## Louisiana State University LSU Digital Commons

LSU Doctoral Dissertations

Graduate School

2009

# Environmental effects on adolescents exposed to hurricane Katrina

Karen Laslie Davidson Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool\_dissertations

Part of the Psychology Commons

#### Recommended Citation

Davidson, Karen Laslie, "Environmental effects on adolescents exposed to hurricane Katrina" (2009). LSU Doctoral Dissertations. 3868.

https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool\_dissertations/3868

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in LSU Doctoral Dissertations by an authorized graduate school editor of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contactgradetd@lsu.edu.

## ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON ADOLESCENTS EXPOSED TO HURRICANE KATRINA

#### A Dissertation

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in

The Department of Psychology

by
Karen Laslie Davidson
B.A., Colgate University, 2001
M.A. Louisiana State University, 2004
May 2009

## **Table of Contents**

LIST OF TABLES	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
METHOD	17
RESULTS	21
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	27
REFERENCES	32
APPENDIX A: DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE	41
APPENDIX B: HURRICANE RELATED TRAUMATIC EXPERIENCES (HURTE)	45
APPENDIX C: UCLA INDEX FOR DSM-IV	47
APPENDIX D: SAVE	49
APPENDIX E: ALABAMA PARENTING QUESTIONNAIRE	53
APPENDIX F: HARTER'S SOCIAL SUPPORT SCALE FOR CHILDREN	55
APPENDIX G: BASC	58
VITA	63

## **List of Tables**

1.	Correlation Matrix of the Control, Predictor, Moderator, and Criterion Variables	22
2.	Final Step of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Evaluating the Moderating Effects of Community Violence, Peer and Parent Support on Adolescent Reported Depression	25
3.	Final Step of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Evaluating the Moderating Effects of Community Violence, Peer and Parent Support on Adolescent Reported PTSD	26

#### Abstract

Adolescents in New Orleans were displaced by hurricane Katrina and returned to find their city devastated by this natural disaster. Although many studies have found a relationship between hurricane exposure and development of psychopathology, the current study evaluated this relationship within the context of protective and risk factors. Specifically, the current study evaluated whether community violence, parent support, and peer support moderated the relationship between hurricane exposure and adolescent PTSD or depression. Results found no relationship between hurricane exposure and adolescent depression. Although there was a main effect for hurricane exposure and PTSD, the proposed variables did not moderate this relationship. Results are discussed in the context of previous studies detailing the relationship between hurricane exposure and psychopathology as well as the impact that social support and community violence has on an adolescent's psychological functioning.

#### Introduction

Exposure to a natural disaster is associated with numerous psychological difficulties in children (Vernberg, LaGreca, Silverman, & Prinstein, 1996). However, not all children who experience a trauma develop psychopathology; some children are resilient to negative outcomes (Carr & Vandiver, 2001; Werner, 1984).

The destruction caused by Hurricane Katrina in August, 2005 was compounded by the difficulties already faced by many of the citizens of New Orleans. This was a city already ranked 5<sup>th</sup> poorest in the nation with over 28% of the population living below the poverty line (Sherman & Shapiro, 2005). These statistics, describing the inhabitants of New Orleans, have been shown in many studies to serve as risk factors, increasing the likelihood that children will suffer psychological trauma (Attar, Guerra, & Tolan, 1994). However, it is also important to elucidate what factors were present that may actually serve a protective role. Thus, the purpose of the current study is to examine specific risk and protective factors that moderate children's psychological functioning post hurricane Katrina.

This study specifically examined one major risk factor that urban adolescents are encountering on an increasing basis, community violence. Research has shown that adolescents exposed to higher levels of community violence have more internalizing and externalizing psychological and behavior problems (McCloskey et al., 2000). Protective factors studied were parent and peer support. After a hurricane, parental support appears more prevalent than other forms of support and has been shown to predict lower levels of PTSD (LaGreca et al., 1996). Although peer support and its role in mitigating the negative effects of a natural disaster has not been extensively studied,

research on peer support in general has found that it is associated with lower levels of behavior problems (Dubow & Tisak 1989).

The following review examines the scope of devastation caused by hurricane Katrina. It goes on to describe the challenges facing the children of New Orleans, with an emphasis on violence exposure, especially community violence. Children's resiliency is also discussed with an emphasis on specific protective and risk factors. Children's adjustment is then discussed in the context of hurricane exposure, detailing protective and risk factors on the subsequent development of PTSD and depression.

#### New Orleans: Before and After Hurricane Katrina

On August 29, 2005 Hurricane Katrina became one of the worst natural disasters to hit the shores of mainland United States. In New Orleans, Hurricane Katrina led to breaches in the levees, flooding nearly 80% of the city for weeks (Swensen & Marshal, 2006). The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has paid over \$6 billion to more than 950,000 individuals for housing and other needs, the most ever paid for a single natural disaster (FEMA, 2007). There are over 121,000 people living in trailers due to the total destruction or unliviability of their homes, over 59% of them in Louisiana (FEMA, 2007). More than 1,500 Louisiana citizens lost their lives. (Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, 2006).

As the city flooded, those who remained during the hurricane were forcibly evacuated. By September 4<sup>th</sup> the city was pretty much completely evacuated. It was not until mid-September that the city reopened to residents with only 1,700 children returning to schools between the 2006 and 2007 spring semesters (Jervis, 2007). Although 45% of New Orleans schools currently are open, many of the 25 schools

slated to open in the fall of 2007 still have essential repairs that need to be done (Jervis, 2007). As families returned to New Orleans, it became imperative to study how the youngest of those affected, the children, were fairing.

New Orleans is a city that, prior to hurricane Katrina, experienced much strife and challenge. Louisiana is the 2<sup>nd</sup> poorest state in the nation with over 19% of its inhabitants living below the poverty line (Sherman & Shapiro, 2005). In New Orleans, 28% of the inhabitants lived below the poverty line with families earning an average yearly income of \$31,369, and the city was ranked as the 5<sup>th</sup> poorest large city in the 2000 Census Bureau (Sherman & Shapiro, 2005). Prior to Katrina, only 58% of people over the age of 16 were working, well below the national average of 64%. With so few people working, 54% of New Orleans lived in rental property, again largely discrepant from the national average of 34% (US Census Bureau, 2006).

#### Neighborhood Disadvantage and Community Violence

These economic statistics are what Attar, Guerra, and Tolan (1994) called "neighborhood disadvantage." They defined "neighborhood disadvantage" as community level stressors that increase the risk of children to face more severe adjustment problems, including emotional and behavioral problems (Attar, et al., 1994; Dodge, Petit, & Bates, 1994; Elder et. al, 1995; Linares et al., 2001; Plybon & Kliewer, 2002). These stressors include poverty, unemployment or underemployment, limited resources, substandard housing, high crime rates, and single-parent household (Attar et al., 1994; Elder et al., 1995; Linares et al., 2001). Attar et al. (1994) found that children from "disadvantaged neighborhoods " reported an average of seven daily life stressors,

which was significantly more than children from moderately disadvantaged neighborhoods.

Prior to Hurricane Katrina, one sources of stress facing the children of New Orleans was chronic violence exposure. Margolin (2004) described childhood violence exposure as falling within three categories. The first is child maltreatment, where children suffer familial abuse and neglect. The second form is violence between parents or other adult caretakers. Third is community violence, which includes direct victimization as well as witnessing violence. Community violence has been defined as "the presence of violence within a person's proximal environment" (Shahinfar, Fox & Leavitt, 2000). Kupersmidt, Shahinfar, and Voegler-Lee (2002) were more specific when they suggested that community violence includes exposure "in or around the home, school or neighborhood; may involve physical as well as threatened harm; may be witnessed, heard about, or experienced; and may involve known or unknown perps." (pg. 381)

Unfortunately, inner-city children are chronically exposed to community violence through various modalities, such as media, observation, hearing about, and victimization (Cooley-Quille, 2001). Males are significantly more likely than females to be exposed to community violence, especially stranger victimization (Ceballo et al., 2003; Cooley-Quille et al., 2001; Durant, Cadenhead, Pendergrast, Slavens, & Linder, 1994; Langhinrichsen-Rohling & Neidig, 1995).

Studies on community violence exposure have found that over 50% of urban American children and adolescents have reported engaging in some form of violent behavior (Berman et. al, 1996; Durant et al., 1994; Farrell & Bruce, 1997;

Langhinrichsen-Rohling & Neidig, 1993). Fitzpatrick and Boldizar (1992) found that 70% of inner city children were victims of at least one violent act, while Schwab-Stone and colleagues (1995) found that over 40% of urban adolescents had witnessed a shooting or stabbing in the past year. Four years later, Moses (1999) found that inner city adolescents reported an average of witnessing 3.41 types of violent activities. Exposure to chronic violence is significantly higher among inner-city, low socioeconomic status (SES) children than their higher SES counterparts (Gladstein, Rusonis, & Heald, 1992; Singer, Anglin, Song, Lunghofer, 1995).

Studies conducted by Osofsky (1993) with elementary school children in the New Orleans area found even higher results, with 91% of children having witnessed some form of violence and over 50% victims of violence. Specifically, 26% had witnessed a shooting and 19% a stabbing, 3 out of 53 children had been stabbed or raped, 40% had seen a dead body, 72% had seen weapons used, and 49% had seen someone wounded. A more recent study performed by Overstreet (1999) found that 30% of New Orleans adolescents reported exposure to multiple types of violence in their neighborhoods, including hearing gun shots, knowing someone killed by violence, witnessing a shooting, seeing a dead body, being the victim of physical violence, or being threatened with murder.

The impact of violence exposure on children and adolescents is significant.

Children exposed to violence are likely to develop externalizing behavior problems and internalizing psychological problems (Berman, Kurtines, Silverman, & Serafini, 1996; Ceballo et al., 2003; Cooley-Quille, Boyd, Frantz, & Walsh, 2001; Durant et al., 1994; Freeman, Mokros, & Poznanski, 1003; Linares et al., 2001; McCloskey et al., 2000;

Moses, 1999; Ozer & Weinstein, 2004; Schwab-Stone et. al, 1999; White et al., 1998). For example, Linares et al. (2001) found that after controlling for maternal SES and family violence, exposure to community violence had a direct effect on early childhood behavior problems. Margolin (2004) stated that violence exposure led to behavior problems such as aggression. Witnessing violence increases a youth's likelihood of behaving violently, most especially their likelihood of committing stranger violence after having witnessed violence on strangers (Langhinrichsen-Rohling & Neidig, 1995; Margolin, 2004). In circular fashion, the increase in violent behavior places the youth at an increased risk of witnessing or being the victim of other violent events (Cooley-Quille et al., 2001). Children and adolescents exposed to violence are also more likely to use cigarettes and alcohol, exhibit hostility, have peer conflict, have somatic complaints, and have a higher fear of injury, danger, and the unknown (Brookmeyer, Henrich, & Schwab-Stone, 2005; Cooley-Quille et al., 2001; Schwab-Stone et. al, 1999; Sullivan, Kung, & Farrell, 2004; Margolin, 2004).

Internalizing problems resulting from violence exposure include anxiety, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and depression (Freeman, Mokros, & Poznansk, 1991; Johnson & Kliewer, 1999; Margolin, 2004; Overstreet et al., 1999). For example, Cooley-Quille et al. (2001) found adolescents exposed to more crime exhibited higher anxiety than children exposed to less crime. Berman et al. (1996) found adolescents exposed to violence developed an average of ten symptoms of PTSD, while 35% met full criteria for PTSD. They found no significant difference between children who witnessed versus those who were victims of violence on subsequent development of PTSD. Margolin (2004) suggested that the development of PTSD symptoms may

depend on the intensity of violence experienced and children's attachment to the victims. In a longitudinal study conducted with African American children, White et al. (1998) found that violence exposure predicted 37% of variance for anxiety from Time 1 to Time 2. While males are more likely to witness or be the victim of community violence, females are more likely to exhibit internalizing and concentration problems subsequent to violence exposure (Cooley-Quille et al., 2001; Farrell & Bruce, 1998; White et al., 1998).

Research has indicated that the accumulation of chronic stressors, such as the ones facing New Orleans' children often serve as a catalyst for a child to develop significant adjustment problems (Johnson & Kliewer, 1999; Garmezy, 1993; White et al., 1998). However, despite high rates of violence exposure not everyone develops psychopathology. The discrepancy between those who do and do not develop psychopathology has been coined "resiliency."

## **Psychological Resiliency**

Interest in resiliency began in the 1970's with the examination of children's adjustment to having a schizophrenic mother and the acknowledgment that some children fair very well despite their high risk status. (Garmezy, Masten, & Tellegen, 1984; Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000; Masten, Best, & Garmezy, 1990). However, as Cicchetti and Becker (2000) discussed, it was not until 1984 that research began to focus on children's resiliency to experiencing multiple adverse conditions (Werner, 1984; O'Donnell et al., 2002; Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000).

Originally, resiliency was defined as a person's ability to cope with stress and to exhibit a degree of psychological strength more than would be expected for their age

(Werner, 1984). However researchers have attempted to more specifically define "psychological strength". Masten, Best, and Garmezy (1990) proposed three distinct areas of functioning: "(1) good outcomes despite high risk status (2) sustained competence under threat and (3) recovery from trauma." (p. 426). The first area of functioning suggests that despite the presence of multiple risk factors, children thrive and are successful academically, socially, and/or psychologically. The second area refers to children's ability to cope effectively during stress, such that no change in functioning is observed. The third area occurs when children recover after exposure to prolonged or severe threat or stress; children may exhibit a negative change in functioning but are able to recover to their pre-trauma levels. Although Masten and colleagues described different areas of functioning, this description has not resolved the debate over whether resiliency should be defined by "unexpected positive trajectories or a pattern of average or better than average functioning" (Brookmeyer, 2005 p. 917). Studies often use the term "resiliency" to mean any of the three areas described by Masten (Brookmeyer, 2005).

Researchers concur that resiliency is a multidimensional, dynamic construct rather than a stable state (Howard, 1996; Freitas, 1998; Luthar et al., 2000; Tusaie & Dyer, 2004; Watson, Ritchie, Demer, Bartone, & Pfefferbaum, 2006). Specific examples of this view include Howard's (1996) findings that behavioral competence may occur simultaneously with psychological distress and Watson et al. (2006) who emphasized that competency may be exhibited in a particular domain but not other areas.

Research has focused on the role of protective and risk factors in the development of resiliency (Carr & Vandiver, 2001; Luthar, 1991; Rutter, 1985).

Protective factors interact with stress in predicting adjustment. Higher levels of protective factors allow a child to be less affected by stress while lower levels result in greater dysfunction. Protective factors can be defined within three dimensions: personal, familial, and environmental (Carr & Vandiver, 2001; Place et al., 2002).

Specifically, personal factors are associated with "dispositional attributes" of the child; familial factors focus on parenting variables; and environmental factors include the availability and use of external support systems (Place et al., 2002). On the other hand, higher levels of risk factors are associated with a decline in competence. Freitas (1998) suggest that a single factor that may be protective in one situation may not in another.

Research has found that individual attributes such as intelligence, social skills, internal locus of control, problem solving, and self-appraisal serve as protective factors in children exposed to high levels of stressful events (Carr & Vandiver, 2001; Dubow & Tisak, 1989; Luthar, 1991; Garmezy & Masten, 1986; Piotrowski & Dunham, 1983; See Place et al., 2002 for a good review). For example, higher intelligence protects against classroom problems (Garmezy & Masten, 1986) as well as a decline in interpersonal adjustment.

Studies have found that family attributes such as stability, low levels of parental psychopathology, and positive parenting serve as a protective factors for youth exposed to community violence (Annuziatu, Hogue, Faw, & Liddle, 2006; Gorman-Smith, Tolan, Zelli, &Huesmnn, 1996; Ivanova & Isreal, 2006; Masten et al., 1988; O'Donnell, Schwab-Stone, & Muyeed, 2002; Shalev, Tuval, Frenkiel-Fishman, Hadar & Eth, 2006;

Zimmerman, Ramirez-Valles, Zapert, & Maton, 2000). Although positive parenting was more protective for girls than boys (Garmezy & Masten, 1986), higher levels of family cohesion and routines predicted lower levels of internalizing and externalizing problems for both genders (Kliewer & Kung, 1998; Plybon & Kliewer, 2002). Furthermore, higher levels of family cohesion and parental monitoring positively predicted school engagement for both genders (Annuziatu et al., 2006).

Most studies that examined the protective role of environmental variables focused on social support. In general, social support serves as a protective factor against a variety of psychological and behavioral problems (DuBois, Felner, Brand, Adan, & Evans, 1992; Kliewer & Kung, 1998). For example, Zimmerman et al., (2000) found that increased parental support resulted in decreased levels of anxiety and depression in urban African American youth. Dubow & Tisak (1989) found that social support is correlated with reduced behavior problems at school. Dubois et al. (1992) found support from teachers or other school personnel led to reduced development of psychological distress. However, they also found that social support from any source did not impact school performance. Carr & Vandiver (2001) found that higher levels of family support and guidance were found in the families of non-repeat juvenile delinquents.

Most studies on protective and risk factors in children have evaluated resiliency to a specific traumatic event (hurricane, terrorism, kidnappings etc.) or resiliency to chronic stressors (community violence, domestic violence, war etc.). However, what may encourage a child to be resilient during a specific traumatic event may differ from resilient factors to chronic stressors (Kuperschmidt et al., 2002). As Kuperschmidt and

colleagues suggest, chronic stressors affect every aspect of a child's environment and may not be time limited. In fact children's reactions may not be to one specific event but rather to the culmination of multiple events. Few studies have focused on the relationship between those who experience chronic stress and then are subject to a separate discrete traumatic event (Attar et al., 1994; See Breslau, N., Chilcoat, H., Kessler, R., Glenn, D., 1999 for an example). The current study is unique in that it evaluates risk and resiliency among children exposed to chronic stressors as well as a discrete traumatic event, Hurricane Katrina.

#### Research with Hurricane Exposed Youth

In the wake of the devastation caused by hurricanes such as Andrew, Hugo and Floyd, research has evaluated the effects of hurricanes on children as well as protective and risk factors. PTSD is the most common type of psychological problem evaluated following a natural disaster (Vernberg, LaGreca, Silverman, & Prinstein, 1996; LaGreca et al., 1996; LaGreca et al., 1996; Shaw, Applegate, &Schorr, 1996; Shaw et al., 1995). For example, LaGreca et al. (1996) and Vernberg et al. (1996) found that 29% of children in grades 3-5 reported severe or very severe symptoms of PTSD three months after hurricane Andrew, 26% had moderate symptoms, and 30% had mild. Shaw et al. (1995) found slightly higher results eight weeks after hurricane Andrew, with 87% of the children from the high impact school reporting at least moderate levels of PTSD symptoms 7 to 10 months after the hurricane. (LaGreca et al., 1996).

Although uncommon, longitudinal studies indicate a decrease in PTSD symptoms over time; although symptom severity remained high 7-10 months post hurricane (Shaw, Applegate, & Schorr, 1996; LaGreca et al., 1996). For example, in the

LaGreca et al. (1996) study cited above, the number of children with severe or very severe symptoms of PTSD decreased to 18.1% at seven months and 12.5% at ten months post-hurricane.

Several studies have examined the effects of hurricane exposure to various psychological and behavioral difficulties not limited to PTSD. Results are inconclusive on the development of anxiety with some studies reporting no change in anxiety (LaGreca, Silverman, & Wasserstein, 1998) and others finding that females exhibit higher levels of hurricane related stress and more symptoms of PTSD (Khoury et al., 1997; Shannon et al., 1994). Khoury et al. (1997) found that after controlling for demographic factors, hurricane exposure significantly resulted in a decrease in school performance. Shannon et al. (1994) found that males were more likely to develop symptoms of cognitive and behavioral problems while females had more difficulty with emotional processing.

Vernberg et. al (1996) suggested four factors that may uniquely account for the subsequent development of PTSD symptoms in children exposed to hurricanes. The four factors were (1) characteristic of the child (2) exposure to traumatic event: life threat and loss disruption (3) efforts to cope with the events and (4) characteristics of the social environment. Characteristics of the child include age, gender, pre-existing anxiety problems, and ethnic background, all of which are predictive of children's adjustment post-hurricane (LaGreca et al., 1996, Wasserstein & LaGreca, 1998; Shannon et al., 1994; Khoury, Warheit, Hargrove, Zimmerman, Vega, & Gil, 1997; A25, Vernberg et al., 1996; LaGreca et al., 1996).

In terms of the second factor trauma exposure, studies consistently report level of exposure to hurricane related events is highly predictive of PTSD symptoms (Vernberg et al., 1996; Russoniello et al., 2002). Exposure includes life threatening situations as well as loss of property or the disruption of personal relationships and routines.

Coping behaviors have also been shown to significantly impact children's development of PTSD symptoms. Higher levels of self-criticism, resignation, blame/anger, social withdrawal, and wishful thinking have been associated with more PTSD symptoms (Jeney-Gammon, Daugherty, Finch, Belter, & Foster, 1992; LaGreca et al., 1996; Russoniello et al., 1996; Vernberg et al., 1996). In fact, Vernberg et al. (1996) found that three months after hurricane Andrew, blame and anger accounted for 13% of the PTSD exhibited by children. Alternatively, cognitive restructuring and seeking social support have been associated with less symptomatology (Jeney-Gammon et al., 1992)

Characteristics of the environment, such as social support, have been suggested to be important depending on the source of support and type of stressor (Wilcox & Vernberg, 1985). After a hurricane, children often report receiving the most support from parents and close friends and less support from classmates and teachers (LaGreca et al., 1996; Prinstein et al., 1996; Vernberg et al., 1996). Studies have found greater social support predicted lower levels of PTSD symptoms (LaGreca et al., 1996; LaGreca, Silverman, Vernberg, & Prinstein, 1996; Vernberg et al., 1996).

As Norris (2006) stated, disasters "generate an array of individually and collectively experienced stressors of varying degrees of intensity that interact with

multiple characteristics of the person and environment to produce a diverse set of outcomes that evolve over time" (p. 173). Few studies, however, look at resiliency in terms of a child's exposure to chronic stressors with the added terror of a discrete traumatic event (Attar et al., 1994). This study is unique in that it looks at a population confronted with chronic daily stressors who also faced the terror of a discrete traumatic event, Hurricane Katrina.

#### Summary and Rationale for Current Study

Many children who live in New Orleans not only experienced the devastating effects of Hurricane Katrina but also encounter high levels of neighborhood disadvantage; including poverty, unemployment, substandard housing, and community violence (Sherman & Shapiro, 2005). Research has shown that these stressors, especially community violence, often result in children experiencing significant psychological symptoms (Brookmeyer et al., 2005; Cooley-Quille, 2001; Margolin, 2004). However, not everyone develops psychopathology or adjustment problems when exposed to a traumatic event (Werner, 1984).

Vernberg et al. (1996) proposed four factors that may impact children's adjustment to the devastating effects of a natural disaster. These are: characteristic of the child, exposure to traumatic event, efforts to cope with the event, and characteristics of the social environment. Few studies have evaluated the relationship among these variables (Kuperschmidt et al., 2002).

The purpose of the present investigation is to (1) study the effects of community violence on adolescents' adjustment (PTSD, anxiety, and depression) (2) to determine the effect that social support has on adolescents' adjustment (PTSD, anxiety, and

depression) and (3) to determine whether positive parenting factors serve as protective factor and whether negative parenting serves as a risk factors on adolescents' adjustment (PTSD, anxiety, and depression).

## **Present Study and Hypotheses**

The current study investigated how these variables affected the direction and strength of the relationship between hurricane exposure and the subsequent development of PTSD, anxiety, and depression. This study tested the following hypotheses:

- (1) The first hypothesis sought to replicate and extend existing literature that has found a relationship between hurricane exposure and development of psychopathology. Specifically, it was hypothesized that adolescents exposed to higher life threat and loss/disruption from Hurricane Katrina would exhibit higher levels of PTSD, general anxiety, and depression.
- (2) The second hypothesis stated that the effects of disaster exposure on psychological functioning would be moderated by community violence exposure.

  Specifically, adolescents exposed to higher rates of community violence would exhibit higher rates of PTSD, anxiety, and depression post hurricane Katrina than adolescents with less community violence exposure.
- (3) The next hypothesis examined the moderating role of social support on the development of psychopathology post hurricane Katrina. It was hypothesized that adolescents who reported higher levels of social support would exhibit lower levels of PTSD, anxiety, and depression than children with less perceived social support.
- (4) The last hypothesis examined the moderating role of parenting variables on adolescents' reports of PTSD, anxiety, and depression. Specifically it was predicted

that adolescents whose mothers reported more parent involvement and positive parenting would exhibit lower levels of psychopathology than adolescents whose parents reported lower levels of these variables. Furthermore, it is hypothesized that adolescents whose mothers reported higher levels of poor monitoring/supervision would exhibit higher levels of psychopathology than adolescents whose parents reported lower levels of these variables.

#### Method

#### **Participants**

Participants were recruited as part of a larger National Institutes of Mental Health Study evaluating the psychological and behavioral functioning of children and adolescents after hurricane Katrina. Data for the current study were collected from October, 2005 until January, 2006 at public schools located in New Orleans and surrounding parishes.

Participants of the current study were adolescents and their mothers who lived in New Orleans or the surrounding parishes after Hurricane Katrina. There were 194 adolescent participants and included 88 male child participants and 106 female child participants. Adolescent ages ranged from 12-16 years old. Mothers' mean age was 39.

The participating sample was composed of 62% African American families, 28% Caucasian families, 5% Asian families, 3% Hispanic families, and 2% Other Ethnicity families. Fifty-one percent of families reported a yearly income in the range of \$0-24,999, 31% of families reported a yearly income in the range of \$25,000-74,999, and 3% of families reported a yearly income in the range of \$75,000 and up.

#### Measures

Demographic Questionnaire. Mothers were queried about child and family characteristics (see Appendix A).

Hurricane-Related Traumatic Experiences (HURTE; Vernberg et al., 1996). The HURTE is a measure developed to assess child traumatic experiences during and after a hurricane. It yields two factor scales: Threat and Loss/Disruption. Each scale has

demonstrated sufficient test-retest reliability and predictive validity; although no specific correlation data could be found (LaGreca et al., 1996; Vernberg et al., 1996). However, for the current study, these two factors were combined to obtain a measure of child exposure to hurricane-related traumatic experiences and the Cronbach alpha was .98. (see Appendix B)

UCLA PTSD Reaction Index (Pynoos, Rodriguez, Steinberg, Stuber, & Frederick, 1998). The UCLA PTSD Reaction Index is a 22-item child-report instrument used to screen symptoms of PTSD according to the DSM-IV. This version of the PTSD Reaction Index has demonstrated adequate internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and high sensitivity and specificity (Pynoos, Goenjian, & Steinberg, 1998; Rodriguez, Steinberg, Saltzman, & Pynoos, 2001; Steinberg, Brymer, Decker, & Pynoos, 2004). Specifically, test-retest reliability was .59 and a correlation with positive PTSD diagnois of .91 (Shaw, Applegate, & Schorr, 1996; Shaw et al., 1995). The Index Summary Score was used as an overall index of child-reported PTSD symptoms and the Cronbach alpha was .93. (see Appendix C)

Screen for Adolescent Violence Exposure (SAVE; Hastings & Kelley, 1997). The SAVE consists of 32 items, rated on a five-point Likert type scale ranging from Never to Always. It is an adolescent self-report scale, which assesses frequency of violence exposure in settings relevant to adolescent adjustment (School, Neighborhood, and Home). The study combined the scores from School and Neighborhood to ascertain a community violence score. The SAVE has been shown to demonstrate acceptable test-retest reliability, and adequate convergent and discriminate validity (Hastings & Kelley, 1997). The Cronbach alpha was .97. (see Appendix D)

Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ; Shelton, Frick, and Wootton 1996). The APQ is a 42-item parent report measure, which consist of six factor scales: Parent Involvement, Positive Parenting, Poor Monitoring/Supervision, Inconsistent Discipline, Corporal Punishment, and Other Discipline Techniques. Items are rated on a 5-point scale (1=never, 5-always). The APQ has adequate reliability (Essau, Sasagawa, & Frick, 2006). The study used the parent involvement, positive parenting, and poor monitoring/supervision scales (see Appendix E)

Harter's Social Support Scale for Children (SSSC; Harter, 1985). The SSSC is a 24-item child self-report instrument that measures a child's perception of social support from parents, classmates, teachers, and close friends. Each scale contains six items, which are scored on a 4-point Likert scale. The SSSC has been shown to exhibit adequate reliability and validity (LaGreca et al., 1996). Specifically, internal consistencies have ranged from .72 to .83. A total score of parent social support and peer social support was used in the current study. (see Appendix F)

Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition-Self Report Scale (BASC-2; Kamphaus & Reynolds, 2004). The BASC-2 SRS is a self-report measure that assesses a child's emotional and behavioral symptoms and adaptive behaviors. It is comprised of sixteen primary scales and five composite scales: School Problems, Internalizing Problems, Inattention-Hyperactivity, Emotional Symptoms Index, and Personal Adjustment. The current study used the primary scales of Anxiety and Depression. This measure has demonstrated good internal consistency and test-retest reliability (Kamphaus & Reynolds, 2004). The Cronbach alpha was .92. (see Appendix G)

#### Procedure

Following institutional review board, school board, and school consent, mothers and students in grades 4 through 8 were recruited to participate in the study via flyers that were sent home. Following the return of the mother's completed packet to the child's school, the identified child was assessed for their assent. Children who assented completed the questionnaires in small groups under researcher supervision. These groups were held in private rooms, either the cafeteria or an empty classroom. Younger children and children with reading difficulties were read the questionnaires.

Compensation depended on the schools preferences and ranged from \$5 cash awards for the children to participation in a pizza party. All mothers were paid \$20 for participating. All responses were confidential; packets were coded to eliminate use of names.

#### Results

### **Bivariate Correlation Analyses**

Bivariate correlations are listed in Table 1. As seen in the table, the only demographic variable that was significantly correlated with at least one criterion variable was Family Income after Hurricane Katrina (-.21, p<.01 for PTSD). Therefore, it is the only demographic variable considered in subsequent analyses.

In the current study, the parenting variables as measured by the APQ of Parent Involvement, Positive Parenting, and Poor Monitoring were not significantly correlated with any outcome variables. Therefore, these variables were not considered in further analyses.

Additionally, Anxiety and Depression are correlated at the .01 level of significance. They are also significantly correlated with the same variables: Community Violence (.32, p<.01 for anxiety; .20, p<.01 for depression), Parent Support (.32, p<.01 for anxiety; .59, p<.01 for depression), Peer Support (.21, p<.05 for anxiety, .31, p<.05 for depression), and PTSD (.59, p<.01 for anxiety; .43, p<.01 for depression). PTSD, however, was correlated with Family Income after Katrina (-.20, p<.05), Hurricane Exposure (.40, p<.05), Community Violence (.41, p<.05), Anxiety (.59, p<.01) and Depression (.43, p<.01). The high correlation between Anxiety and Depression (.70, p<.01) and its correlation with many of the same variables suggested that the inclusion of both variables would not significantly contribute new information. Due to PTSD being considered an anxiety disorder and the fact that it was correlated with separate variables from anxiety and depression, it was decided to focus on depression and PTSD as dependent variables.

Table 1

Correlation Matrix of the Control, Predictor, Moderator, and Criterion Variables

6 7 8 9 10 08 .03 .08 .1108
.41**
.18* .07
25** .14
.11
** 29.
•

16. Self-Report PTSD

15. Self-Report Depression

° Code as follows: 6th grade or less=1; junior high school=2; partial high school=3; high school graduate=4; partial college=5; standard <sup>b</sup> Code as follows: Caucasian=1; African American=2; Hispanic=3; Asian=4; Native American=5; Pacific Islander=6; other=7. <sup>a</sup> Code as follows: male=1; female=0.

college or university=6; graduate professional degree=7.

\* p < .05. \*\* p < .01.

## Description of Predictor Variable

As measured by HURTE, 80.4% of the adolescent participants reported at least one loss disruption event. Specifically, 43.3% indicated that their house had been badly damaged or destroyed, 40.2% had to attend a new school due to the hurricane, 25.8% had a parent who lost a job, and 21.1% had trouble getting adequate food and water after the hurricane. Results from the HURTE also indicated that 40.2% suffered at least one incident of threat. Specifically, 3.1% reported getting hurt during the hurricane, 6.7% were staying in a building that became so heavily damaged during the hurricane that they had to evacuate the building, 5.2% reported getting hit by something that was falling or flying, and 15.5% indicated seeing someone else get hurt.

## **Description of Moderating Variables**

Frequencies of exposure to community violence and level of perceived parent and peer support were computed. Exposure to community violence was assessed using the SAVE. According to the adolescents' responses, 22.7% reported hardly or never feeling safe in their neighborhood. Many of the adolescents reported "always" or "almost always" experiencing direct community violence: 1.5% had been beaten up by adults in the community; 2.5% had been beaten up by a peer in the neighborhood; 2.5% had had a knife pulled on them; 2.0% had been attacked with a knife; 2.5% had had shots fired at them; 1.5% had actually been shot. Adolescents have reported "always" or "almost always" witnessing more violence than direct victimization: 4.1% had seen a dead body in the community; 6.2% had actually seen someone killed; 8.2% had witnessed an adult beat up a child; 18.5% had heard about someone getting shot; 21.6% had seen someone carry a gun; 8.1% had witnessed someone getting shot.

According to their responses on the Harter's Scale of Social Support, adolescents reported strong levels of parent support, respect, and feeling that they were understood by their parents. Specifically, 50.5% reported that their parents understand them; 62.9% feel that their parents want to hear about their problems; 71.1% have parents who ask about their feelings; and 66.5% reported that their parents act like what their kids do is important. Regarding peer support, the findings were more variable. Although the majority reported being asked to play in games with their peers or that they spend recess playing with classmates (60.3% and 60.9% respectively), only 43.8% reported not being teased or made fun of by their classmates.

## **Description of Outcome Variables**

Frequencies of depression and PTSD were assessed using the BASC-SRS and the UCLA PTSD Index respectively. Results indicated that 8.1% of adolescents reported significant levels of depression. Analyses indicated that 4% of adolescents exhibited severe levels of PTSD symptomatology (score of 38 or greater on the PTSD Index).

## **Regression Analyses**

Hierarchical regressions were conducted to examine whether parent support, peer support, or community violence moderated the relationship between the HURTE score and the two criterion variables: child reported PTSD and child reported depression. To control for multicollineraity, the predictor and moderator variables were centered as recommended by Aiken and West (1991) and Holmbeck (2002). The centered predictor variables and the centered moderator variables were multiplied to create an interaction term. In Step 1, the demographic variable of family income after

Hurricane Katrina was entered to control for its effect. Step 2 included the centered predictor and all moderator variables while Step 3 included the interaction terms. Two separate regression analyses were run for each criterion variable.

### **Adolescent-Rated Depression**

Examination of the predictor variable indicated that there was not a significant main effect for hurricane exposure and depressive symptoms (B=.328, p>.05). Examination of the moderator variables indicated that there was a significant negative main effect for parent support (B= -8.122, p<.001). The HURTE X Parent Support, HURTE X Peer Support, and HURTE X Community Violence interactions were not significant (B= -.23, p>.05; B= -.02, p>.05; -.01, p>.05 respectively). Thus, parent support, peer support, and community violence did not moderate the relationship of hurricane exposure and depression as assessed by the interaction terms (see Table 2).

<u>Table 2</u>
Final Step of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Evaluating the Moderating Effects of Community Violence, Peer and Parent Support on Adolescent Reported Depression

	CDEP	
Variable	В	β
Family Income after Hurricane Katrina	36	09
HURŤE	.31	.10
Community Violence	.02	.07
Peer Support	.19	.02
Parent Support	-8.12	64***
HURTE X Community Violence	01	04
HURTE X Peer Support	02	01
HURTE X Parent Support	23	.46

Note. ADEP=adolescent self-reported depression

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

#### **Adolescent-Rated PTSD**

Examination of the predictor variable indicated that there was a significant main effect for hurricane exposure and PTSD symptoms (B=.970, p<.05). Examination of the moderator variables indicated that there was a significant positive main effect for community violence (B=.162, p<.005). The HURTE X Community Violence, and HURTE X Parent Support interactions were not significant (B=-.03, p>.05; B=-..a69, p>.05 respectively) while the HURTE X Peer Support interaction approached significance (B=1.257, p=.089). Thus, community violence, parent support, and peer support did not significantly moderate the relationship of hurricane exposure and PTSD as assessed by the interaction terms (see Table 3).

<u>Table 3</u>

Final Step of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Evaluating the Moderating

Effects of Community Violence, Peer and Parent Support on Adolescent Reported

PTSD

	CPTSD	
Variable	В	β
Family Income after Hurricane Katrina	.11	.02
HURTE	.97	.23
Community Violence	.16	.43
Peer Support	.47	.02
Parent Support	-1.63	10
HURTE X Community Violence	01	03
HURTE X Peer Support	1.26	.73
HURTE X Parent Support	69	.64

Note. APTSD=adolescent self-reported PTSD

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

## **Summary and Conclusion**

This study was unique in that it evaluated the moderating role that community violence, peer support, and parental support had on adolescents exposed to a hurricane and their self-reported symptoms of PTSD and depression. It was predicted that adolescents exposed to higher rates of community violence would exhibit higher rates of PTSD and depression after hurricane Katrina than those exposed to lower rates of or to no community violence. This prediction was only partially supported. While adolescents who were exposed to more community violence did have significantly more severe symptoms of PTSD than adolescents exposed to less community violence, this variable did not moderate the relationship between hurricane exposure and PTSD. There were no significant findings in relation to community violence and depression. It also was predicted that adolescents who reported more peer or parent support would exhibit lower levels of PTSD and depression after hurricane Katrina than those who reported lower levels of support. These predictions were not validated as no relationship was found between support and PTSD or depression in the current study.

## Effects of Hurricane Katrina

A large percentage of adolescents exposed to hurricane Katrina experienced the event as life threatening while many also suffered significant loss of property and other things. However, more adolescents reported experiencing loss and disruption than life threat (80.4% and 40.2% respectively). This may be due to the fact that many adolescents and their family evacuated New Orleans prior to hurricane Katrina and, therefore, experienced the storm from a safe physical distance. However, upon

returning to New Orleans, there was a considerable loss in property and disruption in routines.

Consistent with past research (LaGreca et al., 1996; Shaw, Applegate, & Shorr, 1996; Vernberg et al., 1996), hurricane exposure was associated with a greater number of PTSD symptoms. However, the rate of severe PTSD symptomatology in the current study (4%) is lower than that found in studies of adolescents exposed to other hurricanes. For example, LaGreca et al., (1996) and Vernberg et al. (1996) found that after hurricane Andrew, 29% of children, in grades 3-5, reported severe or very severe symptoms of PTSD. The discrepancy between the current study and those conducted by researchers such as LaGreca and Vernberg, may be explained by the age of participating youth. Specifically, younger children may be more affected by perceived loss/disruption and threat to life than adolescents (McDermott & Palmer, 2002; Ozgur, Akbiyik, Kirmizigul, & Sohmen, 2004).

The findings on adolescent depression indicated that although 8% of the sample endorsed significant levels of depression, depression scores were not related to hurricane exposure. These findings are similar to other epidemiological studies evaluating adolescent rates of depression (Adewaya, Ola, & Aloba, 2007; Costello, Erkanli, & Angold, 2006). Research studying the amount of exposure to a natural disaster and rates of depression are inconsistent (Belter et al., 1991; Crabbs & Heffron, 1981; Farrell & Barrett, 2007; Goenjian, 2001; Jenney-Gammon, Daugherty, Finch, Belter, & Foster, 1993).

#### **The Effect of Community Violence**

The current findings were similar to those of previous research (Berman et al., 1996; Margolin, 2004; White et al., 1998) documenting the relationship between violence exposure and PTSD. In the current study, adolescents reported high rates of community violence. However, contrary to studies such as Margolin (2004), community violence exposure was not related to depression. This may be due to the fact that continued exposure to chronic daily stressors and violence may desensitize the youth, such that community violence may have little to no impact on the development of depression (Fitzpatrick & Boldizar, 1993).

#### The Effect of Social Support

In the current study, the role of social support in predicting psychological symptoms was varied. Peer support was not found to have any relation to adolescent rates of PTSD or depression. A review of the literature reveals that although few studies have evaluated the role of peer support on an adolescent's development of psychopathology, the current findings were similar to those of Stice, Ragan, & Randall (2004) who found no relationship between peer support and depressive symptoms.

Parental support, however, was significantly negatively related to the development of depression. That is, the more parent support an adolescent reported, the lower their depressive symptoms. This finding is consistent with other research. For example, Ceballo, Ramirez, Hearn, and Maltese (2003) found that parental support in the form of monitoring buffered the effects of community violence on the development of depression and feelings of hopelessness.

## Community Violence, Peer Support, and Social Support as Moderators

The findings indicated that exposure to community violence or increased parental or peer support did not moderate the effects of hurricane Katrina on an adolescent rates of PTSD or depression. In other words, in the current study, the presence or absence of community violence, peer support, and/or parental support do not affect the direction or strength of the relationship between hurricane exposure and symptoms of depression or PTSD. It would appear obvious that this moderating role would be absent in studying hurricane exposure and depression since, as stated earlier, there was no direct relationship between hurricane exposure and depression.

However, it is not so clear when evaluating the relationship between hurricane exposure and PTSD, as there was a main effect in this relationship. However, neither peer support, parental support, nor community violence moderated this relationship as assessed by the interaction terms. A review of the research indicates that the findings regarding parental support were similar to those of Pina et al. (2008). Pina and colleagues studied children's psychological functioning after hurricane Katrina and found that the amount of extra-familial support, rather than familial support, was more important on the psychological functioning of children after hurricane Katrina.

## Strengths and Limitations of the Current Study

The current study was important as it expanded the literature assessing the effects of a natural disaster. It was unique in that it assessed this relationship within the context of a ethnically diverse sample, mixed income population, who lived in a city which prior to hurricane Katrina faced daily and chronic "neighborhood disadvantages." Another strength of the study was that it assessed the psychological functioning of

adolescents within weeks to months of their return to a largely destroyed and abandoned city.

However, there are significant limitations to consider when interpreting the results. First, is the questionnaires used in the study. Although questionnaires such as the HURTE, UCLA PTSD Index, and the SSSC have been consistently used in research evaluating the effects of exposure to a natural disaster, the few psychometric properties available indicate that these measures may not adequately measure the intended constructs. Second, is the fact that the participating population were those who immediately returned to New Orleans. These adolescents and their families were able to return quickly, whether due to financial security, family support, or the fact that their housing was not destroyed by the hurricane. It would be interesting to conduct this study with adolescents whose families have not been able to return to New Orleans, possibly due to a lack in the above stated reasons. A third limitation is that due to the preference of the principals at the participating schools, data was collected using various incentives. Lastly, the unique aspects of this study, such as evaluating the effects of a natural disaster on a population already considered "at risk", limits the ability to generalize the findings beyond the New Orleans population who experienced hurricane Katrina. Researchers have documented the unique aspect every trauma has as well as the difficulty in generalizing results (Terr, 1993).

#### References

- Adewuya, A., Ola, B., Aloba, O. (2007). Prevalence of major depressive disorders and a validation of the beck depression inventory among nigerian adolescents. Europen Child Adolescent Psychiatry, 16, 287-292.
- Annunziata, D. Hogue, A., Faw, L., & Liddle, H. (2006). Family functioning and school success in at-risk, inner-city adolescents. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 35(1), 105-113.
- Attar, B., Guerra, N., & Tolan, P. (1994). Neighborhood disadvantage, stressful life events, and adjustment in urban elementary-school children. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 23, 391-400.
- Berman, S.L., Kurtines, W., Silverman, W., & Serafini, L. (1996). The impact of exposure to crime and violence on urban youth. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 66(3), 329-336.
- Boney-McCoy, S. & Finkelhor, D. (1996). Is youth victimization related to trauma symptoms and depression after controlling for prior symptoms and family relationships? A longitudinal, prospective study. Jouran of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 64(6), 406-1416.
- Breslau, N., Howard, C., Kessler, R., & Davis, G. (1999). Previous exposure to trauma and PTSD effects of subsequent trauma: Results from the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. American Journal of Psychiatry, 156(6), 902-907.
- Brokmeyer, K., Henrich, C., Schwab-Stone, M. (2005). Adolescents who witness community violence: Can parent support and prosocial cognitions protect them from committing violence? Child Development, 76(4), 917-929.
- Brookmeyer, K., Henrich, C., & Schwab-Stone, M. (2005). Adolescents who witness community violence: Can parent support and prosocial cognitions protect them from committing violence? Child Development, 76(4), 917-929.
- Buka, S., Stichick, T., Birdthistle, I. & Earls, F. (2001). Youth exposure to violence: Prevalance, risks, and consequences. American Journal of Orthospsychiatry, 71(3), 298-310.
- Carr, M., & Vandiver, T. (2001). Risk and protective factors among youth offenders. Adolescence, 36(143), 409-426.
- Ceballo, R., Ramirez, C., Hearn, K., & Maltese, K. (2003). Community violence and children's psychological well-being: Does parental monitoring matter? Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 32(4), 586-592.

- Costello, E.J., Erkanli, A., Angold, A. (2006). Is there an epidemic of child or adolescent depression? Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 47(12), 1263-1271.
- Cooley-Quille, M., Boyd, R., Frantz, E., & Walsh, J. (2001). Emotional and behavioral impact of exposure to community violence in inner-city adolescents. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 30(1), 199-206.
- Crabbs, M., Heffron, E. (1981). Loss associated with a natural disaster. The Personal and Guidance Journal, 379-382.
- Dodge, K., Petit, G., & Bates, J. (1994). Socialization mediators of the relation between socioeconomic status and child conduct problems. Child Development, 65, 649-665.
- DuBois, D., Felner, R., Brand, S., Adan, A., & Evans, E. (1992). A prospective study of life stress, social support, and adaptation in early adolescence. Child Development, 63, 542-557.
- DuRant, R., Cadenhead, C., Pendergrast, R., Slavens, G., & Linder, C. (1994). Factors associated with the use of violence among urban black adolescents. American Journal of Public Health, 84(4), 612-617.
- Elder, G., Eccles, J., Ardelt, M., & Lord, S. (1995). Inner-city parents under economic pressure: Perspectives on the strategies of parenting. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 57, 771-784.
- Farrell, A., & Bruce, S. (1997). Impact of exposure to community violence on violent behavior and emotional distress among urban adolescents. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 26(1), 2-14.
- Farrell, L.J., & Barrett, P.M. (2007). Prevention of childhood emotional disorders: Reducing the burden of suffering associated with anxiety and depression. Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 12(2), 58-65.
- Fitzpatrick, K. & Boldizar, J. (1993). The prevalence and consequences of exposure to violence among African-American youth. Journal of the American academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 32(2), 424-430.
- Freeman, L., Mokros, H., & Poznanski, E. (1993). Violent events reported by normal urban school-aged children: Characteristics and depression correlates. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 32(2), 419-423.
- Frequently Requested National Statistics Hurricane Katrina One Year Later (2006)
  Retrieved July 27, 2007 from
  http://www.fema.gov/hazard/hurricane/2005katrina/anniversary\_factsheet.shtm.

- Freitas, A. & Downey, G. (1998). Resilience: A dynamic perspective. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 22(2), 263-285.
- Garmezy, N. (1993). Children and poverty: Resilience despite risk. Psychiatry, 56, 1993.
- Garmezy, N., & Masten, A. (1986). Stress, competence, and resilience: Common frontiers for therapist and psychopathologist. Behavior Therapy, 17, 500-521.
- Garmezy, N., Masten, A., & Tellegen, A. (1984). The study of stress and competence in children: A building block for developmental psychopathology. Child Development, 55, 97-111.
- Gladstein, J., Rusonis, E., & Heald, F. (1992). A comparison of inner-city and upper-middle class youths' exposure to violence. Journal of Adolescent Health, 13, 275-280
- Gorman-Smith, D., Tolan, P., Zelli, A., Huesmann, R. (1996). The relation of family functioning to violence among inner-city minority youths. Journal of Family Psychology, 10(2), 115-129.
- Hammack, P., Richards, M., Luo, Z., Edlynn, E., & Roy, K. (2004). Social support factors as moderators of community violence exposure among inner-city African American young adolescents. Journal of Clinical child and Adolescent Psychology, 33(3), 450-462.
- Hill, H. & Madhere, S. (1996). Exposure to community violence and African American children: A multidimensional model of risks and resources. Journal of Community Psychology, 24, 26-43.
- Holmbeck, G. (2002). Post-hoc probing of significant moderational and meditational effects in studies of pediatric population. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 27(1), 87-96.
- Howard, D. (1996). Searching for resilience among African American youth exposed to community violence: Theoretical issues. Journal of Adolescent Health, 18, 254-262.
- Jeney-Gammon, P., Daugherty, T., Finch, A.J., Belter, R., Foster, K. (1992). Children's coping styles and report of depressive symptoms following a natural disaster. The Journal of Genetic Psychology, 154(2), 259-267.
- Jervis, R. (2007). Tracking recovery in New Orleans. Retrieved August 25, 2007 from USA Today from http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/2007-08-12-neworleans\_N.htm

- Johnson, P., & Kliewer, W. (1999). Family and contextual predictors of depressive symptoms in inner-city african American youth. Journal of Child an Family Studies, 8(2), 181-192.
- Kamphaus, R., Reynolds, C., & Hatcher, N. (2004). Treatment planning and evaluation with the Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC). In: The use of psychological testing for treatment planning and outcomes assessment: Volume 2: Instruments for children and adolescents (3<sup>rd</sup> ed). NJ: US. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, 2004. pp. 331-354.
- Khoury, E., Warheit, G., Hargrove, M., Zimmerman, R., Vega, W., & Gil, A. (1997). The impact of hurricane Andrew on deviant behavior among a multi-racial/ethnic sample of adolescents in dade county, Florida: A longitudinal analysis. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 10(1), 71-91.
- Kilpatrick, K., & Williams, L.M. (1998). Potential mediators of post-traumatic stress disorder in child witnesses to domestic violence. Child Abuse and Neglect, 22(4), 319-330.
- Kliewer, W., Cunningham, J., Diehl, R., Parrish, K., Walker, J., Atiyeh, C., Neace, B., Duncan, L., Taylor, K., & Mejia, R. (2004). Violence exposure and adjustment in inner-city youth: Child and caregiver emotion regulation skill, caregiver-child relationship quality, and neighborhood cohesion as protective factors. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33(3), 477-487.
- Kliewer, W., & Kung, E. (1998). Family moderators of the relation between hassles and behavior problems in inner-city youth. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 27(3), 278-292.
- Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals (2006). Reports of Missing and Deceased. Retrieved July 27, 2007 from http://www.dhh.louisiana.gov/offices/page.asp?ID=192&Detail=5248
- LaGreca, A., Silverman, W., Vernberg, E., Prinstein, M. (1996). Symptoms of posttraumatic stress in children after hurricane Andrew: A prospective study. Journal of Counseling and Clinical Psychology, 64(4), 712-723.
- LaGreca, A., Silverman, W., Wasserstein, S. (1998). Children's pre-disaster functioning as predictor of posttraumatic stress following hurricane Andrew. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 66(6), 883-892.
- Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J., & Neidig, P. (1995). Violent backgrounds of economically disadvantaged youth: Risk factors for perpetrating violence? Journal of Family Violence, 10(4), 379-397.

- Lauterbach, D., Koch, E.I., Porter, K. (2007). The relationship between childhood support and later emergence of PTSD. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 20(5), 857-867.
- Linares, L.O., Heeren, T., Bronfman, E., Zuckerman, b., Augustyn, M., & Tronick, E. (2001). A mediational model for the impact of exposure to community violence on early child behavior problems. Child Development, 72(2), 639-652.
- Luthar, S. (1991). Vulnerability and resilience: A study of high-risk adolescents. Child Development, 62, 600-616.
- Luthar, S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. Child Development, 71(3), 543-562.
- Luthar, S., & Goldstein, A. (2004). Children's exposure to community violence: Implications for understanding risk and resilience. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33(3), 499-505.
- Lyons, J. (1987). Posttraumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents: A review of the literature. Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 8(6), 349-354.
- Margolin, G., & Gordis, E. (2004). Children's exposure to violence in the family and community. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 13(4), 152-155.
- Masten, A., Best, K., & Garmezy, N. (1990). Resilience and development: Contributions from the study of children who overcome adversity. Development and Psychopathology, 2, 425-444.
- Masten, A. & Coatswoth, J. D. (1998). The development of competence in favorable and unfavorable environments: Lessons from research on successful children. American Psychologist, 53(2), 205-220.
- Masten, A., Garmezy, N., Tellegen, A, Pellegrin, D., Larkin, K., & Larsen, A. (1988). Competence and stress in school children: The moderating effects of individual and family qualities. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 29(6), 745-764.
- McCloskey, L., & Walker, M. (2000). Posttraumatic stress in children exposed to family violence and single-event trauma. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 39(1), 108-115.
- McDermott, B. & Palmer, L. (2002). Postdisaster emotional distress, depression, and event-related variables: findings across child and adolescent developmental stages. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 36, 754-761.

- Moses, A. (1999). Exposure to violence, depression, and hostility in a sample of inner city high school youth. Journal of Adolescence, 22, 21-32.
- Norris, F. (2006). Disaster research methods: Past progress and future directions. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 19(2), 173-184.
- O'Donnell, D., Schwab-Stone, M., Muyeed, A. (2002). Multidimensional resilience in urban children exposed to community violence. Child Development, 73(4), 1265-1282.
- Osofsky, J., Wewers, S., Hann, D., & Fick, A. (1993). Chronic community violence: What is happening to our children? Psychiatry, 56, 36-45.
- Overstreet, S., Dempsey, M., Graham, D., & Moely, B. (1999). Availability of family support as a moderator of exposure to community violence, 28(2), 151-159.
- Ozer, E., Best, S., Lipsey, T., & Weiss, D. (2001). Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 129(1), 52-73.
- Ozer, E. & Weinstein, R. (2004). Urban adolescents' exposure to community violence: The role of support, school safety, and social constraints in a school-based sample of boys and girls. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33(3), 463-476.
- Place, M., Reynolds, J., Cousins, A., O'Neill, S. (2002). Developing a resilience package for vulnerable children, 7(4), 162-167.
- Plybon, L., & Kliewer, W. (2001). Neighborhood types and externalizing behavior in urban school-age children: Tests of direct, mediated, and moderated effects. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 10(4), 419-437.
- Piotrowski, C. & Dunham, F. (1983). Locus of control orientation and perception of hurricane in fifth graders. The Journal of General Psychology, 109, 119-127.
- Prinstein, M., LaGreca, A., Vernberg, E., & Silverman, W. (1996). Children's coping assistance: How parents, teachers, and friends help children cope after a natural disaster. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 25(4), 463-474.
- Richards, M., Larson, R., Miller, B., Luo, Z., Sims, B., Parrella, D., & McCauley, C. (2004). Risky and protective contexts and exposure to violence in urban African American young adolescents. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33(1), 138-148.

- Russoniello, C.V, Skalko, T.K., O'Brien, K., McGhee, S.A., Bingham-Alexander, D., & Beatley, J. (2002). Childhood posttraumatic stress disorder and efforts to cope after hurricane floyd. Behavioral Medicine, 28, 61-91.
- Scheeringa, M., Wright, M.J., Hunt, J., Zeanah, C. (2006). Factors affecting the diagnosis and prediction of PTSD symptomatology in children and adolescents. American Journal of Psyciatry, 163(4), 644-651.
- Schwab-Stone, M., Ayers, T., Kasprow, W., Voyce, C., Barone, C., Shriver, T., & Weissberg, R. (1995). No safe haven: A study of violence exposure in an urban community. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34(10), 1343-1352.
- Schwab-Stone, M., Chen, C., Greenberger, E., Silver, D., Lichtman, J., & Voyce, C. (1999). No safe haven II: The effects of violence exposure on urban youth. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 38(4), 359-367.
- Shannon, M., Lonigan, C., Finch, A.J., & Taylor, C. (1994). Children exposed to disaster: I. epidemiology of post-traumatic symptoms and symptom profiles. Journal of the American Acadmey of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 33(1), 80-93.
- Shaw, J., Applegate, B., & Schorr, C. (1996). Twent-one-month follow-up study of school-age children exposed to hurricane Andrew (1996). Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35(3), 359-364.
- Shaw, J., Applegate, B., Tanner, S., Perez, D., Rothe, E., Campo-Bowen, A., Lahey, B. (1995). Psychological effects of hurricane Andrew on an elementary school population. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34(9), 1185-1192
- Sherman, A., & Shapiro, I. (2005). Essential facts about the victims of hurricane Katrina. Retrieved July 27, 2007 from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities from http://www.cbpp.org/9-19-05pov.htm
- Silverman, W., Vernber, E., & Robert, M. (Eds). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2002.
- Singer, M., Anglin, T., Song, L., & Lunghofer, L. (1995). Adolescents' exposure to violence and associated symptoms of psychological trauma, 273(6), 477-482.
- Singer, M., Miller, D., Guo, Shenyang, Flannery, D., Frierson, T., & Slovak, K. (1999). Contributors to violent behavior among elementary and middle school children. Pediatrics, 104(4), 878-884.

- Stice, E., Ragan, J., Randall, P. (2004). Prospective relations between social support and depression: Differential direction of effects for parent and peers support? Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 113(1), 155-159.
- Sullivan, T., Kung, E., & Farrell, A. (2004). Relation between witnessing violence and drug use initiation among rural adolescents: Parental monitoring and family support as protective factors. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescents Psychology, 33(3), 488-498.
- Tabachnick, B. & Fidell, L. (2007). Using multivariate statistics (5<sup>th</sup> ed). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon/Pearson Education.
- Tusaie, K., & Dyer, J. (2004). Resilience: A historical review of the construct. Holistic Nurse Practitioner, 18, 3-8.
- US Census Bureau (2006). American Fact Finder. Retrieved July 27, 2007 from http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/SAFFFacts?\_event=&geo\_id=16000US2255 000&\_geoContext=01000US%7C04000US22%7C16000US2255000&\_street=&\_county=New+Orleans&\_cityTown=New+Orleans&\_state=04000US22&\_zip=&\_lang=en&\_sse=on&ActiveGeoDiv=&\_useEV=&pctxt=fph&pgsl=160&\_subme nuld=factsheet\_1&ds\_name=ACS\_2005\_SAFF&\_ci\_nbr=null&qr\_name=null&reg=& keyword=&\_industry=
- Vernberg, E., LaGreca, A., Silverman, W., Prinstein, M. (1996). Prediction of posttraumatic stress symptoms in children after hurricane Andrew. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 105(2), 237-248.
- Vigil, J., Geary, D. (2008). A preliminary investigation of family coping styles and psychological well-being among adolescent survivors of hurricane Katrina. Journal of Family Psychology, 22(1), 176-180.
- Wasserstein, S. & LaGreca, A. (1998). Hurricane Andrew: parent conflict as a moderator of children's adjustment. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 20(2), 212-224.
- Watson, P., Ritchie, E., Demer, J., Barton, P., & Pfefferbaum, B. In: Interventions following mass violence and disasters: Strategies for mental health practice. Ritchie, E., Watson, P., Friedman, M (Eds). New York: NY, Guilford Press, 2006.
- White, K., Bruce, S., Farrell, A., & Kliewer, W. (1998). Impact of exposure to community violence on anxiety: A longitudinal study of family social support as a protective factors for urban children. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 7(2), 187-203.

- Yorbik, O., Akbiyik, D., Kirmizigul, P., & Sohmen, T. (2004). Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in children after the 1999 Marmara earthquake in Turkey. International Journal of Mental Health, 33(1), 46-58.
- Zimmerman, M., Ramirez-Valles, J., Zapert, K., & Maton, K. (2000). A longitudinal study of stress-buffering effects for urban african-american male adolescent problem behaviors and mental health. Journal of Community Psychology, 28(1), 17-33.

### Appendix A

### **Demographic Questionnaire**

#### ABOUT YOU AND YOUR FAMILY

Please fill out the following background information about yourself and your family. Read each item carefully.

Your age: Your spouse's age: Your child's age: Your child's sex:	
Your Child's School History: Your child's current grade: School your child attended BEFORE to School your child attends NOW, after	(Circle one: Public or Private)
Race:	Marital Status:
White Black Hispanic Asian Native American Pacific Islander Other  Education: What is the highest level of	Married Married Separated Divorced Widowed  of education completed by?
Yourself	Your Spouse
6 <sup>th</sup> grade or lessJunior High school (7 <sup>th</sup> , 8 <sup>th</sup> , 9 <sup>th</sup> g Partial high school (10 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> gra High school graduate Partial college (at least 1 year) or specialized training Standard college or university graduate Graduate professional degree (Master's, Doctorate)	nde) Partial high school (10 <sup>th</sup> , 11 <sup>th</sup> grade) High school graduate

Past Income: What was the (Combine the income of all tassistance.)	total annual income of your hou the people living in your house i	asehold <b>BEFORE</b> the hurricane? right now as well as any government
\$0-4,999 \$5,000-9,999 \$10,000-14,999	\$15,000-24, 999 \$25,000-34,999 \$35,000-49,999	\$50,000-74,999 \$75,000-99,999 \$100,000 and up
Current Income: What is the (Combine the income of all assistance.)	ne total and <b>CURRENT</b> annual the people living in your house	income of your household? right now as well as any government
\$0-4,999 \$5,000-9,999 \$10,000-14,999	\$15,000-24, 999 \$25,000-34,999 \$35,000-49,999	\$50,000-74,999 \$75,000-99,999 \$100,000 and up
	at your annual income is, what is	s your monthly income?
Past Occupation: Please pr BEFORE the hurricane.	rovide the following information	about you and your spouse's job(s)
	About You	
What was your occupation/occupation. If you did not	job title? (If you were retired, pl work outside the home, write "u	eased write "retired" and your past nemployed.")
If employed, what kind of i store, hospital, restaurant, e	ndustry or company? (For exam	ple, elementary school, clothing
	ur job duties? (Please be specific	e.)
·	efore the hurricane, were you so	eeking a new job? Yes / No
	About Your Spouse	}
What was your spouse's oc their past occupation. If th	ecupation/job title? (If they were ey did not work outside the hom	retired, pleased write "retired" and ne, write "unemployed.")

What kind of industry or company did they work for? (For example, elementary school, clothing store, hospital, restaurant, etc.)
What were their job duties? (Please be specific.)
If your spouse was <b>unemployed before</b> the hurricane, were they seeking a job? Yes / No
Current Occupation: Please provide the following information about you and your spouse's job(s) CURRENTLY.
About You
What is your occupation/job title? (If you are retired, pleased write "retired" and your past occupation. If you do not work outside the home, write "unemployed." If your job is the same as it was before the hurricane, please write "same.")
If employed, what kind of industry or company? (For example, elementary school, clothing store, hospital, restaurant, etc.)
If employed, what are your job duties? (Please be specific.)
If you are <b>currently unemployed</b> , are you currently seeking a new job? Yes / No
About Your Spouse
What is your spouse's occupation/job title? (If they are retired, pleased write "retired" and their past occupation. If they do not work outside the home, write "unemployed." If their job is the same as it was before the hurricane, please write "same.")
What kind of industry or company did they work for? (For example, elementary school, clothing store, hospital, restaurant, etc.)

If your spouse is currently une	employed, are they currently se	eking a new job? Yes / No
•	sex of all those living in your h	nousehold BEFORE the hurricane,
Relationship to you	Age	Sex
		Male/Female
What was the TOTAL number hurricane?	of people, including yourself, l	iving in your home BEFORE the
What was the TOTAL number <b>BEFORE</b> the hurricane?		urself, living in your home
What was the TOTAL number <b>BEFORE</b> the hurricane?	of children under 18 living in y	rour home

### Appendix B

### **Hurricane-Related Traumatic Experiences (HURTE)**

# What Happened To You During the Hurricane?

<u>Du</u>	uring the Hurricane	
1.	Where were you during the hurricane? (you	can check more than one)
	in my home	in a closet
	in a friend's or relative's home	in a bathroom
	in a shelter	in a hallway
	out of town (evacuated)	in a car
	in a hotel/motel	in an attic
	other (describe)	
2.	Did windows or doors break in the place you	u stayed during the hurricane?
	a. Yes b. No	
3.	Did you get hurt during the hurricane?	
	a. Yes b. No	
4.	At any time during the hurricane, did you th	ink that you might die?
	a. Yes b. No	·
5.	Did you see anyone else get hurt badly durin	ng the hurricane?
	a. Yes b. No	
6.	Did you have to go outside during the hurric	cane because the building you were staying in was badly damaged?
	a. Yes b. No	
7.	Did a pet you liked get hurt or die during the	e hurricane?
	a. Yes b. No	
8.	Did you get hit by anything falling or flying	during the hurricane?
	a. Yes b. No	
9.	Was your mother or father with you during	the hurricane?
	a. Yes b. No	•
10	). Overall, how scared or upset were you during	ng the hurricane?
	a. Not at all b. A little	
11	1. Did you have to be rescued from the place y	
	a. Yes b. No	

## What Happened To You After The Hurricane?

Aft	er the Hurricane			
1.	Was your home damaged bad	ly or destroyed by	the hurricane?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
2.	Did you have to go to a new s	chool because of the	ne hurricane?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
3.	Did you move to a new place	because of the hur	ricane?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
4.	Did one of your parents lose h	is or her job becau	se of the hurricane?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
5.	Has it been hard to see your fr	riends since the hu	rricane because they moved	l or you moved?
	a. Yes	b. No		
6.	Did your family have trouble	getting enough foc	od or water after the hurrica	.ne?
	a. Yes	b. No		
7.	Were your clothes or toys ruin	ned by the hurrican	ne?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
8.	Did your pet run away or have	e to be given away	because of the hurricane?	
	a. Yes	b. No		
9.	Did you have to live away fro	m your parents for	a week or more because o	f the hurricane?
	a. Yes	b. No		
10	. Has your family had to move	in with friends or 1	relatives since the hurricane	e? .
	a. Yes	b. No		
11	. Overall, how upset about thin	gs have you been s	since the hurricane?	
	a. Not at all	b. A little	C. A lot	d. A whole lot

#### Appendix C

### **UCLA Index for DSM-IV**

Here is a list of problems people sometimes have after very bad things happen. Please **THINK** about a bad thing that happened to you. Then **READ** each problem on the list carefully. **CIRCLE ONE** of the numbers (0,1,2,3, or 4) that tells how often the problem has happened to you in the past month.

# PLEASE BE SURE TO ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS

HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DURING	NONE	LITTLE	SOME	MUCH	MOST
THE PAST MONTH					
1. I watch out for danger or things that I am afraid of.	0	1	2	3	4
2. When something reminds me of what happened, I get very upset, afraid, or sad.	0	1	2	3	4
3. I have upsetting thoughts, pictures, or sounds of what happened come into my mind when I do not want them to.	0	1	2	3	4
4. I feel grouchy, angry, or mad.	0	1	2	3	4
5. I have dreams about what happened or other bad dreams.	0	1	2	3	4
6. I feel like I am back at the time when the bad thing happened, living through it again.	0	1	2	3	4
7. I feel like staying by myself and not being with my friends.	0	1	2	3	4
8. I feel alone inside and not close to other people.	0	1	2	3	4
9. I try not to talk about, think about, or have feelings about what happened.	0	1	2	3	4
10. I have trouble feeling happiness or love.	0	1	2	3	4
11. I have trouble feeling sadness or anger.	0	1	2	3	4
12. I feel jumpy or startle easily, like when I hear a loud noise or when something surprises me.	0	1	2	3	4
13. I have trouble going to sleep or I wake up often during the night.	0	1	2	3	4
14. I think that some part of what happened is my fault.	0	1	2	3	4
15. I have trouble remembering important parts of what happened.	0	1	2	3	4
16. I have trouble concentrating or paying attention.	0	1	2	3	4

17. I try to stay away from people, places, or things that make me remember what	0	1	2	3	4
happened.					
18. When something reminds me of what					
happened, I have strong feelings in my	0	1	2	3	4
body, like my heart beats fast, my head					
aches, or my stomach aches.				2	1
19. I think that I will not live a long life.	0	1	2	3	4
20. I have arguments or physical fights.	0	11	2	3	4
21. I feel pessimistic or negative about my	0	1	2	3	4
future.	J				
22. I am afraid that the bad thing will	0	1	2	3	4
happen again.					

# Appendix D

### SAVE

		How often it happens				
		Never	Hardly Ever	Sometimes	Almost Always	Always
1. I have seen someone	e carry a gun				2	4
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
2. Someone has pulled	a gun on me					
2. Someone has parea	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
3. Grownups beat me u	ın					
J. Grownaps beat me	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
4 Someone my age ha	as threatened to beat me up					
4, bomeone my age me	· - at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	. 0	1	2	3	4
5. I have been shot						
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
6. I have seen the poli	ce arrest someone					
1	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
7. Someone my age h	its me					
, ,	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	- 3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
8. I have seen someon	ne get killed			_	•	4
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
,	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	. 3	4
9. I have seen a grow	nup hit a kid	_		2	2	4
~	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4

	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
10. I have heard about	someone getting shot					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	Ö	î	2	3	4
	in my neighborhood	· ·	1	2	J	,
11. Someone has pulled	d a knife on me					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
			<u>F</u>	Iow often it ha	appens	
		Never	Hardly Ever	Sometimes	Almost Always	Always
12. Grownups threaten	to heat me un					
12. Grownups in caten	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	V	1	2	5	•
13. I have had shots fire	ed at me					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
14. I have seen someon	se carry a knife					
14. I have seen someon	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	O	1	2	J	
15. I have seen someon	ne get shot					•
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2 2	3	4
	•					•
16. I have been attacke	d with a knife					-
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
17. I have seen a kid hi	t a grazinin					
1/. 1 Have Seell a kid iii	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	3	¬ 1
		0	1	2	3	<b>→</b> 1
	- in my neighborhood	, ,	1	4	J	+
18. I have seen people	scream at each other					
1 P	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4 .
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4

19. I have seen someon	ne pull a gun on someone else					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
20. I have seen someon	ne get beaten up					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
21. I have heard about	someone getting killed					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
22. I have heard about	someone getting attacked with a k	mife				
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
			H	ow often it ha	ppens	
		Never	Hardly Ever	Sometimes	Almost Always	Always
23. I have heard about	someone getting beaten up					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
24. I have seen someo	ne pull a knife on someone else					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	. 0	1	2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
25. I have been badly	hurt					
•	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
26. I have seen someo	one get attacked with a knife					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	. 4
27. I hear gunshots						
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
28. I have seen some	one get badly hurt					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	•					

	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
29. I have ran for cover	when people started shooting					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
30. Grownups scream a	t me					
•	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2 2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
31. I have heard of som	eone carrying a gun					
	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4
32. Grownups hit me						
•	- at my school	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my home	0	1	2	3	4
	- in my neighborhood	0	1	2	3	4

#### Appendix E

Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ)

INSTRUCTIONS: The following are a number of statements about your family. Please rate each item as how often it TYPICALLY occurs in your home. The possible answers are: 1 = Never 2 = Almost Never 3

TYPICALLY occurs in your home. The possible answers are:		5 - A 1.			
1 = Never $2 = $ Almost Never $3 = $ Sometimes $4 = $ Ofte	n	5 = Ab	-	4	5
1. You have a friendly talk with your child.	l	2	3	4	5
2. You let your child know when he/she is doing a good job with something.	1	2	3	4	5
3. You threaten to punish your child and then do not actually punish him/her.	1	2	.3	4	5
4. You volunteer to help with special activities your child is involved with (such	1	2	3	4	5
as sports, scouts)	1	2	2	4	5
5. You reward or give something extra to your child for obeying you or behaving well.	1	2	3	4	5 5
6. Your child fails to leave a note or let you know where he/she is going.	1	2	3	4	5
7. You play a game or do other fun things with your child.	1	2	3	4	
8. Your child talks you out of being punished after he/she has done something wrong.	1	2	3	4	5
9. You ask your child about his/her day in school.	1	2	3	4	5
10. Your child stays out in the evening past the time he/she is supposed to be home.	1	2	3	4	5
11. You help your child with his/her homework.	1	2	3	4	5
12. You feel that getting your child to obey you is more trouble than it's worth.	1	2	3	4	5
13. You compliment your child when he/she has done something well.	. 1	2	3	4	5
14. You ask your child what his/her plans are for the coming day.	1	2	3	4	5
15. You drive your child to a special activity.	1	2	3	4	5 5 5
16. You praise your child for behaving well.	1	2	3	4	5
17. Your child is out with friends that you don't know.	1	2	3	4	5
18. You hug or kiss your child when he/she has done something well.	1	2	3	4	5
19. Your child goes out without a set time to be home.	1	2	3	4	5
20. You talk to your child about his/her friends.	1	2	3	4	5
21. Your child is out after dark without an adult with him/her.	1	2	3	4	5
22. You let your child out of a punishment early (like lift restrictions earlier than you	1	2	3	4	5
22. You let your child out of a pullishment carry (like hit restrictions earlier than you					
said).	1	2	3	4	5
<ul><li>23. Your child helps plan family activities.</li><li>24. You get so busy that you forget where your child is and what he/she is doing.</li></ul>	1	2	3	4	5 5 5
24. You get so busy that you lorget where your chird is and what he she is doing.	1	2	3	4	5
25. Your child is not punished when he/she has done something wrong.	1	2	3	4	5
26. You attend PTA meetings, parent-teacher conferences, or other meetings at your	1	22	5	•	
child's school.	1	2	3	4	5
27. You tell your child that you like it when he/she helps out around the house.	1	2	3	4	5
28. You don't check that your child comes home at the time he/she was supposed to.	1	2	3	4	5
29. You don't tell your child where you are going.	1	2	3 3	4	5
30. Your child comes home from school more than an hour past the time you expect him/her.	1	2	5	•	J
31. The punishment you give your child depends on your mood.	1	2	3	4	5
32. Your child is at home without adult supervision.	1	2	3	4	5
33. You spank your child with your hand when he/she has done something wrong.	1	2	3	4	5
33. You spank your cliffd when holshe is mishehaving	1	2	3	4	5
34. You ignore your child when he/she is misbehaving.	1	2	3	4	5
35. You slap your child when he/she does something wrong.	1	2	3	4	5
36. You take away a privilege or money from your child as a punishment.	1	2	3	4	5
37. You send your child to his/her room as a punishment.	1	2	3	4	5
38. You hit your child with a belt, switch, or other object when he/she has done	1	L	3	4	,
something wrong.  39. You yell or scream at your child when he/she has done something wrong.	1	2	3	4	5
J). Tou you of seround at your olive them her has some and a series and					

40. You calmly explain to your child why his/her behavior was wrong when he/she	1	2	3	4	5
misbehaves.		2	2	4	5
41. You use time-out (make him/her sit or stand in a corner) as punishment.	-	_	_	4	_
42. You give your child extra chores as a punishment.	1	2	3	4	5

## Appendix F

## Harter's Social Support Scale for Children (SSSC)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS:</u> Read each statement. Then, circle the one statement that **you think is true for you.** Then, check one of the boxes below the statement you circled.

#### SAMPLE ITEM

Some kids like to do fun things with a lot of other people.		BUT	Other kids like to do fun people.	things with just a few
□ Really True for Me	☐ Sort of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	□ Really True for Me
1. Some kids have paren understand them.	ts who don't really	BUT	Other kids have parents without.	who really $do$ understand
☐ Really True for Me	☐ Sort of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	□ Really True for Me
2. Some kids have classi way they are.	nates who like them the	BUT	Other kids have classmat different.	tes who wish they were
☐ Really True for Me	☐ Sort of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
3. Some kids have a tead they are <i>upset</i> and have ☐ Really True for Me	cher who <i>helps</i> them if a problem.  ☐ Sort of True for Me	BUT	Others kids <i>don't</i> have a if they are upset and hav Sort of True for Me	teacher who helps them e a problem.  □ Really True for Me
4. Some kids have a clost tell problems to.  ☐ Really True for Me	se friend who they can	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have a comproblems to.  ☐ Sort of True for Me	close friend who they can tell ☐ Really True for Me
5. Some kids have parer want to hear about their ☐ Really True for Me	nts who <i>don't</i> seem to children's problems.  ☐ Sort of True for Me	BUT	Other kids have parents their children's problem   Sort of True for Me	
6. Some kids have class become friends with.  ☐ Really True for Me	mates that they can  ☐ Sort of True for Me	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have cl become friends with.	assmates that they can  ☐ Really True for Me
7. Some kids <i>don't</i> have them to do their very be	e a teacher who helps	BUT	Other kids <i>do</i> have a tea do their very best.	·
Really True for Me  8. Some kids have a clounderstands them.	☐ Sort of True for Me  Sort of True for Me	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have a understands them  Sort of True for Me	•

<ol> <li>Some kids have parents who car feelings.</li> </ol>	e about their	BUT	Other kids have parents who don't their children's feelings.	t seem to care very much about
	of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
10. Some kids have classmates who sometim	es make fun of	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have class of them.	smates who make fun
	of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
11. Some kids <i>do</i> have a teacher w them.	ho cares about	ВUТ	Other kids <i>don't</i> have a te them.	
☐ Really True for Me ☐ Sort of	of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
12. Some kids have a close friend talk to about things that bother the ☐ Really True for Me ☐ Sort of	who they can m. of True for Me	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have a claud talk to about things that b  ☐ Sort of True for Me	
13. Some kids have parents who trehildren like a <i>person</i> who really r □ Really True for Me □ Sort	reat their natters. of True for Me	BUT	Other kids have parents we their children like a perso ☐ Sort of True for Me	who don't usually treat n who matters.  ☐ Really True for Me
14. Some kids have classmates who what they say.  ☐ Really True for Me ☐ Sort	no pay attention	BUT	Other kids have classmate attention to what they say ☐ Sort of True for Me	
15. Some kids <i>don't</i> have a teache them.  □ Really True for Me □ Sort	or who is fair to	BUT	Other kids <i>do</i> have a teac	her who is fair to them.  Really True for Me
16. Some kids <i>don't</i> have a close friend who time with.	othey like to spend of True for Me	BUT	Other kids <i>do</i> have a closspend time with  ☐ Sort of True for Me	e friend who they like to  ☐ Really True for Me
17. Some kids have parents who I way they are.  □ Really True for Me □ Sort	ike them the	BUT	Other kids have parents were <i>different</i> .	who wish their children   Really True for Me
18. Some kids <i>don't</i> get asked to play games very often.	s with classmates	BUT	Other kids <i>often</i> get aske their classmates.	
	of True for Me	BUT	☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
19. Some kids <i>don't</i> have a teacher they feel bad.  □ Really True for Me □ Sort	er who cares if of True for Me	БОІ	Other kids <i>do</i> have a tead feel bad.  ☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
20. Some kids <i>don't</i> have a close friend who	o really <i>listens</i> to what	BUT	Other kids do have a close friend	I who really listens to what they

☐ Really True for Me	☐ Sort of True for Me		say.  ☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
21. Some kids have parents who a do is important.		BUT	Other kids have parents who <i>do</i> ac important.	t like what their children do is
☐ Really True for Me	☐ Sort of True for Me		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
22. Some kids often alone.  □ Really True for Me	spend recess being  ☐ Sort of True for Me	BUT	Other kids spend recess pl	aying with their
Really True for Me	Boot of True for Inte		☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
23. Some kids have a teac like a person. ☐ Really True for Me	cher who treats them	BUT	Other kids <i>don't</i> have a te like person.	acher who treats them
•			☐ Sort of True for Me	☐ Really True for Me
24, Some kids <i>don't</i> have a close feelings.  ☐ Really True for Me	friend who cares about their    Sort of True for Me	BUT	Other kids <i>do</i> have a close their feelings.	e friend who cares about
□ Keany True for Mc	Dort of True for The		☐ Sort of True for Me	$\square$ Really True for Me

# Appendix G

### BASC

	Mark: T-True F-False	1 0
		1 9
1.	I like who I am.	TF
2.	I hate taking tests.	ΤF
3.	Nothing goes my way.	ΤF
4.	My muscles get sore a lot.	TF
5.	People tell me I should pay more attention.	ΤF
6.	Things go wrong for me when I try hard.	ΤF
7.	I get mad at my parents sometimes.	ΤF
8.	I used to be happier.	TF
9.	I often have headaches.	ΤF
10.	I don't care about school.	ΤF
11.	I can never seem to relax.	ΤF
12.	I always go to bed on time.	ΤF
13.	My classmates don't like me.	TF
14.	I worry about tests more than my classmates do.	TF
15.	My parents are always right.	TF
16.	If I have a problem, I can usually work it out.	TF
17.	I never break the rules.	TF
18.	I have not seen a car in at least 6 months.	TF
19.	What I want never seems to matter.	TF
20.	I worry about little things.	TF
21.	Nothing is fun anymore.	TF
22.	I never get into trouble.	TF
23.	I tell the truth every single time.	TF
24.	I never seem to get anything right.	TF
25.	I have never been mean to anyone.	TF
26.	My friends have more fun than I do.	TF
27.	I like loud music.	TF
28.	I always do what my parents tell me.	TF
29.	No matter how much I study for a test, I am afraid I will fail.	TF
30.	I cover up my work when the teacher walks by.	TF
31.	I wish I were different.	TF
32.	I have just returned from a 9-month trip on an ocean liner.	TF
33.	Nobody ever listens to me.	TF
34.	Often I feel sick in my stomach.	TF
35.	I think that I have a short attention span.	TF
36.	My parents have too much control over my life.	TF
37.	My teacher understands me.	TF
38.	I just don't care anymore.	TF

,		,
39.	Sometimes my ears hurt for no reason.	TF
40.	I don't like thinking about school.	TF
41.	I worry a lot of the time.	TF
42.	I get along well with my parents	TF
43.	Other children don't like to be with me.	TF
		1 0
		T T
44.	I wish I were someone else.	TF
45.	I tell my parents everything.	TF
46.	I can handle most things on my own.	TF
47.	I like to take chances.	TF
48.	I am sometimes jealous.	TF
49	My parents are always telling me what to do.	TF
50.	I often worry about something bad happening to me.	TF
51.	I don't seem to do anything right.	TF
52.	I like everyone I meet.	TF
53.	I have attention problems.	TF
54.	Most things are harder for me than others.	TF
55.	I have some bad habits.	ΤF
56.	Other children are happier than I am.	TF
57.	I would rather be a police officer than a teacher.	TF
58.	I always do homework on time.	TF
59.	I take a plane trip from New York to Chicago at least twice a	TF
	week.	
60.	I never quite reach my goal.	TF
61.	I feel good about myself.	TF
62.	Sometimes, when alone, I hear my name.	TF
63.	Nothing ever goes right for me.	TF
64.	I get sick more than others.	TF
65.	I give up easily.	ΤF
66.	My parents blame too many of their problems on me.	TF
67.	My teacher cares about me.	TF
68.	Nothing about me is right.	TF
69.	My stomach gets upset more than most people's.	TF
	<b>REMEMBER</b> : Indicate how frequently each behavior	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
	occurs by circling	
	N—Never S—Sometimes O—Often A—Always	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 3 4
70.	My school feels good to me.	NSOA
71.	I get so nervous I can't breath.	N S O A
72.	I am proud of my parents.	N S O A
73.	Other kids hate to be with me.	N S O A
74.	I like the way I look.	N S O A
/ T.	1 The the way I took.	

75.	People say bad things to me.	N S O A
76.	I am dependable.	NSOA
77.	I like it when my friends dare me to do something.	NSOA
78.	When I get angry, I can't think about anything else.	N S O A
79.	I get blamed for things I can't help.	N S O A
80.	I worry when I go to bed at night.	N S O A
		1 2 3 4
81.	I feel like my life is getting worse and worse.	N S O A
82.	School is boring.	N S O A
83.	I forget things.	N S O A
84.	Even when I try hard, I fail.	N S O A
85.	My teacher trusts me.	N S O A
86.	People act as if they don't hear me.	N S O A
87.	I like to play rough sports.	N S O A
88.	I have trouble standing still in lines.	N S O A
89.	I can't seem to turn off my mind.	N S O A
90.	I am disappointed with my grades.	N S O A
91.	I get upset about my looks.	N S O A
92.	I feel like people are out to get me.	N S O A
93.	I feel depressed.	N S O A
94.	I sleep with my schoolbooks.	N S O A
95.	I listen when people are talking to me.	N S O A
96.	I stay awake for 24 hours without getting tired.	N S O A
97.	Teachers make me feel stupid.	N S O A
98.	No one understands me.	N S O A
99.	I feel dizzy.	N S O A
100.	Someone wants to hurt me.	N S O A
101.	I feel guilt about things.	N S O A
102.	I like going places with my parents.	NSOA
103.	I feel that nobody likes me.	N S O A
104.	I am good at things.	N S O A
105.	I am lonely.	N S O A
106.	I can solve difficulty problems by myself.	N S O A
107.	I like to experiment with new things.	N S O A
108.	I get nervous.	N S O A
109.	My parents expect too much from me.	NSOA
110.	I worry but I don't know why.	NSOA
111.	I feel sad.	N S O A
112.	I get bored in school.	N S O A
113.	I have trouble paying attention to the teacher.	N S O A
114.	When I take tests, I can't think.	'N S O A
115.	Teachers look for the bad things that you do.	N S O A
116.	I am left out of things.	N S O A
117.	I like to ride in a car that is going fast.	N S O A

118.	I talk while other people are taking.	N S O A
119.	When alone, I feel like someone is watching me.	NSOA
120.	I want to do better, but I can't.	N S O A
121.	My looks bother me.	N S O A
122.	I hear voices in my head that no one else can hear.	N S O A
123.	I am good at making decisions.	N S O A
124.	I have trouble sitting still.	NSOA
125.	I pay attention when someone is telling me how to do	N. G. O. A.
	something.	N S O A
126.	My parents are easy to talk to.	N S O A
127.	Teachers are unfair.	N S O A
128.	I have a hard time slowing down.	N S O A
129.	I like going to bed at night.	N S O A
130.	I see weird things.	N S O A
131.	I get nervous when things do not go the right way for me.	N S O A
132.	My mother and father like my friends.	N S O A
133.	People think I am fun to be with.	NSOA
134.	I feel like I have to get up and move around.	N S O A
135.	Other people find things wrong with me.	N S O A
136.	I like to make decisions on my own.	N S O A
137.	I like to be the first one to try new things.	N S O A
138.	Little things bother me.	N S O A
139.	I am blamed for things I don't do.	N S O A
140.	I worry about what is going to happen.	N S O A
141.	My mother and father help me if I ask them to.	N S O A
142.	I feel like I want to quit school.	N S O A
143.	I have trouble paying attention to what I am doing.	N S O A
144.	I fail at things.	N S O A
145.	My teacher is proud of me.	N S O A
146.	I feel out of place around people.	N S O A
147.	I like to dare others to do things.	N S O A
148.	I talk without waiting for others to say something.	N S O A
149.	Someone else controls my thoughts.	NSOA
150.	I quit easily.	NSOA
151.	I am slow to make new friends.	N S O A
152.	I do things over and over and can't stop.	N S O A
153.	My friends come to me for help.	NSOA
154.	People tell me to be still.	N S O A
155.	My parents listen to what I say.	NSOA
156.	I like to be close to my parents.	NSOA
157.	My teachers want too much.	NSOA
158.	When I get angry, I want to break something.	NSOA
159.	I get phone calls from popular movie actors.	N S O A
160.	I hear things that others cannot hear.	N S O A
161.	I get mad at others	NSOA
	1 - B	t

		,
162.	I have trouble sleeping the night before a big test.	N S O A
163.	I am liked by others.	N S O A
164.	People tell me that I am too noisy.	N S O A
165.	I feel that others do not like the way I do things.	N S O A
166.	I am someone you can rely on.	N S O A
167.	When I get angry, I want to hurt someone.	NSOA
168.	When I start talking, it is hard for me to stop.	N S O A
169.	People get mad at me, even when I don't do anything wrong.	N S O A
170.	I am afraid of a lot of things.	N S O A
171.	My parents trust me.	NSOA
172.	I hate school.	N S O A
173.	My parents are proud of me.	N S O A
174.	Ideas just race through my mind.	N S O A
175	My teachers get mad at me for no good reason.	N S O A
176.	Other people are against me.	N S O A

#### Vita

Karen Davidson was born in Stamford, Connecticut. She moved 9 times before beginning graduate school at Louisiana State University in Baton Rouge. In 2001, she received her Bachelor of Art from Colgate University in Hamilton, New York, with a double major in psychology and German. In 2004, Karen received her Master's of Art from Louisiana State University in clinical psychology. She completed her graduate degree in 2009 when she received her doctorate from Louisiana State University in Clinical Psychology. Karen is married to an attorney. She and her husband have two children, a boy aged 6 and a girl aged 7 and 6, and are expecting their third child. Karen and her family live in Rio Rancho, New Mexico, where Karen is working as a school psychologist.