

Correlates of Inappropriate Prescription of Antibiotics to Patients with Malaria in Uganda

Arianna Rubin Means

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of:

Master of Public Health

University of Washington
2013

Committee:
R. Scott McClelland
Marcia Weaver

Program Authorized to Offer Degree:
Epidemiology – Public Health

©Copyright 2013
Arianna Rubin Means

Abstract

Background

In many areas, febrile patients presenting to health facilities are prescribed both antimalarials and antibiotics. It is not well understood which patient and facility-level factors are associated with health worker deviation from clinical guidelines and inappropriate drug treatment. The objective of this study was to identify the prevalence and correlates of inappropriately prescribing antibiotics when not required as well as of inappropriately withholding antibiotics following a clinical indication, in a population of malaria-positive patients in Uganda.

Methods

We utilized outpatient data from an evaluation of an integrated infectious disease training intervention including facilities from all health administrative regions. We identified patients who were prescribed an antibiotic without an appropriate clinical indication, as well as patients who were not prescribed an antibiotic when antibiotic treatment was clinically indicated. Separate multivariate logistic regression models were used to identify clinical and operational factors associated with inappropriately prescribing antibiotics and with inappropriately withholding antibiotics.

Findings

Of the 45,591 patients with malaria, 40,870 (90%) did not have a clinical indication for antibiotic treatment. Within this group, 17,152 (42%) were inappropriately prescribed antibiotics. Inappropriate prescription of antibiotics was more likely if the patient was less than five years old (aOR 1.96, 95% CI 1.75-2.19) and if the health provider was in the lowest training cadre (aOR 1.86, 95% CI 1.05-3.29). In contrast, patients were less likely to be inappropriately prescribed antibiotics if they were categorized as emergency triage status (aOR 0.75, 95% CI 0.59-0.96) or were HIV positive (aOR 0.31, 95% CI 0.20-0.45). Of the 4,721 (10%) patients with indications for antibiotic treatment, 521 (11%) were inappropriately

not prescribed antibiotics despite having a clinical indication. Correlates of inappropriately withholding antibiotics included being treated by a medical officer (compared to clinical officers: aOR 0.54, 95% CI 0.29-0.98) and visiting a facility in a high entomologic inoculation rate area (compared to very high: aOR 2.11, 95% CI 1.52-2.94).

Interpretation

Much of the antibiotic treatment in malaria positive patients is prescribed despite a lack of documented clinical indication. These findings provide important data for decision making regarding the refinement of clinical guideline trainings.

Introduction

In Uganda malaria is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality, accounting for 25-40% of outpatient visits to health facilities and nearly half of inpatient pediatric deaths [1]. In many rural areas, febrile patients presenting to health facilities are prescribed both antimalarials and antibiotics, contributing to the overuse of antibiotics [2-4]. While clinical algorithms such as the WHO's Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) explicitly identify conditions appropriate for antibiotic treatment, the WHO estimates that in less developed countries only 40% of primary care patients in the public sector and 30% in the private sector are treated according to clinical guidelines [5, 6]. Overuse of antibiotics contributes to antimicrobial resistance, high healthcare costs, and poor patient confidence in healthcare quality [6-8].

In general, factors that influence health worker performance and guideline adherence fall into two categories: interventional (e.g., training) and non-interventional (e.g., age of patient or other clinical factors). Enhanced algorithmic trainings and guidelines such as the IMCI and the Integrated Management of Adult Illness (IMAI) can improve the quality of clinical care in low-resource countries [9-15]. However, increasing guideline training coverage alone is not sufficient. In Uganda, while IMCI trained health workers deliver significantly better healthcare compared to their counterparts not trained in IMCI, absolute levels of service quality are still low [10]. A review of factors that influence IMCI adherence found that supervision, in-service training, and job aids gave mixed results in improving the use of medicines and health worker performance [16]. It is not well understood which clinical and operational factors are associated with health worker deviation from clinical guidelines and inappropriate drug treatment.

The objective of this study was to identify the prevalence and correlates of inappropriate antibiotic treatment in patients with a positive malaria smear or rapid diagnostic test (RDT), in a setting with

endemic malaria and other bacterial diseases. Specifically, this analysis utilized data from the Uganda Infectious Diseases Capacity-Building Evaluation (IDCAP) mixed design cluster-randomized trial (CRT) to identify the clinical and operational factors associated with incorrect use as well as non-use of antibiotic treatment. Preliminary IDCAP analyses found that enhanced training interventions improved clinical performance in malaria case management. However the interventions did not influence overall antibiotic prescription practices amongst patients with a positive malaria test [17]. Accordingly, this analysis will be helpful in understanding correlates of inappropriate treatment practices and in guiding the design of clinical trainings aimed at ensuring high quality care in low resource areas.

Methods

Study design and setting

In the IDCAP CRT two mid-level practitioners from each of 36 Ugandan level IV health centers (or comparable facilities) participated in a core Integrated Management of Infectious Disease (IMID) training course. The initial 3 week session was followed by two 1 week booster courses from November 2009 to September 2011 [18]. All sites received the IMID intervention. Half of the sites were randomly selected to receive an additional two-day, on-site support (OSS) training with continuous quality improvement (CQI) each month from April to December 2010 (Arm A). The other half of the sites served as controls and later received OSS monthly from April to September 2011 (Arm B). Standardized outpatient forms were used to collect data regarding facility-based indicators in all participating clinics. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for facilities and health providers have been described in detail in an earlier IDCAP publication [18].

This study is a multi-level cross-sectional analysis of the IDCAP data with individual patients as the unit of analysis. Participants were male and female patients of any age who attended healthcare facilities

from January 2011 through September 2011, and had a positive test for malaria by microscopic smear or RDT. These individuals accessed care at 36 health centers, representing all health administrative regions in Uganda.

Identification of outcomes: Inappropriate antibiotic management

Malaria positive patients were categorized according to whether they had additional diagnoses necessitating antibiotic treatment. Diagnoses were recorded on standardized outpatient forms by health providers marking diagnosis checkboxes or writing diagnoses onto the forms by hand. Diagnoses written by hand were manually screened and categorized. All diagnoses were classified according to whether antibiotic treatment would be considered appropriate or inappropriate according to the Ministry of Health's National Guidelines on Management of Common Conditions, the IMCI manual, and the IMAI manual [5, 19, 20]. Conditions that were considered to be appropriate versus not appropriate for antibiotic treatment were further reviewed by a group of Ugandan physicians to ensure that they were aligned with local standards of care. Diagnoses identified as appropriate and inappropriate indications for antibiotic treatment can be found in Table 1. Patients were classified according to whether or not they received an antibiotic without an appropriate clinical indication or, in contrast, did not receive an antibiotic when antibiotic treatment was clinically indicated. Even when no indication for antibiotic treatment was documented, administration of doxycycline was not considered inappropriate due to its utility in malaria treatment.

Identification of clinical and operational exposures

Eight primary exposures were selected for analysis, including three clinical variables and five operational variables. Clinical exposure variables included HIV status, triage status, and age. Patients categorized as HIV positive included individuals diagnosed as positive at the time of their study visit. HIV positive patients who received cotrimoxazole prophylaxis were not considered to have received inappropriate

antibiotics, as this is standard of care. Triage status was categorized by health providers on standardized forms as emergency, priority, or standard. Age was categorized into three levels including less than 5 years, 5-14 years, and greater than 14 years of age.

Operational exposures are facility-level exposures that may influence a health provider's perception of risk, ability to treat patients according to clinical guidelines, and decision-making latitude. Exposures selected for this analysis included training level of the health provider, patient returning with the same chief complaint, less than 50% antimalarial availability during the week of a patient's visit, less than 50% antibiotic availability during the week of a patient's visit, and entomological inoculation rate (EIR) associated with a health facility's coverage area. Health provider training levels, in decreasing order by level of training, included medical officer, clinical officer, nurse, midwife, and other (less-skilled professionals). Patient visits were categorized as first visit for chief complaint versus two or more visits for the same primary complaint. Antimalarial availability was calculated by dividing the number of patients who actually received any antimalarial by the number of patients for whom an antimalarial was prescribed in a given week. Antibiotic availability was calculated in the same manner. The EIR is the number of infective bites per person per year, reported by the Ugandan MOH and categorized as very high, high, medium, or low [21].

Statistical Analysis

We generated descriptive statistics by calculating number and percentage of the population for each categorical variable. Associations between exposure variables and the two outcomes of inappropriate antibiotic use and failure to prescribe antibiotics when clinically indicated were first evaluated using univariate logistic regression models. Variables associated with the outcomes in univariate analyses ($P < 0.1$) were included in multivariate logistic regression models using a general estimating equation, clustering by health facility to account for intra-facility correlation. Separate multivariate models were fit

to describe clinical and operational associations with inappropriate antibiotic treatment and with failure to prescribe antibiotics when clinically indicated. Model fit was assessed at both the univariate and multivariate levels using the link test. Residual analyses were conducted for each model by analyzing delta-beta values. The threshold for statistical significance was a two-tailed p-value ≤ 0.05 . Analyses were performed using Stata v.11 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

In addition to considering our primary exposures for inclusion in the multivariate models, three additional variables were identified *a priori* as potential confounding factors. These included facility type (private or public), patient gender, and visit month. The estimates were adjusted for the covariate when the variable was associated with the outcome of interest in univariate analysis ($P < 0.1$).

Multiple imputation was used to address missing values for five variables including age (0.7% missing), triage status (11% missing), provider training level (12% missing), return visits (3.5% missing), and patient gender (1.5% missing). The multivariable imputation via chained equations (MICE) method was used based on the assumption that data were missing at random. Fifteen variables were included in the imputation model. Logistic regression was used to impute missing values with five iterations. Derived estimates from each iteration were combined using Rubin's methods [22]. We also performed a sensitivity analysis including only complete cases. Estimates from the complete case analysis did not differ substantively from those produced with multiple imputation. Therefore, only the ORs, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values derived through imputation are presented.

Results

From January to September 2011, 45,591 patients tested positive for malaria by RDT or smear in the 36 health facilities participating in the IDCAP study. Of these patients, 25,800 (57%) were female (Table 2), and 22,778 (50%) were under the age of five. 1,109 (3%) were categorized as emergency triage status. There were 40,870 (90%) patients without a clinical indication for antibiotic treatment. Within this group

17,152 (42%) were inappropriately prescribed antibiotics. In contrast, of the 4,721 (10%) malaria patients with a clinical indication for antibiotic treatment, only 521 (11%) were inappropriately not prescribed an antibiotic.

Antibiotic prescriptions to patients without an indication for antibiotic treatment

In an analysis of clinical factors associated with inappropriate prescription of antibiotics to patients without an indication, those who were HIV-positive (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.18-0.32) and those classified as emergency triage status (compared to standard; OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.55-0.71) were less likely to receive antibiotics in univariate analyses (Table 3). Compared to adults, children less than 5 years old (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.86-2.03) and children 5-14 years old (OR 1.07, 95% CI 1.01-2.14) were more likely to receive antibiotics. In multivariate analysis controlling for confounding factors, HIV positive and emergency triage patients remained less likely to be inappropriately treated with antibiotics. Children under five remained more likely to be inappropriately treated relative to adults.

Considering operational factors associated with inappropriate prescription of antibiotics, univariate analyses demonstrated that patients visiting two or more times for their chief complaint (OR 0.83, 95%CI 0.69-0.99) were less likely to be inappropriately treated with antibiotics (Table 4). Patients visiting facilities in medium EIR areas (OR 0.81, 95% CI 0.73-0.90) were also less likely to receive an inappropriate antibiotic prescription. Nurses (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.06-1.47), midwives (OR 1.27, 95% CI 1.02-1.59), and other providers with lower levels of training (OR 1.84, 95 CI%1.55-2.19) were more likely to inappropriately prescribe antibiotics relative to medical officers. Patients visiting facilities in high EIR areas (OR 1.25, 95% CI 1.19-1.31), during antimalarial shortages (OR 1.43, 95% CI 1.34-1.53), or during antibiotic shortages (OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.03-1.13) were also more likely to be inappropriately treated. In multivariate analysis, only care provided by lower skilled health providers and antimalarial shortages remained significantly associated with an increased odds of inappropriate treatment.

Antibiotic prescription to patients with an indication for antibiotic treatment

In patients with a clinical indication for antibiotic treatment, failure to prescribe antibiotics was considered to be a deviation from the clinical guidelines. In univariate analyses, those with priority (OR 0.30, 95% CI 0.19-0.48) or emergency (OR 0.33, 95% CI 0.23-0.46) triage status were less likely to have antibiotics withheld relative to standard triage patients (Table 5). In contrast, patients under age 5 were more likely to have antibiotics withheld compared to adult patients (OR 1.38, 95% CI 1.14-1.68). However, in multivariate analysis, no clinical exposure variables remained significantly associated with the outcome of inappropriately withholding antibiotics.

In univariate analyses of operational factors associated with failure to prescribe antibiotics when clinically indicated, patients visiting two or more times for their chief complaint were more likely to have treatment inappropriately withheld (OR 2.09, 95% CI 1.13-3.87) (Table 6). Patients visiting facilities in low EIR (OR 2.07, 95% CI 1.07-4.00), medium EIR (OR 1.40, 95% CI 0.74-2.66), and high EIR (OR 2.13, 95% CI 1.76-2.57) areas were also more likely to have treatment inappropriately withheld relative to patients visiting facilities in very high EIR areas. Midwives (OR 0.23, 95% CI 0.73-0.70) and other providers with lower training levels (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.16-0.61) were less likely to inappropriately withhold antibiotics relative to medical officers. Clinical officers (OR 0.59, 95% CI 0.35-1.02) were also less likely than medical officers to inappropriately withhold antibiotics when a clinical indication was present, though this association was not statistically significant. In multivariate analysis, return patients and patients visiting facilities in high EIR areas remained more likely to have antibiotics withheld inappropriately despite a clinical indication. The lowest trained cadre of health providers remained less likely to inappropriately withhold antibiotics. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, clinical officers were significantly less likely to inappropriately withhold antibiotics relative to medical officers (aOR 0.54, 95% CI 0.29-0.98).

Discussion

In this nationwide sample of malaria patients presenting to health facilities in Uganda, over-prescription of antibiotics was extremely common. Specifically, while only 10% of patients were categorized as requiring antibiotic treatment according to clinical guidelines, 47% were prescribed an antibiotic. Despite the overall excess of antibiotic prescription, there were also cases where antibiotics were withheld even when clinically indicated. In this sample 11% of patients with indications for antibiotic treatment were not prescribed antibiotics. Considering these groups together, 39% of malaria patients did not receive appropriate antibiotic management according to clinical guidelines.

Our estimate of the proportion of malaria patients requiring antibiotic treatment is higher than estimates from an earlier study in Uganda [23]. In that study, it was estimated that approximately 5% of malaria patients required antibiotics based on clinical presentation, but 26% were prescribed antibiotics. Nonetheless, both studies show a substantial excess of antibiotic treatment. A review of 900 studies to identify patterns of antibiotic use in primary care found that 54% of patients in less developed countries were prescribed antibiotics [24]. This level of antibiotic use is similar to our finding that 47% of Ugandan malaria patients were prescribed antibiotics. However, most studies of antibiotic prescription rates do not differentiate between appropriate and inappropriate use [25, 26].

Previous studies in Uganda and Tanzania found that malaria positive children under five are more likely to be prescribed antibiotics compared to older patients [23, 27]. This likely reflects provider concern regarding perceived patient vulnerability. Like the Tanzanian and Ugandan studies, our analyses showed that children under the age of five were more likely to be inappropriately prescribed antibiotics. In addition, our study adds important new information by demonstrating that much of the additional antibiotic treatment in malaria positive children under five is likely to be given despite the lack of a documented clinical indication.

Patients who were categorized as emergency triage status were less likely to be inappropriately administered antibiotics compared to standard triage patients. This finding may initially seem surprising, but could reflect greater provider confidence in malaria diagnoses when patients are both severely ill and have a positive malaria smear. It is also possible that more time is spent clarifying the diagnosis of patients with emergency triage status, increasing the likelihood of appropriate antibiotic use compared to patients who are not as urgently ill.

In our study, HIV positive patients who were prescribed cotrimoxazole were not considered inappropriately treated, since this is recommended as prophylaxis. We observed that patients with HIV were 70% less likely to receive an inappropriate prescription for antibiotics. It is possible that providers were more comfortable not prescribing antibiotics to patients already on cotrimoxazole.

In general, medical officers prescribed antibiotics less frequently than other health providers in our analysis. In the 90% of malaria patients with no clinical indication for antibiotics, medical officers were less likely than other health providers to inappropriately administer antibiotics. A study of inappropriate antibiotic treatment of children with cough or diarrhea in Tanzania also found that medical officers were less likely to inappropriately treat children with antibiotics relative to health professionals with lower levels of training [28]. The authors reason that continuing medical education offered to higher-trained providers causes them to be less likely to engage in irrational antibiotic use. This may also be the case in our study, but requires further research. Of note, we also observed that medical officers were more likely to inappropriately withhold antibiotics when indicated relative to other health providers.

Malaria positive patients who visited health facilities during weeks when antimalarial availability was low (i.e. drugs were prescribed but not dispensed to more than half of patient visits that week) were more likely to be inappropriately prescribed an antibiotic. The reason for this observation is not entirely clear. It is possible that when antimalarial stock was absent, providers felt compelled to try to prescribe

another drug. Further research into prescribing practices in the setting of stock shortages could help to address this question and point to strategies for reducing inappropriate antibiotic prescription practices.

Given rising rates of antibiotic resistance globally and the considerable overlap in febrile illness presentation, it has become increasingly important to identify strategies to achieve rational antibiotic use in malaria endemic countries [29, 30]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to disaggregate appropriate from inappropriate antibiotic use among malaria patients. By identifying correlates of inappropriate antibiotic use, these findings should inform development of future guideline-based trainings on the management of malaria patients

There are potential limitations to this analysis. First, record keeping and data entry are often incomplete in busy health centers [31]. In addition, checkboxes on standardized patient forms were not available for all bacterial illnesses, and some providers may have failed to write diagnoses onto the forms by hand. Provider discretion is also important, but is not captured in our analysis. All of these factors make it likely that some diagnoses and prescriptions were incorrectly categorized. A second potential limitation relates to the fact that several studies have documented high rates of concurrent malaria and bacteremia in children, leading some authors to conclude that antibiotics should be prescribed liberally to children with severe malaria [32-35]. It is possible that such studies influenced the prescribing practices of our providers. In this context it is important to note that in our study, any diagnosis of suspected bacteremia or sepsis noted on patient files was categorized as appropriate treatment. A third limitation of this study is the fact that data captured prescriptions rather than the ultimate patient outcomes of morbidity and mortality. As such, these data do not capture the range of potential effects of inappropriate treatment. Lastly, the manner in which the data were collected did not allow us to control for multiple visits to a health facility by the same individual. The effect of repeat

visits by individual patients would likely cause us to over-estimate the strength of the associations between risk factors and inappropriate antibiotic management.

Ensuring high quality care involves ending the misuse of unnecessary services as well as eliminating the under provision of essential services [36]. However, there has been a paucity of research regarding the factors that lead to inappropriate antibiotic use in less developed countries [25]. This analysis identifies the clinical and operational processes associated with deviation from clinical guidelines resulting in inappropriate antibiotic management of malaria positive patients in Uganda. There were distinct differences between factors associated with inappropriate antibiotic treatment in patients without a clinical indication versus factors associated with withholding antibiotics when they were clinically indicated. These findings provide important data for decision making regarding refinement of enhanced capacity building trainings and potential interventions to optimize patient care and slow rising trends of antibiotic resistance.

Table 1: Clinical conditions	
Considered to be indications for antibiotic treatment	Considered not to be indications for antibiotic treatment
Acute ear infection or mastoiditis	Abortion
Amoebiasis	Acute diarrhea
Infected animal or snake bites	Acute flaccid paralysis
Appendicitis	Adult cardiovascular conditions
Balanitis	Alcohol or drug abuse
Bartholinitis	Allergies
Blepharitis	Amenorrhea
Brucellosis	Chicken pox
Carbuncles	Cirrhosis
Cellulitis	Cough
Cervicitis ¹	Cyst ⁴
Cholera ²	Depression
Conjunctivitis	Dermatitis
Infected wounds, sores, and burns	Diabetes
Cystitis ¹	Dysmenorrhea
Dacryocystitis	Dyspepsia
Dysentery	Dyspnea
Endocarditis	Epilepsy
Endometritis	Epistaxis
Furuncles	Folliculitis ⁴
Gonorrhea	Fungal infections
Impetigo	GI disorders- non infective
Leprosy	Glomerulonephritis
Lymphadenitis	Goiter
Lymphangitis	Guinea Worm
Mastitis	Hemorrhagic Fever
Meningitis (non-specific) ³	Hemorrhoids
Osteomyelitis	Hepatomegaly
Paronychia ³	Hernia
Pelvic inflammatory disease	Herpes
Peptic ulcer	HIV/AIDS ⁵
Periapical abscess	Hydrocele
Perichondritis	Hypertension
Pericoronitis	Impacted ear wax
Peritonitis ¹	Intestinal worms
Persistent (chronic) diarrhea ³	Laryngitis ⁶
Pertussis	Malaria
Plague	Malnutrition
Pleurisy ³	Measles
Post-operative complications ³	Metrorrhagia
Pyelonephritis	Minor dental problems
Pyoderma (not pyoderma gangrenosum)	Minor eye problems
Pyomyositis	Mumps
Rheumatic heart disease	Musculoskeletal conditions
Salmonellosis ³	Lymphoma

Severe Pneumonia	Neuropathy
Shigellosis	Obstructed labor
Sinusitis ³	Odynophagia
Staphylococcus and streptococcus infections	Oesophagitis
STI (non-specific) ³	Onchocerciasis
Syphilis	Orchitis ⁶
Tetanus	Pain
Trachoma	Palpitations
Trichomoniasis	Pancreatitis
Typhoid	Papular pruritic eruption
Urethral discharge ¹	Parotitis
Urethritis ¹	Pemphigus
Vaginitis ³	Perinatal conditions in newborns
	Pertussis
	Phimosis
	Pharyngitis
	Polyps
	Prurigo
	Psoriasis
	Rabies
	Renal diseases
	Respiratory tract infection ⁷
	Rheumatism
	Scabies
	Schistosomiasis
	Sleeping sickness
	Spondylitis
	Stomatitis
	Trauma (non-specified)
	Tuberculosis ⁸
	Tumor or cancer
	Ulcers (non-specified)
	Urticaria
	Viral infection (non-specified)
	Vitiligo
	Yellow Fever

¹ Although the condition can be caused by a number of pathogens, bacterial causes are most common

² Because severity has not been recorded in patient records, all cases are considered indications for antibiotic treatment

³ Because diagnoses are not specified by pathogen all cases are considered indications for antibiotics

⁴ Unless specified as infected or necrotic, in which case antibiotic treatment is indicated

⁵ Cotrimoxazole should be provided as part of complete antiretroviral care, but HIV/AIDS in of itself is not an indication for other antibiotic treatment during standard visits

⁶ Although disease can be bacterially associated, disease is most commonly virally induced

⁷ Other than those specified in Table 1

⁸ TB medications are not considered standard antibiotics

Table 2: Characteristics of 45,591 Malaria Positive Patients in Ugandan Clinics

Characteristics	n	(%)
Indication for antibiotics	4,721	(10)
Prescribed antibiotics	21,352	(47)
IDCAP Intervention Arm¹	20,637	(45)
Female	25,800	(57)
Age		
≤ 5 years	22,778	(50)
6-14 years	7,238	(16)
15+ years	15,250	(34)
Clinical status		
Standard triage status	35,401	(78)
Priority triage status	3,975	(9)
Emergency triage status	1,109	(3)
Underweight for age	210	(0.5)
HIV positive²	432	(1)
TB positive	19	(0.0)
Process indicators		
Antimalarial unavailability³	4,289	(9)
Antibiotic unavailability³	9,360	(21)
Very low EIR area⁴	462	(1)
Low EIR area⁴	1,603	(4)
Medium high EIR area⁴	9,743	(21)
Very high EIR area⁴	33,783	(74)
Multivitamin Treatment	1,510	(3)
ORS Treatment⁵	4,978	(11)
Repeat visit⁶	565	(1)
Medical officer	737	(2)
Clinical officer	19,972	(44)
Nurse	13,419	(29)
Midwife	752	(2)
Other (less skilled providers)	5,057	(11)

¹ Infectious Diseases Capacity-Building Evaluation

² HIV test was positive at the patient's visit

³ Drug was available 0-50% of all patient visits in a week

⁴ Entomological inoculation rate of health facility visited

⁵ Patients treated with oral rehydration salts

⁶ Patient visited the facility two or more times for the same chief complaint

⁷ Patient was seen by a medical officer or clinical officer

Table 3: Association between clinical exposure variables and inappropriate antibiotic treatment in patients without a clinical indication for antibiotics

Variable	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
	OR	(95% CI)	p-value	OR ¹	(95% CI)	p-value
HIV-positive	0.24	(0.18-0.32)	0.01	0.31	(0.20-0.45)	<0.001
Standard triage status	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Priority triage status	0.93	(0.80-1.07)	0.32	0.93	(0.71-1.20)	0.55
Emergency triage status	0.63	(0.55-0.71)	<0.001	0.75	(0.59-0.96)	0.02
Age 15+	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Age 5-14	1.07	(1.01-1.14)	0.03	1.11	(0.98-1.26)	0.09
Age less than 5	1.94	(1.86-2.03)	<0.001	1.96	(1.75-2.19)	<0.001

¹ Adjusted for patient gender, facility type (private or public) visited, and month of patient visit

Table 4: Association between operational exposure variables and inappropriate antibiotic treatment in patients without a clinical indication for antibiotics

Variable	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
	OR	(95% CI)	p-value	OR ³	(95% CI)	p-value
Return visit	0.83	(0.69-0.99)	0.04	0.82	(0.61-1.11)	0.21
Medical Officer	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Clinical officer	1.10	(0.93-1.30)	0.27	1.15	(0.79-1.65)	0.46
Nurse	1.25	(1.06-1.47)	0.01	1.33	(0.85-2.09)	0.21
Midwife	1.27	(1.02-1.59)	0.04	1.29	(0.72-2.31)	0.39
Other health provider	1.84	(1.55-2.19)	<0.001	1.86	(1.05-3.29)	0.03
Very high EIR ¹	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
High EIR ¹	1.25	(1.19-1.31)	<0.001	1.22	(0.68-2.20)	0.50
Medium EIR ¹	0.81	(0.73-0.90)	<0.001	0.89	(0.55-1.42)	0.62
Low EIR ¹	0.93	(0.76-1.14)	0.47	0.88	(0.43-1.81)	0.74
Antimalarial shortage ²	1.43	(1.34-1.53)	<0.001	1.44	(1.02-2.01)	0.04
Antibiotic shortage ²	1.08	(1.03-1.13)	0.002	0.96	(0.76-1.21)	0.75

¹ Entomological inoculation rate

² Drug was only available 0-50% of all patient visits in a week

³ Adjusted for patient gender, facility type (private or public) visited, and month of patient visit

Table 5: Association between clinical exposure variables and inappropriately withholding antibiotic treatment to patients with a clinical indication for antibiotics

Variable	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
	OR	(95% CI)	p-value	OR ¹	(95% CI)	p-value
HIV status	0.29	(0.07-1.19)	0.09	0.32	(0.08-1.25)	0.10
Standard triage status	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Priority triage status	0.30	(0.19-0.48)	<0.001	0.35	(0.11-1.11)	0.07
Emergency triage status	0.33	(0.23-0.46)	<0.001	0.43	(0.13-1.37)	0.15
Age 15+	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Age 5-14	0.80	(0.55-1.17)	0.25	0.84	(0.57-1.23)	0.36
Age less than 5	1.38	(1.14-1.68)	0.001	1.35	(0.89-2.02)	0.16

¹ Adjusted for patient gender, facility type (private or public) visited, and month of patient visit

Table 6: Association between operational exposure variables and inappropriately withholding antibiotic treatment to patients with a clinical indication for antibiotics

Variable	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
	OR	(95% CI)	p-value	OR ³	(95% CI)	p-value
Return visit	2.09	(1.13-3.87)	0.02	2.00	(1.09-3.69)	0.03
Medical Officer	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
Clinical officer	0.59	(0.35-1.02)	0.06	0.54	(0.29-0.98)	0.04
Nurse	0.60	(0.34-1.04)	0.07	0.59	(0.34-1.04)	0.07
Midwife	0.23	(0.73-0.70)	0.01	0.26	(0.06-1.09)	0.07
Other health provider	0.32	(0.16-0.61)	0.001	0.35	(0.16-0.76)	0.01
Very high EIR ¹	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>	<i>ref</i>
High EIR ¹	2.13	(1.76-2.57)	<0.001	2.11	(1.52-2.94)	<0.001
Medium EIR ¹	1.40	(0.74-2.66)	0.30	1.39	(0.94-2.04)	0.10
Low EIR ¹	2.07	(1.07-1.00)	0.03	2.03	(0.65-6.35)	0.21
Antimalarial shortage ²	1.24	(0.90-1.71)	0.19	--	--	--
Antibiotic shortage ²	0.79	(0.62-1.00)	0.05	0.87	(0.55-1.36)	0.54

¹ Entomological inoculation rate

² Drug was only available 0-50% of all patient visits in a week

³ Adjusted for patient gender, facility type (private or public) visited, and month of patient visit

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank her wonderful thesis committee, Dr. Scott McClelland and Dr. Marcia Weaver, for their thoughtful and formative guidance throughout the thesis design and implementation. She would like to thank the many individuals involved with the design and execution of the IDCAP study and maintenance of the dataset. She would also like to thank Peace Imani and her colleagues for reviewing diagnoses to ensure that they are aligned with Ugandan standard of care. Lastly, she would like to thank her husband, family, and friends for their significant ($p < 0.05$) love and support.

References

1. PMI, *President's Malaria Initiative Uganda- Malaria Operational Plan for FY 2012* 2011.
2. WHO, *World Health Day 2011: Combat drug resistance: no action today means no cure tomorrow*. WHO, 2011. 2013(April).
3. Bassat, Q., et al., *Distinguishing malaria from severe pneumonia among hospitalized children who*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*, 2011. 85(4): p. 626-34.
4. Kallander, K., J. Nsungwa-Sabiiti, and S. Peterson, *Symptom overlap for malaria and pneumonia-- policy implications for home management strategies*. *Acta Trop*, 2004. 90(2): p. 211-4.
5. WHO, *Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) Handbook*, UNICEF, Editor 2005.
6. WHO, *Medicines: rational use of medicines*. WHO, 2010.
7. Gonzales, M.A.S., C.S. Landefeld, and Ralph, *Predictors of Broad-Spectrum Antibiotic Prescribing for Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in Adult Primary Care*. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2003. 289(6): p. 719-725.
8. Franco, B.E., et al., *The determinants of the antibiotic resistance process*. *Infect Drug Resist*, 2009. 2: p. 1-11.
9. WHO, *IMCI Multi-Country Evaluation: Main Findings*. 2012.
10. Pariyo, G.W., et al., *Improving facility-based care for sick children in Uganda: training is not*. *Health Policy Plan*, 2005. 20 Suppl 1: p. i58-i68.
11. Gouws, E., et al., *Improving antimicrobial use among health workers in first-level facilities*. *Bull World Health Organ*, 2004. 82(7): p. 509-15.
12. Armstrong, S.J., et al., *The effect of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness on observed quality of care of under-fives in rural Tanzania*. *Health Policy Plan*, 2004. 19(1-10).
13. Bryce, J., et al., *Programmatic pathways to child survival: results of a multi-country evaluation of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness*. *Health Policy Plan*, 2005. 20(5-17).
14. Arifeen, S.E., et al., *Effect of the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness strategy on childhood mortality and nutrition in a rural area in Bangladesh: a cluster randomised trial*. *Lancet*, 2009. 374(9687): p. 393-403.
15. Arifeen, S., et al., *Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) in Bangladesh: early findings from a cluster-randomised study*. *Lancet*, 2004. 364(9445): p. 1595-602.
16. Zurovac, D.R., A., *Quality of treatment for febrile illness among children at outpatient facilities in sub-Saharan Africa*. *Annals of Tropical Medicine & Parasitology*, 2006. 100(4): p. 283-296.

17. Mbonye, M., et al., *Effect of Integrated Capacity-Building Interventions on Malaria Case Management in Uganda: A Mixed Design Study with Pre/Post and Cluster Randomized Trial Components* Unpublished Data.
18. Miceli, A., et al., *Advances in clinical education: a model for infectious disease training for mid-level practitioners in Uganda*. *Int J Infect Dis*, 2012. 16(10): p. e708-13.
19. MOH, *Uganda Clinical Guidelines: National Guidelines on Management of Common Conditions* 2010.
20. WHO, *IMAI District Clinician Manual: Guidelines for the Management of Common Illnesses with Limited Resources*, 2011, World Health Organization.
21. Yeka, A., et al., *Artemisinin versus nonartemisinin combination therapy for uncomplicated malaria: randomized clinical trials from four sites in Uganda*. *PLoS Med*, 2005. 2(7): p. e190.
22. Rubin, D., *Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys* 1987, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
23. Batwala, V., P. Magnussen, and F. Nuwaha, *Antibiotic use among patients with febrile illness in a low malaria endemicity setting in Uganda*. *Malaria Journal*, 2011. 10(1): p. 377.
24. Holloway, K.A., et al., *Have we improved use of medicines in developing and transitional countries and do we know how to? Two decades of evidence*. *Trop Med Int Health*, 2013.
25. Radyowijati, A. and H. Haak, *Improving antibiotic use in low-income countries: an overview of evidence on*. *Soc Sci Med*, 2003. 57(4): p. 733-44.
26. Opondo, C., et al., *Effect of a multi-faceted quality improvement intervention on inappropriate antibiotic use in children with non-bloody diarrhoea admitted to district hospitals in Kenya*. *BMC Pediatr*, 2011. 11: p. 109.
27. Kajungu, D.K., et al., *Using classification tree modelling to investigate drug prescription practices at health facilities in rural Tanzania*. *Malar J*, 2012. 11: p. 311.
28. Gwimile, J.J., et al., *Antibiotic prescribing practice in management of cough and/or diarrhoea in Moshi Municipality, Northern Tanzania: cross-sectional descriptive study*. *Pan Afr Med J*, 2012. 12: p. 103.
29. Koura, K.G., et al., *Prevalence and factors related to antibiotic prescription in Benin: A school-based study*. *Acta Trop*, 2013.
30. Lubell, Y., et al., *Susceptibility of bacterial isolates from community acquired infections in Saharan Africa and Asia to macrolide antibiotics*. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 2011. 16(10): p. 1192-1205.
31. WHO, *Improving Data Quality: A Guide for Developing Countries*, 2003, World Health Organization: Regional Office for the Western Pacific.
32. Berkley, J.B., P; Mwangi, T; Gwer, S; Maitland, K; Williams, TN; Mohammed, S; Osier, F; Kinyanjui, S; Fegan, G; Lowe, BS; English, M; Peshu, N; Marsh, K; Newton, CR, *HIV infection, malnutrition, and invasive bacterial infection among children with severe malaria*. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2009. 49(3): p. 336-43.
33. Bronzan, R.T., TE; Mwenechanya, J; Tembo, M; Kayira, K; Bwanaisa, L; Njobvu, A; Kondowe, W; Chalira, C; Walsh, AL; Phiri, A; Wilson, LK; Molyneux, ME; Graham, SM, *Bacteremia in Malawian children with severe malaria: prevalence, etiology, HIV coinfection, and outcome*. *J Infect Dis*, 2007. 195: p. 895-904.
34. Scott, J.A., et al., *Relation between falciparum malaria and bacteraemia in Kenyan children: a population-based, case-control study and a longitudinal study*. *Lancet*, 2011. 378(9799): p. 1316-23.
35. Berkley, J., et al., *Bacteraemia complicating severe malaria in children*. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 1999. 93(3): p. 283-6.
36. Peabody, J.W., et al., *Improving the Quality of Care in Developing Countries*. *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries*, 2006.