FI SEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Psychology Review

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinpsychrev



Erratum

Erratum to "The puzzle of intrafamilial child sexual abuse: A meta-analysis comparing intrafamilial and extrafamilial offenders with child victims" [Clin. Psychol. Rev. 39 (2015) 42–57]



Michael C. Seto a,*, Kelly M. Babchishin Lesleigh E. Pullman b, Ian V. McPhail c

- ^a Royal's Institute of Mental Health Research, Canada
- b University of Ottawa, Canada
- ^c University of Saskatchewan, Canada

The publisher regrets that the printed version of the above article contained a number of formatting errors. The correct and final version follows. The publisher would like to apologize for any inconvenience caused.

The bolded values of Table 4 that indicate statistical significance (p < .05) of effect sizes were omitted from the published version of the manuscript. The correctly formatted table is provided below.

Asterisks were added to two Q statistics (indicating significant variability across studies) during the formatting process, which is incorrect. There should be no asterisk beside the Q statistic for *Poor childhood*

attachment with mother in Table 2 (Q = 1.69) or the Q statistic for *Impression management* in Table 5 (Q = 6.40).

Two incorrect values were also introduced in the publication. The random-effects *d* for *Juvenile delinquency* (Table 4) should read .076 and not .06 and the *p* value associated with the Z test for PCL-R scores in Table 6 should read .352 and not 0.35.

Lastly, a reference list of the studies included in the meta-analysis is available as an online supplemental material here: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.cpr.2016.02.003. The hyperlink was not included in the published version.

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.04.001.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Forensic Research Unit, Royal's Institute of Mental Health Research, 1804 County Road 2 East, Brockville, ON K6V 5V8, Canada. E-mail address: michael.seto@theroyal.ca (M.C. Seto).

Table 4 Comparison between intrafamilial and extrafamilial offenders against children on markers of antisocial tendencies.

| Markers of antisocial tendencies | Fixed-effect | | Random-effects | | Q | I^2 | N(k) |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| | d | [95% CI] | d | [95% CI] | | | |
| Static-99 scores | 1.353 | [1.293, 1.413] | 1.236 | [1.041, 1.431] | 70.86*** | 83.1% | 6125 (13) |
| Any prior offenses | .203 | [.154, .252] | .193 | [.106, .281] | 85.74*** | 55.7% | 9097 (39) |
| Prior violent offenses | .161 | [.077, .246] | .151 | [.016, .286] | 30.09** | 53.5% | 3393 (15) |
| Callousness | 037 | [119, .045] | 127 | [446, .191] | 119.23*** | 90.8% | 2739 (12) |
| Callousness outlier removed | .037 | [047, .120] | .066 | [083, .216] | 20.01* | 50.0% | 2621 (11) |
| Lack of cooperation with supervision | .141 | [0004, .283] | .196 | [035, .427] | 8.07 | 50.4% | 1012 (5) |
| Self-regulation problems | .102 | [.016, .187] | .102 | [.010, .195] | 20.81 | 8.7% | 2939 (20) |
| Impulsivity | .082 | [.001, .163] | .082 | [.001, .163] | 17.37 | 0.0% | 3229 (19) |
| Poor problem solving | .067 | [074, .207] | 023 | [266, .220] | 10.53 | 52.5% | 881 (6) |
| Hostility | .064 | [004, .132] | .076 | [027, .179] | 36.29* | 42.1% | 4343 (22) |
| Hostility towards women | .236 | [.094, .378] | .356 | [159, .870] | 46.53*** | 89.2% | 969 (6) |
| Low victim empathy | 1.052 | [.749, 1.355] | 1.005 | [.596, 1.414] | 3.38 | 40.9% | 192 (3) |
| Offense-supportive attitudes | .178 | [.096, .261] | .239 | [.008, .470] | 82.42*** | 81.8% | 2760 (16) |
| Offense-supportive attitudes outlier removed | .117 | [.033, .201] | .106 | [014, .227] | 19.82 | 29.4% | 2649 (15) |
| Any substance misuse | 013 | [075, .049] | 013 | [075, .049] | 24.48 | 0.0% | 5163 (26) |
| Substance use during offense | .062 | [086, .211] | .057 | [110, .224] | 10.60 | 15.1% | 1607 (10) |
| Drug misuse | .020 | [063, .104] | .011 | [087, .110] | 10.26 | 12.3% | 3146 (10) |
| Alcohol misuse | 097 | [169,025] | 097 | [169,025] | 13.72 | 0.0% | 3836 (16) |
| Employment problems | .159 | [004, .321] | .149 | [110, .408] | 7.74 | 48.3% | 755 (5) |
| Negative peer group | .173 | [.089, .257] | .360 | [.053, .666] | 62.55*** | 90.4% | 2633 (7) |
| Negative peer group outliers removed | .052 | [038, .142] | .052 | [038, .142] | 1.82 | 0.0% | 2325 (5) |
| Antisocial | .166 | [.109, .223] | .119 | [.017, .222] | 59.81*** | 58.2% | 5752 (26) |
| PCL-R scores | .223 | [.151, .296] | .216 | [.089, .344] | 24.80** | 55.6% | 3713 (12) |
| PCL-R factor 1 (interpersonal/affective) | .096 | [001, .193] | .020 | [229, .269] | 13.56** | 70.5% | 1724 (5) |
| PCL-R factor 2 (behavioral) | .255 | [.155, .355] | .255 | [.155, .355] | 3.07 | 0.0% | 1630 (5) |
| Childhood maladjustment | 050 | [201, .101] | 037 | [242, .168] | 7.81 | 36.0% | 969 (6) |
| Juvenile delinquency | .087 | [065, .239] | .076 | [285, .438] | 25.07*** | 76.1% | 1259 (7) |

Note. A positive d indicates that extrafamilial offenders had more characteristics that were inherently problematic (e.g., antisocial tendencies) than intrafamilial offenders. Bolded values indicate that the group differences were statistically significant, p < .05. Q refers to Cochran's Q statistic, which is a statistical significance test for variability in the effect sizes across studies. l^2 is a measure of effect size for variability across, above what was expected by chance. l^2 values of 25% are considered low, 50% moderate, and 75% high variability.

^{*} p < .05. ** p < .01. *** p < .001.