Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



## **Discrete Mathematics**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# Multivariate matching polynomials of cyclically labelled graphs

### John P. McSorley\*, Philip Feinsilver

Department of Mathematics, Mailcode 4408, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale. IL 62901-4408, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 September 2000 Received in revised form 7 September 2005 Accepted 9 September 2008 Available online 21 October 2008

Keywords: Matching Matching polynomial Labelled Graph Recurrence MacMahon's Master Theorem

#### ABSTRACT

We consider the matching polynomials of graphs whose edges have been cyclically labelled with the ordered set of t labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ .

We first work with the cyclically labelled path, with first edge label  $x_i$ , followed by N full cycles of labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ , and last edge label  $x_j$ . Let  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  denote the matching polynomial of this path. It satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence:  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \tau \Phi_{i,(N-1)t+j} - \Delta \Phi_{i,(N-2)t+j}$ , where  $\tau$  is the sum of all non-consecutive cyclic monomials in the variables  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  and  $\Delta = (-1)^t x_1 \cdots x_t$ . A combinatorial/algebraic proof and a matrix proof of this fact are given. Let  $G_N$  denote the first fundamental solution to the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence. We express  $G_N$  (i) as a cyclic binomial using the symmetric representation of a matrix, (ii) in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind in the variables  $\tau$  and  $\Delta$ , and (iii) as a quotient of two matching polynomials. We extend our results from paths to cycles and rooted trees.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 0. Introduction

The matching polynomial of a graph is defined in Farrell [1]. Often in pure mathematics and combinatorics it is interesting to consider cyclic structures, *e.g.*, cyclic groups, cyclic designs, and circulant graphs. Here we consider the (multivariate) matching polynomial of a graph whose edges have been cyclically labelled.

We concentrate mainly on paths, cycles and trees. To cyclically label a path with the ordered set of t labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ , label the first edge with any  $x_i$ , the second with  $x_{i+1}$ , and so on until label  $x_t$  has been used, then start with  $x_1$ , then  $x_2, \ldots, x_t$ , then  $x_1$  again ..., repeating cyclically until all edges have been labelled, with the last edge receiving label  $x_j$ . Suppose that N full cycles of labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  have been used. Call the matching polynomial of this labelled path  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$ . We show, for a fixed *i* and *j*, that  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the following recurrence, the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence:

 $\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \tau \ \Phi_{i,(N-1)t+j} - \Delta \ \Phi_{i,(N-2)t+j},$ 

where  $\tau$  is the sum of all non-consecutive cyclic monomials in the variables  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  (see Section 1), and  $\Delta = (-1)^t x_1 \cdots x_t$ . We give two different proofs of this fact. The first one is a combinatorial/algebraic proof in Section 2 that uses the following theorem concerning decomposing the matching polynomial  $\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x})$  of a graph.

**Theorem.** Let G be a labelled graph, H a subgraph of G, and  $M_H$  a matching of H, then

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{M_H} M_H(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathcal{M}(G - H - \overline{M}_H, \mathbf{x}),$$

where the summation is over every matching  $M_H$  of H. The second proof (Section 3) uses a matrix formulation of the recurrences that we develop.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: mcsorley60@hotmail.com (J.P. McSorley), pfeinsil@math.siu.edu (P. Feinsilver).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/\$ – see front matter 0 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2008.09.020

Let  $G_N$  denote the first fundamental solution to the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence; three different expressions for  $G_N$  are given in Section 4. The first expression is a sum of cyclic binomials and uses the symmetric representation of matrices from Section 3; the second involves Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind in the variables  $\tau$  and  $\Delta$ ; and the third is a quotient of two matching polynomials, see Theorem 4.5.

In Section 5 we extend our results from paths to cycles and rooted trees; we find explicit forms for the matching polynomial of a cyclically labelled cycle, and indicate how to find the matching polynomial of a cyclically labelled rooted tree, again using the decomposition theorem stated above.

Many examples are given throughout the paper.

# 1. The multivariate matching polynomial of a graph, its decomposition; non-consecutive and non-consecutive cyclic functions

For a fixed  $t \ge 1$  we use multi-index notations:  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_t)$ , where each  $k_s \ge 0$ ,  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ , and variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$ . The total degree of  $\mathbf{k}$  is denoted by  $|\mathbf{k}| = k_1 + \dots + k_t$ .

Let *G* be a finite simple graph with vertex set V(G) where  $|V(G)| \ge 1$ , and edge set E(G). We label these edges from the *t* commutative variables  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ , exactly one label per edge. A *matching* of *G* is a collection of edges, no two of which have a vertex in common. A **k**-matching of *G* is a matching with exactly  $k_s$  edges with label  $x_s$ , for each *s* with  $1 \le s \le t$ . If  $M_G$  is a **k**-matching of *G* we define its *weight* to be

$$M_G(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_t^{k_t}$$

The empty matching of *G*, which contains no edges, is denoted by  $M_{\emptyset}$ ; it is the unique **0**-matching and its weight is  $M_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ . Define the *multivariate matching polynomial*, or simply, the *matching polynomial*, of *G*, by

$$\mathcal{M}(G,\mathbf{x})=\sum_{M_G}M_G(\mathbf{x}),$$

where the summation is over every matching  $M_G$  of G.

Denote the number of **k**-matchings of *G* by  $a(G, \mathbf{k})$ . Then an alternative definition of the multivariate matching polynomial of *G* is

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_t)} a(G, \mathbf{k}) \, x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_t^{k_t}.$$

The multivariate matching polynomial is a natural extension of the matching polynomial of Farrell [1]. Indeed, here with t = 1 and in [1] with  $w_1 = 1$  and  $w_2 = x_1$ , the polynomials are identical.

Let  $P_1$  be the graph with one vertex and no edges, *i.e.*, an isolated vertex; we define  $\mathcal{M}(P_1, \mathbf{x}) = 1$ . Now suppose  $G' = G \cup nP_1$ , where  $n \ge 1$ , *i.e.*, G' is the disjoint union of G and n isolated vertices, then we define  $\mathcal{M}(G', \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x})$ .

For any edge  $e \in E(\overline{G})$ , let  $\overline{e}$  denote the set of edges that are incident to e; and for any subgraph H of G, let  $\overline{H} = \bigcup_{e \in E(H)} \overline{e}$ . Define  $\overline{M}_{\emptyset} = \emptyset$ . Also let G - H be the graph obtained from G when all the *edges* of H are removed, so G - H has the same vertex set as G.

Now let *H* be a fixed subgraph of *G* and let  $M_H$  be a matching of *H*. In the following theorem we express  $\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x})$  as a sum of terms, each term containing the weight of a fixed matching,  $M_H(\mathbf{x})$ , of *H*; we call this *decomposing*  $\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x})$  at *H*.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a graph labelled as above, H a fixed subgraph of G, and  $M_H$  a matching of H. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{M_H} M_H(\mathbf{x}) \,\mathcal{M}(G - H - \overline{M}_H, \mathbf{x}),\tag{1}$$

where the summation is over every matching  $M_H$  of H.

**Proof.** Let  $M_G$  be a matching of G which induces a (fixed) matching  $M_H$  on H, *i.e.*,  $M_G$  contains exactly  $M_H$  and no other edges from H. Then  $M_G(\mathbf{x}) = M_H(\mathbf{x}) M(\mathbf{x})$  where M is a matching of G with no edges in H, and also with no edges in  $\overline{M}_H$  or else  $M_G$  would not be a matching. Hence, M is a matching of  $G - H - \overline{M}_H$ , *i.e.*,  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is a term of  $\mathcal{M}(G - H - \overline{M}_H, \mathbf{x})$ . So  $M_H(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{M}(G - H - \overline{M}_H, \mathbf{x})$  is the sum of the weights of all the matchings in G which induce  $M_H$  on H.

Now every matching in *G* induces some matching on *H*, so we may sum over all matchings in *H* to give (1). ■

Theorem 1.1 extends known facts about matching polynomials, *e.g.*, see Theorem 1 of Farrell [1] for the case where *H* is a single edge. We have the corresponding:

**Corollary 1.2.** Let G be a graph labelled as above, and let H = e labelled with x be an edge of G. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{M}(G - e, \mathbf{x}) + x \,\mathcal{M}(G - e - \overline{e}, \mathbf{x}).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

**Proof.** The result comes from (1) since H = e has just two matchings: the empty matching  $M_{\emptyset}$  with weight  $M_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ , and the matching e with weight  $M_e(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ .

**Notation.** Throughout this paper we use  $P_m$  to denote the path with *m* vertices and m - 1 edges.

Fix *i* and *j* where  $1 \le i \le j \le t$ . Consider the path  $P_{j-i+2}$  with its j - i + 1 edges labelled from the ordered set  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$ , the first edge receiving label  $x_i$ , and the last  $x_j$ ; see Fig. 1.

**Fig. 1.** The labelled path  $P_{j-i+2}$  with matching polynomial  $\phi_{i,j}$ .

The pair  $x_s x_{s+1}$  for any fixed *s* with  $i \le s \le j-1$  is called a *consecutive* pair. A monomial from the ordered set  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$  that contains no consecutive pairs is a *non-consecutive monomial*, an *nc*-monomial. Note that the empty monomial is an *nc*-monomial that we denote by 1.

Let  $\phi_{i,j}$  be the sum of all *nc*-monomials in the ordered variables  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$ . Then  $\phi_{i,j} = \mathcal{M}(P_{j-i+2}, \mathbf{x})$  is the matching polynomial of the labelled path  $P_{j-i+2}$ . We call the functions  $\phi_{i,j}$  elementary non-consecutive functions, and for any  $i \ge 1$  define the initial values

$$\phi_{i,i-2} = \phi_{i,i-1} = 1. \tag{3}$$

These initial values ensure that the following recurrence is valid for any *j* with  $i \le j \le t$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** For a fixed *i* and *j* with  $1 \le i \le j \le t$  and the initial values in (3), we have

$$\phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j-1} + x_j \,\phi_{i,j-2}. \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** Let *e* be the rightmost edge of  $G = P_{j-i+2}$  shown in Fig. 1, and apply (2).

**Example 1.** For arbitrary *i* we have

$$\begin{split} \phi_{i,i} &= 1 + x_i, \qquad \phi_{i,i+1} = 1 + x_i + x_{i+1}, \\ \phi_{i,i+2} &= 1 + x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2} + x_i x_{i+2}, \\ \phi_{i,i+3} &= 1 + x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2} + x_{i+3} + x_i x_{i+2} + x_i x_{i+3} + x_{i+1} x_{i+3}. \end{split}$$

**Example 2.** For arbitrary *i*, putting j = i - 1 and j = i - 2 in Recurrence (4) and using (3) give

$$\phi_{i,i-3} = 0$$
 and  $\phi_{i,i-4} = \frac{1}{x_{i-2}}$ .

In the second equation, if i = 1 we replace  $x_{-1}$  by  $x_{t-1}$ , and if i = 2 we replace  $x_0$  by  $x_t$ .

Consider Recurrence (4). It is convenient to work with a basis of solutions to this recurrence. Denote the first fundamental solution by  $f_{i,j}$  and the second by  $g_{i,j}$ , with initial values

$$f_{i,i-2} = 0, \quad f_{i,i-1} = 1 \text{ and } g_{i,i-2} = 1, \quad g_{i,i-1} = 0.$$
 (5)

So

 $\phi_{i,i-2} = f_{i,i-2} + g_{i,i-2}$  and  $\phi_{i,i-1} = f_{i,i-1} + g_{i,i-1}$ .

Now, from Recurrence (4) and strong induction on *j*, we have (6) below for all *j* with  $i \le j \le t$ 

$$\phi_{i,j} = f_{i,j} + g_{i,j}.$$
(6)  

$$\phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i+1,j} + x_i \phi_{i+2,j}.$$
(7)

Eq. (7) comes from decomposing  $\phi_{i,j}$  at the leftmost edge of  $P_{j-i+2}$ , whose label is  $x_i$ , *i.e.*, decomposing  $\phi_{i,j}$  at  $x_i$ ; see Corollary 1.2. These two equations suggest that the fundamental solutions are given by

 $f_{i,j} = \phi_{i+1,j}$  and  $g_{i,j} = x_i \phi_{i+2,j}$ .

This is indeed the case:

**Lemma 1.4.** For any *j* with  $i \le j \le t$  we have

(i)  $f_{i,j} = \phi_{i+1,j}$ , (ii)  $g_{i,j} = x_i \phi_{i+2,j}$ .



**Fig. 2.** (a) The labelled path with matching polynomial  $f_{i,j}$ . (b) The labelled path with matching polynomial  $\frac{g_{i,j}}{y_i}$ .

**Proof.** We need only prove (i) because of (6) and (7) above.

From (5) we have  $f_{i,i-2} = 0$  and from Example 2 we have  $\phi_{i+1,i-2} = 0$ ; thus  $f_{i,i-2} = \phi_{i+1,i-2}$ . Similarly, from (5) and (3), we have  $f_{i,i-1} = \phi_{i+1,i-1}$ . So both  $f_{i,j}$  and  $\phi_{i+1,j}$  have the same initial values at j = i - 2 and j = i - 1 and they both satisfy Recurrence (4), so they are equal for any j with  $i \le j \le t$ .

Thus we know combinatorially what the two fundamental solutions to Recurrence (4) are. The first,  $f_{i,j}$ , is the matching polynomial of the path shown in Fig. 2(a); the second,  $g_{i,j}$ , is  $x_i \times$  the matching polynomial of the path in Fig. 2(b).

**Example 3.** For arbitrary *i* we have

 $\begin{array}{ll} f_{i,i} = 1, & g_{i,i} = x_i, \\ f_{i,i+1} = 1 + x_{i+1}, & g_{i,i+1} = x_i, \\ f_{i,i+2} = 1 + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2}, & g_{i,i+2} = x_i + x_i x_{i+2}. \end{array}$ 

Now arrange the variables  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$  clockwise around a circle. Thus  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are consecutive. Call a pair  $x_s x_{s'}$  consecutive cyclic if  $x_s$  and  $x_{s'}$  are consecutive on this circle. Call a monomial from  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$  a non-consecutive cyclic monomial – ncc-monomial – if it contains no consecutive cyclic pairs. The empty monomial is an ncc-monomial that we denote by 1.

Let  $\tau_{i,j}$  be the sum of all *ncc*-monomials in the variables  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_j\}$ . Then, for  $j \ge i+2$ ,  $\tau_{i,j} = \mathcal{M}(C_{j-i+1}, \mathbf{x})$  is the matching polynomial of the labelled cycle  $C_{j-i+1}$  with j - i + 1 edges and j - i + 1 vertices, shown in Fig. 3; the cycle starts at the large vertex, and proceeds clockwise.



**Fig. 3.** The labelled cycle  $C_{i-i+1}$  with matching polynomial  $\tau_{i,j}$ .

For initial values let

$$\tau_{i,i-1} = 2,$$
  $\tau_{i,i} = 1,$  and  $\tau_{i,i+1} = 1 + x_i + x_{i+1}.$ 

**Lemma 1.5.** For any *j* with  $i \le j \le t$  we have

(i)  $\tau_{i,j} = f_{i,j} + g_{i,j-1}$ , (ii)  $\phi_{i,j} - \tau_{i,j} = x_i x_j \phi_{i+2,j-2}$ .

**Proof.** (i) We check this equality at j = i and j = i + 1 using (5), Example 3 and (8). For  $j \ge i + 2$  we decompose  $\tau_{i,j}$  at  $x_i$  yielding  $\tau_{i,j} = \phi_{i+1,j} + x_i \phi_{i+2,j-1}$ , which gives (i) via Lemma 1.4.

(ii) We check at j = i and j = i + 1 using Examples 1 and 2, and (8). For  $j \ge i + 2$  the difference  $\phi_{i,j} - \tau_{i,j}$  consists of all *nc*-monomials that contain the consecutive cyclic pair  $x_i x_j$ ; clearly this is  $x_i x_j \times$  the sum of all *nc*-monomials on  $\{x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{j-2}\}$ , *i.e.*,  $x_i x_j \phi_{i+2,j-2}$ .

**Example 4.** For arbitrary *i* we have

 $\tau_{i,i+2} = 1 + x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2},$  $\tau_{i,i+3} = 1 + x_i + x_{i+1} + x_{i+2} + x_{i+3} + x_i x_{i+2} + x_{i+1} x_{i+3}.$  (8)

#### **2.** Cyclically labelled paths; $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$ and the $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence

Consider a path *P* and the ordered set of *t* labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ . For a fixed *i*, where  $1 \le i \le t$ , and moving from left to right, label the first edge of *P* with  $x_i$ , the second with  $x_{i+1}$ , and so on until label  $x_t$  has been used; so the (t - i + 1)th edge receives label  $x_t$ . Then label edge t - i + 2 with  $x_1$ , and edge t - i + 3 with  $x_2$ , and so on ..., labelling cyclically with  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  until all edges have been labelled. Let the last edge receive label  $x_j$ , where  $1 \le j \le t$ . Suppose that  $N \ge 0$  full cycles of labels  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  have been used beginning at edge t - i + 2. Then if j = t we call this path P(i, Nt), or if  $1 \le j < t$  we call it P(i, Nt+j). This labelling is a cyclic labelling. The cyclically labelled path P(i, Nt+j) is shown in Fig. 4. Let  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}(x) = \Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  denote the matching polynomial of the path P(i, Nt + j).



**Fig. 4.** The cyclically labelled path P(i, Nt + j) with matching polynomial  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$ .

We define the initial conditions for  $\Phi_{i,Nt+i}$  as

$$N = -1: \Phi_{i,-t+j} = \phi_{i,j}, \quad \text{for all } j \text{ with } 0 \le j \le t,$$
(9)

also N = 0 :  $\Phi_{i,0t+j} = \Phi_{i,j}$ .

In order to find  $\phi_{i,j}$  if j < i we use the initial values for  $\phi_{i,j}$  from (3) and push back Recurrence (4), as shown in Example 2.

Now  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the same recurrence as that of  $\phi_{i,j}$ , Recurrence (4); the proof is similar, noting that  $x_0$  must be replaced by  $x_t$ , and considering Nt - 1 as (N - 1)t + t - 1, etc.

**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $N \ge -1$  and j with  $0 \le j \le t$  we have

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \Phi_{i,Nt+j-1} + x_j \,\Phi_{i,Nt+j-2}. \quad \blacksquare \tag{10}$$

**Notation.** For i = 1 we write  $\phi_{i,j} = \phi_{1,j} = \phi_j$  and  $\phi_t = \phi$ , also  $\tau_{1,j} = \tau_j$  and  $\tau_t = \tau$ , and  $f_{1,j} = f_j$ , etc. Also let  $\Delta = (-1)^t x_1 \cdots x_t$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $N \ge 0$  and any j with  $0 \le j \le t$  we have

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \Phi_{i,Nt} f_j + \Phi_{i,Nt-1} g_j.$$
(11)

**Proof.** With N = 0 and j = 0 Eq. (11) is true using the initial values  $f_0 = 1$  and  $g_0 = 0$  of (5) with i = 1. Otherwise, consider the path P(i, Nt + j) of Fig. 4 and decompose its matching polynomial,  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$ , at the edge labelled  $x_1$  marked with a \*. This gives

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{i,Nt+j} &= \Phi_{i,Nt} \, \phi_{2,j} + x_1 \, \Phi_{i,Nt-1} \, \phi_{3,j} \\ &= \Phi_{i,Nt} \, f_j + \Phi_{i,Nt-1} \, g_j, \end{split}$$

using Lemma 1.4.

Now we define the second order  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence

$$\Theta_N = \tau \; \Theta_{N-1} - \Delta \; \Theta_{N-2}.$$

Let  $G_N(\mathbf{x}) = G_N$  denote the first fundamental solution to this recurrence. We will evaluate  $G_N$  in Section 4. In Theorem 2.4 we show that, for a fixed *i* and *j*,  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence. First:

**Lemma 2.3.** For any  $N \ge 1$  we have

(i) 
$$\Phi_{i,Nt-1}f_t - \Phi_{i,Nt}f_{t-1} = \Delta \Phi_{i,(N-1)t-1},$$
  
(ii)  $\Phi_{i,Nt-1}g_t - \Phi_{i,Nt}g_{t-1} = -\Delta \Phi_{i,(N-1)t}.$ 
(13)

**Proof.** (i) Using Recurrence (4) on  $f_t$  and on  $\Phi_{i,Nt}$  (see Lemma 2.1), the left-hand side of (13) becomes

$$\Phi_{i,Nt-1} \{ f_{t-1} + x_t f_{t-2} \} - \{ \Phi_{i,Nt-1} + x_t \Phi_{i,Nt-2} \} f_{t-1} = -x_t \{ \Phi_{i,Nt-2} f_{t-1} - \Phi_{i,Nt-1} f_{t-2} \}.$$

The second factor in the right-hand side of this equation is the left-hand side of (13) with subscripts shifted down by 1. After *t* such iterations the left-hand side of (13) becomes

$$(-x_t)(-x_{t-1})\dots(-x_1)\{\Phi_{i,(N-1)t-1}f_0-\Phi_{i,(N-1)t}f_{-1}\}=\Delta\,\Phi_{i,(N-1)t-1},$$

using the initial values  $f_0 = 1$  and  $f_{-1} = 0$ . The proof of (ii) is similar.

(12)

Now a main result:  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence.

**Theorem 2.4.** For any  $N \ge 1$ , and any fixed *i* with  $1 \le i \le t$ , and any fixed *j* with  $0 \le j \le t$ , we have

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \tau \, \Phi_{i,(N-1)t+j} - \Delta \, \Phi_{i,(N-2)t+j}. \tag{14}$$

**Proof.** Due to Recurrences (4) and (10) we need only show that (14) is true when j = t and t - 1. It will then be true for all j with  $0 \le j \le t$  by pushing back Recurrence (10).

With  $N \ge 1$  and j = t, Eq. (11) gives

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{i,Nt+t} &= \Phi_{i,Nt} f_t + \Phi_{i,Nt-1} g_t \\ &= \Phi_{i,Nt} f_t + \Phi_{i,Nt-1} g_t + \Phi_{i,Nt} g_{t-1} - \Phi_{i,Nt} g_{t-1} \\ &= \Phi_{i,Nt} f_t + \Phi_{i,Nt} g_{t-1} + \Phi_{i,Nt-1} g_t - \Phi_{i,Nt} g_{t-1} \\ &= \tau \ \Phi_{i,Nt} - \Delta \ \Phi_{i,(N-1)t}, \\ &= \tau \ \Phi_{i,(N-1)t+t} - \Delta \ \Phi_{i,(N-2)t+t}, \end{split}$$

using  $\tau = \tau_t = f_t + g_{t-1}$  from Lemma 1.5(i), and Lemma 2.3(ii) at the fourth line. For j = t - 1 the proof is similar using Lemma 2.3(i).

#### 3. Matrix formulation of recurrences

Here we use matrices to give another proof that  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence, and prepare for the evaluation of  $G_N$  in Section 4.

Recall from Section 1 that  $f_{i,j}$  and  $g_{i,j}$  are the 2 fundamental solutions to Recurrence (4). Now define the matrix

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{i,j-1} & f_{i,j-1} \\ g_{i,j} & f_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the recurrences for  $f_{i,j}$  and  $g_{i,j}$  can be written as:

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_j & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{i,j-2} & f_{i,j-2} \\ g_{i,j-1} & f_{i,j-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_j & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_{i,j-1}.$$
(15)

Consistent with (5) we have  $X_{i,i-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I$ , the 2 × 2 identity matrix. Thus, for  $j \ge i$ , we have

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_j & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{j-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (16)

Let  $\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the usual inner product, then for  $j \ge i$ , and using (6),

$$\phi_{i,j} = \langle X_{i,j} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle. \tag{17}$$

As before if i = 1 we let  $X_{1,j} = X_j$  and if j = t we let  $X = X_t$ , in particular,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} g_{t-1} & f_{t-1} \\ g_t & f_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{t-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (18)

For  $N \ge 0$ , from (10) we may also write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i,Nt+j-1} \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i,Nt+j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_j & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i,Nt+j-2} \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i,Nt+j-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and then repeated use of (15) gives

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \langle X_j X^N X_{i,t} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Now using (16) and (18) we see that  $X_j X^{-1} X_{i,t} = X_{i,j}$ . So, using (17) and (9), we have

 $\langle X_j X^{-1} X_{i,t} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle = \langle X_{i,j} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle = \phi_{i,j} = \Phi_{i,-t+j},$ 

thus (19) is true for N = -1 also.

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $N \ge -1$  we have

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \langle X_j X^N X_{i,t} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle. \quad \blacksquare$$

From Lemma 1.5(i) and (16) we have the following forms for the trace and determinant of matrix  $X_{i,j}$ 

$$\operatorname{tr}(X_{i,j}) = \tau_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{det}(X_{i,j}) = (-1)^{j-i+1} x_i \cdots x_j.$$

In particular, for matrix X from (18), we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(X) = \tau$$
 and  $\operatorname{det}(X) = \Delta$ .

Now let *Z* be any invertible 2 × 2 matrix with trace tr(*Z*) and determinant det(*Z*), and let *T* denote the transpose. Then the Cayley–Hamilton theorem says that  $Z^2 = \text{tr}(Z) Z - \text{det}(Z) I$ , so  $Z^N = \text{tr}(Z) Z^{N-1} - \text{det}(Z) Z^{N-2}$ , for  $N \ge 1$ . Let **u** and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^2$  and, for  $N \ge -1$ , define  $\Psi_N = \langle Z^N \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ . Then:

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $N \ge 1$ ,  $\Psi_N$  satisfies the recurrence

 $\Psi_N = \operatorname{tr}(Z) \, \Psi_{N-1} - \det(Z) \, \Psi_{N-2},$ 

with initial conditions  $\Psi_{-1} = \langle Z^{-1}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$  and  $\Psi_0 = \langle Z^0\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ .

Now for  $N \ge -1$ ,

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \langle X_j X^N X_{i,t} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e} \rangle = \langle X^N X_{i,t} \mathbf{1}, X_j^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{e} \rangle.$$

So, for  $N \ge 1$ , Lemma 3.2 with Z = X,  $\mathbf{u} = X_{i,t}\mathbf{1}$ , and  $\mathbf{v} = X_i^T \mathbf{e}$ , and (20), gives,

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \tau \ \Phi_{i,(N-1)t+j} - \Delta \ \Phi_{i,(N-2)t+j}.$$

This is a second proof that  $\Phi_{i,Nt+i}$  satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence.

#### 4. The Symmetric Representation, MacMahon's Master Theorem and three expressions for $G_N$

Consider polynomials in the variables  $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ . We will work with the vector space whose basis elements are the homogeneous polynomials of degree N in these variables, *i.e.*, with

$$\{u_1^{n_1}\cdots u_d^{n_d} \mid n_1 + \cdots + n_d = N, \text{ each } n_\ell \ge 0\},\$$

this vector space has dimension  $\binom{N+d-1}{N}$ .

The symmetric representation of a  $d \times d$  matrix  $A = (a_{\ell \ell'})$  is the action on polynomials induced by:

$$u_1^{n_1}\cdots u_d^{n_d} \to v_1^{n_1}\cdots v_d^{n_d},$$

where

$$v_\ell = \sum_{\ell'} a_{\ell\ell'} u_\ell$$

or, more compactly, v = Au. That is, define the matrix element  $\binom{m_1, \dots, m_d}{n_1, \dots, n_d}_A$  to be the coefficient of  $u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_d^{n_d}$  in  $v_1^{m_1} \cdots v_d^{m_d}$ . Then, for a fixed  $(m_1, \dots, m_d)$ , we have

$$v_1^{m_1} \cdots v_d^{m_d} = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} \binom{m_1, \dots, m_d}{n_1, \dots, n_d}_A u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_d^{n_d}.$$
(21)

Observe that the total degree  $N = |n| = \sum n_{\ell} = |m| = \sum m_{\ell}$ , *i.e.*, homogeneity of degree N is preserved. We use multi-indices:  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)$  and  $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ . Then, for a fixed m, (21) becomes

$$v^m = \sum_n \left\langle {m \atop n} \right\rangle_A u^n.$$

Successive application of *B* then *A* shows that this is a homomorphism of the multiplicative semi-group of square  $d \times d$  matrices into the multiplicative semi-group of square  $\binom{N+d-1}{N} \times \binom{N+d-1}{N}$  matrices.

Proposition 4.1. Matrix elements satisfy the homomorphism property

$$\binom{m}{n}_{AB} = \sum_{k} \binom{m}{k}_{A} \binom{k}{n}_{B}$$

(20)

**Proof.** Let v = (AB)u and w = Bu. Then,

. .

$$w^{m} = \sum_{n} {\binom{m}{n}}_{AB} u^{n}$$
$$= \sum_{k} {\binom{m}{k}}_{A} w^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{n} \sum_{k} {\binom{m}{k}}_{A} {\binom{k}{n}}_{B} u^{n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Definition.** Fix the degree  $N = \sum n_{\ell} = \sum m_{\ell}$ . Define  $\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(A)$ , the symmetric trace of A in degree N, as the sum of the diagonal elements  $\binom{m}{n}_{A}$ , *i.e.*,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(A) = \sum_{m} \left\langle \begin{matrix} m \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{A}.$$

Equality such as  $tr_{Sym}(A) = tr_{Sym}(B)$  means that the symmetric traces are equal in every degree  $N \ge 0$ .

**Remark.** The action defined here on polynomials is equivalent to the action on symmetric tensor powers, see Fulton and Harris [2], pp. 472–5.

Now it is straightforward to see directly (cf. the diagonal case shown in Corollary 4.3) that if *A* is upper-triangular, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ , then  $\operatorname{tr}^N_{\operatorname{Sym}}(A) = h_N(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ , the Nth homogeneous symmetric function. The homomorphism property, Proposition 4.1, shows that  $\operatorname{tr}^N_{\operatorname{Sym}}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}^N_{\operatorname{Sym}}(BA)$ , and that similar matrices have the same trace. Again by the homomorphism property, if two  $d \times d$  matrices are similar,  $A = MBM^{-1}$ , then that relation extends to their respective symmetric representations in every degree. Recall that any matrix is similar to an upper-triangular one with the same eigenvalues. Thus,

Theorem 4.2 (Symmetric Trace Theorem (See pp. 51–2 of Springer [5])). We have

$$\frac{1}{\det(I-cA)} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c^N \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^N(A).$$

**Proof.** With  $\lambda_{\ell}$  denoting the eigenvalues of *A*,

$$\frac{1}{\det(I - cA)} = \prod_{\ell} \frac{1}{1 - c\lambda_{\ell}}$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c^{N} h_{N}(\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{d})$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c^{N} \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(A). \quad \blacksquare$$

As a Corollary we have MacMahon's Master Theorem, which we express in the above terminology.

**Corollary 4.3** (*MacMahon's Master Theorem*). The diagonal matrix element  ${m \choose m}_A$  is the coefficient of  $u^m = u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_d^{m_d}$  in the expansion of det $(I - UA)^{-1}$  where  $U = \text{diag}(u_1, \ldots, u_d)$  is the diagonal matrix with entries  $u_1, \ldots, u_d$  on the diagonal. **Proof.** From Theorem 4.2, with c = 1, we want to calculate the symmetric trace of UA. By the homomorphism property,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(UA) = \sum_{m} \left\langle {m \atop m} \right\rangle_{UA}$$
$$= \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \left\langle {m \atop k} \right\rangle_{U} \left\langle {k \atop m} \right\rangle_{A}.$$

Now, with v = Uw and  $v_{\ell} = u_{\ell}w_{\ell}$ , then

$$v^m = (u_1 w_1)^{m_1} \cdots (u_d w_d)^{m_d} = u^m w^m = \sum_k \left\langle {m \atop k} \right\rangle_U w^k,$$

i.e.,

$$\binom{m}{k}_U = u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_d^{m_d} \delta_{k_1 m_1} \cdots \delta_{k_d m_d}$$

so that

$$\operatorname{tr}^{N}_{\operatorname{Sym}}(UA) = \sum_{m} \left\langle {m \atop m} \right\rangle_{A} u^{m}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we restrict ourselves to d = 2, and return to the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence. Recall, from (18), the  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{t-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \xi_t \xi_{t-1} \cdots \xi_1,$$

where  $\xi_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_s & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $1 \le s \le t$ . Let us modify  $\xi_s$  slightly by defining  $\alpha_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_s & a_s \end{pmatrix}$  for  $1 \le s \le t$ , and calling

$$\overline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_t & a_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{t-1} & a_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \alpha_t \alpha_{t-1} \cdots \alpha_1.$$

Let

 $\operatorname{tr}(\overline{X}) = \overline{\tau}$  and  $\operatorname{det}(\overline{X}) = \overline{\Delta}$ ,

and let  $\overline{G}_N$  be the first fundamental solution to the  $(\overline{\tau}, \overline{\Delta})$ -recurrence:

$$\Theta_{N} = \overline{\tau} \,\Theta_{N-1} - \Delta \,\Theta_{N-2}. \tag{22}$$

Then

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c^N \overline{G}_N = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\tau}c + \overline{\Delta}c^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\det(I - c\overline{X})}$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c^N \operatorname{tr}^N_{\operatorname{Sym}}(\overline{X}).$$

So

$$\overline{G}_{N} = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(\overline{X}) = \sum_{m} \left\langle {m \atop m} \right\rangle_{\overline{X}} = \sum_{m} \left\langle {m \atop m} \right\rangle_{\alpha_{t}\alpha_{t-1}\cdots\alpha_{1}}.$$

We need to calculate the symmetric trace of  $\overline{X}$  and so identify  $\overline{G}_N$ . By the homomorphism property, we need only find the matrix elements for each matrix  $\alpha_s$ , multiply together and take the trace.

For  $\alpha_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_s & a_s \end{pmatrix}$  the mapping induced on polynomials is

$$v_1 = u_2, \quad v_2 = x_s u_1 + a_s u_2.$$
 (23)

For any integer  $N \ge 0$ , the expansion of  $v_1^m v_2^{N-m}$  in powers of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  is of the form

$$v_1^m v_2^{N-m} = \sum_n {\binom{m}{n}}_{\alpha_s} u_1^n u_2^{N-n}, \tag{24}$$

with the notation for the matrix elements abbreviated accordingly. From (23) and (24), the binomial theorem yields

$$\binom{m}{n}_{\alpha_{s}} = \binom{N-m}{n} x_{s}^{n} a_{s}^{N-m-n}.$$

)

For example, when t = 3, the product  $\overline{X} = \alpha_3 \alpha_2 \alpha_1$  gives the matrix elements, for homogeneity of degree N,

$$\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix}_{\overline{X}} = \sum_{(k_2, k_3)} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ k_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha_3} \begin{pmatrix} k_3 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha_2} \begin{pmatrix} k_2 \\ n \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha_1}$$

$$= \sum_{(k_2, k_3)} \begin{pmatrix} N - m \\ k_3 \end{pmatrix} \binom{N - k_3}{k_2} \binom{N - k_2}{n} x_1^n x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} a_1^{N - k_2 - n} a_2^{N - k_3 - k_2} a_3^{N - k_3 - m}$$

Thus, the symmetric trace  $\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}}^{N}(\overline{X}) = \sum_{m} {m \choose m}_{\overline{X}}$  is

$$\sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)} \binom{N-k_2}{k_1} \binom{N-k_3}{k_2} \binom{N-k_1}{k_3} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} a_1^{N-k_1-k_2} a_2^{N-k_2-k_3} a_3^{N-k_3-k_1},$$

a cyclic binomial. In general, for a product of arbitrary length, the symmetric trace is given by the corresponding cyclic binomial.

Recall the recurrence

$$S_N(x) = 2x S_{N-1}(x) - S_{N-2}(x),$$
(25)

for  $N \ge 1$ . The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind,  $T_N = T_N(x)$ , are solutions of this recurrence with initial conditions  $T_{-1} = x$  and  $T_0 = 1$ , and the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind,  $U_N = U_N(x)$ , are solutions with  $U_{-1} = 0$  and  $U_0 = 1$ .

Combining these observations yields the main identities:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\overline{X} = \alpha_t \alpha_{t-1} \cdots \alpha_1$ , with  $\alpha_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_s & a_s \end{pmatrix}$  for  $1 \le s \le t$ , and let  $\overline{\tau} = \operatorname{tr}(\overline{X})$  and  $\overline{\Delta} = \operatorname{det}(\overline{X})$ . Let  $\overline{G}_N$  denote the first fundamental solution to the  $(\overline{\tau}, \overline{\Delta})$ -recurrence (22).

Then we have the **cyclic binomial identity** 

$$\begin{split} \overline{G}_{N} &= \sum_{(k_{1},\ldots,k_{t})} \binom{N-k_{2}}{k_{1}} \binom{N-k_{3}}{k_{2}} \cdots \binom{N-k_{1}}{k_{t}} x_{1}^{k_{1}} x_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots x_{t}^{k_{t}} a_{1}^{N-k_{1}-k_{2}} a_{2}^{N-k_{2}-k_{3}} \cdots a_{t}^{N-k_{t}-k_{1}} \\ &= \overline{\Delta}^{N/2} U_{N} \left(\frac{\overline{\tau}}{2\sqrt{\overline{\Delta}}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \binom{N-k}{k} \overline{\tau}^{N-2k} (-\overline{\Delta})^{k}, \end{split}$$

where  $U_N$  denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

**Proof.** The first equality follows by computing the symmetric trace for arbitrary *t* as indicated above. The second follows by induction on *N* using initial conditions  $\overline{G}_{-1} = 0$  and  $\overline{G}_0 = 1$ , the  $(\overline{\tau}, \overline{\Delta})$ -recurrence (22) and the Chebyshev recurrence (25). The third follows from the second by the symmetric trace theorem applied to  $\overline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\overline{\Delta} & \overline{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$ , the shift matrix for the

 $(\overline{\tau}, \overline{\Delta})$ -recurrence.

Note that  $G_{-1} = 0$  and  $G_0 = 1$ , so  $G_1 = \tau$  using the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence. This also follows directly from the condition  $k_{s-1} + k_s \leq 1$  for non-zero terms in the cyclic binomial summation above. Note also that setting all  $a_s = 1$  above gives explicit expressions for  $G_N$ .

**Example 5.** Here N = 2 and t = 3. Let  $A^{\text{Sym}(N)}$  denote the symmetric representation in degree N of the matrix A. From the above we have

$$G_{2} = \sum_{(k_{1},k_{2},k_{3})} {\binom{2-k_{2}}{k_{1}}} {\binom{2-k_{3}}{k_{2}}} {\binom{2-k_{1}}{k_{3}}} x_{1}^{k_{1}} x_{2}^{k_{2}} x_{3}^{k_{3}}$$
  
= 1 + 2x\_{1} + 2x\_{2} + 2x\_{3} + x\_{1}^{2} + 2x\_{1}x\_{2} + 2x\_{1}x\_{3} + x\_{2}^{2} + 2x\_{2}x\_{3} + x\_{3}^{2} + x\_{1}x\_{2}x\_{3}}.

Also d = 2, so  $\binom{N+d-1}{N} = 3$ , and  $\xi_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_s & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $1 \le i \le 3$ , thus

$$X = \xi_3 \xi_2 \xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 + 1 \\ x_1 x_3 + x_1 & x_2 + x_3 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now 
$$\xi_s^{\text{Sym}(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & x_s & 1 \\ x_s^2 & 2x_s & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 for  $1 \le s \le 3$ , and so  

$$X^{\text{Sym}(2)} = \xi_3^{\text{Sym}(2)} \xi_2^{\text{Sym}(2)} \xi_1^{\text{Sym}(2)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 & 2x_1x_2 + 2x_1 & x_2^2 + 2x_2 + 1 \\ x_1^2x_3 + x_1^2 & x_1x_2x_3 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_1x_3 + 2x_1 & x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + 2x_2 + x_3 + 1 \\ x_1^2x_3^2 + 2x_1^2x_3 + x_1^2 & 2x_1x_2x_3 + 2x_1x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 4x_1x_3 + 2x_1 & x_3^2 + 2x_2x_3 + x_2^2 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We check that  $G_2 = tr(X^{Sym(2)})$ , as indicated above.

We now give an expression for  $G_N$  as a quotient of two matching polynomials. This requires (29) from the next section.

**Theorem 4.5.** For  $N \ge 0$  we have

$$G_N=\frac{\Phi_{1,Nt-2}}{\phi_{t-2}}.$$

**Proof.** Eq. (29) is

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \Phi_{i,j} \, \mathcal{G}_N - \Delta \, \phi_{i,j} \, \mathcal{G}_{N-1},\tag{26}$$

and from Example 2 we have  $\phi_{i,i-3} = 0$ . So (26) with j = i - 3 gives

$$G_{\rm N} = \frac{\Phi_{i,Nt+i-3}}{\Phi_{i,i-3}} = \frac{\Phi_{1,Nt-2}}{\phi_{t-2}},\tag{27}$$

the second equality comes from putting i = 1 in the first and then using (9) in the denominator.

Finally, consider the Fibonacci sequence  $\{F_m \mid m \ge 1\} = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ...\}$ . It is straightforward to show that the number of matchings in the path  $P_m$  with m - 1 edges is  $F_{m+1}$ . Now  $\Phi_{1,Nt-2}$  is the matching polynomial of the path P(1, Nt - 2) which has (N + 1)t - 2 edges and so has  $F_{(N+1)t}$  matchings. Similarly, the path whose matching polynomial is  $\phi_{t-2}$  has  $F_t$  matchings. Now, evaluating (27) above with N = N - 1 and  $x_s = 1$  for all  $1 \le s \le t$ , gives  $F_t |F_{Nt}$ , a well-known result on Fibonacci numbers, see pp. 148–9, Hardy and Wright [4]. Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{F_{(N+1)t}}{F_t} = \sum_{(k_1,\dots,k_t)} \binom{N-k_2}{k_1} \binom{N-k_3}{k_2} \cdots \binom{N-k_1}{k_t}$$

#### 5. Examples: Paths, cycles and trees

In this section we express the matching polynomial of some well-known graphs in terms of the fundamental solutions to the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence (12).

 $G_N$  is the first fundamental solution to the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence, so the initial values for  $G_N$  are

$$G_{-2} = \frac{-1}{\Delta}, \qquad G_{-1} = 0, \qquad G_0 = 1, \quad (\text{and} \quad G_1 = \tau).$$
 (28)

The second fundamental solution is  $-\Delta G_{N-1}$ .

5.1. Paths

 $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  satisfies the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence whose fundamental solutions are  $G_N$  and  $-\Delta G_{N-1}$ , thus  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = a G_N + b (-\Delta G_{N-1})$  for some *a* and *b*. The initial conditions for  $\Phi_{i,Nt+j}$  from (9) and for  $G_N$  from (28) give  $a = \Phi_{i,j}$  and  $b = \Phi_{i,-t+j} = \phi_{i,j}$ . Hence for  $N \ge -1$ ,

$$\Phi_{i,Nt+j} = \Phi_{i,j} G_N - \Delta \phi_{i,j} G_{N-1}.$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

**Example 6.** Here i = 2 and t = 3,

 $\begin{array}{ll} N = -1 & \phi_{2,2} = 1 + x_2, \\ N = 0 & \phi_{2,3} = 1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ N = 0 & \phi_{2,1} = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_1 x_2, \\ N = 0 & \phi_{2,2} = 1 + x_1 + 2 x_2 + x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + x_2 x_3, \\ N = 1 & \phi_{2,3} = 1 + x_1 + 2 x_2 + 2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2^2 + 2 x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 + x_1 x_2 x_3. \end{array}$ 

For  $N \ge 1$  let  $P_{Nt+j+1} = P(1, (N-1)t+j)$  be the path with Nt + j + 1 vertices and Nt + j edges, cyclically labelled starting with label  $x_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{Nt+j+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{P}_{Nt+j+1} = \Phi_{1,(N-1)t+j}$  be its matching polynomial. With this notation any subscript on a P,  $\mathcal{P}$ , C, or C refers to the number of vertices in the appropriate graph.

**Theorem 5.1.** For any  $N \ge 1$  we have

(i)  $\mathcal{P}_{Nt+j+1} = \Phi_{1,j} G_{N-1} - \Delta \phi_j G_{N-2},$ (ii)  $\mathcal{P}_{Nt+1} = G_N + (\phi - \tau) G_{N-1}.$ 

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is clear using (29) with i = 1 and N = N - 1. So (i) with j = 0 gives  $\mathcal{P}_{Nt+1} = \Phi_{1,0} G_{N-1} - \Delta \phi_0 G_{N-2}$ , but  $\Phi_{1,0} = \Phi_{1,-t+t} = \phi_{1,t} = \phi_{1,0} = \phi_{1,0} = 1$ , then using the  $(\tau, \Delta)$ -recurrence for  $G_N$  gives (ii).

**Example 7.** Here *t* = 3,

 $P_{1\cdot3+2+1} \bullet \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \underbrace{x_1}_{x_1} \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \bullet \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \bullet \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} + \underbrace{x_1x_2}_{x_2} + \underbrace{x_1x_3}_{x_2} + \underbrace{x_2x_3}_{x_2} + \underbrace{x_1x_2x_3}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \bullet \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \bullet \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \bullet \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \bullet \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_1} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_3}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_1}_{x_2} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot \underbrace{x_2}_{x_3} \cdot$ 

#### 5.2. Cycles

Now we identify the first and the last vertices of the path P(i, Nt + j) to form the cyclically labelled cycle C(i, Nt + j) with matching polynomial  $\Gamma_{i,Nt+j}(\mathbf{x}) = \Gamma_{i,Nt+j}$ .

By decomposing  $\Gamma_{i,Nt+i}$  at the 'first' edge labelled  $x_i$  we see that, *cf*. (29),

$$\begin{split} I_{i,Nt+j} &= \Phi_{i+1,Nt+j} + x_i \, \Phi_{i+2,Nt+j-1}, \\ &= \Phi_{i+1,j} \, G_N - \Delta \, \phi_{i+1,j} \, G_{N-1} + x_i \{ \Phi_{i+2,j-1} \, G_N - \Delta \, \phi_{i+2,j-1} \, G_{N-1} \}, \\ &= \{ \Phi_{i+1,j} + x_i \, \Phi_{i+2,j-1} \} \, G_N - \Delta \, \{ \phi_{i+1,j} + x_i \, \phi_{i+2,j-1} \} \, G_{N-1}, \\ &= \Gamma_{i,j} \, G_N - \Delta \, \tau_{i,j} \, G_{N-1}, \end{split}$$
(30)

using (29) at the second line, and decomposing  $\Gamma_{i,j}$  and  $\tau_{i,j}$  at the first edge  $x_i$  at the fourth line. Also, defining  $\Gamma_{i,-t+j} = \tau_{i,j}$  ensures that (30) is true for all  $N \ge -1$ .

**Example 8.** Here i = 2 and t = 3 again,

$$\begin{split} N &= -1 & \tau_{2,2} = 1, \\ N &= 0 & \tau_{2,3} = 1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ N &= 0 & \Gamma_{2,1} = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ N &= 0 & \Gamma_{2,2} = 1 + x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3, \\ N &= 1 & \Gamma_{2,3} = 1 + x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2. \end{split}$$

Let  $C_{Nt+j} = C(1, (N-1)t+j)$  be the cycle with Nt + j vertices and Nt + j edges in which labelling has started with  $x_1$ , and let  $C_{Nt+j}(\mathbf{x}) = C_{Nt+j} = \Gamma_{1,(N-1)t+j}$  be its matching polynomial. Compare with Theorem 5.1,

**Theorem 5.2.** For any N > 1 we have

(i)  $C_{Nt+j} = \Gamma_{1,j} G_{N-1} - \Delta \tau_j G_{N-2},$ (ii)  $C_{Nt} = G_N - \Delta G_{N-2}.$ 

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is clear from (30). Part (i) with j = 0 gives (ii), using  $\Gamma_{1,0} = \tau$ , and  $\tau_0 = 2$  from (8).

**Example 9.** Here t = 3 again, the cycle starts at the large vertex and proceeds clockwise,





For a fixed  $t \ge 1$  write  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_N = \mathcal{P}_{Nt+1}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_N = \mathcal{C}_{Nt}$ . We now express  $G_N$ ,  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_N$ , and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_N$  in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. It is well-known that, in one variable x, the matching polynomial of the path  $P_{2m}$  is related to  $U_{2m}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{M}(P_{2m}, x) = (-1)^m x^m U_{2m} \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{x}}\right),$$

and, for  $P_{2m-1}$  we have

$$\mathcal{M}(P_{2m-1}, x) = (-1)^m x^m \left[ U_{2m} \left( \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) + U_{2m-2} \left( \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) \right],$$

where i =  $\sqrt{-1}$ . Also, for the matching polynomials  $\mathcal{M}(C_{2m})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(C_{2m-1})$  of the cycles  $C_{2m}$  and  $C_{2m-1}$  there are similar formulas but with a factor of 2 on the right-hand side where *U* is replaced by *T*. See Theorem 3 of Godsil and Gutman [3], and Theorems 9 and 11 of Farrell [1].

Now Theorem 4.4 modified for  $G_N$  gives

$$G_N = \Delta^{N/2} U_N \left( \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \right). \tag{31}$$

Formulas for  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_N$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_N$  in terms of  $U_N$  and  $T_N$  are given below, where the variable t is suppressed.

**Theorem 5.3.** For any  $N \ge 1$  we have

(i) 
$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{N} = \Delta^{N/2} \left\{ U_{N} \left( \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \right) + \left( \frac{\phi - \tau}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \right) U_{N-1} \left( \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \right) \right\},\$$
  
(ii)  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{N} = 2\Delta^{N/2} T_{N} \left( \frac{\tau}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \right).$ 

**Proof.** (i) This follows from Theorem 5.1(ii) and (31).

(ii) From Theorem 5.2(ii) we have  $\hat{C}_N = G_N - \Delta G_{N-2}$ , and now the well-known relation  $2T_N = U_N - U_{N-2}$  between the two types of Chebyshev polynomials and (31) gives the result.

Expressions for  $G_N$ ,  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_N$ , and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_N$  for N = 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 are given below

$$\begin{array}{ll} G_0 = 1 & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0 = 1 & \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0 = 2 \\ G_1 = \tau & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1 = \phi & \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_1 = \tau \\ G_2 = \tau^2 - \Delta & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_2 = \phi\tau - \Delta & \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_2 = \tau^2 - 2\Delta \\ G_3 = \tau^3 - 2\tau\Delta & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_3 = \phi\tau^2 - \phi\Delta - \tau\Delta & \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_3 = \tau^3 - 3\tau\Delta \\ G_4 = \tau^4 - 3\tau^2\Delta + \Delta^2 & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_4 = \phi\tau^3 - 2\phi\tau\Delta - \tau^2\Delta + \Delta^2 & \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_4 = \tau^4 - 4\tau^2\Delta + 2\Delta^2. \end{array}$$

5.3. Trees

Here we consider cyclically labelled trees.

First let us extend the definition of a cyclically labelled path to include the path of Fig. 1, and the graph  $P_1$  with one vertex and no edges.

A tree is a connected simple graph with no cycles, and a rooted tree is a tree in which some vertex of degree 1 has been specified to be the root, r. Given any rooted tree, let us label its edges by first labelling the edge incident to r with  $x_i$ . Then label all edges incident to this edge with  $x_{i+1}$ , then label all edges incident to these edges with  $x_{i+2}$ , and so on until label  $x_t$  has been used. Then label with the ordered set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$  in a similar manner to before, repeating cyclically until all edges have been labelled, ..., and so on. Let T denote such a cyclically labelled tree, see Fig. 5 for an example with i = 2 and t = 3.

We may draw any such *T* with *r* as the leftmost vertex. Then we place the other vertices of *T* from 'left to right' according to their distance from *r*, *i.e.*, if a vertex  $v_1$  is at distance  $d_1$  from *r* and vertex  $v_2$  is at distance  $d_2$  from *r* where  $d_2 > d_1$ , then  $v_2$  is placed to the right of  $v_1$ .



**Fig. 5.** A cyclically labelled tree with i = 2 and t = 3.

Paths in *T* are of two types: (I) A path that always moves from left to right (a path that always moves from right to left can be thought of one that always moves from left to right); such a path is clearly cyclically labelled; or (II) a path that moves first from right to left and then from left to right; such a path must pass through at least one vertex of degree  $\geq 3$ , *i.e.*, a vertex where *T* 'branches'.

Let *V* denote the set of vertices of degree  $\geq 3$  in *T*, and let  $v \in V$  be arbitrary of degree deg(v). Vertex *v* has 1 edge to its left and deg $(v) - 1 \geq 2$  edges to its right. Let  $H_v$  be the subgraph of *T* that consists of the 'last' deg $(v) - 2 \geq 1$  edges as we rotate clockwise around *v*. Thus  $H_v$  is the star  $K_{1, \deg(v)-2}$  centered at *v*. Set  $H = \bigcup_{v \in V} H_v$ .

#### **Lemma 5.4.** The forest T - H is a union of cyclically labelled paths.

**Proof.** We show that T - H does not contain a path of type (II). Suppose it does contain a path of type (II), then this path must pass through some vertex  $v \in V$ . So 2 edges incident to v and to the right of v lie in this path and so lie in T - H, a contradiction because T - H contains only 1 edge incident to v and to the right of v. Thus T - H is a union of paths of type (I), each of which is a cyclically labelled path.

Thus T - H is a union of cyclically labelled paths, and so  $T - H - \overline{M}_H$  is also, for every matching  $M_H$  of H. We know the matching polynomial of any cyclically labelled path, so we can decompose the matching polynomial of T,  $\mathcal{M}(T, \mathbf{x})$ , at H, according to Theorem 1.1,

$$\mathcal{M}(T, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{M_H} M_H(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathcal{M}(T - H - \overline{M}_H, \mathbf{x}),$$

where the summation is over every matching  $M_H$  of H.

#### Example 10. See Fig. 5.

Here  $H = \bullet \xrightarrow{x_1 \ x_1} \bullet \xrightarrow{x_3} \bullet$ 

*H* has 6 matchings with weights: 1,  $x_1$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_1x_3$ , and  $x_1x_3$ . Thus there are 6 terms in the decomposition, and  $\mathcal{M}(T, \mathbf{x})$  is the sum of the following 6 terms:

$$1.\phi_{1}\phi_{2,3}\phi_{2,1} + x_{1}.\phi_{1}\phi_{2,2}\phi_{2,3} + x_{1}.\phi_{1}\phi_{2,2}\phi_{3,3} + x_{3}.\phi_{1}\phi_{2,3} + x_{1}x_{3}.\phi_{2,3} + x_{1}x_{3}.\phi_{3,3}$$
  
= 1 + 4x<sub>1</sub> + 2x<sub>2</sub> + 3x<sub>3</sub> + 3x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + 7x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub> + 8x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>3</sub> + x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 3x<sub>2</sub>x<sub>3</sub> + 2x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>  
+ 5x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>x<sub>2</sub> + 3x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>x<sub>3</sub> + 3x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 7x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub>x<sub>3</sub> + 4x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 2x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + 3x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>x<sub>2</sub>x<sub>3</sub>.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the referees for some helpful comments.

#### References

- [1] E.J. Farrell, An introduction to matching polynomials, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 27 (1979) 75-86.
- [2] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory, A First Course, in: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [3] C.D. Godsil, I. Gutman, On the theory of the matching polynomial, J. Graph Theory 5 (1981) 137-144.
- [4] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed., Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [5] T.A. Springer, Invariant Theory, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 585, Springer-Verlag, 1977.