

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc



Large monochromatic components in colorings of complete 3-uniform hypergraphs

András Gyárfás ^{a,*}, Penny Haxell ^b

- ^a Computer and Automation Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, P.O. Box 63, Budapest, H-1518, Hungary
- ^b C. and O. Department, University of Waterloo, Waterloo ON, Canada, N2L 3G1

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 November 2007 Received in revised form 28 August 2008 Accepted 3 September 2008 Available online 27 September 2008

Keywords: Hypergraph edge coloring Monochromatic components

ABSTRACT

Let f(n,r) be the largest integer m with the following property: if the edges of the complete 3-uniform hypergraph K_n^3 are colored with r colors then there is a monochromatic component with at least m vertices. Here we show that $f(n,5) \ge \frac{5n}{7}$ and $f(n,6) \ge \frac{2n}{3}$. Both results are sharp under suitable divisibility conditions (namely if n is divisible by 7, or by 6 respectively).

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A first exercise in graph theory – in fact an old remark of Erdős and Rado – states that for any graph G, either G or its complement is connected. The following generalization (and the solution for r=3) was suggested in [3]: suppose that the edges of K_n are colored with r colors in any fashion, what is the order of the largest monochromatic *connected* subgraph? The answer for general r, $\lceil \frac{n}{r-1} \rceil$, was given in [4] (it is sharp if r-1 is a prime power and n is divisible by $(r-1)^2$). This also follows from a result of Füredi [1] on fractional transversals of hypergraphs. The problem was generalized to hypergraphs in [2]. In the generalization, connectivity and components of hypergraphs are understood as follows. Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph. We say that \mathcal{H} is *connected* if the *shadow graph* of \mathcal{H} , with vertex set $V(\mathcal{H})$ and edge set $\{xy: xy \in e \text{ for some } e \in E(\mathcal{H})\}$, is connected. A *component* of \mathcal{H} is a maximal connected subhypergraph. The main result of [2] says that any r-coloring of the edges of the complete t-uniform hypergraph on n vertices contains a connected monochromatic subhypergraph on at least $\frac{n}{q}$ vertices, where q is the smallest integer satisfying $r \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} q^i$. The result is best possible if q is a prime power and n is divisible by q^t . The case t=2 (with q=r-1) gives the graph case discussed above. This paper focuses on t=3.

Let f(n, r) be the largest integer m with the following property: if the edges of the complete 3-uniform hypergraph K_n^3 are colored with r colors then there is a monochromatic component with at least m vertices. Applying the result mentioned above for t=3 we get that $f(n,r)=\frac{n}{q}$ if $r=q^2+q+1$ with a prime power q and n is divisible by q^3 . The case q=2 solves r=7 and the cases $r\leq 4$ are also solved in [2] (f(n,3)=n and $f(n,4)\geq \frac{3n}{4}$ with equality if n is divisible by 4). The cases r=5, 6 are left open and the purpose of this note is to fill this gap. We apply the proof method of Füredi used first in [1] (see also in [2]) which connects f(n,r) to fractional transversals of certain hypergraphs.

A hypergraph is r-partite if its vertices are partitioned into r classes and each edge intersects each class in exactly one vertex. A hypergraph is 3-wise intersecting if any three edges have nonempty intersection. A fractional transversal is a non-negative weighting of the vertices such that the sum of the weights over any edge is at least 1. The value of a fractional

E-mail addresses: gyarfas@sztaki.hu (A. Gyárfás), pehaxell@math.uwaterloo.ca (P. Haxell).

^{*} Corresponding author.

transversal is the sum of the weights over all vertices of the hypergraph. Finally, $\tau^*(\mathcal{H})$ is the minimum of the values over all fractional transversals of \mathcal{H} . We use the following lemma from [2].

Lemma 1. Let $\tau^*(r)$ be defined as the maximum of $\tau^*(\mathcal{H})$ over all r-partite 3-wise intersecting hypergraphs \mathcal{H} . Then $f(n,r) \geq \frac{n}{\tau^*(r)}$.

Theorem 1. $f(n, 5) \ge \frac{5n}{7}$ and this is sharp if n is divisible by 7.

Proof. We start with a construction, showing that f(n, 5) is not larger than the claimed value if n is divisible by 7. Let n = 7k and partition $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ into seven k-element sets, X_i . We define five subsets $I_i \subset [7]$ as

$$I_1 = \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$$
 $I_2 = \{2, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $I_3 = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $I_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\},$ $I_5 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$

Observe that every triple of [7] is covered by at least one I_j . Thus every triple $T \subset [n]$ is covered by at least one of the five sets $A_j = \{ \bigcup_{i \in I_j} X_i \}$. Color T with color j where j is the smallest index such that $T \subset A_j$. Clearly each triple of [n] is colored with one of five colors and there is no monochromatic component of size larger than $5k = \frac{5n}{7}$.

On the other hand, $f(n, 5) \ge \frac{5n}{7}$ follows from Lemma 1 if we show that $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) \le \frac{7}{5}$ holds for every 5-partite 3-wise intersecting hypergraph \mathcal{H} . We shall define only the nonzero weights w(x) for $x \in V(\mathcal{H})$. Let A_i denote the vertex classes of \mathcal{H} , vertices in A_i will be indexed with i. Note that if there are two edges $e, f \in E(\mathcal{H})$ with $|e \cap f| = 1$ then all edges of \mathcal{H} intersect and $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ follows. Thus we may assume that any two edges of \mathcal{H} intersect in at least two vertices.

Case (i): there exist $e, f \in E(\mathcal{H})$ with $|e \cap f| = 2$. Assume $e = \{x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, y_5\}$, $f = \{x_1, x_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$. Set $Y = \{y_3, y_4, y_5\}$, $Z = \{z_3, z_4, z_5\}$. Using that \mathcal{H} is 3-wise intersecting, it follows that the edge set of \mathcal{H} can be partitioned into E_1, E_2, E_{12} where

```
E_{12} = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_1, x_2 \in h \},

E_1 = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_1 \in h, x_2 \notin h \}, \qquad E_2 = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_2 \in h, x_1 \notin h \}.
```

We may assume that E_1 , E_2 are both non-empty otherwise – as before – all edges of \mathcal{H} intersect and $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) = 1$.

Assume first that there is a pair of edges $e_1 \in E_1$, $e_2 \in E_2$ such that e_1 , e_2 intersect on $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$ in a 3-element set $T = \{t_3, t_4, t_5\}$. Since e, e_1 and f, e_1 both intersect in at least two vertices, $T \cap Y$, $T \cap Z$ are nonempty sets, at least one of them, say $T \cap Z$ has exactly one element. We may suppose w.l.o.g. $t_3 = y_3$, $t_4 = z_4$.

If $t_5 \neq y_5$ ($t_5 \neq z_5$ also holds by assumption on $T \cap Z$) then the existence of the triple intersections

```
e \cap e_1 \cap b, e \cap e_2 \cap a, f \cap e_2 \cap a, f \cap e_1 \cap b
```

for $a \in E_1$, $b \in E_2$ imply that all edges of $E_1 \cup E_2$ contain both t_3 and t_4 . If there exists an edge $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ such that neither t_3 nor t_4 is in e_{12} then the existence of the triple intersections $e_{12} \cap e_1 \cap b$, $e_{12} \cap e_2 \cap a$ for $a \in E_1$, $b \in E_2$ imply that all edges of $E_1 \cup E_2$ contain t_5 as well. Moreover, then all edges of E_{12} must also contain t_5 . Now every edge in $E_1 \cup E_2$ intersects $\{x_1, x_2\}$ in one and intersects T in three elements; every edge of E_{12} intersects $\{x_1, x_2\}$ in two and T in at least one element. Thus the weight assignment $w(x_1) = w(x_2) = \frac{2}{5}$, $w(t_3) = w(t_4) = w(t_5) = \frac{1}{5}$ is a fractional transversal of \mathcal{H} with value $\frac{7}{5}$. If every $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ intersects $\{t_3, t_4\}$ then every edge in $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_{12}$ intersects $S = \{x_1, x_2, t_3, t_4\}$ in at least three elements thus assigning $\frac{1}{3}$ to each element of S gives a fractional transversal of value $\frac{4}{3} < \frac{7}{5}$ finishing this part of the proof.

If $t_5 = y_5$ then, as in the argument above, the existence of the triple intersections $f \cap e_1 \cap b$, $f \cap e_2 \cap a$ for $a \in E_1$, $b \in E_2$ imply that all edges of $E_1 \cup E_2$ contain t_4 . First suppose that there exist $b_1, b_2 \in E_2$ (not necessarily distinct) with $t_3 \notin b_1$ and $t_5 \notin b_2$. Then the triple intersections $e \cap a \cap b_1$ and $e \cap a \cap b_2$ show that for each $a \in E_1$ we have $t_3 \in a$ and $t_5 \in a$. Therefore we can conclude that all edges of E_1 or all edges of E_2 - say all edges of E_1 - contain both t_3 and t_5 . Moreover, then the triple intersections $e \cap a \cap b$ show that each $b \in E_2$ contains either t_3 or t_5 . Now if each $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ contains t_4 or both t_3 , t_5 then we can assign $w(x_2) = w(t_4) = \frac{2}{5}$, $w(x_1) = w(t_3) = w(t_5) = \frac{1}{5}$ to get a fractional transversal of value $\frac{7}{5}$. Therefore we may assume (without loss of generality) that the set $E' = \{e_{12} \in E_{12} : \{t_4, t_5\} \cap e_{12} = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty. For any $e_{12} \in E'$, since $|e_{12} \cap e_1| \ge 2$ we know $t_3 \in e_{12}$. We know each $b \in E_2$ contains t_3 or t_5 , and if $t_5 \in b$ then $|e_{12} \cap b| \ge 2$ implies $t_3 \in b$ also. Thus in this case t_3 is in every element of $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_{12}$. Now if $E'' = \{e_{12} \in E_{12} : \{t_3, t_4\} \cap e_{12} = \emptyset\} = \emptyset$ then the weight function $w(x_1) = w(x_2) = w(t_3) = w(t_4) = \frac{1}{3}$ is a fractional transversal of value $\frac{4}{3}$. If $E'' \ne \emptyset$ then as above t_5 is also in every element of $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_{12}$. Then $w(x_1) = w(x_2) = \frac{2}{5}$, $w(t_3) = w(t_4) = w(t_5) = \frac{1}{5}$ is a fractional transversal of value $\frac{7}{5}$.

Now we may assume that any pair of edges $e_1 \in E_1$, $e_2 \in E_2$ intersect on $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$ in a set of at most two elements. Fix $e_1 \in E_1$, $e_2 \in E_2$. In fact – since the triple intersections $e_1 \cap e_2 \cap e$, $e_1 \cap e_2 \cap f$ exist – e_1 and e_2 intersect on $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$ in a two-element set $T = \{t_3, t_4\}$, say $t_3 = y_3$, $t_4 = z_4$. Since e_1 , e_2 do not intersect on $e_1 \cap e \cap e$, $e_2 \cap f \cap e$ imply $e_3 \in e_4 \cap e$. Since each intersection $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$, $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$, $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$. If $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ in all edges of $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$. If $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$. If $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e$. In this case, since $e_4 \cap e \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e \cap e$ for $e_4 \cap e \cap e \cap e \cap e$ for

shows us that if $t_3 \notin e_{12}$ for some $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ then $z_5 \in e_{12}$ (and we know that $t_3 \notin e_{12}$ implies $t_4 \in e_{12}$). Summing up, we find that for each $a \in E_1$, x_1 , t_3 , t_4 , $z_5 \in a$, and for each $b \in E_2$, x_2 , $t_3 \in b$ and $(t_4 \cup z_5) \cap b$ is nonempty. For each $e_{12} \in E_{12}$, x_1 , $x_2 \in e_{12}$ and either $t_3 \in e_{12}$ or $\{t_4, z_5\} \subset e_{12}$. Now the weighting $w(t_3) = w(x_2) = \frac{2}{5}$, $w(x_1) = w(t_4) = w(z_5) = \frac{1}{5}$ gives the required fractional transversal.

Case (ii): Any two distinct $e, f \in \mathcal{H}$ intersect in at least three vertices. Assume first that there is a pair $e, f \in \mathcal{H}$ intersecting in three elements, $e = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$, $f = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, y_5\}$. Observe then that every edge must intersect $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ in at least two elements. Again, if the set of edges E_{ij} that intersect $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ in $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is empty for some pair $i, j \in [3]$ then, for $k = [3] \setminus \{i, j\}$, all edges of \mathcal{H} contain x_k and $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) = 1$. Thus these sets E_{ij} are non-empty. Selecting $e_{12} \in E_{12}, e_{13} \in E_{13}, e_{23} \in E_{23}$, the assumptions on the intersection sizes imply that for each of the three pairs of indices $e_{ij} \cap (A_4 \cup A_5)$ is the same pair, say $\{x_4, y_5\}$. Any edge e_{123} that contains all of $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ must also intersect $\{x_4, y_5\}$, otherwise $|e_{123} \cap e_{12}| \leq 2$. Now assigning $w(x_1) = w(x_2) = w(x_3) = \frac{1}{5}$, $w(x_4) = w(y_5) = \frac{2}{5}$ we have a fractional transversal of \mathcal{H} with value $\frac{7}{5}$.

Finally, if each pair of edges of \mathcal{H} intersect in at least four elements, we can assign weight $\frac{1}{4}$ to vertices of any fixed edge. This gives a fractional transversal of \mathcal{H} with value $\frac{5}{4} < \frac{7}{5}$.

Theorem 2. $f(n, 6) \ge \frac{2n}{3}$ and this is sharp if n is divisible by 6.

Proof. To show that f(n, 6) is not larger than claimed value if n is divisible by 6, let n = 6k and partition [n] into six k-element sets, X_i . We define six subsets $I_i \subset [6]$ as

$$I_1 = \{3, 4, 5, 6\},$$
 $I_2 = \{1, 4, 5, 6\},$ $I_3 = \{2, 4, 5, 6\},$ $I_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 6\},$ $I_5 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\},$ $I_6 = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}.$

Observe that every triple of [6] is covered by at least one I_j . Thus every triple $T \subset [n]$ is covered by at least one of the six sets $A_j = \{\bigcup_{i \in I_j} X_i\}$. Color T with color j where j is the smallest index such that $T \subset A_j$. Clearly each triple of [n] is colored with one of six colors and there is no monochromatic component of size larger than $4k = \frac{2n}{3}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1, $f(n,6) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$ follows from Lemma 1 if we show that $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{3}{2}$ holds for every 6-partite 3-wise intersecting hypergraph \mathcal{H} . To see that, let A_i denote the vertex classes of \mathcal{H} . Note that if there are two edges $e, f \in E(\mathcal{H})$ with $|e \cap f| = 1$ then all edges of \mathcal{H} intersect and $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ follows. Thus we may assume that any two edges of \mathcal{H} intersect in at least two vertices. We basically follow the argument of the proof of Theorem 1.

Case (i): There exist $e, f \in E(\mathcal{H})$ with $|e \cap f| = 2$. Set $e \cap f = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and define

$$E_{12} = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_1, x_2 \in h \},$$

$$E_1 = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_1 \in h, x_2 \notin h \}, \qquad E_2 = \{ h \in E(\mathcal{H}) : x_2 \in h, x_1 \notin h \}.$$

Then as before $\mathcal{H} = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_{12}$.

Let $E_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_s\}$, $E_2 = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$. We may assume that E_1 , E_2 are both nonempty, otherwise – as before – all edges of \mathcal{H} intersect and $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) = 1$. Notice that $a_i \cap b_j \subset \bigcup_{k=3}^6 A_k$ for any $a_i \in E_1$, $b_j \in E_2$.

If all edges of $E_1 \cup E_2$ have a common vertex v then assigning weight $\frac{1}{2}$ to the vertices in $\{x_1, x_2, v\}$ we have a fractional transversal of value $\frac{3}{2}$ and the proof is finished. Thus we may suppose that

$$\bigcap_{i \in [s]} a_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in [t]} b_j = \emptyset. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2. Suppose there exist distinct edges $a_1, a_2 \in E_1, b_1, b_2 \in E_2$ such that $a_1 \cap a_2 \cap b_1 \cap b_2 = \emptyset$. Then $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. Observe that the four triple intersections among these edges are all disjoint (and nonempty). Let U denote the union over all four triple intersections, so $|U| \ge 4$. Note that if $x, x' \in U$ then one of (in fact, at least two of) a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 contain both x and x'. Thus we cannot have distinct x, x' in the same partite class A_i . Therefore $U = \{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ for some $x_i \in A_i$ for i = 3, 4, 5, 6, and we may assume without loss of generality that

$$x_3 \in (a_1 \cap b_1 \cap b_2) \setminus a_2, x_4 \in (a_2 \cap b_1 \cap b_2) \setminus a_1,$$

$$x_5 \in (a_1 \cap a_2 \cap b_1) \setminus b_2, x_6 \in (a_1 \cap a_2 \cap b_2) \setminus b_1.$$
 (2)

We observe that – apart from the exceptional case when $a_i \cap U = \{x_3, x_4\}$ – each edge $a_i \in E_1$ intersects U in at least three vertices. Indeed, if $a_i \cap U \subseteq \{x_3, x_5\}$ then the triple intersection $a_i \cap a_2 \cap b_2$ is missing. If $a_i \cap U \subseteq \{x_4, x_6\}$ then $a_i \cap a_1 \cap b_1$ is missing. Similarly, $a_i \cap U \subseteq \{x_3, x_6\}$, $\{x_4, x_5\}$, $\{x_5, x_6\}$ in turn imply the missing intersections $a_i \cap a_2 \cap b_1$, $a_i \cap a_1 \cap b_2$, $a_i \cap b_1 \cap b_2$. (The argument in the exceptional case would require missing $a_i \cap a_1 \cap a_2$ but that intersection is present at x_1 .)

Similarly, apart from the exceptional case when $b_j \cap U = \{x_5, x_6\}$, each edge of $b_j \in E_2$ intersects U in at least three vertices. Finally, observe that any $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ intersects U in at least two vertices. Indeed, $e_{12} \cap U \subset \{x_l\}$ for some $l \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$

would contradict the existence of the triple intersection $e_{12} \cap a_i \cap b_j$ where $i, j \in [2]$ such that one of a_i, b_j does not contain x_l . Consider $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ exceptional if $e_{12} \cap U = \{x_3, x_4\}$ or $e_{12} \cap U = \{x_5, x_6\}$.

Based on the above observations we can define the required fractional transversal as follows. If no edge in $E_1 \cup E_2$ is exceptional, $w(x_i) = \frac{1}{4}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots 6$ is suitable. If there exists an exceptional edge in $E_1 \cup E_2$, say a_i , then no $b_j \in E_2$ can be exceptional (otherwise $a_i \cap b_j$ cannot exist) — in fact the following stronger statement is true for any b_j : if $\{x_5, x_6\} \subset b_j$ then $U \subset b_j$. Indeed, $U \cap b_j = \{x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ ($U \cap b_j = \{x_3, x_5, x_6\}$) contradicts the existence of $a_i \cap b_j \cap a_1$ ($a_i \cap b_j \cap a_2$). Moreover no $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ is exceptional with $e_{12} \cap U = \{x_5, x_6\}$ otherwise $e_{12} \cap a_i \cap b_1$ cannot exist. These properties ensure that $w(x_1) = w(x_3) = w(x_4) = \frac{1}{3}$, $w(x_2) = w(x_5) = w(x_6) = \frac{1}{6}$ is a suitable fractional transversal.

By Lemma 2, from now on we may suppose that

$$a_i \cap a_i \cap b_k \cap b_l \neq \emptyset$$

for every choice of the indices (if i = j or k = l the 3-wise intersecting property ensures it).

Because of (1) we can select a minimal nonintersecting subfamily of $E_1 \cup E_2$, that is $S \subseteq [s], T \subseteq [t]$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in S} a_i \cap \bigcap_{i \in T} b_j = \emptyset$ but for any proper subset $S_1 \cup T_1 \subset S \cup T$

$$\bigcap_{i \in S_1} a_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in T_1} b_j \neq \emptyset. \tag{3}$$

Since $A = \bigcap_{i \in [s]} a_i$, $B = \bigcap_{j \in [t]} b_j$ are both nonempty $(x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B)$, it follows that S, T are nonempty. Moreover $|S \cup T| \ge 4$ because \mathcal{H} is 3-wise intersecting. Set $u = |S \cup T|$. Then by choice of $S \cup T$, all (u - 1)-wise intersections of elements of $S \cup T$ are disjoint and nonempty, so their union U has size at least U, and as in the proof of Lemma 2 no two vertices in U are in the same partite class A_i . Thus if |S|, $|T| \ge 2$ then $U \subset \bigcup_{k=3}^6 A_k$, implying that U = A. But then the assumptions of Lemma 2 hold, so the proof is done in this case.

Thus we may assume that one of S, T has one element only, say $T = \{1\}$. In this case $x_1 \in U$ and $x_2 \notin U$, so $U \subset \{x_1\} \cup \bigcup_{k=3}^6 A_k$, implying that u = 4 or u = 5. In both cases, without loss of generality we may select three vertices $X = \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ from U with $x_i \in A_i$ for i = 3, 4, 5 as follows:

$$x_3 \in (a_1 \cap a_2 \cap b_1) \setminus a_3, x_4 \in (a_1 \cap a_3 \cap b_1) \setminus a_2, x_5 \in (a_2 \cap a_3 \cap b_1) \setminus a_1. \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3. Suppose there exists $a_i \in E_1$ such that $|a_i \cap X| \leq 1$. Then $\tau^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that $a_i \cap \{x_4, x_5\} = \emptyset$. Then, for each $b_j \in E_2$, the (nonempty) quadruple intersection $a_3 \cap a_i \cap b_1 \cap b_j$ must be in A_6 . This is possible only if all b_j -s intersect on A_6 , say in a vertex $x_6 \in a_3 \cap a_i \cap B$. Because of (1) the set $K = \{k \in [s] | x_6 \notin a_k\}$ is nonempty. For every $k \in K$, $j \in [t]$ the quadruple intersection $a_k \cap a_i \cap b_1 \cap b_j$ contains x_3 . This implies $x_3 \in B \cap (\bigcap_{k \in K} a_k)$. Reversing the argument, $L = \{l \in [s] | x_3 \notin a_l\}$ is nonempty implying that for every $l \in L$, $j \in [t]$ the quadruple intersection $a_l \cap a_l \cap b_1 \cap b_j$ contains x_6 , implying $x_6 \in B \cap (\bigcap_{l \in L} a_l)$. Thus each edge in E_1 contains x_1 and at least one vertex of $\{x_3, x_6\}$. Every edge in E_2 contains both x_3 , x_6 and every $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ contains x_1 and also at least one vertex of $\{x_3, x_6\}$ because the triple intersection $e_{12} \cap a_i \cap b_1$ is nonempty. Therefore $w(x_1) = w(x_3) = w(x_6) = \frac{1}{2}$ is a required fractional transversal.

By Lemma 3 we may suppose from now on that every edge $a_i \in E_1$ meets X in at least two elements.

Claim: Either $X \subset B$ or $B \cap A_6 \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if an element of X, say $x_3 \notin b_i$ for some $i \in [t]$ then the quadruple intersection $a_1 \cap a_2 \cap b_i \cap b_m$ is in A_6 for all $m \in [t]$. This implies that $B \cap A_6 \neq \emptyset$. The argument works similarly if x_4 or x_5 plays the role of x_3 (considering $a_1 \cap a_3 \cap b_i \cap b_m$ or $a_2 \cap a_3 \cap b_i \cap b_m$), proving the claim.

We look at the two cases of the claim. If $X \subset B$ holds then $w(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $w(x_2) = w(x_3) = w(x_4) = w(x_5) = \frac{1}{4}$ is a required fractional transversal. Indeed, each $a_i \in E_1$ contains x_1 and at least two elements of X, each $b_i \in E_2$ contains x_2 and all elements of X. Each $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ contains x_1 , x_2 and at least one element of X otherwise – considering the triple intersections $e_{12} \cap a_i \cap b_j$ – all a_i , b_j should intersect in A_6 , contradicting (1). Thus we may assume that $X \subset B$ does not hold.

Select $x_6 \in A_6 \cap B$. By definition of S, at least one a_j with $j \in S$ does not contain x_6 , say $x_6 \notin a_3$. We show that $\{x_4, x_5\} \subset B$. Indeed, if $x_4 \notin b_j$ ($x_5 \notin b_j$) then the quadruple intersection $a_1 \cap a_3 \cap b_1 \cap b_j$ ($a_2 \cap a_3 \cap b_1 \cap b_j$) does not exist.

Therefore since $X \subset B$ does not hold, we know $x_3 \notin b_j$ for some $j \in [t]$. Define $K = \{k \in [s] | x_6 \notin a_k\}$ as before. We show that for each $k \in K$, $\{x_4, x_5\} \subset a_k$. Indeed, if $x_4 \notin a_k$ ($x_5 \notin a_k$) for some $k \in K$ then $a_1 \cap a_k \cap b_1 \cap b_j$ ($a_2 \cap a_k \cap b_1 \cap b_j$) does not exist.

Now we finish the proof by showing that $w(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $w(x_2) = w(x_4) = w(x_5) = w(x_6) = \frac{1}{4}$ is a required fractional transversal. Notice that for every $a_i \in E_1$ either $x_6 \in a_i$ or $i \in K$ and $\{x_4, x_5\} \subset a_i$. This property and that every a_i contains at least one of x_4, x_5 ensures that the weight of a_i is at least one. The weighting is also good for every $b_j \in E_2$ since $\{x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6\} \subset B$. Finally, each $e_{12} \in E_{12}$ contains x_1, x_2 and at least one vertex of $\{x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ because $e_{12} \cap a_3 \cap b_1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus the weighting is a required fractional transversal. \square

Case (ii): $|e \cap f| \ge 3$ for each $e, f \in E(\mathcal{H})$. In this case let us first suppose that there exist e and f such that $e \cap f = M$ where |M| = 3. Then we define a fractional transversal by giving weight $\frac{1}{2}$ to each vertex in M. This is valid because every other edge g must intersect M in at least two vertices — otherwise either $|g \cap e| \le 2$ or $|g \cap f| \le 2$, contradicting the assumption

for Case (ii). Thus we have a fractional transversal of value $\frac{3}{2}$. Thus we may suppose that every pair of edges intersects in at least four vertices. Let e and f be an arbitrary pair and let $M \subseteq e \cap f$ be a set of size four. Define a fractional transversal by weighting each vertex of M with $\frac{1}{3}$. Now every other edge g intersects M in at least three vertices — otherwise either $|g \cap e| \leq 3$ or $|g \cap f| \leq 3$, contradicting our assumption. Now we get a fractional transversal of value $\frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$.

Acknowledgments

The first author's research was supported in part by OTKA Grant No. K68322. The second author's research was supported in part by NSERC.

References

- [1] Z. Füredi, Maximum degree and fractional matchings in uniform hypergraphs, Combinatorica 1 (1981) 155–162.
- [2] Z. Füredi, A. Gyárfás, Covering t-element sets by partitions, European Journal of Combinatorics 12 (1991) 483-489.
- [3] L. Gerencsér, A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey type problems, Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Eötvös, Budapest 10 (1967) 167–170.
- [4] A. Gyárfás, Partition coverings and blocking sets in hypergraphs, Communications of the Computer and Automation Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences 71 (1977) 62 pp. MR 58, 5392 (in Hungarian).