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#### Abstract

This paper presents an approximation algorithm for simultaneously constructing a rectilinear Steiner tree and buffer insertion points into the tree. The objective of the algorithm is to divide each wire into multiple smaller segments and minimize the number of the buffer insertion points (Steiner points) which are only located at the end of each segment. We show that (a) the Steiner ratio is $\frac{1}{3}$, that is, the rectilinear minimum spanning tree yields a polynomial-time approximation with a performance ratio exactly 3 ; (b) there exists a polynomial-time approximation with a performance ratio 2. (c) 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

In VLSI technology, some gates on a chip often have to drive many sinks or long wires resulting in propagation delay. Three techniques are commonly applied to reduce such delay: gate sizing, wire sizing, and buffer insertion. This paper focuses on the last technique. Buffers can not only decouple a large load that is off the critical path but also directly reduce the ARC delay of a long wire.
Berman et al. [2] showed that simultaneously constructing a tree and inserting buffers at the internal nodes of the tree is NP-Complete. The authors of $[8,9]$ proposed a

[^0]method of buffer insertion as follows: first, find a best location for a single buffer, and then recursively apply the algorithm. But the buffers' sizes are assumed to be variable. Ginneken [4] gave an optimal dynamic programming algorithm that inserts at most one buffer into a single wire. Okamoto et al. [11] extended Ginneken's method so that it can simultaneously construct a Steiner tree and insert buffers while keeping the restriction that at most one buffer may be placed on each wire. Alpert et al. [1] proposed a method that arbitrarily divides each wire into segments and eliminates at most one buffer per wire restriction. But their work is based on the assumption that the routing tree topology and buffer locations for each wire are provided.

Our work is to provide a polynomial-time approximation algorithm that can simultaneously build the routing tree topology (a rectilinear Steiner tree) and segment each wire under the assumption that the length-bound of segment is given. The goal is to minimize the number of buffer insertion points (Steiner points). In this paper, we show that the rectilinear minimum spanning tree-based algorithm has a performance ratio of exactly 3 . We also present a new polynomial-time approximation with a performance ratio of at most 2 .

## 2. Preliminary

For a given set of terminals $V$ in the rectilinear plane, a rectilinear Steiner tree [5-7] is a tree spanning a superset $X$ of $V$. Points in $X \backslash V$ are called Steiner points [5-7]. The rectilinear length of an edge between two points $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is defined by $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right|=\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|+\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|$, where $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ are the coordinates of $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. The length of a tree is the sum of its edges' lengths. The rectilinear Steiner minimal tree problem (RSTP) is to find a rectilinear Steiner tree of minimum length. An edge between two points of $X$ may consist of a sequence of alternating vertical and horizontal lines. Turning points on such edges are called corners. Given a constant $R>0$, the rectilinear Steiner tree problem with minimal number of Steiner points (RSTP-MSP) asks for a rectilinear Steiner tree such that each edge has a length not more than $R$ and the number of Steiner points is minimized.

The optimal Steiner trees for RSTP and RSTP-MSP may have different structures. In the classical RSTP [5], every vertex has degree less than five and Steiner points have degree either three or four. In RSTP-MSP, however, Steiner points may have degree two. For example, when $V$ contains two terminals $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ with $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right|>R$, the optimal Steiner tree is a path containing $\left\lceil\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right| / R-1\right\rceil$ Steiner points whose degrees are two; Moreover, some vertices may have degree as large as eight. Consider nine terminals (white nodes) in Fig. 1(a). The optimal Steiner tree for RSTP in Fig. 1(b) includes two Steiner points (black nodes) and has length of $6 R$. Two optimal Steiner trees for RSTP-MSP in Fig. 1(c, d) both include no Steiner point and have length of $8 R$; Note in the first tree every terminal has degree less than three while in the second tree one terminal has degree eight. However, we can show the following lemma.


Fig. 1. An example: (a) nine terminals; (b) the optimal Steiner tree of RSTP; (c) and (d) the optimal Steiner trees of RSTP-MSP.


Fig. 2. Proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. There exists a shortest optimal Steiner tree of RSTP-MSP such that every vertex in the tree has degree at most four.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that every shortest optimal Steiner tree has a vertex whose degree is more than four. Then let $T$ be such a tree with minimal number of vertices that have degrees more than four, and let vertex $v_{0}$ in $T$ be adjacent to five vertices $v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5$. We assume, without loss of generality, that $v_{0}=(0,0)$. Now consider $v_{0}$ 's neighborhood of radius $R$, which is a square. Note lines $x=y$ and $x=-y$ partition the square into four small squares. See Fig. 2.
Suppose vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are in the same small square, say, the one formed by lines $-x \leqslant y$ and $x \leqslant y$. If neither $v_{1}$ nor $v_{2}$ is on one of these two lines, then it can be verified that $\left|v_{1} v_{2}\right|<\max \left\{\left|v_{1} v_{0}\right|,\left|v_{2} v_{0}\right|\right\}$. Thus, replacing the longer edge of $v_{1} v_{0}$ and $v_{2} v_{0}$ with edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ will produce a Steiner tree whose length is shorter than $T$, contradicting that $T$ is the shortest Steiner tree. Therefore, we can assume that vertices


Fig. 3. Proof of Lemma 2.
$v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are in two small squares formed by $-x \leqslant y$ and $v_{2}$ is on line $x=y$. See Fig. 2(a). We now consider two cases separately.

Case 1: $v_{2}$ has degree less than four. Then substituting edge $v_{1} v_{0}$ with edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ will produce a shortest Steiner tree that has less number of vertices that have degree at least five than $T$, contradicting that $T$ has minimal number of such vertices.

Case 2: $v_{2}$ has degree four (or bigger). Then $v_{2}$ is adjacent to three vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$, and $u_{3}$ besides vertex $v_{0}$. If there exists a vertex $u_{i}$ that is in the two small squares of $v_{2}$ 's neighborhood formed by the line in the direction of $x=-y$ and passing vertex $v_{2}$, then either $\left|v_{1} u_{i}\right|<\left|v_{1} v_{0}\right|$ or $\left|v_{3} u_{i}\right|<\left|v_{3} v_{0}\right|$. In either case, a shorter Steiner tree can be constructed by replacing edge $v_{1} v_{0}$ with edge $v_{1} u_{i}$ or edge $v_{3} v_{0}$ with edge $v_{3} u_{i}$, contradicting that $T$ is the shortest one. Hence, we can assume that $u_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ are all in the other two small squares while $u_{2}$ in line $x=y$. See Fig. 2(b).

By repeating the above argument at vertex $u_{2}$ and so on, in the end we will reach Case 1 and find a contraction. The proof is then complete.

Lemma 2. There exists a shortest optimal Steiner tree for RSTP-MSP such that no two edges cross each other.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is a shortest optimal Steiner tree that includes two edges $a c$ and $b d$ crossing at point $p$, and $a c$ and $b d$ may have corners at points $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$, respectively. See Fig. 3.
We can assume that $|a p| \leqslant\left|p c^{\prime}\right|,|b p| \leqslant\left|p d^{\prime}\right|$ and $|a p| \leqslant|b p|$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |a d|=|a p|+\left|p d^{\prime}\right| \pm\left|d^{\prime} d\right| \leqslant|b p|+\left|p d^{\prime}\right|+\left|d^{\prime} d\right|=|b d|, \\
& |a b|=|a p|+|p b| \leqslant|b p|+\left|p d^{\prime}\right|+\left|d^{\prime} d\right|=|b d| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, replacing edge $a d$ with edge $a b$ if there is a path in $T$ between vertices $b$ and $a$ or $c$, and with edge $a b$ if there is a path in $T$ between vertices $d$ and $a$ or $c$, can make a shortest Steiner tree $T^{\prime}$. Moreover, the number of crossings in $T^{\prime}$ is less than that in $T$. By repeating this operation we can obtain a shortest optimal Steiner tree without any crossing.

In fact, by applying the combination of the arguments in the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2, we are able to prove that there exists a shortest optimal Steiner tree for RSTP-MSP such that every vertex in the tree has degree at most four and there is no two edges crossing each other. Hence in the rest of the paper, we consider only such a kind of shortest optimal Steiner trees for RSTP-MSP.
A rectilinear spanning tree is a tree interconnecting the given terminals with edges only between a pair of terminals. The rectilinear minimum spanning tree (RMST) is a rectilinear spanning tree with minimum length. Note a rectilinear spanning tree (even if it is a RMST) may not be a feasible solution for RSTP-MSP, since some edges may be too long (their lengths exceed $R$ ). To make it feasible, we can break any edge longer than $R$ into several small segments with lengths at most $R$ by adding some Steiner points in the edge. The resulting tree will be called a steinerized rectilinear spanning tree. The steinerized RMST has the following interesting property.

Lemma 3. Every steinerized RMST has the minimum number of Steiner points among the steinerized rectilinear spanning trees.

Proof. Note that every RMST can be obtained from a rectilinear spanning tree by a sequence of operations that each replaces an edge by another shorter edge. Since the shorter edge needs less number of Steiner points than the longer edge when rectilinear spanning tree is steinerized. This leads to the conclusion.

## 3. Steinerized rectilinear spanning tree

In this section we will show the following result.
Theorem 1. The steinerized rectilinear minimum spanning tree is a polynomial-time approximation for RSTP-MSP with a performance ratio of exactly 3 .

To obtain the lower bound of performance ratio, consider a simple example in Fig. 4. There are four terminals (white nodes) such that every pair of them has length of $2 L$, where $L=(1-\varepsilon) R$ and $\varepsilon$ is a very small positive real number. Fig. 4(a) illustrates the optimal Steiner tree for RSTP-MSP that has only one Steiner point (black node). Fig. 4(b) illustrates the steinerized RMST that has three Steiner points. This implies that the performance ratio of steinerized RMST is at least three.

Note that every leaf in a shortest optimal Steiner tree is a terminal. A rectilinear Steiner tree is called full if every terminal is a leaf. When a rectilinear Steiner tree is not full, we can always find a terminal with degree more than one where we can break the tree into parts. In such a way, every rectilinear Steiner tree can be broken into several small full rectilinear Steiner trees, which are called full components of the rectilinear Steiner tree.


Fig. 4. The lower bound: (a) the optimal solution of RSTP-MSP; and (b) the steinerized RMST.

To obtain the upper bound of performance ratio, we need to study the properties of rectilinear convex path between two terminals in the shortest optimal full tree for RSTP-MSP. A path $q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{m}$ is called a rectilinear convex path if one places a coordinate axis at any point on the path then at least one of the quadrants does not contain any point in the path. Note that angles can be defined along the convex path. The angles of $180^{\circ}$ and $270^{\circ}$ will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1. For simplicity, such angles are called big angles.

Let $T$ denote a shortest optimal full tree for RSTP-MSP.
Lemma 4. Let $q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{m}$ be a rectilinear convex path between terminals $q_{1}$ and $q_{m}$ in $T$ and $m \geqslant 2$. Suppose there are $t_{1}$ angles of $180^{\circ}$ and $t_{2}$ angles of $270^{\circ}$ among $m-2$ angles $\angle q_{1} q_{2} q_{3}, \angle q_{2} q_{3} q_{4}, \ldots, \angle q_{m-2} q_{m-1} q_{m}$. Then overall, it is true that $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$.

Proof. First, we define a staircase to be a continuous path of alternating vertical lines and horizontal lines such that their projections on the vertical and horizontal axes have no overlapping intervals.

Then we prove the lemma by induction on $m$. For $m \leqslant 3$, it is true, since $\left|q_{1}, q_{3}\right|=2 R$ $\leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$. Now, suppose $m \geqslant 4$. Consider the rectilinear convex hull $H$ of points $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m}$. If at least one of $q_{1}$ and $q_{m}$ does not lie on the boundary of $H$, then by the induction hypothesis, any rectilinear distance between two vertices of the convex hull $H$ is at least $\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$. Therefore, $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$.

Next, we may assume that both $q_{1}$ and $q_{m}$ lie on the boundary of $H$. Then the whole path $q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{m}$ lies on the boundary of $H$ (see Fig. 5(c)). Since there are overlapping intervals if a rectilinear convex path is not a staircase, we can always obtain $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$ by induction hypothesis. So it suffices to show that this inequality is true for staircase (see Fig. 5(a, b)). We consider the following cases:

Case 1: When the rectilinear convex path is straight. Clearly, we have $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right|=$ $\left(t_{1}+1\right) R<\left(t_{1}+2\right) R \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$.

Case 2: When the rectilinear convex path is a L-shaped path. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the turning point of the L -shaped path may be a Steiner point with degree 2,3 or 4 .


Fig. 5. Proof of Lemma 4: (a) $L$-shaped path; (b) Staircase; and (c) whole path.

It can also just be a corner. For the latter we have $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right|=\left(t_{1}+1\right) R$ from Case 1 . If the turning point is a Steiner point, say $q_{i}$, then we have $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right|=\left(t_{1}+2\right) R \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$.

Case 3: The other possible shapes of rectilinear convex paths are those like $q_{1}^{\prime} \ldots q_{m}$ and $q_{1} \ldots q_{m}$ as shown in Fig. 5(b) (may be in other directions). Otherwise, we can have a shorter tree by flipping some corner or Steiner point contradicting that $T$ is the shortest optimal tree for RSTP-MSP. For those convex paths like $q_{1}^{\prime} \cdots q_{m}$, it is easy to show that $\left|q_{1}^{\prime} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$ holds. For those like $q_{1} \ldots q_{m}$, when the turning point of path $q_{i} \ldots q_{j}$ is a Steiner point $q_{k}$ shown in Fig $5(\mathrm{~b})$, it can be verified that $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$ also holds. When the turning point is a corner, $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right|=\left(t_{1}+3\right) R$ and $t_{2}=0$ will be obtained. This violates the inequality $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$. However, since there must exist another rectilinear convex path $q_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots q_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ which shares $q_{i} \cdots q_{j}$ with the convex path $q_{1} \ldots q_{m}$ and it is not difficult to show that $\left|q_{1}^{\prime \prime} q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 t_{2}^{\prime \prime}+1\right) R$ is true, where $t_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is the number of angles of $180^{\circ}$ and $t_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is the number of angles of $270^{\circ}$ among $n-2$ angles along this convex path. Thus, we can charge cost 1 from $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right|$ to $\left|q_{1}^{\prime \prime} q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Therefore, overall we have $\left|q_{1} q_{m}\right| \leqslant\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$. The proof is then complete.

Lemma 5. In the shortest optimal tree for RSTP-MSP, there are at most two big angles at a terminal with degree two, one big angle at a vertex with degree three, and no big angle with degree four.

Proof. The lemma follows from the definition of RSTP-MSP.
Lemma 6. Let $T$ be a full rectilinear Steiner tree interconnecting $n$ terminals, and $s_{i}$ denote the number of Steiner points with degree i in $T$. Then $2 s_{4}+s_{3}=n-2$.

Proof. Since $T$ has $s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}+n-1$ edges, and the total degrees of vertices in $T$ is $4 s_{4}+3 s_{3}+2 s_{2}+n=2\left(s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}+n-1\right)$. Hence, $2 s_{4}+s_{3}=n-2$.


Fig. 6. Rectilinear convex paths having designated properties.

Given a shortest optimal Steiner tree for RSTP-MSP on $n$ terminals, if it is a full rectilinear Steiner tree, then we can find a set of $n$ rectilinear convex paths in the tree that satisfy the following three properties:
(1) each path connects two terminals,
(2) each terminal appears in exactly two paths,
(3) each angle at a Steiner point appears in the paths exactly once.

Fig. 6 shows an example. Terminals and Steiner points are marked by white and black nodes, respectively, and rectilinear convex paths by dash lines. Now, we are ready to show Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Denote by $C\left(T^{*}\right)$ the number of Steiner points in $T^{*}$. First, we assume that $T^{*}$ is a full rectilinear Steiner tree. Let $s_{i}$ denote the number of Steiner points with degree $i$ in $T^{*}$. Let $s_{2}^{\prime}$ denote the number of Steiner points of degree two with angle $180^{\circ}$, and $s_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the number of Steiner points of degree two with angle $270^{\circ}$. Clearly, $s_{2}=s_{2}^{\prime}+s_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma 6, $n=2 s_{4}+s_{3}+2$. Consider a rectilinear spanning tree $T_{s}$ consisting of $n-1$ edges each connecting two terminals at endpoints of a rectilinear convex path in $T^{*}$. By Lemma 4, each edge in $T_{s}$ has length upper-bounded by $\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+2\right) R$ where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the numbers of big angles of $180^{\circ}$ and $270^{\circ}$ on the rectilinear convex path connecting two terminals, respectively. Hence we need at most $\left(t_{1}+2 t_{2}+1\right)$ Steiner points to steinerize the edge. By Lemma 5, the rectilinear spanning tree $T_{s}$ can be steinerized by at most $s_{3}+2 s_{2}^{\prime}+2 s_{2}^{\prime \prime}+n-1=s_{3}+2 s_{2}+n-1$ Steiner points. By Lemma 3, any steinerized MRST contains at most $s_{3}+2 s_{2}+n-1$ Steiner points. Clearly,

$$
s_{3}+2 s_{2}+n-1=2 s_{4}+2 s_{3}+2 s_{2}+1=2\left(s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}\right)+1 .
$$

When $s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}>0$, we have $s_{3}+2 s_{2}+n-1 \leqslant 3\left(s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}\right)$. When $s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}=0$, we have $T_{s}=T^{*}$. Therefore, in either case, every steinerized MRST contains at most $3\left(s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}\right)\left(=3 C\left(T^{*}\right)\right)$ Steiner points.

Now suppose $T^{*}$ is not a full rectilinear Steiner tree. Then $T^{*}$ can be decomposed into several full components $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$, each satisfying the above properties $(1,2,3)$. Let $C\left(T_{j}\right)$ be the number of Steiner points in $T_{j}$. For each full component $T_{j}$, by the above argument, we know that the steinerized RMST on terminals in $T_{j}$ contains at most $3 C\left(T_{j}\right)$ Steiner points. Note that the union of steinerized RMSTs is a steinerized rectilinear spanning tree for all terminals. By Lemma 3, the number of Steiner points in $T^{*}$ is at most $3 \sum_{j=1}^{k} C\left(T_{j}\right)=3 C\left(T^{*}\right)$. The proof is then complete.

## 4. 2-Approximation

Suppose $T^{*}$ is a shortest optimal Steiner tree for RSTP-MSP that has $k$ full components $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have showed that the steinerized RMST on terminals in $T_{j}$ contains at most $2 C\left(T_{j}\right)+1$ Steiner points. In the following, we will study when this upper bound can be improved.

Lemma 7. Let $T_{j}^{\prime}$ be the steinerized $R M S T$ on terminals in $T_{j}$. Then,
(1) $T_{j}^{\prime}$ contains at most $2 C\left(T_{j}\right)+1$ Steiner points.
(2) $T_{j}^{\prime}$ contains at most $2 C\left(T_{j}\right)$ Steiner points when $T_{j}$ contains a Steiner point with degree at most three.
(3) $T_{j}^{\prime}$ contains at most $2 C\left(T_{j}\right)$ Steiner points when $T_{j}^{\prime}$ contains an edge between two terminals.

Proof. Conclusions (1) and (3) follow immediately from the proof of Theorem 1. To show (2), let $n_{j}$ be the number of terminals in $T_{j}$. Note that there are exactly $n_{j}$ paths in the forms shown in Lemma 4 in $T_{j}$. Choose any $n_{j}-1$ of them and connect two endpoints of each path. We will obtain a rectilinear spanning tree. Its steinerization is denoted by $T_{s}$. Now, assume $u$ is a Steiner point with degree at most three. When there is a big angle at $u$, we choose $n_{j}-1$ rectilinear convex paths not containing the big angle. When there is no big angle at $u$, we can choose any $n_{j}-1$ rectilinear convex path. In such a way, we can obtain $C\left(T_{s}\right) \leqslant s_{3}+2 s_{2}-1+\left(n_{j}-1\right) \leqslant 2\left(s_{4}+s_{3}+s_{2}\right)$ $=2 C\left(T_{j}\right)$.

In the following, we propose a Kruskal-type approximation algorithm.
Step 0: Given a set of $n$ terminals,
sort all $n(n-1) / 2$ possible edges $e_{i}$ between $n$ terminals
in increasing order of their length, $\left|e_{1}\right| \leqslant\left|e_{2}\right| \leqslant \ldots \leqslant\left|e_{n(n-1) / 2}\right|$.
$T_{A}:=(X, \emptyset)$ and $i:=1$.
Step 1: while $\left|e_{i}\right| \leqslant R$ do begin
if $e_{i}$ connects two different connected components of $T_{A}$
then put $e_{i}$ into $T_{A}$.
$i:=i+1$.
end-while

Step 2: for three terminals $a, b, c$ are in three connected components of $T_{A}$ do if there exists a point $s$ within distance $R$ from $a, b, c$, then put the 3 -star, consisting of three edges $s a, s b, s c$ into $T_{A}$.
Step 3: while $i \leqslant n(n-1) / 2$ do begin if $e_{i}$ connects two connected components of $T_{A}$, then put $e_{i}$ into $T_{A}$ along with $\left\lceil\left|d_{i}\right| / R\right\rceil-1$ Steiner points.
$i:=i+1$.
end-while
return $T_{A}$
Theorem 2. Let $C\left(T_{A}\right)$ be the number of Steiner points in the approximation $T_{A}$ produced by the proposed algorithm. Then $C\left(T_{A}\right) \leqslant 2 C\left(T^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Denote by $T^{(i)}$ the $T_{A}$ at the beginning of Step $i$ in the algorithm. Suppose $T^{(3)}-T^{(2)}$ contains $m$ 3-stars. Then

$$
C\left(T_{A}\right) \leqslant C\left(T_{s}\right)-m,
$$

where $C\left(T_{s}\right)$ is the number of Steiner points in $T_{s}$ which is a steinerized RMST on all given terminals. We construct a steinerized rectilinear spanning tree $T$ as follows: Initially, put $T^{(2)}$ into $T$. For each full component $T_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant k)$, add to $T$ the steinerized rectilinear spanning tree $H_{j}$ for terminals in $T_{j}$. If $T$ has a cycle, then destroy the cycle by deleting some edges along with Steiner points of $H_{j}$. An important fact is that if $H_{j}$ does not contain an edge between two terminals, then at least one Steiner point must be deleted when destroying a cycle in $H_{j} \cup T^{(2)}$. From this fact and Lemma 7, we have

$$
C\left(T_{s}\right) \leqslant 2 C\left(T^{*}\right)+h,
$$

where $h$ is the number of full components $T_{j}$ 's with properties that every Steiner point in $T_{j}$ has degree four and $T_{j} \cup T^{(2)}$ has no cycle. Hence we have

$$
C\left(T_{A}\right) \leqslant 2 C\left(T^{*}\right)+h-m .
$$

Now to prove the theorem it suffices to show $h \leqslant m$.
Suppose $T^{(2)}$ has $p$ connected components. Then $T^{(3)}$ has $p-2 m$ connected components $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{p-2 m}$. We now construct a graph $H$ with vertex set consisting of $n$ terminals and the edge set defined as follows: First, we put all edges of $T^{(2)}$ into $H$. Then consider every full component $T_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant k)$ with properties that every Steiner point in $T_{j}$ has degree four and $T_{j} \cup T^{(2)}$ has no cycle. If $T_{j}$ has only one Steiner point, then this Steiner point connects four terminals which must lie in at most two $C_{i}$ 's. Hence, among them there are two pairs of terminals; each pair lies in the same $C_{i}$. Connect the two pairs with two edges and put the two edges into $H$. If $T_{j}$ has at least two Steiner points, then there must exist at least two Steiner points each connecting three terminals. We can also find two pairs of terminals among them such that each
pair lies in the same $C_{i}$. Connect the two pairs with two edges and put the two edges into $H$.

Clearly, $H$ has at most $p-2 h$ connected components. Since every connected components of $H$ is included in a $C_{i}$, we have $p-2 m \leqslant p-2 h$. Therefore, $h \leqslant m$. The proof is then complete.
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