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S-Nitrosation of cysteine (Cys) residues, a covalent modification of its $S$ atom by NO group, is a major post-translational modification of proteins. Despite the importance of S-nitrosoproteins in numerous physiological processes, lability of the S-nitrosothiol (-SNO) group hinders the research progress. In this work, computational chemistry methods were applied to S-nitrosated cysteine (CysNO) models to gain a deeper insight into its structure and properties.

First, we obtained the most accurate at the moment computational estimation of the molecular structure and properties of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ model molecule using Feller-Peterson-Dixon (FPD) ab initio protocol. The S-N bond length in cis$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ is calculated as 1.814 A , and its dissociation energy (BDE) is $32.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. We found that although the vibrational frequency of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ stretch is unusually low for a covalent bond ( $398 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), the S-N bond has a remarkably harmonic character. After the benchmarking of the density functional theory (DFT) methods against the FPD reference, we recommend MPW2PLYP and MPW2PLYPD double hybrid functionals for calculation of the geometric properties, vibrational frequencies and isomerization barriers of S-nitrosothiols, and PBE0 (PBE0-GD3) hybrid functional for the S-N BDEs.

Further, we evaluated the influence of charged amino acid residues and steric constraints on the conformational dynamics of CysNO, using an $\alpha$-helix model and a DJ-1 (PARK7) protein using hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) approach. We found that while the rotational barrier around the S-N bond is ca. $13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in free CysNO, it can vary between 10 and $24 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the protein environment due to the effect of neighboring charged amino acids.

Finally, to address a long-standing problem of the CysNO identification in proteins, we computationally designed a novel CysNO labeling reaction, (3+2) dipolar cycloaddition between the -SNO group activated by N-coordinated Lewis acid and a strain-activated alkene. We show that the most effective labeling reagent should covalently link both crucial reaction components-the Lewis acid and the dipolarophile-into one molecule, to lower the entropic penalty and corresponding reaction barrier.
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## Chapter I. Introduction

A. Current Problems in S-Nitrosoproteomics

Proteins are involved in virtually all physiological processes of human body: indeed, the human genome encodes more than 20000 proteins, according to the Uniprot database. ${ }^{8}$ Formation of proteins, their function and lifespan are tightly regulated under normal physiological conditions. One of the ways used by the nature to regulate the structure and functions of proteinsf, as well as to increase chemical diversity beyond the 20 naturally occurring amino acids, is chemical modification of protein residues, also known as posttranslational modifications (PTMs). ${ }^{9}$ A recent study, ${ }^{10}$ aimed at supplying the scientific community with constantly updated proteome-wide statistics of most abundant PTMs from the Swiss-Prot database, ${ }^{11}$ demonstrated that phosphorylation, acetylation and N -linked glycosylation are the most frequent types of chemical residue modifications in proteins.

A vast body of research regards the role of reversible protein phosphorylation, or addition/removal of a phosphate fragment to/from serine, threonine, tyrosine or histidine residues, in human physiology. ${ }^{12-14}$ One of the most important implications of tightly controlled reversible protein phosphorylation is to regulate (activate or deactivate) the function of enzymes. ${ }^{15}$ Some of the examples of physiological regulation via reversible phosphorylation of proteins include (but not limited to) insulin signaling, ${ }^{16}$ protein-protein recognition, ${ }^{17}$ and cellular death
through apoptosis. ${ }^{18}$
Similarly to phosphorylation of serine, threonine, tyrosine or histidine, a growing number of studies indicate that more than 3000 proteins undergo cysteine (Cys) residue modification via reversible S-nitrosation, leading to the formation of Snitrosoproteins ${ }^{1,19-21}$ (Figure 1 A). Figure 1 B depicts major pathways of Snitrosothiol (RSNO) formation in vivo. ${ }^{2}$ Remarkably, all but one of those pathways (namely the trans-S-nitrosation pathway) include nitric oxide (NO) as a reagent. NO is a well-known endogenously produced signaling molecule in biology, ${ }^{22-25}$ and plays a major role in regulation of physiological functions in human body.

The resulting S-nitrosoproteins are involved in a multitude of key biological processes across all branches of the tree of life, ${ }^{26-28}$ from immune response in plants to neurotransmission in humans. Despite the fact that all Cys residues in proteins can be potential targets of S-nitrosaion, not all of them undergo this transformation. For example, an RNA-binding domain of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein M contains four Cys residues (Cys652, Cys675, Cys693, Cys708), but only the Cys675 residue was experimentally detected to be S-nitrosated in vivo ${ }^{3}$ (Figure 2). Thus, S-nitrosation and denitrosation occurs in a tightly controlled manner, whereas disregulated S-nitrosation is linked to the development of a number of pathological conditions ${ }^{1,29}$ (Table 1). The mechanisms of such control on a molecular level are not yet fully understood.
A

B
NO oxidation pathway

Thiyl radical recombination pathway


Transition metal catalyzed pathways
Cytochrome $c$ catalyzed $S$-nitrosation


Ferric heme catalyzed $S$-nitrosation


Transnitrosation


Figure 1. S-Nitrosation and denirosation of Cys residue in peoteins (A); physiological pathways of RSNO formation in vivo (B) - adapted from ${ }^{2}$.


Figure 2. An example of specificity of S-nitrosation in proteins: four Cys residues of RNA-binding domain of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $M^{3}$.

Table 1. Pathological conditions caused by the disregulated S-nitrosation in proteins ${ }^{1}$.

| Neurological | Alzheimer disease |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Parkinson disease |
|  | Stroke |
| Cardiovascular | Heart failure |
|  | Preeclampsia |
|  | Arterial hypertension |
|  | Septic shock |
|  | Diabetes (type I, type II) |
| Pulmonary | Banked blood storage defect |
|  | Sickle cell anemia |
| Cancer | Asthma |
|  | Cystic fibrosis |
|  | Lung inflammation |
|  | Liver cancer |
|  | Tumor radiosensitivity |

One of the recent examples of S-nitrosated proteins, involved in the development of crucial health problems, is protein deglycase DJ-1, also known as Parkinson disease (PD) protein $7 .{ }^{30,31}$ Neurodegenerative diseases pose a major health problem in modern society with quickly aging population. For example, the PD has an impact on daily lives of more than one million people in the United States. ${ }^{32}$ A recent hypothesis from S. Lipton group (Department of Neurosciences, UCSD) suggested that one of the physiological functions of $\mathrm{DJ}-1$ is to protect the neurons under oxidative conditions, and to prevent neuronal cell death. A disruption of this function may lead to the accelerated degeneration of dopaminegenerating cells in human brain, and progression of the PD. ${ }^{19,33,34}$ The S-nitrosation of Cys106 in DJ-1 protein was subsequently linked to the neuroprotective function. Therefore, a deeper insight into the structure and properties of evidently physiologically relevant CysNO residue in DJ-1 is, therefore, required.

Considering S-nitrosproteins as the prominent targets for pharmacological interventions, ${ }^{35}$ several challenges limit the progress in this field. Firstly, despite clear need for more structural and reactivity data on S-nitrosoproteins, their experimental studies are complicated due to the inherent instability of the SNO fragment. ${ }^{36}$ Chemically, the side chain of S-nitrosated Cys residue belongs to the class of compounds called S-nitrosothiols. RSNOs only recently gained significant attention of the scientific community, mostly due to their involvement in a multitude of physiological mechanisms. ${ }^{1,37,38}$ A number of physiologically relevant Snitrosothiols, as well as their typical lifetimes in vivo, are shown on Figure 3. It is clear that in the most cases, the stability of RSNOs is on par with stability of organic
peroxides. ${ }^{39}$ Several factors can lead to even faster decomposition of RSNOs (Figure 4), such as temperature, light, and the presence of metal ions. ${ }^{5,36,40,41}$

Stability ( $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$, hours)


Figure 3. Structures and stabilities of selected biologically relevant RSNOs ${ }^{4,5}$.


Figure 4. Common factors, involved in decomposition of RSNOs.

Secondly, the number of thoroughly characterized S-nitrosoproteins is rather limited. As of January 2017, only a few X-ray structures of S-nitrosated proteins are available in the RCSB protein data bank ${ }^{42}$ (see section III A), and two Snitrosoproteomes with thousands of proteins have been published. ${ }^{3,43}$ Therefore, the ability to shed light on the structure and individually assess the reactivity of the CysNO residues in those proteins will be of a high demand for the future studies of pharmacologically targeted proteins. Thirdly, the current techniques to locate

CysNO residues in protein molecules are cumbersome and error-prone, due to the lack of simple and robust procedure to identify the SNO group.

Considering the difficulties that experimental biochemistry is often facing, computational (bio)chemistry can offer a compelling way to gain a deeper insight into the structure and properties of the molecules of interest. Thus, computational tools have become an ordinary part of the drug discovery routine and development of new materials. ${ }^{44,45}$ Some of the chemical properties, like bond dissociation energies, or reaction barriers, can be estimated within the margins of chemical accuracy ( $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) at a reasonable computational cost. ${ }^{46,47}$ Remarkably, the acknowledged success of modern computer-based tools was highlighted by the Nobel Prize in Chemistry (1998), awarded to Walter Kohn "for his development of the density-functional theory" and John A. Pople "for his development of computational methods in quantum chemistry". Another Nobel Prize in Chemistry, awarded in 2013 to Martin Karplus, Michael Levitt and Arieh Warshel, regarded "the development of multiscale models for complex chemical systems".

In the case of S-nitrosoproteins, computational (bio)chemistry allows one to readily access the structure and properties of any CysNO residue, and estimate the effect of the protein environment. For example, recent computational papers ${ }^{6,48-51}$ from the Timerghazin group shed light onto a few aspects of the complex electronic structure of RSNOs. The main goal of the present work is to further explore the properties and capabilities of computer simulated models of the CysNO residues, to aid the future experimental studies on S-nitrosoproteins and inspire the development of prominent pharmaceutical agents.

## B. Computational Approach

In the rapidly developing field of computational chemistry, capable of producing useful insights in combination with the experiment, a number of challenges are still present. To be a robust quantitative tool, reasonable balance between accuracy and computational cost is required. In computational thermochemistry, 'chemical accuracy' is commonly defined as a maximum difference from the exact value, evaluated by experiment, of $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{52,53}$ Indeed, under biological conditions, a change in reaction barrier height of $1.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ will result in one order of magnitude change of the reaction rate, according to the Arrhenius equation. In recent literature, $4^{66,47}$ 'chemical accuracy' was roughly associated with $\pm 0.005 \AA$ and $\pm 15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ fidelity in corresponding bond lengths and vibrational frequencies.

Current implementations of ab initio electronic structure methods are capable of achieving chemical accuracy, but only for small molecules (with 5-6 nonhydrogen atoms). For most ab initio methods, a steep dependence of computational time on the number of electrons in larger molecules ${ }^{52,53}$ makes them unusable for any real-world application. For example, a coupled cluster theory method CCSD(T) with single, double and perturbative triple excitations, ${ }^{54,55}$ often considered as the 'gold standard' of computational chemistry, scales as $n^{3} N^{4}$ with regard to required CPU time (where $n$ is the number of occupied orbitals and $N$ is the number of virtual orbitals). This approximately translates into $M^{7}$ ( $M$ is the total number of the basis
functions) size dependence, making those calculations possible only for small molecules (up to 7 non-hydrogen atoms).

In this work, we use the Feller-Peterson-Dixon (FPD) ${ }^{46,47}$ procedure to calculate the reference data for cis-MeSNO, trans-MeSNO and the transition state of their interconversion. The FPD protocol was developed to obtain accurate thermochemical and spectroscopic data, without invoking empirical corrections. It is a flexible, multi-step approach, to address major sources of errors in electronic structure calculations. The main building block of FPD is frozen-core coupled cluster method CCSD(T) with extrapolation to the complete basis set (CBS) limit, $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ CBS. Explicitly correlated (F12) coupled-cluster methods such as CCSD(T)F12 demonstrate much faster convergence toward the CBS limit, and allow much more efficient evaluation of the CBS-extrapolated electronic energy. To this $E_{\text {elec }}(\mathrm{CBS})$ value, a number of corrections are added:

- $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$, core-valence correction, which accounts for the contributions to electronic energy due to correlation of the outer-core electrons;
- $\Delta$ SR, scalar-relativistic correction, which approximates the contribution to the electronic energy due to scalar-relativistic effect;
- $\quad \Delta \mathrm{SO}$, spin-orbit correction, accounting for the effect of spin-orbit coupling;
- $\quad \Delta \mathrm{HO}$, high-order correction, evaluating the electron correlation beyond $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ level, usually limited to quadruple excitations correction, $\Delta \mathrm{Q}$;
- $\quad \Delta Z P E$, or the effect of zero-point vibrational energy (when necessary, can incorporate the effect of anharmonicity of bond vibrations).

The expression for the zero-point corrected total energy on the FPD scheme can thus be written: ${ }^{46,47}$

$$
E_{0 \text { FPD }}=E_{\text {elec }}(\mathrm{CBS})+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}+\Delta \mathrm{SO}+\Delta \mathrm{HO}+\Delta \mathrm{ZPE} .
$$

One of the core differences between FPD approach and much more widely used 'off the shelf' composite methods available in the popular software packages such as Gaussian 09,56 is the flexibility of FPD building blocks, contrary to the fixed recipe of composite methods. For example, the Gaussian-series G357 method, available in Gaussian 09 code, always utilizes the following steps regardless of the nature of the molecule:

- Preliminary geometry optimization and calculation of vibrational frequencies at the HF/6-31G(d) level;
- More accurate geometry optimization at the MP2(Full)/6-31G(d) level (this molecular geometry will be used in all following steps);
- Single-point energy calculation at the QCISD(T,E4T)/6-31G(d) level;
- Single-point energy calculations at the MP4/6-31G(d) and MP4/631G(2df,p) levels;
- Single-point energy calculation at the MP2(Full)/GTLarge level;
- Applying an empirical 'High Level’ correction, fitted to experimental data.

On the other hand, the FPD scheme can be easily modified to better adjust for the nature of the specific chemical system. Therefore, limited computational resources can be managed more efficiently, with more effort directed towards the problematic areas. Recent developments in the electronic structure theory are easily implemented in FPD, for example more cost-effective explicitly correlated coupled
cluster methods CCSD(T)-F12x ${ }^{58,59}$ are now used to estimate CCSD(T)/CBS limit instead of traditional slow-converging CCSD(T) method. The FPD scheme also addresses one of the possible sources of errors in a number of widely used composite methods (G3B3, G4, CBS-QB3, W1 and W2), which are based on the DFT methods to perform the initial geometry optimization. Finally, the FPD protocol is free from any empirical corrections obtained by fitting against experimental training sets. This makes the FPD approach an ideal candidate to generate the set of reference data, that can be further used in benchmarking more approximate DFT methods.

## C. Overview and Goals of the project

In this work, we applied modern computational chemistry tools to investigate the structure and properties of CysNO residues in proteins. Different computational models of CysNO are used to reproduce the key features of the CysNO. Relatively small $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule was used as a benchmarking case to test the performance of DFT methods in comparison to the accurate FPD results, as well as served as a CysNO model in development of the novel labeling reactions. The CysNO residue, embedded in an $\alpha$-helix or a protein, revealed the possibilities of the protein environment to control its properties via steric effects and coordination of the charged residues to the -SNO group. Overall, the present thesis consists of the following parts:

- Chapter II is devoted to the high-level ab initio modeling of the structure and properties of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule, the smallest RSNO with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond, similar to the one in a protein CysNO. A generated set of data is used to benchmark the performance of selected DFT methods.
- Chapter III includes electronic structure calculations of two larger CysNO models: $\alpha$-helix and DJ-1 protein, and highlights the importance of protein environment on regulating the structure and properties of the SNO group.
- Chapter IV covers the proposed novel reactivity of CysNO on the example of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}(3+2)$ cycloaddition and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion reactions with model reagents, as well as the prominent pathways to direct this reactivity via Lewis acid coordination.

Main goals of the project include testing the abilities and limitations of modern readily available computational chemistry tools, applied to the CysNO models; providing a better set of reference data on the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule; studying how the protein environment can control the structure and conformational dynamics of CysNO; and exploring how the directed change of electronic structure of CysNO can help to create a more efficient reactions for S-nitrosation detection in proteins.

## Chapter II: An Accurate Ab Initio Investigation of Structure and Properties of MeSNO <br> A. Introduction

S-Nitrosothiols, or RSNOs, attract significant attention in the biomedical and biochemical literature in recent time. This growing interest, and the constant flow of new publications, ${ }^{43,60,61}$ can be easily rationalized. RSNOs are can be formed and decomposed in vivo, ${ }^{62,63}$ and play an important role in the nitric oxide biological functions. Especially important in mammalian ${ }^{1,64,65}$ and plant physiology ${ }^{26,66}$ is the process of post-translational S-nitrosation of the cysteine residues (Cys) in proteins, leading to the formation of S-nitrosated CysNO. Thousands of proteins have been already reported to undergo S-nitrosation, ${ }^{43}$ but the detailed mechanism of this reaction remains unclear, and moreover, the fate of newly formed CysNO is difficult to predict. Usually, RSNOs are sensitive to light, presence of the metal ions, and have low thermal stability. ${ }^{5,40}$ In the protein environment, a number of studies ${ }^{19,37}$ suggest that CysNO can participate in tightly controlled enzymatic trans-Snitrosation reactions, the mechanism of which is open to question. Considering those facts, a reliable computational model of CysNO is highly desired.

Additional challenges represent the complexity of the electronic structure of RSNOs, which are proven to be a difficult object to study with the computational chemistry tools. The HSNO molecule, due to its small size, is among the most rigorously studied RSNOs with the use of high-level ab initio calculations. ${ }^{49,67-70}$ As shown on the example of HSNO, ${ }^{67,71}$ reliable information about its properties can be either obtained through a laborious and computationally demanding ab initio
calculations, or via the use of thoroughly tested density functional theory (DFT) methods. Recently, we performed a benchmarking study of the most popular DFT methods, using the HSNO model. ${ }^{71}$ Methyl thionitrite MeSNO may represent a better model of S-nitrosated Cys residues in proteins than the HSNO molecule. Accurate estimation of the MeSNO thermochemical parameters is, therefore, of a high demand.

cis-MeSNO

trans-MeSNO

In 2012, ${ }^{72}$ Koppenol proposed a thermochemical scheme to derive a bond dissociation energy of S-nitrosocysteine, based on the theoretical value of the gas phase $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond dissociation energy in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SNO}(32.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at the CBS-QB3 level of theory ${ }^{36}$ ). In the literature, a number of studies report S-N BDE in MeSNO, using different levels of theory (Table 2).

Table 2. S-N bond lengths and S-N BDEs in cis-MeSNO.

| Method | S-N bond <br> length, $\AA$ | S-N BDE, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP/6 31G(d) | 1.865 | 29.6 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| CBS-4M | 1.732 | 34.4 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| CBS-Q | 1.850 | 33.7 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| G3 | 1.775 | 31.5 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| B3LYP/6-311G(2df,p) | - | 29.7 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| RMP2/6-311++G(d,p) | - | 22.5 | ${ }^{73}$ |
| MP2/6-31+G(d) | 1.760 | 28.1 | ${ }^{74}$ |
| MP2(full)/6-31+G(d) | 1.761 | 28.0 | ${ }^{75}$ |
| CBS-QB3 | - | 32.4 | ${ }^{36}$ |

Nevertheless, none of those studies used accurate ab initio methods with no empirical corrections, due to the prohibitively high cost of such calculations during the previous years. Thankfully, due to the constant development of the electronic structure theory, as well as computational chemistry software, highly accurate $a b$ initio calculations are now feasible for the MeSNO molecule. In this work, we present the first computational study of MeSNO molecule with the Feller-Peterson-Dixon (FPD) approach, ${ }^{46,47}$ that provides near-chemical accuracy for the thermochemical parameters and vibrational frequencies.

## B. Computational Details

All ab initio electronic structure calculations were performed using Molpro $2015.1^{76}$ and MRCC/CFOUR ${ }^{77}$ program packages, with computational resources offered by Marquette University (Pere cluster) and via XSEDE allocation under 'Computational Modeling of Biologically Important S-Nitrosothiol Reactions' project TG-CHE140079.

1. Geometry optimization

Full geometry optimizations of cis-MeSNO, trans-MeSNO, and MeSNO cistrans isomerization transition state (TS) were performed using frozen-core explicitly-correlated coupled cluster method with single, double and perturbative triple excitations CCSD(T)-F12a, 58,59 in combination with F12-optimized basis sets of Peterson et al. cc-pVnZ-F12 ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Q}$; will be referred to in text as VDZ-F12, VTZ-F12 and VQZ-F12, respectively). ${ }^{79}$ For such calculations, corresponding VnZF12/OPTRI basis sets are used by default in Molpro 2015.1 to construct the complementary auxiliary orbital basis (CABS). ${ }^{76}$ The nature of all stationary points (except the ones calculated with $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)-F 12 a / V Q Z-F 12$ method) was confirmed by calculating the Hessian matrix of second-order derivatives of the energy with respect to atomic coordinates, and corresponding eigenvalues (vibrational frequencies). Absence of imaginary frequencies indicated a minimum, and presence of one imaginary frequency indicated a transition state.

The two-point complete basis set (CBS) extrapolation scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n)=E_{C B S}+\frac{B}{n^{3}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the cardinal number, which indicates the maximum angular momentum function in the basis set, ${ }^{80}$ based on $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)-F 12 a / V T Z-F 12$ and $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)-$ F12a/VQZ-F12 data, was used to estimate the CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS limit for the geometry parameters of MeSNO structures, the foundation of the FPD scheme.

The corrections for the perturbative quadruple excitations or $\Delta(Q)$, due to their extreme computational cost, were calculated only to the S-N bond distances, as the difference in geometric parameters of fully optimized structure at CCSD(T) level, and partially optimized structure at CCSDT(Q) level. We used MIDI! ${ }^{81}$ and cc$\mathrm{pV}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{d}) Z$ basis sets ${ }^{82}$ from EMSL basis set exchange database, to optimize MeSNO conformations with Molpro at $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ level, and then used optimized structures to relax only the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in internal coordinates with CCSDT(Q) in MRCC/CFOUR codes, leaving other geometric parameters frozen. Core-valence corrections $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ to the geometric parameters were estimated as the difference between the structures, obtained at CCSD(T)-F12a level with weighted CV basis set cc-pCVTZ-F12 ${ }^{83}$ with full-electron correlation (except the 1s-electrons for the $S$ atom), and the frozencore ones. Scalar-relativistic corrections $\Delta$ SR were calculated at CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZDK level by the Douglas-Kroll-Hess method ${ }^{84,85}$ in Molpro.
2. Energy calculations

We used customized variations of the FPD scheme to calculate an accurate SN BDE in MeSNO, the energy difference between cis- and trans-MeSNO
conformations, and the activation barrier of cis-trans isomerization.
The S-N BDE scheme included the following. CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS values were calculated using two-point Schwenke-style extrapolation formula: ${ }^{86}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{CBS}}^{\mathrm{corr}}=\left(E_{\mathrm{large}}^{\mathrm{corr}}-E_{\mathrm{small}}^{\mathrm{corr}}\right) F+E_{\mathrm{small}}^{\mathrm{corr}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with separate CBS extrapolation of the CCSD-F12a and (T) components of the electronic energy. Corresponding $F$ coefficients for the VTZ-F12/VQZ-F12 pair of basis sets are used from Ref. ${ }^{87}$ This approach is better suited to calculate the energetic parameters, because it can exploit the fact that the explicitly correlated CCSD-F12a component of the electronic energy converges significantly faster to the CBS limit with increasing basis set size, than the (T) component, that does not benefit from explicit correlation treatment.

The $\Delta(Q)$ corrections for $\mathrm{NO} \cdot$ and $\mathrm{MeS} \cdot$ radicals were calculated as singlepoint calculations with MIDI! and cc-pV(D+d) basis sets, whereas the S-N distance in MeSNO was optimized at the CCSDT(Q) level.
$\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ corrections were calculated with full geometry optimization of both cis- and trans- MeSNO conformations and the radical fragments (see 'Geometry optimization' section above). Spin-orbit coupling correction for MeS• radical, $\Delta$ SO, was calculated with the Breit-Pauli operator, ${ }^{88}$ with multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) method ${ }^{89,90}$ with aug-cc-pV(Q+d)Z basis set, ${ }^{91}$ as implemented in Molpro. Zero-point vibrational energy (ZPE) was calculated at the CCSD(T)F12a/CBS level, with two-point extrapolation scheme ${ }^{80}$ based on CCSD(T)-F12a/VTZ-F12 and CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12 data. The anharmonic contribution to $\Delta$ ZPE was calculated in Gaussian $09^{56}$ with second-order perturbative theory
(PT2), ${ }^{92}$ using DFT methods MPW2PLYPD ${ }^{93}$ (double-hybrid functional) and PBE0 ${ }^{94}$ (global hybrid functional) with def2-TZVPPD basis set by Weigend and Ahlrichs ${ }^{95}$. The solvent effect on the S-N BDE was studied with polarizable continuum model PCM, ${ }^{96}$ with parameters for water $(\varepsilon=78.3553)$ and diethylether $(\varepsilon=4.24)$. We used previously tested ${ }^{71}$ DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set in Gaussian 09, namely B3LYP, ${ }^{97,98}$ PBE0, ${ }^{94}$ PBE0-GD3 ${ }^{99}$, PBE0-1/3, ${ }^{100}$ wB97XD, ${ }^{101}$ B2PLYP, ${ }^{102}$ B2PLYPD, ${ }^{102}$ MPW2PLYP ${ }^{93}$ and MPW2PLYPD, ${ }^{93}$ to obtain an average solvent effect for water and diethylether cases. The FPD scheme to calculate relative stability of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO conformers and $\Delta E^{\neq}$of cis-trans isomerization reaction used same building blocks, except $\Delta \mathrm{SO}$.
3. Calculation of vibrational frequencies

To calculate the accurate vibrational frequencies of cis-MeSNO and transMeSNO conformers, we used a modified FPD scheme. The harmonic frequencies, calculated with $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)-F 12 a / V T Z-F 12$ and $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)-F 12 a / V Q Z-F 12$, were further extrapolated to the CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS limit using a two-point scheme(Equation 1). ${ }^{80}$ Anharmonic corrections were calculated with fully automatic second-order perturbation theory (PT2) ${ }^{92}$, implemented in Gaussian 09, with double-hybrid DFT method MPW2PLYPD ${ }^{93}$, as well as dispersion-corrected PBE0-GD3 ${ }^{103}$ using the def2-TZVPPD basis set by Weigend and Ahlrichs. ${ }^{95}$
4. Energy profile along the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond

To study the energy profile along the S-N bond, CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12 optimized geometries of cis-MeSNO, trans-MeSNO and the isomerization TS were
used as starting points. In internal coordinates, only the S-N bond length was varied, leaving the rest of the structure frozen. CCSD, distinguishable coupled cluster DCSD ${ }^{104,105}$ and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ calculations were performed in Molpro code, CCSDT and CCSDT(Q) - in MRCC/CFOUR; cc-pV(D+d) basis set was used for all methods. To produce the reference FPD S-N bond energy profile of cis-MeSNO, we used CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12 optimized structure, and performed a series of single-point calculations to obtain the core $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{CBS}$ energies. All further FPD corrections ( $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}), \Delta \mathrm{CV}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ ) were also done as single-point calculations (see ‘Geometry Optimization' section for detailed methods and basis sets information).
5. Benchmarking of DFT methods

DFT calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 code ${ }^{56}$, with 'UltraFine' settings for the integration grid (99 radial shells, 590 angular points per shell). The list of benchmarked methods includes B3LYP, ${ }^{97,98}$ PBE0, ${ }^{94}$ PBE0-GD3, ${ }^{99}$ PBE0-1/3, ${ }^{100}$ wB97XD, ${ }^{101}$ B2PLYP, ${ }^{102}$ B2PLYPD, ${ }^{102}$ MPW2PLYP ${ }^{93}$ and MPW2PLYPD, ${ }^{93}$ combined with the def2-SV(P)+d and def2-TZVPPD basis sets by Weigend and Ahlrichs ${ }^{95}$. The modified FPD scheme has the same building blocks as described in the previous sections, but lacks $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SO}$ contributions, which are not available for the implementation in Gaussian DFT. We limited the frequencies calculations to harmonic values only, due to lack of ab initio anharmonic data on MeSNO.

## C. Results and Discussion

1. Structure of MeSNO

In recent studies, ${ }^{49,67}$ accurate FPD-based schemes were applied to investigate the structure and properties of the simplest S-nitrosothiol, HSNO (thionitrous acid). Further improvement of the FPD scheme by applying the explicitly correlated coupled cluster theory ${ }^{58,59}$ allowed a dramatic improvement in computational efficiency in the key building block of this modular scheme, frozencore CCSD(T)/CBS evaluation of the geometric parameters and electronic energy, of HSNO and its protonated and de-protonated forms. ${ }^{71}$

Sensitivity of the RSNO structure to the applied level of theory is the main issue in the accurate electronic structure calculations of RSNOs. ${ }^{67,106}$ For example, SN bond length in the more stable trans-HSNO converges slowly with the increase of the basis set size from AVDZ (1.903 Å) to AV5Z (1.841 Å) at the same CCSD(T) level of theory, as shown in Ref. ${ }^{67}$ Further use of $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{CBS}$ extrapolation formula ${ }^{107,108}$ revealed the S-N bond length limit in trans-HSNO as 1.837 Å. ${ }^{49}$ Recently, ${ }^{71}$ we used explicitly correlated CCSD(T)-F12a method to drastically increase computational efficiency of ab initio calculations: in trans-HSNO, even a small double-zeta basis set VDZ-F12, comparable in size to AVDZ, produced a S-N bond lenght, reasonably close to CCSD(T)/CBS limit of $1.838 \AA$. These developments are applied in the present work to MeSNO, which is significantly more taxing from the computational viewpoint.

Cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO conformations, analogously to previously
studied cis-HSNO and trans-HSNO, ${ }^{49,67}$ were studied in this work. Geometric parameters of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO, optimized with FPD approach, are shown in Tables 3 and 4, correspondingly.

Table 3. Cis-MeSNO, ab initio geometrical properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})$, <br> $\AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO}$, <br> $\circ$ | $\angle \mathrm{CSN}$, <br> $\circ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ- <br> F12 | 1.797 | 1.193 | 1.791 | 117.44 | 102.16 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VTZ- <br> F12 | 1.796 | 1.192 | 1.792 | 117.41 | 102.22 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ- <br> F12 | 1.795 | 1.191 | 1.792 | 117.42 | 102.28 |
| CBS(T-Q) | 1.794 | 1.191 | 1.791 | 117.42 | 102.33 |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 1(\mathrm{MIDI!)}$ | 0.016 | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 2(c \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pV}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{Z})$ | 0.023 | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta \mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pCVTZ-F12)}$ | -0.007 | -0.002 | -0.004 | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{SR}(\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ-DK})$ | 0.005 | -0.001 | 0.002 | -0.06 | -0.12 |
| CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ | 1.814 | 1.189 | 1.789 | 117.45 | 102.31 |

Table 4. Trans-MeSNO, ab initio geometrical properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})$, <br> $\AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO}$, <br> $\circ$ | $\angle \mathrm{CSN}$, <br> $\circ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ- <br> F12 | 1.802 | 1.189 | 1.798 | 115.63 | 94.82 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VTZ- <br> F12 | 1.801 | 1.189 | 1.798 | 115.64 | 94.90 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ- <br> F12 | 1.800 | 1.188 | 1.798 | 115.62 | 94.95 |
| CBS(T-Q) | 1.799 | 1.188 | 1.797 | 115.62 | 94.98 |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 1(\mathrm{MIDI!)}$ | 0.020 | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta$ (Q)2(cc-pV(D+d)Z) | 0.026 | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta \mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pCVTZ-F12)}$ | -0.005 | -0.002 | -0.005 | 0.001 | 0.10 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{SR}(\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVQZ-DK)}$ | 0.004 | -0.001 | 0.002 | -0.06 | -0.10 |
| CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ | 1.824 | 1.186 | 1.795 | 115.56 | 94.98 |

Here, we used CCSD(T)-F12a method in combination with VnZ-F12 basis sets ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Q}$ ), optimized for explicitly correlated wavefunctions, to perform geometry optimization of MeSNO conformers. Similarly to the HSNO, ${ }^{71}$ we anticipated a significant acceleration in geometry convergence to the CBS limit with basis set increase. In fact, the most computationally efficient CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ-F12 method in the current work overestimated the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length in trans-MeSNO and cisMeSNO only by $0.003 \AA \AA$ from the corresponding CBS values (Figure 5). At CCSD(T)F12a/CBS level, the S-N bonds in MeSNO are noticeably shorter (1.794 Å for cisMeSNO, 1.799 Å for trans-MeSNO) than in HSNO (1.821 Å for cis-HSNO, 1.837 Å for trans-HSNO with $\left.\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{CBS}^{49}\right)$.


Figure 5. S-N bond length ( $\AA$ ) dependence on the size of the basis set in cis-MeSNO.


Figure 6. Recommended ab initio geometrical properties of cis-MeSNO (A), trans-MeSNO (B), and MeSNO cis-trans isomerization TS (C). Values are based on CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q) geometries, enhanced by corrections for CCSDT(Q) S-N bond change $\triangle Q$, core-valence $\triangle C V$ and scalarrelativistic $\triangle S R$ geometry corrections

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in HSNO and MeSNO are less sensitive to the basis set size even with the conventional $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ method, and converge almost instantly to the CBS limit with CCSD(T)/F12a. For example, in HSNO, the CCSD(T)/AVTZ value ( $1.183 \AA$ Å) reasonably approximates the $\operatorname{CCSD}(T) / C B S ~ l i m i t ~(1.180 ~ A ̊) . ~ I n ~ M e S N O, ~$ even the smallest basis set VDZ-F12 provides an acceptable N-O bond value of 1.193 $\AA$, with corresponding CBS limit of 1.191 Å. In MeSNO conformers, C-S bonds and all valence angles similarly demonstrate low sensitivity to the applied level of theory. Calculated at CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ-F12 level C-S bond length value mathes the one calculated with the extrapolated CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS scheme: $1.791 \AA$.

Remaining contributions to geometrical parameters of cis-MeSNO and transMeSNO from other components in the FPD approach are also shown in Tables 3 and 4. The $0.023 \AA$ post-CCSD(T)/CBS correction to the S-N bond length in cis-MeSNO ( $0.026 \AA$ in trans-MeSNO) arose from the accounting for perturbative quadruple corrections in the coupled cluster theory, CCSDT(Q). Due to the extremely high cost of the CCSDT(Q) calculations, we only performed limited optimization of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO, while keeping all other geometry variables frozen. Generally shorter in comparison with HSNO, the S-N bond in MeSNO also appears to be less sensitive to the level of excitation in the coupled cluster theory: S$N$ bond elongation due to $\Delta(Q)$ effect in cis-HSNO is $0.034 \AA, 0.033 \AA$ in transHSNO. ${ }^{49}$

Previously, ${ }^{67,71}$ we demonstrated weak dependence of $\Delta(T)$ and $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ contributions to the HSNO parameters on the basis set size, such as S-N bond lengths, $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ homolytic BDEs, relative stability of cis-HSNO and trans-HSNO. In this work, we also observe that the $\Delta(Q)$ contribition to the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length in MeSNO, calculated with smaller MIDI! and larger cc-pV(D+d) basis sets, are in good agreement with each other ( $0.016 \AA$ and $0.023 \AA$ in cis-MeSNO, $0.020 \AA$ and $0.026 \AA$ in trans-MeSNO). Core-valence and scalar-relativistic effects in FPD scheme oppose each other in predicting accurate S-N bond length in cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO. $\Delta C V$ in cis-MeSNO shortens the S-N bond by $0.007 \AA$ A , and $\Delta$ SR elongates it by 0.005 $\AA \AA(-0.005 \AA \AA$ and $0.004 \AA$ in trans-MeSNO).
2. Stability of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO

The tendency of RSNOs to adapt planar conformations of the SNO fragment is evident from experimental ${ }^{109-111}$ and theoretical ${ }^{67,112}$ investigations. Hindered rotation around an elongated S-N bond has become one of the hallmarks of the SNO group, revealing its complex electronic structure ${ }^{112}$. The simplest S-nitrosothiol, HSNO, has been repeatedly shown to be more stable in the trans-conformation. ${ }^{49,67-}$ 70

In the case of MeSNO, the possibility of cis-trans isomerism was proposed as early as in 1961 by Phillippe, ${ }^{113}$ while measuring the IR spectra of MeSNO in the gas phase. He compared the methylnitrite (MeONO), which can exist in cis- and transforms, and thionitrite molecules, based on the same number of atoms, symmetry and bonding pattern. Later, in 1968, Christensen et al. ${ }^{114}$ observed cis-trans conformational change in MeSNO in low-temperature proton NMR experiment. Muller and Huber, ${ }^{115}$ in a later work conformed cis-trans isomerism of MeSNO with the low-temperature argon matrix isolation technique and FTIR.

Here, we report FPD energy components of the relative stability of cisMeSNO and trans-MeSNO (Table 5). To evaluate an accurate CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS limit of electronic energy, we used Schwenke-style 2-point scheme (T, Q) ${ }^{86,87}$ with separate convergence treatment of the explicitly correlated CCSD-F12a and conventional (T) components. As the result, both conformations have close electronic energies; cis-MeSNO has intrinsic stability advantage of $1.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ over trans-HSNO at CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS level. Conversely, recent data on $\mathrm{HSNO}^{49}$
with CCSD(T)/CBS (T, Q) indicate $0.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ stability advantage of trans-HSNO.
Further augmentation of the FPD scheme only marginally affected the results: $\triangle$ ZPE (harmonic) and $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ increased the relative stability of cis-MeSNO by 0.04 and $0.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, correspondingly. In HSNO, $\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ similarly contributed to the increased stability of trans-HSNO by 0.10 and and 0.01 kcal/mol. ${ }^{49}$ Contribution of perturbative quadruple excitations in coupled cluster theory, $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$, in case of MeSNO neutralized mentioned above additional stabilization of cis-conformation ( $-0.06 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). In HSNO, $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ contribution is similar, -0.10 kcal/mol. ${ }^{49}$ Scalar-relativistic correction, $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$, had no effect on relative stability of HSNO and MeSNO conformations.

Table 5. Ab Initio relative stability of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO

| Computational Method | $\Delta E$ (cis-trans), <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CCSD-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 0.74 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 1.15 |
| $\Delta$ ZPE (CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q), Harmonic) | 0.04 |
| $\Delta$ CV (cc-pCVTZ-F12) | 0.01 |
| $\Delta$ SR (cc-pVQZ-DK) | 0.00 |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 1$ (S-N bond fixed, MIDI!) | -0.04 |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 2(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond fixed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | -0.03 |
| $\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 3(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond relaxed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | -0.06 |
| Reference (CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q)+ | 1.15 |
| $+\Delta Z P E+\Delta$ Anharmonic+ $\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta$ SR $+\Delta(\mathrm{Q}) 3)$ |  |

Table 6. DFT solvent corrections

| Method (with def2- <br> TZVPPD basis set) | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> water), kcal/mol | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> diethylether), <br> kcal/mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| PBE0 | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| PBE0-GD3 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| PBE0-1/3 | -0.05 | -0.04 |
| فB97XD | -0.07 | -0.05 |


| B2PLYP | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2PLYPD | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MPW2PLYP | -0.03 | -0.03 |
| MPW2PLYPD | -0.03 | -0.02 |
| Average | -0.02 | -0.02 |

Evaluation of solvent influence on the relative stability of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO at DFT/PCM level of theory exposed its limited sensitivity to both presence and nature of the solvent (trans-MeSNO stability was increased by 0.02 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ on average in water and diethylether, see Table 6). Thereby, we recommend a value of $1.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ as the measure of stability of cis-conformation of MeSNO over trans-MeSNO in gas phase, $1.13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in solution. For comparison, in Ref. ${ }^{115}$, authors were able to experimentally assign $\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}$ (cis-trans) as $1.34 \pm 0.19 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, using the matrix isolation technique.

## 3. Conformational dynamics of MeSNO

Isomerization reaction between two planar conformations of RSNOs (cis- and trans-conformations), an intrinsic feature of the partially double $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, is discussed in experimental ${ }^{115,116}$ and computational ${ }^{49}$ studies. Here, we use an FPD approach to locate and characterize the transition state of MeSNO cis-trans isomerization. Table 7 contains corresponding FPD geometrical and energetic parameters of such a transition state. As we can see, a significantly elongated S-N bond, as well as a near-square CSNO dihedral angle, are the two most distinct features of the TS structure.

Table 7. MeSNO cis-trans isomerization TS, ab initio geometrical properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-$ <br> $\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-$ <br> $\mathrm{O}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-$ <br> $\mathrm{S}), \AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO}$, <br> $\circ$ | $\angle \mathrm{CSN}$, <br> $\circ$ | $\angle \mathrm{CSNO}$, <br> $\circ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ- <br> F12 | 1.956 | 1.169 | 1.808 | 113.08 | 90.71 | 85.63 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VTZ-F12 | 1.957 | 1.168 | 1.809 | 113.06 | 90.69 | 85.49 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ- <br> F12 | 1.956 | 1.168 | 1.809 | 113.07 | 90.79 | 85.43 |
| CBS(T-Q) | 1.955 | 1.167 | 1.809 | 113.07 | 90.85 | 85.39 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 1(\mathrm{MIDI!})$ | 0.016 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2(c \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pV}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{Z})$ | 0.026 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\Delta \mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pCVTZ-F12)}$ | -0.007 | -0.002 | -0.004 | 0.02 | 0.10 | -0.01 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{SR}($ cc-pVQZ-DK) | 0.006 | -0.001 | 0.002 | -0.03 | -0.18 | 0.06 |
| CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ | 1.980 | 1.165 | 1.806 | 113.06 | 90.77 | 85.44 |

Indeed, the calculated $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length in the transition state at the CCSD(T)F12a/CBS level is noticeably elongated compared to the most stable conformation, cis-MeSNO, by 0.161 Å (1.955 Å vs. $1.794 \AA$ A). Similarly, S-N bond elongation during isomerization reaction of $\mathrm{HSNO}^{49,71}$ at the CCSD(T)/CBS level ${ }^{49}$ is $0.175 \AA$, and 0.174
$\AA$ at CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12 level. ${ }^{71}$ More rigid $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in MeSNO, as it will be shown later, led to consequent increase of the activation barrier height in comparison to HSNO.

Another major contribution in the FPD approach is the effect of quadruple excitations in coupled cluster theory, $\Delta(Q)$. With all basis sets (MIDI! and cc$\mathrm{pV}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{Z})$, the further elongation of the S-N bond is observed (by $0.016 \AA$ with MIDI!, and $0.026 \AA$ by cc-pV(D+d)Z). Core-valence effect $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ and scalar-relativistic effect $\Delta$ SR are comparable in magnitude ( $\sim 0.01 \AA$ ) and nearly compensate each other. In this work, we recommend $1.980 \AA$ as the reference value of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in MeSNO isomerization transition state. The CSNO dihedral angle in isomerization TS converges comparatively faster on the basis set size at CCSD(T) level, for HSNO and MeSNO. With all corrections applied, recommended value for MeSNO is $85.44^{\circ}$, noticeably smaller than $88.02^{\circ}$ in HSNO. ${ }^{49}$

The activation barrier of cis-trans MeSNO interconversion was calculated as $12.65 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the gas phase using FPD approach (Table 8). Preceding evaluation of cis-trans isomerization of HSNO yielded noticeably lower value of the activation barrier, $9.52 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .^{49}$ Increased MeSNO isomerization barrier is likely has an electronic origin, due to more efficient stabilization of planar conformations by the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituent compared to H . More detailed analysis of these effects will be reported elsewhere. In the final FPD value of the activation energy, the major counteracting contributors to the fundamental CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS value are $\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ and $\Delta(Q)$ effects: $\Delta$ ZPE lowers activation energy by $0.55 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ whereas $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ increases it by $0.56 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. In $\mathrm{HSNO},{ }^{49} \Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ more significantly lowered the
barrier by $0.83 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ contribution remained at similar to MeSNO value of $0.54 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} . \Delta \mathrm{CV}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ effects were less significant, and also nearly neutralized each outer in MeSNO and HSNO cases. Polar and non-polar solvents, as shown in Table 9, pull the activation barrier in different directions: polar solvent (water) on average increases the barrier by $0.57 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas a less polar solvent (diethylether) decreases the barrier by $0.12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. For comparison, Arulsamy et al. ${ }^{111}$ evaluated the conformational dynamics of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ with low-temperature ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR technique, and obtained 11.1 and 10.7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ rotational barrier heights, respectively.

Table 8. Ab Initio activation energy of cis-trans MeSNO isomerization

| Computational Method | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E^{\neq} \text {(cis-trans) } \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CCSD-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 11.93 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 12.61 |
| $\Delta$ ZPE (CCSD (T)-F12a/ CBS(T-Q), Harmonic) | -0.55 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ (cc-pCVTZ-F12) | 0.10 |
| $\Delta$ SR (cc-pVQZ-DK) | -0.07 |
| $\Delta$ Q1 (S-N bond fixed, MIDI!) | 0.46 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2$ (S-N bond fixed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | 0.47 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 3$ (S-N bond relaxed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | 0.56 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference (CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q)+ } \\ +\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}+\Delta \mathrm{Q} 3) \end{gathered}$ | 12.65 |

Table 9. DFT solvent corrections

| Method (with def2- <br> TZVPPD basis set) | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> water), kcal/mol | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> diethylether), <br> kcal/mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP | 0.51 | -0.17 |
| PBE0 | 0.51 | -0.14 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 0.55 | -0.14 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 0.61 | -0.10 |
| فB97XD | 0.61 | -0.10 |
| B2PLYPD | 0.52 | -0.15 |
| MPW2PLYP | 0.60 | -0.10 |


| MPW2PLYPD | 0.61 | -0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 0.57 | -0.12 |

4. $\quad \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ BDE in MeSNO

An unusually long and weak S-N bond in RSNOs is the key reason of lability of these species in biochemistry. Primary and secondary RSNOs are reported to have half-lives from seconds to minutes, ${ }^{117}$ which poses additional challenges for the experimental assessment, which increases the importance of accurate theoretical predictions. Here, we use an FPD approach to evaluate S-N BDE in MeSNO, in the gas phase and in solution. Components of the recommended FPD BDE value are listed in Table 10. The CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS value of MeSNO S-N BDE is $34.20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, noticeably higher than S-N BDE in HSNO at a comparable level of theory ${ }^{67}$ ( $31.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{CBS}$ ). Accounting for the harmonic $\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ significantly lowers the BDE by $2.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for MeSNO, and $2.71 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for HSNO. ${ }^{67}$ Second largest component of S-N BDE, $\Delta(Q)$ effect, partially neutralizes $\Delta$ ZPE increment (by $1.33 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for MeSNO, and approx. $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for HSNO). We also included into consideration the anharmonicity of bond vibrations for MeSNO, which adds an extra $0.38 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the BDE. The $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ contribution in MeSNO and HSNO, next in the order of importance, reduces S-N BDE by 0.41 and $0.35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, correspondingly. The least significant $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ contributions decreases SN BDE of MeSNO by $0.02 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, but increases it for HSNO by $0.05 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table 10. Ab Initio S-N BDE in cis-MeSNO

| Computational Method | $D_{0}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CCSD-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 27.63 |
| CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q, Schwenke) | 34.20 |
| $\Delta$ ZPE (CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS Harmonic) | -2.79 |
| $\Delta$ Anharmonic, MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD | 0.38 |
| $\Delta$ CV (cc-pCVTZ-F12) | -0.02 |


| $\Delta$ SR (cc-pVQZ-DK) | -0.41 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ SO (MRCI/AVQZ) | -0.18 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 1$ (S-N bond fixed, MIDI!) | 0.95 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 2(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond fixed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | 0.99 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Q} 3$ (S-N bond relaxed, cc-pV(D+d)Z) | 1.33 |
| Reference (CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS(T-Q) <br> $+\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}+\Delta$ Anharmonic $+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{SR}+\Delta \mathrm{SO}+\Delta \mathrm{Q} 3)$ | 32.4 |

Interestingly, we observed an example of an interplay between a Jahn-Teller effect and the relativistic spin-orbit coupling effect in case of MeS. radical. The more symmetrical ( $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ point group) structure of MeS• radical is less stable than MeS• structure with lower symmetry point group $\left(\mathrm{C}_{s}\right)$, according to the Jahn-Teller theorem. MeS radical in $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ form has spatially degenerate electronic ground state (Figure 7), and undergoes geometry distortion that removes that degeneracy.


Figure 7. Degenerate 2A' and 2A"electronic states of MeS• radical ( $C_{3 v}$ symmetry).

In the $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{MeS}$ - geometry, all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are equivalent, but in the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}-$ symmetry structure one of them is elongated (Figure 8). At the CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZF12 level of theory, this distortion leads to $0.26 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ stabilization. Less symmetric MeS• radical structure was also free from imaginary frequencies. The energy gap between non-degenerate ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ " states in $\mathrm{C}_{s}$-symmetry MeS• radical is $1.54 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $\mathrm{MRCI} /$ aug-cc-pV(Q+d)Z level.


Figure 8. Non-degenerate $2 A^{\prime}$ and $2 A$ "electronic states of MeS• radical after Jahn-Teller distortion (Cs symmetry). C-H bond distances are calculated at the CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12a level of theory.

Spin-orbit coupling effect is usually most important for the open-shell species, and increases with the increase of the nuclear mass (therefore, MeS• radical is expected to have a non-zero $\Delta \mathrm{SO}$ value). In case of HSNO, for the linear HS• radical two ${ }^{2} \prod$ states and one ${ }^{2} \Sigma$ state were included in the SO calculation, which resulted in $0.48 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ stabilizaion of HS• radical. ${ }^{67}$ In MeSNO, SO stabilization of MeS• radical is only $0.18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, calculated with MRCI/aug-cc-pV(Q+d)Z using CCSD(T)-F12a/VQZ-F12 geometry.

To estimate the solvent effect on MeSNO BDE, we used polarized-continuum DFT calculations (Table 11). As the result, we observed further decrease of the S-N BDE, by $0.17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in water and by $0.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in diethylether. Therefore, we recommend the S-N BDE value for MeSNO as $32.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in gas phase, 32.2
$\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in solution. The latest FPD value of S-N BDE for HSNO in gas phase is 29.4 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than in $\mathrm{MeSNO}^{49}$. Bartberger et al. ${ }^{36}$ previously reported calculated $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ BDEs $\approx 30 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in (using the CBS-QB3 methodology ${ }^{119}$ ) a number of physiologically relevant RSNOs, and pointed out that thermal stability of aliphatic RSNOs should be similar to organic peroxides.

Table 11. DFT solvent corrections to S-N BDE in cis-MeSNO

| Method (with def2- <br> TZVPPD basis set) | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> water), kcal/mol | $\Delta$ Solvent (PCM, <br> diethylether), <br> kcal/mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP | -0.22 | -0.19 |
| PBE0 | -0.22 | -0.18 |
| PBE0-GD3 | -0.21 | -0.17 |
| PBE0-1/3 | -0.13 | -0.12 |
| $\omega B 97 X D$ | -0.14 | -0.13 |
| B2PLYP | -0.20 | -0.18 |
| B2PLYPD | -0.19 | -0.17 |
| MPW2PLYP | -0.12 | -0.12 |
| MPW2PLYPD | -0.11 | -0.12 |
| Average | -0.17 | -0.15 |

MeSNO was first characterized in the gas phase by IR spectroscopy as early as in 1961 by Philippe. ${ }^{113}$ At the time, only a few fundamental frequencies in the spectrum were assigned, and the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibration frequencies were identified as $655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1534 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, correspondingly. Judging by the absence of doubled IR bands in the spectrum, which is typical for cis- and trans conformations of nitrous acid and methylnitrite, he suggested that MeSNO exists only in cis-conformation in the gas phase.

Later, in 1968, Christensen at al. ${ }^{114}$ found an evidence of conformational isomerism in MeSNO using proton NMR at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the IR spectra, they were able to tentatively assign S-N-O bending at $375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ frequency, $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching at $734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{N}-0$ stretching at $1530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

In 1984, Muller and Huber ${ }^{115}$ used FT-IR in argon matrix at 12 K , and reported the IR spectra of both cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO conformations. According to this study, prior attempts to find both conformations at the room temperature failed due to low natural abundance of the less stable trans-MeSNO, approx. $10 \%$. The reported difference in the position of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ band for trans- and cis-MeSNO is $21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $1548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for trans-MeSNO, $1527 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for cis-MeSNO), while the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ vibrational frequency was identified as $376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in cis-MeSNO, $371 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans-MeSNO.

Here, we present an accurate vibrational frequencies and zero-point vibrational energies (ZPEs) of cis- and trans-MeSNO conformers, using coupled
cluster and DFT methodology. The list of calculated and experimental ${ }^{115}$ vibrational modes, as well as ZPEs of cis-MeSNO are shown in Table 12, for trans-MeSNO - in Table 14. We adopted computationally feasible FPD approach to calculate the vibrational frequencies. First, harmonic modes were calculated using $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})-$ F12a/VnZ ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Q}$ ) methodology, and then extrapolated to the CBS limit via a 2point extrapolation scheme ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Q}$ ). Even at the simplest level of theory (explicitlycorrelated CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ-F12), vibrational frequencies were already near the CBS limit. The ZPE values for cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO with CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZF12 is higher than the CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS limit only by $0.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

To account for the anharmonic correction, we used a double-hybrid DFT method MPW2PLYPD with def2-TZVPPD basis set, implemented in Gaussian 09 program package. ${ }^{56}$ As mentioned in Ref. ${ }^{47}$, the anharmonic contribution can be evaluated by lower levels of theory, as a correction to corresponding accurate and expensive ab initio harmonic value. It has been suggested to use at least CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ or similar in accuracy harmonic frequencies, and supplement them with the anharmonic corrections, calculated with the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ approximation. ${ }^{47}$ Anharmonic ab initio frequency calculations require multiple evaluations of the Hessian matrix, and although we were previously able to perform anharmonic coupled cluster calculations, ${ }^{71}$ they are not yet computationally feasible for a larger MeSNO molecule.

The final predicted values of vibrational frequencies of cis-MeSNO and transMeSNO, as well as their ZPEs, are listed in Tables 12 and 14, correspondingly. Tables 13 and 15 demonstrate harmonic and anharmonic vibrational frequencies of MeSNO
conformers (Table 13- cis-MeSNO, Table 15 - trans-MeSNO) using DFT methods in Gaussian 09, namely double-hybrid MPW2PLYPD and dispersion-corrected PBE0GD3.

Table 12. Cis-MeSNO vibrational frequencies

| Mode | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VD <br> Z-F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VTZ <br> -F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VQ <br> Z-F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CB <br> S(T-Q) | Anharmonic <br> correction <br> (MPW2PLYP <br> D/def2- <br> TZVPPD) | Final <br> FPD <br> value | Expt. <br> 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A" | 92.0 | 88.4 | 86.2 | 84.6 | -39.6 | 45.1 | - |
| 2 A' | 281.2 | 281.0 | 280.5 | 280.1 | -10.0 | 270.1 | 268.0 |
| 3 A" | 290.2 | 290.8 | 290.9 | 291.0 | -4.8 | 286.2 | - |
| 4 A' <br> (S-N) | 400.6 | 399.1 | 399.5 | 399.7 | -1.5 | 398.2 | 376.0 |
| 5 A' | 663.3 | 662.4 | 662.4 | 662.4 | -9.5 | 652.9 | 649.0 |
| 6 A' | 756.4 | 754.0 | 754.2 | 754.3 | -16.4 | 737.9 | 731.5 |
| 7 A' | 964.0 | 963.2 | 962.8 | 962.5 | -22.8 | 939.7 | - |
| 8 A" | 973.4 | 970.9 | 970.8 | 970.8 | -12.8 | 958.0 | 940.0 |
| 9 A' | 1340.2 | 1335.6 | 1334.8 | 1334.3 | -35.2 | 1299.1 | 1298.0 |
| 10 A" | 1480.4 | 1475.4 | 1476.4 | 1477.2 | -41.3 | 1436.0 | 1428.5 |
| 11 A' | 1485.3 | 1480.3 | 1480.6 | 1480.8 | -44.7 | 1436.1 | 1455.0 |
| 12 A' <br> (N-0) | 1571.5 | 1572.2 | 1573.9 | 1575.1 | -33.2 | 1541.9 | 1527.0 |
| 13 A' | 3032.1 | 3027.8 | 3028.2 | 3028.4 | -96.8 | 2931.6 | 2910.0 |
| 14 A' | 3128.9 | 3126.1 | 3126.8 | 3127.3 | -137.3 | 2990.0 | 2928.0 |
| 15 A" | 3163.6 | 3160.8 | 3158.7 | 3157.2 | -145.3 | 3011.9 | 2932.0 |
| ZPE, | 28.1 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | -0.9 | 27.1 | - |

Table 13. DFT vibrational frequencies for cis-MeSNO (with def2-TZVPPD basis set)

| Mode | MPW2PLYPD, <br> Harmonic | MPW2PLYPD, <br> Anharmonic | PBE0- <br> GD3, <br> Harmonic | PBE0-GD3, <br> Anharmonic | Expt. <br> 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A" | 101.6 | 62.0 | 105.7 | 76.4 | - |
| 2 A' | 266.3 | 256.4 | 264.6 | 259.4 | 268.0 |
| 3 A" | 303.1 | 298.3 | 311.1 | 305.7 | - |
| 4 A' (S-N) | 388.4 | 386.9 | 424.1 | 421.2 | 376.0 |
| 5 A' | 666.8 | 657.3 | 677.1 | 667.9 | 649.0 |
| 6 A' | 744.8 | 728.4 | 759.9 | 742.8 | 731.5 |
| 7 A' | 969.9 | 947.1 | 953.2 | 937.9 | - |
| 8 A" | 974.9 | 962.2 | 958.2 | 939.9 | 940.0 |
| 9 A' | 1346.5 | 1311.4 | 1321.9 | 1293.2 | 1298.0 |
| 10 A" | 1484.0 | 1442.8 | 1456.5 | 1417.0 | 1428.5 |
| 11 A' | 1488.2 | 1443.5 | 1461.6 | 1420.5 | 1455.0 |
| 12 A' (N- <br> 0) | 1578.3 | 1545.1 | 1666.5 | 1639.5 | 1527.0 |
| 13 A' | 3043.8 | 2947.0 | 3031.7 | 2926.3 | 2910.0 |
| 14 A' | 3141.4 | 3004.1 | 3129.6 | 2994.3 | 2928.0 |
| 15 A" | 3176.4 | 3031.1 | 3164.7 | 3021.3 | 2932.0 |
| ZPE, <br> kcal/mol | 28.1 | 27.2 | 28.1 | 27.3 | - |

Table 14. Trans-MeSNO vibrational frequencies

| Mode | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VD <br> Z-F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VT <br> Z-F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/VQZ <br> -F12 | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CB <br> S (T-Q) | Anharm. <br> correction <br> (MPW2PLY <br> PD/def2- <br> TZVPPD) | Final <br> FPD <br> value | Expt. <br> 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A" | 121.7 | 119.7 | 119.6 | 119.5 | -17.3 | 102.2 | - |
| 2 A' | 227.9 | 227.7 | 227.6 | 227.5 | -6.9 | 220.6 | 234.5 |
| 3 A" | 236.1 | 235.7 | 235.6 | 235.6 | -11.1 | 224.5 | - |
| 4 A' <br> (S-N) | 394.2 | 393.5 | 393.9 | 394.2 | -7.6 | 386.5 | 371.0 |
| 5 A' | 670.3 | 669.3 | 669.5 | 669.6 | -9.5 | 660.1 | 651.0 |
| 6 A' | 753.2 | 751.0 | 751.5 | 751.8 | -14.7 | 737.1 | 736.5 |
| 7 A' | 972.6 | 971.0 | 994.1 | 1011.0 | -16.3 | 994.7 | - |
| 8 A" | 996.5 | 994.4 | 970.8 | 953.5 | -17.8 | 935.7 | 971.5 |
| 9 A' | 1358.5 | 1353.5 | 1353.0 | 1352.6 | -32.9 | 1319.7 | 1314.0 |
| 10 A" | 1471.9 | 1468.5 | 1468.4 | 1468.3 | -41.7 | 1426.6 | 1441.0 |
| 11 A' | 1498.3 | 1493.1 | 1493.9 | 1494.5 | -42.4 | 1452.1 | 1456.0 |
| 12 A' | 1590.7 | 1590.9 | 1592.4 | 1593.5 | -31.8 | 1561.8 | 1548.0 |
| (N-0) | 30.3 |  | 3045.6 | 3046.2 | -98.2 | 2948.0 | 2909.0 |
| 13 A' | 3049.3 | 3044.7 | 3045.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 A' | 3142.9 | 3140.1 | 3141.4 | 3142.3 | -138.9 | 3003.4 | 2928.5 |
| 15 A" | 3162.2 | 3159.9 | 3154.5 | 3150.6 | -144.2 | 3006.4 | 2931.5 |
| ZPE, <br> kcal/mol | 28.1 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | -0.9 | 27.1 | - |

Table 15. DFT vibrational frequencies for trans-MeSNO (with def2-TZVPPD basis set)

| Mode | MPW2PLYP <br> D, Harmonic | MPW2PLYPD, Anharmonic | PBE0GD3, Harmonic | PBE0-GD3, Anharmonic | Expt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 129.5 | 112.1 | 131.4 | 112.5 | - |
| $2 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 235.0 | 228.1 | 237.8 | 230.7 | 234.5 |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 239.0 | 227.9 | 242.4 | 224.6 | - |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N})$ | 384.5 | 376.9 | 409.5 | 402.9 | 371.0 |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 671.5 | 662.0 | 683.6 | 674.8 | 651.0 |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 742.2 | 727.5 | 758.8 | 744.6 | 736.5 |
| $7 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 980.3 | 964.0 | 959.5 | 945.6 | - |
| $8 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1000.2 | 982.3 | 984.3 | 965.4 | 971.5 |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1366.3 | 1333.4 | 1339.0 | 1309.6 | 1314.0 |
| 10 A | 1476.5 | 1434.8 | 1449.4 | 1406.5 | 1441.0 |
| $11 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1502.2 | 1459.8 | 1475.3 | 1432.8 | 1456.0 |
| $12 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N}-$ <br> 0) | 1604.3 | 1572.5 | 1698.3 | 1670.1 | 1548.0 |
| $13 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3063.0 | 2964.8 | 3051.0 | 2943.9 | 2909.0 |
| $14 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3157.1 | 3018.2 | 3145.2 | 3008.6 | 2928.5 |
| 15 A" | 3176.9 | 3032.6 | 3164.5 | 3023.4 | 2931.5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ZPE, } \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 28.2 | 27.3 | 28.2 | 27.3 | - |

We compared our final theoretical values for vibrational frequencies with the experimental data of Muller and Huber. ${ }^{115}$ The majority of bands are in good agreement, including the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrations. The experimental and calculated frequencies of the S-N bond stretch are below $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for cis-MeSNO $\left(398.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ calculated, $376.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ experimental) and trans-MeSNO ( $386.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ calculated, 371.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ experimental). The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrations used in Ref. ${ }^{115}$ to distinguish between the cis- and trans-conformations of MeSNO, are also in good agreement (1541.9 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ calculated, $1527 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ measured for cis-MeSNO; $1561.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ calculated, $1548.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ measured for trans-MeSNO). Remarkably, the experimental difference in frequencies of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibration for cis- and trans-MeSNO ( $21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), is accurately
reproduced with our FPD scheme (19.9 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ).
The analysis of the anharmonic corrections to vibrational frequencies reveals that the S-N mode in MeSNO is well described at harmonic level $\left(-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ correction to the harmonic value in cis-MeSNO, $-7.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans-MeSNO). We compared two DFT methods, MPW2PLYPD and PBE0-GD3, with the same basis set def2-TZVPPD, and both methods gave similar results ( $388.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $424.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the harmonic S-N vibration, $1578 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1666.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the harmonic $\mathrm{N}-0$ vibration in cis-MeSNO) (Tables 13 and 15).

In previous work, ${ }^{71}$ we applied an automated vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF) and VCI procedures ${ }^{120,121}$ to calculate the anharmonic vibrational frequencies of HSNO conformations in Molpro ${ }^{76}$ code, using explicitly-correlated $a b$ initio CCSD(T)-F12a method with aug-cc-pV(D+d)z basis set (which is similar to the VDZ-F12 basis set, used in the present work). In the case of HSNO, similarly to MeSNO, the S-N bond was found to have mostly harmonic character: the anharmonic correction in trans-HSNO is -6.1 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, in cis-HSNO -9.9 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

On the other hand, the anharmonic correction to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrational mode is significantly larger, $-33.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for cis-MeSNO and $-31.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans-MeSNO. In the case of HSNO, ${ }^{21}$ ab initio anharmonic correction was calculated as $-23.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{in}$ trans-conformer, and -19.9 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in cis-conformer.

The overall effect of anharmonic contribution lowers the ZPEs of MeSNO conformers by $0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for cis-MeSNO and for trans-MeSNO. This value is considerably larger comparing to the observed anharmonic effect in other small molecules, which rarely exceeds $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{46,47}$

## 6. $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond energy profiles

In order to gain further insight into the properties of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, we performed a series of $a b$ initio energy scans along the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in cis-MeSNO, transMeSNO and the cis-trans isomerization TS. The vibrational frequency of S-N stretching in MeSNO is determined to be below $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, according to both experimental ${ }^{115}$ and our computational results (Tables 12, 14), yet it demonstrates surprisingly harmonic behavior - the anharmonic correction is only $-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in cisMeSNO, $-7.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans-MeSNO. To explore this surprising finding, we tested the performance of different ab initio methods, and applied the FPD protocol to obtain an accurate $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond energy profile in MeSNO. Those values can be used as the reference data to benchmark the performance of different DFT methods.

As previously mentioned, in traditional coupled cluster method, the contribution of perturbative triple excitations $\Delta(\mathrm{T})$ has almost no dependence on the basis set size when applied to the S-N bond length and BDE. ${ }^{67}$ Previously, ${ }^{71}$ we demonstrated that the contribution of perturbative quadruple excitations, $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$, also weakly depends on the basis set size for the same set of the S-N bond properties. Here, we are adding to the set a newly developed distinguishable cluster approximation DCSD, ${ }^{104,105}$ implemented in Molpro 2015.1 code, to compare its performance to traditional CCSD and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ methods. The DCSD method has been shown to have a computational cost comparable to the CCSD method, but promises better accuracy. ${ }^{104,105,122}$

In Tables 16-18, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond PES energy values are listed with the corresponding bond distances for cis-MeSNO, trans-MeSNO and the isomerizaion TS , correspondingly. In all cases, the shortest $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is predicted by the CCSD method (S-N bond distance $1.87 \AA$ in cis-MeSNO, $1.86 \AA$ in trans-MeSNO, $2.00 \AA \AA$ in the TS). Interestingly, the distinguishable cluster approximation, DCSD, performs almost as good as the more computationally expensive $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ method for cisMeSNO and trans-MeSNO (minimum at the same 1.90 Å scan point), and significantly outperforms CCSD method in the case of transition state (2.05 and 2.00 Å, correspondingly). Further increase of the level of excitations in the coupled cluster method leads to continuing lengthening of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. Non-perturbative treatment of triple excitations (CCSDT method) in the case of cis-MeSNO and transMeSNO increased the S-N bond length by $0.01 \AA$ A, but had no effect on already elongated $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in the TS. The application of perturbative quadruple excitations CCSDT(Q) for the MeSNO system is limited, due to significantly longer CPU times and increased demand for high-capacity and high-throughput storage on the computational nodes. With the cc-pV(D+d) basis set, we observed further elongation of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond from the CCSDT(Q) level for all MeSNO cases.

Table 16. PES scan (kcal/mol) for the S-N bond in cis-MeSNO

| $r(S-N), \AA$ | CCSD | DCSD | CCSD(T) | CCSDT | CCSDT(Q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.750 | 1.881 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.760 | 1.541 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.770 | 1.241 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.780 | 0.978 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.790 | 0.751 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.800 | 0.556 | 1.102 | 1.179 | 1.319 | - |
| 1.810 | 0.393 | 0.874 | 0.945 | 1.072 | - |
| 1.820 | 0.260 | 0.675 | 0.740 | 0.854 | - |
| 1.830 | 0.156 | 0.505 | 0.563 | 0.665 | - |
| 1.840 | 0.080 | 0.362 | 0.413 | 0.501 | - |
| 1.850 | 0.029 | 0.244 | 0.288 | 0.363 | 0.530 |
| 1.860 | 0.003 | 0.151 | 0.187 | 0.249 | 0.392 |
| 1.870 | 0.000 | 0.081 | 0.108 | 0.158 | 0.277 |
| 1.880 | 0.020 | 0.033 | 0.052 | 0.088 | 0.183 |
| 1.890 | 0.061 | 0.007 | 0.016 | 0.039 | 0.110 |
| 1.900 | 0.122 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.055 |
| 1.910 | 0.203 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.020 |
| 1.920 | 0.302 | 0.044 | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.001 |
| 1.930 | 0.419 | 0.092 | 0.061 | 0.033 | 0.000 |
| 1.940 | 0.553 | 0.158 | 0.116 | 0.074 | 0.015 |
| 1.950 | 0.702 | 0.239 | 0.185 | 0.131 | 0.044 |
| 1.960 | 0.867 | 0.335 | 0.270 | 0.203 | 0.089 |
| 1.970 | 1.047 | 0.447 | 0.369 | 0.289 | 0.147 |
| 1.980 | 1.241 | 0.572 | 0.481 | 0.389 | 0.218 |
| 1.990 | 1.447 | 0.710 | 0.606 | 0.502 | 0.302 |
| 2.000 | 1.667 | 0.861 | 0.744 | 0.627 | 0.398 |

Table 17. PES scan (kcal/mol) for the S-N bond in trans-MeSNO

| $r(S-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | CCSD | DCSD | CCSD(T) | CCSDT | CCSDT(Q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.750 | 1.608 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.760 | 1.306 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.770 | 1.039 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.780 | 0.807 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.790 | 0.608 | - | - | - | - |
| 1.800 | 0.440 | 0.981 | 1.104 | 1.258 | - |
| 1.810 | 0.301 | 0.774 | 0.886 | 1.026 | - |
| 1.820 | 0.190 | 0.593 | 0.695 | 0.821 | - |
| 1.830 | 0.106 | 0.440 | 0.530 | 0.642 | - |
| 1.840 | 0.047 | 0.311 | 0.390 | 0.487 | - |
| 1.850 | 0.012 | 0.206 | 0.273 | 0.356 | 0.540 |
| 1.860 | 0.000 | 0.123 | 0.178 | 0.247 | 0.406 |
| 1.870 | 0.010 | 0.062 | 0.104 | 0.159 | 0.293 |
| 1.880 | 0.041 | 0.022 | 0.050 | 0.091 | 0.200 |
| 1.890 | 0.091 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.043 | 0.126 |
| 1.900 | 0.160 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.069 |
| 1.910 | 0.248 | 0.016 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.030 |
| 1.920 | 0.352 | 0.050 | 0.020 | 0.004 | 0.007 |
| 1.930 | 0.473 | 0.099 | 0.054 | 0.024 | 0.000 |
| 1.940 | 0.610 | 0.165 | 0.104 | 0.060 | 0.008 |
| 1.950 | 0.761 | 0.245 | 0.168 | 0.110 | 0.029 |
| 1.960 | 0.927 | 0.340 | 0.246 | 0.174 | 0.065 |
| 1.970 | 1.107 | 0.448 | 0.337 | 0.252 | 0.113 |
| 1.980 | 1.300 | 0.570 | 0.441 | 0.342 | 0.174 |
| 1.990 | 1.504 | 0.704 | 0.557 | 0.445 | 0.246 |
| 2.000 | 1.721 | 0.851 | 0.684 | 0.559 | 0.330 |

Table 18. PES scan (kcal/mol) for the S-N bond in MeSNO cis-trans isomerization TS

| $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | CCSD | DCSD | $\operatorname{CcSD}(\mathrm{T})$ | CCSDT | CCSDT(Q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.900 | 0.848 | 1.725 | - | - | - |
| 1.910 | 0.673 | 1.482 | - | - | - |
| 1.920 | 0.521 | 1.261 | - | - | - |
| 1.930 | 0.390 | 1.060 | - | - | - |
| 1.940 | 0.279 | 0.880 | - | - | - |
| 1.950 | 0.188 | 0.718 | 1.003 | - | - |
| 1.960 | 0.116 | 0.575 | 0.837 | - | - |
| 1.970 | 0.062 | 0.449 | 0.688 | - | - |
| 1.980 | 0.025 | 0.340 | 0.555 | - | - |
| 1.990 | 0.004 | 0.247 | 0.438 | - | - |
| 2.000 | 0.000 | 0.170 | 0.336 | 0.389 | 0.553 |
| 2.010 | 0.011 | 0.108 | 0.249 | 0.296 | 0.442 |
| 2.020 | 0.037 | 0.061 | 0.175 | 0.216 | 0.345 |
| 2.030 | 0.077 | 0.027 | 0.115 | 0.150 | 0.262 |
| 2.040 | 0.130 | 0.007 | 0.068 | 0.096 | 0.190 |
| 2.050 | 0.197 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.055 | 0.131 |
| 2.060 | 0.276 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.025 | 0.083 |
| 2.070 | 0.368 | 0.022 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.047 |
| 2.080 | 0.471 | 0.051 | $\begin{gathered} 0.000 \\ (2.075 \AA) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.021 |
| 2.090 | 0.586 | 0.091 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.006 |
| 2.100 | 0.711 | 0.142 | 0.031 | 0.016 | $\begin{gathered} 0.000 \\ (2.101 \AA) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2.110 | - | 0.203 | 0.062 | 0.038 | 0.004 |
| 2.120 | - | 0.274 | 0.102 | 0.070 | 0.017 |
| 2.130 | - | 0.354 | 0.151 | 0.111 | 0.039 |
| 2.140 | - | 0.443 | 0.209 | 0.160 | 0.069 |
| 2.150 | - | 0.541 | 0.275 | 0.217 | 0.107 |
| 2.160 | - | 0.648 | 0.349 | 0.283 | 0.152 |
| 2.170 | - | 0.763 | 0.431 | 0.355 | 0.205 |
| 2.180 | - | 0.885 | 0.519 | 0.435 | 0.265 |
| 2.190 | - | 1.015 | 0.615 | 0.521 | 0.332 |
| 2.200 | - | 1.152 | 0.717 | 0.614 | 0.405 |

Graphical representation of the calculated one-dimensional $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond PES is shown on Figures 9-11. As mentioned above, the increase in number of excitations in coupled cluster theory, as well as the quality of treatment of those excitations, leads to elongation of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. The most significant change in the bond length occurs after changing to DCSD from CCSD method, or switching from CCSD to CCSD(T). Further systematic increase in excitations level leads to slower changes in the bond lengths, which is similar to the convergence behavior with the increasing basis set size within those methods.


Figure 9. Cis-MeSNO ab initio S-N bond PES, non-normalized ( $A$ ) and normalized ( $B$ ), with cc$p V(D+d)$ basis set.


Figure 10. Trans-MeSNO ab initio S-N bond PES, non-normalized (A) and normalized (B), with cc$p V(D+d)$ basis set.


Figure 11. MeSNO cis-trans isomerizaiton TS ab initio S-N bond PES, non-normalized (A) and normalized ( $B$ ), with cc-pV(D+d) basis set.

To evaluate the influence of the post-CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS corrections in the FPD scheme on the S-N bond PES, we separately investigated the (Q), $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ effects. We performed a series of single-point energy calculations along the S-N bond of cis-MeSNO, the results are presented in Table 19 and Figure 12.

Table 19. Ab Initio PES scan (kcal/mol) for the S-N bond in cis-MeSNO

| $r(S-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $\Delta E$, CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})$ | $\Delta E$, CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ | $\Delta E$, CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ | $\Delta E$, CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta S R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.700 | 1.379 | 1.705 | 1.200 | 1.445 |
| 1.710 | 1.077 | 1.374 | 0.921 | 1.136 |
| 1.720 | 0.818 | 1.084 | 0.683 | 0.870 |
| 1.730 | 0.598 | 0.834 | 0.485 | 0.644 |
| 1.740 | 0.416 | 0.621 | 0.323 | 0.455 |
| 1.750 | 0.270 | 0.442 | 0.196 | 0.302 |
| 1.760 | 0.157 | 0.297 | 0.102 | 0.182 |
| 1.770 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.039 | 0.093 |
| 1.780 | 0.024 | 0.097 | 0.006 | 0.034 |
| 1.790 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| 1.800 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| 1.810 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.065 | 0.021 |
| 1.820 | 0.083 | 0.016 | 0.133 | 0.066 |
| 1.830 | 0.157 | 0.053 | 0.222 | 0.132 |
| 1.840 | 0.252 | 0.112 | 0.332 | 0.220 |
| 1.850 | 0.367 | 0.189 | 0.462 | 0.328 |
| 1.860 | 0.500 | 0.285 | 0.610 | 0.455 |
| 1.870 | 0.652 | 0.398 | 0.775 | 0.599 |
| 1.880 | 0.820 | 0.527 | 0.957 | 0.760 |
| 1.890 | 1.003 | 0.672 | 1.154 | 0.936 |
| 1.900 | 1.202 | 0.831 | 1.366 | 1.128 |

The position of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length minima in cis-MeSNO is found to be relatively more sensitive to the $\Delta(Q)$ corrections, comparing to $\Delta C V$ and $\Delta S R$.

Therefore, an ideal FPD scheme, tailored to predict the most accurate structures of RSNOs, should account for excitations beyond triple in the coupled cluster theory.


Figure 12. Ab Initio PES scan (kcal/mol) for the S-N bond in cis-MeSNO

Overlapping the positions of the minima in Figures 9-11 allowed us to evaluate the shape of S-N bond PES, and reproducibility of the results, obtained with the coupled cluster methods of increasing level of complexity. As the result, we observe no significant change in the shape of the PES at different levels of theory. This leads us to the conclusion, that harmonic S-N bond character prevails, and the anharmonic corrections can be omitted at the first approximation for the corresponding ZPE calculations.

This is an important conclusion, because the remarkably low $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond vibrational frequency in RSNOs leads to unusually high contribution of $\triangle$ ZPE in computed reaction barriers and reaction energies when this bond is converted into other, more typical covalent bonds ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) .{ }^{49}$ Therefore, a higher anharmonicity of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond could create a significant problem for accurate RSNO reaction energies. Our results suggest that the harmonic approximation is sufficient in this case.
7. Benchmarking of DFT methods

To assist further evaluations of the accuracy of more approximate methods (DFT, in the present work) we applied a variation of the FPD approach to generate a set of reference data on MeSNO structure and properties. The main difference from the described above FPD schemes is the omission of $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SO}$ effects, which are not implemented in DFT methods, available in Gaussian 09. Inclusion of the $\Delta \mathrm{SR}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{SO}$ corrections to the reference data can lead to false results in evaluation of the performance of DFT methods. Tables 20-22 contain the FPD reference data, and corresponding results of DFT calculations with larger and more accurate def2TZVPPD basis set, and smaller and more computationally efficient def2-SV(P)+d.

In cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO, the most accurate S-N bond lengths (def2TZVPPD basis set) were obtained with double-hybrid B2PLYP and B2PLYPD methods. For example, the S-N bond length in cis-MeSNO, calculated with the FPD approach, and B2PLYP/def2-TZVPPD method, differs only by $0.001 \AA$ (1.810 $\AA$ with the FPD, 1.811 Å with B2PLYP). In the isomerization TS, MPW2PLYP and MPW2PLYPD double hybrids perform among the best: $1.949 \AA$ S-N bond length with the FPD, $1.960 \AA$ Aith MPW2PLYP and $1.960 \AA$ i̊ with MPW2PLYPD.

In reproducing S-N BDE, PBE 0 and $\mathrm{PBE} 0-\mathrm{GD} 3$ methods demonstrate an excellent performance ( $32.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ reference value, $31.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ obtained with PBE0/def2-TZVPPD), while double-hybrid DFT methods tend to underestimate the BDE value (by $2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the best case of B2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD combination).

Table 20. Cis-MeSNO, ab initio and DFT properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-0), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}), \AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO},{ }^{\circ}$ | $D_{0}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBS(T- <br> $\mathrm{Q})+\Delta \mathrm{Q}+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ | 1.810 | 1.189 | 1.787 | 117.51 | 32.67 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.816 | 1.182 | 1.800 | 117.83 | 28.92 |
| PBE0 | 1.779 | 1.179 | 1.782 | 117.91 | 31.83 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.779 | 1.179 | 1.783 | 117.98 | 32.54 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.760 | 1.176 | 1.778 | 118.14 | 28.86 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.767 | 1.181 | 1.789 | 118.12 | 28.94 |
| B2PLYP | 1.811 | 1.190 | 1.794 | 117.61 | 29.21 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.812 | 1.190 | 1.796 | 117.72 | 29.92 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.794 | 1.188 | 1.792 | 117.79 | 28.32 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.795 | 1.188 | 1.794 | 117.87 | 28.83 |
|  | DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.830 | 1.181 | 1.797 | 117.68 | 29.64 |
| PBE0 | 1.795 | 1.177 | 1.780 | 117.85 | 31.58 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.794 | 1.177 | 1.782 | 117.92 | 32.27 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.769 | 1.174 | 1.778 | 118.17 | 28.28 |
| $\omega B 97 X D$ | 1.774 | 1.181 | 1.788 | 118.06 | 28.92 |
| B2PLYP | 1.825 | 1.187 | 1.792 | 117.62 | 26.86 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.826 | 1.187 | 1.794 | 117.72 | 27.57 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.807 | 1.185 | 1.790 | 117.82 | 26.16 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.807 | 1.185 | 1.792 | 117.89 | 26.67 |

In the case of the relative energy of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO, PBE0 and double-hybrids B2PLYP and MPW2PLYP perform among the best with a larger def2TZVPPD basis set, but all DFT methods tend to overestimate the relative stability of cis-MeSNO with a smaller def2-SV(P)+d basis set. Isomerization barrier height in the MeSNO molecule is better reproduced with the double-hybrids MPW2PLYP and MPW2PLYPD.

Table 21. Trans-MeSNO, ab initio and DFT properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-0), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}), \AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO},{ }^{\circ}$ | $\Delta E_{\text {(cis-trans), }}^{\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBS(T- <br> Q) $+\Delta \mathrm{Q}+\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{ZPE}$ | 1.820 | 1.186 | 1.793 | 115.62 | 1.13 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.827 | 1.177 | 1.805 | 116.50 | 0.82 |
| PBE0 | 1.790 | 1.175 | 1.788 | 116.45 | 1.10 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.791 | 1.175 | 1.789 | 116.45 | 1.34 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.772 | 1.172 | 1.784 | 116.23 | 1.06 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.779 | 1.177 | 1.793 | 115.84 | 1.05 |
| B2PLYP | 1.819 | 1.186 | 1.800 | 116.50 | 1.10 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.821 | 1.186 | 1.802 | 116.46 | 1.35 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.803 | 1.183 | 1.798 | 116.31 | 1.11 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.804 | 1.183 | 1.799 | 116.29 | 1.29 |
|  | DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.847 | 1.175 | 1.802 | 116.83 | 1.35 |
| PBE0 | 1.813 | 1.171 | 1.786 | 116.85 | 1.59 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.814 | 1.171 | 1.787 | 116.84 | 1.82 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.790 | 1.169 | 1.783 | 116.59 | 1.55 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.791 | 1.176 | 1.792 | 116.11 | 1.54 |
| B2PLYP | 1.842 | 1.181 | 1.798 | 116.94 | 1.50 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.843 | 1.181 | 1.800 | 116.91 | 1.74 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.823 | 1.179 | 1.795 | 116.72 | 1.55 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.824 | 1.179 | 1.797 | 116.70 | 1.73 |

Table 22. MeSNO cis-trans isomerization TS, ab initio and DFT properties

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~N}-0)$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})$, <br> $\AA$ | $\angle \mathrm{SNO},{ }^{\circ}$ | $\angle \mathrm{CSNO}$, <br> $\circ$ | $\Delta E^{\neq}$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)- <br> F112a/CBS(T- <br> Q)+ Q + $\Delta \mathrm{CV}+\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ <br> PE | 1.949 | 1.166 | 1.805 | 113.09 | 85.42 | 12.71 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.987 | 1.155 | 1.818 | 113.84 | 85.39 | 13.70 |
| PBE0 | 1.939 | 1.154 | 1.800 | 113.71 | 85.08 | 14.62 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.939 | 1.153 | 1.801 | 113.72 | 85.28 | 14.66 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.912 | 1.152 | 1.795 | 113.67 | 85.00 | 14.22 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.924 | 1.157 | 1.805 | 113.51 | 85.70 | 13.35 |
| B2PLYP | 1.984 | 1.163 | 1.814 | 113.63 | 85.04 | 13.65 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.985 | 1.162 | 1.816 | 113.65 | 85.34 | 13.71 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.960 | 1.161 | 1.811 | 113.62 | 85.06 | 13.56 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.961 | 1.161 | 1.812 | 113.62 | 85.30 | 13.60 |
|  | DFT methods with | def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 2.009 | 1.157 | 1.815 | 113.57 | 86.14 | 14.42 |
| PBE0 | 1.966 | 1.153 | 1.799 | 113.49 | 85.77 | 15.16 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.966 | 1.153 | 1.799 | 113.51 | 86.01 | 15.19 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.936 | 1.151 | 1.794 | 113.48 | 85.74 | 14.72 |
| فB97XD | 1.942 | 1.158 | 1.803 | 113.30 | 86.55 | 14.02 |
| B2PLYP | 2.009 | 1.162 | 1.810 | 113.46 | 85.92 | 13.99 |
| B2PLYPD | 2.010 | 1.162 | 1.812 | 113.49 | 86.22 | 14.04 |
| MPW2PLYP | 1.984 | 1.161 | 1.807 | 113.43 | 86.00 | 13.96 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.985 | 1.161 | 1.808 | 113.44 | 86.24 | 13.99 |

An accurate one-dimensional S-N bond PES was generated with the use of FPD approach, to test the performance of selected DFT methods (Figures 13-16).

The FPD scheme included CCSD(T)-F12a/CBS energies, augmented with the $\Delta(\mathrm{Q})$ an $\Delta \mathrm{CV}$ corrections. In all cases, the best performing methods are MPW2PLYP and MPW2PLYPD, with a larger def2-TZVPPD basis set and a smaller def2-SV(P)+d basis
set. The worst performing DFT method is PBE0-1/3, which systematically underestimates the $S-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths.


Figure 13. S-N bond energy profile (non-normalized) in cis-MeSNO, calculated with FPD protocol (Coupled Clusters) and DFT methods (using def2-TZVPPD basis set)


Figure 14. S-N bond energy profile (normalized) in cis-MeSNO, calculated withFPD protocol (Coupled Clusters) protocol and DFT methods (using def2-TZVPPD basis set)


Figure 15. S-N bond energy profile (non-normalized) in cis-MeSNO, calculated with FPD protocol (Coupled Clusters) and DFT methods (using def2-SV(P)+d basis set)


Figure 16. S-N bond energy profile (non-normalized) in cis-MeSNO, calculated with FPD protocol (Coupled Clusters) and DFT methods (using def2-SV(P)+d basis set)

The important harmonic vibrational modes of cis-MeSNO and trans-MeSNO
(Tables 23-26) are, on average, better reproduced with the double-hybrid DFT
methods (B2PLYPD and MPW2PLYPD). For example, the closest to the FPD reference harmonic S-N vibration frequency in cis-MeSNO ( $399.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) is calculated with the MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD approach ( $388.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The corresponding $\mathrm{N}-$ 0 vibration is also in excellent agreement with the reference: $1571.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with the FPD, $1578.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with the MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD. We do not recommend using a smaller basis set, def2-SV(P)+d, for the accurate calculations: with all DFT methods, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrational frequency is significantly overestimated: in the worst case, a frequency of $1756.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was calculateed with the PBE0-GD3/def2-SV(P)+d combination vs. $1575.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ calculated with FPD.

Table 23. Cis-MeSNO harmonic vibrational frequencies (with def2-TZVPPD basis set)

| Mode | B3LYP | PBE0-GD3 | $\omega$ B97XD | B2PLYPD | MPW2PLY <br> PD | CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/CBS <br> (T-Q) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A" | 105.4 | 105.7 | 77.7 | 103.7 | 101.6 | 84.6 |
| 2 A' | 252.5 | 264.6 | 256.4 | 261.3 | 266.3 | 280.1 |
| 3 A" | 298.4 | 311.1 | 303.4 | 301.3 | 303.1 | 291.0 |
| 4 A' (S-N) | 376.6 | 424.1 | 444.4 | 370.1 | 388.4 | 399.7 |
| 5 A' | 658.0 | 677.1 | 673.8 | 662.8 | 666.8 | 662.4 |
| 6 A' | 728.9 | 759.9 | 757.3 | 738.6 | 744.8 | 754.3 |
| 7 A' | 959.3 | 953.2 | 960.2 | 963.0 | 969.9 | 962.5 |
| 8 A" | 962.5 | 958.2 | 971.6 | 967.4 | 974.9 | 970.8 |
| 9 A' | 1333.5 | 1321.9 | 1337.6 | 1338.0 | 1346.5 | 1334.3 |
| 10 A" | 1467.8 | 1456.5 | 1472.4 | 1475.2 | 1484.0 | 1477.2 |
| 11 A' | 1472.2 | 1461.6 | 1476.7 | 1479.1 | 1488.2 | 1480.8 |
| 12 A' (N- <br> 0) | 1627.0 | 1666.5 | 1662.8 | 1553.7 | 1578.3 | 1575.1 |
| 13 A' | 3023.3 | 3031.7 | 3046.1 | 3023.2 | 3043.8 | 3028.4 |
| 14 A' | 3109.7 | 3129.6 | 3142.0 | 3123.9 | 3141.4 | 3127.3 |
| 15 A" | 3140.3 | 3164.7 | 3175.4 | 3161.2 | 3176.4 | 3157.2 |
| ZPE, <br> kcal/mol | 27.9 | 28.1 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 28.1 | 28.0 |

Table 24. Cis-MeSNO harmonic vibrational frequencies (with def2-SV(P)+d basis set)

| Mode | B3LYP | PBE0-GD3 | $\omega$ B97XD | B2PLYPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MPW2PLY } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCSD(T)- } \\ \text { F12a/CBS } \\ \text { (T-Q) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 147.2 | 145.7 | 117.8 | 142.5 | 136.4 | 84.6 |
| 2 A | 268.7 | 279.9 | 284.5 | 276.9 | 282.6 | 280.1 |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 303.5 | 316.0 | 310.2 | 304.7 | 307.2 | 291.0 |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N})$ | 369.3 | 408.9 | 440.1 | 359.2 | 380.9 | 399.7 |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 671.8 | 689.9 | 688.0 | 677.9 | 682.2 | 662.4 |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 740.9 | 768.6 | 769.1 | 751.4 | 757.8 | 754.3 |
| $7 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 966.2 | 967.5 | 977.7 | 978.3 | 984.5 | 962.5 |
| 8 A" | 969.5 | 970.1 | 977.8 | 982.4 | 988.3 | 970.8 |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1332.3 | 1331.9 | 1346.3 | 1353.2 | 1360.0 | 1334.3 |
| 10 A" | 1456.7 | 1456.3 | 1467.3 | 1473.8 | 1482.1 | 1477.2 |
| $11 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1457.7 | 1456.4 | 1468.5 | 1475.0 | 1483.2 | 1480.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N}- \\ & 0) \end{aligned}$ | 1714.5 | 1756.3 | 1745.0 | 1653.8 | 1674.9 | 1575.1 |
| $13 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 2996.6 | 3011.3 | 3024.0 | 3005.2 | 3028.5 | 3028.4 |
| $14 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3097.6 | 3125.5 | 3136.4 | 3118.2 | 3138.9 | 3127.3 |
| 15 A" | 3132.7 | 3166.4 | 3172.5 | 3158.6 | 3176.9 | 3157.2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ZPE, } \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | 28.1 | 28.4 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 28.4 | 28.0 |

Table 25. Trans-MeSNO harmonic vibrational frequencies (with def2-TZVPPD basis set)

| Mode | B3LYP | PBE0-GD3 | $\omega$ ©97XD | B2PLYPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MPW2PLY } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CCSD(T)- } \\ \text { F12a/CBS } \\ \text { (T-Q) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 130.0 | 131.4 | 117.3 | 131.3 | 129.5 | 119.5 |
| 2 A | 229.6 | 237.8 | 235.9 | 229.6 | 235.0 | 227.5 |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ | 236.1 | 242.4 | 241.3 | 239.3 | 239.0 | 235.6 |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N})$ | 372.5 | 409.5 | 424.0 | 368.0 | 384.5 | 394.2 |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 662.2 | 683.6 | 687.2 | 664.9 | 671.5 | 669.6 |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 726.8 | 758.8 | 757.0 | 735.3 | 742.2 | 751.8 |
| $7 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 965.5 | 959.5 | 970.6 | 974.3 | 980.3 | 1011.0 |
| 8 A" | 986.7 | 984.3 | 996.2 | 992.9 | 1000.2 | 953.5 |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1349.3 | 1339.0 | 1358.7 | 1358.5 | 1366.3 | 1352.6 |
| 10 A | 1460.4 | 1449.4 | 1465.3 | 1468.0 | 1476.5 | 1468.3 |
| $11 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1485.0 | 1475.3 | 1490.5 | 1493.5 | 1502.2 | 1494.5 |
| $12 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N}-$ <br> 0) | 1657.0 | 1698.3 | 1688.5 | 1582.3 | 1604.3 | 1593.5 |
| $13 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3039.2 | 3051.0 | 3061.4 | 3044.2 | 3063.0 | 3046.2 |
| $14 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3123.3 | 3145.2 | 3154.8 | 3139.5 | 3157.1 | 3142.3 |
| 15 A" | 3140.4 | 3164.5 | 3172.4 | 3160.8 | 3176.9 | 3150.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ZPE, } \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | 28.0 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 28.0 |

Table 26. Trans-MeSNO harmonic vibrational frequencies (with def2-SV(P)+d basis set)

| Mode | B3LYP | PBE0-GD3 | $\omega$ B97XD | $\begin{gathered} \text { B2PLYP } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MPW2PLY } \\ \text { PD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCSD(T)- } \\ \text { F12a/CBS } \\ \text { (T-Q) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A" | 146.9 | 148.6 | 135.1 | 146.5 | 143.7 | 119.5 |
| 2 A | 235.9 | 244.0 | 245.4 | 235.0 | 240.6 | 227.5 |
| 3 A | 247.8 | 254.5 | 248.6 | 251.3 | 250.3 | 235.6 |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N})$ | 369.8 | 400.7 | 422.8 | 362.4 | 379.9 | 394.2 |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 669.8 | 688.0 | 692.8 | 673.3 | 680.0 | 669.6 |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 739.4 | 768.3 | 769.3 | 750.0 | 757.8 | 751.8 |
| $7 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 971.0 | 970.5 | 979.9 | 986.8 | 992.5 | 1011.0 |
| 8 A" | 988.0 | 991.4 | 1001.1 | 1001.2 | 1007.6 | 953.5 |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1344.1 | 1343.7 | 1359.8 | 1367.2 | 1374.0 | 1352.6 |
| $10 \mathrm{~A}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1446.8 | 1445.8 | 1457.6 | 1463.7 | 1471.7 | 1468.3 |
| $11 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 1468.7 | 1469.8 | 1481.4 | 1487.2 | 1495.5 | 1494.5 |
| $\begin{gathered} 12 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N}- \\ 0) \end{gathered}$ | 1755.8 | 1797.6 | 1779.3 | 1695.8 | 1714.4 | 1593.5 |
| $13 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3015.9 | 3034.3 | 3041.1 | 3027.0 | 3047.9 | 3046.2 |
| $14 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ | 3114.1 | 3143.6 | 3152.4 | 3135.7 | 3155.7 | 3142.3 |
| 15 A" | 3131.6 | 3165.0 | 3170.2 | 3157.0 | 3175.4 | 3150.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ZPE, } \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | 28.1 | 28.4 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 28.4 | 28.0 |

## D. Conclusions

In this work, we for the first time applied highly accurate ab initio FPD protocol to investigate structure and properties of the MeSNO molecule. As the result, we gathered a set of the accurate properties of cis-MeSNO, trans-MeSNO and the cis-trans isomerization TS. This set includes geometric parameters (Figure 6), vibrational frequencies (Tables 12-14), relative energies of the cis- and transconformers (cis-MeSNO is more stable than trans-MeSNO by $1.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the gas phase, and by $1.13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in solution), $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond dissociation energy ( 32.4 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in gas phase, $32.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in solution), as well as the activation barrier of cis-trans isomerization (12.65 kcal/mol in the gas phase, $13.22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in water, $12.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in diethylether). We also for the first time assessed the anharmonicity of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond stretching vibration in MeSNO molecule: our results suggest that despite having unusually low stretching frequency (FPD value $398.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ in cis-MeSNO, and $386.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans-MeSNO) this bond has a small anharmonic character.

This dataset was used to benchmark the performance of DFT methods, and identify the most reliable ones to study the larger RSNOs, i.e. MPW2PLYP and MPW2PLYPD double hybrids for calculation of the geometric properties, vibrational frequencies and isomerization barriers, and PBE0 / PBE0-GD3 for the S-N BDEs.

## Chapter III: Proximal Charged Residues Regulate the Conformational Dynamics of S-Nitrosated Cysteine Residues in Proteins <br> A. Introduction

The biological importance of S-nitrosothiols (RSNOs), relatively unstable derivatives of thiols (RSH), have attracted significant attention in recent years. ${ }^{19,64,65,123}$ Although the ubiquity of regulated biological RSNO reactions is now well established, the atomic-level mechanisms governing those processes are yet to be fully understood. Experimental study of S-nitrosothiols is limited due to their thermal instability ${ }^{36}$ and only a handful of experimentally derived structural data points is available. ${ }^{67}$

S-Nitrosated cysteine residues in peptides and proteins act as storage pool of nitric oxide NO, a major gasotrasmitter (gaseous signaling molecule in vivo), and the regulated S-nitrosation/denitrosation of specific cysteine residues in proteins is involved in a multitude of key biological processes across all branches of the tree of life ${ }^{1,124}$, from immune response in plants to neurotransmission in humans. Moreover, the smallest RSNO—thionitrous acid HSNO—has been recently proposed to be a short-lived endogenous biological species involved in signaling processes. ${ }^{125-}$ 127

In the X-Ray structures, small-molecule RSNOs tend to adopt a planar conformation, with either cis- or trans-orientation along the S-N bond. ${ }^{109-111,116,128-}$ ${ }^{132}$ This phenomenon was previously rationalized, ${ }^{67}$ involving a resonance description of the -SNO group. Thus, one of the key resonance structures (D) in

RSNOs possesses a double bond between S and N atoms, therefore, suppressing free rotation around the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond (Figure 17):


Figure 17. Resonance description of the SNO group.

On the contrary, analysis of few in number crystallographic structures of Snitrosated proteins (Table 27) reveals multiple entries with non-planar CSNO dihedral angles in corresponding CysNO residues.

Table 27. Structural parameters of S-nitrosated Cys residues in proteins, according to X-Ray crystallographic studies.

| PDB code, protein name | $\begin{gathered} \text { X-ray } \\ \text { resolution, } \\ \AA \AA \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CysNO <br> residue | S-N <br> bond <br> length, Å | CSNO <br> dihedral angle, ${ }^{\circ}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BUW, human hemoglobin | 1.90 | Chain B, 93 | 1.717 | 87.5 | 133 |
|  |  | Chain D, 93 | 1.762 | 75.6 |  |
| 2CI1, <br> dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase | 1.08 | 83 | 1.646 | 69.5 | 134 |
| 2IIY, human thioredoxin | 1.70 | 62 | 1.759 | 1.3 | 135 |
|  |  | 69 | 1.803 | 0.7 |  |
| 2IFQ, human thioredoxin | 1.20 | Chain A, 67 | 1.783 | 1.2 |  |
|  |  | Chain C, 67 | 1.797 | 151.9 |  |
| 2HXK, human thioredoxin | 1.65 | Chain A, 62 <br> (1) | 1.806 | 0.4 |  |
|  |  | Chain A, 62 <br> (2) | 1.803 | 1.8 |  |
|  |  | Chain A, 69 | 1.805 | 0.5 |  |
|  |  | Chain B, 62 | 1.806 | 0.6 |  |
|  |  | Chain B, 69 | 1.840 | 2.5 |  |
|  |  | Chain C, 62 | 1.802 | 4.7 |  |
|  |  | Chain C, 69 <br> (1) | 1.806 | 179.1 |  |


|  |  | Chain C, 69 <br> (2) | 1.809 | 3.3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2NRM, blackfin tuna myoglobin | 1.09 | 10 | 1.799 | 3.1 | 136 |
| 3EU0, proteintyrosine phosphatase 1B | 2.70 | 215 | 1.665 | 41.1 | 137 |
| 2Y34, prolyl hydroxylase domaincontaining enzyme (PHD) isoform 2 (EGLN1) | 2.01 | 302 | 1.630 | 93.5 | 138 |
| 2Y33 | 2.00 | 302 | 1.788 | 76.1 | 138 |
| 3V4N, Entero- |  | Chain A, 111 | 1.632 | 145.4 |  |
| coccus faecalis |  | Chain B, 111 | 1.636 | 151.1 |  |
| hydroxymethyl- | 1.60 | Chain C, 111 | 1.631 | 4.9 | 139 |
| glutaryl-CoA synthase |  | Chain D, 111 | 1.628 | 42.9 |  |
| 4F0M, RuBisCO | 2.25 | 181 | 1.662 | 111.2 |  |
| 4FOM, RuBisCO |  | 460 | 1.655 | 75.7 |  |
| 4F0K, RuBisCO | 2.05 | 181 | 1.661 | 150.0 | 140 |
| 4F0K, RuBisCO | 2.05 | 460 | 1.656 | 91.0 |  |
| 4F0H, RuBisCO | 196 | 181 | 1.583 | 177.8 |  |
| 4FOH, RuBisCO | 1.96 | 460 | 1.652 | 73.5 |  |
| 4IAH, RuBisCO | 2.80 | 122 | 1.620 | 54.8 | 141 |
| 4005, human thioredoxin | 1.54 | 62 | 1.746 | 1.4 | Montfort et al. |
| 4L21, Cimex nitrophorin F64V | 1.65 | 60 | 1.824 | 0.2 | Montfort et al. |
| 4L20, Cimex nitrophorin A21V | 1.68 | 60 | 1.834 | 178.0 | Montfort et al. |
| 4RKY, protein deglycase DJ-1 | 1.50 | 106 | 1.618 | 159.8 | 33 |

In recent theoretical work, ${ }^{6}$ it was shown that complex and unique electronic structure of the SNO group, as well as reactivity and properties of RSNOs in general, can be dramatically altered by interaction with Lewis acids, suggesting a wide range
of possibilities for specific/general acid and metal-ion catalytic control of RSNO reactions. ${ }^{6,7,48,106,112,142} \mathrm{~A}$ coordinated Lewis acid changes the balance between the two antagonistic components ( $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ ) and thus changes RSNO reactivity: Scoordination promotes the ionic component and thus is expected catalyze N -atom directed nucleophilic attack/ $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$transfer, whereas O - and N -coordination should promote S-atom directed nucleophilic attack. ${ }^{101,103}$

An alternative mechanism of protein influence on the properties of SNO group is via an electric field effect, ${ }^{143}$ which can also shift the balance between $\boldsymbol{D}$ and I resonance structures and lead to a downstream effect of tunable reactivity.

In current work, we perform a computational study of some of the factors of protein environment on the conformational dynamics of the CysNO residue. Commonly used molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of S-nitrosated proteins rely on the predefined set of parameters (Force Field). Inaccurate description of the S-N bond torsion in CysNO can lead to diminished predictive quality of such calculations.

Due to the large size of a typical protein, computational cost of traditional electronic structure calculations is prohibitively high. Fortunately, the ONIOM family of hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics methods ${ }^{144,145}$ have been proven to be useful tools for the theoretical treatment of large molecular systems where different levels of theory applied to different parts of a molecule. In this model, a large molecule is divided into multiple fragments, allowing a combination of expensive QM and inexpensive classical molecular mechanics (MM) force field methods. For example, Figure $18{ }^{146}$ depicts an example of a 2 -layer separation in the

ONIOM model: Real system incorporates the whole molecule, and Model system only includes a small portion, where the highest precision is needed.


Figure 18. 2-layer ONIOM model ${ }^{1}$
The energy of such system is calculated according to the formula ${ }^{146}$ :

$$
E^{\mathrm{ONIOM}(\mathrm{QM}: \mathrm{MM})}=E_{\mathrm{model}}^{\mathrm{QM}}+E_{\mathrm{real}}^{\mathrm{MM}}-E_{\mathrm{model}}^{\mathrm{MM}}
$$

## B. Computational Details

All calculations in this study were performed with the Gaussian 09 package ${ }^{56}$. Hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations of $\alpha$-helix models were performed with the two-layer mechanical embedding ONIOM ${ }^{144}$ technique with inclusion of solvation effects (ONIOM-PCM) ${ }^{147}$ using diethyl ether $(\varepsilon$ $=4.24)$ solvent parameters to mimic the protein environment.

An artificial 18-residue $\alpha$-helix model, composed of alanine residues with CysNO at the 11th position, was generated using an in-house code based on the molecular modeling toolkit (MMTK) library ${ }^{148}$. A single charged residue (Lys, Arg,

His, Asp, Glu), or a pair of positively and negatively charged residues were placed at 1,3 , and 4 positions relative to CysNO.

High-level layer of the ONIOM model included charged residue and CysNO atoms (including corresponding side chain atoms) and was treated at Density Functional Theory (DFT) level, with either Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof hybrid functional ${ }^{94}$ (PBE0) or MPW2PLYPD double hybrid functional. ${ }^{93}$ Double-and triple- $\zeta$ basis sets def2-SV(P) and def2-TZVPPD by Weigend and Ahlrichs ${ }^{95}$ with diffuse functions by Rappoport and Furche ${ }^{149}$ were obtained from the EMSL Basis Set Exchange Database. ${ }^{82,150}$ The def2-SV(P) basis set was further augmented by a tight d function at the sulfur atom with $\zeta=2.994,69$ and the resulting basis set is denoted as def2-SV(P)+d. Low-level layer of the ONIOM model utilized molecular mechanics method with Amber94 force field. ${ }^{151}$ Custom force field parameters for the SNO group were adopted from the literature. ${ }^{6}$

All structures (both stationary points and the transition states) in the $\alpha$-helix model were subjected to fully relaxed optimization with no geometrical constraints, followed by harmonic frequency calculations, using PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d method for the high-level layer of the ONIOM model, followed buy the single-point electronic energy calculations with MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD formalism for the high-level layer of the ONIOM model. Nature of all stationary points was confirmed by the absence of imaginary frequencies (for the minima), or the presence of one characteristic imaginary frequency (for the transition states).

Simulations of the DJ-1 protein were performed with three-layer ONIOM ${ }^{144,145}$ technique. Gaussian 09 input files were generated from the X-ray
strcuture, PDB:4RKY ${ }^{33}$ using an in-house code based on the Molecular Modeling Toolkit (MMTK) library ${ }^{148}$. High-level layer of ONIOM included S-nitrosated Cys106 residue atoms and Glu18 atoms (including their side chains) and was treated at PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d level of theory for geometry optimization and harmonic frequency calculations, followed by MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD single-point calculations of the electronic energy. Medium layer, consisting of atoms in the direct proximity of Cys106 residues, was optimized with Hartree-Fock method ${ }^{152-154}$ with STO-3G basis set ${ }^{155,156}$ to accommodate for conformational changes of the SNO group. Low-level layer of the ONIOM model included the rest of the protein molecule, and used molecular mechanics method with Amber94 force field ${ }^{151}$. Lowlevel atoms was kept frozen during geometry optimization.

## C. Results and Discussion

1. Charged Residue Control of CysNO cis-trans Isomerization: An Artificial $\alpha$-Helix Model

It has been demonstrated previously ${ }^{6}$ that charged residues can significantly alter the electronic structure of the -SNO group. For instance, Talipov et al. ${ }^{6}$ with Natural Resonance Theory (NRT) ${ }^{157}$ determined that in free cis-MeSNO, resonance structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ have $22 \%$ and $10 \%$ weights at the PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d level of theory, correspondingly (Figure 19). In the same study, they demonstrated that coordination of truncated model of Lysine (Lys) residue at the 0 atom of the MeSNO molecule causes increase of the resonance weight of structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ to $39 \%$, and decrease in structure I to 2\%. Similarly, Lys coordination at the N atom of MeSNO leads to $30 \%$ resonance weight of structure $\boldsymbol{D}$, and $4 \%$ weight of structure $\boldsymbol{I}$. Coordination of Lys at S atom decreases the weight of structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ to $14 \%$ and increased contribution of structure I to 17\%.


Figure 19. Influence of positively (A) and negatilevy (B) charged aminoacids on the electronnic structure of the -SNO group. Adapted from Ref. ${ }^{6}$

Given that resonance weights of structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ are expected to correlate the double-bond character of the S-N bond in CysNO, they can also correlate with the cis-trans isomerization barrier height. Therefore, coordination of charged amino acid residues, resulting in change of the resonance weights of structures $\mathbf{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ in the -SNO group, should affect the conformational dynamics of the CysNO in proteins.

Indeed, in the case of truncated residue model, the barrier height for cistrans isomerization is significantly changed by coordination of a charged residue. Figure 20 shows such example. We used one of the best performing DFT functionals, MPW2PLYPD and PBE0, to calculate the cis-trans isomerization barriers in truncated residues model. Without Lys residue, coordinated at the $S$ atom, isomerization barrier was calculated as $13.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, but Lys coordination lowered it to $9.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 20. The transition state of cis-trans isomerization in truncated residues model (A CysNO with no charged residues present, B - truncated Lys is coordinated at the $S$ atom of CysNO), calculated at the MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD//PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d level

Although truncated models can be useful to understand the influence of charged residues on the barriers of cis-trans isomerizaion in a protein-like environment, in the real proteins the situation is more complex because of the variety of possible coordination modes, imposed by the secondary and tertiary structure of the protein. Therefore, to better assess the influence of spatial constraints on the CysNO conformational dynamics, we used an 18-residue $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model (Figure 21) of with CysNO at the $11^{\text {th }}$ position (Table 28). The $\alpha$-helix model allows us to search for the most prominent arrangement of charged residues, which can be searched for in the real or engineered proteins and studied experimentally.


- 'HIGH' layer (QM): PBEO/def2-SV(P)+d optimization and vibrational frequencies, MPW2PLYPD/def2-TZVPPD single-point energies
- 'LOW' layer (MM): Amber94 FF
- PCM (Diethyl Ether, $\varepsilon=4.24$ )

Figure 21. 18-residue $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model with two charged residues, coordinated to the CysNo.

Without charged residues, CysNO embedded in $\alpha$-helix shows similar properties to the truncated CysNO model ${ }^{6}$ : trans-conformation is more stable comparing to cis by $0.87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (compared to $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the truncated model), and the trans-conformation has a marginally longer S-N bond: $1.823 \AA$ comparing to 1.808 Å in cis-form (1.757 Å and 1.768 Å in truncated model, correspondingly).

Table 28. Sequence code (Ala and CysNO are denoted as ' $x$ ' and ' $C$ ', respectively), cis and transCysNO relative energies, $S-N$ bond lengths in optimized structures, CSNO dihedral angles, activation barriers of cis-trans isomerization reaction.

| Structure | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}\right)$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\neq}\right)$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | CSNO dihedral <br> angle, ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$-helix cis | 1.808 | - | - | 0.94 |
| $\alpha$-helix trans | 1.823 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 177.59 |
| $\alpha$-helix TS1 | 1.990 | 10.6 | 11.9 | 83.59 |
| $\alpha$-helix TS2 | 2.008 | 11.2 | 12.5 | -88.55 |
| KxxxCxxxx cis | 1.845 | - | - | 2.82 |
| KxxxCxxxx trans | 1.850 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 179.89 |
| KxxxCxxxx TS1 | 2.062 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 85.28 |
| KxxxCxxxx TS2 | 2.054 | 10.6 | 10.7 | -85.71 |
| xxxKCxxxx cis | 1.666 | - | - | 1.71 |
| xxxKCxxxx trans | 1.705 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 179.22 |
| xxxKCxxxx TS1 | 1.927 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 87.33 |
| xxxKCxxxx TS2 | 1.901 | 15.7 | 16.0 | -87.58 |
| xxxRCxxxx cis | 1.844 | - | - | 1.02 |
| xxxRCxxxx trans | 1.856 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 175.75 |
| xxxRCxxxx TS1 | 2.031 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 81.73 |
| xxxRCxxxx TS2 | 2.052 | 10.5 | 10.7 | -90.68 |
| xxxxCxxxK cis | 1.674 | - | - | 0.66 |


| xxxxCxxxK trans | 1.716 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 178.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xxxxCxxxK TS1 | 1.914 | 19.9 | 18.4 | 96.63 |
| xxxxCxxxK TS2 | 1.896 | 18.6 | 17.9 | -88.47 |
| ExxxCxxHx cis | 1.630 | - | - | 7.29 |
| ExxxCxxHx trans | 1.698 | 0.5 | -0.4 | 175.93 |
| ExxxCxxHx TS1 | 1.877 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 86.83 |
| ExxxCxxHx TS2 | 1.896 | 18.5 | 18.6 | -87.90 |
| ExxxCxxxK cis | 1.627 | - | - | 2.09 |
| ExxxCxxxK trans | 1.702 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 175.92 |
| ExxxCxxxK TS1 | 1.853 | 22.0 | 21.1 | 85.78 |
| ExxxCxxxK TS2 | 1.873 | 18.3 | 17.5 | -90.80 |
| KxxxCExxx cis | 1.624 | - | - | 7.06 |
| KxxxCExxx trans | 1.654 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 179.94 |
| KxxxCExxx TS1 | 1.855 | 29.9 | 28.8 | 87.43 |
| KxxxCExxx TS2 | 1.876 | 24.2 | 23.7 | -89.08 |
| KxxxCxxEx cis | 1.627 | - | - | 7.79 |
| KxxxCxxEx trans | 1.665 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 177.44 |
| KxxxCxxEx TS1 | 1.854 | 23.6 | 23.1 | 88.04 |
| KxxxCxxEx TS2 | 1.867 | 18.7 | 18.8 | -88.36 |
| xExxCxxKx cis | 1.629 | - | - | 7.15 |
| xExxCxxKx trans | 1.689 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 173.16 |
| xExxCxxKx TS1 | 1.856 | 23.7 | 25.2 | 85.96 |
| xExxCxxKx TS2 | 1.851 | 22.1 | 23.1 | -88.89 |
| xKxxCxxDx cis | 1.616 | - | - | 14.67 |
| xKxxCxxDx trans | 1.693 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 167.32 |
| xKxxCxxDx TS1 | 1.884 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 90.67 |
| xKxxCxxDx TS2 | 1.928 | 18.5 | 19.1 | -84.03 |

To estimate the barrier of CysNO isomerization, we located both transition states connecting cis- and trans-conformations (Figure 22). Each structure was characterized by calculation of the harmonic frequencies (no imaginary frequencies for cis and trans conformations, one imaginary frequency for each transition state).

Electronic energies of all structures were recalculated with MPW2PLYPD/def2-
TZVPPD formalism to achieve better accuracy as discussed previously. ${ }^{71}$


Figure 22. CysNO residue embedded in $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model (A - cis, B- trans conformations, C, $D$ - transition states). Highlighted: $S-N$ bond lengths, CSNO dihedral angles.

Figure 22 illustrates one of the key features of $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model comparing to truncated residues: the aminoacid backbone creates a noticeable anisotropy around the CysNO residue, previously not observed in the truncated model. Two transition state structures differ from each other in S-N bond lengths (1.990 Å and $2.008 \AA$ ) , CSNO dihedral angles ( $83.6^{\circ}$ and $-88.6^{\circ}$ ), as well as activation barriers (10.6 and $11.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively).

Isomerization reaction barriers of CysNO embedded in the $\alpha$-helix are in good agreement with both the experimental and theoretical results for model systems published in the literature. Arulsamy et al. ${ }^{111}$ reported $\Delta G^{\neq}$for cis-trans interconversion of tertiary $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSNO}$ as $10.7 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ determined via variable-temperature ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR experiment over the range of temperatures from +65 to $-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and in the same work $12.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ barrier was predicted for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ isomerizarion using B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level of theory. Bartberger et al. reported ${ }^{116}$ $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ of isomerization for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SNO}$ as $11.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ using similar ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR technique.

To investigate the effect of the proximal charged residues on the CysNO isomerization within $\alpha$-helix, we chose the structures from ${ }^{6}$ with maximum $\Delta R(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$ value, directly proportional to the strength of the residue influence on the -SNO group (Table 28).

Relative stability of cis and trans-CysNO was noticeably affected by the coordination of one charged residue to the -SNO group: trans-conformation was destabilized by more than $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in cases of KxxxCxxxx, xxxRCxxxx and xxxxCxxxK (in all models, Ala and CysNO are denoted as ' x ' and ' C ', respectively), and
virtually remained intact in case of xxxKCxxxx. No inversion of stability between cisCysNO and trans-CysNO was observed.

In contrast, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths, as well as activation barriers of isomerization reaction were altered in a more dramatic way. The one-residue model demonstrated the possibility of both weak enhancement and strong diminishing of rotation around the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, with noticeable anisotropy of the $\alpha$-helix environment still in place.

In case of KxxxCxxxx, cis-CysNO has elongated compared to non-coordinated CysNO S-N bond: $1.845 \AA$ Å vs. $1.808 \AA$. This effect is caused by the S-N bond destabilizing coordination of positively charged Lys residue near S atom of the SNO group (Figure 23). Both transition states also have elongated S-N bond compared to uncoordinated CysNO in the $\alpha$-helix: $2.054 \AA$ and $2.062 \AA$ ins. $1.990 \AA$ and $2.008 \AA$, and have lower isomerization barriers: and 9.6 and 10.6 kcal/mol vs. 10.6 and 11.2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Similar weak activation is observed in xxxRCxxxx model, with elongation of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds in cis-CysNO and both transition states.


Figure 23. KxxxCxxxx in cis (A), trans (B) conformations, and both transition states(C, D). Highlighted: $S$ - $N$ bond lengths, distance from charged residue to $S$ atom, CSNO dihedral angles.

This effect of positively charged residue coordination near $S$ atom of the CysNO isomerization transition state is similar to its coordination with cis-CysNO ${ }^{6}$ : increase in resonance weight of ionic structure I and corresponding decrease of the weight of structure D was linked to weaker S-N bond and more flexible CSNO dihedral angle in the SNO group.

In the case of xxxKCxxxx and xxxxCxxxK models, an opposite effect is observed: charged Lys residue caused contraction of the S-N bond in CysNO and corresponding restriction of its isomerization. In the xxxxCxxxK model, cisconformation has much shorter ( $1.674 \AA$ ) , and, therefore, more rigid CSNO torsion: $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ of cis-trans isomerization is $18.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(19.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the second TS$)$.

With two residues of an opposite charge embedded in the $\alpha$-helix model (see Table 28), more combinatorial possibilities lead to a greater structural flexibility and potential cooperativity effect on the CysNO. Thus, relative stability of cis-CysNO and trans-CysNO varies more strongly compared to one charged residue: in ExxxCxxHx, both conformations are closer in energy, with trans-CysNO becomes marginally more stable, judging by the $\Delta G$ value. ExxxCxxxK, xExxCxxKx and xKxxCxxDx models strongly favor cis-CysNO over the trans comformation (by 5.5, 5.8 and $5.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), and KxxxCExxx, KxxxCxxEx models demonstrate moderate increase in the relative stability of the cis-CysNO (by 3.0 and $2.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively).

Shorter S-N bond lengths in transition states for CysNO isomerization with 2 charged residues are correlated with higher barrier heights: the strongest inhibition of S-N bond rotation is observed in KxxxCExxx (24.2 and $30.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for two possible transition states, Figure 24) and xExxCxxKx (22.1 and $23.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) cases. Such increase in activation energy from more favorable non-coordinated CysNO transition state ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger} 11.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) to more favorable KxxxCExxx transition state $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger} 23.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ would result in more than an 8 orders of magnitude decrease of the corresponding reaction rate constant at 293 K .


Figure 24. KxxxCExxx in cis (A), trans (B) conformations, and both transition states (C, D). Highlighted: $S$-N bond lengths, distance from charged residue to $S$ atom, CSNO dihedral angles.

Another remarkable feature of coordination of the two charged residues $\alpha$ helix model is an increase in anisotropy of the CysNO environment: in case of KxxxCExxx model, rotation in one direction instead of another leads to a change in activation barrier over $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Similar preference of one isomerization pathway
over another is observed in ExxxCxxxK, KxxxCxxEx and xKxxCxxDx cases. Hence, even a small 18 -reside length $\alpha$-helix resembles one of the most characteristic features of the tightly regulated protein environment: steric control.

After collecting a pool of data for 1- and 2-residue models, dependence of the activation barriers on the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths in transition states emerged. Figures 25 and 26 illustrate this trend for $\Delta H^{\neq}$and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. Overall, a clear trend is observed, with a single outlier: one of the two KxxxCExxx transitions states. It was found that the steric clash of SNO group and $\alpha$-helix backbone resulted in disproportional increase of activation barrier in this case.


Figure 25. Linear regression results for $\Delta H^{\neq}$vs. $r(S-N)$ in TS (slope -63.4, intercept 139.3, $r^{2}$ 0.8705). An outlier (KxxxCExxx) structure is shown in red.


Figure 26. Linear regression results for $\Delta G^{\ddagger} v s . r(S-N)$ in TS (slope -60.7, intercept 134.2, $r^{2}$ 0.8437). An outlier (KxxxCExxx) structure is shown in red.

According to this model, significant decrease in activation barrier can be achieved at the S-N bond length of $2.1 \AA$ or higher in the transition state $(0.04 \AA$ bond length increase comparing to the non-coordinated CysNO transition state).

Despite the relative simplicity of the $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model, it revealed that charged residues significantly affect relative stability of cis- and transconformations of CysNO, as well as the barriers of their interconversion.
2. Protein Control of CysNO Conformation: The DJ-1 Protein Model

To further investigate the factors affecting isomerization of CysNO in the protein environment, a DJ-1 protein (PDB code 4RKY) was selected for current study. Recent X-Ray structure of DJ-1 by Choi et al. ${ }^{33}$ (resolution 1.5 Å) revealed an unusual trans-conformation of S-nitrosated Cys106 residue (CSNO dihedral angle $159.8^{\circ}$ ), contrary to most of the known reliable identified S-nitrosated cysteine residues in proteins detected by X-Ray crystallography (Table 27), which adopt a cis-form.

DJ-1, a small protein of 188 residues, is proposed by Choi et al. ${ }^{33}$ to be a trans-S-nitrosylase, an enzyme, capable of transferring the NO moiety to another proteins ${ }^{37}$. S-nitrosation of Cys106 in DJ-1 was shown in ${ }^{33,158}$ to be crucial in protection of neuronal cells from oxidative stress and, therefore, prevention of neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease, from development. In particular, Cys106 DJ-1 was S-nitrosated in vitro by exposing it to $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CysNO. ${ }^{33}$ Mutation of Cys106 abolished the effect of CysNO on SNO-DJ-1 formation.

It was previously found that DJ-1 contains ionizable acidic residue Glu18 in close proximity to the S-atom of S-nitrosated Cys106 (O...S distance $3.426 \AA$ ). ${ }^{158}$ Corresponding X-Ray crystallography reveal that Glu18 alters Cys106 acidity and creates more susceptible target for S-nitrosation by forming a hydrogen bond between deprotonated S atom of the Cys106 residue and protonated 0 atom of the carboxylic group of Glu18 (Figure 27). This hydrogen bond formation was accounted for significant depression of the pKa value of Cys106 (determined in this
study as $5.4 \pm 0.1$ ), by effectively stabilizing Cys106 thiolate anion in DJ-1. Based on our $\alpha$-helix ONIOM studies, S-nitrosated DJ-1 protein represents a promising model to study CysNO isomerization reaction in protein environment.


Figure 27. Crystal structure of the S-nitrosated Cys106 and proximal Glu18 residue in DJ-1 protein (Choi et al., 2014). Highlighted: $S-N$ bond length in CysNO106, distance from Glu18 to the $S$ atom of SNO group.

In this study, we created a three-layer ONIOM model from the PDB entry 4RKY using in-house Python code based on MMTK toolkit ${ }^{148}$. The model utilizes quantum-mechanical approach for the high-layer and middle-layer atoms, and
molecular mechanical approach for the low-layer atoms. S-nitrosated Cys106 and deprotonated Glu18 residues with corresponding side chains (high-layer atoms) are described with PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d methodology. Surrounding Cys106 residues (Cys106 pocket with the total of 26 residues, middle-layer atoms) with corresponding side chains were treated with HF/STO-3G approach, and the rest of the protein molecule was included into the computational scheme by Amber $94{ }^{151}$ force field. Due to the nature of the three-layer ONIOM model, high-level QM atoms are excluded from the MM layer, and, therefore, no external force field parameters were required to describe the properties of SNO group, contrary to the two-layer $\alpha$ helix ONIOM model.

Starting atomic coordinates for the ONIOM model of DJ-1 (Figure 27) were based on crystallographic data from 4RKY PDB file. After optimizing the positions of high- and medium-level atoms, we observe conservation of the trans-conformation of S-nitrosated Cys106: CSNO dihedral angle is $179.1^{\circ}$, and deviates insignificantly from trans-CysNO in $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model (177.6º) (Figure 28).


Figure 28. Optimized ONIOM model of trans-CysNO106 and proximal Glu18 residues in DJ-1 protein. Ball-and-stick view is used for the high-level layer of atoms (CysNO106 and Glu18), stick representation is used for the medium-layer atoms (CysNO pocket), and cartoon representation is used for the rest of the protein molecule. Highlighted: $S-N$ bond length in CysNO106, distance from Glu18 to the S atom of SNO group.

Optimized S-N bond length in CysNO is $1.783 \AA, 0.040 \AA$ shorter than the S-N bond of trans-CysNO in $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model. Glu18 residue remained in close proximity of the SNO group: the O...S distance increased from $3.426 \AA$ in X-Ray structure to $3.670 \AA$ in the optimized model. These results are in qualitative agreement with previously published data ${ }^{6}$, where a Lewis base, coordinated near Satom of the SNO group, stabilizes it and shortens the S-N bond.

Optimized structure of cis-conformation of the S-nitrosated Cys106 is shown
in Figure 29. The CSNO dihedral angle remains close to the cis-CysNO in the $\alpha$-helix model ( $3.6^{\circ}$ vs. $0.9^{\circ}$ ), whereas the S-N bond length decreases by $0.022 \AA(1.786 \AA$ vs. $1.808 \AA$ §̊). Glu 18 residue remains $3.638 \AA$ Away from the $S$ atom of the SNO group.


Figure 29. Optimized ONIOM model of cis-CysNO106 and proximal Glu18 residues in DJ-1 protein. Ball-and-stick view is used for the high-level layer of atoms (CysNO106 and Glu18), stick representation is used for the medium-layer atoms (CysNO pochet), and cartoon representation is used for the rest of the protein molecule. Highlighted: $S-N$ bond length in CysNO106, distance from Glu18 to the S atom of SNO group.

Calculations of the relative stability of cis- and trans-CysNO conformations in
DJ-1 confirmed experimental findings: protein environment favors trans-CysNO over cis-CysNO ( $1.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \Delta H, 1.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \Delta G)$.

To estimate the influence of steric effects on the conformations of the SNO group, we used another X-ray structure of non-S-nitrosated DJ-1 1P5F from Wilson et al. (resolution $1.10 \AA ̊$ ) (Wilson, Collins, Hod, Ringe, \& Petsko, 2003), and applied in-house Python code (S-nitrosator algorithm, Dr. Marat Talipov and Dr. Maxim Ivanov) to in silico S-nitrosate Cys106. This algorithm only uses Amber 94 force field to minimize repulsion of the newly placed NO moiety from the intact surrounding residues, and only takes into account steric interactions. It was found that in silico cis-CysNO106 is more stable than the trans-conformation, leading to the conclusion that Cys106 pocket can easily accommodate both conformations.

To estimate energetic barriers of cis-trans isomerization of S-nitrosated Cys106, we optimized two corresponding transition states, connecting both conformations (Figure 30). Similarly to the $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model, anisotropy of the protein environment causes differences in the structure and energetic barriers in DJ-1 in clockwise and counterclockwise rotation around the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond.


Figure 30. Optimized ONIOM model of two transition states of cis-CysNO106 isomerization. Ball-and-stick view is used for the high-level layer of atoms (CysN0106 and Glu18), stick representation is used for the medium-layer atoms (CysNO pocket), and cartoon representation is used for the rest of the protein molecule. Highlighted: $S-N$ bond length in CysNO106, distance from Glu18 to the S atom of SNO group, CSNO dihedral angle.

Both transition states have one imaginary frequency, responsible for rotation around the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths in optimized transition states and corresponding barriers ( $1.957 \AA$ Å, $13.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $1.945 \AA$ Å, $15.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \Delta H^{\neq}$) are in good agreement with our findings derived from the $\alpha$-helix ONIOM model.

Thus, activation barriers predicted by the S-N bond length (15.2 kcal/mol for 1.957 $\AA \AA, 16.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $1.945 \AA \AA$,) differs by 1.6 and $0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the calculated ones. Increase of the rotation barrier from $11.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in alpha-helix to 14.6 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in DJ-1 leads to more than a 100-fold decrease in corresponding cis-trans monomolecular rate constant at 293 K .

The Glu18 position in optimized transition states remained coordinated near the S atom of SNO group: O...S distances are $3.757 \AA$ and $3.670 \AA$. Therefore, a proposed role of the Glu18 residue is not only to convert Cys106 into an easier target for S-nitrosation by depressing its pKa value, but also to stabilize the
resulting trans-CysNO and limit the rotation around S-N bond. In future work, we propose to study S-nitrosation reaction between Cys106 of DJ-1 and HSNO, as well as the transfer of NO moiety from DJ-1 to target proteins.

With calculated transition states CSNO dihedral angles of $-85.5^{\circ}$ and $84.7^{\circ}$, all PDB structures (Table 27) with CSNO dihedral angle deviating strongly from $0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$ call for additional attention. For example, bent SNO group (CSNO dihedral angle $87.5^{\circ}$ for Chain B, $75.6^{\circ}$ for Chain D) of S-nitrosohemoglobin by Chan et al. ${ }^{133}$ was later re-identified as a thionitroxide radical, RS-NH-O•, in Ref. ${ }^{159}$.

One can draw a conclusion that the protein environment influences Snitrosated Cys106 via both steric and electrostatic interactions (in the DJ-1 case, the interaction between Glu18 and the SNO group is found to be the major governing force in regulating the barrier of cis-trans interconversion). At the same time, strong influence of charged residues on the activation barriers of CysNO isomerization reaction makes MD simulations of S-nitrosated proteins challenging. Namely, proposed force field parameters for CysNO, ${ }^{160}$ especially the energy profile of CSNO torsion, do not take into account specific electrostatic interactions of the proximal charged residues with the SNO group. Therefore, diligent consideration of all possible sources of errors is required in MD simulations of S-nitrosoproteins.

## D. Conclusions

In this work, we demonstrated that protein environment significantly affects the structure, relative stability of cis-trans conformers, as well as the barriers of cistrans isomerization of CysNO, in $\alpha$-helix model or in a DJ-1 protein, by steric and electrostatic influence on the SNO group. Thus, the S-N bond length in cisconformation of the KxxxCExxx double-coordinated model is significantly shorter (1.624 Å,) comparing to non-coordinated cis-CysNO an $\alpha$-helix (1.808 Å). The activation barrier of cis-trans isomerization reaction has increased, correspondingly, by almost $14 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (from 10.6 to $24.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). This phenomenon stress the fact that S-nitrosothiols are unique biochemical entities in a sense of malleability of their electronic structure, and can impose significant challenges for the experimental and computational studies.

The ability of ONIOM approach to combine both the accuracy of the DFT methods and scalability of molecular mechanics (MM) grants one the toolkit to access the reactivity of CysNO in proteins. The observed deviations from planarity (e.g. cis- or trans-conformations) of the SNO group in the experimental structures of S-nitrosated proteins are not supported by the performed in this work calculations. Our results robustly demonstrate that neither $\alpha$-helix nor DJ-1 protein models can stabilize the 'bent' conformations of CysNO, moreover, it was repeatedly shown to be the transition state structures of corresponding CysNO cis-trans isomerization reactions.

In ongoing work, we are expanding the ONIOM $\alpha$-helix and $\mathrm{DJ}-1$ models to investigate the influence of proximal charged residues on the mechanism of trans-Snitrosation reaction.

## Chapter IV: Making S-Nitrosothiols 'Click'

A. Introduction

S-nitrosation of the cysteine residues in proteins with formation of corresponding S-nitrosoproteins is a highly important biological process, attracting significant interest in life sciences and medicine. ${ }^{2,21}$ Unfortunately, S-nitrosoproteins are challenging to study experimentally, due to their lability: to reliably determine protein S-nitrosation sites, unstable SNO groups must be converted into more stable species. Despite a significant effort, ${ }^{3,161}$ an efficient and selective single-step chemical labeling reaction that yields a robust RSNO derivative is yet to be found. ${ }^{161}$

Most of the currently used methods to identify S-nitrosated cysteine residues in proteins are complex and error prone, as they require prior labeling of far more numerous unmodified cysteine residues (Figure 31). ${ }^{162-165}$
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Figure 31. Currently implemented (A) and optimized (B) protocols of CysNO labeling.

An inspiring example of efficient chemical labeling is the famous click ${ }^{166}$ reaction, or 1,3-dipolar azide-alkyne cycloaddition, that is widely used in chemical
biology. ${ }^{167,168}$ In this work, we computationally demonstrate that RSNOs can be activated by the addition of Lewis acids (LAs) to readily undergo (3+2) cycloadditions, and propose that development of LA-linked reagents may provide a powerful single-step chemical labeling method with great potential for studies of Snitrosated proteins.

We base our design of efficient RSNO cycloaddition reactions on the insights into the electronic structure of the SNO group ${ }^{67}$ that, however, can be rationalized using the concept of resonance structures. In the resonance description of RSNOs, ${ }^{112}$ the conventional structure $\boldsymbol{S}$ (with single S-N bond) (Figure 17) is augmented by zwitter-ionic resonance structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ (with double S-N bond) and ion-pair structure $\boldsymbol{I}$ (no covalent bond between the S and N atoms).

Importantly, structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ can be referred to as antagonistic structures, as they imply significantly different bonding patterns and formal charges in the SNO group. $\boldsymbol{D}$ vs $\boldsymbol{I}$ antagonism rationalizes the unusual properties of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond that exhibits partial double bond character ${ }^{116}$, despite being unusually long and weak. ${ }^{106}$ It also accounts for the dramatic effect of Lewis acid coordination on the stability of the S-N bond. ${ }^{6,169}$. Moreover, the two antagonistic structures correlate with the dual reactivity of RSNOs towards nucleophiles that can attack the -SNO group either at S or at N atoms. The first mode of reactivity is promoted by structure $\boldsymbol{D}$, and the second—by structure I (Figure 32). ${ }^{7}$


Figure 32. N -atom (A) vs S-atom (B) nucleophilic attack, promoted by the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ protonation on $S(A)$ and $O, N$ atoms $(B)$, respectively. Adapted from Ref. ${ }^{7}$

## B. Computational Details

The electronic structure calculations were performed with CBS-QB3 ${ }^{119,170}$ composite methodology and density functional theory (DFT) using hybrid Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional PBE0,56,94,171 as implemented in Gaussian 09 package. ${ }^{56}$ DFT calculations were performed with def2-SV(P) double-zeta quality basis set by Ahlrichs and co-workers, ${ }^{95}$ with a tight d-function added for sulfur atoms; the resulting basis set is referred to as def2-SV(P)+d. Because CBS-QB3 calculations are feasible only for small molecules (up to 16 heavy atoms), only PBE0 calculations were used for the reactions involving cyclooctyne derivatives or a tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (BCF) group. For other reactions studied (Tables 29, 30), CBS-QB3 and PBE0 provide a generally consistent description (Appendix A, Tables A1-A5).

All calculations, including geometry optimizations and vibrational frequencies calculations, ${ }^{172}$ included solvation effects using the polarized continuum solvent model (PCM) ${ }^{96}$ with acetonitrile solvent parameters, as implemented in

Gaussian 09. Natural bond orbital ${ }^{157}$ (NBO) and natural resonance theory (NRT) ${ }^{173}$ analyses were performed using the NBO 5.0 program ${ }^{174}$ for the PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d calculation results.

The electronic structure calculations of AdSNO and LA complexes were performed with dispersion-corrected PBE0-GD3 ${ }^{99}$ DFT functional in combination with the def2-SV(P)+d basis set, with PCM solvent parameters for diethylether. Time-dependent DFT calculations (TD-DFT) were used to estimate the main features of UV/visible spectra of free and LA-binded AdSNO molecule in solution, using time-dependent variation of the PBE0-GD3 functional with def2-TZVPPD basis sets ${ }^{95}$ (PCM solvent parameters were set for toluene).

## C. Results and Discussion

1. Proof of the concept

Based on the resonance description of the electronic structure of Snitrosothiols (Figure 33 A), we hypothesized that RSNOs can demonstrate dual reactivity towards alkenes and alkynes. The three characteristic resonance structures $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ imply single, double and ionic S-N bond, correspondingly. The resonance components $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ are antagonistic, as they imply opposite bonding patterns, charge distributions, and hence opposite reactivities. Natural bond orbital (NBO) interactions behind structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ are shown on Figure 33 B. The structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ (promoted by the $\pi$-conjugation $n_{\mathrm{S}}->\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{N}-0}$ ) is expected to give rise to a $(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction, similarly to the classical 1,3-dipole cycloadditions. Resonance structure $\boldsymbol{I}$ (promoted by the negative hyperconjugation $n_{0}->\sigma^{*}{ }^{*}-\mathrm{N}$ ) should lead to the carbon-carbon bond insertion into the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond.
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Figure 33. Resonance description of RSNO electronic structure (A); natural bond orbital (NBO) interactions behind structures $D$ and $I(B)$.

Indeed, we found transition states (TSs) for both reaction modes for the methyl-substituted CysNO model ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ ) and a model alkyne (dimethylacetylene) (Figure 34 A) using CBS-QB3 methodology with PCM solvation. Similarly to the two modes of RSNO hydrolysis reaction, promoted either by structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{I}^{7}$ the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond contracts in the cycloaddition $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{Cycl}}$ (from $1.84 \AA$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO} /$ alkyne prereactional complex to $1.66 \AA \AA$ in the TS), which is consistent with the elevated $\boldsymbol{D}$ character. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond significantly elongates in the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ insertion $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {Ins }}$ (from $1.84 \AA$ Å to $2.30 \AA$, correspondingly), which is consistent with the elevated I-character.

The (3+2) cycloaddition pathway is characterized by synchronous formation of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, as suggested by intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) analysis (Figure 34 B). In fact, the IRC profiles for RSNO cycloaddition are remarkably similar to the IRC profiles of azide $(3+2)$ cycloaddition with the same dipolarophile molecule, known as an archetype 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction.
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Figure 34. CBS-QB3 calculated enthalpic profiles (in kcal/mol) for the (3+2) cycloaddition and the $S-N$ bond insertion reaction between model RSNO and alkyne, with the corresponding TS and product structures; the cycloaddition product $P_{\text {cyc }}$ structure is shown with corresponding electrostatic potential map (A). Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) profiles and evolution of lengths of the newly formed bonds for $(3+2)$ cycloadditions of model azide and RSNO with an alkyne (B).

Both reactions have significantly high activation enthalpies (23-27
$\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), close in energy to that of homolytic S-N bond dissociation in RSNOs ( $\sim 30$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}),{ }^{36,72}$ a monomolecular process that is likely to prevail over the
bimolecular reactions with alkenes or alkynes. Although the (3+2) cycloaddition pathway has a lower activation barrier, its product $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cycl}}$ is less thermodynamically stable than the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion product $\mathrm{P}_{\text {Ins. }}$. The latter is similar to the products of radical reactions of RSNOs with alkenes and alkynes initiated by the S-N photolysis or thermolysis, ${ }^{175}$ so it is possible that the direct $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion pathway may contribute to the thermally-activated reactions.

To independently evaluate the relative energetics of the (3+2) cycloaddition and S-N bond insertion pathways, we located corresponding transition states and reaction products of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the gas phase, using an accurate, but computationally expensive $a b$ initio method CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ-F12 (Figure A1, Tables A1-A4). The transition state structures for both (3+2) cycloaddition and S-N bond insertion mechanisms, as well as their activation barriers and reaction energies, are in qualitative agreement with the abovementioned CBS-QB3 reaction profiles of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ in acetonitrile. Thus, the activation barrier of $(3+2)$ cycloaddition is lower compared to the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion ( $26.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ vs. $31.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively), while the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion reaction is significantly more exothermic ( $-36.3 \mathrm{vs} . ~-13.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the (3+2) cycloaddition).
2. Modulation of RSNO reactivity

In principle, both reactions (Figure 34 A ) can be used for chemical labeling of -SNO groups, if the activation barriers are sufficiently lowered. As we have recently demonstrated, $, 7,112$ coordinating a Lewis Acid (LA) to the -SNO group atoms can
dramatically alter the reactivity of RSNOs by promoting one of the antagonistic resonance structures $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{I}$, at the expense of the other. S-coordination of a LA should promote structure $I$ due to favorable interaction with the $S$ - atom that bears a formal negative charge in that structure, and reduce $\boldsymbol{D}$ that implies positively charged $\mathrm{S}^{+}$atom. Therefore, coordination of the LA moiety at the S atom should facilitate the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion reaction.

On the other hand, O-coordination of the LA molecule to RSNO should favor structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ with negatively charged $0^{-}$, and disfavor $\boldsymbol{I}$ with its cationic $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$moiety. N -coordination should also disfavor $\boldsymbol{I}$ due to the similar LA- $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$interaction and, therefore, indirectly promote $\boldsymbol{D} .{ }^{112}$ Therefore, but O- and N-coordination should in theory facilitate the $(3+2)$ cycloaddition pathway. Indeed, the $\mathrm{O}-$ and N -coordination of a model LA $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)$ lead to significant reduction of the calculated reaction cycloaddition barriers, $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=16$ and $12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Figure 35).
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Figure 35. Enthalpic profiles (in kcal/mol) for the $(3+2)$ cycloaddition between a model RSNO and alkyne catalyzed by $O$ - and $N$ - coordination of a $L A\left(B F_{3}\right)$ ( $A$ and $B$, respectively). In the $L A$ complexes, RSNO is drawn with D resonance structure, which is promoted by the $L A$ coordination.

Natural resonance theory ${ }^{173}$ (NRT) calculations show that the resonance contribution of structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ increases from $25 \%$ in free $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ to $52 \%$ and $34 \%$ for O - and N -coordinated $\mathrm{BF}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ complexes, respectively. The resonance weight of structure $\boldsymbol{I}$ drops from $8 \%$ to $\sim 4 \%$ in both cases. These changes correlate with
significant shortening of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in O - and N -coordinated $\mathrm{BF}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ complexes (by $\sim 0.2$ and $\sim 0.1 \AA$, respectively). Although O-coordination of the LA is better at promoting resonance weight of structure $\boldsymbol{D}, \mathrm{N}$-coordination results in 4 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower activation barrier of $(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction (Figure 35). This surprising effect is related to the increased basicity of the N atom in the heterocyclic cycloaddition product $\mathrm{P}_{\text {cycl }}$ with $\mathrm{S}^{+}-\mathrm{N}^{-}$dipolar bond and significant negative charge on N, as clearly seen on the electrostatic potential map (Figure 35 A). Delocalization of the emerging negative charge on N atom by a $\mathrm{N}->$ LA dative bond leads to stabilization of the TS and overall increased driving force of the reaction, which has much higher exothermicity $\Delta H_{\mathrm{rxn}}=-35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, compared to uncatalyzed and 0coordinated LA-catalyzed reactions ( -15 and $-13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively).

As expected, S -coordination of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ promotes the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond insertion pathway (Figure 36). However, the S-coordinated $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}^{-}-\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ complex is much weaker $\left(\Delta H_{\mathrm{LA}}=-2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ than $0-$ and N -coordinated forms $\left(\Delta H_{\mathrm{LA}} \approx-14 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, which are stabilized by stronger $\mathrm{N}->\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{O}->\mathrm{B}$ dative bonds. Although it may be possible to use a soft LA that would preferentially bind to the $S$ atom, promotion of structure I would also further destabilize the S-N bond. Thus, promoting (3+2) cycloaddition pathway by LA N -coordination is a more practical strategy for designing an efficient RSNO labeling reaction.
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Figure 36. Enthalpic profiles for the $S-N$ bond insertion reaction between model RSNO and alkyne: A) reaction inhibited by the LA N-coordination, and B) reaction catalyzed by the LA Scoordination.

Even with the assistance of LA catalysis, $(3+2)$ cycloaddition barrier is still too high to make a good RSNO labeling reaction candidate. Moreover, LA-catalyzed reaction is a two-step process, involving the LA coordination at first followed by actual cycloaddition step, and requires high LA concentrations that may lead to
undesirable side reactions. The first problem can be addressed by using strainactivated alkynes and alkenes, developed for copper-free click chemistry (Figure 37, Table 29). ${ }^{167,168}$
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Figure 37. Prototypical (3+2) cycloaddition reaction between $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ (1), alkyne (2), alkene (3), click-chemistry reagents (4-5) and customized LA-linked reagents (5-x).

Table 29. Enthalpies of coordination of cis-CH3SNO and Lewis Acids, $3+2$ cycloaddition activation and reaction enthalpies*, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{S}$ BDEs and LA binding enthalpies in $3+2$ cycloaddition products (kcal/mol). Values in [Brackets] are the result of CBS-QB3 calculations in acetonitrile, the remaining results are obtained with $\operatorname{PBEO} /$ def2-SV(P)+d approximation also in acetonitrile.

| Reagen $\mathrm{t}$ | LA | $\Delta H_{L A}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}{ }_{r x n}$ | $\Delta H_{r \times n}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}{ }_{\text {rever }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BDE } \\ (\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta H_{L A-P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \\ {[23.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-12.7 \\ {[-14.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.8 \\ {[37.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ {[32.1]} \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | $\begin{gathered} -15.5 \\ {[-13.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ {[12.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -33.6 \\ {[-34.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.7 \\ {[46.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.6 \\ {[43.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -36.4 \\ {[-33.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at 0 | $\begin{gathered} -12.2 \\ {[-12.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \\ {[17.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10.8 \\ {[-11.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \\ {[28.8]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ {[37.6]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10.3 \\ {[-10.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | - |  | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ {[20.9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ {[-0.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ {[21.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \\ {[37.4]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | $\begin{gathered} -15.5 \\ {[-13.5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.4 \\ & {[7.7]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -16.7 \\ {[-20.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ {[28.2]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.2 \\ {[49.6]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -37.9 \\ {[-33.7]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at 0 | $\begin{gathered} -12.2 \\ {[-12.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ {[10.8]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.7 \\ {[-7.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ {[18.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.4 \\ {[46.7]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -20.7 \\ {[-19.8]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | - | - | 15.4 | -23.4 | 38.8 | 28.8 | - |
| 4 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -15.5 | 5.5 | -44.6 | 50.1 | 40.4 | -36.6 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -12.2 | 7.3 | -20.9 | 28.1 | 33.7 | -9.7 |
| 4a | - | - | 14.7 | -14.8 | 29.5 | 25.6 | - |
| 4a | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -15.5 | 6.9 | -38.8 | 44.3 | 41.7 | -39.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -12.2 | 14.8 | -6.4 | 21.7 | 31.7 | -3.9 |
| 4b | - | - | 8.3 | -35.7 | 44.0 | 30.4 | - |
| 4b | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -15.5 | 2.5 | -54.4 | 56.9 | 42.7 | -34.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -12.2 | 5.3 | -32.1 | 37.4 | 35.4 | -8.7 |
| 5 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \\ {[14.8]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.5 \\ {[-11.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ {[26.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ {[37.6]} \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 5 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -15.5 | 9.1 | -28.3 | 37.4 | 44.0 | -36.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -12.2 | 8.6 | -15.3 | 23.9 | 41.6 | -20.0 |
| $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\mathrm{BF}_{2} \text { at } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8.6 \\ {[-8.7]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ {[5.4]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -30.3 \\ {[-31.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \\ {[36.5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.6 \\ {[65.8]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5- \\ \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \text { at } \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | -1.4 | 16.9 | -18.7 | 35.6 | 45.0 | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5- \\ \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2} \\ \text { at } \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3.9 | 9.2 | -26.4 | 35.7 | 47.6 | - |
| 5-BCF | $\begin{gathered} \text {-BCF at } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -15.6 | 4.6 | -32.9 | 37.5 | 60.3 | - |

* Reaction parameters are calculated relative to the separate dienophile and LA/cis-CH3 3 SNO complex for non-LA-linked reagents, and relative to the prereactive complexes for LA-linked dienophile reagents.

Table 30. Gibbs free energies of coordination of cis-CH3 SNO and Lewis Acids, $3+2$ cycloaddition activation and reaction enthalpies. * Values in [Brackets] are the result of CBS-QB3 calculations in acetonitrile, the remaining results are obtained with PBEO/def2-SV(P)+d approximation also in acetonitrile.

| Reagent | LA | $\Delta G_{L A}$ | $\Delta G^{\neq}{ }_{r x}$ | $\Delta G_{r \times n}$ | $\Delta G^{\neq}$reverse | $\Delta G^{\neq}{ }_{L A-P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ {[33.6]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2 \\ {[-3.2]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.2 \\ {[36.8]} \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | $\begin{gathered} -5.9 \\ {[-3.9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ {[22.0]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -20.9 \\ {[-23.1]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.3 \\ {[45.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -26.6 \\ {[-21.9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | $\begin{gathered} -2.9 \\ {[-3.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.6 \\ {[26.7]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ {[-2.2]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.7 \\ {[28.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.9 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ {[20.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ {[15.4]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \\ {[20.2]} \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 3 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | $\begin{gathered} -5.9 \\ {[-3.9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ {[22.6]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.2 \\ {[-5.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \\ {[27.7]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -26.1 \\ {[-21.4]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at 0 | $\begin{gathered} -2.9 \\ {[-3.1]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \\ {[25.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & {[8.0]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.4 \\ {[17.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -9.3 \\ {[-7.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | - | - | 26.7 | -10.7 | 37.5 | - |
| 4 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -5.9 | 16.0 | -31.3 | 47.3 | -26.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -2.9 | 19.1 | -8.4 | 27.5 | -0.5 |
| 4a | - | - | 26.3 | -3.2 | 29.6 | - |
| 4a | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -5.9 | 17.8 | -26.5 | 44.3 | -29.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -2.9 | 26.8 | 5.0 | 21.7 | 5.4 |
| 4b | - | - | 20.5 | -22.5 | 43.0 | - |
| 4b | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -5.9 | 13.8 | -40.9 | 54.7 | -24,3 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at 0 | -2.9 | 18.0 | -18.5 | 36.6 | 1.0 |
| 5 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 34.2 \\ {[28.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ {[3.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \\ {[25.6]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 5 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at N | -5.9 | 23.3 | -13.3 | 36.6 | -24.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ at O | -2.9 | 23.8 | 0.7 | 23.1 | -8.4 |
| $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ | $-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ at N | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ {[4.4]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & {[9.0]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -20.3 \\ {[-26.4]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ {[35.5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5- \\ \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \text { at } \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | 7.4 | 24.3 | -10.2 | 34.5 | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5- \\ \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2} \\ \text { at } \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | 17.5 | 12.2 | -22.2 | 34.4 | - |
| 5-BCF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text {-BCF at } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 | 6.5 | -29.9 | 36.4 | - |

* Reaction parameters are calculated relative to the separate dienophile and LA/cis-CH3 SNO complex for non-LA-linked reagents, and relative to the prereactive complexes for LA-linked dienophile reagents.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}(3+2)$ cycloaddition barriers are $6-13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower for the strainactivated cyclooctyne 4 or norbornadiene 5, compared to the model reagents 2 (alkyne) and 3 (alkene), see Table 28. Nonetheless, these reaction barriers are still high compared to the click reactions of the same dipolarophile molecules with azides. ${ }^{176,177}$

LA-assisted cycloadditions with strain-activated alkynes demonstrate a promising improvement over the non-catalyzed reactions. For example, the reaction of the N -coordinated $\mathrm{BF}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ complex with 4 b , biarylazacyclooctynone (BARAC), ${ }^{178}$ a powerful click chemistry reagent, has a predicted activation enthalpy of $2.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (at PBEO/def2SV(P)+d level). However, the requirement of preliminary RSNO/LA complexation, as well as possible LA dissociation from the cycloaddition product, may complicate further practical implementation in proteomic analysis for an efficient CysNO detection.

To overcome these problems, we propose to use customized reagents that combine both strain-activated carbon-carbon double/triple bond and a LA moiety in one molecule. Ideally, both components of the reagent molecule should be linked together by a rigid scaffold and located in a specific spatial arrangement, resembling the structure of LA-catalyzed cycloaddition $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {cyl }}$ (Figure 34).

We tested a variety of scaffolds based on existing copper-free click chemistry reagents ${ }^{167,168}$ (Table 29) and found that norbornadiene ${ }^{168}$ scaffold can combine a strain-activated C=C double bond and a LA moiety in a near-attack ${ }^{179}$ arrangement perfect for the cycloaddition reaction (Figures 38, 39).

Norbornadiene (reagent 5) is predicted to undergo (3+2) cycloaddition with RSNO relatively easily ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, Figure 38), and the reaction is greatly enhanced by N -coordination of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}\left(\Delta H^{\ddagger}=4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$.



Figure 38. Enthalpic profiles (in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for (3+2) cycloaddition reactions between norbornadiene NB (reagent 5) and a model RSNO (A), NB and RSNO activated by $N$-coordiantion of $B F_{3}(B)$.

A prototype linked reagent $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ with a LA group $-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ attached to the bridging carbon N -coordinates to MeSNO less exothermally than $\mathrm{BF}_{3}\left(\Delta H_{\mathrm{LA}}=-9\right.$ vs -
$14 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively), although the subsequent cycloaddition is as easy ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=5$ kcal/mol, Figure 39). Importantly, the cycloaddition step is a monomolecular, not bimolecular, reaction of the donor-acceptor complex between $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$. The reaction product is $40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower in enthalpy than the separated reactants, and has an adamantane-like cage (Figure 39) that incorporates the -SNO group ligated by two covalent and one strong ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}$ dative bond.
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Figure 39. Enthalpic profiles (in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for (3+2) cycloaddition reactions between LA-linked norbornadiene $N B-B F_{2}$ (reagent $5-B F_{2}$ ) and $R S N O(A)$; calculated structures for the pre-reactive complex $C_{N B}-B F_{2}$, transition state $T S_{N B}-B F_{2}$, and product $P_{N B}-B F_{2}$ of the $R S N O-N B-B F_{2}$ cycloaddition reaction ( $B$ ).

In terms of free energies, the overall reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ with $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ is more thermodynamically favorable than $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-activated reaction with 5 ( $\Delta G_{\mathrm{rxn}}=-26$ vs -20 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, Figure 40) with a significantly lower activation barrier ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=$ 9 vs $18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). Thus, although the concentrations of the donoracceptor $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}-\mathrm{RSNO}$ complexes may be lower than $\mathrm{BF}_{3}-$ RSNO complexes, the overall cycloaddition process should be much more efficient with $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$.
A



Figure 40. Gibbs free energy profiles (in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for (3+2) cycloaddition reactions between reagent 5 and RSNO, activated by $N$-coordiantion of $B F_{3}(A)$; $L A$-linked norbornadiene $N B-B F_{2}$ (reagent 5-BF 2 ) and RSNO (B).

In the case of the LA-linked reagent, a combination of several factors gives rise to reaction that could be comparable in efficiency with click chemistry ${ }^{167,168}$ reactions. The initial N -coordination partially compensates the entropic penalty for bringing the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and SNO groups together, helps them to attain a proper nearattack orientation (Figure 39 B), and catalyzes the ensuing monomolecular cycloaddition. As the reaction proceeds, the developing negative charge on the N atom strengthens the $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow$ LA dative bond, driving the reaction downhill. Norbornadiene scaffold provides nearly ideal spatial arrangement of the alkene and LA moieties, although future design of RSNO labeling reagents could be also based on other activated alkenes and alkynes, ${ }^{167,168}$ as well as other Lewis acids. Our preliminary results demonstrate that modulation of the strength of the LA component leads to corresponding changes in reactivity: a reagent with stronger LA (5-BCF) has more exothermic binding enthalpies and lower (3+2) activation barriers, than the weaker LA reagents $\left(5-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right.$ and $\left.5-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}\right)$ (Figure 41).


B


Figure 41. Enthalpic profiles (in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of LA-linked reagents, calculated with PBEO/def2$S V(P)+d$ approximation in acetonitrile: $5-B F_{2}(A), 5-B C F(B), 5-B(O H)_{2}, 5-B(O R)_{2}$.
3. Boron-based Lewis acids: N vs. O coordination
$(3+2)$ Cycloaddition reactions of RSNOs can be correlated with the contribution of the zwitterionic structure $\boldsymbol{D}$, which is promoted by N or $\mathrm{O}-$ coordination of a Lewis acid to the SNO group. However, efficient catalysis of (3+2) cycloaddition specifically requires LA coordination on N atom, because strengthening of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}$ dative bond in the cycloaddition product with negatively charged N atom is essential for the increase of the driving force of the reaction.

Considering that O and N atoms in RSNOs have comparable basicity, it is important to ensure N -coordination of the Lewis acid for efficient catalysis of RSNO cycloaddition reactions. Therefore, we investigated coordination of boron-based Lewis acids on the example of the model tertiary RSNO, the adamantil-SNO (AdSNO), with BCF in collaboration with T. Warren group (Georgetown University). Computationally (Table 31), at the PBE0-GD3BJ/def2-SV(P)+d (PCM, diethylether) level BCF coordination to the SNO group atoms is the most preferable in the case of 0 -coordination. In fact, given that the 0 atom has two lone pairs, we observed two possible coordination structures (Figure 42). The second structure is about $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy.

Table 31. Calculated parameters of the AdSNO-LA complexes.

| Complex | $\Delta \mathrm{H}$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}, \AA$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}, \AA$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> BDE, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> BDE, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trans-AdSNO/BF $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ complexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trans-0 \#1 | 0.0 | 1.630 | -0.135 | 43.0 | 10.9 |
| Trans-O \#2 | 0.5 | 1.632 | -0.134 | 42.5 | 10.4 |
| Trans-N | 2.7 | 1.709 | -0.057 | 38.8 | 6.7 |
| Trans-S | 8.6 | 1.828 | +0.062 | 24.1 | -8.0 |
| Trans-AdSNO/BCF complexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trans-0 \#1 | 0.0 | 1.615 | -0.151 | 49.0 | 16.9 |
| Trans-O \#2 | 3.1 | 1.623 | -0.143 | 45.9 | 13.8 |
| Trans-N | 5.8 | 1.728 | -0.037 | 42.3 | 10.3 |
| Trans-S | 13.3 | 1.916 | +0.150 | 15.0 | -17.1 |



Figure 42. Trans-AdSNO O-coordinated complexes with $B F_{3}$ and $B C F$ in diethylether ( $S-N$ bond length for the free trans-AdSNO is $1.766 \AA, S-N B D E 32.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. More stable structures are circled.

N -coordination is less favorable, about $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy than the lowest O-coordination structure, while S-coordination is least probable as the Scoordinated complex is more than $13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy (Figure 43).


Figure 43. Trans-AdSNO $N$-coordinated complexes (A) and S-coordinated complexes (B) with $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and BCF in diethylether.

Similar relative energies are observed in the case of coordination if a weaker $\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}$, although the O vs. N -coordination structure energy difference is smaller, about $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, likely due to the less prominent steric repulsion with the adamantyl moiety.

The calculated modulation of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond properties follows the expected trends, commensurate with the strength of the Lewis acid. In particular, 0coordination shortens the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond by $0.15 \AA$ for the BCF and by $0.14 \AA$ for $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$, and significantly increases the S-N bond dissociation energy (by 17 and $11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). N -coordination has a weaker effect on the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond shortening ( 0.06 and $0.04 \AA ̊$ ) and strengthening ( 10 and $7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). S-coordination expectedly lengthens and weakens the S-N bond (by 0.15 and $0.06 \AA, 17$ and $8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for BCF and $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ).

In addition to the calculated properties of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, we were able to quantify the observed modulation of the SNO group electronic structure using Natural Resonance Theory (NRT) for the lowest-energy O-coordinated AdSNO/BF3 complex (Figure 44), which demonstrates significant (13\%) increase in the contribution of the structure $\boldsymbol{D}$ at the expense the structure $\boldsymbol{I}$ (6\%) and structure $\boldsymbol{S}$ (7\%).

B


Total S: 62.7\% ( $\nabla$ by 6.7\% from AdSNO)
Total D: 34.0\% ( $\triangle$ by 13\% from AdSNO)

Total I: $\quad 3.3 \% ~(\nabla$ by $6.2 \%$ from AdSNO)

Figure 44. S, D and I balance in free trans-AdSNO (A) and in O-coordinated BF3 complex (B).

In agreement with our computational results, suggesting that the 0coordinated AdSNO/BCF complex is the lowest energy structure, our collaborators at Prof. Warren lab (A. McQuilken, T. Warren, unpublished) were able to prepare and isolate the 0 -coordinated complex, and to experimentally determine its structure using X-ray spectroscopy (Figure 45). These experimental results for the first time provided a direct evidence of dramatic shortening of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond upon O-coordination of Lewis acids. The calculated and experimental values of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length in this complex are in excellent agreement with each other $(1.62 \AA$ calculated, $1.63 \AA$ experimental).


Figure 45. Experimental (on the right) and theoretically predicted (on the left) structures of the oxygen-bound AdSNO/BCF adduct.

The time-dependent DFT calculations (TD-DFT) of the excited states of free AdSNO and O-coordinated AdSNO/LA complex support complex formation not only in the form of a crystal, but also in solution, since the calculations predict the disappearance of a weak symmetry-forbidden $n->\pi^{*}$ transition $\approx 600 \mathrm{~nm}$ in the 0 coordinated complex, which is consistent with experimentally observed bleaching of the corresponding absorption band (Figure 46, A. McQuilken, T. Warren, unpublished). This bleaching occurs due to the lowering of the energy of corresponding $n$ orbital in AdSNO/BCF complex after the formation of dative $0-B$ bond.


Figure 46. Electronic transitions in free AdSNO, calculated with TD-PBEO-GD3/def2-TZVPPD, PCM (toluene).

$66->70 \quad 459.9 \mathrm{~nm}$
$67->70$
$69->70$
$66->70315.4 \mathrm{~nm}$
$67->70$
$68->70314.2 \mathrm{~nm}$


Figure 47. Electronic transitions in O-coordinated AdSNO/BF ${ }_{3}$ complex, calculated with TD-PBEO-GD3/def2-TZVPPD, PCM (toluene).

However, similar bleaching of $n->\pi^{*}$ transition is also predicted for the $N-$ coordinated structure (Figures 47, 48). Therefore, coexistence of 0 -coordinated and N -coordinated forms of the AdSNO/BCF complex in solution cannot be completely excluded, although the calculated energy difference, i.e. $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, suggest that the N -coordinated form is unlikely to have a significant concentration in solution.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 65->70 \quad 484.2 \mathrm{~nm} \\
& 67->70 \\
& 68->70 \\
& 69->70 \\
& 65->70312.3 \mathrm{~nm} \\
& 68->70 \\
& 69->70
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 48. Electronic transitions in $N$-coordinated $A d S N O / B F_{3}$ complex, calculated with TD-PBEO-GD3/def2-TZVPPD, PCM (toluene).

These preliminary experimental results provide a solid validation of our computational approach for the assessment of the energy preference for O vs. N coordination of boron-based Lewis acids. Therefore, although we do not expect that experimentally observed 0-coordinated AdSNO/BCF complex would readily
undergo (3+2) cycloaddition reaction, we are reasonably optimistic that the proposed in this work LA-linked norbornadiene reagents would undergo the predicted 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction (Figure 39) since the PBE0 and CBSQB3 calculations (Table 32, Figure 49), used to model the cycloaddition reaction, and PBE0-GD3 results predict that the N -coordination of reagent $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ is by $4-6$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more probable than the 0-coordination.

Table 32. N vs. O -coordination of $5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ reagent and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule.

| Complex | $\Delta H$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\Delta G, \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBS-QB3, PCM (acetonitrile) |  |  |
| N-coord | -8.7 | 4.4 |
| O-coord | $-4.6(+4.1)$ | $7.3(+2.9)$ |
| PBE0/def2-SV(P)+d, PCM (acetonitrile) |  |  |
| N-coord | -8.6 | 4.3 |
| O-coord | $-3.1(+5.5)$ | $9.4(+5.1)$ |
| PBE0-GD3/def2-SV(P)+d, PCM (acetonitrile) |  |  |
| N-coord | -12.8 | 0.7 |
| O-coord | $-6.5(+6.3)$ | $6.3(+5.6)$ |



Figure 49. Structures of O -coordinated (A) and N -coordinated (B) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule and 5-BF2 reagent.

## D. Conclusions

We demonstrated that the computationally predicted reactivity of RSNOs with alkenes and alkynes is related to the unusual antagonistic nature of the -SNO group electronic structure. However, (3+2) cycloaddition reactions may be useful for RSNO labeling if activated by a LA N-coordination to the RSNO.

In particular, reagents that combine a strain-activated double/triple carboncarbon bond and a LA moiety in a proper spatial arrangement should be the most efficient in reactions with RSNOs. Development of practical labeling techniques using these principles will undoubtedly require significant experimental and computational effort. Besides efficiency in the reactions with RSNOs, practical considerations such as solubility and stability in water, bioorthogonality, and synthetic feasibility will have to be taken into account.

In this respect, the prototype reagents $\left(5-\mathrm{BF}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, 5-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}\right.$ and $5-$ $B C F$ ) proposed here might not be immediately useful for labeling of protein RSNOs, although those or similar molecules could be a good model for the first proof-ofconcept experiments with simple RSNOs in non-aqueous environment. Nonetheless, we believe that the elegant 'click-like' chemistry of RSNOs, devised from simple chemical ideas and confirmed by computational modeling, will soon find an experimental confirmation that may lead to novel efficient one-step labeling reactions for identification of S-nitrosated cysteine residues in proteins.

## Summary

In the present study, we demonstrate that modern computational chemistry tools allow one to accurately predict the structure and properties of the molecules of interest at reasonable computational cost. In particular cases $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}\right.$ molecule), chemical accuracy threshold can be approached with the use of explicitly-correlated coupled cluster theory and carefully tested DFT methods. Furthermore, incorporation of those DFT methods into a multi-scale ONIOM model unlocks the potential to study significantly larger biomolecules, such as proteins.

In the case of theoretical studies of S-nitrosated cysteine (CysNO), it became clear that the underlying complex and flexible electronic structure of the SNO group will determine its observable reactivity and properties. The ab initio $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ model for the first time highlighted that the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in CysNO, despite the low stretching frequency ( $398.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$, and $386.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in trans- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ ) and bond dissocaition eenrgy ( $\approx 32 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in solution) is expected to have a remarkably harmonic character, which makes the further computational studies of CysNO reaction pathways more attainable.

The ONIOM models of CysNO in $\alpha$-helix and a model protein (DJ-1) pointed out that unique for the protein environment spatial and electrostatic effects can play a significant role in changing the properties of SNO group. Thus, structurally predetermined coordination of the charged residues in the proximity of CysNO affects its structure ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length increases and decreases in the range from 1.62 to $1.85 \AA ̊$ ), as well as the conformational dynamics (activation barriers vary in
the range from 9.6 to $24.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. The theoretical model of an actual protein, DJ1, demonstrated that the presence of charged residue in the proximity of CysNO group is likely to affect its properties and reactivity. Those findings can potentially assist both experimental and theoretical studies, aimed to reveal the nature of elegant protein control over the CysNO chemistry, as well as molecular mechanisms of pathological breakdowns of those crucial reactions.

The novel theoretically proposed reaction mechanisms of CysNO labeling revealed that Lewis acid coordination with CysNO and RSNOs in general appears to be a potent mechanism of driving RSNO reactivity in a desired direction, to promote selected chemical mechanisms and avert the others. Inspired by the potential ways to control the CysNO reactivity, we propose that (3+2) cycloaddition reaction can be made possible with carefully designed reagent, combining both the dipolarophile and LA components in one molecule. The emerging experimental evidence of RSNO/LA complexation and subsequent change of RSNO properties makes us believe that theoretical tools can be effectively used in synergy with the experiment, to assist the challenging field of of S-nitrosoproteomics.
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## cis-MeSNO + Dimethylacetylene

```
cis-MeSNO
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: CS
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -568.079150
T=298.15: H= -568.028705, E+ZPE= -568.034841, G= -568.063109
Freqs: 113.1, 249.9 .. 3150.1
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -567.310906 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-567.345357
XYZ coordinates
C 1.132472 1.193067 0.000000
S 0.755228 -0.572019 0.000000
H 1.695040 1.451401 0.895843
H 0.171452 1.718537 0.000000
N -1.081347 -0.596290 0.000000
O -1.642273 0.445910 0.000000
H 1.695040 1.451401 -0.895843
Dimethylacetylene
```

```
Freq
```

Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: D3H
Symmetry: D3H
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -156.027937
E_SCF= -156.027937
T=298.15: H= -155.937564, E+ZPE= -155.944259, G= -155.971157
Freqs: 27.0, 201.1 .. 3081.7
Freqs: 27.0, 201.1 .. 3081.7
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -155.650990 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-155.684638
-155.684638
XYZ coordinates
XYZ coordinates
C 0.000000 0.000000 2.063530
C 0.000000 0.000000 2.063530
C 0.000000 0.000000 0.602455
C 0.000000 0.000000 0.602455
C 0.000000 0.000000 -0.602455
C 0.000000 0.000000 -0.602455
C 0.000000 0.000000 -2.063530
C 0.000000 0.000000 -2.063530
H -0.509871 0.883122 2.458882
H -0.509871 0.883122 2.458882
H 1.019742 0.000000 2.458882
H 1.019742 0.000000 2.458882
H -0.509871 -0.883122 2.458882
H -0.509871 -0.883122 2.458882
H 1.019742 0.000000 -2.458882
H 1.019742 0.000000 -2.458882
H -0.509871 0.883122 -2.458882
H -0.509871 0.883122 -2.458882
н -0.509871 -0.883122 -2.458882

```
н -0.509871 -0.883122 -2.458882
```

```
(3+2) Transition State: cis-MeSNO + dimethylacetylene
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -724.052472
T=298.15: H= -723.911054, E+ZPE= -723.922057, G= -723.956817
Freqs: -466.2, 103.5 .. 3154.3
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -722.925528 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
```

-722.971431

XYZ coordinates (Last three columns show the imaginary frequency vector)
C 1.679451 0.051572 1.374489 -0.05 0.00 -0.02
S 1.478998-0.042374-0.437888 0.19 -0.03 -0.01
H 1.425451 1.049407 1.728198 -0.13 -0.02 -0.02
H 2.716609 -0.187272 1.607927 -0.06 0.04 0.07
$\mathrm{N} 0.633590-1.408293-0.869214-0.12-0.050 .00$
O - 0. $334121-1.618926-0.049789 \quad 0.25-0.29-0.04$
H $0.996977-0.6822741 .802842-0.05-0.04-0.07$
C -2.675547-0.577677 0.369697-0.08 -0.09 0.03
C -1.353410 -0.015805 0.049823-0.33 0.38 0.07
C $-0.5909510 .960116-0.153033-0.330 .180 .04$
C -0.442138 2.423716-0.304381 0.09 0.09 -0.03
н -2. $608042-1.2428221 .2351830 .05-0.110 .00$
H $-3.068110-1.164049-0.4651180 .04-0.130 .00$
н $-3.3856830 .220448 \quad 0.601916-0.27-0.260 .06$
H 0.329289 2.825254 0.359613 0.15 -0.02 -0.03
H $-1.3822602 .933191-0.0742940 .170 .26-0.06$
H -0.154795 2.684027 -1.326811 0.140 .01 -0.04
(3+2) Product: cis-MeSNO + dimethylacetylene

## Freq

RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -724.108378
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-723.964561, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-723.975126, \mathrm{G}=-724.009366$
Freqs: 109.1, 112.1 .. 3155.9

CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -722.985192 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -723.030134

## XYZ coordinates

C 2.0058820 .2715371 .196898
S 1.268919-0.039145-0.483540
H 2.1389371 .3491401 .314327
H 2.975550 -0.227131 1.217182
N $0.689994-1.555440-0.649228$
O -0.631078-1.555968 0.037878
H 1.339773 -0.125181 1.961862

C -2.597034 -0.271980 0.461669
C $-1.159017-0.312406 \quad 0.060015$
C $-0.3392250 .711022-0.254721$
C -0.566355 2.186072 -0.325925
H -2.723380 -0.765994 1.42
н -3.209263 -0.808713 -0.267837
H -2.9598950 .7513750 .543420
H 0.0240882 .7300280 .418504
H $-1.6173602 .417687-0.143889$
н -0.304285 2.585438 -1.310005

S-N Insertion Transition State: cis-MeSNO + dimethylacetylene

## RB3LYP/CBSB7

Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -724.061664
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-723.920316, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-723.932088, \mathrm{G}=-723.968289$
Freqs: -375.9, 57.0 .. 3116.0
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -722.919366 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -722.967485
XYZ coordinates

| C | -2.105833 | -0.413821 | 0.972457 | 0.04 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | -1.573760 | 0.008720 | -0.705321 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| N | 0.359240 | -1.231077 | -0.544282 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| O | 0.284222 | -2.031820 | 0.300750 | 0.10 | -0.02 | 0.04 |
| H | -1.912632 | 0.390814 | 1.683365 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.04 |
| H | -1.564253 | -1.314835 | 1.292060 | 0.06 | 0.02 | -0.03 |
| H | -3.171546 | -0.645407 | 0.961121 | 0.04 | 0.00 | -0.12 |
| C | 0.107992 | 2.509104 | 0.195325 | 0.08 | -0.03 | 0.01 |
| C | 0.642783 | 1.166817 | -0.010360 | -0.37 | -0.22 | -0.13 |
| C | 1.463343 | 0.231421 | -0.047885 | -0.33 | -0.33 | -0.09 |
| C | 2.821560 | -0.311862 | 0.131998 | -0.11 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| H | 3.232390 | -0.642171 | -0.826315 | -0.03 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| H | 2.792938 | -1.178811 | 0.799537 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| H | 3.492474 | 0.433879 | 0.562610 | -0.29 | 0.28 | -0.02 |
| H | -0.327324 | 2.911988 | -0.720932 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| H | 0.927928 | 3.164535 | 0.514342 | 0.27 | -0.24 | -0.02 |
| H | -0.657327 | 2.522643 | 0.974110 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.06 |

S-N Insertion Product: cis-MeSNO + dimethylacetylene

```
FREQ
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -724.150191
T=298.15: H= -724.005403, E+ZPE= -724.016442, G= -724.052604
Freqs: 26.7 .. 3175.0
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -723.012565 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -723.059905
XYZ coordinates
```

| C | 1.86564 | -1.66565 | 0.00028 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 1.86205 | 0.15005 | -0.00012 |
| N | -0.97696 | -1.22304 | -0.00014 |
| O | -2.10126 | -1.75001 | -0.00020 |
| H | 1.37922 | -2.05552 | -0.88965 |
| H | 1.37931 | -2.05511 | 0.89044 |
| H | 2.92764 | -1.91976 | 0.00029 |
| C | 0.33201 | 2.30937 | -0.00007 |
| C | 0.25039 | 0.79829 | -0.00002 |
| C | -0.97397 | 0.16375 | 0.00007 |
| C | -2.29431 | 0.88536 | 0.00022 |
| H | -2.87998 | 0.59103 | 0.87569 |
| H | -2.87931 | 0.59287 | -0.87634 |
| H | -2.18418 | 1.96665 | 0.00137 |
| H | 1.36442 | 2.65951 | -0.00043 |
| H | -0.16456 | 2.71714 | 0.88375 |
| H | -0.16519 | 2.71713 | -0.88353 |

## cis-MeSNO + Dimethylacetylene + Lewis Acid

```
BF
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: D3H
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -324.655652
T=298.15: H= -324.639148, E+ZPE= -324.643626, G= -324.668108
Freqs: 467.7, 467.8 .. 1403.9
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -324.265897 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-324.294878
XYZ coordinates
F 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000
B 0.000000 0.000000 1.317000
F 1.140555 0.000000 1.975500
F-1.140555 0.000000 1.975500
cis-MeSN( }->\mp@subsup{\textrm{BF}}{3}{})\textrm{O
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: CS
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -892.750980
T=298.15: H= -892.681722, E+ZPE= -892.691741, G= -892.726722
Freqs: 42.0, 110.4 .. 3162.0
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy=
-891.643442
```

XYZ coordinates
C -2.969067-0.406034 0.000000
S -1.550527 0.703368 0.000000
H -2.950893 -1.024112 0.897109
н -2.950893 -1.024112 -0.897109
N -0.284689-0.442014 0.000000
O -0.504148-1.624230 0.000000
H -3.843522 0.244290 0.000000
F 1.868779-0.354316 1.151163
B 1.3353910 .1534620 .000000
F 1.1699541 .5181800 .000000
F 1.868779 -0.354316-1.151163
(3+2) Transition State: cis-MeSN $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{O}$ + dimethylacetylene

## Freq

RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.743849
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.583476$, $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.598864, \mathrm{G}=-1048.640744$
Freqs: -379.1, 26.8 .. 3180.7
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy = -1047.230556 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1047.288036
XYZ coordinates (Last three columns show the imaginary frequency vector)
C $1.348223-1.858549-1.452950-0.02-0.04-0.01$
S $0.283794-1.485128$-0.035254 $0.11 \quad 0.140 .05$
н $1.384965-0.982809-2.096144 \quad 0.02-0.06-0.04$
н $0.924733-2.723255-1.961921-0.10-0.030 .05$
N $-0.658220-0.220193-0.550239-0.03-0.06-0.02$
$0-0.0364210 .653043-1.2228270 .260 .130 .17$
н $2.339678-2.090780-1.067611-0.02-0.10-0.03$
F -1.7219911 .3635210 .9442890 .000 .000 .00
В -2.005135 $0.1780550 .2895220 .07-0.02-0.03$
F -2.214372 -0.880993 1.166581 0.00 -0.01 0.00
F -3.026929 $0.315443-0.6308830 .00 \quad 0.010 .01$
C $2.685266-0.3867931 .680123-0.020 .10-0.07$
C $1.8619770 .2375790 .626289-0.28-0.26-0.13$
C $1.5403631 .210907-0.082502-0.39-0.20-0.19$
C 1.663292 2.573069 -0.614397 $0.03-0.090 .08$
н $3.161578-1.3082171 .3356890 .090 .120 .02$
н $2.081799-0.6304292 .5574450 .080 .140 .01$
н $3.4730140 .307001 \quad 1.985593-0.10 \quad 0.27-0.24$
H $0.7860353 .170585-0.3584310 .120 .040 .10$
н $1.7532592 .555004-1.7032370 .090 .020 .08$
н $2.5537273 .052650-0.1998990 .10-0.330 .20$
(3+2) Product: cis-MeSN $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{O}+$ dimethylacetylene

## Freq <br> RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)

Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)

```
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
E_SCF= -1048.813320
T=298.15: H= -1048.650367, E+ZPE= -1048.665045, G= -1048.705608
Freqs: 39.2, 61.8 .. 3176.3 SP
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.304469 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1047.359917
XYZ coordinates
C 1.138131 -2.261594 -1.148956
S 0.379627 -1.263405 0.168915
H 1.447005 -1.610941 -1.963876
H 0.386694 -2.983415 -1.467473
N -0.689316 -0.248582 -0.645319
O 0.046467 0.940086 -1.042568
H 1.990738 -2.778844 -0.706767
F -1.616948 0.946989 1.304483
B -1.978917 0.169377 0.198237
F -2.546969 -1.043409 0.603970
F -2.811821 0.881583 -0.655773
C 2.739044 -0.159825 1.356698
C 1.578261 0.031395 0.435198
C 1.191215 1.074591 -0.319192
C 1.859426 2.390230 -0.521053
H 3.398261 -0.965994 1.022982
H 2.405988 -0.394792 2.370902
H 3.330538 0.755131 1.402029
H 1.220107 3.193430 -0.146887
н 2.021345 2.558208 -1.588564
H 2.818634 2.427562 -0.009147
S-N Insertion Transition State: cis-MeSN \(\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{O}+\) dimethylacetylene
FREQ
RB3LYP/CBSB7
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.723751
\(\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.564548, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.580352, \mathrm{G}=-1048.621928\)
Freqs: -442.4, 44.4 .. 3143.8
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.200307 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -1047.257905
XYZ coordinates
```




S-N Insertion Product: cis-MeSN $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{O}+$ dimethylacetylene
FREQ
RB3LYP/CBSB7
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.824157
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.660777, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.675531, \mathrm{G}=-1048.715683$
Freqs: 63.0 .. 3192.8

## CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.301610 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -1047.356724

XYZ coordinates

| C | 0.51728 | -1.93481 | -1.33661 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 1.68754 | -1.45052 | -0.03782 |
| N | -0.74544 | 0.78729 | -0.39533 |
| O | -1.45971 | 1.60087 | -1.02004 |
| H | 0.36086 | -1.10367 | -2.02248 |
| H | -0.42307 | -2.26602 | -0.90909 |
| H | 1.01032 | -2.75742 | -1.85392 |
| C | 2.73604 | 0.73822 | 1.01773 |
| C | 1.54004 | 0.21355 | 0.26377 |
| C | 0.56575 | 1.11363 | -0.20822 |
| C | 0.92772 | 2.53170 | -0.56169 |
| H | 0.21044 | 3.22340 | -0.11285 |
| H | 0.86272 | 2.66452 | -1.64538 |
| H | 1.93413 | 2.78822 | -0.24446 |
| H | 3.24107 | -0.06681 | 1.55325 |
| H | 2.43803 | 1.50961 | 1.72837 |
| H | 3.46207 | 1.16937 | 0.32185 |
| F | -2.53848 | 0.50369 | 1.18836 |
| B | -1.70327 | -0.30740 | 0.44798 |
| F | -0.91103 | -1.09603 | 1.26123 |
| F | -2.37339 | -1.04183 | -0.51317 |

## cis-MeSNO $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)$

## Freq

RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -892.748349

```
T=298.15: H= -892.679604, E+ZPE= -892.689593, G= -892.724794
Freqs: 44.1, 92.2 .. 3170.5
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -891.596791 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-891.642097
```

```
XYZ coordinates
```

XYZ coordinates
C 2.572458 1.164943 -0.000035
C 2.572458 1.164943 -0.000035
S 2.239641 -0.609228 0.000339
S 2.239641 -0.609228 0.000339
H 2.143326 1.610820 0.896746
H 2.143326 1.610820 0.896746
H 2.143205 1.610450 -0.896941
H 2.143205 1.610450 -0.896941
N 0.622741 -0.757241 0.000347
N 0.622741 -0.757241 0.000347
O -0.058009 0.301573 -0.000099
O -0.058009 0.301573 -0.000099
H 3.657677 1.257809 -0.000126
H 3.657677 1.257809 -0.000126
F -1.957879 -0.635687 1.149487
F -1.957879 -0.635687 1.149487
B -1.698444 0.060902 -0.000036
B -1.698444 0.060902 -0.000036
F -1.957854 -0.636604 -1.149006
F -1.957854 -0.636604 -1.149006
F -2.156503 1.349032 -0.000556

```
F -2.156503 1.349032 -0.000556
```

(3+2) Transition State: cis-MeSNO $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)+$ dimethylacetylene
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1

```
E_SCF= -1048.735625
```

$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.575738, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.590843, \mathrm{G}=-1048.631622$
Freqs: -279.5, 50.9 .. 3190.6

CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.221947 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -1047.278042

XYZ coordinates (Last three columns show the imaginary frequency vector)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}C & -1.803314 & -1.494655 & 1.410153 & 0.04 & 0.05 & -0.01\end{array}$
S -1.527752 -1.274475 -0.382848 0.03-0.16 -0.02
H $-1.010950-0.9941621 .9589420 .040 .10-0.05$
H -1.801912 -2.570254 1.586128 0.030 .070 .09
N 0.028235-1.167159 -0.725763 0.030 .080 .01
$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 0.598423-0.358177 & 0.192687 & 0.09 & -0.19 & 0.03\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{H} & -2.778174 & -1.073770 & 1.654174 & 0.04 & 0.09 & -0.06\end{array}$
F 2.261524 0.645467-1.219383-0.02 -0.03 0.01
В $2.136972-0.115903-0.0685160 .04-0.060 .00$
F 2.734720 -1.354329 -0.186749 0.08 -0.03 -0.02
F 2.549928 0.567991 1.063857 0.00 -0.03 -0.01
C 0.1848562 .4341930 .5435510 .090 .060 .05
C $-0.7193461 .3715560 .132407-0.22 \quad 0.350 .05$
C $-1.800898 \quad 0.828710-0.208294-0.13 \quad 0.38 \quad 0.02$
C - 3.206663 1. $183324-0.562682-0.17-0.05-0.07$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{H} & -0.399862 & 3.354575 & 0.654759 & 0.33 & 0.20 & 0.12\end{array}$
H 0.970580 2.593449 -0.195938 0.09 -0.10 0.02
H $0.6546652 .2025791 .5007000 .10-0.080 .02$
H $-3.4324950 .877900-1.586799-0.08-0.18-0.05$
н -3.353109 2. 260478 -0.475741 -0.41-0.07 -0.17
H -3.917949 0.684914 0.100436 -0.09 -0.13 -0.05

```
(3+2) Product: cis-MeSNO}(->\mp@subsup{\textrm{BF}}{3}{})+\mathrm{ dimethylacetylene
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.775805
T=298.15: H= -1048.613885, E+ZPE= -1048.628943, G= -1048.670015
Freqs: 39.0, 46.9 .. 3167.3
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.267863 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1047.324206
XYZ coordinates
C -2.359124 -1.486972 1.210712
S -1.726546 -1.034100 -0.457908
H -1.693730 -1.092377 1.976286
H -2.400631 -2.575632 1.240497
N -0.138556 -1.341957 -0.617564
O 0.517833 -0.191227 0.174524
H -3.364553 -1.074032 1.310675
F 2.408418 0.466483-1.212814
B 2.207127 -0.192334 -0.032116
F 2.522278 -1.512580 -0.045478
F 2.648973 0.483146 1.072421
C 0.378710 2.242714 0.498318
C -0.275432 0.963040 0.107286
C -1.545655 0.743224 -0.246499
C -2.702237 1.675348-0.392773
H -0.353362 3.047656 0.501091
H 1.180812 2.491284 -0.198929
H 0.815009 2.153993 1.494218
H -3.140332 1.597569 -1.390958
H -2.384941 2.707539 -0.242546
H -3.488930 1.458166 0.335346
cis-MeS}(->\mp@subsup{\textrm{BF}}{3}{})\textrm{NO
FREQ
RB3LYP/CBSB7
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -892.735625
T=298.15: H= -892.667306, E+ZPE= -892.678510, G= -892.715792
Freqs: 20.4 .. 3165.9
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -891.580366 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -891.628979
XYZ coordinates
\begin{tabular}{lllr} 
C & 1.16842 & 1.67987 & 0.42728 \\
S & 0.50482 & 0.59157 & -0.84647 \\
H & 1.78936 & 2.44332 & -0.03605
\end{tabular}
```

| H | 1.77577 | 1.07768 | 1.11319 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 1.55292 | -1.12971 | -0.37467 |
| O | 2.28863 | -1.00133 | 0.48640 |
| H | 0.34274 | 2.13007 | 0.97551 |
| F | -2.03237 | 0.92728 | 0.33758 |
| B | -1.30185 | -0.20156 | 0.12918 |
| F | -0.82084 | -0.79311 | 1.26036 |
| F | -1.77630 | -1.05295 | -0.81874 |

$\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ Insertion Transition State: cis-MeS $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NO}+$ dimethylacetylene

## FREQ <br> RB3LYP/CBSB7

Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.737024
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.577794, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.594110, \mathrm{G}=-1048.637194$
Freqs: -291.1, 31.6 .. 3155.0

CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1047.208552 CBS-QB3 Free Energy= -1047.268173

## XYZ coordinates

| C | -0.715914 | 0.810635 | 1.964195 |  | -0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | -0.503905 | 0.914065 | 0.168365 | -0.09 | -0.09 | 0.03 |  |
| N | 0.786368 | -1.139763 | 0.153671 | -0.15 | 0.05 | 0.06 |  |
| O | 0.860559 | -1.669604 | 1.184978 | -0.12 | 0.08 | 0.00 |  |
| H | -0.079082 | 1.547515 | 2.449362 | -0.06 | 0.03 | -0.03 |  |
| H | -0.463895 | -0.195628 | 2.312312 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.07 |  |
| H | -1.762640 | 1.013623 | 2.189421 | -0.07 | -0.02 | 0.09 |  |
| C | 2.190878 | 2.319681 | -0.423473 | -0.04 | 0.09 | 0.01 |  |
| C | 2.008348 | 0.879738 | -0.340308 | 0.43 | 0.05 | -0.08 |  |
| C | 2.279798 | -0.345034 | -0.321644 | 0.39 | 0.13 | -0.15 |  |
| C | 3.345956 | -1.357445 | -0.501383 | 0.06 | -0.17 | -0.03 |  |
| H | 3.436928 | -1.962078 | 0.405486 | -0.10 | -0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| H | 1.535932 | 2.771723 | -1.170314 | -0.16 | -0.05 | 0.03 |  |
| H | 3.233986 | 2.504160 | -0.710541 | -0.09 | 0.39 | 0.00 |  |
| H | 2.017239 | 2.802900 | 0.540461 | -0.15 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |
| F | -2.093012 | -1.403933 | 0.227875 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.00 |  |
| B | -2.074833 | -0.231936 | -0.501553 | -0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |
| F | -1.812323 | -0.424657 | -1.843187 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |
| F | -3.197866 | 0.544646 | -0.272251 | -0.06 | -0.02 | -0.01 |  |
| H | 4.304238 | -0.878490 | -0.701844 | 0.18 | -0.40 | -0.01 |  |
| H | 3.099299 | -2.023847 | -1.332195 | -0.05 | -0.16 | -0.01 |  |

S-N Insertion Product: cis-MeS $\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NO}+$ dimethylacetylene

## FREQ

## RB3LYP/CBSB7

Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1048.809474
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1048.646425, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1048.663018, \mathrm{G}=-1048.709654$
Freqs: 17.3 .. 3181.8

| CBS-QB3 | Enthalpy $=-\mathbf{1 0 4 7 . 2 8 3 7 3 9} \mathbf{C B S}-Q B 3$ | Free Energy $=-\mathbf{- 1 0 4 7 . 3 4 7 1 8 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| XYZ Coordinates |  |  |  |
| C | 0.05951 | -2.21484 | -0.78101 |
| S | 0.35814 | -0.49335 | -1.27710 |
| N | -2.25662 | -0.98759 | 0.41830 |
| O | -3.27921 | -1.20064 | 1.08073 |
| H | -0.87411 | -2.58046 | -1.19828 |
| H | 0.05049 | -2.30913 | 0.30106 |
| H | 0.90867 | -2.75381 | -1.20580 |
| C | -0.52918 | 2.00397 | -1.05272 |
| C | -0.85844 | 0.58675 | -0.65195 |
| C | -1.98766 | 0.33995 | 0.09537 |
| C | -2.90856 | 1.44413 | 0.54190 |
| H | -3.69029 | 1.01112 | 1.16380 |
| H | 0.35695 | 2.05948 | -1.68478 |
| H | -0.35212 | 2.61248 | -0.16164 |
| H | -1.36853 | 2.44773 | -1.59420 |
| F | 3.53826 | 0.19847 | -0.22804 |
| B | 2.61427 | 0.20663 | 0.71324 |
| F | 2.34952 | -0.89796 | 1.38661 |
| F | 2.03468 | 1.34197 | 1.05831 |
| H | -3.38053 | 1.94457 | -0.30853 |
| H | -2.37821 | 2.20457 | 1.12042 |

Norbornadiene and LA-linked Norbornadiene

Norbornadiene

```
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C2V
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -271.549277
Freqs: 423.7, 455.5 .. 3220.2
-270.975931
XYZ coordinates
C 0.000000 1.123106 0.285453
C 1.242612 0.666791 -0.509843
C 1.242612 -0.666791 -0.509843
C 0.000000 -1.123106 0.285453
C -1.242612 0.666791 -0.509843
H 0.000000 -2.158144 0.622105
C -1.242612 -0.666791 -0.509843
C 0.000000 0.000000 1.368554
H 1.930245 -1.336585 -1.008867
H 1.930245 1.336585 -1.008867
H 0.897769 0.000000 1.990460
H 0.000000 2.158144 0.622105
```

$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-271.415630, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-271.421467, \mathrm{G}=-271.448942$
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -270.942545 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=

```
H -0.897769 0.000000 1.990460
H -1.930245 -1.336585 -1.008867
H -1.930245 1.336585 -1.008867
```

(3+2) Transition State: cis-MeSNO + norbornadiene

```
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -839.586134
T=298.15: H= -839.400625, E+ZPE= -839.411187, G= -839.445886
Freqs: -406.7, 99.8 .. 3218.6
```

CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -838.229813 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-838.275232

XYZ coordinates (Last three columns show the imaginary frequency vector)

```
C 2.701037 -0.856342 -0.946191 -0.02 0.03 0.01
S 1.908534 -0.455677 0.648370 0.23 -0.03 0.11
H 2.332965 -1.812510 -1.315092 -0.12 0.08 -0.02
H 3.775967 -0.904711 -0.776824 -0.01 -0.04 -0.14
N 1.545482 1.176024 0.696728 -0.04 0.12 -0.04
O 1.088163 1.576605 -0.444879 0.41 0.22 0.17
H 2.451109 -0.055368 -1.641549 -0.06 0.08 0.07
C -1.661199 1.023122 -0.253763 -0.08 -0.04 -0.05
C -0.416747 0.452654 -0.957063 -0.34 -0.30 -0.21
C -0.177737 -0.810044 -0.354260 -0.30 -0.02 -0.24
C -1.280515 -0.973681 0.714951 -0.07 -0.02 -0.05
C -2.822952 0.090147 -0.654343 -0.15 -0.01 0.06
н -1.101733 -1.738260 1.468586 0.01 -0.02 -0.06
C -2.596968 -1.093914 -0.078877 -0.14 0.00 0.06
C -1.413523 0.498590 1.184954 0.00 -0.02 -0.05
H 0.137490 -1.666494 -0.935654 0.18 -0.06 0.10
H -0.238778 0.643540 -2.005438 0.09 0.09 -0.05
H -0.509469 0.899515 1.645016 0.02 -0.03 -0.08
H -1.828897 2.089171 -0.390185 -0.02 -0.03 -0.07
H -2.272693 0.652479 1.838882 0.04 0.02 0.00
H -3.170370 -2.005700 -0.182919 -0.15 0.01 0.10
H -3.624188 0.360964 -1.329258 -0.17 0.00 0.09
```

(3+2) Product: cis-MeSNO + norbornadiene
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -839.622797
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-839.434397, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-839.444537, \mathrm{G}=-839.478576$
Freqs: 97.2, 160.5 .. 3212.8
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -838.271771 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-838.316105

```
XYZ coordinates
C 2.688442 -1.009881 -0.914260
S 1.644197 -0.482395 0.515626
H 2.528741 -2.073779 -1.094449
H 3.722173 -0.829012 -0.621942
N 1.532454 1.132421 0.628233
O 0.823866 1.537807 -0.607638
H 2.424151 -0.417912 -1.788838
C -1.628239 1.056365-0.116853
C -0.295776 0.678472 -0.851964
C -0.001966 -0.752036 -0.277188
C -1.182300 -0.992332 0.716567
C -2.670813 0.096360 -0.682332
H -1.018168 -1.803881 1.422907
C -2.406151 -1.119465 -0.192889
C -1.417051 0.430154 1.281452
H 0.099383 -1.538616 -1.021189
H -0.421503 0.676310 -1.934932
H -0.563463 0.843795 1.815968
H -1.856893 2.119101 -0.173328
H -2.316567 0.477987 1.897611
н -2.883722 -2.056055 -0.450760
H -3.415149 0.357018 -1.424025
```

Norbornadiene- $\mathrm{BF}_{2}$

```
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: CS
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -495.678761
T=298.15: H= -495.542712, E+ZPE= -495.551632, G= -495.585246
Freqs: 40.6, 114.5 .. 3224.8 SP
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -494.805095 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-494.847750
```

XYZ coordinates
C - 0.847361 0.176025-1.124694
C -0.864642-1.301488-0.667676
C $-0.864642-1.301488 \quad 0.667676$
C $-0.847361 \quad 0.1760251 .124694$
C - $2.1779770 .808766-0.666304$
H $-0.5596510 .351668 \quad 2.158909$
C - 2.1779770 .8087660 .666304
C 0.0908530 .7361620 .000000
H $-0.932700-2.1484971 .336873$
н -0.932700 -2.148497 -1.336873
B 1.5398170 .1514450 .000000
F $2.214650-0.0906961 .124717$
F $2.214650-0.090696-1.124717$
н $-0.5596510 .351668-2.158909$
H 0.1141071 .8328050 .000000
H -2.9652601 .1245081 .337492
н -2.965260 1.124508 -1.337492

```
(3+2) Pre-reactive complex: cis-MeSNO + norbornadiene-BF2
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1063.760312
T=298.15: H= -1063.571548, E+ZPE= -1063.586123, G= -1063.627562
Freqs: 18.9, 51.8 .. 3218.9 SP
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1062.129817 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1062.186050
```

XYZ coordinates
C -3.472489 -1.506521 0.315490
S -2.489773-0.425466-0.737766
H -4.218187 -1.951271-0.343041
H $-3.954995-0.9220891 .098000$
N -1.4025930 .2051360 .429859
O -1.490286-0.103593 1.587369
H -2.836488 -2.278926 0.747280
C 1.820180-0.157746 1.066284
C 1.116834-1.481078 0.697283
C $1.081195-1.551362-0.633384$
C 1.769711-0.280651-1.173471
C 3.283859 -0.295489 0.583994
H $1.585733-0.044459-2.219876$
C 3.253101 -0.370259 -0.746900
C 1.278754 0.748241 -0.095977
н 0.714717 -2.360611 -1.250980
H 0.782586 -2.217615 1.415758
В $-0.2182621 .319916-0.128896$
F - 0. $6980031.598930-1.414307$
F -0.432002 2.3846450 .741432
H 1.6852280 .1857502 .090456
H 1.892588 1.657438 -0.159478
Н 4.079111 -0.521003 -1.429534
н 4.139822 -0.372165 1.241573
(3+2) Transition State: cis-MeSNO + norbornadiene- $\mathrm{BF}_{2}$
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF $=-1063.741009$
$\mathrm{T}=298.15$ : $\mathrm{H}=-1063.553163$, $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1063.566059$, $\mathrm{G}=-1063.603479$
Freqs: -295.6, 100.6 .. 3232.4
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy=
-1062.121133 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1062.171652

```
XYZ coordinates (Last three columns show the imaginary frequency
vector)
C -2.696474 -1.811177 0.219403 0.00 0.01 -0.02
S -1.863487 -0.505250 -0.708242 0.25 -0.10 0.04
H -2.677817 -2.695948 -0.416663 -0.03 -0.01 0.00
H -3.725281 -1.503043 0.402885 0.02 0.13 -0.15
N -1.295071 0.535180 0.504326 -0.05 0.06 0.02
O -0.947985 -0.047642 1.575206 0.40 -0.26 -0.08
H -2.164871 -1.991069 1.149920 -0.12 -0.03 0.04
C 1.730027 -0.021556 0.987075 -0.07 0.07 -0.01
C 0.710404 -1.174219 0.994447 -0.34 0.32 0.08
C 0.470565 -1.486448 -0.345543 -0.30 0.21 -0.10
C 1.297804 -0.486982 -1.171529 -0.06 0.07 -0.02
C 3.016671 -0.608970 0.379169 -0.13 -0.11 0.04
H 1.012309 -0.383543 -2.215988 0.01 0.09 -0.04
C 2.759655 -0.886947 -0.902426 -0.13 -0.11 0.04
C 1.188378 0.771476 -0.243606 0.01 0.08 -0.03
H 0.161316 -2.456720 -0.708692 0.10 0.02 0.05
H 0.581231 -1.858089 1.820154 -0.03 0.07 -0.07
В -0.206159 1.592938 -0.092183 -0.05 -0.03 0.02
F -0.732685 2.022285 -1.324449 -0.02 0.01 -0.01
F -0.117785 2.646025 0.818760 -0.01 0.01 0.00
H 1.836890 0.508872 1.929981 -0.02 0.09 -0.03
H 1.931484 1.513846 -0.559595 0.04 0.03 -0.03
H 3.405705 -1.368384 -1.624544 -0.16 -0.20 0.07
H 3.927051 -0.797580 0.932650 -0.15 -0.17 0.06
```

$(3+2)$ Product: cis-MeSNO + norbornadiene- $\mathrm{BF}_{2}$

```
Freq
RB3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p)
```

Solvation: PCM(Acetonitrile)
Symmetry: C1
Charge: 0; Mult: 1
E_SCF= -1063.791650
$\mathrm{T}=298.15: \mathrm{H}=-1063.600674, \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}=-1063.612911, \mathrm{G}=-1063.649312$
Freqs: 109.4, 134.1 .. 3212.8
CBS-QB3 Enthalpy= -1062.179339 CBS-QB3 Free Energy=
-1062. 228176
XYZ coordinates
C -2.578376 -1.924899 0.195211
S -1.555174-0.700085 -0.650690
H -2.495569 -2.853093-0.369897
Н $-3.601337-1.553171 \quad 0.157934$
N -1.3809640 .5519830 .507828
O -0.740552 -0.115163 1.610313
H -2.234916 -2.044728 1.219094
C 1.6194860 .1982670 .936004
C 0.441672-0.799744 1.128905
C 0.159657-1.282302-0.342502
C $1.139311-0.390945-1.182463$
C $2.799255-0.6009290 .407608$
н $0.884349-0.329982-2.238978$
C 2.519072 -0.947412 -0.852099

C $1.1032930 .922950-0.339252$
H $0.224992-2.352209-0.521581$
н 0.624278-1.605865 1.836046
B $-0.3424571 .627010-0.134581$
F -0.948546 $2.031805-1.351143$
F - 0. 296526 2.702694 0.769456
H 1.7915960 .8005691 .826178
н $1.8487651 .632017-0.713682$
Н $3.090341-1.594553-1.505397$
н $3.657870-0.9000560 .995328$

## Performance of CCSD(T)-F12a/VDZ-F12, CBS-QB3 and DFT Methods



Figure A1. (3+2) Cycloaddition (left) and $S-N$ bond insertion reaction (right) pathways, calculated for cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reactants at the CCSD $(T)-F 12 a / V D Z-F 12$ and PBEO/def2-SV(P)+d levels of theory (in the gas phase). $T_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ coupled cluster diagnostic values are listed for the cis$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule and the transition states $T S_{\text {cycl }}$ and $T S_{\text {Ins }}$.

Table A1. Transition state of cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction, ab initio and DFT parameters (in the gas phase).

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$, <br> $\AA$ | $E^{\neq}$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> ol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/ <br> VDZ-F12 | 1.647 | 1.252 | 2.307 | 1.881 | 26.8 |  |
| CBS-QB3 | 1.662 | 1.250 | 2.318 | 1.831 | 23.8 |  |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.648 | 1.245 | 2.327 | 1.854 | 31.8 |  |
| PBE0 | 1.643 | 1.241 | 2.311 | 1.895 | 25.5 |  |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.629 | 1.237 | 2.313 | 1.890 | 26.8 |  |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.642 | 1.242 | 2.308 | 1.891 | 23.7 |  |
| فB97XD | 1.629 | 1.240 | 2.306 | 1.879 | 30.0 |  |
| B2PLYPD | 1.660 | 1.252 | 2.297 | 1.842 | 25.4 |  |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.649 | 1.249 | 2.302 | 1.847 | 26.1 |  |
| DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.652 | 1.259 | 2.360 | 1.822 | 29.8 |  |
| PBE0 |  | 1.645 | 1.254 | 2.337 | 1.861 | 24.3 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.631 | 1.250 | 2.338 | 1.857 | 25.7 |  |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.644 | 1.255 | 2.335 | 1.856 | 22.6 |  |
| فB97XD | 1.632 | 1.254 | 2.338 | 1.844 | 28.4 |  |
| B2PLYPD | 1.659 | 1.267 | 2.333 | 1.795 | 26.9 |  |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.649 | 1.263 | 2.337 | 1.802 | 27.2 |  |

Table A2. Transition state of cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction, ab initio and DFT parameters (in the gas phase).

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $r(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$, <br> $\AA$ | $E^{\neq}$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> ol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/ <br> VDZ-F12 | 1.642 | 1.412 | 2.265 | 1.885 | 26.6 |
| CBS-QB3 | 1.656 | 1.424 | 2.264 | 1.820 | 24.5 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.640 | 1.416 | 2.273 | 1.842 | 33.3 |
| PBE0 | 1.637 | 1.402 | 2.264 | 1.888 | 26.3 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.625 | 1.396 | 2.274 | 1.891 | 27.4 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.636 | 1.404 | 2.258 | 1.884 | 23.9 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.624 | 1.402 | 2.266 | 1.883 | 30.1 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.647 | 1.421 | 2.234 | 1.827 | 24.9 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.640 | 1.415 | 2.249 | 1.839 | 25.6 |
|  | DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.645 | 1.428 | 2.286 | 1.803 | 32.1 |
| PBE0 | 1.640 | 1.415 | 2.272 | 1.845 | 25.8 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.627 | 1.409 | 2.284 | 1.851 | 26.8 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.638 | 1.417 | 2.269 | 1.840 | 23.4 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.628 | 1.415 | 2.280 | 1.844 | 29.1 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.646 | 1.434 | 2.254 | 1.776 | 27.6 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.639 | 1.428 | 2.267 | 1.790 | 27.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A3. Product of cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction, ab initio and DFT parameters (in the gas phase).

| Method | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C})$, <br> $\AA$ | $r(\mathrm{O}-$ <br> $\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $\Delta E$, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{*}$ | S-C BDE, <br> $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCSD(T)- <br> F12a/ <br> VDZ-F12 | 1.613 | 1.346 | 1.760 | 1.332 | -13.6 | 36.2 |
| CBS-QB3 | 1.631 | 1.345 | 1.775 | 1.328 | -13.0 | 34.9 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.623 | 1.342 | 1.765 | 1.325 | -6.1 | 27.1 |
| PBE0 | 1.611 | 1.341 | 1.751 | 1.319 | -18.5 | 31.8 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.604 | 1.338 | 1.747 | 1.315 | -20.4 | 31.1 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.610 | 1.341 | 1.752 | 1.320 | -19.6 | 33.2 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.609 | 1.339 | 1.755 | 1.319 | -14.3 | 32.3 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.619 | 1.345 | 1.762 | 1.329 | -9.5 | 32.2 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.615 | 1.343 | 1.759 | 1.326 | -11.2 | 32.0 |
|  | DFT methods with | def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.621 | 1.355 | 1.767 | 1.323 | -7.1 | 27.0 |
| PBE0 | 1.609 | 1.352 | 1.754 | 1.317 | -17.9 | 31.5 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.602 | 1.349 | 1.750 | 1.312 | -19.6 | 30.5 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.609 | 1.352 | 1.754 | 1.317 | -19.1 | 32.8 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.608 | 1.351 | 1.757 | 1.317 | -14.4 | 31.4 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.616 | 1.356 | 1.763 | 1.325 | -6.6 | 29.6 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.612 | 1.354 | 1.761 | 1.322 | -8.6 | 29.5 |

calculated with respect to the separated reagents (cis- $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ).

Table A4. Product of cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(3+2)$ cycloaddition reaction, ab initio and DFT parameters (in the gas phase).

| Method | $\begin{gathered} r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \\ \AA \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), \\ \AA \end{gathered}$ | $r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}), \AA$ | $\begin{gathered} r(0-C), \\ \AA \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E^{*}, \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | S-C BDE, $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CCSD(T)- } \\ \text { F12a/ } \\ \text { VDZ-F12 } \end{gathered}$ | 1.608 | 1.543 | 1.821 | 1.420 | 3.9 | 39.8 |
| CBS-QB3 | 1.622 | 1.546 | 1.862 | 1.424 | 4.0 | 39.5 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.612 | 1.543 | 1.850 | 1.421 | 15.5 | 29.4 |
| PBE0 | 1.602 | 1.537 | 1.828 | 1.410 | 3.2 | 34.2 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.596 | 1.534 | 1.819 | 1.404 | 0.9 | 33.3 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.602 | 1.537 | 1.828 | 1.411 | 1.3 | 35.7 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.601 | 1.541 | 1.829 | 1.410 | 5.7 | 35.0 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.612 | 1.542 | 1.843 | 1.423 | 8.6 | 35.9 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.608 | 1.540 | 1.838 | 1.418 | 7.1 | 35.4 |
| DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | 1.610 | 1.551 | 1.861 | 1.415 | 16.0 | 28.6 |
| PBE0 | 1.600 | 1.544 | 1.838 | 1.405 | 5.5 | 32.9 |
| PBE0-1/3 | 1.594 | 1.541 | 1.830 | 1.400 | 3.3 | 31.8 |
| PBE0-GD3 | 1.600 | 1.545 | 1.839 | 1.406 | 3.6 | 34.5 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | 1.598 | 1.549 | 1.839 | 1.406 | 7.3 | 33.2 |
| B2PLYPD | 1.608 | 1.549 | 1.853 | 1.416 | 12.9 | 32.2 |
| MPW2PLYPD | 1.604 | 1.548 | 1.848 | 1.412 | 11.0 | 31.9 |

calculated with respect to the separated reagents (cis-CH3SNO and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ )

Table A5. Complexes of cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, ab initio and DFT parameters (in the gas phase).

|  | N -coordinated$\mathrm{BH}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { O-coordinated } \\ \mathrm{BH}_{3}{ }^{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | S-coordinated $\mathrm{BH}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | $\begin{gathered} r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA \\ (\Delta r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \\ \AA), \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E, \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA \\ (\Delta r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \\ \AA), \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E, \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \AA \\ (\Delta r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}), \\ \AA \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E, \\ \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CCSD(T)- } \\ \text { F12a/ } \\ \text { VDZ-F12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.714 \\ (-0.083) \end{gathered}$ | -24.7 | $\begin{gathered} 1.670 \\ (-0.127) \end{gathered}$ | -12.8 | $\begin{gathered} 1.981 \\ (+0.184) \end{gathered}$ | -17.8 |
| CBS-QB3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.753 \\ (-0.119) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -25.4 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.679 \\ (-0.193) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -12.6 | $\begin{gathered} 2.032 \\ (+0.160) \end{gathered}$ | -17.5 |
| DFT methods with def2-TZVPPD basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | $\begin{gathered} 1.736 \\ (-0.080) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -23.2 | $\begin{gathered} 1.669 \\ (-0.147) \end{gathered}$ | -10.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.985 \\ (+0.169) \end{gathered}$ | -12.8 |
| PBE0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.714 \\ (-0.065) \end{gathered}$ | -29.5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.654 \\ (-0.124) \end{gathered}$ | -15.2 | $\begin{gathered} 1.937 \\ (+0.158) \end{gathered}$ | -19.2 |
| PBE0-1/3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.703 \\ (-0.057) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -29.1 | $\begin{gathered} 1.647 \\ (-0.112) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -15.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.905 \\ (+0.145) \end{gathered}$ | -18.7 |
| PBE0-GD3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.715 \\ (-0.065) \end{gathered}$ | -30.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1.654 \\ (-0.125) \end{gathered}$ | -16.3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.941 \\ (+0.161) \end{gathered}$ | -20.6 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | $\begin{gathered} 1.711 \\ (-0.056) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -25.3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.655 \\ (-0.112) \end{gathered}$ | -12.3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.914 \\ (+0.147) \end{gathered}$ | -16.2 |
| B2PLYPD | $\begin{gathered} 1.723 \\ (-0.089) \end{gathered}$ | -25.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.667 \\ (-0.144) \end{gathered}$ | -12.6 | $\begin{gathered} 2.014 \\ (+0.203) \end{gathered}$ | -16.3 |
| MPW2PLYPD | $\begin{gathered} 1.717 \\ (-0.078) \end{gathered}$ | -25.5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.662 \\ (-0.133) \end{gathered}$ | -13.2 | $\begin{gathered} 1.986 \\ (+0.191) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -16.2 |
| DFT methods with def2-SV(P)+d basis set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3LYP | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 1.742 \\ (-0.088) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -27.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.666 \\ (-0.164) \end{gathered}$ | -14.2 | $\begin{gathered} 2.026 \\ (+0.197) \end{gathered}$ | -15.2 |
| PBE0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.721 \\ (-0.073) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -33.5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.652 \\ (-0.143) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -17.5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.983 \\ (+0.188) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -20.9 |
| PBE0-1/3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.709 \\ (-0.060) \end{gathered}$ | -32.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.645 \\ (-0.124) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -17.2 | $\begin{gathered} 1.948 \\ (+0.179) \end{gathered}$ | -20.2 |
| PBE0-GD3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.722 \\ (-0.073) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -34.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1.652 \\ (-0.143) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -18.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1.987 \\ (+0.193) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -22.4 |
| $\omega$ B97XD | $\begin{gathered} 1.716 \\ (-0.058) \end{gathered}$ | -29.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1.653 \\ (-0.121) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -14.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1.953 \\ (+0.179) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -16.9 |
| B2PLYPD | $\begin{gathered} 1.730 \\ (-0.096) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -28.7 | $\begin{gathered} 1.664 \\ (-0.162) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -14.7 | $\begin{gathered} 2.071 \\ (+0.245) \end{gathered}$ | -18.2 |
| MPW2PLYPD | $\begin{gathered} 1.723 \\ (-0.085) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -29.4 | $\begin{gathered} 1.659 \\ (-0.149) \end{gathered}$ | -15.5 | $\begin{gathered} 2.041 \\ (+0.234) \end{gathered}$ | -18.1 |

$\Delta r(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N})$ indicates the difference in bond length between the corresponding cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO} / \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ complex and the isolated cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ molecule

Table A6. The results of fitting the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond energy profiles in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ to the harmonic potential, $E=k \Delta x^{2}$.

| Method/Basis set | $k$, mDyne/Å | $R^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ |  |  |
| CCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.78313 | 0.9683 |
| DCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.67259 | 0.9976 |
| CCSD(T)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.65873 | 0.8909 |
| CCSDT/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.62930 | 0.9787 |
| CCSDT(Q)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.55738 | 0.9603 |
| FPD | 0.84089 | 0.9591 |
| PBE0/def2-TZVPPD | 1.05325 | 0.8953 |
| PBE0-GD3/def2-TZVPPD | 1.05528 | 0.8266 |
| MPW2PLYP/def2-TZVPPD | 0.87296 | 0.9599 |
| MPW2PLYPD/def2TZVPPD | 0.87623 | 0.9930 |
| trans- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SNO}$ |  |  |
| CCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.76367 | 0.9809 |
| DCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.62744 | 0.9611 |
| CCSD(T)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.61327 | 0.8696 |
| CCSDT/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.58587 | 0.9312 |
| CCSDT(Q)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.52063 | 0.9907 |
| cis-trans isomerization TS |  |  |
| CCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.53636 | 0.9931 |
| DCSD/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.43438 | 0.9813 |
| CCSD(T)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.37117 | 0.9279 |
| CCSDT/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.36307 | 0.9474 |
| CCSDT(Q)/cc-pV(D+d)Z | 0.33084 | 0.9771 |
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