Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Excessive [*l*, *m*]-factorizations

David Cariolaro^a, Giuseppe Mazzuoccolo^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou Higher Education Town, Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215123, China

^b Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche, Universitá di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 June 2013 Received in revised form 29 April 2015 Accepted 30 April 2015 Available online 6 June 2015

Keywords: Excessive [I, m]-factorization Excessive [I, m]-index Matching Chromatic index

ABSTRACT

Given two positive integers *l* and *m*, with $l \le m$, an [l, m]-covering of a graph *G* is a set \mathcal{M} of matchings of *G* whose union is the edge set of *G* and such that $l \le |M| \le m$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

An [l, m]-covering \mathcal{M} of G is an *excessive* [l, m]-factorization of G if the cardinality of \mathcal{M} is as small as possible. The number of matchings in an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G (or ∞ , if G does not admit an excessive [l, m]-factorization) is a graph parameter called the *excessive* [l, m]-index of G and denoted by $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$. In this paper we study such parameter. Our main result is a general formula for the excessive [l, m]-index of a graph G in terms of other graph parameters. Furthermore, we give a polynomial time algorithm which computes $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ for any fixed constants l and m and outputs an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G, whenever the latter exists.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The classical concept of graph factorization as the decomposition of the edge set of a graph into (pairwise isomorphic) factors is a very general concept which has received a substantial amount of attention in the literature. One limitation of the use of such concept is that it is normally applicable only to specific classes of graphs, such as complete graphs, or k-factorizable graphs, etc. In 2004 one extension of the concept of 1-factorization, called excessive factorization, which is applicable to a wider class of graphs, has been proposed [3] (see also [1]). Informally speaking, an excessive factorization of a graph to admit an excessive factorization, it is not necessary that it is 1-factorizable (or even regular), and hence, using this new concept, one can develop and apply the results of the corresponding theory to a much wider class of graphs. Of course one may observe that there are limitations also in the concept of excessive factorization, in what it applies only to graphs having 1-factors and, more precisely, having 1-factors containing any prescribed edge of the graph. It is therefore desirable to study extensions of this concept by replacing the term "1-factor" by something more general. However, if we replace the term "1-factor" by "matching of fixed size m", and this idea was pursued by Cariolaro and Fu in [6], where the corresponding concept was called "excessive [m]-factorization".

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: mazzuoccolo@unimore.it (G. Mazzuoccolo).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.04.030 0012-365X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







More precisely an *excessive* [m]-factorization of a graph G is a set \mathcal{M} of matchings of G such that

(i) $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M = E(G);$

(ii) |M| = m for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$;

(iii) subject to (i) and (ii), $|\mathcal{M}|$ is minimum.

A set \mathcal{M} of matchings of G satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) above, but not necessarily (iii), is called an [m]-covering of G. A graph which admits an [m]-covering is said to be [m]-coverable. It is obvious that a graph G admits an excessive [m]-factorization if and only it is [m]-coverable, which is the case if and only if every edge e of G belongs to a matching of size *m* (or, equivalently, at least *m*) of *G*. Such condition can be verified in polynomial time thanks to a famous theorem of Edmonds [9]. The number of matchings in an excessive [m]-factorization (or ∞ , if G does not admit an excessive [*m*]-factorization) is a graph parameter which is denoted in [6] by $\chi'_{[m]}(G)$ and called the *excessive* [*m*]-*index* of *G*.

The theory of excessive factorizations is still in its infancy, but a number of papers have already been written on the topic (see e.g. [1,2,4,5,7,12,13,15]) and connections with some important combinatorial problems such as the Berge–Fulkerson Conjecture have already been noticed [11].

Whilst finding an excessive factorization in general is an NP-hard problem [1], it was recently established by Cariolaro and Rizzi [8] that, for a fixed value of m, there exists a polynomial time algorithm which, given as input a graph G, outputs the excessive [m]-index $\chi'_{[m]}(G)$ as well as an excessive [m]-factorization.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a generalization of the concept of excessive [m]-factorization, as follows. Let *l*, *m* be two positive integers, where l < m. An excessive [l, m]-factorization of G is a set \mathcal{M} of matchings of G such that

(i) $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M = E(G);$ (ii) $l \le |M| \le m$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M};$

(iii) subject to (i) and (ii), $|\mathcal{M}|$ is minimum.

A set \mathcal{M} of matchings of G satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) above, but not necessarily (iii), is called an [l, m]-covering of G. A graph is said to be [l, m]-coverable if it admits an [l, m]-covering. For notational convenience, a matching M satisfying $l \leq |M| \leq m$ will be called an [l, m]-matching and, in the case l = m, it will simply be called an [m]-matching.

Similarly to the case of excessive [m]-factorizations, we define *excessive* [l, m]-index of the graph G, denoted by $\chi'_{[lm]}(G)$, as the cardinality of an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G if G admits an excessive [l, m]-factorization, and ∞ otherwise.

Notice that, when l = m, the concepts of excessive [l, m]-factorization and excessive [l, m]-index coincide, respectively, with the concepts of excessive [m]-factorization and excessive [m]-index.

Our main result (Theorem 3) will be a general formula for the excessive [1, m]-index of a graph G expressed in terms of the chromatic index of G and the excessive [k]-index of G, for some particular values of the integer k. A natural question is whether, for a fixed value of the integers l and m, where $l \leq m$, there exists a polynomial time algorithm which, given a graph *G*, computes $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ and outputs an excessive [l, m]-factorization of *G*. We prove in the last section that the answer to this question is affirmative.

2. Preliminary results and definitions

An edge colouring of a multigraph G is a map $\varphi : E(G) \to C$, where C is a set (called the set of *colours*) and φ has the property of mapping adjacent edges into distinct colours. When $|\mathcal{C}| = k, \varphi$ is called a *k*-edge colouring. A colour class of φ is a set of edges of the form $\varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$, where α is a colour. The *chromatic index* of G, denoted by $\chi'(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that G has a k-edge colouring.

A k-edge colouring φ is called an equalized k-edge colouring if, for every colour class C of φ , we have

 $\lfloor |E(G)|/k \rfloor \le |C| \le \lceil |E(G)|/k \rceil.$

The following result, obtained by McDiarmid [14] will be used often in the sequel.

Lemma 1. Let $k \ge \chi'(G)$. Then G admits an equalized k-edge colouring. Furthermore, given a k-edge-colouring of G, an equalized *k*-edge colouring can be obtained in time O(|V||E|).

We shall also need the following lemma of Cariolaro and Fu [6, Theorem 6].

Lemma 2. Let G be a graph and let m be an integer such that $|E(G)|/m \ge \chi'(G)$. Then $\chi'_{\text{Im1}}(G) = \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil$.

Let *l*, *m* be two integers, with $l \leq m$, and let \mathcal{M} be an [l, m]-covering of *G*. The multigraph *G* induced by \mathcal{M} is the multigraph with the same vertex set as G, where two distinct vertices u, v are joined by as many edges in G as there are matchings in \mathcal{M} containing the edge uv. Similarly, if \mathcal{H} is any multigraph whose underlying simple graph is G, and if φ is a k-edge colouring of \mathcal{H} , with colour classes C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k , the covering of G induced by φ is the covering $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k\}$, where M_i is the matching of G defined by

 $M_i = \{uv \in E(G) \mid \text{there exists } e \in C_i \text{ such that } e \text{ joins } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } \mathcal{H}.\}$

Henceforward, whenever it is not specified, the symbols l and m will denote two positive integers, satisfying l < m.

We have the following.

Proposition 1. The graph G admits an excessive [l, m]-factorization if and only if it admits an excessive [l]-factorization.

Proof. Every [*l*]-covering of *G* is also an [*l*, *m*]-covering of *G*. Hence the existence of an excessive [*l*]-factorization implies the existence of an [*l*, *m*]-factorization. Conversely, if *G* admits an excessive [*l*, *m*]-factorization, then, in particular, every edge of *G* belongs to a matching of size at least *l*, and hence *G* admits an excessive [*l*]-factorization. \Box

Proposition 2. For every positive integers l, l', m, m', with $l' \le l \le m \le m'$ and every graph G, we have $\chi'_{[l',m']}(G) \le \chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$.

Proof. Obvious since every [l, m]-covering of *G* is an [l', m']-covering of *G*. \Box

The following proposition generalizes [6, Proposition 1].

Proposition 3. The following conditions are equivalent for any graph G.

- (i) $\chi'_{[l m]}(G) \leq k;$
- (ii) *G* has a k-edge colouring φ such that each colour class of φ is contained in an [l, m]-matching of *G*;
- (iii) G is the underlying simple graph of a multigraph \tilde{G} which is k-edge colourable and whose colour classes are [l, m]-matchings of \tilde{G} .

Proof. Assume (i). Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k\}$ be an [l, m]-covering of G, where, if necessary, we allow the same matching to appear more than once in \mathcal{M} . Define a function $\varphi : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ by $\varphi(e) = \min_{1 \le i \le k} \{i \mid e \in M_i\}$. It is straightforward to verify that φ is an edge colouring of G whose colour classes can each be extended to an [l, m]-matching of G. This shows that (i) implies (ii).

Assume now (ii). Let φ be a *k*-edge colouring whose colour classes are contained in an [l, m]-matching of *G*. Let N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_k be the colour classes of φ . By assumption, for every N_i there is an [l, m]-matching M_i of *G* containing N_i . Thus $\{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k\}$ is an [l, m]-covering of *G*, whence (i) follows.

Assume now (i), and let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k\}$ be an [l, m]-covering of G, as above. Let \tilde{G} be the multigraph induced by \mathcal{M} . By construction, \tilde{G} has a *k*-edge colouring whose colour classes are [l, m]-matchings of \tilde{G} , hence (iii) follows.

Conversely, if \tilde{G} has a *k*-edge colouring ψ whose colour classes $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\}$ are [l, m]-matchings of G, it suffices to consider the [l, m]-covering of G induced by ψ . Clearly \mathcal{M} is an [l, m]-covering of G, whence (i) follows. Thus (i)–(iii) are equivalent. \Box

Proposition 4. $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \ge \max\{\chi'(G), \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m} \right\rceil\}.$

Proof. Let $k = \chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$. We can assume that k is finite. By Proposition 3(ii), G has a k-edge colouring, hence $k \ge \chi'(G)$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k\}$ be an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G. Since each matching in \mathcal{M} has size at most m, we have

$$|E(G)| = \Big|\bigcup_{i=1}^k M_i\Big| \le km,$$

hence $k \ge |E(G)|/m$, and since k is an integer, we obtain $k \ge \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil$. This concludes the proof. \Box

3. Proof of the main result

In this section we assume that the integers l and m satisfy the inequality l < m, unless stated otherwise. We have the following.

Lemma 3. $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \min_{l \le i < m} \chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G).$

Proof. By Proposition 2, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \le \min_{1 \le i \le m} \chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G).$$

We now prove the reverse inequality. In doing so, we can clearly assume that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) < \infty$. Let \mathcal{M} be an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G, and assume $|\mathcal{M}| = k$. Let \tilde{G} be the multigraph induced by \mathcal{M} . Notice that

$$kl \le |E(\tilde{G})| \le km,\tag{1}$$

and, by construction, \tilde{G} is *k*-edge colourable. By Lemma 1, \tilde{G} has an equalized *k*-edge colouring φ . In such colouring, every colour class has size $\left| \frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{k} \right|$ or $\left[\frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{k} \right]$. By (1),

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{k} \right\rfloor \ge l$$

and

$$\left\lceil \frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{k} \right\rceil \le m.$$

Hence, letting

$$i = \left\lfloor \frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{k} \right\rfloor,$$

the edge colouring φ of \tilde{G} induces an [i, i + 1]-covering of G of cardinality k, thus proving

$$\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) \le k$$

This terminates the proof. \Box

Lemma 4. If the integer i satisfies $i \geq \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)}$, then $\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) = \chi'_{[i]}(G)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2, $\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) \leq \chi'_{[i]}(G)$. We prove the reverse inequality. We can clearly assume that $\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) = k < \infty$. Let \mathcal{M} be an excessive [i, i + 1]-factorization of G and let λ_i (respectively, λ_{i+1}) be the number of [i]-matchings (respectively, [i + 1]-matchings) in \mathcal{M} . Let \tilde{G} be the multigraph induced by \mathcal{M} . By Proposition 3(iii), \tilde{G} is k-edge colourable. Notice that

$$\begin{split} |E(\hat{G})| &= i\lambda_i + (i+1)\lambda_{i+1} = i(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}) + \lambda_{i+1} = i\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) + \lambda_{i+1} \\ &\geq i\chi'(G) + \lambda_{i+1} \ge |E(G)| + \lambda_{i+1}, \end{split}$$

where in the proof of the last inequality we have used our assumption that $i \ge |E(G)|/\chi'(G)$. Thus, in particular, we can delete λ_{i+1} edges from \tilde{G} and still obtain a multigraph \tilde{H} which has G as its underlying simple graph. By definition, \tilde{H} contains

$$i(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}) = i\chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) = ik$$

edges and is k-edge colourable (since \tilde{G} is). Let φ be an equalized k-edge colouring of \tilde{H} (which exists by Lemma 1). Then φ induces a covering of G with k matchings of size *i*, thus proving

$$\chi'_{[i]}(G) \leq k. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 5. If the integer i satisfies $i \ge |E(G)|/\chi'(G)$, then $\chi'_{[i+1]}(G) \ge \chi'_{[i]}(G)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\chi'_{[i+1]}(G) = k < \infty$. Let \mathcal{M} be an excessive [i + 1]-factorization of G, and let \tilde{G} be the multigraph induced by \mathcal{M} . We have

$$|E(\tilde{G})| = k(i+1) = ki + k \ge \chi'(G)i + k \ge |E(G)| + k,$$

where in the last inequality we have used the assumption. Hence we may delete k edges from \tilde{G} and still obtain a multigraph \tilde{H} whose underlying simple graph is G. Notice that

 $|E(\tilde{H})| = ki$

and \tilde{H} is *k*-edge colourable, since \tilde{G} is *k*-edge colourable (by Proposition 3(iii)). Let φ be an equalized *k*-edge colouring of \tilde{H} . Clearly φ induces an [*i*]-covering of *G* with *k* matchings, therefore proving that $\chi'_{ii}(G) \leq k$. This terminates the proof. \Box

Lemma 6. If the integer l satisfies $l \geq \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)}$, then $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$.

Proof. Using Lemmas 3–5, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \min_{l \le i < m} \chi'_{[i,i+1]}(G) = \min_{l \le i < m} \chi'_{[i]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G),$$

as desired.

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

Theorem 1. For every pair of positive integers l, m with $l \le m$, and any graph G, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m} \right\rceil & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \ge m \\ \chi'(G) & \text{if } l \le \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \le m \\ \chi'_{[l]}(G) & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \le l \end{cases}$$

Proof. First observe that the result holds for l = m by Lemma 2. We now assume l < m. Suppose first that

$$|E(G)|/\chi'(G) \ge m.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\chi'_{[m]}(G) = \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil.$$

By Propositions 4 and 2, we have

$$\lceil |E(G)|/m\rceil \le \chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \le \chi'_{[m]}(G) = \lceil |E(G)|/m\rceil$$

hence we have

 $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil.$

Suppose now that

 $l \leq |E(G)|/\chi'(G) \leq m.$

Let $k = \chi'(G)$ and let φ be an equalized *k*-edge colouring of *G*, with colour classes C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k . Notice that, for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$l \leq \left| |E(G)|/\chi'(G) \right| \leq |C_i| \leq \left| |E(G)|/\chi'(G) \right| \leq m,$$

hence $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\}$ is an [l, m]-covering of G, which implies $k \ge \chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$. Hence $\chi'(G) \ge \chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$. The reverse inequality follows from Proposition 4.

Suppose now

$$|E(G)|/\chi'(G) \leq l.$$

By Lemma 6, we have

 $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G),$

as desired. This concludes the proof. \Box

4. Extremal cases

Consider the special case l = 1. In this case Theorem 1 reduces to the following.

Corollary 1. $\chi'_{[1 m]}(G) = \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil\}.$

Clearly an excessive [1, m]-factorization \mathcal{M} of a graph *G* is just a minimum set of matchings of size at most *m* whose union is *E*(*G*). Thus (since we are only interested in minimum coverings) there is clearly no loss of generality in assuming that the matchings in \mathcal{M} are disjoint, and hence that \mathcal{M} is an edge colouring whose colour classes have size at most *m*. Such colouring was called an *optimal m-bounded edge colouring* in a recent paper of Rizzi and the first author [16], where *inter alia* it was shown that, for a fixed value of the integer *m*, an optimal *m*-bounded edge colouring of any graph *G* (and hence the parameter $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G)$) can be computed in polynomial time (see Theorem 5 in Section 7 of the present paper).

A further extremal case is obtained by considering the case $m = \infty$, i.e. we consider coverings with matchings of size at least *l* but with no prescribed upper bound on their size. Attention to this case was prompted to us by Richard Brualdi (oral communication with the first author at the 7th Shanghai Conference on Combinatorics in 2011). The corresponding factorization is called an *excessive* $[l, \infty]$ -factorization and the corresponding parameter is called an *excessive* $[l, \infty]$ -index and denoted by $\chi'_{[L,\infty]}(G)$.

We notice that, in general, the problem of the computation of this parameter is NP-hard, since it is easily seen that $\chi'_{[1,\infty]}(G) = \chi'(G)$, and it is well known that computing $\chi'(G)$ is NP-hard [10]. The following result follows from Theorem 1.



Fig. 1. An excessive [4, 5]-factorization of the Petersen graph.

Corollary 2. For every integer l and any graph G, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,\infty]}(G) = \begin{cases} \chi'(G) & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'} \ge l \\ \chi'_{[l]}(G) & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'} \le l. \end{cases}$$

5. Compatibility

Proposition 4 shows that

 $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \ge \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil\}.$

Thus, in particular,

 $\chi'_{[m]}(G) \ge \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil\}.$

Graphs for which the above inequality holds as an equality were called [m]-compatible in [6]. It was proved in [6] that, for every graph G, there exists an integer com(G), called compatibility index, such that

G is [*m*]-compatible if and only if $1 \le m \le com(G)$.

Generalizing this notion, we say that G is [l, m]-compatible if

 $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil\}.$

This definition naturally suggests the following question: for a fixed graph G and integer m, for which values of l is G[l, m]-compatible?

It follows from Corollary 1 that G is always [1, m]-compatible.

Suppose now that *G* is [l, m]-compatible and l > 1. Then

$$\chi'_{[l-1,m]}(G) \leq \chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \max\left\{\chi'(G), \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m} \right\rceil\right\},\$$

and hence, using Proposition 4, we see that *G* is [l - 1, m]-compatible. Thus, for every *m* there is an integer $f_G(m)$ such that *G* is [l, m]-compatible if and only if $1 \le l \le f_G(m)$. In particular $f_G(m) = m$ if and only if *G* is [m]-compatible. We call the function $f_G : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ the compatibility function of *G*.

For example, if *P* is the Petersen graph, since it is known [6] that com(P) = 4, it follows that $f_P(m) = m$ for every $m \le 4$ and $f_P(5) < 5$. In Fig. 1 we show a [4, 5]-covering of *P* consisting of 4 matchings, hence necessarily an excessive [4, 5]-factorization, thereby proving that *P* is [4, 5]-compatible and that $f_P(5) = 4$.

We now prove that the function f_G is always nondecreasing.

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph. Then the compatibility function f_G is nondecreasing.

$$k = \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil\}.$$

Let

 $k' = \max\{\chi'(G), \lceil |E(G)|/(m+1)\rceil\}.$

Notice that $k' \le k$. If k' = k, then by Proposition 4 \mathcal{M} is an excessive [l, m + 1]-factorization. Therefore we can assume that k' < k. We now divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $k' = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m+1} \right\rceil$. In this case

$$\chi'(G) \le k' = \lceil |E(G)|/(m+1) \rceil < \lceil |E(G)|/m \rceil = k.$$
⁽²⁾

In particular, G is k'-edge colourable. Since

$$|E(G)|/(m+1) \le k',$$

we have

$$\left\lceil |E(G)|/k'\right\rceil \le m+1.$$

Since

$$E(G)|/m > k',$$

and since $l \leq m$, we have

$$|E(G)|/l > k',$$

and hence

$$E(G)|/k'>l,$$

so that

$$\left| |E(G)|/k' \right| \ge l. \tag{4}$$

By (3) and (4), an equalized k'-edge colouring of G is an [l, m + 1]-covering, and hence necessarily an excessive [l, m + 1]-factorization. Thus

$$\chi'_{[l,m+1]}(G) = k',$$

and hence *G* is [l, m + 1]-compatible.

Case 2:
$$k' = \chi'(G) > \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m+1} \right\rceil$$
.

Since k > k', we have

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m} \right\rceil > k' = \chi'(G) > \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m+1} \right\rceil.$$

We need to prove that G is [l, m + 1]-compatible. Notice that

$$\frac{|E(G)|}{l} \ge \frac{|E(G)|}{m} > \chi'(G) > \frac{|E(G)|}{m+1}.$$

Let φ be an equalized $\chi'(G)$ -edge colouring. Then every colour class *C* satisfies

$$l \le m \le \left\lfloor \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)}
ight
floor \le |C| \le \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)}
ight
ceil \le m+1,$$

hence φ is an excessive [l, m]-factorization, and we conclude that G is [l, m + 1]-compatible. \Box

(3)



Fig. 2. A graph which is not [2, 3]-coherent. As shown in the figure, $\chi'_{[2,3]} = 3$. It is easy to see that $\chi'_{[2]}(G) = \chi'_{[3]}(G) = 4$.

6. Coherence

We have the following.

Proposition 5. $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \leq \min_{l \leq i \leq m} \chi'_{[i]}(G).$

Proof. Let *i* be an integer, with $l \le i \le m$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\chi'_{[i]}(G)$ is finite. Let \mathcal{M} be an excessive [*i*]-factorization of *G*. Then \mathcal{M} is also an [*l*, *m*]-covering of *G*, implying that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) \le \chi'_{[i]}(G)$. By the arbitrariety of *i*, the assertion is proved. \Box

A graph for which the inequality expressed by Proposition 5 holds as an equality will be called [l, m]-coherent. Notice that every graph is [m, m]-coherent by definition. An example of a graph G and two integers l, m such that G is not [l, m]-coherent is shown in Fig. 2.

The following theorem gives a characterization of the graphs which are not [l, m]-coherent.

Theorem 3. A graph G is not [l, m]-coherent if and only if $l < \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} < m$ and $\chi'_{[k]}(G) > \chi'(G)$, where $k = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Assume that *G* is not [l, m]-coherent. Then clearly l < m. By Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} > l.$$
⁽⁵⁾

Assume

$$\frac{E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \ge m. \tag{6}$$

Then, by Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{m} \right\rceil = \chi'_{[m]}(G),$$

hence G is [l, m]-coherent, a contradiction. Therefore (6) is false, and we have

$$\frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} < m.$$
⁽⁷⁾

By (5), (7) and Theorem 1 we then have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'(G)$$

Since *G* is not [*l*, *m*]-coherent,

$$\chi'_{[i]}(G) > \chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'(G) \quad \text{for every } i, l \le i \le m.$$
(8)

In particular, letting $k = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \right\rceil$, by (5) and (7) we have $l \le k \le m$, and by (8) we have

$$\chi'_{[k]}(G) > \chi'(G),$$

as desired.

Suppose now that G is [l, m]-coherent and assume

$$l < \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} < m$$

and

 $\chi'_{[k]}(G) > \chi'(G),$

where $k = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \right\rceil$.

By Theorem 1, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'(G).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5, if $i \ge k$, then

$$\chi'_{[i+1]}(G) \ge \chi'_{[k]}(G) > \chi'(G).$$

On the other hand, if $i < \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)}$, then by Lemma 2

$$\chi'_{[i]}(G) = \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{i} \right\rceil > \chi'(G).$$

Thus $\chi'_{[i]}(G) > \chi'(G)$ for every $i, l \le i \le m$, and hence G is not [l, m]-coherent, a contradiction. This contradiction concludes the proof. \Box

The example of Fig. 2 shows that there are [l, m]-compatible graphs which are not [l, m]-coherent. On the other hand, there are graphs which are [l, m]-coherent and not [l, m]-compatible. For example any graph which is not [m]-compatible (e.g. the Petersen graph for m = 5) is nonetheless [m, m]-coherent.

7. Complexity

We shall now prove that, for any fixed positive integers l, m, with $l \le m$, there exists a polynomial time algorithm that, given a graph *G*, outputs $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ and, if $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) < \infty$, also outputs an excessive [l, m]-factorization of *G*. First notice that, using Corollary 1 and Proposition 4, we can restate our Theorem 1 as follows.

Theorem 4. For any pair of positive integers l, m, with l < m, and any graph G, we have

$$\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \begin{cases} \chi'_{[1,m]} & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \ge l \\ \chi'_{[l]}(G) & \text{if } \frac{|E(G)|}{\chi'(G)} \le l. \end{cases}$$

We shall use the following two results of Rizzi and the first author, which we have already mentioned but which, for convenience, we state below (see [16] and [8]).

Theorem 5. Let *m* be a fixed positive integer. Then there exists a polynomial time algorithm which, given a graph G, outputs $\chi'_{[1\,m]}(G)$ as well as an excessive [1, m]-factorization of G in $O(|V(G)||E(G)| + |E(G)|^{2m^3})$ time.

Notice that we can always assume that the excessive [1, m]-factorization obtained as a result of Theorem 5 is an edge colouring, whose colour classes are all of size at most *m* (optimal *m*-bounded edge colouring).

Theorem 6. Let *m* be a fixed positive integer. Then there exists a $O(|E(G)|^{2m^3+4m^4})$ time algorithm which, given a graph *G*, outputs $\chi'_{[m]}(G)$ and, if $\chi'_{[m]}(G) < \infty$, also outputs an excessive [m]-factorization of G.

Our algorithm, which we name EXC(G, l, m), is outlined below.

ALGORITHM EXC(G, l, m)

1. INPUT G.

- 2. Compute (using Theorem 5) $\chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$, $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G)$ and an *m*-bounded edge colouring φ of *G*. 3. IF $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) < \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$, then transform φ in an equalized edge colouring φ' using Mc Diarmid algorithm (Lemma 1).
- 4. RETURN $\chi'_{[1,m]}$ and φ' .
- 5. ELSE compute $\chi'_{[l]}(G)$ and, if $\chi'_{[l]}(G) < \infty$, compute an excessive [l]-factorization \mathcal{M} of G using Theorem 6.
- 6. RETURN $\chi'_{\Pi}(G)$ and (if $\chi'_{\Pi}(G) < \infty$) \mathcal{M} .

We shall now prove that Algorithm EXC(G, l, m) is correct.

Theorem 7. For any fixed positive integers l, m, with $l \le m$, Algorithm EXC(G, l, m) computes in $O(|V(G)||E(G)| + |E(G)|^{2m^3} + |E(G)|^{2m^3})$ $|E(G)|^{2l^3+4l^4}$ time $\chi'_{[lm]}(G)$ and, if $\chi'_{[lm]}(G) < \infty$, outputs an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G.

Proof. By Theorem 4 we have that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ equals either $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G)$ or $\chi'_{[l]}(G)$. Since an [l]-covering of G is an [1, m]-covering of G, the relation $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) \le \chi'_{[l]}(G)$ holds. Suppose now $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) < \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$. Let φ be an optimal m-bounded edge colouring of *G* obtained by using Theorem 5 in $O(|V(G)||E(G)| + |E(G)|^{2m^2})$ time. Notice that φ is not an [1, *l*]-covering, otherwise we would have $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$, against our assumption. Let φ' be an equalized optimal *m*-bounded colouring of *G*, obtained using Lemma 1 in O(|V(G)||E(G)|) time. Necessarily φ' is a [t, t + 1]-covering of G, for some t. If t < l, then φ' is a [1, l]-covering, which implies that $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$, a contradiction. Hence $t \ge l$. But then φ' is an [l, m]-covering of *G* and hence $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,m]}(G)$ holds. Thus the algorithm in this case correctly outputs the excessive [l, m]-index and an excessive [l, m]-factorization of *G*.

Suppose now $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$: if $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,l]}(G)$, then the identities $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$ trivially hold by Theorem 4. In this case the algorithm correctly returns the excessive [l, m]-index $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ and an excessive [l, m]-factorization of G which is simply an excessive [l]-factorization (notice that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$ is finite in this case since it equals $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G)$ which is always finite). Hence we can assume

1 (-

$$k = \chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[1,l]}(G) < \chi'_{[l]}(G).$$

It will suffice to prove that no excessive [1, m]-factorization is an [l, m]-covering of G. This, by Theorem 4, will imply that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$ and hence prove the correctness of the algorithm.

Let \mathcal{M} be an excessive [1, m]-factorization of G and suppose that all matchings of \mathcal{M} have cardinality at least l, that is \mathcal{M} is an [l, m]-covering of G. In particular at least one matching of \mathcal{M} has cardinality strictly larger than l, otherwise $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[I]}(G)$, a contradiction. Let \mathcal{L} be an excessive [1, l]-factorization of G. At least one matching of \mathcal{L} must have cardinality smaller than *l*, otherwise $\chi'_{[1,l]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$, a contradiction. Let G_1 and G_2 denote the multigraphs induced by \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} , respectively. We have

 $|E(G_2)| < lk$

and hence

|E(G)| < lk.

On the other hand

 $|E(G_1)| > lk.$

Thus, we can delete some edges from G_1 and still obtain a multigraph H having exactly lk edges and admitting G as its underlying simple graph. Notice that G_1 (and hence H) is k-edge colourable by construction. An equalized k-edge-colouring of *H* induces an excessive [*l*]-factorization of *G*. Hence $\chi'_{[m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$, a contradiction. This contradiction proves that no excessive [1, m]-factorization is an [l, m]-covering of G, and hence $\chi'_{[1,m]}(G) \neq \chi'_{[l,m]}(G)$. By Theorem 4 this implies that $\chi'_{[l,m]}(G) = \chi'_{[l]}(G)$ holds, and hence proves the correctness of our algorithm. Furthermore, by Theorem 6 $\chi'_{[l]}(G)$ can be computed in $O(|E(G)|^{2l^3+4l^4})$ time and this implies a running time $O(|V(G)||E(G)| + |E(G)|^{2m^3} + |E(G)|^{2l^3+4l^4})$ for the algorithm EXC(G, l, m).

Acknowledgement

Giuseppe Mazzuoccolo dedicates this paper to the memory of his friend and coauthor David Cariolaro, who passed away in very sad circumstances during the revision process of this paper, in the hope that his mathematics will be continued in the future.

References

- [1] A. Bonisoli, D. Cariolaro, Excessive factorizations of regular graphs, in: J.A. Bondy, et al. (Eds.), Graph Theory in Paris, Birkäuser Verlag Basel, Switzerland, 2007. pp. 73-84.
- S. Bonvicini, G. Mazzuoccolo, Covering cubic graphs with matchings of large size, Australas. J. Combin. 56 (2013) 245-255.
- D. Cariolaro, The 1-Factorization Problem and some related Conjectures (Ph.D. thesis), University of Reading, 2004. [3]
- [4] D. Cariolaro, H.-L. Fu, On minimum sets of 1-factors covering a complete multipartite graph, J. Graph Theory 58 (2008) 239–250.
- [5] D. Cariolaro, H.-L. Fu, The excessive [3]-index of all graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (1) (2009), Research Paper 124.
- [6] D. Cariolaro, H.-L. Fu, Covering graphs with matchings of fixed size, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 276–287.
- D. Cariolaro, R. Rizzi, Excessive factorization of bipartite multigraphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010) 1760-1766.
- [8] D. Cariolaro, R. Rizzi, On the complexity of computing the excessive [B]-index of a graph, J. Graph Theory (2015) in press.
- [9] J. Edmonds, Paths, trees and flowers, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 449-467.
- [10] I. Holyer, The NP-completeness of edge-colouring, SIAM J. Comput. 10 (1981) 718–720.

^[11] G. Mazzuoccolo, The equivalence of two conjectures of Berge and Fulkerson, J. Graph Theory 68 (2011) 125–128.

- [12] G. Mazzuoccolo, On the excessive [m]-index of a tree, Discrete Appl. Math. 162 (2014) 264–270.
 [13] G. Mazzuoccolo, M. Young, Graphs of arbitrary excessive class, Discrete Math. 311 (2011) 32–37.
 [14] C.J.H. McDiarmid, The solution of a timetabling problem, J. Inst. Math. Appl. 9 (1972) 23–34.
 [15] Indra Rajasingh, R. Bharati, Albert Muthumalai, A.S. Shanthi, On excessive index of certain networks, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 501 (2013) 34–40.
- [16] R. Rizzi, D. Cariolaro, Polynomial time complexity of edge colouring graphs with bounded colour classes, Algorithmica 69 (2014) 494-500.