



# Global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal flow for the Oseen–Frank model in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Min-Chun Hong<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhouping Xin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia*

<sup>b</sup> *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong*

Received 25 October 2010; accepted 26 June 2012

Available online 9 August 2012

Communicated by Gang Tian

---

## Abstract

In the first part of this paper, we establish the global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal (gradient) flow for the well-known Oseen–Frank model. The liquid crystal flow is a prototype of equations from the Ericksen–Leslie system in the hydrodynamic theory and generalizes the heat flow for harmonic maps into the 2-sphere. The Ericksen–Leslie system is a system of the Navier–Stokes equations coupled with the liquid crystal flow. In the second part of this paper, we also prove the global existence of solutions of the Ericksen–Leslie system for a general Oseen–Frank model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Crown Copyright © 2012 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35K50; 35Q30

Keywords: Liquid crystals flow; Navier–Stokes equations

---

## 1. Introduction

A *liquid crystal* is a state of matter intermediate between a crystalline solid and a normal isotropic liquid. Research into liquid crystals is an area of a very successful synergy between mathematics and physics. There are a lot of analytical and computational issues, which arise in the attempt to study static equilibrium configurations. Numerical and experimental analysis has

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [hong@maths.uq.edu.au](mailto:hong@maths.uq.edu.au) (M.-C. Hong).

shown that equilibrium configurations are expected to have point and line singularities [21]. Mathematically, Hardt et al. in their fundamental papers [15,16] proved the existence of an energy minimizer  $u$  of the liquid crystal functional and showed that a minimizer  $u$  is smooth away from a closed set  $\Sigma$  of  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $\Sigma$  has Hausdorff dimension strictly less than one. In [1], Almgren and Lieb did some related analysis indicating that the phenomenon is of wider interest. In physical theory, an equilibrium configuration corresponds to a critical point, not necessarily an energy minimizer, of the liquid crystal energy. Critical points are much harder to understand mathematically than minima. From the above result of Hardt et al., minimizers cannot have line singularities. Following the work of Bethuel–Brezis–Coron on harmonic maps in [4], Giaquinta et al. [12] found a relaxed energy for the liquid crystal systems, whose minimizers are also equilibrium configurations. On the other hand, Giaquinta et al. [11] also proved that minimizers of the relaxed energy for harmonic maps are smooth away from a 1-dimensional singular set. Further developments on the regularity results on harmonic maps were surveyed in [13]. There is an interesting open problem to prove that minimizers of the relaxed liquid crystal energy have line singularities. The first author in [17] proved the partial regularity of minimizers of the modified relaxed energy of the liquid crystal energy. However, the partial regularity of minimizers of the relaxed energy for liquid crystals is still mysterious. In some related studies of liquid crystals, Bauman et al. [3] studied the Landau–de Gennes free energy used to describe the transition between chiral nematic and the smectic liquid crystal phase, Lin and Pan [29] used the Landau–de Gennes models to investigate the magnetic field induced instabilities in liquid crystals, and the existence of infinitely many liquid crystal equilibrium configurations prescribing the same boundary was obtained in [18].

A general description of the static theory of liquid crystals is given by Ericksen in [9]. A liquid crystal is composed of rod like molecules which display orientational order, unlike a liquid, but lacking the lattice structure of a solid. The kinematic variable in the nematic and the cholesteric phase may be taken to the optic axis, which is a unit vector field  $u$  in a region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  occupied by the materials. The liquid crystal energy for a configuration  $u \in H^1(\Omega; S^2)$  is given by

$$E(u; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} W(u, \nabla u) \, dx, \tag{1.1}$$

where the Oseen–Frank density  $W(u, \nabla u)$ , depending on positive material constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$ , is given by

$$W(u, \nabla u) = k_1(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + k_2(u \cdot \operatorname{curl} u)^2 + k_3|u \times \operatorname{curl} u|^2 + k_4[\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^2 - (\operatorname{div} u)^2].$$

Without loss of generality, as in [15] or [13], we rewrite the density

$$W(u, \nabla u) = a|\nabla u|^2 + V(u, \nabla u), \quad a = \min\{k_1, k_2, k_3\} > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$V(u, \nabla u) = (k_1 - a)(\operatorname{div} u)^2 + (k_2 - a)(u \cdot \operatorname{curl} u)^2 + (k_3 - a)|u \times \operatorname{curl} u|^2.$$

A static equilibrium configuration corresponds to an extremal (critical point) of the energy functional  $E$  in  $H^1(\Omega, S^2)$ . The Euler–Lagrange system for the general Oseen–Frank functional (1.1) (see details in the Appendix of Section 5) is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha} \left[ W_{p_{\alpha}^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^l u^i V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) \right] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l u^i \\ + W_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha} u^l u^i + V_{p_{\alpha}^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l \nabla_{\alpha} u^i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , where we adopt the standard summation convention. In a special case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ , the system (1.3) becomes the harmonic map equations into  $S^2$ . However, the equilibrium system associated to the energy functional (1.1) is not elliptic for every choice of the constants  $k_1, k_2$  and  $k_3$ .

In the first part of this paper, we investigate the liquid crystal flow for a model with the Oseen–Frank density (1.2). For a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , a map  $u(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow S^2$  is a solution of the liquid crystal flow if  $u$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = & \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^l u^i V_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u) \right] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \\ & + W_{u^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l u^i + W_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^l u^i + V_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u) u^l \nabla_\alpha u^i \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

in  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

The flow equation (1.4) is a prototype of equations from the Ericksen–Leslie system in the hydrodynamic theory (cf. [9]). The liquid crystal flow (1.4) also generalizes the heat flow for harmonic maps into the 2-sphere. Since the seminal work of Eells and Sampson [7], many studies on the heat flow for harmonic maps have been carried out. In 2-dimensional case, Struwe [33] established the global existence of the weak solution of the harmonic maps flow with initial data, where the solution is smooth except for a finite number of singularities. In higher dimensional cases, Chen and Struwe [6] proved the global existence of partially regular solutions to the harmonic map flow. Since (1.4) is not parabolic, the system of the liquid crystal flow is complicated, so the question on global existence for the liquid crystal flow (1.4) for the Oseen–Frank model remains unresolved. In this paper, we prove the global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal flow in 2D.

We set

$$H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) := \left\{ u : u - b \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3), |u| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

for a constant vector  $b \in S^2$ .

Then, one of our main results in this paper is the following global existence for this flow in 2D (i.e.  $u$  is a constant along a direction in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ):

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2)$  be a given map. Then there exists a global weak solution  $u(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow S^2$  of (1.4) with initial value  $u(0) = u_0$  such that  $u$  is smooth in  $\Omega \times [0, +\infty)$  except for a finite number of singularities  $\{(x_i^l, T_l)\}_{l=1}^K \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty)$  with an integer  $K > 0$  depending on  $u_0$ . Moreover, there are two constants  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that each singular point  $x_i^l$  at the time  $T_l$  is characterized by the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_l} E \left( u(t), B_R \left( x_i^l \right) \right) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any  $R > 0$  with  $R \leq R_0$ .

This result can be regarded as an extension of the well-known result of Struwe in [33] on the heat flow for harmonic maps in dimension two. Since the liquid crystal flow is not a parabolic system, the flow (1.4) is more complicated than the harmonic map flow. In particular, we cannot apply the well-known theory of partial differential equations directly to prove the local existence for the liquid crystal flow. Instead, we consider a family of Ginzburg–Landau approximation flows to prove the local existence of solutions to (1.4). To prove Theorem 1, we need to get a

$L^2$ -estimate of  $\nabla^2 u$  similarly to one in [33]. However, the flow (1.4) is not a parabolic system, so we overcome the difficulties due to the term  $\nabla_\alpha [u^l u^i V_{p_\alpha}^i(u, \nabla u)]$  by using the fact that  $|u| = 1$  as observed in [17].

In the second part of this paper, we investigate the Ericksen–Leslie system with the Oseen–Frank density  $W(u, \nabla u)$  in (1.2). In the 1960s, Ericksen [9] and Leslie [23] established the hydrodynamic theory of liquid crystals independently. The Ericksen–Leslie theory describes the dynamic flow of liquid crystals, including the velocity vector  $v$  and direction vector  $u$  of the fluid. Let  $v = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$  be the velocity vector of the fluid and  $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$  the unit direction vector. The Ericksen–Leslie system in  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  is given by (e.g. [24,27])

$$v_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)v^i - v \Delta v^i + \nabla_{x_i} P = -\lambda \nabla_{x_j} (\nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

$$u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i = \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^l V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u)u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u)\nabla_\alpha u^l u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \tag{1.7}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , prescribing the boundary condition

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad u(x, t) = u_0(x), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \tag{1.8}$$

and with initial data

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \operatorname{div} v_0 = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \tag{1.9}$$

Here  $\nu, \lambda$  are given positive constants, and  $P$  is the pressure.

The system (1.5)–(1.7) is a system of the Navier–Stokes equations coupled with the liquid crystal flow (1.4). The study of the Navier–Stokes equations is of great interest. Tremendous results on the existence and the partial regularity for the Navier–Stokes equations have been established (e.g. [31,5,25,35]). In this paper, we are only concentrating on the existence of solutions of the Ericksen–Leslie system. Since the functional  $E(u; \Omega)$  in (1.1) with the constraint  $|u| = 1$  is complicated, one considers Ginzburg–Landau functionals

$$E_\varepsilon(u; \Omega) = \int_\Omega \left[ W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2 \right] dx$$

for any function  $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then, the approximating Ericksen–Leslie system is given by

$$v_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)v^i - v \Delta v^i + \nabla_{x_i} P = -\lambda \nabla_{x_j} (\nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)), \tag{1.10}$$

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

$$u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i = \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \right] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}u^i(1 - |u|^2) \tag{1.12}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , prescribing the boundary condition (1.8) and initial condition (1.9).

In the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ , Lin and Liu [27] proved the global existence of the classical solution of (1.10)–(1.12) with (1.8) and (1.9) in dimension two and the weak solution of the same system in dimension three. Lin and Liu in [28] also analyzed the limit of solutions  $(v_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$  of (1.10)–(1.12) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , but it is not clear that the limiting solution satisfies the original Ericksen–Leslie system (1.5)–(1.7) with  $|u| = 1$ . Therefore, there is an interesting question to establish the global existence of solutions of (1.5)–(1.7) with (1.8) and (1.9). The question for

the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$  has been answered by the first author in [19] in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and Lin et al. [26] in a general case for a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  independently. The system (1.5)–(1.7) or (1.10)–(1.12) for the general Oseen–Frank model is more complicated than the system for the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$  since there is no maximum principle for the parabolic system (1.12) in the case  $k_1 \neq k_2$  (see [2]) and the term  $W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)$  in (1.12) will cause a trouble to prove the global existence for the system.

In this paper, we will prove the global existence of weak solutions to the Ericksen–Leslie system (1.5)–(1.7) for a general Oseen–Frank model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . More precisely, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  be given initial data with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ . Then, there exists a global weak solution  $(u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial values (1.9), where the solution  $(u, v)$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times ((0, +\infty) \setminus \{T_i\}_{i=1}^L)$  for a finite number of times  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^L$ . Moreover, there are two constants  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that each singular point  $(x_i^t, T_i) \in \Sigma \times \{T_i\}$  is characterized by the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_i} \int_{B_R(x_i^t)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any  $R > 0$  with  $R \leq R_0$ .

The main idea to prove **Theorem 2** is to combine the idea in [19] with the proofs of **Theorem 1**. The first key step is to prove the local existence of solutions of the system (1.5)–(1.7) by considering the approximation system (1.10)–(1.12). To prove the global existence of solutions to (1.5)–(1.7), one of the key steps is to get a  $L^2$ -estimate of  $\nabla^2 u$  and  $\nabla v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$  under a small energy condition as in [33]. To show the regularity of the weak solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.5)–(1.7) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$ , we establish a local energy inequality under the small energy condition, which was first used by Struwe in [34] for the  $H$ -system flow. Finally, we prove the regularity of solutions by controlling  $L^2$ -estimate of  $\nabla^2 u$  and  $\nabla v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for  $t \in (0, T)$ . Since (1.7) is not a parabolic system, the proof of **Theorem 2** is more difficult than one for the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$  in [19]. We overcome a number of difficulties on the regularity and the uniqueness for the systems by employing the invariance of the density (1.2) after a rotation.

**Remark 1.1.** The referee kindly pointed out to us that Lin and Wang [30] proved recently the uniqueness of the weak solutions in **Theorem 2** for the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ . However, it does not seem easy for us to adapt their analysis to prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions in **Theorems 1** and **2**, due to the difficulty in handling the term  $\nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^l u^i V_{p_\alpha^l}(u, \nabla u)]$  in Eq. (1.4) or (1.7). We hope to investigate this issue elsewhere.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the global existence for the liquid crystal flow (1.4) in 2D. Some global estimates for (1.5)–(1.7) are established in Section 3. Then, we complete a proof of **Theorem 2** in Section 4. Finally, the regularity issue for the systems is dealt with in Section 5.

## 2. Existence of partial regular solutions of the liquid crystal flow

First, it is noted that due to (1.2), the density function  $W(z, p)$  satisfies

$$a|p|^2 \leq W(z, p) \leq C|p|^2, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^3, p \in \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}$$

for some  $0 < a \leq C < +\infty$  and since  $W(z, p)$  is quadratic and convex in  $p$ , it holds that

$$\nabla_{p_i^k p_j^l}^2 W(z, p) \xi_i^k \xi_j^l \geq a |\xi|^2$$

for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}$ , any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $p \in \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 3}$ .

In this section, we consider the flow (1.4) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For simplicity of notations,  $u$  is assumed to be a constant along  $x_3$ -direction in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ; i.e.  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$ .

For any two positive constants  $\tau$  and  $T$  with  $\tau < T$ , we define

$$V(\tau, T) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow S^2, \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies} \right. \\ \left. \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt < \infty \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u \in V(0, T)$  be a solution of the system (1.4) with initial value  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ . Then, for any  $t_1 \in [0, T]$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, t_1)} |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt + E(u(t_1)) \leq E(u_0). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $R > 0$ , it holds that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} W(u(x, t), \nabla u(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} W(u_0(x), \nabla u_0(x)) dx \\ + C \frac{t}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

**Proof.** Multiplying (1.4) by  $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t}$  yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_\alpha u^i dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} dx.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx = 0.$$

(2.1) follows from integrating the above identity.

Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$  be a cut-off function satisfying  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ ,  $|\nabla \phi| \leq C/R$  and  $\phi \equiv 1$  on  $B_R(x_0)$ . Multiplying (1.4) by  $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \phi^2$  and then using Young’s inequality yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 \phi^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u(x, t), \nabla u(x, t)) \phi^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| |\nabla u| \phi |\nabla \phi| dx \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 \phi^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) |\nabla \phi|^2 dx.$$

Then, (2.2) follows from using (2.1) and integrating the above inequality.  $\square$

From [33] we obtain the following.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There are constants  $C$  and  $R_0$  such that for any  $u \in V(0, T)$  and any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 dx dt \leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt + R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \right).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $u \in V(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.4) with initial value  $u_0 \in H^1$ . Then there are constants  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt \leq CE(u_0) (1 + TR^{-2}), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0) (1 + TR^{-2}). \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof.** Multiplying (1.4) by  $\Delta u^i$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \Delta u^i dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \Delta u^i dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) (\Delta u^i - u^k u^i \Delta u^k) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \Delta u^i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \Delta u^i dx := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  of the above identity can be controlled by  $C|\nabla u|^2 |\Delta u|$ . It suffices to estimate terms  $I_1$  and  $I_4$ . Since  $|u|^2 = 1$ ,  $-u^i \Delta u^i = |\nabla u|^2$ . We note

$$\nabla_\alpha [u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] = \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) + u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) + u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u).$$

Integration by parts twice yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \right] \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^k \nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\nabla_\alpha V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) = V_{p_\alpha^k p_\gamma^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\gamma^2 u^l + V_{p_\alpha^k u^l}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^l$$

and

$$\nabla_\beta W_{p_\alpha^i} (u, \nabla u) = W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j + W_{p_\alpha^i u^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

As pointed out at the beginning of this section, we have

$$W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j \geq a |\nabla^2 u|^2$$

for the constant  $a > 0$ . Then, choosing  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  to be sufficiently small and applying Lemma 2.2 lead to (2.3) and (2.4).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $u \in V(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.4) with initial value  $u_0 \in H^1$ . Assume that*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ . Let  $\tau \in (0, T]$  be any constant. Then it holds for all  $t \in [\tau, T]$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_0, \tag{2.6}$$

with a uniform constant depending only on  $\tau, T, R_0$ , and  $E(u_0)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Lemma 3.10 of [33]. Using a proper cut-off function if necessary, we assume in the following proof that  $\int |\partial_t \nabla u|^2(\cdot, t), dx$  is finite.

Differentiate (1.4) with respect to  $t$ , multiply the resulting identity by  $\partial_t u^i$ , and then integrate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\beta^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \partial_t u^i \nabla_\beta \partial_t u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u| |\partial_t u| |\nabla \partial_t u|) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^i \nabla_\alpha \left[ u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha^k} (u, \nabla \partial_t u) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\beta^j} (u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \partial_t u^i \nabla_\beta \partial_t u^j dx \geq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Since  $|u| = 1$ , so  $\sum_i \partial_t u^i u^i = 0$ . And hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_t u^i \nabla_\alpha \left( u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha^k} (u, \nabla \partial_t u) \right) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u| |\nabla u| |\nabla \partial_t u| dx.$$

It follows from these and Cauchy’s inequality that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{2.7}$$



Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla u|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx + \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.7), yields that for all  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ \leq \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from (2.8), (2.4), Lemma 2.1, and Gronwall’s inequality that for any  $0 < s \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx &\leq \left( e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, l)|^4 dx dl} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx \\ &\leq e^{C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.1) shows that for any fixed  $0 < \tau < T$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \leq C\tau^{-1} E(u_0) e^{C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})}, \tag{2.9}$$

with a uniform constant  $C$ . On the other hand, using (2.5), integration by parts yields that for any  $t \in [\tau, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \frac{C\varepsilon_1}{R_0^2} E(u_0) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.9) shows that for suitably small  $\varepsilon_1$ , the desired estimate (2.6) holds with

$$C_0 \equiv CE(u_0) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1}{R^2} + \tau^{-1} e^{C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1+TR^{-2})} \right). \tag{2.10}$$

By the well-known Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality, we have for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)| &\leq C \|u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)\|_{H^2(B_1(x))}^{3/4} \|u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)\|_{L^2(B_1(x))}^{1/4} \\ &\leq C \left( \sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{3/4} + 1 \right) |t_1 - t_2|^{1/8} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t u|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/8} \\ &\leq C |t_1 - t_2|^{1/8}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and the Sobolev embedding theorem that  $u(x, t)$  is Hölder continuous in  $x$  uniformly for  $t \in [\tau, T]$ . Then we get that  $u$  is Hölder continuous in  $C^{1/8}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T])$  for any  $T < T_1$ . Due to Proposition 5.1 in the Appendix,  $u$  is in  $C^{1, \frac{1}{8}}$ . Hence,  $u$  is regular in  $(0, T_1)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $u \in V(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.4) with initial value  $u_0 \in H_b^2$ . Assume that there are constants  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ . Then, for any  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $R \leq R_0$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_1 \equiv C_1(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2}, C_0).$$

**Theorem 3 (Local Existence).** For a map  $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ , there is a solution  $u \in V(0, t_1)$  of (1.4) with initial value  $u_0$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ .

**Proof.** For any map  $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ , it can be approximated by a sequence of smooth maps in  $H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$  is smooth. The liquid crystal flow is not a parabolic system, so one cannot apply the well-known local existence theory. Instead, we prove the local existence by an approximation of the Ginzburg–Landau flow in the following:

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \right] - W_{u^i}(u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_\varepsilon^i (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2) \tag{2.11}$$

with initial value  $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$  and  $u_0 \in C^\infty$ . Applying the standard local existence theory of quasi-linear parabolic systems (cf. [8] or [2]), there is a local regular solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of (2.11) with initial value  $u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0$ .

For simplicity of notations, we define

$$\tilde{V}(\tau, T) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies} \right. \\ \left. \text{ess sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) dx dt < \infty \right\}$$

for  $0 \leq \tau < T < +\infty$  and set

$$e_\varepsilon(u) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2, \quad E_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_\varepsilon(u) dx.$$

Taking the inner product of (2.11) with  $\partial_t u_\varepsilon$ , one can obtain that for any  $s > 0$  in the maximal interval of existence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, s)} |\partial_t u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt + E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s)) \leq E(u_0). \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, repeating similar arguments in Lemma 2.3 (see (2.29) below) yields that the solution  $u_\varepsilon$  belongs to  $\tilde{V}(0, T_\varepsilon)$  for a maximum time  $T_\varepsilon$  and hence is regular  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T_\varepsilon)$ . The maximum

time  $T_\varepsilon$  is characterized in the following: For a singular point  $x_0$  at  $T_\varepsilon$ , there are  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_\varepsilon} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

for any positive  $R \leq R_0$ .

Next, we will show that there is a uniform lower bound time  $t_1 > 0$  such that  $T_\varepsilon \geq t_1$  and  $u_\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $\tilde{V}(0, t_1)$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ .

A similar argument as in Lemma 2.1 shows

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_0(x)) dx + C \frac{t}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx$$

for  $t \leq T_\varepsilon$ .

It follows from this inequality that for suitably small  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $R_0$ , there is a time  $t_1$  uniform in  $\varepsilon$  with  $t_1 \leq T_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1 \tag{2.13}$$

for  $R \leq R_0$  and thus  $u_\varepsilon$  is smooth for  $[0, t_1]$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next, we claim that for  $0 \leq t \leq t_1$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |u_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{2.14}$$

To verify this claim, we re-scale the solution by  $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t)$ . Then  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \right] - W_{u^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \tag{2.15}$$

with initial value  $u_0(\varepsilon x)$ . Let  $\tau$  be the maximal time in  $\left[0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}\right]$  such that (2.14) holds, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \tau]$ . Note that in this case, the basic energy inequality (2.12) becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, s]} |\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (W(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u})(s) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}(s)|^2)^2) dx \leq E(u_0)$$

for all  $s \in \left[0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}\right]$ , (2.16)

and the condition (2.13) turns into

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 \leq s \leq \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\varepsilon}}(x)} \left( |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, s)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 \right) dx < \varepsilon_1 \tag{2.17}$$

for  $R \leq R_0$ .

Multiplying (2.15) by  $\Delta \tilde{u}$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  lead to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \right] \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla |\tilde{u}|^2|^2 dx.$$

Then, combining the above identity with (2.18) yields that for any  $s, t \in [0, \tau]$  with  $s \leq t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 \tilde{u}^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 \tilde{u}^j dx dt \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx + C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + \eta[(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2] dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for a sufficiently small  $\eta > 0$  to be chosen.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.15) that for  $s, t \in [0, \tau]$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} (|\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx dt. \tag{2.20}$$

Combining Lemma 2.2 with (2.17) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt & \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{R_0^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx dt \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

As a consequence of (2.19)–(2.21), (2.16), and suitable choices of  $\eta$  and  $\varepsilon_1$ , one can get that  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}_\tau$ , and for any  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \tau$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} \left[ |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 \right] dx dt \leq CE(u_0)(1 + \varepsilon^2(t - s)R_0^{-2}), \tag{2.22}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [s, t]} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0)(1 + \varepsilon^2(t - s)R_0^{-2}). \tag{2.23}$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4, one can derive from (2.15) that there exists a positive uniform constant  $a$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t (|\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t (|\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields immediately that for any  $0 \leq t \leq \tau \in \left(0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}\right]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq e^{C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, s)|^4 dx ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, 0)|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left( e^{C \int_0^l \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, s)|^4 dx ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, s)|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, s)|^2) dx \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this, (2.23),  $u_0 \in H_b^2$ , and the definition of  $\tau$  that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_1 \equiv C_1(E(u_0), \varepsilon_1, t_1, \|u_0\|_{H_b^2}, R_0) \tag{2.25}$$

with a positive constant  $C_1$  independent of  $\tau \in \left(0, \frac{t_1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$  given by

$$C_1 = C(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2}) e^{\varepsilon_1 E(u_0) \left(1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2}\right)}. \tag{2.26}$$

Using (2.18), an integration by parts implies that for all  $t \in (0, \tau]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx \\ & \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 2.2, and (2.17), one has

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x, t)|^4 dx \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{C \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon^2}{R_0^2} E(u_0).$$

Thus one can get that for all  $t \in (0, \tau)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq CE(u_0) \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon^2}{R_0^2}\right) + CC_1. \tag{2.27}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem,  $\tilde{u}$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder continuous in  $x$  uniformly in all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  with  $\beta < 1$ . Repeating the similar analysis as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 and using Proposition 5.1 in the Appendix, we get  $\tilde{u} \in C^{1, \frac{1}{8}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \tau)$ . If there is a  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that either  $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| < \frac{1}{2}$  or  $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| > \frac{3}{2}$ . By the uniform Hölder continuity of  $\tilde{u}$ , there exists a constant  $C_2$  with the property that  $\frac{1}{4C_2} < \frac{R_0}{\varepsilon}$ , and

$$(1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}, \quad x \in B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(x_1).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(x_1)} (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{4} |B_{\frac{1}{4C_2}}(0)| > 2\varepsilon_1, \tag{2.28}$$

which contradicts to (2.17) for suitably small  $\varepsilon_1$ . Here we have used the fact that  $C_2$  depends only on the upper bound of  $C_1$ , which may be chosen to be independent of  $\varepsilon_1$  by the choice of  $t_1$ . This implies that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . By the continuity of  $u$  at  $\tau$  and the maximal choice of  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  must be the value  $\frac{t_1}{\varepsilon_2}$ . This shows that (2.14) holds for all  $t \in [0, t_1]$ .

Next, it follows from (2.12), (2.22) and (2.23) that  $u_\varepsilon$  are uniformly bounded in  $\tilde{V}(0, t_1)$  for all  $\varepsilon$  and

$$\int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ |\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2)^2 \right] dx dt \leq CE(u_0) \left( 1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2} \right), \tag{2.29}$$

$$\int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x, t)|^4 dx dt \leq C\varepsilon_1 E(u_0) \left( 1 + \frac{t_1}{R_0^2} \right). \tag{2.30}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we can prove the local existence of a solution of (1.4) in  $V(0, t_1)$ .  $\square$

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3, there is a local solution  $u$  on  $[0, t_1)$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ . By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the solution can be extended to  $[0, T_1)$  for a maximal time  $T_1 > 0$  such that there is a singular set  $\Sigma$  at  $T_1$ . Each singularity  $x_i^1 \in \Sigma$  at  $T_1$  is characterized by the condition

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_1} E(u(t), B_R(x_i^1)) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any  $R > 0$  with  $R \leq R_0$ . It is easily shown that the solution  $u \in V$  is regular for all  $t \in (0, T_1)$ . By Lemma 2.1, we can show that the singular set  $\Sigma$  and the singular times are finite (See [33]). Theorem 1 is thus proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Although we cannot prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions in Theorem 1, we will prove the uniqueness of smooth solutions (see Lemma 3.5 below in Section 3).

### 3. Global existence for the Ericksen–Leslie system

In this section, we derive a priori estimates for solutions to the Ericksen–Leslie system (1.5)–(1.7). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $v = \lambda = 1$  in (1.5).

For the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ , we still consider (1.5)–(1.7) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by taking  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} = 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$ . In this case,  $\nabla \cdot v = \frac{\partial v^1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v^2}{\partial x_2} = 0$  in (1.6) is well-defined.

For two positive constants  $\tau$  and  $T$  with  $\tau < T$ , we denote

$$V(\tau, T) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow S^2 \mid u \text{ is measurable and satisfies} \right. \\ \left. \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) dx dt < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$H(\tau, T) := \left\{ v : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v \text{ is measurable and satisfies} \right. \\ \left. \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt < \infty \right\}.$$

For each pair  $(u, v)$ , define

$$e(u, v) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2, \quad E(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u, v) dx.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial values  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2)$  and  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then for  $t \in (0, T]$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx dt \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx. \tag{3.1}$$

**Proof.** Multiplying (1.5) by  $v$  and using (1.6), one gets

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_j v^i \nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) dx. \tag{3.2}$$

Multiplying (1.7) by  $u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u$  yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) \left( \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \right) dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i) dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i) (+W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that  $|u|^2 = 1$  implies

$$u^i \partial_t u^i = 0, \quad u^i \nabla_{x_\alpha} u^i = 0.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i \left( \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \right. \\ \left. - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \right) dx \\ = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha u_t^i \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \right] - u_t^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) dx = - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Using (1.6) and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla) u^i \left( \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + v^k [\nabla_k \nabla_\alpha u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + \nabla_k u^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)] dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from (3.3)–(3.5) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla) u|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) dx. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, (3.1) follows from integrating (3.2) and (3.6) in  $t$ .  $\square$

By the same proof as in Lemma 3.1 of [33] (see also [20]), there exists a constant  $C_1$  such that for any  $f \in H(0, T)$  and any  $R > 0$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |f|^4 dx dt &\leq C_1 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |f(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla f|^2 dx dt + R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |f|^2 dx dt \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Then, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial values  $u_0 \in H^1$  and  $v_0 \in L^2$ . Then there are constants  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \leq C (1 + TR^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 (1 + TR^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx. \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof.** Multiplying  $\Delta u^i$  with (1.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) u^i \right) \Delta u^i dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \Delta u^i dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^k u^i W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) \Delta u^i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k \Delta u^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \Delta u^i dx. \end{aligned}$$



As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_{p_\alpha^i p_\gamma^j}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^i \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|) dx \\ & \leq \frac{b}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.7) and Lemma 2.2 again shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4 dx dt & \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + C_1 \varepsilon_1 R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.8) and (3.9) follow by choosing  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{b}{4C_1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial values  $(u_0, v_0)$ . Assume that there exist constants  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{B_{R_0}(x)} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1.$$

Then for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $R \leq R_0$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} e(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) dx + \int_0^t \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left( |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u|^2 \right) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx + C_2 \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $C_2$  is a uniform positive constant.

**Proof.** Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$  be a cut-off function with  $\phi \equiv 1$  on  $B_R(x_0)$  and  $|\nabla \phi| \leq \frac{C}{R}$ ,  $|\nabla^2 \phi| \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$  for all  $R \leq R_0$ .

Multiplying (1.5) by  $v\phi^2$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_t \cdot v\phi^2 + (v \cdot \nabla)v \cdot v\phi^2 - \Delta v \cdot v\phi^2 + \nabla P \cdot v\phi^2 dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{x_j} v^i \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) v^i \nabla_{x_j} \phi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v_t \cdot v\phi^2 - \Delta v \cdot v\phi^2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(v\phi^2) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi \Delta \phi) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (1.6) give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} P v^i \phi^2 dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k \nabla_{x_k} v^i v^i \phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k \nabla_{x_k} (|v|^2) \phi^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k |v|^2 \phi \nabla_{x_k} \phi \, dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \phi^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \phi^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + 2P + |\nabla u|^2) v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \phi \Delta \phi) \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{x_j} v^i \phi^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) v^i \nabla_{x_j} \phi^2 \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Multiplying (1.7) by  $(u_t^i + (v \cdot \nabla)u^i)\phi^2$  and using  $|u| = 1$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 \phi^2 \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^l \nabla_l u^i) \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^l \nabla_l u^i) (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\nabla_\alpha u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) + u_t^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 \, dx \\ &= - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_t^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts twice and using (1.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] \phi^2 \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i (-W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i) \phi^2 \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla_\alpha v^l \nabla_l u^i + v^l \nabla_l \nabla_\alpha u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 \, dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v^l \nabla_l u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l \nabla_l u^i W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^l \nabla_l u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v^l \nabla_l u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 \, dx \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_l \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above three identities yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_t^i + v^k \nabla_k u^i) W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha \phi^2 dx \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_k \phi dx \\
 & \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha v^k \nabla_k u^i W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \phi^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\
 & \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^k W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_k \phi dx. \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.11) and (3.12) in  $t$  on  $[0, s]$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(\cdot, s), v(\cdot, s)) \phi^2 dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 \right) \phi^2 dx dt \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \phi^2 dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + 2P) v^i \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx dt \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla) u^k W_{p_i^k}(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_{x_i} \phi dx dt + 2 \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v^l W(u, \nabla u) \phi \nabla_l \phi dx \\
 & \quad + C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) (|\nabla \phi|^2 + |\phi| |\Delta \phi|) dx dt. \tag{3.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.1), shows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|v(\cdot, s)|^2 + |\nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2) dx + \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_t + (v \cdot \nabla)u|^2 \right) \phi^2 dx dt \\
 & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (|v_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2) dx + C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |P|) |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| dx dt \\
 & \quad + C \frac{s}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2) dx. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Hölder inequality, (3.1) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| dx dt \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) \frac{|v|}{R} dx dt \\
 & \leq C \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v|^2}{R^2} dx dt \right) \\
 & \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx. \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P| |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| dx dt \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P| \frac{|v|}{R} dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v|^2}{R^2} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for  $R \leq R_0$ .

On the other hand, it follows from the relation that

$$\Delta P = -\nabla_{x_i x_j} \left[ \nabla_{x_i} u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) + v^j v^i \right] \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T],$$

due to (1.5), and the Calderon–Zygmund estimate (cf. [5]) that

$$\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P|^2 dx dt \leq C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left( 1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx.$$

This, together with (3.16), yields

$$\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P| |v| |\phi| |\nabla \phi| dx dt \leq C \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{s}{R^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx. \tag{3.17}$$

The desired estimate (3.10) now follows from (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial value  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\text{div } v_0 = 0$ . Assume that there are constants  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ . Let  $\tau$  be any positive constant. Then, for  $t \in [\tau, T]$ , it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + T R^{-2}). \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover,  $u$  and  $v$  are regular for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .

**Proof.** Note that, in a-priority,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2$  might not be finite. However, by a standard cut-off argument, we can assume that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2$  are finite without loss of generality in the following proof.

Multiplying (1.5) by  $\Delta v^i$  and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v \cdot \nabla v^i) \Delta v^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_j [\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u)] \Delta v^i dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta v|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v \cdot \nabla v|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Differentiating (1.7) in  $x_\beta$ , multiplying the above equation by  $\nabla_\beta \Delta u^i$  and then integrating by parts, one can obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ (\nabla_\beta v \cdot \nabla) u^i + (v \cdot \nabla) \nabla_\beta u^i \right] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}^i(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) \right] - \nabla_\beta W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) \right] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta [V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx. \tag{3.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (3.20) is a bit more complicated. Since  $W(u, p)$  is quadratic in  $p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i}^i(u, \nabla u) &= \nabla_\gamma [W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}^j(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j + W_{p_\alpha^i}^i(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u)] \\
 &= W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}^j(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 u^j + W_{u^j u^k p_\alpha^i}^j(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\gamma u^k \nabla_\beta u^j \\
 &+ W_{p_\alpha^i p_\alpha^j}^j(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla_{\beta\gamma}^3 u^j + W_{u^j p_\alpha^i}^j(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla_\gamma u^j. \tag{3.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, integrating by parts and using Young’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^i}^i(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{\gamma\beta}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i}^i(u, \nabla u) \nabla_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^3 u^i dx \\
 &\geq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx. \tag{3.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|u|^2 = 1$  implies

$$u^i \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i + \nabla_\beta u^i \Delta u^i = -\nabla_\beta |\nabla u|^2.$$

By this identity, one can estimate the second term and the last term on the right hand side of (3.20) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta \left[ \nabla_\alpha \left( u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) \right) - V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \right] \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 \left( u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) \right) u^i \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla_\alpha \left( u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}^k(u, \nabla u) \right) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i dx \\
 &\leq \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) dx. \tag{3.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

The other terms can be estimated easily in (3.20). Then it follows from (3.20)–(3.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta u|^2 dx + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2 + (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4) |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $-u \cdot \Delta u = |\nabla u|^2$ , (3.18) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |v|^2 \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 u|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2) dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx. \tag{3.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)(|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \\
 & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^4 + |\nabla^2 u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 u|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 u|^2) dx + \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) dx \right) \\
 & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.25), shows that for  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2) dx + \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^3 u(x, t)|^2) dx \\
 & \leq \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2) dx. \tag{3.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.26), and Gronwall’s inequality that for any  $s$  and  $t$  with  $\tau \leq s < t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2)(x, t) dx \\
 & \leq \left( e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)(x, t) dx dt} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2)(x, s) dx \right) \\
 & \leq \left( e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1+TR^{-2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2)(x, s) dx. \tag{3.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.8), (3.27), and the mean value theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\
 & \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0) e^{C \varepsilon_1 (1+TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0)} \tag{3.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\tau > 0$ . Then, by a proof similar to the one in Lemma 2.4, we can show that  $u$  belongs to  $C^{1/8}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T])$  for any  $\tau > 0$ . In the Appendix below (Section 5), we can show that  $(u, v)$  is regular for all  $t \in (0, T)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $(u, v) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be a solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial values  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\text{div } v_0 = 0$ . Assume that there are constants  $\varepsilon_1$  and

$R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v(\cdot, t)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ . Then, for  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2) dx \\ & \leq C_3(1 + TR^{-2}) E(u_0, v_0) e^{C\varepsilon_1(1+TR^{-2})E(u_0, v_0)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

with  $C_3 = C(\|u_0\|_{H_b^2} + \|v_0\|_{H^1})$ .

We are not able to prove the uniqueness of solutions to (1.5)–(1.7) for initial value in  $H^1 \times L^2$  as one in Lemma 3.12 of [33]. However, we obtain

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  be two smooth solutions of (1.5)–(1.7) with smooth initial values  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ . Then  $(u_1, v_1) = (u_2, v_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Following the proof of Proposition 5.2 in the Appendix, we can assume that

$$|\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2| + |v_1| + |v_2| \leq C$$

for a constant  $C > 0$ . For simplicity, we set in (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, \nabla u) & := -W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u)u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)\nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \\ & \quad + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_2, \nabla u_2))\nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - u_2^j u_2^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_2, \nabla u_2))\nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [-B(u_1, \nabla u_1) + B(u_2, \nabla u_2) + (v_1 \cdot \nabla u_1) - (v_2 \cdot \nabla u_2)] \cdot (u_1 - u_2) dx \\ & := I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

By Young’s inequality, the last term on the right hand side of the above identity can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [-B(u_1, \nabla u_1) + B(u_2, \nabla u_2) + (v_1 \cdot \nabla u_1) - (v_2 \cdot \nabla u_2)] \cdot (u_1 - u_2) dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_1 - u_2|^2 + |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

The difficult part is to estimate  $I_5$ . Using a uniform open ball covering of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can estimate only the local integral

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} (u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - u_2^j u_2^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_2, \nabla u_2))\nabla_\alpha (u_1^i - u_2^i) dx.$$

Now we can think about Eq. (1.7) with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = 0$  in a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . After a rotation  $\mathcal{R} \in O(3)$ , the integrand (1.2) has the following invariant property:

$$W(\mathcal{R}u, \mathcal{R}\nabla u\mathcal{R}^T) = W(u, \nabla u).$$

Therefore, the system (1.5)–(1.7) is invariant for a rotation. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $u_0(x_0) = (0, 0, 1)$ . Since  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are uniformly continuous in  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \tau]$  for some  $\tau > 0$ , there exists a constant  $r_0 > 0$  such that for any  $(x, t) \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \times [0, \tau]$

$$|u_1(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |u_2(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} u_1^j u_1^i V_{p_\alpha^j}(u_1, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) \nabla_\alpha(u_1^i - u_2^i) dx \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + C \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |\nabla(u_1^3 - u_2^3)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $|u| = 1$  that

$$u^3 \nabla_l u^3 = -u^1 \nabla_l u^1 - u^2 \nabla_l u^2.$$

Then an elementary calculation shows that

$$|\nabla(u_1^3 - u_2^3)| \leq C|u_1 - u_2| + C\varepsilon|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|.$$

By a covering argument, we apply all above estimates to obtain

$$I_5 \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore, choosing  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(u_1 - u_2)|^2 + |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Using (1.5) and (1.6), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_1 - v_2|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(v_1 - v_2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v_1 - v_2|^2 + |u_1 - u_2|^2 + |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(v_1 - v_2)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Combining (3.31) with (3.32) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$



Integrating (3.33) in  $t$  and applying Gronwall’s inequality, we conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2 \right) (\cdot, t) dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \tilde{C}|u_1 - u_2|^2 + \frac{a}{4}|v_1 - v_2|^2 \right) (\cdot, 0) dt = 0.$$

This proves our claim.  $\square$

**4. Local existence and proof of Theorem 2**

In this section, we prove the local existence of solutions of (1.5)–(1.7) and complete the proof of Theorem 2. Recall the notation that  $\tilde{V}(\tau, t)$  denotes the space  $V(\tau, t)$  where  $S^2$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For a pair  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\text{div } v_0 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the sense of distribution, there is a local regular solution  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V}(0, T) \times H(0, T)$  of (1.10)–(1.12) with initial data (1.9) for some  $T > 0$ .*

**Proof.** Although Lin–Liu proved only the global existence of the solution to (1.10)–(1.12) with initial data (1.9) for the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ , their proofs still work for the local existence for the system (1.10)–(1.12). Thus we omit the details and refer readers to [27,28].  $\square$

**Theorem 4 (Local Existence).** *For a pair  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\text{div } v_0 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the sense of distribution, there is a local solution  $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$  of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial value  $(u_0, v_0)$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ .*

**Proof.** For any map  $u_0 \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ , one can approximate it by a sequence of smooth maps in  $H_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u_0 \in H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$  and  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\text{div } v_0 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are smooth. Then thanks to Lemma 4.1, there is a local regular solution  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V} \times H$  of (1.10)–(1.12) with initial data (1.9).

For each pair  $(u, v)$ , set

$$e_\varepsilon(u, v) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2 + |v|^2, \quad E(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_\varepsilon(u, v) dx.$$

Then same calculations as for (3.1) give

$$E(u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t), v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t u_\varepsilon + (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^2 dx dt = E(u_0, v_0). \tag{4.1}$$

By an analysis similar to the proof of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1, one can show that there exist uniform positive constants  $R_0$  and  $\varepsilon_1$ , and a positive time  $T_\varepsilon = T(\varepsilon, R_0, \varepsilon_1)$  such that the problem (1.10)–(1.12) with initial data (1.9) has a regular solution  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{V}(0, T_\varepsilon) \times H(0, T_\varepsilon)$  for each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and furthermore, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_\varepsilon} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 + |v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)|^2)^2 dx < \varepsilon_1 \tag{4.2}$$

for any positive  $R \leq R_0$ .

Next, we will show that there is a constant  $t_1 > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $T_\varepsilon \geq t_1$  and the solution  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\tilde{V}(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ .

First, we claim that for all  $t \in [0, \min\{1, T_\varepsilon\}]$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |u_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq \frac{3}{2}. \tag{4.3}$$

To verify (4.3), we re-scale the solution by

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t), \quad \tilde{v}(x, t) = \varepsilon v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t), \quad \tilde{P}(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 P_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t).$$

Then  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  solves the following approximate Ericksen–Leslie system

$$\tilde{v}_t^i + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v}^i - \Delta\tilde{v}^i + \nabla_{x_i}\tilde{P} = -\nabla_{x_j}(\nabla_{x_i}\tilde{u}^k W_{p_j^k}(\tilde{u}, \nabla\tilde{u})), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{v} = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\tilde{u}_t^i + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}^i = \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla\tilde{u}) \right] - W_{u^i}(\tilde{u}, \nabla\tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}^i(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) \tag{4.6}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , with initial data

$$\tilde{v}(x, 0) = \tilde{v}_0(x), \quad \tilde{u}(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{4.7}$$

where  $\tilde{u}_0(x) = u_0(\varepsilon x)$  and  $\tilde{v}_0(x) = \varepsilon v_0(\varepsilon x)$  satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{v}_0(x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0(x), v_0(x)) dx.$$

The condition (4.2) becomes

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{\varepsilon}}(x)} |\nabla\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|1 - |\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2|^2) + |\tilde{v}|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1 \tag{4.8}$$

for any  $R \in (0, R_0]$ . While the basic energy identity (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ W(\tilde{u}, \nabla\tilde{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 \right] (\cdot, t) dx \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial_t \tilde{u}|^2 + |\partial_t \tilde{u} + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}|^2) (\cdot, t) dx dt = E(u_0, v_0) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for all  $t \in \left(0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume  $T_\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Let  $\tau$  be the maximal time in  $\left[0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\right]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq |\tilde{u}(x, t)| \leq 2. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.1) and similar arguments as for Lemma 3.2, one can derive from (4.4)–(4.6) that there exists a uniform constant  $C_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_0 \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq - \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 + |v_0|^2 dx \\ & \quad + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4 dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}(1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt \\
 & = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla |\tilde{u}|^2|^2 dx dt
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By Young’s inequality and using (4.1), (4.6) and (4.10), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2) dx dt \leq \eta \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt \\
 & \leq \eta \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx dt + C \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 dx dt + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |v_0|^2) dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for a small constant  $\eta$ .

Combining (4.11)–(4.13) and choosing  $\varepsilon_1$  sufficiently small in (4.2) with Lemma 2.2, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2) dx dt + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)^2 dx dt \\
 & \leq C \left( 1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$ .

It follows also from Lemma 2.2, (4.8), (4.9) and (4.14) that

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left( 1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2} \right) E(u_0, v_0) \tag{4.15}$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$ .

Now following the calculation for (3.25), one can derive that for any  $t \in (0, \tau)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\
 & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\
 & \quad + C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \nabla_\beta \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^6(\cdot, t) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\beta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \nabla_\beta \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| = C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta (\tilde{u}^i (1 - |\tilde{u}|^2)) \cdot \Delta \tilde{u}^i dx \right| \\
 & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4 dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^6 dx = -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4 \tilde{u} \cdot \Delta \tilde{u} dx - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_\alpha (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla_\alpha \tilde{u} dx \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^6 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)| dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

It follows from (4.16)–(4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \right) + \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \\ & \quad + C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)|^4) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2)(|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2) dx + \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4) dx \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.18), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx + \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^3 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \\ & \leq \left( C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, t) dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx + h(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

with

$$h(t) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, t) dx. \tag{4.20}$$

It then follows from (4.14), (4.15), (4.19)–(4.20), and Gronwall’s inequality that for all  $t \in (0, \tau)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2)(\cdot, t) dx \leq e^{C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\tilde{v}|^4 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^4)(\cdot, l) dx dl} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^t e^{C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{u}|^4 + |\tilde{v}|^4)(\cdot, l) dx dl} \cdot h(s) ds \\ & \leq e^{C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0)} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t h(s) ds \right) \\ & \leq \left( \|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2 + C \left(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0) \right) e^{C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{\tau \varepsilon^2}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Suppose that there is a  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| < 1/2$  (or  $|\tilde{u}(x_1, t)| > \frac{3}{2}$ ) with some  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . It follows from (4.21) that  $\tilde{u}$  is  $C^{\frac{1}{8}}$ -continuous uniformly in  $(x, t)$ . Then, there is a constant  $C_4$  so that for  $x \in B_{1/4C_4}(x_1)$  with  $\frac{1}{4C_4} < \frac{R_0}{\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$(1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4C_4}}(x_1)} (1 - |\tilde{u}(x, t)|^2)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{8} |B_{\frac{1}{4C_4}}(0)| > \varepsilon_1$$

which contradicts (4.8) for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon_1$ . This shows that our claim (4.3) holds for all  $t \in [0, \frac{T_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}]$ .

Finally, we show that  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\tilde{V}(0, \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\}) \times H(0, \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\})$  uniformly for any positive  $\varepsilon < 4C_4R_0$ .

For any  $t \leq \min(1, T_\varepsilon)$ , it follows from (4.14) and (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)(x, t) dx dt + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^4 + |v_\varepsilon|^4)(x, t) dx dt \leq C \varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right) E(u_0, v_0). \tag{4.23}$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the cut-off function as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Then by an analysis similar to the ones in (3.14)–(3.17) and using (4.22) and (4.23), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t), v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) \varphi^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \right) \varphi^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) \varphi^2 dx + C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 |v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\varphi^2)| dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 |v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\varphi^2)| dx dt \\ & \leq C \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^4 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{R^2} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (E(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0) \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where one has used (4.1) and (4.22).

Hence,

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t), v_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{B_{RR}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx + C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \left(1 + \frac{t}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(u_0, v_0) \tag{4.26}$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$ . First, choose  $R_1 > 0$  so that

$$\int_{B_{2R_1}(x_0)} e(u_0, v_0) dx < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \tag{4.27}$$

for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, set

$$t_1 = \min \left\{ R_1, \frac{\varepsilon_1 R_1}{4C(\varepsilon_1 + E(u_0, v_0))} \right\} \tag{4.28}$$

Then for  $t \leq t_1$ ,

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t), v_\varepsilon(x, t)) dx < \varepsilon_1$$

for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $R \leq R_1$ . Consequently, we have shown that there is a uniform  $t_1 \leq \min\{T_\varepsilon, 1\}$  such that  $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\tilde{V}(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$  with  $t_1$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we can prove the local existence of solution  $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$  with initial data (1.9).  $\square$

Now we complete the proof of [Theorem 2](#).

**Proof.** By [Theorem 4](#), there is a local solution  $(u, v) \in V(0, t_1) \times H(0, t_1)$  of (1.5)–(1.7) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, t_1]$  with initial conditions (1.9) for some  $t_1 > 0$ . By [Lemmas 3.4](#) and [3.5](#), the solution can be extended in  $[0, T_1)$  for a maximal times  $T_1$  such that at  $T_1$ , there is at least a singular point  $x_i^1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_1} \int_{B_R(x_i^1)} e(u, v)(\cdot, t) dx \geq \varepsilon_0$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$  for some  $R_0 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . It is easy to see the solution  $(u, v) \in V \times H$  is regular for all  $t \in (0, T_1)$ . Then there exists a sequence of  $\{t_n\}$  such that the sequence  $(u(t_n), v(t_n))$  converges weakly to  $(u(T_1), v(T_1))$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; S^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u(T_1), v(T_1)) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(u_0, v_0) dx - \varepsilon_0, \quad \operatorname{div} v(T_1) = 0.$$

Using the energy identity, there is a finite number of singular times  $\{T_l\}_{l=1}^L$  in [Theorem 2](#).  $\square$

### 5. Appendix: The liquid crystal flow and regularity issue

In this section, we formulate the liquid crystal heat flow and discuss  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity issues for solutions of the liquid crystal flow (1.4) and the system (1.5)–(1.7).

The liquid crystal equilibrium system in a form of vectors and tensors was derived by Hardt et al. in [15] using the Lagrange multiplier method, but we need a precise form of (1.3) in coordinates.

Let  $\phi$  be a smooth functional in  $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ . We consider a variation

$$u_t(x) = \frac{u + t\phi}{|u(x) + t\phi(x)|} = \frac{u + t\phi}{(1 + 2tu \cdot \phi + t^2\phi^2)^{1/2}}$$

and compute

$$\frac{du_t}{dt} = \phi - \frac{(u + t\phi)(u \cdot \phi + t|\phi|^2)}{(1 + 2tu \cdot \phi + t^2\phi^2)^{1/2}}.$$

To derive the Euler–Lagrange equations, we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} W(u_t, \nabla u_t) dx \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( W_{u^j} \frac{du_t^j}{dt} + W_{p_\alpha^i} \frac{d\nabla_\alpha u_t^i}{dt} \right) \Big|_{t=0} dx = 0,$$

where  $W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, p) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial p_\alpha^i}$  and  $W_{u^i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial u^i}$ . Note

$$\frac{du_t^i}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \phi^i - u^i(u \cdot \phi), \quad \frac{d\nabla_\alpha u_t^i}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \nabla_\alpha \phi^i - \nabla_\alpha u^i(u \cdot \phi) - u^i \nabla_\alpha(u \cdot \phi).$$

We conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} W_{u^j}(u, \nabla u) [\phi^j - u^j(u \cdot \phi)] + W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) [\nabla_\alpha \phi^i - \nabla_\alpha u^i(u \cdot \phi) - u^i \nabla_\alpha(u \cdot \phi)] dx = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Therefore,  $u \in H^1(\Omega, S^2)$  is said to be a weak solution to the liquid crystal system if  $u$  satisfies

$$-\nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] + W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) - W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i - W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i - W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i = 0$$

in the sense of distribution. Note  $|u|^2 = 1$ , then  $u^i \nabla u^i = 0$ . This system is the exact form of (1.3).

Then, the liquid crystal flow can be formulated as in (1.4), i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = \nabla_\alpha [W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u)] - W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i.$$

Next, we will prove that a Hölder continuous solution of (1.4) belongs to  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For any point  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [0, \mathbb{R})$  and any number  $R > 0$ , we use standard notations:

$$B(x_0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| < R\}, \quad Q(z_0, R) = B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0), \\ S_R(z_0) = B(x_0, R) \times \{t_0 - R^2\} \cap \partial B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $u$  be a weak solution of (1.4) and Hölder continuous in  $\Omega \times [0, T)$ . Then,  $\nabla u$  is (locally) Hölder continuous with the same exponent in  $\Omega \times [0, T)$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Let  $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$  with  $Q_{4R_0}(z_0) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$  for some  $R_0 > 0$ . Note  $u(x_0, t_0) = e \in S^2$ . After a rotation, we can assume that  $e = (0, 0, 1)$ .

It follows from  $|u| = 1$  and Cauchy’s inequality that

$$|u^3|^2 |\nabla u^3|^2 \leq (1 - |u^3|^2) |\nabla u|^2 \leq 2|u - u(x_0, t_0)| |\nabla u|^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Denote

$$\tilde{p} = (p_\alpha^i)_{3 \times 2}.$$

Using the structure of  $W(u, p)$ , we can write

$$W_{\tilde{p}}(u, \nabla u) = \tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}}(u, \nabla u^1, \nabla u^2) + f(u, \nabla u^3),$$

where  $|f(u, \nabla u^3)| \leq C|\nabla u^3|$ .

Let  $\tilde{v} = (v^1, v^2)$  be the solution of the Cauchy–Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^i &= \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla v^1, \nabla v^2) \right] \quad \text{in } Q_R(z_0) \\ v^i &= u^i \quad \text{on } S_R(z_0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since (5.3) is a parabolic system with constant coefficients, it follows from Proposition 1.2 of [14] that for all  $\rho \leq R \leq R_0$

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 dz$$

and

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla \tilde{v} - (\nabla \tilde{v})_\rho|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{v} - (\nabla \tilde{v})_R|^2 dz.$$

Set  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$ . Then for all  $\rho < R$ , we have

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3|^2 dz \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz &\leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz \\ &\quad + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3|^2 dz. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Note that  $u$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder continuous in  $\Omega \times [0, T)$  and  $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$ .

Although there is no maximum principle for the parabolic system (5.3) with constant coefficients, Giaquinta–Struwe in [14, p. 445] obtained that

$$\sup_{Q_R} |v - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq C \sup_{Q_R} |u - u(x_0, t_0)|$$



with a constant  $C$  independent of  $R$  and  $u$ . This implies

$$|\tilde{w}| \leq |u - u(x_0, t_0)| + |v - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq CR^\beta. \tag{5.6}$$

Multiplying the difference between (5.3) and (1.4) by  $\tilde{w}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and integrating over  $Q_R$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} |\tilde{w}|^2(\cdot, t_0) dx + \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla \tilde{w}) dx \\ & \leq \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 |\nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i| |\tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(e, \nabla \tilde{v}) - \tilde{W}_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla \tilde{u})| dx + C \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u^3| |\nabla \tilde{w}| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \nabla_\alpha \tilde{w}^i u^i u^k V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) + C \int_{Q_R} |\tilde{w}| |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Since  $u$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder continuous and  $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$ , we have  $|u^i| \leq CR^\beta$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Applying Young’s inequality and (5.2) yields

$$\int_{Q_R} |\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 dz \leq CR^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz. \tag{5.8}$$

It follows that for all  $\rho < R$ ,

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^5 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz + CR^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz. \tag{5.9}$$

We claim the following Cacciopoli’s inequality

$$\int_{Q(z_0, R)} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{Q(z_0, 2R)} |u - u_{2R}|^2 dz \leq CR^{3+2\beta}. \tag{5.10}$$

for any  $z_0 \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$  and  $R \leq R_0$ , where  $u_{2R}$  is the average of  $u$  in  $Q_{2R}(x_0, t_0)$ .

Next, we prove this claim. Let  $\xi$  be a cut-off function in  $C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$  with  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ ,  $\xi \equiv 1$  in  $B_R(x_0)$  and  $|\nabla \xi| \leq \frac{C}{R}$ . Let  $\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  be a function that depends only on  $t$  with  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\tau \equiv 1$  on  $[t_0 - R^2, t_0]$  and  $\tau \equiv 0$  on  $(-\infty, t_0 - 4R^2)$  and  $|\partial_t \tau| \leq C/R^2$ .

Testing (1.4) with  $\phi = (u^i - u_{2R}^i) \xi^2 \tau^2 I_{(-\infty, t_0)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $I_{(-\infty, t_0)}$  is the characteristic function of  $(-\infty, t_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |u(\cdot, t_0) - u_{2R}|^2 \xi^2 \tau^2(t_0) dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 W_{p_\alpha^i p_\beta^j} \nabla_\alpha u^i \nabla_\alpha u^j \xi^2 \tau^2 dz \\ & \leq 2 \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) - u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] \nabla_\alpha \xi (u^i - u_{2R}^i) \xi \tau^2 dz \\ & \quad + C \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |\nabla u|^2 |u - u_{2R}| \xi^2 \tau^2 dz + 2 \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |u - u_{2R}|^2 \xi^2 \tau \partial_t \tau dz \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} u^k u^i V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha (u^i - u_{2R}^i) \xi^2 \tau^2 dz + C \int_{Q_{2R}(z_0)} |\nabla u^3|^2 \xi^2 \tau^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder continuous and  $u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1)$ ,  $u(x, t) - u_{2R}$  can be chosen sufficiently small when  $R_0$  is small and  $|u^1| + |u^2|$  is also small. We need to deal with the last term above.

However, due to (5.2), the term  $|\nabla u^3|^2$  can be handled easily. Thus, by Young’s inequality, the claim (5.10) follows.

Using (5.9) and (5.10), a standard iteration (cf. [10, Chapter III, Lemma 2.1]) yields that for all  $\rho \leq R_0$ , one has

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C\rho^{3+3\beta}, \tag{5.11}$$

where  $C$  depends on  $R_0$ . An iteration by (5.9) and (5.10) yields that for any  $\sigma < 1$ ,

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dz \leq C\rho^{3+2\sigma}.$$

Using (5.2) and (5.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz &\leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz + CR^\beta \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 dz \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^7 \int_{Q_R} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_R|^2 dz + CR^{3+2\sigma+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\sigma$  sufficiently close to 1 so that  $2\sigma + \beta > 2$ . Then, for all  $\rho \leq \frac{R}{2}$ , we have

$$\int_{Q_\rho} |\nabla u - (\nabla u)_\rho|^2 dz \leq C\rho^{5+2\sigma_1}$$

for some  $\sigma_1$  with  $0 < \sigma_1 < 1$ . This implies  $\nabla u \in C_{loc}^{1,\sigma_1}$  and then  $\nabla u \in C^{1,\beta}$  (cf [14]).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a weak solution of (1.5)–(1.7) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$  and assume that  $u$  is Hölder continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$ . Let  $\tau$  be any positive constant. For  $t \in [\tau, T]$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \tau^{-1} (1 + TR^{-2}).$$

Then,  $(u, v)$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$ .

**Proof.** By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla u(x, t)|^p + |v(x, t)|^p dx \leq C$$

for any  $p > 1$  and for  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $t > \tau$ . By an analysis similar to the one in Lemma 2.4, we can show that  $u$  is Hölder continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]$ .

To get the higher order regularity, we rewrite (1.7) as

$$u_t^i - \nabla_\alpha \left[ W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) \right] = -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha \left[ V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \right] - (v \cdot \nabla) u^i + \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u), \tag{5.12}$$

where  $\tilde{B}(u, \nabla u)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u) &= -W_{u^i}(u, \nabla u) + W_{u^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \\ &\quad + V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u) u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i - \nabla_\alpha \left[ u^k u^i \right] V_{p_\alpha^k}(u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $W(u, p)$  is quadratic and convex in  $p$ , we can write

$$W_{p_\alpha^i}(u, \nabla u) = a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha u^j.$$

Since  $u$  is uniformly Hölder continuous, the left-hand term of (5.12) is a parabolic operator. Let  $\xi(x)$  be a cut-off function in  $B_R(x_0)$  and let  $\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  be a function that depends only on  $t$  with  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\tau \equiv 1$  on  $\left[t_0 - \frac{1}{4}R^2, t_0\right]$  and  $\tau \equiv 0$  on  $(-\infty, t_0 - R^2)$  and  $|\partial_t \tau| \leq C/R^2$ . Set  $\phi = \tau \xi$ . Multiplying (5.12) by  $\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u\phi)_t^i - \nabla_\alpha \left[ a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha (u^j \phi) \right] - u^i \phi_t \\ &= -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha \left[ V_{p\alpha}^k(u, \nabla u) \right] \phi - [(v \cdot \nabla)u^i + \tilde{B}(u, \nabla u)]\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

By the assumption, we have

$$(v \cdot \nabla)u \in L^p(Q_R(x_0)), \quad |\nabla u|^2 \in L^p(Q_R(x_0)) \quad \forall p > 1$$

But the first term on the right hand side of (5.13) which is not a ‘good’ term, needs more analysis. Using the fact that  $|u| = 1$ , we have

$$u^3 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^3 = -(\nabla_\beta u \cdot \nabla u + u^1 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^1 + u^2 \nabla_{\alpha\beta}^2 u^2), \quad u^3 u_t^3 = -(u^1 u_t^1 + u^2 u_t^2).$$

Without loss of generality, we regard the solution in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . By a rotation, we assume

$$u(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 1).$$

Since  $u$  is Hölder continuous, there exists a small  $R$  such that

$$|u(x, t) - u(x_0, t_0)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for a sufficiently small constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore

$$|\nabla^2 u^3| \leq C|\nabla u|^2 + 2\varepsilon(|\nabla^2 u^1| + |\nabla^2 u^2|)$$

Apply the classical  $L^p$ -estimate of parabolic systems (c.f. [8,22]) to (5.13) for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_t \phi\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} + \|\nabla^2(\tilde{u}\phi)\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} &\leq C\|\phi \nabla^2 u^3\|_{L^p} + C\varepsilon\|\phi \nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} \\ &\quad + C(\|u\|_{L^{2p}(Q_R(x_0))} + \|v\|_{L^{2p}(Q_R(x_0))} + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{u} = (u^1, u^2)$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, we obtain the following.

$$\|u_t \phi\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} + \|\nabla^2(u\phi)\|_{L^p(Q_R(x_0))} \leq C.$$

To estimate  $v$  in (1.5), it follows from Hölder’s inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |(v \cdot \nabla)v|^p dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |\nabla v|^4 dx dt \right)^{p/4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [\tau, T]} |v|^{\frac{4}{4-p}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{4-p}{4}}$$

for any  $p$  with  $3 < p < 4$ . By the  $L^p$ -estimate of Stoke’s operator (e.g. [32]),  $v_t$  and  $\nabla^2 v$  are in  $L^p$  for  $3 < p < 4$ . This implies that  $v$  is Hölder continuous.

Differentiating in  $x_l$  in (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{x_l} u^i)_t - \nabla_\alpha \left[ a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(u) \nabla_\alpha (\nabla_{x_l} u^j) \right] &= -u^k u^i \nabla_\alpha \left[ V_{p\alpha}^k(u, \nabla \nabla_{x_l} u) \right] \\ &\quad + v \# \nabla^2 u + \nabla v \# \nabla u + \nabla u \# \nabla^2 u. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the  $L^p$ -theory, a similar argument yields that  $\nabla u$  is uniformly continuous. Then, a standard bootstrap method implies that  $(u, v)$  are smooth.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The research of the first author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP0985624. The research of the second author was supported partially by Zheng Ge Ru Foundation, Hong Kong RGC Earmarked Research Grants CUHK4042/08P and CUHK4041/11P, and a Focus Area Grant from The Chinese University of Hong Kong. A part of the work was done when Hong visited the Chinese University of Hong Kong in July 2008 and in December 2009.

## References

- [1] F.J. Almgren, E.H. Lieb, Singularities of energy minimizing maps from the ball to the sphere: examples, counterexamples, and bounds, *Ann. of Math.* 128 (1988) 483–530.
- [2] H. Amann, Quasilinear parabolic systems under nonlinear boundary conditions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 92 (1986) 153–192.
- [3] P. Bauman, M. Calderer, C. Liu, D. Phillips, The phase transition between Chiral Nematic and Smectic A. Liquid crystals, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 165 (2002) 161–186.
- [4] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, J.M. Coron, Relaxed energies for harmonic maps, in: Berestycki, Coron, Ekeland (Eds.), *Variational Methods*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990, pp. 37–52.
- [5] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of Navier–Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 35 (1982) 771–831.
- [6] Y. Chen, M. Struwe, Existence and partial regular results for the heat flow for harmonic maps, *Math. Z.* 201 (1989) 83–103.
- [7] J. Eells, J.H. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964) 109–160.
- [8] S. Eidel’man, *Parabolic Systems*, North Holland Publishing, 1969.
- [9] J. Ericksen, *Equilibrium Theory of Liquid Crystals*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [10] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983.
- [11] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, J. Soucek, The Dirichlet energy of mappings with values into the sphere, *Manuscripta Math.* 65 (1989) 489–507.
- [12] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, J. Soucek, Liquid crystals: relaxed energies, dipoles, singular lines and singular points, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa* 17 (1990) 415–437.
- [13] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, J. Soucek, Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations, Part II, *Variational Integrals*, in: *A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, vol. 38, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] M. Giaquinta, M. Struwe, On the partial regularity weak solutions of non-linear parabolic systems, *Math. Z.* 179 (1982) 437–451.
- [15] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, F.-H. Lin, Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 105 (1986) 547–570.
- [16] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, F.-H. Lin, Stable defects of minimizers of constrained variational principles, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 5 (1988) 297–322.
- [17] M.-C. Hong, Partial regularity of weak solutions of the liquid crystal equilibrium system, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 53 (2004) 1401–1414.
- [18] M.-C. Hong, Existence of infinitely many equilibrium configurations of the liquid crystal system prescribing the same non-constant boundary value, *Pacific J. Math.* 232 (2007) 177–206.
- [19] M.-C. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen–Leslie system in dimension two, *Calc. Var. Parital Differential Equations* 40 (2011) 15–36.
- [20] N. Hungerbühler,  $m$ -harmonic flow, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super Pisa Cl. Sci.* XXIV (1997) 593–631.
- [21] M. Kleman, *Points, Lines and Walls*, John Wiley & Son, New York, 1983.
- [22] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural’ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, in: *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1968.
- [23] F. Leslie, in: Brown (Ed.), *Theory of Flow Phenomenon in Liquid Crystal*, (Vol 4), A.P., New York, 1979, pp. 1–81.
- [24] F.-H. Lin, Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystals: phase transition and flow phenomena, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 42 (1989) 789–814.
- [25] F.-H. Lin, A new proof of the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg theorem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 51 (1998) 241–257.
- [26] F.-H. Lin, J. Lin, C. Wang, Liquid crystal flow in two dimension, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 197 (2010) 297–336.

- [27] F.-H. Lin, C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modelling the flow of liquid crystals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 48 (1995) 501–537.
- [28] F.-H. Lin, C. Liu, Existence of solutions for the Ericksen–Leslie system, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 154 (2000) 135–156.
- [29] F.-H. Lin, X.-B. Pan, Magnetic field-induced instabilities in liquid crystals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 38 (2007) 1588–1612.
- [30] F.-H. Lin, C. Wang, On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, *Chin. Ann. Math. B* 31 (2010) 921–938.
- [31] V. Scheffer, Hausdorff measure and the Navier–Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 61 (1977) 97–112.
- [32] V.A. Solonnikov,  $L_p$ -estimates for solutions to the initial boundary-value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain, *J. Math. Sci.* 105 (2001) 2448–2484.
- [33] M. Struwe, On the evolution of harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces, *Commun. Math. Helv.* 60 (1985) 558–581.
- [34] M. Struwe, The existence of surfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundaries, *Acta Math.* 160 (1988) 19–64.
- [35] G. Tian, Z. Xin, Gradient estimation on Navier–Stokes equations, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 7 (1999) 221–257.