely Punished*, Independent, June 26, 2015; RAHMAN (Khaleda), *Corporal Punishment ISIS-Style: Boy Flogged 60 Times in Town Square for Calling Terror Group the Wrong Name*, Daily Mail, Feb. 12, 2015; DONAGHY (Rori) & ATKINSON (Mary), op. cit.; SPENCER (Robert), *Islamic State Releases List of 'Qur'anic Punishments'*, Jihad Watch, Dec. 18, 2014; *100 Women 2014: Raped for Punishment in Pakistan*, BBC News, Oct. 29, 2014; SHEARS (Richard), *Widow Is Gang-Raped as 'Punishment' for Having an Affair with a Married Man in Indonesia – and now She Will Be Caned in Public under Sharia Law*, Daily Mail, May 6, 2014; *Indonesian Gang-Rape Victim Faces Caning*, Guardian, May 6, 2014; BUNCOMBE (Andrew), *Village Elders 'Order Gang-Rape of Young Woman as Punishment for Relationship with Outsider'*, Independent, Jan. 23, 2014; *Indian Woman Gang-Raped as 'Punishment'*, Al Jazeera, Jan. 24, 2014.

741 I really hope that he has changed since his *Market Chosen Law* to present a more human legal system rather than a criminal system focusing blindly on “contract” or “private property”. We cannot indeed agree with each other to cruelly make the individuals, whom we consider the criminals, suffer. Professor Stringham has hopefully changed his mind. This is his new opinion on the matter: “Sirus, I think you make some good points and I think my current thinking is closer to yours than what I wrote in that article a couple decades ago. So you can be happy about that! But I think you could restate the argument to be more like “But suppose that 100 percent of people in a community were to voluntarily agree to ISIS like punishment.... [Insert some of your specific examples and then get to your question of me.]” Because although my current position is likely very close to yours on this question, the argument I was advancing in that 1998 article said that if 100 percent of people agree to a rule then it is legitimate for those who agree to it. My position from 1998 would not have supported anything close to ISIS like rules because the point is that many people never agreed to their rules. Anyway, this is a small point and I like your discussion here.”

742 Concerning this topic and its problems, see KRUG (Oliver) ET AL., *Identification of Black Market Products and Potential Doping agents in Germany 2010-2013*, European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Vol. 70, No. 11, 2014, pp. 1303-1311; ZABYELINA (Yuliya G.), *The “Fishy” Business: A Qualitative Analysis of the Illicit Market in Black Caviar*, Trends in Organized Crime, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2014, pp. 181-198; MATSUI (Kenji), *Gray-Market Trade with Product Information Service in Global Supply Chains*, International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 147, 2014, pp. 351-361; HUETT (Hannes) ET AL., *Price Dynamics in the Belarusian Black Market for Foreign Exchange*, Journal of International Economics, Vol. 94, No. 1, 2014, pp. 169-176; ORIHUELA (Sharada Balachandran), *The Black Market: Property, Freedom, and Piracy in Martin Delany's Blake; or, The Huts of America*, Journal of Nineteenth-Century Americanists, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2014, pp. 273-300; ROODHOUSE (Mark), *Black Market*

Britain, 1939-1955, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013; NEUWIRTH (Robert), *Street Markets and Shantytowns Forge the World's Urban Future*, Scientific American, Vol. 305, No. 3, 2011, pp. 56-63; GILMAN (Nils) ET AL. (ed.), *Deviant Globalization: Black Market Economy in the 21st Century*, New York: Continuum, 2011; SUGDEN (David R.), *Gray Markets: Prevention, Detection and Litigation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009; BARD (Jennifer S.), *Black Markets: The Supply and Demand of Body Parts*, book review, Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2008, pp. 117-133; GOODWIN (Michele), *Black Markets: The Supply and Demand of Body Parts*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2006; STEEGE (Paul), *Black Market, Cold War: Everyday Life in Berlin, 1946-1949*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007; YANG (Fenggang), *The Red, Black, and Gray Markets of Religion in China*, Sociological Quarterly, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2006, pp. 93-122; FEIGE (Edgar L.), *Defining and Estimating Underground and Informal Economies: The New Institutional Economics Approach*, World Development, Vol. 18, No. 7, 2003, pp. 989-1002; KARP (Aaron), *The Rise of Black and Gray Markets*, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 535, 1994, pp. 175-189; PALMETER (N. David), *Gray Market Imports: No Black and White Answer*, Journal of World Trade, Vol. 22, No. 5, 1988, pp. 89-92; BENSON (Bruce L.), *A Note on Corruption by Public Officials: The Black Market for Property Rights*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1981, pp. 305-31; CLINARD (Marshall Barron), *The Black Market: A Study of White Collar Crime*, Montclair: Patterson Smith, 1969.

⁷⁴³ KONKIN III (Samuel Edward), *New Libertarian Manifesto*, op. cit.

⁷⁴⁴ Concerning this problem, see also MCALLISTER (Jon), *Opinion: Rich Kids Flash Fortune on Instagram with Little Concern*, Extreme Egocentricity, Lantern, Nov. 20, 2014; *Eritrea: Courageous People, Sadly Led by a Spineless Dictator*, Asmarino Independent, Nov. 29, 2014; POKHAREL (Sugam), *Nepal's Organ Trail: How Traffickers Steal Kidneys*, CNN, June 30, 2014; LEITNER (Tammy) & CAPITANINI (Lisa), *Market for Black Market Organs Expands*, NBC Chicago, May 19, 2014; *Canadians Desperate for Transplants Turn to Illegal Organ Trade*, CTV News, June 1, 2013; KAMALI DEHGHAN (Saeed), *Kidneys for Sale: Poor Iranians Compete to Sell Their Organs*, Guardian, May 27, 2012; SATEL (Sally) & CHAPMAN (Jeremy), *Is It Ever Right to Buy or Sell Human Organs?*, New Internationalist Magazine, No. 436, Oct. 1, 2010.

⁷⁴⁵ GOODWIN (Michele), *Black Markets*, op. cit., p. i.

⁷⁴⁶ For example, see KIM (Younhee) & PRICE (Byron), *Revisiting Prison Privatization: An Examination of the Magnitude of Prison Privatization*, Administration and Society, Vol. 46, No. 3, 2014, pp. 255-275; PELAEZ (Vicky), *The Prison Industry in the United States: Big Business or a New Form of Slavery?*, Global Research, Mar. 31, 2014; PRICE (Byron Eugene) & MORRIS (John C.) (ed.), *Prison Privatization: The Many Facets of a Controversial Industry*, Santa Barbara: Praeger, 2012; FRIEDMANN (Alex), *The Societal Impact of the Prison Industrial Complex, or Incarceration for Fun and Profit – Mostly Profit*, Prison Legal News, Jan. 15, 2012; KHALEK (Rania), *How Private Prisons Game the System*, Salon, Dec. 1, 2011; BARAK-EREZ (Daphne), *The Private Prison Controversy and the Privatization Continuum*, Law and Ethics of Human Rights, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2011, pp. 138-157; LUNDAHL (Brad W.) ET AL., *Prison Privatization: A Meta-Analysis of Cost and Quality of Confinement Indicators*, Research on Social Work Practice, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2009, pp. 383-394; GOLDBERG (Eve) & EVANS (Linda), *The Prison-Industrial Complex and the Global Economy*, Oakland: PM Press, 2009; SHEFER (Guy) & LIEBLING (Alison), *Prison Privatization: In Search of a Business-Like Atmosphere?*, Criminology and Criminal Justice, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2008, pp. 261-278; LUKEMEYER (Anna) & MCCORKLE (Richard C.), *Privatization of Prisons: Impact on Prison Conditions*, American Review of Public Administration, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2006, pp. 189-206; AMAN (Alfred C.), *Privatization, Prisons, Democracy, and Human Rights: The Need to Extend the Province of Administrative Law*, Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2005, pp. 511-550; SUDBURY (Julia) (ed.), *Global Lockdown: Race, Gender, and the Prison-Industrial Complex*, New York: Routledge, 2005; CULP (Richard), *The Rise and Stall of Prison Privatization: An Integration of Policy Analysis Perspectives*, Criminal Justice Policy Review, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2005, pp. 412-442; COYLE (Andrew) ET AL. (ed.), *Capitalist Punishment: Prison Privatization and Human Rights*, foreword by Nigel Rodley, Atlanta: Clarity Press, 2003; JOHNSTON (Van R.), *Privatization of Prisons: Management, Productivity, and Governance Concerns*, Public Productivity and Management Review, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1990, pp. 189-201.

⁷⁴⁷ LEE (J. Roger) & WOLLAN, JR. (Laurin A.), *The Libertarian Prison: Principles of Laissez-Faire Incarceration*, Prison Journal, Vol. 65, No. 2, 1985, pp. 108, 110, 112-114.

⁷⁴⁸ In this regard, see PELAEZ (Vicky), *The Prison Industry in the United States*, op. cit.; FLOUNDERS (Sara), *The Pentagon and Slave Labor in U.S. Prisons*, Global Research, Feb. 4, 2013; BLACKMON (Douglas A.), *Slavery by Another Name: The Re-Enslavement of Black Americans from the Civil War to World War Two*, London: Icon Books, 2012; WALSHE (Sadhbh), *How US Prison Labour Pads Corporate Profits at Taxpayers' Expense*, Guardian, July 6, 2012; KHALEK (Rania), *21st-Century Slaves: How Corporations Exploit Prison Labor*, AlterNet, July 21, 2011; THOMPSON (Heather Ann), *African Americans' Forced Labor*, Solidarity News, 2010; BROWNE (Jaron), *Rooted in Slavery: Prison Labor Exploitation, Race, Poverty and the Environment*, 2007, pp. 42-44; SUDBURY (Julia) (ed.), *Global Lockdown*, op. cit.; GILMORE (Kim), *Slavery and Prison: Understanding the Connections*, Social Justice, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2000, pp. 195-205; WESTERN (Bruce) & BECKETT (Katherine), *How Unregulated Is*

the U.S. Labor Market? *The Penal System as a Labor Market Institution*, American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 104, No. 4, 1999, pp. 1030-1060.

749 LEE (J. Roger) & WOLLAN, JR. (Laurin A.), *The Libertarian Prison*, op. cit., pp. 115-118.

750 See TANNEHILL (Morris and Linda), *The Market for Liberty*, op. cit.; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 34.

751 See HAREL (Alon), *Why Only the State May Inflict Criminal Sanctions*, op. cit.

752 In this case, see BLANCHARD (Kathryn D.) & O'BRIEN (Kevin J.), *Prophets Meet Profits*, op. cit.; WALSHE (Garvan), *Green Libertarianism*, Ethical Theory and Moral Practice, Vol. 17, No. 5, 2014, pp. 955-970; DRAKE (Brian Allen), *Loving Nature, Fearing the State: Environmentalism and Antigovernment Politics before Reagan*, foreword by William Cronon, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 2013; CHARTIER (Gary) & JOHNSON (Charles W.) (ed.), op. cit.; DAWSON (Graham), *Free Markets, Property Rights and Climate Change: How to Privatize Climate Policy*, Libertarian Papers, Vol. 3, 2011, Art. 10; ELKIN (Gary), *Capitalism, Right Libertarianism and the Problem of "Externalities?"*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1079 ff.; STROUP (Richard L.), *Free-Market Environmentalism*, Library of Economics and Liberty, 2008; CLARK (John P.), *Introduction*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., pp. 365-369; ANDERSON (Terry L.) & LEAL (Donald R.), *Free-Market Versus Political Environmentalism*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., pp. 409-418; ANDERSON (Terry L.) & LEAL (Donald R.), *Free-Market Environmentalism*, New York: St. Martin's Press and Palgrave, 2001; PARTRIDGE (Ernest), *With Liberty for Some: A Liberal Critique of Libertarian Environmental Policy*, in ZIMMERMAN (Michael E.) ET AL., *Environmental Philosophy*, op. cit., pp. 430-449; MACHAN (Tibor Richard), *Putting Humans First: Why We are Nature's Favorite*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2004; STROUP (Richard L.), *Eco-Nomics: What Everyone Should Know about Economics and the Environment*, Washington, D.C.: Cato Institute, 2003; BEDER (Sharon), *Research Note: Neoliberal Think Tanks and Free Market Environmentalism*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 10, No. 2, 2001, pp. 128-133; ARORA (Swarnjit S.) ET AL., *Can Free-Market Environmentalism Be Mainstream? Teachers' Attitudes about Economic Perspectives on the Environment*, Social Studies, Vol. 91, No. 5, 2000, pp. 232-234; PENNINGTON (Mark), *Free Market Environmentalism and the Limits of Land Use Planning*, Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1999, pp. 43-59; HAMOWY (Ronald), *Some Comments on the Rhetoric of the Environmental Movement*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1996, pp. 161-177; MACSAORSA (Iain), *Ecology or "Anarcho"-Capitalism?*, Anarchist Library, 1995; SMITH (Tony), *The Case against Free Market Environmentalism*, Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1995, pp. 126-144; NARVESON (Jan), *The Case for Free Market Environmentalism*, Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 1995, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 145-156; JEFFREYS (Kent), *Free-Market Environmentalism: Can it Save the Planet?*, Economic Affairs, Vol. 14, No. 3, 1994, pp. 6-9; SHAW (Jane S.), *Real People Prefer Free-Market Environmentalism: Reply to Friedman*, Critical Review, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1994, pp. 475-482; FORGAS (Joseph P.) & JOLLIFFE (Chris D.), *How Conservative Are Greenies? Environmental Attitudes, Conservatism, and Traditional Morality among University Students*, Australian Journal of Psychology, Vol. 46, No. 3, 1994, pp. 123-130; ECKERSLEY (Robyn), *Free Market Environmentalism: Friend or Foe?*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1993, pp. 1-19; JACOBS (Michael), *'Free Market Environmentalism': A Response to Eckersley*, Environmental Politics, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1993, pp. 238-241; WEALE (Albert), *Nature versus the State? Markets, States, and Environmental Protection*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 153-170; DALY (Herman E.), *Free-Market Environmentalism: Turning a Good Servant into a Bad Master*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 171-183; HEYNE (Paul), *For the Common Good?*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 185-209; SAGOFF (Mark), *Free-Market Versus Political Libertarianism*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 211-230; YEAGER (Peter C.), *The Politics of Efficiencies, the Efficiencies of Politics: States vs. Markets in Environmental Protection*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 231-253; FRIEDMAN (Jeffrey), *Politics or Scholarship?*, Critical Review, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, 1992, pp. 429-445; SULLIVAN (Dan), *Greens and Libertarians: The Yin and Yang of our Political Future*, Green Revolution, Vol. 49, No. 2, 1992; BLOCK (Walter Edward) (ed.), *Economics and the Environment: A Reconciliation*, Vancouver: Fraser Institute, 1990.

753 SMITH (Tony), *The Case against Free Market Environmentalism*, op. cit., p. 126.

754 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *The Logic of Action II*, op. cit., p. 145.

755 Ibid., pp. 131, 141-142, 152.

756 Ibid., pp. 157-158, 162, 165, 168. See also ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., *National Defense*, pp. 260-268.

757 FRIEDMAN (David Director), *The Machinery of Freedom*, op. cit.

758 CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit., pp. 185, 303-306, 313, 315-318.

759 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., p. 219.

-
- 760 Professor Nozick could have a similar opinion. See NOZICK (Robert), *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*, op. cit.; OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), op. cit.
- 761 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., p. 1054.
- 762 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 221-222.
- 763 Ibid., pp. 226-227, 233.
- 764 Ibid., p. 223.
- 765 Ibid., pp. 229-231.
- 766 Ibid., pp. 239-242.
- 767 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, op. cit., p. 67.
- 768 HASNAS (John), *The Myth of the Rule of Law*, Wisconsin Law Review, No. 1, 1995, p. 227.
- 769 STRINGHAM (Edward Peter), *Market Chosen Law*, op. cit., p. 53-54, 56, 60, 64-65, 75.
- 770 For example, see WHITE (Stuart) ET AL., *A Democratic Case for the Free Market?*, Open Democracy, Dec. 18, 2012; EDSALL (Thomas Byrne), *Is This the End of Market Democracy?*, New York Times, Feb. 19, 2012; BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Review of The Myth of the Rational Voter: Why Democracies Choose Bad Policies by Bryan Caplan*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2011, pp. 689-718; SHIVA (Vandana), *The Lies of Free Market Democracy*, op. cit.; PANAYOTAKIS (Costas), *Remaking Scarcity from Capitalist Inefficiency to Economic Democracy*, New York: Pluto Press, 2011; MCDERMOTT (John F. M.), *Restoring Democracy to America: How to Free Markets and Politics from the Corporate Culture of Business and Government*, University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2010; CAPLAN (Bryan), *The Myth of the Rational Voter: Why Democracies Choose Bad Policies*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007; MACLEOD (Alistair M.), *Free Markets and Democracy: Clashing Ideals in a Globalizing World?*, Journal of Social Philosophy, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2006, pp. 139-162; AZNAR (Jose Maria), *Dignity, Democracy, and the Free Market*, Religion and Liberty, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2006, pp. 6-7; KURTZ (Marcus J.), *Free Market Democracy and the Chilean and Mexican Countryside*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004; MINATI (Gianfranco), *Buying Consensus in "Free" Markets: The End of Democracy?*, World Futures, Vol. 60, No. 1-2, 2004, pp. 29-37; MCMENAMIN (Iain), *Varieties of Capitalist Democracy: What Difference Does East-Central Europe Make?*, Journal of Public Policy, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2004, pp. 259-274; CHUA (Amy L.), *World on Fire: How Exporting Free Market Democracy Breeds Ethnic Hatred and Global Instability*, New York: Doubleday, 2003; CHUA (Amy L.), *Markets, Democracy, and Ethnic Conflict*, American Society of International Law Proceedings, Vol. 93, 1999, pp. 1-5; PANYARACHUN (Kunan Anand), *Democracy and Free Markets*, Asia Society, Nov. 26, 1999; MCCHESENEY (Robert Waterman), *Noam Chomsky and the Struggle against Neoliberalism*, Monthly Review, Apr. 1, 1999; NYAMU (Celestine I.) & APONTE-TORO (Roberto P.), *Human Rights, Democracy and Free Markets: Is It a Package?*, American Society of International Law Proceedings, Vol. 93, 1999, pp. 121-125; RHEE (Yong Pil), *Functional Linkage between Democracy and Market in Capitalist Systems*, Systems Research and Behavioral Science, Vol. 14, No. 6, 1997, pp. 385-391; GOTTFRIED (Paul Edward), *Liberalism versus Democracy*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1996, pp. 233-255; GAMER (Robert E.), *Free-Market Capitalism and Democracy as Ideological Filters in World Press Reporting*, European Legacy, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1996, pp. 1652-1657; JOSEPH (Antoine), *Pathways to Capitalist Democracy: What Prevents Social Democracy?*, British Journal of Sociology, Vol. 45, No. 2, 1994, pp. 211-234; NOVAK (Michael), *The Catholic Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*, New York: Free Press, 1993; BOWLES (Samuel) & GINTIS (Herbert), *Democracy and Capitalism: Property, Community and the Contradictions of Modern Social Thought*, New York: Basic Books, 1987.
- 771 NEAL (Dave), *Anarchism: Ideology or Methodology?*, Anarchist Library, 1997, p. 3.
- 772 KUHN (Gabriel), *The Meaning of Anarchism*, Anarchist Library, 2011, p. 13.
- 773 WIECK (David), *The Negativity of Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 22.
- 774 WIECK (David), *Anarchist Justice*, Anarchist Library, 1978, p. 3.
- 775 BARRY (Brian), *Anarchy, State and Utopia by Robert Nozick*, Political Theory, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1975, pp. 334. See also TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., p. 62.
- 776 CHUA (Amy L.), *Markets, Democracy, and Ethnic Conflict*, op. cit., p. 1.
- 777 MINATI (Gianfranco), *Buying Consensus in "Free" Markets*, op. cit., p. 29.
- 778 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 59-65.

- 779 MACSAORSA (Iain), *Is "Anarcho" Capitalism against the State?*, Anarchist Library, 2009, p. 6. About the critiques of libertarianism, see also MACSAORSA (Iain), *The Myths of "Libertarian" Economics*, op. cit.; MACSAORSA (Iain), *Ecology or "Anarcho"-Capitalism?*, op. cit.; HUBEN (Mike), *Critiques of Libertarianism*, May 27, 2014; HUBEN (Mike), *Liberal Criticisms*, STD, Nov. 20, 2010; HUBEN (Mike), *Left-Libertarian and Anarchist Criticism*, STD, Oct. 25, 2007; PRICE (Wayne), *The Platypus Questions on Marxism and Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 6.; PRICE (Wayne), *Are Republican "Libertarians" Anarchists?*, Anarchist Library, 2013, pp. 7-8; OSTROWSKI (Marius S.), op. cit.; ICONOCLASTA FOR CREATIVE INDIVIDUALIST ANARCHISM, *El Individualismo Eclético*, op. cit.; ECHO, *Rejectionary Anarchist Critiques*, op. cit.; WILSON (Chris), *How a Libertarian Capitalist Became a Libertarian Socialist*, Anarchist Library, 2012; GRAHAM (Robert), *The General Idea of Proudhon's Revolution*, op. cit.; DAIBHIDH, *Anarcho-Hucksters*, op. cit.; KLAFFETA (Lance), *Ayn Rand and the perversion of libertarianism*, Anarchist Library, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., pp. 1188-1588, 2855-3167; FERNÁNDEZ (Frank), *Cuban Anarchism*, op. cit.; MELTZER (Albert), *Anarchism*, op. cit., especially p. 40; BLACK (Bob), *Anarchy after Leftism*, op. cit., p. 37; WIECK (David), *Anarchist Justice*, op. cit.
- 780 Even Professor Rothbard has himself found out that "*Karl Marx's ringing promise applies far more to a libertarian society than to communism: In trying freedom, in abolishing the State, we have nothing to lose and everything to gain.*" ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., p. 242.
- 781 Two scholars have accordingly argued in a critical Marxian manner, since over-accumulation results in "a coordination failure – the anarchy of the market – where the individual rationality of each capitalist pushes them to invest in new facilities and technologies while the aggregate result is an excessive build-up of capital stock in production. (...) There is thus a need not only to confront politically, capitalist vested interests but also to address the institutional problem of cross-period and cross-sector coordination, i.e. the very problem of anarchic coordination of economic activities under capitalism." DURAND (Cédric) & LÉGÉ (Philippe), *Over-Accumulation, Rising Costs and 'Unproductive' Labor: The Relevance of the Classic Stationary State Issue for Developed Countries*, *Review of Radical Political Economics*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2014, pp. 41, 45.
- 782 HETER (Storm), *Sartre's Political Philosophy*, Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Sep. 26, 2006.
- 783 CAMPBELL (Gerard T.), *Sartre's Absolute Freedom*, *Laval Théologique et Philosophique*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 1977, p. 85. About Sartre's hyper emphasis on "individual responsibility", see also *Existentialism and Jean-Paul Sartre: Sartre and Kant on Ethics*, School Worker Helper, 2013; *Jean-Paul Sartre (1905-1980)*, SparkNotes, 2013; FLYNN (Thomas), *Jean-Paul Sartre*, Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Apr. 22, 2004; ZUNJIC (Bob), *Jean Paul Sartre: The Humanism of Existentialism II*, University of Rhode Island, 1946.
- 784 CAMPBELL (Gerard T.), *Sartre's Absolute Freedom*, op. cit., p. 85.
- 785 HELLMER (Ellennita Muetze), *Establishing Government Accountability in the Anti-Sweat Shop Campaign: Toward a Logical, Activist Approach to Improving the Working Conditions of the Poor*, *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2005, p. 34.
- 786 HELLMER (Ellennita Muetze), *Establishing Government Accountability*, op. cit., pp. 45-46. See also LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Contrarians in Conflict! Anarchists in Abundance!*, *Mises Daily*, Oct. 22, 2005.
- 787 BLOCK (Walter Edward), *Protest! (For no Good Reason)*, *Free Market*, Vol. 18, No. 5, 2000.
- 788 In this case, see BAROUDI (Sami E.), *The Islamic Realism of Sheikh Yusuf Qaradawi (1926-) and Sayyid Mohammad Hussein Fadlallah (1935-2010)*, op. cit.; BUTT (Ahsan I.), *Anarchy and Hierarchy in International Relations: Examining South America's War-Prone Decade, 1932-41*, *International Organization*, Vol. 67, No. 3, 2013, pp. 575-607; MACKAY (Joseph), *International Politics in Eighteenth-and Nineteenth-Century Central Asia: Beyond Anarchy in International-Relations Theory*, *Central Asian Survey*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2013, pp. 210-224; PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, op. cit.; PRICHARD (Alex), *Introduction: Anarchism and World Politics*, *Millennium*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2012, pp. 373-380; PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, op. cit.; PRICHARD (Alex), *Anarchy, Anarchism and International Relations*, in KINNA (Ruth) (ed.), *The Continuum Companion to Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 96-108; KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012; BULL (Hedley), *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*, forewords by Stanley Hoffmann and Andrew, 4th ed., New York: Columbia University Press, 2012; KASHEFI (Sirus), *A Critical Look at the State*, op. cit., p. 21; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 16-17; SCHEMO (Diana Jean), *Imagining an Alternative to State-Eat-State*, *Remapping Debate*, Feb. 9, 2011; HOLMES (Marcus), *Something Old, Something New, Something Borrowed: Rerepresentations of Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2011, pp. 279-308; EDMONDSON (Aimee) & DAVIS (Charles N.), *"Prisoners" of Private Industry: Economic Development and State Sunshine Laws*, *Communication Law and Policy*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2011, p. 317; LEVY (Carl), *Anarchism and Cosmopolitanism*, op. cit.; FALK (Richard Anderson), *Anarchism without 'Anarchism': Searching for Progressive Politics in*

the *Early 21st Century*, Millennium, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 381-398; FALK (Richard Anderson), *Anarchism and World Order*, op. cit.; GOODWIN (Adam), *Evolution and Anarchism in International Relations: The Challenge of Kropotkin's Biological Ontology*, Millennium, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 417-437; SHUKAITIS (Stephen), *Citizenship Despite the State? Imagination, Incorporation, and the Evolution of the Global Sphere*, Perspectives on Anarchist Theory, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2007, pp. 78-83; CROVELLI (Mark R.), *Toward an A Priori Theory of International Relations*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2007, pp. 101-21; DONNELLY (Jack), *Sovereign Inequalities and Hierarchy in Anarchy: American Power and International Society*, European Journal of International Relations, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2006, pp. 139-170; LU (Catherine), *Cosmopolitan Liberalism and the Faces of Injustice in International Relations*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 31, No. 2, 2005, p. 401; STROMBERG (Joseph R.), *Sovereignty, International Law, and the Triumph of Anglo-American Cunning*, Journal of Libertarian Studies, Vol. 18, No. 4, 2004, pp. 29-93; MÜLLERSON (Rein), *Anarchophilia, Hegemony, and International Law*, Anuario Mexicano de Derecho Internacional, No. 4, 2004, pp. 205-248; MÜLLERSON (Rein), *Ordering Anarchy: International Law in International Society*, The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 2000; DUNNE (Tim), *Society and Hierarchy in International Relations*, International Relations, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2003, pp. 303-320; WENDT (Alexander), *Why a World State Is Inevitable*, European Journal of International Relations, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2003, pp. 491-542; WENDT (Alexander), *Social Theory of International Politics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999; WENDT (Alexander), *Anarchy Is what States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics*, International Organization, Vol. 46, No. 2, 1992, pp. 391-425; STERLING-FOLKER (Jennifer), *Theories of International Cooperation and the Primacy of Anarchy: Explaining U.S. International Policy-Making After Bretton Woods*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 2002; SNYDER (Jack L.), *Anarchy and Culture: Insights from the Anthropology of War*, International Organization, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2002, pp. 7-45; DONNELLY (Jack), *Realism and International Relations*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000; BARKIN (Samuel) & SHAMBAUGH (George E.) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Environment: The International Relations of Common Pool Resources*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999; BEITZ (Charles R.), *Political Theory and International Relations*, 2nd ed., Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999, p. 186; SCHMIDT (Brian C.), *The Political Discourse of Anarchy: A Disciplinary History of International Relations*, Albany: State University of New York Press, 1998; HSIUNG (James Chieh), *Anarchy and Order: The Interplay of Politics and Law in International Relations*, Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1997; LIESHOUT (Robert H.), *Between Anarchy and Hierarchy: A Theory of International Politics and Foreign Policy*, Aldershot: Edward Elgar, 1996; BROWN (Michael E.) ET AL. (ed.), *Perils of Anarchy: Contemporary Realism and International Security*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1995; MERCER (Jonathan), *Anarchy and Identity*, International Organization, Vol. 49, No. 2, 1995, p. 229; BALDWIN (David A.), *Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate*, International Organization, Vol. 48, No. 2, 1994, pp. 313-344; POWELL (Robert), *Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate*, International Organization, Vol. 48, No. 2, 1994, pp. 313-344; POWELL (Robert), *Guns, Butter, and Anarchy*, American Political Science Review, Vol. 87, No. 1, 1993, pp. 115-132; LIPSCHUTZ (Ronnie D.), *Reconstructing World Politics: The Emergence of Global Civil Society*, Millennium, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1992, pp. 389-420; MILNER (Helen), *The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique*, Review of International Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1991, pp. 67-85; GEORGE (Jim) & CAMPBELL (David), *Patterns of Dissent and the Celebration of Difference: Critical Social Theory and International Relations*, International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1990, pp. 269-293; DERIAN (James der), *The (S)pace of International Relations: Simulation, Surveillance, and Speed*, International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1990, pp. 295-310; ASHLEY (Richard K.) & WALKER (Rob B. J.), *Conclusion: Reading Dissidence/Writing the Discipline: Crisis and the Question of Sovereignty*, International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1990, pp. 367-416; ASHLEY (Richard K.), *Untying the Sovereign State: A Double Reading of the Anarchy Problematique*, Millennium, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1988, pp. 227-262; OYE (Kenneth A.) (ed.), *Cooperation Under Anarchy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986; BATY (Thomas), *Can an Anarchy Be a State?*, American Journal of International Law, Vol. 28, No. 3, 1934, pp. 444-455; DICKINSON (G. Lowes), *The European Anarchy*, New York: Macmillan, 1916.

789 READ (Herbert), *The Paradox of Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 6.

790 HEDGECOCK (Andrew), *Introduction*, Raven, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1991, p. 12.

791 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., p. 654.

792 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 155.

793 About this concept, see ALLEN (Craig) ET AL., *Panarchy: Theory and Application*, Ecosystems, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2014, pp. 578-589; STOVAL (Mark), *Anarchy, Miniarchy, Panarchy, Society, and the Individual*, On the Mark, June 16, 2013; BONNEAU (Paul), *Panarchy, Not Anarchy, Is the Answer*, Strike The Root, Jan. 8, 2013; *Panarchy and Anarcho-Syndicalism*, Libcom, May 8, 2012; *Anarchy and Panarchy*, Anarchist Writers, Feb. 18, 2009; GUNDERSON (Lance H.) & HOLLING (Crawford Stanley) (ed.), *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*, Washington: Island Press, 2002; ZUBE (John), *Anarchy, Panarchy, and Statism*, Panarchy, 1986.

794 See ADAMS (Jason M.), *Non-Western Anarchisms*, op. cit.

795 For example, see SCHEUERMANN (William E.), *Cosmopolitanism and the World State*, *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2014, pp. 419-441; TINNEVELT (Ronald), *Federal World Government*, op. cit.; TINNEVELT (Ronald), *Does a World State really Lead to a Graveyard of Freedom?*, in BAILLIET (Cecilia M.) & AAS (Katja Franko) (ed.), *Cosmopolitan Justice and Its Discontents*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2011, pp. 29-49; TINNEVELT (Ronald) & MERTENS (Thomas), *The World State: A Forbidding Nightmare of Tyranny? Habermas on the Institutional Implications of Moral Cosmopolitanism*, *German Law Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2009, pp. 63-80; CONVERSE (Raymond W.), *World Government: Utopian Dream or Current Reality?*, New York: Agora, 2010; BABIĆ (Jovan) & BOJANIĆ (Petar) (ed.), *World Governance: Do We Need It, Is It Possible, What Could It (All) Mean?*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars, 2010; WEISS (Thomas G.), *What Happened to the Idea of World Government*, *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 53, No. 2, 2009, pp. 253-271; MOSHIRIAN (Fariborz), *The Significance of a World Government in the Process of Globalization in the 21st Century*, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 32, No. 8, 2008, pp. 1432-1439; ARCHIBUGI (Daniele), *The Global Commonwealth of Citizens: Toward Cosmopolitan Democracy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008; YUNKER (James A.), *Political Globalization: A New Vision of Federal World Government*, Lanham: University Press of America, 2007; YUNKER (James A.), *Rethinking World Government: A New Approach*, *International Journal on World Peace*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2000, pp. 3-33; YUNKER (James A.), *Federal World Government at the Dawn of the Third Millennium: Old Challenges and New Opportunities*, *World Futures*, Vol. 56, No. 1, 2000, pp. 41-106; CABRERA (Luis), *Political Theory of Global Justice: A Cosmopolitan Case for the World State*, London: Routledge, 2004; WENDT (Alexander), *Why a World State Is Inevitable*, op. cit.; SEGESVARY (Victor), *World State, Nation States, or Non-Centralized Institutions?: A Vision of the Future in Politics*, Lanham: University Press of America, 2003; HEATER (Derek Benjamin), *World Citizenship and Government: Cosmopolitan Ideas in the History of Western Political Thought*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1996; KAVKA (Gregory), *Nuclear Weapons and World Government*, *Monist*, Vol. 70, No. 3, 1987, pp. 298-315; DAVIS (Garry), *World Government: Ready or Not!*, Sorrento: Juniper Ledge, 1984; ZACHARIAS (Hans Conrad Ernst), *World Conference, World Domination or World Government?*, *New Blackfriars*, Vol. 19, No. 220, 1938, pp. 495-508; HOSS (George W.), *A World-Government – World Peace*, *Advocate of Peace*, Vol. 67, No. 2, 1905, pp. 39-41.

796 TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 167-168.

797 See HONEYWELL (Carissa), *A British Anarchist Tradition*, op. cit., pp. 5-6, 12.

798 PREPARATA (Guido), *Of Money, Heresy, and Surrender: Part I: The Ways of Our System, an Outline, from Bretton Woods to the Financial Slump of 2008*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, p. 35.

799 KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, op. cit., p. 1.

800 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Anatomy of the State*, op. cit., p. 47.

801 See also GORDON (David), *The Essential Rothbard*, Auburn: Ludwig von Mises Institute, 2007; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *A Future of Peace and Capitalism*, op. cit.; ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt Against Nature, and Other Essays*, op. cit., pp. 115-132.

802 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *For a New Liberty*, op. cit., *National Defense*, pp. 243-244, 246.

803 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Man, Economy, and State with Power and Market*, op. cit., p. 1050.

804 LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Defending a Free Nation*, in STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit., pp. 151-157, 160-161. See also LONG (Roderick Tracy), *Libertarian Anarchism Responses to Ten Objections*, op. cit.

805 CHARTIER (Gary), *Anarchy and Legal Order*, op. cit., pp. 235, 375-376.

806 *Ibid.*, pp. 236-240.

807 ROTHBARD (Murray Newton), *Egalitarianism as a Revolt Against Nature, and Other Essays*, op. cit., p. 126.

808 FRIEDMAN (David Director), *The Machinery of Freedom*, op. cit.

809 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Fallacies of the Public Goods Theory and the Production of Security*, op. cit., p. 39.

810 About the rightist alternatives on an international scale, see also COYNE (Christopher J.) ET AL., *A Note on the Market Provision of National Defense*, op. cit.; SCHROEDER (Alex), *National Defense on the Free Market*, *Strike The Root*, May 3, 2010; HUMMEL (Jeffrey Rogers) & LAVOIE (Don), *National Defense and the Public-Goods Problem*, in STRINGHAM (Edward Peter) (ed.), *Anarchy and the Law*, op. cit., pp. 127-148; HUMMEL (Jeffrey Rogers), *National Goods Versus Public Goods*, op. cit.; PRESTON (Keith), *An Anarchist National Defense and Foreign Policy*, op. cit.; HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *The Private Production of Defense*, op. cit.; ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit.

-
- 811 STROMBERG (Joseph R.), *Mercenaries, Guerrillas, Militias, and the Defense of Minimal States and Free Societies*, op. cit., p. 237.
- 812 HOPPE (Hans-Hermann), *Government and the Private Production of Defense*, in HOPPE (Hans-Hermann) (ed.), *The Myth of National Defense*, op. cit., pp. 338, 352, 356, 350-360, 367-368.
- 813 HÜLSMANN (Jörg Guido), *Secession and the Production of Defense*, op. cit., pp. 370, 372, 413.
- 814 BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *Anarchism and the National Liberation Struggle*, Anarchist Library, 1976, p. 9.
- 815 KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, op. cit., p. 74.
- 816 MAXIMOFF (Gregory Petrovich), *My Social Credo*, op. cit., p. 10.
- 817 Concerning the leftist alternatives in international anarchism, see also KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Contesting the State of Nature: Anarchism and International Relations*, in LEVY (Carl) & NEWMAN (Saul) (ed.), *The Anarchist Imagination: Anarchism Encounters the Humanities and Social Sciences*, London: Routledge, 2014; LAQUA (Daniel), *Freethinkers, Anarchists and Francisco Ferrer: The Making of a Transnational Solidarity Campaign*, *European Review of History*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 2014, pp. 467-484; ALLAIN (Jean), *Anarchy and International Law: The Approaches of Hedley Bull and Noam Chomsky*, Anarchist Studies Network, May 17, 2013; PARK (Mi), *Imagining a Just and Sustainable Society*, op. cit.; AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 119-143, 147; BERRY (David) & BANTMAN (Constance) (ed.), *New Perspectives on Anarchism, Labour and Syndicalism*, op. cit.; ROSSDALE (Chris), *Anarchy Is What Anarchists Make of It: Reclaiming the Concept of Agency in IR and Security Studies*, *Millennium*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 483-501; CUDWORTH (Erika) & HOBDEN (Stephen), *Anarchy and Anarchism: Towards a Theory of Complex International Systems*, *Millennium*, Vol. 39, No. 2, 2010, pp. 399-416; BAMYEH (Mohammed A.), *Anarchy as Order: The History and Future of Civic Humanity*, Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield, 2009; MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit.; SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit.; CARTER (April), *The Political Theory of Global Citizenship*, op. cit.; BARSKY (Robert Franklin), *Noam Chomsky and the Law*, Chomsky.info, 1999; *Economics and Anarchism, Anarchism and Federalism*, *Raven*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1995; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *For an Anti-Authoritarian Insurrectionalist International*, op. cit.; BONANNO (Alfredo Maria), *More on Internationalism*, Anarchist Library, 1988; CIPKO (Serge), *Mikhail Bakunin and the National Question*, *Raven*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1990, pp. 3-14.
- 818 KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, op. cit., pp. 9-10, 123, 139-140, 147-148.
- 819 CHAMBOST (Anne-Sophie), *Proudhon et la Norme*, op. cit.; AZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, op. cit., p. 45.
- 820 PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, op. cit., p. 116. See PROUDHON (Pierre-Joseph), *La Guerre et la Paix: Recherches sur le Principe et la Constitution des Droits des Gens*, Vol. I, Paris: Tops, 1998, p. 52.
- 821 See PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 53, 64; WILBUR (Shawn P.), *Pierre-Joseph Proudhon: Self-Government and the Citizen-State*, *The Libertarian Labyrinth*, June 5, 2013; *Proudhon on Federalism and Slavery*, Anarchist Writers, Apr. 17, 2013; KASHEFI (Sirus), *Legal System in Proudhon's Ideas*, op. cit.; ANDERSON (Perry), *The New Old World*, London: Verso, 2009, p. 482; WARD (Colin), *The Anarchist Sociology of Federalism*, op. cit.; BROGAN (Denis William), *Proudhon*, op. cit., p. 65; WOODCOCK (George), *Pierre-Joseph Proudhon: A Bibliography*, Montreal: Black Rose Books, 1987, pp. xvi, 249; SIMKIN (John), *Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, *Historia Siglo 20*.
- 822 PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy*, op. cit., pp. 147-148. See also PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865)*, op. cit.
- 823 FONTANEL (Jacques) ET AL., *French Utopian Economists of the Nineteenth Century*, op. cit., pp. 347.
- 824 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., pp. 134, 136-138.
- 825 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 33-34.
- 826 KAZMI (Zaheer A.), *Polite Anarchy in International Relations Theory*, op. cit., p. 43.
- 827 PRICHARD (Alex), *Deepening Anarchism*, op. cit., pp. 31-32, 53.
- 828 MARSHALL (Peter), *Demanding the Impossible*, op. cit., pp. 420, 34-35. See also ROCKER (Rudolf), *Nationalism and Culture*, op. cit., pp. 553-554.
- 829 FALK (Richard Anderson), *Anarchism without 'Anarchism'*, op. cit., pp. 381, 386, 388.

-
- 830 Ibid., p. 388.
- 831 HEROD (James), *Getting Free*, op. cit., pp. 104-105, 118-119.
- 832 ANONYMOUS, *Environmental Anarchism in Vermont*, op. cit., p. 3.
- 833 WESTRA (Laura), *Virtue as Foundational for a Global Ethic*, in SANDLER (Ronald) & CAFARO (Philip) (ed.), *Environmental Virtue Ethics*, op. cit., pp. 79-91.
- 834 PRICHARD (Alex), *Justice, Order and Anarchy: The International Political Theory of Pierre-Joseph Proudhon*, op. cit., p. 69.
- 835 See BUCH-HANSEN (Hubert) & WIGGER (Angela), *Competition, the Global Crisis, and Alternatives to Neoliberal Capitalism*, op. cit.
- 836 ELTZBACHER (Paul), op. cit., p. 4.
- 837 DESCALLAR (R.), *Anarchism and Legal Rules*, in HOLTERMAN (Thomas) & MAARSEVEEN (Henc van) (ed.), op. cit., p. 194.
- 838 SCHMIDT (Michael) & WALT (Lucien van der), *Black Flame*, op. cit., pp. 69-70.
- 839 Ibid., pp. 70-71.
- 840 See WATSON (David), *Beyond Bookchin*, op. cit., p. 13; BOOKCHIN (Murray), *The Modern Crisis*, op. cit., pp. 15-16.
- 841 STONE (Matthew), *Law, Ethics and Levinas's Concept of Anarchy*, Australian Feminist Law Journal, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2011, p. 89.
- 842 About green technology, see MEIR (Isaac A.), *Constraints to Assets, Waste to Resources: Integrating Green Technologies in a Novel Pilot Project for Drylands*, International Journal of Sustainable Energy, Vol. 34, No. 3-4, 2015, pp. 154-165; TSHANGELA (Mapula), *Environmental Technology Assessment for Enhanced Green Economy Transition in South Africa*, International Journal of African Renaissance Studies, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2014, pp. 213-226; HAVEN (Kendall F.), *Green Electricity: 25 Green Technologies that Will Electrify Your Future*, Santa Barbara: Libraries Unlimited, 2011; U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, *Green Technology and Clean Manufacturing*, Washington, D.C.: United States Government Printing Office, 1994; RATH (Amitav) & HERBERT-COPLEY (Brent), *Green Technologies for Development: Transfer, Trade and Cooperation*, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 1993.
- 843 MCKAY (Iain) (ed.), *An Anarchist FAQ*, op. cit., p. 2903. See also PRICE (Wayne), *Malatesta's Anarchist Vision of Life after Capitalism*, Anarchist Library, 2006, p. 5.
- 844 MCELROY (Wendy), *The Debates of Liberty*, op. cit., pp. 17-18.
- 845 For example, see ARMSTRONG (James), *Religious Male Student Shouldn't Be Separated from Women at York U: Petition*, Global News, Jan. 9, 2014; SLAUGHTER (Graham), *York U Student's Refusal to Work with Women Sparks Rights Debate*, Toronto Star, Jan. 8, 2014; HOPPER (Tristin), *York University Professor Who Refused Student's Request to Be Separated from Female Classmates Broke 'Obligation to Accommodate': Officials*, National Post, Jan. 8, 2014; BRADSHAW (James), *Religious Accommodation or 'Accessory to Sexism'? York Student's Case Stirs Debate*, Globe and Mail, Jan. 8 2014.
- 846 PRICE (Wayne), *Religion and Revolution*, op. cit., p. 3.
- 847 For instance, I can mention La Boétie, Godwin, Proudhon, Spooner, Warren, Stirner, Bakunin, Tolstoy, Most, Molinari, Reclus, Kropotkin, Malatesta, Landauer, Benjamin Tucker, Goldman, de Cleyre, Berkman, Rocker, Guérin, Read, Bookchin, Zerzan, Chomsky, Rothbard, David Friedman, John P. Clark, May, Uri Gordon, Kinna, and Amster.
- 848 In this regard, Amster has himself noticed: "*Anarchism cannot solve all of our problems, but it does offer a way of looking at the world – and the people in it – as a complex system compromised of self-actualized units that are equally entitled to define the conditions of life and the course of history.*" AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., p. 162.
- 849 See ROCKWELL (Elsie) & VERA (Eugenia Roldán), *State Governance and Civil Society in Education: Revisiting the Relationship*, Paedagogica Historica, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2013, pp. 1-16.
- 850 See AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., p. 158; MUELLER (Tadzio), *Empowering Anarchy: Power, Hegemony and Anarchist Strategy*, in ROUSSELLE (Duane) & EVREN (Süreyya) (ed.), *Post-Anarchism*, op. cit., p. 81; BALDELLI (Giovanni), *Social Anarchism*, New York: Aldine-Atherton, 1971, p. 177.
- 851 GORDON (Uri), *Anarchism and Political Theory*, op. cit., p. 5. See also KERL (Eric), *Contemporary Anarchism*, International Socialist Review, No. 72, 2010.

852 For example, see CRIMETHINC., *Fighting for Our Lives: An Anarchist Primer*, 2000.

853 It should be interesting to notice that the imperialistic Governments (very particularly the Greek, British, French, and American Empires) have produced or developed a great part of our knowledge. Thanks to their wealth and freedom, their upper or middle classes have really monopolized academia, which is tirelessly preaching equality and justice to us. In this sense, such a paradox among many anarchists may be reasonable! The anarchists should not however be the only intellectuals in flagrant contradiction with their own theories. For some examples, see JUN (Nathan J.), *Paideia for Praxis: Philosophy and Pedagogy as Practices of Liberation*, in HAWORTH (Robert H.) (ed.), *Anarchist Pedagogies*, op. cit., pp. 286-289; JOHNSON (Paul), op. cit.; LENIN (Vladimir Ilyich), *Leo Tolstoy as the Mirror of the Russian Revolution*, *Proletary*, No. 35, Sep. 11 (24), 1908.

854 For example, see AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., pp. 165-166; ADAMS (Matthew S.), *Kropotkin: Evolution, Revolutionary Change and the End of History*, *Anarchist Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2011, pp. 56-81; SCHUSTER (Eunice M.), op. cit., pp. 109-110, 139; GOODWAY (David) (ed.), *Against Power and Death*, op. cit., pp. 82-83; *Dialectical Naturalism*, Wikipedia.

855 This means a communistic Stateless society after “the withering away of the State”. According to Popper, “*The theory of the withering away of the state is highly unrealistic,*” and “*it may have been adopted by Marx and Engels mainly in order to take the wind out of their rivals’ sail. The rivals I have in mind are Bakunin and the anarchists; Marx did not like to see anyone else’s radicalism outdoing his own.*” POPPER (Karl), *The Open Society and Its Enemies*, Vol. 2, op. cit., p. 328.

856 See HEGEL (Georg Wilhelm Friedrich), *The Philosophy of History*, prefaces by Charles Hegel, trans. by J. Sibree, Kitchener: Batoche Books, 2001; *Hegel on the End of History*, *International Political Theory*, Nov. 26, 2011; SMITH (Mick), *Against Ecological Sovereignty*, op. cit., pp. 82-83; BAARS (Gritje), “*Reform or Revolution?*”, op. cit., p. 431; COLLINS (Hugh), *Marxism and Law*, op. cit., pp. 100-115; CAIN (Maureen) & HUNT (Alan), *Marx and Engels on Law*, op. cit., pp. 158-159, 163-165; FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *The End of History*, *National Interest*, Summer 1989; FUKUYAMA (Yoshihiro Francis), *The End of History and the Last Man*, New York: Free Press, 1992; AMSTER (Randall), *Anarchism Today*, op. cit., p. 162.

857 BLACK (Donald), *The Behavior of Law*, op. cit., p. 137.

858 See TAYLOR (Michael), *Community, Anarchy and Liberty*, op. cit., p. 140.

859 TAMÁS (Gáspár Miklós), *Ethnarchy and Ethno-Anarchism*, *Social Research*, Vol. 63, No. 1, 1996, p. 147.

860 See KASHEFI (Sirus), *Kropotkin’s Anarchist Ideas about Criminal Law*, op. cit., pp. 134-135.