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The development of multidrug resistance in tumor cells has been recognized as a major obstacle to successful cancer treatment. Tumor cells in vitro and in vivo can develop multidrug resistance (MDR) to the lethal effects of the cytotoxic drugs used to treat them, with about $40 \%$ of cancers going on to develop MDR. There are multiple factors that lead to MDR but the factor that we will be exploring in this dissertation is the expression of multiple drug resistance gene 1 and the over expression of multi drug resistance protein 1(MDR1, also known as P-glycoprotein or P-gp). MDR1 has also been shown to be a major contributor to the development of MDR in cancer, via preventing entry of therapeutically relevant drugs into the cancerous cell. As such, MDR1 is highly investigated as a drug target both for controlling absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of clinically relevant compounds and for the production of MDR inhibitors.

Our efforts in producing novel compounds to reverse MDR by inhibiting MDR1 have shown marked improvement from generation to generation of drug development. Our first generation of compounds had activitys that ranged from $10.9 \%$ to our lead compound showed a $61.2 \%$ inhibiton when compared to cyclosporine A. Our second generation had a slight increase in activity when we explored tethered compound with our lead compound showing a $63.0 \%$ inhibition when compared to cyclosporine A. Further exploration of tethered and dimer compounds in generation 3 showed a dramatic increase in inhibitory activity with our lead compound showing over a $200 \%$ inhibition when compared to cyclosporine A. With such a positive trend in activity generation 4 and 5 compounds where then designed to be more selective for MDR1. Currently, both generation 4 and 5 are in hand and are waiting for biological testing. Generation 4 compounds explore the effect that more steric groups in the 3-isoxazolyl position would have on MDR1 inhibition. While generation 5 componds explored chiralities effect on MDR1 inhibition and how it can be utilized in refining selectivity.

Reversal of MDR is of interest for the clinical application; if a compound could effectly and safely reverse MDR, it would allow for major advancements in cancer chemotherapy. If successful, halting the function of MDR1 will stop the outward efflux of chemotherapeutic agents out of the cell. In combination with chemotherapeutic treatments, inhibitors could allow for greater penetration of drugs into an MDR cell and potential allow for the repurposing of out of date drugs where MDR has redered the drug ineffective. The exploration of this concept and the advancements that our group has added to this field will be explained in due course.
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## Chapter 1:

4-Isoxazolyl-1,4-dihydropyridiens: A tale of two Scaffolds

### 1.1 Introduction

The concepts of bioisoteric replacement, ${ }^{[1,2]}$ privileged structures, ${ }^{[3-9]}$ and scaffold hopping, ${ }^{[10,11]}$ all have contributed to the process of drug development as medicinal chemists endeavors to develop approaches that arrive at bioactive candidates, and avoid excessive exploration of unproductive terrain. The term privileged structure was first introduced by Evans et al in 1988 and was defined as "a single molecular framework able to provide ligands for diverse receptors. ${ }^{,{ }^{[3]}}$ The development of novel compounds from known privileged structures has found general support due to the appearance of the 32 scaffolds in roughly half of known drugs. ${ }^{[4]}$ Furthermore the inclusion of common moieties accounts for a large majority of side chains found in most drugs. ${ }^{[5]}$ The exploration of privileged structures is an emerging theme in medicinal chemistry to aid in focusing synthetic efforts towards more active compounds. A privileged structure represents a substructure that is a common chemical motif capable of binding to given target with high affinity. ${ }^{[6-9]}$ Considerable amounts of effort had been placed into increasing the success rates of novel drug discovery thus, the inclusion of so called privileged structures has been employed as a viable tactic for drug development. Conclusions drawn from using privileged structures and scaffolds lead in turn to the construction of common pharmacophore models, and as information emerge regarding the biomolecular target, to more detailed homology models to aid in drug development. The utility of privileged structures has increased our ability to synthesize libraries based upon core scaffolds and screen against a variety of targets to yield active compounds.

This review will focus on two of these structures and their application to complex drug development issues. Since the introduction of the term several groups have been shown to fit the criteria such as the 1,4-dihydropyridine (DHP) ${ }^{[12,13]}$ and the isoxazole ${ }^{[14]}$ have been described as privileged structures. Both the isoxazole and dihydropyridine appear on Kubinyi and Muller's list of a dozen more common heterocycles in drug discovery, given their propensity for polypharmacology, these rings may well be referred to as the "dirty" dozen. ${ }^{[15]}$

The 1,2-oxazole or isoxazole 1 (Figure 1) was first isolated by Claisen in $1888{ }^{\text {[16] }}$ and the name monoazole was suggested for the substructure. It was later named isoxazole by Hantzsch. ${ }^{[17]}$ The inclusion of isoxazole in potential drug candidates have produced a range of pharmacological active compounds, ${ }^{[18]}$ making the isoxazole of considerable interest to medicinal chemists. The isoxazole ring shows some aromatic character with the oxygen atom acting as an electron donating group and the nitrogen atom acting as an electron-withdrawing group. ${ }^{[19-23]}$ The 4 position is the preferred position for electrophilic substitution and its reactivity is affected to the substituents at the 3 and 5 position. Electron donating groups activate the electrophilic substitution, while electronwithdrawing groups deactivate it. The 5 position is the thermodynamically more acidic proton in the ring in most instances. ${ }^{[24]}$ The N-O bond is the weakest among the five bounds and can be reductively cleaved by many methods. The isoxazole has been considered by Bauman, et al, as one of the standard five-membered heterocycles to be considered in drug discovery. ${ }^{[18]}$
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Figure 1.1: The isoxazole scaffold (1), and the dihydropyridine (DHP) (2), exemplified by the antihypertensive agent nifedipine. The esters at C-3 and C-5 of the DHP can attain either a synperiplanar or antiperiplanar conformation. . Representative known modes of isoxazole to DHP attachment isoxazol-3'-yl-4-DHP (3), isoxazol-4'-yl-4-DHP (4), and isoxazol-5'-yl-4-DHP (5), benzisoxazole (6,) and fused bicyclic system isoxazolo[5,4b]pyridine (7).

The present review will focus on the type (4) IDHPs. The first synthesis of 1,4 DHPs was from acetoacetic acid esters, aldehyde and ammonia was first published in 1882 by Hantzsch. ${ }^{[25]}$ Since then the synthetic procedure has proved to be highly versatile showing a considerable structural variation in the aldehydic component as well as in the 1,3 dicarbonyl compounds. ${ }^{[26,27]}$ As 1,4 DHPs have become more medicinally important variation of the synthesis have been explored to accommodate analog development.

Subsequently, the 1,4-DHP substructure has served as a scaffold for both second and third generation compounds that have shown improve activity. ${ }^{[28]}$

The 1,4 DHP has been important and highly effective drug substructure due to their wide range of biological activities. DHPs have a broad range of pharmacological actions such as vasodilation, brochodilation, antiatherosclerosis, antioxidant and anticonvulsant effects. The 1,4-DHP substructure is best known for their pharmacological action as calcium channel blockers, with the prototypical case being nifedipine 2 (Figure 1) which has been in general medical practice since $1975 .{ }^{[29,30,31]}$

It was noted early in the first generation of calcium channel antagonists exhibited cardiovascular action, this action was ultimately ascribed to the 1,4-DHP substructure. Thus, the substructure is often included in compounds used to treat a variety of cardiovascular disorders such as hypertension. With further research on the substructure leading to the discovery that 1,4-DHP structure also having antitumor, antidiabetic, and anti-platelet aggregation properties. Additionally these compounds have also been utilized to promote drug transport across the blood-brain barrier, ${ }^{[31]}$ which in turn lead to the use of DHPs to inhibit the Multidrug resistance transporter efflux pump (MDR, also known as P-gp). ${ }^{[32-34]}$

Potential connection of groups to the isoxazole can be accomplished through the 3'-, $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}$ - positions (Figure 1). This review will focus primarily on the potential connections to the $1,4-$ DHP in the 4 '- position of the isoxazole ring system. The first example of the association of the two scaffolds was claimed in the patent literature, however, it is not clear whether the IDHP was actually prepared until the first fully characterized preparation of an IDHP was published in 1982 by Natale and Quincy. ${ }^{[35]}$

### 1.2 Isoxazol-3'-yl-4-IDHP

The connection of the isoxazole to the 3 position (3) is produced via the producing an alcohol in the 3 positions of the isoxazole. From the alcohol the product is oxidized to the aldehyde and subjected to the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis to produce the DHP. The 3,4-IDHP was shown by Shafu and co-workers to have anti-tubercular activity. ${ }^{[36]}$ Due to multi-drug resistant strains of mycobacterium and to a high prevalence of MDR tuberculosis the potential use of 3,4-IDHP as a MDR reversing agent was proposed. The use of DHPs to combat MDR is an emerging trend in DHPs research that is currently being explored by many groups including our own (vide infra). Further analog development in this area where developed to explore calcium channel antagonist activity. ${ }^{[37]}$ Darybari et al observed that activity of these analogs was moderate at best with maximum calcium channel antagonist activity approximately $10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ range compared to the prototypical DHP nifedipine activity $\left(1.10 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}\right)$. This is expected in light of Triggle's SAR principles ${ }^{[29]}$ since para-phenyl substitution is well known to lower voltage gated calcium channel (VGCC) affinity. Further exploration of analogs as VGCC were not explored, it should be noted that these could potentially represent leads for designing against the VGCC.

### 1.3 Isoxazol-4'-yl-4-IDHP

In the course of our early studies in this area, ${ }^{[35]}$ the preparation of the isoxazole connected to the DHP at the 4' position of the isoxazole (Figure 1, type (4)) was rationalized by the structure activity relationship (SAR) developing at that time for antihypertensive activity by virtue of blocking the VGCC: (1) that para substitution on the 4-aryl ring lowered activity (the isoxazole has no para group) and (2) electron
withdrawing groups at the 4 -aryl enhanced activity (the isoxazole is $\pi$-deficient). ${ }^{[28,30,38-}$
${ }^{46]}$ We recognized that chemistry being developed in our laboratories at that time, lateral metalation and electrophilic quenching of isoxazoles, ${ }^{[24,47-51]}$ could be applied to study conformational and configurational issues in antihypertensive SAR. The structure activity relationship (SAR) that emerges is distinct in critical points from known 4-
aryldihydropyridines (vide infra). ${ }^{[52]}$ More recently we have recognized that IDHPs of Type 4 bind the multi-drug resistance (MDR) transporter. ${ }^{[53,54]}$

### 1.4 Isoxazol-5'-yl-4-IDHP

The connection of the isoxazole at position 5'- to the DHP was reported by Mirzaei, and was performed by producing the 5'- alcohol followed by oxidation to the aldehyde. The Hantzsch pyridine synthesis was then used to produce the 1,4-DHP. ${ }^{[55]}$

### 1.5 Fused Ring Systems

The preparation of benzo 2,1-isoxazole analogs of the 5 ',4-IDHP (5, where $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ are fused in a benzene ring) have also been achieved by Phillips. ${ }^{[56-57]}$ Alternatively, the benzoisoxazole was attached to the DHP via the benzene moiety (6), analgous to isradipine. The exploration of these analogs was needed to systematically probe differences in calcium channel activity. The fusion of the isoxazole at the 3 and 2 positions of the DHP to the Isoxazolo[5,4-b]pyridine (7), and their subsequent antitumor activity, was studied by Hamama. ${ }^{[58]}$ The fused isoxazole analogues were used to study the receptor ligand interactions because these rigid, geometrically defined derivatives that allow definition of spatial requirements for receptor binding.

Other heterocyclic 1,4-DHP fused analogues analogous to (7) have be reported to retain potent receptor affinity as either antagonists or agonists. ${ }^{[59]}$ The most common feature of
these derivatives is the retention of the $1,4-\mathrm{DHP}$ as a part of a relatively planar bicyclic ring system (7). Isoxazoline analogues of 1,4-dihydropyridines were prepared by Taylor and have been used to study conformational effects at the calcium channel receptor, ${ }^{[60]}$ where they revealed that saturating the ring juncture lead to more pronounced puckering of the DHP ring, and a lessened biological effect.

While the possible combinations of the isoxazole connected to the DHP are far from exhausted, in this account we will primarily focus on the study of the type (4) IDHPs (Figure 1, Structure (4)), which have been the emphasis of the work in our own laboratories.

### 1.6 Brief overview of the Synthesis and elaboration of IDHPs

For unhindered isoxazole aldehydes the classic Hantzsch synthesis works easily and effectively (Figure 2), ${ }^{[26,27,35]}$ however, in the course of our studies to challenge the frontiers of conformationally encumbered structures, we found it necessary to conduct the reaction in a pressure vessel for optimal results.

Hantzsch
Dihydropyridine Synthesis
Knoevenagel Condensation
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Figure 1.2. The Isoxazole version of the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis.
To address issue of conformationally diverse structures, our main entry into highly substituted isoxazoles involved primarily (1) the nitrile oxide cycloaddition (exemplified in the work of Mirzaei, Figure 4.C.) and/or (2) lateral metalation and electrophilic quenching (Figure 3).

One method we have developed for regioselectively elaborating the functionality of isoxazoles is lateral metalation and electrophilic quenching (LM \& EQ), ${ }^{[24,47-51, ~ 53]}$ which has provided many of the branched isoxazoles useful for probing SAR (Figure 3). The metalation of isoxazoyl-oxazolines was acomplished with n-butyl lithium, and clean mono-incorporation of electrophiles can be obtained in excellent yields (Figure 3). the deprotection is accomplished by N -methylation of the oxazoline nitrogen, followed by hydride reduction, which after mild aqueous hydrolysis affords the aldehyde. The

Hantzsch synthesis is best performed under pressure when the groups on the isoxazole are large.
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Figure1.3. Lateral Metalation and Electrophilic quenching is a selective route to substituted isoxazoles, and hence IDHPs. ${ }^{[24, ~ 46-51, ~ 53] ~}$
A

a.) $R=H$
a.) $\mathrm{NuH}=\mathrm{PhSH}$
b.) $R=B r$
b.) $\mathrm{NuH}=2-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{OH}$
c.) $\mathrm{NuH}=2$-mercapto-1methylimidazole



Figure 1.4. A. Mirzaei route to IDHP C-2 functionalized products, analogous to amlodipine. B. Lanthanide double activation amidation of Hantzsch esters. Compound 418 in Table 2 is $\mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{Fl}=$ Dansyl $^{[54]}$. C. Mirzaei's example of a NOC click route to isoxazole carbaldehydes ${ }^{[57]}$.

Mirzaei's group brominated the DHP moiety selectively as an entry into IDHP analogs similar to amlodipine (Figure 4.A) [57]. The 3- and 5- esters of the DHP are cross-conjugated vinylogous urethanes, and hence quite unreactive. We found it was necessary to apply our lanthanide accelerated Weinreb amidation to obtain amides (Figure 4.B), which were then further functionalized to allow for the attachment of fluorophores. ${ }^{[54]}$

Among the common methods for regioselective isoxazole synthesis is the nitrile oxide cycloaddition (NOC), which Folkin and Sharpless included among "Click" reactions, ${ }^{[61,62]}$ and is the subject of several excellent reviews. ${ }^{[19-22,63]}$ The use of the NOC is exemplified in Mirzaei's approach to IDHP (5) (Figure 4.C.), from isoxazole-5carbaldehydes. ${ }^{[57]}$

### 1.7 Conformational Analysis of IDHPs

Conformational correlations from small molecule crystallography have proved of interest in scaffold hopping, ${ }^{[64]}$ and serve as a useful starting point for understanding the biological efficacious topology. The sweep volume of rotation about the bond between the heterocyclic rings in isoxazol-4'-yl DHPs (Figure 8) is dramatic, and one of the main contrasts with the corresponding 4-aryl DHPs. As the groups on the C-3' and C-5' position of IDHP (4) are substituted with branched or progressively larger aromatic rings, the trend in the single crystal structure is for the larger group to orient over the DHP ring in the unit cell (Table 1, entries 4-4 through 4-12), so that the plausibility that this more compact arrangement arises from crystal packing forces must be considered. Therefore we have examined solution nuclear Overhauser effects, and variable temperature studies
to ascertain the presence of rotation at the heterocyclic ring juncture, and estimated the energy of this process using a variety of computational methods.


Figure 1.5. Conformation dynamics in type 4 IDHP. The numbering for $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are used in Table 1. E represents an ethoxycarbonyl group.

The IDHP 4-1 ${ }^{[35]}$ crystallizes in the O-endo, and syn- ester conformation (Table 1) ${ }^{[42]}$, however, nOe studies demonstrated that both conformations occur in solution at room temperature, and MM2 calculations suggested a barrier to rotation on the order of the cyclohexane chair to chair interconversion. Substitution of the C-3' methyl for a phenyl (4-2), and the C-5' methyl for ethyl (4-3) resulted in similar O-endo solid state conformers, however, branching at $\mathrm{C}-5$ ' in the form of an isopropyl resulted in conformational flip at the juncture of the heterocycles to O-exo (4-4).

With further substitution on the C-3' aryl (entries 4-6 to 4-9, 4-11), or branching at C-5' containing an aryl moiety (entries 4-5, 4-10, 4-12), crystallography has revealed that compact packing in the solid state, where the larger group on the isoxazole orients above the DHP, appears to be the major factor influencing conformation. Together with Palmer
and Andersen, ${ }^{[45]}$ our group examined the solution dynamics at the heterocyclic ring for 4-8 and related IDHPs, and the barrier for rotation was found to be below the temperature attainable at physiological conditions.

The fluorophore IDHP conjugate (4-18) was found to exist almost exclusively as a folded conformation after chromatographic isolation, as evidenced by NOE. Variable temperature studies indicated that the conformation equilibrated to an extended conformation on warming, and that this conformation persisted.



Table 1.1: Crystal structrues of IDHPs

### 1.8 Antagonists at the Voltage Gated Calcium Channel

Triggle had enumerated several germane issues in the SAR of the VGCC which suggested that the IDHPs could be of advantage. ${ }^{[28,30]}$ Therefore, once we had IDHPs in hand, we sought to examine their solid state structure to determine whether they had the required stereoelectronic characteristics. The IDHPs adopt a shallow boat conformation in the solid state often associated with robust calcium antagonists. The substitution at the 3'- and 5'- position of the isoxazole gives rise to dramatically different topologies arising from rotation at the bond connecting the heterocyclic rings, and represented an opportunity to explore conformational and configurational aspects of SAR.

### 1.9 Aryl DHPs and their SAR at the Calcium Channel

The 1,4-DHPs have been utilized in general medical practice to treat cardiovascular disease. Nifedipine was the first calcium channel antagonist approved for clinical use as an antihypertensive. The success of nifedipine led to its use as a lead compound and in turn gave rise to an increased interest in the DHP class of molecules. Using nifedipine as the prototypical 4-Aryl-1,4-DHP the development of numerous derivatives have been produced that showed superior bioavailability, a slower onset and longer duration of action. ${ }^{[65]}$ The SAR which has developed in this area, as summarized in several excellent reviews by Triggle and colleagues, is useful due to its power to predict drug activity. ${ }^{[66-68]}$ Optimal antagonist activity is obtained when C3 and 5 positions of the DHP are substituted by ester groups. The C4 position is optimally occupied by a phenyl ring with a meta- or ortho- electron withdrawing groups, with 2,3disubstitution tolerated and which can be incorporated as part of heteroaromatic rings. ${ }^{[69]}$

The 1,4-DHP ring itself is essential as N -substitution, reduction to the piperidine, or oxidation to the pyridine ring system will greatly decrease or completely abolish activity, via removing the potential hydrogen bonding interaction with the calcium channel. Additional modification to the C 3 and 5 substitution also can modulate activity and tissue selectivity. It has been observed that electronic features of the oxygen of the carboxyl ester influenced biological activity, due to the likely event that the carbonyl oxygen participates in hydrogen bonding with the calcium channel receptor. ${ }^{[70]}$ The preferential orientation that is adopted is in the plane of the DHP ring itself with smaller variations being observed between $30-60^{0}$ from planarity. Additionally the syn or anti periplanar orientation of the carbonyl groups is another key factor for activity it has been suggested that syn-periplanar carbonyl groups might be a common feature of DHP calcium channel antagonists, ${ }^{[12,71-73]}$ and an anti-periplanar conformer associated with agonist activity. ${ }^{[59,70]}$ When there are non-identical esters at the C 3 and 5 position the C 4 carbon is then chiral, and chirality of the DHP is one of the key distinguishing factors between antagonist and agonist activity. The ( S ) enantiomers are activators and bind the open state of the channel, where the $(\mathrm{R})$ enantiomers are antagonists and bind the inactive state of the channel. ${ }^{[74-77]}$

### 1.10 IDHPs and their SAR at the Calcium Channel

The attachment of an isoxazole onto the DHP introduced a number of structural features that diverge from the 4-Aryl-1,4-DHPs, ${ }^{[12,28-31,78,79]}$ yet presented the opportunity to weigh the importance of stereoelectronic effects in the SAR. The combination of the isoxazole scaffold with the DHP has been shown to give rise to robust activity at the VGCC, while lacking pronounced negative ionotropic activity of some DHPs. ${ }^{[38, ~ 40, ~ 42]}$

Similar to the 4-aryl DHP, the 1,4-IDHP system requires the DHP ring for activity, and the relative conformation of the 4-aryl substituents in significant. Roynyak, Andersen and co-workers ${ }^{[80]}$, Goldman and Geiger ${ }^{[81]}$ and Berntsson and Carter ${ }^{[82]}$ performed NMR investigations which supported a preferred solution equilibrium conformation in which 4aryl substituent is in a pesudoaxial orientation perpendicularly bisecting the plane of the $1,4-\mathrm{DHP}$. We have referred to the conformation at the juncture between the DHP and 4isoxazolyl substituent as O-endo and O-exo (Figure 8), to readily distinguish this conformational feature, and groups at the $3^{\prime}$-and $5^{\prime}$ - of the isoxazole describe a large sweep volume as rotation takes place about the 4,4' ring juncture. While in several cases the 3'- aryl or 5'-arylethyl group on the isoxazole crystallized with the aryl group over the DHP (Table 1), in each case studied by NMR it was revealed that facile rotation about the heterocyclic ring juncture was present. With studies on 1,3-benzothiazocines Baldwin et al [83] convincingly ruled out boat to boat interconversion for DHPs, and favored the exo geometry of the 4-aryl substituent with respect to the DHP moiety. Examination of over 30 crystal structures of DHPs lead to two molecular features that highly correlated with biological activity. These are termed $\sum|\rho| \quad \sum|\tau|$, which refer to the extent of 1,4DHP ring pucker as measured by the sum of the six intraring torsional angles, and the forward presentation of the 4-aryl ring, respectively. As observed in single crystal X-ray diffractometry, the more active IDHPs usually possessed extremely flat boats, and the subsequent forward presentation of groups in the $3^{\prime}$ - or $5^{\prime}$ - isoxazole position was greatly accentuated.

At the time we initiated our studies it was recognized that unsymmetrical ester groups in DHP calcium antagonists, which gave rise to a chiral center at C(4) of the DHP,
exhibited pronounced enantioselectivity of action. We set out to determine if chirality at the isoxazole would have the same effect. The (+)-1-phenylprop-2-yl group, assigned as (R)-, was indeed the eutomer with a pronounced eudisimic ratio (R/S 56.7), and was a robust antagonist with single digit nanomolar binding affinity $(3.7 \mathrm{nM}){ }^{[43]}$. These results were emerging as additional information became available about the calcium channel's primary sequence, and our initial modeling of the DHP binding subunit lead to a prediction of a potential lipophilic pocket, and exploration of a series of analogs to test that hypothesis ${ }^{[46]}$. The appearance of Mackinnon's landmark single crystal structure of the potassium channel allowed for homology modeling (Figure 9A), and a rational explanation for the unique SAR of IDHPs ${ }^{[52]}$ (Figure 9B). An interesting issue described in this study is that docking of the IDHPs in the conformation found in the small molecule x-ray gave no SAR distinction, and VT NMR indicated that ready conformational adaptation to the receptor must occur.



$\mathrm{R}-(+) .3 .7 \mathrm{nM}$ 4-5 [43]
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Figure 1.6. A. Homology model of the VGCC with docked IDHP, based on the MacKinnon potassium channel single crystal structure, pdb accession 1BL8 ${ }^{[52,84]}$. B.

Schematic summary of the unique SAR of IDHPs ${ }^{[52]}$. C. The methyl effect in SAR of

## IDHPs (4)

### 1.11 Multidrug resistance

Chemotherapy is often complicated by drug efflux from tumor cells. One major factor is the multidrug resistance transporter, known to be over expressed in several tumor cell types. DHPs have been known for some time to be either competitive alternate substrates or inhibitors of MDR-1, which in either case can give rise to reduced efflux of cancer chemotherapeutics. Intensive efforts continue to be directed towards DHP modification, as resistance is a serious problem. The MDR-1 is a large and complicated protein with multiple documented and postulated binding sites for xenobiotics. We considered the possibility that the chemistry we had developed for selective functionalization of isoxazoles could be applied to enhance MDR selectivity at the expense of the VGCC. There have been a number of compounds that have been identified as MDR1 inhibitors. ${ }^{[32-34]}$ The majority of these novel compounds that are known to inhibit MDR1 have not yet advanced to being utilized in the clinic due mainly to severe side effects and lack of selectivity. Nevertheless, reversal of multidrug resistance is of widespread clinical interest and multidrug-resistance reversers (MDRR) are currently under intensive investigation. Given MDR1's involvement in MDR it is a viable drug target for the reversal of MDR.

First-generation inhibitors such as cyclosporin A, and verapamil suffered from unacceptable high toxicity, and were dropped as potential inhibitors after phase II clinical trials . The second-generation agents: valspodar, and biricodar have better toxicity profiles, but showed cross reactivity and unpredictable pharmacokinetic interactions with
other transporter proteins . Third-generation inhibitors such as tariquidar (XR9576), zosuquidar (LY335979), laniquidar (R101933), and ONT-093 have high potency and specificity for MDR1. The structures for the known inhibitors of MDR1 are shown in Supplementary Material Figure SM-1. The pharmacokinetic studies to date have shown no significant interactions with CYP450 3A4 drug metabolism and no clinically significant drug interactions with common chemotherapy agents. The prevalence of MDR1 expression in several tissues is proving to be a major issue when considering side effects of potential inhibitors. It is for this reason that most MDR1 inhibitors have had sub-optimal results in clinical trials.

### 1.12 DHPs and Current treatment in MDR

With Verapamil being used as the first calcium channel blocker to advance to clinical trials for its ability to reverse MDR ${ }^{[85]}$, with the compounds failing out due to high cardio toxicity and unsatisfying clinical outcome ${ }^{[86]}$. Further exploration in this area resulted in Nifedipine going through clinical trials. Clinical trials failed for similar reasons to verapamil, with response being poor and high toxic cardiovascular side effects being the main reason for not proceeding through clinical trials.

With suboptimal result being observed in clinical trials, leads to the development of new DHP derivatives with the expressed goal to first increase the MDR reversing activity and second to decrease the significant of the calcium channel blocking activity. While there are a number of variations that can be introduced to the DHP structure the most promising modification are the eudismic ratio that is seen in calcium channel SAR. The distomeric enantiomer of the DHP has been shown to have the same MDR reversing activity, while the calcium channel blocking effect are much weaker ${ }^{[87]}$. Further research
in this area confirms that MDR1 inhibition is independent of the calcium channel activity, where the enantiomers of niguldipine exhibit varying calcium antagonism but showed similar MDR activity ${ }^{[88-89]}$. Thus, structural modifications can be made to produce a more active MDR revering agent. The independent action of MDR reversing action and the calcium channel activity allow for more refinement of structure to produce more active compounds, making the DHP one of the most appropriate ring system to develop around to produce more active MDR reversing activity with minimum adverse effects.

To this end, the examination of derivatives of DHP has been shown to be effective MDR reversing agents, with some compounds currently in clinical trials. Most of the more successful compound that are being reported are compounds that have common structural features, with the addition of pyridyl groups on the C 3 and 5 positions being a common theme. ${ }^{[90-91]}$ With other studies making modification to the C 3 and C 5 removing the ester functionality, thus reducing calcium channel activity and maintain MDR activity ${ }^{[92]}$. The C4 position is another potential site of modification, heterocyclic aryl have been shown to both reduce calcium channel activity and increase MDR activity, but also introduced toxic side effects in animal models ${ }^{[93-94]}$.

Zhou, Coburn and Morris chose to take existing lead compound such as dexniguldipine and make derivatives based on structure optimization for MDR1 and breast cancer resistance protein MDR. ${ }^{[95-97]}$ In an effort to improve on the concept our research group have made novel 1,4-IDHP derivatives and have had promising results concerning MDR1 inhibition ${ }^{[53,54]}$. The research in this area is developing and the implementation of the known privileged structures has been prevalent, and has become a useful strategy for drug development. 4- Isoxazolyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (IDHP) have
been known to bind L-type voltage gated calcium channels, and dihydropyridines (DHPs) have been in general medical practice for use as anti-hypertensive agents for decades ${ }^{[97]}$, and as such the DHPs has been recognized as a privileged scaffold found in medical chemistry ${ }^{[98]}$. More recently however, the DHP scaffold has been recognized as a substructure to design around to produce MDRRs. ${ }^{[32,93,100-102]}$ Given that the clinically used DHPs such as nicardipine and niguldipine are known ligands for MDR1. ${ }^{[102-103]}$


Figure 1.7.A.: An overlay of minimized niguldipine (gold) and novel IDHPs, containing a branched C-5 (4-16) (blue) and tethered dansyl (4-18) (salmon), indicating analogous functionality and topology ${ }^{[53,54]}$. B. Left is our homology model based on the open conformer of MDR1, based on Mus musculus pdb accession 3G5U, on the right is our human homology thread with sav1866 pdb accession 20NJ. The binding sites of interest
are known as the DHP binding site resides near the NBDs (Labeled with ATPs). This is thought to be the general area in which the putative IDHP binding site is located. The horizontal lines represent the approximant position of the lipid bi-layer. $\mathbf{C}$, the DHP photoaffinity binding site (red) and the Q-site predicted binding site (blue), D. The overlapping amino acid sequence (purple) from the photoaffinity binding site and the Qsite binding site. The location of Q475 (gold) a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding residue proximal to the ATP binding and hydrolysis sequences. The sliding helix 2 sequence (F904-L910) shown in cyan is critical to MDR conformational dynamics in xenobiotic efflux.

The constructionof a common pharmacophore model (Figure 11) to visualize common structure features to direct synthetic efforts towards more valid analogs. Figure 11 shows clinically used 1,4-dihydropyridine niguldipine (pink), and two IDHPs. The spatial conservation of the function groups is apparent, the most apparent difference being the conformationally unique structure that the isoxazole moiety imparts to the IDHP. The IDHP allows for novel and structurally divergent compounds to expand the library of compounds which have previously been studied, which in turn could potentially allow for corresponding divergent and novel pharmacology.

To further study the effect of IDHPs as MDRRs on MDR1 and to aid in further analog development a binding box for IDHP was needed as a working hypothesis. Given that MDR1 has a large number of potential substrates we chose to focus our efforts on initial characterization of the IDHP binding site. The characterization of the DHP site was first attempted by using domain mapping experiments ${ }^{[104]}$. Domain mapping studies in combination with immunoprecipitation were utilized to identify the DHP binding site
${ }^{[104]}$. Other studies ${ }^{[106]}$ have been performed with photo labeled DHP derivatives, the studies showed binding at two major regions, one in each half of the protein ${ }^{[108]}$. This suggested that the DHP derivatives bind to both NBDs.

To define the DHP binding site a niguldipine was chemically modified with a photoaffinity label which replaced the nitro group of niguldipine with an azido group. Photolysis of the modified DHP was carried out in the presence of MDR1. The protein was then identified via PAGE-SDS gels and purified via lectin affinity chromatography ${ }^{[107]}$. Western Blot analysis was carried out using monoclonal anti-MDR1 antibody C219. The pooled samples of niguldipine bound protein were then analyzed via Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI). Edman degradation combined with MS revealed the amino acids that compose the labeled site. The final localization of the niguldipine binding site in MDR1 was found to be correspond to the sequence $468-527$, this is in agreement with the previous immunoprecipitation experiments that showed the dexniguldipine binding site in the N -terminal or the cytoplasmic half of MDR1 ${ }^{[109]}$. In other proposed structural model of MDR1 the niguldipine binding site is also in the cytoplasm assigned to the sequence $491-526{ }^{[110]}$. The results suggest that this region is near the N-terminal NBD this indicates that the chemo sensitizer binding site and ATP binding domains interacts with each other. It is known that the drug binding site and ATP hydrolysis are coupled ${ }^{[111]}$. Observing that both sequences are closely related to one another is evidence that this is a reasonable consensus binding sequence.

Many studies have attempted to localize the drug-receptor binding site and key amino acid residues responsible for the interaction of MDR with its ligands. Studies of
ligand-ligand interactions on MDR1 revealed that some ligands interact as single molecules, whereas others show cooperativity ${ }^{[112]}$.

As mentioned above MDR1 contains multiple sites, distinct sites for transport of rhodamine 123 (R-site) in addition to a modulatory site for prazosin and progesterone ${ }^{[113,}$ ${ }^{114]}$. Binding of ligands at one of these transporters site enhances the interaction at the others. Equilibrium binding studies on MDR1 provided evidence for three sites for transported ligands (vinblastine, pacitaxel, and rhodamine), which can interact with ligand in the absence of externally added nucleotides, in addition to a modulatory site for niguldipine/GF120918 ${ }^{[115]}$. The observation that there could be similarities in both the Rsite and the DHP binding site, which could allow for this cooperation and communication to occur that could cause the conformational changes and allow MDR1 to function. Given the previous research on the binding of DHP a computer model was used to produce a refined ligand binding box.

### 1.13 IDHPs and MDR: towards a working hypothesis

To generate a testable hypothesis for binding at the DHP binding site, computer modeling was employed. At the time that we initiated out studies an MDR1 human crystallographic structure did not exist, so a homology model was constructed from the published X-ray crystal structure Mus musculus (pdb accession number: 3G5U) a close homolog of the human ABC transporter MDR1. A sequence homology was then performed between the known human MDR1 sequence and the 3G5U followed by threading of the known human MDR1 sequence into the published X-ray crystal structure. As explained above the binding site for the DHP has been broadly defined as the resides 468-527.To avoid any bias in further defining the DHP binding site, the

MDR1 human homology model was submitted as the entire MDR1 transporter to the Qsite finder online server.




Figure 1.8.General Structure of IDHPs used for Table 2.

| IDHP | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | MDR1 <br> (\% inh.) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 48.9 | 53 |
| 4-4 | i-Pr | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 38.4 | 53 |
| 4-6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 10.9 | 53 |
| 4-7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 26.8 | 53 |
| 4-9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | o-MeO-C66 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 32.8 | 53 |
| 4-10 | 1-naphthyl <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.0 | 53 |
| 4-11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 2-MeO-5-Cl- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 15.0 | 53 |
| 4-13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | p-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 11.7 | 53 |
| 4-14 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 27.6 | 53 |
| 4-15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p-Biphenyl- } \\ & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.6 | 53 |
| 4-16 | m-Br-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 61.2 | 53 |


|  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4-17$ | 1-naphthyl <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 38.3 |  |

Table 1.2 IDHP activity at MDR-1, data provided by NIMH PDSP.

MDR1 screening and the establishment of MDR1 inhibition activity was performed by the Psychoactive Drug Screening Program (PDSP) of the NIMH. The assay was performed using live Caco- 2 cells, a cell line derived from human colonic epithelium cell that express MDR- $1^{[39]}$. The assay uses Calcein-AM which passively diffuses in to the cell, after which it is hydrolyzed turning the compound fluorescent and adding a negative charge thus trapping the compound in the cell. Calcien-AM can be effluxed out of the cell via MDR-1, thus MDR-1 inhibition is a function of the fluorescence that is observed. Fluorescence is measured using a FlexStation II fluorimeter in a 96 well plate after preincubation with the given IDHP ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 30 min ). After which calcein-AM was then added to a final concentration of $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Fluorescence was then monitored over 4 minutes, with each assay performed in quadruplicate, with $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cyclosporine used as a control. The value of untreated control cells where taken as $0 \%$ inhibition and the slope of the fluorescene is normalized taking the value of cyclosporine at $100 \%$.

With MDR binding data in hand, the IDHP structures were drawn and energy minimized using the Sybyl modeling program ${ }^{[54]}$. Ligand-receptor ensemble structures were virtually docked into an in silico-activated MDR1 human homology model, followed by energy minimization, molecular dynamics, and a final energy minimization simulation,, using the program GOLD and scored using GOLD Score with default
settings. Aggregates for molecular dynamics and minimization simulations were defined as residues within $6 \AA$ from the ligand. Binding was localized near the GLN 475, one of the amino acids also at the interface of the overlapping photoaffinity binding (red in Figure 7.C) and the Q-site finder amino acids (R467, I470, G471, V472, V473, S474, Q475, E476, P477, V478, L479, F480, Y490, G491, blue in Figure 7.C). From this analysis three binding site cohorts were defined from the library of IDHPs in Table 2.

The low affinity cohort consisted of compounds 4-6, 4-11 and 4-13 that were bound on the outside of the overlap region of the photoaffinity site and Q-site finder calcualtion, in the vicinity of the Nucleotide binding domain (Shown in Figure 7.D), and never achieved more than $15 \%$ MDR-1 inhibition (Table 2). We have termed the medium affinity cohort the compounds 4-10, 4-15 and 4-17. Compounds 4-10 and 4-15 were bound in the same location adjacent to the edge of the consensus binding box and never achieved more than $19 \%$ MDR-1 inhibition (Table 2). The branched 4-17 on the other hand, bound bridging the high affinity cohort, this would explain the relatively high $38 \%$ MDR-1 inhibition that was observed. We have termed the high affinity cohort compounds 4-2, 4-7, 4-9, 4-14 and 4-16, which are predicted to bind esentially in the Qsite finder domain. Compounds bound in this location had robust MDR-1 activity with a range from $27 \%$ to $61 \%$ MDR1 (Table 2). Most notably, compound 4-16 was the most active compound in our initial series, giving credence to the computational model as a predictive tool. To aid in further development of future generation MDRRs, 4-16 was selected and all interactions were examined in a $6 \AA$ fadius around the IDHP structure. The binding box interactions were then divided into regions to classify the overall interactions that permit robust binding, the purple amino acids that are shown in Figure
7.D represent the overlapping amino acids from the photoaffinity study (red) and Q-site (blue). The low, medium and high affinity cohorts are illustrated in more detail in supplementary material (Figure SM-2).







Figure 1.9. Generating a hypothesis: Homology model of human MDR-1 bound to IDHP ligand 4-16.A, Region 1 binds the C-5 isoxazole functional group of 4-16. B, Region 2 of Compound 4-16 includes an interaction with the isoxazole, C, 4-16 interactions with the DHP and esters.

Our homology model for MDR and docking studies with the IDHPs has generated our current working hypothesis, and is summarized in Figure 9. A shows region 1 of compound 4-16 the majority of the interactions are lipophilic from Phe 904, Arg 905 and Val 908. There is also polar interaction that where are observed with the $\pi$ cloud of the phenyl ring with residues Glu 476, Ser 474, and Val 472

Figure 9, B show region 2 of compound 4-16 there are lipophilic interactions on both the methyl groups by residues Phe 480, Val 478 and a polar interaction with Val 472 with the isoxazolyl.

Figure 9, C shows region 3 of compound 4-16 there are multiple interactions with the DHP nitrogen with the residues Ser 909, Thr 911 and Tyr 490, with additional polar interactions involving Ser 909, Arg 547 and Arg 543. This region also highlights a key anchoring point for the compound, the 1, 4-dihydropyridine substructure has been shown to be key in binding MDR1. Further analog development will be focused on conserving the 1,4-dihydropyridine substructure while altering other key structural factors in the series. In addition to the isoxazole anchoring interactions at the receptor, the spatial arrangement that is conferred via the inclusion of the isoxazolyl is novel and as such will be conserved in later analog development. The future IDHP analog development will focus on the modification of the topology of groups organized by the isoxazole.

### 1.14 Concluding remarks

Whether one terms the association of the isoxazole and DHP rings bioisosteric replacement, or scaffold hopping, substitution at the C-5 of the isoxazole gives rise to dramatically enhanced biological activity. In the case of the VGCC, a strategically placed methyl group produced a signifcant change with (R)-(+)-1-phenyl-prop-2-yl (3.7nM) > Phenethyl (22.9 nM) > (S)-(-)-1-phenyl-prop-2-yl (210 nM), a eudismic ration of 56.7. This appears to be a classic example of the methyl ${ }^{[116]}$ or methylation ${ }^{[117]}$ effect. In the MDR-1 arena branching at the C-5 of the isoxazole produced an increase of binding of $25 \%$ (shown in Table 2), and replacing the DHP C-3 ester with a functionalized amide also lead to a dramatic increase in binding affinity. The lesson for the future is that a number of the isoxazoles in general medical practice bears an unfunctionalized methyl group, these are drugs with highly diverse molecular targets: sulfisoxazole, sulfamethoxazole, the oxacillins, glisoxepid, isocaboxazide, and paracoxib among others ${ }^{[123]}$. That an unadorned methyl is optimum for all biomolecular targets appears to be extremely unlikely, and it seems apparent that addition of even one methyl in the correct critical position should enhance activity in many cases. Our work represents an example of following the structure-based design path, even though that route was not always easy ${ }^{[123]}$, and required improvement of existing methods and development of new chemistry. We suggest that numerous other opportunities for combined scaffolds, and strategically placed branching - including examples containing IDHPs - are waiting to be discovered in the future: because new biology is driven by new chemistry.

### 1.15 Future Perspective

Several distinctive features have emerged in delineating IDHP selectivity for MDR-1 verses VGCC, and these general trends (Figure 10) are illustrative of how a combination of scaffolds could be used to optimize selectivity. (1) While a 4-aryl or 4heteroaryl is a requirement for the VGCC, alkyl groups have been tolerated at MDR-1. (2) The size of the 4 -aryl at the VGCC has strict limits, for example para-substitution is known to lower activity whereas branching for MDR-1 dramatically enhances activity, and the limits of substitution here have only been touched on in studies to date, it is likely that additional lipophilic interactions are available at the transporter. (3) Esters of limited size are tolerated at the "Port" side of the VGCC, where amide substitution with a linked lipophilic group enhance MDR-1 activity. (4) Stereochemistry is critical at the VGCC, with $\mathrm{R} \gg$ S in most cases, where the efflux pump - as expected - is not particularly picky. This opens the possibility for the use of the VGCC distomer to enhance selectivity at the MDR-1. (5) Substitution at the DHP nitrogen or oxidation to the pyridine is well recognized to abolish VGCC activity, whereas either is tolerated at MDR-1. Finally, it was established by Triggle ${ }^{[118]}$ that dimerization of DHPs did not give rise to an increase in VGCC activity, while both the groups of Andrus ${ }^{[119]}$ and Hrycyna and Chmielewski ${ }^{\text {[120-122] }}$ have established that dimerization of MDR-1 substrates often produces more active inhibitors. A combination of these factors could well give selectivity that presently is unknown, and open the door for better theranostic candidates for multidrug resistance.


Figure 1.10. Emerging SAR that allows for improvements in the Future Medicinal

## Chemistry of IDHPs

### 1.16 Executive Summary

## Introduction

- Privileged Structures or scaffolds include isoxazoles and 1,4-dihydropyridines
- The isoxazole structure was discovered by Claisen, and later found in nature and useful in drug design
- The 1,4-dihydropyridine (DHP) structure known since the work of Hantzsch is well recognized as the scaffold for the antihypertensives of the nifedipine class
- Representative connections of the heterocyclic rings in IDHPs
- 4',4-IDHP s and their intriguing conformational dynamics


## IDHPs and their SAR at the Calcium Channel

- Triggle has described the SAR of Aryl DHPs
- Aryl DHP conformation likely relates to $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ Channel activity
- IDHPs have characteristic conformational dynamics which significanty affect their topology
- We have developed a homology model for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ Channel activity of IDHPs which explains their unique SAR


## IDHPs and MDR: towards a working hypothesis

- Current efforts to develop treatment for MDR are intensive
- Aryl DHPs and the attempts at MDR modulation have provided useful SAR insights
- IDHPs bind MDR and we have developed a homology model as our current working hypothesis


## Future Perspective

- Reduction of VGCC activity in IDHPs in favor of MDR1 activity can be driven by known and emerging SAR
- Among intriguing factors is stereochemistry, VGCC usually have a pronounced eutomer, where MDR-1 is not particularly stereoselective, therefore the VGCC distomer could be used to select for the MDR-1.
- Current literature in dimers and MDR1 modulators suggests another promising avenue for future study
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## Chapter 2

The effect of bromine scanning around the phenyl group of 4-phenylhexahydroquinolone derivatives

### 2.1 Synopsis

In three quinolone compounds, the 1,4-dihydropyridine (1,4-DHP) rings adopt flat boat conformations which are bisected by the plane of the pseudo-axial brominated aryl ring. The cyclohexanone rings adopt envelope conformation. The packing of all the three compounds are linked through intermolecular $\mathrm{N} — \mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

### 2.2 Abstract

Three quinolone compounds were synthesized and crystalized in an effort to study the calcium channel antagonists structure-activity relationship. In all three quinolones, ethyl 4-(4-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (I), ethyl 4-(3-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (II), and ethyl 4-(2-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (III), common structural features such as the flat-boat conformation of 1,4-dihydropyridine (1,4-DHP) ring, the envelop conformation of the fused cyclohexanone, and the bromophenyl ring at pseudoaxial position and orthogonal to the $1,4-\mathrm{DHP}$ ring are retained. However, due to different packing interactions in each compound, halogen bonds are observed in compounds (I) and (III). Compound (III) was crystalized with two enantiomers in one asymmetric unit. All of the prepared derivatives satisfy basic structural requirements to have moderate activity as calcium channel antagonists.

### 2.3 Introduction

The 1,4-dihydropyridine (1,4-DHP) heterocycle comprises a large family of medicinally important compounds. The substructure has garnered the most attention as calcium channel antagonists working as slow calcium channel blockers, which have been used in the treatment of angina pectoris and hypertension. The examination of Hantzsch 1,4-dihydropyridine ester derivatives have produced more active and longer acting agents than the prototypical nifedipine molecule. Many other derivatives have been synthesized to yield compounds as calcium agonists or antagonists (Martin-Leon et al., 1995; Rose, 1990, 1992). A fused cyclohexanone ring is one of the modifications on 1,4-DHP compounds, which have been shown to have moderate calcium antagonistic activity, as well as modest anti-inflammatory and stem cell differentiation properties, and have been implicated in slowing neurodegeneration disorders. Thus, further understanding of the structure activity relationship will allow for the determination of off target activity and the reduction of side effect. It has been proven that the flattened boat conformation of the 1,4-DHP ring is one factor that lead to higher calcium channel activity. The N1 and C4 atoms of the DHP ring can be marginally displaced from the mean plane of the boat (Linden et al., 2002). An aryl group in the 4-position of 1,4-DHP is essential for optimal activity. A study showed that the aryl ring with halogen or other electron-withdrawing groups exhibited higher receptor-binding activity (Takahashi et al., 2008). On 1,4-DHP ring, ester groups are usually substituted on 3- and 5- positions. It is suggested that at least one cis- ester is required for the calcium antagonistic effect and H -bonding to the receptor (Fossheim, 1986). In an effort to find more active calcium channel antagonists in the 1,4-DHP genre, we synthesized a series of 1,4-DHP fused-ring derivatives -
hexahydroquinolones. In this paper, we report three structures which have bromine substituted on the para- meta- and ortho- positions on the phenyl moiety attached to the 1,4-DHP ring.

I. $R^{1}=R^{2}=H, R^{3}=B r$
II. $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=B r, R^{3}=H$
III. $R^{1}=B r, R^{2}=R^{3}=H$

Figure 2.1: General structure of the examined compounds

### 2.4 Synthesis and crystallization

An oven-dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with 0.757 g of dimedone, 0.703 g of ethyl acetoacetate, 0.170 g of ytterbium (III) trifluoromethanesulfonate and a magenetic stir bar. The mixture was then taken up in 13.5 mL of absolute ethanol, capped and put under an inert atmosphere of argon, after which the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 20 min . Homologues 1.0 g ( 5.405 mmol ) of bromo-benzaldehyde and 0.419 g of ammonium acetate were added to the stirring solution, the solution was
allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 hr . Reaction progress was monitored via TLC. Once the reaction was complete, excess solvent was removed via rotary evaporation. The solution was then purified via a silica column chromatography. The product was recrystallized into white to light yellow crystalline solids with hexane and ethyl acetate [Compound $(\mathrm{I})=1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 4.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 84.00 \%$ ] [Compound $(\mathrm{II})=1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$, $82.30 \%$ ] [Compound $($ III $)=1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 3.466 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.76 \%$ ].

### 2.5 Characterization information

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes with a Mel-Temp melting point apparatus (200watts) and are uncorrected. Structures were confirmed with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 13C NMR, and mass spectroscopy. H NMR spectra were obtained in chloroform- $d_{1}$ using a Bruker AMX-400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported as p.p.m. relative to the tetramethylsilane (TMS). LC-MS (liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry) were obtained using a Waters LCT Premier XE series spectrometer.

## Ethyl 4-(4-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-

 carboxylate (I): ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta p p m 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $6.01(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 195.42, 167.19, 148.01, 146.07, 143.60, 130.96, 129.89, 119.82, 111.90, 105.72, 59.94, 50.69, 41.14, 36.33, 32.75, 29.44, 27.15, 19.49, 14.23. HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}, 418.1018$; found, 418.1024. MS: $m / z=418\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 419\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 10\right)$, $420\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+3}\right] 100\right)$. MP: 254-255. TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.19$.
## Ethyl 4-(3-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-

 carboxylate (II): ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \operatorname{ppm} 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}$,$\mathrm{J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 195.39,167.15,149.30,148.24,143.79,131.09,129.17$, 126.97, 122.10, 111.71, 105.60, 59.96, 50.69, 41.12, 36.64, 32.78, 29.40, 27.22, 19.51, 14.21. HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}, 418.1018$; found, 418.0979 . MS: $m / z=418$ ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 419$ ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 90\right)$. MP: 235-236 ${ }^{\circ}$. TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12$. Ethyl 4-(2-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3carboxylate (III): ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H), $1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 195.30,167.44,148.04$, $145.88,143.22,133.08,132.03,127.49,126.97,123.38,111.74,105.86,59.84,50.69$, 41.29, 37.98, 32.58, 29.28, 27.38, 19.49, 14.36. HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$, 418.1018; found, 418.1037. MS: $m / z=417([\mathrm{M}] 100), 418\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 419\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$. MP: 200-204 ${ }^{\circ}$. TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$.

### 2.6 Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, and were allowed to rotate freely about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The rest of the H atoms were placed in the calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-1.00 \AA$ and refined as riding on their carrier atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. The positions of amine H atoms were determined from a difference Fourier maps and refined freely along with their isotropic displacement parameters. Two low-angle reflections of (I) and four of
(III) were omitted from the refinement because their observed intensities were much lower than the calculated values as a result of being partially obscured by the beam stop.

### 2.7 Results and discussion

Both ethyl 4-(4-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-
hexahydroquinoline-3- carboxylate (I) (Fig. 1) and ethyl 4-(3-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3- carboxylate (II) (Fig. 2) crystallize in the orthorhombic space group Pb en with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The asymmetric unit of ethyl 4-(2-bromophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3- carboxylate (III) (Fig. 3) is composed of two enantiomers of (III), which crystallize in the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / c$. All three compounds have very similar structural conformations, which accommodate the requirements for calcium channel antagonists.

In all three structures, the 1,4-DHP ring is characterized by a shallow or flattened boat conformation, one factor that leads to higher calcium channel activity. The boat conformation can be quantified by the ring puckering parameters (Cremer \& Pople, 1975). An ideal boat would have $\theta=90^{\circ}$ and $\varphi=\mathrm{n} \times 60^{\circ}$. In (I), the puckering parameters are $\mathrm{Q}=0.196(2) \AA, \theta=106.5(6)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=5.2(8)^{\circ}$ for the atom sequence $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$. The corresponding parameters for (II) are $\mathrm{Q}=0.3014$ (17) $\AA, \theta=$ $107.1(3)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=0.9(3)^{\circ}$. While for (III), two sets were obtained as $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}=0.162(3) \AA$, $\theta_{\mathrm{A}}=67.3(10)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}=197.5(10)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.279(3) \AA, \theta_{\mathrm{B}}=106.9(6)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi_{\mathrm{B}}=3.9$ (6) ${ }^{\circ}$ for molecules A and B , respectively. The shallowness of the boat conformation is indicated by the marginal displacements of $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ from the mean plane (the base of the boat) defined by the two double bonds $[\mathrm{C}(2)=\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)=\mathrm{C}(9)]$. The deviation
of the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ atom from the base of the boat is generally smaller, between $0.00-0.19 \AA$, while the deviation of $\mathrm{C}(4)$ is most frequently found around $0.3 \AA$ (Linden et al., 2004, 2005). These are also observed in our compounds: deviations of $\mathrm{N}(1)$ are $0.095(3) \AA$, $0.142(2) \AA, 0.047(3) \AA$, and $0.134(3) \AA$ for (I), (II), (III)A, and (III)B, respectively; and the deviations of C(4) are 0.235 (4) $\AA, 0.365$ (2) $\AA, 0.207$ (4) $\AA$, and 0.336 (4) $\AA$ for (I), (II), (III)A, and (III)B, respectively. The sum of the absolute values of the ring internal torsion angles is also considered a quantitative measure of the "flatness" of the 1,4-DHP ring. With a flattened or unpuckered ring showing a $0^{\circ}$ and $240^{\circ}$ for an ideal boat conformation of the ring. Our compounds show $67.74^{\circ}$ for (I), $104.39^{\circ}$ for (II), $55.72^{\circ}$ for (III)A and $96.52^{\circ}$ for (III)B, indicating a flattened boat conformation, compared to the sum of angles being $72^{\circ}$ for nifedipine, the known standard for the 1,4 -DHPs.

The relationship between the 1,4-DHP pyridine ring and the aryl group attached to the $\mathrm{C}(4)$ position is another key factor of DHP structure activity relationship. It has been reported that the pseudo-axial position of the aryl ring is essential for pharmacological activity (Langs \& Triggle, 1987). In our compounds, the bromophenyl rings are almost orthogonal to the base of the 1,4-DHP ring defined by atoms $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3)$, $C(10)$, and $C(9)$, with angles between mean planes [plane $C(2), C(3), C(10)$, and $C(9)$ to plane $\mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(19), \mathrm{C}(20), \mathrm{C}(21)$, and $\mathrm{C}(22)]$ to be $86.32(8)^{\circ}, 88.46(5)^{\circ}, 99.37$ $(8)^{\circ}$, and $89.36(9)^{\circ}$ for (I), (II), (III)A, and (III)B, respectively. Another quantitative descriptive factor is the torsion angle between the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ axis and the bond in the phenyl group right above the 1,4-DHP ring [C(17) - C(18) in compounds (I) and (II) and $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ in compound (III)]. The torsion angles defined by the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ $-\mathrm{C}(18)$ are $6.75(19)^{\circ}$ and $7.14(12)^{\circ}$ for (I) and (II), respectively. The corresponding
torsion angles in compound (III) showed even more orthogonal configuration as the values are $1.88(18)^{\circ}$ and $3.3(2)^{\circ}$ for (III)A and (III)B, respectively. The ortho-bromo group is placed in a synperiplanar orientation with respect to the $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}$ bond. Such orientation has been observed in other ortho-substituted phenyl rings in 4-ary-1,4-DHP compounds (Linden et al., 2004). It is worth noting that although meta- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ substitution has been reported to be syn-periplanar to the H atom on $\mathrm{C}(4)$ carbon (Morales et al., 1996), the meta-bromine in (II) is in anti-periplanar position. In the same manner as other reported ortho-substituted structures, compound (III) has the bromine pointing away from the DHP.

Due to the electron delocalization of the conjugate system, each ester group on the $1,4-$ DHP is coplanar and at cis orientation to the adjacent endocyclic double bond. The coplanes extend out through the ester chains in (I) and (II). However, in (III), because of different packing pattern, the end methyl group in (III)A curled up in the direction of aryl group. As a result, it pushed the aryl group slightly away from the orthogonal position, thus making the angle between 1,4-DHP and the aryl ring the most deviated from $90^{\circ}-$ 99.37 (8) $)^{\circ}$ in (III)A vs. 89.36 (9) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (III)B.

Again, Cremer \& Pople's ring puckering parameters are used to quantify the conformation of the fused cyclohexanone ring. In all three compounds, the cyclohexanone rings adopt the envelope conformation, which ideally would have $\theta=$ $54.7^{\circ}$ (or $\theta=125.3^{\circ}$ in the case of absolute configuration change) and $\varphi=\mathbf{n} \times 60^{\circ}$. For compound (I), the puckering parameters are $\mathrm{Q}=0.447$ (3) $\AA$, $\theta=57.4$ (4) $)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=178.6$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ for atom sequence $C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(10)$. Correspondingly, for the same atom sequence in compound (II), (III)A, and (III)B, the puckering parameters
are $\mathrm{Q}=0.4595(18) \AA, \theta=59.4(2)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=122.0(3)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Q}=0.462(3) \AA, \theta=122.5(4)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=2.9(4)^{\circ}, Q=0.477(3) \AA \AA, \theta=59.4(4)^{\circ}$, and $\varphi=183.4(4)^{\circ}$, respectively. All these parameters are very close to those of the ideal envelope conformation. Because of the almost perfect envelope conformation, $\mathrm{C}(7)$ atom stands out from the plane formed by the rest of the atoms in the ring and points to the same side as the $\mathrm{C}(4)$-aryl ring. It is also interesting that the axial methyl group on $\mathrm{C}(7)$ is almost syn-periplanar to the bond that connects $\mathrm{C}(4)$ and the aryl ring. The relationship can be shown by the torsion angle of $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$, which is $13.86(17)^{\circ}$ for (I), $4.51(13)^{\circ}$ for (II), 16.2 (2) $^{\circ}$ for (III)A and 5.5 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ for (III)B.

One feature, which has not been reported in this type of structures, is the intermolecular halogen bonding between bromine and the ester carbonyl oxygen. A halogen bond is defined as a short $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Y}$ interaction, where the $\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance is less than or euqal to the sums of the respecetive van der Waals radii, $3.37 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Br} . .$. O in this case, with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle $165^{\circ}$ and the $\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Y}$ angle $120^{\circ}$ (Auffinger et al., 2004). Although (II) has a distance of 3.4837 (14) $\AA$, which is only slightly longer than the sum of van der Waals radii, the angles being $166.17(13)^{\circ}$ for $\operatorname{Br}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(2)--\mathrm{C}(14)$ and $77.24(6)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{C}(19)--\mathrm{Br}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(2)$ are out of range for halogen bonds. However, the $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance is 3.1976 (18) $\AA$ in (I) with $\mathrm{C}(20)-$ $\operatorname{Br}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ angle to be $152.24(9)^{\circ}$ and $\operatorname{Br}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ angle $111.06(15)^{\circ}$. Also in (III), the distance is 3.1764 (18) $\AA$ between $\mathrm{Br}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ and $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ and the angles are $106.79(16)^{\circ}$ and $160.65(8)^{\circ}$ for $\operatorname{Br}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})$ and $\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Br}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots$ $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})$, respectively. These numbers fall into the range for halongen bonds. Halogen bonding has been known for decades and has been found in biological systems, where the
halogen acts as a Lewis acid and the interacting atom can be any electron-donating moiety. For example, in addition to the backbone carbonyl oxygen as the most prominent Lewis base involved in halogen bonds, hydroxyls and carboxyls in the side chain groups can also form halogen bonds in the protein binding sites (Wilcken et al., 2013). The possibility of halogen bonding from bromine in these three compounds needs to be considered during the structure-activity relationship study.

In all three compounds, hydrogen bonds are formed between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group and carbonyl O atom in cyclohexanone of another molecule (Table 2, 3, and 4). The hydrogen bonds link the molecules into extended chains running along $c$ axis in both (I) and (II), but along $a$ axis in (III) (Fig. 4, 5, and 6). In compound (I), the largest difference hole of 1.21 which is $0.68 \AA$ away from $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ may indicate a slight disorder of Br atom. In conclusion, the structures reported here demonstrate that the conformational features have been conserved in cyclohexanone-fused 1,4-DHP derivatives. As a promising base structure for calcium channel antagonists, different substitutions and more structural modifications are being carried on in our group. The progress will be reported in due course.

For all structures: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=418.32, Z=8$. Experiments were carried out at 100 K with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation using a Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD diffractometer.

Refinement was with 0 restraints. H atoms were treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement.

|  | (I) | (II) | (III) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crystal data |  |  |  |


| Crystal system, space group | Orthorhombic, Pbcn | Orthorhombic, Pbcn | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0371(4), 15.4309 \\ & (3), 14.2604(3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0813(5), 15.4877 \\ & (5), 14.2544(5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5012(18), 18.299 \\ & (2), 15.1952(19) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 90,107.5262(15), \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3969.08 (14) | 3771.0 (2) | 3844.9 (8) |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.09 | 2.20 | 2.16 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.26 \times 0.18 \times 0.13$ | $0.40 \times 0.21 \times 0.19$ | $0.34 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$ |

Data collection

| Absorption <br> correction | Multi-scan $S A D A B S \text { v2012/1 }$ <br> (Bruker AXS Inc.) | Multi-scan SADABS V2012/1 (Bruker AXS Inc.) | Multi-scan SADABS v2012/1 (Bruker AXS Inc.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.909, 1.000 | 0.839, 1.000 | 0.866, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections | 35271, 4558, 3391 | 55070, 5055, 4278 | 45465, 8935, 6859 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.065 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.650 | 0.684 | 0.653 |

Refinement

| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]$, | $0.042,0.101,1.04$ | $0.032,0.084,1.03$ | $0.036,0.081,1.02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ |  |  |  |
| No. of reflections | 4558 | 5055 | 8935 |
| No. of parameters | 243 | 243 | 485 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\min }\left(\mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}\right)$ | $1.49,-1.21$ | $1.31,-0.38$ | $1.46,-0.47$ |

Computer programs: Bruker APEX2, Bruker SAINT, SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008),
SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2008), Olex 2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

Table 2.1: Crystal Structure data

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A\left(^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.89(3)$ | $1.94(3)$ | $2.812(2)$ | $168(3)$ |

Symmetry code(s): (i) $x,-y, z-1 / 2$.

Table 2.2: Selected hydrogen-bond parameters for (I)

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.86(2)$ | $2.05(2)$ | $2.8896(19)$ | $166(2)$ |

Symmetry code(s): (i) $x,-y, z-1 / 2$.

Table 2.3: Selected hydrogen-bond parameters for (II)

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D \cdots A(\AA)$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1B-H1B $\cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.80(3)$ | $2.02(3)$ | $2.818(3)$ | $174(3)$ |
| N1A—H1A $\cdots \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.83(3)$ | $2.07(3)$ | $2.884(3)$ | $165(2)$ |

Symmetry code(s): (i) $-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (ii) $-x, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.

Table 2.4: Selected hydrogen-bond parameters for (III)


Figure 2.2 The asymmetric unit of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme with displacement ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2.3 The asymmetric unit of (II), showing the atom-labelling scheme with displacement ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2.4 The asymmetric unit of (III), showing the atom-labelling scheme with displacement ellipsoids at 50\% probability level.


Figure 2.5 Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of chains of molecules running along $c$ axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.


Figure 2.6 Part of the crystal structure of (II), showing the formation of chains of molecules running along $c$ axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.


Figure 2.7 Part of the crystal structure of (III), showing the formation of chains of molecules running along $a$ axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.
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## Chapter 3

Diethyl 4-(biphenyl-4-yl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate

### 3.1 Abstract

The titled compound, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, has a flattened dihydropyridine ring and phenyl groups that are synclinal to one another. The calculated angle between the two planes of the phenyl groups is $49.82(8)^{\circ}$; the axis of the biphenyl rings [ $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ ] has an 81.05 $(9)^{\circ}$ angle to the plane of the dihydropyridine ring [ $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)\right]$. The plane of the central phenyl ring [ $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)]$ has $47.77(8)^{\circ}$ angle from the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)$ axis in the dihydropyridine ring. The packing of the molecule shows strong $\pi$-stacking interactions between intermolecular phenyl rings. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are also observed.


Figure 3.1: Strucure of the titled compound

### 3.2 Related Literature

For general structure-activity relationship study of 1,4-dihydropyridines (DHPs) on calcium channel, see: Bossert et al. (1981) and Triggle (2003). For the binding studies
of DHPs to multiple drug resistant protein 1 (MDR1), see: Abe et al. (1995), Cole et al. (1989), Tasaki et al. (1995), Vanhoefer et al. (1999), Tolomero et al. (1994), and Cindric et al. (2010).

### 3.3 Comment

Hantzsch 1,4-dihydropyridines (DHPs) are an extensively studied class of compounds that are known predominantly for their L-type voltage gated calcium channel modulation. (Bossert et al. 1981, Triggle 2003) There have been extensive structureactivity relationship (SAR) studies done on DHPs that have revealed the basic structural requirements for robust binding affinity to calcium channels. (Triggle 2003) Other studies in the field have shown that DHPs bind to multiple receptors, most notably the multiple drug resistant protein 1 (MDR1) (Abe et al. 1995, Cole et al. 1989, Tasaki et al. 1995, Vanhoefer et al. 1999, Tolomero et al. 1994, Cindric et al. 2010). Using established SAR more selective compounds can be designed for greater selectivity resulting in more clinically relevant compounds.

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, has very similar structural feature as other DHPs. Such features include a flattened boat conformation of the 1,4-DHP ring and two ester groups coplanar to the double bonds in the 1,4-DHP, with one carbonyl being cis and the other carbonyl being trans to the double bonds (Figure 1). Although the phenyl group attached at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ is still orthogonal to the bottom [C(1)-C(2)-C(4)-C(5)] of 1,4-DHP ring $\left(81.05(9)^{\circ}\right)$, it twists away from the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ axis with the degree of $47.77(8)^{\circ}$. The next phenyl ring twists again, with $49.82(8)^{\circ}$ from the center phenyl group, and becomes almost orthogonal to the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ axis $\left[12.97(9)^{\circ}\right]$. Upon anexpanded view, the $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the biphenyl groups from two molecules can be easily seen (Figure
2). The distances from one biphenyl group to another are 6.771 (3) $\AA$ And 6.510 (3) $\AA$ for the two phenyl rings. The packing of this molecule also involves intermolecular hydrogen bonding between $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ with a distance between $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ of $2.029 \AA$.
3.4 Synthesis and crystallization

An oven-dried 100 mL round bottom flask was charged with 1.90 g of biphenyl-4carbaldehyde, 2.86 g of ethyl acetoacetate, 2.49 mL of 14.8 M ammonium hydroxide, and a magenetic stir bar. The mixture was taken up in 50 mL of absolute ethanol, and the round bottom flask was fitted with a dean stark trap and heated until reflux while stirring. Reaction progress was monitored via TLC. Once the reaction was complete, excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The product was re-crystallized into white to yellow crystalline clumps with hexane and dichloromethane $($ yield $=1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 29.31 \%)$.

### 3.4 Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98$ $\AA ́$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$, and were allowed to rotate freely about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The rest of the H atoms were placed in the calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \backslash 1.00$ $\AA ́$ and refined as riding on their carrier atoms with $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$. The positions of amine H atoms were determined from a difference Fourier maps and refined freely along with their isotropic displacement parameters. One low-angle reflection was omitted from the refinement because its observed intensity was much lower than the calculated value as a result of being partially obscured by the beam stop.

Crystal data

| $\underline{\mathrm{C} 25 \mathrm{H} 27 \mathrm{NO}_{4}}$ | $\gamma=\underline{73.530(2)^{\circ}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{r}=\underline{405.47}$ | $V=\underline{1031.25(7)} \AA^{3}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Triclinic, }, \underline{P}}$ | $Z=\underline{2}$ |
| $a=\underline{7.3431(3)} \AA$ | $\underline{M o ~ K \alpha}$ radiation |
| $b=\underline{10.6075(4)} \AA$ | $\mu=\underline{0.09} \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $c=\underline{13.8449(6)} \AA$ | $T=\underline{100} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $\alpha=\underline{85.762(3)^{\circ}}$ | $\underline{0.15} \times \underline{0.14} \times \underline{0.13} \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\beta=\underline{88.124(3)^{\circ}}$ |  |

Data collection

## Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD

$\underline{4752}$ independent reflections
diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan
SADABS V2012/1 (Bruker AXS
$\underline{2983}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$
Inc.)
$T_{\min }=\underline{0.919}, T_{\max }=\underline{1.000}$

19956 measured reflections

$$
R_{\mathrm{int}}=\underline{0.072}
$$

$$
\theta_{\max }=\underline{27.6}^{\circ}
$$

## Refinement

| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.062}$ | $\underline{0}$ restraints |
| :---: | :---: |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.149}$ | $\underline{\text { H atoms treated by a mixture of }}$ |
| $\underline{\text { independent and constrained refinement }}$ |  |
| $S=\underline{1.01}$ | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{0.43} \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{4752}$ reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=\underline{-0.37} \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{279}$ parameters |  |

Table 3.1: Crystal Data

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.98 | 2.11 | 2.857 | $(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $x+1, y, z$.

Tabel 3.2 Hydrogen-bond geometry


Figure 3.2 : Crystal structure of the title compound with labeling and displacement ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 3.3: Packing diagram of the title compound, showing the intermolecular hydrogen bonds which form chain motifs running along the $\alpha$ axis. For the sake of clarity, H atoms that are not involved in H -bounds are removed.


Figure 3.4: Packing diagrams of the title compound, showing intermolecular C-H $\cdots$ interactions in dashed lines which cross link the molecules into a sheet motif running
slightly off the 110 plane. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the interaction are removed


Figure 3.5: Packing diagram of the title compound. The intermolecular interaction from the three dimensional network in the crystal packing. For the sake of clarity, H atoms that are not involved in the interactions are removed.
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## Chapter 4

Dimeric isoxazolyl-1,4-dihydropyridines inhibitors of the multidrug resistance transporter-1 (MDR-1)

### 4.1 Dimeric Compounds Used as MDR1 Modulators

The multidrug resistance transporter-1's (MDR1's) role in altering the uptake, distribution and bioavailability of xenobiotics is well known. MDR1 has also been shown to be a major contributor to the development of MDR in cancer, via preventing entry of therapeutically relevant drugs into the cancerous cell. As such, MDR1 is highly investigated as a drug target both for controlling absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of clinically relevant compounds and for the production of MDR inhibitors. However, the lack of effective third generation inhibitors in clinical trials has cast doubt on the usefulness of compounds that inhibit MDR in cancer treatment. ${ }^{[1,2,3]}$ The observation that dihydropyridines (DHPs) nicardipine ${ }^{[4]}$ and niguldipine ${ }^{[5,6]}$ exhibit activity as inhibitors of MDR $1{ }^{[7]}$ has stimulated renewed interest in DHPs as MDR1 inhibitors and is currently being investigated by multiple groups. ${ }^{[8,9,10]}$

A relatively new approach in drug design and one that is being utilized here, is the development of bivalent compounds. ${ }^{[11]}$ Pharmacophore modeling for MDR-1 indicates several common features for inhibitors, ${ }^{[12,13]}$ notably at least two aryl pockets, and a tether of approximately 3-5 methylenes, leading to another functional group that possesses H-bond donor and lipophilic groups. ${ }^{[14]}$ This is in agreement with previous indications that point to the existence of at least two spatially distant substrate binding sites inside the nucleotide binding domain
(NBDs). ${ }^{[15,16]}$ Two distinct binding sites provide the opportunity to inhibit MDR1 in two sites at the same time and as an affect exert twice the inhibitory activity. Designing multivalent inhibitors that interact at both potential binding sites is an attractive opportunity for the synthetic chemist to design MDR1 inhibitors.

In the vein of building on this research, some groups have prepared dimeric inhibitors that have shown improved inhibitory activity verses their monomer counterparts. Chmielewski's group used quinine and quinidine as a starting point (known compounds that are transported by MDR1) and observed that dimeric derivatives have been shown to have inhibitory activity at MDR1. ${ }^{[17][18]}$ Andrus's group has designed stipiamide homodimers that have shown robust MDR1 inhibitory activity. ${ }^{[19]}$ The synthesized dimers were cross linked with hydropholic polyethylene glycol (PEG) based tethers and found an optimum inhibitor of MDR1 with a tether length of approximately 35 Á. ${ }^{[19]}$ Early work with dimers experimented with different tether compounds include emetine, abacavir, quinine and quetiapine and have shown overall increased activity. ${ }^{[20,21]}$

Our hypothesis is that developing dimeric isoxazole-DHPs (IDHPs) should have an increase in activity, based on the existing precedent that dimers have a general trend in the literature of showing increased activity due to the possibility that MDR1 can simultaneously bind two ligands. ${ }^{[22,23]}$ Thus, a polyvalent inhibitor would bind more tightly to MDR1 and thus be an effective inhibitor. Additionally, dimeric compounds may introduce an element of selectivity. In his study of dimeric tethered DHPs at the voltage gated calcium channel (VGCC), Triggle found that analogs possessing a second 1,4-DHP
nucleus or possessing an inactive pyridine were less active, leading to the conclusion that such dimers likely do not bridge adjacent VGCC subunits. We reasoned we could use this observation, together with other known VGCC structure-activity relationships (SAR), to design greater selectivity for MDR1 into the IDHPs. With this general goal, we employed computational modeling as a guide to direct our synthetic efforts.


Figure 4.1: (left)The calculated distance between the Q-Site binding sites residing proximal to the nucleotide binding domains in the non-ATP bound state(apo) of MDR-1. (right) The calculated distance between the C 4 position of the IDHP and the variation in the distance as the tether length increases.

Figure 4.1 shows the non-ATP bound state of MDR1 and the calculated distance between the two NDBs. The calculated distance of $42.5 \AA$ represents the open to the inside conformation of MDR1 and as such will be the maximum distance that the NBDs will be separated. The NBDs will move towards each other after ATP hydrolysis and the $42.5 \AA ́$ distance will become substantially shorter as a result, reaching the minimum distance in the open-to-outside conformation of $12.7 \AA$. Using our model of the apo state, we used this as a guide to direct and synthesize bivalent IDHPs with a tether of $34.7 \AA$ Á. This is consistent with work done by Andrus, his study utilizing stimpiamide dimers showed that MDR1 inhibition dramatically increases with dimers that were around 20Á. Thus, the proposed bivalent IDHPs should be an ideal substrate for MDR1 and will trap

MDR1 in an intermediate inactive state. The direct measurement of the binding affinity of MDR1 for these compounds is useful in evaluating the computer model and predicting the overall performance of other potential inhibitors. Additionally, the use of these divalent IDHP adducts can provide some valuable information on the size of the substrate-binding pocket and it ability to accommodate multiple drugs.

Our research group has previously prepared divalent DHP-fluorophore conjugates which were linked by hydrocarbon tethers, ${ }^{[24]}$ but we wish to explore the potential advantages of longer PEG based tethers in this study. Due to the calculated distances between our putative targets we wanted to utilize a PEG tether due to the longer distances we wish to span, since our previous study experimented with hydrocarbon tethers, wherein folding by hydrophobic collapse was a concern. With a PEG tether we propose that a PEG won't undergo hydrophobic collapse in the binding cavity, and as such can be used as a bridge between the two DHP binding sites. This will potentially allow for both DHP sites to be blocked at the same time, without the issue of the tether folding. The end result will be halted function of MDR1.


3. a. $n=5$
b. $\mathrm{n}=3$
c. $n=2$

4. a. $R=H$ | b. $R=0-B r$ | $\quad c$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. $R=m-B r$ |  |
| d. $R=p-B r$ |  |

c
c. $R=m-B r$
d. $R=p-B r$

5. a. $R=H ; n=5$
b. $R=o-B r ; n=5$

6.

8.

Figure 4.2: Synthetic route for synthesis dimeric IDHPs
The synthesis of a library of compounds such as this is difficult and requires multiple synthetic steps to achieve the target compounds. The DHP substructure is synthesized from commercially available Bromobenzaldehydes as starting materials. The aldehyde is converted to an oxime, and followed by conversion to a bromobenzohydroximinoyl chloride via reaction with N-Chloro succinimide (NCS). Ethyl acetoacetate was used to perform a cycloaddition in the presence of sodium
ethoxide. ${ }^{[25]}$ After the cycloaddition the carboxyl group is reduced to the hydroxyl via Lithium Aluminum hydride (LAH) reduction. ${ }^{[26]}$ The resulting hydroxyl is then oxidized via Pyridinium Chlorochromate (PCC) to produce the isoxazolyl aldehydes (1a-d). While these steps have been described previously, it should be noted that the isoxazole aldehydes (1) in Scheme 4-2 were not commercially available. The isoxazole aldehydes ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a - d}$ ) were then reacted with ethyl acetoacetate in a Knoevenagel condensation reaction to produce half of the desired $\operatorname{IDHP}(\mathbf{2 a - d}) .{ }^{[27]}$ To produce the other half of the unsymmetrical IDHP, boronic acid catalyzed transesterification of ethyl acetoacetate with propargyl alcohol is used to produce prop-2-yn-1-yl 3-oxobutanoate (not shown). ${ }^{[28]}$ Prop-2-yn-1-yl 3-oxobutanoate is then reacted with the Knoevenagel product (2a-d) to finish the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis and achieve the desired DHP substructures (4ad). ${ }^{[29]}$ To attach the PEG tether to the synthesized DHP(4a-d)we utilized click chemistry ${ }^{[30]}$ A commercially available diol was used as a starting material for the PEG tether substructure. The diol was mesylated via the reaction with methanesulfonyl chloride and a PEG dimesylate results. The dimesylate was then reacted with sodium azide, to provide the diazides (3a-c). The diazide was then reacted with the unsymmetrical DHP (4a-d) via a copper catalyzed click reaction, forming a triazole ring in the process (5a-d). ${ }^{[31]}$ Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was synthesized in a similar matter, utilizing the unsymmetrical $\operatorname{DHP}(\mathbf{4 d})$ and clicking it with an azide formed from the commercially available phenethyl alcohol, initially envisioned as a triazole control (vide infra). By varying tether lengths as well as conducting a bromine scan around the 3-isoxazolylphenyl group allows us to examine SAR factors for this series of compounds.

### 4.2 Single Crystal X-ray Diffractometry of 7.c

The conformation of DHPs is important to their structure activity relationships, and IDHPs have particularly pronounced divergent topologies dependent on rotation about the bond joining the heterocyclic rings. In the VGCC arena, several parameters have been found to correlate with biological activity: the conformation of the esters at C3 and C-5 of the DHP, and the sum of the six intraring torsion angle in the flattened boat conformation of the DHP. We had earlier postulated that the heterocyclic ring juncture could well adapt divergent conformations dependent on the biomolecular target. We have found single crystal x-ray diffractometry useful to help inform our computational studies on rotational barriers and drug-receptor modeling.


Crystal data
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\hline \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} & \\ \hline M_{r}=\underline{489.36} & D_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{1.459} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} \\ \hline \underline{\text { Monoclinic, } \underline{C 2 / c}} & \text { Melting point: } \underline{?} \mathrm{~K}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\underline{?} \text { radiation, } \lambda=\underline{0.71073} \AA \\ \hline a=\underline{17.6211(9)} \AA \\ \hline b=\underline{15.3041(8)} \AA \\ \hline c=\underline{17.9370(9)} \AA \\ \text { Cell parameters from } \underline{323} \\ \text { reflections }\end{array}\right]$

## Data collection

| $\underline{?}$ | $\underline{4567}$ independent reflections |
| :--- | :--- |
| diffractometer | $\underline{4179}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$ |
| Radiation source: $\underline{?}$ | $R_{\mathrm{int}}=\underline{0.021}$ |
| $\underline{?}$ monochromator | $\theta_{\max }=\underline{26.4^{\circ}}, \theta_{\min }=\underline{1.8^{\circ}}$ |
| Detector resolution: $\underline{8.3333}$ pixels <br> $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | $h=\underline{-22} \underline{21}$ |
| Absorption correction: $\underline{\text { numerical }}$ <br> $\underline{S A D A B S ~ V 2012 / 1 ~(B r u k e r ~ A X S ~}$ | $k=\underline{-19} \underline{19}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Inc.) }}$ | $l=\underline{-22} \underline{22}$ |
| $T_{\min }=\underline{0.41}, T_{\max }=\underline{0.66}$ | $\underline{24782}$ measured reflections |

## Refinement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Refinement on } \underline{F^{2}} \\
& \text { Least-squares matrix: } \underline{\text { full }} \\
& R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.035}
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondary atom site location: ?
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$\underline{\text { H-atom parameters constrained }}$

| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.098}$ | $\frac{w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0521 P)^{2}+9.9351 P\right]}{\underline{w h e r e} P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S=\underline{1.07}$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=\underline{0.002}$ |
| $\underline{4567}$ reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{1.46} \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{285}$ parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=\underline{-0.45} \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{80}$ restraints | Extinction correction: $\underline{\text { none }}$ |

Tables containing the Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$, Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$, and Geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ are given in the Experimental Section.

### 4.3 Important Intermolecular interactions of 7c.

There is a plausible Halogen bond in the sc-xrd, as defined by the Br 1 to $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ distance of $3.363 \AA$ and halogen to nucleophile angle of $143.1^{\circ}$, which are within the tolerances for a moderate strength halogen bond according to the criteria suggested by Auffinger ${ }^{[32]}$, and Wilcken. ${ }^{[33]}$ The distance of the amine is another predicted key factor for the activity of both the VGCC and MDR. The distance of the hydrogen bound in the structure is $0.880 \AA$. The crystal structure shows hydrogen bounding interactions with the oxygen of an adjacent isoxzaole, O1 with the hydrogen bound to N1 of the DHP. This interaction could be indicative of key hydrogen bounding interactions with a given receptors.

### 4.4 Biological Activity: Comparison of binding at MDR1, the VGCC and mGluR.

While testing at the VGCC is used to establish reactivity with a known binding receptor for DHPs, the mGluR5 binding was used to establish general off target binding. mGluR5 is a 7HTM and as such it shares general receptor topology and binding at other
ubiquitous 7HTM receptors. As such, mGluR5 binding can be seen as a general measure of promiscuous off target binding.

| Structure | R | n | VGCC (nM) | mGluR $_{5}(\mathrm{nM})$ | MDR-1 <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.a | H | 0 | 13.9 | ND | $48.9^{5}$ |
| 7.b | $\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 0 | 909 | 1,524 | 59.6 |
| 7.c | $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 0 | 208 | $>10,000$ | 82.1 |
| 7.d | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 0 | 36 | $>10,000$ | 62.3 |
| 5.a | H | 5 | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ | 49.2 |
| 5.b | $\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 5 | $>10,000$ | 4,858 | 78.9 |
| 5.c | $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 5 | 3,766 | 8,638 | 146.6 |
| 5.d | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 5 | 2,706 | 193 | 130.1 |
| 5.e | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 3 | 516 | 546 | 30.4 |
| 5.f | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 2 | 603 | 933 | 102.9 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 0 | 127 | 1,972 | 202.7 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | H | 0 | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ | 102.4 |

Table 4.1: Summary of biological evaluation of Dimer IDHPs and relevant controls.
As a general statement, increased activity is observed with the inclusion of a triazole, as seen by both the activity of the dimers (5a-f) and with the click control (8), when comparing them to the monomers (7a-d) and the pyridine (8). The monomeric IDHPs(7a-d) exhibit robust calcium antagonist activity; and given Natale's previous work in the field this would be expected. The relationship of activity to substitution at the 3-aryl-isoxazole position is as followed $p-(7 \mathrm{~d})>m-(7 \mathrm{c})>o-(7 \mathrm{~b})$ and is consistent with previous observations. However, the range is more pronounced for the Br series, with the $p-(7 \mathrm{~d})$ over 25 times more potent than the $o-$, which may be attributed to the halogen bonding evidenced in the sc-xrd. The relationship for substitution at the isoxazole 3-aryl is maintained in both monomers(7a-d) and dimers(5a-f), with the activity following a trend of $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{H}$, however, the $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Br}(5 \mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Br}(5 \mathrm{~d})$ dimers have significantly more binding potency at MDR1.

The purpose of testing against three different receptor types is to examine selectivity factors that structural variations can have an effect on. The isoxazolylpyridine, (8) shows the greatest level of selectivity with regards to MDR1. Oxidation to the pyridine removes the potential hydrogen bound interactions from of the 1,4dihydropyridne nitrogen, this would be predicted to abolish VGCC activity. This was found to be the case, essentially abolishing the activity at both the VGCC and $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$, however its binding at the MDR1 was comparable to the cyclosporine A control. The effect of dimerization on the other hand is dramatic when considering selectivity. The effect of differing tether lengths presents a potential factor to engineer selectivity into compounds, with longer tethers being more selective for MDR1, and shorter tether showing more polypharmacology. Contrasting monomeric (7a-d) and dimeric (5a-f) compounds VGCC activity is essentially abolished for the dimer. The most active MDR1 inhibitor in the series,(6) shows a good deal of poly pharmacology which is consistent with the observation of shorter tethered compounds in the series. A more in-depth examination of the compound and the factors that contribute to its activity will be examined with computational modeling.

### 4.5 Computational Model for the most efficacious IDHP 6 at MDR1.

The mammalian MDR1 homology model that was engineered previously is utilized again here to explore the SAR function of the best compound. ${ }^{[34]}$ The proposed binding box that was established in our previous work is the site that was used to localize binding calculations. The best MDR1 inhibitor, compound 6, was calculated to bind in the previously defined, medium affinity cohort with the tethered end of the compound
extending into the high affinity cohort. The position of the compound as a whole is in agreement with previous generations of tethered compound development.


Figure 4.3: Binding calculations of the best binder in the series, compound 6 Table 4-1. Amino acid residues highlighted in purple are the overlap between the DHP covalent affinity site and the calculated binding site predicted by Q-site finder (Chapter 1, op cit).

A $6 \AA ̊$ sphere around compound 6 was then examined to establish close contacts and construct an SAR. The process taken to establish close contacts and develop an SAR is identical to that taken in the first generation of compound development (Chapter 1). As such, the process for this generation of SAR development is truncated and simplified, by comparison. To simplify the close contact interactions to a manageable amount of data compound $\mathbf{6}$ was broken done into three defined binding regions.


Figure 4.4: Predicted close contact interactions of compound 6.
Close contact interactions were then consolidated into a single figure (Figure 4.4), to produce an SAR. The majority of interactions new to this series are consolidated on the triazole substructure along with defined anchoring interaction on the phenethyl portion of the compound. This is in addition to the interactions that anchor the IDHP substructure, resulting in a logical increase in binding affinity.

The dimeric compounds were also examined by computational modeling. The compounds where found to have a number of binding interactions with the PEG tether clustered in the vicinity of the ATP binding site of MDR1. Thus, the enhanced binding of dimers my simply arise from the sum of both IDHPs which cluster on the edge of the site, in addition to the PEG interactions with polar amino acid residues in the ATP site.

The improved activity is another positive trend when comparing drug development generations. The central pharmacophore of the first generation compounds, the 3-phenyl-

5-methyl-IDHP, exhibited an inhibitory activity of $48.9 \%$ versus cyclosporine A. The currently most active compound $\mathbf{6}$ exhibited an inhibitory activity of $202.7 \%$, twice as efficacious as the cyclosporine A control, a substantial and significant improvement. Given that cyclosporine A inhibition is known to be around $800-900 \mathrm{nMol}^{[35]}$ at MDR1, and that compound $\mathbf{6}$ shows roughly twice the inhibition of cyclosporine A. Thus, compound $\mathbf{6}$ can then be assigned as a sub mMol inhibitor.


Figure 4.5: Computational Drug-receptor interaction for the most active compound at MDR1.
With the general trend for this generation of compound showing that dimerization increases activity, we want to further explore selectivity factors that we can introduce with synthetic modifications. The next generation of compound development (vide infra, Chapter 5) will focus on increasing selectivity factors while retaining our present level of inhibitory activity. With our lead compound $\mathbf{6}$ being more inherently "drug like" than the dimer compound (based primarily on the MW guidelines of Lipinski) our focus will be
directed at modifications to the compound $\mathbf{6}$ core structure. With this in mind, we examined the aspects that the previous generations have established and what aspects of the SAR we can leverage to increase selectivity. The continued examination and progress to this goal will be elaborated on in future chapters.

### 4.6 Experimental

## Synthesis of 1-Azido-2-[2-(2-\{2-[2-(2-azido-ethoxy)-ethoxy]-ethoxy\}-ethoxy)-ethoxy]-

 ethane. (3.a.) An oven dried round bottom flask ( 10 mL ) was charged with 1.0 g of hexaethylene glycol ( 0.282 mmol$), 0.717 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and 6.0 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with a magnetic stir bar at room temperature. The round bottom was then capped with a rubber septa placed under an argon atmosphere. A solution of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and water $(25 \mathrm{~g} / 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then made and placed in an insulated container. The round bottom was then placed in the $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} /$ water solution and dry ice was added, the solution was then allowed to reach $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .830 \mathrm{~mL}$ of MsCl was then added via gas-tight syringe. The reaction was then kept at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours. The reaction yielded a white-cream solution. Excess solvent was then removed via rotovap. The same 10 mL round bottom was then charged with 8 mL of EtOH and 0.464 g of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ at room temperature. The round bottom was fitted with a condenser and was then heated to reflux $\left(79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for an hour. The reaction yielded an orange solution. The solution was then extracted with EtOAc and brine three times, and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then contracted via rotovap yielding yellow-gold oil. ( $1.187 \mathrm{~g}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ )${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.54(\mathrm{bs} 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{bs} 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.5(\mathrm{~s} 4 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 70.51,69.88,50.33$

MS: $m / z=332.18(m+1,100 \%$ rel. intensity $) 333.156(100), 373.24(70)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{EtOAc} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.49$
1-Azido-2-\{2-[2-(2-azido-ethoxy)-ethoxy]-ethoxy\}-ethane (3.b.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.54(\mathrm{bs} 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{bs} 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s} 4 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 71.23,68.75,51.02$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2 ;}$ EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.36$
1-Azido-2-[2-(2-azido-ethoxy)-ethoxy]-ethane (3.c.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.53(\mathrm{bs} 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{bs} 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{bs} 4 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 70.83,68.36,50.56$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ;$ EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=.46$
General synthesis of 4-Bromo-benzaldehyde oxime. An oven dried round bottom ( 250 mL ) was charged with 4.3 g of 4-bromobenzaldhyde, 3.012 g of $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} * \mathrm{HCl}$, and 5.27 g of $\mathrm{NaCH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A mixture of THF:EtOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1: 1)$ was made $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added at room temperature to the round bottom along with a magnetic stir bar. The round bottom was then capped and allowed to stir for 72 hours. The reaction yielded a whitecream color solution. The solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and Brine three times, and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated via rotovap yielding a white solid. (4.24g, $21.692 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ 0.01), 2.18 (s 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.41,132.04,130.78,128.44,124.33$
MS: $m / z=199(\mathrm{M}+, 100 \%$ rel. intensity $), 240\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 9:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.22$
mp: $112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)

## 2-Bromo-benzaldehyde oxime

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=0.01), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}$ 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.83,133.18,131.26,127.62,127.45,123.86$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 9:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.32$
mp: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)

## 3-Bromo-benzaldehyde oxime

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{q} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(\mathrm{q} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{1H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.06,133.87,132.99,130.30,129.79,125.69,122.93$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2 \text {; }}$ Hexane:EtOAc 9:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52$
mp: $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)
General synthesis of Bromobenzohydroximinoyl chloride. An oven dried round bottom ( 250 mL ) was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $2.78 \mathrm{~g}(0.556 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $4-$ bromobenzaldehyde oxime, $2.07 \mathrm{~g}(0.276 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NCS}, 0.188 \mathrm{~g}$ of pyridine, and 13.2 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature. A mineral oil bath was then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the round bottom was then placed in the bath for five hours. The reaction yielded a light yellow solution. The solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and brine three times with 50 mL , and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then contracted via rotovap yielding a white-cream solid. ( 3.139 g ; 13.477 mmol ; $96.95 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.23 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.65 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.24,130.24,131.26,132.51,125.67$

MS: $m / z=232(\mathrm{M}+, 100 \%$ rel. intensity $) 231.94(\mathrm{M}+1,100), 273\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} 60\right)$ mp: $80.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $10: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.36$
General synthesis of 3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid ethyl ester. An oven dried round bottom $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was charged with $5.069 \mathrm{~g}(1.188 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4Bromobenzohydroximinoyl chloride, and left under the high vacuum over night. Another oven dried round bottom $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was charged with 274.99 mL of EtOH , and a magnetic stir bar, the round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. $1.29 \mathrm{~g}(0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Na was then weight out and placed in the EtOH , the solution was then stirred and the Na was allowed to dissolve. The round bottom with 4-Bromobenzohydroximinoyl chloride was then removed from the high vacuum and $7.32 \mathrm{~g}(0.953 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ethyl acetoacetate was added. The round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. The $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ mixture was then added to the 4-Bromobenzohydroximinoyl chloride/ ethyl acetoacetate mixture at room temperature. The round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. The solution was then stirred for three hours. The solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and Brine three times with 50 mL , and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated via rotovap yielding a yellow oil. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification compound yielded a white solid (2.109g, $6.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 45.43 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.56 \mathrm{~Hz}) 4.25(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.06,161.72,161.63,131.19,131.04,127.42,124.27,108.29$, $60.85,29.71,14.06,13.66$

MS: $m / z=309(\mathrm{M}+, 100 \%$ rel. intensity $) 311.02(\mathrm{M}+2,100), 350.78\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 50\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $10: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$
mp: $71-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)

## 3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid ethyl ester

${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12$
$(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.87 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.42,157.30,156.77,127.66,126.19,126.06,122.29,188.82$,
104.95, 55.84, 8.45

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 10:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26$
3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{t} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{q}$ $2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.51,156.96,156.46,127.97,127.68,125.70,124.75,123.32$, 117.10, 103.62, 56.13, 8.84

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 10:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.38$
General synthesis of [3-(Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-methanol. An oven dried 100 ml 2-neck round bottom was charged with 0.434 g ( 1.40 mmol ) and a magnetic stir bar and the vessel was sealed and placed under a vacuum. A oven dried condenser was then attached to the 2 neck round bottom and the system was seal and placed under a vacuum and flushed with argon, this process was performed three times. The 2-neck round bottom was then placed in an ice bath and was allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly
distilled THF (10ml) was then added to the 2-neck round bottom and the solution was again allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .065 \mathrm{~g}(0.0025 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was then added in potions to the solution, there was slight foaming that was observed. The reaction was then left to react and was allowed to come to room temperature. Reaction progress was checked by TLC, after the reaction was completed the mixture was quenched with sodium sulfate decahydrate $(1 \mathrm{~g})$, foaming was observed. The solution was then purified via filtering it threw a silica plug with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the resulting solution was then concentrated via rotovap yielding a yellow oil. ( $0.283 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 75.5 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.07), 7.48(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $8.07 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.75,161.62,132.09,129.84,128.01,124.26,112.69,53.73$, 11.17

MS: $m / z=266.98(\mathrm{M}+, 80 \%$ rel. intensity $), 307.24\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 70\right), 533.84\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}+30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 3:1:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=.086$
[3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-methanol
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47$ (s 3H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.52,157.58,128.20,126.99,126.35,122.76,118.16,109.70$, 49.22, 6.63

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 3: 1: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=.12$

## [3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-methanol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (s 2H), 2.44 (s 3H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.15,156.55,127.98,126.39,125.63,122.15,118.09,108.12$, 48.56, 6.63

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 3: 1: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=.11$
(5-Methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-methanol
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.54 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.54 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}$ 1H), 2.29 (s 3H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.76,162.50,129.76,128.81,128.24,113.10,53.04,10.93$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 3:1:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.08$
General synthesis of 3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde. (1.d.)
An oven dried 200 ml round bottom was charged with 0.283 g ( 1.06 mmol ) of [3-(4-
Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-methanol, 15 ml of dichloromethane, 2.04 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and a magnetic stir bar. .415 g of pyridinium chlorochromate was then added and the vessel was sealed and allowed to react for 2 hours. Reaction progress was checked by TLC, once the reaction was complete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification yielded yellow oil. ( $.277 \mathrm{~g} 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.95(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}$ 3H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.87,177.40,161.16,132.18,130.51,126.07,125.19,115.04$, 12.94

MS: $m / z=264.97(\mathrm{M}+, 70 \%$ rel. intensity $), 306.81\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60\right), 530.09\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}+30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane: EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$

## 3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde. (1.d.)

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.68(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.38 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}$ 1H), 2.79 (s 3H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.55,170.72,157.22,128.54,127.06,, 124.43,122.99,118.26$, 8.31

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.14$
3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde. (1.c.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.61(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (s 3H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.56,178.36,168.03,133.45,129.78,124.21,121.89,119.45$, 13.05

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.84$
5-Methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde. (1.a)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.90(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}$ 1H), 2.72 (s 3H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.36,168.96,166.03,132.67,130.05,128.93,128.05,109.77$, 12.31

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$ General synthesis of 2-Acetyl-3-[3-(4-bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-acrylic acid ethyl ester. (2.d.) An oven dried 50mL round bottom was charged with 0.95 g of 5-methyl-3-phenyl-1,2-oxazole-4-carbaldehyde, 0.799 g of Ethyl Acetoacetate, 4 mL of Benzene where added at room temperature. The solution was allowed to stir, and 0.1325 g of glacial acidic acid and 0.054 g of piperidine was added. The round bottom was then fitted with a Dean-Stark trap and a condenser. The solution was then allowed to reflux for three hours; with the reaction progress
monitored by TLC. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and the excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification yielded yellow oil. ( $0.761 \mathrm{~g}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.12,169.17,165.80,160.44,137.70,132.11,129.50,131.45$, $127.45,124.46,109.55,61.63,13.75,12.19$

MS: $m / z=377.03(\mathrm{M}+, 50 \%$ rel. intensity $) 418.45\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 1:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.39$
2-Acetyl-3-[3-(2-bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-acrylic acid ethyl ester.
(2.b.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.24(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.57 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~J}=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.36,168.96,166.03,161.50,138.26,132.30,130.71,128.93$, $128.05,109.77,61.60,27.82,13.79,12.13$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 1:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.41$
2-Acetyl-3-[3-(3-bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-acrylic acid ethyl ester.
(2.c.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.84 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.42 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.24,169.12,165.83,162.78,148.65,137.68,132.11,130.41$, $131.96,124.93,127.45,110.15,59.67,24.65,14.04,12.18$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $1: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30$

2-Acetyl-3-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-acrylic acid ethyl ester. (2.a.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=12.72 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=12.72 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99$
$(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.05 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.36,168.96,166.03,161.50,137.26,132.67,128.93,128.05$, 109.77, 61.60, 27.82, 13.79, 12.31

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $1: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.39$
Synthesis of 3-Oxo-butyric acid prop-2-ynyl ester. An oven dried round bottom $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was charged with a magnetic stir bar, 4.64 g of Ethyl Acetoacetate, 2.00 g of propargyl alcohol, .22 g of boric acid, and 40 mL of Toluene added at room temperature. The round bottom was then fitted with a dean stark trap, a condenser and a drying tube. The solution was then heated to reflux and left for 144 hours. The reaction yielded a light red solution. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification compound yielded yellow oil. ( $2.868 \mathrm{~g}, 20.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.68(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}$ 3 H ),
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.03,166.27,104.98,89.06,52.60,49.56,30.08$
MS: $m / z=140.047(\mathrm{M}+100 \%$ rel. intensity $) 142.07(\mathrm{M}+2,90), 183.78\left([\mathrm{M}+2]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, 60)

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2 \text {; }}$ Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$
General Synthesis of 4-[3-(Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. (7.d.) An oven dried 100ml round bottom was charged with $0.107 \mathrm{~g}(0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde, $0.11 \mathrm{~g}(0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ethyl acetoacatate, 50 ml of benzene
and a magnetic stir bar. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature. 0.10 ml of $30 \%$ aqueous ammonia was measured out along with 0.90 ml of methanol, the mixture was then added to the 100 ml round bound bottom containing (2Z)-2-[(5-methyl-3-pheyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)methylidene]-3-oxobutanoate. The round bottom was then fitted with an oven dried condenser and the solution was brought to reflux $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction process was monitored via TLC. Once complete the solution was then cooled to room temperature and the excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via recrystallized from ethyl acetate and hexanes to give yellow crystals $(0.084 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.0411 \mathrm{mmol}, 42.92 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{bs}$ 1H), 3.52 (s 6H), 2.43 ( s 3 H )
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.27,166.26,162.71,145.31,143.76,143.52,131.24,130.72$,
$130.01,122.88,119.46,101.14,59.85,51.31,29.29,29.16,19.35,14.46,11.46$
MS: $m / z=489.15(\mathrm{M}+, 100 \%$ rel. intensity $), 530.36\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 70\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2927.62,2831.02,1741.66,1259.19,1179.41,1156.61,727.32$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.17$
4-[3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-

## 3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. (7.b.)

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $5.90 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.64 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $4.64 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.33,165.60,162.95,144.54,132.46,132.25,130.00,126.24$, 125.01, 118.27, 100.47, 59.79, 29.24, 19.61, 14.61, 11.54

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 489.1023$ found 489.1025
MS: $m / z=489(\mathrm{M}+, 100)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2917.91,22831.02,1734.06,1361.76,1213.60,1164.17,746.23$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.07$
4-[3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-
3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. (7.c.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=3.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=3.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ 7.03 Hz )
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.27,166.09,162.48,144.08,133.18,132.50,129.21,128.44$, $121.64,119.41,101.00,59.87,29.41,19.51,14.51,11.50$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 489.1028$ found 489.1025
MS: $m / z=489(\mathrm{M}+, 100) 530\left([\mathrm{M}+2]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 20\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3001.51,2975.15,1737.86,1351.12,1224.92,731.45$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2 \text {; }}$ Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26$
2,6-Dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-
dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. (7.a.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.62 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.62 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}$
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.89 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}$ $6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.23,167.44,166.32,165.81,163.92,147.32,130.25,128.63$, $125.77,121.32,101.12,59.81,31.65,20.87,14.51,12.34$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.17$

## General Synthesis of 4-[3-(Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-

 dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester (4.d.). An oven dried 100 ml round bottom was charged with $0.82 \mathrm{~g}(2.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ethyl (2Z)-2-[(5-methyl-3-pheyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)methylidene]-3-oxobutanoate, 0.305 g ( 2.17 mmol ) of prop-2-yn-1-yl 3-oxobutanoate, 50 ml of absolute ethanol and a magnetic stir bar the vessel was sealed and the solution was allowed to stirrer at room temperature. In a 10 ml graduated cylinder 0.47 ml of $30 \%$ ammonia was measured out along with 0.53 ml of absolute ethanol, the mixture was then added to the 100 ml round bound bottom containing ethyl (2Z)-2-[(5-methyl-3-pheyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)methylidene]-3oxobutanoate and prop-2-yn-1-yl 3-oxobutanoate. The 100 ml round bottom was then placed on a heating mantel and fitted with a oven dried condenser. The solution was then refluxed $\left(78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 12 hours during which time the solution turned yellow. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and the excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via recrystallized from ethyl acetate and hexanes to give off yellow crystals. ( $0.355 \mathrm{~g}, 0.713 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ )${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}$ $2 \mathrm{H}) 4.56(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{qq}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00(\mathrm{qq}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.50$
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.28,166.21,162.70,145.35,143.78,131.23,130.72,122.84$, $119.47,101.62,101.12,74.68,59.85,51.31,29.69,29.16,19.35,14.46,11.46$

MS: $m / z=499.35(\mathrm{M}+, 100 \%$ rel. intensity $), 540.86\left([\mathrm{M}+]+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12$

4-[3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester. (4.b.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d} 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=3.04 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(\mathrm{qq} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 167.33, 165.50, 162.95, 144.54, 132.46, 132.25, 132.11, 130.00, $126.24,125.01,118.27,100.47,100.47,59.79,29.24,19.61,14.61,11.54$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12$
4-[3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester. (4.c.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ $(\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=2.51 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{qq} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}$ $3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.27,166.09,162.48,144.08,133.18,132.50,131.51,129.21$, $128.44,121.64,119.41,101.00,59.87,29.41,19.51,14.51,11.50$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.15$
2,6-Dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester. (4.a)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.72 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.72 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}$ $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.18,167.44,166.41,165.79,163.81,146.01,144.39,130.76$, $129.52,127.55,119.43,101.43,74.59,60.40,29.20,19.15,14.47,11.52$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ;$ Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$
Synthesis of (2-Azido-ethyl)-benzene. An oven dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with $1.010 \mathrm{~g}(8.268 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-Phenyl-ethanol, a magnetic stir bar. Chloroform was then added and the round bottom was capped with a septum and the solution was then allowed to stir at room temperature. The round bottom was cooled in bath of a of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution and water $(25 \mathrm{~g} / 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The round bottom was then placed in the $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} /$ water solution and dry ice was added (to the cooling solution), the solution was then allowed to reach $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( MsCl ) 1.031 mL was then added via gas-tight syringe. The reaction was then kept at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours. The solution was then allowed to reach room temperate and was then left to stir at room temperature overnight. Excess solvent was removed via rotovap, to give a white solid. The same 100 mL round bottom was then charged with 25 mL of EtOH and 0.585 g of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ at room temperature. The reaction was then allows to stir at room temperature over night. The reaction yielded an amber solution. The solution was then extracted with EtOAc and Brine three times, and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then contracted via rotovap yielding a yellow-gold oil. ( $0.924 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.30 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.30 \mathrm{~Hz})$

TLC: SiO 2 ; $\mathrm{EtOAc} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=.76$
General Synthesis of 4-[3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-

## 1,4-dihydro-pyridine 3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-(1-phenethyl-1H-

[1,2,3]triazol-4-ylmethyl) ester. (6.) An oven dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with 0.038 g ( 0.259 mmol ) of (2-Azido-ethyl)-benzene and a magnetic stir bar. The sample
was then taken up in freshly distilled THF and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature. Once the (2-Azido-ethyl)-benzene was completely dissolved 0.129 g ( 0.259 mmol ) of 4-[3-(Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester was added in potions. The round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and the solution was allowed to stir. The solution was allowed to stir until all reagents where dissolved, 0.011 g of Copper(I)-bromide was then added and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 Hr . Reaction progress was monitored via TLC, once the compete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via silica column yielding yellow oil $(0.0527 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0816 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.29 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=12.30 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.14(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.14,167.04,166.55,144.59,143.54,136.89,131.20,130.79$, $129.93,128.85,128.69,127.13,122.89,101.52,100.64,60.43,59.89,56.88,51.68$, $45.91,36.63,26.39,21.08,19.46,19.26,14.45,19.26,14.45,14.22,11.33,8.67$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} 646.1685$ found 646.1665
MS: $m / z=645\left(M\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right), 20 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 646(\mathrm{M}+, 80), 647([\mathrm{M}+1]+, 35), 648$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+1]+\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 78\right)$

IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2992.36,1734.06,1365.56,1255.39,1278.18,1221.20,761.51,746.32$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.55$

## Synthesis of 2,6-Dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-pyridine-3,5-

dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. (8.) The 2,6-Dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester was placed in a 50 mL oven dried round bottom along with a magnetic stir bar and 0.085 g of DI water. The solution was then allowed to stir at room temperature while, 0.227 g of HNO 3 and 0.0246 g of H 2 SO 4 was added. The solution was then heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and white foam was observed, after 10 min . at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution turned a light yellow. The solution was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was then taken up in ice water and LiOH was added slowly until the solution was neutral. The solution was then added to a speratory funnel and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and Brine with 50 mL three times. The solution was then dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered; the excess solvent was then removed via rotovap yielding a pale yellow oil that was then crystallized with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and Hexanes. ( 0.100 g , $0.245 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ $(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.01 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.18,165.91,159.70,155.29,134.52,128.66,127.56,126.56$, $109.18,60.63,22.09,12.57,10.38$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 408.1685$ found 408.1692
MS: $m / z=409\left(\mathbf{M}^{+1}, 100\right), 410\left(\mathrm{M}^{+2}, 40\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3007.46,1737.86,1441.54,1365.56,1259.19,1217.60,746.32$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexanes:EtOAc (4:1) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.31$

General Synthesis of 3-[1-(17-\{4-[(\{4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl\}carbonyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl\}-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptadecan-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]methyl 5-ethyl 4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate. (5.d.) An oven dried 100 ml round bottom was charged with 0.164 g (.328mmol) of 4-[3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-prop-2-ynyl ester, 0.034 g of 1-Azido-2-[2-(2-\{2-[2-(2-azido-ethoxy)-ethoxy]-ethoxy\}-ethoxy)-ethoxy]ethane, 0.010 g of Copper(I) bromide, 0.010 g of Copper sulfate anhydride and a magnetic stir bar. 50 ml of freshly distilled THF was then added and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature. Sodium ascorbate $(0.003 \mathrm{~g})$ was then added and the solution was allowed to react. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC, once the reaction was completed excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification yielded yellow oil. ( $0.0067 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.34 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{J}=5.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{16H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s} 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}$ $=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.74,166.68,159.89,156.71,135.10,131.91,131.22,130.77$, $129.04,128.17,127.45,124.90,124.24,109.43,71.36,70.59,69.35,69.303,61.72$, $58.48,42.76,37.76,31.94,29.71,23.16,14.13,13.63,11.25$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{15} 1329.3467$ found 1329.3462

MS: $m / z=1327\left(\mathrm{M}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right) 100 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 1328(\mathrm{M}, 62), 1329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+1}, 97\right), 1330$
$\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 60\right) 1331\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 60\right), 1332\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+2\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 40\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3014.92,2962.68,1746.26,1492.10,1369.40,1216.41,753.73$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.56$
Then run a column in 4:1:3:2 (EtOAc:MeOH:Hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$
3-[1-(17-\{4-[(\{4-[3-(2-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-
2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl\}carbonyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl\}-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptadecan-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]methyl 5-ethyl 4-[3-(2-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5dicarboxylate. (5.b.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $5.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.77 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}$ $16 \mathrm{H}), 2.36$ (s 6H), 1.96 (s 9H), $1.23(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.24,132.21,131.98,130.28,126.40,100.36,71.28,70.56$, 69.96, 69.47, 68.96, 65.56, 61.91, 59.69, 50.64, 47.62, 42.81, 37.70, 32.16, 30.48, 29.63, $23.41,19.16,15.14,14.56,11.28$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{15} 1329.3467$ found 1329.3467
MS: $m / z=1327\left(\mathrm{M}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right) 35 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 1328(\mathrm{M}, 30), 1329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+1}, 97\right), 1330$
$\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 50\right) 1331\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 100\right), 1332\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+2\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 40\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2917.91,2850.74,1731.34,1496.26,1261.19,1164.17,733.12$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Col}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.48$

3-[1-(17-\{4-[(\{4-[3-(3-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl\}carbonyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl\}-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptadecan-1-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]methyl 5-ethyl 4-[3-(3-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5dicarboxylate. (5.c.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ $(\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=10.54 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{q}$ $2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=10.79 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{16H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}$ $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s} 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.18,166.31,162.49,145.12,144.12,133.04,132.73,132.40$, $131.54,130.44,13.026,129.28,128.39,126.18,124.96,121.62,199.30,101.11,100.33$, $71.35,70.62,69.39,69.01,66.64,59.85,58.44,56.92,50.69,43.38,42.79,41.44,37.75$, $29.41,23.17,23.09,19.46,19.29,14.48,13.62,11.30$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{15} 1329.3467$ found 1329.3450
MS: $m / z=1327\left(\mathrm{M}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right) 35 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 1328(\mathrm{M}, 20), 1329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+1}, 100\right), 1330$
$\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 60\right) 1331\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 100\right), 1332\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]+2\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 50\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3007.46,2970.14,1738.80,1432.83,1227.61,1216.41,761.51$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52$
3-(1-\{17-[4-(\{[5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2,6-dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl]carbonyloxy\}methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptadecan-1-yl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl 5-ethyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate. (5.a.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=4.77 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.77 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{16H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d} 9 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.19 (t 6H J $=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.15,168.55,167.21,169.96,151.03,144.05,130.90,129.78$, $129.44,129.30,128.68,128.40,127.51,106.88,101.17,88.97,71.28,70.60,70.51$, 69.93, 69.37, 68.94, 66.48, 63.51, 61.56, 59.56, 55.57, 51.58, 50.65, 42.73, 37.64, 35.87, 29.57, 29.20, 23.50, 22.58, 21.50, 18.84, 15.10, 14.40, 14.02, 12.41, 11.06

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{15} 1171.5100$ found 1171.5134
MS: $m / z=1169([\mathrm{M}-2] 100 \%$ rel. intensity $), 1170([\mathrm{M}-1] 70), 1171([\mathrm{M}] 40), 1172$ ([M+] 10)

IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2972.26,2831.20,1734.06,1571.92,1357.96,1225.00,727.32$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2 ;}$ EtOAc:MeOH: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52$
3-[1-(2-\{2-[2-(2-\{4-[(\{4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl\}carbonyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl\}ethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy\}ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]methyl 5-ethyl 4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate. (5.e)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.52), 7.50(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(\mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{8H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~d} 9 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.21,162.62,145.12,143.89,131.90,131.12,130.78,129.92$, $129.02,122.88,119.54,101.29,100.39,72.46,70.67,70.06,69.34,61.72,59.81,50.67$, 42.84, 29.69, 19.03, 14.45, 11.30

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{Br}_{2} 1241.2943$ found 1241.2950
MS: $m / z=1239\left(\left[\mathrm{M}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right)\right] 60 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 1240([\mathrm{M}] 40), 1241\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 1242$ $\left.\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right) 60\right), 1243([\mathrm{M}+2] 70), 1244([\mathrm{M}+3] 50)$

IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2917.91,2850.74,1731.31,1496.26,1261.19,1164.17,697.76$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52$
3-(1-\{2-[2-(2-\{4-[(\{4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridin-3-yl\}carbonyloxy)methyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl\}ethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl\}-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl 5-ethyl 4-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5dicarboxylate. (5.f.)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(\mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{q} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.83 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88$ $(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(\mathrm{t} 4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{H}$ J $=6.27 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}$ $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t} 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.25,143.96,131.97,131.18,130.81,129.89,129.03,122.98$, $101.28,71.30,70.74,70.47,70.32,69.15,61.75,59.83,53.51,50.67,42.92,29.70,29.36$, $19.04,15.16,14.46,13.64,11.36$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2} 1197.2633$ found 1197.2681
MS: $m / z=1195\left(\left[\mathrm{M}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right)\right] 80 \%\right.$ rel. intensity $), 1196([\mathrm{M}] 60), 1197\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 1198$ $\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right]\left({ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right) 80\right), 1199([\mathrm{M}+2] 100), 1200([\mathrm{M}+3] 60)$

IR: $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3014.92,2962.68,1746.26,1429.10,1369.40,1216.41,753.73$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1: 2) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5$

## MDR-1 Assay.

Screening of IDHPs was performed by the Psychoactive Drug Screening Program (PDSP) of NIMH. The PDSP protocol utilizes live Caco-2 cells, which are derived from human colonic epithelium cells which express MDR-1. ${ }^{9}$ The assay is based on the passive diffusion of calcein acetoxymethyl ester (Calcein-AM), which is hydrolyzed inside the cell to calcein, which is both fluorescent and negatively charged, and therefore trapped inside the cell. MDR-1 can transport non-fluorescent Calcein-AM from cells, but not hydrolyzed calcein. The assay measures the increase in calcein fluorescence as a function of time using a FlexStation II fluorimeter (Molecular Devices) in 96 well plates in which

AM was added to a final concentration of 150 nM . Fluorescence is monitored over 4
control. The value from untreated cells is $0 \%$ and the slope of the fluorescence is normalized taking the value for cyclosporin as $100 \% .^{10}$

The results are shown in Table 4-1.

## mGluR 5 Assay

Screening of the IDHP was performed by the PDSP of the NIMH. IDHPs are tested using cell lines stably expressing Gq protein mGluR5 receptors in a functional assay. Cells are seeded and cultured in 96 well plates until a level of confluency is established around 3 to 4 days after seeding. After which the cells are incubated overnight and in a glutamine deprived medium along with myo-[3H]-inositol (NEN) to act as a label on the membrane
phosphoinosites of the cell. After incubation is complete the cells are washed with a Locke's buffer and a second round of incubation with the IDHP is carried out for 45 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Lock's buffer containing 20 mM LiCl to hinder the degradation of the inositol phosphate. The incubation is then terminated via aspiration and $[3 \mathrm{H}]$ inosital phosphates are extracted in 60 ul or 10 mM formic acid for 30 min . Samples of the aspirated media (40ul) is then transferred to opaque welled plates and incubated with polylysine-coated yttrium scintillation proximity assay (SPA) beads at room temperature for 1 hour with vigorous shaking. The sample is then allowed to incubate for an additional 8 hours with the SPA beads after which the inositol phosphates are quantified via a scintillation counter.

## VGCC Assay

Binding to the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ channel was conducted by PDSP. The binding assay was carried out in $125 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per well with 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCL}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, at room temperature. The radioactive ligand, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ Nitrendipine, was tested at a concentration close to its Kd of $4.77 \pm 1.75 \mathrm{nM}$. Total binding and nonspecific binding is determined in the presence and absence of Nifedipine at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Plates are incubated at room temperature in the dark for 90 min . Reactions are halted by vacuum filtration into a $0.3 \%$ polyethyleneimine (PEI) soaked 96-well filter mats utilizing a 96 well filtermate harvester. This is followed by washing with a cold wash buffer of 50 nM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ 7.4. The scintillation cocktail was then melted into a microwave dried filter on a hot place. The radioactivity is then counted in a microbeta counter and the inhibition is calculated using excel.

## Geometric parameters of 7c.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br1 | 0.13632 (2) | 0.25468 (2) | 0.37558 (2) | 0.02823 (9) |
| O1 | 0.43075 (9) | 0.29377 (11) | 0.11607 (10) | 0.0283 (3) |
| O2 | 0.33685 (9) | 0.38628 (9) | 0.03190 (9) | 0.0209 (3) |
| O3 | -0.00779 (9) | 0.29679 (11) | 0.03098 (9) | 0.0257 (3) |
| O4 | 0.05653 (8) | 0.38995 (9) | -0.02185 (8) | 0.0187 (3) |
| O5 | 0.23363 (9) | 0.57903 (9) | 0.13294 (9) | 0.0217 (3) |
| N1 | 0.23174 (10) | 0.19821 (10) | 0.17407 (10) | 0.0174 (3) |
| H1 | 0.2398 | 0.1592 | 0.2124 | 0.021* |
| N2 | 0.25085 (11) | 0.54099 (11) | 0.20923 (10) | 0.0201 (3) |
| C1 | 0.29821 (12) | 0.22402 (12) | 0.15734 (11) | 0.0169 (4) |
| C2 | 0.29080 (11) | 0.29224 (12) | 0.10686 (11) | 0.0141 (3) |
| C3 | 0.21215 (11) | 0.34605 (11) | 0.07315 (10) | 0.0130 (3) |
| H3 | 0.1986 | 0.3552 | 0.0142 | 0.016* |
| C4 | 0.14028 (11) | 0.29744 (12) | 0.08116 (11) | 0.0139 (3) |
| C5 | 0.15317 (12) | 0.23029 (12) | 0.13390 (11) | 0.0159 (4) |
| C6 | 0.08914 (14) | 0.18422 (14) | 0.15562 (13) | 0.0245 (4) |
| H6A | 0.1132 | 0.1316 | 0.1871 | 0.037* |
| H6B | 0.0426 | 0.1677 | 0.1060 | 0.037* |
| H6C | 0.0699 | 0.2233 | 0.1879 | 0.037* |
| C7 | 0.37467 (13) | 0.17054 (14) | 0.19898 (13) | 0.0257 (4) |
| H7A | 0.4155 | 0.2056 | 0.2415 | 0.039* |
| H7B | 0.3974 | 0.1525 | 0.1595 | 0.039* |
| H7C | 0.3609 | 0.1186 | 0.2232 | 0.039* |
| C8 | 0.36060 (12) | 0.32102 (13) | 0.08757 (12) | 0.0186 (4) |
| C9 | 0.40158 (15) | 0.42942 (16) | 0.01577 (16) | 0.0320 (5) |


| H9A | 0.3787 | 0.4559 | -0.0389 | 0.038* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H9B | 0.4439 | 0.3862 | 0.0172 | 0.038* |
| C10 | 0.44005 (19) | 0.4990 (2) | 0.0777 (2) | 0.0518 (8) |
| H10A | 0.4833 | 0.5286 | 0.0658 | 0.078* |
| H10B | 0.4641 | 0.4723 | 0.1316 | 0.078* |
| H10C | 0.3979 | 0.5415 | 0.0764 | 0.078* |
| C11 | 0.05621 (11) | 0.32540 (12) | 0.03009 (11) | 0.0169 (4) |
| C12 | -0.02498 (12) | 0.41577 (15) | -0.07884 (12) | 0.0251 (4) |
| H12A | -0.0581 | 0.4380 | -0.0492 | 0.030* |
| H12B | -0.0539 | 0.3649 | -0.1117 | 0.030* |
| C13 | -0.01450 (14) | 0.48594 (15) | -0.13250 (13) | 0.0265 (4) |
| H13A | $-0.0687$ | 0.5042 | -0.1715 | 0.040* |
| H13B | 0.0183 | 0.4633 | -0.1615 | 0.040* |
| H13C | 0.0137 | 0.5362 | -0.0995 | 0.040* |
| C14 | 0.20205 (14) | 0.54318 (14) | -0.00658 (13) | 0.0255 (4) |
| H14A | 0.1532 | 0.5807 | -0.0259 | 0.038* |
| H14B | 0.1924 | 0.4920 | -0.0419 | 0.038* |
| H14C | 0.2495 | 0.5759 | -0.0074 | 0.038* |
| C15 | 0.21875 (12) | 0.51432 (12) | 0.07741 (12) | 0.0178 (4) |
| C16 | 0.22413 (11) | 0.43523 (12) | 0.11286 (11) | 0.0137 (3) |
| C17 | 0.24542 (11) | 0.45621 (12) | 0.19624 (11) | 0.0146 (3) |
| C18 | 0.26466 (12) | 0.39629 (12) | 0.26628 (10) | 0.0155 (4) |
| C19 | 0.20191 (12) | 0.36074 (12) | 0.28569 (11) | 0.0169 (4) |
| H19 | 0.1460 | 0.3755 | 0.2551 | 0.020* |
| C20 | 0.22263 (13) | 0.30334 (12) | 0.35054 (11) | 0.0196 (4) |
| C21 | 0.30353 (14) | 0.28160 (13) | 0.39662 (12) | 0.0235 (4) |
| H21 | 0.3165 | 0.2426 | 0.4410 | 0.028* |
| C22 | 0.36550 (14) | 0.31774 (14) | 0.37688 (12) | 0.0259 (4) |


| H22 | 0.4214 | 0.3034 | 0.4081 | $0.031^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C23 | $0.34645(12)$ | $0.37472(13)$ | $0.31184(12)$ | $0.0215(4)$ |
| H23 | 0.3892 | 0.3989 | 0.2985 | $0.026^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04300 \\ & (16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02509 \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02490 \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ | $-0.00891$ <br> (9) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02224 \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $-0.00250$ <br> (8) |
| O1 | 0.0180 (7) | 0.0354 (9) | 0.0343 (8) | 0.0043 (6) | 0.0131 (6) | 0.0014 (7) |
| O2 | 0.0214 (7) | 0.0203 (7) | 0.0271 (7) | -0.0033 (5) | 0.0163 (6) | 0.0006 (6) |
| O3 | 0.0157 (7) | 0.0395 (9) | 0.0204 (7) | -0.0050 (6) | 0.0055 (5) | 0.0005 (6) |
| O4 | 0.0146 (6) | 0.0196 (7) | 0.0179 (6) | 0.0030 (5) | 0.0022 (5) | 0.0022 (5) |
| O5 | 0.0296 (8) | 0.0110 (6) | 0.0242 (7) | -0.0007 (5) | 0.0100 (6) | 0.0018 (5) |
| N1 | 0.0 | 0. | 0.0178 (7) | , | 0090 (6) | 0.0034 (6) |
| N2 | 0.0249 | 0.0138 (8) | 0.0204 (8) | -0.0003 (6) | 0.0075 (7) | 0.0005 (6) |
| C1 | 0.0198 (9) | 0.0146 (8) | 0.0167 (8) | 0.0022 (7) | 0.0074 (7) | -0.0019 (7) |
| C2 | 0.0141 (8) | 0.0138 (8) | 0.0154 (8) | 0.0004 (6) | 0.0068 (7) | -0.0020 |
| C3 | 0.0152 (8) | 0.0116 (8) | 0.0133 (8) | -0.0002 (6) | 0.0065 (6) | -0.0002 (6) |
| C4 | 0.0142 (8) | 0.0141 (8) | 0.0134 (8) | -0.0014 (6) | 0.0055 (7) | -0.0024 (6) |
| C5 | 0.0195 (9) | 0.0134 (8) | 0.0162 (8) | -0.0038 (7) | 0.0086 (7) | -0.0042 (7) |
| C6 | 0.0284 (11) | 0.0225 (10) | 0.0269 (10) | -0.0084 (8) | 0.0154 (9) | 0.0003 (8) |
| C7 | 0.0255 (10) | 0.0235 | 0.0282 (10) | 0.0110 (8) | 0.0104 (9) | 0.0070 (8) |
| C8 | 0.0193 (9) | 0.0195 (9) | 0.0202 (9) | -0.0014 (7) | 0.0110 (7) | -0.0047 (7) |
| C9 | 0.0343 (12) | 0.0296 (11) | 0.0450 (13) | -0.0098 (9) | 0.0297 (11) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0020 \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ |
| C10 | 0.0417 (16) | 0.0412 (15) | 0.081 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0187 \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0336 (16) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0231 \\ & (15) \end{aligned}$ |
| C11 | 0.0166 (9) | 0.0200 (9) | 0.0134 (8) | -0.0012 (7) | 0.0051 (7) | -0.0044 (7) |
| C12 | 0.0157 (9) | 0.0327 (11) | 0.0189 (9) | 0.0044 (8) | -0.0020 (7) | -0.0001 (8) |


| C13 | $0.0242(10)$ | $0.0268(11)$ | $0.0218(10)$ | $0.0080(8)$ | $0.0017(8)$ | $-0.0017(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C14 | $0.0321(11)$ | $0.0200(10)$ | $0.0250(10)$ | $0.0003(8)$ | $0.0117(9)$ | $0.0082(8)$ |
| C15 | $0.0180(9)$ | $0.0141(8)$ | $0.0218(9)$ | $-0.0007(7)$ | $0.0083(7)$ | $0.0004(7)$ |
| C16 | $0.0132(8)$ | $0.0129(8)$ | $0.0153(8)$ | $0.0001(6)$ | $0.0059(6)$ | $0.0010(6)$ |
| C17 | $0.0133(8)$ | $0.0128(8)$ | $0.0178(8)$ | $-0.0005(6)$ | $0.0062(7)$ | $-0.0009(7)$ |
| C18 | $0.0207(9)$ | $0.0118(8)$ | $0.0134(8)$ | $-0.0005(7)$ | $0.0058(7)$ | $-0.0024(6)$ |
| C19 | $0.0218(9)$ | $0.0145(8)$ | $0.0155(8)$ | $0.0010(7)$ | $0.0084(7)$ | $-0.0024(7)$ |
| C20 | $0.0318(11)$ | $0.0141(8)$ | $0.0167(9)$ | $-0.0038(7)$ | $0.0136(8)$ | $-0.0041(7)$ |
| C21 | $0.0379(12)$ | $0.0154(9)$ | $0.0133(9)$ | $0.0008(8)$ | $0.0056(8)$ | $-0.0002(7)$ |
| C22 | $0.0249(10)$ | $0.0236(10)$ | $0.0204(10)$ | $0.0014(8)$ | $-0.0007(8)$ | $0.0005(8)$ |
| C23 | $0.0194(9)$ | $0.0207(9)$ | $0.0212(9)$ | $-0.0012(7)$ | $0.0043(8)$ | $-0.0011(7)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| Br1-C20 | $1.898(2)$ | C9-H9A | 0.9900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1-C8 | $1.213(2)$ | C9-H9B | 0.9900 |
| O2-C8 | $1.358(2)$ | C9-C10 | $1.497(4)$ |
| O2-C9 | $1.441(2)$ | C10-H10A | 0.9800 |
| O3-C11 | $1.216(2)$ | C10-H10B | 0.9800 |
| O4-C11 | $1.359(2)$ | C10-H10C | 0.9800 |
| O4-C12 | $1.457(2)$ | C12-H12A | 0.9900 |
| O5-N2 | $1.407(2)$ | C12-H12B | 0.9900 |
| O5-C15 | $1.356(2)$ | C12-C13 | $1.501(3)$ |
| N1-H1 | 0.8800 | C13-H13A | 0.9800 |
| N1-C1 | $1.375(3)$ | C13-H13B | 0.9800 |
| N1-C5 | $1.379(3)$ | C13-H13C | 0.9800 |
| N2-C17 | $1.315(2)$ | C14-H14A | 0.9800 |
| C1-C2 | $1.355(3)$ | C14-H14B | 0.9800 |
| C1-C7 | $1.504(3)$ | C14-H14C | 0.9800 |


| C2- C 3 | 1.521 (2) | C14-C15 | 1.485 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2-C8 | 1.469 (3) | C15-C16 | 1.354 (3) |
| C3-H3 | 1.0000 | C16-C17 | 1.430 (2) |
| C3-C4 | 1.523 (2) | C17-C18 | 1.484 (2) |
| C3-C16 | 1.516 (2) | C18-C19 | 1.392 (3) |
| C4-C5 | 1.355 (3) | C18-C23 | 1.392 (3) |
| C4-C11 | 1.470 (3) | C19-H19 | 0.9500 |
| C5-C6 | 1.505 (3) | C19-C20 | 1.388 (3) |
| C6-H6A | 0.9800 | C20-C21 | 1.382 (3) |
| C6-H6B | 0.9800 | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{H} 21$ | 0.9500 |
| C6-H6C | 0.9800 | C21-C22 | 1.387 (3) |
| C7-H7A | 0.9800 | C22-H22 | 0.9500 |
| C7-H7B | 0.9800 | C22-C23 | 1.389 (3) |
| C7-H7C | 0.9800 | C23-H23 | 0.9500 |
| C8-O2-C9 | 116.28 (17) | H10A-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| C11-O4-C12 | 114.35 (15) | H10B-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| C15-O5-N2 | 108.62 (14) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 121.46 (17) |
| C1-N1-H1 | 118.3 | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 127.02 (18) |
| C1-N1-C5 | 123.44 (16) | O4-C11-C4 | 111.52 (15) |
| C5-N1-H1 | 118.3 | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.1 |
| C17-N2-O5 | 105.22 (15) | O4-C12-H12B | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 114.20 (17) | O4-C12-C13 | 108.09 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 119.89 (17) | H12A-C12-H12B | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 125.92 (18) | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 121.28 (16) | C13-C12-H12B | 110.1 |
| C1-C2-C8 | 121.10 (17) | C12-C13-H13A | 109.5 |
| C8-C2-C3 | 117.46 (16) | C12-C13-H13B | 109.5 |
| C2-C3-H3 | 107.6 | C12-C13-H13C | 109.5 |


| C2-C3-C4 | 111.14 (14) | H13A-C13-H13B | 109.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 107.6 | H13A-C13-H13C | 109.5 |
| C16-C3-C2 | 111.21 (14) | H13B-C13-H13C | 109.5 |
| C16-C3-H3 | 107.6 | H14A-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| C16-C3-C4 | 111.38 (14) | H14A-C14-H14C | 109.5 |
| C5-C4-C3 | 121.10 (16) | H14B-C14-H14C | 109.5 |
| C5-C4-C11 | 120.70 (17) | C15-C14-H14A | 109.5 |
| C11-C4-C3 | 118.19 (16) | C15-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| N1-C5-C6 | 113.55 (17) | C15-C14-H14C | 109.5 |
| C4-C5-N1 | 119.78 (17) | O5-C15-C14 | 115.69 (16) |
| C4-C5-C6 | 126.67 (18) | C16-C15-O5 | 110.47 (16) |
| C5-C6-H6A | 109.5 | C16-C15-C14 | 133.83 (18) |
| C5-C6-H6B | 109.5 | C15-C16-C3 | 127.80 (16) |
| C5-C6-H6C | 109.5 | C15-C16-C17 | 103.46 (16) |
| H6A-C6-H6B | 109.5 | C17-C16-C3 | 128.74 (16) |
| H6A - C6-H6C | 109.5 | N2-C17-C16 | 112.22 (16) |
| H6B-C6-H6C | 109.5 | N2-C17-C18 | 118.86 (16) |
| C1-C7-H7A | 109.5 | C16-C17-C18 | 128.85 (16) |
| C1-C7-H7B | 109.5 | C19-C18-C17 | 120.71 (17) |
| C1-C7-H7C | 109.5 | C23-C18-C17 | 119.15 (17) |
| H7A-C7-H7B | 109.5 | C23-C18-C19 | 120.13 (17) |
| H7A-C7-H7C | 109.5 | C18-C19-H19 | 120.6 |
| H7B-C7-H7C | 109.5 | C20-C19-C18 | 118.75 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 122.32 (18) | C20-C19-H19 | 120.6 |
| O1-C8-C2 | 127.38 (19) | C19-C20-Br1 | 118.22 (15) |
| O2-C8-C2 | 110.29 (16) | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | 119.95 (15) |
| O2-C9-H9A | 109.7 | C21-C20-C19 | 121.84 (19) |
| O2-C9-H9B | 109.7 | C20-C21-H21 | 120.6 |


| O2-C9-C10 | 110.00 (19) | C20-C21-C22 | 118.86 (18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H9A-C9-H9B | 108.2 | C22-C21-H21 | 120.6 |
| C10-C9-H9A | 109.7 | C21-C22-H22 | 119.8 |
| C10-C9-H9B | 109.7 | C21-C22-C23 | 120.5 (2) |
| C9-C10-H10A | 109.5 | C23-C22-H22 | 119.8 |
| C9-C10-H10B | 109.5 | C18-C23-H23 | 120.0 |
| C9-C10-H10C | 109.5 | C22-C23-C18 | 119.93 (19) |
| H10A-C10-H10B | 109.5 | C22- $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{H} 23$ | 120.0 |
| Br1-C20-C21-C22 | 179.72 (15) | C5-C4-C11-O3 | 3.3 (3) |
| O5-N2-C17-C16 | -0.6 (2) | C5-C4- $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 4$ | -176.30 (16) |
| O5-N2-C17-C18 | 176.85 (15) | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -175.27 (18) |
| O5-C15-C16-C3 | -179.90 (17) | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 8$ | 0.1 (3) |
| O5-C15-C16-C17 | -1.1(2) | C8-O2-C9-C10 | -83.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 5.0 (3) | C8-C2-C3-C4 | 166.75 (15) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 8$ | -179.71 (16) | C8-C2-C3-C16 | -68.6 (2) |
| N2-O5-C15-C14 | -178.08 (16) | C9-O2-C8-O1 | -7.6 (3) |
| N2-O5-C15-C16 | 0.8 (2) | C9-O2-C8-C2 | 171.45 (16) |
| N2-C17-C18-C19 | 98.0 (2) | C11-O4-C12-C13 | -179.11 (16) |
| N2-C17-C18-C23 | -83.0 (2) | C11-C4-C5-N1 | 172.20 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -7.5 (3) | C11-C4-C5-C6 | -8.2 (3) |
| C1-N1-C5-C6 | 172.82 (17) | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 3$ | -4.5 (3) |
| C1-C2-C3-C4 | -17.7 (2) | C12-O4-C11-C4 | 175.21 (15) |
| C1-C2-C3-C16 | 106.95 (19) | C14-C15-C16-C3 | -1.3 (4) |
| C1-C2-C8-O1 | -5.0 (3) | C14-C15-C16-C17 | 177.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 175.95 (17) | C15-O5-N2-C17 | -0.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 19.2 (2) | C15-C16-C17-N2 | 1.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11$ | -160.86 (15) | C15-C16-C17-C18 | -176.04 (18) |
| C2-C3-C16-C15 | 117.1 (2) | C16-C3-C4-C5 | -105.38 (19) |


| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $-61.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $74.53(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $170.49(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $-85.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $-8.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 23$ | $93.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $-7.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $178.46(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $171.74(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $-179.06(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $-176.57(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $-179.44(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $3.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $0.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $179.82(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $-0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $2.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $-118.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 23$ | $-0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $63.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $0.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $9.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $-170.77(17)$ |  |  |

## Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.88 | 2.26 | $3.125(2)$ | 169 |
| $\mathrm{C} 22 — \mathrm{H} 22 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.95 | 2.58 | $3.302(3)$ | 134 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (ii) $x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$.
All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving 1.s. planes.
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## Chapter 5

Synthesis of sterically hindered Isoxazolyl Dihydropyridines (IDHPs), and asymmetric organocatalytic synthesis of IDHP and Isoxazolyl-Quinolones

### 5.1 Background

The impact of chirality on drug development has been well established, so much so, that it is a factor that the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) ${ }^{[1]}$ regulates with new chemical entities. The reason for the FDAs involvement is based in the established observation that there are observed differences in the pharmaceutical properties of enantiomers. ${ }^{[2-4]}$ The FDA has also compiled a list of recommendations regarding switching enantiomers in treatment settings, which include among other things, switching to a single enantiomer for an approved racemate where appropriate and that both enantiomers of a new chemical entities should be tested independently. ${ }^{[5,6]}$ As a general statement, the toxicology, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of both enantiomers of a drug can have widely different effects, and as such both enantiomers of a new chemical entity should be studied and evaluated independently. Since the number of chiral medicines increases annually, synthetic strategies that exhibit fine stereo control, and can be efficiently performed on multi-gram scales are needed. ${ }^{[7,8]}$

When considering the 1, 4-dyhidropyridine (DHP) ring; if the substituent's on the C3 and C5 positions of the ring are non-identical, the molecule is chiral at the substituted C 4 center. The C3 and C5 positions are most commonly occupied by ester groups, there arrangement with respect to the DHP ring and the interactions that they form, have been proposed to be an influencing factor on the pharmacological activities of DHPs at the voltage gated calcium channel (VGCC). ${ }^{[9]}$ This along with the well established eudysmic
ratio that exists at the VGCC, makes it so the enantiomers of unsymmetrical DHPs usually differ in their biological activities ${ }^{[10,11]}$ and could have all together opposite bioactivity profiles. ${ }^{[12]}$

The most common method for synthesizing DHPs is the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis. The synthesis has been known since 1882, and in that time there have been numerous efforts to improve or otherwise modify the reaction. ${ }^{[13-16]}$ The Hantzsch pyridine synthesis consists of the condensation of an aldehyde with two equivalents of an active methylene carbonyl compounds (for example, ethyl acetoacetate) and a subsequent reaction with ammonia to yield a DHP. ${ }^{[17,18]}$ The Hantzsch pyridine synthesis is what is known as a multi-component reaction, meaning that it is a chemical transformation in which three or more reagents form a product derived from all of the reactants. ${ }^{[19]}$ As a comparison, two component reactions generally require a specific order of addition to generate a desired product. On the other hand, multi-component reactions such as the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis don't have the same requirements and the reagents can be added in any order as long as all the reagents are present the final product will still be produced. ${ }^{[20]}$ With this flexibility in the order of addition, multi-component reactions do have a major drawback. Their ability to resist catalysis, this is in large part a result of the aforementioned multiple methods that the reaction can take place. This is further exemplified in the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis by the fact that more than a century has passed between the discovery of the reaction and the discovery of the first catalysts for the synthesis. ${ }^{[21]}$

In the synthesis of symmetrical DHPs, the reaction is a multi-component reaction or to be more specific, it can be termed a four component reaction. The dicarbonyl
compounds are independent variables and are incorporated twice to produce symmetrical products. The limitation of only producing symmetrical DHPs can be avoided by making modifications to the starting materials. The synthesis can be carried out with one equivalent of either an enamine or a Knoevenagel condensation product with another equivalent of a dicarbonyl compound. The two fragments will react in what is known as a Michael addition to form what will be the C4 center of the DHP. Additionally, if the two fragments are non-identical they will produce a C 4 center that is now chiral. This is followed by a proton transfer, and finally an intermolecular enamine formation followed by the loss of water to give the desired DHP final product.

The classical Hantzsch reaction is carried out by reacting the given reagents via refluxing them in either acetic acid or alcohol. ${ }^{[22]}$ Without a catalysis the reaction, involves long reaction times, relatively harsh conditions, and often utilizes large quantities of volatile solvents. There have been attempts over the years, to improve on the harsh reaction conditions that are required for the un-catalyzed synthesis to be successful. ${ }^{[23-27]}$ With more recent research into catalyzing the synthesis, asymmetric or otherwise, the possibility of synthesizing previously unattainable compounds becomes possible. This attention has resulted in a substantial amount of effort being put into discovering a useful catalysis for the reaction. As such, we focused on this recent work to modify our synthetic efforts to generate our next generation of compounds. Rare earth metal Lewis acids, such a $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ have gained the highest rate of adoption as a useful catalysis. $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, which was discovered in 2005, nearly 120 years after the discovery of the reaction itself, has been shown to give synthetically useful yields for the reaction as well as address a number of the issues that arise from the un-catalyzed
reaction method. ${ }^{[28]}$ Other Lewis acid catalysis such as Proline and $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ have also been shown to catalyze the reaction, but do to by-product formation or additional purification being needed, they have not seen the same adoption rate. ${ }^{[29-32]}$

But, even utilizing a catalysis the reaction still has one major drawback, it produces racemic mixtures. As mentioned above, we want to explore chiralitys effect on MDR1 inhibition and as such we need a means to synthesis IDHPs stereoselectively. ${ }^{[33-35]}$ The formation of enantiomers and their separation by means of crystallization or preparative chromatography has been historically the predominate means of resolving racemic DHP. But, our goal in this research is to develop a method to asymmetrically produce enatio-pure compounds. With this being said, there have been attempts that have recently discovered effective enantioselective asymmetric synthetic methods for DHPs in the literature. With this research forming the bases of our effort in asymmetric synthesis, we now have the mean to modify established synthetic methods to produce our desired final products.

### 5.2 Chiral resolution of DHPs

The use of classical resolving methods have been the predominate mean of separating enantiomers of DHPs in the literature. But, it isn't the only potential means of obtaining enantiomers. Resolutions of racemic DHPs have been shown to be possible if a carboxylic acid is present on the DHP ring. DHPs with C3-carboxylic acids can be resolved via diastereomeric salts. ${ }^{[36]}$ This method utilizes diastereomeric salts that are formed in the presents of a chiral amine base that functions as a resolving agent. ${ }^{[36]}$ The most preferred and most successful resolving agents are cinchonidine, ${ }^{[37-39]}$ cinchonine ${ }^{[40,41]}$ and quinidine. ${ }^{[42]}$ Common factors in these separations, is that a racemic

DHP is treated with a chiral base, which forms a crystalline diastereomeric salt. The diastereomeric purity of the salt is improved by repeat crystallization; but to obtain enantiomerically pure DHPs, the salt needs to be removed, this is usually done via acidification. The recovery of the monoacid from the mother liquor can then be treated with another chiral base that will complex the crystalline optical antipode; and after repeated crystallization the opposite enantiomers can be obtained. A benefit of this method is that both enantiomers can be obtained. But the need for repeated crystallization lowers the yield and with this step being essential to obtain a high ee's it makes the purification method impractical on small scales. Additionally, chiral acids such as camphorsulphonic acid and substituted tartaric acids have also been used to separate enantiomers of basic DHP compounds. ${ }^{[43]}$ The resolution of racemic amlodipine has been carried out using (-) camphanic acid chloride, and the diastereomeric amides is then separated via chromatographic methods to give a pure product. ${ }^{[44]}$ This method of separation is the most suitable for DHPs with basic substituent's at the 2 position of the DHP ring. ${ }^{[44]}$

Chiral resolution of enantiomers by HPLC is another resolution method that is historically very predominate for obtaining DHP enantiomers. Both enantiomers can be obtained using conventional stationary phase chromatography. Alternatively, enantiomers can be directly analyzed on chiral stationary phases. ${ }^{[45]}$ The direct chromatographic enatio separation of unsymmetrical DHPs on chiral stationary phases has been widely used for the determination of enantiomeric purity, ${ }^{[46]}$ and for preparing small quantities of enantiomers, ${ }^{[47][48]}$ for biological investigation. ${ }^{[49,50]}$

### 5.3 Asymmetric synthesis of the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis
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Figure 5.1: Historical evolution of the chiral asymmetric synthesis of DHPs

The use of asymmetric synthetic methods for DHPs has been explored sense the discovery of the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis with varying degrees of success. Historically, the first synthetic strategys involves the synthesis of diastereomeric DHPs with an easily removable chiral auxiliary. This was then followed by the separation of the diastereomers by means of chromatography or fractional crystallization; although this can also be followed by regioselective removal of the group containing the chiral auxiliary. ${ }^{[51][78]}$ This method was further explored by leaving the chiral auxiliary on the DHP and using the auxiliary to assign the C 4 chiral center. ${ }^{[52]}$

Other synthetic methods include the use of amino heterocycles that have been incorporated into the enamine component before the critical Michael addition. The use of different enamines permits the isolation of unsymmetrical DHPs, with the reaction requiring that the enamine be used in combination with an active methylene carbonyl compound to achieve the desired result. ${ }^{[53-55]}$ The mechanism for the reaction involves an aldehyde that is condensed with an active methylene compound to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone in a reaction known as a Knoevenagel condensation. The product can then be reacted with a secondary amine to form an enamine that can be reacted with another equivalent of an active methylene compound to give an unsymmetrical and definably chiral DHP. ${ }^{[56]}$ Enantioselective synthetic methods utilizing chiral auxiliaries attached to the nitrogen atom as described above have proven to be practically useful. ${ }^{[57]}$ However, low yields of N -substituted DHP and formation of cyclohexenes as by-products have been observed. ${ }^{[58]}$ As a general statement, this alternative synthetic method of first
synthesizing a Knoevenagel or enamine product and then reacting it usually gives good results when the traditional Hantzsch synthesis fails.

### 5.4 Chiral BIONL phosphoric acids

The development of chiral ester of phosphoric acid as catalysts was initially slower than that of the corresponding phosphor amides, with far fewer examples of the phosphoric acid class of ligand being reported. More recently however interest in phosphoric acids as catalysts has grown; initial work done by two research groups' first explored phosphoric acids as catalysts. Terada and Akiyama independently reported on the catalytic activity of phosphoric acids esters. ${ }^{[59,60]}$ Terada reported the use of a binaphthyl mono-phosphoric acid being utilized in highly enantioselective 1,2-aza Freidal-Crafts reactions, generating the product in a good enantiomeric excess. ${ }^{[61]}$ Akiyama reported the use of a chiral phosphoric acid ester in the enantioselective Mannich-type reaction of ketene silyl acetals with aldimines. Akiyama studies also explored the effect that the R groups present in the 3, 3-positions of the BINOL scaffold, have on the enantiomeric excess of the product. ${ }^{[62]}$

Many chiral phosphoric acid esters are based on the 1, 1-binaphthol (BINOL) scaffold. Synthetically obtaining the phosphoric acid ester is done via reacting the BINOL diol with phosphoryl chloride in the presence of a base. This is followed by an aqueous work up to give a phosphoric acid ester. The phosphoric acid ester allows the molecule to act as a bi-functional catalyst that contains both a Bronsted acid and Lewis base. ${ }^{[60]}$ Additionally, the phosphoric acid ester is conformationally rigid with one proton being highly acidic, and as such it has been predicted to form hydrogen bonding interactions in transition states. ${ }^{[79]}$ Moving out from the phosphoric acid ester to other
areas of the catalysis, the 3,3-substituents on the BINOL can be varied to change the properties of the catalyst. Studies done where the substituent's at the 3 positions where modified showed the general trend that sterically bulky groups enhance enantioselectivity, whereas less sterically demanding groups gave diminished enantiomeric excesses. ${ }^{[64]}$

As a class of catalysts, phosphoric acids have been shown to have a wide array of potential uses synthetically. Most commonly phosphoric acid catalysis have been used as hydrogen bonding catalysts for a wide variety of reactions. ${ }^{[65]}$ Additionally, chiral BINOL phosphoric acids have been reported to be used as a chiral resolving agent, but the predominate application of the compound has been it uses as a catalysis. ${ }^{[66]}$

There have been examples of asymmetric catalysis of the Hantzsch reaction, recently reported by Gong and Gestwicki. ${ }^{[67-69]}$ Phosphoric acid esters exhibit comparable chemical reactivity to $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ and have been shown to induced high enantioselectivity. Building on this work we have decided to utilize $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ to produce racemic isoxazole-dihydropyridines (IDHP) s and isoxazol-hydroquinolines (IHQ) s. This will then be follow up with the use of a chiral phosphoric acid ester to produce enatio enriched IDHPs and IHQs for the next generations of drug development.

### 5.5 Next Generation of Compounds

Examining the variations that we have introduced into each generation of IDHP analog development up to this point allows us to pinpoint areas in the SAR that we still want to address. The R2 position (Figure 5.11) and the chirality of IDHP are the remaining aspects that we decided to explore. With these two goals in place, we focused our efforts on pursuing a series of IHQ analogs. Literature pertaining to the 4-aryl-
hydroquinolines has been observed to produce compounds that possess reduced calcium channel activity; this added benefit of being less selective at VGCC is another aspect that makes this sub-structure an ideal lead scaffold to examine. Additionally, to date the compounds haven't been tested at MDR1. The hydroquinolines also contain a chiral center, and this also allows us to examine the effect that chirality has on MDR1 inhibitory activity. With this being said, the need to establish an alternative method of synthesis is key to the next generations of drug development. There have been similar synthetic methods that will allow us to produce our desired product but, the differences that our starting materials have verses the known methods will need to be considered and taken into account; the exploration of these methods will be discussed later in the chapter.
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Figure 5.2: The breakdown of the generations of drug development as it relate to this project.

Generation 4 compounds will function as a bridging generation of compounds, which will work to both explore a remaining aspect of the SAR and function as stepping stones to set up the $5^{\text {th }}$ generation of chiral compounds by producing racemic IDHPs and IHQs. Going back to generation 1 compounds and comparing it with generation 3
monomers we observe a defined increase in activity as we go from Cl to Br IDHP analogs. The observation that as groups in this position become more sterically imposing there is an increase in MDR1 inhibition is an SAR factor that we want to examine further. Thus, we decided to pursue synthetic targets that would examine the degree of steric bulk that would allow for optimal MDR1 inhibitory activity. Additionally, we have the opportunity to examine the potential effect halogen bonding interactions could have with binding to MDR1. In chapter 2 and 3 we observed halogen bonding interactions in the crystal structure, and as such we want to see if the increase in activity is potentially due to steric bulk or halogen bonding interactions. Also previous work that relates to the selectivity of similar compounds was done by Triggle and Natale. Both groups noted that more steric aryl-DHPs and IDHPs have reduced calcium channel activity. ${ }^{[70-72]}$ As such, we are looking to take advantage of this SAR factor to allow for more MDR1 selective compounds. But, we are encouraged to not utilize the conventional un-catalysized Hantzsch pyridine synthesis. The un-catalyzed Hantzsch pyridine synthesis isn't an optimal synthetic strategy for synthesizing more steric compounds due to that previous studies attempting to produce more sterically demanding compounds often results in almost none of the desired product being formed due; to what has been termed the tennis racket effect. Thus, a new synthetic method is needed to synthesize the desired target compounds. As mentioned above, the use of Ytterbium (III) trifluoromethanesulfonate $(\mathrm{Yb})$ was recently reported as a catalysis for synthesizing aryl-hydroquinolines. ${ }^{[73]} \mathrm{As}$ such, we manipulated the method to work as a catalysis to produce our desired generation 4 IDHPs and IHQs compounds. Using Yb III as a catalysis also requires less harsh reaction conditions and as a general statement provides higher yields.

| Entry | R | \% yield | HRMS calc'd | Found |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1a | 1'-Naphthyl | 64.04 | 501.2026 | 501.2019 |
| 2a | 1'-(2'-methoxy-Naphthyl) | 50.21 | 491.2182 | 491.2205 |
| 3a | 9'-Anthryl | 41.98 | 511.2233 | 511.2228 |
| 4a | 3,4-Bis -benzyloxy-benzene | 67.95 | 469.1975 | 469.1990 |
| 5a | 3-Phenoxy-benzene | 60.98 | 501.2026 | 501.2019 |
| 6a | 4-Methoxy-benzene | 60.96 | 441.2026 | 441.2057 |
| 7a | 2,4-Dimethoxy-benzene | 63.98 | 623.2757 | 623.2709 |
| 8a | 3,4-Dimethoxy-benzene | 53.19 | 623.2757 | 623.2807 |
| 9a | 4-Biphenyl | 46.23 | 487.2233 | 487.2256 |

Table 5.1. Lanthanide catalyzed synthesis of sterically hindered isoxazolyldihydropyrdines

The fifth generation of compounds will focus on establishing a eudysmic ratio for the IHQs and IDHPs at MDR1. Given Triggles work on aryl-DHPs, a syn-periplanar conformation for the carbonyl groups at C3 and C5 on the DHP ring is suggested as a common feature of DHPs that lead to their calcium channel antagonist activity. ${ }^{[74-76]} \mathrm{To}$ capitalize on this factor and increase the selectivity of our compounds while still focusing on producing chiral center at the C 4 center of the DHP ring. We propose that one of the carbonyl groups can be forced into an anti-periplanar conformation. This can be accomplished by using dimedone as one equivalent of our dicarbonyl fragment, while using ethyl acetate as the second equivalent in a standard Hantzsch pyridine synthesis. Additionally, as a proof of concept that the modification leads to reduced VGCC activity 4-aryl-hydroquinolones have been observed to have weak calcium channel activity ${ }^{\text {[77] }}$ when compared to their DHP counterparts. This could be contributed to the aforementioned locking of the carbonyl group, thus giving us the bases to pursue IHQs that should show less activity at the VGCC and be more selective for MDR1. Additionally, the modification introduces chirality at the C 4 center and will allow us to take advantage of the eudysmic ratio that is known at the VGCC. It consequently, allows
us to examine if there is also a lack of a eudysmic ratio at MDR1. The synthesis and exploration of the proposed concepts will be discussed later in the chapter.

### 5.6 Generation 4 Synthesis



Figure 5.3: Representative Synthetic Route for sterically hindered IDHP compounds.

The synthetic route proposed to obtain generation 4 compounds is similar to methods used in previous generations of drug development. The utilization of an alcohol as a starting material requires that the alcohol needs to be oxidized to an aldehyde via Pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) to allow for the formation of an oxime. But, once an oxime is in hand the synthetic methods for the formation of the isoxazolyl-aldehyde is identical to that described in earlier chapters. The notable exception to generation 3 synthetic methods is that the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis is carried out with the Yb (III) catalysis. This method allows for better yields and more sterically hindered systems to be synthesized effectively. The catalyzed method also requires less solvent and it can be ran
at room temperature, with the one down side that it requires longer reaction times for larger reaction scales. We also wanted to examine unsymmetrical IHQ derivatives in the next generation of compounds so we synthesized, racemic IHQs using the Yb (III) catalytic method.


Figure 5.4: Synthetic Route for IHQs utilizing Yb (III) catalytic method

The exact mechanism for the YB (III) catalyzed reaction isn't known with certainty, but it is speculated on in the literature. Generally the mechanism involves the Yb (III) catalysis complexing with an activated methylene compound in its enol form. The activated enol can then react with an aldehyde to give rise to a Knoevenagel product. The Knoevenagel product then reacts in a Michael addition with an equivalent of dimedone or ethyl acetoacetate to from an intermediate where the catalysis is released. From this point in the mechanism the remaining steps in the standard Hantzsch pyridine synthesis are allow to occur to produce a IDHP or IHQ.


Figure 5.5: Generation 4 library of compounds, using Yb (III) catalyst method

### 5.7 Generation 4 Compound (1a, 2a, 1b) Crystal Structures

A


Crystal data

| $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{27} \underline{\mathrm{H}_{28}} \underline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{O}_{5}}$ | $?$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{r}=\underline{460.51}$ | $D_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{1.305} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Monoclinic, $\underline{P 2} \underline{1} / \underline{C}$ | Melting point: ? K |
| Hall symbol: ? | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=\underline{0.71073} \AA$ |
| $a=\underline{8.2709(7) ~} \AA$ | Cell parameters from 9866 reflections |
| $b=\underline{20.1782(16) ~} \AA$ | $\theta=\underline{?}^{\circ}$ |
| $c=\underline{14.0531(12) ~} \AA$ | $\mu=\underline{0.09} \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=\underline{92.412(4)^{\circ}}$ | $T=\underline{100} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $V=\underline{2343.3(3)} \AA^{3}$ | Prism, translucent white |
| $Z=\underline{4}$ | $\underline{0.44} \times \underline{0.30} \times \underline{0.17} \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $F(000)=\underline{976}$ |  |

Data collection

| $\underline{\text { Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD }}$ | $\underline{5938}$ independent reflections |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { diffractometer }}$ | $\underline{4468}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$ |
| Radiation source: $\underline{2 \mathrm{~kW} \text { sealed X-ray tube }}$ | $R_{\text {int }}=\underline{0.048}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Graphite }}$ monochromator |  |

Detector resolution: ? pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
SADABS v2012/1 (Bruker AXS Inc.)

$$
T_{\min }=\underline{0.703}, T_{\max }=\underline{1.000}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{\max }=\underline{28.9}^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=\underline{1.8}^{\circ} \\
h=\underline{-11} \underline{11} \\
k=\underline{-27} \underline{25} \\
l=\underline{-18} \underline{13}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\underline{20554}$ measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on $\underline{F^{2}}$
Least-squares matrix: full

$$
R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.051}
$$

$$
w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.142}
$$

$$
S=\underline{1.04}
$$

5938 reflections
312 parameters
$\underline{0}$ restraints
? constraints

Secondary atom site location: ?
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$\underline{\mathrm{H} \text {-atom parameters constrained }}$
$\frac{w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0695 P)^{2}+0.5936 P\right]}{\text { where } P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F^{2}\right) / 3}$ where $P=\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$

$$
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=\underline{0.001}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{0.38} \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=\underline{-0.33} \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Extinction correction: none
Extinction coefficient: ?

Primary atom site location: structureinvariant direct methods


Crystal data

| $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{28} \underline{\mathrm{H}}_{30} \underline{\mathrm{~N}} 22^{\mathrm{O}_{6}}$ | calculated from global refinement |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{r}=\underline{490.54}$ | $D_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{1.331} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Monoclinic, $\underline{C 2 / c}$ | Melting point: ? K |
| Hall symbol: - ${ }^{\text {C } 2 \mathrm{yc}}$ | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha \underline{\text { radiation, }} \lambda=\underline{1.54178} \AA$ |
| $a=\underline{19.5698(9) ~} \AA$ | Cell parameters from $\underline{5879}$ reflections |
| $b=\underline{15.3341 ~(7) ~} \AA$ | $\theta=\underline{2.7}-\underline{68.4}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| $c=\underline{17.2324(7)} \AA$ | $\mu=\underline{0.77} \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=\underline{108.724}$ (3) $^{\circ}$ | $T=\underline{100} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $V=\underline{4897.5(4)} \AA^{3}$ | Prism, translucent pale yellow |
| $Z=\underline{8}$ | $\underline{0.31} \times \underline{0.15} \times \underline{0.06} \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $F(000)=\underline{2080}$ |  |

## Data collection

| $\underline{\text { Bruker D8 Venture PHOTON 100 CMOS }}$ | $\underline{4278}$ independent reflections |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { diffractometer }}$ | $\underline{2418}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$ |
| Radiation source: $\underline{I N C O A T E C ~ I m u S ~}$ <br> micro-focus source | $R_{\mathrm{int}}=\underline{0.076}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Mirrors monochromator }}$ |  |


| Detector resolution: 10.4167 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | $\theta_{\text {max }}=\underline{66.6^{\circ}}, \theta_{\text {min }}=\underline{3.7^{\circ}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\omega$ and phi scans | $h=\underline{-23} \underline{22}$ |
| Absorption correction: numerical SADABS V2008/1 (Bruker AXS Inc.) | $k=\underline{-18} \quad \underline{15}$ |
| $T_{\text {min }}=\underline{0.70}, T_{\text {max }}=\underline{0.96}$ | $l=\underline{-19} \underline{20}$ |
| $\underline{16347}$ measured reflections |  |

Refinement

| Refinement on $\underline{F^{2}}$ | Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map |
| :---: | :---: |
| Least-squares matrix: full | Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.091}$ | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.265}$ | $\frac{w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.1199 P)^{2}+11.9275 P\right]}{\text { where } P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3}$ |
| $S=\underline{1.03}$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=\underline{0.005}$ |
| $\underline{4278}$ reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{0.88} \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ |
| 335 parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=\underline{-0.26} \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ |
| $\underline{0}$ restraints | Extinction correction: none |
| $\underline{?}$ constraints | Extinction coefficient: ? |
| Primary atom site location: structureinvariant direct methods |  |

C


Crystal data

$$
\begin{array}{c|r}
\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{25} \underline{\mathrm{H}}_{28} \underline{\underline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{O}_{4}}} & \underline{?} \\
\hline M_{r}=\underline{420.49} & D_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{1.286} \\
\hline \text { Monoclinic, } \underline{P 2} \underline{\underline{1}} \underline{c} \underline{c} & \text { Melting po } \\
\hline \text { Hall symbol: } \underline{?} & \underline{\text { Mo Ka radiation, }} \\
\hline a=\underline{16.0832(7)} \AA & \text { Cell parameters from } \\
\hline b=\underline{9.8265(4)} \AA & \theta=\underline{2.5} \\
\hline c=\underline{13.7577(6)} \AA & \mu=\underline{0.09} \\
\hline \beta=\underline{93.053(3)^{\circ}} & T=\underline{10} \\
\hline V=\underline{2171.20(16)} \AA^{3} & \underline{\text { Prism}}, \underline{y} \\
\hline Z=\underline{4} & \underline{0.18} \times \underline{0.13} \times \\
F(000)=\underline{896} & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Data collection

| $\underline{\text { Bruker SMART BREEZE CCD }}$ | $\underline{4980}$ independent reflections |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radiation source: $\underline{2 \mathrm{~kW} \text { sealed X-ray tube }}$ | $\underline{3220}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$ |
| Graphite monochromator | $R_{\text {int }}=\underline{0.068}$ |
| Detector resolution: $\underline{?}$ pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | $\theta_{\max }=\underline{27.5}^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=\underline{1.3}^{\circ}$ |


| $\underline{\varphi \text { and } \omega \text { scans }}$ | $h=\underline{-17} \underline{20}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absorption correction: $\overline{\text { multi-scan }}$ <br> $S A D A B S$ v2012/1 (Bruker AXS Inc.) | $k=\underline{-12} \underline{\underline{12}}$ |
| $T_{\min }=\underline{0.938}, T_{\max }=\underline{1.000}$ | $l=\underline{-17} \underline{17}$ |
| $\underline{30941}$ measured reflections |  |

## Refinement

| Refinement on $\underline{F^{2}}$ | Secondary atom site location: ? |
| :---: | :---: |
| Least-squares matrix: full | Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.052}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{H} \text {-atom parameters constrained }}$ |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.120}$ | $\frac{w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0472 P)^{2}+0.7827 P\right]}{\text { where } P=\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3}$ |
| $S=\underline{1.02}$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max } \leq 0.001$ |
| 4980 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{0.34} \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ |
| $\underline{285}$ parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=\underline{-0.34} \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ |
| $\underline{0}$ restraints | Extinction correction: none |
| $\underline{\text { ? constraints }}$ | Extinction coefficient: ? |
| Primary atom site location: structureinvariant direct methods |  |

Figure 5.6 ORTEPs of (A) Naphthyl-2. (B) Methoxy-Naphthyl-2. (C) IQ 3a, 50\% probability ellipsoids. Complete crystallographic information files (cif's) are available from the authors upon request.

Single crystal x-ray diffractometry (sc-xrd) was used to characterize the conformation of IDHPs 1a, 2a and IHQ 1b. Interestingly, the both hindered naphthyl IDHPs 1a and 2a, adopt a solid state conformation where the isoxazole is endo to the DHP, likely due to crystal packing forces. In contrast, the IHQ 1b orients the C-3 isoxazole phenyl exo to the hydroquinolines ring, probably due to the influence of the axial of the geminal dimethyls on the hydroquinolines B ring.

### 5.8 HPLC Traces

The generation 4 IHQs and IDHP that where synthesized above were made to examine both SAR elements and to establish racemic compounds to allow for a comparison to generation 5 chiral compounds. To set the ground work for this comparison to take place, traces of the racemic IHQs and IDHPs were collected to compare to the chiral IHQ and IDHP in generation 5. The racemic IHQ and IDHP compounds were analyzed on a cellulose based chiral pack AD chiral stationary phase (CSP) column (Fig. 5.7).


Figure 5.7: Structure of Cellulose Based Chiral Stationary Phase (CSP) Column

As one would expect with racemic compounds, both enantiomers can be observed in the HPLC traces (Figure 5.8). This establishes a control resolution of the IHQ and IDHP enantiomers and will be utilized in the analysis of putative asymmetric induction (vide infra). The mobile phase solution that was used in the process was a 90/10 HPLC grade hexane/isopropanol solution at a flow rate of $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.







Figure 5.8: HPLC trace of the Racemic Generation 4 compounds
With racemic traces of our desired compounds in hand we moved on to examine the potential interaction that generation 4 compounds could have with MDR1 and see if we could establish a tentative SAR before moving on to synthesizing generation 5 compounds.

### 5.9 Computational modeling for generation 4 compounds

Due to a lack of biological data for this generation of compounds, computational modeling was employed and the compounds were bound into the previously established homology model. This process is identical to the process used in previous generations of drug development, with the exception that we utilized CHEM PLP scores to establish a gradation between the proposed compounds. As a result of this we used CHEM PLP scores to establishing the lead compound in this generation of drug development and to allow us to have a reason to examine one compounds SAR factors over another. The library of compounds was localized and binding calculations were done identically to the past generations of compounds, as such the process isn't described in detail here.


| R | C-EMPLP |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1-Naphthyl | 51.88 |
| 1-Naphithyl-2- <br> Methoxy | 48.46 |
| 9-Anthracene | 44.82 |
| 3-Phenoxy- <br> berzene | $52 \pi$ |
| 4-Methoxy <br> berene | 47.5 |
| 2,4- <br> Dimethoxy- <br> berkene | 49.07 |
| 3.4 <br> Dimethoxy- <br> benzene | 50.65 |
| 4-Eipheryl | 54.07 |

Figure 5.9: Generation 4 binding energies predicted using ChemPLP.

The most energetically favorable compound is predicted to be the 4-biphenyl
IDHP. The establishment of an SAR was identical to previous generations, and as such
the compound was isolated and a $6 \AA$ í sphere was examined to establish the close binding contacts.


Figure 5.10 Computational prediction for binding box interactions and SAR of compound 9 a.

The anchoring interactions that are observed in the calculated best binder are far more spread throughout the structure when compared to the past generations of drug development. The DHP substructure is a more substantial anchoring point in this generation. We also observe that the isoxazole has two key anchoring residues: GLN476 and SER 474 which further validates the inclusion of both the DHP and isoxazole substructures. Additionally, the inclusion of the more steric and liphophilic groups in the 3-isoxazole position of the IDHPs, increase the calculated binding energies. The overall SAR that can be taken from the 4th generation of compounds is that both of the isoxazole and DHP substructures should be maintained in future drug development cycles.

Additionally, the inclusion of more steric and liphophilic groups produces compounds that are calculated to be more active. This also tentatively excludes the prediction that halogen bonding is having an effect on increasing MDR1 inhibition. Computational modeling of compounds in generation 4 that could potentially halogen bond don't show this interaction, and the increase in activity seems to be attributed to the increase in steric bulk.

### 5.10 Chiral IHQ and IDHP synthesis

With generation 4 racemic compounds in hand, we then shifted our attention to synthesizing chiral IHQs and IDHPs. As mentioned above, chiral phosphoric acid BINOL catalyses have been used to synthesize chiral aryl-DHPs. The asymmetric induction is the result of the BINOL catalysis forcing the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis to react in a very defined and deliberate way. The reaction for the IHQs requires a specific order of addition to be successful in producing the desired chiral product. 1.5 equivalents of dimedone and 1 equivalent of ethyl acetoacetate are added in the presents of the chiral BINOL catalysis. This is then followed by the addition of the aldehyde and ammonium acetate. The mixture is then allowed to stir at room temperature and the product can be isolated.









Figure 5.11: Asymmetric organocatalytic syntheses of 4-isoxazolyl-Quinolones.

| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | Catalyst | Yield | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 30.25 |  |
|  | (R)-BINOL | 25.92 | -11.2 |
| -o-Br-Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 71.60 |  |
|  | (R)-BINOL | 64.35 | -13.5 |
| -m-Br-Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 62.07 |  |
|  | (R)-BINOL | 60.40 | -2.36 |
| -p-Br-Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 67.19 |  |
|  | (R)-BINOL | 65.34 | -0.032 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | Catalyst | Yield | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| -p-Br-Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 31.56 |  |
|  | (R)-BINOL | 21.32 | -2.68 |

Table 5.2 Asymmetric organocatalytic synthesis of 4-isoxazolyl-Quinolones.
The difference in the activity between the dimedone and ethyl acetoacetate is what we are hypothesizing as the main factor that leads to the asymmetric induction. The bases of our argument is an observation made by Gestwicki, during his studies with a similar BIONL catalysis. He ran reactions with equal equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate and dimedone, the end result of the study was that $20-30 \%$ of the recovered yield was symmetrical ethyl acetoacetate DHP. This would suggest that ethyl acetoacetate is 20$30 \%$ more reactive with the BIONL catalysis then dimedone. The catalysis allows the
ethyl acetoacetate to react faster than dimedone and to form the enolate. This then leads to the subsequent Knoevenagel reaction to be held in place by the BIONL catalysis. The mechanism for the catalysis isn't known with any certainty, but the suggested route is similar to a reaction mechanism proposed by Gong in his studies with a similar catalysis. This also suggest that the Michael addition that occurs to form the C 4 center, occurs by the Knoevenagel product being held in place by the BINOL and the slower reacting dimedone reacts to form the C 4 center, again showing similarities to the reaction mechanism proposed by Gong.(Figure 5.11) This would also explain why there is a need to add an additional half of an equivalent of dimedone to the reaction to compensate for the lower reactivity and to aide in the addition to allow for the desired IHQ product being formed.

Another factor leading to the stereo-selective synthesis is what has been termed the stereo-controlling groups at the 3 ' positions of the BINOL. These groups allow for the selectivity of both a specific geometric isomer and a stereo face for the reaction to occur. The most energetically favorable combination of these factors being the E geometric isomer and the Si face (Figure 5.11). The three other combinations ether place the fragments to far from one another to react or showed extremely unfavorable steric interaction with the stereo-controlling groups. The BINOL catalysis has the most favorable interactions with the E geometric isomer while only allowing the si face to be accessible for any addition. The end result of this is that a si face attack occurs during the Michael addition, leading to the synthesis of the $S$ enantiomer. The control that the BINOL catalysis maintains on both the geometric isomer and facial selectivity allows for the reaction to produce enatio pure compounds.


Figure 5.12: Predicted reaction mechanism for the BINOL catalysis. (Left) The predicted critical Michael addition for the reaction, the reaction show several similarities with a predicted reaction that Gong et al. made for a similar reaction with a similar catalysis.
(Right) Computational representation of the key Michael addition in the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis, showing the most favorable E geometric isomer and the si face.

The order of addition for the click control, (1c) diverges from the IHQs chiral synthesis in that a Knoevenagel condensation is preformed with 3-Oxo-butyric acid prop-2-ynyl ester and an isoxazole aldehyde to produce an isoxazole $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone before being introduced to the BINOL catalysis. The product is then reacted with the phenylethyl azide in a click reaction to give one fragment in the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis (Figure 5.12). This was done due to that there is essentially no difference in the activity between the first equivalent of a transesterified ethyl acetoacetate and the second equivalent of ethyl acetoacetate to form an enolate. As such the pre-assembly of the Knoevenagel condensation and reacting the product in a click reaction allows us to introduce a larger more sterically encumbered fragment that allows for a difference in
activity verses the second equivalent of ethyl acetoacetate. This allows for a difference in how the fragments interact with the catalysis. This difference allows for the catalysis to force the same specific order of addition between the two fragments in the Michael addition and as a result allows for enatio pure compounds to be produced.


Figure 5.13: Reaction scheme for 1 c .
Once the Michael addition is complete, the remaining steps of the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis occur to give either an IDHP or an IHQ product. The remaining reactions that occur to finish off the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis don't have additional effects on the C 4 center thus the stereo center that is formed in the Michael reaction remains unchanged, and the center is retained until the final product is formed.

### 5.11 Chiral HPLC Traces

The same chiral cellulose based column that was utilized in the racemic IHQs was utilized again here. As you would expect only one enantiomer is observed with the main source of error being the baseline. As such, while the reaction seems to produce $100 \%$ ee product we can only prove that the reaction produces compounds that are around $95 \%$ ee due to the aforementioned baseline errors.











Figure 5.14: HPLC trace of chiral generation 5 compounds
Now with both racemic HPLC traces and chiral HPLC traces in hand we can compare and contrast the two traces.


Figure 5.15: Combined HPLC trace of the chiral and racemic IHQs
As a final step we combined the traces of the enatio-enriched IHQ and the racemic IHQ (Figure 5.11). This allows us to make a tentative assignment that the first peak in the HPLC trace is the $(-)$ enantiomer with the second peak being the $(+)$ enantiomer. The absolute configuration was assigned via unpublished work done by Dr. Schade. A personal communication with Dr. Schade informed us that in a similar 4-arylhydroquinolones series, compounds that showed a (-) rotation were assigned as the S enantiomer. Dr. Schade's assignment of the absolute conformation was established via xray crystallography. As such, we can conclude that our compounds that gave a (-) rotation are the $S$ enantiomer by association.

With both racemic and chiral compounds in hand biological testing would allow for the examination and establishment of a eudysmic ratio at MDR1 for IDHPs and IHQs. Computational modeling for this generation wasn't conducted due to that the literature in the field has established that MDR1 has a minimal eudysmic ratio for aryl-DHPs. This
coupled with the several papers that detail the eudysmic ratio at the calcium channel; we can make an informed hypothesis. With experimental results already in place to predict the outcome of this study, computational modeling wasn't employed as a predictive tool. Additionally, from the previous generations of drug development we would predict that the most active and selective compound in the library would be the (S)-m-Br IHQ.

Our prediction for the results of the biological testing is that there shouldn't be a eudysmic ratio at MDR1. The basis for this argument is that a similar experiment has be conducted by Hollt et al. Hollt observed that 4-aryl-DHPs showed a defined eudysmic ratio at the calcium channel, but his work also showed that MDR1 didn't discriminate between different enantiomers. As such, we would propose that the lack of a eudysmic ratio at MDR1 can be used to our advantage. If the $S$ enantiomer is utilized the compound will be less active at the VGCC, while still retaining an unchanged level of activity at MDR1. This synthetic modification allows us to engineer selectivity into compounds and reduce off target polypharmacology. This coupled with the lower doses that will be needed to treat MDR due to the increase penetration rate of drug to MDR cells will give options in treatment to both treat MDR cells and allow for lower doses to be utilized to achieve a desired pharmacological effect. Both of these factors will allow for safer and more effective cancer treatment and should be considered as a vast improvement on current methods of treatment in MDR cancer chemotherapy.

### 5.12 Generation 4 experimental

General synthesis for Biphenyl-4-Carbaldehyde: An oven dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with 2.00 g ( 10.855 mmol ) of Biphenyl-4-yl-methanol, 30 ml of Chloroform, 10.5 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and a magnetic stir bar. The solution was then allowed to stir until the solution was homologous, 4.5 g of Pyridinium chlorochromate was then added and the vessel was sealed and allowed to react for 3 hours. Reaction progress was checked by TLC; once the reaction was complete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column. The purification yielded yellow oil that was then re-crystallized into a white crystalline solid with hexane and dichloromethane. ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 10.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ )
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.36-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H) 7.59-7.64 (m, 4 H)

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.54$
General synthesis of Biphenyl-4-carbaldehyde oxime. An oven dried round 100mL bottom was charged with 1.90 g ( 10.43 mmol ) of Biphenyl-4-carbaldehyde, 1.49 g of $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{*} \mathrm{HCl}, 2.54 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{NaCH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and a magnetic stir bar. A solution of THF: $\mathrm{EtOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1: 1)$ was made $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added at room temperature to the round bottom. The round bottom was then capped and allowed to stir for 72 hours. The reaction progress was monitored via TLC, once the reaction went to completion the solution as place on a rotovap to remove EtOH from the solution. The remaining mixture was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and Brine three times, and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then concnentrated via rotovap yielding a white solid. ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ )
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.36-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H) 7.59-7.64 (m, 4 H)

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.65$

## General synthesis of 3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid ethyl

ester. An oven dried round bottom 100 mL was charged with 2.01 g of Biphenyl-4carbaldehyde oxime, a magnetic stir bar. The solution was then allowed to stir at room temperature until the mixture was homologous. 0.117 mL of pyridine was then added along with 2.12 g of N -chlorosuccinimide, the round bottom was then capped and allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was extracted with brine and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, the mixture was then dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$, then filtered and concentrated via rotovap. The resulting Biphenyl-4-benzohydroximinoyl chloride was then left under the high vacuum. Another oven dried round bottom 250 mL was charged with 100 mL of EtOH , and a magnetic stir bar, the round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. 0.523 g of Na was then weight out and placed in the EtOH , the solution was then stirred and the Na was allowed to dissolve. Once dissolved 2.97 g of ethyl acetoacetate was then added and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature. The 100 mL round bottom containing Biphenyl-4-
benzohydroximinoyl chloride was then removed from the high vacuum and capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. The Biphenyl-4benzohydroximinoyl chloride was then taken up in a minimal amount of EtOH and added to the $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ mixture at room temperature. The round bottom was then capped with a rubber septum and placed under an argon environment. The solution was then allowed to stirred over night. The solution was then extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and Brine three times, and
dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated via rotovap yielding a yellow oil. The solution was then purified via a silica column. (1.96g, $6.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 61.9 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \operatorname{ppm} 7.36-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.59$ $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 4.11(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.84 \mathrm{~Hz})$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.73$
General synthesis of (3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-methanol. An oven dried 100 ml 2-neck round bottom was charged with 2.04 g of 3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid ethyl ester, a magnetic stir bar and the vessel was sealed and placed under a vacuum. An oven dried condenser was then attached to the 2 neck round bottom and the system was seal and placed under a vacuum and flushed with argon, this process was done three times. The 2-neck round bottom was then placed in an ice bath and was allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of freshly distilled THF was then added to the 2neck round bottom and the solution was again allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .198 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was then added in potions to the solution, there was slight foaming that was observed. The reaction was then left to react and was allowed to come to room temperature.

Reaction process was monitored by TLC after the reaction was completed the mixture was quenched with sodium sulfate decahydrate, foaming was observed. The solution was then purified via filtering it threw a silica plug with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the resulting solution was then concentrated via rotovap yielding a yellow oil. ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 75.6 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.36-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.59-$ $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 5.24(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{3H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{3H})$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.51$

General synthesis of 3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde. An oven dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with 0.481 g of 3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-methanol, 50 ml of dichloromethane, 1.76 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and a magnetic stir bar. 0.75 g of pyridinium chlorochromate was then added and the vessel was capped with a rubber septa and was allowed to react for 3 hours. Reaction progress was monitored via TLC, once the reaction was complete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column and recrystalized via slow evaporation using hexanes and EtOH. The re-crystallization yielded clear crystals. ( $0.356 \mathrm{~g} 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 74.8 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 9.88(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.36-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H) $7.59-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{3H})$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52$
General Synthesis of 4-(3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester. An oven dried 100 mL round bottom was charged with 0.356 g of 3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-carbaldehyde, 0.37 g of ethyl acetoacatate, 50 ml of EtOH and a magnetic stir bar. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature until homologues. 0.337 ml of $30 \%$ ammonia hydroxide was then added and the round bottom was fitted with a dean stark trap. The round bottom was then fitted with an oven dried condenser and the solution was brought to reflux. The reaction process was monitored via TLC. Once complete the solution was then cooled to room temperature and the excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column yielding yellow oil $(0.309 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$, 46.2\%)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.33-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 5.22 (br. s., 1 H) 5.05 (s, 1 H) 4.04-4.17 (m, 3 H) 3.93-4.04 (m, 2 H) 2.44-2.52 (m, 3 H) $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.41,165.86,163.52,143.87,141.15,140.47,13.09,129.01$, $127.01,126.15,119.58,101.17,59.82,29.35,19.44,14.51,11.50$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 487.2233$ found 487.2256
MS: $m / z=486([\mathrm{M}] 40), 487\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 488\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$
4-(3-Anthracen-9-yl-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.41-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3$
H) $7.30-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.09-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.20-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H) 0.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ )
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.13,166.10,161.10,145.05,131.24,131.06,129.77,127.78$, $126.46,125.87,125.50,119.89,99.22,59.71,29.44,18.17,14.69,11.72$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 511.2233$ found 511.2228
MS: $m / z=509([\mathrm{M}-1] 80), 510([\mathrm{M}] 30), 511\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 512\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.14$
4-[3-(2-Methoxy-naphthalen-1-yl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.69-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.23-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$ 7.18 (s, 1 H) 4.96 (s, 1 H) $4.09-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.87$ (s, 3 H ) $1.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.85,7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.36,165.52,159.42,155.23,144.61,143.98,134.18,130.49$, $128.29,127.29,126.70,125.09,123.56,119.49,113.22,112.25,99.94,99.80,59.65$, 59.57, 56.17, 29.46, 19.14, 18.33, 14.67, 14.58, 11.67

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} 491.2182$ found 491.2205
MS: $m / z=489([\mathrm{M}-1] 100), 490([\mathrm{M}] 30), 491\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 70\right), 512\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$
2,6-Dimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-naphthalen-1-yl-isoxazol-4-yl)-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.41(\mathrm{bs}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.27,165.35,162.54,144.67,133.00,132.84,128.79,128.45$, 127.99, 127.57, 126.40, 126.40, 126.08, 124.68, 199.38, 99.98, 59.76, 29.38, 18.81, $14.62,11.57$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 460.2076$ found 461.2087
MS: $m / z=461([\mathrm{M}+1] 100), 462([\mathrm{M}+2] 50)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28$

## 4-[3-(4-Methoxy-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-

 3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.79-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 3.99-4.09 (m, 2 H) 3.89-3.99(m, 2 H) 3.77 (s, 3 H) 2.39-2.43(m, 3 H) $1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ $1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.68,157.90,143.49,140.33,128.99,113.20,104.48,59.71$, $55.16,38.76,19.65,14.29$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} 441.2026$ found 441.2057
MS: $m / z=439([\mathrm{M}-1] 80), 440([\mathrm{M}] 20), 441\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 442\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$

## 4-[3-(3,4-Dimethoxy-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-

 pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.95-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.80-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.98-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.86-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.39(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H) $1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.08-1.15(\mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.53,166.14,163.26,149.27,148.33,144.21,123.33,122.14$, $119.59,112.87,110.48,100.68,59.68,55.99,29.30,18.93,14.42,11.43$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} 469.1975$ found 469.1990
MS: $m / z=469([\mathrm{M}-1] 100), 470([\mathrm{M}] 40), 471\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 80\right), 472\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 20\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.07$
2,6-Dimethyl-4-[5-methyl-3-(3-phenoxy-phenyl)-isoxazol-4-yl]-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.13-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.01-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.94-$
7.01 (m, 2 H) 6.76 (ddd, $J=8.03,2.51,1.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.48(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.98$ -
$4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.20(\mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.50,157.53,156.72,149.81,143.96,129.58,129.01,123.06$, $118.81,116.57,103.97,59.76,39.53,30.96,19.60,14.26$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} 501.2026$ found 501.2019

MS: $m / z=500([\mathrm{M}-1] 20), 501([\mathrm{M}] 100), 502\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 60\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28$
4-[3-(2,4-Dimethoxy-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27$ (s, 2 H$) 7.11$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 4.05-4.19 (m, 4 H) 3.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.69$ (s, 3 H) 2.54 (s, 3 H) $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.25(\mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.36,165.13,159.79,157.70,156.11,143.87,132.19,119.27$, $112.68,100.66,95.72,59.74,56.42,55.95,29.44,19.31,14.53,11.52$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} 623.2757$ found 623.2709
MS: $m / z=469([\mathrm{M}-1] 30), 470([\mathrm{M}] 20), 471\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 472\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.05$
4-[3-(3,4-Bis-benzyloxy-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid diethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.03$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=3.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.88-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.73(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H) 1.12 (t, $J=12.30 \mathrm{~Hz} 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.60,167.4,165.53,163.52,148.68 .148 .16,144.00$, $143.56,141.33,137.88,137.68,137.63,136.88,128.69,128.56,128.42,128.40,128.11$, $128.02,127.66,127.61,127.56,127.46,127.32,127.26,124.20,122.92,120.92,119.15$, $116.29,115.51,114.77,114.41,104.17,100.72,77.36,77.25,77.04,76.72,71.44,71.38$, $71.34,70.69,59.76,59.70,38.86,29.25,19.63,19.08,14.51,14.35,11.46$,

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} 623.2757$ found 623.2807
MS: $m / z=621([\mathrm{M}-1] 20), 622([\mathrm{M}] 10), 623\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 624\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 50\right)$

TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.16$

### 5.9 Generation 5 experimental

General synthesis for 2,7,7-Trimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester: An oven dried 50mL round bottom was charged with $0.27 \mathrm{~g}(1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ of starting material, 0.202 g of dimedone, 0.187 g of ethyl acetoacetate, 0.046 g of Ytterbium (III) trifluoromethanesulfonate and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture is then taken up in 3.61 mL of absolute Ethanol and is allowed to stir at room temperature. Once homologues 0.11 g of ammonium acetate is added to the stirring solution and the round bottom is capped. The solution is then allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 hr . After which reaction progress was monitored via TLC. Once the reaction was complete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.04 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.73$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.02 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.48,167.15,166.70,163.40,148.45,143.86,130.90,129.60$, $128.58,127.70,118.74,109.21,103.32,59.78,50.75,40.66,32.33,28.52,28.42,26.50$, $19.05,14.31,11.47$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 421.2127$ found 421.2132
MS: $m / z=419\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right] 10\right), 421\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right), 422\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$

4-[3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (m, 1H), $4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.47,167.07,166.58,162.43,148.09,144.42,132.42,132.42$, $132.30,130.04,126.24,124.82,117.30,109.20,102.30,60.41,58.89,50.56,40.94$, $32.99,26.27,19.52,14.50,11.45$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br} 499.1232$ found 499.1248
MS: $m / z=499([\mathrm{M}] 90), 500\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 40\right), 501\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 100\right) 502\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$

## 4-[3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-

 hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=10.79 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=10.79 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.68,167.25,167.09,162.00,149.19,144.24,132.91,132.91$, $132.31,131.71,129.46,128.25,121.78,119.11,108.86,103.05,59.79,50.75,40.39$, $32.23,28.52,28.30,26.67,18.87,14.31,11.52$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br} 499.1232$ found 499.1324
MS: $m / z=499([\mathrm{M}] 100), 500\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 50\right), 501\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 90\right), 502\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+3}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$

4-[3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.36,167.21,166.95,162.28,147.76,143.06,131.27,130.91$, $130.09,122.99,118.78,109.59,103.88,59.92,50.68,41.03,32.45,28.58,28.36$, 26.52,19.27, 14.24, 11.47

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br} 499.1232$ found 499.1251
MS: $m / z=499([\mathrm{M}] 100), 500\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 30\right), 501\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 90\right), 502\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right] 30\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 3:2 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$
4-(3-Biphenyl-4-yl-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1} H$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=10.54 \mathrm{~Hz}$ 2H), 3.79 (m, J=10.54Hz 2H), $2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 195.77, 167.21, 167.11, 162.95, 149.11, 143.97, 141.49, 140.53, $129.90,129.81,128.96,127.70,127.05,126.49,119.49,109.04,103.37,59.71,50.75$, $40.42,32.24,28.52,28.25,26.55,18.79,14.22,11.47$

HRMS: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 495.2284$ found 495.2309
MS: $m / z=495([\mathrm{M}] 100), 496\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 50\right), 497\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{+1}\right] 60\right)$
TLC: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Hexane:EtOAc 4:1 $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.23$

The general synthesis of (-)- 2,7,7-Trimethyl-4-(5-methyl-3-phenyl-isoxazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester. An oven dried 50 mL round bottom was charged with 0.027 g ( 1.5 eq ) of dimedone, 0.025 g of ethyl acetoacetate, and $0.014 \mathrm{~g}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of (R)- 4-Oxo-2,6-bis-(2,4,6-triisopropyl-phenyl)-3,5-dioxa-415-phospha-cyclohepta[2,1-a;3,4-a']dinaphthalen-4-ol and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was then taken up in 1.5 mL of acetonitrile, capped and put under an inert atmosphere of argon, after which the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 20 minutes. Once homologues $0.036 \mathrm{~g}(0.193 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aldehyde and 0.015 g of ammonium acetate is added to the stirring solution, the solution is then allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 hr . After which reaction progress was monitored via TLC. Once the reaction was complete excess solvent was removed via rotovap. The solution was then purified via a silica column.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.54 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.76 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.29,165.90,166.72,147.85,143.51,137.74,129.72,129.23$, $127.63,115.26,109.56,103.56,59.87,57.53,50.71,40.98,32.57,32.48,28.66,28.42$, 26.49, 24.58,19.34,14.32. $[\alpha]_{D}-11.2\left(c=0.09 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$
(-)-4-[3-(2-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\mathrm{J}=16.31 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.31 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99$ (s, 3H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.45,167.06,166.60,147.95,144.30,132.49,132.31,130.01$, $126.24,124.86,117.24,109.28,102.39,60.41,59.92,50.55,41.03,33.02,26.26,19.59$, $14.49,11.44 \ldots[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-13.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$
(-)-4-[3-(3-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1} H$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.81 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.31 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.54,167.60,166.89,163.57,149.96,144.52,132.50,132.30$, $132.31,131.84,129.68,127.56,125.61,118.11,108.65,102.42,58.67,50.62,40.69$, $33.25,27.62,26.74,18.65,14.53,11.52 .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-2.36\left(\mathrm{c}=0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.
(-)-4-[3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester
${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.02 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.29 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s} 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.37,167.22,166.95,162.29,147.77,131.28,130.92,130.09$, $123.00,118.79,109.59,103.89,59.93,50.68,41.04,32.45,28.58,28.37,26.53,19.28$, $14.25,11.48 .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-0.032\left(\mathrm{c}=0.08, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$
(-)-4-[3-(4-Bromo-phenyl)-5-methyl-isoxazol-4-yl]-2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid 3-ethyl ester 5-(1-phenethyl-1H-[1,2,3]triazol-4ylmethyl) ester
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=9.29 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=12.80 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=12.80 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.07(\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.06(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.35,167.11,166.63,144.30,142.98,136.86,131.09,130.82$, $128.82,128.66,127.11,122.95,119.76,101.09,59.80,58.25,56.72,51.63,42.90,36.59$, $29.36,19.03,18.85,18.40,14.45,14.43,11.33 .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-2.68\left(\mathrm{c}=0.48, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.
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