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## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| Å | Angstrom |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac | Acetyl |
| ADDP | azodicarboxylatedipiperidine |
| AMP | adenosine monophosphate |
| Bn | benzyl |
| Boc | tert-butyloxycarbonyl |
| BOP | benzotriazol-1-yloxytris(dimethylamino)-phosphonium hexafluorophosphate |
| ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ | tert-butyl |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | degrees Celsius |
| CAM | calmodulin |
| CD | circular dichroism |
| COSY | correlation spectroscopy |
| $m C P B A$ | meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid |
| DAST | Diethylaminosulfur trifluoride |
| DCC | dicyclohexylcarbodiimide |
| DCM | dichloromethane |
| Dd | Dictyostelium discoideum |
| DIAD | diisopropyl azodicarboxylate |
| DIEA | $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine |
| DFT | density functional theory |
| DMAP | 4-dimethylaminopyridine |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DMF | dimethylformamide |
| E. Coli | Escherichia coli |


| EDC | 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride |
| :---: | :---: |
| EGFP | Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein |
| ESI | electrospray ionization |
| Fmoc | 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl |
| FucT | fucosyl transferase |
| Gal | galactose |
| GlcNAc | $N$-acetyl glucosamine |
| GT | Glycosyltransferase |
| HATU | O-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium |
| HBS | hydrogen bond surrogates |
| HBTU | O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate |
| HIF ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | hypoxia-inducible factor- $\alpha$ |
| HMBC | heteronuclear multiple bond coherence |
| HOAt | 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole |
| HOBt | 1-hydroxybenzotriazole |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HRGP | hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins |
| HRMS | high resolution mass spectrometry |
| HRP | horseradish peroxidase |
| HSQC | heteronuclear single quantum correlation |
| Hyp | trans-4-L-hydroxyproline |
| Me | methyl |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| NIS | N -iodosuccinimide |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |


| nOe | nuclear Overhauser effect |
| :---: | :---: |
| P 4 H 1 | prolyl-4-hydroxylase |
| PHD | prolyl hydroxylase domain |
| PMA | phosphomolybdic acid |
| PMB | para-methoxybenzyl |
| ppm | parts per million |
| PRP | proline-rich proteins |
| PTM | post translational modification |
| PyBOP | benzotriaozol-1-yloxytri(pyrrolidino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate |
| PyBroP | bromotris(pyrrolidino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate |
| q | quartet |
| RCM | ring closing metathesis |
| $R_{f}$ | retention factor |
| rt | room temperature |
| s | singlet |
| smMLCK | smooth muscle myosin light chain kinase |
| Ser | serine |
| TBAF | tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride |
| TBAH | tetra-n-butylammonium hydroxide |
| TBTU | O-benzotriazol-1-yl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate |
| $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | triflic anhydride |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| TFFH | tetramethylfluoroformamidinium hexafluorophosphate |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | thin layer chromatography |


| TOF | time of flight |
| :--- | :--- |
| TR | tachykinin receptors |
| Ub | ubiquitin |
| UDP | uridine diphosphate |
| UPP | Ub-proteasome pathway |
| UV | ultraviolet |


#### Abstract

In Skp1 of Dictyostelium discoideum (Dd), Pro ${ }^{143}$ is located at the $N$-terminus of an $\alpha$ helix with four consecutive Glu residues immediately following Pro. Preceeding Pro ${ }^{143}$ is a segment of random coil. The proline residue undergoes post-translational modifications: hydroxylation and glycosylation. A cytoplasmic prolyl hydroxylase ( P 4 H 1 ) delivers a hydroxyl group to $\mathrm{Pro}^{143}$ and N -acetylglucosamine transferase 1 (Gnt1) transfers GIcNAc from UDP to Hyp ${ }^{143}$ of Skp1. The installation of the first GlcNAc residue in Skp1 in Dictyostelium is important for the organism to differentiate into a fruiting body to disperse spores. We describe herein some structural and synthesis studies of the Pro ${ }^{143}$ region of the Skp1 protein.

We report the synthesis of the bisubstrate analog Ac-Thr-[( $\alpha-1,4-G l c N A c)-2 S, 4 R-4-$ thioproline]-OH (17). Enzyme assays showed that 17 was not an inhibitor for Gnt1 under assay conditions optimized for the full length Skp1 substrate.

Cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization about the prolyl amide bond was studied by NMR for a series of peptides. Equilibrium constants ( $K_{t / c}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) increased in the order: Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) (2.3) < Ac-[( $\alpha-1,4)$ GIcNAc]-NHMe (89) (3.25) < Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) (8.7) < Ac-[( $\alpha-$ 1,4)GIcNAc]-NHMe (89) (13.2). Hydroxylation, glycosylation and extension of the peptide in the $N$-terminal direction all favor the trans conformation. Magnetization transfer experiments were possible for Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) ( $k_{c / t}=0.94 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and Ac-[( $\alpha-1,4$ )GIcNAc]-NHMe (89) $\left(k_{c / t}=0.45 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at $60-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Insufficient resolution of signals for the other two compounds precluded kinetic analysis.

Synthesis of dipeptides Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) and Ac-Thr-hyp-NHMe (106) enabled us to determine the $\mathrm{C} Y$ stereochemistry of the Skp1 Hyp ${ }^{143}$ by comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of both dipeptides with that of a 13-mer obtained by enzymatic degradation of the native protein.


The lack of inhibition of Gnt1 by bisubstrate analog 17 may be attributable to an adjacent glutamic acid rich $\alpha$-helix recognition element in the full length protein. The synthesis of a 15mer substrate incorporating an $\alpha$-helical mimetic is advanced to test this hypothesis. Three fragments are presented: Fmoc-IKNDFT-OBn (171), Cbz-[HypE]*EEE-OAll (253), and Fmoc-QIRK-NH ${ }_{2}$ (132) where [HypE]* represents turn inducing mimetic for the HypE dipeptide.

## CHAPTER 1: THE SKP1 PROTEIN

### 1.1 Ubiquitination

Ubiquitin (Ub) is a small protein (8565 Da) found in all eukaryotes. It consists of 76 amino acids (Figure 1.1) and exists both as a free protein and covalently attached to many other proteins. ${ }^{1}$ When bound to proteins, ubiquitin directs them to the proteasome for their degradation.

H- ${ }^{1}$ Met-GIn-Ile-Phe-Val-Lys-Thr-Leu-Thr-Gly-Lys-Thr-Ile-Thr-Leu-
${ }^{16}$ Glu-Val-Glu-Pro-Ser-Asp-Thr-Ile-Glu-Asn-Val-Lys-Ala-Lys-Ile-
${ }^{31}$ GIn-Asp-Lys-Glu-Gly-Ile-Pro-Pro-Asp-GIn-GIn-Arg-Leu-Ile-Phe-
${ }^{46}$ Ala-Gly-Lys-GIn-Leu-Glu-Asp-Gly-Arg-Thr-Leu-Ser-Asp-Tyr-Asn-
${ }^{61}$ Ile-GIn-Lys-Glu-Ser-Thr-Leu-His-Leu-Val-Leu-Arg-Leu-Arg-Gly-Gly-OH

Figure 1.1: Amino acid sequence of Ub (1)

Ubiquitination is a post-translational modification of proteins. Ubiquitination is the process that covalently links the C-terminal glycine 76 of ubiquitin to the side chain amine of a lysine residue on the target molecule. ${ }^{2}$ Ubiquitination of proteins proceeds through an enzymemediated pathway known as the Ub-proteasome pathway (UPP) (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{3}$ The initial activation of Ub involves adenylation of Ub's C-terminal glycine via adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and subsequent transferring of Ub to the active site cysteine of E1 (ubiquitin-activating enzyme), thus Ub is bound to E1 via a thioester linkage. Then E1 covalently transfers the activated ubiquitin to E2 (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme). E2 delivers Ub to the E3 enzyme (ubiquitin ligase). The E3 ubiquitin ligase is a complex with thousands of variations. The role of E3 is to transfer Ub from E2 to the target protein to form an isopeptide bond between specific lysine side chains of the target protein to the Ub carboxyl terminus. ${ }^{3-4}$


Scheme 1.1: The ubiquitination pathway

Ubiquitination is responsible for fundamental cellular processes that control numerous aspects of protein function such as degradation, DNA repair, phosphorylation of proteins rich with Pro, Glu, Ser, and Thr (PEST) target proteins. It is also responsible for the glycosylation of PEST proteins with $N$-acetylglucosamine.

### 1.2 The E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Complex

The E3 multiprotein complex consists of four major polypeptide subunits: Skp1, Cullin, F-box-containing protein, and Rbx1 (Figure 1.2). The SCF- and SCF-like complexes are the largest family of ubiquitin-protein ligases. ${ }^{4 a}$ The complex is a highly elongated structure wherein the Rbx1 and Skp1-F-box subunits are fixed at opposite ends. Cullin is the protein which serves as a rigid scaffold that holds the Rbx1, Skp1-F-box subunits $100 \AA \AA$ apart. ${ }^{4 a}$ Skp1 serves as an adaptor linking the F-box protein and the Cullin-1/Rbx1 complex. Each subunit is important for the overall functionality of the complex. The SCF complex participates in the ubiquitination of cell cycle regulatory proteins and their degradation by the 26 S proteasome.


Figure 1.2: Schematic diagram of the E3 SCF complex and E2, Ub and substrate ${ }^{5}$

### 1.3 Skp1 of Dictyostelium

Skp1 is a small glycoprotein consisting of 163 amino acids and has a molecular weight of 21 kDa . It is found in the cytoplasm and nucleus of all eukaryotes ${ }^{6}$ (Figure 1.3). Skp1 is a multifunctional protein responsible for F-box recognition and binding and may also assist in the selection of other proteins for ubiquitination.

## SCF ubiauitin ligase

Figure 1.3: Skp1 in Dictyostelium. Copyright 2011, ACS publications, reprinted with permission

Dictyostelium, a soil amoeba in the mycetozoan group of unicellular eukaryotes, is an important model organism for cell signaling and motility. ${ }^{7}$ Dictyostelium proliferates as a solitary amoeba and aggregates to form a multicellular slug that migrates to the soil surface for culmination and subsequent dispersal of spores (Scheme 1.2). Studies by West and coworkers have shown that the growth of Dictyostelium is oxygen-dependent. Dictyostelium normally requires $2.5 \%$ oxygen for growth but requires elevated oxygen to culminate, i.e., coming together of ALCs (anterior-like cells) at the baseline and sporulation (production of spores). ${ }^{7-8}$


Scheme 1.2: Dictyostelium development and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$-dependence. Copyright 2010, Elsevier, reprinted with permission

In Dictyostelium, the proline residue at position 143 of Skp1 is post-translationally modified by hydroxylation and complex glycosylation. These post-translational modifications involve a prolyl-4-hydroxylase (P4H1) and five sequentially acting cytoplasmic glycosyltransferase activities encoded by three genes ${ }^{8 b}$ (Scheme 1.3). The hydroxylation and glycosylation are important for culmination and spore formation of Dictyostelium. Biochemical and genetic studies showed that Skp1 is the only substrate for P 4 H 1 and the glycosyltransferase Gnt1 in cells. ${ }^{9}$

7
Scheme 1.3: Post-translational modifications of Pro ${ }^{143}$ of Skp1: the enzymatic pathway

### 1.3.1 Prolyl Hydroxylase Enzyme

Dictyostelium expresses the ortholog of animal hypoxia-inducible factor- $\alpha$ (HIF- $\alpha$ ) like prolyl-4-hydroxylase, P 4 H 1 , which is involved in oxygen sensing in animals. ${ }^{10}$ The hydroxylation of Skp1 is oxygen-dependent and regulates the reproduction of Dictyostelium, enabling it to differentiate into a fruiting body. The P 4 H 1 enzyme has a high $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ for oxygen in vitro. Elevated oxygen levels are required for P4H1-null cells to culminate into a fruiting body, while reduced oxygen is sufficient for cells in which P 4 H 1 is overexpressed.

Recent studies on the prolyl-4-hydroxylase of collagen by Raines' group ${ }^{11}$ and the prolyl-4-hydroxylase of HIF $\alpha$ by the Schofield group ${ }^{12}$ have revealed interesting details of the mechanism of proline hydroxylation by these enzymes. In humans, HIF- $\alpha$ is a key regulator in the cellular response to critically low oxygen concentrations.

Hydroxylation of HIF- $\alpha$ is catalyzed by enzymes containing a prolyl hydroxylase domain (PHD). ${ }^{11-12}$ The reaction has two stages as detailed in Scheme 1.4: (A) Formation of a highly reactive $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV})=\mathrm{O}$ species, without the direct participation of the proline; and (B) abstraction of the pro-R hydrogen atom from $\mathrm{C}-4$ of the proline residue by the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV})$ species. During the
hydroxylation of proline, $\alpha$-ketoglutarate is oxidized to succinate and one atom of molecular oxygen is incorporated into proline and the other into succinate. ${ }^{11}$ After the pyrrolidine ring of Pro ${ }^{564}$ in HIF- $\alpha$ is hydroxylated by a trans-4-hydroxylase, the ring conformation shifts from $\mathrm{C} Y$ endo to $\mathrm{C} \gamma$-exo.
(A)



(B)


Scheme 1.4: Enzyme-catalyzed hydroxylation of proline ${ }^{11}$

### 1.3.2 Skp1 Glycosylation in Dictyostelium

After hydroxylation, the Skp1 protein of Dictyostelium is known to be further modified by a pentasaccharide chain ${ }^{6}$ (Figure 1.4). Gonzalez-Yanes et al. discovered Dictyostelium Skp1 glycosylation for the first time by metabolic labeling of cells with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ fucose. ${ }^{13}$ The attachment of a pentasaccharide to Hyp ${ }^{143}$ was recognized by Edman degradation analysis of peptides from the purified protein and the carbohydrate sequence was confirmed by exoglycosidase studies using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric analysis. ${ }^{14}$ The results showed that the sequence of the pentasaccharide is $\operatorname{Gal}(\alpha, 1-6)-\mathrm{Gal}(\alpha, 1-3)-\mathrm{Fuc}(\alpha, 1-2)-\mathrm{Gal}(\beta, 1-3)-\mathrm{GIcNAc}$. The reducing end of the pentasaccharide is attached to hydroxyproline at position 143 (Figure 1.4). The attachment of Hyp ${ }^{143}$ to GlcNAc was confirmed by three pieces of evidence: ${ }^{6}$ (1) observation of a small decrease in apparent $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ (relative molecular mass) after treating cells with inhibitors of prolyl-4hydroxylases; ${ }^{15}$ (2) observation of a similar reduction in apparent $M_{r}$ of Skp1 after substitution of

Hyp ${ }^{143}$ of Skp1 with Ala; (3) a synthetic peptide with a Hyp ${ }^{143}$ was shown to be a good substrate for the Gnt1 enzyme of the pathway. Given the homology of Gnt1 with GT27 polypeptide $\alpha$ -GalNAc-transferases (ppGalNAcTs), the key carbohydrate-protein linkage was considered highly likely to be $\alpha$. Since trans-4-hydroxyproline is the most common isomer of hydroxyproline, ${ }^{16}$ the linkage was similarly presumed to be $\operatorname{GlcNAc}(\alpha, 1-4)-$ trans-4-Hyp. Collaborating with West's group, we confirmed that the hydroxyproline residue is trans-4-Hyp. ${ }^{17}$ This will be discussed in detail in Chapter 3.


Figure 1.4: Post-translational modifications of Pro ${ }^{143}$ of Skp1: the glycosidic linkages

In 1999, a report by West and coworkers described their study of the GlcNAc-Hyp ${ }^{143}$ glycosidic linkage. They found that the Skp1 isoform with Pro at position 143 was not a substrate for the GIcNAc transferase, providing further evidence that the sugar is linked via Hyp. ${ }^{18}$ They studied the formation of the GIcNAc-Hyp linkage by measuring the transfer of radioactivity from UDP-[ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ GIcNAc to Skp1 (Scheme 1.5). The optimized conditions for the enzyme were found to be $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5-8.0,5-10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and 1-5 mM dithiothreitol.



Scheme 1.5: Formation of the GlcNAc-Hyp Linkage $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{H}={ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$

These two post-translational modifications are vital to the regulatory function of Skp1. We hypothesized that conformational changes associated with these post-translational modifications of Skp1 induce changes in the rest of the protein and allow recognition and binding of the F-box-target complex which leads to successful ligation of the target molecule to ubiquitin. West et al. have shown that without post-translational hydroxylation and glycosylation, the organism is no longer able to culminate and reproduce. This suggests that in the absence of these post-translational modifications, the ubiquitin pathway fails and that in-turn leads to inadequate protein metabolism and inability of the organism to reproduce. ${ }^{17,19}$

The first GIcNAc residue may also be involved in oxygen regulation, and is a prerequisite for further glycosylation. ${ }^{18-19}$ The second and third sugars [Gal( $\beta, 1-3$ ) and Fuc( $\alpha, 1-2$ )] are added to Skp1 by PgtA, a two-domain diglycosyltransferase. The $N$-terminal domain of the PgtA protein controls the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\beta, 1-3)$ transferase activity and the $C$-terminal domain is associated with the Fuc( $\alpha, 1-2$ )transferase activity. Formation of the Fuc $(\alpha, 1-2) \mathrm{Gal}(\beta, 1-3) \mathrm{GIcNAc}$ linkages was confirmed by the sensitivity of the diglycosyltransferase to $\beta 3$-galactosidase and a2-fucosidase respectively towards the model substrates. ${ }^{6,8 b}$ The galactose residue is necessarily added before the fucose residue. ${ }^{19}$ The Fuc-Gal disaccharide must be present in the folded Skp1 glycoprotein for further elaboration to take place. Under such circumstances, the fourth sugar, Gal-I is added to the sequence by the AgtA enzyme resulting in the Gal( $\alpha, 1-3$ )-Fuc
linkage. Addition of the final sugar is also mediated by AgtA. The addition of the second Gal residue (Gal-II) by AgtA is likely subject to different kinds of constraints and have the effect of rendering the Gal-I addition irreversible. ${ }^{\text {8b }}$

### 1.4 Conformational Changes in Skp1 Associated with Post-translational Modifications

Before hydroxylation, the Pro ${ }^{143}$ residue of Skp1 likely adopts an Cy-endo ring pucker and the cis $\rightarrow$ trans equilibrium of the prolyl peptide bond slightly favors the trans isomer (Scheme 1.6). Once $\mathrm{Pro}^{143}$ is hydroxylated by P 4 H 1 , the pyrrolidine ring will change its conformation to a Cy-exo pucker and the peptide bond will adopt predominantly the trans orientation. After GIcNAc is attached $\mathrm{Hyp}^{143}$ stays largely in the $\mathrm{C} \gamma$-exo conformation and will likely still favor the trans peptide bond. We believed that the changes in torsional angles around the glycosidic bond of the protein likely contribute to its recognition by PgtA, the enzyme that introduces the next two monosaccharides. ${ }^{8 b}$ These conformational changes in the proline peptide bond associated with the proline hydroxylation and glycosylation will be elaborated in Chapter 3.



Scheme 1.6: Proposed conformational changes in Skp1

### 1.5 Post-Translational Modifications of Skp1 as a Therapeutic Target

All unicellular eukaryotes (including some parasites and invertebrates and most multicellular organisms) bear the equivalent of Pro ${ }^{143}$ in their Skp1 sequence (Table 1.1). ${ }^{20}$ The
lack of Pro ${ }^{143}$ in the Skp1 of higher eukaryotes, including humans, makes these posttranslational modifications a potentially valuable therapeutic target. Toxoplasmosis, a disease caused by Toxoplasma gondii is the most clinically compelling example. ${ }^{21}$ According to the Center of Disease Control website, toxoplasmosis is the third leading cause of death from foodborne illnesses in the USA.

Table 1.1: Skp1 sequence in different organisms

| Organism | Comment | Skp1 Sequence (133-155) <br> $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dictyostelium discoideum | amoebazoan | KIFNIKNDFT PEEEEQIRKENEW |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | yeast | RTFNIVNDFTPEEEAAIRRENEW |
| Cryptosporidium parvum | diarrheal pathogen | QIFNIENDFT PEEESAIREENKW |
| Toxoplasma gondii | toxoplasmosis | RIFNIVNDF TPEEEAQVREENKW |
| Enterocella nidulans | amoebic dysentery | RTFNIVNDFTPEEEAAIRRENEW |
| Anopholes gambie | malaria vector | KTFNIKNDFTPAEEEQVRKENEW |
| Tetraodon nigroviridis | puffer fish | KTFNIKNDFTEEEEAQVRKENQW |
| Homo sapiens | man | KTFNIKNDFTEEEEAQVRKENQW |

Studies by West and coworkers utilizing UDP-[ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{GlcNAc}$ showed that the Skp1 of Toxoplasma gondii undergoes post-translational modifications, as observed for Dictyostelium Skp1. ${ }^{21}$ The assay results shown in Figure 1.5 demonstrate that extracts of $T$. gondii (Tg-S-100) exhibit an activity that is like Gnt1 of Dictyostelium discoideum. Parasites ( 200 million organisms) were isolated from human foreskin fibroblasts and Skp1 was extracted from them. An equal amount of protein was prepared from the fibroblast itself and these proteins samples were compared. The parasites' Skp1 was treated with UDP-[ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{GlcNAc}$ with or without the recombinant Dictyostelium discoideum Skp1 bearing a His-tag (Dd-His ${ }_{10}$ Skp1). After incubation for 2 h at $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mixtures were separated on a SDS-PAGE gel. Figure 1.5 demonstrates that a significant level of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ GIcNAc incorporation was observed for Skp1 of Toxoplasma gondii (TgSkp1) and the addition of $\mathrm{Dd}-\mathrm{His}_{6} \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{H} 1$ increased the incorporation. Also Dd - $\mathrm{His}_{10} \mathrm{Skp1}$ is modified by radiolabeled sugar and it is significantly higher in the presence of $D d-\mathrm{His}_{6} \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{H} 1$.

These results suggest that Toxoplasma gondii expresses a GlcNAc transferase which attaches GlcNAc to a Hyp residue by analogy to Dictyostelium Gnt1.

```
                                    0 h, +Dd-His}\mp@subsup{}{6}{}\textrm{P}4\textrm{H}
                                    \square h , -Dd--His}\mp@subsup{6}{6}{}\textrm{P}4\textrm{H}
                                    2 h, +Dd-His }\mp@subsup{}{6}{}\textrm{P}4\textrm{H}
```

Figure 1.5: Detection of Skp1-aGIcNAcT-like activity in S100 extracts of Toxoplasma gondii The Y-axis represents radioactivity in disintegrations per minute (Dpm). Copyright 2006, Elsevier, reprinted with the permission

Assuming that the addition of the GIcNAc residue to the Hyp of Skp1 is vital for $T$. gondii to propagate, as is the case for Dictyostelium, inhibitors of the associated glycosyltransferase enzyme might serve as selective drugs.

### 1.6 Enzyme Kinetics of Gnt1

Kinetics studies showed that glycosylation by Gnt1 is first order in both UDP-GIcNAc and the synthetic 23-mer peptide (KIFNIKNDFTPEEEEQIRKENEW) substrate. Therefore, the peptide, or the protein, must be involved in the rate determining step of the reaction. Two possible pathways for the Gnt1-catalyzed reaction are proposed in Scheme 1.7: one via a pingpong mechanism and the other through the internal return mechanism. Initially, it was assumed that the glycosyltransferase process was analogous to that of a glycosyl hydrolase enzyme proceeding via a covalent enzyme substrate intermediate (Scheme 1.7A). ${ }^{22}$ Recently, Lairson et al. proposed a mechanism that has a short-lived oxacarbenium ion intermediate. In this mechanism, the phosphate leaving group serves as the base (Scheme 1.7B). ${ }^{23}$

## Path A: Ping-Pong



Scheme 1.7: Possible mechanisms of action for Gnt1

Teng-umnuay et al. explored the behavior of Gnt1 toward Skp1A1-Myc, a full length protein from strain HW120 that is hydroxylated, but not glycosylated at Pro ${ }^{143}$ and the synthetic 23-mer that corresponding to residues $135-157$ of Skp1 with Hyp at the center of the peptide. Their results showed that the Gnt1 enzyme exhibits unusually low $K_{m}$ values, in the submicromolar range, for both its natural donor (UDP-GIcNAc) and acceptor substrate (Skp1AMyc) (Table 1.2). The low $K_{m}$ value for UDP-GIcNAc is similar to the relatively low $K_{m}$ values for the substrates of two cytoplasmic glycosyltransferases, the Skp1 Fuc-transferase and the general Ser/ThrGIcNAc-transferase. ${ }^{18}$ This is consistent with Gnt1 being a cytoplasmic enzyme. The apparently higher $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ value of Skp1A-Myc (full length protein) compared to UDP-GIcNAc is due to the presence of some unhydroxylated isoform of Skp1A-Myc in the preparation that inhibits the enzyme by $50 \%{ }^{18}$ The $V_{\max }$ value of Skp1A-Myc is higher than that estimated for the UDP-GlcNAc. This is probably because Skp1A-Myc was not present at saturation in the reaction. The $V_{\max }$ value of the synthetic 23 -mer is one third that of the full length protein which
is a small difference compared to the 3000 -fold difference in apparent $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values between those two substrates. These kinetic data suggest that the 23-mer lacks structure that is important for highly effective recognition by the enzyme but it contains the necessary functionality for interacting with the catalytic site of the enzyme. Our hypothesis was that the low binding affinity is due to a lack of secondary structure in the 23 -mer.

Table 1.2: $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $V_{\text {max }}$ values

| Substrate | $K_{\mathrm{m}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $V_{\max }(\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UDP-GIcNAc (8) | 0.16 | 8.0 |
| Skp1A-Myc (3) | 0.56 | 12.6 |
| 23-mer Peptide (9) | 1600 | 4.2 |

### 1.7 Goals of the Project

The aim of this project was to investigate the role of the post-translational modification pathway; specifically the prolyl 4-hydroxylation and glycosylation of Skp1. Research was focused on the synthesis of small peptides and peptidomimics to assess the importance of conformational and configurational control elements in Skp1 recognition by the P4H1 and Gnt1 enzymes.

Experiments are organized in three chapters: (1) Synthesis of a bisubstrate analog as a putative inhibitor of Gnt1: Reliant on circumstantial evidence, we prepared a S-glycoside bisubstrate analog. This has been assessed in West's laboratory, for its ability to inhibit the transfer of N acetylglucosamine from UDP-GIcNAc to Hyp. (2) Use of Ac-Thr-Pro*-NHMe dipeptides to assess conformational and stereochemical consequences of prolyl hydroxylation and glycosylation: These peptides have been used to assess the pyrrolidine ring pucker preference and cis-trans preference of the proline peptide bond. Peptides were examined using NMR experiments to determine the equilibrium constant and rate constants for prolyl peptide bond isomerization have been calculated to find the effect of hydroxylation and glycosylation on Skp1. (3) Synthesis of an $\alpha$-helical mimetic: We proposed to synthesize an Skp1 mimetic by inducing $\alpha$-helical formation by replacing the $i, i+4$

H-bond of natural peptide with a covalent linkage. This will have the local secondary structural elements characteristic of the full-length protein. The amino acid sequence that we attempt to mimic in the $\alpha$-helix is IKNDFTPEEEEQIRK.

## CHAPTER 2: SYNTHESIS OF A BISUBSTRATE ANALOG AS A PUTATIVE INHIBITOR OF GNT1

### 2.1 Skp1 Glycosylation

As described in Chapter 1, after Skp1 Pro ${ }^{143}$ is hydroxylated by P 4 H 1 this residue undergoes five consecutive glycosylations to attach the linear pentasaccharide (Scheme 1.3). West and coworkers determined the sequence of the linear pentasaccharide to be Gal( $\alpha, 1-6$ )$\operatorname{Gal}(\alpha, 1-3)-\mathrm{Fuc}(\alpha, 1-2)-\mathrm{Gal}(\beta, 1-3)-\mathrm{GIcNAc}$ by MALDI-MS, with uncertainty remaining about the position and stereochemistry of the last two residues. ${ }^{14}$ After Gnt1 has introduced the GlcNAc residue to the Hyp ${ }^{143}$, conformational changes in this region of the protein will likely contribute to recognition by PgtA, the enzyme that attaches the next two monosaccharides. ${ }^{24}$ After these modifications, the appropriately folded Skp1 polypeptide undergoes further glycosylation to attach the last two Gal residues by GalT-I and GalT-II (Figure 1.4).

### 2.2 Inhibitors of Glycosyltransferases

Glycosyltransferase (GT) enzymes transfer an activated sugar from a nucleotide to an acceptor, which could be an oligosaccharide, a protein or a lipid. ${ }^{25}$ Glycosyltransferases are responsible for the biosynthesis of oligosaccharides and bioconjugates. Thus, GTs play key roles in many biological processes such as cell signaling, carcinogenesis and cell wall biosynthesis in human pathogens. ${ }^{26}$ These enzymes have therefore become an attractive target for development of inhibitors in drug discovery. ${ }^{25}$

Glycosyltransferase inhibitors have been designed based on the donor and acceptor structures and the principles of transition state analog inhibition. Such inhibitors are designed to mimic either the ground state or the transition state of the natural substrates by replacing the glycosidic oxygen atom by a different heteroatom or by a carbon atom. ${ }^{25 a}$, ${ }^{27}$ The rational design of glycosyltransferase inhibitors is difficult because the process is best informed by the three-
dimensional structure of the glycosyltransferase enzyme and currently only a little information is available on their three-dimensional structure. Moreover, it is hard to design an selective inhibitor based on the donor structure, as glycosyltransferase enzymes use a common glycosyl donor and glycosyl acceptors typically have millimolar-range affinity for these enzymes. ${ }^{25}$

The synthesis of compound 10, the first bisubstrate analog inhibitor of a fucosyl transferase (FucT) was reported in 1989 by Hindsgaul et al. ${ }^{28}$ This bisubstrate analog consisted a nucleotide covalently linked to an acceptor domain but with none presentation of the donor sugar residue (Figure 2.1a). The design was intended to mimic the transition state of $\alpha-1,2-F u c T$ (Figure 2.1b). The transition state mimic showed competitive inhibitory activity with respect to both guanidine diphosphate GDP-Fuc ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and acceptor phenyl $\beta$-D galactopyranoside $\left(K_{i}\right.$ of $\left.2.3 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{28}$


Figure 2.1: (a) The first bisubstrate analog inhibitor of $\alpha-1,2-F u c T$, (b) predicted transition state of $\alpha-1,2-$ FucT

In 1995 van Boom et al. reported the synthesis of a trisubstrate analog for $\alpha-1,3-\mathrm{FucT} .{ }^{29}$ Their analog design was based on the proposed transition state of the FucT reaction and consisted of all three components: donor, with nucleotide moiety, and acceptor (Figure 2.2). They replaced the diphosphate with a malondiamide linker between the guanosine and fucose moieties to facilitate membrane transport. However they did not report the inhibitory activity of the synthesized analog. ${ }^{29}$
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Figure 2.2: The trisubstrate analog synthesized by van Boom et al.

### 2.3 Potential Inhibitors of Gnt1

Bertozzi and coworkers developed the first inhibitors of ppGalNAcT: the glycosyltransferase that catalyzes the addition of GalNAc to Ser/Thr sidechains from UDPGalNAc. ${ }^{30}$ Compounds 12 and 13 (Figure 2.3) were identified from a library of 1338 uridinebased compounds in which the spacer X and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ linkage replaces the diphosphate linkage the nature of the linker and the precursor aromatic aldehyde to the imine ( $2,3,4$ trihydroxybenzaldehyde depicted) were varied. The trihydroxybenzene ring mimics the flattened out donor sugar in the transition state. The inhibitors bound to ppGalNAc twice as strongly as UDP-GalNAc and 30-fold stronger than UDP alone. These inhibitors (12 and 13) were found to have broad activity for a range of UDP-sugar-utilizing enzymes.


12 N -alkoxyimine, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$
13 N -acylimine, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Figure 2.3: Inhibitors of ppGalNAcTs

West and coworkers tested Bertozzi's compounds 12 and 13 (Figure 2.3) as potential inhibitors of Gnt1 and Gnt2 (an enzyme that transfers GlcNAc to Ser/Thr) from Dictyostelium
(Scheme 2.1). They observed that both compounds 12 and 13 inhibited Gnt2 with $K_{i}$ values of $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 12 and $70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 13 but no inhibition of Gnt1 was seen. ${ }^{31}$ This implies that despite the difference in configuration at C 4 of GlcNAc and GalNAc the 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzene ring can mimic the transition state of the reaction in the case of Gnt2. Since 12 and 13 are ineffective as inhibitors of Gnt1, this suggests the enzyme mechanism is considerably different.


Scheme 2.1: GlcNAc transferases from Dictyostelium discoideum

Knapp's NAG-thiazoline 16 is a proven inhibitor of $N$-acetyl- $\beta$-hexosaminidase $\left(K_{i}=280\right.$ $\mathrm{nM}){ }^{32}$ This observation provides evidence for neighboring group participation of the N acetamido group and formation of an oxazoline intermediate in the mechanism of hydrolysis by the $N$-acetyl- $\beta$-hexosaminidase (Scheme 2a). ${ }^{33}$ NAG-thiazoline might also serve as an inhibitor of Gnt1 if there is neighboring group participation by the $N$-acetamido group as UDP-GlcNAc interacts with the enzyme.


Scheme 2.2: (a) Oxazoline formation, (b) synthesis of NAG-thiazoline

We prepared 16 according to the Scheme 2.2 b and the enzyme assays were carried out in West's Laboratory. Their results demonstrated that NAG-thiazoline (16) was inactive against
both Gnt1 and the Trypanosoma cruzi analog of Gnt2. ${ }^{10}$ The negative results with NAGthiazoline and the Bertozzi inhibitors indicate that the peptide in the native protein might be an important in the acceptor substrate and/or the glycosyl transfer mechanism is very different.

### 2.4 Design of a Bisubstrate Analog

For three reasons we designed the bisubstrate analog 17 (Figure 2.4) to be an S-glycoside instead of an O-glycoside: (a) relative stability towards glycosidase enzymes; (b) longer C-S bond lengths reminiscent of the transition state for glycosyl transfer; and (c) they are likely easier to prepare than the corresponding $O$-glycosides.


Figure 2.4: Structure of thioglycoside bisubstrate analog

### 2.4.1 Retrosynthetic Analysis of Bisubstrate Analog

Our approach to the synthesis the thioglycoside inhibitor is depicted in Scheme 2.3. There are two key disconnections, the glycosidic linkage and the peptide linkage, that lead to the sugar thiol 18, hydroxyproline 19 and threonine 20 . The sugar thiol 18 is a known compound that has been synthesized from $N$-acetyl glucosamine tetraacetate by Knapp and coworkers. ${ }^{34}$ The Hyp-Thr fragment can be synthesized starting with commercially available Boc-Hyp-OH (19) and Boc-L-Thr(OBn)-OH (20). The stereochemistry at C 4 of the proline residue in the bisubstrate analog 17 could be approached via a Mitsunobu reaction with the $\alpha-\mathrm{SH}$ monosaccharide as nucleophile. A Mitsunobu product would demonstrate inversion of stereochemistry at the C4 of the proline residue, therefore we employed cis-4-hydroxyproline,
hyp. Mitsunobu reaction with the sulfur nucleophiles depends on the acidity of SH-groups and there are precedents for displacement of OH by thiols and with $\beta$-thio-sugars via Mitsunobu chemistry. ${ }^{35}$


Scheme 2.3: Retrosynthetic analysis of S-glycoside bisubstrate analog.

### 2.4.2 Synthesis of Bisubstrate Analog

We synthesized the $\alpha$-thiosugar 18 from commercially available $N$-acetyl glucosamine tetraacetate 15 with Lawesson's reagent to give the thiazoline 21, followed by hydrolysis promoted by TFA (Scheme 2.4). This sequence had been reported previously by Knapp's group. ${ }^{34}$


Scheme 2.4: Synthesis of $\alpha$-thiosugar

Our initial efforts to develop a synthesis of the bisubstrate analog employed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ chemistry. A range of leaving groups were introduced at C4 of Pro; some with $4 R$ configuration, and some with 4S configuration depending upon their mechanism of formation. The synthesis of 4-substituted prolines began with the conversion of commercially available Boc-trans-4-Hyp-OH (19) to its benzyl ester 22 using cesium carbonate and benzyl bromide (Scheme 2.5). We synthesized various proline electrophiles: iodide 23, bromide 24, mesylate 25, and triflate 26,
from building block 22 (Scheme 2.5). Initially we were probing chemical reactivity of these electrophiles and didn't concern ourselves with the stereochemistry of C4 of the proline residue.


Scheme 2.5: Synthesis of proline electrophiles

With a range of building blocks in hand, next we tried to form the thioglycosidic linkage. The electrophiles (23-26) were treated with $\alpha-G I c N A c$ thiol 18 in the presence of different bases (Table 2.1), according to close literature precedents. ${ }^{36}$ All reaction conditions gave complex mixtures of products and the desired product 27 was not isolated from any of these reaction mixtures.

Table 2.1: Attempted glycosylation of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with electrophiles 23-26

(Table 2.1 continued)

| Electrophile | Conditions | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iodide 23 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 15 e |
| Bromide 24 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 15 e |
| Bromide 24 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3},{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ | $15 \mathrm{a}, 15 \mathrm{~d}, 15 \mathrm{e}$ |
| Mesylate 25 | $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{DMF}$ | $15 \mathrm{~b}, 15 \mathrm{c}$ |
| Triflate 26 | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ | $15 \mathrm{f}, 15 \mathrm{~g}$ |

The thiosugar 18 could potentially be oxidized to form a disulfide $28^{8}$ and, if so, this would mean no nucleophilic thiol, accounting for the above failures. To test this hypothesis we subjected the alleged thiol $\mathbf{1 8}$ to acetylation conditions, according Knapp et al., ${ }^{34}$ and obtained 29 (Scheme 2.6). This tells us that our thiosugar 18 does exist as a monomer and is nucleophilic.


Scheme 2.6: Synthesis of thioester 29

Since we failed to synthesize the $\alpha$-glycosides by direct nucleophilic substitution, we sought to apply the Mitsunobu reaction. This was appealing because we could couple the alcohol 22 to the thiosugar 18 in a single step, without going through electrophilic proline derivatives, with associated stereochemical consequences. Initially, we carried out the reaction of the a-1-thiosugar 18 and the trans-4-Hyp derivative 22 under traditional Mitsunobu conditions, but we were anable to isolate compound 27 (Table 2.2, Entry 1). We investigated different conditions for the Mitsunobu reaction as listed in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Glycosylation of 18


| Entry | Ratios $^{*}$ | Solvent | Reagents $^{*}$ | Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1: 1.3: 2.2: 2.2$ | THF | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 0 | - |
| 2 | $1: 1.3: 2.2: 2.2$ | THF | $\mathrm{PMe}_{3} /$ ADDP | 0 | - |
| 3 | $1: 1.3: 1.3: 1.3$ | THF | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 50 | + |
| 4 | $1: 1.3: 2.2: 2.2$ | THF | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 50 | + |
| 5 | $1: 1.3: 2.2: 2.2$ | THF | $\mathrm{PMe}_{3} / \mathrm{ADDP}$ | 60 | + |
| 6 | $1: 1.3: 2.6: 2.6$ | THF | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 60 | + |
| 7 | $1: 1.3: 2.6: 2.6$ | dioxane | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 90 | + |
| 8 | $1: 1.3: 2.6: 2.6$ | toluene | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{DIAD}$ | 60 | +++ |

*Hyp: thiol: phosphine:dialkylazodicarboxylate
DIAD = diisopropyl azodicarboxylate
ADDP = 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine
$(-)=$ no product, $(+)=$ trace amount of product, $(+++)=$ considerable amount of product

Swarmy et al. reported that for the Mitsunobu reaction to proceed satisfactorily, the pKa of the nucleophile (the thiosugar in this case) has to be less than 11. For acidic pronucleophiles with $\mathrm{pKa}>13$ it appears necessary to use modified Mitsunobu reagents in order to increase the basicity of the reaction intermediate and overcome this limitation. ${ }^{35 a, 37}$ To our knowledge, there have been no reports of the Mitsunobu reaction with $\alpha$-1-thio sugars. In 2000, Ohnishi and coworkers $^{38}$ synthesized $\beta$ - $N$-acetylglucosaminyl-1- $N$-Boc-cysteine (32) utilizing modified Mitsunobu conditions (Scheme 2.7).
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(53\%)
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Scheme 2.7: Synthesis of $\beta$-thio sugar using modified Mitsunobu reaction by Ohnishi and coworkers

In 1999 Toth and coworkers ${ }^{39}$ reported the preparation of alkyl 1-thioglycosides from $\beta$ -1-thiosugars and a series of alcohols using trimethylphosphine in combination with azodicarboxylatedipiperidine (ADDP) in good yields. We successfully applied the reaction conditions of Toth et al. to our $\alpha$-1-thiosugar 18, to produce an $\alpha$-thiomethyl glycoside 33 (Scheme 2.8). This experiment led us to conclude that our thiosugar 18 is sufficiently nucleophilic under modified Mitsunobu conditions. Unfortunately reaction of thiosugar 18 and Hyp 22 using these conditions did not give the desired product 27 (Table 2.2, Entry 2).


Scheme 2.8: Synthesis of $\alpha$-thiomethyl glycoside

Most often, the Mitsunobu reaction is conducted at room temperature, or below. There are examples that invoke high temperatures for hindered alcohols. Shi and coworkers ${ }^{40}$ have carried out the Mitsunobu reaction with tertiary alcohols and phenols to produce ethers at elevated temperatures. They used traditional Mitsunobu reagents (DIAD and triphenylphosphine) and toluene as the solvent at high temperatures $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. We also carried out the reaction using different ratios of DIAD and triphenylphosphine and THF as the solvent at high temperature (Table 2.2, Entries 3, 4, 6). After two days, the reaction was not complete, but we were able to isolate the desired product 27 and recover starting material 22. The purification of the product was troublesome due to the presence of triphenylphosphine oxide. The identity of compound 27 was confirmed by NMR and mass spectrometry data. We also tried the reaction using modified reagents and high temperature at the same time (Table 2.2, Entry 5), but there was no improvement relative to Entries 3, 4 and 6. In order to further raise the temperature we carried out the same reaction in anhydrous dioxane at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but the
yield was lower (Table 2.2, Entry 7). We switched the solvent to toluene because our reactants and reagents were soluble in toluene and we obtained a higher yield of desired 30 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2.2, Entry 8).

The studies in Table 2.2 were carried out on the readily available trans-hydroxyproline building block trans-22, but we need cis-hydroxyproline building block cis-22 to prepare our bisubstrate analog 17 with the correct configuration at C4 of the proline residue. We prepared cis-22 in two steps from trans-22. To invert the stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ of 22, the formate ester was prepared using a Mitsunobu reaction, then hydrolyzed with sodium hydroxide to give the alcohol. Compound cis-22 was then coupled with 18 under the optimized conditions. After purification by repetitive flash chromatography we obtained the product 36 in $46 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.8).


Scheme 2.9: Synthesis of thioglycoside 27

Our next task, according to our retrosynthetic analysis, was to attach the threonine residue. Cleavage of the Boc group from 27 was carried out with TFA. Amine 34 was coupled with Boc-L-Thr(OBn)-OH (20) using PyBroP as the coupling reagent to give 35. Compound 36 was synthesized by Boc removal followed by acetylation. Finally, acetate ester methanolysis and subsequent debenzylation afforded the first generation inhibitor of Gnt1 17 in 23\% overall yield starting from Mitsunobu product 27 (Scheme 2.10).


Scheme 2.10: Synthesis of the first generation inhibitor

Compound 17 has been assessed in West's laboratory at University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, for its ability to inhibit the transfer of N -acetylglucosamine from UDP-GIcNAc to Hyp in the 23-mer (peptide representing the $133-157$ sequence of Skp1). Experimental results showed that compound 17 was inactive as an inhibitor for Gnt1.

### 2.5 Experimental Section

GENERAL METHODS: All reactions were performed under a dry nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise noted. Reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used directly; exceptions are noted. Triethylamine, diisopropylethylamine and pyridine were dried and distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and stored over KOH pellets. Methanol was distilled from Mg turnings and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Flash chromatography was performed using flash silica gel (32-63 $\mu$ ) from Dynamic Adsorbents Inc. Reactions were followed by TLC on precoated silica plates (200 $\mu \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{F}-254$ from Dynamic Adsorbents Inc.). The compounds were visualized by UV fluorescence or by staining with anisaldehyde, ninhydrin, phosphomolybdic acid, potassium permanganate stains or $10 \%$ sulfuric acid in ethanol stains. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-250, DPX-400 and AV-400 spectrometer. Proton NMR data is reported in ppm downfield from TMS as an internal standard. *Some compounds reported in this chapter exist as
mixture of rotomers about the prolyl amide bond on the time scale of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Signals in square parentheses refer to those of the minor rotomer. High resolution mass spectra were recorded using either an Aglient 6210 time-of-flight MS, or a Hitachi MS-8000 3DQ LC-ion trap mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization.

### 2.5.1 Experimental Procedures



Thiazoline 21

A solution of 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$-D-glucopyranose-1,3,4,6-tetraacetate 15 (2.00 g, $5.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in toluene ( 20 mL ) was treated with Lawesson's reagent ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 3.42$ mmol, 1 equiv.) and stirred for 1.5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt, then neutralized by the addition of solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg})$, filtered through a plug of cotton, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography ( $7: 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc ) affording thiazoline 21 as a yellow syrup (1.49 g, 84\%). $R_{f} 0.43\left(19: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+0.38(c$ 1.0, MeOH ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2,14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 2.2 Hz, 3H), 3.55 (dt, J = 9.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.47-4.49 (m, 1H), 4.96 (dd, $J=9.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 20.8,20.9,21.0,21.1,63.4,68.5,69.4,70.8,76.8,88.9,168.3,169.4,169.7,170.7$.


Thiol 18

A solution of GlcNAc-thiazoline triacetate $21(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with trifluoroacetic acid (2 drops from a 20 G syringe needle) and water (2 drops from a 20 G syringe needle). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt ,
stirred for 5.5 h , and concentrated to provide the $\alpha$-mercaptan 18 as a colorless foam (106 mg, quantitative yield). $R_{f} 0.37$ (19:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+0.05$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz})$ б 1.96 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 4.10 (dd, J = 12.3, 2.2 Hz), 4.25 (dd, $J=12.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.30 (ddd, $J=9.4,4.2,2.2, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $20.8,20.9,23.3,52.7,61.9,68.1,69.2,70.8,79.0,169.4,170.2,170.9,171.9$.


Electrophile 23 (Following conditions reported by Joullie and coworkers ${ }^{41}$ for iodide formation)

Triphenylphosphine ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added to a stirred solution of Boc-Hyp-OBn (22) (180 mg, $0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 3 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 15 min under nitrogen. A solution of DIAD ( $165 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 169 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84$ mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min to the reaction mixture, stirred for 30 min maintaining the temperature at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methyl iodide $(70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 159 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.12 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) was added, the reaction mixture was gradually warmed to the RT and stirred for 3.5 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 hexanes:EtOAc to give 23 ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.70$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.33$ [1.45] (s, 9H), 2.29-2.43 (m, 1H), 2.82-2.91 [2.53-2.63] (m, 1H), 3.67 (apt.t, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.14[3.92-3.99](\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ [4.37] (t, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 28.15 [28.38], 41.8 [42.1], 42.9 [43.2], 56.7 [59.1], 57.1 [58.7], 67.1, 80.7, 128.2, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 135.3, 135.5, 152.7, 153.3, 171.2, 171.5.


Electrophile 24 (Following conditions reported by Webb et al..$^{42}$ and Berger et al. ${ }^{43}$ for bromide formation.)

Triphenylphosphine ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) was added to a stirred solution of carbon tetrabromide ( $241 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 1 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min under nitrogen. A solution of Boc-Hyp-OBn (22) ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture over 5 min and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was applied to a flash column eluting with $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc to give 24 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.38$ ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.33$ [1.46], 2.40-2.47 (m, 1H), 2.77-2.88 (m, 1H), 3.68-3.75 (m, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.9, 6.4 Hz, 0.5H) [4.02 (dd, J = 11.9, 6.4 Hz, 0.5H)], $4.28(p, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ [4.47] (dd, J = 8.5, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.08-5.31 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.37 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 28.3$ [28.5], 41.1 [40.1], 41.6 [42.5], 55.4 [55.8], 58.5 [58.2], 67.3, 80.8, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.5, 135.7, 153.3 [153.8], 171.7 [171.4]; HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 406.0624 ;$ obsd: 406.0643.


Electrophile 25 (Following conditions reported by Knapp et al. ${ }^{34}$ Lange et al. ${ }^{44}$, Qui et al. ${ }^{45}$ and Oh et al. ${ }^{46}$ mesylate formation.)

Triethylamine ( $377 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 274 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Boc-Hyp-OBn (22) (395 mg, $1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This was stirred for 5 min under nitrogen, $N, N$-dimethylaminopyridine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added and stirring continued for another 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $105 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 155 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was added, the mixture warmed to rt and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated, diluted with dichloromethane ( 40 mL ) and washed with water ( $2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with $19: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 25 ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.66$ (19:1 CH $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.35$ [1.46]* (s, 9H), 2.20-2.28 (m, 1H), 2.59-
$2.69[2.54-2.57](\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75[3.72](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85[3.88](\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ [4.52] (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H ), 5.09-5.29 (m, 3H), 7.33-7.35 (m,5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 28.3 [28.5], 37.6 [36.4], 38.8, 52.3 [52.7], 57.6 [57.4], 67.3, 78.0 [78.3], 81.1, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.3, 135.6, 153.5 [154.0], 172.2 [171.9].


Triflate 26 (Following conditions reported by Raines and coworkers ${ }^{47}$ triflate formation.)

Pyridine ( $102 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) was added to a solution of $N$-Boc-trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline benzyl ester (22) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred for 10 min then triflic anhydride ( $159 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 267 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the resulting yellow solution was stirred at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stirred for another 2.5 h , concentrated and the residue purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with 3:1 hexanes:EtOAc, to give 26 as yellow oil ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{4 3 \%}$ ). $R_{f} 0.41$ (3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.33$ [1.47]* (s, 9H), 2.26-2.36 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})[3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$ ], 4.47 [4.56] (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08-5.27 (m, 2H), 5.44 (app. s, 1H), 7.32-7.35 $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.2$ [28.4], 37.6 [36.4], 52.5 [52.7], 57.4 [57.2], 67.4 [67.6], 81.5, 86.2 [86.7], 119 (q, J J ${ }_{\text {c-F }}=319.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.3, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.1, 135.4, 153.3 [153.8], 171.7 [171.5].


A solution of 18 (155 mg, $0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in a $3: 2$ mixture of pyridine:dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was treated with acetic anhydride ( $405 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 438 \mathrm{mg}, 4.27$ mmol, 1.08 equiv.) and a crystal of 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine. The reaction mixture was
stirred overnight at rt , concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with $39: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : MeOH to give 29 ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. $R_{f} 0.20\left(39: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66$ (ddd, J $=11.2,8.9,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89$ (app.t, J = $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.18 (apt.t, J = $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.6,20.7,23.1,31.6,51.6$, 61.6, 67.5, 71.8, 72.1, 82.3, 169.1, 169.8, 170.7, 171.7, 190.6. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{9} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 428.0991$; obsd: 428.1005.


Methanol ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added to a solution of azodicarbonyldipiperidine ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and trimethyl phosphine ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 13 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 10 min . A solution of thiol 18 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added and stirred overnight under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with hexane (5 mL ), flitered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 9:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give 33 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.50$ (9:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz})$ ס $1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (dd, $J=12.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,4.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.08-5.16 (m, 2H), $5.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ ס13.6, 20.8, 20.9 21.0, 23.4, 52.6, 62.2, 68.3, 68.4, 71.5, 85.4, 169.5, 170.2, 170.9, 171.9. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 400.1042$; obsd: 400.1069.


Diisopropylazodicarboxylate ( $254 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 261 \mathrm{mg} 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.6 equiv.) was added to a solution of triphenylphosphine ( $339 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6$ equiv.) in dry toluene ( 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 min . Boc-cis-4-Hyp-OBn cis-22 ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry toluene ( 2 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture, followed by the addition of 18 ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) in dry toluene ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated. After three flash columns, eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:Hexanes, compound 27 was obtained as a colorless foam (152 mg, 46\%). $R_{f}$ 0.36 (2:1 EtOAc:hexanes). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+0.48(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.34$ [1.46] ${ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ [3.30] (dd, J = 10.9, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (sextet, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86-3.94 (m, 1H), 4.02-4.07 (m, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 12.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.45-4.54 (m, 1H), 4.96-5.03 (m, 1H), 5.07-5.24 (m, 4H), 5.47 (5.50) (d, J = $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.8$ [20.9], 23.4, 28.3 [28.6], 38.6 [37.5], 42.0 [41.9], 52.3 [52.7], 52.5, 58.9 [58.7], 62.3 [60.6], 67.2, 68.3 [68.2], 69.1 [69.0], 71.3, 80.8, 85.4 [84.8], 128.3, 128.5, 128.8, 128.9, 135.5 [135.7], 153.5 [154.0], 169.4, 170.1 [170.0], 170.8, 171.7, 172.1 [171.9], 172.3 [172.1]. HRMS (+TOF) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 667.2531; obsd: 667.2550.


Trifluoroacetic acid ( 1.5 mL ) was added to a solution of glycopeptide 27 ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stirred for 2 h
under nitrogen, concentrated and applied to a flash column, eluting first with 2:1 EtOAc:hexanes, and then with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 34 as a colorless foam ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.52\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 2.01(s, 3 H), 2.46-2.53(m, 1 H), 2.64(p, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.7,22.5,37.2$, 42.3, 52.4, 53.3, 60.3, 63.6, 69.6, 69.7, 70.2, 70.8, 71.8, 85.8, 129.8, 129.9, 130.0, 136.4, 169.9, 171.4, 171.9, 172.3, 173.7.


Glycopeptide 35

Diisopropylethylamine ( $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.485 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) was added to a solution of 34 (110 mg, $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by the addition of Boc.L$\operatorname{Thr}(\mathrm{OBn}) . \mathrm{OH}$ ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15$ equiv.) and PyBroP ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stirred overnight under nitrogen, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column eluting first with 2:1 EtOAc:hexanes, then with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 35 as a colorless foam (142 mg, 85\%). $R_{f} 0.54$ (9:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+0.40(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.21[1.25]^{*}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44[1.45](\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.97$ [1.95] (s,3H), 2.04 [2.03] (m, 9H), 2.24-2.44 (m, 1.5H), 3.30-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.77-3.87 (m, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.02-4.07 (m, 1H), 4.11-4.16 (m, 1H), 4.17-4.25 (m, 1H), 4.28-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.44-4.64 (m,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10[4.94](\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,19.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ [5.13] (s,2H),5.20 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47[5.59](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.2$ [16.6], 20.8 [20.9], 23.3 [24.2], 28.6 [28.5], 36.7 [35.9], 43.1 [42.4], 46.9 [46.3], 52.7 [52.8], 53.1 [52.9], 56.2, 58.8 [59.8], 62.3, 67.3 [67.9], 68.3 [68.1], 69.1 [69.3], 71.1 [71.3], 71.6, 80.0 [79.7], 84.8, 85.4 [85.2], 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, $128.8,128.9,135.5$ [135.2], 138.5 [137.8], 155.8 [155.9], 169.4 [169.3], 169.6 [169.9], 170.2, 170.7, 172.2, 171.7 [172.0]; HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 858.3477$; obsd: 858.3464.


Bisubstrate Analog 17

Trifluoroacetic acid ( 1 mL ) was added to a solution of 35 ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , concentrated, and then concentrated twice more from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of pyridine ( 1 mL ) and acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ) and stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight. The red solution was concentrated, diluted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered concentrated and the residue purified using flash chromatography, eluting with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to isolate crude compound $36(75 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%) . R_{f}$ $0.41\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

A $25 \%$ solution of sodium methoxide in MeOH (two drops from a 20 G syringe needle) was added to a solution of $36(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt , followed by the addition of $\mathrm{IR}-120 \mathrm{H}^{+}$resin and stirred for a further 15 min. The mixture was filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH ( 2 mL ).
and palladium on carbon ( $10 \%, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to give 17 (17 mg, 97\%). $R_{f} 0.74$ (6:4:1 CH $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 1.26$ \left.${[1.16]^{*}}^{(d, ~ J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.99$ [1.97] (s, 6H), 1.95-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.37 (app.t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.46-3.60 (m, 1H), 3.61-3.67 (m, 1H), 3.82-3.89 (m, 2H), 3.92-3.96 (m, 2H), 4.05 (dd, J = 11.0, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.11,(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 19.3$, 22.4 22.8, 37.5, 43.4, 53.0, 54.8, 55.9, 58.7, 60.1, 63.0, 68.8, 72.7, 75.1, 86.0, 91.3, 171.7, 173.6, 173.9.
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## CHAPTER 3: THERMODYNAMICS AND KINETICS STUDIES OF CONFORMATIONAL CHANGES IN A DIPEPTIDE MODEL SYSTEM FOR THE POSTTRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATIONS OF PRO ${ }^{143}$ IN SKP1 OF DICTYOSTELIUM

### 3.1 Conformational Concepts Relevant to Proline-Containing Peptides

Proline plays a unique role in the conformation of peptides and proteins. The pyrrolidine ring of a proline residue exists in predominantly two ring puckers: $\mathrm{C} \upharpoonright$-exo ("up") and Cy -endo ("down") (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{48}$ The conformation of the pyrrolidine ring is influenced by substituents on the ring. In unsubstituted proline the $\mathrm{C} Y$-endo pucker is slightly favored over the C -exo pucker. Electronegative substituents at $\mathrm{C} Y$ with the $R$-configuration stabilize the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{exo}$ puckering while 4S substituents favor the Cy-endo puckering. ${ }^{49}$


Scheme 3.1: Pyrrolidine ring conformational changes

$$
\text { P4H1 = Prolyl-4-hydroxylase } 1
$$

These conformational preferences are a result of the gauche effect and related hyperconjugation. ${ }^{50}$ The gauche effect is a general phenomenon. ${ }^{51}$ Specifically, this becomes manifest when there are two electronegative atoms on the y and $\delta$ carbons of the proline residue. The $\sigma$ orbitals of the $\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} \delta-\mathrm{H}$ bonds (H being a more electropositive substituent) and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\mathrm{C} \gamma-\mathrm{O}$ bond ( O being a more electronegative substituent) adopt a torsional angle of $180^{\circ}$. This hyperconjugative interaction between $\sigma(\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{H}), \sigma(\mathrm{C} \delta-\mathrm{H})$ and $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{C} \gamma-$ O) leads to a preference for the Cy-exo conformation (Figure 3.1) in $2 S, 4 R$-hydroxyproline (Hyp). The $\mathrm{C} y$-endo conformation in $2 S, 4 R$-hydroxyproline does not permit such stabilizing effects. The opposite is true for the $2 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{~S}$-hydroxyproline where these stabilizing effects are present in the Cy-endo conformation and absent in the $\mathrm{C} y$-exo conformation.
$\mathbf{C}_{\gamma}$-exo





Figure 3.1: Gauche effect and hyperconjugative interactions in Hyp

In nature, planar peptide bonds occur predominantly in the trans conformation because the energy difference between the cis and trans conformations is about $2.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{52}$ However in prolyl peptide bond isomerization this energy difference is reduced to $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{53}$ (Scheme 3.2). As a result of the side chain being cyclized onto the nitrogen in Pro and Hyp there is restricted rotation about the Ca-N bond, confining the $\Phi$ dihedral angle. This sets Pro and Hyp apart from other amino acids and leads to a reduction in energy difference between cis and trans conformational isomers rendering them nearly isoenergetic.


Scheme 3.2: Cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerism about the prolyl amide bond in Hyp

Electron withdrawing groups on the pyrrolidine ring of proline residues affect the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization through related inductive and stereoelectronic effects. ${ }^{47}$ The trans/cis ratio for the conformational isomers is correlated with pyrrolidine ring conformation. The $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction between the oxygen lone pair of the $N$-terminal amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ( n , nonbonding) and the antibonding orbital ( $\pi^{*}$ ) of the following amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ (Figure 3.1) has a major influence on cis $\rightarrow$
trans isomerization ${ }^{54}$ (Scheme 3.2). The CY-exo pucker allows this $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction and stabilizes the trans amide bond. Lack of significant $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction in the Cy-endo conformation leads to a higher population of the cis peptide bond when this ring conformation is favored.

### 3.1.1 Prolyl Hydroxylation in Proteins Other than Skp1

One of the most common post-translational modifications of 2 S-proline (L-Pro) in humans is hydroxylation by prolyl 4-hydroxylase ( P 4 H ) to give $2 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{R}$-4-hydroxyproline (Hyp). Collagen is the most common protein in animals and has a characteristic triple-helical secondary structure. Hydroxylation of the Pro in collagen (Figure 3.2a) is essential for the stability of the collagen triple helix. Moreover Hyp can also be found in elastin (Figure 3.2b), conotoxins, and argonaute 2 protein which has a collagen-like domain. ${ }^{11}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.2: (a) Chemical structure of collagen and (b) hydrophobic pentapeptide sequence of elastin (37)

Recent studies on the prolyl-4-hydroxylase of collagen by Raines' Group, ${ }^{11}$ and the prolyl-4-hydroxylase of the hypoxia-inducible factor- $\alpha$ (HIF $\alpha$ ) by the Schofield Group, ${ }^{12}$ have revealed interesting details of the mechanism of hydroxylation. In humans, HIF- $\alpha$ is a key regulator in the cellular response to critically low oxygen concentrations.

Hydroxylation of HIF- $\alpha$ is catalyzed by enzymes containing a prolyl hydroxylase domain (PHD). ${ }^{11-12}$ The reaction has two stages (Scheme 3.3): (A) Formation of a highly reactive
$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV})=\mathrm{O}$ species, without the direct participation of the proline; and (B) abstraction of the pro-R hydrogen atom from $\mathrm{C}-4$ of the proline residue by the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV})$ species. During the hydroxylation of proline, $\alpha$-ketoglutarate is oxidized to succinate and one atom of molecular oxygen is incorporated into proline and the other into succinate. ${ }^{11}$ After the pyrrolidine ring of Pro ${ }^{564}$ in HIF$\alpha$ is hydroxylated by a trans-4-hydroxylase the ring conformation shifts from $\mathrm{C} Y$-endo to $\mathrm{C} Y$-exo.
(A)

(B)


Scheme 3.3: Enzyme-catalyzed hydroxylation of proline. Adapted from Schofield ${ }^{11}$

### 3.1.2 Traditional Views of Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerism and Collagen Stability

Collagen consists of three polypeptide chains that are arranged into a triple helix. These polypeptide chains are composed of repeating units of Gly-Pro-Hyp residues. In 1973 Prockop and coworkers reported that the hydroxyl group of Hyp intensely increased the thermal stability of the collagen triple helix. ${ }^{55}$ One explanation for the Hyp-mediated collagen stability was the arrangement of water molecules around the Hyp hydroxyl group to form inter-strand hydrogen bonds. ${ }^{56}$ In 1990 Berman et al. discovered by X-ray diffraction analysis that water molecules form bridges between the Hyp hydroxyl group and the main chain amide carbonyl groups. ${ }^{57}$

In collagen, $25 \%$ of the residues are Pro or Hyp and the stability of the collagen triple helix inevitably depends on the properties of these residues. All peptide bonds in the collagen
triple helix exist in the trans conformation. The trans/cis ratio of the prolyl amide bonds in the unfolded state of collagen has a direct influence on the stability of the triple helix. ${ }^{58}$ All the cis amide bonds have to convert to trans amide bonds in the transition from the unfolded state to the folded triple helix. Therefore factors that affect $K_{t / c}$ of a prolyl amide bond influence the stability of collagen.

### 3.2 Recent Advances in Understanding Factors Affecting Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerism in Pro-Containing Peptides

3.2.1 Lubell and coworkers - Steric and Stereochemical Effects of a Substituent at Cס

In 1996 Lubell and coworkers reported steric effects on the amide cis $\rightarrow$ trans equilibrium of prolyl peptides. ${ }^{59}$ This was explored by synthesizing the two diastereoisomers of N -acetyl-5-tert-butylproline methyl amide (Table 3.1) and analyzing the relative populations of cis and trans isomers and the energy barriers to amide isomerization.

Table 3.1: Energy barriers for amide bond isomerization of N -Acetyl-5-tert-butylproline methyl amide derivatives at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


| Proline derivative | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 8 a}=\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.57 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 b}=\mathrm{R}_{1}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.03 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 c}=\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ | 0.38 |

The kinetics and thermodynamics data showed that the steric bulk and the stereochemistry at the proline Cס influence the amide geometry. Their results indicated that the $\mathrm{C} \delta$ substituents decrease the barrier for amide isomerization and thereby significantly increase the cis isomer population in water because the C $\delta$ tert-butyl substituent skews the amide bond
way from planarity such that a twisted amide conformation appears to be in lower energy and makes it easier to rotate around.

### 3.2.2 Raines and coworkers - Inductive Effect of a Substituent at $\mathrm{C} Y$

In 1996 Raines and coworkers put forward a new hypothesis that electronegative substituents stabilize the collagen triple helix via an inductive effect. ${ }^{60}$ Their studies on Ac-ProOMe, AcHypOMe and Ac-(4R)-4-fluoroproline-OMe (AcFlpOMe) (Figure 3.3) showed that the ring nitrogen becomes increasingly pyramidalized in the series due to the increasing electronwithdrawing ability of oxygen and fluorine. An increase in pyramidalization also increases the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ character of the proline nitrogen and thereby the AcFlpOMe prolyl nitrogen has more $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ character than that in the Hyp derivative and the amide bond isomerization rate is greater for AcFlpOMe. These results implied that the inductive effect was responsible for higher amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond order and lower $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ amide bond order.
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Figure 3.3: Proline derivatives

In 1998 they reported that a synthetic (Pro-Flp-Gly) ${ }_{10}$ collagen mimic formed a triple helix and that the Flp residue enhanced its stability relative to Hyp in the analogous oligopeptide. ${ }^{61}$ Based on their experimental results looking at the effect of temperature on conformational stability, they showed that (Pro-Flp-Gly) ${ }_{10}$ required higher temperatures to unfold the triple helix (Figure 3.4).
_ (Pro-Hyp-Gly) ${ }_{10}$
_ (Pro-Pro-Gly $)_{10}$

Figure 3.4: Effect of temperature on the conformational stability of (Pro-Flp-Gly) ${ }_{10}$, (Pro-HypGly $)_{10}$ and (Pro-Pro-Gly) ${ }_{10}$ triple helices. Copyright 1999, Elsevier, reprinted with the permission

They explained the hyperstability of this collagen mimic based on three factors.
(1) Preorganization of the prolyl peptide bond conformation:

The inductive effect of the hydroxyl group of (4R)-hydroxyproline residues increases the pyramidalization of the pyrrolidine nitrogen and thereby increases the population of trans conformer which is lower in energy than the cis conformer. This hypothesis was supported by the crystal structures of AcProOMe having a cis amide bond conformation and those of AcHypOMe and AcFlpOMe having a trans amide bond conformation. ${ }^{61}$ Moreover, the values of $K_{t / c}$ (measured directly by NMR spectroscopy) increased in the order AcProOMe $<$ AcHypOMe $<$ AcFlpOMe at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in deuterated water or dioxane. ${ }^{62}$
(2) Dipole-dipole interactions governed by a gauche effect: Fluorine is the most electronegative element and installing fluorine in the $C_{Y}$ position of proline will have a stronger inductive effect than the hydroxyl group in hydroxyproline. The dipole of the $\mathrm{C} \gamma$ - F bond of the Flp residue is stronger than that of the Cy-O bond of Hyp and this leads to stronger dipoledipole interactions. ${ }^{63}$ This will increase the stabilization of the $\mathrm{C} Y$-endo pucker due to a strong gauche effect.
(3) Pro C=O---HNGly hydrogen bond strength.

In collagen, hydrogen bonds are associated with oxygen of the Pro and the NH proton of Gly (Figure 3.5). Comparing the oligopeptides containing Hyp and Flp residues, hydrogen bond strength is higher in the triple helix in the presence of the higher inductive effect of fluorine. This increases the acidity of the NHGly proton and increases the H -bond strength in the triple helix.


Figure 3.5: Hydrogen bonding in triple helical collagen

### 3.2.3 Moroder et al. - More Evidence for the Inductive Effect and the Importance of

 Stereochemistry at CYIn 2001 Moroder et al. reported the thermodynamics and kinetics of the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the amide bond in Ac-Pro-OMe, Ac-Flp-OMe, Ac-flp-OMe, and Ac-(4)- $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ProOMe proline derivatives (Table 3.2). ${ }^{64}$

Their observation was also that the fluorine substituents accelerate the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization. With the difluoro derivative the equilibrium is shifted further towards the trans isomer than in the monofluorinated derivative. The cis $\rightarrow$ trans equilibrium constant $\left(K_{t / c}\right)$ for Flp was different to flp due to the different pucker preferences of the pyrrolidine ring. In the difluoro derivative the gauche effect of both fluorine atoms counteract each other resulting in an $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ endo pucker. The energy difference between the cis and trans isomers is significantly higher in the exo conformation than the endo conformation. This explains their experimental observation of a smaller free energy difference for the flp derivative (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2: Thermodynamics parameters for compounds 39 and 41-43


| Entry | Proline derivative | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | -5.04 | -3.82 | -0.93 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | -7.73 | -9.81 | -1.14 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | -3.04 | -2.47 | -0.55 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | -5.21 | -7.32 | -0.72 |

3.2.4. Taylor et al. - Factors Affecting Conformation in Proline and Hydroxylated Prolines

In 2003 Taylor et al. reported six proline derivatives (Table 3.3) to investigate the various factors affecting the cis $\rightarrow$ trans equilibrium. They compared $C$-terminal esters with amides vis-à-vis the position of the isomerization equilibrium. Replacement of the methyl ester with a methyl amide significantly dropped the magnitude of the $K_{t / c}$ (Entries 1-4, Table 3.3). This is due to the strong $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction in the ester relative to the amide as the ester carbonyl carbon is more electron deficient.

They also studied the effect of steric bulk of the $N$-terminal residue on the prolyl peptide bond conformation. This was demonstrated upon adding a Gly residue $N$-terminal to the Pro residue (44 and 45, Table 3.3) and comparing $K_{t / c}$ with the $N$-acetyl proline derivatives ( 39 and 38a, Table 3.3). Their results showed that increasing the steric bulk of the $N$-terminal residue favors the trans conformation of the prolyl peptide bond. ${ }^{65}$

The dipeptide containing a Phe-Pro amide bond showed a reduction in the magnitude of $K_{t / c}$ compared to peptides 44 and 45 . This is due to the nonbonding hydrophobic interaction of pi-electrons of the aromatic ring with the proline. The Ar-Pro interaction stabilizes the cis conformation and brings it closer in energy to its trans counterpart. ${ }^{65}$

Table 3.3: Thermodynamics parameters for compounds 38a, 39 and 44-47

39

38a

44

45

46

47

| Entry | Proline derivative | $\begin{gathered} K_{t / c} \\ \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 298 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H} \\ \left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}}{(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol} \\ \\ \left.\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 39 | 5.40 | -1.17 | -0.62 | -0.99 |
| 2 | 38a | 2.56 | -0.97 | -1.34 | -0.57 |
| 3 | 44 | 7.20 | -1.27 | -0.25 | -1.20 |
| 4 | 45 | 5.54 | -0.89 | 0.41 | -1.01 |
| 5 | 46 | 4.20 | -0.25 | 1.96 | -0.83 |
| 6 | 47 | 2.10 | 0.25 | 2.29 | -0.43 |

In 2005, a report by Taylor et al. demonstrated that the regiochemistry, stereochemistry and degree of hydroxylation of a proline residue has an impact on peptide conformation. ${ }^{66}$ They studied the thermodynamics of cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the central amide bond in a series of dipeptides (Table 3.4).

Their results showed that introducing a $4 R-\mathrm{OH}$ substituent to the proline residue significantly stabilizes the trans peptide bond, whereas introducing a $4 S-O H$ substituent leads to little change compared to the parent compound (Entries 1-3, Table 3.4). To study the effect of the regiochemistry of hydroxylation they looked at the $3 R-\mathrm{OH}$ and $3 S-\mathrm{OH}$ substituents. The C 3 substituents had a smaller effect on the conformation of the peptide bond relative to substituents at C 4 . In C 4 -substituted prolines the shorter $\mathrm{C} Y$ - $\mathrm{C} \delta$ bond length and the inherent partial double bond character probably account for the stronger inductive effect relative to substituents at C3. Moreover the X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{N}-\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$-acetyl)-3(S)-hydroxyproline methyl ester showed
a typical single bond length (1.536 $\AA$ ) for $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{C} \beta$ bond and a relatively short bond length for $\mathrm{C} \beta-$ $\mathrm{Cy}\left(1.512 \AA\right.$ Å). ${ }^{67}$

Table 3.4: Thermodynamics parameters for compounds 47-54


| Entry | Compound | $K_{\text {tcc }}$ <br> $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 2.1 | 0.25 | 2.3 | -0.44 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 5.0 | -0.24 | 2.2 | -0.90 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 1.9 | 1.21 | 5.4 | -0.40 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 1.4 | 1.40 | 5.3 | -0.18 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 2.4 | 0.65 | 3.9 | -0.51 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | 2.2 | 0.48 | 3.2 | -0.47 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 5.6 | -0.41 | 2.0 | -1.01 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 2.5 | 0.80 | 4.6 | -0.57 |

To study how the degree of hydroxylation impacts the peptide bond isomerization equilibrium they incorporated two isomers of 3,4-dihydroxyproline into the dipeptides. Peptide 53, containing 2,3-trans-3,4-cis-3,4-dihydroxyproline showed similar behavior to the trans-4hydroxyproline and gave the largest equilibrium constant in the series (Entry 7), but diastereoisomer 54 having opposite $\mathrm{C} \beta$ configuration showed a lower equilibrium constant. Their explanation for this was the additional hydroxyl group at the C3 position enhances the pyramidalization of nitrogen and the electophilicity of the Pro $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group.

### 3.2.5 Schweizer and Coworkers - Glycosylation of Hydroxyproline

Glycosylation is a post-translational modification of proteins that attaches a carbohydrate moiety to a protein molecule. Glycosylation affects peptide and protein conformation. In the plant kingdom, glycosylation of Hyp occurs in hydroxyproline rich glycoproteins (HRGPs). ${ }^{68}$ In the early 1990s, studies were conducted using small glycopeptides to study the effects of glycosylation on the peptide backbone..$^{62}$ In 2007, a paper by Schweizer and coworkers reported the structural impact of glycosylation on a Hyp derivative. ${ }^{69}$ In their study they compared the thermodynamics and kinetics for cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of Ac-Hyp-NHMe with 4-O-alkylated and both $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-galactosides of Ac-Hyp-NHMe (Table 3.5). They found that there were no significant differences in the thermodynamics and kinetics of the alkylated and glycosylated derivatives. However, the proline and galactose rings showed close contacts in nuclear Overhauser effect (nOe) experiments. Therefore they suggested that glycosylation could impact backbone conformation in HRGP in this manner.

Table 3.5: Cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of glycosylated Ac-Hyp-NHMe


| Entry | R | $K_{t c}\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\star}$ | $K_{t \mathrm{tc}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{\star}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{5 5 ~ H}$ | $3.52 \pm 0.05$ | $0.73 \pm 0.01$ | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{5 6} \mathbf{6}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ | $3.34 \pm 0.15$ | $0.77 \pm 0.02$ | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{5 7 \alpha} \alpha-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Gal}$ | $3.41 \pm 0.30$ | $0.83 \pm 0.05$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{5 7 \beta} \beta$-D-Gal | $3.37 \pm 0.28$ | $0.61 \pm 0.04$ | $0.21 \pm 0.02$ |

*Phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 at $67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
In nature, 2 S,4S-hydroxyproline (hyp) is found rarely, but has been isolated from Santalum album (sandalwood tree), phalloidin (phallotoxins produced by Amanita phalloides) and Lyngbya majuscula (cyanobacteria) ${ }^{16}$ (Figure 3.6). To-date there have been no reports of O-glycosylation of hyp from natural sources.
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Figure 3.6: Microcolin A isolated from Lyngbya majuscula ${ }^{70}$

In 2009, Schweizer and coworkers reported that glycosylation of hyp (the diastereomer of Hyp) does lead to an increase in rates and the equilibrium constant of prolyl amide cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization compared to the rates of the corresponding non-glycosylated residue (Table 3.6). ${ }^{71}$ Furthermore using nOe experiments they showed that in both $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-galactosides of Ac-hyp-OMe, galactose is oriented away from the proline residue.

Table 3.6: Prolyl amide cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerism of glycosylated Ac-hyp-OMe


| Entry | R | $K_{t c c}\left(24.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\star}$ | $K_{t c}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\star}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 59 H | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04$ | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{6 0 \alpha} \alpha-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Gal}$ | $2.9 \pm 0.3$ | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ |
| 3 | $60 \beta \beta-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{Gal}$ | $2.9 \pm 0.1$ | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ | $0.30 \pm 0.02$ |

*Phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.2,0.1 \mathrm{M}$ at $67.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.3 Synthesis of Dipeptide and Glycopeptide Model Systems to Study the Conformational Changes Associated with Prolyl Hydroxylation and Glycosylation in Skp1.

After looking at the published results described above we wanted to study the conformational changes associated with the Thr-Pro dipeptide as occurs in Skp1. Initially we synthesized two dipeptides, Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe and Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe and one glycosylated dipeptide Ac-Thr-[(a,1-4)GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (Figure 3.7) to study the conformational preferences of the pyrrolidine ring and the peptide bond as a consequence of hydroxylation and
subsequent glycosylation. These peptides were synthesized by standard peptide coupling techniques as described below.
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Figure 3.7: Dipeptides and glycopeptide synthesized

Our synthesis of the Thr-Pro dipeptide 61 was performed by analogy to procedures published previously by Taylor et al. ${ }^{65}$ We prepared the dipeptide 66 by activating the acid of Boc-Thr(OBn)-OH (64) and coupling to proline 65. The methylamide 67 was obtained by activating the acid in compound 66 and then treating with methylamine in the presence of a base. We used TFA to cleave the Boc group and subsequently acetylated the amine with acetic anhydride to afford 68. Compound 61 was obtained in good yield after hydrogenolysis of 68 (Scheme 3.4). This was pure enough to perform NMR studies.


Scheme 3.4: Synthesis of dipeptide 61

Synthesis of the Thr-Hyp dipeptide 62 started with commercially available Fmoc$\mathrm{Hyp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(69)$ and $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Thr}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(71)$. We achieved the synthesis of 62 in seven linear steps and 48\% overall yield (Scheme 3.5). We started our synthesis by activating the acid in compound 69 to introduce the methylamide, affording compound 70. Removal of the Fmoc carbamate from compound 70 and coupling with $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\operatorname{Thr}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (71) gave 72 in quantitative yield. Deprotection of the amine in compound 72 and acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine gave 73. Cleavage of the tert-butyl ethers of 73 was achieved with TFA to give 62 (Scheme 3.5), that was purified by RP-HPLC.


Scheme 3.5: Synthesis of dipeptide 62

For the synthesis of the glycopeptide 63 we first needed to prepare a glycosyl donor; we started with commercially available $D$-mannose (74) (Scheme 3.6 ). We followed the one pot procedure of Deferrari et al. ${ }^{72}$ to acetylate elsewhere, but leaving the free $\mathrm{C}-2$ alcohol 75. The overall yield of free $\mathrm{C}-2$ alcohol 75 is poor, but the procedure requires negligible purification at each step and pure C-2 alcohol can be simply precipitated out from ether. The third step of the one pot sequence requires a lower reaction temperature than that recommended by Deferrari et al. to avoid getting the 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl derivative as the major product. The C-2 triflate 76 was converted to the C-2 azide 77 with inversion of configuration. Lewis acid catalyzed reaction with thiophenol gave anomeric $\alpha$-sulfide 78 as the major isomer ( $3: 1 \alpha: \beta$ ). We chose a
thioglycoside glycosyl donor because they are robust and can be activated easily by N idodosuccinimide (NIS).


Scheme 3.6: Synthesis of glycosyl donor 78

The thioglycoside 78 was then coupled with the Fmoc-Hyp-OBn (79) to afford the Oglycoside $\mathbf{8 0}$. The C2-azide in compound $\mathbf{8 0}$ was then reduced and acylated to produce the N acetamide. Removal of Fmoc from 81 and coupling with Boc-Thr( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (20) gave 82 (Scheme 3.7).





Scheme 3.7: Synthesis of Ac-Thr-Hyp(GlcNAc)-NHMe (63)

To couple the threonine we followed the same procedure we used to synthesize the regular dipeptides. The amine of compound $\mathbf{8 2}$ was then deprotected and acetylated to give $\mathbf{8 3}$. The acid 84 obtained from hydrogenolysis of $\mathbf{8 3}$ was then converted to its methylamide $\mathbf{8 5}$. Finally the removal of acetate esters from 85 gave compound 63 in good yield (Scheme 3.7).

### 3.4 NMR Spectroscopic Studies

### 3.4.1. Proton NMR Spectra

Proton NMR spectra were recorded for $0.01-0.04 \mathrm{M}$ solutions of each compound in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over the temperature range $25-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were assigned on the basis of COSY and HSQC experiments. The spectra of the Hyp-containing dipeptide $\mathbf{6 2}$ are discussed for illustration (Figure 3.8).

As shown in Figure 3.8(a) a number of relayed connectivities were observed in the COSY spectrum of Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe. The Hyp-H $\beta$ protons show expected cross peaks to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and Hy . The threonine methyl group ( $\mathrm{H} \gamma, 1.18 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) shows a cross peak to Thr- $\beta$ ( 4.13 ppm ). This allows the assignment of Hyp- $\alpha$, Hyp- $\gamma$, Thr- $\alpha$ and Thr- $\beta$. The Thr-Me doublet (Hy) for the cis and trans conformations are well resolved compared to the Thr- $\beta$ multiplet. Proton NMR signals for $\mathrm{H} \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} \delta$ of the cis conformation can also be seen on the spectrum but they are not as strong as the Thr-Me signal. The trans and cis conformations of Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe exist in a 9:1 ratio at 298 K .


Figure 3.8: (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}$-Hyp-NHMe (62) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 297 K (b) 2D COSY spectrum of Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 297 K

The pyrrolidine ring pucker of the major conformational isomer of compounds 61, 62 and 63 was assigned by comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling constants ${ }^{3} J_{\alpha, \beta 1}$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\alpha, \beta 2}$ with literature values ${ }^{73}$ (Table 3.7). Coupling constants for the Cy-exo pucker are expected to be 7-10 Hz and 7-11 Hz respectively and for the $\mathrm{C} \gamma$-endo pucker they are between 6-10 and 2-3 respectively.

Table 3.7: Coupling constant and ring pucker assignment for copound 61-63

| Compound | $J_{\alpha \beta 1}, J_{\alpha \beta 2}$ | Ring pucker |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) | $6.6,4.3$ | endo |
| Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) | $7.6,9.6$ | exo |
| Ac-Thr-[(a,1-4)GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (63) | $7.5,9.8$ | exo |

### 3.4.2 Inductive Effect on Cy Chemical Shift

In 2005, Raines et al. reported ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts as a measure of the electron withdrawing effect of substituents on the proline. Their study was based on Hyp derivatives esterified with an acetyl group or a trifluoroacetyl group. They observed 3-8 ppm difference in the $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ chemical shift for the proline derivative with trifluoroacetate substituent relative to $\mathbf{4 0}$ (Table 3.8). ${ }^{74}$

Table 3.8: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ chemical shifts for compounds 40,56 and 87

| Compound | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \gamma\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac-Hyp-OMe (40) | 70.7 |
| Ac-Hyp $\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$-OMe (86) | 73.7 |
| Ac-Hyp $\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right)$-OMe (87) | 78.6 |

In 2009 Schweizer et al. used the same concept to estimate the electron withdrawing effects of glycosylation in hydroxy-proline derivatives. ${ }^{71}$ Their results showed a downfield shift of 9-12 ppm for the Cy carbon of the glycosylated proline derivatives (Table 3.9).

## Table 3.9: ${ }^{13} C^{1}$ chemical shifts for compounds $40,88 \alpha / \beta, 59$ and $60 \alpha / \beta$

| Compound | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \gamma\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac-Hyp-OMe (40) | 69.9 |
| Ac-Hyp-( $\alpha-G \mathrm{Gal})-\mathrm{OMe}(\mathbf{8 8 \alpha})$ | 78.9 |
| Ac-Hyp-( $\beta$-Gal)-OMe $\mathbf{8 8} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 77.6 |
| Ac-hyp-OMe $\mathbf{( 5 9 )}$ | 69.9 |
| Ac-hyp( $\alpha-G a l)-\mathrm{OMe}(\mathbf{6 0 \alpha})$ | 80.3 |
| Ac-hyp-( $\beta-\mathrm{Gal})-\mathrm{OMe})(\mathbf{6 0} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 80.6 |

By analogy, to assess the impact of the electron withdrawing substituents upon proline hydroxylation and glycosylation in our dipeptides we used the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} Y$ chemical shift of compounds 61, 62, and 63. Significant changes in the $C_{Y}$ chemical shift were found to occur on hydroxylation and glycosylation of proline (Table 3.10).

Table 3.10: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{Y}$ chemical shifts for copmounds 60-62

| Compound | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \gamma\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (60) | 23.6 |
| Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (61) | 69.7 |
| Ac-Thr-Hyp(GlcNAc)-NHMe (62) | 79.7 |

### 3.4.3 Measurement of $K_{\text {trans/cis }}$ and Thermodynamics Studies of Dipeptides 61-63

The activation energy barrier for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of amides and peptides is around $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is a relatively high energy barrier and so the process is usually slow on the NMR time scale. Therefore both species can be observed by NMR, sometimes with sufficient spectral resolution for integration.

The equilibrium constants for cis $\rightarrow$ trans interconversion of 61, 62, and 63 were determined by integrating as many well resolved signals as possible for each isomer. For example, for Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) the resolution of the threonine methyl group (Hy) protons, and the Hyp-Hס protons in the two conformations was good through the temperature range used (Figure 3.9). For the Ac-Thr-[( $\alpha, 1-4) \mathrm{GlcNAc}] H y p-N H M e ~(63)$, integration at higher temperatures was compromised due to poor resolution of the cis and trans isomer signals.

(a)
$85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(b)

Figure 3.9: 1.1-1.4 ppm range of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showing the resolution of Thr - Hy signals of (a) Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) and (b) Ac-Thr-[(a,1-4)GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (63) at temperatures of 25,55 and 75 and $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Experiments were run over a range of temperatures ( 25 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the resulting van't Hoff plots are shown in Figure 3.10. For all three peptides $K_{t c}$ is dependent on temperature and the magnitude of $K_{t / c}$ decreases with increasing temperature. At higher temperature there is more energy to populate the cis species. A slightly positive gradient was observed for Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) and the gradient was steeper for Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) and even steeper for the Ac-Thr-Hyp(GIcNAc)-NHMe (63). The hydroxylation and glycosylation of the proline led to a significant increase in the magnitude of the equilibrium constant.


Figure 3.10: Van't Hoff plots for cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of dipeptides 61-63

The linear nature of the van't Hoff plots indicates that the enthalpic and entropic energy differences between the cis and trans prolyl amide isomers are independent of temperature. Accordingly, $\Delta H^{\circ}$ and $\Delta S^{\circ}$ could be calculated from the linear least squares fitting of the van't Hoff plots to the equation $\ln K_{t / c}=\left(-\Delta H^{\circ} / R\right)(1 / T)+\Delta S^{\circ} / R$. For all three compounds $\Delta H^{\circ}$ was a negative value, as reported by others for model peptides containing proline. ${ }^{65-66,75}$ The values of $\Delta H^{\circ}$ and $\Delta S^{\circ}$ are more negative for the dipeptide containing Hyp than for proline and even more negative in the dipeptide containing glycosylated Hyp (Table 3.11). The negative $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ implies that hydroxylation and glycosylation cause the trans amide isomer to become more ordered. The free energy difference $\left(\Delta G^{\circ}\right)$ between the trans and cis species can be calculated from $\Delta G^{\circ}=\Delta H^{\circ}-T \Delta S^{\circ}$ and the values demostrate that the trans amide isomer is increasingly favored in the order of Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) < Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) < Ac-Thr-[(a,1-4)GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (63).

Table 3.11: Thermodynamics parameters for compounds 61-63

| Dipeptide | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ominus}$ <br> $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ominus}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $K_{t c}$ <br> $(298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) | -2.67 | -2.17 | -2.03 | 2.3 |
| Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) | -6.36 | -3.34 | -5.36 | 8.7 |
| Ac-Thr-Hyp(GlcNAc)-NHMe (63) | -9.04 | -8.67 | -6.45 | 13.2 |

Our results showed that hydroxylation and glycosylation do impact the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the prolyl amide bond whereas the 2007 Schweizer report concluded that glycosylation of Hyp does not affect the N -terminal amide trans/cis ratio or the rates of amide isomerization in model amides. ${ }^{69}$ The differences between our system and Schweizer's were the nature of the sugar (GlcNAc vs Gal) and the $N$-terminal substituent investigated (Thr vs Ac). Either of these factors could explain the differences in the conclusions of the studies. This could be investigated by conducting analogous thermodynamic studies for either 89 or 90 (Figure 3.11). Analysis of Ac-[( $\alpha, 1-4)$ GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89), would permit direct comparison of the Pro Na substituents. Alternatively Ac-Thr-Hyp(Gal)-NHMe would probe whether the nature of the sugar contributed to the differences. We synthesized Ac-[(a,1-4)GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89) as we already had the reagents and the synthesis was shorter than that for the proposed dipeptide $\mathbf{9 0}$.


89


90

Figure 3.11: Potential additional target molecules

Initially our plan was to perform an O-glycosylation of Ac-Hyp-NHMe (59) with thioglycoside (78). Unfortunately we were unable to produce a good yield of pure Ac-Hyp-NHMe (59) via the routes depicted in Scheme 3.8.


Scheme 3.8: Ac-Hyp-NHMe synthesis

Therefore we prepared Ac-Hyp-OBn (94) and then glycosylated with thioglycoside 78. We followed the same kind of manipulations as described earlier to produce the final Ac-[( $\alpha, 1-$ 4)GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89) (Scheme 3.9).





Scheme 3.9: Synthesis of Ac-[(a,1-4)GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89)

Variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments were performed for the glycosylated amino acid Ac-[( $\alpha, 1-4)$ GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89) and the resulting van't Hoff plot was added to the
series．（Figure 3．12）The thermodynamics data was compared with those of the dipeptides 61， 62 and 63.
$\square$ Ac－Thr－Hyp－NHMe（62）
$\triangle$ Ac－Thr－Pro－NHMe（62）
－Ac－［（ $\alpha, 1-4)$ GIcNAc］Hyp－NHMe（89）
1000／T
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Figure 3．12：Van＇t Hoff plots for 61，62，63，and 89

The van＇t Hoff plot for compound 89 gave a positive gradient as observed for the other three compounds．The $K_{t c}$ values for Ac－［（a，1－4）GlcNAc］Hyp－NHMe（89）were slightly higher than for Ac－Thr－Pro－NHMe（61），but not high as Ac－Thr－Hyp－NHMe（62）or Ac－Thr－［（a，1－ 4）GIcNAc］Hyp－NHMe（63）．Also the $\Delta H^{\circ}$ and the $\Delta G^{\circ}$ values of Ac－［（ $\left.\left.\alpha, 1-4\right) \mathrm{GlcNAc}\right] H y p-N H M e$ （89）（Table 3．12）are close to the value of Ac－Thr－Pro－NHMe（61），but the value of $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is positive which implies that the trans amide isomer of Ac－［（ $\alpha, 1-4) \mathrm{GlcNAc}] H y p-N H M e(89)$ is more disordered than the slightly extended dipeptide．

Table 3．12：Thermodynamics data of peptides 61，62， 63 and 89

| Dipeptide | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ominus}$ <br> $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ominus}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $K_{t / c}$ <br> $(298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ac－Thr－Pro－NHMe（61） | -2.67 | -2.17 | -2.03 | 2.3 |
| Ac－Thr－Hyp－NHMe（62） | -6.36 | -3.34 | -5.36 | 8.7 |
| Ac－Thr－Hyp［（a，1－4）GIcNAc］－NHMe（63） | -9.04 | -8.67 | -6.45 | 13.2 |
| Ac－［（a，1－4）GIcNAc］Hyp－NHMe（89） | -2.78 | 0.25 | -2.70 | 3.25 |

These data signify that the addition of Ac-Thr at $N$-terminus has a bigger effect than glycosylation of the Hyp residue and that glycosylation does affect the trans/cis ratio of the prolyl amide bond isomerization and the effect is greater when there is large group $N$-terminal to the proline residue.

### 3.4.4 Kinetics Studies of Proline-Containing Peptides

It is well known that for several proteins, denaturation and renaturation involve isomerization of one or several Xaa-Pro bonds. ${ }^{68 b}$ Studies on kinetics of the prolyl peptide bond cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization is important because this isomerization may be the rate determining step in polypeptide folding. ${ }^{68 a}$

In the past, several studies have been conducted to find the rate of proline cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization. However most of these studies have been done for model compounds such as N acetylproline and $N$-monosubstituted amides and studies on true prolyl peptide bond isomerization is rare. In these studies the most common technique used to determine the rates $\left(\mathrm{k}_{t c}\right)$ of cis $\rightarrow$ trans amide isomerization was magnetization inversion transfer ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR experiments. These experiments were performed at high temperature because at room temperature the rate of the isomerization is too slow to be measured by this method.

### 3.4.4.1 Magnetization Inversion Transfer NMR Experiments

The magnetization inversion transfer technique in NMR spectroscopy is a useful method to determine the rates of slow chemical interconversions. Peptide bond rotation is one of the processes to which this technique has been applied successfully. In general, in magnetization transfer experiments the spin of one proton signal in an equilibrium mixture is selectively labeled by inversion. While this spin relaxes during a mixing time $\left(d_{2}\right)$ the response of all exchange coupled resonances is then determined by measuring the resonance intensities. Acquiring
spectra with different mixing times enables the determination of the spin-lattice relaxation time $\left(T_{1}\right)$ and the rate constant $(k)$ for the chemical interconversion. ${ }^{70}$ Over the past years several groups have applied this technique to determine the $k_{c t}$ and $k_{t c}$ of proline-containing model compounds. These studies are summarized in the following section.

### 3.4.4.2 Rabenstein and Coworkers - Studies of Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerization of CysteineProline Peptide Bonds

In 1993, Rabenstein and coworkers reported the dynamics of cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the cysteine-proline peptide bonds in oxytocin (OT) and arginine-vasopressin (AVP) (Figure 3.13) in aqueous and methanol solutions. In their study they used the inversion transfer method to calculate the rate constants for trans $\rightarrow$ cis interconversion $\left(k_{t c}\right)$. They selectively inverted a signal of the trans isomer and the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the Cys ${ }^{6}$-Pro peptide bond was observed. To characterize the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of OT , the $\mathrm{Cys}^{6}-\mathrm{NH}$ proton [Figure 3.13 (a), blue dotted circles] was considered and for AVP the Phe-NH and Gln-NH protons (Figure 3.13 (b), blue dotted circles) were used.
(a)

(b)
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Figure 3.13: Structures of (a) oxytocin (99) and (b) arginine vasopressin (100). Blue dotted circles represent the peptide bonds and the amide protons to calculate the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization

Rate constants for the trans $\rightarrow$ cis interconversion of the prolyl peptide bond in both OT and AVP, $k_{t c}=1 / \tau_{\text {tc }}\left(\tau_{\text {tc }}=\right.$ life time of the trans $\rightarrow$ cis interconversion) were determined at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature intervals over the temperature range $21-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $58-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for OT and $21-49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for AVP. All the experiments for OT and AVP were carried out in aqueous solution and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solution. Their mixing time $\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ values ranged from 0.0001 s to $>5 T_{1}$. The $T_{1}$ (spin lattice relaxation time constant) values were estimated by the inversion recovery method. In each experiment the inversion transfer spectra was measured at $14-21 t\left(d_{2}\right)$ values. The rate constant $k_{t c}$ was calculated from the life times ( $\tau_{t}$ and $\tau_{c}$ ) and $k_{c t}$ was then calculated from $K_{t / c}$ and $k_{c t}$. They used the pulse sequence of $\frac{\pi}{2(x)}-\tau-\frac{\pi}{2(x)}-t-\frac{\pi}{2(x, y,-x,-y)}$ for the inversion recovery experiments. ${ }^{73 a}$ Their results showed that the rate of isomerization around the Cys ${ }^{6}$ Pro peptide bond is significantly faster for both OT and AVP in $C D_{3}$ OD solution than in water and the rate constants for cis $\rightarrow$ trans $\left(k_{c t}\right)$ and trans $\rightarrow$ cis $\left(k_{t c}\right)$ interconversions for both OT and AVP are in the range $6.8 \times 10^{-2}$, and $4.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively.

### 3.4.4.3 Lubell and Coworkers - Effect of Substituents on Cס on Amide Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerization

In 1996 Lubell and coworkers reported the steric effects on amide cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of $N$-acetyl-5-tert-butylproline $N^{\prime}$-methylamides (Figure 3.14). In their study the energy barriers $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}\right)$ for cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the prolyl amide bond were measured using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR magnetization transfer experiments. They performed the experiments over several temperatures between $60-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for each compound and selectively inverted the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ carbon signal of the trans isomer. In their experiments they used relaxation delays of 20 s and inversion recovery delays between 1 ms and $20 \mathrm{~s} .^{76}$ Their results showed that the tert-butyl groups at the Cס position disfavor the trans conformation.
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Figure 3.14: $N$-Acetyl-5-tert-butylproline $N$ '-methylamides

In their 1998 paper they studied the influence of alkyl substituents in the $\mathrm{C} \beta$-position on the rate of amide isomerization via synthesizing prolyl and hydroxyprolyl derivatives (Table 3.13). Again they used ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ magnetization transfer experiments to study the rates of cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the prolyl amide bond. The signal for $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ of the major amide isomer was selectively inverted.

Table 3.13: Prolyl amide bond isomerization rates for compounds 38a, 59 and 101-104

38a

55

101

102

103

104

Their results showed that the $k_{c t}$ of dimethylproline amide 102 was by 7 -fold slower than that of Ac-Pro-NHMe (38a) (Table 3.13). Also the $k_{c t}$ of Ac-(3,3-dimethyl)-hyp-NHMe (104) was 2-fold slower than that of Ac-hyp-NHMe (101). In addition the isomerization rate of Ac-(3,3-dimethyl)-Hyp-NHMe (103) was slower than that of Ac-Hyp-NHMe (55). This demonstrates that alkylation in the 3-position slows the isomerization of prolyl and hydroxyprolyl amides in water. ${ }^{77}$
3.4.4.4 Moroder and coworkers - Studies of Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerization of Fluoroprolines

In 2001 Moroder and coworkers reported the kinetics of fluoroprolines (Ac-Pro-OMe (39), Ac-Flp-OMe (41), Ac-flp-OMe (42), Ac-(4)-F2Pro-OMe (43), Table 3.2). ${ }^{64}$ They used 2D NOESY spectra to determine the rate constant for cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the Ac-Pro amide bond. For the Pro, Flp and flp derivatives cross peaks between $\mathrm{Ha}_{\text {trans }}$ and $\mathrm{Ha}_{\text {cis }}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectrum were used because only these signals were resolved well in the spectra. The cross peak to diagonal peak ratios were obtained and scaled according to the following equation which accounts for differential relaxation behavior of the resonances.
$k_{E Z}=\left(\frac{I_{c t}}{I_{c c}}+\left(\frac{I_{t c}}{I_{t t}}\right) K_{Z E}\right) /\left(2 \tau_{m}\right)$
$k_{Z E}=\frac{\left(\frac{I c t}{I_{c c}}\right)}{K_{Z E}}+\frac{\left(\frac{I t c}{I} I_{t t}\right)}{2 \tau_{m}}$
$I_{x x}$ denotes the peak integrals from the 2D NOESY. The first subscript is for the F1 frequency and the second subscript is for the F2 frequency. NOESY mixing times $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ at each temperature were chosen such that $I_{c t} \ll I_{c c}$ and $I_{t c} \ll I_{t t}$.
3.4.4.5 Schweizer and coworkers - Studies of Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans Isomerization of Glycosylated Hydroxyproline Derivative

In 2007, Schweizer and coworkers reported the kinetics of prolyl amide bond isomerization in glycosylated Ac-Hyp-NHMe derivatives (Table 3.14). They also performed magnetization inversion transfer experiments. They used ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy in preference to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR because the signal/noise ratio is much higher for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ than ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Also they stated that the heating effects caused by decoupling for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ causes uncertainty in the temperature of the sample.

Selective inversion of the $N^{\prime}$-methylamide signal of the trans isomer was performed. Their experiments were run over the temperature range of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{69}$ The error values were obtained from the linear least squares fit of the data of inversion transfer recovery plots.

Table 3.14: Prolyl amide bond isomerization rate constants for compounds 38a, 55, 57 $\alpha / \beta$ and $105^{69}$


| Entry | Compound | $k_{t c}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ac-Hyp-OMe (38a) | $0.18 \pm 0.01$ | $0.81 \pm 0.01$ |
| 2 | Ac-Hyp(a-Gal)-NHMe (57a) | $0.19 \pm 0.01$ | $0.85 \pm 0.01$ |
| 3 | Ac-Hyp( $\beta$-Gal)-NHMe (57 $\boldsymbol{\beta})$ | $0.18 \pm 0.02$ | $0.77 \pm 0.02$ |

In 2009 Schweizer and coworkers reported the kinetics of prolyl amide isomerization of Ac-hyp-NHMe and glycosylated derivatives (Table 3.15). ${ }^{71}$ They used magnetization transfer experiments to determine the rate of amide bond isomerization and used the same experimental conditions as described in their 2007 report. In their supporting information they say they the used NHMe trans signal even though there is no NHMe in these molecules. In their figures they refer to inversion of the trans N -amide signal to collect the data, presumably meaning the methyl singlet of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{N}$.

Table 3.15: Prolyl amide bond isomerization rate constants for compounds 59 and $\mathbf{6 0 \alpha} / \boldsymbol{\beta}^{71}$
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| Entry | Compound | $k_{t c}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ac-hyp-OMe (59) | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04$ |
| 2 | Ac-hyp( $\alpha-$ Gal)-OMe (60 $\alpha)$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ |
| 3 | Ac-hyp( $\beta$-Gal)-OMe $(\mathbf{6 0} \beta)$ | $0.30 \pm 0.02$ | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ |

### 3.4.4.6 Raines and coworkers - Effect of the Electronegative Substituents at $\mathrm{C} y$ on

 Cis $\rightarrow$ Trans IsomerizationRaines and coworkers used magnetization transfer ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR to study the rates of the Pro and Hyp derivatives, and for the Flp derivative they used ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR inversion transfer experiments. The experiments were conducted at $37-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}-39$
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Figure 3.15: Proline derivatives

Decreasing the concentration of the Hyp derivative from 0.1 M to 1.0 mM they observed an increase in the isomerization rates and an increase in error from $<10 \%$ to $>60 \%$ depending on the temperature. For the Flp derivative they could not run the experiment in water because at most of the temperatures the two ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ resonances were overlapped. ${ }^{60}$

All the above mentioned groups used following two equations to calculate the rate constant after they collected the data from magnetization inversion transfer experiments.

$$
k_{t / c}=\frac{\left(C_{1} \lambda_{1}+C_{2} \lambda_{2}\right)+k_{\text {itrans }}\left(C_{3}+C_{4}\right)}{\alpha\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}
$$

3.4.4.7 Williams et al. - an Undergraduate Magnetization Transfer Experiment

In 2011, Williams et al. described an inversion recovery experiment for the undergraduate laboratory. ${ }^{70}$ For this magnetization transfer experiment they used N methylformamide (Scheme 3.10). This is a very different system compared to the peptide amide bond. Once the data was acquired they used the CIFIT program ${ }^{76}$ to fit the kinetic magnetization transfer data and the resulting values for the chemical exchange rate were given by CIFIT.


Scheme 3.10: $N$-methylformamide cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization

### 3.5 Kinetics Study of Skp1 Relevant Peptides - Isomerization of the Prolyl Amide Bond

The effect of proline hydroxylation and glycosylation on the kinetics of prolyl amide bond isomerization was determined using magnetization inversion transfer experiments. For all four compounds (Figure 3.16) these experiments were performed over several temperatures (60-75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) depending on the resolution of the proton signals for the two isomers. Below $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the rates were too slow to determine by this experiment and above $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cis and trans isomer signals were not well resolved. To acquire the NMR data the parameters for these experiments were set up following the detailed instructions of Williams et al. including a relaxation delay of 20s.
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Figure 3.16: Peptides 61-63 and 89

Except for the larger peptides studied by Rabenstein and coworkers, all other examples discussed above have an acetyl group $N$-terminal to the proline residue. Our system has an acetyl-threonine group that is more sterically demanding than an acetyl group alone.

Initially the magnetization transfer experiments were run for compounds 61, 62, and 63 inverting the Thr-Hy of the trans isomer and for 89 inverting the H 1 (anomeric proton of GlcNAc) for the trans isomer. After fitting the data using the CIFIT program only compounds $\mathbf{6 2}$ and 89
gave positive values for the rate (Table 3.16). The isomerization rate $k_{t c}$ was calculated using the equation of $K_{t / c}=k_{c t} / k_{t c}$. Magnetization inversion transfer data acquired for compound $\mathbf{6 1}$ gave negative rate for temperature $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and compound 63 gave negative values for the rates for temperatures $65-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after fitting by the CIFIT program. This is possibly when temperature raised the two isomer signals were getting closer and inversion of trans isomer proton was also effecting partial inversion of the cis isomer proton.

Table 3.16: Prolyl peptide bond isomerization rates

| Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Ac-Thr-Hyp- <br> NHMe (62), <br> $k_{t c}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Ac-Thr-Hyp- <br> NHMe (62), <br> $k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ac}[(\mathrm{\alpha}, 1-$ <br> 4) <br> GIcNAc]Hyp-NHMe <br> $(89), k_{t c}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Ac[(a,1- <br> 4)GcNAc]Hyp-NHMe <br> $(89), k_{c t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | $0.14 \pm 0.08$ | $0.94 \pm 0.08$ | $0.15 \pm 0.02$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ |
| 65 | $0.21 \pm 0.10$ | $1.32 \pm 0.10$ | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ |
| 70 | $0.31 \pm 0.10$ | $1.92 \pm 0.10$ | $0.46 \pm 0.08$ | $1.20 \pm 0.08$ |
| 75 | $0.49 \pm 0.14$ | $3.04 \pm 0.14$ | $0.50 \pm 0.11$ | $1.30 \pm 0.11$ |

Table 3.17 displays the chemical shift difference $(\Delta \delta)$ of the cis and trans isomer signals we attempted to selectively invert in the experiment. The $\Delta \delta$ values are larger for Ac-Thr-HypNHMe (62) and Ac[(a,1-4)GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (89) compared to those in Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) and Ac-Thr-Hyp( $\alpha$ GlcNAc)-NHMe (63). The smaller values of $\Delta \delta$ did not give a good resolution in this experiment at elevated temperatures.

Table 3.17: Chemical shifts differences of the cis and trans isomer proton signal

|  | Ac-Thr-Pro- <br> NHMe (61) | Ac-Thr-Hyp- <br> NHMe (62) | Ac-Thr-Hyp(aGlcNAc)- <br> NHMe (63) | Ac[(a,1- <br> 4)GIcNAc]Hyp- <br> NHMe (89) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proton <br> inverted | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | Thr-Hy | Thr-Hy | H1 |
| $\Delta \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| $\Delta \delta(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | 35 | 49 | 21 | 56 |
| $\tau(\mathrm{~ms})$ | 14 | 10 | 24 | 9 |

Compared to Schweizer's data, the rate constants at $67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Ac-Hyp-NHMe (55) with Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, values of $k_{c t}$ is higher for Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe, but $k_{t c}$ is similar. This tells that dipeptide which resembles an actual peptide cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization is faster to get the more stable trans isomer. The $k_{c t}$ of $\operatorname{Ac}[(\alpha, 1-4) \mathrm{GIcNAc}] H y p-N H M e ~(89)$ at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is smaller compared to Schweizer's $k_{c t}$ value of $\mathrm{Ac}(\alpha-\mathrm{Gal}) \mathrm{Hyp}-\mathrm{NHMe}(\mathbf{5 7 \alpha})$ at $67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but $k_{t c}$ is similar. This may be due to the difference of the sugar moiety in $\mathbf{8 9}$ and $57 \alpha$.

### 3.6 Study of Hyp-Cy Stereochemistry of the Native Skp1 Protein

As mentioned in Chapter 1 when we started this project the stereochemistry of the HypCy of the native Skp1 was not known. We synthesized two dipeptides (compounds 62 and 106, Figure 3.17) with both possible stereochemistries at Cy of the Hyp that could serve as standards to help determine the stereochemistry of the native Skp1 Hyp-Cy. This study was conducted in collaboration with Professor Christopher M. West (Oklahoma Health Sciences Center) and Professor Brad Bendiak (University of Colorado, Boulder).
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Figure 3.17: Dipeptide standards for structure determination

The synthesis of 62 has already discussed in Section 3.3. We synthesized the diastereomer of dipeptide 62, Ac-Thr-hyp-NHMe (106) as depicted in Scheme 3.11. Our synthesis of 106 began with expensive, but commercially available, cis-4-hydroxyproline 107. We protected the amine using Fmoc- Cl and both acid and alcohol groups using isobutylene under acidic conditions. ${ }^{78}$ Selective removal of the tert-butyl ester was achieved using a dilute solution of TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to get $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ in $45 \%$ yield. For the conversion of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ we followed the same route as in the synthesis of the diastereomer.



Scheme 3.11: Synthesis of 106
The dipeptides 62 and 106 were sent to Bendiak at the University of Colorado, Boulder. The 13-mer sequence NDFTHypEEEEQIRK was obtained by enzymatic degradation of Skp1 followed by in vitro enzymatic hydroxylation. This was then purified by HPLC. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of both diasteromers and the 13 -mer were compared (Figure 3.18). ${ }^{17}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of Ha in compounds 62 and 106 show two different coupling patterns. For the dipeptide 62 which has the $2 S, 4 R$-hydroxyproline the Ha signal is an apparent triplet and for the dipeptide 106 which has $2 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{~S}$-hydroxyproline the Ha signal is a doublet of doublets. For the Skp1derived oligopeptide the Ha signal is a triplet. This strongly suggests that $2 S, 4 R$ stereochemistry could be assigned to the Skp1 hydroxyproline residue. Also ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of the two $\mathrm{H} \delta$ protons of dipeptide 62 are very close together, which is also true for the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ proton signal of the Skp1 peptide. By comparison, for dipeptide 106 the two Hס proton signals are separated by 0.35 ppm . In conclusion the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the 13 -mer derived from Skp1 closely matches the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the synthetic dipeptide with $2 S, 4 R$ stereochemistry (62). We thereby conclude the $\mathrm{C}_{Y}$ stereochemistry of the Skp1 hydroxyproline to be $4 R .{ }^{17}$



106

Figure 3.18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 2}$, the 13 -mer and $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ showing 3.7-4.6 ppm region

### 3.7 2S,4S-Fluoroproline (flp)

We have synthesized another derivative of hydroxyproline, 2S,4S-fluoroproline (flp) with the intention of exploring the Skp1 prolyl-4-hydroxylase ( P 4 H 1 ) preference for pyrrolidine conformation and C 4 configuration.

### 3.7.1 Previous studies of fluoroproline

Studies by Raines' Group demonstrated that $4 R$-fluoroproline enhances the conformational stability of collagen sequences relative to those containing $2 S, 4 R$ hydroxyproline. ${ }^{79}$ As described in §3.2.2, the conformational preferences of 2S,4R-fluoroproline
(Flp) and 2S,4S-fluoroproline (flp) arise as a result of stereoelectronic and gauche effects. ${ }^{79-80}$ The preferred conformation of flp is C -endo and for Flp it is $\mathrm{C} \gamma$-exo (Figure 3.19).


flp: $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$-endo



Figure 3.19: Flp and flp conformations. Newman projection formula are depicted looking down the $\mathrm{C} Y$ - $\mathrm{C} \delta$ bond axis.

Studies of the HIF-a prolyl-4-hydroxylase enzyme by the Schofield Group showed that flp can be processed by P4H1; ${ }^{12 a}$ indeed hydroxylation of prolyl analogs by this particular P 4 H 1 prefers substrates that adopt a Cy-endo conformation over Cy-exo. In these mechanistic studies of prolyl hydroxylation the 4-oxo-prolyl product (Ketoproline, Kep) was observed with both 2S,4S-hydroxyproline (hyp) and flp (Scheme 3.12). Ketoproline (Kep) presumably arises by hydroxylation of flp to afford an intermediate fluorohydrin, followed by elimination of HF (Scheme 3.12). In contrast to flp, 2S,4R-fluoroproline (Flp) does not have a hydrogen in the $4 R$ position to be abstracted by P 4 H 1 , therefore Flp is not a substrate for P 4 H 1 .


Scheme 3.12: Formation of 4-oxoprolyl product

### 3.7.2 Synthesis of flp

A number of studies have reported the synthesis of flp derivatives. In our first attempt to synthesize flp we followed a procedure published by Raines and coworkers ${ }^{79}$ (Scheme 3.13). This route involves activation of the 4-hydroxyproline derivative 114 with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride $\left(\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to form the triflate ester followed by the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement by fluoride ion to get inversion of configuration at C4.



Scheme 3.13: Synthesis of flp by Raines and coworkers. ${ }^{79}$

For the synthesis of flp we utilized Boc-Hyp(OTf)-OBn (26) that we had prepared previously for the bisubstrate analog project (§2.3.2, Scheme 2.6). We treated triflate 26 with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) to afford Boc-flp-OBn (117) (Scheme 3.14). Thin layer chromatography showed the formation of the product 117, but purification was difficult due to traces of tetrabutylammonium triflate.


Scheme 3.14: Synthesis of Boc-flp-OBn (117)

Our next attempt employed diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) as the fluorinating reagent. This route eliminates the extra step of synthesizing the triflate as DAST itself both
activates the hydroxyl group and introduces the fluoride ion (Scheme 3.15). There are reports published utilizing DAST as the fluorinating reagent in fluoroproline synthesis and their reported yields are good. ${ }^{80-81}$


Scheme 3.15: Mechanism for fluorination using DAST

We thus began our synthesis anew with Fmoc-Hyp-OBn (79) which was available from the glycopeptide project (§3.3). This was treated with DAST (Scheme 3.16) to give compound 118. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 118 showed extra peaks around $0.8-1.5$ and 3.5 ppm region which are due to the ethyl groups of DAST or byproducts thereof. We were unable to remove these impurities by flash chromatography to get pure 118.



Scheme 3.16: Synthesis of Fmoc-flp-OBn (118)

Our next approach to flp was via a triflate formed from the Fmoc-Hyp-OBn (118) building block. We hoped that changing the carbamate protecting group on the pyrrolidine would result in a change in polarity and allow us to perform more effective purification. We made the triflate ester of 79 by treating with triflic anhydride (Scheme 3.17). The triflate 119 was then treated with TBAF to get Fmoc-flp-OBn (118), that was successfully purified using flash chromatography.


Scheme 3.17: Synthesis of Fmoc-flp-OBn (118)

With compound 118 in-hand our next plan was to remove the Fmoc protecting group. We treated 118 with diethylamine (Scheme 3.18) and the free amine was purified using flash chromatography. Next we subjected benzyl ester 120 to catalytic hydrogenolysis to obtain 121 which, once again was not of satisfactory purity.


Scheme 3.18: Synthesis of H-flp-OH (121)

We thought it might be advantageous to swap the order of deprotections. First we did the hydrogenolysis of 118 to get free acid 122 (Scheme 3.19). The acid 122 was purified by flash chromatography and then treated with diethylamine to remove the Fmoc protecting group. Formation of the product 121 was confirmed by TLC. For the purification of 121 we used an ion exchange column, but unfortunately 121 eluted with excess diethylamine and once again we were unable to obtain pure flp.


Scheme 3.19: Synthesis of H-flp-OH (121)

In 2000, a paper published by Boons et al. reported removal of Fmoc and benzyl ester protecting groups simultaneously by catalytic hydrogenolysis using a mixture of solvents. We decided to test their procedure on Fmoc-flp-OBn (118). To our delight this method gave us pure

H-flp-OH (121) in good yield (Scheme 3.20). We sent compound 121 to West's laboratory for further investigation. We propose two studies using flp; (1) Feed flp to Dictyostelium discoideum and look for its incorporation into Skp1. (2) Incorporation of flp into a short peptide sequence and study processing by the P4H1 of Dictyostelium discoideum.


Scheme 3.20: Synthesis of H-flp-OH (121)

### 3.8 Experimental

### 3.8.1 Synthetic Procedures

General Methods: As for Chapter 2, with the following additions. Methylamine hydrochloride was recrystallized in ethanol. HPLC was performed on Waters 600E multisolvent delivery system (Waters 2487 dual $\lambda$ absorbance detector). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-400, or Varian-700 spectrometer. Many of the compounds reported in this chapter exist as mixture of rotomers about the prolyl amide bond on the time scale of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Signals in square parentheses refer to those of the minor rotomer.


Boc-Thr(OBn)-Pro-OH (66). N -Hydroxysuccinimide ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and DCC ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) were added sequentially to a solution of $\mathrm{Boc}-\mathrm{Thr}(\mathrm{OBn})-\mathrm{OH}$ (64) (200 mg, $0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 15 min, warmed to rt and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The suspension was filtered through a plug of cotton in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and refrigerated 5 h . The suspension was again filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. The
residue was dissolved in DMF ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Proline (65) ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added, as a solid in one portion, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $113 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solution was concentrated and dissolved in water ( 25 mL ) and washed with diethyl ether ( 25 mL ). The aqueous layer was acidified with conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}=2)$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give 66 that was used directly in the next step, without purification. $R_{f} 0.20$ (4:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).

Boc-Thr(OBn)-Pro-NHMe (67). Compund 66 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. N -Hydroxysuccinimide ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added, followed by the addition of DCC ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). The solution was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then gradually warmed to RT and stirred a further 6 h . The suspension was filtered through a plug of cotton in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and refrigerated overnight. The suspension was again filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in DMF ( 3 mL ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methylamine hydrochloride ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added as a solid in one portion, followed by the addition of triethylamine ( $225 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $163 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The solution was gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. This was diluted with EtOAc $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Dipeptide 67 was isolated by flash chromatography, eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:hexanes (209 mg, 81\% over 2 steps). $R_{f} 0.22$ (4:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.16[1.21]^{*}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45[1.44](\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H), 3.35-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})[5.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})], 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.1$
[15.8], 24.2 [25.1], 26.4 [26.3], 28.4 [28.5], 34.1, 46.8 [46.2], 56.1, 58.0, 71.8 [71.0], 75.0 [74.7], 80.0 [80.2], 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.5, 128.6, 138.2 138.3, 155.8 [156.0], 168.6, 170.7.


Ac-Thr(OBn)-Pro-NHMe (68)
Trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL) was added to a solution of Boc-Thr(OBn)-Pro-NHMe (67) (93 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 2 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solution was concentrated and then concentrated three times from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The residue was dissolved in pyridine ( 1 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ) was added, the mixture warmed to RT and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight. The solution was concentrated and applied to a flash column, eluting first with 4:1 EtOAc:hexanes and then with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 68 (54 mg, 67\%). $R_{f} 0.48$ in $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz})^{*} \delta 1.18$ [1.21] (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.75-1.90 (m, 1H), 1.95-2.05 (m, 2H), 2.03 [1.99] (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})[3.62-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})], 4.08(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=12.6,6.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})[3.86(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=11.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})], 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})[4.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})$ ], $4.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})$ [4.62 (d, J = $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})$ ], $4.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, [4.70-4.72 (m, 0.7H)] 7.23-7.26 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.8$ [16.6], 22.7 [22.5], 25.1, 26.6, 27.1, 48.2 [47.4], 57.3, 59.5, 72.5 [72.0], 75.8 [75.1], 128.8 [128.9], 129.1 [129.2], 129.4 [129.5], 139.8, 170.4, 173.3 [173.4], 174.0.


Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61)

Palladium on carbon ( $10 \%, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in a single portion to a solution of Ac-Thr(OBn)-Pro-NHMe (68) (17 mg, 0.05 mmol ) in MeOH ( 2.5 mL ). The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated,
the brown residue was subjected to the RP-HPLC (gradient: $50 \%-80 \%$ acetonitrile in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}_{18}$ $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})\left(R_{T}=16 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ to give $61(12 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%) . R_{f} 0.24$ (9:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-5.4(\mathrm{c} 0.5, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24[1.26]^{*}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02$ (dd, J = 12.3, $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.14 [2.11] (s, 3H), $2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41$ (dd, J = 12.0, 6.6 Hz, 0.5H), 3.50 (dd, J = 12.0, 6.6 Hz, 0.5 H ), 3.62-3.81 (m, 1H), 4.15 (app. p, J $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 18.7$ [18.4], 21.7 [21.5], 23.6, 25.4, 25.8, 47.4 [46.5], 57.1, 59.3, 66.8 [66.9]169.7, 172.5, 174.8 [174.2].


Diethylamine (800 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Hyp-(OtBu)-NHMe (70) (65 mg, 0.15 mmol , 1 equiv.) in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ). The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min , concentrated and then concentrated twice more from acetonitrile. The residue was suspended in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ), and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\operatorname{Thr}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) \mathrm{OH}(71)(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv.) and PyBroP (108 g, $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.). The solution was gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated and the residue applied to a flash column, eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give 72 ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.41$ (4:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.18$ [2.20-2.27]* (m, 2H), 2.75 [2.77] (s, 3H), 3.62 (dd, $J=10.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) [3.43 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.2 $\mathrm{Hz})$ ], $3.94(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})[3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ], $3.98(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ס 19.6 [20.1], 26.3 [26.4], 28.6 [28.7], 38.6 [40.7],
47.0 [47.1], $48.5,56.5$ [55.4], 58.9 [58.5], 60.6 [60.9], 67.9 [68.0], 69.8 [69.2], 75.5 [75.3], 76.2 [75.8], 121.0, 126.2, 128.2, 128.9, 142.6, 145.1 [145.3], 158.2, 171.5, 174.6. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 580.3381 ; obsd: 580.3395 .


Diethylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of 72 ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ). The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min , concentrated, and then concentrated twice more from acetonitrile. The residue was applied to a flash column, eluting first with 2:1 EtOAc:hexanes to remove Fmoc byproducts and then with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to isolate the ninhydrin active primary amine ( $R_{f} 0.36$ in $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( 73 mg ). This was dissolved in a mixture of pyridine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ), stirred at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight. The red solution was concentrated and purified using flash chromatography, eluting with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to isolate compound 73 as a colorless foam ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). $R_{f}$ 0.40 (9:1 CH2 $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=12.7,6.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.75[2.83]^{*}(d, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 H), 3.42(d d, J=10.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62[6.68](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93[7.05](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 17.5,23.1,25.9,28.0$, 28.2, 36.5, 54.5, 54.6, 58.7, 68.0, 69.1, 74.2, 75.4, 169.2, 169.8, 171.7. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M})^{+}: 400.2806$; obsd: 400.2802.


Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62)
Trifluoroacetic acid ( 1.5 mL ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Hyp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{NHMe}$ (73) (130 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.325 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to rt
and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for two days. The solution was concentrated and then concentrated three times from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The brown residue was subjected to the RP-HPLC (gradient: starting with $80 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in acetonitrile to $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in acetonitrile) ( $R_{T}=4 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to isolate 62 as a colorless oil ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-17.1$ (c 0.3, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.23[1.18]^{*}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.86 (dd, J = 11.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), $3.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\operatorname{app} . \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (dd, J = 9.6, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57-4.60 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ठ 18.5, 21.5, 25.8, 37.0, 56.0, 57.2, 59.5, 67.1, 69.7, 171.0, 173.8, 174.2; HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 288.1554; obsd: 288.1553.


D-Mannose (74) (about 100 mg ), followed by perchloric acid (2 drops from a Pasteur pipette), were added to acetic anhydride ( 20 mL ) in a two-neck, 250 mL round-bottomed flask fitted with a thermometer. D-Mannose (total of $5 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was then added in small portions over a period of 1 h , keeping the reaction temperature in the range of $40-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the addition was complete, the dark yellow solution was stirred for 1 h at RT.

The reaction mixture was cooled to $8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and phosphorus tribromide ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 42$ mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise. Water ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{~g}, 126 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise. The internal temperature of the reaction mixture was maintained in the range 18-25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the addition was complete the orange brown solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The resulting anomeric bromide ( $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.49,1: 1$ Hexane/EtOAc) was use directly to the next step.

The reaction mixture was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a cooled $\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of sodium acetate ( $9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 112 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) in water ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise over 30 min , maintaining the
internal temperature at $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at RT for 20 min then poured onto ice and extracted with chloroform ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined and washed with icewater ( 200 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ containing ice ( 200 mL ) and ice water again. The yellow-orange organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Diethyl ether (80 mL ) was added and the solution was left overnight in the freezer.The crystallized product was collected by filtration and washed with ice-cold ether to give 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetylmannose (75) as a colorless crystalline solid ( $947 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ yield). $R_{f} 0.4$ (4:1 EtOAc/Heaxane). [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-24.3$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 2.47 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (ddd, J = 9.8, 4.8, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.13 (dd, J = 12.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (app. t, J = $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.8,20.9,21.0$, 21.1, 62.2, 65.4, 68.6, 73.0, 73.3, 91.9, 168.8, 169.8, 170.3, 171.0.


Triflic anhydride ( $625 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.048 \mathrm{~g}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added dropwise to a solution of 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetylmannose (75) ( $647 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and pyridine ( $376 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4,65 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 400 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 400 mL ) again. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give the triflate 76 ( $834 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield) as a yellow foam. $R_{f} 0.56$ (1:1 Hexane:EtOAc). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-14.3$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400$ MHz ) б 2.07 (s, 3H), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,5.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17 (dd, $J=12.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (dd, $J=10.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$

MHz ) $\delta 20.6$ (2C), 20.7, 20.8, 61.8, 64.7, 69.8, 73.6, 81.6, 89.3, $118.6\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=319.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 168.2$, 169.4, 170.1, 170.8. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{12} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 503.0442; obsd: 503.0444 .


Sodium azide ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added to a solution of triflate 76 (834 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DMF ( 6 mL ). The solution warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to RT , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 300 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column, eluting with 1:1 Hexane:EtOAc to give the azide 77 ( $582 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.64$ (1:1 Hexane:EtOAc). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+9.2$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ б $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ (app.t, J = 9.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.83 (ddd, J = 9.6, 4.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 12.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (dd, J = 12.5, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.05 (app. t, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.11 (app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ б 20.6, 20.7, 20.8, 21.0, 61.5, 62.7, 67.9, 72.7, 72.8, 92.7, 168.6, 162.7, 169.9, 170.6. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 396.1019; obsd: 396.0994.


Thiobenzyl [2-azido-tri-O-acetylgluco]pyronose (78)
$\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(1.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.654 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 15$ equiv.) was added to a solution of $77(290 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.777 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and thiophenol ( $198 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 214 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 6 mL ) at RT. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h under nitrogen, cooled to RT and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched by the dropwise addition of water ( 2 mL ). The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 75 mL ), then brine ( 75 mL ). The
organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give the thioglycoside 78 (262 mg, 80\%) as a 3:1 mixture of anomers ( $\alpha: \beta$ ) which cannot be distinguished by TLC. $R_{f} 0.70$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). For clarity data are reported separately for two isomers.

Data for $\alpha$-anomer (major): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ (s, 3H), 4.03 (dd, $J=12.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4,5.2$ Hz, 1H) 4.60 (ddd, $J=10.0,5.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34$ (dd, $J=10.2,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.34 (dd, $J=10.2$, $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.6$, 20.7, 20.8, 61.6, 62.0, 68.6, 68.8, 72.1, 86.5, 128.1, 129.3, 132.3, 134.2, 169.7, 169.8, 170.5.

Data for $\beta$-anomer (minor): 2.01 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 3.41 (app.t, J = 9.9 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=10.1,4.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})], 7.30-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100 MHz ) $\delta 20.6,20.7,20.8,62.1,62.7,68.1,74.5,75.6,85.8,129.0,129.2,130.3,132.5$, 169.7, 169.8, 170.5. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 446.0998$; obsd: 446.0568.


Fmoc-Hyp-4-O-(2-azido-3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-a-D-glucopyranosyl)-OBn (80)

A solution of thioglycoside 78 ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and Fmoc-Hyp-OBn (79) ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred with activated, powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves for 25 min at RT under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, NIS (54 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) and silver triflate ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) were added. The mixture was allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 3 h , quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(75$
mL ), washed with $10 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and submitted directly to flash chromatography, eluting with
 Hexane:EtOAc). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+49.7$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H) $[2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})]^{*}, 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})[2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H})], 2.15-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ (2xdd, $J=10.7,3.6,1 H), 3.68-3.91(m, 2 H), 3.96-4.0(m, 0.5 H), 4.01-4.10(m, 2 H), 4.20-4.29$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41-4.46 (m, 3H), $4.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.45$ (ddd, J = 12.8, 10.7, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.51 (m, 9H), 7.53-7.60 (m, 2H), 7.72-7.78 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.8,20.9,36.3$ [37.6], 47.3, 51.8, 58.0 [58.3], 60.5 [60.7], 62.1 [62.3], 67.3 [67.4], 68.0, 68.5, 68.7, 70.1 [70.0], 75.0 [77.6], 96.9 [97.9], 101.2, 120.2, 120.0, 125.2, 125.3, 125.4, 127.2, 127.3, 127.9, 127.8, 128.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.8 128.7, 135.6, 135.4, 141.4, $141.5,144.0,143.9,144.2,144.1,154.7,[154.9], 169.7,[169.8], 170.1,[170.2], 170.7,172.1$, [172.2]. $\mathrm{HRMS}(+E S I)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{12}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 779.2540$; obsd: 779.2556.


Zinc powder ( 50 mg ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added to a solution of $80(135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT. Acetic acid $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic anhydride ( 0.5 mL ) were added and the mixture stirred overnight at RT . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated and applied directly to a flash column, eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:Hexane to give the N -acetylated product 81 (114 mg, $83 \%$ yield). $R_{f} 0.45$ (4:1 EtOAc:Hexanes). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}$ +17.72 (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H})[1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=$ 9.7, 5.0, 2.2 Hz, 01H), $4.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5.7 \mathrm{H})$,
4.51-4.6 (m,1H), 4.91 (d, J=13.0, 3.1 Hz, 0.6H) [4.95 (d, J = 13.0, 3.1 Hz, 0.4H)], 4.95-5.24 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.8,20.9,20.9,23.3,37.5$ [36.3], 47.3, 51.7 [52.4], 51.8 [52.1], 58.0 [58.4], 62.3, 67.4, 68.1 [68.2], 68.4, 68.7, 71.0, 76.1 [76.8], 97.3 [97.0], 120.2, 125.1, 125.3, 127.3, 128.0, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.3, 141.5, 143.7, 144.1, 144.3, 154.8, 169.5, 170.2, 170.8 [170.4], 171.6,172.0. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 795.2741$; obsd: 795.2700.


## Boc-Thr(OBn)-Hyp-[(a,14)GlcNAc(OAc) $\left.]_{4}\right]-\mathrm{OBn}(82)$

Diethylamine ( 0.5 mL ) was added to a solution of glycoside $81(114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated and the residue dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Boc- $\mathrm{Thr}(\mathrm{OBn})$ OH (20) ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $65 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) and PyBroP ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen, concentrated and applied to a flash column, eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:Hexane, and then with 9:1 EtOAc :Hexanes to give $8 \mathbf{8 2}$ as a colorless foam ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield). $R_{f} 0.51$ (9:1 EtOAc:Hexane). $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}+12.4$ (c 0.9, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06($ apt. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.15 (apt. d, J = $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.17 (s, 2H), $5.42-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$
$7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.5,20.8,20.9,21.0,23.2,28.6,35.8,51.7,53.4$, $56.6,58.3,62.4,67.4,68.6,68.7,71.0,71.5,75.7,78.1,80.3,98.2,128.0,128.1,128.4,128.5$, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 135.6, 138.4, 156.1, 169.6, 169.9, 170.7, 170.8, 171.2, 171.6. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{15}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 842.3706; obsd: 842.3698.


Trifluoroacetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) was added to a solution of $82(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 2 h . Solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in pyridine ( 1.5 mL ). The mixture cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and the residue applied to a flash column eluting with 9:1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give 83 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.74$ (4:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})[1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})], 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})[1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})], 2.03-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (dd, $J=13.5,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 (dd, $J=11.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.79 (app. t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.95 (dd, $J=6.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.98(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $12.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87$ (app.t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), $4.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.20-7.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $16.9,20.7,20.8,22.4,22.7,36.9,52.9,53.4,57.9,59.6,63.9,68.2,69.8,70.5,72.3,72.4,76.6$,
$79.6,78.5,99.1,128.8,129.1,129.5,129.6,129.8,137.3,140.0,171.5,171.7,171.9,172.5$, 172.9, 173.5, 173.9. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{14}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 806.3112; obsd: 806.3123.


Palladium on carbon ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in a single portion to a solution of 83 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened up to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated, to give 84 ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.24$ (4:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-7.0(c 0.5, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ (apt. dd, J = 10.2, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.70-3.72 (dd, J = 11.1, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.89-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.953.99 (m, 1H), $4.05(d, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (ddd, J = 13.7, 10.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.35-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.43 (apt.d, J = $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.43-4.44 (m, 1H), 4.49 (apt.t, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08($ app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.1$, $20.6,20.7,20.8,22.4,22.7,37.2,53.0,54.7,59.4,63.9,68.7,69.8,70.5,70.672 .4,80.0,99.4$, 171.5, 171.9, 172.3, 172.5, 173.6, 173.9. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{14}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 604.2322; obsd: 604.2348.


## Ac-Thr-Hyp-[(a,1-4)GlcNAc(OAc) $\left.)_{4}\right]$-NHMe (85)

$N$-Hydroxysuccinimide ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and DCC ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) were added sequentially to a solution of $\mathbf{8 4}\left(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gradually warmed to RT and stirred overnight. The suspension was filtered through a plug of cotton in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and refrigerated for 6 h . The suspension was filtered again and the filtrate concentrated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methylamine hydrochloride ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added as a solid in one portion, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The solution was gradually warmed to RT and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated and the product was isolated by flash chromatography, eluting with $4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 85 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.31$ (4:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,9.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.40 (dd, J = 13.6, $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ (t, J = 6.4 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01$ (ddd, $J=10.1,5.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{appt}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz ) $\delta 20.1,20.7,20.8,22.4,22.6,26.4,37.7,52.9,55.2,59.2$, $60.7,63.7,68.6,69.6,70.6,72.4,80.1,99.7,171.4,172.0,172.4,172.6,173.6,173.9,174.5$. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}:$639.2490; obsd: 639.2463.


A solution of NaOMe in MeOH ( $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$, one drop from a 20 G needle) was added to a solution of glycoside $85(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 2 h . Amberlite IR-120 $\mathrm{H}^{+}$resin was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of cotton and concentrated to give the glycoside $63(6 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+73.2(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz})^{*} \delta 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 13.5, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.76 (s, 3H), 3.49 (app.t, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68-3.74 (m, 2H), 3.79 (dd, J = 12.3, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (app.p, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (brs, 1H), $4.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 18.5,21.6,21.8,25.8,35.7,53.0,53.4,57.2,59.8,60.6,67.3,69.9,70.8,72.5,75.7$, 95.8, 171.0, 173.7, 173.9, 174.1. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 490.2275$; obsd: 491.2349.


Ac-4-O-(2-azido-3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- $\alpha-D-$ glucopyranosyl)Hyp-OBn (95)
A solution of thioglycoside 78 (259 mg, $0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and Ac-Hyp-OBn (94) (177 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred with activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 35 mg ) for 15 min at RT under nitrogen and then the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. N lodosuccinimide ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 0.915 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) and silver triflate ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) were added. The mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred overnight. The reaction
mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( 75 mL ), and brine ( 75 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and submitted directly to flash chromatography eluting with $1: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc to give the $\alpha-O-$ glycoside 95 (161 mg, 46\% yield). $R_{f} 0.19$ (4:1 EtOAc: Hexane). [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+71.7$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ (app. ddd, J $=13.5,7.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})$ [2.21-2.32 (m, 0.3H)], 2.48-2.54 (m, 0.7 H) [2.55-2.61 (m, 0.3H)], 3.29 (dd, J = 10.7, 3.6 Hz, 0.7H) [3.17 (dd, J = 10.7, 3.6 Hz, 0.3H)], 3.60-3.80 (m, 0.7H) [3.52-3.56 (m, 0.3H)], 3.87 (d, J = 11.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.00-4.11 (m, 2H), 4.18-4.27 (m, 1H), 4.47-4.52 (m, $0.7 \mathrm{H})$ [4.43-4.46 (m. 0.3H)], 4.56-4.70 (m, 1H), $4.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.18 (s, 1.5H) [5.24 (s, 0.5H)], 5.41 (app. t, J = $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 20.7,20.8,20.9,22.4$ [21.8], 35.8 [38.1], 53.2 [50.8], 57.7 [58.9], 60.7 [60.4], 62.3 [62.1], 67.3 [67.2], 68.6 [6], 68.7 [68.4], 68.6, 68.7, 70.1 [69.8], 74.0, 78.5, 98.3 [96.7], 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 135.6, 169.7, 169.8, 170.1, 170.3, 170.7, 171.9, 172.0. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 516.2188; obsd: 516.2189.


Ac-[(a,1-4) $\left.\operatorname{GlcNAc}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}\right] H y p-O B n(96)$

Zinc powder ( 50 mg ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added to a solution of $95(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ) at RT. Acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) and acetic anhydride $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the mixture stirred overnight at RT . The reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate concentrated and the residue applied directly to a flash column, eluting with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give the N -acetylated product 96 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). $R_{f} 0.33$ (9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.18$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42-2.48 (m, 1H), $3.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ (ddd,
$J=9.6,5.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (ddd, J = 10.3, 8.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44-4.48 (m, 1H), 4.63 (app. t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (app. t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.11-5.21 (m, 3H), $6.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.7,20.8,20.9,22.4,23.1,35.8,52.3,52.7,57.8,62.2$, 67.3, 68.2, 68.6, 70.8, 76.4, 96.3, 128.3, 128.6, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 135.6, 169.4, 169.9, 170.5, 170.8, 171.7, 171.8. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{12}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 615.2160; obsd: 615.2111.


Palladium on carbon $(10 \%, 35 \mathrm{mg})$ was added in a single portion to a solution of 96 (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated, to give Ac-[(a,1-4)GIcNAc(OAc)4]HypOH (97) (40 mg, 94\%). $R_{f} 0.32\left(4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+41.7$ (c 1.0, MeOH).
$N$-Hydroxysuccinimide ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added to a solution of 97 (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 15 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then DCC ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added and the mixture gradually warmed to RT and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to reduce the volume of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to 0.5 mL and this was kept in the freezer for 6 h . The solution was filtered again and concentrated. The resulting NHS ester was dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 2 mL ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methylamine hydrochloride ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added, followed by the addition of DIEA ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to RT, stirred overnight, concentrated, applied to a flash
column and eluted with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$. The product 98 eluted, along with free NHS. This was further purified by flash chromatography eluting with $4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : MeOH (More polar eluent leads to low band broadening and afforded a better separation of the compound and free NHS) to afford product 98 (10 mg, 24\%). $R_{f} 0.82\left(4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+29.5$ (c 1.0, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06-2.16 (m, 1H (Hß from cosy)), 2.70 (dt, J =13.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, J = $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (dd, J = 11.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (ddd, J = 9.4, 5.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (dd, $J=12.3,2.6,1 H), 4.23$ (dd, $J=12.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ (ddd, $J=10.3,9.0,3.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.55 (app. p, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dd, J = 8.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.10 (app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16($ app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97($ app. $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.8,20.9,22.8,23.3,26.5,34.0,52.3,52.4,58.3,62.3$, 68.3, 68.5, 71.1, 75.8, 96.2, 169.5, 170.2, 170.8, 170.9, 171.0, 171.9. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 515.2188$; obsd: 516.2196.


A solution of NaOMe in MeOH ( $25 \%$ w/v, two drops from a 20G needle) was added to a solution of glycoside $98(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 1 h . Dowex $50 \mathrm{WX} 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$resin ( 5 mg ) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 15 min . The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of cotton and concentrated to give the glycoside 89 ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+2.0(c 0.2, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2.4 \mathrm{H})$ [2.01 (s, 0.6 H)], 2.05-2.18 (m, 0.8H) [2.23-2.31 (m, 0.2 H)], $2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2.4 \mathrm{H})$ [2.05 (s, 0.6H)], $2.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})$ [2.60-2.69 (m, 0.2 H), 2.8 (s, 2.4 H) [2.82 (s, 0.6 H)], 3.5 (app. t, J = $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{H})[3.58$ (dd, $J=11.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$ ], $3.78(\operatorname{app} . \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H})[3.68(\mathrm{~J}=11.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})], 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.6,3.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H})[4.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})], 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H})[4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H})\left[5.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 21.6\right.$ [20.6], 21.7, 36.2 [37.9], 52.9 [51.4], 53.6 [53.5], 59.2, 60.6 [60.5], 70.0, 70.6 [70.5], 72.3, 74.8 [74.0], 95.0, 95.3, 173.2, 174.1, 174.2. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 389.17871$; obsd: 390.1874.


A solution of Fmoc-Cl ( $1.036 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in 1,4 -dioxane ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of cis-4-hydroxyproline (107) ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in 1,4dioxane ( 5 mL ) and $10 \%$ aqueous sodium carbonate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stirred overnight, poured on to ice cold water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was acidified by the addition of conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}=2)$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give Fmoc-cis-4-hydroxyproline as a colorless foam (1.425 g, 100\%). Isobutylene (approximately 30 mL ) was condensed into a two-necked flask at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In a separate flask a solution of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate ( $3.222 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.2$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to Fmoc-protected cis-4-hydroxyproline ( $1.425 \mathrm{~g}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 20 mL ). This mixture was added to the isobutylene over 15 min , maintaining the temperature around $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stoppered, and stirred for 3 d . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with sat'd aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined aqueous layers were back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column and eluted with 1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 108 (1.249 g, $76 \%) . R_{f} 0.74$ (1:1 Hexane:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.18$ [1.20]*, 1.44 [1.46], 1.92-
$2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}) 3.83$ (dd, J = 10.8, 6.4 Hz, 0.5 H), 4.10-4.21 (m, 2H), 4.23-4.32 (m, 2H), 4.44-4.49 (m, 1H), 7.30 (t, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.1,28.4,38.8[37.8]^{*}, 47.4$ [47.3], 53.8 [53.2], 58.2 [58.4], 67.8 [67.5], 68.6 [69.4], 74.2, 81.5 [81.3], 120.0, 125.2, 125.6 125.4, 127.1, 127.2, $127.7,127.8,141.4,141.3,143.7,143.9,144.4,144.5,154.6$ [154.8], 171.2 [170.8].


Trifluoroacetic acid ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to a solution of $108(98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight, neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(500 \mathrm{mg})$, and stirred for another 15 min . The solution was filtered through a plug of cotton. The filtrate was evaporated, the residue was applied to a flash column and eluted with 9:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give 109 as a colorless foam ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.2$ (19:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}) 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.75 (dd, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.2$ [28.3]*, 37.4 [38.4], 47.3, 53.9 [54.5], 58.2, 67.9 [68.2], 69.7 [69.1], 75.0, 75.5, 120.1, 125.3, 125.4, 127.2, 127.3, 127.9, 141.4, $141.5,143.9,144.2,144.3,154.9[155.6]$, 175.5. $\mathrm{HRMS}(+\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 432.1787; obsd: 432.1784.

$N$-Hydroxysuccinimide (18 mg, $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and DCC ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) were added sequentially to a solution of 109 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2
mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight. The suspension was filtered through a plug of cotton in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and refrigerated for 6 h . The suspension was again filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in DMF ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methylamine hydrochloride ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added as a solid in one portion, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The solution was gradually warmed to RT and stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and washed with brine ( 25 mL ). The aqueous layer was back-extracted with EtOAc (25 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The product was isolated by flash chromatography, eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give 110 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.35$ (4:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz})$ б $1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.7,3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})[3.30-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})]^{*}, 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})[3.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H})$ ], 4.08-4.23 (m, 3H), 4.32 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.40-4.49 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.37 (m, 4H), 7.53$7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 26.7$ [26.5], 28.6, 34.9 [37.1], 40.3 [39.2], 56.0 [55.4], 61.2, 69.2 [68.5], 70.2 [70.8], 75.1, 121.1, 126.2, 128.3, 129.0, 142.7, 145.3, 145.5, 157.0, [157.1], 175.7 [175.4].


Fmoc-Thr( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{hyp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{NHMe}(111)$

Diethylamine ( $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to a solution of Fmoc-cis-4-Hyp-(OtBu)-NHMe 110 (52 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.) in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ). The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min, concentrated, and then concentrated twice more from acetonitrile. The residue was suspended in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fmoc-Thr( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) \mathrm{OH}(71)(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added, followed by the addition of diisopropylethylamine ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 400 \mathrm{mg}$,
$0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) and PyBroP ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.). The solution was gradually warmed to RT stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated and the residue applied to a flash column eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give 111 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.38$ (4:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.17-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.73 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 3.77-3.86 (m, 2H), 4.09-4.14 (m, 2H), 4.21 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $5.81[5.73](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 17.1 [14.3]*, 26.0 [25.9], 28.2, 28.3, 37.8, 47.3, 55.7, 56.5 [60.6], 59.2, 67.2, 68.7, 70.1, 74.3, 75.7, 120.2, 120.2, 125.2, 125.3, 127.2, 127.9, 141.4, 143.8, 144.0, 155.8, 169.0, 172.3. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}:$602.3206; obsd: 602.3242.


Diethylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of 111 ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ). The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min , concentrated, and then concentrated twice more from acetonitrile. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of pyridine (1 mL ) and acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warmed to rt and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight. The red solution was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography, eluting with 4:1 EtOAc:hexanes to remove Fmoc byproducts and then with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to isolate 112 as a colorless foam (27 mg, 93\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73[2.79]^{*}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.7, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=11.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,5.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$, (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 17.3,23.3,26.1,28.2,28.3,37.9,54.4,56.6$,
59.3, 68.2, 70.1, 74.4, 75.8, 169.4, 170.0, 172.3. $\mathrm{HRMS}(+\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 422.2631 ;$ obsd: 422.2709.


Ac-Thr-hyp-NHMe (106)

Trifluoroacetic acid ( 1.0 mL ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ac}-\operatorname{Thr}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)$-trans-4-Hyp( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-$ NHMe (112) ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was gradually warmed to rt and stirred overnight undenr $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solution was concentrated and then concentrated three times from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The brown residue was subjected to the RP-HPLC (gradient: 0-100\% acetonitrile in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over $60 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{18} 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ column $\left.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right)\left(R_{T}=4 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ to isolate 106 as a colorless oil (6 mg, 50\%). [ $\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}+71.7(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.15[1.23](\mathrm{d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04[2.03](\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73[2.71]$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.69 (dd, J = 11.0, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}$ ) [3.75 (dd, J = 13.4, 5.0 Hz, 0.3 H ), 4.03 (dd, J = 11.1, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})[4.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 18.4$ [18.1], 21.5 [21.6], 25.8 [26.1], 36.2 [38.9], 55.1 [55.8], 56.9, 59.6 [59.9], 66.9 [67.4], 69.5 [67.9], 171.2, 174.0, 174.2. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M})^{+}: 287.1481$; obsd: 287.1352.


## Fmoc-Hyp(OTf)-OBn (119)

Pyridine ( $705 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 690 \mathrm{mg}, 8.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv.) was added to a solution of $N$-Fmoc-trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline benzyl ester (79) (979 mg, 2.18 mmol , 1equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred for 10 min then triflic anhydride ( $550 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 923 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise and the resulting yellow solution was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h .

The mixture was gradually warmed to rt , stirred for another 1 h , concentrated and the residue purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with 3:1 hexanes:EtOAc, to give 119 (1.9 g, $73 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.60$ (3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.25-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.72$ (m, 1H), 3.83 (2d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 0.5H), 4.57 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 0.5H), 4.23 $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.48$ (m, 13H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 36.4$ [37.7], 47.1 [47.2], 52.6 [53.0], 57.4 [57.1], 67.5 [67.6], 68.0 [68.1], 86.5 [85.8], 117.0, 120.1, 120.2, 125.0, 125.1, 125.1, 127.2, 127.8, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 135.1 [135.2], 141.3 [141.4], 143.6 [143.4], 144.0 [143.9], 154.3 [154.0], 171.1.


Fmoc-flp-OBn (118)

Tetra-n-butylamonium fluoride ( $638 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF, $0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of triflate 119 ( $295 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in dry THF over 15 minutes period at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred 4.5 h under nitrogen maintaining the temperature between $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated and applied to a flash column, eluted with 3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 118 as colorless oil ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.62$ (1:1 EtOAc: Hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.26-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51($ app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63 (dtd, $J=34.9,12.05,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (ddd, $J=26.6,13.2,3.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.8$, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 36.8[37.9]\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 47.4,53.2[53.7]\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ 25 Hz ), 57.8 [58.1], 67.3, 67.8 [67.9], 90.8 [92.6] ( $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=104 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 120.1, 125.1, 125.2, 125.3, 127.3, 127.9, 128.3, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7.135.7, 135.6, 141.5, 141.6, 143.8, 143.9, 144.3,
154.5 [154.5], 171.0 [171.2]; $\mathrm{HRMS}(+E S I)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FNO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 446.1767$; obsd: 446.1761.

$2 S, 4 S$-fluoroproline (121)

Palladium on carbon ( $10 \%, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in one portion to a solution of Fmoc-flpOBn (118) in (102 mg, 0.23 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred 4.5 h . The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to give flp (121) ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.20\left(6: 4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-16.6$ (c 0.75, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ס 2.49-2.56 (m, 1H), $2.66($ app. $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=19.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 35.8$ [36.0], 51.8 [52.0], 59.8, 91.4 [93.1], 173.8.

### 3.8.2 Variable temperature NMR experiments

Samples of 61, 62, 63, and 89 were prepared in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at concentrations between 0.02 M and 0.03 M . Experiments were performed over several temperatures $\left(25-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a Bruker 400 MHz NMR spectrometer. The ratio of trans/cis isomer was determined by integrating well resolved ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals. Thermodynamics data $\Delta H^{\circ}$ and $\Delta S^{\circ}$ (Table 3.18) were calculated by fitting the data of the Van't Hoff plots to the following equation;

$$
\ln K_{t c}=\left(-\Delta H^{\circ} / R\right)(1 / T)+\Delta S^{\circ} / R
$$

$\Delta G^{\circ}$ was calculated from $\Delta G^{\circ}=\Delta H^{\circ}-T \Delta S^{\circ}$
$\Delta H^{\circ}=$ standard enthalpy
$\Delta S^{\circ}=$ standard entropy
$\Delta G^{\circ}=$ standard Gibbs free energy
$R=$ gas constant $\left(8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$

Table 3.18: Thermodynamics data derived from Van't Hoff plots

| Dipeptide | Equation | Slope | Intercept | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ <br> $(298 \mathrm{~K})$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathrm{y}=322.144 \mathrm{x}-0.2615$ | 322.144 | -0.2615 | -2.67 | -2.17 | -2.03 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathrm{y}=764.926 \mathrm{x}-0.4019$ | 764.926 | -0.4019 | -6.36 | -3.34 | -5.36 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathrm{y}=1086.956 \mathrm{x}-1.0473$ | 1086.956 | -1.0473 | -9.04 | -8.67 | -6.45 |
| $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathrm{y}=333.9919 \mathrm{x}-0.0304$ | 333.992 | -0.0304 | -2.78 | -0.25 | -2.70 |

Tables 3.19-3.22 show the equilibrium constants at various temperataures for compunds 61, 62,
63 and 89 and figures 3.20-3.23 display the relavent Van't Hoff plots for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of the prolyl peptide bond of each dipeptide.

Table 3.19: Thr-Pro amide isomer equilibrium constant $K_{t / c}$ at various temperatures for Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61)

|  |  |  | Integration of <br> methylamide $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ | trans | cis | $K_{t / c}$ | $\ln K_{t c}$ |
| 85 | 358 | 2.79 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 0.62 |
| 80 | 353 | 2.83 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.69 |
| 75 | 348 | 2.87 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 0.68 |
| 70 | 343 | 2.92 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.65 |
| 65 | 338 | 2.96 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.71 |
| 60 | 333 | 3.00 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.68 |
| 55 | 328 | 3.05 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.79 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.10 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.69 |
| 45 | 318 | 3.14 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.63 |
| 40 | 313 | 3.19 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.79 |
| 35 | 308 | 3.25 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.82 |
| 30 | 303 | 3.30 | 6.8 | 3 | 2.3 | 0.82 |
| 25 | 298 | 3.36 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.83 |



Figure 3.20: Van't Hoff plot for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of Thr-Pro amide bond of Ac-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Table 3.20: Thr-Pro amide isomer equilibrium constant $K_{t / c}$ at various temperatures for Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62)

|  |  |  | Integration of <br> Thr-Hy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ | trans | cis | $K_{\text {tc }}$ | $\ln K_{t c}$ |
| 85 | 358 | 2.79 | 8.8 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 1.70 |
| 80 | 353 | 2.83 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 1.79 |
| 75 | 348 | 2.87 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 1.82 |
| 70 | 343 | 2.92 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 1.82 |
| 65 | 338 | 2.96 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 1.84 |
| 60 | 333 | 3.00 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 1.90 |
| 55 | 328 | 3.05 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 1.93 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.10 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 1.95 |
| 45 | 318 | 3.14 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 2.01 |
| 40 | 313 | 3.19 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 2.03 |
| 35 | 308 | 3.25 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 2.09 |
| 30 | 303 | 3.30 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 2.13 |
| 25 | 298 | 3.36 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 8.7 | 2.16 |



Figure 3.21: Van't Hoff plot for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of Thr-Pro amide bond of Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Table 3.21: Thr-Pro amide isomer equilibrium constant $K_{t / c}$ at various temperatures for Ac-Thr[( $\alpha, 1-4$ )GlcNAc]Hyp-NHMe (63)

|  |  |  | Integration of <br> Thr-Hy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ | trans | cis | $K_{\text {tc }}$ | lnK tc |
| $85^{*}$ | 358 | 2.79 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 1.75 |
| 80 | 353 | 2.83 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 2.07 |
| 75 | 348 | 2.87 | 11.4 | 1.2 | 9.5 | 2.25 |
| 70 | 343 | 2.92 | 11.1 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 2.22 |
| 65 | 338 | 2.96 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 2.15 |
| 60 | 333 | 3.00 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 9.8 | 2.28 |
| 55 | 328 | 3.05 | 9.3 | 1 | 9.3 | 2.23 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.10 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 2.26 |
| 45 | 318 | 3.14 | 11.6 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 2.36 |
| 40 | 313 | 3.19 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 11.5 | 2.44 |
| 35 | 308 | 3.25 | 11.4 | 1 | 11.4 | 2.43 |
| 30 | 303 | 3.30 | 10.5 | 0.8 | 13.1 | 2.57 |
| 25 | 298 | 3.36 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 13.2 | 2.58 |

*This data point was eliminated due to the poor resolution of the designated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.22: Van't Hoff plot for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of Thr-amide bond of Ac-Thr-[( $\alpha, 1$ 4) GlcNAc$] H y p-N H M e ~(63)$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Table 3.22: Thr-Pro amide isomer equilibrium constant $K_{t / c}$ at various temperatures for Ac-[( $\alpha, 1-$ 4)GlcNAc]-NHMe (89)

|  |  |  | Integration of <br> methylamide $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T} \times 10^{-3}$ | trans | $c i s$ | $K_{t / c}$ | $\ln K_{t / c}$ |
| ${ }^{*} 85$ | 358 | 2.79 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.14 |
| 80 | 353 | 2.83 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.97 |
| 75 | 348 | 2.87 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.96 |
| 70 | 343 | 2.92 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.94 |
| 65 | 338 | 2.96 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.03 |
| 60 | 333 | 3.00 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.04 |
| 55 | 328 | 3.05 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.10 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.10 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.02 |
| 45 | 318 | 3.14 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 1.09 |
| 40 | 313 | 3.19 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.05 |
| 35 | 308 | 3.25 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 1.21 |
| 30 | 303 | 3.30 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.19 |
| 25 | 298 | 3.36 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.18 |

*This data point was eliminated due to the poor resolution of the designated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.23: Van't Hoff plot for the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization of proline amide bond of Ac-Hyp(GlcNAc)-NHMe (89) in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

### 3.8.3 Magnetization Transfer NMR Experiments

Samples of 61, 62, 63, and 89 were prepared in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at concentrations between 0.02 M and 0.03 M . Experiments were performed over several temperatures $\left(60-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a Varian 700 MHz NMR spectrometer and the temperature was calibrated using an ethylene glycol standard.

Depending on the resolution of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals, the threonine Hy doublet or the N methylamide of the trans rotamer doublet was selectively inverted using a 58 ms pulse. Relaxation delay $\left(d_{1}\right)$ of 20 s , acquisition time of 2.12 s , inversion pulse power (satpwr) of -13 , detection pulse power (tpwr) of 59 and detection pulse width (pw) of $8.9 \mu s$ were used. In each experiment inversion transfer spectra were measured at $23-28 d_{2}$ values from $0-20 \mathrm{~s}$ and the number of points 32 K and number of scans 128.

The data from the inversion transfer experiments were fitted using the CIFIT program. ${ }^{76}$ The initial estimates of rate, $T_{1}, M_{0}$ and $M_{\alpha}$ were fed to the CIFIT mechanism file. The CIFIT program then conducts a least-squares minimization on the difference between the integration versus time curves in the data file and the curves predicted by the McConnell-Bloch equations given the initial guesses in the mechanism file.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{1}=\text { Spin lattice relaxation time } \\
& \mathrm{M}_{0}=\text { Initial Magnetization } \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\alpha}=\text { Equilibrium Magnetization }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tables $3.23-3.41$ show the integration vs. $d_{2}$ obtained from maganatization inversion transfer data and figures 3.24-3.42 display the inversion recovery of inverted trans and non inverted cis proton signals.

Table 3.23: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.1403 | -0.43 | 0.31 | 2.1781 | 1.67 | 0.34 |
| 0.1977 | -0.28 | 0.29 | 2.5853 | 1.78 | 0.35 |
| 0.2346 | -0.19 | 0.29 | 3.0687 | 1.86 | 0.36 |
| 0.2785 | -0.09 | 0.28 | 3.6424 | 1.92 | 0.37 |
| 0.3924 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 4.3234 | 1.95 | 0.37 |
| 0.4657 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 5.1318 | 1.97 | 0.38 |
| 0.5528 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 7.2301 | 1.97 | 0.37 |
| 0.7788 | 0.72 | 0.27 | 8.5819 | 1.96 | 0.37 |
| 0.9245 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 10.180 | 1.95 | 0.37 |
| 1.0993 | 1.08 | 0.29 | 12.091 | 1.94 | 0.37 |
| 1.5460 | 1.40 | 0.31 | 14.352 | 1.93 | 0.37 |
| 1.8350 | 1.55 | 0.33 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.24: Inversion recovery of $(A)$ inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 62 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.24: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.1403 | -0.23 | 0.26 | 2.1781 | 1.62 | 0.35 |
| 0.1977 | -0.02 | 0.26 | 2.5853 | 1.71 | 0.37 |
| 0.2346 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 3.0687 | 1.78 | 0.38 |
| 0.2785 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 3.6424 | 1.83 | 0.38 |
| 0.3924 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 4.3234 | 1.86 | 0.39 |
| 0.4657 | 0.47 | 0.25 | 5.1318 | 1.88 | 0.39 |
| 0.5528 | 0.59 | 0.25 | 7.2301 | 1.87 | 0.39 |
| 0.7788 | 0.84 | 0.26 | 8.5819 | 1.85 | 0.39 |
| 0.9245 | 0.98 | 0.27 | 10.180 | 1.85 | 0.39 |
| 1.0993 | 1.12 | 0.28 | 12.091 | 1.85 | 0.39 |
| 1.5460 | 1.39 | 0.31 | 14.352 | 1.84 | 0.39 |
| 1.8350 | 1.51 | 0.33 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.25: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 62 at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.25: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis |
| 0.1403 | -0.39 | 0.28 | 2.1781 | 1.96 | 0.39 |
| 0.1977 | -0.22 | 0.25 | 2.5853 | 2.01 | 0.4 |
| 0.2346 | -0.12 | 0.24 | 3.0687 | 2.12 | 0.42 |
| 0.2785 | -0.01 | 0.23 | 3.6424 | 2.2 | 0.43 |
| 0.3924 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 4.3234 | 2.26 | 0.43 |
| 0.4657 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 5.1318 | 2.29 | 0.44 |
| 0.5528 | 0.56 | 0.22 | 7.2301 | 2.29 | 0.44 |
| 0.7788 | 0.89 | 0.24 | 8.5819 | 2.28 | 0.43 |
| 0.9245 | 1.07 | 0.26 | 10.180 | 2.26 | 0.43 |
| 1.0993 | 1.24 | 0.28 | 12.091 | 2.25 | 0.42 |
| 1.5460 | 1.59 | 0.32 | 14.352 | 1.96 | 0.39 |
| 1.8350 | 1.75 | 0.35 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.26: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 62 at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.26: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.1403 | -0.39 | 0.17 | 2.1781 | 1.79 | 0.26 |
| 0.1977 | -0.22 | 0.14 | 2.5853 | 1.92 | 0.28 |
| 0.2346 | -0.12 | 0.13 | 3.0687 | 2.03 | 0.29 |
| 0.2785 | -0.01 | 0.13 | 3.6424 | 2.11 | 0.3 |
| 0.3924 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 4.3234 | 2.16 | 0.31 |
| 0.4657 | 0.36 | 0.12 | 5.1318 | 2.19 | 0.31 |
| 0.5528 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 7.2301 | 2.2 | 0.31 |
| 0.7788 | 0.81 | 0.15 | 8.5819 | 2.19 | 0.31 |
| 0.9245 | 0.98 | 0.17 | 10.180 | 2.18 | 0.32 |
| 1.0993 | 1.15 | 0.18 | 12.091 | 2.17 | 0.34 |
| 1.5460 | 1.49 | 0.23 | 14.352 | 2.17 | 0.33 |
| 1.8350 | 1.65 | 0.25 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.27: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 62 at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.27: Data for Eyring Analysis for rotation about the Ac-Hyp amide bond of Ac-Hyp-NHMe (62)

| T <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k / T$ | $\ln k / T$ | $R \ln (k / T)$ | $R \ln (k / T)-\operatorname{Rln}(k B / h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 333.16 | 3.0016 | 0.1416 | 0.0004 | -7.7634 | -15.449 | -62.629 |
| 65 | 338.16 | 2.9572 | 0.2050 | 0.0006 | -7.4083 | -14.742 | -61.922 |
| 70 | 343.16 | 2.9141 | 0.3000 | 0.0008 | -7.0422 | -14.014 | -61.194 |
| 75 | 348.16 | 2.8722 | 0.4861 | 0.0013 | -6.5740 | -13.0823 | -60.262 |

$R=$ gas constant ( $8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ )
$k_{B}=$ Boltzmann constant $\left(1.381 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$
$h=$ Planck's constant ( $6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$ )
$k=$ reaction rate (reported in the CIFIT output files)


Figure 3.28: Eyring plot for rotation about the Thr-Hyp amide bond of Ac-Thr-Hyp-NHMe (62) $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ were calculated by fitting the data of the Eyring plots to the following equation;
$R \ln (k / T)-R \ln (k B / h)=\left(-\Delta H^{\ddagger} / R\right)(1 / T)+\Delta S^{\ddagger} / R$
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ was calculated from $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=-\Delta H^{\ddagger}-T \Delta S^{\ddagger}$
$\Delta H^{\ddagger}=18.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \Delta S^{\ddagger}=-8.2 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{K}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}(300 \mathrm{~K})=20.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table 3.28: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Hyp}[(\mathrm{a}, 1-4) \mathrm{GIcNAc}]-\mathrm{NHMe}(89)$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.000 | -10.00 | 7.652 | 0.800 | 1.150 | 5.49 |
| 0.005 | -10.27 | 6.994 | 1.000 | 3.220 | 5.40 |
| 0.010 | -10.96 | 6.401 | 1.500 | 7.100 | 5.42 |
| 0.050 | -9.617 | 6.863 | 2.000 | 10.25 | 5.66 |
| 0.100 | -8.740 | 6.570 | 4.000 | 16.42 | 6.72 |
| 0.150 | -7.710 | 6.370 | 6.000 | 18.51 | 7.36 |
| 0.200 | -6.740 | 6.450 | 8.000 | 19.37 | 7.59 |
| 0.250 | -5.870 | 6.210 | 12.00 | 19.72 | 7.50 |
| 0.400 | -3.750 | 5.870 | 16.00 | 19.45 | 7.37 |
| 0.600 | -1.210 | 5.660 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.29: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans GlcNAc-H1 doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 89 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.29: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Hyp}[(\alpha, 1-4) \mathrm{GIcNAc}]-\mathrm{NHMe}(89)$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.000 | -10.00 | 8.466 | 0.800 | 3.760 | 7.227 |
| 0.005 | -9.121 | 9.170 | 1.000 | 5.810 | 7.157 |
| 0.010 | -8.870 | 9.174 | 1.500 | 9.851 | 7.258 |
| 0.050 | -8.016 | 9.017 | 2.000 | 12.69 | 7.304 |
| 0.100 | -6.963 | 8.682 | 4.000 | 18.82 | 8.768 |
| 0.150 | -5.895 | 8.321 | 6.000 | 21.02 | 9.434 |
| 0.200 | -5.092 | 8.107 | 8.000 | 21.98 | 9.665 |
| 0.250 | -4.056 | 7.863 | 12.00 | 22.40 | 9.887 |
| 0.400 | -1.560 | 7.451 | 16.00 | 22.40 | 9.676 |
| 0.600 | 1.140 | 7.310 |  |  |  |




Figure 3.30: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans GlcNAc-H1 doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 89 at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.30: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ Ac-Hyp[( $\left.\left.\alpha, 1-4\right) \mathrm{GIcNAc}\right]-\mathrm{NHMe}(89)$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.00 | -10.00 | 8.466 | 1.50 | 6.871 | 3.430 |
| 0.10 | -10.84 | 6.727 | 2.00 | 9.410 | 3.850 |
| 0.20 | -8.729 | 5.691 | 3.00 | 13.19 | 4.952 |
| 0.25 | -6.025 | 4.374 | 4.00 | 15.59 | 5.865 |
| 0.40 | -3.754 | 3.845 | 5.00 | 17.19 | 6.564 |
| 0.60 | -1.045 | 3.439 | 6.00 | 18.04 | 6.788 |
| 0.80 | 1.246 | 3.293 | 7.00 | 18.34 | 6.767 |
| 1.00 | 3.332 | 3.248 | 8.00 | 18.85 | 6.892 |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.31: Inversion recovery of $(A)$ inverted trans GIcNAc-H1 doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 89 at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.31: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ Ac-Hyp[( $\left.\left.\alpha, 1-4\right) \mathrm{GIcNAc}\right]-\mathrm{NHMe}(89)$ at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.00 | -10.00 | 8.466 | 1.50 | 9.874 | 5.351 |
| 0.10 | -9.832 | 9.005 | 2.00 | 12.44 | 5.836 |
| 0.20 | -7.207 | 7.645 | 3.00 | 16.09 | 7.136 |
| 0.25 | -3.828 | 6.106 | 4.00 | 18.71 | 7.826 |
| 0.40 | -1.024 | 5.323 | 5.00 | 21.43 | 9.512 |
| 0.60 | 2.032 | 4.724 | 6.00 | 22.70 | 9.945 |
| 0.80 | 4.374 | 4.631 | 7.00 | 22.35 | 9.236 |
| 1.00 | 6.455 | 4.811 | 8.00 | 22.96 | 9.458 |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.32: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans GlcNAc-H1 doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 89 at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.32: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ Ac-Hyp[( $\left.\left.\alpha, 1-4\right) \mathrm{GIcNAc}\right]-\mathrm{NHMe}(89)$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.00 | -10.00 | 9.763 | 1.50 | 12.53 | 4.736 |
| 0.10 | -10.73 | 8.945 | 2.00 | 15.67 | 5.806 |
| 0.20 | -9.641 | 9.797 | 3.00 | 23.41 | 8.699 |
| 0.25 | -7.608 | 8.662 | 4.00 | 27.14 | 10.02 |
| 0.40 | -5.986 | 7.213 | 5.00 | 28.48 | 10.35 |
| 0.60 | -4.161 | 6.616 | 6.00 | 30.03 | 11.04 |
| 0.80 | -2.305 | 6.181 | 7.00 | 30.74 | 11.60 |
| 1.00 | -0.869 | 5.674 | 8.00 | 12.53 | 4.736 |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.33: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans GlcNAc-H1 doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 89 at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.33: Data for Eyring analysis for rotation about the Ac-Hyp amide bond of Ac-Hyp[(a,1-4)GIcNAc]-NHMe (89)

| $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k / T$ | $\ln k / T$ | $\operatorname{Rln}(k / T)$ | $\operatorname{Rln}(k / T)-\operatorname{Rln}(k B / h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 333.16 | 3.0016 | 0.1513 | 0.0004 | -7.6971 | -15.317 | -62.497 |
| 65 | 338.16 | 2.9572 | 0.1768 | 0.0005 | -7.5563 | -15.037 | -62.217 |
| 70 | 343.16 | 2.9141 | 0.4622 | 0.0013 | -6.6100 | -13.154 | -60.334 |
| 75 | 348.16 | 2.8722 | 0.5054 | 0.0015 | -6.5351 | -13.005 | -60.185 |
| 80 | 353.16 | 2.8316 | 0.7258 | 0.0021 | -6.1874 | -12.313 | -59.493 |



Figure 3.34: Eyring plot for the rotation of Ac-Hyp amide bond of Ac-Hyp[( $\alpha, 1-4) \mathrm{GlcNAc}]-\mathrm{NHMe}$ (89)
$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ were calculated by fitting the data of the Eyring plots to the following equation;
$R \ln (k / T)-R \ln (k B / h)=\left(-\Delta H^{\ddagger} / R\right)(1 / T)+\Delta S^{\ddagger} / R$
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ was calculated from $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=-\Delta H^{\ddagger}-T \Delta S^{\ddagger}$
$\Delta H^{\ddagger}=18.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \Delta S^{\ddagger}=-5.7 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{K}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}(300 \mathrm{~K})=20.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table 3.34: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -4.55 | 2.82 | 0.8 | -2.11 | 2.97 |
| 0.05 | -4.34 | 2.82 | 0.9 | -1.84 | 2.99 |
| 0.10 | -4.22 | 2.86 | 1.0 | -1.6 | 3.01 |
| 0.15 | -4.06 | 2.87 | 2.0 | 0.62 | 3.03 |
| 0.20 | -3.91 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.15 | 3.02 |
| 0.25 | -3.75 | 2.91 | 4.0 | 3.21 | 3.00 |
| 0.30 | -3.75 | 2.91 | 5.0 | 3.96 | 3.00 |
| 0.35 | -3.41 | 2.92 | 6.0 | 4.42 | 2.94 |
| 0.40 | -3.25 | 2.92 | 8.0 | 5.03 | 2.90 |
| 0.45 | -3.06 | 2.9 | 10 | 5.36 | 2.87 |
| 0.50 | -2.94 | 2.93 | 12 | 5.52 | 2.83 |
| 0.60 | -2.67 | 2.95 | 14 | 5.58 | 2.79 |
| 0.70 | -2.38 | 2.95 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.35: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Ac-Thr singlet and (B) non-inverted cis AcThreonine singlet of compound 61 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.35: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -3.07 | 2.55 | 0.8 | 5.67 | 2.40 |
| 0.05 | -1.93 | 2.69 | 0.9 | 6.03 | 2.29 |
| 0.10 | -0.39 | 2.75 | 1.0 | 6.13 | 2.29 |
| 0.15 | 1.52 | 2.75 | 2.0 | 6.23 | 2.36 |
| 0.20 | 2.61 | 2.71 | 3.0 | 6.34 | 2.36 |
| 0.25 | 4.01 | 2.63 | 4.0 | 6.64 | 2.31 |
| 0.30 | 4.91 | 2.49 | 5.0 | 6.82 | 2.20 |
| 0.35 | 5.01 | 2.43 | 6.0 | 6.88 | 2.09 |
| 0.40 | 5.12 | 2.44 | 8.0 | 6.90 | 2.02 |
| 0.45 | 5.22 | 2.46 | 10 | 5.72 | 2.37 |
| 0.50 | 5.41 | 2.39 | 12 | 5.67 | 2.40 |
| 0.60 | 5.61 | 2.35 | 14 | 6.74 | 2.02 |
| 0.70 | 5.72 | 2.37 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.36: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Ac-Thr singlet and (B) non-inverted cis AcThreonine singlet of compound 61 at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.36: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -4.40 | 2.69 | 0.8 | -2.38 | 2.87 |
| 0.05 | -4.32 | 2.74 | 0.9 | -2.16 | 2.89 |
| 0.10 | -4.22 | 2.79 | 1.0 | -1.88 | 2.82 |
| 0.15 | -4.01 | 2.76 | 2.0 | 0.06 | 2.88 |
| 0.20 | -3.91 | 2.80 | 3.0 | 1.52 | 2.91 |
| 0.25 | -3.78 | 2.83 | 4.0 | 2.62 | 2.94 |
| 0.30 | -3.66 | 2.83 | 5.0 | 3.38 | 2.90 |
| 0.35 | -3.52 | 2.83 | 6.0 | 3.95 | 2.91 |
| 0.40 | -3.42 | 2.87 | 8.0 | 4.75 | 2.90 |
| 0.45 | -3.26 | 2.84 | 10 | 5.06 | 2.84 |
| 0.50 | -3.15 | 2.87 | 12 | 3.55 | 0.98 |
| 0.60 | -2.82 | 2.8 | 14 | 3.53 | 0.94 |
| 0.70 | -2.60 | 2.83 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.37: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Ac-Thr singlet and (B) non-inverted cis AcThreonine singlet of compound 61 at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.37: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}$-Thr-Pro-NHMe (61) at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -4.65 | 2.98 | 0.8 | -2.52 | 3.06 |
| 0.05 | -4.52 | 2.94 | 0.9 | -2.24 | 3.09 |
| 0.10 | -4.26 | 2.87 | 1.0 | -2.02 | 3.04 |
| 0.15 | -4.08 | 2.85 | 2.0 | -0.02 | 3.07 |
| 0.20 | -4.01 | 2.91 | 3.0 | 1.50 | 3.11 |
| 0.25 | -3.89 | 2.92 | 4.0 | 2.63 | 3.12 |
| 0.30 | -3.73 | 2.93 | 5.0 | 3.42 | 3.13 |
| 0.35 | -3.63 | 2.95 | 6.0 | 4.11 | 3.14 |
| 0.40 | -3.48 | 2.95 | 8.0 | 4.82 | 3.07 |
| 0.45 | -3.36 | 2.98 | 10 | 5.37 | 3.09 |
| 0.50 | -3.22 | 2.98 | 12 | 5.67 | 3.12 |
| 0.60 | -2.97 | 2.99 | 14 | 5.62 | 2.99 |
| 0.70 | -2.74 | 3.02 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.38: Inversion recovery of $(A)$ inverted trans Ac-Thr singlet and (B) non-inverted cis AcThreonine singlet of compound 61 at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.38: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{Hyp}(\mathrm{GIcNAc})-\mathrm{NHMe}(63)$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ (s) | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -0.84 | 0.77 | 0.8 | 2.78 | 0.84 |
| 0.05 | -0.44 | 0.77 | 0.9 | 2.99 | 0.86 |
| 0.10 | -0.1 | 0.76 | 1.0 | 3.17 | 0.87 |
| 0.15 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 2.0 | 3.97 | 1.01 |
| 0.20 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 3.0 | 4.16 | 1.08 |
| 0.25 | 1.20 | 0.75 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.07 |
| 0.30 | 1.10 | 0.70 | 5.0 | 4.17 | 1.04 |
| 0.35 | 1.26 | 0.72 | 6.0 | 4.08 | 1.00 |
| 0.40 | 1.40 | 0.73 | 8.0 | 3.92 | 0.96 |
| 0.45 | 1.52 | 0.74 | 10 | 3.92 | 0.98 |
| 0.50 | 1.71 | 0.78 | 12 | 2.51 | 0.82 |
| 0.60 | 2.13 | 0.78 | 14 | 3.75 | 0.88 |
| 0.70 | 2.51 | 0.82 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.39: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 63 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.39: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{Hyp}(\mathrm{GIcNAc})-\mathrm{NHMe}(63)$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -0.46 | 1.04 | 0.8 | 2.34 | 0.91 |
| 0.05 | -0.13 | 1.06 | 0.9 | 2.33 | 1.24 |
| 0.10 | 0.16 | 1.19 | 1.0 | 2.54 | 1.06 |
| 0.15 | 0.34 | 1.13 | 2.0 | 3.61 | 0.90 |
| 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.98 | 3.0 | 4.02 | 0.80 |
| 0.25 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 4.0 | 4.13 | 0.78 |
| 0.30 | 0.91 | 0.48 | 5.0 | 4.18 | 0.75 |
| 0.35 | 0.96 | 0.42 | 6.0 | 3.73 | 0.79 |
| 0.40 | 1.23 | 0.42 | 8.0 | 3.66 | 0.79 |
| 0.45 | 1.48 | 0.62 | 10 | 3.80 | 0.77 |
| 0.50 | 1.61 | 0.84 | 12 | 3.80 | 0.73 |
| 0.60 | 1.96 | 0.89 | 14 | 3.77 | 0.66 |
| 0.70 | 2.14 | 0.92 |  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.40: Inversion recovery of (A) inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 63 at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.40: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{Hyp}(\mathrm{GlcNAc})-\mathrm{NHMe}(63)$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis |
| 0.01 | -0.24 | 0.54 |
| 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.70 |
| 0.10 | 0.55 | 0.64 |
| 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.55 |
| 0.20 | 1.01 | 0.54 |
| 0.25 | 1.20 | 0.56 |
| 0.30 | 1.36 | 0.56 |
| 0.35 | 1.60 | 0.57 |
| 0.40 | 1.72 | 0.60 |
| 0.45 | 1.84 | 0.62 |
| 0.50 | 1.96 | 0.64 |
| 0.60 | 2.24 | 0.68 |


|  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis |
| 0.7 | 2.54 | 0.72 |
| 0.8 | 2.60 | 0.77 |
| 0.9 | 2.74 | 0.8 |
| 1.0 | 2.93 | 0.82 |
| 2.0 | 3.75 | 0.99 |
| 3.0 | 3.99 | 1.02 |
| 4.0 | 3.96 | 1.06 |
| 5.0 | 3.85 | 1.06 |
| 6.0 | 3.81 | 1.04 |
| 8.0 | 3.77 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 3.57 | 1.01 |
|  |  |  |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.41: Inversion recovery of inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet (A) and non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet (B) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 3.41: Integration vs. $\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{Hyp}(\mathrm{GIcNAc})-\mathrm{NHMe}(63)$ at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Integration |  |  | Integration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | trans | cis |
| 0.049 | -2.70 | 2.94 | 0.06 | -5.37 | 3.29 |
| 0.050 | -3.22 | 3.14 | 0.061 | -5.20 | 3.17 |
| 0.051 | -3.76 | 3.33 | 0.062 | -5.02 | 3.10 |
| 0.052 | -4.23 | 3.43 | 0.063 | -5.05 | 3.15 |
| 0.053 | -4.47 | 3.43 | 0.064 | -5.06 | 3.15 |
| 0.054 | -4.81 | 3.45 | 0.065 | -4.89 | 3.09 |
| 0.055 | -4.98 | 3.45 | 0.066 | -4.63 | 3.08 |
| 0.056 | -5.16 | 3.45 | 0.067 | -4.23 | 2.88 |
| 0.057 | -5.26 | 3.40 | 0.068 | -3.98 | 2.89 |
| 0.058 | -5.33 | 3.37 | 0.069 | -3.99 | 3.02 |
| 0.059 | -5.36 | 3.28 | 0.070 | -3.56 | 2.88 |

(A)

(B)


Figure 3.42: Inversion recovery of $(A)$ inverted trans Thr-Hy doublet and (B) non-inverted cis Thr-Hy doublet of compound 63 at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
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## CHAPTER 4 - SYNTHESIS OF AN $\alpha$-HELICAL MIMETIC FOR THE 143-151 FRAGMENT OF SKP1

### 4.1 The $\alpha$-Helix in Peptides and Proteins

Proteins organize at different levels, referred to as primary, secondary, tertiary and quaternary structure. The most common secondary structural element of proteins is the a-helix, which constitutes more than $40 \%$ of the polypeptide structure in proteins. ${ }^{82}$ The motif tends to be at least ten amino acid residues long (three turns). ${ }^{83} \alpha$-Helices play an important role in mediating protein-protein interactions in protein tertiary structure. Research has shown that helix geometry is determined by the primary amino acid sequence. ${ }^{84}$ The helix is often associated with blocks of non-polar residues including Ala, Leu, Val, and Ile preferably at the $i, i+1, i+4, i+5$ positions with various other amino acids at other positions and Pro often at the N -terminus. These hydrophobic segments are typically less than 16 amino acids long.

According to the helix-coil transition theory, ${ }^{85} \mathrm{a}$-helices with short peptide chains (composed of less than ten amino acids) are unstable due to low nucleation probability. Stabilization of short peptides in an a-helical conformation is challenging. Preorganization of amino acid residues in an a-turn is expected to improve nucleation properties and initiate helix formation. ${ }^{86}$


Figure 4.1: Hydrogen bonding pattern in an a-helix


## 4.2 $\quad \alpha$-Helical Mimetics

Molecules that mimic the structure of $a$-helices can be used for the inhibition of proteinprotein interactions. In the design of mimetics, several features of the a-helix are important
factors for consideration and incorporation. ${ }^{87}$ In an a-helix, a hydrogen bond between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the $i$ th amino acid residue and the NH of the $i+4$ th amino acid residue stabilizes the helical structure (Figure 4.1). ${ }^{88}$ These hydrogen bonds are almost parallel to the axis of the $a$-helix. The projecting side chains in the $i, i+4, i+7 / i+8$, and $i+11$ positions appear on the same side of the $\alpha-$ helix and create an interface for intermolecular interactions with other proteins. ${ }^{89}$

The last decade has seen significant progress in the design and synthesis of peptidyl and nonpeptidyl helix mimetics. Different approaches have been investigated to stabilize short peptides in a-helical conformations to enhance conformational rigidity, proteolytic stability, ability to penetrate the cell membrane and protein-like functionality in the resulting helical oligopeptide. These approaches can be divided into three categories: (1) helical surface mimetics, (2) helical foldamers and (3) stabilization of the native helix. ${ }^{86,90}$ Some of these mimetics have been shown to bind their target protein with high affinity. ${ }^{91}$

### 4.2.1 Helical Surface Mimetics

Helical surface mimetics are conformationally restricted molecules that present functional groups in a manner that topologically resembles the $i, i+4, i+7$ pattern of side chain positioning along the face of an $\alpha$-helix. ${ }^{86}$

A report by Hamilton and coworkers in 2001 described the synthesis of the first entirely non-peptidyl $\alpha$-helix mimetic using a terphenyl scaffold (Figure 4.2) that can mimic the structural and recognition binding features of an $\alpha$-helix. ${ }^{92}$ Their initial terphenyl scaffold has a tris-orthosubstituted terphenylene wherein the $o$-substituents mimic the side chains of $i, i+4$, and $i+7$ positions of an a-helix. ${ }^{92}$ To improve synthetic accessibility, solubility and flexibility, the initial design was extended to closely related structures including terpyridine, oligoamide, and terephthalamide derivatives.

Appropriately embellished scaffolds have been shown to effectively inhibit proteinprotein interactions in pathways associated with human diseases. These protein-protein interactions can thus be important therapeutic targets. ${ }^{83}$

The B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) family of proteins regulates apoptosis, which involves pro-survival and pro-death proteins. The BH3 domain of Bak is essential for the function of all pro-death proteins. ${ }^{93}$ Hamilton and coworkers' terphenyl scaffold 123 (Figure 4.2) displayed good in vitro affinity with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $0.114 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and derivatives of this scaffold have been shown to disrupt the binding of Bcl-xL to Bak in intact cells in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells. ${ }^{94}$



Figure 4.2 : X-ray crystal structure of the helical Bak BH3 domain of Bcl-xL protein. ${ }^{95}$ Copyright 2006, John Wiley and Sons, reprinted with permission. Hamilton's $\alpha$-helix mimetic, terphenyl scaffold 123

The crystal structure of calmodulin (CaM) (Figure 4.3) shows it bound to the smooth muscle myosin light chain kinase (smMLCK) a-helical peptide through $i, i+4$, and $i+7$ residues of the helix. Hamilton and coworkers' terphenyl scaffold 124 (Figure 4.3) was shown to inhibit the CaM-smMLCK interaction with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $800 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{92}$



124

Figure 4.3: X-ray crystal structure of smMLCK binding region of the CaM. Copyright 2006, John Wiley and Sons, reprinted with permission and the $\alpha$-helical mimetic 124

Deregulation of tachykinin receptors (TR) leads to epilepsy, Alzheimers disease and schizophrenia. The pioneering work of Horwell et al. used a 1,1,6-trisubstituted indane template (Figure 4.4) to mimic the $i, i+1$ arrangement of an $\alpha$-helix of the mammalian tachykinin receptor. Their $\alpha$-helical mimetic, with undisclosed structure, had micromolar affinity for the tachykinin target and its neuroreceptor $\mathrm{NK}_{2} .{ }^{96}$


$\mathrm{R}^{i-1}, \mathrm{R}^{i}, \mathrm{R}^{i+1}=$ amino acid side chains
Figure 4.4: The indane template mimicking an $\alpha$-helix

### 4.2.2 Helical Foldamers

$\beta$-Peptide helices and peptoids come under the classification of helical foldamers which are capable of adopting conformations similar to natural proteins (Figure 4.5).

(a)

(b)

Figure 4.5: $\alpha$-Helices derived from foldamers:(a) peptoids,(b) $\beta$-peptides. ${ }^{88 \mathrm{~d}}$ Copyright 2008, ACS Publications, reprinted with permission

### 4.2.3 Helix Stabilization Methods - Hydrogen Bond Surrogates

Stabilization of the native helix is based on pre-organizing amino acid residues to initiate helix formation by the introduction of side chain crosslinks and hydrogen bond surrogates (HBS) (Figure 4.6).


Figure 4.6: $\alpha$-Helices derived from helix stabilization: (a) HBS $\alpha$-helices, (b) Side chain crosslinked $\alpha$-helices. ${ }^{97}$ Copyright 2008, ACS Publications, reprinted with permission

In 1999 Cabezas and Satterwait proposed stabilization of an $\alpha$-helix by replacing the weak $i \rightarrow i+4$ hydrogen bond with a covalent linkage. They synthesized hydrazone-linked peptides by replacing a hydrogen bond with a $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond (blue, Figure 4.7), and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ atoms of the backbone with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group (red, Figure 4.7). Although this yielded a 13membered ring, covalently bonded helical turn of the $\alpha$-helix neither hydrogen bond length nor angles are precisely mimicked by the double bond. ${ }^{98}$ An attractive feature of this strategy is that
the cross-link made by the covalent linkage is inside the helix, thus not blocking the molecular recognition sites on the surface of the molecule.

(a)

(b)

Figure 4.7: Hydrogen bond mimetics: (a) Native peptide highlighting the ( $i, i+4$ ) H -bond (b) N terminal hydrazone mimetic

In 2005, Arora and coworkers used the same general concept to lock short peptides in a-helical conformations by invoking a different hydrogen bond surrogate (HBS). Their strategy involved replacement of the first hydrogen bond between amino acid residues $i$ and $i+4$ of an $\alpha-$ helix with a covalent carbon-carbon bond, introduced during a ring-closing metathesis reaction (Scheme 4.1). ${ }^{88 d}$ They investigated the helicity of the constrained and control peptides by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (Figure 4.8). The CD spectra of the HBS a-helical mimetic displays double minima which is characteristic of $a$-helices. The HBS a-helical mimetic has been shown to bind with the Bak BH3 domain of Bcl-xL with high affinity. Synthesis of HBS systems by the groups of Cabezas and Arora have been limited to short peptides that terminate with the end of the a-helix. Compared to Cabezas' hydrazone strategy, the Arora method affords a more stable bond that cannot be cleaved hydrolytically. ${ }^{88 d}$


Scheme 4.1: HBS Strategy ${ }^{99}$


HBS $\alpha$-helix 126

Figure 4.8: CD spectra of unconstrained peptide, Ac-QVARQLAEIY- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (125), and HBS $\alpha$-helix mimetic 126 used to demonstrate proof of principle for the hydrogen bond surrogate strategy by Arora. ${ }^{99}$ Copyright 2008, ACS Publications, reprinted with permission.

In 2009 Vernall et al. introduced the first hydrogen bond replacement at an internal helical turn, where an ethylene linkage substitutes for an internal ( $i \rightarrow i+4$ ) hydrogen bond (Figure 4.9). ${ }^{100}$ This ethylene bridge resulted a distance of $3.8 \AA$ between the backbone $\mathrm{C}(i)$ and $\mathrm{N}(i+4)$ atoms, which is slightly less than the corresponding H-bond length (4.0 Å). The resulting modified peptide demonstrated increased helicity and thermal and proteolytic stability, but a decrease in biological activity, compared to the unmodified Galanin(1-16) peptide. ${ }^{100}$ Galanin is an endocrine neuropeptide consisting of 29 amino acids in most mammals, but in humans there is an extra serine residue at the $C$-terminus (127). Galanin was selected for study because it plays roles in cancer, obesity, arthritis and diabetes ${ }^{101}$ and the synthesis of a galanin mimetic via a side chain lactam approach had already been reported in literature. ${ }^{102}$ Furthermore, the
galanin 1-16 $N$-terminal oligopeptide (Figure 4.9) has demonstrated partial helical structure responsible for its biological activity. ${ }^{103}$

## (a) H-GWTLNSAGYLLGPHAVGNHRSFSDKNGLT(S)-OH (127)

(b)



Figure 4.9: (a) Galanin sequence in humans; (b) Peptide partial sequence GYLLG showing $i \rightarrow$ $i+4 \mathrm{H}$-bond; (c) H -bond mimetic with an internal ethylene bridge

### 4.3 The $\alpha$-Helix of Skp1

Enzyme kinetics parameters for the glycosyltransferase Gnt1, determined by West and coworkers are shown in Table 4.1. ${ }^{18}$ This study was performed to gain insight into the mechanism of the reaction catalyzed by Gnt1. Peptide substrates investigated were Skp1AMyc, the full length protein from strain HW120 that is hydroxylated, but not glycosylated at Pro ${ }^{143}$, and the synthetic 23-mer peptide which has the sequence corresponding to residues 135-155 of Skp1 with 4-Hyp at the center of the peptide.

The $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of an enzyme substrate indicates the affinity of the enzyme for that substrate. Gnt1 exhibits unusually low $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values, in the submicromolar range, for both its natural donor (UDP-GlcNAc) and acceptor (Skp1A-Myc) substrates, a reflection of its location in the cytoplasm. The studies showed that the $K_{m}$ value for the 23 -mer was four orders of magnitude higher compared to that of Skp1A-Myc. While a low $V_{\max }$ value was observed for the 23-mer, the value was within an order of magnitude relative to the full length protein. ${ }^{18}$

Table 4.1: Enzyme kinetics parameters for the glycosyltransferase Gnt1

| Substrate | $K_{m}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $V_{\max }(\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UDP-GIcNAc | 0.16 | 8.0 |
| Skp1A-Myc | 0.56 | 12.6 |
| 23-mer Peptide | 1600 | 4.2 |

The relatively high $K_{m}$ value for the synthetic 23-mer peptide (Table 4.1) indicates a poor binding affinity for the Gnt1 enzyme. Nevertheless, a reasonable $V_{\text {max }}$ value indicates that the oligopeptide has the necessary features to be processed as a substrate. ${ }^{18,104}$ Our hypothesis was that the low binding affinity is due to a lack of secondary structure in the 23-mer. The lack of Gnt1 inhibition by bisubstrate analog 17 (Chapter 2) was another sign that we needed to improve recognition. Affinity for the various enzymes in the Skp1 hydroxylation/glycosylation pathway might be improved for small peptide substrates and inhibitors by constraining residues 143-151 in a helical arrangement, as occurs in the full length protein.

### 4.4 A HBS $\alpha$-Helical Mimetic for the 143-151 Peptide of Skp1

In Skp1, Pro ${ }^{143}$ is located at the $N$-terminus of the $\alpha$-helix with four consecutive Glu residues immediately following Pro (Figure 4.10a). Preceeding Pro ${ }^{143}$ is a segment of random coil. We believe that this Glu-rich helix is likely to be important for binding to the Gnt1 enzyme active site. Additionally, we wanted to include four amino acids in the $C$-terminal direction and six amino acids in the $N$-terminal direction since it is also likely that conformation of the prolyl peptide bond is important for recognition. The amino acid sequence that we attempt to mimic in the $a$-helix is IKNDFTPEEEEQIRK (Figure 4.10b). We initially followed the HBS concept advanced by Arora to emulate the Glu-rich $\alpha$-helix designed in peptide 129.

(b)

(c)

Figure 4.10: (a) Crystal structure of the Skp1 alpha helix of Arabidopsis thaliana, (b) Glu-rich ahelix stabilized by hydrogen bonds (128); (c) $\alpha$-helical mimetic with covalent bonds (129)

### 4.5 Retrosynthetic Analysis of an Arora-Type $\alpha$-Helical Mimetic for Skp1

Our retrosynthetic analysis for the $\alpha$-helix mimetic 129 is depicted in Scheme 4.2. As illustrated, a disconnection has to be made to afford the diene 130, a precursor to ring closing metathesis (RCM) and the oligopeptide fragments 131 and 132. Compound 130 is a linear pseudo-pentapeptide that can be modified to make the initial turn of the helix by applying the HBS approach and invoking the RCM reaction. Fragment 130 can be further disconnected to yield a Pro-Glu dipeptide isostere 133 and a Glu-Glu-Glu tripeptide 134. The $N$-terminal dipeptide isostere 133 can be further disconnected to reveal the $\beta$-ketoester 135 and the triflate ester 136.


Scheme 4.2: Retrosynthetic analysis of HBS system

### 4.6 Synthesis of the C-Terminal Fmoc-QIRK-NH2 Tetrapeptide 132

The arginine building block 139 was synthesized by protecting the $C$-terminus of a commercially available arginine building block 137 as the benzyl ester 138 , followed by removal of the Fmoc protecting group (Scheme 4.3). Starting with commercially available FmocAsn(Trt)OH (140) we prepared the dipeptide acid 142 using the NHS/DCC coupling method. Dipeptide acid 142 and amine 139 were coupled to generate tripeptide 143. The QIR tripeptide 143 was subjected to hydrogenolysis and the free acid was then coupled with lysine building block 144 to give the QIRK oligopeptide 132 in $72 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.3). Both [2+1] and [3+1] peptide couplings to produce QIR and QIRK respectively were achieved utilizing HATU and 2,4,6-collidine. We chose HATU, because it is a highly effective peptide coupling reagent which, used in combination with 2,4,6-collidine, minimizes the potential racemization at $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of the $C$ terminal residue in each carboxyl component. ${ }^{105}$ After reversed phase HPLC purification the identity of Fmoc-QIRK- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (132) was confirmed by mass spectrometry. Further characterization of the tetrapeptide 132 was performed using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and 2D-NMR spectroscopic data.




Scheme 4.3: Synthesis of QIRK peptide 132

### 4.7 Synthesis of Fmoc-IKNDFT-OBn hexapeptide 171

For the synthesis of the Fmoc-Ile-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-Asp(OtBu)-Phe-Thr( ${ }^{(t B u)-O B n}$ oligopeptide our plan was to perform a $[3+3]$ fragment coupling. The synthesis of the two tripeptide fragments will be discussed first.

### 4.7.1 Synthesis of Fmoc-Asp( $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{Thr}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(150)$

We prepared $\left.\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Thr}{ }^{( }{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}$ (146) by protecting the C -terminus of commercially available Fmoc-Thr( ${ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{(B u)-O H} 71$ as the benzyl ester 145, followed by removal of the Fmoc protecting group (Scheme 4.4). For the synthesis of tripeptide 150 we coupled the commercially available aspartic acid 147 with phenylalanine 148 using an NHS/DCC coupling. The dipeptide acid 149 was then coupled to threonine 146 to give tripeptide 150 in good yield.


Scheme 4.4: Synthesis of peptide 150
4.7.2 Synthesis of Fmoc-Ile-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-OBn (157)

The H -Asn(Trt)-OBn (153) was synthesized by protecting the $C$-terminus of a commercially available arginine building block 151 as the benzyl ester 152 , followed by removal of the Fmoc protecting group (Scheme 4.5). To prepare the tripeptide 157, we made the dipeptide acid 156 using NHS/DCC coupling of the commercially available Fmoc-Ile-OH (154) with $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Lys}(\mathrm{Boc})-\mathrm{OH}$ (155). The IK dipeptide acid 156 was then coupled to the asparagine
building block 153 as described above for the assembly of other tripeptides to afford tripeptide 157, in only $14 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4.5: Synthesis of peptide 157

The poor yield could be due to steric hindrance provided by the trityl protecting group of the asparagine side chain. To probe this we tried to couple each "half of the problem" with phenylalanine residues. Specifically, Fmoc-Phe-OH (158) was coupled with H-Asn(Trt)-OBn (153) in reasonable yield. Dipeptide acid 156 was coupled with 160 in low yield (Scheme 4.6). We also coupled the asparagine amine 153 with Fmoc-Ala-Lys(Boc)-OH (162) that was available in our lab from the Mefp1 project, ${ }^{106}$ with the Asn amine 153 to give 163 in $71 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4.6: Model studies

These combined results suggested to us that the isoleucine residue is the culprit in terms of steric hindrance, not the asparagine trityl group. Therefore we prepared the dipeptide 167 (Scheme 4.7) which could be purified by flash chromatography. Even with the amine 166, coupling with isoleucine 154 gave only $11 \%$ of the IK dipeptide 167. We also tried the same coupling reaction using PyBroP as the coupling reagent and DIEA as the base, which gave us a 20\% yield of dipeptide 167.


Scheme 4.7: Synthesis of dipeptide 167

As we were unable to achieve a decent yield for the dipeptide 167, our next strategy was to couple Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH (164) and H-Asn(Tr)-OBn (153) first (Scheme 4.8). This reaction gave 64\% of the Fmoc-KN-OBn dipeptide 168 which was then coupled with Fmoc-Ile-OH (154) to give tripeptide 157 in $31 \%$ yield. This stepwise approach was the most effective way to prepare the tripeptide, although the overall yield still leaves a lot to be desired.


Scheme 4.8: Synthesis of the tripeptide 157

### 4.7.3 [3+3] Fragment coupling

Having synthesized the two tripeptide fragments we next cleaved the benzyl ester of the Fmoc-IKN-OBn tripeptide (157) using standard catalytic hydrogenolysis to get the free acid 169. The removal of the Fmoc protecting group of tripeptide 150 was performed using diethylamine. The synthesis of the Fmoc-IKNDFT-OBn hexapeptide 171 was achieved coupling the free amine 170 with the free acid 169 utilizing HATU as the coupling reagent with collidine as the base (Scheme 4.9).


Scheme 4.9: Synthesis of Fmoc-Ile-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-Asp(OtBu)-Phe-Thr( $\left.{ }^{t}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 7 1})$

### 4.8 The Central Peptidomimetic Fragment: Previous Alkylation of Related $\beta$ Ketoesters

According to our retrosynthetic analysis in Scheme 4.10, our approach to the $\alpha$-helical mimetic 130 involves the coupling of Pro-Glu dipeptide isostere 133 with the Glu-Glu-Glu tripeptide 134. The Pro-Glu dipeptide isostere 133 can be synthesized via alkylation of $\beta$-keto ester 135 with the electrophile 136.


Scheme 4.10: Retrosynthetic analysis of the diene precursor 130

A 1995 report by Hoffman and Kim described a general protocol for the stereoselective synthesis of $\gamma$-keto acids which have an alkyl group at $\mathrm{Ca} .{ }^{48}$ They introduced the alkyl group by stereospecific alkylation using a triflate ester (Scheme 4.11). They reported that optically pure 2triflate esters are good alkylating agents for $\beta$-keto ester enolates and the product $\alpha$-alkyl- $\gamma$-keto esters are generally produced in good yields and high ee's with inversion of configuration. In this report they used both ethyl and tert-butyl $\beta$-keto esters. ${ }^{48}$


Scheme 4.11: Synthesis of $\alpha$-alkyl- $\gamma$-keto esters

Later reports (1998 and 2002) by Hoffman and coworkers used allyl $\beta$-keto esters in preference to tert-butyl $\beta$-keto esters, because allyl $\beta$-keto esters can be cleaved and decarboxylated simultaneously using $\operatorname{Pd}(0) .{ }^{49,107}$ With the $\beta$-keto allyl esters they generated the anion at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and performed the alkylation using both triflate and bromide electrophiles to get the alkylated product in $65-78 \%$ yields with triflate esters and $71-76 \%$ yields with bromides (Scheme 4.12). ${ }^{107-108}$ Reaction with bromide electrophiles required a higher temperature and longer reaction time.


Scheme 4.12: Synthesis of $\alpha$-alkyl- $\gamma$-keto esters

Since this pioneering work by Hoffman a number of examples of this reaction have been reported (Table 4.2). All four groups reported a similar approach for the alkylation of the $\beta$-keto ester, viz. the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ nucleophilic substitution of the triflate by the sodium carbanion.

Table 4.2: Alkylation of $\beta$-keto esters


|  | $\beta$-Keto Ester | Triflate | Product | Yield \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Worland and coworkers ${ }^{109}$ |  <br> 173 |  |  | 54 |
| Wong and coworkers ${ }^{110}$ |  |  |  | 71 |
| Kobayashi and coworkers ${ }^{111}$ |  $179$ |  |  | 71 |
| Laronze and coworkers ${ }^{112}$ |  |  |  <br> 183 | 65 |

### 4.9 Synthesis of Triflate 136

L-Glutamic acid (184) was converted to the lactone 185 by deamination of glutamic acid, via the diazonium salt. The free side chain acid was subsequently protected as a tert-butyl ester. ${ }^{113}$ Hydrolysis of lactone 185 with potassium hydroxide gave the potassium carboxylate salt that was reacted with allyl bromide to give 187 (Scheme 4.13).


Scheme 4.13: Synthesis of triflate ester 136

To convert the alcohol 187 into the corresponding triflate 136 we initially treated 187 with neat triflic anhydride in pyridine as solvent. The tert-butyl ester was cleaved under these conditions. We subsequently used a solution of triflic anhydride in dichloromethane and 2,6lutidine as the base (Scheme 4.13). ${ }^{109-110}$ Formation of 136 under these conditions was confirmed by TLC, but compound 136 was not stable to prolonged exposure to silica gel during column chromatography.

### 4.10 Synthesis of the $\beta$-Ketoester Dipeptide Isostere

Synthesis of $\beta$-keto-ester 135 was initially investigated by combining imidazolide 189 with the lithium enolate of allyl acetate 191. We observed Fmoc cleavage under these conditions, perhaps due to free diisopropylamine. We changed the proline Na protecting group to carbobenzyloxy (Cbz) and successfully transformed commercially available 188 into $\beta$-ketoester 135 by converting 188 to the corresponding acylimidazolide. Subsequent displacement
with the lithium enolate of allyl acetate afforded $\beta$-keto ester 135 (Scheme 4.14). This acylation can be conducted on 600 mg scale.


Scheme 4.14: Synthesis of $\beta$-keto-ester 135

To introduce the alkyl group at $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of the $\beta$-keto ester 135 our initial effort was directed towards the generation of the carbanion of 135 and $S_{N} 2$ reaction with triflate 136. Triflate 136 should react stereospecifically with the nucleophilic anion generated from 135 to give the inverted configuration.

Since we were unable to perform chromatographic purification of triflate ester 136 the product was subjected directly to condensation with the sodium anion of 135 (Scheme 4.15). We did not observe the formation of 133, but after flash chromatography we were able to recover alcohol 187 and 135. If the solution of 136 was acidic, that could lead to the quenching of the anion derived from 135. We also tried the condensation of 136 with the lithium enolate of 135 derived by treatment with LDA, but again we recovered 187 and 135.




Scheme 4.15: Attempted Synthesis of 133

We also synthesized the mesylate 192 and iodide 193 (Scheme 4.16) as these are stable to silica and could be purified. The alkylation utilizing these two electrophiles was also unsuccessful.


187

(99\%)

reflux


192



Scheme 4.16: Synthesis of electrophiles 192 and 193

We tried different reaction conditions and different electrophiles for the alkylation of 135, as summarized in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Conditions used for the alkylation of 135

| Electrophile / Number of equivalents | Base / Number of equivalents | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triflate 136 / 1.2 Triflate 136 / 1.2 Triflate 136 / 1.2 | $\mathrm{NaH} / 1.2$ <br> NaH / 2.2 <br> LDA / 5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 0-RT } \\ -20 \\ -20 \end{gathered}$ | Recovered 187 and 135 after flash chromatography |
| Mesylate 192 / 1.2 Mesylate 192 / 1.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LDA / } 3 \\ & \text { LDA / } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -78 \\ -78-R T \end{gathered}$ | Couldn't recover individual starting as they have the same $R_{f}$ and are inseparable |
| Iodide 193 / 1.5 Iodide 193 / 1.5 lodide 193 / 1.5 | $\mathrm{NaH} / 1.2$ LDA/ 5 <br> LiHMDS/ 2.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0-RT } \\ & -78 \\ & -78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recovered } 187 \text { and } \\ & 135 \text { after flash } \\ & \text { chromatography } \end{aligned}$ |

Since we were unable to achieve this alkylation we were in doubt about the formation of the anion from 135. To check the formation of the anion we attempted methylation of the sodium anion of 135 and isolated the methylated 194 in 13\% yield (Scheme 4.17).



Scheme 4.17: Synthesis of 194

In all our attempts we added sodium hydride to a solution of compound $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ in THF. As we got a very low yield for the methylation we next tried adding a solution of 135 to a suspension of sodium hydride in THF followed by the addition of methyliodide. We observed mostly the dimethylated product 195 (12\%) (Scheme 4.18) and very little of the monomethylated product. Lowering the reaction temperature to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not help.



## Scheme 4.18: Methylation of 135

We reproduced a literature example of the Hoffman alkylation (Scheme 4.19) using commercially available reagents using the same reaction conditions and exact same scale (1.45 mmol ) as they reported and we were able to get 198 in $42 \%$ yield over two steps, which is close to their reported yield (44\%).


Scheme 4.19: Alkylation of 196

Since we were able to successfully execute these protocols on a 1.45 mmol scale we tried the alkylation of our actual substrate (Scheme 4.20) utilizing the same reaction conditions with 250 mg scale. We were able to get the desired product 133 in $6-8 \%$ yield. Earlier we had been conducting this alkylation in 0.1 mmol scale and this was apparently too small to be effective.


Scheme 4.20: Alkylation of 135

We decided to abandon the synthesis of the $\alpha$-helical mimetic using the Arora Group's approach because we couldn't improve the yield of the alkylation reaction (Scheme 4.20) to make 133 in reasonable quantities for elaboration to the HBS mimetic

### 4.11 Ethylene Isostere Approach of Vernall et al. for an $\alpha$-Helical Mimetic for the 143151 Peptide of Skp1

Our second approach to an $\alpha$-helical mimetic for the 143-151 peptide featured the strategy of Vernall et al. (Scheme 4.21). ${ }^{100}$ As described in §4.2.3, they replaced the internal hydrogen bond with a covalent ethylene bridge in the $\alpha$-helical mimetic.

### 4.11.1 Synthesis of an $\alpha$-Helical Mimetic by Vernall et al.

The strategy of Vernall et al. was to preorganize the helical turn by synthesizing a cyclic pentapeptide mimetic. They incorporated a hexapeptide 200 (H-ASNLTWG-OH) at the N terminus and a tetrapeptide 202 (H-PHAV- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ) at the C -terminus of the cyclic pentapeptide
mimetic 201 (Scheme 4.21). According to their retrosynthetic analysis the first two disconnections are these amide bonds. The following disconnection of the pentapeptide mimetic 201 was the amide bond between tyrosine and leucine to reveal protected linear pseudo pentapeptide 203. The second disconnection led to reductive amination precursors 204 and 205. Tripeptide 204 was accessible via acylation of an amine derived from 206, followed by a swap of Cbz group for Fmoc. Compound 206 was further disconnected to reveal ketone 208 that would arise form conjugated addition of amine 210 to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 209 (Scheme 4.21).




Scheme 4.21: Retrosynthetic analysis $\alpha$-helical mimetic for Galanin 1-16

Our new retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 4.22) was based on the strategy detailed by Vernall et al. (Scheme 4.21). Disconnection of the $N$ - and $C$-terminal peptide fragments give the same $N$-terminal hexapeptide 131 and the C-terminal tetrapeptide 132 fragments that we had already prepared in our efforts toward the Arora-type HBS. Our next disconnection was the amide bond between the $i+1$ and $i+2$ residues to give the pseudo pentapeptide 212. Disconnection of the C-N bond in 212 will give the linear Pro-Glu-Glu-Glu tetrapeptide isostere 213 which can be further simplified to reveal Pro-Glu dipeptide isostere 216 and Glu-Glu dipeptide 215. The dipeptide isostere can be simplified to afford conjugate addition precursors

## 217 and 218.



Scheme 4.22: Retrosynthetic analysis of second generation $\alpha$-helical mimetic with a saturated ethylene bridge

### 4.11.2 Synthesis of the Dipeptide Isostere 216

The synthesis of the dipeptide isostere 216 began with the commercially available CbzHyp( $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (188). This was converted to Weinreb amide 219 which was then treated with vinylmagnesium bromide to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 217 (Scheme 4.23).


Scheme 4.23: Synthesis of 217

Initially, Grignard addition of vinyl magnesium bromide to Weinreb amide 219 gave us vinyl ketone 217 in low yield (40-45\%). The experimental procedure for the analogous transformation in the work of Vernall et al. called for the quenching of the reaction with 1 M HCl solution. In our case the major product isolated from this mixture was compound 220, arising from conjugate addition of liberated $N, O$-dimethyl hydroxylamine to the desired product, $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone 217 (Scheme 4.24).




Scheme 4.24: Conjugate addition to 217

To minimize the formation of compound 220 we quenched the reaction mixture with acetic anhydride, which trapped the released $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethyl hydroxylamine. For large scale reactions it was hard to get rid of excess acetic anhydride in the workup. We tried several different workup conditions to optimize this reaction. The best we could achieve is $85 \%$ by dropwise addition of the reaction mixture to an equal volume of an ice cold mixture of 1 M HCl and acetic anhydride.

We prepared H -Glu( $\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-OMe (218) by protecting the C -terminus of commercially available Fmoc-Glu(OBu)-OH (221) as the methyl ester 222, followed by removal of the Fmoc protecting group. The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 217 was subjected to the conjugate addition with amine 218 to give 216 in 95\% yield (Scheme 4.25).





Scheme 4.25: Synthesis of 216

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound 216 shows that the molecule exists as a $3: 2$ mixture of rotamers. Without an amide bond C-terminal to the "proline" of compound 216, there is a much weaker backbone stereoelectronic effect that arises due to the $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction of the between the oxygen lone pair of the $N$-terminal amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ( n , nonbonding) and the antibonding orbital $\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ of the following $C$-terminal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ (Figure 4.9). This $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction is weaker for a C terminal amide than an ester ${ }^{65}$ since the carbonyl carbon is less electrophilic. In compound 216 bearing a keto this $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction is weaker still.


Figure 4.11: The $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ interaction
4.11.3 Synthesis of the Tetrapeptide Isostere 213

For elaboration to the tetrapeptide isostere 213 our initial plan was to couple the secondary amine 216 with $\mathrm{Fmoc-Glu}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(215)$. We prepared the dipeptide 215 using a standard NHS, DCC coupling (Scheme 4.26). Initially we tried the dipeptide segment coupling using HATU and collidine, conditions that should minimize the potential racemization. ${ }^{105}$ Under these conditions we didn't observe the formation of 213. When we used DIEA as the base in the coupling reaction the tetrapeptide mimetic 213 was obtained in very low yield (11-14\%). We also tried PyBroP as the coupling reagent with DIEA, which also gave 223 in 14\% yield.



Scheme 4.26: Synthesis of 213

As we worked to improve the coupling of dipeptide acid 215 with the secondary amine 216 we simultaneously investigated coupling one Glu residue at a time (Scheme 4.27) by analogy to Vernall et al. First, we tried HATU as the coupling reagent but that only give us 20\% of the tripeptide mimetic 223. We also tried PyBroP coupling reagent and that gave us 223 in 60\% yield. When we used a mixture of HATU and PyBroP we were able to get 223 in $77 \%$ yield. Bizarrely, neither reagent alone gave such good results.


Scheme 4.27: Synthesis of 224

Next we removed the Fmoc protecting group from 223 and attempted the coupling of the resulting free amine with Alloc-Glu( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (224) (Scheme 4.28). This reaction didn't give us the desired 225 and we observed fragmentation of tripeptide mimetic 225; the exact nature of the fragment products were not established.


Scheme 4.28: Attempted synthesis of tetrapeptide mimetic

We thought this might be due to nucleophilic attack of the free amine derived from 223 at the ketone carbonyl. Therefore, after Fmoc deprotection of 223 and chromatography, we acidified relavant fractions to generate a hydrochloride salt. This did not give any improvement.

We also prepared the Boc-protected tripeptide isostere 227 (Scheme 4.29). The Boc deprotection was attempted using methanolic hydrogenchloride and the free amine was then treated with Alloc-Glu( ${ }^{t}{ }^{\text {Bu }}$ )-OH (224) for the coupling (Scheme 4.29). This gave us a gluey mixture of products which could not be purified using chromatography.


Scheme 4.29: Attempted synthesis of tetrapeptide mimetic 213

As we struggled with attachment of the Glu-Glu dipeptide 215 to isostere 216 we began to simultaneously explore the reductive amination of the ketone 216 with amine 239 using sodiumtriacetoxyborohydride in the presence of triethylamine as the base (Scheme 4.30). We prepared $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$-OAll (229) by protecting the $C$-terminus of 221 as the allyl ester (228), followed by removal of the Fmoc protecting group. Reductive amination of $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ with $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ gave us product $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ in reasonable yield. With this promising result we next tried the reductive amination of tripeptide isostere 223 (Scheme 4.30). Even after five days after the addition of sodiumtriacetoxyborohydride we did not observe the formation of 231, but recovered the starting materials 223 and 229.

According to these results, the reductive amination is working in the presence of a secondary amine ( $\mathbf{2 1 6} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 3 0}$, Scheme 4.30). Intramolecular condensation (Scheme 4.30, blue arrows) is apparently not a concern since it would lead to four membered ring. Moreover, this probably reflects the hindered/non-nucleophilic nature of the secondary amine.


Scheme 4.30: Reductive amination

Next we tried the reductive amination of 216 with tripeptide amine $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glu}(\mathrm{O} t \mathrm{Bu})$ $\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}(\mathbf{2 3 3})$. We prepared tripeptide 233 by coupling amine 229 to dipeptide 215 followed by Fmoc deprotection. We were able to get the desired product 234 for the reductive amination in 14\% yield (Scheme 4.31).


Scheme 4.31: Reductive amination of 216

### 4.11.4 Peptide cyclization

Success of cyclization reactions is based on the choice of the coupling reagents and the solvent. Most commonly used coupling reagents are HATU, Benzotriazol-1yloxy)tris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP), benzotriazol-1-yloxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP), pentafluorophenyl diphenylphosphinate (FDPP) and EDC. The most commonly used solvents for peptide cyclizations are dimethylformamide and dichloromethane. To minimize epimerization at $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{\alpha}$ of the C-terminal residue and cyclodimer formation, cyclization is typically carried out under highly dilute conditions. ${ }^{114}$

In 2008 Maulucci et al. reported the synthesis of nine (241), twelve (242) and eighteen (243) membered cyclic $\alpha$-peptoids by cyclization of secondary amines 238-240 (Scheme 4.32). ${ }^{115}$



Scheme 4.32: Cyclization of $\alpha$-Peptoids

They treated the linear peptoids 235-237 with lithium hydroxide to deprotect the acid and hydrogenchloride to remove the Boc group. They utilized dimethylformamide as the solvent in
high dilution conditions $\left(2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and a variety of coupling reagents for the macrocyclization of 238-240. The best yield for the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ was obtained using HATU (15\% yield). They reported that the cyclization of $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ was easy to achieve using either PyBOP of FDPP and they were able to get the twelve membered ring peptoid 242 in $65 \%$ yield and eighteen membered ring peptoid 243 in 97\% yield.

In 2007, Kirshenbaum and coworkers reported the cyclization of oligomers that have terminal secondary amines to synthesize peptoids ${ }^{116}$ (Scheme 4.33). They also synthesized peptoids with various chain lengths. They reported that the cyclization of these linear oligomers was efficient using PyBOP as the coupling reagent and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine base. However they observed that the cyclization of oligomers shorter than a hexamer gave poor yields due to ring strain. They conducted the macrocyclization in dimethylformamide and used moderately dilute conditions ( $0.6-3.0 \mathrm{mM}$ ).


Scheme 4.33: Cyclization of peptoids

For the synthesis of the cyclic pentapeptide mimetic Vernall et al. ${ }^{100}$ treated the linear pseudo pentapeptide 203 with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ and barbaturic acid to simultaneously remove the Allyl and Alloc protecting groups and the cyclization was carried out using BOP as the coupling reagent and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine as the base (Scheme 4.34).




Scheme 4.34: Cyclization of 202
4.11.5 Cyclization of the tetrapeptide mimetic 234

Cyclization of the linear tetrapeptide mimetic 234 can be achieved by removing the allyl ester protecting group utilizing $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ and coupling of the resulting free acid with the secondary amine next to the ethylene bridge. This cyclization step will be another challenging step in the synthesis as the targeted amine is a hindered secondary amine. The cyclization of the 234 will result in a 13 membered ring.

With limited quantities of compound 234 in hand next we removed the allyl ester protecting group using tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) and dimedone. This reaction was performed on $3-5 \mathrm{mg}$ scale. Initially, the resulting free acid 252 was directly taken to carry out the cyclization reaction. First we tried the cyclization under very dilute conditions, using HATU and collidine with dichloromethane as the solvent (Scheme 4.35). We ran the reaction for 2-3 days and checked the formation of $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ by ESI-MS. The molecular ion peak of $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ was not disenable in the mass spectrum but the molecular ion peak corresponding to the free acid 252 was present. We tried to purify the reaction mixture using reversed phase HPLC. The purification was difficult due to the byproducts including triphenylphosphine traces. Nothing isolated from this protocol showed much promise in terms of compound 253 according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.

In subsequent reactions we took the time to purify acid 252 by flash chromatography prior to cyclization. We utilized dichloromethane and dimethylfrrmamide solvent mixture and HOBt with EDC coupling reagent and diisopropylethylamine as the base. After four days we recovered starting material 252 but not the desired product $\mathbf{2 5 3}$. We next tried the cyclization of 252 using dimethylformamide as the sole solvent and EDC as the coupling reagent in the presence of HOBt and triethylamine. We carried out the reaction on same scale under moderately dilute conditions. After 2 days we were able to isolate a new compound that is less polar than the starting acid 253 that appears to be the desired compound 253 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.



Scheme 4.35: Cyclization to produce 253

### 4.12 Experimantal Section

### 4.12.1 Synthetic Procedures



Cesium carbonate ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Arg(NHPbf)-OH (137) (300 mg, 0.46, 1.0 equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then 2 h at RT . The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in DMF ( 3 mL ). Benzyl bromide ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to the mixture and stirring continued overnight. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and washed with water ( 30 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified using a flash column eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to yield Fmoc-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (138) as a colorless foam (170 mg, 90\%). $R_{f} 0.43$ (2:1 EtOAc:hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), $4.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-6.03(\mathrm{br}$ m, 2H), 7.24-7.31 (m, 7H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.7,18.2,19.5,25.2,28.8,30.5,40.9,43.4,47.3,67.4$, $67.7,86.6,117.7,120.2,124.9,125.3,127.3,128.0,128.6,128.8,128.9,132.5,133.1,135.1$, 138.6, 141.5, 156.2, 158.9, 172.5. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 739.3160$; obsd: 739.3174.

$N$-Hydroxysuccinimide ( $75.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-OH (140) (400 mg, $0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 10 mins , then DCC ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added and stirring continued for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to approximately 1 mL and placed in the freezer for 2 h . The mixture was refiltered, concentrated and dried. The residue was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ), H -Ile-OH ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added followed by the addition of DIEA ( $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.). The mixture was stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ), washed with 1 M HCl . The aqueous layer was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give Fmoc-GIn(Tr)-Ile-OH (142) (470 mg, 100\%) that was used directly in the next step, without purification. $R_{f} 0.38\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$

Diethylamine ( 1 mL ) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (138) (120 mg, $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in dry acetonitrile ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h , concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 EtOAc to remove the Fmocbiproducts and 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathrm{NHPbf})-\mathrm{OBn}(139)(83 \mathrm{mg})$. A solution of $\mathrm{H}-$ Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (139) ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-OH (142) (117 mg, $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. Collidine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv.) and HATU ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 3:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to give 143 (128 mg, 65\%, over 2
steps). The purity of the tripeptide was further conformed by HPLC (Econosil C-18 column, 4.6 mm diameter, 250 mm long) using flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. The isocratic method was used ( $60 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40 \%$ acetonitrile). The tetrapeptide 143 was detected by UV absorption at 218 and 254 nm $\left(R_{T}=11 \mathrm{~min}\right) R_{f} 0.42$ (3:1 EtOAc:hexanes). [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-0.097$ (c 1.3, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 0.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (s, 6H), 1.55-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.69-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.88 (apt. d, J = $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.37$2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (s, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.50-4.58 (br. s, 1H), 5.06 ( $2 x d, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.76 (br. s, 1H), 5.95 (br, s, 1H), 6.18 (br, s, 1H), 7.15-7.35 (m, 24H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.2,12.7,15.5,18.2,19.5,25.05,25.14,25.8,28.8$, 29.6, 33.6, 34.0, 36.7, 40.4, 43.4, 47.2, 51.6, 54.3, 58.3, 67.2, 67.4, 70.8, 86.4, 117.5, 120.1, 124.6, 125.3, 127.2, 127.3, 127.9, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 132.3, 133.5, 135.3, 138.4, 141.4, 143.8, 144.0, 144.4, 144.5, 156.3, 156.6, 158.7, 171.5, 171.9, 172.7. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{71} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 1222.5682 ;$ obsd: 1222.5688 .


Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-Lys-(Boc)-NH2 (132)

Palladium on carbon ( $10 \%, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in one portion to a solution of Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (143) (200 mg, $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet. The filtrate was concentrated to give Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-OH (154 mg, 83\%). This was used to directly in the next reaction.

Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-OH ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{HCl}$ salt of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Lys}(\mathrm{Boc})-\mathrm{NH}_{2}(144)(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added followed by the addition of HATU ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) and collidine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 32$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv.) the reaction mixture stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was concentrated and the tetrapeptide isolated using flash column chromatography, eluting with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 132 as a colorless foam of (178 mg, 78\%). For the purpose of characterization Fmoc-QIRK- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ was further purified by injecting 5 mg of sample on RP-HPLC (Econosil C-18 column, 10 mm diameter, 250 mm long) using flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. The gradient method used was as follows (\% acetonitrile in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 40-95\% over 20 min; 95-40\% over $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 40 \%$ for 5 min . The tetrapeptide was detected by UV absorption at 218 and $254 \mathrm{~nm}\left(R_{T}=\right.$ $24 \mathrm{~min})$. The relevant fractions were combined and freezdried to give trace amounts of 132 as a white solid. $R_{f} 0.58\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-0.025$ (c 0.35, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ MHz ) $\delta 0.81$ (apt. d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.86 (apt. d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.93-0.97$ (br. m, 3H), 1.071.17 (br. m, 2H), 1.30 (apt. s, 2H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.69-1.75 (br. s, 8H), 1.77-1.88 (br. s, 2H), 1.90-1.98 (br. m, 2H), 2.11(s, 3H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 2.51 (apt. s, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 3.03 (s, 2H), 3.11-3.19 (br. m, 2H), 4.08 (app. d, J = $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.12 (br. s, 1H), 4.20-4.49 (br. m, 4H), 4.75 (br. s, 4.75), 5.56 (app. d, J = $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.92 (br.s, 1H), 6.38 (1H), 7.19-7.61 ( $\mathrm{m}, 26 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.3,12.7,15.7,18.2,19.6$, 23.8, 25.5, 28.7, 28.8, 31.6, 33.9, 36.3, 40.8, 43.5, 47.3, 53.3, 54.2, 54.9, 55.1, 67.4, 70.9, 79.7, 86.6, 117.7, 120.2, 124.8, 125.3, 125.4, 127.3, 128.0, 128.2, 128.8, 132.4, 138.4, 141.5, 143.9, $144.0,144.3,144.4,144.5,156.9,157.5,158.9,172.0,172.1,172.9,173.4,175.2$. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{95} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 1359.6846; obsd: 1359.6863.


Fmoc-Thr( $\left.{ }^{\text {TBu }}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(145)$

Cesium carbonate ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc$\operatorname{Thr}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(71)\left(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75,1.0\right.$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reaction mixture stirred 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then 1 h at RT . The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in DMF ( 3 mL ). Benzyl bromide ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to the mixture and stirred overnight. The mixture was then diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and washed with water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified using a flash column eluting with 3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to yield Fmoc-Thr-OBn (145) as a colorless oil (87\%). $R_{f} 0.47$ (3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 8.1 \mathrm{H})$ [1.02 (s, 0.9 H)], 1.21 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2.7 H) [1.14 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 0.3H)], 4.22-4.23 (m, 2H), 4.31 (apt. d, J = 1.76 Hz, 1H), $4.34(d d, J=10.5,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.9 \mathrm{H})[5.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.1 \mathrm{H})$ ], $7.27-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 21.0,28.4,28.5,47.3,60.1,67.4,67.5,74.2,120.1,125.2,125.3,127.2$, 127.8, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 135.2, 141.4, 143.9, 144.2, 156.9, 171.1.


Fmoc-Asp( $\left.{ }^{t}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{Thr}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 5 0 )}$
$N$-Hydroxysuccinimide ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc$\operatorname{Asp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(147)$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 10 mins , then DCC ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added and stirring continued for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to approximately 1 mL and placed in the freezer for 2 h . The mixture was refiltered, concentrated and dried. The residue was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ). Phenylalanine ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added followed by the addition of DIEA ( $128 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). The reaction was stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and
washed with 1 M HCl . The aqueous layer was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give Fmoc-Asp( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{OH}$ (149) 411 mg that was used in subsequent reactions, without purification. $R_{f} 0.30\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.
$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Thr}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right) \mathrm{OBn}(146)(153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DMF ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Asp( ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-Phe-OH ( $355 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in DMF ( 2 mL ). Collidine ( $167 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 153 \mathrm{mg}, 01.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and HATU ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under $N_{2}$, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 EtOAc:hexanes to give $150(365 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$, over 2 steps). $R_{f} 0.65$ ( $1: 1$ EtOAc:hexanes). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-0.006(c 0.70, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $14 \mathrm{H}), 7.60($ app. d, $\mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 20.9$, 28.1, 28.4, 37.6, 38.4, 47.2, 51.2, 54.7, 58.1, 60.5, 67.4, 74.2, 81.9, 120.1, 125.2, 127.0, 127.2, 127.9, 128.7, 129.6, 135.2, 136.5, 141.4, 143.8, 143.9, 156.1, 170.3, 170.3, 170.9, 171.0. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 806.4011$; obsd: 806.4020.


Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-Asn-OBn (168)

H-Asn(Trt)-OBn (153) (207 mg, $0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH (164) ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 mL ).

Triethylamine ( $126 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv.) and HATU ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv.) were added sequntially. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight inder nitrogen, concentrated and applied to aflash column eluting with 1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-OBn (168) (196 mg, 64\%). $R_{f} 0.51$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 1.23-136 (m, 4H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79$ (br. s, 1H), 2.99 (br. s, 1H), 3.08-3.14 (m, 2H), 4.07-4.10 (m, 1H), 4.18 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.63 (br. $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 22.4,28.6,29.6,32.8,38.2,40.1,47.3$, 49.2, 54.8, 67.2, 67.8, 71.2, 79.2, 120.1, 125.4, 127.3, 127.4, 127.9, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 128.8, 135.3, 141.5, 144.0, 144.1, 144.4, 156.2.


Fmoc-Ile-Lyc(Boc)-Asn-OBn (157)

Diethylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-Asn-OBn (168) (196 mg, 0.21 mmol ) in dry acetonitrile ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and concentrated again four times with acetonitrile to give H-Lys(Boc)-Asn-OBn (148 mg). This was used in the next step without further purification.

Fmoc-lle-OH (154) ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was added to a solution of H -Lys(Boc)-Asn-OBn (148 mg, $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 mL ) followed by the addition of 2,4,6-collidine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and HATU ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to yield Fmoc-Ile-

Lys(Boc)-Asn-OBn (157) (31\%). $\quad R_{f} 0.30$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.56-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.89 (m, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}$, 1H), 4.85-4.91 (m, 1H), $5.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.31 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{apt} \mathrm{~d},. \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.11,2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.5,15.7,22.3,25.0,28.6,29.4,32.8,37.6,38.1$, 40.1, 47.3, 49.1, 52.9, 59.9, 67.2, 67.7, 71.1, 79.1, 119.9, 120.1, 121.2, 125.4, 127.3, 127.4, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.3, 141.4, 143.9, 144.2, 144.4, 144.5, 156.2,156.5, 169.8, 170.7, 171.4. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 1028.5168$; obsd: 1028.5162.


Fmoc-Ile-Lyc(Boc)-Asn-Asp(OtBu)-Ph-Thr-OBn (171)

Fmoc-lle-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-OH (169): Palladium on carbon (10\%, 20 mg ) was added in one portion to a solution of Fmoc-Ile-Lys(Boc)-Asn(Trt)-OBn (157) (32 mg, $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and. The reaction flask was evacuated, then opened to an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtering through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a Pasteur pipet, washing well with MeOH . The filtrate was concentrated to give Fmoc-lle-Lys(Boc)-Asn-OH. This was used to directly in the coupling reaction.

H-Asp(OtBu)-Phe-Thr(OtBu)-OBn (170): Diethylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of FmocAsp( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{Thr}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(150)(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry acetonitrile ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and again
concentrated four times with acetonitrile to give $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Asp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 7 0})$. This was used in the coupling reaction without further purification. $R_{f} 0.59\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$

Coupling reaction: Collidine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and HATU ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) were added to a solution of Fmoc-lle-Lys(Boc)-Asn-OH (169) ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in DMF (1 mL). A solution of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Asp}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{Thr}-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 7 0})(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1 mL ) was added to the DMF mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen, concentrated and diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ). The organic layer washed with brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 EtOAc:Hexanes then with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give hexapeptide 171 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%) . R_{f} 0.45\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.80-$ $0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.99-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.16-2.34 (m, 4H), 2.52-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.90-3.15 (m, 3H), 3.58-3.69 (m, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.06-4.24 (m, 2H), 4.38-4.51 (m, 1H), 4.64-4.76 (m, 3H), $5.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-$ $5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.56-6.60 (m, 1H), 7.17-7.44 (m, 33H).


A solution of sodium nitrite ( $1.41,20.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in water $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 15 min to a stirred mixture of D-Glutamic acid ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in dioxane: 1 M HCl ( 5 $\mathrm{mL}: 16 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) maintaining the internal temperature at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion of addition the ice bath was removed, the mixture was warmed to RT and stirred overnight. The mixture was concentrated, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and filtered to remove the white solid. The filtrate was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 27.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ ) was added.

In a separate two-neck flask isobutylene ( 40 mL ) was condensed at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The main reaction contents were then transferred over to the two-neck flask over 15 min and stirred 4 d . the reaction mixture was concentrated diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with sat' aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 185 (1.1 g, 43\% over 2 steps). $R_{f} 0.50$ (1:1 EtOAc: Hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 26.1,27.0,28.2$, 83.4, 169.2, 176.4.


Alcohol 187

Aqueous potassium hydroxide ( $1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 8 5}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86$ mmol, 1 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane ( 1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at rt and freeze-dried. The residue was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Allyl bromide ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 125 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added and the mixture stirred overnight at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and washed with water ( 40 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 hexanes:EtOAc to give 187 ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.66$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=17.2,3.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87-5.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.0,29.5,29.7,65.1,69.6,82.5,118.1,132.2,172.8$, 173.9. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 267.1208$; obsd: 267.1247 .


Compound 135

Carbonyldiimidazole ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68,1.1$ equiv.) was added to a solution of proline 188 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. In a separate flask allyl acetate ( $335 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 310 \mathrm{mg}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) was added to a freshly prepared LDA ( $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 1 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 min. The activated acid mixture was added dropwise to the allyl acetate, LDA mixture over 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen and quenched with 0.5 M HCl (3 drops from pipette). The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated. The reside was applied to a flash column eluting with 3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give $\beta$-keto-ester 135 (217 mg, 84\%). $R_{f} 0.26\left(1: 3\right.$ EtOAc: Hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16$ [1.17] (s, 9H), 2.04-2.19 (m, 2H), 3.32 [3.55] (dd, J = 10.8, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.7(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 4.25(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23-5.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 5.84-5.95 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.31 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.4,36.7$ [37.8], 47.1 [46.1], 3.9 [54.7], 64.1, 66.1, 67.3 [67.5], 69.5 [68.6], 74.5 [74.4], 119.0 [119.1], 128.0, 128.3, $128.4,128.7,128.8,131.7,131.8,136.6,155.6$ [154.4], 167.0 [166.6], 202.7 [202.4].


Dipeptide isostere 133

To a stirred suspension in of $\mathrm{NaH}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 1 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\beta$-keto ester 135 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 1 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min under nitrogen maintaining the temperature at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In a separate flask triflate 136 was prepared by adding 2,6-lutidine ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69$ mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to a solution of alcohol 187 ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min under nitrogen. The mixture was added
dropwise to the NaH and $\beta$-keto ester mixture. The reaction mixture gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 3.5 h under nitrogen. The reaction was quenched by adding 0.5 M HCl ( 3 drops from pipette), concentrated and diluted with EtOAc ( 15 mL ). The organic layer washed with 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column with 3:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 133 (49 mg, 11\%). $R_{f} 0.69$ (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.18$ [1.19] (s, 9H), 1.51 (s, 9H), 1.67-1.70 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~h}), 2.39-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33[3.42](\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.7, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23[4.27](\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-$ $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.84[4.77](\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 25.1$, 25.4, 25.7, 28.5, 33.4, 33.7, 33.8, 33.8, 38.3 [38.9], 54.0 [54.5], 56.0, 60.9, 61.0 [61.1], 66.3, $66.9,67.2,67.6,68.6,69.5,74.3,119.1$ [119.2], 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 130.3, 131.6, 131.9, 132.7, 136.1 [136.9], 153.7, 155.0, 172.7. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{10}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 644.3356; obsd: 644.3395.


Cbz-Hyp( ${ }^{\text {t Bu }}$ )-a-enylcarbamate 217

N,O-Dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Cbz-Hyp( $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (188) ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DMF ( 3 mL ) followed by the addition of HBTU ( $425 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and DIEA ( $328 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 243 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen. The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in EtOAc ( 20 mL ), washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat'd aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give Weinreb amide (219) (322 mg) that was used directly in the next step, without purification. $R_{f} 0.33$ (1:1 EtOAc:Hexanes).

Vinyl magnesium bromide ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added in two portions over 1 h to a solution of Weinreb amide 219 ( $322 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h under nitrogen maintaining the temperature at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched by adding the reaction mixture dropwise to a solution of acetic anhydride ( 5 mL ) and acetic acid $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The combined solution was washed with water ( 30 mL ) and the organic layer separated and washed with 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 217 (248 mg, 85\%). $R_{f} 0.56$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16$ (s, $9 H$ ), 1.94-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.08-2.20 (m, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J = 10.7, 5.2 Hz, 0.5H) [3.44 (dd, J = 11.04, $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})], 3.74-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})[4.83(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$ ], $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.81(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.82$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.25-6.53 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.36 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.5,37.1$ [38.2], 53.7 [54.6], 62.0 [62.2], 67.3, 68.7 [69.5], 74.3, 74.4 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 128.7, 130.1, 130.2, 132.5, 133.4, 136.9 [136.5], 155.2 [154.5], 198.7 [198.6]. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 354.1649 ;$ obsd: 354.1667 .


A solution mixture of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$-OMe (218) ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) and DIEA ( $166 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of 217 in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at RT under nitrogen, concentrated and the residue applied to a flash column eluting with 1:2 EtOAc:Hexanes and then with 1:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to give the dipeptide mimetic 216 ( $236 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.36$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
2.29 (apt. p, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.43-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.62-2.71 (m, 2H), 2.73-2.79 [2.90-2.96] (m, 1H), 3.14 [3.23] (dd, $J=7.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (dd, $J=10.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$ ) [3.43 (dd, $J=10.8$, $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$ ], $3.68-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46$ (app.dd, $\mathrm{J}=8.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H})[4.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})], 5.01-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 28.3,28.5,28.6,32.1$ [32.0], 36.7 [37.7], 40.9 [40.1], 42.7 [42.5], 52.1, 53.9 [54.7], 61.1, 63.8, 67.4 [67.5], 69.5 [68.7], 74.3 [74.4], 80.5, 80.6, 128.1, 128.4, 128.7, 136.8, 155.3, 155.4, 172.6, 175.4. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 549.3170; obsd: 549.3185.


Tripeptide mimetic 223

A solution of Fmoc-Glu-OH (221) ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of 216 ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by the addition of DIEA ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) and HATU ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days under $N_{2}$, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to give 223 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). $R_{f} 0.28$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.16[1.17](\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ [1.45] (s, 18H), 1.71$1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.79$ [2.91-2.97] (m, 2H), 3.24 [3.15] (app.dd, $J=6.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39-3.42 (m, 0.9H) [3.31 (dd, $J=10.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.1 \mathrm{H})$ ], 3.49-3.65 (m, 1.8 H) [3.44 (app. t, J = 6.1 Hz, 0.2 H)], 3.69-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 4.20-4.25 (m, 2H), 4.35 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.44-4.57 (m, 1H), 5.00-5.16 (m, 2H), 5.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.41 (m, 9H), 7.60 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 24.2,26.1,27.9,28.2,28.3,28.4,30.9,31.9$ [31.8], 36.5 [37.7], 40.7 [39.9], 42.5 [42.3], 46.1 [46.5], 47.3, 51.9 [51.8], 53.7 [54.5], 60.9, 63.6, 67.1, 67.2 [67.4], 69.3 [68.6], 74.2 [74.3], 80.7, 120.0, 125.3, 127.2, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.6, 136.7 [136.3], 141.4,
144.1, 143.9, 155.2 [154.3], 156.2, 170.0, 172.3 [172.4], 175.3 [175.2]. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 979.4762; obsd: 979.4860.


H-Glu(OtBu)-OAll (229)

Cesium carbonate ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Glu-OH (221) ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then 2 h at RT . The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in DMF ( 4 mL ). Allyl bromide ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 138 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to the mixture which was stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and washed with water ( 30 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The filtrate was concentrated to yield Fmoc-Glu-OAll (228) as a colorless solid. ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). This was used directly in the next step, without purification. $R_{f} 0.73$ (1:1 EtOAc:hexanes).

Diethylamine ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of 228 ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetonitrile ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h under nitrogen, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to elute the Fmoc-realted products and then with 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give H-Glu-OAll (229) (283 mg, $85 \%$ over 2 steps). $R_{f} 0.69$ (9:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 2.17 (app. t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.26-3.32 (m, 1H), 4.38-4.43 (m, 2H), 5.05 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 28.1$, 29.9, 31.7, 53.8, 65.5, 80.3, 118.6, 131.9, 172.3, 175.4.


Fmoc-Glu( $\left.{ }^{t}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}$ (232)

N -Hydroxysuccinimide ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to a solution of Fmoc-Glu( $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(\mathbf{2 2 1})\left(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 10 min , then DCC ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added and stirring continued for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to approximately 1 mL and placed in the freezer for 2 h . The mixture was refiltered, concentrated and dried. The residue was dissolved in DMF (5 mL). H-Glu(OtBu)-OH (191 mg, $0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added followed by the addition of DIEA ( $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 133 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.). The reaction was stirred overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and washed with 1 M HCl . The aqueous layer was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give Fmoc-Glu( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (215) 480 mg that was used in subsequent reactions, without purification. $R_{f} 0.32\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

A solution of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}$ (229) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1 mL ) was added to a solution of 215 (169 mg, $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by the addition of DIEA ( $112 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and HATU ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under $N_{2}$, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc to give 232 (226 mg, 87\%) ( $R_{f} 0.32$ (9:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 189-2.02$ (m, 3H), 2.05-2.21 (m, 3H), 2.24-2.47 (m, 6H), 4.21 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.33-4.43 (m, 1H), 4.47 (dd, J = 13.0, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dd, $J=13.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $27.2,28.0,28.3,28.4,31.7,31.9,32.0,47.4,52.2,52.3,54.9,66.3,67.4,81.1,81.4,119.2$, $120.2,25.4,127.3,128.0,131.8,141.5,144.0,144.1,156.5,171.1,171.4,171.5,172.3,173.2$, 173.4. HRMS (+ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{12}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 858.4184; obsd: same.


Pseudo pentapeptide mimetic 234
$H-G l u\left(O^{t} B u\right)-G l u\left(O^{t} B u\right)-\left(O^{t} B u\right)-O A I I(233)$ : Diethylamine (1.5 mL) was added to a solution of 232 ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetonitrile ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h under nitrogen, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to elute the Fmoc-related products and then with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give $233(96 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f} 0.64$ (9:1 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.

A solution of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}(233)$ ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30$ equiv.) in dichloroethane ( 1 mL ) was added to a to a solution of dipeptide mimetic 216 ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in dichloroethane ( 1 mL ), followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4$ mmol, 3.00 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under nitrogen. The solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in dichloroethane ( 3 mL ). Sodiumtriacetoxyborohydride ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.60$ equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture and stirring continued for 2 d under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and washed with sat'd aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 1:1 EtOAc:Hexanes to give 234 (16 mg, 11\%). $R_{f} 0.63$ (3:1 EtOAc:Hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 1.81$ (dd, J = 14.0, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.78-2.89 (m, 2H), 3.10[2.99] (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.33-3.37 (m, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 8.2, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 4.25 (app.t, J = $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41-4.50 (m, 2H), 4.58-4.64 (m, 5H), 5.03-5.18 (m, 3H), $5.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.38$
(m, 7H), $7.91[7.82](\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{95} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaO}_{17}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 1169.6654; obsd: 1069.5869.


Cyclic pentapeptide mimetic 253

Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0007 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv.) and dimedone ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv.) were added to a solution of $234(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred 16 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to remove the triphenylphosphinerelated biproducts and then flushed with $4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to get the free acid $253(3 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%)$.

1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.00$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00$ equiv.) were added to a solution of free acid 252 ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.003 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in DMF ( 1.5 mL ), followed by HOBt ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.00 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 d , concentrated and applied to a flash column eluting with 2:1 EtOAc:Hexanes and then 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 253 (1.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 46 \%) . R_{f} 0.47$ (9:1 CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Fmoc-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (138)

## Fmoc-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (138)

Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (143)

Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-OBn (143)

Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-Lys-(Boc)-NH2 (132)

Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ile-Arg(NHPbf)-Lys-(Boc)-NH2 (132)

Fmoc-Thr( $\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{\text {Bu }}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 4 5 )}$

## Fmoc-Thr( $\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(\mathbf{1 4 5 )}$

Fmoc-Asp( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{Thr}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OBn}(150)$

Fmoc-Ile-Lyc(Boc)-Asn-OBn (157)

Fmoc-Ile-Lyc(Boc)-Asn-OBn (157)

## Fmoc-Ile-Lyc(Boc)-Asn-Asp(OtBu)-Ph-Thr-OBn (171)

## Lactone 185

## Lactone 185

Alcohol 187

Alcohol 187

Compound 135

Compound 135

## Dipeptide isostere 133

Dipeptide isostere 133

Cbz-Hyp( ${ }^{\text {t } B u)-\alpha-e n y l c a r b a m a t e ~} 217$

Cbz-Hyp( ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ )- $\alpha$-enylcarbamate 217

Dipeptide mimetic 216


Dipeptide mimetic 216


Tripeptide mimetic 223


Tripeptide mimetic 223


H-Glu-OAll 229

H-Glu-OAll 229

Fmoc-Glu( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}$ (232)

Fmoc-Glu( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{Glu}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OAll}$ (232)

Pseudo pentapeptide mimetic 234


Cyclic pentapeptide mimetic 253

## CHAPTER 5: FUTURE WORK

### 5.1 Coupling of $N$-terminal and C-terminal Oligopeptides to the Cyclic Pentapeptide Mimetic

With the cyclic pentapeptide mimetic 253 in hand, what remains to be done is coupling of the oligopeptides either side of this fragment to afford the $15-$ mer containing the mimetic motif. Our preparation of these oligopeptides is depicted in Scheme 5.1. The Fmoc protecting group of the QIRK tetrapeptide 132 will be removed using diethylamine to give the free amine 254. The methyl ester of cyclic pentapeptide mimetic $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ will be hydrolyzed utilizing tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAH) to give the free acid 255.


Scheme 5.1: Preparation of fragments 254 and $\mathbf{2 5 5}$. Ehylene brigde is highlited in red

The free acid 255 will then be coupled with the amine 254 to afford Cbz-[HypE]*EEEQIRK$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ([HypE]* denotes the isosteric replacement for the amide).


Scheme 5.2: Coupling of 254 and 255

The Fmoc protecting group of the hexapeptide 171 will be removed and the free amine will be acetylated to give 131. Hydrogenolysis will be used to remove the benzyl ester of hexapeptide 131 afford free acid 257.



Scheme 5.3: Preparation of fragment 257

The Cbz group of mimetic $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ will be removed by hydrogenolysis. The amine $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ will then be coupled to acid 257 using HATU as coupling reagent and collidine as base to give Ac-IKNDFT[HypE]*EEEQIRK-NH ${ }_{2}$ (259). The global deprotection of 259 will be carried out using TFA in dichloromethane to give $\alpha$-helical mimetic 211 (Scheme 5.4).




Scheme 5.4: Plan to complete the synthesis of 211

### 5.2 Circular Dichroism

Circular Dichroism (CD) is used to investigate the secondary structure of peptides and proteins. In proteins there are two characteristic electronic transitions: $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ (an electronically forbidden but magnetically permitted transition) and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ of the amide chromophore. These transitions show circular dichroism maxima at $215-230 \mathrm{~nm}$ and minima at 185-200 nm respectively. ${ }^{117}$ The CD spectra of $\alpha$-helices shows one characteristic positive band ( $\sim 190 \mathrm{~nm}$, due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ ) and two negative bands (at 208 nm and 222 nm due to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transition respectively) ${ }^{118}$ (Figure 5.1).


Figure 5.1: CD spectra of an $\alpha$-helix and a random coil

Both the Arora ${ }^{88 d}$ and Alewood ${ }^{100}$ Groups performed CD spectroscopy to study the secondary structure of their $\alpha$-helical mimetics. Both groups reported that their $\alpha$-helical mimetics showed the desired secondary structural characteristics (§4.2.3). We will also perform CD spectroscopy studies for the $\alpha$-helical mimetic H -IKNDFT[HypE]*EEEQIRK-NH ${ }_{2}$ (211) to verify secondary structure consistent with an $\alpha$-helix.

### 5.3 Enzyme Assays

The future direction of this project depends on the activity of the $\alpha$-helical mimetic 211. The $\alpha$-helical mimetic will be evaluated as a substrate for Gnt1 in the West Laboratory using in vitro reactions. Enzyme assays will be utilized to measure the affinity of the $\alpha$-helical mimetic for Gnt1. The rate of the reaction (Scheme 5.5 ) will be measured with increasing substrate concentration. These data will then be fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation and $V_{\max }$ and $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values will be determined as illustrated for the full length protein in Figure 5.2. If the $\alpha$-helical mimetic shows an increase in affinity (lower $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) for the Gnt1 enzyme relative to the untemplated peptide, this will validate our hypothesis that the $\alpha$-helix is an important feature of the region of Skp1 substrates that bind to the active site of Gnt1.


Scheme 5.5: GlcNAc-Hyp linkage with the $\alpha$-helical mimetic 211

(a)
(b)

Figure 5.2: Kinetic analysis of the Skp1-HypPro GlcNAc transferase with respect to Skp1adapted from Teng-umnuay et al. ${ }^{18}$ (a) Plot for the Michaelis-Menten Kinetics, (b) Lineweaver-Burke analysis

### 5.4 P4H1 Substrates

As described in $\S 3.7$ studies by both the Raines ${ }^{79}$ and Schofield ${ }^{12 b}$ Groups have shown that $2 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{~S}$-fluoroproline ( flp ) is a substrate for P 4 H 1 . In collaboration with West, we want to explore other potential pathways of P 4 H 1 in Dictyostelium discoideum as occur in human PHDs including HIFa. This will be investigated using flp (121). 2S,4S-Fluoroproline (121) might be incorporated into a short peptide sequence and used to study its processing by the P 4 H 1 of

Dictyostelium discoideum. If this affords the 4-oxo-prolyl product (Ketoproline, Scheme 5.3) we could trap it with 261, an aminooxy derivative of biotin. Since ketones are rare in proteins, 261 will react selectively to form an oxime (Scheme 5.6), as reported by Ramakrishnan et al. for coupling with a keto-glycan. ${ }^{119}$ To detect the aminooxy biotin conjugated ketoproline we can use the same method that Ramakrishnan et al. used to detect the aminooxy biotin conjugated glycoprotein. This will involve experiments with a probe containing streptavidin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and measurement of the presence of biotin indirectly via production of a chemiluminescent species by HRP. ${ }^{119}$


Scheme 5.6: Trapping of ketoproline with aminooxy-biotin 261

We might also feed flp to Dictyostelium discodeum and look for its incorporation into Skp1. The incorporation might affect the activity of the non-glycosylated Skp1. In 2008, Budisa and coworkers replaced the ten Pro residues in Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (EGFP) with flp. The mutant protein was shown to fold faster than the wild type protein. ${ }^{120}$ In 2011, a report by Rubini et al. demonstrated the incorporation of Flp into human ubiquitin using an auxotrophic E. coli strain JM83 that is deficient in proline biosynthesis. They substituted all three Pro residues $\left(\mathrm{Pro}^{19}, \mathrm{Pro}^{37}, \mathrm{Pro}^{38}\right.$ ) in the ubiquitin sequence. Their results showed that the fluorinated protein undergoes polyubiqutination and its biological activity is fully retained. ${ }^{121}$ In 2004 Contecello and coworkers demonstrated the efficient incorporation of flp in to E. coli cells. ${ }^{122}$ We will focus the search using Dictyostelium discoideum strains in which P 4 H 1 is exclusively induced in prestalk and prespore cells. ${ }^{10}$ Our plan is to follow the same approach as Contecello and coworkers which is semi-auxotrophic for Pro when grown in a
defined final minimal (FM) medium. ${ }^{123}$ Extracts will be analyzed by fluorescence after conjugation with Alexa Fluor-488 C5-aminooxyacetamide (262) and fractionated by SDS-PAGE. As a negative control P4H1-null cells will be used and we will expect to see new fluorescence bands relative to Skp1 as a positive control. These fluorescent bands will be purified and identified as functional P 4 H 1 targets.


Figure 5.3: Alexa Fluor-488 C5-aminooxyacetamide

### 5.5 Future Inhibitors

Following the results obtained from the enzymatic assays conducted with the $\alpha$-helical mimetic 212 we hope to be able to design more successful inhibitors than that described in Chapter 2. Schmidt and coworkers synthesized compound 263 (Figure 5.4) which is a "trisubstrate analog" containing an acceptor, donor and nucleotide moiety. Compound $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ was identified as an effective inhibitor of the porcine $\alpha(1-3)$-galactosyltransferase with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{124}$


Figure 5.4: Schmidt's $\alpha(1-3)$ GalT inhibitor 263

Following this example we could incorporate a nucleotide recognition element, UDPGIcNAc, to the $\alpha$-helical mimetic to improve inhibitor binding affinity. Moreover it is important to consider the ability of these compounds to traverse the cell membrane. Most substrate analogs that inhibit glycosyltransferases in vitro fail in cellular systems. This is due to the polyhydroxylated nature of carbohydrates which prevents their uptake across the cell membrane. Esko and coworkers demonstrated that "pro-drugs" in the form of peracetylated carbohydrates are a useful strategy. In the same manner that acetylsalicylic acid serves as a precursor to salicylic acid, inside the cell the acetate esters can be hydrolyzed by esterases to release the polyhydroxylated drug. ${ }^{125125}$ Another factor we have to consider is the bioavailability of 213. Peptidyl drugs usually doesn't have good bioavailability. If the Glu-rich $\alpha$-helix proved to be important for effective binding, then we might need to replace the oligopeptide with a peptidomimetic such as oligopyridine or oligopyridylamide as described by Hamilton and coworkers (§4.2.1). Considering these three factors we might envision inhibitor 264 (Figure 5.5).


Figure 5.5: Futuristic target
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