

LESBIAN LIVES: PERSONAL IDEOLOGY, MENTAL HEALTH,  
AND SELF IDENTITY

By

Beth Shaw, M.S.

A Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School,  
Marquette University,  
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for  
the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

August 2009

UMI Number: 3357978

INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

PREVIEW

UMI<sup>®</sup>

---

UMI Microform 3357978  
Copyright 2009 by ProQuest LLC  
All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against  
unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

---

ProQuest LLC  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

Abstract  
LESBIAN LIVES: PERSONAL IDEOLOGY, MENTAL HEALTH,  
AND SELF IDENTITY

Beth Shaw, M.S.

Marquette University, 2009

Personal ideology is a component of personality that guides an individual's understanding of where value lies in life. Information on lesbians and personal ideology is a sparsely researched domain. Due to this group's position as a stigmatized minority, it is likely that a lesbian's personal ideology plays a significant role in how she adapts and functions within a society grounded in distinctly heterosexual norms. In this study, Silvan Tomkins' (1963, 1965, 1987) polarity theory of ideology was used to examine how personal ideology functions in the lives of lesbians. Two hundred twenty-six self-identified lesbians were surveyed to address two primary goals: (1) to investigate how individual differences in personal ideology impact the mental health of lesbians, and (2) to explore how personal ideology manifests in the self identities or life stories of lesbians. Data was collected using both quantitative and qualitative methods to gather information on ideology, mental wellness, and self-identity in a lesbian sample. A grounded theory approach was used to examine exemplar narratives and develop hypotheses regarding the manifestation of the two defining dimensions of personal ideology in lesbian stories, namely, humanism and normativism. Descriptive analysis reveals a snapshot of mental health concerns and reasons for seeking mental health treatment in a lesbian sample. Results of the study generally did not support predicted hypotheses in that differences in levels of humanistic and normative ideology were not significantly related to mental health variables. Moreover, hypotheses generated from grounded theory analysis of ideological exemplars were not supported upon examination of the remaining narratives, and thus, did not reflect true normative/humanistic differences in lesbian expression of life episodes. Alternative explanations and directions for future research are discussed

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Beth Shaw, M.S.

The following project would not have been possible without the generous support and guidance of my dissertation committee, fellow researchers, and research assistants. First, I am pleased to thank my advisor, Ed de St. Aubin, whose creativity and insight helped to bring the project to life, and whose commitment to intellectual curiosity and to teaching helped to challenge and motivate me throughout the process and throughout my graduate training. I am grateful to the members of my committee, Stephen Franzoi and Mary Anne Siderits, who have graciously dedicated their time, resources, encouragement, and thoughtful critique to this project. I would also like to express my gratitude to the research assistants, Tracy Hrajnoha, Colleen Kagan, Amanda Lehnerr, Ashley Mastro, and Shawna Picard for their flexibility and willingness to tirelessly tread in ambiguity.

As important as the scholarly assistance is the encouragement from my family and friends. I am thankful, and indebted to, the patience, kindness, and acceptance from my dearest friend, and partner, Erin Parks, who has willingly been my counselor, motivator, thoughtful distracter, and unwearied reprieve. I am also grateful for the support, blessing, generosity and care garnered from my mother and father, Donna and James Shaw, and sisters, Jennifer McDonald and Katie Shaw.

Finally, I'd like to thank the many women who participated in the study. Their willingness, bravery, and honesty in sharing their stories made this project possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
Script Theory.....	3
Empirical Support for Script Theory.....	7
Polarity Theory of Personal Ideology.....	10
The Polarity Scale of Personal Ideology.....	15
Empirical Support for the Polarity Theory.....	18
Manifestation of Personal Ideology in Lesbians.....	27
Lesbians, Personal Ideology, and Mental Health.....	34
Lesbian Stories and Ideology.....	38
Current Study.....	41
Hypotheses Addressing Mental Health Concerns in Lesbians.....	42
Hypotheses Addressing Lesbian Identity.....	44
II. METHOD.....	46
Participants.....	46
Procedure.....	46
Quantitative Measures.....	46
Qualitative Measures.....	52
III. RESULTS.....	54
Mental Health and Personal Ideology.....	54

Personal Ideology and Self-Identity.....	81
IV. DISCUSSION.....	127
Mental Health and Personal Ideology.....	127
Personal Ideology and Self-Identity.....	134
Research Limitations and Future Research.....	138
Research Strengths.....	141
V. BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	143
VI. APPENDICES.....	150
Appendix A.....	140
Appendix B.....	156
Appendix C.....	158
Appendix D.....	159
Appendix E.....	160
Appendix F.....	161
Appendix G.....	164
Appendix H.....	165
Appendix I.....	167
Appendix J.....	169
Appendix K.....	172
Appendix L.....	230
Appendix M.....	232
Appendix N.....	233
Appendix O.....	235

Appendix P.....246

PREVIEW

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: <i>Primary Affects Associated with Socialization of Humanism and Normativism (Tomkins, 1963)</i> .....	12
Table 2: <i>Major Assumptions of Tomkins' Polarity Theory of Personal Ideology (Tomkins, 1963)</i> .....	13
Table 3: <i>Summary of Humanistic/Normative Dimension of Ideology (Tomkins, 1963; de St. Aubin, 1999)</i> .....	14
Table 4: <i>Dimensions of Personality Successfully Categorized by Humanism/Normativism on the Polarity Scale (Tomkins, 1965)</i> .....	17
Table 5: <i>Derivatives of the Primary Assumption Underlying Polarity Theory of Personal Ideology (Tomkins, 1963)</i> .....	21
Table 6: <i>Description of all Measured Personal Ideology Variables</i> .....	48
Table 7: <i>Description of all Measured Treatment Barriers Variables</i> .....	49
Table 8: <i>Value Assessed to Responses on Treatment/Mental Health Problems Related to Lesbianism</i> .....	51
Table 9: <i>Major Clinical Disorder that Contributed to Seeking Treatment</i> .....	55
Table 10: <i>Reasons for Seeking Treatment</i> .....	55
Table 11: <i>Major Clinical Disorder that Contributed to Considering but not Pursuing Treatment</i> .....	56
Table 12: <i>Reasons for Considering but not Pursuing Treatment</i> .....	56
Table 13: <i>Treatment Seeking Barriers</i> .....	58
Table 14: <i>Rates of Suicidal Feelings and Impulses</i> .....	60
Table 15: <i>Major Clinical Disorder that Contributed to Considering Suicide</i> .....	60
Table 16: <i>Reasons for Considering Suicide</i> .....	61
Table 17: <i>Perceived Problems with Substance Abuse Due to Lesbianism</i> .....	62
Table 18: <i>Descriptive Statistics for Target Personal Ideology and Mental Health Variables</i> .....	62



Table 19: <i>Correlations Between Mental Health Variables</i> .....	63
Table 20: <i>Correlations Between Personal Ideology and Mental Health Variables</i> .....	64
Table 21: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Treatment Barriers Measure</i> .....	64
Table 22: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Treatment Barriers Measure</i> .....	65
Table 23: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Treatment Barriers Total Score</i> .....	65
Table 24: <i>Summary of Simultaneous Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Treatment Barriers</i> .....	66
Table 25: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Treatment Barriers Due to Lesbianism Measure</i> .....	66
Table 26: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Treatment Barriers Due to Lesbianism Measure</i> .....	66
Table 27: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Treatment Barriers Due to Lesbianism Total Score</i> .....	67
Table 28: <i>Summary of Simultaneous Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Treatment Barriers Due to Lesbianism</i> .....	67
Table 29: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Treatment/Mental Health Concerns Related to Lesbianism</i> .....	68
Table 30: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Treatment/mental Health Concerns Related to Lesbianism</i> .....	68
Table 31: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Treatment/Mental Health Concerns Related to Lesbianism</i> .....	69
Table 32: <i>Summary of Simultaneous Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Treatment/Mental Health Concerns Related to Lesbianism</i> .....	69
Table 33: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Four Levels of Suicidal Feelings and Impulses on Humanism Total Score</i> .....	70
Table 34: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Four Levels of Suicidal Feelings and Impulses on Normativism Total Score</i> .....	70

Table 35: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Three Levels of Treatment Seeking on Humanism Total Score</i> .....	77
Table 36: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Three Levels of Treatment Seeking on Normativism Total Score</i> .....	78
Table 37: <i>Humanism and Normativism Total Scores for Ideological Exemplars</i> .....	83
Table 38: <i>Range of Humanism and Normativism Total Scores for the Sample</i> .....	83
Table 39: <i>Description of all Measured Experiential Digestion Variables</i> .....	88
Table 40: <i>Description of all Measured Acknowledge/Deny External Influences Variables</i> .....	89
Table 41: <i>Description of all Measured Redemption/Contamination Variables</i> .....	90
Table 42: <i>Description of all Measured Agency/Communion Variables</i> .....	91
Table 43: <i>Description of all Measured Emotional Content Variables</i> .....	92
Table 44: <i>Agentic Themes Expressed in Peak, Nadir, and Turning Point Stories</i> .....	97
Table 45: <i>Communal Themes Expressed in Peak, Nadir, and Turning Point Stories</i> .....	97
Table 46: <i>Emotional Content Expressed in Peak, Nadir, Turning Point and Generativity Stories</i> .....	98
Table 47: <i>Descriptive Statistics for Target Personal Ideology and Self Identity Variables</i> .....	99
Table 48: <i>Correlations Between Personal Ideology &amp; Word Count Variables</i> .....	100
Table 49: <i>Correlations Between Personal Ideology, Self Identity Variables &amp; Word Count for Peak, Nadir &amp; Turning Stories (P, N, T)</i> .....	100
Table 50: <i>Correlations Between Personal Ideology, Self Identity Variables, &amp; Word Count for Family and Society Stories (F &amp; S)</i> .....	101
Table 51: <i>Correlations Between Personal Ideology, Self Identity Variables, &amp; Word Count for Peak, Nadir, Turning &amp; Generativity Stories (P, N, T &amp; G)</i> .....	101
Table 52: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism and Normativism on Total Experiential Digestion Measure</i> .....	103

Table 53: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Experiential Digestion Measure</i> .....	103
Table 54: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Experiential Digestion Total Score</i> .....	103
Table 55: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Experiential Digestion</i> .....	104
Table 56: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Redemption Measure</i> .....	105
Table 57: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Redemption Measure</i> .....	106
Table 58: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Redemption Total Score</i> .....	106
Table 59: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Contamination Measure</i> .....	106
Table 60: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Contamination Measure</i> .....	107
Table 61: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Contamination Total Score</i> .....	107
Table 62: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Redemption</i> .....	108
Table 63: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Contamination</i> .....	108
Table 64: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Agency Measure</i> .....	109
Table 65: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Agency Measure</i> .....	109
Table 66: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Agency Total Score</i> .....	109
Table 67: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Communion Measure</i> .....	110

Table 68: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Communion Measure</i> .....	110
Table 69: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Communion Total Score</i> .....	110
Table 70: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Agency</i> .....	111
Table 71: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Communion</i> .....	111
Table 72: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	112
Table 73: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	112
Table 74: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Emotional Content Score</i> .....	113
Table 75: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Emotional Content</i> .....	113
Table 76: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Positive Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	114
Table 77: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Positive Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	114
Table 78: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Positive Emotional Content Score</i> .....	115
Table 79: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Negative Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	115
Table 80: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Negative Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	115
Table 81: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Negative Emotional Content Score</i> .....	116
Table 82: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Positive Emotional Content</i> .....	116

Table 83: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Negative Emotional Content</i> .....	117
Table 84: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Humanistic Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	118
Table 85: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Humanistic Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	118
Table 86: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Humanistic Emotional Content Score</i> .....	118
Table 87: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Normativistic Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	119
Table 88: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Normativistic Emotional Content Measure</i> .....	119
Table 89: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Total Normativistic Emotional Content Score</i> .....	119
Table 90: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Humanistic Emotional Content</i> .....	120
Table 91: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Total Normative Emotional Content</i> .....	121
Table 92: <i>Descriptive Statistics – High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Individual Emotional Content Scores</i> .....	122
Table 93: <i>Descriptive Statistics – Interaction Between High/Low Humanism &amp; Normativism on Individual Emotional Content Scores</i> .....	123
Table 94: <i>Analysis of Variance for Humanism &amp; Normativism on Individual Emotional Content Scores</i> .....	124
Table 95: <i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses for Variables Predicting Total Individual Emotional Content Scores</i> .....	124

## INTRODUCTION

Personal ideology is a component of personality that guides an individual's understanding of where value lies in life. Research on personal ideology in heterosexual samples has supported the assertion that ideological belief exerts an enormous impact on an individual's life in terms of the contributions it makes to individual value systems (Carlson & Brincka, 1987; de St. Aubin, 1996; Stone, 1991), daily thinking and behavior (Carlson & Levy, 1970), decision making (Williams, 1984), and problem solving (Schultz, Stone & Christie, 1992, 1997).

Less is known about how personal ideology manifests in the identities of individuals of minority sexual orientation. Specifically, information on lesbians and ideology is a sparsely researched domain. Due to this group's position as a stigmatized minority, it is likely that a lesbian's personal ideology plays a significant role in how she adapts and functions within a society grounded in distinctly heterosexual norms. Maintaining an ideological perspective that adopts a conservative stance on societal norms and values conformity would conflict with a sexual identity that is outside of those norms. This could create an incongruity that could potentially manifest in high degrees of internal conflict. Lesbians who take this ideological perspective may not only continually receive, but also internalize, a message of being inherently wrong. Alternatively, maintaining an ideological perspective that adopts a liberal stance on societal norms and places less value on conformity may be beneficial to individuals with a sexual identity positioned outside of those norms. Consequently, it seems important to understand the role ideology plays in daily functioning in order to foster health and wellness in the lesbian community.

The current study was designed to explore how personal ideology functions in the lives of lesbians. Two hundred twenty-six self-identified lesbians were surveyed to address two primary goals: (1) to investigate how individual differences in personal ideology impact the mental health of lesbians, and (2) to explore how personal ideology manifests in the self identities or life stories of lesbians. Data was collected using both quantitative and qualitative methods in order to gather a rich and comprehensive picture of personal ideology, mental wellness, and self-identity in a lesbian sample.

This study initially explores data related to mental health and treatment seeking in order to generate a profile of significant mental health concerns and treatment barriers for lesbians. The study then tests hypotheses related to how individual differences in personal ideology relate to the mental health of lesbians. Specifically, hypotheses are tested based on quantitative and qualitative data regarding the relationship between levels of humanism and normativism and responses on measures of reasons for seeking mental health treatment, reasons for considering mental health treatment, reasons for considering suicide, treatment seeking barriers, mental health concerns related to lesbianism, suicidal ideation, and substance abuse. Finally, a grounded theory approach is used on ideological exemplars to derive hypotheses regarding the manifestation of the two defining dimensions of personal ideology, humanism and normativism, in lesbian stories. The derived hypotheses are then tested to determine if they reflect true normative/humanistic differences in lesbian expression of life episodes.

To set the stage for the designed study, relevant background information will be reviewed. First, research examining the impact of personal ideology on lesbian well-being will be discussed. The theoretical roots of Silvan Tomkins' (1963, 1965, 1967)

polarity theory of personal ideology is used as the primary theoretical guide for this project and will be examined in the context of his broader script theory of personality. Next, the impact of maintaining either a humanistic or normative ideological perspective will be discussed in terms of its possible influence on lesbian mental health and well-being. Based on previous research, hypotheses concerning the relationship between ideology and mental wellness are outlined and tested. Finally, research exploring how personal ideology may manifest in the self-defining narratives of lesbians will be discussed. The perspective that stories reflect the most salient aspects of experience and, moreover, establish and reinforce self-identities is discussed in terms of how lesbian ideology may be expressed through narrative. The process of applying grounded theory techniques to these narratives to develop hypotheses regarding how the stories of humanists and normatives may differ is then outlined and later applied to humanist and normative exemplar stories. Predictions related to how the narratives of humanistic and normative lesbians differ are then tested.

### *Script Theory*

Tomkins' (1963, 1987) script theory is generally concerned with the construction of personality as an interplay between past, current, and future interpretations of salient events. The theory describes how both information processing and emotional experience are closely related to behavior and thought. Tomkins' script theory emerged out of both the humanistic and cognitive affective movements in psychology (Singer, 1984).

The humanistic movement in psychology focused on understanding the whole person. This movement was concerned with defining both the uniqueness of the individual and those aspects of human living that transcended the individual level and



instead manifested in commonalities across all human experience (McAdams, 2006; Singer, 1984). The foundation of Tomkins' script theory of personality within the humanistic movement is tied to the writing and research of Gordon Allport and Henry Murray. Allport focused on the analysis of personal documents as a method for defining individual traits or values (Alexander, 1990; Singer, 1984). Through his research, Allport developed a theory that depicted humans as beings striving for new experiences who attempt to organize these experiences into broader concepts. Consistent with Tomkins' belief in the formation of scripts, Allport saw individuals as constantly trying to construct and define concepts in order to maintain a degree of order and rationality in their lives. Murray's influence on script theory is grounded in his belief in the personological tradition. Murray believed that personality "is a temporal whole and to understand a part of it one must have a sense, though vague, of the totality" (1938, p. 102). Similarly, Tomkins sought to understand the whole person as an active participant in constructing his or her identity across an entire lifespan (McAdams, 2006; Singer, 1984).

The cognitive-affective movement in psychology was primarily concerned with how individuals process information. Researchers such as George Kelly sought to understand how humans organize new information (McAdams, 2006; Singer 1984). Specifically researchers sought to investigate how novel information was used to carry out intentions and how individuals incorporated novel information into their overall belief system. Like Tomkins, these researchers were concerned with the motivation behind behavior. Where Tomkins' theory departs from traditional cognitive processing models is in both his emphasis on emotion as the primary motivational factor in humans, and his belief in the transactional relationship, rather than an interactional relationship,

between an individual and his or her environment. Whereas an interactional model proposes that fixed characteristics of an individual interact with characteristics of an environment, a transactional model proposes that both an individual and his or her environment interact and influence one another simultaneously.

Tomkins' own cognitive affective theory positioned emotions as the primary motivators of behavior (McAdams, 2006; Tomkins, 1965, 1978). He saw emotions as the key to both amplifying innate drive signals, and cueing an individual into reacting to novel information. Thus, for Tomkins, emotions not only serve as reactions to internal experiences, but also as reactions to the information processing that transpires during experiencing and behaving. Within his cognitive affective theory, Tomkins (1978) identified eight primary emotions that aid in clarifying complex information processing: enjoyment, surprise, anger, distress, sadness, fear, shame, and contempt/disgust. The tendency to display primarily positive or negative emotions across diverse situations is associated with both the individual's socialization, or relationship to his or her early environmental experiences, and with his or her innate temperament. Differences in childhood socialization may lead to more or less emphasis on the anticipation of positive or negative events. Consequently, this early socialization may influence an individual's capacity or propensity to express either positive or negative emotions later in life. It is in this childhood socialization of different types of affect that individuals begin to develop various life scenes and scripts that, when reorganized and refined, eventually form the structure for belief systems.

Building on his cognitive affective theory, Tomkins' (1963, 1978, 1987) script theory maintains that individual personality development may be understood as a plot

composed of the integration of various life scenes. Script theory provides a method for studying personality based on developmental, biological, and historical factors of an individual's life. The scene is the basic unit of analysis in script theory. Each scene is composed of some emotional expression towards an event or object within some identifiable episode. Individuals construct countless numbers of scenes in a day's time that vary in both content and importance (Carlson, 1982). For instance, the happiness (affect) an individual experiences upon hearing a joke on a TV sitcom (object) is as much a scene as is a person's grief (affect) reaction when hearing of a close friend's death (object). The salience of a particular scene is dependent on the interconnectedness and relationship to other life scenes. The more interconnected a scene, the more likely it will become an embedded aspect of personality structure. Scenes that are not connected by scope or content are referred to as "transient" scenes (Tomkins, 1978). Transient scenes fail to play a significant role in personality and instead become lost with countless other meaningless life scenes (Carlson, 1982). Those scenes which emerge in the structures of personality are those that either commonly arise in a person's life, "habitual" scenes, or those which represent a person's most significant needs or issues in life, "nuclear" scenes (Carlson, 1982; Tomkins, 1978). Interconnected life scenes help to form consistent rules, schemas, or scripts, for controlling, interpreting, and predicting future experiences (Carlson, 1981).

A script can be described as a particular way of thinking, cognitive schema, or filter through which an individual is able to interpret experiences. Cornell describes a script as "the ongoing process of a self-defining and sometimes self-limiting psychological construction of reality" (1988, p. 281). In Tomkins' (1963, 1978, 1987)

theory, scripts are initially formed by connecting emotion based life scenes into a general pattern of experience, and are maintained to provide a way to interpret life's events.

Over time, these scripts or patterns strengthen through a process called psychological magnification (Tomkins, 1978). Psychological magnification involves the interconnection of scenes. It is a process whereby a group of scenes is expanded through incorporation of new memories, thoughts, behaviors and emotions (Carlson, 1981).

Magnification helps to establish cognitive rules of interpretation so that new life scenes are eventually experienced through these script rules. While scripts are capable of establishing rules for information processing, script formation is a process of continual change and reorganization. It is a transactional model of personality development according to which interaction between an individual and his or her environment causes constant evaluation, redefinition, and reconstruction of script content.

The legitimacy of Tomkins' theory of script construction and maintenance has been empirically tested and supported. The next section focuses on the empirical support for the process of script magnification, and the development of commitment, nuclear, and affect management scripts as outlined by Tomkins' script theory of personality.

#### *Empirical Support for Script Theory*

In an effort to provide empirical support for Tomkins' script theory, Carlson and Carlson (1984) used a plot generation exercise to determine whether differences exist in the magnification of positive and negative plots, and whether differences exist in the emotions associated with either positive or negative plots. In the plot generation exercise, participants were asked to generate four 90 minute television show plots based on either one positive (excitement or joy) or one negative (fear or shame) affect. Each

plot was analyzed for (a) presences or absence of an interpersonal theme, and (b) psychological magnification. As described earlier, psychological magnification is the process through which scenes are connected in order to establish and strengthen scripts (Carlson, 1981). Based on Tomkins' script theory, it was predicted that plots centered on the social affects of joy or shame would contain more interpersonal themes than plots centered on excitement or fear (Carlson, 1984). Also, the researchers predicted that plots centered on negative affects (fear and shame) would elicit more psychological magnification than plots centered on positive affects (excitement and joy). Results supported both hypotheses. Those plots based on the social affects (joy or shame) contained more interpersonal themes than plots based on the non-social affects (excitement or fear). Moreover, a greater degree of psychological magnification was generated in negative affect (shame and fear) plots as evidenced by higher ratings of plot elaboration, character development, and psychological implications.

In another investigation, Carlson (1982) employed Tomkins' script theory to assess the various ways in which altruism may manifest itself in individual personality. She theoretically identified altruistic tendencies as either primarily "helping" or "reforming" in nature. She defined "helping" scripts as those primarily focused on "the alleviation of suffering in individual others," and "reforming" as those focused on "opposition to social conditions that oppress groups of others" (Carlson, 1982, p. 599). She tested whether these distinctions in altruism may characterize a personality in a manner consistent with Tomkins' commitment script. Within Tomkins' (1987) script theory, a commitment script is one that represents the persistence to commit and invest substantial energy to a long term activity in order to magnify positive affect. Secondary

data analysis was performed on four studies that originally targeted individuals whose major concerns in life were altruistic in nature. She initially examined case studies of five helpers, originally investigated by McWilliams (1976), to determine the fit of Tomkins' script theory to their altruistic behaviors. In general results demonstrated support for Tomkins' hypotheses. Four of the five cases contained sufficient evidence that the patterns of altruistic behavior represented stable personality characteristics that persisted across contexts, and thus, represented commitment scripts.

Additionally, Carlson (1982) performed secondary data analysis on three separate studies of individuals classified as "reforming" altruists (London, 1970; Rosenhan, 1970; Tomkins, 1965). Within all three studies, reforming altruistic scenes were found across various contexts within individual cases. Because the reforming scenes appeared across diverse contexts, it is likely that the individuals' altruistic behaviors represented commitment scenes. In general, secondary analysis of altruistic scripts validated the conceptualization of commitment scripts as described Tomkins' (1987) script theory of personality.

Another type of script found within Tomkins' (1987) theory is the nuclear script. Within script theory, a nuclear script often emerges out of a nuclear scene in which a positive event in an individual's life is spoiled and thus turns to a negative event. The scripts formed from these nuclear scenes are characterized by negative affect and often represent confusion about an individual's needs and goals. In another investigation, Carlson (1981, 1986) utilized a single case analysis to validate and trace the development of a nuclear script. Carlson analyzed autobiographical and dream material collected from a series of interviews with a volunteer named Jane. A nuclear scene emerged from

content analysis depicting the four-year-old Jane experiencing shame and anger following a misunderstanding with her father. Carlson found that salient features of this scene, including the emotions of shame and anger, re-occurred and influenced the development of Jane throughout life, demonstrating the centrality and influence of a nuclear script. Results also supported the availability of Tomkins' script theory in providing a framework for the analysis of case material.

A major component of Tomkins' script theory is the ideological script. According to Tomkins (1987), an ideological script is a particular script used to discriminate "moral, aesthetic, and truth values, what to believe is good and bad, beautiful and ugly, true or false" (p. 160). The ideological script is central to many aspects of human life, based on the significant influence it exerts on how people interpret the world and use those interpretations to then behave. This study is primarily concerned with how an ideological script is maintained in the lives of lesbians. The next section explores theoretical and empirical facets of Tomkins' polarity theory of personal ideology as it is situated in his broader script theory.

#### *Polarity Theory of Personal Ideology*

Personal ideology is an individual understanding of where value lies in human life and how life should be lived. It is a system of belief or world view that is used to interpret experience. Individual personal ideology operates in order to allow an individual to assign value, distinguish right from wrong, develop personal views on morality, and balance conflicting demands of society. The influence of personal ideology has been demonstrated in such research areas as religious belief (de St. Aubin, 1996, 1999), political orientation (Allport, 1929), parenting style (Elkhart & Alcock, 1970),

interpersonal style (Eckhardt & Alcock, 1970), and views on social policy (Gilbert & Levinson, 1956; Smith, 1949). The polarity theory of personal ideology, as constructed by Silvan Tomkins (1963, 1965, 1987), provides a comprehensive framework of how a particular ideological stance contributes to value-based judgments of various dimensions of human life.

Personal ideology is a central component of personality and contributes to judgments of what is valuable and worthy in the world. As Tomkins explains:

Ideological scripts attempt to provide general orientation of the place of human beings in the cosmos and in the society in which they live, an account of their central values, guidance for their realization, sanctions for their fulfillment, their violation, and their justification, and celebration of how life should be lived from here to eternity (1987, p. 170).

Tomkins further describes the ideological script as the most important subgroup of scripts because they “endow fact with value and affect” (1987, p. 170).

Within Tomkins’ (1965) script theory, personal ideology results as a function of an individual’s ideo-affective posture. Theoretically, an ideo-affective posture is a loosely organized, yet well formed, set of feelings and ideas that contain all the components that would eventually be expressed as a formal ideology. An individual’s ideo-affective posture results from systematic differences in childhood socialization and innate temperament. It is the resonance of this ideo-affective posture that allows for accurate prediction of what ideological posture or view may be expressed by an individual. This ideo-affective resonance is “the engagement of loosely organized beliefs and feelings...when the ideo-affective postures are sufficiently similar to the ideological posture, so they reinforce and strengthen each other” (Tomkins, 1965, p. 74). It is