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#### Abstract

Of recent interest for simple compact pulse power systems is the self-resonant wide-angle conical antenna. In its typical application, this antenna will radiate a transient damped sine wave pulse whose center frequency is the fundamental resonant frequency of the antenna. In order to properly design such an antenna, it is important to know the dependence of the fundamental resonant frequency on both slant height and half cone angle. Theoretical analysis of this type of antenna is typically based on the mode theory of antennas (as derived by S.A. Schelkunoff) in which the structure is treated as a conical transmission line. The theory is quite complicated and leads to two sets of equations involving infinite sums which must be solved simultaneously; this is a difficult task.


In this effort, the accuracy of simplifications to the theory in predicting the fundamental resonant frequency of a wide angle conical antenna was explored by comparing the results obtained based on these approximations to experimental results as well as the results of numerical simulation using CST Microwave Studio. Good agreement is obtained between the simulated and experimentally measured results.

It was found that a first order approximation to the theory as derived by C. Papas and R. King, while otherwise very useful, is insufficient to predict the fundamental resonant frequency of a wide angle conical antenna with reasonable accuracy; however, a second order approximation as derived by P.D.P. Smith does yield results that are in good
agreement with results of experiment and simulation. It was found that the relationship between slant height $(\ell)$ and wavelength $(\lambda)$ at the fundamental resonant frequency, for wide half cone angles, corresponds more closely to $\ell=\lambda / 8$ than the expected $\ell=\lambda / 4$.

Of primary interest was the fundamental resonant frequency as a function of slant height and half cone angle; however, the peak radiated electric field and the radiation efficiency of the conical antenna as a function of half cone angle was also explored.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The wide-angle conical antenna is among the more interesting and useful canonical problems in antenna theory. Analysis of this type of antenna is typically based on the mode theory of antennas in which the structure is treated as a conical transmission line. It is relatively straightforward for large half-cone angles if the slant height of the cone is very large compared to a wavelength [1, 2]; in this case the antenna can be viewed as a uniform conical transmission line.

Of recent interest for compact pulse power systems is the self-resonant wideangle conical antenna. In this application, the slant height is a fraction of a wavelength at the fundamental resonant frequency. The distributed impedance of the conical transmission line is no longer uniform but rather it is significantly modified by radiation.

The mode theory of antennas as applied to this structure was derived by S.A. Schelkunoff [3, 4] and is outlined in Section 2.1. It reduces the problem to one of deriving a terminating admittance at the ends of the cone by subjecting characteristic solutions to Maxwell's equations - which are valid for the reflected and radiated waves at the ends of the cone - to the appropriate boundary conditions. This approach ultimately leads to two sets of equations involving summations of infinite series which are the eigenfunction expansions of the nonvanishing radiated and reflected electric- and magnetic- field vectors. These must be simultaneously solved to determine the coefficients of expansion. This is virtually an impossible task.

A significant simplification to the theoretical model is obtained by assuming that a single TEM mode exists inside of the conical transmission line [5, 6]; radiated fields are then expanded in a series of eigenfunctions. This will be referred to in this paper as the "first order approximation" and is presented in Section 2.1.1.

Including just a single "complementary wave" ${ }^{1}$ within the region of the conical transmission line in addition to the TEM mode as well as two outward propagating complementary waves greatly increases the complexity of the governing equations; however it has been accomplished and the results are available in the literature [7, 8]. This will be referred to as the "second order approximation" and is presented in Section 2.1.2.

The validity of the first and second order approximations to the theoretical model was explored by comparing the results obtained based on these simplifications to those of experimental measurement (presented in Section 4) and numerical modeling(presented in Section 3) ${ }^{2}$.

Of primary interest were the fundamental resonant frequency and the peak radiated electric field as measured along the radial axis in the far-field as a function of half cone angle ranging from 5-85 ${ }^{\circ}$. Good agreement is obtained between the simulated and measured results. It was found that the first order approximation to the theory is insufficient to predict the fundamental resonant frequency of a wide angle conical antenna with reasonable accuracy; however the second order approximation does yield results that are in quite good agreement with results of experiment and numerical modeling.

Wide-angle, self-resonant conical antennas are particularly well-suited to simple compact pulse power systems. In this type of system, the antenna is charged to high voltage by a voltage source such as a Marx generator, and a self break switch is incorporated at the apex of the cone to initiate oscillation of the stored charge. The radiated waveform from these systems is a transient; specifically, a damped sine wave with a center frequency determined by the dimensions of the cone.

[^0]There are several important considerations that go into the design of such antennas:

1. It must be able to store energy from a voltage source (i.e., it must have a relatively large capacitance),
2. It must radiate the stored energy once the switch is closed, and
3. It must be designed to resonate at the desired center frequency.

The desired radiated waveform from these systems is a damped sine wave with a Q of about 5-6 and a relatively low center frequency - on the order of 100-500 MHz . In this application, the slant height of the cone is a fraction of a wavelength at some desired center frequency. An example of a compact wideband radiator employing a self-resonant bicone antenna [11] is shown in the photograph of Figure 1.


Figure 1. Compact Pulse Power System with Self-Resonant Bicone Antenna

The antenna is charged to high voltage by a 300 kV Marx generator. Power supplies are built into the interior volume of the cones. A self-break switch in oil located between the two cones is employed to initiate oscillation of the stored
charge and subsequent radiation from the source. The result is a very compact, portable, wideband pulse radiator that weighs less than 20 lbs.

As mentioned previously, the antenna plays a unique role in such a system; i.e., in addition to being the radiating element, it is also the energy storage device and its dimensions determine the center frequency of the radiated waveform. In designing a conical antenna for a simple compact pulse power source such as described here, it is desirable to determine the appropriate slant height and half cone angle which will produce the desired center frequency of the radiated wave with maximum radiation efficiency.

## 2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF THE ELECTRICALLY SMALL WIDE ANGLE BICONE ANTENNA

The problem of the wide angle conical antenna lends itself most readily to the "mode theory" of antennas as presented by S. A. Schelkunoff [3]. This theory is outlined in Section 2.1 to illustrate the complexity of the governing equations derived using this approach. These equations are very difficult to solve analytically; however for a few specific antenna geometries, certain assumptions can be made which make these equations more manageable ${ }^{3}$.

In the case of the electrically small wide-angle bicone antenna (or monocone antenna over a ground plane), where the half cone angle is $5-85^{\circ}$, such assumptions are no longer applicable and the task becomes more difficult.

In order to determine the input impedance wide-angle conical dipole of finite length, C. Papas and R. King [5] derived a simplified set of equations by assuming that only the fundamental TEM mode exists in the region of the conical transmission line and that higher order modes are negligible. Their results are summarized in Section 2.1.1. This first order approximation yields sufficiently accurate results for some purposes [16-18]. However, when compared to the results of simulation and experiment (presented in Sections 3 and 4, respectively), it was found that it does not accurately predict the fundamental resonance of a wide angle conical antenna, which is of primary interest for this effort.
P.D.P Smith tackled the problem of including just one additional complementary wave (in addition to the TEM wave) and two complementary outward propagating waves in his analysis [7]. His derivation and results are presented in

[^1]Section 2.1.2. These results more accurately predict the fundamental resonant frequency of a wide angle conical antenna when compared with results of simulation and experiment. Again, however, in this second order approximation, with just the inclusion of these two additional waves, the equations become significantly more complicated ${ }^{4}$.

### 2.1 An Outline of the Mode Theory of Antennas Applied to the Biconical Dipole (S.A. Schelkunoff)

The mode theory of antennas is based on describing modes of propagation by simple conventional transmission line diagrams, as derived by S.A. Schelkunoff [3] and summarized here. The analysis is similar to that used for waveguides. In this approach, a terminal admittance, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}$, is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{0}(\ell)}{\mathrm{V}_{0}(\ell)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell$ is the slant height of the cone and,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{0}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{V}_{0}(\ell) \cos \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{j} \mathrm{KI}_{0}(\ell) \sin \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r}),  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{I}_{0}(\ell) \cos \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{jK}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{0}(\ell) \sin \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r}), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, K is the characteristic impedance of the conical transmission line determined from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \simeq 120 \cdot \ln \left(\cot \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a bicone antenna, where $\alpha \equiv$ half cone angle (see Fig. 2).
The input impedance is then determined by transforming to the apex of the cone via:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=\mathrm{K} \frac{\tan \beta \ell+j K y_{i}}{\mathrm{~K} y_{i} \tan \beta \ell+\mathrm{j} \tan \beta \ell} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that, to determine the fundamental resonance of a conical antenna used in the application of interest in this effort, one needs only to

[^2]determine the minimum value for $\beta \ell$ for which the imaginary component of the input impedance (i.e., the input reactance) is zero. The complexity of the equations however, makes determining the input admittance quite difficult. This is illustrated in this section which outlines Schelkunoff's derivation of the equations for the non-vanishing electric-and magnetic field vectors.

The electric- and magnetic- field intensities are related to $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\varphi}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{r})}{2 \pi r \sin \theta}  \tag{5}\\
& E_{\theta}=\frac{\eta V(r)}{2 \pi K r \sin \theta} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ is obtained by integrating $\mathrm{E}_{\theta}$ along a meridian as shown in Figure 2. Also indicated in Figure 2 is a "boundary sphere" which encloses the conical antenna (just) and at which various boundary conditions may be applied.


Figure 2. Diagram of a Spherically Capped Biconical Antenna (with Half Cone Angle $=\alpha$ and Slant Height $=\ell$ )

Two regions are defined: one inside of the boundary sphere and one outside. The following boundary conditions are applied (as reproduced from [3]):

1. In the antenna region, the tangential $E$ must vanish at the surface of the antenna.
2. The field in the antenna region must join continuously the field in the free space region.
3. The field tangential to the ends of the antenna must vanish .

For condition 3 above, Shelkunoff assumes a spherical cap over the ends of the dipole as indicated in Figure 2. If only radial currents are taken into account, the only non-vanishing fields are $\mathrm{E}_{\theta}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\varphi}$.

Fitting characteristics solutions to Maxwell's equations to the two regions inside and outside of the boundary sphere results in three equations in each region, specifically;

Inside the sphere:

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{2} E_{r}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi j \omega \varepsilon} \sum_{n} a_{n} \frac{S_{n}(\beta r)}{S_{n}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} M_{n}(\cos \theta)  \tag{8}\\
r H_{\varphi}=-\frac{I_{0}(r)}{2 \pi \sin \theta}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}}{n(n+1)} \frac{S_{n}(\beta r)}{S_{n}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} M_{n}(\cos \theta)  \tag{9}\\
r E_{\theta}=-\frac{\eta V(r)}{2 \pi K \sin \theta}+j \frac{\eta}{2 \pi} \sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}}{n(n+1)} \frac{S_{n}^{\prime}(\beta r)}{S_{n}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} M_{n}(\cos \theta) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{V}(\ell)\left[\cos \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{jKy} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}} \sin \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r})\right],  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{KI}_{0}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{I}_{0}(\ell) \cos \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{jK}-1 \mathrm{~V}_{0}(\ell) \sin \beta(\ell-\mathrm{r}),  \tag{12}\\
K=\frac{\eta}{\pi} \ln \cdot \cot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right),  \tag{13}\\
\alpha=\text { half cone angle, }  \tag{14}\\
S_{n}(\beta r)=J n_{n}(\beta r)+p_{n} N n_{n}(\beta r), \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$M_{n}(\cos \theta)$ is an odd Legendre function defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(\cos \theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[P_{n}(\cos \theta)-P_{n}(-\cos \theta)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the summation is carried out over all the zeros of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}(\cos \theta)$.

The normalized Bessel functions of order n are defined by Schelkunoff in terms of ordinary Bessel functions as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J n_{n}(\beta r)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \beta r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{n+1}(\beta r)  \tag{17}\\
& N n_{n}(\beta r)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \beta r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{n+1}(\beta r) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Outside of the sphere:

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{2} E_{r}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi j \omega \varepsilon} \sum_{k=1,3,5 \ldots} b_{k} \frac{R_{k}(\beta r)}{R_{k}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} P_{k}(\cos \theta)  \tag{19}\\
r H_{\varphi}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=1,3,5 \ldots} \frac{b_{k}}{k(k+1)} \frac{R_{k}(\beta r)}{R_{k}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} P_{k}(\cos \theta)  \tag{20}\\
r E_{\theta}=j \frac{\eta}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=1,3,5 \ldots} \frac{b_{k}}{k(k+1)} \frac{R_{k}^{\prime}(\beta r)}{R_{k}(\beta \ell)} \frac{d}{d \theta} P_{k}(\cos \theta)  \tag{21}\\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}(\beta r)=\mathrm{Hn}_{\mathrm{k}}(\beta r) \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The problem becomes one of simultaneously solving these equations for $a_{n}$ and $b_{k}$ which is quite a daunting task.

### 2.1.1 Fundamental Resonance Obtained from First Order

## Approximation to the Theory (C. Papas and R. King)

Based on the work of S.A. Schelkunoff [3-4] and C.T. Tai [13], C. Papas and R. King [5] evaluated the input impedance of a spherically-capped, monocone antenna ${ }^{5}$, fed by a coaxial conductor with an infinite ground flange, matched to the input impedance of the cone, as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. Spherically Capped Monocone Fed by a Matched Coaxial Line with Infinite Ground Flange

Papas and King used a transmission line analogy and considered only a single TEM mode in the region of the conical transmission line. In this approach, the

[^3]non-zero components of the magnetic- and electric- field vectors are expanded in a series of eigenfunctions which are characteristic solutions to Maxwell's equations valid in the regions inside and outside of the mathematical hemisphere. Constants of the expanded wave functions are determined by applying certain boundary conditions such as continuity of fields across the "mathematical hemisphere" and the requirement that the tangential component of the electric field be equal to zero over the spherical cap. To reduce the complexity of the problem, they assumed all higher modes to be negligible. They arrived at the following formula for the input impedance [5] of the monocone antenna ${ }^{6}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {in }}=Z_{0} \frac{1-\beta / \alpha}{1+\beta / \alpha} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Where $\mathrm{Z}_{0}$ is the characteristic impedance of the monocone determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \simeq 60 \ln \cot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio $\beta / \alpha$ is the reflection coefficient between the terminating impedance of the cone and free space and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta}{\alpha}=\mathrm{e}^{-2 i k a} \frac{1+\mathrm{i} \frac{60}{\mathrm{Z}_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 \mathrm{n}+1)}{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)}\left[P_{n}(\cos \alpha)\right]^{2} \zeta_{n}(\mathrm{ka})}{-1+\mathrm{i} \frac{60}{\mathrm{Z}_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 \mathrm{n}+1)}{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)}\left[P_{n}(\cos \alpha)\right]^{2} \zeta_{n}(\mathrm{ka})} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}(\mathrm{ka})=\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\mathrm{ka})}{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{2}(\mathrm{ka})-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{ka}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\mathrm{ka})} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The summation is carried out over odd-integer values of $n$; i.e., $n=1,3,5 \ldots \infty$. In Equations (23)-(26), $\beta$ and $\alpha$ should not be confused with wavenumber $\beta$ and half cone angle $\alpha$.

From these equations, one can determine the fundamental resonant frequency of this structure for any half cone angle and slant height by calculating the input

[^4]impedance over a range of values of ka and determining the minimum value of ka for which the reactance (i.e., the imaginary component of this impedance) is zero.

A simple Matlab program was written (refer to Appendix A) to evaluate input resistance and reactance based on the equations of Papas and King for a range of half-cone angles as a function of ka , where $\mathrm{k}=2 \pi / \lambda$ and a is the slant height of the cone. The summations were carried out to $\mathrm{n}=21$, which is more than sufficient to ensure convergence. An example of results is shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 which present resistance and reactance calculated from the theory as a function of ka for a half cone angle of 30 degrees.


Figure 4. Input Resistance as a Function of ka for $\mathbf{3 0}{ }^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle

In Figure 4, it can be seen that the resistance oscillates about the characteristic impedance as ka increases and for large ka, it approaches the characteristic impedance of the cone as one might expect. In Figure 5, it can be seen that the reactance oscillates about zero as ka increases and tends to zero for large ka. Fundamental resonance occurs at the minimum value of ka for which the reactance is zero.


Figure 5. Input Reactance as a Function of ka for $\mathbf{3 0}{ }^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle

The values for ka which correspond to the fundamental resonant frequency determined from the equations derived by Papas and King are presented in Table 1 below.

| $\alpha$ (degrees) | ka |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.25 |
| 10 | 1.22 |
| 20 | 1.21 |
| 30 | 1.21 |
| 45 | 1.21 |
| 60 | 1.21 |
| 70 | 1.22 |
| 75 | 1.24 |
| 80 | 1.28 |
| 85 | 1.37 |
| 90 | 1.57 |

Table 1. Calculated Value of ka for first resonance as a function of half cone angle

The calculated values of ka for fundamental resonance are also presented as a function of half cone angle in Figure 6, along with ka for $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 4$ and $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 5$ (shown by dashed lines). For a uniform transmission line, first resonance is expected at $\mathrm{a}=$ $\lambda / 4(\mathrm{ka}=1.57)$. It is evident in this graph that first resonance predicted from the first order approximation of Papas and King occurs at closer to $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 5 \quad(\mathrm{ka}=1.26)$ over half cone angles from 5-80 . In the limit, as the half cone angle approaches 90 degrees, ka approaches 1.57 , corresponding to resonance at $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 4$, as one would expect for a radial transmission line.


Figure 6. ka at Fundamental Resonant Frequency vs. Half Cone Angle (Predicted from Equations of Papas and King)

It can be seen that the simplified theory predicts a starting point for slant height in the design of a self resonant antenna at around $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 5$ for half cone angles between 10 and $80^{\circ}$. This is significantly less than the quarter wave resonance one would expect for a uniform transmission line.

### 2.1.2 Fundamental Resonance Obtained From the Second Order

## Approximation to the Theory (P. D. P. Smith)

P.D.P Smith, in a paper published in 1947 [7], uses the same transmission line analogy of Papas and King, but takes the theory a step further by including just one higher order term in addition to the TEM mode (for both of the regions inside and outside of the "mathematical sphere" indicated in Figure 1). In doing so, the complexity of the problem is greatly increased.

Smith evaluates the terminating admittance, $y_{t}$, at a distance of $\lambda / 4$ from the end of a conical transmission line and then ultimately transforms this to the apex of the cone to arrive at the input impedance.

Just to illustrate the increased degree of complexity introduced by considering just a single higher order term in each of the two regions, he arrived at the following equation for $\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (which corresponds to the cone impedance $1 / 4 \lambda$ from the ends of the cone):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{2} y_{t}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} P_{1}^{2} / W_{1}+\frac{1}{12} P_{3}^{2} / W_{3}+\frac{1}{6}\left[\frac{1}{(1, n)}-\frac{6}{(3, n)}\right]^{2} \frac{P_{1}^{2} P_{3}^{2} s_{n}}{\left(W_{1} W_{3}\right)}}{1+\frac{2 P_{1}^{2}}{(1, n)^{2}} * \frac{1}{W_{1}} * s_{n}+\frac{12 P_{3}^{2}}{(3, n)^{2}} * \frac{1}{W_{3}} s_{n}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where K is the characteristic impedance, as defined by Equation 13 and:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{W_{r}}=(2 r+1) \frac{R_{r}}{j R_{r}^{\prime}},  \tag{28}\\
R_{k}=(\beta l)^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\beta l)  \tag{29}\\
R^{\prime}{ }_{k}=\frac{d}{d(\beta l)} R_{k}  \tag{30}\\
s_{n}=\frac{(2 n+1)}{n^{2}+n} *\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{d n}{d \mu_{1}} * \frac{j s^{\prime}{ }_{n}}{S_{n}},  \tag{31}\\
\boldsymbol{S}_{n}=(\beta l)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\beta l)  \tag{32}\\
\boldsymbol{S}_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d(\beta l)} S_{n}, \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r, n)=\left(r^{2}+r+n^{2}-n\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subscript " $n$ " corresponds to expansion of $r \cdot E_{\theta}$ inside the "mathematical hemisphere" in terms of reflected waves near the ends; namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \cdot E_{\theta}=\frac{2 Z 0}{\sin \theta}+\frac{1}{j \omega \varepsilon} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) \cdot \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}\left[(\beta \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\beta \ell)\right]}}{(\beta \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\beta \ell)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the subscript " k " refers to expansion of $\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\theta}$ in terms of outwardly propagating waves in the region just outside of the "mathematical hemisphere":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{j} \omega \varepsilon} \cdot \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} /[\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}+1)] \cdot \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}\left[(\beta \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}+\frac{1}{2}}(\beta \ell)\right]}}{(\beta \ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}+\frac{1}{2}}(\beta \ell)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The high degree of complexity of this problem is manifested when one attempts to simultaneously solve these equations at $\mathrm{r}=1$ for the constants $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ by applying the boundary conditions of continuity of fields at the mathematical boundary and the vanishing of the electric field over the spherical cap. The subscript " $n$ " is not an integer but rather it is a function of $\cos (\alpha)$ and corresponds to the roots of $L_{n}(\cos (\alpha))=0$ where $L_{n}$ satisfies Legendre's equation. The value for the first root n as a function of $\mu=\cos (\alpha)$ is reproduced in Figure 7 below in the curve labeled " $n_{1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)$ ".


Figure 7. Subscript $\mathbf{n}$ as a function of $\cos (\alpha)$ reproduced from [7]

The results obtained by P.D.P. Smith for the input impedance of a wide angle cone are reproduced in Figure 8 below. In these calculations, only the first root of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\cos (\alpha))=0$ and only $\mathrm{k}=1,3$ were taken into account. These were obtained by first calculating $\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}$ from the equation above, corresponding to the impedance at a distance of $1 / 4 \lambda$ from the ends of the cone, and transforming this to the apex of the cone.


Figure 8. Input Resistance and Reactance Calculated by P.D.P. Smith (reproduced from [7].)

The reactance curves in the right hand side graph of Figure 8 indicate that the fundamental resonance (corresponding to the first zero crossing for the larger half cone angles of $20.2^{\circ}, 38.2^{\circ}$ and $50.6^{\circ}$ ) occur at a value of ka of between 0.8 and 0.9. This is significantly different from the results obtained using the first order approximation of Papas and King (refer to Figure 6).

## 3. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

Simulations were then run using CST microwave studio. The radiating structure was either a hollow or a solid copper cone over an infinite ground plane ${ }^{7}$; Figure 9 shows a hollow cone with a half cone angle of $15^{\circ}$. The slant height was kept constant in all of these simulations at $\mathrm{a}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$, while the half-cone angle was varied from 5-85 ${ }^{\circ}$.


Figure 9. Hollow Copper Monocone Used in Numerical Simulations

The infinite ground plane was simulated by setting the tangential component of the electric field to zero everywhere at $\mathrm{y}=0$. The distance between the apex and the ground plane was also kept constant at a distance of 1 mm . A far-field E-field probe, oriented in the +y direction was placed along the x -axis.
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### 3.1 Fundamental Resonance of Wide Angle Monocone Antenna Over an Infinite Ground Plane

The excitation signal is shown in Figure 10. This voltage signal had a slow rise time of 10 ns corresponding to the charging phase, a hold time of 5 ns to ensure the cone was fully charged and a fast fall time of 100 ps , corresponding to closing of the self break switch which would be employed in a real system. The voltage was then held to zero for another 55ns or more to ensure this point was "shorted" for the duration of the measured excitation. Again, this corresponds to realistic conditions in an actual system.


Figure 10. Excitation Signal Used in Simulations

The measured far-field, vertically polarized electric field radiated from the conical antenna with this excitation signal for a $15^{\circ}$ half cone angle is shown in Figure 11.

## Probe Time Signals in $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$



Figure 11. Simulated Electric field for a $\mathbf{1 5}^{\mathbf{o}}$ Half Cone Angle (Time Domain)

The resulting radiated waveform, as seen in this figure, show an initial sharp transient spike, which is generated when the switch closes, followed by a damped sine wave with a Q of about 5 .

The center frequency of oscillation in this case is 153 MHz , as can be seen in the frequency domain signal of Figure 12. Since the slant height, a, in this simulation is 0.3 m , this leads to a value for $\mathrm{ka}(=2 \pi \mathrm{a} / \lambda)$ of around 0.94 . For comparison, the center frequency one would expect for quarter wave resonance where $\mathrm{a}=\lambda / 4$ would be close to 250 MHz and the value for ka would be equal to 1.57 .


Figure 12. Simulated Electric Field for a $15^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle (Frequency Domain)

The value of ka corresponding to the center frequency of oscillation is determined from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k a=\frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda}=\frac{2 \pi f_{0} a}{c} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the slant height of the cone, $f_{0}$ corresponds to the center frequency of oscillation of the radiated waveform, and c is the speed of light.

The results for ka corresponding to the center frequency of oscillation obtained from the simulations for both a hollow and a solid monocone are summarized in Table 2 below as well as the graph of Figure 13.

| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ka <br> (hollow cone) | ka <br> (solid cone) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.1 | 1.09 |
| 15 | 0.96 | .94 |
| 30 | 0.88 | .85 |
| 45 | 0.84 | .85 |
| 60 | 0.95 | .92 |
| 70 | 1.04 | 1.01 |
| 80 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| 85 | 1.22 | 1.22 |

Table 2. Summary of Results of Numerical Simulations


Figure 13. ka at Fundamental Resonance vs. Half Cone Angle From Numerical Simulations

These results indicate a value for ka at the fundamental resonance at close to $\mathrm{a}=$ $\lambda / 8$ for half cone angles in the range of $30^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$. This is significantly different from the values predicted by the approximation of Papas and King. Note also that
there is not a significant difference in the resonant frequency between that of the hollow and the solid cones.

S11 simulations were also made as follows: a hollow copper cone over an infinite ground plane was excited with a broadband Gaussian signal ( $1 \mathrm{MHz}-1 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) via a port located between the apex of the cone and ground. The Gaussian signal is shown in the time- and frequency- domains, respectively in Figures 14 and 15.


Figure 14. Gaussian Input Signal For S11 Measurements (Time Domain)


Figure 15. Gaussian Input Signal for S11 Measurements (Frequency Domain)

Two S11 measurements were made for each half cone angle; first with a port impedance of 1 ohm and then with the port impedance matched to the characteristic impedance of the cone. Examples of measurements for a half cone angle of 45 degrees are shown in Figures 16-18 below. In Figure 16, the resonant frequency observed in the radiated field for this structure in the free-field simulations (see table 2) can be seen in the S11 measurement at close to 136 MHz .


Figure 16. S11 Measurement 45 Deg Half Cone Angle (1 Ohm Port Impedance)

Figure 17 presents the simulated S-Parameter measurement made with the port impedance matched to the characteristic impedance of the cone, i.e., $53 \Omega$.


Figure 17. S11 Measurement 45 Deg Half Cone Angle (53 Ohm Port Impedance)

A dominant resonant frequency is not evident in this case because the input impedance is matched to the cone. However, in the Smith Chart representation of this simulated measurement, shown in Figure 18 below, one can see that the imaginary component of the impedance first goes to zero at close to 134 MHz . This corresponds to the resonant frequency of this structure observed in the radiated electric field simulation (see Table 2).

```
O 1.000( -881, -163.2) Ohm
\Gamma 133.9( 10.55, -2.096) Ohm
e 1000.( 51.25, -9.828) Ohm
```



Figure 18. Smith Chart Representation of Simulated S11 for Hollow Cone with Port Impedance of $53 \Omega$

The S11 simulations were made to establish that the fundamental resonant frequency of a monocone over a ground plane can be obtained from the S11 measurement regardless of whether the apex of the cone is shorted or matched to the impedance voltage source. When the impedance of the voltage source is matched to the apex of the cone, it will not resonate of course; however, the frequencies corresponding to zero reactance observed in the Smith Chart representation of the measurement will reveal the fundamental (as well as higher) resonances.

### 3.2 Radiation Characteristics of Wide Angle Monocone Antenna Over an Infinite Ground Plane

The radiated waveform from a monocone over an infinite ground plane (as described in section 3.1) driven at the apex by the step pulse shown in Figure 10 was simulated by placing a far field electric field probe oriented along the $y$ axis (i.e., vertically polarized) in the far zone at 5 meters. In addition to the E-field probe, the full three dimensional radiation pattern was explored with an RCS far field monitor.

### 3.2.1 Peak Electric Field at r=5m

The time-domain, vertical electric field at a distance of 5 meters along the radial axis obtained from numerical simulation for a half cone angle of 45 degrees with a step pulse excitation is presented in Figure 19. As for all of the simulated radiated waveforms (refer to Figure 11), a very fast transient spike is evident early on, followed by a damped sine wave at the resonant frequency over several cycles of oscillation.


Figure 19. Peak Far Field Electric Field for a $\mathbf{5 5}^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle

The peak of the damped sine wave was determined for half cone angles ranging from $5-88^{\circ}$. The results are summarized in the graph of Figure 20. The peak electric field at the resonant frequency increases relatively rapidly for half cone angles of $5^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$, then increases more gradually as the half cone angle is increased from $30^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$. It then increases rapidly again from $60^{\circ}$ to $80^{\circ}$, after which it appears to level off for half cone angles of $80^{\circ}$ to $88^{\circ}$.


Figure 20. Peak Electric Field in Far-Zone Along Radial Axis Associated with Damped Sine Wave

If it is desirable to achieve maximum electric field at a specific range when the charge voltage is fixed (which it often is) the graph of Figure 20 indicates that the broader the half-cone angle the better.

However, this is not an indication of the radiation efficiency of the conical antenna, since for a fixed charge voltage, the antenna capacitance and therefore the stored energy, increases with increasing half cone angle. For a true measure of relative radiation efficiency (at a fixed point along the equator) as a function of
half cone angle, one must calculate the energy density of the radiated waveform and divide this by the energy stored in the antenna.

### 3.2.2 Radiation Efficiency vs. Half Cone Angle

The energy $\left(\mathrm{U}_{0}\right)$ stored in the cone is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=\frac{1}{2} C V^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where V is the charge voltage and C is the capacitance of the cone.

An estimate of the cone capacitance can be obtained from $C \simeq t / Z_{0}$, where $t$ is the transit time determined from $t=\ell / c$ (with $\mathrm{c}=$ the speed of light and $\ell=$ the slant height of the cone).

Perhaps a more accurate evaluation of the capacitance of a monocone over a ground plane can be determined by dividing the volume between the cone and the ground plane into nx p annular-ring, parallel plate capacitors along equipotential lines and exploring the limit as n and p approach infinity. This is illustrated in the drawing of Figure 21.


Figure 21. Divide Volume Between Cone and Groundplane into n xp Annular Ring Parallel Plate Capacitors Along Equipotential Lines

This results in a grid of capacitors that fill the volume of interest between the cone and ground plane and whose plates lie along equipotential surfaces. Some of the capacitors add in parallel and the rest add in series. If the angle between the monocone and the ground plane $(\beta=90-\alpha)$ is divided into $p$ equal subangles, the capacitance of a single element is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=2 \pi \varepsilon r \Delta r / r \sin (\beta / p)=2 \pi \varepsilon \Delta r / \sin (\beta / p) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the slant height is divided into $n$ equal increments then $\Delta r=\ell / n$ and the capacitance of a single element is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=2 \pi \varepsilon(\ell / \mathrm{n}) / \sin (\beta / \mathrm{p}) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each value of $\mathbf{j}$, the incremental annular ring capacitors add in parallel so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(j)=n *[2 \pi \varepsilon(\ell / n) / \sin (\beta / \mathrm{p})]=2 \pi \varepsilon \ell / \sin (\beta / \mathrm{p}) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $p \rightarrow \infty, \beta / p \rightarrow 0$ and $\sin (\beta / p) \rightarrow \beta / p$, so that this equation reduced to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{j})=\frac{2 \pi \varepsilon \ell}{\left(\frac{\beta}{p}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem is reduced to finding the capacitance of the $p$ disc capacitors as shown in the drawing, all of which add in series. As $p \rightarrow \infty, \beta / p \rightarrow 0$ and $\sin (\beta / p) \rightarrow$ $\beta / \mathrm{p}$, so that the inverse of the total capacitance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{p *\left(\frac{\beta}{p}\right)}{2 \pi \varepsilon \ell}=\frac{\beta}{2 \pi \varepsilon \ell} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{2 \pi \varepsilon \ell}{\beta} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit, as $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \infty$, this becomes an exact expression for the capacitance of this structure, ignoring fringe effects .

The results were compared to that obtained by estimating cone capacitance by $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ as shown in Figure 22.


Figure 22. Total Capacitance of a Monocone over a Groundplane

For a fixed charge voltage of 1V, as was used in the simulations, this translates to a stored energy as a function of half cone angle as shown in Figure 23.


Figure 23. Stored Energy as a Function of Half Cone Angle (Charge Voltage = 1 V)

To properly evaluate the relative radiation efficiency (again, at a fixed point along the equator) as a function of half cone angle, one must compare the energy in the radiated waveform to the total energy stored in the monocone. At a fixed distance, the total pulse energy density was determined in the simulations. This was determined by integrating the square of the electric field over time determined from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Pulse Energy Density }=\frac{1}{\eta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E}(t)^{2} d t \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $E(t)$ is the electric field as a function of time as shown in Figure 24 for a half cone angle of $5^{\circ}$. The result is a total pulse energy density (in $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) as a function of time as shown in Figure 25 for a half cone angle of 5 degrees. For time greater than about 60ns, the curve of Figure 25 shows a maximum of $3.31 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.


Figure 24. E(t) @ r=5m, Half Cone Angle=5 ${ }^{\circ}$


Figure 25. Radiated Pulse Total Energy Density for a Half Cone Angle of 5 Degrees

To determine relative radiation efficiency, the calculated pulse energy density should then be divided by the energy initially stored in antenna for the (in this case) one volt charge voltage. The data are presented as radiated pulse energy (in Joules $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ per Joule of stored energy in Figure 26.


Figure 26. Radiation Efficiency (Total Pulse Energy in $\mathbf{J} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$ per Joule of Stored Charge)

The normalized results are shown in figure 27. The curves of Figures 26 and 27 indicate peak radiation efficiency at a half cone angle of $45^{\circ}$, as one might expect.


Figure 27. Relative Radiation Efficiency as a Function of Half Cone Angle

### 3.2.3 Gain and Beamwidth at Resonant Frequency

The bicone antenna is not a highly directive (and therefore not a high gain) antenna. It usefulness is primarily as a broadband radiator. However, simulations were run to explore the gain and radiation pattern of a monocone over an infinite groundplane at its fundamental resonant frequency.

A representative three-dimensional radiation pattern is shown in Figure 28, for a half cone angle of $45^{\circ}$. The radiation pattern was similar over the $5^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ}$ range of half cone angle. The results for all half cone angles are summarized in Table 3.


Figure 28. Radiation Pattern for Hollow Cone Over Infinite Groundplane ( $\alpha=45^{\circ}$ )

The three dimensional plot shown in Figure 28 is presented as a two dimensional polar plot in Figure 29.


Figure 29. Polar Plot Representation of Radiation Pattern ( $5^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle)

It was found that the half power beamwidth of the main lobe increased slowly from approximately $40^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ}$ as the half cone angle was increased from $5^{\circ}$ to $88^{\circ}$ and that the gain $(\mathrm{dBi})$ slowly decreased over this range from 4.9 to 4.5 dBi .

| Half Cone Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{dBi})$ | Main Lobe Beamwidth $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 4.9 | 41.1 |
| 15 | 4.8 | 42.3 |
| 30 | 4.7 | 43.9 |
| 45 | 4.8 | 45.4 |
| 60 | 4.6 | 47.8 |
| 70 | 4.5 | 49.7 |
| 80 | 4.4 | 51.4 |
| 85 | 4.4 | 51.9 |
| 88 | 4.5 | 50.9 |

Table 3. Gain and Main Lobe Half Power Beamwidth at Resonant Frequency for Hollow Cone Over Infinite Groundplane

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF G.H. BROWN \& O.M. WOODWARD AND COMPARISON WITH RESULTS OF THEORY AND SIMULATIONS

Results of experiments conducted in the 1930's by G.H. Brown and O. M. Woodward were then analyzed and compared to results of numerical simulation and the approximation of Papas and King as well as that of P.D.P. Smith.

### 4.1 Fundamental Resonance of Wide Angle Conical Antennas Obtained from Experiments of G. Brown and O. Woodward

Relevant experiments were conducted by G.H. Brown and O. M. Woodward in the 1930's in which they measured the input impedance of a cone over a large ground plane [10]. The measured reactance as a function of the electrical height of the cone is reproduced in Figure 30. It is important to note that the "flare angle" $\alpha$ as shown corresponds to twice the half cone angle and the antenna length as shown is the slant height times the cosine of one half the "flare angle" $\alpha$. They did not observe a significant difference between the case of the hollow cone or the spherically capped cone.


Figure 30. Experimentally Determined Reactance as a Function of Monocone Slant Height (Reproduced from [10])

The results of these experiments (evaluated at fundamental resonance) are compared to the results of theory and simulation in the graph of Figure 31.


Figure 31. Comparison of Results (Experiment, Theory, and Simulation [CST MWS]

Clearly the experimental results of Brown and Woodward agree well with the simulation results. It is evident that the simplified equations derived by Papas and King are insufficient to accurately predict fundamental resonance of a wide-angle conical antenna. Agreement between the theory of Papas and King, the experimental results of Brown and Woodward and the results of simulation is only approached in the limits where the half cone angle is very small or very close to $90^{\circ}$. The results of PDP Smith, however, do agree well with the results of experiment and simulation.

## 5. EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED BY J.E. LAWRANCE TO EXPLORE FUNDAMENTAL RESONANT FREQUENCY

The setup used to create the radiated damped sine wave pulse from a monocone or a monopole antenna is shown schematically in Figure 32. The antenna was connected to the ground plane via a self-break gas switch located at the apex. This component was borrowed from ASR (part number ASR-TYO-001). The antenna was charged to $10-15 \mathrm{kV}$ slowly over approximately 20 microseconds until the self-break switch closed. The high voltage source was also borrowed from ASR Corporation (part number PCG-35). The charge pulse was measured with a Tektronix P6015 high voltage probe connected to a Tektronix TDS2024B oscilloscope and is presented in Figure 33.

An EG\&G ACD-70 free-field D-dot probe ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{eq}}=0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) was used to measure the radiated pulse in the far-field. This sensor was connected through a balun (EG\&G DMB-4) as well as a 500 MHz low pass filter (Minicircuits LP500) to the input of a Tektronix DPO 7254 oscilloscope using a sampling rate of $40 \mathrm{Gs} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 32. Schematic Diagram of Experimental Setup


Figure 33. High Voltage Charge Pulse

Two cones were constructed with half cone angles of $10^{\circ}$ and $42^{\circ}$. In addition, the fundamental resonant frequency of a rod of finite thickness was also measured. The rod (or monopole) and the two cones are presented in Figure 34. The ground plane was made from aluminum flashing and covered an area of 2.17 meters x 2.41 meters. The cone or monopole was located in the center of the ground plane with its axis oriented perpendicular to it.


Figure 34. Monocones and Monopole Used In Experiments ( $\alpha=\boldsymbol{0}^{\circ}, \mathbf{1 0 . 2}^{\circ}, \mathbf{4 2}^{\circ}$ )

The radiated pulse calculated from the measured signal using the standard ddot equation, i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{R A_{e q} \varepsilon} \int V(d t) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the rod of finite thickness is shown in Figure 35 in the time domain. The transient spike associated with closing of the switch is evident early on.


Figure 35. Time Domain Electric Field (Monopole of Finite Thickness)

The resonant frequency of this cone is apparent in Figure 36 which shows the Fourier transform of the time domain waveform of figure 35 . The center of the peak in the frequency domain occurs at $\mathrm{f}_{0}=170 \mathrm{MHz}$. Since $\mathrm{a}=0.41 \mathrm{~m}$, this corresponds to $\mathrm{ka}=1.45$.


Figure 36. Frequency Domain Electric Field (Monopole of Finite Thickness)

The time-and frequency-domain electric field waveforms of the measured damped sine wave radiated from the $10^{\circ}$ cone are presented in Figures 37 and 38, respectively. The cone has a broader bandwidth than the monopole, as one would expect. The resonant frequency occurs at about 135 MHz . For this cone, $\mathrm{a}=0.33$ m ; this corresponds to a value of $\mathrm{ka}=0.95$.


Figure 37. Time Domain Electric Field ( $\alpha=10^{\circ}, \mathrm{a}=.3 \mathrm{~m} 5$ )


Figure 38. Frequency Domain Electric Field ( $\alpha=10^{\circ}, a=.35 m$ )

The time-and frequency-domain electric field waveforms of the measured damped sine wave radiated from the $42^{\circ}$ cone are presented in Figures 39 and 40, respectively. This cone also has a broader bandwidth than the monopole, as one would expect. In addition, the waveform radiated from this structure appears to be the most heavily damped. The resonant frequency occurs at about 125 MHz . For this cone, $\mathrm{a}=0.31 \mathrm{~m}$; this corresponds to a value of $\mathrm{ka}=0.81$.


Figure 39. Time Domain Electric Field ( $\left.\alpha=42^{\circ}, a=.3 m\right)$


Figure 40. Frequency Domain Electric Field ( $\alpha=42^{\circ}, \mathbf{a}=.3 \mathrm{~m}$ )

The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 4. The value of ka measured experimentally for each resonant structure is listed as well as the simulated value of ka for comparison.

| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | ka <br> (measured) | ka <br> (simulated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.45 | $1.48^{8}$ |
| 10.2 | 0.95 | 1.01 |
| 42 | 0.81 | 0.84 |

Table 4. Summary of Experimental Results

These results agree remarkably well with simulated results to within about $5 \%$.
Most notably, they exhibit the $\lambda / 8$ fundamental resonant behavior for the broadest half cone angle in this experiment of $42^{\circ}$.
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## 6. SUMMARY

Theoretical analysis of the fundamental resonant frequency and radiation characteristics of a wide-angle, conical antenna as a function of half cone angle and slant height has a high degree of complexity. Analysis is based on treating the conical structure as a transmission line and evaluating the terminating admittance by determining characteristic solutions to Maxwell's equations and applying relevant boundary conditions. Including just a single TEM mode (and neglecting all others modes) simplifies the problem but leads to significant error in the prediction of the fundamental resonance of a conical antenna when compared to results of simulation and experiment. Sufficient improvements to the theory are obtained by including just a few higher order terms; however this greatly increases the complexity of the equations.

The results of experiment obtained from the literature, numerical simulation with CST MWS and the second order approximation to the Schelkunoff mode theory of antennas derived by P.D.P. Smith are all in good agreement. Experiments conducted by the author (J.E. Lawrance) also yielded results that were consistent with these findings. The fundamental resonant frequency of a conical antenna as a function of half cone angle is presented in the graph of Figure 41. Fundamental resonance occurs at a significantly lower value than one might expect for large half cone angles.

In addition, while the radiation efficiency of a conical antenna is maximum at a $45^{\circ}$ half cone angle, the peak electric field in the far zone increases with increasing half cone angle for a constant charge voltage. This is because, for a fixed charge voltage, the antenna capacitance (and therefore the total stored energy) increases as the half cone angle is increased.


Figure 41. Fundamental Resonance of a Conical Antenna (Either Bicone or Monocone Over Infinite Ground Plane)

## 7. CONCLUSION

The self resonant bicone antenna is a useful radiator for compact pulse power systems due to its relatively small size, its suitability to high voltage environments, and its broadband radiation characteristics. In this application, the center frequency and peak amplitude of the radiated pulse will depend on the half cone angle and cone slant height. In order to maximize stored energy, large half cone angles are employed in the antenna design.

It is commonly assumed that the relationship between slant height $\ell$ and the wavelength $\lambda$ at the fundamental resonant frequency corresponds to $\ell \simeq \lambda / 4$, with some relatively minor correction for end effects. The results presented herein establish that this is inaccurate for large half cone angles (i.e., $\alpha>5^{\circ}$ ) and that in fact this relationship approaches $\ell \simeq \lambda / 8$.

The gain and radiation pattern of this antenna are similar to that of a dipole of the same length, as is the case for all electrically small antennas.

If maximizing peak electric field at a fixed distance is desirable - for a fixed charge voltage - the broader the half cone angle the better. If instead it is desired to maximize energy radiated along the equator, the optimum half cone angle is $45^{\circ}$.

While most of the theoretical derivations, numerical simulations and experiments presented in this paper were conducted for a monocone over an an infinite groundplane, the results are directly applicable to a bicone. For practical applications, a monocone over a groundplane would not suffice because the groundplane would have to be unacceptably large to appear infinite. For practical applications it is preferable to employ a bicone in order to keep the overall dimensions of the antenna small.
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# Appendix A. Matlab Program to Evaluate Input Impedance from Theory 

```
clear
alpha=30;
a=alpha*pi/180;
x=cos(a);
Z0=60*log(cot(a/2));
i=(-1)^.5;
    ka=.1:.1:10;
    zero=0*ka;
    Zc=Z0+0*ka;
f0=besselj(0,ka);
f1=besselj(1,ka);
f2=besselj(2,ka);
f3=besselj(3,ka);
f4=besselj(4,ka);
f5=besselj(5,ka);
f6=besselj(6,ka);
f7=besselj(7,ka);
f8=besselj(8,ka);
f9=besselj(9,ka);
f10=besselj(10,ka);
f11=besselj(11,ka);
f12=besselj(12,ka);
f13=besselj(13,ka);
f14=besselj(14,ka);
f15=besselj(15,ka);
f16=besselj(16,ka);
f17=besselj(17,ka);
f18=besselj(18,ka);
f19=besselj(19,ka);
f20=besselj(20,ka);
f21=besselj(21,ka);
g0=bessely(0,ka);
g1=bessely(1,ka);
g2=bessely(2,ka);
g3=bessely(3,ka);
g4=bessely(4,ka);
g5=bessely(5,ka);
g6=bessely(6,ka);
g7=bessely(7,ka);
g8=bessely(8,ka);
g9=bessely(9,ka);
g10=bessely(10,ka);
g11=bessely(11,ka);
g12=bessely(12,ka);
g13=bessely(13,ka);
g14=bessely(14,ka);
g15=bessely(15,ka);
g16=bessely(16,ka);
g17=bessely(17,ka);
g18=bessely(18,ka);
g19=bessely(19,ka);
g20=bessely(20,ka);
g21=bessely(21,ka);
h0=f0-i*g0;
h1=f1-i*g1;
h2=f2-i*g2;
h3=f3-i*g3;
```

```
h4=f4-i*g4;
h5=f5-i*g5;
h6=f6-i*g6;
h7=f7-i*g7;
h8=f8-i*g8;
h9=f9-i*g9;
h10=f10-i*g10;
h11=f11-i*g11;
h12=f12-i*g12;
h13=f13-i*g13;
h14=f14-i*g14;
h15=f15-i*g15;
h16=f16-i*g16;
h17=f17-i*g17;
h18=f18-i*g18;
h19=f19-i*g19;
h20=f20-i*g20;
h21=f21-i*g21;
zeta1=h1./(h0-(3./ka).*h1);
zeta3=h3./(h2-(3./ka).*h3);
zeta5=h5./(h4-(5./ka).*h5);
zeta7=h7./(h6-(7./ka).*h7);
zeta9=h9./(h8-(9./ka).*h9);
zeta11=h11./(h10-(11./ka).*h11);
zeta13=h13./(h12-(13./ka).*h13);
zeta15=h15./(h14-(15./ka).*h15);
zeta17=h17./(h16-(17./ka).*h17);
zeta19=h19./(h18-(19./ka).*h19);
zeta21=h21./(h20-(21./ka).*h21);
P1=mfun('P',1,x);
P3=mfun('P',3,x);
P5=mfun('P',5,x);
P7=mfun('P',7,x);
P9=mfun('P',9,x);
P11=mfun('P',11,x);
P13=mfun('P',13,x);
P15=mfun('P',15,x);
P17=mfun('P',17,x);
P19=mfun('P',19,x);
P21=mfun('P',21,x);
prod1=((2*1+1)/(1*(1+1)))*P1^2.*zeta1;
prod3=((2*3+1)/(3* (3+1)))*P3^2.*zeta3;
prod5}=((2*5+1)/(5*(5+1)))*P5^2.*zeta5
prod7=((2*7+1)/(7* (7+1)))*P7^2.*zeta7;
prod9=((2*9+1)/(9*(9+1)))*P9^2.*zeta9;
prod11=((2*11+1) /(11*(11+1)))*P11^2.*zeta11;
prod13=((2*13+1) /(13*(13+1)))*P13^2.*zeta13;
prod15=((2*15+1)/(15* (15+1)))*P15^2.*zeta15;
prod17=((2*17+1)/(17*(17+1)))*P17^2.*zeta17;
prod19=((2*19+1) /(19*(19+1)))*P19^2.*zeta19;
prod21=((2*21+1)/(21*(21+1)))*P21^2.*zeta21;
Sum=prod1+prod3+prod5+prod7+prod9+prod11+prod13+prod15+prod17+prod19+prod
21;
ratio=(1+i*(60/Z0).*Sum)./(-1+i*(60/Z0).*Sum).*exp (-2*i*ka);
Zin=Z0*(-2*ratio)./(1+ratio);
figure (1)
plot(ka,[imag(Zin);zero])
figure (2)
plot(ka,[real(Zin);Zc])
```


## Appendix B: Effect of Finite Ground Plane (Simulation with CST Microwave Studio)

The effect of a finite ground plane was briefly explored in simulations with CST Microwave Studio. The half cone angle was kept constant at $5^{\circ}$ and the slant height of the cone was also kept constant at 0.3 m . The ground plane was a 1 mm thick circular disc in the $x-z$ plane as illustrated in Figure 42. The radius of the ground plane ranged from 0.3 meters to 1.2 meters in 0.3 meter increments.


Figure 42. Hollow Cone Over Finite Ground Plane (ground plane radius $=\mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{3 m}$ )

The vertically polarized electric field measured in this simulation for a ground plane radius of 0.3 m at a distance of 5 m along a radial axis is shown in Figure 43 . For this configuration, it was found that the peak field was close to that for an infinite ground plane (at about $0.03 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$ ); however the resonant frequency was found to be higher (at 186 MHz , compared to 175 MHz observed with an infinite gound plane).


Figure 43. Radiated Electric Field, Finite Ground Plane

The results can best be seen in the three dimensional plots of the radiation pattern as the radius of the ground plane is increased. These are presented in Figures 4447.


Figure 44. Ground Plane Radius $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 3}$ meters.


Figure 45. Ground Plane Radius $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 6}$ meters


Figure 46. Ground Plane Radius $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 9}$ meters


Figure 47. Ground Plane Radius $=\mathbf{1 . 2 m}$

The size of the ground plane clearly has an effect on the direction of the main lobe as well as the directivity and gain of the antenna. Further exploration of the effect of a finite ground plane on the radiation characteristics of the monocone antenna was beyond the scope of this effort; however it is interesting to note that the maximum gain of close to 4 dBi observed for the case of the infinite ground plane is not reached until the ground plane approaches 1.2 m . This corresponds to about $3 / 4$ of a wavelength at the fundamental resonant frequency.

The resonant frequency observed for each size of ground plane is summarized in table 5 . These values should be compared to the 175 MHz observed for the infinite ground plane.

| Radius of Ground Plane <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Resonant Frequency <br> (MHz) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.3 | 186 |
| 0.6 | 174 |
| 0.9 | 170 |
| 1.2 | 180 |

Table 5. Effect of Finite Ground Plane on the Fundamental Resonant Frequency

Intuitively, one would expect that the radius of the ground plane should be on the order of a wavelength or greater at the fundamental resonant frequency to achieve the same radiation characteristics as with an infinite ground plane. Since the slant height for large half cone angles ranging from about $30^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ was found to correspond to about $\lambda / 8$ (refer to Figure 13), it is expected that the dimensions of the ground plane ought to be at least several wavelengths greater than the slant height of the cone to appear "infinite".

## Appendix C: Resonant Frequency and Radiation Characteristics of Bicone Antenna

The fundamental resonant frequency and radiation characteristics of a bicone antenna with the same slant height as the monocone antenna used in simulations in Section 3 was also explored briefly. The $30^{\circ}$ hollow bicone is shown in Figure 48.


Figure 48. 30 ${ }^{\circ}$ Bicone Antenna (Slant Height $=\mathbf{0 . 3} \mathbf{~ m}$ )

The measured electric field along the radial axis at 5 m for this structure is shown in Figure 49. The resonant frequency was found to be 139.9 MHz , identical to that observed in simulations for the monocone over an infinite ground plane; however the peak field was one-half that measured for the monocone.


Figure 49. Radiated Pulse for Bicone with $30^{\circ}$ Half Cone Angle

The radiation pattern for the bicone with $30^{\circ}$ half cone angle is shown in Figure 50. It was similar to that observed for the monocone antenna; however it was a full toroid rather than the half toroid pattern observed for the monocone structure (compare to Figure 28).


Figure 50. Radiation Pattern for a $30^{\circ}$ Bicone Antenna

The main lobe beamwidth was therefore found to be twice that for the monocone with the same slant height and half cone angle, as shown in Figure 51.


Figure 51. Polar Plot of Radiation Pattern

Simulations were run for bicone antennas with a slant height of 0.3 meters and half cone angles of $30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$. The fundamental resonant frequencies were determined to be identical to those found for the monocone structures over an infinite ground plane with the same slant height and half cone angle, as expected.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As defined by S. A. Schelkunoff [9], "principal waves" are waves which would exist in an infinitely long antenna and "complementary waves" are waves which are generated at a discontinuity, such as an electrically small bicone antenna.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some experimental results were obtained from the literature [10]; however, further laboratory experiments and all simulations were conducted by J. Lawrance as part of this effort.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This theoretical approach has been successfully applied in the analysis of the electromagnetic behavior of electrically small bicone antennas where the half cone angle is on the order of $5^{\circ}$ or less [Ref. 12,13] as well as to explore the forced oscillations of the cylindrical conductor and the prolate spheroid [Ref 14,15].

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ C.T. Tai, in his paper entitled "On the Theory of Biconical Antennas" [19] presents a comparison of calculated input impedance of a bicone antenna by inclusion of up to three higher modes in the analysis.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The resonant frequency of a monocone over a ground plane is the same as that of a bicone with the same half cone angle and slant height (refer to Appendix C).

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Note: In this paper ka ( $=\frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda}$, where $a$ is the slant height of the cone) and $\beta\left(=\frac{2 \pi \ell}{\lambda}\right.$, where $\ell$ is the slant height of the cone) are interchangeable.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ The effect of a finite ground plane on the fundamental resonance and radiation characteristics of a monocone antenna was explored briefly with CST Microwave Studio (refer to Appendix B). The resonant frequency and radiation characteristics of a bicone antenna was also explored briefly for comparison (refer to Appendix C).

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ This value was determined from [20] for the length at first resonance for a cylindrical stub to account for the finite length and thickness of the monopole.

