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#### Abstract

Cassani, Simone PhD, Purdue University, August 2016. Blood Circulation and Aqueous Humor Flow in the Eye: Multi-Scale Modeling and Clinical Applications. Major Professor: Giovanna Guidoboni.

Glaucoma is a multi-factorial ocular disease associated with death of retinal ganglion cells and irreversible vision loss. Many risk factors contribute to glaucomatous damage, including elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), age, genetics, and other diseases such as diabetes and systemic hypertension. Interestingly, alterations in retinal hemodynamics have also been associated with glaucoma. A better understanding of the factors that contribute to these hemodynamic alterations could lead to improved and more appropriate clinical approaches to manage and hopefully treat glaucoma patients.

In this thesis, we develop several mathematical models aimed at describing ocular hemodynamics and oxygenation in health and disease. Precisely we describe: (i) a time-dependent mathematical model for the retinal circulation that includes macrocirculation, microcirculation, phenomenological vascular regulation, and the mechanical effect of IOP on the retinal vasculature; (ii) a steady-state mathematical model for the retinal circulation that includes macrocirculation, microcirculation, mechanistic vascular regulation, the effect of IOP on the central retinal artery and central retinal vein, and the transport of oxygen in the retinal tissue using a Krogh cylinder type model; (iii) a steady-state mathematical model for the transport of oxygen in the retinal microcirculation and tissue based on a realistic retinal anatomy; and (iv) a steady-state mathematical model for the production and drainage of aqueous humor (AH). The main objective of this work is to study the relationship between IOP, systemic blood pressure, and the functionality of vascular autoregulation; the transport


and exchange of oxygen in the retinal vasculature and tissue; and the production and drainage of AH, that contributes to the level of IOP.

The models developed in this thesis predict that (i) the autoregulation plateau occurs for different values of IOP in hypertensive and normotensive patients. Thus, the level of blood pressure and functionality of autoregulation affect the changes in retinal hemodynamics caused by IOP and might explain the inconsistent outcomes of clinical studies; (ii) the metabolic and carbon dioxide mechanisms play a major role in the vascular regulation of the retina. Thus, the impairment of either of these mechanisms could cause ischemic damage to the retinal tissue; (iii) the multi-layer description of transport of oxygen in the retinal tissue accounts for the effect of the inner and outer retina, thereby improving the predictive ability of the model; (iv) a greater reduction in IOP is obtained if topical medications target AH production rather that AH drainage and if IOP-lowering medications are administrated to patients that exhibit a high initial level of IOP. Thus, the effectiveness of IOP-lowering medications depend on a patient's value of IOP.

In conclusion, the results of this thesis demonstrate that the insight provided by mathematical modeling alongside clinical studies can improve the understanding of diseases and potentially contribute to the clinical development of new treatments.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The physiology of the eye

The eye is a complex structure composed of different parts, as depicted in Figure 1.1, that work together to achieve vision.


Figure 1.1. Anatomy of the eye. By Rhcastilhos Schematic_diagram_of_the_human_eye_with_English_annotations.svg, Public Domain, via Wikimedia Commons.

The sclera is the outermost part of the eye shell that protects the inner layers of the eye. The choroid is the mid layer of the eye shell, located between the sclera and the retina, that nourishes the outer part of the retina. The retina is the inner layer of the eye shell that absorbs the light and sends the visual stimulus to the brain; it
contains the macula and the fovea that are the regions responsible for visual acuity. The ciliary body is located in the anterior part of the eye; it contains the ciliary muscle and produces the aqueous humor (AH), a watery fluid that fills the space in front of the lens, maintains the pressure inside the eye (intraocular pressure, IOP), keeps the eye inflated and transports nutrients to the avascular regions of the eye. The vitreous is a gel-like substance that fills the region behind the lens.

The main source of blood to the eye is the ophthalmic artery (OA), a branch of the inner carotid artery. The major branches of the OA are the anterior ciliary arteries (ACA), that nourish the ciliary body and the choroid, the central retinal artery (CRA), that nourishes the inner part of retina, and the posterior ciliary arteries (PCA), that nourish the choroid.


Figure 1.2. Optic nerve canal. The central retinal artery (CRA) and the central retinal vein (CRV) run into the optic nerve canal piercing through a collagen structure called lamina cribrosa (LC). Adapted from: by Henry Vandyke Carter, Public Domain, via Wikimedia Commons, from [1], Plate 880.

The CRA runs through the optic nerve canal, as depicted in Figure 1.2, piercing a collagen structure called the lamina cribrosa (LC) that is responsible for maintaining the pressure difference between the IOP (inside of the eye) and the retrolaminar tissue pressure (in the optic nerve canal). When the CRA reaches the inside of the eyeball it branches into 4 main retinal arteries. These vessels branch into smaller arteries, arterioles, and capillaries that supply blood and nutrients to the retinal tissue. Blood exits the capillaries and drains into venules, small veins, and the 4 major retinal veins. These vessels converge into the central retinal vein (CRV) that runs through the optic nerve canal parallel to the CRA, piercing the LC and converges into the ophthalmic vein and cavernous sinus.


Figure 1.3. Retinal blood flow response to changes in pressure (left) and oxygen demand (right) in the case of normal retinal vascular regulation.

Under healthy conditions, the retina exhibits vascular regulation, which is the ability to adjust perfusion in response to alterations in blood pressure or tissue oxygen demand, as schematically represented in Figure 1.3. This change in perfusion is achieved through vascular responses (eg. myogenic, shear stress, metabolic and carbon dioxide responses) to stimuli that affect the vascular tone of the smooth muscle cells inside the wall of retinal arteries causing constriction or dilation of these walls.

### 1.2 Motivation

Alterations in retinal and retrobulbar hemodynamics are associated with many ocular and systemic diseases, including glaucoma [2-4], age-related macular degeneration [5,6] and diabetes [6, 7]. Furthermore, an elevated level of IOP has been established as a risk factor for the prevalence, incidence and development of glaucoma, a disease that is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide.

Since the retinal vasculature is in direct contact with IOP, it seems very likely that IOP impacts retinal blood flow and velocity. However, clinical evidence of such an impact is not unanimous. Several clinical studies have shown a decrease in retinal and retrobulbar blood flow and velocity when IOP was increased [8], while other studies did not find any significant changes in retinal and retrobulbar hemodynamics with changes in IOP 1628 .

In the clinic, elevated IOP is treated with topical medications and/or with surgical procedures, such as trabeculectomy.

IOP-lowering topical medications affect the production and/or drainage of AH, and may alter retrobulbar hemodynamics. For example, studies found slightly increased retrobulbar circulation in patients on these medications [8, 12, 13, while most of the studies found that treatment with topical medications did not have a significant effect on retrobulbar hemodynamics $16,17,20,24,26,28]$.

During trabeculectomy surgery, IOP is reduced by removing part of the eye trabecular meshwork and adjacent structures, thereby increasing AH outflow. Most of the hemodynamic measurements obtained after trabeculectomy provide evidence of increased blood velocity as a result of lowering IOP [10, 14, 15. However, other studies did not report any significant change in blood velocity in the central retinal artery after trabeculectomy 18,25 .

Only a few studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of IOP elevation on ocular hemodynamics, and these have also provided variable results [9, 11, 19].

It is hypothesized that in a disease state, some of the vascular regulation mechanisms might be impaired, thereby compromising the oxygenation in the retina. However, there is inconsistency in the scientific literature regarding the vascular response to changes in oxygen demand, as observed in flicker stimulation studies and light-dark adaptation studies 29 36].

These inconsistent clinical observations are likely due to the numerous factors, including arterial blood pressure $[3,37,41]$ and vascular regulation [3, 42, 44], that influence the relationship between IOP and ocular hemodynamics, and the intrinsic difficulty of evaluating the individual contributions of these factors in a clinical setting. Mathematical modeling can be used to investigate the complex relationship among these factors and to interpret the outcomes of clinical studies.

In this perspective, the aims of this thesis are:

- To develop a reduced mathematical model for the retinal circulation that simultaneously accounts for (i) blood flow in the central retinal vessels; (ii) blood flow in the retinal microcirculation; (iii) phenomenological retinal blood flow regulation; (iv) biomechanical action of IOP on the retinal vasculature; and (v) time-dependent arterial blood pressure. The model will be used to investigate the relationship between IOP, blood pressure (BP) and vascular autoregulation (AR) and the impact of these factors on retinal hemodynamics (Chapter 2).
- To characterize the difference between compressible and collapsible tubes that are used to describe the wall deformation in arteries and veins (Section 2.1.5).
- To improve the description of vascular regulation in the model developed in Chapter 2 by coupling it with an existing mechanistic model for retinal vascular regulation (described in [45]). The model in 45 utilizes a Krogh-cylinder type model to account for level of oxygen demand in the tissue. The resulting model will include both the effect of IOP on the CRA and CRV, and the mechanistic description of vascular regulation (Chapter 3).
- To derive and implement a model for the transport of oxygen in the retinal microvasculature and retinal tissue based on a physiological representation of: (i) the retinal layers, (ii) the retinal vasculature, and (iii) the oxygen exchange between the vasculature and the retinal tissue (Chapter 4).
- To investigate the processes that contribute to the level of IOP, and the uncertainties in the outcomes of administration of IOP-lowering topical medication using a model that describes the production and drainage of AH (Chapter 5).


## 2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF THE RETINAL CIRCULATION

This Chapter introduces a mathematical model for the retinal circulation that simultaneously accounts for (i) blood flow in the central retinal vessels; (ii) blood flow in the retinal microcirculation; (iii) retinal blood flow autoregulation; (iv) the biomechanical action of IOP on the retinal vasculature; and (v) time-dependent arterial blood pressure. The model employs a hydraulic analogy to Ohm's law in which blood flow in the central retinal vessels and retinal microvasculature is analogous to the current flowing through a network of resistances and capacitances. Variable resistances describe active and passive diameter changes due to blood flow autoregulation and IOP. Systemic arterial BP is an input to the model. Model outputs include total retinal blood flow and blood velocity in the CRA, CRV and microvasculature, which are compared with clinically-measurable quantities.

In Section 2.1 the model and its governing equations are described; in Section 2.2 the algorithm to perform numerical simulations is defined; in Section 2.3 the mathematical model is used to understand the relationships among IOP, BP, autoregulation and retinal and retrobulbar hemodynamics, and to investigate the effect of trabeculectomy on retinal hemodynamics. In Section 2.4 the results are discussed and compared with clinical data. The material and results presented in this Chapter are partially published in 46,47

### 2.1 Methods

The retinal circulation is described using the analogy between the flow of a fluid in a hydraulic network and the flow of current in an electric circuit, as depicted in Figure 2.1. The vasculature supplying the retina is divided into five main compart-


Figure 2.1. Network model for the retinal vasculature. The vasculature is divided into five main compartments: the central retinal artery (CRA), arterioles, capillaries, venules, and the central retinal vein (CRV). Each compartment includes resistances $(R)$ and capacitances $(C)$. The intraocular segments are exposed to the IOP, the retrobulbar segments are exposed to the RLTp, and the translaminar segments are exposed to an external pressure that depends on the internal state of stress within the lamina cribrosa (gray shaded area). Diameters of venules and intraocular and translaminar segments of the CRA and CRV are assumed to vary passively with IOP, whereas arterioles are assumed to be vasoactive.
ments: the CRA, arterioles, capillaries, venules, and the CRV. Using the analogy between hydraulic and electrical circuits, blood flow is modeled as current flowing through a network of resistors $(R)$, representing the resistance to flow offered by blood vessels, and capacitors $(C)$, representing the ability of blood vessels to deform and store blood volume. Resistors and capacitors have been labeled with numbers from 1 to 5 to distinguish between compartments, and additional alphabetic labels are used to distinguish between segments within the same compartment. For example, the CRA compartment is referenced by the label 1 and it includes a retrobulbar
segment with resistances $R_{1 a}$ and $R_{1 b}$, a translaminar segment with resistance $R_{1 c}$, and an intraocular segment with resistance $R_{1 d}$. We remark that the resistance of the retrobulbar segment is split into $R_{1 a}$ and $R_{1 b}$ to allow the capacitance $C_{1}$ to act on the mean pressure of the retrobulbar portion of the CRA $\left(P_{1}\right)$. The blood flow through the retinal vascular network is driven by $P_{\text {in }}$ and $P_{\text {out }}$, which represent the BPs upstream of the CRA and downstream of the CRV, respectively. The vascular segments are exposed to various external pressures depending on their position in the network. The intraocular segments are exposed to the IOP, the retrobulbar segments are exposed to the retrolaminar tissue pressure (RLTp), and the translaminar segments are exposed to an external pressure that depends on the internal state of stress within the lamina cribrosa. The IOP-induced stress within the lamina cribrosa is computed using a nonlinear elastic model described in 48. The resistances of the venules and intraocular and translaminar segments of the CRA and CRV are assumed to vary passively with IOP, as detailed in Section 2.1.5. Arteriolar resistance varies actively to maintain a relatively constant blood flow despite changes in the ocular perfusion pressure (OPP). Ocular perfusion pressure is defined as $\mathrm{OPP}=(2 / 3) \mathrm{MAP}$ - IOP, where MAP is the mean arterial pressure at the level of the brachial artery, $\mathrm{MAP}=(2 / 3) \mathrm{DP}+(1 / 3) \mathrm{SP}$, and DP and SP are diastolic and systolic arterial BPs, respectively. The arrows in Figure 2.1 indicate the resistors that vary passively or actively.

### 2.1.1 Governing equations

Ohm's law states that the flow $Q$ through a resistor is directly proportional to the pressure drop $\Delta P$ across the resistor, with a proportionality constant that is equal to the reciprocal of the resistance, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\Delta P}{R} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flow $Q$ through a capacitor is directly proportional to the time derivative of the product between the pressure drop across the capacitor and the capacitance, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(C \Delta P) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, capacitance is assumed constant so (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=C \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Delta P}{\mathrm{~d} t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Kirchoff's law, the following relationship must hold at every node in the network:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { volume change }=\text { flow in }- \text { flow out. } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The application of Kirchoff's law to the retinal vascular network shown in Figure 2.1 leads to the following system of ordinary differential equations for the nodal pressures $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{5}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
C_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{1}-\mathrm{RLTp}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t} & =\frac{P_{\text {in }}-P_{1}}{R_{i n}+R_{1 a}}-\frac{P_{1}-P_{2}}{R_{1 b}+R_{1 c}+R_{1 d}+R_{2 a}}  \tag{2.5}\\
C_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{2}-\mathrm{IOP}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t} & =\frac{P_{1}-P_{2}}{R_{1 b}+R_{1 c}+R_{1 d}+R_{2 a}}-\frac{P_{2}-P_{4}}{R_{2 b}+R_{3 a}+R_{3 b}+R_{4 a}} \\
C_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{4}-\mathrm{IOP}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t} & =\frac{P_{2}-P_{4}}{R_{2 b}+R_{3 a}+R_{3 b}+R_{4 a}}-\frac{P_{4}-P_{5}}{R_{4 b}+R_{5 a}+R_{5 b}+R_{5 c}} \\
C_{5} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{5}-\mathrm{RLTp}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t} & =\frac{P_{4}-P_{5}}{R_{4 b}+R_{5 a}+R_{5 b}+R_{5 c}}-\frac{P_{5}-P_{\text {out }}}{R_{5 d}+R_{o u t}} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The inlet and outlet pressures $P_{\text {in }}$ and $P_{\text {out }}$ vary with time along a cardiac cycle and, consequently, the pressures calculated via equation (2.5) are time dependent.

The remaining nodal pressures in the circuit of Figure 2.1 can be computed via Ohm's law in the corresponding segments.

### 2.1.2 Control state

A control state for the system is defined to represent typical conditions of a healthy eye. Control values of any given quantity will be indicated with an overline bar.

## External and systemic pressures

We assume that for a healthy individual $\overline{\mathrm{IOP}}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ 49 51, $\overline{\mathrm{RLTp}}=7$ $\mathrm{mmHg} 52,53], \overline{\mathrm{SP}}=120 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{DP}}=80 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

## Retinal blood flow

The control value $\bar{Q}$ of the retinal blood flow can be estimated by applying Poiseuille's law to the CRA, since the CRA is the only vessel supplying blood to the retinal vascular network. In the hypotheses of laminar flow and cylindrical geometry, Poiseuille's law yields $\bar{Q}=\pi \bar{V}_{\text {cra,max }} D_{\text {cra }}^{2} / 8$, where $D_{\text {cra }}$ is the CRA diameter, and $\bar{V}_{\text {cra, max }}$ is the average value of the CRA centerline velocity over a cardiac cycle. Measurements from human patients are used to define the control state values for $D_{c r a}=175 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ 54, and $\bar{V}_{\text {cra, max }}=5.67 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$,11,54, which yield a physiological value of $\bar{Q}=6.8178 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{s} 5458$.

## Resistance

According to Poiseuille's law, the resistance of a vessel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D^{4}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the vessel diameter, $L$ is the vessel length and $\mu$ is blood viscosity. Using this law and the geometric and physical parameters for the CRA and CRV reported in Table 2.1, the control state values of the CRA and CRV resistances are computed and summarized in Table 2.2.

Determining the control state values of arteriolar, capillary, and venular resistance is more complex, because these compartments include a hierarchy of numerous vessels of various diameter. We adapt the dichotomous network (DN) model for the retinal microcirculation proposed in [59] to describe the hierarchical architecture of arterioles, capillaries, and venules. The DN model includes 14 levels of arterioles, 1 level of capillaries, and 14 levels of venules; each level includes a specific number of identical

Table 2.1.
Geometric and physical parameters for the CRA and CRV.

| Parameters | CRA |  | CRV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Source | Value | Source |
| Diameter $D, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 175 | \|54 | 238 | [59** |
| Length $L$, mm, total | 10 | \|60| | 10 | \|60| |
| Segment a | 4.4 | \|60| | 1 | \|61| |
| Segment b | 4.4 | \|60] | 0.2 | \|62. 63| |
| Segment c | 0.2 | -62, 63 | 4.4 | 160 |
| Segment d | 1 | [61] | 4.4 | 60\| |
| Blood viscosity $\mu$, cP | 3.0 | 64,65 | 3.24 | \|59|** |
| Wall Young's modulus $E, \mathrm{MPa}$ | 0.3 | 65 67 | 0.6 | \|68| |
| Wall Poisson's ratio $\nu$ | 0.49 | \|66, 67| | 0.49 | *** |
| Wall thickness $h, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 39.7 | [65, 69] | 10.7 | \|70| |

*CRA/CRV diameter ratio is assumed to be the same as that for the first generation arteries/veins in [59].
**Blood viscosity in the CRV is assumed to be $8 \%$ larger than that in the CRA (as in 59).
***Poisson's ratio of the CRV wall is assumed to be the same as that for the CRA wall.
parallel vessels. In our model, we characterize these 29 vascular levels into arterial, capillary and venous compartments according to vessel size. Vessels with diameter less than $6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ are defined as capillaries, as shown in Figure 2.2. Vessel number, diameter, length, and blood viscosity for the 29 levels in the DN model are reported in Table 2.3 and the corresponding values of the lumped resistances calculated in the control state are summarized in Table 2.2. It is important to note that the viscosity values used in the model are effective viscosity values that are based on an empirical

Table 2.2.
Computed values of the vascular resistances at the control state.

| Resistance | Value, <br> $\mathrm{mmHg} \cdot \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mL}$ | Resistance | Value, <br> $\mathrm{mmHg} \cdot \mathbf{s} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\bar{R}_{i n}$ | $2.25 \cdot 10^{4}$ | $\bar{R}_{3 b}$ | $5.68 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1 a}$ | $4.30 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{4 a}$ | $3.11 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1 b}$ | $4.30 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{4 b}$ | $3.11 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1 c}$ | $1.96 \cdot 10^{2}$ | $\bar{R}_{5 a}$ | $3.08 \cdot 10^{2}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1 d}$ | $9.78 \cdot 10^{2}$ | $\bar{R}_{5 b}$ | $6.15 \cdot 10^{1}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{2 a}$ | $6.00 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{5 c}$ | $1.35 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{2 b}$ | $6.00 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{5 d}$ | $1.35 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{3 a}$ | $5.68 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{\text {out }}$ | $5.74 \cdot 10^{3}$ |

relationship and that depend on vessel diameter. In this way, the model takes into account the corpuscular nature of blood.


Figure 2.2. Relationship between the DN model by Takahashi et al. [59] and the chosen geometry of our model. The 29 vascular levels of the DN model have been divided into three model compartments for arterioles, capillaries, and venules according to vessel size.
$R_{\text {in }}$ and $R_{\text {out }}$ represent the ocular vessels upstream of the CRA and downstream of the CRV. Their control values are determined by the control value $\bar{Q}$ of the total

Table 2.3.
Geometric and physical parameters used in the computation of control state resistances for the arterioles, capillaries and venules compartments in the control state. Adapted from [59].

| Arterioles in [59] |  |  |  |  | Venules in [59] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Number of vessels | Diameter <br> $D, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Length <br> $L, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Blood viscosity $\mu, \mathrm{cP}$ | Level | Number of vessels | Diameter <br> $D, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Length <br> $L, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Blood <br> viscosity, $\mu, \mathrm{cP}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 108.0 | 726.9 | 3.7 | 1 | 1 | 147.0 | 1036.2 | 4.2 |
| 2 | 2 | 84.7 | 549.6 | 3.6 | 2 | 2 | 115.3 | 783.4 | 4.0 |
| 3 | 4 | 66.4 | 415.5 | 3.4 | 3 | 4 | 90.4 | 592.3 | 3.9 |
| 4 | 8 | 52.1 | 314.1 | 3.2 | 4 | 8 | 70.9 | 447.8 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 16 | 40.8 | 237.5 | 2.9 | 5 | 16 | 55.6 | 338.5 | 3.5 |
| 6 | 32 | 32.0 | 179.5 | 2.7 | 6 | 32 | 43.6 | 255.9 | 3.2 |
| 7 | 64 | 25.1 | 135.5 | 2.4 | 7 | 64 | 34.2 | 193.5 | 3.0 |
| 8 | 128 | 19.7 | 102.6 | 2.1 | 8 | 128 | 26.8 | 146.3 | 2.7 |
| 9 | 256 | 15.4 | 77.6 | 1.8 | 9 | 256 | 21.0 | 110.6 | 2.3 |
| 10 | 512 | 12.1 | 58.7 | 1.5 | 10 | 512 | 16.5 | 83.6 | 2.0 |
| 11 | 1024 | 9.5 | 44.3 | 1.2 | 11 | 1024 | 12.9 | 63.2 | 1.7 |
| 12 | 2048 | 7.4 | 33.5 | 0.9 | 12 | 2048 | 10.1 | 47.8 | 1.4 |
| 13 | 4096 | 5.8 | 25.3 | $2.5$ | 13 | 4096 | 7.9 | 36.1 | 1.1 |
| 14 | 8192 | 5.1 | 21.7 | 4.2 | 14 | 8192 | 6.2 | 27.3 | 2.8 |
| cap. | 32768 | 5.0 | 500.0 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |

The dashed line shows the division of the 29 vascular levels from 59 into the arterioles, capillaries and venules compartments in our model, as depicted in Figure 2.2
retinal blood flow and the control value of the pressures $\bar{P}_{i n}, \bar{P}_{1, \text { in }}$, and $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}, \bar{P}_{5, \text { out }}$ (defined below).

## Pressure

The control value of the input pressure for this model is chosen to be two-thirds of the MAP measured at the level of the brachial artery, where the factor two-thirds

Table 2.4.
Computed values of intraluminal blood pressure at the control state.

| Pressure | Value, <br> $\mathbf{m m H g}$ | Pressure | Value, <br> $\mathbf{m m H g}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}$ | 62.22 | $\bar{P}_{3,4}$ | 24.25 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { in }}$ | 46.85 | $\bar{P}_{4}$ | 22.13 |
| $\bar{P}_{1}$ | 43.92 | $\bar{P}_{4,5}$ | 20.01 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { pre }}$ | 40.99 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { post }}$ | 19.80 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { post }}$ | 40.85 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { pre }}$ | 19.76 |
| $\bar{P}_{1,2}$ | 40.19 | $\bar{P}_{5}$ | 18.84 |
| $\bar{P}_{2}$ | 36.09 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { out }}$ | 17.92 |
| $\bar{P}_{2,3}$ | $32.00^{*}$ | $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}$ | $14.00^{* *}$ |
| $\bar{P}_{3}$ | 28.13 |  |  |

*This value chosen based on previous studies [45, 71].
${ }^{* *}$ This value is chosen to be less than $\bar{P}_{5, \text { out }}$ and higher than the jugular venous pressure ( $\simeq 4-6 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (72]).
accounts for the drop in pressure between the brachial artery and the eye [73]. Since $S P=120 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $D P=80 \mathrm{mmHg}$, it follows that $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}=62.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$, as reported in Table 2.4 . The control pressure between arterioles and capillaries, $\bar{P}_{2,3}$, is set at 32 mmHg [45, 71]. The control pressures at all the other nodes of the network are computed using Ohm's law and the control values of the resistances, namely $\bar{P}_{i}=\bar{P}_{j}-\bar{R}_{i, j} \bar{Q}$, where the subscripts $i$ and $j$ indicate any two consecutive nodes and $\bar{R}_{i, j}$ indicates the resistance between them. The control value of the outlet pressure is chosen to be $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}=14 \mathrm{mmHg}$, so that it is less than $\bar{P}_{5, \text { out }}$ and higher than the jugular venous pressure (normally between 4 and $6 \mathrm{mmHg}[72]$ ). All control pressures are summarized in Table 2.4 .

Table 2.5.
Computed values of vascular volume and capacitance at the control state.

| Segment | Volume, mL | Capacitance, $\mathbf{m L} / \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| CRA | $2.12 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $7.22 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| Arterioles | $2.20 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $7.53 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| Venules | $6.12 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.67 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| CRV | $3.92 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.07 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

## Capacitance

The capacitance of a vessel represents its ability to store fluid volume for a given pressure difference between the inside and outside of the vessel. The capacitance of vascular compartments can be computed as the product of vascular volume ( Vol ) and distensibility (Dist) [74]. The control values of the CRA and CRV volumes are computed as $\overline{V o l}_{c r a}=\pi D_{c r a}^{2} L_{c r a} / 4$ and $\overline{V o l}_{c r v}=\pi D_{c r v}^{2} L_{c r v} / 4$. Analogously, the control values of the volumes of arterioles, capillaries, and venules are computed by using the diameters and lengths reported in Table 2.3. The distensibilities of the CRA and the retinal arterioles are assumed to be equal to those of the cerebral arteries [74, namely Dist $_{\text {cra }}=$ Dist $_{2}=34.12 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{mmHg}^{-1}$. The distensibilities of retinal venules and the CRV are assumed to be eight times larger than those of the arteries, namely Dist $_{\text {crv }}=$ Dist $_{4}=27.30 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmHg}^{-1}[74]$. The control volumes and capacitances are reported in Table 2.5 .

### 2.1.3 Time-profiles of the input and output pressure waves

The time profile of $P_{\text {in }}$ and $P_{\text {out }}$ at the control state are determined through an inverse problem based on color Doppler imaging measurements of blood velocity in the CRA and CRV, as shown in Figure 2.3a. The centerline blood velocity $V(t)$ in the

CRA and CRV is given by $V(t)=8 Q(t) / \pi D^{2}$, where $Q(t)=\Delta P(t) / R(t)$ and $\Delta P(t)$ is the pressure drop along the resistor. Thus, equation (2.5) can be used to determine the time profiles $P_{\text {in }}(t)$ and $P_{\text {out }}(t)$ that give the CRA blood velocity profiles shown in Figure 2.3 when the system is at its control state. The control profiles of $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}(t)$ and $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}(t)$ are shown in Figure 2.3k, and their mean values are $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}=62.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}=14 \mathrm{mmHg}$. At the control state, the maximum and minimum values of $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}(t)$ are $\bar{P}_{\text {in, max }}=92.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\bar{P}_{\text {in,min }}=39.6 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and their ratios with respect to $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{DP}}$ are $\bar{P}_{i n, \max } / \overline{\mathrm{SP}}=0.7683$ and $\bar{P}_{i n, \min } / \overline{\mathrm{DP}}=0.4945$. These ratios are used to scale the $P_{i n}(t)$ profile in the simulations of clinical conditions where SP and DP are different from their control state values.


Figure 2.3. (a) Typical color Doppler imaging (CDI) measurement of the blood velocity in the CRA and CRV. (b) Time profiles of the blood velocity in the CRA and CRV at the control state. (c) Time profile of the inlet and outlet pressures $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}(t)$ and $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}(t)$ at the control state.

### 2.1.4 IOP-induced stress in the lamina cribrosa

The lamina cribrosa is modeled as a nonlinear, homogeneous, isotropic, elastic circular plate of radius $r_{l c}$ and finite thickness $h_{l c}$, satisfying the equilibrium equation, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}=\lambda_{l c}\left(\sigma_{e}\right) \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{I}+2 \mu_{l c}\left(\sigma_{e}\right) \mathbf{E} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the stress tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\frac{\left[\nabla \mathbf{v}+(\nabla \mathbf{v})^{T}+(\nabla \mathbf{v})^{T} \nabla \mathbf{v}\right]}{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Green-Saint Venant strain tensor, $\mathbf{v}$ is the displacement vector, $\mu_{l c}$ is the shear modulus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{l c}=\mu_{l c} \frac{E_{l c}-2 \mu_{l c}}{3 \mu_{l c}-E_{l c}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Lamé's parameter, and $E_{l c}$ is the Young's modulus. The elastic parameters $\lambda_{l c}$ and $\mu_{l c}$ vary with the effective stress $\sigma_{e}$, as described by Guidoboni et al. 48, 75 and in Table 2.7.

Table 2.6.
Radius and thickness values used in the mathematical model for the lamina cribrosa and sclera

| Parameter | Value | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Radius of the lamina cribrosa $r_{l c}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.75 | 76 |
| Thickness of the lamina cribrosa $h_{l c}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 62 |
| Radius of the sclera $r_{s}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 12 | 62 |
| Thickness of the sclera $h_{s}, \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 | 63 |

Table 2.7.
Model values of Young's modulus $E_{l c}$ and shear modulus $\mu_{l c}$ for the lamina cribrosa as a function of the effective stress $\sigma_{e}$, as in 78,79.

| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{l c}}, \mathrm{MPa}$ | $\mu_{\mathbf{l c}}, \mathbf{M P a}$ | Range of $\sigma_{\mathbf{e}}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.358 | 0.12 | $0.000 \leq \sigma_{e}<0.008$ |
| 0.656 | 0.22 | $0.008 \leq \sigma_{e}<0.0015$ |
| 1.818 | 0.61 | $\sigma_{e} \geq 0.015$ |

The problem is solved in cylindrical coordinates, as shown in Figure 2.4, with the boundary conditions $\mathbf{S n}=-\operatorname{IOPn}$ at $\zeta=h_{l c} / 2, \mathbf{S n}=-\operatorname{RLTpn}$ at $\zeta=-h_{l c} / 2$, $\mathbf{n S n}=T$ and $v_{\zeta}=0$ at $s=r_{l c}$, where $T$ is the scleral tension computed via Laplace's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\mathrm{IOP} r_{s}}{2 h_{s}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{s}$ and $h_{s}$ are the radius and thickness of the sclera, respectively.


Figure 2.4. Schematic representation of geometry and boundary conditions of the elasticity problem for the lamina cribrosa. The anterior surface $\left(\zeta=h_{l c} / 2\right)$ is subject to the intraocular pressure (IOP), while the posterior surface $\left(\zeta=-h_{l c} / 2\right)$ is subject to the retrolaminar tissue pressure (RLTp). The lateral surface $\left(s=r_{l c}\right)$ is connected to the sclera and experiences the scleral tension $T$.

Geometrical and mechanical properties of the lamina and sclera are summarized in Tables 2.6 and 2.7, the numerical solution of the elastic problem via finite elements is described in Guidoboni et al. [48]. The radial component of the normal stress $S_{s s}$ at $s=0$ resulting from the solution of this elastic problem is assumed to be the external pressure, $P_{L C}(\zeta)$, acting on the intralaminar segments of the CRA and CRV, which are assumed to pierce the lamina in its center 48.

### 2.1.5 Variable passive resistance

In this Section, the mechanical response of the vessels to changes in the value of transmural pressure difference is modeled.

Consider a straight cylinder $\Omega$ with cross-section $\Sigma$ (with general shape, thus not necessarily cylindrical) and length $L$ in a Cartesian coordinate system ( $x, y, z$ ), as shown in Figure 2.5, that is $\Omega=\Sigma \times(0, L)$. Let $\mathbf{e}_{x}, \mathbf{e}_{y}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{z}$ be the unit vectors in the $x, y$ and $z$ (axial) direction, respectively. Let's remark that an equivalent formulation could be obtained using other coordinate restrictions (e.g. polar coordinates).


Figure 2.5. Representative cylinder $\Omega$ in the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ with cross-section $\Sigma$, of length $L$.

Let us assume that the motion inside the cylinder $\Omega$ can be described by the stationary Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{cases}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.11}\\ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p+\frac{\mu}{\rho} \Delta \mathbf{u}, & \text { in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}, & \text { on } \partial \Sigma \times(0, L) \\ p(z=0)=p_{0}, \quad p(z=L)=p_{L}, & \end{cases}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $p$ is the fluid pressure, $\rho$ is the fluid density and $\mu$ is the fluid dinamic viscosity, $\nabla$ • is the divergence operator, $\nabla$ is the gradient operator, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator and the boldface variables are vectorial quantities. We remark that $\rho$ and $\mu$ are assumed to be given positive constants. The third equation in (2.11) represents the no-slip boundary condition. In particular this boundary condition could also be interpreted as

$$
\mathbf{u}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Sigma \times(0, L)  \tag{2.12}\\ u_{z}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Sigma \times(0, L)\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}+u_{z} \mathbf{e}_{z}$, with $\mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}=u_{x} \mathbf{e}_{x}+u_{y} \mathbf{e}_{y}$, and $\mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}$ is the outward normal vector to $\partial \Sigma \times(0, L)$.
The value of the pressure is assigned at the inlet and at the outlet of the cylinder in (2.11).

Starting from 2.11, we will perform a model reduction using the following assumptions:

- body forces and mass sources are absent;
- $p$ is constant on each $\Sigma \Rightarrow p=p(z)$;
- $u_{z}=\bar{u}(z) f(\Sigma)$, where $\bar{u}(z)$ represents the average axial velocity on the crosssection $\Sigma$ and $f(\Sigma)$ is an appropriate shape function;
- axial motion is predominant, thus $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}\right| \ll u_{z}$.

A model reduction is performed by integrating the equations in system 2.11) on the cross-section $\Sigma$. Although not applied here, it is worth mentioning that a more sophisticated analysis can be obtained by integrating over the infinitesimal volume $\Sigma \times(z-\mathrm{d} z, z+\mathrm{d} z)$ with $\mathrm{d} z \rightarrow 0$, as shown in 80 .

Define $Q(z)=\int_{\Sigma} u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\bar{u}(z) A(z)$, and $A(z)=\int_{\Sigma} 1 \mathrm{~d} \sigma$ to be the volumetric average flow rate and the cross-sectional area, respectively. Note that the first equality together with the definition of $u_{z}$ implies that $\int_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma=A(z)$.

We begin considering the first equation in (2.11), and we split the divergence operator is into its transversal and axial components to emphasize the contribution of the different directions of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=\nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}+\frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial z}, \quad \text { with } \quad \nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}=\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, integrating over the cross-section, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\int_{\Sigma} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathrm{d} \sigma=\int_{\Sigma} \nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \sigma+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& \stackrel{1}{=} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \gamma \\
& \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~d} z} \\
& \mathrm{~d}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \Sigma u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma-\int_{\partial \Sigma}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}\right) u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \gamma \\
&=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} \int_{\Sigma} \bar{u}(z) f(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma-\int_{\partial \Sigma}\left(\mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}\right) u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \gamma-\int_{\partial \Sigma}\left(u_{z} \mathbf{e}_{z}-\mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}\right) u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \gamma \\
& \stackrel{3}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} \bar{u}(z) \int_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}(\bar{u}(z) A(z))=\frac{\mathrm{d} Q(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in step 1, the contribution of the cross-sectional component of the operator is moved to the boundary of $\Sigma$ using the divergence theorem and then it is eliminated due to the boundary conditions; in step 2 , the partial derivative with respect to $z$ is moved outside of the integral using Leibniz theorem and it is changed to a total derivative; in step $3, \bar{u}(\mathrm{z})$ is moved outside of the integral since the integration does not depend on the axial coordinate $z$.

The advective term of the momentum equation in (2.11) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}=\nabla \cdot(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})-\mathbf{u} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\otimes$ represents the outer product.
Integrating the second equation in 2.11 on the cross-section $\Sigma$ and applying the identity (2.15), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma} \nabla \cdot(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \nabla p \mathrm{~d} \sigma-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta \mathbf{u} \mathrm{d} \sigma=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the contribution of axial motion is predominant and pressure is assumed to be constant on each cross-section $\Sigma$, it is possible to focus only on the $z$-component of equation (2.16), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma} \nabla \cdot\left(u_{z} \otimes \mathbf{u}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first integral in 2.17) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Sigma} \nabla \cdot\left(u_{z} \otimes \mathbf{u}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma & =\int_{\Sigma} \nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot\left(u_{z} \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial u_{z}^{2}}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& \stackrel{1}{=} \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{z} \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \gamma+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial\left(\bar{u}^{2}(z) f^{2}(\Sigma)\right)}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma  \tag{2.18}\\
& \stackrel{2}{=} \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{z} \mathbf{u}_{\Sigma} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{n}_{\Sigma}} \mathrm{d} \gamma \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{u}^{2}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \int_{\Sigma} f^{2}(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma \\
& =\beta A(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{u}^{2}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}, \quad \text { where } \beta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{A(z)} \int_{\Sigma} f^{2}(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma
\end{align*}
$$

where in step 1 the first integral on the right hand side is moved to the boundary using the divergence theorem, and in step 2 the boundary condition in (2.11) is applied.

The second integral in 2.17 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \sigma=\frac{A(z)}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third integral in 2.17 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma & =-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\Sigma} u_{z} \mathrm{~d} \sigma-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial z^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& \stackrel{1}{=}-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot\left(\nabla_{\Sigma} u_{z}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial z^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& =-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \bar{u}(z) \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_{\Sigma} \cdot\left(\nabla_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma)\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma  \tag{2.20}\\
& =-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \bar{u}(z) \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma} \cdot \nabla_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \gamma \\
& =K_{r} \bar{u}(z), \quad \text { where } K_{r} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{n}_{\Sigma} \cdot \nabla_{\Sigma} f(\Sigma) \mathrm{d} \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

where in step 1 the contribution of diffusion in the axial direction is neglected.
Combining 2.14, 2.18, 2.19, and 2.20, the following system of equations is obtained:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\mathrm{d} Q(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}=0, & \text { in }(0, L)  \tag{2.21}\\ \beta A(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{u}^{2}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}+\frac{A(z)}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z}+K_{r} \bar{u}(z)=0, & \text { in }(0, L)\end{cases}
$$

Due to the low Reynolds number ( $\mathrm{Re} \simeq 4$ in the CRA ), the second equation of (2.21) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta A(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{u}^{2}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}+\frac{A(z)}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z}+K_{r} \bar{u}(z)=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{r} \rho} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z}+\frac{Q(z)}{A^{2}(z)}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $K_{r}$ depends on the specific profile $f(\Sigma)$ that is chosen a priori for the fluid motion. In the case of a parabolic velocity profile (Poiseuille's flow) $K_{r}=\frac{8 \pi \mu}{\rho}$ [80, 81]. Equation (2.23) involves the volumetric flow $Q(z)$ and the pressure gradient along the tube $\frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z}$ and, consequently, the vascular resistance (Ohm's Law). However, the problem of how to introduce the contribution of the transmural pressure difference to the resistance is not well-defined. The problem is closed by introducing the tube law 81 84],

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\alpha)=\frac{p(z)-p_{e}}{K_{p}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation $2.24, \alpha \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{A(z)}{A_{\text {ref }}}$ represents the ratio between the cross-sectional area $A(z)$ and the reference cross-sectional area $A_{r e f}, p(z)$ represents the pressure inside the tube, and $p_{e}$ represents the external pressure acting on the tube, respectively. Thus, equation (2.24) relates the effect of transmural pressure difference (i.e. $p(z)-p_{e}$ ) with the mechanical response of the vessel. The value of the constant $K_{p}$ is chosen in agreement with the value in 81,84 for a linear elastic tube.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{E}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{h}{r_{r e f}}\right)^{3} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E, \nu$ and $h$ are the tube Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and wall thickness respectively.
Notice that the reference state corresponds to $p-p_{e}=0$ and a circular cross-section, and thus $A_{r e f}=\pi r_{r e f}^{2}$ where $r_{r e f}$ is the reference state radius of the tube. If $p-p_{e}>0$, the cross-section will dilate, corresponding to $\alpha>1$, and if $p-p_{e}<0$, the cross-section will constrict, corresponding to $\alpha<1$.

The explicit form of $P(\alpha)$ depends on the physiological and mechanical properties of the considered tube. Arterial walls are thicker than venous walls, and the pressure inside of an arterial branch is usually higher than in the corresponding venous branch. Therefore arteries can be described as compressible tubes that will deform but will unlikely collapse. Veins can be described as collapsible tubes which may be subject to a negative transmural pressure difference and are more likely to collapse. The phenomenon of venous collapse is known as Starling resistor.


Figure 2.6. Radial displacement on cross-section $\Sigma$ in the case of a compressible tube for a positive transmural pressure difference $p-p_{e}>0$.

For the case of a compressible tube, the cross-section is assumed to remain circular and the radial displacement $\eta$ is computed using Laplace's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) r_{r e f}^{2}\left(p-p_{e}\right)}{E h} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some algebraic manipulations are then necessary to introduce $P(\alpha)$ in the equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta & =\frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) r_{r e f}^{2}\left(p-p_{e}\right)}{E h}=\frac{h^{2}}{12 r_{r e f}} \frac{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E}\left(\frac{r_{r e f}}{h}\right)^{3}\left(p-p_{e}\right) \\
& =\frac{h^{2}}{12 r_{r e f}} \frac{p-p_{e}}{K_{p}}=\frac{h^{2}}{12 r_{r e f}^{2}} \frac{p-p_{e}}{K_{p}} r_{r e f}=\frac{h^{2}}{12 r_{r e f}^{2}} P(\alpha) r_{r e f}=\frac{r_{r e f}}{k_{L}} P(\alpha)  \tag{2.27}\\
& \text { where } k_{L}=12\left(\frac{r_{r e f}}{h}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $P(\alpha)=k_{L} \frac{\eta}{r_{r e f}}$. In addition

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=\pi r^{2}=\pi\left(r_{r e f}+\eta\right)^{2}, & A_{r e f}=\pi r_{r e f}^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
r_{r e f}+\eta=\sqrt{A / \pi}, & r_{r e f}=\sqrt{A_{r e f} / \pi}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta}{r_{r e f}}=\frac{r_{r e f}+\eta}{r_{r e f}}-\frac{r_{r e f}}{r_{r e f}}=\frac{\sqrt{A / \pi}}{\sqrt{A_{r e f} / \pi}}-1=\alpha^{1 / 2}-1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $P(\alpha)=k_{L}\left(\alpha^{1 / 2}-1\right)$.


Figure 2.7. Graph of tube law experimental results for a collapsible tube, adapted from $81-84$. The cross-section shape changes from circular to elliptic to highly collapsed as the transmural pressure difference $p-p_{e}$ decreases.

For the case of a collapsible tube, when $\alpha<1$, the corresponding tube law is $P(\alpha)=1-\alpha^{-3 / 2}$. This formula is based on experiments 81, 84 and describes the Starling resistor effect, graphically depicted in Figure 2.7. When the transmural pressure difference is positive, the vessel is dilating and thereby maintaining a circular cross-section. However, when the transmural pressure difference is negative, the cross-section becomes elliptical. In this region, known as $\varepsilon$-band, as shown in Figure 2.7. small changes in transmural pressure difference yield large changes in the cross-sectional area (and in the vascular resistance). As the transmural pressure difference becomes more negative, the vessel continues to collapse until two opposite sides of the inner wall touch each other. In this region, the vessel is more resistant and thus large changes in the transmural pressure difference yield small changes in the cross-sectional area (and in the vascular resistance).

The modeling choices adopted for $P(\alpha)$ for a compressible and collapsible tube are summarized below.

Compliant tube $P(\alpha)=k_{L}\left(\alpha^{1 / 2}-1\right)$,
Collapsible tube $P(\alpha)= \begin{cases}1-\alpha^{-3 / 2}, & \alpha \leq 1 \\ k_{L}\left(\alpha^{1 / 2}-1\right), & \alpha>1 .\end{cases}$
The last step necessary to close the problem is to use the tube law to find an explicit formulation for the vascular resistance. The case for the collapsible tube will be shown in detail. The computations for compressible tubes are the same as those for the collapsible tubes when $\alpha>1$.

First, the tube law can be solved for $\alpha$ :

$$
\alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{[1-P(\alpha)]^{-2 / 3},} & \alpha \leq 1  \tag{2.30}\\
{\left[\frac{P(\alpha)}{k_{L}}+1\right]^{2},} & \alpha>1
\end{array}= \begin{cases}{\left[1-\frac{p(z)-p_{e}}{K_{p}}\right]^{-2 / 3},} & \alpha \leq 1 \\
{\left[\frac{p(z)-p_{e}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{2},} & \alpha>1\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then, equation 2.23 is integrated from $z=0$ to $z=L$ (note that the first equation in (2.21) implies that $Q$ does not depend on $z$ ) to obtain an equation for the resistance:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{K_{r} \rho} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z}+\frac{Q}{A^{2}(z)}=0 \\
& \frac{1}{K_{r} \rho} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} z} \mathrm{~d} z=-Q \int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{A^{2}(z)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \frac{p_{L}-p_{0}}{K_{r} \rho}=-\frac{Q}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha^{-2} \mathrm{~d} z  \tag{2.31}\\
& \frac{p_{0}-p_{L}}{Q}=\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha^{-2} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& R=\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha^{-2} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{align*}
$$

Finally the expression for $\alpha(2.30)$ is substituted into this expression for the resistance to obtain

$$
R= \begin{cases}\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L}\left[1-\frac{p(z)-p_{e x t}}{K_{p}}\right]^{4 / 3} \mathrm{~d} z \simeq \frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\hat{p}-p_{e x t}}{K_{p}}\right]^{4 / 3}, & \alpha \leq 1  \tag{2.32}\\ \frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L}\left[\frac{p(z)-p_{e x t}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4} \mathrm{~d} z \simeq \frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hat{p}-p_{e x t}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4}, & \alpha>1\end{cases}
$$

where the value of the pressure $p(z)$ is approximated by the average pressure $\hat{p}$ inside the vessel, namely $p \simeq \hat{p}=\frac{p_{0}+p_{L}}{2}$.

The compliant tube case gives the analogous result

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{p}-p_{e x t}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also possible to check that the modeling approach used in the compliant tube case is equivalent to Poiseuille's Law

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =\frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{p}-p_{e x t}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4}=\frac{8 \pi \mu \rho L}{\rho \pi^{2} r_{r e f}^{4}}\left[\frac{P(\alpha)}{k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4} \\
& \stackrel{2.27}{=} \frac{8 \mu L}{\pi r_{r e f}^{4}}\left[\frac{\eta}{r_{r e f}}+1\right]^{-4}=\frac{8 \mu L}{\pi r_{r e f}^{4}}\left[\frac{\eta+r_{r e f}}{r_{r e f}}\right]^{-4}=  \tag{2.34}\\
& =\frac{8 \mu L}{\pi r_{r e f}^{4}}\left[\frac{r}{r_{r e f}}\right]^{-4}=\frac{8 \mu L}{\pi r^{4}}=\frac{128 \mu L}{\pi D}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ and $D$ represent the tube radius and diameter, respectively.
The compliant tube case is used to compute the resistances $R_{1 c}$ and $R_{1 d}$ (Figure 2.1, while the collapsible tube case formula is used to compute the resistances $R_{4 a}, R_{4 b}, R_{5 a}$ and $R_{5 b}$. The value of the external pressure $p_{e}$ varies depending on the region in which the resistance is positioned ( $p_{e}=$ IOP in the intraocular region and $p_{e}=P_{L C}(\zeta)$ in the translaminar region).

The physical parameters of the segments in the venules compartment used in the computation of $R_{4 a}$ and $R_{4 b}$ are chosen as follows: the values of the wall-to lumen-ratio at control state and the Poisson's ratio are $h / D=0.05$ and $\nu=0.49$, respectively,
where $h$ represents the thickness of the vessel wall and $D$ is the diameter. These values are the same as the values in the CRV. The value of the Young's modulus is $E=0.066 \mathrm{MPa}$.

### 2.1.6 Active variable resistance

The resistances $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ are modeled through a phenomenological description of blood flow autoregulation, following the method utilized by Lakin et al. in the context of cerebral blood flow 74 . The absence of autoregulation is modeled by keeping the arteriolar resistances $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ constantly equal to their control value $\bar{R}_{2 a}$ and $\bar{R}_{2 b}$, respectively, despite changes in OPP, while functional autoregulation is modeled by allowing the arteriolar resistances to vary according to the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2 a}=R_{2 b}=\bar{R}_{2 a} \frac{c_{L}+c_{U} \exp \left[K\left(Q_{n o A R}(\mathrm{OPP})-\bar{Q}\right)-\hat{c}\right]}{1+\exp \left[K\left(Q_{n o A R}(\mathrm{OPP})-\bar{Q}\right)-\hat{c}\right]} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{L}=2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $c_{U}=1.18$ determine the lower and upper bounds for the resistance, $K=3.45 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{mL}$ determines the sensitivity of vessel resistance to changes in OPP, $Q_{\text {noAR }}$ is the total retinal blood flow predicted by the model in the absence of autoregulation for a given OPP and $\hat{c}=\ln \left(c_{U}-1\right)-\ln \left(1-c_{L}\right)$ ensures that $R_{2 a}=\bar{R}_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}=\bar{R}_{2 b}$ at the control state. The numerical values of $c_{L}, c_{U}$ and $K$ have been chosen to yield an increase in resistance over the range of OPP depicted in Figure 2.8a. Model simulations of normalized flow in the case of functional and absent autoregulation are reported in Figure 2.8b for various OPP values (attained by setting IOP $=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and varying MAP between 63 and 123 mmHg ).

Figure 2.10c shows that the model predicted values of retinal blood flow in the case of functional autoregulation are consistent with clinical measurements for various MAP levels; this suggests that formula (2.35) and its related assumptions are appropriate modeling choices for retinal blood flow autoregulation.


Figure 2.8. Arteriolar resistance (a) and normalized flow (b) for model simulations with functional (solid line) or absent (dashed line) autoregulation for various ocular perfusion pressures (OPP) attained by setting $\mathrm{IOP}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and varying MAP.

### 2.2 Solution algorithm

In this Section we illustrate the solution procedure for the nonlinear problem in system 2.5. The nonlinearities of the system are due to the variable passive resistances that are highly nonlinear functions of the nodal pressures in Figure 2.1 . To address these numerical difficulties, the problem is linearized and solved with an iterative algorithm using the fixed point method as described below:

Given the values of IOP, RLTp, SP, DP, and an initial guess for each nodal pressure in Figure 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}^{0,0}, \quad \text { for } \quad j \in \mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{(\text { in }),(1, \text { in }),(1), \ldots,(5),(5, \text { out }),(\text { out })\} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, let $n=0, \ldots, N$ be the nodes of the time discretization of a cardiac cycle:


Figure 2.9. Schematic representation of the translaminar portion of the central retinal vessels.

- Update $P_{i n}^{n}$ to be consistent with the given values of SP and DP
- Solve the lamina cribrosa problem in 48 to obtain the value of $P_{L C}(\zeta)$, with $\zeta \in\left[-\frac{h_{L C}}{2}, \frac{h_{L C}}{2}\right]$
- Set

$$
R_{2 a}=R_{2 b}= \begin{cases}\bar{R}_{2 a}, & \text { if AR is absent }  \tag{2.37}\\ 2.35, & \text { if AR is functional }\end{cases}
$$

for $k \geq 0$

- Step 2: For $n=1, \ldots, N$
- Compute $R_{1 c}^{n, k}$ using a modified version of formula (2.33) that includes the variation along the coordinate $\zeta$ of the value of $P_{L C}$ as shown in Figure 2.9.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 c}^{n, k}=\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}} \int_{-h_{L C} / 2}^{h_{L C} / 2}\left[\frac{\hat{P}-P_{L C}(\zeta)}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}=\left(P_{1, p r e}^{n-1, k}-P_{1, p o s t}^{n-1, k}\right)$ and the values of $K_{r}, A_{r e f}, K_{p}$ and $k_{L}$ are computed for the translaminar portion of the central retinal artery

- Compute $R_{1 d}^{n, k}$ using formula (2.33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 d}^{n, k}=\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hat{P}-\mathrm{IOP}}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}=\left(P_{1, p o s t}^{n-1, k}-P_{1,2}^{n-1, k}\right)$ and the values of $K_{r}, L, A_{\text {ref }}, K_{p}$ and $k_{L}$ are computed for the intraocular portion of the central retinal artery

- Compute $R_{4 a}^{n, k}$ and $R_{4 b}^{n, k}$ using a modified version of formula 2.32 to account for the architecture from Takahashi et al. [59]

$$
R_{4 a}^{n, k}=R_{4 b}^{n, k}=0.5 \begin{cases}\frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\hat{P}-I O P}{K_{p}}\right]^{4 / 3}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-I O P \leq 0  \tag{2.40}\\ \sum_{i=1}^{13} \frac{K_{r, i} \rho L_{i}}{A_{r e f, i}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hat{P}_{i}-I O P}{K_{p, i} k_{L, i}}+1\right]^{-4}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-I O P>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\hat{P}=P_{4}^{n-1, k}$ and the values of $K_{r}, L, A_{r e f}, K_{p}$ and $k_{L}$ are computed for an equivalent venular compartment obtained by lumping the venular levels from 1 to 13 [59], while the values of $\hat{P}_{i}, K_{r, i}, L_{i}, A_{\text {ref,i}}, K_{p, i}$ and $k_{L, i}$ are computed for each one of the 13 venular compartments in [59]. This approach was adopted to eliminate the oscillations present in the system due the collapsibility of the 13 levels of venules from 59

- Compute $R_{5 a}^{n, k}$ using formula (2.32)

$$
R_{5 a}^{n, k}= \begin{cases}\frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\hat{P}-I O P}{K_{p}}\right]^{4 / 3}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-I O P \leq 0  \tag{2.41}\\ \frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hat{P}-I O P}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-I O P>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\hat{P}=\left(P_{4,5}^{n-1, k}-P_{5, p o s t}^{n-1, k}\right)$ and the values of $K_{r}, L, A_{\text {ref }}, K_{p}$ and $k_{L}$ are computed for the intraocular portion of the central retinal vein

- Compute $R_{5 b}^{n, k}$ using a modified version of formula (2.32) that includes the variation along the coordinate $\zeta$ of the value of $P_{L C}$ as shown in Figure 2.9

$$
\Upsilon(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\frac{K_{r} \rho}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\hat{P}-P_{L C}(\zeta)}{K_{p}}\right]^{4 / 3}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-P_{L C}(\zeta) \leq 0  \tag{2.42}\\ \frac{K_{r} \rho L}{A_{r e f}^{2}}\left[\frac{\hat{P}-P_{L C}(\zeta)}{K_{p} k_{L}}+1\right]^{-4}, & \text { if } \hat{P}-P_{L C}(\zeta)>0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{5 b}^{n, k}=\int_{h_{L C} / 2}^{-h_{L C} / 2} \Upsilon(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}=\left(P_{5, p o s t}^{n-1, k}-P_{5, p r e}^{n-1, k}\right)$ and the values of $K_{r}, A_{r e f}, K_{p}$ and $k_{L}$ are computed for the translaminar portion of the central retinal vein;

- Step 3: Solve the linearized version of system (2.5) for $P_{j}^{n, k}, j=1,2,4,5$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& C_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{1}^{n, k}-\mathrm{RLTp}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{P_{i n}^{n}-P_{1}^{n, k}}{R_{i n}+R_{1 a}}-\frac{P_{1}^{n, k}-P_{2}^{n, k}}{R_{1 b}+R_{1 c}^{n, k}+R_{1 d}^{n, k}+R_{2 a}}  \tag{2.44}\\
& C_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{2}^{n, k}-\mathrm{IOP}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{P_{1}^{n, k}-P_{2}^{n, k}}{R_{1 b}+R_{1 c}^{n, c}+R_{1 d}^{n, k}+R_{2 a}}-\frac{P_{2}^{n, k}-P_{4}^{n, k}}{R_{2 b}+R_{3 a}+R_{3 b}+R_{4 a}^{n, k}} \\
& C_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{4}^{n, k}-\mathrm{IOP}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{P_{2}^{n, k}-P_{4}^{n, k}}{R_{2 b}+R_{3 a}+R_{3 b}+R_{4 a}^{n, k}}-\frac{P_{4}^{n, k}-P_{5}^{n, k}}{R_{4 b}^{n, k}+R_{5 a}^{n, k}+R_{5 b}^{n, k}+R_{5 c}} \\
& C_{5} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(P_{5}^{n, k}-\mathrm{RLTp}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{P_{4}^{n, k}-P_{5}^{n, k}}{R_{4 b}^{n, k}+R_{5 a}^{n, k}+R_{5 b}^{n, k}+R_{5 c}}-\frac{P_{5}^{n, k}-P_{o u t}^{n}}{R_{5 d}+R_{o u t}} ;
\end{align*}\right.
$$

- Step 4: Compute the value of the retinal blood flow $Q^{n, k}$ and update the value of the pressures $P_{j}^{n, k} j \in \mathcal{H}$ using Ohm's law;
- Step 5: If $k \geq 1$ check for the periodicity of the solution of system (2.44)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{j=1,2,4,5}\left(\frac{\max _{n=0, \ldots, N}\left|P_{j}^{n, k}-P_{j}^{n, k-1}\right|}{\max _{n=0, \ldots, N}\left|P_{j}^{n, k}\right|}\right)<\phi \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a given tolerance;

- Step 6: If 2.45 is satisfied, then $P_{j}^{n, k} j \in \mathcal{H}, n=0, \ldots, N$ is the pressure solution at the nodes of the system in Figure 2.1 for a complete cardiac cycle. If (2.45) is not satisfied then set $P_{j}^{0, k+1}=P_{j}^{N, k} j \in \mathcal{H}$ and return to Step 2.


### 2.3 Results

Six different cases corresponding to different clinical conditions are simulated using the model 2.5). The six cases represent patients with high, normal and low arterial blood pressure (HBP-, NBP-, LBP-), with functional or absent blood flow autoregulation (-wAR, -woAR), as summarized in Table 2.8. Systolic/diastolic arterial blood pressures for cases HBP-, NBP- and LBP- are assumed to be 140/90 $\mathrm{mmHg}, 120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $100 / 70 \mathrm{mmHg}$, respectively. Functional autoregulation is simulated by allowing arteriolar resistances $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ to vary according to equation 2.35 . To simulate the absence of autoregulation, arteriolar resistances $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ do not change and are set equal to their control values $\bar{R}_{2 a}$. and $\bar{R}_{2 b}$.

Table 2.8.
Representative cases for model simulations. The cases represent individuals with normal, high and low arterial blood pressure (NBP-, HBP-, LBP-) and with functional or absent blood flow autoregulation (-wAR, -woAR).

| Case | Acronym | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High BP | HBP- | Systolic/diastolic blood pressure $=140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| Normal BP | NBP- | Systolic/diastolic blood pressure $=120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| Low BP | LBP- | Systolic/diastolic blood pressure $=100 / 70 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| Functional AR | - wAR | Variable arteriolar resistances, see equation 2.35 |
| Absent AR | - woAR | Arteriolar resistances held fixed at control values |

### 2.3.1 Model validation

The model predicted mean values of blood velocity and flow along the retinal vascular network obtained for the NBPwAR case (which represents a healthy clinical condition) are compared in Figures 2.10 a and 2.10 b with measurements obtained by Garcia et al. [85] and Riva et al. [86] using bidirectional laser Doppler velocimetry
in healthy individuals. The pressures $P_{i}$ at each node of the network are computed from (2.5); the velocity and flow in arterioles, capillaries and venules are determined from these pressures and the calculated resistances $R$. The model predicted values of total retinal blood flow for $\mathrm{IOP}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and MAP between 65 and 115 mmHg are compared with clinical data 49,55 57 in Figure 2.10. The model predicted values are normalized with respect to the control state, and the measured data are normalized with respect to their reported baseline value.


Figure 2.10. (a) Comparison of model predicted values (solid line) of blood velocity with measured data (open triangles [85], black dots [86]) for vessels of various diameter. (b) Comparison of model predicted values (solid line) of volumetric blood flow with measured data (open triangles [85], black dots 86 ) for vessels of various diameter. (c) Comparison of model values (solid line) of normalized total retinal blood flow with measured data (black dots [55], open triangles [57], open squares [57], open diamonds [56], plusses [49]) for various mean arterial pressures (MAP). In all model simulations, $\overline{I O P}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

### 2.3.2 Theoretical investigations

The mathematical model is used to investigate the effects of blood pressure and blood flow autoregulation on the IOP-induced hemodynamic changes in total retinal blood flow, CRA blood velocity and intraluminal blood pressure along the retinal vasculature. The model predictions for total retinal blood flow (computed as the
time-average of $Q(t)$ over a cardiac cycle), peak systolic velocity (PSV, maximum value of centerline blood velocity along a cardiac cycle), end diastolic velocity (EDV, minimum value of centerline blood velocity along a cardiac cycle) and resistive index $(\mathrm{RI}=(\mathrm{PSV}-\mathrm{EDV}) / \mathrm{PSV})$ in the CRA are compared for cases NBPwAR, NBPwoAR, HBPwAR, HBPwoAR, LBPwAR and LBPwoAR in Figure 2.11.


Figure 2.11. Model predicted values of total retinal blood flow; peak systolic velocity in the central retinal artery (CRA-PSV); end diastolic velocity in the CRA (CRA-EDV); and resistivity index in the CRA (CRARI) as IOP varies between 15 and 45 mmHg for theoretical patients with low, normal or high blood pressure (LBP-, NBP-, HBP-) and functional or absent blood flow autoregulation ( -wAR , -woAR).

Figure 2.12 reports the IOP ranges in $15-30 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for which the values of total retinal blood flow $(Q)$ and the PSV, EDV and RI in the CRA are within $\pm 3 \%$ of their control values, as predicted by the mathematical model for six theoretical patients. In the low blood pressure cases (LBPwAR and LBwoAR), the values of $Q$ and EDV are never within $3 \%$ of their control values. The small (3\%) change in blood flow is chosen as a rough threshold for quantifying the regime when autoregulation is successful.


Figure 2.12. Model predicted ranges of IOP in $15-30 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for which the values of total retinal blood flow (Q, black), PSV (blue), EDV (red) and RI (green) are within $\pm 3 \%$ of their control state values for six theoretical patients with low, normal or high blood pressure (LBP-, NBP-, HBP-) and functional or absent blood flow autoregulation (-wAR, -woAR).

## Total retinal blood flow

As shown in Figures 2.11 and 2.12, the model predicted average blood flow over a cardiac cycle remains relatively constant when $\mathrm{IOP}=15-25 \mathrm{mmHg}$ in NBPwAR individuals. In the HBPwAR case, relatively constant flow is predicted when $\mathrm{IOP}=$ $25-30 \mathrm{mmHg}$. In the remaining cases, the model predicts a slight or nonexistent autoregulation plateau when $\mathrm{IOP}=15-30 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

## CRA blood velocity

Although a monotone decrease in PSV and EDV with IOP elevation is predicted in most of the theoretical patients, Figures 2.11b and 2.11; show that the NBPwAR and HBPwAR cases exhibit a slight PSV increase for IOP within the regulating range, namely between 15 and 25 mmHg for NBPwAR and between 25 and 30 mmHg for

HBPwAR. For all six cases, the model predicts an increase in the CRA resistivity index with IOP, as shown in Figures 2.11d and 2.11h. As shown in Figure 2.12, for all the cases except HBP-, the range of IOP for which PSV is within $3 \%$ of its control values is larger than the range for EDV. In the HBPwAR, case the PSV value is greater than $3 \%$ of its baseline value for all of the simulated values of IOP.

## Intraluminal blood pressure

The model predicted values of blood pressure in the five model compartments of the retinal vasculature for IOP equal to 15,30 and 45 mmHg are compared in Figure 2.13 for the six representative clinical cases described in Table 2.8. Pronounced changes in pressure are visible in the CRA, arterioles, capillaries and venules with IOP elevation, while the pressure in the CRV remains relatively constant. As expected, when IOP is sufficiently high, the venules collapse. The degree to which the vessels collapse depends on the MAP of the individual. In particular, the model predicts that for an IOP $=45 \mathrm{mmHg}$, the venules collapse to a lesser extent in HBP- patients than in NBP- and LBP- patients.

### 2.3.3 Comparison between model predictions and clinical data

The mathematical model is used to interpret clinically measured hemodynamic responses to surgical IOP reduction in glaucoma patients and induced IOP elevation in healthy individuals.

## Surgical IOP reduction on glaucoma patients

Galassi et al. 10 and Trible et al. 15 report an increase in CRA blood velocity and a decrease in RI following trabeculectomy (group (a) in Galassi et al. and Trible et al.) and deep sclerectomy (group (b) in Galassi et al.), as indicated by the changes $(\Delta)$ in mean flow velocity (MFV), PSV, EDV and RI summarized in Table 2.9. Since


Figure 2.13. Model predicted values of blood pressure in CRA $\left(P_{1}\right)$, arterioles $\left(P_{2}\right)$, capillaries $\left(P_{3}\right)$, venules $\left(P_{4}\right)$, and $\mathrm{CRV}\left(P_{5}\right)$ for IOP $=$ 15,30 , and 45 mmHg for six theoretical patients with high (blue), normal (black) and low (green) blood pressure (HBP-, NBP-, LBP-), and functional (solid) or absent (dashed) blood flow autoregulation (-wAR, -woAR).
the study by Trible et al. [15] reports MAP values of $107 \pm 14.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$, we use HBP-model predictions that correspond to MAP $=106.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$ as a comparison with this set of clinical data. Also, to be consistent with the data, model simulations assume that IOP $=30 \mathrm{mmHg}$ before surgery and IOP $=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ after surgery. The model assumptions have been indicated with shaded boxes in Table 2.9. Model predicted values of $\triangle \mathrm{MFV}, \triangle \mathrm{PSV}, \triangle \mathrm{EDV}$ and $\triangle \mathrm{RI}$ for the HBPwoAR case and the trabeculectomy data reported by Trible et al. 15 differ only by $0.35 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}, 0.54$ $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}, 0.56 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ and 0.02 , respectively. The model predicted values of $\triangle E D V$ and $\Delta R I$ for the HBPwAR and HBPwoAR cases and the deep sclerectomy data reported by Galassi et al. [10] (group (b)) also show the same qualitative trends.

Table 2.9.
Changes in peak systolic velocity (PSV), end diastolic velocity (EDV), resistivity index (RI) and mean flow velocity (MFV) in the central retinal artery as IOP is reduced by trabeculectomy (group (a) in Galassi et al. [10] and Trible et al. [15] ), deep sclerectomy (group (b) in Galassi et al. [10]) and model simulations. Model assumptions are reported in shaded boxes.

| Parameter <br> Study | Clinical Studies |  |  |  |  | Mathematical Model |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $[10$ | Group (a) | 10 | Group (b) | $15$ | HBPwAR | HBPwoAR |
| Pre-op IOP, mmHg |  | $5.27 \pm 6.24$ |  | $4.05 \pm 4.27$ | $27.5 \pm 8.8$ | 30 | 30 |
| Post-op IOP, mmHg |  | . $86 \pm 2.10$ |  | 0.79 $\pm 2.27$ | $12.5 \pm 10.5$ | 15 | 15 |
| Post-op MAP, mmHg |  | - |  | - | $107 \pm 14.7$ | 106.7 | 106.7 |
| Post-op MAP, mmHg |  | - |  | - | $102.2 \pm 11.5$ | 106.7 | 106.7 |
| $\triangle \mathrm{MFV}, \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$ |  | - |  | - | 1.4 | 1.05 | 1.75 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{PSV}, \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$ |  | - |  | - | 1.2 | 0.15 | 1.73 |
| $\Delta E D V, \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ |  | 0.40 |  | 0.47 | 0.96 | 1.32 | 1.52 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{RI}$ |  | -0.06 |  | -0.05 | -0.12 | -0.11 | -0.10 |

Model assumptions are reported in boldface. Dashes indicate that the quantity was not reported in the article.

## Induced IOP elevation on healthy individuals

The model predicted values of PSV and EDV in the CRA for IOP between 15 and 45 mmHg are compared with clinical data 11 in Figure 2.14 . In the study by Harris et al. [11, PSV and EDV measurements were performed at approximately 3 mm behind the optic disc surface, corresponding to the retrobulbar CRA segment in our model. Since the study involved healthy individuals, the clinical data are compared with the NBP-model predictions. Figure 2.14a shows that the PSV values measured by Harris et al. and predicted by our model in the NBP- case fall precisely in the same range.

The model-predicted values of the percent change in the CRA mean flow velocity with IOP elevation are compared with the clinical data by Findl et al. [9] in Fig-
ure 2.14 b . In the study by Findl et al., IOP was raised 20 mmHg above baseline in two steps and then was reduced by 10 mmHg before releasing the suction cup. Measurements were performed at approximately 3 mm behind the optic disc surface, corresponding to the retrobulbar CRA segment in our model. Findl et al. considered healthy individuals and therefore the clinical data are compared with the NBP- model predictions.


Figure 2.14. (a) Comparison between peak systolic velocity (PSV) and end diastolic velocity (EDV) measured by Harris et al. [11] in the central retinal artery (CRA) and the model predicted values in the NBPwAR and NBPwoAR cases. (b) Comparison between the percent change in CRA mean flow velocity measured by Findl et al. [9] and the model predicted values in the NBPwAR and NBPwoAR cases.

### 2.3.4 Trabeculectomy simulations

Alterations in retinal hemodynamics following trabeculectomy (TB) have been reported by several clinical studies $10,14,15$, although no changes in blood velocity in the CRA where observed after trabeculectomy in other studies 18,25$]$. This suggests
that individual factors might mediate the hemodynamic outcomes of the procedure, including arterial blood pressure and autoregulation 37, 87.

The model results are compared with the clinical data collected in a study involving 30 patients (age $62.7 \pm 9.7$ ) who underwent trabeculectomy [88]. In this study, IOP and PSV and EDV in the CRA were measured before and after trabeculectomy in both the healthy eye and the eye on which surgery was performed. Arterial blood pressure was also measured before and after trabeculectomy and did not show significant changes.

Table 2.10.
Representative cases for trabeculectomy model simulations. The cases represent individuals with normal and high blood pressure (NT-, HT-) and with functional or absent blood flow autoregulation (-wAR, -woAR). The values of pressure considered in the case HT are the same average values reported in 88].

| Case | Acronym | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High BP | HT- | Systolic/diastolic blood pressure $=143 / 84 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| Normal BP | NT- | Systolic/diastolic blood pressure $=120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| Functional AR | - wAR | Variable arteriolar resistances, see equation (2.35) |
| Absent AR | -woAR | Arteriolar resistances held fixed at control values |

The values of PSV, EDV, Q in the CRA are computed with the mathematical model for (i) $\mathrm{IOP}=28.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and 13.1 mmHg , corresponding to IOP levels before and after trabeculectomy; (ii) systolic and diastolic blood pressures $\mathrm{SP} / \mathrm{DP}=120 / 80$ mmHg and $143 / 84 \mathrm{mmHg}$, representing normotensive (NT-) and hypertensive (HT-) subjects; and functional autoregulation (-wAR) or absent autoregulation (-woAR), as reported in Table 2.10 .

Statistical analysis of the clinical data shows that PSV and EDV increase from $7.81 \pm 2.51 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ and $2.39 \pm 0.94 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ to $8.90 \pm 2.66 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ and $2.77 \pm 1.14$ after TB, as IOP decreases from $28.7 \pm 7.9 \mathrm{mmHg}$ to $13.1 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (reported in Table 2.11).

The mean values of $\mathrm{SP} / \mathrm{DP}$ in 88 are $143 / 84 \mathrm{mmHg}$, as for the HT case of the mathematical model.

Table 2.11.
Changes in IOP and CRA hemodynamics following trabeculectomy [88].

|  | Pre-Trabeculectomy |  |  | Post-Trabeculectomy |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Healthy Eye | Operated Eye |  | Healthy Eye | Operated Eye |
| $\mathrm{IOP}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $17.9 \pm 5.7$ | $28.7 \pm 7.9$ |  | $16.4 \pm 5.2^{*}$ | $13.1 \pm 5.8^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{PSV}, \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$ | $8.73 \pm 1.49$ | $7.81 \pm 2.51$ |  | $8.59 \pm 2.28$ | $8.90 \pm 2.66^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{EDV}, \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$ | $2.89 \pm 1.28$ | $2.39 \pm 0.94$ |  | $2.75 \pm 1.04$ | $2.77 \pm 1.14^{*}$ |

*Paired Samples t test. Significance level p $<0.05$.

Additional information on the velocity variations in each patient after trabeculectomy is provided in the scatter plots reported in Figure 2.15, where changes in PSV and EDV are reported as a function of MAP for each patient. While the majority of patients showed an increase in PSV and EDV after trabeculectomy, in agreement with the trend of the average values in Table 2.11, some patients showed a decrease in either PSV or EDV following trabeculectomy.

The model predictions for PSV and EDV values in the CRA over a cardiac cycle before and after trabeculectomy are displayed in Figure 2.16. The model predicts an increment in both PSV and EDV for the cases HTwAR, HTwoAR and NTwoAR as IOP is reduced from 28.7 mmHg to 13.1 mmHg . However, for the case NTwAR, the model predicts an increase of EDV and a decrease of PSV values. In particular, Figure 2.16c shows that for almost half of the cardiac cycle the velocity profile before TB is below the value predicted after TB since the difference between the values before and after TB is negative.

In Figure 2.17, clinical observations are simulated using the model by calculating the mean volumetric retinal blood flow over a cardiac cycle. The mean blood flow


Figure 2.15. Scatter plot of the velocity difference in the CRA for the patients in [88. PSV (a) and EDV (b).


Figure 2.16. Model predictions of: CRA velocity before TB (a); CRA velocity after TB (b). Model predictions of the difference between after and before TB of: CRA velocity, and clinical data (c) 88].
increases as IOP is decreased following trabeculectomy in the HTwoAR and NTwoAR cases, but remains almost constant in the NTwAR case. The HTwAR case shows an intermediate trend. The dotted line in Figure 2.17represents the IOP value at which we have the intersection between the average retinal blood flow values for the cases NTwAR and NTwoAR.


Figure 2.17. Model predictions of average retinal blood flow in a cardiac cycle for the four theoretical patients.

### 2.4 Discussion

The combination of multiple factors, including IOP, BP and autoregulation, plays a primary role in determining retinal and retrobulbar hemodynamics. It is widely recognized that IOP elevation poses a serious challenge to tissue perfusion, and several animal and human studies, in addition to the results of the theoretical model presented here, have suggested that arterial blood pressure 3, 37, 41, and blood flow autoregulation $[3,42,44]$, are also important factors influencing blood flow. However,
the difficulty of isolating and assessing the contributions of arterial blood pressure and blood flow autoregulation in vivo limits the current understanding of their effects on tissue perfusion as IOP varies 37. This work introduces a mathematical model that can isolate and assess the contributions of arterial blood pressure, blood flow autoregulation and IOP to retinal hemodynamics.

### 2.4.1 Model validation

Every mathematical model is based on simplifying assumptions whose validity needs to be verified by comparing model predictions with data from independent experimental and clinical studies. Figure 2.10 shows that the model predicted values of velocity and flow are consistent with clinical measurements $49,55,57,85,86$. These measurements were used for comparison purposes only and were not used to estimate any of the model parameters listed in Tables 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7. It is important to note that many of the model parameters vary among individuals. For example, blood pressure and elasticity of the vessel walls differ depending on age [89 92], ethnicity [93, 94 and disease [95, 96; many studies have shown that the geometric and mechanical properties of the lamina cribrosa and sclera undergo changes with age 97,98 , ethnicity 75,99 , and disease 100,101 , and these changes strongly affect the biomechanical response of the optic nerve head tissues to IOP alterations 102,108 . The clinical studies 49,55 57, 85, 86 used for model comparison did not report the parameter values for the geometric and mechanical properties of the lamina cribrosa and sclera, and therefore the model simulations were performed using the literature-based parameter choices reported in Tables 2.6 and 2.7. Future data on the geometric and structural properties of the retinal vessels collected from populations including a wide age range will help improve upon the current model predictions.

Despite the simplified vascular architecture and the literature-based parameter choices, the model predictions of velocity and flow reported in Figure 2.10 are con-
sistent with six independent clinical studies, providing evidence that our modeling choices are appropriate and physiologically reasonable.

### 2.4.2 Theoretical investigations

The model simulations suggest that the hemodynamic response of the retinal vasculature to IOP variations differs noticeably among individuals with different blood pressure and functionality of autoregulation.

## Total retinal blood flow

The model predicts that the autoregulation plateau would shift towards higher IOP values as MAP increases, as shown in Figure 2.12. This is in agreement with the study by He et al. [39], who induced IOP elevations on Long-Evan rats with low, moderate, and high MAP levels and found that a higher IOP was needed to attenuate ocular blood flow in animals with higher MAP. The shift in the autoregulation plateau was also predicted by the theoretical work of Arciero et al. [45], whose microcirculation model suggested that autoregulation fails to operate over its expected range of arterial pressure if IOP is increased.

## CRA blood velocity

The model predicts that the blood flow velocity in the CRA does not always decrease with IOP elevation. Figures 2.11b and 2.11p show a slight increase in PSV in the range of IOP values for which autoregulation is achieved, namely between 15 and 25 mmHg for NBPwAR and between 25 and 30 mmHg for HBPwAR.

These findings have important clinical implications. For example, the model suggests that a 10 mmHg IOP reduction in an individual with normal blood pressure and functional autoregulation (NBPwAR) would result in a noticeable increase in PSV and EDV in the CRA blood velocity and a noticeable increase in total retinal blood flow if

IOP is reduced from 40 to 30 mmHg . However, only minimal hemodynamic changes are predicted if IOP is reduced from 25 to 15 mmHg . These findings could help to explain why some studies $10,14,15$ report significant hemodynamic changes following trabeculectomy, while others do not [18, 25]. The model also suggests that IOP reductions of approximately 5 mmHg would result in minor hemodynamic changes in all of the cases considered here, which is consistent with clinical data related to topical medications [8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 20, 24, 26, 28]. However, reductions in IOP greater than 5 mmHg may have a more significant effect on retinal hemodynamics.

## Intraluminal blood pressure

Intraluminal blood pressure is the main driving force of local tissue perfusion and can be measured in retinal vessels by artificially increasing IOP using ophthalmodynamometry 109 112]. However, the level of IOP may have an important impact on the intraluminal pressure in retinal vessels, which is uncovered by the mathematical model. The model predicts that increased IOP induces a significant increase in the intraluminal blood pressure in all vascular compartments upstream of the CRV. This finding is consistent with the experimental observations by Gluksberg and Dunn 113 and Attariwala et al. [114 on live anesthetized cats. A hydraulic feedback mechanism could explain this phenomenon 113,114 . Veins are more susceptible than arteries to IOP elevation, since veins have thinner walls and lower intraluminal pressure than arteries and, under extreme conditions, act like a Starling resistor and collapse. Thus, as IOP increases, resistance to flow increases in veins more than in arteries, leading to an overall increase in intraluminal pressure upstream of the veins 115, 116. In addition, the model predicts that IOP elevation would affect intraluminal arterial pressure differently depending on whether blood flow autoregulation is functional or absent. The study by Jonas [110] supports this finding, since correlation coefficients between intraluminal blood pressure measured via ophthalmodynamometry and sys-
temic blood pressure were found to be lower in eyes with retinal or orbital diseases than in the control group.

### 2.4.3 Comparison between model predictions and clinical data

A good qualitative and quantitative agreement in the hemodynamic response to IOP changes was found between clinical data and model predictions. This suggests that the mathematical model could be used to anticipate the hemodynamic outcome of clinical IOP modulation on specific patients.

## Surgical IOP reduction on glaucoma patients

The clinical studies by Galassi et al. [10] and Trible et al. [15] suggest that clinically measurable changes in the retinal hemodynamic parameters occur in patients with elevated blood pressure as IOP is reduced from 30 to 15 mmHg . The theoretical analysis in the current study suggests that these changes are less pronounced in HBPwAR patients than in HBPwoAR patients, likely due to the compensatory mechanisms of autoregulation 117. However, the model also suggests that if a 15 mmHg IOP reduction falls within the regulating range predicted for HBP-patients, the consequent hemodynamic changes would be negligible. This might explain why the trabeculectomy study by Cantor [18] did not observe any significant change in ocular blood flow parameters despite significant IOP reduction.

## Induced IOP elevation on healthy individuals

The ability to autoregulate blood flow has a noticeable effect in individuals with normal blood pressure experiencing induced IOP elevation. Figure 2.14a shows good agreement between clinical data and model predictions in the NBPwoAR case when IOP is increased. Blood velocity measurements were performed immediately after IOP elevation (i.e., before autoregulation took effect), explaining why the NBPwoAR
model predictions match the data more closely than the NBPwAR predictions. Consistent with this interpretation, there is better agreement between the data and the NBPwAR case in Figure 2.14b since the blood velocity measurements were performed at least 5 minutes after IOP elevation, leaving time for autoregulation to exert its influence.

### 2.4.4 Trabeculectomy simulations

The clinical data from Table 2.11 show that, on average, trabeculectomy leads to an increase in both PSV and EDV as IOP is reduced. However, Figure 2.15 shows a more complicated scenario in which both increases and decreases in PSV and EDV are possible outcomes of trabeculectomy depending on the patient. The model predictions show how the hemodynamic outcomes following trabeculectomy can vary among patients depending on blood pressure and autoregulatory capacity.

For a normotensive patient, the same IOP reduction following trabeculectomy can cause opposite variations in PSV and EDV depending on the status of the autoregulatory mechanisms, as shown in Figure 2.16. For a hypertensive patient the autoregulatory mechanism starts working at a higher level of IOP, which means that when IOP is reduced, the system falls outside of the autoregulatory range. This explains why the change in velocity in the HTwAR case is qualitatively similar to the NTwAR case but quantitatively different in Figure 2.16.

The level of blood pressure and the functionality of AR also influence the model predictions of the retinal blood flow value over a cardiac cycle. When autoregulation is within its working range (NTwAR), flow remains almost constant as IOP is reduced following trabeculectomy. This is due to the fact that the level of blood flow before trabeculectomy was close to the baseline (healthy). In the hypertensive case the autoregulation is working properly only when $\mathrm{IOP}=28.7 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and the model predicts a value of blood flow that is higher in the HTwAR than in the HTwoAR.

On the other hand when IOP decreases, the model predicts an increase in blood flow over the baseline (healthy) value for both the HTwAR and HTwoAR cases.

## 3. COUPLED MODEL FOR VASCULAR REGULATION IN THE RETINA

The retina exhibits vascular regulation (VR) of blood flow in response to changes in perfusion pressure and the metabolic needs of the tissue. Autoregulation (AR) is the ability to maintain relatively constant level of blood flow despite changes in perfusion pressure, whereas metabolic regulation (MR) is the ability to alter the level of blood flow in response to changes in tissue oxygen demand. AR and MR are achieved by varying the vascular tone of arterioles smooth muscles, causing the vessels to constrict or dilate.

The model presented in Chapter 2 describes VR using a formula which phenomenologically regulates the level of blood flow in the retina. In this Chapter we improve this phenomenological approach by coupling the model described in Chapter 2 with a vessel wall mechanics model of blood flow VR in the retinal microcirculation 45]. This mechanistic model describes the response of vessels to local changes in pressure, shear stress, carbon dioxide and to the downstream metabolic state communicated via conducted responses.

Although both models separately provide insight into key aspects of retinal blood flow regulation, the macrocirculation model is limited by using a phenomenological description of autoregulation, and the microcirculation model is limited by describing only the dynamics in the retinal microcirculation. In this Chapter, the microcirculation and macrocirculation models are combined into a single coupled model that includes a mechanistic description of blood flow VR in the microcirculation as well as the effects of IOP on the CRA and CRV.

In Section 3.1 we describe the governing equations of the coupled model. In Section 3.2 we illustrate the solution algorithm for the coupled model. In Section 3.3 we present the model predictions of pressure, retinal blood flow and oxygen saturation
in response to changes in systemic blood pressure, intraocular pressure and tissue oxygen demand. In Section 3.4 we discuss the model results and limitations. The material and results presented in this Chapter are partially published in 118, 119

### 3.1 Methods

### 3.1.1 Governing equations

Retinal circulation is simulated using a mathematical model that describes the hemodynamic and mechanical properties of multiple vessel compartments, as depicted in Figure 3.1. As described in Chapter2, the model is analogous to an electrical circuit in which blood is propelled through the system by a pressure gradient and the vessels are modeled as a network of resistors, representing the resistances to blood flow offered by the system. The model developed in this Chapter does not include capacitors since it focuses only on the steady state solution instead of on time-dependent dynamics. An analogy to Ohm's Law is used to describe the blood flow $(Q)$ through the system: $Q=\Delta P / R$, where $\Delta P$ represents the pressure difference along a vessel and $R$ is the vascular resistance. The model developed here is obtained by coupling two previously developed mathematical models for the retinal circulation.

The first model, described in 45 (herein referred to as the microcirculation model), describes the blood flow through the large arterioles (LA), small arterioles (SA), capillaries (C), small venules (SV), and large venules (LV). Diameters of the LA and SA depend on the passive and active length-tension characteristic of the vessel wall. The active tension is generated by activation of the vascular smooth muscle according to changes in pressure, shear stress, oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ metabolism and carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Thus, the microcirculation model provides a mechanistic description of retinal vascular regulation.

The second model, described in Chapter 2 (herein referred to as the macrocirculation model), simulates blood flow upstream, within, and downstream of the microcir-


Figure 3.1. Schematic for retinal vascular network in the coupled model. The vasculature is divided into seven compartments: the central retinal artery (CRA), large arterioles (LA), small arterioles (SA), capillaries (C), small venules (SV), large venules (LV), and central retinal vein (CRV). Each compartment is modeled as a resistor $(R)$. The retinal vasculature encompasses three regions: the intraocular region, translaminar region, and retrobulbar region. The vessels in the intraocular region are exposed to intraocular pressure (IOP), the vessels in the translaminar region are exposed to an external pressure that depends on the stress in the lamina cribrosa (shaded gray), and the vessels in the retrobulbar region are exposed to the retrolaminar tissue pressure (RLTp). Resistors marked with arrows indicate that their diameters change either passively (due to IOP) or actively (due to vascular regulation). Dashed circled regions indicate the two previous models (the macrocirculation model, presented in Chapter 2, and the microcirculation model [45]) that are combined in the current work to form the coupled model.
culation. In particular, the macrocirculation model implements mechanical principles to describe blood flow through the CRA and the CRV.

Coupling the models substitutes the phenomenological approach described in Section 2.1.6 with the mechanistic one used in [45], providing a more accurate description of retinal regulation in the context of a retinal vascular model that includes the hemodynamics in the vessels that supply (CRA) and drain (CRV) the retina. A complete description of the two original (i.e., uncoupled) models can be found in 45] (microcirculation model), and in Chapter 2 (macrocirculation model). In this Section, the relevant features of and changes to these two models necessary to generate the coupled model will be discussed.

As in the previous two models, the coupled model is characterized by both variable and fixed resistances. The resistances $R_{\text {in }}$ and $R_{\text {out }}$ describe the blood vessel resistance upstream of the CRA and downstream of the CRV. Variable resistances are marked with an arrow in Figure 3.1, and the remaining resistances are fixed. Resistances $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ are vasoactive resistances that change according to VR mechanisms in the system. Resistances $R_{1, L C}, R_{1, I O P}, R_{5, I O P}$ and $R_{5, L C}$ are passive resistances that change according to the Law of Laplace (see Section 2.1.5) due to the external pressure of the IOP in the intraocular region and the mechanical stress exerted by the lamina cribrosa on the CRA and the CRV in the translaminar region (see Figure 3.1). The action of the lamina cribrosa on the translaminar portion of the CRA and CRV is described in Section 2.1.4 and in [48. The coupled model differs from the macrocirculation model in the modeling assumption regarding the passive resistances: (i) the resistances $R_{1, L C}, R_{1, I O P}, R_{5, I O P}$ and $R_{5, L C}$ are modeled as compliant (not collapsible) tubes and the Starling effect is not included (see Section 2.1.5 for reference); (ii) venular resistances $R_{4 a}$ and $R_{4 b}$ are assumed to be constant.

Conservation of flow in the network yields the following nonlinear system of algebraic equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{P_{\text {in }}-P_{1, \text { post }}}{R_{\text {in }}+R_{1}+R_{1, L C}(\underline{P})}-\frac{P_{1, \text { post }}-P_{1,2}}{R_{1, I O P}(\underline{P})}=0  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{P_{1, \text { post }}-P_{1,2}}{R_{1, I O P}(\underline{P})}-\frac{P_{1,2}-P_{4,5}}{R_{2 a}(\underline{P}, D, A)+R_{2 b}(\underline{P}, D, A)+R_{3}+R_{4 a}+R_{4 b}}=0 \\
\frac{P_{1,2}-P_{4,5}}{R_{2 a}(\underline{P}, D, A)+R_{2 b}(\underline{P}, D, A)+R_{3}+R_{4 a}+R_{4 b}}-\frac{P_{4,5}-P_{5, \text { post }}}{R_{5, I O P}(\underline{P})}=0 \\
\frac{P_{4,5}-P_{5, p o s t}}{R_{5, I O P}(\underline{P})}-\frac{P_{5, p o s t}-P_{\text {out }}}{R_{1, L C}(\underline{P})+R_{5}+R_{\text {out }}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the definitions of the pressures $(P)$ and resistances $(R)$ are shown in Figure 3.1 . The four variables of the system are given in the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P}=\left[P_{1, p o s t}, P_{1,2}, P_{4,5}, P_{5, p o s t}\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{\text {in }}$ and $P_{\text {out }}$ are given data; the value of $P_{\text {out }}$ is fixed at 14 mmHg , while the value of $P_{\text {in }}$ is varied in the different simulations. Some of the vascular resistances depend on the pressure values (indicated in equation (3.1) by an explicit dependence in parentheses), while the remaining resistances are constant and equal to their control state values listed in Table 3.1. $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ also depend on the diameter $(D)$ and smooth muscle activation $(A)$ values obtained from system (3.3).

### 3.1.2 Modeling of vascular regulation

Active changes in LA and SA resistances ( $R_{2 a}$ and $R_{2 b}$ ) are achieved via four regulatory mechanisms that respond to changes in (a) systemic pressure (myogenic response), (b) wall shear stress (shear stress response), (c) adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration (metabolic response) and (d) carbon dioxide concentration (carbon dioxide response).

Table 3.1.
Computed values of the vascular resistances at the control state.

| Resistance | Value, <br> $\mathrm{mmHg} \cdot \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mL}$ | Resistance | Value, <br> $\mathrm{mmHg} \cdot \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\bar{R}_{\text {in }}$ | $2.68 \cdot 10^{4}$ | $\bar{R}_{4 a}$ | $3.03 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1}$ | $8.60 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{4 b}$ | $1.86 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1, L C}$ | $1.97 \cdot 10^{2}$ | $\bar{R}_{5, I O P}$ | $3.24 \cdot 10^{2}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{1, I O P}$ | $1.01 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{5, L C}$ | $6.09 \cdot 10^{1}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{2 a}$ | $8.21 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $\bar{R}_{5}$ | $2.70 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{2 b}$ | $1.32 \cdot 10^{4}$ | $\bar{R}_{\text {out }}$ | $6.80 \cdot 10^{3}$ |
| $\bar{R}_{3}$ | $6.63 \cdot 10^{3}$ |  |  |

The following equations are solved for the steady state diameter and smooth muscle activation of the LA and SA according to these four VR mechanisms:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\mathrm{d} D_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{1}{\varphi_{d}} \frac{\bar{D}_{i}}{\bar{T}_{i}}\left(T_{i}-T_{\text {total }, i}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} A_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{1}{\varphi_{a}}\left(A_{\text {total }, i}-A_{i}\right),
\end{array} \quad i=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}\right.
$$

where $D_{i}$ and $A_{i}$ are the diameter and level of smooth muscle activation, respectively. Constants $\varphi_{d}=1 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\varphi_{a}=1 \mathrm{~min}$ are the characteristic time constants regulating the changes in diameter and smooth muscle activation, and $\bar{D}_{i}$ and $\bar{T}_{i}$ are the control state values for diameter and wall tension. The wall tension $T_{i}$ is given by the Law of Laplace as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\frac{P_{i}-\mathrm{IOP}}{2} D_{i}, \quad i=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i}$ is the intraluminal pressure of the $i$-th compartment. The model representation for vessel wall tension

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {total }, i}=T_{\text {pass }, i}+A_{\text {total }, i} T_{\text {active }, i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the sum of a passive component $\left(T_{\text {pass }}\right)$ that arises from the structural elements of the vessel and a maximally active component ( $T_{\text {active }}$ ) that arises from the constriction or relaxation of vascular smooth muscles. The passive component is assumed to be an exponential function of diameter 45]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p a s s, i}=C_{p a s s, i} e^{C_{p a s s, i}^{\prime}\left[\left(D_{i} / D_{0, i}\right)-1\right]} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the maximally active component is modeled as a Gaussian function of vessel diameter 45]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T_{\text {active }, i}=C_{a c t, i} e^{-\left[\left(\left(D_{i} / D_{0, i}\right)-C_{a c t, i}^{\prime}\right) / C_{a c t, i}^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime}}\right]^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the constants $C_{\text {pass }}, C_{\text {pass }}^{\prime}, C_{a c t}, C_{a c t}^{\prime}, C_{a c t}^{\prime \prime}$, and $D_{0}$, are taken directly from [45] and reported in Table 3.2. As defined in [45] the active component of tension in the vessel wall is the product of the maximally active and $A_{\text {total }}$, which is a sigmoidal function (3.8) that varies between 0 and 1 and depends on a stimulus function ( $S_{\text {tone }}$, (3.9) that determines the degree of vascular smooth muscles constriction:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{\text {total }, i}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-S_{\text {tone }, i}}}, \quad i=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}  \tag{3.8}\\
S_{\text {tone }, i}=C_{\text {myo }, i} T_{i}-C_{\text {shear }, i} \tau_{i}-C_{m e t a, i} S_{C R, i}-C_{C O_{2}, i} S_{C O_{2}, i}+C_{\text {tone }, i}^{\prime \prime} \quad i=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA} . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The value of the constants $C_{m y o}, C_{\text {shear }}, C_{m e t a}$, and $C_{C O_{2}}$ are obtained from 45 and reported in Table 3.2. The constant $C_{\text {tone }}^{\prime \prime}$ is computed to guarantee that $A=0.5$ in the control state. The stimulus function is a linear combination of the myogenic, shear-dependent, metabolic, and carbon dioxide VR mechanisms.

- The myogenic mechanism contribution is $C_{m y o, i} T_{i}$ where $T_{i}$ represents the tension in the vessel wall computed as in equation (3.4).
- The shear-dependent mechanism contribution is $C_{\text {shear }, i} \tau_{i}$ where $\tau_{i}$ is the wall shear stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i}=\frac{32 \mu_{i} Q_{c, i}}{\pi D_{i}^{3}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ represents the blood viscosity and $Q_{c, i}$ represents the blood flow in an individual vessel in compartment $i$.

Table 3.2.
Values of the constant parameters for vascular regulation mechanisms.

|  | LA | SA |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Value |  | Source |
| $C_{\text {pass }}, \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ | 361.48 | 197.01 | 45 |
| $C_{\text {pass }}^{\prime}$ | 53.69 | 17.60 | 45 |
| $C_{\text {act }}, \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ | 2114.2 | 3089.6 | 145 |
| $C_{\text {act }}^{\prime}$ | 0.93 | 1.02 | 45 |
| $C_{\text {act }}^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.11 | 0.20 | 45 |
| $C_{\text {myo }}, \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{dyn}$ | $9.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 45 |
| $C_{\text {shear }}, \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{dyn}$ | $2.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 45 |
| $C_{\text {meta }},(\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{cm})^{-1}$ | 200 | 200 | 45 |
| $C_{C O_{2}}, \mathrm{mmHg} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ | $8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.31 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 45 |
| $C_{\text {tone }}^{\prime \prime}$ | $133.16^{*}, 157.43^{* *}$ | $60.18^{*}, 70.54^{* *}$ |  |
| $D_{0}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 135.59 | 73.9 | 45 |

* Values used for the results presented in Section 3.3.1 and in Section 3.3.2.
** Values used for the results presented in Section 3.3.3.
- The metabolic mechanism contribution is $C_{\text {meta, } i} S_{C R, i}$ where $S_{C R}$ is a signal obtained by the integral of the ATP concentration $c_{A T P}(s)$ in plasma 45. The ATP release depends on the oxygen saturation, $S_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ of blood.


Figure 3.2. Krogh cylinder model schematic representation. Oxygen is assumed to diffuse from a vessel to a surrounding tissue cylinder of thickness $d$ (left panel). A cross-sectional view of a group of adjacent parallel vessels is depicted on the right panel.

- The carbon dioxide mechanism contribution is $C_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}, i} S_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}, i}$ where $S_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ represents the carbon dioxide signal. This signal is a nonlinear function of the level of tissue $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ content ( $c_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ ) and the blood flow in the retinal circulation. More details on this mechanism can be found in [45].

Once the vessel diameter $(D)$ is determined for the vessels in the LA and SA compartments, the values of the resistances are computed using Poiseuille's Law

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{128 \mu L}{\pi n D^{4}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is vessel length, $\mu$ is blood viscosity, and $n$ is the number of vessels in the compartment.

In this model the transport of oxygen in the retinal vasculature and tissue is described using a Krogh cylinder type model. Oxygen is assumed to diffuse from a vessel to a surrounding cylinder of tissue, as in 45] (Figure 3.2).

### 3.1.3 Control state

A control state represents conditions in a healthy eye. Control state values are indicated by an overbar.

As in Section 2.1.2, the control state value of $\overline{\mathrm{IOP}}$ is 15 mmHg , and the control state value of $\overline{\mathrm{RLTp}}$ is 7 mmHg . The control state values of $\bar{P}_{i n}=62.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}=14 \mathrm{mmHg}$ are determined in the coupled model according to color Doppler imaging data (Section 2.1.2).

To compute the control state value of $R_{\text {in }}$ and $R_{\text {out }}$, the control state for the microcirculation model is computed first as in 45], using the value of $\bar{P}_{1,2}$ and $\bar{P}_{4,5}$ from Chapter 2. Table 2.4. Then, the pressure drop between the nodes $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{1, i n}$ and between the nodes $P_{4,5}$ and $P_{5, \text { out }}$ is computed using Ohm's Law. The value of $R_{\text {in }}$ and $R_{\text {out }}$ is equal to $R_{\text {in }}=\left(\bar{P}_{\text {in }}-\bar{P}_{1, \text { in }}\right) / \bar{Q}_{u n}$ and $R_{o u t}=\left(\bar{P}_{5, o u t}-\bar{P}_{4,5}\right) / \bar{Q}_{u n}$, where all the quantities are equal to their control state value and $\bar{Q}_{u n}$ is the value of blood flow in the control state of the microcirculation model.

The procedure used to compute the control state is iterative and is based on the control state assumptions described in Chapter 2 and [45]. First, the pressure values for $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ in Table 2.4 provide an initial guess for these pressure values, and then pressure drops across LA, SA, C, SV, and LV are computed as in 45. The control state values of the LA and SA diameters are obtained by solving system (3.3), assuming that $\bar{A}_{L A}=\bar{A}_{S A}=0.5$ in the control state. The control state value of the capillary diameter is assumed to be $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and a symmetry condition that dictates the length and number of vessels in each compartment is used to determine the control state diameter values of the SV and LV assuming that the wall shear stress values at control state are those reported in Table 3.3 45]. The flow in each compartment is computed using Poiseuille's Law, and then the numbers and lengths of the vessels in each compartment are computed 45. A comprehensive list of the geometric and mechanical parameters characterizing the CRA and CRV are given in Chapter 2 and Table 3.3

Table 3.3.
Control state values for retinal vascular network compartments.

| Parameter | CRA | LA | SA | C | SV | LV | CRV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter $D, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrobulbar | 175 | - | - | - | - | - | 238 |
| Translaminar | 174.73 | - | - | - | - | - | 238.76 |
| Retrobulbar | 173.64 | 105.54 | 48.07 | 6 | 69.66 | 155.70 | 235.18 |
| Length $L, \mathrm{~mm}$, total | 10 | 5.86 | 4.27 | 0.54 | 4.27 | 5.86 | 10 |
| Retrobulbar | 8.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 8.8 |
| Translaminar | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 |
| $\quad$ Retrobulbar | 10 | 5.86 | 4.27 | 0.54 | 4.27 | 5.86 | 10 |
| Number of segments $n$ | 1 | 4 | 38 | 191172 | 38 | 4 | 1 |
| Wall thickness $h, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 39.72 | - | - | - | - | - | 10.72 |
| Pressure drop $\Delta P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 5.96 | 4.99 | 7.99 | 4.02 | 1.84 | 1.13 | 1.88 |
| Shear Stress $\tau$, dyn $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrobulbar | 36.64 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.87 |
| Translaminar | 34.80 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.73 |
| Retrobulbar | 35.46 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 15.41 |
| Velocity $v, \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrobulbar | 2.53 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.37 |
| Translaminar | 2.53 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.36 |
| Retrobulbar | 2.57 | 1.74 | 0.88 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.80 | 1.40 |

Once the vascular network geometry for the model is determined, the control state resistance for each compartment is computed using Poiseuille's Law (3.11). System (3.1) is then solved to compute the control state for the coupled model. The values of $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ for the coupled model are compared with the initial guess. The iterations cease when the difference between the initial guess and the computed value is within a certain tolerance. If the condition on the tolerance is not satisfied, the
predicted value is set as the new initial guess and the process is repeated. All control state values and parameters for the coupled model are listed in Tables 3.1, 3.3, 3.4.

Table 3.4.
Computed values of intraluminal blood pressures at the control state.

| Pressure | Value, <br> $\mathbf{m m H g}$ | Pressure | Value, <br> $\mathbf{m m H g}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{P}_{\text {in }}$ | 62.22 | $\bar{P}_{3,4}$ | 22.97 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { in }}$ | 45.94 | $\bar{P}_{4 a}$ | 21.14 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { pre }}$ | 40.71 | $\bar{P}_{4,5}$ | 20.01 |
| $\bar{P}_{1, \text { post }}$ | 40.60 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { post }}$ | 19.81 |
| $\bar{P}_{1,2}$ | 39.98 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { pre }}$ | 19.78 |
| $\bar{P}_{2 a}$ | 34.99 | $\bar{P}_{5, \text { out }}$ | 18.13 |
| $\bar{P}_{2,3}$ | 27.00 | $\bar{P}_{\text {out }}$ | 14.00 |

### 3.2 Solution algorithm

In this Section we illustrate the solution procedure for coupling the macrocirculation and microcirculation models (i.e. coupling the nonlinear problems in systems (3.1) and (3.3). The problem is solved with the following fixed point algorithm:

Let the values of IOP, RLTp, SP, DP be given, and let us set an initial guess for (i) the pressure at the nodes of the system in Figure 3.1, $P^{0}$, (ii) the diameter and the level of smooth muscle activation of the vessels in compartments LA and SA, $D_{L A}^{0}$, $D_{S A}^{0}, A_{L A}^{0}$ and $A_{S A}^{0}$, (iii) the value of oxygen saturation, ATP concentration and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ content at the inlet of the CRA, $S_{O_{2}, C R A}(0), c_{A T P, C R A}(0), c_{C O_{2}, C R A}(0)$, and (iv) the value of retinal blood flow $Q^{0}$.

Let $n=0, \ldots, N$ represent the iterations between the two systems and let us proceed as follows:

## - Step 1:

- Update $P_{\text {in }}$ to be consistent with the given values of SP and DP
- Solve the lamina cribrosa problem in 48 to obtain the value of $P_{L C}(\zeta)$, with $\zeta \in\left[-\frac{h_{L C}}{2}, \frac{h_{L C}}{2}\right]$

For $n \geq 0$

- Step 2:
- Compute $S_{O_{2}, C R A}^{n}\left(L_{C R A}\right), c_{A T P, C R A}^{n}\left(L_{C R A}\right), c_{C O_{2}, C R A}^{n}\left(L_{C R A}\right)$ using 45]
- Solve nonlinear system (3.3) for steady state solution (using Matlab ode solver) $\Rightarrow D_{L A}^{n+1}, D_{S A}^{n+1}, A_{L A}^{n+1}$ and $A_{S A}^{n+1}$
- Compute the value of the resistances $R_{2 a}^{n+1}, R_{2 b}^{n+1}$ using (3.11);
- Step 3:
- Solve nonlinear system (3.1) for $P_{1, \text { post }}^{n+1} P_{1,2}^{n+1}, P_{4,5}^{n+1}$, and $P_{5, p o s t}^{n+1}$ (using Matlab nonlinear solver)
- Compute the value of retinal blood flow $Q^{n+1}$ and the pressures at the nodes of the system in Figure 3.1 at iteration $n+1$ using Ohm's law;
- Step 4: Check for convergence of the values of $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{j=\{1,2\},\{4,5\}}\left(\frac{\left|P_{j}^{n+1}-P_{j}^{n}\right|}{\left|P_{j}^{n+1}\right|}\right)<\phi, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a given tolerance;

- Step 5: If $(3.12)$ is satisfied then the quantities computed at step $n+1$ are the solution of the coupled model. If $(3.12)$ is not satisfied then set $n=n+1$ and return to Step 2.


### 3.3 Results

Here, we compare model predictions for two different versions of the model: the (uncoupled) microcirculation model and the coupled model defined in this Chapter.

1. In Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 the coupled model and microcirculation model are used to predict the blood flow, blood oxygen saturation, and $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ values as the tissue oxygen demand $\bar{M}_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$, and MAP and IOP vary in the range between $(20,165) \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $(7.5,31) \mathrm{mmHg}$, respectively. A comparison between the results of the coupled model and microcirculation model is presented along with corresponding experimental measurements from rats 39,120 and cats 121 .
2. In Section 3.3.3 the coupled model is used to predict blood oxygen saturation and blood flow as tissue oxygen demand varies in the range between $(1,5) \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$, and $\mathrm{MAP}=93.3 \mathrm{mmHg}, \mathrm{IOP}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\bar{M}_{0}=$ $2.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$. The model results are compared with clinical data on flicker stimulation and light-dark stimulation in which oxygen demand varies 30 32, $34,36,122$.

### 3.3.1 Effect of model coupling

The effect of coupling the microcirculation and macrocirculation models is depicted in Figure 3.3 by comparing the microcirculation model (black curves) and coupled model (blue curves) predictions for $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ (panel a), the pressure drop along the CRA (panel b), and the total CRA resistance (panel c) as MAP is varied between 65 and 165 mmHg and IOP $=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$. A few key assumptions differ between the microcirculation model and the coupled model. For example, in the microcirculation model, $P_{4,5}$ is held fixed (Figure 3.3a). The pressure drop along the CRA (i.e., $\Delta P_{C R A}=P_{\text {in }}-P_{1,2}$ ) in the microcirculation model is not directly computed, but is assumed to be constant (Figure 3.3 b ), whereas the pressure drop along the CRA in


Figure 3.3. (a) Model predicted values of $P_{1,2}$ (solid) and $P_{4,5}$ (dashed) for the microcirculation model (black curves) and coupled model (blue curves). (b) Pressure drop along the CRA for the microcirculation model (black curve) and coupled model (blue curve). (c) Total resistance in the CRA in the microcirculation model (black curve) and coupled model (blue curve). In all panels, MAP is varied between 65 and $165 \mathrm{mmHg}, \mathrm{IOP}=15$ mmHg and tissue oxygen demand $M_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.
the coupled model varies according to the effects of the IOP-RLTp pressure difference and laminar effects on the CRA. Total resistance in the CRA is computed according to Ohm's Law as $R_{C R A}=\left(P_{i n}-P_{1,2}\right) / Q$, where $Q$ is the retinal blood flow through the system.

Together, the panels in Figure 3.3 provide a mechanical rationale for why the model predictions for the microcirculation model and coupled model differ substantially, as shown in Figure 3.4 .

In Figure 3.4, the predictions for flow and venous oxygen saturation are compared for the two different models. In both cases, tissue oxygen demand is assumed to be held constant at $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$, MAP ranges from 65 to 165 mmHg , and $\mathrm{IOP}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

In Figure 3.5, the various mechanisms of VR are turned "on" and "off" to demonstrate which mechanisms contribute most significantly to generating the VR plateau


Figure 3.4. (a) Predicted values of retinal blood flow (normalized) as MAP is varied ( $65-165 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) using the microcirculation model (black curve) and coupled model (blue curve). (b) Predicted values for venous oxygen saturation as MAP is varied $(65-165 \mathrm{mmHg})$ using the microcirculation model (black curve) and coupled model (blue curve). Arterial venous oxygen saturation was assumed to be 0.97 and tissue oxygen demand $M_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$. In both panels, IOP $=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$.
in the coupled model. Consistent with the findings in 45], these results show that the metabolic and carbon dioxide mechanisms are most important for achieving VR.

### 3.3.2 Comparison with experimental measurements for changes in MAP and IOP

To explore which version of the theoretical model (coupled or microcirculation) yields predictions that more closely mimic reality, predictions using both models are compared with experimental measurements from rats 39,120 and cats 121.

In Figure 3.6, normalized values of blood flow are predicted in both the coupled model and microcirculation model, and compared with data from [39, 120, 121 as MAP is varied between 20 and 165 mmHg for $\mathrm{IOP}=10 \mathrm{mmHg}$.


Figure 3.5. Model predicted values of retinal blood flow (normalized) as MAP is varied ( $20-165 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) using the coupled model. Vascular regulation mechanisms are turned on and off to assess their individual contributions. The following combinations are included: all mechanisms (blue), myogenic + shear + metabolic (green), myogenic + shear $+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (red), myogenic + shear (black curve). Here, IOP $=10 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and tissue oxygen demand $M_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.

He et al. 39,120 measure blood flow in rat retina at low-, normal- and elevatedblood pressure values corresponding to $\mathrm{MAP}=59,108$, and 156 mmHg , respectively, as IOP is varied over a range of $10-110 \mathrm{mmHg}$. The coupled model and microcirculation model are only capable of handling IOP values between 7.5 and 31 mmHg , and these results are compared with the findings from 39,120 in Figure 3.7. In the case of low MAP, as IOP increases, the diameter of the small arterioles decreases until the point of collapse, yielding the observed absence of blood flow when IOP $>22 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (red, solid curve, Figure 3.7).


Figure 3.6. Normalized values of blood flow predicted by the coupled model (blue curve) and microcirculation model (black curve) are compared with data from [39,120] (red open markers) and [121] (magenta triangles) as MAP is varied between 20 and 165 mmHg for IOP $=10 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and tissue oxygen demand $M_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 3.3.3 Comparison with experimental measurements for changes in oxygen demand

Table 3.5 provides a summary of the observed effects of flicker stimulation and light-dark studies on retinal blood flow, blood oxygen saturation, and vessel diameter in multiple species (humans, rats, cats, and bullfrogs). These studies do not translate the degree of flicker stimulation or exposure to light into a quantifiable level of oxygen demand in the retina. In addition, the observed hemodynamic changes are not the same across species or for similar flicker stimulation frequencies or light exposure

Table 3.5.
Summary of vascular response to flicker stimulation and light-dark adaptation studies.

| Study | Species | Results | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flicker light stimulation | humans | - Retinal arterial and venous blood flow increases during flicker stimulation <br> - Major retinal arterial and venous diameter increases during flicker stimulation | $30$ |
| Flicker light stimulation | human | - Retinal venous oxygen saturation is higher during flicker stimulation than in light adaptation <br> - Major retinal arterial and venous diameter increase during flicker stimulation | $32$ |
| Flicker light stimulation | rats | - Retinal venous oxygen saturation is lower during flicker stimulation than in light adaptation | 122 |
| Light-dark adaptation | humans | - Retinal arterial and venous oxygen saturation is higher during dark than in light adaptation (in humans) <br> - Oxygen consumption in the outer retina is higher in dark than light adaptation (in cats) <br> - Oxygen consumption in the inner retina is similar in dark than light adaptation (in cats) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Light-dark adaptation | cats | - Outer retina tissue oxygen partial pressure is lower in dark than light adaptation (in cats) <br> - Inner retina tissue oxygen partial pressure is higher in dark than light adaptation (in cats) <br> - Retinal oxygen consumption is higher in dark than in light adaptation (in bullfrogs) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 36 \mid \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Light-dark adaptation and flicker light stimulation | rats | - Oxygen consumption in the outer retina is higher in dark than in light adaptation <br> - Inner retina activity is lower in dark than in light adaptation <br> - Retinal blood flow is higher in light adaptation and during flicker stimulation than in dark adaptation |  |



Figure 3.7. Coupled model (solid) and microcirculation (dashed) model predictions of normalized blood flow as IOP is varied between $7.5-31$ mmHg are compared with experimental measures 39,120 at low-, normaland elevated- blood pressure values corresponding to MAP $=59$ (red), 108 (blue), and 156 mmHg (black), respectively. Note that these exact MAP values were provided by authors He et al. since average values were reported in [120]. All flow values are normalized with respect to the control state flow value for $\mathrm{IOP}=15 \mathrm{mmHg}, \mathrm{MAP}=93 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and tissue oxygen demand $M_{0}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.
time. Because of these aspects, these data provide mathematical modelers with a unique challenge of capturing the various responses according to the geometric and mechanistic assumptions built into their models.

Figure 3.8 provides a first attempt of using a mathematical model to predict the change in perfusion when tissue oxygen demand is varied between 1 and $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$. The effects of different response mechanisms (myogenic, shear, metabolic, and carbon dioxide) are evaluated by running multiple model simulations with some


Figure 3.8. Large arteriole (LA) blood flow vs. oxygen demand. The model predictions for different vascular regulation mechanisms are compared with clinical data 30 at baseline and during flicker stimulation. The following combinations are included: all mechanisms (blue curve), myogenic + shear + metabolic (black), myogenic + shear $+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (green), myogenic + shear (cyan). Here, IOP $=15 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and oxygen consumption varies between 1 and $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.
of the mechanisms active and some inactive. The model predicts asymmetric behavior in response to changes in tissue oxygen demand. For example, when all mechanisms are active, the model predicts a $6 \%$ decrease in perfusion when tissue oxygen demand is decreased by $50 \%$ and a $23 \%$ increase in perfusion when tissue oxygen demand is increased by $50 \%$. If both the metabolic and carbon dioxide responses are impaired (light blue line), the model predicts a constant level of blood flow that does not respond to changes in oxygen demand, suggesting the importance of these two response mechanisms.

The predictions of blood flow through a large arteriole are also compared with data from a study on flicker stimulation in healthy individuals 30] in Figure 3.8. The clinical study measures: (i) the average value of blood flow in one large retinal arteriole of $7.4 \pm 3.5 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ in light adapted conditions and (ii) a $59 \%$ increase in blood flow with flicker stimulation. In the model, a baseline value for oxygen demand is chosen to be $M_{0}=2.65 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ min in order to yield the experimentally observed value of venous blood oxygen saturation of $60 \%$ [32]. The $M_{0}$ value corresponding to flicker stimulation is obtained from a study showing that flicker stimulation induces a $48 \%$ increase in oxygen demand 122 . Using these clinical data points, Figure 3.8 shows that the model predictions are in good agreement with clinical data.

The model predicted value of oxygen saturation in the large venules is also compared with data from a clinical study on flicker stimulation 32 . The clinical study measures an increase in venous saturation from $60 \% \pm 5.7 \%$ to $64 \% \pm 5.9 \%$ with flicker stimulation, while the model predictions show a decrease in venous saturation with flicker stimulation from $60 \%$ to $52 \%$.

### 3.4 Discussion

The vascular model of the retina developed in this study incorporates the mechanical effects of IOP on the CRA and CRV (modeled as compressible tubes) and four VR response mechanisms to describe blood flow to the retina. The blood flow predicted by the coupled model is significantly closer to pressure-flow experimental data collected by $[39,120,121$ than blood flow levels predicted by the microcirculation model, supporting the improvements offered by the coupled model over the microcirculation model. This excellent agreement between the coupled model and experiments $39,120,121$ provides confidence in the model assumptions and equations.

### 3.4.1 Effect of model coupling

The coupled model accounts for the retinal macrocirculation and microcirculation. The coupling with the macrocirculation model affects the coupled model predictions which differ from the results obtained with the microcirculation model in the range of pressures where the VR plateau is absent.

The coupled model and microcirculation model predict significantly different levels of intraluminal pressure directly upstream and downstream of the microcirculation compartments (i.e., $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$, as shown in Figure 3.3 a). These differences result from differing model assumptions: in the microcirculation model $P_{4,5}$ is held fixed, while in the coupled model, the passive effects of IOP and compression of the lamina cribrosa on the diameters of the CRA and CRV cause $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ to change. In addition, in the microcirculation model, the change in pressure along the CRA (i.e., $\Delta P_{C R A}=P_{\text {in }}-P_{1,2}$, as shown in Figure 3.3b) is not directly computed, but is held constant at 22 mmHg based on previous model predictions (see Chapter 2 and 45] for reference). To maintain this constant drop in pressure, the resistance in the CRA must decrease significantly (as shown in Figure 3.3r). In contrast, in the coupled model, the mechanical effects of IOP and the lamina cribrosa dictate $\Delta P_{C R A}$ and cause nonlinear changes in the CRA resistance. As MAP increases, the change in pressure along the CRA also increases and the resistance of the CRA decreases slightly. The coupled model and microcirculation model were built to yield the same behavior in the control state, and thus the $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ values are the same for both models in the control state (as observed by the intersection of the curves at MAP $=93$ mmHg in Figure 3.3a). As MAP increases above the control state, $\Delta P_{C R A}$ is lower in the microcirculation model than the coupled model, and the reverse is true when MAP is decreased below the control state. Together, these relationships explain the differences in $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ predicted by the two models.

Blood flow and oxygen saturation also differ between the coupled model and microcirculation model. While the two models predict nearly identical VR plateau (Fig-
ure 3.4 a ), the change in flow outside the pressure range for VR is more realistic in the coupled model, as evidenced by its agreement with experimental data (Figure 3.6). In the microcirculation model, flow is predicted to approach zero at a higher value of MAP than in the coupled model. Flow will equal zero once $\Delta P=P_{1,2}-P_{4,5}=0$. As can be seen in Figure $\sqrt[3.3]{ }$, the curves for $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ in the microcirculation model intersect at a higher value of MAP than the coupled model, explaining the faster decline in flow as MAP decreases.

The differences in flow outside the VR range for each model suggest that the assumptions in the microcirculation model are particularly relevant to study VR while the model assumptions in the coupled model provide a more accurate description of the overall hemodynamic behavior of the vascular supply to the retina. Similarly, the ranges of venous saturation predicted by both models are nearly equivalent (Figure 3.4 b ), but the coupled model yields a less steep decline in venous saturation as MAP decreases than the microcirculation model since blood flow does not decrease as rapidly. From the results in Figure 3.5, it is also apparent that the combined action of the metabolic and carbon dioxide responses is important in achieving flow regulation.

### 3.4.2 Comparison with experimental measurements for changes in MAP and IOP

The comparison of the microcirculation and coupled model predictions with experimental data $39,120,121$ highlights the importance of including the mechanical effects of IOP and the lamina cribrosa when modeling blood supply to the retina. As shown in Figure 3.6, the coupled model provides a very close match to experimental measures of flow in the retina of the rat 39, 120 and cat 121. It is important to note that none of the data collected by $39,120,121$ was used in the formulation or parameter optimization of this model; rather, the data set is only used to validate the model, and the agreement between theory and experiment highlights the value of including both a mechanical description of VR and IOP in the model.

Figure 3.7 provides further evidence that coupling the macrocirculation and microcirculation models is necessary to provide an accurate description of blood flow to the retina. Model simulations for $\mathrm{IOP}=7.5-31 \mathrm{mmHg}$ at low, normal, and elevated MAP values are compared with experimental data [39,120]. As both the coupled model and experimental data show, flow is relatively constant (with a slight decrease) over this range of IOP values. The microcirculation model predicts a much more significant decrease in flow with IOP which deviates substantially from the collected data. This decrease is due to the fixed levels of $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{4,5}$ (given a specific IOP value) in the microcirculation model, which leads to a decrease in flow as resistance increases with IOP. He et al. provide measures for IOP as high as 110 mmHg , but both theoretical models are limited once IOP is increased beyond a certain threshold (leading to the closure of the small arterioles in the coupled model). The coupled model is also unable to provide predictions for values of MAP or IOP that cause $P_{4,5}=P_{\text {out }}$. Of note, some work is still needed to fully account for the IOP effect (e.g. Starling resistor) on the retinal venules (SV and LV) and on the CRV.

### 3.4.3 Comparison with experimental measurements for changes in oxygen demand

The increase in blood flow predicted by the model due to an increase in oxygen demand is not in the same proportion as the change in blood flow observed with the same decrease in oxygen demand, suggesting that vascular regulatory mechanisms may respond differently to different levels of oxygen demand. In addition, the model is used to quantify the relevance of the different regulatory mechanisms, suggesting that metabolic and carbon dioxide responses play a major role in achieving vascular regulation. While the model is able to quantify the average retinal response to changes in oxygen demand, it cannot differentiate between the contributions of the inner and the outer retina. Overall, the preliminary results of this model give important insight into metabolic flow regulation; however, the inability to capture all of the
in vivo observations listed in Table $3.5,32,34-36]$ suggests a need for more realistic descriptions of the retinal geometry and oxygen delivery to explain the response of blood flow to changes in retinal oxygen demand.

To represent the retinal geometry more accurately, the model can be adapted to account for the multiple layers (vascular and avascular) of the retina. In this updated geometry, oxygen will be assumed to diffuse from retinal capillaries and the choroid into the various retinal tissue layers. The derivation of this extended model will be presented in Chapter 4.

## 4. MODELING OXYGENATION WITHIN MULTIPLE RETINAL LAYERS

The model presented in this Chapter improves upon the models introduced in Chapters 2 and 3 by including more physiological representations of the following components:

- retinal anatomy: the retinal tissue is defined using 6 different layers that include oxygen sources and sinks;
- vascular architecture: capillaries are arranged in two layers at different depths providing oxygen to the tissue, together with the choroid (pure diffusion).
- oxygen exchange: the exchange of oxygen occurs through the vessel walls due to the difference in oxygen concentration between blood and tissue. This boundary phenomenon requires a new formulation for the oxygen transport problem in the microcirculation (Section 4.2).

In the next sections we will describe: (i) oxygen transport in the tissue (Section 4.1); (ii) oxygen transport in the microvasculature (Section 4.2); (iii) coupling between parts (i) and (ii) (Section 4.3); (iv) solution algorithm of the coupled problem (Section 4.4); and (v) preliminary results of numerical simulations (Section 4.5).

### 4.1 Oxygen transport in the retinal tissue

The retinal tissue is described by the parallelepiped $\Omega_{t}=\Omega_{x y} \times\left(z_{0}, z_{6}\right)$ in Figure 4.1, where $\Omega_{x y}$ represents the retinal surface, $z_{0}=0$, and $z_{6}=L_{\text {retina }}$, where $L_{\text {retina }}$ is the total thickness of the retina. The different retinal cells are grouped into 6 different layers according to the retinal anatomy, as depicted in Figure 4.1. Each
layer is labeled $\Omega_{t, j}=\Omega_{x y} \times\left(z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right)$, with $j=1, \ldots, 6$. The values of the coordinates $z_{0}, \ldots, z_{6}$ and the measure of $\Omega_{x y}$ are reported in Table 4.1.

Layers from $1-3$ comprise the inner retina and layers $4-6$ comprise the outer retina. The thickness of the inner retina $L_{i r}$ and outer retina $L_{o r}$ are assumed to be equal, yielding $L_{i r}=L_{o r}=\frac{L_{\text {retina }}}{2} \sqrt[123]{ }$.

Layers 2, 4, 5, and 6 are avascular, whereas layers 1 and 3 contain the intermediate capillary plexus (referred to as C1 in the following sections) and the deep capillary plexus (referred to as C3 in the following sections), respectively (see Figure 4.1 for reference).


Figure 4.1. Left: Tissue layers of the retina clustered in 6 different layers in the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$. Right: Tissue layers of the retina, by Henry Vandyke Carter, Public Domain, via Wikimedia Commons, from [1], Plate 881.

The transport of oxygen in the retinal tissue is described by the stationary diffusion reaction equation in Cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$ on $\Omega_{t}$, shown in Figure 4.1

$$
\begin{cases}-\alpha_{t} D_{t} \Delta p_{t, j}=\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right), & \text { in } \Omega_{t, j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6  \tag{4.1}\\ \left.\frac{\partial p_{t, j}}{\partial z}\right|_{z_{0}}=0, & \text { on } \Omega_{x y} \times\left\{z=z_{0}\right\} \\ \left.p_{t, j}\right|_{z_{j}^{-}}=\left.p_{t, j}\right|_{z_{j}^{+}}, & \text {on } \Omega_{x y} \times\left\{z=z_{j}\right\}, j=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.\frac{\partial p_{t, j}}{\partial z}\right|_{z_{j}^{-}}=\left.\frac{\partial p_{t, j}}{\partial z}\right|_{z_{j}^{+}}, & \text {on } \Omega_{x y} \times\left\{z=z_{j}\right\}, j=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.p_{t, j}\right|_{z_{6}}=p_{t, \text { choroid }}, & \text { on } \Omega_{x y} \times\left\{z=z_{6}\right\} \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{x y} p_{t, j}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{x y} \times\left(z_{0}, z_{6}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha_{t}$ represents the oxygen solubility coefficient in the tissue, and $p_{t, j}$ represents the partial pressure of oxygen in the tissue region $\Omega_{t, j}$; thus $\alpha_{t} p_{t, j}$ represents the oxygen concentration inside the tissue; $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is the partial derivative with respect to the $z$ coordinate, $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal vector to $\partial \Omega_{x y} \times$ $\left(z_{0}, z_{6}\right)$ and $\nabla_{x y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{e}_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{e}_{y}$ is the component of the gradient operator $(\nabla)$ in the $x y$ plane, where $\mathbf{e}_{x}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{y}$ are the unit vectors in the $x$ and $y$ direction, respectively. The quantity $p_{c, j}$ represents the partial pressure of free oxygen inside the capillaries in the capillary plexus $\mathrm{C} j, D_{t}$ represents the oxygen diffusion coefficient in the tissue, and $\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)$ represents the supply and consumption term of the equation. The boundary conditions in system (4.1) enforce: no oxygen flux at the vitreous level, continuity of partial pressure and flow at the internal interfaces between layers, Dirichlet condition at the level of the choroid, and no flux lateral condition on $\partial \Omega_{x y} \times\left(z_{0}, z_{6}\right)$. The values of the constants in system (4.1) are reported in Table 4.1.

The reaction term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{S}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)-M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the sum of (i) a source term $\mathcal{S}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)$ that represents the volumetric flow of oxygen supplied to the tissue by the capillaries in layers $\mathrm{C} j$, and (ii) a sink term $M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right)$ that represents the consumption rate of oxygen due to the metabolic activity
of the tissue.
Since the only layers containing capillaries are Layers 1 and 3, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{2}=\mathcal{S}_{4}=\mathcal{S}_{5}=\mathcal{S}_{6}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit form of the source term $\mathcal{S}_{j}(j=1,3)$ will be discussed in Section 4.3 . The consumption term $M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right)$ is modeled by Michaelis-Menten kinetics 124

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right)=\alpha_{t} \frac{\Lambda_{j}^{\max } p_{t, j}}{p_{t, j}+K_{1 / 2}}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{j}^{\max }$ represents the maximum rate of oxygen consumption of the tissue in layer $j$, and $K_{1 / 2}$ represents the partial pressure of oxygen at half of the maximum consumption. The maximum rate of oxygen consumption is assumed uniform in the inner retina, i.e. $\Lambda_{1}^{\max }=\Lambda_{2}^{\max }=\Lambda_{3}^{\max }$ 124. In the outer retina, the oxygen is consumed primarily by the photoreceptors (Layer 5 in Figure 4.1). Consumption in Layers 4 and 6 is considered to be negligible 124,125; thus $\Lambda_{4}^{\max }=\Lambda_{6}^{\max }=0$. The control state values of the parameters $\Lambda_{j}^{\max }$ and $K_{1 / 2}$ are reported in Table 4.1.

Thus, the form of the reaction term in system (4.1) is:

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{S}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)-M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right), & j=1,3  \tag{4.5}\\ -M_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right), & j=2,5 \\ 0, & j=4,6\end{cases}
$$

### 4.1.1 Model reduction

A model reduction of system (4.1) is preformed by averaging the problem on $\Omega_{x y}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \alpha_{t} D_{t} \Delta p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we will define $\bar{p}_{t, j}(z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma$ as the average value of $p_{t, j}$ at the retinal depth $z$, and split the Laplacian operator $\Delta$ into its $x y$ and $z$ components, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta p_{t, j}=\Delta_{x y} p_{t, j}+\frac{\partial^{2} p_{t, j}}{\partial z^{2}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta_{x y} p_{t, j}=\frac{\partial^{2} p_{t, j}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} p_{t, j}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4.1.
Parameters for the oxygen transport in the retinal tissue

| Parameters | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retinal surface $\left\|\Omega_{x y}\right\|, \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 10.9 | 126 |
| Retinal tissue thickness $L_{\text {retina }}, \mu \mathrm{m}$ | 250 | 124.127 |
| Inner retina thickness $L_{i r}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 125 | 123] |
| Outer retina thickness $L_{\text {or }}, \mu \mathrm{m}$ | 125 | 123] |
| Thickness of retinal layers |  |  |
| $z_{1}-z_{0}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 25 | 127 |
| $z_{2}-z_{1}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 75 | 127. |
| $z_{3}-z_{2}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 25 | 127. |
| $z_{4}-z_{3}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 67.5 | 124 |
| $z_{5}-z_{4}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 25 | 124 |
| $z_{6}-z_{5}, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 32.5 | 124. |
| Oxygen solubility coefficient in tissue $\alpha_{t}, \frac{\mathrm{mLO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{mmHg}}$ | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 128. |
| Diffusivity of oxygen in tissue $D_{t}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ | $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 129 |
| Partial pressure of oxygen at the level of the choroid $p_{t, \text { choroid }}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 80 | 128] |
| Maximum rate of oxygen consumption $\Lambda_{j}^{\max }$ |  |  |
| Layer $1-3, \mathrm{mmHg} / \mathrm{s}$ | 26 | 124 |
| Layer $4,6, \mathrm{mmHg} / \mathrm{s}$ | 0 | 124.125 |
| Layer 5, mmHg/s | 90 | 124 |
| Partial pressure of oxygen at half maximum consumption $K_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 2 | 130] |

The integral on left hand side (4.6) becomes (up to a negative sign):

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \alpha_{t} D_{t} \Delta p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma & =\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \alpha_{t} D_{t} \Delta_{x y} p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma+\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{t, j}}{\partial z^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& \stackrel{1}{=} \frac{\alpha_{t} D_{t}}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \Delta_{x y} p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma+\alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{t} D_{t}}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \nabla_{x y} \cdot\left(\nabla_{x y} p_{t, j}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}} \\
& \stackrel{2}{=} \frac{\alpha_{t} D_{t}}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\partial \Omega_{x y}} \mathbf{n}-\nabla_{x y} p_{t, j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma+\alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where in step 1 the $z$ component of the Laplacian operator can be moved outside of the integral since $\Omega_{x y}$ is assumed uniform in $z$, and in step 2 the first term becomes an integral on $\partial \Omega_{x y}$ using the divergence theorem. This term is zero due to the no lateral flux boundary condition in (4.1).
The right hand side of equation (4.6 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 6, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right)-\bar{M}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right)$. The computation of the functional form of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 6)$ will be discussed in Section 4.3 (equation 4.48)). The average oxygen consumption $\bar{M}$ is computed via a linear approximation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{x y}} \alpha_{t} \frac{\Lambda_{j}^{\max } p_{t, j}}{p_{t, j}+K_{1 / 2}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \simeq \alpha_{t} \frac{\Lambda_{j}^{\max } \bar{p}_{t, j}(z)}{\bar{p}_{t, j}(z)+K_{1 / 2}}=M_{j}\left(\bar{p}_{t, j}\right), \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in\left(z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right)$, and $j=1, \ldots, 6$. The result of this averaging procedure is summarized in the following system which describes the transport of oxygen in the retinal tissue through the depth of the retina thickness (coordinate $z$ ):

$$
\begin{cases}-\alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}},=\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{j}\left(p_{t, j}, p_{c, j}\right), & \text { in }\left(z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 6  \tag{4.11}\\ \left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{0}}=0, & \text { at } z=z_{0} \\ \left.\bar{p}_{t, j}\right|_{z_{j}^{-}}=\left.\bar{p}_{t, j}\right|_{z_{j}^{+}}, & \text {at } z=z_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{j}^{-}}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{t, j}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{j}^{+}}, & \text {at } z=z_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.\bar{p}_{t, j}\right|_{z_{6}}=p_{t, \text { choroid }}, & \text { at } z=z_{6} .\end{cases}
$$

The function $\bar{p}_{t, j}=\bar{p}_{t, j}(z)$, thus the partial derivatives with respect to the $z$ coordinate in (4.1) become ordinary derivatives.

### 4.2 Oxygen transport in the retinal microvasculature

The retinal microcirculation model, presented in Chapter 3, is modified by splitting the single capillary compartment in Figure 3.1 into two capillary compartments C1 and C3 located inside tissue Layers 1 and 3, respectively. It is assumed that $35 \%$
$\left(\psi_{1}=0.35\right)$ of the total number of small arterioles and small venules branch into the intermediate capillary plexus (i.e., into tissue layer 1$)$, and that $65 \%\left(\psi_{2}=0.65\right)$ of the total number of small arterioles and small venules branch into the deep capillary plexus (i.e., into tissue layer 3). The ratio between the total number of capillaries in compartments C1 and C3 is therefore equal to $\frac{\psi_{1}}{\psi_{2}}$. To ensure similar hemodynamic conditions in the two capillary compartments, the total blood flow is split using the same proportionality constant, namely:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { total blood flow comp. C1 }=\psi_{1} *(\text { total retinal blood flow })  \tag{4.12}\\
& \text { total blood flow comp. } \mathrm{C} 3=\psi_{2} *(\text { total retinal blood flow }) .
\end{align*}
$$

A depiction of this updated microcirculation model is shown in Figure 4.2. The values of $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are physiologically reasonable and similar to those used in 127], where $\psi_{1}=30 \%, \psi_{2}=60 \%$ and the remaining $10 \%$ of arteries and veins were located at the level of the vitreous, and did not branch into capillaries. Here we do not account for the arterioles and veins that remain at the level of the vitreous.


Figure 4.2. Retinal microvasculature represented by the following compartments: the large arterioles (LA), small arterioles (SA), intermediate capillaries (C1), deep capillaries (C3), small venules (SV), and large venules (LV). The central retinal artery (CRA) is upstream of the model, and central retinal vein (CRV) is downstream of the model.

Let us now focus on the transport of oxygen inside a vessel of the retinal microcirculation, such as that shown in Figure 4.3. The cylinder of length $L$ and radius $r$ with circular cross-section $\Sigma$ in the polar coordinate system $(\zeta, \theta, s)$ is used to represent a generic vessel in any of the microcirculation compartments depicted in Figure 4.2 .


Figure 4.3. Representative cylinder $\Omega$ in cylindrical coordinates ( $\zeta, \theta, s$ ) with circular cross-section $\Sigma$, of length $L$ and radius $r$.

Oxygen transport is described by the stationary advection diffusion reaction equation in cylindrical coordinates on the domain $\Omega=[0, r) \times[0,2 \pi) \times(0, L)$ shown in Figure 4.3, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}=\varphi, \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\mathbf{J} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbf{u} c-\mathbf{D} \nabla c$, is the oxygen flux; $\mathbf{J}=J_{\zeta} \mathbf{e}_{\zeta}+J_{\theta} \mathbf{e}_{\theta}+J_{s} \mathbf{e}_{s}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{\zeta}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{s}$ are the unit vectors in the $\zeta, \theta$ and $s$ (axial) direction, respectively;
- $\mathbf{u}$ is the blood velocity, $\mathbf{u}=u_{\zeta} \mathbf{e}_{\zeta}+u_{\theta} \mathbf{e}_{\theta}+u_{s} \mathbf{e}_{s} ;$
- $c \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{c} p_{c}+H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}$ is the total oxygen concentration in the blood, which is the sum of (i) the oxygen dissolved in plasma and red blood cells (RBCs), denoted by $\alpha_{c} p_{c}$, and (ii) the oxygen bound to hemoglobin in RBCs, denoted by $H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}$. The quantities $p_{c}$ and $S_{O_{2}}$ represent the partial pressure of free oxygen and the blood oxygen saturation, respectively. Oxygen saturation is related to $p_{c}$ through the Hill equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{O_{2}}=\frac{p_{c}^{n H}}{p_{c}^{n H}+p_{50}^{n H}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n H$ is the Hill coefficient and $p_{50}$ is the partial pressure of free oxygen at $50 \%$ saturation.

The constants $\alpha_{c}, H_{D}$ and $c_{0}$ are the oxygen solubility coefficient, blood hematocrit and oxygen carrying capacity of the RBCs, respectively. Blood is modeled as a mixture of plasma and RBCs, therefore the solubility coefficient $\alpha_{c}$ is computed as the weighted average of the plasma and RBC contributions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{c}=H_{D} \alpha_{1}+\left(1-H_{D}\right) \alpha_{2}, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ represents the oxygen solubility in the RBCs and $\alpha_{2}$ represents the oxygen solubility in plasma. The values of $\alpha_{c}, c_{0}, H_{D}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, p_{50}$, and $n H$ are reported in Table 4.2,

- $\mathbf{D}$ is the diffusivity tensor;
- $\varphi$ is an oxygen sink or source in $\Omega$.

Table 4.2.
Parameters for the oxygen transport in the retinal microvasculature

| Parameters | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hematocrit $H_{D}$ | 0.4 | 131] |
| Oxygen carrying capacity of RBCs $c_{0}, \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 0.5 | \|131| |
| Oxygen solubility coefficient in RBCs $\alpha_{1}, \frac{\mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{mmgg}}$ | $3.38 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 132 |
| Oxygen solubility coefficient in plasma $\alpha_{2}, \frac{\mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{mmHg}}$ | $2.82 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 132 |
| Diffusivity of oxygen in plasma $D_{\zeta}, \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ | $2.18 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 133 |
| Diffusivity of hemoglobin in RBCs $D_{H b}, \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 134 |
| Partial pressure of free oxygen at $50 \%$ saturation $p_{50}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 26 | 131 |
| Hill coefficient $n H$ | 2.7 | 131\| |

Starting from (4.13), we will perform a model reduction using the following assumptions:

- $\mathbf{D}$ is diagonal;

$$
\mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{\zeta} & 0 & 0  \tag{4.16}\\
0 & D_{\theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $D_{\zeta}, D_{\theta}$ and $D_{s}$ represent the diffusivity coefficients in the radial, angular and axial coordinate, respectively;

- The right hand side of equation (4.13) is null, namely $\varphi=0$, since no sources or sinks of oxygen are present in $\Omega$. The exchange of oxygen, which filtrates through the vessel walls into the tissue, is modeled through the boundary conditions;
- There is no dependence on the $\theta$-coordinate $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \theta}=0, J_{\theta}=0, u_{\theta}=0$;
- A parabolic velocity profile à la Poiseuille is assumed $\Rightarrow u_{\zeta}=0 \Rightarrow J_{\zeta}=u_{\zeta} \epsilon-$ $D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial c}{\partial \zeta}$, and $u_{s}=0$ at $\zeta=r ;$
- The contribution of hemoglobin radial diffusion in RBCs is neglected;

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\zeta}=-D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial c}{\partial \zeta}=-D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}+\frac{D_{H b}}{D_{\zeta}} H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{H b}$ represents the diffusivity of hemoglobin in RBCs.

- The contribution of oxygen diffusion in the axial direction is negligible compared to the advective component $\Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s}=u_{s} c-D_{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\alpha_{c} p_{e}+\frac{D_{H b}}{D_{s}} H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right), \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the constants $D_{\zeta}$ and $D_{H b}$ are reported in Table 4.2.
Given these assumptions, the term $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$ in equation 4.13) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} & =\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left(\zeta J_{\zeta}\right)+\frac{1}{\zeta}\left(\frac{\partial J_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\frac{\partial J_{s}}{\partial s}  \tag{4.19}\\
& =-\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left[\zeta D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[u_{s}\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}+H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In this model, the exchange of oxygen between the blood vessel and the surrounding tissue is driven by the difference between the concentration of oxygen inside the vessel and the concentration of oxygen in the tissue surrounding the vessel. This physiological phenomenon is described by the wall-free model presented in 135 through the boundary condition of the system (4.20). Using the wall-free technique, the effect of the vessel wall is captured by the permeability coefficient $\mathcal{L}_{p}$, yielding

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left[\zeta D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[u_{s}\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}+H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right)\right]=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.20}\\ -\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=r}=\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\left.\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right|_{\zeta=r}-\alpha_{t} p_{t, w}\right), & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}=\{r\} \times[0,2 \pi) \times(0, L) \\ p_{c}=\hat{p}_{c, 0}, & \text { on } \Sigma_{0}=[0, r) \times[0,2 \pi) \times\{0\}\end{cases}
$$

The quantity $p_{t, w}$ represents the partial pressure of oxygen in the tissue surrounding the vessel, and $\hat{p}_{c, 0}$ represents the partial pressure profile at the cylinder inlet. The parameter $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ regulates the exchange of oxygen between the blood inside the vessel and the surrounding tissue. The clinical literature does not provide a range of values for $\mathcal{L}_{p}$; thus in this work, the value of the parameter is estimated to yield physiologically reasonable oxygen concentration profiles in the mathematical simulations. The value of the parameter $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ is reported in Table 4.3 .

### 4.2.1 Model reduction

A model reduction of the system 4.20 is performed by integrating over the crosssection $\Sigma=[0, r) \times[0,2 \pi)$. Further assumptions on $u_{s}, p_{c}$ and $S_{O_{2}}$ are necessary. It
is assumed that the dependence on $\zeta$ and $s$ for each of the quantities of interest can be expressed as the product of (i) the quantity cross-sectional average (overlined function) that depends on the axial coordinate $s$ only, and (ii) a shape function $f$ that depends on the radial component $\zeta$ only. So we write:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{s}(\zeta, s)=\bar{u}_{s}(s) f_{u}(\zeta), \quad \text { where } \bar{u}_{s}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} u_{s} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& p_{c}(\zeta, s)=\bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}(\zeta), \quad \text { where } \bar{p}_{c}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} p_{c} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta  \tag{4.21}\\
& S_{O_{2}}(\zeta, s)=\bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s) f_{S_{O_{2}}}(\zeta), \quad \text { where } \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} S_{O_{2}} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

The shape function can be computed explicitly by enforcing compatibility with the boundary conditions. The assumption of Poiseuille flow for the blood implies
i Parabolic profile of $u_{s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{u}(\zeta)=a \zeta^{2}+b \zeta+c$.
ii The maximum value of $u_{s}$ is attained at $\zeta=\left.0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{u}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|_{0}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{u}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|_{0}=\left.(2 a \zeta+b)\right|_{0}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad b=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { iii }\left.f_{u}(\zeta)\right|_{r}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a r^{2}+c=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c=-a r^{2}
$$

The separation of variables in equation (4.21) implies that $f_{u}(\zeta)$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} f_{u}(\zeta) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\pi r^{2} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that,

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi r^{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} f_{u}(\zeta) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} a\left(\zeta^{2}-r^{2}\right) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta=2 \pi a\left[\frac{\zeta^{4}}{4}-\frac{\zeta^{2} r^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{r} \\
a & =-\frac{2}{r^{2}}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad c=2 \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $f_{u}(\zeta)=2\left(1-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)$, which corresponds to the classic Poiseuille profile.

The boundary condition for the partial pressure of oxygen $p_{c}$ in system (4.20) requires additional attention. Replacing $p_{c}$ in the second condition of system 4.20) with the expression in 4.21 gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left.D_{c} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\left.\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}-\alpha_{t} p_{t, w}\right) \\
& -\left.D_{c} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}(\zeta)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\left.\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}(\zeta)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}-\alpha_{t} p_{t, w}\right)  \tag{4.25}\\
& -D_{c} \alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}(r)-\alpha_{t} p_{t, w}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a differential equation for $f_{p}(r)$ that can be solved if the dependence on the coordinate $s$ is eliminated. To this end we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}(\zeta, s)=p_{c}(\zeta, s)-\beta p_{t, w}(s), \quad \text { where } \beta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{\alpha_{t}}{\alpha_{c}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

representing an extension of the tissue oxygen partial pressure $p_{t, w}$ from the vessel wall into $\Omega 127,136$. Note that $p_{t, w}$ is assumed to be constant on each cross-section and so it does not depend on $\zeta$. Consistent with the equations 4.21), it is assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}(\zeta, s)=\bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(\zeta) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\bar{p}_{c}(s) f_{p}(\zeta)=\bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(\zeta)+\beta p_{t, w}(s)$. Moreover, since $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} f^{*}(\zeta) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{d} \theta=\pi r^{2}$ we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{p}_{c}(s) & =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} p_{c}(\zeta, s) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(\zeta)+\beta p_{t, w}(s)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}}\left(\bar{p}^{*}(s) \pi r^{2}+\beta p_{t, w}(s) \pi r^{2}\right)=\bar{p}^{*}(s)+\beta p_{t, w}(s) \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the candidate shape function $f^{*}(\zeta)=\frac{k+2}{k+2(1-\delta)}\left(1-\delta \frac{\zeta^{k}}{r^{k}}\right)$, where $k$ and $\delta$ are arbitrary constants 136 that can be chosen so that $f^{*}(\zeta)$ satisfies the second equation in 4.20). We verify the compatibility of $f^{*}(\zeta)$ with the second equation in 4.20 and with condition (4.23) in the following way:
i

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} f^{*}(\zeta) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{k+2}{k+2(1-\delta)}\left(1-\delta \frac{\zeta^{k}}{r^{k}}\right) \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =2 \pi \frac{k+2}{k+2(1-\delta)}\left[\frac{\zeta^{2}}{2}-\frac{\delta}{r^{k}} \frac{\zeta^{k+2}}{k+2}\right]_{0}^{r} \\
& =2 \pi \frac{k+2}{k+2(1-\delta)}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2}-\frac{\delta}{p^{k}} \frac{r^{k+2}}{k+2}\right)  \tag{4.29}\\
& =2 \pi \frac{k+2}{k+2(1-\delta)} \frac{2 r^{2}+k r^{2}-2 \delta r^{2}}{2(k+2)} \\
& =\pi r^{2} \frac{k+2(1-\delta)}{\frac{k+2(1-\delta)}{k+2}}
\end{align*}
$$

ii Assuming a parabolic profile for $f^{*}(\zeta)$, we set $k=2$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{*}(\zeta)=\frac{2}{2-\delta}\left(1-\delta \frac{\zeta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right), \quad f^{*}(r)=\frac{2(1-\delta)}{2-\delta}  \tag{4.30}\\
& {\left[f^{*}(\zeta)\right]^{\prime}=-\frac{4 \delta \zeta}{(2-\delta) r^{2}}, \quad\left[f^{*}(r)\right]^{\prime}=-\frac{4 \delta}{(2-\delta) r},}
\end{align*}
$$

iii The last step is to determine the value of $\delta$ such that the second equation in (4.20) is verified:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\left.\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}-\alpha_{t} p_{t . w}\right) \\
& -\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left.\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\alpha_{c} p_{c}-\alpha_{t} p_{t . w}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& -\left.\alpha_{c} D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t . w}\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left.\alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p}\left(p^{*}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& -\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}+\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial \beta p_{t . w}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} ^{p_{t, w}=p_{t, w}(s)}=\left.\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(p^{*}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}  \tag{4.31}\\
& -\left.D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\bar{p}^{*}(\zeta) f^{*}(\zeta)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left.\mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\bar{p}^{*}(\delta) f^{*}(\zeta)\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& -D_{\zeta}\left[f^{*}\right]^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{L}_{p} f^{*}(r) \Rightarrow \frac{4 \delta D_{\zeta}}{(2-\delta) r}=\mathcal{L}_{p} \frac{2(1-\delta)}{2-\delta} \\
& \delta=\frac{\mathcal{L}_{p} r}{2 D_{\zeta}+\mathcal{L}_{p} r} \quad(\delta \neq 2) .
\end{align*}
$$

The function $f^{*}(\zeta)=\frac{2}{2-\delta}\left(1-\delta \frac{\zeta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)$, with $\delta$ defined by (4.31) is compatible with the wall-free boundary condition and satisfies equation 4.23). Therefore this function is chosen to be the shape function for $p^{*}(\zeta, s)$ in 4.27).

Oxygen saturation $\left(S_{O_{2}}\right)$ is computed using the Hill equation as a function of the free oxygen partial pressure $\left(p_{c}\right)$ and it is related to the oxygen bound to hemoglobin in the RBCs. This current work does not focus on the spatial distribution of RBCs inside of the vessel lumen; thus oxygen saturation is assumed to be a function of $\bar{p}_{c}$ and $f_{S_{O_{2}}}(\zeta) \equiv 1$. In this way, condition 4.23) is automatically verified by $f_{S_{O_{2}}}(\zeta)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{O_{2}}(\zeta, s)=\bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{\bar{p}_{c}^{n H}(s)}{\bar{p}_{c}^{n H}(s)+p_{50}^{n H}} . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let's substitute $p^{*}$ into system (4.20) and then proceed to the model reduction

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left[\zeta D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[u_{s}\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)+H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right)\right]=0, \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.33}\\ -D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)\right)}{\partial \zeta}=\mathcal{L}_{p} \alpha_{c} p^{*}, & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\ p^{*}=\hat{p}_{c, 0}-\beta p_{t, w}, & \text { on } \Sigma_{0}\end{cases}
$$

The cross-sectional integration of the radial diffusive contribution in 4.33) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}-\frac{1}{\not \partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left[\zeta D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right] \not ¢ \mathrm{~d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =-2 \pi\left[\zeta D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right]_{0}^{r} \\
& =-\left.2 \pi r D_{\zeta} \frac{\partial\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)\right)}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& =\left.2 \pi r \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p} p^{*}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& =2 \pi r \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p} \bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(r), \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

and the cross-sectional integration of the axial contribution in (4.33) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[u_{s}\left(\alpha_{c}\left(p^{*}+\beta p_{t, w}\right)+H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (4.35) is the sum of three contributions:
1.

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\alpha_{c} u_{s} p^{*}\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\bar{u}_{s}(s) f_{u}(\zeta) \alpha_{c} \bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(\zeta)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\alpha_{c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) \bar{p}^{*}(s)\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[f_{u}(\zeta) f^{*}(\zeta)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\alpha_{c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) \bar{p}^{*}(s)\right) \pi r^{2} \omega_{u *} \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{u *} & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[f_{u}(\zeta) f^{*}(\zeta)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[2\left(1-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \frac{2}{2-\delta}\left(1-\delta \frac{\zeta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{8 \pi}{(2-\delta) \pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{r}\left(\delta \frac{\zeta^{5}}{r^{4}}-(1+\delta) \frac{\zeta^{3}}{r^{2}}+\zeta\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta  \tag{4.37}\\
& =\frac{8}{(2-\delta) r^{2}}\left[\delta \frac{\zeta^{6}}{6 r^{4}}-(1+\delta) \frac{\zeta^{4}}{4 r^{2}}+\frac{\zeta^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{r} \\
& =\frac{8}{(2-\delta) r^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \delta-3-3 \delta+6}{12 r^{4}}\right) r^{6}=\frac{2(3-\delta)}{3(2-\delta)}
\end{align*}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\alpha_{c} \beta u_{s} p_{t, w}\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\alpha_{c} \beta \bar{u}_{s}(s) f_{u}(\zeta) p_{t, w}(s)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\alpha_{c} \beta \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) p_{t, w}(s)\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[f_{u}(\zeta)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\alpha_{c} \beta \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) p_{t, w}(s)\right) \pi r^{2} \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

3. 

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[u_{s} H_{D} c_{0} S_{O_{2}}\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[H_{D} c_{0} \bar{u}_{s}(s) f_{u}(\zeta) \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =H_{D} c_{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r}\left[f_{u}(\zeta)\right] \zeta \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =H_{D} c_{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\bar{u}_{s}(s) S_{O_{2}}(s)\right) \pi r^{2} \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that in 4.36, 4.38, and (4.39) the partial derivative with respect to $s$ can be moved outside of the double integral since the cross-section $\Sigma$ does not depend on the axial coordinate $s$. In addition, outside of the integral the partial derivative becomes a total derivative with respect to $s$, since the double integral removes the dependence from the radial coordinate $\zeta$.

The sum of (4.34), 4.36, 4.38), and 4.39) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left[\bar{u}_{s}(s) \pi r^{2}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}^{*}(s) \omega_{u *}+\alpha_{c} \beta p_{t, w}(s)+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)\right)\right]+2 \pi r \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p} \bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(r)=0 \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second equation in 4.20 is now included in 4.40 as a reaction term.
The term $\bar{u}_{s}(s) \pi r^{2}=Q_{c}(s)$ is equal to the blood flow in the vessel $\Omega$. Note that $Q_{c}$ is determined by the vascular resistance and the pressure drop along the vessel (see Ohm's law in Chapter 2 for reference), and so it does not depend on the axial coordinate $s$. Thus, equation (4.40) is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left[\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}^{*}(s) \omega_{u *}+\alpha_{c} \beta p_{t, w}(s)+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)\right)\right]+2 \pi r \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p} \bar{p}^{*}(s) f^{*}(r)=0 \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the model reduction procedure, equation 4.40 is written in terms of the original variable $\bar{p}_{c}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c}(s) \omega_{u *}+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}}(s)\right)+  \tag{4.42}\\
\quad+Q_{c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \beta\left(1-\omega_{u *}\right) p_{t, w}\right)+ \\
\quad+2 \pi r \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p}\left(\bar{p}_{c}(s)-\beta p_{t, w}\right) f^{*}(r)=0, \quad \text { for } s \in(0, L) \\
\bar{p}_{c}(0)=\bar{p}_{c, 0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\bar{p}_{c, 0}$ is the value of $\bar{p}_{c}$ when $s=0$. System 4.42 describes the reduced one dimensional problem for the transport of oxygen in one vessel of the retinal microcirculation depicted in Figure 4.2 and 4.3 .

### 4.3 Coupling between retinal tissue and retinal microvasculature

To describe the oxygenation of the retinal microvasculature and the retinal tissue, the systems are coupled using the following procedure:

The first equation in system (4.42) is written for every compartment in Figure 4.2 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{c, j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}(s) \omega_{u *, j}+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}, j}(s)\right)+ \\
& \quad+Q_{c, j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \beta\left(1-\omega_{u *, j}\right) p_{t, w, j}\right)+  \tag{4.43}\\
& \quad+2 \pi r_{j} \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p, j}\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}(s)-\beta p_{t, w, j}\right) f_{j}^{*}\left(r_{j}\right)=0 \\
& \text { for } s \in\left(0, L_{j}\right), \quad j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript $j$ denotes the compartment where each quantity is computed. The values of the quantities introduced in equation (4.43) are reported in Table 4.3 .

Table 4.3.
Geometrical and physiological parameter of the network in Figure 4.2

| Parameters | VALUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LA | SA | C1 | C3 | SV | LV |  |  |
| radius $r, \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 52.8 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 34.8 | 77.8 | ch. 3 |  |
| Length $L, \mathrm{~cm}$ | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.43 | 0.59 | ch. 3 |  |
| Number of vessels $N$ | 4 | 38 | 66910 | 124262 | 38 | 4 | ch. 3 |  |
| Permeability coefficient $\mathcal{L}_{p}, 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ | 0.5 | 1.1 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 0 | 0 | - |  |

The quantity $p_{t, w, j}$ in equation (4.43) represents the partial pressure of oxygen in the tissue surrounding the vessels in compartment $j$ and is computed as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{t, w, j}=\bar{p}_{t, 1}(z=0) & \text { for } j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV} \\
p_{t, w, j}=\tilde{p}_{t, j} & \text { for } j=\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3 \\
\text { where: } & \tilde{p}_{t, C 1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{z_{1}-z_{0}} \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{1}} \bar{p}_{t, 1}(z) \mathrm{d} z  \tag{4.44}\\
& \tilde{p}_{t, C 3} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{z_{3}-z_{2}} \int_{z_{2}}^{z_{3}} \bar{p}_{t, 3}(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{array}
$$

For compartments LA, SA, SV, and LV, the quantity $p_{t, w, j}$ is set equal to the value of partial pressure of oxygen at the level of the vitreous, while in compartments C1 and C3, the quantity $p_{t, w, j}$ is equal to the average of $\bar{p}_{t, j}$ computed along the tissue $z$ coordinate, as shown in 4.44.

The modeling choices for $p_{t, w, j}$, summarized in (4.44), imply that the quantity does not depend on the axial coordinate $s$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{t, w, j}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=0, \quad j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV}, \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term of equation (4.43) represents an oxygen volumetric rate per unit of length and is defines as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}(s) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2 \pi r_{j} \alpha_{c} \mathcal{L}_{p, j}\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}(s)-\beta p_{t, w, j}\right) f_{j}^{*}\left(r_{j}\right), \quad \text { for } j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the same assumptions of the model described in Chapter 3, it is assumed that compartments SV and LV do not exchange oxygen with the surrounding tissue. This assumption is implemented by setting $\mathcal{L}_{p, S V}=0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{p, L V}=0$, as reported in Table 4.3. This implies that $q_{S V}(s) \equiv 0$ and $q_{L V}(s) \equiv 0$.

Combining (4.43), 4.44, (4.45), and (4.46) into system (4.42) gives:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{c, j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}(s) \omega_{u *, j}+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}, j}(s)\right)=-q_{j}(s), \quad s \in\left(0, L_{j}\right]  \tag{4.47}\\
\bar{p}_{c, L A}(0)=\bar{p}_{c, 0} \\
N_{L A} Q_{c, L A} \bar{c}_{L A}\left(L_{L A}\right)=N_{S A} Q_{c, S A} \bar{c}_{S A}(0) \\
N_{S A} Q_{c, S A} \bar{c}_{S A}\left(L_{S A}\right)=N_{C 1} Q_{c, C 1} \bar{c}_{C 1}(0)+N_{C 3} Q_{c, C 3} \bar{c}_{C 3}(0) \\
\bar{c}_{C 1}(0)=\bar{c}_{C 3}(0) \\
N_{C 1} Q_{c, C 1} \bar{c}_{C 1}\left(L_{C 1}\right)+N_{C 3} Q_{c, C 3} \bar{c}_{C 3}\left(L_{C 1}\right)=N_{S V} Q_{c, S V} \bar{c}_{S V}(0) \\
N_{L A} Q_{c, S V} \bar{c}_{S V}\left(L_{S V}\right)=N_{L V} Q_{c, L V} \bar{c}_{L V}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\bar{c}_{j}(s)=\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}(s) \omega_{u *, j}+H_{D} c_{0} \bar{S}_{O_{2}, j}(s)$, and $N_{j}$ is the number of parallel vessels in the compartment $j$, with $j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV}$. The last five equations in system (4.47) guarantee the conservation of oxygen between the different compartments.

To conclude the coupling procedure between the retinal tissue and the retinal microcirculation, we provide the explicit formulation of the sources of oxygen in the $j$-th retinal layer, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{j}$ in 4.11) where $j=1,3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{N_{C 1}}{\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right)\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{0}^{L_{C 1}} q_{C 1}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{3} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{N_{C 3}}{\left(z_{3}-z_{2}\right)\left|\Omega_{x y}\right|} \int_{0}^{L_{C 3}} q_{C 3}(s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Solution algorithm

Let us define the linearized quantities $\hat{M}$ and $\hat{S}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$.
The nonlinear consumption term $\bar{M}_{i}\left(\bar{p}_{t, i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, 6)$ in equation (4.10) is linearized as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}\left(\bar{p}_{t, i}\right)=\alpha_{t} \frac{\Lambda_{i}^{\max } \bar{p}_{t, i}(z)}{\bar{p}_{t, i}(z)+K_{1 / 2}}=\frac{\alpha_{t} \Lambda_{i}^{\max }}{\bar{p}_{t, i}(z)+K_{1 / 2}} \bar{p}_{t, i}(z)=\hat{M}_{i}\left(\bar{p}_{t, i}\right) \bar{p}_{t, i}(z), \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{M}_{i}\left(\bar{p}_{t, i}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{\alpha_{t} \Lambda_{i}^{\text {max }}}{\bar{p}_{t, i}(z)+K_{1 / 2}}$, and $z \in\left(z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, 6$.
The oxygen saturation $\bar{S}_{O_{2}, j}(s)(j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV})$ in 4.42) is linearized as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{O_{2}, j}(s)=\frac{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H}}{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H}+p_{50}^{n H}}=\frac{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H-1}}{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H}+p_{50}^{n H}} \bar{p}_{c, j}=\hat{S}_{O_{2}, j}\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}\right) \bar{p}_{c, j}(s), \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}_{O_{2, j}}\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}\right) \xlongequal{\text { def }} \frac{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H-1}}{\bar{p}_{c, j}^{n H}+p_{50}^{n H}}$.
Consider the coupled problem consisting of the nonlinear systems (4.11) and 4.47). The coupled problem is solved following the algorithm depicted in Figure 4.4. The algorithm consists of two nested fixed point iterations. The outer loop (index $k$ ) enforces the conservation of mass between the two systems while the inner loops (indexes $n$ and $m$ ) solve the nonlinear problems of the transport of oxygen in the microcirculation and in the tissue systems.

The algorithm proceed as follows:
Given the values of $Q_{c, j}$ and $\omega_{u *, j}$, and the initial guess for $\bar{p}_{c, j}^{0}(s) s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]$ $(j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV})$ and $\bar{p}_{t, i}^{0}(z) z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right](i=1, \ldots, 6)$ :

- Step 1: Compute $q_{j}^{0}(s)(j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{0}(i=1,3)$ with formula 4.46) and (4.48), respectively;
for $k \geq 0$


## - Step 2:

- Set $n=1$ and $\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, 0}(s)=p_{c, j}^{k}(s),(j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV})$
- Set $m=1$ and $\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, 0}(s)=p_{t, i}^{k}(s),(i=1, \ldots, 6)$;
for $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$
- Step 3: $(j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV})$
$-\operatorname{Set} \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n}(s)=\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n-1}(s)$
- Compute $\hat{S}_{O_{2}, j}^{k, n}(s)=\frac{\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n}(s)\right)^{n H-1}}{\left(\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n}(s)\right)^{n H}+p_{50}^{n H}}$;


Figure 4.4. Schematic of the solution algorithm for the coupled problem of oxygen transport. In the diagram $j=\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{SA}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{SV}, \mathrm{LV}$ and $i=$ $1, \ldots, 6$.

- Step 4: Solve the linearized version of system 4.47) for $\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{c, j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s) \omega_{u *, j}+H_{D} c_{0} \hat{S}_{O_{2, j}}^{k, n}(s) \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)\right)=-q_{j}^{k}(s), \quad s \in\left(0, L_{j}\right]  \tag{4.51}\\
\bar{p}_{c, L A}^{k, n+1}(0)=\bar{p}_{c, L A}^{k, n}(0) \\
N_{L A} Q_{c, L A} \bar{c}_{L A}^{k, n+1}\left(L_{L A}\right)=N_{S A} Q_{c, S A} \bar{c}_{S A}^{k, n+1}(0) \\
N_{S A} Q_{c, S A} \bar{c}_{S A}^{k, n+1}\left(L_{S A}\right)=N_{C 1} Q_{c, C 1} \bar{c}_{C 1}^{k, n+1}(0)+N_{C 3} Q_{c, C 3} \bar{c}_{C 3}^{k, n+1}(0) \\
\bar{c}_{C 1}^{k, n+1}(0)=\bar{c}_{C 3}(0)^{k, n+1} \\
N_{C 1} Q_{c, C 1} \bar{c}_{C 1}^{k, n+1}\left(L_{C 1}\right)+N_{C 3} Q_{c, C 3} \bar{c}_{C 3}^{k, n+1}\left(L_{C 1}\right)=N_{S V} Q_{c, S V} \bar{c}_{S V}^{k, n+1}(0) \\
N_{L A} Q_{c, S V} \bar{c}_{S V}^{k, n+1}\left(L_{S V}\right)=N_{L V} Q_{c, L V} \bar{c}_{L V}^{k, n+1}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\bar{c}_{j}^{k, n+1}(s)=\alpha_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s) \omega_{u *, j}+H_{D} c_{0} \hat{S}_{O_{2}, j}^{k, n}(s) \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)$, and $j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV}$;

- Step 5: Check convergence of the solution of (4.51):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\bar{p}_{c}^{k, n+1}, \bar{p}_{c}^{k, n}\right)=\max _{j=L A, \ldots, L V}\left[\frac{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)-\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n}(s)\right|}{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)\right|}\right]<\phi_{c}, \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a given tolerance;

- Step 6: If 4.52 is satisfied go to Step 7. If 4.52 is not satisfied, then set $n=n+1$ and return to Step 3;
- Step 7: $(i=1, \ldots, 6)$
$-\operatorname{Set} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n}(z)=\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n-1}(z)$
- Compute $\hat{M}_{j}^{k, n}(z)=\frac{\alpha_{t} \Lambda_{i}^{\max }}{\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n}(z)+K_{1 / 2}} ;$
- Step 8: Solve the linearized version of system (4.11) for $\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}(z)$

$$
\begin{cases}-\alpha_{t} D_{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}},=\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k}-\hat{M}_{i}^{k, n} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}, & \text { in }\left(z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, 6  \tag{4.53}\\ \left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{0}}=0, & \text { at } z=z_{0} \\ \left.\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}\right|_{z_{i}^{-}}=\left.\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}\right|_{z_{i}^{+}}, & \text {at } z=z_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{i}^{-}}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{z_{i}^{+}}, & \text {at } z=z_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 5 \\ \left.\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}\right|_{z_{6}}=p_{t, \text { choroid },}, & \text { at } z=z_{6} ;\end{cases}
$$

- Step 9: Check convergence of the solution of (4.53):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\bar{p}_{t}^{k, n+1}, \bar{p}_{t}^{k, n}\right)=\max _{i=1, \ldots, 6}\left[\frac{\max _{z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}(z)-\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n}(z)\right|}{\max _{z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}(z)\right|}\right]<\phi_{t} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a given tolerance;

- Step 10: If (4.54) is satisfied go to Step 11. If (4.54) is not satisfied, then set $m=m+1$ and return to Step 7;


## - Step 11:

- Update the variables in the fixed point iterations on the index $k$ using the relaxation method with parameters $\lambda_{c}$ and $\lambda_{t} \in(0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k+1}(s)=\lambda_{c} \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k, n+1}(s)+\left(1-\lambda_{c}\right) \bar{p}_{c, j}^{k}(s), & s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right], \quad j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV}, \\
\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k+1}(z)=\lambda_{t} \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k, n+1}(z)+\left(1-\lambda_{t}\right) \bar{p}_{t, i}^{k}(z), & z \in\left[z_{i, 1}, z_{i}\right], \quad i=1, \ldots, 6 \tag{4.55}
\end{array}
$$

- Compute $q_{j}^{k+1 / 2}(s)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k+1 / 2}$ with equations (4.46) and (4.48), respectively, using the values $\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k+1}$ and $\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k+1}$
- Update $q$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ using the relaxation method with parameters $\lambda_{q}$ and $\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ $\in(0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{j}^{k+1}(s)=\lambda_{q} q_{j}^{k+1 / 2}(s)+\left(1-\lambda_{q}\right) q_{j}^{k}(s), \quad s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right], \quad j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV}, \\
& \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k+1}=\lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k+1 / 2}+\left(1-\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k}, \quad i=1,3 \tag{4.56}
\end{align*}
$$

- Step 12: Check convergence:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\bar{p}_{c}^{k+1}, \bar{p}_{c}^{k}\right) & =\max _{j=L A, \ldots, L V}\left[\frac{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k+1}(s)-\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k}(s)\right|}{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k+1}(s)\right|}\right]<\phi_{c}  \tag{4.57}\\
\mathrm{e}\left(\bar{p}_{t}^{k+1}, \bar{p}_{t}^{k}\right) & =\max _{i=1, \ldots, 6}\left[\frac{\max _{z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k+1}(z)-\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k}(z)\right|}{\max _{z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right]}\left|\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k+1}(z)\right|}\right]<\phi_{t}  \tag{4.58}\\
\mathrm{e}\left(q^{k+1}, q^{k}\right) & =\max _{j=L A, \ldots, L V}\left[\frac{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|q_{j}^{k+1}(s)-q_{j}^{k}(s)\right|}{\max _{s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right]}\left|q_{j}^{k+1}(s)\right|}\right]<\phi_{q} \tag{4.59}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{k+1}, \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{k}\right)=\max _{i=1,3}\left[\frac{\left|\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k+1}-\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k}\right|}{\left|\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{i}^{k+1}\right|}\right]<\phi_{\mathcal{S}} \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Step 13: If 4.57, 4.58, 4.59), and 4.60 are verified then $\bar{p}_{c, j}^{k+1}(s)$ and $\bar{p}_{t, i}^{k+1}(z)$, for $s \in\left[0, L_{j}\right], j=\mathrm{LA}, \ldots, \mathrm{LV}, z \in\left[z_{i-1}, z_{i}\right], i=1, \ldots, 6$, are the solution of the system. If not all of the conditions in Step 13 are verified, then set $k=k+1$ and return to Step 2 .


### 4.5 Preliminary results and discussion

Numerical simulations are run to test the model outcomes in the control state, which corresponds to the condition of a healthy individual. At this stage, the model does not include any autoregulation mechanism and the level of retinal blood flow is fixed to the healthy value of $Q=6.08 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{s}$ obtained from the coupled model (Chapter 3).

The model predictions of partial pressure of oxygen in the blood $p_{c}$, blood oxygen saturation $S_{O_{2}}$ in the six compartments of the microvasculature, and partial pressure of oxygen in the retinal tissue Layers $1-6$ are depicted in Figure 4.5, Figure 4.6, and Figure 4.7, respectively.

The qualitative distribution of blood oxygen saturation is analogous to the results in 45, 131 that show a steep decrease in saturation in the capillary compartment. The value of venous oxygen saturation predicted by the model is $61 \%$ and is consistent with the value of $60 \% \pm 5.7 \%$ measured in (32] (Figure 4.5).

The partial pressure of oxygen and the oxygen saturation in the two capillary compartments show a slightly different behavior (Figure 4.5b and 4.6b) due to the diverse level of oxygen partial pressure in the tissue Layers 1 and 3 (Figure 4.7).

The model predictions of tissue oxygen partial pressure (Figure 4.7) are in agreement with previous model results in 127.

The improved vascular architecture and oxygen exchange condition in the model allows a heterogeneous distribution of oxygen in the two capillary compartments as


Figure 4.5. (a) Model predictions of blood oxygen partial pressure as a function of position in the network in the six compartments LA,SA,C1,C3,SV,LV at control state.(b) Blood oxygen partial pressure as a function of position in the network in the C 1 and C 3 compartments.
shown in Figure 4.5b and 4.6b, dictated by the local oxygen source and consumption in the different retinal layers. Furthermore the improved retinal anatomy adds additional levels of detail to the descriptive ability of the model, allowing for separate contributions of the inner and outer retina.

Even though the results presented here are preliminary, they stress the importance of the improvements introduced by the retinal anatomy, vascular architecture and oxygen exchange in the model. Thus, the coupling between this model, the autoregulatory mechanisms introduced in Chapter 3, and the macrocirculation model introduced in Chapter 2 could help to interpret the outcomes of clinical studies and lead to a better understanding of ocular diseases.


Figure 4.6. (a) Model predictions of blood oxygen saturation as a function of position in the network in the six compartments LA, SA, C1, C3,SV,LV at control state. (b) Blood oxygen saturation as a function of position in the network in the C1 and C3 compartments.


Figure 4.7. Model predictions of tissue oxygen partial pressure distribution in the six retinal layers in Figure 4.1.

## 5. MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF AQUEOUS HUMOR FLOW

IOP is an important input parameter in the models presented in Chapters 2and 3. In those models, the value of IOP is set a priori and is varied to define different clinical states. These models did not include the various factors within the eye that combine to yield a specific IOP value. In this Chapter, we move a first step towards the study of the multiple mechanisms that contribute to determine the IOP level.

The flow of AH inside the eye plays an important role in determining the level of IOP $137-139$. Thus, we compute IOP as the solution of a simplified mathematical model describing the balance between AH production and drainage; we then perform a sensitivity analysis to quantify the influence of variations in physiological parameters on the IOP level in different situations of clinical interest. The model accounts for the variability of these parameters in a systematic manner in order to identify patientspecific factors that may influence the efficacy of IOP-lowering medications, with the ultimate goal of contributing to the development of novel, effective, and individualized therapeutic approaches in glaucoma management.

In Section 5.1 we describe the model and its governing equations. In Section 5.2 we present the model results for four different clinical cases of medical interest. In Section 5.3 we discuss and interpret the model outcomes, comparing them with the results of different clinical studies.

The material and results presented in this Chapter are partially published in 140 .

### 5.1 Methods

To analyze AH flow, we implement a mathematical model that describes the steady state value of IOP as the result of the balance between AH production and drainage.


Figure 5.1. Network model for production and drainage of aqueous humor.

Changes in ocular blood volume, mainly localized in the choroid, are conjectured to affect the time variations of IOP [138], but they are not considered here.

The flow $Q$ of AH is described using the analogy between the flow of a fluid in a hydraulic network and the flow of a current in an electric circuit, depicted in Figure 5.1. Variable conductances are indicated with an arrow.

The flow of AH $Q$ through a conductor is described using Ohm's law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=G \Delta P, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the conductance $G$ ( $=1 / R$, reciprocal of resistance) represents the ability to allow the passage of fluid through a physiological pathway, and $\Delta P$ represents the pressure drop along that pathway.

The contribution of protein and low-molecular components to AH production is included in the model through a current source of intensity equal to $J$, which thus represents an AH flow rate.

AH is produced at the level of the ciliary body by a combination of a passive mechanism (the ultrafiltration) and an active mechanism (the ionic secretion) and is modulated by the total inflow facility $G_{\text {in }}$ [137, 139, 141. Here, the term facility
indicates hydraulic conductance, namely a flow rate per units of pressure. The total flow $J_{i n}$ of AH entering the eye is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i n}=J_{u f}+J_{s e c r}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{u f}$ and $J_{\text {secr }}$ are the flows due to ultrafiltration and active secretion, respectively. The ultrafiltration from the ciliary circulation consists of flow of transparent fluid across semipermeable membranes (including vascular walls, stroma and epithelial cells) and is driven by differences in hydrostatic pressures (cBP-IOP), where cBP is the blood pressure in the capillaries of the ciliary body, and oncotic pressures $\left(\Delta \Pi_{p}\right)$ modulated by a protein reflection coefficient $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)$. We thus write $J_{u f}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{u f}=G_{i n}\left[(\mathrm{cBP}-\mathrm{IOP})-\sigma_{p} \Delta \Pi_{p}\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inflow due to active ionic secretion is proportional to the blood/AH osmotic pressure difference $\left(\Delta \Pi_{s}\right)$ via a reflection coefficient for low-molecular components $\left(\sigma_{s}\right)$, and it is similarly written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {secr }}=G_{i n}\left[-\sigma_{s} \Delta \Pi_{s}\right] \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the value of AH flow generated by $J$ (Figure 5.1) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=-G_{i n} \sigma_{p} \Delta \Pi_{p}+J_{s e c r}=-G_{i n}\left(\sigma_{p} \Delta \Pi_{p}+\sigma_{s} \Delta \Pi_{s}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The drainage of AH from the eye is driven by passive mechanisms through two different pathways. The trabecular pathway, also known as the conventional pathway, consists of AH flow through the trabecular meshwork into the Schlemm's canal and the episcleral veins. The uveoscleral pathway, also known as the non-conventional pathway, consists of AH flow through the ciliary muscle and into the supraciliary space. Thus, the total flow $J_{\text {out }}$ of AH leaving the eye is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{o u t}=J_{t m}+J_{u v}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{t m}$ and $J_{u v}$ are the flows via the trabecular and uveoscleral pathways, respectively. As proposed by Brubaker [142], the trabecular pathway model consists
of flow through a nonlinear resistor positioned between the anterior chamber (where the pressure is equal to IOP) and the episcleral veins (where the pressure is equal to the episcleral venous pressure (EVP)) with outflow facility $G_{t m}$, and is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{t m}=G_{t m}(\mathrm{IOP}-\mathrm{EVP}), \quad \text { with } \quad G_{t m}=\frac{1}{R_{0}[1+\Theta(\mathrm{IOP}-\mathrm{EVP})]}, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{0}$ is the resistance when IOP equals EVP, and $\Theta$ is the outflow obstruction coefficient. The contribution of the uveoscleral pathway is modeled as the flow through a nonlinear resistor connected to the ground [139] , with outflow facility $G_{u v}$ depending nonlinearly on the pressure through a Michaelis-Menten type relation (143):

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{u v}=G_{u v}(\mathrm{IOP}-0), \quad \text { with } \quad G_{u v}=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}+\mathrm{IOP}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is the maximum value attainable by the uveoscleral flow rate and $k_{2}$ is the Michaelis constant for the uveoscleral flow rate, namely the pressure value for which the uveoscleral flow rate is half of $k_{1}$.

The value of the pressure at the internal node of the system depicted in Figure 5.1 corresponds to the value of IOP. The steady state value of IOP, resulting from the balance between production and drainage of AH, namely $J_{\text {in }}=J_{o u t}$, can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{u f}+J_{s e c r}=J_{t m}+J_{u v} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i n}\left[(\mathrm{cBP}-\mathrm{IOP})-\sigma_{p} \Delta \Pi_{p}-\sigma_{s} \Delta \Pi_{s}\right]= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{R_{0}[1+\Theta(\mathrm{IOP}-\mathrm{EVP})]}(\mathrm{IOP}-\mathrm{EVP})+\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}+\mathrm{IOP}} \mathrm{IOP} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a scalar third-order polynomial equation in one unknown, IOP, that can be found explicitly. Control state values for the parameters, defined to represent typical conditions of a healthy eye, are indicated with an overline bar in Table 5.1.

To include potential sources of uncertainties as well as to identify and rank parameters having the most important influence on IOP, we applied a global stochastic

Table 5.1.
Control state values for the parameters in the model for aqueous humor flow in equation (5.10).

| Parameter | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total inflow facility $\bar{G}_{i n}, \frac{\mu \mathrm{~L}}{\min \mathrm{mmHg}}$ | 0.3 | \|141] |
| Blood pressure in the capillaries of the ciliary body $\overline{\mathrm{cBP}}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 27.5 | \|138, 139, 141 |
| Blood/AH oncotic pressure difference $\overline{\Delta \Pi}_{p}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 25 | \|141] |
| Reflection coefficient for proteins $\overline{\sigma_{p}}$ | 1 | 141] |
| Blood/AH osmotic pressure difference $\overline{\Delta \Pi}_{s}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | -450 | 141] |
| Reflection coefficient for low-molecular components $\overline{\sigma_{s}}$ | 0.0515 | 141] |
| Episcleral venous pressure $\overline{\mathrm{EVP}}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 8 | 139 |
| Trabecular outflow resistance <br> (when pressure gradient equals 0 ) $\overline{R_{0}}, \mathrm{mmHg} \min / \mu \mathrm{L}$ | 2.2 | \|142 |
| Trabecular outflow obstruction coefficient $\bar{\Theta}, \mathrm{mmHg}^{-1}$ | 0.012 | \|142 |
| Maximum uveoscleral flow rate $\overline{k_{1}}, \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | 0.4 | 139 |
| Pressure at which uveoscleral flow rate is at half maximum $\overline{k_{2}}, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | 5 | 139 |

sensitivity analysis to the model described above. We considered stochastic variations in cBP following a normal distribution, and in $G_{i n}, \Delta \Pi_{s}, G_{0}=1 / R_{0}, k_{1}$ and EVP following a uniform distribution. By using the probability distribution of IOP, we computed variance-based sensitivity indices, also known as Sobol indices 144, and the probability density function [145], which describes the relative frequency of a given IOP value. In sensitivity analysis, Sobol indices explain the importance of an input factor on the variance of the output (that corresponds to the level of IOP). For each parameter, its direct influence on IOP is quantified in terms of first-order Sobol
indices, and the influence through interactions with other parameters is identified by means of the total Sobol indices. The values of first-order and total indices can be estimated via Monte Carlo simulations [144, or via reduced order models using polynomial chaos expansion [146]. The former method is very costly from the computational viewpoint as it requires many evaluations to ensure convergence, whereas the latter requires considerably less evaluations. Both methods have been compared and provide similar results. Here, we show the results obtained using the polynomial chaos reduced model.

### 5.2 Results

The model is used to compute the IOP distribution in four different cases of clinical interest: (i) ocular normotensive healthy subjects (ONT); (ii) ocular hypertensive subjects (OHT); (iii) ONT subjects treated with IOP-lowering medications (ONTm); and (iv) OHT subjects treated with IOP-lowering medications (OHTm). The IOP probability density function and first and total Sobol indices are reported in Figure 5.2 for the four cases. Mean values, standard deviations, and skewness of the IOP distribution in the four cases are reported in Table 5.2. Model simulations and results are described below.
(i) ONT subjects. The mean values of $\mathrm{cBP}, G_{i n}, \Delta \Pi_{s}, G_{0}, k_{1}$ and EVP are set equal to their control state values and are summarized in Table 5.1. Variations in cBP are deduced from variations in MAP. Specifically, we write $\mathrm{cBP}=\alpha$ MAP, where $\alpha=0.296$ is chosen as to obtain $\mathrm{cBP}=27.5 \mathrm{mmHg}$ when $\mathrm{MAP}=93 \mathrm{mmHg}$; we assumed a normal distribution for MAP of $93 \pm 7.6 \mathrm{mmHg}$ 148. Variations in $G_{i n}, \Delta \Pi_{s}, G_{0}, k_{1}$ and EVP are assumed to follow a uniform distribution with a variation of $15 \%$ with respect to their control state values.

Simulation outcomes: The IOP probability density function for ONT subjects (Figure 5.2 a) fits a right-skewed Gaussian curve with a frequency peak of $25 \%$ at 15.13 mmHg and a skewness of 0.2 , which is in a very good agreement with the

Table 5.2.
Mean values, standard deviations and skewness of the distribution of intraocular pressure (IOP) resulting from the sensitivity analysis of the mathematical model in equation (5.10) for four cases of clinical interest.

| Clinical cases | IOP, mmHg | Skewness of IOP <br> distribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ocular normotensive (ONT) | $15.13 \pm 1.58$ | 0.2 |
| Ocular hypertensive (OHT) | $20.12 \pm 2.35$ | 0.09 |
| Ocular normotensive treated with | $12.58 \pm 1.32$ | 0.17 |
| IOP-lowering medications (ONTm) |  | 0.08 |
| Ocular hypertensive treated with <br> IOP-lowering medications (OHTm) | $15.81 \pm 2.03$ |  |

results from a population-based study on approximately 12,000 subjects 147 (green curve in Figure 5.2 a ). The results for the Sobol indices (Figure 5.2 b ) suggest that IOP is strongly influenced by cBP and $\Delta \Pi_{s}$ and mildly influenced by the levels of $G_{i n}, G_{0}$ and EVP. The influence of $k_{1}$ on IOP appears to be minimal.
(ii) OHT subjects. OHT condition is simulated by decreasing the mean value of the trabecular meshwork outflow facility as suggested by several clinical observations 149, 150. Thus, here we set $G_{0}=0.3 \bar{G}_{0}$, leaving the mean values of the other parameters at control state values.

Simulation outcomes: IOP probability density function in the OHT case (Figure 5.2 k) fits a Gaussian curve, but with a frequency peak of $15 \%$ at 20.12 mmHg and with a more symmetric profile than the ONT Gaussian curve (skewness = 0.09). The Sobol indices values for OHT subjects (Figure 5.2d) show a stronger dependence of IOP on cBP and $\Delta \Pi_{s}$ and a weaker dependence of IOP on $G_{i n}$,
$G_{0}$ and EVP than for ONT subjects. The influence of $k_{1}$ on IOP remains minimal.
(iii) ONT subjects treated with IOP-lowering medications (ONTm). We model the effect of IOP-lowering medications by reducing the active ionic secretion by $25 \%$, which sets the mean value of the blood/AH osmotic pressure difference to $=0.75 \overline{\Delta \Pi}_{s}$; the mean values of the other parameters remained at control state. This modeling choice is justified by the fact that the sensitivity analyses in both the ONT and OHT cases have identified $\Delta \Pi_{s}$ as an important determinant of IOP levels; in addition, clinical evidence and studies also support this notion 137-139.
Simulation outcomes: The IOP probability density function in the ONTm case (Figure 5.2 e) fits a right-skewed Gaussian curve with a frequency peak of $30 \%$ at 12.58 mmHg and a skewness of 0.08 . Thus, our simulations predict a reduction of 2.55 mmHg in the mean value of IOP when IOP-lowering medications are administered to ONT subjects. The results of the Sobol indices (Figure 5.2f) suggest that IOP is strongly influenced by cBP and $\Delta \Pi_{s}$ and mildly influenced by the levels of $G_{i n}, G_{0}$ and EVP. The influence of $k_{1}$ on IOP is again minimal.
(iv) OHT subjects treated with IOP-lowering medications (OHTm). We simultaneously account for OHT conditions and IOP-lowering treatment by setting the mean values of $G_{0}$ and $\Delta \Pi_{s}$ to $G_{0}=0.3 \overline{G_{0}}$ and $\Delta \Pi_{s}=0.75 \overline{\Pi \Pi}_{s}$, leaving the mean values of the other parameters at control state values.

Simulation outcomes: IOP probability density function in the OHTm case (Figure 5.2 p ) fits a Gaussian curve with a frequency peak of $20 \%$ at 15.81 mmHg and has a more symmetric profile than the curve in the ONTm case (skewness $=0.08)$. Thus, our simulations predict a reduction of 4.31 mmHg in the mean value of IOP when IOP-lowering medications are administered to OHT subjects. The results on Sobol indices (Figure 5.2 h ) are similar to those obtained in the ONTm case, but with a weaker contribution of $G_{i n}, G_{0}$ and EVP.

Our results demonstrate that first-order and total Sobol indices do not present noticeable differences in any of the four simulated scenarios, suggesting that higher order interactions among the selected factors are minimal.

### 5.3 Discussion

The model reproduced conditions of normal ocular tension, with blood pressure and IOP values within physiological ranges, and was subsequently used to simulate the effect of IOP-lowering medications. The proposed model suggests that the outcomes of IOP-lowering treatments depend on the initial IOP level of the patient and on the patient's individual clinical condition. Specifically, the model predicts mean IOP reductions of 2.55 mmHg and 4.31 mmHg when the pre-treatment IOP mean values are 15.13 mmHg and 20.12 mmHg , respectively. These predictions are in good agreement with Rulo et al. 151 who reported mean IOP reductions of 2 mmHg and 4.2 mmHg for pre-treatment IOP mean values of 15.3 mmHg and 18.4 mmHg , respectively. However, it is important to remark that the study by Rulo et al. utilized Latanoprost, a prostaglandin analog that increases AH drainage, whereas we modeled IOP-lowering medications by decreasing AH production. Other studies reported IOP reductions ranging from 3 mmHg to 4.4 mmHg in response to brinzolamide [152], from 4.5 mmHg to 6.1 mmHg in response to dorzolamide [153], and from 2.4 mmHg to 4.5 mmHg in response to Latanoprost [154]. The mean IOP reductions reported in these studies [155 are close or slightly higher than those predicted by our model; this might be due to the fact that these studies started from higher pre-treatment IOP levels (ranging from 23.8 mmHg to 28.9 mmHg ) than those considered in our simulations.

Our analysis also suggests that IOP-lowering effects are more pronounced when AH production is affected rather than AH drainage. The effects of lowering IOP are also more apparent when trabecular outflow is increased instead of the uveoscleral outflow. Another interesting finding of our analysis is that a patient's blood pres-
sure strongly influences the outcomes of IOP-lowering treatments, which may explain why the effect of some drugs differ between day-time and night-time and/or among individuals 151, 154, 156, 157. A further investigation that incorporates a theoretical model coupling AH production and drainage with ocular blood flow may lead to a better understanding of this delicate, yet important, relationship 46, 139, 158.


Figure 5.2. Probability density function of intraocular pressure (IOP) (left figures), and Sobol indices resulting from the sensitivity analysis performed on the mathematical model of equation (5.10) when variations in ciliary capillary blood pressure cBP, total inflow facility $G_{i n}$, blood/AH osmotic pressure difference $\Delta \Pi_{s}$, trabecular outflow facility $G_{0}$, uveoscleral outflow facility $k_{1}$ and episcleral venous pressure EVP are considered (right figures). The model predictions are obtained for the clinical cases ONT, OHT, ONTm, OHTm.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this thesis, mathematical models for the retinal circulation, the transport of oxygen in the retina, and the production and drainage of AH are proposed. Summarizing:

- We developed a compartmental time-dependent mathematical model for the retinal circulation that accounts for blood flow in the central retinal vessels and microvasculature, vascular regulation, and the effect of IOP on the retinal vasculature. The model has been used to compute the values of retinal blood flow, blood velocity and pressure distribution in the retinal vasculature for six theoretical patients with different clinical conditions. The model results have been compared with clinical data to clarify the impact of changes in IOP, BP and AR on retinal hemodynamics. The model has been directly applied to the interpretation of the outcomes of trabeculectomy (Chapter 2).
- We used mathematical methods to describe the difference between compressible and collapsible tubes and implemented these methods to describe the passive resistances of the CRA, venules and CRV compartments (Section 2.1.5).
- We proposed a coupling between the model described in Chapter 2 and the mechanistic model for autoregulation in 45]. The coupling introduced the Krogh-cylinder type model for the diffusion of oxygen from the retinal vasculature into the retinal tissue and four vascular regulation mechanisms that substituted the phenomenological approach used in Chapter 2. This improved model has been used to predict the level of tissue oxygenation for alterations in BP and tissue oxygen demand. Furthermore the model predictions revealed the relative importance of the different regulation mechanisms to achieve VR (Chapter 3).
- We improved the description of the transport of oxygen in the retinal microvasculature and retinal tissue by including a more physiological representation of retinal anatomy, vascular architecture and oxygen exchange in Chapter 4. The preliminary results obtained with this model for oxygen blood saturation in the retinal microvasculature and partial pressure of oxygen in the retinal tissue are in good agreement with the literature.
- We implemented a mathematical model that describes the production and drainage of AH, which affect the level of IOP. A sensitivity analysis was preformed to quantify the effect of some physiological parameters on the IOP distribution in theoretical patients of clinical interest and to understand the uncertainty of the outcomes of clinical studies on the effect of IOP-lowering medications.

The interpretation of the model results contributes to answer the following questions of clinical relevance:

## How do IOP variations affect retinal hemodynamics?

The model predictions in Chapter 2 show that the hemodynamic response of the system to changes in IOP varies depending on the level of BP and the functionality of AR. The AR plateau is influenced by the level of BP, occurring for higher values of pressure for hypertensive individuals. Thus, different values of retinal blood flow can correspond to the same value of IOP depending on the level of BP and functionality of AR. The model results for CRA blood velocity suggest that the same reduction in IOP can lead to small or large changes in velocity depending on the initial value of IOP.

In addition, the model predictions show that, for some theoretical patients, the IOP-interval for which the retinal blood flow is almost constant does not overlap with the IOP-interval where PSV and EDV are almost constant. This results is interesting because, in clinical studies, the measured value of velocity is used as a surrogate to
compute the level of blood flow. However, the model results show that in some cases this will not yield an accurate estimate of the trends in retinal blood flow.

The model predictions for trabeculectomy give a similar picture, in which the outcomes of a decrease in IOP strongly depend on the level of BP and AR functionality of the patient.

## What is the effect of collapsible tubes?

The collapsibility of veins plays a significant role on the pressure distribution in the system. As IOP increases, the CRV and the venules compartments collapse, causing an increase in the upstream pressure. This passive feedback mechanism, consistent with experimental observations, helps the retinal microvasculature to withstand the increased IOP and improves the level of perfusion.

What is the effect of the coupling with [45] and what mechanisms play a major role in vascular regulation?

The results in Chapter 3 stress the importance of coupling the macrocirculation model with the microcirculation model. Although the coupled and microcirculation models have a similar behavior in the range of pressures where VR works properly, the results notably differ outside this range. This suggests that the coupled model provides a more comprehensive and accurate depiction of hemodynamics in the retina than the sole microcirculation model. When comparing the contribution of the vascular regulation mechanisms, the model highlights the importance of the metabolic and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ responses. Impairing either of these mechanisms could cause insufficient tissue oxygenation and therefore ischemic damage. The model also predicts that blood flow will increase more for an increase in tissue oxygen demand than for the same percentage decrease in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ demand.

How does retinal anatomy, vascular architecture and oxygen exchange affect tissue oxygenation?

The model derived in Chapter 4 includes a heterogeneous description of the retinal tissue, adapts the vascular network to accommodate for two distinct levels of capillaries, and introduces a new model for the exchange of oxygen between retinal tissue and vasculature that responds to local changes in oxygen partial pressure. This more realistic tissue/vascular architecture, together with the improved model for oxygen exchange, isolate the contributions of the inner and outer retina in the oxygen profiles predicted by the model, enhancing the model's predictive ability.

## What are the major factors influencing the level of IOP?

The statistical analysis performed in Chapter 5 suggests that the inconsistencies of the effect of IOP-lowering medication might depend on the level of IOP before the medicine was administrated. Furthermore a greater decrease in IOP is predicted when the medicine affects AH production rather then AH drainage. The statistical analysis reveals that BP is one of the primary factors that influences the value of IOP, which might explain the difference in effectiveness of medications among individuals.

## What insight can mathematical modeling provide to clinicians?

The results of this thesis demonstrate that mathematical modeling can be used alongside clinical and animal studies as (i) a tool to understand the complex relationship among different factors at play, (ii) a virtual lab where theories and treatments can be tested on theoretical patients and guide clinical developments, and (iii) an instrument to quantify or estimate factors that are difficult or impossible to measure.
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